



Government of Bengal

Appointment Department

Reforms

**Report of the
Provincial Advisory Committee**

on the

Delimitation of Constituencies

1933-34

Volume I

(Parts I and II)

**Published by the Superintendent, Government Printing
Bengal Government Press, Alipore, Bengal**

Agents in India.

Messrs. S. K. Lahiri & Co., Printers and Booksellers, College Street, Calcutta.

Messrs. Thacker, Spink & Co., Calcutta.

**Customers in the United Kingdom and the Continent of Europe
may obtain publications either direct from the High Commissioner's office
or through any bookseller.**

Volume I

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Part I.—Interim Report.

| | PAGE. |
|--|-------|
| Report | 1 |
| Note of Dissent by Mr. S. M. Bose | 9 |
| Note of Dissent by the Hon'ble Mr. B. P. Singh Roy | 11 |
| Note of Dissent by Mr. N. K. Basu | 11 |
| Note on Women's Constituencies by Mrs. P. K. Bose | 11 |

Part II.—Second Report.

| | |
|---|----|
| Report | 17 |
| Minute of Dissent by Mr. M. B. Mullick | 25 |
| Appendix to Part II—Detailed allocation of Muhammadan rural seats in the Provincial Lower House | 27 |

60

Volume I
Part I
Interim Report.

Interim Report of the Provincial Advisory Committee on the Delimitation of Constituencies.

1. The Committee was appointed by the Government of Bengal in 1932 to advise on the delimitation of constituencies under the reformed constitution. The Committee, with three exceptions, is the same as the Bengal Franchise Committee which was constituted by the local Government on the 15th January 1932 to advise the Indian Franchise Committee in association with the local Government. The three exceptions are as follows:—

- (1) Mr. H. S. Suhrawardy, M.L.C., was appointed in place of Mr. A. F. Rahman, M.L.C., who was unable to serve owing to absence on educational business in the Punjab.
- (2) The Commissioner of the Burdwan Division was replaced by Mr. G. P. Hogg, C.I.E., I.C.S. This change was made necessary because Mr. Hogg, who served on the Bengal Franchise Committee, had ceased to be Commissioner of the Burdwan Division.
- (3) Mr. R. N. Gilchrist, I.E.S., Reforms Officer, Bengal, was appointed a member as well as Secretary to the Committee.

The full personnel of the Committee is:—

Chairman.

The Hon'ble Mr. Khwaja Nazim-ud-Din, C.I.E., M.L.C.

Members.

The Hon'ble Mr. Bijoy Prasad Singh Roy, M.L.C.

Mr. B. C. Chatterji, Barrister-at-law, M.L.C.

Mr. S. M. Bose, Barrister-at-law, M.L.C.

Mr. Narendra Kumar Basu, M.L.C.

Khan Bahadur Muhammad Abdul Mumin, M.L.C.

Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan, M.L.C.

Mr. H. S. Suhrawardy, Barrister-at-law, M.L.C.

Mr. C. G. Cooper, M.L.C.

Mr. E. T. McCluskie, M.L.C.

Mr. Mukunda Behary Mullick, M.L.C.

Mrs. P. K. Bose.

Mr. K. C. Ray Chaudhury, M.L.C.

Mr. G. P. Hogg, C.I.E., I.C.S., M.L.C.

The Commissioner of the Presidency Division.

Mr. R. N. Gilchrist, I.E.S., M.L.C. (*Member and Secretary*).

Babu Susil Kumar Mukharji, Special Officer, acted as Assistant Secretary to the Committee.

2. The Committee was appointed for the sole purpose of advising on the delimitation of constituencies on the basis of the Communal Decision.

as amended later by the Poona Pact. Questions connected with electoral qualifications, the allocation of special commerce seats as between Europeans and Indians and the definition of the depressed classes were outside the terms of reference of the Committee; but the Committee found it necessary in one or two instances to make certain recommendations regarding electoral qualifications. The Committee so far have had 14 sittings. They have considered and made recommendations on the majority of the questions arising from the delimitation of constituencies, but certain matters of detail, *e.g.*, the actual boundaries of General urban seats and Muhammadan rural seats, have been postponed till July or August in order that Divisional Commissioners and District Officers may be consulted. The delimitation of the Scheduled Caste seats also has had to be postponed, owing to the fact that the local Government have not yet issued a final list of these castes. The Committee, however, have deemed it advisable to submit an interim report covering the decisions which have already been reached.

3. **Anglo-Indian seats.**—Under the Communal Decision the Anglo-Indian community will have four seats in Bengal, including one woman's seat. Before the allocation of these seats was considered by the Committee, the local Government had consulted the recognised Associations of Anglo-Indians—the Anglo-Indian and Domiciled European Association and the Anglo-Indian League. Both these bodies expressed the opinion that there should be a single Anglo-Indian constituency for the whole of Bengal, and that one of the seats should be reserved for a woman. The Committee unanimously accepted this view, and further recommended that voting should be by postal ballot and that each elector should have four votes of which three only could be cast for male candidates.

4. **Landholders' constituencies.**—The Communal Decision allots five seats to Landholders' constituencies, the same number as in the existing Council. Two proposals were considered by the Committee. The first was that, as at present, the five seats should be distributed among the five administrative Divisions; the second was that there should be a single constituency for the whole province. The Committee decided by a majority of 6 to 2 (two members abstaining) to recommend the continuance of the existing system of one seat per Division.

5. **University constituencies.**—The Communal Award grants two University seats to Bengal, and the Committee agreed without discussion that, as in the present Council, one seat should be allotted to Calcutta University and one to Dacca University.

6. **Women's constituencies.**—The Communal Decision gives two General women's seats and two Muhammadan women's seats to Bengal. There was considerable discussion regarding the allocation of these seats. The Committee were unanimously of the opinion that the constituencies should be restricted to urban areas, but there was almost an equal division of opinion as to whether the electorate should consist of both men and women or whether it should be restricted to women only. Ultimately it was decided by seven votes to five (Mrs. P. K. Bose voting with the minority) that the constituency should be restricted to women only.

As regards the actual constituencies, several proposals were put forward. One was that all the municipalities of the province should be grouped together and that voting should be by postal ballot. This was

opposed on the ground that the constituency would be too unwieldy and the idea was not pursued.

Another proposal was that Calcutta and Howrah should form one constituency and Dacca and Chittagong the other—one Muhammadan and one General seat being allotted to each constituency. Some members supported a proposal that Calcutta should be geographically divided into two halves—each half being alternately reserved for a General and a Muhammadan member during the lifetime of a Council. The Committee ultimately decided by a majority of nine to five that the Calcutta municipal area should be adopted as one constituency, for both a General and a Muhammadan seat. The other constituency recommended, by a majority of nine to four, was Dacca town—one General and one Muhammadan seat to be allotted to this area, as in the case of Calcutta.*

7. Labour constituencies.—Eight labour seats are allotted to Bengal by the Communal Decision, and a great variety of opinion was expressed regarding the constituencies to be recommended. The Committee was supplied with statements showing the number of registered trade unions in the province, the number of adult workers in registered factories, and the number of adult workers in certain other industries in the metropolitan manufacturing area, consisting of Calcutta and the districts of the 24-Parganas, Howrah and Hooghly. Statements were also supplied giving estimates of the numbers of adult workers on tea gardens and in coal mines in Bengal. Mr. K. C. Ray Chaudhury, who represents labour interests in the Legislative Council, was of the opinion that most of the labour seats should be allotted to trade union constituencies, but he thought a seat each should be given to coal mining and tea special labour constituencies, as there were no trade unions in these industries. Mr. K. C. Ray Chaudhury's idea was opposed by a majority of the Committee on the ground that the trade union movement in Bengal is only in its early stages and that the number of industrial workers covered by registered trade unions is small as compared with the total number of persons employed in organised industry in Bengal. Various combinations of constituencies were proposed by individual members of the Committee, and it was unanimously decided in the first place that one seat should be allotted to coal miners and one to tea garden workers. The Committee recommended that the actual boundaries of these constituencies should be decided by Government.

With regard to the remaining six seats, the Committee unanimously decided that it was not practicable to form a labour constituency for Eastern Bengal, as the number of workers in that area did not justify one seat. Proposals were then put forward regarding the allocation of seats between registered trade unions and special labour constituencies and after lengthy discussion the Committee decided by a majority of nine to seven to allot two to registered trade unions and four to special labour constituencies. The Committee then unanimously decided to allot the seats in the special labour constituencies as follows:—

- One seat to Hooghly (Sadar and Serampore subdivisions).
- One seat to Howrah district.
- One seat to the Barrackpore subdivision of the 24-Parganas.
- One seat to Calcutta and the 24-Parganas (Sadar).

The above seats, it was recommended, should be filled by representatives of adult manual workers in registered factories only.

*Mrs. P. K. Bose has submitted a note on Women's constituencies (*vide* Appendix)

In connection with this allocation one member, Mr. B. C. Chatterjee, desired to have his opinion recorded that the electorate in these constituencies will be unworkable unless the *mukhiya* system is adopted.

With respect to the allocation of the two-trade union seats, two propositions were discussed. The first was that one of the seats should be allocated to seamen's trade unions (including both deep seamen and inland seamen); the second was that the two seats should be assigned jointly to all trade unions representing manual workers. The first proposition was defeated and the second proposition was carried by a majority of nine votes to seven.

8. Indian Christian constituencies.—Two seats are allotted to Indian Christians under the Communal Decision, and the Committee considered the views of the Indian Christian Association and of the Revd. B. A. Nag, M.L.C., which had been obtained by the local Government prior to the meetings of the Committee. Both the Associations and the Revd. Mr. Nag were of the opinion that one seat should be allotted to Calcutta and one to Bakarganj. In view of the distribution of the Indian Christian population, as shown in the 1931 census returns, the Committee unanimously decided that one constituency should be allotted to Calcutta and the Presidency Division and the other to the Dacca Division.

9. European General and Commerce seats.—Under the Communal Award eleven European seats are allotted to Bengal, and of the nineteen Commerce and Industry seats allotted to Bengal, fourteen are assigned to European interests. The local Government had previously consulted the leading European Commercial Associations and the European Association regarding the allocation of these seats and the replies were considered by the Committee. It was unanimously agreed that, as the various European Commercial Associations were agreed regarding the allocation of the Commerce and Industry seats, the following allocation recommended by them should be accepted:—

| | Seats. |
|--|--------|
| The Bengal Chamber of Commerce | 7 |
| The Calcutta Trades Association | 2 |
| The Indian Jute Mills Association | 2 |
| The Indian Tea Association | 2 |
| The Indian Mining Association | 1 |
| | — |
| Total | 14 |
| | — |

With regard to the eleven General European seats, the Committee considered the recommendations of the European bodies which had been

consulted, and having regard to the distribution of the European population, unanimously agreed to the following allocation:—

| | Seats. |
|--|--------|
| Chittagong Division | 1 |
| Dacca Division | 1 |
| Rajshahi Division (excluding Darjeeling district) ... | 1 |
| Darjeeling district | 1 |
| Hooghly and Howrah districts | 1 |
| Rest of the Burdwan Division | 1 |
| Presidency Division, excluding Tollygunge and South Suburban Municipalities | 1 |
| Calcutta-cum-Tollygunge and South Suburban Municipalities | 4 |
| Total | 11 |

The Committee also unanimously recommended that polling should be by postal vote in the mufassal constituencies and by ballot box in the Calcutta constituencies.

10. **Indian Commerce seats.**—The Committee unanimously agreed to consider the claims of the following bodies only:—

- (1) The Bengal National Chamber of Commerce.
- (2) The Marwari Association.
- (3) The Bengal Mahajan Sabha.
- (4) The Indian Chamber of Commerce.
- (5) The Indian Mining Federation.
- (6) The Muslim Chamber of Commerce.

