# POONA : A RE-SURVEY 

## -The Changing Pattern of Employment and Earinings

BY
N. V. SOVANI
D. P. APTE
R. G.PENDSE

Price Rs. 15 or 25 s . or $\$ \mathbf{3 , 5 0}$,

Printed by M. H. Patwardhan, at Sangam Press Private Ltd., 383, Narayan Peth, Poona 2.
Published by D. R. Gadgil, at the Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Poona 4.

## FOREWORD

This is the first publication of the Institute embodying results of a survey of phenomena of which a study had been carried out by the Institute at an earlier date. This re-survey might not have been undertaken at this time but for the schemes inaugurated by the Research Programmes Committee of the Planning Commission. As part of a programme of collection of data relating to problems of economic development in India, the Research Programmes Committee decided to hàve socio-economic surveys conducted of urban centres which had recorded specially rapid growth during the decade 1941-51. Poona was one of the cities which had recorded such growth and the conduct of a survey in Poona was, therefore, likely to yield data useful for the purposes which the Planning Commision had in mind. A survey of the developments in Poona was invested with special significance as the Institute had carried out its earlier comprehensive survey of the city in 1936-37, that is, only a few years before considerable changes were introduced into the situation by the war and its "aftermath. In no other city in which socio-economic surveys were launched by the R.P.C. was earlier material available for comparison. The possibility of using the data of the 1936-37 Poona Survey for detailed comparison thus rendered a re-survey of Poona particularly important. In view of these considerations the Institute decided, inspite of some pressure on its resources of personnel, to offer to undertake the Poona survey in the scheme of urban surveys of the Research Programmes Committee. We were fortunate in having been able to place Mr. Sovani in charge of the re-survey. He had helped to a considerable extent in drafting the report of the elier survey and was therefore familier with the older material and procedures; this was of great help in planning and conducting the re-survey.

The 1936-37 Poona survey was a general purposes survey with wide coverage. The re-survey was narrower in its scope and was specifically directed to certain aspects. Occupational structure, employment and migration together with levels of living were the special objectives of the ressurvey. The data collected in the resurvey do not thus make it possible to institute comparisons with all the aspects covered in the two volumes of the first (1937) Poona survey. However, for all the more important economic aspects the material is adequate for a full comparison, ${ }^{*}$ The results of the comparison and the possibility of basing generalisations on them are discussed fully by Mr. Sovani in the report. It is not necessary
for me to reiterate these, or to comment on the findings of Mr . Sovani regarding situations and trends as expounded in the earlier Poona report. In the earlier Poona report trends were indicated in the light of the data collected during 1936-37 and such historical material for earlier periods as was available; and it is interesting to note in what directions the trends then noted were in fact found to be operative during the fifteen years after that survey. As the scope of the re-survey was narrower, data in relation to all trends discussed in the earlier report are not available. In respect of some of these e.g. education, recent data could be collected comparatively easily and these have been set out in the appendices to this publication. The report of the survey provides rich material in relation to aspects of considerable general interest such as the socio-economic characteristics of the city, its occupational structure, occupational mobility, growth of population and its effects on city development and housing conditions, employment and unemployment, etc. Many of the results of the re-survey also appear to have significance for the Indian economy in general.

- I may draw attention to what appear to me to be the more fimportant of these. I) In the first instance, it appears remarkable that in spite of an almost doubling of the population within a fifteen year period the occupational structure of the city failed to undergo a material change. ${ }^{1}$ ) Equally remarkable is the clear finding that unemployment had significantly risen during the period. The re-survey paid special attention to investigating unemployment. It attempted to measure incidence of unemployment among casual workers and the self-employed, it directed enquiry to new entrants and it investigated a sample on the register of the local Employment Exchange. All these are new data. Consequently, the somewhat narrower definition of unemployment adopted in the older survey had to be used as the basis for comparing conditions in this regard at the times of the two surveys. Even on this basis unemployment in 1953-54 was seen to be higher by almost 100 per cent as compared with that in 1936-37. Closely related to the state of employment and indirectly confirming the findings in relation to unemployment are the extent of poverty and destitution appearing in the re-survey. An attempt was made in the report of the first survey to define lines of poverty and of destitution which would prove useful in Indian conditions. Mr. Sovani has adopted these nlder definitions but had to deal with the problem of suitably conerting their monetary values in view of the large change in the alue of money in the intermediate period. However, after making 11 allowances Mr. Sovani reaches the definite conclusion that the ituation had not only not improved in 1953-54 as compared to that
in $1936-37$ but also that it had slightly deteriorated. That this should have happened at the end of a series of years of comparatively uninterrupted brisk economic activity is a matter of concern and provides a challenge to planners and framers of policy.".

For us, the resurvey provided an opportunity of testing the procedures, schedules, measures, etc. used in the earlier survey. As the earlier survey was a general purposes survey many of the enquiries were not as detailed nor as specific as required in the resurvey. This was noticed with particular reference to employment, unemployment and migration. In the re-survey, therefore, schedules had to be revised and new measures or new definitions had to be introduced and some new types of enquiries to be undertaken. Changes introduced in the manner of presentation of data of the re-survey limit possibilities of comparison with older data. Therefore, where comparison with results of the re-survey could be facilitated by reprocessing or retabulating materiak of the earlier survey, we undertook to do this. Reprocessing helped chiefly where the classification etc: of the older data had been on a different basis or less detailed than in the case of the data of the re-survey. In undertaking fresh examination of the older material some mistakes in earlier processing and tabulation were also discovered. None of these were found to be major mistakes, affecting significantly either the data or their interpretation. However, for the sake of accuracy and full supply of information the results of the retabulation haves in a number of cases, also been set out in this volume.

As noted above this is the first report of a second survey or resurvey by the Institute. It is hoped that in future these will grow in number and that with them the Institute will find it possible to present results which are of continuiously increasing significance as also to improve its techniques and elaborate its methods of work.

27 September, 1956.
Gokhale Institute of
Politics and Economics,
D. R. GADGIL Poona 4.
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## CHAPTER I

## POPULATION

I-Census Population (1951).

1. Census Unit. FThe 'Census Unit' called 'Greater Poona' was constituted in 1921 consisting of the City and the Suburban municipalities, the Poona Cantonment, the Kirkee Cantonment, the Government House Area and a good deal of the land of eight or nine villages (namely Parvati, Ghorpadi, Wanavadi, Bopodi, Yeravada, Aundh, Bhamburda and Erandavana).]This Census Unit remained unchanged at the two successive decennial censuses.* The Poona Municipal Corporation was constituted in 1950 consisting of the old City and Suburban municipal areas and the areas of the whole or part of the 17 adjoining villages. ${ }^{1}$ There was no addition or subtraction from the areas of the Poona Cantonment and Kirkee Cantonment. It will be found, therefore, that it would be possible to compare the data relating to different censuses, in the case of the population of the Poona Cantonment and Kirkee Cantonment area as these areas had remained unchanged. But the Corporation area is now much greater than the original areas of the census unit 'Greater Poona' and as such it will not be possible to make any comparison of the 1951 Census data (which relates to the area of the Poona Municipal Corporation) with the information available in the earlier censuses. In the 1951 Census, however, the adjusted total population figures since
[^0]1901 have been given for the Poona Corporation area. These have been used below for indicating the trend of population in that area from 1901.
2. Movement of Population since 1901*.-Table 1.1 gives the population of the Poona Municipal Corporation and the two cantonment areas since 1901.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement of Population in Poona City during | 1901-1951. |  |  |
| Year | Poona Municipal <br> Corporation. | Poona Can- <br> tonment. | Kirkee Can- <br> tonment. |
| 1901 | 130,539 | 32,777 | 10,797 |
| 1911 | 140,261 | 32,226 | 14,028 |
| 1921 | 180,098 | 32,726 | 18,357 |
| 1931 | 213,680 | 35,807 | 16,302 |
| 1941 | 278,165 | 40,447 | 26,285 |
| 1951 | 480,982 | 59,011 | 48,552 |

1.3 tath

The population of the Corporation area in 1951, over a period of 50 years, had increased by more than three times. Between 1901 and 1910 the papulation increased by about 7 per cent. The increase was greater in the next decade, 1911-1920, about 28 per cent.
5. population increased by 30 per cent. The biggest increase however, came during 1941-51, when the population increased by 70 per cent. A major part of this was due to increased in-migration in the period due to the increase in employment in the area during and after World War II. Our data show that during the last 15 years, about 45 per cent of the total sample families had migrated to Poona.

In commenting on the movements of population in the Cantonments it must be remembered that their populatiońs are liable to fluctuate according to the presence or absence of troops and other military personnel at the time of the census. These depend upon several chance factors so that increases or decreases in the total census populations in these areas are likely to be due to adventitious circumstances. It is, therefore, not necessary to offer any detailed comments in regard to the population changes in them.
3. Sex Ratio*.-Table 1.2 gives the number of females per 1,000 males at the different censuses in the Poona Corporation area and the Poona and the Kirkee Cantonments.

[^1]| Number of Females | TABLE No. 1.2. <br> per thousand Males in the |  |  | pulati | of Poona City at |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of females per 1000 males in |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1901 | 1911 | 1921 | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 |
| Poona Corporation | 941 | 886 | 858 - | 848 | 876 | 865 |
| Poona Cantonment | 779 | 771 | 723 | 733 | 673 | 772 |
| Kirkee Cantonment | 732 | 599 | 501 | 640 | 458 | 626 |

The sex-ratio in the population of the Poona Corporation area showed a continuous decline from 1901 to 1931. In 1941 there was a sharp rise followed by a moderate fall in 1951. Even so the sexratio in 1951 was higher than in 1921. The rather sharp rise in 1941 marked a break in the past trend. This break persists even if figures for the Poona Municipal and Suburban Municipal Areas for 1941 are examined. This development is rather difficult to explain. The fall in 1951 would indicate a return to the more normal trend in tune with the past.

There is no need to comment on the figures of the cantonments for reasons detalied earlier, which also hold good in this connexion.

## II-Family Sample Information.

4. The Family Sample.-In the 1937 Survey the sample of families was chosen on the basis of residential houses because a complete list of the resident families was not available. From a list of residential houses in the Poona City and Suburban municipal areas every 15th house was selected and all the families resident in the selected house were included in the sample.

In the present survey we were more fortunately situated. Every 'family' in the Poona area, the area being under statutory rationing of foodgrains at the time of the survey, had to take out a ration-card and the register of all the card holders in the area was kept and constantly revised by the Rationing Authorities. As this constituted as complete a list of all the families in the area as was possible we decided to select a sample of families from this register. The Rationing Authorities readily agreed to allow us to make use of it. The register contained the declaration forms of heads of families giving the number of members in their respective families and the total number of ration-cards held by them. These forms had been checked and revised upto November, 1953.

The Rationing Authorities had, however, adopted the practice of treating any new applicant for ration-card as the head of a sepa-
rate family unit so that even if the new applicant had recently come to Poona to join his family, which was a resident family in Poona, he was treated as the head of a separate family. • Temporary visitors who applied for temporary ration-cards were also similarly treated. As a result the rationing register contained a greater number of 'families' than were actually there in the area. The records hąd un. necessarily got inflated. To add to this, there were also a number of 'phantom' ration-cards that had got issued on false declarations, for illegally getting hold of more foodgrains than allowed under the ratioming rules.

In selecting a sample, therefore, we had to take into account the fact that the rationing records were in an inflated state. An examination of the 1951 Census data showed that on a rough calculation there would be five persons per family on the average and that the Poona area would contain about $1,00,000$ families. We decided that a 4 per cent random sample would serve our needs, so that our sample was roughly expected to contain about 4,000 families. Because of the inflated rationing registers, however, the number actually turned out much more than this. The declaration forms for different wards had been kept in separate bundles. Each bundle contained forms for a single ward of the city or for two or three small wards together. From each of these bundles every 25 th name of the head of the family and his or her address was copied out. The total number so chosen came to 6,742 .

With the preparation of this preliminary basic list we were ready to start the investigation but before doing this we had to take firm decisions regarding certain problems that were bound to arise. The investigators working on the basis of our list were likely to encounter different types of situations, when they found that a family in the list was not to be found at the given address, such as: (1) The family had left Poona for (a) good (b) temporarily; (2) It had left the tenement to occupy another, somewhere else in the Poona area; (3) The tenement was (a) vacant or was (b) occupied by the owner of the house or (c) by other old tenants in the same house; (4) The family was non-existent, i.e. it was a 'phantom' family for rationing purposes; (5) The name in the list was that of a person in a family and not that of the head of the family of which he was a member. In all such cases we had to give clear-cut instructions to the investigators as also to make arrangements in a manner in which these situations were not concealed by the investigators as the representativeness of the sample would have been affected thereby. In order, therefore, to avoid the latter we instructed the investigators that if they did not find the family in the list at the given address in all cases they should fill in a questionnaire for
the family which was resident in that tenement, except when the tenement was vacant or occupied by the owner or an adjoining tenant in addition to his original tenement. Besides this he was asked to enter the following information regarding the original family in the list in the blank space on the first page of the questionnaire: (a) the name of the head of the family (b) whether the family had left Poona permanently or temporarily (c) present address of the family if it had shifted elsewhere in Poona. As we had agreed to pay the investigators at the rate of Re. $1 /-$ for one questionnaire because of the above procedure the investigators got full payment for the total number of families in the list supplied to each of them. There would naturally have been great temptation to avoid to record all the necessary information regarding the families not to be found at given addresses if the investigators had been asked not to fill in questionnaires in all such cases, thus reducing their total remuneration for no fault of their own. There would have been also the temptation to substitute another family under the name of the original one. By assuring the investigators that the number of questionnaires filled in by them and consequently the payment made to them would not depend on the chance factors over which they had no control, we were able to avoid most of the mischiefs and mistakes that would otherwise have been perpetrated. The additional cost incurred because of this was well worthwhile.

The next decisions were regarding the families which were to be finally admitted to constitute our sample. The following decisions were taken in respect of the types of cases, that have been enumerated above. In the case of 1 (a) we decided to substitute the family by the one living in the tenement, occupied previously by the family in the original list. In 1 (b) we decided to wait and to fill in the questionnaire when the family returned to Poona. In the case of (2) when the investigator got the new address of the family that had shifted we contacted the family at that address and got the questionnaire filled for it. This questionnaire was admitted into the sample while the one filled in for the family now resident in the tenement formerly occupied by the family in the original list was cancelled. In case, however, the investigator could not get the new address of the family in the original list, the one resident in the tenement at the time of the inquiry was substituted in its place in the sample. In case of (3) when the whereabouts of the family were not known we decided to drop the family from the sample and no substitution was made. In case of (4) the choice was obvious, i.e. of dropping the 'phantom' family from the sample. In the case of (5) the family for which the questionnaire was filled in i.e. the family of which the person in the original list was a member, etc., was admitted into the
sample. Strictly speaking, they should not have been included. But their total number was very small, 15 only, and we decided to keep them in as they were not going to affect the results of the sample in any significant way.

There were several other difficulties which had to be faced even after taking the above decisions. It would be best to discuss them in connection with the final size of our sample and the original list of sample families with which we started. In all, out of the original list of 6,742 we could not for various reasons fill in valid schedules for 1,141. Table No. 1.3 gives details regarding these latter which are classified by the broad reasons for this occurance.

TABLE No. 1.3.
Reasons for not being able to fill in valid schedules for 1141 families in the original sample. .


The biggest group consisted of those families which could not be contacted at all because they were not to be found at the addresses given in the rationing registers from which they were chosen. An overwhelming proportion of these cases represented 'phantom' rationing cards and false declarations in order to obtain more foodgrains than were allowed to families under the rationing arrangements. In all such cases the investigators almost invariably put down the comment that the person in question was not there and never was a resident at the given address, as revealed by the testimony of the owners of those houses or tenants or neighbours. In
these cases, obviously no substitutions also could be made. A small proportion of this group represented persons who were connected with horse-racing and only came to Poona during the racing season-July-October. They could not be contacted because possibly they were out of Poona and had not cancelled their temporary ration cards.

Next comes the group of 264 families for whom no questionnaires could be filled in mainly because 136 had left Poona and 128 who were in Poona but had left the addresses in the original list and whose new addresses were not known or could not be found. We also could not substitute for these families because the tenements occupied by these families had been occupied at the time of the inquiry by the owner of the house or a neighbouring tenant or were vacant. In 15 cases the tenements had been converted into shops. The owner or the neighbouring, tenant could not be substituted for the original family because obviously they would have been 'bad' substitutes. In the case of shops no substitution was possible.

The group of 92 families who were out of Poona at the time of our survey and whose tenements were locked could not be contacted by us in spite of repeated attempts. We did not substitute others for them because we hoped and hoped that we could contact them before the inquiry concluded. Our hopes were, however, dashed and then it was too late to substitute others for them.

34 persons could not be contacted because they had been residents of hotels and had left Poona at the time of the inquiry. During the time that elapsed between the choosing of our sample from the rationing registers at the end of March and the actual conduci of the inquiry in May, June and July these families or persons had left Poona. We thought it would be incorrect to substitute other guests in the hotels in their place because there was no correct way of choosing a suitable one from among the guests residing at these hotels at the time of our inquiry who could be said to represent the one that had left.

86 cases could not be contacted because the houses or huts in which they were located at the time we drew our sample had been destroyed or removed by the time our investigators went there for the inquiry. Of these, 12 were regularly built houses and 74 were huts made of bamboo.or grass or tin. The twelve buildings had been pulled down either because they were partially collapsing or had become too dangerous to be kept standing, etc. A large proportion of the 74 huts that had ceased to exist were to be found in certain parts of the city, Aundh, Pashan, Yeravada, Shivajinagar, Suburban Roads, Mangalwar and Bhavani. The first three are out-
lying parts of the city and many transient labourers, in stone-quarries of Yeravada or Pashan, etc., lived in huts which were removed when the families left. In Mangalwar, Bhavani and the Suburban area there are open plots of ground which are freely used by such transient elements as seasonally come into these areas for building huts. When they leave Poona they also carry away their huts, Most of the transients described above usually migrate into the city after October and stay there till the end of summer, i.e. upto the end of May. They leave before the rains start in June. Our inquiry was conducted during May, June and July and no wonder it missed these elements or found their huts gone; the timing of our inquiry was such that a good deal of this transient element in the city was excluded from our sample.

The last group of 90 cases comprises servants in households, hotels, etc. When the sample was selected from the rationing registers, these persons as separate ration card holders figured there as separate families. By the time, however, our investigators went to their addresses, which were naturally the addresses of their employers, they had either left their jobs or had been dismissed and their whereabouts were not known. In the majority of cases they had not been replaced by the employers by other servants who could be substituted for them. To a certain extent, therefore, this group is also slightly under-represented in our sample.

Of the remaining 5,601 families finally admitted into the sample, 4,920 were families in the original list and found at the addresses given in that list. 123 from the original list were not at the addresses given but were traced to other addresses and contacted there. The remaining were families substituted for original ones who had left Poona. The substitute families were those that were at the time of the survey living in the tenements formerly occupied by the families in the original list before leaving Poona. It would be seen from the above account that wherever we substituted families for those in the original list, they were comparable families as also that the residual non-response was, on the whole, small. Substitution and non-response, would not therefore greatly affect the representative character of the original sample.

The work of selecting sample families from the records at the Rationing Office was completed before the end of March 1954. It was, however, not possible to start the fieldwork before the end of April because the primary teachers whom we were going to employ as investigators were busy with the annual examinations in their schools. The Administrative Officer of the Poona Municipal Corporation School Board supplied us with the names of teachers who
were willing to work as investigators. Out of these, 80 teachers were chosen to do fieldwork on a piece-rate basis. The rate was Re . 1/- per questionnaire correctly filled in and delivered. Care was taken to see that the teachers were given fieldwork in those wards in which they lived or with which they were well acquainted.

For the sake of convenience of the fieldworkers, the whole of the Poona area was divided into 14 groups. For each group a temporary office was opened in the Municipal School in that area. The investigators in each group were each given a list of names and addresses which they were to cover. Two research assistants supervised the fieldwork. They used to collect the completed questionnaires, check them, give instructions, make spot-checks, etc. when they visited these centres. The time-table was so arranged as to make it easy for the investigators to meet the research assistants twice a week at these centres. . Five per cent of the sample families randomly selected were independently visited by the research assistants to verify the information filled in by the investigators.

During the first week of May 1954, most of the time was spent in organising the fieldwork and training the fieldstaff. The plan of work was explained to the teachers, they were then given a list of sample families which each was to cover. The fieldwork gathered momentum during the second week of May. By the end of May more than half of the fieldwork was over. The remaining work, however, took a longer time because, some of the sample families had gone out of Poona during the summer vacation. Some of the families were not accessible, some were unwilling to give information, etc. In the meantime schools opened for the new academic year and the teachers became engaged in their routine school work. Onset of rains also disturbed the work and it was possible to complete the fieldwork by the end of July only. On an average an investigator filled in $75-80$ questionnaires and earned as many rupees for the work.

At the end of the chapter is given the questionnaire used in this survey and instructions given to investigators for filling it. This questionnaire differed in some respects from the one used for the 1937 Survey. To study migration and change in occupations in different generations, for example, a few more questions were included in the new schedule than in the old one. So also the earners in the new questionnaire were divided into five classes: (1) employees getting monthly, fortnightly or weekly salaries, (2) casual . workers, (3) self-employed independent workers and traders (4) employers, (5) unemployed. Questions in each of the classes were designed to suit their individual requirements.

The present survey covered the whole of the Poona Municipal Corporation area and the Poona and the Kirkee Cantonments. The 1937 Survey of Poona had encompassed only the areas of the then Poona City and Suburban Municipalities, a much smaller area than that covered by the present survey. For facility of comparison the data of the present survey have been grouped and presented for (i) the area covered by the 1937 Survey (referred to as the 'first group of wards', (ii) the additional area* covered in the present survey (referred to as the additional group of wards) and (iii) for (i) and (ii) together. This should be borne in mind for a proper understanding of comments in the following pages.
5. Comparison of the Family Sample Population with the 1951 Census Population.-The total population figures at the 1951 Census have been presented in Table 1.1. From our 4 per cent family sample we estimate the population of the various areas in 1954 as follows : Poona Municipal Corporation area : 559,000; Poona Cantonment : 50,000; Kirkee Cantonment : 42,000. In contrast with the Census, the family sample population, does not include the inmates of public institutions like hospitals, prisons, etc., the populations in college and school residencies and troops and other personnel in the military establishments. Our estimates, therefore; are likely to be on the low side. A comparison of our estimates with the Census figures shows an increase oेf about 16 per cent in the population of the Poona Corporation area and decreases of about 16 and 13 per cent respectively in the Poona and Kirkee Cantonments. The comparison in regard to the two Cantonments is unreal because the family sample completely excludes a large part of the military personnel. In the Corporation area the increase is more likely than not understated by our estimate. Allowing for this, the annual rate of increase of the population of the area at the time of our survey would seem to be a little less than in the decade 1941-51.

