

# Government Gazette. Bomban

Aublished by Authority.

THURSDAY, 12TH SEPTEMBER 1872.

Separate paging is given to this Part, in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

# PART V.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE COUNCIL OF THE COVERNOR CENERAL OF INDIA.

Abstract of the Proceedings of the Council of the Governor General of India, assembled for the purpose of making Laws and Regulations under the Provisions of the Act of Parliament 24 and 25 Vic., Cap. 67.

The Council met at Simla, on Thursday, the 29th August 1872.

## Present:

His Excellency the VICEROY AND GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA, G.M.S.I., presiding.

His Honour the LIEUTENANT-GOVERNOR OF THE PANJA'B.

His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, G.C.B., G.C.S.I.

The Honourable Sir Richard Temple, K.C.S.I.
Major General the Honourable H. W. Norman, C.B.

The Honourable ARTHUR HOBHOUSE, Q.C.

The Honourable E. C. BAYLEY, C.S.I. The Honourable R. E. EGERTON.

### ACT V. OF 1872 AMENDMENT BILL.

The Honourable Mr. Hobhouse presented the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend Act No. V. of 1872 (to remove doubts as to the Jurisdiction of the High Court of Bombay over the Province of Sindh).

#### INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT (1872) AMENDMENT BILL.

The Honourable Mr. Hobhouse also presented the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Indian Evidence Act, 1872. He said that in considering the Bill the Committee had proceeded on the principle that under the circumstances it was no part of their duty to alter any part of the Act on the score of principle, but only to effect such alterations as they believed the draftsman would have made if his attention had been called to them.

The principal reason for passing the present Bill into law before the 1st September, was this:-Act I. of 1872 repealed in toto a prior Act, XV. of 1852; and one of the sections of that Act was as follows:

"XII.—All Her Majesty's Courts within the British territories under the Government of the East Indian Company, and every Judge and Justice of such Courts, and every Officer, Commissioner, Arbitrator or other person now or hereafter having, by law or by consent of parties, authority to hear, receive, and examine evidence, with respect to or concerning any suit, action or other proceeding in any of such Courts, is hereby empowered to administer an oath to all such witnesses as any levelled before them reprocedingly." witnesses as are legally called before them respectively.'

 $v_{2}$ —141

Now that was a positive enactment, in the clearest possible terms, purporting to confer upon certain tribunals and officers power to administer oaths. Prima facie if that power were removed from the Statute Book, and nothing put in its place, it would cease to exist. The question then was, whether the power could be derived from any other quarter. For the purpose of determining this question, it had been necessary to read five Acts of Parliament and ten Charters, and to read some of these documents very carefully, since they were framed on the most perplexing of all principles, the principle of declaring void all previous inconsistent provisions. So that you had to read through the whole document to see what was and what was not inconsistent. The result was, as well as he (Mr. Hobhouse) could make out, that the power of administering an oath would remain with the High Courts, but would not remain with the Commissioners and Arbitrators therein mentioned. It was therefore important to leave upon the Statute Book as clear and extensive an authority as that which was taken out of it, and the simplest way of doing that in the present emergency was by continuing the existence of that section. When the time came for dealing with that matter finally, the proper place for it would be found in an.Act relating to the subjects of oaths and affirmation rather than in one relating to the general subject of evidence.

Mr. Hobhouse thought it right to mention to the Council that he had received a telegram from Mr. H. S. Cunningham, desiring that the passing of the present Bill might be postponed until some further communication was received from him. Mr. Cunningham intimated that he did not think it necessary to continue the section just discussed, and that there were other defects in the Bill. Mr. Hobhouse thought it right that the Council should decide for themselves in this matter after hearing the reasons for passing the present Bill. Unquestionably the assistance of the gentleman who had had a great share in preparing the Act, would be most valuable in any amendment of it. He probably understood the Act far better that any of the Council, and was aware of many things to which attention had not been called. Mr. Hobhouse most sincerely regretted that in his judgment, pressure of time prevented their receiving Mr. Cunningham's assistance. He (Mr. Hobhouse) had previously shown the kind of embarrassment which might arise from the present condition of things. He would now try and explain the degree of it. Previously to this year, the incapacity to administer an oath would have vitiated many legal proceedings. But in the present year, an Act (No. VI. of 1872) was passed, which had two objects—one was to respect and bind the conscience of witnesses, and the other, to prevent the entire vitiation of legal proceedings by omissions and irregularities in the administration of oaths. The first object had nothing to do with the present question. An oath was an oath, whatever might be the form of it, and the person who administered it must be duly qualified to do so. The second object was important, because it diminished the mischief which might arise from the incapacity of the Judge to administer an oath. But it did not prevent the administration of an oath by such incapable person from being an irregularity. Nor was it easy to say how a Judge, upon being pressed with such irregularity, would deal with the case. Certainly, many a Com

