
*
* RURAL ENERGY SCARCITY and *
* NUTRITION : A NEW PERSPECTIVE. *
*

By

Srilatha Batliwala.

The Foundation For Research in Community Health,
84-A, R.G. Thadani Marg, Worli Sea Face Corner,
BOMBAY - 400 018.

RURAL ENERGY SCARCITY AND NUTRITION ; A NEW PERSPECTIVE

Introduction:

As growing numbers of people fall below the poverty line, the problem of malnutrition has become the focus of worldwide concern. Estimates of malnutrition in India vary widely. Figures for Protein Calorie Malnutrition (PCM) among pre-school children range from 50 to 60 million or between 70-90% of all preschoolers in the country.^{1,2,3,4} For the overall population, unpublished dietary surveys conducted by UNICEF in 1974 found that almost one-third of our people were undernourished. Prof. P.V. Sukhatma has convincingly refuted these figures,^{6,7} but even his revised estimate indicate that a large number of people - 25% of the urban and 15% of the rural population - are malnourished. The nutritional deprivation of certain 'vulnerable groups' - viz., pregnant and lactating women, preschool children and economically weak sections - has been well documented. The impact of prolonged malnutrition is under debate.^{8,9,10,11}

The effects of malnutrition, even if less widespread than earlier believed, are serious enough to warrant vigorous action. The correlation between nutrition and infection has been studied in pilot projects throughout the developing world.^{12,13} The influence of maternal nutrition on infant birth weight and subsequent infant health needs no reiteration.^{14,15}

The search for solutions to the problems of malnutrition has so far been based on the following approaches:

- i) Since food consumption is evidently positively correlated to agricultural productivity¹⁶, particularly in rural areas, 'Grow More Food' has been one of the major, though indirect, strategies for raising nutrition status.
- ii) Income and food intake are similarly correlated - more so in the urban context - and thus employment generation and raising income levels has been another indirect approach to improving nutrition.
- iii) Certain segments of the population identified as biologically, socially and economically 'vulnerable' have been the targets of supplementary feeding programmes, though generally with disappointing results.
- iv) The recognition of the 'leaky bucket' syndrome of loss of nutrition through constant infections and intestinal infestation has led to integrated programmes of supplementary nutrition, health care and environmental sanitation services.

All these approaches, except for the last, concern themselves with raising food (and synonymously, calorie) intake to match the recommended daily allowances. In contrast, this paper considers the possibility of reducing calorie expenditure, i.e., of conserving the energy of the undernourished. This approach to closing the 'calorie gap' must be seriously examined since it is the poorest who eat the least, but have to work the hardest for their survival. It must be emphasised at the outset, however, that this is not proposed as an alternative to increasing food intake, but as an added dimension to any integrated approach to malnutrition - and indeed poverty itself.

Energy Scarcity and Human Labour :

Poverty and energy scarcity seem to go together^{17,18,19}. Especially in the rural areas of developing countries, the shortage of energy resources leads to a great dependence on human energy for survival. In the developed world, commercial energy is freely available for the myriad life-supporting tasks such as cooking, heating, transporting, farming, etc., and obtaining water for domestic needs.

In developing countries however, the scarcity of such commercial energy creates a demand on human energy to meet most of these needs. To cite the most glaring example, increasing deforestation implies walking longer distances to collect firewood for cooking fuel - distances which are walked by human beings, and usually by women and children.

What then is the relationship between this human energy contribution and nutrition status? More importantly, what would be the impact on human nutrition if alternative technologies are used to accomplish these tasks, especially those technologies which replace human with inanimate energy? These are the questions which this paper attempts to discuss.

The Energy Problem and Human Nutrition :

What is the role and magnitude of human energy in the rural energy matrix? Until recently, the necessary data for such an exercise was not available. In 1977, the ASTRA* programme

..4

*Application of Science and Technology to Rural Areas

of the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, launched a detailed energy survey of six villages in the vicinity of their rural extension centre in Karnataka State. The results of the survey have just been finalised and published²⁰. The survey covered a population of 3500 people in 560 households.

Table 1 summarises the source-wise contribution and sector-wise consumption of energy in this area :

TABLE 1 : PATTERN OF VILLAGE ENERGY SUPPLY AND CONSUMPTION

Source-wise Contribution		Sector-wise Consumption	
<u>Source:</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Activity:</u>	<u>%</u>
Human	7.7	Agriculture	4.3
(Men)	(3.1)	Domestic	88.3
(Women)	(3.8)	Lighting	2.2
(Children)	(0.8)	Transport	0.5
Animal	2.7	Industry	4.7
Firewood	81.6		
Kerosene	2.1		
Electricity	0.6		
Other	5.3		

SOURCE : ASTRA, 1981, "Rural Energy Consumption Patterns - A Field Study", Bangalore, Indian Institute of Science, p.80.

