THE NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY

TWENTYSECOND ROUND (URBAN): JULY 1967—JUNE 1968

NUMBER 187

PILOT ENQUIRY ON BUILDING CONSTRUCTION— SOME RESULTS



Issued By

THE CABINET SECRETARIAT: GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

7.0 M7

PRINTED BY THE MANAGER, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PRESS, NASIK IN 1970 AND PUBLISHED BY THE MANAGER OF PUBLICATIONS, CIVIL LINES, DELHI-6.

D1:7.2.N7 L0 138209

Price: (Inland) Rs. 5.50 (Foreign) 12s. 10d. or \$1 98 Cents.

CONTENTS

																P	ages No
1. INTRODUCTION	i	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	٠		•	1
2. SUMMARY OF	REST	ULTS		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1-0
J. SOME EXPERIEN	(CES	of T	HB I	MSS F	IE L D	AMD	TEC	CHMI	CAL	STAPE	I	DATA	CO	LLEC	HOIT	•	6-1
4. Appendix 1—LIST	TOP	TABI	ES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
5. APPENDIX 1—TAB	LES				•						•	•	•			•	P-33
6. Appendix 2—TAB	LES (OM B	AR.	IMU	AMD	TIR	UCH	IRAP.	ALLI			•	•	•		•	84-37
7. Appendix 3—BXT	raci	13 F R	OM	ims7	RUC	TION	S TC	PIE	LD V	V OR K I	ERS	•	•	•	•	•	11-43
8. APPENDIN 4-FAC	SIMII	LE O	T	IB SC	HEDI	ULES	OF	EMQ	UIRT	•		•					45-59

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY

22ND ROUND (URBAN): JULY 1967—JUNE 1968

NUMBER : 187

PILOT ENQUIRY ON BUILDING CONSTRUCTION—SOME RESULTS

This Report entitled "Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction—Some Results, National Sample Survey twenty-second round (Urban): July 1967 to June 1968" was prepared by the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta. The views contained in the Report are not necessarily those of the Government of India".

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction which was done by NSS during the 22nd round at the suggestion of NBO, was carried out in 14 towns in all including two in West Bengal. The suggestion for a Pilot Enquiry arose out of the need for implementation of the Fourth Five-Year Plan Schemes of Survey of Investment in and financing of building construction. As an objective of the enquiry it was proposed to throw up information on the location of conspicuous areas of building construction activity in a town through the two sources, municipal sanction list and on-the-spot enquiry. This information was considered to be of advantage in designing a regular enquiry in future. As further objectives it was stated, that the enquiry would examine the two approaches for this type of study, viz. the site approach and the household approach. Thus in the selected areas of a town, listing was done for both houses and households and samples selected from these two lists were the subject of enquiry on the basis of a common schedule detailing various questions on constructions performed. As a comparative study one or two MSS blocks in the selected towns were also taken up for this enquiry.
- 1.2 In all 10 blocks from each town were selected for listing of all houses, completed or incomplete situated there, along with information on several broad items relating to new construction activity, if any, during the preceding five years. Out of these, 5 blocks were selected from each town where all the households were listed and similar information on new construction activity of the household (irrespective of location of the construction was collected. Mow these sets of blocks for investigation were primarily selected on the basis of information on density of construction obtained from (i) municipal sanction list and (ii) on-the-spot enquiry. The former of these sources was designated as selection code 1 and latter, selection code 2. The MSS blocks constituted the residual component designated by selection code 3.
- 1.3 Apart from listing and broad information on construction, a schedule on a fairly detailed items of information on construction was canvassed in 8 units out of the total list of houses and households which were obtained from the selected blocks. Thus 4 houses and 4 households from each town were made the units of investigation for the detailed schedule.
- 1.4 Some of the broad findings of this enquiry are presented in this note. These results are based on 12 towns out of 14. Data from two towns, namely Barauni and Tiruchirapalli showing incompleteness in certain respects arising from non-availability of certain basic information were tabulated separately. In Barauni information was collected only from on-the-spot enquiry while in Tiruchirapalli information for the basic schedule on the listing of blocks from on-the-spot enquiry, schedule C(O), showing density of building construction activity for sample selection was not available. Some results of these two towns, however, have been presented separately in Appendix II. The remaining 12 towns are: 1. Balasore, 2. Calcutta., 3. Chandigarh, 4. Dabhoi, 5. Dwarka, 6. Faridabad, 7. Gwalior, 8. Hospet, 9. Jaipur, 10. Sadabad, 11. Siliguri, 12. Visakhapatnam.
- 1.5 This Pilot Enquiry started in February 1968 in the fourth sub-round of the NSS 22nd round. Though the field work was expected to be over by the end of the 22nd round, the work extended beyond the expected period in a few towns and all the remaining schedules from the field were received by October 1968. A preliminary note on this survey was, however, submitted to the Government in February, 1969.

' Summary of Results

2.1 Location of concentration of building construction activity.—The enquiry shows that building construction activity is not evenly spread out over a town. The enquiry has suggested that there are areas with high building construction activity in a town and that these can be located with the help of (i) municipal sanction list and (ii) on-the-spot enquiry. It is found that for the selection code 1 (i.e. blocks chosen from municipal

^{*}The draft report (No. D211) was first submitted by the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, to the Government of Indian October, 1969. It was revised subsequently and resubmitted in April 1970.

sanction list), of the total blocks 8% show high density and 14% of medium density. For selection code 2 (blocks chosen from on-the-spot enquiry) it is observed that on the average 7% reported to be of high density and 11% of medium density as seen from table (A) below:

TABLE (A): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF BLOCKS BY DENSITY OF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION FOR SELECTION CODE 1 AND SELECTION CODE 2

									density	of buildli	ng construc	tion
								•	high	medium	others	total
	(1)					·			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(i) selection code 1							•		8.09	14-39	77 · 52	100.00
(ii) selection code 2		•			•	•			7.37	10.87	81.76	100.00

^{2.2} Incidence of new construction.—From a listing of all houses it has been found that in the sample blocks selected through each of the two selection codes the percentage of new construction is almost the same on the average. The percentage of new construction for selection code 1 is 11.30 and that for selection code 2 is 11.91. But whereas the blocks selected on the basis of information on density of construction had shown the expected incidence of actual construction, the NSS blocks reported a markedly lower incidence of seuch activity. This can be seen from table (B) below:

TABLE (B): PERCENTAGE OF NEW CONSTRUCTION, ADDITION AND IMPROVEMENT TO ALL HOUSES BY SELECTION CODE

item/all to	own.	ı											рего	entage (0·00)
пошуви и	A III 3	•										•	new construc- tion	addition and im- provement	total
(D)	,					-							(2)	(3)	(4)
1. selection code 1		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11.30	6-55	17-8
. 2. selection code 2		•	٠	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	11.91	4-34	16-23
3. NSS sample block				•					,				3.19	2.59	5.78

^{2.3} The municipal sanction list is a necessity for bigger towns and the records of sanction are available though not infrequently they are not uniform. The staff are generally cooperative particularly when prior engagements are made with them by the superior officers. In regard to smaller towns where municipal sancti on list has been reported to be sometimes irregular and where construction activity is generally a spread-out affair on-the-spot enquiry through the entire township, as has been done in this pilot eenquiry, suggests a desirable approach.

^{2.4} Purpose of new construction.—In the pilot enquiry the new buildings in the household esector only have been covered and it has been seen that these are built primarily for residential purposes. Table (4) of the Appendix 1 prepared through house sample unit shows that on the average 92% of the new construction are for residential purposes, 6% for commercial purposes and 1% for non-family dwelling. Table (5) oef the Appendix 1 prepared through household sample unit also gives similar results, constructione for dwelling purposes being slightly higher and that for commercial purposes slightly lower.

^{2.5} Again the enquiry shows that for all the centres taken together the total construction activity comprises incomplete construction to the extent of 30 to 35% (table 6 of the Appendix 1).

2.6 Construction responsibility.—Construction responsibility is borne mainly by the owners themselves in the matter of new constructions as seen in Table (C) below.

TABLE (C): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF CONSTRUCTION RESPONSIBILITY BY SELECTION CODE

		İtem									percentag	e of constr	uction res	ponsionii
									•		owner	contractor i	non- report	totai
		(1)			 						(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
						t)	hrough	hous	e unit	•				
1. selection code 1				•		•					90.29	7.51	2.20	100.0
2. selection code 2	•										95.10	3.79	1.11	100.0
3. NSS blocks (code	3)	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		69.40	23 · 28	7.32	100.0
l						thro	ugh k	ouseh	old u	ui <i>t</i>				
1. selection code 1											88.31	7-46	4-23	100.0
2. selection code 2				•							90.70	7-36	1.94	100-0
3. NSS blocks (code	3)		•								95-92	2.04	2.04	100 0

Alike for houses as sample, for household as sample also, a similar predominance of the owner bearing the responsibility of construction has been observed. Table (C) shows that house samples also have a similar pattern for responsibility of construction.

2.7 Residence of owners.—These owners of newly constructed buildings mostly resided within the block itself. Having taken all towns together, about 78% and 74% of the owners respectively from selection codes 1 and 2 resided within the block where new construction took place. For the NSS sample block, this percentage is not much different. About 16% of the owners were found to reside outside the block but within the town as seen from Table (D) below j

TABLE (D): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF OWNERS OF NEW BUILDING BY RESIDENCE OF THE OWNER AND BY SELECTION CODE

		:								residenc	e of owner	(percentag	e distributio	n)
		item		V						within block	outside block but within town	outside town	non- report	total
		(1)				· -				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
							throug	k koi	ise ui	uit				
1. selection code 1		•	•	•	•	•		•		77 • 62	16.07	6-17	0.14	100-60
2. selection code 2		•	•	•			•	•	•	74-36	14.52	11.00	0.12	100.00
3. NSS blocks (code	3)		•	. •	•	٠	• .	•	•	73 • 2	9 16-15	10.56	Nil	100-C0
				•			throug	h ho	uselio	d uniț				
											within block	outside block	not reporting	total
1. selection code 1		•									88.56	7-21	4-23	100.00
2. selection code 2			٠	•	•		•	٠			86.82	11-24	1-94	100-00
3. NSS blocks (code	3)	•		•			•		••		83 · 67	14-29	2.04	100-00

Results through the household unit in Table D also show that percentage of house owners constructing new houses within the block is slightly higher than the situation when the sample unit is a house. The presumption that owner constructor while constructing the house has shifted on to the locality is not a very unnatural one. Moreover, houses under construction where no such shifting of the constructor has taken place have of course been excluded from the household as a sample unit. Thus it is quite likely that a larger number of samples (houses under construction) are likely to come through the house approach particularly in selection methods 1 and 2 than through the household approach.

2.8 Informant type.—In the house approach these owners of the new houses could be contacted by our investigators in 61 and 65 per cent of cases in respect of selection method 1 and selection method 2 respectively as against 53 per cent in the NSS block as can be seen in Table (E) below. The relatives of the houseowners at construction sites and in houses were available in 18, 13, and 16 per cent of cases for selection method 1, selection method 2 and the NSS block respectively. In the household approach, of course, the owners came in larger numbers as informants constituting 74 and 79 per cent from selection method 1 and selection method 2 respectively. The contact with the owners has been greater in selection codes 1 and 2 both in the house and household units than it has been with those of the corresponding units of the NSS blocks (selection code 3).

TABLE (E): DISTRIBUTION OF INFORMANT TYPE IN HOUSES AT SITES OF CONSTRUCTION AND IN HOUSEHOLD BY SELECTION METHOD

	· i	informant	type (th	rough ho	use unit	:)	inf	ormant t	ype (thre	ough hou	sehold unit)
item -	owner	contractor or hired- man	relative of owner	others	non-report	total	owner	contractor or hired- man	relative of owner	others	non-report total
(1)	. (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12) (13)
1. selection code 1 . 2. selection code 2 . 3. NSS blocks code 3	60 · 94 64 · 89 52 · 85	2·81 13·19 4·53	17·55 12·90 16·43	12·39 13·29 25·24	6·31 5·73 0·95	100·00 100·00 100·00	73·80 79·15 47·26	1·09 1·40 0·68	15·81 14·99 43·15	5·43 3·52 7·53	1.86 100.00 2.11 100.00 6.85 100.00

^{2.9.} Availability of detailed information.—It has been seen that as between houses and households as sample units of investigation, the level of availability of information on detailed items is about the same. In formation on stage of construction, purpose, month and year of construction, construction responsibility is easily available. The exact answer with respect to date of construction and completion is not so easily obtained. Owner's residence is generally available. Again, items like value of land, area of premises, number of roomsdrinking water and electricity facilities were reported generally. Expenditure for land development was generally unreported probably because land development was not required generally for building construction or these incidental expenditures were included in the cost of building construction (Appendix 1, table no. 15)

TABLE (F): PERCENTAGE OF REPORTING SAMPLES ON QUANTITY AND COST OF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO TOTAL HOUSES/HOUSEHOLDS WITH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY (ALL TOWNS COMBINED)

	item										Quantity unit	construction	ם
	 										quantity unit	Quantity	cost
	 (1)					. <u> </u>		•			(2)	(3)	(4)
•				th	rough	k hous	e sam	ple ni	eit .			.,,	
1. bricks .	•									•	1000	72-00	80.00
2. sand			•	•				•	. '	٠	cu.m.	48.00	84.00
3. cement .					•		•	•	•		ton (0.00)	72.00	80.00
4. timber .											CM.M.	48.00	76.00
5. iron and steel											m. tonne	40.00	80-00
6. labour : skilled											man-days	56.00	80·C0
7. labour : unskilled							•				man-days '	56.00	76.00
				thre	ough i	housel	hold s	ample	unit		• • • •		,
1. bricks			•		•				•		1000	77.27	81-82
2. sand		•	•	- 1							cu.m.	68 • 18	81 - 82
3. cement .					٠.		_		•		ton (0.00)	72-73	81-84
4. timber .		•						• .			cu.m.	59•09	68-18
5. iron and steel			•								m. tonne	54 55	-68-08
6. labour : skilled											man-days	50.00	-
7. labour : unskilled			•			٠,					man-days	40.91	63 · 64

- 2.10. Again Table (F) above shows percentage of reporting samples on quantity and cost of building construction materials like bricks, sand, cement, timber, iron and steel and labour charges. Costs on these items have been reported by 80% of cases (except for timber and unskilled labour) both in the house and household sample units. The corresponding quantity figures have been found to be comparatively difficult for the informant to supply. It may be observed, in Table nos. 20 and 21, Appendix 1 that similar difficulty has been the experience of field with regard to separately showing labour charges from the total charges on account of sanitary, plumbing and electrical fittings. Separate charges on account of skilled and unskilled labour have been reported to be sometimes a baffling experience. Apart from this, non-users of specific building materials not having been excluded from the base for percentage of reporting households, percentage reporting other specific items of building materials has to a great extent fallen. The different types of building constructions in the enlarged concept of Pucca Houses for this enquiry have a possibility of lowering the reporting percentages for each cell (table nos. 20 and 21, Appendix 1.)
- 2.11 The average cost of construction per new house including complete and incomplete houses for all the selected towns together (arrived at from the sample units) comes to about Rs. 18 thousand. This has been reported from both the house and household as investigation units. The costs of additions and improvements per house reporting such expenditure are about Rs. 5 thousand and 4 thousand for house unit and household units respectively (Table G below).

TABLE (G): (A) AVERAGE COST OF CONSTRUCTION PER NEW HOUSE AND OF ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS, AND (B) PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SOURCES OF FINANCE THROUGH HOUSE UNIT AND HOUSEHOLD UNIT

		,	it	em								through house unit	throuh household unit
			ţ1)		•						(2)	(3)
a)								·					
1. average cost of cor	nstruc	tion 1	per ne	w ho	use (F	cs.)			•		•	18365	18370
2. average cost of ad	dition	s and	impr	ovem	ents p	er ho	use (R	(s.)	•	•		4882	4062
(b)													
percentage distribution	on of s	source	es of f	inanc	e (0·0	0)							
(i) savings	•		•					•	•			65 · 89	63.79
(ii) inherited w	ealth											8.88	0.88
(iii) Provident fu	ind et	c.								•		1.04	2.87
(iv) borrowing					•			•				24 · 19	30-75
(y) others					•						•	**	1.71
(1) 0122110													

- 2.12 The main source of finance for construction activity has been reported to be savings. About 89% of houses and 83% of households reported savings as the principal source. Savings along with inherited wealth and Provident Fund account for nearly 76 and 68% of the total cost of new constructions in the house and household units respectively.
- 2.13 The rest is financed by borrowing. Between 36 and 40% of the sample units resort to borrowing Borrowing from Government sources in the form of house building loan has been reported from big towns like Calcutta and Chandigarh. Nearly 17 to 18 per cent of the sample units engaged in new construction had resorted to loan from individual persons. (Table no. 24 of the Appendix 1).
- 2.14 Man hours: The time required by the field staff for completing listing of wards (Sch. 3-1) along with the number of municipal sanctions for building construction in each of them from the records of municipality varied depending on the size of municipality, growth of the town and the manner of maintaining such records by these municipalities. In the Calcutta city where the sanctions are kept in an orderly way, the time required was smaller than that of Siliguri. The average time in man-hours for this purpose including all classes of towns was nearly 56 man-hours per municipality.

- 2.15 The identification of blocks and their listing from only two wards with high construction activity selected from municipal sanction list took 38 man-hours on the average. It has been observed that identification and listing of blocks (Sch. C-O) from the newly reorganized wards or divisions in a municipality required a considerable amount of time margin. This happened in Calcutta and also at Siliguri. The listing of blocks through spot enquiry has been reported to be less time consuming with only 29.6 man-hours on the average. It may be recalled in this connection that in bigger towns on the spot enquiry was confined to areas of selected investigator units approximately with a fifty thousand population.
- 2.16 For listing the house sample units within a block on the average of all the towns (for Schedule C2) the time required was between 22 and 23 man-hours for selection methods 1 and 2. Within the period all the houses both new and old were listed along with some particulars of new houses in one block. But in the NSS blocks corresponding time is less by about 1/3 the average period. May be finding out the appropriate informant in the house sample unit has taken some time including revisits, but a presumption of greater work involvement in the new houses in those areas selected through the approach of density of construction is also not an unnatural one. The listing of households (Sch. C-3) in those with high density of building construction activity also takes much longer time than that in the NSS blocks as seen from Appendix 1, table No. 25.
- 2.17 The average time devoted to each detailed schedule on costs of building construction materials and sources of finance (Schedule C-4) was 4.4 man-hours. It may be observed from table no. 25, Appendix 1, that average time for filling in this schedule was very high in some towns. In this connection it may be recalled that this detailed schedule on costs and sources of finance was canvassed in both the house and household sample units in each town. Contact with the appropriate informant particularly in the house sample units was possible only through revisits and this has increased average man-hours and work load for this type of enquiry. The National Building Organisation also feels in its observations on field experiences that time requirements at the listing stage as well as at various stages of data collection "will generally be more for this type of survey and as more experience is gained the time taken may be less".
- 2.18 The results of this Pilot Enquiry suggest that the samples should have adequate representation afrom among with high incidence of building construction activity. The preliminary work for demarcating conspicuous areas of building construction activity including the fringe areas of development has to precede the actual enquiry.
- 2.19 Secondly, barring Calcutta, 3 other big cities were not covered in the Pilot Enquiry. Besides each city and bigger town has its own problem. Experience of smaller towns regarding location of conspicuous areas of construction activity, the nature of construction responsibility and the extent of availability of essential information from the household will not be applicable in the case of bigger towns and cities. For example, in bigger towns municipal sanction list in a better source for locating the areas with density of construction whereas in smaller towns because of bad municipal records on-the-spot demarcation is more suitable. Construction responsibility in small towns was mostly with the owner whereas in big towns it is with the contractor which again is likely to pose different problems for obtaining the essential information.

