

Bombay Government Gazette.

gublished by Buthority.

MONDAY, 24TH FEBRUARY 1896.

Separate paging is given to this Part, in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

PART V.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT, BOMBAY.

The following Extract from the Proceedings of the Governor of Bombay in the Legislative Department is published for general information:—

Abstract of the Proceedings of the Council of the Governor of Bombay, assembled for the purpose of making Laws and Regulations, under the provisions of "The Indian Councils Acts, 1861 and 1892."

The Council met at Bombay on Wednesday the 15th January, 1896, at 12 noon.

PRESENT:

His Excellency the Right Honourable Lord SANDHURST, G.C.I.E., Governor of Bombay, Presiding.

The Honourable Mr. H. BIRDWOOD, I. C. S., M.A., LL.D., C.S.I.

The Honourable Mr. John Nugent, I. C. S.

The Honourable the Advocate General.

The Honourable Mr. G. W. VIDAL, I. C. S.
The Honourable Ráo Bahádur RANCHODLAL CHOTALAL, C.I.E.

The Honourable Mr. P. M. MEHTA, M.A., C.I.E.

The Honourable Mr. Bal Gangadhar Tilak, B.A., LL.B.

The Honourable Mr. W. R. MACDONELL, M.A., LL.D.

The Honourable Meherban CHINTAMANRAO RAGHUNATH alias BALA SAHEB PATWARDHAN, Chief (Senior) of Kurundvád.

The Honourable Mr. HERBERT BATTY, I. C. S., M.A.

The Honourable Mr. A. T. SHUTTLEWORTH.

The Honourable Mr. W. W. LOCH, I. C. S., B.A.

The Honourable Mr. T. R. McLellan.

The Honourable Mr. T. B. KIRKHAM.

The Honourable Mr. CHIMANLAL HARILAL SETALVAD, B.A., LL.B.

The Honourable Mr. NAVROJI NASARVANJI WADIA, C.I.E.

The Honourable Mr. JAVERILAL UMIASHANKAR YAJNIK.

The Honourable Mr. T. D. LITTLE, M.Inst.C.E., C.I.E.

The Honourable Mr. ABDALLA MEHERALLI DHARAMSI, B.A., LL.B.

The Honourable Mr. LINGAPA JAYAPA, Sir Desai of Shirsangi.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

The Honourable Mr. CHIMANLAL HARILAL SETALVAD then put question No. 1 > standing in his name—

- (a) Which of the Mofussil Municipalities have non-official presidents and which of them enjoy the right of electing their own presidents at present? In what cases have non-official presidents been replaced by official presidents during the last ten years and for what reasons? Will Government be pleased to confer the right of electing their presidents on the Municipalities of large and important cities like Ahmedabad and nominate non-official presidents in the case of old Municipalities like Surat, Broach, &c.?
- (b) In what Municipalities is the number of elected members larger than that of nominated members? Will Government be pleased to increase the present proportion of elected members in cities like Ahmedabad, Surat, Broach, &c.?
- (c) What Municipalities consist entirely of nominated Commissioners and how long have they been in existence? Do not Government consider it desirable to confer on Municipalities of towns that have now been connected by rail with important centres and have advanced in education a partially elective franchise?

The Honourable Mr. BIRDWOOD in reply said-

(a). - First Question.

The following Mofussil Municipalities have non-official presidents at present:—

		liád, dra, and lerabad.
--	--	-------------------------------

The Poona Municipality is the only Municipality which enjoys the right of electing its president.

(a).-Second Question.

In the following Municipalities non-official presidents have been replaced by official presidents during the last ten years for general convenience or to secure general improvement in administration:—

Sholápur,	Kumta,	Broach,	Kalyan,
Lonávla,	Dhárwár,	Surat,	Bassein,
Paudharpur.	Hubli,	Bulsár.	Karáchi, and
Karmála.	Kaira,	Thána,	Sehwan.
Karád,	Kapadyani.	Kurla,	

(a).—Third Question.

Government are prepared, on being assured that there is in any Municipality genuine local feeling in favour of the honourable member's suggestion, to give it careful consideration.

(b). - First Question.

The information can be obtained from the published annual report on Municipal Taxation and Expenditure in the Bombay Presidency, including Sind.

(b) .- Second Question.

Government are prepared, on being assured that there is in any Municipality genuine local feeling in favour of the honourable member's suggestion, to give it careful consideration.

(c).- First Question.

The necessary information as regards nominated Commissioners can be obtained from the annual report already referred to. The dates of establishment are also given in that report, except for Municipalities in the Northern Division, as regards which the following are the facts:—

Name of District.	Name of Municipal	ity.	Date of Establishment,
Ahmedabad	Dhandhuka Sánand Dholera Mandal Ránpur Bareja		2nd
of Spiral Consumption of the Con	D4-da		1st April ,,
Kaira	Dákor Borsad A'nand Umreth Od Mátar		20th June 1864. 3rd Cctober 1889. 1st ,, ,, 1st ,, ,, 1st ,, ,, 1st ,, ,,
Panch Maháls	Dohad		1st October "
Broach ,	Jambusar Hánsot A'mod		15th March 1856. 3rd December 1889. 1st April 1890.

(c).—Second Question.

Government are prepared, on being assured that there is in any Municipality genuine local feeling in favour of the honourable member's suggestion, to give it careful consideration.

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 2-

What action do Government propose to take in the matter of the memorials of the Hospital Assistants referred to in question No. 1 put by me at the Council Meeting on the 27th September last?

The Honourable Mr. Birdwood in reply said—Government are unable to say at present what action will be taken on the memorials, as they are still under consideration.

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 3-

What measures have Government decided to adopt for bringing the Mamlatdars' Courts in Sind under some superintending and revisional jurisdiction?

The Honourable Mr. Nugent in reply said—The question is still under the consideration of Government in consultation with their Law officers.

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 4-

Will Government be pleased to give information in the following form about Assistant Judges invested with appellate civil powers during the three years ending March 1895 :—

1	2	3	4	STILL STILL			5				
	ecutive ntment	Assistant	appellate	0	riginal su	its tried b	efore inve	stiture w	ith appelle	ate powers	5.
	the exe appoin	as	with app	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
· Name.	service in e date of ant Judge,	appointment	rvesting w	f without	ised.	n confes-	to arbi-	cx-parte.	ex-parte.	cet.	
e desidence	Length of line at th as Assist	Date of at	Date of in	Disposed of trial.	Compromised	Decreed on sion.	Referred tration.	Decreed e.	Dismissed	With contest	Total.