Table 1 shows that firewood which is used predominantly for cooking provides the bulk of the energy used in rural areas.

If firewood is excluded, then human energy is a significant energy resource in the villages. In fact, the human contribution is very large in the agriculture and domestic sectors.

Furthermore, the survey reveals that if we disaggregate human energy, the contribution of men, women and children is 31%, 53% and 16% respectively (as percentages of total human hours per household per day). This data incidentally substantiates what was hitherto only speculation, that in many (if not most) rural areas, women work harder than men.

The most important information elicited by the ASTRA study is that most human energy was spent not so much in economically productive activity such as agriculture, but in survival tasks like fetching water and gathering firewood, most of which have been rendered unnecessary in urban areas. Thus, the lack of ready energy resources placed a heavy burden on human energy.

Having determined the magnitude of human energy contributions in the rural energy matrix, our next step is to translate the energy expenditure of the average man, woman and child into calories per day and compare the results with the average daily calorie intake. This is possible because the ASTRA study also surveyed the food consumption of the local population.

However, the translation of activities into energy costs proves to be a difficult exercise for the following reasons :

- 1) A survey of the available literature shows that there appear to be no calorie cost studies for most of the important activities which are of concern to this paper. For instance,

while nutrition textbooks give figures for piano-playing, climbing stairs and type-writing²¹, they do not mention fetching water or gathering firewood.

- 2) Ramanathan and Nag have reviewed almost all the available human energy cost studies in India in their paper "Energy Cost of Human Labour". They were able to find energy cost studies of only 10 agricultural activities, compared to over 70 industrial and military activities which had been measured. Perhaps this reflects the high priority given to the industrial and defence sectors even in nutrition research.
- 3) In the case of women, the calorie costs of their various activities seem to be almost non-existent, or can be found only for such pleasant domestic tasks such as sewing, knitting and singing. In fact, Ramanathan and Nag²³ were able to find female energy cost estimates for only ten activities, all listed under the heading "sedentary people". This seems an odd description of 50% of the population, most of whom manifestly work longer and harder than men, bearing the triple burden of reproduction, housework, and economic activity.

Under the circumstances, a zeroth-approximation solution was to try and estimate the female energy cost of a given activity as a proportion of the male cost, applying the formula

$$\text{energy cost/minute/adult male} \times \text{Basal Metabolic Rate/female}$$

$$\text{Basal Metabolic Rate/male}$$
$$= \text{Energy cost/minute/adult female}$$

The Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR) for moderate workers was used throughout the formula.

3) From a rigorous point of view, this formula leaves much to be desired, but in the absence of any other relevant data, and in order to test the hypotheses of this paper, the formula provides some rough figures. However, the results obtained by applying the formula must be viewed only as guesstimates which indicate trends, and not as definitive figures.

4) The calorie expenditures of children also seem to have been determined only for those fortunate enough to go to school, play and grow. Nutritionists must awaken to the fact that most of India's children join the labour force when they are as young as six years, and provide energy contributions crucial to their families' survival. In order to derive the calorie cost equivalents for children, therefore, the formula

$$\frac{\text{energy cost/minute/adult male} \times \text{BMR/child}}{\text{BMR/adult male (moderate worker)}} = \text{energy cost/minute/child}$$

was used. The results must be viewed with the same caution as advised in the case of female energy cost estimates. The BMR used here is for a child aged 10 years.

5) Finally, many of the energy cost figures encountered were somewhat doubtful. For example, harvesting, which is hard, back-breaking labour, is given as less calorie expensive (3.8 cal/minute) than threshing (5.4 cal/minutes). This may be true for mechanised, but not manual, harvesting. One is therefore compelled to make some arbitrary adjustment of the calorie cost of certain activities (again at the risk of incurring nutritionists' wrath) but erring on the side of caution.

Tables 2 and 3 list the tentative activity-wise energy cost per minute per man, woman and child respectively used in this paper. All estimated figures are starred.

