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## Chapter I

## PHYSICAL FEATURES AND LAY-OUT


#### Abstract

The Agro-Economic Research Section of the Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics carried out a socio-economic survey of a cluster of villages with Nalwar as the survey-centre in the Gulburga district during the year 1954-55. The survey was undertaken on behalf of the Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Government of India.

The chapter gives a brief description of the physical environments of the survey-centre in relation to those of the tahsil and the district. The description of the layout of the centre follows in greater details. Finally the methods of selection, the coverage and the conduct of the survey have been referred to briefly.


## Genesal

The district of Gulbarga, in which the survey are situated was one of the string of border districts to the west of the Hyderabad State. For purposes of administrative classification, it was included in the Marathawada region, though the language spoken in the district was mainly Kannada followed by Telugu and Urdu.

- The district lies between $16^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$ and $17^{\circ}{ }_{44}$ ' h North latitude and $76^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ and $78^{\circ}$ 20' east longitude with five districts of the Hyderabad State on three sides namely the Osmanabad and Bidar districts in
the north, the Atraf-I-Balda and Mahbubnagar districts to the east and the Raichur district to the south. The districts of Sholapur and Bijapur from of the Bombay State fyom the western boundary. The terrain is generally flat excepting a range of hills that enters the northern talukas of the district from Osmanabad in the Northwest and continues in a south-easterly direction for about 60 miles through tahsils the Aland and Chincholi talukas, which are hilly. The remaining talukas are almost flat, the slope of the country being from north to south and south east.

Prior to the Police Action in September, 1948, the district was divided into eight tahsils or talukas containing about $60 \%$ of the total area and
Paigahs/five Paigahs and two Jagirs with the remaining $40 \%$ of the total area. The State of Hyderabad was merged into the Indian Republic and within a period Paigahs / of one year, the administration of all Paigahs, Samsthanas and Jagirs was taken over by the Jagir Administrator appointed by the new Government. All under the lands thus annexed were integrated Diwani or peasant-proprietorship, by creating new tahsils with a Tahsildar in each. tahoil. Table 1.1 gives the position of land administration before and after the Police Action.

- The four new tahsils created after the abolition of Paigahs and Jagirs, included almost all the area of the five Pargahs and two Jagirs. The survey

1 Imperial Gazetteerfof India, 1908, p. 375.

Table 1.1 Land Administration before and after Police Action

Prior to September, 1948. After 1948.

1. Tahsils. Tahsils.
2. Gulburga 1. Gulburga.
3. Andola 2. Andola (Jewargi)
4. Chincholi 3. Chincholi
5. Kodangal 4. Kondangal.
6. Serum 5. Serum
7. Yadgir 6. Yadgir
8. Shahpur , 7. Shahpur
9. Shorapur 8. Shorapur

Paigaks /
2. Paigahs

1. Aland
2. Ferozabad
3. Afzalpur
4. Kalgi
5. Chitpur
6. Jagirs
7. Tandur
8. Kosagi
centre of the present survey is situated in the new .Chitapur Tahsil and was one of the villages under the Pargah of Chatepur.

The river Bhima enters the district in the west and flows in the south easterly direction dividing the district into two unequal portions, approximately $1 / 3$ and $2 / 3$ of the district in the
south and the north respectively. The river Kagna a tributory of the Bhima enters the district in the east and after flowing in a south westerly direction, meets the Bhima at Harguntha at the south west border of the Chitypur tahsil.

The district falls into two natural divisions, the Karnatic and the Telangana, the latter including the easternmost tahsils of Chincholi, Tandur, Kodangal and Yadgir. In the former the regar or black cotton soils predominates, which is interspersed with chalka; in the latter the chalka on reddish sandy soils predominate through regay is not wanting. In the Karnatic portion, in which the situated Chitapur tahsil is ineluded, mainiy rabi crops such as white jowar, toor, groundnuts, safflower, . cotton, etc. are grown, while in the latter, yellow jowar, bajra, rice, linseed, etc. are the common kharif crops. The area under rabi crops was $54.50 \%$ of the total area while that under kharif was nearly 44\%. About $1.54 \%$ wàs cultíhted in the summer and the area somp more than once was almost hil, about .11\%. Excppt 1.36\% of the total land of the district, irrigated mainly by wells all the remaining was dry jirayat land.

The district of Gulburga had an average rainfall of about $2 \mathbf{q}^{n}$ distributed more or less evenly. Water supply in general was considered satisfactory though a number of villages in the Chitapur tahsil were reported to experience shortage during the months of April and May.

[^0]| Gulbarga ${ }^{\text {was }}$ the largest of the Hyderaba |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tricts in the matter of geographical size, cultivated |  |  |  |  |
| area and production of certain cereals and oilseeds. |  |  |  |  |
| The comparative aspect is given in table 1.2 which |  |  |  |  |
| was constructed from the data published in the |  |  |  |  |
| Statistical Abstract of the State, 1952-53. |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1.2 : Acreages of the District and the State under different categories. |  |  |  |  |
| Categories | District average acreage. | Percent--age of district average acreage | Percent- <br> age of state <br> axerage <br> aoreage | Remarks |
| Total geographical area | 44,85,837 | 100.00 | 8.83 | Largest |
| Net area sown | 33,15,958 | 73.92 | 12.10 | n |
| Jowar | 14,09,318 | 43.37 | 15.30 | \# |
| Total cereals | 18,11,640 | 55.75 | 13.3 | n |
| Toor | 2,37,021 | 7.29 | 23.10 | n |
| Total Pulses | 5,52,837 | 17.01 | 11.47 | Second largest |
| Groundnut | 3,72,623 | 11.46 | 16.80 | Largest |
| Safflower | 2,00,779 | 6.178 | 22.88 | $\pi$ |
| Linseed | 78,534 | 2.420 | 21.07 | * |
| Total oilseeds | $745, \$ 76$ | 22.94 | 14.33 | V |

Table 1.3 gives the pattern of land utilization of the district at two points of time. It may be seen that nearly 3 lakh acres of land has been brought under cultivation during the last 22 years.

The table below (1.4) gives the proportion of land under important crops for the district as well as the survey centre of Nalwar. Figures for the Chitapur tahsil were not available as the records were reporfed to be incomplete and in disorder, the tahsil

Table 1.3 : District Gulbarga : |  | Pattern of Land |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Utilization at vande two |
|  | pxa periods. |
|  | 193 and $1952-53$ |

| Categories | $\begin{gathered} 1931 \\ \text { Dist. Gazetteer } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1952-53 <br> Statistical Abstract |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual <br> Acres | Percentages | - Actual <br> Acres | Percent- ages |
| Forests | 171500 | 4.13 | 95833 | 2.14 |
| Not available for cultivation | 691532 | 16.67 | 209298 | 4.66 |
| Land put to nonagricultural use | - | - | 107018 | 2.38 |
| Cultivable waste | 159475) | 3.85 | 122822 | 2.74 |
| Permanent Pastures |  |  | 150377 | 3.35 |
| Current fallows | 97390 | 2.35 | 277030 | 6.18 |
| Misc. trees | - | - | 28224 | . 63 |
| Other fallow land | - | - | 179277 | 4.00 |
| Net area sown | $\begin{array}{r} 4955 \\ 3027447 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.52 \\ & 73.00 \end{aligned}$ | 3315958 | 73.92 |

having been a Jagir area before the Police Action. The percentages for the district and the village generally agree and it can be surmised that the tahsil also represents identical crop practices. The survey centre of Nalwar can be said to represent, in the matter of crop practices, the whole of the district, with small variations. Whereas $74 \%$ of the total area of the district is under cultivation the same for the village works out to $86 \%$. The proportion of total cultivated land under Kharif and Rabi crops amounts to about 55 and 44 per cent respectively for the district; the same for the village works out to 53 and 47 per cent respectively.

## Table 1.4: Percentage of area under different  centre and "hi district

## 

| Crops | Nalwar | Gulburga Biatrict |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jowar | 43.79 | 43.37 |
| Bajra | 5.73 | 5.35 |
| Rice | 1.99 | 1.57 |
| Wheat | . 77 | 1.42 |
| Other cereals | 2.34 | 4.04 |
| Total cereals | 54.62 | 55.75 |
| Toor | 13.13 | 7.29 |
| Gram | 1.92 | 2.68 |
| Moong | . 72 | 1.76 |
| Other pulses | . 49 | 5.27 |
| Total cereals pries | 16.26 | 17.00 |
| Groundnut | 22.84 | 11.47 |
| Safflower | 4.00 | 6.18 |
| Sesamum | . 86 | 2.06 |
| Linseed | . 78 | . 2.42 |
| Others | - | . 82 |


| Total ollseeds | 28.48 | 22.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cotton | .50 | 2.85 |
| Sany $n$ | .07 | 红或 |
| Chillies | .07 | - |
| others Total others | . 64 | $\begin{array}{r} -24 \\ 4.30 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL | 100.00 | 100.00 |

It may be seen that though there are variations in the proportions of individual crop acreages, the percentages for the total acreage under cereals and pulses are almost identical. The district acreage under oilseeds is proportionately lower than that of the village. On the other hand that under other miscellaneous crops including cotion and chillies is higher than that of the village. These variations can be attributed to regional cropping practices, soil conditions, etc.

The district is served by the Central and the Nizam State Railway systems. The former enters the district from Sholapur in the west. It runs in an the cith of easterly direction upto/Gulbarga atation and then takes a sharp south-easterly course. It passes through the south-western parts of the Chitapur tahsil and has four stations in the tahsil namely Martur, Shahabad, Wadi and Nalwar. The Nizam State Railway starting from the: state capital meets the Central Railway at Wadi, which is/important junction. The tahsil headquarters of Chitapur is the only station station on this portion/in the tahsil. Thus the railways serve only five places, four of which have been categorised by the 1951 census as noncity urban places except Martur. Of these, Shahabad and Wadi have a number of atone quarries: Chitapur is the tahsil headquarters and Nalwar serves as the forwarding station for the important stonequarries of Kollur, five miles to the south of Nalwar, on the eastern bank of the Bhima river.

According to the Statistical Abstract of the State (1952-53), the P.W.D. road mileage of the

District was $486 ; 438$ metalled roads and 48 murum oncs peactos These roads menty converge on the $\frac{d}{p i s t r i c t}$ place of Gulbarga and serve the taluka places of Aland, Shahpur, Shorapur $h$ Afzalpur in the weston parts-of-the=district. An eastward road connects the district place with the tahsil place of Serum, tahsil a portion of which passes through the di-atrict. Two years prior to the survey, Shahabad was linked with the district place by ra a road meeting the above Gulbarga - Serum road. There was no other roads in the tahsil.

The tahsil of Chitapur in general and Nalwar in particular was put to geeat inconveniences due to shortage of pucca roads. Thwe A return journey from Nalwar to Chitapur at a distance of only 20 miles took 16 hours as there was no direct road and necessidate? the railway journey weant waiting at Wadi for
 hoursje In addition to this, Nalwar was a station for passenger trains only.

The survey centre was bewerer connected to adjoining villages by carttracks, running across cultivated fields. During the survey year, the village 2-mile distance between the place and the railway made into station was foined=by a pucca road with a metalled base partly by donations of labour Land partly by sanctions from the Government.

The district had, according to the êtatistical Abstract of the State in 1952-53, been regulated markets of which thege were in the Chittapur tahsil. The proportion works out to about 157 villages frem regulated market for the district
and 39 villages per regulated market for the tahsil. The arrivals in the three regulated markets in the tahsil amounted 120.5 thousand pallas valued at 60.95 iakhs of rupees. These figures amount ${ }^{2}$ to $10.53 \%$ and $10.6 \%$ of the arrivals and value of all the ten markets taten tog other
of the district/ The markets at Shahabad and Chitapur were the one sas are medium-sized markets whereas that at Nalwar fr a small market.

The population of the district according to the 1951 Gensus was $14,48,944$, returning a density of 203 persons per square mile. The Gensus divides the district into urban and rural regions, the respective densities being 163 and 40,434 persons per square mile. The tahsil of chitlpur, with a population of $1,45,058$ and 4 urban towns, namely Chitppur, Shahabad, Kadi (Hulkatta) and Nalwar, returns 152 and 49976 persons per square mile as the rural and urban densities respectively.

Tible. 1.5
The table-below presentis the Censugfata for the district, the tahsil and the fown according to census livelihood classifications. The data are given in percentages and hap been split up into three categories, for the district and the tahsil namely rural, urban and total for the sake of comparison. Since all the they are data are taken from the same source; they presamed to be (Table on next page).

The above table in bringing out the differences between the pattern of occupational structure of the district, the tahsil and the survey-centre, also gives a certain general idea as to the occupations followed by the people. The overwhelming importance of nonagricultural occupations to the urban population forming $21 \%$ of the total population of the district

Table No. 1.5: Census Data : Distribution of population according to livelihood classes.


Table No. 1.6:Classification of sample houses according to types and community of owners.

| Caste | No. of households <br> in the No. Sample | ype I <br> Value |  | Value |  | III |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Lingayat | 511 | 54000 | 3 | 10000 | - | - | - |  |
| 2. Kabbaliger | 72 | 3000 | 5 | 2200 | - | - | - |  |
| 3. Iliger | 111 | 8000 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 4. Kurubar | $2 \quad 2$ | 1000 | , | -00 | - |  | - |  |
| 5. Uppar | 1 | - | 1 | 600 |  |  | $\overline{7}$ |  |
|  | 5 | - | - | - | 3 | 120 | 2 | 30 |
| 7. Muslim j | 23 | 20000 | 1 | 800 | - | 30 | - |  |
| 8. Madag | 31 | 1500 | - | - | 1 | 30 | 1 | 5 |
| 9. Holer | 51 | 2000 | 3 | 1600 | 1 | 25 |  | - |
| Total | 3121 | 89500 | 13 | 15200 | 5 | 175 | 3 | 35 |
| Average Value per house |  | 4262 |  | 1169 |  | 35 |  | 12 |

is obvious. The proportions engaged in 'Production other than cultivation', $29 \%$ for the district and nearly 34\% for the tahsil, are to a significant extent due to the existence of handloom weaving industry and the quarry industry in both the regions.

The pattern of occupations and the proportions and engaged in it in the rural areas of both the district and the tahsil exhibit the general trend namely the overwhelming importance of agriculture.

The data were split up urban and ruralwise because of the census classification of the survey centre of Nalwaf̆ as a 'non-city urban area'. A comparison between the proportions for the centre and those for the urban andrural areas of the district and the tahsil shows that thewn Nalwar does not represent either the urban or rural pattern. Whereas the latter show large variations between agricultural and non-agricultural occupations, the-variationo bötwean-agricultural-and-non-agricultural-occupationg, the variations between these for the-town-of Nalwar are less sharp presumably due to the existence of the regulated market and the railway station at Nalwar , situated in a predominantly rural agricultural tract. The proportions for the tillage in fact conform more to the general 'total' characteristics of the district and the tahsil.

A comparison between the agricultural classes in the rural areas of the district and the tahsil with the survey centre reveals that the proportion in the dass first livelihood in the former is greater while that in the third livelihood class is smaller than that for the-town-of Nalwar. A comparison between the -hree non-agricultural classes of the areas shows that
the proportions engaged in all the four livelihood classes are greater principally due to reasons given above.
Timber 1.5

The Survey Centre
 46 5 and lies almost in the southernmost tip of the central tahsil of Chitapur. The town had a railway station of the Central Railway. The railway lines divide ${ }^{d}$ the village into two unequal portions as can be seen from the map.

The town is bounded by the villages Konchur and Ladlapur in the north, villages Sanknur and Yargol in the east, the villages Yargol and Sugur in the south and the villages Malag, Kulkunda and Kadbur in the west. / It-acts-as-the-marketing-place-for all these villages also $/ /$

The Gavthen io situated about two miles to the west of the railway station and $h=t \rightarrow$ be reached either on foot, or bullock cart or tonga. During the survey year the road between the Gavthan and the Railway station was repaired and metalled.

The map shows the principal roads emanating from the Gavthan. A road joining Ladlapur and Yargol passes through the eastern side of the village. All these Were
are cart roads specifically laid during the time of the settlement of the village.

The map also shows the location of the tart or ed torments
wet lands situate the past. and adjoining the proungahion was by railway lines The Water $/$ iss supplied 度rom the two big tanks shown in the map.

On the both sides of the railway lines the were Gayran or grazing lands of the town are situated.
A large portion of the eastern outskirts of the town was
io a grazing land with two small spurs of the Sanknur rill ranges. These hills mainly coupply the requirements of fuel of the town.

The map also showsthe location of six bigger Laman tandas or groups out of the nine situated in
vilage were
the town- The remaining three<situated around the railway station. The Lamans are * tribal people and perferred es generally/live outside the residential area of villages and preferabiy near"hills. (The-cutting and seiling of wood for fuel-purposes is mainly done by Laman women.)

The terrain in which the town was situated is flat and unbroken by trees or hillocks except around the northern end of the railway lines. There are a number of palm trees in the area. These trees arewere tapped for toddy. Round about the Gavthan area there were are a few mango and neem trees.
vilare was situated
The town-lies in a tract getting an average rainfall of 20 to 25 inches. During the survey year the town received a rainfall estimated at about 20 inches and considered satisfactory by the local cultivators. There was no rain-gauge in the village and as such the exact precipitation could not be measured.

The town had no river or stream. During the monsoons, however, a small streamlet collecté rainwater from the fields and flowedin the eastern fields between the station and the Gavthan. It dried up soon after the rains.
arus
Drinking water is supplied by wells and is adeacre quate. There are 44 wells in the Gavthan area, which supply water to the residents except the untouchables who have four wells of their own. The water of the was wells in the Gavthan is not available to the untouchables, Holeyas (Mahars) and Madigs (Mangs). The water of almost all the wells was, however, reported (bratish) that of to be tasteless except/one which was used by more than a hundred families fox tho-oake-of water for drinking purposes. Other wells were used for purposes of washing and bathing.

All the wells in the Gavthan area were pucca wells, built with stones and cement. All these were privately owned.

According to the village records there were 20 other trells, 19 of which were privately owned. These wells were situated outside the Gavthan area in fields. Two of these were used by the advanced castes and/by the untouchables for purposes of drinking water and 14 for use of livestock. Out of these 14 , the water of 4 was specifically mentioned as being used for purposes of gardening. Only one of the 20 wells was a public well congtructed by the government. (The poot-Wereprivatoly armed.)

The two tanks, shown in the map, were government tanks, the water of which was used for irrigation. The principal irrigated crop was rice, with 165 acres 1.e. about 2\% of the total area under cultivation ef the-tewn The rice lands, termed as tari or wet arere situated adjacent to the tanks and are shown in the mape

The towt had a carm panchayat, a Market Committee (单egulated 位arket), a post office and a middle school
teaching upto 4th standard English. Descriptive and occupational details of these follow in subsequent chapters.

## The Layout of Nalwar

The Gavthan situated in the south western part of the village was a heart-shaped area, as can be seen from a rough sketch of the village layout. It was about 2 furlongs in breadth and length and had an area of 57 acres and 6 gunthas.

The Gavthan was traversed by two principal roads dividing it into four thequal parts, the south western part being the smallest. The approvedroad between the railway station and the village in the east, turned slightly southwards and extended upto the Siddheshwar Math, situated about half a furlong bdyond the Gavthan limit/p This Nath is not shown in the layout. Another road cut the Gavthan area at the southern tip and ran straight northward ending near the Maruti temple. Between the intersection of this road with eastwest approach road and the Maruti temple, lay the main marketing place of the village. This road was lined in both sides with all types of shops, including Adat shops. These two roade were katcha cart tracks, broken throughout showing the stone soling beneath;during rainy season, they were strewn with muddy weter pools.

The other roads, shown by dotted ines in the layout, were mainly gullies and bye-lanes, often as narrow as not to allow the passage for a bullock cart. Often they were the empty spaces between the walls of
the adjacent houses. All bye-lanes are, therefore, not shown in the layout.

The Chavdi where the village records were kept, and which also served as the meeting place of Panand also as chayat members the place where inspection and inquiries by visiting officials were held, occupied an almost central position within easy distance of the market as well the residential houses of influential and wealthy families. The office of the market fommittee was situated near the southern tip of the busy market atrip near the intersection. The oil mill was outside the Gavthan limit on the road leading to the Math.
ethnie
The layout, also gives broad othine grouping of the village. As everywhere, the comparatively well-placed c ommunity, Lingayats in the case of Nalwar, were domiciled near or round about the main marketing strip, other communities living nearer the eastern and southern boundries of the Gavthan. Brabmins and Marwaries were smaller groups and were dispersed in Lingayat localities. The Muslims lived in two or three clusters in the north and south-eastern parts of the village. The Kabbahers, most of whom were either small cultivators or agricuitural labourers Gued also tafed in the eastern parts, in the neighbourhood of Muslims. Artisan communities, like the Panchals, washerman and barbers were distributed among the predominantly Kabbanger localities. An exception to this general pattern was the domicile of the two Sangar (Chambar) families besides the market strip; and surrounded by Ingayat households. Small communities like the Vaddars and Korravars, lived on the periphery of the Gavthan, generally in temporary huts.

The depressed classes, namely, the Holeyas and the Madags lived outside the village in two separate groups, as shown in the layout. The group nearer the village was that of the Holeyas, who were socially higher lived batter than the Madags. The Madags ateazed to the east of the Holeyas group, in close proximity but in a separate group.

A major group namely the Lamans, however, lived clusters
outside the Gavthan in smallegroups, called Tandas and identified by the name of the leader of each Tanda. The map of the villages gives the location thet were mudus anctllye absministation of the nine Laman Tandas, belonging to-the-village. oney tencare were a tribal group that always preferred to stay away from Gavthan areas and the nearer the ${ }^{\circ}$ hills.

Maretancendoronth.

Housing
The type and structure of housing available in any village, is generally decided, among other things by the availability of the types of building material and skilled labour locally. The three villages under survey had almost all their pucca houses, as distinct from temporary huts, built of stone available in the quarries nearby. The village Nalwar, had two quarries, one near its gavthan and the other, known as the Sugur quarry, about two miles away. The Sugur quarry was mainly worked for quarrying stone slabs, generally used for flooring and largely exported. Thicker slabs as well as irregular waste material that had to be unearthed in order to reach the lower layers of slabs, were used as building material. : The smaller quarry at Nalwar was almost exclusively worked to quarry stones for purposes of house construction. Duessed stones, thicker and thinner varieties of stone slabs and waste material from the quarries were used in house construction in all the three villages according to the economic welbeing of house owners. As was generally the case, families owning substantial lands, had larger houses built of better and costlier variety of stone, while poorer families used the cheaper waste biviloug washer material for Ltheir houses.

Stone was extensively used in housebuilding, majority of houses having stone slabs as roofing material. Bigger houses, owned by rich cultivators and traders, had stone flooring also. The small enclosed yard in the middle of the house was generally paved with stone slabs with a small stone-tank in
one corner for storing water for cattle.
Houses could be broadly classified as big and small houses, the former having four or more rooms and the latter having one or two rooms only. An average big house was built on the lines of a 'Vada' or a small castle with an open square in the middle of the house where cattle were tied. The residential rooms were built around three sides of this courtyard, the fourth side being enclosed by a wall with a door opening on the road. Running round the yard purpose was a varandah generally used for sleeping $\alpha$ by the males. Generally fill front rooms opened on this verandah. Different rooms were reserved for diffferent specific purposes such as cooking, for worship and for storage of implements. Bigger houses had underground cellars for the specific purpose of storing grain. The storage room [entity had a mazanine floor where fodder was stacked. The Houses were generally ill-ventilated and ill - lis he-drotie of all roomo-beligg generally-dack. Some proshaking round vision for light and air was made by keeping holes

monty infomorroomsonity Windows were generally absent.

The smaller type of house was a singleoroom construction house, (with a stone verandah in the front. near the entrance. Cattle were tied near the entrance admitted wising during the dry months and taker the house during the rainy season. The single room which constituted the house did not have any windows, and wasemerelly darker such-ometill houses (Occasionally) had fir rooms. Aton

As mentioned earlier stone was used for all types of purposes including cooking. It was reported that the poorer people prepared bread on slab-floor
and baked it on a stone oven.
A peculiarity that was observed was the use of irregularity-cut stone slabs for roofing. Irregular and unpolished reefers were placed in the walls as a ceiling on which the stone slabs were spread haphazardly. The only care taken was to cover any every possible holep in the roof.

The third type of residential dwelling was the hut. The Lamans constituting one of the major groups of Nalwar with about $21 \%$ of the total number of families live mainly in huts. They lived away fatom the Gavthan in groups and on land belonging to others. Presumably this along' with the fact that they were economically backward was the reason which made them stay in huts. The huts were prepared with palm leaves, the thatched frames used as roofs gereily touching the ground. Almost invariably the huts had a single room which accommodated, during the wet seasonk, cattle and sheep $2 \pm 00$ for.

Certain tables are pregented below in order to have a more precise idea about types of houses found in the survey centre. The tables were prepared from the housing data collected for the farm business survey of selested cultivators in the region. The farm Kurimen was a sample survey<as such the following types may be taken as representative.

Typer Houses are classified into four tỵpes

## as follows:

Type I : Stone plinth, stone walls and stoneslab roofing.

Type II : No plinth, stone walls and stone slabroofing.

Type III : No plinth, stone walls and thatching of palm leaves.

Type IV : Huts.

Some of the houses in type I had leakproof mudroofs which were used for sleepinghduring the summer. dry-goesont

Taber 1.6
The table-below fooxt paga) gives the distri-
bution of the 43 houses included in the sample. The in fan businen sample
number of Khatedars ${ }^{\text {w was }} 32$ out of which only one reported living in rented housep. All the rest had owned residential houses.

$$
\text { Talch } 1.6
$$

Value of houses in the above table has been taken as reported and is subject to Iimitations such as either overvaluation on account of a certain pride attached to one's anceestral household or undervaluation owing to fear of the onquiry itself. The values are essentially quesses in a majois o oceses.

The table brings out the ownership pattern also. It may be seen that the Lingayate and Muslims, two of the major groups of the survey region owned houses, other than those used for the residence of the reporting families. Thus five reporting Lingayat $\$$ families owned fourteen houses, seven of them used for domicile by the families themselves including a small house used as a rest room, five residential houses situated in the village Kulkundi owned by the biggest Khatiedar in the sample, a shop and a small house used for storing fodder. Among the two fuslim families, the bigger family owned three buildings namely a residential housies, a godown and a shop. The other family had a single residential house.

The table also gives the approximate average value of each type of house. The values reflect the nature of construction of the houses especially those included in the third type. These houses were bady constructed houses, resembling the fourth type more closely: The material used for walls was naste
material from the quarries, brought almost free of charge. The value is slightly more than those in the fourth type namely the hutso It may be noted in this context that out of the 13 houses, 8 belonging to depressed castes like the Madigs and Holers and 5 belonging to the tribal community of Lamans, 8 houses including those of all the five Laman families, fail in the third and fourth type of houses.

In the table below the average size of the denoted
house, as represented by the living area is given. The selected Khadedars have been grouped into'three groups, according to size of cultivated area. The ifrst group includes il khatedars holding cultivated buwn
 land between/20 to everacres and the third, with 11 khatedars hold cultivated land between 12to In acres.
Table No.1.7 : Average civirea according to groups of selected khatedars and types of houses.

| Groups of khatedars | Type I <br> Average <br> area per <br> house sq. <br> feet | Type II Average Area per house sq. feet | Type III average area per house sq. feet | Type IV average area per house sq. feet. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1948 | 1476 | 180 | 36 |
| II | 144 | 221 | 100 | - |
| III | 739 | 80 | 176 | 220 |
| Total | 1580 | 365 | 162 | 159 |

The general tendency of each successive type having a smaller living area is apparent. The general average of the last type is affected by the existance of two bigger aized huts owned by two Laman families. The huts were of a much smallmsize than the general average for the type.

Finally, the tables giving average living area per head according to castes and types are given below. For the purpose of these tables, the total number of persons in each khatedar family, irrespective of their age or status was taken into. account. The area of houses specifically mentioned as residential house was taken into consideration, for in-purfose of 7his table.
Table : 1.8 Average living area per head according

| Caste | Average living area per head(sq. feet) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lingayat | 562.4 |
| Kabbalser | 40.5 |
| Iligar | 73.5 |
| Kurubar | 31.4 |
| Uppar | 27.7 |
| Laman | 40.0 |
| Musilm | 211.3 |
| Madag | 41.33 |
| Holer | 64.00 |

The averages are principally affected by the sise of families in each caste. The table however generally brings out the fact that on the average the Lingayats and Muslims were better situated in the matter of living space than the rest. Among the rest the single Iligar family had a'fairly large house but also had a family consisting of 16 persons. The Lamans, generally, staying in huts, had bigger living area. The living area for the remaining varied from 27.7 sq. feet to 41.3 sq . feet.

The table below gives the average per head living area according to type of For the purpose of this table also only those houses that were used for residential purposes by the reporting families, were taken into consideration.

Gable No. 1.9 : Average living area per head according to type


The wide disparity between the first type and other types may be seen. The per head area for the fourth type is larger than that for the third type presumably because; as mentioned earlier, of the inclusion of two large huts owned by Laman families. It may also be due to the fact, in addition to the size of the residing family, that a laxger hut costs proportionately less than a larger house.

There had been a large amount of house renting during the survey year. 'With the exception of a single house in type $I$ and two houses in type $\pi$ all the houses in the above two types were reported
-owners . II T to have been let. Houses in types three and eowr did not report aeceipt of any rent. The total population of Nalwar during the survey year was 6363 persons and showed an increase of 574 persons.
above the 1951 census figure of 5789. The increase in the number of households during the period was 233. A large part of this increase was composed of immigrants to Nalwar due to various reasons. According to the General Family Schedule, 100 families had migrated to Nalwar during the previous 4 years. In addition to those who had to go to Nalwar on transfer in respective departments, many had inmigrated to Nalwar for the purpose of seeking a living.
$i$
These circumstances presumably gave rise to house-letting by owners. The table below gives a type-caste-wise distribution of houses and the rent received by each.

Table $f 10$ : Caste and type-wise distribution of houses let on hire.

| Caste | Type I |  | Type II |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of houses | Rent <br> (Annual) | No. of houses | Rent <br> (Annual) |
| Lingayat | 10 | 500 | 2 | 200 |
| Kabbaligar | 2 | 124 | 4 | 107 |
| Iliger | 1 | 200 | - | - |
| Kurbur | 2 | 44 | - | - |
| Uppar | - | - | 1 | 18 |
| Muslim | 3 | 2500 | 1 | 48 |
| Madag | 1 | 50 | - | - |
| Holer | 1 | 50 | 3 | 90 |
| Total | 20 | 3468 | 11 | 463 |

Only the laman khatedars, none of whom owned any house either in type one or two, did not report annual any house-letting. The average $\mu^{r e n t}$ per house amounted to Rs. 173 and Rs. 42 for types and theresectively. The Muslim family having three houses, a residential house, a shop and a godown reported receipt of Rs. 2,000, Rs. 400 and Rs. 100 as rent respectively. The actual rates of rent per unit of area let out could not be calculated as no data as to the area let out was available.

Of the 32 khatedar families in the sample, 17 reported having cattle sheds. The remaining 15 reported the practice of tying their cattle in the open during the dry months and inside the house during the rainy season.

In the table presented below, the cattle sheds are grouped into three types: (1) Those having stone walls, and stone roofing, (2) those having stone walls and palm leafa thatching and (3) only palmleaf thatching without any walls.

A peculiar phenomenon in this regard was the letting $\alpha=\frac{o n}{}$ rent of the cattle sheds. 9 out of the 17 cattle sheds in the sample, were let on hire, The table gives the reported rents and also the average rent for each type. The third type of cattle-sheds was not hired out.

Average rent has been calculated on the basis of the number of cattle sheds and not their area as, in many instances; the sheds were partly let out and the exact area that was let out was not known. Types of and were of a more permanent and pacca III

Table $\mid-11$ : Average area, value and rent of cattle sheds occuring in the farm butiness samples.

| Types of cattle sheds | No. of cattle sheds in each type | Area Total Average |  | Value <br> Total Average |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rent } \\ \text { (Annual) } \\ \text { Total Ave- } \\ \text { rage } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type I | 7 | 1507 | 215 | 4000 | 571 | 186 | 37 |
| Type II | 5 | 832 | 166 | 490 | 98 | 21 | 4 |
| Type III | 5 | 373 | 74 | 51 | 10 | - | - |

as well as size of those in this type. The Table shows the general tendency of decrease in area, value

[^1] as well as rent/from type ofe to type three.

With the exception of Lamans, Holers and Madags who stayed outside the Gavthan area and about a dozen houses near the railway station, all the houses were located in the Gavthan area. Generally two adjacent houses had separate walls except the shops in the market stretch.

The Gram Panchayat Samiti of Nalwar levied a tax on houses at the rate of 4 annas per cent of estimated value of houses upto Rs. 1500. Houses valued beyond Rs. 1500 were taxed at the flat rate of Re. 5 per house. Collection of this tax amounted to Re. 2443-1200 during 1952-53. The valuation was entrusted to a committee of three Panchayat members. Till the survey year, however, the Panchayat had not prepared any"list of houses or owners. It is therefore, not possible to give a further analysis of housing pattern or castewise ownership.

The Panchayat, in 1953-54, constructed a row of latrines meant for the use of females. The
latrines were constructed on the southern outskirts of the Gavthan. These latrines did not provide adequate privacy and hence were seldom used. Arrangements for cleaning them at regular intervals, were also not done.

The Chavadi, where the Patwari of the village kept his records and where the Panchayat assembled, was a stone building closed on three sides. It had raised stone plinth of about 10 to 12 feet high, with a row of steps. The middle hall of the Chavadi was open on one side. There were two rooms on each side, one used for keeping the Patwari's records, the other with heavily barred door used for the purposes of temporary secte custody of criminals, the remaining two on the western aide being reserved for accommodating visiting officials, etc. Near the Chavadi and almost attached to it were two additional stonebuilt rooms for use as kitchen and servants, $\mathrm{q}^{\text {chutens. }}$ $41 \mathrm{H}^{-}-\mathrm{Clame}$.
were two latrines attached/which were cleaned daily by the scavenger, in thesewing gli Racininy stahon.

There were no latrines in the village except the abovementioned ones. the People generally visited the fields lying all around the Gavthan area for the purpose.

## CHAPTER II.

Castes, Occupations and Village Institutions.

The chapter presents a general picture of the people in the village, their castes and institutions, their occupational distribution and finally the Labour structure.

The first part deals with the distribution of the local families according to caste and occupational groups. Comprehensive tables regarding the above are presented for obtaining a total view of each of the three villages under survey. These tables also help a cortain broad comparison between the villages. Description of individual occupations may be found in subsequent chapters.

The Chapter goes on to describe certain village institutionse Detailed structural and operational accounts of education, Gram Panchayat Samiti and the traditional system, of customary payments in kind Ifxes and paid for certain essential servicespars given

Finally the labour structure of the village is given. Thia contains certain broad denographic data auch as sex-ratio, age structure family types otc. Distribution of males and females according to earner status; pattern of carnerhood familywise and classification of adults according to broad vocational groups is also presented.

Some of the bigger tables in the Chapter ara given in the and. 1. Castes and Occupations.

Table 2.1 gives castewise distribution of families according to occupations, land ownarship etc, of the three villages. The principal major caste groups, it may be seen, were Lingayate and Kabbaligers, engaged mainiy in agriculture, Holifas and Madags who were the untouchables, and tribals like the Lamans Vaddars and the Bedars among' the Hindus and Muslias. It may be pointed out here that the Lingayats who for all purposes ars Hindus, maintain that they are different sect, anay from the Hindus.

A comparison between the castes at Kulkundi and Nalwar reveals that whereas the former sufferedfrom the absence of certain essential artisan castes such as the carpenter, the blacksmith and the potters, the latter had not only all these different types village of $h_{\text {functionaries but had in addition certain other }}$ caste groups such as the Marwadis, an essentially trading community, the Hatgars among Lingayats • engaged traditionally in weaving, the Samagars, superior workers in leather, etc. This ifs reflected in the pattern of occupations too, $16 \%$ of Kulkundi's rexpations sheri-kim families being in Lagriculture while the proportion for Naluar was 42

The second type of information that is set out in the above table is the pattern of occupation. From Kulkundi to Nalwar, the pattern as well as went the character of occupations undergees a change; while the former had a heaviy agricultural bias; the same can be seen to be declining at Nalwar. From was agriculture.which/necessarily an occupation that kept the whole household busy, the change is towards a wider variety of individualised independent occupations requiring greater skill, aptitude and finance. It may be broadly stated that as the village increases in size, the cohersiveness of the village community gets scattered, with a wider variety of castes and a wider variety of expertised production.
$2 \cdot 1^{1}$
The table below gives the proportion of families of the numbrically major groupa engaged. in agriculture.

Table $2 \cdot 4^{\text {A }}+\underset{\text { Proportion of }}{ } \begin{gathered}\text { agriculture. }\end{gathered}$

| Castes | Nalwar | Sugur. | Kulkundi |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lingayat | 29.86 | 83.33 | 82.35 |
| Kurubars | 66.67 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Kabbaliger | 78.69 | 83.33 | 100.00 |
| Laman | 92.17 | 89.48 | - |
| Bedar | 71.21 | 100.00 | - |
| Holiya | 88.46 | 100.00 | 95.45 |
| Madiga | 78.20 | - | 83.33 |
| Muslim | 35.80 | 60.71 | 66.67 |
|  |  |  |  |

The table is presented to show the extent to which the major communities hadp taken to non-agriculture] occupations, as opportunities for them increased. The Lingayats at Nalwar show proportion much less to that of Musilms due to the inclusion of the traditional weaving community of Hatgars.

Certain smaller groups belonging to artisan castes were engaged to a more or less extent in their traditional occupations, except for the marginal fanilities that either made good by taking* to better occupations like small trading or turned to labour work, agricultural or nonagricultural. The principal among these were the Badigars (carpenters), Kammars ( ${ }^{\text {Placksmiths) Shimpis (tailors) }}$ Pattars. ( ${ }^{\text {foldsmiths) Navaligas (Farbers) Agsars }}$ (washernen) Waddars. (stone cutters) and Korravars (basket weavers). Artisans like the Kumbhars (potters) reported a heavy leaning on agriculture though they carried on their mational occupation to a large extent but reported it as a subsidiary

The two untouchable castes, namely the Holiyas and the Madigas were predominantly agricultural labourers; about 20 per cent of the latter also doing cobbling as a Balutá occupation. The Ghristian families at Sugur were predominantly Madiga families, who were converted during the previous two decades.

Of the six Samagar or Chambhar families, 3 were agriculturdfamilies while two of the remaining three prepared finer articles of.footwear and one was engaged in the trading of hides and skins. These were traditional cobblers.

The Brahmins were engaged mainly in iiberal professions such as teachers, superior officers in the Railways and administration of religious advice and guidance. At the other two villages they were Patwaris that the village acopuntants and agriculturists.

- The Marwadis, a small group forming 2.70 per cent of the total number of families at Nalwar were immigrants who had migrated from Rajasthan three or four generations ago for the purpose of trading. Though a small group, their influence as brokers, traders and employers was proportionately the greatest. They formed the dominant centre of wholesale and retail selling of agricultural producei that was brought to Nalwar for sale, As Adatiyas they had a large through
by financial obligations; the Adatiyas advancing short term crop finance to them on the implicit umderotaking
in-the'produco be sold to them:
$\therefore$ The third type of data'given in the table pertains to the economic condition of each group separately as reflected in the proportion of land held by each group and that of land cultivated by it and finally the share it gets in'the total reported income of all
the families. The-data io-prosented-as-reported-by the respondentse

It may be seen that almost all the castes reported ownership and/or cultivation of some land except the Rajputs, the Kshatriyac the Shimpis, the Devangs, the Navaliga, the Agsar, the Korravars 'ind These row hocing and the Dasars, the total number of <families forming less than $3 \%$ of the total for all the three villages. The Pattars and Kammars at Nalwar and the Pattar and Agsars at Sugur reported ownership of land but did not report any cultivation.

Among the landowners, the lingayats forming $16.73 \%$ of the village, owned $28.54 \%$ of land at Nalwar. At Sugur they formed $16.87 \%$ of the total number of families and owned $39.18 \%$ of the land and at Kulkundi they owned $46.27 \%$ of the land whion forming only $15.60 \%$ of the total number of families in the village. It is apparent that relative concentration of land ownership in this community decreased from Kulkundi to Nalwar.

Tiole 2.2
The-table below-sets down the proportion of . Owner-cultivating and tenant-cultivating families in the principal agricultural communities. The proportions are percentages of such families to the respective caste totals. The figures also inc̣lude those who reported owner or tenant cultivation as a subsidiary source of income and are mutually exclusive. It may be noted here that tenant cultivators are defined as those who leased in more than $50 \%$ of their total cultivated holding. . They also include the pure tenant families.

A comparison between the proportion of owner-cultivators and tenant-cultivators shows that except the Bedars, Holiyas and Madags at Nalwar and the Holiyas at Kulkundi; all were principally owner-cultivators, though they leased in a part of their cultivated holding. Comparing the average per family holding of bul this average pre fandily hoscicy 7 that of owned/cultivated land, it is found $h$ the latter was more than double in the case of Madigs at Nalwar and Sugur (those at Sugur having been converted into Christians) and Kurubars and Kabbaligars at Kulkundi.

A comparison between the proportions between different sastes in the same series shows that while the proportion'of owner-cultivators varies between $37 \%$ for Kabbaligars and $8 \%$ for Bedars at Nalwar, the variation is between $80 \%$ for Bedars and $14 \%$ for Madags (Christians) at Sugur and between $66 \%$ in the for. Muslims and 9\% for Holiyas at Kulkundi. The extremes in the case of the other two villages should, however, be considered cautiously as the absolute numbers of cultivating families as well as the total for the village is small. In the case of tenant cultivations, these are between 22\% for Lamans and $3 \%$ for Lingayats at Nalwar; between $37 \%$ for Holiyas and $2 \%$ for Lingayats at Sugur; and between $41 \%$ for Holiyas and $6 \%$ for Lingayats at Kulkundi. . It may thus be seen that the variations between owner and tenant cultivators were smallerat Nalwar compared to those of the other two villagè +zole $2 \cdot 3$

The table below gives the average per family holdings of owned and cultivated land as well as the average per family income for each caste-group while columns 5 and 6 give the average family holding for the caste group as a whole, columns 7 and 8 , give per family
averages of actual land held and cultivated.

$$
\text { Table } 2 \cdot 3
$$

It may be seen that while 537 families i.e. 40.15 per cent of the total hade attachment to land in the sense that they owned some land, the number who reported cultivation was 428 or $32.40 \%$. The proportion for the other two villages were 66.26 and 49.00 per cent for Sugur and 60.55 and 54.13 for Kulkundi respectively.
treh. 2'4 cutrivini-
The chart below sets down the order of the castes according to the descending order of their average per family owned and cultivated holdings. The order for the two fis ther ame for while it is different for Sugur and Kulkundi. The figures of rounded acres of the averages per family holding show that between the villages, owned land fis more concentrated in the hands of Lingayats and a few other was castes; while at Sugur it if more evenly distributed on an average. In the case of average cultivated holdings, the variation in size between different communities is less sudden and more even. A second point to note if the fact that the average cultivated holding of Lingayats is much higher than the fmediate next group in all the three villages. They are invariably larger than thefs average owned holdingp ao In the cese of certain other castes $\alpha$ also but the
in order not as-large. It may, therefore, be said that while there concentration of land in the hands was more in the cultivated holdings. of the Lingayaty it if more in the cultivated holdings. rather than in owned land.

Other castes having averagely larger holdings of owned and cultivated land were the Marwadis,

Brahmins and Uppars at Nalwar, the Iligars at Sugur and the Brahmins at Kulkundi. All these castes were Very small in-number and were traditionally nonagricultural communities, the Brahmins being engaged in village administration and accounts and religious service, the Marwadis being traders in the main, the Iligars being a community that specialised in tapping liquor and the Uppars being hereditary masons. The land owned and cultivated by them proved a small part of the total land of the villages.

Regarding the non-agricultural occupations, it may be seen from Table 2.1 , that except for trading, were \&z they are predominantly concentrated in the hands of the functional caste groups. The four major communties, namely the Lingayats, the Kabbaligars, the Lamans and the Nuslims, however, can be seen to have entered some of the traditionally skilled occupations. Instances of such infiltration were as follows: While carpentry was being done by Badigars or traditional carpenters, some Iingayat and Bedar families hade taken to it; similarly, milling of oil and cereals, had-been pursued by Lingayats and Muslims instead of Telis. The comparatively modern craft of tailoring had been taken over to a large extent by -- Were Muslims and Lingayats. Some Laman families axe also engaged in processing and manufacture. A number of families belonging to the Kabbaliga and Laman castes are engaged in quarrying, mainly as labourers; the skilled operation of quarrying the stone slabs still traddars being concentrated in the hands of warbiers, the traditional stone-workers.

Other functional castes like the IIIgars; toddy tappers, the Kammars, the blacksmiths, the pattars; gridrmilu

Thi-Navahiga, In-Agsar ant In-Kunvhar, ine-barba, thr washerman and anipoters

Grespectively, and the Korravars, basket weavers and the famagars, cobblers, were mainly engagad in their traditional skill. Certain families from the above castef, which could be classified as the marginal and peculiarly situated families have agricultural or non-agricultural labour or to a smaller extent, small trading as their chief means of livelihood.

Trading was largely concentrated in the hands of the Marwaris, Lingayats and Muslims, the last along with engagat in the Kabbligars generally doing small trading. Construction was mainly done by Muslims. The above castes were also along with Brahmins are to be seen in the salariate professions like teaching, public administration and other departmental services of the government.

The table below gives the income distribution of the families of the three villages, according to caste. The incomes are composite and include incomes from all sources. They have been taken as reported-and_as such are-aubjeot-to-usual limitations and understatement. Jable 2.5 there wai great
It can be seen that the concentration in the first five income groups. In particular all the artisan groups except the Iligars, Pattars, Bannagars and the Waddars at Nalwar, the Navaliga and Katuk (Butcher) at Sugur and the Navaligars at Kulhundi are included in those groups. Among the principal cultivating castes, the Lingayats, Marathas and Kabbaligars at Nalwar, the Lingayats, Kurubars and the Holiyas at Sugur and the Lingayats alone at Kulkund show greater incomes, though a majority of them are to be found in the first five groups.

The last column of Tabie No. l. 3 gives the average per family income of the different caste groups. Over
the total the average income per family showeda decrease from 67h rupees at Nalwar to Rs. 586 and Ra: 585 for§gar and Kulkundi respectively. These village averages can be used as indicators for castewise comparison. It may be seen that except mose bure
at Kulkundi, the Lingayats are not those getting the highest per family incomes. At Nalwar, the Marwaris, and

Mi-Brahmins and-Kghatriyas and at Sugur the Brahmins
reportida higher average income than the Iingayats. Companing per peuiky miowis wit may be seen that the majority of castes wre below nieas average
these at all the three villages. The table below gives the total number of families in each income groups, their percentage to total and the cumulative frequency for all the three villages.

$$
\text { TABLE } 2 \cdot 6
$$

The table sets down the total income structure of each individual village. Irrespective of the village averages which are affected by the extremes, it can that be seen; about $60 \%$ of the families in all the three villages earned less than 500 rupees a year. The proportions of those earning between 500 to 1000 rupees were 29.30 for Nalwar, 30.53 for Sugur and $27.52 \%$ for Kulkundri. Those above formed 10.66 per cent for Nalwar, 8.82 per cent for Sugur and 9.18 for Kulkundi.

The table below gives a percentage breakdown of the total reported income of the three villages according to the sources, categorised broadly as agriculture, non-ag̣ricultural occupations and salaried services. Incomes : earned in agriculture is further classified , according to operational statuses such as cultivation, agricuiltural rent receipts, permanent farm - service and agricultural labour.

Table 2.7 : Percentage breakdown of total income by sources.

abs
Rent in the above table includes rent received from land owned by the resident families outside the village. For Nalwar it was Re. 5325 or . $61 \%$ of the total whits for sugar it was Rs. 100 or .07\% of the total income. No family at Kulkundi reported such income.

The table makes it clear that the proportion of income from agriculture increased from Nalwar to Kulkundi. The proportion of rent receipts remained almost constant, the small variation showing gradual decrease from the big village to the smallest village. This trend of decrease from Nalwar to Kulkundi is also observable in the proportions of incomes earned in permanent farm service. $\dot{\dot{x}}^{-1}$ Earning in agricultural labour showed a decline for Sugur as compared to Nalwar and Kulkundi.
$\dot{x}$. Phis can be inkerpequ untirpested wheen lint a langer proportion Prancers, emplaned

The total income earned in agriculture shows a great variation between $56 \%$ to $90 \%$ from Nalwar to Kulkundi. coneratily earnings in non-agricultural openpations increase from Kulkundi to Nalwar, to an almost identical extent. The last category of salaried services naturally shows a larger proportion for Nalwar in view of the teachers railway servants etc. residing there.

The per capita income for the three villages works out to Rs. 136 for Nalwar, Rs. 138 for Sugur and Rs. 124 for Kulkundi.

## Education

The tahsil of Chitapur in which the survey villages are situated, was under a Jagirdar till 1948 when the new government abolished all Jagirdari arcas
时㫙ems of administration and brought the tahsil under the direct administration of the State.

Under the jagir administration, the facilities for education were very few. There were practically no publis schools where people could send their children for their education at reasonably low expenses. Whatever facilities that existed were of the jagir type namely the maintenance of a teacher by either the Jagirdar of the well-to-do cultivatorpof the village. There was a limit to the number of students the teacher could teach and almost all these children belonged to either the richer cultivators or more frequently to the trading classes for whom education in the form of reading, writing, keeping of accounts batic etc. was one of the fundamental qualifications of their occupation.

The first school at Nalwar was started in 1923 under the patronage of the Jagirdar. The school. three
taught \$ standards of Urdu and four standards of Kannada. The school was run by one teacher who was paid out of a government grant of Rs. $240^{\circ}$ per year. The school did not charge any formal fees. The teacher, however, was reported to be paid, in addition to the meagre cash salary, in kind by the students. This 'achool' which continued till 1936 with a single teacher could be classed into the above mentioned types. Though formally started by the Jagirdar, the
socially
school was not/within the reach of the majority Relvanmen which continued to be illiterate. and-under-the merey-of the haughty-reprecentativeo-of the-adminise tration-or-the manipuiations-of the trader.

In 1936, the school became more public in the sense that a second teacher was added and the number of students went up to 50. In 1942 the grant was raised to Rs. 600 per year. During all these years, education was more ${ }_{\alpha}$ a private luxury. It is presumable that the Musilm administration did not want its Hindu subjects to get educated and become aware of the systematic exploitation conducted by the former.

The present school in its expanded form came into being in 1951, after the abolition of $\frac{1 / 2}{j-g i r i t ~ b y ~}$ the new government in 1951. In that year the government brought the school under its direct supervision, appointed two more teachers and adjusted the salaries of all the four teachers into a system of grades offoy with dearness allowance. One of the four teachers was the headmaster with a basic salary of Rs. 65 in the grade 65-3-115 with a dearness allowance of Rs. 28 per month. Two others were qualified teachers within the grade of Rs. 50-2.1/2-65 with a dearness d allowance of Rs. 18 and the fourth, a non-qualified teacher was placed in the grade of Rs. 35-1.1/2-50 with a dearness allowance of Rs. 18 per month.

The teaching was raised to 4th standard Kannada. The government provided all requirements of stationery for the school.

It was since 1951 thus, that the survey centre of Nalwar began to have facilities of a systematic formal education. This state of affairs is reflected
in the tables below which gives the educational categories of the male and female adults of Nalwar according to age groups. The tables also give the proportions of those who never went to school to total.

## 私BEESAっB.

The table for male adults makes ito evident the circumstances described earlier hamat education was restricted to only a few persons, about $20 \%$ on the average excluding the first age group. The first age group, between 15 to 17 reveals a 'jump' of about $15 \%$ in the matter of education, about $35 \%$ of this having had education including the $22 \%$ that were educands attending school during the survey year.

The table for females on the other hand reveals the general neglect of their education. It substantiates the obvious view, obtainable almost all over India, that females who were principally meant to rear children and look after the house, did not need any education. The table brings out the fact that among the very few that hade taken some sort of formal education, forming less than two per cent, those. who have reached beyond the fourth standard are almost negligible forming less than $1 / 2 \%$ of the total and also confirms the above attitude.
2.7 and 2.8

The abore tables could be read along with the 2.9
table given-below presenting the educational categories of the total male and female population. The tablepresents these in the general classification of adults and children, and gives a broad idea regarding the literacy of the village.

Among male and female children namely those below 13, the percentages of those attending school were 20.21 and 9.0 respectively, while those of nonliterates amount $h_{\text {to }}$ about 27 and 29 per cent respectively. The difference of nearly 10 per cent in the percentages of male and female children below 6 years and classified as too young to go to school, is, however, unexplanable. The number of female children, discontinuing education halfway iof greater than male children, though the number of both males and female children in this category ais negligible.

Among male adults the percentage of educands to total was 3.13, while there is a total absence of educands in female adults, The proportion of nonliterates, namely those who never attended school are was very significant in the case of males and females with about 79 per cent and 98 per cent respectively. The were remaining are distributed among those that left halfway or after completion of the primary atage of education.

The last two volumes give the total picture of literacy among males and females. It may be broadly said that whiet 20.95 \% of the males were literates the percentage of females was 4.61 only. The above
states pusumes that those that hat completed some standards in school or had $/ 9$ attained: it sometime in this lizes continui: to be literates, as "there were no means to check upon the lapsing into illiteracy for the above. The parcentages of illiterates for male and females were 89.05 and 95.39 respectively and include along with those who never attended school, those who were too youlhg to go to school and those who did not respond to this question.

```
The table below gives the total idea of the proportion of literates and non-literates for the whole. village :
Table 2.10 : Literacy at Nalwar, 1954-55. Educational category
1. Too young
2. Educands
No. of. \(\quad\) \% age to
persons total
3. Some education
```

412
390
21.50

13668

4137 .
57
6364 .
$\$ 00.00$

```
Subject to assumptions mentioned above this percentage of iiterates amounts to 12.60 for the whole village while that of non-literates amounts to 87.40.
As mentioned earlier, the Middle School at Nalwar had its present form in 1951. The school continued to expand in successive years, mainly owing to the request of the residents.
- In 1952, the 5 th standard in Kannada and lst
wethe was. 2 ex expanshoin esat agreal standard in English was started. <towas-opened on condition that the residents contributed towards the salary of one teacher, \(\frac{1}{2}\) school-room and Rs. itool-. pacharat leviez The village put/a patti (a tax) on the resident households and contributed the required amount. The teacher contributed by the people taught for a year In the grade of Rs. 65-3-115 plus dearness allowance of Ra. 28. He was later taken over by the government.
In 1953, the 6th standard in Kannada and second whye standard in English was started. The people again contributed one school room and one thousand rupees. During the year the staff was increased to 9 including one peon in the grade of Rs. 22-1-25 with a dearness
```

allowance of Ris. 18. The school thus had one headmaster, 3 qualified teachers, in the grade of Rs. 65-3-115, three other teachers in"the grade of 50-3-65 and an unqualified teacher in the grade of Rs.35-1.1/2.50.

The strength of the school was 275 during them swory year. The Government sanctioned an additional expendsture of Rs. 75.

In 1954, that is the survey year, the 7 th standard Kannada and 3rd standard in English was started and the school became a full primary school and middle school as-xagards instruction in 3 standards. English. Hindi was introduced from 3rd standard Kannada and was taught upto 7th. The residents contributed a third of the expenses of a school room namely Rs. 1000/the remaining Rs: 2200 being borne by the Government. The residents also contributed Rs. 1000 for purchase of school furniture and science apparatus. The government created the post of the Assistant Head Master during the su/year in the scale of Rs. 95-4-150.