The Committee also decided to invite written and oral evidence supporting their claims from all the above bodies. Evidence was accordingly taken, and after it had been printed and circulated, the Committee proceeded to discuss the question of delimitation.

The Committee first unanimously decided to recommend the allotment of two of the five seats to the Bengal National Chamber of Commerce. After this had been decided, a great variety of opinion was expressed with regard to the allocation of the other three seats. Ultimately it was decided by a majority of nine votes to five that one seat should be allotted to the Muslim Chamber of Commerce. After further discussion, in which the principle of alternation between various combinations of the remaining bodies was considered, it was decided unanimously that one seat should be allotted to the Marwari Association and, by a majority of 8 to 6, that the remaining seat be assigned to the Bengal Mahajan Sabha and the Indian Mining Federation in alternate Councils.

11. **General and Muhammadan constituencies.**—Under the Communal Award 117 Muhammadan and 78 General seats are allotted to Bengal. The Committee were informed that Government had decided that one of the General seats was to be reserved for a Hillmen's constituency in the Darjeeling area. Of the remaining 77 seats 30 were allocated to the Depressed Classes by the Poona Pact. A total of 47 General seats, therefore, remained to be allocated.

The first question which came up for discussion in connection with the General and Muhammadan constituencies was the weightage to be

given to urban areas. In this connection the Committee considered the views of the Indian Franchise Committee and also the recommendation made by the local Government in their evidence before that Committee that the weightage to be given to urban areas should be limited to 12.5 per cent. This percentage had also been recommended by several members of the original Provincial Franchise Committee. Various statistical tables which had been prepared by the Reforms Office were also considered by the Committee, and a considerable variety of opinion was voiced regarding the principle to be followed. On the basis of population figures alone, without any weightage the number of urban seats in the reformed Council would be less than those in the existing Council, and there was little support for this principle. The Committee were generally of the opinion that whatever weightage might ultimately be adopted, the number of urban seats in the existing Council should not be reduced.

After a long discussion the Committee ultimately decided to adopt different standards in the case of General and Muhammadan seats, but they unanimously agreed that Scheduled Caste seats should all be restricted to rural areas. With regard to General urban seats the Committee, by a majority of six votes to five, first decided that the number should be increased in the ratio of the increase in the total number of members in the reformed Council as compared with the total number in the present Council. This decision involved an increase in the General seats from the existing number of eleven to a total of eighteen. On the assumption that one of the General seats will be allotted to a Hillmen's constituency in Darjeeling, this left 29 General seats for rural areas, and when the meeting came to consider the allocation of these seats, they unanimously agreed that it was necessary to take one of the General urban seats and allot it to the district of Rajshahi which otherwise would have had to share a seat with a neighbouring district.

The Committee also decided that the 17 General Urban seats should be allotted to Calcutta and other urban areas coming within the scope of the Bengal Municipal Act. The Committee decided to consider the further delimitation of General Urban constituencies after Divisional Commissioners had been consulted.

In the case of Muhammadan urban seats, the Committee decided by a majority of eight votes to one that the existing number of urban seats should be maintained in the reformed Council.

12. **Muhammadan Rural seats.**—The 111 rural Muhammadan seats the Committee decided to allocate on a population basis with the proviso that a minimum of one seat should be allotted to each district. The figures placed before the Committee by the Reforms Office showed that one district, Bankura, was not entitled to a seat on the basis of population figures, and the Committee decided that a seat should be given to this district by reducing the quota of the Rangpur district from eight to seven.

The Committee also decided by a majority of seven votes to three, that if Government found it necessary ultimately to provide a seat for Muhammadans in the Darjeeling district, this seat should be taken from the quota of Noakhali which would thus be reduced from six seats to five.

By a majority of seven votes to three the Committee came to the conclusion that the districts of Burdwan and Midnapore should be

given one more seat each on the ground that, although the population in these districts do not justify an extra seat, the districts were large in area and the population was widely scattered. The seats to be allotted to these districts, the Committee recommended, by a majority of six votes to two, should be taken from the districts which received the largest quota on the population basis, *viz.*, Mymensingh and Tippera. The Committee recommended the following allocation:—

| District. | No. of seats. |
|--|---------------|
| Burdwan | 2 |
| Birbhum | 1 |
| Bankura | 1 |
| Midnapore | 2 |
| Hooghly (excluding municipal area) | 1 |
| Howrah (excluding municipal area) | 1 |
| 24-Parganas (excluding municipal area) | 3 |
| Nadia | 4 |
| Murshidabad | 3 |
| Jessore | 4 |
| Khulna | 3 |
| Rajshahi | 4 |
| Dinajpur | 4 |
| Jalpaiguri-cum-Darjeeling | 1 |
| Rangpur | 7 |
| Bogra | 4 |
| Pabna | 5 |
| Malda | 2 |
| Dacca (excluding municipal area) | 9 |
| Mymensingh | 15 |
| Faridpur | 6 |
| Bakarganj | 9 |
| Tippera | 9 |
| Noakhali | 6 |
| Chittagong | 5 |
| Total | 111 |

The Committee also expressed a unanimous opinion in favour of single member constituencies in Muhammadan rural areas. They recognised, however, that such constituencies might not be practicable in every case, and they therefore desired to consult District Officers with a view to determining the actual boundaries of single member constituencies, the District Officers to be informed that, while the Committee had expressed a desire for single member constituencies, it was recognised that in some cases it might not be possible to frame suitable constituencies so as to carry this desire into effect. The Committee decided to consider the replies of the District Officers at a later meeting to be convened some time during the rains.

13. **General Rural seats.**—Figures were placed before the Committee by the Reforms Office showing the distribution of seats (on the assumption that the number of General seats would be 29) on two methods of calculation. The first method was distribution on the Caste Hindu rural population only. The second was on the total General population, *i.e.*, Hindus, Scheduled Castes and others not included in separate constituencies. The Committee accepted the second

method of calculation but considered that as under that method Rajshahi, Noakhali and Chittagong could not be given one seat each, the following allocation should be adopted:—

| District. | Allocation of seats. |
|---|----------------------|
| Burdwan | 2 |
| Birbhum | 1 |
| Bankura | 1 |
| Midnapore | 3 |
| Hooghly | 1 |
| Howrah | 1 |
| 24-Parganas | 2 |
| Nadia | 1 |
| Murshidabad | 1 |
| Jessore | 1 |
| Khulna | 1 |
| Rajshahi | 1 |
| Dinajpur | 1 |
| Combined Siliguri and Jalpaiguri | 1 |
| Rangpur | 1 |
| Bogra } | 1 |
| Pabna } | 1 |
| Malda | 1 |
| Dacca | 2 |
| Mymensingh | 2 |
| Faridpur | 1 |
| Bakarganj | 1 |
| Tippera | 1 |
| Noakhali | 1 |
| Chittagong | 1 |

The above allocation is made on the basis of 30 General Rural seats. For Rajshahi one seat was taken from the General Urban seats, and in order that Noakhali and Chittagong should have one seat each, one seat was taken from the district with most seats, viz., Midnapore.

K. NAZIM-UD-DIN (*Chairman*).
 *B. P. SINGH ROY.
 *S. M. BOSE.
 *N. K. BASU.
 *S. BOSE.
 M. A. MUMIN.
 TAMIZUDDIN KHAN.
 H. S. SUHRAWARDY.
 C. G. COOPER.
 E. T. McCLUSKIE.
 M. B. MULLICK.
 K. C. RAY CHAUDHURY.
 G. P. HOGG.
 H. J. TWYNAM.
 R. N. GILCHRIST (*Secretary*).

The 29th March 1933.

* Subject to note of dissent.

N.B.—Mr. B. C. Chatterjee attended only seven meetings of the Committee, as he had to leave for England on business while the Committee was sitting.

APPENDIX TO PART I.

Note of Dissent by Mr. S. M. Bose.

I regret I am unable to agree with the decision of the Committee on the following points:—

(1) They have decided that the Muslim Chamber of Commerce should be given one of the five seats allotted to Indian Commerce and Industry. This is wrong for the following reasons:—

(a) The Muslim Chamber was incorporated on the 1st October 1932, after the Premier's Communal Award was published, and it is well known that it was formed with the intention of capturing some of the Indian Commerce seats. I need only refer to His Highness the Aga Khan's letter published in the papers in which he advised Moslems to try to capture seats allotted to special constituencies so as to have an absolute Moslem majority.

(b) It is inadvisable to give a seat to a body formed only a few months ago without any guarantee of stability. Seats in the past have always been given only to bodies which are well established and have been in existence for a good length of time.

(c) It is objectionable in principle to allot a Commerce seat on a communal basis. I refer to the remarks made by the Indian Franchise Committee in paragraphs 320-21 of their report. They support special representation for Commerce and Industry on the ground that "special provision should be made for the presence in legislative bodies of business-men and economic experts." They say that in the absence of such special representation, the new legislatures may find themselves deprived of expert advice when it is most needed, so they consider it desirable that a certain number of seats should be filled up by representatives of Commerce and Industry who will speak from the expert and technical point of view. The Lothian Committee make it clear that in so recommending, their "object is essentially to make expert knowledge available in the legislatures and not to give any particular voting strength to individual interests." From the remarks in these paragraphs, we can clearly make out that it was not in any way the intention of the Lothian Committee that these Commercial seats should have any connection whatever with the General seats based on territorial representation or with the balance of parties. It is thus abundantly clear that in the opinion of the Lothian Committee communal considerations should have nothing to do with allocation of Commercial seats. This view has been adopted by the Premier in the allocation of seats under his Communal Award.

(d) In no other province has any Commerce seat been allotted to a Communal Chamber of Commerce. In Bombay, there are numerous wealthy Moslems who control a large volume of trade, but they have no separate representation as Moslems in the special Commerce seats, nor have they, so far as I am aware, asked for this. In Madras, there is the Hides and Skin Association representing the Moslem traders—a very powerful body—which has no separate representation nor asked for this. The reason why such a demand has been made in Bengal, where trade in the hands of Moslems is much smaller than that in Bombay, is easy to understand. It is because the object is to secure a communal majority in the legislature.

(e) The Moslem Chamber's case as made out during the evidence was totally different from that made out in their Memorandum circulated to the members. Before the Committee a mass of facts and figures were adduced without any previous notice and without any opportunity of previous examination and checking being afforded to the members. Assuming the correctness of the figures given in course of oral evidence, there does not seem to be any need for special protection of Moslem trade interests if they are so vast and extensive.

(f) I do not understand how Moslem trade interests are different from other trade interests. For instance, if 90 per cent. of an industry is in the hands of Moslems and 10 per cent. in the hands of Hindus, how does the interest of the 90 per cent. Moslems differ from that of the 10 per cent. Hindus?

(g) There is nothing to prevent Moslem Commerce from coming in through membership in the two big Associations, the Bengal National Chamber of Commerce and the Indian Chamber of Commerce. Many Moslem firms are already members of one or other of these Chambers.

(h) So far as I can make out, in the Moslem Chamber of Commerce, Moslems from Bombay and Delhi predominate. They have little in common with the people of Bengal and are likely to take views not in accord with Bengal views. The attitude taken up by the Moslem Chamber on the question of additional salt duty is an instance in point.

I am, therefore, of opinion that it will be a disastrous innovation to allow communal interests to come in for representation through the door of Commerce and Industry.