TABLE 2 : CALORIE COST OF DOMESTIC ACTIVITIES (Cals/minute)

ACTIVITY	CALORIE COST		
	Man	Woman	Child
1. Gathering firewood:			
a) Walking to source	5.2	4.4*	4.6*
b) Return trip with load	6.4	5.5*	5.7*
2. Fetching water:			
a) Walking to source	5.2	4.4*	4.6*
b) Return trip with load	6.4	5.5*	5.7*
3. Cooking	2.5*	2.1*	2.2*
4. Carrying food to farm/ Walking to farm	5.2	4.4*	4.6*
5. Livestock Grazing	2.8	2.4*	2.5*
6. Others (sweeping, cleaning, child care, personal care, play, sitting, etc.) average	1.5*	1.5*	1.7*

SOURCES: (i) N.L. Ramanathan & P.K. Nag, ? : "Energy Cost of Human Labour", National Institute of Occupational Health, Ahmedabad 380 016.

(ii) R. Rajalakshmi, 1974 : "Applied Nutrition" (Second Edition), Oxford & IBH, New Delhi 110 001.

TABLE 3 : CALORIE COST OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES (ca's/min.)

ACTIVITY	CALORIE COST	
	Man	Woman
1. Ploughing	5.5	4.7*
2. Irrigation	3.3	2.8*
3. Transplanting	5.1*	4.3*
4. Weeding	5.1*	4.3*
5. Harvesting (manual)	5.3*	4.5*
6. Winnowing	5.3*	4.5*
7. Threshing	5.4	4.6*
8. Manuring	4.0*	3.4*
9. Nursery	3.5*	3.0*
10. Harrowing	6.5*	5.5*
11. Transporting (by bullock cart)	2.0*	1.7*

SOURCE: R.L. Ramanathan & P.K. Nag, ? : "Energy Cost of Human Labour", National Institute of Occupational Health, Ahmedabad 380 016.

*All estimated or approximated figures.

It is clear from the number of starred figures in the preceding two tables that most of the important agricultural and domestic activities, especially as performed by women and children in a rural area, have not been measured in terms of energy cost. Let us now look at the average number of hours per day spent in the given activities by men, women and children, as depicted in Table 4. It may be noted that items C and D in Table 4 are the author's estimates, based on personal observation at the ASTRA extension centre.

TABLE 4 : HOURS PER DAY SPENT ON DOMESTIC AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES

ACTIVITY	HOURS PER DAY		
	Man	Woman	Child
A. Domestic			
1. Gathering firewood	0.33	0.41	0.24
2. Fetching water	0.02	0.78	0.13
3. Cooking	0.02	2.28	0.18
4. Carrying food to farm/ walking to farm	1.00	1.14	--
5. Livestock grazing	1.63	0.47	1.03
B Agricultural			
1. Ploughing	0.18	--	--
2. Irrigation	0.30	--	--
3. Transplanting	0.08	0.33	--
4. Weeding	0.08	0.33	--
5. Harvesting	0.18	0.19	--
6. Winnowing	--	0.09	--
7. Threshing	0.14	0.04	--
8. Manuring	0.13		--
9. Nursery	0.07		--
10. Harrowing	0.03	--	--
11. Transporting	0.05	--	--
C Other Activities*	9.76	7.94	8.42
D Rest and sleep (approx.)	10.00	10.00	14.00

SOURCE: Compiled from data given in ASTRA, 1981: "Rural Energy Consumption Patterns - A Field Study", Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012.

* As in Table 2, item 6.

We are now in a position to calculate the average calorie expenditure per day per man, woman and child. The results are presented in Table 5.

TABLE 5
ACTIVITY-WISE CALORIE EXPENDITURE
PER DAY

ACTIVITY	CALORIES PER DAY		
	Man	Woman	Child
<u>A. Domestic</u>			
1. Gathering firewood	115	122	74
2. Fetching water	7	232	40
3. Carrying food to farm/ walking to farm	312	301	--
4. Cooking	3	287	24
5. Livestock grazing	274	68	155
Sub-total	<u>711</u>	<u>1010</u>	<u>293</u>
<u>B. Agricultural</u>			
1. Ploughing	59	--	--
2. Irrigation	59	--	--
3. Transplanting	25	85	--
4. Weeding	25	85	--
5. Harvesting	57	51	--
6. Winnowing	1	24	--
7. Threshing	45	10	--
8. Manuring	31		--
9. Nursery	15		--
10. Harrowing	12	--	--
11. Transporting	6	--	--
Sub-total	<u>334</u>	<u>255</u>	<u>--</u>
C. <u>Other Activities</u> *	878	715	655
D. <u>Rest and Sleep</u> (Approx.)	550	500	650
T O T A L	2473	2505	1598

* As in Table 2, item 6.

