

## REPORT.

## OF THE

# **REFRACTORIES COMMITTEE**

VOLUME-I

DEPARTMENT OF STEEL

MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES

.

. .

<u>1971 :</u>



## REPORT.

## OF THE

## **REFRACTORIES COMMITTEE**

VOLUME-I

DEPARTMENT OF STEEL

MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES

• •

1971

## HARI BHUSHAN

Chairman, Refractories' Committee:

New Delhi Dated 9th December, 1971

Sir,

I have great pleasure in enclosing herewith Volume I of the Report of the Refractories' Committee.

2. Amongst the terms of reference, first consideration was given to an assessment of demand and availability and in this volume, this aspect has been covered in detail with recommendations for bridging the gap between demand and availability.

3. The various Sub-Committees set up for making assessment of availability of raw materials, possibilities of standardisation of refractory shapes and specifications and the manufacture of equipment required for the refractory industry are continuing their work. In consideration of the amount of data collection and analysis required, some more time would be required before their Reports would be available. It is anticipated that recommendations covering all these aspects would be submitted to the Government by March 1972.

4. It was found that there has been no effort made so far to have at one point of reference important data relating to demand and availability of refractories. It has meant that a mass of data had to be compiled, sifted and analysed. All possible information and data on demand and supply, category-wise, norms of consumption etc. have now been collected at one place for ready reference to the present Report. While projections of demand and availability have been made for a period of 15 years, data pertaining to the next 5 years has been based on better known factors and can be considered as more reliable. So far as projection for 10 years and particularly 15 years is concerned, it had to be obviously based on a large number of assumptions. In any case, even for shorter term projections, it would be necessary to have the figures in the Report up-dated on an annual basis. I would suggest that the Refractories Panel under the Ministry of Industrial Development where there is representation of Department of Steel, DGTD, the Refractory Manufacturers and the Steel Plants be the coordinating agency for carrying out this work. The most appropriate time for such stock taking could be around January/February every year. Since the Panel meetings are normally held once every 2 months, one or two meetings every year could be wholly devoted to the task of updating the Report so that the situation is under constant review.

5. It has been a great pleasure for me to be associated as Chairman of this Committee and I am indeed grateful to the Department of Steel for affording me this opportunity.

Yours faithfully, (HARI BHUSHAN) Senior Industrial Adviser

То

The Secretary, Department of Steel, NEW DELHI.

.

-

				<b>C</b> O	NTE	NTS								
		`				-								PAGES
1.	Historical Development of R	efract	огу Ir	ndustr	y in I	ndia	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
2.	Refractories Committee	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	36
3.	Refractories & their usage in	Steel	Plant	5	•	:	•	•	•	•		•	•	7—8
4,	Demand of Refractories		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9—11
5.	Availability of Refractories		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12-13
6.	Analysis of Demand and ava	ilabili	ty of	Refra	ctorie	5	• ,	•	•	•	•	•	•	14-15
	Insert of Tables	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• 、	•	•	17—24
	Insert of Graphs	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	2534
7.	Steps to bridge the gap		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3536
8.	General Recommendations	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	37 <b>—4</b> 0
9.	Trends for future	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	41
10.	Standardization of Refractor	rics	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	42—43
11.	Raw Materials	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	;	•	44—46
12.	Equipment for Manufacture	of Re	fracto	ries	•	•	•	•		•	·	•	•	4748
	Acknowledgements	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	49
	Annexures	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	51 -212

.

## CHAPTER 1

## HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF REFRACTORY INDUSTRY IN INDIA

Fire clays being the most commonly used and easily handled of all refractory materials and since steel technology had also not made the strides that it has recently done, the refractory industry in all countries started with the manufacture of fire bricks. In our country fire clay deposits of the Bengal-Bihar area were the first to be utilised for manufacture of refractories. M/s Burn & Co. took up manufacture of fire bricks in their Raniganj Works in 1874. The Jabalpur works of the same firm was established in 1890. Until the establishment of Tata Iron & Steel Co. in 1907 these were the only two producing units for refractory bricks needed for general use. The setting up of Iron & Steel making plant by Tata resulted in an increase in demand for various qualities of refractories. M/s Kumardhubi Fire Clay and Silica Works and Bengal Fire Brick Co. Ltd were set up to cater to the requirements of the Tata's plant. Since refractory grade fire clays generally occur with deposits of coal, the refractory industry had to be located in the Bengal, Bihar coal fields. When Indian Iron & Steel Co. Ltd. set up their Burnpur works in 1938 most of the refractories were obtained from indigenous sources which had developed by that time.

2. After independence, by 1950-51 i.e. the beginning of the 1st Five Year Plan, refractory industry expanded its production capacity to about 290,000 tonnes per annum which was adequate to meet the country's demand at that time. Imports were necessary only for a few specialised items. By 1955-56, the capacity had further increased to 440,000 tonnes.

3. With the setting up of the 3 public sector integrated steel plants at Rourkela, Bhilai and Durgapur, the country embarked on an ambitious steel development programme which required the necessary support from the refractory industry. The requirements of refractories for the steel industry in 1960-61 were estimated to be 506,000 tonnes. Adding to these, the estimated requirements of other industries which were also developing at a fast rate a production target of 800,000 tonnes was envisaged to be achieved in that year. To achieve this production, the capacity target was fixed at one million tonnes which was more than double the capacity of the industry in 1955-56.

4. The progress of the industry during the second plan was quite impressive. Its production increased from 220,000 tonnes in 1955-56 to 550,000 tonnes in 1960-61. Installed capacity rose from 440,000 tonnes to 820,000 tonnes during the same period.

5. Despite the growth of the refractory industry, it could not, however, keep pace with the requirements of the expanding steel industry, particularly construction requirements. Besides, the three plants being set up with the technical collaboration of three different countries insisted on different specifications, shapes and sizes. This resulted in steel plants importing refractories from the countries with whose assistance these were set up. Imports of approximately 3.7 crores worth of refractories were made in 1957.

6. The Third Plan envisaged a capacity target of 10 million tonnes of steel ingots i.e. by 1965-66. The capacity and production targets for the refractory industry were, therefore, envisaged at 2 million tonnes and 1.5 million tonnes respectively to be achieved by 1965-66. The actual capacity and production of steel achieved by 1965-66 was much below the target. The actual Results achieved against the targets set for refractories was also correspondingly lower being 1.24 million tonnes capacity and 700,000 tonnes production. The capacity and production of the industry rose to 1.36 million tonnes and 750,000 tonnes respectively in 1967-68. The shortfall in fulfilment of the targets was due to the steel capacity having not increased as anticipated. In fact the industry suffered from over capacity and insufficient demand during the period of recession, the same way as most of the other industries. The effect of recession was far-reaching. Finances of the refractory units were badly affected, its growth, diversification rehabilitation and modernization were neglected. Orders were often booked at unremunerative prices. The result was, that when the industry was later called upon to increase its supplies and improve quality with few exceptions, it failed to do so.

7. The Refractories Panel set up by Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs in connection with the formulation of the development programme of this industry in the Fourth Plan made an assessment of capacity and production of refractories in June 1968 and in the then prevailing circumstances felt that the installed capacity of 1.36 million tonnes was sufficient and no additional capacity was required for meeting the requirements of refractories during the Fourth Plan period, particularly of the standard types.

8. Technology for production of refractories has been developed by several countries with progressive specialisation to keep pace with the progressively more stringent quality requirements demanded by steel makers. The refractory industry in our country as in other sectors of industry, has taken technical assistance from several countries like USA, West Germany, UK Czechoslovakia and Poland. There are nearly a dozen plants in the country working with foreign collaboration. The industry is still dependent on foreign know-how and about 60% of the total production is from the plants working with foreign collaboration. It should be our endeavour, that after the current collaboration agreements have run their period validity, there would be an indianization of the know how backed up by adequate research and development efforts and better knowledge of our own raw materials.

9. While the general qualities of fire clay, basic and silica refractories are being produced in the country, due to lack of know-how special refractories like fusion cast refractories, high alumina refractories, spraying compounds etc. are not being manufactured in the country. The refractory industry is conscious of international development on these lines and is endeavouring to acquire know how so that it could produce these quality refractories.

2

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **REFRACTORIES COMMITTEE**

With the anticipation that indigenous supply would be adequate to meet the demand of refractories in the country, import of refractories was banned by the Government in November 1965 and it was also decided that for the construction of phase I of Bokaro Steel Plant, 98% of the requirement would be met through indigenous supplies. In 1967-68 orders were placed on indigenous manufacturers giving firm delivery schedules for a total quantity of 207,956 tonnes and imports from USSR planned for a quantity of 4130 tonnes. It, however, transpired that the indigenous suppliers were not in a position to meet Bokaro's requirement in terms of quantity, quality and delivery schedule. Several meetings were held in the Ministry of Steel with the Refractory Manufacturers' Association to devise ways and means of ensuring availability of refractories to match Bokaro's constructional requirements. As a result of these meetings, it emerged that certain minor alterations would be necessary in the specifications and inspection procedures of refractories so that the indigenous manufacturers would be able to supply at least part of the requirement. A Technical Committee was formed by Bokaro Steel under the Chairmanship of Shri K. D. Sharma, Director, Central Glass and Ceramic Research Institute for this purpose. Even after accounting for such relaxation as was permissible, it became necessary to permit import of refractories. Upto May 1971, the total imports permitted for Bokaro are 47,621 tonnes.

2. During the beginning of 1969, there was prolonged lock-out in one of the main sources of supply of refractories of HSL Plants. The indigenous supply of refractories was till then just about sufficient to meet the normal and repair requirements. With this lock-out, the Steel Plants of HSL were compelled to use up the small inventories of refractories held by them and a critical situation developed in the Plants of HSL for want of refractories and furnace building activity had to be temporarily suspended. At the same time, due to greater incidence of capital repairs, there was an increase in the requirement of refractories at a time when the indigenous industry was not in a position to meet even the normal operational requirement. It, therefore, became necessary in October 1969 to amend the Import Policy to permit import of urgently needed refractories both for the existing Steel Plants and Bokaro Steel Plant.

3. The Ministry of Steel then asked HSL to make an assessment of their requirements of refractorles for the next year or two, discuss it in detail with the Indian Refractory Makers Association (IRMA) and find out the extent to which the indigenous manufacturers could meet this requirement. HSL compiled details of their requirements and discussed them in detail with the IRMA at meetings held in Calcutta during December 1969-January 1970 and it was found that for the year 1970-71, import of approximately Rs. 10 crores worth of refractories would be necessary for HSL alone. It was also found that import of refractories for a similar amount may be necessary in the next year also.

4. At the same time, the Electric Steel Furnace industry made representations to the Government that the indigenous refractory manufacturers were fully booked with orders from the main Steel Plants and since the requirements of individual Electric furnace owners were small, the refractory industry was not in a position to manufacture and supply their requirements within a reasonable period of time. Many furnace owners reported that they would be compelled to suspend furnace operation unless sufficient quantities of refractories were made available to them.

5. The indigenous refractory industry had on various occasions represented to the Government that they had substantial capacity for production of refractories by the wet process and because of the preference of the Steel Plants for dry process refractories, there was increase in import of refractories. They had also felt that the standards stipulated for refractories were too stringent and did not take into account the raw materials available in the country. It was also argued on their behalf that there was considerable scope for standardisation of both specifications and sizes amongst the main Steel Plants, which would enable the refractory industry to produce bricks in less number of shapes and sizes, making it possible for them to increase production and meet the Steel Plants' requirements to a larger extent.

6. The refractory industry also complained that there was a shortage of raw materials needed by the industry, especially dead burnt magnesite. Further, raw materials which could be utilised by them for brick making like chrome ore were being exported in large quantities in preference to supply to the refractory industry.

2-1 D of S/ND/72

7. To resolve all these conflicting problems and to make recommendations to Government on the steps to be taken for planned development of the refractory industry, the then Ministry of Steel and Heavy Engineering set up a Committee consisting of the following members:

1.	Shri Hari Bhushan Senior Industrial Adviser, Ministry of Steel & Heavy Engg.	CHAIRMAN
2.	Shri T.R. Anantharaman, Superintendent (Refractories), Central Engg. & Design Bureau, Hindustan Steel Ltd., Ranchi.	Member.
3.	Dr. V.G. Bhatia, Economic Adviser, Ministry of Steel and Heavy Engg.	Member.
4.	Dr. S.P. Varma, Industrial Adviser, Directorate General of Technical Development.	Member.
5.	Dr. S.S. Ghosh, Deputy Chairman, Indian Refractory Makers' Association, Royal Exchange, 6, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	Member.
6.	Shri N. B. Ghosh, Senior Geologist, Geological Survey of India, 27, Jawahar Lal Nehru Rd, Calcutta-13.	Member.
7.	Shri J.C. Banerjee, Deputy Director, Central Glass and Ceramic Research Institute, Jadavpur, Calcutta.	Member.
8.	Shri B.S. Krishnamachar, Deputy Director General, Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, B.S. Zafar Marg, New Delhi.	Member.
9.	Shri S. Vangala, Development Officer, Ministry of Steel and Heavy Engg.	Member Secretary.

8. The Geological Survey of India later desired their nominee Shri N.B. Ghosh be replaced by Shri B.B. Nadgir, Senior Geologist. Upon the retirement from service of Shri J.C. Banerjee in September 1971, Dr. D. N. Nandi was nominated by the CGCRI as their representative.

A copy of the resolution setting up the Committee is enclosed as Annexure A.

. 9. The Committee was of the view that a substantial amount of information was available with the Indian Bureau of Mines with regard to mining leases for various raw materials needed for Refractory industry and it would be advantageous to have a representative of the Indian Bureau of Mines on the Committee. The Indian Bureau of Mines were accordingly approached and they nominated Shri G. D. Kalra, Mineral Economist for this purpose.

10. In the first meeting of the Committee held in New Delhi on 29-1-71, it was agreed that from amongst the terms of reference, first consideration should be given to the assessment of the demand and availability of refractories and the first part of the Report submitted to the Government to cover this aspect.

11. It was felt that the task set before the Committee was of very great magnitude and on aspects like standardisation of shape and specifications of refractories, availability of raw materials for the refractory industy and equipment availability for manufacture of Refractories, the work would take a considerable length of time before meaningful conclusions could be reached.

4

It was, therefore, decided to constitute Sub-Committees for assessing Raw Material availability for standardisation of refractories and for equipment required by the Refractory Industry. The composition of the three Sub-Committees is as follows:

I. Raw Materials Sub-Committee.

	1.	Shri B.B. Nadgir, Sonior Geologist Geological Survey of India.	Coordinator.
	2.	Dr. V. G. Bhatia, Economic Adviser, Ministry of Steel and Mines.	Member.
	3.	Shri T.R. Anantharaman, Superintendent (Refractories), Central Engg. and Design Bureau, Ranchi.	Member.
	4.	Shri G.D. Kalra, Indian Bureau of Mines, Nagpur.	Member.
	5.	Representative of Indian Refractory Makers' Association	Member.
	6.	Dr. D.N. Nandi, Central Glass and Ceramic, Research Institute.	Member.
	7.	Dr. M.R. Sirkar, Alternate Member.	
II.	Sta	indardisation Sub-Committee.	
	1.	Shri B.S. Krishnamachar, Dy. Director General, Indian Standards Institution.	Coordinator.
	2.	Dr. D.N. Nandi, C.G.C.R.I.	Member.
		Shri N.B. Chatterjee	Alternate Member.
	3.	Representative from HSL	Member.
	4.	Representative from Rourkela Steel Plant.	Member.
	5.	Representative from Bhilai Steel Plant.	Member.
	6.	Representative from Durgapur Steel Plant.	Member.
	7.	Representative from Alloy Steel Plant.	Member.
	8.	Representative from Bokaro Steel Ltd.	Member.
	9.	Representative from Tata Iron & Steel Co.	Member.
	10.	Representative from Indian I & S Co.	Member.
	11.	Representative from Mysore I & S Ltd.	Member.
	12.	Representative from Steel Furnace Association of India.	Member.
	13.	Representative of Indian Refractory Makers' Association.	Member.

6

## III. Equipment Sub Committee.

1. Shri S.R. Khanna, Development Officer, Co-ordinator. DGTD. 2. Representative from the Tools Dte. of DGTD. Member. 3. Representative from IM IV Dte. of DGTD. Member. 4. Shri T.R. Anantharaman, Member. Supdt. (Ref) CEDB. 5. Representative from HSL. Member. 6. Representative from Belpahar Refractories. Member. 7. Representative from Orissa Cement Ltd. Member. 8. Representative from Bihar Fire-bricks. Member. 9. Representative from India Firebricks & Insulation Co. Member.

14. Recommendations covering the work of the above Sub-Committees are expected to be available by 31-3-1972.

## CHAPTER 3

### **REFRACTORIES AND THEIR USAGE IN STEEL PLANTS**

Refractories are inorganic materials, natural or synthetic which can withstand a temperature of say, upto about 1600°C without showing any sign of fusion or melting. Refractories in general are of three types—acidic, basic and neutral. Amongst the common refractories, the firebricks or the alumino-silicate refractories, semisilica and silica bricks belong to the acidic type, the magnesite, dolomite and chrome-magnesite/magnesite-chrome to the basic: and chromite, carbon and graphite to the neutral type. Besides these, there are a number of special refractories like high alumina, zircon and silicon carbide which are gradually becoming popular in iron and steel industries but those of carbides, nitrides, silicides and borides types are not of any importance as yet for iron and steel industries. Fire-bricks or alumino-silicate refractories are normally classified in India into three grades—high heat duty with pyrometric cone equivalent (PCE) value (refractoriness) of not less than Orton Cone 32 (1717°C) and containing A1<sub>2</sub> 0<sub>3</sub> in the range of 40%, moderate heat duty (Group A) with PCE of not less than Orton Cone 30 (1665°C) and A1<sub>2</sub> 0<sub>3</sub> content of 30% minimum and moderate heat duty (Group B) with PCE of not less than Orton Cone 27 (1640°C) and A1<sub>2</sub> 0<sub>3</sub> content of 25% minimum. All the other refractories have more or less one grade excepting with some variations in their properties according to their end uses.

2. Refractories are indispensable in the construction of all types of furnaces. Depending upon service conditions the refractories are required to satisfy mainly some or all the following characteristics:

- 1. High refractoriness
- 2. Resistance to chemical reaction
- 3. Ability to stand load at the working temperature
- 4. Abrasion resistance
- 5. Thermal shock resistance
- 6. Low permanent after-contraction or expansion and so on.

3. The iron and steel industry consumes about 65% of the total refractory consumption in the country. As such it is an important item in the manufacture of steel. There are different types of furnaces requiring different types of refractories to meet varying service conditions.

4. A steel plant consists essentially of the following furnaces:

- 1. Blast furnaces
- 2. Coke ovens
- 3. Bessemer converters
- 4. Open-hearth furnaces
- 5. L.D. Converters
- 6. Electric arc furnaces
- 7. Reheating furnaces
- 8. Soaking pits.

5. The blast furnace lining has to stand a good deal of abrasion, corrosion against slag, molten metal and gases (mainly carbon monoxide) evolved during the process. However, the above mentioned properties are not demanded by the refractories for each and every part or use in a steel plant.

6. The refractories for coke ovens are to withstand abrasion, carbon monoxide disintegration and spalling besides the volume stability at the working temperature.

7. The refractories in open-hearth furnaces are acidic or basic depending on the composition of the slag produced. There has been more or less a complete shift from acid to basic refractories

for steel making the world over. LD converters are almost universally lined with tar-bonded dolomite blocks. But of late, tar-impregnated magnesite and tempered dolomite/magnesite v blocks are on experimention in many of the advanced countries of the world.

8. The temperature is the main criterion for selecting the refractories for reheating furnaces or soaking pits. However, the load bearing capacity, resistance to the reaction with mill-scale and abrasion resistance have been taken into consideration for their bottom. With the introduction of continuous casting of steel, the reheating furnaces and soaking pits will be gradually eliminated.

9. The refractories used in the ladles should naturally have the resistance against molten metal, slag and thermal shock. The checker bricks for regenerators for blast furnace stoves, open hearth furnaces and coke ovens are expected to have thermal shock resistance and corrosion resistance against the flue gas and flue dust.

10. Thus in selecting refractories for the steel industry. temperature is not the only criterion, the effects of load, thermal shock, molten metal, reaction with slag, abrasion, carbon monoxide gas and so forth are to be taken into account.

11. There are 30 Indian Standard Specifications for different types of refractories, some for general purpose and 14 Nos. out of them are according to their end uses (i.e. industrywise). Besides all these, the consumers put forward their special specifications whenever required.

12. The IS Specifications for the firebricks generally include seven characteristics, (1) PCE (2) Chemical analysis (3) Reheat (4) Underload (5) Apparent porosity (6) Cold Crushing Strength and (7) Spalling Resistance: the limits for which of course vary with the quality. For blast furnaces, properties like resistance to carbon monoxide disintegration and abrasion resistance are essential for the inwall and top bricks but only the first one is included in IS Specifications. Blast furnace used to consume firebricks alone but lately, carbon blocks and high alumina bricks are replacing the firebricks not only in the hearth bottoms but also upto lower part of the stack.

13. Coke Qyens are constructed of silica bricks, semi-silica bricks and some firebricks, about 65% being silica bricks. Both IS : 484 and IS 4812 are serving for the selection of silica bricks for coke ovens. These Specifications include among other properties sp. gr., thermal expansion, underload and porosity. Semi-silica bricks are intermediate between silica bricks and firebricks, having a higher Si  $0_2$  content. There is no IS specification for semi-silica bricks but there is one IS : 2043 for siliceous fireclay refractory.

14. The acid open hearth furnace used to be an ideal example which consumed all types of refractories. But the situation is changing to all basic furnaces with about 95% of the total brick used in the construction of a basic open hearth furnace being basic in type. There are Indian Standards for magnesite, chrome-magnesite and magnesite-chrome bricks. These standards, however, do not include the slag resistance and the bursting expansion properties, which are very important for the chrome-magnesite/magnesite-chrome bricks.

15. Refractories of the casting pit comprise of Sleeves, Nozzles, and Stoppers, all generally made of fireclay bricks. Out of the total fireclay brick consumption in iron and steel industry about 40% is used up here for the ladles. Recently carbon or graphite refractories for the stoppers are coming into use. It is better to have stoppers to be of higher refractoriness than the nozzles in order to get proper fitting through the pyroplastic nature of the nozzles or vice versa. In case of continuous casting of steel, the nozzles are of zircon as long as the billet size is comparatively smaller.

16. Checkers are very important units in iron and steel industries. The temperature in the blast furnace stoves being fairly high, higher underload values and low reheat shrinkages are required for the upper courses of the checkers, domes, the combustion chamber walls, etc. Further, these checker bricks should be resistant to alkali dust, fumes and reducing atmospheres. Now-a-days high alumina refractories are quite common for stoves, basic (forsterite) for open hearths and firebricks for coke oven checkers.

17. Refractories have thus a very important function in the iron and steel industry. Due to the changed production technology of steel making from open hearth process to the present basic oxygen process and also due to the improvement in the type as well as quality of the refractories, the consumption of refractories has fallen from 10% to about 4% of steel produced in the country during the last two decades.

## **CHAPTER 4**

#### DEMAND OF REFRACTORIES

A proforma was worked out for obtaining the demand projections from the steel industry upto the end of the 5th Five Year Plan, i.e., 1978-79. This proforma (copy at Annexure B) enabled requirements to be obtained for each end use and different types of refractories. The consumers were also asked to give their preference either for wet or dry process refractories for each end use, so that a critical assessment could be made as to the precise requirements of wet and dry process refractories. The proforma was sent to the main steel plants, Bokaro, MISL, Steel Furnace Association and the Ferro Alloy Producers' Association and the requisite information obtained. In so far as Ferro Alloy producers are concerned, their annual requirements of refractories were found to be as follows:—

Fireclay (including high alumina)	540 tonnes
Basic refractories	500 tonnes

2. In view of the above small demand, it was felt that the requirements of the ferro alloy industry need not be subjected to any detailed examination and the indigenous refractory industry would be in a position to meet this requirement.

3. It was, therefore, proposed that a detailed examination be made only of the refractories needed by the main steel plants and the Electric Steel Furnaces. After obtaining this information, an examination was made to see if definite norms of consumption can be established for the 3 distinct types of refractories needed by the indutry, namely, fireclay, basic and silica. These refractories are, to a certain extent, regularly consumed during the iron and steel making operations. For instance, ladle bricks require to be replaced after regular intervals. Open Hearth Furnaces and convertors require to be relined after they have been in use for some time Further, during the operation of steel making furnaces, certain hot repairs are effected which need refractories. All these requirements have a regular pattern of consumptin which could be related to steel production. There are other requirements, however, like the relining of a blast furnace which occurs normally once in about 5 years and rebuild of coke oven batteries which occurs after about 15 years of operation. In addition, there would be new construction being carried out either in the form of expanding existing capacity or setting up new plants and such requirements cannot be obviously related to steel production. In consideration of these points, it was considered that although rough norms specific to each plant could be fixed for operational requirements, it would not be correct to work out a norm of total consumption for each quality of brick and on the basis of the envisaged steel production determine its total requirement in any year. Such an exercise may lead to unrealistic demand projections.

4. A check was, therefore, made of the consistency of the figures of demand furnished by the steel plants for operational and capital repairs. For this purpose, the actual consumption of refractories for 1970-71 was obtained from the various plants and this requirement was expressed as Kg/tonne of ingot steel produced. Thereafter, the requirements of the steel plants projected for 1975-76 were examined in relation to the anticipated steel production. A comparison of these figures is furnished in Annexure 'C'.

#### 5. Fireclay refractories

5.1. It was noted that the consumption level in 1970-71 at IISCO works out to 60 Kg/tonne of steel produced and this high figure is due to the fact that one blast furnace was relined during that period. The consumption figure of TISCO is higher as compared to that of HSL Plants due to the fact that TISCO employs Duplex process of steel making which requires a larger quantity of fireclay refractories.

#### 6. Basic refractories

6.1 Rourkela produces steel mainly in the basic oxygen converters whose relining requirement is for tar bonded dolomite bricks. There are only four small open hearth furnaces of 80 tonne capacity and as such, their consumption of basic bricks is low. The figures of requirement of basic bricks for Bhilai and Durgapur are higher due to the fact that in these two plants, the Open Hearth Furnaces are with basic roofs and are equipped for top blowing of oxygen. In fact, their requirement of basic bricks would go up further after the furnaces are put on top blowing of oxygen. Further, in the case of Bhilai Steel Plant, the bottom making practice is distinct in that it is made up of only magnesite while other plans use mixture of magnesite and dolomite. As a result, Bhilai's consumption of dead burnt magnesite for fettling is also higher.

#### 7. Silica refractories

7.1 The plants of TISCO and IISCO have silica roofs for their open hearth furnaces and also employ the Bessemer Process and as such, their norms of consumption of silica bricks are higher.

7.2 From the figures set out above, it could be seen that the requirements as projected by the steel plants are reasonably consistent and there is not much of a fluctuation. The requirements as furnished by the consumers were taken as their firm demand and further analysis carried out on that basis.

- 2

#### 8. Expert Group for assessment of demand

8.1 To carry out a critical assessment of the demands by the steel industry and also to examine to what extent bricks made by wet plastic process could be used at least for some time in the context of substantial capacity for such bricks in the country, an Expert Group was formed consisting of the following members:

1.	Shri S.R. Khanna, Development Officer, DGTD.	Convenor.
2.	Shri I.C. Modi, Durgapur Steel Plant.	Member.
3.	Shri S.K. Mukherjee, Bhilai Steel Plant.	Member.
4.	Shri P.S. Sundaram, Rourkela Steel Plant.	Member.
5.	Shri K.S. Swaminathan, Tata Iron and Steel Co.	Member.
6.	Shri Gupta Roy, Indian Iron & Steel Co.	Member.
7.	Dr. S.S. Ghose, Deputy Chairman, I.R.M.A.	Member.
8.	Shri M.H. Dalmia, Orissa Cement.	Member.
9.	Shri J.R.K. Murthy, Harry Refractories.	Member.

The Group visited steel plants and had detailed discussions with Refractory Engineers. Requirements for new plants were discussed with M/s. M.N. Dastur & Co., and CEDB of HSL who are the Consultants for these plants. The group examined the requirements of Electric Arc Furnaces with the Steel Furnace Association of India.

9. As a result of this examination, the figures of demand needed some revision and the revised figures were accepted by the consumers. Consolidated statement of Demand is at Annexure D. Report of the Group is at Annexure E and details of demand are at Annexure F. In projecting future requirements, the following were assumed:

10. Bhilai expansion from 2.5 million tonnes to about 4.0 million tonnes capacity has been considered. This would require the following facilities:

3 numbers of 100 tonne convertors; a continuous casting shop; reheating furnaces and heat treatment furnaces. 11. The expansion of Bokaro from 1.7 million tonnes to 4 million tonnes has also been considered. For this, the additional units are:

3 coke oven batteries; 2 blast furnaces; 1-100 tonne and 2-250 tonne convertors; battery of soaking pits; reheating furnaces; and heat treatment furnaces.

12. Requirements of refractories for new steel plants have also been considered. For this purpose, the basis has been a 2 million tonne plant consisting of the following units each at Hospet, Visakhapatnam and the other locations:

- (i) 4 coke oven batteries;
- (ii) 3 blast furnaces;
- (iii) 4 convertors of 100/130 tonne capacity;
  - (iv) a continuous casting shop;
  - (v) reheating and heat treatment furnaces.

13. It is to be clarified that in case there is some change in the number of coke ovens, blast furnaces and LD convertors, it is unlikely to change materially the projections of refractories demanded. For Salem, however, the basis is as follows:

Salem: (1) 2 Electric smelting furnaces or 2 pre-reduction kilns.

- (2) 2-40 T L.D. Convertors.
- (3) Continuous Casting facilities.
- (4) Rolling Mills.

Programme of additional steel capacity is as per Annexure 'G' and the construction schedule for integrated steel plants is at Annexure 'H'.

14. Major rebuilding and repair work, which is likely to take place in Indian Iron and Steel-Co., has also not been considered as the same was not available.

15. The advance requirements for plants to be set up beyond 1985 have been taken into consideration.

11

### **AVAILABILITY OF REFRACTORIES**

Copies of proforma were sent to the Indian Refractory Makers' Association and they were asked to make available:

(a) Requirements of refractories for their member units; and

(b) Availability of refractories for various end-uses of the steel industry.

2. The figures of availability could not be obtained by the IRMA from all their member units, as there was inadequate response from some of the members. They, however, could obtain general information from selected members who according to IRMA supply between 90 to 95% of the requirements of refractories for the steel industry. An examination of availability was, therefore, restricted to these 14 units only. In so far as the refractories needed by the refractory makers are concerned, the IRMA stated that this requirement is very small and inclusion of these figures would not materially affect the projections of availability which the Committee proposed to make.

3. Availability of refractories.—The installed capacity, the production capacity, the actual production in 1970 and first half of 1971 and an estimate of production from 1971 to 1975 for the firms indicated by IRMA are set out in the Annexure J. The capacity has been indicated separately for wet and dry process fireclay refractories, coke ovens and other uses in the case of silica refractories, burnt and chemically bonded in the case of basic refractories. The availability indicated in 1975 is the maximum production likely to be available from these units after taking into account the balancing expansion schemes under way. In some cases, the anticipated production was at 100% of licensed/rated capacity or even more. It was, therefore, felt necessary to constitute an expert group to make an assessment of the capacity of some of the units to produce these refractories both quantitatively and qualitatively. This Expert Group comprised of:—

1.	Shri R.N.S. Iyer, Senior Refractories Engineer, Hindustan Steel Ltd.	Convenor.
2.	Shri S.R. Khanna, Development Officer, D.G.T.D.	Member.
3.	Shri K.K. Bandhopadhyaya, Asstt. Superintendent (Refractories), Rourkela Steel Plant.	Member.
4.	Shri M.H. Dalmia, Orissa Cement Ltd.	Member.
5.	Shri K. Sen, Kumardhubi Fireclay and Silica Works.	Member.

3.1 The Group visited the 14 refractory plants during August-September, 1971, and have made an assessment of availability. Consolidated statement of availability is at Annexure 'K' and detailed Report is at Annexure 'L'. The refractory units were asked to furnish details of despatches to steel industry and to other consumers for the first 9 months of the year for fireclay basic and silica refractories. It was found that 75% of the fireclay refractories produced by these 14 units are supplied to steel industry. In addition, the steel plants were found to receive annually about 10,000 tonnes of fireclay refractories (made by the plastic process) by units other than the 14 considered. In case of Basic Bricks the availability to steel Industry was 95%. In the case of coke oven silica and other silica bricks, the percentages were 100 and 90 respectively. Availability figures to steel industry have been accordingly taken.

4. On the figures of availability stated above, in the light of detailed discussions, the following general observations are relevant:

4.1 The figures of availability indicated for the period 1971-72 assume that the pattern of production would be the same as that existing in 1970-71. This, however, is subject to change and while such a change may affect availability from an individual manufacturer the overall

total availability from the industry would alter only very slightly. For instance, a plant is capable of achieving larger production while manufacturing standard bricks than when it makes shaped bricks. Similarly, the capacity available for manufacture of silica bricks for other uses becomes substantially less when the same facility is utilised for manufacture of silica bricks for coke ovens due to larger number of shapes.

4.2 In the case of basic refractories, while capacity set up for producing burnt basic bricks could be utilised for manufacture of chemically bonded bricks, the reverse is not possible.

5. In computing future availability, letters of intent wherever issued have been taken into account. With the revival of Asian Refractories, which is now captive unit of Bokaro, the following availability is anticipated from it:

1972	9,000 tonnes
1973	18,000 tonnes
<b>19</b> 75	25,000 tonnes

5.1 It is also estimated that the Public Sector Refractory Plant would go into production by 1975 and its build up of capacity has also been taken into account. Details of all such capacities likely to become available have been separately shown.

13

## CHAPTER 6

### ANALYSIS OF DEMAND AND AVAILABILITY OF REFRACTORIES

An assessment of availability of refractories was made in respect of 14 units by an expert group set up by the Refractories Committee. These 14 units supply between 90-95% of requirements of refractories for steel industry. Projections of availability upto 1975-76 from these units were made by this group taking into account expansion programmes envisaged by them. The DGTD had furnished details of units to whom letters of intent were granted. Availability from such units was considered for projecting availability beyond 1975-76. Some of these units become operational before that date and availability has been taken into account on the basis of projected build-up indicated by the DGTD. In addition to the 14 units studied in detail and the new units mentioned above, there is at present no other source of availability beyond 1975-76. It was assumed that after the new units reach rated capacity, there would be no possibility of additional availability from the existing units. This maximum availability was therefore considered for computing the likely shortfall between demand and availability upto 1985. Obviously the shortfall would have to be bridged by further expansion of units and by setting up of new capacity.

2. In so far as demand for Refractories is concerned, for the existing steelmaking units, projections have been made upto 1980. In addition, requirements of Bhilai expansion, Bokaro expansion and the three new steel plants at Salem, Vijayanagar and Visakhapatnam were taken into account. For future steel plants, the policy of Government that an integrated plant of 2 million tonne capacity would be set up every year after 1980, was used as the guide-line in projecting demands upto 1985. Further, operational and constructional requirements of refractories for the new plants as well as the constructional requirements of those which are likely to be operational beyond 1985 have been worked out and suitably dovetailed into projections of demand. Since adequate details were not available, requirements of IISCO Expansion have not been considered.

3. On the above basis, detailed demand/availability/surplus/deficit have been prepared for the period 1971 to 1985 (table I to IV). These figures have been presented graphically also. The main points arising out of the analysis of demand and availability are as follows :

#### 4. Fireclay Refractories.

4.1 High alumina and high grog.—The availability of this quality would be in excess of demand upto about 1976. With the constructional requirements of the new steel plants, coming in the demand outstrips availability quite sharply between 1977 & 1978. By 1985, the short-fall between demand and availability is likely to be of the order of 427,000 tonnes. From 14,600 tonnes in 1972, the surplus reaches a level of 71,200 tonnes in 1975.

4.2 Lowgrog variety.—There is likely to be a deficit of 28,800 tonnes in 1972 which increases to about 214,000 tonnes by 1985.

4.3 Stiff Plastic refractories.—There is a small surplus of 3,500 tonnes in 1971 which turns to a deficit of 11,600 tonnes in 1972. Availability remains short of demand all through the period 1972-85 reaching a maximum of 37,400 tonnes by 1985.

#### 5. Basic refractories

5.1 Burnt.—There is a likely deficit of about 17,500 tonnes of Burnt basic bricks in 1972 which becomes a surplus of 25,700 when additional capacity becomes available partly in 1975. If this capacity becomes fully available, there would be large increase in availability and there would be a large surplus right through the period 1975 to 1979. With the pick up in demand due to new steel capacity becoming available, the surplus gets reduced progressively and by 1981, a balance is achieved between demand and supply. Beyond 1982, there is likely to be a deficit which increases progressively from 11,400 tonnes in 1982 to 30,800 tonnes in 1985.

5.2 Chemically bonded.—There is currently a surplus of about 9,000 tonnes of chemically bonded bricks. If the new licences and expansions agreed to are carried out, there is likely to be a large increase in availability of chemically bonded bricks and by 1977, a surplus of the order of 45,700 tonnes is reached. It remains at that level upto 1985.

5.3 Dead Burnt Magnesite.—From 42,500 tonnes in 1971, the deficit gets reduced to 13,500 tonnes in 1974. It increases to 18,700 tonnes in 1975 and comes down to 15,700 tonnes by 1976. From 21,000 tonnes in 1977, the deficit progressively increases to 74,000 tonnes by 1985.

#### 6. Silica Bricks

6.1 Coke Ovens.—In 1971, there ought to have been a surplus of about 4,300 tonnes coke oven silica bricks. With the advancing of the constructional schedule of Bokaro coke ovens, this surplus has disappeared and there is likely to be a deficit of 14,200 tonnes in 1972. There is a surplus of 18,300 tonnes in 1973. In 1974 & 1975, the demand far exceeds availability due to the incidence of repairs of nearly all the coke oven batteries in the country and due also to the fact that Bokaro's requirement of new batteries would have to be provided for. The total deficit in these two years is 57,800 tonnes. While there may be a balanced demand and availability in 1977 & 1978, a total deficit of 15,000 tonnes in 1981 to 11,800 tonnes in 1985. This does not, however, take into account the likely repairs to the Indian Iron batteries and the possibility of a new battery being put up at Burnpur. Further there may be incidence of repairs to the ones likely in 1974 & 1975.

6.2 Other than coke ovens.—There is a deficit of about 8,500 tonnes in 1971 which increases to 21,700 tonnes by 1973. Thereafter, demand and availability tend to balance each other in 1976 and continue to remain so upto 1977. Commencing from 1978, there is a progressive increase of deficit which reaches a level of 13,800 tonnes by 1985.

15

## TABLE

## Demand, availability surplus/deficit of refractories during 1971-1985

													PAGES
1. Fireclay & High Alumina	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	19—20
2. Basic ·	•	•	•	•		•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	21
3. Dead Burnt Magnesite	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
4. Silica	•	•	•	•			•	•	••	•	;	•	23

(Figures in '000 Tonnes)

## ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE TABLES

- HA High Aluminia
- HG High Grog
- PL Plastic
- B Basic
- CB Chemically Bonded
- CO Coke Oven
- OT Other than Coke Oven.

Year/Type	197	I		1972			
i call Type	HA & HG	LG	PL	HA & HG	LG	PL	
Demand · ·	6·9 53·2 60·1	100-4	146.5	15-4 <u>68</u> -6 84-0	123 · 1	165·2	
Availability · ·	95-8	115-4	186-4	131.4	125.5	191 · 2	
Availability to steel industry	71.8	86-5	150	98.6	94.3	153·7	
Surplus · ·	11.7	000	3.5	14.6			
Deficit · ·		13.9	<u> </u>		28.8	11.6	
						<u> </u>	
Year/Type	HA & HG	LG	PL	HA & HG	LG	PL	
Demand	19·2 78·0 97·2	114-2	171.8	33·3 92·2 125·5	165.7	184-9	
Availability .	175.1	135-6	196-1	206.6	138-4	197.6	
Availability to steel	-						
industry · ·	131-2	101 - 8	156-5	154-9	103 · 8	158· <b>2</b>	
Surplus · · Deficit · ·	34-0	12.4	15.3	29-4	61.9	26.7	
<u> </u>						·	
Year/Type	197	5		197	6		
	HA & HG	LG	PL	HA & HG	LG	PL	
Demand · ·	31·7 108·9 140·6	182.0	179-6	34·9 126·5 161·4	194.6	178.3	
Availability · ·	282.4	149	202 1	298.2	151· <b>2</b>	203	
Availability to steel industry	211.8	111.7	161.9	223.6	113-4	162.3	

Demand, availability and surplus/deficit during 1971-85 of FIRECLAY AND HIGH ALUMINA BRICKS

	•		1977	1		19	78	
Year/T	уре		HA & HG	LG	PL	HA & HG	LG	PL
Demand		•	38.7 190.6	208 · 7	178-2	43·1 234·7	235-7	180.2
			229-3			277.8		•
Availability		•	304	153-6	203 8	304	153-6	203 · 8
Availability industry	to st	eel	228	115-2	162-9	228	115.2	162-9
Surplus	•	•	1.3					
Deficit		•		<b>93</b> · 5	15.3	49.8	120-5	17.3

70.3

17.7

71.2

62.2

81.2

16.0

٢.

NOTES :

Surplus

Deficit

.

.

1. Availability to steel industry was assumed at 75% of total availability of refractories from the 14 refractory units considered.

2. In the case of refractories by the plastic process an additional 10,000 tonnes representing availability from units other than the 14 studied, has been included.

-

• •						
	19	79		198	80	•
Year, Type	HA & HG	LG	PL	HA & HG	LG	PL
<u></u>		-				
Demand · ·	48·0 288·0 336-0	260-9	187.1	47·4 344·7 392·1	263·2	186
Availability • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	304	153-6	203 · 8	309	153.6	203-8
industry Surplus	228	11 <b>5•2</b> .	162-9	228	115· <b>2</b>	16 <b>2</b> -9
Deficit	108.0	145.7	24.2	164 · 1	148.0	23.1
	19	81		198	32	
Year/Type	HA & HG	LG	PL	HA & HG	LG	Pl.
Demand · ·	49·2 393·3 442·5	276.7	190-9	51+6 452+7 504+3	296 · <b>2</b>	195-2
Availability · ·	304	153.6	203 · 8	304	153-6	203.8
Availability to steel industry Surplus	228	115-2	162-9	228	115·2	162-9
Deficit · ·	214.5	161.5	28.0	276 · 3	181.0	32.3
				198	4	
Year/Type	HA & HG	LG	PL	HA & HG	LG	PL
Demand · ·	53·2 507·1 560·3	307-4	199-2	54·8 551·5 606·3	318.6	203-2
Availability · ·	304	153-6	203-8	304	153-6	203 · 8
Availability to steel industry Surplus	228	115-2	162-9	228	115·2	169-8
Deficit	332-3	192.2	36.3	378 · 3	203 · 4	33-4
						• <del></del> _
Year, Type	-		-	HA & HG	LG	PL
<u> </u>					 ```	
Demand	, <b></b> .	• •	• •	55·4 594·9 655·3	329-8	207.3
Availability -		• •	• •	304	153.6	203 - 8
Availability to steel in Surplus	ndustry	· · ,	• •	228	115-2	169-8
Deficit -		• •	• •	427.3	214.6	37.4

Demand, availability & surplus/deficit during 1971-85 of FIRECLAY AND HIGH ALUMINA BRICKS

NOTES :

1. Availability to steel industry was assumed at 75% of total availability of refractories from the 14 refractory units considered.

2. In the case of refractories by the plastic process an additional 10,000 tonnes representing availability from units other than the 14 studied, has been included.

Year/Type	•	197	1	1972	2	1973	3	197	4
		В	СВ	В	СВ	В	СВ	B	СВ
Demand ·		71 · 1	36.5	80.3	39·2	84.6	41.6	90·9	43.3
Availability ·	•	58.6	48·0	66 · 1	48·0	73.6	54 0	78.6	64 · 5
Availability to Industry	Steel	55-6	45.6	62.8	45.6	69.9	51-3	74 · 7	61 · 3
Surplus ·	•	-	9 · 1		6-2		9·7		18
Deficit ·		16		17.5		14-5		17-2	
		•							
			5	1976			,		
Year/Type	 + +	197: B	5 CB	1976 B	СВ	1977 B	СВ	197 B	8 CB
	e _					<del> </del>			
Year/Type  Demand · Availability ·		В	СВ	В	СВ	B	СВ	В	СВ
Demand ·		B 99-1	CB 42·8	B 96·9	CB 41.8	B 104·2	CB 41·3	B  13·1	CB 41·3
Demand · Availability · Availability to	Steel	B 99-1 131-4	CB 42·8 66·25	B 96·9 145·2	CB 41·8 90·9	B 104·2 149	CB 41·3 91·6	B 113-1 149	CB 41·3 91·6

Demand Availability Surplus/deficit of BASIC REFRACTORIES during 1971 to 1985 for the steel industry

-

	19	79	198	30	198	31	198	32	D
Year/Type	В	СВ	В	СВ	В	СВ	В	СВ	Remarks
Demand · · · ·	123.3	41 · 3	133·2	41·3	139.3	41 · 3	152.9	41 · 3	
Availability	149	91.6	149	91·6	149	91-6	149	91.6	
Availability to Steel In- dustry	141.5	87	141.5	87	141 · 5	87	141.5	87	
Surplus · · ·	18-2	45·7	8 · 3	45·7	2.2	45.7		45.7	
Deficit · · · ·							11.4		

					1983	l	1984	Ļ	1985		<b>n</b> 1
Year/T	ype			_	B	СВ	В	СВ	В	СВ	Remarks
Demand					159.7	41.3	166.8	41.3	172.3	41.3	
Availability	•	•	•		149	91.6	149	91 6	149	91.6	
Availability	to St	eel In	dustry	•	141.5	87	141.5	87	141.5	87	
Surplus	•	•		•		45.7		45.7		45-7	
Deficit	• .	•	•	•	18· <b>2</b>		25.3		30.8		

NOTE :

Availability of Basic Refractories to Steel Industry has been assumed at 95% of the total availability.