(2) The second point I want to raise is the question of allocating a seat to the Indian Chamber of Commerce. This Chamber is one combining very powerful branches of Indian Trade and Commerce and is a very influential body. It is entirely non-communal in character as proved by the fact that Moslems have been elected President of the body. The Indian Chamber has been of great assistance to the Government in giving its opinion and suggestions on all important matters affecting trade and commerce, and I believe their help is appreciated by the Government. It is a Chamber in touch with all-India commercial problems and in conjunction with the Bengal National Chamber of Commerce will represent all aspects of Indian Trade and Commerce. In my opinion, the Indian Chamber has a much better claim to a seat than the Moslem Chamber of Commerce. The Indian Chamber consists of persons with expert knowledge who will be of material assistance to the new legislatures and who fulfil the recommendations of the Indian Franchise Committee in paragraph 320 of their report. The Indian Chamber of Commerce is perhaps the only body here representing various firms engaged in Trade and Commerce, the activities of which extend to several provinces. The provinces are interdependent in Trade and Commerce, and so matters have often to be considered from a broad interprovincial point of view. The Indian Chamber has several Moslem firms as members, and Moslem trade interests, if they require special protection, can be represented through this Chamber.

(3) The third point I wish to dwell upon is the desirability of a mixed electorate for the women's seats. In this matter, I entirely support Mrs. P. K. Bose.

Note of Dissent by the Hon'ble Mr. B. P. Singh Roy.

(1) I generally agree to what has been said by Mr. S. M. Bose about a seat given to the Moslem Chamber of Commerce.

(2) I am against even partially disenfranchising any Association, though it may be for finding a seat for a very deserving body. On this ground, I am against the arrangement proposed by the Committee about alternate representation of the Mahajan Sabha and the Indian Mining Federation.

Note of Dissent by Mr. N. K. Basu.

I object very strongly to the decision of the Committee to allocate one of the Indian commercial seats to the Moslem Chamber of Commerce.

As we are an advisory body, I am putting down the broad heads of my opposition for the information of the Government.

It is trite knowledge that this Chamber was formed late in 1932—only for the purpose of capturing one of the seats in the Council. It is pure moonshine to say that the promoters of the Chamber had any idea of starting it before. Not one of them had made any attempts to join any of the existing Chambers of Commerce before.

It was admitted by the representatives of this body who were examined before us that there was no clash of interests between them "as a commercial body" and any other Indian Chamber.

The effect of giving a seat to this essentially non-Bengali speaking body composed (in its most important elements) of Persians and Moslems from the Bombay side would be to sacrifice the true interests of Bengal. Their only political activity since they came into existence has been to support the imposition of the additional salt duty, against which the whole of Bengal, official and non-official, has been united.

In Bengal as an autonomous province, I am distinctly of opinion that there ought to be no place for this non-Bengali Association, in its legislature.

Note on Women's Constituencies by Mrs. P. K. Bose.

Under the Premier's Communal Award, five seats in the Bengal Legislature have been reserved for women—

- 1 Anglo-Indian,
- 2 Muhammadan,
- 2 Hindu.

2. The Provincial Advisory Committee have selected the municipal areas of Calcutta and Dacca as the Women's constituencies for the return of one Hindu and one Muhammadan woman from each area.

3. These constituencies should be guided by the same principle as that of General constituencies, that is, the electorate should be a mixed one containing both men and women voters.

4. Although it may be easy to provide electorates of women only for the return of women candidates, it would be undesirable and practically impossible to provide now or in the immediate future a full complement of women presiding officers and helpers at the booths where polling of women's constituencies will take place.

5. Besides, the condition of society is such that women voters coming to the polling booths to record their votes will invariably be accompanied by their men relations. So the help of men cannot altogether be avoided.

6. In my opinion it would be easier and cheaper to conduct the elections, if the same polling stations selected for men voters are equipped with separate entrances for women voters and provided with a few competent women officers to help the women

7. There will still be a large body of women voters outside the municipal areas of Calcutta and Dacca who will come to have a vote under the recommendations of the Indian Franchise Committee. In the large interests of the nation and also to give such women voters some political experience, it will be desirable to make the same arrangements, as suggested in paragraph 6, in the Rural constituencies as well, so that it may be easier for women to exercise their right to vote in a mixed constituency.

8. **Women's constituencies.**—Women exercising the right of vote in the mixed General constituency can have no objection if the electorate for women candidates is also mixed. Voting in a mixed constituency will have its educational effect both for men and women.

9. It has been urged that it would be almost impossible for women candidates specially of the Muhammadan community to canvass male voters. With due deference to the opinion so urged, I venture to say that—

- (a) the woman candidate who would be willing to take her place in the legislature cannot find this an obstacle;
- (b) canvassing for a woman candidate whether in the shape of written manifestos or personal appeals will have to be done both by men and women—relations, and friends or agents of the candidate;
- (c) the provision of an electorate of women voters alone for women's constituencies cannot, therefore, altogether avoid the help of men; according to the custom of the country the men must accompany the women to their polling booths, so the idea of only women voters for women's constituencies does not stand and will not be feasible.

10. The simplified system of polling recommended in the Lothian Committee's Report, Volume I, page 56, has much in its favour. I am confident that it would be quite feasible to work mixed general electorates as well as mixed women's electorates on this simplified system of polling, the only addition to be made is to provide separate entrances for women at the booths and procure the services of competent women

to help the presiding or other officers to record the votes of women electors.

11. For reasons stated above, I strongly recommended that the constituencies for women should certainly be mixed and that we should adhere to the Report of the Lothian Committee, Volume I, page 87, paragraph 220, and page 177, "Representation"—that the seats will be reserved for women in constituencies formed for the purpose and containing both men and women.

12. The Indian Franchise Committee also considered a scheme, page 86, paragraph 218, under which "seats might be set apart for women in selected urban areas in constituencies in which the electorate would be composed of both men and women electors, each elector having two-votes—one in the General constituency and the other for the women candidate."

As the Provincial Advisory Committee have selected urban areas of Calcutta and Dacca, this scheme of the Indian Franchise Committee may be considered.

PART II.
Second Report

Second Report of the Provincial Advisory Committee on the Delimitation of Constituencies, 1934

The Provincial Advisory Committee on the delimitation of constituencies resumed their sittings on the 24th February 1934, in order to complete their recommendations regarding the delimitation of constituencies for the Provincial Lower House (Bengal Legislative Assembly), and also to consider the allocation of seats for the Provincial Upper House (Bengal Legislative Council) and the Federal Lower House (Federal Assembly). The Committee met five times.

2. **Detailed allocation of Muhammadan rural seats.**—As stated in paragraph 12 of the Interim Report, the Committee recommended that the 111 Muhammadan rural seats should be allocated by districts in the following manner:—

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Burdwan 2 | Jalpaiguri <i>cum</i> Darjeeling 1 |
| Birbhum 1 | Rangpur 7 |
| Bankura 1 | Bogra 4 |
| Midnapore 2 | Pabna 5 |
| Hooghly 1 | Malda 2 |
| Howrah 1 | Dacca 9 |
| 24-Parganas 3 | Mymensingh 15 |
| Nadia 4 | Faridpur 6 |
| Murshidabad 3 | Bakarganj 9 |
| Jessore 4 | Tippera 9 |
| Khulna 3 | Noakhali 6 |
| Rajshahi 4 | Chittagong 5 |
| Dinaipur 4 | |

3. In accordance with the wishes of the Committee, the Reforms Office had consulted Divisional Commissioners and District Officers inviting their attention to the desire of the Committee that constituencies should be single-member. The Reforms Office also prepared a draft list of constituencies for the consideration of District Officers, and that draft list along with the opinions of Commissioners and District Officers was placed before the Committee. In some cases separate representations were received from individuals or associations. After examining

all the relevant materials the Committee decided to recommend the list of constituencies as detailed in the Appendix.

4. **Detailed allocation of General urban seats.**—As stated in paragraph 11 of the Interim Report, the Committee decided to recommend that the 17 General urban seats should be allotted to Calcutta and other urban areas coming within the scope of the Bengal Municipal Act. They decided to consider the further delimitation of these seats after Divisional Commissioners had been consulted. The reports received from the Divisional Commissioners were placed before the Committee, and it was unanimously agreed to distribute the seats as follows:—

| | Allocation of seats. |
|--|-------------------------|
| Calcutta | 6 |
| Urban areas in the districts of— | |
| Burdwan and Birbhum | 1 |
| Bankura and Midnapore | 1 |
| Hooghly | 1 |
| Howrah | 1 |
| 24-Parganas | 2 |
| Nadia | 1 |
| Murshidabad, Jessore and Khulna | 1 |
| Rajshahi Division excluding Darjeeling district | 1 |
| Dacca, Mymensingh and Faridpur | 1 |
| Chittagong Division (excluding Chittagong Hill Tracts) <i>cum</i> Bakarganj | 1 |

The Committee also agreed that the constituencies for Calcutta should be the same as for the present Bengal Legislative Council, and that the constituencies in the 24-Parganas should be 24-Parganas North and the 24-Parganas South.

5. **Allocation of Scheduled Caste seats.**—In paragraph 13 of the Interim Report the recommendation of the Committee regarding the allocation of General rural seats is given, but the Committee could not proceed with the allocation of the reserved seats at its first sessions owing to the fact that they had not been placed in possession of the list of the Scheduled Castes. During its present sessions a list of Scheduled Castes, as recommended by the local Government, was confidentially placed before the Committee together with the necessary population statements. The Reforms Office also submitted to the Committee a statement showing the distribution of the 30 reserved seats on the basis of the population of the castes, and after considering these figures, the

Committee decided to recommend the following allocation of reserved seats:—

| Division and district. | | | | Allocation of seats. |
|----------------------------|----|----|----|-------------------------|
| <i>Burdwan Division</i> | .. | .. | .. | 8 |
| Burdwan | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Birbhum | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Bankura | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Midnapore | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Hooghly | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Howrah | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| <i>Presidency Division</i> | .. | .. | .. | 7 |
| 24-Parganas | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Nadia | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Murshidabad | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Jessore | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Khulna | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| <i>Rajshahi Division</i> | .. | .. | .. | 8 |
| Rajshahi and Malda | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Dinajpur | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Jalpaiguri and Siliguri | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Rangpur | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Bogra and Pabna | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| <i>Dacca Division</i> | .. | .. | .. | 6 |
| Dacca | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Mymensingh | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Faridpur | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| Bakarganj | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| <i>Chittagong Division</i> | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Tippera | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Noakhali | .. | .. | .. | Nil |
| Chittagong | .. | .. | .. | Nil |
| Total | | | | 30 |

With regard to the detailed delimitation of constituencies within districts in which the Committee had recommended the allocation of both reserved and non-reserved General rural seats, it was agreed unanimously that in all districts to which one reserved and one non-reserved seats had been allocated, the constituency should be the entire district.

It was also agreed that in all cases in which one non-reserved seat had been recommended for a district with more than one reserved seat, the district should form the constituency.

all the relevant materials the Committee decided to recommend the list of constituencies as detailed in the Appendix.

4. Detailed allocation of General urban seats.—As stated in paragraph 11 of the Interim Report, the Committee decided to recommend that the 17 General urban seats should be allotted to Calcutta and other urban areas coming within the scope of the Bengal Municipal Act. They decided to consider the further delimitation of these seats after Divisional Commissioners had been consulted. The reports received from the Divisional Commissioners were placed before the Committee, and it was unanimously agreed to distribute the seats as follows:—

| | Allocation of seats. |
|--|-------------------------|
| Calcutta | 6 |
| Urban areas in the districts of— | |
| Burdwan and Birbhum | 1 |
| Bankura and Midnapore | 1 |
| Hooghly | 1 |
| Howrah | 1 |
| 24-Parganas | 2 |
| Nadia | 1 |
| Murshidabad, Jessore and Khulna | 1 |
| Rajshahi Division excluding Darjeeling district | 1 |
| Dacca, Mymensingh and Faridpur | 1 |
| Chittagong Division (excluding Chittagong Hill Tracts) <i>cum</i> Bakarganj | 1 |

The Committee also agreed that the constituencies for Calcutta should be the same as for the present Bengal Legislative Council, and that the constituencies in the 24-Parganas should be 24-Parganas North and the 24-Parganas South.