For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Hobhouse could not help thinking that we should be running some appreciable risk of disturbance of judicial proceedings if we did not pass this Bill into law by the 1st September, on which day Act I. of 1872 was to come into force, whereas no possible injury would be done by continuing the section in question, the only suggestion against it being that it was useless.

With regard to the other amendments, he would not remark upon them in detail. They would all speak for themselves, and were intended to cover obvious defects and slips either of writing, or of printing, or of drafting. We had now received several criticisms on Act I. of 1872, and there was little doubt that, after it had been tested in actual practice, it would, like most laws of great magnitude and difficulty, and especially those passed on subjects new to legislation, require amendment in several particulars. Probably, in the course of a couple of years, it would be necessary to pass another amending Act, and the suggestions of Mr. Cunningham would be most valuable for that purpose. Mr. Hobbouse therefore thought proper that the better plan would be, not to have any further delay at present, but to keep a careful record of all suggestions sent in, and to use them when the time was ripe.

He also applied to His Excellency the President to suspend the rules for the Conduct of Business.

The President said that, in his opinion, Mr. Hobhouse had shown sufficient cause for suspending the Rules in the present case. His Excellency accordingly declared the Rules suspended.

The Honourable Mr. Hobhouse then moved that the Report be taken into consideration.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

The Honourable Mr. Hobhouse then moved that the Bill be passed.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

# DEFINITION OF COIN AMENDMENT BILL.

The Honourable Mr. Hobhouse moved that the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the definition of "Coin" contained in the Indian Penal Code, be taken into consideration. The Committee had made no change in the Bill, which was simply intended to correct a slip in the drafting of the Code, and thus to render penal the counterfeiting of the coin of Native States.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

The Honourable Mr. Hobhouse then moved that the Bill be passed.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

# BOMBAY REGULATION XIII. OF 1827, SECTION 34, CLAUSE 9, REPEALING BILL.

The Honourable Mr. Hobhouse introduced the Bill to repeal Bombay Regulation XIII. of 1827, section thirty-four, clause nine, and moved that it be referred to a Select Committee with instructions to report in a fortnight. He had already explained the object of this Bill.

### TRANSHIPMENT OF GOODS BILL.

The Honourable Sir RICHARD TEMPLE introduced the Bill to amend the Law relating to the Transhipment of Goods imported by Steamer, and moved that it be referred to a Select Committee with instructions to report in two months. He said under the provisions of Act XX. of 1867, the Chief Customs Officers in the ports of Calcutta, Madras or Bombay might, under certain circumstances, and subject to rules issued by the Local Government, grant leave to tranship goods imported by Steamer, without payment of duty and without the security required by section one hundred and ten of the Consolidated Customs Act.

It was desirable that the Government should have the power to authorize the same practice in Aden, Karáchi, the ports of Burma and elsewhere; and the present Bill accordingly repealed Act XX. of 1867, and re-enacted its provisions making them applicable to those ports, as well as to Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay, and such other ports as the Government of India might notify in the Gazette.

Power was also given to prohibit the transhipment of any specified class of goods; and the Bill contained a clause declaring that opium imported by sea might, if the Local Government thought fit, be re-exported on payment of a duty equivalent to the fee which would have been payable, if it had been transhipped.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

#### SEPOY LUNATICS BILL.

Major-General the Honourable H. W. NORMAN moved that the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to provide for the admission of Native Military Lunatics into Asylums, be taken into consideration.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

He also moved that in section two, line 7, for the word "wherein," the words "or force, in which" be substituted; and for the last word in the same section, that the word "serving" be substituted. This amendment was necessary, in order to make the Bill clearly apply to the Panjáb Frontier Force, the Haidarabad Contingent, and Divisions formed for field service.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

He also moved that in section four, line 5, after the word "district," the words "or force" be inserted. The Motion was put and agreed to.