The-fames fee and -Library feeseach of one anna per month wof collected from the students during 1954. Students were provided with a set of volley ball and a library of 175 books valued at Rs. 150.

The Education Department ordered, during the survey year, the collection of Radio feesfor instituting a radio set in the school. The feeswae one rupee per student and was to be paid by students in the 5 th standard Kannada (lst standard English) and above.

The school was under the direct supervision of the Deputy Inspector of Education, stationed at Chitapur. For purposes of guidance and advice, a local committee called the Shikshan Samiti of 8 members had been constituted since 1951. The Committee had the Mali Patij as the President, the Patwari as the

Secretary and other members.
The Headnaster called for a meeting of the
Shikghan Samiti whenever there was lack of equipment or funds or when the attendance of the school feil below a certain minimum. During the year, the Samiti met four times to discuss and decide upon the following:

1. The arrangement for a room without rent for the 7th standard. The Sarpanch of the village allowed house the use of one room of his $/$ without rent for use till the new school room was ready. .
2. For sanctioning out of public contributions, thersi fof transporting of furniture provided by Government. The Smiti sanctioned Rs. 60/- for the purpose.
3. The residents had consented to contrie buttern 3 acres of land for purposes of basic training, which was to be started from 1955-56. The contributions had not materialised. Nor had the school received 3 acres of land out of land owned by the Government.
4. To ascertain the nature and extent of help by the residents for introducing basic training, a library

Egncation building, etc. as per an inquiry made by the ment. The residents' contribution had amounted to Rs. 125 only.

The Shikshan Samiti was to be reconstituted owing Pmident. anti instrmyen. to. the death of the Mall patil-who was ite-president:

During the Survey year the strength of the school was reported to be 335 , including 5 females. The table below presents the number of students in each class collected from different sources.

$$
\text { Table } 2 \cdot 10
$$

The number of students on the school register were 340 , as can be seen from the third column giving the average of number of students on the school rolls
every month. The next column gives the average annual attendance classwise, the total average amounting to only 260.4 students. Taken together, the two columns lead to the inference that either a significant number of students formally admitted do not attend the class was or that there is continuous absence from attendance of different students during different months. .

Columns 4 to 6 present data collected from General Family Schedule and Column 7 gives the number of students that were staying in the Uchit Prasad Nilaya, a boarding hostel for students from other villages. The last column giving, the total number of students reported from sources other than the school, gives a different series classwise. The total number of 373 exceeds the total of 340 reported by the school. This was presumably due to a certain fear on the part of respondents that it was a crime not to send their children to school, hence false reporting of attendance in school on their part.

The last column when compared to column 3, reveals that while the inderestal indial totals of first three classes in the former exceed those in the latter, from 4th onwards, the number of students reported by the school exceed those in the last columns. Apparently the parents connived at the schooling' of their younger为。 school children but falsely reported them to begoing to school. The decrease in the remaining four classes was due to students belonging, to villages other than those given in the table.

In this context the school authorities vaguely reported about 150 students as belonging to outside places, within a distance of 10 miles around Nalwar. The actual number of such students may be placed at

110, composed of the 69 students given in the table and belonging to Sugur, Kulkundi and those staying. in the hostel and the total of the excess of students in each class from 4 th to 7 th reported by the school over the totals in the last column.

Except those outside students. who stayed in the boarding hostel, a majority of the remaining, it was reported, stayed with relatives at Nalwar. The remaining stayed in rented rooms and paid for their boards provided by some widowed women.

Of the 340 students, 5 were females, 3 belonging to Brahmin castes and studying in 3rd, 4th and 6th classes and two were Iingayats both studying in the fourth.

The table below gives the castewise distribution of 3) male the students.

Table 2.11
The classwise distribution of castes brings out certain salient features. Whereas there is a concentration of caste in the lower castes, only Brahmins, Lingayat and other Hindu castes had reached the fifth standard and above. The two students in the backward Hindu castes, were Wadars. Lingayats, forming 16.73 \% of the total number of families took proportionately greater advantage of the achooling facilities than any other community in the group, the students belonging to Lingayats, forming 61.5 of the total.

Those students desirous of continuing their studies had to go to nearby urban towns like Yadgir or Chittapur or the district place of Gulbarga, where there were high schools teaching upto matriculation.
was intermedtate colleges hat padgir and Gulburga also.

As situated during the survey year, the school was short of space and teachers. The authorities reportade that they were in need of 5 more class rooms and for adequate/comfortable seating of students. The staff was also inadequate, there being no specialised teachers for drawing, teaching of crafts, infant classes, instinction physical instruteter or an office assistant. The school also felt the need for a better and bigger playground.

The parents of the students, it was reported, had to be continuously asked to send their children to school. Often, younger students, alreadmitted to school, had to be forcibly fetched to the school. Even so, many students abstained, especially the scheduled did not mincis. Harigin students, acurting $4 \mathrm{Tin}^{- \text {-tenchens, }}$人werseated with other students, and were not treated discriminately.

The-pareats-of the-students, It-was-reported,
$\mathbb{P}$ The Boarding house called the Uchita Prasad Nilaya, was startbed in 1953, the year prior to the survey $\therefore$ year. It was started by a local committee of eight persons with a view to make available schooling and boarding families to the deserving poor atudents belonging to the smalletvillages and hamlets around Nalwar.

Of the eight persons in the committee, 5 belonged to Nalwar and one each to :village Hallolif, Kollułand Kulkundi.

Admission to the hostel was irrespective of religions considerations and was mainly based on the need and ability of the students. Admissions were given on the basis of recommendations from the Patil or Kulkarni of the respective villages. The only condition placed on every student was that he should show good progress regularly.

During the year of ita inception, the hostel was approached by 25 students for admission, 14 being finally admitted. During the survey year, four of the 14 students returned to their native village
betreen in then ueaje of Hebbal, as the distance Wss too long, namely 25 miles. They were reported to have taken admission in the schools in nearer villages like Kamalapur. One student from Alur left ${ }_{\alpha}$ schooling at Chittapur.

During the survey year 6 new students were admitted, bringing the total to 15 , the remaining nine continuing to stay in the hostel during the second year.

Of these 15 , two left the hostel, one because of continued illness and the other left in February on account of domestic reasons. Of the remaining 13,6 belonged to Chittapur tahsil, 4 to Yadgir tahsil, 2 to Shahpur tahsil and ode to Aqdola tahsil. All these tahsils touching the Chittapur tahsil in the south eastern, southern and south-western directions.

The expenses of the board of students were borne by willing donors. Kembers of the Committee approached well-to-do residents for contributions in kind, mainly jowar. .During the first year of the hostel, all contributions were collected from persens outside Nalwar, presumably because the students belonged to places outside Nalwar. During the survey year, the Committee requested local persons for donationsand collectdd 16 pallas jf jowar, about 2 pallas coming from places outside. $O f$ this one palla was brought by one of the students themselves, as his contribution. The individual contributions ranged from 16 seers to one palla.

Other contributions were in the form of cash, a total of Rs. 416 being collected during the survey year i.e. the second year of the hostel. Of this Rs. 354 were brought by 5 of the students. The remaining 62 were contributed by persons from Nalwar.

The above collections with a previous 'year's balance of $21 / 2$ pallas of jowar and Rs. 318 in cash, were adequate enough for the year's expenses on boarding of the students. The year end balances showed net surpluses of about 7 pallas of jowar and Rs. 487 in cash. During the year, $21 / 2$ pallas of jowar were sold for raising cash and it was found that kind donations were about $1 / 2$ palla short in subsequent weighment. expenditure . The jear's/included a single large figure namely that of expenditure on the annual functions of Rs. 110 , forming $23 \%$ of the total annual cash expenditure. Another expenditure of note is that of Rs. 110 or $21 \%$ of the total cash expenditure, paid as salary to the cook who cooked meals and cleaned the utensils. The monise average jexpenses of the hostel amounted to Rs. 86

- Inclusive of Jowar, received in kind.


The hostel was run for 10 months $/$ was closed for about 2 months in a year ax on account of summer holidays and religious festivals, when the stuadents visited their homes.

The students followed a pre-arranged time table. Incidental duties like storing water, sweeping etc. Were done by the students, who also did their own washing. They brought their own clothes and books.

All the students attended the government middle school. A teacher from the school stayed with them in the boarding and supervised over the students and also helped them in their studies.

Nalwar had a second school started by the government and meant solely for female children. The school was opened in July 1953, that is the year prior to the survey year. The school had theee Kannada teaching classes, the infant class, lst standard and 2nd standard. During 1954, one more standard was added.

There were 99 girls on the school register. In the table below, the month-wise average attendance 1s given along with the number of students admitted and the average annual attendance.

Table 2\%

The attendance table reveals a fair regularity for the first, second and third standards the respective variations between the lowest and highest attendance being 2.6, 1.2 and 2.1. In the case of the infant class however the variation is about 21. The difference between the number admitted and average annual attendance also graseatert in the case of the Infant class and comparatively much smalivfor the other classes.

The school, it was reported, assembled in a : delapidated building, formerly housing the government toll naka. There were two rooms, seating two classes that tarosht in in Tadeot each. The two lady teachers $\alpha$ did not have any chairs or tables nor blackboard, it was reported. As one of the teachers was a Muslim by caste, admission to the male
investigator/was refused.

## Village Panchayat

The Hyderabad Village Panchayat Act was passed in 1951. The village panchayat at Nalwar called the Gram Panchayat Samiti, was formally brought into being by the Collector of the District, in 1952. He called a public meeting in which a list of influential, leading and willing persons of the village was prepared. This ilist was read to the public, from whom opinions as regards the suitability of the persons Ifted for Panchayat membership, were invited. It was reported that about fifty persons volunteered opinion and submitted their own lists of preference. Persons getting maximum preference were selected from these lists and their names were put to vote. They were then declared elected. The Sarpanch was also elected in the same meeting.

The Panchayat jurisdiction extended over the whole of Nalwar, including the nine settlements of Lamans, called Tandas locally: The Panchayat had 12 members, 3 of whom were nominated. The nominated members include the Patwari of the village, the Mahali Patel and the scheduled caste member. There was no member belonging to the lamans. It was, however, reported that theq Panchayat, often the Sarpanch on its behalf, invited the Nayaks of Laman Leaders and consulted them. The Lamans were also invited on the occasions oflimportant officials(visitsaby)

Seven of the Panchayat members belonged to the Lingayat sect. Two were Marwadis and one each a Brahmin, a Muslim and a Madag. The proportion of representation of castes was very arbitrary and major castes like Kabbaligers not at all being-represented. In this $^{\text {at }}$. In context may be mentioned the fact that of the 12
members the approximate value of property of four exceeded a lac of rupees, that of another four was between fifty and seventyfive thousand and that of another three was between 25 to 30 thousand. The that onexception was /the harijan member whose property was estimated to value less than a thousand. Though the reported figures of property held by the above members were arbitrary, they go to show that the Panchayat was made up mainly of the influential and hwealthy persons. It was reported that suoe a number of Panchayat members were businessmen and traders; thing had little or no interest in either the Panchayat administration or the welfare of the village; lthat, being Adatyas and traders, they had, inter alia a complicated social and economic relationship. It was observed that during the very small number of meetings, three during the currenty year, many of the members were discussing matters of personal interest.

During the survey year, the Panchayat assembled thrice. Even these were partially attended, the few active members, the Sarpanch and the Deputy Sarpanch, the Secretary and one or two others, putting up an appearance of having held a meeting. The problem discussed during thisemeetingspertained to the budget and allocation of expenditurs, the construction of the approach road between the village and the railway station, the duty and responsibility of collecting Panchayat taxes, the necessity of holding and attending Panchayat meeting betwean shorter intervals of time such as a week, etc. The budget meeting was the most important meeting and members were repeatedly requests to attend it.
tus
The Panchayat during the 20 years of its existence had levied notaxes only. The more important of these, was the housetax collected from every houseowner. A committee of these Panchayat members was appointed for the purpose of assessing the value of each house. The rate of house tax was based on the approximate value of the house and was Rs. 6 for all houses valued at Rs. 1500 and above. For those that were valued below Rs. 1500, the rate was 4 annas per cent of value estimated. The house tax yielded an annual income of Re. 2443-12-0 to the Panchayat.
The second tax levied by the Panchayat was the tax on professions, levied on trading establishments in the markets and other nonagricultural occupations. The rates were based on an arbitrary assumption of size and approximate earnings. They are given in the table below :-
Table No. 2.13 : Rates of Panchayat tax on Professions Trade or Profession . Annual tax - Rs.

1. Adatiya 6
2. Buyer 6
3. Retailer 2
4. Tea shops 5
5. Liquor shop' 10
6. Tailor 1
7. $01 i$ mill : 10
8. Flour mill 6
9. 011-man press 2
10. Mason' 4
11. Potter ". 1
12. Dispensary 10
whe annual income from the tax (eatimated to fech
achal Rs. 300, though the/collection, it was reported, never reached that figure during any of the three years of the Panchayat! $s$ existence. ? Other sources of Panchayat income included atstate government grantsto the extent of Rs. 3,000 and a third part of the local fund(cess)collected.' The latter was exclusively utilised towards maintaining a sanitary staff and other expenses of sanitation. The staffensisted of two women and five men, all. paid at the rate of Rs. 28 to 35 including dearness allowance of Rs. 18 per month, except one Daroga or Chaprashi who was in the grade of Rs. 30 to 35. One had to collect the refuge and dispose it off thrice a week. The Panchayat mentined a latrine for the use of visiting officials. The latrine was cleaned by the Mehtar maintained by the Railway station, the Panchayat paying a fixed sum of Rs. 5 his s-envices. per month for tho-same.

The records and correspondence of the Panchayat were kept by a part-time secretary who was paid a fixed salary of Rs. 15 per month. During the survey year, the village post-mastier getting about Rs. 20/per month from the Department, was holding the post of the Panchayat Secretary. It may incidentally be mentioned here that he was the first Sarpanch of the Panchatyat.

During the year of ita inception, the Panchayat anst mpiont undertook four measures of public benefit. The mafor street ere of these was the IIghting of street Iamps in the village The others three were, minor repairs of $6^{-}$ public wells, purchase of phenyl and the starting of a Iibrary at an expenditure of about Rs. 100. During
the second year, the meadure for street, lighting and purchase of periodicals for the library was continued. The Panchayat constructed, at the cost of Rs. 600, a row of latrines for females near the outskirts of the Gavthan area. It was reported that these latrines were subsequently found to be unusable, as they did not afford any privacy at all. Secondary, the Panchayat did not make any arrangements to get the latrines cleaned every day.

During the third yoar namely the survey year, the Panchayat oven discontinued street lighting, also, after June, 1954, on the plea that the heavy expenditure was not justified at a time when no recovery or collection of taxes was forthcoming. The work of lighting the street lamps was entrusted to an individual on a contract basis at the rate of fs. 3 per lamp per month, including the cost of kerosene. The monthly expenditure of on lighting came to Rs. 51 as there were 17 lamps, the eighteenth lamp, opposite the railway station being never lit. The panchayat owned a petromax lamp, which had never been used.

During the survey year the Panchayat, on behalf of the village, undertook the construction of the approach road between the village and the railway station. It had been a cart track formerly and was intersected by a small stream. During the rainy season, the track used to become extremely muddy and untraversable. The Panchayat had made a representation to the Government for constructing a pacca road i- ik prau betweon-the vililage and the Railway atation. The Government approved of the scheme on condition that one third of the total expenditure would be contributed
by the village in the form of materials and labour (b)
and that the work would be undertaken and completed under the direct supervision of the Panchayat. The initial estimate of costs of construction amounted "tó'Rs. 19,000.

The contract of construction was undertaken by two Panchayat members, in their individual capacity. The contribution due from the village was reduced from Rs. 7000 to Rs. 5000 subsequently. The villagers made good this contribution in the form of cast Rs. 1200; soling stones Rs. 1000; carting of stones Re. 500 and the rest by donating roluntaxy labour. for-eonatructione

Certain unfair dealings in this context were reported. It was reported that the sanitary staff of the Panchayat was put to work without any additional payment. Services of poorer and economically dependent labourers and carters were forcibly recruited by the Panchayat members, who were by virtue of their being the contractors, percemally interested parties.

The working of the Panchayat and the interest of its members were reflected in the collection of taxes itself. In 1953-54, the Panchayat collected Rs. 1800 by way of house tax, thus creating an arrears of Re. 950 for that year. During the survey year the position deteriorated further. No attempts at collection of house tax were done for the reported reason that the kharif crops during the year had been poor and much below normal expectationsand therefore the Panchayat members were not inclined to add to the burden of the villagers. The main reason, it was reported, was the disinterestedness of the members
in either the Panchayat or the welfare of the people. They had neither given any serious consideration to the problems nor did they feel anyway responsible to the people as those elected from particular wards were likely to feel. The election of the present members was done at randon and not on the basis of wards.

The Panchayat on behalf of the people had requested the government to start a government high school at Nalwar. They undertook to contribute Ris. 5000/- annually for a period of three years towards part of the cosents. The government, however, were of the opinion that a basic training school would be a better alternative. If the village wanted a high school, the government agreed to pay two thirds of its annual expenditure, the remaining one third to be borne by the village. The Panchayat did not agree to the government proposal, as it felt that the responsibility of bearing one third expenses of a high school every year was very heavy. The Government, in their side, had decided to start basic training in the middle school. The scheme was expected to come into operation by the beginning of 1955-56.

The Panchayat had placed the following schemes including the one for a high school before the government to be included in the second five year plan. It had expressed its willingness to contribute one third of the total cost of these achemes.

1. Electric lighting :- A main power line was expected to be carried upto Gulburga, on the completion of the Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Power Project. The Panchayat requested the government do ifvert power to

Nalwar, which was situated on the main power line to Gulburga. According to the estimates of the .Panchayat, the scheme would cost them Rs. 10,000 i.e. one third of the total cost $f(\underset{y}{r}$ diverting the energy and installation of power.
2. Drainage: The Panchayat had estimated an expenditure of Rs. 4000 for the scheme of laying a hai aceopled aftewacion nuleypres. drainage schemè at Nalware and were propared to contribute about one third of the costs namely about Rs. 1000/- towards the expenditure.

The system of rendering service for customery payments in kind had been prevalent in Nalwar as all other villages in the Deccan. Under this system certain services of an essential nature were paid in kind once a year after the harvests were over. The mutual interdependence of various artisan groups and the primary producer was accepted and sanctioned by tradition by fixing certain minimum payments in kind for certain minimum services. The Balutedars. were thus assured of a menimum livelihood in order to retain them in the village. The criteria governing the size of the customary payments in kind gelataj woater directly on the type of service rendered. Thus $^{-}$ the carpenters and blacksmiths engaged in preparation and repairs of agricultural implements were paid on the basis of the number of pairs of bullocks owned by the cultivator household attached to him, the barber on the number of adult males in the household, che. the washerman on the number of "adult females $\ell$ Services of a collective nature like village services renderdd performera by salagions ministronth by Mahars or religious services/were paid for by fixing a minimum payment for all the households of the village.

The services that fell under the purview of this system and generally foumed in a"moderately-sized village are given in the table below.

$$
\text { Table. } 2 \cdot 14
$$

The first four categories constituted services of an essential nature to cultivation. The next three were services of a general nature in demand by all villagers. The Mulani served only those households
that consumed non-vegetarian food, while the Koli, Arefaration 1 while attending to the $\mathcal{L}^{\text {meals and other comforts of a }}$ visiting official and keeping a supply of drinking water at the Chavdi, supplied water to individual households, especially in tracts where water was in short supply during the dry season. The last three pere services of a general nature, serving the village as a whole.

It may not be supposed that the number of Balutedars was fixed to the above twelve categories. Artisans like the goldsmith have dropped of from the baluta category since his function, namely inspection of coins received by way of revenue payment by the Kulkarni or talathi, ceased with the withdrawal of gold and silver currency. Other Balutedars like the Mali, who supplied flowers for daily worship and the J!oshi, who rendered religious service and advice, have declined to considerable extent, though shik found in some villages.

Five of the above were regular Balutedars/ Nalwar during the survey year. Description of their occupationsas well as their Baluta work and payment is given elsewhere in this report under the chapter 'Non-agriculture services'. An examination of the operation of the Baluta system, as a social institution, is attempted here. The table below gives the number of families engaged in Baluta duties in different types of artisan services in the three villages. Table 2.15: No. of families working äs Balutedars.
Category Nalwar Sugar Kulkundi

| 8 | 4 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | - | 2 |
| 10 | 2 | 2 |
| 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | 4 | 1 |

Except for the blackemith, all the remaining four artisans were present $i_{h}{ }^{q / \prime}$ the three villages. The table ${ }^{2}$ below gives the broad proportions of the number of balutedars and eultivating families in the case of carpenters, blacksmiths and cobblers who are directly useful to cultivation and of the number of balutedars and the total population in the case of barbers and washermen who render services of a personal nature. The comparison is subject to two considerations namely (1) that all cultivating families do not have all types of balutedars attached to them and (2) that these baluta artisans like the blacksmiths also serve cultivators from places outside the residential village. Barbers especially go to bigger village in search of customers.

$$
\text { Table. } 2.15
$$

It may be seen that while Sugur had the proportionately largest number of balutedars of the first category, Kalkundi, the smallest of the three had the largest number of balutedars of the second type.
 as reflected by the reporting, by Balutedars, of the number of cultivator and other households to which they were mainly attached. The above classification of Balutedars namely those whe-aro-manly essential to cultivators and those rendering service of a personal type, is cmaintained. As such, for arriving at percentages of totals, the total number of cultivators in the above table have been taken. To arrive at the total extent of the system, the number of households have been averaged and the percentages have been arrived at.

$$
\text { Table \& } 16
$$

The table in addition to denoting the extent of balutedari for different types of artisans brings out the total extent of the system as such. It is 23.4 and 9.00 per cent for categories one and two respectively.

The above percentages may be taken only as broad indicators of the prevalence of the system. The influences that have been working on Balutedari have been numerous. Some of the principal reasons that -have generally affected the system are enumerated ; below:

1. Since the beginning of the second world war, the inherent regidities within the system have been revealed more acutely. The quale of faymentai kin
alicuty hadelbeen fixed by tradition. On the other hand the war brought greater opportunities of employment and The antis and
cash wages. Some of the-balutedars, especially those gratnack rendering personal services grew anode sinh contrive inclined to continue under the system. They-did Work made ma system dis is move for work -for- fou families but-it-was-more-in-the-nature also 5 of securing a minimum income in kind as well as fear of breaking established relations with the richer and more powerful cultivator households of the village.
2. Increase in monetization consequent to a general increase in cash wage rate. Almost every Balutedar artisan reported that work on a cash basis was always more profitable than working for kind under the Balata system.
3. Along with the above, the number of artisan households had increased, disproportionately with $L_{0}$ the increase in the number of cultivator households. This fact had resulted in greater competitionkas also -he availability of artisan services in cash, as the

> Heferret a-
> new artisan families often/worke for cash only.
3. There has been a gradual wearing out of the respect for traditional institutions like Balutedari which could not reorientate themselves according to new conditions.
4. Other reasons were the treatment given to the Balutedars by the cultivator households, increase sinu in employment opportunities, lack of unity among the 'artisań groups, availability of ready impliments in the markets, etc.

The present survey did not attempt to examine on an empirical basis, the extent of influence of the reasons given above. Firstly the study of the system itself formed a small part of the case of the wrote village. Secondly some of the above influences were in the nature of changes in attitudes. It was, therefore; not thought-advantergeous to undertake-anyparticulan inquiry of the aystem.

- The survey included a detailed sub-survey of corsiat unt farm business done with the help of elaborate schedules; canvassed for a stratified sample of cultivators of the three villages. The table below gives the data regarding the Baluta system from the farm business schedules. The table only gives the number of cultivators making baluta payments to different balutedars.

Table 2.17
table
The/presents a different picture on account of the source of the data being different, Thile the earlier tables presented data collected from the arkiane. Balutedarp/themselves, the above table presente data collected from the sooend end namely from the paying cultivators.

The table below gives a total picture of the working of the system for all the three villages. It gives the extent of the system given in an earlier table and arrived at by working out ina $_{\text {/her percentage }}$ of number of cultivators households reported by Balutedars to total and as reflected by the above table giving data from ${ }^{7 / 4}$ sample inquiry of farm businesses

Table No. $2: 18$ : Extent of Baluta system as reflected from different sources.

| Category of <br> Balutedars | Profortion <br> to total of No. <br> of cultivator <br> households re <br> ported by <br> Balutedars | Data from <br> Farm busi- <br> ness Sche- <br> dules. $\%$ <br> to total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Carpenter | 37.4 | 75.0 |
| Blacksmith | 15.0 | 37.5 |
| Cobbler | 17.5 | 34.0 |
| Barber | 12.7 | 50.0 |
| Washerman | 5.2 | 12.5 |
|  |  |  |

It way be seen that the proportions almost double themselves in the third column. The position of the Balutedars in the total extent however appear to be fairly fixed except for cobblers and barbers who change places in the two columns. Both sets of data place carpenter first, blacksmith third and washerman last.

The table below gives the per family incomes from the occupation for differgnt categogies of Balutedar artisans as well as the percentage of Baluta incorme to total earned in the occupation.

| Table | Proportion of Baluta in earnings in the occupat incomes. | e to total and per family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Proportion of Baluta income to total. ( $\%$, aghthal) | Per family income from occupation. Rs. |
| Washerman | 20.68 | 682.5 |
| Barber | 39.37 | 573.0 |
| Blacksmith | 36.1 | 360.0 |
| Carpenter | 54.6 | 231.0 |
| Cobblér | 73.0 | 185.0 |

The table has been 80 arranged as to bring out the correlation existing between the size of income from occupation and the proportion of Baluta receipts. ft may be seen that proportion of Baluta income increases with decrease in the size of income from occupation except in the case of barbers. It may be concluded that artisan groups earning small incomes are dependent to a proportionately greater extent on baluta work and earnings.

Of the five types of Balutedars, 3 belonging to Nalwar were. holders of Inam lands. These lands were given to them many decades back either is recognition of their services or as a provision for the family with an invitation to inhabit the village permanently. The size of the land denotes that there was a single family In each of the three types. The carpenter Inam was 14 acres and 36 gunthas, black-smith Inam 14 acres 24 gunthas and the barber Inam was 7 acres and 38 gunthas. The other two villages did j̣iot record any Inam lands to Balutedars.

In Nalwary other Inam lands to Balutedars also inciuded those given to religious ministrants such as the Brahmin, 12 acres and 21 gunthas, the Pujari or worshipper, 6 acres and 29 gunthas and Mahar Inams.

9 acres 10 gunthas. It mar be here Mahars, called Holes locally, did not do any type of village service. The village servants were known as Valikars or Sanadis, and mainly belonged to the Kabbaliger community. All had Inam lands and received a cash annual remuneration from government. The duties were hereditarify acquired. The valikars did not "receive any baluta payments.

Among other balutedars the farm business survey records payments in kind.and at the haryest time to religious ministrants called Gurav, Dasas and ${ }^{\top}$ Pujari. Thespayments, unlike those made to the above 5 types, were of ${ }^{\text {astroshe }}$ nature, without any fixity of size. They appeared more in the nature of goodwill gestures or charity payments. The quantity varied from leto 5 seers of jowar or 1 to 5 bundles of stacks.

At: Naiwar, religious service was diversified and was restricted to different caste groups separately. Thus the Marwadi community, engaged mainly in trading had their own Brahmin pujari for purposes of religious service: and performance. He was paid from the contributins collected for religious purposes. The Muslims had their Fakirs who performed religious services on a cash payment. The Lingayat religious ministrants were called either Jangams who were generally unattached to any Maths or Swamps, attached to particular local Maths. The Jangams, it was reported, were permanent invitees to xiyputhenus enoeds the houses of thetis members. They could go to any Lingayat household and had. to be entertained by that household. The Swamys, on the other hand, had an income for lands donated to the Maths. There were two Jangam families who had a right to collect Jowar or Jowar flour local in the name of the ${ }^{\text {Math. Each family exercised its right }}$
for six months in a year.
Of the remaining 15 Jangam families, excluding the above two families and the holder of the authority of tour the Math, fer reported collection of alms either in the form of Jowar, or Jowar flour and bread from member households of the sect. The remaining also lived on religious begging. It is difficult to decide whether this type of regular claim of grain or bread in the name of religion constitutes a Baluta payment. . .

Baluta payments varied with the type of work done. As was mentioned earlier the rate of Baluta payment to each type of artisan was fixed by tradition. The table ${ }_{L}^{2 \cdot 19}$ below gives the rates of Baluta payment that were reported to be in vogue for generations, for -han the five types of artisans $2 w e r e$ functioning under the regular system. Table No. $2 \cdot 19$ : Rates of Baluta payments. Category of service. Rate of Baluta Payment. 1. Carpenter : 40 std. seers of Jowar per pair of bứlloch 2. Blacksmith 35
3. Cobbler 80 15 Std. seers per adult male. 5. Washerman .: 20 std. seers per adult female.

- The above rates were current in all the three villages under survey. It may be realised that in the context of time, when balutedari was prevalent to a large extent, the above rates constituted a fair prorata paymentp to different types of services. The cobbler received a very high rate.principally because, unlike the his own carpenter and blacksmith, he provided the 人 raw material also and had to incur expenditure on that account.

The balutedars also received additional payments of either groundnuts, gram and a bundle or two of stalks.

These payments were more in the nature of goodwill gestures of the paying cultivator households than rights under the system. A carpenter at Nalwar reported therecipt payment of $1 / 4$ seer of seed if any repairs to the seed-drill were to belone the field during sowing time.

Payment of Baluta dues was made after the rabi produce was brought home and generally on the hew Year's day falling in March. A little harrasment in the nature of two or three visits to each household was reported by all the above five types of balutedars. The barbers, in particular, also reported huring of abuses and rebukes when they went for collection. . Payment of full baluta dues was made in a good year, whichbecurred, according to reports, once in three to four years. During other years, payments were made either in part or were completely withheld, the arrears being carried forward. All the types reported payment of arrears also. A general observation of a significant nature made by reporting balutedars was that payments were punctually. and regularly made by elderly heads of families who respected tradition\$. The harrasment was particularly done by younger family heads.

As a social institution , Balutedari included in addition to annual payment in kind, certain rights and obligations The Balutedars generally performed small services during religious occasions and were either paid in kind or were given food or clothes or cash'. At Nalwar, however, no such services were reported to be in vogue, presumably due to the existence of the major group of Iingayat cultivators, who were not Hindus and hence did not observe all Hindu customs. The only exception was that of the washermen, who reported L that theysere given jowar, oil and a little money on the birth of a child in any attached household. They
were also given meals on festive days.
The balutedars at Nalwar reported two benefits also. a
In case the balutedar owned some land, it was customary somer the for the attached cultivator households to undertake all the operations of cultivation on behalf of the balutedar since the latter did not possess the implements or bullockSnor dould he spare the time required for it. The Balutedars were generally busy repairing the implements of the cultivator householdgf implement during the cultivating season. The second benefit, reported only by a balutedar cobbler was the availability, from some of the richer attached households, of small loans free of interest. In the case of cther balutedars the benefit In this regard was that no security or mortgage of land was demanded by lending attached households.

The smooth functioning of the system depended primarily on the respect accorded to it by both the contracting parties. From the Balutedar artisans' point of view, this accordance with the traditional custom on the part of the paying cultivator household was ensured united $\mathrm{Th}^{-}$ by a limited action against defaulting household. It was reported that each of the above artisan groups were united prior to the Second World War. . The war altered the context of the system itself in that a number of artisan migrated to Nalwar and began working either for kind or cash: This increased competition together with the avallability of ready implements either at hay lis cofeet y Nalwar or other nearer markets久nulififi dey any united action taken by the Balutedars.

During the year of survey, all artisans except cobblers, reported an absence of unity among themselves.

In the case of carpenters, there were longing to hereditary carpenter families belonging to the Panchal community while the others had taken to carpentry during the present generation. The former group, who were also better and more skilled workers, as reflected by the proportionately greater per family Income from carpentry reported by the three Panchal families, was reported to be united and to have taken action against defaulting households. The existence of other carpepters in the village,however, enabled the defaulting household to get its work done from them and firs i fanyis achion as such rendered the fruntry人ineffective. It was reported that even Blacksmiths did carpentry repairs to oblige a known household, defaulting tire carpenter. The Lohar $\beta$ balutedars were not united with the result that attached households refuse to pay full baluta dues. Between themselves, the blacksmiths were reported to be accepting baluta work of a household that had defaulted payment to the former attached blacksmith. flurbers and giniler washermen also reported dentieal state of affairs. According to the reporting balutedar barbers and washerman, cultivator-households changed balutedars according to their free will. There was none in the village, powerful or respectable enough to take such persons to task. They reported that in the bygone days the system functioned sinotiny due, to a great extent, to the care $4^{x^{n}+1+\infty}$ and supervision exercised by the village elders.

The cobblers was the only group that reported complete unity as against the attached households. A defaulting household could not get any cobbling service and had to go either to Shahabad or Yadgir to get even minor repairs done. This group alone reported that they received full payment every year.

Table_No.2.1: Castewise distribution of families according to occupations, land owmership and cultivation


The chapter mainly deals with the population and certain aspects of population of the three villages under survey. It is divided into three broad seetiens, each dealing with one aspect of population.
hant
The first section presents the numbers and density along with certain demographic characteristics, principal of which are the sex-ratio, marital status and family types. The next purk section discusses the earner pattern and composition of individual males and females as also their vocational groups. In the third sootion the movement of population is described, In particular the immigration recorded by the survey centre of Nalwar.

## Population and Demographic Structure

The village Nalwar, returning a total population of 5.789 persons in the 1951 Census was placed in the non-city urban category by the Census authorities. The basis of this classification appears to be principally the number of persons living in the village and secondarily the existance of certain institutions of an urban character such as the regulated market, and certain non-agricultural industries like quarring, weaving and oil pressing and the railway station. As can be seen from table No. 1.5 in chapter $I$, that the occupational bias of the population. was etilt towards agriculture; as many as 65\% depending on it for their livelinood. The other two villages with 1063, and 580 persons were classified as rural villages, the proportions depending on agriculture also showing a heavy bias to the extent of 85 and $92 \%$ respectively for Sugur and Kulkundi.

The table ${ }_{\alpha}^{2 \cdot 20}$ below gives the size and population of the three viliages for the Census as well as the present survey and the
density of population.

Table 2.20 : Area and size of population of the villages

| Villages | Area <br> (Acres) | Census - 195\%1 <br> Population | Density <br> Population | Density |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The 'area' of each village has been taken from the village records instead of the census hand book because firstly the latter did not give the area of the non-city urban town of Nalwar, and secondly while the area figures from the village Sugur tallied with that from the village records, the area figure given by the census figure for the last village was much smaller than that from the village record. Since the source of this figure is assumed to be the same for the Gensus and the present survey, namely the village records, the figures have been taken directly from the source.

The density of population was, as worked out by the census hand book, 203 and 210 for the district and the tahsil in 1951 respectively. These figures are inclusive of the urban and rural populations. A comparison with the above density figures for villages with those for the district and tahsil shows that while the density of population of the two rural villages, Sugur and Kulkundi was much below the tahsil and district densities, that of the non-city urban town of Nalwar was quite higher.

The change in density between the aboive two periods of In-migneton-batrean 1941 to -951, therateof growtr-being noarly-4-times-greator than-that-of naturaz-gronth_-After 1951

the rate of growth of the centre appears to have slowed down almost to the level of population growth. 2.22

The table below presents the sex-ratios for the three villages for both the periods.

Table 2.22 : Sex ratio (Pemale per 1000 Males)

| Village | 1951 Census |  |  | Survey-year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Females per 1000 Males | Males | Females | Females per 1000 Males |
| Nalwar | 2849 | 2940 | 1031.94 | 3113 | 3251 | 1044.33 |
| Sugur | 531 | 532 | 1001.88 | 540 | 553 | 1024.07 |
| Kulkundi | 281 | 299 | 1064.04 | 246 | 276 | 1121.95 |

The sex ratio for the village Nalwar was 895.40 for the '1941 census. This ratio has risen since. Between 1951 and the survey year the sex ratio for all three villages has show a rise to an uneven extent. The smallest village Kulkundi" bas shown the maximum rise while that for Nalwar has shown the smallest. Compared to the sex ratio for the tahsil of Chittapur, namely 1014.22 in 1951 the village Sugur shows a deoline while both the remaining willages show sharp rise, it being sharpest in the case of Kulkundi. The table below gives the sex ratios between certain age groups for the survey year.

Table 2.23 : Sex ration according to age groups.

| Age-group | Nalwar | Sugur | Kulkund |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $0-17$ | 1030.84 | 1015.44 | 1194.44 |
| $18-52$ | 1038.59 | 1062.50 | 9 9.8.00 |
| 53 and above | 1182.27 | 3853.66 | 1866.67 |
| . | 1044.33 | 1024.07 | 1121.95 |
| Total (All <br> ages) |  |  |  |

of genern-1.
Afore-606

Tho-dietriturtion-ot-meleg-ond-femalip-in-thothreo-age

 works out to $+10 \%$ for Nalwar, $+2.5 \%$ for Sugur and $-10 \%$ for Kulkundi. The proportionate, rate of growth in thecase of this partioular oluster of the-nefear-vituage is thus the same 88 the proportionate rate of decline of the smellest village in the olugter.

The rate of growth in the case of Nalwar spread over the intervening 5 yeoris gives a rate alightly higher than the hahomal arerege rate of population growth of $1.5 \%$ /fer-ther-eountry. It may, therefore, be said that while the smamest village 1s positively declining in numbers, the nuclear village has been growing in population at a slightiy higher rate than the rate of population growth. This growth is principelly due to inmigration, whioh-heq-bean-dealtin-subeequentpeotion: The village Sugur with a growth rate of $+2.5 \%$ POr trasestork sher grow at a rate ehows than the zate of population growth op conversly. a smail proportion of the population has migrated from Sugur during the five jears.

The nuclear village of Nalwar ha been showing positive tendency of growth since 1921 onwards, eccording to the census hand book. The hand book gives the following figures.

Tabie 2.21: Population reçorded at Nalwar during census.

| Year | Total No of <br> persons | Variation | \& age <br> inorease |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 2539 |  |  |
| 1931 | 2643 | +104 | 4,096 |
| 1941 | 3461 | +818 | 30.949 |
| 1951 | 5789 | +2328 | 67.263 |
| $1954-55$ | 6364 | +575 | 9.933 |
|  |  |  |  |

The increase in numbers appears to be more than 150 per cent during the 35 years between 1921 and 1955. This rate is nearly three times greater than the normal rate of population growth. of-1, The per fear. The diatribution, again, into deoadewise rate of inorease shows that while the growth betwoen 1921 to 1931 was much below the rate of normal population growth, the incresse since 1931 has bsen positively outpacing the latter. "The variation between these twe decade olearly suggest thrt Nalwar had attracted migrant families from the regions surrouiding it. In-particuler, there appears to have been a great deal fing amintioin between c94c and 1951, lis cate the ratiorifor the earlier two groups or for the whole village. The evenness is completely absent in the village Kulkundi, the first and last group show very high prevalence of females while the middle working age group showing a comparative absence of them. It may be inferred that one of the ressons for the recorded decilne of the village is due to the outmigration of females either due to mariage or search of ifvelihood presumably after early widowhood. It may be remembered here that the village is the smallest in the oluster and the sex ratio given above is the ratio between 117 males and 180 males only.

The table Wer ${ }^{2.2 s}$ gives the age struoture of the three villages. It also gives a per 1000 diatribution for each group.

The variations between the pattern of alstribution between males and females of the same village and that of the three villages taken together have a broad identítyf A break down into bigger age groups, given/below broadly, gives the number and proportion in the working age group of males and females of the 3 villages.

| Age in completed years. | Nalwar |  |  |  | Sugur |  |  |  | Kulkundi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  | Males |  | Pemales |  | Males |  | Fomales |  |
|  | No. | Per 1000 | No. | Per 100 | No. | er 1000 | No. | Per 1000 | NO. | per 1000 | $N$ | per 1000 |
| 0-2 | 254 | 81. 62 | 295 | 90.74 | 47 | 87.04 | 55 | 99.46 | 21 | 85.37 | 23 | 83.33 |
| 3-7 | 531 | 170.63 | 516 | 158.72 | 93 | 172.22 | 73 | 132.00 | 35 | 142. 28 | 39 | 141.31 |
| 8-12 | 442 | 141.78 | 356 | 109.50 | 75 | 138.89 | 74 | 133.81 | 27 | 109.78 | 31 | 112.32 |
| 18-17 | 232 | 74.55 | 337 | 103.86 | 44 | 81.48 | 61 | 110.31 | 25 | 101. 63 | 36 | 130.43 |
| 18-22 | 286 | 85.48 | 339 | 104.27 | 47 | 87.04 | 52 | 94.03 | 50 | 121.95 | 24 | B6.96 |
| 23-27 | 883 | 80.94 | 268 | 81.83 | 38 | 70.37 | 54 | 97.65 | 23 | 93.50 | 18 | 65.23 |
| 28-32 | 278 | 87.40 | 240 | 73.82 | 41 | 75.93 | 87 | 48.82 | 15 | 60.97 | 22 | 79.71 |
| 33.37 | 211 | 67.80 | 180 | E5. 37 | 31 | 57.41 | 35 | 63.29 | 15 | 80.97 | 16 | 57.97 |
| 38-42 | 167 | 53.66 | 211 | 64.91 | 27 | 50.00 | 38 | 68.72 | 17 | 69.11 | 14 | 50.72 |
| 43-47 | 137 | 44.02 | 121 | 37.22 | 26 | 48. 16 | 25 | 45.21 | 6. | 24.39 | 6 | 21.74 |
| 48-52 | 115 | 36.95 | 150 | 46.14 | 30 | 55.55 | 24 | 43.40 | 14 | 56.90 | 17 | 61.58 |
| 53 and above | 203 | 65. 23 | 240 | 73.82 | 41 | 75.92 | 35 | 63.29 | 18 | 73.17 | 29 | 105.07 |
| N. R. | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 | 3.62 |



Table 2.24 : Distribution of males and females acoording to broader age groups.

| Age Group | Nalwar |  |  |  | Sugur |  |  |  | Kulkundi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Temale |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | No. | Per 1000 | No. | Per 1000 | No. | Per 1000 | No. | Per 1000 | No. | Per 1000 | Wo. | Per 1000 |
| 0-17 | 1459 | 469.52 | 1504 | 462.58 | 259 | 479.63 | 263 | 475.58 | 108 | 403.04 | 129 | 487,39 |
| 18-52 | 14.51 | 486.25 | 1507 | 483:66 | 240 | 444.45 | 255 | 461.13 | 180 | 487.78 | 117 | 423.92 |
| 53 and above | 203 | 65.23 | 240 | 73.82 | 41 | 75.92 | 85 | 63.29 | 18 | 73.17 | 30 | 108,69 |
| Total | 5113 | 1000.00 | 3251 | 1000.00 | 540 | 1000.c0 | 555 | 1000.00 | 246 | 1000.00 | 276 | 1000,00 |

The table ${ }^{2 \cdot 24}$ grouping the persons in broader groups, brings out the variations between villages in greater foous. Considering each village separately, the variation in dis. tribution is fairly even within each oategory as well as between males and females. The eveness gets disturbed in the case of Sugur, with larger variation in the distribution within each category and betweon males and females. The villege Kulkundi exhibits on extreme case, with the largest per 1000 proportion in the case of males and the lowest for females. While the proportion of those getting into the working age group is less for Sugur for both males and females as compared. to those of Nalwar, they form nearly 488 per thousand for males, highest for the three villages and 424 per thousand for males, the lowest for the three villages.

The table 2.25 gives the marital status of males and females of the olhre"e villages.

The table denotes certain general trends. These are that the proportion of the married to the total is much higher in the case of males than females for all the three Villages; that amope the married, the proportion of females 18 gonerally larger, the practice of marrying a seoond time being greater in males than in females, and that the proportion of widowed persons is far greater among females than in males, the proportion of divorced or separated also following the same trend.

The table 2.26 gives a breakdown of the familles of the three villages according to broad family types.

The table reveals an identicity of pattern with minor varietions. The proportion of the first oategory, which may be cailed a broken nuclear family with either a widow or widower and ohildren or unmarried brothers and sisters, varies between 20 and $25 \%$. The next two oategories, which may be called nuoleated familles, with the head of the family and his wife alongwith either married children or an elderly person, generally a parent, taken together vary

Table $2.25^{17}$ : Marital Status

| Category | Nalwar |  |  |  | Sugur |  |  |  | Kulkundi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Females |  | Males |  | Females |  | Males |  | Females |  | Males |  |
|  | No. | \$age | No. | \$8989 | No. | \%ago | No. | \$age | No. | \%age | No. | Page |
| Whmarried | 1188 | 36.54 | 1573 | 50.53 | 197 | 85.62 | 282 | 52.22 | 84 | 30.43 | 118 | 47.97 |
| Married onoe | 1304 | 40.12 | 1157 | 37.17 | 222 | 40.15 | 176 | 32.59 | 114 | 41.30 | 95 | 38,62 |
| Married twioe | 157 | 4.83 | 248 | 7.97 | 38 | 6.87 | 57 | 9.44 | 16 | 5.80 | 27 | 10.97 |
| Divorced, Soparated or सeeps. | 72 | 2.21 | 14 | 0.44 | 10 | 1.81 | 1 | 0.19 | 7 | 2.54 | 1 | 0.41 |
| Widowed | 529 | 16.27 | 121 | $3.89{ }^{\circ}$ | 86 | 15. 55 | 30 | 5.56 | 55 | 19.93 | 5 | 2.03 |
| N.R. | 1 | . 03 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Total | 3251 | 100.00 | 3113 | 100.00 | 553 | 100.00 | 540 | 100.00 | 276 | 100.00 | 246 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.26 No. of Families classified according to family Types.

| Family Types | Naiwar |  | Sugur |  | Kulkund |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Pamilies } \end{aligned}$ | \$0.ge | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { No. of }} \\ & \text { Families } \end{aligned}$ | Kage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { स्रo. of } \\ & \text { Families } \end{aligned}$ | \$age |
| 1. No. couple | 301 | 22.79 | 62 | 24.90 | 22 | 20.18 |
| 2. One couple with unmarried children | 540 | 40.88 | 118 | 47.39 | 45 | 41.28 |
| 3. One couple with - elderiy persons | 245 | 18.55 | 44 | 17.67 | 21 | 19.27 |
| 4. Two couples Self and son or brother | 173 | 13.09 | 19 | 7.63 | 16 | 14.68 |
| 5. Two couples Self and other couple | 12 | . 91 | - | - | 1 | . 92 |
| 6. Threer couples | 38 | 2.72 | 6 | 2.41 | 4 | 3.67 |
| 7. Pour or more couples | 14 | 1.08 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 1521 | 100:00 | 249 | 100.00 | 109 | 100.00 |

between 59 and, 65 of the $t$ otal between the smallest and the Lergest village in the oluater: The families under the fourth oategory, varying between 8 to 15 \% between the three villages, are the joint families in tita striotest sense. The rest of the families which may be called extended families Pormless than 5 in Naiwar and Kulkundi and about $2 \%$ in sugur.

The table ${ }^{2 \cdot 2}$ below gives the survey figures of the population of the thres villages olassified into adults and nonadults acoording to sex. Non-adults were defined as those who had completed 12 years and are generally termed as ' 'onildren'.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Table } 2 \cdot 27 . \text { Classifioation of population } \\
& \text { according to sex eto. }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Adult and | Nalwer |  | Sugur |  | Kulkundi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| category and 8 8x | No. | \%age | No. | Cage | No. | \$ago |
| Male ohildren | 1227 | 19.88 | 215 | 19.87 | 83 | 15.90 |
| Mal Adults | 1886 | 29.64 | 325 | 29.73 | 163 | 31.22 |
| Total Males | 3113 | 48.92 | 540 | 49.40 | 246 | 47.12 |
| Pemele Children | 1167 | 18.34 | 202 | 18.48 | 93 | 17.82 |
| Pemsle Adults | 2084 | 32.74 | 351 | 32.12 | 183 | 35.06 |
| Total Females | 3251 | 51.08 | 553 | 50.60 | 278 | 52.88 |
| Total | 6364 | 100.00 | 1093 | 100,00 | 522 | 100.00 |

It may be seen from the table that while the villages Walwar and Sugur heve almost the same pattern of sex distrie bution with 49 \% males and 51 \% femsies, the village Kulkundi shows a comparatively smaller male population, 47 \%, with the females constituting the remsining 53 .

Employment of ohildren vas on a significant soele as can be seen from the table $\frac{2 \cdot 28}{\text { below. The table sets down the }}$ number of non-iduoands 1.0 . those who were not attending sohool during the suryey year, and the number of those who were reported to be doing some sort of work either in the family occupation or for wages.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Table } 2.28: \text { Wo. of non-pduoand childreń and } \\
& \text { the no. engaged in occupation. }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Category | Malwar |  | Sugur |  | Kulkundi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage to } \\ & \text { Vilage } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Mo. | page to village total | No. | \$age to village total |
| Mede |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-educand | 332 | 27.08 | 61 | 28.37 | 22 | 26.57 |
| Employed | 216 | 17.80 | 44 | 20.47 | 14 | 16.87 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-educand | 340 | 29.13 | 99 | 49.00 | 43 | 46.24 |
| Employed | 180 | 15.48 | 68 | 32.87 | 29 | 31.18 |

The village Sugur reported largest to age of non-tducand ohildren alongwith largest' of age of emplogment of ohildren. In the case of femele non-educandsciticmay be noted that the nuclear center of Nalwar reported amallest \% age, together with the smallest $\%$ age of their emplogment.

In the table 2.29 the nature of employment of the above reported numbere-of male and female emplojed ohildren is given.

The table shows thet the proportion engaged in household , work goes on inoreasing for both male as well female ohildren from Nalwar to Kulkundi. It may however be noted that employment of female ahildren in house-hold work wes 45 \% only in Malwar as compared to nearly 79 and 76 of in the other two villages. On the other hand a little over $39 \%$ of these were engaged in wage work outside the family ocoupation $r$.

Table 2.29 : Nature of omployment of ohlidren employed.

| Employment category | Nalwar |  |  |  | Sugur |  |  |  | Kulkundi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Male }}{\text { No. }}$ |  | Femaje |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  |  |  | No, |  | No. | \%age | No. | Sage |  | \$age | पָ®0 | Sage |
| 1. Household work | 12 | 5.55 | 81 | 45.00 | 5 | 11.38 | 58 | 78.79 | 4 | 28.57 | 28 | 75.86 |
| 2. Fapm Work <br> (Family) | 89 | 13.43 | 11 | 6.11 | 6 | 18.64 | 8 | 12.12 | 1 | 7.14 | 2 | 6.90 |
| 3. Family non-farm work | 19 | 8.80 | 6 | 3.33 | 3 | 8.82 | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| 4. Tending Cattle | 89 | 41.20 | 11 | 6.11 | 21 | 47.73 | 2 | 3.03 | 4 | 28.57 | 1 | 5.45 |
| B. Fork outside for wagea | 67 | 81.02 | 71 | 39.45 | 9 | 20.45 | 4 | 6.06 | 5 | 35.78 | 4 | 13.79 |
| Total | 216 | 100.00 | 180 | 100.00 | 44 | 100.00 | 66 | 100.00 |  | 100.00 | 29 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

at Nalwar. Family farm work and oattle tending together ocoupled approx. the same proportion of male ohildren at Nalwar and Sugur, while that at Kulkund was much less, the last village showing nearly $36 \%$ of its male ohildren being imployed in wage work outside the family ocoupation. Wage with outside the farm, emplojed, together with male and female children, the largest proportion at Naiwar, followed by Kulkundi and Sugur.

The table 2.30 gives a classifioation of the families according to number of earners. These earners do not inolude earning ohildren.

The first category includes families that do not have either male or female earnors, The proportion of families without any male earner are almost identical for all the three villages with a slight deorease for Kulkundi. The $^{\text {ul }}$ proportion of familles without female earners however varies to-Lapge-axtent between 11.25 for Sugur to 23.01 for Nalwar presumably because of the existance of a larger number of familles belonging to advanced castes and with higher incomes in the latter village which does not necessitate produotive employment on the part of the femeles. The proportion of familles with one male or one. female earner varies from 48.62 for Kulknudi to 55.82 for Sugur in the case of male earners and from 47.70 for Kulkund to 59.84 for Sugur in the case of femsle earners. It may therefore be generally said that the proportion of employment of mäles and females is largest in Sugur followed by Kulkund and Nalwar. This fact is also borne out by the proportions of individuals in the 'unproductive' oategory in the tables 2.3 .

The table 2.31-classifies the male and female adults of the three villages according to individual occupations. The ócoupational classification olosely resembles that followed by the Census. The data are presented in broad oocupationai groups, instead of individual aotivities whic are described more fully in subsequent ohapters.

Table 2.30 : Families olassified acoording to No. of male and female earners,

| No. of farmens <br> in the family | Nalwar |  |  |  | Sugur |  |  |  | Kulkundi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Fomale |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Mo. | \$age | No. | \$ago | No. | bage | \%o. | \$age | No. | \$ago | No. | \%age |
| 0 | 217 | 16.43 | 304 | 23.01 | 40 | 16.08 | 28 | 11.25 | 17 | 15,60 | 17 | 15.80 |
| 1 | 718 | 54.55 | 665 | 50.35 | 139 | 55.82 | 149 | 52.84 | 53. | 48, 82 | 58 | 47,70 |
| 2 | 250 | 18.93 | 260 | 19.68 | 43 | 17.27 | 58 | 23.29 | 24 | 22.02 | 27 | 24.77 |
| 5 | 92 | 6.96 | 78 | 5.45 | 83 | 9.24 | 13 | 5.22 | 13 | 11.93 | 8 | 7.54 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { and } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 3.33 | 20 | 1.57 | 4 | 1.61 | 1 | . 40 | 8 | 1.83 | 5 | 4,59 |
| Total | 1321 | 100.00 | 1321 | 100.00 | 249 | 100.00 | 849 | 100.00 | 109 | 100.00 | 109 | 100.00 |



Group I includes all those ongaged in primary production. Subgroup 'Agrioulture' includes those engaged in owner cultivation, tenant-oultivation as woll as those who were employed to supervise agricultural operations. About 4\% of the males in this group in Nalwar were ongaged in allied ocoupations such as. plantation and gardening, cattle and sheep rearing and tending eto. For females this pro* portion is almost negligible. Sab-group 'Agricultural Labour' includes ali those who reported agricultural labour as their major individual activity, though some of these aiso occasionally, undertook other types of labour auch as hamall work, quarrywork, or small selling. Tho third subgroup 'quarrying' includes allthose direotly employed in the quarrying ocoupation namely clerks, labourers, transportmen ete. It may be seen that rowe rone from Sugur and Kulkundi reported this activity as the main ocoupation.

It may be seen that thip proportipn of those ongaged In cultivation over the totel varies between $21 \%$ for Nalwar to $20 \%$ in Sugur; the proportion of maiea varying to a larger degree from 29\% for Nalwar to 47\% for Sugur, thetcof females remaining fairiy constant. The proportionsengaged in agrioultural labour, however, are subject to wider variations, varying between $22 \%$ for Nalwar to $43 \%$ for Kulkundi in the case of males and between $40 \%$ for Halwar to $64 \%$ for Sugur in the case of females. The increasing proportions, of males and females dependent on agrioulture in the other tivo villages denote their essential rural character.

Group II includes such processing aotivities as weaving 011 presiging and flour mills, cobbling and butchering, rope making, tailoring etc. . About $46 \%$ of these are engaged in weaving, $18 \%$ in tailoring and $13 \%$ as cobblers in the nuclear village of Naiwar. For other fillages many of these activitles were non-existant, Sugur reporting 9 weavers, 5 rope makers and 4 cobblers, whide Kulkundi reporting only one oobbler.

