

No. 30



technical bulletin

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
GUJARAT STATE, AHMEDABAD-6

**Response of different
crops to Potash in
Gujarat State.**

RESPONSE OF DIFFERENT CROPS TO
POTASH IN GUJARAT.

1

A.S. Patel and M.H. Sutaria
College of Agriculture, Junagadh,

Potassium has been an important element in both agriculture and industry for many years. The agricultural use of potassium has increased greatly in the past fifty years. Potassic fertilizers are imported from foreign countries in India. The potash content of soils is variable and may range from only a few hundred kilogram per hectare furrowslice on coarse textured soils formed from sandstone and quartzite to 25000 kilogram or more in fine-textured soils formed from rocks high in K-bearing minerals.

REVIEW OF AGRONOMIC EXPERIMENTS ON
POTASH APPLICATION.

The results of a large number of field experiments conducted in India are summarized by ICAR (1967) indicating that K is not generally needed at the present level of crop production. A valuable treatise on this subject is also edited by Kilmer et. al. (1968). However, some of the recent work on this aspect has been reviewed here.

Bathkal et. al. (1970) have reported the results of a test carried out on Kharif sorghum CSH 1 under rain-fed conditions at Kolhapur farm during 1967-68 and 1968-69. There was no significant increase in grain yield due to application of 25 and 50 kg. K₂O per ha over the control. Shaikh et. al. (1971) studied the effect of different

- i. agronomist, Gujarat State and Agricultural Officer,
respectively.

(2).

levels of N.P and K on the HCN content of sorghum plants. It was observed that potash application had no distinct effect on either decreasing or increasing the HCN content.

Gupta (1960) compared 4 rice varieties at 3 sra spacings and 6 fertility levels. It was noticed that on the average the response to potash was small on all soils. on the contrary peterson et al. (1971) found that paddy responded well to potash where the potash status was very low.

According to Gautam and Khare (1969), there was absence of significant response to potash on maize Ganga 101 over the standard rate of 60 kg. per ha potash at IARI, New Delhi. Khare and Gautam (1969) did not observe any residual effect of potash applied to maize on the succeeding crop of wheat in rabi and cow-pea fodder in hot weather season. No response to potash application was also observed by Tewary et al. (1970) at Raichi who studied the response of N, P and K on Ganga 101. Similar observations on Ganga 2 are reported by Singh et al. (1972).

Garg and Tomaria (1970) did not observe any response to application of 44.7, 67.0 and 89.3 kg. Potash per ha on wheat Sonora 64 at Sehore due to high potash status in the test plot soil.

Kanniya et al. (1968) conducted a fertilizer-cum-spacing study on P 216 F cotton in 1962-64 at Aduthurai and Manapparai (Tamil Nadu.) An application of 50 kg Potash per ha had no effect on ~~xxk~~ yield, staple length or ginning outturn. The data reported by Singh et.al. (1969) from Hissar on 320 F Cotton indicated that the mineral content was not affected by fertilizer application.

.3.

Moisture percentage was decreased and seed weight increased by potash application. Misra (1971) observed that potassium fertilization did not exert any marked effect on the yield of cotton. However, some response to potash application was observed at Khandwa and Indore (Sing et al., 1971). Sambandam et al. (1971) reported that best ~~xx~~ yield of CMU 2 seed-Cotton was obtained when the NPK ratio in the plant at the first picking stage was close to 3.3:1:3.3.

Jain (1970) reported that significant differences were not obtained in seed yield and water use efficiency of castor due to potash application at Jodhpur. The effect on rate of evapotranspiration and oil content of seed was also insignificant.

Jones and Lutz (1971) indicated that the yield of soybean was increased by potash application. No such response to potash was obtained by Sazena et al. (1971) on soybean because of high soil fertility.

Sharma (1970) reported that at Gurdaspur (Punjab) an application of 22 kg potash per ha increased the yield of lentil in 1964-65 and 1965-66.

Hukkeri (1968) revealed that increased application of potash up to 135 kg. per ha resulted in increase of 'Ware' -sized tubers and starch percentage but there was no effect on dry matter content at Kharagpur (West Bengal) in 1963-65. Seth et al. (1970) reported the results of 1450 trials conducted in 1963-66 on cultivators fields in Patna and Tripura districts, wherein no response to potash was observed on potato. However, Pushkarnath et al. (1971) found that an application of 101 to 182 kg potash per ha was optimum for up-to-date potato.

Singh et al. (1969) revealed that different levels of K did not exert any beneficial effect on the yield of different pea varieties at IARI, New-Delhi for three years (1958-59 to 1960-61). Khare et al. (1969) did not observe any residual effect of K applied to maize on the following crop of peas. Singh et al. (1969) did not observe any residual effect of K applied to maize on the following crop of peas. Singh et al. (1970) reported that the application of potash (levels of K_2O 0, 45 and 90 kg/ha) did not show beneficial effect on Golden Acre variety of cabbage at IARI, New Delhi during 1961-62 to 1963-64. Roy and Sheth (1970) did not obtain response due to higher level of potash over 30 kg/ha due to depressed growth of radish.