* Vide Appendix E.

The Honourable Mr. Birdwood in reply said—The information* asked for by the honourable member has been laid on the Table.

The Honourable Mr. SETALVAD then put question No. 5-

Is it a fact that the unwithdrawn balances of cash advances taken from parties to insolvency proceedings for meeting Court charges are appropriated or used to be appropriated by the Clerk to the Insolvency Court; if so, under what authority? Will Government be pleased to state the total amount of such balances for the five years ending December 1894?

The Honourable Mr. Berdwood in reply said—It was the practice, up to the 1st October 1893, for the unwithdrawn balances of cash advances taken from parties to insolvency proceedings to remain in the hands of the Clerk to the Insolvency Court. Since that date such balances have, under the orders of the Honourable the Chief Justice of the High Court, been placed to a separate account in the Bank of Bombay. There does not appear to have been any distinct authority for the former practice. The total amount of such balances for the five years ending December 1894 was Rs. 9,330-8-1, of which Rs. 8,069-6-7 remained in the hands of the Clerk to the Insolvency Court, available for repayment to parties on application and Rs. 1,261-1-6 in the Bank of Bombay. For the same period of five years, the balance of fees remaining due at the end of the period from parties to the Clerk to the Insolvency Court amounted to Rs. 8,237-2-1.

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 6-

(a) Have the Government of Bombay taken any steps to give effect to the desire of the Government of India expressed in the following extract from the Government of India Resolution No. 6—371—383 of 17th August 1889 (p. 8 of the Selections from the Records of the Government of India, Home Department, No. CCLXV) for the training of teachers for secondary schools:—

"His Excellency in Council therefore deems it essential that each local Government should accept the responsibility of providing means for training teachers for each grade of schools primary, middle and high as a first charge on the educational grant."

- (b) When was the "voluntary examination for teacherships" in Government High and Anglo-Vernacular Schools first established in this Presidency? What is the total number of candidates (1) who presented themselves at the said examination from its establishment up to now, (2) who passed the examination, (3) and who, subsequent to their passing the examination, are in service in the Educational Department?
- (c) What are the conditions and subjects of the examination? Is any training or attendance at a school or college for instruction in theory and practice of teaching and school management required before admission to the examination?
- (d) Are Government aware that the Government of Madras have established a college for the training of teachers of secondary schools? Do Government intend taking a similar step in this Presidency in order to give effect to the view of the Government of India expressed in the Resolution above referred to that "the Governor General in Council considers it impossible to secure good instructors without such a process of selection and preparation as normal school training gives, and is unable to regard the adoption of examination in the art of teaching as an adequate substitute for good normal schools?"

His Excellency the President in reply said-

- (a) and (d).—The matter is at present the subject of correspondence with the Government of India.
- (b) and (c).—(1) The examination was established in 1871; (2) the number of candidates who have presented themselves for examination is 60; (3) the number passed is 31; (4) of the passed men, 27 were employed in the Educational Department, while one was in an aided school, and 3 cannot be traced; (5) the conditions and subjects of the examination are given in the following notice issued by the Director of Public Instruction on 18th November 1871 and which remained in force until 1889 when it was superseded by Section 18 of the Training College Code:—

Notice.

The Director of Police Instruction gives notice that all appointments to Assistant Masterships in High Schools and First Grade Anglo-Vernacular Schools

will in future be probationary for one year, and confirmation will depend not only on proficiency in the subjects taught but also on success in teaching. Success in teaching results partly from natural capacity, but in a great measure from energy, thoughtfulness, industry, method and special study of teaching as an art.

An examination of candidates for Assistant Masterships, who have not passed the F.E.A., will be held in the monsoon months at Poona and Ahmedabad. Certificates indicating various degrees of proficiency will be given to the best candidates, and a register of certificated candidates will be kept by the Director of Public Instruction, from the names in which appointments will be made in preference to candidates who do not hold certificates.

In this examination much importance will be attached to good English, hand-writing, a correct English accent, sound knowledge of English and vernacular grammar, neatness and accuracy in translation and ability in reproducing what is learnt with clearness and force.

The Honourable Mr. SETALVAD then put question No. 7-

Has the attention of Government been called to the following portion of the statement made by F. X. Fonseca on the 8th October last during his trial before the Sessions Court, and have Government taken any steps to enquire into the allegations therein made regarding the working of the bailiffs' department in the Bombay Court of Small Causes? If the result of such enquiry confirms Fonseca's statement, what measures do Government propose to adopt for the purpose of removing the evil disclosed?—

"About 1881 I got employed as a bailiff in the Small Cause Court on a salary of Rs. 20 per month. Later as my experience increased my perquisites came to Rs. 450 or Rs. 600 a month. We bailiffs think it a poor month when we do not make more than Rs. 250 after paying all expenses. It is said that a poor bailiff like myself in receipt of Rs. 20 per month could not possibly find enough money to get an assignment of a policy for Rs. 10,000. Why, there are bailiffs who have property and money worth very much more than that. It has always been kept a great secret that the bailiffs in the Small Cause Court earn as much as some of the Small Cause Court Judges or a Presidency Magistrate. There are 30,000 to 35,000 suits filed every year. In some few suits the summonses are never served. There are attachments and arrests before hearing, service of summons on each defendant in a suit sometimes involving three or four or more journeys, substituted services, witness summonses, arrests in execution, attachments, and sales of furniture and goods. And often in execution there are several attachments because the instalments ordered are not paid. There is a staff of about 36 bailiffs, and if I work hard, I can get at least my one-thirty-sixth share of the work, and perhaps more. So I would have the processes of 800 to nearly 1,000 suits in my hands in a year. In a few of these suits there would be only one journey, that is, if I happen to go at the night time to catch the person to be served. In many there would be from first to last, for witnesses and everything, from 10 to 20 journeys. Perhaps on an average there would be 10 to 15 journeys. In every case the suiliffs are allowed to claim $gh\acute{a}rry$ hire, and by arranging to have several processes to serve in one locality we can make the suitors pay the full amount of $gh\acute{a}rry$ hire for each process and effect 3 or 4 or 10 or 12 services at one time. The suitors or their agents either go with us or meet us at the spot to point out persons to be served. We usually get at least one rupee for ghárry hire, though sometimes Márwáris talk so much about it that I serve these processes for nothing, because it pays better than to waste time while I could get money from others. When the train serves I go that way and one journey will often give a clear profit of 7 or 8 rupees on the ghárry hire alone. That is why I and some other bailiffs (8 or 12) keep a ghárry of our own. Besides, suitors will give us a good present of Rs. 5, Rs. 10, Rs. 15 and Rs. 20 for going to serve their papers out of turn or at a moment's notice, or at early or late hours, and we have so many papers in hand that we can always give a good excuse and make them wait unless they make it worth our while to run after their business. Short date summonses often get us a better present than usual. Sometimes a suitor will not pay and makes a complaint in Court to a Judge that his papers have not been On looking at the papers the Judge finds it written that no one came to point out the person to be served, and then says it is the suitor's own fault. If the matter is pressed, which is very rare, than the particular bailiff cannot be found or identified, the Judge gets angry because of what seems a useless complaint and the suitors know they get no good by it. Even the Marwaris pay up, as they know we can help them to-morrow and they make their debtors pay them such large sums on false security bonds that they do not lose. A defendant frequently asks us to bring about a settlement, and Europeans give us a good present if we only say we have taken trouble for them."