5. Allocation of Scheduled Caste seats.—In paragraph 13 of the Interim Report the recommendation of the Committee regarding the allocation of General rural seats is given, but the Committee could not proceed with the allocation of the reserved seats at its first sessions owing to the fact that they had not been placed in possession of the list of the Scheduled Castes. During its present sessions a list of Scheduled Castes, as recommended by the local Government, was confidentially placed before the Committee together with the necessary population statements. The Reforms Office also submitted to the Committee a statement showing the distribution of the 30 reserved seats on the basis of the population of the castes, and after considering these figures, the

Committee decided to recommend the following allocation of reserved seats:—

| Division and district. | Allocation of seats. |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Burdwan Division</i> | 8 |
| Burdwan | 2 |
| Birbhum | 1 |
| Bankura | 1 |
| Midnapore | 2 |
| Hooghly | 1 |
| Howrah | 1 |
| <i>Presidency Division</i> | 7 |
| 24-Parganas | 2 |
| Nadia | 1 |
| Murshidabad | 1 |
| Jessore | 1 |
| Khulna | 2 |
| <i>Rajshahi Division</i> | 8 |
| Rajshahi and Malda | 1 |
| Dinajpur | 2 |
| Jalpaiguri and Siliguri | 2 |
| Rangpur | 2 |
| Bogra and Pabna | 1 |
| <i>Dacca Division</i> | 6 |
| Dacca | 1 |
| Mymensingh | 2 |
| Faridpur | 2 |
| Bakarganj | 1 |
| <i>Chittagong Division</i> | 1 |
| Tippera | 1 |
| Noakhali | Nil |
| Chittagong | Nil |
| Total | 30 |

With regard to the detailed delimitation of constituencies within districts in which the Committee had recommended the allocation of both reserved and non-reserved General rural seats, it was agreed unanimously that in all districts to which one reserved and one non-reserved seats had been allocated, the constituency should be the entire district.

It was also agreed that in all cases in which one non-reserved seat had been recommended for a district with more than one reserved seat, the district should form the constituency.

In the districts where there are more than one non-reserved seat with one or more reserved seats, namely:—

| District. | Reserved. | Non-reserved. |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Burdwan | 2 | 2 |
| Midnapore | 2 | 3 |
| 24-Parganas | 2 | 2 |
| Rajshahi cum Malda | 1 | 2 |
| Dacca | 1 | 2 |
| Mymensingh | 2 | 2 |

the Committee decided to recommend the following constituencies:—

| | Reserved. | Non-reserved. |
|---|--|---------------|
| (1) Burdwan— | | |
| (a) Sadar Subdivision | 1 | 1 |
| (b) Kalna, Katwa and Asansol Subdivisions | 1 | 1 |
| (2) Midnapore— | | |
| (a) Sadar Subdivision | 1 | 1 |
| (b) Jhargram and Contai Subdivisions | 1 | 1 |
| (c) Ghatal and Tamluk Subdivisions | .. | 1 |
| (3) 24-Parganas— | | |
| (a) Sadar and Basirhat Subdivisions | 1 | 1 |
| (b) Baraset, Diamond Harbour and Barrackpore Subdivisions | 1 | 1 |
| (4) Dacca— | | |
| (a) Sadar and Manikganj Subdivisions | 1 | 1 |
| (b) Narayanganj and Munshiganj Subdivisions | .. | 1 |
| (5) Mymensingh— | | |
| (a) Sadar and Tangail Subdivisions | 1 | 1 |
| (b) Jamalpur, Netrakona and Kishoreganj Subdivisions | 1 | 1 |
| (6) Rajshahi } Malda } | to comprise one constituency with one reserved and 2 non-reserved seats. | |

Federal Assembly and Provincial Upper House.

6. **Allocation of General and Muhammadan seats in Federal Assembly and Provincial Upper House.**—With regard to the Federal Assembly and Provincial Upper House constituencies, the Committee decided to follow the general plan of the White Paper, in the case of both General and Muslim constituencies. The White Paper provides for the same number of Muslim constituencies in the Federal Lower House as in the Provincial Upper House. It also proposes the same number of General seats, though in the case of the Federal Assembly three of the General seats are reserved for the Scheduled Castes.

With regard to the distribution of Muslim and General seats as between urban and rural, the Committee with one dissentient, agreed that one Muslim seat should be allocated to urban areas, and, also with one dissentient agreed that two seats should be allocated to General urban areas. In the case of the Muslim urban seat it was unanimously decided that the constituency should be the same as the present Muslim urban constituency for the Assembly, viz.—

| | |
|---|---|
| Calcutta and the municipalities and cantonments in the districts of the 24-Parganas, Hooghly and Howrah | 1 |
|---|---|

With regard to the Muslim rural seats in the Federal Lower House and the Provincial Upper House, the Committee unanimously decided to recommend the following allocation:—

| | |
|---|---|
| <i>Burdwan Division</i> (excluding the areas covered by the urban seat) | 1 |
|---|---|

Presidency Division—

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Nadia and Murshidabad | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---|

| | |
|---|---|
| 24-Parganas (excluding the areas covered by the urban seat), Jessore and Khulna | 1 |
|---|---|

Rajshahi Division—

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Rajshahi and Malda | 1 |
|----------------------------|---|

| | |
|---|---|
| Dinajpur, Jalpaiguri and Darjeeling | 1 |
|---|---|

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Rangpur | 1 |
|-----------------|---|

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Bogra and Pabna | 1 |
|-------------------------|---|

Dacca Division—

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Dacca | 2 |
|---------------|---|

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Mymensingh | 2 |
|--------------------|---|

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Faridpur | 1 |
|------------------|---|

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Bakarganj | 1 |
|-------------------|---|

Chittagong Division—

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Tippera | 1 |
|-----------------|---|

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Noakhali | 1 |
|------------------|---|

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Chittagong | 1 |
|--------------------|---|

The Committee also unanimously decided that the constituencies in the districts of Dacca and Mymensingh should be as follows:—

(a) Dacca—

| | | | |
|---|----|----|---|
| Sadar and Manikganj Subdivisions | .. | .. | 1 |
| Narayanganj and Munshiganj Subdivisions | .. | .. | 1 |

(b) Mymensingh—

| | | | |
|---|----|----|---|
| Jamalpur and Tangail Subdivisions | .. | .. | 1 |
| Sadar, Netrakona and Kishoreganj Subdivisions | .. | .. | 1 |

With regard to the General constituencies for the Federal Lower House, the Committee recommended that the constituencies for the two General seats allotted to urban areas should be those in the Legislative Assembly, viz.—

| | | | | | |
|--|----|----|----|----|---|
| Calcutta | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| Municipalities and Cantonments in the districts of the 24 Parganas, Howrah and Hooghly | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |

The Committee also decided on the following allocation of 8 rural General seats of which 3 are reserved and 5 non-reserved:—

| | Reserved. | Non-reserved. | Total. |
|--|-----------|---------------|--------|
| Burdwan Division (excluding urban areas) | .. 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Presidency Division (excluding urban areas) | | 1 | 1 |
| Rajshahi Division | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Dacca Division | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Chittagong Division (excluding Chittagong Hill Tracts) | | 1 | 1 |
| | — 3 | — 5 | — 8 |

The Committee also agreed that the distribution of the 8 general rural seats for the Provincial Upper House should be:—

| | | | |
|--|----|----|---|
| Burdwan Division (excluding urban areas) | .. | .. | 2 |
| Presidency Division (excluding urban areas) | .. | .. | 1 |
| Rajshahi Division | .. | .. | 2 |
| Dacca Division | .. | .. | 2 |
| Chittagong Division (excluding Chittagong Hill Tracts) | .. | .. | 1 |
| | | | — |
| Total | .. | .. | 8 |
| | | | — |

7. European seat in the Federal Assembly and the Provincial Upper House.—In the White Paper one seat is provided for Europeans in the Federal Assembly and one seat in the Provincial Upper House.

Previous to placing the case before the Advisory Committee, the Reforms Office had consulted the Bengal Chamber of Commerce and the European Association, Calcutta, as to the allocation of these seats. Both of these bodies were of the opinion that the constituency in each case should cover the whole of the province and that the voting should be by postal ballot. This was unanimously agreed to by the Committee.

8. Anglo-Indian seat in the Federal Assembly.—In the White Paper one seat is proposed for Anglo-Indians in the Federal Assembly. The Anglo-Indian League and the Anglo-Indian and Domiciled European Association, Bengal, had been consulted by the Reforms Office with regard to this seat, and both these bodies were of the opinion that the constituency should cover the whole of Bengal. With regard to the system of election, the Anglo-Indian League were in favour of postal ballot, while the Anglo-Indian and Domiciled European Association expressed their preference for the ballot box in Calcutta and the postal vote in the rest of Bengal. The Committee unanimously decided that the constituency should cover the whole of Bengal and that voting should be by postal method.

9. Indian Christian seat in the Federal Assembly.—The White Paper proposes to allot one seat to the Indian Christians in Bengal in the Federal Assembly. The Indian Christian Association, which had been consulted by the Reforms Office, expressed the opinion that the constituency should be restricted to a select area, preferably Calcutta, and that the system of election should be by postal ballot. After considerable discussion, the Committee decided to recommend that the Indian Christian constituency for the Federal Assembly should comprise two constituencies recommended by the Committee for the Provincial Lower House, namely, Calcutta, and the Presidency and Dacca Divisions.

10. Landholders' seat in the Federal Assembly.—The White Paper proposes to allot one seat to the Bengal Landholders in the Federal Assembly. The Committee unanimously recommended that the constituency should cover the whole of Bengal and that voting should be by postal ballot.

11. Commerce seats in the Federal Assembly.—In the White Paper it is proposed that there should be three Commerce and Industry seats for Bengal in the Federal Assembly. The franchise qualifications proposed for these seats indicate that one is intended for the representation of Indian Commerce and two for European Commerce. In the case of Indian Commerce the Committee unanimously decided to recommend that the constituency for the Federal Assembly should be an electoral college consisting of all the Indian Commerce constituencies for the Provincial Lower House.

The electorate for the two European Commerce constituencies in the Federal Assembly has already been provisionally determined in the White Paper (Appendix IV), and the Committee agreed with the proposed distribution, namely, that one seat should be allocated to the Bengal Chamber of Commerce and one to the Indian Jute Mills Association.

12. Labour seats in the Federal Assembly.—With regard to the two Labour seats proposed for Bengal in the Federal Lower House, the Committee, while recognising that the method of election and the franchise

had been left open by the White Paper, recommended that the two constituencies should be as follows:—

- (1) a registered trade union constituency consisting of the trade unions qualified to elect members of the Provincial Lower House, in the registered trade union constituencies;
- (2) a territorial seat covering the area of the Provincial territorial labour constituencies; the voters to be workers employed in factories with a daily strength of 500 or over, the voting being on the indirect system. The total strength of each factory to be determined by the figures of total employees (average daily strength) on the books of the Factory Inspection Department for the year previous to the election but only those to vote who were qualified to vote for the Provincial Legislative Assembly. The electoral college to be composed of mukhiyas, there being one mukhiya for 250 voters and fractions of over 150 to count as a unit for the purposes of electing mukhiyas.

13. The Committee also decided that their full report with regard to the delimitation of constituencies as proposed in the White Paper should be their Second Report read with the Interim Report.

K. NAZIM-UD-DIN (*Chairman*),
 B. P. SINGH ROY,
 S. M. BOSE,
 N. K. BASU,
 S. BOSE,
 M. A. MUMIN,
 TAMIZUDDIN KHAN,
 H. S. SUHRAWARDY,
 E. T. McCLUSKIE,
 M. B. MULLICK*,
 K. C. RAY CHAUDHURY,
 G. P. HOGG,
 H. J. TWYNAM,
 R. N. GILCHRIST (*Secretary*).

The 23rd March 1934.

* Subject to minute of dissent.

N.B.—Mr. B. C. Chatterjee and Mr. C. G. Cooper did not attend any of the meetings of the Committee.