On the whole, the potassium status of Indian soils appears to be quite satisfactory. Hence, there seems to be fewer reported instances of crops responding to potash. This may be explained in part by very low consumption of N and P at present (Ignatieff and page, 1966).

POTASSIUM STATUS IN GUJARAT SOILS AND ITS UPTAKE.

The analytical data of about, 50,000 soil samples received from cultivators of Gujarat are reported by Kanjaria (1970). The status of available K in Majority of samples under different soil groups was low which has been presented in Table 1. The Soil Coordinator, Junagadh has also analysed 40,000 soil samples received from cultivators of Gujarat from different districts. Potash was determine by flame photometric method which indicated 57, 26 and 27 percent samples having high, medium and low potassium status in Gujarat Soils (Jadav, 1971) as shown in Table 2.

Mehtha and Raman (1972) have reported the finding s pertaining to Kg O removal from the soil by various crops in different rotations and worked out the balance sheet of various nutrients in Soil. In a four-crop rotation, maximum K was removed. When pearl-millet was included in the rotation, it has a profound effect on the K removal (Table..3.)

RESPONSE OF DIFFERENT CROPS TO K APPLICATION GUJARAT.

Pearl-Millet.

No response to potash application was observed at any location during 1959 to 1970 on local, locally improved or hybrid varieties (Table 4). The results on NB 2 at Targhadia reported by Gopani and Paida (1971) indicated no beneficial effect of potash upto 74/KG/ha when applied in combination with different doses of N and P. The results of trials conducted on cultivators' fields in Mehsana, Bhavnagar and Jamnagar districts showed significant increase in yield by application of potash (Table 5) in some of the experiments (I.C.A.R. 1970-72.).

Sorghum.

The response obtained at Surat was not significant (Table 6). However, at Chalhan, an application of 60 kg. K per ha had a slightly favourable effect (Table 7.) According to (I.C.A.R. 1970) the application of K increased the yield to some extent over N and P application in Bhavanagar district on cultivators' fields (Table 5.).

Faddy.

No response was noticed at several locations except at Nawagam where 45 kg.K₂O per ha increased the yield

...6...

significantly over the check in the case of J.280 variety (Table 8.). I.C.I.R.(1970-71) reported increase in yield was observed on cultivators' fields under irrigated as well as rainfed conditions in Surat and Pulsar Districts at several locations(Table5). In an experiment conducted at the Agricultural Institute, Anand on five high yielding varieties in 1969-71, the yields obtained under N₂₁₀ P₁₀₅ K₀ and N₂₁₀ P₁₀₅ K₁₀₅ were 4735 and 4810 Kg/ha, respectively which were identical.

Maize.

In an experiment conducted at Godhra in 1965-67 and at Junagadh in 1970,no response to K application was noticed. The results are shown in Table 10.Patel(1971) studied the effect of fertilizers on three maize varieties. The yields obtained with N 250 P₁₂₅ and N 250 P₁₂₅ K₁₂₅ were found to be 4627 and 4774 kg/ha, respectively.Favourable response to K₂₅ was also observed on cultivators' fields (Table 5.).

Wheat.

Results of 12 locations are available wherein effect of potash application was studied in different years from 1965 to 1971.The data together with varieties tested are presented in Table 11. It is observed that there was no response of potash at any of the locations except at Jagudan where the response was noticed only at highest level. The results of an experiment conducted at the Agricultural Institute,Anand on wheat Np. 824 from 1961-62 to 1963-64 are reported by Patel et al. (1969)wherein response to potash application has not been noticed.

.....7....

So was the case with high-yielding varieties (Table 12). However favourable response to K application was observed in the case of some of the experiments conducted on cultivators fields in Gujarat as shown in Table 5 (Anonymous, 1969; I.C.A.R.1970 1971,1972).

Cotton.

The results of the trials conducted at Surat, Bardoli, Kholwad and Kim in 1957 to 1967 indicated no response to potash application on Vijalpa Cotton. The data on variety CJ 73 tested at Umrala in 1957-59 and on variety Deviraj at Kholwad in 1959-62 as well as of other varieties tested at Ratiai and Chotila were also similar (Table 13). The results of Lamhade (1957) also indicate no additional gain by potash application at Anand.

Oilseeds.

Response to potash application was studied on groundnut varieties Viz Panjab-1, AK 12-24 and AH-32 at six locations in different agro-climatic regions of Gujarat during the period from 1952 to 1965. The results did not show any significant increase in groundnut yield due to application of potash at different levels over the control. The details of the results are presented in Table 14. Similar results were obtained also at Junagadh and Amreli (Table 15,16.) However, the results from the ~~extrails~~ conducted on cultivators' fields in Jamnagar and Surat districts in 1963-70 indicated a significant response to K application (Table 5) in groundnut (I.C.A.R.1970). Experiments conducted on castor hybrid 3 at Vijapur and Junagadh,

On sesamum at Manund and Umrala and Soybean Junagadh do not indicated significant response to K application (Table 17, 18 & 19)

Vegetable.

No response to potash application was observed in potato and onion tried at several locations, However, slight yield increase due to potash was noticed in Brinjal, tomato and chillies. The data are presented in Table 20. In an experiment conducted at Agricultural Institute, Anand, Parekh (1970) also did not notice any response of onion to potash application.