The distribution of population according to religion is available in the 1951 Census Report only in respect of the Poona Corporation area. In Table 1.4 is given the percentage distribution of

[^2]the population of different religions according to the 1951 Census and the family sample.

| Religion | 1951 Census <br> Population | 1954 Family Sample Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hindes | 85.3 | 86.6 |
| Muslims | 8.9 | 81 |
| Jains | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Zoroastrians | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Christians | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Sikhs | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Jews | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Others | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| - | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Our sample population shows quite a close similarity to the 1951 Census distribution. Hindus accounted for the major share in the total. A comparison of the distribution by religion of the sample population of the Cantonments could be made with the 1941 Census which presented similar data. As already remarked no such data were available for 1951. The comparison showed that the sample population showed a higher percentage of Hindus and a lower proportion of, Muslims. The proportion of Christians, however, had remained stable.

The number of females per 1000 males in our family sample population in the Poona City Corporation area, the Poona Cantonment and the Kirkee Cantonment were 935, 922 and 891 respec. tively. This proportion was much higher than that recorded at the 1951 Census. This is not surprising. We had encountered a similar phenomenon in the 1937 Survey. As has been remarked before the family sample excluded the inmates of public institutions, student hostels, troops and personnel of military establishments which were predominantly male. As a result the proportion of females in the family sample population was much higher than at the 1951 Census.

The Social and Cultural tables of the 1951 Census, give the distribution of the population according to the mother tongue. From Table 1.5 it will be seen that the distribution of the family sample
population according to mother tongue showed a close similarity to the one at the 1951 Census.

TABLE No. 1.5.
Percentage distribution of Population according to Mother tongue 2n the Poona Muncipal Corporation Area in the 1951 Census and the Family Sample Population.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mother tongue } \\ \text { 1951 Census } \\ \text { Population }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { 1954 Family } \\ \text { Sample Population }\end{array}\right]$

The 1951 Census figures show that the displaced persons accounted for 2.2 per cent of the population of the Poona Corporation area. In the family sample, we had asked the causes of migration and those who definitely stated that they had migrated to Poona on account of the Partition of the country accounted for about 2 per cent of total family sample population in the Corporation area.

In Table 1.6 the percentage distribution of the 1951 Census population and the family sample population (both of the Poona Municipal Corporation area) according to age is given. The age groups of the two distributions are slightly different and some discrepancies between the two are attributable to this. Our sample showed a considerably higher proportion in the first age group than in the Census. This is partly explained by the fact that our age group 0-5 is naturally larger than the Census age group 0-4. Secondly, a very sharp fall in infant mortality rate in the Poona Corporation area during 1951-54 also accounts for a part of it. According to the Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation the infant mortality rate had declined from 170 in 1950-51 to 142 in 1953-54.* In all the other age groups, if we make allowan-

[^3]ces for discrepancies due to the different age groupings in the two series, the distribution was broadly similar.

TABLE No. 1.6.
Percentage distribution by age of the 1951 Census and the Family Sample Population in the Poona Munictpal Corporation Area.

|  | 1951 Census Population <br> Males <br> Females |  | Age | Family Sample Population <br> Males |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 12.8 | 14.7 | $0-5$ | 17.3 | 17.0 |
| $5-9$ | 10.4 | 11.8 | $6-10$ | 13.0 | 13.3 |
| $10-14$ | 10.4 | 10.8 | $11-15$ | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| $15-24$ | 22.5 | 22.7 | $16-25$ | 20.7 | 24.9 |
| $25-34$ | $\underline{19.3}$ | 16.1 | $26-35$ | 17.8 | 14.3 |
| $35-44$ | $\underline{12.2}$ | 10.6 | $36-45$ | 10.6 | 8.9 |
| $45 \&$ above | $\underline{12.4}$ | 13.3 | $46 \&$ above | 11.0 | 12.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |

Table 1.7 presents data regarding civil condition of the population by age in the Poona Corporation area in the 1951 Census and the sample population. The pattern in both populations appears to be broadly similar and no special comments are necessary.

TABLE No. 1.7
Percentage distribution according to Age and Civil conditzon, of the 1951 Census and the Family Sample Population in the Poona Mumcipal Corporation Area.

| Civil condition | 1951 Census Population |  |  |  | Family Sample Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male adults | Female adults | Male nonadults | Female nonadults | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \text { adults } \end{gathered}$ | Female adults | Male nonadults | Female nonadults |
| Unmarried | 332 | 11.7 | 99.8 | 96.8 | 29.3 | 9.0 | 99.9 | 97.4 |
| Married | 60.8 | 66.0 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 64.4 | 68.4 | 0.1 | 2.5 |
| Divorced or widowed | 6.0 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 22.6 | 00 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Regarding literacy, the Census classification made only one distinction : (i) literates (those who could read and write) and (ii) illiterates (those who could read but not write and those whe
could do neither). The first age group, 0-4 years is naturally excluded. We present below the comparable statistics.

|  | LITERATE |  | ILLITERATE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 1951 Census | 73.0 | 40.7 | 27.0 | 59.3 |
| Sample Population | 80.9 | 46.6 | 19.1 | 53.4 |

The sample population showed higher proportions of literates among both males and females than in 1951. This is not unexpected in view of the many efforts that are being made in recent years for spreading literacy as speedily as possible.
6. Wards and Communities*.-Our questionnaire sought information about the religion, caste and sub-caste of each family in the sample. A listing of castes and communities, as recorded in the survey (but which was by no means exhaustive) showed that they were 61 in all. It would have been unmanageable to present data regarding each of these. It would also have been unnecessary because several of them were very small. We therefore, decided to reduce them to manageable proportions by retaining some and grouping others according to their socio-economic characteristics. In this we mainly followed the scheme of the 1937 Survey, which had been very

[^4]carefully worked out. $\dagger$ In all we reduced them to the following groups and sub-groups. We list these below with some comments: (1) Marathas, (2) Deshastha Brahmins-the term "Deshastha" embraces two important and a number of subsidiary separate subcaste groups. But this omnibus term was used in the Indian Censuses in 1931 and before and is also frequently used in common parlance. As in the 1937 Survey our investigators failed to distinguish between these sub-groups. (3) Konknastha Brahmins; (4) Other Brahmins, including Saraswats; (5) Malis (Gardeners) ; (6) Dhangars and Gavalis (Shepherds and Cowherds) ; (7) Weaving Castes (Sali, Koshti, etc.) ; (8) Artisan Group I consists of castes which hereditarily work in metals of all kinds (gold, silver, copper, brass, bellmetal, etc.) together with Shimpis the hereditory clothier and tailor caste in Maharashtra; (9) Artisan Group II consists of miscellaneous kinds of services, trades and others such as barbers, washermen, potters, etc. i.e. castes which have been usually included in the group of public servants, balutedars in the village and a small group economically and socially similarly situated, like Buruds (basket makers) ; (10) Depressed Classes: Mahar, Mang, Chambhar and other Scheduled Castes; (11) Other Hindus-Other Hindus include some smaller communities as Khatris, Joshis and Pardeshis, a term which denotes a number of migrant castes from North India. (12) Lingayats; (13) Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains ; (14) South Indians; (15) Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs; (16) Vaddars; (17) Muhommedans; (18) Christians; (19) Jews; (20) Parsis. In the groups that are regional or occupational different castes are mixed up; the other groups are homogeneous from the point of view of caste and religion.

A few comments on some of these groupings may be offered. The first twelve groups represent a classification of Maharashtrian Hindus. Group 13-Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains is both a religious and an occupational group. Jains in Poona mainly belonged to the Gujarati and Marwadi communities. In the returns of the latter two again Jains were not separately indicated. All the three were mainly engaged in trade and commerce. Group 15-Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs was formed to put into one group persons who were mainly refugees from Pakistan and formed a new group of inmigrants, which was again too small to be dealt with in terms of sub-groups within it. In the 1937 Survey the number of persons belonging to these communities was very small and consequently they had not been put into a separate group. In the present survey this was not the case.

[^5]Such a detailed treatment of these different groups was necessary only in connection with the analysis of a small amount of data, as for example, data contained in this section. In the other con. texts a further consolidation and reduction of these groups was necessary. It was again accomplished on the same principles as above. The 20 groups were reduced to 10 larger ones as follows: (1) Marathas; (2) All Brahmins; (3) Artisan Group I and II; (4) Depressed Classes; (5) Other. Hindus-those Hindus not covered by the above; (6) Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs; (7) Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains; (8) Muhommedans; (9) Christians; (10) Parsis and Jews.

In Table 1.8 we present the distribution of families in different wards according to communities. Hindus formed the largest group. Muslims and Christians came next. Hindu families were concentrated mainly in the older parts of the city and in some of the additional wards covered in the present survey. In Sadashiv, Narayan, Shanwar, and Budhwar in the first group of wards and Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, etc. Erandavana, Hingne, etc. and Hadapsar, Mundhawa, in the additional group of wards non-Hindu communities were insignificant or totally absent.

Next to Hindus, Muslims formed a major group, about 9 per cent of the total. They were concentrated in Shivajinagar, Suburban Roads and Yeravada and in Raviwar, Kasba, Mangalwar, Bhavani, Nana and Ganj-the old wards of the city. In Ghorpade and Gultekdi, Muslim families formed a little over 25 per cent of the total families there.

The next big group was that of Christians which formed about 3 per cent of the total families. They were found mainly in Suburban Roads and Yeravada, and in larger numbers in the two Cantonments.

We next attempt a comparison between the strength and the distribution of these religious communities and castes and subcastes as revealed by the two surveys. Obviously the comparison is in respect of families in the first group of wards in the present survey which is comparable with the sample families of the 1937 Survey.

The proportion of Hindus had increased from about 84 per cent to about 89 per cent between the two surveys. Their concentration in different wards had not altered much. As in the 1937 Survey there were very few non-Hindu families in the present survey in Sadashiv, Narayan, Shanwar and Budhwar. As compared to the 1937 Survey the Muslims recorded a decline from about


TABLE No．1．8－（Coxtd．）
$\infty$
Wardwise classification of sample families according to Community．

| Wards |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 驾 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 岕 } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 鹏 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 窎 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 馹 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 咢 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 鬲 } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 荡 } \\ & \text { 荮 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哭 } \\ & \cline { 1 - 3 } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shivajinagar | 32 | 2 | 49 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 345 | 23 | 5 | － | － | 373 |
| Sadashiv | 10 | 3 | 17 | ${ }^{5}$ | 1 | ． 2 | 2 | 465 | 1 | － | $\sim$ | － | 466 |
| Narayan | 8 | － | 12 | 2 | － | 1 | － | 170 | － | － | － | － | 170 |
| Shanwar | 1 | － | 13 | － | － | 1 | － | 230 | 2 | － | － | － | 232 |
| Budhwar | 1 | － | 25 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 210 | － | 1 | － | － | 211 |
| Raviwar | 12 | 7 | 27 | 20 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 235 | 48 | － | － | － | 283 |
| Kasba | 20 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 272 | 19 | 2 | － | － | 293 |
| Mangalwar | 41 | 1 | 8 | － | 2 | 1 | 1 | 95 | 9 | 1 | － | － | 105 |
| Somwar | 9 | 3 | 26 | 2 | － | 1 | 7 | 173 | 10 | 3 | 1 | － | 187 |
| Rasta | 4 | 3 | 13 | 1 | － | 2 | 9 | 86 － | 6 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 106 |
| Nagesh | 1 | 2 | 3 | － | － | 1 | 2 | 29 | 5 | 1 | － | － | 35 |
| Ganesh | 7 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 103 | 12 | － | － | － | 115 |
| －Nana | 30 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 168 | 53 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 236 |
| Bhavani | 73 | － | 55 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 259 | 66 | 12 | － | 2 | 339 |
| ＇Ganj | 21 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 142 | 22 | 1 | － | － | 165 |
| Vetal（Gurwar） | 4 | 10 | 18 | 5 | － | 11 | － | 130 | 14 | 4 | － | － | 148 |

CHANGING PATTEERN

TABLE No. 1.8-(Contd.)
Wardwies olaseifioation of sample families according to Community.


Wardwise classification of sample families according to Community．

| Wards |  |  |  | 营 品 号 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 置 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { W } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 珓 | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shukrawar | 14 | 5 | 59 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 421 | 16 |  | 6 | － | － | 443 |
| Ghorpade \＆ Gultekdi | 9 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 25. | 10 |  | 3 | － | － | 38 |
| Suburban Road | 57 | 6 | 21 | － | － | － | 5 | 159 | 26 | ， | 16 | － | 4 | 205 |
| Yeravada | 35 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 1 | － | 8 | 98 | 22 |  | 13 | － | － | 133 |
| Wakdewadi | 4 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | － | 13 | 2 |  | － | － | － | ． 15 |
| Navi Khadki | 10 | － | 4 | － | － | － | － | 21 | 5 |  | 2 | － | － | 28 |
| Sangamwadi | 1 | 2 | 5 | － | － | － | 1 | 20 | 1 |  | － | － | － | 21 |
| Total for the I group of Wards | 404 | 64 | A29．${ }^{\prime}$ | 69 | 27 | 99 | 69 | 3869 | 372 |  | 91 | 3 | 12 | 4，347 |

TABLE No．1．8－（Conta．）
Wardwise classification of sample families according to Communtty．

| Wards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 若 } \\ & \text { 荧 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aundh， Pashan，etc． | 30 | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 14 |
| Bibewadi，ete． | 11 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Erandavana， Hingne，etc． | 26 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 25 | 5 | － | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | － | － | － |
| Ghorpadi village | 12 | 1 | － | 2 | 3 | 8 | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | 14 | 2 | 4 |
| Hadapsar， Mundhwa | 35 | 1 | － | 2 | 3 | 20 | 1 | － | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 19 |
| Wanavdi | 10 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Poona Cantt． | 25 | 4 | － | － | 4 | 9 | 10 | － | 29 | 5 | － | 50 | 4 | 14 |
| Kirkee Cantt． | 43 | 6 | 4 | － | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8. | 1 | 1 | 39 | － | 66 |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards | 192 | 27 | 16 | 7 | 50 | 51 | 16 | 4 | 45 | 19 | 6 | 131 | 11 | 132 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1219 | 547 | 385 | 170 | 1102 | 168 | 69 | 130 | 200 | 152 | 51 | 342 | 67 | 269 |

TABLE No．1．8－（Cosatd．）
Wardwise clasoification of sample families according to Community．

| Wards |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 荷 } \\ & \text { 苦 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哭 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \\ & \vec{Z} \\ & \text { g } \end{aligned}$ | 蜀 | 总 |  |  | 哭 | 号 | 働 | 槀 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aundh， Pashan，etc． | 35 | 2 | 43 | 1 | － | 2 | 11 | 141 | 4 | 9 | － | － | 154 |
| Bibewadi，etc． | 6 | 1 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 24 | 3 | 6 | － | － | 33 |
| Erandavana， Hingne | － | － | 13 | － | － | － | 1 | 79 | － | － | － | － | 79 |
| Ghorpadi village | 20 | － | 13 | － | － | 1 | 11 | 66 | 11 | 6 | － | － | 83 |
| Hadapsar， Mundhwa | 23 | 1 | 14 | － | － | － | 2 | 107 | 3 | － | $\perp$ | － | 110 |
| Wanavdi | 17 | 2 | 6 | － | － | － | 2 | 47 | 3 | 6 | － | － | 56 |
| Poona Cantt． | 68 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 2 | 16 | 15 | 249 | 68 | 64 | 1 | 24 | 406 |
| Kirkee Cantt． | 105 | 1 | 42 | 4 | 1 | B | 32 | 260 | 26 | 44 | － | 3 | 333 |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards | 274 | 11 | 184 | 17 | 3 | 27 | 74 | 973 | 118 | 135 | 1 | 27 | 1，254 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 678 | 75 | 613 | 86 | 30 | 126 | 143 | 4842 | 490 | 226 | 4 | 39 | 5，601 |

9 per cent to 4 per cent in the present survey. Their distribution among different wards had mainly altered in the case of Nihal as between the two surveys. While in the 1937 Survey 75 per cent of the total families in this ward were Muslims, in the present survey they were only 15 per cent. Some part of this general decline in the proportion of Muslims might be attributed to the migration of families of this community to Pakistan after 1947. The Christians as a whole showed a small decline between the surveys. Their proportion in Ganj and Vetal (Guruwar) had also declined.

Among Hindus, Marathas formed the largest community. Compared with the 1937 Survey, however, they showed a decline from 29 per cent to about 23 per cent of the total in the first group of wards. Except in Sadashiv, Narayan, Shanwar, Budhwar, Suburban Roads, Yeravada, in all the other wards in the first group, the Marathas were in majority*. The Brahmins were in majority in Sadashiv, Narayan, Shanwar and Budhwar and showed some concentration in Shivajinagar, Kasba, Somwar and Shukrawar in the first group of wards. $\dagger$ Among Brahmins the Deshastha Brahmins were somewhat more numerous than Konkanastha Brahmins. As compared with the 1937 Survey, the proportion of Brahmin families in the comparable area seemed to have increased from 18 per cent to about 25 per cent in the present survey. The increase was particularly among Deshastha Brahmins, their proportion in the comparable area increasing from about 8 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 12 per cent in this survey. Other Brahmins formed about 4 per cent of the total families. Their proportion in the total, as compared with the 1937 Survey, seemed to have increased a little.

Malis which formed about 4 per cent and Dhangar, Gaolis which formed about 1 per cent of the total families in 1937 retained the same proportion in the present survey. Similarly the weaving castes which formed about 1 per cent of the total families maintained the same proportion in the two surveys.

The Artisan Group I, consisting of the communities engaged in metal work and tailoring showed a decline from about 5 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 2 per cent in this. The proportion of families belonging to Artisan Group II remained unchanged at about 3 per cent. Similarly Koli and Bhoi families formed about one per cent of the total in both the surveys.

- In the additional group of wards, Marathas were in a minority in Wanavdi and the Cantonments where there was a cosmopolitan and mixed population .
In the additional group of wards, Brahmins showed some concentration in Erandavanà. They were quite insignificant in others.

Mahar families were about 5 per cent of the total families in both the surveys. As in the 1937 Survey they showed concentrations in Shivajinagar, Mangalwar, Bhawani, Suburban Roads and Yeravada. Chambhar families maintained a proportion of one per cent in both the surveys. Unlike other depressed classes, they were scattered in different wards of the city. The remaining Depressed class families formed about 3 per cent of the total families in both the surveys. Important among them were Mangs who were concentrated in Nana, Bhavani, Ganj and Kasba.

The Pardeshi families showed a fall in proportion from about 4 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 1 per cent in the present survey. The Gujarati-Marwadi--Jains were concentrated in the business areas of the city like Raviwar, Shukrawar and Bhavani. A comparison with the 1937 Survey shows a decline in Vetal Peth, in particular and an overall fall in their strength. The South Indians formed a little over 1 per cent in both the surveys. They were found all over the city though they showed some concentration in Somwar, Rasta and Nana. $\dagger$

In the present survey Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajput families accounted for about 2 per cent of the total. These were mainly concentrated in Shivajinagar, Bhavani and the Poona Cantonment.

In short we can say that the old historical distribution of communities in different wards had not markedly changed though there were certain minor variations such as the increase in the proportion of Brahmin families, etc.
7. Educational Status*:-In Table 1.9 is presented information regarding literates, illiterates and educands by sex and age. We start by comparing the findings of this survey for the comparable area with those of the 1937 Survey. The first significant change was the fall in the number of illiterates. Illiterate male adults in the 1937 Survey were about 28 per cent of the total adult population whereas in the first group of wards of the present survey, their percentage had fallen to about 19. The other change was a small increase in the number of educands-from about 9 per cent to about 12 per cent. A similar change was witnessed among the female adult population. The percentage of illiterates among them had fallen from 71 per cent to about 50 per cent and the proportion of the educands had risen from 3 per cent to 5 per cent.

[^6]TABLE No. 1.9.
Distribution of Male and Female, adult and non-adult, Population by Educational Status.


TABLE No. 1.9-(Contd.)
Distribution of Male and Female, adult and non-adult, Population by Educational Status.


TABLE No. 1.9-(Contd.)
Distribution of Male and Female, adult and non-adult, Population by Educatronal Status.

| Warda |  FRMALES  <br> Adults Non-Adults  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Literate | Educands | Not stated | Illiterate | Literate | Educands | Below 6 years of age | Illiterate | Literate | Educands | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { stated } \\ \text { below } \\ 8 \text { years } \\ \text { of age } \end{gathered}$ | Illiterate |
| Shivajinagar | 242 | 22 | - | 257 | 20 | 132 | 138 | 30 | 262 | 154 | 138 | 287 |
| Sadashiv | 353 | 62 | - | 178 | 7 | 176 | 130 | 15 | 360 | 238 | 130 | 193 |
| Nerayan | 162 | 24 | 1 | 88 | 10 | 54 | 63 | 10 | 172 | 78 | 64 | 98 |
| Shanwar | 220 | 29 | 1 | 93 | 7 | 101 | 92 | 5 | 227 | 130 | 93 | 98 |
| Budhwar | 184 | 25 | - | 81 | 2 | 70 | 77 | 6 | 186 | 95 | 77 | 87 |
| Raviwar | 198 | 16 | - | 195 | 11 | 93 | 137 | 11 | 209 | 109 | 137 | 206 |
| Kasba | 177 | 18 | 2 | 199 | 15 | 103 | 121 | 53 | 192 | 121 | 123 | 252 |
| Mangalwar | 22 | 1 | 1 | 108 | 2 | 15 | 37 | 11 | 24 | 16 | 38 | 119 |
| Somwar | 113 | 9 | - | 118 | 2 | 44 | 93 | 6 | 115 | 53 | 98 | 124 |
| Rasta | 93 | 11 | - | 53 | 2 | 40 | 38 | 6 | 95 | 51 | 36 | 59 |
| Nagesh | 21 | 3 | - | 19 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 2 | 22 | 12 | 21 | 21 |
| Ganesh | 43 | 9 | 3 | 96 | 2 | 35 | 41 | 11 | 45 | 44 | 4 | 107 |
| Nama | 104 | 11 | - | 207 | 15 | 80 | 99 | 38 | 119 | 91 | 99 | 245 |

Table 1.9—(Contd.)
Distribution of Male and Female, adult and non-adult Population by Educational Status.


Table No. 1.9- (Contd.)

- Distribution of Male and Fomale, adult and non-adult Population by Educational Status.