The Honourable Mr. Birdwood in reply said—The matter referred to has attracted the attention of Government, and is under consideration.

The Honourable Mr. SETALVAD then put question No. 8-

Will Government be pleased to give information for the period of three years ending March 1895 in the following form regarding the various Agency Courts in the Bombay Presidency, including that of the Agent for the Sardárs of the Deccan:—

ardabat. Certify	s in the Agency the institution of	Appeals received by Government from decisions of such Courts. Civil. Criminal.									
	Courts in the	- UZ	ay of insti-	1	dispos	ed of.	251/L	1 # 8	للميع	no disposce	l of.
Name of the Agency.	Total receipts of the Car by way of fees charged suits, appeals, &c.	Total number.	Total receipts by way of tution fees, if any, churs such appeals.	Decision confirmed.	Reversed.	Varied.	Total number.	Total receipts by way of instantion fees, if any, charged such appeals.	Sentence confirmed,	Reversed.	Reduced or otherwise modified.
Control of the control of	9	3	4	0	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

His Excellency the President in reply said—The information asked for by the honourable member will be laid on the Table.

The Honourable Mr. SETALVAD then put question No. 9-

Will Government be pleased to give information in the following form regarding primary schools in the various Districts of the Presidency:—

umber. umber of those in which the policy umber of those in which the policy and teachers has been carried out. It to which salaries have been rais ored in column 2. umber. umber. umber. umber. umber. umber. umber. umber. of those drawing pensionable. of those drawing pensionable. umber. umber. umber. s monthly salary. umber. umber.		Head Masters. Head Masters Head Masters				Assistants.				y laid down Department, e salaries of			Head Masters.			Assistants.							
mber. Mer of those in which the public of the beat the following of the June 1884, of resistant of the formation, Legisland of the June 1884, of resistant in column 2. Met. Monthly salary. Monthly salary. Monthly salary. of those drawing pensiona of those in which the service of those in column 15. Monthly salary. Monthly salary. monthly salary. monthly salary. monthly salary.		y laid d Depar	ised in	Ira	ined.			Trai	ned.	U	itrai	ned.	cy laid Depar he salar	The second second	Tra	ined.			Tra	ned.	Un	train	ed
	Total number.	number of those in which perument Resolution, Le 130 of 5th June 1894, of ined teachers has been carrined	to which salaries have been sed in column 2.		monthly	Total number.		Total number.	monthly	Total number.	monthly	of those drawing	number of those in which the Government Resolution, Legisla 130 of 5th June 1894 of raisi ned teachers has been carried or	to which salaries have been red in column 15.	Total number.		Total number.		Total number.	monthly	Total number.	monthly	Aumber of those drawing pensionable

His Excellency the President in reply said—The information has been called for. The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 10—

- (a) What were the reasons that led the Government of Bombay to limit the maximum Government grant obtainable by Municipal, Local Board and private schools to one-third of the total expenditure, when the Government of India had approved (vide paragraph 31, Government of India Resolution No. 10—309 of 23rd October 1884) the recommendation of the Education Commission to the effect that the maximum amount of State aid be fixed at one-half of the entire expenditure of an institution, and that, as a general rule, this maximum be given to primary schools?
- (b) What was the total educational expenditure of each District Local Board during the year 1894-95 and the amount of the Government grant received by it?

(c) In cases where such amount falls short of even the promised (vide Government Resolutions No. 1204 of 1884 and No. 1938 of 1885) one-third of the total expenditure, when do Government propose to make up the deficiency?

His Excellency the President in reply said—For the sake of convenience I have

divided the honourable member's question into three parts (a), (b) and (c):-

(a). The reason was that financial circumstances did not permit of the limit being raised.

(b) & (c). I refer the honourable member to Appendix E to the Director of Public Instruction's Annual Report for 1894-95, and to the supplementary statement and extract from the Director of Public Instruction's letter No. 6259, dated the 8th January 1896, which have been laid on the Council Table. It will be seen therefrom that the Government grant exceeds the one-third limit by Rs. 12,569.

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 11-

What are the reasons that still induce Government to decline to issue licenses for the sale of raw toddy in Khándesh (vide answer to my question No. 7 at the Council Meeting on 27th September)? Does the chief reason that first led Government in 1888 to decline to license toddy shops, viz., that they "greatly interfered with the successful introduction of the Central Distillery system" (vide answer to my question No. 10 put at the Meeting of 4th February 1895) still exist?

The Honourable Mr. Nugent in reply said—The reason mentioned by the honourable member still exists in the sense that in a large part of the district it is very difficult to prevent illicit distillation. The honourable member is also referred to the latter part of the reply given to his question No. 10 (a) on 4th February last. It has not yet been proved that there exists in Khándesh a genuine demand for raw toddy.

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 12-

What orders have Government passed on the report submitted by the Abkári Commissioner about the complaints made by the Collector of Khándesh regarding mismanagement by the Khándesh Abkári farmer and on the subject of kasar and selling short measure (vide answer to my question No. 1 put at the Meeting of 7th August 1895)? In what manner did the A'bkári Commissioner dispose of the complaints made against the agents of the farmer in the Surat District?

The Honourable Mr. Nugent in reply said—Government do not consider that it would be in the public interest to publish the orders passed regarding these matters, but I may inform the honourable member that the complaints have been very carefully enquired into, and steps taken which, it is hoped, will prevent future irregularities.