						- 								
			-			Year					1971	1972	197 <b>3</b>	1974
Demand	•							•	•	•	120.50	139-40	147 · 10	155+5
Availability	-		•		•		•	•	•	•	<b>78</b> .00	106.00.	131.00	142.0
Deficit	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	<b>42</b> · 50	33-40	16-10	13-50
Surplus	•				•			-	•	•				••
						Y	ear					1975	1976	1977
 Demand	•	•	-	•	•		•		•	•	+ <u>-</u>	162.70	159.70	165.00
Availability			•	-		•	•	•				144 00	144.00	144 00
Deficit	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	• •	18.70	, 15.70	21.00
Surplus	•	-	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		••	
	. <u> </u>			rear					19	78	197 <b>9</b>	1980	1981	1982
————— Demand	•							-	171	. 90	170-9	187-6	192.4	203.0
Availability		•	•	•	•	•			141	•00	144 · 0	144.0	144·0	144.0
Deficit	•	•	•	•		•		•	· 30	.9	35-9	43.6	48·4	59.0
Surplus	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		••				· · ·
						Yea						1983	1984	1985
Demand	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		208.4	213.8	218·1
Availability	-	•					•	•	•	•		144.0	144.0	144.0
Deficit	•			•		•	• 、	•		•		64 · 4	69·8	74 · 1
Surplus	•		•	•	. `	• .	•	•	•	•	. ,	••		
•														

## Demand, availability, surplus/deficit of DEAD BURNT MAGNESITE during 1971 to 1985

			1971		1972	:	1973		1974	
	-		СО	ОТ	со	OT	CO	OT	СО	OT
Demand	•	•	13.1	51.16	39-8	62.54	20.8	63 • 51	67.6	<u>56</u> ·86
Availability	•	•	·17·4	46.5	25.6	46.4	<b>39</b> · 1	46-3	39-6	46.3
Availability industry	to •	steel	17.4	41.8	25.6	• 41•8	<b>39 · 1</b>	41.8	<b>39</b> •6	41·8
Surplus	•	•	4.3			•	18.3			
Deficit	•	•		8.5	14-2	20.7		21.7	28.0	15.0

Demand/availability surplus/deficit of SILICA BRICKS during 1971 to 1985 for Steel Industry

							197.	5	197	6	197	7
						-	СО	OT	со	ОТ	СО	от
Demand	•	•		•			82.3	54.81	55-9	46-46	54.3	46-41
Availability		•		•	•	•	52.5	· 55-2	53-7	55-3	54 • 9	55-6
Availability 1	o st	eel inc	lustry	•	•	•	52.5	50.0	53·7	50-0	54 9	50·0
Surplus	•	•		•	•	•				3.6	0.6	3.6
Deficit	•	•	•	•	•	•	29 - 8	4.31	2.2			

		197	8	197	9	198	0	198	1
		co	ОТ	СО	ОТ	СО	ОТ	CO	ОТ
Demand ·	•	53.3	48.51	60.43	50.61	47.15	52.81	47·25	5 <b>5·0</b> 1
Availability .		54.9	55-6	54-9	55-6	54.9	55.6	54-9	55.6
Availability to industry		54.9	50	54.9	50	54•9	50	54-9	50
Surplus ·	•		1.5		,			7.7	
Deficit ·	•	1.6		5.5	0.61	9·5	2.81		5.0

				· 19	82	198:	3	1984	1	198	5
				co	ОТ	СО	OT	CO	OT	СО	ОТ
Demand				47.35	57.21	47.35	49.41	44.05	<b>61</b> · 61	44.15	63.8
Availability				54.9	55.6	54.9	55.6	54.9	55-6	54-9	55.6
Availability industry	to	stee!		54.9	. 50	54-9	50	54·9	50	54.9	50
Surplus	•			7.6	•	<b>7</b> ·6		10· <b>9</b>		11.8	
Deficit	•		•		<b>7 · 2</b> 1		9-41		11.61		13.81

NOTES :

1. 100% availability of Coke Oven Silica refractories to steel industry has been assumed.

2. 90% availability of Silica Bricks (other than Coke Ovens) has been assumed for steel industry.

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

1.	High Grog & High Ale	umin	a Bri	cks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
2.	Low Grog Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
3.	Plastic Process Bricks	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
4.	Basic Bricks (Burnt)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i	•	•	•	30
5.	Basic Bricks (Chemical	ly Bo	onded	I) ·	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	31
6.	Dead Burnt Magnesite		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
7.	Silica Bricks (Coke Ov	ens)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	33
8.	Silica Bricks (others)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34

## CHAPTER 7

### STEPS TO BRIDGE THE GAP

#### 1. High grog variety of fireclay bricks

There would appear to be no immediate necessity to consider additional capacity. However, to take care of the deficit after 1977, it would be necessary to plan substantial increase in capacity for production of this quality. Such capacity could be set up at the rate of 50,000 tonnes per year with a phasing suited to the steel development programme. Such plants could have linkage with the new steel plants and located after taking into consideration availability of raw material.

#### 2. Low grog variety of fireclay bricks

The surplus in high grog variety could be diverted to take care of the deficit in the availability of this quality up to 1973. For the period thereafter it would be necessary to plan for additional capacity. An annual increase in capacity at the rate of 20,000 tonnes should be planned.

#### 3. Plastic

There is a deficit for the refractories by the plastic process from 1972 onwards. There are a number of small units manufacturing bricks by the plastic process. Their products are, however, not suitable to the steel plants requirements due to inferior quality. These units may be encouraged to take such steps as setting up of appropriate presses, quality control equipment, etc., as would improve their quality so that they are acceptable to the steel plants.

#### 4. Basic Refractories (Burnt)

Import of burnt basic refractories upto 1974 would appear inescapable. The actual quantities to be imported should take into account steel making capacity reached in the plants, the dead burning capacity available and the inventories which the steel plants may be having of basic bricks imported in the past years and not consumed. Wherever possible, requirement of Burnt bricks may be substituted by Chemically Bonded Bricks. Beyond 1975, the increase in capacity proposed would appear to be far too sharp. This increase in capacity could be progressive over the period 1975 to 1982, suited to the steel plants' requirements.

4.1. The public sector refractory plant proposed to be set up at Bhilai is essentially captive to the requirements of Bhilai for fireclay and basic refractories. Out of a total requirement of about 49,000 tonnes basic bricks, the plant is to supply 30,000 tonnes of bricks. In view of this position, it is essential that the public sector refractory plant reaches rated capacity operation for basic bricks as soon as possible. The industry particularly Orissa Industries which has a letter of intent for setting up a capacity of 50,000 tonnes of basic refractories and Mysore Industrial Development Corpn., which is contemplating to include substantial quantity of basic refractories in its proposed refractory plant with a capacity of 130,000 tonnes for which it has recently received a letter of intent, could modify or re-adjust their productmix and have appropriate plans for diversification.

 $4 \cdot 2$ . To take care of the deficit which is likely to arise after 1982, it will be necessary to plan for additional capacity by 1978. Or alternatively, the surplus capacity for chemically bonded capacity could be suitably modified to make available additional burnt magnesite refractories.

#### 5. Chemically bonded

There is a surplus of chemically bonded capacity and this surplus is likely to increase if all the licensees carry out their programmes as anticipated. It would also not be possible to consider diverting chemically bonded capacity to burnt bricks for the following reasons:

- (i) Such conversion is not feasible unless additional facilities are put in.
- (ii) Even on burnt bricks, there is likely to be a surplus beyond 1974.

 $5 \cdot 1$ . It is, therefore, suggested that there should be no increase in capacity for chemically bonded bricks and any expansion proposals on hand should be dropped.

#### 6-1D of S/ND/72

5.2. Dead Burnt Magnesite : Additional burning capacity would have to be planned immediately so that it becomes available by 1975. The Public Sector Refractory Plant, could therefore, plan for a captive burning capacity. It would also be necessary to stop exports of lightly calcined magnesite. In 1970, 33,500 tonnes of this material were exported and the realisation was about Rs. 1 crore. In the period 1970-71, approximately 30,000 tonnes of Dead Burnt magnesite was imported at a c.i.f. cost of Rs. 1.80 crores. Since imports have continued almost at the same level in 1971-72 also, it is in the national interest to set up burning capacity to utilise the magnesite rather than export lightly calcined variety quife cheaply and import the expensive Dead Burnt Magnesite.

#### 6. Silica (coke ovens)

 $6 \cdot 1$ . The immediate deficit would require to be met through imports. The deficit likely to arise in 1972 can be taken care of by advancing some of the 1973 requirements since 1973 would appear to be a surplus year for this item.

6.2. A spurt in demand would develop in 1974 and 1975; unless new capacity can be made available to take care of this demand, it would seem that this demand would also have to be met through imports. Deficits are likely to occur once again in 1979 and 1980. The peak demands of 1974 and 1975 may repeat periodically after every 15 years for rebuilding work of existing batteries. Further, IISCO batteries may require building which has not been taken into account and it is also possible that additional coking capacity may be set up at Burnpur. Further, in the projections of demand, requirements of coke oven bricks for the batteries of the steel plants have only been taken into account. There are other coke ovens in operation outside the steel sector (for example, the batteries of Durgapur Projects Ltd., the Sindri Fertilizers, etc.) equivalent to about 20% of the ovens existing in steel plants. If their requirements for rebuild, repair are also taken into account, there would appear to be need to provide additional capacity for coke oven silica bricks. Such capacity is likely to remain under-utilised periodically depending on fluctuations of coke oven silica demand and would, therefore, have to be with an unit which has manufacturing facilities for other qualities of bricks also. During the periods of slump in domestic demand, there is a possibility of export of coke oven silica shapes. It may be relevant to mention that West Germany has such inbuilt capacity for production of coke oven silica shapes. As a result today, countries like Czechoslovakia considered to be one of the traditional suppliers of coke oven silica bricks are themselves importing from West Germany to take care of their constructional programmes.

6.3. It is, therefore, recommended that the proposed public sector refractory plant at Bhilai consider increasing their capacity for silica bricks from 10,000 tonnes to 20,000 tonnes. To avoid large scale imports in 1974 and 1975, it is essential that such capacity should be set up urgently so that it becomes available by 1974. The Committee, however, felt that it may be extremely difficult for new capacity at Bhilai to be set up so expeditiously as to give the benefit of nearly full production in 1974 and 1975. In the alternative it would, therefore, be recommended that in case some of the existing producers in the private sector could expand their capacity for coke oven silica bricks within the next 2 years, they may be encouraged to do so. Wherever, the capacity is ultimately set up, it is of utmost importance for steel industry to plan coke oven silica requirements on a longterm basis so that it would avoid sudden spurts of demand. This pre-planning is of utmost importance since the requirements of one battery or in some cases, a part battery should necessarily be met from one source of supply.

#### 7. Other than coke oven silica

7.1. There is a deficit of this quality upto 1975 and imports would appear to be the only solution. It could, however, be considered whether a large quantum of silica bricks for other than coke ovens be imported and the domestic capacity thus becoming available, diverted for production of coke oven shapes. This is likely to be more cost beneficial since import of silica bricks for other than coke oven is likely to be cheaper and the bricks might also become available more easily since the majority of these would be standard bricks. A surplus would be available for these bricks from 1976 upto 1978 and thereafter, there would be a progressive increasing deficit. With pre-planning and bringing forward some of the constructional demands to the period of surplus, it would be possible to have a more balance demand and availability for this quality. Further, the latent capacity to be planned for coke oven silica could be diverted for production of other than coke oven silica refractories when such need arises.

# **CHAPTER 8**

## **GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS**

# FIRECLAY REFRACTORIES

The general experience of the public sector steel plants has been when tenders are invited, the response from the refractory industry is not satisfactory. In a recent tender of the Rourkela Steel Plant for 21,000 tonnes of refractories needed by the stiff plastic process for blast furnace stoves, only one or two firms had responded. Even in this case, the delivery indicated was protracted.

2. On a detailed analysis, it was found that the public sector tendering procedure is one of the contributory factors for non-availability of offers for fireclay refractories. When the private sector plants purchase refractories, an agreement is reached between the buyers and sellers so that the latter get a mix of the difficult and less difficult items of refractories, the difficult ones being extruded items and hand moulded refractories involving large variety of shapes in small quantities which lower down the production of the refractory unit. Whereas, when the public sector plants call for tenders, the tendency for the refractory industry is to quote for the relatively easier items leaving out the more difficult ones for which the steel plants seek imports.

3. It has also been found that the capacity available for firebricks is not balanced. When bricks are to be manufactured by extruding process requiring large space for drying in shade and suitable firing facilities, the situation today in the refractory industry is such that where extrusion facilities exist, the other facilities of space, shade or firing are not available or where the latter facilities exist, extrusion press is not available.

4. Further, where requirements are of hand moulded bricks and substantial investment in moulds and extra space is required for such manufacture the refractory industry is not willing to commit itself to the additional expenditure in the absence of a firm indication from the steel plants that they would load their capacity on a continuing basis. If a system coluld be devised whereby long-term commitments can be entered for such difficult items, it was found that the refractory industry was willing to incur such an expenditure and supplies to steel plants could be ensured on a more regular and sustained basis.

5. Another reason for lack of adequate supplies of fireclay refractories has been the problem of specification including varying specifications. The Sub-Committee on Standardisation is already seized of this problem and acceptance of their recommendations would go a long way in resolving this problem.

6. Generally, of the fireclay refractories, the following items are found to be difficult to obtain within the country and as such, imports may become necessary until the capacity within the country is balanced. These are—

- (i) Hollow warelike, pouring refractories, stoppers, well blocks, bloating nozzles, sleeves bottom pouring refractories and Brassert checkers.
- (ii) Hand moulded refractories.
- (iii) Soaking pit refractories.
- (iv) Recuperator tubes, high alumina hearth bricks for reheating furnaces.

7. It is suggested that wherever possible, the steel plants should consider a switch from Brassert checkers to Mckee checkers which are more easily available. It is, however, agreed that such a conversion may not be possible immediately and could be carried out over a long period only. It is suggested that the public sector plant should take note of these shortages and include the items in these product-mix. Further, while granting new letters of intent, the Ministry of Industrial Development should insist upon inclusion of these difficult items in their product mix. Even where letters of intent have already been granted, this could be pursued by the Ministry of ID&IT.

8. For soaking pit cover refractories, it is recommended that there should be a progressive change over to the Monolothic refractories. The use of monolothic refractories would replace bricks of various shapes and sizes required on the soaking pit covers. It would also facilitate hot repairs to be effected. It has been found that the life of covers had increased considerably with use of monolithic refractories.

9. For stoppers and nozzles, it was found that the CGCRI had synthesised a bloating clay and a sample is being prepared for trials at Alloy Steels Plant, Durgapur. With wider acceptance of continuous casting technology, there would be increasing demand for quality Zircon nozzles. Certain developmental work has been carried out in the country by NML, CGCRI, Belpahar Refractories and Mukand Iron & Steel Works. Further work in this direction is necessary to bring up the production of these refractories both in quantitative and qualitative terms. Development of bloating clays should be vigorously pursued and the Japanese example of usage of bloating clays for manufacture of ladle refractories should be considered because of their better performance.

10. It has been agreed that after the steel plants call for annual tenders for their requirements of refractories and thus come up against items for which there are no suitable offers, a list of such items be sent to the DGTD for their examination. The DGTD would then consult with the Indian Refractories Makers' Association. On the basis of such a discussion, a meeting is to be held under the Steel Ministry to come to an understanding if any of these items require import. This could be an annual exercise to be carried out between January and February of every year. It was also agreed that this meeting could be a proper forum to discuss backlog of orders with the indigenous manufacturers and for taking corrective action.

### **BASIC REFRACTORIES**

11. In the detailed discussions which the Expert Group had with Steel Plants, only Durgapur Steel Plant mentioned that they had some difficulty in getting basic bricks. On examination, it was found that the difficulty was due to the unwieldly size of magnesite bricks for lining of inactive mixers, (individual weights of bricks being 40-70 Kg.) and the difficulty in obtaining Detrick shapes for the Open Hearth Uptakes. On examination, it was found that it would be possible to obtain the Detrick shapes within the country. For the mixers, it is recommended an alternative lining design be employed which would not require such heavy bricks and which are being used by Bhilai. It is suggested Durgapur Steel Plant pursue this matter on these lines and come to a satisfactory solution.

12. In the context of attaining self-sufficiency in refractories, it is emphasized that steel plants should vigorously take up work of alteration to lining design if such alteration enables them to obtain the requirement of refractories, within the country without affecting their production.

# SILICA REFRACTORIES

13. In so far as silica refractories are concerned, in 1971, there ought to have been a surplus capacity of coke oven silica bricks and a shortfall for other than coke oven silica refractories. Since capacities for production of coke oven silica and other than coke oven silica are interchangeable, it is likely that a part of the coke oven silica capacity got diverted for meeting the other than coke oven silica demand.

14. From the statement, it would be seen that the coke oven silica bricks demand is periodical and fluctuates considerably. It is, therefore, considered desirable for the steel plants to carry at any time an inventory of bricks required for rebuilding of one battery or in the alternative, at least, the requirements of special shapes and sizes for a battery which are difficult to obtain within a short- time may be carried on stock.

15. To take care of fluctuations in demand and to utilise capacity better, it would be necessary for the steel plants to book coke oven silica capacity on a long-term basis at least for the next 3/5 years. This could be done on a revolving basis, i.e., after an year, is over another year's requirements can be added so that always there is a 3 year plan of ordering. Two batteries at Durgapur Steel Plant, 3 batteries at Rourkela, three batteries, at Bhilai and three batteries at Durgapur are in an unsatisfactory condition and it should be possible to programme their rebuilding more precisely. If long-term orders can be placed on manufacturers they will try to preserve the moulds. Otherwise, the capital blocked and cost of storage coupled with the uncertainty of future orders may not encourage the manufacturers firstly to invest in the moulds and secondly to stock the moulds. With the number and variety of coke oven shapes timely availability of moulds plays an important role in ensuring supply of bricks when wanted. 16. Further, the rebuilding requirements of coke oven battery should normally come from one source of supply. In the case of all batteries in operation in the country, except the Bhilai batteries, the battery is composed of two half sections. It was agreed that in such cases, it was sufficient if requirements of one section, i.e., half battery were obtained from one source. It was also found that except in case of Indian Firebricks and Insulation Co. who are planning a capacity of 9,000 tonnes coke oven silica by mid 1973 there is no other source of additional availability for silica bricks.

### **Problems of Electric Furnaces**

17. Due to the variety of design and electric are furnaces of varying sizes set up over a long period in the past, it was found that the refractory manufacturers find it difficult to meet the requirement. The furnaces of the future would be generally of the 10/20 T capacity which is likely to result in standardisation of refractories needed by them. A measure of standardisation for other furnaces with a rammed mass in the centre of the roof and only a few sizes with radial concentric lining was also distinctly possible. It would facilitate a number of manufacturers to supply the requirement.

18. Similarly, the basic wall bricks as well as ladle lining require to be standardised. These aspects have already been taken up for investigation by the Steel Furnace Association in consultation with the IRMA. This would also be looked into by the Standardisation Sub-Committee. Indian Refractory Makers' Association Vice-President, Dr. Ghose, offered his assistance since a considerable work in this direction has been put in by Belpahar and several of the electric furnace users who are their clients are already using such shapes to their advantage.

19. The problems experienced in obtaining stopper heads could be overcome to a certain extent by usage of Fireclay stopper heads wherever possible. For clay graphite stoppers, availability of raw material is a bottleneck and import of raw material has to be allowed as required.

20. As in the case of the main steel plants, the problems could be overcome by periodical discussions between the Steel Furnace Association of India and Indian Refractory Makers' Association with the assistance of the DGTD and the Department of Steel.

#### MISCELLANEOUS:

21. As a result of the work of this Committee, it has been possible to bring together the main consumers and the producers of refractories in the country to appreciate more fully each others difficulties and point of view. A large amount of useful data about availability and demand of refractories has also been compiled. Due to non-availability of some data and lack of coordination at any one point of reference, in the past, shortages both in terms of quantity and quality had occurred resulting in large scale import of refractories. It is, therefore, a suggested that the demand and availability projections obtained in this Report be subjected to a review. The Refractories Panel where both producers, steel plants, research bodies and the Government are represented, was considered the best forum for carrying out this exercise on an annual basis. At least, one meeting of the Panel every year should devote itself to this analysis and the projections brought up-to-date.

22. The problems of technological nature and import substitution should be referred to the Refractories Panel. It is learnt that the panel would be setting up a Specialist group which would study these problems and report back to the Panel.

23. To produce refractories to the quality stipulations of steel industry, every manufacturer should have minimum testing facilities. The Indian Refractory Makers' Association shall make this assessment listing out member units and giving detailed list of equipment required for each unit. The DGTD should take steps to ensure that plants in the organised sector are equippeed for this purpose.

24. The Central Glass & Ceramic Research Institute should provide the necessary testing wherever required to the industry. Testing of Refractories is included as one of the objectives of this Institute since its inception as this is the only statutory laboratory recognised by the Government of India, including Defence, for this purpose. The available equipment and personnel can handle about 400 samples in a year. Out of these samples, on an average 80 are received from steel plants, 160 are sent by the refractory manufacturers and other consumers of refractories, 160 are for internal investigations in progress at the Institute. It may be pointed out that Bokaro Steel Ltd. got almost all their refractory samples tested at this Institute at the beginning of their constructional programme and the same is expected to be repeated by all new steel plants. The quantum of such work is expected to be of the order of 80 samples per year per steel plant of 2 million tonne capacity. In addition, the quantum of work for the petroleum industry, is expected to increase considerably.

25. The present testing facilities at the Institute are inadequate to cope with the increasing work load and requirements of the new steel plants proposed to be set up in the country in the 5th and 6th Five Year Plans. The petrochemical industries are also growing and the refractories required by them will also have to be tested by this Institute.

26. Under these circumstances, it is desirable to augment the testing facilities at Central Glass & Ceramic Research Institute from the present of 400 samples per year to at least 600 samples annually. On a rough estimate, the financial provision required for executing the project is likely to be as follows:

(i) Capital Rs. 4.5 lakhs

(ii) Recurring Rs. 1.0 lakh per year

While this may provide a short term solution, looking further ahead for an independent Institute for refractories would be worth considering. The Refractory Industry today has a turn over of Rs. 40 crores annually. Refractories are one of the essential inputs for the steel industry. Considering the large steel development programme envisaged by the country and rapid structural changes in steel technology requiring ever more stringent performance requirement from refractories, it would appear desirable to have a Refractories Research Institute as a separate entity. A combined Research Institute for refractories glass ceramics, enamels and potteries may not provide the right emphasis required for research and development of refractories suited to the local conditions and materials.

27. Import of steel required for manufacture of moulds by the Refractory makers should be allowed if the indigenous manufacturers are not able to supply the requirements.

28. In priority allocation of steel, requirements of steel for cladding of bricks required by steel plants should receive the same priority as the direct steel requirements of steel plants.

# CHAPTER 9

### **TRENDS FOR FUTURE**

1. It is of utmost importance that the growth of the refractory industry is closely linked with that of the steel industry. There is a constant effort on the part of the steel industry to adopt changing trends in modern technology so that the steel making costs come down. This is of very great importance to the integrated steel plants which are highly capital intensive. It would be seen that in all principal steel making countries, such coordinated growth had taken place.

2. In the case of the coke ovens, the trend is now to have taller ovens (6 metre and above) with faster coking rates whereby the output is almost doubled compared to the present ovens. This would need super duty silica bricks. In the case of blast furnaces, technological improvements like high temperature operation, higher blast temperatures, fuel injection, blast enrichment with oxygen along with larger sized furnaces are employed to increase the production. This would require a switch to the use of high alumina bricks. The steel making technology in open hearth furnaces has already changed and silica roofs are replaced by basic roofs and oxygen introduced to reduce the tap to tap time. The furnace size has also increased considerably and Bhilai now uses 500 tonne open hearth furnaces. The steel making practice in tonnage steel plants has completely altered with the introduction of the Basic Oxygen Convertor. While in the initial stages these converters were using tar Dolomite bricks for their lining, the trend now is to use tar impregnated magnesite lining. Further, there is a constant effort to improve the lining life of convertors which could mean a reduction in the levels of consumption of refractories. The soaking zones of reheating furnaces now use electrocast refractories with considerably increased life. These refractories are made by multi blends of magnesia and casting them in brick shapes. Bloating clays are being synthesised to produce the right quality teeming refractiories including ladle bricks. In integrated steel plants nearly 2/3rds of the capital cost is on account of rolling mills and there has been a trend towards replacing the primary mills by continuous casting plants. The continuous bloom or slab casters would dispense with ingot making and soaking pits and throw up the need for high quality zircon refractories. Due to the enormous savings in time and labour arising out of their usage, there is a growing trend towards usage of monolithic refractories. (castables, ramming masses and gunning mixtures etc). They are rammed, poured or vibrated into place which renders unnecessary the design and manufacture of bricks to various shapes and then firing before shipment. Damaged portions of refractory lining can be repaired or critical areas continually maintained by gunning a layer of refractory material without shutting down the furnace.

3. The Committee took note that changes in this direction will need to be followed by Steel Industry in the country although somewhat more gradually than in some of the advanced countries. For example, for the time being, we are likely to standardize on 5 metre high coke ovens and 2000 cu. m. blast furnace of Bokaro design. Use of LD convertors and continuous casting is, however, a definite possibility in the new plants.

4. From the foregoing, it would be seen that the pattern for the future is likely to be one of lesser quantitative demand of refractories but with greater emphasis on quality. The Committee felt that the fact that industry today has a surplus of a particular type of capacity does not mean that the steel plants would have to adopt their technologies to accept such materials. On the other hand, it was obvious that the refractory industry as one of feeder industry for steel plants would have to continuously adapt itself to the technological changes and consequent changes in the type-wise demand pattern of steel industry. It is, however, clarified that the stiff plastic capacity would not be thrown out completely. The economics of production in the steel industry would govern the choice.

# **CHAPTER 10**

## STANDARDIZATION OF REFRACTORIES

The existing steel plants in the country have been set up with assistance of foreign countries like USSR. West Germany and U.K. For construction of the first phase of these plants quite a large amount of refractories were imported from countries assisting set up of plants. As a result, the qualities of refractories and shapes used for construction followed the pattern prevailing in that particular country. Over the years the steel plants have been making efforts to substitute these refractories with those produced within the country. The Indian Standards Institution has also developed a large number of standards for steel plant refractories.

2. In view of the large steel development programme envisaged and sophisticated techniques likely to be employed in these new plants, it was felt necessary that a thorough review of standards already existing should be undertaken and also new standards established where these are not prevailing.

3. The Standardization Sub-Committee was constituted at the first meeting of the Committee on Refractories held at New Delhi on 19th January, 1971.

4. The first meeting of this Sub-Committee was held on 6th April, 1971, in New Delhi. At this meeting, the statements prepared by the Indian Standards Institution covering national standards of various countries including Indian standards and some of the company standards on refractories for steel plants vis-a-vis the requirements of individual steel plants were discussed. All steel plants except Bokaro Steel Ltd. and Bhilai Steel Plant, were represented at this meeting. As a result of the discussions, it was agreed that:

- (a) IS:484-1958 specification for silica refractories for general purposes was acceptable to all and the refractories were obtained on the basis of this specification.
- (b) IS:4812-1968 Silica refractories for coke oven was acceptable.
- (c) The following Indian standards on basic refractories were acceptable :
  - IS:1749-1961 Magnesite refractories for steel plants,
  - IS:3305-1965 Burnt-chrome magnesite refractories for general purposes.
  - 1S:4813-1968 Chemically bonded chrome-magnesite refractories for general purposes.
  - IS:3304-1965 Burnt magnesite-chrome refractories for general purposes, and
  - IS:4814-1968 Chemically-bonded magnesite-chrome refractories for general purposes.

It was, however, mentioned that the question of raising the maximum limit for silica for general purpose refractories may be considered in view of the nature of raw materials available in the country.

- (d) Certain modifications were suggested in the specification for fireclay refractories for steel plants including blast furnace refractories, ladle refractories, and other casting pit refractories; and
- (e) IS:2042-1963 was acceptable except that certain cold crushing strength requirements and ferrous oxide may be specified for the various grades of insulating refractories.

5. In order to examine the specifications of Bokaro and Bhilai Steel Plants, a small working group consisting of CEDB, HSL, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bokaro Steel Plant and ISI representatives was constituted. A meeting of this group was arranged on 11 August, 1971 when the specifications for blast furnace refractories were discussed. The working group has now recommended to include certain permissible limits for surface defects in blast furnace refractories as also to cover 62 percent alumina bricks as an additional grade in the Indian standard. These recommendations were considered by the Refractories Committee of ISI on 21 September, 1971 when it was agreed to process the details with reference to surface defects in blast furnace refractories for incorporation in IS 1529-1971. About 62% Alumina Bricks it was, however, decided to consider standardization only after these bricks are produced in the country. 6. A general agreement has also been reached among the steel plants that steps should be taken to rationalise the sizes of refractories used in the various units. In view of the very large quantities of refractories required for lining of ladles in steel industry, it was agreed to take up this item first followed by other casting pit refractories. A technical proforma supplied by CEDB for the collection of information has been circulated to all the steel plants. Data has also been received from some organisations.

7. Regarding standardization of refractories for electric furnaces, the Steel Furnace Association of India has issued a detailed questionnaire for collection of data to consider unification of quality, shape and sizes of refractories for their use.

# CHAPTER 11

# **11. RAW MATERIALS**

1. There has been a longstanding complaint from the refractory industry that one of the reasons why they are not able to give refractories of the qualities desired by the steel plants is the non-availability of good quality raw materials. In order to investigate this matter further to find out the possibilities of obtaining raw materials for the refractory industry from various parts of the country especially, in view of the steel expansion programme envisaged, a Sub-Committee to go into this aspect in detail was set up.

2. Data has been collected from the Indian Bureau of Mines. Geological Survey of India, the Central Glass & Ceramics Research Institute and the departments of Mines and Geology in a few States. Proforma for data on specifications and consumption norms for raw materials were circulated to the IRMA for furnishing details. It was noted that many State Governments are engaged in evaluating mineral resources in their states but the relevant data were not available. Some of the important states contributing the major share of the production of the raw-materials were approached for furnishing relevant data. So far the States of Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Mysore, Orissa. Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal have responded. Material is yet to be received from Andhra Pradesh, Bihar and Maharashtra.

The data on the refractory industry, with particular reference to resources, consumption etc. of kyanite, sillimanite and magnesite are broadly summarised in the following paragaraphs.

### General Review of Industry during 1970

3. The Indian Bureau of Mines has tabulated data on 50 units (based on non-statutory basis, coverage possibly incomplete). The DGTD have, however, reported 43 units accounting for a cumulative installed capacity of 180,000 tonnes/month of refractory products till the end of February, 1971.

### Mineral Consumption

4. Practically-all units consume fireclay, the annual consumption being nearly 5,00,000 tonnes. During 1970, 30 units reported consumption of 366, 336 tonnes of fireclay. Next in order of consumed tonnages are raw magnesite, bauxite, China clay, chromite, kyanite, etc.

# Kyanite

5. As per present indications, requirements of raw kyanite during 1970 were approximately 25,500 tonnes as follows:

-(i)	Requirements of calcine	rs for export				12,000 tonne
-(1)	<b>Requirements</b> of calence	is for export	•	•	•	12,000 ton

Requirement of indigenous refractory	makers	(inclu	-	
ding demand for Bokaro)	•	•	•	13,500
				25,500

6. As against this, the total availability of raw kyanite during 1970 was of the order of 119,000 tonnes, about half of which was from the Indian Copper Corporation leases. From data furnished by units reported, it appears that production of kyanite with above  $60\% Al_2O_3$  exceeded 78000 tonnes and that of medium grade (50-60%  $Al_2O_3$ ) exceeded 90,900 tonnes. Export was mainly of standard grade.

#### Reserves

7. As per mineral inventory prepared by I.B.M. jointly with the Geological Survey of India the grand total of reserves of all categories and grades is 379,000 tonnes. Of these about 186,100 tonnes are indicated reserves of high grade and 40,400 tonnes are indicated reserves of low grade from the Lapso Buru deposits. Maharashtra Government have estimated 19,15,300 tonnes inferred reserves in the Dehegaon-Pimralgaon areas, where kyanite forms about 70-80% and kyanite, sillimatite together about 95% of the massive ore. The grade-wise break-up of reserves of Bhandara, Maharashtra are as follows:

1,36,300 to	onnes	•	•	•				•	$\pm$ 58 percent A1 <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>
99,500	,,		•	•	•	•			54—58 " "
82,400	"	•	•	•		•	•		50—53 ,, ,,
15,97,000	,,	•	•	•	. •		•		below 50 percent Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> .

Geological Survey of India have reported 67,400 tonnes of low grade rock containing about 30% kyanite in Shirbai and other areas, Singhbhum. Part of the material is in floats. Beneficiation tests are being conducted on bulk sample in the I.B.M.

### Sillimanite

The principal refractory units consumed between 900 to 1000 tonnes of sillimanite during 1970, registering a considerable decrease in proportion to the consumption of kyanite. Production during the year was 4,562 tonnes. This is ascribed by the industry to the following reasons:

- (i) Lower alumina content than the desired minimum of 60%.
- (ii) Ferruginous encrustations in supplied raw sillimanite causing iron spots in refractories leading to heavy rejection.
- (iii) Non-availability of massive variety. Available material is weathered, of high water absorption. Therefore the advantage of using sillimanite for dense products is lost.
- (iv) Calcined Lapso Buro kyanite, being much cheaper than Sonapahar sillimanite, has displaced the latter wherever technically feasible. Sillimanite was used only where unavoidable, on account of special properties required.
- (v) Rewa sillimanite, though cheaper, is stated to be of a yastly inferior quality. Other known/reported occurrences are also of low grade.

#### Reserves

2. As per mineral inventory prepared by IBM jointly with GSI reserves of all categories and grades of sillimanite total 343,400 tonnes, of which 12,000 tonnes are indicated reserves. Reserves of Assam sillimanite (in Meghalaya) about 239,100 tonnes are of 58-61% Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub> grade. Among a major resource may be mentioned the detrital sillimanite in the beach sands of Kerala. The mineral constitutes about 5-10% of the sand, the beaches stretching over 26 km in length with a width of about 30 metres. Reserves have not been estimated, although the material assays 61% Al<sub>2</sub>0<sub>8</sub>. The recovered mineral is indigenously consumed in making refractory and other products and some quantity is also exported.

Export: A substantial part of the sillimanite produced is exported though the trend is fluctuating and erratic over the years.

# Magnesite

There are five units for the manufacture of basic refractory bricks located in Bihar, Orissa, West Bengal and Tamil Nadu. The Hindustan Steel Limited plant under erection at Bhilai may be the sixth one. There are 6 units manufacturing lightly calcined/dead burnt and sintered magnesite, of which 4 are located close to the Salem deposits and one at Jamshedpur and another at Balpahar. Practically all producers of dead-burnt magnesite have captive sources.

Production during 1970 was 348,962 tonnes, the major portion originating from the Salem deposits in Tamil Nadu. Export of both calcined and non-calcined magnesite totalled 34,154 tonnes.

#### Resources

As per mineral inventory prepared by IBM jointly with the GSI, reserves of *in-situ* magnesite of all grades in the country have been placed at:

Measured	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1,018,000 tonnes
Indicated						•	•	•	22,045,000 tonnes
Inferred			· .			•			29,346,900 tonnes

Of these, recoverable reserves are 802,600 tonnes (measured) in Dawaldhar deposits in U.P. 1,219,400 tonnes (indicated) and 1,520,700 tonnes (inferred) in Salem area, Tamil Nadu. Besides, a deposit of talc-magnesite of Bhitardari in Singhbhum, Bihan can also be considered as a resource.

The main problem in the Salem deposits is not one of resources, but of their recoverability. The total magnesite content in *in-situ* rock is placed at 4,875 tonnes to a depth of 30 metres, constituting about 40% of the ore *in-situ*. But under the present system of mining and sorting, only a fraction—3 to 10 per cent—of the whole bulk is obtained as saleable raw magnesite. Huge dumps of rejects analysing around 30—35 percent MgCO<sub>8</sub> are reportedly lying unutilized in the mine areas. This aspect would be checked by the Sub-Committee in detail. If this be the case, extraction and utilization of this magnesite from the dumps is an extremely vital problem.

#### Beneficiation and utilization

Considerable research has been done on beneficiation of low grade magnesite and preparation of refractory bricks. The data are too exhaustive to be featured in this brief note. A few points are, however, worthy of attention.

C.G.C.R.I. produced Forsterite bricks using Salem magnesite, Jabalpur fire-clay and Gangetic silt or building sands and found that Gangetic silt appeared better than Jabalpur fireclay. The bricks fired at 1600°C indicated promising results comparable with imported samples.

I.B.M. conducted tests on beneficiation of dump samples of low grade magnes te from Salem deposits. Further tests are in progress. However, it has been tentatively concluded that the high silica in the dump magnesite cannot be reduced below 2% and the weight per cent recovery of concentrate is low. However, in so far as the beneficiation of dressing rejects are concerned, it has been possible to obtain products conforming to requirements in all respects except for the fine size of the powder and the slightly high silica. There is a case for continuing studies on the problems of beneficiation of dressing rejected from the Salem area.

Tests have also indicated that Almora magnesite is superior to Salem magnesite as a fettling material and that a 1:1 blend of the two material may result in production of straight magnesite refractory bricks of acceptable quality.

#### General remarks

It was observed that experiments are being conducted to beneficiate kyanite. It is, however, in the country's interest that high grade material should be made available to the Refractory. Industry. If necessary, export commitments entered into for kyanite should be reviewed. The high cost of beneficiation would adversely affect the economics of the refractory industry.

#### Sillimanite

The deposits of sillimanite in Meghalaya are the world's best. However, production has diminished and production costs have increased due mainly to the following factors:

- (a) Non-renewal of leases due to non-payment of dues to Govt. of Assam.
- (b) Depletion of easily mineable resources, combined with lack of any detailed prospecting by lessee.
- (c) Increased production costs due to higher overburden ratio on one hand, and higher establishment costs, broken down machinery, engagement of intermediaries like stockists, selling agents, etc.
- (d) Lack of finance and stability in the company.

It is recommended that Government may examine taking over of sillimanite deposits after expiry of the current lease agreements.

# **CHAPTER 12**

### **EQUIPMENT FOR MANUFACTURE OF REFRACTORIES**

1. The manufacturing process for refractories can be divided into the following 4 stages:

- (i) Crushing & grinding.
- (ii) Forming.
- (iii) Drying.
- (iv) Firing.

2. For each of the 3 types of refractories, namely, fireclay, basic and silica, different types of equipment are required. Some types of equipment are available indigenously while others - require import.

# 3. Crushing & Grinding

		Fireclay	Silica	Basic
(a)	Primary Crushing	Jaw crushers (indigenous)	Jaw crushers (indigenous)	Jaw crushers (indigenous)
(b)	Course grinding	Impact Mill or Pan Mill (indigenous)	Rod Mill and Cone Crushers (indigenous)	Mexican Mill or Cone Crusher (indigenous)
(c)	Fine grinding	Ball Mill or Mexican Mill (indigenous)	Ball Mill or Mexican Mill (indigenous)	

4. After crushing, vibrating screens are required for screening the material to size and magnetic separators to remove ferrous inclusions. Vibrating screens as well as magnetic separators (both of the drum and plate type) are available indigenously.

5. The next step is to weigh the various ingredients in a batch weighing car and this is not available indigenously. The mixing of the batch is done in a mixer which is available within the country. In the case of silica refractrories, silica mixing mill with quick discharge equipment needs to be imported.

6. The mix is next to be transported to the press for which either overhead cranes or electric pulleys are employed which can be obtained indigenously.

#### Forming

7. There are various types of presses employed by the industry for manufacture of bricks, depending upon the quality of bricks to be produced. In the case of fireclay refractories, the presses used by the industry are:

- (a) Friction Screw (Berger) with a pressure ranging from 150 to 400 tonnes.
- (b) Rotary Table Press like Spengler, Laise, Bokhao and Victory, with a pressure ranging from 100 to 300 tonnes.

- (c) Mechanical Toggle Press of the type Boyd, with a pressure ranging from 400 to 800 tonnes; and
- (d) Hydraulic Toggle Press like, Horn, with a pressure ranging from 300 to 1,200 tonnes.

7. In the case of basic refractories, only heavy duty presses are used. There is indigenous availability for Friction Screw (Berger) upto a pressure of 150 tonnes. The rest of the presses are being imported. Schemes for manufacture of heavy duty presses have been permitted by the Government. These are in favour of M/s ACC Ltd., Bombay, M/s Cement Distributors Ltd., New Delhi, M/s Indian Sugar & General Engineering Corpn., Yamuna Nagar and M/s Ishwar Industries Ltd., New Delhi. Besides, an industrial licence has been issued to M s Excelsior Plant Corporation, New Delhi for manufacture of heavy duty presses. It is suggested that DGTD closely follow implementation of these schemes so that the requirements of the industry are met expeditiously.

#### 8. Drying

The facilities for drying can be installed with local talents and the only equipment that has to be imported is the Hydraulic Pusher.

### 9. Firing

Firing or burning or refractories is accomplished through use of three different types of kilns. These are down draft kilns, chamber kilns or continuous tunnel kilns. The knowhow for construction of down draft kilns is available indigenously while for chamber kilns and tunnel kilns, engineering drawings have to be imported. The other imported equipments required for tunnel kilns are Hydraulic Pusher, Roll Shutter Doors capable of withstanding high temperature, firing equipment like burners and hot air fans. The items of equipment available indigenously for kilns are motors and recording instruments.

# CHAPTER 13

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The task of compiling details of demand and availability of refractories was indeed very great and it would not have been possible to do so in the time available to the Committee but for the willing co-operation extended by all the Members of the Committee, the General Managers of the Steel Plants and the Chairman and the Member units of the Indian Refractory Makers' Association. Special mention is, however, necessary for the assistance rendered by Shri R.N.S. Iyer, who was the coordinator for the Sub-Committee which made an assessment of the availability of refractories from the refractory industry and Mr. S.R. Khanna, Development Officer, DGTD, who was the co-ordinator of the Sub-Committee which made an analysis of the demand projections. I thank Shri K.K. Bandhopadhyaya of the Rourkela Steel Plant, Shri I.C. Modi of Durgapur Steel Plant, Shri S.K. Mukherji of the Bhilai Steel Plant, Shri P.S. Sundaram of Rourkela Steel Plant, Shri K.S. Swaminathan of Tata Iron and Steel Company, Shri Gupta Roy of Indian Iron & Steel Company and Shri J.R.K Murty of the Harry Refractories and Ceramics Private Ltd., who made significant contributions to the work of this Committee.

2. I am also grateful to Dr. S.P. Verma, Industrial Adviser, DGTD, Dr. S.S. Ghose, Deputy Chairman, Indian Refractory Makers' Association, Shri M.H. Dalmia of Orissa Cement Ltd.and Shri Kamal Morarka of India Firebricks and Insulation Co. Ltd., who have either served on the Sub-Committees for making an assessment of the demand and availability projections or whose knowledge and understanding of the subject were extremely useful in the deliberations of the Committee.

3. There was a large amount of statistical data which had tobe analysed, compiled, checked and rechecked. I am grateful to Shri T.R. Anantharaman and Shri N.S. Murdeshwar, Senior Refractories Engineer of the Central Engineering and Design Bureau for their wholehearted assistance in this respect.

4. I thank Shri D.S. Chabbal, Development Officer of the DGTD and Dr. D.N. Nandi of the CGCRI for their contribution to the Chapters on Historical Development of Refractory Industry, and usage of Refractories in Steel Plants.

5. Finally, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Shri S. Vangala, Member-Secretary for his painstaking efforts and systematic approach and untiring patience in the Committee's work. I am also thankful to the various members of the staff of the Department of Steel for rendering secretarial assistance in compilation of this Report.

(Sd) HARI BHUSHAN

# CONTENTS

.

ANNEXU	RE	PAGE
Α	Resolution setting up the Refractories Committee	53
В	Proforma for compilation of availability and demand of Refractories	57
С	Consumption of steel plant refractories	63
D	Consolidated demand of refractories for steel industry-1971 to 1985	67
E	Report on re-examined demand of major categories of refractories required by the steel industry '	85
F	Detailed requirements of refractories from 1971 to 1980	93
G	Estimated year-wise steel production from 1971 to 1972, 1985 to 1986	145
н	Assumed construction schedule of steel plants	149
J	Availability of refractories as furnished by IRMA	.151
к	Consolidated availability of refractories	155
L	Report of the Expert Group who made an assessment of availability of refractories for steel Industry	165
M	Requirement of special refractories for steel plants	201
N	Glossary of terms commonly used in connection with the refractories and furnaces	229

ANNEXURE A

.