Some experiences of the NSS field and technical staff in data collection in this pilot enquiry

- 3.1 For purposes of locating concentration of building construction activity through the municipality approach it was found that though sanctions were necessary, the manner of maintaining records was not uniform. Sometimes these sanctions were not kept wardwise. These were found to be also either scattered in different books and files or they were incomplete in regard to location or entry in the records. One or more of such deficiencies in the municipal records and sanctions were experienced by the field staff with respect to the municipalities of Gwalior, Hospet, Tiruchirapalli and Visakhapatnam. Naturally more time had to be devoted to this stage of work than anticipated.
- 3.2 In Gwalior town the municipal records showed individual cases of sanctions which were not maintained in any single register. A file was maintained for each sanction and it went to the ward office for physical verification in regard to actual construction and then returned to the municipality office after completion of the construction. These files were not kept even wardwise.
- 3.3 In Tiruchirapalli the records of licences given were kept for 8 municipal divisions and the identification of sanctions in the corresponding NSS blocks was reported to be a heavy work.
- 3.4 In Vishakhapatnam town the records maintained by the municipality were not found systematic. Identification of municipal blocks became quite difficult from those records.
- 3.5 In Chandigarh datewise information was available in the Estate Office but not sectorwise. Sanction for Government construction was not available.

- 3.6 There were repetitions of some buildings in the municipal records in Faridabad due to periodical additions and improvements in the same building.
- 3.7 In the Hospet town there was an "Assessment Register" for complete buildings and a "Personal Register" for all sanctions for new building constructions, but details were not there, nor were the records uptodate. For Govt. constructions no sanction was necessary.
- 3.8 In Sadabad which is a class V town there was a Town Area Committee. Though sanctions were necessary the particulars required could not be satisfactorily obtained. As a result the municipal record could not be satisfactorily used to find out the density of construction. It has been stated in the case of this town that "this approach is not at all alluring. Only spot approach is adequate".
- 3 9 The split of an old ward into a number of wards as it has come about through the reorganisation of wards in the municipality of Siliguri and in the Corporation of Calcutta posed problems for identification and demarcation of new wards from the old wards and also of the NSS blocks from these new wards for purposes of the enquiry. Again in the Calcutta Corporation the list of sanctions are kept districtwise and the NSS staff had to prepare them wardwise by consulting the Street Directory kept wardwise in the Municipal Office of the Calcutta Corporation.
- 3.10 In the Siliguri municipality the sanction list does not maintain the appropriate location identification. There is a building construction Plan File for each separate construction. These files had to be matched with the sanction list to determine the location of new construction in the new wards of Siliguri town. The five-year reference period it was reported had increased the workload considerably in the municipalities.
- 3.11 In the Jaipur town the sanctions registers were maintained for each Chawkli and the high construction areas were selected from these chawklis and the blocks were identified from within them.
- 3.12 Listing blocks in C(O) schedules for purposes of finding out density of building construction through on the spot enquiry and Municipality approaches was reported to be difficult in the matter of maintaining uniformity for assigning density codes to NSS blocks in a town on 'notional' basis.
- 3.14 It has further been reported that the reference period of 5 years was too long for physical observations of new buildings to be made through the on-the-spot enquiry, more so in the case of additions and improvements.
- 3 15 In regard to house and household listing it was difficult sometimes to get information on area (floor and covered) and approximate value in the absence of the owner and it increased work load for the revisits it entailed. Exact dates for commencement and completion were difficult items. Month and year were easily supplied by the informant. In the household approach the tenants were not able to furnish necessary information.
- 3 16 In the detailed schedule on construction particulars contact with the owner or any other know-ledgeable person involved considerable time including revisits.
- 3.17 As the informants had no knowledge of this type of survey it was necessary for the field staff to explain the objectives of the survey. Informants' attitude was sometimes indifferent, evasive for fear of "property evaluation" and "house tax" and sometimes even hostile particularly in the case of unauthorised construction for fear of penal consequences.
- 3.18 The quantity of building materials used had been found to be difficult for the informant to supply in standard units. Local units were convenient for him.
- 3 19 Data on separate counts of skilled and unskilled labour and their corresponding man-days could not be furnished in large number of cases. Labour charges for sanitary fittings and water supply were generally found to be included in these items.
- 3.20 Difficulties in regard to collection of data on each item of building materials were reported from different centres. It has been reported that the informants who were otherwise cooperative found it extremely difficult to report quantity and cost for a few items, though they were willing to furnish figures combining some of these items particularly when construction was done through contractors either wholly or partly. The long reference period of five years is said to have made reporting difficult. It has been reported by the interviewers from the field that except for some broad items like brick, cement, iron and steel other detailed items should be merged in broad groups so as to obtain reliable data on cost and total expenditure.

APPENDIX I

		LIST OF TABLES	Page
TABLE	(1)	Percentage destribution of blocks by density of building construction separately for on the spot enquiry and the municipal record	9
TABLE	(2) :	Percentage of houses reporting new construction and additions and improvements by selection code to total number of houses in the selected blocks	10
TABLE	(3) :	Information on municipalities about (i) nature of information, (ii) manner of maintaining records and (iii) cooperation from the municipal staff	11
TABLE	(4)	Percentage listribution of houses by construction type and by purpose for all selection codes combined [through house sample unit]	12
TABLE	(5)	: Percentage distribution of houses by construction type and by purpose for all selection codes combined [through household sample unit]	13
TABLE	(6) :	Number of complete and incomplete new houses and complete and incomplete additions and improvements for each selection code with percentage distribution of the total.	14
TABLE	(7) :	Number of new houses and number of houses reporting additions and improvements by construction responsitility type for each selection code with percentage distribution of the total	15
TABLE	(8) :	Percentage distribution of households by location of building construction and by construction responsibility for selection codes separately for new buildings and for additions and improvements.	16-17
TABLE	(9)	Percentage distribution of houses by construction type and by residence of owner for different towns (selection codes combined)	18
TABLE	(10)	Percentage distribution of houses by construction type and by residence of owner by selection code for all towns combined	18
TABLE	(11)	: Percentage distribution of houses by informant type and selection code	19
TABLE	(12)	: Percentage distribution of households by informant type and selection code	20
TABL	3 (13)	: Percentage of new houses reporting in cols. 9 to 16 of block 6, schedule (C 2) by informant type and by selection code to total new houses (all towns combined)	21
TABLI	(14)	Percentge of households with new construction reporting in cols. 9-15, block 6 of schedule (C3) by informant type and by selection code to the total of households having undertaken new construction (all towns combined)	22
TABLE	(15)	: Percentage of reporting samples for items 5 to 15 of block 4, schedules C4 separately for house and households as sample units to total reporting houses and households respectively	23-24
TABLE	(16)	. Average covered area and approximate cost per house by construction type for complete and incomplete houses [through house sample unit]	25
TABL	(17)	: Average covered area and approximate cost per house by construction type for complete and incomplete houses [through household sample unit]	25
TABL	e (18)	: Per house/household estimates of a few items regarding building construction	26
TABLE	(19)	: Percentage distribution of house/household with electricity and drinking water facilities	27
TABLE	(20)	: Percentage of reporting samples on quantity and cost of building construction materials to total pucca houses with construction activity through house sample unit	28
TABL	e (21)	: Percentage of reporting samples on quantity and cost of building construction materials to total households with construction activity [through household sample unit]	29
TABLE	(22)	: Per house expenditure in rupees on construction and addition and improvement of buildings [through house sample unit]	30
TABL	a (23)	: Per household expenditure in rupees on construction and addition and imporvement of buildings [though household sample unit]	. 31
TABL	в (24)	: Percentage distribution of amount of finance by sources and reporting sample units under each source se- parately for houes and households (all towns combined).	32
TABL	в (25	: Average time (in man-hours) spent for filling in different schedules of enquiry	33

TABLE (I): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF BLOCKS BY DENSITY OF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION SEPARATELY FOR 'ON THE SPOT' ENQUIRY AND MUNICIPAL RECORD

						spot en	quiry			municipal	record	
centre		•			numbe code c	r of blocks of building	by densi	ty on	number o	of blocks de	ensity contraction	de of
				_	high	mediu	m rest	total	high	medium	rest	total
1	<u> </u>				2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. Balasore .	•		•		5·26	5.26	89·48	100.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	100-0
2. Calcutta .			•		0·78	11.63	87·59	100.00	7·27	11-21	81 · 52	100-0
3. Chandigarh	•	•	•	•	6.25	26·25	67-50	100.00	10-53	84·21	5·26	100-0
4. Dabhoi .	•		•		••	· ••	100.00	100.00		••	100.00	100.0
5. Dwarka .	•		•	•	19·05	4·76	76·19	100.00	37 · 50	12.50	50.00	100-0
6. Faridabad .	٠		•	•	15-39	26.92	57·69	100.00	20.83	33·34	45.83	100-0
7. Gwalior .	•		•		3-66	8-53	87-81	100-00	12·20	4.88	82.92	100-0
8. Hospet .	•			•	3.51	8·77	87·72	100.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	100.00
9. Jaipur .		•	•			10·26	89·74	100.00	1-11	10.00	88·89	100-0
10. Sadabad .	•	•	_ •	•	25.00	25.00	50.00	100.00	25.00	50-00	25.00	100.00
11. Siliguri .			•		6·80	2·91	90·29	100-00		9.09	90-91	100.0
12. Visakhapatnam		•	•	•	15-25	9 04	75·71	100-00	••		••	••
all centres	•		•	•	7.37	10.87	81.76	100.00	8.09	14·39	77.52	100-00

TABLE (2): PERCENTAGE OF HOUSES REPORTING NEW CONSTRUCTIONS AND ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS BY SELECTION CODE TO TOTAL NUMBER OF HOUSES IN THE SELECTED BLOCKS.

towns								, ti	selec- on code	total no. houses	no. of buildings newly construc- ted	no. of buildings under additions & improve- ments	total of cols. (4) and (5)
(1)									(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1. Balasore .								•	1	575	8-18	3-13	11-31
							_		2	533	10-51	5.63	16-14
									3	289	2.77	4-15	6.92
2. Calcutta .									. 1	291	13-40	8.93	22-33
									2	464	21.34	11.85	33-19
									3	89	• •	• •	
3. Chandigarh	_								1	252	50·40	0.79	51 · 19
o. ogui.i.	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	• •	2	738	35.77	0.54	36.31
									3	87	2.40	0.34	2.74
4. Dabhoi .	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	1	639	2.03	4.38	6.41
									2	567	1.94	2.47	4.41
									3	471	11.68	0:85	12-53
5. Dwarka .	•	•		•		•	•	•	1	536	14-55	3.36	17-91
			,						2	549 ·	13-11	2.91	16.02
									3	157	4.46	8.28	12.74
6. Faridabad .									1	528	0.57	40.34	40-91
									2	615	9.43	19.51	28.94
									3	169		24.85	24.85
7. Gwalior .								-	1	639	14-40	2.66	17.06
									2	662	6.80	4·38	11-18
									3	378	2.90	15.08	17.98
8. Hospet .									1	1264	C 17	0.00	<i>c</i> 10
0. 2200bc.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	1264 1014	6·17	0.32	6.49
									3	244	6·01 0·40	0·39	6.40
									J	Z '81	0.40	1.23	1.63
9. Jaipur .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	950	11.37	14.63	26.00
									2	321	12-77	11-22	23-99
									3	477	20.33	5.87	26·20
10. Sadabad .									1 .	626	7-67	3.35	11.02
									2	714	7.00	2-24	9.24
									3	312	8 · 33	3.21	11.54
11. Siliguri .	•			_			_	_	1	373	10.02	2.41	_
				٠	•	-	•	•	2	422	19·03 12·56	2·41 2·37	21.44
									3	172	3.48	2·37 0·58	14·93 4·06
12. Visakhapatnam					,								7 00
vioakuapat <u>nam</u>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	676	10.06	7 · 25	17-31
								•	2	1390	6.33	1 · 73	8.06
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									3	157	••	9-55	9.55
all towns									1	7240	11 20		
			-	-		•	•	•	2	7349 7989	11.30	6.55	17.85
								,	3	3002	11·91 3·19	4.34	16.25
									-	3002	2.13	2.59	5-78

TABLE (3): INFORMATION ON MUNICIPALITIES ABOUT (i) NATURE OF INFORMATION (ii) MANNE R OF MAINTAINING RECORDS AND (iii) CO-OPERATION FROM THE MUNICIPAL STAFF

												class	*info	rmatic	on about m	nunicipalitie
ce	ntre											of town	nati of info mat A	or- ilon	manner of maintain- ing re- cords B	tlon
(1))								_		.	(2)	(3)	(4)	(:
1. Balasore	•	•	•			•			•		• ,	••	ľ	3	1	
2. Calcutta					٠.			•					1	1	1	1
3. Chandigarh		•		•		•			•		•	i	i	2	1	
4. Delhi .					•	•	•		•	•		3	3	2	1	
5. Dwarka			•		•							ş		2	1	
6. Faridabad		•	•		•		•	•		•		2	2	1	1	•
7. Hospet		•	•		•					•	•	2	2	3	2	
8. Jaipur		•			•		:					1	Ī	3	1	I
9. Sadabad		•			•		•		•	•	•	:	5	2	2	į
0. Siliguri		•	•		•	•	•	٠		•		3	ł	2	1	I
1. Visakhapatn	am		•		•		•		•		•	:	1	3	2	2
*inform	atio	n abo	ut m	unicip	alitic	s			_							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A nature	of	infort	natio	n												code
(i)	in o	rder :	and e	asily	availa	ble	•		•					•		1
(ii)	avai	lable	but r	ot m	aintai	ned i	n ạn	order	ly wa	у /	•			•		· 2
(iii)	гесо	rds n	ot ge	nerall	y avai	llable	and	are ve	ery ba	rd	•	• •		•	•	3
B manne	r of	main	tainin	g rec	ords				•							
	go o			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	1
(ii)	part	ial		.•	•	•	•	• .	•	•	•			•	•	2 .
С Со-ор	erati	on						•								
(i) (easily	y ava	lable	and	staff 1	иегу с	oope	ative	and d	quick				•	•	1
(ii)	staff	indi	fferen	ţt			•							•	•	2

TABLE(4): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE AND BY PURPOSE FOR ALL SELECTION CODES COMBINED [THROUGH HOUSE SAMPLE UNIT]

•					*new buildi	ngs by pur	pose code				*additi	on and imp	rovement b	y purpose co	ode	
towns			11	12	21	22	23	24	total	11	12	21	22	23	24	total
(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
1. Balasore .			91.89	••	0.90	7.21			100.00	91.66	1.67	1 · 67	5.00	••	••	100.00
?. Cilcutta .		•	100.00	••	••	••		••	100.00	93 · 83	••		3.70	••	2.47	100.00
3. C'iandigarh .			93 93		••	6 07	• •		100.00	100 00	••	••	••		• •	100.00
I. Dabhoi		•	91 · 14	• •		6.33	• •	2.53	100.00		••	. •	••	••	••	• •
5. Dwarka			92.99	2.55	0.64	3.82		••	100 00	97.88	••		2.12	• •	••	100 00
. Faridabad .			88.52		••	11.48	• •	- •	100 00	90 · 13	0.80		9.07		• •	100 CO
. Gawalior .		•	96.62	1 · 35	2.03	••	••		100.00	95-15	0.97	1 · 94	1.94	• •	••	100.00
. Hospet			95.72	3.57	••	••	• •	0-71	100-00	100.00			••	••		100.00
. Jaipur			100.00	• •	••	• •	• •	••	100.00	100.00	• •	••	• •		••	100.00
). Sadabad .			54.03	4.03	• •	38 · 71		3.23	100.00	85·10		2.13	12.77	••	••	100.00
I. Siliguri		•	98·46	• •	••	1 · 54	• •	• •	100.00	100.00	••		••		••	100.00
2. Visakhapatnam	Į.		89.75	1 -92	2.56	4.49	••	1.28	100.00	75 · 01	14.77	2.27	7.95	••	• •	100-0
all towns .			92.38	1.00	0.47	5.68		0.47	100.00	91.38	1.65	0.22	5.60	0.18	0.64	100.00

^{*}code 11 residential-family dwelling

¹² residential-non family dwelling

²¹ non-residential-industrial use

²² non-residential—commercial purpose

²³ non-residential-institutions

²⁴ non-residential—any other purpose

TABLE (5) : PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE AND BY PURPOSE FOR ALL SELECTION CODES COMBINED [THROUGH HOUSEHOLD SAMPLE UNIT]

						4	new bui	ldings by	purpose	code			*a	ddition	and impr	ovement t	o buildir	igs by pu	rposed co	ođe i
	tow	'n			11	-12	21	22:	-23	24	non- report	itofal:	: 11	12	21	22	23	24	non- report	total
	(1)				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
1. Balasore .		•			96·23	••		3.77	••	••	••	100.00	96.88	••	••	3-12	••			100.00
2. Calcutta	•			•	100.00	••			••	••		100.00	95.65	••		••	••	4.35	••	100.00
3. Chandigarh	•		٠.		98.92	••	••	1 · 28	••		• •	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	••	••	• •	100.00
4. Dabhoi .	•		•		90.00	·••	••	10.00	••	•• 、	••	100.00	84-21	••	. ••		10.53	5·26	• •	100.00
5. Dwarka .	•	•	:.		100.00	• •	••	. :	••	••	• •	100-00	100.00	••	<i>:</i> .	••	••	••	• •	100-00
6. Faridabad	•	•	•	•	83.33	.* •	••	• •	••	• •	16-67	100.00	93·84	1 · 42	••	4.74	••	••		100.00
7. Gwalior	•	•	;•		96-43	1.79	0.89	0.89	••	. •• :	••	100.00	92.42	••	·>	4.55	••	••	3.03	100.00
8. Hospet .	•	•	•		92·16	••	••	••		1.96	5+88	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	•••	••		100.00
9. Jaipur 🗼			•		100.00	••	••	••	••	••	••	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	••	••	••	100.00
0. Sadabad .	•	•	•		67-80	3.39	3-39	20.34		5.08	••	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	••	••	• •	100.00
1. Siliguri •	•	•	•		98.75	••	••	••	••	••	1 · 25	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	• •	••	••	100.00
2. Visakhapatna	m.	•	•	•	93.75	3.13	••	1.56	•	· 	1:56	100.00	78·13	12.50	•	3.12	•	••	6.25	100.00
all .	•	٠,		٠.	94.78	0.85-	0.42	• 2-54	• • •	9∙56	0.85	100.00	94-05	1 · 39	•	. 2.97	·0·40	0.40	0.79	100.00

^{*11-}residential-family dwelling

^{12—}residential—non family dwelling 21—non-residential—industrial use

²²⁻non-residential-commercial purpose

²³⁻non-residential-institutions

²⁴⁻non-residential-any other purpose

TABLE (6): NUMBER OF COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE NEW HOUSES AND COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS FOR EACH SELECTION CODE WITH PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF THE TOTAL