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 13-

Will Government be pleased to lay on the Council Table the notice that was served on the people of Gorgaon in connection with the closing of the school at that place and the information about the attendance in the schools at Jujva and Binváda if they are now received (vide answer to my question No. 7 put at the Meeting of 7th August 1895)?

His Excellency the President in reply said—The information as to the notice has

been called for.

The attendance of children in the two schools is as under:-

				N	Rolls.	Average daily Attendance.
Jujva			arthur. of so	•••	25	11
Binváda	F-111	•••	land or tools	n have	36	24

The Honourable Mr. Setalvad then put question No. 14:-

What is the result of the enquiry made by Government on the matter of the neglect of the provisions of the Bombay Jail Manual requiring the Medical Officer of the Jail to visit every sick person every morning, that came to the notice of Government from the report of the inquest over the deaths of Hariram Lalji and Jaychand Hari in the Byculla Jail Hospital (vide answer to my question No. 5 put at the Meeting of 7th August 1895)?

The Honourable Mr. Birdwood in reply said—The Inspector-General of Prisons has issued the necessary orders to ensure the provisions of the Bombay Jail Manual being strictly observed by the Medical Officer of the Jail in future.

The Honourable Mr. P. M. Mehta (in the absence of the Honourable Mr. Daji Abaji Khare) then put question No. 1, standing in the Honourable Mr. Khare's name—

- (a) Is it in contemplation of Government to open an overseer class at the Poona College of Science, admission to which will require qualifications lower than those required for the admission to the regular Engineering course?
- (b) Was not an overseer class formerly in existence, and was it not abolished on a strong recommendation of Dr. T. Cooke, the late Principal of the College?
- (c) Was it recommended to Government about three years ago that such a class should be opened, and was not that proposal abandoned on the strength of the opinion of Dr. T. Cooke?
- (d) Will Government be pleased to state the reason why the matter has been re-opened soon after the retirement of Dr. T. Cooke?
- (e) Have any reasons now arisen which were not before Government during the time Dr. T. Cooke was in India; if so, what are they?
- (f) Is it intended on the opening of this class to subtract in any way from the privileges at present enjoyed by the L.C.E. Graduates by way of guarantee or otherwise?
- (g) Is it intended to set apart any definite proportion of the number of the admissions to that class to any particular race or caste; if so, what is the proportion, and which is that race or caste, and why is such a restriction considered necessary?

His Excellency the President in reply said -

- (a) A proposal has been made to Government for re-establishing the overseer's class at the Poona College of Science; the proposal is still under consideration, and if it is approved, it will then be determined what qualifications will be required.
- (b) There was formerly an overseer's class which was abolished on the suggestion of Dr. T. Cooke in 1878.
- (c) It does not appear that the re-opening of the overseer's class was recommended about three years ago.
- (d) The question has been re-opened on a suggestion that an overseer's class will be useful in training military subordinates.
 - (e) The reasons on which the suggestion is based are, therefore, new.
- (f) and (g) The suggestion to re-establish the overseer's class is at present too indefinite to enable an answer to be given to parts (f) and (g) of the honourable member's question.

The Honourable Mr. Mehta (for the Honourable Mr. Khare) then put question No. 2—

Has the final form of the rules referred to in answer to my question No. 4 (c) given at the Meeting held on the 27th September last been now settled; and will an apportunity be given to the public to state their objections, if any, to those rules before they are finally adopted?

The Honourable Mr. NUGENT in reply said-

The form of the rules referred to by the honourable member has not yet been finally settled. The draft rules were published and full opportunity given to all concerned to submit any objections or suggestions regarding the matters dealt with. Some voluminous representations were in fact received, and careful consideration was given to them when the draft rules were under revision. Government, under these circumstances, do not consider that any further opportunity of offering objections is needed.

The Honourable Mr. Mehta (for the Honourable Mr. Khare) then put question No. 3—

- (a) Have Government come to any decision as to how they are going to deal with the admitted grievances of the Khots of the Devgad Táluka in the Ratnágiri District arising from the combined operation of the revised revenue survey settlement, and the settlement of rents by the Khoti Settlement Officer?
 - (b) If so, what is the decision?

The Honourable Mr. NUGENT in reply said-

The question with many other suggestions for amending the existing Khoti Act is still under consideration.

His Excellency the President said:—The Honourable Mir Allahbakshkhan having been prevented from being present at the meeting owing to indisposition, the questions standing in his name have been withdrawn.

The Honourable Mr. Bál Gangádhar Tilak then put question No. 1, standing in his name—

With reference to the Provincial Service Rules recently published, will Government be pleased to state:—

- (a) What principle will regulate the selection among candidates after examination for recruiting the executive branch of the Provincial Service?
- (b) In what proportion the vacancies will be allotted to be filled by each of the three methods of recruitment in Rule III?
- (c) What is the number of candidates already entered as eligible for Deputy Collectorship in three Divisions of the Presidency; and whether any provision is made to meet their expectations and claims for Deputy Collectorships, besides promotion of selected officers under clause (c) of Rule III?
- (d) Whether the additional appointments of Deputy Collectors now created will be filled by the methods mentioned in Rule III; or at once according to the old method?

The Honourable Mr. NUGENT in reply said-

- (a) In making their selection from candidates after examination Government will be guided by considerations of general policy and a desire to secure the most efficient administration.
- (b) It is impossible to specify proportions, as circumstances from time to time may vary considerably.
- (c) No useful object would be served by stating the number of persons who have applied or been recommended for the post of Deputy Collector. For an answer to the rest of the honourable member's question I would refer him to the published rules for admission to the Bombay Provincial Civil Service.
- (d) It is still a matter under consideration whether the additional appointments of Deputy Collectors are yet available—a matter that requires to be determined with reference to the position of the statutory civilians.

The Honourable Mr. TILAK then put question No. 2-

Has the attention of Government been drawn to the decision of the Assistant Judge of Sátára in the civil suit instituted by Mr. Deshpánde against the Police Inspector of Wái, awarding damages to the said Deshpánde for being prosecuted by the Police Inspector without reasonable or probable cause? Does Government mean to take any notice of the conduct of the police officer concerned?

The Honourable Mr. BIRDWOOD in reply said-

The matter has not as yet been brought to the notice of Government. It will now receive attention, but the possibility of an appeal must be taken into account.