Table No. 1.9- (Contd.)
Distribution of Male and Female, adult and non-adult Population by Educational Status.

|  |  FEMALES <br> Adults Non-Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wards Literate | Educands | $\underset{\text { stated }}{\text { Not }}$ | Illiterate | Literate | Educands | Below <br> 6 years of age | Inliterate | Literate | Educands | Not stated \& below 6 year: of age | Illiterato |
| yhindh, Pashan, etc. | 1 | - | 156 | 3 | 30 | 76 | 32 | 40 | 31 | $\stackrel{76}{ }$ | 188 |
| Bibewadi, Dhanori, Kondawa, Padmanagar, Gowardhanwadi | 4. | - | 29 | 1 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 33 |
| Erandevena, Hingne, Kotharud | 5 | 2 | 59 | 1 | 16 | 36 | 14 | 42 | 21 | 38 | 73 |
| Ghorpadi village 22 | 5 | - | 106 | - ' | 20 | 42 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 42 | 126 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa 26 | 1 | - | 117 | 1 | 24 | 37 | 22 | 27 | 25 | 37 | 139 |
| Wanavdi 12 | 1 | - | 53 | 2 | 15 | 25 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 25 | 68 |
| Poona Cantt. 274 | 27 | - | 304 | 23 | 155 | 130 | 40 | 297 | 182 | 130 | 344 |
| Kirkee Cantt. ` 163 | 8 | - | 288 | 15 | 103 | 168 | 52 | 178 | 111 | 168 | 340 |
| Total for <br> Additional groups of . 588 | $52$ | 2 | 1112 | 46 | 373 | 530 | 199 | 634 | 425 | 532 | 1311 |
| GRAND TOTAL 3194 | 372 | 18 | 4156 | 216 | 1757 | 2278 | 564 | 3410 | 2129 | 2296 | 4720 |

In the 1937 Survey it was found that in the male adult popuilation in some of the wards like Mangalwar and Bhavani the number of illiterates exceeded the number of literates. In the present survey, however, this was not so in any of the wards of the first group. In the female adult population, however, even in the present survey in some wards like Nana, Bhavani, Mangalwar, Ganj and Vetal (Gurwar) the number of illiterates exceeded the number of literates. The only change in the distribution in the 1937 Survey and the present survey was that whereas it was almost a common feature in the 1937 Survey, in the present survey, it was to be found in certain wards only. So also the cases of wards where illiterates outnumbered literates were fewer in the present survey than in the previous one.

As in the 1937 Survey in Rasta, Narayan and Somwar the percentage of literates was quite high in the present survey also. Similarly the proportion of illiterate female adults was quite high in Mangalwar and Bhavani in both the surveys.

The non-adult group includes, of course, children below the age of six who would not normally either be literates or educands. Therefore, in confining our attention to persons in agegroup 6 to 15 we may be said to be dealing with numbers who may be fairly expected either to be attending school or to have left school after attaining literacy.* The Poona Municipal Corporation had made primary education compulsory for non-adults. Only about 11 per cent of the male non-adults and 22 per cent of female non-adults in the age group 6-14 years were found to be illiterate in our sample. In the school going age group, the educands among male non-adults and female non-adults were 85 per cent and 69 per cent respectively. The balance of about 4 per cent and 9 per cent among the male-nonadult and female-non-adult school going children respectively aecounted for the class of those who had completed their education or rather had left school.

The information regarding educational status gathered in our survey can be used for gauging the success or otherwise of the scheme of compulsory primary education adopted by the Poona Municipality. Free and compulsory education was introduced in Nana, Bhavani, Ganj, Gultekdi and Ghorpade wards on 2nd December 1929. Compulsion was also made applicable to other parts of the city municipal area from 1 April 1943. (cf. Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation, 1950-51). At the time of our survey, therefore, it could be expected that all non-adults ex-

[^7]cluding those below 6 years of age in all wards of the city municipal area would be either educands or literate if the scheme of compulsory education was successfully executed. In Table 1.10 we give the total number of non-adults above 6 years of age distributed into literates, educands and illiterates and the proportion of illiterates to the total non-adults above 6 years of age, separately for máles and females.

In wards constituting the old Poona City Municipality the proportion of illiterates among the non-adult males above 6 was lower than among the same class of females. But the variations in different wards were very significant. If special àttention be directed those wards where the scheme of compulsory education had been in force since 1929, we find that the proportion of illiterates to nonadults above six ranged between 8 and 21 per cent among males and between 0 and 34 per cent among females in these wards. Kasba and Mangalwar wards showed higher percentages than these. In Rasta the proportion among males was the highest in all wards. Only among males in Nihal, and among females in Ghorpade-Gultekdi was there not a single case of illiteracy among the non-adults above 6 years of age. Of these only the latter ward had been under the compulsory primary education scheme since 1929. On the whole it is clear that the scheme of compulsory primary education has not been completely successful and the slow progress in some of the wards where it has been the longest in operation, is very discouraging.*

[^8]So far we were considering the data in respect of those wards which are comparable with the wards covered during the 1937 Survey. We now proceed to study the features of the additional wards covered during the present survey. It revealed some important characteristics as compared with the first group. In the first instance, the proportion of the illiterate population was larger in them than irr the first group of wards. It was 27 per cent among male-adults and 63 per cent among female-adults. Among the male and female non-adults of school-going age it was 16 per cent and 32 per cent respectively.

A detailed tabulation showed that about one-fifth of the male adults were illiterates, about 10 per cent were educands and the remaining were non-educands. Of the educands about 3 per cent were in primary schools, about 15 per cent in middle schools i.e. the 5th, 6th and 7th standards, and 45 per cent in high schools. About 35 per cent were in colleges. About two per cent of male educands were in postgraduate classes. In the non-educands 11 per cent were able to read and write only. About 25 per cent had been educated upto the primary 4th standard, about 20 per cent upto middle school, and an equal proportion had received education upto the matriculation. About 17 per cent were matriculates. A little over 5 per cent were graduates. Those who held a Master's Degree or those who had technical education hardly accounted for about one per cent of the male nón-educands.

In the female adult population more than 50 per cent were illiterate, about 5 per cent wère educands and the remaining were non-educands. Of the educands, 7 per cent were in primary schools, 15 per cent were in middle schools and 50 per cent in high schools. The female adults in colleges were about 26 per cent of the total educands. Among the non-educands 19 per cent were only able to read and write, 30 per cent had been educated upto the primary 4th standard only. Only 28 per cent were educated upto the middle school standard and 12 per cent upto the matriculation. The proportion of matriculates and graduates among the female adults was about 8 per cent and 3 per cent respectively. Only a few had undergone technical education.

## (Continued from last page)

The number of children of school-going age not attending schools actually went up from 3,708 in 1949-50 to 8,488 in 1952-53. It dechned to 6,146 in 195354 and to 5,637 in 1954-55. The number of children made to attend compulsorily had increased by about 500 during 1949-50-1953-54. It was almost the same in 1954-55 as in 1949-50. These figures do indicate a certain degree of success of the scheme of free compulsory education adopted by the Municipal Corporation in 1850. Fsoo 3

TABLE No. 1.10.
Total number of male and female non-adults above 6 yeare of age distributed into literates, educands and illiterates and the proportion of illiterates to the total.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Wards } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | Male non-adults |  |  |  | \% of Col. 4 to col. 5 <br> 6 |  Female non-adults  <br> Literates Educands miterates   <br> $\mathbf{7}$ $\mathbf{8}$ $\mathbf{9}$ |  |  |  |  | $\%$ of Col 9 to col. 10 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{2}{\text { Literates }}$ | $\underset{3}{\text { Educands }}$ | $\underset{4}{\text { nliterates }}$ | s Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shivejinagar | 5 | 166 | 17 | 188 | 9.0 | 20 | 132 | 30 | 182 |  | 16.5 |
| Sadashiv | 5 | 197 | 2 | 204 | 1.0 | 7 | 176 | 15 | 198 |  | 7.6 |
| Narayan | 6 | 76 | 4 | 86 | 4.6 | 10 | 54 | 10 | 74 |  | 13.5 |
| - Shanwar | 3 | 112 | 3 | 118 | 2.5 | 7 | 101 | 5 | 113 |  | 4.4 |
| Budhwar | 3 | 92 | 3 | 98 | 3.1 | 2 | 70 | 6 | 78 |  | 7.7 |
| Raviwar | 2 | 118 | 6 | 126 | 4.8 | 11 | 93 | 11 | 115 |  | 9.6 |
| Kasba | 2. | 118 | 32 | 152 | 21.0 | 15 | 103 | 53 | 171 |  | 31.0 |
| Mangalwar | 1 | 22 | 13 | 36 | 36.1 - | 2 | 15 | 11 | 28 |  | 39.2 |
| Somwar | 5 | 61 | 2 | 68 | 2.9 | 2 | 44 | 6 | 52 |  | 11.5 |
| Rasta | - | 54 | 2 | 56 | 3.6 | 2 | 40 | 6 | 48 | - | 12.5 |
| Nagesh | - | 15 | - | 15 | 0.0 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 12 |  | 16.7 |
| Ganesh | 2 | 43 | 5 | 50 | 10.0 | 2 | 35 | 11 | 48 |  | 22.9 |
| Nana | 10 | 103 | 21 | 134 | 15.7 | 15 | 80 | 38 | 133 |  | 28.6 |
| Bhavani | 8 | 126 | 37 | 171 | 21.6 | 11 | 63 | 39 | 113 |  | 34.5 |
| Ganj | 3 | 68 | 7 | 78 | 9.0 | 14 | 43 | 22 | 79 |  | 27.8 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | 3 | 62 | 2 | 67 | 3.0 | 8 | 62 | 8 | 78 |  | 10.2 |
| Shokrawar | 7 | 155 | 14 | 176 | 7.9 | 13 | 141 | 36 | 190 |  | 18.9 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdi | 2 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 8.3 | 4 | 141 8 | 16 - | 190 |  | 18.9 |
| Suburban Roads | 5 | 84 | 12 | 101 | 11.9 | 18 | 71 | 21 | 110 |  | 19.1 |
| Yeravada |  | 36 | 7 | 43 | 16.3 | 4 | 28 | 24 | 56 |  | 42.8 |

TABLE No. 1.10-(Contd.)
Total number of male and female non-adults above 6 years of age distributed into literates, educande and illiterates and the proportion of illiterates to the total.

| Male non-adults |  |  |  |  | $\%$ of col. 4 to col. 5 | Female non-adults |  |  |  | $\%$ of col. 9 to col. 10. <br> 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\mathbf{1}}{\text { Wards }} \quad \text { Lit }$ | $\mathbf{L i t e r a t e s}^{\text {Lit }}$ | $\underset{3}{\text { Educands }}$ | Illiterates | $\underset{5}{\text { Total }}$ |  | Literates <br> 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Educands } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{9}{\text { Illiterate }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { tes Total } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Wakdewads | - | 7 | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | 75.0 |
| Navi Khadki | 1 | 16 | 2 | 19 | 11.1 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 22 | 36.4 |
| Sangamwadi | 1 | 10 | 4 | 15 | 28.6 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| Total for the I Group of ward | rds 74 | 1750 | 196 | 2020 | 9.7 | 170 | 1384 | 365 | 1919 | 19.0 |
| Aundh, Pashan, etc. | 10 | 47 | 8 | 65 | 12.3 | 3 | 30 | 32 | 65 | 49.2 |
| Bibewadi, etc. | - | 13 | - | 13 | - | 1 | 10 | 4 | 15 | 26.7 |
| Erandavana, etc. | te. - | 26 | 18 | 44 | 40.9 | 1 | 16 | 14 | 31 | 45.1 |
| Ghorpadi pillage | ge 2 | 29 | 7 | 38 | 18.4 | - | 20 | 20 | 40 | 50.0 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa | 4 | 31 | 9 | 44 | 20.4 | 1 | 24 | 22 | 47 | 46.8 |
| Wanavdi | 3 | 25 | 5 | 33 | 15.1 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 32 | 46.9 |
| Poona Cantt. | 9 | 202 | 41 | 252 | - 16.3 | 23 | 155 | 40 | 218 | 18.3 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 3 | 169 | 19 | 191 | ' 9.9 | 15 | 103 | 52 | 170 | 30.6 |
| Total for Additional group of wards | - 31 | 542 | 107 | 680 | 15.7 | 46 | 373 | 199 | 618 | 32.2 |
| Total for All Wards | 105 | 2292 | 303 | 2700 | 11.2 | 216 | 1757 | 564 | 2537 | 22.2 |

Among the male non-adults it was noted that about 48 per cent were not of the school-going age i.e. they were below 6 years of age, about 6 per cent were illiterates, about two per cent were noneducands and the remaining, about 44 per cent, were educands. Of the non-educands, 9 per cent were able to read and write only, about 51 per cent of them had left the school before completing the primary 4th standard and the remaining 20 per cent had been educated upto the middle school standard. In the educands about two-thirds were in primary-schools, about 27 per cent were in middle schools and the remaining about 10 per cent were in high schools.

Among the female non-adults, the distribution of the educands was almost the same as among the male non-adults. Among the non-educands, however, there were some differences. For instance, 19 per cent of the non-educands were only able to read and write, about 74 per cent had been educated upto primary 4th standard only, about 5 per cent upto the middle school and about 2 per cent upto the high school standard.

We must now proceed to present information regarding those who had a university degree or who were studying in the colleges. In some wards, these formed a little over 10 per cent of the total male adult population in them. Such persons were mainly found in Sadashiv, Shivajinagar, Narayan, Somwar, Shanwar, Rasta and Shukrawar. In Erandavana and Poona Cantonment they formed about 5 per cent of the total male adult population of these wards. As regards the female adults, there were a few cases of this type and they were also mainly concentrated in the same wards. In Mangalwar, Ghorpade and Gultekdi, Ghorpadi, Hadapsar and Mundhwa and Wanavdi there was not even a single case falling in this category.

Table 1.11 gives, the distribution of the sample population according to communities and educational status. In the total male adult population in the sample'more than 70 per cent were literate, about 10 per cent were educands and about 20 per cent were illiterate. The proportion of illiterates exceeded 30 per cent among Marathas and Depressed classes. Among Brahmins only one per cent were illiterate. Among Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, Gujarati-Marwadi Jains, Christians and Parsis also the proportion of illiterates was low. Brahmins showed a higher proportion of educands than the general average whereas in the two Artisan groups and Depressed classes it was much below the general average.

In the total non-adult population in the sample, about 2 per cent were literates, about 44 per cent educands and about 6 per cent illiterater. The remaining', about 48 per cent were below the school-
going age. Literates in the non-adult group were children who had left school after attaining literacy. Very few such cases were found among Brahmins and Parsis. In other community groups also they normally did not exceed 3 per cent. As in the male adult population, here also among Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, Christians and Parsis, the proportion of illiterates was much below the general average.

About 40 per cent of the total female-adults in the sample population were literate, about 5 per cent educands and the remaining about 55 per cent illiterate. The proportion of literates was much above the general average among Brahmins, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, Christians and Parsis. On the other hand it was much below the general average among Marathas and Depressed classes. The same was the case with the female adult educands. The proportion was above the general average in Brahmins, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs and Christians and below the average in Marathas, Artisan Groups I and II and Depressed classes.

4 per cent of the female non-adults in the sample population were literates, 36 per cent educands and about 12 per cent were illiterates. The remaining about 48 per cent were below the schoolgoing age. Marathas, Other Hindus, Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Muslims had a higher proportion of literates than the average whereas Brahmins and Christains had a lower average. In the case of educands, Brahmins, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, Christians and Parsis also had quite a high average whereas Marathas and Depressed classes showed a lower proportion than the general average. The proportion of illiterates was higher among Depressed classes, Other Hindus and Muslims and lower than the general average in others. The proportion of the female-non-adults below the school going age varied between 40 and 50 per cent in most of the groups. Brahmins and Christians had a lower proportion of literates and a higher proportion of educands whereas Depressed classes, Other Hindus and Muslims had a higher proportion of literates and a lower proportion of educands.

In the male adult population among the educands who formed about 10 per cent of the total male adults, about fifty per cent were in high schools. About one-third were college-going and the remaining were school-going. The highschool and the college-going adult educands came mainly from the Brahmins and Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Other Hindus. Of the adult female educands, more than half belonged to Brahmins and quite a large number of them attended college. Among Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Christians also

TABLE No. 1.11.
Distribution of Population of Different Communities into Educands and Non-educands according to their educational status.

Educands.
I Group of Wards.


| Male-Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marathas | - | 9 | 25 | 58 | 20 | 8 | 2 | - | 122 |
| Brahmins | - | 3 | 20 | 157 | 116 | 25 | 7 | 3 | 331 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | - | 2 | 9 | 26 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 42 |
| Depressed classes | - | 1 | 6 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 12 |
| Other Hindus | - | 4 | 10 | 34 | 25 | 5 | - | 1 | 79 |
| Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains |  | 1 | 2 | 14 | 10 | - | - | 1 | 28 |
| Sindhi-Panjabi-Sikh-Rajputs | - | 3 | 5 | - 22 | $11^{*}$ | 3 | - | - | 44 |
| Muhommedans | - | 2 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 3 | - | - | 30 |
| Christians | - | 1 | 12 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 17 |
| Parsis and Jews | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Total | - | 26 | 105 | 328 | 193 | 48 | 9 | 6 | 115 |


| Male-Non-adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marathas | 1 | 242 | 91 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 347 |
| Brahmins | 6 | 236 | 148 | 86 | - | - | - | - | 476 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | 2 | 113 | 52 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 173 |
| Depressed classes | 1 | 103 | 38 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 149 |
| Other Hindus | - | 150 | 68 | 30 | 1 | $\div$ | - | - | 249 |
| Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains | 1 | 29 | 10 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 47 |
| Sindhi-Panjabi-Sikh-Rajputs | 2 | 57 | 32 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 102 |
| Muhommedans | 1 | 114 | 35 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 158 |
| Christians | 1 | 28 | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 47 |
| Parsis and Jews | - | - | 1 | 1. | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Total | 15 | 1072 | 484 | 178 | 1 | - | - | - | 1750 |

TABLE No．1．11－（Contd．）
Dnstribution of Population of Different Communities into Educands and Non－educands accordung to their educational status．

Educands．
I Group of Wards．

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 彩 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㐘 } \\ & \text { 券 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | H E O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female－Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | － | 4 | 10 | 4 | 2 | － | － | － | 20 |
| Brahmins | － | 4 | 24 | 108 | 45 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 193 |
| Artisan Groups I \＆II | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | － | － | 15 |
| Depressed classes | － | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | 4 |
| Other Hindus | － | 5 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 2 | － | － | 39 |
| Gujarati－Marwadi－Jains | － | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | － | － | － | 10 |
| Sindhi－Panjabi－ Sikh－Rajputs | － | 1 | 2 | 11 | － | 1 | － | － | 15 |
| Muhommedans | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | － | － | － | 13 |
| Christians | － | － | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | － | － | 9 |
| Parsis and Jews | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 |
| Total | 2 | 19 | 55 | 156 | 71 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 320 |
| Female－Non－adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | － | 187 | 50 | 8 | － | － | － | － | 245 |
| Brahmins | 5 | 226 | 149 | 44 | － | － | － | － | 424 |
| Artisan Groups I \＆II | 1 | 95 | 37 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 136 |
| Depressed classes | － | 78 | 8 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 87 |
| Other Hindus | － | 153 | 51 | 13 | － | － | － | － | 217 |
| Gujarati－Marwadi－Jains |  | 26 | 15 | 4 | － | － | － | － | 45 |
| Sindhi－Panjabi－ Sikh－Rajputs | － | 44 | 16 | $\mathbf{3}$ | － | － | － | － | 68 |
| Muhommedans | 2 | 91 | 18 | 2 | － | － | － | － | 118 |
| Christıans | － | 26 | 14 | 5 | － | － | － | － | 45 |
| Parsis and Jews | － | 1 | 5 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 9 |
| Total | 8 | 929 | 363 | 86 | － | － | － | － | 1884 |

TABLE No. 1.11-(Contd.)
Distribution of Population of Different Communtties into Educands and Non-educands according to their educational status.

Educands.
Additional Group of Wards.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male-Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 |
| Brahmins | - | 1 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | 20 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 5 |
| Depressed classes | - | 10 | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | 18 |
| Other Hindus | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 19 |
| Gujarati-Marwadı-Jains - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 6 |
| Sindhi-Panjabi-Sikh-Rajputs | - | - | 8 | 3 | - - | - | - | 11 |
| Muhommedans | - | 2 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | 11 |
| Christians | - | 1 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | 12 |
| Parsis and Jews | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Total | 4 | 21 | 52 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 111 |
|  |  | e-Non | dults |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 48 | 16 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 68 |
| Brahmins | 15 | 6 | 5 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 26 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | 17 | 12 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 34 |
| Depressed classes | 76 | 18 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 101 |
| Other Hindus | 100 | 27 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 140 |
| Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains - | 24 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - 32 |
| Sindhi-Panjabi-Sikh-Rajputs | 9 | 7 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| Muhommedans | 27 | 15 | 7 | - | - | - | - | $49^{\circ}$ |
| Christians ${ }^{*}$ | 30 | 20 | 7 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 57 |
| Parsis and Jews | 4 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| Total | 350 | 132 | 59 | - | - | - | 1 | 542 |

TABLE No. 1.11-(Contd.)
Dretribution of Population of Different Communities into Educands and Non-educands according to their educatzonal status.

Educands.
Additional Group of Wards.

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \text { D } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \vec{H} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | H O \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female-Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Brahmins | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Depressed classes | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Other Hindus | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 9 |
| Gujaratı-Marwadı-Jains | - | - | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 11 |
| Sindhi-Panjabi-Sikh-Rajputs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Muhommedans | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | 7 |
| Christians | - | - | 1 | 8 | 2 | - | - | - | 11 |
| Parsis and Jews | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Total | - | 4 | 5 | 31 | 11 | 1 | - | - | 52 |
| Female-Non-adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 2 | 31 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 38 |
| Brahmins | - | 11 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 19 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | - | 16 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 20 |
| Depressed classes | - | 38 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 47 |
| Other Hindus | - | 62 | 8 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 74 |
| Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains | - | 17 | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 91 |
| Sindhi-Panjabi-Sikh-Rajputs | 4 | 11 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 20 |
| Muhommedans | - | 38 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 46 |
| Christians | - | 37 | 24 | 6 | 1 | -* | - | - | 68 |
| Parsis and Jews | - | 3 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Total | 6 | 264 | 76 | 26 | 1 | - | - | - | 373 |

TABLE No. 1.11-(Contd.)
Distribution of Population of Different Communities into Educands and Non-educands according to their educational status.

Non-Educands.
I Group of Wards.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TABLE No. 1.11-(Contd.)
Drstribution of Population of Drferent Communities into Educands and Non-educands according to their educational status.