Group III includes artisans such as carpenters, blacksmiths, goldsmiths and potters. Except in pottery to the extent of 3 females at Nalwar, no females reported any work in these ocoupations at Sugur and Kulkundi.

Group IV inoludes all those ongaged in all sorts of trading activity including the gumastas and olerks of Adatyas, cloth shops and grocery shops and hamals attached to the above traders. The females in this group were to a large extent engaged in hamali work and selling of firewood at Nalwar and in small and seasonal selling at Sugur and Kulkundi.

Group $V$ inoluded all transport workers, more than $50 \%$ being employees in the railwayp and the remaining prinoipally carters tranaporting agriculture produce to mariste carters at. Naiware Thore were no commercial certers at Sugur while at Kulkundi there were only 4. There were no females engaged in this groups.

Group VI incluaing those engaged in tranepert and utility, is ifmited to Nalwar only. Nearly $70 \%$ of the males were engaged in construction while the remaining constituted the ganitary staff of the Gram Panchayat. The females were prinoipally engaged as construction 1abourers.

Group VII constitutes those engaged in medioine, teaching and other government and local administration $\alpha$ At Nalwar, this group consisted, to a major extent, of teachers forming nearly 43\%.

All the remaining oocupations, generally termed as -Other services: are included in Group VIII. These inolude washing of olothes, barbering, religious service, tea shop keeping domestic work for wages otc. The principal groups in this were priests $35 \%$, tea-shopkeepers including servants, whik 2ै4\% and barbers 13\%, of males at Neiwar whith in the case of females, they constituted washerwomen to the extent of about 67\% and the remaining, domestic servants. The same pattern held for Sugur and Kulkundi elso except for teashops, of
which there were none at. Sugur and one at Kulkundi.
The last eategory inoludes all those who are engaged in what is broadly termed as 'unproductive ocoupations'. These occupations include, household work, educetion, prostitution and begging, pensions and remittances and the unemployed persons. The prinoipal groups at Nalwar under this category were education $40 \%$, and unemployed $56 \%$ of Whom $64 \%$ were disabled persons. Among females the principal group was constituted of those engaged in household work to the Extent of $22 \%$. For the other two villages, education and disabled were the principal groups in the case of males and household work in the oase of females.

Over the total the proportion of gainfully employed adult population of the three villages works out to about 81\%, $93 \%$ and 88\% respeotively. It appears that those from Sugur have to exert themselves to a greater extent than those in the other two villages. At Nalwar, a significant proportion of fomales are engaged in household work, without having to earn living; likewise a large proportion of males in the last group are either sohooling or resting becange of disability, prinoipally due to old age. To a amaller degree, this state is present at Kuikundi also.

In order to present a clearer idea of the magnitudes ongaged in different vocational groups, the table 2.32 giving the number and proportions of gainfully employed males and females engaged in broad groups is given.

The table reveals two distinot trends. Firstly, it bringa out the nature of the three village oconomies, namely, their rural or non-rural oharacter. The proportions ongaged In agrioulture, which can be assumed to be one offoipal-opiterian deoiding the rural oharacter of any economy, may be seen to be greatly inoreasing betweon $N_{a l w a r}$ and the other two viliages. Conversely, $45 \%$ of the adult earners at Naiwar
are engaged in non-farm' productive activities and tertiary services like trade, transport, administration and other services, while the proportions engaged in these in the other two villages declines very bharply.

Secondly the table distinguished the occupations of the males from those of the females very clearly, While males are engaged in agriculture, other processing and production and trading and transport to a large extent the females are predominantly engaged in agriculture, Nalwar reporting some significant employment in trading also.

Table 2.32 Classification of adults according to major Vocational groups. .

| Vocational Groups | Nalwar |  |  |  | Sugur |  |  |  | Kulkundi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nales |  | Yemsiles: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Males |  | Females: |  | Dales |  | Females |  |
|  | \%o. | \% 5 dge | \%o. | \% 2 EEP | $8{ }^{3}$ | Sage | 80. | grage | 170: | \%osee | NO\% | Sage |
| I. Agriculture | 250 | 54.69. | 1135 | 76.53 | 250 | 79.62 | 267 | 85.31 | 122 | 79.22 | 145 | 95.40 |
| Quarrying (I) | 55 | 3.17 | 60 | 4,05 | - |  | - |  | $\bullet$ |  | - | - |
| 2. Nonoagricultural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Processing and production(II. | $\text { II) } 181$ | 10.42 | 98 | 6.61 | 29 | 9.24 | 10 | 3.19 | 3 | 1.95 | - |  |
| 3. Trade and Transport (IV,V) | 380 | 21.88 | 144- | 9.71 | 16 | 5.09 | 29 | 3.26 | 9 | 5.64 | 3 | 1.97 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. services } \\ & \text { (VI,VII,VIII) } \end{aligned}$ | 171 | 9.84 | 46 | $3: 10$ | 19 | 6.05 | 7 | 2.24 | 20 | 12.99 | 4 | 2.63 |
| total | 1727 | 106.00 | 1483 | 100.00 | 314 | 100.00 | 313 | 100.00 | 154 | 100.00 | 152 | 100.00 |

Table No. 2.2 : Number of families engaged in agricultural labour and their proportion to Caste total.

| Caste | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { perma- } \\ & \text { nent } \\ & \text { farm } \\ & \text { servant } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | page to caste total | No. of casual labour families | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wage to } \\ \text { caste } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | No.of <br> perma- <br> nent <br> farm <br> servant <br> fami- <br> lies | ```$age to caste total``` | No.of casual labour fami1ies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage to } \\ & \text { caste } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | No.of <br> perma- <br> nent <br> farm <br> servant <br> fami- <br> 11es | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{k u 1 k u}{\text { Yage to }} \\ & \text { caste } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | No. of casual labour families | bage to caste total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lingayat | 2 | 0.91 | 18 | 8.14 | 2 | 4.76 | 7 | 16.67 | - | - | - | 5.88 |
| Kurubar | 1 | 4.16 | 8 | 33.33 | 1 | 3.45 | 7 | 24.14 | - | - - | 6 | 42.86 |
| Kabbaliger | 13 | 7.10 | 35 | 19.12 | - | - | 3 | 14.28 | - | - | 13 | 56.52 |
| Laman | 11 | 3.91 | 102 | 36.30 | - | - | 8 | 21.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Bedar | 3 | 6.38 | 21 | 44.68 | - | - | 1 | 20.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Holiya | 2 | 3.84 | 26 | 50.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 2 | 10.52 | 2 | 9.09 | 8 | 36.36 |
| Madig | 4 | 7.27 | 28 | 50.91 | 2 | 6.90 | 17 | 58.62 | 2 | 16.67 | 3 | 25.00 |
| Muslim | 5 | 2.06 | 40 | 16.46 | 2 | 3.57 | 14 | 25.00 | - | - | 1 | 33.33 |

Table_No. 2.2 : Number of families engaged in cultivation and their proportion to Caste total

| Caste | No. of owner cultivator fami11es | \$age to caste total | No. Of tenant cultivator families | Rage to caste total | No. of owner cultivator families | \%age to caste total | No. of tenant cultivator families | \$age to caste total | No.of owner cultivator fami11es | \%age to caste total | No. of tenant cultivator families | \%age to caste total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lingayat | 37 | 16.74 | 6 | 2.71 | 23 | 54.76 | 1 | 2.38 | 11 | 64.70 | 1 | 5.88 |
| Kurubar | 6 | 25.00 | 1 | 4.16 | 16 | 55.17 | 4 | 13.79 | 3 | 21.43 | 5 | 35.71 |
| Kabbaligar | 68 | 37,16 | 21 | 11.47 | 7 | 33.33 | 1 | 4.76 | 6 | 26.09 | 4 | 17.39 |
| Laman | 84 | 29.89 | 61 | 21.71 | 16 | 42.10 | 5 | 13.16 | - | - | - | - |
| Bedar | 4 | 8.51 | 7 | 14.89 | 4 | 80.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Holiya | 7 | 13.46 | 11 | 21.14 | 8 | 42.10 | 7 | 36.84 | 2 | 9.09 | 9 | 40.91 |
| Madag | 8 | 14.54 | 9 | 16.36 | 4 | 13.79 | 1 | 3.45 | 5 | 41.67 | - | - |
| Muslim | 27 | 11.11 | 15 | 6.17 | 12 | 21.43 | 5 | 8.93 | 2 | 66.67 | - | - |

Table No. 2, $3:$ Average Per Family Holdings of owned and cultivated land
and Income.

## NALWAR

| Caste | Number of families |  |  | Average per family holding |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Report- | Culti- | Owned | Culti- | Owned | Culti- | Average <br> family <br> income |
|  |  | ing | vators | All | vated | Report- | vated |  |
|  |  | owner- <br> ship |  | fami- | AII | ing | Report- | (PS.) |
|  |  |  |  | lies | fam1- | fami- | ing |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | lies | Ifes | fami- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | lies |  |
| 1. Brahmins | 14 | 3 | 2 | 5.78 | 5.78 | 27.00 | 40.50 |  |
| 2. Marwadi | 29 | 5 | 3 | 3.72 | 3.72 | 27.00 | 40.50 | 1128.57 |
| 13. Rajput | 5 | - | - | 3. | 3. | 21.6 | 36.00 | 1503.57 900.00 |
| 4. Kshatriya | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  | 600.00 |
| 5. Lingayat | 221 | 73 | 43 | 9.82 | 10.38 | 29.72 | 53.33 | 837.56 |
| 6. Maratha | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2.67 | 5.44 | 24.00 | 24.50 | 744.44 |
| 7. Kurubar | 24 | 7 | 7 | 5.71 | 7.92 | 19.57 | 27.14 | 491.66 |
| 8. Iliger | 19 | 10 | 8 | 7.95 | 7.05 | 15.10 | 16.75 | 715.79 |
| 9. Badiger | 6 | 2 | 1 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 21.00 | 24.00 | 300.00 |
| 10. Kammar | 10 | 9 | - | 5.20 | - | 5.67 | . | 440.00 |
| 11. Shimpi | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 400.00 |
| 12. Devang | 2 | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 400.00 |
| 13. Pattar | 9 | 7 | - | 8.89 | - | 11.43 | - | 762.50 |
| 14. Bannagar | 15 | 4 | 3 | 3.00 | 2.73 | 11.25 | 13.67 | 700.00 |
| 15. Navaliga | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 388.89 |
| 16. Agsar | 7 | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | 385.71 |
| 17. Kumbhar | 13 | 11 | 5 | 10.61 | . 6.38 | 12.54 | 16.60 | 538.46 |
| 18. Jppar | 17 | 11 | 10 | 10.23 | 10.00 | 15.82 | 34.00 | 558.82 |
| 19. Kabbaliger | 183 | 129 | 100 | 7.52 | 8.97 | 10.67 | 16.41 | 682.51 |
| 20. Patradavar | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1100.00 |
| 21. Laman | 281 | 138 | 144 | 6.17 | 8.58 | 12.56 | 16.74 | 513.88 |
| 22. Vaddar | 18 | 4 | 4 | 2.11 | 2.83 | 9.50 | 12.75 | 761. 11 |
| 23. Helavar | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 4.00 | 1.00 | 283.33 |
| 24. Korravar | 5 |  | - | - |  | - |  | 380.00 |
| 25. Bedar | 47 | 15 | 13 | 2.00 | 3.76 | 6.27 | 13.61 | 487.23 |
| 26. Basar | 1 |  | - |  |  |  |  | 300.00 |
| 27. Holiya | 52 | 16 | 17 | 2.46 | 4.58 | 8.00 | 14.00 | 517.30 |
| 28. Samagar | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4.33 | 2.33 | 8.67 | 14.00 | 666.67 |
| 29. Madig | 55 | 25 | 19 | 2.71 | 4.58 | 5.96 | 13.26 | 516.36 |
| 30. Musiim | 243 | 61 | 43 | 3.42 | 3.76 | 14.10 | 22.82 | 583.13 |
| 31. Christian | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 260.00 |
| Total | 1321 | 537 | 423 | 5.75 | 6.73 | 14.21 | 20.22 | 637.55 |

Table No. 2.3: Average Per Family Holdings of owned and cultivated land and Income.


SUGUR


Kulkundi

| 1. | Brahmin | 2 | 2 | 1 | .62.50 | 43.00 | 62.50 | 86.00 | 400.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Lingayat | 17 | 15 | 14 | 33.47 | 34.23 | 37.93 | 41.57 | 1368.75 |
| 3. | Kurubar | 14 | 9 | 8 | 5.14 | 17.00 | 8.00 | 29.75 | 507.14 |
|  | Iliger | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | 400.00 |
| 5. | Pattar | 1 | - |  |  |  | - |  | 300.00 |
| 6. | Navaliga | 3 | 2 | 1 | 14.67 | 2.67 | 22.00 | 8.00 | 633.33 |
| 7. | Agsar | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11.20 | 19.60 | 18.67 | 32.67 | 460.00 |
| 8. | Kabbaliger | 23 | 13 | 11 | 6.26 | 10.69 | 11.08 | 22.36 | 482.60 |
| 9. | Dasar | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6.40 | 3.20 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 420.00 |
| 10. | Holiya | 22 | 11 | 11 | 4.18 | 7.86 | 8.36 | 15.73 | 445.45 |
| 11. | Madig | 12 | 5 | 5 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 13.60 | 13.60 | 375.00 |
| 12. | Muslim | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8.67 | 8.67 | 8.67 | 8.67 | 366.67 |
| 13. | 44e? <br> Christian | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 500.00 |
|  | Total | 109 | 66 | 59 | 11.28 | 14.16 | 18.63 | 26.15 | 585.32 |

Table No. 2.4: Castes and average family holdings (rounded upto full acre) in a descending order

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


| Caste | Average owned holding acres | Caste | Average <br> culti- <br> vated <br> holding <br> acres | CasteAverage <br> owned <br> holding <br> acres |  | Caste | Average <br> culti- <br> vated <br> holding <br> acres | CasteAverage <br> owned <br> holding |  | Caste | Average cultivated holding acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lingayat | 30 | Lingayat | 53 | Lingayat | 27 | Lingayat | 39 | Lingayat | - 38 | Lingayat | 42 |
| Kurubar | 20 | Kurubar | 27 | Bedar | 17 | Kabbaliger | 21 | Madag | - 14 | Kurubar | 30 |
| Muslim | 14 | Muslim | 23 | Laman | 14 | Laman | 20 | Kabbalige | er 11 | Kabbaliger |  |
| Laman | 13 | Laman | 17 | Kabbaliger | 11 | Holiya | 19 | Muslim | 9 | Holiya | 16 |
| Kabbaliga | er 11 | Kabbaliger |  | Hollya | 10 | Kurubar | 17 | Holiya | 8 | Madag | 14 |
| Holiya | 8 | Holiya | 14 | Kurubar | 10 | Madag | 16 | Kurubar | 8 | Musilm | 9 |
| Bedar | 6 | Bedar | 14 | Muslim | 10 | Muslim | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Madag | 6 | Madag | 13 | Madag | 6 | Bedar | 15 |  |  |  |  |





Table No. 2.6 : Distribution of families according to Income Groups.


Table No. 2.7: Classification of the male adult population of Nalwar into educational categories



Table No. 2.9: Classification of the total population of the village into educational categories.


| Education : Admissions, average attendance, and reporting in the G.F.S. Middle School, Nalwar. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \quad 120$ | 122.8 | 71.1 | 128 | 16 | 6 | - | 150 |
| 2 . 33 | 32.2 | 22.9 | 55 | 3 | 1 | - | 59 |
| $3 \quad 36$ | 35.0 | 25.1 | 43 | 8 | 5 | - | 56 |
| 436 | 39.0 | 30.2 | 23 | 3 | 4 | - | 30 |
| 542 | 41.2 | 32.0 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 32 |
| 644 | 44.8 | 35.6 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 8 |  |
| 7 - 24 | 25.0 | 19.5 | 17 | - | - | 5 | 22 |
| Total 335 | 340 | 236.4 | 304 | 37 | 13 | 15 | 373 |

Table No, 2.11: Castewise distribution of male students in the Middle School, Nalwar.


Table No. 2. 12 : Average monthly attendance in Girls' Primary School.


| No. on School register | 65 | 21 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average number for the year | 51.9 | 16.4 | 2.9 | 7.2 |
| June 1954 | 53.1 | 17.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 |
| July 1954 | 62.5 | 17.9 | 3.4 | 6.7 |
| August 1954 | 59.4 | 16.0 | 2.7 | 5.9 |
| September 2954 | 52.4 | 16.7 | 2.6 | 7.5 |
| October 1954 | 51.0 | 15.6 | 3.0 | 7.0 |
| November 1954 | 51.5 | . 16.7 | 3.0 | 7.0 |
| December 1954 | 54.7 | 16.5 | 3.0 | 7.0 |
| January 1955 | 41.6 | 15.3 | 2.9 | 7.0 |
| February 1955 | 44.5 | 16.5 | . 3.1 | 7.9 |
| March 1955 | 50.0 | 16.6 | 3.1 | 8.0 |
| April 1955 | 50.6 | 16.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 |




| Table No. 2.16: Number of attached households reported by the Bolutedars of the three villages and extent of the prevalence of the system. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1._._._. Nalwar Sugur Kulkundi Total |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Carpenter |  |  |  |  |
| Number of houses reported \%age to total | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ 35.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 35.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ 57.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 205 \\ 37.4 \end{array}$ |
| 3 Blacksmith |  |  |  |  |
| Number of houses reported \%age to total | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 21.0 \end{array}$ | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 15.0 \end{array}$ |
| 4 Cobbler |  |  |  |  |
| Number of houses reported \%age to total | 51 13.1 | $38 \%$ 35.8 | $8 *$ 15.4 | 97 17.5 |
| 5 Total |  |  |  |  |
| Number of houses reported \%age to total(Average) | $\begin{aligned} & 270 \\ & 23.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ 35.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 35.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 384 \\ 23.4 \end{array}$ |
| 6 Barber |  |  |  |  |
| Number of houses reported bage to total | $\begin{array}{r} 132 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 16.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42^{*} \\ 38.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 214 \\ 12.7 \end{array}$ |
| 7 Washerman |  |  |  |  |
| Number of houses reported page to total | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 4.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 * \\ 10.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4^{*} \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ |
| 8 Total |  |  |  |  |
| Number of houses reported |  |  | 46 | 302 |
| \%age to total (Average) | $7.2$ | $13.2$ | 21.1 | 9.0 |

Table No. 2. 17 : Number of cultivators reporting Baluta Payments. (Frarm Business Data)


## LAND UTILISATION

As is generally found all over India, the district of Gulberga had nearly $77 \%$ of its population dependent on agriculture. This population was divided into urban and rural population the respective proportions of those dependent on agriculture being 23.5 thes.
and 88.2 respectively. The tahsil also showed almost identical characteristics, the respective proportions of those-depending-upon_agriculture being 30 and 84.7 respectively.

The survey centre ' Nalwar, having a population of more than 5,000 persons, was placed in urban category . by the census of 1951. The centre, however, could still be.classified as a rural village as the occupational pattern revealed a percentage of nearly $65 \%$ as dependent on agriculture.

This" chapter, after describing the various aspects of 'land utilisation such as distribution of total land of the village, soil types and land values, cropping pattern etc. attempts a closer case study of the occupation of agriculture, which engages the largest single group. For the purpose of this study, a small sub-survey, entitled farm business survey was undertaken during the survey year. The data is used as illustrative material for the description of the occupation.

The village Nalwar was rectangular in shape cut into two unequal parts almost diagonsally by the railway line. The part east of this railway line was comparatively less fertile except the tari or wet
lands irrigated by the two tanks. The northreast part was covered with a jungle surrounded by grazing fields as shown in the village map. i irest
The part eat of the railway line and forming nearly $2 / 3$ rds of the village contained the main cultithat vated area except Learmarked for the Gavthan and grazing lands. The village was altuated in a plane terrain except for the north eastern jungles and hillocks and smaller depressions. The land sloped slightly and gradually from the west to the east.

The table below gives the distribution of the total land of the three villages under survey, topographical maps of the village Sugur and Kulkundi were not cavailable.
Table ${ }^{3 \prime 1}$ Distribution of total land of the village Nalwar,Sugur and Kulkundi.

It may be seen that the proportions of land under different uses varied from village to village, cultithe major vation being single use. Among the three villages under survey, the village Sugur had 928 of its total land under the category of cuitivable land. This was followed by Nalwar ( $82 \%$ ) and Kulkundi ( $72 \%$ ). The proportion of cultivable lands amount ${ }^{2}$ to 86, 94 and 82 per cent for Nalwar, Sugur and Kulkundi if Inam lands are taken into account. Land categorised as cultivable waste was one per cent for all the village.

The second major use was for non-cultivable purposes. The proportions of land under this category varied almost inversely compared to the proportions of cultivable land for the three villages. Thus Kulkundi had $17.2 \%$ of the land under non-cultivable land, Nalwar 13\% and Suguar about 5\%. The rather high proportion
for Kulkundi has been due to the existence of the Bhima'river, which is shown as cutting into nearly 355 acres or 15.5 per cent of the total land of the village. In the case of Nalwar the single major use under the category of non-cultivable use had been grazing land covering about 696 acres or $7.31 \%$ of the total land. For the other two villages, Sugur and Kulkundi, it was. 1.47 and 1.08 per cent respectively. Other uses, to which the non-cultivable land was put, and common for all the villages were the gaothan area and potkharab lands. Other significant uses for Nalwar were for the two tanks, $2.26 \%$ of the total area and for roads, 93 per cent of the total area.

As mentioned in Chapter $I$, almost the whole of the tahsil was under a Jagirdar during the regime of the Nizam. The three villages had a part of their lands given as Inams, or lands donated in recognition of service by the Jagirdar or his representative.

As can be seen from table. $3 \% . .$. . Inam lands formed $3.72,2.14$ and 9.32 per cent of total land of Nalwar, Sugur and Kulkundi respectively. ${ }^{7}$ In the table below, 3.2 breakdown of the Inami lands of the three villages and percentage thereof are given. - Common to all the three villages, were the Inam lands given to the Brahmin religious ministrant or adviser in the records shown as the Agnihotri, Puranik and Joshi in the three villages respectively, and inam lands given to the village servants. It may be mentioned here that the village servants belonged 4143 mainly<Kabbaliger caste and hot the Holer caste. As a community the Holers did agricultural labour, cultivation, etc. as their occupation. In the village Sugur, the Inam lands given to these village servants amounted to nearly 92 per cent. It was greatest in the case
of Nalwar also. In Kulkundi 63 per cent of the total inam lands were given to village officials, the Kulkarni, the Patil and the Deshpande. In

Nelwar, however, there was. no record of any inam lands to Kulkarni. The small percentage of 2.28 had been given to the Deshmukh.

A notable feature in the case of Nalwar was the granting of Inam lands to various types of artisans. These inam lands amounted to 11.44 per cent of the total inam lands. The distribution between different artisans was Sutar 36.8\%, Lohar 36.0\%, Barber 19.6\% and the Koli entrusted with the duty of releasing water to the fields from the two tanks. A significant proportion, $13.70 \%$ and $4.05 \%$ of the total inam lands were granted to the temples for maintenance and upkeep In Nalwar and Kulkundi respectively. In Sugur Inams appears to have been granted only to the Brahmins and the village servants. Whereas in the other two villages certain miscellaneous Inams, classified as 'Other Inams' appear to have been granted, to Holers at Nalwar had some Inam lands.

Regards soil types, the district fell into two broad natural regions, the western region including the Chitapur tahsil, having black Xegar aoil predominently. In the eastern regions the chalka or reddish sandy soil predominated. The tehsil of Chitapur almost lay in between these two soil types.

In the table below, two distributions of the total cultivable land of Nalwar are juxtaposed. The first series is built on the information collected by the infortgin investigator stationed at Nalwar and is more or less a guess. The second series is built up ind the area cultivated by the Khatedars selected for


The above type of distribution for the village Sugur, built from the 生.B.S. data was Regar 63.18\%, Chalka 9.43\% and mixed soil $27.39 \%$; there were not wet rice lands. For the village Kulkundi, almost all the land was of the regar type.

The Regar soil, called Yari locally, was black in colour and had a greater moisture retaining capacity. It was said to be welsuited for cotton also. At Nalwar a large part of this type lay to the western and south west of the Gavthan area. The chalka soil, called cemead Masari locally, was reddish in colour and sandy in composition. It was inferior to the Regar soil. Two broad types in this were also reported. The type with finer grain of sand was said to be wellsuited for groundnuts while the type with larger grains of sand was said to be much inferior. The
mixed type or Saviu was suid to be generally unproductive. In the above classification, however, it includes what was locelly called Halu land, which was reported to be quite good for rabi jowar.

Land values varied according to the above broad soil types, each type being divided into three grades the superior, medium and inferior grades, according to combinations of different components of soil.

In the table below the maximum and minimum land values of each soil type are given. These were reported by the investigator, and given an idea of the range of values in each type. Per-acre values reported in farm business schedule are also given in order to get a comparative picture. These were reported by the selected cultivators and are also in the nature of arbitrary estimates.

Table : Per-acre values of land according to soil types.

Type of land \begin{tabular}{l}
Reported by <br>
investigator <br>
Max.

 

Min.
\end{tabular} Nalwar Sugur Kulkundi

It may be seen that the Yari type of land diminishes with the sige and location of the village; ., The per acre value decreases from Rs'. $320 ; 8$ to $2 \% 14$ from Nalwar to Kulkundi. 'Secondly, there are almost no rice lands

In Sugur or Kulkundi, though the last was situated on the bank of Bhima winich for a persinaliphermia river.

Erosion was reported to be taking place on a significant scale especially on slopes and on the edge of streams. The rain waters are responsible for tinis erosion and it was reported that in years of comparatively heavfer rainfall, the cultivatlons had, to incur heavy expenses for repairing the bunds.

Bunding was done both with stone and earth. It was reported that the richer cultivators constructed stone bunds around plots nearer the gavthan and earthen bunds around those farther away. Escept in the case of these cultivators, others generally constructed mud bunds. As may be seen from the table below, cultivators in Group I of the selected sample of Khatedars, reported stone-bul爯ding, covering a greater area than that by mud bunds. The cultivators from Kulkundi also reported similar practice, presumably die to the situation of the village as-its river bank.

The table below gives the practices of bunding, proportions of area covered to total area cultivated etc. reported by the Khatedars selected from farm business survey.

Table No. 3.4 : Practice of Bunding and proportion of total cultivated ${ }_{\alpha}^{\text {arcovered by bunding. }}$

Three khétedars from Group I and one khatedar from Kulkundi reported having stone bunds as well earthen bunds Group II and khatedars from Sugur did not have any stone bunds at all. Group III had a stone bund but the area covered by it amounted to $17^{\circ} \mathrm{pex}$ cent of the total covered area, the rest 83 per cent bedng covered by earth bunds.

The last column of the table giving the proportion of area covered by bunds to the total area cultivated by the reporting khatedars, may be taken as reflecting the practice of tunding of Khatedars reporting bunds in the three villages. Nearly 80 per cent of the cultivated area of Khatedars belonging to Nalwar and Sugur was covered by bunds, $53 \%$ of it being covered by earth bunds for Nalwar. All three the/Khatedars from Kulkundi reported having bunds covering the entire cultivated area.

- The table below relates the above practice to the total cultivated land of all the khatedars in the sample, including those that did not report any bunding.

Table No. 3.5 : Proportion of area covered by bunding to total area cultivated.

| Name of village and groups | Total cultivatef $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ rea | Covered area | Covered area as \% age of total cultivated area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nalwar Group I | 437.10 | 347-4 | 79.38 |
| NaIwar Group II | 98.29 | 53.15 | 54.06 |
| Nalwar Group III | 85-29 | 46.30 | 54.53 |
| Total | 621-28 | 447-9 | 71.94 |
| Sugur | 146-27 | 1147-37 | 80.39 |
| Kulkundi | 107-37 | 107-37 | 100.00 |
|  | 8,14 | . |  |

The change is perciptible in the practice of those eorered in Group II and Grgup, ifI; The covered area of those reporting bunding was $81 \div 30$ and $83.22 \%$ of those total cultivated land while when the land of those not reporting any bunding is included, the
the land those not reporting afy bunding is included, the practice diminishëd to.54.06 and 54.53 respectively. The position also appears to have changed, those in group III appearing to have comparatively more bunding than those in Group II. The percentage for the village Nahrar decreasef from 79.99\% to $71.94 \%$.
of the five cultivators that did not report any bunding, two were in group II with cultivated holdings of 17 acres 32 gunthas and 15 acresil gunthas and three in Group III with 9 acres, 10 gunthas, 4 acres 39 gunthas and 1 acres 20 gunthas of cultivated land. Of these the cultivator with the cultivated holding of 9 acres and 10 gunthas was a pure tenant.

## Grops and Crop Pattern of the village

The cluster of villages under survey lay in a tract known mainly for its cereals and oilseeds. These were followed by pulses. In the table/below, the proportion of cultivated land under the above three broad categories are given. While the proportion for the entire villages are calculated from the cropwise disseunds wita tribution of land according to village/, the proportions in last columns are prepared from the farm business survey data which reflects a more correct pattern of crops actually grown. The item miscellaneous crops include crops such as cotton, chillies, etc.

... Except the village Kulkundi, the other two villages and the sample show almost an identical pattern with minior changes; Between these three, the crop pattern of the sample is nearer to that of Sugur "than Nalwar. Compared to'this pattern the decrease under cereals at Nalwar is compensated by increases in خand under 011 seeds and pulses.

The village Kulkundi, the proportion under cereals 18 followed by that under pulses, that under oilseeds taking the-third place. It al8o shows a significant proportion under miscellaneous crops, nearly half of which is under hessian, a quarter under cotton and the remaining quarter under chillies. This item 18 almost negligible in the remaining three cases.

Gultivation was done both in the Kharif and Rabi seasons. The main kharif crops were paddy, bajra, groundnut, seasamum, tur and gram. The principal rabi crops were fowar, wheat, safflower, linseed and gram: Almost every cultivator grew crops for both the seasons.

The rabi season was more suited to the regar type

* of land and as such a greater proportion of land was placed under the rabi crops. In the table below the proportion, under the two seasons of land cultivated by the selected cultivators is given. The proportions for the district as well as the tifee villages are also given.

Table $3: 7$ Proportion of land under Kharif and Rabi of the total cultivated land of the selected cultivators:

As has been done in the earlier table, the proportions for the district as well as the three villages are prepared from data available in the statistical
abstracts or Village records while the proportions for the three groups are prepared from data collected in the farm business survey. The latter may therefore be taken as more precise. In these proportions also as in those of land under different crops, the proportions.for the sample agree with those for Sugur.

It may be seen from the table that the proporrabe - . . tion of land under were almost double than that of land under khariff. Between the three villages, Nalwar shows a proportion of $53.1 .4 \%$ under rates while Kulkundi shows 76 per cent under Rabi.

A detailed breakdown of cultivated area of the Mree villages under each individual crops is given in the table below. For the sake of comparison the breakdown of area under the sample of cultivators is also given.

## Table 3.8

The table reveals certain broad features which are peculiar to each village. In cereals; jowar had comparatively the smallest percentage of land in Naiwar, while paddy ifs almost exclusively grown in Nalwar only. Bajri occupied $9.35 \%$ of land in Sugur. Percentage of - Iand under wheat is almost identical for all the four groups.

Among oil seeds, Nalwar had 22.84\% of land under groundnut. while Kulkundi has about 1 per cent of land only. Proportion of land under Káradi or safflower was comparatively high in Sugur, the remaining three groups maintaining an identical proportion. Linseed and sesame had $3 \%$ of area in Kulkundi and 2\% for land of the sample while at Nalwar and Sugurgit was 1 per cent or below. Land under castor was insignificant.

Nalwar had $13 \%$ of its area under toor, the highest proportion for the group. The village Kulkundi appears
to grow gram on a significantly large scale, with a sixth of its land under it.

Land under miscellaneous crops is very gimall Kulhunki
for all the groups except $\alpha$ with about per cent of its land under them.

## The Sample

As has been referred to earlier, a sample of cultivators for a farm business survey was selected. This survey was undertaken, during the year of survey, between June, 1954 to Nay, 1955, for the purpose of getting $A$ more precise data of the occupation of cultivation, regarding cultivaticn practices, pattern of costs, returns from cultivation, the general conduct of the occupation, etc.

70
The purposes of selection the cluster was divided into two units, the first comprising of the nuclear village Nalwar and second including all other villages included in the cluster. The list of khatedars, owners of land recorded in the village records was available was the only source available for drawing the sample. From each of the two lists, prepared

- for the two unitsk a stratified sample of 25 khatedars or-Land owners was selected. These khatedars were arranged owned-holding wise in a descending order and stratified into 25 groups, the middle one from each stratum being selected for the farm business survey. At Nalwar there were 351 khatedars, every l4th being selected. In unit two, there were 415 khatedars belonging to the four villages, originally included In the cluster, thd-vitikges, §ugur Kulkundi, Ladiapur and Malag. The last two were later dropped gut.

From these 415 khatedars, every 16 th and 17 th were drawn out alternately after stratifying them into 25 groups.

All non-cultivating awners as well as those who ckehnect did not reside in the village were dropped_out. Thus, 7 from the first unit and 11 from the second unit, exchuded had to be dropped-out. The sample of khatedars thus numbered 32.

It was stipulated in addition that along with the above owner cultivators, all those non-khatedar tenants (called pure tenants hereafter) cultivating plots within the related survey number in which the plots of the seleched khatedars were situated, be selected for the farm business survey, in order to obtain more detailed information of the cultivation practices of such pure tenants. In the cluster under survey, 6 pure tenants, 5 from Nalwar and one from Sugur were located and included in the farm business survey, bringing the total member of cultivators to 38; 23 belorging to Nalwar, 6 to Sugur; 3 to Kulkundi, and 6 to Ladlapur.

The purposes of analysis of the farm business culhivalions. as such, all the 38 khatedars were taken into account anspite of one of the villages, village Ladlapur, being dropped out later, ©n the assumption that the cultivation practices or farm business methods, between two contiguous villages lying the same tract would secmse not show wide variations; and as iit was thought that a large sample might actually help in reducing the effect of unusual or extreme cases on the general averages. For all other purposes, wherever data from the farm business survey has been used particularly in sections on balutedari, housing or market-
-ingpractices, the sample is considered to consist of 32 khatedars belonging to the three villages only. The table below is presented to indicate the size of the sample to the total. The respective notdata of Ladlapur, lot being available has not been included. The table is constructed from the general family schedule and village records.

The land cultivated by the 32 cultivators of the 3 villages, amounted to 6.1 per cent of thetretal of 14 - villon. cultivated land ${ }^{\prime}$ 位 The following percentages of the sample to total for respective categories also show a close approximation to the representativeness of the above sample.

Table $3: 9$ Proportions of sample cultivators to - total under different categories.


| Owner-cultivators | 380 | 22 | 5.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenant cultivators | 169 | $10 *$ | 5.9 |
| Pure tenants | 82 | 6 | 7.31 |
| $-\cdots-1$ | 631 | 32 | 5.2 |
| Total |  |  |  |

 -ix. Includes 6 prise tenants also

The farm business survey was supplemented by a survey of agricultural operations in single plots, themes the plots being ten-areas cultivated by the above sample cultivators. The schedule used for the plotdak inputs of labour and materials wise survey, collected/inputs of labour and materials operationwise. These data were later incorporated into the farm business survey schedule.

A general operational description of the occupation of cuitivation is given below, principally based on data collected in the farm business survey. As mentioned earlier the 38 cultivators in the sample have been grouped into three groups for purposes of comparison. Group I, II, and III include 13, 12, 13 cultivators respectively. The grouping is made on the basis of cultivated land; after arranging them in a descending order. Group I thus represents the first 13, Group II, the next 12 and Group III, the last 13 cultivators.

The size of cultivated land ranges from 153 acres 23 guntras to 20 acres 31 gunthas for Group I; 19 acres 28 gunthas to 14 acres for Group II and land below 12 acres and 7 gunthas for group III, the coost-of cultivator cultivating on acre and 14 gunthas.
of persons

In the table below the numbers in each group and the nature of their employment are given. The table includes the 32 khatedars from the 3 villages only. The table reflects the general nature of employment of members of cultivating families within each group.

Table $3 \cdot 10$
(Saldars of permanent farm servants are not included in the above table.)

The table reveals certain important facts. Firstly, the extent of employment (in cultivation $m$ a $y^{\text {y }}$ be seen to be gradualiy increasing from $63 \%$ to $68 \%$ from "group I to III. Group I being better situate $d$ in the matter of land, had"a a significant extent
of amployment in other occupations, namely trading requiring investment of capital, ability to bear risks and the circumstances to acquire the skill. Employment in this occupations is very small in Group II and Group III. .In the third category of employment namely that of labour, groups II and, III show $A$ significant proportions. The extent is greater, both in the case of males and females in萇 Group III than Group II. Oniy 6 females reported agricultural labour work in group I. In the last category handy lhat persons not working, group II shows different tendancy as far as female and children are considered. While females constitute 44 and 41 per cent in this category in group I and III, it is only 28 per cent in group 㶾 \&imilar tendency is seen in the case of children also. While the extent of employment of children in group I and III is $8 \%$, that in the case of group III is 24\% over the total. Another notable fact is that $h i$ in-proportion of the 'not working' males goes on diminishing fyom Group I to Group III, the latter reporting no male in this category. In the absence of relevant data, it may be assumed that the economic conditions, habits peculiar to certain castef occupational or income groups and in certain individual cases, the age may explain the above phenomenon.
piIn land holding, owned as well as cultivated, of the $^{2} 38$ cultivators is given in the table below:-

$$
\text { Table. } 3 \cdot 11
$$

Certain notable points may be seen from the table, Ghile Groups I and III have some tari or wet paddy land, Group II reported none. Similarly
while the former reported leaging out land, the latter did not lease" out any.

Table below gives the proportion of permanent fallow land to the three categories of land namely owned, share rented and cash-rented land. The proportion's are given in terms of percentage of falbw land to the total in each category for each group.

$$
\text { TABLE } 3: 12
$$

Certain broad conclusions can be drawn from Th easeq - 601 the table. In lond/owned $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ the permanent fallow land is proportionately much less for group I compared to the other group. This also holds true Taken in the case of total cultivated land. Land lator on share rent by this group is also better with only 7.7 per cent of permanent fallow land comerered to the $14 \%$ and $24 \%$ of the land share-rented by groupsII and III. Over the total, it can be seen, that the proportion of permanent fallow is less mownicul than that in land leasedin which leads to the inference that lands either leased in or out are generally inferior to owned lands kept for selfcultivation.

Group II did not report any leasing out of land. The proportion leased out land to total. ownegn land accounted to $10.13 \%$ and $16.0 \%$ in the case of group I and group III respectively. .

Leasing of land was done generally on the share rent basis under which the leasee undertook to s.: cultivate the leased land for epayment of a share in the produced to be given to the leasee or the landlord aft-er the harvest. The landlord shared a part of the costs, mainly by way of giving seeds to his tenants. From the whole group of the gample, $5.59 \%$ of the expenditure on seeds was borne by.
the landlords. The practice appeared to be in greater use at Kulkundi, with $31.34 \%$ of seedó given by the landlords. The proportion was 6.22 for Sugur. Pure tenants àlso appeared to get significant help in this regard. The percentage of seed given by the land-lords being: $18.33 \%^{\circ}$. Almost invariably the share/was half the produce grown. The second method, current to a small extent in the nuclear village was the cash-rent method. This took an ingenions unjeficious form. in the-village. Instedad of agreeing to pay a fixed sum by way of either grand grain or cash, which is generally understood to be the Leasee cash rent method, the Leasges, at Nalwar, deposited a lump sum of money with the leasor, the size of amount being decided by the quaplity and sire ange of the leased land. Whenever, the Ldecided to discnntinue the contract, he had to return the land and take back the original sum deposited by him with the leasor. The rent received by the latter was the interest he would earn on the money deposited by the leasee. The current rate of interest was Rs. 2 per month per hundred or 24 per cent per annum. Thus, if the leaser deposited a sum of Re. 500 for the selight to cultivate a piece of land, the owner would get a cash return of Rs. 120 per year by way of rent. It is obvious
that this type of leasing out was done generally b traders or others who could earn an interest on the. sum deposited. The land so leased out amounted to $11 \%$ of the total leased out land and was reported. by group I' and group II only.

The table below is presented in order to bring out the extent of tenant cultivation in the three groups. The extent is reflected by the distance
between owned land and cultivated land. The two are, for the purpose of this table, reduced to per family averages as the three groups do not include the same number of cultivators.

Table $3 \cdot 13^{\circ}$.
be
Tenant farming appears to ${ }^{\text {much }}$ greater in the case of Group II, while peculiarly enough, it was greater in group I than group III. It is presumable that those in group III cultivating holdingsless than 12 acres did not either have sufficient equipment or that they did not have sufficient time as has been seen in an-aander table $k^{3 / 10} / 52$ per cent males and 50 per cent females in this groups woroldeongages ai casual agricultural labour as their subsidiary occupation.
.Table below gives the crop pattern of the selected cultivafors groupwise. As almost. all the major crops were grown with mixtures of other minor crops, the area under each separate crop was not available. It has been computed on the basis of the ratio of rows of different mixed crops.

It may be seen from the table that group I win- pencual along gonerally confarms to the poxcontage distribution of land under different crops. Broadly the proportion of land under cereals increases by about 10 points for group II and thrice. In the case of the second important group of crops namely oilseeds, group III shows as large a proportion of $28 \%$ com23 an 2110 for lum
pared tóother two groups. The proportion of land under pulses in the case of this finp down to about 2 per cent compared to 11 and 8 per cent of group I and II respectively. In the
miscellaneơus group of crops, only the first group some
reporfed since land amounting to $2.42 \%$ of the total land cultivated by that group. : ,

The table also shows the absence of cultivation. of certain crops for certain groups. Thus while linseed, cotton and chillies were not grown by groups. II and tirifé Group III showala little
land under cestor not reported by group I and II.
In the case of individual crops, it may be seen that while the proportionllunder fowar is lower in the first group, that under 作jri and wheat iswas significantly larger compared to the other two groups. Proportion under paddy however was much larger for the third group.

Groundnut seemedto be more extensively grown by group III than groupsoze In I. Linseed and sesame, having 3\% of land of group I are not grown by the two groups.

Pulses seem to be generally cultivated by group and group II only. The Cproup III while reporting about 2 per cent of land under tur, does did not grow any other types of pulses. Group II appearech to have proportionately larger preference while wirs $7 \%$ of land under it. Gram aras grown to the extent of $5 \%$ by 4 Group I while group II had only $63 \%$ of land under it. Group III does not give gram at all.

The table below gives the extent of double
chlivaiors is The percentage cropping practised by the $\langle$ bample. The percentage of land cropped more than once was 11 for thes district and 2.43 for the village Nalwar: It may be seen from the table that the aggregate percentage If of double cropped area to total cultivated area phs is 8.45 , the variation between it and the groups II and.III is significantly large. While it $t_{8} 12.91$
for group III it $18^{\omega}$ only 1.95 for group II. The aggregate average proportion of 8.45 is closely approximated by that for group I.

Table. $3 \cdot 15$
The preliminary operations of phoughing and harrowing began from about April and May for both the seasons, while sowing for crops like paddy, bajr: and groundnuit was done in Julya that of rabic crops like jowar and wheat was done in October. Thus $\because$ preparatory tillage was about two to three month's durahoin operation for kharif crops and about six months duration for rabi crops.

As mentioned earlier; about $70 \%$ of the cultivated land belonging to the sample of cultivators, was of re gar or yari variety. This type was reported to be rich in productivity and as such did not need regular ploughing every year. The land instead, Was harrowed about 5 to 6 imes before sowing rabi :- -ink ken whem it was not-plonghes. crops $久$ Along with the type and productivity of the soil, the number of plots and the distance from house also decided whether the plot was to be ploughed in a fartionlar yers. or harrowed $\&$ Generally a system of rotation of ploúghing was resorted to, an individual plot getting its ploughing every two or three years, During cropping seasons. in the meantime, the plot was harrowed a number of times.

The practice of cropping in vogue at Nalwar also presumably decided whether the 'plot was to be ploughed ploughed or harrowed, in addition to the above considerations. The practice of cropping of a sample of piots with an area, of amounting to about 1/3rd of the total cultivated area of the sample seleoted for farm-buotness-ourvey is presented in the following table:
mable 3.16

The practice of ploughing plots: once in two or tine years and harrowing them five or six times during the cropping seasons in between was applicable to plots under the first type of cropping namely, cropping ter o consequtive seasons followed by two consecutive seasons of fallow. In the second type, ploughing was ${ }^{\text {more }}$ frequently"where the plots were under kharif crops and less firenuder quently where the crops werérabi crops.

Ploughing of plots under the third or irreguar cropping practices, was decided by the actual crops that was grown. A plot the previous crop of which had to. be cut for harvesting, had to be ploughed for the next crops in order to clear it of stumps and weeds, Where the crop was of the type that that had to be pulled out, or rooted out, the plot was generally harrowed for the next season, as pulling out of the previous crop did not leave any stumps: Groundnut, wheat and jowar and pulses were the crops that were generally pulled out.

The table below gives the proportion of labour days of males and bullocks to total that were reported for ploughing and harrowing groupwise and season-wise.

Table 3.17
TIt may be seen from the table that for both the seasons, labour spent on harrowing was more than double that on ploughing. The practice of ploughing compatively increased from Group I to Group III.

Ploughing and harrowing was done by males only. One "cultivator reported hiring of 5 females, amounting to $17 \%$ of the total for harrowing a rice plot. It is presumable that they were employed to pick up the weeds and grass uprooted by the harrow.

These two operations were done exclusively "by male". family labour and owned bullock labour.

The practices as related to the two seasons reveal that while, over the total the pale labour for ploughing iog rabi/increased by $2 \%$, that on harrowing increased by $9.50 \%$. Ploughing and harrowing, comprising of the most important operations in preparatory tillage, required 24.18 and 33.80 per cent of total male labour for kharif and rabi respectively.

After harrowing, manure was taken to the plots and spread before sowing. *As in the case of ploughing, every plot was given its doze of manure oncea in four to five years on an average.

The table below gives the manure put by the cultivators during different seasons.

Table. $3 \cdot 18$
空
The table gives the inputs of manure groupwise and brings out the fact that only those in group I purchased manure. The purchases of two carts of compost manure reported by a cultivator in Group III Kharif, was meant for paddy and was reportied to be done at a high price of Re. 10 per cartload, while the average rate of valuation of a cartload of farm yard manure was Rs. 3 only. For rabi jowar, t $\dagger$ o cultivators in group I arranged for sheep manure by folding sheep in the relevant plots at a cost of Re. 175.

The table below relates the manuring practice to the area, manured, groupwise. It gives manure inputs in quantity and value aswelt the percentage in wieles quantity and-raulue-as-woll as the percentage of manured area to the total cultivated area, groupwise.

It may be seen that per acre inputs of manure for kharif crops ine $^{\text {nen }}$ nearly 4 times than that for "rabi crops. As seen earlier, kharif plots were also given more ploughing. . This is presumably due to the inferior type of land namely the chalka or masarliland, that was put under kharif. Tho-pen, aere-coot-of shop-xinature.

Four cultivators, two from the first group, and one each from group II and III reported use the fer ache aake of compost manure for paddy. The-per-acre-rate . worked out to 3 cartloads or Rs. 13.11 .0 per-aore. Three cultivators, including one from above in the first group, reported use of paddy mixture. Of these three, two were from group I and one from Group III. Of these one used 3 bags for an acre of 39 gunthas, the other used one bag along with 5 bags of compost manure for 1 1/2 acres and the third in the last group used 2 lbs. for a plof of 12 gunthas.

Use of other types of artificial manure was not reported by any cultivator in the sample. A brief description of the distribution of artificial manure and improved varieties of seeds, in this context, is given below.
"The Agricultural $\chi$ epartment of Hyderabad $\psi$ Government in purstance of its policy of bringing about an improvement in agriculture, distributed improved seeds and chemical fertilisers to the agriculturists. An Agricultural Assistant was stationed at Chittapur; the tahsil headquarters. There were six fieldmen under him, who did the work of buying and selling improved varieties of seeds as also
selling artificial fertilisers. The fieldman residing at Nalwar served an area of about 10 miles around Nalwar, with 12. villages...

There was a government godown at Nalwar for storing the seeds and fertilisers. Improved seads Were procured from cultivators who were given such seeds during previous years. This procurement was done by the fieldman who stored them in the government godown for distribution during the season.

Stocks of fertilisers viz. amonium sulphate, super phosphate and paddy mixture, were issued to the fieldman from the central stores at Chittapur. These were sold by the fieldman at rates received from the Agricultural Assistant's office.

The distribution of seeds and fertilisers was done pro rata to the area cultivated by the purchasing cultivator. The system of using the chemical fertilisers was explained by the fieldmen by visiting their farms. There were, it was reported, model farms where cultivation was carried out under the direct instruction and supervision of the fieldmen in order to demonstrate the utility and method of usage of the fertilisers. There was, however, no fiodel farm at Nalwar during the survey year.

The quantities of fertilisers sold during the survey year were as follows ia

Ammonium sulphate i- 10 bags of 224 Ibs. each at R8. 37.2.0 per bag.

Super phosphate :- 5 bags of 224 lbs. each at Rs. 35.2 .3 per bag.

None from among the cultivators in the farm business sample reported use of chemical fertilisers except paddy mixture.

Improved varieties of seeds, after procurement, were stored in the godown and were dusted with. gamaxin powder to prevent decay and loss by insects. The procurement was done in the months of March, April and May and the seeds were sold during the subsequent rabi season, as thêe were mainly rabi seeds. The types distributed were jowar M/33 and PJGR paddy and toor.

From Nalwar centre, however, jowar and paddy only had been distributed during the survey year. The quantities sold werè 28 follows :-

Jowar : 150 pallas of 120 bengall seers each at Re. 44-0-9 per palla.

Paddy : $51 / 2$ pallas of 120 bangali 5 sears at Rs. 31-13-9 per palla.
seed
Jowar_ was distributed mainly against taccavi loans sanctioned for the purpose. These loans were credited at the tehsil office by the government and debits were given after the seeds were sold. Out of the 150 cultivators who purchased the seeds during the survey year, 141 purchased/against taccavi loans. The remaining 9 purchased for cash. According to the estimates of the fieldman, the above quantities of seeds sold, were about 25 per cent of the actual need of the cultivators.

Lack of capital and the higher rates of improved seeds were the restricting factors in the more extensive use of improved seeds. It was, however, reported that the above rates, though higher. than ruling market rates of seeds by about. 10 rupees'per palla, were still beneficial to the cultivators, particulariy in view of the only alternative system of borrowing seede from richer cultivators in the village. Under
this system, calied the lagi system, the euitivator borrowed either from borrowed either from the landlord or the cultivators, seeds in kind at the time of sowing and returned double the quantity after harvesting. The annual rate of interest thus amounted to about $300 \%$. - The táble below gives a total picture of manuring practices in the village. Manure inputs and aloo area cuItivated, during both the seasons have been taken together.

Table $32^{2^{0}}$ : Average per acre input of manure.


The percentage of area manured to total area cultivated may be taken to denote the practice of manuring. Thus while groups I and III manure their lands once in four years, group II manures its land during alternate years. This inference is substantiated by the low per acre inputs of manure by group II while group I and II put in 5 and 3.50 cartioads of manure per acre. Winerof-witherfiower.

It-may bo-soen-that all cereais-exeopt Bafra,

- The operation of sowing followed manuring. Sowing of Kharif crops was done in"June and July while rabi crops were sown in tie month of october.

The types of crop combinations, in vogue at Najwar and reported by the sample of cultivators are given below :Kharif crops.

2. Groundnut :- only groundnut; with toor; with
toor and moong and minor cereals or pulses.
3. Bajri1 : Seldomprithout any mixture; With toor;
withtioor and moong and minor cereals or pulses. 4. Sesame : only sesame; with toor.
5. Chillies.

Robl crops.

1. Jowar : Only jowar; with safflower; with safflower
and wheat to a small extent; with safflower and linseed.
2. inheat ; coly wheat; with safflowor; with safflower and extr Iittle mustard; with jowar occasionally.
3. Gram : with fowar; with safflower; with safflower and Jowar.
4. Linseed : with safflower.

It may be seor that all cereals except bejri, were sown independerity also. In oilseeds groundnut and sesanie were grow indepeadently while linseed was invariably mixed with saffloter; seffilower however was always grown as a subsidiary crop, mainity along With jowar. . In pulses, toor was always a mixed or subaidiary "-crop wisle gram was grom as a main crop along with safflower.

In the main kharif combinations sudh as groundnut and toor or bajri and toor or sesame and toor,
the area of the subsidiary crop was about one third, one row of the mixed crop after two rows of main crop. In the case of rabi crops however certain small variations were noticed. The general practice of sowing safflower with jowar was, one row of safflower after; rows of jowar. Cases of mixed crops being sown after every 8 rows of jowar were also reported. The practice for other crops was 5 to 1 on the average.

The table below gives the total group-wise expenditure on seeds, owned and purchased. Percentage distribution 'expenditure is also given. Per acre seednate quantitywise are not given for two reasons. Firstly, there were a number of crops, main and subsidiary taken together and secondly there was a considerable variation in actual quantities reported, on account Thu of type and size of land.

$$
\text { Table } 3 \cdot 2
$$

It may be seen that the proportion of owned seeds to the total decreases from Group I to III While group I reported $77.5 \%^{\circ}$ seeds as owned, group III reported only $12.40 \%$ as owned. Group II owned a third of its requirement of seeds, purchased about $54 \%$ and got the rest from the landlord while group III purchased nearly 84\% of its seed requirement, Seed contribution by landlord was $4 \%$ of the total in the cases of groups $I$ and II.

In the table below the per acre seed rates (in valuel are given groupzwise.

$$
\text { Table } 3.2
$$

It may be seen that the variation between the maximum and minimum per acre input of seed is 86 point ${ }^{\text {f }}$, the total average being 3.72 rupees per acre, which is approximately the aane as that of Group $I$, the reason being that the group cultivates $72 \%$ of the total land
in the sample and thus affects the total average. The maximum per acre input was reported by Group III,
 presumably due to q higher prices of seed prevailing at the time of sowing, the group purchasing about $85 \%$ -of its requirements.

The table below gives the proportions of labour days by males, females and bullocks that were required for sowing. The proportions are proportions to the total labour days reported for the entire season.

$$
\text { Table } 3.23
$$

The table reveals that kharif sowing is comparatively costlier than rabi sowing and that female labour is significantly employed for kharif than rabi crops.

The operation after sowing was hoeing and weeding tithile hoeing was done with the help of bullock labour and by malesalone, weeding was done by hand and mainly by females.

Hoeing was done for certain kharif and rabi crops. Plots under kharif crops like groundnut, Bajri, sesame and under rabi crops like jowar and gram were hoed in the months of August and November and December respectively ${ }_{\text {i }}$ Hoeing was not done for paddy and wheat, the first/because it was sowed by the broadcast method and $\dot{m}$ the $\dot{\sec } \mathrm{cond}_{\boldsymbol{L}}$ because the crop was too delicate, titwas repozted Weeding by hand was done for all crops, the month for Kharif weeding being August and that for rabi weeding being November.

In the table below the proportion [ laboured days and hire charges reported for these operations are given, as percentages of total labour requirements of cultivation.

Table 3.24
Nokestoto the earlier table giving proportion
of labour days and wages for sowing, the above table shows that costs of hoeing ag well as win shows that costs of hoeing as well as weeding are com: paratively greater for Khaif crops than tharif crops. Costs for weeding for which female labour was almost exclusively employed, amount to nearly $36 \%$ of the
hire charges for ceabif as against about 25 per cent to-fr
rabi
kharif crops. Bullock hoeing, employing about the same proportion of male work days for both the seasons, required more bullock labour.