The Honourable Mr. TILAK then put question No. 3—

Will Government be pleased to introduce into the mofussil, at least as an experiment, in a few tálukas, the A'bkári system obtaining in the City of Bombay, as being more in accordance with the declared policy of Government in this behalf?

The Honourable Mr. NUGENT in reply said-

Government as at present advised consider that very serious difficulties stand in the way of the adoption of any such experimental measure as that suggested by the honourable member.

PAPERS PRESENTED TO THE COUNCIL.

- 1. Statement* referred to in the reply to question No. 1 put by the Honourable Mr.

 Bal Gangadhar Tilak at the Meeting of the Council of the Governor of Bombay for making Laws and Regulations held on the 7th August 1895.
- 2. Statements† referred to in the reply to question No. 5 put by the Honourable Mr.

 Javerilal Umiashankar Yajnik at the Meeting of the Council

 of the Governor of Bombay for making Laws and Regulations
 held on the 27th September 1895.
- 3. Reply‡ to question No. 2 put by the Honourable Meherban Chintamanrao Raghunth alias Bala Saheb Patwardhan at the Meeting of the Council of the Governor of Bombay for making Laws and Regulations held on the 27th September 1895.
- 4. Information referred to in paragraph 2 of the reply to question No. 2 put by the Honourable Mr. Bal Gangadhar Tilak at the Meeting of the Council of the Governor of Bombay for making Laws and Regulations held on the 27th September 1895.
 - ||Vide Appendix E.
- 5. Information referred to in the reply to question No. 4 put by the Honourable Mr. Chimanlal Harilal Setalvad.
- ¶Vide Appendix F. 6. Papers¶ referred to in the reply to question No. 10 (b) and (c) put by the Honourable Mr. Chimanlal Harilal Setalvad.
- 7. Telegram, dated the 13th January 1896, from the Chairman, Managing Committee, Karáchi Municipality.

BILL No. III OF 1895.

A BILL TO AMEND THE KARA'CHI PORT TRUST ACT, 1883.

In moving the first reading of the Bill to amend the Karachi Port Trust Act, 1886,

The Honourable Mr. Nugent moves the first reading of the Bill to amend the Karáchi Port Trust Act, 1886. the Honourable Mr. Nugent said:—Your Excellency,—I beg to move the first reading of Bill No. III of 1895, a Bill to amend the Karáchi Port Trust Act, 1886. The measure proposed is very brief, and I think it hardly contains any amendments which the honourable members

will not approve. The Bill as it now stands has been drawn up after much and careful consideration on the part both of Government and of the Port Trustees. The Statement of Objects and Reasons, which has been placed on the Table, is full and precise, and I think renders any detailed explanation from me unnecessary. There are however certain sections of the Bill which are more important than the others, viz. sections 2, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14 and 16. The others repeal unimportant matter in the existing Act or effect only verbal alterations consequent on the changes in the present law, which the proposed legislation renders necessary.

Dealing with the abovementioned sections scriatim I would observe as regards section 2 that under the existing law there are nine members of the Karachi Port Trust Board, of whom two are elected by the Karachi Chamber of Commerce and two by the Karachi Municipal Commissioners. The Bill proposes to take away from the Karachi Municipality the privilege of electing the two members. I may point out that neither the Bombay Municipality, the first Municipality in India, nor any other Municipality in India, as far as I am aware, enjoys this privilege. The privilege was granted to the Karachi Municipality as an experimental measure to a certain extent, and it would seem from the reports and opinions received that the experiment has not proved altogether successful. The members elected by the Municipality have been in some instances gentlemen altogether unconnected with commerce and not possessing the necessary qualifications, either by business training or from commercial knowledge to deal satisfactorily with the Port Trust business. The alteration now proposed is intended to secure greater efficiency and has been strongly advocated by the Trustees and the Commissioner in Sind. The Bill was published in the Bombay Government Gazette on the 24th December

1895, and it was only the day before yesterday, i. e. on the 13th instant, that Government received a telegram from the Chairman, Managing Committee, Karáchi Municipality, praying for a postponement of the introduction and discussion of the Bill pending submission of their representation. It however does not appear that this telegram has the support of the President of the Karáchi Municipality. However, if the Municipality wishes to represent its views on the subject it can do so, even after the Bill is introduced, as the Bill will be referred to a Select Committee and perhaps a month may elapse before the Bill can be taken up again.

The object of section 8 is to declare explicitly the right of Government to make reclamations on the foreshore in front of the land belonging to it, and it seems essential that this right should be retained and rendered free from doubts. It is contemplated to introduce a similar clause in the Bombay Port Trust Act when that Act is amended.

As regards section 9 I may state that clause 3 of section 29 of the present Act authorizes the Port Trustees to construct and maintain light-houses within a distance of 3 miles from the Port limits. It has been found that a light-house is now urgently needed for the convenience and safety of vessels approaching Karáchi, and it is proposed to build a light-house on Cape Monze, and the Port Trust Board have expressed their willingness to contribute towards the expenses of constructing this light-house, but as the Cape is 17 miles from Karáchi, the Board is practically precluded from contributing the whole or a portion of the cost of the erection of this building. Further experience also might show the advisability of erecting other light-houses at a greater distance than 3 miles from the Port limits, towards the erection of which the Port Trust might be reasonably expected to contribute. In order to obviate such difficulties and enable the Port Trust to expend money on such necessary works, section 9 has been inserted in this Bill.

Concerning section 10, (a), (b), (c) and (d) I may mention that the amendments of section 35 of the Act have been suggested by the Port Trustees themselves. They have urged the desirability of empowering an officer appointed by them to act authoritatively on their behalf in cases of emergency such as those referred to in section 35 of the Act. The officer so appointed could at once give the necessary orders and thus a reference to the Board, before action could be taken in the matter, can be avoided. This necessitates the amendments (a) and (b). The amendment (c) has been suggested as it has been found that the present penalty of Rs. 100 for disobedience of an order issued under section 35 of the Act is inadequate, and it has been deemed expedient to increase this amount to an amount likely to prove deterrent, viz. Rs. 500. Under the present Act, the time fixed for removal of any vessel from the time the Board's notice is served is 36 hours. It is thought 12 hours after receipt of notice would be ample time to allow of the removal of a vessel. It is very inconvenient for other vessels lying outside to be waiting for 36 hours for a berth. Hence the proposed amendment (d).