4					anlonė!		n	ew building		additi	on & improvem	ents ,
tow	'n				selection code	complete	e	incomplete	total	complete	incomplete	total
(1)		•	•	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. Balasore		•	•	•	. 1		14	33	47	. 5	13	18
					2		22	34	56	9	21	36
					3		3	5	. 8	3	9	12
2. Calcutta					1	•	25	14	, 39	15	11	20
		•	•	•	2		58	41	99	34	21	5.5
					3	: :	• '•	••	••	••	••	•.
3. Chandigarh		_	_	_	1		85	42	127	1	1	
J. Chandigam	•	•	•	•			190	74	264	. 3	i	. 2
			•		: : 2	:	20	: 1	: : 21	: 3	••	3
4 Dikkal					1							
4. Dabhoi	•	٠	•	•	1 2		10 10	3 1	13 11	24	4	28
					3		6	49	55	14 4	••	14
											••	4
5. Dwarka	•	•	. •	•	1		66	12	78	18	••	18
•					2 3		61	11	72	16	••	16
					3		6	1	7	13	••	13
6. Faridabad	•	•	•	•	.1		,1	2	. 3	194	19	213
					`2	•	56	2	5 8	106	14	120
					3		••	••	**	42	••	42
7. Gwalior		•	•	•	1 1	:	42	: :50	: • 92	11	6	17
					2		29	16	45	25	4	29
					3		10	1	11	40	17	57
8. Hospet .			٠.		; ; ;	· · · · ·	60	18	· : 78	: : 4		
	-	_	-	·	2		48	13	61	4	••	4
					. 3		1	••	1	3	••	. :
9. Jaipur .		_			. 1	•	74	. 34		*		
9. Jaspur .	۰	•	•	•	2		31	10	108 41	111 34	28	139
			:		: 3		86	11	. 97	24	2	3(
			•			•		•			4	28
10. Sadabad	•	•	•	•	1		37	11	48	19	2	21
					: 2 3	•	32 19	18	. 50	: : 15	1	16
					j.	,		7	26	- 10	••	10
11. Siliguti.	٠	•	•	•	1		28	43	71	4	5	9
					2		26	27	. 53	6	4	10
					3		4	2	6	. 1	••	1
12. Visakhapatr	am	•	• '	•	1	•	57	11	68	· 、32	17	49
					2		65	23	88	20	4	24
					. 3	•	-:-	••	• •	14	1	15
3. All towns		•	• •		. 1		499	. 273	772	. 438	106	544
					2		628	270	898	286	72	358
					- 3		155	77	232		31	188
4. All towns (pe	ercen	tage)	distril	bu-	1	64	64	35-36	100.00	80-51	19-49	100.00
tion.					2	69.		30.07	100.00	79.89	20.11	100.00
					3	66.		33 · 19	100.00	83-51	16.49	- VV V(

TABLE (7): NUMBER OF NEW HOUSES AND NUMBER OF HOUSES REPORTING ADDITIONS AND IMPROVE-MENTS BY CONSTRUCTION RESPONSIBILITY TYPE FOR EACH SELECTION CODE WITH, I LR-CENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF THE TOTAL

town	•		selection	деv 	buildings t responsi	oy constru bility type	ction	additio	on and impro tion resp	ovement by onsibility t	constru ype
			code	owner	contrac- ctor	non- report	total	OWDEL	contra- ctor	non- report	totai
(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
1. Balasore .	•	•	1	46	1	••	47	18	••	1.	18
			2	56	••	••	56	30	••		30
•			• 3	8	••	••	8	12	• •	• •	12
2. Calcutta .		•	1	28	11	••	39	23	3		26
			2	97	2	••	99	54	3	••	55
			3	••	••	••	••	••	• •	• •	••
3. Chandigarh.			1	127	••	••	127	2	••		2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: -	•	2 .	264	••	••	264	4	••	••	4
	•		3	. 20	1	••	21	3	••	•••	3
4. Dabhoi .			1	12		1	13	27			
4. Daouoi .	•	•	2	10		1	11	14	••	i	28
			3	12	42	1	55	3	••	1	14 4
						•			• •		
5. Dwarka .	•	•	1	76	2	• •	78	17	• •	1	18
			2	71	1	••	72	16	• •	• •	16
			3	7	• •	••	7	13	• •	••	13
6. Faridabad .	•	•	1	3		• •	: 3	213	••	••	213
			2	58	••	• •	58	120	• •	• •	120
•	,	•	3	••	••.	• •	• •	42	• •	• •	42
7. Gwalior .			1	76	••	16	92	14	••	3	17
	-	•	2	43	••	2	45	26	••	3	29
•			3 .	11		••	11	56	1	••	57
0 Ucenet				73	5	•	78	4			
8. Hospet ,	•	•	1 2	56	5	••	61	4	••	••	4
	:	•	2 3 :	4		• •	- 1	: 3	••	••	4
						• •		-	••	••	
9. Jaipur .	•	•	1	99	9	••	168	100	37	2	139
:			2	41	••	••,	41	35	1	••	36
			3	70	11	16	97	25	2	1	28
0. Sadabad .	•	•	1	48	••	• •	48	21	••	••	21
::::	:	:	2:	: 50	: ::	:	50 :	16	••	••	16
			3	26	• •	••	26	10	••	••	10
1. Siliguri 🚬 🙏		•	1	69	2 .		71	9	••	••	9
- : :			2	47	••	: ·;	53	10	••		10
			3	6	••	••	6	1	••	••	1
2. Visakhapatna	m.		1	40	28	• •	68	39	8	,2	49
a. Visaminipada	•	•	• 2	61	26	1	. 88	18	6		24
•	•		3		•••		, ••	. 13	2	••	15
			_	•••	• •		, ,,				15
3. All'towns .	• _		1	697	58	17	772	· 487	49	8	544
	•	•	2	854	34	10	898	347	8	3	358
•			3	161	54		. 232	181	5	2	188
I. Alltowns (per	centage	:)	1	90-29	7-51	2·20	100.00	89.52	9.01	4.47	100.00
distribution			2	95-10	3.79	1.11	100.00	96-93	2.23	0.84	100-00
			3	69 • 40	23 • 28	7.32	100.00	96-28	2.66	1.06	100-00

TABLE (8); PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY LOCATION OF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND BY CONSTRUCTION RESPONSIBILITY FOR SELECTION CODES SEPARATELY FOR NEW BUILDINGS AND FOR ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS

								ne	w build	ing						8	ddition	and impro	vement		
	tow			36	election	w	ithin blo	ck	out	side blo	k			W	thin blo	k		outside blo	ock		
	IOW	·u		_	code	owner	contrac- tor	owner+ contractor			owner+ contrac- tor	non repor		owner	contrac-	owner contrac- tor	owner	contrac- tor	owner+ contrac- tor	non repor	
	(1)				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)
1. Balasore				•	1	96.00	4.00	100.00	••			•••	100.00	100.00		100.00				•••	100.0
					2	96.55	••	96·65	3.45	••	3 · 45	••	100.00	100.0	D	100.00			• •		100-0
•				:	3	100.00	• ••	100.00	••	••	••		100.00	100.00	• •	100.00	• •	• •	••	• •	100-0
. Calcutta	•				1	84 · 85	12.12	96-97	3.03	••	3.03		100.00	80.00	20.00	100-00	••		••		100 0
				:	2	97.05	••	97:06	2.94	1.4	2.94	••	100.00	92.31	7.69	100.00			••		100.0
				·	3	••	••	••	••			••	•••			: : :	••	••	••	••	•
Chandigas	rh .	•			1	73.91	••	73.91 2	26-69	••	26.09		100.00	100.00		100.00		••	••	••	100.
				•	2	64 • 58		64-58	4·17	31.25	35.42		100.00				100.00		100.00	••	100.0
					3	57·14	••	57·14 4	2·86	••	42·86		100.00	••	• •	••	••	••	• •	••	•
Dabhoi	•	•		•	1	60.00	••	60.00 2	0.00	20.00	40.00	••	100.00	83.33		£3·33	16.67	••	16.67		100 .
-					2	50.00	••	50.00 5	0.00	••	50.00	••	100.00	83-33	• •	83 - 33	16 67	• •	16.67	• •	100-0
					3	100-00	••	100.00	••	••	••	••	100.00	100.00	••	100.00	• •	••	••	••	100-0
Dwarka	•	•		•	1,	95.65	4.35	100-00	••	••	••		100.00	100.00	••	100.00	••	• •			•
					2	89•48、	5.26	94 · 74	5·2 6	••	5.26	••	100.00	100.00		100.00	••	••	••	• •	100-
					3	100-00	••	100-00	•• •	••	••	••	100.00	80.00	20.00	100.00	••		••	••	100.
Faridabad	•			•	1	100.00	••	100-00	••		• •	٠.,	100-00	100:00		100·0Q	••		••		100.
-			-		2 . 1	100,00	••	100.00	••	••	••		100.00	98,77	••	98.77	1.23	• •	1.23		100.0
•					3	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	100.00	••	100.00		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••	100.0
Gwalior	•	•			1	78•48	••	78•48	••	••	:	21.52	100.00	100.00	• •	100-00	••		••		100-0
					2	75.00	••	75.00	•	••	2	5.00	100.00	72.73	• •	72.73	••	••	••	27 · 27	100.0
					3	88 • 24	••	88 24 5	-88		5-88	5.88	100.00	96.08	1.96	98.04		· ••	• •	1.96	100-0

Alltowns selection codes comb	ned (1	エンエ 3/	83.65	3.05	87.60	6.06	3·10	9.16	3-24	100.00	92.85	2.98	95.83	2.98	••	2.98	1.10	100-00
		3	81-63	2·04	83 · 67	14-29	••	14-29	2·04	100-00	94 85	3.09	97-94	1-03	••	1-03 /	1-03	100-00
		2	85·27	1.55	86.82	5.43	5.81	11·24	1.94	100.00	95·27	0.59	95.86	2·37	••	2.37	1.77	100.00
Alitowns -	•	1	82-84	5·72	88.56	5·47	1.74	7.21	4.23	100.00	90 34	4-62	94.96	4·20	••	4.20	0.84	100-00
		3	••	••	••	••	••	••	• •	••	80.00	20.00	100.00	••	••	••	••	100.00
		2	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
2. Visakhapatnam	•	1	68 · 75	18·75	87.50	7·81	4.69	12·50	••	100.00	66·67	22.22	, 88·89	11-11		11-11	••	100-00
	,	3	85·71	• ••	85·71	14-29	••	14-29	••	100.00	••		••	••	••			••
		2	94•44	2.78	97-22	••	••	••	2.78	100.00	100.00	• •	100.00	• •	• •	••	••	100.00
1. Siliguri	•	1	94-59	5-41	100.00	••	••		••	100.00	••	•	••	••				••
		3	80.00	••	80.00	20.00	• •	20.00	• •	100.00	100.00	• •	100.00		••	• •	••	100.00
		2	84.00	••	84.00	16.00	••	16.00	••	100.00	100.00	••	100.00	••	••	••	••	100.00
D. Sadabad		1	79 · 17	••	79 · 17	20-83	• •	20.83	••	100.00	100.00	••	100.00	••				100-00
		3	• • .	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	••	100.00	100.00	••	100.00	• •	••	••	••	100-00
		2	92.00	••	92.00	8.00	••	8.00	••	100-00	89·47	••	89 · 47	10.53	••	10.53	• •	100.00
9. Jaipu r		1	88.33	1.67	90·0 <u>0</u>	5-00	5.00	10.00	••	100-00	80.40	5.88	86.28	9·80	••	9.80	3.92	100-00
		3 1	00.00	•••	100-00	••	••	••	••	100.00	100.00	• •	100.00	••		••	••	100.00
·		2	90.00	10.00	100.00	-	••	***	••	100.00	••	••		••	••	••	••	••
3. Hospet		1	90.00	6.67	96.67	3·33 ´	***	3.33	••	100.00	100.00	• •	100.00	• •	* *	••	••	100 00

TABLE (9): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE AND BY RESIDENCE OF OWNER FOR DIFFERENT TOWNS (SELECTION CODES COMBINED)

					; ne	w buildir	ig by res type	idence		additio	n and im residen	proveme ice type	nt by	
t :	owns			-	within block	out- side block but with- in town	out- side town	non- re- port	total	with- in block	out- side block but with- in town	out- side town	non- re- port	total
(1)				<u> </u>	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
1. Bangalore		•			78·18	14.55	7.27	•••	100.00	83.33	10.00	6.67	• •	100.00
2. Calcutta					85.60	13.60	0.80	••	100.00	92.20	3.90	3.90		100.00
3. Chandigarh			•		42 09	27.25	30.42	0.24	100.00	33 · 34	33 · 33	33 · 33	••	100.00
4. Dabhoi			•	•	76-47	11.77	11.76	••	100.00	79.55	13.63	6.82		100.00
5. Dwarka					96·10	3.90	•		100-00	95.66	2.17	2:17	••	100.00
6. Faridabad	•				100.00		• •		100.00	100-00	••	••		100.00
7. Gwalior	•				100.00	••	••		100.00	96.88	3.12			100.00
8. Hospet			•		. 81°54	18:46	••	·	100.00	81-82	18·18		••	100.00
9. Jaipur .	•			•	82.86	12.86	4.58		100.00	93·13	4.38	2 49		100.00
10. Sadabad			•	•	64.52	32.26	3.22	:	100.00	85-11	:14 · 89		••	100.00
1. Siliguri	•	•			95.08	4.10	0.85	••	100.00	100.00	••			100.00
12. Visakhapat	nam	• .	•		:86.14	10.89	1 . 98	0.99	100.00	92.86	. 7:14			100 00
3. all towns	•				75.28	15:30	9.00	0.12	100.00	93.99	4.23	1 · 78		100 0

TABLE (10): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE AND BY RESIDENCE OF OWNER BY SELECTION CODE (FOR ALL TOWNS COMBINED)

		: : "	ew buildi	ing by re type	sidence	: :	,addit	ion and.in residen	nprovem ce type	ent.by	
•	selection code	with- in block	out- side block but with- in town	out- side town	non- re- port	total	with- in block	out- iside block but with- in town	out- side town	non- re- port	total
· ·	<u>.</u>		-		·		•	 .			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	1	77 62	16.07	6 · 17	0.14	100.00	95·27	3.70	1.03	• •	100.00
	2	74:36	14.52	11.00	0.12	100.00	93:37	4.61	2.02		100.00
	3	73.29	16.15	10.56	••	100.00	9170	4.97	3.33		100.00

TABLE(11): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY INFORMANT TYPE AND SELECTION CODE

towns						S	electio code			*infor	mant typ	e code :	:		
							Code	1	2	3	4	5	6	non- report	total
(1)						ı	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
1. Balasore .	•		•				1	53 85		7.69	33.85	4.61	••	. ,	100.0
							2	70.93	••	3 · 49	20.93	4.65	• •	••	100.0
	•						3	60.00	• •	••	30.00	10.00	• •	• •	100-0
2. Calcutta .				• -		•	1	78.46		7.69	10.77	3.08	••		100-0
							2	74-03		5.84	16.88		3 · 25	••	100-0
							3		• •		• •	• •	• •	• •	••
. Chandigarh		_					1	48 · 84	2.33		17.05	11-63	19 · 38	0.77	100-0
						• •	2	49.63	1-12		9.33	0.75	38.80	0.37	100.0
•							3	29 · 17			29 · 17	20.83	20.83	• •	100-0
4. Dabhoi .							1	73 · 17			9-75	12-20	4-88		100-0
4. Daulioi .	•	•.	•	•	•	•	2	72.00	••	••		20.00	8.00	••	100-0
				•	•		3	22.03			3.39	3 · 39	71 · 19	•••	100.0
. D												1.04			100.0
5. Dwarka .	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 2	84·38 80·68	••	14·58 18·18	••	1.14	••	••	100.0
							3	85·00		15.00	• •		• •	••	100 0
							•		••	15 00	••	••	• •	••	
6. Faridabad	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	100.00	• •	••	••	• •	••	••	100.0
	• •		• •		• •	••	2.	100.00	••	• •	••	••		••	100·0
• •		•	• •		• •	• •	3.		••	• •	• •	••	• •	••	
7. Gwalior .					•		1	26.60	• •	0.92	33.03	28 · 44	10.09	0.92	100-0
							2	28-38	• •	8-11	45.94	10.81	6.76	••	100 0
							3	20 · 59	• •	• •	55.88	• •	23 · 53	• •	100-0
8. Hospet .							i	1.23					• •	98,77	100-0
_							2 3			. •		• •		100.00	100-0
							3	••	••	••			• •	100.00	100.0
9. Jaipur .			_				1	51.01		0.40	38 · 87	2.03	7.69		100-0
J. Juspus	•	-	•				2	79.22			19.48	••	1.30		100-0
•			• .			•	3	66.40	4.80	6.40	8.00	2.40	12.00		100-0
0. Sadabad .							1	43 - 48	1.45	1.45	20.29	•	33.33	• •	100-
v. Sadabad .	•	•	•	•	•	•	2.	50.00	3.03	1 · 52	18.18	•	27 · 27	••	100-0
				•			3	44 · 44	2.78	2.78	11-11		38 · 89	••	100.0
	•										37.50	7.50			100-0
1. Siliguri .	• .	•	٠	•	•	•	1 2	52·50 44·44	2.50	••	38.10	7·94	••	 9∙52	100-0
							3	71 · 43	• •	••	28.57	.,	••		100-0
••							_				-0	••			
2. Visakhapatna	am .			•	•	•	1	83.76	2 · 57	0.85	7.17	1.70	12.82	••	100-0
							2	86·61	••	••	7 · 14	1.79	4·46 13·33	••	100·0
	••		••		· •		3	86·67		··-	··	••	13.33		100.0
all towns				_	_	_	1	60-94	0.68	2.13	17.55	5.17	7.22	6.31	100-0
WII LOWII2	•	•	•	•	•	-	2	64.89	0.40	2.79	12.90	2.15	11-14		100-0
							3	52-85	1.67	2.86	16-43	2.86	22.38		100-0

^{*}Informant type codes-

code 1—owner.

, 2—contractor or engineer.

, 3—hiredmen (mistri or darwan) or any other agent.

, 4—relative of owner.

, 5—neighbour.

, 6—others.

