The Colorado River

"A Natural Menace Becomes a National Resource"

Interim Report of the Secretary of the Interior

ULY 1947

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

J. A. Krug, Secretary

REAU OF RECLAMATION

Michael W. Straus, Commissioner

The Colorado River

A NATURAL MENACE BECOMES A MATIONAL RESOURCE"

Authorized To Be Made by the Boulder Canyon Project Act and the Boulder Canyon Project.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

J. A. Krug, Secretary

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Michael W. Straus, Commissioner

Contents

[In the following text, only those page numbers that are set in Italics refer to this House Document; those that are set in Roman refer to the Departmental Report, which is entitled THE COLORADO RIVER.]

	Page		Page
Letters of Transmittal	1	Water Supply—Continued	
Letter of July 24, 1947, from the Secretary of the Interior		Available Water Supply in Upper Basin: Total Water	
to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, trans-		Supply, Water Supply Available for Consumptive	
mitting the interim report	I.	Use in Upper Basin, Hold-Over Storage Require-	
Letter of July 23, 1947, from the Director of the Bureau		ments in Upper Basin	??- ?4
of the Budget to the Secretary of the Interior, regarding		Available Water Supply in Lower Basin: Total Water	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
the interim report	I	Supply, Water Supply Available in Lower Basin for	
Letter of July 19, 1947, from the Secretary of the Interior		Consumptive Use in United States	35
to the President, transmitting the interim report and the		Quality of Water	36
letters of comment	I	Water Requirements	37
Letter of July 17, 1947, from the Commissioner, Bureau		Erroneous Use of "Stream Depletion" as Basis of Esti-	3,
of Reclamation, to the Secretary of the Interior, concern-	•	mates	39
ing the interim report	2	Water Requirements in Upper Basin	39
COMMENTS OF STATES AND FEDERAL AGENCIES	7	Water Requirements in Lower Basin: Water Require-	
Digest of Comments.	7	ments of Existing Projects, Water Deficit in Lower	
Comments of the State of Arizona	15	Basin	20-42
Comments of the State of California	-) 19	Potential Projects	29 7- 42
Letter of Director of Public Works	19	Physical Works	42
Introduction	19	Water Utilization Studies	45
Colorado River Compact and Relevant Statutes, Deci-	*9	Underground Storage	45 45
sions, and Instruments	21	The Silt Problem	45 45
Analysis of Regional Directors' Report	21 21	Electric Power	47
"Scope and Purpose"	22	Economics of Potential Projects	48 48
"Scope and Purpose" "Description of Area" "Problems of the Basin"	23	Review by State Department of Natural Resources	51
"Problems of the Rasin"	23	Concluding Comments	51
"Water Supply"	_	Recommendations	52
"Division of Water"	24	COMMENTS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO	55
"Future Development of Water Resources"	25	Letter of Transmittal	55
"Potential Projects"	25 26	Summary of Comments, Views, and Recommendations.	55
"Summary of Annual Benefits and Costs of Potential	20	Detailed Views and Recommendations	57
		Introduction	57 57
Projects"	27	Inconsistent Treatment of Areas Outside of Natural	3/
"Extended Benefits to the West and to the Nation"	27	Basin	67
"Reimbursement and Flood Control Allocation"	27	Inconsistent Treatment of Out-Basin Projects in Utah	57
"Construction Program"	28	and Colorado	57
"Conclusions"	28	As a Comprehensive Plan for Development the Report	57
"Recommendations"	28	Is Incomplete and Misleading	
Water Supply	28	Channel Losses in the Upper Basin Must Be Estimated	57
Stream Gaging Stations	29	and Used in Computation of Water Supply and	
Stream Flow	29		58
Inadequacy of Estimates in Report	30	Depletions	٥٥
Available Water Supply in Entire Basin: Basis of Esti-	٠,		
mates, Estimated Available Water Supply	27_22	in Terms Comparable to Those of the Colorado River Compact	58
minos, somminos istanable it and oupply	,	River Compact	50

THE COLORADO RIVER

, ·	Page		Pag
Detailed Views and Recommendations—Continued	_	Report in Its Present Form Should Not Be Submitted	_
Comprehensive Planning Must Conform to Orderly		for the Approval of the Congress	0.
· Construction of Desirable and Justified Projects	59	Engineering Data	6.
Joint Action of All Seven States Is Not Necessary to		Discrepancies in Basic Data	0.
an Allocation of Water	59	Natural Conveyance Losses Above Lees Ferry	. 0.
In Colorado There May Be No Allocations to Specific		Sources by States of Stream Flow	0
Projects	60	Pasture Land Irrigation	6
Controversies Over Contracts for Lake Mead Water		Reservoirs Above Lees Ferry	0
Should Be Resolved by the Secretary of the Interior.	60	Colorado River Water Supplies Available in the United States	6
Initial Stage of Development	6 <i>z</i>	Comments of the State of Nevada	6
Colorado projects: Paonia project, Pine River exten-		Comments of the State of New Mexico	7
sion, La Plata project, Florida project, Dolores		Comments of the State of Utah	7
project, Silt project, Collbran project, Little Snake		Comments of the State of Wyoming	8
development, Investigation of Specific Projects		Comments of the War Department	8
Recommended by Southwestern Water Conservation		COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	Q.
District		COMMENTS OF THE FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION	9
	_		

IV