Section 12 amends section 44 of the Act. The powers under this section are now exercised by Government only. Circumstances might arise which might render it advisable for the Trustees to permit a special reduction in the rates leviable. In such cases immediate orders are necessary, and valuable time would be lost in obtaining the sanction of Government. The Trustees have therefore recommended that the power to sanction such reduction should be vested in the Commissioner in Sind, and this modification of the existing law appears free from objection.

The reasons for the amendment contained in section 13 are furnished fully in the Statement of Objects and Reasons laid on the Table, and I need not recapitulate them.

The amendment entered in section 14 foreshadows one which it is intended to introduce in the Bombay Port Trust Bill. The Karáchi Port Trust pays a moiety of the charges connected with the Police establishment of the Karáchi Harbour. The proposed clause is more precise and comprehensive than the present clause.

The propriety of the amendment embodied in section 16 is clear. Although a pilot, or an officer from the Harbour Master's or Port Officer's Department, may be on board, and the vessel is in the charge of such pilot or officer, cases might occur in which damage might be caused by the vessel to the Port Trust property through the negligence, unskilful navigation, or disobedience of orders on the part of the officers or crew of the vessel, and in such cases there is no reason why the provisions of section 76 of the Act should not apply, and the amount of damage if not in excess of Rs. 200 recovered, failing other means, by the Magistrate under a distress warrant.

With these remarks, I beg to move that the Karáchi Port Trust Bill be read a first time.

The Honourable Mr. T. R. McLellan said:—The Honourable Mr. Nugent has, I think, made a slight mistake in stating that the telegram sent by the Chairman of the Karáchi Municipality has not the assent of the President and, therefore, cannot carry that weight which it otherwise would have done. The President is just now away from Karáchi, in the Persian Gulf, otherwise I think he would have sent the telegram. I myself concur in the amendments proposed, and I know that they are fully approved of by the Chamber of Commerce and the Port Trustees.

The Honourable Mr. Bal Gangadhar Tilak said: - Your Excellency, - I wish to make a few remarks as regards sections 2 and 3 of the Bill. It seems to me that the reasons given for taking away from the Karáchi Municipality the power of electing two members as Trustees are not quite sufficient. The arguments now put forward may perhaps be good reasons for not conferring such powers on a Municipality, but not for depriving it of a power already conferred on it by legislation. It is true that the Bombay Municipality does not possess this privilege, but that may be because the Karáchi and Bombay Port Trust Acts have not been drafted on exactly the same lines. Again it may as well be argued that if the Bombay Municipality does not possess a similar right, the same should be conferred upon it rather than disenfranchise the Karáchi Municipality. As this is the first reading of the Bill, I do not mean to move any amendment just now; but I hope the Select Committee will give its careful consideration to this point.

The Honourable Mr. NUGENT said :- Perhaps the Honourable Mr. McLellan is not aware that the telegram is sent in the name of the Chairman of the Managing Committee, without anything to indicate that the President endorses the views of the Chairman. As regards the observations made by the Honourable Mr. Tilak I can only say that the experiment of allowing the Karáchi Municipality to elect Trustees has been reported to have proved unsuccessful and it therefore becomes now the duty of Government to take some action in the matter. The Karáchi Trust Board and the Commissioner in Sind are both of opinion that the measure has proved a failure. The Commissioner in Sind has reported as follows:—"The Municipality of Karachi has not been always very happy in its choice of members and if only the efficiency of the Port Trust is to be looked to, I consider that it would be better to allow the Commissioner or Government to select two members out of the Municipality. The Port Trust needs to be a working and thoroughly efficient body. But the concession having been made by the Legislature, it is for Your Excellency's Government to say, whether the law should be assimilated to that in Bombay, where the Municipality has no power of selecting Trustees." Government have after mature and careful deliberation arrived at the conclusion to accede to the wishes of the Port Trustees, the Chamber of Commerce, and the Commissioner in Sind, with regard to this matter.

Bill read a first time.

His Excellency the PRESIDENT then put the motion that the Bill be read a first time. The motion was carried nem. con.

Bill referred to a Select Committee.

The Honourable Mr. Nugent then proposed that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the Honourable the Advocate General, the Honourable Messrs. W. R. Macdonell, W. W. Loch, T. R. McLellan, Mir Allahbakshkhan and the Mover. This was agreed to.

RULES FOR THE CONDUCT OF BUSINESS AT MEETINGS OF THE COUNCIL.

The Honourable Mr. BIRDWOOD then presented to the Council the report of the Committee appointed to revise the Council Rules and the Rules as revised by the Committee.

The Council then adjourned sine die.

By order of His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor in Council,

M. H. W. HAYWARD,

Secretary to the Council of the Governor of Bombay

for making Laws and Regulations.

Bombay, 15th January 1896.

APPENDICES

TO THE

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COUNCIL OF THE GOVERNOR OF BOM-BAY, ASSEMBLED FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING LAWS AND REGULATIONS, ON THE 15TH JANUARY 1896.

APPENDIX A.

Statement showing the number of Licenses granted or renewed each year under the Arms Act and their distribution in the different Talukas of the Districts of Belgaum and Dharwar for the years

No.	Name of Táluka.		of Licenses g		No.	Name of Táluka.	Number of rene	of Licenses gowed each y	granted or
	.Latendar, Sig	1892.	1893.	1894.	- + -		1892.	1893.	1891.
1	Belgaum		119	74	1	Dhárwár		163	141
3 4 5	Chikodi Athni Gokák Parasgad	35 45 45	101 25 28 39	97 28 20 31	2 3 4 5 6	Hubli	. 44 76 67	61 34 70 83	61 37 92 56
6 7	Sampgaon Khánápur	00	23 48	20 27	7 8 9	Ránebennur Hángal Karajgi Kalghatgi	. 78 78 63	60 89 72 86	70 87 81 72
					10	Kod Ron	00	40 32	37 33
	Total	485	383	297		Total	. 790	790	767

APPENDIX B.

Statement showing the Districts and Tálukas in the Bombay Presidency in which Survey operations have been nearly completed.

1	District.		Táluka.		Remarks.
W.	1		2	52.86	3
Surat		Bárdoli Olpád Chikhli Jalálpur Mándvi Párdi			Reclassification operations completed. Do. in progress. Remeasurement operations in progress.
Thána		Bassein Máhim Váda Sháhápur		 	Reclassification operations completed. Remeasurement and reclassification operations in progress.

