

## TOWN PLANNING SCHEME

## BOMBAY CITY No. IV

(Final Scheme)

## (MAHIM AREA)

Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954

Sanctioned to take effect from 1 5th August 1963 under Government Notification, Urban Development and Public Health Department, No. TPS-1963-R, dated the 20th May 1963, published at page 737 in Part I of the "Maharashtra Government Gazette." Bombay Division Supplement, dated the 23rd May 1963.

## (Part-I)

## NOTE

This publication is issued in two parts. Part I consists of Text and Part II consists of Plans.

# TOWN PLANNING SGAEME, BOMBAY CITY No. TV (FINAL SGHEME) (MAHIM AREA) 

## BRIEF HISTORY OF THE SCHEME

This is the Fourth Town Planning Scheme undertaken under the provisions of the Bombay Town Planning. Act in the City of Bombay. The area comprised in this Scheme is situated in the northern sector of the City and is bounded by the Western Railway to the east, by the Tilak Bridge, N. C. Kelkar Road and Ranade Road to the north, by the Sea to the west, by the Elphinstone Bridge, the drainage channel and the $50^{\circ}$ road to the north of the Government Housing Colony to the south. It is roughly $11 / 2$ mile in length and little under a mile in width, has a total area of 623 acres comprising of 1,373 original plots.

This area came into demand for building purposes over 40 years ago when, it appears, the question of preparing a Town Planning Scheme under the provisions of the Bombay Town Planning Act was considered by the Bombay Municipal Corporation. The question was, however, not pursued further and ultimately dropped. Had a Town Planning Scheme been undertaken at that time, the area comprised in this scheme would have been developed on proper lines and with considerably less cost.

During all these years these lands began to be developed in a piece-meal and haphazard manner for all sorts of uses such às residences, factories, shops, mills, etc. This resulted in ribbon development along existing roads without any provision for public sites such as Recreation Grounds, open spaces, etc. Another result was that the interior lands remained undeveloped for want of proper means of access and communication. 'With the increase in demand for land for building purposes, some of the interior lands began to be developed in a piece-meal and haphazard manner in the absence of a proper comprehensive development plan. The question was further aggravated on account of keen demand for suitable building land due to factors arising out of the war and post-partition period. There was also acute scarcity of housing accommodation with consequent demand for building land. The Municipal Corporation with whatever powers it possessed under the Municipal Act, was making attempts to effect improvements as and when opportunities presented, but
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these measures were indeed too inadequate to control the haphazard development that was ever on the increase.

Since the Municipal Act was found to be inadequate to ensure proper development of this area in an orderly manner, the Bombay Municipal Corporation ultimately decided to prepare a Town Planning Scheme of the whole area under the provisions of the Bombay Town Planning Act and declared their intention to make the Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. IV, on 25th June 1951 by their Resolution No. 290. The Government of Bombay sanctioned the making of the Scheme under Local Self-Government and Public Health Department Resolution No. 7019/33, dated 19th April 1952. When the Corporation subsequently requested Government to extend the date of publication of the Draft Scheme by six months, it was noticed that through an oversight the Government sanction to the making of the Scheme was not published in the "Official Gazette" as required under the Act. The Government, therefore, issued a fresh formal sanction for the making of the Draft Scheme on 29th May 1953 which was duly published in the "Official Gazette" on 4th June 1953. The Draft Scheme was duly prepared and published by the Bombay Municipal Corporation on 3rd May 1954 which was sanctioned by the Government of Bombay on $3^{\text {rd }}$ January, 1955. On 14th March 1955 Shri G. J. Desai, B.E., F.R.I.C.S., M.T.P.I. (London) (Retired Consulting Surveyor to Government), was appointed as Arbitrator for the Scheme under section 29 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1915.

The Final Scheme as now drawn up provides for the construction of new roads for the development of the interior lands with necessary water-supply mains, sewers, storm-water drains, lighting, etc. Certain public sites such as School, Recreation Ground, Market, Hospital, etc., have also been provided at suitable places within the area of the scheme. The boundaries of the original plots have been reconstituted wherever possible in such a way as to render them well
suited for building purposes. Special building regulations have also been prescribed for the development of the whole area on orderly lines so as to secure proper sanitary conditionis, amenity and convenience to the persons living in this area.
. The construction of new roads, the provision of public sites, the reconstitution of the boundaries of the original plots, special building regulations, etc, will, it is expected, provide for the development of this part of this City on proper oìderly lines.

## Bombay:

The 28th February 1963:


Arbitrator, Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. IV (Final Scheme),
(Mahim Area).

## BOMBAY TOWN PLANNING ACT No. XXVII OF 1955

## TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY GITY No. IV (FINAL SCHEME)

 (MAHIM AREA)
## PREAMBLE

Whereas, I, GOPALJI JIWANJI DESAI, the Arbitrator, appointed under Government Notificatioń, Local Self-Government and Public Health Department, No. TPB-1354, dated 14th March 1955, in respect of the above Scheme, have done all that is required to be done in that behalf under section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1915, and under section 32 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, which came into force from 1st April 1957, and rules thereunder; and

Whereas the Board of Appeal having met, as provided under the Act, have given their decision in all matters arising out of clauses (v), (vi), (viii), (ix),' (x) and (xiii) of sub-section (1) of section 32 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954 (No. XXVII of 1955); I hereby draw up the Final Scheme as required by sections 32 (1) (xiv) and 43 (2) of the said Act and thereto affix my hand and seal.

## STATEMENT OF WORKS TO BE CONSTRUGTED UNDER THE SCHEME

## ROADS

The-roads mentioned in the Schedule hereunder and marked on plan numbered 4 shall be constructed according to the description indicated in the abstract of estimate for Roads, etc., annexed to the Scheme.
Road No. Width of
Serial marked on Road in Ft.

No. plan No. 4. $\quad$| Description of road |
| :---: |
| work |



Road No.
Serial marred on Width of No. plan No. 4 Road in F
(1)
(2)
(3) 37
37

| 37 | 10 | 40 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 38 | 7 | 40 |
| 39 | 8 | 40 |
| 40 | 2 | 40 |
| 41 | 5 | 40 |
| 42 | 20 | 40 |
| 43 | $29-36$ | 40 |
| 44 | 41 | 40 |
| 45 | 51 | 40 |
| 46 | 54 | 40 |
| 47 | 55 | 40 |
| 48 | 53 | 40 |
| 49 | 43 | 40 |
| 50 | 45 | 40 |
| 51 | 49 | 40 |
| 52 | 48 | 40 |
| 53 | 47 | 60 |
| 54 | 63 | 80 |
| 55 | 40 | 80 |
| 56 | 52 | 130 |

ROADS-contd.


# ALLOTMENTS OR RESERVATIONS OF SITES FOR PUBLIC OR MUNICIPAL PURPOSES 

The plots enumerated in the schedule hereunder are allotted for the purposes shown against them and shall vest in the Local Authority:

| Serial |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | F. P. No. | Area | Purpose |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) |

Plots wholly beneficial to owners or residents within the area of the Scheme.


Plots partly beneficial to owners or residents within the area of the Scheme and partly to the General Public


The 28th February 1963.


| Serial | F. P. No. | Area | Purpose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. <br> (1) | (2) | (3) | (3d. |

Plots partly beneficial to owners or residents within the area of the Scheme and partly to the General Public-cont.

Section ' $C$ '.
$40 \quad 1,195$
8,843 Hospital.
F. P. No. 570 in Section A admeasuring 1,438 sq. yds. is allotted for a Cattle-pound and shall vest in the Government of Maharashtra, Police Department. F. P. No. 1100 in Section B admeasuring 272 sq. yds. is allotted for the B.E.S.T. Undertaking.

In addition to the above sites allotted for Public or Municipal purposes strips of land in Final Plot Nos. 241, 326, 471, 488, 498, 506, $524,530,543,545,590$ and 601 of Section 'A'; 801, 838, 914, 1032, 1033, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1068, 1069, 1070 and 1071 of Section 'B'; 1176 and 1218 of Section ' C ' marked in red dotted lines on Plan Nos. 3 and 4 accompanying the Scheme are reserved for future widening of roads for a period of 20 years. The land so reserved shall remain in the ownership of the respective owners till they are taken over by the Local'Authority, but no additions or alterations to the existing structures thereon (except the usual annual repairs) shall be allowed. The Local Authority, when it desires to take up the construction of roads for which land is so reserved, may take possession of the strips of land by giving one month's notice in writing to the owner or owners concerned. The compensation to be paid shall be fixed by mutual agreement or under the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894.
Strips of road land in front of Final Plot Nos. 1084 and 1085 of Section 'B' and Final Plot No. 1140 of Section ' $C$ ' marked in red dotted lines on Plan Nos. 3 and 4 accompanying the Scheme may be sold by the Local Authority to the respective owners for being amalgamated with their plots.

*To be used for housing those dishoused in the scheme as far as possiblc.

# TOWN PLANNING SGHEME, BOMBAY CITY No. IV (FINAL SGHEME) (MAHIM AREA) 

## REGULATIONS CONTROLLING THE DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE AREA OF THE SCHEME

In addition to the existing Regulations and Byelaws framed by the Local Authority under the yprovisions of the City of Eombay Municipal Act of 1888, as amended up-to-date, and such other Building Regulations or Byelaws as may in future be made or amended by the Local Authority from time to time, the following special regulations shall hold good within the area of this Scheme after the Final Scheme comes into force in respect of works described in sections 337 and 342 of the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act, provided that in any case in which any of ${ }^{-}$ the undermentioned regulations is in conflict with the abovementioned Building Regulations and/or Byelaws or with any other Laws or Byelaws by any authority made, except by an Act of tine Central Legislature of the Union of India, the provisions of the undermentioned regulations shall prevail:-

1. (a) Final Plot Nos. 488 (Part), 489, 505, $506,508,509,511,512,531,532$; 533,541 to 544 , $552,553,555$ to $558,581,582,586,590$ to 592 , 613,909 to 911,951 to $959,1024,1025,1028$ to $1032,1034,1040$ to 1043,1045 to 1050,1052 to 1056, 1058, 1074 to 1080, 1082 to 1087. 1089 to 1091, 1096 (Part), 1163, 1208, 1210, 1211, 1215, 1216 (Part), 1217 to $1223,1225,1236$ to 1239, 1243 to 1251,1264 to 1269 and 1273 to 1275 shall be used for "Industrial Purposes" only:

Provided that residence for staff who are required to stay on the site as a part of their duty, canteens and similar provisions for the welfare of the employees shall be permitted on these plots.
(b) Final Plot Nos. 35, 223, 510, 575 (Part), 706, 766, 857 (Part), 881, 1136 (Part) and 1261 (Part) shall be used for "Serrice Industries" only.

On these plot, one or more of such type of Industries mentioned in Appendix ' $A$ ' to these regulations shall be established, which the Municipal Commissioner may deem necessary in the interests of the residents of the area. The floor area to be occupied bv a single Industry in such a case shall not exceed $1,200 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of which the storage area incidental to the Industry shall not exceed 20 per cent:

Provided, however, that the area may be increased at the discretion of the Municipal Commissioner by not more than 10 per cent.

Note.-In the above regulation No. 1 (a) and (b) where 'parts' of Final Plots are mentioned, the precise 'Parts' are indicated on Plan No. 4 accompanying the Scheme.
(c) Final Plot Nos. 50 pt. (fronting the Ranade Road-as indicated by dotted line on plan), 227, 507,780 and 936 shall be used for Cinema and/ or Theatre. Final Plot No. 746 shall be used as a Municipai Theatre. These plots shall be subject to the condition that no part of the plot is used for residential purposes except for the residence of a Watchman and a Canteen.
(d) A Petrol Pump and Service Station will be permitted on Final Plot Nos. 178, 578, 783, 807,841 and 900 pt. (Southern portion - as indicated by dotted line on plan).
Petrol Pumps and Service Stations may be permitted hereafter in the area of the Scheme as the Municipal Commissioner may deem necessary.
(e) Final Plot No. 570 shall be used for a "Cattle-pound".
(f) Dhobi Ghat, Laundry and residence of the workers will be permitted on Final Plot No. 1088.
(g) Final Plot No. 823 shall be used for the construction of a Maternity Home and a Dispensary open to all communities and creeds.
(h) Final Plot No. 1260 shall be used for the construction of a School.
(i) Final Plot Nos. 848 and 1121 shall continue to be used for the Film Laboratories and Kodak Ltd., respectively, as at present. Their development shall be regulated as in the case of plots for "Residential Purposes".
In the event of these users discontinuing for one reason or another, the plots shall be used for "Residential Purposes" only.
(j) Final Plot No. 616 is permitted to be used for a Central Garage and Incineration Plant of the Bombay Municipal Corporation.
(k) The whole of Final Plot No. 47 is permitted to be used for shops (Kirtikar Market) and residences as at present.
(l) In addition to the Public Sites-Final Plot Nos. 540, 569, 570-A and 1097, Final Plot Nos. 867, 1078, 1246, 1256 and 1269 allotted to
the Local Authority in lieu of the land held by them in the Scheme shall be used for providing accommodation for those dishoused in the Scheme as far as possible.
(m) Final Plot No. 861 shall be used as a Recreation Ground.
(n) All the remaining plots, except those allotted for "Public Purposes" shall be used for "Residential Purposes":

Provided that Final Plot Nos. 178, 578, 783, 807, 841,. 900 (Part) and 570 may be used for "Residential Purposes" if they are not used for the purpose indicated hereinbefore in 1 (d) and (e) above.

Note.-The term "RESIDENTIAL PURPOSES" shall include the following users:-
(a) Customary incidental home occupation;
(b) Professional offices or studios of a resident of the premises and incidental to such residential use;
(c) Medical and dental, offices and group medical centre ;
(d) Boarding or lodging houses with the written permission of the Municipal Commissioner ;
(e) Educational buildings, religious buildings and community centres;
(f) Club houses not conducted primarily as a business;
(g) Charitable, correctional or mental institutions for children, the aged and widows, sanatoria and hospitals (except veterinary hospitals) with the written permission of .the Commissioner ;
(h) Telephone Exchange, Electric Sub-sta tions, Fire Stations, Municipal Offices, Posts and Telegraphs Offices and Police Stations.
(o) Subject to the marginal open spaces prescribed under these regulations, shops will be permitted along the frontage of plots enumerated in the accompanying Appendix ' $B$ ' and abut-. ting the following roads and streets:-
(I) N. C. Kelkar Road.
(2) Gokhale Road (North and South).
(3) Hansali Tank Road (Part).
(4) Library Street.
(5) Chhabildas Road (Part).
(6) Ranade Road (Part).
(7) Tulsi Pipe Line Road.
(8) Dr. D'Silva Road.
(9) Dadar Road.
(10) Ash Lane (Part).
(11) Portuguese Church Street.
(12) Bhavanishankar Road.
(13) Elphinstone Road.
(14) Sayani Road.
(15) Proposed $80^{\prime}$ Road from Gokhale Road to Dr. Anne Beasant Road.
(16) Cadell Road-between Sayani Road and Dr. Anne Beasant Road.
(17) New Probhadevi Road from Cadell Road to proposed $80^{\prime}$ Road.
(18) Ranade Road Extension:

## Provided that-

(i) No shop shall be less than 10 feet in width or depth and less than 150 sq . ft . in clear superficial area;
(ii) The loft or mezzanine floor in a shop, if any, shall be at a height not less than $7^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ from the floor of the shop and its area shall not exceed $1 / 3$ rd the area of the shop;
(iii) No display of any merchandise or goods shall be permitted outside the shops;
(iv) The open space in the front of shops shall be paved and shall be at the same level as that of the adjoining footpath.
Provided further that, in the case of plots earmarked for "Industrial Purposes" abutting the roads where shops are generally provided, one shop purely in respect of the articles of the particular industry may be permitted at the discretion of the Municipal Commissioner. The building set-back line in such a case shall be 15 feet as in the case of principal building.

Note.-The term "Shop" in addition to its usual meaning, shall include the following users:-
(a) Flour mills using not more than i0 H. P. in the shops permitted only in the side open spaces under Regulation No. 6 (a).
The following activities using electric motive power not exceeding 1 H. P.-
(b) Tailoring.
(c) Button hole making. -.
(d) Optical glass grinding.
(e) Coffee grinding.
(f) Cane and fruit juice crushing.
(g) Milk bars.
(h) Goldsmithy, wire drawing and engraving.
(i) Battery charging.
(j) Bicycle repairs and vulcanizing.
(k) Radio repairs.
(l) Wet masala grinding in hotels.
(m) Such other activity using Electric Motive power not exceeding $1 / 2 \mathrm{H}$. P. at the discretion of the Municipal Commissioner.
2. The built-up area of a plot shall not exceed $1 / 3$ rd the total area of the plot:
Provided that-
(a) On plots designated for Industrial Purposes, Service Industries and Market, the. built-up area shall not exceed 60 per cent of the total area of the plot;
(b) The area covered by compound walls, open steps, open ottas not more than 1 ft . above ground-level, storage tanks, pump houses not more than 7 feet in height, permissible gallery or balcony projections, weather-shades, eaves, entrance canopies and permissible garages will be allowed in excess of the permissible built-up area;
(c) On plot whereon shops are permissible with a setback of 5 feet from a street, the area of the building between the 5 feet and 10 feet setbacks from the street as also the area of the ground floor shops wherever permissible within the 15 feet side open spaces will be allowed in excess of the permissible built-up area.
3. No building shall exceed ground and three upper floors and 50 feet in height which may be relaxed up to 52 feet in the case of buildings such as Colleges, Schools, Hospitals, and 60 feet in the case of buildings for Industrial Users which require a higher height of each floor.
Note.-The height prescribed above is exclusive of the parapet wall, if any, over the terrace.
4. A room over the lift-well and a staircase room above the height of a building permissible under these regulations may be permitted provided that in the case of the latter; its area does not exceed the area required to enclose the staircase and landing not exceeding $3^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$.
Note.-An exception may be made by the Municipal Commissioner as he may deem necessary in regulation No. 4 in the case of structures such as Storage Tanks, Chimney. Tower, Minarets and other architectural features.
5. There shall be a building setback line on every plot and such setback line shall not be less than 10 feet from any and every street or passage on which the plot abuts:

Provided that-
(a) On plots where shops are permissible such setback line shall be 5 feet.
(b) For public buildings, such as theatres, assembly halls, schools, hospitals, places of religious worship, etc., and for buildings for warehouses, service industries and other industrial purposes the setback from the street or passage shall not be less than 15 feet.
6. In addition to the setback lines as provided in the regulation No. 5 above, there shall be an open space of 15 feet from each of the other remaining boundaries of the plot.

On plots enumerated in the accompanying Appendix ' $B$ ' abutting the roads and streets mentioned in regulation No. 1 (o) hereinbefore, the side open spaces along that road, may be allowed to be built-up for shops on ground floor only to a depth not exceeding 20 feet.

Note.-In the case of plots of irregular shapes or oblique boundaries an average open space subject to a minimum of 12 feet should be considered for the purpose of regulation Nos. 5 and 6.
7. In the case of plots having an average width and/or, depth of less than 60 feet the marginal open spaces may be reduced at the discretion of the Municipal Commissioner:

Provided that the above reduction shall be granted in such a way as not to exceed the built-up area of the plot permissible under these regulations.
8. Sanitary Blocks in marginal open spaces or over the existing ones may be permitted where genuine hardship would result if such a permission were not granted.
9. A Watchman's cabin not exceeding $5^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ may be permitted in front near the gate in the marginal open space where the provision for such a cabin is found necessary.
10. Private garages may be permitted on compulsory open spaces provided that-
(a) The total-area covered by the garage does not exceed 10 per cent of the area of the plot;
(b) The height of the garage does not ordinarily exceed 9 feet above the surrounding ground-level ;
(c) There is a minimum open space of 5 feet between the main building and the garage where the marginal open space is 15 feet. In the case of lesser marginal open spaces, this space of 5 feet may be relaxed at the discretion of the Municipal Commissioner.
(d) No garage is erected within 25 feet of any street from which it takes access.
(e) Access from the garage to the street, the width of which shall not be less than 10 feet, is paved and drained to the satisfaction of the Municipal Commissioner.
11. In the case of plots with existing buildings, the Municipal Commissioner may relax the application of regulation Nos. 2, 5 and 6 as he may deem necessary in respect of a new building or an extension to an existing building on the plot and additional floors in view of the constructional or genuine difficulties:

Provided that the above relaxation in the builtup area and open spaces shall be granted in such a way as not to exceed the total Hoor space of the building permissible on the plot under these regulations:

Provided further that the floor space referred to in the above proviso may be increased to some extent not exceeding 10 per cent of the area of the plot in exceptional cases where genuine hardship would result if such a concession in the floor space were not granted.
12. Where there are two or more buildings on a plot, the common open space between the buildings shall not be less than 30 feet, provided, however, that this space may be reduced up to 20 feet in cases where genuine hardship would result if the 30 feet space were insisted upon.

In the case of plots permitted to be used for Industrial Purposes a covered passage of suitable width between any two buildings may be permitted over the above open space.
13. (a) The open spaces to be left under these regulations shall be deemed to be 'Common Open Spaces' as per the Bombay Municipal Building Regulations and Byelaws.
(b) All compulsory open spaces shall be kept unbuilt upon and open to sky excepting con' struction of compound walls, open steps, open ottas not more than one foot above groundlevel, storage tanks, pump houses not more than 7 feet in height, electric cabins and similar structures and permissible projections, garages and shops.
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(c) Such of the open spaces as may be directed by the Municipal Commissioner shall be paved and drained to his satisfaction.
14. (a) No internal chowk either for a purpose of lighting and ventilation of any part of a building or for its drainage shall be permitted in any building.
(b) No dimension of a chowk open on one side shall be less than 10 feet.
(c) If the wings or sides of a building containing a kitchen or kítchens, derive their light and ventilation from a chowk open on one side, such chowk shall be of a width not less than half the height of the taller wing or side abutting the chowk.
(d) In the case of non-residential buildings, however, a closed chowk may be permitted provided that no side of it is less than 30 feet and its area is not less than $150 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{yds}$.
(e) The Municipal Commissioner may relax the application of regulation Nos. 14 (a) to (c) as he may deem necessary in the case of additions to existing buildings.
15. No residential building shall exceed 100 feet in any direction excluding the length of shops permissible in side open spaces provided, however, that this limit may be allowed to be exceeded up to a length of 105 feet in suitable cases.
16. No plot shall be sub-divided and no two or more contiguous plots shall be amalgamated without the previous written permission of the Municipal Commissioner. In the case of the sub-division of a plot, each of the sub-divided plots shall be provided with a means of access as may be determined by the Municipal Commissioner. Such a sub-divided plot, if less than $60^{\prime}$ in width, shall not be entitled to provisions of regulation No. 7.
17. No sitting out place shall be permitted on the terrace of any building.
18. No basement floors, cellers, vaults and underground rooms either under or as a part of a building shall be constructed except with the written permission of the Municipal Commissioner.
19. The space below the staircase may be enclosed for the purpose of housing electric meter and/or store, but for no other purpose.
20. Except in the case of plots permitted to be used for industrial purposes, no compound wall or fence to be erected or re-erected along the boundary of a plot on its sides and rear
shall be of a height greater than 6 feet above the ground-level of the plot.

Such a compound wall on a side or sides abutting on to a road or public passage, shall not exceed 5 feet in height of which not more than 3 feet shall be a dwarf wall and the remaining upper portion shall be of railings, balustrades or parapet of a perforated design, etc.

All gates in a compound wall shall open inwards.
21. Balconies, galleries, weather-shades, eaves or entrance canopies projecting on compulsory open spaces will be permitted on the following conditions:-
(i) No such gallery or balcony shall be nrojected on a common open space less than 30 feet.
(ii) The width of such balcony or gallery shall not exceed $3^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ clear width provided that balconies or galleries on the side abutting a street or passage not less than 20 feet in width may be allowed up to 4 feet width.
(iii) Cornice balconies not more than $1^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ in width may, however, be permitted by the Municipal Commissioner if the common open space is not less than 20 feet provided the regulations hereafter specified except in respect of the width of the balcony, are duly complied with.
(iv) The length of such balcony or gallery on any side of a building shall not exceed $1 / 3$ rd the length of that side of the building:
Provided that on the side of the building facing a street not less than 40 feet in width, balcony or gallery not exceeding $2 / 3$ rd the length of that side of the' building may be allowed.
(v) No balcony or gallery will be allowed in front of water closets, urinals and bathrooms or serve as a passage or entrance lobby to any room or sanitary convenience in the building.
(vi) Every such balcony or gallery shall be of a cantilever type without any support from the floors below and shall be so designed as to be in keeping with the general architectural features of the building.
(vii) Every balcony or gallery shall be kept open on sides and shall not be enclosed.
(vii) The width of weather-shades or eaves shall not exceed 3 feet except where these projections including R. C. C. or other chajjas and roof projections are provided for the protection of the galleries and balconies, in which case, their widths shall be restricted to a maximum of $1^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ beyond that of the respective gallery or balcony.
(ix) The Municipal Commissioner may permit an entrance canopy of not more than 6 feet in width in front of the main entrance to a building.
(x) A cantilever canopy not exceeding 5 feet in width but at a height of not less than 10 feet may be permitted in front of shops at the discretion of the Municipal Commissioner.
22. In the case of plots admeasuring more than 1,000 sq. yds. and with a proposal for construction of more than two buildings, no proposal for an individual building or a group of buildings shall be entertained until a development plan with a proper means of access to each building has been submitted and approved by the Municipal Commissioner.
23. No hut, shed or a structure of mud, leaves, grass, cloth or thatch, wood, corrugated iron sheets or of similar other materials for residential purposes or otherwise, shall be allowed within the area of the Scheme except with the permission of the Municipal Commissioner.
24. If a vacant plot or a plot with temporary. structure or structures or a portion thereof is being used for any non-conforming use at the date on which the Final Scheme comes into force, the non-conforming use of such plot shall be stopped within a period of three years from the date on which the Final Scheme comes into force, unless it has been agreed or required under any other enactment to discontinue such use earlier.
25. If a plot with a permanent building or buildings or a portion thereof is being used for any non-conforming use at the date on which the Final Scheme comes into force, the nonconforming use of such plot shall not be called upon to be stopped earlier than a period of 16 years from the date on which the Final Scheme comes into force unless it has been agreed or required under any other enactment. to discontinue such use earlier.

Note.-'Non-conforming use' as referred to in the above regulation Nos. 24 and 25 means the use which is not in accordance with these regulations.
26. B. E.S.T. Sub-station, where necessary, may be permitted in the marginal open space but within the permissible built-up area if the Municipal Commissioner is satisfied that a separate site for the plot is not available in the vicinity. Such a substation shall be at least $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ away from any building and shall have a height not exceeding $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$.
27. Theatres, public assembly halls, hospitals and other similar public buildings, offices, markets, factories and buildings of the warehouse class shall be provided with such parking space as may be determined by the Municipal Commissioner.
28. These regulations shall not apply to the buildings existing on the date the Final Scheme
comes into force but shall apply when they are pulled down or a substantial portion of them are reconstructed. (The decision of the Manicopal Commissioner as to what is substantial portion shall be final.)
29. Any person contravening any of the aforesaid regulations or any of the provisions of the Scheme, shall on being convicted for such contravention, be liable to a fine which may extend to Rs. 1,000 and in case of continuing contravention of the aforesaid provisions, he shall be. liable to an additional fine which may extend to Rs. 10 for each day during which such contravention continues, after conviction for the first such contravention.


Arbitrator, Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. IV (Final Scheme),
(Mahim Area).


# APPENDIX ' $A$ ' <br> [Regulation No. 1 (b)] <br> <br> LISTS OF INDUSTRIES PERMISSIBLE ON PLOTS RESERVED FOR <br> <br> LISTS OF INDUSTRIES PERMISSIBLE ON PLOTS RESERVED FOR <br> <br> SERVICE INDUSTRIES 

 <br> <br> SERVICE INDUSTRIES}

1. Flour Mills with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$.
2. Bakeries, Confectionaries, Ice-candy, Icecream, Factories with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. and employing not more than 9 persons.
3. Automobile service and repair stations with Motive Power not exceeding 15 H.P. and employing not more than 9 persons.
4. Power Laundries not using a cleaning fluid with petroleum as its base with Motive Power not exceeding $15 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. and employing not more than 9 persons.
5. Masala grinding mills with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$.
6. Pasteurisation milk, cream and butter with Motive Power not exceeding 10 HIP.
7. Sugarcane crushers for supply of sugarcane juice only with Motive Power not exceeding $5 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$.
8. Supari grinding with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$.
9. Photo process works employing not more than 9 persons.
10. Leather works not employing more than 9 persons.
11. Soda fountain and Aerated Waters with Motive Power not exceeding 10 H. P. and not employing more than 9 persons.
12. Printing, publishing, book-binding, engraving and block-making with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$.
13. Goldsmith, locksmith, watch and clock repairs, bicycle repairs, musical instrumont repairs, picture framing radio and household appliances repairs, umbrella repairs and upholsters with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. and not employing more than 9 persons.
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14. Cloth printing and dyeing works with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. and not employing more than 9 persons.
15. Furniture works with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. Circular saw with more than $1 / 2$ H.P. Motive Power not permissible. Number of workmen not to exceed 9.
16. Umbrella assembly works employing not more than 9 persons.
17. Tools and razor sharpening works employing not more than 9 persons.
18. Paper-box manufacturing including paper cutting and other stationery articles with Motive Power not exceeding $10 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. Number of workmen not to exceed 9.
19. Tailoring and embroidery establishments with Motive Power not exceeding 10 H.P. Number of workmen not to exceed 9.
20. Engraving and fine arts works employing not more than 9 persons.
21. Fountainpen manufacture with Motive Power not exceeding 5 H.P. and employing not more than 9 persons.
22. Automatic typewriting employing not more than 9 persons.
23. Mattress-making and cotton cleaning using Electric Motive Power not exceeding $5 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$.
24. Such other allied repairs, cleaning or light manufacturing shops not employing more than 9 persons and 10 H.P. Motive Power that are not noxious or offensive because of emission of odour, dust, smoke, gas, noise, vibration or otherwise dangerous to the public health and safety, as the Commissioner may deem fit to permit.
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## APPENDIX ' $B$ '

[ Regulation No. I (o)]

## LIST OF FINAL PLOTS ALONG THE FRONTAGE OF WHICH SHOPS

 ARE ALLOWED.Final Plot Nos. 2 to 12, 19, 22, 23, 25 to 29, 32 to 34,36 to 49,50 (Part) fronting the N. C. Kelkar Road, Dr. D'Silva Road and Ranade Road except the frontage of the portion earmarked for Cinema, 51 to 53, 60 to 64, 68 to $72,82,84,85$ to $90,91,98$ to $100,103,104$, $105,107,122,123,139,169,170,173,174,177$, 179, 189, 190, 191, 192, 201, 208, 209, 215, 216, 217 to 222,224 to 226,228 to 235,239 to 241 , 243, 246 to 249,251 to 255,268 to 281, 283 to 285,287 to $290,292,294,306$ to 315,324 to 326, 330 to $334,369,372,373,388,389,391$, 392,398 to $400,408,410,412$ to $421,425,427$, $428, .430$ to $434,445,452$ to $454,456,457,471$ to 476,478 to $480,482,483,485$ to 487,488 (Part), 490, 497, 498, 501, 515, 516, 520 to 522,

525 to 528,535 to $537,550,551,559$ to 561 , $567,568,572$ to $575,577,579,580,584,585$, $588,589,593$ to $602,604,608,609,612,618$ to 624,691 to 695,698 to 701,714 to 718,782 , $784,788,789,792,795$ to $797,806,810$ to 812 , 825,828 to $831,843,844,846,847,850,853$ to 858,868 to $870,872,874$ to $876,879,880,887$, 890 to $895,899,900$ (Part) fronting the Old Prabhadevi Road except the frontage of the portion earmarked for Petrol Pump, 903, 906 to 908,912 to 915,923 to $926,931,933$ to 935 , 938, 942 to 944,948 to 950,960 to $963,965,966$, 968,972 to $982,985,986,1006$ to 1008,1022 , $1026,1027,1093$ to $1095,1102,1105$ to 1107 , 1109 to $1111,1118,1122,1123,1125$ to 1133 , 1224, 1226, 1227, 1229, 1232, 1235.
 Bombay City No. IV (Final Scheme), (Mahim Area).
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TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY GITY NO. IV (MAHIM AREA)

REDISTRIBUTION AND VALUATION STATEMENT-FORM B
Sbction "A"
(Sr. No. 1 to 663-A)


BOMBAY CITY No. IV (MAHIM AREA)
Valuation Statement-Form B

| Number <br> (7) | Area in sq. yds. <br> (8) | FINAL PLOT |  |  |  | Contribution (+) <br> Compensation (-) under section 67 column (9-b) minus ${ }_{(6-b)}^{\text {column }}$ (II) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increment } \\ \text { (section 65) } \\ \text { column } \\ (10-a) \\ \text { minass } \\ \text { colimn } \\ (9-a) \end{gathered}$ | Contribution (section 66) 50 per cent of column (12)(13) | Addition to ( + ) or tion from (-) contrito be made under other (14) | Net demand from ( + ) or by (-) owner being the addition of Cols. (11) (13) and (14) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Undeve |  | $\underbrace{\text { Develo }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Without reference to value of structures $(9-a)$ | Inclusive of structures <br> (9-b) | Without reference to value of structures <br> (10-a) | Inclusive of structures <br> (10-b) |  |  |  |  |  | (16) |
| 'A' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| 1 | 3,455.00 | .. | .. | - | .. | .. | . | - | . | .. | Garden. |
| 2 | 707.02 | 56,562 | $\begin{gathered} 56.562 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | . 60,097 | - | - | 3,535 | 1,767 | .. | $+1,767$ |  |
| 3 | 770.37 | 61,630 | $\begin{aligned} & 61,630 \\ & +\quad \end{aligned}$ | 65,481 | - | - | 3,851 | 1,925 | .. | +1,925 |  |
| 4 | 394.44 | 31,555 | $\begin{aligned} & 31,555 \\ & +x \end{aligned}$ | 33,527 | - | -• | 1,972 | 986 | .. | +986 |  |
| 5 | 402-22 | 32,178 | $\begin{gathered} 32,178 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 34,189 | -• | - | 2,011 | 1,005 | .. | $+1,005$ | . |
| 6 | $402 \cdot 22$ | 32,178 | $\begin{gathered} 32,178 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 34,189 | - | - | 2,011 | 1,005 | - | +1,005 | - |
| 7 | $897 \cdot 38$ | 85,251 | $\begin{array}{r} 85,251 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 89,738 | - | -• | 4,487 | 2,243 | .. | +2,243 |  |
| 8 | 816.63 | 89,829 | $\begin{gathered} 89,829 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 93,912 | - | - | 4,083 | 2,041 | - | +2,041 |  |
| 9 | 809.44 | 80,941 | $\begin{array}{r} 80,944 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | - 84,182 | - , | - | 3,238 | 1,619 | -• | $+1,619$ |  |
| 10 | $855 \cdot 74$ | 64,180 | $\begin{gathered} 64,180 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 67,603 | - | - | 3,423 | 1,711 | - | $+1,711$ |  |
| 11 | 414.18 | 24,851 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,851 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 26,508 | - | . | 1,657 | 828 | - | +828 |  |
| 12 | 414.18 | 24,851 | $\begin{gathered} 24,851 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 26,508 | $\cdots$ | - | 1,657 | 828 | -• | $\cdots+828$ |  |
| 13 | 1,161.00 | 63,855 | $\begin{gathered} 63,855 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 68,499 | - | - | 4,644 | 2,322 | - | +2,322 |  |
| 14 | $375 \cdot 33$ | 18,766 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,766 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 20,268 | .. | - | 1,502 | 751 | - | +751 |  |
| . 15 | 361.87 | 18,093 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,093 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 19,541 | - | - | 1,448 | 724 | - | +724 |  |
| 16 | $486 \cdot 68$ | 26,767 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,767 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 28,227 | .. ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | .. | 1,460 | 730 | -• | $\div 730$ |  |
| 17 | 279.00 | 13,950 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,950 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 15,066 | -• | - | 1,116 | 558 | -• | +558 |  |
| 18 | 527.14 | 26,357. | $\begin{gathered} 26,357 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 28,466 | - | -• | 2,109 | 1,054 | . | $+1,054$ |  |
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| (1) |  | (2) |  |  | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | Rs. | Rs. |
| 88 |  | Gopinath Kondaji Khandke .. |  |  | P. \& T. | 5/182 | .. 84-A | 526.9 | 26,345 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,345 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| $89$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | Dattatraya Narahari Khandke <br> Shrimati Jankibai Dattatraya Khandike. <br> Shantaram Ramchandra Khandke. <br> Namdeo Laxman Khandke. <br> Damodar Vithal Bartake (Trustees). |  | -• | P. \& T. | 1/182 | .. 85 | 1,364•86 | 68,243 | $\begin{gathered} 68,743 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ |
| $90$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | Dattatraya Narahari Khandke <br> Shrimati Jankibai Dattatraya Khandke. <br> Shantaram Ramchandra Khandke. <br> Namdeo Laxman Khandke. <br> Damodar Vithal Bartake (Trustees). |  |  | P. \& T. | 1A/182 | .. 85-A | 990.14 | 74,260 | 74,260 |
| 91 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \\ & 7 . \end{aligned}$ | Dwarkanath Mukund Khandke <br> Pandharinath Mukund Khandke. <br> Dattatraya Narahari Khandke. <br> Namdeo Laxman Khandke. <br> Damodar Vithal Bartake. <br> Shrimati Parvatibai, wd/o Kashinath <br> Khandke. <br> Shrimati Annapurnabai, w/o Mukund Khandke. |  |  | P. \&. T. | 2/182 | .. 86 | 1,075.00 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 53,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 53,790 \\ \mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| 92 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | Dwarkanath Mukund Khandke Asokkumar Dwarkamath Khandke. Pandharinath Mukund Khandic. Eknath Mukund Khandke. Dinanath Mukund Khandke. | -. |  | P. \& T. | 2A/182 | .. 87 | $946 \cdot 00$ | 47,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 47.550 \\ +\quad+X . \end{array}$ |
| 93 |  | Shrimati Fatmabai Ibrahim, w/o Vazirally | Bunde |  | P. \& T. | 277 | .. 88 | . $985 \cdot 3$ | 78,824 | $\begin{array}{r} 78,824 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| 94 |  | Mohsinaly Vazirally Bundeally .. | - | . | P. \& T. | 278 | .. 89 | $513 \cdot 00$ | 25,650 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,650 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| 95 |  | Ganesh Laxman Kulkarni .. . | . | . | P. \& T. | 279 | .. 90 | 364.00 | 18,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,200 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 96 |  | Shrimati Hiralaxmi, wd/o Premchand Raichand | ichand | .. | P. \& T. | 1/279 | .. 91 | 250.00 | 12,500 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,500 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 97 |  | Dr. Madhukar Balwant Raut | - | .. | P. \& T. | 11/280 | .. 92 | 584.00 | 29,200 | $\begin{gathered} 29,400 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ |
| 98 | $\frac{1}{2 .}$ | Kashinath Nanabhai Bhulcshkar Anant alias Bhagwant Narayan Bhuleshka |  | $\cdots$ | P. \& T. | 10/280 | .. 93 | 222.00 | 9,990 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,990 \\ +X . \end{array}$ |
| 99 |  | Mohan Ramchandra Dhuru .. | - | .. | P. \& T. | 9;280 | .. 94 | $205 \cdot 00$ | 9,225 | 9,345 $+X$ |
| 100 |  | Chotabhai Balaram Pathare .. | . | - | P. \& T. | 8/280 | .. 95 | 294.00 | 13,230 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,360 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| - 101 | $\frac{1}{2 .}$ | Hari Vinayak Kamat .. Vinayak Vishnu Kamat. | - | - | P. \& T. | 269 | .. 96 | 379.00 | 15,160 | $\begin{aligned} 15,160 \\ +X \end{aligned}$ |
| 102 |  | Govind Ramchandra Kelkar .. | -• | - | P. \& T. | 270 | .. 97 | $170 \cdot 00$ | 7,050 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,050 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 103 |  | Shankarrao Kashinath Palahetkar | - | - | P. \& T. | 271 | .. 98 | 162.00 | 7,240 | 7,240 $+\times$ |
| 104 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | Narayan Ramchandra Oolungal Shivaji Ramchandra Oolungal Venkat Ramchandra Oolungal Dattatraya Ramchandra Oolungal. Vinayan Ramchandra Oolungal. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. \&T. } \\ & \text { P. \&T } \\ & \text { P. \& T. } \end{aligned}$ | 274 275 276 | $\begin{aligned} & \because 99 \\ & \because: \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 608 \cdot 00 \\ 721.00 \\ 1,551.00 \end{array}\right\}$ | 1,72,800 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,72,800 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| . 105 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \end{aligned}$ | Narayan Ramchandra Oolungal <br> Shivaji Ramchandra Oolungal. <br> Venkat Ramchandra Oolungal. <br> Dattatraya Ramchandra Oolungal. <br> Vinayan Ramchandra Oolungal. (common with a right of way to the owners of C. S. 270 and 271). |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2age } \\ & 269, \end{aligned}$ | P. \& T. | 273 | .. 100 | $\frac{2,880 \cdot 00}{-124 \cdot 00}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
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| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. | Rs. |
|  | 1. Shrimati Bibibai, wd/o Vali Mohamed Abdul Rehman. <br> 2. Shrimati Fatmabai, wd/o Ahmed Hussein. <br> 3. Abdul Rehman Valimohamed. (Trustees). | P. \& T. | 272 | .. 101 | 1,505•05 | 90,303 | $\begin{array}{r} 90,303 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 107 | 1. Harischandrarao Ganpatrao Kadam <br> 2. Mahadeo Vinayak Indulkar. <br> 3. Ramchandra Laxman Belosay. <br> 4. Jaywant M. Bhapkar. <br> 5. Bhikaji B. Parab (Trustees of Shri Shivaji Smaral Mandal Trust.) | P. \& T. | 1/272 | .. 102 | 1,712.00 | 90,736 | $\begin{array}{r} 90,736 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| 108 | 1. Narayan Jagannath Mhatre .. <br> 2. Anandrao Jagannath Mhatre. <br> 3. Laxman Jagannath Mhatre. <br> 4. Nilkanth Jagannath Mhatre. <br> 5. Vijaykant Jagannath Mhatre. | P. \& T. | 7/280 | .. 103 | $347 \cdot 00$ | 15,615 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,680 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 109 | 1. Divakar Bhalchandra Kamat <br> 2. Bhalchandra Hari Kamat. <br> 3. Govind Ramchandra Kelkar. (Trustees of the Deed of Settlement, dated 19tb April 1934 from Divakar Bhalchandra Kamat.) | P. \& T. | 6/280 | .. 104 | 579.00 | 26,055 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,135 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 110 | Vishnu Ramchandra Velankar .. .. .. | P. \& T . | 1/268 | .. 105 | 1,625-05 | 89,402 | $\begin{array}{r} 89,402 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| \$11 | 1. Rita Misquitta <br> 2. Francis Misquitta, Executors of the will of Late Gabriel Misquitta (Lessors). <br> Govind Ramchandra Kelkar (Lessee). | P. \& T. | 268 | .. 106 | 1,500.00 | 82,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 82,500 \\ \cdot+X \end{array}$ |
| 112 | 1. Shrimati Hazrabai, w/o Haji Ebrahim Essak <br> 2. Mahomed Yonnus Haji Ebrahim Essak. | P. \& T. | 1/266 | .. 107 | 282.6 | 16,956 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,956 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 113 | 1. Shrimati Revabai, wd/o Vinayakrao Narayan Rane <br> 2. Shrimati Vatsalabai Jagannath Rane: (Lessors). Mahomed Hasham Ibrahim Lakhani (Lessee). | P. \& T. | 1/265 | .. 108 | 19.00 | I | 1 |
| 114 | Ebrahim Amaji Rawat .. .. .. .. | P. \& T. | 264 | .. 109 | 181.69 | 9,993 | 9,993 +8 |
| $115$ | 1. Shrimati Revabai Vinayak Rane <br> 2. Shrimati Vatsalabai Jagannath Rane. | P. \& T. | 265 | .. 110 | 238.78 | 9,551 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,551 \\ +x \end{array}$ |
| 116 | 1. Shrimati Leelabai, w/o Purshottam Janardan Pathare <br> 2. Purshottam Janardan Pathare. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. \& T. } \\ & \text { P. \& T. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 263 \\ 267 \end{array}$ | $\text { .. } 111$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.00 \\ 221.00 \end{array}$ | 12,420 | 12,420 |
| - |  |  |  |  | 276.00 |  | +X |
| 117 | 1. Nathuram Laxman Mhatre .. <br> 2. Prabhakar Nathuram Mhatre. | P. \& T. | 1/267 | .. 112 | $365 \cdot 00$ | 16,425 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,985 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 118 | 1. Hari Govind Gokhale <br> 2. Bal Hari Gokhale. | P. \& T. | 2/267 | .. 113 | 350.00 | 15,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,150 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 119 | 1. Kamlakant Ramnath Shertukde <br> 2. Shrimati Nalini Kamlakant Shertukde. | P. \& T. | 5/280 | .. 114 | 167.00 | 7,515 | 7,555 $+\times$ |
| 120 | 1. Shrimati Chandrabai Bhaurao Ghuge <br> 2. Mahadeo Bhaurao Ghuge. | P. \& T. | 4/280 | .. 115 | $328 \cdot 00$ | 14,760 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,808 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
|  | Gopal Damodar Naik .. .. .-. .. | P. \& T. | 2/280 | -. 116 | $433 \cdot 00$ | 19,485 | 19,635 $+\mathbf{X}$ |
| 122 123 | Gajanan Sunderrao Vagal .. .. .. .. | P. \& T. | 1/280 | . 117 | 283.00 | 12,735 | 12,735 $+\mathbf{X}$ |
|  | .." | \& |  | .. 118 | $347 \cdot 00$ | 17,350 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,350 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ |
| Contribution to be paid by lessors 30.5 per cent. <br> Contribution to be paid by lessee $69 \cdot 5$ per cent. <br> Lessorse +686 , Lessee $=+1,564$. <br> * Existing right of way of F. P. 109 over F. P. 105, if any, is hereby retained A-1499-5-B. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Re. |  |
| 99 | 1,499 | 89,940 | $\begin{gathered} 89,940 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 97,435 | - | -363 | 7,495 | 3,747 | -• | +3,384 |  |
| .100 | 1,718 | 91,054 | $\begin{array}{r} 91,054 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 99,644 | -• | +318 | 8,590 | 4,295 | - | +4,613 | , |
| 101 | 327.00 | 14,715 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,715 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 17.331 | - | -965 | 2,616 | 1,308 | $\cdots$ | +343 |  |
| 102 | 559.00 | 25,155 | $\begin{aligned} & 25,155 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 29,627 | -• | -980 | 4,472 | 2,236 | - | +1,256 |  |
| 103 | 1,625-05 | 89,402 | $\begin{aligned} & 89,402 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 97,530 | $\cdots$ | - | 8,128 | 4,064 | - | +4,064 |  |
| 104 | 1,500.00 | 82,500 | $\begin{aligned} & 82,500 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 87,000 | - | - | 4,500 | 2,250 | - | +2,250* | - |
| . 105 | $282 \cdot 6$ | 16,956 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,956 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 19,217 | -• | - | 2,261 | 1,130 | - | +1,130. | ** |
| 106 | 19.00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | -• | - | .. | Passage |
| 107 | 181.69 | 9,993 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,993 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 10,901 | -• | -• | 908 | 454 | - | + 454 |  |
| 108 | 238-78 | 9,551 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,551 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 10,267 | -* | -• | 716 | 358 | - | +358 |  |
| 109 | 276.00 | 12,420 | $\begin{gathered} 12,420 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 14,628 | - | - | 2,208 | 1,104 | - | $+1,104$ | -0 |
| 110 | 354.00 | 15,930 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,930 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 18,762 | - | -4,055 | 2,832 | 1,416 | - | . 2,639 |  |
| 111 | 308.00 | 13,860 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,860 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 16,324 | - | -5,290. | 2,464 | 1,232 | - | -4,058 |  |
| 112 | 202.00 | 9,090 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,090 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 10,706 | - | +1,535 | 1,616 | 808 | - | +2,343 |  |
| 113 | 307.00 | 13,815 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,815 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 16,27! | - | -993 | 2,456 | 1,228 | - | +235 |  |
| 114 | $405 \cdot 00$ | 18,225 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,225 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 21,465 | - | -1,410. | - 3,240 | 1,620 | -• | +210 | t |
| 115 | 256.00 | 11,520. | $\begin{array}{r} 11,520 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 13,568 | $\bullet$ | -1,215 | 2,048 | 1,024 | -• | -19i | t |
| 116 | 318.00 | 15,900 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,900 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 18,444 | -• | $-1,450$ | 2,544 | 1,272 | -* | -178 |  |