The operations described till now were done with implecents major agricultural smenmeats like the plough,harrow, and the seed drill. In the table below, the holdings of the above implements in actual numbers and per acre holdings of total holdings. inplement are fiven.

$$
\text { Table } 3.25
$$

Average per-acre holding of major agricultural implements was highest in the case of group III. The table emphasised the necessity of eatotin-minimum possessing certain helding of implements below a certain size, irrespective of the ewet acreage under cuitivation. The average area of cultivated land per plough works to 18 s 15 acres for Group I, 16.46 acres for group II and 8.21 acres for group III:

In regard to ownership of certain particular. implements, it may be seen that the first group owned 14 bigger ploughs, 5 of which were iron ones. Group II reported only one plough while the last group tilled with small ploughs onlyl Group I also reported ownership of 21 big harrows, while group II and III had generally one small harrow per cultivator. In regard to seed drills however, group I possessed more than the seed drill per oult frater while the per family holding
of this implement was $1 / 4$ and $2 / 3$ rds per cultivator for groups II and III respectively.

That-of
The next operation was of $\alpha$ keeping watch on the crops. The respective months for the two seasons were September, and- January/ February for kharif and rabi except paddy. Watching of paddy was reported in the month of November.

The table below gives the proportionate percentage distribution of labour days and wages on this operation pure groupwise and for both the seasons.
3.26

Table : Proportionate inputs of labour days and wages for watching.

Male labour required for kharif watching appears to be much greater than that required for rabi crops. Inputs of male labour on watching of rabi crops increases from Group I to Group III. Group three did all the watching of kharif crops with family labour only.

Harvesting of crops was the next operation occurring in the month of October for kharif crops and the month of February for rabi crops. Paddy was the only kharif crop that was cut in the month of December.

The table below gives the percentage proportions of labour days that were reported for this operation.人arvesking The quantities include labour required for wething both the main and the subsidiary crop. Table ${ }^{3,2}:\left.\right|_{\text {Proportionate }}$ input of labour for harvesting.

The table reveals that cutting of charif crops was proportionately more expensive than kharif cutting with 41 and 24 per cent of the total out lay in wages. While rabi crops in the total average required more male and female labour, kharif crops required more bullock labour." Bullock labour was used for harvesting of
groundnut crop, which was done by harrowing the plot in order to uproot the plants.

The operation of cutting the crop and heaping it for drying was given on contract basis to a certain extent. The work was undertaken by Laman groups generally, who stayed along with womenfolk and children, an the site fir plots and worked late in the evenings and in early morning. This, it was reported, was on account of the difficulty of handling the crop during the noon-time when the hard sun rendered the blades of the crop stiff and razor-sharp.

2hace cultivators in group I, two in group II and thru -3 in group III reported employment of the above type of contract labour for cutting the crops. Pyyment was generally made in kind and only ${ }^{\text {Kone }}$ case partly in kind and partly in cash. One cultivator, the first and the biggest, in group $I_{\text {; }}$ reported employdent in of contract labour for weeding of groundnuts also.

The average per person per day rate of wages under contract work amounted to slightly more than the average current wage of casual labour at Rs. 1.6.0 to Rs.1.8.0 for males and 0.14 .0 to Re. 1.0 .0 for females. The principal advantage, it was reported, lay in the speedy disposal of work as the operation, simultaneousiy undertaken by all cultivators, brought ${ }^{\text {abut }}$ a relative scarcity of local casual labour. The advantages on the side of the contractual labour by in the siightly higher rate of wages as well as the availability of grain for consumption during the period of work. It was reported, in this context, that it was generally the practice of the Laman groups to consume grain from the crops they had undertaken to cut and arrange in heaps. The farmer had to connive at the unwarranted consumptions use of his frworuce.

Prior to harvesting, the cultivators reported certain expenses in labour and wages for preparation of the threshing floor. The proportion of total reported labour inputs for all the three groups together, in this work were, 2.39\% of the total male labour, $3.13 \%$ of the total female labour, $24 \%$ of the total bullock labour and $3.92 \%$ of the total wages reported for the entire cultivation.

The final operation was that/the threshing the harvested crop. A period ranging from 10 days to about 3 weeks was allowed to lapse between harvesting and threshing, in order to allow the grain to harden and dry. Threshing of kharif crops was dredone in late October or beginning of November, except in the case of groundnut and paddy. For the former, harvesting and threshing was done at the same time, the cultivator allawing the ready crop tofasf for 3 or 4 days in the plot. In the case of $/$ threshing of-paddy was done in December.

In the table below the proportionate inputs of labour and wages reported for threshing are given, as percentages of the total labour and wages required for cultivation:
$\begin{aligned} \text { Table No. } 3^{28}: & \begin{array}{l}\text { Percentage of labour and wages inputs } \\ \text { for threshing. }\end{array}\end{aligned}$
The only definite trend revealed by the table is that rabi threshing required a comparatively greater outlay of male, female and bullock labour. In the case of individual groupsfor the above three categories of labour, the distribution varied to a large extent irrespective of the groups. Thus while Group I reported nearly $16 \%$ of male labour for rabi as against nearly $7 \%$
for kharif crops, Group III denotes an opposite trend. 'In the case of female labour, while the proportion reported by group. II for rabi was highest, Group I reported highest for kharif. The large variations were presumably due to the pressure of labour availability that usually developed during threshing on account of the anxiety of every cultivator to begin threshing at the right time. They were reported to be eager to bring the kharif produce before Diwali occuring in November and the rabi produce before the Ugadi, the first day of the Shalivahana Shaka, which
 was considered-to-be the gik biggest festival-day:-

As regards wages, it may be mentioned here that all wages paid in kind for threshing were generally paid nat of the new produce. Of the total payment in kind of Rs. 5136 , Rs. 1643 or $22.61 \%$ of wages were paid out of the newly harvested produce.

The tables below present an overall picture of the pattern of employment of labour on different operations during the two seasons. The number of labour days on different operations has been converted into a percentage distribution in order to render them comparable between groups. The figures are for total labour days of males, females and bullocks and the total wage outlay.

## Table 3.29

The table brings out the variations in the importance of each operation to each group during the two seasons from the point of view of labour and wages. These variations have bread been discussed under each individual operations.

```
for kharif efops; group III denotes an apposite
trend, In the case of female labour, while the pro-
tratsel
portion required reqp
```

The table below summarises the above tables in the matter of operational requirements of labour for two seasons.

Table. 3.30
It may be observed that the spread of different types of labour for different occupations during the two seasons is different. Male labour for rabi is more evenly distributed over the different occupations than that for kharif. The same is true for female. labour also except for the difference in the operations themselves. In the case of bullock labour; it may be seen that the spread is more even for kharif than that for rabi, half the total bullock labour deing utilised on harrowing aboralone.

As regards. wages, 88 per cent and 91 per cent of the total outlay is spent on the three operations namely weeding, harvesting and threshing for kharif and rabi respectively.

During the course of the brief account of cultivation practices given above, frequent reference has been made to inputs of labour. A description of the division of cultivation work, between family members including saldars and hired labour, of the distribution of hired labour according to operation etc. is given belows

The table below presents the total labour days reported by the cultivators classified into family labour and hired labour.

$$
\text { Table } 3 \cdot 31
$$

Family and hired labour reported by the sample of cultivators.

It may be seen that the proportion of male family labour is greater than that of female labour. While 77\% of the total male labour is done by family members, the percentage of female labour.is only about 13\% on the total. Considering the individual groups, it may be seen that the percentage of family labour is greater in the case of Groups II and III for male as well as female labour. Group II however shows the highest proportion of family labour with 85.35 per cent of male family labour and 32.93 per cent of female Family labour.

The table below gives the percentage distribution of hired male and female labour according to occupations.


Over the total, it may be observed that $43 \%$ of hired male labour is,employed for cutting the crops, about $32 \%$ for threshing including preparation of the threshing floor, about $19 \%$ for watching and the
remaining 6 per cent for sowing, hoeing, otc. Female labour is employed for weeding to the extent of $45 \%$ for threshing to the extent of $27 \%$ for cutting to the extent of $21 \%$ and other operations tlike sowing and watching to the extent of the remaining $7 \%$.

The table below summarises the above table and brings out the werious variations in the employment suethous of hired labour for different occipations during the two seasons for males and females. It may be observed that the occupations operations are arranged in descending order according to employment of hired labour. Table 3.33
The table reveals that tire variations in the playeing of operations are very small as between groups; -thase
though that in the percentage employment of hired are male labour is much greater. Thus during kharif seasin while group I employed 49 per cent of hired male labour for harvesting, Group III employmeat $67 \%$ for the same operation. In rabi season, group II employed 80 per cent of hired male labour for harvesting as compared to $38 \%$ by group I.

Hired female labour, it may be seen, was mainly employed for weeding, harvesting and threshing. The proportionate distribution is more even than in the case of male hired labour.

The table also brings out the pattern of demand of labour. Thus while male labour is more in demand during the operations of harvesting and threshing, female labour is primarily in demand during weeding and harvesting and threshing.

In the survey cluster, agricultural labour was the main occupation of a significant proportion of the total number of persons. The practice of
engaging permanent farm servants was current to a considerable extent. In the account given above, of the pattern of employment of labour according to operations and seasons, the labour put in by the permanent has heme
farm servants wore included in the family labour.
The table below gives the extent of dependence on agricultural labour, permanent as well as casual. also
The table gives the number of persons engaged in cultivation ates either as owners or fer tenants, 80 as to present the proportionate dependence of different categories of functionaries on agriculturé as an occupation.
Table $3^{3.34}$ No. of persons (males) engaged in agriculture.
It may be observed that while the proportion of cultivators varies, with $43 \%$ for sugar as against $25 \%$ for Nalwar, the proportion of casual agricultural labourers shows a systematic increase from the bigger to the small villages. The proportion of saladars is, however, more steady, leading to the inference that the practice of engaging saldars is more in rogue in Nalwar with $7.48 \%$ out of $47.08 \%$ of dependance on agriculture than others. The actual proportions amounts to $15.88,11.76$ and $9.17 \%$ for Nalwar, Sugur and Kulkundi respectively, Relating the number of Saldars to the number of cultivator families, the average number of families per Saldar amount to 2.7, 4.1 and 4.7 for the three villages respectively.

The 38 khatedars selected for farm business saldurs survey reported 13 khatedars, 11 by group $I$ and 2 by suldars group III, giving an average of about : 33 oulttratora per soldaxe fanily

The saldars belonged mainly to the Kabbaligear and Laman communities with a small proportion of Madags. The average rate of payment was from Rs. 275 to Rs. 300 per year without board but with few perquisites or from Re. 50 tó Rs. 125, with board and other perquisites.like shoes, blankets and tobacco, depending upon age. A saldar aged 12 years was employed on a yearly salary of Rs. 25 and board.

The custom of employing saldars on a monthly basis for periods between 2 to 4 months also appeared to be in vogue to a small extent. Detailed information however was not available.

The table below gives the total as well as average payments received by the Saldars in the employment of cultivators in the sample. Expenses on board have have been estimated at Rs. 15 per month. Table No. 3.35 : Payments made to Saldars.

Over the total, the average tate amounted to Rs. 280, Rs. 160 being paid in cash and Rs. 120 being paid by way of board and perquisits. This rate amounts to on an average of twelve annas and 3 pies per day.

This rate was generally lower than the average daily wage rate of casual labour, which fluctuated between 14 annas to Rs. 1.4.0 according to operations and relative pressure of demand. The advantage of being employed as permanent farm servants however appears to outweigh this loss on account of two deasons. Firstily, they had security of continuous employment and secondly, the income was steady. In the case of casual labour, the wage rates were liable to fluctuate greatly along with occasional
periods of unemployment.
the pattern of employment of casual agricultural
Gebour
Lhas already been discussed. This discussion was based on the data collected from the selected Khatedars in the farm business survey. The table below presents data regarding pattern of employment collected by those who sought employment as agricultural labour.

As small sub-survey of labour families was conducted for this purpose. The respondents were selected randomly but with a purpose to include all the major labour categories, namely, agricultural labour, quarry labour, weaving labour and hamali labour. 50 such schedules were filled for the whole cluster. Thiscmaterial are mainly used as illustrative material in-this-report. below.

The labour schedules included 11 caaual agricultural labourers. They were asked to give information of their employment during the 12 months preceeding the date of the visitp. The information was taken for smaller periods, namely for months by by picking oat some outstanding religious festivals such as the New Year's Day or Diwali or by pinpointing some significant physical occurance such as the beginning of monsoons or by refering to some important agricultural operations, 80 as to help the respondent to recollect the periods of employment in cleafer details.

The table 18 constructed from the number of days of different categories of labour reported by the 11 agricultural labourers. The table also brings out the periodicity of different types of labour employment.
Table ${ }^{3}$ : H Pattern and periodicity of employment of
agricultural labour.
farms suggests that the labour families principally grew kharif crops presumably due to their holding of the comparatively inferior masari land.

The table above presents data ofmale labour only. No details of days of employments were collected from females in the labour schedules. substaithal
A atatisticaz portion of the total hired agricultural labour came from those familes that were primarily cultivators. In the main this labour was some of then-
constituted of femaleg, a-part even belonging to better cultivatorp also. Generally this female labour and a portion of male labour came from cultivating families falling in the medium and the small category.

The table b-elow gives the number and proportions of such iabour from the sample of khatedars. Table ${ }^{3:}{ }^{3}$ Number of persons doing hired Agricultural labour work.

It may be observed that over the total about 15 per cent of male adults and 33 per cent of female adults belonging to the cultivators families reported agricultural labour work for wages.

It may be mentioned here that the two sources of supply of agricultural labour are not mutually exclusive or transferable. Those cultivators who offerad themselves for mages would be busy on their own farm during busy seasons as also those agricultural labourers who were unemployed during the busy season may find employment during the slack season.

The occupation of cultivation cannot be pursued with human labour alone. In the absence of mechanised farming, heavier agricultural operations such as ploughing, harrowing; sowing, etc. have to be carried

Over the total, employment in agricultural labour amounts to 47.52 per cent, that an family farm to $14.39 \%$, non-agricultural labour to $9.10 \%$ and unemployment to $28.99 \%$. It has been seen earlier that hiring of agricultural labour is done during certain specific periods and for certain specific operations. The agricultural labourerp has, therefore, Fiface comparatial $_{\text {partie }}$ or total unemployment during other periods.

The above table is presented in an attached graph in order to bring out the relative pattern of employment in different categories.

It may be seen that in the month of May employment of agricultural labour is at its lowest while unemployment is at its peak. During this month again, non-agricultural labour work becomes scarce and the agricultural labour families begin cultivating their family farm. By September employment increases considerably and as a result work on family farm as well as unemployment show a decline. watching This month colncides with weeding and watertag operations of kharif crops and harrowing and manuring operations of the rabi crop. After slight rise in unemployment in October, the months when rabi sowing is undertaken and done by family labour generally, there is a continuous rise in employment, which reaches its pealy in the month of March, the month of threshing and bringing home the produce. The months from November to January show steady non-agficultural labour employment, when kharif crops, mainly groundnutis marketed. Unemployment is lowest in the month of January while employment is greatest in March. The graph of work on family
out with the help of bullock labour. Every cultvalor therefore has to maintain some heads of cattle, which generally include, along with the necessary bullocks, milch cattle like cows and buffalows also. While bullocks are harnessed directly for agricultural operations aisne, the milch cattle serve a twofold purpose, namely the yield of milk and wind manure during the short period and the yield of milk and manure during the short period and the yield of a continuous supply of draught cattle in the long-term period. Taken as a whole, livestock, therefore, forms an integral part in the total agricultural economy : A short account of the livestock economy is, therefore, presented below before proceeding to discuss the business aspect of cultivation.

The table below lists the total cattle poplation under different categories for the three villages under survey. The data is taken from the village records in the absence of enumeration of the same in the family schedules.

$$
\text { Table } 3.38
$$

The data is subject to all the usual limitashort and
tions such as unreliable recording, which fact is Guffersfmore absolutely brought out in $/$ in whith-the

Considering the first four categories namely the draught cattle and the milch cattle, it may be seen that the practice of keeping milch cattle appears to be proportionately greater at the nuclear village, apparently due to the existence of demand $q$ i lh mainly exerted by the tea-shops. Between the two types of milch cattle namely cows and buffalows,
the village Kulkundi appeared to have a greater proportion of buffalows than cows as compared to the other two. The relevant proportions are set down in the table below :-

$$
\text { Table } 3.39
$$

' The table below gives the cattle holding of the


The proportionate holding of drought cattle is brought out in the table below giving the acreage of cultivated land under one pair of bullocks. The ciltinatas are table also gives the land per pair of bullocks for the three villages, azee. This has been derived by dividing the total cultivated land recorded in the village recording by the number of pairs of bullocks also recorded in the village recorditg by the number of pairs of buliocks also recorded in the village records. The village average therefore give a broader idea only.

$$
\text { Table } 3.41
$$

It may be observed that from group I to group the holding of drought cattle shows a positive increase and substantiates the concept of the

> P-
> necessary minimum holding cattle irrespective of the actual area of cultivation.

> The table below gives a classification of drought cattle held at the beginning of thesurey according to two categories viz. homebred and purchased.

$$
\text { Table. } 3.42
$$

Over the total the reported average per head volue balance of home-bred bullock appears to be more than that of purchased bullocks. Group I however shows average the opposite, the aereage per head value of purchased bullocks being Rs. 110.10 as compared to Rs. 105.83 of home-bred bullocks.

It may also be seen from the table, that neazly
acquoits by prerchest. $79 \%$ of the total drought cattle were purchastd. The purchases were made to a large extent within the village, only a few being reported from places like Fadgirf, Devdurg, Ranganpetha, Kollur etc. all places lying within a radius of 20 miles from Nalwar. Within the village the purchasefs took the gram of selling an aged bullock to a smaller cultivator and buying a younger home-bred bullock from some other cultitator. Nearly one third of the sales were reported to be done to Madigs for purposes of slaughtering for hides of the aged bullock that could not pull the plough.

The table below gives the numbers and reported value of bullocks at the beginning of the year, purchases, sales and deaths reported during the year and the yeareend position.

$$
\text { Table. } 3.43
$$

The table does not give the number of births of young draught cattle as it was not reported. Over the total, it may be seen that the increase has been to the extent of 4 bullocks only. It may be inferred that addition of draught cattle takes place at a slow pace and only when there is an urgent need for it. The figures for additions by way of purchases and deductions by way of death or sales in the same for group I while the additions are greater for group II and less for group III.

Between purchases and sales, it may be seen that the average per head ${ }_{2}$ price is much smaller than that of purchases. As mentioned earlier, a larger number of sales was of aged cattle for purchase purposes of slaughter and hence were sold at very modest prices like Rs. 15 and Rs. 20 per head.

The last column gives the bullock holding at the end of the survey year and is arrived at by adding the holding at the beginning and purchases and deducting value stands at sales and deaths. The average per head prices $\langle$ paid-for sa high level, mainly sue 4 lim-trighen primes hic reformed: puxchasersor for new purchases.

Bullocks were primarily maintained for agricultural purposes while milch cattle was mainly maintained for apply f milk and breeding purposes. The table enumerating the livestock holding of the sample population, reveals that except for group II, the proportion of draught and milch cattle was almost equal. Group two maintained proportionately pore draught cattle than milch cattle.

Between cows and buffaloes again, groups I and III owned 7 and $74 \%$ of buffaloes while group II were $67 \%$. The maintenance of cows appeared to be mainly for breeding purposes while buffaloes were maintained for milk. The average yield per cow amounting to 93 seers for during each lactation period, amounted to nearly
one third to that of a buffalow reporting an average of 260 seers. Along with drought cattle, milch cattle yielded farm yard manure, which was an important factor in rural farming. It was seen that over the total about 40 per cent the manure requirements were purchased. Thisepurchases however, were entirely made by Group I only. Group II and III used manure yielded by owned livestock alone.

The table below gives the receipts of milk and farm yard manure by the owned livestock during the survey year.

Table. 3.44
The receipts from livestock have been converted into value so as to make the total receipts for all the three groups comparable. It may be seen that over the total receipts in the form of milk amount to $47 \%$ of the total, with small variation between groups. Of
the 38 khatedars, only 4, one each from groups I andII and 2 from Group III reported sales of milk to totel- hotel owners to the extent of Rs. 100, 150 and 153 respectively. All these four belonged to the survey centre. The proportion of the total milk sold amounted to跨 4.37, 21.00 and 22.53 per cent of the total for groups I and II and III respectively.

Receipts in the form of farm yard manure and dung cakes amounted to about $55 \%$ of which $29 \%$ was farm yard manure and about, $24 \%$ dung cakes, prepared and utilised for fuel. For individual groups the proportion in value of dung used for fuel amounted to $45.38,54.01$ and $31.82 \%$ of the total farm yard manure for groups $I_{\text {, }}$ II and III respectively. The proportion of the total was 45\%.

The table below gives the reported responses of
fodder for the maintenance of the livestock. Gince a variety of types of fodder was fed, the quantities have been converted into values at \& uniform rates for all home-grown fodder. The purchases have been taken at the reported prices.

The table has been constructed as follows. The store baiance reported at the beginning of the year, total fodder produced during the year and purchases of fodder have been added from which the balance reported at the end of the year has been deducted. The net balance is taken as the total expenses on the livestock.

Table. 3.45
It may be seen that while certain varieties of fodder are home-grown as well as purchased, certain other varieties such as oil cake, cotton seed and others have to be acquired only by purchasing them. The extent of this necessary cash outlay on livestock amounted to $40 \%$ in the cases of groups I and II and $74 \%$ in the case of group III. Over the total it amounted to $42.28 \%$ of the total value of fodder required.

In the principal homegrown varieties namely kadaba or jowar fodder and husk, the proportion of purchases to total were 14\%, $17 \%$ and $55 \%$ in the case of Jowar fodder and for groups I, II and III respectively while in the case husk they were $41 \%$ and $55 \%$ for groups I and III, group II not reporting any purchase of husk.

Within the varieties purchased, the home grown varieties occupy a small proportion and the extent of $29 \%, 30 \%$ and $41 \%$ of the total purchases in the case of group I, II and III respectively.

The first group reported a significant proportion to the extent ${ }^{\circ} 80$ per cent of its purchase of jowar
fodder from Yadgir while the other two groups, whose - purchases of Kadaba were small in the absolute sense made their purchases in Nalwar itself. Those varieties such as cotton seed, oil cakes and bran which had to be acquired by purchases only were purchased at Nalwar.

A comparison between the stocks held at the beginning of the yearfand the year end shows a deficit to the extent of $24 \%$ of the yearis produce of fodder In the case of Group $I_{\text {; }}$ the year end stocks being less to that extent. In the case of the other two groups there was a balance of about $20 \%$ of the yearis produce, the year end stocks being move to that extent. In actual value the deficit for group was Rs. 1549 while the surpluses for Group II and III were Rs. 156 and $\mathrm{Rs}, 74$ respectives.
and Rs. 74, $⿻ \mathrm{tetal}$ Re 230. It may therefore be inferris infused that over the total the cluster has to depend to the extent of about $15 \%$ of its supply of the annual home grown fodder on places outside the cluster. The dependence was primarily on the part of group I having 5\%\% of the total heads of livestock held.

The farm business schedule also inquired about the total fodder fed to the livestock. In the table below the two totals are compared.

Table ${ }^{3 \cdot 46}$ Total fodder fed to livestock.

| Group | Total <br> as. fed | 15113 | reported Total expenses <br> calculated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Differerence |
| :---: |

A closer examination of the data revealed two important things. Firstiy, in the case of group $I$, the difference could be accounted for by the difference of the total ${ }^{\circ}$ green grass and bran fed and the total
purchased. Presumably the requirements of green grass, to a large extent are met with by the family members collecting green grass for fodder and bringing it bome. In the case of bran also, it is presumable lathwen that the difference ${ }^{\text {lat bran }}$ bran and bran purchased was prepared at home, from inferior pulses grown for the purposes. Both these, however, wat not shown as -receipts.

The second important thing that is revealed after closer examination of the datall $s_{\text {L }}^{\text {is }}$ that in the cases of groups II and III, the difference is approximately made good by the difference in the total jowar fodder fed and that produced and purchased. Here again it is probable that either fodder receipts from the farm are under reported or that the quantity of fodder fed was reported on a notional basis and hence overestimated.

The table below gives the average per head cattle expenses and receipts. The averagesare broad averages and are calculated by dividing the totala by the total number of cattle including both milch and draught cattle. Table 3.4)
It may be seen that the expenses are much greater than recelpts in the case of group I. In the case of group II and III however, the receipts are greater than the expenses as accounted for, without considering the receipts of bullock labour.

Small cash expenses paid as grazing charges, were reported by the cultivators and have been included in the total expenses above. These were Rs. 24-8-0, Rs. 29-0-0, and Rs. 34-6-0 for groups I, II and III respectively.
áhe total /receipts, however, does not include small receipts from fowl, eggs, and sale of skin reported by the cultivators. These were to the extent of Rs.21.8.0, Rs. 18.8 .0 and Re. 26.8 .0 for groups I, II and III respectively.

The business aspect of cultivation is presented in the following lines. This includes the assets and liabilities reported by the cultivators, expenditure undertaken of a capital nature, total farm receipts and expenses, raising of loans and repayment of the same, receipts other than from the cultivation and finally the extent of dependence on cultivation as reflected by the proportion of income from agriculture to the total family income.

In the main, the data presented pertain to the sample of cultivators selected for the farm business survey.

The table below gives the holding of different types of assets by the groups at the beginning of the year. The values were reported by the respondents and have been retained. The two subsequent tables give the proportion of group and class totals.

Table 3.48
Table A gives the total of current values reported by the cultivators. The amount of Rs. 1705 reported as financial assets by three cultivators in group I was composed of national saving certificates, Rs. 125; shares of cement and other companies $\overline{7}$ - Rs. 350/- , (both reported by one cultivator) shares of "cyeavers' cooperative society at Chitapur Re. 30, and two loans given at $24 \%$ per annum, Rs. 1200/-. The last column gives the average per family holding of total assets.

Table B gives the percentage distribution of class totals for values in table $A$. The distribution of cultivated land is given in order to have a comparative idea of land cultivated and proportions of different assets owned. Over the total it may be seen that group I cultivated $72 \%$ of the total cultivated
with an asset holding $82 \%$. The proportionate asset holding was much less than that of cultivated land, Group II reporting a comparatively inferior position.

A comparison of the percentage distribution for each class of asset to the average total distribution brings out the variation for each group. Except in the matter of owned land, where the variation from the general averages is minimum, all the other assets reveal wide variations, Group II showing the largest on the whole.

Table C gives the percentage distribution of assets held by each group, the total giving the proportionate holding for the whole sample. It may be observed that the proportions are more even except in the case of land and residential buildings. For group III, the proportion of land to total amounts to $38 \%$ as against $61 \%$ for the total, denoting the inferior variety of land held and cultivated by them. The proportion shows a clear Ssechire from Group III fo I in the seetcr. of implements and drought cattle.

The table below sets down the increase in the above assets during the survey year as also the decrease. The expenses represent investment as distinct from the expenses of current nature namely repairs and minor replacements, which have been taken to the farm income and expenditure account. The table also gives capital expenditure undertaken for improvements on farm.

$$
\text { Table. } 3.49 \quad \text { r }
$$

Purchase of land as well as sale was reported by Group I only. 3 cultivators reported purchase of land, one of whom sold inferior land worth Rs. 1600 in orddr to purchase better land worth Rs. 7500.

Purchase and sale of cattle was reported by all the groups, the sales being those of old animals and as mentioned earlier. In purchases, those of milch cattle are also included.

The purchase of implements include purchase of a bullock cart as well purchases of empty gunny bags worth Rs. 327., both reported by group I while the sales include sale of bullock carts, one each by group I and group III.

The table below gives the implement position, in value, at the beginning of the year and at the end. Bullock carts, being major items have been separated.

Table $3.5^{\circ}$
Over the total, the appreciation during the years on account of purchases being greater than the sales, amounts to 26.03\%; that for the individual groups, I, II and III, being $32.29,17.13$ and 4.95 per cent respectively. The proportionate appreciation for implements alone amounts to $45.31 \%$ for the total, and $52.64,23.27$ and 30.91 per cent for the groups I, II and III.

Due to the variety of types of agricultural implements each with a life of its own, no depreciation at any fixed annual rate could be calculated. Instead, the expenses onfreplacements, have been charged to total farm expenses.

The saall amounts under column Increase or acquisition of the property were expenses incurred for purchasing silver Rs. 120/- and improvements of a permanent nature to residential household. Rs. 110. The single item of Rs. 140 under "decrease of sale of other property' was of gold reported by a cultivator in group I.

The expenses incurred for current expenditure of a capital nature on the farm principally represent expenses on construction of bunds. $25 \%$ of this expenditure was reported to have been incurred on pringing bits of fallow - : land under cultivation.

The total reveals a net deficit of Rs. 14317 aver the-totel, the expenses undertaken for purchases exceeding
the receipts. This deficit was apparently made good partly by utelising sale proceeds of produce, cash earnings from other sources and borrowing. Borrowing of cash frem farm purposes amounted to Rs. 5470 for the entire sample leaving a net deficit of Rs. 8847.

In the following tables, the data relating to indebtedness is presented.

Borrowing was done mainly for two broad purposes, namely for farm expenses and for consumption. During the year, two cultivators reported raising of loans to the extent of Rs. 16807 for purposes of trading. This loan is separated from the table ${ }^{s}$ given below, as it represented investment of a non-agricultural nature:

The table below gives the loans reported to have been raised during the survey year. They are given purpose-wise, with percentage of distribution of each group.

Table 3.57
It may be observed that Group III did not report raising of any loan for farm expenses. In the case of groups I and II, the propottion of loan raised for and spent in farm expenses, amounted to 92.56 and $23.15 \%$ respectively. Conversely the proportion of loans taken for purposes of consumption may be seen to be increasing from group. I to group III. Over the total, $69 \%$ of the total loans, were raised for purposes of farm expenses, Whith $31 \%$ were raised for consumption, of the- Catter Jebour, about 74\% (or 23\% of the total loans) were raised principally for food alone.
*. Of the loans taken for food alone, $68 \%$ were in the form of grain loans. The system of grain loans was
largely in vogue in the survey villages. These Jarce families from group I and 6 families each from groups II and III reported raising of grain loans during the year.

[^2]- Grain loans are given, generally by those who hadfa a surplus of produce mainly of jowar. They apewere raised during the months of September to December when h-famig
stocks or ${ }_{L}$ kind wages /get spent up and availability of farm work is comparatively low. The loans ehould were the necessarily berepaid by about the New Year' day fallrabi
ing in the month of March, when the water harvesting wan gonerally wer were Ls near and all payments outstanding are settled. was one
The usual method is to pay back. me and half times the grain borrowed. Since the grain loans were generally taken during the above mentioned period, the rate of interest amounted to between 100 to $150 \%$. The borrowers, however, did not appear to be averse to paying this apparently high rate for a variety of reasons. Firstly, the loan satisfied a direct need and as such the loan io set ${ }_{\text {jata }}^{\text {at }}$ premium, more from a sentimental point of view rather than the family economic. Secondly, the were loans are given on personal security and word of honour along with the personal knowledge of the lender of the earning abilities of the borrower. Thirdly the time of repayment is so set by tradition as to coincide with harvesting time, when employment as well as wages, generally in kind, are at their peak. The harvest pices were generally the lowest during the year. To acertain extent, therefore, thelbender is justified in aiemanding higher rate as the money equivalent of the loan at the time of the lending was greater than that at the harvest time for the same loan.: In fact, if the loan were just returned in full, without any interest, the lender would less get $h^{\text {in }}$ terms of notional money value.

The table below gives a breakdown of the total loans raised during the year according to their cash or kind nature. The table also gives the average size of cash and kind loans as also average per borrowing family.

Table. $3 \cdot 52$
It may be observed that the average size of loan, cash as well as kind is smallest for group II: The proportion of cash to total loan raised amounted to 94.35\%, 68.74\% and 49.79\% for groups I, II and III respectively, with an overall average of $84.33 \%$. Conversely, the proportion of kind lioan to total goes on increasing from group I to group III.

All the loans ${ }^{-\mu h}{ }_{L}$ were raised in the respective villages $/$ generally from bigger farmers, traders or from leadu the group under in the case of Lamans. All cash loans, except $17 \%$ of the total loans outstanding, carried interest charge . The rate of interest, mostly charged was $24 \%$ or Rs. 2 per cent per month. Some of the borroweris in group I reported interest at the rate of $18 \%$ and $12 \%$ also.

The table below sums up the cash loan position of the sample cultivators. Kind loans have been dropped from the table on the assumption that all kind loans are repaid after harvesting during the same year. The exceptions were few.

Table. 3.53
The repayments during the year; it may be seen; were very smail, amounting to $2 \%$ over the total, group III not reporting any. It may also be seen that the proportion of loan at the beginning of the year to that at the end, goes on increasing from Group I to group III. The last line giving the total interest due and its
proportion to the total loan at the year end, reveals that over the total the interest due was b-tm ture of $24 \%$ small variation in the case of each group.

- The table below present the business aspect of farming of the sample of cultivators underfstudy as also broadly of the aurvey villages. The table giving farm expenses and receipts separately, bring together the various items of costs and returns, each of which have been discussed separately. The percentage distribution of the costs of each group is also given in order to bring out their general pattern. In the case of receipts columns 2 to 6, giving the disposal of fresh produce are percentages of column 7 giving the total produce. The proportions in the column however are proportions to the total farm receipts, including receipts from livestock and rent.

Table 3.54 Farm Receipts and Expenses.
The farm expenses may be seen to follow a certain order according to the size of each in the total. In the following table the items of costs have been arranged in a descending order of their proportions in the total. Table ${ }^{3-55}$ Relative size and arrangement of farm costs.
The table show's that except in the case of group II, the costs hold down to certain definite places, the items of costs as manure and seeds having equal proportions to the total, changing places. In the case of group $\frac{\pi}{3 I}$, the item of rent amounts to $20 \%$ of the total.

The table below presents the farm costs on a per acre basis 80 as to present the natureff farm business of each group.

Table ${ }^{2.56}$ Farm expenses/per cuitivated acre.
It may be observed that per acre costs on seed, manure, and overheads are more even and vary within narrow limits. Those on land revenue and rent are almost
identical for Group I and III white for group II; having a proportionately larger leased-in land, the per acre expanses on land revenue are slightly less while those on rent are much greater.

Per acre costs on Fodder and labour show larger variations. In the case of labour this may be due firstly to greater amount of work put in by family member in group II and secondly the family size remaining more or less constant for all the groups, larger cultivated area meand-, proportionately larger employment of hired labour. widest
Fodder expenses show the modest variations compared to other costs. Those of Group II and III show almost a hundred per cent increase principally because both the groups hold the same number offrajor heads of cattle while the area cultivated by group III is nearly half that cultivated by group II. In the case of group I, however; there is every reason to believe that fodder expenses have been overstated. As has been seen in an earlier table, the feeding expenses per head of cattle were Rs. 26.55 and Rs. 29.27 for groups II and III respectively, while those for group I were Rs. 114.08 per head, ie. more than 3 times greater than those reported by the other two groups. It may be admitted that the cultivators in group I were in a position to feed the cattle better varieties end articles of fodder as well as in larger quantities. Nevertheless the wide gap between the fodder expenses of group I and/II and III clearly denote an prestetement
investment of expenses by the farmer. The table also gives per acre produce and total per acre receipts. The per acre returns from farming exclusive of livestock and other receipts, may be seen te be gradually decreasing from group I to group III. The total per acre receipts are however lowest for group II, group I and III having higher -receipts at 49.98 and 44.18 per acre. The gap between
the two receipts, the per acre farm produce and per acre total receipts, is made good mainly by receipts from livestock. These, on a per acre basis, show a gradual rise from group I to group III.

The average net surplus per acre shows the nature of farm business at the survey villages. As they are, the average $\dot{\text { is }}$ least for group $\frac{T}{\mathrm{~K}}$, and greatest for group II. As this average net surplus is inclusive of an important item of cost, namely the charges on family labour put in, they do not exhibit the true nature of net returns. The table below gives the net returns, as well as average per acre inputs, in terms of man-days, of family labour. The net returns have been adjusted by adding per acre charges on account of saldars; whose work has been included in the category of family labour. This is done in order to make the figures comparable, as Saldar payments have been included in the total farm expenses,

Table 3.57
Average per acre inputs of family labour and net returns.
It may be seen that the net returns are broadly correlated to the inputs of family labour and within themselves are less distant from one another: It may also be remembered in this context that while group I reported disproportionately high per head expenses on cattle, group II reported proportionately greater returns from livestock. It may therefore be inferred that the nature of farm business at the survey villages is broadly as revaaled No. 3.54 by the total pattern given in Tables (farm Expenses and Receipts) with minor variations between the groups. The cultivators in group I show proportionately lesser returns due, presumably to higher per acre costs on fodder, seed, manure, etc. which may be a result of a qualitative difference in the type of feed, and seed and manure used.

Since the sample is too small, as well as restricted to a comparatively small region, no attempt is made to examine the competitive nature of the performance of farm firstly evaluating business which would be done/by irstly evaling and deducting inputs of family labour, secondly by deducting investment charges at some notional rate of interest and then comparing the profit margin.

As the term 'business' is invariably associated with dealings of a cash nature, an attempt is made in the following table to examine the cash aspect of farm business. The table brings together all receipts and payments of a cash nature.

Tabie $3^{-58}$ Receipts and Payments in cash.
It may be seen that requirement of cash are met with differently by different groups. For group $I$, the principal sources of cash in a descending order were sale of produce, $28 \%$, cash income from nonagricultural occupations, $28 \%$, loans $20 \%$ and house-rent receipts $12 \%$, nearlyz $61 \%$ of the total receipts coming from non-agricultural sources. For group II, they were loans 28\%, income from non-agricultural occupations; 25\%, sale of produce, $17 \%$ and cash agricultural wages earned in the village, 13\%. For this group, $62 \%$ of the total cash receipts come from nonagricultural sources such as house-rent, nonagricultural occupations and loans. For group III, however, the pattern is different. The group gets $39 \%$ from cash agricultural wage work, $15 \%$ from non-agricultural occupations, $15 \%$ from sale of produce, $12 \%$ from loans and $10 \%$ from house rents, the part coming from non-agricultural sources amounting to nearly 38\%.

Like cash receipts, cash expenses also show a different pattern for different groups. The principal itmms of expenses for group $I$, in a descending order were, purchase of land 32\%, purchase of fodder $18 \%$, cash payments to labour $16 \%$ and purchase of cattle $11 \%$, the dutlay of cach of a non-farm nature amounting to about $2 \%$ only. For group II
the principal items of expenditure were purchase of cattle $25 \%$, purchase of fodder 19\%, payment of land revenue $14 \%$, purchase of seed, $13 \%$ and mprovements of capital nature to farm, 12\%; the outlay of a non-farm nature being about $2 \%$, as in the case of group I. For group III, the। pattern was slightly different. The group spent $32 \%$ on purchase of fodder, $29 \%$ on payment of cash wages, $12 \%$ on purchase of seed, $8 \%$ on purchase of cattle sen and $7 \%$ on payment of land revenue; there being no outlay of a non-farm nature.

It may be seen that while group I spent about $49 \%$ of its total cash outlay on items of a capital nature such as purchase of land, and cattle, inprovements of a capital nature on land and repayment of debts, the relevant proportions were $38 \%$ in the case of group II and only $12 \%$ in the case of group III.

Over the total, the receipts from non-agrieutural don-farm sources amounted to $58 \%$, while expenditure of a capital nature amounted to 45\%; outlay on non-farm purposes being leas than $2 \%$.

A comparison of the absolute figures of cash redeppts and expenditure reveals that group I reported an overspendis overspending to the extent of $14 \%$ of its cash receipts while groups II and II reported net cash surpluses to the extent of 29 and $32 \%$ respectively. It is presumable that the net deficit in the case of group I might have been reduced substantially but for the disproportionately high fodder costs reported by that group.

Finally the table bring ${ }^{\text {ding }}$ 位 the dependence on agriculture is given below. This is done by finding out the proportion of income from agricultura in the context of the total family incomes reported.

Table ${ }^{3,59}$ :Total family income according to source.

The table reveals that less than a fifth of iterits income was derived from agriculture by group $I$, more than half by group II and slightly more than a fifth by group III. Income from agricultural labour work increased from group I to group III, the last drawing more than a fourth part of its income from agricultural labour. Taking the incomes from cultivation and agricultural labour together, the proportion of income from agricultural occupation amounted to $20.94 \%$ for group I, $68.53 \%$ for group II and 49.91\% for group III. Dependence on agriculture was greatest for group II while group I drew a major portion of its income from nonagricultural sources namely trading $50.08 \%$, house rent $24.52 \%$ and other non-agricultural occupations such as weaving, work in adat shops, etc. ans 4.46\%; Group III from nonagricultural labour work $28.63 \%$ house rent $12.21 \%$ and other nonagricultural occupations like carting, shoe making, etc. 9.23\%. This group mainly lived frem earnings from labour to the extent of $56.72 \%$ derived almost equally from agriculture and non-agriculture type of labour such as quarrying. culfinalous

Taken as a group, the sample ofkhatedars reveal a dependence of $35.12 \%$, 直 the rest of its income ounting coming. mainly from nonagricultural sources.

Table No. 3.1: Distribution of total land of the village. Nalwar, Sugur and Kulkundi


Total 9520-16 100.00 4324-07 $100.00 \quad 2284.26 \quad 100.00$

Table No. 3.2: Inam lands of the three villages under survey



Table No. 3.4 : Practice of Bunding and proportion of total cultivated area covered by bunding.


Tiable No. 3.7 : Proportion of land under Kharif and Rabi of the total cultivated land of the selected cultivators.

| Region | \$age under Kharif | \%age under Rabi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | 43.96 | 56.04 |
| Nalwar | 46.86 | 53.14 |
| Sugur | 30.37 | 69.63 |
| Kulkundi | 23.89 | 76.11 |
| $\underbrace{\text { Sroup }}_{\text {Sample }}$ | I 32.71 | 67.29 |
| Group | II 30.53 | 69.47 |
| Group | III 33. 12 | 66.88 |
| Total Sample | 32.45 | 67.55 |

Table No, 3.8 : Area under different crops and its proportion

| Crop | NALWAR |  | SUGUR |  | KUKUNDI |  | SAMPLE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area | \%age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { A. G. } \end{aligned}$ | \%age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { A. G. } \end{aligned}$ | \%age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { A. G. } \end{aligned}$ | \%age |
| Jowar | 3639-13 | 43.79 | 2087-16 | 51. 18 | 820-06 | 47.20 | 511-31 | 52.62 |
| Bajri | 476-14 | 5.73 | 381-13 | 9.35 | 50-12 | 2.89 | 55-01 | 5.66 |
| Wheat | 204-18 | 2.47 | 200-05 | 2.45 | 50-00 | 2.88 | 30-20 | 3.14 |
| Paddy | 165-13 | 1.09 | 3-01 | 0.07 | 2-00 | 0.12 | 17-12 | 1.78 |
| Other cereals | 53-38 | 0.64 | 110-00 | 2.70 | 32-14 | 1.86 | 18-26 | 1.92 |
| Total cereals | 4539-16 | 54.62 | 2681-35 | 65.75 | 954-32 | 54.95 | 633-10 | 65.12 |
| Groundnut | 1898-11 | 22.84 | 424-18 | 10.41 | 15-28 | 0.90 | 136-06 | 14.00 |
| Safflower | 332-28 | 4.03 | 403-21 | 9.89 | 70-00 | 4.03 | 44-20 | 4.58 |
| Ininseed | 64-02 | 0.77 | 50-05 | 1.23 | 54-36 | 3.16 | 23-09 | 2.39 |
| Sesame | 70-36 | 0.85 | 31+04 | 0.76 | 60-15 | 3.47 | 22-39 | 2.34 |
| 1 Caster | - | - | 5-06 | 0.13 | - | - | 1-00 | 0.10 |
| $\frac{\text { Total }}{\text { Oilseeds }}$ | 2365-37 | 28.49 | 914-14 | 22.42 | 200-39 | 11.56 | 227-27 | 23.41 |
| Toor | 1091-06 | 13.13 | 214-01 | 5.25 | 140-08 | 8.06 | 55-27 | 5.73 |
| Gram | 159-36 | 1.92 | 150-20 | 3.69 | 294-17 | 16.95 | 35-19 | 3.65 |
| Other pulses | 100-20 | 1.21 | 75-14 | 1.85 | 43-33 | 2.52 | 3-15 | 0.35 |
| Total pulses | 1351-22 | 16.26 | 439-35. | 10.79 | 478-18 | 27.53 | 94-21 | 9.73 |
| Cotton | - 40-32 | 0.49 | - | - | 33-04 | 1.90 | 16-00 | 1.64 |
| Chillies | 6-07 | 0.07 | 20-34 | 0.51 | 25-05 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| Hessian | 6-02 | 0.07 | 21-28 | 0.53 | 45-08 | 2.61 | - | - |
| $\frac{\text { Total Misce- }}{\text { Ilaneous crops }}$ | 2s 53-01 | 0.63 | 42-22 | 1.04 | 103-17 | 5.96 | 17-00 | 1.74 |

Grand Total $8309-36100.00 \quad 4078-76100.00 \quad 1737-26 \quad 100.00 \quad 972-18 \quad 100.00$

Table No. 3.10: Number of Members of the selected cultivator families and the nature of employment.

| Nature of Employment | Group I |  |  | Groun II |  | Group III |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Children | Male Female | $\frac{\text { Ch11- }}{\text { dren }}$ | Male | Fer | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{11}{\mathrm{Ch} 11-} \\ & \text { dren } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 30 | 36 | 38 | 1821 | 25 | 19 | 22 | 24 |
| Cultivation \$age to total | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 50.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 7.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} .12 & 6 \\ 66.7 & 28.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 16.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 68.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 36.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| Other Occupations \%age to total | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 26.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 8.3 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\stackrel{2}{21.1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 4.0 \end{gathered}$ | 2 10.53 | - | - |
| Agriculturai <br> Labour <br> \%age to total |  | $16.7$ | - | $\stackrel{5}{27.7}{ }_{42.8}^{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 52.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{1}{4.17}$ |
| Not working \%age to total | $23.3$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 44.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ 92.11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 11.1 \\ 28.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 84.0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 40.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 91.7 \end{gathered}$ |

Table_No. 3.11: Land owned and cultivated by the cultivators in the sample.


Table No. 3.12 : Proportion of fallow land to land owned, land leased in and cultivated land.



Table No. 3. $75^{\circ}$ : Extent of double-cropping reported by the sample


Table No, 3.14: Area under different crops reported by the to the total

| Crops | Area |  | \%age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A. G. | to to | A. ${ }^{\text {Area }}$ | tot | A. G. | tot | Area | \%age |
| Jowar | 344-33 | 49.18 | 106-29 | 61.60 | 60-09 | 61.34 | 511-31 | 52.62 |
| Bajari | 47-07 | 6.73 | 4-26 | 2.68 | 3-08 | 3.27 | 55-01 | 5.66 |
| Wheat | 28-13 | 4.04 | 1-17 | 0.82 | 0-30 | 0.76 | 30-20 | 3.14 |
| Paddy | 8-30 | 1.25 | 4-38 | 2.36 | 3-24 | 3.66 | 17-12 | 1.78 |
| Other cereals | 12-16 | 1.77 | 5-10 | 3.04 | 1-00 | 1.02 | 18-26 | 1.92 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Cereals } \end{aligned}$ | 441-19 | 62.97 | 123-00 | 71.00 | 68-31 | 70.05 | 633-10 | 65.12 |
| Groundnut | 88-32 | 12.67 | 26-07 | 15.11 | 21-07 | 21.57 | 136-06 | 14.00 |
| Safflower | 29-08 | 4.17 | 9-38 | 5.75 | 5-14 | 5.45 | 44-20 | 4.58 |
| Linseed | 23-09 | 3.31 |  |  | - |  | 23-09 | 2.39 |
| Sesame. | 22-28 | 3.24 | 0-04 | 0.05 | - | - | 22-32 | 2.34 |
| Castor Total |  | - | - | - | 1-00 | 1.02 | 1-00 | 0.10 |
| 0ilseeds | 163-37 | 23.39 | 36-09 | 20.91 | 27-21 | 28.04 | 227-27 | 23.40 |
| Toor | 41-16 | 5.91 | 12-16 | 7.16 | 1-35 | 1.91 | 55-27 | 5.73 |
| Gram | 34-15 | 4.90 | 1-04 | 0.63 |  |  | 35-19 | 3.65 |
| Other pulses | 2.35 | 0.41 | 0-20 | 0.30 | - | - | 3-15 | 0.35 |
| Total pulses | 73-26 | 11.22 | 14-00 | 8.09 | 1-35 | 1.91 | 94-21 | 9.73 |
| Cotton | 16-00 | 2.28 | - | - | - | - | 16-00 | 1.64 |
| Total Misce11aneous cron | s17-00 | 2.42 | - | - | - | - | 17-00 | 1.74 |

Grand Total 701-02 100.00 173-09 100.00 98-07 100.00 972-18 100.00

Tabie No. 3.16 : Extent of different types of cropping practices.
Cropping practice
Zage of Area
to total

1. Cropping two consecutive seasons followed by two fallow seasons. -fallow; Rab1, Khariff; fallow; Rab1, Kharif; OR Kharif, Rabi; fallow, fallou; Kharif Rabl; fallow - . . $\quad$ pear after year (includ-
2. Cropping during the same season yoar arter yeailow .. kharif ing paddy) ... Kharif $\ddot{\text { OR fallow }}$. fallow . failow, kabi .. failiow fallow .. kharif ${ }_{18}$
-3. Irregular practice of cropping, not covered by the above two

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { ne } & 18 \\
\text { Total } & --100
\end{array}
$$

Table No. 3.17 : Proportion of Male and Bullock days for ploughing and harrowing


Table No. 3, 18 : In-puts of manure reported. (In Rupees)


Table No, 3.21: Expenditure on seeds.


Table No. 3.22: Per acre expenditure on seed

| Group | Area Cultivated |  | Per-acre inputs of seeds <br> (In Rupees) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area | \%age to total | Owned | from <br> 1and | Purch sed | Total |
|  | A. G. |  | lord sed |  |  |  |
| I | 701-02 | 72.08 | 2.91 | 0.15 | 0.69 | 3.75 |
| II | 173-10 | 17.81 | 1.10 | 0.44 | 1.78 | 3.32 |
| III | 98-07 | 10.11 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 3.51 | 4.18 |
| Total | 972-19 | 100.00 | 2.35 | 0.20 | 1.17 | 3.72 |

Table No. 3.23: Proportionate requirements of labour reported for sowing.

| Group | $\frac{\text { Mal }}{\text { Kharif }}$ | Rabi | $\frac{\text { Fema }}{\text { Kharif }}$ | Le | $\frac{\text { Bul }}{\text { Khari }}$ | $\frac{10 \mathrm{ck}}{\mathrm{f} \text { Rabi }}$ | Khari | $\frac{\text { es }}{\text { Rabi }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.90 | 7.59 | 6.34 | 0.34 | 15.68 | 14.24 | 5.70 | 0.95 |
| II | 18.69 | 5.71 | 6.51 | 2.06 | 22.29 | 12.27 | 7.20 | 1.91 |
| III | 7.37 | 6.69 | 6.61 | 1.27 | 16.83 | 13.69 | 12.44 | 1.09 |
| Total | 8.30 | 7.16 | 6.10 | 0.67 | 16.84 | 13.85 | 6.61 | 1.04 |

Table No. 3.24 : Proportions of labour days and wages reported for hoeing and weeding


Table No, 3.25 : Actual and per acre holding of implements

| Group | No. of families | Total <br> holding <br> of imple- <br> ments <br> (Value) | Ploughs Big Small | Harrows Big Small | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seed } \\ & \text { Drills } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 13 | 1797 | 5.24 | 216 | 16 |
| II | 12 | 391 | 111 | 112 | 3 |
| III | 13 | 317 | 12 | 111 | 8 |
| Total | 38 | 2505 | 1547 | $23 \quad 29$ | 27 |



| I | 2.56 | $31_{4} 77$ | 4.53 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 2.26 | 531 | 3.04 |
| III | 3.22 | 767 | 7.80 |
| Total | 2.56 | 4475 | 4.60 |

Table No. 3,26 :Proportionate inputs of labour days and wages for watching.


Table No. 3.27.: Proportionate inputs of labour for harvesting.


Table No. 3.28 : Percentages of labour and wages inputs for threshing


Table No. 3.31 : Family and Hired Labour reported by the sample of cultivators.


Table No. 3.29: Percentage distribution of labour and wages according to operations. KHARTF SEASON


Table No. 3.29 (Continued) : Percentage distribution of labour and wages according to operations. RABI SEASON



Table No. 3. 30 : Requirements of labour according to operations for the two seasons.


Table No. 3.32 : Percentage distribution, operationwise and seasonwise, of hired labour Male and Female according to Groups.

| Operations | Kharif |  | Rabi |  | Kharif |  | Rabi |  | Kharif |  | Rabi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Fenale | Male F | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 1. Ploughing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2. Manuring | 6.02 | 1.07 | - | - | 3.03 | 0.97 | 0.68 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3. Harrowing | 0.67 | 0.20 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - |
| 4. Sowing | 8.36 | 4.46 | 1.29 | 0.32 | 6.06 | 6.04 | - | 1.36 | 11.34 | 3.12 | 2.24 | - |
| 5. Manuring after so | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6. Hoeing | 1.67 | c. 20 | 3.00 | - | - | - | - | 0.68 | - | - | 14.63 | - |
| 7. Weeding | - | 49.90 | 0.34 | 33.53 | - | 63.76 | - | 38.88 | - | 69.23 | - | 41.40 |
| 8. Watching | 15.05 | 7.16 | 20.60 | 3.33 | 45.45 | - | - | 5.09 | - | - | 36.59 | - |
| 9. Harvesting Main Crop | 36.79 | 10.63 | 36.27 | 28.16 | 19.69 | 21.01 | 73.64 | 14.94 | 64.48 | 8.41 | 26.02 | 33.42 |
| 10. " Sub. Crop | 11.71. | - | 1.93 | 0.30 | 4.55 | 1.45 | 6.16 | 0.63 | 2.63 | - | 3.25 | 0.50 |
| 11. Preparation of threshing floor | 2.00 | 0.24 | 2.53 | 4.06 | 13.64 | 1.94 | 3.08 | 1.87 | - | - | - | - |
| 12. Threshing | 17.73 | 26.14 | 34.04 | 25.30 | 7.58 | 4.83 | 16.44 | 36.50 | 21.05 | 19.24 | 17.07 | 24.68 |





Table No. 3, 33 : Group and Seasonwise arrangement of hired labour in the decending order of employment

## Males :Kharif

| Group I |  | Groun II |  | Group III | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvesting | 49 | Watching | 45 | Harvesting 67 | Harvesting | 48 |
| Threshing | 20 | Harvesting | 24 | Threshing 21 | Threshing | 20 |
| Watching | 15 | Threshing | 21 | Sowing 12 | Watching | 17 |
| Sowing . | 8 | Sowing | 6 | Sowing 12 | Sowing | 9 |
| Manuring | 6 | Manuring | 3 |  | Manuring | 9 |

Males : Rabi

| Harvesting | 38 | Harvesting 80 | Watching | 37 | Harvesting 42 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Threshing | 37 | Threshing | 19 | Harvesting 29 | Threshing | 34 |  |
| Watching | 21 | Manuring | 7 | Threshing | 17 | Watching | 19 |
| Hoeing | 3 |  |  | Hoeing | 15 | Hoeing | 3 |
| Sowing | 1 |  |  |  |  | Sowing | 1 |

Females : Kharif

| Weeding , | 50 | Weeding | 64 | Weeding | 69 | Weeding | 54 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Threshing | 26 | Harvesting | 22 | Threshing | 19 | Threshing | 23 |
| Harvesting | 11 | Threshing | 7 | Harvesting | 8 | Harvesting | 28 |
| Watching | 7 | Sowing | 6 | Sowing | 3 | Watching | 5 |
| Sowing | 4 | Manuring | 1 |  |  | Sowing | 5 |

Females : Rabi

| Weeding | 39 | Weeding | 39 | Weeding | 41 | Weeding | 39 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Threshing | 29 | Threshing | 38 | Harvesting 34 | Threshing | 30 |  |
| Harvesting | 28 | Harvesting | 16 | Threshing | 25 | Harvesting | 27 |
| Watching | 3 | Watching | 5 |  |  | Watching | 3 |
| Sowing | 3 | Sowing | 1 |  |  | Sowing | 4 |

Table No. 3. 34 : Number of persons (males) engaged in agriculture.

| Category | Nalwar |  | Kulkundi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No.of | \%age | No.0f | \%age | No.Of | \%age |
|  | per- | to. | per- | to | per- | to |
|  | sons | vill- | sons | vill- | sons | Vill- |
|  |  | age |  | $a g \theta$ <br> total | , | age total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent farm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servants | 141 | 7.48 | 28 | 8.61 | 11 | 6.75 |
| Total | 888 | 47.08 | 233 | 73.23 | 120 | 73.62 |
| Total for 1886100.00 105 100.00 , 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table No. 3. 35 : Payments made to Saldars.