District.	Táluka.		REMARKS.
1	2	ens (12)	3
GELEVIE OF	REUSE OF MAKE	THAT I	FAIT, ASSEMBLED FOR
Kolába	Roha Mángaon Mahád	и пача помача	Remeasurement and reclassification operations in progress.
Alexander and Alexander	Peint	The State of	Reclassification operations completed.
Násik	Málegaon Báglán Kalvan		Remeasurement and reclassification operations in progress.
Sátára	Válva		Settlement proposals submitted.
Khándesh	Nandurbár Taloda Dhulia Chálisgaon Shirpur Sháháda	#2 00 mg 0	Remeasurement and reclassification operations completed.

Statement showing the Districts and Talukas in the Bombay Presidency in which Revision Survey operations have not been commenced.

District.		Táluk	a	oli T. Mari Sepala per	Remarks.				
1	au ·	2				3	at held		
			ke ke			•			
	Broach		•••						
destrigues assis	Anklesvar			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Broach	Vágra	•••	•••		***	Maria In	Back		
vike my at reside	Amod	······································							
	Jambusar		***	•••	-78				
Panch Maháls {	Kálol	HANNE!	E	***	514)				
Wild led	Godhra	•••							
Khándesh	Pimpalner		· · ·	****					

APPENDIX C.

- QUESTION No. 2 PUT BY THE HONOURABLE MEHERBA'N CHINTAMANRA'O RAGHUNA'TH ALIAS BA'LA SA'HEB PATWARDHAN ON THE 27TH SEPTEMBER 1895.*
 - Is Government aware that the passengers booked from stations on the Southern Marátha Country Railway south of Koregaon to stations north of the same place by the afternoon train that leaves Miraj by 2 P.M. are sometimes obliged to remain locked up in the third class compartments for more than five hours?
 - Will Government be pleased to take such steps as may effectually serve to remove this and similar inconveniences to the passengers on the Southern Marátha Railway Line?

- ANSWER TO QUESTION No. 2 PUT BY THE HONOURABLE MEHERBAN CHIN-TAMANRAO RAGHUNATH.
- Government have now received the report referred to in the reply to question No. 2 put by the Honourable Meherbán Chintámanráo Raghunáth alias Bála Sáheb Patwardhan at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on 27th September 1895.
- It is not the case that passengers booked from stations on the Southern Marátha Railway south of Koregaon to stations north of the same place by the train that leaves Miraj at 2 P.M. (No. 32 Down) are obliged to remain locked up in third class compartments for more than five hours.
- The Agent, Southern Marátha Railway Company, reports that such passengers have always been allowed to get out and to use the third class waiting shed until the train continues its journey, or until the departure of the down mail train, which leaves Koregaon two hours and thirty-two minutes after the arrival of No. 32 Down at that place.
- The Agent further reports that no complaint or reference on the subject has ever been made either to the Traffic Manager or to him.

APPENDIX D.

Statement showing Irrigation Revenue Receipts and Charges on the Krishna Canal.

	Year.			Area Irrigated.	Gross Revenue due to Works.	Charges, Direct and Indirect, to Revenue Account.	Interest Charges,	Charges, Direct and Indirect, including Interest.	Net Revenue due to Works.
				Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1869-70				918	724	9,682	27,650	37,332	-36,608
1870-71	•••			1,223	3,343	12,517	27,901	40,418	-37,075
1871-72				2,040	9,396	16,225	28,235	44,460	-35,064
1872-73				1,923	5,840	20,675	28,682	49,357	-43,517
1873-74				1,865	9,061	18,897	29,030	47,927	-38,866
1874-75				1,596	13,153	29,363	29.145	58,508	-45,355
1875-76				1,824	12,617	20,501	29,244	49,745	-37,128
1876-77				4,285	16,374	18,555	29,370	47,925	-31,551
1877-78				2,935	18,677	16,658	29,576	46,234	-27,557
1878-79:	*** *			2,633	16,105	24,294	29,803	54,097	-37,992
1879-80	***			2,755	27,207	14,295	29,882	44,177	-16,970
1880-81	•••	***		3,555	24,612	19,814	30,025	49,839	-25,227
1881-82	***			4,346	25,549	16,675	30,311	46,986	-21,437
1882-83	***		***	3,023	21,159	13,501	30,674	44,175	-23,016
1883-84	***	•••		3,331	31,348	17,237	31,002	48,239	-16,891
1884-85		***		3,275	25,437	14,243	31,265	45,508	-20,071
1885-86		•••		3,431	31,702	15,614	31,440	47,054	-15,352
1886-87	•••			3,125	24,997	16,032	31,497	47,529	-22,532
1887-88	***	***		2,562	23,609	25,832	31,561	57,393	-33,784
1888-89				3,375	31,148	17,554	31,607	49,161	-18,013
1889-90		***		3,733	29,615	17,227	31,681	48,908	-19,293
1890-91				3,950	30,773	13,287	31,795	45,082	-14,309
1891-92		•••		5,374	31,884	11,101	31,959	43,060	-11,176
1892-93				3,796	57,351	15,706	32,303	48,009	+ 9,342
1893-94	3	•••		4,742	36,837	13,004	32,627	45,631	- 8,794
1894-95				4,671	56,049	14,266	32,737	47,003	+ 9,046

The following ad interim answer was given by the Honourable Mr. Nugent at the Council Meeting held on the 27th September 1895:—

[&]quot;Government are not aware whether it is, as alleged, the case that passengers by the train in question are obliged to remain locked up in third class compartments for more than five hours at Koregaon Station. A report on the subject has been called for, and the result of the inquiry will be communicated at a later date."

1900年1月1日 1900年1900日	1 5 5 5 5 E	0 4 7 4 7	15 150	-	1	-	4		1 3000		-	
学行行行2分子行行	2	3	4	5								
LEESENDRENSEES	10120872		Date of investing with appellate powers.	Original suits tried before investiture with appellate powers.								
Name.	Length of service in the executive line	Date of appointment		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	THE SERVICE SE
	at the date of appointment as Assistant Judgo.	as Assistant Judge.		Disposed of with- out trial.	Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Referred to arbi- tration.	Docreed ex-parte	Dismissed ex-	With contest (contested).	Total,	ATTO POT STA
LA CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF T	Y. m. d.	F 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Hall	No. of the last	THE PARTY					The state of		TO THE PARTY OF TH
Mr. Sayad Nur-nd-Din Khan	6 10 15 Excluding his service of seven years previous to appointment under N. C. S. Rules.		9th August 1893.	4*	11				to another than	37	52	* These include two suits withdrawn.
Mr. H. L. Hervey	6 3 8	4th July 1893	19th January 1894.	1	4	9	1	6	the state of	10	31	THE STATE OF
Mr. J. W. A. Weir	9 1 21	3rd January 1894.	18th April 1894 .	3	11	3		25	A CONTRACTOR	43	85	TOTAL
Mr. M. H. W. Hayward	5 0 16	5th December 1894.	5th December 1894.				Ni	il,	The same of the sa			

APPENDIX F.