\$ Existing right of way of F. P. 119 and 120 over F. P. 114 and 115 is hereby retained.
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## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)
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Town Planning Scheme


Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)


| (1) | (2) | (3) | $3(a)$ | (4) | (5) | $6(a)$ | $6(b)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


+Incremental contribution under Section 32 (1) (vii).

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

$\quad$ Compensation under Section 67 to be paid to lessee, Incremental contribution under Section 66 to be paid by
Lessor $=83.6 \%$. Incrimental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessee $=16.4 \%$. Lessor $=+79$, leasee $=-3,685$.


Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | 0 | - (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| 243 | 3,948.00 | 1,97,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,974,00 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 2,3,6,880 | - | $-16,150$ | 39,480 | 19,740 | .. | +3,590 |  |
| 244 | 746.00 | 26,110 | $\begin{gathered} 26,100 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 33,570 | - | +2,040 | 7,460 | 3,730 | - | +5,770 |  |
| 245 | 358.00 | 16,110 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,110 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 18,974 | -. | -3,405 | 2,864 | $1,432$ | -• | $-1,973$ |  |
| 246 | 535.01 | 32,106 | $\begin{array}{r} 32,106 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | . 34,781 | -* | - | 2,675 | 1,337 | -• | +1,337 |  |
|  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 247 | 2,217.04 | 1,33,044 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,33,044 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 1,44,131 | - | - | 11,087 | 5,543 | - | +5,543 |  |
| - 248 | 1,002.00 | 49,845 | $\begin{array}{r} 49,845 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 52,851 | - | +595 | 3,006 | 1,503 | - | +2,098 |  |
| 249 | $183 \cdot 56$ | 9,178 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,178 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 10,096 | $3_{3}$ | .* | 918 | 459 | -• | +459 |  |
| 250 | 91.00 | 4,550 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,550 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 5,005 | - | .. | 455 | 227 | -• | +227 |  |
| 251 | $275 \cdot 03$ | 14,302 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,302 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 15,677 | - | '. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 1,375 | 687 | -* | $+687$ |  |
| - | - | -• |  | - | - | -1 | - | - | -* | -1 | Passage. |
| 252 | 336.39 | 17,492. | $\begin{array}{r} 17,492 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 19,174 |  | - ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1,682 | 841 | : | +841 |  |
| 253 | 309.41 | 15,470 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,470 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 17,018 | - | - | 1,548 | 774 | -• | +774 |  |
| 254 | $256 \cdot 57$ | 12,828 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,828 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 14,111 | -: | - | 1,283 | 641 | - | +641 |  |
| 255 | 652.00 | 32,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 32,600 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 35,860 |  | ." | 3,260 | 1,630 | - | $+1,630$ |  |
| 256 | 402.00 | . 14,070 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,070 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 16,080 | - | +4,270 | 2,010 | 1,005 | - | +5,275 |  |
| 257 | 288.00 | 10,080 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,080 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 11,520 | - | $+1,125$ | 1,440 | 720 | - | $\cdots+1,845$ |  |
| 258 | 30400 | 10,640 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,640 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 12.160 | '• | $\pm 2,445$ | 1,520 | 760 | -• | +3,205 |  |
| 259 | 24500 | 8,575 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,575 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 9,800 | $\cdots$ | -53 | 1,225 | 612 | -• | +559 |  |
| 260 | 165.69 | 5,799 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,799 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 6,628 | - | - | 829 | 414 | - | $+414$ |  |
| 261 | 221.66 | 7,758 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,758 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 8,866 | -• | - | 1,108 | 554 | - | +554 | - |



Bombay Clty No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (II) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 262 | 163.00 | 5,705 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,705 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 6,683 | - | -339 | 978 | 489 | - | +150 |  |
| 263 | 2,904*00 | 1,01,640 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,01,640 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 1,30,680 | - | -6,230 | 29,040 | 7,260 | -• | $+1,030$ |  |


| 264 | 2,021•00 | 64,672 | $\begin{array}{r} 64,672 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 84,882 | - | -3,232 | 20,210 | $10,105$ | . | +6,873 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 265 | 2,629.00 | - 92,015 | $\begin{array}{r} 92,015 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,18,305 | -• | -22,470 | 26,290 | 13,145 | -• | -9,325 |



| $\cdots$ | $\bullet \bullet$ | .. |  | ** | - | - | 41,340 | ** | $\cdots$ | -• | -41,340 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | -* | -* | - | -* | $\because$ | -• | -8,780 | -* | - | -• | -8,780 |
|  |  |  | - |  | ' |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| -• |  | - |  | - | -. | * | $\rightarrow$-13,250 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $-13,250^{\circ}$ |



| $27{ }^{*}$ | 1,364 | 61,380 | $\begin{array}{r} 61,380 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 68,200 | - | -2,182 | 6,820 | 3,410 | - | $+1,228$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 272 | 447.43 | 22,371 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,371 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 24,609 | -• | $\cdots$ | 2,238 | 1,119 | . | +1,119 |



[^3]Bombay Gity No. IV (Mahim Area)

| $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9-a)$ | $(9-b)$ | $(10-a)$ | $(10-b)$ | $(11)$ | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |


| 274 | 4,561-47 | 2,05,266 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,05,266 \\ &+\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 2,28,073 | - | -1,544 | 22,807 | 11,403 | - | +9,859 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 275 | 500 | 25,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,000 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 26,500 | - | -• | 1,500 | 750 | - | $+750$ |
| 276 | $406 \cdot 77$ | 20,338 | $\begin{array}{r} 20,338 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 22,372 | .. | -• | 2,034 | 1,017 | - | +1,017 |


| 277 | 399.34 | 19,967 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,967 \\ +X \end{array}$ | - 21,964 | -• | -• | 1,997 | 998 | - | +998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 278 | 408 | 18,360 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,360 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 20,400 | - | +1,294 | 2,040 | 1,020 | - | +2,314 |
| $\cdot 279$ | 1,227.97 | 73,678 | $\begin{array}{r} 73,678 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 79,818 | -• | $\cdots$ | 6,140 | 3,070 | - . | +3,070 |


| $\cdots$ |  | -* | -• | - | -• | -15,850 | - | - | - | $-15,850$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 280 | 783 | 27,405 | $\begin{array}{r} 27,405 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 35235 | - | -6,188 | 7,830 | 3,915 |  | -2,273 |
| 281 | 483 | 19,320 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,320 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 21,735 | - | +2,456 | 2,415 | 1,207 | - | +3,663 |
| 282 | 238 | 7,140 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,140 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 9,520 | - | $-2,403$ | 2,380 | 1,190 | -• | -1,213 |
| 283 | 690 | 24,150 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,150 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 28,980 | $\cdots$ | +704 | 4,830 | 2,415 | - | +3,119 |


| 284 | 783 | 31,320 | $\begin{array}{r} 31,320 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | -40,716 | -• | $-4,790$ | 9,396 | 4,698 | -• | -92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 356 | 556 | 13,900 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,900 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 22,240 | - | -360 | 8,340 | 4,170 | - | -3,810 |
| 285 | 963 | 34,650 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,650 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 44,280 | - | -22,230 | 9,630 | 4,815 | - | -17,415 |




Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

${ }^{*}$ Lessor $=N i l, \quad$ Lessees $=+621$. Lessees shall continue to pay the existing lease rent.
A-1499-8-A.


Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (i) | (12) | (13) | (14) ${ }^{*}$ | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| 307 | $396 \cdot 00$ | 20,592 | $\begin{aligned} & 20,592 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 22,572 | - | -2,756 | 1,980 | 990 | . | $-1,766$ |  |
|  |  | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 308 | 1,436.00 | 74,672 | $\begin{aligned} & 74,672 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 81,852 | - | -2,600 | 7,180 | 3,590 | - | $+990$ |  |
| 309 | 2,178.00 | 1,19,790 | $\begin{gathered} 1,19,790 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,26,324 | - | - | 6,534 | 3,267 | -• | +3,267 |  |
| 310 | 700.00 | 38,500 | $\begin{aligned} & 38,500 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 40,600 | - | - | 2,100 ${ }^{-}$ | 1,050 | - | $+1,050$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| 311 | 873.00 | 52,380 | $\begin{aligned} & 52,380 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 56,745 | -• | - | 4,365 | 2,182 | - | +2,182 |  |
| 312 | 904.00 | 63,280 | $\begin{gathered} 63,280 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 67,800 ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | 4,520 | 2,260 | . | +2,260 |  |
| 313 | 123.00 | 9,225 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,225 \\ & +X^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 9,840 | - | - | 615 | 307 | . | +307 |  |
| 314 | 1,190.00 | 1,01,150 | $\begin{gathered} 1,01,150 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,04,720 | $\cdots$ | -• | 3,570 | 1,785 | . | +1,785 |  |
| 315 | 1,015.00 | 71,050 | $\begin{aligned} & 71,050 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 76,125 | - | - | 5,075 | 2,537 | - | +.2,537 |  |
| 316 | 1,142.00 | 79,940 | $\begin{aligned} & 79,940 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 85,650 | - | - | 5,710 | -• | -• | .. - |  |
| 317 | 873.00 | 19,206 | $\begin{aligned} & 19,206 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 29,682 | - | +1,144 | 10,476 | 5,238 | - | +6,382 |  |
| 319 | 381.00 | 9,525 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,525 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 15,240 | - | -1,825 | 5,715 | 2,857 | - | +1,032 |  |
| 318 | 239.00 | 4,780 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,780 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 8,365 | - | +2,740 | 3,585 | 1,792 | - | . $+4,532$ |  |
| $\mathrm{In}^{865}$ Section ' $\mathrm{B}^{763.00}$ |  | 22,890 | 22,890 | 35,098 | - | -20,615 | 12,208 - | 6,104 | - | -14,511 |  |
| 320 | 358.00 | 10,740 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,740 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $16,110$ | -• | -21,480 | 5,370 | 2,685 | $\cdots$ | -18,795 |  |
| 321 | 335 | 11,725 | $\begin{aligned} & 11,725 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | . 16,750 | - | -39,750 | 5,025 | 2,512 | - | -37,238 |  |
| $\cdots$ | -• | -• | -• | - | -• | -1 | $\cdots$ | -• | $\cdots$ | -1 | Passage. |
| 324 | 345 | 24,150 | $\begin{gathered} 24,150 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 26,910 | $\cdots$ | $-21,860$ | 2,760 | 1,380 | - | $-20,480$ |  |
| 323 | 291 | 11,640 | $\begin{gathered} 11,640 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 15,132 | - | -21,840 | 3,492 | 1,746 | - | -20,094 |  |

$-16,539 \quad$.. .. .. $-16,539$

[^4]Town Planning Scheme
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| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | , | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. - | R8. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| . 342 | $334 \cdot 00$ | 8,350 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,350 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 13,360 | -• | $-1,350$ | 5,010 | 2,505 | . | $+1,155$ |  |
| 344 | 507.00 | 15,210 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,210 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 21,294 | - | -630 | 6,084 | 3,042 | . | +2,412 |  |
| . 345 | 505.00 | 20,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 20,200 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 26,260 | -• | -1,930 | 6,060 | 3,030 | . | $+1,100$ |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| -346 | 836.00 | $29,260$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,260 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 39,292 | -• | -8,255 | 10,032 | 5,016 | $\cdots$ | -3,239 |  |
| . 347 | 359.00 | 10,770 | $\begin{gathered} 10,770 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 15,078 | - | $-3,000$ | 4,308 | 2,153 | - | -846 |  |
| -348 | 475.00 | 14,250 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,250 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 19,950 | - | $-6,324$ | 5,700 | 2,850 | - | -3,474 |  |
| -349 | 508.00 | 17,780 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,780 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 26,416 | - | $-3,645$ | 8,636 | 4,318 | - | +673 |  |
| $-350$ | 1,473.00 | 36,825 | $\begin{gathered} 36,825 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 58,920 | - | -9,555 | 22,095 | 11,047 | -• | +1,492 |  |
| 351 | 485.00 | 16,975 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,975 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 24,250 |  | -15,175 | 7,275 | 3,637 | . | -11,538 |  |
| 352 | 644.00 | 16,100 | 16,100 | 25,760 |  | -62.150 | 9,660 | 4,830 |  |  |  |
| 354 | 531.00 | 13,275 | 13,275 | 21,240 |  |  | 7,965 | 3,982 |  | $-53,338$ |  |
|  | 1,175.00 | 29,375. | $\begin{gathered} 29,375 \\ +2 X \end{gathered}$ | 47,000 |  |  | 17,625 | 8,812 |  |  |  |
| -358 | 5,068.00 | 1,26,700 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,26,700 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,77,380 |  | -25,530 | 50,680 | 25,340 | .. | -190 |  |
| 361 | 885.00 | 26,550 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,550 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 35,400 | - | $-1,800$ | 8,850 | 4,425 | - | +2,625 |  |
| 360 | 752:00 | 22,560 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,560 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 30,080 | - | $-3,960$ | 7,520 | 3,760 | - | -200 |  |
| 962 | 373.00 | 11,190 | $\begin{aligned} & 11,190 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 15,666 | - | -780 | 4,476 | 2,238 | - | +1,458 |  |
| -363 | 503.00 | 15,090 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,090 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 21,126 | - | -660 | 6,036 | 3,018 | - | +2,358 |  |
| - 964 | 494.00 | 14,820 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,820 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 20,748 | - | -600 | 5,928 | 2,964 | $\cdots$ | +2,364 |  |
| -365 | . 467.00 | 14,010 | $\begin{gathered} 14,010 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 20,548 | -• | -3,240 | 6,538 | 3,269 | -• | $\underline{+29}$ |  |
| . 366 | 580.00 | 20,300 | 20,300 | 27,260 | - | -5,330 | 6,960 | 3,480 | -• | $-1,850$ |  |
| 367 | 451.00 | 15,785 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,785 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 21,197 | $\cdots$ | $-1,050$ | 5,412 | 2,706 | - | +1,656 |  |

Town Planning Scher


Bombà̀ City No. IV. (Mahim Ārea)
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Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)


Town Planning Scheme


Bombay City No, IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Re. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs: |  |
| 403. | 69600, | 27,840 | $\begin{gathered} 27,840 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 38,280, | . | +1,760 | 10,440 | 5,220 | .. | +6,980 |  |
| 404 | 10,449.00 | 2,61,225 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,61,225 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 3,86,613 | -. | -38,500 | 1,25,388 | 62,694 | .. | +24,194 |  |
| 405 | 511.00 | 20,440 | $\begin{array}{r} 20,440 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 25,550 | :. | $-5,492$ | 5,110 | 2,555 | . | -2,937 |  |
| 406 | 581.00 | 17,430 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,430 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 24,402 | -• | $+3,777$ | 6,972 | 3,486: | - | +7,263 |  |
| 407 | 863-00 | 34,520 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,520 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 47,465 | . | -3,380 | 12,945 | 6,472 | . | +3,092 |  |
| 408 | 1,424.00 | 64,080 | $\begin{array}{r} 64,080 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | .81,168 | .. | -12,105 | 17,088 | 8,544 | . | -3,561 |  |
| 409 | 882.00 | 35,280 | $\begin{gathered} 35,280 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 42,336 | .. | +560 | 7,056 | 3,528 | .. | $+4,088$ |  |
| 410 | 850.47 | 42,523 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,523 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 49,327 | - | -• | 6,804 | 3,402 | . | +3,402 |  |
| 4111 | 293.63 | 11,745 | $\underset{\substack{11,745 \\+x}}{ }$ | 13,213 | . | -• | 1,468 | 734 | .. | +734 |  |
| 412 | 310.00 | 12,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,400 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 13,950 | .. | .. | 1,550 | 775 | . | +775 |  |
| 413 | 323.00 | 11,305 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,305 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 12,920 | .. | .. | 1,615 | 807 | .. | +807 |  |
| 414 | 1,611.00 | 64,440 | $\begin{gathered} 64,440 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 72,495 | $\because$ | $-13,125$ | 8,055 | 4,027 | .. | -9,098 |  |
| 415 | 547.00 | 21,880 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,880 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 24,615 | .. | +1,680 | 2,735 | 1,367 | . | +3,047 |  |
| 416 | 497.06 | 19,882 | $\begin{gathered} 19,882 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 22,368 | .. | .. | 2,486 | 1,243 | .. | +1,243 |  |
| 417 | 617.07 - | 24,683 | $\begin{gathered} 24,683 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 27,768 | .. | - | 3,085 | 1,542 | - | +1,542 |  |
| 418 | 856.71 | 47,119 | $\begin{array}{r} 47,119 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 49,689 | .. | -• | 2,570 | 1,285 | -• | $+1,285$ |  |
| 419. | 562.00 | 22,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,480 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 25,290 | .. | . | 2,810 | 1,405 | -• | +1,405 |  |
| 420 | 1,256.00 | 50,240 | $\begin{array}{r} 50,240 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 60,288 | .. | +240 | 10,048 | 5,024 | - | +5,264 |  |
| $\cdots$ | -• | $\cdots$ | - | . | - | -1 | -• | -• | $\bullet$ | $-1$ | Passage. |
| 421 | 1,518 | 60,720 | $\begin{gathered} 60,720 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 69,828 | -• | $-51,250$ | 9,108 | 4,554 | -• | -46,696 |  |
| 423 | 576 | 23,040 | $\begin{gathered} 23,040 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 27,648 | - | .. | 4,608 | 2,304 | -• | +2,305 |  |
| 424 | 1,554 | 54,390 | $\begin{gathered} 54,390 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 66,822 | -• | $\stackrel{-1,515}{ }$ | 12,432 | 6,216 | -• | +4,701 | - |
| 425 | - 1,600 | 64,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 64,000 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 72,000 | -• | $-1,780$ | 8,000 | 4,000 | -• | +2,220 |  |



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10.b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Re. | Rs. | R8. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| 450 | 329.00 | 9,212 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,212 \\ & +x \end{aligned}$ | 13,160 | . | $-3,748$ | 3,948 | 1,974 | .. | - 1,774 |  |
| 451 | 293.00 | 10,255 | $\begin{gathered} 10,255 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 13,771 | .. | - 39,970 | 3,516 | 1,758 | .. | -38,212 |  |
| 452 | 392.00 | 19,600 | $\begin{gathered} 19,600 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 22,736 | .. | - 25,750 | 3,136 | 1,568 | .. | - 24,182 |  |
| 453 | 652.00 | 29,340 | $\begin{array}{r} 29,340 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 34,556 | . | . | 5,216 | 2,608 | .. | - $+2,608$ |  |
| 454 | 562.00 | 25,290 | $\begin{gathered} 25,290 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 29,786 | - | - | 4,496 | 2,248 | .. | +2,248 |  |
| -• | .. | - | - | -• | - | - 10,270 | .. | . | .. | - 10,270 |  |
| - | - | -• | ..' | -• | - | -1 | .. | -• | - | - 1 | Passage. |
| .. | - | - | .. | -• | .. | -22,605 | -• | . | .. | -22,605 |  |
| 446 | 490.00 | 14,700 | $\begin{gathered} 14,700 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 20,580 | - | $-11,660$ | 5,880 | 2,940 | .. | $-8,720$ |  |
| 456 | 306.00 | 13,770 | $\begin{gathered} 13,770 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 16,218 | - | -• | 2,448 | 1,224 | $\cdots$ | +1,224 |  |
| 433 | 1,930.00 | 57,900 | 57,900 | 86,850 |  | 1,20,470 |  |  |  | -96,325 |  |
| 445, | 1,934.00 | 77,360 | $\begin{array}{r}77,360 \\ +\times \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 96,700 |  |  | 19,340 | 9,670 | . |  |  |
| Total | 3,864:00 | . $1,35,260$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,35,260 \\ +2 \times \end{gathered}$ | 1,83,550 |  |  | 48,290 | 24,145 |  |  |  |
| 457 | 609.00 | 27,405 | $\frac{27,405}{+\times}$ | 34,713 |  | - 1,29,718 | 7,308 | 3,654 |  | - 1,26,064 | Income Tax defaulte |
| 459 | $516 \cdot 00$ | 12,900 | $\begin{gathered} 12,900 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 19,092 | - | + 320 | 6,192 | 3,096 | .. | +3,416 |  |
| 460 | 430.00 | 12,900 | $\begin{gathered} 12,900 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 18,060 | -• | . | 5,160 | 2,580 | . | +2,580 |  |
| 461 | 340.00 | 10,200 | $\begin{gathered} 10,200 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 14,280 | - | - | 4,080 | 2,040 | -• | +2,040 |  |
| 462 | 29600 | 10,360 | $\begin{gathered} 10,360 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 13,912 | -• | - | 3,552 | 1,776 | - | +1,776 |  |
| -• | $\cdots$ | -• | - | - | -• | - 531 | . | - | -• | - 531 | Passage |
| 463 | 36200 | 12,670 | $\begin{gathered} 12,670 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 18,100 | . | . | 5,430 | 2,715 | -• | +2,715 |  |

Town Planning Scheme

${ }^{*}$ Common passage for C. S. Nos. 3/1417 and 4/1417, i.e., O.P. Nos. 470 and 471 .
$\dagger$ Contribution for compensation (to be paid to the Fazandar) for extinguishing his right, title and interest in O. P. 473 which is her (a) Fazandar $=-120$, holder $=+10.738$.

IPrimary School. No Incremental Contribution under Section 66.
A-1499-10-B

## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| 464 | $455 \cdot 00$ | 13,650 | $\begin{gathered} 13,650 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 18,200 |  | . | 4,550 | 2,275 | . | +2,275 |  |
| 465 | 471.00 | 14,130 | $\begin{gathered} 14,130 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 19,782 | -• | -3,150 | 5,652 | 2,826 | . | $-324$ |  |
| 466 | $520 \cdot 00$ | 13,000 | $\begin{gathered} 13,000 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 19,240 | .. | +3,125 | 6,240 | 3,120 | . | +6,245 |  |
| .. | , . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | -5,430 | - | - | -• | -5,430 |  |
| . | - | - | - | - | - | -6,510 | - | - | -• | -6,510 |  |


| 467 | 2,260.00 | 67,800 | $\begin{gathered} 67,800 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 94,920 | - | +6,150 | 27,120 | 13,560 | . | +19,710 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 468 | 1,226.00 | 30,650 | $\begin{gathered} 30,650 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 45,362 | .- | -35,190 | 14,712 | 7,356 | - | -27,834 |
| 469 | 1,890.00 | 47,250 | $\begin{aligned} 47,250 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 66,150 | -• | -6,675 | 18,900 | 9,450 | . | +2,775 |



| - | - | - | - | - | - | -475 | - | -• | - | -475 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 471 | 412.00 | $18,540$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,540 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 22,660 | - | $+1,620$ | 4,120 | 2,060 | -• | +3,680 |
| $472{ }^{\circ}$ | 256.00 | 11,380 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,380 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 13,428 | -• | $+1,120$ | 2,048 | 1,024 | -• | +2,144 |
| 473 | . 311.00 | 13,995 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,995 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 16,483 | - | -1,285 | 2,488 | 1,244 | - | -41 |
| 474 | $286 \cdot 00$ | 12,870 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,870 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | $15,158$ | $\bullet$ | 1,350 | 2,288 | 1,144 | -• | -206 |
| 475 | 478.00 | 21,510 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,510 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 23,422 | - | - | 1,912 | 956 | -• | +956 |
| 476 | 1,017•00 | 45,765 | $\begin{array}{r} 45,765 \\ +\mathrm{x} \end{array}$ | 53,901 | - | " | 8,136 | 4,068 | - | +4,068 |
| 477 | 1,599.00 | 71,955 | $\begin{array}{r} 71,955 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 91;143 | . | $-2,850$ | 19,188 | $\cdots$ | - | $-2,850 \ddagger$ |
| 478 | 722.00 | 32,490 | $\begin{array}{r} 32,490 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 38,266 | . | - | 5,776 | 2,888 | - | +2,888 |
| 479 | $365 \cdot 00$ | 18,250 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,250 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 21,170 | .. | - | 2,920 | 1,460 | - | $+1,460$ |

[^6]

- Temple. $\begin{aligned} & \text { No incremental contribution under section } 32 \text { (1) (vii). }\end{aligned}$. 490 over F. P. 488 , if any, are hereby
- Existing easements of F. P. .490 over F. P. 488, intribution under section 32 (1) (vii).
$\dagger$ Existing right of way and othereare hereby retained.
$\dagger$ Existing right of way and other easements, if any, of $F \cdot P$
${ }^{-}$Fazandars-Nil, Holders- $+8,707$. Holders to continue to and 489 are hereby retained.


## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 480 | 341.00 | $\underset{13,640}{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Rs. } \\ 13,640 \\+\mathbf{X}}]{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { R, } \\ 16,368}}{ }$ | Rs. | Rs. | $\underset{2,728}{\mathrm{Rs}_{8}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{Rs}_{4} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | Rs. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rs} . \\ +1,364 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| : | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4810 | 130.00 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | .. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 482 | 3,546.00 | 2,77,300 | $\begin{gathered} 2,77,300 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 2,10,576 | .. | .. | 33,276 | $\stackrel{.}{16,638}$ | $\cdots\}$ | $+16,638$ |  |
|  | 5,676.00 | 2,83,800 | $\begin{gathered} 2,83,800 \\ +2 \times \end{gathered}$ | 3,17,076 |  |  | 33,276 | 16,638 |  |  |  |
| 483 | 303.00 | 16,665 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,665 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 19,089 | -• | - | 2,424 | 1,212 |  | +1,212 |  |



485 | $1,215 \cdot 00$ | 72,900 | 72,900 | 82,620 | . | . | . | 9,720 | 4,860 | $\cdots$ | $+4,860$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| .. | . | $-1,600$ | . | .. | .. | $-1,600$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| .. | .. | $-17,230$ | .. | .. | . | $-17,25 \theta$ |
| $\therefore$ |  | $-96,020$ | .. | .. | .. | $-96,020$ |


| 487 | $2,283 \cdot 00$ | $2,50,470$ | $2,05,470$ | $2,23,734$ | .. | $+10,048$ | 18,2644 | 9,132 | . | $+19,180$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| 500 | 2,785.00 | 83,550 | $\begin{array}{r} 83,550 \\ +\quad+8 \end{array}$ | 1,22,540 | .. | -11,117 | 38,990 | 19,495 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 35,097 | 10,52,910 | $\overline{\substack{10,52,910 \\+2 x}}$ | 15,44,268 |  |  | 4,91,358 | 2,45,679 |  |  |
| 499 | 501.00 | 15,030 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,030 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 22,545 | .. | +4,950 | 7,515 | 3,757 | .. | +8,707 |



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| 492 | 32.00 | 960 | $\begin{array}{r} 960 \\ -\mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 1,440 | . | - | 480 | 240 | - | +240 | $\bullet$ |
| 486 | 1,415.00 | 42,450 | $\begin{gathered} 42,450 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 62,260 | . | -1,050 | 19,810 | 9,905 | . | +8,855 | t |
| 489 | 220.00 | 6,600 | $\begin{gathered} 6,600 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 9,680 | . | $\cdots$ | 3,080 | 1,540 | $\cdots$ | +1,540 | $\ddagger$ |
| - . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 490-491 | 8,313.00 | 2,49,390 | $\begin{gathered} 2,49,390 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 3,65,772 | - | -1,600 | 1,16,382 | 58,191 | - | +56,581 | 5 |
|  |  | - |  | - | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506 | 2,400-00 | 72,000 | $\begin{gathered} 72,000 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,20,006 | - | -19,455 | 48,000 | 24,000 | .. | +4,545 |  |
| 493 | 1,356.00 | 33,900 | $\begin{gathered} 33,900 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 44,748 | - | $+4,350$ | 10,848 | 5,424 | - | +9,774 | \\| |
| 494 | 568.00 | 17,040 | $\begin{gathered} 17,040 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 21,584 | - | -11,070 | 4,544 | 2,272 | - | $-8,798$ |  |
| 495 | 425.00 | 12,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,750 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 16,150 | - | -1,590 | 3,400 | 1,700 | . | $+110$ | $\square$ |
| 496 | 376.00 | 13,160 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,160 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 16,920 | - | -6,010 | 3,760 | 1,880 | . | -4,130 |  |
| 497 | 217.00 | 9,765 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,765 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 10,633 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | - | -4,500 | 868 | 434 | $\cdots$ | -4,066 |  |
| 498. | 473.00 | 21,285 | $\begin{gathered} 21,285 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 25,069 | -• | +169 | 3,784 | 1,892 | $\because$ | +2,061 |  |
| - | $\because$ | - | -• | -• | -• | -6,364 | -• | -• | $\cdots$ | -6,364 |  |
| 501 | 818.00 | 32,720 | $\begin{array}{r} 32,720 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 40,900 | -• | -7,957 | 8,180 | 4,090 | - | $-3,867$ |  |
| 502 | 449.00 | 11,225 | $\begin{gathered} 11,225 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 17,960 | - | -66,485 | 6,735 | 3,367 | .. | -63,118 |  |
| 505 | 1,674.00 | 41,850 | $\begin{gathered} 41,850 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 75,330 | - | -9,610 | 33,480 | 16,740 | $\cdots$ | +7,130 |  |
| 504 | 624.00 | 15,600 | $\begin{gathered} 15,600 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 23,088 | -• | $-1 ; 600$ | 7,488 | 3,744 | - | +2,144 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (1) (3) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (6-a) | (6-b) |


| 530 | Joseph Leslic Vaz .. .. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. } \mathcal{S} . \mathrm{T} . \\ & \text { P. } \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11305 \\ & 31395 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} . \\ \\ . \\ . \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 514.00 \\ & 160.00 \end{aligned}$ | 13,350 | 19,150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Joscph Lesic Vaz |  |  |  |  |  | 614.00 | 1,350 | $+\mathrm{N}$ |
|  |  | h of N . | D. | P. \& 'T. | 1395 | .. 508 | 1,154.00 | 34,620 | $\begin{aligned} & 34,620 \\ & +\quad X \end{aligned}$ |
| 531 | The Vicar and Wardens of the Chur Salvacao. |  |  |  | 4.1395 | . 508.1 | 27000 | 8,100 | 9.060 |
| 532 | The Vicar and Wardens of the Ch Salvacao. (Fazandars). <br> Shrimati Annic Catherine D'Souza | lder). |  |  |  |  | 198.00 | 5,940 | 5,940 |
| 533 | The Municipal Corporation for Gre | Bombay | . | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| 534 | Shrimati Subhadrabai Laxmandas Bh |  |  | M. L. | 1679 | .. 509 | $81 \cdot 00$ | 3.240 | 3,240 $+\quad X$ |
| 535 | Ramdas Dharamdas | -• | $\cdots$ | P. \& 'T. | $\begin{array}{r} 1396 \\ \text { (Part) } \end{array}$ | . 510 | 2,733.00 | 78,075 | $\begin{array}{r} +\quad \mathrm{X} \\ 87.675 \\ +\quad \mathrm{X} \end{array}$ |
| 536 | Ramdas Dharamdas, (Lessor) Shanker Mahadev Tavade, (Lessee). | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | P. \& 'T. | $\begin{aligned} & 1396 \\ & 1560 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { (1'art) } 510 \mathrm{~A}$ | 480.00 | 21,600 | $\begin{aligned} & 21,600 \\ & +\quad X \end{aligned}$ |
| 537 | Manohar Keshav Pathare .. | -• - | -• | F. H. | 1.1556 | 6 .. 511 | $229 \cdot 00$ | 10,305 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,305 \\ & +\quad x \end{aligned}$ |
| 538 | 1. Shrimati Rambai Shanker Rele <br> 2. Raminath Shanker Rele. | $\cdots$ |  | Acquired land. | 1556 | .. 512 | $238 \cdot 77$ | 10,745 | $\begin{gathered} 10,745 \\ +\quad X \end{gathered}$ |