Tobacco.

Application of potash did not indicate any advantageous effect either on the yield or quality of bidi tobacco as observed by Patel (1960.) Similar observations are also noted by Patel(1960) and Patel.(1964).

application of potash did not improve the quality of tobacco in relation to N and nicotine content but in increased K content at Anand during 1970-71.

Sugar crops.

Significant response was not obtained due to application of various doses of potash to sugarcane in 1961-62 to 1965-66 at Kodinar and Vyara (Table 22.) Similar results were observed at anand for sugar beet in 1970-71(Table 23.)

Fodder Crops.

Experiments conducted at Anand on lucerne as well as different varieties of cowpea and clusterbean crops did not indicate favourable response to K application except slight increase in green fodder yields of lucerne at high level of K application (Table 24.).9..

.2.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.

Due to a fairly high status of potash in Gujarat Soils, no response to its application has been observed on most of the crops in general. Some of the results on farmers' fields, however, seems to be promising which indicated the need for comprehensive testing.

SUGGESTIONS ON FUTURE LINE OF WORK.

1. Soil test values will have to be interpreted on the basis of soil test crop response correlation studies which need urgent attention.
2. As the results of research stations differ from the tests on cultivators' fields, more experiments under such conditions may be planned to verify the results obtained so far.
3. Studies on uptake of potash by different crops may be intensified. Such studies should be an integral part of the experiments on fertilizer trials.
4. Basic studies on leaching, availability and fixation of potash during the growth period of different crops may be attended to.
5. Trials may be initiated to study the response at higher K rates as well as on time and method of potash application.
6. Response to potash may be specially studied under higher production levels in intensive rotations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

The authors are thankful to Dr.G.A.Patel Director of Agriculture, G.S., Ahmedabad for encouragement and useful suggestions during the conduct of most of the experiments reported in this paper. Thanks are also due to shri D.D.Gopani Ex.Principal, College of Agriculture, Navasari for encouragement to prepare this paper and to the Director, Institute of Agriculture, Anand for supplying the results of ~~exp~~ Experiments conducted at Anand. Help rendered by the field staff of concerned research stations is duly acknowledged.

REFERENCES.

Anonymous, increase in yield due to use of Potash (Gujarati) Krish Patra I (10): 1:5 1969..

Anonymous, simple fertiliser trials on cultivators' fields. Department of Agriculture, Gujarat State, Ahmedabad Tech. Bull. No.12.(1971).

Bathkal. B.G. Patil, J.R. and Patil, B.R. Response of Hybrid Sorghum (Sorghum vulgare pers). to N,P and K fertilisation under rainfed condition. Indian J. Agron. 15 (4): 350-352(1970).

Garg. K.F. and Tomaria, P.S. Note on the effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and potash on yield and quality of wheat variety Sonora 64. Indian J. Agric Sci. 40 (2): 155-158 (1970).

Gupta., S.P. Soil category and fertilization of paddy. Indian J. Agron. 4. (4)228-245(1960).

Gautam, O.P. And khare, B.G. Nitrogen, phosphorus and Potassium fertilization of hybrid maize in a intensive rotation with wheat and fodder cowpea. Indian J. Agron 14.(2) :99-104-(1969).

Gopani., D.D. and Faida, V.J. Dry farming Practiees in Gujarat Ind. Farming, May 1971 PP. 13-15.

Hukheri. S.B. Effect of nitrogen,phosphorus and potash on the yield and quality of potato. Indian J.Agric.Sci. 38 (5): 345-349 (1963).

- Ingatlieff, V and Page, H.J. Efficient use of fertilizers FAO (1966).
- I.C.I.R. Annual Report All India Co-ord. Agronomic expts. scheme New Delhi. Jadav, K.L. Personal communication.(1972).
- Jain, T.C. Effect of N,P and K on evapotranspiration and water use efficiency of castor (*Recinusa Communis*) in arid tract of western Rajasthan. Indian J.Agron. 15.(1):13-13-20.(1970.).
- Jones, G.D. and Lutz, J.A. Yield of wheat and soybean and oil and protein content of soybean as effected by fertility treatments and deep placement of Lime stone. Agron. J. 63 (6):931-934(1971).
- Kanniyam, K., Damodran, A and Kadambavanasundram. Response of cotton to fertiliser application and spacing in rice fallows. Indian J.Agric Sci. 38 (5):731-739 (1968).
- Kanzaria, M.V. Soils of Gujarat, Ferti News 15 (15):56-60 (1970).
- Khare, B.G. and Gautam, O.P. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium fertilization of hybrid maize in an intensive rotation with wheat and fodder cowpea. III optimum fertilizer rates for hybrid maize. Indian J.Agron. 14. (4):253-257(1969).
- Kilmer, V.J., Younts, S.E. and Brady, N.C. Editors. The Role of Potassium in Agriculture. Am.Soc.Agron.Crop Sci.Soc. Amer Soil Sci.Amer. PP 509 (1968).
- Lamhade, J.S. Plant nutrients-their effect on yield and quality of Long Staple Indo-American Cotton.M.Sc. (Agril)thesis, Sardar Patel Uni. (1957).
- Mehta, B.V. and Raman, S. Basis for the fertilization under intensive cropping. Paper presented at the seminar on green revolution a success in Gujarat and Maharashtra arranged by FMI India on 5th & 6th November 1971 at Baroda.
- Misra, P.N. Studies on the performance of American Cotton strains and effect of NPK fertilization on the yield of seed cotton in saline-soils. Agriculture and Agro industries Journal 4 (2):9-13 (1971).
- Parekh, M.J. Effect of NPK on yield and keeping quality of onion bulb (*Allium cape*) M.Sc.(agri)thesis submitted to Sardar Patel Uni.(1970).
- Patel, C.J. Patel, D.H. and Patel, D.P. Effect of NPK on the yield of irrigated wheat. Indian J.Agron. 14 (1):3-44. 1969.