A few points regarding Table 5 need explanation before we discuss the results of our exercise. The working hours have been averaged over the whole year to give us a daily figure more appropriate for examining daily energy expenditure and for comparing it with food intake. But, it is obvious that during certain months of the year, the hours spent on agricultural activities are much higher than those represented in Table 4; at such periods of time, entire working days are spent in time-constrained tasks such as ploughing, transplanting, harvesting, threshing, etc.

However, while energy expenditure increases during the agricultural season, food intake also tends to increase though there may be a time lag during which intake is less than output. Interestingly, ASTRA's post-harvest nutrition surveys show exceedingly high per capita per day intakes, to the tune of 150% of the recommended daily allowance, even among the poorest people.

Secondly, in the ASTRA survey, children were classified into two age groups: 0 to 5 and 5 to 15 years. For the purpose of our calculations, only children between 5 and 15 were included, and energy expenditure was taken for a child aged 10 years. However, it is not unusual to see even toddlers assisting parents in minor tasks around the house and farm.

Returning to Table 5, let us examine the implications of the exercise so far :

1) It should not surprise anyone familiar with rural conditions that the calorie expenditure - or in other words, the work load - of women is higher than that of men. What is more, even children are expending a significant number of calories on survival tasks. It is not inconceivable that if children's energy

contribution had been monitored separately for boys and girls, the contribution of girls may have been higher. This data further substantiates the findings of Jain^{24,25} and others²⁶ that a more realistic appraisal of women's economic contribution to society is necessary.

2) We also see that on the average, the energy expenditure in domestic tasks is higher than on agricultural work. More so because while agricultural activity is seasonal, the domestic tasks monitored here are daily, life-supporting activities, which must be carried out regardless of the season.

3) Most important, it is seen that a considerable part of the human energy expenditure results from the lack of alternative technologies and/or energy resources to meet these needs. For instance, gathering firewood, fetching water cooking and the other domestic tasks account for a substantial share of women's and children's energy output, around 700 and 300 calories per day respectively. If fuel and water were available close to the user, the efficiency of cooking stoves improved, and animal fodder provided in other ways, this calorie expenditure could be conserved. This is where alternative technologies which replace human energy have an important role to play. We shall return to this point later.

Is there any need to reduce human energy expenditure at all? Will it not create an obese nation, and bring in its wake all the health problems of an overnourished population? There is little evidence to support such fears, as we shall see . . .

If we compare energy expenditure with food intake, we will be able to establish whether the people have adequate nutrition to sustain this level of activity. The ASTRA nutrition survey in the village UNGRA, based on reporting of food purchase and use over a period of two months, revealed a per capita daily

calorie intake of approximately 2400. Unfortunately, such data does not reveal the distribution of food within the family, or the relative consumption of men, women and children. Various techniques, including multiple regression, were unable to disaggregate the data. However, we questioned local women on the distribution of food among family members. The staple in the local diet is the cereal 'Ragi' (Sorghum) which is cooked to a dough-like consistency and divided into balls or lumps for eating. It was observed and reported by local women that the distribution of ragi balls was generally in the ratio of 2 : 1 : $\frac{1}{2}$ for a man, woman and child respectively. It is hazardous to extrapolate the differential calorie intake of men, women and children purely on this basis. But it is clear that if food consumption were monitored separately, there would be significant differences between the sexes and age-groups, with women and female children getting the smallest share, regardless of their energy needs.

There are other factors which belie the seeming adequacy of the per capita calorie intake compared to energy expenditure. The Narangwal²⁷ and other studies show that the loss of nutrients through diarrhoeas and other infections is substantial; thus, raising calorie intake without controlling infection was like pouring water in a leaky bucket. It is also estimated that 90% of the rural population suffer from intestinal infestations, with parasites consuming as much as one-fourth the total calorie intake. Finally, the prevailing intake makes no allowances for pregnancy and lactation, when in fact one-third of adult Indian women are in that condition at any given time²⁸ and surveys show that the majority of such women get no additional nutrition at such times. Thus, there seems to be ample reason to believe that the nutrition status of the people, and particularly of women and children, needs to be improved.

Appropriate Technology and Nutrition Status: (Conclusions):

Majority of today's nutrition interventions, such as the various supplementary feeding programmes operated in India in the past decades²⁹, have failed to make an impact. The reasons for the failure are many, including poor management, inadequate delivery systems, poor outreach, use of dubious biological criteria of 'vulnerability' rather than economic standards, and social factors such as sharing of supplementary food within the family^{30, 31, 32, 33, 34}.