2. Ramnath Shanker Rele.
3. Gajanan Shanker Rele.
4. Raghunath Shan Kerweel ..

5. Kashinath Vinayak Joshi.
6. Janardhan Purshottam P'andit.
7. Yeshwant Purshottam Pandit.
8. Bhawanishanker Balwantrao Pandit.
9. Ramkrishna Hareshwar Pandit.
[^7]
## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) (1) | (0-b) | (1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | ks . | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |
| 503 | 698.00 | 17,450 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,450 \\ +\quad X \end{array}$ | 27,920 | . | -,1700 | 10,470 | 5,235 | . | $+3.535$ |  |
| 514 | 794.00 | 23,820 | $\begin{array}{r} 23,820 \\ +\quad \mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 31,760 | - | -10,800 | 7,940 | 3,970 | .. | $-6,830$ |  |
| 513 | 455.00 | 13,650 | $\begin{gathered} 13.650 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 118,200 | - | +4,590 | 4,550 | 2,275 | . | $+6,865$ | - |
| .. | . |  | . |  |  | -5,940 | .. |  | .. | -5,940 |  |
| 515 | $220 \cdot 00$ | 8,800 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,800 \\ +\quad 8 \end{array}$ | 10,560 | .. | +5,560 | 1,760 | 880 | .. | +6,440 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 512 \\ & 517 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8+8.00 \\ 1.082 .00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.200 \\ & 32.460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.200 \\ 32.400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,464 \\ & 43,280 \end{aligned}$ | .. | $-34,015$ .. | $\begin{aligned} & 15,264 \\ & 10,820 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 7,632 \\ 5,410 \end{array}\right\}$ |  | -20,973 |  |
|  | 1,930.00 | 53.600 | $\begin{aligned} & 53,660 \\ & +\quad \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | 79,744 |  |  | 26,084 | 13,042 |  |  |  |
| 516 | 697.00 | 31,365 |  | 38,335 | .. | +9,765 | 6,970 | 3,485 | . | $+13,250$ | $\dagger$ |
| 520 | $229 \cdot 00$ | 10,305 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.305 \\ \div \quad x \end{array}$ | 11,221 | .. | -• | 916 | 458 | .. | +458 |  |
| 521 | 238.77 | 10,7+5 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,745 \\ & +1 \\ & +2 \end{aligned}$ | 11,938 | .. | . | 1,193 | 596 | .. | +596 |  |
| 519 | 510.00 | 20,400 | $\begin{gathered} 20,400 \\ + \\ X \end{gathered}$ | 23,460 | . | . | 3,060 | 1,530 | . | +,1530 |  |
| 518 | 481.00 | 16,835 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,835 \\ +\quad \underset{+}{2} \end{array}$ | 21,645 | . | -5,344 | 4,810 | 2,405 | .. | -2,939 |  |
| 511 | 4,029.00 | 1,00,725 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,00,725 \\ +\quad \mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 1,81,305 | 5 | -9,125 | 80,580 | 40,290 | . | +31,165 |  |
| 507 | 2,912.00 | 87,360 | $\begin{aligned} & 87.360 \\ & +\quad X \end{aligned}$ | 1,45,600 | 0 | -4,095 | 58,240 | 29,120 | .. | +25,025 |  |
| 508 | 1,112.00 | 38,920 | $\begin{gathered} 38,020 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 61,160 | . | +245 | 22,240 | 11,120 | .. | +11,365 |  |
| 509 | 1,420.00 | 42,600 | $\begin{gathered} 42.60_{0} \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 71,000 | .. | +8,040 | 28,400 | 14,200 | .. | +22,240 |  |
| 510 | 4,811.00 | 1,44,330 | $\begin{gathered} 1,44,330 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 2,30,928 | . $\cdot$ | $-32,750$ | 86,5¢8 | 43,299 | 9 | $+10,509$ |  |
| 524 | 1,144.00 | 40.040 | $\begin{aligned} & 40,0+0 \\ & +x \end{aligned}$ | 51,480 | -• | $+663$ | 11,440 | 5,720 | .. | +6,385 |  |
| 523 | 621.00 | 21,735 | $\begin{aligned} & 21,735 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 27,94; | . | +4,235 | 6,210 | 3,105 | .. | +7,340 |  |



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)



[^8]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 536 | 1,349•00 | 47,215 | $\begin{aligned} & 47,215 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 53,960 | .. | .. | 6,745 | 3,372 | .. | +3,372 |  |
| 541 | 4,468.00 | 67.020 | $\begin{array}{r} 67.020 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 1,56,380 | .. | -59,635 | 89,360 | 44,680 | .. | -14,955 |  |
| 542 | 2,900.00 | 58,000 | 58,000 | 1,16,003 | .. | +2,040 | 58,000 | ${ }^{2} 29,000$ | . | +31,040 |  |
| 54 | 4,327•00 | 77,886 | $\begin{array}{r} 77,836 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 1,61,426 | .. | -20,097 | 86,540 | 43,270 | .. | +23,173 |  |
| 543 | 2,194•00 | 43,880 | $\begin{array}{r} 43,880 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 87,760 | .. | -472 | 43,880 | 21,940 | .. | +21,463 |  |
| 54 | 8400 | 2,100 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,100 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 3,780 | .. | +713 | 1,680 | 840 | .. | +1,553 |  |
| 539 | 840 | 16,800 | 16,800 | 29,400 | -• | -3,200 | 12,600 | 6,300 | . | +3,100 |  |
| 538 | 851 | 21,275 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,275 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 34,040 | . | $-18,080$ | 12,765 | 6,382 | . | -11,698 |  |
| 537 | 1,999 | 89,955 | $\stackrel{89,955}{+\times}$ | 1,01,949 | .. | -• | 11,994 | 5,997 | .. | +5,997 |  |
| 549 | 307 | 15,350 | $\begin{gathered} 15,350 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 17,806 | . | -• | 2,456 | $\cdots$ | .. | - |  |
| 550 | 3,699 | 1,47,960 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,47,960 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 1,66,455 | . | -• | 18,495 | 9,247 | .. | +9,247 |  |
| 548 | 1,950 | 47,883 | $\begin{array}{r} 47,883 \\ +\boldsymbol{X} \end{array}$ | 67,383 | . | +3,219 | 19,500 | 9,750 | .. | +12,969 |  |
| 546 | 671 | 16,775 | $\begin{aligned} & 16,775 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 26,840 | .. | -18,785 | 10,065 | 5,032 | .. | $-13,753$ |  |
| 547 | 964 | 24,100 | 24,100 | 38,560 | -• | -8,250 | 14,460 | 7,230 | .. | -1,020 |  |
| 551 | 4,528 | 1,35,840 | $\begin{gathered} 1,35,840 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,72,064 | .. | -40,440 | 36,224 | 18,112 | $\cdots$ | $-22,328$ -6880 |  |
| - | -• | -• | -• | -• | . | -6,880 | -• | . | . | -6,880 |  |
| 555 | 4,008 | 66,880 | $\begin{gathered} 66,880 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 1,47,040 | .. | -2,805 | 80,160 | 40,080 | -• | +37,275 |  |
| 552 | 2,209 | 33,135 | $\begin{array}{r} 33,135 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 77,315 | . | +1,365 | 44,180 | 22,090 | $\cdots$ | +23,455 |  |
| 553 | 1,713 | 34,260 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,260 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 68,520 | .. | +5,380 | 34,260 | 17,130 | .. | +22.510 |  |

[^9]
## Town Planning Schem



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3} 56$ | 1,665 | 33,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 33,300 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 66,600 | - | $+1,520$ | 33,300 | 16,650 | -• | $+18,170$ |  |
| 557 | 802 | $16,040$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,040 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 32,080. | . | -9,200 | 16,040 | 8,020 | - | $-1,180$ |  |
| 558 | 865 | 17,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,300 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 34,600 | - | -8,942 | 17,300 | 8,650 | .. | -292 |  |
| 559 | 4,829 | 1,20,725 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,20,725 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,69,015 | .. | -44,430 | 48,290 | 24,145 | - | -20,285. |  |
| 560 | 180 | 7,200. | $\begin{array}{r} 7,200 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 8,640 | - | -• | 1,440 | 720 | . | +720 |  |
| 361 | 274 | 12,330 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,330 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 13,974 | - | -• | 1,644 | 822 | - | +822 |  |
| 562 | 301-14 | 7,528 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,528 \\ +\mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 11,142 | - | -• | 3,614 | 1,807 | -• | +1,807 |  |
| 563 | 262.86 | 6,571 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,571 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 9,726 | - | -• | 3,155 | ${ }^{1,577}$ | -• | $+1,577$ |  |
| 564 | 308 | 6,160 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,160 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 9,856 | - | +590 | 3,696 | 1,848 | -• | +2,438 |  |
| -• | - | * | - | - | -• | -5,920 | -• | - | $\cdots$ | -5,920 |  |
| 565 | 269 | 6,725 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,725 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 9,953 | - | $-1,312$ | 3,228 | 1,614 | - | +302 |  |
| 367 | 3,931 | 1,37,585 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,37,585 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,61,171 | - | -55,584 | 23,586 | 11,793 | - | -43,791 |  |
| -• | - | - | -• | -• | - | -5,340 | -• | - | -. | -5,340 | 1 |
| - | $\cdots$ | - | ** | $\cdots$ • | - | -4,353 | - | - | - | -4,353 |  |
| 566 | $\mathbf{2 6 7 . 0 0}$ | 6,675 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,675 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 8,811 | . ${ }^{-}$ | -1,085 | 2,136 | 1,068 | . | -17 |  |
| - | -• | -• | - | -• | -• | -72,870 | . | - | -• | -72,870 |  |
| 572 | 3,022-89 | 60,458 | $\begin{array}{r} 60,458 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,20,916 | - | -• | 60.458 | 30,229 | -• | +30,229 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 573 | 1,367.00 | 27,340 | $\begin{array}{r} 27,340 \\ + \end{array}$ | 47,845 | -• | +180 | 20,505 | 10,252 | $\cdots$ | +10,432 |  |
| 574 | 1,141.00 | 34,230 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,230 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 57,050 | -• | -• | 22,820 | 11,410 | - | $+11,410$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5755 \\ & 576 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,795 \cdot 00 \\ & 1,177 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,56,465 \\ 35,310 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,56,465 \\ 35,310 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,72,365 \\ 52,965 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\because$ | -19,872 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,15,900 \\ 17,655 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,950 \\ 8,827 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . | +46,905 |  |
|  | 6,972.00 | 1,91,775 | 1,91,775+ | X 3,25,330 |  |  | 1,33,555 | 66,777 |  |  |  |
| 571 | 1,043.00 | 20,860 | 20,860 | 36,505 |  | -52,740 | 15,645 | 7,822 | - | -44,918 |  |

Town Planning Scheme


[^10]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | .. | . | .. | .. | .. | $-11,500$ | .. | .. | .. | -11,500 |  |
| .. | .. | .. | -• | -• | -• | -25,400 | -• | $\cdots$ | -• | -25,400 |  |
| .. | .. | -• | -• | .. | -• | -1 | . | .. | . | -1 | Passage. |
| 568 | 3,322.00 | 1,16,270 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,16,270 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,32,880 | . | $-2,480$ | 16,610 | 8,305 | .. | +5,825 |  |
| 577 | 3,937.00 | 1,37,795 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,37,795 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,69,291 | . | +112 | $\begin{gathered} 31,496 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 15,748 | .. | +15,860 |  |
| 579 | 10,723.00 | 3,75,305 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,75,305 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 4,82,535 | .. | -24,850 | 1,07,230 | 53,615 | .. | +28,765 |  |
| 589 | 2,696.00 | 1,21,320 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,21,320 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 1,34,800 | .. | . | 13,480 | 6,740 | .. | +6,740 |  |
| 578 | $650 \cdot 00$ | 29,250 | $\begin{array}{r} 29,250 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 39,000 | .. | .. | 9,750 . | 4,875 | .. | +4,875* |  |
| 580 | 19,420.00 | 5,43,760 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,43,760 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 7,76,800 | . | -732 | 2,33,040 | 1,16,520 | .. | $+1,15,788$ |  |
| 581 | 12,861-00 | 3,85,830 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,85,830 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 5,91,606 | .. | $-11,670$ | 2,05,776 | 1,02,888 | .. | +91,218 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | : |  |  |  |
| 583 | 121.00 | 3,630 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,630 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 4,840 | .. | $+1,890$ | 1,210 | 605 | .. | +2,495 |  |
| 582 | 924:00 | 41,580 | $\begin{aligned} & 41,580 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 55,440 | .. | -10,530 | 13,860 | 6,930 | - | $-3,600$ |  |
| 584 | 995.58 | 44,801 | $\begin{gathered} 44,801 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 49,779 | $\cdots$ | . | 4,978 | 2,489 | - | +2,489 |  |
| 585 | $843 \cdot 67$ | 37,965 | $\cdot \stackrel{37,969}{+X}$ | 42,183 | . | .. | 4,218 | 2,109 | - | +2,109 |  |
| 586 | 3,688.00 | 1,47,520 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,47,520 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 1,84,400 | . | .. | 36,880 | 18,440 | -• | $+18,440$ |  |

Town Planning Scheme


## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)



| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. | Rs. |
| 628 | The Municipal Corporation for Greater Bómbay | M. L. .. | 1671 | .. 590 | 52.00 | 1,144 | 1,144 |
| 629 | Shah Walchand Bhimraj .. .. | Foras .. | 898 | .. 591 | 113.00 | 5,650 | 29,540 |
|  | Mahomed Dada Toffic, High Court Receiver (Mortgagor). <br> The Bombay Mercantile Co-operative Bank Limited. (Mortgagee). | Foras .. | 897 | .. 592 | 376.00 | 18,800 | 92,670 |
|  | Mahomed Haji Ahmed Vawda, Managing Trustee of the M. H. A. Vawda Waqf. Estate. | Foras | 1/895 | .. 593 | 1,786.00 | 85,728 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,34,048 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ |
| 632 | Govindrao Laxmanrao Pawar .. .. .. .. | Foras | 895 | .. 594 | 2,756.00 | 1,24,020 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,08,770 \\ +\quad+x \end{array}$ |
|  | Motilal Kalyanji. (Fazandar) <br> 1. Tulsidas Nandlal Mody. <br> 2. Madhusudan Motilal Gandhi. (Holders). | Foras .. | 894 | .. 595 | 1,787.00 | 80,415 | $1,58,915$ $+\times$ |
| 634 | Shamji Rana Maru .. .. .. .. | Foras .. | 893 | .. 596 | 100.00 | 3,000 | 5,940 |
|  | 1. Shrimati Elizabeth Jane wd/o Kaikhushru Nanabhai Merchant. <br> 2. Ammy Kaikhushru Merchant. <br> 4. Hide Kaikhushru Merchant. <br> 4. Alice Kaikhushru Merchant. | Foras .. | 892 | .. 597 | $186 \cdot 00$ | 9,300 | 34,300 |
|  | 1. Gajadhar Bhagwan <br> 2. Gayasingh Nandansing (Tenants in common). | Foras. | 891 | .. 598 | 93.00 | 5,115 | $\begin{aligned} & 21,295 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1. Hiralal Devjibhai <br> 2. Shivram Devpibhai (Minors by their guardian Shrimati Motibai Devjibhai Dahyabhai Gohil). | Foras. | 890 | .. 599 | 37.00 | 2,220 | 8,225 |
| 638 | Ayub Haji Shroff .. .. .. .. .. .. | Foras. | 1354 | .. 600 | 1,087.00 | 43,480 | 79,895 |
|  | 1. Goolmahomed Ibrahim Noorani <br> 2. Ebrahim Goolrnahomed Noorani, c/o Deputy Custodian of Evacuee Property. | Foras. | 1345 | .. 601 | 1,867.00 | 84,015 | . 84,015 |
|  | Shrimati Bhikubai Chandula Jalundhwalla .. .. | Foras. Foras. | $\begin{gathered} 1355 \\ 1 / 1355 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { .. } 602$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 4,000 \cdot 00 \\ 6,474 \cdot 00 \end{array}\right\}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | tal | 10,474.00 | 3,14,220 | 3,14,220 |
|  | Jairam Bhana .. | Foras. | 1356 |  | 504.44 | 17,655 | 17,655 |
| 642 | Pachubhai Daya Vira | Foras <br> Foras, | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 1356 \\ & 2 / 1357 \end{aligned}$ | .. 604 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 389 \cdot 00 \\ 434 \cdot 00 \end{array}\right\}$ |  | +X |
|  |  |  |  | tal | 823.00 | 37,035 | $\begin{array}{r} 37,035 \\ +x \end{array}$ |
| 643 | Shrimati Maniben Dhunji Gokal | Foras. <br> Foras. | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 1357 \\ & 2 / 1356 \end{aligned}$ | .. 605 | $\begin{aligned} & 517.40 \\ & 135 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 653.00 | 22,855 | 22,855 +8 |
| 644 | Nazir Ahmed Janoo .. .. .. .. .. | Foras. | 1357 | .. 606 | 483.00 | 14,490 |  |
| 645 | The Trustees of the deed of settlement, dated 31st March 1951 of Shrimati Aishubai Mahomed Saleh Haji Jackeria Patel: | Foras. | 1358 | .. 607 | 67900 | 22,407 | +X $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 0 7}$ |
|  | The Trustes of the Kokanastha Vaishya Dnyati Fund .. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Foras. | 1359 | . ${ }^{608}$ | 580.00 | 26,100 | 26,100 |

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)


## Town Planning Scheme:

| (1) | (2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

654 The Municipal Corporation for Greater Borrlay


[^11]| Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area) 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 609 | 1,188.00 | 47,520 | $\begin{array}{r} 47,520 \\ +\mathrm{x} \end{array}$ | 51,084 | -• | - | 3,564 | 1,782 | - | $+1,782$ |  |
|  | , | - |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| . 610 | - 678.00 | . 23,730 | $\begin{array}{r} 23,730 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 26,442 | - | . - | 2,712 | 1,356 | . | $+1,356$ |  |
| . 611 | 2,322-00 | 81,270 | $\begin{array}{r} 81,270 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 90,558 | -• | - | 9,288 | 4,644 | - | +4,644 |  |
| 612 | 1,350.00 | 47,250 | $\begin{array}{r} 47,250 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 68,850 | -• | $\therefore$ | 21,600 | 10,800 | - | +10,800 |  |
| 613 | 39,771•67 | 7,99,383 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,99,383 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 14,35,730 | - | +11,850 | 6,36,347 | 3,18,173 | -• | +3,30,023 |  |
| ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -• | - | $\bullet$ | -.. | , "' | -• | -11,850 | -• | -• | - | -11,850 |  |
| 614 | 13,525.00 | 2,70,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,70,500 \\ \div \div X \end{array}$ | 3,24,600 | $\cdots$ - | - | 54,100 | 27,050 | * | +27,050 |  |


|  | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Total | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grand Total of Section "A" | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $3,50,31,632$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| $3,65,74,158$ |  |  |  |  |

*To be used as a recreation ground.
$\dagger$ To be used for the purpose of a Central garage and on incinerator plant.
ITulsi pipe-linel and acquired for road in the scheme.
(a) Site for Cattle Pound.
(b) Site for Recreation Ground
(c) Site for School.
(d) Site for Market.
(c) Site of cross.
(f) Site of Vyayamshala.
(g) Site for Welfare Centre
(h) Site for Urinal.

- (i) Site for Housing.
(j) Site for Parking.
$\oint$ Contribution under section 67 at half the value of the land.
IIIncremental contribution under section 66 at half the percentage rate proposed for the whole scheme,
$7{ }^{\circ}$ Contribution under section 67 at $1 / 4$ th the value of the land.
- Incremental contribution under section 66 at $1 / 4$ th the percentage rate proposed for the whole scheme.

Bombay City No. IV. (Mahim Area)

| ( 7 ). | (8) | (9-a) ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (I) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 617 | 83,267.00 | 12,49,005 | 12,49,005 | 12,49,005 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & .615^{\circ} \\ & .616 \dagger \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,810 \cdot 00 \\ & 22,567 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ | 3,97,150 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,97,150 \\ & 3,38,505 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 3,96,200 \\ 3,38,505 \end{array}\right\}$ | . | -660 | 99,050 | 49,525 | .. | +48,865 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | 1,25,644 | 18,84,660 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,84,660 \\ +X \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,83,710 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ |  |  | 99,050 | 49,525 |  |  |  |
| - | -• | . | -• | .. $\}$ | -• | -1 | -• | . | . | -1 | $\ddagger$ |
| 618 | 727.00 | 25,445 | 25,445 | 36,350 | . | +1,540 | 10,905 | 5,452 | .. | +6,992 |  |
| 619 | $944 \cdot 00$ | 33,040 | 33,040 | 47,200 | . | .. | 14,160 | 7,080 | .. | +7,080 |  |
| 620 | $460 \cdot 00$ | 14,210 | 14,210 | 20,300 | .. | - .. | 6,090 | 3,045 | .. | +3,045 |  |
| 621 | 441.00 | 17,640 | 17,640 | 24,255 | .. | .. | 6,615 | 3,307 | .. | +3,307 |  |
| 622 | 298.00 | 11,920 | 11,920 $+\mathbf{x}$ | 16,390 | $\cdots$ | -* | 4,470 | 2,235 | .. | +2,235 |  |
| 623 | 298.00 | 11,920 | 11,920 $+\mathbf{X}$ | 16,390 | - | .. | 4,470 | 2,235 | .. | +2,235 |  |
| 624 | 10,03466 | 2,46,645 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,46,645 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 3,97,165 | .. | +2,814 | 1,50,520 | 75,260 | .. | +78,074 |  |
| .. | $\cdots$ | .. | - | .. | .. | -2,814 | . | .. | .. | -2,814 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}570 \\ 24 \\ \text { 26 } \\ \hline 136\end{array}$ | 1,438.00 | 28,760 | 28,760 | 50,330 | . | +28,760 | 21,570 | 10,785 | . | +39,545 | (a) |
|  |  | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ |  | . | . | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\ldots$ | (b) |
| 136144162 | -648.00 |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | .. |  | (b) |
|  | $2,303 \cdot 00$ $2,410.00$ | 80,605 | 80,605 | 1,03,635 |  | $+40,3025$ $+48,2005$ | 23,030 16,870 | 5,75711 | $\because$ | $+46,059$ $+52,417$ | (c) |
| 162 267 | 3,645-00 | 1,27,575 | 1,27,575 | 1,56,735 |  | +31,894*9 | 29,160 | 3,6450* | . | + + +5,539 | (c) |
| ${ }_{286}^{267}$ | 2,900.00 | 72,500 | 72,500 | 1,30,500 | $\cdots$ | +36,250s | 58,000 | 14,500; | .. | +50,750 | (d) |
| 383 353 357 | i,233.00 | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | .. | . | $\because$ | (b) |
| $\begin{array}{r}359 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $3 \cdot 00$ | .. | -. | $\cdots$ | .. | . | .. | . | .. | .. | (e) |
| 359 370 30 | 226.47 | .. | $\because$ | $\because$ | .. | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | (e) |
| 390 422 | 1,488.00 | .. | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | . | $\because$ | $\because$ | (b) |
| 422 444 4 | i, 1,343 | - | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | .. | $\because$ | $\because$ | (b) |
| 435 458 | 4,145.00 | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | .. | .. |  |  | .. |  | (b) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 458-A } \\ 540 \\ 554 \\ 564 \end{gathered}$ |  | .. | .. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | .. | $\cdots$ |  | $\because$ | $\because$ | (h) |
|  | 1,769000 | 35,380 | 35,380 | 53,070 | $\cdots$ | +17,600§ | 17,690 | 4,422\|| | $\because$ | +22,i12 | (i) |
| 570-A | 11,604.00 | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$. | $\because$ | $\because$ | (i) |
|  | 42,858.87 | 4,12,460 | 4,12,460 | 5,57,210 | .. + | +1,74,336 | 1,44,750 | 32,541 | + | +2,06,877 |  |
|  | .. | 3,27,84,273 | $\overline{3,28,01,173}$ | 4,06,16,069 | .. - | -40,11,109 | 78,31,796 | 38,13,462 | +120 | -1,97,527 |  |

# TOWN PLANNING SCHEME BOMBAY CITY No. IV (MAHIM AREA) REDIS TRIBUTION AND VALUATION STATEMENT <br> Section "B" <br> (Sr. No. 664 to 1171-A) 



[^12]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs: | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{*}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 625 | 942.47 | 47,123 | 47,123 +X | 49,950 . | .. | -• | 2,827 | 1,413 | .. $\cdot$ | +1,413 |  |
| 626 | 693.00 | 27,720 | $\begin{gathered} 27,720 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 29,799 | . | . | 2,079 | 1,039 | . | +1,039 |  |
| 627 | 644.44 | 29,000 | $\begin{gathered} 29,000 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 32,222 | . | . | 3,222 | 1,611 | . | +1,611 |  |
| 628 | 640.05 | 28,822 | $\begin{gathered} 28,822 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 32,025 | -• | . | 3,203 | 1,601 | - | +1;601 |  |
| 629 | 26405 | 11,882 | $\begin{gathered} 11,882 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | - 13,202 | -• | - | $\stackrel{1,320}{ }$. | 660 | . | +660 |  |
| -. | - | $\cdots$ | -• | -• | -• | -3,046 | . | -• | . | -3,046 |  |
|  |  |  |  | : |  |  | . | - |  |  |  |
| 630 | 312.00 | 8,751 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,751 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 11,247 | - | +2,718 | 2,4\% | 1,248 | $\cdots$. | +3,966 |  |
| 631 | 266.78 | 9,337 | $\begin{gathered} 9,337 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | - 10,670 | - | -1 | 1,333 | 666 | - | +665 |  |
| 632 | 266-77 | 12,005 | $\begin{gathered} 12,005 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 13,338 | - | -• | 1,333 | 666 | -• | +666 |  |
| 633 | 266.78 | 12,539 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,539 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 13,339 | - | -1 | 800 | 400 | -• | +399 |  |
| 634 | 258.66 | 9,570 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,570 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 10,863 | - | -1 | 1,293 | 646 | - | +645 |  |
| 635 | 335.08 | 11,728 | $\begin{gathered} 11,728 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 13,403 | - | -1 | 1,675 | 837 | :. | +836 |  |
| 636 | 317.77 | 14,935 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,935 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 16,544 | - | -1 | 1,589 | 794 | - | +793. |  |
| 637 | 368.62 | 16,588 | $\begin{aligned} & 16,588 \\ & +\times 8 \end{aligned}$ | 18,431 | - | - | 1,843 | 921 | -• | +921 |  |
| 638 | 441.38 | 24,276 | $\begin{gathered} 24,276 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 26,483 | - | - | 2,207 | 1,103 | - | +1,103 |  |
| 639 | 168.00 | 7,560 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,560 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 8,400 | -• | -• | 840 | 420 | -• | +420* |  |
| 640 | $310 \cdot 41$ | , 13,968 | $\begin{gathered} 13,968 \\ +\times \times \end{gathered}$ | 15,520 | -• | $\cdots$ | 1,552 | 776 | - | +776 |  |
| 641 | 302.41 | 13,608 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,688 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 15,120 | -. | - | 1,512 | 756 | -• | +756 |  |



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| ( 7 | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 642 | 368.00 | 16,560 | $\begin{gathered} 16,560 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 18,400 | . | 5,076 | 1,840 | 920 | -• | +5,996 |  |


| 643 | 263.00 | 9,205 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,205 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 10,520 | -• | -783 | 1,315 | 657 | .. | -126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 644 | 275.68 | 9,649 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,649 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 11,854 | .. | -1 | 2,205 | 1,102 | -• | $+1,101$ |
| 645 | 116.00 | 4,060 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,060 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 4,988 | - | -58. | 928 | 464 | .. | +406 |
| 646 | 115.00 | 4,025 | $\begin{gathered} 4,025 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 4,945 | - | -304. | 920 | 460 | .. | +156 |
| 647 | 257.00 | 7,710 | $\begin{gathered} 7,710 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 9,509 | - | -2,632 | 1,799 | 899 | -. | -1,733 |
| 649 | 493.00 | 14,790 | $\begin{gathered} 14,790 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 18,734 | -• | $-12,480$ | 3,944 | 1,972 | -• | -10,508 |
| 648 | 573.00 | 17,190 | $\begin{gathered} 17,190 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 24,066 | .. | +5,190 | 6,876 | 3,438 | :. | +8,628 |
| 650 | 735.00 | 22,050 | $\begin{gathered} 22,050 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 30,870 | -• | +300 | 8,820 | 4,410 | - | +4,710 |
| 651 | 322.00 . | 14,490 | $\begin{gathered} 14,490 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 17,066 | .. | -3,510 | 2,576 | 1,288 | -• | -2,222 |
| 652 | 480.00 | . 21,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,600 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 25,440 | . | -540 | 3,840 | 1,920 | - | +1,380 |


| 653 | 599.00 | 26,955 | $\begin{gathered} 26,955 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 29,950 | .. | -5,147 | 2,995 | 1,497 |  | -3,650 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 654 | 662.00 | 19.860 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,860 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 26,480 | - | -3,020 | 6,620 | 3,310 | .. | +290 |
| 655 | 520.00 | 15,600 | $\begin{gathered} 15,600 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 20,800 | . | $-3,960$ | 5,200 | 2,600 | .: | -1,360 |
| 656 | 392.00 | 11,760 | $\begin{gathered} 11,760 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 14,896 | - | -2,995 | 3,136 | 1,568 | .. | $-1,427$ |
| 657 | 359.00 | 10,770 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,770 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 13,642 | .. | -1,020 | 2,872 | 1,436 | . | +416 |
| -• | -• | . | . | . | . | $-2,940$ | . | -• | - | -2,940 |



[^13]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 658 | 800.00 | 28,000 | 28,000 $+X$ |  |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 659 | 346.00 | 13,840 | 13,840 | 17,300 \} | - | -13,673 | 3,460 | 1,730 | -• | -6,378 |  |
| 660 | 313.00 | 9,390 | $\begin{gathered} 9,390 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 12,520 |  | - | 3,130 | 1,565 |  |  |  |
|  | 1,459.00 | 51,230 | $\begin{aligned} & 51,230 \\ & +3 X \end{aligned}$ | 65,820 |  | , | 14,590 | 7,295 |  |  |  |
| 661 | 333.00 | 13,320 | $\begin{gathered} 13,320 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 16,650 | - | -240 | 3,330 | 1,665 | - | +1,425 |  |
| 662 | 220.00 | 7,700 | $\begin{gathered} 7,700 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{gathered}$ | 9,900 | -• | $-1,870$ | 2,200 | 1,100 | . | -770 |  |
| 663 | 327.00 | 11,445 | $\begin{gathered} 11,445 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 14,715 | - | -2,710 | 3,270 | 1,635 | . | -1,075 |  |
| 664 | 485.00 | 16,975 | $\begin{gathered} 16,975 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 21,825 | -• | -2,665 | 4,850 | 2,425 | - | -240 |  |
| - | - | -• | - | - | - | -7,560 | $\because$ | - | - | -7,560 |  |
| 665 | 364.00 | 14,620 | $\begin{gathered} 14,620 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 18,260 | - | +6,160 | 3,640 | 1,820 | - | +7,980 |  |
| 666 | $425 \cdot 00$ | 19,125 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,125 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 23,375 | - | -720 | 4,250 | 2,125 | - | +1,405 |  |
| 667 | 260.00 | 9,100 | $\begin{gathered} 9,100 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 11,700 | - | $+1,160$ | 2,600 | 1,300 | -• | $+140$ |  |
| 668 | $278 \cdot 00$ | 9,730 | $\begin{gathered} 9,730 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 12,510 | - | -540 | 2,780 | 1,390 | -• | +850 |  |
| 669 | 527.00 | 21,080 | $\begin{gathered} 21,080 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 27,404 | - | $-2,520$ | 6,324 | 3,162 | -• | $+642$ |  |
| 670 | 220.00 | 9,900 | $\begin{gathered} 9,900 \\ +\mathrm{x} \end{gathered}$ | 11,660 | - | -90 | 1,760 | 880 | - | +790 |  |
| 671. | 434.00 | 19,530 | $\begin{gathered} 19,530 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 23,002 $\}$ |  |  | 3,472 | 1,736 |  |  |  |
| 672 | 1,058.00 | 31,740 | $\begin{array}{r} 31,740 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 42,320 |  | +4,770 | 10,580 | 5,290 | - | +11,796 |  |
|  | 1,492.00 | 51,270 | $\begin{gathered} 51,270 \\ +2 X \end{gathered}$ | 65,322 |  |  | 14,052 | 7,026 |  |  |  |
| $673$ | 1,028.00 | 25,700 | $\begin{gathered} 25,700 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{gathered}$ | 38,036 | .. | -10,375 | 12,336 | 6,168 | - | -4,207 |  |
| - | ** | - | - | -• | - | -1 | -• | - | - | -1 | Common Passage. |
| 707 | 2,178.00 | 54,450 | 54,450 | 89,298 | .. | -63,781 | 34,848 | 17,424 | - | -46,357 |  |
| 674 | 954.00 | 30,528 | $\begin{array}{r} 30,528 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 44,838 | . | -22,200 | 14,310 | 7,155 | - | $-15,045$ |  |
| 675 | 861.00 | 27,552 | 27,552 | 36,162 $\}$ | -• | +5,085 | 8,640 | 4,305 | - | +13,195 |  |
| 676 | 761.00 | 19,025 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,025 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 26,635 |  |  | 7,610 | 3,805 $]$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1,622.00 | 46,577 | $\begin{array}{r} 46,577 \\ +2 x \end{array}$ | 62,797 |  |  | 16,220 | 8,110 |  |  |  |

A-1499—14-A


[^14]
## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 677 | 1,077.00 | 32,310 | $\begin{gathered} 32,310 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 37,695 | .. | -570 | 5,385 | 2,692 | .. | +2,212 |  |


| 678 | $456 \cdot 00$ | 18,240 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,240 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 21,888 | -• | . | 3,648 | 1,824 | . | +1,824 | (a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 679 | 262.00 | 9,170 | $\begin{gathered} 9,170 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 11,266 | - | -• | 2,096 | 1,048 | - | $+1,048$ | (b) |
| 680 | 693.00 | 24,255 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,255 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 31,185 | -• | $+2,660$ | 6,930 | 3,465 | . | +6,125 | (c) |
| 681 | $303 \cdot 00$ | 12,120 | $\begin{array}{r} 12.120 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 15,150 | - | - | 3,030 | 1,515 | -• | $\div 1,515$ | (d) |
| 682 | $287 \cdot 00$ | 11,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,480 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 14,350 | -• | -• | 2,870 | 1,435 | -• | $+1,435$ |  |
| 683 | 290.00 | 10,150 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,150 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 13,050 | - | - | 2,900 | 1,450 | $\cdots$ | $+1,450^{*}$ | (e) |
| 684 | $250 \cdot 00$ | 8,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,750 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 10,750 | - | - | 2,000 | 1,000 | -• | $+1,000$ | (f) |
| 605 | 186.00 | 8,370 | $\begin{gathered} 8,370 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 9,858 | -• | -• | 1,488 | L44 | -• | +744 | (g) |
| 636 | 258.00 | 11.610 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,610 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 12,900 | -• | -• | 1,290 | 645 | . | +64i |  |
| 687 | 265.00 | 11,925 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,925 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 12,720 | . | -• | 795 | 397 | -• | +397 |  |
| 688 | $235 \cdot 00$ | 10.575 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,575 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 11,280 | - | -• | 703 | 352 | -• | +352 |  |


| 689 | 225.00 | 9,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,000 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 11,250 |  | - | 2,250 | 1,125 | . | +1,125 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 690 | 513.37 | 28,235 | $\begin{array}{r} 28,235 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 32,342 | . | . | 4,107 | 2,053 | - | $+2,053$ |


| 691 | $568 \cdot 88$ | 31,288 | $\begin{array}{r} 31,288 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 35,839 | . | - | 4,551 | 2,275 | . | +2,275 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 692 | 230.13 | 11,506 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,506 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 12,657 | -• | . | 1,151 | 575 | . | +575 |
| 693 | $253 \cdot 00$ | 12,630 | $\begin{gathered} 12,650 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 13,409 | -• | $+1,013$ | 759 | 379 | . | +1,392 |



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)


Town Planning Scheme


Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 713 | 401.62 | Rs. <br> 18,073 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 18,073 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | Rs. <br> 20,081 | Rs. | $\cdots$ | 2,008 | 1,004 | - | $+1,004$ |  |
| 714 | $580 \cdot 71$ | 37,746 | $\begin{array}{r} 37,746 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 40,650 | .. | - | 2,904 | 1,452 | - | +1,452 |  |
| 715 | $879 \cdot 00$ | 39,555 | $\begin{array}{r} 39,555 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 54,950 | .. | - | 4,395 | 2,197 | - | +2,197 |  |
| 716 | $546 \cdot 00$ | 30,030 | $\begin{gathered} 30,030 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 32,760 | . | - | 2,730 | 1,365 | - | +1,365 |  |
| 717 | 1,251.00 | 62,550 | $\begin{gathered} 62,550 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 66,303 | -• | -• | 3,753 | 1,876 | - | +1,876 |  |
| 718 | 1,299.00 | 77,940 | $\begin{array}{r} 77,940 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 83,136 | . | - | 5,196 | 2,598 | - | +2,598 |  |
| 319 | 221-27 | 9,957 | $\begin{gathered} 9,957 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 11,063 | -• | -• | 1,106 | 553 | -• | + +553 |  |


| 720 | 756.00 | 27,972 | $\begin{array}{r} 27,972 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 34,020 | - | +1,001 | 6,048 | 3,024 | -• | +4,025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 321 | $478 \cdot 00$ | 16,730 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,730 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 18,642 | - | -• | 1,912 | 956 | -• | +956 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 722 | $473 \cdot 00$ | 16,555 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,555 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 18,920 | - | -• | 2,365 | 1,182 | - | +1,182 |
| 723 | 493.00 | 17,255 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,255 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 19,720 | -• | $+560$ | 2,465 | 1,232 | $\cdots$ | $+1,792$ |
| 724 | 1,104 | 35,328 | $\begin{array}{r} 35,328 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 39,744 | - | +1,968 | 4,416 | 2,208 | - | +4,176 |
| 725 | 3,094 | 92,820 | $\begin{array}{r} 92,820 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 1,29,948 | - | -16,080 | 37,128 | 18,564 | - | +2,484 |
| 726 | 1,090 | 38,150 | $\begin{array}{r} 38,150 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 51,230 | - | -15,795 | 13,080 | 6,540 | -• | -9,255 |
| 727 | 1,903 | 51,381 | $\begin{array}{r} 51,381 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 72,314 | -• | -50,918 | 20,933 | 10,466 | - | -40,452 |
| 728 | 772 | 23,160 | $\begin{array}{r} 23,160 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 30,880 | - | $-1,160$ | 7,720 | 3,860 | - | +2,700 |
| 729 | 372 | 9,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,300 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 13,020 | - | -2,175 | 3,720 | 1,860 | - | -315 |
| 730 | 538.00 | 16,140 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,140 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 22,596 | -• | -5,720 | 6,456 | 3,228 | -• | -2,492 |
| $\cdots$ | .. | - | - | -• | -• | -11,405 | -• | -• | -• | -11,405 |



[^15]Bombay City, No. IV (Mahim Area)


| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 333 (Part) |  |  | Rs. | Rs. |
| 784 | The Vicar and Wardens of the Church of N. S. D'Salvaca. | P. \& T. |  | t) 110G | $93 \cdot 83$ | 2,815 | 2,815 |
| 785 | The Municipal Corporation for Greater Bombay .. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. \& } \begin{array}{l} \text { P. } \mathbb{T} . \\ \hline \end{array} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~A} / 333 \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & . \quad 111 \\ & \because .112\} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 786 | The Trustees of the Estate of Dr. Antonio D'Silva | P. \& T. | 1/331 | .. 113 | 6,284.00 | 2,19,940 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,19,940 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| 787 | Chimanlal Mohanlal Zaveri (Lessor) Chimanlal Bhogilal Sheth (Lessee). | P. \& T. | $4 / 92$ | .. 114 | 1,658.00 | 66,320 | $\begin{array}{r} 70,740 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 788 | Stanislaus D. J. Fernandez .. .. | P. \& T. | 93 | .. 115 | 6,227.00 | 1,86,810 | 2,26,810 |
| 789 | 1. Shrimati Chanchal Maganlal Chimanlal Ghis <br> 2. Bhupatrai Maganlal Ghia. <br> 3. Damodardas Maganlal Ghia (Lessors). <br> Geoffrey Manners and Company, Ltd. (Lessees). | P. \& T. | 3/92 | .. 116 | 1,956.00 | 58,680 | $\begin{array}{r} 59,140 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 790 | Chandrasen Chimanlal Javeri • .. .. .. .. | P. \& T. | 2/92 | .. 117 | 971.00 | 24,275 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,275 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 791 | 1. Chimanlal Mohanlal Javeri .. <br> 2. Manganlal Chunilal Ghia. <br> 3. Ratanchand Fakirchand. | P.\&T. | 92 | .. 118 | 578.00 | 11,560 | 11,560 |
| 792 | 1. Shrimati Chanchal Maganlal Chimanlal Ghia <br> 2. Bhupatrai Maganlal Ghia. <br> 3. Damodardas Maganlal Ghia (Lessors). <br> Geoffrey Manners and Company, Limited (Lessees). | P. \& T. | 1/92 | .. 119 | 1,003•00 | 35,105 | $\begin{array}{r} 35,225 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| 793 | The President of India (Telephones, Bombay district) .. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. \& T. } \\ & \text { P. \& T. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $\because{ }^{120}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,445 \cdot 00 \\ & 12,494 \cdot 00\} \end{aligned}$ | 6,23,475 | $\begin{gathered} 6,23,975 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24,939.00 |  |  |
| 794 | The Vicar and Wardens of the Church of N. S. D'Salvacao. | P. \& T. | 109 (Part) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120-D } \\ & \text { (Part) } \end{aligned}$ | 6,617•00 | 1,65,425 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,67,625 \\ +2 X \end{array}$ |
| 794-A The Vicar and Wardens of the Church of N. S. D'Salvacao. |  | P. \& T. | 109 (Part) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 120-D } \\ \text { (Part) } \end{gathered}$ | 264.00 | 6,600 | 6,600 |
| 795 | .The President of India (Telephones, Bombay district).. | P. \& T. | 91 | .. 120-A | 127.00 | 3,175 | 18,175 |
| 796 | The Vicar and Wardens of the Church of N. S. D'Salvacao (Fazandar). <br> Marian Vieira (Holder). | P. \& T. | 110 | .. 120-B | 73.00 | 1,825 | 6,925 |

(a) Land under acquisition under the Land Acquisition Act for the Shivaji Park Co-operative Housing Society, Limited.
(b) Contribution under section 67 to be paid by lessor.

Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessor 86.6 per cent.
Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessee 13.4 per cent.
Lessor $=+8,877$, Lessee $=+1,203$.
Existing lease rent is increased to Rs. 195 per month.
(c) Contribution under section 67 to be paid by lessors.

Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessors $=48.15$ per cent.
Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessees 51.85 per cent.
Lessors $=+32,885$, Lessee $=+3,645$.
The existing lease rent of Rs. 800 per month for O.P. 116 and 119 together is increased to Rs. $941 \cdot 50$ per month for F.P. 747 and
(d) Compensation under section 67 to be paid to lessors.

Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessors 48.15 per cent.
Incremental contribution unde section 66 to be paid by lessees 51.85 per cent.
Lesiors $=-10,448$, Lessees $=+1,392$.
The existing lease rent of Rs. 800 per mensem for O. P. 116 and 119 together is increased to Rs. 941.50 per mensem for F. P. 747

(
$(t)$ Value of structure on O. P. i20-A.
( $\ddagger$ ) Fazandar $=-45$, Holder $=-6,880$.
A-1499-5-B

Bombay City, No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 733 | 2,183.00 | 65,490 | 65,490 | 98,235 | . | . | 32,745 | 16,372 | - | $+16,372(a)$ | (a) |
| .. | .. | -• | . | .. | .. | -2,815 | .. | . | - | -2,815 |  |
| 744 | 4,891.00 | 1,71,185 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,71,185 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 2,20,095 | .. | -48,755 | 48,910 | 24,455 | . | -24,300 |  |
| 745 | 1,796.00 | 71,840 | $\begin{gathered} 71,840 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | -89,800 | .. | +1,100 | 17,960 | 8,980 | -• | $+10,080(b)$ | (b) |
| .. | . | - | . | .. |  | $-2,26,810^{\circ}$ | . | -• | . | -2,26,810 |  |
| 747 | 2,812.00 | 88,640 | $\begin{array}{r} 88,640 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,02,700 | .. | +29,500 | 14,060 | 7,030 | -. | +36,530(c) | (c) |
| 748 | 950.00 | 23,750 | $\begin{gathered} 23,750 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 33,250 | . | -525 | 9,500 | 4,750 | - | +4,225 |  |
| -• | - | -• | -• | -• | -• | -11,560 | - | .. | -• | -11,560 |  |
| 749 | 671.00 | 23,485 | $\begin{gathered} 23,485 \\ +8 \end{gathered}$ | 28,853 | .. | -11,740 | 5,368 | 2,684 | - | -9,056(d) | (d) |




Bombay City, No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 753 | 498.00 | 12,450 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,450 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 16,932 | .. | +9,200 | 4,482 | 2,241 | .. | $+11,441^{\circ}$ | - |
| 355 | 313.00 | 12,520 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,520 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 14,711 | . | -13,520 | 2,191 | 1,095 | - | $-12,425$ |  |
| 356 | 400.00 | 16,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,000 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 18,000 | .. | .. | 2,000 | 1,000 | -• | +1,000 |  |
| 357 | 416.00 | 16,640 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,640 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 18,720 | .. | -1,120 | 2,080 | 1,040 | .. | -80 |  |
| 258 | 612.00 | 24,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,480 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 29,376 | .. | -608 | 4,896 | 2,448 | .. | +1,840 |  |
| 759 | $525.00^{\circ}$ | 15,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,750 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 19,950 | - | +4,080 | 4,200 | 2,100 | .. | +6,180 | ' |
| 760 | 462.00 | , 13,860 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,860 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 17,556 | $\cdots$ | +3,660 | 3,696 | 1,848 | $\cdots$ | +5,508 |  |
| 761 | 539.00 | 16,170 | $\begin{gathered} 16,170 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 22,638 | .. | -6,490 | 6,468 | 3,234 | .. | -3,256 |  |
| 762 |  |  | 37,900 $+\quad+\mathrm{X}$ 90.625 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 60,640 \\ 1,34,125 \end{array}\right\}$ | .. | -9,975 | $\begin{aligned} & 22,740 \\ & 43,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 11,370 \\ 21,750 \end{array}\right\}$ | .. | +23,145 |  |
| 763 | 3,625.00 | 90,625 | 90,625 $+\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,141.00 | 1,28,525 | $\begin{gathered} 1,28,525 \\ +2 X \end{gathered}$ | 1,94,765 |  |  | 66,240 | 33,120 |  |  |  |
| 765 766 | $1,018.00$ $1,958.00$ | 30,540 58,740 | 30,540 58,740 | $\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { - } 45,810 \\ 97,900\end{array}\right\}$ | - | -25,370 | $\begin{aligned} & 155,270 \\ & 39,160 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 7,635 \\ 19,580 \end{array}\right\}$ | .. | +1,845 |  |
|  | 2,976.00 | 89,280 | $\begin{array}{r} 89,280 \\ +2 X \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,43,710 |  |  | 54,430 | 27,215 |  |  |  |
| 767 | 710.00 | 21,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,300 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 31,950 | .. | -8,820 | 10,650 | 5,325 | $\cdots$ | -3.495 $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 768 | 2,294.00 | 68,820 | $\begin{array}{r} 68,820 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 96,348 | .. | -3,420 | 27,528 | . 13,764 | .. | +10,344 |  |
| 770 | 1,074.00 | 32,220 | $\begin{array}{r} 32,220 \\ +1 \end{array}$ | 48,330 | - | -7,350 | 16,110 | 8,055 | . | +705 | (t) |
| 769 | 1,053.00 | 31,590 | $\begin{array}{r} 31,590 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 44,226 | -• | -5,850 | 12,636 | 6,318 | . | +468 |  |
| $\cdots$ | -• | -• | -• | -• | -• | -2,02,075 | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | . | .. | -2,02,075 |  |
| 772 | 588.00 | 14,700 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,700 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 21,756 | -• | +9,300 | 7,056 | 3,528 | .. | +12,8285 | (g) |

(2)
(3)
(4)
. (5)
(6-a)
(6-b)
(3-a) (4) (5)
Rs.
Rs.

813 The Vicar and Wardens of the Church of N. S. D' Sal- P. \& T. . 131-B $\quad 119.00$

2,975 2,975
$+\mathbf{x}$ vacao (Fazandar). J. M. Pereira (Holder).

814 The Trustees of the Estate of Naranji Virji Trust under
a Sambhu Bhau Hedavkar.
2. Pandurang Bhau Hedavkar.
3. Dharmaji Bhau Hedavkar.
4. Govind Bhau Hedavkar.
5. Tukaram Bhau Hedavkar (Lessees).

815 The Municipal Corporation for Greater Bombay
P. \& T. 97 .. 133
P. \& T. 99
$\left.\begin{array}{l}2,845 \cdot 00 \\ 2,114 \cdot 00\end{array}\right\} \quad 1,23,975 \quad 1,23,975$


819 The Church of the Holy Cross in Kurla (Lessor) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bhaveshwar Bhavan Co-operative Housing Society, Lid., }\end{aligned}$ (Lessees).

 1. Jagannath Ramji Kore and Brothers.
2. Yeshwant Kashinath Kore and brothers.
3. Jagadish Narayan Kore and brothers (Holders).
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| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | '(1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ' |  | Rs. | Rs. | $\stackrel{3}{\mathrm{Rs}} .^{2}$ | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 774 | 455.00 | 11,375 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,375 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 16,835 | - | +8,400 | 5,460 | 2,730 | . | $+11,130^{\circ}$ | (9) |
| 775 | 3,365.00 | 1,00,950 | $\begin{gathered} 1,00,950 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,51,425 | .. | -15,650 | 50,475 | 25,237 | .. | +9,587 $\dagger$ | (t) |
|  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -• | -• | -• | $\cdots$ | -• | -• | -1,23,975 | -• | . | . | -1,23,975 | . |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 377 | 987.00 | 39,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 39,480 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 51,324 | . | -520 | 11,844 | 5,922 | .. | +5,402 |  |
| $\cdots$ | -• | -• | .. . | . | . | -40,250 | . | - | .. | $-40,250$ |  |
| 781 | 2,644.00 | 92,540 | 92,540 | 1,18,980 | .. | $+1,540$ | 26,440 | 13,220 | .. | +14,760¢ | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| 778 | 2,140.00 | 74,900 | 74,900 | 1,00,580 | - | $+8,178$ | 25,680 | 12,840 | . | +21,0185 | (3) |
| 380 | 1,772.00 | 62,020 | 62,020 | 88,600 | -• | -8,480 | 26,580 | 13,290 | .. | +4,810 | (II) |
| 779 | 1,399.00 | 48,965 | 48,965 | 60,157 | - | +1,450 | 11,192 | 5,596 | .. | +7,046 | (*) |
| 790 | 369.00 | 12,915 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,915 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 14,760 | - | +3,394 | 1,845 | 922 | . | +4,316 | (tt) |


| 782 | 2,242.00 | 1,00,890 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,00,890 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,12,100 | - | -9,245 | 11,210 | 5,605 | . | -3,640 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 783 | 819.33 | 49,160 | $\begin{array}{r} 49,160 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 61,450 | - | $\cdots$ | 12,290 | 6,145 | - | +6,145t! ( $\ddagger \ddagger)$ |
| $\cdots 784$ | 845.58 | 42,279 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,279 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 46,507 | - | .. | 4,228 | 2,114 | -• | $\bigcirc+2,114$ |
| 785 | 550.00 | 19,250 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,250 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 24,750 | -• | +2,048 | 5,500 | 2,750 | -• | +4,798 |
| 786 | 306.00 | 10,710 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,710 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 12,240 | -* | +2,415 | 1,530. | 765 | - | +3,180 |

Town Planning Scheme



Town Planning Scheme,

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)



Town Planning Scheme

(a) To be used as a Recreation Ground. No Incremental Contribution under section 66.
(b) Compensation for structure belonging to the tenant on the land.
(c) Existing right of way of F. P. 871 over F. P. 870, if any, is hereby retained.
(d) ${ }^{*}$ Lessor $=+129$, Lessees $=-3,999$.

Compensation under section 67 to be paid to Lessees who shall continue to pay existing lease rent.
Incremental Contribution under section 66 to be paid by Lessor 8.3 per cent.
Incremental Contribution under section 66 to be paid by Lessee $91 \cdot 7$ per cent.
Existing right of way of F. P. 871 over F. P. 870, if any, is hereby retained.
A-1499-17-B

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 861 | 1,955 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ 68,425 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 68,425 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 97,750 \end{gathered}$ | Rs. | -5,425 | 29,325 | * | . | 5,425 | (a) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 862 | 3,375 | 1,01,250 | $\begin{gathered} 1,01,250 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 1,51,875 | . | -25,400 | 50,625 | 25,312 | - | -88 |  |
| $863-864$ | 8,335 | 2,08,375 | $\begin{gathered} 2,08,375 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 3,41,735 | . | -11,039 | 1,33,360 | 66,680 | - | 55,641 |  |
| 866 | 819 | 24,570 | $\begin{gathered} 24,570 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 34,398 | - | -13,280 | 9,828 | 4,914 | $\cdots$ | 8,366 |  |
| 868 | 696 | 27,840 | $\begin{gathered} 27,840 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 31,320 | - | -6,393 | 3,480 | 1,740 | . | 4,653 |  |
| 869 | 2,615 | 78,450 | $\begin{gathered} 78,450 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 1,04,600 | - | $\begin{aligned} & -95,050 \\ & -1,030(b) \end{aligned}$ | 26,150 | 13,075 | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} -81,975 \\ -1,030 \end{array}$ | (b) |
| 876 | 2,262 | 67,860 | $\begin{gathered} 67,860 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 90,480 | - | $-3,090$ | 22,620 | 11,310 | -• | +8,220 |  |
| 877 | 2,120 | 53,000 | $\begin{gathered} 53,000 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 69,960 | $\cdots$ | $-4,150$ | 16,960 | 8,480 | -• | +4,330 | - |
| $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | -525 | $\cdots$ | -• | -• | -525 |  |
| 870 | 240 | 9,600 | $\begin{gathered} 9,600 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 10,800 | . | -450 | 1,200 | - 600 | -• | $+150$ | (c) |
| 871 | 1,040 | 31,200 | $\begin{gathered} 31,200 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 34,320 | . | -5,430 | 3,120 | 1,560 | - | 3,870* | (d) |
| 872 | 671 | 20,130 | $\begin{gathered} 20,130 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 23,485 | - | -1,488 | 3,355 | 1,677 | - | $+189$ | -• |
| - | - | - | . | - | - | -12,937 | - | $\cdots$ | -• | --12,937 | -• |
| 875 | 1,980 | 59,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 59,400 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 69,300 | - | $+501$ | 9,900 | 4,950 | - | +5,451 |  |
| 873 | 1,080 | 43,200 | $\begin{gathered} 43,200 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 48,600 | - | +4,040 | 5,400 | 2,700 | -• | +6,740 |  |

Town Planning Scheme

(a) E
(b) Existing right of way of F. P. 882-A, 882-B, 882 C, and $882-$ D over F. P. 882 is hereby retained.
(c) Fazandars $=$ Nit
(d) Existing right of way of $F=+1,245$. Holder shall continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
(e) Fazandars $=$ Nil, Holder $=\div 487$.
(f) Existing right of way of F. P. 882-C over F p 882 istinue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
(g) Fazandars $=$ Nil, Holder $=\div 1,110$. Hode

Existing right of way of F. P. 882 -D over F. P. 882 is hereby reto to pay the existing Fazandari rent.

Combay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (I) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 874 | 8,315 | $\underset{3,07,655}{\mathrm{RsS}_{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rs}, \\ & 3,07,65 \mathrm{~s} \\ & +\mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{3,49,230}{\text { Rs. }}$ | Rs. | +2,203 | 41,575 | 20,787 | . | +22,990 |  |
| 900 | 1,703 | 68,120 | $\begin{aligned} & 68,120 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 85,150 | . | $-4,980$ | 17,030 | 8,515 | -• | $+3,535$ |  |
| .. | -• | . | .. | . | . | -22,068 | . | -• | -• | -22,068 ${ }^{\bullet}$ | - |
| 879 | 3,640 | 1,09,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,09,200 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,37,400 | .. | -37,094 | 18,200 | 9,100 | .. | -27,994 |  |
| 880 | 2,402 | 84,070 | $\begin{array}{r} 84,070 \\ +8 \end{array}$ | 98,482 | -• | -4,881 | 14,412 | 7,206 | . | +2,325 |  |
| 881 | 1,942 | 48,550 | $\begin{array}{r} 48,550 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 83,506 | - | -37,505 | 34,956 | 17,478 | .. | -20,027 |  |
| 882 | 3,238 | 64,760 | $\begin{array}{r} 64,760 \\ \div \mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 1,13,330 | -• | ---88,215 | 48,570 | 24,285 | . | -63,930 | (a) |
| 882-A | 166 | 3,320 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,320 \\ \div \mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 5,810 | -• | - | 2,490 | 1,245 | -• | $\div 1,245^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (b) \\ & (c) \end{aligned}$ |
| 882-B | 65 | 1,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 2,275 | - | -• | 975 | 487 | . | $\div 48{ }^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (d) \\ & (\underset{\text { e }}{ } \end{aligned}$ |
| 882-C | 156 | 3,120 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,120 \\ & \div \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 5,460 | -• | - $\cdot$ | 2,340 | 1,170 | - | $\div 1,170$ | (f) |
| 882-D | 148 | 2,960 | 2,960 +X | 5,180 | -• | . | 2,220 | 1,110 | -• | +1,110 | (g) |
| 878 | 1,000 | 25,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,000 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 40,000 | -• | -54,835 | 15,000 | 7,500 | .. | -47,335 |  |
| 884 | 3,010 | 90,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 90,300 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,26,420 | - | $+7,860$ | 36,120 | 18,060 | -• | $\div 25,920$ |  |
| 885 | 1,953 | 42,966 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,966 \\ +X . \end{array}$ | 62,496 | . | $\begin{array}{r} +8,416 \\ \ldots \quad . . \end{array}$ | 19,530 . | 9,765 | . | +18,181 |  |
| 886 | 2,750 | 55,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 55,000 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 82,500 | $\cdots$ | $-12,500$ | 27,500 | 13,750 | .. | $+1,230$ |  |

Town Planning Schem


- Church and Cemetry. No Incremental contribution under section 32 (1) (vii).
$\dagger$ School. Incremental contribution under section 66 of at half the percentage rate proposed for the whole acheme.
1 Contribution under section 67 to be paid by Lessor.
Incremental contrilution under bection 66 to be paid byLessor 70.95 per cent.
Lensor $=+13,558$, Lessecer aection 66 to be paid by Lessec 29.05 per cent.
Existing lease rent in increased to
§'Temple. 50 per cent exenp 297 per month.
Temple. 50 per cent exempting ranted from the payment of the Incremental Contribution under section 32 (1) (vii).

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (II) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 887 | 5,636.00 | $\stackrel{R}{R_{s}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.4. } \\ & 1,40,900 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2,25,440}$ | Ks. | -35,200 | 84,540 | 42,270 | - | $+7070$ |  |
| 888 | 815.00 | 28,525 | $\begin{array}{r} 28,525 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 36,675 | . | $+413$ | 8,150 | 4,075 | . | +4,488 |  |
| 889 | $462 \cdot 63$ | 18,505 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,505 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 20,818 | - | . | 2,313 | 1,156 | . | $+1,156$ |  |
| 890 | $688 \cdot 35$ | 34,417 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,417 \\ +X . \end{array}$ | 39,924 | . | . | 5,507 | 2,753 | . | +2,753 |  |
| 891 | $438 \cdot 87$ | 15,360 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,360 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 21,943 | - | . | 6,583 | 3,291 | - | +3,291 |  |
| 892 | 2,072.00 | 62,160 | $\begin{array}{r} 62,160 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 93,240 | . | $+1,458$ | 31,080 | 15,540 | . | $+16,998$ |  |
| 893 | $210 \cdot 00$ | 6,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,300 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 9,450 | . | -1,872 | 3,150 | 1,575 | . | -297 |  |
| 894 | $744 \cdot 00$ | 18,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,600 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 27,528 | -• | -22,393 | 8,928 | 4,464 | . | $-17,931$ |  |
| 895 | $9,7+4 \cdot 00$ | 2,43,600 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,43,600 \\ & +\mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | 3,41,040 | -• | -62,640 | 97,440 | 48,720 | . | -13,920 |  |
| 896 | 1,818.00 | 43,632 | $\begin{array}{r} 43.632 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 65,448 | - | $+3,408$ | 21,816 | 10,908 | . | $+14,316$ |  |
| 898 | 2,221.00 | 55,525 | $\begin{array}{r} 55,525 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 82,177 | - | $+1,079$ | 26,652 | . | -• | +1,079 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\bullet$ |
| 897 | 5,380.00 | 1,61,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,61,400 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 2,04,440 | -• | -335 | 43,040 | 10,760+ | . | $+10,425$ | $\dagger$ |
| 899 | 8,620.00 | 2,15,500 | $\begin{gathered} 2,15,510 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 2,67,220 | . | -49,975. | 51,720 | 25,860 | . | -24,115 |  |
| 901 | 769.00 | 23,070 | $\begin{gathered} 23.070 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 26,915 | - | +12,194 | 3,845 | 1,922 | . | $+14,116^{\circ}$ | $\ddagger$ |
| 90) 2 | 251.00 | 7.530 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,530 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 8,785 | .. | 1,301 | 1,255 | 627 | -• | $+1,928$ |  |
| 904 | 950.00 | 38,000 | $\begin{gathered} 38,000 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 42,750 | -• | -4,240 | 4,750 | 1,187 | . | $-3,053$ |  |

Town Planning Schemer

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 953 | The Official Trustee of Bombay (Lessor) <br> Shri Siddhi Vinayak Co-operative Housing Society, Limited (Lessees). | P. \& T. | 3/1209 | .. 249-A | 1,845•64 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rs} . \\ 55,369 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 55,369 . \\ +X \end{gathered}$ |
| 954 | The Municipal Corporation for Greater Bombay | M. L. | 1665 | .. 250 | 39,838.00 | X | X. |
| 955 | Fazalbhoy Investment Company, Limited. | M. L. . . | 1670 | .. 251 | 2,143.89 | 85,756 | $\begin{array}{r} 85,756 \\ \text { +X. } \end{array}$ |
| 956 | The Municipal Corporation for Greater Bombay .. | M. L. . . | 1167 | .. 252 | 3,849•00 | 1,34,715 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,34,715 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | ) (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 953 | 1,823.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 54,690 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Rg} . \\ 54,690 \\ +X \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rs} . \\ 69,274 \end{gathered}$ | -• | -679 | 14,584 | 7,292 | - | +6,613 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 905 | 39,433-00 | X | X | X | - | - |  | - | -• | -• |  |
| 906 | 2,143•89 | 85,756 | $\begin{gathered} 85,756 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,07,194 | -• | - | 21,438 | 10,719 | -• | +10,719 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 867 \\ & 908 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 985 \cdot 00 \\ 2,609 \cdot 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,625 \\ & 91,315 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,625 \\ 91,315 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 36,445 \\ 1,22,623 \end{array}\right\}$ | $\cdots$ | $-18,775$ <br> . | 11,820 31,308 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 5,910 \\ 15,654 \end{array}\right\}$ | $\cdots$ | $+2,789$ $\cdots$ |  |
|  | 3,594-00 | 1,15,940 | $\begin{gathered} 1,15,940 \\ + \end{gathered}$ | 1,59,068 |  |  | 43,128 | 21,564 |  |  |  |
| 909 | 2,297.00 | 1,03,365 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,03,365 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,30,929 | -• | -17,295 | 27,564 | 13,782 | - | -3,513 |  |
| 910 | 1,138-00 | 51,210 | $\begin{gathered} 51,210 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{gathered}$ | 64,866 | - | -2,935 | 13,656 | 6,828 | $\cdots$ | +3,893 |  |
| 911 | 4,532.00 | 1,81,280 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,81,280 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 2,35,664 | - | -720 | 54,384 | 27,192 | - | +26,472 |  |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 912 | 887.00 | 39,915 | $\begin{array}{r} 39,915 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 47,011 | - | - | 7,096 | .3,548 | - | +3,548 |  |
| 913 | 610.00 | 27,450 | $\begin{gathered} 27,450 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 36,600 |  | --17,525 | 9,150 | 4,575 | .. | -12,950 |  |
| 914 | 3,472.00 | 1,38,880 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,38,880 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,59,712 |  | -45,800 | 20,832 | 10,416 | - | -35,384 |  |
| 915 | 124.63 | 4,985 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,985 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 5,608 | - | - | $623 \cdot 00$ | 311 | -• | +3.17 |  |
| 916 | $550 \cdot 00$ | 13,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,750 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ | 22,000 | - | -3,900 | 8,250 | 4,125 | -• | $+225$ |  |
| 917 | 376.00 | 9,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,400 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 13,160 | -. | -100 | 3,760 | 1,880 | -• | +1,780 |  |
| 918 | 726.00 | 14,520 | $\begin{gathered} 14,520 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 20,328 | -• | $+1,020$ | 5,808 | 2,904 | -• | +3,924 |  |
| 919 | 1,239-00 | 24,780 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,780 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 39,648 | -• | -6,560 | 14,868 | 7,434 | - | +874 |  |
| 920 | 998 | 19,960 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,960 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 31,936 | -• | -20 | 11,976 | 5,988 | -• | +5,968§ |  |



[^20]§Property attached by the Collector of Bombay for recovery of Income-Tax dues.
A-1499-18-B

Bombay City No: IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | ) (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 921 | 81 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 1,620 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\text { 2,592 }}{\text { Res }}$ | Rs. | $\stackrel{\text { Rs. }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rssig } \\ & 972 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{48 .} \end{gathered}$ | Rs. | + ${ }_{\text {Ra }}^{+86 \%}$ |  |
| -• | . | . | - | .. | -• | -280 | .... | .. | " | -280才 | t |
| 922 | 4,459 | 71,344 | $\begin{gathered} 71,344 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 1,07,016 | .. | -10,472 | 35,672 | 17,836 | .. | +7,364 |  |
| $926{ }^{\circ}$ | 7,551 | 1,53,120 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,53,120 \\ & +x \end{aligned}$ | 2,43,732 | \%. | -25,475 | 90,612 | 45,306 | . | +51,799 |  |
| 951 | 3,552 | 78,144 | $\begin{gathered} 18,940^{\circ} \\ 78,144 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,42,080 | $\cdots$ | -• | 63,936 | 31,968 | -• | . |  |
|  | 11,103 | 2,31,264 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,50,204 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 3,85,812 |  |  | 1,54,548 | 77,274 |  |  |  |
| 927 | 54400 | 10,880 | $\begin{gathered} 10,880 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 16,320 | .. | +1,076 | 5,440 | 2,720 | . | +3,796 |  |
| 929 | 1,484.00 | 26,712 | 26,712 | 48,972 | -• | -14,508 | $\begin{gathered} 22,260 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 11,130 | .. | -3,378 |  |
| 930 | 2,927.00 | 52,686 | $\begin{gathered} 52,686 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 96,591 | - | -22,630 | 43,905 | 21,952 | .. | -678 | $\ddagger \downarrow$ |
| 931 | 3,820.00 | 1,33,700 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,33,700 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,71,900 | - | -9,949 | 38,200 | 19,100 | .. | +9,151 |  |
| 932 | 66.09 | 2,644 | $\begin{gathered} 2,644 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 2,974 | -• | -• | 330 | 165 | .. | +165 |  |
| 933 | 775.00 | 31,000 | $\begin{gathered} 31,000 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 34,875 | - | - | 3,875 | 1,937 | . | +1,937 |  |
| 934 | 61400 | 24,560 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,560 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 27,630 | .. | -15,600 | 3,070 | 1,535 | - | -14,165 | , |
| 935 | 6,236.00 | 1,87,080 | 1,87,080 | 2,36,96 $\}$ | .. | -99,975 | 49,888 | 24,944 $\}$ | -• | .. | -58,543 |
| 936 | 2,748.00 | 82,440 | 82,440 | 1,15,416 |  |  | 32,976 | 16,488 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,69,220 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 3,52,384 |  |  | 82,864 | 41,432 |  |  |  |
| 938 | 2,547.00 | 76,410 | 76,410 $+X$ | 96,786 | - | -22,919 | 20,376 | 10,188 | .. | $-12,731$ | $\cdots$. |



- Fazandars $=-160$, Holder $=-14,797$.
$\dagger$ Existing ifght of way of F.P. 941 over F. P. 941 -A is hereby retained.
$\pm$ Existing right of way of F. P. 941 over F. P. $941-\mathrm{A}$ is hereby retained.
Fazandars $=$ Nil, Holder $+556 \dagger$
Holder shall continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
§Incremental Contribution under section 66 to be paid by Lessor 86.55 per cent.
Incremental Contribution under section 66 to be paid by Lessee $13 \cdot 45$ per cent.
Lessor $=+13,394$, Lessee $=+558$.
Contribution under section 67 to be paid by lessor. Lessee shall continue to pay the existing lease rent.
GLessor $=-5,000$, Lessee $=$ Nil.
$\|$ Lessor $=-3,180$, Lessces $=-4,500$.
$\bullet \bullet 878$ S. Yds. to be on L. N. A. tenure.

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area).

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .. | . | Rs. | $\overline{\text { Rs. }}$ | Rs. | Rs. | -14,957 | .. | .. | . | $-14,957^{\circ}$ | - |
| $9+3$ | 418.00 | 14,630 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,630 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 16,720 | .. | $-2,345$ | 2,090 | 1,045 | .. | $-1,300$ |  |
| 942 | 3,883.00 | 1,00,958 | 1,00,958 | 1,47,554 | .. | +192 | 46,596 | 23,298 | . | +23,490 |  |
| 928 | 442:00 | 8,840 | $\begin{gathered} +\mathrm{X} \\ 8,840 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 14,144 | .. | -61,406 | 5,304 | 2,652 | .. | -58,754 |  |
| 940 | $639 \cdot 00$ | 13,980 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,980 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 24,465 | . | +3,360 | 10,485 | 5,242 | - | $+8,602$ |  |
| 941-A | 641.00 | 12,820 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,820 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 17,307 | .. | -9,350 | 4,487 | 2,243 | -• | -7,107t | $\dagger$ |
| 941 | 159 | 3,180 | 3,180 | 4,293 | .. | . | 1,113 | 556 | - | +556 $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 944 \\ & 9445 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 618 \\ & 624 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,450 \\ & 8,720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,450 \\ 18,720 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 21,630 \\ 26,208 \end{array}\right\}$ | . | -22,725 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.180 \\ & 7,488 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 3,090 \\ 3,744 \end{array}\right\}$ |  | -15,891 |  |
|  | 1242 | 34,170 | 34,170 | 47,838 |  |  | 13,668 | 6,834 |  |  |  |
| 946 | 692 | 17,300 | 17,300 | 25,604 | -• | +9,800 | 8,304 | 4,152 | -• | +13,952§ | § |
| -• | -• | -• | -• | - | - | -5,000 | * | -• | . | -5,0000 | I |
| 948 | 773 | 27,055 | $\begin{gathered} 27.055 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 33,239 | .. | -29,020 | 6,184 | 3,092 | . | $-25,928$ |  |
| -• | .. | . | .. | .. | . | -7,680 | -• | . | * | $-7,680 \mathrm{id}$ | 【 |
| 949 | 939 | 32,865 | $\begin{gathered} 32,865 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 40,377 | .. | -12,470 | 7,512 | 3,736 | -• | -8,714 |  |
| 950 | 95300 | 33,355 | $\begin{gathered} 33,355 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 42,885 | .. | -100 | 9,530 | 4,765 | -• | +4,6655* | - |


2. Shrimati Piroja Nuncherji Daruwalla,
3. Shrimati Jerhai Muncherji Daruwalla (Tenants-inCommon).

3. Hahoned Cassum Haji Hasan Ahmed Basar.
5. Haji Gulam Rasul Haji Hasan Ahmed Basar. Trustecs of the Haji Hasan Ahmed Basar Wakf.

| 1004 | Morarbhai Kanjibhai Patel | - | - |  | P. \& T. | 2:1174 | .. 289 | 1,704.00 | 76,680 | $\begin{gathered} 76,680 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1005 | Max Designs and Process Wor | Private Ltd. | $\cdots$ |  | P. \& T. | 2A/1174 | .. 290 | 1,340.00 | 60,300 | $\begin{gathered} 60,300 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ |
| 1006 | Jagdish Commercial Lid. . . | . . . | - | . | P. \& T. | 3/1174 | . 291 | 2,544.05 | 1,14,502 | $1,14,502$ $+X$ |
| 1007 | Dinshaw Darabshaw Shroff | * . . | - | - | M. L. . . | 1552 | . 292 | 1,370.55 | 47,969 | 54,939 $+X$ |
| 1008 | 1. Shrimati Radhabai Raghe <br> 2. Vasant Raghoo Jiwaji Mc <br> 3. Shridhar Kaghoo Jiwaji M | Jiwaji Moode de. oode. | $\bullet$ | - | P. \& T. | 1/1166 | . 293 | 1,000.00 | 35,000 | 43,450 $+X$ |
| 1009 | Dinshaw Darabshaw Shroff | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | M. L. . . | 1553 | .. 294 | 3,263•77 | 1,30,551 | $1,79,551$ $+X$ |
| 1010 | Shrimati Aishabai Mahomed | ooscin Malim | - | - | P. \& T. | 3/1162 | .. 295 | 718.00 | 28,720 | 34,750 $+X$ |
| 1011 | Damodhar Anant Kowli .. | $\cdots \quad$ - | - | - | P. \& T. | 4/1162 | .. 296 | $700 \cdot 00$ | 24,500 | 24,500 $+X$ |
| 1012 | Dattaram Pandurang Date | * . | - | - | P. \& T. | 8/1162 | .. 297 | 1,244.00 | 37,320 | 39,163 $+X$ |
| 1013 | Santan Vizidor John Britto | - . | - | - | P. \& T. | $2 \mathrm{~B} / 1162$ | 2 .. 298 | 393.00 | 11,004 | 11,004 $+X$ |

22 S. Yds. to be on L. N. A. tenure.
4 Fazandar $=80$, Holders $==10,228$.
$\ddagger$ Fazandar $=-160$, Holder $=-14,764$.

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (3) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 947 | 1,805* | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rss.}_{3} \\ 45,125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 45,125 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 54,150 \end{gathered}$ | Rs. | +7,050 | 9,025 | 4,512 | . | $+11,562^{\circ}$ |  |


| .. | . | . | .. | .. | .. | -14,924 | - | . | - | -14,924 $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 952 | 2,320 | 46,400 | 46,400 | 78,880 | . | -25,780 | 32,480 | 16,240 | .. | -9,540 |
| 953 | 3,292 | 82,300 | 82,300 | 1,41,556 ) | - | -96,002 | 59,256 | 29,628) | . | -59,636 |
| 923 | 1,123 | 25,829 | $\underset{25,829}{+X}$ | $39,305\}$ | .. | .. | 13,476 | 6,738 \} |  |  |
|  | 4,415 | 1,08,129 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,08,128 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,80,861 |  |  | 72,732 | 36,366 |  |  |
| 954 | 25,959 | 5,19,780 | $\begin{gathered} 5,19,780 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 8,83,626 | . | +3,120 | 3,63,846 | 1,81,923 | - | +1,85,043 |


| 955 | 2,143 | 64,290 | $\begin{gathered} 64,290 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | $\dagger 96,435$ | - | $+870$ | 32,145 | 16,072 | - | +16,942 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 956 | 4,369.11 | 1,74,764 | $\begin{gathered} 1,74,764 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 2,40,301 | - | - | 65,537 | 32,768 | . | +32,768 |


| 957 | 1,704.00 | 76,680 | $\begin{array}{r} 76,680 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,02,240 | $\cdots$ | -• | 25,560 | 12,780 | . | +12,780 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 958 | 942.00 | 42,390 | $\begin{gathered} 42,390 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 56,520 | - | -17,910 | 14,130 | 7,065 | . | -10,845 |
| 959 | 2,189.00 | 98,505 | $\begin{array}{r} 98,505 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,31,340 | - | -15,997 | 32,835 | 16,417 | - | +420 |
| 960 | 1,183.00 | 41,405 | $\begin{array}{r} 41,405 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 55,601 | . | -13,534 | 14,196 | 7,098 | . | -6,436 |
| 961 | $769 \cdot 00$ | 26,915 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,915 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 36,143 | -• | -16,535 | 9,228 | 4,614 | -• | -11,921 |
| 962 | 2,330.00 | 93,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 93,200 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,21,160 | -• | -86,351 | 27,960 | 13,980 | . | -72,371 |
| 963 | 533.00 | 21,320 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,320 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 29,315 | -• | -13,430 | 7,995 | 3,997 | . | -9,433 |
| 964 | $700 \cdot 00$ | 24,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,500 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 30,100 | -• | - | 5,600 | 2,800 | - | +2,800 |
| 965 | 1,149.00 | 34,470 | $\begin{array}{r} 34.470 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 51,705 | -• | -4,693 | 17,235 | 8,617 | $\cdots$ | +3,924 |
| 966 | 364.00 | 10,192 | 10,192 | 15,652 | -• | -812 | 5,460 | 2,730 | -• | $+1,918$ |

## Town Planning Scheme



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Aréa)
Valuation Statement.-Form B.


Town Planning Scheme



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002 | 3,256.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Res } \\ 81,400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ -81,400 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1,07,448}{\text { Rs. }}$ | Rs. ${ }^{\circ}$ | -8,987 | 26,048 | 13,024 | - | +4,037 |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1004 | $119 \cdot 00$ | 3,570 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,570 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 4,165 | -. | -255 | 595 | - | - | -255 |  |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1005 | $514 \cdot 00$ | 12,850 | $\begin{gathered} 12,850 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 16,962 | - | +1,192 | 4,112 | 2,056 | -• | +3,248 |  |
| $\cdot 1006$ | 2,953.00 | 97,449 | $\begin{gathered} 97,449 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,21,073 | .. | -4,395 | 23,624 | 11,812 | .. | +7,417 |  |
| 1007 | 687.00 . | 27,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 27,480 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 32,976 | -• | $+1,700$ | 5,496 | 2,748 | -• | +4,448 |  |
| 1008 | 867-00 | 39,015 | $\begin{aligned} & 39,015 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 45,951 | . | -• | 6,936 | 3,468 | .. | $+3,468$ + |  |
| 1009 | 1,346-22 | 40,387 | $\begin{array}{r} 40,387 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 51,156 | . | -• | 10,769 | 5,384 | .. | +5,384 |  |
| 1010 | 506-00 | 15,180 | $\begin{gathered} 15,180 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 18,216 | -• | -450 | 3,036 | 1,518 | .. | +1,068 |  |
| 1011 | 773.33 | 19,333 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,333 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 25,520 | .. | -• | 6,187 | 3,093 | - | +3,093 |  |
| 1012 | 653.00 | 16,325 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,325 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 21,549 | - | . | 5,224 | 2,612 | -• | $\stackrel{+2,612}{ }$ |  |
| 1013 | 615.00 | 15,375 | $\begin{gathered} 15,375 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 20,295 | -• | -2,625 | 4,920 | 2,460 | . | -165 $+2,484$ |  |
| 1014 | 621.00 | 15,525 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,525 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 20,493 . | -• | -• | 4,968 | 2,484 | * | +2,484 |  |
| 1015 | 687.00 | 17,175 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,175 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 22,671 | -• | -• | 5,496 | 2,748 | .. | +2,748 |  |
| 1016 | 554.00 | 13,850 | $\begin{array}{r} 13,850 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 16,620 | -• | +810 | 2,770 5,008 | 1,385 2,504 | •• . | $+1,385$ $+3,314$ |  |
| 1017 | 626.00 | 18,780 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,780 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 23,788 | -• | +810 | 5,008 | 2,504 1,182 | $\cdots$ | $+3,314$ $+1,182$ |  |
| 1018 | 473.00 | 11,825. | $\begin{gathered} 11,825 \\ +\mathbf{+ X} \end{gathered}$ | 14,190 | -• | -• | 2,365 | 1,182 | . |  |  |
| 1019 | $979 \cdot 00$ | 34,265 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,265 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 42,097 | -• | +280 -1025 | 7,832 4,000 | $\begin{array}{r}3,916 \\ \hline 2,000\end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $+4,196$ +75 |  |
| 1020 | $500 \cdot 00$ | 17,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,500 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 21,500 | -• | -1,925 |  |  |  |  |  |

Town Planning Scheme


[^21]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (1) | (I2) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1021 | 564.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 22,560 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 22,560 \\ +\mathbf{X X} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ 25,380 \end{array}$ | .. | .. | 2,820 | 1,410 | . | +1,410 |  |
| 1022 | 602.00 | 31,304 | $\begin{array}{r} 31,304 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 33,110 | . | -156 | 1,806 | 903 | - | +747 |  |
| 1023 | 2,621.00 | 65,525 | $\begin{aligned} & 65,525 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 78,630 | - | $\cdots$ | 13,105 | 6,552 | . | +6,552 |  |
| 1024 | 1,017.00 | 25,425 | $\begin{gathered} 25,425 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 37,629 | . | .. | 12,204 | 6,102 | .. | +6,012 |  |
| 1025 1028 | $13,472 \cdot 00$ $4,240 \cdot 00$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,04,160 \\ +\mathbf{X} \\ 1,27,200 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,38,880 \\ 1,69,600 \end{gathered}$ |  | $+8,087$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,34,720 \\ 42,400 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 67,360 \\ 21,200 \end{array}\right\}$ | .. | +96,647 |  |
|  | 17,712 | 5,31,360 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,31,360 \\ +2 x \end{array}$ | 7,08,480 |  |  | 1,77,120 | 88,560 |  |  |  |
| 1026 | 583-33 | 26,250 | $\begin{gathered} 26,250 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 28,000 | . | .. | 1,750 | 875 | .. | +875 |  |
| 1027 | 5,177.00 | 2,48,496 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,48,496 \\ +\times \end{array}$ | 2,64,027 | .. | . | 15,531 | 7,765 | .. | +7,765 |  |
| 1029 | 2,382.00 | 1,07,190 | $\begin{gathered} 1,07,190 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 1,35,774 | $\cdots$ | .. | 28,584 | 14,292 | . | +14,292 |  |
| 1030 | 686.00 | 27,440 | $\begin{array}{r} 27,440 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 35,672 | .. | -1,040 | 8,232 | 4,116 | .. | +3,076 |  |


| 1031 | 342.00 | 15,390 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,390 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 18,810 | - | -555 | 3,420 | 1,710 | - | +1,155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1032 | 1,276•00 | 57,420 | $\begin{array}{r} 57,420 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 76,560 | - | -855 | 19,140 | 9,570 | -• | +8,715 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1033 | 1,185.00 | 35,550 | $\begin{array}{r} 35,550 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 49,770 | - | -440 | 14,220 | 7,110 | - | +6,670 |
| 1035 | 4,968.00 | 99,360 | 99,360 | 1,58,976 | . | -25,820 | 59,616 | 29,808 $\}$ | -• | +13,310 |
| 1034 | 1,243.00 | 24,860 | $\begin{array}{r} +\mathrm{X} \\ 24,860 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 43,505 $\}$ |  |  | 18,645 | 9,322 |  |  |
|  | 6,211.00 | 1,24,220 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,24,220 \\ &+2 \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | 2,02,481 |  |  | 78,261 | 39,130 |  |  |

Town Planning Scheme


[^22]
## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1036 | 3,164.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rs} . \\ & 63,280 \end{aligned}$ | Rs <br> 63,280 <br> $\rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 1,01,248 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | -5,150 | 37,968 | 18,984 | . | +13,834 |  |
| 1042 1037 | 858.00 188.00 | 21,450 4,700 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,450 \\ +\mathrm{X} \\ 4,700 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 34,320 \\ 77,520 \end{array}\right\}$ | . | -8,615 | $\begin{aligned} & 12,870 \\ & 2,820 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 6,435 \\ 1,401 \end{array}\right\}$ | . | -770 | : |
|  | 1,046.00 | 26,150 | $\begin{gathered} 26,150 \\ +2 \mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 41,840 |  |  | 15,690 | 7,845 |  |  |  |
| . | - | - | - | - | - | -1 | . | . |  |  | Passage. |
| 1041 | $223 \cdot 00$ | 5,575 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,575 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 8,920 | - | -2,100 | 3,345 | 1,672 |  | -423 |  |
| 1040 | $267 \cdot 00$ | 6,673 | $\begin{gathered} 6,675 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 10,680 |  | $-1,590$ | 4,005 | 2,002 |  | +412 |  |
| 1038 | 201.00 | 5,025 | $\begin{gathered} 5,025 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 7,035 |  | +1,275 | 2,010 | 1,005 |  | +2.280 |  |
| 1039 | 1,010.00 | 25,250 | $\begin{gathered} 25,250 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | 40,400 |  | -3,925 | 15,150 | 7,575 |  | $+3,650$ |  |

1043 | 34,217 | $11,97,505$ | $11,97,595$ | $15,39,765$ | $\cdots$ | $+4,460$ | $3,42,170$ | $1,71,085$ | $\cdots$ | $+1,75,545$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| 1044 | 1,335.00 | 46.725 | 46,725 | 66,750 |  | -1,67,060 | 20,025 | 10,012 |  | -1,52,548 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1049 | $450 \cdot 00$ | 13,500 | $\begin{gathered} 13,500 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 22,500 |  |  | 9,000 | 4,500 |  |  |
|  | 1,785•00 | 60.225 | $\begin{aligned} & 60,225 \\ & +2 \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | 89,250 |  |  | 29,025 | 14,512 |  |  |
| 1045 | 488.00 | 21,960 | $\begin{gathered} 21.960 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 31,720 | . | -5,055 | 9,760 | 4,880 | -• | -175 |
| 1046 | 1,006.00 | 40,240 | $\begin{array}{r} 40,240 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 60,360 | . | -34,040 | 20,120 | 10,060 | . | -23,980 |
| 1047 1048 | 587.00 $2,997.00$ | 17,610 89,910 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,610 \\ +\mathrm{X} \\ 89,910 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 24,654 \\ 1,22,877 \end{array}\right\}$ |  | $-1,09,180$ | 7,044 32,967 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 3,522 \\ 16,483 \end{array}\right\}$ | -• | -89,175 |
| Total | 3,584•00 | 1.07,520 | $\begin{aligned} 1,07,520 \\ +22 \end{aligned}$ | 1,47,531 |  |  | 40,011 | 20,005 |  |  |
| 1050 | 1,821•00 | 54,630 | $\begin{gathered} 54,630 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 81,945 | . | -12,380 | 27,315 | 13,657 | . | +1,277 |
| 1051 | $244 \cdot 00$ | 7.320 | $\begin{gathered} 7,320 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 10,980 | -• | $-1,280$ | 3,660 | .. $\dagger$ | - | -1,280 |

Town Planning Scheme


1. Ahmed Usuf Botawala.
2. Usuf Mohamed Munnee. Surviving Executors of the Will, dated 27th May 1944 of Suleman Mohamed Munnee (1/2 Share).