Minute of Dissent by Mr. M. B. Mullick, M.L.C.

I regret, I cannot agree with the decision of the Committee on the following few matters. I desire, therefore, to put the same with my reasons in brief for the consideration of the Government.

I. Bengal (Provincial) Legislative Assembly (Lower House).

A. *Bakarganj*.—It seems to me that the representation of the non-Mahomedan (General Hindu) interests of Bakarganj is very inadequate. It will appear that while the General Hindu seats in many districts under the new constitution are going to be doubled or trebled, Bakarganj is going to have *two* seats only, as it has under the present system. There is no reason why it should be so. Regard being had to its area, its internal position, specially its being intersected by innumerable rivers and canals, its being cut off from all railway communications, and other difficulties, it should at least have three seats, if not four. If no non-reserved seat (General Hindu) be available, I think, one of the thirty reserved seats be made available for Bakarganj. This one may easily be found from the Burdwan Division. I think one from Howrah or Hooghly may be transferred to Bakarganj. These two districts—Howrah and Hooghly are being given one reserved seat, one non-reserved seat for the rural areas besides one seat each for the municipalities and one each for Labour. In a way these two districts are getting four seats in some form or other. Regard being had to their areas, which are much too small in comparison with Bakarganj and other districts, their railways and other facilities which these districts enjoy, I think one of these two reserved (Scheduled Caste) seats, preferably one from Howrah, may be conveniently transferred to Bakarganj. An almost similar course has been adopted in the case of Mahomedans (see paragraph 12, Interim Report).

B. *Rajshahi and Malda*.—The decision of the Committee was (paragraph 13 of the Interim Report) that no district should be without a non-reserved seat (Hindu General). Following this, one seat was allotted to Rajshahi and another to Malda. It will appear that when the question of allocating one reserved seat that was found available for either of the two districts came up for consideration, the Committee seemed to have gone back upon their original decision and decided that Malda should be joined with Rajshahi only to put this one reserved (Scheduled Caste) seat to the two districts jointly. I am definitely of opinion that this should not be done. It would make the rural constituency of Rajshahi-cum-Malda an unwieldy one. Regard being had to the area, population and other factors, I think, that the original decision of the Committee should be adhered to and the two non-reserved Hindu General seats should each be allocated to Rajshahi and Malda separately and not the two seats to the two districts jointly.

- As regards the one reserved (Scheduled Caste) Hindu seats, I think the same ought to be allocated to Malda, for the simple reason that their number is greater in Malda than in Rajshahi and that from Malda the Scheduled Castes will be able to have a representative of their own choice. The Scheduled Castes of Rajshahi will not be disenfranchised for they will still have a say in the election of the candidate for the non-reserved seat from Rajshahi.

II. Federal Lower House.

A. It seems to me that of the three reserved (Scheduled Caste) seats out of the eight seats allocated to the rural areas, one should be allocated to the Presidency Division, as on a consideration of the chief factor on which the Committee proceeded, namely, the population, the Presidency Division occupies the third place amongst the five Divisions and there is no reason why it should be deprived of its just claims under this head.

B. Alternately, I think that of the ten seats allotted to Bengal under the White Paper for the Federal Lower House, only one should be allocated to the urban areas, and not two as decided by the Committee, the one seat so found available should be allocated to the Presidency Division.

III. Provincial Upper House.

In distributing the ten seats available for the General constituencies of Bengal for the Provincial Upper House, the Committee followed the same course as they did in allocating the same number of seats for the Federal Lower House from Bengal. It will appear that three out of the said ten seats for the Federal Lower House have, under the scheme of the White Paper, been reserved for the Scheduled Castes. I venture to think that it unmistakably shows the true intention of the framers of the new constitution regarding the Scheduled Castes for their representation on the Provincial Upper House as well. And though nothing is distinctly mentioned about the Scheduled Castes regarding the Provincial Upper House in the White Paper, I venture to think that three out of the ten seats be reserved for them and allocated to the three divisions where they are the largest, namely, Burdwan, Presidency and Rajshahi Divisions.

M. B. MULLICK, M.L.C.

APPENDIX TO PART II.

Detailed allocation of Muhammadan rural seats in the Provincial Lower House as recommended by the Provincial Advisory Committee on the Delimitation of Constituencies.

| | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Total rural population .. | 26,880,695 |
| Total rural area .. | 72,295.6 sq. miles. |
| Total rural seats .. | 111 |
| Average population per seat .. | 242,168 |
| Average area per seat .. | 651 sq. miles. |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--------------------|-------------|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Burdwan Dt. .. | 2,705.0 | 292,471 | 100.0 | 2.00 | 2 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 1,286.0 | 130,550 | 44.6 | 0.89 | 1 | Burdwan West Muhammadan. |
| Asansol Subdn. .. | 624.0 | 39,837 | 13.6 | 0.27 | | |
| Katwa Subdn. .. | 410.0 | 63,322 | 21.7 | 0.43 | 1 | Burdwan East Muhammadan. |
| Kalna Subdn. .. | 385.0 | 58,762 | 20.1 | 0.40 | | |
| Birbhum Dt. .. | 1,699.0 | 252,908 | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Birbhum Muhammadan. |
| Bankura Dt. .. | 2,625.0 | 51,012 | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Bankura Muhammadan. |
| Midnapore Dt. .. | 5,245.0 | 212,473 | 100.0 | 2.00 | 2 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 2,028.0 | 74,345 | 35.0 | 0.70 | 1 | Midnapore West Muhammadan. |
| Jhargram Subdn. .. | 1,187.0 | 5,175 | 2.4 | 0.05 | | |
| Ghatal Subdn. .. | 369.0 | 15,097 | 7.1 | 0.14 | 1 | Midnapore East Muhammadan. |
| Tamluk Subdn. .. | 749.0 | 83,079 | 39.1 | 0.78 | | |
| Contai Subdn. .. | 912.0 | 34,777 | 16.4 | 0.33 | | |
| * Hooghly Dt. .. | 1,156.2 | 145,064 | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Hooghly Rural Muhammadan. |
| * Howrah Dt. .. | 508.9 | 178,153 | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Howrah Rural Muhammadan. |
| * 24-Parganas Dt. .. | (a)5,257.0 | 780,841 | 100.0 | 3.00 | 3 | |
| Diamond Harbour Subdn. .. | 1,225.0 | 148,355 | 19.0 | 0.57 | 3 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 1,071.1 | 260,113 | 33.3 | 1.00 | | |
| **Barrackpore Subdn. .. | 61.9 | 14,648 | 1.9 | 0.06 | | |
| Barasat Subdn. .. | 375.5 | 155,737 | 19.9 | 0.59 | 3 | |
| Basirhat Subdn. .. | 790.5 | 201,988 | 25.9 | 0.78 | | |

* Excluding the Municipalities included in the Urban Constituencies.

** Also excluding Cantonment (regarded as Urban area).

(a) Including area of Sundarban forest.

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|---|----------------|--|---|----------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 24-Parganas Dt.— concl'd.— | Distribution of 3 seats in the district. | | | | | |
| (1) Diamond Harbour Subdn. .. | 1,225·0 | 148,355 | .. | .. | .. | 24-Parganas South Muhammadan. |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Bishnupur .. | 82·0 | 25,208 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Baruipur .. | 79·5 | 22,378 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Jaynagar .. | 278·0 | 43,831 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 1,664·5 | 239,772 | 30·7 | 0·92 | 1 | |
| (2) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | 24-Parganas Central Muhammadan. |
| Tollygunge .. | 20·0 | 4,723 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sonarpur .. | 44·9 | 6,848 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Behala .. | 7·7 | 298 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Metiabruz .. | 3·0 | 6,378 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Maheshtola .. | 18·0 | 15,036 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Canning .. | 332·0 | 47,506 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Protapnagar .. | 25·0 | 8,171 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Budge-Budge .. | 54·0 | 25,746 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bhangar .. | 127·0 | 53,990 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Barrackpore Subdn. | 61·9 | 14,648 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Barasat Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Barasat .. | 98·5 | 46,514 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Amdanga .. | 54·0 | 18,258 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Rajarhat .. | 40·0 | 13,425 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 886·0 | 261,541 | 33·5 | 1·01 | 1 | |
| (3) Basirhat Subdn. | 790·5 | 201,988 | .. | .. | .. | 24-Parganas North-West Muhammadan. |
| Barasat Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Deganga .. | 78·0 | 40,422 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Habra .. | 105·0 | 37,118 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 973·5 | 279,528 | 35·8 | 1·07 | 1 | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| Nadia Dt. .. | 2,881·0 | 944,915 | 100·0 | 4·00 | 4 | | |
| Kushtia Subdn. .. | 657·0 | 339,561 | 35·9 | 1·44 | 1 | Kushtia Muham- | |
| Meherpur Subdn. | 623·0 | 209,097 | 22·1 | 0·88 | 1 | Meherpur Muham- | |
| Chudadanga Subdn. | 494·0 | 148,637 | 15·7 | 0·63 | } 2 | | |
| Ranaghat Subdn. | 542·0 | 109,714 | 11·6 | 0·46 | | | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 565·0 | 137,906 | 14·6 | 0·58 | | | |
| Distribution of 2 seats in the Chudadanga, Ranaghat and Sadar Subdivisions. | | | | | | | |
| (1) Chudadanga Subdn. | 494·0 | 148,637 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Ranaghat Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Ranaghat .. | 171·0 | 30,917 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Hanskhali .. | 104·0 | 27,212 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 769·0 | 206,766 | 21·9 | 0·88 | 1 | Nadia East Muham- | |
| (2) Sadar Subdn. | 565·0 | 137,906 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Ranaghat Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Santipur .. | 76·0 | 15,206 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Chakdah .. | 126·0 | 22,893 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Haringhata .. | 65·0 | 13,486 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 832·0 | 189,491 | 20·1 | 0·80 | 1 | Nadia West Muham- | |
| Murshidabad Dt. .. | 2,091·0 | 761,582 | 100·0 | 3·00 | 3 | | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 643·0 | 243,684 | 32·0 | 0·96 | 1 | Berhampore Mu- | |
| Lalbagh Subdn. .. | 513·0 | 199,588 | 26·2 | 0·79 | } 1 | Murshidabad South- | |
| Kandi Subdn. .. | 438·0 | 122,701 | 16·1 | 0·48 | | | West Muhamma- |
| Jangipur Subdn. | 497·0 | 195,609 | 25·7 | 0·77 | | 1 | Jangipur Muham- |
| Jessore Dt. .. | 2,902·0 | 1,035,371 | 100·0 | 4·00 | 4 | | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 823·0 | 312,504 | 30·2 | 1·21 | } 4 | | |
| Magura Subdn. .. | 431·0 | 169,182 | 16·3 | 0·65 | | | |
| Narail Subdn. .. | 387·0 | 158,293 | 15·3 | 0·61 | | | |
| Bongaon Subdn. .. | 644·0 | 158,975 | 15·4 | 0·62 | | | |
| Jhenida Subdn. .. | 617·0 | 236,417 | 22·8 | 0·91 | | | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Jessore—contd. | | | | | | |
| Distribution of 4 seats. | | | | | | |
| (1) Narail Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Lohagara .. | 113·0 | 56,000 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Alfadanga .. | 35·0 | 21,190 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Narail .. | 134·0 | 37,889 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Naraganti .. | 105·0 | 43,214 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Abhaynagar .. | 75·0 | 25,590 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Magura Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Mahammadpur .. | 121·0 | 63,400 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 583·0 | 247,283 | 23·9 | 0·96 | 1 | Jessore East Muhammadan. |
| (2) Magura Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Magura .. | 153·0 | 53,587 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sripur .. | 69·0 | 32,070 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Jhenida Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Sailkopa .. | 141·0 | 74,809 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Harinakundu .. | 87·0 | 40,652 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Jhenida .. | 178·0 | 54,715 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kotchandpur .. | 64·0 | 20,207 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 692·0 | 276,040 | 26·7 | 1·07 | 1 | Jessore North Muhammadan. |
| (3) Jhenida Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Kaliganj .. | 147·0 | 46,034 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Jhikargacha .. | 158·0 | 62,883 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bongaon Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Sarsa .. | 129·0 | 40,176 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bongaon .. | 226·0 | 49,274 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gaighata .. | 94·0 | 20,731 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Maheshpur .. | 195·0 | 48,794 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 949·0 | 267,892 | 25·9 | 1·04 | 1 | Jessore West Muhammadan. |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--------------------|-------------|--|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Jessore—concl'd.— | | | | | | |
| (4) Magura Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Salkha .. | 88·0 | 20,125 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Bagherpara .. | 105·0 | 29,103 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Jessore .. | 165·0 | 63,091 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Naopara .. | 48·0 | 13,022 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Manrampore .. | 172·0 | 69,538 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Keshabpur .. | 100·0 | 49,277 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 678·0 | 244,156 | 23·6 | 0·94 | 1 | Jessore South-Central Muhammadan. |
| Khulna Dt. .. | | | | | | |
| Khulna Dt. .. | 4,689·0* | 804,909 | 100·0 | 3·00 | 3 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 814·0 | 219,156 | 27·2 | 0·82 | 1 | Khulna Muhammadan. |
| Satkhira Subdn. .. | 889·0 | 318,655 | 39·6 | 1·18 | 1 | Satkhira Muhammadan. |
| Bagerhat Subdn. .. | 784·0 | 267,098 | 33·2 | 1·00 | 1 | Bagerhat Muhammadan. |
| Rajshahi Dt. .. | | | | | | |
| Rajshahi Dt. .. | 2,609·0 | 1,083,105 | 100·0 | 4·00 | 4 | |
| Naogaon Subdn. .. | 865·0 | 399,833 | 36·9 | 1·48 | } 3 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 909·0 | 402,341 | 37·1 | 1·48 | | |
| Nator Subdn. .. | 835·0 | 280,931 | 26·0 | 1·04 | | 1 |
| Distribution of 3 seats in Naogaon and Sadar Subdivisions. | | | | | | |
| (1) Naogaon Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Raninagar .. | 85·0 | 39,929 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Naogaon .. | 106·0 | 82,324 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Badalgachi .. | 81·0 | 50,344 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Mahadebpur .. | 151·0 | 54,075 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Niamatpur .. | 159·0 | 34,788 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 582·0 | 261,460 | 24·1 | 0·96 | 1 | Rajshahi Muhammadan. |
| (2) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Tanor .. | 114·0 | 27,347 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Godagari .. | 167·0 | 28,445 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Boalia .. | 15·0 | 14,767 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Paba .. | 117·0 | 46,888 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Durgapur .. | 76·0 | 37,586 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Puthia .. | 75·0 | 32,073 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Charghat .. | 141·0 | 70,193 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 705·0 | 257,299 | 23·8 | 0·95 | 1 | Rajshahi South Muhammadan. |