#### PANJAB MUNICIPAL BILL.

The Honourable Mr. Egerton moved for leave to introduce a Bill to consolidate and amend the law for the appointment of Municipal Committees in the Panjáb.

He said that Act No. XV. of 1867, regulating the appointment of Municipal Committees in the Panjáb, and for empowering Municipal Committees, with the sanction of the Local Government, to raise funds for municipal purposes by local taxation, had been enacted for five years, which expired on the 1st of March 1872.

Its duration was then extended for one year by Act II. of 1872.

The object of continuing the Act of 1867 for one year, was to allow time for considering the subject more fully than was possible when the subject was under discussion in Calcutta, and also that His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor of the Panjáb might be present in Council when the Bill was brought forward. The opinion of the Panjáb Government in regard to the general working of the Act of 1867 was communicated to the Council before Act II. of 1872 was passed.

The law had worked well, and it was not proposed to alter it materially in any particular. Provision would be made for control of taxation by the Government of India, which Act XV. of 1867 does not contain, and certain alterations which five years experience had shown to be necessary would be proposed.

The rules under which the Act of 1867 had been worked would be printed as an annexure to the Bill, in order to show how the powers conferred upon the Local Government had been exercised.

The Motion was put and agreed to.

The following Select Committees were named :-

On the Bill to repeal Bombay Regulation XIII. of 1827, section thirty-four, clause nine: the Honourable Sir R. Temple, the Hon'ble Mr. Bayley, and the Mover.

On the Bill to amend the law relating to the transhipment of goods: the Honourable Messrs. Hob-HOUSE and BAYLEY, and the Mover.

The Council then adjourned till Thursday, 5th September 1872.

WHITLEY STOKES,

Secretary to the Government of India.

Simla, the 29th August 1872.

## THE



# sovernment Gazette. Bomban

Dublished by Authority.

THURSDAY, 19TH SEPTEMBER 1872.

🕼 Separate paging is given to this Part, in order that it may be filed as a separate Compilation.

# PART V.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT, BOMBAY.

The following Bill, together with the Statement of Objects and Reasons accompanying it, is published in accordance with Rule 15 of the Rules for the Conduct of Business at Meetings of His Excellency the Governor of Bombay for making Laws and Regulations :-

# Bill No. 5 of 1872.

## THE BOMBAY PORT TRUST ACT, 1872.

#### CONTENTS.

## Preamble.

#### Section.

- Commencement of Act. 1.
- Interpretation-clause.
- Power to nominate Trustees.
- Trustees to be a Corporation. Term of Office under the Act.
- Salaries and Fees of Chairman and Trustees.
- Appointment of Successors
- Property vested in the Trustees.
- The Duties of the Trustees. 9.
- Meetings of the Trustees. 10.
- Who shall preside at Meetings.
- Minutes of Proceedings of Trustees to be kept, 12. and to be open for inspection.
- Poll how to be taken. 13.
- Copy of Minutes to be preserved in Government 14. Secretariat, and any Returns called for by Government to be furnished.
- Trustees may appoint Committees. 15.
- Emergent Meetings may be called. 16.
- 17. Chairman may exercise certain powers of Trus-
- Proceedings not to be invalidated by a vacancy 18.
- or informality of appointment. Disqualification of Trustees. 19.
- Power to remove Chairman or Trustee. v.-142

#### Section.

- Acting Trustee may be appointed during ab-21. sence of Trustee.
- Leave of absence may be granted to Chairman. 22
- Establishment of Trustees to be sanctioned by 23. the Governor in Council.
- 24. Appointment of Establishment.
- 25. Trustees to grant leave of absence. 26. Allowances during absence on leave.
- 27. Penalty on Officers being interested in Contracts.
- 28. Penalty for accepting illegal gratification.
- General nature of Works under this Act. 29.
- Powers of Trustees as to property. 30.
- Procedure to be observed when the Trustees are unable to acquire, by agreement, any
- Land or Building.
  Trustees to provide Land required for public
- purposes. No Wharf, Quay, &c., to be made without con-sent of Governor in Council. 33.
- 34. Power to Contract.
- Works to be sanctioned by the Governor in 35. Council.
- Trustees may compound for breach of Contract. 36.
- Mode of executing Contracts.
- Trustees not authorised to demise, &c., without 38. sanction of the Governor in Council.