Non-Educands.
I Group of Wards.

|  |  |  | Upto 4th Std. |  |  | Matriculates |  |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female-Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 945 | 79 | 122 | 100 | 16 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 6 | 1275 |
| Brahmins | 177 | 180 | 228 | 366 | 178 | 129 | 26 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1301 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Artisan Gro } \\ & \text { I \& II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{o u p s}_{396} \end{gathered}$ | 47 | 98 | 66 | 10 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 620 |
| Depressed classes | 513 | 22 | 34 | 15 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 587 |
| Other Hindus | 484 | 56 | 1.24 | 85 | 41 | 25 | 11 | 2 | - | 6 | 834 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gujaratı-M } \\ & \text { Jains } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iarwa } \\ 62 \end{gathered}$ | 28 | 33 | 19 | 10 | 12 | - | - | - | - | 164 |
| Sindhi-Punj | jabl-S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rajputs | 100 | 37 | 62 | 36 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 247 |
| Muhommedans | 349 | 27 | 73 | 43 | 7 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 509 |
| Christians | 18 | 11 | 13 | 34 | 17 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 112 |
| Parsis \& Jews | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 17 |
| Total | 3044 | 488 | 788 | 765 | 294 | 206 | 44 | 16 | 5 | 16 | 5666 |
| Female-Non-adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 99 | 7 | 28 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 一, | - | - | 424 | 572 |
| Brahmins | 23 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 306 | 335 |
| Artisan Gr I \& II | $\begin{array}{r} \text { oups } \\ 50 \end{array}$ | 5 | 16 | 15 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 242 | 318 |
| Depressed classes | 82 | 3 | 18 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 184 | 290 |
| Other Hindus | 56 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 249 | 330 |
| Guiarati-M Jains | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iarwa } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 47 | 52 |
| Sindhi-Pun Rajputs | $\underset{4}{\operatorname{jobi}-S}$ |  | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 77 | 86 |
| Muhommedans | 46 | 3 | 20 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 178 | 258 |
| Christians | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 45 |
| Parsis \& Jews | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\longrightarrow$ | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 365 | 25 | 104 | 33 | 7 | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | 1748 | 2882 |

TABLE No. 1.11-(Contd.)
Distribution of Population of Different Communities into Educands and Non-educands according to their educational status.

Non-Educands.
Additional Group of Wards.

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { + } \\ & \stackrel{8}{\mathbb{R}} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\substack{4 \\ \hline \\ 0 \\ \text { H }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male-Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 94 | 18 | 68 | 53 | 17 | 10 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 262 |
| Brahmins | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 21 | 11 | 4 | 3 | - | 68 |
| Artisan Gr I \& II | ${ }_{25}$ | 24 | 31 | 20 | 9 | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 118 |
| Depressed classes | 148 | 24 | 98 | 65 | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 356 |
| Other Hındus | 149 | 45 | 76 | 58 | 36 | 28 | 6 | 5 | 2 | - | 405 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Guiarati-M } \\ & \text { Jains } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{4}{\text { Marwad }}$ | i-7 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 5 | - | - | - | 58 |
| Sindhi-Pun Rajputs | $\underset{2}{\text { ajabi-S }}$ | kh- | 10 | 24 | 15 | 8 | - | 1 | -" | - | 73 |
| Muhommedans | 50 | 29 | 31 | 41 | 17 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | 178 |
| Christıans | 19 | 12 | 23 | 43 | 65 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 181 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Parsis \& } \\ & \text { Jews } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 33 |
| Total | 494 | 174 | 348 | 329 | 210 | 117 | 35 | 13 | 12 | - | 1732 |
| Male-Non-adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas Brahmins | 21 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 83 | 106 |
| Artisan Grou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 20 |
| I \& II | 13 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 42 | 57 |
| Depressed classes | 29 | 3 | 2 | - | - |  |  | - | - | 42 | 67 |
| Other |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 134 | 168 |
| Hindus | 26 | 5 | 12 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 133 | 177 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Guiarati-M } \\ & \text { Jains } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iarwad } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 133 | 177 |
| Sindhi-Punj | jabi-S |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 22 |
| Rajputs | $1$ | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muhommedans | 11 | - | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | 26 |
| Christians | 3 | - | $\underline{1}$ |  |  |  | - | - | - | 70 | 82 |
| Parsis \& |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53 | 56 |
| Jews | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 8 | 9 |
| Total | 107 | 9 | 20 | 2 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE No, 1.11-(Contd.)
Distribution of Population of Different Communties into Educands and Non-educands acconding to therr educational status.

Non-Educands.
Additional Group of Wards.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{4} \\ & \text { 4, } \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { D } \\ & \text { 펴 } \\ & \text { i } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | H E B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female-AduIts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 202 | 9 | 22 | 11 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 247 |
| Brahmins | 7 | 7 | 18 | 13 | 7 | 11 | 3 | $1{ }^{-}$ | - | - | 67 |
| $\underset{\text { I \& II }}{ }$ | roups | 11 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 111 |
| Depressed Classes | 343 | 7 | 22 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 387 |
| Other Hindus | 282 | 18 | 47 | 23 | 7 | 7 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 390 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gujarati-Ms } \\ & \text { Jains } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{21}{\text { Larwac }}$ | 12 | 14 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 73 |
| Sindhi-Pun Rajputs | $\underset{\mathbf{3 0}}{\text { njabi-S }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kh- } \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 11 | 7 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 67 |
| Muhommedans | 94 | 23 | 19 | 10 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 152 |
| Christians | 57 | 20 | 23 | 38 | 15 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 168 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Parsis \& } \\ & \text { Jews } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 40 |
| Total | 1112 | 123 | 190 | 135 | 72 | 50 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1702 |
| Female-Non-adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 31 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 96 | 130 |
| Brahmins | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 19 | 24 |
| Artisan Gro | roups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 \& II | 16 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39 | 60 |
| Depressed classes | 61 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 123 | 188 |
| Other Hindus | 54 | - | 11 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 128 | 195 |
| Guiarati-M Jains | $\underset{2}{\text { Marwa }}$ | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 19 |
| Sindhi-Pun Rajputs | njabi-s 2 | kh- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 18 |
| Muhommedans | 24 | 2 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 39 | 76 |
| Christians | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 49 | 60 |
| $\underset{\text { Jews }}{\text { Parsis \& }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |
| Total | 199 | 5 | 31 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | $\sim$ | 530 | 775 |

the female adults were mainly found in high schools or in colleges. Among Brahmins and Christians the proportion of those who had received higher education among adult female non-educands was again high. The proportion of non-educands among non-adults was high among Marathas and Depressed classes as compared to that among others.
8. Sex Ratio and Age Composition* :-Table 1.12 gives the distribution of the sample population in different wards according to age and sex. Of the total of $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 3 3}$ persons in the sample, 52 per cent were males and 48 per cent females. In the male population, 62 per cent were adults. In the female population also we found exactly the same proportion of adults. Between the two groups of wards also there were no differences in the proportions of sexes or adults and non-adults. A comparison with the 1937 Survey shows that the male-female ratio was almost unchanged but there were changes in age composition. In the female population in the first group of wards in the present survey, 62 per cent were adults. In the 1937 Survey their proportion was lower at 57 per cent.

Within different wards the proportion of the male population to the total population varied between 46 per cent in Bibewadi to 56 per cent in Rasta Peth. In most of the wards, however, it was very close to the general average of 52 per cent. The male adults and the female adults in most of the wards were close to the general average. In Mangalwar, Nagesh and Wakdewadi, Kirkee Cantonment, etc. the male adults exceeded female adults by a few per cent and in Bibewadi, Ghorpade, Gultekadi and Ghorpadi their proportion varied between 46 and 49 per cent. In the non-adult population in Bhavani and Sangamwadi there were more male non-adults than the female non-adults.

The table also gives the number of persons in different age groups. About 5 per cent of the male population was up to 5 years of age and in the female population also the proportion was the same. About 13 per cent of the males were in the age group 6-10 and about 8 per cent in the age group 11-15. 'In the female population also we found the same proportions. In the age group 16-25, however, 20 per cent of males were found whereas 24 per cent of the total females were found in that age-group. In the age group 26-40 was found 23 per cent of the total male population whereas in the female population the proportion in this age group was 19 per cent. In the remaining age-groups, the proportions were about the same in the male and female population.

[^9]TABLE No. 1.12.
Wardwise distribution of Mals and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population aecording to Age.

| Wards | Male Non-adults |  |  |  | -15 | -20 | -25 | -30 | Male Adults |  | -45 | -50 | 518 above | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -5 | -10 | -14 | Total |  |  |  |  | -35 | -40 |  |  |  |  |
| Shivajinagar | 141 | 124 | 75 | 340 | 16 | 99 | 96 | 101 | 79 | 56 | 37 | 17 | 59 | 560 |
| Sadashiv | 172 | 136 | 77 | 385 | 21 | 126 | 113 | 126 | 76 | 55 | 49 | 36 | 87 | 688 |
| Narayan | 84 | 52 | 34 | 170 | 15 | 49 | 49 | 43 | 24 | 32 | 19 | 14 | 35 | 280 |
| Shanwar | 79 | 73 | 43 | 195 | 13 | 55 | 65 | 49 | 34 | 39 | 28 | 18 | 57 | 3584 |
| Budhwar | 78 | 71 | 35 | 184 | 8 | 36 | 50 | 50 | 41 | 33 | 33 | 17 | 44 | 312 |
| Raviwar | 110 | 79 | 54 | 243 | 17 | 71 | 90 | 74. | 57 | 44 | 34 | 17 | 58 | 462 |
| Kasba | 111 | 83 | 64 | 258 | 11 | 66 | 76 | 76 | 55 | 29 | 39 | 20 | 72 | 444 . |
| Mangalwar | 44 | 18 | 18 | 80 | 1 | 20 | 29 | 32 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 144 |
| Somwar | -65 | 51 | 31 | 147 | 10 | 47 | 50 | 52 | 37 | 17 | 22 | 15 | 30 | 280 |
| Resta | 48 | 40 | 18 | 106 | 11 | 24 | 17 | 24 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 160 |
| Nagesh | 15 | 10 | 6 | 31 | 1 | - 10 | 11 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 58 |
| Ganesh | $60^{-}$ | 27 | 22 | 109 | 5 | 34 | 36 | 26 | 19 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 162 |
| Nana | 109 | 89 | 45 | 243 | 10 | 56 | 62 | 40 | 58 | 37 | 31 | 21 | 34 | 349 |
| Bhavani | 147 | 114 | 68 | 329 | 17 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 50 | 44 | 35 | 20 | 68 | 466 |
| Ganj, | 69 | 64 | 22 | 155 | 8 | 40 | 46 | 42 | 30 | 13 | 19 | 9 | 44 | 251 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | 63 | 44 | 29 | 136 | 10 | 44 | 32 | 32 | 26 | 21 | 21 | 10 | 26 | 221 |
| Shukrawar | 201 | 113 | 77 | 391 | 21 | 110 | 102 | 97 | 84 | 59 | 50 | 41 | 87 | 651 |
| Chorpade <br> EGultekdi | 14 | 9 | 4 | 27 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 5 3 | 41 6 | 87 2 | 651 45 |
| Subarban Roads | 87 | 83 | 33 | 208 | 7 | 40 | 52 | 42 | 34 | 27 | 29 | 21 | 46 | 45 298 |
| Yeravada | 57 | 32 | 18 | 107 | 3 | 31 | 28 | 32 | 25 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 173 |
| Wakdewadi | 5 | 9 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 14 | - 27 |

TABLE No. 1.12-(Contd.)
Wardwise distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Age.
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TABLE No. 1.12-(Contd.)
Wardwise distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Age.

| Female Non-adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female Adults. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wards | -5 | -10 | -14 | Total | -15 | -20 | -25 | -30 | -35 | -40 | -45 | -50 | 51 \& above | Total | Total population |
| Navi Khadki | 14 | 17 | 6 | 37 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 47 | 159 |
| Sangamwadi | 14 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 27 | 93 |
| Total for the I Group of . wards | 1622 | 1277 | 768 | 3667 | 163 | 1236 | 1172 | 808 | 560 | 499 | 382 | 358 | 808 | 5986 | 20023 |
| Aundh, Pashan, etc. | 76 | 42 | 23 | 141 | 6 | 40 | 41 | 35 | 20 | 18 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 194 | 686 |
| Bibewadi, etc. | 14 | 10 | 7 | 31 | - | 8 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 46 | 144 |
| Erandavana, Hingne | 35 | 19 | 13 | 67 | 3 | 11 | 26 | 19 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 107 | 369 |
| Ghorpadi village | 34 | 30 | 18 | 82 | 5 | 30 | 26 | 22 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 15 | 133 | 420 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa | 35 | 38 | 11 | 84 | 3 | 34 | 22 | 21 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 21 | 144 | 460 |
| Wanavdi | 22 | 22 | 13 | 57 | 2 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 66 | 254 |
| Poona Cantt. | 126 | 144 | 78 | 348 | 20 | 104 | - 95 | 81 | 65 | 69 | 40 | 40 | 101 | 605 | 1986 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 151 | 119 | 68 | 338 | 11 | 107 | 92 | 80 | 43 | 32 | 30 | 17 | 47 | 459 | 1691 |
| Total for Additional Wards | 493 | 1424 | 231 | 1148 | 50 | 345 | 330 | 269 | 176 | 137 | 108 | 112 | 227 | 1754 | 6010 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 2115 | 1701 | 999 | 4815 | 213 | 1581 | 1502 | 1077 | 736 | 636 | 490 | 470 | 1035 | 7740 | 26033) |

There were not wide differences in the distribution of the population according to age in different wards. In Sadashiv, Shanwar, Kasba, Ganj and Suburban Roads, there were more old males (i.e. above 51) than the general average and in Shivajinagar, Narayan, Kasba, Shanwar, Budhwar, Bhavani, Vetal (Gurwar), there were more old females than the average. On the other hand in some other wards like Ganesh, Nagesh, Yeravada and Kirkee Cantonment, the proportion of old persons was quite low.
9. Civil Condition* :-In Table 1.13 the data about civil condition of the sample population are presented. In the first group of wards about 30 per cent of the male-adults and about 9 per cent of the female-adults were unmarried. In the 1937 Survey, these percentages were 25 and 4 respectively. The figures for the whole of the population were similar to those in the first group of wards. Of the total male adult population about 30 per cent were unmarried. This proportion was more or less the same in most of the wards except in Bhavani and Ganj where the unmarried male adults were about 20 per cent of the total male adults in these wards.

As regards the female adult population a similar pattern was noted. The percentage of unmarried female adults to the total female adult population had increased in some of the 'backward' wards of the city like Kasba, Mangalwar, Bhavani, Ganj, Ganesh, etc. as compared to the 1937 Survey. The percentage of widowers and widows in the adult population was about 6 per cent and 21 per cent respectively, in the first group of wards as well as.for the whole of the area. In the 1937 Survey the corresponding figures were about 8 per cent and 27 per cent respectively. The distribution over .various wards was more or less the same as in the 1937 Survey.

About 0.3 per cent of male-adults and about 1 per cent of the female adults were divorced or separated. These cases were not concentrated in any particular area.

In the non-adult male population there were only 7 cases of married persons in the total of 5,175 . In the sample of 4,815 female non-adult population 127 were reported married, 2 were widows and 1 divorced. The rest were unmarried. In Shanwar, Rasta, Somwar and Nagesh, Ghorpade, Gultekdi, Navi Khadki and Sangamwadi no married non-adult female was to be found.

Comparison of these data with the data in the 1937 Survey shows that the proportion of married non-adults had fallen from 3 per cent to 0.1 per cent for male non-adults and from about 6 per cent to about 3 per cent for female non-adults. This together with

[^10]TABLE No. 1.13.
Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population aocording ta Civil Condition.

| Wards | Single | Adults |  |  |  | Non- | ale <br> -adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Married | Widowe | para | ingle | Married | Widowed | Separated Single |  |  | Married | Widowed | Separated |
| Shivajinagar | 186 | 354 | 20 | - | 339 | 1 | - | - |  | 525 | 355 | 20 | - |
| Sadashiv | 264 | 384 | 41 | - | 385 | - | - | - |  | 649 | 384 | 41 | - |
| Narayan | 103 | 168 | 9 | - | 170 | - | - | - |  | 273 | 168 | 9 | - |
| Shanwar | 124 | 211 | 23 | - | 195 | - | - | - |  | 319 | 211 | 23 | - |
| Budhwar | 99 | 197 | 13 | 3 | 184 | - | - | - |  | 283 | 197 | 13 | 3 |
| Raviwar | 141 | 291 | 27 | 3 | 243 | - | - | - |  | 384 | 291 | 27 | 3 |
| Kasba | 128 | 274 | 38 | 4 | 258 | - | - | - |  | 386 | 274 | 38 | 4 |
| Mangalwar | 36 | 100. | 8 | - | 80 | - | - | - |  | 116 | 100 | 8 | - |
| Somwar | 91 | 172 | 16 | 1 | 147 | - | - | - |  | 238 | 172 | 16 | 1 |
| Rasta | 51 | 103 | 6 | - | 106 | - | - | - |  | 157 | 103 | 6 | - |
| Nagesh | 20 | 34 | 4 | - | 31 | - | - | - |  | 51 | 34 | 4 | - |
| Ganesh | 56 | 94 | 11 | 1 | 109 | - | - | - |  | 165 | 94 | 11 | 1 |
| Nana | 90 | 239 | 19 | 1 | 243 | - | - | $\sim$ |  | 333 | 239 | 19 | 1 |
| Bhavani | 107 | 326 | 31 | 2 | 329 | - | - | - |  | 436 | 326. | 31 | 2 |
| Ganj | 52 | 179 | 19 | 1 | 154 | 1 | - | - |  | 206 | 180 | 19 | 1 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | 66 | 142 | 14 | - | 134 | 2 | - | - |  | 200 | 144 | 14 | - |
| Shukrawar | 190 | 413 | 47 | 1 | 391 | - | - | - |  | 581 | 413 | 47 | 1 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdi | 9 | 82 | 4 | - | 27 | - | - | - |  | 36 | 32 | 4 | - |
| Suburban Roads | 63 | 204 | 28 | 3 | 203 | - | - | - |  | 266 | 204 | 28 | 3 |

TABLE No. 1.13-(Contd.)
Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Civil Condition.

| Wards | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adults |  |  |  | Non-adults |  |  | Single | Total Married Widowed |  | Separated |
|  | Married | Widowed | Separated | Single | Married | Widowed | Separated |  |  |  |  |
| Yeravada 44 | 117 | 10 - | 2 | 107 | - | - | - | 151 | 117 | 10 | 2 |
| Wakdewadi | 18 | 8 | - | 16 | - | - | - | 22 | 18 | 3 | - |
| Navi Khadki 8 | 32 | 2 | - | 33 | - | - | - | 41 | 32 | 2 | - |
| Sangamwadi 5 | 19 | 3 | - | 22 | - | - | - | 27 | 19 | 8 | - |
| Total for the <br> 1 Group of wards 1939 | 4103 | 396 | 22 | 3906 | 4 | - | - | 5845 | 4107 | 396 | 22 |
| Aundh, Pashan, etc. 43 | 163 | 13 | - | 132 | - | - | $\square$ | 175 | 163 | 13 | - |
| Bibewadi, etc. 8 | 28 | 2 | - | 29 | - | - | - | 37 | 28 | 2 | - |
| Erandavana, <br> Hingne, Kotharud 39 | 72 | 8 | - | 76 | - | - | - | 115 | 72 | 8 | - |
| Ghorpadi village 25 | 93 | 5 | - | 82 | - | - | - | 107 | 93 | 5 | - |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa | 95 | 3 | 2 | 102 | 1 | - | - | 131 | 86 | 3 | 2 |
| Wenavdi 18 | 52 | 3 | - | 58 | - | - | - | 76 | 52 | 8 | - |
| Poona Cantt. 194 | 388 | 39 | 2 | 409 | 1 | - | - | 603 | 389 | 39 | 2 |
| Kirkee Cantt. 119 | 377 | 22 | 1 | 374 | 1 | - | - | 493 | 378 | 22 | 1 |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards | 1268 | 95 | 5 | 1262 | 3 | - | - | 1737 | 1271 | 95 | 5 |
| GRAND TOTAL 2414 | 5371 | 491 | 27 | 5168 | 7 | - | - | 7582 | 6378 | 491 | 27 |

TABLE No. 1.13-(Contd.)
Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Civil Condition.

| Wards | Adults |  |  |  |  |  | male <br> n-adults | Separated | Single | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Widowed | Separated | Single | Married | Widowed |  |  | Married | Widowed | Separated |
| Shivajinagar | 51 | 359 | 108 | 3 | 314 | 5 | 1 | - | 365 | 364 | 109 | 3 |
| Sadashiv | 88 | 390 | 112 | 3 | 320 | 8 | - | - | 408 | 398 | 112 | 3 |
| Narayan | 34 | 182 | 55 | 4 | 133 | 4 | - | - | 167 | 186 | 55 | 4 |
| Shanwar | 41 | 221 | 78 | 3 | 205 | - | - | - | 246 | 221 | 78 | 3 |
| Budhwar | 39 | 192 | 52 | $\cdot 7$ | 153 | 2 | - | - | 192 | 194 | 52 | 7 |
| Raviwar | 36 | 292 | 74 | 7 | 248 | 4 | - | - | 284 | 296 | 74 | 7 |
| Kasba | 27 | 276 | 86 | 7 | 283 | 8 | - | 1 | 310 | 284 | 86 | 8 |
| Mangalwar | 3 | 100 | 29 | - | 63 | 2 | - | - | 66 | 102 | 29 | - |
| Somwar | 24 | 168 | 47 | 1 | 145 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 169. | 168 | 47 | 1 |
| Rasta | 20 | 103 | 34 | - | 84 | - | - | - | 104 | 103 | 34 | - |
| Nagesh | 6 | 31 | 6 | - | 33 | - | - | - | 39 | 31 | 6 | - |
| Ganesh | 5 | 97 | 47 | 2 | 84 | 5 | - | $\therefore$ | 89 | 102 | 47 | 2 |
| Nana | 25 | 232 | 64 | 1 | 225 | 7 | - | - | 250 | 239 | 64 | 1 |
| Bhavani | 24 | 304 | 122 | 6 | 234 | 8 | - | - | 258 | 312 | 122 | 6 |
| Ganj | 13 | 170 | 49 | - | 166 | 3 | - | - | 179 | 173 | 49 | 6 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | 16 | 146 | 50 | - | 151 | 2 | - | - | 167 | 148 | 50 | - |
| Shukrawar | 78 | 408 | 147 | 6 | 343 | 11 | - | - | 421 | 419 | 147 | 6 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdi | 2 | 32 | 21 | 1 | 23 | - | - | - | 25 | 32 | 21 | 1 |
| Suburban Roads | 20 | 194 | 48 | 3 | 201 | 9 | - | - | 221 | 203 | 48 | 3 |

TABLE No. 1.13-(Contd.)
Distribution of Male and F'emale, Adult and Non-adult, Population accordang to Cvil Condition.

| Wards | Adults |  |  |  | Female <br> Non-adults |  |  | Separated | Single | Married | Total | Separated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Widowed | Separated | Single | Married | Widowed |  |  |  | Widowed |  |
| Yeravada | 7 | 119 | 28 | 3 | 108 | 5 | - | - | $\cdot 115$ | 124 | 28 | 3 |
| Wakdewadi | 1 | 17 | 5 | - | 11 | 1 | - | - | 12 | 18 | 5 | - - |
| Nav Khadki | - | 34 | 10 | 3 | 37 | - | - | - | 37 | 34 | 10 | 3 |
| Sangamwadi | 1 | 19 | 7 | - | 17 | - | - | - | 18 | 19 | 7 |  |
| Total for the 1 Group of wards | 561 | 4086 | 1279 | 60 | 3581 | 84 | 1 | 1 | 4142 | 4170 | 1280 | 61 |
| Aundh, Pashan, etc. | c. 6 | 157 | 31 | - | 137 | 4 | - | - | 143 | 161 | 31 | - |
| Bibewadi, etc. |  | 28 | 13 | - | 30 | 1 | - | - | 35 | 29 | 13 | - |
| Erandavana, Hingne, Kothrud | 8 | 74 | 24 | 1 | 64 | 3 | - | - | 72 | 77 | 24 | 1 |
| Ghorpadi village | 13 | 88 | 32 | - | 80 | 2 | - | - | 93 | 90 | 32 | - |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa | 4 | 93 | 44 | 3 | 79 | 5 | - | - | 83 | 98 | $44^{\circ}$ | 3 |
| Wanavdi | 5 | 41 | 19 | 1 | 52 | 5 | - | - | 57 | 46 | 19 | 1 |
| Poona Cantt. | 95 | 369 | 138 | 3 | 341 | 7 | - | - | 436 | 376 | 138 | 3 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 19 | 358 | 79 | 3 | 321 | 16 | 1 | - | 340 | 374 |  |  |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards | 155 | 1208 | 380 | 11 | 1104 | 43 | 1 | - | 1259 | 1251 | 381 | 11 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 716 | 5294 | 1659 | 71 | 4685 | 127 | 2 | 1 | 5401 | 5421 | 1661 | 72 |

the higher percentage of unmarried among adult males and femalea in the present survey indicates a rising trend in the age of marriage of both males and females in the recent past.