TABLE (12): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY INFORMANT TYPE AND SELECTION CODE.

town							selec-			*informa	nt type c	ode			
							tion code	1	2	3	4	5	6	non- report	total
(1)							(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
1. Balasore .						•	1	60.00		3.33	36.67				100.00
	·						^2	77.09		٠.	20.83	2.08	• •		100-00
							3	57-14		• •	42.86				100-00
2. Calcutta .							1	90.70		2.33	6.97			••	100-00
2. Calouttu .	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	87.33	•••	2.13	8.51	••	2·13	••	100.00
							3					••		••	100 00
								••	••	• •	••			••	
3. Chandigarh	•	•	•	•	٠	•	1	70.83	••	••	20.83	4-17	4.17	••	100.00
							2	72.92	• •	• •	18 · 75	• •	8.33	• •	100.00
				٠			3	75.00	• •	• •	25.00	• •	• •	••	100.00
4. Dabhoi .							1	88.24	11.76						100.00
							2	100.00		• •	••	• •			100.00
							3	100-00			• •	• •		••,	100-00
5. Dwarka	_						1	100.00							100.00
J. 25 ((41.11.	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	100.00	••	•:	••	••	••	• •	100.00
							3	••	••	••	••	••	100.00	••	100.00
e mudalist										••	••	••	100 00	••	
6. Faridabad.	•	•	•	í •	•		1	100.00	• •		• •	••	• •	••	100-00
							2	100.00	••	••	••	••	• •	• •	100.00
							3	100.00	••	•• `	••	•	••	• •	100.00
7. Gwalior .		•	•	•	-		1	28.92		1.20	37-35	24.10	1.20	7.23	100.00
							2	25-95	• •	14.82	40.74	14.81	••	3.70	100.00
							3	14.71		• •	79 • 41	• •	4.41	1.47	100.00
8. Hospèt .							1	78-12			9.38		••	12.50	100.00
-							2	80.00	••	••	5.00	••	••	15.00	100.00
							3	50.00		••		25.00	••	25.00	100.00
9. Jaipur .										• •					
o. sarpur .	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	72.42	• •	• •	21.55	0.86	5 · 17	••	100.00
							2 3	86·37 50·00	** /	••	13.63	••	50.00	• •	100.00
							3		••	• •	• •	••	50.00	••	100.00
10. Sadabad .	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	57 ·58	••	••	33.33	• •	9.09		100.00
							2	53.34	3•33	• •	30.00	• •	13.33		100.00
							3	80-00	• •	• •	20.00	••	••	• •	100.00
1. Siliguri .		٠		•			1	62-16	5.41	••	32.43	••		••	100-00
							2	67,39	• •	• •	30.44	2.17	••	•••	100.00
							3 ·	85.71			14.29	• •	••	••	100.00
2. Visakhapatna	n	_					1	80.22							
		-	•	•	•	•	2		• •	• •	1.10	1.10	1.10	16.48	100.00
-							3	80.00	20.00	••	••	••	••	••	100.00
all towns			•				1	73.80	0.62	0.47	15.81	3.57	1.86	3 97	100.00
							2	79.15	0.23	1.17	14.99	1.41	2.11		100.00

*Informant type codes-

code 1—owner.

, 2—contractor or engineer.

, 3—hiredmen (mistri or darwan) or any other agent.

4—relative of owner.

5—neighbour.

6—others.

TABLE (13): PERCENTAGE OF NEW HOUSES REPORTING IN COLS. 9—16. BLOCK 6, OF SCHEDULE (C2) BY INFORMANT TYPE CODE AND BY SELECTION CODE TO THE TOTAL NEW HOUSES (ALL TOWNS COMBINED

							percentage	of entries	in cols. 9	to 16 of	sch. C(2)		
select co	ion de	inform type			total no. of new houses	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
C	1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
			/										
	OWDOI	•	•	•	802	100.00	98.63	57.98	93.64	98.38	99.88	97.13	15.2
	contract		٠	•	9	100.00	100.00	33-33	44 · 44	66-67	77 · 78	66-67	55.5
	hired me		•	•	28	100.00	96.43	60.71	78 - 57	89.29	92.84	96.43	14.2
	relativo	•	•	•	231	100.00	92.64	71 · 43	87.01	87.45	98.70	95.24	22.9
	neighbor	ır.	•	•	68 05	100.00	70.59	48.53	83.82	45.59	83.82	88.24	25.00
0	thers	•	•	•	95	98.95	81-05	83.16	73 · 68	63·16	89 · 47	92.63	37.89
1	ion-r e poi	rt.			83	100.00	93.98	75.90	81.93	87·9 <i>5</i>	100.00	100.00	1.20
	d1 .			•	1316	99.92	94.53	62·69	89-13	90·12	97.80	95-97	18.09
	Muer	•-	•	•	815	99.88	98.04	62.94	92.39	94.48	99.51	98-28	17.42
	ontracto		•	•	5	100.00	100.00	60.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	100-00	60.00
	dred me	D	•	•	35	100.00	97.14	68 - 57	80 00	71 · 43	91 · 43	94 29	17.14
	clative	•	•	•	162	100-00	89-51	60.49	82.72	77 - 78	98.77	98.77	19.14
	eighbou	r.	•	•	27	100.00	88 · 89	48 15	70-37	74.07	100.00	100.00	11-11
0	thers	•	• .	•	140	100-00	83-57	93 · 57	79·29	44.29	95.72	98 · 57	78· 5 7
n	on-repo	rt.		•	72	100.00	94 · 44	72-22	93.06	94-44	100.00	98 · 61	1.39
£	11 .	•	•		1256	99-92	94.90	66-40	87.58	86·43	98·81	98·33	23.57
					222	- 100-00	97 30	63.96	90.99	95.50	97·75	97.75	4.05
3 0	MUSI	•	•	•	222		85·71	71.43	71 · 43	71.43	85·71	85.71	71.43
	ontracto		•	•	7	100.00		66·67	71-43 75·00	66.67	83.33	83.33	/1-43
	ired me	ם	•	•	12	100.00	75·00 91·30	66·67	75·00 76·81	72-46	92·75	94.20	10.14
	lative	•	•	•	69	100-00	66·67	66.67	66-67	33.33	91.67	100.00	10·14 41·67
	eighbou	۲.	•	•	12 94	100·00 100·00	\$0·43	38.30	81.91	75·53	94.68	94.68	48.94
	thers on-repor	· t.	•		4	100.00	100.00	100-00	25.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	40.24
a	11 .				420	100.00	93·10	59·29	84.52	84-29	97·86	95.95	17-14
* (col. no. block	schC	(2)		items					·		<u> </u>	
		-											
	9	•		_	pose	nt of huild	ina casata	ction					
	10					nt of build f building							
	11				-		COMPLUÇUO	ш.					
	12				ered area								
	13				roximate		4						
	14					responsibili	ty						
	15				ers reside								
	16			COI	tractors' r	esidence.							

16 4---2 CSO/70

TABLE (14): PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH NEW CONSTRUCTION REPORTING IN COLS. 9 TO 15, BLOCK 6 OF THE SCHEDULE (C3) BY INFORMANT TYPE AND BY SELECTION CODE TO TOTAL OF HOUSEHOLDS HAVING UNDERTAKEN NEW CONSTRUCTION (ALL TOWNS COMBINED)

selectic code				t		total no. of house- holds		ntage of en	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
(1)	(2)		<u> </u>	-		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	·												
1	owner .					476	100-00	99-58	92·86	49-37	90-33	96-85	98-94
	contractor				-	4	100.00	100-00	100.00	50.00	25.00	100.00	100.00
,	hired men	•	•	•	•	· 3	100.00	100-00	100-00	33 - 33	66-67	100-00	100.00
	relative .	•				102	100.00	98-04	90-20	65-69	79-41	87-25	95-10
	neighbour	•				23	95.65	95.65	47.82	30.43	52-15	56.52	65-22
	others .	٠.	•			12	91.67	91.67	91 · 67	58-33	83-33	83 - 33	75.00
	non-report.	•	•		•	25	96.00	100-00	100-00	68.00	92·00	96.00	96.00
	all	•		•		645	100.00	99.07	94-26	52.09	86-67	93.64	96.59
2	owner .					338	100.00	100-00	98.22	52.66	91 · 12	94.08	99-70
	contractor					1	100.00	100.00	100.00	•••		100.00	100.00
•	hired men					5	100.00	100.00	80.00	20.00	40 00	40.00	80.00
	relative .					64	100.00	100.00	92.19	60.94	79.69	87.50	100-00
	neighbour .					6	100-00	100.00	100.00	33.33	50.00	83 33	100.00
	others .	· 1				9	100.00	100.00	100.00	77 78	44.44	88 89	100.00
_	non-report.	12	•	•		4	100-00	100-00	75.00	75.00	75-00	75.00	100.00
<u> </u>	all	•	•	•	•	427	100.00	100-00	96-96	53.86	86-89	92.04	99·53
•					•								
3	owner .	•	•	•	•	69	100.00	100.00	89-85	43 · 48	79.71	88-40	40.00
	contractor hired men	•	•	•	•	1	100.00	100-00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100.00	100.00
	relative .	•	•	•	•	• • •	••	• • • •	••	• •		••	••
		•	•	•	•	63	100-00	98-41	93-65	71 - 43	85.71	77 • 78	98-41
	neighbour.	•		•	•	1	100-00	100-00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00
	others .	•	•	•	•	10	100-00	100-00	90.00	70.00	80.00	80.00	90.00
	non-report.		•		•	2	100-00	100.00	100.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	100-00
	all	•	•	•	•	146	100.00	99·31	91.78	58.22	82 · 19	83.56	98·63
	*col. no. sch. block 6	C(3)	i	tems						 _	 -		
	9		יוות	pose									
	10			-	م» :-	complete							
	11						ldia - ·						
	12					it of bui	lding coi						

completion of building construction

construction responsibility type

covered area

approximate value

12 13

14

15

TABLE (15): PERCENTAGE OF REPORTING SAMPLES FOR ITEMS 5 TO 15 OF BLOCK 4 (SCH. C4) SEPARATELY FOR HOUSE AND HOUSEHOLD AS SAMPLE UNITS TO TOTAL REPORTING HOUSES AND HOUSEHOLDS RESPECTIVELY

A = M	_	·	t annual				percent	age for ea	ch item co	đe					
town	1		house/ - household	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
1. Balasore		•	house	100.00	100.00	66 · 67		••	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
•			household	100.00	100.00	50.00	25.00		100-00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100.00	100.00
			total	100.00	100.00	57 · 14	14-29	• •	100.00	100-00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
2. Calcutta	•	•	house	100.00	100.00	100-00	••	••	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
			household	100.00	100.00	75.00			100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100 00
			total	100.00	100 00	87 - 50	::	••	100.00	100-00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100.00	100.00
3. Chandigarh .	•	•	house	100-00	100.00	100-00	••		100,001	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100.00	100 00
			household	100.00	100.00	100.00	• •	• •	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
			total	100.00	10.000	100.00	• •		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100.00
4. Dabhoi	•	•	• house	100-00	50.00	50.00		••	50.00	50.00	100.00	75.00	75 ₋ 00	75 00	7 5 · 00
			household	100-00	•••	25.00	. ••	٠	25-00	25.00	50.00	75 00	75 00	75:00	75 · CC
			total	100-00	2 5 ·00	37.50	••	• •	37-50	37-50	75.00	7 5 ·00	75 00	75 ·00	75-00
5. Dwarka .	•	•	. house	100.00	100.00			• •	75.00	100.00	100-00	75.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
			household	100.00	100.00	50 00	25.00	• •	75.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00
ų.	,	à	total .	100.00	100.00	25 00	12-50	••	75.00	100.00	100-00	87 · 50	100.00	100.00	100.00
6. Faridabad .		•	• house	100-00	100.00			• •	100-00	75.00	25-00	25.00	100.00	75 00	75.00
			household	100.00	100.00		• •		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100 00	100-00
			total	100 00	100.00	••	••	••	100 00	87-50	62.50	62.50	100.00	87.50	87-50
7. Gwalior .	•	•	· house	100.00	100.00	.,	••	**.	· ••	50:00	100.00	100-00	50-00	100-00	100-00
			household	100.00	75.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	100.00	75 - 00	50.00	25.00	100.00	100 00
			total	100-00	83-33	33 · 33	16-67	16-67	16.67	83.33	83 · 33	66.67	33 - 33	100.00	100.00

TABLE (15): PERCENTAGE OF REPORTING SAMPLES FOR ITEMS 5 TO 15 OF BLOCK 4 (SCH. C4) SEPARATELY FOR HOUSE AND HOUSEHOLD AS SAMPLE UNITS TO TOTAL REPORTING HOUSES AND HOUSEHOLDS RESPECTIVELY

			S						percenta	ge for each	item code				
town .			house/ household	(5)	(6)	· (7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
(1)		•	. (1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
8. Hospet		-	£	100.00	75.00	100.00	25.00		75.00	75.00	75.00	100-00	100.00	100.00	100-00
o. Hospet .	•	•	house	100.00	100.00	100.00		••	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00
			household total	100.00	87.50	100.00	12.50	• •	87.50	87.50	87.50	100 00	100.00	100 00	100 00
9. Jaipur .			. house	100.00	75.00	50.00	25.00	• •	75.00	75.00	75.00	50.00	50·00	100 00	100-00
•	•		household	100.00	100-00	25.00	25.00	• •	50.00	50.00	50-00	50.00	50:00	100.00	100-00
			total	100.00	87· 50	37.50	25.00	••	62· 5 0	62.50	62.50	50.00	50 00	100-00	100.00
10. Sadabad .	•	•	. house	100-00	100.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	100-00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
			household	100-00	••	•• _	• •			75.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00
			total	100.00	50.00	25.00	12.50	12-50	12.50	87.50	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100-C0
1. Siliguri .	•		. house	100-00	100.00	66-67	33.33	••	• •	100.00	66-67	66-67	66-67	100-00	100.00
			household	100.00	100.00.	100-00			••	• •	• •	• •		100.00	100.00
			total	100.00	100.00	80.00	20.00	••	••	60.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	.100 00
2. Visakhapatnam		•	. house	100.00	60.00	40.00	20.00	••	60.00	60.00	80.00	80.00	60.00	100.00	100-00
			household	100-00	33-33	33.33	33-33	• •	33-33	33-33	66-67	66 · 67	66 67	100-00	100-00
			total	100.00	50.00	37· 5 0	25.00	••	50∙00	50 00	75.00	75.00	62.50	100.00	100 00
13. All towns .			. house	100.00	86.67	53.33	11-11	2.22	66-67	82.22	84 · 44	80.00	84 · 44	95.56	95 56
	•	•	household	100.00	75·56	48 · 89	11-11	2.22	62 • 22	77·78	82-22	82 22	80.00	97·78	97.78
			total	100 00	77·78	51 · 11	11-11	2.22	64.44	80.00	83.33	81 · 11	82.22	96.67	96.67

*item

5—sector

6-land area of premises

7-value of item

8-total expenditure for land development

item

9 expenditure for land development within one year

10 total plinth area

11 total floor area

12 covered area

item

13 no. of rooms

14 no. of floors

15 electricity facility

16 drinking water facility.

TABLE (16): AVERAGE COVERED AREA AND APPROXIMATE COST PER HOUSE BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE FOR COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE HOUSES (THROUGH HOUSE SAMPLE UNIT)

								nev	building		ad	dition and	l improvem	ent
		•	wn				com	plete	incom	plete	comp	lete	incom	plote
			Mπ				area (sq. m.)	value (Rs.)	area (sq. m.)	value (Rs.)	area (sq. m.)	value (Rs.)	arca (sq. m.)	value (Rs.)
_			(1)				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1	Balasore		•			•	73.8	7934	50.6	7033	75.8	8025	41.3	4623
2	Calcutta		•				176.0	41881	355.9	120490	42.9	9470	75.3	12218
3	Chandigari	1		•	•		156.8	28621	135.5	19702	76.9	6000	89-5	400
4	Dabhoi	•	•	•			150-2	11264	128.8	22760	10.3	1312	22.5	633
5	Dwarka						84-9	5303	69·1	2350	43-1	1556	••	••
6	Faridabad			•			16.4	2342	13.0	2875	21.0	2852	77-7	2516
7	Gwalior		•	•		•	55.2	7367	41.3	6367	39.9	4635	50.8	4363
8	Hospet	•	•	•	•	•	95.9	10256	162-1	9936	69-6	2750		
9	Jaipur	•	•				99-8	17288	73.8	10667	33.3	4545	45-4	7108
10	Sadabad	•	•	•	•		22.7	1880	39.8	4925	17.2	2500	11-2	700
11	Siliguri		• .	•	•		28-2	2148	28.8	51,80	62-0	6333	••	••
12	Visakhapat	nam		•	•	•	104.8	17359	41-4	9080	33.4	2484	65-2	7079
	all towns						97.5	15082	107-0	21170	29.5	3616	59.5	6132

TABLE (17): AVERAGE COVERED AREA AND APPROXIMATE COST PER HOUSE BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE FOR COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE HOUSES (THROUGH HOUSEHOLD SAMPLE UNIT)

					-	ne	w building			additi	on and im	provement	
	4					comp	lete	incompl	etc	compl	ete	incompl	cte
	to	WΠ				area (sq. m.)	value (Rs.)						
	(1))				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1	Balasore .					77.3	12166	47.9	7196	82.5	11200	37.3	7021
2	Calcutta .			•		195-6	49771	182·3	43391	107-2	2057 7	82.9	4640
3	Chandigarh	•		•		144-7	21866	114-3	12676	110-4	14000	••	••
4	Dabhol .	•				166-4	12167	225.4	17026	20.4	1788	7.2	2000
5	Dwarka .	•				77.6	4715	60-6	2643	51-5	1918	••	
6	Faridabad			•	•	3 9·3	13600	31.8	4000	15-6	2466	13.1	2325
7	Gwalior .		•			27.3	4732	43.8	5297	46.5	5520	13-5	2250
8	Hospet .		•	•		89⋅€	8222	71.8	7986	44.9	4000		• •
9	Jaipur .		•	•	•	112.0	21444	56.7	9178	36-6	3579	27.5	1517
10	Sadabad .					40-8	2730	32.6	3889	15.2	3222	11.2	700
11	Siliguri .				•	28.9	7298	33·1	5297	••	••	••	••
12	. Visakhapat na m		•	•	•	116-6	23924	35.0	11714	25.8	2483	72.5	12625
_	all towns				•	97.8	17026	71.2	1.1781	28.2	3688	3 3·6	4602

TABLE (18): PER HOUSE/HOUSEHOLD ESTIMATES OF A FEW ITEMS REGARDING BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

town		house/		P	er house/bo	usehold esti	mates for			
TOWIL		household	land area of	value of land		ure for land lopment	total plinth	total floor	covered area (sq.	10. of
			premises (sq. meter)	(Rs.)	total (Rs.)	within one year (Rs.)	area (sq. meter)	area (sq.	meter)	rooms
· (1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
I. Balasore .	•	. house household	315 1134	255 550	500	••	71 58	56 - 54	60 - 53	5
•		total '	783	388	500	••	64	54	56	4
2. Calcutta	•	house household	134 112	2812 6467	••	••	71 80	76 86	83 78	4
		total	123	4379		••	76	81	81	4
3. Chandigarh	•	. house household	261 167	827 <i>5</i> 2825	••		104	286 389	130 188	4 7
		total	214	5550	••	••	· 97 ·	-338 -	159	6
4. Dabhoi	•	. house household	1032	1532 2000	• • ,	••	· 128• 16	· 128· 16	72 25	5 3
		total	1032	1688	••	••	91	91	57	4
5. Dwarka	•	house household	199 170	1250	250	••	22 81	199 170	- 49 - 61	1 2
		total	184	1250	250	••	51	184	87	2
6. Paridabad .	•	. house household	203 194	••	••	••	25 31	14 24	20 5	1 2
1		total	198	••	••	••	28	19	8	• 4
7. Gwalior .	•	. house household	67 89	5438	150	i 5 0	0-5	18 72	14 76	2 8
•		total	80	5438	150	150	0.5	61	66	6
8. Hospet	•	. house household	19 9 139	525 809	50 ••	••	49 58	49 58	49 58	· 5 5
		total	164	667	50	••	54	54	54	5
9 Jaipur .	•	house household	295 420	2812 5000	4000 4000	••	108 145	102 145	90 124	6 4
••		total	345	3542	4000	• •	123	119	104	5 ·
10. Sadabad .	•	. house household	126	1575	250	250	10	53 25	42 22	3
		tota]	126	1575	250	250	10	41	32	2
il. Siliguri .	•	. house household	334 502	200 575	200	••		19 	12	. 2
		tota1	401	388	200	••	••	19	12	2
2. Visakhapat <u>nam</u>	•	house household	471 338	1100 3000	5000 600	••	88 100	82 88	61 68	24
••		total	438	1733	2800	- • •	91	84	63	3
all towns		house household	275 337	2556 2707	1900 1100	250 150	69 - 69	98	65 77-	4 4
		total	302	2628	1500	200 、	69	104	••	~