* Includes the Sundarban Forest areas.

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Rajshahi—concd.— | | | | | | |
| (3) Naogaon Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Nanda .. | 143·0 | 68,320 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nandanali .. | 60·0 | 35,545 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Atrai .. | 80·0 | 34,508 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Bagmara .. | 141·0 | 104,741 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Mohanpur (Khurd). | 63·0 | 40,301 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 487·0 | 283,415 | 26·2 | 1·05 | 1 | Rajshahi Central Muhammadan. |
| Dinajpur Dt. .. | 3,948·0 | 886,723 | 100·0 | 4·00 | 4 | |
| Balurghat .. | 1,179·0 | 229,748 | 25·9 | 1·04 | 1 | Dinajpur South Muhammadan. |
| Thakurgaon .. | 1,171·0 | 277,686 | 31·3 | 1·25 | 3 | |
| Sadar .. | 1,598·0 | 379,289 | 42·8 | 1·71 | | |
| Distribution of 3 seats in Thakurgaon and Sadar Subdivisions. | | | | | | |
| (1) Thakurgaon Subdn. | | | | | | |
| Atwari .. | 81·0 | 26,740 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Thakurgaon .. | 250·0 | 65,250 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Baliadangi .. | 110·0 | 36,386 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Ranisankail .. | 112·0 | 24,018 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Haripur .. | 77·0 | 14,176 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Pirganj .. | 148·0 | 32,997 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bochaganj .. | 89·0 | 14,503 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Birganj .. | 141·0 | 25,559 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kaharul .. | 83·0 | 11,111 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 1,091·0 | 250,740 | 28·3 | 1·13 | 1 | Dinajpur North Muhammadan. |
| (2) Thakurgaon Subdn. | | | | | | |
| Khansama .. | 80·0 | 26,946 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Chirirbandar .. | 130·0 | 53,172 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Parbatipur .. | 166·0 | 70,464 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nawabganj .. | 168·0 | 51,895 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Ghoraghat .. | 70·0 | 16,053 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 614·0 | 218,530 | 24·6 | 0·98 | 1 | Dinajpur East Muhammadan. |

| District, subdivision, and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|--|--------------------|-------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Dinajpur—concd. | | | | | | |
| (3) Sadar Subdn. | | | | | | |
| Biral .. | 136.0 | 23,708 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Dinajpur .. | 123.0 | 44,936 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bansihari .. | 135.0 | 18,601 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kushmundi .. | 122.0 | 21,740 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kaliaganj .. | 160.0 | 14,917 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Itahar .. | 140.0 | 25,938 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Hemtabad .. | 112.0 | 13,004 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Raiganj .. | 131.0 | 19,361 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 1,064.0 | 187,705 | 21.2 | 0.85 | 1 | Dinajpur West Muhammadan. |
| Combined Jalpaiguri and Darjeeling. | 4,144.0 | 244,342 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 1 | Jalpaiguri cum Darjeeling Muhammadan. |
| Rangpur Dt. | | | | | | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 1,151.0 | 514,341 | 28.0 | 1.96 | 2 | |
| Nilphamari Subdn. | 639.0 | 311,723 | 17.0 | 1.19 | 1 | Nilphamari Muhammadan. |
| Kurigram Subdn. | 917.0 | 458,159 | 24.9 | 1.74 | 2 | |
| Gaibandha Subdn. | 789.0 | 552,617 | 30.1 | 2.11 | 2 | |
| Distribution of 2 seats in the Sadar subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Kotwali .. | 123.0 | 70,995 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gaugachara .. | 79.0 | 50,309 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Badarganj .. | 167.0 | 82,808 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kaliganj .. | 161.0 | 53,587 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Hatibandha .. | 112.0 | 28,962 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 642.0 | 286,661 | 15.6 | 1.09 | 1 | Rangpur North Muhammadan. |
| (2) Kaunia | | | | | | |
| Pirgacha .. | 102.0 | 45,709 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Mitapokhar .. | 198.0 | 85,031 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Pirganj .. | 153.0 | 69,748 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 509.0 | 227,630 | 12.4 | 0.87 | 1 | Rangpur South Muhammadan. |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--|----------------|--|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Rangpur—concd.— | Distribution of 2 seats in the Kurigram subdivision. | | | | | |
| (1) Bhurangamari. | 92.0 | 30,993 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nageshwari .. | 152.0 | 78,541 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Fulbari .. | 54.0 | 22,263 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Lalmonirhat .. | 129.0 | 65,497 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kurigaon .. | 100.0 | 56,868 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 527.0 | 254,162 | 13.8 | 0.97 | 1 | Kurigram North Muhammadan. |
| (2) Ulipur .. | 198.0 | 108,059 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Chilmari .. | 98.0 | 49,393 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Rahumari .. | 94.0 | 46,545 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 390.0 | 203,997 | 11.1 | 0.78 | 1 | Kurigram South Muhammadan. |
| | Distribution of 2 seats in the Gaibandha subdivision. | | | | | |
| (1) Sundarganj | 157.0 | 112,029 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sadullapur .. | 90.0 | 60,891 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gaibandha .. | 144.0 | 100,689 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 391.0 | 273,609 | 14.9 | 1.04 | 1 | Gaibandha North Muhammadan. |
| (2) Palashbari | 73.0 | 61,415 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gobindganj .. | 178.0 | 117,190 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Shaghata .. | 74.0 | 61,407 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Fulchuri .. | 73.0 | 38,996 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 398.0 | 279,008 | 15.2 | 1.06 | 1 | Gaibandha South Muhammadan. |
| Bogra Dt. .. | 1,384.0 | 905,638 | 100.0 | 4.00 | 4 | |
| (1) Shariakandi | 204.0 | 143,110 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gabtali .. | 97.0 | 88,620 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 301.0 | 231,730 | 25.6 | 1.02 | 1 | Bogra East Muhammadan. |
| (2) Bogra .. | 146.0 | 137,057 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sherpur .. | 116.0 | 43,102 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Dhunot .. | 96.0 | 74,594 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 358.0 | 254,753 | 26.1 | 1.12 | 1 | Bogra South Muhammadan. |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Popula- tion. | Percentage of popula- tion of sub- division or constituen- cy to popu- lation of the district concerned. | Seats ac- cording to percentage in previous column. | Alloca- tion of seats. | Name of consti- tuencies. |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Bogra—concd.— | | | | | | |
| (3) Shibganj .. | 121·0 | 94,625 | .. | .. | .. | Bogra North Muhammadan. |
| Khetal .. | 119·0 | 66,145 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Panchbibi .. | 101·0 | 38,493 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 341·0 | 199,263 | 22 | 0·88 | 1 | |
| (4) Kahalu .. | 100·0 | 56,539 | .. | .. | .. | Bogra West Muhammadan. |
| Dupchanchia .. | 63·0 | 41,301 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Jaypurhat .. | 102·0 | 43,965 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Adamdighi .. | 119·0 | 78,087 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 384·0 | 219,892 | 24·3 | 0·97 | 1 | |
| Pabna Dt. .. | 1,818·0 | 1,111,712 | 100·0 | 5·00 | 5 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 875·0 | 424,675 | 38·2 | 1·91 | 2 | |
| Serajganj Subdn. | 943·0 | 687,037 | 61·8 | 3·09 | 3 | |
| Distribution of 2 seats in Sadar subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Bera .. | 92·0 | 64,531 | .. | .. | .. | Pabna East Muhammadan. |
| Sujanagar .. | 130·0 | 55,405 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Santhia .. | 128·0 | 61,428 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Faridpur .. | 82·0 | 37,436 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 432·0 | 218,800 | 19·7 | 0·98 | 1 | |
| (2) Chatmohar | 141·0 | 57,040 | .. | .. | .. | Pabna West Muhammadan. |
| Atgharia .. | 71·0 | 23,138 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Pabna .. | 136·0 | 79,879 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sara .. | 95·0 | 45,818 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 443·0 | 205,875 | 18·5 | 0·92 | 1 | |
| Distribution of 3 seats in Serajganj subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Shazadpur | 170·0 | 143,591 | .. | .. | .. | Serajganj South Muhammadan. |
| Chauhali .. | 48·0 | 38,267 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Belkuchi .. | 60·0 | 54,941 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 278·0 | 236,799 | 21·3 | 1·06 | 1 | |
| (2) Serajganj .. | 122·0 | 123,164 | .. | .. | .. | Serajganj North Muhammadan. |
| Kazipur .. | 132·0 | 99,124 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 254·0 | 222,288 | 20·0 | 1·00 | 1 | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Pabna—concl'd.— | | | | | | |
| (3) Kamar-khanda. | 35·0 | 37,085 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Ullapara .. | 160·0 | 106,040 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Rayganj | 102·0 | 56,991 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Taras .. | 114·0 | 27,834 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 411·0 | 227,950 | 20·5 | 1·02 | 1 | Serajganj Central Muhammadan. |
| Malda Dt. .. | | | | | | |
| (1) Kharba .. | 139·0 | 48,093 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Harishchandra-pur. | 153·0 | 45,066 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Ratua .. | 136·0 | 45,896 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Manikchak .. | 97·0 | 15,613 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gajole .. | 105·0 | 17,880 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Malda .. | 88·0 | 10,016 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bamangola .. | 74·0 | 11,495 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Habibpur .. | 151·0 | 6,456 | .. | .. | .. | |
| English Bazar .. | 94·0 | 31,064 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bholahat .. | 50·0 | 16,283 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 1,087·0 | 247,862 | 43·3 | 0·87 | 1 | Malda North Muhammadan. |
| (2) Kaliachak .. | 195·0 | 100,245 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Shibganj .. | 130·0 | 99,505 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nawabganj .. | 118·0 | 86,802 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nachole .. | 110·0 | 7,474 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gomastapur .. | 124·0 | 30,055 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 677·0 | 324,081 | 56·7 | 1·13 | 1 | Malda South Muhammadan. |
| Dacca Dt.* .. | | | | | | |
| *Narayanganj Subdn. | 675·5 | 756,089 | 34·1 | 3·07 | 3 | |
| *Sadar .. | 1,224·0 | 701,654 | 31·6 | 2·84 | } 6 | |
| Manikganj .. | 509·0 | 360,243 | 16·2 | 1·46 | | |
| Munshiganj .. | 294·0 | 400,942 | 18·1 | 1·63 | | |

* Excluding Municipalities.