Table 1.14 gives the age, sex and civil conditions of the population of various communities in the sample. Of the total Maratha population 52 per cent were males and the remaining females. In the male population 62 per cent and in the female population 61 per cent were adults. All the male non-adults were unmarried but of the female non-adults about 4 per cent were married. The other community groups did not display much variation from the general pattern of distribution. The percentage of the male population in the total population of each community group varied between 49 per cent and 56 per cent only.

In the adult-non-adult population, however, there were some important variations between different communities. In the Artisan Groups I and II, 60 per cent of the males were adults. In the female population among Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains non-adults were 36 per cent of the female population. On the other hand in Artisan Groups I and II, Muhommedans and Christians they were about 42 per cent.

About 29 per cent of the total male adults were unmarried. In some of the community groups, however, their percentage was high. Among Brahmins, Christians and Parsis, it was about 39 per cent. Among the Depressed classes, it was the lowest, about 17 per cent. There were not many cases of divorced or separated persons. The widowed persons, in the total adult male population, were about 6 per cent and in different community groups, the range of variation was not high.

In the non-adult female population, about 3 per cent were married, widowed or divorced. Such cases were totally absent in Brahmins and Parsis. About 9 per cent of the female adults were unmarried. The range of variation in their proportion in different communities, however, was high. The proportion was up to 4 per cent in Marathas, Artisan Groups I and II and Depressed classes. In Brahmins and Sikh-Punjabi-Rajput-Sindhis, however, it was about 20 per cent or more. About 21 per cent of the female-adults were widows. In Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Christians their percentage was up to 15 per cent while in Depressed classes and Other Hindus it was near about 25 per cent. The divorced or separated female adults were mainly Hindus,

TABLE No. 1.14.
Communitywise distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Populatron accordang to Civil Condition. (All Wards),

| Community Single | Adults |  |  | Males <br> Non-adults |  |  |  |  | Total Males |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Married | Widowed | Separated | Single | Married | Widowed | Separated | Single | Married | Widowed | Separated | Total |
| Marathas 465 | 1116 | 101 | 10 | 1053 | - | - | - | 1518 | 1116 | 101 | 10 | 2745 |
| Brahmins 692 | 951 | 99 | 2 | 870 | - | - | - | 1562 | 951 | 99 | 2 | 2614 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Artisan } \\ & \text { Groups } \\ & \text { I \& II } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 561 | 54 | - | 537 | 1 | - | - | 728 | 562 | 54 | - | 1344 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depressed } \\ & \text { classes } \end{aligned} 158$ | 722 | 38 | 7 | 698 | 3 | - | - | 856 | 725 | 38 | 7 | 1626 |
| Other Hindus 370 | 885 | 82 | 3 | 865 | a | - | - | 1235 | 888 | 82 | 8 | 2208 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-SindhlRajputs | 145 | 19 | - | 150 | - | - | - | 219 | 145 | 19 | - | 383 |
| Gujarati- <br> Marwadi- <br> Jains 105 | 280 | 29 | - | 257 | - | - | - | 362 | 280 | 28 | - | 671 |
| Muhomme- <br> dans 215 | 488 | 51 | 4 | 507 | - | - | - | 722 | 488 | 51 | 4 | 1265 |
| Christiang 125 | 189 | 13 | 1 | 204 | - | - | - | 329 | 189 | 13 | 1 | 532 |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Pargis } \\ \text { Jews }}}{\text { \& }}$ | 34 | 5 | - | 27 | - | - | - | 51 | 34 | $\delta$ | 1 | 90 |
| Total 2414 | 5371 | 491 | 27 | 5168 | 7 | - | - | 7582 | 5378 | 491 | 27 | 13478 |

TABLE No. 1.14-(Contd.)
Communitywise distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Papulation according to Crvil Condition. (All Wards).

| Community Single |  |  |  | Separated | single | Females |  |  | Single | Total Females |  | Separated | Total | Total population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Adults |  |  |  | Non-Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Married | Widowed |  |  | Married | Widowed | Separated |  | Married | Widowed |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 63 | 1084 | 377 | 20 | 947 | 38 | - | - | 1010 | 1122 | 377 | 20 | 2529 | 5274 |
| Brahmins | 261 | 990 | 306 | 9 | 801 | - | 1 | - | 1062 | 990 | 307 | 9 | 2368 | 4982 |
| Artisan Groups I\& II | 33 | 548 | 157 | 12 | 516 | 18 | - | 1 | 549 | 566 | 157 | 13 | 1285 | 2629 |
| Depressed classes | 26 | 697 | 246 | 10 | 576 | 35 | 1 | - | 602 | 732 | 247 | 10 | 1591 | 3217 |
| Other Hindus | 118 | 848 | 293 | 13 | 794 | 22 | - | - | 912 | 870 | 293 | 13 | 2088 | 4290 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-SindhiRajputs | 53 | 156 | 48 | 1 | 145 |  | - | - | 198 | 158 | 48 | 1 | 405 | 788 |
| Gujarati-MarwadiJains | 23 | 260 | 46 | - | 184 | 3 | - | - | 207 | - 263 | 46 | - | 516 | 1187 |
| Muhommedans | 62 | 486 | 129 | 4 | 481 | 7 | - | - | 543 | 493 | 129 | 4 | 1169 | 2434 |
| Christians | 61 | 191 | 46 | 2 | 216 | 2 | - | - | 277 | 193 | 46 | 2 | 518 | 1050 |
| Parsis \& Jew | s 16 | 34 | 11 | - | 25 | - | - | - | 41 | 34 | 11 | - | 86 | 176 |
| Total | 716 | 5294 | 1659 | 71 | 4685 | 127 | 2 | 1 | 5401 | 5421 | 1661 | 72 | 12555 | 26033 |

It would perhaps be desirable to bring the data on civil condition of the sample population into sharper focus by trying to gauge from it the prevalence of child marriage at the time of our survey. The Child Marriages Restraint Act had been passed by the Government of India in 1929 which had laid down 14 years as the limit of age of marriages of girls. This act was in force at the time of the 1937 Survey and we there presented data regarding the number of married girls who were below 14 years of age in our sample. Since then much water has flown under the bridge. In 1947 the Government of Bombay passed legislation for making the offences under the earlier act "cognizable". In 1949 the Government of India amended the original Child Marriages Restraint Act of 1929 by raising limit of age of marriage of girls from 14 to 15 . In order to gauge the effectiveness of both these amending legislations we shall proceed in two stages. We shall first present data regarding the number and distribution of girls below 14 years who were found married in our sample. This can be compared with similar data from the 1937 Survey. Next we shall present a similar distribution of girls less than 15 years of age who were found married in our sample showing the prevalence of child marriage defined according to the amendment to the Child Marriages Restraint Act.

Table 1.15 gives a distribution of married girls below 14 by wards and communities in the present survey for the area comparable' with the 1937 Survey.

A total of 86 such cases were found in the present survey. With about twice the population living in the area, in terms of porportions this would indicate that such marriages at the time of the present survey, were less than a quarter of what they were at the time of the 1937 Survey. Comparing the picture at the two surveys community-wise it appears that the custom of child marriage seems to have declined the slowest among the Marathas as compared to even the Depressed classes. In 1937 of the total of 181 (or 187 if we count in the 6 widows) cases of child marriage one-third were from among Marathas, one-fourth from the Depressed classes, and a little less than one-eighth from the Other Hindus. In the present survey of the total of 86 cases 36 per cent were from among the Marathas, one-sixth from the Depressed classes and one-twelth from the Other Hindus. The true significance of these proportions would be clear when it is remembered that the proportion of Marathas and Other Hindus in the present survey sample had declined as compared to the 1937 Survey sample while that of the Depressed classes had slightly increased.

[^11]Married Females Aged 14 years and below classified according to Wards and Communities．

| Wards |  |  |  |  | 咢 |  | $\text { sø7sвכ } \operatorname{siu}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 爵 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \end{aligned}$ |  | 欹 | 餝 | 晨 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shivajinagar | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 6 |
| Sadashiv | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| Narayan | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  | 4 |
| Shanwar | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |  |
| Budhwar | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| Raviwar | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 4 |
| Kasba | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － |  |  | 9 |
| Mangalwar | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | 2 |
| Somwar | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Rasta <br> Nagesh | － | 二 | － | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |  | 二 |
| Ganesh | 3 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |  | 5 |
| Nana | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 7 |
| Bhavani | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － |  |  | 8 |
| Ganj | － | － |  |  | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | 3 |
| Vetal（Gurwar） | 1 | － |  |  |  | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| Shukrawar | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 11 |
| $\underset{\text { Gultekdi }}{\text { Gin }}$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  | － | － | － | － | － |

TABLE No．1．15－（Contd．）
Married Female Aged 14 ysars and below classified according to Wards and Communities．

| Wards |  |  |  |  | 砉 |  | $\operatorname{cofexg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{Sun}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 志 } \\ & \text { 安 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 砢 | 界 | 咢 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suburban Roads | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 9 |
| Yeravada | 4 | － | － | 一 | － | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 5 |
| Navi Khadki | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  | － | － | － | 1 |
| Sangamwadi Wakdewadi | 二 | 三 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | 二 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aundh， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pashan，etc． | 1 | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | 二 | 二 | － | － | 二 | － |  | － |  |  | 二 |  |  |  |  | 3 1 |
| Erandavana， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hingne，etc． | 1 | － |  |  |  |  | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wanavd | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 |  | － |  | 二 | － |  | 5 |
| Poona Cantt． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 5 | 一 | － | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Kirkee Cantt． | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 10 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 37 | 1 | － | － | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 35 | 7 | － | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 2 | － | － | 129 |





Table 1.16 presents the distribution of married girls aged less than 15 in our sample by communities and wards. There were a total of 161 cases of child marriage. In several community groups child marriages were either totally absent or very few. In the others the prevalence of child marriage was high. Of the 161 cases of child marriages noted in the sample 30 per cent were from among the Marathas, a proportion near to their proportion in the total sample. But Depressed classes, Artisan Groups I and II, weavers, and Muhommedans each shared a larger proportion of the total child marriage cases than their proportion in the total population of Poona. The incidence of child marriages is today also heavy in the community groups in whom it was also heavy in 1937 as re. vealed by the 1937 Survey. Broadly speaking it is also heavy today, among those wards in which it was so in 1937.
10. Earners and Non-Earners* :-In Table 1.17 the data relating to persons in the sample are presented in three categories viz. (i) earners, (ii) non-earners, (iii) unemployed. The nonearners consisted of those who did not undertake any activity which yielded some income. As in the 1937 Survey, pensioners have been treated as earners. The mere management of one's property, when this was not a business of some sort has not been counted as an earning activity. When comparing the number of earners with nonearners in various wards, it will of course be necessary to deduct the number of educands from the number of non-earners in each ward.

In the 1937 Survey, after making such deduction, it was found that the proportion of earners and non-earners in most of the wards was one male adult non-earner to ten male adult earners. In the present survey, in the first group of wards, this proportion was 1 to 11.6 and in the additional wards, 1 to 12.3 , the overall average being 1 to 11.7. In some of the wards particularly Mangalwar, Rasta and Yeravada the proportion was very high being 1 to 33 , 21 and 40 respectively. Some other wards like Nagesh, Sangamwadi and Wakdewadi also showed a higher ratio than the average.

In the male adult population the number of earners exceeded the number of non-earners. In the case of the female adult population, however, it was quite the reverse. For every five female adult non-earners there was one female-adult earner. In the 1937 Survey as well as in the present survey for the comparable area, this proportion was unchanged. In some of the backward wards like Bhavani, Ghorpade and Gultekdi, etc., for every five adult female noneearners there was one adult female earner in the present survey. $\mathrm{In}^{2}$ some

[^12]TABLE No．1．16．
Married Fomales Aged 15 years and below elassified according to Warda and Communities．

| Wards | 駡 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 哭 }}{\stackrel{y}{z}}$ |  | soqsej Suingaม |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 驚 |  | 咢 | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{\substack{5 \\ \hline}}$ | 骂 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shivajinagar | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| Sadashiv | 6 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 11 |
| Narayan | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 6 |
| Shanwar | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 3 |
| Budhwar | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 |
| Raviwar | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| Kasba | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 11 |
| Mangalwar | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 5 |
| Somwar | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| Rasta | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| Nagesh | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | T | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Ganesh | 4 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | 7 |
| Nana | － | － | － | － | 1 | $\square$ | 2 | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | 11 |
| Bhavani | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 10 | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 19 |
| Ganj | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2 | $\square$ | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 5 |
| Vetal（Gurwar） | 1 | － | － | $\square$ | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | －． | － | － | 3 |
| Shukrawar | 7 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 16 |
| Chorpade \＆ Gultekdı | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | ，－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |

TABLE No．1．16－（Contd．）
Married Females Aged 15 years and below classified according to Wards and Commanities．

| Wards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 荷 } \\ & \text { 若 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 哥 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Depressed classes |  |  |  |  |  | 咢 |  | 哭 | 器 | 嵒 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suburban Roads | 2 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | 3 |  |  |  | 12 |
| Yeravada | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | ـ | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 9 |
| Navi Khadki ， |  | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | $\underline{\sim}$ | － | － | － | － |  | － | － |  | － | 1 |
| Sangamwadi－ | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | ＇－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| Wakdewadi | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| Total for the 1 Group of wards 46 |  | 1 | － | 1 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | － | － | 143 |
| Aundh， <br> Pashan，etc． <br> Bibewadi，etc． <br> Erandavana， <br> Hingne，etc． <br> Ghorpadi village <br> Hadapsar， <br> －Mundhwa <br> Wanavdi <br> Poona Cantt． <br> Kirkee Cantt． <br> Total for Addi－ tional Group of Wards |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 7 |
|  | 1 | － | － | － | $\sigma$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | 1 |
|  | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 4 |
|  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 7 |
|  | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | ， | － | － | － | 5 |
|  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 8 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 3 | $\cdots$ | － | － | 13 |
|  | － | $\cdots$ | － |  | － | 1 | － | － | － | 14 | 二 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 4 | 1 | － | － | 23 |
|  | 9 | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 30 | 1 | － | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 1 | － | － | 62 |
| Grand Total | 55 | 1 | － | 1 | 10 | 4 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 52 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 2 | － | － | 205 |



TABLE No. 1.17-(Contd.)
®
Wardwise distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population by Earner Status.


CHANGING PATTERN

TABLE No. 1.17-(Contd.)
Wardwise distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population by Earner Status.

| Wards N | Adult |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ \text { Non-Adul } \end{gathered}$ | t |  | Total |  | ' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-earners | Earners | Unemployed | Non-earners | Earners | $\underset{\text { employed }}{\text { Un- }}$ | Nonearners | Earners | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { employed } \end{gathered}$ | Total female pop | Total pulation |
| Shivajinagar | 466 | 54 | 1 | 320 | - | - | 786 | 54 | 1 | 841 | 1741 |
| Sadashiv | 507 | 78 | 8 | 328 | - | - | 835 | 78 | 8 | 921 | 1995 |
| Narayan | 239 | 35 | 1 | 137 | - | - | 376 | 35 | 1 | 412 | 862 |
| Shanwar | 306 | 34 | 3 | 205 | - | - | 511 | 34 | 3 | 548 | 1101 |
| Budhwar | 261 | 29 | - | 155 | - | - - | 416 | 29 | - | 445 | 941 |
| Ravipar . | 381 | 26 | 2 | 252 | - | - | 633 | 26 | 2 | 661 | 1366 |
| Kasba | 323 | 64 | 9 | 292 | - | - | 615 | 64 | 9 | 688 | 1390 |
| Mangalwar | 108 | 24. | - | 65 | - | - | 173 | 24 | - | 197 | 421 |
| Somwar , | , 215 | 21 | 4 | 145 | - | - | 860 | 21 | 4 | 385 | 812 |
| Rasta | 143 | 12 | 2 | 84 | - | - | 227 | 12 | 2 | 241 | 507 |
| Nagesh | 39 | 3 | 1 | 33 | - | - | 72 | 3 | 1 | 76 | 165 |
| Ganesh: | 122 | 29 | - | 89 | - | - | 211 | 29 | - | 240 | 511 |
| Nana | 275 | 39 | 8 | 229 | 3 | - | 504 | 42 | 8 | 554 | 1146 |
| Bhavani | 316 | 132 | 8 | 241 | 1 | - | 557 | 133 | 8 | 698 | 1493 |
| Ganj | 161 | 68 | 3 | 168 | 1 | - | 329 | 69 | 3 | 401 | 807 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | r) 183 | 27 | 2 | 153 | - | - | 336 | 27 | 2 | 365 | 723 |
| Shukrawar | 526 | 104 | 9 | 353 | 1 | - | 879 | 105 | 9 | 993 | 2035 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdı | 35 | 21 | - | 23 | - | - | 58 | 21 | - | 79 | 151 |
| Suburban Roads | ds 210 | 45 | 10 | 207 | 1 | 2 | 417 | 46 | 12 | 475 | 976 |
| Yeravada | 132 | 22 | 3 | 118 | - |  | 245 | 22 | 3 | 270 | 650 |
| Wakdewadi | 21 | 2 | - | 12 | - | - | 33 | 2 | - | 35 | 78 |

TABLE No. 1.17-(Contd.)
Wardwise distribution of Male and. Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population by Earner Status.

| Wards | Non-earners | Adult <br> Earners | Unemployed | Nor-earners | Female <br> Non-Adult Earners | Unemployed | Nonearners | Total <br> Earners | Unemployed | Total femal | Total pulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Navi Khadki | 35 | 7 | Б | 37 | - | - | 72 | 7 | 5 | 84 | 159 |
| Sangamwadi | 22 | 4 | 1 | 17 | - | - | 39 | 4 | 1 | 44 | 93 |
| Total for the I of Wards. | $\underset{5026}{ }$ | 880 | 80 | 3658. | 7 | 2 | 8684 | 887 | 82 | 9653 | 20023 |
| Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, etc. | $147$ | 44 | 3 | 140 | - | 1 | 287 | 44 | 4 | 335 | 686 |
| Bibewadi, Dhanori | 43 | 3 | - | 31 | ' | 1 | 74 | 3 | - | 77 | 144 |
| Erandavana, Hingne,' etc | 85 | 20 | 2 | 67 | - | - | 152 | 20 | 2 | 174 | 369 |
| Ghorpadi village | 113 | 18 | 2 | 82 | - | - | 195 | 18 | 2 | 215 | 420 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa - | 98 | 45 | 1 | 84 | - | - | 182 | 45 | 1 | 228 | 460 |
| Wanavdi | 44 | 20 | 2 | 56 | 1 | - | 100 | 21 | - 2 | 123 | 254 |
| Poona Cantt. | 526 | 73 | 6 | 346 | 2 | - | - 872 | 75 | 6 | 953 | 1986 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 420 | 34 | 5 | 338 | - | - | 758 | 34 | 5 | 797 | 1691 |
| Total for Addi of Wards | ${ }_{1476}$ | 257 | 21 | 1144 | 3 | 1 | 2620 | 260 | 22 | 2902 | 6010 |
| Total for All Wards | 6502 | 1137 | 101 | 4802 | 10 | 3 | 11304 | 1147 | 104 | 12555 | 26033 |

[^13]other wards like Shivajinagar, Raviwar, Somwar, Nagesh, Kirkee Cantonment, etc. for every female adult earner, there were 10 or more adult female non-earners.

In the 1937 Survey as well as in the comparable area in the present survey it was found that for about every six adult male earners there was one adult female earner. In some of the backward wards like Bhavani, Ganj, Ghorpade and Gultekdi, Mangalwar, Mudhwa, Hadapsar, Wanavdi, etc. this proportion was about 3 adult male earners' to 1 adult female earner in the present survey. On the other hand in some of the advanced wards like Rasta, Somwar, Shivajinagar, Raviwar, Shanwar, etc. for every 8 to 10 adult male earners there was one adult female earner.

The number of non-adult earners was found to be quite small in the present survey. The total of 26 non-adult male earners in the sample $w$ ere less than one per cent of the adult male earners. Female non-adult earners numbered 16 or about 1.5 per cent of the total adult female earners. The same can be put in another way. For every 1000 adult male earners there were about 5 nonadult earners in the first group of wards. In the 1937 Survey there were 21 non-adult male earners for 1000 adult male earners. This was a marked drop. It was not so marked among non-adult female earners. For every 1000 adult female earners there were about 10 adult female non-earners in the first group of wards in the present survey. In the 1937 Survey for every 1000 female-adult earners there were about 16 non-adult female earners.