....12...

Patel, A.T. Effect of NPK in conjunction with sunn green-manuring on yield and quality of bidi tobacco K.49. M.Sc.(agri)thesis submitted to Sardar Patel Uni. (1960).

Patel, G.R. Effect of phosphate and potash under optimum conditions of availability on qualitative and quantitative production of bidi tobacco in 'Goradu' Soil, M.Sc.(agri)thesis, Sardar Vallabhbhai Vidyapith (1964). ,

Patel, B.N. effect of sources of nitrogen and levels of potash in bidi tobacco. M.Sc.(agri)thesis, Sardar Patel University (1960).

Patel, R.B. Response of hybrid maize varieties to certain levels of nitrogen, Phosphorus and potash. M.Sc. (agril)thesis, Sardar Patel University(1971).

Peterson, F.J. Brupbachar, R.H., L Clerk and Sedberry, J.E. Jr. Rice fertilization as related to soil type and soil test. International symposium on soil fertility evaluation vol.1: 445-454(1971).

Pushkharnath, Jain, M.B. and Malhotra, V.P. optimum fertilizer application to potato (*Solanum tuberosum*-L.) Indian J.Agric.Sci. 41. (2):109-113 (1971).

Raychaudhuri, S.P. Use of artificial fertilizers in India. ICAh(1967).

Roy, R.N. and Seth Jagdish, Comparative efficiency of soil and foliar application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium with regard to growth and yield of radish, Indian J.Agron. 15(3):236-238 (1970).

Sambandam, R. Vivekanand, S. N., Mathurswamy, P and Gopalkrishnan, V. NPK ratio in the irrigated summer 'Cambodia' cotton at different stages of growth and its effect on yield Ind. J.Agric.Sci. 41. (2): 114-118(1971).

Saxena, M.G. Pandey, R.K. and Hymowitz, T. agronomic requirements of soybean ('*Glycine Max*' (L)Merr). Ind.J.Agric.Sci. 41 (4): 339-344(1971).

Seth, G.R. Sardana, M.G. and Kathuria, O.P. +Economic analysis of fertilizer trials on potato. Indian J.Agron. 15(4) 313-317(1970).

Sharma, M. B. Effect of application of N,P, and K on the yield of lentil(*Lens culinaris* medic) Indian J.Agric.Sci. 40 (6):512-515(1970).

....13....

....13....

Shaikh, G.A. and Senie, G.K. Effect of N,P and K fertilizers on the HCN content of sorghum. Indian J.Agric.Sci. 41,(5):456-460(1971).

Singh, Chokhey, Khan, M.S. Singh, G.P. and Katti, G.V. Response of rainfall cotton N, P and K in Madhya Pradesh. Indian J.Agron. 16(2):219-223(1971).

Singh, Mahendra, Arora, S.K. and Singh, Sucha, Effect of N, P and K application on the composition of cotton seed. Indian J.Agric. 39(8): 785-789(1969).

Singh, Niranjan Khokhar, Singh, R.P. and Mahabir Prasad. Effect of different levels of nitrogen, Phosphorus, potassium and FYM on the yield of cabbage. India J.Agron. 15.(1):9-12(1970).

Singh, N.P., Sharma, K.C. and Saxena, M.C. Nitrogen response studies on Ganga-2 Hybrid Maize. Fertilizer news, 17(4):39-40(1972).

Singh, R.P. Dubey, S.K., Mahabir Prasad and Khokhar, N.S. studies on the effect of nitrogen, phosphorus, potash and FYM on the yield of peas under irrigated conditions. I response of maize to N,P and K. India J.Agron. 14 (2): 112-117(1969).

Tewary, S. Shahani, M.N. and Singh, R.D. Response of hybrid maize to levels of NPK fertilisers under Ranchi plateau conditions, Indian J.Agron. 15(1):5-8 (1970).

....14....

Table 1. Status of available K in different soil groups of Gujarat.

Soil Group.	% of samples falling in the categories of available potash.		
	Low.	Medium	High.
Medium black.	62.56	30.20	7.24
Deep blac.	62.97	32.56	4.47
Alluvial Sandy	56.16	30.47	13.37
Alluvial sandy loam	49.04	37.87	13.09
Lateritic.	7.14	92.86	-

Table 2. Available potash status in different districts of Gujarat Soils (1970-71).