Statement to accompany the Director of Public Instruction's No. 6259 of the 8th January 1896.

				41					HILL BOOK	
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Collectorate.				Expenditure on District Board and Jovernment Institutions for Primary Education.	on aided institutions for Primary Education.	Present Govern- ment Grant without Special Grants to certain schools and without Excise Compensa- tion Grant.	Government Grant at one-third of the figures given in column 1.	Govern- ment Grant equal to figures in column 2.	Total Government Grant in columns 4 and 5.	Loss or gain of each Zilla if the grant of column 6 is substituted for present grant of column 3.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Poona Sátára Sholápur Ahmednagar Násik Khándesh				67,851 81,683 41,136 70,560 55,647 1,17,544		25,818 30,729 20,929 30,529 26,615 31,867		817 269 1,179 3,842 695 363	23,434 27,497 14,891 27,362 19,244 39,544	-2,384 $-3,232$ $-6,038$ $-3,167$ $-7,371$ $+7,677$
		Total		4,34,421	7,165	1,66,487	1,44,807	7,165	1,51,972	-14,515
Ahmedabad Kaira Broach Surat Panch Mahái Thána	 !s			5,617 79,936 73,72 88,88 19,916 42,63	743 4 301 5	24,96° 1 13,37° 28,90° 18,089	7 26,643 7 24,575 0 29,628 9 6,637	741 301 	27,384 24,876 29,628 6,637	+2,417 +11,499 +728 -11,452
		Total	•••	3,70,69	2,255	1,31,48	1,23,566	2,252	1,25,818	-5,669
Belgaum Dhárwár Bijápur Kánara Ratnágiri Kolába				92,30 1,10,423 66,85 34,35 56,11 36,64	5 2,335 1 1,275 3 67 6 1,000	33,100 26,100 16,900 29,84	36,809 0 22,284 0 11,453 1 18,703	2,335 1,273 1 67 1,000	39,144 3 23,557 7 11,518 19,708	+6,044 $-2,543$ $-5,382$ $-10,136$
lis.	201	Total	••	3,96,69	6,39	3 1,53,53	4 1,32,230	6,393	1,38,62	3 —14,911
Karáchi Hyderabad Thar and Pa Shikárpur Upper Sind		 tier		12,05	7 8,50 9 21 9 10,08 8 1,18	4 24,98 4 7,40 6 15,13 4 8,15	7 25,429 7 4,020 0 23,110 6 4,936	8,504 214 3 10,086 3 1,184	33,933 4,233 33,203 6,120	+8,946 -3,173 +18,072 -2,036
And it is the	GRAN	D TOTAL	-	14,07,12	-	-	<u> </u>			
-	-		-	-	1	1			1	

K. M. CHATFIELD, Director of Public Instruction. Extract from the Report of the Director of Public Instruction, No. 6259, dated the 8th January 1896.

The total of the column in Appendix E of the Report for 1834-95, headed "Expenditure on District Board and Government Institutions," is Rs. 16,30,141. From this total we must deduct the cost of schools specially aided by Government. These schools are:

		Total Cost.	Government Grant includ- ed in total Cost.
Ratnágiri School of Industry Hyderahad Training College for Mistresses Mirs' Schools	••	Rs. 19,455 6,588 4,200	Rs. 6,500 2,312 4,200
		30,213	13,012

We have also to deduct the cost of Anglo-Vernacular schools maintained by Local Boards, the total cost of which is as under :-

						Ivs.
Khándesh	***			70		252
Thána	•••	***	•••	***		606
Belgaum	***	***	***	•••	•••	557
Dharwar	***	***	•••	•••	•••	204
Kánara			•••	•••		
11 Just abau						
					Tota	al 3,401
Bijápur Kanara Hyderabad						1,074

With these deductions, amounting to Rs. 33,644 in all, the expenditure on Government and Local

*It is, of course, a mere accident which zilla built school-houses in any particular year, so that I have distributed the Divi-sional expenditure on buildings as fairly as possible among the zillas of the Divi-sion.

†Less also Rs. 5,000, the special grant to Khan Bahadur Kadirdad Khan's In-dustrial Schools which is mentioned below in paragraph 5.

Board institutions for primary education (including scholarships ordered by Government) on industrial schools teaching primary standards, and on the training of teachers for primary schools, is reduced from Rs. 16,30,141 to Rs. 15,96,497. We next have to deduct the expenditure on *buildings for primary schools, which came to Rs. 1,59,308. This reduces the figure of Rs. 15,96,497 to Rs. 14,07,129, and this figure I have entered in column 1 of the statement appended. The Government grant at one-third of this sum will be Rs. 4,69,043; and this I have entered in column 4 with the present Government grant of Rs. 5,38,661 (less the †special payments mentioned in paragraph 4) in column 3.

5. The column in Appendix E for "expenditure on aided institutions" has a total of Rs. 71.537 This total includes the following grants: -

What we'll so is a so		Karnehl.	Hyderabad,	Thar and Parkar.	Shikarpur.	Upper Sind Frontier.
		Rs.	Rs.	. Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Sind College Madrasa Medical and Midwifery School		2,000	2,000 2,000 1,500	200 400 500	2,000 2,000 500	250 250 400
	L	5,500	5,500	1,100	4,500	900

We also have to deduct the Government grant of Rs. 5,000 which is paid through the Local Board to Khán Bahádur Kádirdád Khán's Industrial Schools. There is also a grant of Rs. 10,000 to the Gujarát College buildings from the Ahmedabad Zilla. The total of these grants (Rs. 32,500) deducted from the total of the column brings the figure down from Rs. 71,537 to Rs. 39,037. This sum is entered in column 2, and as the whole of this sum would have been paid by Government if the Local Boards were treated like Municipalities, I have entered this sum again as a Government grant in column 5.