In this context, appropriate technology may well be the most promising method of partially overcoming the hiatus created by tardy or inequitable economic development and the poor impact of feeding programmes.

Alternative energy sources can generate fuel which will save significant numbers of calories now expended in gathering firewood; efficient cooking stoves will reduce the hours spent on cooking; low-cost energy and water-piping techniques can bring water supply close to the user and conserve the human energy now spent in fetching water; tree lots and other innovations which provide fodder for livestock may reduce human energy spent on grazing. These innovations alone could conserve approximately 500, 700 and 300 male, female and child calories per day, on the basis of our estimates in Table 5. Again, there is an array of inexpensive design changes in agricultural implements which can improve energy usage and render many routine tasks less laborious.

In these ways, alternative energy sources and appropriate technologies have the potential to reduce the energy expenditure

of human beings, and especially of the nutritionally 'vulnerable' sections - women and children. The energy thus conserved could conceivably decrease, or even close, the "calorie gap"³⁵ and permit them to utilise a greater part of their intake for growth, maintenance and resistance to disease.

Appropriate technology and energy interventions would have several economic and hence nutrition implications: human hours saved could be channeled into non-calorie intensive home industries which generate additional income and further increase food intake, to cite only one.

Similarly, there are significant implications for education: in the case of children, the child hours thus released would make schooling a realistic possibility for many children now deprived because of the demand for their energy to meet the family's needs. Adult hours released could similarly be used for literacy and education. Improved nutrition status would improve levels of learning³⁶.

The health impact of this approach may also be considerable: the decline in demand for children to meet energy needs could theoretically promote the small family norm; this would have a highly positive impact on maternal health and nutrition and thus on the health status of newborns.

Finally, the sociological impact of such an intervention must be considered. The most profound impact may be on women, who will be the greatest beneficiaries of energy-saving technologies. The women hours released from drudgery may possibly play a dynamic role in their liberation and create time and options they are now denied.

These are only some speculations on the possible implications of the energy/appropriate technology/nutrition triangle; the actual impact must be studied in detail. However, what is the cost-effect and cost-benefit of this approach? More importantly, is it more cost-beneficial than other strategies, including existing ones?

There are no immediate answers in the absence of detailed data and further analysis, but the questions themselves must be posed. It may be postulated that even if alternative energy sources and technologies are more expensive than present health and nutrition interventions, they may be easier to implement. Since energy scarcity is an acutely felt need in rural areas, particularly among the poorest, energy programmes may gain more rapid acceptance than other strategies which involve changes in traditional practises and methods, social relations,

or large capital investment. We may also theorise that since the poorest sections expend the greatest human energy (labour being their only resource), this approach may inherently tend to benefit them more than the affluent.

No strategy, this or any other, can substitute for basic structural changes in society, or the equitable distribution of goods and resources. But it is clear that the energy/appropriate technology/nutrition nexus is a promising field of enquiry, whatever the socio-political context. In fact, such a strategy may help further the goals of socio-economic change by bringing the marginalised out of their twilight zone.

Directions for Future Research :

- 1) Relevant and accurate data on : (a) actual work patterns in rural areas;
(b) measurement of women's and children's labour contribution;
and (c) energy cost studies based on the above.
- 2) Studies of the physiological dynamics of the human energy conservation approach;
- 3) Longitudinal studies of the impact of alternative energy sources and other appropriate innovations on human nutrition status, especially on women and children;
- 4) Studies of the social and economic cost-benefit of such interventions;
- 5) Socio-economic, anthropological and political dynamics of the approach.