1093 1. Ahmed Usuf Botawala $\quad$. .. $\quad . \quad$.. P. \& T. $\quad 2 / 1143 \quad \ldots \quad 378-B \quad 328.00 \quad 13,120 \quad 14,120$
2. Suleman Ahmed Botawala. Trustees of the Deed of Wakf, dated 28th March 1955 of Ahmed Usuf Botawala (1/2 Share).

1. Ahmed U'suf Botawala.
2. Usuf Moharned Munnec. Surviving Executors of the Will dated 27th May 1944 of Suleman Mohamed Munnee (1/2 Share). (Lessors).
Ramlal Maganlal Parikh. (Lessee).

1094 1. Ahmed Usuf Botawala $\quad . \quad$.. $\quad . . \quad$.. P. \& T. $\quad 3 / 1143$. $378-C \quad 600 \cdot 00 \quad 24.000 \quad 24,000$
3. Suleman Ahmed Botawala. Trustees of the Deed of Wakf, dated 28th March 1955 of Ahmed Usuf Botawala (1/2 Share).

1. Ahmed Usuf Rotawala.
2. Usuf Mohamed Munnee, Surviving Executors of the Will, dated 27 th May 1944 of Suleman Mohamed Munnee (1/2 Share). (Lessors).
3. Shrimati Mangalavati Hirachand Jhaveri.
4. Kumari Indukala Hirachand Jhaveri. (Lessees).

1095 1. Ahmed Usuf Botawala
2. Mohamed Ahmed Botawala . .. .. .. P. \& T. 4/1143 .. 378-D 2,925.00
3. Suleman Ahmed Botawala. Trustees of the Deed of Wakf, dated 28th March 1955 of Ahmed Usuf Botawala, (1/2 Share).
I. Ahmed Usuf Botawala.
2. Usuf Mohamed Munnce. Surviving Executors of the Will, dated 27th May 1944 of Suleman Mohamed Munnce (1/2 Share). (Lessors).

1. Tribhovandas Lalbhai Engineer.
2. Ganpatram Tribhovandas Engineer.
3. Girdharlal Tribhovandas Engineer. (Lessees).

 of Wakf, dated 28th March 1955 of Ahmed Usuf
. Aotawala ( $1 / 2$ Share).
4. Usuf Mohamed Munnee. Surviving Exccutors of the Will, dated 27th May 1944 of Suleman
5. Chimanlal Gokuldas (1/2 Share). (Lessors).
6. Chimanlal Gokuldas Parikh.
7. Sunderlal Chimanial Parikh.
8. Jayantilal Chimanlal Parikh.
9. Rasiklal Munilal Parikh. (Lessees).
 tion 66 to be paid by lessors $32 \cdot 6$ per cent. Ineremental contribors and for structure to the lessee. Incremental contribution under secLessee $=+476$. Existing lease rent is reduced to ks . $70 \cdot 10$ per month. $\dagger$ +Contribution to be paid by lessors 32.95 pers. $70 \cdot 10$ per month.
$=+3,017$.
to be paid by lessec 67.05 per cent. Lessors $=+1,483$, Lessee Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by les. Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessor 32 per cent reduced to R s. 439.80 per month. ${ }^{\bullet}$ Compensation under section 67 to be paid to Lessors. Incremental contribut Existing lease rent is cent. Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessee 70.4 per cent under section 66 to be paid by lessors 29.6 per A-1499-20-13

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)


|  |  |  |  |  |  | -9,800 | 40,200 | 20,100 | . | $+10,300^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1055 | 2,680.00 | 1,07,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,07,200 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,47,400 | . | -9,800 | 40,200 | 20,00 | - |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | -13,280 | 24,810 | 12,405 | - | $-875^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1054 | 1,654.00 | 66,160 | $\begin{array}{r} 66,160 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 90,970 |  | -13,280 | 24,810 |  | . |  |

Town Planning Scheme


Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9-a)$ | $(9-b)$ | $(10-a)$ | $(10-b)$ | $(11)$ | $(12)$ | $(13)$ | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1056 | $233 \cdot 00$ | 9,320 | 9,320 <br> $+\times$ | 12,815 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3,495 | 1.747 | $\ldots$ | $+1,7470$ |  |



| 1060 | 3,581•00 | 1,07,430 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,07,430 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,46,821 | -• | -7,230 | 39,391 | 19,695 | -• | +12,465 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1061 | 1,029.00 | 20,580 | $\begin{gathered} 20,580 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 28,812 | - | +2,364 | 8,232 | 4,116 | - | +6,480 |
| 1062 | 386.00 | 11,580 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,580 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 15,440 | - | $+1,787$ | 3,860 | 1,930 | . | +3,717 |
| 1063 | 3,944-00 | 1,18,320 | $\begin{gathered} 1,18,320 \\ +2 \times \end{gathered}$ | 1,41,984 | - | $-8,964$ | 23,664 | 11,832 | -• | +2,868 |


| .. | .. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | .. | $-9,452$ | . | .. | .. | $-9,452$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1064 | $5,542 \cdot 00$ | $1,21,924$ | $1,21,924$ <br> $+\times$ | $1,49,634$ | $\ldots$ | $-4,466$ | 27,710 | 13,855 | .. | $+9,389$ |


| 1065 | $293 \cdot 00$ | 7,325 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,325 \\ +\times x \end{array}$ | 9,669 | -• | .. | 2,344 | 1,172 | - | +1,172 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1066 | $883 \cdot 00$ | 22,075 | $\begin{gathered} 22,075 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 29,139 | - | -2,721 | 7,064 | 3,532 | .. | +811 |  |
| 1067 | 1,421.00 | 28,420 | $\begin{gathered} 28,420 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 35,525 | . | -320 | 7,105 | 3,552 | . | +3,232 | (A) |
| 1068 | 874.00 | 21,850 | $\begin{gathered} 21,850 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 28,842 | -• | -3,050 | 6,992 | 3,496 | - | $+446$ | (A) |
| 1069 | 435.00 | 9,570 | $\begin{gathered} 9,570 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 13,050 | - | $-1.210$ | 3,480 | 1,740 | -• | $+530$ |  |
| 1070 | 439.00 | 9,658 | $\begin{gathered} 9.658 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 11,853 | -• | $\cdots$ | 2,195 | 1,097 | . | $+1,097$ |  |
| 1071 | $955 \cdot 00$ | 21,010 | $\begin{gathered} 21,010 \\ +\times x \end{gathered}$ | 28.650 | -• | . | 7,640 | 3,820 | . | $+3.820$ |  |
| -• | $\cdots$ | 7.974 | 17.974 | $24.510$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -30,640 \\ +6,974 \end{array}$ | . 6,536 | $\cdots$ 3,268 | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30,640 \\ +10,242 \end{array}$ |  |
| 1072 | 817:00 | 17,974 | 17,974 | 24.510 | -• |  |  |  | . |  |  |

Town Planning Scheme

(B) Value of structures on O. P. 396.
(C) Contribution to be paid by lessor 11.3 per cent. Contribution to be paid by lessee 88.7 per cent. Lessor= $=+3,011$, Lessee$+23,634$.
(D) Existing right of way of F. P. 1082 over F. P. 1083, if any, is hereby retained.
(E) Contribution to be paid by lessor 68.85 per cent. Contribution to be paid by lessec 31.15 per cent. Lessor $=+193$, Lessec $=+$ 87.
(F) Existing right of way of F. P. 1082 over F. P. 1083, if any, is hereby retained.

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (I2) | (13) | (14) | ) (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | -• | -• | .. | -• | . | $-1,140$ | -• | . | -• | -1,140 |  |
| 1073 | $465 \cdot 00$ | 10,230 | 10,230 | 17,205 | .. | -6,770 | 6,975 | 3,487 | .. | -3,283 |  |
| . | - | -• | $\cdots$ | - | -• | $-39,840$ | . | . | . | -39,840 |  |
| 1074 | 6,982.00 | 1,25,676 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,25,676 \\ +x \end{array}$ | 2,30,406 | .. | -93,466 | 1,04,730 | 52,365 | . | $-41,101$ |  |
| 1075 | 4,090-00 | 1,02,250 | $\begin{gathered} 1,02,250 \\ +\times \\ 1,717(\mathrm{~B}) \end{gathered}$ | 1,84,050 | .. | -22,633 | 81,800 | 40,900 | - | $+18,276$ | (B) |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 1,03,967 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -• | - | -• | - | -• | -• | -3,376 | - | -• | -• | -3,376 | Passage. |
| 1076 | 3,062.00 | 76,550 | 76,550 | 1,37,790 | -• | -7,978 | 61,240 | 30,620 | -• | +22,642 |  |
|  | - | - | . | . | -• | -1 | - | - | -• |  | Passage. |
| 1077 | 4,247.00 | 1,06,175 | $\begin{gathered} 1,06 ; 175 \\ +\times x \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 1,91,115 \\ 407077 \end{array}\right\}$ | " | -51,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 84,940 \\ 1,40,592 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 42,470 \\ 70,296 \end{array}\right\}$ | - | +61,286 |  |
| 1080 | $\underline{11,716.00}$ | 3,51,480 | 3,51,480 | 4,92,072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15,963.00 | 4,57,655 | $\begin{gathered} 4,57,655 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 6,83,187 |  |  | 2,25,532 | 1,12,766 |  |  |  |
| 1079 | 5,329.00 | 1,59,870 | $\begin{gathered} 1,59,870 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 2,13,160 | - | - | 53,290 | 26,645 | -• | +26,645 | (C) |
| 1078 | 3,333.00 | 83,325 | 83.325 | 1,49,985 | - | +67,245 | 66,660. | 33,330 |  | +1,00,575 |  |
| 1082 | 6,051•00 | 1,81,530 | $\begin{gathered} 1.81 .530 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 2,72,295 | -• | +11,290 | 90,765 | 45,382 |  | +56,672 | (D) |
| 1081 | 37.33 | 1,120 | $\begin{gathered} 1,120 \\ +\times \end{gathered}$ | 1,680 | -• | -• | 560 | 280 | - | +280 | (E) |
| 1083 | 1,337.34 | 42,795 | $\begin{gathered} 42,795 \\ +x \end{gathered}$ | 62,855 | - | -• | 20,060 | 10,030 | -• | $+10,030$ | (F) |

Town Planning Scheme

(G) Lessors $=$ Nil. Lessec $=+47,932$. Contribution under section 67 to be paid by lessee who shatl continue to pay the existing lease rent.
(a) This property is reported to have been purchased by Mahomedbhai Teherally Bandukwalla.
(b) Notice of lis pendens, dated 22 nd January 1959 in High Court Suit No. 409 of 1958 whereby the United Engincering and Building Company (Private) Limited, give notice to all concerned that during the pendency of this Suit, this property cannot be transferred or dealt with by any party without the permission of such Court.
(c) Temple. No incremental contribution under section 32 (1) (vii). The existing right of way of F. P. llol to and use of one seat of privy in F. P. No. 1102, if any, is hereby retained.

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1084 | 4,363:00 | 1,30,890 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,30,890 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 1,96,335 | .. | +15,210 | 65,445 | 32,722 | .. | +47,932 | (G) |
| 1085 | 2,742:00 | 93,970 | $\begin{aligned} & 95,970 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 1,50,810 | $\cdots$ | $-34,760$ | 54,840 | 27,420 | .. | -7,340 |  |
| 1085-A | 3,892.00 | 1,36,220 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,36,220 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 2,14,060 | .. | $+10,220$ | 77,840 | 38,920 | .. | +49,140 | (a) |



| 1091 | $3,087 \cdot 00$ | 92,610 | 92,610 | $1,48,176$ | .. | $-32,160$ | 55,566 | 27,783 | .. | $-4,377$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $+\times 24$ | $1,309 \cdot 00$ | 30,107 | 30,107 | 45,815 | .. | $-37,578$ | 15,708 | 7,854 |


| 907 | 1,120.00 | 39,200 | 39,200 | 48,160 | .. | -19,5i0 | 8,960 | 4,480 | .. | -15,030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1094 | 2,137.00 | 64,110 | $\begin{array}{r} 64,110 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 96,165 | . | -19,403 | 32,055 | 16,027 | . | -3,376 |
| 1095 | 2,757:00 | 96,495 | $\begin{array}{r} 96,495 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,13,037 | .. | +2,671 | 16,542 | 8,271 | .. | $+10,942$ |
| 1099 | 455.00 | 13,650 | 13,650 | 19,110 | . | -15,125 | 5,460 | 2,730 | . | -12,395 |
| 1098 | 823:00 | 24,690 | $\begin{aligned} & 24,690 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 34,566 | . $\cdot$ | -36,780 | 9,876 | 4,938 | . | -31,842 |
| 1101 | 785:00 | 27,475 | $\begin{array}{r} 27,475 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 31,400 | . | +1,250 | 3,925 | ..(c) | .. | +1,250 |


(d) The existing right of way of F. P. 1101 to and une of one seat of privy in F. P. 1102, if any, is hereby retained. A-1499-21-B.

## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9-a)$ | $(9-b)$ | $(10-a)$ | $(10-b)$ | $(11)$ | $(12)$ | (13) | (14) | $(15)$ | (16) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1102. | $1,343-00$ | 36,261 | 63,261 <br> $+X$ | 42,976 | .. | -35 | 6,715 | 3,357 | .. | $+3,322$ | (d) |


| 1103 | 454.00 | 11,350 | $11,350$ | 14,982 | - | - | 3,632 | 1,816 | - | $+1,816$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1104 | 1,567.00 | 44,948 | 44,948 | 57,484 | - | +30 | 12,536 | 6,268 | - | +6,298 |


| 1105 | $2056 \cdot 67$ | 65,813 | $\begin{gathered} 65,813 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 76,097 | -• | - | 10,284 | 5,142 | - | +5,142 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1106 | 1,183.00 | 53,235 | $\begin{array}{r} 53,235 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 59,150 | - | -• | 5.915 | 2.957 | - | +2,957 |
| 1107 | 1,683-00 | 70,686 | $\begin{gathered} 70,686 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 79,101 | -• | -• | 8,415 | 4,207 | - | +4,207 |
| - | -• | -• | .. . | -• | -• | $\begin{gathered} 2,22,121 \\ (-) \end{gathered}$ | - | -• | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2,22,121 \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1109 | 498.00 | 22,410 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,410 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 24,900 | - | +1,802 | 2,490 | 1,245 | .. | +3,047 |
| 1110 | 584-28 | 26,293 | $\begin{gathered} 26,293 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 29,214 | -. | -• | 2,921 | 1,460 | - | +1,460 |
| 111 | 567.98 | 28,399 | $\begin{gathered} 28,399 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 31,239 | -• | - | 2,840 | 1,420 | -• | +1,420 |
| 1112 | 757.00 | 22,710 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,710 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 28,766 | - | +9,740 | 6,056 | 3,028 | - | +12,768 |
| 1113 | 98464 | 34,462 | $\begin{gathered} 34,462 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{gathered}$ | 39,385 | - | - | 4,923 | 2,461 | - | +2,461 |


| 1114 | 526.00 | 16,832 | $\begin{gathered} 16,832 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 22,092 | - | +92 | 5,260 | 2,630 | - | +2,722. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1120 | 2,266.00 | 58,916 | $\begin{gathered} 58,916 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 86,108 | - | $-21,558$ | 27,192 | 13,596 | . | -7,962 |  |
| 1115 | 510.00 | 11,220 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,220 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 15,300 | - | +2,838 | 4,080 | 2,040 | . | +4,878 |  |
| 1117 | 1,058.00 | 21,160 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,160 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 33,856 | -• | -7,271 | 12,696 | 4,761 | -• | -2,510 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1116 | 369.00 | 9,225 | 9,225 | 13,653 $\}$ | $\cdots$ | -6,806 | $4,428$ | $2,214\}$ | -• | -2,372 |  |
| 1093 | 296.00 | 11,840 | 11,840 | 16,280 |  |  | 8.868 | 4,434 |  |  |  |
|  | $665 \cdot 00$ | 21,065 | 21,065 | 29,933 |  |  | 8,868 | 4,434 |  |  |  |
| 1118 | 311.00 | 6,220 | 6,220 | 11,507 | -• | -2,860 | 5,287 | 2,643 | -• | -217 |  |

Town Planning Scheme


## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9-a)$ | $(9-b)$ | $(10-a)$ | $(10-b)$ | $(11)$ | $(12)$ | $(13)$ | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1119 | 445.00 | 9,790 | 9,790 <br> $+\times$ | 15,130 | $\ldots$ | $-1,576$ | 5,340 | 2,670 | $\ldots$ | $+1,094$ |  |


| 1121 | 2,792.85 | 1, $1,06,128$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,06,128 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | - 1,34,057 | - | - | 27,929 | 913,964 |  | +13,964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1122 | 1,129.00 | 39,515 | $\begin{array}{r} 39,515 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | - 45,160 | - | -168 | 5,645 | 2,822 |  | +2,654 |  |
| 1123 | 988.00 | 34,580 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,580 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 39,520 |  | -2,082 | 4,940 | 2,470 |  | +388 |  |
| J124 | 2,220.00 | 44,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 44,400 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | -66,600 | . | $+280$ | 22,200 | 0 11,100 |  | +11,380 |  |
| 1125 | 2,543.00 | 76,290 | $\begin{array}{r} 76,290 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,11,892 | $\cdots$ | -36,330 | 35,602 | 2 17,801 | -- | -18,529 |  |
| 1126 | 2,167.00 | 75,845 | $\begin{array}{r} 75,845 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 86,680 | - | -23,555 | 10,835 | $5 \quad 5,417$ | -• | -18,138 |  |
| 1127 | 1,235.00 | 37,050 | $\begin{array}{r} 37,050 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 55,575 | $\cdots$ | -31,100 | 18,525 | 9,262 | -• | -21,838 |  |
| 1128 | 1,824.00 | 72,960 | $\begin{array}{r} 72,960 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 85,728 | - | -27,360 | 12,768 | 6,384 | .. | -20,976 |  |
| \$129 | 994.00 | 44,730 | $\begin{array}{r} 44,730 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 50,694 | - | - 13,920. | . 5,964 | 42,982 | . | -10,938 |  |
| 1100 | 272.00 | $10,880$ | 10,880 | 13,600 | - | $+10,880$ | 2,720 | 1,360 | - | +12,240 | (a) |
| 708 | 3,251.00 | 81,275 | 81,275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 736 | 5,193.00 | 1,29,825 | 1,29,825 | 2,07,720 | $\because$ | $+40,637$ $+64,912^{\circ}$ | 48,765 77,895 | $12,191 \dagger$ $19,473+$ | , | $+52,828$ +84.385 | (b) |
| 746 | 5,588.00 | 1,67,640 | 1,67,640 | 2,79,400 | .. | $+83,820^{\circ}$ + | 1,11,760. | . $27,940+$ | .. | $+84,385$ $1,11,760$ | (c) |
| 773 | 3,764.00 | 94,100. | 194,100 | 1,50,560 | .. | +23,525*0 | - 56,460 | 7,057t+ | $\cdots$ | $1,30,582$ | (d) |
| 883 | $6,385.00$ $8,415.00$ | 1,59,625 | 1,59,625 | $2,55,400$ $4,62,825$ | . | +39,906 ${ }^{\circ}$ | - 95,775 | 11,971+ $\dagger$ | - | +51,877 | (f) |
| 883 | $8,415 \cdot 00$ $2,975 \cdot 00$ | 2,94,525 | 2,94,525 | 4,62,825 | - | +1,47,262 | 1,68,300 | 42,075 $\dagger$ | , | +1,89,337 | (g) |
| 937 939 | 1855 | . | . | . | . | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | .. | $\because$ | (h) |
| 939 | 2,059.00 | . | . | .. | .. | - .. | . | . | .. |  | (j) |
| 983 | 1,413.00 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | . | -• | . ${ }^{-}$ | (k) |
| 990 | 2,246.00 | - | . | .. | - | - | . | - | .. | .. | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 998 | 3,139.00 | -• | .. | .. | - | - | - | -• | - | .. | (m) |
| 999 | 1,448.00 | . | .. | . | .. | . | . | -• | .. |  | (n) |
| 1088 | 6,845.00 | 1,36,900 | 1,36,900 | 2,39,575 | - | 1,02,675*** | 1,02,675 | 38,503ttt | . | +1,41,178 | (o) |
| 1092 | 404.00 | .. |  |  | . | $(+){ }^{( }$ | .. | . | .. | . .. | (p) |
| 1097 | 5,552.00 | .. | . | . | . | .. | .. | . | -• | .. | (a) |
| 1108 | 3,675.00 | 1,28,625 | 1,28,625 | 1,58,025 | - | +64,312* | 29,400 | 7,350 + | . | +71,662 | (r) |
|  | 64,208.00 | 11,92,515 | 11,92,515 | 18,83,545 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 5,67,049 \\ & (+) \end{aligned}$ | $6,91,030$ | 1,66,560 | -• | $\begin{aligned} & 7,33,609 \\ & (+) . \end{aligned}$ | - |
|  | .. 2 | 2,67,51,209 2, | 2,68,25,366 3, | 3,65,12,713 | -• | $\stackrel{48,63,151}{97}$ | $37,61,504$ | $46,03,701$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,61,450 \\ (-) \end{gathered}$ |  |

(m) Site for School.
(n) Site for Recreation Ground.
(o) Site for Dhobi-ghat.
(p) Site of Vyayamshala and Sanitary Convenience.
(g) Site for Housing.
(r). Site for School and Recreation Ground.
-Incremental Contribution under Section 66 at half the percentage rate proposed for the whole scheme.

- Contribution under Section 67 at $1 / 4$ th the value of the land.
+ Incremental Contribution under Section 66 at $1 / 4$ th the percentage rate proposed for the whole Scheme.
*e Contribution under Section 67 at $3 / 4$ th the value of the land.
$\dagger \dagger$ Incremental Contribution under Section 66 at $3 / 4$ th the percentage rate proposed for the whole Scheme.
TOWN PLANNING SCHEME BOMBAY CITY No. IV (MAHIM AREA)
REDISTRIBUTION AND VALUATION STATEMENT
Section "C"
(Sr. No. 1172 to 1329)

| (1) | (2) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



[^23]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)



## Bombay City Na. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) ' | (8) | . | (9-a) | : (9-b) | (10-4) | (10-b) | ( 11$)$ | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : 1 |  | , | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Re. |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 1,147...1 | 289 |  | 10,693 | $\begin{array}{r} \cdot 10,693 \\ +X \end{array}$ | - 12,138 | -• | -472. | . 1,445 .. | 722 |  | $\because+250$ |  |
| $\cdots$ | * |  | - | - | - | - | -1 | - | $\cdots$ | - | $-10$ |  |
| 1,148 | 571.34 |  | 19,997 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,997 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 22,854 | - | $\cdots$ | 2,857 | 1,428 | - | +1,428 |  |



| 1,150 | 376 | 14,288 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,288 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 16,168 | - | +304 | 1,880 | 940 | - | +1,244 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,151 | 609 | 21,315 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,315 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 24,360 | - | -315. | 3,045 | 1;522 | $\cdots$ | +1,207t |
| I,152 | 463 | 16,205 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,205 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 18,520 | - | -268 | 2,315 | 1,157. | $\cdots$ | +889 |
| 1153 | 613 | 21,455 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,455 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 24,520 | - $\cdot$ | +2,773. | 3,065 | 1.532 | - | +4,305 |
| 1154 | 949 | 42,705 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,705 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 46,501 | $\cdots$ | -5,379 | 3,796 | . 1,898 | - | -3,481 |
| 1155 | 1,001-75 | 40,070 | 40,070 | 45,079 | - | - | 5,009 | 2,504 | - | +2,504 |
| 1156 | 401.80 | 16,072 | 16,072 | 18,081 | - | -• | 2,009 | 1,004 | $\because$ | +1,004 |


| 1157 | 562 | 22,480 | 22,480 | 26,976 | $\cdots$ | $-1,547$ | 4,496 | 2,248 | $\cdots$ | +701 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1158 | 2,052 | 61,560 | $\begin{array}{r} 61,560 \\ +\quad+\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 77,976 | . | -2,850 | 16,416 | 8,208 | - | +5,358 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1159 | $503 \ddagger$ | 12,575 | $\begin{array}{r} 15: 575 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 16,599 |  | +375 | 4,024 | 2,012 | $\because$ | +2,387 ${ }^{\circ} \ddagger$ |
| 1160 | 2,845§ | 85,350 | $85,350$ | 1,08,110 | . | +25,050 | 22,760 | 11,380 | -• | +36,4305 |



Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| () | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R. | R. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1161 | 1,887 | 75,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 75,480 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 84,915 | .. | -25,972 | 9,435 | 4,717 | . | -21,255 |  |



| . | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | $-2,422$ | .. | . | .• | -2,422 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,164 | 2,275-00 | 91,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 91,000 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 1,02,375 | .. | +660 | 11,375 | 5,687 | .. | +6,347 |
| 1,165 | 1,724-25 | 68,970 | $\begin{array}{r} 68,970 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 79,315 | . | -100 | 10,345 | 5,172 | -• | +5,072 |


| 1,166 | $10,664 \cdot 00$ | $3,73,240$ | $3,73,240$ | $4,37,224$ | . | -100 | 63,984 | 31,992 | . | $+31,892$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1.167 | 11,791.00 | 4,36,267 | 4,36,267 | 4,95,222 | $-2,165$ | 58,955 | 14,738* | $73^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1,169 1,168 | $2,179 \cdot 00$ $668 \cdot 00$ | 76,265 30,060 | $\begin{aligned} & 76,265 \\ & +\times \\ & 30,060 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 87,160 \\ 33 \cdot 400 \end{array}\right\}$ | . | -415 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,895 \\ 3,340 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 5,447 \\ 1,670 \end{array}\right\}$ | - | +6,702 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,847.00 | 1,06,325 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,06,325 \\ & +2 x \end{aligned}$ | 1,20,560 |  |  | 14,235 | 7,117 |  |  |
| 1,170-A | 1,172-4 | 46,896 | $\begin{aligned} & 46,896 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 53,930 | . | -715. | 7.034 | 3.517 | . | +2,802 |


| 1,170 | $1,549 \cdot 6$ |  | 61,984 | $\mathbf{6 1 , 9 8 4}$ | 71,282 | $\cdots$ | -25 | 9,298 | 4,649 | .. | $+4,624$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1,171 | 952.00 | 28,560 | 28,560 | $\mathbf{+ X}$ | 34,272 | $\cdots$ | . | .. | 5,712 | 2,856 | $\cdot$ | $+2,856$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Town Planning Scheme


## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim) Area




- Durgah. No Incremental Contribution under Section 32 (1) (vii).
t Existing right of way of F. P. 1197 and 1198 over F. P. Noa. 1194 and 1199 is here by retained.
$\ddagger$ Government of Maharashtra (Collector of Bombay) to be paid Rs. 67,147 for Government interest in the land on L. N. A. tenure admeasuring 3837 S . Yda. This property is affected by the Consent Decree, dated the 3rd April 1951 passed in the Court of Judicature at Bombay in O. O. C. J. Suit No. 992 of 1949 in which M/s Khimji Poonja and Company, were the plaintiffs in reapect of their claim of Ra. 2,77,620-7-0.


## §Passages.

I Compensation for loss in ground rent payable to Government for land acquired from various plots for purposes of the Scheme such as road widening, public sites etc.
|| Contribution to be paid by Lessor 60.35 per cent.
Contribution to be paid by Lessee 39.65 per cent.
Lessor $=+190$, Lessee $=+125$.
On the termination of the Lease from ist February 1975 as per Court's Order, F.P. 1197 is allowed to be amalgamated with F.P. 1194.
Existing right of way of F.P. 1197 and 1198 over F.P. I 194 and 1199 is hercby retained.
${ }^{\bullet \bullet}$ Contribution to be paid by Lessor $60 \cdot 35$ per cent.
Contribution to be paid by Lessee 39.65 per cent.
Lessor $=+193$, Lessee $=+127$.
On the termination of the Lease from lst February 1975 as per Court's order, F.P. 1198 is allowed to be amalgamated with F.P. 1199.

Existing right of way of F.P. 1197 and 1198 over F.P. 1194 and 1199 is hereby retained.
t† Existing right of way of F. P. 1197 and I! 98 over F. P. 1194 and 1199 is hereby retained.
$\ddagger \ddagger$ Lessor $=+8,000$, Lessee $\Rightarrow$ Nil.

Bombay City No. IV (Mahim area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-2) | (10-b) | (II) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 1191 | 1,006.00 | 45,270 | $\begin{array}{r} 45,270 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 50,300 | .. $\cdot$ | . | 5,030 | 2,515 | .. | +2,515 |  |
| 1192 | 435.00 | 14,355 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,355 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 18,705 | . | -517 | 4,350 | .. $*$ | -• | -517 | - |
| 1193 | 3,771.00 | 94,275 | $\begin{array}{r} 94,275 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,39,527 | . | -5,950 | 45,252 | 22,626 | . | +16,676 |  |
| 1194 | 3,633:00 | 90,825 | $\begin{array}{r} 90,825 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,34,421 | .. | -8,625 | 43,596 | 21,798 | .. | +13,173 | $\dagger$ |
| - | .' | -• | . | -• | -• | $-3,61,743$ | . | .. | +67,147 $\ddagger$ | $-2,94,596$ | $\pm$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| 1196 | 1,972.00 | 59,160 | 59,160 | 82,824 | . | +17,280 | 23,664 | 11,832 | .. | +29,112 |  |
| - | -• | - | - | . | -• | $-26,863$ | -• | . | .. | -26,863 | 5 g |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1197 | 63.00 | 1,260 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,260 \\ & +\mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | 1,890 | -• | - | 630 | 315 | - .. | +315U |  |
| 1198 | $64 \cdot 00$ | 1,280 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,280 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,920 | -• | - | 640 | 320 | .. | $+320{ }^{\circ}$ | ** |
| 1199 | 1,728-00 | 51-840 | $\begin{array}{r} 51,840 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 72,576 | .. | -3,900 | 20,736 | 10,368 | . | +6,468 | $\dagger$ |
| 1203 | 2,000-00 | 50,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 50,000 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 66,000 | .. | -• | 16,000 | 8,000 | .. | +8,000t! |  |
| 1200 | 630 | 18,900 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,900 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 26,460 | .. | -• | 7,560 | 3,780 | . | +3,780 |  |

Town Planning Scheme


[^24]
## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | $(10 \mathrm{~b})$ | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1201 | 428 | 14,980 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,980 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 21,400 | $\cdots$ | -5,095 | 6,420 | 3,210 | - | $-1,885^{\circ}$ | - |
| 1202 | 2,750 | 82,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 82,500 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,10,000 | -• | -2,010 | 27,500 | 13,750 | -• | +11,740+ | $\dagger$ |
| 1204 | 14,220 | 3,55,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,55,500 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 4,40,820 | - | -7,775 | 85,320 | 42,660 | - | $+34,885$ |  |
| 1205 | 3,401 | 1,19,035 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,19,035 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 1,29,238 | $\cdots$ | - | 10,203 | 5,101 | - | +5,101 |  |
| 1206 | 3,377•00 | 1,18,195 | $\begin{gathered} 1,18,195 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ | 1,28,326 | - | -2,075 | 10,131 | 5,065 | - | +2,990 |  |
| 1207 | 4,509.00 | 1,57,815 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,57,815 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,84,869 | - | -12,721 | 27,054 | 13,527 | -• | $+806$ |  |
| 1208 | 2,746.00 | 1,01,602 | $\begin{gathered} 1,01,602 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 1,29,062 | $\bullet$ | 3,552 | 27,460 | 13,730 | - | +10,178. |  |
| 1210 | 8,902.00 | 2,84,864 | $\begin{gathered} 2,84,864 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 4,00,590 | - | +5,504 | 1,15,726 | 57,863 | - | +63,367 |  |
| 1211 | 20,187.00 | 6,05,610 | $\begin{gathered} 6,05,610 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 8,47,854 | - | -53,838 | 2,42,244 | 1,21,122 | -• | +67,284 |  |
| 1215 | 4,537.00 | 1,36,110 | $\begin{gathered} 1,36,110 \\ +X \\ 3,500 \ddagger \end{gathered}$ | 1,90,554 | - | +18,098 | 54,444 | 27,222 | -• | +45,320 | $\ddagger$ |
| - | - | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} 1,39,610 \\ +\mathrm{x} \end{array} \\ & \hline . \end{aligned}$ | - | -• | -7,850 | - | -• | - | -7,8505 |  |

Town Planning Scheme


Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)


Town Planning Scheme


## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)



| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. | Rs. |
| 1282 | Sardar Bahadur Bakshi, Dilip Singh .. .. .. | P. \& T. | IA/1043 | .. $101=A$ | 530.00 | 18,550 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,550 \\ \mathbf{+ X} \end{array}$ |
| 1283 | Bengal Chemical and Pharmaceutical Works Limited .. | M. L. .. | 1589 | .. 102 | 8,361-1 | 3,76,249 | $\begin{gathered} 3,82,249 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ |
| 1284. | Smt. Tehaminabai, wife of Bomanshah Sorabji Moogashet | P. \& T. | 2 | .. 103 | $260-00$ | 11,700 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,700 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |
| 1285 | Dhanjishah Burjurji Daruwala .. .. .. .. | P. \& T. | 3 | .. 104 | 722.00 | 25,270 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,270 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 1286 | Sterling Investment Corporation Limited .. | P. \& T. | 4 | .. 105 | 927.00 | 32,445 | 32,445. |
| 1287 | 1. Manmohandas Vithaldas Shah <br> 2. Dr. Chamanlal Maneklal Mehta. | P. \& T. | 6 | .. 106 | 3,668.00 | 91,700 | $\begin{gathered} 97,900 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1288 | Arun Jaswantlal (Lessor) $\quad$ Anglo-French Drug Company (Eastern) ${ }^{\text {Limited }}$ Anglo-French Drug Company (Eastern) Limited (Lessee). | P. \& T. | 7 | $\cdots .107$ | 1,751-22 | 43,780 | $\begin{array}{r} 43,780, \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 1289 | I. Kamlakar Dinanath Mantri .. <br> 2. Ravindranath Kamlakar Mantri. | P. \& T. | 1/7 | .. 108 | 1,751-22 | 38,527 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,175 . \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 1290 | I. Dattaram Sudam Shete <br> 2. Ravindra Sudam Shete. | P. \& T. | 8 | .. 109 | 2,951•00 | 69,953 | $\begin{array}{r} 71,453: \\ +2 x \end{array}$ |


| 1291 | 1. Smt. Gangabai, widow of Balkrishna Satvaji Shete <br> 2. Rajaram Balkrishna Shete, <br> 3. Damodar Balkrishna Shete, <br> 4. Sharad Rajaram Balkrishna Shete. | P. \& T. | 1/8 | .. 110 | 2,031.00 | 50,775 | $\begin{array}{r} 50,775 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1292 | 1. Bhiva Satwaji Shete <br> 2. Sitaram Bhiva Shete, <br> 3. Waman Bhiva Shete, <br> 4. Gopinath Bhiva Shete. | P. \& T. | 2/8 | .. 111 | 2,050-00 | 45,100 | $\begin{array}{r} 45,100 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 1293 | Kemp and Company, Limited .. .. .. | P. \& T. | 9 | .. 112 | 7,152.00 | 1,78,800 | 1,78,800 |
| 1294 | 1. Linganna Puttal Pujari .. .. .. .. | P. \& T. | 35 |  |  |  | +X |
|  | 2. Shrimati Narsubai Linganna Pujari. - | P.\&T. | 35 | -. 113 | 2,302•00 | 46,040 | $\begin{gathered} 48,869 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1295 | The Trustees of the Deed of Settlement, dated 3rd November 1934 of Shrimati Gangubai w/o Anantrao Damodar Devare (Fazandar). Markand Hiroba Kolekar (Holder). | P. \& T. | 37 | .. 114 | $223 \cdot 00$ | 5,575 | 13,410 |
| 1296 | The Trustees of the Deed of Settlement, dated 3rd November 1934 of Shrimati Gangubai, w/o Anantrao Damodar Devare (Fazandar). <br> Vasant Balaram Mhatre (Holder). | P.\&T. .. | 38 | .. 115 | $243 \cdot 00$ | 6,075 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,075 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| 1297 | 1. Shrimati Gulab Anantrao Kolatkar .. <br> 2. Prabhakar Anantrao Kolatkar. | P.\&T. .. | 39 | .. 117 | 499.00 | 17,465 | 17,465 $+X$ |
|  | The Trustees of the Deed of Settlement, dated 3rd November 1934 of Shrimati Gangubai, w/o Anantrao Damodar Devare. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.\&TT. . . } \\ & \text { P.\&T: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 40 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .: 18 \\ & \because \quad 116 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 393.00 \\ 75.00 \end{array}$ | 13,665 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,065 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | tal | 468.00 |  |  |