Table 1.18 gives the distribution of earners and non-earners in different communities. By "earners" we mean here only those who were employed at the time of the survey. In the adult male population of the sample about one-fourth were non-earners. Among Marathas and Muslims about 22 per cent were non-earners. They were 30 per cent among Christians. In Brahmins, Sikh-Pun-jabi-Sindhi-Rajputs and Christians this proportion increased to about 33 per cent. However, in Artisan Groups I and II and other Hindus it was 20 per cent and in Depressed classes 18 per cent.

The non-adult male earners were just 0.5 per cent of the total non-adult males. Less than half of them were Marathas one-fourth were Other Hindus while the remaining were from Artisan Groups I and II, Depressed classes and Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Muslims. Among Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains the juvenile earners were mainly engaged in family business. The non-adult female earners formed less than 0.5 per cent of the non-adult female earners and were from Marathas, Artisans Group I and II, Depressed classes and Other Hindus.

TABLE No. 1.18.
Communitywise Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Earner Status.
I Group of Wards.


TABLE No. 1.18-(Contd.)
Communitywise Drstribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Earner Status.
I Group of Wards.


> TABLE No. 1.18-(Contd.)

Communitywise Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Earner Status. Additional Group of Wards.

| Community | Non-earners | Male Non-adults |  |  |  | Male Adults |  |  | Total Male population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earners | Unemployed | Total ma adults | Non-earners | Earners | Unemployed | Total nonadult population |  |
| Marathas | 26 | 224 | 18 | 268 | 172 | 2 | - | 174 | 442 |
| Brahmins | 28 | 54 | 6 | 88 | 46 | - | -. | 46 | 134 |
| Artisan Groups I\& II | 16 | 98 | 9 | 123 | 90 | 1 | - | 91 | 214 |
| Depressed classes | 43 | 300 | 31 | 374 | 267 | 1 | 1 | 269 | 643 |
| Other Hindus | 42 | 344 | 38 | 424 | 312 | 5 | - | 317 | 741 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs | $\dot{16}$ | 45 | 3 | 64 | 54 | - | - | 54 | 118 |
| Gujarati-MarwadiJains | ${ }^{-1} 15$ | 67 | 2 | 84 | - 46 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 132 |
| Muhommedans | 24 | 144 | 21 | 189 | 131 | - | - | 131 | 320 |
| Christians | 24 | 139 | 30 | 193 | 113 | - | - | 112. | 306 |
| Parsis \& Jews | 7 | 28 | 1 | 36 | 22 | - | - | 22 | 58 |
| Total | 241 | 1443 | 159 | 1843 | 1253 | 10 | 2 | 1265 | 3108 |

TABLE No. 1.18-(Contd.)
Communitywise Distruhution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Earner Status.
Additional Group of Wards.
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TABLE No. 1.18-(Contd.)
Communitywise Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Earner Status.
All Wards

| Community No | Non-earners | Male <br> Earners | Adults <br> Unemployed | Total male adults | Non-earners | Male Non Earners | n-adults Unemployed | Total nonadult population | Total Male population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marathas | 237 | 1323 | 132 | 1692 | 1038 | 10 | 5, | 1053 | 2745 |
| Brahmins | 477 | 1168 | 99 | 1744 | 870 | - | - | 870 | 2614 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | 112 | 642 | 52 | 806 | 533 | 3 | 2 | 538 | 1344 |
| Depressed classes | - 88 | 755 | 82 | 925 | 697 | 3 | 1 | 701 | 1626 |
| Other Hindus | 189 | 1054 | 97 | 1340 | 860 | 7 | 1 | 868 | 2208 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-- Sindhi-Rajputs | 60 | 158 | 15 | 233 | 150 | - | - | 150 | 383 |
| Gujarati-MarwadiJains | - 83 | 315 | 16 | 414 | 254 | 2 | 1 | 257 | 671 |
| Muhommedans | 101. | 589 | 68 | 758 | 504 | 1 | 2 | 507 | 1265 |
| Christians | 53 | 233 | 42 | 328 | 204 | - | - | 204 | 532 |
| Parsis \& Jews | 13 | 43 | 7 | 63 | 27 | - | - - | 27 | 90 |
| Total | 1413 | 6280 | 610 | 8303 | 5137 | 26 | , 12 | 5175 | 13478 |

TABLE No. 1.18-(Contd.)
Communitywise Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult, Population according to Earner Status.
All Wards


The adult female earners formed about 15 per cent of the total famale adults in the sample. In Marathas, Artisan Groups I and II and Depressed classes, their proportion exceeded 20 per cent where as among Brahmins, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, Muslims, Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, it was well below 10 per cent.
11. Income and Earnings* :-The questionnaire sought information regarding the earnings of earners in the family during the preceding month and the preceding year as well as the income received by the family during the preceding year by way of receipts of land and house rent, interest on savings, gifts, remittances from non-local members of the family or from others, etc. Debts incurred by the family as a whole during the period were also noted. Information sought was thus intended to cover the earned and unearned incomes of earners and families in the sample.

The next 'question to be faced was the definition of the term income and earning. As a preliminary starting point we laid down that we will be solely concerned with total or gross receipts during the period (in the case of traders gross profits) and that no efforts should be made to estimate and arrive at the net income as this was likely to take us far afield from the immediate aims and objectives of the survey. A similar definition had been adopted in the 1937 Survey.

The word "receipts" was used deliberately because it helped to resolve the difficulties connected with the income earned and received in the period and income earned before the period under inquiry but received during the period for which we sought information. Income earned or accruing, whether in the period or outside it, if received during the year was taken as income during the period. In quite a number of cases of wage workers it happened that the bonus payment for the year 1952-53 was made in the period 1953-54. These were included in the earned income of the earners concerned during 1953-54. In a few cases the unpaid wages or salaries of previous periods were received during 1953-54. These were also recorded as income in 1953-54. The same procedure, however, could not be followed in the few cases where the persons in question had received their total provident funds and/or gratuities in a lump payment during the period. They were no doubt received during the period but were not regarded as current income by the receipients. They were in the nature of capital receipts.. We, therefore, took only the sum taken out of the total receipts for expenditure during

[^14]the period, as the income in such cases. There were in all 14 cases of this kind in our sample.

Another problem was in connection with the attribution of earning to several earners in a family working in a family concern. The total income of the family concern was divided among all such earners. This had been done also in the 1937 Survey. All unearned incomes, unless specifically stated in the questionnaire, were attributed to the family and not to any earner. So too unless specific information to the contrary was available all remittances from family members out of Poona were attributed to the family as a whole.

We now turn to the reliability of the information on income gathered by us. Our earlier experience of sample surveys showed that information on earnings and income has to be very carefully gathered and checked before being finally admitted, because it tends to be incorrectly stated and more often than not usually understated. We therefore made special efforts to see that information on this point was as accurate as possible. Our experience showed that information about the incomes of salary receivers and regular wage earners working on time rates was easily secured and was in most cases quite reliable. But considerable difficulty was experienced in estimating correctly incomes of casual wage earners and wage earners working on piece rates not because the informants were non-co-operative but because the earnings depended upon the availability of employment, seasonal fluctuations, the employment actually cured during the previous year, etc. In the case of business, retail and wholesale trade, the correct information was very difficult to get because the informants were very reluctant to supply such information and were prone to understate receipts because they regarded every inquiry regarding their incomes as somehow leading to new taxation or to higher assessment of old taxes.

We had for some other purposes classified the earners in a family into four classes, A, B, C, D. 'A' comprised the salary receivers and wage earners with regular employments on time rates. " B ' comprised casual workers working on time or piece rates. ' C ' included independent or self-employed persons not employing anybody outside the family, and who were either wage workers on their own or independent artisans or businessmen. 'D' contained all those who were independent businessmen and also employers of non-family paid labour. In each of these classes the problem of obtaining correct information regarding earnings and incomes had to be differently tackled.

Though information regarding salaries was generally correctly reported it was necessary to note down as accurately as possible
the basic salary, the dearness allowance, the bonus received, etc. Contributions to provident funds were not deducted from total salary because they were essentially one way of disbursing the total earnings during the period and as per our original decision we had decided to leave all disbursements alone. These precautions were entirely justified because as experience showed the tendency of informants was to state the basic salary when the investigator asked the monthly salary and not to mention the dearness allowance or bonuses. These had to be specifically asked for and noted. When specifically asked the information was readily supplied and in most cases accurately enough, the original reluctance or really non-statement being perhaps under the impression that they did not form part of the salary as such. On the whole the information in this category can be regarded as very reliable. But that did not mean that there were no cases of understatement of incomes. This was particularly so in regard to incomes earned outside the main and usual occupation. of the earners. Thus secondary and primary teachers earned quite a good bit by private tuitions. Income receipts from this source were usually not mentioned at all, mainly because the government had restrained the teachers from accepting private tuitions and income from this activity which was however widely practised was completely concealed. The same was the case in regard to teachers in colleges who were reluctant and unwilling to disclose their remuneration for working as examiners at the various university examinations. In the case of school teachers we gathered independently some information regarding the average income earned by teachers from private tuitions and the total earnings of teachers in our sample in whose case incomes from private tuitions had been ascertained, were adjusted after examining the details of each case. In the case of the 18 college teachers in our sample no such adjusoments could be made. Understatement in their case could not be remedied.

In the case of casual workers we adopted the following procedure : Detailed information regarding employment and earnings during the month immediately preceding the survey had been noted, but that could not be treated as the average of monthly earnings throughout the preceding year, We specifically warned the investigators not to estimate the yearly earnings in these cases by multiplying the earnings during the previous month by 12 . We asked them to allow for seasonal variations, holidays, sickness, etc. and to arrive at a rough estimate of yearly earnings from the firm data regarding the previous month's earnings. They were then to put this estimate to the informant and to verify whether he regarded it as generally correct and on confirmation to enter it into the question-
naire finally. The investigators were also asked to write down in the marginal blank space the steps in the process of estimating the yearly earnings so that the research assistants could check these before admitting the whole questionnaire.

Even this elaborate procedure, however, was no proof against deliberate understatement of incomes. This was particularly noticeable where there were some legal complications as well. We might illustrate the problem by describing the case of bidi-workers which first attracted our attention to this phenomenon. The large bidimaking establishments in Poona employed several workers who worked on piece rates in the establishments. Besides, this, work was given out to workers who took away the necessary leaves and tobacco, made the bidis at home and returned them to the establishment. For this they were paid piece rates. These out-workers preferred to work at home because they could then add to their total output by making non-adults in the family work. The employment of nonadults was prevented by law in the bidi-factories. They could work only at home. Such of the out-workers as were included in our sample deliberately understated their earnings, in order to conceal the fact that non-adults helped them earn more. Fortunately the bigger bidi-making establishments kept detailed records of payments made to workers in the factory as well as the out-workers. Our investigators were, therefore, asked to contact the managements of these establishments, and to get the information regarding payments made to them by the employers for work done during the period under reference.' In the following is shown the income stated by the respondents at the time of inquiry and the income revealed by the records of the employers. The extent of understatement as revealed by these figures ranges from 27 per cent to 73 per cent In the light of these data the earnings of each of these persons wer: stepped up for correcting the understatement.
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Case No. } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Monthly } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { Income during the } \\ \text { month prior to in- } \\ \text { vestigation as stated } \\ \text { by the respondent }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average monthly } \\ \text { earnings from the } \\ \text { Employer's Records } \\ \text { based on an average } \\ \text { of 2 months' earnings }\end{array} \\ \text { previous to investigation }\end{array}\right]$

The difficulty of getting the correct information regarding incomes was the greatest in the case of earners in C and D groups. Unlike the casual workers, in most cases, particularly those of busi-ness, written records of accounts were maintained. : But our investigators could not have any access to these. They had to rely on whatever information was supplied to them by the informant, check this with his local knowledge and obtain also some indirect evidence that might bear on the point, such as the total turnover during the year, whether the informant was a sales-tax licence holder or whether he had paid incqme-tax, etc. The informants were very suspicious regarding the inquiry and thought that it might lead to their income being taxed. They seemed particularly anxious to understate their incomes so that they should not become subject to in-come-tax. In many cases this was overdone; some stated, for instance, that they paid income-tax but also understated their income to such an extent that it was considerably below the minimum exemption limit of income assessed to income-tax, which was for individuals Rs. 3,500 in 1953-54. In such cases we knew that the informant paid income-tax but we did not know how much. We were, therefore, not in position, in such cases, to estimate the approximate total earning's but we knew that they were not less than Rs. 3,500. In all such' cases, we classified the informant in the income category Rs. 3,501-5,000 or a higher one if it was indicated by other evidence.

A variation on this met with in some other cases was the statement that the persons concerned had incurred considerable losses during the preyiouse year and as a consequence they had no earnings to report. Many of these had paid income tax during the year, which they alleged had been unjustifiably levied in spite of their having had no earnings during the year. In most of these cases we found that the total annual turn-overs in the business establishments which these informants owned were very high, ranging between Rs. 50,000 and Rs. 7 lakhs. In these cases we decided to agree with the income tax authorities and to classify these persons in income categories above Rs. 3,500 proportionately to the size of their total turnovers.

In the case of petty traders the turnovers were also understated in some cases. In these cases the possession or otherwise of the Sales Tax Licence was a good indirect index of arriving at approximately correct estimates of earnings. A sales tax licence had to be taken out by every establishment that had an yearly turnover of Rs. 20,000 and more. The possession of a licence, therefore, indicated the lower limit of the total turnover and calculating the gross profit at the modest rate of 5 per cent, we could be sure that the earn-
ings of the informant could not be less than Rs. 1,000 . They were accordingly put into income categories above Rs. 1,000 .

In the case of prostitutes, in our sample, the incomes were found to be understated. There could be no direct or indirect evidence against which they could, be corrected. Fortuinately at about the same time that our inquiry was conducted a prophylactic V. D. Centre, run by the Municipal Corporation had collected some valuable information regarding about 400 prostitutes who had attended that centre. The information had been given to the medical personnel in great confidence and was quite reliable. It gave us the average monthly earnings of these women.* The extent of understatement in our questionnaire was in half the cases to the tune of 40 to 50 per cent. No adjustment was made in the sample cases, however, because no data in these specific cases in the sample were available in the inquiry referred to above. We only note the fact of understatement of incomes in these cases.

In fairness we may add that in half a dozen cases the process of adjustment had to be reversed, i.e. the income stated had to be adjusted downward because they were overstated. The somewhat typical case was of a businessman who had invested Rs. 5,000 in a concern and claimed that he had earned Rs. 80,000 on this investment during last year. Clearly the case was one of overstatement and we made the necessary adjustments on the basis of other indirect information. It was, of course, gratifying to know that human proclivities did not run in one direction only, that they ran both ways though they did not compensate one another.

We have so far been discussing earned incomes. Though we corrected many of the income returns for, understatement as detailed above, we cannot claim that these data have been completely cured of understatement. Many cases have possibly escaped our scrutiny and in some cases as can be seen from the procedure described above, the adjustments might have been not high enough to eliminate the understatement of incomes. After all we adjusted from indirect evidence which was not as finegrained as could have been desired. All that we can claim is that we were able to reduce understatement of incomes in a number of cases and as a result our data, as presented here, are more reliable than they would have been without these corrections.

[^15]In regard to unearned incomes we were worse situated. In this category were included rent from land, house rent, interest on securities or investments. Except to some extent in regard to house rent about which they may have some rough idea from local knowledge, our investigators were not in a position to check or verify the information given by the informants. Neither could they do anything if information on these items was concealed or withheld. In regard to house rent, the investigator being a man of the same locality as the informant, knew at least vaguely whether the person owned any other houses than the one he was living in and so could specifically seek the information. In the case of house owners, in our sample, who had rented a part of their house receipts of house rent were hard to conceal. All in all therefore the information on house rents could be regarded as rather reliable. Such a claim cannot be made in regard to the other items. In order of reliability rent from land would come first and interest, etc. second.

The same was the case with remittances received from members outside Poona. There was no means of verifying the information supplied to us by the informants; concealment, if any, of such remittances also could not be discovered.

The total bias of the income data is most positively in the direction of understatement. It is also quite obvious that the degree of underestimation differs in different income categories. We could not, therefore, calculate an overall correction factor for the sample as a whole.

In Table 1.19 we give annual per capita income in different wards derived from (i) the main occupation (ii) the subsidiary occupation (iii) other sources. The last column gives total income per capita. It will be seen that the average total income per capita, in Poona was Rs. 356. It was made up as follows :-income from: main and subsidiary occupation Rs. 316, and income from other sources Rs. 40. Only 3 per cent of the earners had incomes from subsidiary occupations.Between the two groups of wards, there was not much difference between per capita incomes. However, between different wards there were large variations. For instance, in Shivajinagar which topped the list, the per capita income was Rs. 499 whereas in Wanavdi it was Rs. 195. Regarding the income from main occupation Shivajinagar and Wanavdi again ranked at the top and the bottom respectively with Rs, 434 and Rs. 182. The income from other sources varied quite widely. It was Rs. 172 in Bibewadi, etc. whereas in Ghorpadi it was as low as Re. 1. It varied between Rs. 50 and 75 in Shivajinagar, Sadashiv, Shanwar, Raviwar, Budhwar, Vetal, Narayan and Poona Cantonment. It

TABLE No. 1.19.
Total and Per Capita Income from Main and Subsadiary Occupation and other sources during the year previous to the Survey in defferent Wards.

| Wards | Local earners' income | Per capita income | Income from Subssdiary Occ. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \text { ita from } \\ & \text { me other } \\ & \text { m sources } \\ & \text { Occ. } \end{aligned}$ | Per cap Income from other sources |  | Per capita total income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shivajinagar | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ 756460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ 434.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ 4350 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 108390 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & \text { 62.2 } \end{aligned}$ | Rs. 869200 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rs} \\ 4992 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Sadashiv | 723440 | 362.6 | 10220 | 5.1 | 105970 | 53.1 | 839630 | 420.8 |
| Narayan | 244830 | 284.0 | 7320 | 8,5 | 65960 | 76.6 | 318110 | 369.1 |
| Shanwar | 388390 | 352.8 | 7640 | 6.9 | 69470 | 63.0 | 465500 | 4227 |
| Budhwar | 340240 | 361.6 | 5550 | 5.9 | 46550 | 49.5 | 392340 | 417.0 |
| Raviwar | 437310 | 320.1 | 5990 | 4.4 | 90260 | 66.0 | 533560 | 390 Б |
| Kasba | 352790 | $253 \times 8$ | 1040 | 0.7 | 49360 | 35.5 | 403190 | 290.0 |
| Mangalwar | 112190 | 266.5 | - | - | 2610 | 6.2 | 114800 | 272.7 |
| Somwar | 247560 | 304.9 | 1300 | 1.6 | 20290 | 25.0 | 269150 | 3815 |
| Rasta | 220550 | 435.0 | 2070 | 4.1 | 10930 | 21.6 | 233550 | 4607 |
| Nagesh- | 54350 | 329.4 | - | - | 2850 | 17.3 | 57200 | 346.7 |
| Ganesh | 132200 | 258.7 | - | - | 9620 | 18.8 | 141820 | 2775 |
| Nana | 256560 | 223.9 | 3350 | 2.9 | 40780 | 35.6 | 300690 | 2624 |
| Bhavani | 433010 | 2900 | 250 | 0.2 | 35530 | 23.8 | 468790 | 314.0 |
| Ganj | 152870 | 189.4 | 310 | 0.4 | 6730 | 8.3 | 159910 | 198.1 |
| Vetal | 207450 | 2869 | 710 | 1.0 | 35810 | 49.5 | 243970 | 337.4 |
| Shukrawar | 619230 | 304.3 | 8980 | 4.4 | 74510 | 36.6 | 702720 | 345.3 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdi | 35810 | 237.1 | 1980 | 13.1 | 1180 | 7.8 | 38970 | 258.0 |
| Suburban Roads | 283060 | 290.0 | 4450 | 4.5 | 34160 | 35.0 | 321670 | 329.5 |
| Yeravada | 133970 | 243.6 | 1480 | 2.7 | 2980 | 5.4 | 138430 | 251.7 |
| Wakdewadi | 19580 | 251.0 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 19580 | 251.0 |
| Navi Khadki | 30070 | 189.1 | - | - | 480 | 3.0 | 30550 | 192.1 |
| Sangamwadi | 17510 | 188.3 | 60 | 0.6 | 8350 | 89.8 | 25920 | 278.7 |
| Total for the I Group of Wards | 6199430 | 309.6 | 67050 | 3.3 | 822770 | 41.17 | 7089250 | 354.0 |

TABLE No. 1.19- (Contd.)
Total and Per:Capita Income from Main and Subsidiary Occupation and other sources during the year previous to the Survey in different Wards.

| Wards | Local earner's income | Per capita income | Income from subsidiary Occ. | Per capita income from Subs. Occ. | Income P from other sources | Per capi income from other sources | Total incom | $\stackrel{\text { Per }}{\text { capita }}$ total income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| Aundh, Pashan, etc. | 196090 | 285.8 | 530 | 0.8 | 7800 | 11.4 | 204420 | 298.0 |
| Bibewadi, etc. | 28200 | 195.8 | 560 | 3.9 | 24730 | 171.7 | 53490 | 371.4 |
| Erandavna, H Kothrud, etc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lingne } \\ & 130900 \end{aligned}$ | 354.7 | 2370 | 6.4 | 15490 | 42.0 | 148760 | 403.1 |
| Ghorpadi Village | 105960 | 252.3 | - | - | 610 | 1.4 | 106570 | 253.6 |
| Hadapsar Mundhwa | 105140 | 228.6 | 3690 | 8.0 | 5110 | 11.1 | 113940 | 247.7 |
| Wapavdi | 46210 | 181.9 | 2740 | 10.8 | ${ }^{7} 730$ | 2.9 | 49680 | 1956 |
| Poona Cantt. | 604200 | 304.2 | 10220 | 5.1 | 125800 | 63.3 | 740220 | 372.6 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 558810 | 330.4 | 1750 | 1.0 | 30680 | 18.1 | 591240 | 349.5 |

Total for

| Additional <br> Wroup of <br> Wards | 1775510 | 322.2 | 21860 | 4.0 | 210950 | 38.3 | 2008320 | 364.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| GRAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TOTAL | 7974940 | 312.3 | 88910 | 3.5 | 1033720 | 40.5 | 9097570 | 356.3 |

was about Rs. 35 in Kasba, Nanä, Shukrawar and Suburban Roads and varied between Rs. 20 and Rs. 25 in Somwar, Bhavani and Rasta. It was below Rs. 10 in Mangalwar, Ghorpade, Gultekdi, Yeravada, Wanavdi and Navi Khadki.