District.	Total samples analysed.	% Samples in the category of.		
		Low 80-140.	Medium 140-448.	High 448 kg/ha..
Jamnagar.	122	25	54	21
Rajkot.	1276	24	48	28
Surendranagar	4431	23	53	24
Bhavnagar.	2596	7	33	60
Amreli.	1349	14	15	71
Junagadh	6833	37	39	24
Kutch	819	12	32	56
Sabarkantha	433	0	30	70
Mehsana	1027	0	9	91
Ahmedabad.	582	0	9	91
Kaira.	3601	14	36	50
Panchmahal	337	22	27	51
Baroda	30	10	33	57
Broach.	1462	32	16	52
Surat	9456	26	13	61
Dang.	56	34	16	50
Gandhinagar	347	00	12	88
Bulsar	3277	14	10	76
Banaskantha	2176	1	14	85
Total.	40210	17	26	57.

..15..

Table. 15. Potassium removal from the soil in different rotations at the Institute of Agriculture, Anan during 1967-70.

Rotational treatments.	K ₂ O removal kg/ha*
1. Tobacco- Tobacco.	18
2. Early mung-Tobacco-summer bajra	235
3. Early mung-Transplanted bajra-wheat summer bajra.	462
4. Early mung-transplanted bajra-wheat vegetable crop.	365
5. Soyabean - Sundhia Jowar-wheat-summer bajra.	295
6. Early mung-hybrid castor-summer bajra	198
7. Hybrid cotton + Soybean mixture-summer bajra.	131
8. Soyabean -Hybrid maize-wheat.	251
9. Hybrid bajra-Lucerne.	418
10. Soyabean- Sundhiya jowar-sugarbeet.	175.

*

Only sugarbeet crop was fertilized in 10th rotation with 150 kg K₂O /ha. Thus all the K removed from the soil was from native soil K.

,,16,,,

Table.4. Effect of varying levels of K application on different pearl-millet varieties at various locations in Gujarat (grain yield kg/ha)

Location.	Variety.	Year.	Level of K application.C.D.				
			0.	22.5	45.0	74.0	5%
Jamkhambhalia.	Local	1959	252		228		N.S.
	HB 3	1967-68					
		70	893				897 N.S.
Kothara	N23-15-2	1961-65	314	295	317		N.S.
Junagadh.	Babapuri	1960-62	697	653	715		
Kodinar	Babapuri		310	328	336		
Jamanagar	Local		343	349	338		
	Babapuri						
Umrala	N23-15-2		627	537	540		
Kothara	HB 2	1966	903				910
Talod	HB 2	1966	825				790
Targhadia	HB 2	1966	1249				1293
Jamanagar	HB 2	1966	605				581
Junagadh	HB 2	1966	2317				2330
Deess	HB 2	1966	1741				1747
Talaja	HB 2	1966-68	2234				2222 N.S.
Vijapur	HB 2	1966	1796				1769
Junagadh	HB 2	1967-69	2353				2367 N.S.
Jamanagar.	HB 2	1967-69	21325				1311 N.S.
Talod.	HB 3	1967-69	1943				1963 N.S.
Vijapur	HB 3	1967-69					2952 N.S.
Deesa.	HB 3	1967-69	2387				1882 N.S.
		70	1831				
Kothara	HB 3	1967,70	1808				1850
Chotila	HB 3	1968,69					
		70	1651				1767 84

...17...

Table 5. Results of simple fertiliser trials on cultivators fields conducted in Gujarat State for different crops.

PERL--MILLET.

District.	Variety.	Average yield of kg/ha.	Response to.						C.D. 5%
			N50 untreat -ed plot	N60 P25 + kg/ha.	N120 P30 - 1969-70.	N50 P60 - K25	N60 P30 - K30	N120 P60 + K60	
Jamanagar	I HB2(12)*	790	-	716	-	-	820	-	62 121
	I Local(5)	1252	-	872	-	-	904	-	- -
	+ R HB2(11)	590	-	447	-	-	520	-	29 58
	R Local(16)	615	-	423	-	-	509	-	28 54
Mehsana	I BB3(19)	2203	-	615	-	-	533	89	175
	IN207(19)	1488	-	712	-	-	671	85	164
	R HB3 (33)	1355	-	401	-	-	585	73	142
	R N207(33)	1441	-	396	-	-	457	121	237
Bhavnagar	I HB3 (17)	1695	-	862	-	-	1006	87	171
	I Local(21)	1047	-	654	-	-	674	44	37
	R HB3 (49)	1696	-	1154	-	-	1323	46	91
	R Local (35)	938	-	548	-	-	663	30	58
Mehsana.	R HB3 (5)	1790	-	1216	-	-	1240	113	221
	R J104(49)	1868	-	784	-	-	1025	37	72
	R N207(55)	1407	-	727	-	-	900	35	79
Jamnagar.	R HB3 (60) (Beco)	1049	914	-	-	1075	-	-	18 35
	R HB3 (60) (Nor)	1079	824	-	-	952	-	-	18 35

..is..

1971-72.