Table No. 3.36: Pattern and periodicity of employment of agricultural labour

| Month | Agric <br> Labou <br> No. of | Itural <br> work <br> \%age <br> to total |  | on <br> Farm <br> \%age <br> total. | Non-8 <br> Iabou <br> No. of | riv <br> ork \%age o total | Unemp No. | ment \%age total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 195 | 10.36 | 38 | 6.66 | 60 | 16.69 | 37 | 3.22 |
| Feb. | 180 | 9.57 | 38 | 6.66 | 30 | 8.33 | 82 | 7.14 |
| March | 210 | 11.16 | 16 | 2.81 | 30 | 8.33 | 74 | 6.45 |
| April | 20 | 10.84 |  |  | 30 | 8.33 | 96 | 8.36 |
| May | 71 | 3.77 | 73 | 12.81 | 30 | 8.33 | 156 | 13.58 |
| June | 97 | 5.15 | 101 | 17.72 | - |  | 132 | 11.50 |
| July | 98 | 5.21 | 91 | 15.97 |  | - | 141 | 12.28 |
| August | 133 | 7.07 | 88 | 15.45 | - |  | 109 | 9.50 |
| Sept. | 167 | 8.98 | 56 | 9.82 | 30 | 8.33 | 75 | 6.53 |
| Oct. | 171 | 9.09 | 38 | 6.66 | 30 | 8.33 | 91 | 7.93 |
| Nov. | 177 | 9.40 | 8 | 1.40 | 60 | 16.67 | 85 | 7.41 |
| Dec. | 177 | 9.40 | 23 | 4.04 | 60. | 16.67 | 70 | 6.10 |

Table NO, 3.37 : Number of:persons doing hired agricultural labour work.

| Group | No. or adul | No. of adults engaged in cultivation | No. of adults hiring themselves out. | \% of wageworkers to totoral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male Female | Male Female | Male Female | Male Female |
| I | $30 \quad 36$ | 1818 | 7 | 19.44 |
| II | 1821 | 126 | 48 | 22.22 38.10 |
| III | 1922 | 13.8 | 611 | $31.58 \quad 50.00$ |
| Tal | $67 \quad 79$ | 43 32 | $10 \cdot 26$ | 14.92 32.91 |

Table No. 3.33 : Cattle population in the three villages

| Categories | Nalwar | Sugur | Kulkundi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bullocks | 390 | 303 | 220 |
| He-buffaloes |  | 7 | 25 |
| Cows | 187 | 120 | 50 |
| She-buffaloes | 117 | 50 | 100 |
| Young bullocks | 446 | 2 | 10 |
| Young cows | 170 | 95 | 30 |
| Young buffaloes | 150 | 30 | 60 |
| Horses | 20 | 11 | 10 |
| Donkeys |  | 2 |  |
| Sheep | 930 | 146 | 70 |
| Goats | 1131 | 76 | 80 |

Table No. 3.39: Proportionate hulding of draught and milch cattie in the three villages

|  | Nalwar | Sugur | Kulkunds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Draught Cattle- Number \$age to total | $\begin{array}{r} 390 \\ -56.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 310 \\ 64.58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 245 \\ 62.02 \end{gathered}$ |
| Milch Cattle - Number \%age to total | $\begin{gathered} 304 \\ 43.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ 35.42 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 37.98 \end{array}$ |
| Total <br> - Number <br> bage to total | $\begin{gathered} 694 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 480 \\ 100,00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 395 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Cows \$age to total | $\begin{gathered} 187 \\ 61.51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ 70.59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 33.33 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Buffaloes } \\ \text { \%age to total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117 \\ 38.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 29.41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 66.67 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total - Number \%age to total | $\begin{gathered} 304 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ |

Table No. 3.40 : Cattle holding reported by the selected cultivator


Table No. 3.41: Proportionate holding of draught caitle by villages and by sample cultivators.


| Nalwar | 8309-36 |  | 390 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugur | 4078-26 |  | 310 | 42.61 26.31 |  |
| Kulkundi | 1737-26 |  | 245 | 14.18 |  |
| Group I | 680-29 | 701-02 | 61 |  |  |
| Group II | 197-25 | 173-10 | 27 | 14.63 |  |
| Group III | 98-23 | 98-07 | 2224 | 8.96 | 12.83 8.18 |

Table No. 3.42: Per head reported value of home-bred and purchased bullocks.

| Group | No. | Value | Average per head value | No. | Value | Average per head value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 12 | 1270 | 105.83 | 49 | 5395 | 110.10 |
| II | 8 | 730 | 91. 25 | 19 | 1222 | 64.32 |
| III | 4 | 460 | 115.00 | 20 | 1597 | 79.85 |
| Total | 24 | 2460 | 102.50 | 88 | 8214 | 93.34 |

Table No, 3.43 : Number, Value and average per head values of bullocks, at the beginning of the year and additions and loss during the survey year.
 (Continued below)


Table No. 3.44 : Receipts from IIvestock. (Value in Rupees)

| Receipts | $\frac{\text { Group } I}{\text { Value \%age }} \frac{\text { Group II }}{\text { Value \%age }}$ |  |  |  | Groun III |  | $\frac{\text { Totai }}{\text { \%age }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk | 2238 | 47.23 | . 714 | 43.99 | 679 | 50.41 | 3681 | 47.11 |
| Farm-yard |  |  |  | 43.99 | 67 | 50.41 | 3681 | 47.11 |
| Manure | 1396 | 28.82 | 418 | 25.75 | 450 | 34.00 | 2272 | 29.08 |
| Dung-cakes | 1160 | 23.95 | 491 | 30.26 | 210 | 15.59 | 1861 | 23.81 |
| Total | 4844 | 100.00 | 1623 | 100.00 | 1347 | 100.00 | 7814 | 100.00 |

Table No. $3.45:$ Fodder expenses reported by the sample


Table No. 3.45-A : Fodder reported fed to livestock during the year.

| Type of: Fodder | I-- - - - |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  | [ - Torai |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Draught Cattle | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Milch } \\ & \text { Cattle } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Draught Cattle | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Milch } \\ & \text { Cattie } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Draught Cattle | $\begin{gathered} \text { Milch } \\ \text { Catle } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Draught Cattle | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Milch } \\ & \text { Cattle } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Kadaba } \\ \text { \& } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8043 \\ 83.52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1587 \\ 16.48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9630 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1619 \\ 88.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 206 \\ 11.29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1825 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1616 \\ 84.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 15.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11278 \\ & 84.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2093 \\ 15.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13371 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Husk \%age | $\begin{array}{r} 186 \\ 89,42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 10.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 208 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 87.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 12.82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 279 \\ 89.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 10.29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 311 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Straw page |  | - : | - | E | - | - | - ' | - | - | - | - | - |
| Green Grass thage | $\begin{array}{r} 595 \\ 60.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 384 \\ 39.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 979 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 755 \\ 83.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1455 \\ 16.12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 900 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 434 \\ 71.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 173 \\ 23.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 607 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1784 \\ 71.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 702 \\ 28.24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2486 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 0ilcake } \\ \text { page } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2160 \\ 99.78 \end{array}$ | $0.52$ | $\begin{gathered} 2165 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 221 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 221 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 291 \\ 97.98 \end{array}$ | $2.0{ }^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 297 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2672 \\ 99.59 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{1}{0.41}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2683 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Cotton Seed \%age | $\begin{array}{r} 480 \\ 72.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 27.49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 662 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 34.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 65.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 557 \\ 73.68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 26.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 756 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Bran名age | $\begin{array}{r} 1406 \\ 95.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ 4.42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1471 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 41.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 58.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 136 \\ 65.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ 34.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 207 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1561 \\ 90.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163 \\ & 9.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1724 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 12870 \\ & 85.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2245 \\ 14.85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15115 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2707 \\ .87 .75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 378 \\ 12.25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3085 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2554 \\ 81.57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 577 \\ 18.43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3131 \\ 100.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18131 \\ & 85.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 3200 & 2 \\ 15.00 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21331 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |

Table No. 3.46 : Total fodder fed to livestock.

| Groups | Total reported <br> as fed | Total expenses <br> calculated | Differences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 15113 | 13209 |  |
| II | 3084 | 1084 |  |
| III | 3131 | 1166 | 1998 |
|  |  |  | 1965 |

Table No, 3.47: Average receipts and expenses per head

| Group | No. of | Receipts |  | Expenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | erage | Total | Average |
| I | 116 | 4844 | 41.72 | 13234 | 114.08 |
| II | 42 | 1623 | 38.64 | 1115 | 26.55 |
| III | 41 | 1347 | 32.85 | 1200 | 29.27 |
| Total | 199 | 7814 | 39.26 | 15549 | 78.13 |

Table_No. 3.49 : Additions to assets during the Survey Year



Table No. 3.48 : Assets held by the cultivators in the sample


| Table A | I | 13 | 701-02 | 1,72,975 | 78,785 | 5100 | 3180 | 6665 | 4000 | 1705 | 2,72,410 | 20954.61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | II | 12 | 173-10 | 18,375 | 5,625 | 2076 | 536 | 1952 | 946 | - | 29,510 | 2459.16 |
|  | III | 13 | 98-07 | 11,010 | 14,250 | 380 | - 772 | 1885 | 1073 | - | 29,370 | 2359.23 |
|  | Total | 38 | 972-19 | 2,02,360 | 98,660 | 7556 | 4488 | 10502 | 6019 | 1705 | 3,31,290 | 8718.15 |
| Table B | I |  | - 72.08 | 85.48 | 79.84 ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 67.48 | 70.85 | 63.46 | 66.45 | 100.00 | 82.23 | 240.35 |
|  | II |  | 17.81 | 9.08 | 5.71 | 27.48 | 11.94 | 18.59 | 15.72 | - | 8.91 | 28.21 |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ III | - | 10.11 | 5.44 | 14.45 | 5.04 | 17.21 | 17.95 | 17.83 | - | 8.86 | 25.91 |
| Table C | "Total I |  | 100.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 63.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 28.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 1.87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 1.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 2.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ 1.47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \\ 0.63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.00 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | 100.00 |
|  | - II |  |  | 62.27 | 19.06 | 7.03 | 1.82 | 6.61 | 3.21 | - | 100.00 |  |
|  | III |  |  | 37.49 | 48.52 | 1,29 | 2.63 | 6.42 | 3.65 | - | 100.00 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 61.08 | 29.78 | 2.28 | 1.35 | 3. 17 | 1.82 | 0.52 | 100.00 |  |

Tablé No. 3.51: Classification according to purpose of loans taken during the Survey year.


Table No. 3.52: Average size of cash and kind loans.

| $\frac{\text { Group I }}{\text { Cash Kind }}$, $\frac{\text { Group II }}{\text { Cash Kind }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of loans Number of borrowing families | 3 3 | 3 3 | 11 | 12 | 4 3 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 26 15 |
| Total amount of loan | 5250 | 314 | 950 | 432 | 494 | 498 | 6694 | 1244 |
| Average size of loan | 1750.00 | 104.66 | 86.36 | 36.00 | 123.50 | 45.27 | 371.90 | 47.85 |
| Average size per borrowing family | 1750.00 | 104.66 | 86.36 | 72.00 | 164.66 | 83.00 | 393.76 | 82.93 |

Table No. 3.50 : Holding of implements at the beginning and at the end of the survey year.

| Group | Beginning of the year |  | h | Sales End of the |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bulloc } \\ & \text { carts } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Imp1 <br> ment |  |
| I | 1797 | 1380 | 1146 | 110 |  |  |
| II | 391 | 140. | 99 | 18 | 2743 482 | 1470 |
| III | 317 | 450 | 98 | 60 | 415 | 390 |
| Total | 2505 | 1970 | 1343 | 178 | 3640 | 2000 |

Table No. 3.53: Loan position of. cultivators in the sample.


Table No, 3.55 : Relative size and arrangement of farm costs

| Group I | Group If | Groun III | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item of  <br> cost Propor <br> tion to <br> total | Item of Propor-costtion to <br> total | Item of Propor <br> cost <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> total to | Item of Propor- <br> cost <br> tion to <br>  total |
| Fodder 41 | Fodder 26 | Fodder 34 | Fodder 39 |
| Labour 28 | Rent 20 | Labour . 30 | Labour 27 |
| Manure 9 | Labour 17 | Seeds - 12 | Seeds 9 |
| Seeds 8 | Seeds . 14 | Manure 8 | Manure 9 |
| Overheads 6 | Manure 10 | Overheads 6 | Overheads 6 |
| Land revenue 5 | Land revenue8 | Land revenue 6 | Land revenue 5 |
| Rent 3 | Overheads 5 | Rent 4 | Rent 5 |

EARM RECEIPTS
Table No. 3.54 : Farm Recelpts and Payments


FARM EXPENSES



| I | 4862 | 4:361 | 9233 | 2:30 | 1354 | 355 | 1929 | 32566 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 198 | 519 | 717 | 71 | 141 | - | 212 | 4247 |
| III | 826 | 256 | 1032 | 46 | 155 | 30 | 231 | 3559 |
| Total | 5836 | 5136 | 11022 | 337 | 1650 | 385 | 2372 | 40372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 14.93 | 13.39 | 28.32 | 0.67 | 4.16 | 1.09 | 5.92 | 100.00 |
| II | 4.66 | 12.22 | 16.88 | 1.67 | 3.32 | - | 4.99 | 100.00 |
| III | 23.21 | 7.19 | 30.40 | 1.29 | 4.36 | 0.84 | 6.49 | 100.00 |
| Total | 14.58 | 12.72 | 27.30 | 0.84 | 4.09 | 0.95 | 5.88 | 100.00 |

Table No. 3. 56 : Farm Expenses and Receiptsf per cultivated acre

| Group | Land Revenue | Rent | Fodder | Seeds | Manure | Labour | Overheads | Per acre receipt of produce | Per acre receipts | Per acre expenses | Per acre Surplus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 2.43 | 1.53 | 18.88 | 3.77 | 3.94 | 13.16 | 2.75 | 42.64 | 49.98 | 46.45 | 3.53 |
| II | 1.90 | 5.00 | 6.64 | 3.33 | 2.43 | 4.14 | 1.22 | 29.03 | 38.90 | 24.51 | 14.39 |
| III | 2.10 | 1.52 | 12.22 | 4.21 | 2.83 | 1.1 .02 | 2.35 | 27.25 | 44.18 | 36.25 | 7.93 |
| Total | 2.30 | 2.15 | 15.99 | 3.84 | 3.56 | 11.33 | 2.44 | 38.71 | 47.42 | 41.51 | 5.91 |

Table No. 3.57: Average per acre inputs of family labour and net returns.


Table No, 3.59: Total Family Income sourcewise.

| Source | Amount page |  | Amoun | \% \%age | mmount \$age |  | $\frac{\text { Total }}{\text { Amount }}$ page |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Income from Cultivation | 2473 | 18.23 | 2493 | 59.61 | 778 | 21.84 | 5744 | 26.95 |
| 2. Income from labour (agriculture) | 368 | 2.71 | 373 | 8.93 | 1001 | 28.09 | 1742 | 8,17 |
| 3. Income from labour (non-agricultural) | 25 | 0.18 | 178 | 4.26 | 1020 | 28.63 | 1223 | 5.74 |
| 4. Trading | 6795 | 50.08 | - |  | - |  | 6795 | 31.88 |
| 5. House Rent | 3327 | 24.52 | 311 | 7.44 | 435 | 12.21 | 4073 | 19.11 |
| 6. Other occupations and services | 580 | 4.28 | 327 | 19.77 | 329 | 9.23 | 1736 | 8.15 |
| Total | 13568 | 100.00 | 4182 | 100.00 | 3563 | 100.00 | 21313 | 100.00 |

## Table No. 3.58 : . Receipts and Payments in cash.



## CHAPTERIV.

## Non-Acricultural Occupations

This chapter deals with the major non-agricultural occupations including artisans services of the survey villages. These include Weaving, quarrying, processing of food stuffs including flour and oil-miling, tailoring, artisan services like carpentary, blacksmithy, cobblers, barbers, washermen, potters and goldsmiths and other productive services like masonry, basket making and preparation of mats, in that order.

Each of the above has been treated under separate sections. Analysis follows a given pattern namely the numbers engaged in the occupation, their castes, other occupation and aspects of mobity, methods of production, equipment, business aspect and finally the comparative importance of the occupation as exemplified by the proportions of. Incomes from different sources.

## Weaving:

$\therefore$ Weaving of handloom sarees had been one of the important non-agricultural occupations of the survey centre. It was reported that about 80 to 90 familles were engaged in this occupation about 10 to 15 years prior to the survey year. The occupation proved very profitable during the cloth-control period. The occupa. tion was principally in the hands of a community called Hatgars or Jads who were traditional veavers. This comunity was included in the Inngayat Section. The other camunity engaged in the occupation were musiims, those reporting the occupation being largely heredetary weaving families.

During the survey year, there were 55 families that drew a livelihood from this occupation. These were further divided as weavers (19 families who owned hand looms ond mainly preparea-sarees-themsizves) and weavIng labourers (36) families who did weaving on a plecework basis and did not own the equipment. The formers could be furthers divided into those reporting weaving as the main occupation of the family (16 families) and those reporting it as the subsidiary occupation (3 famiIles). Of the 36 labour families, 28 were actually engaged in weaving and proparatory processing while the semaining eight did only processing. These eight were families with Pemales as heads of the family generally, or families with adult males ongaged in improductive - coupation like schooling.

A castewise breakdown shows that out of the 19 veaver families, 16 inclusive of 3 familijs with weavIng as the subsidiary occupation belonged to the Hatgap community and three to the Nuslim religion. of the 36 weaving labour families; 26 were Hatgars and 10 muslims. Eamilies.

Subsidiary occupation differed markedif with the two principal groups, the weaving families and the weaving labour families. The former reported subsidiany occupations like trading in cloth (five families), income from family land (fove families) and agricultural laboup (two families), seven families not reporting any subsidiary occupation at all. Trading in cloth and cultivation were the main occupations, two and one s fanilies respectively of those reporting it as the subsidiary occupation of the family.

The principal subsidiayy source of Ifvelihood of the weaving labour families was agricultural labour. 8 families reporting it: Two families each earned an
additional income from service in tea-shops and small trading namely trading in onions, garlic and other condiments in the veekly mariset. Only two families reported income from land while 20 familles did not have any subsidiary source of income one family reported total absence of any income from any source whatsoever including veaving labour work.

Weaving at Nalwar was done with ready millmade yayn available mainly at Sholapur with a number of textile mills producing cloth and Jarn. Hand spun yarn, it was reported, was soft, irregularly twisted, and unsizeable and as such unsuitable for hand-loom weaving. Hand spinning was therefore almost totally absent in the Gulbarga district.

The practice, during the survey jear, of weavers at Nalwar was to purchase their requirements of jam from Sholapus and occassionally from Chitapur, the tehsil headquarters. They reported credit facilities at the above places to the extent of Rs. 100/- at a time individually. The magnitude of purchases depended upon the demand and capacity of production of each individual weaver. A weaver, having four looms reported purchases of 15 to 16 bundles of yam, each weighing 10 Lbs. worth Rs, 300 to 350 per quarter. The journey to and from Sholapuri amounted to $\mathrm{\beta s}^{\circ}$. 15/- per trip, including the cost of transport of yarn.

During the period of cloth and yam control, the weavers of Nalwar got small rationed quotas of yarn through a veavers cooperative society established at Nalwar. Member-weavers of this society were distributed over a number of villages round about Chitapur. The society took a deposit of Rs. 30/- per hand loom belonging to a member. The society thus colleçted a deposit of Ri. 30,000, which denoted that the members owned
about 1000 looms. The membership was reported to be between 350 to 400 . The society also made available read Prames at Rs. 8 per Erame. Member weavers principally bendfitted from the Jame quotas during the control period. Every veaver depended upon these quotas for his 11velihood. It was reported that these quotas could, and many weavers did, be sold for double their price, making a net profit of Rs. 20 per bundle over the controlled price. No further details nop records were available regarding the cooperative society at Chitapur. No attempts were made to form a cooperative weavers' society at Halwap.

Three to fous years prios to the survey year, there was yet another method of getting yarn. The method was called the Savkari system. Under this the Savkar, who generally ran a cloth shop, distributed bundles of yarn to weavers and got the sarees woven according to prespribed lengths and patterns. The individual weaver under this system was relieved of his vorpies of raising capital to purchase Jarn. On the other hand he was reduced to the $s$ tatus of a skilled worker who produced saris according to the demands of the savkas and got his vages.

The Savkar gave different types of yam required for borders etc. and specified the patterns. The weaver undertook all the processing of yam and weaving and returned the specteled num jer of woven saries to the Savikar for the payment of an agreed sum whi ch constituted the wages of the weaver as well as his family that holped him in the different processes such as stretching, sizing, firph winding oto. It was reported that a weaver got Rs. 4/-per saree under this system Poup to Ilve years back. During the survey Jeapg the
have bem discontrime aysten was reported to be-ebsent because of the fall
in prices of sarees and consequently in the wages per saree. The wages for weaving one saree, requiring labour of nearly two days, were reported to be in the neighbourhood of Re. , 1-8-0 during the survey year. The weaver was mainif responsible for the discontinuation of the system, as the wages which had dropped far below his expectations, vere not acceptible to him.

The gradual decline of the eccupation, of which the discontinuation of the Savkari system was an outcome, was attributed to a number of reasons. Principal among these were the increased competition from mill made textiles and cheaper and better looking sarees from other weaving centres, and the entry into the occupation by other castes such as Huslims, Holers and others which brought down the wage rates in the occupation sharpiy. This decline was manifest in the ${ }_{L}$ functioning of 25 to 30 looms only out of the 166 hand looms in the village during the survey jear. Weavers and weaving labour thereby displaced vere seported to have turned to quary labour, hotel service, supply of water, agricultural labour, small selling etc.

Before it was actually woven into a fabric, the yarn had to pass through a number of preliminary processes. These comprised of loosening and winding, warping, sizing; beaming, foining and twisting the threads together and pirph-winding for the shuttle.

Almost all the processing was done by the weaver. family jointly during the year of survey. Certain prom cesses such as loosening and whiding and pinm-witiling * were exclusively done by females of the household. Wheels or Charichas of a crude make prepared locally were used for the purpose. The above mentioned processes being vertical In operation; production of a continual nature alone
assured adequate employment for all the members of the family. In its absence, other members of the family had to remain idle once the yam was beamed and the weaver began weaving. This type of unemployment existed during the survey year due to the discontinuation of the Savkari system and the consequent shifting of the responsibility of finding the finance to purchase yarn on the wfeaver himself and the general decline in demand for sarees made at Nalwar. As observed earlier a major seçtion of the labour engaged in the preliminary processes were therefore divirted to other types of labour work.

The yarn was first loosened and wound on Charkhas in order to ensure uniformity of tension of the thread. The yarn was then sized i.e. treated with conjee with a thick brush to make it stiff. Both males and females in the family were engaged in this operation. Sizing was followed by warping and beaming with an intermediate operation called reeding. After sizing the warp was roled into a ball and its threads were inserted through dents of the reeds in the reed frame or fani. The sized warp was streched on the Irame of the loom and was keprip in the ball form while the weaving went on. Warpbeams were not in vogue at Nalwar. The preparation of
 oniy.

The remaining half of the bundle was prepared into weift by gibing it additional spin and winding it on pirns. This process palled pirn-winding. The yarn used for veiting was not sized. The pirns were inserted into the tounges of the shuttle before veaving commenced.

One of the important processes namely that of dyeing yarn was not done at Nalvar. Dyeing of yam was a speciallsed industry at Sholapur and weavers from Nalwar
invariably got their jam dyed at Sholapur. Ready-dyed yarn was not preferred as it was said to be weak. The weavers purchased white yarn, mostly of a tried and recognised brand and got it dyed. It was reported that the dyeing houses Lkept such yarn for sale. The difference between ready-dyed yarn and that got dued was one rupee per bundle of 10 Lbs.

The equipment of a weaving family consisted of the hand loow, charkhas or crude winding wheels for loosing and winding and pirn-winding, wooden panks, sizing brushes, and pirms.

All the looms were of the Ply-shuttle pit loom type and were made outside Nalwar, mainly imported from Sholapur and Gulbarga. Local carpenters were useful only for minor repairs. A new loom cost Rs. 75 inclusive of all parts. Brushes and pirns cost between 35 to 40 rupees per establishment. The working age of a loom was reported to be about 20 yearsp, with repair charges of Rs. 10/- per year on an average. The repairs of the fani or redi-frame was done by intenerent men who specialised in the work. They brought the reeds and repaired the frames at annas six for ifting 60 reeds - during the survey year. The charges four to five years back were reported to be annas ten for fitting of 60 reeds, when the industry was in a better state. These repairers fisit the village two to three times a year.

The average pattern of costs for different processes was as below for 20 count yarn used for both warping and welting.

| Price of 10 Lbs. (Coloured) | Rs. $24-0-0$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loosening and winding | Rs. | $1-12-0$ |
| Sizing | Rs. | $1-4-0$ |
| Beaming etc. | n | $0-900$ |



Six Sarees could be produced from one bundle of yarn, the cost price of each amounting to about Rs.5-12-0 per Sarie, which was the price at which local dealers purchased them. The rates for different preparatory processes were current labour rates for them. Thus where the weaving family undertook all the preparatory processes, it received an income of about 12 annas per garee exclusive of weaving wages at the rate of Re. 1-00 per Saree. The average time taken to weave a Saree was reported to be 12 hours or about one and a half day's labour. The day for wage rate per/weaving thus actually amounted to annas ten to eleven per day whicn compared to the wage rates of other types of labour was much less. The wage-rate changed slightly with the type of yarn used, higher counts generally fetching a slightly higher rate.

Marketing of Sarees produced at Nalwar was done in two distinct ways. The methoe generally preforred was to sell the produced Sarees to local dealers on a wholesale basis. This entailed a loss, to the veaver of 6 to 8 annas per sarfe. This margin being kept as the profit of the dealer himself. The quick turnover however released the capital smak in the finished goods and enabled the weaver to maintain continual production and employment. Small setail selling was also done but it was reported that such selling presumed a greater holding capacity which was largely absent. The weaver could wait for 3 to 4 weoks after which he had to dispose off the production at a reduced price.

The second method was to sell the Sarees in the weekly markets. This method was followed by small
producers who produced six or eight sarees per week and sold them in the weekly market themselves. The selling weaver undertook the risks of price iluctuations principally arising due to increase in the number of small sellers, many of them visiting the Nalway weekly market for the puypose and the increase in supply. A small local custom however was assured to the local weaver as he was known in the village.

Sarees produced at Nalwar faced severe competition from those produced at more known weaving centres and imported by local dealers. Sarees imported from distant places like Jamalmadghe (200 miles), Macharlad (100 miles) and nearer places like Yargat and Aquddhalli (about 30-40 miles) were reported to be much cheaper, the difference being one and a half to two mupees persfaree. The ability of such low price-quoting was attributed to use of plain cotton yam or artificial silk in borders, lower dyeing costs and better and more attractive desi gning. Weavers at Naiwar used real jas which Lncreased the costs. According to the opinion of a weaver; the weavIng industry at Nalwar suffered from lack of organisation and enterprise, absence of improved designing or production of einer material of higher counts. Assured of a minimun custom logaliy and within a radious of ten to fifteen miles, the weavers stuck to their traditional system of producing coarse sarees of acceptible designs. Ioçal custom mainly composed of small cultivators and vage-earners required the sarees to be thick; sturdy and of 2 gross dark design and colour which absorbed dast and dirtp whout showing it.

Competition from millamade cloth was present only In men's wear namely dhoties, shirting and the like. Stray attempts to produce: these, known locally as 'white goods' proved to be unremunerative due to increase
costs of weaving, use of yam of finer counts and the preference of mill-made goods which were ligher, cheaper and uhiter.

The table below gives the incomes reported by the families engaged in the occupation, grouped into three categories. The incomes are classified according to sources and their percentages to the total.


The disparity between the two principal groups is evident. While the average per family earnings in the occupation of the weaver familles were RS. 553.5, they were Rs. 269 for the weaving labour families. While the dependence on this occupation of the Pirst two groups was 59\%, about $26 \%$ of the income coming from trading in cloth, the weaving labour families depended on the occupation to the extent of $80 \%$, about $13 \%$ of the income coming from small
trading; pursued by only two families. The number of additional occupations itself may serve as an indication of the economic condition of the third group.

## Ouaryying:

Quaprying for lime and stones is one of the principal non-agricultural occupations of the Gulbarga district. Mme and stone quarries zielalong the pailway Foute from Gulbarga to Secunderabad via Wadi. In the Chitapur tahsil there are four railway stations, Shahabad, Wadi, Nalwar and Chittapur, all havIng small or large quarries. The quarries at arcer Shahabad are known for the slab-stone exported all over Indla for purposes of flooringl There is a syndicate of quarry-owners at Wadi which functionsdithe marketing organisation of the quarry-owners. The quaris is at Nalwar and at Kollur, five miles away from Nalwar on the bank of the Bhima river and known for were its blue slab-stone, are menbers of the syndicate.

The exdstance and location of these quarries and a the availability of slabs and building stones locally had made the residents in this tract use stones for a number of purposes. Stones were not only used for for the plinth and walls but also for roofing, for which generalty undressed slabs of a thinner type verekused. Small tanks for storing water for the household as well as for the cattle vere also prepared from thicicer stone slabs. The flooring of the houses was generaily made of slabs. Stone was also used for preparing grinding wheels for hand grinding of corn.

A major portion of the production of slabs and rega was however exported. It was in constant
demand from places like Bombay, Poona, Sholapur etc. and constituted one of the main items of export in addition to providing employment to a number of persons.

The quarry at Malwar was actually situated on the outskirts of the village Sugur, included in the survey-cluster. The quarry was locally known as the Sugur quarry. It was, in its economic relationship, closer to Nalwar as the owner atayed in the place;: also of those who were employed at the quarry, $L^{s t a y}$ ad at Falwar, the village Sugur reporting only fur.wozkers.

A small quarry situated within a furlong from the Nalwar Gaothan was also functioning during the survey year and produced building atone for local consumption only.

The present owner of the Sugur Quarry was a Marwari by caste, who migrated to Nalwar ten years prior to the surver year for the specific work of managing the small quarry at Nalwar. He took over the management of the Sugur Guarry in 49-50, when it was under his mincle. On the termination of the lease, he took over the management of the quarry. The rights to work the stone quarries were anctionad by the State for a period of 5 years previousif. In 1952, the term of period was extended to 20 years. The quarry, by that time had been developed toy the present owner to a considerable extent. He has been managing the quarry since 1952 under the new lease, which is the name of the Wadi Mariseting Co. Ltd., of which he 18 a member. He pays a royalty of Rs. 360 annually, which is collected in the name of the Wadi Manketing Co. Itd.

Production 1.e. quarrying of stone was done principally on contract basis. The owner reported that payment on time-basis, in practice before the present lease, was found to be unprofitable as the quarry, which had considerably developed, came under the purFiev of the fac̣tory act. This meant a vorking day of eight hours and a wage rate of Re, 1-8-0 and Re, 1-0-0 for males and females respectively. The quarryowner working under the Wadi syndicate on a quota system could not afford ${ }^{i / 2}$. It aleo meant closure of the quaris as soon as the quota rigure of production was reached.

During the survey year, quarrying was done an a contract basis, under which each operator was paid preseter mines according to his output at a peontract rate. This rate was different for quarrying different types of stones and slabs, as also different types of work available In the quarry. For taking ${ }^{\text {ont }}$ slab-stone of $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $1{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ thick, the rate was Rs. 2-8-0 per 100 sq. feet. The average daily production of one operator came to 100 sq. Leet, an expert worker producing 150 to 160 sq. feet of slab-stone and earning a wage of Rs. $4-0=0$ a day. This work, of an expert nature and hard manual labour work, was invariably done by Vaddars, a tribal people who had specialised all types of work - concerning stone and earth, quarrying, dressing, pokishing, transporting etc.

Production of reza or thicker building stone was also paid on contract basis at the rate of Rs. 35 per 1000 square feet. This work was harder and the builaing stone blocks had to be taken out of the waste, 1.e. uneven layers of stone that had to be cleared before the even layers of slab were reached. Operators producing reza reçived on an average, a rate of Re. 1-8-0 according the output.

The third type of woris avallable on the quarry was carrying. This was done by females by headioads. The produced stones had first to be carried from the place of production to the place where they were stocked and stored in the open. From there they were to be loaded into the truck for transforting them to the railway station. All this work was done by females at a fixed rate of 12 annas per day.

The pablshing of the slabs was done after the slabs were transported to the station, n $^{3}$ miles away from the mines. Polishing was done by hand, by rubbing tof/slabs on one another with sand and water in between. This work was done by females, who were paid at the rate of 12 annas per day.

The labourers who load ${ }^{2}$ and unload ${ }^{2}$ the slabs from the truck vere paid according to the number of trips made
the truck-makes to the railway station and back. The average eaming of these labourers was Re. 1-2-0 per day. There were five such labourers.

In addition to the above who were mainly paid on a contract basis, there was a staff of five persons who were paid on a time basis. These were two munims or clerics, one to maintain accounts of production and payment of wages and the other to look to the des-
 and an operator of the oil engine installed on the quarries for pumping out water which flooded the quarries when deeper layers of stone were tenched, reached.

In addition to the above staff, there were two mukadams or supervisors, one at the quarry and the other at the station, the latter to $s u p e r v i s e ~ t h e ~$ vork of polishing the slabs before loading them into vagons.

The table below gives the types of operation, the rates of payment, the numbers engaged etc. of labourers on the quarry.

TABLS 4.2 Types of operations, numbers engaged and rates of payment of the Sugur Quarty.

| Type of Operation | Mo. wortx- <br> 2ng on the quarry | Terms 05 pay ment | Rate of payment. | Average daily earn ing per person. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

I Operators:

| 1 quarryinf slabs | 28 | Contract | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rso } 2-8-00 \\ & \text { per } 100 \\ & \text { sq. } 100 \end{aligned}$ | BS 9 -8-0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Production of Reza or building stone | 30 | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs } 35=0=0 \\ & \text { per } 1000 \\ & \text { sq. ft. } \end{aligned}$ | Bs. 1-8-0 |
| 3 Carrying on head of stone slabs | $\stackrel{20}{(f e m a l e s)}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bso } 0-12=0 \\ & \text { per d\&y } \end{aligned}$ | PS. $0-120$ |
| 4 Truck-loaders | $\stackrel{5}{(m a l e s)}$ | Daily <br> Contract | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Revo- } 3-0 \\ & \text { per trip } \end{aligned}$ | RSo 1-2-0 |
| 5 Polishing | $\stackrel{10}{\text { (females) }}$ | Daily | $\cdots$ | Rs. $0-120$ |

## II Permanent Staff:

| 1 Munims | 2 | Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Truck driver | 2 | $\ldots$ | As, 65/- |
| 3 Truck cleaner | ${ }^{1}$ | * | Ps, 25/ |
| 4 Engine Driver | 1 | $\cdots$ | Bs. 40/\% |
| 5 Mukadams | 2 | " | Pse 30/- |

Based on the above rates, the weekly wagembill of the entire staff amounted to Rs, 800-0-0 approximately. The owner reported that an addition ${ }_{h}$ expenditure of Rs. $200 /$ - had to be inçurred hy way of crude oil; petrol and other expenses. Thus the weekly expenses came to Rs. 1000/*..

The payment to all staff was made weekly on the veekly mariset day, after calculating the work done by
each and dequepting the advances taken by the labourers. The owner feported outstanding advances to the extent
saven coisht. of 5 thousand mapees advanced to the operators
 stones.

In this regard, mention must be made of the practice of such stone-workers changing their owners frequently. The work being of a specialised naturet needing expert handling of the tools as well as the slabs produced, these operators ware in constant demand in round about quares asmo whe
about quarries. They ${ }_{\text {agree }}$ to leave their current employer on condition that the new employer pais all the dues they owed to the previous employer. Such advances rida into hundreds of rupees. The owner of the Sugur impost Quarys reported upestod of some operators on this basis sere were and hence the large figure of advances that aze due to him by these. The owner also reported that the habit of taking out large advances hasd almost begome a habit adwam with them. These (Increase at the rate of a thousand rupees annuallf on the aggregate. The weekly payment if was done by deducting a part of theseadvances according to the circumstances and needs of the labourers as a general practice.

The quarry was worked for 6 months onty during the year from Kovember to May. During the raing seasons it gote gote flooded with water which has to 16 constantly pumped out. A 5 H. P. Petarengine and a pump bad been installed on the quarry which was befare attended to by one dxiver constantiy. During the summer, the engine and the pump were was used for about 3 hours a day.

As the work of taking out stone slabs was done on a contract basis, the owner of the quarry did not have any need to own any of the quarrying implements such as
crow-bars, hamers, chisels etc. These were owned by the operators themselves. The implements required by an Individual operator were reported to cost about is. 50/If newly purohased. The approximate value of implements possessed by each was estimated between 25 to 30 mupees. The owner of the quasry reported payment of advances for purchase of implements.

The equipment of the quariy owner consisted of one crude oil engine and pamp for taking out water, the Value of both being placed at Rs. 2700. The engine was used 3 hours during the sumer and 12 hours during the monsoons, the operative costs amounting to Rs. 1620 per yeas. A repait expenditure of Rs. 450 was reported by the owner for major repairs, replacements and overhaning $\alpha$ in spite of the fact that he himself can cepair minor breakdowns.

The quarg owner also owned a trucis which was pure chased secondhand at Rs. 5000/- in 1950. An expenditure of Rs. 2000 had to be undertaken in addition. During the yeap the truole was valued at Rs. 3000. The trucik made 6 trips per day to the railway station and back. During the previous two years it was also being operated during the nights. The annual expenditure was reported an Herion accumts was Fepairs Rs. 6000, replacements Rs. 2100 and tax Rs. 350 . A special mechanic had to be palled inLcase of major of his breakdowns, hite each visit costing the owner about Rs.80. He had to te called trice in a year. The running costs çame to about Rs. 500 per year.

Under agreement with the Wadi Aariketing Co. Itd. the quarry had a quota to produce $1,80,000$ square feet of slab stone annually. The ownex however reported that the people connected with the Wadi Marketing Co. Itd., did not act according to the conditions laid down and in the agreement and purchased less than half the sanctioned
quota with the sesuit that the owners finding that he had large $s$ tocks on hand, began to deal directly with sher Lad of cilue customers and took independent contracts to supply slab-atone ${ }_{2}$ fo Them.

The estimate of annual production during the pree Fious year was placed at $3-1 / 2$ to 4 lakhs gq. feet. An attempt to calculate the proportion of different items of expenditure, based on reported eigures $\dot{j}_{\alpha}$ given $\dot{\text { g the }}$ following.

```
Total output of the
quarry working for 6 months.
```

Hs. 42000' @ Rs. 12/- per 100 sq. $f t^{\circ}$

| Labour | Rs. 17000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permanent <br> Establishment | Rs. 10800 |  |
| Repairs and <br> Replacements. | Rs. | 3200 |
| Running costs   <br> (Petrol, oil otcs)  1600 <br> Royalty Rs. 360 |  |  |



It may be seen from the table that payments to quaryy labour amount ${ }_{L}$ to $40.5 \%$ of the total turnoter, 25.7\% on transport and managenent (Permanent estabilshment), 7.6\% on repairs and replacements, 3.8\% on FunnIng cost (petrol otc.) and.9\% as royalties. A net proIft Ifgure of Rs. 9000 or about 2l. $5 \%$ resulted. The profit reported by the quarry owner was slightly depressed to Rs. $8000 / \%$. It may be mentioned that the total production has beon taken at 3-2/2 lakhs of sq. It. A higher figure of production naturaily leads to a preater pergentage of profit.

The pates quoted were f.O.F. Nalwar station, the fireight and subsequant oharges being worne by the customer. An additional charge of Rs. 15/- per wagon
load is made on and above the quotations by way incidental expenses. During the year the average rate was Rs. 11-8-0 per 100 Sq. feet. the actual rate ranging from Rs. 10-12-0 to Rs. 12-4-0. An advance of $25 \%$ of the indent was taken from new customers. This advance was not talcen from old customers, who in addition, were allowed breakage during transit. payment was received in hundis on presentation of the railway receipt.

The smaller quarry on the outskirts of the Gavthan of Nalwar mainly produced reza or building stone for local consumption. The owner was not prepared to give any detalis as he did not consider it a regular occupation, since the quarry was worked intermittantly for a total period of 2 to 3 months in a year. The owner was Marwari by caste and had a ilour mill, which was the main occupation reported by him.

The quarry was worked by three Vaddars for taking out stone. There were in addition 7-8 labourers who cleared the waste, loaded the ready blocks on carts etc.

The wagemates wore reported to be slightly lower than those paid at the Sugur Quarry. . Work was done on a contract basis, the contract woris inciuding the clearwaste Ing of waye and transport of stones on builock carts owned by two of three waddars. The clearing etc. was done by the womenfolk of the Vaddars.

The reza produced in this quarry was mainly used for construction work. The total turnover was estimated to be between 3 to 5 thousand rupees.

The general family schedule reported 22 families ongaged in quarry labour vorik; 17 reporting it as their main occupation and the remaining as thoir subsidiary occupation. - The caste and income distribution is given in the following table.


| Vaddars | 12 | 8875 | 2 | 1660 | 10535 | 2 | 700 | 11235 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Muslims | 3 | 1050 | 3 | 560 | 1610 | 6 | 2110 | 3720 |
| Kabbaliger | 1 | 360 | - | - | 360 | - | - | 360 |
| Sonar (Coldsmith) 1 | 225 | - | - | 225 | - | - | - | 225 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { TOTAJs } & 17 & 10510 & 5 & 2220 & 12730 & 8 & 2810 & 15540\end{array}$

The dependence of the Waddar families $h^{2 s}$ thete traditional occupation can clearly be seen. OnIf two Vaddar Pamilies roportad it as a subsidiary occupation, both of them doing cultivation on a joint basis with Kabbaliger cultivator families. One of the two families reported ownership 6 acres and a cultivated holding of 18 acres of 1and.

The ffuslims and other castes considered this type of labour asahard ${ }^{2}$ and of a lower type, to be accopted only when driven by circuinstances. The six Muslim families ongaged in it, 3 as main and 3 as subsidiary, depend, on the total, to an extent of $43 \%$ on this occupation, the remaining $\$ 57 \%$ srom other types of laboup work such as agricultural labous, miscellaneous labour and weaving labour.

## Processing of Foodesturfs:

The survey town of Nalwar iles in a tract chiefly growing cereals and oilseeds. The principal cereal was Jowar grown in about 44\% of the cultivated area while
groundnut was the main oil seed grown in about 238 of the total area and was the second largest crop of the town. Safflower also used for extracting edible oil used in daily food, was the second impll seed grown mainly as a subsidiary crop with Jowar and occupying neariy 48 of the total cultivated area.

All cereals except rice and all oil seeds have to be processed before consumption. Mechanisation of this processing by means of flour-chalckis and oil rotaries run by oil-engines was started during the eariy years of the second world-war, which initiated a period of larger profits due to sẹzeity of goods. Investment smechanical equipment of the flour mills and 011 mills was thus made possible.

Prior to the above period, flour milling was entireif cone at home by the wouenfolk of each household. This practice still prevailed to the extent of 30 to $40 \%$ ef in Nalwar according to the estimate of the owner of a floas mill. The rest bought their cereals to the flour mills for grinding. In the other two villages, Sugur and Kulkundi, the old practice of hand-grinding still continued. Both the villages did not have any flour mills. Fiour milling at Nâlwar was done entirely for local consumption only.

011 pressing on the other hand had been a specialised artisan service, requiring a certain capital equipment such as the oil press and bullocks. The traditional practice of residents was to take their oil seeds to the oilman and get 011 extracted. In addition to the payment in cash, a small quantity of oil and oil caide was jiven to the oflman. Though thete were three ofl-presses operating at Nalwar during the survey year producing about a third of the oil requirements of the town, the above system had almost vanished except ${ }^{\prime+\pi}$ fow households getting their
requirement of oil pressed by the oilmen. It was reported that fultivators of groundnut sold their produce in the market and purchased oil from the grocers. There were no 011 mills or presses in either Sugur or Kuthmai, the residents of these two villages purchasing oil from local gro-. cers or at Naluar, on the weekly market day. A large part of the mechanically extracted oil by the 011 mills was exported to the bigger urban places while all the oil produced by the oll presses was used locally or sold in Found-about villages.

Data regarding these two typetio of processing on are separately presented in greater details subsequentiy.

## Flour mills:

According to the general family schedule, there were two Marwadi families runaing floor mills at Nalwar.
 whotwhed an Lingayat Adatya wethea/oil mill and the other by a Musilm who reported machine repairs and fitting as his oecupation. The dquslim also had two oil pressing rotaries operated by the angine installed for the chakki. The two Marwadis reporting floor mills did not report any subsidiary occupation.

All flour-mills had assistants except one owned by a Marwadi family. The woris consistsdof 6 to 8 hours a day with a recess of two to three hours during midday. The ciec salaries paid to the assistants who in addition to working the chakki and huller also attended to the ongine, kept the preaises clean etc., differed from Rs. 40 to Rs. 60 per month.

The angines in three out of the four mills were principaliy meant for running the flour chakcis and as such were smallep < varying. in power botween 10 to 15 H. P.

All these were second hand engines purchased in places like Golgarga or Sholapur. These engine needed frequent repairs and replacements, an average of Rs: 300 being spent on these repalrs and replacements $\alpha$ M The total value of all the three engines was estimated at Rs. 9000 , at the average value of Rs. 3000 each, depreciation costs being offset by regular replacement of parts. In the case of the fourth flour-mill which was jointly run by oil the Lingayat owner in his efour mill, the engine, meant to drive 4 oil rotaries and a decorticator, was a more powerful one of 23 E.P. and was vaiued at Rs; $6000 /$ - . The engine owned by the Muslim flour-mill owner was also used to run two oil-rotaries, one of which was out of order during the survey year.

All the plour-mill establishments possessed two total grinding machines on Plour-chaikhis,/ymax investment in these being valued at Rs. 2000/- at the average value of Rs. 250/- per chakki. A chekki, it was reported gave service for about 20 years, the grinding stones being replaced annually. The replacement cost of the grinding 8 tones and other wearable parts was estimated at Ro. 80 to RS. $100 / \mathrm{per}$ machine.
hí
All flour mills also had hullers for dehusking paddy. The hullers were installed fivthas an addition and were not worised continuously, the output of paddy of the survey villages being comparatively small. The hullers vere purchased second hand at Rs. 100 to 150. . These were princlpally installed for dehusking the paddy of regular customers as in the absençe of hullers, the customers vere likely to shift their custom to another flour-mill having a miller.

The rates were mare or less uniform in all the flour-mills at Rs. 2/- per maund of grain. A chaiki ground about 6 to 7 maunds of grain on a full day thus
bringing a collection of Rs . 12 to 14. The flour mills were being operated between 10 to 12 months of the year accepting on new moon and festival days and when they wers out of order. Business vas weported to be slack diuring the 3 months of the rainy season:

The muslim Plour-mill owner reported machine repairs as his main occupation. Excepting the Plour mill mun alongwith, -the oil mili, having a trained mechanic in its servi\&e, all the filour mills had minor repair service done locally by the-above-mentioned muslim-mechante. He was invited by villagers roundabout Nalwar within a radius of 10 to 1 g miles for such work, which fetched him about Rs. 100 per year. For major Fepairs and replacements mechanios from places like Gilbarga or Raichur had to be invited.

As mentioned earlier, grinding of grain in the flourmill was done entirely for local consumption. No large scale milling for purposes of efther sale <export was done.

The table below gires a proportionate distribution of different costs and income as reported by the fusinm Plour-mill owner, reporting an investment of about Rs. 6000.

TABLE: $4.4 \quad$ Proportion of different items of expenditure, and income.

Avorage annual Percentage
Items expenditure. . to total.

| Fuel | 3500 | 51.78 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Personnel | 1300 | 19.23 |
| Repaifs and Replace: <br> ments <br> Incone | 460 | 6.80 |
| Total | 1500 | 22.19 |
|  | 6760 | 100.00 |

The table reveals that nearly $82 \%$ of the total turnover was spent in fuel. Though the pirincipal item of expenditure, the reported proportion appears much inflated. In this context, it was reported that this abnormal increase was due to three reasons. The engine was an old one and as such consumed more fuel. Secondly it had to be kept running even on days of comparatively little custom thus resulting in wastage of fuel and thirdly the transport costs were heavier as oil had to be brought from places like culbarga or Raichur. The Musilm owner, a mechanif by profession and also running the flour mill for eleven years, reported that the cost preportions were broadly identical in the other two flour mills also.

The reported gapital investment and net incomes in the case of the three ilourmills are given in the table 4.5 below. The fourth flour mill was, as mentioned earlier; In the nature of a subsiciany investment and occeupation of the Lingayat oil-mill owner.

|  | TABLE8 | 4.5 | $\frac{\text { Investment and }}{\text { reported }}$ | Incomes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caste |  | Investment | Reported Income | (Nett) |
| 1. | Mamvadi |  | 4000 | 800 |  |
| 2. | Maywad |  | 8000 | 1200 |  |
| 3. | Mus21m |  | 6000 | 1500 |  |

The rate of returns on investments; 20, 15 and 25 per cent respectively w in the case of the above three flour mill owners; amounts to 19.48 in the total. A subsidiany income of Rs. 100 by way machine repairs was reported by the Ausilm. The two Maswadis did not report any subsidiary occupation.

## The oil mill:

There were two oil-mills in Nalwar out of which
only one was functioning during the survey year. The other, it was reported, had been closed for the previous four years owing to losses incurred by the owner, who did not live in the town. ${ }^{\text {ghe }}$ four mill, zun by the 骨husilm oomer also had two oil rotaries run on the engine of the flour mill. One of the two rotaries was out of order for the provious 4 years.

The oil mill that was functioning during the survey year was owned by two partners both of whom reported cultivation as theip main occupation. The two partners vere relatives and owned in addition an adat and a grocery -ifg shop. The reporting partner managed all the establishments.

The 011 mill was purchased by the current owner one and a half years prior to the survey year. The former owner belonging to Yadgif decided to shift the area of his operations and hence sold the mill, which was not functioning profitably. The purchase price was ifed at Rs. 25,000, ingluding the building, bousing the mill. prices of individual items of machineny were not thereSore avallable.

The oil mill had a 29 H.P. Cordon 011 engine capable of operating 4 oil rotaries and a groundnut decorticastor. There were in addition two flour-chakcis and a holler as mentioned earlier. During the previous year an expenditure of Rs. 2000/- was reported to have been incurred on the engine alone. The engine on a full working day consumed crude oil worth about 20 to 25 rupees.

The groundnut decorticator was operated to decorticate groundnut purchased to feed the rotaries as well as 1 "piece work of decortication onis. It decorticated about 500 bags (Pallas) of groundnuts on an average working day. Work of decortication not meant for the
mill amounted Lbetween 5 to 10 percent of the total work done. The decorticator, however, was used only when the supply of seed was exhausted and not daily. The value of the decorticator was estimated at Rs. 2000.

Crushing of oil seeds was done by rotarles which were mechanical ghanis operationally. An inclined rod rotated inside a bowl, the oil seed being crushed between the nod and the sides of the bowl. The productive capecity of such rotaries was reported to be about 8 to 10\% less than 0il-expellers, which were more costly and which teequired greater hotive power. The present value of the rotaries was estimated at Rs. 300 each, a new rotary costIng Rs. 500 during the survey year. The average consumption of one rotary was reported to be 8 to 10 maunds of groundnut seed, the pressing charges being Rs. 3/- per maund. The ofl mill had Sour rotarles, all of which were not operated regularis or continuously.

2 nofuslin Slour mill yoner atso had two such 011 rotaries, valued at Rs. 250/- and driven by the engine installed for running the flour mill. One of the rotaries was seported to have been out of order for 4 years. While the oil mill mainly pressed oil for sale, the Husilm flour mill owner operated the rotaries for pressIng oil seed brought to the mill by customers. He only gave the service and did not purchase oil seeds in his own for the purpose.

The personnill employed in the ofl will consisted of two mechanics, two clerks and 4 attendants in addition to coolles. A more detailed account of all these operativea is given subsequently.

As mentioned eariler, the oil mill owner also had an adat (Commission agent) shop in the town. This faciIftated the purchase of oil seeds, principally groundnut, at competitive prices in the market. The oil seeds
were purchased by the partner during his operations as adatiya for feeding the 01l-mill and the oil produced was sold. He this bore the risk of fluctuating prices of oil seeds, oil and the oil-cake, the last to a small extent.

The mill was run all the year round except during two months of the monsoions when the working was slack and intermittant, holidays and whenever the eingine broke down. As mentioned earlier all the four rotaries did not function regularly.alse. The effective working days were estimated at about 200 during the year.

The owner reported a loss of Rs. 15000 during the previous year, the second year of operation under the present owner. The principal reason according to him was the deçrease in the prices of groundnut and oil. The requirements of groundnuts had already been purchased at a higher price. The subsequent fall in the price of oil caused him a loss.

The table below gives the proportions of different costs. The total production was estimated at 2000 maunds of oil, the proportionate requirements of groundnuts being 4500 bags.


It may be seen from the table that processing costs that is the operative costs of the 011 mill amounted to 22\%. A further analysis of the proportions of the three major operative costs inter alla, shows that consumption of fuel occupies 55\%, expenses on regular establishment staff $29 \%$ and repairs and replacements 16\% of the total operational expenditure. The-propor-tions-of-expenditure or all-items-are-higher-than those seperted-by the flour mills.

The output during the year was reported to be 3000 (local) maunds of 011 and 7000 local maunds of oilcake, used for feeding cattle. These e were sold for Rs. 44,500 at Rs. 10 per maund per oil and Rs. 2 per maund of oil cake. . A net loss of Rs, 13250 resulted. The owner reported it to be in the neighbourhood of Rs. 15000.

Of the 3000 maunds of 011 about 750 were reported to be sold locally, the rest being sent to places like Shahabad, Wadi and Yadgir in barrels and bullock-carts. The transport charges were Rs, 3 per trip and were borne by $^{-1 / 2}$ dealer. Payment was generally made in cash, within a veek of receipts of the goods. All available data regarding the personnel engaged in mechanical processing tof foodstuffs other than the entreprenners or owners of business is given below.

In all 22 families reported employment in other ainin flour-mills or the oil mill as their occupation, 5 labour families reporting it their subsidiary occupation. The table below gives a distribution of these families according to categories of their employment.


