

SOME ISSUES IN THE CONTROVERSY
IN THE THEORY OF CAPITAL

Dissertation in Partial Completion
of the M.Phil Degree Programme
at the Gokhale Institute of
Politics and Economics,
University of Poona

By

JAGADEESH J. GOKHALE

Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics,
Pune 411 004

December 1982

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am most obliged to Professor V. M. Dandekar sparing valuable time and energy to guide me in writing this dissertation; I thank him for his help.

I thank Professor P. Venkatramaiah and Professor V.S. Chitre too for offering their valuable comments on the subject.

Shri S.M. Kulkarni deserves high praise for prompt and efficient typing of the manuscript.

Jagadeesh J. Gokhale

C O N T E N T S

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Particulars</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|--|-------------|
| | CHAPTER I | 1 |
| 1.1 | Neoclassical theory, the simplest model of exchange; inclusion of production. Causation in the determination of equilibrium prices. Distinction between short period and long period analysis. | 1 |
| 1.2 | Unified approach to the theory of value and distribution. Level of aggregation of the analysis. a) Micro-economic level; plea for laissez faire; Marxist criticism. b) Analysis at a high level of aggregation. Roots in the Ricardian model for analysis of rent of land - the primitive model - motivations towards the "vulgar" economics. | 3 |
| 1.3 | Requisites for extension of the "primitive" model to include "capital" as a primary factor | 5 |
| 1.3.1 | Clarks metaphysical 'permanent fund'. Bohm Bawerks attempt to construct a time index to measure capital. Its shortcomings. Bohm Bawerks attempt at fitting the theory of interest in the neoclassical framework. | 6 |
| 1.3.2 | Wicksell and the concept of dated labour. Capital aggregation in terms of a weighted average of past labour inputs. His analysis of capital accumulation and demonstration of the falsity of the law of marginal productivity of social capital. | 8 |

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Particulars</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|--|-------------|
| 1.3.3 | Search for a way to measure capital suitable for the neoclassical approach to the determination of interest. | 12 |
| 1.4 | Sraffa's analysis | 13 |
| 1.4.1 | Sraffa's model of the economy and analysis of price reversals - final demonstration of the impossibility of measuring capital independently of the rate of profits and hence of distribution. | 13 |
| 1.4.2 | Sraffa's analysis of switching of technique | 19 |
| | CHAPTER II | 23 |
| 2.1 | Recent debates in capital theory. The neo-neo-classical one commodity model - the well behaved aggregate production function and the four truths associated with it. | 23 |
| 2.2.1 | Joan Robinson's attack on the aggregate production function. Implications of the impossibility of the measurement of capital independently of distribution for neo-neo-classical methodology. | 25 |
| 2.2.2 | Joan Robinson's concept of equilibrium | 27 |
| 2.3 | Responses by Champernown and Swan; the shortcomings in their attempts to construct indices for measuring capital. | 29 |
| | CHAPTER III | 32 |
| 3.1 | A simple two goods model on the lines of Sraffian analysis | 32 |
| 3.2 | Brief consideration of Samuelson's defence of the well behaved production function - its limitations. | 39 |

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Particulars</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| 3.3.1 | Reswitching, capital reversing and the refutation of the first truth. | 43 |
| 3.3.2 | Refutation of the second truth | 46 |
| 3.3.3 | Refutation of the third truth | 47 |
| 3.3.4 | Refutation of the fourth truth | 49 |
| 3.4.1 | Samuelson's admission of the inadequacy of the concept of surrogate capital | 50 |
| 3.4.2 | Joan Robinson versus Samuelson - controversy on a "purely logical point". | 50 |
| | CHAPTER IV | 54 |
| 4.1.1 | Solow's attempt to dissociate marginal productivity relations with the concept of the aggregate production function; his attempt to rehabilitate the notion of the rate of return as the central concept in capital theory. | 54 |
| 4.1.2 | Further elucidation of Solow's approach; how capital reversal does not matter to his scheme. Solow's proof that the social rate of return on saving is equal to the switch point rate of interest for two techniques. The infinite number of techniques case | 58 |
| 4.2 | Joan Robinson on Solow | 61 |
| 4.3.1 | Pasinetti on Solow - Two possible interpretations of the "rate of return concept as first developed by Fisher. Fisher's theory of the marginal rate of return on sacrifice | 63 |

(v)

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Particulars</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| 4.3.2 | How the first interpretation of the rate of return is useless in 'explaining' the rate of interest. | 65 |
| 4.3.3 | How the second interpretation is inadequate for a theory of the rate of interest | 66 |
| 4.3.4 | The special case of the one commodity model; the unobtrusive postulate and accompanying assumptions; their uselessness in the many goods case because of reswitching and capital reversing | 67 |
| 4.4.1 | Solow's reply | 71 |
| 4.4.2 | Pasinetti's reply to the reply | 74 |
| | NOTES I to VIII | 76 |
| | LIST OF BOOKS AND ARTICLES REFERRED TO | 82 |