REFERENCES

- 1) Narendra Singh, 1977: "Nutritional Problem in India", in In Search of Diagnosis, Medico Friends Circle, Vadodara, p.89.
- 2) United Nations, 1974: Report of Task Force on Nutrition, World Food Conference, Rome, 1974, p.2.
- 3) Central Institute of Research & Training in Public Co-operation (CIRTP) 1975: "Perspectives on the Child in India", New Delhi, CIRTPC, p.47.
- 4) UNICEF, 1976: "UNICEF Study on the Young Child - India Case Study", New Delhi, National Institute of Public Co-operation and Child Development (NIPCCD), p. iv.
- 5) FAO/WHO, 1976: "Food and Nutrition Strategies in National Development Ninth Report of FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Nutrition, Geneva.
- 6) P.V. Sukhatme, 1972: "Protein Strategy and Agricultural Development", presidential address at the 31st All-India Agricultural Economics Conference, Benares Hindu University, Varanasi, Indian Society of Agricultural Economics.
- 7) P.V. Sukhatme, 1974: "Nutrition as the Determinant of Productivity and Economic Development: Some Basic Consideration", in Proceedings of the Nutrition Society of India, No. 17, Hyderabad, Nutrition Society of India, p.32-43.
- 8) Erik Eckholm & Frank Record, 1976: "The Two Faces of Malnutrition", Worldwatch Paper No. 9, Washington D.C., Worldwatch Institute, p.19-22.
- 9) Susan George, 1976: "How the Other Half Dies" - The Rural Reasons for World Hunger", Middlesex, Penguin Books, p.33.
- 10) Nutrition Society of India, 1974: Seminar on Social and Economic Aspects of Nutrition, Introduction, in Proceedings of Nutrition Society of India, No.17, p.2.

- 11) D. Banerji, 1978: "Political Dimensions of Health and Health Services", in Economic and Political Weekly, XIII, 22 p.924-925.
- 12) Rural Health Research Centre, 1975: "The Narangwal Population Study : Integrated Health and Family Planning Services", Narangwal, Rural Health Research Centre.
- 13) D.R. Gwatkin et al, 1980: "Can Health and Nutrition Interventions Make a Difference?", Washington D.C., Overseas Development Council, Monograph No. 13.
- 14) P.S. Venkatachalam & L.M. Rebello, 1971: "Nutrition for Mother and Child", Hyderabad, National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, p.5.
- 15) ICSSR/ICMR Study Group, 1980: "Health for All : An Alternative Strategy", Pune, Indian Institute of Education, p.38.
- 16) United Nations, Dept. of Economic & Social Affairs, 1975: "Poverty , Unemployment and Development Policy - A Case Study of Selected Issues with Reference to Kerala", New York, UN, p.19.
- 17) A.K.N. Reddy & S.Batliwala, 1979: "Energy to Liberate Children", in Ceres, FAO Vol. 12, No. 5, Sept.-Oct., p.42.
- 18) A.K.N. Reddy, 1978: "Energy Options For The Third World", Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, p.28-33.
- 19) A.K.N. Reddy & K. Krishna Prasad, 1977: "Technological Alternatives and the Indian Energy Crisis", Economic & Political Weekly, XII, p.1465-1502.
- 20) ASTRI, 1981: "Rural Energy Consumption Patterns - A Field Study", Bangalore, Indian Institute of Science.
- 21) Rajalakshmi, R., 1974: "Applied Nutrition", (Second Edition), Oxford & IBH, New Delhi.

- 22) P.L. Ramanathan & R.K. Nag, no date: "Energy Cost of Human Labour", Ahmedabad, National Institute of Occupational Health.
- 23) Ibid, p.25.
- 24) Devaki Jain et al, 1979: "Women's Work - Methodological Issues", in Women and Development, (Ed.) Rounaq Jahan & Hana Papanek, Dacca, Bangladesh Institute of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.
- 25) Devaki Jain, 1980: "Women's Employment : Possibilities of Relevant Research", New Delhi, Institute of Social Studies.
- 26) Pushpa Sundar, 1981: "Characteristics of Female Employment: Implications for Research and Policy", In Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. XVI, No. 19, p.863-870.
- 27) Taylor et al, 1978: "Malnutrition and Infection", Loral Health Research Centre, Narangwal.
- 28) Kamala Jaya Rao, 1980: "Who is Malnourished : Mother or Woman?", in Medico Friends Circle Bulletin, Vadodara, February, 1980, p.1-5.
- 29) S. Batliwala, 1978: "Hunger and Health : An Analysis of the Nutrition Problem in India", Bombay, Foundation for Research in Community Health.
- 30) Imrana Qadeer, 1977: "How Relevant Are Feeding Programmes?", in Medico Friend Circle Bulletin, February 1977, p.2.
- 31) ibid., p.2-3.
- 32) Narendra Singh, op. cit., p. 100.
- 33) S.G. Srikantia, 1976: "National Nutrition Programmes : Objectives, Implementation and Evaluation", paper read at Symposium on Achieving Nutrition Targets During the Fifth Plan, in Proceedings of Nutrition Society of India, No. 20, p.3.
- 34) ibid., p.5.
- 35) P.V. Suknatma, op. cit.
- 36) UN Report of the Task Force on Nutrition, op. cit., p.3.