The wards with the highest per capita income were in the order Shivajinagar, Rasta, Shanwar, Sadashiv, Budhwar and Erandavana. In all these wards the income per capita was above Rs. 400. On the other hand the wards with the lowest per capita income were Ganj, Navi Khadki and Wanavdi where it was less than Rs. 200. The wards with the highest per capita incomes contained a large number of salary earners. On the other hand, the wards containing the business communities of Poona e.g. Raviwar, Shukrawar, Nana and Bhavani had an average per capita income of Rs. 325. In the latter two wards the per capita income was Rs. 313 and Rs: 262 respectively.' This average, lower than that of the wards inhabited by salary earners, could be explained by two factors. Firstly these wards were mixed and a large number of poor families stayed
side by side with wealthy families of businessmen. This lowered the per capita income figure. Secondly the low average was partly due to some degree of understatement of their incomes by those in business, which has been already referred to.

Comparing the picture of the present survey with the 1937 Survey in comparable wards we find that on the whole the picture in regard to the composition of per capita income had remained stable. In 1937 the average total per capita income was Rs. 140 of which Rs. 118 were earned by local earners; Rs. 22 came from other sources. Income from other sources had slightly fallen from 15 per cent of the total in the 1937 Survey to about 11 per cent in the present one. The ranking of wards by per capita income in the 1937 Survey and in the comparable area in the present survey were only slightly different. For example, Shivajinagar which ranked fourth in the 1937 Survey topped the list in the present one. Narayan showed a slight progress over Somwar. As in the 1937 Survey, Ganj, Mangalwar, Navi Khadki and Sangamwadi, Wakdewadi, Ghorpade and Gultekdi showed much lower incomes than the average income per capita for all wards.

The income from other sources in this survey was made up of the following : Remittances from outside, about 31 per cent, income from land about 10 per cent, house rent about 48 per cent, interest, bonus, etc., 8 per cent and other unearned income about 3 per cent. Between the two group of wards there was some slight variation in these proportions. For instance, remittances from outside amounted to 30 per cent of the total income from the other sources in the first group of wards whereas in the second group it was 35 per cent. The proportion of income from land, house-rent, interest bonus, etc. and other unearned income was 11 per cent, 48 per cent, 7 per cent and 4 per cent respectively in the first group of wards. The corresponding percentages for the additional group of wards. were 6 per cent, 46 per cent, 10 per cent and 3 per cent respectively.

It must be noted, however, that as between different wards the composition of income from other sources differed considerably. For instance, remittances from outside formed an important source of such income in Shivajinagar, Bhavani, Yeravada, Navi Khadki and Poona Cantonment. In some other wards like Bibewadi, Hadapsar, Mundhwa, Wanavdi, Kasba and Shukrawar .income from land formed an important item of income from other sources. On the other hand in other areas like the Poona and Kirkee Cantonments, Raviwar, Bhavani, Suburban Roads and the Wadis the proportion of such income was low. House-rent formed an important item of income from other sources in Shanwar, Raviwar, Ganesh, Ganj.

Vetal (Gurwar) and in Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, Erandavana and Kirkee Cantonment. In other wards like Wanavdi, Bhavani, Suburban Roads and Wakadewadi, such income was much below the average.

Income from interest, bonus, etc. was reported only in some wards like Bibewadi, Poona Cantonment, Sadashiv, Shukrawar and Suburban Roads. There were a number of wards where it was absent completely or formed a very low proportion of the income from other sources.

Table 1.20 gives per capita income of different communities. Between different community groups there were wide variations in per capita income. It was the lowest, Rs. 219, among Depressed classes. At the other end the Parsis had the highest per capita income of Rs. 1091. Brahmins had a per capita income of Rs. 532 and it was about the same in Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs and Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains. Per capita income among Other Hindus and Christians was quite close to the general average. The Marathas had a per capita income of about Rs. 250.

Between the two groups of wards again the per capita incomes , of community groups showed wide variations. For instance, Parsis recorded a per capita income of Rs. 1465 in the first group of wards whereas in the additional group, Rs. 892 only. Similarly in Other Hindus, in the first group of wards the per capita income was Rs. 353 whereas in the additional group of wards it was Rs. 299. In Christians the per capita income was Rs. 392 in the first group of wards and Rs. 330 in the additional group of wards. On the other hand the per capita income was higher in the additional group of wards than in the first group of wards among Brahmins, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs and Other Hindus.

Some of the community groups reported a high proportion of income from other sources in the total income. For instance in Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs in the additional group of wards, of the total per capita income of Rs. 582, the income from other sources was Rs. 239. Similarly in Parsis in the additional group of wards out of Rs. 892, Rs. 213 was the income from other sources.

In Table 1.21 we present total per capita income during the preceding year in different Communities in the 1937 Surver. This table has been prepared by a fresh tabulation of the old data. Excepting Artisan Groups I and II, Depressed classes, Muslims and Christians, the per capita income of other communities in the first group of wards had increased by two to two and a half times than that found in the 1937 Survey. In Artisan Groups I and II

TABLE No. 1.20.
Total Per Capita Income in different Communities during the year previous to the Survey (1954).

I Group of Wards.

| Community | Per Capita Income from main Occupation | Per Capita Income from Subsidiary Occupation | Per Capita Income from other Sources | Per Capita Total Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| Marathas | 223.1 | 2.1 | 23.6 | 248.8 |
| Brahmins | 446.3 | 5.3 | 72.0 | 523.3 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | 226.3 | 1.3 | 21.6 | 249.2 |
| Depressed classes | 204.2 | 0.8 | 5.4 | 210.4 |
| Other Hindus | 309.0 | 5.1 | 64.3 | 378.4 |
| Sikh-Punjabi- |  |  |  |  |
| Sindhi-Rajputs | 418.3 | 5.6 | 63.3 | 487.2 |
| Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains | s 438.5 | 3.1 | 35.9 | 477.5 |
| Muhommedans | 240.0 | 2.1 | 20.1 | 262.2 |
| Christians | 344.8 | 0.6 | 46.5 | 391.9 |
| Parsis \& Jews | 1285.9 | 49.2 | 129.5 | 1464.6 |
| Average | 309.6 | 3.3 | 41.1 | 354.0 |
| Additional Group of Wards- |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 221.6 | 4.3 | 16.0 | 241.9 |
| Brahmins | 569.5 | 24.9 | 55.5 | 649.9 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | 218.9 | 0.8 | 17.9 | 237.6 |
| Depressed classes | 228.1 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 232.7 |
| Other Hindus | 273.2 | 2.4 | 29.5 | 305.1 |
| Sikh-Punjabi- |  |  |  |  |
| Sindhr-Rajputs | 327.6 | 15.5 | 238.9 | 582.0 |
| Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains | s 718.4 | 1.9 | 29.0 | 749.3 |
| Muhommedans | 258.9 | 0.9 | 48.3 | 308.1 |
| Christians | 314.4 | 1.1 | 14.7 | 330.2 |
| Parsis and Jews | 666.3 | 13.0 | 213.1 | 892.4 |
| - Average | 322.2 | 4.0 | 38.3 | 364.5 |
| All Wards |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 222.5 | 2.5 | 22.4 | 247.4 |
| Brahmins | 452.3 | 6.3 | 71.2 | 529.8 |
| Artisan Groups I \& II | 225.1 | 1.2 | 21.0 | 247.3 |
| Depressed classes | 213.6 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 219.2 |
| Other Hindus | 297.3 | 4.2 | 52.9 | 354.4 |
| Sikh-Punjabi- |  |  |  |  |
| Sindhi-Rajputs | 389.3 | 8.7 | 119.5 | 517.5 |
| Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains | s 494.5 | 2.8 | 34.5 | 531.8 |
| Muhommedans | 244.8 | 1.8 | 27.1 | 273.7 |
| Christians | 327.1 | 0.9 | 27.9 | 356.9 |
| Parsis \& Jews | 881.1 | 25.6 | 184.1 | 1090.8 |
| Average | 812.3 | 3.5 | 40.5 | 356.8 |

TABLE No. 1.21.
Total Per Capita Income in different Communities during the year previous to the Survey (1937 Survey).

| Community $\quad$ In | Income from Main'\& Subsidiary Occupations | Income from Other Sources | Total Per Capital <br> , Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| Marathas | 87.5 | 9.9 | 97.4 |
| Brahmins | 170.7 | 56.4 | 227.1 |
| Artisan Groups I \& | I \& II 78.8 | 6.6 | 85.4 |
| Depressed classes | S 58.9 | 1.2 | 60.1 |
| Other Hindus | 155.2 | 27.1 | 182.3 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs | 174.8 | 31.8 | 206.6 |
| Gujarati-MarwadiJains | di- 210.6 | 46.5 | 257.1 |
| 'Muhommedans | 104.1 | 15.0 | 119.1 |
| Cristians | 148.5 | 4.8 | 153.3 |
| Parsis \& Jews | 571.3 | 268.0 | 839.3 |
| Average | 117.8 | 21.9 | 139.7 |

and Depressed classes, it had increased by about three to three and a half times. In Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Parsis, however, the per capita income had increased by less than two times.

The range of variation in the per capita incomes of different groups of communities had, however, narrowed markedly during the period between 1937 and 1954. The ratio of lowest to highest per capita income in 1937 was 1:14. It had shrunk to 1:7 in the comparable area in 1954. The highest and the second higest per capita income in both the surveys was separated by a wide gap. The ratio between the second and the first highest income was 1:3 in 1937 and it had declined only a little to $1: 2.7$ in 1954. Parsis had the highest per capita income in both the surveys and a cliff rather than a gradient continued to separate them from the community with the second highest per capita income in both surveys. The ratio between the lowest and the second highest per capita incomes had also declined from 1:4.3 in 1937 to $1: 2.5$ in 1954. This together with other evidence presented later unambiguously indicates that on the whole the degree of inequality in incomes had decreased somewhat over the period.
12. Size of Family* :-Table 1.22 gives the distribution of families in different wards,' according to the number of members in

[^16]TABLE No. 1.22.
Wardwise distribution of families in the sample according to size.
Number of members in family.

| Ward | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 118 <br> more | Avera size of fam |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shivajinagar | 47 | 43 | 44 | 60 | 48 | 44 | 42 | 18 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 4.67 | 378 |
| Sadashiv | 70 | 56 | 70 | 71 | 67 | 56 | 40 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 4.27 | 466 |
| Narayan | 14 | 13 | 27 | 23 | 33 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 5.06 | 170 |
| Shenwar | 24 | 26 | 30 | 33 | 38 | 28 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 4.75 | 232 |
| Budhwar | 36 | 27. | 24 | 33 | 32 | 18 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 4.45 | 211 |
| Raviwar | 22 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 53 | 30 | 21 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 4.83 | 283 |
| Kasba | 31 | 39 | 36 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 19 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 4.74 | 293 |
| Mangalwar | 12 | 13 | 29 | 17 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4.01 | 105 |
| Somwar | 22 | 20 | 30 | 37 | 28 | 16 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 4.35 | 187 |
| Rasta | 13 | 11 | 13 | 18 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4.80 | 106 |
| Nagesh | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 4.71 | 35 |
| Ganesh | 16 | 22 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4.44 | 115 |
| Nana | 25 | 33 | 26 | 28 | 37 | 33 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 4.85 | 236 |
| Bhavani | 41 | 38. | 57 | 62 | 48 | 35 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 4.49 | 839 |
| Ganj | 12 | 15 | 27 | 31 | 17 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4.88 | 165 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | 13 | 18 | 24 | 17 | 18 | 24 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 4.88 | 148 |
| Shukrawar | 50 | 65 | 61 | 63 | 63 | 44 | 39 | 26 | 9 | 5 | 18 | 4.59 | 443 |
| Ghorpade * Gultekdi | 1 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 18 | 3.97 | 38 |
| Suburban Roads | 29 | 21 | 23 | 27 | 30 | 28 | 20 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 4.76 | 205 |
| Yeravada | 19 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4.13 | 133 |
| Wakdewadi | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 5.20 | 15 |

TABLE No. 1.22-(Contd.)
Wardwise distributran of familles in the sample according to size.
Number of members in family

| Ward 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \& \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | Average size of the family. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Navi Khadki } & \mathbf{1} \\ \text { Sangamwadi }\end{array}$ | 2 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 | 3 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ | - | 2 1 | 二 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.68 \\ & 4.43 \end{aligned}$ | 28 21 |
| Total for the I Group of Wards | 541 | 616 | 664 | 634 | 493 | 343 | 211 | 116 | 76 | 147 | 4.61 | 4347 |
| Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, etc. 13 | 18 | 29 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4.46 | 154 |
| Bibewadi, Dhanori, etc. 3 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4.36 | 33 |
| Erandavana, Hingne, etc. 6 Ghorpadi Village 5 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 9 12 | 6 6 | 7. | 2 1 | 2 | 1 | 4.68 5.06 | 79 83 |
| Ghorpadi Village <br> Hadapsar, 8 <br> Mundhwa 8 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 7 5 | 1 | 2 1 | 1 | 5.06 4.18 | 83 110 |
| Wanavdi 10 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4.55 | 56 |
| Poona Cantt. 52 | 49 | 51 | 57 | 49 | 4.5 | 29 | 26 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 4.89 | 406 |
| Kirkee Cantt. 25 | 40 | 43 | 42 | 61 | 43 | 27 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 5.07 | 333 |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards 122 | 153 | 183 | 191 | 173 | 150 | 97 | 70 | 44 | 27 | 13 | 4.79 | 1254 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { GRAND } \\ \text { TOTAL } & 628 \end{array}$ | 694 | 799 | 855 | 807 | 643 | 440 | 281 | 160 | 103 | 62 | 4.65 | 5601 |

CHANGING PATTERN
them. The average family size for the first group of wards was 4.61 ; it was 4.80 for the additional group of wards. The average for the whole sample was 4.64. The average size of the family in the Poona Corporation area according to the 1951 Census was 4.57, while it was 4.60 in our sample of the comparable area. In the 1937 Survey the average size of a family was 3.99 and after deducting single member families it came to 4.43. If we exclude single member families, the average size of the family in the present survey in the comparable area comes to 5.1. The average family size had definitely increased between the two surveys.

The single member families which were about 10 per cent of total families in the sample did not show any marked concentration in any particular ward though Shivajinagar showed a slighty higher percentage. This was due to the existence of a number of educational institutions in this ward around which students lived in large numbers.

The average family size in different wards varied between 5.68 in Navi Khadki and 3.97 in Ghorpade and Gultekdi. If, however, we neglect these two extremes the range in other wards was between 4 and 5.1. This range is quite narrow and variations within it do not invite any comments.

In the 1937 Survey, Rasta had the largest average family size. In the present survey, however, it did not show any large deviation from the general average.

About 11 per cent of the families had 6 members each, about 8 per cent had 7 members each, about 5 per cent had 8 members each. The remaining, about 9 per cent had a still larger family size. Between the two groups of wards, the distribution of families according to size was almost the same as the general distribution of all families.

A comparison with the 1937 Survey shows some interesting differences. In comparable area in the present survey, the proportion of single member families remained at about 12 per cent of the total families. But, in the 1937 Survey 2 and 3 member families were 19 per cent and 17 per cent of the total families respectively whereas in the present survey their proportion had declined to 12 and 14 respectively. The proportion of 4 member families had $r$ mained at about 15 per cent in the two surveys. 26 per cent of the families in the present survey had 5 or 6 persons each whereas in the 1937 Survey there were 21 per cent such families. Again in the family size of 7 to 9 members there were 16 per cent of the total families in the present survey whereas in the 1937 Survey there were only 11 per cent. Lastly about families with 10 and more members,
there were 5 per cent such families in the present survey as against 3 per cent in the 1937 Survey. Thus we find that as compared with the 1937 Survey the proportion of large-size families had increased in the present survey. In certain communities, particularly among Brahmins, there was an increase in the proportion of unimember and two member families but in others there was a definite increase in the proportion of large size families in the period between the two surveys.

Table 1.23 gives the distribution of families by their size as in the 1951 Census and as in the present survey. The proportions are very near one another in the different classes, except in the case of families with 3 or less members. The proportion of such families was higher in the 1951 Census than in our sample. This was but natural because the Census covered institutional as well as vagrant populations while ours was strictly a family sample. Single member families would naturally be more numerous in the Census than in a family sample survey. We believe that that mainly accounts for the difference noted earlier.

TABLE No. 1.23. .
Percentage distribution of famlles in the Poona Municipal Corporation Area by their Size.

| Size of Family | 1951 Census | 1954 Family <br> Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto 3 members | 44.0 | 39.0 |
| 4 to 6 members | 38.0 | 41.0 |
| 7 to 9 members | 13.0 | 15.0 |
| 10 and above | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 1.24 gives the distribution of families according to size and communities. Artisan Groups I and II and Depressed classes showed a smaller proportion than the average of single member families. In the families with two members Parsis and Jews showed the largest proportion, about 25 per cent, the next largest being Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs with about 17 per cent as against the general average of about 12 per cent. Other community groups showed a close association with the general average excepting Christians among whom it was 9 per cent. Depressed classes showed a slightly higher proportion than the general average of 14 per cent for 3 member families. Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains had 10 per cent such families. In 4 member families, which were about 15 per cent of the total families, Christians, had a proportion higher than the average.

TABLE No. 1.24.
Distribution of sample families according to size in different communities.
I Group of Wards.


[^17]TABLE No. 1.24-(Contd.)


In the family sizes up to 5 member families, Parsis and Jews showed the highest percentage which was about 80, Among Marathas and $\cdot$ Brahmins this proportion was about 73 per cent and 68 per cent respectively. In the remaining groups, the percentage varied between 63 and 65 thus indicating the prevalence of larger size families in these groups.
13. Type of Family* :-Table 1.25 gives the distribution of sample families according to family types, for the present survey as well as for the 1937 Survey. The latter has been specially tabulated from old schedules for purposes of comparison. The first eight types relate to families with male heads and the remaining to those with female heads. In the present enquiry, as we have stated in the section relating to the procedure, even though a particular name appeared in the lists supplied to investigators the respondents were requested to give the name of the real head of the family. Normally a senior male person with the highest economic status was reported to be the head of the family. Of the total 5,601 families, 4,716 or 84 per cent had male heads and the remaining 885 or 16 per cent of the families had female heads. There were 401 or about 7 per cent single member families with male heads and 212 or about 4 per cent single member families with female heads. About 40 per cent families consisted of male heads with or without wife and/or with and without children. About 6 per cent of the families belonged to the third group where in addition to the family type described in group 2, the married children of the male head also formed part of the family. About 23 per cent of the families belonged to group 4 where in addition to group 3 above, there were in the family parents and/or married or unmarried brothers and sisters of male heads. There were about 2 per cent families of male heads with paternal relations in the family, and about 3 per cent families with wife's relations in the family. In families with female heads the largest group was of 497 families with married or unmarried children and with or without husband. 62 families with female heads

* Type of family.
(Use M as-Male with/without wife, with/without children).
Families with male heads.
(1) Unimember; (2) $M$; (3) $M$ and married children with their families; (4) 3 with parents and/or brothers and sisters with their families; (5) 4 with other patriarchal relations (i.e, uncles, aunts, cousins, grandfathers, etc.); (6) 4 with matriarchal relations; (7) M and wife's relations; (8) Relations; - Families with female heads.
(9) Female only; (10) Female with married or unmarried children; (11) 10 and relations of husband; (12) 10 and parents and/or their relations; (13) Miscellaneous.

TABLE No. 1.25.
Distribution of Sample Famizres according to Community Group and Family Type.
1 Group of Wards.

| Community | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Fan | Type. <br> 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marathas | 77 | 410 | 64 | 236 | 16 | 7 | 23 | 5 | 57 | 96 | 11 | 17 | 8 | 1027 |
| Brahmins | 82 | 429 | 56 | 282 | 21 | 14 | 22 | 8 | 40 | 71 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 1052 |
| Artisan Groups I \& I5 | 18 | 189 | 41 | 106 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 21 | 42 | 3 | 6 | - | 455 |
| Depressed classes | 14 | 151 | 32 | 89 | 9 | 6 | 11 | - | 18 | 58 | 8 | 8 | - | 404 |
| Other Hindus | 71 | 244 | 46 | 141 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 17 | 54 | 9 | 14 | 3 | 630 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs | 8 | 41 | 5 | ${ }^{-} 23$ | 2. | - | 6 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 7 | - | 106 |
| Gujarati-Mar-wadi-Jains | 21 | 83 | 11 | 57 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 3 | . 1 | 1 | 1 | 195 |
| Muhommedans | 21 | 166 | 23 | 90 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 29 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 372 |
| Christians | 4 | 41 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 14 | 3 | 3 | - | 91 |
| Parsis \& Jews | - | 9 | 2. | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 15 |
| TOTAL | 316 | 1763 | 286 | 1035 | 74 | 46 | 110 | 25 | 175 | 374 | 44 | 81 | 18 | 4347 |


| TABLE No. 1.25-(Contd.) <br> Distrubution of Sample Families according to Community Group and Family Type. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $*$ |  |  |  |  | Additional Group of Wards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community | 1 | 2 | 8 | -4 | 5 | $\underset{6}{\text { Famil }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Type. } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 8. | $\theta$ | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Total |
| Marathas | 12 | 86 | 10 | 47 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 23 | $\cdot 1$ | 2 | - | - 192 |
| Brahmins : | i | 26 | 4 | 12. | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 50 |
| Artisan Groups L \& II | 2 | ,33 | 11 | 11 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | 74 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depressed : } \\ & \text { classes } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 109 | 20 | 68 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 25 | 3 | 8 | - | 274 |
| Other Hundus | 24 | 108 | 24 | 68 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 26 | 5 | 2 | - | 287 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs | 2 | 17 | 1 | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 13 | 3 | 1 | $\square$ | 49 |
| Gujarati-Mar-wadi-Jains | 2 | 22 | 4 | 14 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 47 |
| Muhommedans |  | 53 | 11 | 19 | E | - | 4 | 1. | 1 | 10. | 1 | 1 | - | 118 |
| Christians | 20 | 52 | 5. | 26 | 4. | 1 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 4 | - | - | 135 |
| Parsis \& Jews | 1 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 28 |
| TOTAL | 85 | 520 | 91 | 279 | 34 | 10 | 33 | 9 | 37 | 123 | 18 | 15 | - | 1254 |

TABLE No. 1.25-(Contd.)
Distribution of Sample Families according to Community Group and Family Type.
All Wards.