•

#### (To be published in the Gazette of India, Part I, Section I)

## No. RM-5(6)/70

#### GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

# MINISTRY OF STEEL AND HEAVY ENGINEERING

New Delhi, dated 7-1-1971

#### RESOLUTION

Serious shortage in the supply of refractories of requisite quality and in adequate quantities to the steel plants have been affecting the production in the existing steel plants as well as the construction schedule of the Bokaro Steel Plant. Adequate availability of refractories of various categories and of the required specifications is essential to meet the recurring operational and maintenance requirements of the steel plants and for the creation of new capacities for production of iron and steel. To plan the production of refractories to fit in with the steel development programme, Government have decided to appoint a Committee to examine this problem in all its aspects and to make suitable recommendations. The terms of reference of this Committee shall be as under:—

- (a) To make a quantitative estimate of the requirements of different categories of refractories, by type and quality, needed by the Steel Industry in the next 5 years both for maintenance and construction purposes;
- (b) To assess the existing installed capacity in the country for the manufacture of different categories of refractories, to analyse reasons for shortfalls in production and to suggest suitable measures to raise the production to the level of rated capacity;
- (c) To examine and recommend the extent of additional capacity that should be set up, and in what stage, to meet adequately the needs of the Steel Industry as a whole in the next 15 years, the number and optimum size of the units required, the relative economics of setting up new units vis-a-vis increasing the capacity of existing units or reviving units which have closed down for various reasons and the extent to which new units should be get up in the public sector either as captive units or otherwise;
- (d) To assess the capacity available in the country for manufacture of plant and equipment needed for the manufacture of refractories and recommend suitable measures to meet the likely demand for such equipment;
- (e) To examine the scope of standardisation in the specifications for refractories and to make suitable recommendations;
- (f) To assess the availability of the resources of raw materials such as magnesite, chromite, bauxite etc. to support the development of refractory industry in the country; and
- (g) Any other matter germane to the subject.
- 2. The following will be the composition of the Committee:-

1.	Shri Hari Bhushan, Senior Industrial Adviser, Ministry of Steel and Heavy Engineering	Chairman.
2.	Shri T.R. Anantharaman, Superintendent (Refractories), Central Engineering and Design Bureau, Hindustan Steel Ltd. Ranchi.	Member.
3.	Dr. V.G. Bhatia, Economic Adviser, Ministry of Steel and Heavy Engg.	Member.
4.	Dr. S.P. Varma, Industrial Adviser, Directorate General of Technical Development	Memher.
5.	A representative of the Refractories Industry to be nominated by the Indian Refractory Makers' Association, Royal Exchange. 6, Netaji Subash Road, Calcutta-1.	Member.
6.	Shri N.B. Ghosh, Senior Geologist, Geological Survey of India, 27, Jawahar Lal Nehru Road, Calcutta-13.	Memb <mark>er</mark> .
7.	Shri J.C. Baneriee, Dy. Director, Central Glass and Ceramic Research Institute, Jadavpur, Calcutta	Memh <b>er</b> .

A representative of the Indian Standards Institute, Manak Bhayan B.S. Zafar Marg, New Delhi

9. Shri S. Vangala, Development Officer. Ministry of Steel and Heavy Engineering.

Member-Secretary

Member.

÷

The Committee should submit its report as early as possible, but not later than 30th April, 1971.

(SD) K.G.R. IYER, Joint Secretary to the Govt. of India

New DELHI, dated the 7th Jan. 1971

No. RM-5(6) 70

S.

Ordered that copy of the Resolution be communicated to all concerned. Ordered also that the Resolution be published in the Gazette of India for general information.

> (Sd.) K.G.R. IYER Joint Secretary to the Govt. of India

# 56

ORDER

ANNEXURE B

# Availability of Refractories

Name of the Unit

(a (t (a (a (a	Fireclay a) Ladles (i) Steel (ii) Blast Furnace (iii) Electric Steel b) Checkers Open Hearth c) Checkers Blast Furnace (d) Checkers Coke Oven (e) Soaking Pit Covers f) Blast Furnace (i) Hearth (ii) Bosh	сарасну	capacity	production 1970	1971	1972	1973
(a (t (a (a	<ul> <li>a) Ladles <ul> <li>(i) Steel</li> <li>(ii) Blast Furnace</li> <li>(iii) Electric Steel</li> </ul> </li> <li>b) Checkers Open Hearth</li> <li>c) Checkers Blast Furnace</li> <li>d) Checkers Coke Oven</li> <li>e) Soaking Pit Covers</li> <li>f) Blast Furnace <ul> <li>(i) Hearth</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
(t (c (c	<ul> <li>(i) Steel</li> <li>(ii) Blast Furnace</li> <li>(iii) Electric Steel</li> <li>(iii) Electric Steel</li> <li>(iii) Electric Steel</li> <li>(iii) Electric Steel</li> <li>(i) Checkers Open Hearth</li> <li>(i) Checkers Coke Oven</li> <li>(i) Hearth</li> </ul>						
(a (a (e	<ul> <li>c) Checkers Blast Furnace</li> <li>d) Checkers Coke Oven</li> <li>e) Soaking Pit Covers</li> <li>f) Blast Furnace <ul> <li>(i) Hearth</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
(a (e	<ul> <li>d) Checkers Coke Oven</li> <li>e) Soaking Pit Covers</li> <li>f) Blast Furnace <ul> <li>(i) Hearth</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
(6	<ul> <li>(e) Soaking Pit Covers</li> <li>(f) Blast Furnace</li> <li>(i) Hearth</li> </ul>						
	f) Blast Furnace (i) Hearth						
(1	(i) Hearth						
	(iii) Inwall (iv) Stoves and auxiliaries	5					
6	(g) Coke Oven Shapes						
(1	b) Others (Reheating Furnac Soaking Pit etc.)	æ,					
(	<ul> <li>(j) Pouring refractories</li> <li>(i) Steel -</li> <li>(ii) Electric Steel</li> </ul>						
	High Alumina (45 to 75%, Al₂ O₃)						
III. E	Basic						
(	(a) Burnt						
(	(b) Unburnt (chemically bon	đed)					
(	(c) Tar bonded dolomite						
IV. S	Silica						
(	(a) Coke Oven						
C	(b) Open Hearth Roof and o Electric Furnace Roofs	r					
(	(c) Converters						
(	(d) Others						
V. 1	Insulation						
	(i) Mica						
(	(ii) Vermiculite						
(	(iii) Diatomite						
(	(iv) Fireclay base						

(vi) Others

•

<sup>9-1</sup> D of S/ND/ 72

	Type of Refractories	Installed capacity		Actual production 1970	Estimated Productic (in '000 Tonnes)				
			<u></u>		1971	1972	1973		
VI.	Mortars	-							
	(i) Fireclay								
	(ii) Silica								
	(iii) High Alumina								
	(iv) Basic								
	(v) Insulating								
VII.	Masses, Castables								
	(carbon paste, Carbon Ramming Mass, Tar dolomite Ramming Mass, Basic Ramming Mass, High Alumina Ramming Mass and others).								
VIII.	Granulated Refractories								
	(Pea Magnesite Burnt & dolomite Chips and others.)								
IX.	Special Refractories [Castables (special) silicon carbide, High Alumina (above 75%) fuse cast, Graphite carbon blocks, Zircon refra- ctories and any other type].								
Х.	Acid proof Bricks								

.

-

teel P	rođu	ction (In '000 tonnes)	Year/To	nnage	1971	72	73	74	75	76	5 7	17	78	79
		· · · · ·				R	equire	ment	(In '	000 1	onn	(8)		
Ту	Type of Refractories		Consum Norr	ption ns	Process Dry/Wet	1971	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	7
			1969	1970										_
I.	Fire	eclav												
	(a)	Ladles (i) Steel (ii) Blast Furnace (iii) Electric Steel												
	(b)	Checkers Open Hearth												
	(c)	Checkers Blast Furnace												
	(d)	Checkers Coke Ovens												
	(e)	Soaking Pit Covers												
	(f)	Blast Furnace (i) Hearth (ii) Bosh (iii) Inwall (iv) Stoves and auxiliaries												
	(g)	Coke Oven Shapes												
	<b>(h)</b>	Others (Reheating Furnace, Soaking Pit etc.)												
	(j)	Pouring refractories (i) Steel (ii) Electric Steel												
II.	-	to 75%, A1:03)												
III.	Bas	ic												
	(a)	Burnt												
	(b)	Unburnt (chemically bonded)	i											
	(c)	Tar bonded dolomite												
IV.	Sili	ica												
	(a)	Coke Oven												
	(b)	Open Hearth Roof and or Electric Furnace Roofs												
	(c)	Converters												
	(d)	Others												
V.	Ins	ulation												
	(i)	) Mica												
		Vermiculite												
		). Diatomite												
		Fireclay base												
	(v)	Light weight fireclay												

Requirement of Refractories operation capital repairs new construction

- (v) Light weight fireclay
- (vi) Others

.

Name of the Unit

÷

# ANNEXURE B Pt B

Steel Production (in '000 tonnes)	Year/Tonnage	: 1971	7 <b>2</b>	73	74	75	76	; 7	7	78	79
	<u></u>		R	equire	ments	6 (in '	000	tonn	cs)		
Type of Refractories	Consumption Norms	Process Dry/Wet	1971	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
	1969 1970										

# Requirement of Refractories operation capital repairs new construction

- VI. Mortars
  - (i) Fireclay
  - (ii) Silica
  - (iii) High Alumina
  - (iv) Basic
  - (v) Insulating
- VII. Masses, Castables

(carbon paste, Carbon Ramming Mass, Tar dolomite Ramming Mass, Basic Ramming Mass, High Alumina Ramming Mass and others).

VIII. Granulated Refractories (Pea Magnesite Burnt Dolomite Chips and others).

# IX. Special Refractories

[Castables (special) silicon carbide, High Alumina (above 75%) fuse cast, Graphite carbon blocks, Zircon refractories and any other type].

X. Acid Proof Bricks

`

ANNEXURE C

ANNEXURE C

PLANT		CTUAL CONSUMPTION 0-71 Kg/Tonne of Steel	Projected Norm Upto 1975-76
IISCO		60*	40.0
TISCO .		42.0	38.0
ROURKELA .	•	26.0	25.0
BHILAI .		26.0	26.0
DURGAPUR		38.5	40.0
ASP	•	120	144
MISL		33.0	33.0
BOKARO			
ELEC. FURNACES		15.0	15.0

# Consumption of Steel Plant Refractories

#### NOTES:

**Fireclay Refractories** 

- \* Includes relining of 1 Blast Furnace.
- 1. Norms do not include requirement of mortar.
- 2. Operational and capital repair requirements are included.
- 3. HSL figures do not include High Alumina requirement which amounts to 3% of Fire clay requirement.
- 4. Similarly in the case of Bokaro, High Alumina requirement of 3% of Fireclay refractories is also not included.
- 5. Norms vary from Plant to Plant due to the process and the age of plant. Depending on incidence of capital repairs to blast furnaces these would vary.
- 6. Ferro Alloy Producers' annual requirement: 540T (inclusive of High Alumina).

			,
Consumption in	70-71 Kg/Tonne	Projected norms Kg/Ton	
Burnt	Chemically	Burnt	Chemically

6.0

4.5

2.9

5.0

11.0

. .

13.55

bonded

3.0

3.0

1.5

13.6

16.6

16.6

. .

6.0

5.0

3.5

18.3

6.0

11.0

1.1

bonded

3.0

2.5

1.2

5.5

14.0

. .

16.0

# **Basic Refractories**

Plant

IISCO .

TISCO .

BHILAI .

BOKARO

ASP

ROURKELA .

DURGAPUR

.

Durgapur and Bhilai's requirements consider Oxygen blowing in Open Hearth Furnaces.

Norms for Elect. Furnaces is 8.6 Kg/T comprising of Magnesite 6.6 Kg/T Mag Cr. 1.0 Kg/T Chrome Mg. 1.0 Kg T.

3. Ferro Alloy Producers' Annual requirement : 500.

-

•

.

.

٠

.

.

#### **ANNEXURE II**

Plant					Consumption 70-71 Kg/T	Projected norms upto 75-76 (Kg/T)
ISSCO .		 -			16.2	16.2
TISCO .		•	•		12.0	10.0
HSL .		•			2.4	2.4
MISL .	•			•	13.0	13.0
Electric F (Roo		) <b>n-r</b>	oof:	0.9)	5.6	5.6

Ferro Alloy producers do not require Silica Refractories.

### **ANNEXURE III**

#### Dead Burnt Magnesite (for fettling)

		-								
	IISCO .	•		•			•		3.0 K	g/T
_	TISCO			•		-			3.3	,,
	Rourkela			•	•			•	3.15	,,
	Bhilai .					•			10.00	,,
	Durgapur		•		•	•		•	4.00	,,
	Electric Furna	aces		•	•	•	•		7.00	"

\*The norm is higher in the case of Bhilai due to their Bottom burning practice which was agreed to be a good method to which other plants are also considering a change over.

ANNEXURE I

Silica Refractories

ANNEXURE D

•

.

ANNEXURE D

# Fire clay (1971 to 1985) Demand of FIRECLAY (INCLUDING HIGH ALUMINA) Refractories for Steel Industry 1971 to 1985

Name of t	ha 101	a		1971				19	1972				
	lie Fi	ants	HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL			
Rourkela		•	0.4	0.3	23.5	2.0	2.3	2.0	30.5	5.3			
Bhilai .	•		0.2	27.6	••	34.5	0.2	27.8		40.2			
Durgaput			0.7	3.3	28.2	17.6	0.8	4.1	40.8	19.7			
ASP .	•		0.3		2.1	8.3	0.3		2.1	8.3			
TISCO .			2.2	12.7	42.4	27.0	4.5	16.3	34.6	27.6			
IISCO .			0.2	••	3.1	51.2	0.2	••	3.0	55.0			
MISCO		•	2.3			*	2.3	••	••	••			
Bhilai Exp.	С	•				••	••	••		••			
	0	•	••	••	••			••	••	••			
Bokaro	С		••	••	0.7	• •	4.3	1.4	10.4				
	0						0.2	3.0	1.6	0.2			
NP I	С	• .	••	••		<u>.</u> .		••	••	••`			
	0					••		••	• •				
NP II	С				••		••	••	••	••			
•	0					•• *	••	••		••			
NP III	С					•••	••	••	••				
	0			••			• •	••		• •			
NP IV	С		••	••		••			••	••			
	0		• •	• •		••	•• -		• •				
NP V	С			••		••			••	• •			
	0		• •					••					
Iospet	С			••	•••		••	••					
-	0		••	•				••		••			
/izag	С		••	••		••	••	••	••	••			
-	0		• •			••		••	••	••			
. Alloy		•	0.6	••	0.4	••	0.3	••	0.1	••			
.F.A.I.	•	•	••	9.9		5.9	••	14		9			
TOTAL			6.9	53.2	100.4	146.5	15.4	68.6	123.1	165.3			

N 6 .1	. <b>D</b> 1.			1973	1			1974	Ļ	
Name of the	ne Plar	115	HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
Rourkela			1.9	1.6	30.7	5.1	1.9	1.6	28.9	3.2
Bhilai .		•	0.2	30.9	••	46.4	0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3
Durgapur	•		0.9		25.2	21.4	1.0	3.2	36.9	30.1
ASP .	•	•	0.3		2.1	8.3	0.3	••	2.1	8.3
TISCO .	•	•	3.4	16.3	34.6	27.6	4.4	16.3	34.3	25.4
lisco .	•	•	0.2		3.1	50.0	0.2	•••	3.0	67.6
MISCO		•	2.3	••	••	••	2.3			
Bhilai Exp.	. <b>C</b>	•		2.8	2.8	2.8	••	1.9	1.9	18
-	0		••		••	••	••	••	••	•••
Bokaro	С	•	9.0	1.6	10.7	••	9.0	1.8	11.5	
	0		0.5	8.8	4.9	0.6	0.6	11.8	6.5	0.8
NP I	С			••	••					
	0				••	••			••	. • •
NP II	С		••	••		••		••	• -	••
	0		••	••		••	••		••	
NP III	С	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
	0				••	••		••	••	••
NP IV	С	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
	0				••	••	••	••	••	••
NP V	С	•	••			••	••			- •
	0		••	••	••	••	••	••	• •	• •
Hospet	С	•	• •		••	••	6.5	3.5	18.0	• •
	0				••	••	••	••	••	••
Vizag	С	•		• •	••	••	6.5	3.5	17.5	••
	0		••		••	••	••	••	••	••
F. Alloys	•		0.5	•••	0.1		0.4	• •	0.1	••
S.F.A.I.	•	•	• •	16	••	9.6	••	18.0		10.4
Τοται	L		19.2	78	114 2	171.8	33.3	92.2	165.7	184.9

Demand of FIRECLAY (INCLUDING HIGH ALUMINA) Refractories for Steel Industry 1971 to 1985

Nome of th	- D1			1975				19 <b>76</b>		
Name of th	le Plar		HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
Rourkela		•	1.9	1.6	32.1	7.2	0.7	0.3	26.7	2.0
Bhilai .			0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3	0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3
Durgapur			1.0	3.3	36.9	24.1	1.0	3.2	36.9	24.0
ASP .		•	0.3		2.1	8.3	0.3	••	2.1	8.3
TISCO .		•	4.4	16.3	34.3	27.0	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6
IISCO .			0.2	••	2.5	60.4	0.2		1.0	68.8
MISCO		•	2.3	••		` <b></b>	2.3			
Bhilai Exp.	<b>C</b>			1.9	1.9	1.8		0.9	0.9	1.0
	<b>O</b>		0.4	10.0	2.8	0.5	.0.71	18.6	15.0	0.9
Bokaro	С			0.3	2.5					
	0		0.8	15.0	8.3	1.0	1.1	20.6	11.3	1.4
NP I	С	•	6.5	3.5	18.0	••	6.5	8.5	18.0	••
	ο					••	••	••	••	
NP II	·C	-		••	••	••	6.5	3.5	18.0	
	0	_						••	••	
NP III	С	•		••				••	ו•	••
	0		••	••		••	•• .	••	••	••
NP IV	C		••		•• .		••	••		• •
	0		••		••		••		••	
NP V	C	•	••	•••	••	••		••	••	••
	0						••	••		••
Hospet	С	•	6.5	36.5	18.0	18.0	6.5	3.5	18.0	
	Ο			••			••	••	• •	
Vizag.	С		6.5	3.5	17.5		6.5	3.5	17.5	
-	0		••	• •		••	••		••	
F. Alloys		•	0.7		0.1	• •	0.2	••	0.1	••
S.F.A.I.	•	•	••	19.4	•••	12.0	••	22.0	••	13.0
Тота	L	•	31.7	108.9	182.0	179.6	34.9	126.5	194.6	178.3

Demand of FIRECLAY (INCLUDING HIGH ALUMINA) Refractories for Steel Industry 1971 to 1985

Name of	the Pla	ints		197	7			197	8	
			HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	ңG	LG	PL
Rourkela			1.7	2.5	26.5	2.2	1.5	1.9	29.7	6.2
Bhilai .			0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3	0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3
Durgapur	· .		1.0	3.2	36.9	30.1	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6
ASP .			0.3		2.0	8.3	0.3		2.1	8.3
TISCO .			2.2	16.3	35. i	21.6	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6
IISCO .			0.2	• •	0.2	59.2	0.2		00.1	59.
MISL .			2.3				2.3			
Bhilai Exp	on.C	-		· •		••			••	
	0		0.9	29.8	6.2	1.1	1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3
Bokaro	С					••			••	••
	0		1.4	26.5	14.6	1.8	1.7	30.5	16.8	2.1
NP. I	С		6.5	3.5	18.0	•	- 3.5	1.5	9.0	
	0				••		0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0
NP. II 🔶	С		6.5	3.5	18.0		6.5	3.5	18.0	
	0			••	•••	••	••		10.0	••
NP. III	С		6.5	3.5	18.0		· 6.5	3.5	18.0	••
	0		••							••
NP. IV	С				•••		6.5	3.5	18.0	•
_	0							0.0		••
NP. V	С					••		•••	••	••
	0							••	•• •	· •
Hospet	С		3.5	1.5	9.0		3.0	2.0	9.0	••
•	0		0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0	1.2	30.6	9.0 8.4	1.5
Vizag	С		3.5	1.5	8.8		3.0	2.0	9.0	
	0		0.8	23.2	5.6	1.0	1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5
Ferro Allo	vs		0.4		0.1	0.7	0.5		0.1	
SFAI .	•	•		24.3	••	14.6	•••	27 4		16.5
Τοται		•	38.7	190.6	208.7	178.2	43·1	234.7	235.7	180.2

Demand of FIRECLAY	(INCLUDING HIGH	( ALUMINA)	Refractories for
-	Steel Industry 1971 t		•

、

Name of t	he Dian			1979	9			1980	<b>)</b>	
	ne Plan		HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
Rourkela			1.5	1.9	30.6	7.4	1.5 -	1.9	26.5	2.0
Bhilai .	. *		0.2	30. <b>6</b>	5.0	37.3	0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3
Durgapur			1.0	3.3	36.9	23.6	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6
ASP .			0.3		2.1	8.3	0.3		2.1	8.3
TISCO .			2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6
IISCO .			0.2		•	60.6	0.2			60.6
MISL .		•	2.3	••			2.3		•••	· · ·
Bhilai Exp	). C								••	
	0		1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3	1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3
Bokaro	С		••					••		
	0		1.8	33.4	18.4	2.3	2.2	40.8	22.6	2.8
NP. I	С		3.0	2.0	9.0	••	3.0	2.0	9.0	
	0		1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
NP. II	с		3.5	1.5	9.0	• •	3.0	2.0	9.0	
	0		0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0	1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5
NP. III	С	•	6.5	3.5	18.0		3.5	1.5	9.0	
	ō			•••			0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0
NP. IV	C		6.5	3.5	18.0		6.5	3.5	18.0	
	ō	•	•••							
NP. V	č		6.5	3.5	18.0	••	6.5	3.5	18.0	
	ō	•								
Hospet	Ċ		3.0	2.0	9.0					
	õ	•	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
Vizag	č		3.0	2.0	9.0					
	ō		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
Ferro Alle	-		0.3		0.1		0.7	0.1	0.1	
SFAI .	. `	•		30.5		2.0		33.5		2.0
Τοτα	L.		48.0	288.0	260.9	187.1	47.4	344.7	263.2	. 286.0

Demand of FIRECLAY (INCLUDING HIGH ALUMINA) Refractories for Steel Industry 1971 to 1985

、

.

Name of t				198	1			198	2	
INGINE OF L	n <b>o 1</b> 48	ais	HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
Rourkela	•		1.5	1.9	26.5	2.0	1.5	1.9	26.5	2.0
Bhilai .	•	•	0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3	0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3
Durgapur	•	•	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6
ASP .	•		0.3	• -	2.1	8.3	0.3	••	2.1	8.3
TISCO .	•	•	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6
IISCO .	•		0.2	• •		60.6	0.2	••	••	60.6
MISCO	• .	•	2.3		•	••	2.3			
Bhilai Exp	n.C					••	••		•	***
	0		1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3	1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3
Bokaro	С	•	••		••	••	• •		••	
	0		2.4	45.0	24.9	3.0	3.2	60.0	33. <b>2</b>	4.0
NP I	С	•					••	••		
	0		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11. <b>2</b>	2.0
NP II	С		3.0	2.0	9.0		••		••	
	0		1.6	41.4	11. <b>2</b>	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
NP III	С		3.0	2.0	9.0	••	3.0	2.0	9.0	.,
	0		1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
NP IV	С		3.5	1.5	9.0		3.0	2.0	9.0	
	0		0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0	1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5
NP V	С		6.5	3.5	18.0		3.5	1.5	9.0	
	0						0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0
Hospet	С		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2
	0			••			••			
/izag.	С		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2
	0						• •			· -
F. <b>A.</b> .			0.7		0.1		0.7	••	0.1	
S.F.A.I.	•	•	••	36.5	••	22	••	39.5		24.0
ΤΟΤΑΙ			49.2	/ 393.3	276.7	190.9	51.6	452.7	296.2	195.2

Demand of FIRECLAY (INCLUDING HIGH ALUMINA) Refractories for Steel Industry 1971 to 1985

.

Ň

Name of th	n Diam	4-		1983			1984				
Name of th	ie Plan	13	НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL	
Rourkela			1.5	1.9	26.5	2.0	1.5	1.9	26.5	2.0	
Bhilai .			0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3	0.2	30.6	5.0	37.3	
Durgapur			1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6	
ASP .			0.3		2.1	8.3	0.3		2.1	8.3	
TISCO .			2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6	
IISCO .			0.2			60.6	0.2	• •		60. <b>6</b>	
MISCO .			2.3			••	2.3				
Bhilai Exp	n.C										
	0		1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3	1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3	
Bokaro	С		• •			••			••	••	
	ò		3.2	60.0	33.2	4.0	3.2	60.0	, 33.2	4.0	
NP I	С					•••	••	••	••		
	0		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	
NP II	С				`						
	0		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	
NP III	C ·			<b>.</b> .					••	• •	
	0		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	
NP IV	С		3.0	2.0	9.0					••	
	0		1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	1.6	´ 41.4	<b>11.2</b>	2.0	
NP V	С		3.0	2.0	9.0		3.0	2.0	9.0	• •	
	0		1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0	
Hospet	С	•	1.6	41.4	11.2	2	1.6	41.4	11.2	2	
<b>-</b>	0		• •				••	••	••		
Vizag	Ċ	•	1.6	41.4	11.2	2	1.6	41.4	11.2	2	
	Ō								••		
F.A.	•		0.7		0.1		0.7	••	0.1		
S.F.A.I.		•		52.5	, 	26		55.5		28	
Тота	L.		53.2	507.1	307.4	199.2	54.8	551.5	318.6	203.2	

Demand of FIRECLAY (INCLUDING HIGH ALUMINA) Refractories for Steel Industry 1971 to 1985

			1	985	
		H.A.	H.G.	L.G.	P.L.
Rourkela		1.5	1.9	26.5	2.0
Bhilai .	• •	30.6	30.6	5.0	37.3
Durgapu	r	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6
ASP .		0.3		2.1	8.3
TISCO .		2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6
IISCO .		0.2			60.6
MISCO .		2.3	•••	••	
Bhilai Ex	pn.C.	••	• •	·	
	0	1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3
Bokaro	С.	••		••	
	0	3.2	. 60.0	33. <b>2</b>	4.0
NP I	С.				
	0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
NP II	С.				
	0	1.6	41.4	11. <b>2</b> -	2.0
NP III	С.				
	0	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
NP IV	С.	••	••	••	
	0	1.6	41.4	11. <b>2</b>	2.0
NP V	с.	• •	••	••	••
	0	1.6	41.4	11. <b>2</b>	2.0
Hospet	С.	1.6	41.4	11.2	2
	0		••	••	••
Vizag	С.	1.6	41.4	11.2	2
	0	••			
F.A	· ·	0.7	••	0.1	
S.F.A.I.		••	57.5	••	30
	TOTAL .	55.4	594.9	329.8	207.2

Demand of FIRECLAY (INCLUDING HIGH ALUMINA) Refractories for Steel Industry 1971 to 1985

Year			1971		1972		1973		1974	
Туре			B	СВ	В	СВ	<u>в</u>	CB	B	СВ
ASP .	•		1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.
BSP	он	•	29.8	1 <b>2</b> . <b>1</b>	32.5	13. <b>2</b>	33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8
	LD								1.5	
DSP .			4.3	13.0	5.0	14.5	5.5	16.3	6.0	18.0
RSP .	΄.		3.1	2.0	4.2	2.0	4.2	2.0	3.9	2.0
IISCO .	•	•	6.9	2.5	6.9	2.5	6.9	2.5	8.2	2.5
TATA .	•		9.6	5.4	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5
MISL .			5.9		5.9		5.9		5.9	
SFAI .			9.5	••	13.7		15.1		16.6	
BOKARO			1.0	••	1.6	•••	2.6		3.2	
SALEM	•	•		••		••	••	••	1. <b>2</b>	
HOSPET	•	•	••		- •	••	••			
VIZAG	•	•	••	••		••	••	••	••	••••
NSP I to IV	/	·		••		••	••	••	••	• •
Total			71.1	36 .5	80.3	39.2	84.6	41.6	90.9	43.3
				,	·····	<u> </u>				·
Year		1975		1976		1977		1978		
Туре			В	СВ	В	CB	B	СВ	В	СВ
ASP .			1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5
BSP	он		33.9	13.8	• 33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8

Basic Refractories (1971-1985) BASIC Refractories requirement during 1971 to 1985 for the Steel Industry

•

Year		1975		1976		1977		1978	
Туре		В	СВ	В	СВ	 B	СВ	В	СВ
ASP		1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5
BSP OH		33.9	13.8	• 33. <b>9</b>	13.8	33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8
LD	•	2.9		2.5	••	3.0	••	3.50	
DSP		6.0	18.0	6.0	18.0	6.0	18.0	6.0	18.0
RSP		3.7	2.0	3.8	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0
IISCO . "		6.9	2.0	4.6	1.0	3.8	0.5	3.8	0.5
TATA		9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5
MISL .		5.9	••	5.9		5.9	••	5.9	
SFAI	•	18.5		20.5		22.8	••	25.4	••
BOKARO .		3.6	••	3.8	••	4.9	••	5.6	
SALEM .		1.2		0.4		0.7	•••	0.7	- •
HOSPET .		3.0	••	1.0	••	2.7		4.1	••
VIZAG .	•	3.0	••	1.0	••	2.0	• •	3.0	••
NSP I to IV	•	••	••	3.0	、	4.0		6.7	••
Total		99.1	42.8	96.9	41.8	104.2	41.3	113.1	41.3

-

Year					1979	•	198	0	198	1	198	2 Remarks
Туре			B	СВ	B	CB	B	СВ	B	СВ		
ASP .					1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5
BSP	он	•			33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8
	LD		-		4.1		4.1		4.1		4.1	••
DSP .		•			6.0	18.0	6.0,	18.0	6.0	18.0	6.0	18.0
RSP.					4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0
IISCO	•	•			3.8	0.5	3.8	0.5	3.8	0.5	3.8	0.5
ΤΑΤΑ					9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5
MISL				•	5.9		5.9		5.9		5.9	
SFAI			•		28.1		31.2	••	34.3		37.4	••
BOKAR	).	•			6.1		7.5		8.1	••.	11.2	• •
SALEM			<b>.</b> .		0.7		0.7		0.7	••	0.7	
HOSPET				-	5.4		5.4		5.4		5.4	••
VIZAG		,	-	-	4.0		4.0		4.0		4.0	
NSP I to	ıv				10.8	•	16.2		18.6		26.0	••

41.3 133.2

BASIC Refractories requirement during 1971 to 1985 for the Steel Industry

TOTAL .

. 123.3

41.3 139.3

41.3 152.9 41.3

Year	19	83	· 19	84	19	85	Remarks
Туре	B	СВ	В	СВ	B	СВ	
ASP	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	
BSP OH	33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8	33.9	13.8	
LD	4.1	•••	4,1	••	4.1		
DSP	6.0	18.0	6.0	18.0	6.0	18.0	
RSP	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	
itsco	3.8	0.5	3.8	0.5	3.8	0.5	
TATA	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5	9.5	5.5	
MISL	5.9	•••	5.9		5.9		
SFAI	40.5	••	43.6	••	46.7		
BOKARO	11.2	••	11.2	••	<b>11</b> . <b>2</b>		
SALEM	0.7	••	0.7		0.7	••	
HOSPET	5.4	·	5.4	••	5.4		
VIZAG	4.0		4.0		4.0		
NSP I to IV	29.7	••	33.7	•••	36.1	••	
Total .	159.7	41.3	166.8	41.3	172.3	41.3	

•

Silica bricks (1971 to 1985) Requirement of SILICA bricks during 1971 to 1985 for Steel Industry

,	197	1	1972	2	1973	5	1974	1
	CO	от	CO	то	co	от	co	OT
ASP		0.9		0.9		0.9		0.9
BSP OH	2	7.9	9.4	7.9	8.0	7.9	3.5	7.9
DSP	0.5	1. <b>2</b>	0.5	1.3	0.5	1.4	6.5	1.4
RKL	••	0.61	6.2	0.61	5.2	0.61	1.0	0.61
SUB TOTAL .	2.5	10.61	16.1	10.71	13.7	10.81	11.0	10.81
IISCO	0.1	14.9	1.2	14.9	0.1	14.9	7.1	14.9
TISCO	8.5	17.9	7.0	16.7	7.0	16.7	5.0	16.7
MISCO		1.8		1.8		1.8	••	1.8
SFAI		5.95		.8.43	••	9.3		10.45
SUB TOTAL	8.6	40.55	8.2	41.83	7.1	42.7	12.1	44.05
Salem		••		<b>、</b> • •			• •	••
Bokaro	2.0		15.5		10.0		10.5	
Vizag	·	••					22.5	
Hospet			••				21.5	
NSP I to V	••				••			
GRAND TOTAL	13.1	51.16	39.8	62.54	20.8	63.51	67.6	56.86

						1975	1	1976	;	1977	,
-					(	co	OT	со	от	· co	ОТ
ASP .					•	- -	0.9		0.9		0.9
BSP OH						3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9
DSP .						0.5	1.4	0.5	1.4	6.5	1.4
RKL .						6.4	0.61	0.5	0.61.	1.0	0.61
	SUB TO	TAL				10.4	10.81	4.5	10.81	11.0	10.81
IISCO .						0.1	12.0	7.1	4.0	0.1	1.8
TISCO .					÷	6.3	16.7	1.3	16.7	0.1	16.7
MISCO							1.8	••	1.8		1.8
SFAI							11.5		12.65	••	14.3
	Sub То	TAL			•	6.4	42.0	8.4	35.15	0.2	34.6
Salem .		•	•	•	• .	••	• •	••	••	••	
Bokaro .		•	•		•	2.0	0.5	••	0.5	••	0.5
Vizag .					•	22.5	••	••	••	0.5	••
Hospet .					•	21.5	0.5	••	• •	0.05	• •
NSP I to V					•	21.5		43.0		43.0	0.5
	SUB TO	TAL				65.5	1.0	43.0	0.5	43.1	1.0
GRAND TO						82.3	54.81	55.9	46.46	54.3	46-41

	19	78	197	9	198	0	198	31
	СО	OT	CO	OT		от	СО	01
ASP		. 0.9		. 0.9		0.9		0.9
BSP	. 3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9
DSP		1.4	6.0	1.4		1.4	••	1.4
RKL	. 6.4	0.61	7.3	0.61	••	0.61	••	0.61
SUB TOTAL	. 9.9	10.81	16.8	10.81	3.5	10.81	3.5	10.81
IISCO .	. 0.1	1.8	0.1	1.8	0.1	1.8	0.1	1.8
TISCO . Í.	. 0.1	16.7	0.1	16.7	0.1	16.7	0.1	16.7
MISCO		1.8		1.8	••	1.8	·	1.8
SFAI		16. <b>2</b>	••	18.0	••	19.8		21.6
SUB TOTAL	0.2	36.5	0.2	38.3	0.2	40.1	0.2	41.9
Salem				•••		• •	••	••
Bokaro		0.5	••	0.5	••	0.5	••	0.5
vizag	0.075		0.1		0.1	••	0.1	
Hospet	0.075	••	0.1	••	0.1	••	0.1	••
SP I to V	43.05	0.7	43.13	1.0	43.25	1.4	43.35	1.8
SUB TOTAL	43.2	1.2	43.43	1.5	43.45	1.9	43.55	2.3
GRAND TOTAL	53.3	48.51	60.43	50.61	47.15	52.81	47.25	55.10

Requirement	of SILICA	bricks during	1971 to	1985 for	Steel Industry
-------------	-----------	---------------	---------	----------	----------------

-	198	32	198	3	198	34	1	985
	СО	ОТ	CO	от	CO	от	<u> </u>	OT
ASP		0.9		0.9		0.9		0.9
BSP	3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9	3.5	7.9
DSP	••	1.4		1.4		1.4		1.4
RKL	• •	0.61	<i>.</i>	0.61	••	0.61		0.61
SUB TOTAL	3.5	10.81	3.5	10.81	3.5	10.81	3.5	10.81
IISCO	0.1	1.8	0.1	1.8	0.1	1.8	0.1	1.8
TISCO	0.1	16.7	0.1	16.7	0.1	16.7	0.1	16.7
MISCO		1.8	••	1.8		1.8		1.8
SFAI · · ·		23.4	••	25.2	••	27.0		28.8
SUB TOTAL	0.2	43.7	0.2	45.5	0.2	47.3	0.2	49.1
Salem	••	•		••	••	· · ·	••	
Bokaro	••	0.5		0.5	••	0.5		0.5
Vizag .	0.1	••	0.1		0.1	••	0.1	• •
Hospet .	0.1	••	0.1	••	0.1	••	0.1	
NSP I to V	43.45	2.2	43.5	2.6	43.65	3.0	43.75	3.4
SUB TOTAL	43.65	2.7	43.65	3.1	43.85	3.5	43.95	3.9
RAND TOTAL	47.35	57.21	47.35	49.41	44.05	61 61	44.15	63.81

					1971		1972		1973	
					B	СВ	B	СВ	В	CB
Demand of Basic B	ricks				71.1	36.5	80.3	39.2	84.6	41.0
	•				107.	6	119.	5	126.3	2
Pea Magnesite requi	ired for:	:								
(a) Brick maki	ing .				75.3	0	83.6	0.	88.3	0
(b) Mortar ma	-				5.0		5.6		5.9	
- (c) Ramming	-			·	2.3		2.3		2.3	
(d) Fettling	making	• .	•	•	2.5		2.5	0	2 · J	U I
Rourkela					1.	5	1.	5	1.:	5
Bhilai		•	•	÷	13.		29.		20.0	
Durgapur					, 6.		7.4		8.	
ASP					0.		0.		0.	
TATA .					4.	0	4.	0	4.	0
IISCO .	• •				2.	8	2.	8	2.	8
MISCO					2.	0	2.	0	2.	0
SFAI .		•		•	7.3	8	10.	5	11.9	5
т		TAL	•	. –	120.48		139.4	0	147.	15
					107				1076	
					197	<i>د</i>	1975	<u> </u>	1976	
					197 B	4 CB	1975 B	СВ	B	CB
Demand of basic bri	icks	•	•		<i>`</i>	<i>د</i>	~ <i>`</i>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
Demand of basic bri	icks				В	CB 43.3	B	CB 42.8	B	CB
			•		B 90.9	CB 43.3	B 99.1	CB 42.8	B 96.9	CB
P <b>ea Magne</b> site requi	ired for:	•	•		B 90.9	CB 43.3	B 99.1	CB 42.8 9	B 96.9	CB . 41 . 7
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making	ired for:		•		90.9 134.2	CB 43.3	B 99.1 141.	CB 42.8 9	B 96.9 138.	CB _ 41 .3 7
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making	ired for:	• • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	B 90.9 134.2 93.90	CB 43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.9	<u>CB</u> 42.8 9	B 96.9 138. 97.0	CB . 41.1 7 0 0
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making . b) Mortar making c) Ramming makir	ired for:		•		B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40	CB 43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90	<u>CB</u> 42.8 9	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8	CB . 41. 7 0 0
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making c) Ramming makir d) Fettling	ired for:		•		B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30	43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30	CB 42.8 9	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8 2.30	CB . 41 7 0 0
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making c) Ramming makir d) Fettling Rourkela	ired for:	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30 1.5	43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30 1.	CB 42.8 9 0 0 0 5	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8	CB . 41. 7 0 0 0 5
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making c) Ramming makin d) Fettling Rourkela Bhilai	ired for:	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30 1.5 20.0	43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30	<u>CB</u> 42.8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8 2.30 1.	CB . 41. 7 0 0 0 5 0
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making c) Ramming makin d) Fettling Rourkela bhilai	ired for:	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·	B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30 1.5	<u>CB</u> 43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30 1. 20.0	CB 42.8 9 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 8	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8 2.30 1. 20.4	CB . 41. 7 0 0 0 5 0 8
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making c) Ramming makin d) Fettling Rourkela Bhilai Durgapur	ired for:	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30 1.5 20.0 8.8	CB 43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30 1. 20.0 8.	CB 42.8 9 0 0 0 5 0 8 5	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8 2.30 1. 20.4 8.	CB . 41. 7 0 0 0 5 0 8 5
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making c) Ramming makin d) Fettling Rourkela Bhilai Durgapur ASP	ired for:	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·	B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30 1.5 20.0 8.8 0.5	CB 43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30 1. 20.0 8. 0.	CB 42.8 9 0 0 0 0 5 0 8 5 0 0	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8 2.30 1. 20.0 8. 0.	CB . 41. 7 0 0 0 5 0 8 5 0
Pea Magnesite requi a) Brick making b) Mortar making c) Ramming makin d) Fettling Rourkela Bhilai Durgapur ASP TATA	ired for:	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · ·	B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30 1.5 20.0 8.8 0.5 4.0	CB 43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30 1. 20.0 8. 0. 4.1	CB 42.8 9 0 0 5 0 8 5 0 8 5 0 8	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8 2.30 1. 20.0 8. 0. 4.	CB 41.7 7 0 0 0 0 5 0 8 5 0 8 8 5 0 8
Bhilai Durgapur . ASP TATA . IISCO	ired for:	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	B 90.9 134.2 93.90 6.40 2.30 1.5 20.0 8.8 0.5 4.0 2.8	CB 43.3	B 99.1 141. 99.90 6.90 2.30 1. 20.0 8. 0. 4.1 2.3	CB 42.8 9 0 0 5 0 8 5 0 8 5 0 8 0	B 96.9 138. 97.0 6.8 2.30 1. 20.0 8. 0. 4.1 2.5	CB 41.3 7 0 0 0 5 0 8 5 0 8 5 0 8 8 0

### Dead Burnt magnesite (1971 to 1985) Demand of DEAD BURNT MAGNESITE during 1971-85 for Steel Industry

Notes:

1. For Bricks making, mortar and ramming mass one tonne of basic material is assumed to require 700 Kg. of Dead Burnt Magnesite.

2. Mortar requirement has been taken as 10% of burnt basic bricks by weight.

				197	7	197	8	197	9
				<u>В</u>	СВ	 B	СВ	B	СВ
Demand of basic bricks	•		•	104.2	41.3	113.1	41.3	123.3	41.3
Pea Magnesite required for	: .			145.5		154	.4	164	.6
(a) Brick making				101	80.	. 108.	00	115	i.2
(b) Mortar making .				7	. 3	7.	90	8	
(c) Ramming making .		•		2.30		2.	2.40		2.5
(d) Fettling			•						
Rourkela				ŧ	.5	1	. 5	1	. 5
Bhilai				20	.0	20	0.0	20	0.0
Durgapur .				8	. 8	8	. 8	8	3.8
ASP	`.			0	.5	C	.5	(	).5
TATA				4	.0	4	.0	4	4.0
IISCO				2	. 8	2	8	2	2.8
MISCO				2	.0	2	2.0	2	2.0
SFAI		•	•	14.	65	14.	65	14	. 65
TOTAL			-	165	00	171.	90	179	.95

Demand of DEAD BURNT MAGNESITE during 1971-85 for Steel Industry

				1980		1981		198	2
				<u> </u>	СВ	В	СВ		СВ
Demand of Basic Bricks			•	133.2	41.3	139.3	41.3	152.9	41.3
		174.5		180.6		194.2			
Pea Magnesite required t	for:								
a) Brick Making .	•			122.	1	126.4	Ļ	135	.9
(b) Mortar making	• .			9.:	3	9.7	,	10	.7
c) Ramming making		-		2.0	6	2.7	7	`2	. 8
(d) Fettling									
Rourkela	-			1.	S	1.5	5	1	. 5
Bhilai				20.0	0	20.0	)	20	.0
Durgapur .				8.	8	8.8	3	8	.8
ASP				0.	5	0.5	5	0	. 5
TATA				4.0	0	4.0	)	4	.0
IISCO				2.3	B	2.8	3	2	. 8
MISCO .	•			2.	0	2.0	)	2	.0
SFAI	•			14.6	5	14.6	5	14.	95
TOTAL				 187.6	5	192 45	5	203.	05

Notes:

1. For brick making, mortar and ramming mass one tonne of basic material is assumed to require 700 Kg. of Dead Burnt Magnesite.

2. Mortar requirement has been taken as 10% of burnt basic bricks by weight.

					1983		1984		1985	
				(	B C	в	В	СВ	В	СВ
Demand of Basic Bricks					159.7	41.3	166.8	41.3	172.3	41.3
					201.0		208.1		213.6	
Pea Magnesite required (	or:									
(a) Brick making .					140.7		145	6	149	.5
(b) Mortar making					11.2 11.7		.7	12.0		
(c) Ramming making					2.9		2	.9	:	3.0
(d) Fettling										
Rourkela .					1.5		1	.5		1.5
Bhilai					20.0		20	.0	2	0.0
Durgapur .				•	8.8		8	.8.		8.8
ASP .		•			0.5		0	.5	1	0.5
TATA .					4.0		4	.0		4.0
IISCO .					2.8		2	2.8		2.8
MISCO .					2.0		2	2.0		2.0
SFAI	•	•	•		14.65		14.	65	14	. 65
TOTAL					208.45		213	85	218	3.15

### Demand of DEAD BURNT MAGNESITE during 1971-85 for Steel Industry

NOTES:

1. For brick making, mortar and ramming mass one tonne of basic material is assumed to require 700 Kg. of Dead Burnt magnesite.