TABLE (19) : PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSE/HOUSEHOLD WITH ELECTRICITY AND DRINKING WATER FACILITIES

							type of el	ectricity			type of	drinking	water	
to	wn				house/house- hold	with electri- city	with- out electri- city	non report	total	tap water	tube well	well	non report	total
	(1)				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
1. Balasore	•	•	•	•	house household	100·00 25·00	75·00	••	100·00 100·00	100·00 50·00	••	50.00	••	100·00 100·00
	-				total	57·14	42.86		100.00	71 - 43	• •	28 · 57		100-00
2. Calcutta	•	•	•	•	house household	100·00 100·00	::	••	100·00 100·00	75·00 100·00	25·00 	••	••	100·00 100·00
					total	100.00	••	• •	100-00	87-50	12-50	••	••	100-00
3. Chandigarh	.	•	•	•	house household	50·00 100·00	50.00	• •	100·00 100·00	100-00 100-00	••	••		100·00
					total	75.00	25.00	• •	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	100.00
4. Dabhoi		•	•	•	house household	25.00	75·00 50·00	25·00 25·00		75·00 75·00	••	• •	25·00 25·00	
	•				total	12-50	62.50	25.00	100.00	75-00	••	••	25.00	100-00
5. Dwarka	•	•	•	•	house household	75·00 75·00	25·00 25·00	••		• •	· ••	100·00 100·00	••	100·00 100·00
					total	75 ·00	25.00		100.00	••	••	100.00	ι	100.00
6. Faridabad	•	•	•	•	house household	75·00 7 5 ·00	25.00	25.00	100·00 100·00	75·00 100·00		• •	25 00	100·00 100·00
					total	75.00	12.50	12.50	100.00	87.50	••	••	12.50	100.00
7. Gwalior	•	•	•	•	house household	\$ 0·00	50·00 100·00	••	100·00 100·00	100·00 7 5·00	••	25.00	••	100·00
					total	16· 67	83.33	••	100.00	83.33	••	16-67	••	100.00
8. Hospet .	•	•	•	•	house household	50·00 75·00	50·00 25·00	••	100.00	100.00	* * *	••	:.	100·00 100·00
					total	62.50	37.50	• •	100.00		••	• •	••	100 00
9. Jaipur .	•	•	•	•	house household	100.00 75.00	25.00	• ••	100.00		••	••	••	100·00 100·00
				•	total	87-50	12-50	••	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	100.00
10. Sadabad	•	•	•	•	house household	25.00	75·00 100·00		100·00 100·00	••	25·00 25·00	75·00 75·00	••	100.00
					total	12.50	87.50	••	100.00	• •	25.00	75.00	••	100· 0 0
l1. Siliguri	•	•	•	٠	house household	••	100·00 100·00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100:00 100:00			100·00 100·00	••	100·00 100·00
					total	••	100.00	••	100.00	••	••	100-00	••	100.00
12. Visakhapatr	am		•	•	house household	80·00 100·00	20.00		100·00 100·00	20.00	<i></i>	80·00 100·00	••	100-00 100-00
					total	87-50	12-50	• •	100-00	12.50	,,	87 · 50	• •	100.00
all towns .			•	•	house household	60·00 55·56	35·56 42·22	· 4·44 2·22	100·00 100·00	60·00 62·22	4·45 2·22	31 · 11 33 · 34	4·44 2·22	100·00 100·00
					tótal	57 ·78	38 · 89	, 3.33	100.00	61-11	3 · 34	32-22	3.33	100-00

TABLE (20): PERCENTAGE OF REPORTING SAMPLES ON QUANTITY AND COST OF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO TOTAL HOUSES WITH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY

(all towns) [through house sample unit]

	item							quantity unit	construc	tion	addition & men	
•									quantity	Cost	quantity	cost
	(1)							(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1. Stone blocks			•			•		sq. metre	20.00	28.00	11-11	22.22
2. Stone (chips, broken) .						•		cubic m.	28.00	40.00	33 · 33	33 - 33
3. Bricks	•			•				1000	72.00	80-00	5 5·56	61 · 11
4. Sand etc	•			•	•	•		subic m.	48.00	84-00	55· 5 6	7 2·2 2
5. Cement			•			•		ton (0·00)	72·00	80.00	72.22	77.78
6. Lime	•	•	•			•	•	cubic m.	24-00	36.00	22-22	33-33
7. Timber	•	•	٠.	•		•	•	sq. metre	48.00	76-00	16-67	22-22
8. Tiles	•			•		•		1000	24-00	28.00	16.67	16-67
9. Asbestos sheets .	٠			•		•		m. tonne		••	••	•
10. Corrugated iron sheets		•		•		•	•		\$ ·00	8.00	• •	••
11. Iron & Steel		•	•	•		• .	•	**	40-00	80.00	50.00	50.00
12. Doors & windows			•	•		•		×	×	36-00	· · ×	33.38
13. Paint & varnish	•	•		•	•	•		,	12.00	48-00	16·67	27 · 78
14. Sanitary fitting	•	•	•	•				×	· ×	28.00	×	16.67
15. Electrical fittings .	•	•	•	•		•		×	×	52 · 00	. *	44-44
16. Plumbing & water suppl	ly arran	gemer	ıts:	•	•			×	×	40.00	×	11-11
17. Other materials	•	•	•	•		•		··· ×	×	52.00	*	27-78
18. Labour :												
(i) skilled.								man-days	56∙00	80·Ó0	77 • 78	22.70
(ii) unskilled .								-	56.00	76.00	•	77.78
		-	-	•	-	•	•	**	20.00	/ G-QW	66-67	72-22
19. Supplied from home:												
(i) materials	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	×	×	20-80	×	35 - 56
(ii) labour	•	•	•	•	•	•		man-days	24.00	28.00	33.39	44-44
20. Municipal fees, taxes, et	ić	•	•	•	•	•	•		••	56.00	••	44-44
21. Contractor's charge for	supervis	ion	•	•	•	•	•	*	×	12-00	×	16-67

TABLE (21): PERCENTAGE OF REPORTING SAMPLES ON QUANTITY AND COST OF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS WITH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY

(all towns)
[through household sample unit]

item								quantity unit	construc	tion	addition &	improveme
									quantity	cost	quantity	cost
(1)								(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1. Stone blocks .	•						,	. sq. metre	31.82	40-91	15.79	31.58
2. Stone (chips, broke	n)	•	-					. cubic m.	27·27	40.91	36.84	42-11
3. Bricks	•				•	•		1000	77.27	81 82	68-42	78·95
4. Sand etc	٠	•		•				cubic m.	68 · 18	81 · 82	52 ·63	78.95
5. Cement		•						ton (0·00)	72.73	81 · 84	63·16	73 · 68
6. Lime		•		•			•	cubic m.	45-45	54.55	26·32	57·89
7. Timber			•	•	•	•		sq. metre	59.09	68·18	15.79	36·84
8. Tiles		•		•	•	•		1000	27.27	27.27	15.79	1Š·79
9. Asbestos sheets			•					m. tonnes	13·64	13-64	**	••
10. Corrugated iron she	ets		•					23	4.55	4.55	••	
11. Iron & steel						•		,,	54.55	68.08	36-84	57.98
12. Doors & windows		•			•			••	×	31.82	×	47:37
13. Paints & varnish			•		,			••	27·27	59.09	10-53	15.79
14. Sanitary fittings			•	•			•	-	×	31.82	×	15.79
15. Electrical fittings				•	٠.	•		•••	×	40.91	x .	31 · 58
16. Plumbing & water su	pply	y arrai	ngeme	ents:				••	×	36·36	×	5·26
17. Other materials			•	•	•	•	•	9.0	×	59.09	×	52.63
18. Labour :											•	
(i) skilled .		•	•	٠	•	•		man-days	50.00	81.82	78.95	78-95
(ii) unskilled .		•	•	•	•	•	•	**	40.91	63 · 64	84-21	94 · 74
9. Supplied from home:	;								••			
(i) materials .				•				8,8	×	9.09	×	52.63
(ii) labour .		•		•	•	•	. 1	nan-days	18·18	18-18	47.37	52 -63
0. Municipal fees, taxes, o	etc.				•			×	••	68 · 18	••	57 ·89
1. Contractor's charge for									×	4.55	×	10-53

TABLE (22): PER HOUSE EXPENDITURE (IN RUPEES) ON CONSTRUCTIONS AND ADDITION AND IMPROVEMENTS OF BUILDINGS (THROUGH HOUSE SAMPLE UNIT)

(all towns combined)

	*****						constr	uction	additior improve	and ment
	item					quantity unit	quantity	cost	quantity	Cost
	(1)					(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
I. Stone block .						. sq. metre	. 93	637	42	61
2. Stone (chips, broken)	•	•		•		. cu. m.	38	573	6	187
3. Bricks	• • •	•		•		. 1000	24	1361	9	421
4. Sand		•	•	•	•	. cubic m.	54	422	14	145
5. Cement		•	•		•	. ton (0.00)	9·73	2019	1.66	38
6. Lime					•	. cubic m.	24	367	2	71
7. Timber		•					19	1123	1	182
8. Tiles		•				. 1000 -	2	. 561	7	25
9. Asbestos sheets .				•		. m. tonnes	•		», «	•
10. Corrugated from shee	ets .	•		•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		430	••	
11. Iron & steel		•	٠.			• ,,	3.01	862	3-38	50:
12. Doors and windows						•	•	1013	••	44
3. Paints and varnish					•	• ••	••	93		4:
14. Sanitary fittings				•	•		••	1057	••	11
15. Electrical fittings				•				532	••	19
16. Plumbing and water	supply	•		·.		• • •		996	••	30
17. Other material .		•				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2408	••	
18. Sub-total(item 1 to	17) .	•	•	•	•	• ••	**	14454	••	338
19. Labour :						••	•			
(i) skilled (ii) unskilled	• •	:	•	•	•	. man-days	156	1224	24	15
20. Supplied from home	• •	•	•	•	•	•	244	1076	79	18
(i) materials		•				• ••	••	296	••	15
(ii) labour	• •	•	•	•	•	- man-days	149	454	17	7
21. Sub-total [item 20(i) & 20(ii)		•	•	•	. ,,	••	750	••	23
22. Total (items 18, 19	& 21) .	•	•	•	•	•	••	17504	• •	394
23. Municipal fees, taxe	s	•	•	•	•	•	••	124	••	4
24. Contractor's charge	for superv	rision	•	•	•	• ••	••	737	••	89
25. Total (22+23+24)	•	•		•	•	• ••	••	18365		488
26. number of sample I	iouses			 -		• ••	46		•	

TABLE (23): PER HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE ON CONSTRUCTIONS AND ADDITIONS (IN RUFFES) AND IMPROVEMENT OF BUILDINGS (THROUGH HOUSEHOLD SAMPLE UNIT)

(all towns combined)

srl.		ite	m						quantity	construc	ction	addition improve	
no.		110	ш						unit	quantity	cost	quantity	cost
(1)		(2	2)						(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	Stone blocks		•				•		sq.m.	102	744	14	386
2	Stone (chips, broken)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	cubic m.	32	557	6	, 101
3	Bricks		•		•	•	•		1000	27	1436	. 8	636
4	Sand				•		. •		cubic m.	56	331	12	105
5	Cement		.		•	•			ton (0·00)	9.28	1444	1.15	217
6	Lime	•	•				·.	٠.	cubic m.	. 9	305	2	40
7	Timber						•	٠.	•	18	963	2	154
8	.Tiles	٠				. •	. •		1000	. 0-4	274	0.1	27
9	Asbestos sheets		•	•	•		.•	•	m. tonnes	1	226	••	••
10	Corrugated iron sheets		•			•	•		**	0.08	90	••	
11	Iron & steel · .								,,	, 2	943	1	278
12	Doors and windows .								••	••	1437	••	373
13	Paints & varnish .		•		٠	•			••	••	208	••	160
	Sanitary fittings -					٠.	•	٠.	• •	••	1093	• •	22:
15	Electrical fittings .							٠.	••		876		20:
16	Plumbing and water supp	ly							••	••	725	••	2
•	Other materials .								• ••	••	2804	••	100
)					•		••	••	14456	••	3033
10	Sub-total: (item 1 to 17) Labour	•									•		
1,	(i) skilled	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	man-days	144	1383	34	210
	(ii) unskilled .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	"	168	780	56	212
20	Supplied from home (i) materials .		_		•				• •	••	125	••	145
	(ii) labour	•			•		•		man-đays	98	265	28	93
	20(1)									••	390		238
21	40 40 9 21								••	••	17009	••	3693
22	Municipal fees, taxes			•			. •		••	••	81	••	57
23		uperv	ision			•			••	••	1280	••	321
24		y-w1			•				••	15.0	18370		4062
25	Total (22+23+24) .												
26	no. of sample households		•	•	•	•	•	•	••	48	- •		• •

TABLE (24): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF AMOUNT OF FINANCE BY SOURCES; AND REPORTING S/ MILE UNITS UNDER EACH SOURCE AS A PERCENTAGE TO THE TOTAL REPORTING UNDER SOURCE SEPARATELY FOR HOUSES AND HOUSEHOLDS

(all towns combined)

													percentag	e (0·00)	
sri. no.				SO	urces	of fin	апсе				_	house	:	house	holds
40.												amount	sample unit	amount	sample unit
(1)		·	-		(2	2)						(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	savings .		•	•		•			•		•	65·89	88 · 64	63·79	83.33
2	pension, gratuity	etc.	& pro	viden	t fun	đ.	•	•	•	•	•	1.04	2.27	2.87	9.52
3	inherited wealth		•	•		•		•				8-88	9.09	0.88	4.76
4	gifts		•				•					••	••	••	••
5	subsidy and outri	ght g	grant						•		•	••	•••	••	••
6	others				•	•	•					••	••	1 · 71	7·14
7	sub-total .	•	•	•	•		•		•		•	75-81	••	69·25	••
	borrowing														
8	government .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6-15	4-55	14-21	14-29
9	co-operative.		•		•		•					3 · 65	4.55	• •	••
10	life insurance	•		•			•			•		1.05	2.27	0-40	4.76
11	provident fund	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	0.80	2.27	0.31	2.38
12	housing association	מס				•		٠.	•			••	••	4	••
13	bank		•		٠	•	•					2.27	4.55	5.17	2.38
14	housing agencies			•	•	•	٠			•	•	• •	••	••	••
15	personal loan	•					•					6.22	18·18	5.97	16.67
16	money lender				•	۰.						. 1.60	4.55	1.46	4.76
17	others .	•	•	•	•	••	•	•		•	•	2·45	6.82	3·23	9-52
18	sub-total (items	3—1	7) .	•	•		•					24·19	36.36	30.75	40-48
19	total	•	•	•			٠		•	•	•	100.00		100.00	. • •
20	number reporting	z unc	der so	urce c	of fina	nce							44	••	42

TABLE(25): AVERAGE TIME (IN MAN-HOURS) SPENT FOR FILLING IN DIFFERENT SCHEDULES OF ENQUIRY

srl.				town	18							ma	n-hours sp	ent					
цо.				TOWL	1.5			listing of wards in munici-	listing of blocks	listing of blocks	listing	of houses v	vithin a blo ge) through	ock (on	listing of h	ouseholds w average) t	ithin a blo hrough	ck (on the	detailed schedule o cost and
								palities [schedule	through municipali-	through on-the-spot	Se	lection met	hod	total	sel	ection metho	xd	total :	sources of finance (av
								c-1]	ty appro- ach selecti- on method- 1 [schedule c-o]	enquiry ap- proach sele ction me- thod-2 [schedule c-O]	- 1	2	3	ioiai	1	2	3	ma	in-hour po schedule)
(0,)			(1)				(2)	(3)	. (4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	11)	(12)	(13)
1	Balasore	•	•	•	•			88-3	2.0	2.0	14.9	19-5	15.8	17·1	22 5	46·1	12.0	25.8	5.4
2	Calcutta		-				•	70.0	152-5	49.5	31.1	52-1	29.5	39·2	25.5	28.8	N.A.	27· 7	9.9
3	Chandigar	ħ		•	•		•	N.A.	15.0	22.5	18.8	43·3	20.0	27 · 2	18.0	32-5	20.0	24·2	2.9
4	Dabhoi	•	•	•	•		•	43.0	7.0	14.0	9·1	5.8	7.8	7.5	17-5	18.5	7.0	15.8	6.0
5	Dwarka	•			•		• •	35.0	14.0	21.0	13.2	11.8	7.5	11.5	15-5	11.0	16.0	13 8	4·1
6	Faridabad	•			•			N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	. N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	27.5	20.5	12.5	21.7	3.8
7	Gwalior	•				•		N.A.	48· 0	16.0	23.3	28.3	37.5	28 8	24.0	28.0	30.0	28.0	1.0
8	Hospet	•		•				66.0	1.0	52.0	52.8	15.9	12.0	29.8	49.0	12.0	13.5	27.0	5·6
9	Jaipur	•	•	•	•	•		71.0	110.0	54.0	68 · 7	30.8	40.0	42·4	84-0	52.5	18.0	5 8·2	3.3
10	Sadabad	•	•	•	•			5.5	3.5	5.0	2.8	3.8	8.5	4.3	2.8	2.8	10.0	4-2	1 · 8
11	Siliguri	•			•		•	100-0	30.0	60.0	23.0	20-5	5.0	18-4	25.0	25.0	30.0	26.0	N.A.
12	Visakhapat	nam		•	•		•	21.0	N.A.	N.A.	10·4	19·8	12.5	14-6	26·2	19.0	14.0	22.3	4.6
13	average per gether	sch	edul	e for	ali to	wns	to-	55.5	38·3	29-6	23.5	22.2	15.3	21.7	28·3	23 · 8	17.7	24·2	4.4

APPENDIX II

SOME TABLES ON BARAUNI AND TIRUCHIRAPALLI

TABLE (A 2·1): PERCENTAGE OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE FOR EACH SELECTION CODE TO TOTAL NUMBER OF HOUSES

		 					•	percentage to total house		
town						selection code	total no. of houses	new building	addition and improve- ment	total- construc- tion
(1)	 					(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Barauni* .			•			2	1257	6.92	2.78	9.70
Tiruchirapalli			•			1	2295	8.19	1.83	10 02
						2	1837	6.97	5.12	12.09
						3	331	0.60	3.73	4.53

TABLE (A $2\cdot 2$): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE AND PURPOSE CCLE FOR ALL SELECTION CODES COMBINED