It may be seen from the table that Muslimspredominate in all categories except that of 'clerks' or keeping of accounts. Lingayats suce come next, with two families engaged in the category of clerks and two as labourers.

A further analysis shows that excepting one, all the remaining five Musilim families in the category of technical personnel had either mechanical or fitting woric as the family occupation a generation back. The remaining single muslin family as well as the Laman family were doing agriculture in the previous generation.

It may be mentioned here that all technical personnel were trained by actual attendance and experience of machines, and-not-either-trained-in-technical-school or apprenticed in-bigger-instaliationst

Among the four families included under the category of clerks, the occupation during the earlier generation of the Brahmin family was medicine, of the two Lingayat
families service in bigger shops and of the Marwadi, family business.

In the case of the 10 labour families, the occupations during the previous generation were, weaving in the case of Ifve families, labour in the case of two and agriculture and business in the case of two and one respectively.
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Th table below gives the incomes earned in the occupation, according to the former categories and their proportion to the total.


| 1 Technical <br> Personnel | 8 | 5075 | 43.83 | 2 | 1) Machine work <br> 2) Labour | 825 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Clerks | 4 | 3850 | 33.25 | 2 | 1) Grocery <br> 2) Agri. | 925 |
| 3 Labour (Main occupation) | 5 | 1750 | 15.11 | 3 | 1)Agri. labour | 200 |
| 4 Labour (subsidiary occupation) | 5 | 905 | 7.81 | 5 | 1) Agri. <br> 2) Trading | 700 |
| Total | 22 | 11580 | 100.00 | 12 |  | 2650 |

It.may be seen that out of the total family income of the above 22 families, $81.4 \%$ is earned in the occupation and the rest namely $18.6 \%$ in other occupations, a large part of it from agricultural labour. The above table also
reveals that though the total income earned in by the technical personnel is highest, the per family income earned by the clerks is greater than that earned by any other group. The subsidiary or other occupations were mainif persued by other members of the reporting families.

## 041 Presses (Chanis).

Traditionally, hereditory oilmen pressed oil for the Village with the help of stone rotaries driven by bullock power. The custom was that whenever ail was to be produced, the cultivator went to the o1lman with the raw material i.e. oil seeds and waited white oil was being pressed. The remuneration was efther in cash or kind, an a nontunciltivating oilman generally preferring payment in kind. In addition to the wages, the oilman was also allowed to keep a small quantity of oil and oil cake for his own domestic use. The oilman made a small subsidiary income by selling this oil and oilcake. He, however, casessentially an artisan giving his services.

This character of the oilmans occupation had undergone a substantial change with the advent of mechanised production of oil, increased prices and monetisation along with changes in the habits of the people. Even that part of the home-grown oil-seeds that was generally kept aside for family consumption began to be sold for cash in the market, requirements of oil being purchased from the market market itself on weekly bazas days.

The mechanisation of oil pressing brought down the price of oil due to large scale operations on one hand and the larger yield of oil on the other. No data, however, cost fs available regarding the number of oilmen driven out of their hereditory occupation on account of this competition by oil-mills. A reporting oilman, however, made a serious complaint to this effect. The principal reason given by
hin was that people had ceased to appreciate ghaniproduced 011 and 011 cake and that they were buying 011 from retailers in small quantities.

These factors had substantially changed the chareafahain racter of the oflmen'sat Nalwar. He had ceased to be an artisan giving only service, but had emerged as a producer-com-trader, purchasing raw material on his own and selling the oil and oil cake in the market. He had begun to assume risks of fluctuating prices of the rai material and the produced goods.

There were three families engaged in this occupation, two of them being Lingayats and belonging to heriditory oilmen families and the third, a |uslify that had taken to this occupation in this generation. The family occupation of this family was reported to be sale of wood during the previous two generations. Out of the two Lingayat families one reported oil pressing as its aubsidiary occupation, cultivation being reported as the main occupation.

The two Iingayat families were related to each other, the head of one being a female and an aunt of the other family. As such there was a fettil lending of bullocks to the aunt's family for pupposes of drifing the oil press. The other Lingayat family surcit owned 4 bullocks. which were also used for cultivation of the Iamily farm. The Huslim family reported the ownership of one builock. Annual expenditure on a fair of maintenance of bullocks was reported to be Rs, 240/- -

The oll presses vere five in number, only three being in use duping the survey year. The Lingayat family doing cultivation as its main occupation owned three ofl presses out of which two were used. All the . oil presses were made of stone and were estimated to value Rs. 780 at Rs. 150/-per oil-press.

The boul of the atone presses had to be fitted with a wooden bowl which had to be replaced every year along. with all the other woodwork. Iron chaips used Lor tring the central rotating rod had to be replaced overy 6 years. The expenditure of repairs of the oil press amounted to Rs. 50/- on an average annually.

Both the Lingayat families had a servant to drive the bullock whereas the members of the Ausilm family did it themselves. The assistants were paid at is. 460 and 200 annually by the two Lingayat familioz. During the season of cultivation the assistant of the bigger family looked after the cultivation of the farm owned by the family.

The table ${ }^{4}$ below gives the turnover of an average year and the proportions of different costs. The data pertains to the business of the Iingayat Iamily posses ing three Chanis and 4 bullocks:

TABLE $4.9 \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Turnover and distribution of } \\ \text { proportionate costs. }\end{gathered}$


The pattern of operational costs appears to be somewhat similar to that of the oil mill, raw materials forming nearly $80 \%$ of the total costs. The proportions of expenditure personnel. and repairs are however substantially less than those of the ofl mill. It may
be noted here that the bullocks as well as the assistant In the oil presses did agricultural work when worksing oilfaen Grimen of the-press was slack. Secondly, repairs and replacements were done by local carpenters and not by imported technicians as m the case of the 011 mill.

The total quantity of oil produced was 300 local maunds and oil cake, 700 maunds. Value realised from selling these amounted Rs. 5250, thus leaving a net income of Rs. 720 to the family. The average income reported by the family however was Rs. 500.

The 011 presses operated for about 10 months of the year. Working was more brisk ger 6 months of the year beginning with Kovember-December.

Purchases of oil seeds vere done in small quantities in veokiy markets. The outlay on raw material purchases being small, the rist of price fluctuation was minimised. The oil was either sold in the premises, entor to the local grocers or peddaled in nearby villages. Quantities sold by pedding in amaller villages came to about 10\% of the total. There were no exports on a larger scale.

While both the Ifingayat families principally pressed ground nuts, the musilm family pressed mainly sufflower ofl.

The table below gives the total capital and incomes classified sourcewise seported by the three families.


| Lingayat | 1000 | 500 | Agriculture | 1000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iingayat | 500 | 250 | Agricultu- | 300 |
| Ferale head |  |  | ral Rent |  |
| of family |  |  |  |  |
| Musilm | 1700 | 1750 |  | 1300 |

'The table reveals the fact that though it was not its heriditory occupation, the Muslim family was solely dependent on this occupation, while both the Lingayat families depended on agriculture to a larger extent. Over the total income earned in the occupation amounted $57.4 \%$, the rest being earned in agmiculture.

## Tailoring:

There were 20 familles that reported tailoring as their main occupation. of these 14 reported talloring as a self-employed business; the rest reportinge wagework in tailoring. Another 8 families reported tailoring as their subsidiary source of income. of these 4 were wage-workers and 4 persuing the occupation as a subsidiary business.
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The table below gives the castemstructure of the above 28 families according to their category of operation done in the occupation.


| Shimpi (Darfi) | 3 | - | - | - | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bhavsar | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 9 |
| Muslims | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 15 |
| Brahmin | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL: | 14 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 28 |

The Shimpls were traditionally tailors and got their caste name as such. The Bharsars were dyers and
had taken to talloring when the heriditory occupation of dying became more localised in larger torns and urban places. None of the Muslim familles reported tailoring occupation in the previous generation. As can be seen from the table below, the Mrislim tailoring families vere either masons, agriculturiats, small traders or labourers in the previous generation. The father of the only Brahnin wage worker was employed in salaried service. The table brings out the fact that except 2 families hav-, ing tailoring as the family occupation a generation back, all the rest had taken to tailoring during the present generation.


The principal types of subsidiany occupations pursued by the tailors and wage workers were, selling of
readymate-to-wear cloths in the case four families and service in cloth shop, sewing of quilts etc. 7 families did not report any subsidiary occupation. In the case of these eight families reporting tailoring business or tailoring wage-work as their subsidiary occupation, the main occupations reported were, grocery and tea shop each in the case of two families, masonyy in two families, and small selling in the case of the remaining four families.

The craft was learnt generally locally, by workdng an as $\alpha^{\text {appprentice to established tailors. The apprentices }}$ Were neither charged any fees nor were they paid any apprenticeship sitipends or vages. The apprentice after learning the craft, began as a wage-woricer with the tailor under whom he learnt<and was paid his wages, mainly based as his daily output. One of the tailors, a Musilm by caste, reported that he acquired the craft by working as an apprentice in Gulbarga.

Though only four tailors gave preparation and selling of ready-to-wear clothes as part of their occupation, it vas reported that there were about ten tailors who mainly propared such clothes and sold them in the weekly market. The remaining tailors also prepared ready-to vear clothes to a small extent to take advantage of the demand existing in the veekly market.

The oloth-traders also kept sowing machines for preparation of ready-to-wear clothes, the cloth-dealer supplying the cloth. One of these, a Bhavsar by caste, reported the ownership of five machines. He undertook orders for such ready-to-wear clothes from Gulbarge and exported them four to five times a yeara

The type of work done is generaliy of a crude variety, the major portion of the custom being composed of poor and illiterate cultivators, farm labourers, quary Workers and tribals like the Lamans. Charges Por stiching clothes according to specific individuals measurement were higher by nearly 50 to 75\%. Thus a shirt made to order Was charged six annas, whereas the stiching charges for shirts prepared for the market were paid at a flat rate of 4 annas per shirt of three rupees a dozen.

Material for preparation of ready-towrear clothes, such as cloth, thread, buttons etce were mainly purchased at Gulbarga and Sholapur, whore cut-pieces that is
remnants from regular takas Lwere available at reduced prices. Credit to the extent of 500 rupees was reported to avallable at the above places.

The general family scheduled reported 28 sewing machines, almost all of which were second hand machines purchased at culbarga and Hyderabad. They were mainif Singer machines which were said to be very suitably for comercial production, rough handing to vage-workers and generaliy sturdy. of these 28 machines, 15 were owned as tuir by tailors with tailoring/main occupation, 8 by cloth traders and 5 by tallors reporting tailoring as subsidiary business. Ho wage woricer reported ownership of any machines. Excepting the cloth traders, purchases of machines were done on Instaiment basis.

Repairs and maintenance expenditure was reported to be between 40 to 60 rupees annualiyi in normal conditions.

As no detailed data regarding individual machines Were available and as the rate of depreciation and frequenw of replacements could not be ascertained the computation of the capital invested in the occupations could not be made. A general estimated value of Rs. 150 per machine accompanied with other tools of the trade such as the measuring tape, the pair of scissors etc. valued at RS. 5 per machine gives an investment of Rs. 4340. This may be taken to indicate the approximate magnitude of capital invested in the occupation, exciusive of furniture and fixtures, which at the rate of Rs. 50 per independent tailor main or subsidiary, amounts to Rs.900/-. The total investment is thus estimated at Rs. 5240.

From the two case studies made in order to have a more detailed idea regarding the operational aspect of tailoring, it was revealed that a major part of the total turnover namely about 45\% was done in the weekly market vinege of the teve of the ten tailors who were reported to be preparing ready-to-wear clothes for the market, six were reported to be taking their goods to other weekiy markets, such as Yadgir, Yergol, Kollup etce, of theten were reported to be pedding their goods through smaller villages within a radius of ten miles trom Nalvar. Such trading, including trading in other weekiy maricets was estimated, by a reperting tailop, to be in the neighbourhood of $30 \%$ of the total tumover. The remaining 25\% came from clothes prepared to order and to specifications.

The demand for clothes, though distributed fairly evenly all over the year mainly through the weekly markets, increased soon after the harvests, during the marriage season in Movember-December and March to May and the New Year day festivals. Sales ane done largely on
cash, thoush somet sellime
. the total, ware said to be indespensible.

There were no tailors in the other two villages, Sugur and Kulkundi, included in the survey cluster. These villages depended entirely on Nalwar for their requirements of clothes.

Mention must be made here of a different type of tailoring work, namely that of preparation of crude quilts reported by 11 musilm Fakir families, 3 reporting, it as their main occupation and the remaining as their were subsidiary occupation. Thege quilts are propared out of used and worn-out sarees/ and are done exclusively by vomen. The quilts are prepared to order, the sarees being provided by the consumer. 4 week's time det ceatwas required for preparing one quilt. The charges for preparing one quilt are from Re. 1-800 to 2/-, the average annual production per woman being 50 quilts. The thread pas is purchased by the producer localif and the expense to was charged to the consumer. The principal subsidiary occu-then-finitits was rubigions pation te/begging for which the male members of the household traveledfrom town to tom upto a distance of 300 miles and back. $\Delta l l$ vomen engaged in the preparation of quilts also work<as agricultural labourers during the harvesting season.
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The table below gives the incomes earned in the tailoring occupation according to operational categories.

Fibic 4.13 | occupation and |
| :--- |
| Operational category: |$\quad$ Income.

1 1. Tailoring main. 5680
2. Tailoring wage-work 1810
3. Tailoring subsidiary 900
4. Talloring subsidary wage-work 775 Total from tailoring.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Occupation and } \\
& \text { Operational category Inpome. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Carpentry.

This important artisan sorvice was restricted in Its iunction chiefiy to agriculture a 11 carpenters had attached cultivator households whom they served on the customary-payment basis. In spite of the growth of the village, intersing the carpenters continued to operate with theip traditional crude skill acis ingudred to he content themseives with the preparation and repairs of agricultural implements. The fact that carpenters

Were being brought to Falwar for spectalised and skilled woodworif required in house-construction, preparation of furniture etc.: denoted that the local carpenters had not acquired any new skills. In addition to the repair of agricultural implements, they prepared crude window frames, repaired hand-looms and did some polishing work.

There was only one traditional carpenter family belonging to the Panchal caste in Nalwar about 50 years ago. This family later split itself into three, all the three nov doing Baluta work chiefly. The old family had about 50 attached cultivator housoholds, the three carpenter families during the survey year reporting a total of 65 housoholds. A Bedar family that took to doing minor repairs of agricultural implements, later adopted it as its family occupation. A IIngayat family from Sugur and a Holer family from Kulkundi evolved likewise. The remaining families reporting carpentry as the family occupation, 6 from Nalwar, 2 from Sugur and one from Kulkundi had adopted the occupation during the present generation. The table below gives the castewise composition of all the families according to the occupation of the family one generation back. Excepting two families, one Laman family from Nalwar and the other a dhristian faidy from Sugur, all reported carpentry as the main family occupation. The two families reported agriculture as their main occupation.

Ercept the Marathe family, $2 l l$ followed some subsidiary occupation, mainly agricultural labour work undertaken by the women-folk 3 families, 1 panchal and 2 Bedars familles, -reported cultivation, all operations till sowing being done from them by cuitivator families to which they were attached. The two Bedar families earned a small part of their total family income by carting and onch the Laman families, by selling wood for fuel.

| E 4.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Nalwar. | Panchals | 8 | - | - | 3 |
|  | Bedars | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | Maratha | - | 1 | - | 1 |
|  | Lsman | - | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Muslim | * | - | 1 | 1 |
| 2 Sugus | Lingayat | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  | Christian | - | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | Kabballgas | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 3 Eulkunda Holer |  | 1 | - | - | 1 |

Baluta duties included the repairs of all agricultural implements of the attached cultivator household as vell as the preparation of certain new imple: ments annually for these households such as ploughs, harrow blades, yoke pins, handies of sickles etc. The vood required for preparing these new implements was supplied by the attached household, who had also to assist the carpenter while his work was being done. The preparation of a new plough, the principal item wres of Baluta was; required a day's labour, worth Rs. 2/in cash, as reported by a carpenter.

Apart from Baluta work; the carpenters mainly worked on a daily wage basis, whenever some repair work was to be done. The carpenter had to go to the place of work with his tools and do the repair work which consisted of repairs to furnitures, bullocis-carts,
hand loons, doors and windows etc. Fone except the Maratha carpenter reported preparation of implements of on other wood articles for sale in the market. Some carpenterf, however, prepared a fer sickle handles and sold them in the weekly market:

The Maratha carpenter specialised in the manufacture of bullock-carts. He had migrated from the Bidar district and settied in Nalwar to years ago. He did not do any Baluta worls nor reported any subsidiary occupation. He had purchased a set of instruments five years prior to the survey year for Rs. 200/©. He used $5-$ wher
prepared the /cart by himself. He had begun to manufacture carts only three years since an the present basis namely getting different parts done in' a pieceworic basis, the wood being supplied by him. He attended to the final assembly of the cart. A cart cost between Rs. 175 to Rs. 200 depending upon the quality of wood used and required about two weeks to prepare. It was sold for Rs. 200 to 250 , each cart bringing him a net Income of Rs. 30 to 35. He prepared en an average about 20 to 25 carts annually and did repair work in addition. He reported an average annual income of Rs. 600 from this occupation. The carts vere manufactured on order only which he got from villages within a radius of five miles.

The carpenters' tools and implements were mainly heredifary. The three hereditary (Panchal) carpenters possessed a larger set of tools than others, who possessed a minimum set of certain indespensible tools, such ${ }^{\text {as }}$ the sav, wooden hamer, chisels, planning block, wooden drill etc. These were replaced as an when they becane unrepairable and old. The replacement expenditure was estimated at Rs. 15 to 20 per year by a
reporting panchal carpenter. The repairs were made by the carpenters themselves, whenever possible. An expenditure of Rs, 10/- by way repairs and sharpening was reported.

The total value of implements possessed by the carpenters is estimated at Rs. 800 to Rs. 850 , Rs. 700 by carpenters of Nalwar and the rest by the 4 carmentors of Sugur and Kulkumai.

The work and payment under the daluta system were determined on the criterion of ownership of bullocks, the rate being 40 seers of Jowar per pair. All additioneal works was done on extra payment, preferably in cash. The Balata rate of 40 seers of Jowar per pair of ballonchs owned was reported to have been constant for long.

The payment had to be collected on the first day (stralivahan shaka) Renes of the Hindu year, by which time all 人 harvested and brought home. In normal years, the carpenter got his full payment. For this the carpenter to had to go to the house of the attached cultivator. A certain difficulty in collection as some cultivators kep
were reported to bafe-icopt on postponing payment, many a time only te impress on the mind of the Balutadar that he was under the cultivators ${ }^{-}$obligation. The dues however, were generally paid, arrears in a bad year being carried ever to the next year.

The payment of the fixed Baluta was mainly in Jovari, Come carpenters were also given small quantities of wheat, gram and gram a gesture of good will. The carpenters also visited the threshing floor of the cultivator and helped in its preparation whereupon he was given a bundle of stalks valued at about Rs, 2/-. Minor repairs to the sowing drill was rewarded with a couple of handfuls (about 1/2 seer) of seed.

The extent of the Baluta sisstem in vogue is presented in the table ${ }^{4 / j^{5}}$ below; which gives the total number of attached cuitivator households reported by the eappenters of the three villages and also its percentage to the total number of fanilies reporting cultivation as their main occupation.

TABLE 4.15 Extant of the Baluts System.

| V111ages | Total number of attached cultivator <br> households. <br> Total 170. <br> of culti- <br> vator <br> families <br> Percentage of attached families to, total. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nalwat | 129 | 390 | 33.1 |
| Sugue | 38 | 106 | 35.8. |
| Kulicumas | 30 | 52 | 57. ${ }^{i}$ |

It may be seen that the systen is in vogue to a greater extent in the smaller village of Sugur and is comparatively greatest in Kulkundi.

The Panchal carpenters vere reported to be united as a class, of errtsans. They refused to voric Por any cultivator who did not either pay the Baluta dues of 111-treated the carpenter attached to him. They were however, not much successful as some of the local blacirsmiths and other carpenters undertook to worix for defanlting the Lagriculturist. They wore spurious artisans and i worked for cash of Baluta, Indiscriminately. They were, according to the reperting heriditory carpenters, neither genuine camenters nor Balutedarse

Balutedari system was adhered to mainly for two Feasons. Firstly it Fetched an adequate income in kind and secondiy, there was no postponing of payment as would have been the case if the payment were to be made $\dot{d}$
cash. The adherence to the Baluta system was more of a practical measures rather than the continuaper of the oli systen because of it was a traditional one; though a certain measure of respect to the traditional system was observed. The carpenters always preferred cash work as, mider lurols curept, $\mathcal{L}$ he had to be under the obligation of the attached cultivatorp. He had no other rights or additional income of on spectal or festival days.
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The table below, gives the type of payment made to the carpenters by the cultivators selected for farm business study and is presented to illustrate the systerm.

TABLE 4. He Practice of Baluta payment to carpenters

anlturators
Two of the 18/from Nalvar actually did not make any payment but accepted the debt. of the 5 who did not report any Baluta payment to carpenters, two were Balutedars themselves afd paid in kind namely shoes and barbering service; two did not possess any ballocks one
and are got his worts done on acquaintance. of the 3 non-payers from Sugur, two got their work done on cash and one had kept his son as a carpenters apprientice and who did the repairs of the family implements. The table reveals the fact that the system of payment of stalks is gradually declining in ralwar proper.

The table below gives the proportions of incomes earned in Balutak in cash and from subsidiary occupations by the carpenters of NEiwar.

| TABLS 4.17 | classijcicinoin of <br> proportiong-of Incomes earned by carpenters of Nalwar. ( youqn) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baluta <br> recel- <br> pts <br> (\% age) | Cash Recelpts (dage) | Total <br> income <br> Prom <br> carpen. <br> $\stackrel{4}{45}$ <br> (\% age) | Other <br> income <br> (\$ ago) | Total |
| Panchals (Heridetory carpenters) | 44.3 | 32.8 | 77.1 | 22.9 | 100.0 |
| Others | 24.0 | 21.5 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 100.0. |
| Total | 29.7 | 24.7 | 64.4 | 45.6 | 100.0 |

(The 'cash receipts' for 'others' includes the Income of Rs, 600/- reported by the Maratha carpenter.)

The table reveals a comparative aspect of the custom of Baluta for the two groups of carpenters. The traditional panchal carpenters eam $44 \%$ of their total income in kind payments under the systen whereas the proportion earned hy the remaining comes to 24\%. Similariy the cash earnings from the occupation are more for the panchals than those earned by 'others'. The 'others' aparantly earned a greater part of their total income from occupations other than carpentry to the extent of $54 \%$ while this income forns oniy $22 \%$ in the case of the panchal carpenters. In this context it may be mentioned that a raporting panchal carpenter reported that all those in 'others', could do only elementary and crude type of work and minor repairs.

The three carpenters of Sugur earned about $38.8 \%$ of their total income from carpentary; mainly from Baluta work.

The actual earnings of the carpenters at the three villages is given in the table below.

TABLE $4.18 \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Incomes reported ky carpenters } \\ \text { according to source. }\end{gathered}$


|  | 2975 | 950 | 1250 | 300 | 5475 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nalwar | 2975 |  |  |  |  |
| Sugur | 875 | 725 | 475 | - | 2275 |
| Kulkundi | 550 | - | 20 | - | 570. |

## Blacirsmithy:

The blacksmith was one of the important set of artisans that rendered essential services to the cultivator, next only to the carpenter. However, he being a woricer in iron, there were comparatively fewer occasions when the cultivater commissioned his serVices efther for repairs or renewals. Iron implements such as spades, sickles, pickaxes etc. vere mow durable and compared to the total implement holding of the average cultivator formed orly a minor parta Though both the cultivator and the artisan continued to be interdependent, it was found, during the survey year, that this relationship had undergone a substantial change. The system of Baluta was found to be distinctiy duindiling in the case of this artisan. Though a number of cultivators, estimated between 40 to $50 \%$ of the total, continued to conform to this systern; there was an increase in the number of cultivators who elther got their work done for cash, purchased ready iron implements in the weakly mariset and who felt that there was no need to pay Baluta to the blacksmith.

The survey centre had eight blacksinith families, the other two villages reporting none. All the families were heriditory blacksaith families, seven reporting it as their main occupation and one as blacksmith labour or apprentice. Of the seven, five beionged to the centre, and were offshoots of the original thres blacksmith families 30 to 40 years back. Of the other two, one had migrated to Nalwar 25 years back and the other only 2 years prior to the survey year. The latter functioned as a Baluta artisan for 4 households along with four of the five 4 traditional families, one of these cutting himself off completely from the systera and working solely for cash. The other four vere the offshoots of two of the original families, each having split into two households.

Thus, during the survery year, seven did work in a Baluta basis while two worked for cash. The family reporting it as the subsidary occupation belonged to a blacirsmith, who had migrated to Nalwap and had died 10 jears back. The head of the present family was a voman, the wife of the deceased blacksmith, and the sond reporting eaming from blacksmithe labour was presumably as blacksmith's apprentice. They reported separate households.

The blacksmitins, in the main, were engaged in repairing or producing implements needed by the cultivator. The Balutedars had to repair all the iron. attachuents of the cultivators implements and to prepare a few new ones such as Khurpis (weeding knives), axes and yoke-pins every year. The above implements lasted for a period of 3 to 4 years. the-9ron and fuel for was given by the cultivator, the BaIuta payment covering only the expert services of the blacksmith.

Apart from this work, the blacksmiths produced crude articles like window bars, door bolts etc. and that wosk. earned between 10 to $20 \%$ of theip incorge from the-oesurpations They did not prepare ready implements for sale in the weekly maricet. Blacksmiths from nearby towns like Shahabad and Wadi brought such ready implements for sale at the Nalwar mariset. The family that had migrated to Nalwar in 1952 and working strictiy on a cash basis, reported fixing of iron rings to cart wheels oceupation. as a regular part of its manataris.

The tools and implements owned were heriditary and traditional in design. Principally they consisted of the furnace, an itew costing nearly one third of the total value, fire tougs, hammers and chisels. The total value of implements woned by the seven blacksmith famiwas lies is estimated at Rs. 700 at the reported rate of Rs. 100 per average hlacksmith family. The repairs were done mainly by the blacksmiths themselves and costp betweer 5 to 15 mupees on an average,per year.

The work and payment under the Baluta system were working awned determined th the oriterian of/bullocks/ $\alpha$ by the attached households. The rate was 32 seers of jowar per pair during the survey year and had been current for the last 5 or 6 decades.

The Balutedar blacksmith had to go to the cultivator for collecting his dues, which were, it was reported, postponed without any apparent reasons. Though a hi stalled and indespensible artisanf, the blacksmith was at the mercy of the cultivating households. The payments were made on or after Jgadi, the first day of the.
Hindu year when the Rabi crops were generally ready. Ith Some" armears, ware, it was rephated, always kupt. was-reperted thet-paycent-for-a couple-of paits of
builocks-was invariably kept-in arreans-in evopy years.

According to a reporting Blacksmith, the Baluta aystem did not function as it did before. The elders used to pay the Baluta dues regularis, punctually and In full, because they were conscious of the ralue fervices of the Balutedars. Diring the surver year, it was reported that people either purchased ready implements in the maricet or went to other towns to get their articles or ruairs proparads done.

The fixed Baluta payment was made in Jowar, almost exclusively. In a few cases, small quantitiesf rainging between 1 to 8 seers were reported to have been given to the blacksmith, as a gesture of goodwill.

The total number of attached cuitivator households reported by the five Balutedar blacksmith families amounted to 82. If these households are assumed to belong to the survey centre alone, the percentage of cultivators paying Baiuta to blacksmiths comes to 2i\% whereas the same in the case of carpenters was 33\%. Actually these households were distributed over all the three villages since the other two villages did not have any local blacksaiths and cultivators freportod Baluta payments to Nalwap blacksmith. The extent of Baluta practice in the case of blacksmiths was therefore much less than 21\%. Broadiy it worked out to about 15\%.

It was reported by a blacssuith. that there was no sense of unity amongst the blacksmiths on the question Baluta work and payment. If one refused to vork for any household because of short or non-payment, other blacksmiths did his work without hesitation. zin addition, the existance of two blacksmith families working exclusively for cash, the import of ready iron instruments by blacksmiths of Shahbad and Wadi and the earlier practice of some cultivators getting their work
done from blacksmiths belonging to other places, en emmulatively substantiate the fact that the Baluta system in the case of this artisan is on the decline.

The operation and extent of the Baluta systera could be more clearly understood by the reported Baluta payments made by, the 23 cultivators selected for farm business study. The table is given below.

TABLE $4 \cdot 19 \quad$| Practice of Baluta payments to |
| :--- |
| the blacksmith. |

| Village | No. of cultivators selected. | Ho. report ing Baluta payments. | No. not paying Baluta and reasons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | getting | 10 rea- |
|  |  |  | work | n to |
|  |  |  | done for | pay |
| Nelwar | 23 | 11 | 6 | 6 |
| Sugur | 6 | 1 | 5 |  |
| Kulkundi | 3 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Total: | 32 | 12 | 13 | 7 |

Of the seven who did not think that there was any need to pay Baluta to the blacksmith; 5 did not get ans work done from any blacksmith, one was himself a Madag balutedar paying the services of the blacksmith in his own services and the last reported purchase of ready instrucnents in the weekly market.
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The table below gives the total family incomes reported by the blacksmiths, and classified according to sources. The proportions of incomes from each source to the total is also given for all the blacksmiths as a group as also for the four resident families who had been working as Balutedar artisans.

| tabie $\quad 4.20$ | Incomes reported by blacksmith families and their proportions according to source. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | Income Irom the occupa- tion As Baiu- ta (in Cash cind) | Income from Land | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incorme } \\ & \text { Irom } \\ & \text { Agricul- } \\ & \text { ture } \\ & \text { labour } \\ & \text { work } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> family <br> income. |
| 1 Resident Blacksmiths (4 families working as Baluta artisan) | 6501150 | 500 | 300 | 2600 |
| Wage to total | 25.00 44.23 | 19.23 | 11. 54 | 100.00 |
| 2 Families working for cash (Inclusive of one family engaged as Black. saith labour) | 501100 | 150 | 200 | 1500 |
| \$age to total | 13.33 73.34 | 10.00 | 13.33 | 100.00 |
| 3 All Familles | 7002250 | 650 | 500 | 4100 |
| lage to total | 17.07 54.88 | 15.85 | 12.20 | . 100.00 |

The table reveals the principal fact that, unlike proportion the carpenters, the largest percentage of the total family income came from work done for cash and not from that received as Baluta. The four resident blacksmith families earned a fourth part of their income from Baluta payments while theseLin the total context were less than exa $25 \%$ of incomes earned in blacksmithy and 17\% of the total family incomes. Another significant fact that the table brings out is that whereas a larger part of their income from sources other than blacksmithy was eamed from land, the larger part of the second group came from agriculture labourg the resident fanilies having land-holdings of theis own. of the total ownership of 34 acres by the five resident families,

$$
1
$$

14 acres 8~niticas the village records. Though date regarding the acquisition of additional lands by individual families are noti available, it may be seen that they had acquired nearly 20 acres of land by themselves. Nonc, however, reported actual cultivation or any other occupation.

## Cobblers:

The cobbler was included in the group of important artisans of the village along with the carpenter and the blacksulth, who rendered essential service to cultivators. Thécobbler performed a two-fold function namely that of flaying and disposing of dead cattle and supplying the cultivatorikil his requirenents of leather articles. He was, however, ascribed a lowly status firstly because he was an untouchable and the service he performed was of an inferior type and secondiy becauser the leather articles he prepared vere readily available In the market and did not need much repair work necessitating the eultivator to cominision the cobblers services urgently.

There were two types of cobblers at Nalwar, the Sangars and the Madags. The former were the protetypes of chambhars, and considered themselves superior to the Madags. They prepared shoes from tanned leather and did not do Baluta work. The Madags, the prototypes of Dhors, on the other hand flayed dead cattle, tanned the hides and supplied the cultivators with leather artic cles for kind payment under the Baluta system.

In the following account, therefore, they are treated separately.

There were two Sangar Pamilies at Nalwar only dure ing the year of survey. Both were resident families. One of them was engaged in the preparation of shoes in
in thi previone dov generations, whereas an-pluct badet was engageo in traiay bides ant oren a generation banok. she latter had aloo Gälen commaking shoos oring to continued losses incurred in its trading activities.

The subsidiary occupation reported by the two families was agricultural labour carried on by the women folk. One family, in addition, reported income from family land given on lease.

The Samgars prepared shoes of a local variety from ready tanned leather brought fron places like Shahabad and Gulbarga. The work required greater skill as regards ar froruchount design and IInish as a large part of 4 隻 was prapared for hore city-wear- Lecal demand was estimated at about $25 \%$ of the annual production.

A single hide costing about 12 rupees could be used for propaxing five to six shoes, exclusive of stgrps etc. which required crome leather, which also had to be imported from the above mentioned places. It was estimated that about 20 to 25 pairs could be prepared during one month.

Equipuent owned by the Samagars was heriditory and purtainec bo contalned to traditional types of instruments. The value was estimated at Rs. 20/- on an average, many of the instrunents having been in use for more than 10 years. Repairs and sharpening expenditure was estimeted at an average of 10 to 15 rupees per annume.

One of the two Samagar families reported that proe paration of shoes was undertaken largely for export to urban centres like Shahabad and Gulbarga es local demand was low. There was however no contract orfother type of Guvimen rallationshif between the samagar and the traders from the above places to whor the finished shoes were sold. The Samagar had often to 80 to these places and sell theme He had, therefore, to undertake preparation on his own -

Acquisition of raw material namely ready leathor had to be done on' cash and rarely credit washextended by the urban dealer. Ifike-wise, dealers who purchased IInished goods from the Samagar did not give any advance for further production.

The total production was estimated at about 400 pairs by both : the Samagar families, of which about 100 to 125 were sold locally. The remaining were, as mentioned before, exported to urban centres. 4.21

The tablelbelow given the incomes reported by the tro families classified according to source.

TABLE 421 Incomes reported by the Samagar Income Actual Percentages.

| Income from the occupation. | 450 | 56.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income from land (one family) | 125 | 15.72 |
| Income from agrieultural labour. | 220 | 27.67 |
| Total: | 795 | 100.00 |

It can be seen that a little more than half of the total indene vas earned in the occupation. A little more than one-fourth of the income was fotohed eamed from agricultural labour work.

The other group of cobbler families, belonging to the depressed caste of Madags, numbered 16 in Nalwar, 4 at Sugur and one at Kulkundi. All the four cobblers at Sugur reported ohristianity as their religion and were Hindu Madigs, a generation back.

The herediptory occupation of all the families was cobbling except 7, five of whom belonged to Nalwar, 4 having agricultural labour and one cultivation as the family occupation a generation badx. The renaining
two belonged to Sugur and did agricultural labour as theip main occupation a generation back.

Of the 16 cobbler families at Nalwar, 14 were resident families, 3 reporting migration to Nalwar, 25 to 30 years ago. The remaining two had migrated to Nalwar more recently, ore 4 years brend the other one jear prior to the survey year. The four Pamilies from Sugur and one from Kulkundi belonged to their respective villages.

The occupation was carried on ofther as main or subsidiary by the 16 families at Nalwap, of these, ton families did Baluta work. All the families at the other two villages persued cobbling as the main occupation and did Baluta work. The details are noted in the following table, no. 4.22

TABLE $4.22 .$| No. of families engaged in cobbling |
| :--- |
| oither as the main or subsidiary |
| occupation and in Baiuta woris. |

| V111ag3 | 1H0. of Pamiif with com bbling as therfaccupation. | of whi ch doing Baluta work | No. of Pamiwilles with cobbling as subsidiary occupation | Of which doing Baluta work | Tbta: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nalwar | - 21 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 16 |
| Sugur | 4 | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| Kulkundi | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Total: | 16 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 21 |

The main occupations reported by the five Nalwar families were agricultural laboup, 3 families and cultivation, two families.

Almost all families, with the exception of 6 from Nalvar, and one each from Sugur and Kulkundi, including the 3 having it as theip main occupation, reported agricultural labour as the subsidiary source of Ifvell-

Iivelihood. of the 16 families from Nalwar, 13 reported income from land, 9 from personal cultivation and four From land leased to tenants. Ond ona Samily Irom Sugur had land which it cultivated.

The cobblers prepared leather by flaying dead cattle and tanning the hides themselves. Tanning was done by the crude method of treating it to Iime solution Pirst and later to a solution prepared from bapits of certain trees, which was collected by the womenfolk from the nearby hills. The period required for tanning a hide was about a month and required materials costing about is. 10. The Baluta cobbler had a right to dead cattle owned by his attached households. For each head of dead cattle, ewned-by-hiteatteeked-houehelt he had to give two pairs of shoes to the owner. The payment was the same for dead cattlo belonging to non-attached cultivator households. In the case of getting the hide of dead cattle belonging to non-cultivating Pamilies, the cobbler had to pay Rs. 10 to 12 per head of dead cattle.

In the event of shortage of leather due to smaller number of deaths of cattle, leather had to be purchased from Yadgir or Tandux造 aistance of 15 to 30 miles. A single hide, so purchased, cost between 20 to 25 rupees depending on size and weight. It was uneconomical to use such hides, as only six to eight pairs of shoes, costing Rs, $3 /-$ per pair could be prepared out of one hide.

As mentioned earlier, the cobblers work/ for Baluta as vell as cash. 6 out of the 16 cobblers from Nalwar reported working only for eash, while out of the remaining ten, $2 l l$ working for Baluta, only three reported a part of thoir income from the occupation in cash.

It was, however, reported that all Baluta Cobblers invariably earned a little cash from the occupation.

Work and payment under the Baluta system was based on the number of pairs of bullocks owned by the attached cultivator household. The cobbler had to prepare two leather whips, two leather collars and four pairs of shoes for every pair of bullocks owned by the attached family. He had in addition to repair these whenever necessary. All the above articles had to be prepared new every year. Being artisans dependent on the village, it was reported that, the cobblers had also to repair leather articles
oven of mea non-attached cuitivators with or without consideration. The cobblers also felt that thls was their duty as the village provided them a living.

The rate of paymont was fixed at 64 seers per pair of bullocks. The rate, appears to be much higher comparod to the rate of payment given to the carpentezs and blacksmiths. It-was, towever, Freported=to
 matioy due to the cobbler providing with the material and services unlike the carpenter and blacksuith, who rendered servicefonly. Information collected in this regard from the cultivators selected for farm business survey also generally conferms to the above rate of Baluta payments to Madags made my cuitivators falling in the first group. payments made to Madags by other cultivators are very small and appear to be payments made for sweeping and cleaning the cattle shed regularis.

The Baluta payments were made on the Hew year day along with those made to other Balutedars. The payment was done mainly in Jowar with smaller goodwill payments in other produce like toor and groundnuts. The cobblers'
te Golula payments
were reported to be made in full, regularly and without any complaints. Arrears of a particularly bad year were carried forward and were made good in subsequent years. The Madag Balutedars vere also reported to be witated among thenselves and refused to work for any cultivator household who did not pay Baluta, to the attached cobbler.

In addition to ragilar Baluta payments, the attached cultivator households also undertook agricultural operations such as ploughing, harrowing and sowing on the plots owned by the attached cobblers. I In many cases the harvesting also was done by the cultivator households.

The extent of Baluta work done by the Madag cobblers could be ascertained by the total number of attached cultivator households reported by the Baiuta cobblers. The ten families af Nalwas reported a total of 51 households and the four families at Sugur, a total of 20 households. These cultivator households were the layger cultivator households, the smaller oneseither getting work done for cash when the occasion arose or buying ready leather articles from the market.

The practice of the Baluta system in respect of Madag cobblers could be seen by the data collected from the cultivators selected for fam business survey. The table $4 \cdot 2 S$ is given below.

TABLE 4.23 . Practice of Baluta in respect of cobblers.


| Nalwap. | 23 | 5 | 1 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 3 | - | 3 |
| Sugur |  |  |  |  |
| Kulkund | 8 | 3 |  |  |

The 11 'others' from Nalwar include 3 Madag cultivators. The remaining 8 presumably got the work done for cash when the necessity axose. The table reveals the fact that the practice of Baluta graduopiy increasear fromphelargor to the smaller villages.

As mentioned earlier, 6 familles from Nalwar pursued the occupation working for cash only. These families, like the Baluta families, also prepared their own leather by flaying dead cattle and tanning the hide. Like them the cash rorkers also had to pay two pairs of shoes per head of dead cattle to the owners if they were cultivators and between Rs. 8 to 10 if the owners vere non-cultivators.

Shoes were prepared both for order and for the weekly skicc uad in mancirs mahfret. The shell required-for preparing-these was of a Low type and/finishing aidpolishing of thesshoes was practically absent. These shoes were preferred by the majority of residents because they were comparatively cheap and more suitable to the terragin.

The average price of a pair of shoes was Rs. 3. 4 oneke cobblers could prepare three to four pairs per week. The families working for cash only also prepared_ marticles of for agpicultural requivement like collars, whips etc. These were done mainly on order and only a part,for sale in the maly market. The proportion of production on order and for the mariket was estimated to be $60 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively.

A Iittle competition was reported from Madag cobblers belonging to nearby fillages, who brought ready shoes and whips for sale in the Nalwar weekly market. Two of these were also reported to be vorking - for certain Nalwar cultivators on Baluta basis.
2464.24

Libble below gives the incones reported by the cobbler Iamilies, classified according to nature of work undertaken and source. The incomes have also been reduced to percentages in order to bel bring out the relative importance of the sourcesof-income. The totals for the three villages have been given separately.


| 1 | Nalwas Baluta families | 10 | 1350 | 500 | 1300 | 3150 | 6300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percantages |  | 21.43 | 7.24 | 20.63 | 50.00 | 100.00 |
|  | Non-Baluta families | 6 | - | 1150 | 725 | 1350 | 3225 |
|  | \% ages |  | - | 35.66 | 22.48 | 41.86 | 100.00 |
|  | Total for Nalwar | 16 | 1350 | 1650 | 2025 | 4500 | 9525 |
|  | \% ages |  | 14.17 | 17.32 | 21.26 | 47.25 | 100.00 |
| 2 | Sugur <br> 8 ages | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 600 \\ 24.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 500 \\ 20.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ 12.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3100^{\circ} \\ & 44.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Kalkundi | 1 | 350 | - | - | - | 350 |

The table brings out two important facts namely that the agricultural laboup was the source that brought the largest share of the total incomes and that the proportion of earnings frow the occupation were higher for those who worked for cash than those who worked for Baluta payments for Nalwar. The per family earnings from the occupation amounted to Rs. 185 for Baluta vorkers, Rs. 192 for cash workers and Rs. 275 for cobblers of Sugur who worked both for Baluta and cash an almost on equal basis.

Barbers rendered an essential service to the whole village namely that of personal hygeine and cleanliness. As such he was included in the important set of Balutedars working for attached households for a predetermined rate of payment paid in kind annually.

There appeared to be a single Lingayat barber family in the survey centre originally. This family held Inam land to the extent of 8 acres, as recorded in the village record. the present head of the family reported cultivation as his main occupation and barbering as a subsidiary occupation, mainly carried on by his young son.

## There were, in addition, 9 Hindu barber families, all

 reporting barbering as the main occupation. These were heriditdiry barber families and had migrated to Nalwar some time or other. A family that came to Nalwar about 50 years beck split up into two households of two brothers. Two families migrated to Nalwar about 15 years and tro, 10 years back. Two more households belonging to two brothers came to Nalwar 6 and 2 years previous to the survey year. The last family to come and settle at Naiwar was that belonging to Laman tribe, which migrated to the centre in the year previous to the survey year. None of these nine barber families reported any holdings of land.All the ten families reported having some sebsidiary source of income or another. While the original Lingayat barber family reported income from cultivation of owned land, four of the remaining nines reported income from voriking as members of a brass banke. a portion of the total family income was earned by womenfolk by working as agrigultural labourers during the cultivation season. Six
families including that of the Iingayat barber reported such incomes. The womenfolk of the single Laman barber family also reported a little income from selling fire-wood in addition to doing agricultural labour voric.

The villages, Sugur and Kulkundi had two barber Pamilies each. Both the families at Sugur were Iingayats while those at Kulkundi were Hindu barber families. Tro families, one each from both the villages reported land ownership and income from land received as rent. These lands, however, were not Inam lands but acequired by the families themselves. All reported agricultural labour, done mainly by theif womenfolk, as theif subsidiary source of income.

A11 the ten fanilies from Nalwar as well as the four familles from sugus and Kulkundi were reported to 6 materer on quenter be doing Baluta woris to a more-ox-less extent. In this context, a xeperting Balutedar; reported that he had as many as 30 attached cultivator households a decade ago while during the survey year the number was reduced to san on il traicen five, the barber himself/relinquishing baluta services of thiseremaining households due-to-combined mon-payment of Baluta dues by them. Another Blndu barber family reported doing baluta work for 100 Lamanfamilies while the Laman barber reported only 12 attached households.

The rate of Baluta payment per attached household was fixed according to the number of adult males in each family and as such varied for every individual household. The per adult rate was, however, constant and was 15 seers of Jowar per annum. Heads of elaini children below 15 years were to be shaved free of charge. The same rate existed in the villages of Sugur and Kulkundi siso.

The ingtruments were generally old and/ used sparingly. They consisted of second-hand cutting machines, razons, most of which were locally prepared, and 8 cissors and combs. A woriking set was estimated to be worth $\mathrm{Rs} .15 /$ - on an average, the total value of instruments of all the families being fs. 210/-, there being 14 working adults in the above 10 families. The Instruments were sparingly used and their life was generally stretched to the maximum period before they were replaced. Repair, sharpening and replacement expenditure was estimated at.Rs. 15 to 25 per set annually.

Baluta payment was mainly made in Jowar though forn small quantities varying from a seer of fowtar to 10 seers of groundnut were reported to be paid to barbers, mainly as goodwill jestures. Like other Balutedars, the barbers were paid on or after the new year day falling in March, when the harvesting of rabi crops was over. Excepting elderly households who respected the Baluta custom, others were reported to be treating the Baluta harber pather harshly. His payments were often withheld or stopped entirely. During a bad year, collection of Baluta was very difficult, it was reported. It was rate that a 3aluta barber collected his full dues from all his attached households.

The practice of payment of Baluta is brought out by the following table prepared from farm business data collected in respect of certain selected cultivators.

TABLE 4.25 Practice of Baluta payment to Barbers.

| Viliage | No. of selected cultivators. | Ho. Peporting baluta payment. | NO. Pe: porting getting woris done for cash. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | 23 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nalwas Sugur | 6 | 3 | I | 3 |
| 3 | Kulkunds | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



Compared to the totale earning in the oceapation in all the three rillages taken together, the proportion of Baluta eamings of barbers at the nuclear village are the lowest while their eash earnings are highest. The propertionately higher cash eamings reported by a barber from Kulkundi were due to his going round smaller villager roundabout in seapoh of business. In this context, a reporting barber from Nalwar reported that two barbers, arse each from Sugar and Ladlapure a nearby Fillage, also seme= came to Nalwar for work and ingreased the competition.

## Washermen:

The washerman held a peculiar position in the functionarles of a village community, the reasons being Pirstly, his was not an essential service like carpentry or blacksmithy which directly contributed to production; secondiy, though he rendered a service of personal sanitation and cleafminess of the individual like the barber, his services vere not as essential or imperative as those of the latter, and thirdly, a large section of the village population composed of smaller cultivators, the depressed and backward classes and the like, could not either afford his services or could carry on without them. The washerman essentially fulfilled a religious and social need.

There were seven families engaged in this occupetion, three belonging to the Lingayat and 4 belonging to the Eindu religion. one of the three Lingayat washerman families was a direct descendant of the single origit nal washerman family of the survey centre. The other two Lingayat families were related to this family from the matemal side and had migrated to Nalwar 30 and 16 years ago. The 24 attached cultivator households of tine original family were, during the survey year, distripited between these three families, the three having 6 , 10 and 8 attached households respectively. All these ivere heriditory washerman families.

The remaining four Hindu families had migratod to Nalwar, two of them 30 years ago and now with widoved femailes as head of the families, one 10 years ago' and fourlithe six years back washorman Pamilies.

All the families reported washing clothes as their main occupation. It was almost the only source of income for all except two Lingayat familles, who reported a very small additional income from family land, which had been leased out on a share-rent basis. These lands, measuring 12 acres were apparently acquized by the two Lingayat families. There were no Inam lands.

There were two Hindu washerman families each in Sugur and Kultundi. One family from Kulkundi had migrated to the pillage 7 years back. All these families were hereditary washermen families and the occupation was thoir main source of livelinood. One family Irom Kulkundi, however, had agricultural labour as its subsidiary occupation. Another family from Sugur reported a small income from family lands.
the All the families; excepting ene from Nalwar reported Baiuta duties and Baluta payments. The single family from Nalwar was the Hindu washeman Pamily that had come to Nalwar 6 years back and did the work on a cash basis.

Baluta payment vas based on the number of adult Pemales in each attached household, the payment per fenale being fixed at 16 seers of Jowar per annum. This rate had been current for a very long time. It was however, reported that this rate was not always adhered to, the washermen at times getting as low a rate as only 4 seers per female.

Another form of kind payment under this system was that of ready bread which was collected every day by certain washerman families. The annual payment of Jowar was, in such cases, restricted to 8 or 12 seers per female.

Some washermen reported that they were invited to
meals during festival days. In addition, they got a ilttle additional income whenever a baby was born in the attached cultivator households. Whenever a male child was born, the attached washerman was given 3 annas in cash, 5 seers of Jowar and a little quantity of o11. In the event of the birth of a female child the payment was half of the above. Though the nature of payment suggests that it was appt of a bigger religious ceremony; the washerman's share was more in the nature of an additional payment because he had to clean diptier and a larger number of garments during such occasions. It is presumable, in this context, that Balutedar washermen got the above payments fiom non-Baluta houses also. According to an established custom of the cultivators to make small gifts of produce to village artisans and servants, the Balutedar washerman also collected small quantities of ground nuts etce at the time of harvesting. These gifts however, were voluntary, small and depended upon the favourableness or othervise of the season. Such 2 gifts were invariably to be collected An the threshing floor only.

Unlike other artisans, the washermen were reported to be getting their Baiuta dues fully and punctualif. This was presurabily due to the type of service rendered by the washerman which could herce be availed of only by the vell-tomdo families. It may be pointed out here that out of the 32 farmers selected for farm business survey from the 3 villages, only four reported Baluta payments to washermen and all these were from the first Group, composed of the bigust twelve cultivators.

The six Baluta washermen familles reported ${ }^{a}$ total of 58 cultivator households to whom they were attached. The comparatively smalles number of these cultivator households also indicates the fact that the washermen's
services were comparatizety less essential than other types of services under the Baluta system, and that all could not afford to avail of them.

- The services rendered by the washermen were more in the nature of menial rather than sicilled services. The only equipment necessary was a huge pot or barrel for treating the clothes in ${ }^{\text {b boiling solution of washing soda }}$ and water, an ironing board and an iron. Generally an old table served the purpose of the ironing board. The 1rons owned by the washermen families were generally used ones, purchased secondhand from washing laundries in the cities. They were valued at $R s_{\text {. }} 30 /$ on an average and gave service from 20 to 30 wears.

The current costs of the occupation were estimated at Rs. 50/- required for purchase of washing soda and Indigo. An additional expense of about Rs. 50 Ror purchasing coal during the three months of the monsoon was also reported, During the dry months, fuel was gathered by the womenfoli from the neighbouring woods.

The washermen mainly worked for cash, the propore tion of cash earning being nearim foup times than Baluta 4.27 earnings. The table below gives the reported total earnings of the 7 families and their proportions sourcevise。

TABLE: 4.27 . Thtal Eamings peported by Nahat Washormen Families according to solurcea

No. of families Income from Washing Income Total \begin{tabular}{l}
Bainta. <br>

| Erom |
| :--- |
| Iand. |

\end{tabular}

Percentages

| 7 | 845 | 3250 | 125 | 4220 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | 20.02 | 77.02 | 2.98 | 100.00 |

## Potters:

The services rendered by the potter, namely preparation of various type of earthen vessels were not of an essential nature like those of carpenters or blackSmiths; nor were they as important as those of the barbers in the day to-day life of the village. The gradual infiltration of ideas of better living and availability of metal utensils has also brought about a change In the extent of use of earthen vessels which are qenezally wagainly and brittle. . However; the fact that potters Irom Nalwar continued to prepare earthen vessels was presumably due to the large section of landless labourers, mainly Holeyas and Madags, who were economically backward and hence could not afford to purchase metal vessels for day to day use. It may be noted in this context that the villages Sugur and Kulkundi had no potters. A second reason of the existance of IIve potter families at Nalwar was due to its being a marletting centre which was frequented by people from roundabout villages.

Of the five Lingayat potter families, two belonged to hereditary potter fanilies, the remalning three havIng taken to the occupation during the present generation. All these three reported agmiculture as the hereditary occupation during past two generation. One of these three, reported it to be the subsidiary occupation of the family its main occupation being agricultural 1abous.

Walwar had only one potter lamily originalifs the second hereditary family having migrated to the place about 15 years prios te the survey year. Both these Panilies had pursued the occupation during the past two
generations. The remaining three familles had taken to the occupation during the present generation mainly due to the family lands being under mortage and also presumably because they realised the possibilities of the making a livelihood by this occupation by selling earthen vessels in Nalwar mariset as well as the smaller villages around it.

The hereditary potier faily reporto inaking of earthen vessels solely for attached cultivator households, numbering 30. The income of the family was reported to be Rs. $250 /-$, all collected in kind. In View of this income it appears that the family also sold part of its production for kind payment to families other than the 30 attached households. A single Khatedar from the 32 selected for farn business survey reported payment of a bundle of stalks to the potter. This potter fanily incidentally, did not sell in the maricet.

The vessels supplied by this family were mainly of the larger barrelsized variety used for storing grain and water. The annual Baluta payments were reported to be made punctually and fully. The potter family did not get any other payments except those made by the some cultivator family by way of a gooiwill gesture in the forms of small payments of wheat and groundnuts.
$\therefore \quad$ All the remaining families worked for cash. They prepared earthen vessels mainly for the Nalwar market.

The wheel was the only equipment of the potters. The average value of a wheel was estimated at Rs . 15 , the total vaue vaiue of equipment being lis. 75. Earth was brought from nearby jungles on hired bullock carts. The hiredt charges of bullock carts and the cost of fuel for baking the produced vessels were the only costs of the occupation. During the dry months, fuel
was gathered by the womenfolk from nearby woods. Fuel charges had to be incurred only during the raing months. The average per family expenses on these were reported to be between Rs. 50 to 35 per annum. Repairs to the wheel were generally made by the potters themselves.

The frequency of baicing the earth ${ }^{\text {m }}$ vessels differed between the five families, the resident family reporting having a baking kiln once a week during dry months and once a month during the rainy season, with about 25 vessles for ever'y kiln. The other hereditary/ popeported having a fortnighly kiln during dry months and a monthly kiln during the rainy months, with about 250 vessels perkin. The remaining three familles had a monthly kiln during the year, the number of vessels per kiln varying from 150 to 200.

Fowr
The-four familles, excepting the one doing maluta woris; reported selling their vessels in two markets, namely the weekly bazaars of Nalwar and Wadi. They also moved in the nearby pillages, selling their vessels on other days of the week. A part of the goods was presumably sold for kind in the smaller villages.

Of the five, three families including the two hereditary families had no subsidiary source of income. The fourth one earned Ris. 250 from agricultural labous done by weow womenfolk and Rs. 150 from tiee ten acres of land leased out. The fifth family, reporting preparationof earthen vessels as its subsidiary source of income, earned Rs. 500 from agricultural labour.'