2. Mortar requirement has been taken as 10% of burnt basic bricks by weight.

83

.

ANNEXURE E

#### Report on re-examined demand of major categories of refractories required by the Steel Industry

A. At the joint meeting of the Panel for Refractory Industry and I.R.M.A. held in Calcutta on the 8th and 9th September, 1971, the draft report prepared by Refractories Committee of Department of Steel pertaining to projected demand of refractories required by steel industry was thoroughly discussed. It was revealed during discussions that the estimates of requirements as projected need scrutiny. It was, therefore, decided that a team should visit all the steel plants and have discussions with the Consultants who had furnished demand figures for new steel projects and the Steel Furnace Association of India who had furnished figures of requirements for Electric Furnaces :

A.2 Accordingly, a team comprising of the following was deputed for the purpose :---

<ol> <li>Shri S. R. Khana, Development Officer (Refractories), D.G.T.D.</li> </ol>	Convenor
2. Shri M. H. Dalmia, Orissa Cement Ltd.	Member
3. Dr. S. S. Ghose, Belpahar Refractories Ltd.	Member
4. Shri J. R. K. Murthy, Harry Refractories Ltd.	Meniber
<ol> <li>Shri P. S. Sundaram, Superintendent, Refractories, Rourkela Steel Plant.</li> </ol>	Member
6. Shri S. K. Mukherjee, General Foreman (I & T), HSL, Bhilai Steel Plant.	Member
7. Shri I. C. Modi, Durgapur Steel Plant.	Member
8. Shri K. S. Swaminathan, Superintendent (Refracoties), Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.	Membe <b>r</b>
9. Shri T. Gupta Roy, Indian Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.	Member

- A.3 Broad terms of reference of the team were :--
- (a) to study and examine the demand pattern of refractories as given in the Draft Report prepared by the Refractories Committee ;

(b) area and quantum of consumption of stiff plastic and dry process fireclay refractories; and

(c) special problems relating to application of specific categories of refractories in selected areas in a steel plant.

A.4 The team visited various steel plants between 4th and 12th October, 1971. The discussions with C.E.D.B. were held at Ranchi on 8th October and with M/s. M. N. Dastoor & Company and Steel Furnace Association of India on 15th and 16th October, 1971 respectively at Calcutta.

A.5 The report on re-examination of requirements of refractories after discussion with concerned authorities is placed below.

#### I. UNIT : ROURKELA STEEL PLANT—ROURKELA:

Date of Visit: 4-10-1971, 5-10-1971

Discussions with : S/Shri P. S. Sundaram, Superintendent, Refractories.

> K. K: Bandhopadhyaya, Assistant Superintendent, Refractories.

1. In accordance with the terms of reference spelt out earlier, the demand pattern of different categories of refractories was re-examined item by item. The revised demand, as agreed upon, is at Annexure F.

- 2. Areas where there has been substantial difference as compared to the earlier estimates of demand are briefly as under :
  - (i) Fireclay :
    - (a) Requirements for Open Hearth checkers were revised to 1,000 tonnes as against 2,000 tonnes indicated earlier.
    - (b) Requirements for High Alumina refractories have been recast.
    - (c) Requirements for Blast Furnace proper and checkers have been increased on account of revised programme of stoves rebuilding.
    - (d) Requirements for stoves have been revised.
    - (e) Demands for Coke Oven checkers and Coke Oven shapes, both for operational and capital requirements, have been increased due to revised programme for repairs and rebuilding of coke oven batteries. Demand of these types has been reduced mainly for operational requirements. The change has come about as a result of recalculation based on the process of manufacture involved.
    - (f) In the case of Blast Furnace checkers. Coke Oven checkers and shapes and Pouring refractories, the requirements of plastic refractories have been indicated. The Supdt. refractories, after discussion has agreed to carry out trials with stiff plastic refractories for its open hearth checkers.
  - (ii) Basic :

Demand for Burnt Basic, both for-operational and capital requirements has been reduced after re-examination and discussions.

- (iii) Silica:
  - (a) Fresh requirements for 1972-73 have been given at Annexure-I.
  - (b) Demand for rebuilding has been revised on account of revised programme for rebuilding.
- 3. Special problems mentioned by the Refractory Experts relating to application of specific categories of refractories in selected areas of the Unit were—
  - (i) that Basalt tiles for the Sintering Plant are not indigenously available ;
  - (ii) that they were finding it difficult to procure mortar of proper quality for recuperator tubes;
  - (iii) that difficulty was being experienced for Coke Oven spraying mass—the unit is reported to be trying the indigenously available substitutes ; and
  - (iv) that in galvanishing line they were feeling handicapped for want of low iron insulating bricks of proper electrical resistivity.
- 4. General Observations :
  - (a) The unit, after discussion, was prepared to re-examine their requirements of refractories particularly of fire bricks, for which they had already obtained import clearance for thirteen thousand and odd tonnes.
  - (b) The management is also conscious of carrying stocks of 17,300 tonnes of fireclay refractories.
  - (c) The Refractory Experts as well as the Management are rather concerned about the availability of coke oven silica bricks and Blast Furnace Stove bricks to keep up with their rebuilding schedule.

#### II. UNIT : BHILAI STEEL PLANT, BHILAI :

Date of Visit : 6-10-1971, 7-10-1971

Discussions with : Shri P. R. Ahuja, General Manager.

> Sri M. Chandrasekharan, Asstt. Genl. Superintendent.

Sri B. C. Mathur, Supdt. (Coke Ovens).

Sri Gajendragadkar. Refractories Engineer.

Sri S. K. Mukherjee, General Foreman (I & T).

- (1) Revised estimates of requirements of refractories as agreed after discussion are tabulated at Annexure F. Noteworthy variations have been in the following categories of refractories :---
  - (i) Fireclay :
  - (a) The unit has projected fresh demand for coke oven shapes and checkets on account of rebuilding/ repair programme not visualised earlier.

- (b) Demand for blast furnace refractories has been changed due to their repair schedule. In their case Blast furnace refractories include their requirements for checkers and stoves because of similarity of shapes.
- (c) Requirements of Open Hearth checkers has been revised from 1975 onwards as the Expansion will be by LD Process.
- (d) Stiff plastic refractoties are to be used for coke oven checkers, shapes as well as in reheating furnaces. They will also be using these refractories in Blast Furnace Ladles till 1974, and meet a part of their requirements for Open Hearth checkers.

- (a) There is change in the demand for Burnt basic refractories from 1975 onwards as a result of expansion to be carried out by LD Process.
- (b) Fresh demand for Tar Bonded Dolomite refractories for LD Convertors has been projected now.
- (iii) Silica :
  - (a) They have projected fresh demand for coke oven rebuilding and repairs.
  - (b) Demand for silica refractories other than coke oven has been revised from 1975 onwards since Expansion will be by LD Process.
- 2. Problems as brought about by the Management were discussed quite at length. These are—
  - (a) Non-availability of well-blocks in required quantities—Chemically bonded well-blocks to take care of their very stringent size tolerance were suggested—Bhilai is experimenting with them.
  - (b) Non-availability of sleeves of proper quality—according to the Management—sleeves from some sources are failing in service performance.
  - (c) Non-availability of special shapes roof bricks (half thickness)—alternative sizes have been proposed to take care of manufacturing difficulties—Bhilai team agreed to try out the alternative sizes.
  - (d) For items like burner blocks required, in small quantities there appear to be general reluctance on the part of refractory manufacturers to supply such refractories.
  - (e) Shortage of magnesite pea-It was explained by the team that the situation would improve when the second rotary kiln of Messrs Dalmia Magnesite Corporation, Salem, is commissioned and also there is availability of Almoia magnesite from Belpahar Refractories Limited.
  - (f) Limited availability of arch bricks and forsite bricks—It was felt that this situation would continue for some time more till the manufacturers install balancing equipment.
  - (g) Non-availability in required quantities of blast furnace—Ladle bricks produced by wet process of proper size tolerances—The matter needs further probing in consultation with the manufacturers of stiff plastic refractories.

3. General Observations :

- (i) The Management expressed that they were in desperate need of 2,000 tonnes of coke oven silica bricks for rebuilding.
- (ii) The unit is in a happy position with their stock of fireclay and basic refractories.
- (iii) The estimates of requirements for their constructional programme for Expansion from 2.5 to 4/4.2 million tonnes expected to be completed by 1976 have not been taken into account in the estimates of demand.
- (iv) The licence already in hand for 11,370 tonnes for fireclay refractories has been surrendered.

# III(a). UNIT : CENTRAL ENGINEERING AND DESIGNS BUREAU HINDUSTAN STEEL LIMITED, RANCHI:

Date of Visit : 8-10-1971

Discussions with : Sri T. R. Anantaraman, Superintendent, Refractories.

> Sri N. S. Murdeswar, Senior Designer.

The team was informed that CEDB had worked out constructional and operational requirements of refractories of Bhilai Expansion, Hospet and other new Steel Projects. In reply to a query relating to norms taken for the said requirements, it was explained that they had estimated the requirements on the pattern adopted for Bokaro Steel Plant. In this context, it was decided that a representative of CEDB should accompany the Team to Bokaro Steel Plant and clarify the doubts expressed particularly with regard to norms of 30 kg. per tonne taken for fireclay refractories. Sri Murdeswar, accordingly, accompanied the Team to Bokaro.

<sup>(</sup>ii) Basic :

#### III(b), UNIT: BOKARO STEEL PLANT, BOKARO STEEL CITY:

Date of Visit 9-10-1971

Discussions with : Sti D. Chibber, Superintending Engineer.

> Sri Y. K. Budhiraj, Purchase Officer.

- 1. Sri M. S. Lal, General Manager (Construction), in his opening remarks while addressing the Team, mentioned that the estimated requirements for construction were based on the norms indicated in the Detailed Project Report prepared by their collaborators. In the absence of any other authentic reference, it was decided to take the requirements for construction and operation on the norms adopted in the Detailed Project Report. The Team, however, went into the schedule of construction and grouped various categories of refractories to be in line with the pattern adopted for the availability.
- 2. The noteworthy feature was that the operational norms for fireclay refractories taken at 30 kg per tonne by CEDB was in actual effect 24.6 kg/tonne. CEDB representative agreed to the same norm being taken for the new steel projects also. Table at Annexure F is based on the above observations.
- 3. Problems faced by Bokaro Steel Ltd., largely related to procurement of various types of refractories from indigenous sources; in particular, mention was made of pouring refractories, viz., sleeves, clay graphite stopperheads. They also indicated restricted quotations received for recuperator tubes, coke oven checkers for their third and fourth batteries and coke oven doors. They were also not happy with supplies not being in conformity with agreed delivery schedule.
- 4. General Observations :
  - (a) Refractories imported from Russia are being in accordance with specifications laid down, and it was reported that there had been rejections which are likely to be replaced free of cost.
  - (b) Bokaro Steel Plant's imports on account of construction have been excluded from these estimates of demand because these requirements would not be secured from indigenous sources.
  - (c) For construction of new steel plants, basic demands have been taken under the Burm Basic group.
  - (d) CEDB confirmed that for Bhilai Expansion, coke oven refractories would not be required as no further coke oven construction is envisaged.
  - (e) For the new steel plants, the basis will be same as for Bokaro plus 6 kg. under high grog for tundish (in case of continuous casting only) and minus 2½ kg. for soaking pits and reheating furnaces.

#### IV. UNIT : TATA IRON AND STEEL CO. LTD., JAMSHEDPUR :

Date of Visit : 10-10-1971

Discussions with : Sri K. S. Swaminathan, Supdt., Refractories.

> Sri B. Rao, Asstt. Supdt., Refractories.

Sri M. Swaminathan, General Foreman.

- 1. It is an old unit. Consumption norms for certain operational requirements are on the high side on account of the process followed for manufacture of steel which involves additional handling of liquid metal. Projected demand is at Annexure F.
- 2. Based on their past experience, they have changed to dry process bricks in ladle since last two years.
- 3. It is to be noted that they have revised their requirements for stiff plastic refractories which is around 25% of the total requirements for fireclay refractories as against 10/15% in the case of other steel plants.
- 4. Major areas where they use stiff plastic refractories are Open Hearth checkers and normal bricks for other operational jobs.

Problems faced by this Unit are as follows :---

- (a) They are finding difficulty in the procurement of suitable quality of stopperhead and nozzles, particularly for the bigger capacity ladles.
- (b) On account of the present design of their blast furnace stoves, they have to use Superex blocks which they are not able to procure from any indigenous sources.
- (c) For the maintenance of coke ovens, they have to depend on imports for ONX and Mateorite used as patching compound and Neurokitt employed as spraying compound.
- (d) They have not been able to locate indigenous source for Basalt tiles required for sintering plant dust catcher cyclones.
- (e) Their experience with basic bricks used as substitute for fused Corhart refractories in the Rehearing furnace Bottoms has not been satisfactory. They are now planning to use imported Corhart refractories for lining of the reheating furnace bottoms.

- (f) As in the case of other Units, they are also facing shortage of dead burnt magnesite for fettling. The shortfall is around 2,000 tonnes.
- (g) The Unit has planned for palletisation of refractories received at their Works. They, accordingly feel that the supplies should also be made in pellets to synchronise with their proposed system.
- (5) General Observations :
- (a) They have well organised their sources of supply of various types of refractories and, hence, comparatively speaking, they do not face difficulty in procurement.
- (b) They are obtaining nearly 70% of their requirements from units other than M/s Belapahar Refractories their associate concern.

#### V. UNIT : DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR :

Date of Visit : 11-10-1971

Discussions with : Sri Mathews, Asstt. General Superintendent, Refractories. Sri D. J. J. Rao, Superintendent,

Refractories.

- Requirements of refractories in general were on the high side on account of conditions prevailing at Durgapur. Their projected demands based on the discussions and past consumption are at Annexure F.
- 2. Major areas of difference between their original demand and now projected are for steel ladles, open hearth checkers and other firebricks as also in their Basic Bricks requirements.
- 3. They are using stiff plastic refractories for blast furnace ladles, and a part of their requirements for open hearth checkers, coke oven checkers, soaking pits, coke oven shapes, reheating furnaces and pouring.
- 4. Problems faced by this Unit are as follows :
  - (a) They reported that indigenous producers were not able to meet the specifications, nor do they stick to agreed delivery schedule in the case of stiff plastic refractories.
  - (b) Non-availability of magnesite bricks was being felt.
  - (c) Their demand for sillimanite quality recuperator tubes was not being satisfactorily met from indigenous sources.
  - (d) Indigenous manufacturers were not responding to their demand for high alumina bricks for Open hearth furnaces.
  - (e) Calandum, a substitute for cement fondu, had not proved successful.
  - (f) It was claimed that Detrick bricks for the open hearth Uptake could be procured from only one source. Suggestion made by the Unit was to develop an alternative source of supply. The same suggestion was made for bottom pouring refractories as well as for stopperheads.
  - (g) High alumina ramming mass for the reheating furnace was not available indigenously and this item should therefore be developed indigenously.
  - (h) They preferred to have delivery of various types of refractories in sets instead of present practice of supply in piece-meal.

#### VI. UNIT : ALLOY STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR:

Date of discussion :	11-10-1971 (Durgapur) 15-10-1971 (Calcutta).
Discussions with :	Sri S. V. Raman at Durgapur.

Dr. G. Mukherjee Sri I. B. Banerjee ) in Calcutta

- Preliminary discussions were held on the 11th October, 1971, at Durgapur in the presence of Sri S. V. Raman, General Superintendent, who joined recently. As they were not prepared for detailed discussions, their representatives were advised to come to Calcutta on the 15th October, 1971 for discussions with the Team. Accordingly, Dr. G. Mukherjce, Assistant General Superintendent, and Sri I.B. Banjerjee, Refractories Engineer, discussed their requirements with the Team on 15th October. Their projected demand is at Annxure F.
- 2. Stiff plastic refractories are used by the Unit in Reheating furnace and pouring.
- 3. Problems faced by this Unit are as follows :

13-1 D of S ND/72

- (a) They informed that they were finding difficulty in procuring bloating nozzles from indigenous, sources specially for their 50-ton furnace and for critical grades even in 12-ton furnace.
- (b) They were not satisfied with indigenously procured clay graphite sopperheads.
- (c) High alumina (94% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (for Vacuum De-gassing) need to be developed in the country.
- (d) They were finding difficulty in obtaining their requirements of high alumina bricks 85% (alumina) but were advised to try sources other than those they depended upon.
- (e) According to them, life of silicon carbide through for electrical soaking pits was not more than six months as against two years that could be had from imported material.
- (f) They suggested that a study be conducted regarding cracking of bricks prematurely when gas fired furnaces are switched over to oil firing.

#### VII. UNIT : INDIAN IRON AND STEEL CO, LTD., BURNPUR :

Date of Visit :	12-10-1971 ·
Discussions with :	Sri T. Gupta Roy.
	Sri I. B. Baneriee.

- 1. It is an old established Unit. It is mainly using refractories manufactured by stiff plastic process. Their projected demands are at Annexure F.
- 2. Areas where stiff plastic refractories are being used are steel ladles, blast furnace checkers and proper stoves, reheating furnace and pouring refractories.
- 3. Problems faced by this Unit are as follows :
  - (a) They were not getting stopperheads in adequate quantity. The only indigenous supplier, though having a satisfactory quality, has limited capacity. Hence, there is a need to develop alternative sources of supply of stopperheads.
  - (b) They were not satisfied with the substitute indigenously developed for cement fondu.
  - (c) As in the case of others they were facing shortage of wagons for obtaining their requirements of refractories.
  - (d) The Unit had a bad experience in regard to breakage of refractories in transit and as such, suggested for improved methods of a packing to be adopted by the Refractory Industry.
  - (e) They were also feeling shortage of basic bricks.
- 4. General Observations :

IISCO has got an associate unit manufacturing various types of refractories. It was revealed, during discussions, that 90% of their requirements for firebricks, 85% of silica requirements and 75% of basic requirements are being met by their associate concern.

#### VIII, UNIT : MYSORE IRON & STEEL CO. LTD., BHADRAVATI :

Date of discussion: : 15-10-1971 in Calcutta.

Discussions with :	Sri Devraj Mudaliar,
	Regional Manager,
	(Refractories).

- 1. Sri Mudaliar explained that they were manufacturing firebricks having a capacity of 9,600 tonnes per annum, of which 6,000 tonnes are by wet process and the remaining 3,600 tonnes by dry process. They were getting their requirements of firebricks from their own unit, with the exception of thigh alumina (above 35%) from other indigenous sources. Accordingly, the figures regarding availability and demand of firebricks have not been taken into account in their case.
- 2. Table indicating their high alumina, basic and silica requirements as projected and agreed to is at Annexure F.
- 3. The only problem brought forward by Sri Mudaliar was that they were finding it difficult to procure magnesite bricks from indigenous sources.

ANNEXURE F

ANNEXURE F

# ALLOY STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

# (for the years 1971 to 1980)

# (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

					19	71			197	2	
			_	HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT F		N	•			0.1	•••		0.1	••	•
Fireclay and high	alumina brid	ks :									
Ladles : Steel	Op.	•	•			••			•••		••
•	Cap.	•	•	••		1.5	1.5	••		1.5	1.5
BF & Others	Op.	•						••			
	Cap.	•	•	'				••			
OH Checkers	Op.		•	••							
	Cap.	•	• •		••					••	
BF Checkers	Op.	•						••	••	••	
	Cap.								••	• •	
CO Checkers	Op.							••		••	
•	Cap.	•	•			••	••				
Soaking Pits	Op.	•	•		••			••			
Covers	Cap.	•	•					••	••		
Blast Furnance :	-										
Hearth	Op.	•	•			•••	••	••	••	••	••
	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	
Proper : Bosh	Op.	•	•	••	••		••	• •	••	••	••
	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••		· ••		••	• •
Inwail	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	
	Cap.	•	•	••	***	••		••	••	· ••	••
Stoves & Others	Op.	•	;	••		••			••	••	
	Cap.	•	•	••			••	••	••	••	
Coke Oven	Op.	•	•			• •		••	••		• •
Shapes	Cap.	•	•					••			
Others :	Op./Cap	•	•	0.3		0.6	6.0	0.3	••	0.6	. 6.0
Pouring :	Op./Cap		•	••	••	••	0.8	• •		••	0.8
	TOTAL	•	•	0.3	··· -	2.1	8.3	0.3	0.1	2.1	<b>8</b> ∙4

.

# ALLOY STEELS PLANT, DURGAPUR

# (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 toni	nes)
---------------	------

					19	73			19	74	
				HA	HG	LG	PL	HA-	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT (In Million Tonn		ON	•	••	0.1			••	0.1	, 	
Fireclay and high	alumina bri	cks									
Ladles : Steel	Op.	•	•				••			••	
	Cap.	•				1.5	1.5		••	1.5	1.5
BF & Others :	Op.		•								
	Cap.								••		
OH Checkers	Op.						••				
	Cap.			••			•				
BF Checkers	Op.				••	••	••		••		
	Cap.										
CO Checkers	Op.		•			••	••	•••			
	Cap.				• •	••	••	••	••		
Soaking Pits	Op.		•.			••					
Covers	Cap.	•	•	••			••				
Blast Furnace :	•										-
Hearth	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	
·	Cap.	•	٠	••	•••	• •	• •	••	••	••	
Proper : Bosh	Op.	•	•			••	••	••			
	Cap.	•	٠	••	••	••	••		••		
Inwall	Op.	•	•		••		••	••	••	• •	••
	Cap.	•	•		••	••	••	••	••		
Stoves & Others	Op.	•	•			••	••	••		••	••
	Cap.	·	•	••	••	••	• -	••	•••	• • •	
Coke Oven	Op.	•	•	••	••	••		••	••	••	••
Shapes	Cap.	•	•	••	••		•. •	••	••		••
Others :	Op./Cap.	•	•	0.3	••	0.6	6.6	0.3	••	0.6	6.0
Pouring :	Op./Cap.	·	•	••	• •	••	0.9	••		••	0.9
	TOTAL	•		0.3		2.1	8-4	0.3	·····	2.1	8.4

•

# ALLOY STEELS PLANT, DURGAPUR

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

					197	75			197	76	
			HA	1	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT I (In Million tonnes	PRODUCTIC	N			••	0.1	· ••			0.1	••
Fireclay and high a	lumina bricks	:									
Ladles : Steel	Op.	•	•	••	••				••	••	
	Cap.	•	•	••	••	1.5	1.5			1.5	1.5
BF & Others :	Op.	•	•	••				••			
	Cap.			••	••					••	••
OH Checkers	Op.	•	•							••	
	Cap.	•	•	••					• •		
BF Checkers	Op.	•	•		••				••	••	
	Cap.	•	•	•••	••			••			
CO Checkers	Op.	•	•		••				••		
	Cap.	•					••			••	
Soaking Pits	Op.	•	•					••			
Covers	Cap.	•	•	••	•••		••	•••			
Blast Furnance :											
Hearth	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	• •	••	••	••	• •
	Cap.		•	••	••	• •	••	••	••	••	••
Proper : Bosh	Op.		•	••	••	••	••	•••	••	••	••
	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	• •
Inwall	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	•
	Cap.										
Stoves & Others	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	• •	••	•
	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••	••	•••	••	••	•
Coke Oven	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	• •	••	•
Shapes	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••		••	••		•
Others :	Op./Cap.		•	0.3	••	0.6	6∙0	0.35	• ••	0.6	6.0
Pouring :	Op./Cap.	•	•		••	• •	0.9	••		••	0.9
	TOTAL			0.3		2.1	8.4	0.35		2.1	8.

-

# ALLOY STEELS PLANT, DURGAPUR

# (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

ν.

				19	77			19	78	
			HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT P (In Million Tonnes)	RODUCT	ION	•	<b>0</b> ∙1					0.1	••
Fireclay and high all	umina brici	ks :								
Ladles : Steel	Op.	•	• •		1.5	1.5			1.5	1.5
	Cap.		• ••	••	••			••		
BF & Others	Op.	•	• •				••			
	Cap.		• • • •	•••			•.•			, <b></b>
OH Checkers	Op.	•	•						••	
-	Cap.		• • •				••	••		***
BF Checkers	Op.		• ••			• •	••			••
	Cap.		• ••	• •				••	:.	
CO Checkers	Op.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
	Cap.	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		••				
Soaking Pits	Op.		• •						••	
Covers	Cap.		•			<u>.</u>				
Blast Furnace	-					-				
Hearth	Op.	•	• ••	••		••	••	••	••	••
	Cap.	•	• • • •	••	••	••	••	••	••	
Proper: Bosh	Op.	•	•		•••		• •	• •	••	
	Cap.	٠	•	••	••	• •	••		••	••
Inwall	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	•-4
	Cap.	•	•	••	•••	••	••	• •	••	
Stoves and others	Op.	•	·	••	••	••	••	***	••	
Coke Oven	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	
Shapes	Cap.	•	•	••	•• .	••	••	••	••	••
Others	Op.	•	•	••	• -	••	••	••	••	••
	Ćap.	•	• 0·35	••	0-6	6.0	0-35	••	0.6	6.0
Pouring	Op.	•	•	••		••	••	••	••	
	Cap.	-	•	• •	••	0.9	••	•••		0.9
	TOTAL	•	· 0·35		2 · 1	8∙4	0.35		2.1	8.4

# ALLOY STEELS PLANT, DURGAPUR

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

					1979	•			1980		
			_	HA	HG	LG	PL _	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT P (In Million Tonnes		ION			•••	0.1			0.1		
Fireclay and high al	Iumina brici	ks :									
Ladles : Steel	Op.	•	•		••		'	••			
	Cap.	•	•			1.5	1.5			1.5	1.5
BF & Others	Op.		•		••	• •					••
	Cap.	•	•	••		••	••		••		••
OH Checkers	Op.	•	•					••	••		• •
	Cap.		•	• •	••		• •	••	••		
BF Checkers	Op.	•	•	••.	••	• •	••	••	••		۶.
	Cap.	-	-				• •			••	
CO Checkers	Op.	<b>´</b> .	•		••			••			
	Cap.	•	٠		· ••	- •		••			••
loaking Pits	Op.		•			••		<i>′</i>			• •
Covers	Cap.	•	•	. •				••		••	
Blast Furnace	•										
Hearth	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
	Cap.	٠	•	••	••	••	••	••	• -	••	••
Proper: Bosh	Op.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	• •
	Cap.	•	·	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
Inwall	Op.	•	•		••	••	••	••	••	••	••
	Cap.	. •	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
Stoves and others	Op.	•	•	••	• •	••		••	••	••	••
	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	• •	••	••
Coke Oven	Op.	•	•	••	••	•••	••	••	••	••	••
Shapes	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	• •
Others	Op. ·	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	•••
	Cap.	•	•	0.35	••	0.6	6.0	0.35	••	0.6	6.0
Pouring	Op.	•	•		••	••	•••	••	••		
	Cap.	•	•	••	••	••	0.9	••	••	••	0.9
	TOTAL	•	•	0.35		2.1	8.4	0.35	••	2.1	8∙4

### ALLOY STEELS PLANT, DURGAPUR

(Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

				1971	1972	1973	1974	197:
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTIO (In Million Tonnes)	N ·	• :	•	0 · 1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0
BASIC :				•				
Burnt (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction		• •	•	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1-0
Chemically bonded :				•		-		
(a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction		• •		1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1-5
		TOTAL	. –	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Dead burnt magnesite :				•	<u> </u>			
Operational · · ·		• •	•	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Basic Ramming Mass	•	• •	•	1 · 1	1 · 1	1 · 1	1 · 1	1.1
		TOTAL	• -	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION		 	<u> </u>	1976	1977	1978	1979	
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)	N	· .		1976 0·1	1977 0·1	1978 0·1	1979 0·1	
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes) BASIC :	N	• .•			· · · · -			
(In Million Tonnes)	N	· .			· · · · -			0 · 1
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC : Burnt (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction	N	• .	· ·	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0 · 1
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC : Burnt (a) Operational (b) Capital	N	· · ·		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC : Burnt (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction Chemically bonded : (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction	N .	   		0·1 1·0	0.1	0·1 1·0	0·1 1·0	1980 0 · 1 1 · 0 1 · 5 2 · 5
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC : Burnt (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction Chemically bonded : (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction	N	    	· · ·	0·1 1·0 1·5	0 · 1 1 · 0 1 · 5	0·1 1·0 1·5	0·1 1·0 1·5	0.1
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC : Burnt (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction Chemically bonded : (a) Operational (b) Capital (c) Construction (c) Construction	N .	    	· · · ·	0·1 1·0 1·5	0 · 1 1 · 0 1 · 5	0·1 1·0 1·5 2·5	0·1 1·0 1·5	0.1
<pre>(In Million Tonnes) BASIC : Burnt (a) Operational    (b) Capital    (c) Construction Chemically bonded :    (a) Operational    (b) Capital    (c) Construction Dead burnt magnesite :</pre>	N	     	· · ·	0·1 1·0 1·5 2·5	0·1 1·0 1·5 2·5	0·1 1·0 1·5 2·5	0·1 1·0 1·5 2·5	0·1 1·0 1·5 2·5

# ALLOY STEELS PLANT, DURGAPUR

#### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

				1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
SILICA :	N					·		
Coke Ovens :	(a) Repairs (b) Re-built (Cap) (c) New Construction		•	••	••	••	•••	
Roof :	(a) Repairs (b) Re-built (Cap.) (c) Construction	• •	•	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Converters :								
Others :	(a) Repairs (b) Re-built (Cap.) (c) New Construction	•••	•	02	0.2	0·2	0 · 2	0-2
		TOTAL	-	0.9	0 9	0.9	0-9	<b>0</b> .
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·		,	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
SILICA :								
	<ul> <li>(a) Repairs</li> <li>(b) Re-built (Cap.)</li> <li>(c) New Construction</li> </ul>					• •	• • • ·	
Coke ovens :	(a) Repairs (b) Re-built (Cap.) (c) New Construction (a) Repairs (b) Re-built (Cap.) (c) New Construction (c) New Construction	· ·		0.7	 0·7		0.7	 0·7
Coke ovens : Roof :	•			0.7			0.7	0.7
	•	 		0·7 0·2			0.7	0·7 0·2

.

(In '000 tonnes)

101

### BHILAI STEEL PLANT, BHILAI

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

			197	/1			197	2	_
	-	HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL .
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)	1.		2.2					2.4	•••
Fireclay and high alumina bricks :									
Ladies : Steel	Op.		18-3		••	••	19-9		
BF & Others · · ·	Op.	••			3.7				<b>4</b> ∙3
OH Checkers · · ·	Op.		2.8		6.0		3 · 2		6.4
CO Checkers · · ·	Op.			••			••		0·7
Soaking Pit Covers · · ·	Op.		1 · 8				1.9		
Blast Furnace Proper including Checkers & Stoves	Op.		4.7	••	••		2.8		
Coke Oven Straights	Op.								0.1
Coke Oven Shapes	Op.	••					••	••	1.5
Others · · ·	Op.	0.2			20.0	0.2	• •	••	21.8
Pouring	Op.		••		4-8	• •		••	<b>5</b> •3
TOTAL	•	0.2	27.6	•··•	34.5	0.2	27.8		40.2
			•						
			19	73			19	74	
		НА	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTIO (In Million Tonnes)	N.			2.5			2.5	••	
Fireclay and high alumina bricks :	•								
Ladles : Steel	Op.	••	20.8	•••			20-8		
BF & Others	· Op.		••	••	<b>4</b> ⋅ 3		• •	5∙0	
OH Checkers	· Op.	••	3.5	••	6.2	••	3.5	••	6.
CO Checkers · ·	· Op,				2:0				0.
Soaking Pit Covers	Op.	••	2.0			••	2.0	••	•
Blast Furnace Proper including Checkers & Stoves	Op.		4∙6				4⋅3	••	•
Coke Oven Straights	Op.				1.5	••			0.
	· Op.		***		3.9				1.
•	_	0.2		••	<b>2</b> 2.7	0.2		• •	- 22·
Others · ·	· Op.								
Others · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· Op. · Op.		••		5-5	••	••		5.

# BHILAI STEEL PLANT, BHILAI

(Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

								(11	'000 tor	ines)
				197	5		<u> </u>	1976	5	
			HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PROI (In Million Tonnes)	OUCTION		•••		3.0			3.4	••	
Fireclay and high alumin	a bricks :									
Ladles : Steel	• •	Op.		24.9		•		28·2		••
BF & Others ·	• •	Op.	••	••	5.1	••		• -	5.8	• •
OH Checkers ·	• •	Op.	••	3.5		6.5	••	3.5		6.5
CO Checkers ·		Op.				0.7	••	••		0.7
Soaking Pit Covers ·	• •	Op.		2.0	• •	••		2.0		• •
Blast Furnace Proper i Checkers & Stoves	ncluding	Op.	••	7·2	••		••	6.8		
Coke Oven Straights	• •	Op.			••	0-4	••	••	••	<b>0</b> ∙4
Coke Oven Shapes ·	• •	Op.	••	••	۰.,	2.5	••	••		2·5
Others	• •	Op.	1.3		••	23.5	1 · 3	••	••	24·2
Pouring	• •	Op.	815	•••	••	6.6	••		••	7.5
	Total		1.3	37.6	5.1	40 • 2	1.3	40.5	5.8	41·8

			197	7			1978	3	
	-	HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTI (In Million Tonnes)	on ·	••	3.6	•••		••	3.8	••	
Fireclay and high alumina brick	cs :								
Ladles : Steel	• Op.	••	29·9		••	••	31 · 5	••	• •
BF & Others	· Op.		•••	6.1	••	••	••	6.2	
OH Checkers · ·	· Op.		3.5	••	6.2	• -	3.5	••	6.5
CO Checkers · ·	Op.				0.7			••	0∙7
Soaking Pit Covers	· Op.		2.0	••		••	2.0	••	
Blast Furnace Proper includin Checkers & Stoves	<sup>g</sup> · Op.		6.⁄8	••		••	6.8	•••	••
Coke Oven Straights	• Op.	••	•••	••	0.4	• -	••	••	0∙4
Coke Oven Shapes	· Op.	••		••	1.5	••	• -	••	1 · <b>5</b>
Others · ·	• Op.	1.3	••		24.5	1 · 8	••	••	24·9
Pouring · ·	· Op.		•• •	••	7.9		• •	••	8.4
То	TAL _	1.3	42.2	6·1	41·5	<u>1∙8</u>	43.8	6.5	42.4

. \_\_\_ \_\_ .

### BHILAI STEEL PLANT, BHILAI

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

		1979	•			1980	)	
· · ·	НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION · (In Million Tonnes)	· •	4∙0	••		• •	4 0		
Fireclay and high alumina bricks :								
Ladles : Steel · · Op.		33-2	••			33-2		••
BF & Others ; · · Op.		• •	6.8			••	6.8	
O.H. Checkers · · · Op.	••	3.5		6.5	••	3.5		6·5
C.O. Checkers · · · Op.		••		0.7	••	••		· 0·7
Soaking Pit Covers · · · Op.		2.0			••	2.0		
Blast Furnace Proper including Checkers & Stoves · Op.		6-8	•••			6.8		·
Coke Oven Straights · · Op.		••		0.4				<b>0</b> ∙4
Coke Oven Shapes · · · Op.	••	• • •	••	1.5	••	••		1.5
Others · · · Op.	2.0	• •	••	25-2	2.0		••	25-2
Pouring · · · Op.	••	• ·		8.8	••	••	••	8.8
TOTAL OP.	2.0	45.5	6.8	43·1	2.0	45·5	6.8	43·1

### BHILAI STEEL PLANT, BHILAI

# (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

<u> </u>	_								(In '00	0 tonnes)	
							1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
STEEL INGOT PROD (In Million Tonnes)	UCT	ION		•	•		2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5	· 3.0
basic :					•						
Burnt : Operational	•			•		•	29.8	32.5	33.9	33.9	33.9
Chemically bonded :							•				
Operational .	•	•	•	•	•		12.1	13. <b>2</b>	13.8	13.8	13.8
		То	DTAL.				41.9	45.7	47.7	47.7	47.7
Dead Burnt Magnesite :											
Operational .		•					13.2	19. <b>2</b>	20.0	20.0	20.0
Tar Bonded Dolomite : Operational		•							-		6.5
	·						1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
STEEL INGOT PROD (In Million Tonnes)	UCT	ION				<i>.</i>	3.4	3.6	3.8	4.0	4,0
basic :										,	
Burnt : Operational	•	•		•	•		34.0	34.0	34.0	34.0	34.0
Chemically bonded :											
Chemically bonded : Operational		•			•	•	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8	13.8
•				Тс	DTAL		13.8 47.8	13.8 47.8	13.8 47.8	13.8 47.8	13.8 47.8
Operational .			•	<b>T</b> c	)tal.						

### BHILAI STEEL PLANT, BHILAI

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

		1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Silica :						
Coke Oven : Operational .		2.0	9.4	8.0	3.5	3.5
Roof Converters Others Operational		7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9
	TOTAL .	9.9	17.3	15.9	11.4	11.4
Silica :		1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
Coke Oven : Operational .		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Roof Converters Others		7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9
	TOTAL	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4

### DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

# (Operational Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

					197	1			197	2	
				HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRO In Million Tonne)	DUCT	ION		••.		1.15	••	••		1.3	
Fireclay and high alun	ina brici	ks:									
Ladles : Steel	•		Op.	••		16.0				19.1	
BF & Others .	•		Op.	••	• ••		6.8				7.7
O.H. Checkers .			Op.			3.1	1.5	•••		3.5	1.7
			Cap.						••	••	
B.F. Checkers .			Op.	• • .	0.4	2.1			0.8	3.7	
			Cap.		••				••	••	
CO Checkers .			Op.	••	••	••	<b>0</b> .2			• •	0.2
			Cap.			••				••	
Soaking Pit Covers	· .		Op.	<i></i>	• •		1.3	••			1.4
Covers	•		Cap.			••	••	••		• •	••
Blast Furnace .			Op.		2.4	•	0.5		2.3		0.4
Proper	•		Cap.				••				••
Stoves & others .			Op.	••	0.5	7.0		••	1.0	14.5	• •
			Cap.	•••			•• .	••	••	••	
Coke Oven			Op.	••	••		0.2	••			0.2
Shapes	•		Cap.		••	••	••	••	••	• •	• •
Others	•		Op.	0.7	••		4.8	0.8		••	5.5
			Cap.			••	•••	••	••		•
Pouring .		•	Op.	••	•••	••	2.3	• -	••	••	2.6
<u>.</u>	TOTAL		-	0.7	3.3	28.2	17.6	0.8	4.1	40.8	19.7

# DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

# (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

						197	3			197	4	
				ſ	HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL,
STEEL INGOT I	PR(	DUCT	101	1			1.45	••	••	• •	1.6	
Fireclay and high a	dun	nina brie	ks :									
Ladles : Steel				Op.	• •		21.3	••			23.5	
BF & Others		•		Op.		••	••	8.6		•••	••	9.4
O.H. Checkers				Op.			3.9	1.9			4.3	2.1
				Cap.					••	••		
B.F. Checkers				Op.				••		0.4	1.9	
				Cap.								
CO Checkers				Op.				0.2		• • •	••	0.2
				Cap.	••			••		••	• •	1.3
Soaking Pit				Op.			• •	1.5		••		1.8
Covers .				Cap.				••			••	
Blast Furnace		•		Op.	••	• •	•••	••	••			
Proper .				Cap.	••					2.3		0.4
Stoves & others				Op.		••	••		••	••		
				Cap.		- •				0.5	7.2	
Coke Oven				Op.				0.2	••			0.2
Shapes .				Cap.		••	••		••	••		4.8
Others .			-	Op.	0.9	••		6.1	1.0		• •	6.7
				Cap.					••			• •
Pouring		•	-	Op.	••	••		2.9	••	••	••	3.2
		TOTAL		<del></del>	0.9		25.2	21.4	1.0	3.2	36.9	30.1

. •

•

# DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

# (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

						19	75			19	76	
				~	HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
TEEL INGOT I	PR(	DDUCT	ION	I	••		1.6	•		1.6		
Fireclay and high a	lun	nina brich	ks :		•							
Ladles : Steel				Op.			23.5	• • •			23.5	
BF & Others				Op.	• •		••	9.4			••	9.4
O.H. Checkers				Op.			4.3	2.1			4.3	2.1
				Cap.	• •		•••	••	••			••
B.F. Checkers	•	•		Op.	••		• •	••	••	••		
				Cap.		0.4	2.1		••	0.4	1.9	•
CO Checkers		•		Op.				0.2		••	••	0.2
				Cap.			••	••	••	••		• •
Soaking Pit	•	•		Op.	• •	••		1.8	•	••	••	1.8
Covers .		•		Cap.	•••		••		••	••	••	•
Blast Furnace		•		Op.	••		••	••	•••		••	
Proper .	•		•	Cap.	••	2.4		0.2	•••	2.3	••	0.4
Stoves & others		•		Op.	••	••	••	• •		••	••	• •
				Cap.	••	0.5	7.0	••	••	0.5	7.2	•
Coke Oven	•	•	-	Op.	• •		• •	0∙2	••	••	••	0.2
Shapes .			•	Cap.				••	••	••	••	•
Others .	•	•		Op.	1.0		• •	6.7	1.0	••	••	6.1
				Cap.		••	••	••	••	••	••	•
Pouring .		•	•	Op.	••		••	3.2	••	••	••	3.2
		TOTAL		-	1.0	3.3	36.9	24.1	1.0	3.2	36.9	24.0

# DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

### (Operational Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

			197	7			197	8	
	ſ	НА	HG	LG	PL (	HA	HG	LG	PL
TEEL INGOT PRODUCTION In Million Tonne)			1.6					1.6	 
Fireclay and high alumina bricks :				•			•		
Ladles : Steel	Op.			23.5				23.5	
BF & others	Op.	•	••		9.4				9.4
OH Checkers	Op.		•••	4.3	2.1			4.3	2.1
	Cap.		••				• -	••	••
BF Checkers .	Op.				• • •	••			
	Cap.		0.4	1.9			0.4	1.9	
CO Checkers	Op.				0.2		•••	••	••
	Cap.				1.3				
Soaking Pit	Op.				1.8		• • •		1.8
Covers	Cap.		`		••	••	•••		·
Blast Furnace	Op.			••		•	•••		• •
Proper	Cap.		2.3		0.4		2.3		0.4
Stoves & others .	Op.		••					••	
	Cap.	• •	0.5	7.2			0.5	7.2	
Coke Oven	Op.				0.2	•••		••	
Shapes	Cap.	••	••		4.8		•••		
Others	Op.	1.0			6.7	1.0	••		6.7
	Cap.	••					• • .		• •
Pouring	Op.		••	•••	3.2		•••		3.2
TOTAL .	•	1.0	3.2	36.9	30.1	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.6

# DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

					197	9			198	0	
,			~	HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
TEEL INGOT PRO	DUCT	ION			1.6		• -	••	•.	1.6	
Treclay and high alumi	na bric	ks :									
Ladles : Steel			Op.	•	• •	23.5	۰.			23.5	••
BF & Others			Op.	•••	•.•		9.4	•••			9.4
OH Checkers	•		Op.		••	4.3	2.1			4.3	2.1
		·	Cap.	•••		• •	••	••	••	••	
BF Checkers .		•	Op.	••	••	•••	••	••	••	••	••
			Cap.	••	0.4	2.1	• •	••	0.4	1.9	••
CO Checkers	•	·	Op.	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
			Cap.	••	••	••	•••	••	••	• •	••
Soaking pit .	•	•	Op.	••	••	••	1.8	•••	••	••	1.8
Covers .	•	•	Cap.	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
Blast Furnace	•	•	Op.	••	••	••	••	••	•••	••	•
Proper	•	•	Cap.	••	2.4	••	0.4	••	2.3	••	0.4
Stoves & others .	۰,	•	Op.		0.5	7.0	••	. <b>.</b> .	0.5	7.2	٠
			Cap.	•••	••	••	••	••	••	••	•
Coke Oven	•	•	Op.		••		••	•••	••	••	•
Shapes	٠	•	Cap.	••	••	••	••.	••	<i>,</i> .	••	
Others .		•	Op.	1.0	••		6.7	1.0	••	••	6.
			Cap.	••	••	••		••	••	••	
Pouring	•	•	Op.	••	•••	· ·	3, 2	···	•••		3.
	Тот	L.	-	1.0	3.3	36.9	23.6	1.0	3.2	36.9	23.

# DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

(Operational Capital requirements from 1971 to. 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

		_							
	-				1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)				•	1.15	1.3	1.45	1.6	1.6
Basic									
Burnt		•		Op.	4.3	5.0	5.5	6.0	6.0
				Cap.	••	••	••	••	
Chemically Bonded		•		Op.	13.0	14.5	16.3	18.0	18.0
				Cap.			••	••	• •
	Тот	AL			17.3	19.5	21.8	24.0	24.0
Dead Burnt Magnesite				Op.	6.5	7.4	8.1	8.8	8.8
Basic Ramming Mass .		•	•	Op.	••		••	••	
	Тот	AL	•		6.5	7.4	8.1	8.8	8.8
				<u>_, ,</u>	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION In Million Tonnes)					1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
Basic :									
Burat	•	•	•	Op.	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Chemically Bonded				Сар. Ор.	18.0	18.0	 18.0	 18.0	 18.0
Chemically Bonard	•		•	Cap.					
· .	Тот	AL			24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
Dead Burnt Magnesite				Op.	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
Basic Ramming Mass.	•		• ·	Op.	• •	••		• •	•••

112

#### DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT, DURGAPUR

(Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

										C	In '000 toni	າສ)
			<u></u>					1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
ilica :												
Coke Ovens :												
(a) Repairs					-		Op.	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.:
(b) Rebuilt	•			•	•	•	Op.	••	••		6.0	
Silica others		•	•	•	•	•	Op.	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.0
				т	`OTAL			1.7	1.8	1.9	7.9	1.9
×								· · · · ·				
						_		1976	1977	1978	1979	198
Silica :												
Coke Ovens :												
(a) Repairs	•	•			•		Op.	0.5	0.5	••		
(b) Rebuilt		•	•	•		•	Op.	• •	6.0	•••	6.0	
Silica others		٠	•	-			Op.	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.
I				Т	OTAL			1.9	7.9	1.4	7.4	1.

.

# ROURKELA STEEL PLANT, ROURKELA

# (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

				1971				1972				
				НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL	
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)		- •		1.6	••			1.6				
Fireclay and high alumin	a bricks	:										
Ladies Steel		. (	Op.	••	• •	17.2	1.0			19.5	·	
	_	C	Cap.					••				
BF & Others .	. •	. (	Op.			1.5			••	2.0		
OH Checkers		. (	Op.		••	1.0			•••	1.0		
		(	Cap.			· ••		•••		••		
BF Checkers .	•	. (	Op.				• •			••		
		(	Сар.					0.4		1.0	1.0	
CO Checkers	•	. (	Op.	••	••		.0.2	••	••	••	0.2	
		(	Cap.							••	0.6	
Soaking Pit		. (	Op.			0. <b>2</b>			••	0.2		
Covers		. (	Cap.			. <b></b>		• •		••		
Blast Furnace .		. (	Op.	••	0.3		••		0.3			
Proper		. (	Сар.	••				0.5	1.7			
Stoves & Others .		. `	Op.			••	••					
			Cap.					0.7	.,	1.4		
Coke Oven Shapes .	•		Op.								•	
		I	Cap.	••	••	••	••	••	••	1.6	1.:	
Others	•	•	Op.	0.4	••	0.8	••	0.4	•••	0.8	•	
			Cap.	•••		1.0		0.3	••	1.0	•	
Pouring	•		Op.	••	•••	1.8	1.8	••	••	2.0	2.0	
	TOTAL			0.4	0.3	23.5	2.0	2.3	2.0	30.5	5.3	

-

### ROURKELA STEEL PLANT, ROURKELA

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

			1973					1974				
			HA	HG	LG	PL (	НА	HG	LG	PL		
TEEL INGOT PRODUCTION				• •	1.6		•••		1.6			
Fireclay and high alumina brick	ks :											
Ladles: Steel		Op.			<b>19</b> .5		••		19.5			
	i	Cap.		••	••	• •	••	• •	• •			
BF & Others	. '	Op.	••	••	2.0		••		2.0	••		
OH Checkers .		Op.		••	1.0	· ••			1.0			
	`	Cap.	••	••	••	••	••	••	••			
BF Checkers .	•	Op.	••	• •			••			•;		
		Cap.	0.4		1.0	-1-0	0.4	••	1.0	1.0		
CO Checkers		Op.	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	0.2		
		Cap.	••	• •	•••	0.6	••	••	••	,		
Soaking Pit	•	Op.	•••		0.4		• •		0.2	••		
Covers	•	Cap.	••	••	••	••	• •	•••	••	••		
Blast Furnace		Op.		0.3	••	• •		0.3	• •			
Proper		Cap.	0.3	1.3			0.3	1.3	••			
Stoves & Others .		Op.										
		Cap.	0.7	• •	1.4	••	0.7	• •	1.4	••		
Coke Oven Shapes .		Op.				•		• •	•	• •		
		Cap.	••		1.6	1.5		••		•		
Others		Op.	0.4		0.8	• •	0-4	••	0.8	•••		
		Cap.	0.1	• •	1.0		0.1	••	1.0	••		
Pouring	•	Op.	••	••	2.0	2.0	••	••	2.0	2.0		
T	OTAL		1.9	1.6	30.7	5.1	1.9	1.6	28.9	3.2		

### ROURKELA STEEL PLANT, ROURKELA

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In	'000	tonnes)
-----	------	---------

.

.

				1975				- 1976				
				НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL	
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)			1.6	• •	••		1.8	••				
Fireclay and high alumina	brick	s :				•						
Ladles: Steel		٠	Op.	••	••	19.5		••	••	19.5		
			Cap.	••	••	••	••	••	•••	••		
BF & Others .		• ,	Op.	•	••	2.0	••	••	••	2.0		
OH Checkers .	•		Op.			1.0	••	••	••	1.0		
			Cap.	•••	••	••	••			••	••	
BF Checkers		٠	Op.				••			••	••	
			Cap.	0.4	••	1.0	1.0		••	••	• •	
CO Checkers .	•	•	Op.		••	••		••	••	• •		
			Cap.				1.2	••	••	••		
Soaking Pit	•	٠	Op.			0. <b>2</b>	•.	• •		0.4		
Covers			Cap.		••	••	••		••		••	
Blast Furnace .		•	Op.		0.3		••	••	0.3			
Proper			Cap.	0.3	1.3	••	••	••	••			
Stoves & Others .			Op.	••				••				
			Cap.	0.7	••	1.4	••	••	••		•••	
Coke Oven Shapes .			Op.	••		••				••	• •	
			Cap.	••		3.2	3.0					
Others	•		Op.	0.4	••	0.8	••`	0.4	••	0.8		
	-		Cap.	0.1		1.0	••	0.3		1.0	• •	
Pouring		•	Op.	••	••	2.0	2.0	••	••	2.0	2.0	
		TAL		1.9	1.6	32.1	7.2	0.7	0.3	26.7	2.0	

### ROURKELA STEEL PLANT, ROURKELA

### (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

<sup>(</sup>In '000 tonnes)

						15	77	•		19	78	
					HA	HG	LG	PL	<b>НА</b>	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRO	OD	UCTIC	N	_		••	1.8	••	••	1.8		
Fireclay and high alun	nina	ı bricks	:									
Ladles : Steel				Op.	••		19.5	••		••	19.5	• •
				Cap.	••			••				•
BF & Others .			•	Op.	••		2.0			••	2.0	
OH Checkers		•		Op.			1.0	••		••	1.0	• •
				Cap.	• •	••		••	••	••		•
BF Checkers .	-		•	Op.	· • •		••	••		••	••	•
				Cap.	0.4	••	••		0.4		••	
CO Checkers		•	•	Op.	••	••	••	2.0		••	••	
				Cap.	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	1.
Soaking Pit .				Op.	••	••	0.2	••	••		0.2	
Covers .				Cap.	••	•••	••	••	••	••	••	
Blast Furnace			•	Op.	••	0.3	••	••	••	0.3	••	
Proper	•	•		Cap.	0.5	2.2	••	••	0.3	1.6	••	•
Stoves & Others		•		Op.	••	- •	••	••	••	••	••	•
				Cap.	0.3	••	••	••	0.3	••		
Coke Oven Shapes	•	•		Op.		••	••	••		••	••	
				Cap.	••	••	••	••		••	3 · 2	3.
Others .				Op.	0.4	••	0.8	••	0.4		0.8	•
				Cap.	0.1	••	1.0	••	0.1		1.0	
Pouring .	•	•	•	Op.	••		2.0	2.0	••	••	2.0	2.
		TOTAL	•		1.7	2.5	26.5	2.2	1.5	1.9	29.7	6.:

,

# ROURKELA STEEL PLANT, ROURKELA

## (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980) \*

(In '000 tonnes)

						19	79			19	30	
	-			(	HA	HG	LG	PL '	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRO (In Million Tonnes)	D	UCTIO	N		• •		1.8	••		1.8	·	·
Fireclay and high alum	ina	bricks	:		. ,							
Ladles : Steel	•	•	•	Op. Cap.	•••		19.5 			•••	19.5 	
BF & Others .				Op.	·	•••	2.0	• •		•••	2.0	
OH Checkers	•			Ор. Сар.	••		10				1.0	••
BF Checkers .	•			Сар. Ор. Сар.	 04	•••	••	•••	 0.4	··· ··	••	• •
CO Checkers	•			Op.	•••			•••				•
				Cap.		••		1.6	••	• •	•••	•
Soaking Pit	·	•	•	Op.	••	••	0.4	••	• •	••	0.2	•
Covers .	٠	•	٠	Сар.		• •		••			••	•
Blast Furnance	•	•	٠	Op.	••	0.3	••	• •	••	0.3		•
Proper .	•		•	Cap.	0.3	1.6	••	••	0.3	1.6	••	•
Stoves & Others	•	•	-	Op. Cap.	0.3	· · ·	•••	 	0.3	 	••	
Coke Oven Shapes				Op. Cap.	••		3.9	3.8	•••		••	•
Others .	•	-	•	Op. Cap.	0.4 0.1		0.8 1.0		0.4 0.1		0.8 1.0	
Pouring -				Op.	••	••	2.0	20	••	••	<b>2</b> .0	2.
		TOTAL	•		1.5	1.9	30.6	7.4	1.5	1.9	26.5	2.

### ROURKELA STEEL PLANT, ROURKELA

## (Operational & Capital repairs requirements from 1971 to 1980)

1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes) 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 BASIC : Burnt : (a) Operational 2.7 2 7 2.7 2.7 2.7 . . (b) Capital 0.4 1.5 1.5 . . . . . 1.2 1.0 (c) Constructional . . . .. ... • • .. •• Chemically Bonded : (a) Operational 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 . 2.0 (b) Capital . . .. . . . . • • .. (c) Constructional . . . . .. .. • • •• • • TOTAL 5.1 6.2 6.2 5.9 5.7 . . Dead burnt magnesite: Operational . 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 . **Basic Ramming Mass:** Operational 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 . . TOTAL 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 Tar Bonded Dolomite : Operational 14.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 . - -. . 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 (In Million Tonnes) . . . **BASIC:** 2.72.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 Burnt : (a) Operational . , . 0.8 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 (b) Capital . . . . . (c) Constructional • • • • • • • • . . Chemically Bonded : 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 (a) Operational . . • • (b) Capital . •• •• •• • • . . ۰. (c) Constructional . •• . . ••• . . . . . . .. 5.7 5.5 5.7 5.7 5.7 TOTAL . . . . Dead burnt magnesite: 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 Operational . . Basic Ramming Mass : 0.20.2 0.2 0.2 **Operational:** 0.2 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 TOTAL Tar Bonded Dolomite : 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 Operational . . . .

(In '000 tonnes)

·

(In '000 tonnes)

## ROURKELA STEEL PLANT, ROURKELA

### (Operational and Capital Repairs Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

										•
						1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
SILICA										
Coke Oven :	(a) Repairs:		•			••	3.0	2.0	1.0	
	(b) Re-build		•		.*		3.2	3. <b>2</b>	••	6.4
Roof	Operational				•	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Convertors		-			•	••			, ••	••
Others	• •	•	•		.`	0.6	0.6	0.6	- 0.6	0.6
				TOTAL	, .	0.61	6 81	5 81	1.61	7.01
		_	-						<u> </u>	
						1976	1977	1978	197 <b>9</b>	1980
SILICA :							-		·	
Coke Oven :	(a) Repairs	•			•	0.5	1.0		••	
	(b) Re-build						••	6.4	7.3	<i>.</i> ••
Roof :	Operational	•			. •	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Convertors :					×					
Others						0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
				Total		1.11	1.61	7.01	7.91	0.61

### INDIAN IRON & STEEL CO. LIMITED, BURNPUR

#### (Operational, Capital and Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonne)

						19	71			19	72	
				(	НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT I (In Million Tonne	PR( es)	DUU	TION									
By LD .		•	•					••	••		••	
By OH .		•		•	• •	1.0	••		••	1.0	••	••
By Flectric		• .		•	• ••		·		••	••		• •
Fireclay and high Ladles : Steel	alu	mina	bricks Op.		••	••		22.0	•••	••		22.0
BF & Others			Op.	•				3.4	••		••	3.4
OH Checkers	•		Op.	•			2.9	••	••		2.9	••
			Cap.			•••	••	••	••	••	••	••
BF Checkers			Op.		••	••	••	1.0	• •	••		1.0
			Cap.		••	••	••		••		••	
CO Checkers		• -	OP			••		0.5	••	• •	••	0.5
			Cap.					• • • •		••	••	2.3
			Constn.		••	••	••	••	••		••	••
Soaking Pit		•	Op.	•	••	••	0.2	0.1	••	••	0.1	0.2
Cover :												
Blast Furnace	•		Op.		••			2.9				2.9
Proper ·	•	•	Cap.		••	••	••	••		·	••	• •
Stoves & Others		٠	Op.		• •	•••	••	1.8	•••	••	••	1.8
			Cap.		• •					••	••	•
CO Shapes		•	Op.		••			0.2	•.•		• • •	0.2
			Cap.	•		••		••			· · ·	1.4
			Constn				••	••	••	••	••	•
Others: .	•	•	Op.		0.2			12.0	0.2		••	17.
Pouring:		•	Op.	•		••	••	2.3	••	••		2.
		Тот/	AL.		0.2		3.1	51.2	0.2		3.0	55.

## INDIAN IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, BURNPUR

### (Operational, Capital & Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

			19	73			19	74	
		НА	HG	LG	PL (	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PROD (In Million Tonne)	UCTION .			,					
By LD			•••				0.3		••
Ву ОН				1.0	••		1.0		
By Electric									
Fireclay and high alumin Ladles: Steel	a bricks Op .			· · ·	22 <u>.</u> 0		••		26.0
BF & Others	Op.				3.4				4.5
OH Checkers	Ор			2.9		• -		2.9	
	Cap.					• •	• ••		
BF Checkers	Op.				1.0		• •		1.3
	Cap	••	••	••					
CO Checkers	Op			• •	0.5				0.5
	Cap			••			• •	••	
	Constn	- •	••	••		••	••	••	1.3
Soaking Pit	Op			0.2	0.1			0.1	0.2
Covers									
Blast Furnace	<b>Op.</b> • .	••			2.0	• •			2.0
Proper	Cap	••	••		••	•••		•••	• •
Stoves & Others	Op	••	·		1.5		••		1.5
	Cap.	• •		••	••			×	
CO Shapes	Op.	••		••	0.2	•••		••	0.2
	Cap	••	••	••	••		••	••	••
	Constn.	•••		••		•••	••	••	
Others:	Op	0.2		• •	17.0	0.2		••	20.4
Pouring	Op.		•••	••	2.3		••		2.7
	TOTAL .	0.2		3.1	50.0	0.2		3.0	67.6

~

•

### INDIAN IRON & STEEL COMPANY LTD., BURNPUR

(Operational, Capital & Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

•

					197	5			1,97	6	
			<u> </u>	НА	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRO (In Million Tonnes)	ODU	CTION									
By LD .	•				0.5	••			• 1.0		
By OH .					0.8				0.2	••	
By Electric					••				0.1		• •
Fireclay and high alu Ladles : Steel	mina	<b>O</b>	•				26.0	•••			26.0
BF & Othe.s .		Op.					4.5				4.5
OH Checkers : .		Op.		• •		2.3				0.9	
		Cap.	•	••		••					
BF Checkers : . ^		Op.	-		• •		1.3			•• .	1.3
		Cap.		••	• •	••		••	••		
CO Checkers :		Op.	•		••		0.5	••	••		0.5
		Cap.	•		••			••		••	••
		Constn		••		• •					1.3
Soaking Pit .		Op.			••	0.2	0.1	•••		0.1	0.2
Blast Furnace .		Op.				••	2.9	••	••		2.9
Proper:		Cap.		••							
Stoves & Others		Op.		••			1.8	•••	••	••	1.8
		Cap.			••		• •		•••		
CO Shapes :		Op.					0.2	••			0.2
		Cap.								••	• ·
		Constn?		••			• •				7.0
Others : · .	•	Op.	•	0.2		••	20.4	0.2			20.4
Pouring		Op.			••	••	2.7		•••		2.7
		TOTAL .	•	0.2	•••	2.5	60-4	0.2		1.0	68.8

#### INDIAN IRON & STEEL COMPANY LTD., BURNPUR

### (Operational, Capital & Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

<sup>(</sup>In '000 tonnes)

						1977	1			1978	3	
					НА	HG	LG	Jq	НА	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT (In Million Ton		DU	CTION		-							
By LD .		•	·			••••	1.2	••	• -	••	1.2	
By OH .	·		• •			•••	••	••	••	••		••
By Electric							0.1			••	0.1	••
Fireclay and hig Ladles : Steel	h alun	ina •	<i>bricks</i> Op.		•••	••	•••	26.0	••	••	••	26.0
BF & Others	s.	•	Op.	•	•••		••	4.5		•••	•••	4.5
OH Checkers	•	•	Op.		• •	• •	••			• •		• •
BF Checkers	•	•	Op.				••	1.3	••		••	1.3
CO Checkers	•	·	Op.	•	••			0.5	••			0.5
			Cap.								•••	• •
			Constn.				••		• •		• •	
Soaking Pit	•	•	Op.			••	0.2	0.1	• •		0.1	0.2
Covers : Blast Furnace Proper :		-	Op.		•••			2.0				2.0
Stoves & C	thers	•	Op.		• •		••	1.5		·		1.5
CO Shapes		٠	Op.			••		0.2				0.2
			Constn.		• ·			• •	••	••		
Others :			Op.		0.2			20.4	0.2			20.4
Pouring : .	•		Op.				• •	2.7	۰.			2.7
			TOTAL		0.2		0.2	59.2	02		01	59 3

.

-

### INDIAN IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, BURNPUR

(Operational, Capital & Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(in '000 tonnes)

							19	79			198	0	
						HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT (In Million Tonn	PR es]		UC.	ΓΙΟΝ								-	
By LD ·					•		1.2	• ••		••	1.2		
By OH .			•	•	•				••			••	
By Electric					•		0.1	••			0.1	••	·
<i>Fireclay and high</i> Ladles : Steel	al	lumir	ia bi	rickas Op.		• 			26.0			· <b>·</b>	26.0
BF & Others	•			Op.		••	••		4.5	••	•••		4、5
OH Checkers	•			Op.	•	· ·	••			••	••	••	• •
BF Checkers	•			Op.		••			1.3			••	1.3
CO Checkers	•			Op.			••		0.5				0.5
				Cap.	•	••	••			••			
,				Constn.	•		••			••			
Soaking Pit Covers :	•			Op.	•		•••		0.3	••		••	0.3
Blast Furnace	•	•		Op.			•••	••	2.9		· ·	•••	2.9
Proper : Stoves & Others			_	Op.					1.8				1.8
-	•	-		-	•	••	••	• •	0.2	••	••		0.2
CO Shapes	•	•		Op. Constn.	•	••	••			• •	••	••	
Others .						 0. <b>2</b>	••	••	 20.4	0.2	••	••	· · 20.4
Others :	•		•	Op.	·		••	••		. –	••	••	
Pouring :	•		•	Op.	•	••	••	• •	2.7	••	••	••	2.7
		Гот	L			0.2		•••	60.6	0.2	••	• •	60.6

.

# INDIAN IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, BURNPUR

### (Operational, Copital & Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

						1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
STEEL INGOT PI		UCTI	0N							
(In Million Tonnes	)									
By LD	•					• •			0.3	0.5
By OH	•			•		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
By Electric	•		· ·		. <b>.</b>					
BASIC							N			
Basic Burnt	-		Op.			6.9	6.9	6.9	7.7	6.9
			Cap.			•		•••		
-			Constn.				••		0.5	
Basic •			Op.			2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.0
Chemically			Cap.	-						
Bonded .			Constn.							
			•••							
	ר	Γοτλί	•	•	· •	9.4	9.4	9.4	10.7	8.9
Dead Burnt Magne	site -					2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.2
Basic Ramming M		•		•	•	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	
		Τοτα	. •			2.8	2.8	28	2.8	2.2
Tar Bonded Dolon	nite ·									
	ince .		Op.					••	4.2	7.0
			Constn.						1.0	
				Tan						
				Τοτά	L ·	••	••	• •	5.2	7.0
· · ·										
<u></u>			•			1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
							1977	1978	1979	1980
STEEL INGOT PI	ROD	UCTI					1977	<u>1978</u>	1979	1980
(In Million Tonnes	ROD	UCTI		<u>-</u>	 		1977	1978	1979	
(In Million Tonnes By LD	ROD ))	UCTI			· · ·	1976		1.2	1.2	1.2
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH	ROD ))	UCTI			· · ·	1976	1.2	1.2		1.2
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric	ROD ))				· - · -	1976 1.0 0.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC	ROD ))	UCTI	ON   	• • •	· · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1	1.2  0.1	1.2  0.1	1.2  0.1	1.2  0.1
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric	ROD ))	UCTI	ON    Op.	 - - -	· · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6	1.2  0.1 3.8	1.2  0.1 3.8	1.2  0.1 3.8	1.2  0.1 7.8
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC	ROD	UCTI	ON  		· · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6	1.2  0.1 3.8 	1.2  0.1 3.8	1.2  0.1 3.8 	1.2  0.1 7.8
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt	ROD	UCTI	ON  		· · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6 	1.2  0.1 3.8 	1.2  0.1 3.8 	1.2  0.1 3.8 	1.2  0.1 7.8
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic	ROD	UCTI	ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op.		· · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically	ROD ))	UCTI	ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Cap.	•	· · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic	ROD ))	UCTI	ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically	。)	UCTI	ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Cap.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5	1 · 2  0 · 1 7 · 8  0 · 5 
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded	)		ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Cap.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0  5.6	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5  4.3
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded Dead Burnt Magne	)		ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Cap.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0 	1.2  0.1 3.8   0.5 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5 	1980 1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5  4.3 
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded Dead Burnt Magne	)) Tor esite ass		ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Cap.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0  5.6 0.8	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5  4.3
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded Dead Burnt Magne Basic Ramming Magne	) Tor esite ass Tor		ON  Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Cap.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0  5.6 0.8 0.8	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  7.8  0.5  4.3
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded Dead Burnt Magne Basic Ramming Magne	) Tor esite ass Tor		ON Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0  5.6 0.8	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5  4.3 
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded Dead Burnt Magne Basic Ramming Mi	) Tor esite ass Tor		ON Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Constn. Constn. Op. Constn. Co	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0  5.6 0.8 0.8	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3 	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3 	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5  4.3  18.2
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded Dead Burnt Magne Basic Ramming Mi	) Tor esite ass Tor		ON Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0  5.6 0.8 0.8 14.0	1.2 0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3  18.2	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3  18.2	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3  18.2	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5  4.3  18.2
(In Million Tonnes By LD By OH By Electric BASIC Basic Burnt Basic Chemically Bonded Dead Burnt Magne	) Tor esite ass Tor		ON Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Cap. Constn. Op. Constn. Constn. Op. Constn. Co	•		1976 1.0 0.2 •0.1 4.6  1.0  5.6 0.8 0.8 14.0 	1.2 0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3  18.2	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3  18.2	1.2  0.1 3.8  0.5  4.3  18.2	1.2  0.1 7.8  0.5  4.3

#### INDIAN IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, BURNPUR

127

#### (Operational, Capital & Construction Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

										(In `000 to	
			<u>.</u> .	•••			1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
SILICA	:										
Col	ce Oven :										
(a)	Operation				• •		0.1 •	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
(b)	Rebuild	•	•	•	· ·	•	••	1.1	••		
(c)	Constn.		·	•		·	••	••	••	7.0	
Roof :		•	•	•	• •		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	1.8
Convert	ers:		•				5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	4.7
Others :	· · ·						6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5
Others (											
					TOTAL	,	15.0	16.1	15.0	22.0	
					Total		<b>15.0</b> 1976	16.1 1977	15.0 1978	22.0 1979	12.1
					Total						
SILICA	ke Oven :				Total			1977			12.1  
 SILICA				 	Total		1976				1980
 SILICA Co (a)	ke Oven :			 	Total		1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
 SILICA (`v (a) (b)	ke Oven : Operation				Total		<b>1976</b> 0.1	1977 0.1	1978 0.1	1979 0.1	1980 0.
 SILICA (`v (a) (b)	ke Oren : Operation Rebuild				Тотац		1976 0.1	1977 0.1	1978 0.1	<b>1979</b> 0.1	1980 0.
	ke Oven : Operation Rebuild Constn.				Тотац  		1976 0.1  7.0	1977 0.1	1978 0.1 	1979 0.1 	
SILICA Co (a) (b) (c) Roof	ke Oven : Operation Rebuild Constn. ters				Тотац   	· · · · ·	1976 0.1  7.0 0.7	1977 0.1  0.7	1978 0.1  0.7	1979 0.1  U.7	0. 0.

+

## TATA IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, JAMSHEDPUR

# (Operational and Capital Repairs & new Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

<sup>(</sup>In '000 tonnes)

-			197	1			1972	2	
		HA	НG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)		••		1.8	•••		• 2.0		••
Fireclay and high alumina Ladles : Steel	bricks Op. Cap. ]		10.0	21.5			13.5	17.0	
BF & Others	••		••	••	• •	• •	••		
OH Checkers	,,	••		8.3				5.0	' 3.5
BF Checkers	.,	0.5		2.1		0.5		2. I	• •
CO Checkers		••			2.6				1.0
	Const.	••	••		1.0			• •	1.0
Soaking Pit							,		
Covers 1	Op			• • •					
`	Cap.	0.3		•••	0.1	0.3		• •	0.1
Blast Furnace									
Proper	••		2.7				2.8		
Stoves & others	.,	1.1		2.0	• •	1.1		2.0	
Coke Oven Shapes	,,				3.3			• • •	2.0
	Const.			0.5	3.5	• •		0.5	3.5
Others	Op 'Cap.	0.3	••	8.0	13.8	2.6		8.0	13.8
Pouring	۰ ۱ <b>۰</b>	• •	. •-	••	2.7	••			2.7
TOTAL		2.2	12.7	42.4	27.0	4.5	16.3	34.6	27.6

			197	3			197	4	
		НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)				2.0	• ••		2.0	••	
Fireclay and high alumina									
Ladles : Steel	Op. Cap.		13.5	17.0	••		13.5	17.0	
BF & Others			••••						
OH Checkers	.,	••		5.0	3.5		•••	5.0	3.
BF Checkers	•,	0.5		2.1	••	0.5		2.1	
CO Checkers	••		<b>.</b> .	•••	1.0				1.(
	Const.				1.0				0.
Soaking Pit									
Covers.	Op						• • • •		
	Cap.	0.3	•		0.1		0.3		
Blast Furnace									
Proper	**		2.8			• •	2.8	••`	
Stoves & others	- ,,	1.1		20		1.1	·	2.0	
Coke Oven Shapes	,,	. • •	• •	••	2 0				2.
	Const.	• • • •		0.5	3.5			0.2	Ι.
Others .	Op 'Cap.	2.5		8.0	13.8	2.5	••	8.0	13.
Pouring	**	• •	••		2.7	• •			
TOTAL		3.4	16.3	34.6 '	27.6	4.4	16.3	34.3	25.

# TATA IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, JAMSHEDPUR

(Operational & Capital Repairs & new Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

			19	75			19	76	
		НА	НG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL,
STEEL INGOT PROD (In Million Tonnes)	UCTION .	• -	2.0			• •	2 0		•••
Fireclay and high alumin	a bricks								
Ladles : Steel BF & Others	Op/Cap.		13.5	17.0		••	13.5	17.0	
	,,	••	• •			••	••		••
OH Checkers BF Checkers	,, · ,, ·	••	0.5	5.0	3.5 2.1	 0.5	· · ·	5.0 2.1	3.5
CO Checkers	• ,, •				1.0				0.5
-	Const.			• •	0.9				
Soaking Pit	·, ·	• •	•••	• •		0.3			0.1
Covers		0.3			0.1				
Blast Furnace									
Proper	<b>,,</b> -	• -	2.8				2.8	<b>'</b>	• •
Stoves & others	,, ·	1.1		2.0		1.1		2.0	
Coke Oven				2.0	2.0			•••	. · ·
Shapes	<b>,,</b> ·		••	0.2	3.0		••		1.0
Others	,, .	<b>2</b> .5		8.0	13.8	0.3		8.0	13.8
Pouring	·, ·		••	••	2.7			••	2,7
Total .		4.4	16.3	34.3	27.0	2.2	16.3	34 1	21.6

1

•

		_		19	77		•	19	78	
			НА	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PROD (In Million Tonnes)	UCTION			2.0				2.0		
Fireclay and high alumin	a bricks									
Ladles : Steel	Op/Cap.	۰.		13.5	17.0			13.5	17.0	• •
BF & Others	,,								••	
OH Checkers				• •	5.0	3.5			5.0	3.5
BF Checkers	.,		0.5	••	2.1	• •	0.5		2.1	
CO Checkers	••				-	0.5				0.5
Soaking Pit			-							•
Covers	••		0.3			•	0.1	0.3		0.1
Blast Furnace										
Proper	3 3			2.8	• •			2.8		
Stoves & others	••		1.1		2.0		1 • 1		2.0	·
Coke Oven										
Shapes	••					1.0	••		· • •	1.0
Others	,,		0.3		8.0	13.8	0.3		8.0	13.8
Pouring	,,			••	• •	2.7			••	2.7
TOTAL			2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.0

.

### TATA IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, JAMSHEDPUR

#### (Operational & Capital Repairs & new Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

							(	In '000 t	onnes)
			19	79			19	80	
	-	HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
STEEL INGOT PRODUC (In Million Tonnes)	TION .		2.0					2.0	
Fireclay and high alumina h		•							
Ladles : Steel BF & Others	Op./Cap.	••	13.5	17.0	• •	•••	13.5	17.0	••
OH Checkers	, .			5.0	3.5			5.0	3.5
BF Checkers	<b>,,</b> ,	0.5		2.1		0.5	••	2.1	••
CO Checkers	,, .	• •	• •		0.5				0.5
Soaking Pit									
Covers	`, ·		2.8		0.1	• •	2.8	••	0.1
Blast Furnace									
Proper	<b>,</b> , ·		2.8				2.8		
Stove & Others	, <b>,</b> ,	1.1	••	2.0		1.1	••	2.0	•••
Coke Oven									
Shapes	, <b>,</b> .				1.0			••	1.0
Others	· · ·	0.3	•••	8.0	13.8	0.3		8.0	13.8
Pouring	•• •			••	2.7	••	••	••	2.7
Total .		2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6	2.2	16.3	34.1	21.6

#### TATA IRON & STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, JAMSHEDPUR

### (Operational & Capital Repairs & new Constructional Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

								(In '000 t	onnes)
	-			•	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)	•				1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0
BASIC									_
Burnt : Operational & Capital Constructional			•		9.6	9.5	9.5	, 9.5	9.5
Chemically Bonded : Operational & Capital					5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
TOTAL			•		15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Burnt Dolomite : Operational .					42.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	43.0
Dead Burnt Magnesite : Operational .					4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Basic Ramming Mass : Operational			•	•	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
TOTAL .					47.8	49.0	49.0	49.0	<b>49</b> . 0
					1076	1977	1978	1979	1000
					1976				
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes)					2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1980  2.0
STEEL INGOT PRODUCTION (In Million Tonnes) BASIC		•	•	•					
(In Million Tonnes)			•	•					
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC Burnt : Operational & Capital	•		•	•	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC Burnt : Operational & Capital Constructional Chemically Bonded :	•		•	•	2.0	<b>2</b> .0 8.5	2.0	2.0	2.0 9.5
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC Burnt : Operational & Capital Constructional Chemically Bonded : Operational & Capital	•		•	•	2.0 8.5 5.5	2.0 8.5 5.5	2.0 8.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 9.5 5.5	2.0 9.5 5.5
(In Million Tonnes) BASIC Burnt : Operational & Capital Constructional Chemically Bonded : Operational & Capital TOTAL Burnt Dolomite :	•		•	•	2.0 8.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 8.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 8.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 9.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 9.5 5.: 15.0
<ul> <li>(In Million Tonnes)</li> <li>BASIÇ         <ul> <li>Burnt : Operational &amp; Capital Constructional</li> <li>Chemically Bonded : Operational &amp; Capital</li> <li>TOTAL</li> <li>Burnt Dolomite : Operational</li> <li>Dead Burnt Magnesite :</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	•		•	•	2.0 8.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 8.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 8.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 9.5 5.5 15.0	2.0 9.5 5.3

•

# TATA IRON & STEEL COMPANY, LIMITED, JAMSHEDPUR

(Operational & Capital Repairs and new Construction: Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

								(In '000 to	nnes)
	_				1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
SILICA :		-							
Coke Oven :	Operational & Capital		•		4.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
	Constructional .				4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3
Roof :	Operational & Capital				6.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	. 4.8
Converters :	Operational & Capital				5.4	.5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Others :	Operational & Capital		•		6.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
		Тот	AL '		26.4	23.7	23.7	21.7	23
			AL	-				,	
				• 	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
			AL	• 			1978	1979	1980
SILICA Coke Oven :	Operational & Capital		AL .	• • •			1978 0.1	1979 0.1	1980 
			AL .	• 	1976	1977			
Coke Oven :	Operational & Capital	· · · ·		· ·	1976	1977 0.1	0.1	0.1	0.
Coke Oven : Roof :	Operational & Capital Constructional Operational & Capital			· ·	1976 0.1 1.2	1977 0.1	0.1	0.1	0. 4.
	Operational & Capital Constructional Operational & Capital	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·	1976 0.1 1.2 4.8	1977 0.1  4.8	0.1	0.1	0.1

## MYSORE IRON & STEEL CO. LTD., BHADRAVATI

### (Operational & Capital Repairs Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

										(In '000	tonnes)
				_			1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
STEEL INGOT PR	RODUCI	NOI		•			0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
FIREBRICKS											
Except High Alumir est demand being n ories Plant.	a contain net from	ing Al their	3 <b>O</b> 3 own	35% a captiv	and al ve Ref	bove, rac-					
High Alumina (above 35% al	umina)						2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
TOTAL							2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
BASIC	,										
Burnt Chemically Bo	 nded		•		•		5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Total							5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Dead Burnt Magne	site .						2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
SILICA											
Roof							1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1
Convertors		•	•	•							
Others							0.3	0.3	0.3	0:3	0.3
TOTAL				•		•	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
											•
v							1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
TEEL INGOT PR		ION	•	•	•		0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.1
TREBRICKS											
Except High Alumin est demand being portes Plant.	na contain met from	ing Al their o	l₂O₃ own c	35 % a aptivo	nd aba e Refra	ove, ac-					
High Alumina	(Above 3	5% al	umin	a)			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
-	TAL .			•			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
		•	•	•		·					
BASIC	-						5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Burnt Chemically Bo	•. • nded	•	•	•	•	•	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.7	0.5
-							5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
TOTAL	• •			•	·	•					
			•	-	•	•	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Dead Burnt M	agnesite										
	agnesite		٠					<b>.</b> .	<b>.</b> –		
ILICA Roof	_		•	•			1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
ILICA Roof Convertors	_	•	• • •			•		••	•••	••	
SILICA Roof	_		• • •	• •	•	•					1.5  0.3 • <b>1.8</b>

### (Constructional & Operational Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

······································				197	/1				72	
			НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
FIREBRICKS	<u> </u>	• •			• • •	 	 			<u> </u>
BHILAI—Expansion					••	••	••		••	
BOKARO										
Steel Ingot Prodn. (In Million tonne)	•		• •	••		• •		0.202	•••	
(a) Constructional			•••	•••	0.7	••	4.3	1.4	10.4	
(b) Operational				••			0.2	3.0	1.6	0.2
SALEM										
VIZAG										
HOSPET							_			
Steel Ingot Produ. (In million tonue)	•				·				-,	
(a) Constructional		• •		••	••			•••	• •	••
(b) Operational					· .	•••		••	• •	• 2
NSP I					•					
Steel Ingot Prodn. (In million tonne)			••	••	• •	••	••	••	••	
(a) Constructional			••				••	••		••
(b) Operational	•		• ••	••	••				••	••
NSP II					·					
Steel Ingot Prodn (In million tonne)	•	•	• ••	••	• •	• •	••			••
(a) Constructional	•		• ••	• •	••	••		••	• •	
(b) Operational		•			••				••	••
NSP III				•						
Steel Ingot Prodn (In Million tonne)		•	· ••	• ••			••	.,		•••
(a) Constructional	•			••		••			••	
(b) Operational	•	•	• ••		•••	••	••	• · ·	•••	••
NSP IV										
Steel Ingot Prodn (In Milllion Tonne)	•	•	• ••	•••		••			•• .	,
(a) Constructional		•	• ••				••		• •	
(b) Operational	•		· .			•••	•••	•••	••	••
NSP V										
Steel Ingot Prodn (In Million tonnes)	•		• ••		•••	••	•		•••	· •
(a) Constructional	• '			<del>.</del>			• •	••		
(b) Operational	•			••	••		••			••
		Тот	AL		0.7		4.5	4.4	12.0	0.2

.

### (Constructional & Operational Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

- 					· · · · ·				(In '	000 tonne	es)
、					197	'3			197	4	
				HA	HG	LG	PL	HA	HG	LG	PL
FIREBRICKS .		•	• `		• •	••		••			•••
BHILAI—Expansion											
` (a) Constructional	•		•		2.8	2.8	2.8	••	1.9	1.9	1.9
(b) Operational	•		•	•••	•••		••	••	••		••
BOKARO											
Steel Ingot Prodn (In million tonnes)	•		•		•••	0.60		•••	•••	0.80	••
(a) Constructional	•		•	9.0	••	10.7	••	9.0	1.8	11.5	
(b) Operational	•	,	•	0.5	8.8	4.9	0.6	0.6	11.8	6.5	0.8
SALEM		•	•	••	••	•••	••	••	••	••	••
VIZAG											
<ul><li>(a) Constructional</li><li>(b) Operational</li></ul>	•	•	•	••	• •	۰.	••	6.5	3.5	18.0	
HOSPET	•	•	•	••	••		••	••	••	••	••
Steel Ingot Prodn. (In million tonnes)	•	•	•	••	••	••	••		••	••	•
(a) Constructional	•			••			••	6.5	3.5	18.0	•
(b) Operational	·	·	•	••	• •		••	• • •	••	••	-
NSP I											
Steel Ingot Prodn (In million tonnes)	•	•	•		••	••	••	<b>N</b>		••	•
(a) Constructional							• -			• •	
(b) Operational			•	••	••	••	••	••		••	
NSP II								•			
Steel Ingot Prodn. (In million tonnes)	•		•	· • •	۰		•••	••	••	••	
(a) Constructional				••			••	••		••	•
(b) Operational	•	•	•		••		••	• -	••	••	•
NSP III											
Steel Ingot Prodn. (In million tonnes)	•	•	•				••		••		
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	••	•	••	••		••	••	
(b) Operational	•	•	•	••	••	••	••	••		••	•
NSP IV											
Steel Ingot Prodn. (In million tonnes)		•		••				••		••	
(a) Constructional		•	•		• •		••	••	••	••	
(b) Operational	•	•					••		, <b>••</b>	••	•
NSP V											
Steel Ingot Prodn (In million tonnes)		•	•	. ••	••		••	••			
(a) Constructional		•					••	••	••	••	
(b) Operational		•	•	••			• •	••		••	
TOTAL				9.5	13.2	18.4	3.4	22.6	22.5	55.9	2.

.

\_

### (Constructional & Operational Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

			•	_		1975			19	76	
	,			HA	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
FIREBRICKS											
BHILAI-Expansion											
(a) Constructiona	1.		-		1.9	1.9	1 - 8		0.9	0.9	1.
(b) Operational	٠	•	•		••	••	• •	••	••		
BOKARO									•		
Steel Ingot Prodn (In million tonnes)	•	•	•	•••	1.02	•••	••	••	1.4		•
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	••	0.3	2.5	••	••	••	• •	•
(b) Operational	•	•	•	0.8	15.0	8.3	1.0	1.1	20.6	11.3	1.
SALEM	•	•	•		•••		••		`		
VIZAG											
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	6.5	. 3.5	12.0	•••	6.5	3.5	18.0	•
(b) Operational	•	•	•	0.4	10.0	2.8	0.5	0.7	18.61	5.0	0.9
HOSPET											
Steel Ingot Prodn In Million Tonnes)	•	•	•	• •	••	<i></i>	•••	••	••		• •
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	6.5	3.5	18.0	••	6.5	-3.5	18.0	
(b) Operational	٠	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	·
NSP I											
teel Ingot Prodn In million tonnes)	•	•	•	••		••		••	••	••	••
(a) Constructional		•		6.5	3.5	18.0		6.5	3.5	18.0	
(b) Operational	•	٠	•	••		••	••	••	•••	• •	••
JSP II		-									
teel Ingot Prodn.		-		••	••		••	••			••
(a) Constructional				• •				6.5	3.5	18.0	
(b) Operational		•	•	••		••	••	••	• •		••
ISP III											
teel Ingot Prodn.	•	•		••			••	••			••
n Million tonnes) (a) Constructional									•		
(b) Operational		•	<i>'</i> .	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
ISP IV	-										••
eel Ingot Prodn. n Million tonnes)		•		••	•••	••	••		••	、 • •	••
(a) Constructional											
(b) Operational	•	•		••		• •		••			•••
SP V				-							
eel Ingot Prodn.	•		•	••		• •	•••	• • •	•••	••	
(a) Constructional				••	••		<b>,</b> • ;	••			
(b) Operational	-		•	•••	••				••	••	••
_						<i>(</i> <b>)</b> =					<u> </u>
Total	•	•	•	20.7	37.7	69.5	3.3	27.8	54.1	<b>89.2</b>	3.3

### (Constructional & Operational Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

					1977				19	78	
				НА	HG	LG	PL .	НА	HG	LG	PL
FIREBRICKS				- <u>-</u> -							
BHILAI—Expansion											
(a) Constructional				••	••	••		••	••	••	
(b) Operational	•	•	•	0.9	29.8	6.2	1.1	1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3
BOKARO											
Steel Ingot Prodn	•	•	•		1.8	••	••	••	2.075	••	
(a) Constructional							••		.,		
(b) Operational	•	•	•	1.4	26.5	14.6	1.8	1.7	0.5	16.8	2.1
SALEM .							••	••			
VIZAG											
(a) Constructional				0.5	15.9			3.0	2.0	9.0	
(b) Operational			•	0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0	1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5
HOSPET											
Steel Ingot Prodn.											
In million tonnes)				••	1.0	••	· · ·	••	1.5	••	
<ul><li>(a) Constructional</li><li>(b) Operational</li></ul>	•	•	• 、	0.5	-1.5	9.0		3.0	2.0	9.0	1.3
NSP I	•	•	•	0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0	1.2	30.6	8.4	1.2
Steel Ingot Prodn In Million tonnes)	•		•	••	**			••	1.0	••	••
(a) Constructional		•		6.5	3.5	18.0		3.5	1.5	9.0	•
(b) Operational	•	•	•	••		•••	• • • •	0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0
NSP II											
steel Ingot prodn.		•									
In Million Tonnes)											
<ul><li>(a) Constructional</li><li>(b) Operational</li></ul>	•	•	·	6.5	3.5	18.0	••	6.5	3.5	18.0	••
VSP III	•	•	•	••	••		••	••	•••	••	••
											•
steel Ingot Prodn In million tonnes)	•	•	•	• •	••	••	••	••	••	• •	••
(a) Constructional				6.5	3.5	18.0		6.5	3.5	18.0	
(b) Operational	•	•				•••	• •		• •	•••	••
NSP IV				-							
teel Ingot Prodn.		•									
In million tonnes) (a) Constructional											
(a) Constructional (b) Operational	•	•	•	••	••		••	6.5	3.5	 18.0	••
ISP V	•	•	•	••		••	••		2.2		• •
teel Ingot Prodn In million tonnes)	•	•	•			••	••		••	- •	••
(a) Constructional					•• .			•	•••		
(b) Operational	•	•	·	••		••	••		••	· • •	•••
TOTAL				27.4	111.2	104.0	3.9	34.9	155.3	127.5	7.4

-

# (Constructional & Operational Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

(In '000 tonnes)

.