			-			**new building by purpose code									
town							11	12	21	22	23	24	total		
(1)						_	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
						th	rough hous	e sample u	nit		,				
Barauni* .							94.25	••		5.75			100-00		
Tiruchirapalli	•	•	•	•	•		94 · 34	••	••	3 · 46	0.94	1.26	100.00		
						thre	ough house	hold sampl	le unit						
Barauni .	•	•	•		•		97.22	• •		1.85	• •	0.93	100.00		
Tiruchirapalli		•	•	•	•	•	94 · 44	••	• •	5.56	• •	• •	100.00		
4				·		**addition and improvement by purpose code									
town							11	12	21	22	23	24	total		
(1)					_	•	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)		
					- '		·	through ho	use sample	unit					
Baruani* .				•			97-14			2.86			100.00		
Tiruchirapalli	•	•	•	•	•	•	83 · 23	2.68	••	12.75	1 · 34		100.00		
						thre	ough house	hold samp	le unit						
Baruani .			•	•			96.66	1.67			1.67		100.00		
Tiruchirapalli			•		•		100.00		• •			••	100.00		

TABLE (A 2·3): NUMBER OF HOUSES BY COMPLETE & INCOMPLETE AND CONSTRUCTION TYPE FOR EACH SELECTION CODE

								ne	ew building		addition and improvement			
	- town							complete	incomplete	total	complete incomplete		total	
		(1)					(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Barauni*			•				2	60	27	87	20	15	35	
Tiruchirapalli			•			•	1	126	62	188	38	4	42	
							2 .	86	42	118	83	11	94	
							3	2		2	12	1	13	

*all blocks	are selected on spot enquiry.		•
**codes	description	codes	description
11	residential family dwelling	22	non-residential commercial use
12	residential non-family dwelling	23	non-institution
21	non-residential industrial use	24	non-any other use

TABLE (A $2\cdot4$): NUMBER OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION RESPONSIBILITY TYPE AND CONSTRUCTION TYPE FOR EACH SELECTION CODE

			town					new b	ouilding b esponsibi	y constr lity typ	uction e	addit constru	tion and ir action resp	nproven ponsibili	ient by ity type
•			town			se	election code	owner	contrac- tor	non- report	total	owner	contrac- tor	report	Te."
	-	(1)				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Barauni*			•	•			2	84	3	.	87	34	1	•••	35
Tiruchirapalli						. 7	13	167	21 4	y	188	37	5	••	42
							2	107	21	٠.	128	88	6		94
•							3	2	••		2	13	• •	• •	13

TABLE (A 2.5) PERCENTAGE. DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY INFORMANT TYPE AND BY SELECTION CODE [through house sample unit]

	-									inform	nant type			
	to	wn				selection code	owner	contrac- tor or engineer	hired , menj	relative of owner	neighbour	other	non- report	lotal
	(1)				• •	′(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Barauni			•		,	2	82.79		•••	17.21	••	••		100.00
Tiruchirapalli .			•		•	13	60 · 87	0.43	9·57	1.30	9 · 57	17.39	0.87	100.00
						2	54.06		8-11	0.45	13.51	23 · 87		100.00
•						3	60.00	••			6.67	33 · 33	• •	100.00
				•		[t]	появр ро	susehold sa	ample u	nit]				
Barauni		•	•			2	91 · 78	• •		8.22			• •	100.00
Tiruchirapalli .		•				.1	91 · 67		8 · 33	• •			• •	100.00
						2	92.20	••	3.90	••	3.90	••	••	100.00
						3	100.00							100.00

TABLE (A $2\cdot 6$): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSES BY CONSTRUCTION TYPE AND BY RESIDENCE OF OWNER FOR ALL SELECTION CODE COMBINED

					new	building	by reside	ence type		additio	on improv	ement by	residen	ce type
	tow	n	•	•	within block	outside block but within town	outside town	non- report	total	within block	outside block but within town	outside town	non- report	total
		(1)	•		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)'	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Barauni .					98-81	1 · 19		••	100.00	100.00	••			100.00
Tiruchirapalli					96.01	3.99		••	100.00	97.83	2.17			100.00

^{*}All blocks selected from only for the spot enquiry.

TABLE (A 2.7): PERCENTAGE OF REPORTING SAMPLES IN DIFFERENT ITEMS BY HOUSE/HOUSEHOLD TO TOTAL REPORTING SAMPLES

						percenta	age of entrie	s in differe	entitem of t	olock (4)			
	town	house/ household	land area of premi- ses	value of land	exp. on land dev.	exp. on land dev. within one year	plinth area	floor area	covered area	no. of rooms	no. of floors	with elec- d tricity	Irinking water
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
erauni		. household	100-00	75.00	25.00	.,	100.00	100-00	50.00	75·00	100.00	100.00	75.00
·			100.00	100.00	••	••	100.00	100.00	75.00	100.00	100.00	100·C0	1.
		total .	100.00	87·50	12.50	••	100.00	100.00	62 · 50	87.50	100-00	1CO·CO	87·50
ruchirapalli .		. household	50.00	50.00	••	••	50:00	75.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	100.00
	•		50.00	25.00		••	100-00	100.00	100-00	100-00	100.00	75.00	100
-		total .	50.00	37·50		••	75:00	87-50	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	100·C

TABLE (A2.8): PER HOUSE/HOUSEHOLD ESTIMATE OF A FEW ITEM REGARDING BUILDING CONSTRUCTION FOR A FEW ITEMS

town						per house/	houschold d		г		
			house/ household	land area of	value of land	expendi develop	ture land	total plinth	total floor	covered , area	no. of
·				premises (sq. m.)	(Rs.)	total	within one year	– area (sq. m.)	arca (sq. m.)	(sq. m.)	
(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Barauni .	•	•	house household	762 3 096	217 894	300	••	48 87	33 44	52 90	2 2
			total	1929	604	300	**	67	38	75	2
Tiruchirapalli	•	•	house household	174 273	825 1000	••	••	61 97	79 74	61 89	3
			total	224	883	••	.**	85	76	80	4

TABLE (AL'S) : PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSE/HOUSEHOLD WITH TYPE OF ELECTRICITY AND DRINKING WATER

house-			·			type of dr	inking wate	r	
ĐỘIQ .	with elec-	without	nen- report	tets1	tap water	tube well	well	Ren- report	total
(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
. house/	••	100-00	• •	100.00	••		100.00		100-00
house- hold	••	100-00	••	100-00	••	••	100-00	. ••	100.00
total	••	100-00	••	100-00		••	100-00	. ••	100-00
. house/	66-67	\$3.33	••	100.00	66-67	••	33·33	••	100-00
house- hold	100-00	••	••	100.00	75-00	••	25.00	••	100-00
total	85-71	14.29	••	100-00	71-43	••	28 · 57	••	100.00
	(2) house-hold total house-hold	house-hold (2) (3) house-hold total house-hold	house-hold with elec- without tricity electricity (2) (3) (4) house-hold 100.00 total 100.00 house-hold 100.00 100.00	house- hold with elec- without trieity electricity report (2) (3) (4) (5) house- hold 100.00 total 100.00 house- hold 100.00	house-hold with elec-without mentricity electricity report tetal (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) house-hold 100.00 100.00 total 100.00 100.00 house-hold 100.00 100.00 total 100.00 100.00 house-hold 100.00 100.00	house-hold with elec- without report total tap water (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) house-hold 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.0	house-hold with elec- without trieity electricity report total tap water well (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) house-hold 100.00 100.00 total 100.00 100.00 house-hold 100.00 100.00 house-hold 100.00 100.00	house-hold with elec- without report total tap water well (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) house-hold 100.00 100.00 100.00 total 100.00 100.00 100.00 house-hold 100.00 100.00 100.00 total 100.00 100.00 100.00 house-hold 100.00 100.00 100.00	house hold with elect without tricity electricity report total tap water well report (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) house 1 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100

APPENDIX—III

EXTRACTS FROM INSTRUCTIONS TO FIELD STAFF

Introduction

- A3.1.1 The Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction in some selected urban areas of the country is being started at the suggestion of the National Building Organisation (NEO). The survey begins during the fourth subtound of the 22nd round of NSS and will continue till the end of the sixth sub-round.
- A3·1·2 In all 14 towns and cities including Calcutta have been selected for the survey. Towns have been selected so as to represent all size classes of towns spread over all India with larger emphasis on big towns. Care has also been taken to include some areas having some developmental project work and also having at least one NSS sample block during the 22nd round NSS. In bigger towns, a few Investigator units of the UFS frame have been selected at random which only will constitute the area of field operation in the case of these towns For other towns the entire town-limit will be covered by the investigator. This procedure has been adopted so as to make it possible that any one investigator is not required to cover more than about 50,000 population for spot enquiry.

Objective

A3.1.3 For the purpose of collecting data on building construction it may be useful first to locate and select out such area or areas in a town where incidence of building construction is markedly high. An exploratory survey on construction carried out in an earlier round of NSS also revealed the need for a fuller probe in this direction. Such areas or blocks where actual construction activity is concentrated may be selected either by spot enquiry or with the help of municipal sanction list. The proposed pilot enquiry on building construction will firstly throw up information on the location of conspicuous areas of building activity in a town through the two sources, municipal saction list and on-the-spot enquiry. This information may be of advantage for designing a regular enquiry in future. Besides the Pilot enquiry will be most importantly a try-out enquiry for examining the two approaches for this type of survey viz. the site approach and the household approach. Thus in the selected areas of a town listing will be made for both houses and households and samples selected from these lists will be the subject of enquiry on the basis of a common schedule detailing various questions on constructions performed. The survey, therefore, expects to reveal how far the site approach can provide contact with relevant informants who would be in a position to supply detailed information on construction and source of finance, to collect which is the ultimate aim of comprehensive survey on the subject.

Scope

A3·1·4 As regards the scope of construction activity that this Pilot Enquiry aims at, only those building constructions which are undertaken by households will be taken up. That is, all government constructions of buildings and those by non-household sector will be excluded from this survey. For the household sector, any building, irrespective of purpose i.e., residential or otherwise, will come under the coverage of this survey. Contruction, further will mean 'pucca' construction as per NBO definition to be detailed in subsequent paragraphs, and will include new construction, additions and improvement, and major repairs.

Programme of work

A3·1·5 In terms of work programme, in a selected fown or in the selected Investigator units of a selected town the investigator will first mark out by spot visit to the entire area of the town or the Investigator units as the case may be, the conspicuous UFS/census blocks where building construction is relatively intense. In getting at the conspicuous areas through spot visit, it is important to cover fringe or border areas of a town where expansion is likely to occur. So in the programme of spot visit, fringe areas will also be included. From another end also the investigator will try to single out a few conspicuous areas. This will be done by referring to the list of sanctions for building construction maintained by the town municipality or the City Corporation. From this source, two-lected for the purpose of canvassing the schedules. From the source of spot visit, another 4 blocks will be selected for the purpose of canvassing the schedules. From the source of spot visit, another 4 blocks will be chosen for the enquiry. The NSS sample blocks for the 22nd round constitute the third source from where two more blocks will be taken up for the survey. In the case where a town has only one NSS block, an additional block will be chosen from either of the two sources as compensation.

The Schedules

- A3·1·6 Now in the 10 selected blocks in each town, three schedules will generally be canvassed. One schedule, Sch. C(2), will be for listing of sites or plots or houses in each of the ten blocks, and for collection of a few broad items of information in respect of new constructions falling in the list. Another schedule, Sch. C (3) is for ten blocks. A third schedule Sch. C(4) will collect detailed information on cost of building constructions and the sources of financing these constructions from a fixed number of sample households and houses [(i.e. based on C(3) ing the requisite information from the municipal sanction records with the help of which two wards with conspicuous construction activity will be chosen. Schedule C(0) is for listing of blocks from these municipal wards with whole town or selected Iv. units for on-the-spot enquiry.
 - A3.1.7 Towns selected for this pilot enquiry are:
- Balasore 2 · Barauni 3 · Calcutta, 4 · Chandigarh, 5 · Dabhoi, 6 · Dwarka, 7 · Faridabad, 8 · Gwalfor,
 Hospet, 10 · Jaipur, 11 · Sadabad, 12 · Siliguri, 13 · Tiruchirapalli, 14 · Visakhapatnam.
- A3.1.8 The Enquiry starts in February, 1968 in the fourth sub-round and is expected to be over by June, 1968, i. e., by the end of the 6th sub-round of the NSS. 22nd round (urban).

The Approach

- A3·2·1 This enquiry is to be conducted principally in 10 blocks of a town of which 8 blocks would be chosen by the investigator. These 8 blocks are supposed to represent areas of concentrated building construction activity and the remaining 2 blocks would be generally the NSS blocks. If there happens to exist only one sample NSS block in the 22nd round for the town itself then another additional block would have to be chosen again from any of areas with concentrated building construction activity.
- A3 2.2 The reason for selection of blocks from the areas supposed to have a fairly good amount of density of building construction activity apart from the general NSS sample blocks is that it is presumed that quite a high degree of building construction activity might be taking place in areas where population density is not necessarily high.
- A3.2.3 For finding out concentration of building construction activity and for selecting these 8 blocks as mentioned, two approaches are to be followed in each town-(i) enquiry through municipal building sanction list, and through (ii) 'on-the-spot' enquiry, briefly called 'spot' enquiry. Of the 8 blocks, 4 blocks would be chosen by the investigator from (i), municipal sanction list and another 4 would be chosen from (ii), 'on-the-spot' enquiry. The remaining 2 blocks are the existing NSS sample blocks of the twenty-second round.

The method for selecting wards from municipal sanction list

- A3 2 4 The method for selecting 4 sample blocks from municipal sanction list is as follows.
- A3 2 5 The investigator having arrived in a town should go to the municipal office or municipal corporation along with schedule C(1). He would generally require only one copy of the schedule C(1) in a town. There in the municipal office called, sometimes, municipality office, he would fill in the schedule in the manner detailed later. In block 6 of that schedule he would have to enter number of sanctions for new building constructions with breakdown for household, government and others with totals for each ward in cols. 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively. The ward no. is to be mentioned in col. 2 in the same line. Similarly, he would enter number of sanctions for additions and improvements in col. 7 for the corresponding ward. Thus information for each ward would be collected in a single line of this book.
- A3.2.6 This work would involve working out totals in a rough sheet of number of sanctions for new building constructions under three separate heads of household, government and all others put together for the last's years. The total for 5 years would have to be built up for additions and improvements also for each ward. This 5-year period would refer to a period from January 1963 to the date of survey.
- A3 · 2 · 7 Having entered the number of sanctions for new constructions and also additions and improvements wardwise he would select the ward with highest building construction activity as found from col. 3 of block 6 and also the ward with a number next below the highest in the same col. of the block 6. From these two wards he would select 4 blocks through a listing of all the blocks falling within these two wards in schedule C(0). The blocks falling within these two wards with conspicuous building construction activity should be identified and listed in schedule C(0) with a continuous serial number in the same copy of the schedule. The block No. census of UFS should be given as block identification. The investigator should go round all the blocks falling within these wards and should observe intensity of building construction activity and assign appropriate code given below the schedule for each block in col. 4, block 4 of the schedule C(0).

On the spot enquiry

- A3.2.8 The second approach for locating areas with high density of building construction activity is through the 'spot' enquiry. For this scheme towns have been divided into (i) those having less than 50 thousand population and, (ii) those having 50 thousand and above.
- A3·2·9 In reagard to towns below 50 thousand population *i.e.*, upto class III towns, the investigator should go round all the blocks of the town and should physically observe incidence of building construction activity in each block. This part of the work he should do by carrying with him schedule C (0) where he should enter the UFS/Census number of the block and against that he should write code suggesting density of building construction activity as given in the schedule itself for each block in col. 4, bl. 4, Sch. C(0). In regard to towns with 50 thousand population and above the investigator should confine his investigation only to those IV. units selected by the ISI in the town list given in Appendix II. In these Iv. units only, he should go round all the blocks and fill in schedule C(0) in the manner shown in the previous paragraph.
- A3.2.10 While going round the blocks for listing purposes he should remember that area with comparatively small population particularly in the suburban or fringe areas of a town may have greater intensity of building-construction activity. He should therefore give particular attention to this aspect also. He will also enquire if any new area has been included in the municipal area after the UFS had been conducted there. If so, the NSS blocks would be formed and are to be included for this pilot enquiry. Moreover, the matter should be reported to the Design Section of the ISI so that appropriate adjustment for the corresponding area might be made.

The Schedules

A3.2.11 For this enquiry five types of schedules are to be used. Schedules C(0) and C(1) are those for block selection and ward selection from municipal record respectively. Actual information is to be obtained in schedules C(2), C(3) and C(4). Whereas C(2) is a house listing schedule, schedule C(3) is a household listing schedule and both these schedules are to record information on building construction activity, if any, within last 5 years. Schedule C(4) is meant for recording some details on cost and sources of finance for building construction.

Block selection

- A3 · 2 · 12 Schedule C(0) is meant for block selection through a listing of blocks for purposes of this pilot enquiry. In one town two C(0) schedules are to be used (i) one, blocks falling within the 2 selected wards of municipality and the other (ii) for purpose of spot enquiry. From each of these (i) and (ii) 4 blocks are to be selected in the following manner.
- A3-2-13 UFS/Census Blocks having code 1 or 2 in col. 4, bl. 4, sch. C(0) are to be tick marked in col. 5, block 4 of the same sth ledule. Then a running serial number for these tick marks is to be given and four blocks are to be selected circular systematic. In case codes 1 and 2 together are too inadequate to satisfy the sample size cole; 3,8 should be tick marked and a revised running serial number is to be given for purposes of sample selection.
- A3 2.14 Then two NSS blocks should be chosen systematically. If there happens to exist only one NSS. block for the town then another block is to be chosen from any of C(0) schedule.
- A3.2.15 In this way 10 blocks will be selected. Schedule C(2) will be canvassed in all these 10 blocks. Schedule C(3) will be canvassed in 5 blocks only. Nos. 1 and 3 against item no. 10, bl. 1 within selection code 1 and 2 would be taken up for schedule C(3). Serial no. 1 of sample NSS block (item 10 block 1) within selection code 3 will also be taken up for schedule C(3).

Selection for schedule C(4)

A 3.2.16 This schedule would be canvassed in 4 houses from schedule C(2) and 4 households: from schedule C(3) in a town. These 8 sample units in a town will be selected in the following manner:

In schedule C (2) all houses having entry in any of cols. 9 to 13 will be given a tick mark in col. 17 of block 6. Then all these tick marks will be given a running serial number in col. 17 itself taking all the 10 census/UFS blocks together for which C(2) was canvassed in the order of selection codes 1, 2 and 3. From these 10 schdules only 4 houses would be selected systematically. Again following the same procedure in schedule C(3), 4 households would be selected systematically. Thus schedule C(4) would be canvassed in 4 houses from C(2) listing and 4 households from C(3) listing.