The table below given the earnings of all the potter families, classified according to source and theif proportions. It may be see that a major portion to the extent of $70 \%$ of the total farily income comes
from the occupation, followed by agricultural labour, bringing 25\%.

TABIR 4.28 . Incomes reported by Potter families

| No. of families. | Inco <br> the <br> tion | Erom ccupa- |  | Income <br> from <br> land. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 250 | 1850 | 750 | 150 | 3000 |
| Percentages | 8.33 | 61.67 | 25.00 | 5.00 | 100.00 |

## Goldsmithr:

There were six families engaged in this occupation during the survey year. All of these were hereditary goldsmith families, four belonging to the Panchal
a khatri. (artisan) community, one vas Kohatrifa and the sixth a Rajput goldsmith. Excepting two Panchal sonars, others had migrated to Nalwar, two about 20 years back, one about six fears back and the last two years back. During the survey year, the family that had come to Nalwar two years prior to the survey left the place. It was reported that he ran away with some gold and silver manutachere that was entrusted to him for preparation of omaments.

Four out of the six families faported agricultural labour as the subsidiary source of incone. Three Pamilies reported an additional income from owned land leased out for cultivation. One of these had a fourth source of income namely from service in an Adat shop, done by an adult male member of the family.

There was one gold smith family each at sugur and Kulkundi. Both were residential families and were herediatary gold-smiths belonging to the panchal community. Two years prior to the survey year, the goldsmith at Kuikundi had almost given up the occupation for
cultivation as the occupation had become unremunerative.
Custom for goldsmiths was sharply divided, the two families belonging to the Kshatrija and kajput communities specialising in the preparation of silver ornaments for the Lamans only. The four panchal families did the work for the rest of the village. It was reported in this context that as the skill of the local goldsmiths was restricted to the preparyation of a few sraditional varieties of amaments mainly of silver, almost all the requirements of ornaments of a better quallty and design were oither purchased readymade or ordered from places like Yadgir, Chitapur Shahabad or Gulbarga.

Equipment owned by the goldsmiths included the furnace, the auvil, a pair of hammers and tongs and other smaller instruments which were prepared bo the goldsmiths themselves. Almost all the instruments were of the traditial dengh and were hereditarily ormed. A set of these instruments was estimated to cost about Rs. 50 to 60.

The geldsmiths woriced mainly for order, the material being supplied by the customer. Less than a quarter of the total turnover was in readymade articles of silver, propared for sale in important veekiy markets and fairs. About $10 \%$ of the turnover ${ }_{z}$ consisted of orders undertaken from customers from smaller villages around Nalwas.
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The table below gives the total incomes reported by the six goldsmith families at Nalwar, classified sourcowise and along with percentage distribution.
table
Incomes reported by Goldsmith
familles.

Actual
income
( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$ )

| Income from <br> Coldsmithy | 2850 | 57.23 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Income from Agri |  |  |
| cultural Iabour | 750 | 15.06 |
| Income from Land <br> and Service | 1350 | 27.71 |
| TOTAL: | 4980 | 100.00 |

Income from the occupation amomited to 578 , the remalning 43\% coming from other land or service (28\%) and agricultural labour.

The goldsmith family at Sugur reported a total Income of Rs. 300 , all of which came from the occupation. The family at Kulkundi reported a total income of Rs. 320 , Rs. 70 or $22 \%$ of which was eamed in the occupation and the remaining from tenant gultivation.

Building and Construction.
Construction and repairs of houses is a spectalised skill requiring some basic knowledge about design, alignment, balance etce This knowledge is either aequip-
here ditarily braditionaing or working as an apprentice. of the 18 families reporting construction as the main family occupation, onif four had it as their hereditary occupationge The occupations of the remaining familles a generation back were agriculture (three families), agmicultural labour (two families) service (two familles)

Weaving (thres families), religious services, carting and quarrying (one family each). one Maslim family did not report its occupation a generation bacic. 411 these thipteen families had taken to this occupation only. during the present generation. This increase may be taken an indication of the increase in residential construction, attendant with the growth of the viliage 1tself.

The occupation was pursued largely by Auslims with 13 out of the 18 families. Two of the 18 families, both Muslims, reported construction labour work as their main occupation. They had takon to this occupation because the/hereditory occupation of weaving was declininge: of the remaining, ${ }^{3}$ belonged to Lamans, a tribe that was mainly occupied in agricultural iabous work and cartst Ing, and one each to the Ingayat and Kabbliger communities.
of the 18,9 reported agricultural labour as thair subsidiary source of Income. Three fanilies repopted Income fron land and two more fanilies, both Muslims: earned an Income Irom tailoring laboure Dependence of subsidiary source appeared to be comparatively more in the case of the five non-fuslin fanilies, four reportIng agricultural labous including two recelving income from land leased out $h^{\text {than }}$ the 13 Muslim families; five of whon reported agmoultural labour work, two tailosing Iabour and one income from land as the subsidiayy becupation of the family.

Building activity was undertaken during the dity months only and work wan avallable for about six months during a year. The months lumediately following the Ugadi or New Year day occuring in March, were busy
months for this activity as the cultivators were in easier circumstances ofter the harresting of rabi crops.

The skill required was generally of the lowest type, the local masons undertaking to bulld only small houses, of simple traditional designs. occasionally they prepared a vorkable blue-print of the proposed house if the owner wanted some additional conveniences. Generally, they did not need a blue-print or map. They knew the local need and design and began the work immediately ofter an oral contract.

Almost every mason owned a set of impliments such as the trowe, harmer, plumb-lime, metal footrule etc. estimated to cost between 15 to 50 rupees depending ason the number of instruments and their age. The average annual repair and replacement expenditure was estimated at about Rs. 20. A Laman mason reported the ownership of instruments worth Rs. 80 and a repaif and replacement expenditure of Rs. 40 per annum.

The work of construction was done on a contract basis, the masons being paid on the basis of work turned out by them. The rates were Rs. $2-8-0$ for 100 sq.ft. of construction, and Rs, 8/- per 100 sq. feet of plasterIng work. The person who undertook the contract assembled the required number of masons and started work. The average rate of wages actually eamed by masons was reported to be between Rs. 2 to Rs. 3-8w0. ALl material was supplled by the owner of the house. For minor repair work the average rate was reported to be Re. 1 to Re.I-Bu0 per day.

It was reported that a certain import of masons from larger town was made by richer villagers. Likewise construction labour at 12 to 14 annas per day was
said to be imported. Some of the local masons went outside the villages in search of work. Such work was accepted on a contract basis, and a team of 3 to 4 masons Irom Nalwar completed it and shared the contract amount. The average dally rate of wages was reported to be about the same as Nalvar.
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The table below gives the incomes reported by the 18 mason families; classifted sourcewise. Since the Auslims predominate in the occupation, the total number. of Pamilies are divided into two groups, Muslims and nonfifusilms in order to study any difference that may exist between the two groups. The table also gives percentage distribution of different incomes as well as average income per working male adult engaged in the occupation.

TABLE 4.30 Incomes reported by Mason Iamilies.


| 1 Muslims | 13 | 20 | 8260 | 485 | 450 | 9195 | Income per adult male earner. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage |  |  | 89, 83 | 5.27 | 4.90 | 100.00 | Rs. 413 (Average) |
| 2 Fon-muslims | 5 | 5 | 3100 | 460 | 80 | 3640 | Income per adult male earner |
| Percentage |  |  | 85.16 | 12.64 | 2.20 | 100.00 | Rs. 620 (Average) |


| 2btal: | 18 | 25 | 11360 | 945 | 530 | 12835 | Income per adult <br> male earner. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage. |  | 88.50 | 7.36 | 4.13 | 100.00 | Rs.454.4 (Average |  |

Thit table reveals that, the income in the occupation by Muslims is propostionately greater than that earned by the nonffuslims. The average per earner earnings however, ase less (Rs, 413) for fusslims than those for non-Hhaslims (Rs. 620). Whereas nearly $15 \%$ of the total income comes from subsidiary sources in the cases of non-fiuslims, the same is 108 for itusilms. Reliance on the occupation, therefore, may be said to be more in


## Basket Making.

Preparation of baskets was done entirely by a coma nity called Korravars, from the backward classes. There were five families having this as their main occupation. All the five were residential families of Nalwar. Base ket-making was reperted to be the hereditary occupation of the family. one family reported playing of some musical instrument as its occupation in the previous generation.

Excepting ane family reporting agricultural labour as its subsidiary occupation, all the families had to depend solely for preparation of baskets only.

Raw material for preparation of baskets was avallable in the forests lying on the outsicirts of Naiwar. It was brought twice a weok and without incurring any expenses. The baskets were made out of the leaves of the palm tree From which toddy was also aupplied. The trees vere owned by the Covernment and the basket makers were allowed to take away the leaves of those trees which had become old and useless. The entire family helped in the preparation of baskets, some cutting the leaves, some cleaning ar smoothing the cutout pattis and the head of the family.
> generally preparing the baskets. These families also prepared broom sticks.

Equipment required for the occupation was limited to two or three curved knives costing about 6 rupees. These had to be replaced every five years. Expenses for sharpening these were reported to be about 3 rupees per annum.

The acquision of the skill was done hereditiraily, the child learning by ebservation and play. A good worker prepared about 30 to 40 baskets of varying sizes per week. The rabl season was reported to be the brisk season for sale of baskets.

The baskets were generally of two sizes, the smaller size for mainly domestic use and the larger varieties used for gtoring grain. The former were sold at the rate of 4 per seer of jowar whieh- while the prices of the latter varieties depended upon the size and capacity of eadin irdividual basket. Excepting a part of the sales in wese. the market, baskets aFe invariably sold for kind and rarely for casha

These families ilve on the outskirts of the Gavthan area in small huts and whenever the sales of baskets fall, migratedte other villages temporarily. They returned to Nalwar by the beginning of Pebruary to take advantage of increased demands in baskets towards the harvesting time of the rabi season.
$4 \cdot 3$
The table belov gives the incomes reported by these families.

TABLE 4.31 Incomes reported by Basket-Makers.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} \\ \text { Income from Bas- }\end{array}\right)$

The dependence of these five families on the occupation is obvious.

Preparation of Mats.

A group of eleven families belonging to the Helver community from the backward classeaf was engaged in this occupation. All the families reported agmenltural labour as the subsidiary source of lively hood. A single family reported small trading in groundnats.

EHght of the 11 families vere pesidential families of Nalwar. The remaining three had migrated to Nalwar five years prior to the survey jear in search of a Ilvelihood. These three families were related tó one another and during the survey year leit Nalwar.

Mats were propared fron a creeper called Doodalli that was available in the forests adjoining Nalwar. The rav material was brought free of any expenses. All the members of the family worked in the preparation of mats, the weokly production varying from 3 to 6 mats.

The months from January to May kept the families busy in the preparation of mats. the mats were sold for kind payments, a small number being sold in the weekly market for cash.

For about five to six months in the year, the families were reported to be living by begging in the village. Whenever agricultural labour work was available, they worked in the fields.

The total Income reported by these familles amounted to Rs . 3390, composed of incous from sale of mats Rs. 1990 or $58.70 \%$ income fron agricultural labour work fs. $\mathbf{j} 250$ or ${ }^{3} 6.87 \%$ and income from groundnut sellIng (one Pamily) Rs. 150 of 4.43\%. Income from begging was not reported.

## CHAPTER 立

## Trade and Transport

Trading activity and commuications the growth of which necossarily brings about a structural changes in a fural econony, are described in this chapter. The survey centre had a regulated market which was in the process of declining due to the certain external as well as internal reasons. The chapter, after describing the location of the market and presenting a total view of thep communities engaged and the significance of the different types of trading activities to families concerned as revealed by the proportionate distribution of family income from different sources goes on to depict the gradual decline of the regulated market since its Inclption in 1951. This is followed by more detailed structural and operational study of the major and more diatinctive types of tradin; activities including that of those families who are wore indirectly engaged as clerks, gumastas and hamals. Finally all small traders, including hewkers dealing in miscellaneous articles like sieatmeets, vegitables, beads and bangles, ail of whom operate with a small capital and are itemerent traders in the main, are grouped together in three broad groups, for purposes of analysis.

The section on transport gives a general description of transport conditions fiund at the survey centre and ends with a more detailed operaticnal study of carting.

The market was located all along the main road that entered the Gavthan in the east, took a sharp turn near the western extreme and ended in a etretch of about a furlong. This stretch functionife as the main market place for sile of agricultural produce. This was flanked on both sides by Adat shops; grocery shots, and cloth shops and tailors.

At the northern end of this stretch ves the temple and the Chavif of the viliage. A small cart-track leading to the village kenchur and Kulikionds joined this road at the north-western end of the Gavthan.

The road tentering the Gavthan and cutting it Into two unequal parts extendis, before taking the sharp ingul turn, to the Siddherswar Math, the most important place of worship of the residents. A little nearer the market, on this road, were situated the office of the Market Ccmmittee, Adat shops, the local dispensary and the only functioning oil mill. A cart-trick fram villages §ugar and Kollur joined the road at this point, turning into a square with roads leading to didrections. All principal trading families, mainly Maxwadis and Lingayats were located within a radius of a hundred yards from the important stretch that served as the marketing place proper. With the exception of the two flour mills, small grocery shops and mutton shops which were dispersed in the interior residential localities, all trading activity was centred in this place.

There was in adifition a small marketing place opposite the Nalwar Railway Staticn. As passengers to and from Nalwar halted at.this place aweiting the arrival of eithor the passenger train or the bullock carts to take them to the village, the location had a trading importance. Principally tradińc was restricted to three
tea-shops with two small grocers, who satisfied the midweek or spot necessities of the three Laman Traders tamdas or groups
that were situated arfound the railway station.

During the Survey year a total of 144 families reported earning their livelihood from trading in-trading in a direct manner. In addition to these, there were a number of families who were in the employment of the adatiyas, grocers and tea-shopkeepers and who may be said to be engaged in trading only indirectly. The above number also excludes certiain very small traders, few in number, who fithtry did not report the activity or did it as the third or fourth occupation of the family and operated with a very small capital varying from Rs. 5 to 45.

$$
\text { N. } 5.1
$$

The Table below gives a breakdown of these families according to caste and type of trading activity. It may be seen that Marwadis, Lingayats and Muslims were the principal communities engaged in trading and formed a iittile over 77 per cent of the total. The Table also brings out certain characteristicp features cuch at as to the adaptability or otherwise of certain castes with reference to certain occupations. The Narwadis with the exception of one ware engaged in \&dat, grocery and cloth trading, all the three types requiring a higher capital and a higher risk-bearing ability. The typewise spread of Lingayats on the other hand included a larger number of trading activities. The Lingayats were a majority community of the survey centre and were distributed in all income levels. They ware as such engaged in almost all categories requiring varied degrees of capital
equipment and risk-bearing capacitjo As a group, they were predominantly engaged in grocery and cloth trading, the latter type also including weaver traders, weaving being the hereditory occupation of Hatgetars, a community included in Lingayats ffusins, the second major community of the village were engaged in ali types of trading with the exception of dat Eusiness. Except grocery and cloth trade, they prodaminated in all other types as a single group. They were comparatively move numerically engaged in all types of amall trading as well as in teashop keeping. As a community they were in the lower income levels and held comparatively smaller land. It may be safely said, with reference to the spread of their occupations, that they were in most adaptible community.

The other three castes that may particularly be mentioned were the Marathas, Bhavsars and Kabbahgers. The first two of these were migrants of Nalwar. The Marathas appear to have largely taken to small trading while the Bhavsars, who were hereditory dyers have taken to tailoring and sale of ready-to wert clothes. Kabbaligars were a resident community of the village and were to a large extent agri_culturist and agricultural labourers. Those included in grocery trade, were engaged in selling eereals, partly home-grown and partly purchased locally.

The Table 5.2 gives a classification of incomes reported by all the trading families according to source. The table also given the average per family figures of total fincome and income from the particular trading activity in which the familiss are engaged.

Tabla 5.2 Classifications of total family income according to source and type of trading.


Average total
income per

| establishment 3566.6 | 1189 | 028.6 | 1317. 5 | 553.1 | 654.0 | 428.8 | 563.8 | 519.2 | 1350 | 1091.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avarage income per establish- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cifiotrading _ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% age of income <br> irom occupation 54.83 | 55.90 | 4.6.36 | 56.16 | 63.84 | 67.43 | 64.08 | 59.61 | 79.26 | 55.55 | . 56.98 |

Table 5.1 Jypas of tranting achuili and no. 1 famailios engega in coch.

| Type of trading | Adat | Grocery | Tea-shop | . Cloth | Panbidi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sale } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | Sweetmeats | Spices- <br> vegeta- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bangles } \\ \text { etc. } \end{gathered}$ | Other |  | tal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caste min muttan ble ing No. \% age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brahmin | - | - | - | - | $*$ | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1. | 0.69 |
| Marwadi | 5 | 5 | 1 | 6 | $\cdots$ | - | * | $\cdots$ | - | - | 17 | 11.81 |
| Rajput | * | - | - | . ${ }^{\circ}$ | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1.39 |
| Lingayat | 4 | 14. | 4 | 10 | 4 | * | 3 | - | 1 | - |  | 27.78 |
| Iliger | - | - | - | * | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2.08 |
| Muslim | - | 9 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 5 | - | 54 | 37.51 |
| . Bhavsar | - | * | 2 | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 9 | 6.25 |
| Maratha | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 1 | - | $\cdots$ | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4.17 |
| Kabbaliger | - | 5. | - | - | 3 | - | * | 1 | - | - | 9 | 6.25 |
| Laman | - | - | - | * | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1.38 |
| Samgar | $\cdots$ | - |  |  | - |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | 1 | 1 | 0,69 |
| rotal | 9 | 33 | 13 | 29 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 144 |  |
| \% age | 6.25 | 22.93 | 9.03 | 20.14 | 11.11 | 6.94 | 6.94 | 0.25 | 9.03 | 1.38 |  | 100,00 |

It should be pointed out that the table is subject to two limitations. Firstiy, the total incomes include those of families engaged in a particular type of trading activity are double counted firstiy as main and also as subsidiary. the-incomes-are-also double ented. The table, however, is presented to give a broad idea as to the dependence of respective families on the relevant occupation and to bring out the complex nature of ecomomic activity in rural areas.

The second limitation cincerns the definitions of the categories of occup tions under 'source" of income'. Distinction between 'other trading' and 'Small. selling' is arbitrary and is based on capital and income reportea; Similarly, the categories iservice in shops and 'Hamili' are not exclusive; very often a clerk as wellas an employed manual servant reporting 'service in shop: as his occupation. 'Hamal' also includes that type of labour which does not fall into more clearer categories like, quarry labour, weaving labour, construction labour, etc. The above two pairs of categories should therefore to considered together to get a clearer idea.

Over tha total. the dependences an trading, of the families engaged, amounts to 57 per cent. To this $m_{a} y$ be added the proportion of incomes from other and amall trading, the net proportion of incame from trading to total amounting to nearly 64 per cent. The second earjestsigle singla source is agriculture or more specifically, income from land and forms nearly 2/3rd of the remaining or about onemourth of the total. Among the remaining sourves, weaving is the largest single source.

Of the average given belows the average por incomes from the particular types of trading activities, give a broad idea as to the size of business and income. It may thatbe seen/ the averages for the first four types, these constituting the major types; are substantially higher than others. The proportions, fer each type of income from type of trading to total family income, bring out the extent of dependence $\underset{a 8}{0 /}$ each occupation. While the first four earn comparatively higher incomesz than others, the proporiions reveal that their dependence on the particular rype of trading is comparatively less. The dependence can be seen to be increasing with the size of business, the small seilers, and particularly, sellers of beads and bangles, depending on it to the largest extent. 'The extent of variation as compared to the total proportion of income from trading to total family incomes is given in the table belern The

Table 5.3 Extent of variation of dependence in dififerent types of trading.

| Type of Trading | Proportion of income pox-from trading to total family income | Variation from from the average (total) proportion (56.98) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adat | 54.83 | 2.15 |
| Grocery | 55.00 | 1.98 |
| Tea ghops | 46.36 | 10.62 |
| Cloth | 56-16 | - 0.82 |
| Panbidi | 63.84 | + 6.86 |
| Sale of Mutton | 67.43 | + 10.45 |
| Sreetmeats etc. | 64.08 | $\pm \quad 7.10$ |
| Spices, vegetables | etc. 59.61 | 2.63 |
| Bancles Beads etc. | 79.26 55.55 | 22.28 |
| Other selling | 55.55 | 1.43 |

## The Regulated Market:

The Survey centre, Nalwar; had been a regular wholesale market for agricuitural produce during about
years prior to the year: of survey. Situated in the southern extremity of the tahsil, in the strip of land flanked by the Bhima river in the west and the railway line in the east. Nalwar, with a railway station, afforded a suitable trading centre all village within a redius of about 10 miles.

The market was brought under the purvien of the Hyderabad Agricultural Markets act in 1951. The Asstt. Chief Marketing officer, stationed at Guibarga surveyed the market and recommended that in View of the service of the market and the existance of other markets closeby, an area of five miles round the Naluar station be notified as the market area and that the Tahsildar of Chitpur be nominated as the Government representative on the Market Cormittee; By a notification wif in the Gazette, the Government applied the Act to Nalwar town with effect from 20th August 1951 both for cotton and agricultural produce. The 'area' of the market was to be a radius of five miles from the Nalwar railway station and the market yard was to be situated in the gavthan area of the town.

As set down by the Bye-laws of the Nalwae Agricultural Market Cominittee that came into being an application of the Act, consisted of 12 members, 4 representing the trading community, 6 representing the eultivators who sold in the Nalvar Market, 人ane nominee each of the Government and of the Gram Panchayat. The Government nominee was the Tahsildar of Chitpur. The Market Suparinyendent acted as the secretary of the Cormittee who could record his opinions in the minutes but could not vote. *

The Committee issued licences to all those who wanted to operate in the market as traders (Adatiyas),

Weighmen, Gumastas, Hainls etc. Each category was defined and no one couid undertake any activity under the above category without possessini a license from the committee. For purposes of facility in trading in smaller villages, teparate category of traders called petty dealers was brought into being. The petty dealers could buy in smaller villagea an their own while the Adatiyas could not do s0. The Adatiyas had also to maintain a set of records and registers and had to submit them for purposes of inspection when required for the purposes of the Act and the Rules and Bye-laws of the Committee. These were the sale and purchases register, the weighment register, cash book, the Khata book showing accounts of constitifenta and commodities, the stock register and the taka patty or the saleslip book supplied by the Committee. The last bost was to be filled inLtriplicate at the conclusion of every transactions one copy beigg given to the seller, one to be suiknitted to the committee for scrutiny and record and the third to be kept by the trader himself.

The principal conditions placed in the traders (adatiyas) were that they should pay the sale proceeds to the cultivator-sellers together with taka patties on the sams day of the transaction and that they were not to adjust the sale proceeds under loans advanced without consent of the seller.

Prior to its being regulated, the Nalwar market was reported to be one of the leading markets of the district. The arrivals were reported to be of the magnitude of 25000 pallas of groundnut, 2000 pallas of gram and linseed, 2500 pallas of toor and safflower and 1500 pallas of tilf, to mention only the principal commodities.

Jowar, being under control, and bot being brought to the market at all. The total average/hovever exceeded ten lakhs of rupees.

In the initial two years, ofthe Nalwar market recorded an increase in the total volume of arrivala. From 195354 onwards a decline in the arrival was noticed due to certain external as wall as internal reasons. Prine. cipal among the external reasons wase the development of the Shahabad market, whici was IInked withein the market at Gulburga by a pacca road and thus affording the quotation of prices privailing at the district place, transport costs having significantly decreased and transm poxt speeded upe An 011 will also cams to be installed at Shahabad. Likewise, the growth of Yadgir market was also noticed due to andincrease in the number of 011 mills, which during the survey year under/four. An important buyer from Gulberga operating at the Nalwar market incurred huge losses due to a cteep fall in prices recorded during the year and discontinued his purchases from Nalware Producers were therefore more egger to take their produce to bicger marketing centres Ifke Shahabad and Iadgir frequented by more buyers.

The principal internal reasons were the closure of three local oil mills which cut off a substantial local demand and the monopalisation of the merket by an who Adatiya who owned the only working oilmill and aseauib therefore was Anterested in buying of groundint at as Iow a price as possiblo. The Adatiya happened to be a close relative of the Sarpanch of the village panchayat and as such in a position to harrass those who over-bid him. He had been intolerent of those who affered higher prices
to producers and other Adatiyas, who were aware of his previleged position in local politics, were reluctant to incur his emity. It was reported that his monopoilstic and high-handed behaviour in the market was also instrumental in driving away a part of ${ }_{\text {Larrivals to }}^{\text {ane }}$ the Nalwar market.

The-table below gives a commoditywise distribution of proportions of the total arrivals to the Nalwar market. In addition to giving the types of commodities that were broght to the Nalwar market, the table also brings about the change in the character of the market.

The regulated market began as a predominantly orisads claiming 011 seeds market with 97 per cent of quantity and 84 per cent of value of the total animals in 1951-52. The importance of oilseeds has declined gradualily to 56 per. cent during the qualilymi
cent during the survey year. Arrivals of cereals, mainly Jowar haf increased in the meanwhile, constituting 25.21 and 15.39 per cent of the total quantity and value respectiveiy, in 1953-54. Cereal trade had decreased to 11.24 and 8.13 during the survey year. The only increase during the four years has been in the arrivals of pulses, the proportions increasing gradually from 3.1 per cent in 1951 \$52 to 32.66 per cent during the survey year.

The diversion of the a bove commodities/is/reflected in tho following table giving the commodities sold by the cultivators selected for farm business survey in different markets. The sales of pulses were small and were more or less equaliy divided between Nalwar and other markets.

TABLE 5.4: Proportions of Commodity-wise Arrivals to the Nalwar Mariset during the four years.


Cereals

| 1 Jowar | - | 1.71 | 25.19 | 11.02 | - | -2.25 | 15.36 | 7.89 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Wheat | - | - | .02 | .15 | - | - | .03 | .17 |
| 3 Bajri | - | .01 | - | - | - | .01 | - | - |
| 4 Paddy | - | .43 | - | .07 | - | .76 | - | .07 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cereals | - | 2.15 | 25.21 | 11.24 | - | 3.03 | 15.39 | 8.13 |

Pulses

| 1 Toor | 1.93 | 6.79 | 5.23 | 7.12 | 7.92 | 8.79 | 3.44 | 4.28 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Toor dal Gram | .005 | .01 | - | - | .05 | .02 | - | - |
| 3 Gram | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.09 | 8.01 | 6.86 | 4.42 | 4.54 | 6.92 |
| 4 Gram dal | - | - | - | .04 | - | - | - | .03 |
| 5 Kulthi | - | .07 | .005 | .15 | - | .08 | .01 | .11 |
| 6 Peas | - | - | - | 17.34 | - | - | - | 19.79 |
| 7 Others | - | .09 | 10.385 | - | - | .14 | 9.90 | - |
| TOTAL PULSES: | 3.088 | 9.26 | 18.710 | 32.66 | 14.83 | 13.45 | 17.89 | 31.13 |

## 011 Seeds

|  | dnut Pods |  | - | . 36 | 32.12 |  |  | . 07 | 34.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *2 | Groundnut Seeds | 92.29 | 77.10 | 47.01 | - | 51.08 | 61.12 | 54.39 |  |
| 3 | Supplower | . 76 | 3.04 | - | 9.01 | 3. 35 | 4.11 | - | 7.54 |
| 4 | Linseed | 1.71 | 4.14 | 3.34 | 10.57 | 9.55 | 7.12 | 4.01 | 13. 54 |
| 5 | Til | 1.94 | 3.78 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 19.49 | 10.49 | 7.77 | 5.42 |
| 6 | Cator |  | - | . 01 | - | -. | - | . 02 |  |
| 7 | Other oil seeds | . 005 | . 06 | . 09 |  | . 02 | . 06 | . 05 |  |
|  |  |  |  | . | 66.03 | 83.4 | 82.9 | . 3 | . 60 |

Others
1 Cotton .21 . 47 . 25 . 07 1.68 .62 .41 . 14

TOTALE 100.00100 .00100 .00100 .00100 .00100 .00100 .00100 .00 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
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| 1 Jowar | - | 1.71 | 25.19 | 11.02 | - | -2.25 | 15.36 | 7.89 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Wheat | - | - | .02 | .15 | - | - | .03 | .17 |
| 3 Bajri | - | .01 | - | - | - | .01 | - | - |
| 4 Paddy | - | .43 | - | .07 | - | .76 | - | .07 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cereals | - | 2.15 | 25.21 | 11.24 | - | 3.03 | 15.39 | 8.13 |

Pulses

| 1 Toor | 1.93 | 6.79 | 5.23 | 7.12 | 7.92 | 8.79 | 3.44 | 4.28 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Toor dal Gram | .005 | .01 | - | - | .05 | .02 | - | - |
| 3 Gram | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.09 | 8.01 | 6.86 | 4.42 | 4.54 | 6.92 |
| 4 Gram dal | - | - | - | .04 | - | - | - | .03 |
| 5 Kulthi | - | .07 | .005 | .15 | - | .08 | .01 | .11 |
| 6 Peas | - | - | - | 17.34 | - | - | - | 19.79 |
| 7 Others | - | .09 | 10.385 | - | - | .14 | 9.90 | - |
| TOTAL PULSES: | 3.088 | 9.26 | 18.710 | 32.66 | 14.83 | 13.45 | 17.89 | 31.13 |

## 011 Seeds



Others
1 Cotton $\quad .21 . .47$. $25 \quad .07$ 1.68 .62 .41. . 14

TOTALE $100.00100 .00100 .00100 .00 \quad 100.00100 .00100 .00100 .00$


Table : 5. Proportions of quantity and value of sales of commodities in different markets reported by selected cultivators.

| Market | Jowar |  | Groundnut |  | Iinseed |  | Safflower |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Valua | Qty. | Value |
| Nalwar | 21.97 | 23.75 | 24.91 | 25.05 | 32.15 | 33.00 | 43.87 | 44.36 |
| Shahabad | 63.84 | 60.51 | 11.40 | 11.00 | 67.95 | 67.00 | 56.13 | 55.64 |
| Yadgir | . 14.19 | 15.74 | 63.39 | 63.75 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

It may be geen that viniel Jowar, Inseed and Safflower were largely diverted to Shahabad market, a lerge portion/g 63 per cent, was being sold at Yadgir.

The reasons of this diversion and the decline of the Nalwar market may briefly be summed as,
(1) the growth of Shahabad and Yadgir markets as described earlier and
(2) the possibility of getting imnedite payment and the prospect of jmmediate sales due to the existance of large number ox kuyers at the two maricets.
The third reason was the sharp fall in wholesale prices recorded at the Nalwar market. Though the fall was of a general nature, also being felt in the Shahabad and Yadgir markets, the sellers ${ }_{c}$ presumably - hoped to get slightly better and more competitive prices due to the reasons stated above. To a certain extent the diversion was also due to the advances taken from Adatiyas at Nalwar and the consequent cuts insisted by the latter by way of reimbursement of the advances made by them.

The percentage fall in certain important commodities. brought to the Nalwar market is given belowi in fuble 5.6

Table: 5.6 Fall in kholesale prices recorded in Nalwar market during the survey year.

| Commodity | Price per Palla in June 1954 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price per } \\ & \text { Pajla in } \\ & \text { May isss } \end{aligned}$ | Lowest price quoted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percen } \\ & \text { tage } \\ & \text { decline } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jowar | 37-7-0 | 14-1400 | - | 59.80 |
| Toor |  | 19-8-0 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { 16- } & 0-0 \\ \text { (July } & 1954 \text { ) } \end{array}$ | 18.75 |
| Gram | 36-1-0 | 21-000 | - | 41.77 |
| Groundnut pods | 49-15-0 | 30-10-0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 29-0-0 } \\ \text { January } 195 \end{gathered}$ | $35)^{38.67}$ |
| Safflower | 34-1-0 | : 20-14-0 | - | 38.72 |
| Linnseed | 44-3-0 | 40-0-0 | - | 9.48 |

The decline in the Nalwar market is reflected in the table below giving the total volume of arrivals to the market and its value for 4 years since the inception of the Market Conmittee.

Table 5.7 Arrivals to the Nalwar Market from

| Year | Arrivals in | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Pallas }}{}$ |  |
| $1951-52$ | 12,614 | 128892 |
| $1952-53$ | 24,036 | 74147 |
| $1953-54$ | 11,821 | 509075 |
| $1954-55$ | 3,006 | 90765 |

5.8

The Eable belew gives the number of Iicences issued - by the Market Committee under different categories of market functionaries during the above four years.

Table:5:8\%0, of ifcences issued to different types of Market Functicnaries

| Category of Lincence | 1951-52 | 1952-53 | 1953-54 | $1954-55$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Traders (Adatiyas) | 15 | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| 2. Weighmen | 13 | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| R. Buyers | 5 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| 4. Retailors | 10 | 8 | 9 | 7 |
| 5. Petty dealers | 63 | 35 | 37 | 23 |

The decline in the rumbers of those who operated in the Nalwar market is apparent. The small decline in the case of Admtiyas and weighmen who were in the 8 ervice of the former was due, it was reported, to the renewal of their licences by some Adatiyas who virtually did not do any business during the survey year 1954-55 but revewed their licences in order to be able to collect theif advances made by them to the cultivators sn some of then brought their produce to the market.

As mentioned earlier. a large part of the total Volume of arrivals which formerly came to Nalwar was being diverted to other nearly malłets namely Shahabad and Iadgir. The Fable below gives the total aales of produce during the survey year by the 32 cultivators selected for farm business study and the places of sales.

> Tabie: 5.9 Sales of produce reported by selected cultivatiors classified place (market)wise.

| Market | Quantity |  | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pallas | $\%$ age | Rs. | \% ages |
| Shahabad | 218.42 |  | 3789 | $\therefore 45.81$ |
| Yadgir | 93.20 | 22.49 | 2346 | $28.36$ |
| Nalwar | $\begin{array}{r}96.78 \\ \hline .33\end{array}$ | 23.37 | 1931 | 23.34 0.35 |
| Chitapur Direct to | 1.33 | 0.32 | 29 | $0$ |
| Iocal grocers | 4.50 | 1.08 | 177 | 2.14 |
| Total | 414.23 | 100.00 | 8272 | 100.00 |

The sales reported by the sample of cuitivators illustrate the practice of marketing of produce significantly. The cultivators belonged to the survey unit comprising of Nalwar, Sugar and Kulkundi. Of the total neles replorted by these forming 13 and 9 per cent of quantity and value bf the total sales at Nalwar: less than $1 / 4$ th were sold in the Nalwar market.

The establishment of the Market Comaittee roported brought some advantages to the cultivator-seller. Main anong these were the stoppage of the small cut in weight, 5 to 6 seets in a palla, charged by the Adatiya as an allowance towaris tupurities, discontinuation of weighment charges beins-harged to the cultivator and the recording of each transaction, with a copy being given to the seller for verification. The Bye-laws of the Harket Comittee provide for a SubuComnittee which could be approached by either the geller or Adatiya, in case of dispute. There have been, however, no such disputes.

## Adat. Business at Halwar:

Before presenting the data as regards the mamers engaged in wholesale trading at Nalwar and their incomes, a brief discription of the marketing practices is given below. destunct
The wholesale or 'Adat business as dietrict from purchasis made on one's own sis $K$, those who pluchased on their own, was mainly carried on by either local people or persons tho had established direct contacts with local cultivators. The Adatiya combined in himself a number of sarvices namely that of money-lendins. in outis of acting as agents of Uuyers ank these-ấ comission agents. In this capacity, he happened to be the first and most essential link in the movement of goods from the produces and the purchaser temether; negotiating the price on behalf of the former and claiming bis comission or adat foes. In actual practice Adat business was much more complicated.

Thers being a number of Adatiyas in the mariset, every Adatiya sought to secure a certain amount of sales'/through . hin by 'investing' some capital of his own: He had to have
some liquid capital always ready for this purpose. This money was advanced to producers as' and when they needed it with an understanding that the producer would sell his produce through the former. The Adatiya thus acted as money-lender and charged interest. The current rate of Interest at Nalwar was 24 per cent. The advances were made against the security of crops in the first instance, the Adatiya having complete knowledge of the cultivated area of the producer and its productive capacity. The advances were entered into a printed diary or register and attested by the borrower. Such an entry was taken as a sufficient proof of indebtedness in the court of law. As such, it may be said that the advances were not securediby the crops but also by tine property and assets of the producer. The advances. in addition, amounted to 50 to 60 per cent of the estimated value of the crops of the individual producer. Cases were repoited where advances to the extent of 100 per cent or more of the estimated value of the crop ylelds, were made to producers but in all such cases the Adatiys had either intimate knowledge of the producers proparty and assets or a close personal relationship.

One of the Adatiyas was reported to be following an ingenious system of keeping the producers permanentily indebted
 Instead of advancing cash, he persuaded the producers to purchase his requirements on credit from his shops. The producef who was thus allowed tescr credit for adjustment at a later dates bought freely and much above his ability for repayment. He had to accept the prices quoted by the Adatiya's shop, which were known to be higher than those quoted by other shops, secondly a certain manipulation of accounts of purchases was said to be made. The producer who depended on the Adatiya for advances in times of!need and
his adat services had to behave according to the wishes of the Adatiya.

In the capacity of a money-lender, the Adatiya periformed an essential aervice namely provision of short term capital to the producers. The advances which were in the nature of production loans, wore also tabe spent, to a. cettain extent, on consunption and other domestic expenses like marriage, relegioks fastivals, etc.

The produce after being brought to the market was either heaped or kept in open bags for inspection by the buyers or his gumastas or clerks. In almost all cases the Adaciyas accepted responsibility of disposing off the produced of producer lattached to him; the final decision of agreeing to sell lying with the producer. The Adatiyas, however, never took the risk of selifing produce on their own, the risk thus being transferred to the producer. He, however, tried to get a cood price for his produce and often moved in the market with samples of the latter's produce. Auctioning of produce took place in the presence of one or more buyers, the Adatiya, the producer and the Market Superintendent.

If the produce remained unsold due either to absense of buyers or to too low a price, the Adatiya arranged to store the produce in his godown, without any storage charges for a short period. If the period of atorage exceedsdone month, storage rent at the rate of one anna per bag was charged.

The produce was cleaned and weighed after the sale was agreed upon. There were Hamals or labourers who cleaned the produce and put it on the weighing balance. The cleaning charges were two annas per bag and were exclusively paid by the producer. This system was insisted upon by the

Market Committee and had found $\angle$ to be advantagious to the producer. Before the inception of the Market Comittee tro Adatiyas used to cut two to six seers of produce per bag for accommodating impurities. Facility for weighment was afforded by the Adatiya, having a 1icensed weighman in his omploy and standard instruments of weighing.

There was no official or scientific grading at Nalwar. A perticular heap of produce was differentiated from other heaps only if it happened to be conspecuously different from others. only broad types were recognised, the graders being either the Adatiya or the buyer himself.

After the sade had been ccaducted the Adatiya settled acsounts with the producer. An Adat commission of 2 per cent advalorem was charged on the gross sales and from the remainIng cleaning charges and market fees at four annas per cent of va were deducted as these were to be paid forthwith. The balance was then available for adjusting the advances made by the Adatiya and interest thereof. The producer eot whatever remained after the above deductions. The-Adatifa generally paid the price-to the producer from his own funds.

After the completion of the sale, it was the responaibility of the buyer to take delivery of the produce. At Nalwar, this responsibility was undertaken by the Adatiya for a commission of one per cont advalorem charged to the buyer. He undertook to store the produce, to arrange for transporting it to the station, to load it into wagons and get a railway receipt prepared.

The commission charged depended on the Adatiyals business ralationship with the buyer, an old purchaser often being charged only $z$ per cent and given all the fabove services. A sidilar comission, it per cent; was charged when
the volume of purchases was large.
When-the-buyer-was-a-new-one, an advance deposit at the rate of Rs. 1,000 per wagon load was taken by the Adatiya. The buyer either attended the market personally or sent his gumasta to ascertain the price and quality. Often he authorised an Adatiya to buy on his behalf on being shown the sample. A buyer who, due to estiablished relations, had reason to rely on the a bility of the Adatiya, fully authorised him to make purchases,

The ddatiya in this capacity undertook the functions of a broker though he was prokibited to do so by the Bye-laws of the Narket Committee which defined the 'trader' as one who not being a broker who as principal or otherwise performed the function of buying or selling agricultural produce which was not of his own lands and included adatiya or agent. In this capacity, Adatiyas were known to have operated in the Nalwar market on their own and to have made purchases in anticipation of demand from outside buyers. By Firtue of the place and their sphere of operations, they were in a better position as to know when to purchase. In this context, it may be mentioned that according to reports, the three out of the four buyer's licences issued during the survey year were taken but by three local Adatiyas only. One of the buyers happened to be the owner of the local oil mill who also did Adat business' at Nalwar.

The Adatiya charged only loading expenses at an anna per bag, excluding the actual transport charges to the station and his commission to the buyer. They did not charge ang storage charges.

The Adatiya booked the goods to places as advised by the buyer and sent to him a statement of account together with the railway receipt, against which payment was done. The period of credit varied with the distance and the relationship of the buyer and the Adatiya. Generally payments were made within eight days either in cash or by a 'Hundi'. If the payment was postponed beyond fifteen days, the Adatiya charged interest on the sum due from the buyer.

The existance of the 'receipt-cut' system of quoting prices to buyers, reported by an Adatiya, denoted the practice of certain Adatiyas of buying on their own behalf. In this system the actual price quoted was the f.o.r. delivery price, and included all expenditure that had to be incurred upto the loading of wagons. Whereas the Adatiya, which acting as a broker or agent to the buyer sent statement of accounts denoting the price of the produce, the actual expenses incurred by him and his commission, in the receipt-cut system he 'quoted' a price to the buyer. In both the cases the Irieght charges were borne by the buyer.

During the aurvey year, nine families; 5 belonging to the Marwadi and four to the Lingayat community reported being ongaged in Adat business. 5 out of these, 4 Marwadi and one Lingayat family pursued it as the main occupation while to the remaining four, one Marwadi and 3 Lingayat families, it was a subsidiary source of livelihood; their main occupation being agriculture in the case of the three Lingayat families and trading in cloth in the case of the aingle Marwadi family.

In addition, there was one wore Lingayat family, that was engaged in Adat business till the year prior o
to the survey year. It was a resident hereditory weaver family with five handlooms and had cloth<and a thourly Kirana shop $\$$ also. Though the family continued to have an Adat incence during the survey year, it reported completed absence of business. As said earlier, the 11 cence was taken merely to enable it to recover the advances made to producers. It reported a eapital sum of about Rs. 20000 as being so advanced. Since the family neither did any adat business nor reported any income from it, it is excluded from the following analysis.

AII the Harwadi families had been migrants, in three cases the migration having taken place three generations back while in the remaining two cases, the fathers of the present heads of families had migrated. Of the four Iingayat families, two were resident fami- . lies while the remaining two had migrated to Nalwar $\swarrow$ between 5 to 7 years with the specific purpose of trading. From-neariy-rillages.

The family occupation a generation back was efther grocery trading or fdat in the case of all the five Marwadi families. In the case of all the lingajat families, however, the present occupation was adopted during the present generation, three of them having had agriculture and are religious service as the family occupations in the previous generation.

Subsidiary occupation in the case of two families, one flarwadi and one. Lingayat family was agriculture, the remaining three of the five having Adat business as the main occupation, not reporting any subsidiary source of income. In the case of the remaining four Adat itself was the subsidiary occupation, one family
reporting grocery and cloth trading as additional sources of income.

The premises situating the Adat shops were owned in all cases. Expenditure on lighting were very small as the shops stopped business at sun-down.

Six Pamilies, 5 Ingayat and one goldsmith, reportints being employed as clexics of gumastas in Adat shops. In addition there were forty families reporting Hamali work in Adat shops as the Pamily occupation, 32 as main and 8 as subsidiary. A more detailed account of these $1 s$ given subsequentiy.

In trading properg there were three more families that reported trading in groundnuts as the subsidiary occupation This trading, however, was in the nature of maicing purchases in small quantities from cultivators in adjoining viliages and seling in the Nalwar market.

All the three families, 2 Iaman and one IIngayat, reported agriculture as the main occupation, it being the hereditory occupation in all the three cases. Carting and selling fuel and agricultural labour were the additional occupations, of the the Iaman and Ifingayat families respectively erpicultural labour and selling of fuel being done by the women folk.

As observed earlier, a certain amount of Ifquid capital was necessayy to undertake Adat business. This capital was utilised in the a variety of ways, to make advances to producers and to make payments to them after sales in the first instance and oither to make purchases on behalf of outside buyers the whese behalf the Adatiyas acted as agents or as in the case of
certain Adatiyas to make purchases on their own. The table below gives the totals of estimated capital invested by the two groups, engaged in the business as main or subsidiary. The grouping is done with a view to 8 tudy the relative importance played by capital in the case of the two groups and later the proportion of returns. The estinumes of capital were reported by the Adatiyas themselves. In the Iirst group the variation was mugh larger, from Rs. 5000 to Rs. 50,000, than that in the second group, it-botios from Rs. 2500 to Rs. 25,000.

from table 5-10
It may be seenLthat the first group operated with a larger average capital than the second group, its
apiche was total peing more than double than that invested by the second group.

Figures of tumovers were not available, principalIf due to the reluctance on the part of the Adatiyas. The table below analyses the incomes reported in the Adat business in the above manner. The total income amounted to Rs. 17,600; the figure relating to incomes earned during the preriona jear. Basing the estimate of the total turnover on the rate of Adat commission.

the reported total incomelgives a turnover of Rs. 8,80,000. This may be taken to compare favourably with the value of the total arrivals to the market durIng the previous year namely Rs. $5,09,075$, given in a table elsewhere in this section. It may; however, be mentioned, in this context, that Adatiyas, while they were expected to record every sale affected through them, were also reported to be making certain purchases an their own which were never recorded anywhere. Secondly the total fIgure of income from Adit business includes adit commission as well as interest on loans advanced to producers, commission from buyers and a certain margin of profit also.


It may be seen/ that the first group eared $60 \%$ of the total income earned in dat business as compared to the second group reporting about 40\%. Compared to the proportion of capital reported to be invested by each group, it is revealed that the first group operating with about 70\% of the total earned $60 \%$ of the income while the other group operating with $30 \%$ earned about $40 \%$ of the total income. The percentage returns, while amounting to $14.3 \%$ of the total, amount to 12.4\% for the first group and about 19\% for the second group. This may be interpreted to be primarily due to
the decilining business in the Nalwar maricet.
The-Table botow gives the incomes earned all Fable below gives the incomes earned by/the nine families according to source and percentages thereof.


It may be seen that income from Adat business amounts to about 55\% of the total, the next largest source being agriculture with about 37\% of the total; which is mainly earned by the three Iingayat families with agriculture as their main occupation.

The three familles who did petty trading in groundnuts operated with a small capital of Rs. 200 each. It was in the nature of a seasonal occupation with them along with other occupations like carting and agricul-. tural labour. Their main occupation was agriculture.

Incomes reported by them are given in the-follow5.13 , Ing table ${ }^{2}$ classified source-wise. The petty trade, while being a subsidiary occupation, was the largest single source of income followed closely by agriculture. A fifth of theif total income came from other sources.

| TABLE: 5.13 | Incomes reported by petty dealers in groundnut trade. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Income | Percentage. |
| 1. Trading | 900 | 40.9 |
| 2. Ȧgriculture | 850 | 38.6 |
| 3. Additional sources (carting, Agricultural labour etc.) | 450 | 20.5 |
| TOTAL: | 2200 | 100.0 |

During the survey year, six families reported incomes from service in Adat shops as clerks. Five of these were Lingayats and the six ${ }_{K}^{k}$ belonged to the goldsmith community. Three Lingayat families reported it as their main source of livelihood the remaining three considering it as their subsidiary source of income. The main occupations of these three were agriculture, grocery trade and goldsmithy, the last pursued by the goldsmith lamily. One of the former three doing service as their main occupation reported hamali work In Kirane shop as the subsidiary occupation. This family had an additional income from agriculture also. All the families were resident families of Nalwar.

Two of the three families engaged in Adat service as the main occupation had weaving as the family occupation in the previous generation, the third family trading having bisinass as its occupation. The remaining three families were engaged in their family occupations, their subsidiary occupation of service in Adat shops being a diversion during the present generation.

The clerks did a variety of duties like keeping accounts and registers, supervising transport and loading into wagons and on occasions collection of advances given to producers. They had also to attend to
the household duties of the employer in the event of festivals etc. They were paid at an annual rate.

The Fable ${ }^{5}$ below gives the incomes reported by the above familles earned in the service of adat shops as well as from other sources. They are given separately for the two groups.

TABIF: 514 Incomes reported by Pamilies engaged in the service of diat shops.


| I Main | 1325 | 58.21 | 650 | 28.57 | - | 0 | 0 | 13.19 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| II Subsi- | 2250 | 52.95 | 1000 | 23.53 | 500 | 11.76 | 500 | 11.76 | - |


| TOTAL: | 3575 | .2650 | 500 | 500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

It may be seen that the income from the occupation happens to be the single largest income for the both the groups, varying only to the extent of $5 \%$ in its proportionate importance in the case of the first group,

> 的in reapatan though the abromte earnings/are greater in the segond group.
The tahie $5 \cdot 15$ below gives the caste composition of
the two groups.
TABLE 515 Caste composition of Hamal families.
Caste
Those doing Hamall Those doing Hamali
as main occupation as subsidiary
occupation.


| Lingayats | 8 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Muslims | 10 | 2 |
| Kurubars (Dhangars) | 1 | 1 |
| Kabbaligers | 7 | 3 |
| Bedars | 6 |  |
| TOTAL: | 38 | 1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 |

 —...

20 of the 32 families in the first group reported agricultural labour and 2 as other non-agricultural labour as theip subsidiary occupation. 10 families did not have any subsidiary source of income. In the case of 8 families that did hamali as the subsidiary occupation, the main occupations were agriculture (three families) and one family each in such occupations as agricultural labour, oil engine attendance, cloth trad1ng, assistant in sloth shop and money lending.

The Pamily occupations of the 32 families a generation back were varied as can be seen from the fellowing table, 20.5 .16

TABLE: 5.16 . Occupations of the Hamal families a generation ago.

Oceupations

1. Agriculture
2. Agricultaral labous
3. Business (Smail trading)
4. Hamali in Adat shop
5. Adat shop assistant
6. Weaving
7. Religious services Not reported
No. of familles.

- 8 11 2 32312
TOTAL: , 32

Except for those who were engaged in Agricultural labour and hamali work in Adat shops, the change in occupation in the present generation has been of a decline in status.
 ramilles reported employment under thls category, 32 as their main occupation and 8 as their subsleiary occud pation.

The work consisted of cleaning the produce either sold through the Adatiya or purchased by them, packing it into bags, moving it to and from the storehouse of the Adatiya and loading into wagons. Fifteen of those doing it as the main occupation were in the regular employment of Adatiyas and were paid at an annual rate of payment of Rs. 300/- on the average. In addition to the above duties, they had to tour round the adjoining villages getting estimates of the producer's crops as.well as collecting advances or waming them about 1t. The reraining were in the nature of attached servants who worked for their individual shop on and off when/there was work. They recelved wages according to the amount of work done.
The table/below gives the incomes reported by all families, grouped in the above two categories and classified according to source.

TABLE: $5 \%$ Incomes reported by Pamilies engaged in Hamali work in Adat shops.

| Source of income |  | Group I Main |  | Group II Subsidiary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bage to } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \%age to } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | Hamali work in Adat shops | 9255 | 67.11 | 3250 | 41.94 |
| 2 | Agricultural labour | 2500 | 18.13 | 200 | 2.58 |
| 3 | Agriculture <br> (Income from <br> land) | 1325 | 9.61 | 2100 | 27.10 |
| 4 | other labour work. | 510 | 3.70 | - | - |
| 5 | Small trading | 200 | 1.45 | 300 | 3.87 |
| 6 | Other occupation like mach ne attendant, shop assistan etc. pursued as main. | '. |  | 1900 | 24.51 |
|  | TOTAL: | 13790 | 100,00 | 7750 | 100.00 |

. It may be.seon that incomes earned in hamali work In Aañ thichis was the largest single source of income Por both the groups. The first group generally consisted of labourers, either agricultural or non-agricultural and earned about $89 \%$ of their total income th from labour work, three fourths of which was earned in hamali work alone. In the second group, however, income from labour amounted to $44.52 \%$ the larger of 55.48\% coming from other sources.

There were, in addition to the above families, a number of families that were engaged in non-agricultural labour work, not speciflcally mentioned. These reported 'any labour work' as their occupation either as main or subsidiary source of livelihood. Principally they were worked as labourers in the market itself doing the work of cleaning of produce, stitchingk carrying of bags, etc. There were, however, not attached to any Adat shops. An account of these families is given below.

These Jamilies numbered 52, 43 reporting 'any labour work' as their main and 9 as their subsidiary $5 \cdot 18$ occupation. Tableдbelow gives a castewise classification of the two groups.


| Panchal | 2 | - | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oppars | 2 | - | 2 |
| Kabbaliger | 17 | 3 | 20 |
| Laman | 1 | - | 1 |
| Korpavar | 1 | - | 1 |
| Bedar | 2 | - | 2 |
| Holeya | - | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL: | 43 | 9 | 52 |

It may be seen that the above families, with the exception of Lingayats and the Muslims, fall in the Lower social categories. The single major group is that of Kabbaligers followed by Muslims and Lingayats.
$5 \cdot 19$
The table hbelow gives the other occupations pursued by these families, subsidiary occupations of the first group and main occupations of the second group.

TABLE 5.19 Other occupations followed by the non-agricultural labour families.


| Agricultural Labour | 18 | Agricultural Labour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Income from land | 6 | Agriculture |
| Basket-making | 1 | Service in railway and in grocery shop |
| Quary labour | 1 | Masonry |
| No subsidiary occupation | 17 | Small selling Religous service. |
| TOTAL8 | 43 | TOTAL: |

While 17 families had to depend on this type of laboup exciusively, 18 families did agricultural labour work as a subsidiary source of income. This was done mainly by the women folk.

$$
5.20
$$

The table below gives the occupations of the families during the previous generation.

TABLE: $5 \cdot 20 \begin{gathered}\text { Family occupations during the previous } \\ \text { generation. }\end{gathered}$


The above table compared to the subsidiary occupations of Group I reveals that a substantial number of families that were pursuing agriculture in the previous generation, have turned labour families. The 17 families that reported as subsidiary occupation vere composed of those who did agricultural labour or agriculture during the previous generation. For the second group, the pattern of occupation during the present generation remained much the same.
$5 \cdot 4$
The table|below gives a distribution of total family incomes according to soupce.

|  | Group I |  | Source | Group II |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sage to } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \text { fin: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage to } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |
| 'Any labour' | 13865 | 74.52 | 'Any labour' | 2630 | 33.16 |
| Agrioultural Labour | 3510 | 18.86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agricultural } \\ & \text { Labour } \end{aligned}$ | 1280 | 16.14 |
| Agrioulture | 980 | 5.27 | Agricul,ture | 500 | 6.31 |
| Basket making | 150 | . 81 | Small Selling | 500 | 6.31 |
| Quarry Labour | 100 | . 54 | Masonery | 1500 | 18:92 |
|  |  |  | Servioe | 1070 | 13.49 |
|  |  |  | Employment in Grooery shop | 350 | 4.41 |
|  |  |  | Religious Service | 100 | 1.28 |
| Total | 18605 | 100.00 | Total | 7930 | 100.00 |

It may be seen that while 'any labour work' was the Cargest source fetching the target single slice of family income, its proportions for the two groups ohsiged very greatly with 74.52\% for Group I and $\mathbf{3 3 . 1 6 \%}$ for Group II. Taking income from labour work from any type of labour including agrfoultural and quarry labour, the difference between the tot groups is all the more striking. While $94 \%$ of the inoome of the first group came from labour, its proportion for Group II was less than $50 \%$, the ifttle more than $50 \%$ compling from other oocupations, including agriculture. A comparison between the avarage per family income of the two group shows that while earings from. 'any lebour work' were more (Rs.330) for Group If as cotipared to those for II (Rs.299), the total per family income of the former was Re.443, nearly half of that reported by Group II namely Rs.881.

