

**SHIFTING OF THE CORPORATION
INCOME TAX IN INDIA**

**A THESIS
SUBMITTED TO THE
UNIVERSITY OF POONA
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
(IN ECONOMICS)**

**BY
TAPAS KUMAR SEN**

**GOKHALE INSTITUTE OF POLITICS AND ECONOMICS
PUNE-411 004**

JANUARY 1982

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

When one starts research immediately after Post-Graduation, one has a lot of enthusiasm and no idea of how to go about it. At least that was the case with me. The enthusiasm has been curbed a great deal now, for better or worse, but some valuable experience about doing research has been gained. The major contributor has been my thesis supervisor Dr. V.S. Chitre. I thank him for his guidance in turning my half-baked ideas into the present form. A lot of whatever is good in this end-product is due to him.

The authorities of Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics allowed me the opportunity, as well as continuous use of its various facilities, to carry on my research. I am grateful to Prof. V. M. Dandekar, ex-Director, Dr. N. Rath, Director, and Shri D.P. Apte, Registrar, for this.

The University Grants Commission funded this study through their fellowship programme. I am thankful to them.

This dissertation involved quite a great deal of computations which was facilitated by the use of the mini-computer installed at the Institute. I am grateful to Shri S.N. Gadani for the help extended in this connection.

The staff of Servants of India Society's Library have extended their cooperation in every way for which I thank them.

(11)

I owe a lot to my friends and well-wishers who have contributed to this study through advice on the subject, and more importantly, by keeping up my morale. They are too numerous to thank individually, but I must single out Dr. L.V. Ambegaonkar and Dr. J.P. Patil.

My father has not only encouraged me throughout the period of research in various ways, but has clarified quite a few issues related to the Income Tax Act. Mere thanks would be inadequate to express my gratitude to him.

Finally, I thank Shri V.N. Inamdar for putting up with an extended and irregular typing schedule caused by me, and still producing a neat final product.

Gokhale Institute of
Politics and Economics
Pune-411 004

Tapas Kumar Sen

January 11, 1982

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	(1)
LIST OF TABLES.....	(vii)
LIST OF FIGURES.....	(x)
 <u>Chapter</u>	
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Purposes of Taxation.....	1
1.2 Impact, Shifting, and Incidence of Taxes.....	2
1.3 Choice of the Tax to be Analysed...	4
1.4 Objectives and Scope of the Study..	7
1.5 Layout of the Study.....	7
 2 SHIFTING AND INCIDENCE OF CORPORATION INCOME TAX: THEORY.....	 10
2.1 Problems of Definition.....	10
2.1.1 Incidence and Effects.....	11
2.1.2 Public Expenditures and Incidence..	13
2.1.3 Partial Versus General Equilibrium Approach to Incidence.....	15
2.1.4 Shifting in the Present Study.....	18
2.2 Shifting of Corporate Income Tax Under Different Markets.....	19
2.3 Monopoly.....	20
2.4 Oligopolistic Models.....	22
2.4.1 Collusive Oligopoly.....	22
2.4.2 Price Leadership.....	24
2.5 Non-Profit Maximizing Models.....	27
2.6 Scitovsky-Boulding Model.....	28

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
	2.7 Average-Cost Pricing.....	32
	2.8 Bain's Limit-Pricing Models.....	39
	2.9 Baumol's Sales Maximization Hypothesis.....	45
	2.10 Managers' Utility-Maximising Behaviour.....	49
	2.11 Signal Theory.....	53
	2.12 General Observations.....	56
	2.13 Backward Shifting.....	59
3	EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SHIFTING AND INCIDENCE OF CORPORATE INCOME TAX.....	60
	3.1 Empirical Studies on Countries Other Than India.....	61
	3.1.1 Simple Statistical Studies.....	61
	3.1.2 Econometric Studies.....	65
	3.1.3 Simultaneous Equations Estimations.....	93
	3.1.4 Industry Studies.....	99
	3.1.5 General Equilibrium Studies.....	106
	3.1.6 Other Shifting and Incidence Studies on Corporate Income Tax.....	116
	3.2 Empirical Studies in India.....	117
	3.2.1 Ambirajan's Study.....	117
	3.2.2 The Study by Lall.....	121
	3.2.3 Application of K-M Model to India..	126
	3.2.4 The Gandhi Contribution.....	130
	3.2.5 The Mathew Study.....	135
	3.2.6 The Contribution of Rao.....	138
	3.2.7 The General Equilibrium Analysis...	141
	3.3 General Observations.....	144
4	THE PRELIMINARY EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS.....	147
	4.1 The Model.....	149
	4.2 Measures of Shifting.....	154
	4.2.1 Data Availability.....	155
	4.2.2 Nature of the Data.....	157