District.	Variety.	Average yield of unteated plot kg/ha.	N50 F120	Response of K over SEM C.D. N50 F120. + at. 30 60 90.
-----------	----------	---	-------------	---

Junagadh.	I HB3(7)	1090	1510	87 -134 164
	R HB3(15)	1046	1236	76 -115 -224 85 166

N50 Response of 25kg K
F25 over N50 P25.

Jamnagar	I HB3(42) (Deep)	933	1041	1233 17 33
	R HB3 57 (not).	911	1022	1156 29 57

SORGHUM.

Average response to
N120 P60 N120P60K60 SEM C.D.
+ @
- 5%

1969-70.					
Bhavnagar	I CSH1(34)*1388		704	865	70 138
	I E56(37)	810	431	504	41 87
	R CSH1(14)1443		1238	1534	99 194
	R E56 (15)	736	753	854	45 88

FADDY.

Average response to..
N60P30 N60P30K30.

1969-70.					
Surat.	I Z31(12)	1418	993	1097	35 64
	R Z31(33)	1970	729	880	77 150
	R Local(10)	1119	450	593	35 64

1970-71. N120 Response of K over
P60. N120P60.
30 60 90.

Bulsar.	I Mussoorie(12)3164	4334	211 47	331	107 221
	R Mussoorie(13)3664	4460	193 47	344	147 297

N120 Response of K over.
P100 N120 P100.
30 60 90

I Mussoorie	LM(5)	3374	4546	825 -375	1260	397 806
	LH(3)	2416	3092	916 616	1594	381 800
	MH(3)	3686	4392	824 91	1232	496 1042

District	Variety	Average of untreated plot, Kg/ha.	Average Response to, N50P25.	N50F25K25	SEM	C.D. at 5%
----------	---------	---	---------------------------------	-----------	-----	------------------

1970-71.

Panch Mahal.	R Ganga safed -2(Reco)(20)	1667	573	787	37	73
	R Ganga safed -2(nor)(20)	1633	546	657	38	75
	R Ranjit(30) (Reco)	1539	324	379	19	37
	R Ranjit(norm) (3)	1510	315	299	32	63

1970-72.

Panch mahals.	R Farm Sameri (Reco) 57.	1425	736	878	17	33
	R Farm Sameri (Norm) (57).	1239	706	847	29	57

Wheat.

1967-68 & 1968-69.

Average response to.	N120	N120	N120
P30	P30	P30	
K30		K60	

Bhavnagar S303	1260	450	640	710	-	-
Mehsana. (Meen.). NPS24.	1720	470	630	780	-	-

District.	Variety	Average yield of untreated plotKg/Ha.	Response to, N30.	E120	N120	N30.	SEM	C.D. @ 5%
				P30	P60	P30	-	5%

Mehsana I	S227(54)	2623	-	1023	1099	-	68	133
	I NP 324(55)	2424	-	974	1099	-	59	115

Bhavnagar.	I S227(63)	1608	-	1048	1225	-	48	94
	I NP324(60)	1584	-	971	1046	-	38	74

Jamnagar.	I S227(63)	1838	-	1022	1134	-	60	118
	I NP324(34)	1515	-	550	626	-	19	37
	I Local(10)	1129	909	-	-	911	92	179

1970-71.

Mehsana.	I K.Sona(63)	2644	-	1547	1641	-	55	108
	I NPS24(54)	2202	-	1117	-	-	44	87
		2292	-	1366	1436	-	54	106

Bhavnagar.	I K Sona(63)	1745	-	1355	1589	-	61	120
	I NP 324(63)	1605	-	1032	1117	-	44	87

District.	Variety.	Average yield of untreated plotkg/ha.	²⁰ N120 P120.	Response of K over N120 P120.	SEM	C.D. @ 5%.
				30 60 90.	-	

1971-72.
Junagadh I^o Ksona (46) 1901 3345 145 -169 263 66 129

N120 P100	Response of K over N120P100.
30 60 90	

Junagadh. I^o Ksona

IM(4)	1690	4048	38	-108	203	232	468
LH(5)	1587	3987	-122	-130	127	223	446
MH(3)	2255	3987	161	150	220	281	566

GROUNDNUT.	Response to.
	N30P30 N30P30K30.

1969-70.
Mamagar. I Samarala 1106 722 972 26 52

R ^o Samarala (2)	720	264	430	15	30
-----------------------------	-----	-----	-----	----	----

Surat. R Local (53) 1160 496 606 1 3 26

o I --Irrigated crop. * --Number of trials.

+ R --Rainfed crop. Reco.--Recommended practices for
for moisture conservation.

Nor.-- Normal Practices followed by cultivators.

+ IM.-- Low P₂O₅ medium K₂O in the soil before sowing.

+ LH. --Low P₂O₅ high K₂O in the soil before sowing.

+ MH -- Medium P₂O₅ high K₂O in the soil before sowing.

...21...

Table 6. Response of Jowar (BF 53) to K application at Surat during 1957-59.

K ₂ O Kg/Ha.	Mean grain yield, Kg/Ha.			
	1957	1958	1959	Mean.
0	933	924	1290	1069
33.6	1060	1029	1168	1086
67.2	1045	932	1195	1074.

Table 7. Response of Jowar varieties to K application at Chalthan, District Surat.