Some basic concepts

- A3.3.1 Constructions refer to construction of pucca houses either for residential or for non-residential purposes. It includes both complete or incomplete house or any partial structure or any small beginning in that direction.
- A3.3.2 Additions and improvements include extension and betterment of existing pueca structure. Generally these works increase usefulness by making additions to floor and covered area or by extending the life of the building. It includes any type of remodelling or renovation works. Very minor repairs which are of regular nature are excluded. Constructions and additions and improvements refer to pueca houses or buildings only.
- A3.3.3 A pucca house is one whose walls and roof at least are made either of oven burnt bricks, stone, cement concrete jack board (cement plustered reeds) and timber. Tiles, galvanised iron or asbestos cement sheets used in the construction of roof will be regarded as pucca material.
- A3.3.4 These constructions and additions and improvements refer to those made within the last 5 years commencing from 1-1-63 to the date of survey.
- A3.3.5 Plinth refers to foundation base of a house. Plinth area includes the entire constructed surface area on the ground over which the structure of the house is erected or planned to be erected. The plinth area refers to either completed plinth area or the area which has already been included for plinth construction work. Planned plinth area over which no construction work has been undertaken will not be included.
- A3.3.6 Covered area includes area within rooms, covered veranda covered kitchen and bath. All covered areas of each floor are to be added together.
 - A3.3.7 Floor area includes covered area as also uncovered constructed are like open veranda etc.
- A3.3.8 'Number of floor' would mean storeys within the building including the ground floor. Thus a three storeyed building would have three floors. This is including the ground floor. [Items 2.23 to 2.25 are particularly necessary for block 4, schedule C(4)].
- A3.3.9 The NSS concept of household was followed for this enquiry. A group of persons normally living together and ordinarily taking food from the same kitchen was considered to have constituted household.
- A3·3·10 A 'house' should have a separate structure generally with a separate muncipal number. It included both completed and incomplete structure or any building construction activity however small or partial its beginning has been. Thus it included also sites having building construction activity. For listing purposes all types of houses including kutcha and also irrespective of ownership, whether owned by householders or nonhouseholders, were included, but information on details of construction and concentration of such building construction activity was obtained for pucca houses only as defined in paragraph no. A.3·3·3 and owned by householders irrespective of the intended use.
- A·3·3·11 Completion of a house was considered to have taken place when it was physically ready for occupation during the reference time. Otherwise these structures were regarded as incomplete.

CODE LIST

Schedule C(1), block 4

	item																	Codi
1. manner of maintai	ining reco	rds (bi	aildin	g sand	tion l	list by	the	munic	ipalit	y) :							•	
(i) in order and	_	-		•	.,						•							1
(ii) available bu	t not mai	ntaine	d in a	n orde	erly w	ay								•	•			2
(iii) the records	are widel	y scatte	ered a	ind rec	quire	a good	i dea	l of ti	ne fo	r mak	ing th	em av	/ailab	lc .				3
(iv) complete rea					- •						•			•			•	. 4
(v) records are				e and	very !	bad												5
(vi) no records i	-	-			_									•				6
					•													
2. nature of informa																		
(The municipality area, covered are	ties are ex ea, floor s	pected pace, a	to rec pprox	ord in timate	the sa value	nction of lar	ilist d id an	d ap	s and proxi	contra nate v	ictor': alue c	s name of con	e and a struct	addres ion et	ss with c.)	n plin	ath	
(i) very good	• •	· • •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
(ii) good .	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2,
(iii) partial,	• •	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
(iv) irregular	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•,	•	•	• •	•	•	•	4
(v) very bad	• •	•.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	٠	•	•	-	•	5
3. cooperation:										•								
(i) easily avail	able and s	taff ver	ry cod	erati	ve an	d anic	·Ŀ											
(ii) staff indiffe		VILLE TO	.,	poraci	TO ALL	u qui		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
(iii) recalcitrant	-	. •	•	•.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
(iv) not coopera	-	, •	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
(11) 101 000post		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
					Sche	dule C	(2),	C(3),	block	6								
4. informant type		·										•				1		
(i) owner.																		
(ii) contractor	or engines	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
(iii) hired man (-			·	ha= a	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
(iv) relative of		uai wai	1) Oi 9	any Or	ner a	успі	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
(v) neighbour	J111102 ,	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
(vi) others.	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	• .	•	•	5
(11) 01111111111111111111111111111111111		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
5. Sector:																		
(i) household non-househo	old .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			• 1
(i) governmen			•	•							_					•		2
(ii) institutions	(schools,	college	es, ho	spitals	, libr	aries a	nd c	lubs)		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	_
(iii) other non-h	ousehold	sector	•				•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	٠.	3 4
# * ***	_									-	-	•	•	•	•	`	•	•
6. construction type																		
(i) construction	OIDEW	ouildin	gs		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•				1
(ii) additions an teration, re	ia improvi novation	ements and r	in exi	isting t renai:	outidi re v	ng (co	verin	g add	lition	s of flo	or sp	ace to	existi	ng bu	ilding	s and	al-	
,			200,02	ropan	٠٠,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	2
7. purpose:													•					
(i) residential		•																
(a) family (•	. •	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•						_	11
(b) non-fam	='	ing	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			• -	-	12
(ii) non-residen	tial													_		٠.	•	~~
(a) industria	al use																	
(b) commercial		se ísha	108 #	· /are-b	• 311988	office	٠,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	21
(c) institution	ns (schoo	ls, coll	eges.	hosni	tals_1	ibrarie	:s)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			22
(d) any other			ری.				-/	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	23
,,		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			_	_			24

		Pile	t En	quiry	on I	ulldi	ing C	onstr	uctio	n-S	ome	Resu	its					43
8. construction responsib (i) owner undertaki (ii) completely given (iii) contractor under	ng cor 1 to co	istruc intrac	tor	•	•	•	•								•	•	•	1 . 2 . 3
9. owner's and contracto (i) within block or (ii) outside block or (iii) outside town in (iv) outside distret (v) outside state.	ward r ward	I but i	n the	same	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 2 3 4 5
40 tuilding location :			Sched	ule C((3), bla	ck 6	and S	chedu	le C(4), blo	cks 2	and 4						
10. building location: (i) within block (ii) outside block	•	~·	•	•	:		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1 2
11. stage of construct(i) commplete(ii) incomplete	ion:		•		•			•		•	•			•	•	•	•	1 2

APPENDIX IV FACSIMILE OF SCHEDULES OF ENQUIRY

*Central
Urban

*Original Duplicate

Government of India National Sample Survey 1967-1968 Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction

Schedule C(O): List of blocks with density of building construction activity

Twenty-second Round [1] identification 1. state 4. size class of town 2. district 5. municipal ward (nos.) 3. town 6. Iv. unit selected by ISI for bigger towns (nos.) particulars of field work officer toll operation despatch commencement completion (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) 1. investigator 2. inspector 3. scrutiniser [3] remarks 1. time required for block listing (hours 0.0) 2. remarks ... [4] list of blocks with density of building construction activity sampling srl. no. density code Iv. unit/ circle— charge no. Iv. unit/ circle— charge no. srl. no. block no. block no. (4) (2) (3) (1) (2) (3)

density of building construction activity

description

^{1.} very high
2. high
3. medium
4. small

^{••}

^{*}delete whichever is inapplicable

eri. Ro.	Iv. unit/ eircle— chargo no.	block no.	density code	sampling srl. no.	ari. no.	Iv. i nit/ circle— charge no:	block no.	density code	sampling
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
-			_					_	
			- 					_	
	·		-						
-	,		<u> </u>						<u> </u>
			<u> </u>						<u> </u>
-									
-					-				
	· 				-	······································		-	
-								-[
 -									
	······································							-	<u> </u>
_	· ·····							-	
		-					<u> </u>	-	
							<u> </u>	-	
								-	
									
									
								-	
_ _									
_ _								 -	
_ _									
_ _					_				
_ _									
- -					_				
+				 -	_		······································		
-				-	· <u> </u>				
- -			-						
- -				-					
-			·						
-			-		_				-

description codescription code

*Central Urban

*Original Duplicate

Government of India National Sample Survey 1967-68 Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction Schedule C(1): List of Municipal Wards with density of construction activity from Municipal Building Sanction List Twenty-second Round

Twenty-second Round

[1] ident	ification particulars		[:	2] town o	haracter		
1. sub-round	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						year
2. state							1951 1961
3. district							
4. town 5. municipality				ation (in 000	D)		
6. class of town		İ	2. area (in sq. km.)			
					_		 -
	[3]	particular	s of field	work			
officer	name	toll no.		date of		signature	no. of add).
			receipt	operation	despatch		, sheets
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. investigator			×				
2. inspector							
3. scrutiniser		×					
							
							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[4] partic	ulars of m	unicipal s	anctions			!
						 7	
	iten						code
	(1						(2)
1. whether sanction	s for building constructions necessary (yes-1,	no-2)					
2. period of validit	y of sanction (years)						·
3. nature of informa	ation (code)						
4. manner of maint	saining records (code)						
5. cooperation (code	6)						
		· ·					
	[5] re-	narks by i	nvestigato	or		<u> </u>	
1. time required for	completion of listing so far as this schedule	is concerned	(hour) 0.0				
2. remarks							
 							
							
							
·							
		······					
						·	

1			กษาก	ber of sanctions	for				
rl. 10.	*ward/ dist./ mohalla etc.		construc	tions		;	total (cols. 6+7)	population (in '00)	é*aroa (in sq. km.
٠١	по.	household	govt.	others	total	additions and improvements	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		١.
1) ·	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
' —	 -								,
		. ·							
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
	-{	,							
	.	.							
_	-	<u> </u>			-				
	-}	- 							
	-	-					•		-
	-	-							
	-								• = -
	-	-							
		-						•	
							·		

	_								
								·	
						 			
-		_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	_	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>			
		_	ļ			<u> </u>			
- •		_				<u> </u>			<u> </u>
<u> </u>	_	_]	.		<u> </u>	·		}	·
	_	_	.		·				· · · · ·
	_	_{	·		ļ	ļ			
	-	_ 	·		- -	- <u>-</u>			
	_	_	- 	<u> </u>					·
		_	.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\		
	<u>-</u>	-	- 			·			·
	_	-	-			- -		\	_
		_	} 		·}	- 	 	<u> </u>	
	-	-	ļ			<u> </u>		 -	
	-	_	 					·	
	_	-				<u> </u>	·	·	
	-	_	 			- ··· ·· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	-				-{	- 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	_							 -	<u> </u>
	_	-	·	·	- 	- -	<u> </u>		
-	_	_	 	·\					<u></u>
		<u> </u>	- - -	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	·	 	<u> </u>

^{*}delete whichever is inapplicable.

^{**}if available at the municipal office.

Government of India National Sample Survey: 1967-68 Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction Schedule C(2): List of construction sites and completed houses

	[1] identification	narticulars		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			second Rou
I. sub-round		 -				[2] town ch	naracter
2. state 3. district	.8	ward no. Iv. unit no sample blo				population (000) I (i) within town (ii) within ward	٠,
5. class of town	11.	serial numb	c yes-1, no. 2	2	2.	area (in sq. km.) (i) within town (ii) within ward	
		new UFS t					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		[3] particu	ilars of the	ld work		<u></u> -	
officer	name	roll no.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	date of		eignature	no. of
			receipts	operation	despatch		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. investigator		:	×				
2. Inspector							
3. scrutiniser		×					
			,				
		[4] summ	ary inform	nation			
	item	:	hous	ehold	non-hous	chold	total
	(1)			2)	. (3)		(4)
1. number of completed by	uildings constructed within	last 2 years			×		×
2. number of buildings com	pleted before 2 years but with	in last 5 years	;		×		×
3. number of incomplete b	buildings under construction		,		1		
4. sub-total (1+2+3)							
5. number of buildings un	ider improvements and addit	ions			·		
6. total (items 4+5)	<u> </u>				·		<u> </u>
	<u>:</u>	[5] remark	s by invest	tigator			
t. total time required for o	completing listing (honrs 0.0				<u> </u>		
2. remarks					 		
							
		:					
				.			···
delete whichever is inapp	licable.		:	(i) selected ((ii) ,, (iii) NSS block	through munici ,, spot, ck.	pal report,	

<u> </u>									<u></u>	 				 -		-	 7						
. (1	, on . its ; edula C(4	gampling for sebe	Ê											_			}						- Ì
6000	198	confracto	(36)							 				-		_	<u> </u>	1	 		1	1	
e i di	(opo)	198WO	(15)]					
	ope ipilities ion	construct	3						_				1	İ			_]	
1			3	Ì		<u>_</u>	Ì																
		i	62	j		<u>_</u>	ĺ			Ì						-		_					
5 year		onpletic	(1)	j	<u>'</u>					j	Ì												
last	date (month	comment	(01)	j						1										1			
within		Dribose (6	_			j					Ì	İ	ĺ	j	1	j			_		1	
conts		eomplete.	€	·		'			'				<u> </u>			Ì	j		Ì				
Improvements within last 5 years		(coqc)	ε									.				İ	-						
and Imp		00) 101008	(S			!	<u>i</u>		 														
particulars of new constructions and additions		name of owner	(3)															-					
par	(Abe	instrantal (codo)	€		<u> </u>			<u> </u>							_ :		,						
[6] list of houses and		name of street/line or locality	(3)																-				
		ролзе по-	3																		Ī		
		.on faires	3																				

		[6] list of houses and	partic	culars of new constructions and additions an	d imp	rovem	ents w	ithin l	last 5	years.					<u> </u>	
 						De l			date & ye	(month ar) of	0.0	93	ode)	resi (c	dent ode)	io to
serial no.	_		informant type (code)	name of owner	sector (code)	construction type (code)	complets1 incomplete3	(epoo) osodind	commence-	completion	covered area (In 0.0 sq. m.)	approximate value (in Rs. 00)	construction respon- sibilities type (code)	owner	contractor	sampling srl. no. for schedule C(4)
(1)	- 2	(2) (3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
	_								<u> </u>							Ì
												—— -				}
						-				 -						
					,								<u> </u>		i	
									<u> </u>							
											 					
	_ -		\ <u> </u>													
1	-										 	-	 -		·	
1					_							-		-		
-	_											_	-			_
	— ⁻		 								-	-			-	
-												-		-	-	
-	-										-	-				\dashv
-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											-	
-	-		-									-				
-	-											-	-			
<u> </u>			1		<u>, </u>	 -					•	•				!

National
Sample
Survey

	•	[6] list of house	s and par	riculars of new constructions and additions	and imp	roven	nents v	ylthin !	last 5	years.						
									Date & year	(month r) of	0.0	onla	respon- (code)	resi (c	ode)	no. for
serial no.	boure no.	name of street/ lane or locality	informant type (code)	name of owner	sector (code)	construction type (code)	complete-1 incomplete-2	purpose (code)	commen-	completion	Covered area (in 0.0 sq. m.)	approximate value (in Rs. 00)	construction respon- abilities type (code)	OWner	Contractor	sampling srl. schedule C(4)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
]										
					"											
					 											
					 	<u> </u>										
				<u> </u>	-											
			_		-											
					- 											<u> </u>
															<u></u>	<u> </u>
																1
			-													
			-												<u> </u>]
_			- -													
- -	-		- -	·	<u> </u>			¦						-	<u> </u>	
-	-		- -													
	-		- <u>-</u>													
_ _	_				 											
_																
					 -		·	-				—-¦				

		[6] list of houses an	d parti	culars of new constructions and additions a	nd imp	rovem	ents v	vithin	last 5	years						
			•	name of owner		90.		_	Date & year	(month) of	in 0·0	alue	(code)	resid (co	ence de)	o. for
gerial no.	house no.	name of street/ lane or locality	informant type (code)	Harre of Cauci	sector (code)	construction type (code)	complete—1 incomplete—2	purpose (oods)	commen- cement	completion so (Covered area (sq. m.)	approximate value (in Rg. 00)	construction respon- sibilities type (code)	OWDĢĪ	confractor	sampling arl. no. for schedule C(4)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(1.5)	(16)	(17)
									l 	 						
	J															
			<u> </u>			 -										
abla	_															
											-					
	_		_		<u> </u>			\ 								
	_				\				 							
					_											
											 					
					-		\ <u></u>	\ 	·							
-	_		_		_		·		ļ —			<u> </u>		 		\dashv
			_		_		_		1							
					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-		<u> </u>	}					
			_			 		 						 		\dashv
卜			_			-	-									-
-						 	-	-		<u> </u>						
<u> </u>			_		-	 	-	-	-	-	-		<u> </u>			
-			\dashv		-	 	 	 	 	 		-				[
-					-	-	-	├─		 	 				 	
ı				<u></u>	+	<u>'</u>	-	·		<u> </u>	<u>' </u>	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		'

Government of India National Sample Survey: 1967-68 Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction

Schedule C (3): List of households

Twenty-second Round

	[1] identifica	ation particulars				[2] 1	own charact	er
 sub-round state district town size class of town selection code 		7. ward no. 8. Iv. unit: 9. sample bi 10. serial no 11. fringe bi 12. new UFS	no. lock no. of sample blo ock : yes—1, :	00-2		(ii) w 2. area (in (i) w	ion (000) ithin town ithin ward	1-1961
		[3] partic	ulars of fiel	d work	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
officer	name	roll no.		date of		sig	oature	no. of addl. sheet
			receipt	operation	despatch			·
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	(8)
l. investigator			×					
2. inspector								
3. scrutiniser		×						
		[4] sum	mary inform	ation				
		item					nun	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 	 	within block	outside blo
		(1)					(2)	(3)
1. number of households	with building construc	ction completed before	ore 2 years bu	t within last 5	ycars]	
2. number of household	with building construc	ction completed wit	hin last 2 year	rs				
3. number of incomplete	ed building		-		-	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u>	
4. sub-total (1+2+3)								
5. number of additions	and improvements						-	-
6. total (4+5)							 	
		[5] rema	rks by inve	stigator		 -	<u>·</u>	<u>. </u>
1. total time required for	or completing listing (b							
2. remarks								
<u>,</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
					 ,		_ 	

, 				[6] list of h	ousehold	and particulars of b	uilding c	onstru	ction a	ctivit y v	yithin la	st 5 years				
srl.	house no.	name of street/lane or locality	house hold serial number	name head of household	infor- ment type (code)	name of owner	cons- truc- tion type (code)	purpose (code)	complete-1 incomplete-2	date (r and ye commen- cement		covered area ((in 0.0 sq. m.)	appro- ximate value (in Rs. 00)	construc- tion respon- sibility type (code)	building location (code)	sampling serial no. for schedule c (4)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
-	\ <u>`</u>		-													
	\ <u></u> -								<u> </u>							
		•			-	<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>		 		-	 		
				_	J			-	-		 		<u> </u>			
				_				-	 ·	-			-	<u> </u>		
	_				_	<u></u>		-	.	<u> </u>			-			
	_		_	_	-		-	<u> </u>	-	┧	<u> </u>		-	 -		
-	-				-			-	-	-	 		-			[
	_		_				_)	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	·	-			
-					-		-		-	-	 	·	-			
-	-		_	_				_	 	-			- -			
-			_					_	-	- -						
										_						
_					_					_						
_					_		_		_			<u> </u>			<u></u>	
-	_			_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_	_	<u> </u>	.					-
-					_		_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 						
-	 				_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
<u></u>		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	}	1) !	1	1	1

Government of India, National Sample Survey: 1967-68 Pilot Enquiry on Building Construction

Schedule C (4): Schedule on detailed information on building construction.