[^25]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |
| 1238 | 530.00 | 18,550 | $\begin{aligned} & 18,550 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 23,850 | .. | $\because$ | 5,300 | 2,650 | .. | +2,650 |  |
| 1,239 | 7,734:00 | 3,48,030 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,48,030 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 4,25,370 | .. | -34,219 | 77,340 | 38,670 | . | +4,451 |  |
| 1,240 | 253.00 | 11,385 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,385 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 12,650 | . | -315 | 1,265 | 632 | .. | +317 |  |
| 1,241 | 711.00 | 24,885 | $\begin{aligned} & 24,885 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 28,440 | -• | -385 | 3,555 | 1,777 | . | +1,392 |  |
| 1,242 | 947.00 | 33,145 | 33,145 | 35,986 | - | +700 | 2,841 | 1,420 | .. | +2,120 |  |
| 1,243 | 3,333.00 | 83,325 | $\begin{array}{r} 83,325 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,16,655 | . $\cdot$ | -14,575 | 33,330 | 16,665 | $\because$ | +2,090 |  |
| 1,244 | 1,941-00 | 48,525 | $\begin{array}{r} 48,525 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 67,935 | . | +4,745 | 19,410 | 9,705 | . | +14,450* |  |
| 1,245 | 1,456.00 | 32,032 | $\begin{array}{r} 32,032 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 53,872 | $\cdots$ | -10,143 | 21,840 | 10,920 | -• | +777 |  |
| 1,247 | 1,548.00 | 34,056 | 34,056 |  | -• | $-1,472$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 11,610 \\ 8385 \end{array}\right\}$ | -• | +18,523 |  |
| 1,248 | 1,677.00 | 41,825 | $\begin{aligned} & 41,925 \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 58,695 |  |  | 16,770 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,225.00 | 75,981 | $\begin{gathered} 75,981 \\ +2 \mathrm{x} \end{gathered}$ | 1,15,971 |  |  | 39,990 | 19,995 |  | , |  |
| 1,249 | 1,896.00 | 47,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 47,400 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 66,360 | -• | -3,375 | 18,960 | 9,480 | -• | +6,105 |  |
| 1,250 | 1,745-00 | 38,390 | $\begin{array}{r} 38,390 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 64,565 | - | $-6,710$ | 26,175 | 13,087 | - | +6,377 |  |
| 1,251 | 6,786.00 | 1,69,650 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,69,650 \\ +\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ | 2,51,082 | .. ${ }^{\prime}$ | -9,150 | 81,432 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 40,716 | - | +31,566 |  |
| 1252 | 2,103.00 | 42,060 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,060 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 73,605 | .. | -6,809 | 31,545. | 15,772 | - | +8,963 |  |
| - | -• | -• | - | -• | - | $-13,410$ | - | -• | -• | -13,4104. |  |
| 1253 | 399.00 | 9,975 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9,975 } \\ & +\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | 13,167 | - | +3,900 | 3,192 | 1,5\% | $\cdots$ | 5,496 |  |
| 1254 | 325.00 | 11,375 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,375 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 13,975 | -• | -6,090 | 2,600 | 1,300 | $\cdots$ | -4,790 |  |
| 1255 | 587:00 | 17,610 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,610 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 23,480 | -. | +3,345 | 5,870 | 2,935 | - | +6,480 |  |

Town Planning Scheme

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (3-a) | (4) | (5) | (6-a) | (6-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. | Rs. |
| 1299 | Shrimati Radhadevi Brijratan Mohatta.. .. .. | P.8\%T. . . | 41 | .. 119 | 4,724.00 | 94,480 | $\begin{gathered} 1,20,131 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ |
| 1300 | The Vicar and Wardens of The Church N. S. D. Salvacao. | P.\&T. .. | 40 | .. 120 | 2,853.00 | 71,325 | 72,155 |
| 1301 | 1. Placido Hilarion Henriques <br> 2. The Vicar of the Church of N. S. D. Salvacao. <br> 3. Joseph Francis Rodriques. <br> 4. Dominic Pascal Luis (Trustees). | P.\&T. .. | 42 | .. 121 | 6,946•00 | 1,94,488 | $\begin{gathered} 1,94,488 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Placido Hilarion Henriques .. <br> 2. The Vicar of the Church of N. S. D. SalvacaO <br> 3. Joseph Francis Rodriques. <br> 4. Dominic Pascal Luis, Trustees (Fazandars). <br> 1. Shrimati Laxmibai Narayan Patil. <br> 2. Murari Jagannath Surve. <br> 3. Gopal Jagannath Surve (Holders). | P.\&T. .. | 1/42 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text {. } \\ \because .121-A \\ 121-B\end{array}\right\}$ | . $144 \cdot 00$ | 4,032 | 7,032 |
| $1303$ | I. Shrimati Valiabai alias Vilaibai, widow of Shah Shodhumal. <br> 2. Dhanji Waghji, <br> 3. Ravji Velji, <br> 4. Jesang Khimji, <br> 5. Nathu Anand, <br> The Trustees of the Deed of Settlement, dated 30th November 1937 of Smt. Valiabai, widow of Shah Shodhumal. | M. L. .. | 1521 | .. 122 | $524 \cdot 00$ | 20,960 | 20,960 |
| 1304 | The Vicar and Wardens of the Church of N.S.D.Salvacao | P. \& T. | 29 | . 123 | 5,298.00 | 1,16,556 | $1,16,556$ $+\mathbf{X}$ |
| $1305$ | 1. Atmaram Vishwanath Todankar .. .. ... <br> 2. Vithal Vishwanath Todankar. | P. \& T. | 34 | . ., 124 | 5,086-00 | -1,01,720 | $1,08,275$ +X |
|  | Mohan Ramchandra Dhuru .. .. . .. . | 'P. \& T. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 10 | .. 125 | 10,412.00 | 2,08,240 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,15,474 \\ +3 X \end{array}$ |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -. . . i |  |  | - - |  |  |  |
| 1307 | Mohan Ramchandra Dhuru (Lessor $) \quad . \quad \therefore \quad$.. P. \& T.Laxman Anant Chanderker (Lessee). |  | 1/10 | .. 125-A | 1,022.00 | 20,440 | 20,440 $+X$ |
| $1308$ | Mohan Ramchandra Dhuru (Lessor) Anantrai Vallabhdas Bhuta (Lessee) | P. \& T. | 2/10. | .. 125/B | '1,000.00 | 20,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 20,000 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| $1309$ | Mohan Ramchandra Dhuru (Lessor) <br> 1. Harjiwandas Narsinhbhai Gohil, <br> 2. Keshavlal Narsinhbhai Gohil, <br> 3. Bhagwandas Narsinhbhai Gohil, <br> 4. Shantilal Narsinhbhai Gohil, <br> 5. Prabhudas Narsinhbhai Gohil, <br> (Trading in name and style of Industrial Iron Work) (Ľessees). | P. \& T. | 3/10 | .. 125-C | $974 \cdot 00$ | 19,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,480 \\ +X \end{array}$ |
| - Value of the structure belonging to the Fazandari holder. <br> $\dagger$ Fazandars $=-120$, Holders $=-6,912$. <br> t.Contribution to be paid by Lessor 53.1 per cent. |  |  |  | Lessee 46.9 p |  |  |  |
|  | essor to pay net contribution as per agreement between Lessor $=+10,000, \quad$ Lessee $=$ Nil. <br> essor to pay net contribution as per agreement between Lessor $=+9,740$, Lessee $=$ Nil. 1499-24-B | the partics. the parties. | - |  |  |  |  |

## Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| (7) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (1) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| 1259 | 3,920.00 | 78,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 78,400 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 1,37,200 | . | -41,731 | 58,800 | 29,400 | . | -12,331 |  |
| - | . | .. | . | . | . | -72,155 | - | - | . | -72,155 |  |
| 1260 | 6,244:00 | 1,74,832 | $\begin{gathered} 1,74,832 \\ +X, X \\ 3 ; 000^{\bullet} \end{gathered}$ | 2,37,272 | . | -16,656 | 62,440 | 31,220 | . | +14,564 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{3}{1,77,832}+\mathbf{X} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -• | $\therefore$ | - | -• | -• | - | -7,032 | - | - | -• | -7,032 $\dagger$ |  |
| 1,258 | 542:00 | 18,970 | 18,970 | 23,306 | .. | -1,990 | 4,336 | 2,168 | . | + 178 |  |


| 1,261 | $4,605 \cdot 00$ | 1,01,310 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,01,310 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,61,175 | - | -15,246 | 59,865 | 29,932 | - | +14,686. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,262 | 3,681-00 | 73,620 | $\begin{array}{r} 73,620 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 1,17,792 | - | -34,655 | 44,172 | 22,086 | - | -12,569 |
| 1,263 | 2,564:00 | 51,280 | 51,280 $+\mathbf{X}$ | 89,740 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 38,460 \\ & 44,620 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 19,230 \\ 22,310 \end{array}\right\}$ |  | -35,314 |
| 1,264 | 2,231.00 | 44,620 | 44,620 +X | 89,240 $\}$ | - | -91,094 |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 22,310 \\ 14,240 \end{array}\right\}$ | . | -35,314 |
| 1,265 | 1,424.00 | 28,480. | $\begin{array}{r} 28,480 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 56,960 |  |  | 28,4 |  |  |  |
|  | 6,219.00 | 1,24,380 | $\begin{gathered} 1,24,380 \\ +3 x \end{gathered}$ | 2,35,940 |  |  | 1,11,560 | 55,780 |  |  |
| 1,268 | 1,022.00 | 20,440. | $\begin{array}{r} 20,440 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 40,880 | - | -• | 20,440 | 10,220 | -• | +10,220t |
| 1,267 | 1,000.00 | 20,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 20,000 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 40,000 | - | - | 20,000 | 10,000 | - | +10,000§ |
| 1,266 | 974-00 | 19,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,480 \\ +\mathbf{x} \end{array}$ | 38,960 | - | - | 19,480 | 9,740 | -• | +9,7409 |




1314 Trustees under the deed of Settlement, dated ist Octo- P. \& T. $11 \begin{array}{llllllll}68,968\end{array}$ ber 1958 ot Jayanand Krishnanath Ambernath Kirtiker (Lessors).
M. S. Pandir, (Lessee).


[^26]Bombay City No. IV (Mahim Area)

| ( 7 ) | (8) | (9-a) | (9-b) | (10-a) | (10-b) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,991.00 | 1,27,712 | $\begin{gathered} 1,27,712 \\ +X \end{gathered}$ | 1,49,467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1271 \dagger$ | 3,449-00 | 1,10,368 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,10,368 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 1,29,413 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1246 1256 | 629.00 6000 | 13,838 18,000 | 13,838 18,000 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}23,273 \\ 24,000\end{array}\right\}$ | $\cdots$ | +1,32,828 | 1,09,621 | 54,810 | .. | +1,87,638 | $\bullet$ ' |
| 1257 | 660.010 | 18,000 | 18000 | 22,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1269 | 2,858.00 | 57,160 | 57,160 | 1,05,746 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12,127.00 | 3,45,078 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,45.078 \\ & +20 \end{aligned}$ | 4,54,699 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1273 | 2,026.00 | 40,520 | $\begin{aligned} & 40,520 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 70,910 | - | -23,960 | 30,390 | 15:195 | .. | -8.765 |  |
| 1274 | 2,937.00 | 58,740 | $\begin{aligned} & 58,740 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | 1,02,795 | .. | -4,034 | 44,055 | 22,027 | . | +17,993 |  |
| 1275 | 4,968.00 | 99,360 | 99,360 | 1,73,880 | . | -22,088 | 74,520 | 37,260 $\}$ | - | $+21,736$ |  |
| 1276 | 1,094•00 | 21,880 | $\begin{aligned} & +\lambda, 8 \\ & 21,880 \\ & +X \end{aligned}$ | $35,008\}$ |  |  | 13,128 | 0,564 |  |  |  |
|  | 6,062.00 | 1,21,240 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,21,240 \\ & +2 \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | 2,08,838 |  |  | 87,648 | 43,824 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1277 \\ & { }_{1280} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | 1,383.00 | 27,660 | 27,660 | 41,490 | . | -28,968 | 13,830 |  | - | +18,968 $\dagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
|  | 617.00 | 12,340 | 12,340 | 18,510 $\}$ |  |  | 6,170 | 3,085 |  |  |  |
|  | 2,000.00 | 40,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 40,000 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 60,000 |  |  | 20,000 | 10,000 |  |  |  |
| 1278 | 487.00 | 9.740 | 9,740 | 13,636 | -• | $+1,767$ | 3,896 | 1.948 | - | $\div 3.715{ }^{\circ}$ | § |


| 1279 | $464 \cdot 00$ | 9,280 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,280 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 12,992 | - | $-1,214$ | 3,712 | 1,856 | . | $+642$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1281 | 2,447.00 | 53,834 | $\begin{array}{r} 53,834 \\ +\mathrm{X} \end{array}$ | 78,304 | -• | $+1,140$ | 24,470 | 12,235 | - | +13,375 |
| 1282 | 2,532.00 | 55,704 | $\begin{array}{r} 55,704 \\ 1+X \end{array}$ | 81,024 | -• | -3,036 | 25,320 | 12,660 | - | +9,624 |
| 1283 | 1,256.00 | 25,120 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,120 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 37,680 | - | $-1,480$ | 12,560 | 6,280 | - | $+4,800$ |
| 1284 | 1,268.00 | 25,360 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,360 \\ +X \end{array}$ | 38,040 | - | $-1,240$ | 12,680 | 6,340 | $\cdots$ | $+5,100$ |
| 1285 | 2,381•00 | 59,525 | 59,525 | 88,097 | - | $-22,725$ | 28,572 | 14,286 | - | -8,439 |

Town Planning Scheme:


[^27]
## Bómbay City No.IV (Mahim Ȧrea)


(1) All rights of mortgagors and mortgagees, if any, existing in the original plots are hereby transferred to their corresponding final! plots.
(2) All rights of Lessors and Lessees in the original plots are hereby transferred to their corresponding final plots irrespective of change in areas except where specifically provided otherwise.
(3) Agreements in respect of original plots between the owner on the one part and the Local Authority or the Government on the otherpart are hereby transferred to the corresponding final plots, subject to minor modifications duc to alterations in area.
(4) All rights of passages hitherto existing over any area included in the final plot of the scheme except those enjoyed by the fazandariholdings, Final Plot Nos. 601 to 624 and except those specifically retained in the Final Scheme are hercby extinguished.
(5) The tenures of all original plots are hereby transferred to the corresponding final plots, provided that, if an original plot is composed of separate areas on different tenures, the corresponding final plot allotted in substitution thereof, will also be subject to the same different tenures, in the same proportion of areas except where specifically provided otherwise in the final scheme.
(6) All rights of fazandars and holders in the original plots comprising the fazandari holdings are hercby transferred over an cquivalent area of their corresponding final plots except where specifically provided otherwise.
(7) The final plots allotted under the scheme for public or Municipal purposes except Final Plot No. 570 in Section ' $A$ ' shall vest in theLocal Authority and that body shall utilise them for the specific purposes mentioned against cach in Column No. 16 of Form 'B'. F. P. No. 570 in Section ' $A$ ' shall vest in the Government of Maharashtra for a cattle pound.
(8) Strips of land in Final Plot Nos. 241, 326, 471, 488, 498, 506, 524, 530,543,545, 590 and 601 of Scetion 'A'; 801, 838, 914, 1032,. 1033, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1068, 1069, 1070 and 1071 of Section ' $B$ '; 1176 and ' 1218 of Section ' $C$ ' marked in red dotted lines on Plan Nos. 3 and 4 accompanying the scheme are reserved for future widening of roads for a period of 20 years. The land soreserved shall remain in the ownership of the respective owners till they are taken over by the Local Authority, but no additions. or alterations to the existing structures thereon (except the usual annual repairs) shall be allowed. The Local Authority, when it desires to take up the construction of roads for which la nd is so reserved, may take possession of the strips of land by giving oriemonth's notice in writing to the owner or owners concerned. The compensation to be paid shall be fixed by mutual agrecment or under the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894.
(9) Strips of road land in front of Final Plot Nos. 1084 and 1085 of Section ' $B$ ' and Final Plot No. 1140 of Scetion ' $C$ ' marked in red' dotted lines on Plan Nos. 3 and 4 accompanying the scheme may be sold hy the Local Authority to the respective owners for being amalgamated with their plots.
(10) All alterations in the house connections of water-supply, drainage, electricity, gas and telephone which would be necessitated as a result of the T. P. Scheme shall be carried out at the cost of the Local Authority. In the event of a difference of opinion on the question, if the alterations are necessitated as a result of the T. P. Scheme, the decision of the Municipal Commissioncr shall' be final.

## Bombay:

The 28th February 1962.

## G. J. DESAI,

Arbitrator.

# TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY CITY No. IV (FINAL SCHEME) (MAHIM AREA) 

## FORM ' $C$ '-FINANCE OF THE SCHEME

(Rules 17 and 29)


Total of increments (Col.' 12 of Form B). Rs. 2,22,45,263.
Proportion of increment to be contributed by each holder (Section 66) 50 per cent. (Rebates granted in $a$ few cases as shown in column 16 of Form B ).
(b) Total of the contributions under section 66 .. .. .. ... .. .. .. .. .. $1,07,03,121$
Net Cost of Scheme to Local Authority (a)-(b) .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. $\overline{1,15,35,324}$

Bombay:
The 28th February 1963.


> Arbitrator, Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. IV (Final Scheme), (Mahim Area)


## TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY CITY No. IV (FINAL SCHEME) ( MAHIM AREA)



## TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY GITY No. IV (FINAL) (MAHIM AREA)

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATES FOR ROADS, STORM-WATER DRAINS AND SEWERS
(As per 1961-62 rates.)


ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATES FOR ROADS, STORM-WATER DRAINS AND SEWERS—cont.
(As per 1961-62 rates.)

|  | $\underbrace{\text { Road Making }}$ |  |  |  | Storm- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serial Road No. Widthr <br> No. on plan of road in feet | Description of road work | Length of road in feet | Cost per $100 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{ft}$. Rs. | Amount Rs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { drain } \\ & \text { Amount } \\ & \text { Rs. } \end{aligned}$ | Sewerage Amount Rs. | Total Amount Rs. |


| $(1)$ | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATES FOR ROADS, STORM-WATER DRAINS AND SEWERS-cont.

(As per 1961-62 rates.)

| Road Making |  |  |  |  |  | Stormwater drain Amount Rs. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Seriat } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | on plan of road in feet | Description of road work | Length of road in feet | Cost per $100 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{ft}$. Rs. | Amount Rs. |  | Sewerage Amount Rs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Amount } \\ \text { Rs. } \end{gathered}$ |

(1)
(2) (3)
(4)
(5) (6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)

Section (B)-cont.


- For pedestrians only.

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATES FOR ROADS, STORM-WATER DRAINS AND SEWERS.-iont.
(As per 1961-62 rates.)

| Serial No. | Road No on plan | Width of road in feet | Road Making |  |  |  |  | Sewerage Amount Rs. | Total Amount Rs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description of road work | Length of road in feet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost per } \\ & 100 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ Rs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & \text { Rs. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |


| Section (B)-cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 70 | 40 | Concrete road carriageway to be $26^{\prime}$ and paved footpath $7^{\prime}$ wide. | 125 | 9,311 | 11,639 | .. | .. | 11,639 |
| 39 | 78 | 40 | Do. .. | 935 | 9,311 | 87,058 | 15,310 | .. | 1,02,368 |
| 40 | 79 | 40 | Do. | 145 | 9,311 | 13,501 | 5,881 | .. | 19,382 |
| 41 | 76 | 40 | Do. | 520 | 9,311 | 48,417 | 30,397 | .. | 78,814 |
| 42 | 75 | 40 | Do. | 375 | 9,311 | 34,916 | 12,547 | .. | 47,463 |
| 43 | 89 | 40 | Do. | 740 | 9,311 | 68,901 | 23,918 | .. | 92,819 |
| 44 | 86 | 40 | Do. | 380 | 9,311 | 35,382 | 6,244 | .. | 41,626 |
| 45 | 85 | 40 | Do. | 155 | 9,311 | 14,432 | 4.313 | 3,761 | 22,506 |
| 46 | 92 | 40 | Do. | 935 | 9,31I | 87,058 | 13,688 |  |  |
| 47 | 94 | 40 | Do. | 1,020 | 9,311 | 94,972 | 44,719 | 33,377 | 1,73,068 |
| 48 | 96 | 40 | Do. | 755 | 9,311 | 70,298 | 30,192 | 23,975 | 1,24,465 |
| 49 | 111 | 40 | Do. | 1.050 | 9,311 | 97,765 | 45,086 | 41,839 | 1,84,690 |
| 50 | 107 | 40 | Do. | 415 | 9,311 | 38,641 | 14,116 | 18,804 |  |
| 51 | 115 | 40 | Do. | 910 | 9,311 | 84,730 | 35,620 |  |  |
| 52 | 127 | 40 | Do. | 780 | 9,311 | 72,626 | 34,018 |  |  |
| 53 | 128 | 40 | Do. | 750 | 9,311 | 69,832 | 29,015 | ,282 | 1,17,926 |
| 54 | 120 | 40 | Do. | 200 | 9,311 | 18,622 | 9,015 | 9,402 | 1.08,249 |
| 55 | 125 | 40 | Do. | 335 | 9.311 |  | 11.763 | $\cdots$ | 8,6 |
| 56 | 126 | 40 | Do. | 550 |  |  | 11,763 | . | 42,955 |
| 57 | 123 | 40 | Do. | 850 | 9,311 | 51,210 | 20,389 | . | 71,599 |
| 58 | 121 | 50 |  | 80 | 9,311 | 7,449 | 10,195 | 1,880 | 19,524 |
| 59 | 68 | 60 | 30' paved footpath to be $10^{\prime}$ wide. | 1,290 | 11,339 | 1,46,273 | 45,881 | 19,830 | 2,11.984 |
| 60 | 102 | 80 | Concrete road with $36^{\prime}$ carriageway and $12^{\prime}$ footpath. | 255 | 13,451 | 34,300 | 4,022 | . | 38,322 |
| 61 | 102 98 | 80 80 | Concrete road with $48^{\prime}$ carriageway and $16^{\prime}$ footpath. | 850 | 20,016 | 1,70,136 | 13,428 | . | 1,83,564 |
| 62 | 98 117 | 80 130 | Do. | 3,960 | 20,016 | 7,92,634 | 1,37,577 | 98,843 | 10,29,054 |
| 62 | 117 | 130 | Concrete road with $85^{\circ}$ carriageway, $5^{\prime}$ central hedge and $20^{\prime}$ footpath. | 1.215 | 33,773 | 4,10,342 | 36,744 | 57,940 | 5,05,026 |
| 63 | 119 |  | Paving the widened portions of carriageways and for footpath. | Area in s. ft. 4,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 90 | . | Do. | 7,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | 95 | . |  | $6,000\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Tutal .. | $\begin{array}{r} 17.200 \\ \text { s.ft. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\text { Rs. } 2 \cdot 50$ per sft. | 43,000 | -• | . | 43,000 |
| 66 | $\cdots$ |  | Shifting kerbs and providing new kerbs wherever necessary meeting cambers and levels of old and new road surfaces and re-institing surfeces wherever necessary. | $\because$ | . | 10,000 | $\cdots$ | . | 10,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | tal | 30,53,696 | 8,83,409 | 4,82,882 | 44,19,987 |

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATES FOR ROADS, STORM-WATER DRAINS AND SEWERS.-cont.



Bombay :
The 28th February 1963.


# TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY CITY No. IV (FINAL SCHEME) (MAHIM AREA) 

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATE FOR WATER SUPPLY



Bombay :
The 28th February 1963.


Arbitrator, Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. IV (Final Scheme).
(Mahim Area).

TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY CITY No. IV (FINAL SCHEME) (MAHIM AREA)

ABSTRAGT OF ESTIMATES FOR LIGHTING

-.

The 28th February 1963.

> Arbitrator,
> Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. IV (Final Scheme), (Mahim Area)


A-1499-26-A.
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# TOWN PLANNING SCHEME, BOMBAY GITY No. IV (FINAL SGHEME) (MAHIM AREA) 

## DECISIONS OF THE BOARD OF APPEAL

1. Shri Jal Rustamji Vimadalal, B.A. (Hons)., LL.B., Judge, Bombay City Civil Court and Additional Sessions Judge, Greater Bombay, was appointed President of the Board of Appeal for Cown Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. IV (Nahim Area), by Government Notification. Urban Development and Public Health Dpartment, No. TPB-1361-M, dated 19th March 1962. The Town Planning Officer Shri G. J. Desai who had been appointed Arbitrator in respect thereof on 14th March 1955 under the Old Bombay Town Planning Act, 1915, by his letter dated 27th March 1962 informed the President about the publication of the Final Scheme by him. The appointment of Shri G. J. Desai was continued in force by virtue of the provisions of section 90 (2) ot the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954 (Bombay Act No. XXVII of 1955), which came into force on lst April 1957. Under sub-section (4) of section 90 all references to the Town Planning Officer in the new Act are to be read as references to an arbitrator appointed under the old Act whose appointment was continued under subsection (2) thereof. On 23rd April 1962 the President appointed (1) Shri G. A. Kamtekar, B.A.. B.Sc. (Bom.), B.Sc. (Edin.). M.I.E. and (2) Shri H. N. Kanga. B.Sc. (Edin.), A.M., Inst., C.F.. A.M.I.E., as Assessors under section 35 (3) of the present Act.
2. The Scheme, which is the largest in the Citv and its Suburbs both from the point of view of area as well as cost ever since the enactment of the old Bombay Town Planning Act. 1915. covers an area of about 623 acres in Mahim which has been carved out into 1,303 Final Plots, and the whole area of the Scheme has been divided into three Sections marked " $A$ ", " B " and " C ". Due to the difficulty of handling a nlan in respect of an area of the size of " $B$ " Section, two separate plans were prepared for that Section and marked B-1 and B-2, respectivelv, for the sake of convenience. A considerable portion of the area comprised in the Scheme is an undeveloped area, with only the frontages along the road sides developed, except in the case of plots in the vicinity of the Dadar Railway Station which are situated in a fairly well-developed locality.
3. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombav made a declaration of its intention to
make a Scheme in respect of this area under section 9 (1) of the Bombay Town Planning Act. 1915, on 25th June 1951; the Draft. Scheme was published on the 3rd of May 1954, and was sanctioned by the State Government under section 14 (2) of the said Act on 3rd January 1955. Shri G. J. Desai was appointed Arbitrator under section 29 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1915, in respect of the said Scheme on 14th March 1955, and the new Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, came into force on the lst of April 1957, as already stated earlier. The Final Scheme was drawn up and published by Shri G. J. Desai on 24th February 1962.
4. In all 484 appeals were filed before the Board, and the hearing of the said Appeals commenced on 16th August 1962. The Town Planning Officer was present throughout the proceedings before the Board. The Board inspected the area comprised in Section "A", after previous notice to the plot-owners concerned, on 17th August 1962, and again visited the said Section (this time without notice to plotowners) on 22nd August 1962. The Board visited the area comprised in Section 'B", after previous notice to the plot-owners concerned, on 7th September 1962 and 12th September 1962. The area comprised in Section "C" was visited by the Board, after previous notice to the plotowners concerned, on 20th September 1962. As the Board felt the necessity of inspecting. once again Final Plots Nos. 1089 and 1096 in Section "B", and Final Plots Nos. 1264, 1265, 1266, 1267 and 1268 of Section " $C$ ", the Board visited those plots on 26th September 1962 without notice to the plot-owners concerned.
5. In the very first Appeal which was heard by the Board in this Scheme, it was contended bv Shri Rele who appeared for the owner of Final Plot No. 3 in Appeal No. 463, that the Scheme was not valid because the provisions of the new Act under which the Final Scheme was drawn up and published were inconsistent with the provisions of the old Act. with the result that section 90 (2) of the Present Act cannot operate to validate the same. This and the other general arguments which were urged by Shri Rele in the said Appeal which have been dealt with in this paragraph raised pointe
which this Board has no jurisdiction to decide, since it is not a Court (section 41) but is a Tribunal with a jurisdiction which is circumscribed within the four corners of section 34 of the Act. In any event, each of the said arguments which are dealt with in this paragraph are, in the opinion of the Board, without substance for the reasons hereafter stated. There is no doubt a difference in the procedure provided under the old Act and that prescribed by the new Act in, respect of the several stages through which the Scheme has passed, but in the opinion of the Board, those differences are not of such a nature as to amount to inconsistencies as contended by Shri Rele. A similar argument advanced by Shri Rele before the Board of Appeal in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. III (Mahim Area), was rejected by that Board for the reasons set out in paragraph 11 of its Decisions. This Board fully endorses and adopts the reasoning and the conclusicn of the said Board of Appeal on the point in question. It was next contended by Shri Rele that there being no Development Plan finalised by the Municipal Corporation containing the present Scheme as contemplated by section 3 and by the Preamble to the new Act, the entire Scheme is invalid as section 90 of the present Act cannot validate schemes framed under the old Act. This argument of Shri Rele is also without substance, as there is no reason why the entire Scheme should be held to be invalid merely because there was no provision for a Development Plan under the old Act under which it was initiated. Section 90 makes what ever was done under the old Act valid and operative notwithstanding its repeal, and makes the provisions of the new Act applicable at the stage at which a particular scheme may be at the time of the repeal of the old Act, with the result that all future proceedings would have to be governed by the new Act. The Board is unable to see how the absence of a Development Plan can, in the circumstances, vitiate the entire Scheme. It was next contended by Shri Rele that the Regulations framed bv the Town Planning Officer under the present Scheme are inconsistent with the provisions of section 3 (i) of the old Act of 1915 which did not justify the imposition of any restrictions with regard to the percentage of building area for a plot and are therefore invalid and inoperative. It is true that the provision with regard to the -same that is to be found in section 18 (2) (j) of the new Act does not in terms occur in :section 3 (i) of the old Act, but in so far as the said section 3 (i) empowers the imposition of restrictions in regard to. open spaces to bz
maintained about buildings, the same would of necessity result in regulating indirectely to a certain extent the building area in respect of each plot. This contention of Shri Rele must, theretore, also be rejected. It was also urged by Shri Rele that as a result of the building restrictions imposed by the Scheme (viz., that no building should be constructed higher than gromad and three upper floors, and that a plutowner can construct only on one-third of the area of the plot and must leave certain marginal open spaces), the present Town Planning Scheme, far from increasing the value of the plots comprised therein, would reduce their value proportionately to the reduction in the yielding capacity of each such plot. This argument was advanced before the Board of Appeal in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. III (Mahim Area), and was rejected by the said Board for the reasons stated in paragraph 8 of the Decisions of the said Board which this Board approves and adopts. It may be mentioned that a similar argument was also rejected by the Tribunal of Arbitration in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. II (Mahim Area), which was presided over by Mr. Justice N. J. Wadia and was rejected in paragraph 7 of its Decisions. The Board rejects this argument of Shri Rele as it does not take into account the several other improvements made by the Scheme which go to increase the market-value of the plots comprised therein. In the case of the present Scheme, it may be observed that the actual trend in the property market in this area shortly before the date of declaration of intention to make the present Scheme (viz., 25 th June 1951), and the sales of properties in this area after the said date when the restrictions imposed by the Scheme were known to buyers, shows that the values of properties in this area have increased and that the building restrictions imposed by the Town Planning Scheme. though no doubt an adverse factor, have not been able to counteract the otherwise beneficial effects of this Town Planning Scheme. This is abundantly clear on a reference to the facts and figures stated by the Town Planning Officer at page 25 of his Note on Land Valuation which contains a careful analysis of the trend in the propertv market in this area during the relevant period. The Board does not consider it necessary to deal with any of the several other arguments urged by Shri Rele, since in the opinion of the Board they are without substance and do not need any further attention at the hands of the Board. As an illustration of this, it mav be pointed out that in Appeal No. 374 in respect of Final Plot No. 1252 Shri Rele ventured to
argue that the fact that a plot which was in the interior and entirely without access, but was brought out under the Scheme with a frontage on two new roads to be constructed under it, does not benefit by the Scheme at all and no increment whatsoever should be charged on such a plot. In the opinion of the Board, such an argument needs only to be stated to be rejected, and the Board has confirmed the increment fixed by the Town Planning Officer in respect of the said plot.
6. The Town Planning Officer has in some cases in the present Scheme treated contiguous plots of land, though belonging to the same owner, as different Final Plots, and has not only numbered and marked them out separately, but has also estimated increments in respect of each such Final Plot separately. In the opinion of the President, this mode of estimating increments is not warranted by the provisions of the Town Planning Act for the reasons stated in paragraph 20 of the Decisions of the Board of Appeal in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. III (Mahim Area), with which the President agrees. The definition of the word "plot" under section 2 (6) of the Act as a continuous portion of land held in one ownership makes it obligatory on all authorities under the Act to regard such land as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment, even though the Town Planning Officer may have numbered and marked it out as separate plots. Relying upon the words, "a plot included in the final scheme" occurring in section 65, it was, however, urged by Shri P. P. Khambatta who appeared for the Local Authority before the Board, that once the Town Planning Officer has, rightly or wrongly, considered certain plots as separate plots, the Board would have no jurisdiction to regard them as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment under section 65 of the Act, as that would amount to the Board of Appeal virtually reconstituting the plots once again. There is, however, a fallacy underlying this argument. It must not be forgotten that section 65 deals only with the mode of estimating increments, and merely because the Board of Appeal considers plots marked separately by the Town Planning Officer as one plot for the purpose of estimating increments, it does not mean that the Board of Appeal is reconstituting the boundaries of those plots for all purposes. The proper mode of construing section 65 would be, to lift the word "plot" bodily out of the section, and to incorporate in its place in section 65 the words,
"continuous portion of land held in one ownership." from section 2 (6). Such a reading of sccion 65 does not lead to any repugnancy which would attract the application of the opening words of section 2 so as to make the definition in section 2 (6) inapplicable. The result of reading section 65 in that manner would be, that the Board is bound to regard a continuous portion of land held in one ownership as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment, but the boundaries and numbers of the plots as marked in the Final Scheme published by the Town Planning Officer would remain unaltered. In the opinion of the President, this is clear on a plain reading of sections 2 (6) and 65 of the Act. Even apart from these express statutory provisions, however, it is well-settled under the law relating to the acquisition of land under the Land Acquisition Act, that where the holding of a plotowner has been severed by acquiring only a part of it, it is not open to the acquiring authorities to consider the value of the portion acquired on the footing that it had lost the amenities which it had by virtue of being a part of the whole. It has been so laid down by Mr. Justice Tendolkar in an unreported decision dated 12th. ${ }^{13 \text { th }}$ July 1956 in L. A. Ref. No. 7 of 1954. The mere fact that the Town Planning Officet has regarded certain plots as separate plots, is no ground for the Board's hands being tied in the matter of estimating increments. To hold so would.mean rthat, in effect, the decision of the Town Planning Officer on what is a pure point of law would be final and conclusive, instead of decision of the President, and the Board would be committed to proceeding on a footing which is in violation of the statutory provisions of section 65 by virtue of that error oflaw on the part of the Town Planning Officer,
7. There were some plots in the presentScheme in respect of which the owner had made a Fazandari grant of a part of it, retaining the remaining portion of land for himself. In such cases, it became necessary to determine whether the Fazandar could be said to be the "owner" of the land in respect of which he had made a Fazandari grant. The term "Fazandari" denotes a short of subtenure between a private proprietor and the actual holder of the land under him. The owner of land under Government is known as the Fazandar (Vaidya and Gupte's Bombay City Land Revenue Act, 1931 Ed., p. 93). The Fazandar may make a grant in perpetuity to another called the Fazandari-tenant (or Fazandari-holder) in return
for a low annual ground rent, and with regard to such Fazandari grants, Farran J., has in the case of Farmanandas Jivandas vs. Arcieshir Framji, the judgment in which has been set out in extenso at pp. $320-325$ in the case of Yeshivant ws. Keshavrao reported in 1. L. R. 39 Bom. 316, stated as follows (p. 325): -
" My experience is that it (i.e., the word 'Fazandari') is used with reference to tenants holding under a private landholder to mdicate some times an indefeasible right to hold in perpetuity on payment of a small quit or ground rent and some times any kind of tenure agreed upon between the parties."

This definition has been quoted with complete approval by Fawcett J. in the case of Rahimtulla vs. Sasanali ( 25 Bom. L. R. 1192 at p. 1197) in which that learned judge has proceeded to analyse the said definition as comprising two meanings of the word "Fazandari"; the first which is " the strict or proper meaning" being that it is an indefeasible right to hold in perpetuity on payment of a small quit or ground rent ; and the second, which is "the loose or inaccurate meaning" being that it can be any kind of tenure agreed upon between the parties.

The grant to the Fazandari-tolder may be in writing or may be oral, and in the former case, the rights of the parties would have to be determined on a construction of the terms of the writing itself. As stated by Vaidya and Gupte in their standard work on the Bombay City Land Revenue Act (1931 Edition, p. 95), "No doubt the meaning of the term Fazandari when it occurs in a written document embodying a contract between the parties must be interpreted in the light of the context". The document embodying the grant may contain an express covenant which provides that on breach uhereof the Fazandar may re-enter, or may contain a covenant that on the Fazandariholder being adjudicated insolvant the Fazandar may re-enter, or may contain a stipulation of the nature contained in the lease in perpetuitv in the case reported in A. I. R. 1962 S. C. 413 (paragraphs 18 and 19), viz., that the tenanc was to have the right to surrender the lease at any time after a certain date. When the grant to a Fazandari-holder is in writing, the document is usually drafted in the form of a lease in perpetuity, and covenants of the nature indicated above would fall within clauses (e) and (g) (1) and (3) of section 111 of the Transfer of Property Act. It may be mentioned that under English Law there cannot be anything like a
lease in perpetuity. In out country, however, leases in perpetuity are recognised by section 105 of the iransier of Property Act. Fazandari grants embodied in documents which are in the torm of leases in perpetuity, with any of the usual covenants to be found in leases, would fall within "the loose or inaccurate meaning" given to the term "Fazandari" by Farran J. in the detinition quoted above, since in the case of such grants the right conferred on the Fazandari-holder being subject to determination by surrender and/or forterture or otherwise, cannot be said to be an indefeasible right so as to fall within the "strict or proper meaning" of that term. In such cases, therefore, to use the words of Jenkins J. in I. L. R. 24 Cal. $4+0$ at 447, the Fazandari " docs not annihilate his own interest" by the grant, but continues to be the owner of the property even after the grant to the Fazandari-holder, because he has some interest left in the property, however remote or insignificant the possibility of the property reverting to him might be.