K ₂ O kg/ha.	Mean grain yield kg/ha.			
	1967	1968	Mean	1963*
0	450	1497	974	2094
30	492	1390	941	2205
60	579	1695	1107	2201
SEI. ±	21			
SD at 5%	60			

* These data are derived from another experiment.

...22...

Table 8. Response of different paddy varieties to K application (mean grain yield, Kg/ha.).

Location	Variety	Period of Ex- perimenta- tion.	K2O level kg/ha.						C.D. @5%
			0	34	45	63	90	134	
Nawagam.	J 230	1956-1959	3232	3312			3368		
	J 230	1961-1964	3940		3935		3977	N.S.	
		1965-1967	3878		4025			136	
	S 20	1961-1965	3230		3153		3112	N.S.	
		1965-1967	4741		4755			N.S.	
Bardoli.	Z 31	1959-1962	3030		2961		2954		
Chikhli.	Z 31	1959-1962	2432		2446		2423		
		1960-1962	3438	3039	2873				
Vyara	Z 31	1955	2633		2477		2503		

Table No.9. Effect of fertilizer doses on Nawagam 19 paddy on cultivators' fields at Nawagam in 1970.

Cultivator Shri. M.M.Patel. Shri. C.M.Patel.

Treatment	Grain.	Fodder.	Treatment	Grain	Fodder.
N ₁₀₀ P ₀ K ₀	4338	5199	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ K ₀	4439	5227
N ₁₀₀ P ₅₀ K ₀	4471	5526	N ₁₅₀ P ₆₀ K ₀	3974	5119
N ₁₀₀ P ₀ K ₅₀	4382	5051	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ K ₆₀	4099	4761
N ₁₀₀ P ₅₀ K ₅₀	4375	5021	N ₁₅₀ P ₆₀ K ₆₀	4224	5119
Control	2793	3773	Control	3329	4224.

..23..

Table 12 Abstract of the results of the experiment conducted at Anand to study the effect of NPK on high-yielding wheat varieties * (yield, kg/ha).

Treatment Kg/ha.	Grains.		Fodder.	
	1969-70	1970-71	1969-70	1970-71
N ₁₅₀ P ₇₅ K ₀	4422	4052	4823	5622
N ₂₁₀ P ₁₀₅ K ₀	4313	4104	5017	5715
N ₂₁₀ P ₁₀₅ K ₁₀₅	4369	4116	5312	6246
SEM	56	90	128	96
CD at 5%	NS	NS	380	272

Table 13. Response of different cotton varieties to potash application (mean seed cotton yield, kg/ha)

Location, Variety	Year of test.	Level of K ₂ O kg/ha					
			0	25.0	33.06	50.0	67.2
Surat	Vijalpa 1957 to 1959	343					348
Bardoli	Vijalpa 1958 to 1961	775					743
Kholwad	Vijalpa 1960 to 1962	1013					918
Keem	Vijalpa 1960 to 1962	371					369
Umrala	CJ 73 1957 to 1959	663					656
Kholwad	Deviraj 1959 to 1962	1013					978
Ratia	(Thumad) Kalyan } 1967 to 1970 V 737)	779	754				
Chotila	V 737 } 1967 to 1970 CH 73)	396				444	

- 25 -

Table 14. Response of different varieties of groundnut to K application (Mean yield of pods, kg/ha).

Location	Variety.	Period of test.	Level K ₂ O tested, kg/ha.								
			0	14	22.4	25.	28	30	44.8	56	60
Junagadh.	Punjab-1	1952-1954	1,118						454		1,1120
	Punjab-1	1955-1958		1,266					1,039		1,265
	Punjab-1	1959-1962		1,001					1,039		1,019
Talod	A H 32	1957-1960		1,309							1,317 1,334
Amreli.	A H 32	1959-1962		1,072							1,034 1,013
		1958-1961		685					687		
Halvad	A K 12-24	1958-1962		436					437		
Kothara	A H 32	1961-1965	1,179			1,171				1,134	
Jamnagar	Punjab-1	1962-1965		852	865		872				

Table..15. Response of Junagadh 11 groundnut to different fertilizer levels (Yield of pods, kg/ha)

Treatment.	Junagadh		Amreali
	1970	1971	1970.
N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	1615	766	1623
N ₄₀ P ₈₀ K ₀	1835	985	1667
N ₂₀ P ₄₀ K ₀	1732	904	1667
N ₂₀ P ₄₀ K ₂₀	15969	902	1655
Total X CD at 5%		96	

..207

Table 16. Response of Jungadh 11 groundnut to NPK at Jungadh.....

Treatments Kg/ha.	Yield in kg/ha.					
	Fod.			Fodder.		
	1970	1971	Mean	1970	1971	Mean
N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	1142	1185	1134	1811	1510	1661
N ₀ P ₀ K ₄₀	1232	1514	1373	1886	1912	1899
N ₄₀ P ₄₀ K ₀	1333	1992	1633	2183	2365	2252
N ₄₀ P ₄₀ K ₄₀	1233	1997	1643	1861	2365	2113
N ₄₀ P ₈₀ K ₀	1449	2227	1830	2113	2617	2365
N ₄₀ P ₈₀ K ₄₀	1464	2236	1850	2188	2617	2403
C,D. at 5%	NS	236				

Table 17 Abstract of the results of an experiment to study the response of castor hybrid 3 to spacing and fertilizer in 1970.