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| Distribution of 3 seats in Narayanganj subdivision. | | | | | | | |
| Dacca—contd. | | | | | | | |
| (1) Fatulla .. | 33.0 | 39,582 | .. | .. | .. | Narayanganj South Muhammadan. | |
| Narayanganj .. | 19.5 | 29,314 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Baidyabazar .. | 73.0 | 83,804 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Rupganj .. | 90.0 | 77,839 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 215.5 | 230,539 | 10.4 | 1.94 | 1 | | |
| (2) Araihsar or Gopaldi .. | 58.0 | 84,590 | .. | .. | .. | Narayanganj Central Muhammadan. | |
| Narsingdi .. | 37.0 | 90,990 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Sibpur .. | 88.0 | 79,513 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 233.0 | 255,093 | 11.5 | 1.04 | 1 | | |
| (3) Monohardi .. | 98.0 | 109,324 | .. | .. | .. | Narayanganj North Muhammadan. | |
| Raipura .. | 129.0 | 161,133 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 227.0 | 270,457 | 12.2 | 1.10 | 1 | | |
| Distribution of 6 seats in the Sadar, Manikganj and Munshiganj subdivisions. | | | | | | | |
| Munshiganj Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| (1) Munshiganj | 52.0 | 82,058 | .. | .. | .. | Munshiganj North Muhammadan. | |
| Tangbari .. | 49.0 | 73,070 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Serajdikhan .. | 63.0 | 57,512 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 164.0 | 212,640 | 9.6 | 0.86 | 1 | | |
| (2) Srinagar .. | 83.0 | 88,503 | .. | .. | .. | Munshiganj South Muhammadan. | |
| Lohajang .. | 47.0 | 99,794 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Dohar .. | 33.0 | 48,524 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Nawabganj .. | 91.0 | 62,002 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 254.0 | 298,828 | 13.5 | 1.22 | 1 | | |
| Manikganj Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Manikganj .. | 75.0 | 59,289 | .. | .. | .. | Manikganj East Muhammadan. | |
| Ghior .. | 59.0 | 36,137 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Saturia .. | 61.0 | 51,901 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Dhanrai .. | 114.0 | 89,093 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 309.0 | 236,425 | 10.7 | 0.96 | 1 | | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Popula- tion. | Percentage of popula- tion of sub- division or constituen- cy to popu- lation of the district concerned. | Seats ac- cording to percentage in previous column. | Alloca- tion of seats. | Name of consti- tuencies. |
|---|--------------------|------------------|--|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Dacca—concd— | | | | | | |
| Manikganj Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| (4) Singair .. | 82.0 | 63,709 | .. | .. | .. | Manikganj West Muhammadan. |
| Daulatpur .. | 75.0 | 49,368 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sibalaya .. | 66.0 | 31,478 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Harirampur .. | 91.0 | 68,361 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 314.0 | 212,916 | 9.6 | 0.86 | 1 | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| (5) Sripur .. | 174.0 | 62,373 | .. | .. | .. | Dacca North Muhammadan. |
| Kaliganj .. | 107.0 | 71,800 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kapasia .. | 139.0 | 86,685 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 420.0 | 220,858 | 9.9 | 0.89 | 1 | |
| (6) Tezgaon .. | 108.0 | 62,188 | .. | .. | .. | Dacca Central Muhammadan. |
| Sabhar .. | 137.0 | 63,484 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kaliakoir .. | 117.0 | 35,989 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Jaydebpur .. | 142.0 | 45,462 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Keraniganj .. | 62.0 | 74,049 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 566.0 | 281,172 | 12.7 | 1.14 | 1 | |
| Mymensingh Dt. | | | | | | |
| Jamalpur subdn. | 1,285.0 | 836,285 | 21.3 | 3.20 | 3 | Jamalpur North Muhammadan. |
| Sadar subdn. .. | 1,559.0 | 1,088,744 | 27.7 | 4.16 | 4 | |
| Tangail subdn. .. | 1,263.0 | 773,942 | 19.7 | 2.96 | 3 | |
| Netrakona subdn. | 1,147.0 | 529,610 | 13.5 | 2.03 | 2 | |
| Kishoreganj subdn. | 983.0 | 698,971 | 17.8 | 2.67 | 3 | |
| Total of constituency | 6,237.0 | 3,927,552 | 100.0 | 15.00 | 15 | |
| Distribution of 3 seats in the Jamalpur subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Nalitabari .. | 207.0 | 56,381 | .. | .. | .. | Jamalpur North Muhammadan. |
| Nokla .. | 67.0 | 50,333 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sherpur .. | 145.0 | 109,573 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sribardi .. | 119.0 | 79,921 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 538.0 | 296,408 | 7.5 | 1.13 | 1 | |
| (2) Dewanganj | 166.0 | 93,952 | .. | .. | .. | Jamalpur West Muhammadan. |
| Islampur .. | 121.0 | 91,677 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Melandaha .. | 89.0 | 71,218 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 376.0 | 256,847 | 6.5 | 0.98 | 1 | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Mymensingh— | | | | | | |
| <i>contd.—</i> | | | | | | |
| (3) Jamalpur .. | 217·0 | 160,274 | .. | .. | .. | Jamalpur South Muhammadan. |
| Madarganj .. | 87·0 | 65,004 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sarishabari .. | 67·0 | 57,752 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 371·0 | 283,030 | 7·2 | 1·08 | 1 | |
| Distribution of 4 seats in the Sadar subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Haluaghat .. | 164·0 | 45,427 | .. | .. | .. | Mymensingh Sadar North Muhammadan. |
| Phulpur .. | 230·0 | 154,006 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 394·0 | 199,433 | 5·1 | 0·77 | 1 | |
| (2) Muktagacha | 112·0 | 83,350 | .. | .. | .. | Mymensingh Sadar West Muhammadan. |
| Kotwali .. | 143·0 | 123,667 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Trisal .. | 92·0 | 80,799 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 347·0 | 287,816 | 7·3 | 1·10 | 1 | |
| (3) Iswarganj .. | 202·0 | 190,790 | .. | .. | .. | Mymensingh Sadar East Muhammadan. |
| Nandail .. | 126·0 | 121,638 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 328·0 | 312,428 | 7·9 | 1·19 | 1 | |
| (4) Phulbari .. | 184·0 | 110,262 | .. | .. | .. | Mymensingh Sadar South Muhammadan. |
| Bhaluka .. | 155·0 | 53,613 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gaffargaon .. | 151·0 | 125,192 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 490·0 | 289,067 | 7·4 | 1·11 | 1 | |
| Distribution of 3 seats in Tangail subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Nagarpur .. | 111·0 | 84,827 | .. | .. | .. | Tangail South-East Muhammadan. |
| Mirzapur .. | 144·0 | 86,465 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Basail .. | 162·0 | 74,681 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 417·0 | 245,973 | 6·3 | 0·95 | 1 | |
| (2) Tangail .. | 154·0 | 114,910 | .. | .. | .. | Tangail West Muhammadan. |
| Kalihatil .. | 178·0 | 118,808 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 332·0 | 233,718 | 5·9 | 0·89 | 1 | |
| (3) Ghatail .. | 178·0 | 89,343 | .. | .. | .. | Tangail North-West Muhammadan. |
| Madhupur .. | 185·0 | 72,882 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gopalpur .. | 151·0 | 132,026 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 514·0 | 294,251 | 7·5 | 1·13 | 1 | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Popula- tion. | Percentage of popula- tion of sub- division or constituen- cy to popu- lation of the district concerned. | Seats ac- cording to percentage in previous column. | Alloca- tion of seats. | Name of consti- tuencies. |
|---|--|------------------|---|---|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Mymensingh— concl'd— | Distribution of 2 seats in Netrakona subdivision. | | | | | |
| (1) Kendua .. | 116·0 | 119,799 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Madan .. | 102·0 | 46,730 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Khaliajuri .. | 112·0 | 13,207 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Mohanganj .. | 94·0 | 35,686 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Atpara .. | 72·0 | 45,387 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 496·0 | 260,809 | 6·6 | 0·99 | 1 | Netrakona South- West Muham- madan. |
| (2) Durgapur .. | 187·0 | 52,124 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kalmakanda .. | 160·0 | 35,131 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Purbadhala .. | 124·0 | 82,561 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Barhatta .. | 73·0 | 33,397 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Netrakona .. | 107·0 | 65,588 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 651·0 | 268,801 | 6·8 | 1·02 | 1 | Netrakona North- East Muham- madan. |
| | Distribution of 3 seats in Kishoreganj subdivision. | | | | | |
| (1) Hossainpur | 45·0 | 51,859 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Pakundia .. | 69·0 | 76,195 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kathiadi .. | 85·0 | 88,426 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 199·0 | 216,480 | 5·5 | 0·83 | 1 | Kishoreganj South- West Muham- madan. |
| (2) Kishoreganj | 80·0 | 80,117 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Karimganj .. | 75·0 | 79,352 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Tarail .. | 55·0 | 45,682 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Itna .. | 147·0 | 31,532 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 357·0 | 236,683 | 6·0 | 0·90 | 1 | Kishoreganj North- West Muham- madan. |
| (3) Mitamain .. | 125·0 | 35,571 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Astagram .. | 92·0 | 25,606 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nikli .. | 55·0 | 29,535 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bajitpur .. | 72·0 | 53,656 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bhalrabbazar .. | 44·0 | 55,522 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kullarchar .. | 39·0 | 45,918 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 427·0 | 245,808 | 6·3 | 0·95 | 1 | Kishoreganj South- East Muham- madan. |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|---|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| Faridpur Dt. .. | 2,356·0 | 1,507,157 | 100·0 | 6·00 | 6 | Gopalganj Muhammadan. Goalundo Muhammadan. | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 637·0 | 389,634 | 25·9 | 1·55 | 4 | | |
| Madaripur Subdn. | 716·0 | 695,299 | 46·1 | 2·77 | | | |
| Gopalganj Subdn. | 556·0 | 220,393 | 14·6 | 0·87 | 1 | | |
| Goalundo Subdn. | 447·0 | 201,831 | 13·4 | 0·80 | 1 | | |
| Distribution of 4 seats in Sadar and Madaripur subdivisions. | | | | | | | |
| (1) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Madhukhali .. | 47·0 | 23,267 | .. | .. | .. | Faridpur West Muhammadan. | |
| Faridpur .. | 123·0 | 62,463 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Charbhadrasan | 53·0 | 21,214 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Nagarkanda .. | 158·0 | 94,899 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Bhusna .. | 90·0 | 40,044 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 471·0 | 241,887 | 16·0 | 0·96 | 1 | | |
| (2) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Sadarpur .. | 97·0 | 72,834 | .. | .. | .. | Faridpur East Muhammadan. | |
| Bhanga .. | 69·0 | 74,913 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Madaripur Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Matbarer Char | 42·0 | 37,831 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Sibchar .. | 62·0 | 64,737 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 270·0 | 250,315 | 16·6 | 1·00 | 1 | | |
| (3) Madaripur Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Rajair .. | 87·0 | 51,129 | .. | .. | .. | Madaripur West Muhammadan. | |
| Madaripur .. | 103·0 | 107,638 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Kalkini .. | 94·0 | 80,092 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Palong .. | 64·0 | 63,604 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 348·0 | 302,463 | 20·1 | 1·21 | 1 | | |
| (4) Madaripur Subdn.— | | | | | | | |
| Gosairhat .. | 58·0 | 47,120 | .. | .. | .. | Madaripur East Muhammadan. | |
| Bhedarganj .. | 83·0 | 101,494 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Naria .. | 56·0 | 79,978 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Janjira .. | 67·0 | 61,676 | .. | .. | .. | | |
| Total of constituency | 264·0 | 290,268 | 19·3 | 1·16 | 1 | | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Sakarganj Dt. .. | 3,523·0 | 2,105,184 | 100·0 | 9·00 | 9 | |
| Patuakhali Subdn. | 1,182·0 | 572,350 | 27·2 | 2·45 | 2 | |
| Pirojpur Subdn. .. | 675·0 | 415,049 | 19·7 | 1·77 | 2 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 997·0 | 754,752 | 35·9 | 3·23 | 3 | |
| Dakshin Shabazpur Subdn. .. | 669·0 | 363,033 | 17·2 | 1·55 | 2 | |
| Distribution of 2 seats in Patuakhali subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Bauphal .. | 154·0 | 110,781 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Patuakhali .. | 132·0 | 87,511 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Mirzaganj .. | 57·0 | 48,042* | .. | .. | .. | |
| Betagi .. | 59·0 | 46,869 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 402·0 | 293,203 | 13·9 | 1·25 | 1 | Patuakhali North Muhammadan. |
| (2) Barguna .. | 122·0 | 66,743 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Amtoli .. | 349·0 | 103,495 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Galachipa .. | 309·0 | 108,909 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 780·0 | 279,147 | 13·3 | 1·20 | 1 | Patuakhali South Muhammadan. |
| Distribution of 2 seats in Pirojpur subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Patharghata | 90·0 | 33,256 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Mathbaria .. | 118·0 | 70,262 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bamna .. | 34·0 | 22,781 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Bhandaria .. | 56·0 | 49,687 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kathalia .. | 55·0 | 38,931 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 353·0 | 214,917 | 10·2 | 0·92 | 1 | Pirojpur South Muhammadan. |
| (2) Pirojpur .. | 75·0 | 64,186 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nazirpur .. | 95·0 | 28,969 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Swarupkati .. | 72·0 | 44,622 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Banaripara .. | 40·0 | 37,025 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Kowkhali .. | 40·0 | 25,330 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 322·0 | 200,132 | 9·5 | 0·85 | 1 | Pirojpur North Muhammadan. |