Most of the Fazandari grants in this city are, however, of ancient origin and are in the nature ot oral grants made before the Transfer of Property Act came in force on the 1st of july 1882. Such grants, though not effected by registered instrument, would not only be valid by reason of section 2 (c) of the said Act, but would be wholly unaffected by any of the provisions of that Act, including section 111 thereof dealing with the determination of leases. In the case of such oral grants to the Fazandariholder, there being no express covenants to the contrary. the only meaning that can be given to the term "Fazandari" would be "the strict or proper meaning" assigned to it by Farran J., as being an indefeasible right to hold in perpetuity on payment of a nominal rent. In such cases, therefore, the Fazandar would have mercly a money claim for rent against the Fazandari-holder, whose interest being "indefeasible", the Fazandar would have no interest whatsoever left in the property itself after he had made such a grant. Though originally the owner of the free hold, under the general law. the Fazandar would, after the oral grant to the Fazandari-holder, no longer remain the owner of the property because in no event could the property ever revert to him. In the case of such oral grants, in the graphic words of Jenkins J., quoted above, the Fazandar can be said to have annihilated his own interest in the property by the making of the grant to the Fazandari-holder. Section 2 (5) of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, however, extends the
connotation of the term "owner" by a somewhat artificial definition. It indicates that a person who receives rent whether on his own account or on account of another persor: is to be included in the definition of the term "owner". In view of this definition in the Act itself which makes the receipt of rent the sole criterion of ownership, a Fazandar clearly falls within this inclusive definition and must be held to be the owner of the property even after he has made an oral grant to the Fazandari-holder which leaves in him no interest in the property except the right to recover rent. That the right of the Fazandar to recover rent continues under the Scheme is made clear by Note No. 6 to the Re-distribution and Valuation Statement Form "B" which provides that all rights of Fazandars and Holders in the Original Plots comprised in Fazandari-holdings are by the Scheme transferred over an equivalent area of their corresponding Final Plots, except where specifically provided otherwise. In view of this somewhat artificial definition of the term "owner" in the Act, even in cases in which there are no agreements in writing between the Fazandar and the Fazandari-holder, it must be held that the Fazandar is the "owner" of the Fazandari plot which would, therefore, constitute one plot with the adjacent land remaining with the Fazandar for the purpose of estimating increment "by virtue of the definition of the term "plot" in section 2 (6) of the Act.
8. The Board has no hesitation in rejecting the argument advanced by Shri Petigara who appeared for the owners of several mill plots that there should be no difference in the increments fixed in respect of plots earmarked under the Scheme for industrial user, and plots oi which only residential user is permitted under the Scheme. This argument of Shri Petigara was based on the ground that industrial plots are very large in area; that there being normally no residents in mills, no benefit is derived by industrial plots from the amenities provided by the Scheme in the shape of schools, recreation grounds and hospitals ; and that the widening of roads is of no advantage to industrial plots because they already have access on at least one or more fairly wide roads. These grounds urged by Shri Petigara cannot be refuted. but the effect they have on the market-value of such plots is negligible as compared with the effect which the fact of such plots being earmarked in the Scheme for industrial user has on their market-value. In estimating increments in respect of plots included in the Final Scheme in accordance with the provisions con-
tained in section 65 of the Bombay Tuwn Pianning Act, 1954, the Board has generally proceeded on the basis that plots which are reserved for industrial user under the Scheme should be charged increments higher by about Rs. 5 or Rs. 6 per square yard than plots reserved for residential user, if all other circumstances relating thereto are the same. The Board has taken this view by reason of the fact that it is the policy of the Government and the Local Authority, that in view of the shortage of restdential accommodation in the City, industries should, as far as possible, be pushed outside the original limits of the City, into areas which are now in the northern part of Greater Bombay. The fact that a particular plot is earmarked for industrial user under the Scheme is, therefore, of appreciable significance in assessing the Final, Value of that plot for the purpose of estimating increment under section 65 . Such plots would naturally be at a premium as far as their market-value is concerned, and plots which are reserved for new industries under the Scheme would be at a still higher premium in the pro-pertv-market since the fortunate owners thereof would have the advantage of starting new industry with a clean slate in the heart of the City itself. The Board has, however, taken into account the size of the plots reserved for industries under the Scheme in cases in which the atea of such plots was about 25,000 square yards or more. In such cases, the Board has reduced the increment which it would have otherwise fixed in respect of such plots for two reasons; first, because the development of such plots would necessitate internal layout involving roads, drains, lights, etc., the first of which would mean loss of some of its area; and, secondly, because there would be comparatively few buyers for plots of such enormous size, unless they are sub-divided, in which case also some area would be lost in laying out roads. These are factors which have to be considered by the Board in estimating the marker-value of such plots under section 65 of the Act.
9. It was. however, urged by Shri Rodrigues who appeared for the owner of Final Plot No. 223 in Appeal No. 391 that even when a phot was carmarked under the Scheme for industry, it would be open to the Municipal Commissioner to refuse to grant a permit for industrial user under the powers vested in him under section 390 of the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act, and there being no guarantee that industrial user would be permitted. increment at a higher rate should not be charged mercly because a plot is reserved for industries
under the Scheme. There is, at first sight, no doubt a certain amount of conflict between the provisions of the Scheme and the provisions of the section 390 of the said Act which deals with the powers of the Municipal Commissioner, in so far as the Municipal Commissioner could by repeatedly refusing to grant permission for particular industries, in effect nullify the provision in the Scheme earmarking a plot for industrial user. On a closer scrutiny of the provisions of section 51 (3) of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, and section 390 of the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act, it is, however, possible to reconcile the said two statutory provisions. Section 51 (3) of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, provides that when a Town Planning Scheme comes into force, it is to have effect as if it had been enacted in the Act itself. Once the Scheme comes into force, it nas, therefore, the effect of a statute, and would be on a par with the provisions of the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act itself and neither the provisions of section 390 or any other section of the latter Act can over-ride it. Section 390 of the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act does not profess to give an unfettered right to the Municipal Commissioner to refuse permission for the establishment of industry, and sub-section (2) thereof makes it abundantly clear that he can refuse such permission only if in his opinion the establishment of a particular industry in the locality in question is objectionable by reason of density of population, or because it will be a nuisance to the inhabitants of the neighbourhood. There is no reason for the Board to assume that this limited and salutary power conferred on a responsible officer like the Municipal Commissioner would be exercised mala fide, or would be exercised in such a manner as to nullify the provisions of the Scheme. Under the said provision the Municipal Commissioner has no right to direct what industry should be set up in a particular area, but he has the undoubted right to refuse permission for the establishment of a particular industry which is objectionable on the grounds stated in section 390 (2). Rule 34 of the Rules fiamed under section 87 of the Bombay Town Planning Act provides that the proceedings of the local authority under that Act are to be rcgulated by the municipal or local law applicable to them, in so far as such law mav be consistent with the provisions of the Town Planning Act and the Rules framed under it. It is true that the scope of that Rule as worded is limited to the "proceedings" of the Municipal Corporation. but it does indicate that the Municipal Commissioner has to act in a manner A-1499-27-B.
which is consistent with the Town Planning Act and its Rules, as well as Schemes framed under the Town Planning Act. The Board, however, desires to make a recommendation to the effect that this apprehension caused by the provisions of section 390 and some other sections of the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act in the minds of the public should be removed by a suitable amendment in the Town Planning Act itself. Such an amendment should be to the effect that the provisions of the Town Planning Act, and of Schemes framed under it, would over-ride such of the provisions of the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act as may be inconsistent therewith, and that the powers of the Municipal Commissioner under the Bombay Municipal Corporation Act cannot be used so as to modify, affect or nullify in any manner any of the provisions of a Scheme framed under the Town Planning Act.
10. Another apprehension that was expressed in the arguments before the Board on behalf of several owners of industrial plots in the Scheme was, that as section 57 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, provides that a Town Planning Scheme may at any time be revoked or varied by a subsequent Scheme, it would be open to the authorities concerned to recover increments at a higher rate in respect of piots reserved in the present Scheme for industries, and then to introduce a Varied Scheme whereby such user would be discontinued or not permittcd. In the event of a Varied Scheme being tramed whereby the user is thus changed from industry to residence, as can very well be done in view of the wide provisions of section 57 , there is no provision for the refund or re-estimation of the increments already recovered by the Municipal Corporation under the Main Siheme. In view of the provisions of section 70 (2) read with section 18 (2) (i) of the Town Planning Act, such change of user cannot be considered to be an "injurious affection" for which compensation would be payable under section 69 of the Act read with section 32 (1) (xiii) thereof. A suitable provision in this respect is. therefore, necessary in the interests of justice, particularly in view of the fact that this apprehension of the owners of the industrial plots in the Scheme appears to be wellfounded. having regard to certain newspaper reports of the deliberations of the Bombay Municipal Corporation in connection with the present Scheme which have been brought to the notice of the Board. The Board, therefore. desires to make it quite clear that in the case of plots which under this Scheme are
reserved for industrial purposes, or for the purpose of service industries or cinemas, the Buard has fixed increments on the footing of those plots being earmarked for such user for all time. It may be mentioned that the Town Planning Officer has informed the Board that he has also fixed his increments in respect of such plots solely on that footing. In the event of any Varied Scheme being made hereafter in in respect of the area covered by the present Scheme, whereby the user of plots which have been earmarked in the present Scheme for industrial purposes or for service industries or cinemas is sought to be altered, the Board is of the unanimous opinion that its decisions confirming, reducing or increasing increments in every one of the appeals before it in respect of such plots must be regarded as inapplicable, and the decision of the Board in all such cases must be taken to be that the increment to be charged in respect of the said plots should be reduced by Rs. 6 per sq. yard in each such case. To take any other view of the matter would lead to irrepamble hardship.
11. As part of his argument, it was contended before the Board by Shri Dias for the owner of Final Plots Nos. 840 and 841 in Appeal No. 405 that his client had a claim for injurious affection to the tune of Rs. 25,000 under two heads-(1) by reason of change of user of the plot from shops and industries to mere residential user, with only a petrol pump and service station being permitted on Final Plot No. 8+1; and (2) by reason of his having to demolish three structures consequent on the reconstitution of the plot under the Scheme. In respect of the first head of his client's claim for injurious affection, Shri Dias contended that section 18 (2) (j) of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954 . must be read with section 26 (3) of the said Act, and submitted that compensation is payable to his client as "injurious affection" within the meaning of section 69 if he is affected adversely by the change in user made under the Scheme, and the decision of the Town Planning Officer in respect thereof is appeaiable under section 32 (1) (xiii). Shri Dias contended that in view of the specific provisions of section 26 (3) which expressly relate to one of the types of injurious affection dealt with by section 69. viz., that due to change of user, the bar of section 70 (2) does not apply. This is a very ingenious point raised for the first time, but unfortunately no claim has been made by Shri Dias' client under this head of injurious affection in his letter, dated 7th July 1955 , addressed to the Town Planning Officer. In A-1490-27 (b)
view of the provisions of section 69, and Rules 21 (1) and 30 of the Rules framed under the Act, in the absence of such a claim having been made within the prescribed time, the appellant's claim under this head cannot be entertained. In any event, in the opinion of the President, compensation which can be claimed under section 26 (3) by reason of change of user, would be a distinct head of claim which does not fall under section 69 or 70 or 32 (1) (xiii) of the Act, and no appeal in respect thereof would lie to the Board.

With regard to the second head of Shri Dias' client's claim for injurious affection, it may be pointed out that the record of the Town Planning Officer shows that item (b) of the claim made by the appellant by his said letter, dated 7 th July 1955 was expressly given up by him at the hearing before the Town Planning Officer on 16th November 1960. Therefore, the only claim that now survives for consideration is that of Rs. 25.000 contained in item (a) of the said letter, which is stated to be in respect of loss oi rent and for the value of the three structures that would be required to be demolished by reason of the reconstitution of the plot under the Scheme. For the reasons stated by the President of the Board of Appeal in paragraph. 16 of the Decisions in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. III (Mahim Area). with which the President agrees, he holds that such a claim does not amount to "injurious affection" within the meaning of that expression as used in the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954. It is clear from the wording of sections 69 and 70 read with section 32 (1) (xiii) that the claim for injurious affection which is contemplated by the Act and is made appealable to the Board is a claim for damage to property that remains with the plot-owner. The words "which is" occurring in section 69 (which is the section that confers the right to compensation for injurious affection) show that the adjectival clause "which is injuriously affect ed" in that section qualifies the nouns "property or right" and not the noun "owner". The plain grammatical construction of the section, therefore, makes it very clear that the property that can be said to be injuriously alfected can only be some property still remaining with the owner. A mere claim for compensation for property, or a part thereof, taken away from the owner under a Town Planning Scheme cannot be said to be a claim for injurious aftection, unless some property left with the owner is injuriously affected thereby. in which case the claim in respect thereof would be, not for the
value of the property taken away, but for damage to the property that remains with the owner, which may be a wholly different figure. That is not the case of Shri Dias' client in Appeal No. 405 before the Board. The President therefore holds that his claim for Rs. 25,000 as compensation under item (a) in the letter, dated 7th July 1955 which was pressed before this Board is not a claim for injurious affection within the terms of section 69 and is therefore not appealable under section 32 (1) (xiii).
12. Shri Petigara who appeared for the owner of Final Plot No. 1043 made a claim for injurious affection in respect of an area of 13,500 square yards out of the said plot at the rate of Rs. 5 per square yard under various heads. In the opinion of the Board, however, claims under none of those heads amount to injurious affection for the purpose of section 69 of the Act. In the course of his arguments Shri Petigara relied on the heads of injurious affection specified in his Architect's letter, dated 17th March 1962, which was annexed to the Appeal. The first head of claim according to that letter is in respect of the pulling down of a portion of the godown and a shed, and the construction of a wall on the east side for the remaining portion of the godown. In the opinion of the Board this head of claim cannot be said to arise in respect of any property or right injuriously affected by reason of the Scheme. If this construction of Shri Petigara were to be accepted, the cost of making other alterations necessitated by the Scheme would also be recoverable as and by way of compensation for injurious affection. That however is not a proper view of the matter. In such cases, the cost of demolition of existing structures has been awarded by the Town Planning Officer as and by way of compensation for what is taken away from the plot-owner, but it cannot be said to be in the nature of a claim for compensation for any property which remains with the owner being "injuriously affected". Nor can the cost of reconstructing what is pulled down, or making consequential alterations, be said to be in the nature of a claim for compensation for the property which remains with the plotowner being "injuriously affected" by the making of the Scheme. The second, third, fourth and fifth heads of Shri Petigara's claim, viz., the cost of construction of a new gate and a new compound wall, alterations in the drainage and the construction of a new internal road, would also not be recoverable under section 69 for the
same reason. As far as alterations in drainage connection are concerned, the claim for injurious affection is also not maintainable on the grounds hereinafter stated in paragraph 17. With regard to the sixth head of Shri Petigara's claim, it is in respect of depreciation in the value of the portion of the plot abutting on Old Prabhadevi Road by reason of loss of frontage on that road as a result of the Scheme. This head of claim for injurious affection is in the opinion of the Board entirely misconceived. In so far as the value of a plot, or part thereof, is affected by the reconstitution in its boundaries, the same would be reflected in the Semi-final Value of that plot; and in so far as the value of a plot, or part thereof, is affected by any of the other improvements made in the Scheme, it would be reflected in the Final Value of that plot. What is payable or receivable from the plot-owner as a result of such alterations, would have to be worked out arithmetically by considering those values in relation to the Original Value of the plot. A plot-owner cannot be paid over again for the same under the heading of compensation for injurious affection. This head of Shri Petigara's claim must therefore also be rejected.
13. Shri Petigara who appeared for the owners of Final Plots Nos. 1089 and 1096 in Appeal No. 24 contended that the right of the owners of these two plots, who are also the owners of Final Plot No. 1090, to take pipe-lines across for the fire-fighting services that they are bound to maintain under the Municipal Regulations in respect of industrial plots (including those on which godowns are located), has been injuriously affected by reason of the new 80 feet road that is to be constructed to the west of Final Plot No. 1090 and by the new 40 feet road that is to be constructed to the east of it. It was stated by Shri Petigara that at present these pipe-lines are taken across the passages from Final Plot No. 1090 to Final Plot No. 1089 as well as Final Plot No. 1096 for the purpose of the fire-fighting equipment on the latter two plots, but the plot-owners would not be permitted to take those pipe-lines across or under the said two roads to be constructed under the Scheme. This, according to Shri Petigara, would mean that the owners of Final Plots Nos. 1089 and 1096 will have to construct water tanks and instal independent fire-fihting equipment on each of those plots, and Shri Petigara contended that the owners are entitled to recover the cost thereof as and by way of compensation for injurious affection to their existing right to
draw pipe-lines from. Final Plot No. 1090 for fire-fighting services. In view of these contentions urged at the hearing of the said Appeal, the Board paid a second visit to the site. of these plots on the 26th of September 1962 to ascertain what is the nature of the fire-fighting arrangements existing in respect of each of the godowns on Final Plots Nos. 1089 and 1096. Apart from the pipe-lines and hydrants which were found on these plots, there was very little by way of fire-fighting apparatus in connection with the godowns thereon, and it would not be difficult at all to replace the pipe-lines and hydrants by trailer pumps which can be used from across Final Plot No. 1090, if and when a contingency in the nature of fire arose. Moreover, it has not been proved before the Board that there are any rules or regulations of the Bombay Municipal Corporation, or of Government, which make it incumbent on the owners of plots on which godowns are located to maintain any fire-fighting equipment in the nature of pipe-lines, hydrants, etc., though if such fire-fighting arrangements are provided, it enables them to secure a rebate in the insurance premium payable by them to the Insurance Company concerned. Such enquiries as the Board has been able to make from the authorities concerned show that there are no such rules or regulations of the local authority, or the Government, in existence. In this view of the matter, the fact that such arrangements are made at present by the owners of Final Plot No. 1096 merely for the purpose of obtaining a rebate in insurance, and the fact that the new 80 feet road to be constructed under the Scheme to the west of Final Plot No. 1090 will no longer make it possible for them to draw on the tank on Final Plot No. 1090 for the said firefighting arrangements cannot, in the opinion of the Board, result in injurious affection to any "property or right" of the owners of Final Plots Nos. 1089 and 1096. The claim for injurious affection which was made solely on this ground at the hearing before the Board, must therefore fail, both in respect of Final Plot No. 1089 as well as in respect of Final Plot No. 1096. As far Final Plot No. 1090 is concerned, no claim for injurious affection would arise on that ground, because whatever fire-fighting arrangements exist are located on this plot itself and are not affected by the Scheme. The steel water tank that will have to be dismantled by reason of the construction of the new 80 feet road to the west of this plot has already been compensated for by the Town Planning Officer.
14. In the case of plots abutting on Tulsi Pipe Line land, it has been contended by the

Municipality' that though popularly called 'Tulsi Pipe Line Road', it is not a public road at all, but is' private land belonging to the local authority. On the other hand, it has been contended by the owners of those plots which have a frontage on the said land, or an access from it, that it is a public road, or that, in any event, the public has by long and uninterrupted user acquired the right to use this land as a public road. In Appeal No. 25 filed by the owners of Final Plots Nos. 612 and 613, the Municipal Corporation has filed two affidavits of one Shri Zope, who is its Assistant Engineer, in which it is stated that it has been the practice of the Municipal Corporation to notify in the local newspapers that the said land would remain closed to the public on a particular day, and that it has in fact been closed right from Mori Road to Haines Road once every year. The said Shri Zope has stated that the procedure which is followed in order that the plotowners concerned would not be inconvenienced is, that they are asked to arrange to issue identity cards to their employees, and it is only such persons as hold identity cards that are allowed to use the land on the day on which the same is closed. The said Shri Zope has further stated that on such days barricades are placed at different junctions on Tulsi Pipe Line land, and that some barricades are also placed across Tulsi Pipe Line land itself, with watchmen at those places for the complete period of 24 hours, in shifts of 8 hours each. To the second affidavit of said Shri Zope have been annexed communications addressed to the various industrial concerns abutting on Tulsi Pipe Line land with regard to the closure ot the road and the issue of identity cards to their employees. A copy of a reply, dated 21st April 1950 by the owners of the said Final Plots Nos. 612 and 613 to such communication has also been annexed, whereby they have asked for permission to use the road on the date on which the same was to be closed, and have enclosed a specimen of the identity cards to be issued bv them. The Municipal Corporation has also filed an affidavit of one Shri Vaidya. who is its Assistant Estate Agent and Land Manager, in which it is stated that at the request of the owners of plots abutting on Tulsi Pipe Line land who desired to have access upon and a right of way over the same, about 40 agreements were entered into with various parties as per specimen annexed to the said affidavit. A list of such agreements mentioning the numbers of the plots to which they relate has also been annexed to the said affidavit. The standard form of that agreement
clearly shows (Clause 3) that pending the construction and completion of the said road, the said plot-owners were to be allowed access from Tulsi Pipe Line land on payment of a monthly fee of Rupee one, as licensees. The fact that such agreements were entered into by so many of the plot-owners concerned, clearly shows that the Municipal Corporation was exercising dominion over the said Tulsi Pipe Line land as proprietors thereof, and that the use that was made of that land by the owners of plots who have a frontage on or have access from the said land was merely permissive in nature. In the case of the owner of Final Plots Nos. 488 and 500 in Appeal No. 443 also, such affidavits were filed by the said Shri Vaidya and the said Shri Zope. Moreover, this plot-owner himself has produced and has relied upon a copy of the àgreement, dated 6th October 1909 between his predecessor-in-title and the Municipal Corporation of Bombay whereby a strip of land 30 feet in width along the frontage of the said plots on Tulsi Pipe Line land was allowed to be used (Clause 4) by the plot-owner for passing to and from his adjoining on foot or in vehicles on payment of a nominal amount of Rupee one. In the opinion of the majority of the Board (Shri Kamtekar dissenting), this agreement is clearly against the contention of the owner of the said Final Plots Nos. 488 and 500 that the said Tulsi Pipe Line land was a public road, or that he had acquired the right to use the same by long user. If those contentions of the plotowner concerned were correct, there would be no need whatsoever to execute an agreement of the nature just referred to. In view of the said affidavits, and the agreement with this plotowner, as well as the form of the agreements with several other plot-owners which have been reterred to above, the Board has no hesitation in coming to the conclusion that the said Tulsi Pipe Line land was not a public road, and that the public have not acquired any right to use the same as a roadway, by long user or otherwise. However, with regard to the portion of Tulsi Pipe Line land between Tilak Bridge and Dadar Road, Shri Kamtekar was of opinion that since the said portion was admittedly not closed to the public at any time by the Municipal Corporation, nor were the accesses to the said portion closed to the public at any time by them, this portion of Tulsi Pipe Line land is a public road. In the opinion of the majornty of the Board, however, this was apparently a concession to the public for the purpose of affording them access to and exit from the Dadar Railway Station, and the mere fact that a small portion of this continuous piece of land
known as Tulsi Pipe. Line. land may not ${ }^{\circ}$ have been closed to the public at any time, cannot lead to the conclusion that it should stand on a different footing from the rest of. that. land over which the Municipal Corporation has unquestionably exercised its right of ownership. In the opinion of the majority of the Board, there is sufficient material to show that the entire Tulsi Pipe Line land is of the ownership of the Municipal Corporation, and the use of it, or of certain portions of it, by the owners of plots abutting thereon or having access therefrom, has all along been merely permissive and does not convert any part of that land into a public road. In estimating increments in the case of such plots, the Board has, therefore, proceeded on the footing that such plots had, till now, no access from the said land as of right, and have taken into account the fact that the conversion of the said Tulsi Pipe Line land into a public road under the Scheme is a valuable improvement which enhances the market-value of those plots.
15. It was sought to be argued by Shri Petigara who appared for owner of Final Plot No. 590 in Appeal No. 26 that as the widening of roads is of no particular advantage to industrial plots which already had access on at least one or more toads, if the cost of the . widening of roads is deducted from the total costs of the Scheme, the proportion of 50 per cent of the incremental contribution fixed at the maximum figure under section 66 (I) Proviso (i) would have to be reduced. The Board agrees with the principle laid down by the Board of Appeal in . paragraph 14 of its Decisions in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. III (Mahim Area), and by the Tribunal of Arbitration presided over by Mr. Justice N. J. Wadia in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. I (Mandvi and Elphinstone Estate), in paragraph 13 of its Decisions, that if the total costs of the Scheme exceed the total incremental contributions calculated at the maximum rate of 50 per cent, then there is no reason why such maximum proportion permissible under the Act should not be fixed by the Town Planning Officer and by the Board. On being referred to Form "C" (Rules 17 and 29) showing the finances of the present Scheme, Shri Petigara asked for time to consider whether on that principle, if the cost of the widening of roads is deducted, it would make any difference in fixing the proportion under section 66. At the following hearing, however, after considering the said statement which showed that the excess of the costs of the Scheme over the total
incremental contributions at the maximum propurtion of 50 per cent. was almost a crore of rupees, Shri Petigara did not press this point. The Board, therefore, holds that the proportion which the Town Planning Officer has fixed under section 66 (1) at 50 per cent, as being the propurtion of the incremental contribution payable by plotowners in the Scheme, is properly fixed. It was sought to be argued by Shri Bhatt, who appeared for owner of Final Plot No. 909 in Appeal No. 29, that in his client's case a lesser proportion should be fixed, but the Board is of the opinion that there is no reason why any difference should be made between one plotowner and another in the fixation of the proportinn under section 66 (1), sinice the principle enunciated by the Board of Appeal. in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. III (Mahim Area), and by the Tribunal of Arbitration in Tuwn Planning Scheme, Bombay City No. I (Mandvi and Elphinstone Estate), would be uniformly applicable to all plot-owners in a Scheme. That contention of Shri Bhatt has, therefore, been $\cdot$ rejected by the Board.
16. It was contended by the owner of Final Plot No. 1265 who appeared in person, that the Board should apportion the incremental contribution as between himself and his lessee which he states that the Town Planning Officer has failed to do. Such apportionment falls under Proviso (ii) to section 66 (1) of the Act. The piot-owner concerned contended that under section 32 (I) (ix) on appeal would lie on this point, In the opinion of the President, From the wording of section 32 (1) (ix) it is quire clear that the same relates only to Proviso (i) to section 66 (1), and to no other part of that section. The reference in section 32 (1) (ix) to the costs of the Scheme is clearly out of place in relation to any part of section 66 other than Proviso (i) to sub-section (1) thereof. In the opinion of the President, therefore, the Appeal of the owner of Final Plot No. 1265, in so far as it relates to apportionment as berween himsclf and his Lessee, is clearly not maintainable. This was also the view taken by the Board of Appeal in Town Planning Scheme, Bombay Citv No. III (Mahim Area), in paragraph 13 of its Decisions, which the President fully endorses, and adopts for the reasons therein stated.
17. In several appeals before the Board, it was contended that the cost of altering the drainage connections consequent on the reconstitution of the boundaries of the plots, to which those appeals related, should either be taken into account in fixing the increment or should be awarded as and by way of damages
for injurious: affection.. In, this connection it may be pointed out that under Note 10 of the Notes contained in the Redistribution and Valuation Statement (Form B) it is expressly provided that all alterations in the house connections of water-supply, drainage, electricity, gas and telephones, which would be necessiiated as a result of the Scheme, must be carried out at the cost of the Local Authority. In view of this express provision in the Scheme no question of taking the costs of such alterations into account for the purpose of fixing incre ment, or of awarding the same as damages for injurious affection survives.
18. In several cases before the Board, the piot-owners concerned sought to appeal from the compensation ascertained to be payable to them by the Town Planning Officer in respect of their plots, or part thereof, taken away trom them under the Scheme. For the reasons stated by the Board of Appeal in Town Planning Scheme Bombay City No. III (Mahim Area), in paragraph 16 of its Decisions, which the President of this Board fully endorses and adopts, the President holds that the same is not appealable and the Board has no jurisdiction to go into the same.
19. In several cases before the Board appeals were presented after the period of one month prescribed by section 34 of the Act bad already expirea. Such of those appeals, as had been presented before the sittings of the Board commenced, had been taken on file by the office "subject to objection as to limitation; if any". In one such case Shri Sakhardande who appeared for the owner of Final Plot No. 1094 in Appeal No. 343 contended that the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, had no. application to appeals relating to Schemes which had been initiated prior to the coming into force of the said Act on the 1st of April 1957. He contended that section 90 of the present Act did not expresslv make the provisions of section 34 applicable in such cases, and in the absence of such express provision, section 7 (c) and (e) of the Bombay General Clauses Act (No. I of 1904) would be attracted. and as a result thereof, the matter would be governed bv the provisions of the old Bombay Town Planning Act (No. I of 1915). Shri Sakhardande argued before the Board that under section 32 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1915, there was no question of any appeal having to be filed from the decision of the Arbitrator, but all matters which fell within certain clauses of section 30 of the said Act of 1915 would have to be reviewed bv the Tribunal of Arbitration appointed for each
particular Scheme under that Act. He, therefore, contended that the present Board of Appeal, as the successor of the said Tribunal of Arbitration, was bound to 'consider all matters which fell within those clauses, including that of his client which related to fixation of the increment in respect of Final Plot No. 1094.
It is true that the present Scheme was initiated long before the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954 (Bombay Act No. XXVII of 1955), came into force on 1st April 1957. The declaration of intention to frame the present Scheme was made as far back as the 25 th of June 1951, but before the Arbitrator appointed under the said Act had published his Final Scheme on the 24th of February 1962, the present Act had already come into force. In the opinion of the President, there is a two-fold answer to the argument urged by Shri Sakhardande before the Board. The first answer is to be found in the provisions of section 90 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, which repeals the old Act of 1915 and provides, inter alia, that notwithstanding the repeal of the said Act, all proceedings pending thereunder shall, in so far as the same are not inconsistent with the provisions of the present Act, continue in force, and the provisions of the present Act shall have effect in relation to such proceedings. In the opinion of the President, nothing could be clearer than these words to show that in such cases the legislature intended, not only that what had already been done under the old Act was to continue in force, but that the further progress of the Scheme was to be in accordance with the new Act. In view of this express statutory provision which is to be found in section 90 (2) of the new Act, there is no scope for invoking the applicability of the Bombay General Clauses Act which was sought to be relied upon by Shri Sakhardande, since section 7 of the Bombay General Clauses Act itself provides that it is not to be applied where "a different intention appears" in the new enactment. The President, therefore, holds that proceedings before this Board are governed by the provisions of the present Act, and the appeal filed by Shri Sakhardande's client is time-barred, having regard to section 34 thereof which requires all appeals from the decision of the Town Planning Officer to be filed within one month from the date of communication of the decision in the mode prescribed in Rule 21 (9) framed under this Act. The second answer to the contention urged by Shri Sakhardande before the Board is, that even assuming
for the sake of argument that every one of his contentions is right, this Board of Appeal having been constituted under the provisions of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954, has no jurisdiction to hear matters, which under section 32 of the Act of 1915, could only have been heard by a Tribunal of Arbitration con stituted for the particular Scheme under the latter Act. There is no warrant for holding that this Board of Appeal is the successor of the Tribunal of Arbitration which would have had to be constituted in respect of each particular Town Planning Scheme under the old Act of 1915. The Government Notification, dated 25 th May 1962, constituting the present Board of Appeal may be referred to in this connection for the purpose of showing that it has been expressly constituted for this Scheme in accordance with Rule 22 of the Rules framed under the present Act. The result would, therefore, be that even if Shri Sakhardande is right in his argument that the present Scheme is governed by the provisions of the old Act of 1915, his client's appeal cannot be entertained or adjudicated upon by this Board. On any view of the matter, therefore, Shri Sakhardande's client's appeal must fail.
20. An Appeal which was long time-barred was presented by Shri P. P. Khambatta before the Board of Appeal in respect of Final Plots Nos. 1025 and 1028 on 27th August 1962, in which it was contended that Rule 21 (9) was uitra vires section. 34 as the communication of the "decision" of the Town Planning Officer must mean communication of the reasons also, and not merely the service of an extract, and as that had not been done by the Town Planning Officer, the time fixed under section 34 for filing the appeal had not yet begun to run and the said appeal could not be said to be time-barred. As that appeal was presented in the course of the sittings of the Board, and the Board being a tribunal with a very limited jurisdiction (section 34), and not a Court (section 41), had no jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the vires of Rule 21 (9), the Board declined to accept that Appeal as being out of time. The Municipal Corporation had, however, filed appeals for enhancing the increments fixed by the Town Planning Officer in respect of those very plots being Appeals Nos. 95 and 96. On those appeals, it was opep to the Board to "modify or vary" the increment fixed by the Town Planning Officer in respect of those plots by increasing or reducing the same under section 40 of the Act. When those Municipal Appeals were heard by the Board on 1 th

September 1962, the said plot-owners, however, did not care to appear and contest them and they were therefore heard ex parte and the iucrements fixed by the Town Planning Officer confirmed in respect of each those plots.
21. In several cases before the Board, piotowners made a grievance of the fact that they had at some time in the past paid substantial amounts to the Municipal Corporation tor the cunstruction of the road abutting on their plot, or for other services, and the plot-owners in those cases contended that the Board should take the same into account in fixing increments under the present Scheme. Though some of the plot-owners had satisfactory documentary evidence to prove such payments, in the opinion of the President the same cannot be taken into account for the purpose of estimating increment under section 65 of the Act. Under section 65, the Board can fix increments only on the footing of the difference between the market-value of the plot as reconstituted but without roference to any other improvements to be made by the Scheme, and the marketvalue of the plot 80 reconstituted along with all other improvements contemplated by the Scheme on the notional footing that the same have already been completed, and the Board cannot take any other factor into consideration. In the opinion of the President, as the payment of any amounts in the past by the plot-owners concerned canot have the slightest effect on the marketvalue thereof at the material date, with or without the improvements contemplated bv the Scheme, this factor must be disregarded in assessing increments.
22. The numbers of the Final Plots in respect. of which the decisions of the Town Planning Officer were confirmed by the Board, alung with remarks (if any), are appended in Schedule "A" hereto.
23. Decisions of the Board reducing the increments fixed by the Town Planning Officer. and giving their reasons for the same, are appended in Schedule " B ".
24. Decisions of the Board raising the increments fixed by the Town Planning Officer, and giving their reasons for the same, are appended in schedule " $C$ ".
25. The appeal filed by the Municipality in the case of Final Plot No. 267 raised the question of proportion with regard to the extent to winich it should be held beneficial to the owners or residents within the area of the Scheme, and
to the general public, respectively, for the purpose of section 32 (1) (v) of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954. Having regard to the location of the school to be constructed on this plot under the Scheme, the Board came to the conclusion that it was more beneficial to the owners or residents within the area of the Scheme than to the general public, and it accordingly varied the decision of the Town Planning Officer and decided that it should be held to be 75 per cent beneficial to the owners or residents within the area of the Scheme, and only 25 per cent beneficial to the general public. The same question of proportion under section 32 (1) (v) was also raised in the Municipal .Appeals filed in respect of Final Plots Nos. 144, 162, 773, 776, 1088 and 1108, but after considering the location of each of the said plots, the Board decided to confirm the proportion fixed by the Town Planning Officer in all those cases.
26. Decisions of the Board fixing increments at a uniform rate for two or more plots which. being a continuous portion of land held in one ownership at the material date, have, as stated in paragraphs 6 and 7 hereinabove, been regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment, are appended in Schedule "D".
27. The numbers of the Final Plots in respect of which appeals were dismissed by the Board as being time-barred for the reasons stated in paragraph 19 hereinabove are set out in Schedule " E " appended hereto, with the exception of the Appeal presented by the owner of Final Plots Nos. 1025 and 1028 which was not accepted by the Board as stated in paragraph 20 hereinabove.
28. The numbers of the Original Plots in respect of which the only question raised at the hearing of the appeals before the Board related to compensation in respect of land taken away from the plot-owners concerned, and which were dismissed on the grounds stated in paragraph 18 hereinabove, are set out in Schedule " $F$ " hereto.
29. The Board of Appeal desires to express its appreciation of the valuable assistance rendered to it by the Town Planning Officer Shri G. J. Desai during the hearing of the appeals before the Board. The Board found that all decisions of. Shri Desai were carefully . considered, and that he had been very thorough and fair throughout the proceedings before him.
30. The Board also desires to record that the proceedings before it were conducted by Shri Y. H. Kamat who appeared for the Local Authority with the amount of fairness that
would be expected of the representative of a public body, and with a sense of responsibility which resulted in considerable saving of public time.

Court Room No. 44, 3rd Floor,
City Civil Court Bldg.,
(High Court Annexe),
Bombay-1.
The 8th November 1962
J. R. VIMADALAL, President, Board of Appeal. G. A. KAMTEKAR, Assessor,
H. N. KANGA; 4 ल.anc...

## SCHEDULE "A"

## (INCREMENTS CONFIRMED)



SCHEDU்LE " $A$ "-cont.


Bombay:
The 8th November 1962.
> J. R. VIMADALAL, President.
> G. A. KAMTEKAR, Assessor.
> H. N. KANGA, Assessor.

## SCHEDULE "B'"

(INCREMENTS REDUCED)

| Finsl | Decisions | Reasons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plot |  |  |
| No. | $(2)$ | (3) |

## 'A' Section

16 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per $8 q$. yd .
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per $8 q$. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. $y d$.
Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.

Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd. by This plot already abuts on Ranade Road. The existing structures on it are majority decision. however only tin-sheds and it will therefore benefit by the regularising of the road on its side which opens up the interior of the locality.
Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.

80 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
90 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd. by majority decision.
. This plot derives only an indirect benefit from the general development under the Scheme.
. . The only benefit to this plot is the Recreation Ground adjoining it.
.. The only benefit to this plot is the Recreation Ground adjoining it.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly from the general development under the
. This plot benefits only indirectly by the general development of the area under the Scheme.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the general development of the area under the Scheme.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road, a portion of which is to be widened slightly, and benefits indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the general development of the interior of the locality.
. This plot has a small frontage on Ranade Road, though it benefits by a 20 feet road to its side and by the development of the interior of the locality under the Scheme.

- This plot benefits by the development of the road on which it has a large frontage, but at the same time, it also has an existing road in front of it which connects it to N. C. Kelkar Road.
.. Under the Scheme, the plot will no doubt have a frontage along a 25 feet road, but that road is nat a through road.
This plot benefits by the development of the road on which it has a frontage, but it is only second from Ganesh Peth Lane.
. The plot has an existing road to its west which connects it to the main N. C Kelkar Road, though it no doubt benefits by the development of theinterior of the locality.
.. This plot has already an access on Ganesh Peth Lane.
.. The only advantage to this plot is the extension of the small road which provides it with a direct access.
- This plot is on a main road and benefits only by the general development of the interior.
.. This plot gets no particular benefit under the Scheme beyond the concreting of the road which is already existing.
.. This plot is very disadvantageously situated and the only benefit it gets under the Scheme is the general development of the locality surrounding it, in which roads are being widened.
125 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd. by This plot has a frontage on an existing road which however becomes a through majority decision. road under the Scheme.
137 Increment redired to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.
139 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
$\$ 42$ Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to Rs .3 per sq . yd .
Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
Increment reduced to $R \mathrm{R} .3$ per $8 q$. yd .
171 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road which is however widened in an aroa which is an undeveloped area.
.. This plot has a wide frontage on an existing road and derives only some benefit from the development of the interior.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the general development of the locality under the Scheme.
.. This plot gets no particular benefit under the Scheme beyond the concreting of the road which is already existing.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the development of the interior of the locality.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the development of the interior of the locality.
. . This plot benefits only indirectly by the development of the interior of the locality.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the general development of the interior under the Scheme.
.. This plot is situated on the main N. C. Kelkar Road.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road and benefits only indirectly by the general development of the interior under the Scheme.

| Final | Decisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Plot |  |
| No. | $(2)$ |

## Reasons

Plot
(2)
(3)

177 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yard
181 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
205 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
207 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
208. Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard

212 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
220 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard Proportion fixed under sec. 66 (1) confirmed.
221 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
222 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
224 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yard
225 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard 230 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard

231 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
232 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
235 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
248 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
268 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard
269 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard
275 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yara
285 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yard
294 Increment reudced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard
299 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yard

306 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yard
309 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
310 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
314 Increment reducei to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
331 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yard
333 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard
360 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yard
361 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yard
366 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yard
371 Increment reduced to Rs. 9 per sq. yard

381 Increment reduced to Rs. 15 per sq.yd. majority decision.

400 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
' A'Section--cont.
This plot is a corner plot on two main roads, but bencfits slightly by the new road to its rear under the Scheme.
.. The only advantage to this plot is the new road in the rear.
.. This plot has existing roads on both sides and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot has a frontage on an existing road and benefits only indirectly by thegeneral development under the Scheme.
.. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot has an existing road on both sides and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
This plot is situated in close proximity to Gokhale Road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
. This olot is situated in close proximity to Gokhale Road and bencfits only: indirectly by the general development under the Schcme.
. This plot is situated on an existing road in close proximity to Gokhale Roa $d$ and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot is no coubt situatea on an existing road, but derives some benefit by the widening of that road just in front of it.
.. This plot is situated at the comer of two existing roads.
.. The plot is situated on an existing road, and benefits only inojrectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. The plot is situated on an existing road, and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot benefits enly indirectly by the general development of the interior ofthe locality.
. The only advantage to this plot is the regularising of the road on the side.
. . This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road itself.
.. This plot abuts on an existing main road.
.. This plot abuts on an existing main road.
. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road itself.
.. This plot benefits no doubt by a 40 feet road on its side, but it is situated on an existing road in front.
.. The plot is on a main road and benefits only by the regularising and widening: of the road on its side.
.. The plot is situated on an existing lane. That lane is to be made into a puces. one, but this plot also benefits by the widening and development of the road s: connecting witn that lane.
.. The plot benefits no doubt by the widening of the road on its side, but it is situated on an existing road.
.. This plot has a frontage on the Portuguese Church Street and derives only an indirect benefit from the general development of the area to its rear.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot is at the corner of two main roads and only benefits by the widening of one of those roads at the corner opposite to it.
.. This plot has a frontage on Bhawanishankar Road, though it derives some benefit by the new road on its rear.
. Though situated on an existing road, it derives some benefit by the 40 feet road which starte from that corner.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road which is however to be widened intoa 40 feet road.
$\lambda$ This plot is situated on an existing road which is now to be widened into a 40 fest road.
.. Though this plot gets two new roads around it under the Scheme. it has a frontage on an existing road which is only to be widencd under the Scheme.
. Though this plot benefits by new roads to its east and south, and bv the widening of the road to its north it already has a prominent corner position on Gokhale road itself.
This plot is situated in proximity to Gokhale Road from which it already had an access, though no doubt it benefits considerably by new roads under the Scheme on two sides and by the proposed Recrestion Ground.
.. This plot is situated on Gokhale Road itself, but it benefits by the widening of the road on its side into a 60 feet road.

SCHEDULE " $B$ "-cont.

| Final <br> Plot <br> No. <br> $(1)$ | Decisions | Reasons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

405 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.

418 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
421 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.

425 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
434 Increment reduced to Rs. 7 per sq. yd.

435 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
440 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
441 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
451 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
452 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.

462 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
. 464 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.
467 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.

469 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd .
471 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.
475 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yd.
493 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
494 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
495 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
-497 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per ss. yd.
510 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq. $y d$.