Treatments. Kg/ha.	Vijapur		Jungadh.
	597	1717	1327
N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	597		1038
N ₄₀ P ₄₀ K ₀		1717	1327
N ₄₀ P ₈₀ K ₀		1930	1396
N ₄₀ P ₄₀ K ₄₀		1811	1504
C,D. at 5%	66		177

Table 18 Abstract of results of an experiment to study the effect of plant population, spacing and fertilizer on sesarum Patan 64 (Yield in kg/ha).

Treatments. Kg/ha.	Manund.		Mean.	Umrala, 1971.
	1970	1971		
N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	260	296	278	411
N ₂₅ P ₂₅ K ₀	432	381	407	475
N ₅₀ P ₅₀ K ₀	434	460	447	572
N ₅₀ P ₅₀ K ₅₀	458	484	471	579
CD at 5%	93	34		114

Table 19. Abstract of results of an experiment to study the effect of spacing and fertilizer on soyabean at Junagadh in 1971-72.

Treatments Kg/ha.	Yield of grains ,kg/ha.	
	J 265	I 231
N ₀ P ₀ K ₀	595	595
N ₂₅ P ₂₅ K ₀		664
N ₂₀ P ₂₀ K ₄₀		715
N ₄₀ P ₃₀ K ₀		813
N ₄₀ P ₃₀ K ₈₀		850
CD at 5%	240	73.

~~24~~
~~25~~

Table 20. Response of various vegetable crops to potash application at different locations (mean yields kg/ha).

Crop	Location	Period of test.	Level of K ₂ O kg/ha.	84	90	124
Potato	Pilwai	1965-66 to 63-69	10153	9941	10033	
	Deesa	1967-68	24084	21432	24239	
Onion	Jamnagar	1965-66 to 67-68	11417	11107	11113	
	Pilwai	1965-66 to 68-69	28535	28237	28293	
Bardoli.	1966-67 to 63-69	12172	13116	14894		
	Deesa	1967-68	10021	9734	9746	
	Talaja	1968-69	22800	23522	23640	
Level of K ₂ O			0	22.5	33.6	44.8
Brinjal	Junagadh	1960-61 & 5593 1962-63			5773	5534
	Pilwai	1963-64		1619	1999	
	Thasra	1963-64		3116	3454	
Tomato	Umrala	1963-64		6322	6631	
Chillies	Chanaswai	1963-64		3632	4326	

-30-

Table. 21. Effect of Potash application on tobacco quality at Anand 1970-71.

Treatment	% on dry wt. basis.		
	N	Nicotine	K.
A. Soil application of K_2SO_4 @ 90 kg K_2O /ha	3.01	8.47	0.67
B. Soil application of K_2SO_4 @ 130 kg K_2O /ha	2.96	8.44	0.95
C. Foliar application of 5% K_2SO_4 @ 90 kg K_2O /ha at weekly intervals 6 weeks after transplanting.	2.95	8.04	0.70
D. Soil application of K_2SO_4 @ 90 kg K_2O /ha + foliar application of 5% K_2SO_4 @ 90 Kg K_2O /ha at weekly intervals 6 weeks after transplanting.	2.93	8.54	0.93
E. Control.	2.95	7.99	0.60
SEM ±	0.06	0.18	0.06
CD at 5%	NS	NS	0.20
CV	4.15	4.5	17.30

-34-

Table 22. Response of Sugarcane Co 419 to Potash application (Mean cane yield tonnes/ha)

Location	Period of test.	Level of K_2O , kg/ha.			
		0	37.5	50	75
Kodinar	1961-62 to 64-65	69.96	71.61	70.72	
	1961-62 to 65-66	69.19		65.21	
Vyara.	1961-62 to 65-66	73.66		76.21	

Table 23 Response of sugarbeet varieties to fertilizer doses at Anand in 1970-71.

Treatment kg/ha.	Yield tonnes/ha	Sugar %
N ₁₅₀ P ₇₅ K ₁₅₀	50.257	15.53
N ₂₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₀	52.667	15.39
N ₂₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₁₀₀	54.671	15.18
N ₂₅₀ P ₁₂₅ K ₂₅₀	54.934	15.73
SEM ±	1.974	
CD at 5%		N.S.

- 32 -

Table No. 24 Abstract of experiments at Anand to study the effect of P K on fodder crops at Anand (Yield q/ha).

Treatment Kg/ha.	Lucerne sirsa Green	Lucerne sirsa Dry.	Cowpea(1971-72) Green	Cowpea(1971-72) Dry.	Cluster bean(1971-72) Green	Cluster bean(1971-72) Dry.
K ₀ C	647.3	131.5	339	46	163	35
K ₃₀	—	—	331	45	168	37
K ₄₀	662.0	132.4	—	—	—	—
K ₅₀	676.1	135.0	—	—	—	—
S TM +	7.6	1.5	6.8	0.9	3.7	0.8
CV at 5%	21.6	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

MGR Chauhan