Twenty-second Round

							Twenty-secon	a Roul
	[1] ident	ification partic	ulars			1	[2] household charac	ter
(1)	(2)		(1)		1	(2)	(1)	(2)
1. sub-round	-	9. sample blo					, household size (no.)	
2, state			f sample bloc	k	-	2	household industry	
	- 	11. fringe bloc	k		[-		occupation (code)	
3, district			yes—1, no—2	2)		3,	av, monthly expenditure (R	s.)
		12. new UFS b	lock			4.	building location (code)	
4. town		(/es—1, no—2	2)		5.	date of commencement	
		13, sample uni	t			6.	,, completion	
5. class of town		(i) h	ousehold—1			7.	name of h. h. head	
6. selection code	_		ousehold—2				·	
7. ward no.	_	14. serial no of	unit		_	8.	name of house owner	
8. Iv. unit/charge/circle	1 1					<u>-</u>	.	!
		[3]	particulars	of field wo	rk 		·	
_					date of	l		
officer		name			i	 	signature	addl, sheet m
	j			receipt	opera- tion	despatch		l
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1. Investigator								
2. inspector	<u></u>							
3. scrutiniser]				
;		[4] construc	tion particu	ılars (with	in 5 yea	rs)		
(1)			(2)			(1)		(2)
1. construction type (code)				8.1 expendi	ture for l	and develop	ment within one year	-
2. purpose of construction (code)				(in Rs.)			-
3. stage of construction work (con	le)			9. total pl	inth area	(in sq. m.)		
4. construction responsibility type	(code)			10, total flo	oor area	(in sq. m.)		
5. sector (code)				11. covered	i area (ir	1 sq. m.)		
6, land area of promises (sq. m.)	·			12. по. об	rooms			
7 value of land (in Rs.)				13, no. of				
8. : total expenditure for land devel	lopment (in	Rs.)		14. with cl			—2)	
				15, drinkin	ig water	(code)**	·	_[
				 -				_
_ 			1					<u> </u>
			remarks by	nvestiga	tor ———		<u> </u>	
1. time required for filling in this	schedule (l	iours 0.0)		·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. remarks								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 .			·	
								
								
								

^{*}delete whichever is inapplicable.

**drinking water code: tap water—1, tube well—2, well—3, others—4.

[6] expenditure on construction and additions and improvements of buildings (cost in Rs.)

*residential—1 non-residential—2

srī.	item	quantity unit	constru	ction		additio improve	ns and ements		to	al
no.		unit	quantity	cost		quantity	cost	Qua	intlty	Cost
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	-	(6)	(7)	_	(8)	(9)
1	stone blocks	sq. metre	- <u>-</u>							
2	stone (chips, broken)	cubic m.	~ -	-	-					
3	bricks	1000	-		-					
4	sand etc.	cubic m.	- 		-			 -		
5	cement	ton (0.00)			_					
6	lime	cubic m.			-	·				
- -	timber	sq. mejre	-		-					
·	tiles	1000	_							·
	asbestos sheets	m, tonne	-		-					
	currugated iron sheets	•••				——·- <u>-</u>				
	iron & steel	,,	- -		-		}			·
12	doors & windows	×	-\ <u>-</u> -	<u> </u>	 -		l		×	\ -
	paints & varnish	<u>-</u>	- ×		-	×			<u>^</u> х	
	sanitary fitting				-	~~~~~	 		^	
-	electrical fittings	<u>*</u>	-\ ^	-					<u>^</u>	·
		<u> </u>	- ×		-	^	 -			
	plumbing and water supply arrangements	×		\ <u> </u>	-	×	·\	\	×	
17	other materials	×	_ ×	<u> </u>	-		·		<u>×</u>	·
18	sub-total (item 1 to 17)				 -		 -		·	·
19	labour : (i) skilled	man-days	_	ļ 	-		·			-}
	(ii) unskilled			 		<u> </u>	·			
20	supplied from home : (i) materials	×	<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u>×</u>	·\		<u>×</u>	
	(ii) Jabour	man-d2ys	_	.[_ 	-		<u>-</u>		<u></u>	. <u></u>
21	sub-total : 20 (i) & 20 (ii)	×	×	<u>-</u>	-	×	-		×	- <u></u>
22	total (items 18, 19 & 21)	×	×	<u> </u>		x	<u> </u>		×	
23	municipal fees, taxes etc.		_	<u>!</u>			.			
24	contractor's charge for supervision	×	×	<u> </u>	-	×	<u> </u>		x	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	total (22+23+24)	×	×	}		×			×	
	[7] sources of	fnance	·			. [8] I	orrowi	ng from e	mplove	er e
	item		amount	(Rs.)	sr. no.		item			mount (Re.)
г. по.			(3)		(1)	 	(2)			(3)
(1)	. (2)				1	governme			-	·
_1	savings		 		2	public un		···	-	
2	pension, gratuity etc. & provident fund				3	private		 -	-	
3	inherited wealth				4	Others				
4	gifts				5	total			-	
5_	borrowing]					
6	subsidy and outright grant				<u> </u>	·				
7	others				<u> </u>					
8	total				·	· ·				
			ources of borr					nerical for	0.11	#Uman - 1
r. no.	item		nt in Rs.	- rai	c of in	terest per a	ınum	beilog tol		syment in ye
(1)	(2)	<u> </u>	(3)	_		(4)			(3)	<u>' </u>
1	government			_				<u> </u>		
2	co-operatives									
	life insurance			_				<u> </u>		
4	provident fund			_						
	housing association			_				ļ		
 6	bank							<u> </u>		
7	housing agencies									
 -	personal loan	_								
8		_		_ _						
9	money lender	-		_ _						
10	others			_						
11	total									

*delete whichever is inapplicable.

Sl. No.

- 1 General Report No. 1 on the First Round (October 1950-March 1951
- 2 Tables with Notes on the Second Round (April-June 1951)
- 3 Tables with Notes on the Third Round (August-November 1951)
- 4 Special Report on the Survey of Persons in the "Live-Register" of Delhi Employment Exchange (August-September 1951)
- 5 Technical Paper on Some Aspects of the Development of the Sample Design
- 6 Survey of Faridabad Township (March-April 1954)
- 7 Couple Fertility (Second Round: April-June, 1951 and Fourth Round; April-September 1952)
- 8 Report on Preliminary Survey of Urban Unemployment (September 1953)
- 9 Report on the Sample Survey of Displaced Persons in the Urban Areas of the Bombay State (July-September 1953)
- 10 First Report on Land Holdings, Rural Sector (Eighth Round : July 1954-March 1955)
- 11 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1949-1950
- 12 A Technical Note on Age Grouping, October 1958
- 13 Report on Household Transport Operations (Seventh Round : October 1953-March 1954)
- 14 Report on Some Characteristics of the Economically Active Population (Fourth to Seventh Rounds: April 1952 March 1954)
- 15 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1951
- 16 Preliminary Report on Employment and Unemployment (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
- 17 Report on Sample Survey of Employment in Calcutta, 1953
- 18 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Fourth Round : April-September 1952)
- 19 Report on Small Scale Manufacture: Household Enterprises smaller than Registered Factories (Seventh Round: October 1953-March 1954)
- 20 Report on Pattern of Consumer Expenditure (Second to Seventh Rounds: April 1951-March 1954)
- 21 Household Small Scale Manufacturing Establishments smaller than those covered by Industries (Development & Regulations, Act 1951) (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
- 22 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1952
- 23 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1953
- 24 Report on Household Retail Trade (Seventh to Ninth Rounds ; October 1953-November 1955)
- 25 Sample Verification of Livestock Census: 1956
- 26 A Preliminary Report on Housing Condition (Seventh Round: October 1953-March 1954)
- 27 Technical Records of Sample Design, Instructions to Field Workers and List of Sample Villages and Urban Blocks (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
- 28 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1954 (1)
- 29 Notes on Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey (Tenth Round : December 1955-May 1956)
- 30 Report on Land Holdings (2): Operational Holdings in Rural India (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
- 31 Tables with Notes on Household Transport Operation (Eighth to Tenth Rounds: July 1954-May 1956)
- 32(I) Some Aspects of Costs of Cultivation of Paddy, Wheat, Jowar and Bajra (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: October 1952, March 1954)
- 32(II) Some Aspects of Costs of Cultivation of Barley, Maize, Ragi, Gram, Small Millets, Groundnut, Cotton and Jute (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: October 1952-March 1954)
- 32(III) Some Aspects of Costs of Cultivation of Minor Cereals, Pulses, Sugarcane, Oil Seeds, Potato, Spices and Tobacco (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: October 1952-March 1954)
 - Tables with Notes on Wages, Employment, Income and Indebtedness of Agricultural Labour Households in Rural Areas (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
 - 34 Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment (Tenth Round: December 1955-May 1956)
 - 35 Tables with Notes on Livestock Products (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: April 1952-March 1954)
 - 36 Report on Land Holdings (3): Some Aspects of Ownership Holdings (Eighth Round ; July 1954-April 1955)
 - 37 Survey of Book Readers' Preference in India (Thirteenth Round : September 1957-May 1958)
 - 38 Some Results the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Thirteenth Round: September 1957-May 1958)
 - 39 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Eighth Round : July 1954-April 1955)
 - 40 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
 - 41 Household Retail Trade (Tenth Round : December 1955-May 1956)
 - 42 Report on Small Scale Manufacture & Household Enterprises smaller than Registered Factories (Seventh to Eighth Rounds: October 1953-April 1955)
 - 43 Tables with Notes on Small Scale Manufacuture; Household Enterprises smaller than Registered Factoreis (Ninth and Tenth Rounds: May 1955-May 1956)
 - 44 A Note on Profession and Services (Fourth to Eighth Rounds: April 1952-April 1955)
 - 45 Report on Indian Village (A Study of Some Social and Economic Aspects (Tenth to Twelfth Rounds; December 1955-August 1957)

Sl. No.

- 46 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure of Agricultural Labour Households in Rural Areas (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
- 47 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Tenth Round: December 1955-May 1956)
- 48 Preliminary Estimates of Birth and Death Rates and of the Rate of Growth of Population (Fourteenth Round: July 1958 July 1959)
- 49 Report on Morbidity (Seventh Round: October 1953--March 1954 and Eleventh to Thirteenth Rounds: August 1956 May 1958)
- 50 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Tenth Round: December 1955-May 1956)
- 51 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Eleventh Round: August 1956-January 1957)
- 52 Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
- 53 Tables with Notes on Internal Migration (Ninth, Eleventh, Twelfth and Thirteenth Rounds: May 1955 May 1958)
- 54 Vital Rates (Seventh Round: October 1953-March 1954)
- 55 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1954(2): Exploratory Survey of Scheduled Industries
- 56 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1955 (1): Survey of Scheduled Industries
- 57 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1955 (2)
- 58 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1956 (1): Survey of Scheduled Industries
- 59 Tables with Notes on Pattern of Household Ownership and Possession of Land in Rural Areas, 1950-51 to 1953-54 (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
- 60 Tables with Notes on Farming Condition and Practices in Rural Areas 1953-54 (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
- 61 Notes on the Results of the land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Eleventh Round: August 1956-February 1957)
- 62 Supplementary: Report on Employment and Unemployment (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
- 63 Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment in Urban Areas (Thirteenth Round : September 1957-May 1958)
- 64 Indian villages: A study of Some Social and Economic Aspects (Thirteenth Round: September 1957-May 1958)
- 65 Tables with Notes on Animal Husbandry (Eleventh Round: August 1956-January 1957)
- 66 Report on Land Holdings (4): Rural Sector, (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
- 67 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
- 68 Tables with Notes on Rural Indebtedness (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
- 69 Tables with Notes on the Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
- 70 Technical Paper on Sample Design (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
- 71 Consumer Expenditure by Levels of Household Expenditure (Thirteenth Round: September 1957-May 1958)
- 72 Tables with Notes on Milk Production (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
- 73 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
- 74 Report on Land Holdings (5): Rural Sector (Some Aspects of Operational Holdings—Population Zones and All India): (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
- 75 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1956 (2)
- 76 Fertility and Mortality Rates in Rural India (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
- 77 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Eleventh Round: August 1956-February 1957)
- 78 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
- 79 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Fifteenth Round: July 1959 -June 1960)
- 80 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Thirteenth Round : September 1957-May 1958)
- 81 Report on Land Holdings: Urban Sector (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
- 82 Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of Cost of Cultivation of Paddy, and Vegetables, Urban India (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: 1951-53)

 Wheat, Barley, Maize, Millets, Pulses, Oil Seed,
- 83 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1957(1)
- 84 Tables with Notes on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries: Survey of Scheduled Industries 1957(3)
- 85 Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment in Urban Areas, (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
- 86 Tables with Notes on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries. 1957(2)
- 87 Tables with Notes on Average Budget of Agricutural Labour Households in Rural Areas (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
- 88 Tables with Notes on Household Receipts and Disbursements in Rural and Urban Areas (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-
- 89 Tables with Notes on Dwelling Habits of Occupants of the Two-room Government Residences in New Delhi, July 1960
- 90 Tables with Notes on Survey of Scheduled Industries, 1958 Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries,
- 91 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1959: Sample Sector: Summary Results
- 92 Some Results of Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
- 93 Tables with Notes on Sample Survey Manufacturing Industries, 1958, Factory Establishments: Summary Results

SI. No.

- 94 Tables with Notes on Small Scale Manufacture: Rural and Urban (Household Enterprises Smaller than the Registered Factories) (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
- 95 Tables with Notes on Household Indebtedness (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-June 1961)
- 96 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1960: Sample Sector, Summary Results
- 97 Tables with Notes on Capital Formation (Fifteenth Round-Rural: July 1959-June 1960)
- 98 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
- 99 Tables with Notes on Household Non-Registered Trade (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
- 100 Tables with Notes on Rural Employment and Unemployment (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
- 101 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
- 102 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
- 103 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
- 104 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
- Tables with Notes on Household Non-Mechanised Transport and Utilisation of Working Animals (Fifteentl. Reard July 1959-June 1960)
- 106 Tables with Notes of Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Seventeenth Round: July 1961-June 1962)
- 107 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
- 108 Report on the Type Study on Consumption and Disposal of Cereals and Capital Formation by Households: 1959-60
- 109 Tables with Notes on Indian Villages (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
- 110 Tables with Notes on Rates of Birth, Death and Growth of Rural Population (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
- 111 Tables with Notes on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1958 : Factory Establishments : Detailed Results
- 112 Tables with Notes on Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Eighteenth Round : July 1962-June 1963)
- 113 Tables with Notes on Agricultural Holdings in Rural India (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-June 1961)
- 114 Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment in Rural Areas (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
- 115 Tables with Notes on Disposal of Cereals by Producer Households in Rural Areas (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
- 116 Tables with Notes on Family Planning (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-June 1961)
- 117 Tables with Notes on the Enquiry of Physically Handicapped Persons (Sixteenth Round : July 19(0 At gust 1861)
- 118 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1961: Sample Sector, Summary Results
- 119 Special study on Morbidity (Sixteenth Round: November 1960-October 1961)
- 120 Tables with Notes on Annual Survey of Industries 1962: Sample Sector, Summary Results
- 121 Preliminary Estimates of Birth and Death Rates and of the Rates of Growth of Population (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 122 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1959, Sample Sector, (Detailed Results)
- 123 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1963, Sample Sector, (Summary Results)
- 124 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Eighteenth Round : July 1963-June 1964
- 125 Technical Paper on Sample Design (Ninteenth Round: July 1964-June 1965)
- 126 Tables with Notes on Internal Migration (Fourteenth Round : July 1958. June 1959 & Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
- 127 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
- 128 Tables with Notes on Internal Migration (Rural) (Fourteenth Round July 1958-June 1959)
- 129 Report on Pilot Enquriy on Morbidity (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
- 130 Tables with Notes on Professions and Liberal Arts (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 131 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries 1960: Sample Sector (Detailed Results)
- 132 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1964: Sample Sector (Summary Results)
- 133 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Ninteenth Round : July 1964-June 1965)
- 134 Tables with Notes on Income of Rural Labour Households (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 135 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (17th Round : September 1961-July 1962)
- 136* Tables with Notes on Capital Formation (Urban) (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
- 137 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-August 1961)
- 138 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-August 1961)
- 139 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1961: Sample Sector (Detailed Results)
- 140 Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of Agriculture in India (Rural) (Eleventh Round : August 1956-February 1957)
- 141 Tables with Notes on Household Consumption of Fuel and Light (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 142 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 143 Tables with Notes on Indebtedness of Scheduled Tribe Households (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 144 Tables with Notes on some Aspects of Land Holdings in Rural Areas (State and All-India Estimates) (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
- 145 Tables with Notes on Income of Scheduled Tribe Households (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)

S1. No.

- 146 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
- 147 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (20th Round : July 1965-June 1966)
- 148 Report on Special Survey on Household Enterpreneurial Activities in Aligarh Town, 1959-60
- 149 Report on Pilot Study on Non-Household Enterprises (Ninteenth Round: Februray 1965-June 1965)
- 150 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Seventeenth Round: September 1961- July 1962)
- 151 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Some Selected Items) (Bighteenth Round: February-June 1964).
- 152 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 153* Differential Birth, Death and Infant Mortality Rates in Rural Households (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-July 1960)
- 154 Tables with Notes on Couple Fertility (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
- 155 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Some Important Items) Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 156 Tables with Notes on Rural Employment and Unemployment (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
- 157 Tables with Notes on Urban Employment and Unemployment (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
- 158* Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure of Scheduled Tribe Households (Eighteenth Round: June 1963-May 1964)
- 159* Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of land Holdings in Rural India (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
- 160 Some Results the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments, (Twenty-first Round: July 1966-June 1967)
- 161* Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries 1965: sample sector: Summary Results
- 162* Tables w th Notes on Same Results of Land Holdings in Rural India (Seventeenth Round : September 1961- July 1962)
- 163 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Ninteenth Round: July 1964-June 1965)
- 164 Tables with Notes on A.S.I.—1962 sample Sector: Detailed Results.
- 165 Tables with Notes on Same Aspects of Land Holdings in Urban Areas, (17th Round : September 1961—July 1962)
- 166 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force, 20th Round: July 1965—June 1966.
- 167 Tables with Notes on Villages and Towns in India: Some Results, (19th Round: July 1964-June 1965)
- 168 Tables with Notes on the A.S.I.—1963, Sample Sector, : Detailed Results
- 169 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition of Scheduled Tribe Households (18th Round: June 1963-May 1964)
- 170 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition, (18th Round: February 1963-January 1964.)
- 171 Some Results Relating to Construction of Pucca Houses in Rural and Urban Areas (22nd Round July 1967-June 1968).
- 172 Tables with Notes on Indian Villages: Some Important Results (18th Round: February 1963-January 1964)
- 173 Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployments in Rural and Urban Areas of India: (19th Round: July 1964-June 1966).
- 174 Tables with Notes on the A.S.I. 1966 Sample Sector: Summary Results.
- 175 Tables with Notes on Differential Fertility and Mortality Rates in India, (18th Round : February 1963:-January 1964)
- 176 Some Results of the L. U. S. and C. C. E. (22nd Round: July 1967-June 1968)
- 177 Vital Rates in India, (19th Round: July 1964-June 1965)
- 178 Tables with Notes on A.S.I.-1965, Sample Sector: Detailed Results
- 179 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (19th Round : July 1964-June 1965)
- 180 Tables with Notes on the Fertility and Mortility Rates in Urban Areas of India, (16th Round : July 1960-August 1961)
- 181 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force, (21st Round : July 1966-June 1967)
- 182 Tables with Notes on Internal Migration (18th Round: Februray 1963-January 1964)
- 183 Tables with Notes on Post Census Survey of Livestock Numbers-1966 Rural Sector.
- 184 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (17th Round Sept. 1961-July 1962)
- 185 Age Pattern of marriages and Fertility of Couples (19th Rounn : July 1964-July 1965)
- 186 Tables with Notes on Differential Pertility and Mortality Rates in Rural and Urban Areas of India. (19th Round: July 1964-June 1965) (Integrated Household Survey, Schedule 17).

Note.-Report Nos. marked* are under print.