512 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq. yd.
519 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.
520 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yd.
521 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
.528 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.
532 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq.yd.
534 Increment reduced to Re. 8 per sq. yd.
537 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yard
551 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yard
554 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yard
561 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yard
' A ' Section-cont.
.. This plot no doubt benefits by the widening of the 25 feet road in front of it into a 60 feet through road, but it is situated in proximity to the main Gokhale Road.
.. The plot is situated at the corner of two existing roads.
.. Though this plot benefits by a Recreation Ground to its rear, and a 15 fee ${ }^{t}$ passage to its south, we have taken into account the fact that it is situated on an existing road.
.. The plot is situated on existing roads.
.. This plot benefits by the regularising and widening of the road to its north into a 60 feet road, but we have also taken into account the fact that it is situated on Bhawanishankar Road itself.
.. This plot has access from a road which is being widened. It has no frontage along that road.
.. This plot benefits by the regularising and widening of the road which was only a narrow lane, but it had a frontage on it.
.. This plot benefits by the regularising and widening of the road which was only a narrow lane, but it had a frontage on it.
.. This plot, though it gets the benefit of a new 40 feet road to its west, has already a frontage on an existing road to its south.
.. The plot has a main road on one side and benefits only by the widening of the small irregular lane into a 60 feet road connecting it to the Gokhale Road (South).
.. This plot benefits by the widening of the road to its north, and a new 40 feet road to its west, but we have taken into account the fact that the road to its north was an existing road.
.. This plot already had a sort of access to it.
.. This plot benefits by the regularising and widening of the road in front of it into a 40 feet road, and also by a passage on its side which leads to the Recreation Ground in the rear. At the same time, we have taken into account the fact that it had a frontage on an existing lane.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road which is now to be widened into a 40 feet road.
.. This plot is situated on Bhawanishankar Road, though it does benefit by a new road on its side and a Recreation Ground in the rear.
.. This plot is situated on Bhawanishankar Road itself.
.. This plot now gets access through a concreted road under the Scheme.
.. This plot now gets access through a concreted road under the Scheme.
.. This plot now gets access through a concreted road under the Scheme.
.. This plot is situated on Bhawanishankar Road itself.
.. This plot is reserved for a new service industry and has two nerv roads-one on its side, and another towards the south, but we have taken into account the fact that it is situated on an existing road.
.. This plot is given an access on a new road, but the same is only a 40 feet road.
.. This plot benefits by the new 40 feet road towards the east of it, but is situated on an existing small road.
.. This plot is situated on Bhawanishankar Road itself.
.. This plot abuts on a main road and benefits only by some development in the interior of the locality behind it.
.. Though benefiting by a 60 feet road to the west connecting it with Gokhale Road, this plot has a frontage on two existing roads.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is situated on an existing road on one side.
.- Though this plot benefits by a new road at the rear which will be usful in view of its size and depth, it has, after all, a frontage on Bhawanishankar Road.
.. This plot benefits by a new road to its south, but is situated on Bhawanishankar Road itself.
.. This plot benefits no doubt by a road at the rear, particularly having regard to its depth, but at the same time, it has a frontage on Bhawanishankar Road.
.. We have raken into account the fact that this plot is earmarked for parking purposes only by the Municipality for public use.
.. The plot is situated on Bhawanishankar Road, and the lane on its side which is being widened leads to a dead-end.

SCHEDULE " $B$ "-cont.

| Final | Decisions | Reasons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plot | (3) |  |
| No. | $(1)$ | (3) |

566 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yard
573 Increment reduced to Rs. 15 per sq. yard 581 Increment reduced to Rs. 16 per sq. yard

582 Increment reduced to Rs. 15 per sq. yard

596 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yard
598 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yard

609 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
615 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard
616 No increment to be charged .. ..
617 No increment to be charged .. ..

625 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
626 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
631 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard

633 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
634 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard.

643 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard

647 Increment reduced to Rs. 7 per sq. yard

656 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yard

657 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yard
677 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard

687 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
688 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
693 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
698 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per 8q. yard
706 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq. yard
707 Increment reduced to Rs. 16 per sq. yard by majority decision.

717 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard

## 'A'Section-cont.

.. We have taken into account the fact that the lane which is being widened and: made into a pucca road is an existing lane.
. . The user of this plot is residential only.
. This plot has a large frontage on Sayani Road and is in close proximity to Gokhale Road.
. This plot benefits considerably by the development of Tulsi Pipe Line Road with. which Sayani Road will form a junction in front of it. As, however, the plot already has access from Sayani Road which is an existing road, the increment has been reduced.
. . This plot has a frontage on Gokhale Road itself, but it benefits by the widening. of Elphinstone Road into a 130 feet road.
.. The road in front of it is no doubt to be widened into a 130 feet through road" but the plot is situated on an existing road and is not far from its junction with Gokhale Road.
.. This plot derives only an indirect benefit from the general development under the Scheme.
.. This land can only be used as a Recreation Ground. Though it is Municipal property, it has not been acquired under the Scheme.
.. Having regard to the user to which this plot is restricted, it can have no marketvalue on the footing that the Scheme is completed.
. . Having regard to the user to which this plot is restricted, it can have no marketvalue on the footing that the Scheme is completed.
'B' Section
. This plot is situated at the corner of existing roads and derives only an indirect benefit by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road and derives only an indirect benefit by the general development under the Scheme.
.. Though the plot benefits by the original passage, which was not a through passage, being converted into a through road, we have taken into account the fact that that road is to be a very narrow road.
. This plot is situated on Ranade Road extension which is an existing road.
.. Though the plot benefits by the original passage, which was not a through passage, being converted into a through road, we have taken into account the fact that that road is to be a very narrow road.
. Though the plot benefits by the original passage, which was not a through passage, being converted into a through road, we have taken into account thefact that that road is to be a very narrow road.
. This plot benefits by the development of the interior of the locality, but have taken into account the fact that the new through road is to be only 12 feet wide along its frontage on both sides.
. Under the Scheme this plot gets a frontage on a new 20 feet road, but we have taken into account the fact that under a document it has some sort of access from Cadell Road.
. This plot gets a frontage on a new 20 feet road, but we have taken into account the fact that under a document it has some sort of access from Cadell Road.
. This plot is situated on an existing road, though no doubt it benefits by the development at the rear occasioned by that road being continued further under the Scheme.

- This plot is situated on an existing road and is in close proximity to Ranade Road Extension.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road and is in close proximity to Ranade Road Extension.
. This plot is situated on Ranade Road which is an existing road.
. This plot has already a frontage on Ranade Road which is an existing road.
This plot benefits by reason of being earmarked for service industries in the Scheme, but it is not far away from Ranade Road itself.
This plot benefits considerably by a new 40 feet road to its east, a 30 feet passage to its north, and a Recreation Ground all along its southern boundary, but we have taken into account the fact that the user permitted under the Scheme is not industrial user.
. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road itself and benefits orly indirectly by the general development of the locality under the Scheme.

| Final <br> Plot <br> No. <br> (1) | Decisions | Reasons | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

'B' Section-cont.
718 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yard by This plot is situated on Gokhale Road itself and derives little benefit from the majoxity decision. The owner's claim for injurious affection is rejected as not being within section 29 (2) but within section 70 (2).
721 Increment reduced to Rs, 4 per sq. yard is .
24 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yard
We have taken into account the fact that apart from general benefit under the Scheme, this plot benefits only by the 40 feet road on which it opens out.

124 Increment reduced to Ro. 4 per $f_{i .}$."
725 Incroment reduoed to Rs. 12 per sq. yard .. Scheme, this plot benefits only by the 40 feet road on which it, opens out.
Under the Scheme, this plot gets a new 40 feet road to its west, and the road to its south has also been widened, but at the same time, the fact that it has a substantial frontage on the latter road cannot be ignored.
726 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yard .. This plot no doubt gets a frontage on a new 40 feet road, but it bas a frontage on an existing road to its south which is being widened and regularised under the Scheme.
727 Increment reduced to Rs. 11 per sq. yard by majority decision.

Under the Scheme this plot gets a frontage along a new road with a Recreation Ground by its side, but we have taken into account the fact that the new frontage it gets is small in relation to the depth of the plot.
732 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yard

744 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yard

755 Increment reduced to Rs. 7 per 8q. yard
757 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard
779 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yard

781 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yard

786 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard
787 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard .. i.
789 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 'per sq. 'yard
790 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yard

791 Incrément reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yard
792 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd. .. .. This plot is situated on Gokhale Road itself and benefits only indirectly by the
793 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd. .. .. The only advantage to this plot is the concreting of the existing passage which
794 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd. . . . This plot is in the interior and already has an access on Gokhale Road.
806 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd. .. .. This plot is situated on Gokhale Road itself.

823 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yd. . . . . Though benefiting by a 30 feet road to its west, this plot has a large frontage
825 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd. .. .. Though this plot gets the advantage of the widening of the road to its east

831 Increment reduced to Re. 5 per sq. yd. . . . . We have taken into account the fact thot this plot is situsted at the corner of

833 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd. . . . . This plot is in the interior and gets only an indirect benefit from the general
837. Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. $\mathbf{y d}$.
general development under the Scheme. is now to be made into a public passage.

821 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd. .. .. We hove taken into account the fact that it is situated on an existing road which has no doubt been widened and regularised under the Scheme. on Portuguese Church Street which is an existirg road we have taken into account the fact that it is situated on Portuguese Church Street which is an existing road. existing roads, though no doubt it benefits by the new 40 feet road to its west which opens up the interior of the locality. development under the Scheme.
Though this plot gets a new 40 feet road to its west, we have taken into account the fact that it has a frontage on an existing road to its south, which no doubt is being widened under the Scheme.
Though this plot benefits by the widening of the road to its south and by a new road to its east, we have.taken into account the fact that it 'has a frontage on Cadell Road.
. Though this plot gets a new 40 feet road which opens up the interior, we have taken into account the fact that the plot is situated on Cadell Road.
.. This plot is situated on Cadell Road itself, though no doubt, it gets a 10 feet passage along its northern boundary.
.. Though no doubt this plot gets the benefit of a new access and a Recreation Ground in the rear under the Scheme, we have taken into account the fact that it is situated right in the interior.
.. The 40 feet passage to its west comes to a dead-end near the Recreation Ground and the plot itself, though benefiting by the widening of the road to its north, is not far from Gokhale Road.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is in the interior and there is not much improvement in the access to it.
.. We have taken into account the fact that the passage to its south is an existing passage.
.. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road itself and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. We have taken into account the fact that the new passage to be made under the Scheme comes to a dead-end somewhere at the rear of the property which makes it difficult to develop the same.
.. The only advantage to this plot is the concreting of the existing passage which is now to be made into a public passage.
. Though no doubt this plot is situated on a road which leads to an entirely undeveloped area, we have taken into account the fact that it is only second
from the corner of an existing road.

A-1499-29.

| Final | Decisions | Reasons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plot |  |  |
| No. | (2) | (3) |

839 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.

844 Increment reduced to Rs. 7 per sq. yd.

858 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per.sq. yd.
867 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
871 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
'B' Section-cont.
. This plot has not been provided with access from ar frontage on any public road under the Scheme and benefits only indirectly by the general development of the interior.
.. Though this plot benefits by the frontage it now gets on a new 40 feet road to its side, we have taken into account the fact that it already has a frontage on an existing road.
. This plot is situated on Gokhale Road itself.
. We have taken into account the fact that under the Scheme its user is somewhat restricted.
.- This plot has no frontage on a public road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
877 Increment "reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd. by This plot is in the interior and has only a narrow access from Old Prabhadevi majority decision. Road.
880 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd. .. We have taken into account the fact that this plot has a frontage on an existing road, though no doubt it benefits by the widening of the road on its side.
881 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq. yd. by Though this plot is reserved for service industries, and now gets the benefit majority decision. of a large frontage on a 40 feet road, we have taken into account the fact that it is not far from Old Prabhadevi Road.
884 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
. This plot gets a new 40 feet road to its west, but it had access from a narrow lane to its north which is now being widened into a 20 feet road only.
885 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.
$\because$ This plot has access from a narrow lane, instead of which it will no doubt now have a frontage on 40 feet road.
886 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. gd. by This plot does not get a frontage on a road. majority decision.
889 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd. by This plot is not far from Gokhale Road itself. majority decision.
894 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
.. Though this plot benefits by the 80 feet road under the Scheme, it already has
895 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.
. This plot no doubt benefits by a new 40 feet road to its west, as well as by the widening of the road to its east into a 80 feet road along which asops will now be permitted under the Scheme. We have, however, taken into account the fact that its main frontage is on Khed Gully which is an existing road.
899 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.
-. This plot benefits by the widening of the road to its north, but we have taken into account the fact that it has frontages on two existing roads.
907 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
909 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd. by rajority decision.
. This plot is situated on an existing road and is in proximity to Khed Gully.
We have taken into sccount the fact that this plot is situated at the corner of two existing roads, though no doubt one of them is to be continued right through an area which was undeveloped so far.
910 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq.yd. by majority decision.
914. Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.

917 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.
918 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
923 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
924 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. $y d$.
925 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. gd.
926 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.

948 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.

950 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.

The plot is situated on Sayani Road and also has access from the east where there is a road leading to a dead-end.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot has frontages on two existing roids, though it does get an additional frontage on a new road to its east under the Scheme.
. Though this plot benefits by the new 40 feet road, it has access from a lane off Sayani Road.

- This plot is in the interior and only benefits by the widening of the passage into a 20 feet passage, which however leads to a dead-end.
.. This plot is no doubt situated on a new 80 feet road and is a vacant plot, but it is not far away from Sayani Road itself.
.. This plot is no doubt situated on a new 80 feet road and is a vacant plot, but it is not far away from Sayani Road itself.
.. This plot is no doubt situated on a new 80 feet road and is a vacant plot, but it is not far away from Sayani Road itself.
. Though this plot benefits by the new 80 feet road in front of it, we have taken into account the fact that it is not far from the comer of New Prabhadevi
Road. Road.
. Though this plot benefits by a new 40 feet road which opens up the interior of the localitv, we have taken into account the fact that it is situated on an
existing road.
-. We have taken into account the fact that its main frontage is on an existing

SCHEDULE " $B^{\prime \prime}$-cont.

| Final <br> Plot <br> No. <br> (1) | Decisions | Reasons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (2) |

951 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq. yd.

953 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq. yd.
968 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
977 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
981 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
982 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.

1010 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.
1016 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. ya.
1022 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1026 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1027 Increment reduced to Re. 3 per sq. yd.
1033 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. ya. majority decision. -
1038 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd. majority decision.

1047 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.

1059 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd. by Though this plot benefits by the new 50 feet road to its north which connects majority decision

1061 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.

1063 Increment reduced to Re. 6 per sq. yd.
1064 Increment reduced to Re. 5 per aq. yd.
1067 Increment reduced to Ra. 5 per sq. yd.
1070 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. ya.
1090 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd. Claim for injurious affection rejected.

1091 Increment reduced to Rs. 18 per sq. yd.

1095 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.
1096 Increment reduced to Rs. 14 per iq. yd.

1098 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
1111 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.

## 'B' Section-cont.

.. This plot is earmarked for a new industry in the Scheme, but we have taken into account the fact that it has a amall frontage on New Parbhadevi Road w.lich is an existing road.
.. We have taken into account the fact that it has a passage to its rear, and also has access in front from Sayani Road, though that was a dead-end.
$\therefore$ Though thus plot benefits by the 80 feet road under the Scheme, it already has access from Khed Gully along a fairly wide road.
.. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road itself and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road, but we have taken into account the fact that there is to be a Recreation Ground behind it.
.. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road, but we have taken into account the fact that there is to be a Recreation Ground behind is, and a 20 feet passage along its southern boundary.
.. Apart from the general benefit under the Scherme, this plot only benefits by the widening of the road on which it abuts into a 40 feet road.
.. This plot is not far away from Khed Gully which is an existing road.
.. This plot is situated at the corner of two existing roads.
.. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road itself.
.. This plot is situated on the main Gokhale Road itself.
by Though this plot benefits by the development of the interior, we have taken into account the fact that it is not far away from Sayani Road.
by Though this plot benefits by the regularising of the road on which it abuts, and by the development of the interior of the locality behind it, it has some sort of a road in front of it.
.. Though under the Scneme this plot is reserved for a new industry, we bave taken into account the fact that it is too small for industrial user and has a shape which makea it difficult to develop the same. it with the 130 feet road provided under the Scheme, this plot has a semicircular frontage on New Prabhadevi Road which is an existing road.
.. Though this plot benefits by the new passage whicn gives it access on New Prabhadevi Road, we have taken into account the fact that it is situated in the interior:
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is situated on an existing soad.
.. We have taken into account the fact that there is not much improvement in the road on which it abuts.
.. Tnis plot has access on a passage to its west which is now to be concreted under the Scheme.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the widening of the road to the south of the Standard Mills into a 40 feet road.
Though the existing industry on this plot is permitted to be continued under the Scheme, we have taken into account the fact that this plot has its frontage and main entrance on an existing road and is so situated that it cannot derive any substantial benefit from the development of the surrounding locality, including the 80 feet road to its west. We have also taken into account the large size of this plot which is over 48,000 square yards.
.. Under the Scheme this plot is reserved for a new industry and gets the advantage of a new road to its west, but we have taken into account the fact that it has a frontage on New Prabnadevi Road, and we have also taken into account the fact of the existence of some old structures on a part of that plot, though not their value.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot already has a frontage on Now Prabhadevi Road which is an existing road.
.. Though this plot benefits by the new 80 feet road, and also benefits by the existing indurtrial user being continued under the Scheme, we have taken into account the fact that a subatantial part of it is to be used only for residential purposes, and that it is also not far away from New Prabhadevi Road which is an existing road.
.. This plot benefits by the widening of Chawani Gully and the road on its side, but both these roads are existing roads, though narrow.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is situated on Cadell Road itaelf.

SCHEDULE " $B$ "-cont.

| Final <br> Plot <br> No. | ,$\quad$ Decisions |  | Reasons |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | , | $(2)$ | $\cdot$ | $(3)$ |

> ' B'Section-cont.

1113 Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd.
1115 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
1125 Increment reduced to Rs. 14 per sq. yd.

1128 Increment reduced to Rs. 7 per sq. yd.
1129 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.

- 1132 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.

1154 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yd. by majority decision.

1163 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.

1167 Increment reduced to Ra. 5 per sq. yd.

1174 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yd.

1175 Increment reduced to Rs. 4 per sq. yd.

1177 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1180 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1181 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1188 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1190 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1191. Increment reduced to Rs. 5 per sq. yd. by This plot is situated on Kashinath Dhuru Road which is an existing road. majority decision.
1202 Increment reduced to Rs: 10 per sq. yd. on lessor's appeal.
Lessee's appeal not maintainable as no increment has been charged to him and he is therefore not a "party aggrieved" within the terms of section 34.
1203 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.
1204 Increment reduced to Rs. 6' per sq. yd.

1205 Increment reduced to Ra. 3 per sq. yd.
1206 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1207 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd. by majority decision.

1211 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
.. This plot is in the interior and has no direct access.
.. This plot benefits by the interior development, but we have taken into account the fact that notwithstanding the passage on its north, having regard to the depth of the plot, its development would require the sacrifice of some of its area for laying out roads, etc.
We have also taken into account the fact that change of user under the Scheme affects this plot adversely.
.. This plot is situated on Cadell Road itself.
.. This plot is situated on the main Cadell Road itself.
.. This plot is situated on existing roads, though no doubt it benefits by the development of the interior of the locality under the Scheme.
.. We nave taken into account the fact that this plot is in the interior and does not get a frontage on the new 40 feet road to which it is given access.
.. This plot is situatec in an area which is at present an undeveloped area and does benefit by the Scherae, but we nave taken into account the fact that it is not far away from Caaell Road.
.. We have taken into account the fact that it already has a frontage on Cadell Road, though it now gets a frontage on the new 80 feet road also.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is situated on Cadell Road, though no doubt it now gets a frontage on the new 80 feet road also.

## ' C' Section

.. This plot is on an existing road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scherme.
We have talken info account the fact that though this plot benefits indirectly by the development of the area to the east of Cadell Road, it is situated on Cadell Road itself.
.. This plot is situated on two existing roads and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme. It is true that the existing industry is allowed to continue under the Scheme. but wé have taken into account the fact that the area of this plot is as extensive as nearly 58,000 square yards.
.. We have taken into account the tact that Kashinath Dburu Road is an existing road on which it has frontage, though no doubt this plot benefits by the development of other roads around it.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is situated on Cadell Road, though no doubt it benefits by the interior development on both sides of that road.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is situated on Cadell Road, tnough no doubt it benefits by the interior development on both sides of that road.
.. This plot is situated at the corner of two existing roads.
.. This plot is at the corner of two existing roads.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
.. This plot is situated on an existing road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
-. This plot is situated on an existing road and benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.

We have taken into account the fact that this plot is adversely affected by change of user under the Scheme.

Though this plot benefits by the widening and improvement of the road which that it ang its entire southern boundary, we have taken into account the fact that it has a frontage on Cadell Road.
.. The plot benefits no doubt by the widening and improvement of the road along its northern boundary, but we bave taken into account the fact that the passage to its west is somewhat irregular in width and leads to a dead-end.

SCHEDULE " $B$ "-cont.

| Final <br> Plot <br> No. <br> (1) | Decisions | Reasons |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $(2)$ |  | (3) |

1212 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd. . . Though this plot benefits by the widening of the road in front of it which leads straight out on to Cadell Road, and also by the widening of the road on which
, it has a frontage, we have taken into account the fact that the latter road leads to a dead-end as it proceeds to the.south.
1214 Increment reduced to Rs. 8 per sq. yd.

1215 Increment reduced to Rs. 12 per sq. yd.
1216 Increment reduced to. Rs. 9 per sq. yd.

1235 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
1242 Increment reduced to Rs. 3 per sq. yd.
.. This plot benefits only indirectly by the general development under the Scheme.
1260 Increment reduced to Rs. 10 per sq. yd.'

1261 Increment reduced to Rs. 13 per sq. yd.
1289 Increment reduced to Rs. 6 per sq. yd.
.. We have taken into account the fact that this plot is situated in the interior and does not benefit very much by the Scheme, though it does benefit by the improvement in its approach from Cadell Road.
. This plot benefits no doubt by being earmarked under the Scheme for anew industry, but tne fact that it is very much in the interior cannot be ignored.
.. This plot benefits no doubt by the widening of the road to its south, and also by the small frontage it now gets on Cadell Road, as well as by the existing industry being continued under the Scheme. We have however, taken - into account the enormous size of this plot which is over one lakh square yards.
.. This plot is situated on Cadell Road itself.
.. This plot is not far away from Cadell Road itself, tnough it does benefir by a new road to its west, as well as by the regularising of the roads to its north and east.
.. We have taken into account the fact that only a small part of this plot is earmarked for service industries.
.. This plot is situated in the interior and does not benefit very much by the improvements under the Scheme.
1290 Increment reduced to Rs. 7 per sq. yd. -
Though this plot gets a new 15 feet passage all along its eastern boundary Claim for injutious affection due to Burial Ground on Final Plot No. 1291 rejected.

1
J. R. VIMADALAL, President.
G. A. KAMTEKAR, Assessor:
H. N. KANGA, Assessor.

## SCHEDULE "C"

(INCREMENTS RAISED)

| Final <br> Plot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No- |
| (1) |


| Final |
| :--- |
| Plot |
| No. |

(1)


#### Abstract

'A' Section Regarded as one plot, this plot abuts on N. C. Kelkar Road, though no doubt the widening of the road on its side on which it has a long frontage, benefits the plot.


This plot has four existing roads around it, only one of which is to be slight] improved.

Over 70 per cent of the area of this composite plot is earmarked for industry, and it also benefits by an entirely new road all along its southern boundary as well as by Tulsi Pipe Line land to its east becoming a public road. It frontage on Dadar Road also is being improved. We have also taken in to account the large size of this composite plot and the fact that it has frontage on Bhawanishankar Road as well as on Dadar Road, though they are some what irregular.
It may be mentioned that on the matter being remitted for re-consideration by the Town Planning Officer, the urinal (Final Plot No. 492) located on Final Plot No. 490 nas been removed altogether from the vicinity of this plot.

We have taken into account the depth of this plot and the fact that its frontage on the new road is encumbered with a Fazandari-holding.
ncrement fixed at Rs. 10 per sq. yd. for both
these plots which being continuous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

Increment fired at'Rs. 10 per sq. yd. on both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

Increment fixed at Rs. 10 per su. yd. at a uniform rate for these three Final Plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

Increment fired at Rs. 16 per aq. yd. These plots, being a continuous portion of land held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

Increment fixed at Rs. 10 per sq. yd. for both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

Increment fixed at Rs. 12 per sq. yd. at a uniform rate for both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the pupose of estimating increment.

Increment fixed at Rs. 9 per sq. yd. at a uniform rate for these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one 'plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

SCHEDULE ' $D$ "-cont.

| Final | Decisions | Reasons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plot |  |  |
| No. | $(2)$ | (3) |

## 'B' Section-cont.

Increment fixed at Rs. 7 per sq. vd. at a uniform rate for both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.
Claim for injurious affection rejected as not maintainable.
Proportion under section 66 confirmed as there is no reason to make a distinction with regard to this individual plot.
ncrement fixed at Rs . 7 per sq.v.d. at a uniform rate for both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.
erement fixed at a uniform rate of Rs. 7 per sq.ed. for Final Piot Nos. 854 and 857 [excluding 7732 squre vards being the area and extended area for the use of the cenctery which has been exempted under section 32 (i) (vii) by the Town Planning Cfficer]. Since both these plots are contiguous and were held in one ownership at the material date, they must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

941 Increment fixed at Rs. 7 per sel.s. at a uniform rate for tiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must he regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

Increment fixed at Rs. 14 per sq.yd. at a uniform rate in respect of both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.
991 Increment fixed at Rs. 10 per sq.yd. for both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

1048 Increment fixed at Rs. If per sq.yd. at a uni1060 form rate for both these plots, which being contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.
Claim for injurious affection re. Final Plot No. 1048 rejected as not maintainable.
1086 Increment fixed by majority decision at Rs. 20 per sq. Yd. for beth these Final plots, which beine contiguous and held in one ownership at the material date, must be regarded as one plot for the purpose of estimating increment.

Though the eastern frontage of this plot is situated in an undeveloped locality, we have taken into account the fact that it has two more frontages on exisisting roads.

Though this plot benefits by a new 40 feet rnad to its south-west, we have taken into account the fact that it has a fairly good frontage on Portuguese Church Strect which is an existing rond.

Though no doubt a part of this plot is to lie reserved for service industries and gets the hencfit of a new 40 feet road to its south. we have taken into account the fact that it has a large frontuge on Gokhale Road and also a small frontage on Portuguese Church Sureet, both of which are existing roads.

Though this plot benefits by the new 40 feet road to its west, we have taken into account the fact that it is in the interior and is infested with a lazandaripocket.

We have taken into account the fact that this plat has a frontare on New Prahhaderi Road which is an existing road, and al:o has access from Sayani Road which is also an existing road. Wie have also taken into account the size of this plot which is over $28,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{yd}$.

These plots have no doubt been provided with an access and have the facility of a Recreation Ground under the Scheme, but it cannot be overlooked that they are interior plots.

The main frontage of this plot is on an existing road, and more than half of it is earmarked for user for residential purposes only.

This plot is reserved for a new indusery and has a frontage on two roads, both of which are being widened under the Seheme from Mere lanes-one into a 40 fect road, and the other into a 80 feet toad.

## 'C' Sectión

We have taken into account the fact that Ranade Road Extension is an existing road, and that the plot is awkward in shape.

A fairly big portion of this plot is reserved for a new service industry, and the greater part of this whole plot is vacant land. We have, however, taken into account the fact that it has a frontage on Cadell Road.

SCHEDULE "D"-cont.

| Final |
| :--- |
| Plot |

No.
(1)

| Bombay: | J. R. VIMADALAL, |
| :---: | :---: |
| The 8th November 1962. | Fresident. |
|  | G. A. KAMTEKAR, |
| Assessor. |  |
|  | H. N. KANGA, |
| Assessor. |  |



\author{
J. R. VIMADALAL,

President. <br> G. A. KAMTEKAR, Assessor. H. N. KANGA, Assessor.
}

Bombay : The 8ih November 1962


[^0]:    LLessor-Nil. Lessee- $1,950$.
    tLessor-Nil. Lessee $=+3,232$.
    (a) No incremental Contribution under section 32 (1) (vii) on half ares occupied by Masjid.
    (b) No Incremental Contribution under section 32 (1) (vii) on half area occupied by Free Reading Room and Library.
    (o) Lessor-Nil. Lesseem $+1,128$.

[^1]:    $\bullet$ Existing right of way of F. P. 119 and 120 over F. P. 114 and 115 is hereby retained.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ No incremental contribution under section 32 (1) (vii).
    $\dagger$ Leasors $=$ Nil. $\quad$ Lessee $=+940$.
    1 Contribution to be paid by Leasor $=58.45$ per cent.
    Contribution to be paid by Lessees $41 \cdot 55$ per cent.
    Lessor $=+5$, 401. $\quad$ Lessee $=+3,839$.

[^3]:    a) Holder to continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
    (b) Notice of Lispendens.
    (c) Notice is given to all concerned that a Suit in respect of this property is pending in the Court.
    (d) Property attached by the Collector of Bombay for recovery of Income-Tax dues.

[^4]:    *Temple. No contribution under section 32 (i) (vii).

[^5]:    (a) 50 per cent exemption granted in the incremental contribution under section 32 (1) (vii).
    (b) Farzandars-Nil. Holder- +3 , 161 .
    (c) Temple. No Incremental Contribution under section 32 (1) (vii).
    (d) Holders to continue to pay the encisting Fazandari rent.
    (e) The rights of the Fazandars will be governed in accordance with the consent decree, dated 8 th April 1911 in High Court Suit No. 306 of 1909.

    A-1499-9-A

[^6]:    by acquired and not transferred to the F. P.

[^7]:    - Holder to continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.

    Fazandars $=$ Nil, Holder $=+6,865$.

    + Contribution under section 67 to be paid by Lessor.
    Incremental Contributon under section 66 to be paid by Lessor 85.25 per cent.
    Incremental Contribution under section 66 to be paid by Lessee 14.75 per cent.
    Lessor $=+12,736, \quad$ Lessee $=+514$.
    Existing lease rent is increased to Rs. 290 per month.

[^8]:    -Lessor $=$ Nil, Lessees $=+23,173$. Leasees to receive compensation under Section 67 nnd to pay contribution under Section
    $\dagger$ Lessor $=$ Nil, Lessees $=$ Nil, Sub-lessees $=+21,468$. Sub-lessees to receive compensation under Section 67 and to pay the parties.
    IContribution under Section 67 to be paid by Lessor.
    Incrementel Coneribution under Section 66 to be paid by Lessor 63.05 per cent.
    Incremental Contribution under Section 66 to be paid by Lessee 36.95 per cent.
    Lessor $=+1,243$, Lessee $=+310$. Lessee shall continue to pay the existing lease rent.
    § Masjid-No Incremental Contribution under Section 32 (1) (vii).

[^9]:    66. Lessees to continue to pay the existing lease rent to the Lessors as per agreement between the parties.
    67. Lessees to continue to pay the existing lease rent to to pay the existing lease rent to the lessees as per agreement between contribution under Section 66. Sub-lessecs to continue to pay the exing
[^10]:    -Contribution to be paid by Lessor 60.35 per cent.
    Contribution to be paid by Lessee 39.65 per cent.
    Lessor $=+2,942$, Lessee $=+1,933$.
    $t$ Contribution under Section 67 to be paid by Lessor.
    Incremental Contribution under Section 66 to be paid by Lessor 65.85 per cent.
    Lessor $=+2,288$, Lessee $=+207$, 66 to be paid by Lessec $34 \cdot 15$ per cent.
    Lesser $=+2,288$, Leasee $=+207$.
    Lessee shall continue to pay the Existing lease rent.
    IExisting right of way of F. P. 586 over F. P. 585 is
    $\$$ Existing right of way of $F$
    F
    Contribution to be paid by Lessor 58.7 . P. 585 is hereby retained.
    Contribution to be paid by Lessor 15.7 per cent.
    Lessor $=+2,895$; Lessece $=+15,545$.

[^11]:    $\bullet$ Fazandar $=$ Nil, Holder $=+10,800$. Holder to continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ Less or $=$ Nil. Lesseesm +420 .

[^13]:    - This property is reported to have been purchased by the "Park Co-operative Houning Society Ltd."

[^14]:    (a) Exi:ting tight of way of F. P. 679 over F. P. 678, if any, is hereby tetained.
    (b) Exitine right of way of F. P. 679 over F. P. 678 , if any, is hereby retained.
    (c) Existing risht of way of F. P. 683 over F. P. 680 and 681 , if any, is hereby retained.
    (d) Fxi,ting richt of way of F. P. 683 over F. P. 680 and 681 , if any, is hereby retained.
    (e) Existing right of wiy of F. P. 683 over $\dot{F} . \dot{P} .680$ and 681 , if any, is hereby retained.
    "Contribution to be paid by Lessor 24.45 per cent. Contribution to be paid by Lessee 75.55 per cent. Lessor $=+355$,
    (f) Existing riwht of way of F. P. 684 over F. P. 685 , if any, is hereby retained.
    (g) Exi wing ripht of way of F. P. 684 over F. P. 685 , if any, is hereby retained.

    A-1499—14-B

[^15]:    - Notice of lispendence, dated 3rd February 1958 by Martand Waman Joshi in Suit No. 1240 of 1957 so that this property cannot be transferred by anybody.
    + Fazandar $=$ Nil, Holder $=+7,424$.
    Holder shall continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
    $\ddagger$ Value of the structures on O. P. $110-\mathrm{B}, 110-\mathrm{C}, 110-\mathrm{E}$ and $110-\mathrm{F}$.
    § Fazandar $=-20$, Holder $=-1,180$.
    (a) Fazandar $=-20$, Holder $=-2,380$.
    (b) Fazandar $=-60$, Holder $=-17,619$.
    (c) Fazandar $=-60$, Holders $=-14,815$.
    (d) Fazandar $=-80$, Holder $=-12 ; 710$.
    (e) Fazandar $=-20$, Holder $=-4,180$.

[^16]:    ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) Fazandar $=$ Nil, Holder $=+11,130$. Holder shall continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
    ( $\dagger$ ) Lessors $=$ Nil. Lessees $=+9,587$. Compensation under section 67 to bo paid to the Lessees who shall continue to pay the existing lease rent.
    $\ddagger$ Contribution under section 67 to be paid by Lessor. Lessor $=+1,540$, Lessees $=+13,220$. Fxisting lease rent is increased to Rs. $5,922 \cdot 50$ per annum as per agreement between the parties.
    $\$$ Contribution under section 67 to be paid by Lessor. Lessor $=+8,178$, Lessees $=+12,840$. Existing lease rent is increased to Rs. $4,795 \cdot 75$ per annum as per agreement between the parties.
    ii. This land is reported to bave been leased to 'Amar Hind Mandal'.
    *" This land is in occupation of B. J. Kore, with his house thereon. A suit No. 1037 of 1953 on the question of ownership of the land is pending in the High Court between the Church and B. J. Kore.
    $\dagger \dagger$ Fazandar $=$ Nil, Holders $=+4,316$. Holders shall continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
    $\ddagger \ddagger$ Contribution to be paid by Lessor 23.11 per cent. Contribution to be paid by Lessees 76.99 per cent. Lessor $-+1,420$, Lessces $=+4,725$.

[^17]:    - Lessors $=+2,250$, Lessee $=$ Nil.

    Ltd. ${ }^{\dagger}$
    Lessors $=$ Nil., Lessee $=+1,193$. A-1499-16-B

[^18]:    - Lessors $=$ Nil, Lessees $=+31,105$. The lessees shall continue to pay the existing lease rent.
    $\dagger$ Lessors $=$ Nil, Lessee $=-5,875$. Compensation under section 67 to be paid to Lesseo who shall continue to pay the existing lease rent.

    The Lessors are reported to have terminated the lease and re-entered on the plot. Existing right of way of O. P. 187 and 187-A (corresponding F. P. No. 833) over O. P. 184, if any, is hereby transferred along the castern boundary of F. P. 830.
    $\ddagger$ Existing right of way of O.P. 187 and $187-A$ (corresponding F. P. No. 833) over O. P. 184, if any, is hereby tranaferred along the eastern boundary of F. P. No. 830.
    $\$$ Value of the structure on O. P. 188.

[^19]:    Existing right of way of F. P. 839 over F. P. 837, if any, is hereby retained
    $\ddagger$ Existing right of way of F.P. 839 over F.P. 837 , if any, is hereby retained. High Court. Notice is given to all concerned that Suit No. 15
    § Land under acquisition under the Land Acquisition 1953 in respect of this property is pending in the
    II Fazandar $=$ Nil, Holders $=+4,392$. Holders ohall for Government Technical High School at Dadar.
    Fazandar $=$ Nil, Holders $=+7,083$. Holders shall continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.

[^20]:    ${ }^{-}$Existing right of way of F.P. 921 over F.P. 920 is hereby retained.

    - Fazandar $=$ Nil, Holder $=+486$.

    Holder shall continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
    $\dagger$ Fazandar= Nil, Holders $=-280$.
    $\ddagger$ Value of structures on O.P.283-A and 283-B.
    1 Contains 2 Fazandari Holdings one comprised in C.S. No. $1 / 1177$, admeasuring 100 sq . yds., held by Joseph D'Abrao (Holder) and the other comprised in C.S. No. $2 / 1177$ and $3 / 1177$ admeasuring 158 and 2 sq . yda, reapectively total 160 sq . yds. held by J. G. Crasto and T. V. Crasto (Holders), the Fazandar in both the cases being the Vicar and Wardens of the Church of N. S. D. Salvacao.

[^21]:    ${ }^{\bullet}$ Property attached by the Collector of Bombay for recovery of income-tax dues.

[^22]:    - Lessors = Nil, Lessee $=+1,75,545$. Contribution under section 67 to be paid by Lessee who shall continue to pay the existing lease rent. Lessors' interests over the original piot are transferred to the corresponding areas of the Final Plot. $\dagger$ Temple. No Incremental contribution under section 32 (1) (vii).

[^23]:    Fazandars-Nil. Holder $=+13,025$. Holder to continuc to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
    $\dagger$ Burning and Burial ground. No contribution under Section 32 (1) (vii).
    $\ddagger$ Municipal Water Course No. 78 .

[^24]:    - Compensation under Section 67 for land to be paid to Lessor and for structure to be paid to Lessee. The existing lease rent is reduced to Rs. $78 \cdot 40 \mathrm{nP}$. per month.
    Incremental Contribution under Section 66 to be paid by Lessor 78.85 per cent.
    Incremental Contribution under Section 66 to be paid by Lessee $21 \cdot 15$ per cent.
    Lessor $=-1,5 \% 9, \quad$ Lessee $=-286$.
    $\dagger$ Compensation under Section $\overline{67}$ for land to be paid to lessor and for structure to be paid to lessee. The existing lease rent is reduced to Rs. $368 \cdot 30 \mathrm{P}$. per month.
    Incremental Contribution under Section 66 to be paid in full by the Lessor as per agreement between the parties.
    Lessor $=+12,250, \quad$ Lessee $=-510$.
    $\ddagger$ Value of the structure on O. P. 77-A.
    §Fazandar $=-100$, Holder $=-7,750$.

[^25]:    ${ }^{\bullet}$ Lessor $=\mathrm{NiI}$, Lessee $=+14,450$.
    Contribution under Section 67 to be
    $\dagger$ Fazandar $=-40$, Holder $=-13,370$ by the lessee who ahall continue to pay the existing lease rent.
    IFazandars $=$ Nil, Holder $=+5,496$
    Existing right of way of F. P. No. 1253 over F. P. No. 1255 is hereby retained
    Holder to continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.
    §Existing right of way of F. P. No. 1253 over F. P. No.

[^26]:    $-3,626$ sq. yds. to be on L. N. A. tenure.
    $\dagger 3,449$ sq. yds. to be on L. N. A. tenure.
    $\pm$ Compensation under section 67 for land to be paid to lessor and for structure to be paid to the lessee. The existing lease rent is reduced to Rs. 1,107 per annum.

    Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessor 37.15 per cent.
    Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessee 62.85 per cent.
    Lessor $=-25,013$, Lessee $=+6,045$.
    §Fazandars $=$ Nil. Holdersa $+3,715$. Holders to continue to pay the existing Fazandari rent.

[^27]:    ${ }^{\bullet}$ Compensation for land under section 67 to be paid to lessor. The existing lease rent is reduced to Rs. 2,506-50 p. per annum. Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessor 10.1 per cent.
    Incremental contribution under section 66 to be paid by lessee 89.9 per cent.
    Lessor $=-1,263$, Lessee $=+7,223$.
    $\dagger$ Burial ground. No contribution under section 32 (1) (vii).
    (1) Site for Hospital.
    (2) Site for Chowky.
    $\ddagger$ Contribution under Section 67 at half the value of the land.
    §. Incremental Contribution under Section 66 at half the percentage rate proposed for the whole Scheme.