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
	4.3 Rationale for an Industrywise Study of Shifting.....	163
	4.3.1 The Selected Industries.....	164
	4.4 The Re-estimated Equations.....	166
	4.4.1 The Laumas Equations.....	167
	4.4.2 The Gandhi Equations.....	171
	4.4.3 The Rao Equations.....	174
	4.5 The Choice of Specification.....	177
5	A SUGGESTED METHOD FOR ESTIMATING THE DEGREE OF SHIFTING.....	182
	5.1 Incorporation of Non-Financial Variables.....	182
	5.2 The Tax Rate Question.....	191
	5.3 Problems of Using L_t and the Solution.....	192
	5.4 The Shifting Estimates.....	196
	5.5 The First-Difference Equations.....	200
6	POSSIBLE LAGS IN THE TAX EFFECT.....	204
	6.1 The General Lag Structure.....	205
	6.2 OLS with a Cut-off Year.....	205
	6.2.1 The Trial Estimation.....	206
	6.3 The Application of Koyck Scheme....	209
	6.4 Results.....	214
7	INTERPRETATION OF THE RESULTS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS.....	226
	7.1 Shifting Groups.....	226
	7.2 Profiles of the Selected Industries	228
	7.2.1 Sugar.....	229
	7.2.2 Iron and Steel.....	234
	7.2.3 Tea Plantations.....	242
	7.2.4 Paper.....	246

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Page</u>
7.2.5 Cement.....	249
7.2.6 Aluminium.....	253
7.2.7 Cotton Textiles.....	256
7.3 The Possible Presence of a Pattern.	260
7.4 Policy Implications.....	262
APPENDIX TABLES.....	269
SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	300

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.1	Revenue from the Corporation Income Tax.....	5
1.2	Revenue from Major Taxes: 1974-75 and 1979-80	6
4.1	Re-estimated Y^E Equations (Laumas for the Years 1954-55 to 1974-75.....)	168
4.2	Regressions Estimated Using Gandhi Specifica- tion for the Years 1955-75.....	172
4.3	Regression Results Using the Rao Equations for the Years 1955-75.....	175
5.1	Regression Results with One/Two Variables Added to the Gandhi Specification for the Chosen Industries.....	186
5.2	Coefficients of Z_t in the Preferred Equations	192
5.3	Results of the Iterative Regressions for Y^E (Equity Base).....	197
5.4	Shifting Estimates Using L_t and Z_t	198
5.5	Results of the Iterative Regressions in First-Difference Form.....	201
6.1	Estimated Y^E (Equity Base) Equations for the Selected Industries with Two Lagged Values of the Tax Rate.....	208
6.2	Simple Correlation Coefficients of the Tax Rates Used in Table 6.1.....	209
6.3	Results Which Yielded the Highest Values of R^2 with Different Values Assigned to b_0 and A during the First Round.....	215

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
6.4	Results Which Yielded the Highest Values of R^2 with Different Values Assigned to b_0 and λ during the Second Round.....	216
6.5	Various Estimates of Industrywise Shifting for the Seven Selected Industries.....	223
7.1	Degree of Shifting and Other Characteristic Features of the Seven Selected Industries....	261
A.1	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Tea Plantations.....	272
A.2	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Sugar...	273
A.3	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Cotton Textiles.....	274
A.4	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Iron and Steel.....	275
A.5	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Aluminium	276
A.6	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Paper and Paper Products.....	277
A.7	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Cement.	278
A.8	Financial Data for Sample Companies: Whole Corporate Sector.....	279
B.1	Financial Ratios: Tea Plantations.....	280
B.2	Financial Ratios: Sugar.....	281
B.3	Financial Ratios: Cotton Textiles.....	282
B.4	Financial Ratios: Iron and Steel.....	283
B.5	Financial Ratios: Aluminium.....	284
B.6	Financial Ratios: Paper and Paper Products..	285
B.7	Financial Ratios: Cement.....	286
B.8	Financial Ratios: Whole Corporate Sector....	287

(ix)

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
C.1	Tea Plantations in India, 1955-75.....	288
C.2	Sugar Industry in India, 1955-75.....	289
C.3	Cotton Textile Industry, 1955-75 (Mill Sector)	290
C.4	Performance of Iron and Steel Industry in India.....	291
C.5	Production of Aluminium in India, 1955-75....	292
C.6	Paper and Paper Board Production, 1955-75....	293
C.7	Cement Production in India, 1955-75.....	294
C.8	National Aggregates and Ratios, 1955-56 to 1974-75.....	295
D	Non-Financial Variables Used in the Regressions.....	297
E	Growth of Corporate Sector in India, 1950-75.	299

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Seitovsky-Boulding Model.....	29
2	Short-Run Cost Curves.....	34
3	Average-Cost Pricing.....	37
4	Limit-Pricing.....	42
5	Baumol's Sales Maximisation Hypothesis...	46
6	Managers' Utility-Maximisation Model of Williamson.....	51
7	Shifting Under Signal Theory.....	54