					1979				1980	)	
	•			НА	HG	LG	PL	НА	HG	LG	PL
FIREBRICKS	-	_	•								
BHILAI—Expansion											
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	•••	••	• •		•••	•• •	••	
(b) Operational	•	•	•	1.0	26.9	7.3	1.3	1.0	<b>2</b> 6.9	7.3	1.3
BOKARO		÷									
Steel Ingot Prodn.						2.275	••		- <b>2</b> .775		
(In million tonnes)											
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	•••		•••					
(b) Operational	·	•	•	<b>2</b> .1	1.8	3.4	18.4	2.2	40.8	22.5	2.8
SALEM	•	•	•	••	••			••••	••	••	
VIZAG					-				t		
(a) Constructional							••				•••
(b) Operational	•	•	•	1.1	41.4	4.11	2.0	1.6	41 4	4.2	<b>2</b> .0
HOSPET				ι.					•		
Steel Ingot Prodn						2.0				2.0	
(In million tonnes)											
(a) Constructional	•	•	-	•••	••			••	· · ·		• •
(b) Operational	•	•	•	1.6	41.4	11 · <b>2</b>	2.0	1.6	41.4	11. <b>2</b>	2.0
NSP I											
Steel Ingot Prodn				••	•,•	1.5	••		• •	2.0	
(In million tonnes)											
(a) Constructional	٠	•	•	3.0	2.0	9.0	•••	•••			•••
(b) Operational	٠	·	-	1.2	30.6	8-4	1.5	1.6	41.4	11.2	2.0
NSP II											
Steel Ingot Prodn.				<i>'</i>		1.0	••			1.5	•••
(In million tonnes)											
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	3.5	1.5	9.0	••	3.0	2.0	9.0	••
(b) Operational	•	•	•	0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0	1.2	30.6	8.4	1.5
NSP III								•	-		
Steel Ingot Prodn.											1.0
(in million tonnes)						•					
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	6.5	3.5	18.0	••	6.5	3.5	18.0	
(b) Operational	•	•	•	••	••		••	0.8	20.7	5.6	1.0
NSP IV				,		-					
Steel Ingot Prodn.	•	•	•			•	••	••	••	• •	۰.
(In million tonnes) (a) Constructional				6.5	3.5	18.0		6.5	3.5	18.0	
(b) Operational		. •	•	0.5		10.0	,• • • •				•••
		•		••							、
NSP V											
Steel Ingot Prodn. (In million tonnes)										•	
(a) Constructiona	1.			6.5	3.5	18.0	••	6.5	3.5	18.0	
(b) Operational			-	••		•••		••		••	
~					100 1	137.0	10.1	23 6	255.7	140.4	12.6
. Total	•	•	•	34.0	208-4	127.0	1V- I	32.5	433.1	140.4	14.0

.

## BHILAI EXPANSION, BOKARO & NEW STEEL PLANTS BASIC BURNT

#### (Constructional & Operational Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

#### BASIC BURNT

			-									·	(In '000	tonnes
	•				1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1986
HILAI EXPANSION														
(a) Constructional		•	·	•				1 · 5	1-5	•••		•••	•••	
(b) Operational		•	•	·	•••			•••	1-4	2.5	3-0	3.50	4-1	4+1
OKARO :														
eel ingot Production (in	millio	n tor	ines)			0 · 202	0.60	0.80	1.02	I+4	1-8	2.075	2 · 275	2.775
(a) Constructional	•			•	1.0	1 · 1	1.0	1.0	0.8				•••	
(b) Operational	•	•		•		0.5	1.6	2 2	2.8	3-8	4-9	5∙6	6·1	7 · S
ALEM:								1 • 2	1 • 2	0-4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
IZAG · · ·								•••	3.0	1.0	2.7	4-1	5-4	5-4
OSPET														
teel Ingot Prodn. n million tonnes)	•	•	•	•				• •••			1.0	1 · 5	2.●	2
(a) Constructional		•	•						3-0	1.0				•••
(b) Operational ·	•	•	•	•	•••			·	•••		2.7	4 · 1	5+4	5-4
SPI:														
teel Ingot Prodn. n million tonnes)	•	•	·	•	•••	•••	•	•···	•••			1.0	1.5	2.
(a) Constructional	•	•	•	•		•••			3.0	1.0	•••			
(b) Operational	•	•	·	·	•••		•••		.i.,	•••		2.7	4.1	5-4
SP II														
teel Ingot Prodn. • n million tonnes)	•	•	•	·	•••		;		•••		•••		1.0	1.
(a) Constructional	·	·	•	·	•••	•••	•••	·	•••		3.0	1.0		
(b) Operational ·	•	•	•	•		`			•••	•••	•••		2.7	4.
ISP III :														1.
teel Ingot Prodn.	•	•	•	•	•••		•••		•••		••••		•••	•
'(a) Constructional				•		•••						3.0	0٠١	
(b) Operational ·	•	•	•	•		•••		•••		•••		•···		2.
· SP IV :														
Steel Ingot Prodn.	, <b>.</b>	•	·	•	•••							•••		
(a) Constructional		•	•	·	•••		•••		•••			•••	3.0	1
(b) Operational	•	•	•	•	•••			•••	•••	•	•••			•
SP V:			`											
teel Ingot Prodn. In Million tonnes)	•	•	•	•				•···	.,.			•••		
(a) Constructional	·	·	•	·	•••		•••		•••	•••				3
(b) Operational	•	·	·	•										
TOTAL .					1.0	1.6	2.6	5-9	13.7	11.7	18-0	24.7	32.5	39

# BHILAI EXPANSION, BOKARO & NEW STEEL PLANTS

# (Constructional & Operational Requirements from 1971 to 1980)

SILICA

.

(In '000 tonnes)

<b>_</b>						1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	198
BHILAI	со			•	•									•••	
	Others	•	•	•	÷		•••						•••	•••	
BOKARO	co ·		•	•	•	2.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	2.0			•••		
ž	Others	•	•	•	•		0.5		0.5	0-5	0.5	6.5	0.2	0·5	<b>0</b> +:
SALEM	со	•	•	•	•										
	Others	•	•	•	•									•••	
VIZAG	Co ·	•	•	•	•				22·5	22·5			•••		•••
	Others	•	•	•	•						0-5				
HOSPET	со		•	•					21 · 5	21 - 5			、 <b>···</b>		•••
5	Others	•	•	•	•					• •••	0.5		•••		
NSP I	co ·	•	•	•	•	•••				21 · 5	21 · 5				•••
	Others	•	•	•	•							0.2	•••	•••	•••
NSP II	co ·		•	·	•				•••	•••	21 · 5	21 · 5	•••		
	Others	•	•	•	•	•••		•••					0.2		
NSP III	co ·	•		•	•							21 · 5	21 · 5		
Ġ	Others	• `	•	•	·	•••	、 ···	•••				•••		0.5	•••
NSP IV	co ·	•	•	•	•	••••	.,.	•				•••	21 · 5	21 · 5	• •••
	Others	•	٠	•	•	•••							•••		0-5
NSP V	co ·	•	•	•	•				•••					21 · 5	21 - 5
	Others	•	•	•	•	•••						•••			•••
TOTAL	co ·	•	•	•	•	2.0	15 0	10.0	54.0	68·0	43 0	<b>43</b> ·0	43.0	<b>4</b> 3·0	21.5
	Others	•	•	•	•		0.5	•••	0.2		1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.●

#### LIQUID METAL PRODUCTION—FORWARD ESTIMATE 71-80

Electric Steel Production—Exclusive of ASP/MISL/Salem

(In '000 tonnes)

				I Tatal	IL		III (S	Steel casting	gs)		Carbon
				Total All Grades	Alloy Steel	Captive	Job	Rolls	Grinding media etc.	Total	Steel
1971			•	958	200	158	165	16	. 21	360	378
1972				1371	<b>2</b> 60	161	170	16	21	368	743
1973	•	-		1549	287	161	180	16	21	378	884
1974				1724	<b>2</b> 99	161	210	16	21	408	· 1017
1975				1904	*344	161	210	16	21	408	1152
1976	•			2120	375	171	220	18	22	431	1314
1977				2370	400	186	230	20	23	459	1511
1978	•			<b>2</b> 670	400	206	<b>24</b> 0	22	24	492	1778
1979				<b>2</b> 970	400	220	250	24	25	519	2051
1980				3270	400	240	<b>2</b> 60	30	26	556	2314

[\*includes Bihar Alloys]

.

Υ.

#### OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS OF REFRACTORIES (EXCLUDING REQUIRE-MENTS OF MISL, ASP & SALEM)

Electric Furnace Steel

.

								(I	n '000 n	onnes)
	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976 .	1977	1978	1979	1980
STEEL PRODN.										
(a) Alloy steel · · · ·	200	260	287	299	344	375	400	400	400	400
(b) Carbon steel	378	743	884	1017	1152	1314	1511	1778`	2051	2314
(c) Steel castings inclusive of captive Rolls Grinding Media	360	368	378	408	408	431	459	492	519	556
All grades · · · · · · ·	958	1371	1549	1724	1904	2120	2370	2670	2970	3270
REFRACTORIES					- <u></u>					
Types Norms								(Ia	Tonnes)	•
I. Dry fireclay & (a) 18 Kg/t · · high alumina (b) 16 Kg/t · · (c) 16 Kg/t · ·	3600 6048 5760	4680 11888 5888	5166 14144 6048	5382 16272 6528	6192 18432 6528	6750 4024 6896	7200 24176 7344	7200 28448 7872	7200 32816 8304	7200 37024 8896
-	15408	22456	25358	28182	31152	34670	38720	43520	48320	53120
H. Basic Bricks (a) 14 Kg/t (b) 8 6 kg/t ● (c) 8 6 kg/t,	2800 3250 3096	3640 6398 3164	4018 7602 3250	4186 8746 3508	4830 9907 3508	5250 11300 3706	5600 12994 3947	5600 15291 4231	5600 17639 4463	5600 19900 4782
	9146	13202	14870	16440	18245	20256	22541	25122	27702	30282
III. Silica bricks (a) 6 0 kg/t (b) 6 0 kg/t (c) 6 0 kg/t	5749	8226	9294	10344	11424	12720	14220	16020	17820	19620
IV. Mortars Fireclay 10% of brick weight Basic Silica	1540 910 570	2250 1320 820	2535 1490 930	2820 1640 1030	3120 1820 1140	3470 2020 1270	3870 2250 1420	4350 2510 1600	4830 2770 1780	5600 3030 1960
-	3020	4390	4955	5490	6080	6760	7570	8460	9380	19590
V. Masses, castables Granulate Refractories	670	960	1080	1217	1330	1480	1660	1870	2080	2290

-

142

## **REQUIREMENTS OF REFRACTORIES FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION**

CExcludes Salem, including Bihar, addition to MUSCO)

.

-

Electric Furnace Steel		
	•	

(In '000 tonnes

- -

	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197 <b>9</b>	1980
Additional Steel production from new units										
Alloy · · · · ·		60	27	12	45	31	25			•••
Carbon Steel Castings	300	373	151	163	135	185	125	300	340	300
-	300	433	178	175	180	216	150	300	340	300
TYPES OF REFRACTORIES										
Norms								(1	n Tonnes	)
Fireclay & inclu- sive of High Alumina 5 Kg/t	1500	2165	890	875	900	1080	750	1500	1700	1600
I. Basic 1 · 2 Kg/t ·	360	519	213	210	216	259	180	360	408	360
II. Silica 0.6 Kg/t · ·	180	259	106	105	108	132	90	180	204	180
III. Mortar 10% Brick weight										
Fireclay · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	150 36 18	215 50 25	90 20 10	90 20 10	90 20 16	110 25 15	75 20 10	150 36 18	170 40 20	- 150 - 30 - 11
	204	290	120	120	120	150	105	204	230	20-
IV. Masses Castables Granulated Refractories	21	30	12	12	12	13	10	21	23	2

•

# 143

# ANNEXURE G

•

S. No.	Description of Ste	el Pla	ant						YEAR		
	-						-	1971	197 <b>2</b>	1973 '	1974
1.	BHILAI STEEL PLANT							2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5
2.	DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT	•						1.15	1.3	1.6	1.6
3.	<b>ROURKELA STEEL PLANT</b>		-					1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8
4.	ALLOY STEEL PLANT .							.9070	. 104820	. 10496	. 10516
5.	TATA IRON & STEEL CO.							1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0
6.	INDIAN IRON AND STEEL	CO.	÷ •					1.0	1.3	1.3	1.3
7.	<b>MYSORE IRON &amp; STEEL C</b>	0.						.16	.15	.15	.15
8.	BOKARO STEEL LIMITED								.202	. 60	. 80
9.	SALEM							••		••	
10.	HOSPET								• •		- •
11.	VISAKHAPATNAM								••	••	
12.	NEW STEEL PLANT No. 1		•		. 、	<b>、</b> .			••		
13.	NEW STEEL PLANT No. 2				•						••
14.	NEW STEEL PLANT No. 3		·.						••		
15.	NEW STEEL PLANT No. 4					· •		••	••		••
16.	NEW STEEL PLANT No. 5		•		•	•	•	۰.	••	••	••
	Total		•		•		•	7.99	9.05	10.05	10.25
	Steel Furnace Association (exc	ludir	ng MI	SL)				0.958	1.371	1.549	1.724

# Estimated Yearwise Steel Production from 1971 to 1985

(In Million Tonnes)

*, '* 

S. No.	Description of Steel	l Plani	t					YEAR	L	
INO.	<u>.</u>			-		_	1975	1976	1977	1978
1.	BHILAI STEEL PLANT						3.2	3.4	3.6	3.8
2.	DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT						1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
3.	ROURKELA STEEL PLANT						1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
4.	ALLOY STEEL PLANT						. 10516	. 10516	.10516	.10516
5.	TATA IRON & STEEL CO.						2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
6.	INDIAN IRON & STEEL CO.			· .			1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
7.	MYSORE IRON & STEEL						.1/5	.15	.15	.15
8.	BOKARO STEEL LIMITED						1.02	1.4	1.8	1.075
9.	SALEM	-						.15	.25	.25
10.	HOSPET								1.0	1.5
11.	VISAKHAPATNAM								1.0	1.5
1 <b>2</b> .	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 1			·	•				• •	1.0
13.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 2	•							••	••
14.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO 3							••	••	
15.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 4						••	••		
16.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 5	•	•	•	<i>.</i> •	•			• • `	••
	Total	•	•	•			10.47	11.0	13 <b>5</b> 0	<b>15</b> .77
	STEEL FURNACE ASSOCN	•					1.904	2.120	2.370	2.670

147

REMARKS : Steel Production of Bokaro increases from 2.275 to 4 from 1979 to 1983.

20-1 D of S/ND/72

• .

•

S.	Description of Steel Plant							, Y	EAR	
No.	×					-	1979	1980	1981	1982
1.	BHILAI STEEL PLANT .				•		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
2.	DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT						1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
3.	ROURKELA STEEL PLANT .						1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
4.	ALLOY STEEL PLANT .				•		. 10516	. 10516	.10516	.10516
5.	TATA IRON & STEEL CO.						2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
6.	INDIAN IRON & STEEL CO.						1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
7.	MYSORE IRON & STEEL	,	•				.15	.15	.15	. 15
8.	BOKARO STEEL LIMITED						2.275	2.275	3.275	3.775
9.	SALEM .						.25	.25	.25	.25
10.	HOSPET						2.0	2•0	2.0	2.0
11.	VISAKHAPATNAM						2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
12.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 1						1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
13.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 2				•		1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
14.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 3							1.0	1.5	2.0
15.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 4								1.0	1.5
16.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 5 .	•			•		·	••	••	1.0
	TOTAL		•				18.48	20.98	23.48	<b>25</b> .98
	STEEL FURNACE ASSOCN.		•	•			2.970	3.270	Not availabl	e. '

### Estimated Yearwise Steel Production from 1971 to 1985

.

2

`

(In Million Tonnes)

.

S. Description of Ste	el Pla	nt					YEA	AR	
No.		•			-		1983	1984.	1985
1. BHILAI STEEL PLANT		•					4.0	4.0	4.0
2. DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT							1.6	1.6	1.6
3. ROURKELA STEEL PLANT .	• .						1.8	1.8	1.8
4. ALLOY STEEL PLANT .	\$					-	. 10516	. 10516	. 10516
5. TATA IRON & STEEL CO.							2.0	2.0	2.0
6. INDIAN IRON & STEEL CO.							1.3	1.3	1.3
7. MYSORE IRON & STEEL CO.				•			.15	. 15	.15
8. BOKARO STEEL LTD.							4.00	4.00	4.00
9. SALEM							. 25	. 25	. 25
10. HOSPET							2.0	2.0	2.0
11. VISAKHAPATNAM							2.0	2.0	2.0
12. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 1		<u>.</u> .					2.0	2.0	2.0
13. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 2				-			2.0	<b>2</b> .0 ·	2.0
14. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 3							2.0	2.0	2.0
15. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 4							2.0	2.0	2.0
16. NEW STEEL PLANT NO 5 .	•	•	-	•	•		1.5	2.0	2.0
Total						• .	27.20	27.70	27 · 70
STEEL FURNACE ASSOCN.		· .					Not	available.	

REMARKS: Steel Production of Bokaro increases from 2.275 to 4 from 1979 to 1983.

S.	Description of Steel Plant							, Y	EAR	
No.	×					-	1979	1980	1981	1982
1.	BHILAI STEEL PLANT .				•		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
2.	DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT						1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
3.	ROURKELA STEEL PLANT .						1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
4.	ALLOY STEEL PLANT .				•		. 10516	. 10516	.10516	.10516
5.	TATA IRON & STEEL CO.						2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
6.	INDIAN IRON & STEEL CO.						1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
7.	MYSORE IRON & STEEL	,	•				.15	.15	.15	. 15
8.	BOKARO STEEL LIMITED						2.275	2.275	3.275	3.775
9.	SALEM .						.25	.25	.25	.25
10.	HOSPET						2.0	2•0	2.0	2.0
11.	VISAKHAPATNAM						2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
12.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 1						1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
13.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 2				•		1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
14.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 3							1.0	1.5	2.0
15.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 4								1.0	1.5
16.	NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 5 .	•			•		·	••	••	1.0
	TOTAL		•				18.48	20.98	23.48	<b>25</b> .98
	STEEL FURNACE ASSOCN.		•	•			2.970	3.270	Not availabl	e. '

### Estimated Yearwise Steel Production from 1971 to 1985

.

2

`

(In Million Tonnes)

.

S. Description of Ste	el Pla	nt					YEA	AR	
No.		•			-		1983	1984.	1985
1. BHILAI STEEL PLANT		•					4.0	4.0	4.0
2. DURGAPUR STEEL PLANT							1.6	1.6	1.6
3. ROURKELA STEEL PLANT .	• .						1.8	1.8	1.8
4. ALLOY STEEL PLANT .	5					-	. 10516	. 10516	. 10516
5. TATA IRON & STEEL CO.							2.0	2.0	2.0
6. INDIAN IRON & STEEL CO.							1.3	1.3	1.3
7. MYSORE IRON & STEEL CO.				•			.15	. 15	.15
8. BOKARO STEEL LTD.							4.00	4.00	4.00
9. SALEM							. 25	. 25	. 25
10. HOSPET							2.0	2.0	2.0
11. VISAKHAPATNAM							2.0	2.0	2.0
12. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 1		<u>.</u> .					2.0	2.0	2.0
13. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 2				-			2.0	<b>2</b> .0 ·	2.0
14. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 3							2.0	2.0	2.0
15. NEW STEEL PLANT NO. 4							2.0	2.0	2.0
16. NEW STEEL PLANT NO 5 .	•	•	-	•	•		1.5	2.0	2.0
Total						• .	27.20	27.70	27 · 70
STEEL FURNACE ASSOCN.		· .					Not	available.	

REMARKS: Steel Production of Bokaro increases from 2.275 to 4 from 1979 to 1983.

ANNEXURE 'J'

#### ANNEXURE J

.

.

#### **AVAILABILITY OF REFRACTORIES**

### (As furnished by IRMA)

Firectay (Including High Alumina)

*.* •

(In tonnes				·										
Actual production in 1970	Production capacity	Installed capacity		Manufacturer										
53,110	85000	100000							reclay	Kumardhubi Fire	1.			
32,800	39626	40000								Orissa Cement	2.			
34,233	40000	40000	•		•					Belpahar	3.			
13,552	31000	50000								Behar F/B	4.			
34,591	40000	60000	•			•		•		India F/B	5.			
62,132	84500	84500		•	•					Burn & Co.	6.			
16,750	50250	72000								Reliance F/B	7.			
17,927	18960	18960							ent	Associated Cemer	8.			
6,300	25000	30000						•		Jharia F/B	9.			
4,450	18100	21725		•		-			ic.	Maithon Ceramic	10.			
11,320	14150	21150	• •		•					Jauhar F/B	11.			
21,200	21300	21300		•	•					India Refrs.	12.			
11,983	12192	1 <b>2192</b>				•		•		Hind Refr.	13.			

	Manufactur <del>er</del>	Estimated Production							Wet	Dry
					1971	1972	1973	1975		Diy
<b>、</b> 1.	Kumardhubi Fireclay	1.	•		59,600	61750	74250	80000	12000	Balance
2.	Orissa Cement		•		37,000	48000	39000	40000	5%	95%
3.	Belpahar				40000	44000	44000	Ail dry	Nil	` 100 <i>%</i>
4.	Behar F/B	•		• •	20900	<b>2</b> 3900	23900	23900	100 %	Nil
5.	India F/B				34180	40000	50000	60000	Nil	100%
6.	Burn & Co.				70000	70000	70000	70000	Nil	15000
				、						20000
7.	Reliance F/B				40000	40000	40000	,50000	100 %	Nil
8.	Associated Cement		•		19560	1 <b>9</b> 560	10560			
9.	Jharia F/B				10000	13000	15000	15000	100%	Nil
10.	Maithon Ceramic		•		12100	12500	16100	16000	100%	
11.	Jauhar F/B	••			13670	13750	13850	14000	100%	•••
1 <b>2</b> .	India Refr.				20000	21400	<b>2</b> 5300	25000	10%	90 %
13.	Hind Refr.	•			13000	14000	14000	14000	100%	••

.

-

## AVAILABILITY OF REFRACTORIES

## (As furnished by 1RMA)

	Man	ufac	turer		Installed capacity	Production capacity	Actual production in 1970		
1.	Kumardhubi F/Cla	y					24000	 	17,300
2.	Orissa Cement						22353	22353	10,500
3.	Belpahar						20000	12000	8,550
4.	Behar F/B				•		10000	9000	5,906
5.	Burn & Co.						17000	17000	12,411
6.	Reliance F/B			•				1305	435

						Estimate	d productio	n	Wet	Dry
					1971	1972	1973	1975	ΨΥCΙ	
				-					Coke Ovens	Others
1.	Kumardhubi F/Clay		•	•	20000	21500	21500	22000	6000	1800
2.	Orissa Cement		•		12000	17000	23000	23000	70%	30%
3.	Belpahar .				12000	18000	20000	20000	70%	30%
4.	Behar F/B	•			6300	8500	8500	8500	20%	75%
5.	Burn & Co.		•		17000	17000	17000	17000	121%	87 <u>‡</u> %
6.	Reliance F/B	•		•	Can be inco orders are		Nil	Rest		

#### BASIC

1

•

	Ma	nufac	turer						Installed capacity	Production capacity	Actual production in 1970	
1.	Belpahar	•						•	50000	38000	36383	
2.	Orissa Cement					•		•	48770	48770	43400	
3.	Burn & Co.								18000	18000	8058	
4.	TISCO	;	•	•	٠	•	• •	•	3500			

	Manufactur	er				Estim	nated product	I	Burnt -	Chemically	
•						71	72	73	75		bonded
1.	Belpahar					· 40000	45000		50000	38000	12000
2.	Orissa Cement			•		55000	65000		After 75000 licence for 20000	42000	12000
3.	Burn & Co.					11200	18000			13000	5000
4.	TISCO .					••	• • •			3500	

ANNEXURE 'K'

`

-

. .

•

								1971			197 <b>2</b>	
							HA IG	LG	PL	HA HG	LG	PL
14 Units (Co	overed i	n det	ail)	•		•	95.8	115.4	146.9	122.4	125.4	151.7
HSL .	•		•	•	•	•	••	••		••	••	••
Burn & Co.		•	•	•	•	•	••	••	39.5	••	••	39.5
swar .	•	•	•	•	•	•	' ••	••	••	••	••	••
Asian .	•	•	•	•	·	•	••	••	••	9.0	••	••
MIDCO		•	•	٠	-	•	••	••	••	••	••	••
G&D Co.	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	••
							95.8	115.4	186.4	131.4	125.4	191. <b>2</b>
	<u> </u>											
								1973			1974	
	e e					-	HA HG	LG	PL	HA HG	LG	PL
14 Units (Covered in	detail)	•	•	•	•		149.1	135.6	156.6	16 <b>3</b> .6	138.4	158.1
HSL	•					•		••		••		
Burn & Co		•			•				39.5	••	••	39.5
Iswar .		•	۰,		•	`.	8.0	·	••	10.0	••	
Asian .	•	•				•	18.0	••	••	25.0		• •
MIDCO							••	•••		•••	••	••
G&D Co.		•.	•	•	•	•	••	••	••	8.0	••	•••
,							175.1	135.6	196.1	206.6	138.4	197.0
<u>.</u>												
								1975	<del>_</del>		1976	
						•	HA	LG	PL	HA	LG	PL

#### Availability of Fireclay & High Alumina Bricks During 1971 to 1980 from Refractory Industry

					•		1975			1976	
					-	HA HG	LG	PL	HA HG	LG	PL
ver	ed in d	etail)			<del>_</del>	178.1	141. <b>2</b>	159.6	178.1	141.2	159.6
		•				19.3	7.8	2.8	25.1	10.0	3.9
						••	••	39.5			39.5
						10.0	••		10.0		
						25.0	••		25.0		
						40.0			50.0	••	
	•	•		•	•	10.0	••	••	10.0	••	
						282.4	149.0	202.1	298.2	151- <b>2</b>	203.0
	•	 	· · · · · · ·		· · · · · ·	vered in detail)       .         .       .      <	HA HG vered in detail)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

MIDCO: Mysore State Industrial Development Corporation.

G&D CO. Gannon & Dunkerley Co.

							1977			1978	
		_			. —	HA HG	LG	PL	HA HG	LG	PL
14 Units (Covere	ed in	detail)	)			178.1	141.2	153.6	178.1	141.2	159.6
HSL						30.9	12.4	4·7	30.9	12.4	4.1
Burn & Co.		•			. •		••	39.5		· ••	39.5
ISWAR						10.0			10.0		
ASIAN				-	•	25.0 ·			25.0	· ••	
MIDCO		•				60.0			60.0	••	•
G&D Co.	•	•	•	•	•	10.0	••	••	10.0		•
						304.0	153.6	203.8	304.0	153.6	203.8
							1979 `			1980	
 						HA HG	1979 \ LG	 PL	HA HG	1980 LG	PL
14 Units (Covered	d in c					HA HG 178.1		PL 159.6			PL 159.6
14 Units (Covered HSL	 1 in c	letail)				HG	LG		HG	LG	159.0
	1 in c	letail)		· · ·		HG 178.1	LG 141.2	159.6	HG 178.1	LG 141.2	
HSL	1 in c	letail)	 			HG 178.1 30.9	LG 141.2 12.4	159.6 4.7	HG 178.1 30.9	LG 141.2	159.0 4.1 39.1
HSL Burn & Co.	d in c	fetail)				HG 178.1 30.9	LG 141.2 12.4 	159.6 4.7 39.5	HG 178.1 30.9 	LG 141.2 12.4	159.0 4.7
HSL Burn & Co. ISWAR ASIAN		letail)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		HG 178.1 30.9  10.0	LG 141.2 12.4 	159.6 4.7 39.5	HG 178.1 30.9  10.0	LG 141.2 12.4	159.0 4. 39.
HSL Burn & Co. ISWAR	1 in (	letail)				HG 178.1 30.9  10.0 25.0	LG 141.2 12.4  	159.6 4.7 39.5	HG 178.1 30.9  10.0 25.0	LG 141.2 12.4 	159.0 4. 39.

# Availability of Fireclay & High Alumina Bricks During 1971 to 1980 from Refractory Industry

MIDCO : Mysore State Industrial Development Corporation.

.

GNDCO : Gannon & Dunkerley Co

158

YEAR	1	971	1	972	19	973	19	74
Туре	В	CB	В	СВ	В	CB	B B	СВ
Name of plant						· _		
Belpahar Refractories Limited	. 20	20	22.5	20	25	20	29.0	18.5
Orissa Cement Limited .	. 30	25	35	25	40	25	41.0	29
Burn & Co ?	. 8.6	3.0	8.6	3	8.6	3	8.6	
Orissa Industries Ltd								6.
Hind Ceramics	•. ••					6.0	•	
Mysore State Industrial Developme Corporation	nt			• •	••			
Hindustan Steel Ltd.	• ••	••	•••	••	••		••	
Total	58.6	48	66.1	48	73.6	54	78.6	64.5
		075		076	·	077		
YEAR	1	975	1	976	1	977	19	978
YEAR	l B	975 CB	1 	976 CB	1	977 CB	19 	078 CB
YEAR Type					· <u></u>			
YEAR Type Name of Plant					· <u></u>			
YEAR Type Name of Plant Belpahar Refractories Limited	В	СВ	B	СВ	B	СВ	B	СВ
YEAR Type Name of Plant Belpahar Refractories Limited Orissa Cement Limited	B . 38	CB 12	B 38	CB 12	B 38	CB 12	B 38	CB
YEAR Type Name of Plant Belpahar Refractories Limited Orissa Cement Limited Burn & Co. Orissa Industries Limited	B . 38 . 42	CB 12 33	B 38 42	CB 12 33	B 38 42	CB 12 33	B 38 42	CB 12 33
YEAR Type Name of Plant Belpahar Refractories Limited Orissa Cement Limited Burn & Co.	B . 38 . 42 . 8.6	CB 12 33 3	B 38 42 8.6	CB 12 33 3	B 38 42 8.6	CB 12 33 3	B 38 42 8.6	CB 12 33 3
YEAR Type Name of Plant Belpahar Refractories Limited Orissa Cement Limited Burn & Co. Orissa Industries Limited Hind Ceramics Mysore State Industrial Developmen	B . 38 . 42 . 8.6  	CB 12 33 3 8	B 38 42 8.6 	CB 12 33 3 32	B 38 42 8.6 	CB 12 33 3 32	B 38 42 8.6 	CB 12 33 32 8
YEAR Type Name of Plant Belpahar Refractories Limited Orissa Cement Limited Burn & Co. Orissa Industries Limited	B . 38 . 42 . 8.6  t	CB 12 33 3 8 8	B 38 42 8.6  	CB 12 33 3 32 8	B 38 42 8.6  	CB 12 33 3 32 8	B 38 42 8.6 	CB 12 33 3 32

Availability	of	Rasic	Refractories	from	1071-1085
11 anaomry	~	Dusic	Refraciones	ji om	17/1-1901

1979 1980 1981 198**2** YEAR В СВ В CB В CB В CB Type . Name of Plant 12 12 38 12 12 38 38 38 Belpahar Refractories Limited . 42 33 42 33 42 33 42 33 Orissa Cement Limited 3 3 8.6 3 3 8.6 8.6 8.6 Burn & Co. 32 32 32 32 Orissa Industries Limited • • •• •• 8 8 8 8 ••• Hind Ceramics . . .. . • • . Mysore State Industrial Development Corporation 40 40 40 40 • • . . ... . . 20.4 3.6 20.4 3.6 20.4 3.6 20.4 3.6 Hindustan Steel Limited . 91.6 149 91.6 91.6 149 149 91.6 149 TOTAL

NOTE.—In projecting the demand constructional and operational requirements for steel capacity of 2 million tonnes per annum beyond 1985 are taken into account.

,

							197 <b>7</b>			1978	
						HA HG	,LG	PL	HA HG	LG	PL
14 Units (Cove	red in	detail	)			178.1	141.2	153.6	178.1	141.2	159.
HSL						30.9	12.4	4∙7	30.9	12.4	4.
Burn & Co.					. •	• •		39.5	• •	• • •	39.
ISWAR					•	10.0		••	10.0		
ASIAN						25.0 ·		••	25.0	•••	
MIDCO						60.0		••	60.0		•
G&D Co.	•	•	•	•	•	10.0	••	••	10.0	••	•
					_	304.0	153.6	203.8	304.0	153.6	203
<b></b> *								-		•	
							1979			1980	
						HA HG	1979 \ LG	PL	HA HG	1980 LG	PL
14 Units (Cover	ed in a	letail)	 	 		HA HG 178.1		PL 159.6	HA HG 178.1		PL 159.0
14 Units (Cover HSL	ed in a	letail)	- <u></u>		 	HG	LG		HG	LG	
	ed in a	letail)				HG 178.1	LG 141.2	159.6	HG 178.1	LG 141.2	159.0
HSL	ed in a	letail)				HG 178.1 30.9	LG 141.2 12.4	159.6 4.7	HG 178.1 30.9	LG 141.2 12.4	159. 4.
HSL Burn & Co.	ed in a	letail)				HG 178.1 30.9 	LG 141.2 12.4	159.6 4.7 39.5	HG 178.1 30.9	LG 141.2 12.4	159. 4. 39.
HSL . Burn & Co. ISWAR	ed in o	letail) - - - -				HG 178.1 30.9  10.0	LG 141.2 12.4 	159.6 4.7 39.5	HG 178.1 30.9  10.0	LG 141.2 12.4	159. 4. 39.
HSL Burn & Co. ISWAR ASIAN	ed in o	letail)				HG 178.1 30.9  10.0 25.0	LG 141.2 12.4  	159.6 4.7 39.5	HG 178.1 30.9  10.0 25.0	LG 141.2 12.4 	159. 4. 39.

# Availability of Fireclay & High Alumina Bricks During 1971 to 1980 from Refractory Industry

MIDCO : Mysore State Industrial Development Corporation.

.

•

GNDCO : Gannon & Dunkerley Co

# 158

YEAR	1971		1	97 <b>2</b>	19	973	1974	
Гуре	В	СВ	B	СВ	B	СВ	B	СВ
Name of plant						<b>-</b> .		
Bolpahar Refractories Limited	20	20	22.5	20	25	20	29.0	18.3
Orissa Cement Limited	30	25	35	25	40	25	41.0	2
Burn & Co	8.6	3.0	8.6	3	8.6	3	8.6	-
Orissa Industries Ltd.					•••			6.
Hind Ceramics						6.0	•	
Mysore State Industrial Development								
Corporation	•••	••	••	••	••	••	••	•
Hindustan Steel Ltd.	••	••	••	· ·		••	••	•
Total	58.6	48	66.1	48	73.6	54	78.6	64.5
·	-							
YEAR	. 19	975	1	976	1	977	19	78
Туре	В	СВ	В	СВ	B	СВ	B	CB
Name of Plant			,					
Belpahar Refractories Limited	38	1 <b>2</b>	38	12	38	12	38	12
Orissa Cement Limited	42	33	42	33	42	33	42	33
Burn & Co.	8.6	3	8.6	3	8.6	3	8.6	
Orissa Industries Limited	••	8	••	32	••	32	••	3;
Hind Ceramics	••	8	••	8	••	8	••	1
Mysore State Industrial Development	••		10		10		40	
Corporation .	.30		40		40		40	
Hindustan Steel Limited	12.75	2.25	16.6	2.9	20.4	3.6	20.4	3.0
Готаl	131.4	66.25	145.2	90.9	149	91.6	149.0	91.0
• · · · ·								•
YEAR	1	979	1	980	198	1		82
Туре	B	CB	В	СВ	B	СВ	B	СВ
Name of Plant		,		•				
Belpahar Refractories Limited	38	12	38	12	38	12	38	12
Orissa Cement Limited	42	33	42	33	42	33	42	33
Burn & Co.	8.6	3	8.6	3	8.6	3	8.6	
Drissa Industries Limited		32	••	32	••	32	••	32
Hind Ceramics	••	8	••	8	••	8	••	1
Mysore State Industrial Development	40		40		40		40	•
Corporation	40 20.4	3.6	20.4	3.6	20.4	3.6	20.4	3.0
Hindustan Steel Limited								
Total	149	91.6	149	91.6	149	91.6	149	<b>91</b> .

.

Availability of Basic Refractories from 1971-1985

•

NOTE.—In projecting the demand constructional and operational requirements for steel capacity of 2 million tonnes per annum beyond 1985 are taken into account.

1	60
---	----

Availability of Basic Refractories from 1971-1985

YEAR	198	33	19	984	1985	
Туре	В	СВ	В	CB	В	СВ
Name of plant						
Belpahar Refractories Ltd	38	12	38	12	38	12
Orissa Cement Ltd	42	33	42	33	42	33
Burn & Co.	8·6 <b>`</b>	3	8.6	3	8.6	3
Orissa Industries Ltd		32		32		, 32
Hind Ceramics		8	,	8		8
Mysore State Industrial Dev. Corporation	40		40		40	
Hindustan Steel Limited	20.4	3.6	20.4	3.6	20.4	3.6
TOTAL	149	91.6	149	91.6	149	91.6

NOTE: In projecting the demand constructional and operational requirements for steel capacity of 2 million tonnes per annum beyond 1985 are taken into account.

						197	71	19	72	197	3	197	4
<u> </u>	_		`		•	co	ОТ	CO	OT	СО	ОТ	СО	от
BRL	•		•	•	•	5.0	7.0	9.5	6.5	14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0
OCL		, •	•	•		6.5	5.5	10.75	6.75	15.0	8.0	15.5	7.5
KFS	·		. •			4.0	16.0	5.0	15.5	6.0	15.0	6.0	15.5
BEHAR	•	•	•			1.8	5.7	1.8	5.35	4.9	5.0	4.0	5.0
Burn & Co.	·	•	•			0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3
HSL			•			••		••		••			
MSIDC	•	•	•	•	•	••		••		••		••	
Тс	TAL					17.4	46.5	27.15	46.4	39.1	46.3	39.6	46.3

Availability of SILICA Refractories During 1971 to 1985 from Refractory Industry

										197	5	1976		1977		
	•								-	CO	от	СО	от	СО	θT	
BRL	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0	
OCL		•	•	•		•		•		16.1	6.9	1 <b>6</b> .1	6.9	16.1	6.9	
KFS	•				•		• .			6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0	
BEHAR			•	•			•	•		4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	
Burn & (	Co.		٠		•		•		•	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	
HSL						•				<b>4.0</b>	1.0	5.2	1.3	6.4	1.6	
MSIDC		• `	•		•	•		•		8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
	Тс	DTAL			•	•				52.2	55.2	53.7	53.3	54.9	55.6	

NOTE; In projecting the demand constructional and operational requirements for steel capacity of 2 million tonnes per annum beyond 1985 are taken into account.

BRL : Belpahar Refractories Ltd.

OCL : Orissa Cement Ltd.

OIL : Orissa Industries Ltd.

HC : Hind Ceramics.

٠

MSIDC : Mysore State Industrial Development Corpn.

HSL ': Hindustan Steel Ltd.

						197	8	1979		1980		1981	
					-	СО	от	СО	OT	СО	от	СО	ОТ
BRL						14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0
OCL			•			16.1	6.9	16.1	6.9	16.1	6.9	16.1	6.9
KFS	•		•	•	•	6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0
BEHAR			•			4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
BURN & CÒ	<b>)</b>		-			0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3
HSL	•					6.4	1.6	6.4	1.6	6.4	1.6	6. <b>4</b>	1.6
MSIDC		•	•	•		8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
	Та	TAL			•	54.9	55.6	54.9	55.6	54.9	55.6	54.9	55.6

Availability of Silica Refractories During 1971 to 1985 from Refractory Industry

						<b>1982 1983</b>		1984	Ļ	1985			
					-	СО	ОТ	СО	OT	СО	от	со	OT
BRL		•				14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0	14.0	6.0	 14.0 <sup>-</sup>	6.0
OCL		•			•	16.1	6.9	16.1	6.9	16.1	6.9	16.1	6.9
KFS	•	٠				6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0	6.0	16.0
BEHAR	•	•	•	-		4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
BURN & CO	)	•				0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3	0.1	12.3
HSL					•	6.4	1.6	6.4	1.6	6.4	1.6	6.4	1.6
MSIDC	•	•	•	•	•	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	- 8.0
́Т	OTAL		-		• •	54.9	55.6	54.9	55.6	54.9	55.6	54.9	. 55.6

# LEGEND:

BRL : Belpahar Refractories Ltd.

OCL : Orissa Cement Ltd.

KFS : Kumardhubi Fireclay & Silica Works.

BEHAR : Behar Firebricks & Potteries Ltd.

HSL : Hindustan Steel Ltd.

MSIDC : Mysore State Industrial Development Corporation.

NOTE: In projecting the demand constructional and operational requirements for steel capacity of 2 million tonnes per annum beyond 1985 are taken into account.

Name of the Plant	*				1971	19 <b>72</b>	1973	1974
Dalmia .	•				36.0	54.0	72.0	72.0
Belpahar .		•			25.0	35.0	42.0	53.0
Burn & Co.			•		12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0
TISCO .	•		•		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TOTAL		•			78.0	106.0	131.0	142.(
Name of the Plant					1	1975	, 1976	1977
Dalmia		• •		•		72.0	72.0	72.0
Belpahar	•				• • •	55.0	55.0	55.0
Burn & Co.	,			•		12.0	12.0	12.0
TISCO		•				5.0	5.0	5.0
TOTAL		•			• • •	144.0	144.0	144.0
								•
Name of the Plant					· 1978	1979	1980	1981
Dalmia .			•	•	72.0	72.0	72.0	<b>72</b> .0
Belpahar .	•		•		55.0	55.0	55.0	55.0
	•	•		•	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0
Burn & Co.					5.0	. 5.0	5.0	5.0
Burn & Co TISCO .	٠	•	·	•		. 5.0		
	•	•	•		144.0	144.0	144.0	144.0
risco .			•	·				144.0
risco .	•	•		·				. ,
TISCO TOTAL		· · ·	• • 	·	. 144.0	144.0	144.0 1984 72.0	1985
TISCO TOTAL			· · ·	·	144.0	144.0	144.0	1985
TISCO TOTAL Name of the Plant Dalmia		• • • • •	· · · ·		144.0	144.0 1983 72.0	144.0 1984 72.0	1985 72.0 55.0 12.0
TISCO TOTAL Name of the Plant Dalmia Belpahar		· · ·	· · · ·		144.0	144.0 1983 72.0 55.0	144.0 1984 72.0 55.0	144.0 1985 72.0 55.0 12.0 5.0

.

•

•

Availability	of	DEAD	BURNT	Magnesite	During	1971—85

22-1 D of S/ND/72

.

,

-

-

ŗ

ANNEXURE 'L'

ANNEXURE 'L'

# REPORT OF THE EXPERT GROUP WHO MADE AN ASSESSMENT OF AVAILABILITY OF REFRACTORIES FOR STEEL INDUSTRY

In pursuance of the decision taken in the Refractory Committee Meeting held at Calcutta on the 9th and 10th of August, 1971, a team consisting of the following members, visited the 9 Refractory Units as per Annexure I.

In the panel meeting on 8th and 9th September, when the report of the Committee came for discussion, it was decided that the team should visit the remaining five units of the listed 14 Refractory Plants. Accordingly the 5 plants at Annexure I-A were also visited. The report on these units is also enclosed.

# Composition of Committee

1.	Shri R.S.N. Iyer, Hindustan Steel Limited .	•	•	•	•	•	Convenor
2.	Shri S.R. Khanna, Development Officer, DGTD	•	•	•	•	•	Member
3.	Shri M.H. Dalmia, Orissa Cement Limited, Rajganga	ıpur	•	•	•	•	Member
4.	Shri K.S. Swaminathan, Tata Iron & Steel Co. Limited	•	•	•		•	Member
5.	Shri K.K. Dandhopadhyaya, Hindustan Steel Limited,	Rou	rkela	Steel	Plant	•	Member
6.	Shri A. Sen, Kumardhubi Fireclay & Silica Works	•	•	•	•	•	Member

# TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE COMMITTEE

- i) To assess the capacity of the units for manufacturing various types of refractories with particular reference to refrctories required by the steel plants.
- ii) The capacity of the units to switch over from plastic to dry process wherever applicable.
- iii) Future programme of manufacture of refractories required by the Steel Plants.

Keeping in view the above terms of reference, findings of the team relating to individual plants are enclosed.

Annexure IV gives the total capacity of the 14 units under visit for production of fireclay, basic and silica refractories.

- 1. India Refractories Limited, Kulti, West Bengal.
- 2. Reliance Firebricks & Pottery Co. Ltd. Chanch, Distt. Dhanbad, Bihar.
- 3. Burn & Co. Ltd., Gulfarbari, Bihar.
- Behar Firebricks & Potteries Ltd., Mugma. Distt. Dhanbad, Bihar.
- 5. Jharia Firebricks & Refractory Works Pvt. Limited, Dhansar, Distt. Dhanbad, Bihar.
- Bharat Firebricks & Pottery Works Pvt. Limited, Jharia, Distt. Dhanbad, Bihar.

 Kumardhubi Fireclay & Silica Works Ltd., Kumardhubi, Distt. Dhanbad. Bihar.

**ANNEXURE** I

- Orissa Industries Limited, Lathikata, Rourkela-4, Orissa.
- 9. IFICO, Ramgarh.
- 10. Belpahar Refractories Limited, Belpahar.
- 11. Orissa Cement Limited, Rajgangpur.
- 12. Maithen Ceramics Limited, Maithen.
- Janhar Firebricks Limited, Mugma.
- 14. Hind Refractories Limited, Durgapur.

# I. India Refractories Ltd., Kulti

The licensed capacity of this unit is 36,000 tonnes presently manufacturing firebricks. The details of their production in 1970 and their anticipated production in coming years is mentioned in Annexure II-A.

The envisaged increase in production by 1973 is by dry process by installing additional presses, and one tunnel kiln and other auxiliary equipment. Additional investment on this account is around Rs. 45 lakhs.

The team doubted their capability to manufacture refractories for blast furnace in bulk till they get heavy duty presses. If action is initiated now, it should be possible to achieve the projected capacity for blast furnace refractories before 1975.

### **II. Reliance Firebricks**

#### Date of visit: 31-8-1971

The licensed capacity of this unit is 72,000 tonnes presently manufacturing firebricks. Details of their production in 1970 and their projected production are given in Annexure II-a.

Present facilities are capable of manufacturing up to 50,000 tonnes of firebricks, but all by plastic process.