The survey centre, situated in a tract principaliy growing food grains, pulses and ollseeds, presented a certain set of oiroumstances that affected hidemend for grocery goods. A large seotion of thu population was landiless and was compriaed of labourers either in agriculture, or quarrying or weaving or in hamali work in the market. Seoondily there were very few families belonging to the salariat olass earing fired periodical incomes. Thirdly, a large part of demand for grains and pulses was out off at the source on account of wage payments in kind especially in agrioulture, baluta payments and the securely established system of grain loans. Grain loans, calleí 'Lagi' loans locally, appeared to be more in preference than a oredit account at the grooer's firstiy because oash earnings were comparatively amall and seoondly because the possibility of getting loans in grain; whioh carry a high rate of Interest was comparatively great. Thirdly the repayment of such loans was facilitated by the wage earnings in kind after the Rabl season.

The demend, therefore, wes principally for other consumpstices
tion artioles like chillis, spooios, sugar and gul, tea, tobacco, kerosine otc. Secondiy it was dispersed over time a large number of purchases being made srom dey to day or week to week $X$ bus $\dot{T}_{h e}$ third characteristio of demand was that a significant portion of it was made against oredit or future aettlement, done after the harvest either by selling grain in the regulated markets or in retall in the village, or by actually surrendering a part of kind wages recelved.

The supply had likewise adjusted to the above oharaoteristio of demand. It was carried on with amall capital; there were a number of persons who sold grains only and a algnificant part of the supply was done on credit.

During the survey year 33 families reported trading in grains and grooeries, 23 as their main ocoupation aṇ̂d 10 as
their subsidiary occupation. While 17 of the former were grocers and the remaining 6 grain-sellers, the merbers of families engaged in the two categories were 4 and 6 respectively, for those who did it as the subsidiary occupation of the family.

The castewise distribution of the two groups is given in the table sid below.

TABLE 5:22 | Castewise distribution of families |
| :---: |
| engaged in retail trading in grains |
| and grocers. |

Caste \begin{tabular}{cc}

Group II \& \multicolumn{1}{c}{| Group |
| :---: |
| Trading as the main |
| Occupation. |} <br>

\& | Trading as the |
| :--- |
| subsidiary occu- |
| pation. |

\end{tabular}

| Marwadi | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ingayat | 11 | 3 |
| Muslim | 8 | 1 |
| Kabballger | - | 5 |
|  | 23 | 10 |
|  |  |  |

The first three commuitties were economically better of than the last, principally engaged in small cultivation and agricultural labour. The five families belogging to this commuity were mainly grain sellers in the weekly market.

Of the $23^{\text {families in the first group, the subsi- }}$ diary occupations were agriculture 5 fanilies, agricultural labour 5 families, non-agri cultural labour like hamali in cloth shop one family, employment as school peon, one family, small occupations like carting tailoring labour and rellgious services. one each; service in Adat shop one and small trading one. one Marwadi fanily reported house rent as its subsidiary source of income. Six rifmilies did not have any subsidiary occupation.

The main occupations of the 10 families in the second group were agriculture 7 families, and agricultural labour, panbidi selling and service in oil mill, one family each.

Of the 23 families in the first group, 15 were resident families, the remaining 8 families reporting migration to Nalwar, two families each 25 years back, . between 15 to 20 years, between 5 to 20 years and two families two years prior to the survey year. 811 the 10 families in the second group were resident families. 5.23

The/table below gives the occupations of the famiIles included in the two groups, one generation back.

TABLE 5.23 occupations of the trading families one generation back.

| Occupation. | Group I Persuing it as the main occupation. | croup Persuing the subs: oceupati |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Selling grain | 4 | 1 |
| 2 Grocery trade | 7 | - |
| 3 Agriculture | 5 | 5 |
| 4 Weaving | 4 | 1 |
| 5 Govt. Service | . | 1 |
| 6 Service in adat shop | - | 1 |
| 7 Masonry | - | 1 |
| 8 Rellgious service | 1 |  |
| 9 Labour | 1 | - |
| Not reported | 1 | - |
| TOTAL: | 23 | 10 |

It may be seen that about $50 \%$ of the families in both the groups had continued to pursue the same occupation, while the remaining $50 \%$ had taken to trading in the present generation.

There were 6 and 4 grocery shops in sugur and Kulkuindi respectively. 5 of the six shops at Sugur were run by Musilms, the sixth being run by a iingayat. The Kulkumdi shops vere owned by Lingayats and Rabbligers, each community having two shops.

Fous of the six families at sugur reported grocory trading as the main occupation while at Kulkumdi onily one family reported it as its main occupation. The rest did it as their subsidiary occupation. Two Pamilies at Sugur reported agricultural labour as theif subsidiary occupation, two from the village and the single family from Kulkumdi reporting absence of any subsidiary occupation. of the remaining five families from both the villages reporting it as their subsidiary occupation, fowr reported agriculture and one agricultural labour as their main occupations.

Of the 12 grain sellers, alnost all sold the grains, chiefly fowar, wheat and bajri and pulses like toor and gram either at housesor in the veekly market. only two of these, who resided near the market place, had a shop front in $^{6}$ thenhouses for the purpose of selling. They carried on business with the minimum equipment, namely a pair of scales and velghts, a fev bags and baskets. All business was done during day time.

Of the 21 Kirana shops, ten were situated in own shops and had, therefore, not to incur an expenses by way of rent. The average rent charges for the remaining eleven came to between. Rs, 180 to Rs. 240 a year. Expenses on lighting were estimated at Rs. 10 per month or about Rs. 125xa per year.

Holding of furniture and fixtures, differed according to the size of turnover, the average value in.case
of seven bigger grocers being estimated at Rs. 300, while that of the remaining smaller ostablishment being valued between Rs. 100 to Rs. 150. The total value of the fixtures was between Rs. 3600 to Rs. 3800 .

Purchase of grocery articles like kerosene, gul and sugar, tea, spices, tobacco, etc. were made from Yadgir, Shahabad and Gulbarga. The purchases were used to be made on credit, the credit period allowed being a month. During the survey year, however, more than 50\% of the grocers reported purchases of cash due to the continuous fall in prices during the survey year. This practice, according to them, kept a restriction on the volume of their purchases and also on their sales on credit in the village. 7 grocers, vho operated with a capital between Rs. 50 to 200, made their purchases locally.

Goods wera brought by rail, generally, upto Nalwar Station, and from there by bullock carts to the shops. They were generally brought by passenger train by the grocers htmuself. Oni few occasions, they were brought by carts returning from Shahabad or Yadgiri. The costs of transport were estimated to be betwean 4 to $5 \%$ of the turnover.

The year was alvided $\begin{gathered}\text { into } \\ \text { with therea seasons, during }\end{gathered}$ which the quantuu of sales under went substantial changes. According to local estimates, saluring the months of Jungearuly and August ware months of lowest sales, Gaeh month recording a sale of $5 \%$ of the total turnover. The five months after the above season recorded normal business anounting to about 358 of the total turnover or about 7\% per month. From February onwards, after the harvesting was over, sales 1 mproved to about $12 \%$ per month upto Mey, accounting fer-about/50d of the turnover.

The grain sellers made their purchases locally or in the adjoining villages. Their transport costs were virtually nil.

As observed earlier, a significant proportion of trade was carmed on as credit ${ }_{h}^{\text {basi }}$ jocal estimates put it to 15 to $20 \%$ of the total turnover. Credit sales had to be made because of the absence of occupations giving regular cash incomes and the dependence of a large section of the population on agriculture either as cultivators, or agricultural labourers or artisans. About 25 to $30 \%$ of the total credits due from the customers vere never recovered, it was reported.

The grocers at Sugur and Kulkumdi made their purchases from bigger grocers at Nalwar. They were given a credit period of one month generally. The purchases vere made monthly and were of the value of between Rs. 50 to 200 on an average.

All the grocery shops in these two villages were essentially small and operated with a capital of Rs. 50 to Rs. 300, with the exception of one at Sugur reporting a working capital of Rs. 1000/-. The nearness of the weekly market at Nalwar restricted the nature of demand exerted at these establishments, these supplying only mid week and spot necessities to the residents. Due to small sales and the additional cost of transport that had to be incurred in carrying their purchases from Nalwar, these grocers charged slightly enhanced prices about 5 to $10 \%$ higher than those ruling in the Nolwar market. The fact that two shops ean at both the Villages vere generally looked after by females, may be taken as an indication of the smaliness of their size.

The table below gives the total of woricing capital reported-te-be investied by both the groups. The figures
are taken as reported by each individual establishment. Though every individual ifgure is subject to a certain amount of arbitrarianin in reporting itself, the aggregates and proportions are given to indicate the broad distribution and relationship between the two groups. The variation between the extremes in the first group was between Rs. 50 to Rs. 10,000 and was much greater than that in the figures reported by the second group, namely between Rs. 50. to Rs. 5000.

| TABLE 5.24 | Working capital reported by the two groups of retail traders in grains and groceries. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | No. of establ shment | Capital | Avera capit per tabl1 ment | Percentage to total |
| I Trading as main occupation | 23 | 27960 | 1216 | 72.42 |
| II Trading as subsidiary occupation | 10 | 10650 | 1065 | 27.50 |
| TOTAL: | 33 | 38610 | 1170 | 100.00 |

It may be seen that the first group operating with 72\% of the total working capital, roported, actually shows a small increase on the average per establishment Pigure compared to the average figure on the total. In the case of the second group, the average per establishment capital is slightiy smaller than the general average.

The table/ below gives the estimated turnovers of the two groups, the profits reported in the occupation as well as the proportion of working capital reported by int then.


The table reveals that the first group operating with 72.42\% of total vorking capital had a 74.21 share in the turnover but made a profit of 71.83 in the total. This was because the percentage of profit for this group was about $11 \%$ as compared to $12.41 \%$ of the second group which operated with 27.58\% of share in the total capital, had a proportionately smaller share of 25.79 in the total turnover but made a profit of $28.17 \%$ on the total. The larger percentage of profits reported by the second group was presumably due to smaller costs incurred by them.

The table below gives the capital, estimated turnover and profit from the oceupation reported by the grocery establishments at Sugur and Kulkumdi.


It may be seen that compared to the percentage of profit earned ing grocers at Nalwar, that earned by the grocers at the two small villages is higher:

In the table ${ }^{5.27}$ below all. Incomes reported by the families engaged in the occupation in the three villages are given according to source. In addition to recording the total incomes of the families concerned, the table is azso presented to give a general idea as to the importance of the occapation to the families.


| 1. Grocery | 15500 | 71.90 | 6080 | $34.39880$ | 36.14 | 2700 | 63. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Agriculture or income from land | 2650 | 12.30 | 8925 | ${ }^{50.18}{ }_{850}$ | 34.91 | 900 | 21. |




Trading, agrioulture and agricultural labour were the important sources of income. While income from trading was the largest single source-for group $I$ and the grocers at Sugus and Kulkumdi, agriculture fetched the maximum income from Group II. It also formed a significant part namely 1/3rd and 1/5fth of the total income of grocers of Sugus and Kulkundi respectivelf. The proprotion-of Income-carned-from-agitientrural-Labour, While incomes earned in these three occupations formed the total for the grocers at Sugur and Kulkumdi, those in Group I and II earned 11.44 and 12.44 percent of their total incones from other non-agricultural sources respectively.

In addition to the families ongaged in the above occupation, there were 3 that reported working as cleriss and $\ddagger 5$ familles that reported working as hamals in the occupation of trading in grocery and grains.

011 the 3 families engaged in the occupation as clerks vere Lingayats, 2 reporting it as their main occupation and the third, its subsidiary source of income. The main occupation of this fanily was motordriving. The occupation of two of the fanilies was agriculture while that of the third was the same as at present, namely employment in grocery shop as a clerik a Two of the three families were inmigrant families, one having migrated to Nalwar 15 years back, while the third family doing it as the subsidiary occupation had migrated to Nalwar \& years back.
. The cleriss or gumastas were paid at a rate fixed per annum and had to co all types of duties like selling, weighing, collecting cask and creaits outstanding including keeping accourts.

Of the five hamal families, one reported it as the main occupation, its subsidiary occupation beins agriculture labour. The main occupations reported by the remaining four were agricuitural labour in the case of three and service as clerk in Adat shop in the case of the fourth. Three of the families, including the one doing it as the main occupation, had veaving as the family occupation the previous generation while of the remaining, one each had agriculture and agricultural labous as their occupation in the previous generation.

The hamals did all types of manual work and were, some like the clerk, employed by source of the bigger grocers. The rate of their wages was also fixed per annur and paid monthly or as and when they needed it. 5.28

The table Lbelow gives the incomes according to source, reported by the three clerk families and five families enployed as hamals respectively.

TABLR | $5.2 \phi$ | Incomes according to source reported |
| :---: | :---: |
| by families engaged in grocery trade |  |
| as clerks and hamals. |  |



| Trading | 1292 | 50.63 | 1225 | 29.34 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Agriculture | - | - | 1150 | 27.55 |
| Agri. Labous | 300 | 11.75 | 1400 | 33.53 |
| Other Occupations | 960 | 37.62 | 400 | 9.58 |

It may be seen that while the incomes from the occupation is 50\% of the total income in the case of the 3 families employed as cleriss, it forms less than the third in the case of hamal families, the largest single source of their income being agricultural laboup.

There were, during the survey year, 13 families that reported muning tea shops at Nalwar. $0 f$ these, 10 reported it as their main source of income, the Feraining three doing it as the subsidiary occupation. There were no tea shops at Sugur or Kulkwal.

The castewise classification of the two groups 18 given below.

| TABLE | 5-28 | Castewise classific engaged in tea shop | ion of families coping. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caste |  | No. of Pamilies ongaged in the occupation as main. | No. of families ongaged as subsidiays. |


| Mamadi | 2 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lingayat | 3 | 1 |
| Musilm | 4 | 2 |
| Bhavsar | 2 | - |
|  | ToTAL | 10 |

Three of the 10 Pamilies did not report any subsidiary occupation. The subsidiary sources of Income of the remaining seven were, income fron land fous faniLies, pension one, service in oll mill one and tailorIng and sale of ready-made clothes one- In addition some families also reported income frim house rent and agrieultural labour work. The main occupations of the other group were, agriculture two families and sale of betal leaves, one family.

The-tahle-below gives-the-occupation-of the fami-
11es-in-the-above-two-groups-during-the-previous-genem Reqariong rempation dusing knptrervons jewnation, is mation. $L$ z may be mentioned that though mearly hale of the fanilies were engaged in business such as cleth trading of grocery trade or sale of tobacce etce, none vas engaged in teamshop keeping. The occupation, In all the cases, had been adopted during the present generation only.

Of the thipteen establishments, five establishments, 3 mur by Husiims, and one each by a Lingayat priest and a Bhavsar, were big hotels with an annual turnover of Rs. 10,000 and more.

The coste of the business vapied according to size. The furniture and fixtures in the bigger shops were estimated to of the value of Rs. 200 on an average while the value of those in the smaller shops was reported to be between Rs. 50 to Rs. 75.

Expenses ly way of rent were very fev, as all the WIgger shops were housed in owned builaings and many of the smaller shops were either housed in owned houses or ohels. In temporary ahades. The average annual expenditure on IIghting was estimated to be between Rs. 150 to 300 Por the bigger establishments only.

Purphases of tea, sugap, epamilour etc, which were vore negessany for the conduct of the business,/generally done in cash wep locally or occasionally on cpedit, with a credit period of one to two weeks. One of the bigger shop-keepers reported outstanding debt of Rs. 400 due from hin to local grocers.

A11 the bigger shop keepers had three types of servants, namely the server whe served tea and dishes to customers, the man who prepared eatables and the one who washed dishes, swopt the llour and fetched water. In three eases, the ownere themselves prepared the eatables. An account of the caste structure and income of all those ongages as servants follows aubsequentiy.

Tos shop owners; reported credit business to the extent of 10 to $15 \%$ of the annual turnover. of this, about $25 \%$ were generally taken to be bad debts and 1rrecoverable.

The table ${ }^{5.39}$ tol capital Poportad by the two groups and proportions thereof.

TABLE 5.3p Capital reported by tea-shop-jeopers.


I Tea shope | keeping as |
| :--- |
| the main |
| occupation |

| II Tea shopkeoping as the subsidiary occupation | 3 | 1680 | 560 | 25.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL: | 13 | 6655 | 512 | 100.00 |

it can be sean
From the table/that the 3 shop owners in the second ten esubstisthmen group; operated with a slightly higher average capital than those in the former.

The estimated turnover, earnings reported and their $5.3 p$ proportions are given in the table below.

TABLE $5 \cdot 3 \varphi$ Turnover and Earnings of the teanshops.

| Group | Taynoves | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& age } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Iacome | $\begin{aligned} & \text { age } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ncome } \\ & \text { s fag } \\ & \text { urnot } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 62,000 | 74.70 | 4800 | 77.42 | 7.74 |
| II | 21,000 | 25.30 | 1400 | 24.76 | 6.66 |

The rate of profit in the last column explains the fact that Group I, with 74.70 \% of the total capital earned 77.42\% of the total income reported from the occupation. It should be mentioned here that the rates of profit of both the groups appear unduly depressed, as it is common knowledge that pates-of profitsin catering
service are generally much higher. Presumably the low rateswere due to two reasons, namely, the absence of any system of accounting that making it dipficult to arrive at a closer estimate of net income and resilting into either an overestimation of costs or underestimation of income figures and secondly the existance of credits due and bad debts; at any point of time. These credits due from customers are outside the day to-day conduct of business and have to be treated as net profits; as and when they are repald.

The total family income classified sourcewise, reported ky the families in the tro groups is given in the table ${ }^{5.32}$ belon to bring out the importance of the occapation to the two groups.

| TABLS 5.32 | Sourcewlse ciassification of income <br> reported by families engaged in tea- <br> shop keeping. |
| :---: | :--- |



It may be sean that while the first groupss earns 60\% of its total income from the occupation, $61 \%$ of the
total income of the second group comes from agriculture. Income from the occupation for the second group forms a fourth part of the total.

A total of 15 families reported employment in teashops, 9 as theip main source of livelinood and 6 as their subsidiary source.
5.32

The table/below gives the castes to which these Pamilles beloged.

## TABLE 5.32 Casterise classification of employees in teamshops.



The subsidiary occupations of 4 familles from turarme
Group I was agricultural or income from land and that of two familles was agricultural labour, the remalaing three not having any subsidiary occupation. The main occupations of those in the second group were, agricultural labour 2 families, veaving labour, thamilies, employment In foum flour mill and quarry one family, and sale of .Wegetables in the last case.

The pattern of ocoupation followed by these families 5.36 during the previous generation, given in the table $\alpha$ below, shows that a majority of these vere engaged in independent occupations such as cultivation, weaving and small selling.


As mentioned earlier, the servants are of three types, those who prepare the eatables, those who serve them and the menials who wash the dishes, sweep the Ploor and pzurer fetch water. The first group includes two belonging to the first category. A detailed breakdown of the rest was not available, all of then describing therselves as servants in teashops.

The payments were made on a monthly basis and generally included board. The rates of cash salary were, therefore, small, Gver the total, the range of cash payment varied from Rs. 50 to Rs. 475 per annum in the extrene cases, the average rate being Rse 225 per annum or roundabout Rs. 20 per month.
 the two groups, classified according to sources;


| Source | Familles engaged in service as main occupation |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Income \% age to total |


| Enployment in tea-shops | 2405 | 78.98 | 955 | 24.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 340 | 11.17 | - |  |
| Agri cultural labous | 500 | 9.85 | 1060 | 27.08 |
| Weaving Labous | - | - | 700 | 17.88 |
| Employment in floumill \& quarry | - | - | 700 | 17.88 |
| Small selling | $\cdots$ | - | 500 | 12.77 |
| TOTAL: | 3045 | 200.00 | 3915 | 100.00 |

It may be seem that the second group getting its largest slice from agricultural labour did not have any Income from land at all. The almost exclusive deperdance of the first group on employment in teashops is more clearif brought out by the fact that while the average per family incomes of the second group was Rs. 652.5, it was Rs. $338.3 / \mathrm{foF}$ the first group.

## Trading in eloth:

During the survey year 29 fanilies reported trading In cloth, 15 as theis main occupation and 14 as their subsidiary occupation. Cloth trade was divided into three types namely cloth shops selling all types of cloth Including sarees, weavers selling only homemade sarees and shops selling ready-tomjar clothes. The first were more of less pure trading establishents while the other
two combined production and trading. A certain overlappIng of the three types was also reported. A lingayat family belonging to the traditional weaver caste had handlooms producing sarees, a shop selling all types of cloth and also a couple of sering machines for producing ready-to-wear clothes. The third type namely sale of readytomear clothes were mainly run hy tailors. Some of the veavers matniy selling thelf own production were also reported to be importing sarees from nearby producing centres.

5235
The table below gives the castewise distribution of the 29 families according to the type or cloth trade followed by thell.

TABLR 5.35 Castes and types of clotia trade folloved by reporting families.


| Mamadi | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lingayat | 2 | $i$ | - | - | 2 | 5 |
| Bhavsar | 1 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Muslims | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| TOTAL: | 13 | 3 | - | 5 | 2 | 6 |

It may be seen that while type I is followed largeIy as the main occapation, types II and III as followed mainly as subsidiary occupations, the main occupations of these being weaving and tailoring respectively.

The subsidiary occupations reported by the first group were weaving, two fanilies, agriculture \& families and dat musiness, service in adat shop and small trading
one family each, the remaining 6 familles including 4 Marwail families reporting no subsidiary occupation. The main occupations of the second group were weaving fanilies, agriculture and talloring $\&$ families each and tea-shopkeoping one family.

The occupation of the fathers of the respondents are 5.36 given in the table ${ }^{\text {belolow. It may be seen that } 11 \text { families, }}$ 4 in the first group and 7 in the second group were weaving families. Except 4 Iamilies; 3 in the first group and one in the second who reported trading in cloth and ready-tomear clothes respectively, all the familles had taken to trading in cloth during the present generation.

TABLE 5.3 年 $\begin{aligned} & \text { pamily occupations in the previous } \\ & \text { generation. }\end{aligned}$


| Trading in cloth | 3 | Sale of ready- <br> tomear cloths | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other trading | 5 | Grocery | 1 |
| Agriculture |  | 1 | Agriculture |

of the 15 Pamilies, in the Pirst groups ten had regular establishments selling cloth, one had a tailor-cum-ready-to-wear clothes shopa and the remaining four pursued the occupation wi thout a shop in the mariset. 3 of these sold clothes and one sold sarees/and the veokly market daj. Two among the ten regular shops, reported-hovine sering macilines which were operated by thired tailors for preparation of ready-to-wear clothes.

The furniture and fixtures of the establishments were mainly wooden cupboards meant for display and storing of goods. The average value of fumiture was estimated to be between Rs. 150 to 200 per establishment.
rain rent forithic

Eleven of the total 29 families peported-such-of premises, the avera;e rent being Rs. 180 to Rs 240 per annum. Of the remaining, 6 owned the premises, five had their tailoring establishments, and the remaining three In the first group and four in the second did selling without any establishment.

The cloth traders made their purchases from places like Gulbarga, Sholapur and Hyderabad, three to six times in a year and against part payment in cash. The volume of purchases varied with individual trader and the number of merchendres of times he made his purchases; the average volume /varying from Rs. 300 to 600 per trip. Only two traders reported purchases of the value of Rs. 1000 .to 2000 per trip. Credit period allowed was generally one month, interest being charged if payment was not settled within the t month.

The three small traders in the fist group; made credit purchases from local cloth-dealers and settled their accounts every weak when they replenished their stocks for sale in the weekly mariset. They were itmerentitentrent traders, moving from village to village for six days and returning to the survey centre an the market day.

[^3]TABLE 5.38 Capital reported by traders in cloth.

| Groups. | No. of <br> families | Capital | Capital <br> Per family | Percentage <br> to total. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I irad- | 15 | 52400 | 3500 | 79.27 |
| incin | 15 |  |  |  |
| cloth as |  |  |  |  |
| main occu- |  |  |  |  |
| pation. |  |  |  |  |


| II Trading 14. 13700 | 978.5 | 20.73 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| in eloth |  |  |
| as subsidiary |  |  |
| occupation. |  |  |


| TOTAL: | 29 | 66100 | 2279.3 | 100.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The average per family capital for the second group is greatly enhanced by five families reporting Rs. 5000, 3000, 1200 and 1000 as their capital. The remaining 9 familles, constituted mainly of weaver families and tailors, who reported an average capital of Rs. 297 varying between Rs. 100 to 600.
5.38

In the tablelbelow the estimated turnover and proIfts are given for both the groups.
tablis 5.39 Turnover and profit in clath trade.

| Groups | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ho.of } \\ & \text { famio } \\ & \text { l1es } \end{aligned}$ | Turnover | Turgeove per ramily | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lage Proe } \\ & \text { to pit } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { tal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yage } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { turn- } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | \$age <br> to <br> total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ Main | 15 | 2,10,000 | 14000 | $\begin{aligned} & 77.78 \\ & 13900 \end{aligned}$ | 6.62 | 64.77 |
| II Sube sidiary | 14 | 60,000 | 4275 | $22.227560$ | 12.6 | 35.23 |
| TOTAL8 | 29 | 2,70,000 | 9310 | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \\ 21460 \end{gathered}$ | 7.95 | 100.00 |

The comparstively 10 wate of profit in the case of the list group was presumably due to higher establishment expenses and the declining prices. on the other hand the comparatively higher rate of profit of the second group was due to firstly to absence of establishment expenses and higher margin of profit as the group
was composed largely of weavers selling their own products and tiliors aelling ready-tomear clothes. The rate of profit of the first group compares favourably with the reported estimate of about 88 on an average.

$$
5.3940
$$

The table helow gives the total incomes reported by families in both groups and classified according to source.
TABLE $5.39^{\circ}$ Incomes and sources of families engaged

COTALE 22650 100.00 TOTALE . 15560 100.00

Both the groups were mainly dependent on the occupation for the largest single slice of their total income, thpough to a varying degree. While agriculture occupied second place in importance for the first group, it had the third place in the second group, the second place being occupled by weaving. The average per family income for the first group was Rs. 1510 varying from Rs. 300 to Rs. 2500 in cloth trade in extreme cases. For the second group the average per family income was Rs. 1111.4 with a Less wider variation, between Rs. 200 to Rs. 1200.

There were 11 more families that reported earning their livelinood from employment in cloth shops. Host of these were nanual servants except four who were elerics engaged in the actual saie of goods and in keeping accounts. All the 11 families are, however, treated together as the rates of payments ware alike.
5.4才

The follouinic tabie gives the caste composition of the 11 families divided into two categories according to their reported dependence of the occupation.

TABLE $5 \cdot 41 \begin{gathered}\text { Caste composition of employees in cloth- } \\ \text { trade. }\end{gathered}$

| Caste | $\begin{gathered} \text { Group I } \\ \text { Main occupation. } \end{gathered}$ | Group II <br> Subsidiary occupation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hingayat | 2. | 2 |
| Mus11m | 2. | 1 |
| Kabbaligar | 3 | 2 |
| Mapatha | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| Bhavsar | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| TOTAL: | 6 | 5 |

Subsidiay occupations of the families in the first group were ageicultural aboup 2 families, and service in Adat shop one family, the romaining three families not having any subsidiary eccupations. The main occupations of. the families in the second group were more varled and were small trading, money lending, agriculture, grocery trade, and tailoring with one family in each. .

The occupations of the families during the previous in table 5.42
genepation are giveng below. For both groups.


The table/below gives the total incomes reported iny tho employee families classified efcording to source.


| Enployment in cloth trado | 2320 | 84. 52 | Employment in eloth trade | 1617 | 28. 61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural labour | 300 | 10.93 | Agriculture | 1075 | 19.02 |
| Employment in siat shop | 125 | 4.55 | Money Lending | 1000 | 17.69 |
|  |  |  | Enployment in Adat shop | 660 | 11.68 |
|  |  |  | Talloring | 660 | 10.61 |
|  |  |  | Small trading | 400 | 7.08 |
|  |  |  | Grocery | 300 | 5.31 |

TOTAL: 2745 100.00 TOTAL: 5652 100.0C

Though the omployment in cloth trade fetched the largest single silce of the total family income in the case of the second group, the families were better off since they were omployed in other occupations fetching better incones. The dependence of the families in the first group was to the extent of 85\%, ef The rates of salapies in cloth trade for both the groups varied little and were between Rs. 200 to 400 generally.

## Pan Bidi Shops:

Thiere were 16 families that reported income from trading in pan and bidi, 11 as their main occupation and 5 as theip subsidiay occupation. More than haif of
these were Muslims by caste. The caste-composition of antrole 5.4 多

TABLB $\dot{5}^{\circ} 4 \beta$ Caste-composition of panbidi sellers.

| Caste | Group I <br> No. of families report ins as main | Group II <br> No. of farailies reporting it as subsidiary. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lingayat | 3 | 1 |
| Muslim | 4 | $4{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Kabbaliger | 3 | - |
| Iaman | - 1 | - |
| TOTAL: | 11 | 5 |

6 fanilies in the first group did not have ans subsidiary source of income, the remaining a five reporting tailoring wage-woris, tea-shop, erocery, service in oil mill and agricultural labour, one family each. The main occupations reported by the second group were small business 2 families, agriculture, weaving labour-woris and non-agricultural labour - one family each.

Except two families who pursued the same occupation a ceneration back, all others had taken to the occupation during the present generation; as can be seen from the 5.44 table given below. The table gives the occupations pursued by the families a generation back for both the groups.

TABLE 5.44 . occupations pursued by the familles in panbidi trading during the previous. generation.


[^4]

The pan-bidi sellers also had a eash-structure liko that of other traders and had to incur expenditure on rent and lighting. The fumiture and Pixtures were estimated at an average of is. 25 to 80 per shop. Expenses on 1ighting varied with the size cis the shopg the estimated average expenditure being letween Rs. 120 to 180 on this item.

The purchases of stocics wore largely made from Yadgir, some stocks also being purchased Irom Guibarga. The goods hire purchased on credit, the credit period being generally one month. It was raported that the traders could get credit to the extent of Rs. 500 at a time.
. The principal difficulty experienced by the panbidi traders, nomely that of a large proportion of sales on eredit, was experienced by all, the pan bidi sellers Feeling it more accutely than others owing to their small capital. The credit, sales were estimated to be mearly 20\% of the total turnover, $5 \%$ being taken as definite bad debts.
5.45

The table人below gives the capital reported hy both the groups, the estimated turnover and the income from the occupation.


The rate of profit is slightly low for the second group On the total it is $18.5 \%$, ${ }_{\text {人hich }}$ comaratively larger than in other types of trading. It was reported, in this context, that a large part of the profit, placed between 60 to $75 \%$ of the total, came from selling of pan compared to selling of bidis and edgarettes.
5.44

The table below classifies the family incomes reported by the families according to source.

TABLE $5.477 \begin{aligned} & \text { Sourcewise classification of total family- } \\ & \text { incomes reported by all families. }\end{aligned}$


TOTALE $6100 \quad 100.00 \quad$ TOTAL: 2750100.00

Both the groups, it may be seen, are largely dependent on the occupation for a major portion of their inpome. The other occupations of the first group show a wider variation than those of the Pirst, the dependence of both the fumpe being to the extant of $75 \%$ or more on trading including pan bidi selling. Though the average per family incomes are almost identical with Rs. $554.5 \pi$ and Rs. 550 for the two groups respectively, the average per fanily earnings from the occipation show a iarger variation, the respective figures being Rs. 368 and Rs. 320.

## Sale of Mutton:

There were nine families that reported earning their livelihood from selling mutton. Eight of these wore Huslims by caste while the nineth one was a Maratha.
deecundents
Four of the ebove families were difeendents of a single family that was engaged in the occupation about 50 years ago. of the rest three had rilgrated to Nalwar, 40, 22 and 16 years back, ons was/a female family head hawking mutton preparations and the last was a resident family that had taken to selling of mutton in the present generation. The occupation of the farilles was 'sale of sheop' a generation ago.

Except one of the Huslim families, the occupation was the main one for all others. Agriculture was reported to be the main occupation of this family. The subsidiary occupations of the remaining were agriculture 2 fanilles, tailoring, pan-bidi selling and agricultural labour one family each. 3 families reporting absence of any subsidiary source of income.

The fous discendent families mentioned above did business at the ancenstral shop, each getting his turn
after three wesks. The tupn or right to do business lasted for one weak. Instruments namely knives were separataly owned whila the scales remained the same Por all.

Sheap were purchased either locally or fron neighbouring pillages. Ansers shesp butchered for the purpose of selling mutton fetched tifo rupees/g in addition to the value of skin. (Rs. I to 1-8-0) and mution for home consumption.

After the tura, the familles reported itenere/ sale of mutton, the butcher moving from village to village, purchasing and cutting the sheep in the village and sellIng mutton in the village. They moved within a radius of 4 to 5 miles around Nalwar. Purchase of mutton duping all the iteneront sales was made in kind and never in cash. All britcher pamilies except one femate family. by inifanale, headd/thus got a part of their earnings in kind.

Some of the families, including the above four and the female head, also prepared kababs, a cooked mutton dish, and sold them near the liquor shop. An average dally turnover of Rs. 2 to 3 was reported.

The average working capital, reported byfour families was Rs. 200 to 250. The remaining generally purchased sheep on credit and paid the price as soon as mutton was sold.
5.48

The table/below gives the total incomes of the above families classified according to source.
TABLE: 5.4 ) Incomes reported by butcher families

Source
Sale of Muttom Agriculture Agricultural labour panbidi trade Tailoring

Income gage to total.

| 4110 | 68.05 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1300 | 21.52 |
| 280 | 4.64 |
| 200 | 3.31 |
| 150 | 2.48 |
|  | 100.00 |

A single fanily, belonging to the Chambhar caste, reported sale of sheep akin as its main occupation. The family was a resident one and had, as its family occupation, the preparation shoes etc. in the preyious generation $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}$ had taken to trading in sheep skin es the heridetory occupation had become unremerative.

The trader purchased sheep skins from Nalwar as well as from mearlog villages and sold them at places like Yadgip, Kolkutai etc. The average purchase price was between Rs. zere 1-4-0 to Rs. 1-8-0. The average sales price was between Rs. $1-10-0$ a a 1-14-0 depending upon the size and weight of the skin. The trader had invested Rs. 500 in the trade and purchased on an average between 50 to 75 aisins every month.

The family reported agriculture as its subsidiary ocelpation. The women-folk also worked as agricultural labourers during the season. A total income of Rs. 2200 was reported; Rs. 1000 from trading in sheep skin, Rs. 1000/- from cultivation and Rs. 200 from agrienitupal Iabour.

4 Husilm family reported sale of fish as its main occupation with income from land as its subsidiary source of income. It was a resident Pamily of Nalwar and was a weaver family in the previcus generation. The present generation took to trading in fish because of the unremmerative nature of weaving occupation.

The head of the family had two of his sons at Shahabad also engaged in asie of fish. He purchased fish worth about Rs. 30 to 50 once a month and sold it retail at Nalwar. The total family income reported was Rs. 500, composed of Rs, 300 from trading in fish and Rs. 200/- from the family fam.

In adijtios to the different types of trading, described earlier, there were certain families that were engagé in trading on a smali scale. These are broadly divided into three groups fro for purposes of analysis. The three groups are considemed together as all of then vere of ther in the nature of haviors and/for sellers in the weekly mariset and itinerent tradesman. A second criterion for their grouping was that they did not have a regular establishment. The first group inciudes sweetmeat sellers, hawiers of other types eatables etc; the second group includes sellers of vegitables, sellers of spices etc. in the weekly market etc. and group three consists of sellers or hawikers of miscellaneous articles like bangles, toilet articies like kumkum and tooth powder, gauff, mise
other articles etc.
5.48

In the tablelbolov the caste composition of the three groups is given. It may be noted that nearly half of the total number of families are Afusifms. They form nearly $80 \%$ of those in Group II, while bow Ing the single largest communtty in each group. In addition to the Musilms, castes like Rajputs and Marathas aper significantiy dominate in the third group.

TASLE: 5.4 Caste composition of Small Sellers.


| Brahmins | 1 | - | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rajput | $\overline{3}$ |  | 1 | 4 |
| Inngeyat | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |
| Maratha | 2 |  | 1 | 3 |
| Kliger | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Khavsaf | 2. | 1 |  | 1 |
| Laman | $\overline{3}$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | 5 | 15 |
| Muslims | 3 | 7 |  |  |
| TOTAL: | 10 | 9 | 13 | 32 |

A total of seven families, 3 each in Group $I$ and II and one in group III pursued their respective occupations as subsidiary ones.

Small selling was the hemditary occupation for less than half of the families. Tablajbelow gives the distribution of families according to the family occupation a generation back. It mas be noted that the jaingle largest group that took to small trading during the present generation is composed of weaving families. TABLE: $5 \cdot 4^{50} \begin{gathered}\text { occupations pursued by families in smaif } \\ \text { selling a generation back. }\end{gathered}$ $\begin{array}{lcccc} \\ \text { Occupation } & \ldots & \text { Group } \bar{I} & \text { Group II } & \text { Group III } \\ \text { Iotal }\end{array}$

| Mon-agricultural | 3 | 1 | - | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| labour | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Religious service | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Not reported | 1 | 1 | 13 | 32 |
| TOTAL: | 10 | 9 | 13 |  |

5.50

The table/helow gives the total incomes reported by the families in all the three groups according to the source of income.
TABLE: $5.5^{\circ}$ Sourcowise classification of total family income reported by the families in the three groups.

| Source | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Swoetmeants } \\ & \text { etc. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Vegitables, |  | Group III |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Vegi | tables. es etc. |  |  |
| Small selling | 2748 | 64.09 | 3025 | 59.61 | 5350 | 79.26 |
| Other solling (Kirana, panbid1, etc:) | 100 | 2.33 | 600 | 11.82 | 500 | 7.41 |
| Agri culture | 450 | 10.49 | 225 | 4.43 | - |  |
| Agricultural <br> Labour | 50 | 1.17 | 175 | 3.45 | 200 | 2.96 |
| Employment (Service) | 540 | 12.59 | - | - | 300 | 4.44 |
| Other non-agricultural occupation (tailoring, religious ete.) | 100 | 2.33 | 250 | 4.93 | 400 | 5.93 |
| Weaving latour | - | - | 500 | 9.85 | - |  |
| Non-agricultural labour | 300 | 7.00 | 300 | 5.91 | - | - |
| TOTAL: | 4288 | 100.00 | 5075 | 00.00 | 6750 | 100.00 |

It may be seen that all the three groups depended, to a varying degree, upon small selling in the main. The occopation being of a small nature fetching small income, the families had to purpue other occupations that fetched nearly a third of the total Pamily incone.

## Sale of Toddy:

The toddy shop at Nalwar was owned by a 11quor atity contractor from Wadis He had contracted from the Covernment the right to produce and sell liquor in about 15 villages around Nalwar, including Nalwar. It was reported that about 90 to $95 \%$ of the total sales were of toddy, tapped locally.

The aalesman at the local toddy shop was huslim who had inigrated to Nalwar specially to conduct the shop.

A11 expenses of the shop, such as rent and lighting estimated at Rs. 200, were borne by the contractor. The salesman worked on a commission basis, the commission being based on the total sales. The salesman got, by way of his commission, one bottle of toddy for every 20 bottles sold, or 5 , of the total turnover.

- Toddy was brought to the shop in barrels and was later bottled. A bottle cost annas seven. The average daily sales were estimated at 80 bottles, on $\&$ cases of 20 bottles each. The turnover amounted to Rs. 35, the salesman getting Rs. 1-12-0 as his comalssion. The total income reported by the salesman was Rs. 500 per Fear. The shop was closed for a period of about 2 to 2t months during a year, during the rainy season.

During the year, the assistant in the shop, paid at the rate of $\mathrm{Rs}_{\text {. 20 }}$ - per month, was removed owing to decline in sales. Kabbaligers, Wdadars and tuslims were the castes that formed alsmost all of the custom.

A brief account of other fanilies engaged in this occupation of tapping toddy is given in this context.

There were 6 Pamilies that reported eaming their ivivelihood from this occupation. of these 5 were Iligers, a caste hereditdrily engaged in toddy tapping. The sixth was a Laman family engaged in keeping a watch on toddy palms.

Of the five Illger families, four were actively ongaged in toddy tapping while the fifth was an employee of the Excise Department. There were thus seven persons who tapped toddy, two employees of the Exclse Department and one watcham engaged in the occupation.

Toddy palms were numbered and the Excise Department employees directed the toddy tappers as to which trees and curthotation. were to be tapped/andinternot. The watchman kept a watch on the trees to prevent stealthy tapping.

Tappers were paid on a contract basis, the remuneration being based on the number of trees allotted to each tapper. The average per person income from toddy tapping amounted to Rs, 365, varying from Rs. 200 te and Rs. 250 in the case of two persons to Rs. 600 In the case of another two persons. The remaining three reported an Income of Rs. 300 per annum.

Both the Excise employees reported an income of Rs. 600 or Rs. 50 per month. The Laman watchman received an income of Rs. 200/-.
5.52

The table below gives the total incomes of the 6 families according to sources.

TABLE: 5.52 Sourcewise classification of total family incomes.

| Source | Income | Kage to total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toddy tapping | 2550 | 41.30 |
| Excise Department employment | 1400 | 22.67 |
| Income from land | 1250 | 20.24 |
| Agricultural isbour | 700 | 11.34 |
| Smail trading | 275 | 4.45 |
| TOTAL: | 6175 | 100.00 |

It may be seen from the table that though the occupation fetches the largest single slice in the total family incomes, the families depend to the extent of 59\% on other occupations like service in Government Department, agriculture and agricultural labour and small trading.

The survey centre was characteristically situated In the matter of transport facilities. Due to its having a station on the main broad-gnage railway line of the Central Railway, it was directel linked with bigger townsand cities like Yadgir, Shahabad, Gulbarga and Sholapur. Hovement of goods such as surplus produce brought and sold at the Nalwar market, production of stone slabs from quarries situated in nearby villages otc. was facilitated by the railway.

The village however did not have/well-connected road systern and as such did not have any motor transport. All passenger traffic, therefore, was diverted to the railwey. The Nalwar Railway station, which lay 9 miles to the south east of the wadl junction and between two bigger towns of Wadi and Yadgir, was actually a small station where only through passenger trains stopped. This meant long hours of waiting as only four passenger trains, two up and two down trains, stopped at Nalware A peculiar difficulty that was noticed was that it took
chitapur hours for a return journey to Cintapur, the Tahsil centre, situated about 20 miles to the north. This journey in trim meant taking the up passenger/to Wadi, waiting there for three to four hours for the dewn passenger going from Wadi to Eyderabad. The actual period of journey in the rallway coach took less than three hours. A motor road linking the survey centre with the Tahsil headquarters, would take about two hours for the/return journey. .

It may be seen from the map that Nalwar is situated Kagna In a narrow strip bounded by the River Bhima and Ragne In the south and easfrand cut across in the north-western side by the railway line. This strip was not connected or traversed hy any of the districtipoutes. The village. was connected to manr'y fillages by cartiraciks only.

Gauthath of
The hurvey centre was situated two miles westwards of the railway station and was connected by a pucca road constructed during the survey year. It was a development Item, two-thirds of the cost being undertaken by the Government and one-third by the village in the form of material and labour. The construction was, according to the stipulation laid by the government, undertaken and completed under the direct supervision of the Gram Panchayat Samiti.

All passenger traffic a to and from the village to the railway station was bowme by this road. Transhipment of produce purchased by outside buyers at Nalwas market as well as all imports to Nalwar, was. done at the Nalwap station. As was mentioned earlier a major part, between $2 / 3 r d$ to $3 / 4 t^{2}$, of the saleable surplus produce grown in and around Nalwar was directiy taken to bigger maikets I1ke Shahabad and Yadgir, maing by corts.

Transport of stone-slabs quarried around ralwar was done by thruciss owned by the quarry owners. In this context, it was reported that the oarriage of all production of stonenslabs was in the hands of Vaddars, a tribal caste speciailsed in all types of earth work, including transport, till six or seven years back; before the advent of trucks. Drafing the surver year, all production of stone-slabs was, transported from the quarify to the railway station by means of tracks.

In the absence of mechanised transport far intont inta Village commaication, traffic by milock-carts, either Por transport of goods or persons, still pamained. Bigger and medium sized cuitivators owned carts for the purpose of carrying manure to the field and movement of produce from the threshing ploor to the house and thence to the market for sale. Carting as an occupation was pursued

Whainly by smaller cultivators and a few mediumosized cultivators. The table ${ }^{5.5}$. families engaged in carting according to the size of cultivated Iand.

TABLE: 5.53 Carting Pamilies according to the size of cultivated land.

| Size group of cultivated holding. | No. of carting familios | \% age <br> to total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 5-10 | 9 | 18 |
| 10-15 | 11 | 22 |
| 15-20 | 7 | 14 |
| 20-25 | 13 | 26 |
| 25-30 | 3 | 6 |
| 30-40 | 2 | 2 |
| 40-50 | 4 | 8 |
| 50-50 | 1 | 2 |
| TOTAL: | 50 | 100.00 |

The table above does not include two carting PamiIles who did not cultivated any land. one of these was the only family that reported carting as the main occupation of the family. The second family had 3 acres of land but did not cultivate it. All the 52 families are treated as a single group.

The table ${ }^{5 \cdot b l}{ }^{\text {bld }}$ low gives a castewise classification of the carting families.

TABLE: $5.57 \begin{gathered}\text { Castowise classification of carting } \\ \text { families. }\end{gathered}$

| Caste | Mo. of families. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lingayat | 2 |
| Maratha | 2 |
| Muslims | 8 |
| Kurnbar | 3 |
| Kabbaliger | 20 |
| Laman | 25 |
| Sodar | 2 |
|  | TOTAL: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

It may be seen that Lamans were largely engaged in carting, followed by Kabbaligers, Muslims and Kurtibars, Fo Vaddar family reported carting as the main or subsidiary occupation. It may be mentioned here that a fow more Laman families did earn small incomes by carting, as their third or fourth occupation of the family.

Except the two Bedar families who reported carpentry as their main occupation and the single (Lingayat) Pamily that reported carting as the main occupation, all the remaining families reporting cultivation as their main occupation. of these nine families were pure tenants and one family owing land did mot cultivate but received income from land. The subsidiary occupation of the single family was cultivation.

46 of the above 52 families were engaged in agriculture a generation ago. two each were doing non-agricultural iabour in Adat shops and railway department, one did carpentry and one was engaged in business.

All the above carting families possessed onecart and two builocks each, the avarage value of a cart being Rs. 150 and that of a pair of bullocks being Rs, 200. Fhe uras estmates at total value of equipment being Rs. 18,200.

Bullock carts were elther got prepared at Nalwar itself or purchased second hand in the village or at places like Chitapur or Yadgip. The average annual expenditure of repairs and lubrication oil was estimated at Rs. 30 popsear per cart. Average annual expenditure on the pair of bullocks came to between Rs. 150 to Rs. 200 depending on the capacity and size of bat the bullocks. Almost all the cultivating carters used the bullocks for agricultural operations also.

Trafile was divided into two categories, namely Ihs wheye ibeholurny Gchoren timiteape between lFazwar and Station and Halwash/and other marketing places lise Shahpur and Yadgir. A Pew carters were in practice attached to Adat shops and transported the produce sold at Nalear and exported via the railuathon Tho frepors The majority of carters were engaged in the earilage of
agaimehurace proatrearls produce to the Shahabed and Yadgir marisets.

The zates of transport depended on the distance metween Nalwar and the place of destination; the actual rate being Rs. 1-8-0 per cartload of 6 bags of two Bengali maunds each, between the village and the station, Rs. 6 to Yadgir, Rs. 5 to Shahabad and Rs. 3 to Wadi.

The jear was divided into two seasons, the brisk season being during the months of October to December and February to May, when Kharlff crops like groundnut and toor and Rabl crops like fowar, Sarllower, and lindeed were ready for marketing.

For a better understanding of transport costs and practices, tie trable $\alpha^{5 \cdot 36}$ blow presents the data regarding the proportions of marketed produce according to places of marketing and the transport cost of the cultivators selected for farm business survey:


The transport charges came to nearly 68 of the total value of produce transported from Naiwar and the other two adjoining villages to outside markets. It may be That int above mentioned here [ does not include transport cost of goods brought from the adjoining two Fillages to Naiwar. Transport of goods by cultivators of Nalwar to the Nalwar mare ket ay was done in owned bullock carts generally.

In addition to the transport of neariy $76 \%$ of this marisetable produce, and the transport of produce exported via the railway; the carters earned smail incomes by transporting atone slabs from the quarries to the sites of house-construction in the village, transport of imports brought individuaily by traders etc.
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The table below gives the total incomes of the 52 carter families according to source.

TABLE: 5.5年 Total Family incomes reported by carter families classified sourcewise.


| Carting | 10500 | 26.77 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture | 19825 | 50.55 |
| Agricultural Labour | 7235 | 18.45 |
| Sale of Fuel | 810 | 2.06 |
| Carpentry | 350 | 0.89 |
| Sale of milk | 500 | 1.28 |
| TOTAL: |  | 39220 |
|  |  | 100.00 |

It may he seen that agriculture fetchod the blargeat single silice of income, income from carting being oniy one fourth of the total. It may be mentioned in this context that though only one fanily mentioned carting as the main occupation, there were 11 families who reported higher incomes from carting than from agriculture which was reported as the main occupation. For one fourth of the total income the carters had to do agricultural labour, sale of wood and milk and carpentany.

Mechanised road transport, as was mentioned earifer, was restricted to the carrying of stone slabs quarried at the quarries roundabout Nalwar. During the survey year there were two trudrs owned by the owner of the Su; fuer quary and a.Marwadi flour mill owner. The truck owned by the latter was, during the survey year, unused owing to a major breakdown. operational bi description of the former has been given under the section an quarrying.

A total of eitht families reported earning an income from employment in mechanised road transport. of these four reported employment as truck drivers, two as mechanies and two as cleaners. of the four reporting employment as truck drivers; two families, woriced at Kollur, a village 5 miles south of Halwar and having a large quarry. known for its blue stone slabs and at Wadi, where there were a number of quarries and quarryowners. Dne of the two mechanics, had undergone a cource in auto-mechanics at Eyderabad. The drivers as well as other mechanigs had asquired their skill by actizal experience.

A casterise classification of the eight families shows that 3 were muslims, two Lingayats, two Kabbaligers and one Laman. freeach of the Lingayat and Kabbaliger families were engaged as cleaners.

A generation back, all the three fuslim families were ongaged in service, two in Covernment service and one in wine shop. Both the Kabbliger farilies and the Laman family were engaged in agriculture. One of the Lingayat cleafiner family was employed as a hamal in a grocery shop, while the Lingayat driver's iamilya


Four of the 8 families, including two Musilm driver families and the two cleaner families, were residents, the remaining four reporting migration to Nalway during the last six years.

Three fanilies, two Muslim driver families and one Kabbalegir cleanar Pamilies reported it as tia subsidiary occupation, the main occupation in all the three cases being agriculture. of the remaining ilve, only two had some subsiaiary occupations namely employment is aloth shop and agricultural labour.

The table/below gives the incomes reported by the eight families classified according to source.

TABLE: 5.50 | Sourcewise classification of incomes |
| :--- |
| reported by familios engaged in |
| mechanical road tramport. |

Source
Mechanical Road Transport.

Agriculture
Agricultural labour
Service

| Income | \&age to to |
| ---: | ---: |
| 5508 | 61.20 |
| 2100 | 23.33 |
| 750 | 8.33 |
| 642 | 7.14 |
| 9000 | 100.00 |

It may be seen that the eight families, taken as a group, get 61\% of their total incone from the occupation and 23\% from agriculture. It may be mentioned here that 3 families did not either have a subsidiary occupation nor a subsidiary source of income and depended solely on income from the occupation.

The railway staff consisted of 36 families, exclusive of a families engaged in hamali work on this atation. These 36 Pamilies fell into 3 broad famitios categories namely the administrative staff consisting of one station master and 2 assistant station masters; the technical staff consisting of levermen, pump poutars operatives, pointeres and the mehtar totalling 12 famiIles; and the labour looking after the maintenance and repairs of the Ltrick and including 21 families, 13 reporting it as the main occupation and 8 reporting it as the subsidiary occupation.

A castewise classification of the above 36 families shows that all the three families in the first category were Brahmins; of the 12 in the second category, xaxer 8 were tusilims and one each in fifarathas,
wLamans, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Kumbare and Holiyas; and of the 21 in the third category, 14 were Lamans, 5 Huslims and one each in Bedark and Holiyas. Two of the 4 hamal families were Bedars, and one each In fuslim and Kurnbard. It may be noted that whereas almost all the lower castes were represented in the railway staff, the two important commuities namely the Lingayats and the Kabballgers were not to be found. It may also be noted that while the majority of families included in technical staff were 前uslims, the third categoryes namely $L^{\text {that }}$ st labour was petncipally dominated by the Lamans with 14 families of the total 21.
5.58

The table below gives the occupations carried on by the 40 families a generation back.

TABLE: $55^{3} \%$ occupation of the families on the Railway Staff a genoration ago.


It may we seen that nearly 2/3rds have taken to railway work during the present generation. Half of these belonged to the agricultural labour class mainly composed of Lamans; who appear' to have forsaken agricultural labous work to the more $s t a b l e$ and remunerative
work of railway labour. one fourth have given up agriculture, a majority of those being Musilims.
All the three familles in the first category had come to Nalwar on transfer. In the second category, which ampris also liable to transfer, 5 families were residents of Nalwar, 4 of whom were fuslims. The remaining 7 families had come to Nalwar between 2 to 8 years on transfer, of the 21 in the third category; 14 were residents, including 3 Lamans belonging to Sugur. The remalning 7 had migrated to Nalwar for eeming earning a livellhood, and had, when the opportunity occurred, taken to the occupation. All the 4 hamal farilies were resident families.
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The table below gives a sourcoulse classification of family incomes exported by the above 40 families.
of ineome
TABLE: $5.5^{\text {h }}$ Classification according to source of the families euployed on the Railway staff.


| Railway employment | 5664 | 100.00 | 13272 | 88.65 | 14894 | 79.12 | 1940 | 67.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture : | - | - | 1400 | 9.35 | 2600 | 13.81 | 200 | 6.92 |
| Agricultural Labour | $\cdots$ | - | * | - | 1330 | 7.07 | 750 | 25.96 |
| Carting | $\cdots$ | - | 100 | .67 | - | - | - | - |
| Quarry Iabour | - | - | 200 | 1.33 | - | - | - | - |

TOTAL: $\quad 5664 \quad 100.0014972 \quad 100.00 \quad 18824100.00 \quad 2890100.00$

As the table shows, beginning from a hundred percent dependence in the case of the first and highest paid
category, the proportion of income from employment in the Railway staff shows a continuous decline, at the same time increasing the proportion of income received from other sources. While agriculture fetches the second biggest slice of family income for those in the second and thira category, its iaportance chanzes 1is relation to total, the proportion of earnings from agricultural labour also increasing in third category. In the fourth the position is reversed and the hamals earned a little more' ${ }^{\text {than }}$ fourth of their income from agriceltural labour.


[^0]:    1 Statistical Abstract : Hyderabad State:1952-53

[^1]:    -ruceipr I III

[^2]:    were

[^3]:    5.38

    In the tableloelow, the capital reported by cloth dealers is given for the two groups.

[^4]:    Small trading (Cloth Kipana \& Leather)