| District, subdivision and Police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--------------------|------------------|--|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Distribution of 3 seats in Sadar subdivision. | | | | | | |
| Bakarganj—<i>co neld.</i> | | | | | | |
| (1) Mehendiganj | 123·0 | 81,570 | .. | .. | .. | Bakarganj North Muhammadan. |
| Muladi .. | 68·0 | 63,827 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Hizla .. | 100·0 | 75,873 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 291·0 | 221,070 | 10·5 | 0·95 | 1 | |
| (2) Bakarganj .. | 141·0 | 113,793 | .. | .. | .. | Bakarganj South Muhammadan. |
| Rajapur .. | 58·0 | 52,155 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nalchiti .. | 83·0 | 65,977 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Jhalakati .. | 80·0 | 58,871 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 362·0 | 290,796 | 13·8 | 1·24 | 1 | |
| (3) Barisal .. | 93·0 | 75,174 | .. | .. | .. | Bakarganj West Muhammadan. |
| Babuganj .. | 56·0 | 52,027 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Uzirpur .. | 76·0 | 45,507 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Gauradi .. | 119·0 | 70,178 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 344·0 | 242,836 | 11·5 | 1·04 | 1 | |
| Distribution of 2 seats in Dakshinshabazpur subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Bhola .. | 131·0 | 76,539 | .. | .. | .. | Bhola North Muhammadan. |
| Daulat Khan .. | 178·0 | 102,339 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 309·0 | 178,878 | 8·5 | 0·77 | 1 | |
| (2) Baranadi .. | 103·0 | 65,568 | .. | .. | .. | Bhola South Muhammadan. |
| Tazumaddin .. | 112·0 | 42,388 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Lalmohan .. | 145·0 | 76,199 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 360·0 | 184,155 | 8·7 | 0·78 | 1 | |
| Tippera .. | 2,597·0 | 2,356,609 | 100·0 | 9·00 | 9 | } |
| Brahmanbaria Subdn. .. | 729·0 | 550,933 | 23·4 | 2·11 | 7 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 1,231·0 | 1,179,828 | 50·0 | 4·50 | | |
| Chandpur Subdn. .. | 637·0 | 625,848 | 26·6 | 2·39 | 2 | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Popula- tion. | Percentage of popula- tion of sub- division or constituen- cy to popu- lation of the district concerned. | Seats ac- cording to percentage in previous column. | Alloca- tion of seats. | Name of consti- tuencies. |
|---|--|------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Tippera—concl.— | Distribution of 7 seats in Brahmanbaria and Sadar subdivisions. | | | | | |
| Brahmanbaria Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| (1) Nasirnagar | 128.0 | 60,854 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Sarail .. | 82.0 | 67,809 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Brahmanbaria .. | 171.0 | 112,218 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 381.0 | 240,881 | 10.2 | 0.92 | 1 | Brahmanbaria North Muham- madan. |
| (2) Bancharampur | 67.0 | 94,352 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Nabinagar .. | 167.0 | 141,155 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 234.0 | 235,507 | 10.0 | 0.90 | 1 | Brahmanbaria South Muham- madan. |
| (3) Kasba .. | 114.0 | 74,545 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Burichang .. | 116.0 | 110,366 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 230.0 | 184,911 | 7.9 | 0.71 | 1 | Tippera North- East Muhamma- dan. |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| (4) Debiduar .. | 93.0 | 98,251 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Muradnagar .. | 133.0 | 125,808 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 226.0 | 224,059 | 9.5 | 0.86 | 1 | Tippera North Muhammadan. |
| (5) Homna .. | 65.0 | 82,097 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Daudkandi .. | 185.0 | 219,035 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 250.0 | 301,132 | 12.8 | 1.15 | 1 | Tippera West Muhammadan. |
| (6) Chandina .. | 172.0 | 140,053 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Comilla .. | 107.0 | 103,573 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 279.0 | 243,626 | 10.3 | 0.93 | 1 | Tippera Central Muhammadan. |
| (7) Laksham .. | 197.0 | 158,325 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Chowddagram .. | 163.0 | 142,320 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 360.0 | 300,645 | 12.7 | 1.14 | 1 | Tippera South Muhammadan. |
| Distribution of 2 seats in Chandpur subdivision. | | | | | | |
| (1) Matlabbar | 148.0 | 162,585 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Chandpur .. | 173.0 | 157,075 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 321.0 | 319,660 | 13.6 | 1.22 | 1 | Chandpur West Muhammadan. |
| (2) Kachua .. | 90.0 | 75,537 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Hajiganj .. | 134.0 | 117,776 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Faridganj .. | 92.0 | 112,875 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 316.0 | 306,188 | 13.0 | 1.17 | 1 | Chandpur East Muhammadan. |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Noakhali .. | 1,518·0 | 1,339,055 | 100·0 | 6·00 | } 6 | |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 1,178·0 | 1,021,689 | 76·3 | 4·58 | | |
| Feni Subdn. .. | 340·0 | 317,366 | 23·7 | 1·42 | | |
| Distribution of 6 seats in the district. | | | | | | |
| (1) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Beganganj .. | 159·0 | 187,045 | .. | .. | .. | Noakhali North Muhammadan. |
| Senbagh .. | 60·0 | 58,928 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 219·0 | 245,973 | 18·4 | 1·10 | 1 | |
| (2) Sadar Subdn. | | | | | | |
| Sudharam .. | 166·0 | 126,705 | .. | .. | .. | Noakhali Central Muhammadan. |
| Companyganj .. | 62·0 | 52,751 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 282·0 | 239,699 | 17·9 | 1·07 | 1 | |
| (3) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Ramganj .. | 117·0 | 146,649 | .. | .. | .. | Ramganj-cum-Rai- pur Muham- madan. |
| Raipur .. | 55·0 | 61,842 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 172·0 | 208,491 | 15·6 | 0·94 | 1 | |
| (4) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Lakshmipur .. | 140·0 | 167,981 | .. | .. | .. | Noakhali West Muhammadan. |
| Ramgati .. | 122·0 | 47,166 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 262·0 | 215,147 | 16·1 | 0·97 | 1 | |
| (5) Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Hatiya .. | 171·0 | 68,888 | .. | .. | .. | Noakhali South Muhammadan. |
| Sandwip .. | 126·0 | 103,734 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 297·0 | 172,622 | 12·9 | 0·77 | 1 | |
| (6) Feni Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| Feni .. | 155·0 | 145,723 | .. | .. | .. | Feni Muham- madan. |
| Pashuram .. | 44·0 | 29,525 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 286·0 | 257,123 | 19·2 | 1·15 | 1 | |

| District, subdivision and police-station. | Area in sq. miles. | Population. | Percentage of population of subdivision or constituency to population of the district concerned. | Seats according to percentage in previous column. | Allocation of seats. | Name of constituencies. |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Chittagong .. | 2,570·0 | 1,328,208 | 100·0 | 5·00 | 5 | Cox's Bazar Muhammadan. |
| Sadar Subdn. .. | 1,694·0 | 1,043,253 | 78·7 | 3·93 | 4 | |
| Cox's Bazar Subdn. | 876·0 | 282,955 | 21·3 | 1·06 | 1 | |
| Distribution of 4 seats in Sadar subdivision. | | | | | | |
| Sadar Subdn.— | | | | | | |
| (1) Satkania .. | 207·0 | 152,449 | .. | .. | .. | Chittagong South-Muhammadan. |
| Banskhali .. | 139·0 | 85,196 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Anwara .. | 61·0 | 55,083 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 407·0 | 292,728 | 22·1 | 1·11 | 1 | |
| (2) Patiya .. | 197·0 | 138,439 | .. | .. | .. | Chittagong South-Central Muhammadan. |
| Boalkhali .. | 54·0 | 45,433 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Rangania .. | 134·0 | 50,347 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 385·0 | 234,219 | 17·7 | 0·86 | 1 | |
| (3) Raojan .. | 94·0 | 67,747 | .. | .. | .. | Chittagong North-East Muhammadan. |
| Hathazari .. | 102·0 | 87,192 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Fatikchari .. | 292·0 | 91,412 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 488·0 | 246,351 | 18·6 | 0·93 | 1 | |
| (4) Sitakund .. | 186·0 | 61,463 | .. | .. | .. | Chittagong North-West Muhammadan. |
| Mirsaral .. | 172·0 | 94,385 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Chittagong .. | 4·0 | 15,950 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Double Moorings | 33·0 | 57,562 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Pachalals .. | 19·0 | 40,595 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Total of constituency | 414·0 | 269,955 | 20·4 | 1·02 | 1 | |