It was reported that they intended to go in for a new plant for manufacture of 22,000 tonnes of firebricks by dry process at a new site which was under examination. For this plant, they already possess the crushing-grinding equipment, the imported presses, components and construction drawings for tunnel kiln and drier including gas producer. It was understood that these have been lying idle with them since 1967. At that time the scheme could not materialise due to financial stringency and recession in the market.

The unit could instal with advantage the available dry presses at the existing site and it should be possible for them to switch over a substantial part of their plastic process production to dry process by using the rest of the equipment. The same presses could at a later date be shifted to the new site. The team has not included any availability from this plant by the Dry Process.

The team noted that this plant has good potential and has been supplying refractories in huge quantities to steel plants particularly the hollow wares.

#### III. Burn & Co. Limited

Date of visit: 1-9-1971

The licensed capacity of this unit is 30,000 tonnes and they are presently manufacturing firebricks.

Details of their production in 1970 and their projected production are given in Annexure II-a.

Their expected increase in production is by way of improvement in productivity and through fuller utilisation of their existing plant capacity. The Team agrees with their projected increased production.

This unit is functioning almost as a captive unit to IISCO, and their production pattern is guided by the demand pattern of IISCO. This is the reason that they have no plans to increase their proportion of dry process product.

#### IV. Behar Firebricks

The licensed capacity of this unit is 50,000 tonnes. The firm is engaged in manufacture of firebricks and silica bricks.

The past and the projected production are mentioned in Annexure II-a and II-b.

## **Fire**bricks

The increase in their production of firebricks is expected by installing balancing equipment. This would also enable them to increase their production by the dry process. They intend to go in for a continuous kiln and utilise some of the existing kilns for calcining the raw materials. The second part (1975) scheme depends on some imported components for which they intend to apply shortly for import licence. The team agrees with the proposed programme subject to their obtaining import licence and arranging finance.

# Silica Bricks

The increase in production of silica bricks is by way of installing balancing equipment to be procured from indigenous sources. The firm will be in a position to increase their production of coke oven bricks conforming to the quality required by the Steel Plants.

## V. Jharia Firebricks

### Date of visit: 2-9-1971

Licensed capacity of this unit is 44,400 tonnes. They are presently manufacturing firebricks. Their past and projected productions have been summarised in Annexure II-a.

The proposed increase in their production is by installing additional presses for switch over to dry process. The firm has already submitted import application for processes.

They intend to increase their capacity further by setting up an independent production line based on dry process. A feasibility report for above is under preparation. As the firm could not indicate the capacity involved, the same has not been taken into account in the Annexure II-a.

### VI. Bharat Firebricks & Potteries

### Date of visit: 2-9-1971

This unit is in the Small Scale Sector and is manufacturing firebricks. They intend to increase their production by installing an additional flow-line with indigenous equipment. The unit appeared to be conscious of the change of manufacturing process and was taking steps to increase their production by dry process.

### VII. Kumardhubi Fireclay & Silica Works Limited

# Date of visit: 3-9-1971

Licensed capacity of this Unit is 135,000 tonnes. They are presently manufacturing firebricks, silica bricks and speciality products.

Past and projected production in coming years is given in Annexures II-a and II-b.

### Firebricks

They have a programme to equip themselves to meet the demands of the most sophisticated types of firebricks required by steel and other industries. The programme includes a new tunnel kiln capable of attaining temperature up to 1600°C. Additional heavy duty presses and other equipments are also proposed. They have already submitted import applications for the components of the tunnel kiln and the heavy duty presses. It was reported that their total financial outlay on this programme is of the order of Rs. 160 lakhs, out of which Rs. 60 lakhs have already been spent and the balance will be spent in the coming two years.

In the opinion of the Team the projected productions as given in the Annexure II are likely to be fulfilled subject to their obtaining import licences.

### Silica

In the Silica section they intend to improve the production of coke oven silica bricks in terms of quantity and quality. The proposed financial outlay for this programme is about Rs. 70 lakhs of which 2/3rd has already been spent. They have already submitted import application for the mixing mill and a heavy duty press.

#### VIII. India Firebricks & Insulation Co. Limited

Date of visit: 4-9-1971

The licensed capacity of the unit is 72,000 tonnes. They are presently manufacturing firebricks. Past and projected productions from this Unit are given in Annexure II-a.

The Unit has modern equipment and is capable of manufacturing refractories for the steel industry. They cannot increase the production for want of presses. The total number of heavy duty presses available with them is 8. They intend to go in for additional 18 heavy duty presses of which they have placed order for 4, which are likely to be available in the next year. Their import application for balance 14 presses is under consideration of DGTD. One tunnel kiln is under construction. This kiln is capable of attaining a temperature of 1600°C. Their total capital outlay for the proposed programme is estimated at Rs. 160 lakhs. This programme when implemented will enable them to produce 60,000 tonnes of firebricks, 9000 tonnes of silica bricks and 3,000 tonnes of basic bricks.

Subject to issue of import licence for the required number of heavy duty presses, the projected production as mentioned in the Annexure II-a may be achieved.

The team felt further that availability of silica and basic bricks from this unit may be doubtful in 1973 but could be expected by 1975. It was also felt that all of these presses may not be available for production and consequently production is estimated at 50,000 tonnes of firebricks in 1973.

# IX Orissa Industries Limited

Date of visit: 6-9-1971

The licensed capacity of this unit is 38,000 tonnes. They are presently manufacturing firebricks.

Details of past and projected productions from this unit are mentioned in Annexure II-a.

They have a programme to install a new production line with a tunnel kiln, heavy duty presses and other balancing equipment. This kiln, it has been stated, will be available for production by April, 1973, but the Team felt that availability of this kiln might not be expected before October, 1973 and hence production in 1973 as per estimate of the Team is lower than that projected by Orissa Industries Ltd.

Their total capital outlay for this programme is to the tune of Rs. 120 lakhs.

During the discussions the Team learnt that Orissa Industries Ltd., has in hand a Letter of Intent for manufacture of 50,000 tonnes of basic bricks and specialities which they intend to put up in Latkata. This scheme as per the Company's estimate is likely to materialise by 1975.

### X Jauhar Firebricks

Date of visit: 22-9-1971,

The licensed capacity of this unit is 24,000 tonnes for manufacturing firebricks.

Details of their production in 1970 and their anticipated production in the coming years are shown in Annexure II-a (Contd.).

During the first half of this year their total production is 7200 tonnes and their estimate for the year 1971 is 14500 tonnes.

They propose to increase their output by 2000 tonnes by 1973 with the installation of 2 Nos. Friction Screw presses (indigenous), construction of 2 additional round kilns of which ones already under construction, and extension of the existing ring chamber kiln by additional 4 chambers.

The present production of 1500 tonnes shown under dry-process is actually by semi dry process and hence this is shown under Plastic process.

With the installation of the proposed heavy duty presses, the availability of Low Grog bricks by dry process from 1973 onwards is considered feasible.

### XI Maithan Ceramics Private Limited

Date of visit: 22-9-1971.

This unit is in small scale sector and is presently manufacturing firebricks and insulating bricks. They also make recuperator tubes both by slip casting and by extrusion.

So far all their production is by Plastic Process. During the first half of this year they have already produced 6350 tonnes and their estimated figure for the year 1971 is 14000 tonnes. The increase in production as compared to 1970 is due to installation of Bradley and Craren machine, new round kilns and other balancing equipments.

This unit appears to be conscious of the need for changeover from wet process to dry process and, in fact, they have started manufacture of small quantity of bricks by dry process. They have a programme to manufacture high grog bricks for which they have ordered crushing and grinding equipment as well as Friction Screw presses one of which is a 250 tonne Russian Friction Screw press. They also propose to have a chamber kiln with provision for oil firing.

According to the Company the total capital outlay for the above project is in the range of Rs. 12 lakhs.

High Alumina bricks are made mostly by Plastic Process and hence this is not shown under dry process. The team felt that in the absence of proper arrangement for fractionation, batching and heavy duty presses it will not be possible to produce good quality high glog bricks. Hence the entire tonnage shown by them against dry process has been shown as Low Grog.

# XII Orissa Cement Limited

Date of visit: 17-9-1971

The total licensed capacity of this unit is 1.09 000 tonnes comprising of 39,000 tonnes firebricks 22,000 tonnes Silica bricks

48,000 tonnes Basic bricks.

23-1 D of S/ND/72

Details of their production in 1970 and their anticipated production in the coming years is shown in Annexures II-a. II-b and II-c respectively for fireclay, silica and basic units.

#### Firebricks

Their actual production during the first six months of this year is 18200 tonnes and hence the projected figure of 37000 tonnes for the year 1971 is likely to be achieved. A marginal increase of 3000 tonnes is proposed by 1973 with the existing manufacturing facilities.

#### Silica

. The existing manufacturing facilities of the Silica section is for a total output of approximately 12,000 tonnes with a product mix of 70% of Coke Oven shapes. They are doubling their capacity of Silica bricks manufacture by installing a separate plant. Civil work is well in progress. Construction of two ring chamber kilns similar to the existing ones has already started. They have also submitted import licence application for Simon Cone Crusher, Silica Mixing Mill and Heavy Duty presses.

It is reported that the proposed financial outlay for this Project is about Rs. 170 lakhs.

#### Basic

During the first half of this year the total production is 25600 tonnes comprising of 10,000 tonnes of burnt and 15,600 tonnes of chemically bonded basic bricks. The above burnt output was achieved from the No. 1 Tunnel Kiln only as the second tunnel kiln has been put back into commission only recently.

The projected annual production for this year is 55,000 tonnes comprising of 30,000 tonnes of burnt and 25,000 tonnes of chemically bonded basic bricks. The existing two tunnel kilns in the basic Plant have a total capacity of 40,000 tonnes saleable bricks per year and as such the increased projected output of 40,000 tonnes burnt bricks for the year 1973 is achievable provided there is no bottleneck in green manufacture. They have been granted a Letter of Intent for expanding their existing capacity by 20,000 tonnes for manufacture of chemically bonded basic bricks.

. The exact details of additional plant and machinery required for the same have not yet been worked out and hence the second column of Annexure II-c is left blank.

#### XIII Belpahar Refractories Limited, Belpahar

### Date of visit: 16-9-1971.

The licensed capacity of this unit is 40,000 tonnes of Firebricks, 20,000 tonnes of Silica Bricks and 50,000 tonnes of Basic Bricks. The actual figures for the year 1970 and anticipated production in coming years have been given in Annexure II-c.

#### Firebricks Section

Most of their production is by the dry process in the high grog quality. Their actual production for the year 1970 was 34,200 tonnes and their production for the first half of 1971 was 20,000 tonnes. This indicates a rate of production of 40,000 tonnes per year. A marginal increase up to 4,000 tonnes is proposed by 1973 by adding a periodic kilu.

# The unit intends to expand their production further to 64,000 tonnes by 1975 subject to availability of industrial licence and other Government clearances.

### Silica Section

The total silica production in 1970 was 8,500 tonnes and production up to August 1971 was 6,700 tonnes. In the year 1971 upto August, the production of coke oven silica was 2,000 tonnes, but it was mentioned that their second kiln came into production only in the latter half of this year and hence the total expected production in calendar year 1971 is 12,000 tonnes of silica including 5,000 tonnes of coke oven silica. Projected production in 1973 and 1975 was claimed as 20,000 tonnes of silica including 14,000 tonnes of coke oven.

As already mentioned, a new kiln identical to the old one, has been added. It was reported that additional facilities for crushing and grinding from indigenous sources are being arranged. It is also reported that they have ordered 4 Russian Friction Screw Presses each of 400 tonnes pressure mainly for use in silica coke oven. At present some of the presses of Fireclay and Basic Sections are being diverted towards silica production.

Assuming that they will be able to feed their kilns full swing out of existing and additional facilities in crushing, grinding and pressing sections, it is felt that the kiln will be bottleneck to achieve the production projected for the year 1973 onwards. From the kiln operation data given to the Team it was estimated that it will be ext.emely difficult to achieve a production of more than 17.000 tonnes of silica bricks with the product mix as indicated above.

### **Basic** Section

In the first half of 1971 they achieved a total production of 20,000 tonnes, which amply justifies their projected annual production of 40,000 tonnes. Further increase in production is anticipated by addition of a new tunnel 1 iln, similar in dimensions as existing. Most of the imported components and erection drawings for the kiln have been obtained. The construction of the kiln is expected to start soon and completed within 6 to 8 months. It was also reported that they are taking adequate steps to procure the other required equipment from indigenous/imported sources to be able to feed the kiln. Up till now, availability of deadburnt magnesite had been restricting production, but with the likelihood of availability of Almora magnesite, this bottleneck is expected to be overcome.

# XIV Hind Refractories Limited, Durgapur

### Date of visit: 23-9-1971

÷

The licensed capacity of this unit is 18,000 tonnes. The plant is presently engaged in manufacturing firebricks. The details of production in 1970 and anticipated production in the coming years is shown in Annexure II-a.

Production during 1970 has been 12,050 tonnes and the anticipated production projected for 1971 remains the same.

This unit manufactures recuperator tubes by extrusion process.

The envisaged increase in production by 1973 is both by plastic process as well as by dry process. To enable them to increase production by wet process by over 5,000 tonnes, they intend to take up construction of necessary kilns immediately. Orders for additional grinding machinery and presses are expected to be finalised soon.

The unit has an expansion scheme for manufacture of Firebricks by dry process to enable them to make 6,000 tonnes by 1973. The capital outlay anticipated is mentioned as Rs. 26 lakhs. They hope to place orders for crushing, grinding and screening equipments as well as for friction screw presses to be procured indigenously and also for construction of oil-fired chamber kilns. The construction of the shed to house the equipments is expected, to be soon taken up. If the steps are taken up as indicated, it is felt that the projected availability in 1973 is achievable.

# GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

1. The industry appeared to be conscious of the changeover from plastic to dry process and even the units in the Small Scale Sector were seriously considering setting up facilities for this changeover.

2. Units in the Organised Sector were taking effective steps to augment their production by installing additional equipment for manufacture of Firebricks by dry process. It was largely felt that production could be accelerated if import licences, whatever required, were issued promptly.

3. Production could be stepped up at a faster rate if the Refractory Producers were assured of the offtake and in this connection long term contracts for supplies to Steel Plants might be a source of confidence.

173

**ANNEXURE II-A** 

\_\_\_\_

												(L	n tonnes)
									-	India Refracto			iance pricks
										1	2	1	2
I. Licensed Capa	city			t.	•	•	•	- ,	•	36000		72000	
2. Production in	1970:	:											
Total					•			•		18200	18200	20000	20000
Plastic Process	•							•		200	200	20000	20000
Dry Process	•							•		18000	18000	••	••
(i) High grog	; incl	uding	High	Alun	nina			•		14100	7100	••	••
(ii) Low grog	•		•					•		3900	10900	••	
3. Production in	1971	(estin	nated)	):							•1		
Total		•						•		20500	20500	30000	30000
Plastic Process	•				• .					2000	2000	30000	30000
Dry Process							۰.	•		18500	18500	••	
(i) High grog	; incl	uding	High	Alun	nina					15500	6000	••	••
(ii) Low grog		•	•		•	•	•		•	3000	12500	• -	••
4. Production in	1973	(estin	nated	):								-	
Total	•	`.	•	•	•					25000	25000	40000	40000
Plastic Process	•				•	•		•		2000	2000	40000	40000
Dry Process			•	•					۰.	23000	23000		
(i) High grog	inclu	uding	High	Alun	nina		•	•		19000	10000	••	••
(ii) Low grog						<i>.</i>		•	•	4000	13000		••
5. Production in	1975	(estin	nated	)								•	
Total					•			•		25000	25000	50000	50000
Plastic Process		•					•			2000	2000	50000	50000
_					•					23000	23000		••
(i) High grog										19000	19000		
(i) Low grog										4000	4000		••

Note.— Column 1 gives figures as reported by manufacturer.

· Column 2 gives figures as assessed by this team.

. . .

FIRECLAY
----------

												(1	n tonnes)
4										Burn & Gulfar	c Co bari	Bihar Fi	rebricks
										1	2	1	2
. Licensed Capa	city			•	•				•	30000		50000*	
Production in	1970:												
Total	•	•							•	23400	23400	19300	19300
Plastic Process					•		•			10800	10800	19300	19300
Dry Process									•	12600	12600		
(i) High grog	; inch	uding	High	Alun	nina					••			••
(ii) Low grog	•	•		•	•	.'	•	•	•	12600	12600		••
. Production in	197 Í	(estim	nated)										
Total		. •	• • .	•		•	•		•	27000	27000	21000	21000
Plastic Process									•	14600	14600	21000	21000
Dry Process .		•		<i>,</i> .						12400	12400		••
(i) High grog	; inclu	uding	High	Alun	nina			•	•	••	••	••	••
(ii) Low grog	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12400	12400	••	••
. Production in	1973	(estim	nated)	:		•					-		
Total <sup>-</sup>	•	•	•	-		•	•		٠	30000	30000	24000	24000
Plastic Process	\$		•	•		•	•			14000	14000	18000	18000
Dry Process			•		•		۰.		•	16000	16000	6000	6000
(i) High grog	g inclu	uding	High	Alun	nina		•		•	••	••	••	
(ii) Low grog	g.	٠		•	•	۰.	•	•	•	16000	16000	6000	6000
5. Production in	1975	(estin	nated)	1						-			
Total	۰.					•			•	30000	30000	24000	24000
Plastic Process	5			-						14000	14000	18000	18000
Dry Process										16000	16000	6000	6000
(i) High grog	g inch	uding	High	Alun	nina			•	•	••	••	••	••
(ii) Low grog									•	16000	16000	9000	9000

Note:-- Column 1 gives figures as reported by manufacturer.

Column 2 gives figures as assessed by this team.

\*including silica

(In tonnes)

_											(111 )	
									Jharia Firebrick	ş	Bharat Firebri	cks
	•								1	2	. 1	2
1.	Licensed Capacity								44000		••	
2.	Production in 1970:	:										
	Total						•		6000	6000	9000	9000
	Plastic Process						•		6000	6000	9000	9000
	Dry Process						•		•••			
	(i) High grog incl	luding Hi	gh Alu	mina			•		• •		•••	••
	(ii) Low grog .	• •	•	•	•		•	•	••			••
3.	Production in 1971	(estimate	d):									
	Total .	• •		•	•		•	•	13000	13000	10000	10000
	Plastic Process			•	•		•		13000	13000	5000	5000
	Dry Process			٠					••	••	5000	5000
	(i) High grog inclu	uđing Hig	gh Alu	mina				•	· ••			••
	(ii) Low grog .		•			•	•	•	••		5000	<u>,</u> 5000
4.	Production in 1973	(estimate	:d):	•								
	Total .			•			•	•	20000	20000	14000	14000
	Plastic Process							•	12000	12000	7000	7000
	Dry Process								8000	8000	7000	7000
	(i) High grog incl	uding Hig	gh Alu	mina		•		•	••	••	• •	••
	(ii) Low grog .	• •	•	٠	. •	•	•	•	8000	8000	7000	7000
5.	Production in 1975	(estimate	ed):									
	Total .			•		•		•	20000	20000	14000	14000
	Plastic Process					•		•	12000	12000	7000	7000
	Dry Process			•					8000	8000	7000	7000
	(i)) High grog ind	cluding H	ligh Al	umina		•	••	•		••		
	(ii) Low Grog					•		•	8000	8000	7000	7000

.

.

1

NOTE:— Column 1 gives figures as reported by manufacturer. Column 2 gives figures as assessed by this team.

(In tonnes)

							-	•		KF	5	IFICO	)
										, <u> </u>	2	1	2
I. License	ed Capacity				•					13500**	•	72000	
2. Produc	tion in 1970	):											
Total					•		•			53100	53100	35000	35000
Plastic	Process								•	17800	17800	•••	• •
Dry Pi	rocess									35300	35300	- 35000	35000
(i) Hi	igh grog incl	luding	High	Alun	nina					12300	12300	7700	7700
(ii) Lo	ow grog	•			•	•	•		•	23000	23000	27300	27300
. Produ	iction in 197	1 (esti	mated	):									
Total		•	•						•	59600	59600	38000	38000
Plastic	Process						•	•		18500	18500		
Dry Pi	rocess						•			41100	41100	38000	38000
(i) Hi	gh grog incl	uding	High	Alum	nina					13600	13600	6000	6000
(ii) Lo	w grow .								•	27590	27500	32000	32000
. Produc	tion in 1973	(estim	ated)	:						,			
Total					•					74500	74500	60000	50000
Plastic	Process									16500	16500		
Dry Pr	ocess								• .	58000	58000	60000	50000
(i) Hi	gh grog incl	uding	High	Alum	ina			•	• _	30000	30000	38500	28500
(ii) La	w grow .		•	•	•					30000	30000	21500	21500
. Produc	tion in 1975	(estim	ated)	:									
Total										77000	77000	60000	60000
Plastic	Process									8500	8500	••	
Dry Pr	ocess									68500	68500	60000	60000
-	gh grog incl	uding	High	Alum	ina			۰.		30000	30000	38500	38500
(ii) Lo	w grog .									38500	38500	21500	21500

NOTE:- Column 1 gives figures as reported by Manufacturer.

Column 2 gives figures as assessed by this team.

\*\*including silica & specialities.

<u> </u>												(In	tonnes)
											Indus. Ikata	Belpahar R ries	efracto-
						_				1	2	1	2
1. Licer	ised Capacity						•			38000		40000	
2. Prod	uction in 197(	):											
· Total	ł.		٠	•		,			•	16400	16400	34233	34233
Plast	ic Process				•								
Dry	Process			÷						16400	16400	34233	34233
-	High grog incl	luding	High	Alun	nina					6500	6500	34233	34233
	Low grog									9900	9900		51255
3. Produ	uction in 1971	(estin	nated	):		-							
Total		•	•							21000	21000	40000	40000
Plasti	ic Process												• •
	Process									21000	21000	40000	40000
	ligh grog incl	uding	High	Alum	ina	•		•	•	6100	6100	40000	40000
	.ow grog							•	•	14900	14900		4.1
4. Produ	iction in 1973	(estim	ated)	•									
Total		(		•	•			•		36000	25000	44000	44000
Plasti	c Process			•								•••	
Drv F	Process									36000	25000	44000	44000
•	ligh grog incl	uding	High	Alum	ina					21000	21000	44000	44000
	.ow grog									15000	16000		• .
saa Saadu	ation in 1075	(actim	(hated	•									
Total	iction in 1975									38000	38000	64000	64000
		•	•	•	•	•			·			04000	04000
	e Process	•		•		•	•	•	•				
=	rocess	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	38000	38000	64000	64000
.,	ligh grog inclu	iding l	High	Alum	ina		•	•••	•	21000	21000	64000	64000
(ii) L	ow grog .	•	·	•	•	·		•	•	17000	17000	••	••

Note:- Column 1 gives figures as reported by manufacturer.

Column 2 gives figures as assessed by this team.

(In tonnes)

	*									Orissa Ce Limited		Maithan	Ceramics
										1 .	2	1	2
1.	Licenced Capacity							•		39000			
2.	Production in 1970:												
	To al .	•		-	•	•			•	32800	32800	4925	4925
	Plastic Process	•	•							1000	1000	4925	4925
	Dry Process			•						31800	31800	•	` <b>.</b> ,
	(i) High grow inclu	Iding	High	Alun	nina				-	21800	21800	••	••
	(ii) Low grog .			•	•	•		•	•	10000	10000		••
3.	Production in 1971 (	estin	nated)	:									
	Total .			-	•					37000	37000	14000	14000
•	Plastic Process									1800	1800	12000	13800
	Dry Process	•								35200	35200	2000	2000
	(i) High grog inclu	ding	High	Alun	ina					24100	24100	••	•
	(ii) Low grog .	•	•	•			•	۰.	•	11100	11100	2000	2000
4.	Production in 1973	(estin	nated)	:									
	Total .			•		•			•	40000	40000	14350	14350
	Plastic Process								•	2000	2000	10000	10000
	Dry Process	•				•			<i>i</i> .	38000	38000	4350	1750
	(i) High grog inclu	ding	High	Alum	nina	•				26000	26000	3600	8+4
	(ii) Low grog .	•								12000	12000	750	1750
5.	Production in 1975	(estin	nated)	:									
	Totai		•	-				•	•	40000	40000	16400	16400
	Plastic Process	-		•					•	2000	2000	10000	13600
	Dry Process					•		•		38000	38000	6400	2800
	(i) High grog inclu	iding	High	Alum	ina	۰.				26000	26000	5400	
	(ii) Low grog .			•			. <b>.</b>			12000	12000	1000	2800

NOTE.— Column I gives figures as reported by manufacturer.

Column 2 gives figures as assessed by this team.

(In tonnes)

L

.

									Jauhar Fire	Bricks	Hind Refrac	ctories
									1	2	1	2
. Licensed Capacity					•			•	24000	•••	18000	
Production in 1970:	:				•							
Total .							•	•	14000	14000	12650	1 26 50
Plastic Process			•						13600	13600	12650	12650
Dry Process	•								400			
(i) High grog inclu	uding	Hìgh	Alum	ina			•					
(ii) Low grog	•		•		•	•		•				
B. Production in 1971	(estin	nated)	:									
Total .				•			•		14500	14500	12650	12650
Plastic Process		•	•					•	13000	14500	12650	12650
Dry Process									1500			
(i) High grog inclu	uding	High	Aium	nina	٠		٠	•				
(ii) Low grog .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1500	·		
4. Production in 1973	(estin	nated	):							•		
Total .	•	•		<i>/</i> •	•	•	•		16500	16500	24000	24000
Plastic Process						•	•		14500	14500	18000	18000
Dry Process							•		2000	2000	6000	6000
(i) High grog inc	luding	g Higł	n Alur	nina					••	••	3600	3600
(ii) Low grog .	•	•		•		•	•	•	2000	2000	2400	2400
5. Production in 197:	5 (esti	mated	l):									
Total .	•		•	•			•		16500	16500	24000	24000
Plastic Process	•		•		•				14500	14500	18000	18000
Dry Process				-				•	2000	2000	6000	6000
(i) High grog inc	ludin	g Hig	h Alu	mina		٠.	•	•	•••		3600	3600
(ii) Low grog	•	•••				•		•	2000	2000	2400	2400

Note.—Column 1 gives figures as reported by manufacturers. Column 2 gives figures as assessed by this team.

ANNEXURE II-B

. . . . . . .

# SILICA

(In tonnes)

											(11	tonnes)
									Bihar Fire	bricks	- KI	FS
		_							1	2	1	2
1.	Licensed Capacity	•							50000*			135000**
2.	Production in 1970:											
	Total .								7500	7500	17200	17200
	Coke Oven	•			•				1600	1600	3000	3000
1	Others ·	•	•	•	•				5900	5900	14200	14200
3.	Production in 1971 (	estim	ated):									
	Total .	•							7500	7500	20000	20000
	Coke Oven				•				1800	1800	4000	4000
	Others .	•		•	•	•	•		5700	5700	16000	16000
4.	Production in 1973 (	estim	ated):									
	Total ·	•			•				9000	9000	<b>2</b> 1000	21000
	Coke Oven		•	•					4000	4000	6000	6000
	Others .	•		•			•		5000	5000	15000	15000
5.	Production in 1975 (	estin	ated):									
	Total								9000	9000	22000	22000
	Coke Oven	•			•			:	4000	4000	6000	6000
	Others .								5000	5000	16000	16000

N.B.-(1) \*including fireclay.

,

(2) \*\*including fireclay & specialities.

									Belpaha Refracto	r ories	Orissa Co Ltd.	
	_								1	2	1	2
1.	Licensed Capacity			•			•		20000		22000	••
2.	Production in 1970: Total .					1	•		8550	8550	10500	10500
	Coke Oven			•					••		7400	7400
١	Others .			•		•	•	•	••	• •	3100	3100
3.	Production in 1971 Total	(estin	nated):		•.				12000	12000	12000	12000
	Coke Oven								5000	5000	6500	6500
	Others ·	•	• ·	•		•			7000	7000	5500	5500
4.	Production in 1973 Total	(estin	nated):	•	•.	•			20000	20000	23000	23000
	Coke Oven								14000	14000	15000	15000
	Others .	•		•			•		6000	6000	8000	8000
5.	Production in 1975 Total	(estir	nated)	:					20000	20000	23000	2300
	Coke Oven							•	14000	14000	16100	16100
	Others 👝			_					6000	6000	6900	690

ANNEXURE II-C

.

# BASIC

\_\_\_\_\_

(In tonnes)

										Belpahar Refr	actories
										1	2
1.	Licensed capacity		<i>.</i>	•	•					50000	
2.	Production in 1970: Total			•	•			· .		37060	3706
	Burnt .				•					••	
	Chemically Bond	ed	•	•			•	•			
3.	Production in 1971 (es Total	tima	ted):	•						40000	4000
	Burnt .					•				20000	2000
	Chemically Bond	ed							•	20000	2000
4.	Production in 1973 (es Total	tima	ted):							45000	450
	Burnt .									25000	250
	Chemically Bond	ed								20000	2000
5.	Production in 1975 (es Total	stima	.ted):							50000	5000
	Burnt		•		•					38000	3800
	Chemically Bond	eđ				•				12000	1200

										Orissa Cement	Limited
								_		1	2
1.	Licensed Capacity .					•		•		48000 ·	
2.	Production in 1970: Total	<i>.</i>					•			43400	43400
	Burnt									28800	28800
	Chemically Bonded	•••			•		•			14600	14600
3.	Production in 1971 (estima Total	ated):		•		•		•		55000	55000
	Burnt			۰.	•	•	•	•	•	30000	30000
	Chemically Bonded	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	25000	25000
4.	Production in 1973 (estima Total	ated):			•					65000	65000
	Burnt						•		•	40000	40000
	Chemically Bonded	•		•	;-		•		•	25000	25000
5.	Production in 1975 (estima Total	ated):	•					•		75000	
	Burnt			•	•	•	•	•		42000	•
	Chemically Bonded			•	•	•	•	•	•	33000	• •

ANNEXURE III

<sup>(</sup>In tonnes)

									(in termes)
						India Refractories	Reliance	Burn & Co. Gulfarbari	Bihar Firebricks
					_	1	2	3	4
apacities claimed for	r—	-			<u> </u>				
(i) Holloware: 1971						1800	9500	Nil	320
1973		•		•		1800	11500	Nil	580
1975						1800	13500	Nil	580
(ii) Coke Oven f 1971	irecla	y shar	es:			2200	2500	700	180
1973		•				3200	3500	700	240
1975		•				3200 '	5000	700	240
(iii) Blast furnace 1971	e brici	ks:				2500	Nil	750	N
1973				•		5000	Nil	750	Ni
1975						5000	Nil	750	N

					Jharia Firebricks	Bharat Firebricks	KFS	IFICO	Orissa Industrie <b>s</b> Latkata
		、 <u> </u>			5	6	7	8	9
pacities claimed for	<u> </u>								
(i) Holloware: 1971 -				•	<b>22</b> 00	500	2000	1500	Ni
1973 .	•			•	3000	1500	2500	3000	Ni
1975 .		•		•	3600	1500	2500	3000,	250
(ii) Coke Oven F 1971 .	irelca	y shap	es:		3600	500	1000	2000	200
1973				•	3600	500	2400	2500	200
1975			•		3600	500	2400	2500	200
(iii) Blast furnace 1971 -	brick	s:			Nil	Nil	Nil	2000	N
× 1973 .	.'		•		Nil	Nil	2000	14500	400
1975 .			•	۰.	Nil	Nil	4000	14500	400

,

NOTE.- (1) Blast furnace capacity excludes capacity for stove and other accessories.

(2) Burn & Co. capacity for Blast furnace Limited for IISCO only.

.

.

(In tonnes)

									Bel Re	lpaha fracto	r ories	Orissa Cement	Maithon Ceramics
								-		1	0	11	12
pacities claimed for:													
(i) Holloware: 1971 .												1500	250
1973	•								•		•	1500	600
1975 .								•	•	•		1500	750
(ii) Coke Oven Fire 1971 .	eclay s	shapes	::									800	60
. 1973 .	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	• -	`	•	800	100
1975	·	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	800 800	150
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		100
(iii) Blast furnace b 1971 -	ricks:		•		•							2500	·
1973 .			•		• .					•	•	2500	
1975 .		•										2500	
													Hind Refractorie
										-		13	14
		. <u> </u>											
apacities claimed for:		÷ ,											<u> </u>
(i) Holloware:		·				, ,						1800	240
(i) Holloware: <sup>-</sup> 1971			<u> </u>		•	•	 -	•		 -	•	1800	
(i) Holloware: 1971 1973			 - -		•	•	 -	•	 -		•	1800	330
(i) Holloware: 1971 1973 1975		•			•	•		•			•		330
(i) Holloware: 1971 1973	reclay	•	• • • •		•	•	- • •	•	 - -	•	•	1800	330 330
(i) Holloware: 1971 1973 1975 (ii) Coke Oven Fir	reclay	•		•	•	•	•	•	 - - -	•	• • • • • •	1800 1800	330 330 30
(i) Holloware: 1971 1973 1975 (ii) Coke Oven Fin 1971	reclay	•	s:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	•	•	 - - - -	•		1800 1800 500	330 330 30 60
<ul> <li>(i) Holloware: 1971</li> <li>1973</li> <li>1975</li> <li>(ii) Coke Oven Fin 1971</li> <li>1973</li> </ul>	-	shape	- - - - - - -	•		•	•	•	 - - - - - -	-	•	1800 1800 500 500	240 330 330 30 60 60
<ul> <li>(i) Holloware: 1971</li> <li>1973</li> <li>1975</li> <li>(ii) Coke Oven Fin 1971</li> <li>1973</li> <li>1975</li> </ul>	-	shape	s:	•	•	•			 - - - - - - -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • •	1800 1800 500 500	330 330 30 60

-

ANNEXURE IV

199

# ANNEXURE IV

Production Capacity of the 14 Refractories Units During Period 1970-75

(In 10	onnes)
--------	--------

								(	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
					•	1970	1971	1973	1975
FIRECLAY(x 1000 T)									
High grog & High Alumina	•					89.6	95.8	149.1	182.1
Low grog		·.	•			93.7	115.4	135.6	140. <b>2</b>
Total dry Process				•		183.3	211.2	284.7	322.3
By Plastic Process	•		:			115.6	146.9	156.6	159.6
Total	•				. –	298.9	358.1	441.3	481.9
BASIC									
SILICA			•	•	· ·	80460	95000	110000	1250000
Coke Oven							17300	39000	40100
Others			•		•	••	32700	34000	33900
Total	•		•	•	. –	43700	50000	73000	74000

Note.— Capacity of Belpahar Refractories, as given by management, has been taken into account.

# ANNEXURE M

\_\_\_\_\_\_

.

# ANNEXURE 'M'

.

# Requirement of Special Refractories Alloy Steel Plant, Durgapur

(In thousand Tonnes)

Type of Refractories	Consumption	Norms		
	1969	1970	1971-72	72-73
INSULATION				
(i) Mica				
(ii) Vermiculite			••	••
(iii) Diatomite	• •			••
(iv) Fireclay base (v) Light weight fireclay (vi) Others	0.070	0.100	0.230	0.250
MORTARS				
(i) Fireclay'	0.400	0.300	0.500	0.500
(ii) Silica	0.030	0.025	0.040	0.040
(iii) High Alumina	0.005	0.005	0.010	0.012
(iv) Basic	0.030	0.020	0.035	0.050
(v) Insulating				
MASSES, CASTABLES			•	
(Carbon paste, carbon Ram- 1. Alumino Silicate Plas- ming Mass, Tar dolomite tic.	0.075	0.060	0.100	0.12
Ramming Mass, Basic Ram- 2. Insulating Castables	0.040	0.030	0.050	0.050
ming Mass, High Alumina 3. Dense Castables . Ramming Mass and others 4. Basic Ramming Mass	0.030 0.880	0.020 0.750	0.040	0.050
Ramming Mass and others 4. Basic Ramming Mass	0.000	0.750	i . 200	1.400
SPECIAL REFRACTORIES				
(Castables (special) silicon car- 1. 92-94 % Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.009	0.100	0.150	0.200
bide High Alumina (above 75%) Castable. fuse cast, Graphite carbon blo-2. Silicon Carbide cks, Zircon refractories and shapes. any other types).	0.030	0.065	0.080	0.080
3. 85% Al <b><sub>2</sub>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	0.010	0.040	0.110	.0.110
· 4. Carbon Shapes	0.040	0.005	0.005	0.040
ACID PROOF BRICKS	0.010	0.060	0.075	0.080

NOTE :- Norms in Kg./Tonne are based on production in respective units.

27-1 D of S/ND/72

.

204

Requirement of Special Refractories

Alloy Steels Plant,

.

,

Durgapur.

(In thousand Tonnes)

Type of Refractories			•			73-74	74-75	75-76	76-7 <b>7</b>
INSULATION									
(i) Mica				-		••			
(ii) Vermiculite				•		•••	• •		
(iii) Diatomite						• •			
<ul><li>(iv) Fireclay base</li><li>(v) Light weight fireclay</li><li>(vi) Others</li></ul>	}: :	• •		•		0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250
MORTARS									
(i) Fireclay						0.500	0.500	0.510	0.500
(ii) Silica		•				0.042	0.043	0.044	0.044
(iii) High Alumina			÷			0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
(iv) Basic						0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052
(v) Insulating		•		•					
MASSES, CASTABLES									
(Carbon paste, carbon Ram- ming Mass, Tar dolomite	1. A ti		o Silio	cate Pl	as-	0.130	0.110	0.110	0.110
Ramming Mass. Basic Ram-	2. In	sulati		istable	6	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
ming Mass, High Alumina			Castal		•	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
Ramming Mass and others)	4. B	asic R	amm	ing Ma	ISS	1.500	1.500	1.500	1.500
SPECIAL REFRACTORIES									
(Castables (special) silicon car- bide, High Alumina (above	2. S	ilicon	γ Al₂C Carb			0.200 0.080	0.200 0.080	0.200 0.080	0.200 0.080
75% fuse cast, Graphite Car- bon blocks, Zircon refractories and any other type).	3.8	hapes 5 % A Carbor		pes .		0.110 0.005	0.110 0.005	0.110 0.010	0.110 0.005
ACID PROOF BRICKS				•		0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085

#### Alloy Steels Plant, Durgapur.

(In thousand Tonnes)

Type of Refractor	ries											77-78	78-79	79-80
INSULATION									•					
(i) Mica														
	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	:	•	•	•	••	••	••
(ii) Vermiculite	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	• •
(iii) Diatomite	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •		•				• •
<ul><li>(iv) Fireclay base</li><li>(v) Light weight fit</li><li>(vi) Others</li></ul>	reclay	;}	•	٠			• .	•	•			0.250	0.250	0.250
MORTARS														
(i) Fireclay												0.510	0.500	0.510
(ii) Silica .										•		0.044	0.044	0.044
(iii) High Alumina		_			•	•	•	•	•		•	0.015	0.015	10.0
(iv) Basic .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.052	0.052	0.05
(v) Insulating	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•		0.052	0.052
MASSES, CASTA	BLES	5			`									
(Carbon paste, Ca			nmin	g M	ass	I. Ah	imino	Silica	te Pia	stic		0.110	0.110	0.110
Tar dolomite Ramn	ning I	Mass	, Bas	ic Ra	m- 🔅		ulatin					0.050	0.050	0.050
ming Mass, High A	lumin	a Ra	ımmi	ng M			nse Ca			•		0.050	0.050	0.050
and others).					"	4. Bas	sic Ra	mmin	g Mas	s. a	•	1.500	1.500	1.500
SPECIAL REFRA	СТО	RIES	5											
(Castables (special)	slilic	on c	arbid	le. H	igh	1. 92-	94%	41.0.	_	• .		0.200	0.200	0.200
Alumina (above 75							icon C			pes		0.080	0.080	0.080
Carbon blocks, Zirc					ny	3. 85 %	% Al.	0,		• •		0.110	0.110	0.110
other type).						4. Ca	rbon	Shape	s.	•	•	0.005	0.040	0.005
ACID PROOF BR	ICKS	5										0.085	0.085	0.085

#### Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai.

(In thousand Tonnes)

.

Type of Ref	ractor	ries								Consump- tion Norms Kg./ 1969 1970	1971 2.2	1972 2.4	1973 2.5
INSULATION													
(i) Mica .								•					
(ii) Vermiculate				•							0.022	0.024	
(iii) Diatomite										0.010			0.025
(iv) Fireclay Brick	s							•	•			`. <b>.</b>	
(v) Light weight f	ireclay	y						•		0.210	0.460	0.500	0.520
(vi) Others .		•		-				•			••	• •	••
MORTARS													
(i) Fireclay										4.400	9.680	10.560	11.000
(ii) Silica					•	•			•	•.•	••	••	
(iii) High Alumina	L	•								0.050	0.110	0.120	0.125
(iv) Basic	•	•		•		•	:			0.800	1.760	1.920	2.000
MASSES, CASTA (Carbon paste, car mass, Basic Ramn others).	rbon	Ram								0.150	0.330	0.360	0.380
SPECIAL REFR. (Castables (special fuse cast, Graphite type).	) silic	on c	arbid							0.060	0.130	0.140	0.150

#### ACID PROOF BRICKS

Type of	Refr	acto	ries									1974 2.5	1975 3.0	1976 3.4
INSULATION			-											
(i) Mica		•		•		•	•	•	• •		•			
(ii) Vermiculate	•	•		•		•					•	••		
(iii) Diatomite	-	•		•				•	•	•		0.025	0.03	0.03
(iv) Fireclay bricks	I.	•		•		•		•		•	•	••	• ••	
(v) Light weight fi	reclay	/	•	•	•			•	•	•		0.520	0.630	7.71
vi) Others		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	.:		••
MORTARS														
(i) Fireclay									•			11.000	13.200	14.96
(ii) Silica .			• .					•				• •	••	×
(iii) High Alumina				-								0.125	0.150	0.17
(iv) Basic	•								•		•••	2.00	2.400	2.7
MASSES, CASTA	BLE													
Carbon paste, car amming mass, hig	bon								ng ma	ss, b	asic	0.380	0.450	0.51
PECIAL REFRA	сто	RIE	s											
Castables (special)				1. เม	ligh A	lumin	a (ah	out 7	5%) f	use c	act	0.150	0.180	0.20

#### ACID PROOF BRICKS

.

## Requirement of Special Refractories

											Bhilai Si Bhilai.	teel Plant,	
Type of Refractor	ries				-						1977 3.6	1978 3.8	1979 4.0
INSULATION								<u> </u>					
(i) Mica				•							•		
(ii) Vermiculate .													
(iii) Diatomite .				۰.				.'			0.036	0.038	0.040
(iv) Fireclay bricks										۰.	••		• •
(v) Light weight fireclay	Y										0.760	0.800	0.840
(vi) Others .									•				
MORTARS													
(i) Fireclay .										_	15.840	16.720	17,600
(ii) Silica													
(iii) High Alumina											0.180	0.190	0.200
(iv) Basic	•	·.		· •							2.880	3.040	3.200
MASSES, CASTABLE	q												
(Carbon paste, carbon R Ramming Mass, High A	amn	ning l ina R	Mass, tamm	Tar o ling N	dolom 1ass a	ite Ra nd ot	ammir hers).	ng ma	ss, Ba	isic	0.540	0.570	0.600
SPECIAL REFRACTO (Castables (special) silico phite Carbon blocks, Z	n car	- rbide,	High	Alun y and	nina (a 1 any	ibove othe	75%) r type	fuse c	ast, C	ira-	0.220	0.230	0.240
ACID PROOF BRICK				-	·								~

Durgapur Steel Plant, Durgapur.

(In thousand tonnes)

Type of Refractory							tic	Consump- on Norms (Kg/T) 969 1970	[97]	1972	1973
INSULATION											
(i) Fireclay base	•								0.500	0.550	0.60
(ii) Light weight fireclay						-	•		0.400	0.200	0.20
(iii) Others	•					•	•		0.010	0.010	0.01
MORTARS											
(i) Fireclay .				-					5.717	5.515	5.69
(ii) Silica									0.183	0.110	0.13
(iii) High Alumina .		•				•			0.250	0.280	0.280
(iv) Basic	•	•				-		· ·			
(v) Insulating		•	• •	•		•		• •	0.095	0.095	0.09
MASSES, CASTABLES											
High Alumina ramming	; mass	& H	igh A	lumina	a poir	nting r	nass	· ·	0.125	0.130	0.135
SPECIAL REFRACTORIE	-s										
High Alumina Cement									0.100	0.100	0.10
	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	• •	0.100	0.100	0.10
_				•	•	•		· ·	0.030	0.030	0.030
_	 			•	•	•	-	 1974	0.030	0.030 	0.030
ACID PROOF BRICKS			•		•		-	1974			
ACID PROOF BRICKS					• 		•				1977
ACID PROOF BRICKS	· .			•	• 	• 	· 		1975	1976	1977
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base			•	•	• • •	• 		0.660	1975 0.660	<b>1</b> 976 0.660	1977 0.660 0.200
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay			• • •	•	•	• 	• • • •	0.660	1975 0.660 0.200	<b>1</b> 976 0.660 0.200	1977 0.660 0.200
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others			•		• 	• • • • •		0.660	1975 0.660 0.200	<b>1</b> 976 0.660 0.200	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS	·		• • • •	•	•		·	0.660 , 0.400 0.010	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS (i) Fireclay			•	•	• • • • •		· · · ·	0.660 , 0.400 0.010 5.995	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS (i) Fireclay (ii) Silica	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	• • • • •	•	• • • • • •	· · · · ·	· · · · ·	0.660 ,0.400 0.010 5.995 0.130	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS (i) Fireclay (ii) Silica (ii) High Alumina	· · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·	• • • • • •	•	•		•	0.660 .0.400 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS (i) Fireclay (ii) Silica (ii) High Alumina (iv) Basic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	•	•	• • • • • • • • • • • •		•	0.660 ,0.400 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280 	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280 	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS (i) Fireclay (ii) Silica (ii) High Alumina (iv) Basic (v) Insulating	•	•	•				•	0.660 ,0.400 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280 	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280 	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS (i) Fireclay (ii) Silica (ii) High Alumina (iv) Basic (v) Insulating MASSES, CASTABLES	mass	•	•				•	0.660 .0.400 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095	1977 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095
ACID PROOF BRICKS Type of Refractories INSULATING (i) Fireclay base (ii) Light weight fireclay iii) Others MORTARS (i) Fireclay (ii) Silica (ii) High Alumina (iv) Basic (v) Insulating MASSES, CASTABLES High Alumina ramming	mass	and F	ligh A			nting	mass	0.660 .0.400 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095	1975 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095	1976 0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280  0.095	0.660 0.200 0.010 5.995 0.130 0.280

NOTE :- Norms in Kg./Tonne are based on production in respective units.

Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela.

ı.

(In thousand Tonnes)

	fracto	ries								Consumption 1969	on Norms 1970	1971	197 <b>2</b>
INSULATION										·			
(i) Mica		-											
(ii) Vermiculite									•	ND	ND	0.005	0.00
(iii) Diatomite										••	•••		
(iv) Fireclay base			•							ND	ND	0.300	0.30
(v) Light weight	fireclay	y			•					ND	ND	0.010	0.01
(vi) Others .													
MORTARS													-
(i) Fireclay										ND	ND	4.600	5.00
(ii) Silica .										ND	ND	0.100	0.45
(iii) High Alumina	a		•							ND	ND	0.050	0.06
(iv) Basic										ND	ND	0.300	0.35
(v) Insulating										ND	ND	0.030	0.03
(Carbon paste	e, carb asic R	on F amm	Ramm ning N	ing M Mass.	Mass, High	Tar d Alum	lolom	ite Ra	m-				
ming Mass, B Mass and ot	hers)		Ũ				nna, r	Ramm	ing				
ming Mass, B Mass and ot Basic Ramr	hers)							Ramm	ing	ND	ND	0.200	0.22
Mass and ot	hers) ning N	lass	and C	Castal	oles				.ng	ND ND	ND ND	0.200 0.400	
Mass and ot Basic Ramr	hers) ning N mmin	⁄lass g Ma	and ( ass &	Castal Casta	oles ables			Ramm					0.30
Mass and ot Basic Ramr Fireclay Ra	hers) ning N mmin <sub>i</sub> ina Ra	/lass g Ma ummi	and C ass & ing M	Castal Casta ass &	oles ables					ND	ND	0.400	0.30 0.20
Mass and ot Basic Ramr Fireclay Ra High Alumi	hers) ning N mming ina Ra ite Ra	Aass g Ma Immi mmii	and C ass & ing M ng Ma	Castal Casta ass & ass	oles ables			{amm		ND ND	ND ND	0.400 0.200	0.22 0.30 0.20 1.80 0.12
Mass and ot Basic Ramr Fireclay Ra High Alumi Tar Dolom Coke-oven	hers) ning N mmin ina Ra ite Ra Sprayi	Aass g Ma mmi mmii ng C	and C ass & ing M ng Ma Compo	Castal Casta ass & ass	oles ables			₹amm		ND ND ND	ND ND ND	0.400 0.200 1.600	0.30 0.20 1.80
Mass and ot Basic Ramr Fireclay Ra High Alumi Tar Dolom	hers) ning N mmin ina Ra ite Ra ite Ra Sprayi 4 <i>CTO</i> ) silica	Aass g Ma mmi mmi ng C <i>RIES</i> on ca	and C ass & ing M ng Ma Compo S arbide	Castal Casta ass & ass ound	oles ables : Cem : Cem	ents			· · · ·	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	0.400 0.200 1.600	0.30 0.20 1.80
Mass and ot Basic Ramr Fireclay Ra High Alumi Tar Dolom Coke-oven SPECIAL REFR/ Castables (special fuse cast, Graphite	hers) ning N mmin ina Ra ite Ra ite Ra Sprayi 4 <i>CTO</i> ) silica carboi	Aass g Ma mmi mmi ng C <i>RIES</i> on ca	and C ass & ing M ng Ma Compo S arbide	Castal Casta ass & ass ound	oles ables : Cem : Cem	ents			· · · ·	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	0.400 0.200 1.600	0.30 0.20 1.80
Mass and ot Basic Ramr Fireclay Ra High Alum Tar Dolom Coke-oven SPECIAL REFRA Castables (special fuse cast, Graphite type).	hers) ning N mmin ina Ra ite Ra ite Ra Sprayi 4 <i>CTO</i> ) silica carboi	Aass g Ma mmi mmi ng C <i>RIES</i> on ca	and C ass & ing M ng Ma Compo S arbide	Castal Casta ass & ass ound	oles ables : Cem : Cem	ents			· · · ·	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND	0.400 0.200 1.600 0.110	0.30 0.20 1.80 0.12

NOTE:

1. ND Not Determined.

2. Norms in Kg/Tonne are based on production in respective units.

-

-	210-
---	------

											Rourkela Rourkela	Steel Plai	nt,
											(In Thous	and Tonnes)	)
Type of Refi	actor	гу							,	1973	1974	1975	1976
INSULATIONS													
(i) Mica .										·			
(ii) Vermiculite										0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
(iii) Diatomite										• •			
(iv) Fireclay base								•		0.300	0.300	0.300	0.300
(v) Light weight fi	reclay	y								0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
(vi) Others	•	•	-				•					• ••	
MORTARS	•												
(i) Fireclay	•									5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000
(ii) Silica .	•									0.450	0.450	0.450	0.450
(iii) High Alumina						•				0.060	0.060	0.060	0.060
(iv) Basic .				•		•	•		-	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
(v) Insulating	•			•	•			•	•	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030
MASSES, CASTA	BLE	S							•			-	
(Carbon paste, carl Mass, Basic Ramm others).	oon I ing N	Ram Iass,	ming Higt	Mass 1 Alur	s, Tar nina,	dolo Rami	mite I ning I	Ramm Mass a	ing Ind				•
Basic Ramming	g Ma	ss an	id Ca	stable	s.				-	0.225	0.225	0.225	0.225
Fireclay Ramm	ing l	Mass	& C	astab	les	•	•	•	•	0.200	0.300	0.300	0.400
High Alumina	Ram	min	g Ma	ss and	l Cen	ents	•	•		0.200	0.200	0.200	0.200
Tar Dolomite	Ram	ming	, Mas	s	•	•		•		1.800	1.800	1.800	1.800
Coke-oven Spr	aying	g Co	mpou	ond _					-	0.120	0.120	0.120	0.120
SPECIAL REFRA	сто	RIE	5										•
(Castables (special) Cast, Graphite car types).	silico bon	n cai bloc	rbide, ks, Z	high . Sircon	Alum refra	ina (al ctories	oove 7 and	5 %) F any of	use her				
cy pes).				-			•_			0.254	0.254	0.254	0.254
Plastic Refract	ories		•										
										•• <u>-</u>	••	0.300	• • •

NOTE:

,

Norms in Kg/Tonne are based on production in respective units.

### 211

# Requirement of Special Refractories

Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela.

.

\_

												(In thousa	nd Tonnes)	)
Type of Ref	racto	ories								-		1977	1978	1979
INSULATION	r											<u> </u>		
(i) Mica										_				
(ii) Vermiculite								. '			•	0.005	0.005	0.00
(iii) Diatomite								. •	•					
(iv) Fireclay base	•	•						•				0.300	0.300	0.3
(v) Light weight fin	recla	y						•			, ,	0.010	0.010	0.0
(vi) Others	•													00
MORTARS						-								
(i) Fireclay				•	•									
(ii) Silica	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5.000	5.000	5.0
(iii) High Alumina	•	•	•	·	•	٠	·	•	•	•	•	0.100	0.100	0.1
(iv) Basic .		•	•	•	•	•	•	- •	•	·	•	0.060	0.060	0.0
(v) Insulating	•	•	•	•	,	•	•	٠	•	•	•	0.350	0.350	03
() insulating	•	•	,	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.030	0.030	0.0
MASSES, CASTA Carbon paste, carbo Ramming Mass, Hig Datis Dumusian	on R gh al	lamm Iumin	a Rai	nmir	ng Ma	Dolon ss, and	nite R 1 othe	ammi ers).	ng Ma	ss, Ba	isic			
Basic Ramming					-	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.225	0.225	0.2
Fireclay Ramm						•	•	•	•	•	•	0.300	0.300	0.3
High Alumina I					Cem	ents	•	•	•	•	•	0.200	0.200	0.20
Tar Dolomite R		-			•	•	•	•	•	·	•	1.800	1.800	1.8
Coke-oven Spra	ying	; Com	ipoun	d	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	0.120	0.120	0.12
		nrea						•					50 - C	
SPECIAL REFRAC	TO.	RIES												
Castables (special)	silico	on ca	rbide	Hig refra	th Aluctorie	imina s and	(abo any	ve 75 other	%) Fu types	ise Ca a).	ist,			
Castables (special)	silie loçk	on ca	rbide	Hig refra	th Alu ctorie:	imina s and	(abo any	ve 75 other	%) Fu types	ise Ca i).	ist,	0.254	0.254	0.25
SPECIAL REFRAC Castables (special) Graphite Carbon bl Plastic Refracto Basalt Tiles	silie loçk	on ca	rbide	Hig refra	th Alu etorie:	imina s and	(abo any	ve 75 other	%) Fu types	ise Ca i).	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	0.254	0.254 0.300	0.25

#### The Indian Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.

(In thousand tonnes)

	Type of R	efrac	tories										Consumpt	ion Norms	Process
													1969-70	1971-72 Provi- sional	Dry/Wet
	INSULATION	v –						<u> </u>		· · · ·		-			
(i)	Mica .				•		•			•	•		0.001	0.001	
	Vermiculite	•					•	•	•		•		0.171	0.174	' Dry
(iii)	Diatomite							•				•			
(iv)	Fireclay base											•			
(v)	Light Weight	Firec	lay		•		-		•					••	
(vi)	Others	•		•	•	•						•	••	••	
	MORTARS														
6)	Fireclay						•						8.272	6.149	Dry
.,	Silica .		•		•					•		•	1.473	1.958	Dry
	High Alumina												0.084	0.099	Dry
•	Basic .									•		•	0.418	0.439	Dry
(v)	Insulating		•				•						0.010	0.010	Dry
	MASSES, CA	ISTA	BLE.	5											
6)	Carbon paste											•		0.007	
.,	Carbon Ramm		Mass											0.009	
	Tar dolomite l	-		Mass								~.			••
• •	Basic Rammin			-								•	0.153	0.155	Dry
	High Alumina			Mass						•			••	••	
	Others		•				•	•				•	••	••	••
SPE	CIAL REFRA	сто	RIES												
	(Castables spe 75%) fuse cast and any other	, Gra	phite	n carb Carbo	i <b>đe</b> , on blo	Higl ocks, . -	h Alu Zircor	mina ( 1 refrae	(abov ctorie	re s				0.200	••
ACI	ID PROOF BR.	ICKS	5.		,	•				•			0.500	0.050	Dry
	Total										_		71.566	65.467	

Note:-Norms in Kg/Tonne are based on production in respective units.

## 213

#### Requirement of Special Refractories

(In Thousand Tonnes)

	Type of Refr	actor	ies								Process Dry/Wet	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74
	INSULATION		-											
(i)	Mica .	•	-	•			•				••	0.001	0.001	0.001
(ii)	Vermiculite	•						•			Dry	0.255	0.433	0.433
(iii)	Diatomite		•	•	۰,	•							••	
(iv)	Fireclay base		•	•	•				•		• •	••		
(v)	Light weight fin	reclay	,			•					••			
(vi)	Others .	-	•	•									••	
	MORTARS							-				•		
(i)	Fireclay			•							Dry	9.873	11.445	11.445
(ii)	Silica .							•	•		Dry	2.030	2.507	2.507
(iii)	High Alumina		•				•		•		Dry	0.210	0.440	0.440
(iv)	Basic .	•		-							Dry	0.620	0.943	0.943
(v)	Insulating					•	•	•			Dry	0.012	0.012	0.012
	MASSES, CA	STAI	BLE	ς.										
(i)	Carbon paste					•					•••	0.009	0.010	0.010
(ii)	Carbon Ramm	ing N	lass			•	•					0.013	0.016	0.016
(iii)	Tar Dolomite l	Ramn	ning	Mass	5	•		. •				••	••	
(iv)	Basic Ramming	g Ma	<b>S</b> S	•				• ·	• ,		Dry	0.201	0.256	0.256
(v)	High Alumina		•		۰.	•		•	٠	•	••		• •	••
(vi)	Others	•	•	•		•	• '	•	•		•	••	••	
SPE	CIAL REFRA	стон	NES.	:										
	(Castables spec fuse cast, Grap	ial sil hite C	licon Carb	carb	ide, H ocks, l	ligh A Zirco	lumir n refra	na, (ab actorie	ove 73 s and	5%) any				
	other type)	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•		0.278	0.324	0.32
AC	ID PROOF BR.	ICKS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Dry	0.052	0.055	0.05
	TOTAL											95.200	122.850	122.85

The Indian Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.

## The Indian Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.

(In Thousand Tonnes)

	Type of Refra	ictorie	5								Process Dry/Wet	1974-75	1975-76	1976-77
	INSULATIO	N			-									
(i)	Mica .					-				•	• •	0.001	0.001_	0.001
(ii)	Vermiculite						•				Dry	0.433	0.433	0.433
(iii)	Diatomite	•	•	•					•	•	•• .			• ••
(iv)	Fireclay base	•	•				•		•	-		••		
(v)	Light Weight	firecla	ay		•		•		•	•	•	••	•••	
(vi)	Others .		•		•			•	• ,	•		••		••
	MORTARS			-										
(i)	Fireclay		•	•	•				•	•	Dry	11.445	11.445	11.445
(ii)	Silica .		•		•					•	Dry	2.507	2.507	2.50
(iii)	High Alumin	a							•		Dry	0.440	0.440	0.440
(iv)	Basic .		•		•						Dry	0.943	0.943	0.94
(v)	Insulating		•				•		•		Dry	0.012	0.012	0.012
	MASSES, C	ASTA	BLE	5								•		
(i)	Carbon paste											0.010	0.010	0.010
	Carbon Ram		Mass									0.016	0.016	0.01
(iii)	Tar Dolomite	Ram	ming	Mass	;							• •		
(iv)	Basic Rammi	ng Ma	ass	•	•						Dry	0.256	0.256	0.25
(v)	High Alumin	a Ran	າກາ່າກູ	g Mas	s			•					•	
(vi)	Others	•		•	•	• •	•	•	•					•
SPI	ECIAL REFRA	ACTO	RIES		•									
	(Castables (S fuse cast, Gra other type)	pecial phite (	- silic Carbo	on cai on blo	rbide. cks,	Hig! Zircor	n Alur 1 refra 1	nina ( ctorie -	above s and	75% 1 any	)	0.324	0.324	0.32
АС	ID PROOF B	RICK:	<b>s</b> .									0.055	0.055	· 0.05
	Total				•	•			•		• •	122.850	122.850	122.85

#### The Indian Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.

· · · · · ·									Ine I	<i>ndian Iron</i> (In Thou	& Steel C sand Tonne	
 1	ype o	of R	efracto	ories		- <u></u>			Process Dry/Wet	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80
INSULATION			_									
(i) Mica .					,				•	0.001	0.001	0.001
(ii) Vermiculite	••								Dry	0.433	0.433	0.433
(iii) Diatomite	•	•							·	••	••	
(iv) Fireclay base							、		•	••	••	
(v) Light Weight fi	ireclay	,	•							*18	••	••
(vi) Others .	•	•					•			#1#	••	
AORTARS												
(i) Fireclay .	•								Dry	11.445	11.445	11.445
(ii) Silica .						•			Dry	2.507	2.507	2.507
(iii) High Alumina					•				Dry	0.440	0.440	0.440
(iv) Basic .						•	•		Dry	0.943	0.943	0.943
(v) Insulating		•		•		•			Dry	0.012	0.012	0.012
ASSES, CASTABLES	S											
(i) Carbon paste					•					0.010	0.010	0.010
(ii) Carbon Rammi	ing M	ass			-		•			0.016	0.016	0.016
(iii) Tar Dolomite F	Ramm	ing	Mass					•				••
(iv) Basic Ramming							• -		Dry	0.256	0.256	0.256
(v) High Alumina I			Mass	•						•••	•- <b>1</b>	
(vi) Others .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		***	••	
PECIAL REFRACTOR	IES											
(Castables (special) s se cast, Graphite Carl	ilicon bon b	car loci	bide. (s, <b>z</b> ir	High con	n Alum refrac	ina (a tories	bove 7 and	'5 %) any				
ther type)	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	•	0.324	0.324	0.324
CID PROOF BRICKS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		0.055	0.055	0.055
TOTAL .		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠		122.850	122.850	122.850

The Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.

.

(In Thousand Tonnes)

Type of Refra	otori	<b>a</b> c						Consump	tion in mes		
	CUT	5						1969	1970	1971	1972
INSULATION	_										
(i) Mica .				•	•	-	•	• •	••	••	
(ii) Vermiculite .		-			-	•	•	••	••		• ·
(iii) Diatomite (Superex)					•		-	0.063	0.022	0.025	0.060
(iv) Fireclay base .		•		•			•	0.260	0.410	0.450	0.400
(v) Light weight fireclay	•	•			•		`.	· <b>-</b>	••	••	
(vi) Hot face .	•		•		•		•	0.117	0.105	0.150	0.150
MORTARS											
(i) Fireclay							•	8.995	11.260	12.000	12.000
(ii) Silica								1.730	1.940	2.000	2.000
(iii) High Alumina			٠	•	•		•	2.470	2.580	2.500	2.500
(iv) Basic .					۰.	•		0.960	1.220	1.500	1 - 500
(v) Insulating	•	•	•	•	•		•	0.085	0.100	0.120	0.100
MASSES, CASTABLES				•							
(i) Carbon paste .	· ·					•	•	• •	••	••	••
(ii) Carbon Ramming Ma	ass								0.300	1.000	1.000
(iii) Tar Dolomite .	•							· •	••	••	
(iv) Basic Ramming Mass	5		•	•		•		1 640	1.800	1.800	• 2.000
(v) High Alumina Ramm	ning l	Mass	•		•	•		•• '	Q.050	0.050	0.050
(vi) Others .	•	•	•		•		•	0.780	0.985	1.100	1.100
GRANULATED REFRACTO	RIES				•						
Burnt Dolomite .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	39.800	41.200	42.000	43.000
Others .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••	••
SPECIAL REFRACTORIES											
Special Castables .	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	• •	••		
Silicon Carbide (Recupera		Tubes	)	•	•	٠	•	0.400 Nos	0.500 Nos	0.500 Nos	0 . 500 Nos
High Alumina (above 75%	6)		•	•	•	•	•	•••	••	••	••
Fusion Cast	•	•	٠	· •	•	٠	•	•••	••	••	
Graphite (Stopper heads)		•	•	•	•	•	•	0.150	0.150	0.500	0.500
Carbon blocks .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••	••
Zircon Refractories .	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	••	••	•• 、	••
Besalt Tiles for Cyclones		•	•	•	•	•	•	4-1	\$7.¥	0.050	0.050
CID PROOF BRICKS .	-							0.100	0.140	0.200	0.150

NOTE:-Norms in Kg/Tonne are based on production in respective units.

.

Poquiremand		C	D. C
Requir <b>em</b> ent	oj -	Special	Refractories

-

The Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.

(In Thousand Tonnes)

Туре	of Re	fracto	ories						1973	1974	1975
INSULATION			•								
(i) Mica .	•	•									••
(ii) Vermiculite							•				
(iii) Diatomite (Su	perex)								0.060	0.060	0.060
(iv) Fireclay base	•								0.400	0.400	0.400
(v) Light Weight f	fireclay	y				•					
(vi) Hot face .									0.1500	0.150	0.150
MORTARS											
(i) Fireclay .		•							12.000	12.000	12.000
(ii) Silica .									2.000	2.000	2.000
(iii) High Alumina						•			2.5000		2.500
(iv) Basic .	•			•		•			1 500	1.500	1.500
(v) Insulating									0.100	0.100	0.100
MASSES, CASTABLES	5										
(i) Carbon paste		•		•							••
(ii) Carbon Ramm	ing M	lass r							1.000	1.000	1.000
(iii) Tar Dolomite	-								••		••
(iv) Basic Ramming			•	•		•			2.000	2.000	2.000
(v) High Alumina			Mass	•		•			0.050	0.050	0.050
(vi) Others .	•	•	•	-	•	•	•		1.000	1.000	1.000
GRANULATED REFR	4 <i>CTO</i>	RIES	3								
Burnt Dolomite				•			,		43 000	43.000	43.000
Others	•	•		•				•	••	\$	*-*
PECIAL REFRACTO	RIES										
Special Castables		•	•				• .		••		••
Silicon Carbide (Re	cupera	ator 7	[ubes]	).					600 Nos	600 Nos	600 Nos
'High Alumina (abo	ve 75 9	%)		•		•					
Fusion Cast .				•					0.050	0.050	0.050
Graphite (Stopper h	neađs)								0.500	0.500	0.500
Carbon blocks				•	•		•			÷.	、 ・・
				•					••		· · ·
Zircon Refractories											
Zircon Refractories Basalt Tiles for Cyc		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.050	••	••

### The Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd., Bhadravati.

(In Thousand Tonnes)

	Туре	of R	efraci	tories						Consum Norm			
	••									1969	1970	1971	1972
NSULATION													
(i) Mica	•		•									0.040	0.040
(ii) Vermio	ulite									••	••	••	••
(iii) Diaton	nite									••		••	8-4
(iv) Firecla	y base							•			••	••	•.•
(v) Light V	Veight f	irecla	ay			•				••	••	0.100	0.100
(vi) Others	•		•	•				•		••		••	***
MORTARS													
(i) Firecla	v .								_			2.600	2.600
(ii) Silica							·	-				0.125	0.12
(iii) High A	lumina	÷			÷	÷						0.050	0.050
(iv) Basic			÷									0.125	0.12
(v) Insulat	ing			•	•					• •	••	0.025	0.02
AASSES, CAS	-	c											
(Carbon Pa	ste, Ca	rbon	temp	oing p	aste I	Ramm	ing N	lass, '	Гаг			-	
dolomite R	amming	: Ma	ss. Bi	isie R	ammi	ng M	ass, F	ligh A	lu-			0.625	0.600
mina Ramo	ung Ma	iss ai	nd oth	iers)	•	•	·	•	•	• •	••	0.625	0.000
PECIAL REF	ACTO	RIF	2										
(Castables ( Fuse cast,	special)	silica	on car	bide, l	High A	Alumi	na (ab	ove 75	<b>%</b> )				
any other ty		· Ca			.∍, <b>∠</b> 11 •					••		0.055	••

-		Туре	of Re	fracto	ries					1973	1974	1975	1976
INSUL/	ATION												
(i)	Mica 👘 .									0.040	0.040	0.040	0.040
(ii)	Vermiculite						•	•		••	••	••	
(iii)	Diatomite	-	<b>.</b> .							••	••		••
(iv)	Fireclay base	. 1	,					•		•• •	••		••
(v)	Light Weight	firecla	ay			•	•		•	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100
(vi)	Others .	•		-	•	•	• •		•		••		••
MORT	ARS												
(i)	Fireclay .		•							2.600	2.600	2.600	2.60
(ii)	Silica .									0.125	0.125 ·	0.125	0.125
(iii)	High Alumina	<b>n</b> .								0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
(iv)	Basic .			•	•		•			0.125	0.125	0.125	0.125
(v)	Insulating			•				•	•	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025
MASSE	S. CASTABLE	23											
(Ca	rbon paste, can dolomite Rai	rbon	tempii g Ma	ng pas ss, Ba	ste, R Isic R	ammii ammii	ng Ma ng Ma	iss, d iss, F	olo- ligh				
Alu	mina Rammin	g Ma	ss, and	d othe	rs)	•	•	·	•	0.700	0.625	0.600	0.600
SPECIA	L REFRACT	ORIE	S									、 '	
(Ca fuse	stables (special e cast, Graphit	) silice e carl	on car bon bl	biđe, l locks,	High / A Zi	Alumi rcon r	na (ab efracte	ove 7 ories	5%) and	1		·	
any	other types)		•	·	·	•	•	•	•	0.030	••	• •	••

•

,

NOTE:--Norms in kg/Tonne are based on production in respective units.

The Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd., Bhadravati.

(In Thousand Tonnes)

.

		Гуре of	Refr	actori	es					1977	1978	1979
NSUL,	ATION								·	··		
(i)	Mica .				•					0.040	0.040	0.040
(ii)	Vermiculite											
(iii)	Diatomite								-			••
(iv)	Fireclay bas	e.		_				•	-		••	••
(v)	Light weigh	t fireclay	, .				•	•	•	0.100	0.100	0.100
	Others .						•	•	•			
					•	•	•	•	•	••	**	••
10RT/												
	Fireclay	• •	• •	•	•				•	2.600	2.600	2.600
(ii)	Silica .	•		•					•	0.125	0.125	0.125
(iii)	High Alumi	na		•						0.050	0.050	0.050
(iv)	Basic .	•								0.125	0.125	0.125
(v)	Insulating								-	0.025	0.025	0.025
1ASSE	S, CASTABL	.ES				~						
(Ca	rbon paste, c	arbon te										
	omite Rammi a Ramming I					ng Mi				0.725	0.600	0.600
PECIA	L REFRACT	ORIES										
(Ca %)	stables (specia fuse cast, Gra	al) silico									·	-
anv	other types)	۰.	•		•	•	•	•	•	0.085	••	••
any												

#### 219

.

,

.

Bokaro Steel Limited.

										(	In Thousa	ind Tonnes)
	Туре о	f Refi	ractor	ies				-		1971	1972	1973
VSULAT	ION ·	. <u> </u>										
(i) N	fica .	•			۰.			•		1.397	1.397	1.397
(ii) V	ermiculite				•	• .		•		0.188		••
(iii) D	liatomite	•									••	••
(iv) F	ireclay base	•			•		` <b>.</b>			••		••
(v) L	ight weight fire	clay		•					•	1.521	1.521	1.521
(vi) O	thers .								•	••	5.864	7.000
IORTAR	S											
(i) F	ireclay .		•							2.000	••	
(ii) S	ilica .									1.400	2.880	1.460
(iii) H	ligh Alumina					•				0.150	0.289	0.164
(iv) B	asic .									0.029	0.050	0.050
(v) I	nsulating	•								0.750	0.750	0.228
AASSES,	CASTABLES											
(Carb	on paste, Cart	on R	amm	ing M	lass, 1	Га <del>г</del> d	lolomi	te Ra	.m-			
	Mass, High Al	umina	a Ran	nming	g Mas	s & c	others)	).	•	0.300	0.315	0.300
Carbo	on .	•	•	•	٠	•	·	•	·	••	0.035	0.035
Tar b	onded dolomit	e	•	•	•	·	•	•	•		1.350	1.350
SPECIAL Carbon	REFRACTO	RIES	:							0.636	0.636	0.636
Carbon		•			•						0·300	\0·147
	undum tiles		•.								0.060	0.060
Carbon			•						•	0·183	0.183	0.183
		-								0.00	v 105	
	ROOF BRICK r Bricks	5:		•	•	•	•	•	•	0.040	0.040	••
				•						0.700	0-306	0.246
Acid Pr	roof Bricks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.100	0.200	0.740

Bokaro Steel Limited.

(In Thousand Tonnes) Type of Refractories 1974 1975 1976 INSULATION (i) Mica 0.842 0.830 0.295 (ii) Vermiculate .. . . - -(iii) Diatomite . • • . . • • (iv) Fireclay base . . • • . . (v) Light weight fireclay 2.270 1.230 . 0.102 (vi) Others 8.758 . . . . MORTARS (i) Fireclay 8.058 8.238 •• (ii) Silica 1.498 2.308 1.558 (iii) High Alumina 0.227 0.224 0.044 (iv) Basic 0.100 0.042 • • (v) Insulating 0.704 0.413 0.100 MASSES, CASTABLES (Carbon paste, Carbon Ramming Mass, Tar dolomite Ramming Mass, High Alumina Ramming Mass and others) 0.389 0.183 • • Carbon 0.070 0.092 0.103 Tar bonded dolomite 1.975 2.700 3.550 SPECIAL REFRACTORIES : 0.636 Carbon blocks 0.636 . . Carborundum 0.300 0.147 . . Carborundum Tiles 0.060 . . .. Carbon Mass 0.183 0.183 . . ACID PROOF BRICKS : Larmier Bricks 0.040 . . . . . 0·700 Acid Proof Bricks . . . . . 0.018 Acid Proof Tiles, Rings and Pipes . . •• • •

. Bokaro Steel Limited.

(In Thousand Tonnes)

	Туре	of R	efract	ories					1977	1978	1979
SULATION			-								
(i) Mica									••	••	••
(ii) Vermiculite	•	•	•	•		•		•			••
(iii) Diatomite					•			•		••	••
(iv) Fireclay base		•		•	•	•		•	••	••	••
(v) Light weight	fireclay	,	•	٠	•	•	•		••		• •
(vi) Others .	•	•		•	, <b>•</b>		•		••	••	••
ORTARS											
(i) Fireclay .				•			•		13.610	13.610	13.610
(ii) Silica .									0.175	0.175	0.175
(iii) High Alumin	a.	-			•			•	0.045	0.045	0.045
(iv) Basic .	•				-				••		••
(v) Insulating									0.200	0.200	0.200
ASSES, CASTABL	ES										
		_			_						
(Carbon paste, C	arbon )	Kam	ming	Mass	, Tar	dolor	nite F	lam-			
	arbon i Alumii	Kam na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar	dolor nd oth	nite F ers)	tam-	0.115	0.115	0.115
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High	Alumiı •	Ram na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar •	dolor nd oth	nite F ers)	lam-	0.115 6.400	0.115	0.115 6.400
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High Carbon	Alumii mite	na R	ming ammi •	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar	dolor nd oth	nite F ers)	tam-			
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High Carbon Tar bonded dolor	Alumii mite	na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar ·	dolor nd oth	nite F iers)	lam-			
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High Carbon Tar bonded dolor PECIAL REFRACT	Alumii mite	na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar	dolor nd oth	nite F lers)	tam-	6.400		
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High Carbon Tar bonded dolor PECIAL REFRACT Carbon blocks	Alumin mite TORIE	na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar	dolor nd oth	nite F lers)		6.400 	6,400	6.400
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High Carbon Tar bonded dolor PECIAL REFRACT Carbon blocks Carborundum	Alumin mite TORIE	na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar	dolor nd oth	nite F ers)		6.400 	6 400 	6.400 
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High Carbon Tar bonded dolor PECIAL REFRACT Carbon blocks Carborundum Corborundum Tiles	Alumii mite FORIE	na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar	dolor nd oth	nite F ers)	tam- - - -	6.400  	6 400 	6.400  
(Carbon paste, C ming Mass, High Carbon Tar bonded dolor PECIAL REFRACT Carbon blocks Carborundum Corborundum Tiles Carbon Mass ACID PROOF BRIC	Alumii mite FORIE	na R	ming ammi	Mass ng M	, Tar ass ar	dolor nd oth	nite F ers)		6.400   	6 400  	6.400   

Name of Refractories	Name of	the Pla	nt							1973	1974	197 <b>5</b>
INSULATION :	Bhilai	0		•		•	•	•				
		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1.800	3.600	1.800
	Salem	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•••	••	
		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.200	0.200	0.200
	Vizag	0		•	٠	•	•	•	٠	••	· •	
		С	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	1 · 250	1 250	1 • 250
	Hospet	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	• •
		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	• `	2.500	2.500	2 500
	St. Pl. I	ο	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•		••	••
	•	С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	2 500
	St. Pl. II	0	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	••	••	
		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••		
	St, Pl. III	Ο	•	٠	•	•	•	•	. •	••	••	••
		С		·	•	٠	•	•	•	••	••	••
	St. Pl. IV	0	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	· · ·	••	
		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••
	St. Pl. V	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••
		С	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	••	••	••
•							Т	OTAL		2.000	7 · 550	8.250

Operation and Construction Requirements of Special Refractories for Bhilai Expansion and new Plants

Name of Refractories		Name	1976	1977	1978							
NSULATION :	Bhilai	o C	•		•				•	••	· ·· 、	•••
	Salem	0					•	•	•	••	0.030	<b>0</b> ∙05(
		С	•	·	•	•	٠	•	•	••	••	• •
	Vizag	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	0.150	0.225
·		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1.250	1-250	
	Hospet	0		•	•			•	•		1 • 500	2.25
	Thosper	Ċ	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2.500	2.500	
	St. Pl. I	0	•				•	•	•			1 · 50
	51	C	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	2.500	2 · 500	<b>2</b> · 50
	St. Pl. II	ο	•		•	•	•	٠	•			
	01. 1	C	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 500	2.500	<b>2</b> · 50
	St. Pl. III	0		٠	•	•	•	•	. •	••		
	011	С	•	•	•	•	٠	•	·	••	2.500	<b>2</b> ·50
	St. Pl. IV	ο	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	• •		
	200	C	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	••	••	<b>2</b> · 50
	St. Pl. V	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••		
		C	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		••	
	,						Tot	AL		8.250	12.930	14.02

Name of Refractories		Name	e of the	e Plan	t					1973	1974	1975
MORTARS,	Bhilai	0	•				-					
MASSES		С	•	۰.	•		•	•	•	1 - 500	1 500	1 · 550
CASTABLES	Salem	ο	•		•			•		••	••	
AND GRANU-		С	•	•	•		•	•	•	0.400	0.300	0.300
LATED RE-	Vizag.	0	•	•	•	•	•	•			••	
FRACTORIES		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		3.000	3.000
ETC.	Hospet	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		••	
		С	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠		7.000	7.000
	St. Pl. I	0	•			•	•	•	•			
		С	•	•	•		•	• .	•			7.000
	St. Pl. II	0	•							·		
		Ċ	•	•	•			•				
	St. Pl. III	0										
	50, 11, 111	č						•		••	••	••
-										• • •	••	••
	St. Pl. IV	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••
		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	••	••	••
	St. Pl. V	0	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••
		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••
							To	TAL		1.900	11.800	18.850

Operation and Construction Requirements of Special Refractories for Bhilai Expansion and new Plants

Name of Refractories			Name	1976	1977	1978						
MORTARS,	Bhilai	0								·		
MASSES		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			
CASTABLES	Salem	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.900	1 · 500	1 · 500
AND GRANU- LATED RE-		С		•	•	•	·	•	·			••
FRACTORIES	Vizag.	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		8 - 500	13.750
ETC.		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	3.000	3.000	
	Hospet	0	•		•			•	-		6.000	9.000
		С	•	•	•			•	•	7.000	7.000	
	St. Pl. I	0										6.000
		C		•		•		•		7.000	7.000	7.000
	St. Pl. II	0										
		č								7·000	7·000	7.000
	St. Pl. III	0										7 000
	St. Fl. III	č						•			7.000	7 000
										••	7-000	7.000
	St. Pl. IV	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	
		С	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	••	••	
	St. Pl. V	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		••	••
		С	•	·	•	•	•	•	•			••
							То	DTAL		26.900	47.000	58.250

,

Bhilai	0									
		•			,				 	
	С	•	•	•	•	•	• •		••	۰.,
Salem	0	•	•	•	•	•		0.020	0.020	0.050
		•	•	•	•	•	• •	.,	••	-
Vizag		•,	•	•	•	•	• •	0.300	0.300	0.300
	С	•	•	•	•	·	• •		••	-
Hospet	0	•	•	•	•	•	• •	3.000	3 000	3.000
	С	•	•	•	•	•	· ·		••	
St. Pl. I	0	•	•		•	•		<b>2</b> ·250	3.000	3-000
	С	•	•	٠	•	•	•••			•
St. Pl. II	0			•	•			1 · 500	2 250	3.000
	С	•	•	•	•	•	• • •	2.500		
St. Pl. III	ο				•				1 500	2.25
	С	•		•	•	•	• •	2.500	2 500	
St. Pl. IV	0	•			•					1 · 500
	C	•	•					2.500		2.50
St. Pì. V	0						•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•
	c	•	•	•	•	•		2 500	2 500	2 · 500
							Total ·	17.100	17.600	18.100
	<u> </u>	Na	ime of	f the I	Plant		1982	1983	1984	1985
Bhilai	0			•	•	•				
	С	•	•	•	•	·	••	••	••	• •
Salem	0	•	•	•		٠	0.050	0.020	0.020	<b>0</b> ∙050
	С	•	•	·	•	•	_	••	••	-
Vizag.	ο	•	•	•	•	•	0.300	0.300	0.300	<b>0</b> ∙30
	St. Pl. I St. Pl. II St. Pl. III St. Pl. IV St. Pl. V Bhilai Salem	Hospet O C St. Pl. I O C St. Pl. II O C St. Pl. III O C St. Pl. IV O C St. Pl. V O C St. Pl. V O C St. Pl. V O C	Vizag       O          Hospet       O          C           St. Pl. I       O          St. Pl. II       O          St. Pl. II       O          St. Pl. III       O          St. Pl. IV       O          St. Pl. IV       O          St. Pl. V       O          C           Salem       O          C	Vizag       O       ·         Hospet       O       ·         C       ·       ·         St. Pl. I       O       ·         St. Pl. II       O       ·         St. Pl. II       O       ·         St. Pl. III       O       ·         St. Pl. III       O       ·         C       ·       ·         St. Pl. IV       O       ·         C       ·       ·         St. Pl. IV       O       ·         C       ·       ·         St. Pl. IV       O       ·         C       ·       ·         St. Pl. V       O       ·         C       ·       ·         St. Pl. V       O       ·         C       ·       ·         St. Pl. V       O       ·         C       ·       ·         Salem       O       ·         C       ·       ·	Vizag       O       ·       ·       ·         Hospet       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. I       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. II       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. II       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. II       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. III       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. IV       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. IV       O       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. IV       O       ·       ·       ·         C       ·       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. V       O       ·       ·       ·         C       ·       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. V       O       ·       ·       ·         C       ·       ·       ·       ·         St. Pl. V       O       ·       ·       ·         Statement       O       ·       ·       ·         Statement       O       ·       ·       ·         Salem       O	Vizag       O       · <td>Vizag       O       ·<td>Vizag       O       ·<td>Vizag       O       · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td>Vizag       O         0.300       0.300       0.300         Hospet       O   </td></td></td>	Vizag       O       · <td>Vizag       O       ·<td>Vizag       O       · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td>Vizag       O         0.300       0.300       0.300         Hospet       O   </td></td>	Vizag       O       · <td>Vizag       O       · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>Vizag       O         0.300       0.300       0.300         Hospet       O   </td>	Vizag       O       · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Vizag       O         0.300       0.300       0.300         Hospet       O

Operation and Construction Requirements of Special Refractories for Bhilai Expansion and new Plants

Name of Refractories			Na	ime o	f the	Plant		1982	1983	1984	1985
INSULATION :	Bhilai	0 C		· ·		•	•		•••	•••	
	Salem	0	•				•	0.050	0·050	0.050	0∙050
	Vizag.	с о	•	•		•	•	 0.300	 0∙300	0·300	0·300
	Hospet	C O	•	•		•	•	 3∙000	 3.000	 3.000	 3.000
		С		•	•		•	••		••	
	St. Pl. I	o C	•	•		•	•	3·000 	3·000 	3·000	3.000
	St. Pl. II	0 C	•	•	•	•	•	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000
	St. Pl. III	0 C	•	•	•	•	•	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000
-	St. Pl. IV	0	•	•				2·250	3.000	3·000	 3∙000
	St. Pl. V	с о	•	•	•	•	•	 1·500	2 250	3.000	3·000
		C	•	•	•	•	•	2.500			
					T	OTAL	•	18.600	17.600	18.350	18-350

C : Capital Repair.

Name of Refractories			Name o	of the	Plant					1979	1980	1981
MORTARS,	Bhilai	0				<u> </u>				 		
MASSES		С										••
CASTABLES	Salem	0	• 、		• •	•		•	•	1 · 500	1 - 500	1 · 500
AND		С	•	•		•	•	•	•		••	
GRANULATE		0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	19.000	19.000	19· <b>0</b> 00
REFRACTOR		С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••
ETC.	Hospet	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12.000	12.000	12.000
		С	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•			••
	St. Pl. I	0	•	•	•			•	•	9.000	12.000	12.000
		С						•				
	St. Pl. II	0	•		•	•	•	•	•	6.000	9.000	12.000
		С	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	7.000	••	
	St. Pl. III	0		•			•				6.000	9·600
		С	•			•		•	•	7 000	7.000	
	St. Pl. IV	0	-	•			•				••	6.000
		С	•		•	•		•		2.000	7.000	7.000
	St. Pl. V	0	••		•						••	
		Ċ	•	•	•		•	٠	•	7.000	7.000	<b>7</b> ·000
							Тот	AL		75 · 500	80.500	85.500

Operation and	Construction	Requirements	of Special	Refractories	for	Bhilai	Expansion	
		and ne	w Plants					

Name of Refractories		Nam	e of the	e Plan	it			1982	1983	1984	1985
MORTARS	Bhilai	0		•	•	•	•	···			· · · ·
MASSES		С	•	•	•	•				••	
CASTABLES	Salem .	ο	•	•	•	•	•	1 - 500	1 500	1 - 500	1 · 500
AND		С	-	•	•	•	•				
GRANULATEI	) Vizag.	0	•	•	•	•	•	19.000	19.000	19.000	19.000
REFRACTORI	ES	С	•	•	•	•	•			· •	••
ETC.	Hospet	0	•	•	•	•	•	12.000	12 000	12.000	12.000
		С	•	•	•	•	•		••	••	
	St. Pl. I	ο						12.000	12 000	12 000	12.000
		С	•	•	•		•	••		••	
	St. Pl. II	0	•		•		•	12.000	12.000	12.000	12-000
		С	•	•	•	•	•		••	··.	•
	St. PJ. III	0					•	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000
		С	•	•	•	•	•		• •	••	
	St. Pl. IV	0			•			9.000	12.000	12.000	12.000
		С	•	•	•	•	•		••	••	
	St. Pl. V	0	•					6.000	9.000	12.000	12.000
-		С	•		•	•	-	7.000	••	• •	
-					То	DTAL		90.000	89 500	92 · 500	92 . 500

O: Operational.

C: Capital Repair.

Name of Refractories		Name	e of the	Plant	<b>i</b>				197 <b>9</b>	1980	1981
SPECIAL	Bhilai	0	,		•					••	
REFRACTORIE	ES	С	•	•	•	•	•	• •		••	••
	Salem	ο	•	•	٠	•	•	• •	••	••	••
		С	•	•	•	•	•	• •	••	••	••
	Vizag.	Ó	•	•	•	•	•	• •		••	••
		С	٠	•	•	•	•	• •	·	••	••
	Hospet	0	•	•	•		•	• •	0.900	0.900	<b>0</b> · 900
		С	. ·	•	٠	٠	•	• •	••	••	••
	St. Pl. I	0						•	0·675	0.900	0 · 900
		Ċ					•	• •		••	••
	St. Pl. II	0				•			0.450	0.675	0.900
	Dt. 11. 11	č				•			0.750		
	CA DI III			-						0.450	0.675
	St. Pl. III	O C	•	•				• •	0.750	0.750	
			•	•					0 / 50		0.450
	St. Pl. IV	0	•	•	•	•	•	• •		0·750	0.430
		С	٠	•	•	•	•	•••	0.750	0.130	0-750
	St. Pl. V	O C	•	•	•	•	•	•••	 0·750	0∙750	0·750
							Тот/	۰ ۱	5.025	5.175	5.325
Name of Refractories		Nam	ne of th	e Plar	ıt			1982	1983	1984	1985
SPECIAL	Bhilai	0							••		
REFRACTORI		С	•	۰.		•	•	••		••	••
	Salem	0						B- B			
	Balein	č		•	•					••	
	W:		•	-							
	Vizag.	o C	•	•	•	•	:	••	· · ·	•••	
			•	•	•	-	-	0.900	0.900	0.900	0.900
	Hospet	o C	•	•	•	•	•	0.900 m			
			•	•	•	•	•		0.900	0.900	0.900
	St. Pl. I	0	•	•	•	•	•	0.900			
		С	٠	•	•	•	•	**	••		
	St. Pl. II	0	•	•	•	•	•	0.900	0.900	0.900	0.900
		С	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••	••
	St. Pi. III	0	•	•	•	•	•	0.900	0.900	0.900	0.900
		С	•	•	•	•	•	••	••	••	••
								6.755	0.900	0.900	0.900
	St. Pl. IV	0		•	•	•	•	0.755	• • • • •	0.700	
	St. Pl. IV	0 C	•	•	•	•	•		••		••
		С	•		•	•	•				 0.900
	St. Pl. IV St. Pl. V			• • •		•	•	••	••		

Operation and Construction Requirements of Special Refractories for Bhilai Expansion and new Plants

O: Operational.

C: Capital Repair.

30—1/D of S/ND/72

ANNEXURE N