TABLE No. 1.25-(Contd.)
Distribution of Sample Families according to Community Group and Family Type.
1837 Survey.

| Community | 1 | Family Type. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Total |
| Marathas | 73 | 560 | 61. | 267 | 19 | 6 |  | 25 | 5 | 83 | 89 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 1208 |
| Brahmins | 94 | 265 | 50 | 257 | 18 | 15 |  | 21 | 8 | 35 | 38 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 818 |
| $\underset{\text { I \&tiIn II }}{\text { Aroups }^{2}}$ | 19 | 232 | 37 | 130 | 11 | 1 |  | 11 | - | 18 | 18 | 4 | 7 | - | 488 |
| Depressed classes | 17 | 174 | 37 | 90 | 1 | 5 | $\prime$ | 6 | - | 22 | 34 | 2 | 5 | - | 393 |
| Other Hindus | 74 | 285 | 40 | 145 | 6 | 4 |  | 9 | 3 | 42 | 48 | 5 | 7 | - | 668 |
| Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs | 6 | 7 | 1 | 6 | - | - |  | 1 | 1 | - | 2. | - | - | - | 24 |
| Gujarati-Mar--wadıJJains | 39 | 113 | 12 | 60 | 5 | 4 |  | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 250 |
| Muhommedans | 71 | 219 | 38 | 114 | 5 | 6 |  | 18 | 2 | 19 | 36 | 2 | 2 | - | 532 |
| Christıans | 19 | 65 | 1 | 13 | 1 | - |  | 1 | - | 8 | 9 | - | 1 | - | 118 |
| Parsis a Jews | 1 | 17 | 2 | 3 | - | - |  | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |  | 30 |
| TOTAL | 413 | 1937 | 279 | 1085 | 66 | 41 |  | 100 | 22 | 234 | 277 | 22 | 49 | 4 | 4529 |

had husband's relation in the family and 96 families with female heads had parents in their families. In other family types, there were only a few cases.

A comparison with the 1937 Survey shows that the composition of the society according to family type had remained unchanged. The unimember families with male heads showed a decline of 2 per cent in the present survey. Similarly a slight fall was noted in the family types 2 and 3. The difference was made up in the distribution of families with female heads. In the 1937 Survey about 13 per cent families had female heads whereas in the comparable wards in the present survey there were 16 per cent such families.

The proportion of families reporting female heads was near about the general average of 16 per cent in Marathas, Artisan Groups I and II and Other Hindus. It was about 20 per cent among Depressed classes and Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs. Only 4 per cent families of Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains reported families with female heads. Parsis and Jews also reported only 10 per cent such families.

Among Depressed classes, Artisan Groups I and II and Muhommedans, the proportion of unimember families was about 9 per cent or less whereas it was as high as 15 per cent among Christians and Other Hindus. The other community groups had an average in between these two.

The largest group of families in all community groups belonged to the second family type consisting of a male with or without wife and with or without children though the proportion of families of this type in each community varied from 53 per cent among Parsis to 38 per cent among Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs.
14. Domestic Servants* :-A few questions in the questionnaire were designed to collect information regarding domestic servants employed by the sample families, the type of work done by them, the methods and rate of payment to them, etc. Of the 5,601 families in the sample only 1,213 or 22 per cent employed a total of 1,413 servants. Of these, 1,078 employed one each, 97 two each, 37 three to five servants each and one employed 7 servants. Abou: 24 per cent families in the sample of the first group of wards and "14 per cent in the additional group of wards employed domestic servants. In the 1937 Survey, 17 per cent of the sample families were found to be employing domestics. This would indicate that in the present survey the proportion of families employing domestic ser-

[^18]vants had gone up. This is true but data presented further on indicate that there was a significant change also in the composition of domestic servants employed at the time of the two surveys. A special tabulation made of the 1937 data showed that 20 per cent of the total domestic servants employed by sample families were fulltime servants and the rest, part-time. The corresponding proportions in the comparable area in the present survey were 11 per cent and 89 per cent respectively. So that a larger proportion of families did employ domestics in 1954 than in 1937 but among those so employed the proportion of part-timers was markedly higher than in 1937.

The proportion of families employing domestic servants in the present survey, varied between the wards. In the economically better-off wards such as Shivajinagar, Sadashiv, Shanwar and Narayan as much as 40 per cent of the families living in, each of them engaged domestics. Their proportion was less than 5 per cent in poor wards like Mangalwar, Nagesh, Ghorpade and Gultekadi, Yeravada, Wakadewadi, etc. In the Poona Cantonment and Erandavana. 30 per cent of the sample families engaged domestic servants. Only 12 per cent did so in Kirkee Cantonment. In other areas in the additional group of wards, the percentage of such families was quite low.

Table 1.26 presents the distribution of sample families employing domestic servants by their income and the number of parttime and full-time, male and female, servants employed by them.

TABLE No. 1.26.
Familues employing domestic servants clasinfied by income and the no. of domestic servants employed.

| Family Income Rs. | No. of famulies employing them | No. of domestic servants employed by them. <br> Part-tme Full-time Total <br> Male Female Male Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto Rg. 250 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 251-500 | 15 | - | 14 | - | 1 | 15 |
| 601-1,000 | 78 | 4 | 73 | 8 | 1 | 81 |
| 1,001-2,000 | 359 | 7 | 344 | 9 | 11 | 371 |
| 2,001-3,500 | 365 | 8 | 362 | 9 | 13 | 392 |
| 3,501-5,000 | 204 | 10 | 201 | 28 | 19 | 258 |
| 5,001-7,500 | 96 | 3 | 87 | 18 | 17 | 125 |
| 7,501-10,000 | 33 | 4 | 35 | 6 | 3 | 48 |
| 10,001 \& above | 44 | 9 | 33 | 37 | 21 | 100 |
| Not stated | 18 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| TOTAL | 1213 | 48 | 1167 | 111 | 87 | 1413 |

It will be noticed from the table that a majority of the servants were employed by families having an annual income above Rs. 1,000 . Of the total families in the present Survey 46 per cent had an income up to Rs. 1,000 . Out of them hardly 4 per cent employed domestic servants. Excepting one family which employed 2 domestic servants, all the remaining employed only one servant and that too part-time in most of the cases. 9 families employed 2 servants each and one family, 3 servants.

The proportion of families employing domestic servants increased in the higher income groups. 50 per cent of the families with an income between Rs. 2,001 and Rs. 3,500 had domestic servants. Where income was between Rs. 3,501 and Rs. 5,000 the proportion of such families increased to 72 per cent and in the still higher income ranges it increased to more than 80 per cent. It would be expected that in the higher income groups all the families would have at least one part-time domestic servant. But in some cases it so happened that the servants engaged in the family business or the office boys working under the head of the family did some domestic work and as such these were not declared as domestic servants.

The proportion of families employing more than one servant increased in the higher income groups. For instance in the 4th income range of the total families who employed domestic servants 3 per cent had more than one servant each; in the next income range this proportion increased to 6 per cent; in the remaining four higher income ranges it was 15 per cent, 22 per cent, 30 per cent and 50 per cent respectively in that order.

It was noted earlier that about 21 per cent of the total families engaged domestic servants. However, within different community groups, the proportion varied considerably. For instance only 5 per cent of the Maratha families had domestic servants whereas among Brahmins the proportion exceeded 60 per cent. Among Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs the proportion was about 40 per cent. The highest proportion was, however, found in the Parsi community where about 87 per cent of the families engaged domestic servants. In all other communities the proportion was lower than the general average. It was the lowest amongst De pressed classes; only 0.3 per cent of the Depressed class families had domestic servants. Among Other Hindus also the proportion was low. About 10 per cent of the Muslim families engaged domestic servants. We have seen above that Brahmins, Gujarati-MarwadiJains, Parsis and Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs had higher family incomes than the other communities. The employment of domestics depends very materially on the economic condition of the family.

The distribution of families in different communities who employed domestic servants only reflects their relative economic position.

Table 1.27 gives the distribution of domestic servants engaged by types of work. Out of 1,413 domestic servants, more than 90 per cent were employed for household work like cleaning utensils, washing clothes, etc. Out of these again, hardly 10 per cent were full-time servants. In the remaining cooks formed an important group, a majority of whom were employed full-time. Motor drivers, gardeners, ayahs, sweepers, butlers and those engaged for miscellaneous services, formed a very low proportion in the total. Part-time servants were mainly females who mainly did the work of washing clothes and cleanning vessels during their spare time to supplement the family income. On the other hand among the fulltime domestic servants males outnumbered females.

TABLE No. 1.27.
Domestic servants elassified by the nature of their work.

| Nature of work | Part-time |  | Full-time |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Cleaning utensils, washing clothes, etc. 30 |  | 1143 | 78 | 62 | 108 | 1205 |
| Cooks | 4 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 17 | 40 |
| Motor Drivers | 1 | - | 7 | - | 8 | - |
| Gardeners | 4 | - | 7 | - | 11 | - |
| Ayahs | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| Sweepers | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Butlers | 1 | - | 3 | - | 4 | - |
| Clerks | 2 | $\square$ | - | - | 2 | - |
| Nurses | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| Sundry work | 5 | - | 3 | - | 8 | - |
| TOTAL' | 48 | 1167 | 111 | 87 | 159 | 1244 |

Table 1.28 presents information regarding the monthly cash salary received by part-time and full-time domestic servants together with other emoluments. Of the 1,215 part-time servants employed by families in the sample, 94 per cent received cash salary only. The remaining received besides cash, payment in kind by way of boarding and lodging from the employer. Slightly more than 91 per cent of the domestic servants receiving cash salaries only, got between Re. 1 and Rs. 10 each. In the total domestic servants, 89 per cent received between Rs. 1 and Rs. 10 per month. As may be expected only about 4 per cent of the total part-time servants getting a salary between Re. 1 and Rs. 10 per month received something

TABLE No. 1.28
$\stackrel{\circ}{8}$
Monthly salary (cash) and other emolumentè received by part-time and full-time Domestic Servants.

| Monthly <br> Salary <br> Rs. |  | Part-time Servants |  |  |  |  | employed by sample families |  |  | Full-time Servants. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | One meal a day |  | Cash salary only |  | Monthly Salary <br> Rs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 霝 } \\ & \text { M. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 26 | 27 | -1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 |
| 1-2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 171 | 173 | 1-2 | - | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | 2 | 175 |
| $2-3$ | - | - | - | - | - | 198 | 198 | 2-3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 199 |
| 3-4 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 121 | 124 | 3-4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 124 |
| 4-5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 179 | 189 | 4-5 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | 194 |
| 5-6 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 111 | 114 | 5-6 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 115 |
| 6-7 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 69 | 71 | 6-7 | 1 | - | 3 | $\square$ | - | 2 | 6 | 77 |
| 7-8 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 74 | 79 | 7-8 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 82 |
| 8-9 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 16 | 8-9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| 9-10 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 78 | 92 | 9-10 | 1 | 1 | 13 | - | - | 4 | 19 | 111 |
| 11-15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 79 | 85 | 11-15 | 5 | - | 18 | 2 | - | 11 | 36 | 121 |
| 16-20 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 10 | 20 | 16-20 | 8 | 2 | 18 | - | 1 | 12 | 41 | 61 |
| 21-25 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 21-25 | 6 | 2 | 9 | - | - | 6 | 23 | 28 |
| 26-45 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 12 | 26-45 | - | 7 | 15 | - | - | 16 | 38 | 50 |
| 46 \& above | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 6 | 46 \& above | 5 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 5 | 15 | 21 |
| Not stated | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | , 4 | Not stated | - | - | 5 | - | - | 3 | 8 | 12 |
| Total | 32 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 1140 | 1215 | Total | 28 | 15 | 92 | 2 | 1 | 60 | 198 | 1413 |

CHANGING PATTERN
besides that from their employers. As against these 27 per cent of the part-time domestic servants who received more than Rs. 11 per month received something besides their salaries from their employers. Nearly three-fourths of the domestic servants, receiving less than Rs. 10 per month, got between Rs. 2 and Rs. 6 each per month. Of the 75 who got something besides cash salaries from their employers, about 45 per cent got free board, 13 per cent got one meal daily, 21 per cent recelved free boarding and lodging and 20 per cent free lodging. The part-time servants who got more than Rs. 10 per month as cash salary and free lodging and/or boarding, were more like fulltime than part-time servants.

Of the 198 full-time domestic servants employed by sample families only 30 per cent received money salaries only; all others received something in addition. Only about 18 per cent of the total full-time servants received less than Rs. 10 per month but most of them received something in addition to cash emoluments. Of the 37 in this class only 7 got cash payment; 24 gòt free lodging and boarding, 4 free meals and 2 free lodging. Four-fifths of the fulltime domestic servants received more than Rs. 10 each per month. Only one-third of them received nothing besides their cash salary. Nearly 70 per cent of the full-time domestic servants received between Rs. 11 and Rs. 45 each per month. Of the 138 full-time domestic servants who were not paid cash salaries only 67 per cent got free boarding and lodging from their employers, 20 per cent free boarding and about 11 per cent free lodging.
15. A Note on Students :-As in the 1937 Survey the cases of students residing by themselves included in the sample, were separately grouped and classified. A total of 63 such cases were recorded. Of these 11 were found living as two families, one containing 6 and the other 5 members. Persons in each of them were brothers and sisters. All of them were educands. They had come to Poona for education and for the sake of convenience all the brothers and sisters stayed together. They received regular remittances from their parents who lived out of Poona. The eldest member among them was 22 years old and the youngest was 8 years old.

In the 1937 Survey cases of students residing by themselves had numbered 135. As all of the 63 cases in the present survey were enumerated in the area covered in the 1937 Survey the figures are comparable. Taking into account the sample size of the two surveys the proportion of such cases in the total sample in both the surveys was broadly similar.

In Table 1.29 is presented all the available information regarding the 63 students in our sample. 19 of them were in schools, one was studying for a diploma and the remaining 43 were in colleges. Among these 43, there were 16 science students, 11 arts students, 6 commerce students and 2 law students. The remaining 8 were studying in the engineering, medical or agricultural colleges.

A little less than half the students were Brahmins, a little less than one-third Marathas and the remaining belonged to other communities. Nearly 80 per cent students were between the ages of 16 and 25 years. About 14 per cent were between 5 and 15 years of age. About 25 per cent had each received remittances of less than Rs. 250 during the previous year and 19 per cent had received between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 each. About 13 per cent had received between Rs. 501 and Rs. 750 each and a further 20 per cent, between Rs. 751 and Rs. 1,000 each. The remaining had each received more than Rs. 1,000 . Nearly 60 per cent of the students came from the Marathi-speaking districts of the Bombay Deccan (excluding Poona), 20 per cent from the Poona district and about 6 per cent from the Konkan districts.

About 60 per cent of the students lived in single rooms i. e. each had a separate room. Nearly 27 per cent lived two in a room. There were 7 cases where 3 students lived in a single room. The remaining were living in rooms each of which contained more than 3 students.

About 24 per cent of the students enjoyed free housing accommodation. About 19 per cent of the students paid rents up to Rs. 2 per month per 50 square feet of living area; about 11 per cent paid up to Rs. 3, about 17 per cent up to Rs. 4. The remaining paid Rs. 5 or more per 50 square feet per month. About 27 per cent of the students had been staying in Poona for less than one year, another 27 per cent for 2 or 3 years and the remaining had been in Poona for 4 years or more.

TABLE No, 1.29.
Classification of Studente in the sample reeiding by themselves.
(All Wards)


| Remittances from outside. <br> (per student in Rs.) <br> Place of origi <br> during the year preceding the survey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Institution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { id } \\ & \text { N } \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | - |  | - |  | 8 8 0 1 1 0 0 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7th Standard | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 8th to 11th 'Standard | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Arts College | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Commerce College | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Science College | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Law College. | -. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| EngineeringMedical \& Agricultural | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Diploma Coursea | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 15 | 12 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 37 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 |

TABLE Na，1．29－（Contd．）
Classification of Students in the sample residing by themselves．

|  | Duration of stay in Poona |  |  |  |  | No．of studenta in a room． |  |  |  |  |  | Rent per 50 sq．ft． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Institution | $\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{y r} .}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{yrs} . \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{4}{\text { yrs. }}$ | More than 4 yes． | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Б | 6 | $\underset{1}{\mathrm{Re} .}$ | $\underset{2}{\mathrm{Rs}_{2}}$ | $\underset{3}{\mathrm{Rs}}$ | Rs. | $\underset{5}{\mathrm{Rs}}$ |  |  | \＄ | 号 |  |
| Up to 7th Standard | － | － | 1 | － | 4 | － | 8 | 2 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 5 |
| 8th to 11th Standard | 2 | 2 | 4 | － | 6 | 2 | 9 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 8 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 7 | 1 | 14 |
| Arts College | 4 | 1 | － | － | 6 | 10 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | 4 | 1 | 1 | － | 11 |
| Commerce College | 1. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2. | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 6 |
| Science College | 8 | 3 | 1 | － | 4 | 12 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | 8 | 2 | 4 | － | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 16 |
| Law College | － | － | － | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| Engineering－ ，Medical \＆ Agricultural | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | － | 4 | － | 1 | 8 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 4 | － | 8 |
| Diploma Courses |  | － | － | － | i | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| Total | 17 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 22 | 36 | 17 | 7 | 3 | － | － | 1 | 11 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 2 | ． 15 | ${ }^{1} 3$ | 63 |

## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER I.

GOKHALE INSTITUTE OF POLITICS \& ECONOMICS, POONA 4.
Resurvey of Poona, 1954.

Peth (Ward)
House No.
Name of the Street:-............

Date :.................. Signature of the Investigator : .

## HEAD OF THE FAMILY.

1. Name :-
2. Religion, Caste \& Sub-caste:
3. Mother tongue:
4. Family Resident in Poona
-For generations,
Permanently or Temporarily?
5. How many years have you been staying in Poona?
6. What was your previous place of residence? (Village, Taluka, District, etc.)
7. What was your Occupation then?

## 8. Causes of migration to Poona:

9. Place of your father's residence (Village, Talukas.

District, etc.) and his occupation:
10. Place of your grandfather's residence (Village, Taluka, District, etc.) and his occupation:

NOTES:

|  | POPULATION |  |  | 111 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EARNERS IN THE FAMILY <br> (Resident in Poona) <br> (Including unemployed) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

11. Name.
12. Relation to the Head of the family.
13. Sex.
14. Age (Complete years).
15. Education.
16. Marital condition.
17. Main occupation-general
description: Period employed
in present occupation.
(A) FOR THOSE IN SERVICE:
18. Salary payment: Monthly/
fortnightly/weekly/or
any other basis?
19. Employer's name.
20. Nature of work,
21. Place of work.
22. Method of payment.
23. Rate of payment.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

24. How long have you been in present employment?
25. Earnings during last month.
26. Total Earnings during last
year.
$\qquad$
$h$
27. Subsidiary occupation, if any:
28. Income from the subsidiary
occupation during last year.
(B) IF IN CASUAL OR DAILY EMPLOYMENT:
29. Nature of work.
30. Employer's name.
31. Place of work.
32. Method of payment.
33. Rate of payment.
34. No. of days at work during last month.
35. Working days lost due to sickness or other personal reasons-during last month.

|  | POPULATION |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

36. No. of days taken off voluntarily
during last month.
37. No. of days on which employment could not be obtained during last month.
38. Earnings during last month.
39. Earnings during last year.
40. Subsidary occupation if any:
41. Income during last year from
the subsidiary occupation.
(C) INDEPENDENT TRADER, ARTISAN, PROFESSIONAL (Not employing paid workers)
42. Nature of work.
43. Place of work.
44. Do you work on your own account or to order from customers?
45. Type of customers, if you work to order.
46. Method of payment.

## F... 8

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47. Rate of payment. |  |  |  |  |

48. No. of days at work during last month.
49. No. of working days lost due to sickness or other personal reasons during last month.
50. No. of days taken off voluntarily during last month.
51. No. of days on which employment could not be obtained dur-
ing the last month.
52. Earnings during last month.
53. Estimated earnings during a month, when there is enough work.
54. Estimated earnings during last year.
55. Subsidiary occupation if any,
56. Income from the subsidiary occupation during last year.
(D) INDEPENDENT ENTREPRENEUR EMPLOYEROWNER OF SHOP, FACTORY, ETC.
57. Nature of work.
58. No. of employees during last
month.
59. Earnings during last month.
1
60. Earnings during last year.
61. No. of employees during last year.
62. Subsidiary occupation, if any.
63. Income from subsidiary occupation during last year.

## ABOUT THE UNEMPLOYED IN

THE FAMILY.
64. Continuously unemployed from
what date?
65. Occupation prior to lose of em-
ployment.
66. Causes of loss of employment.
67. Have you registered at the Em-
ployment Exchange? If not, why?
68. Are you prepared to leave Poona if you get employment elsewhere in your previous occupation.
69. Do you wish to continue your old occupation or do you wish to change it? If you wish to change it, please state reasons for such a change.
70. Are you prepared to undertake training for the new occupation? Is it possible for you to do so from the financial point of view?
71. Do you want employment only in Poona or are you prepared to go outside?

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

72. Would you prefer to go to a vil-
lage or to another city?

NON-EARNING MEMBERS OF
THE FAMILY (LOCAL):
73. Sex.
74. Age (Complete years).
75. Relation with the head of the family.
76. Marital condition.
77. Education-Educands.
78. Education-Non-Educands.

MEMBERS OF THE FAMILY NOT RESIDENT IN POONA CITY.
79. Sex.
80. Age (Complete years).
81. Relation with the head of the family.
82. Marital condition.
83. Education.
84. Earner/non-barner.
85. Earner's Occupation. ,

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

86. Earnings during last year.
87. Do non-resident members of the
family send any money to you?
If so, total receipts during
last year.

- 

88. Do you send any money to nonresident members of the family? If so total remittances during last year.
89. Place where non-resident mem-
bers stay at present (Village, Taluka, District, etc.)
90. Year in which the non-resident members left Poona.
91. Did they leave Permanently or Temporarily?

## INCOME OF THE FAMILY:

92. Total earnings during last year of local earners.

OTHER INCOME OF THE
FAMILY DURING LAST YEAR:
93. Remittances from non-resident
family members during last
year.
94. Income from land.
95. House rent.
96. Interest, bonus, profits, etc.
97. Other unearned income.
98. Total earnings of family during
last year.

NOTES:-
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## LIVING ACCOMODATION:

99. Owned or rented? If rented monthly rent and period of residence in the tenement.
100. Total family members.
101. No. of rooms.
102. Totel residential area (Sq. ft.).
103. Is there a separate bathroom in the tenement? If not, No. of families and No. of bathrooms in the building used by them.
104. Is there a separate Latrine in the tenement? If not, No. of families and No. of latrines in the building used by them.
105. Is there a separate water tap in the tenement? If not, No. of families and No. of taps in the building used by them.

DOMESTIC SERVANTS
106. Domestic servants if any, nature of their work.
107. Sex.
108. Daily hours of work.
109. Salary or rate of payment.
110. Do they lodge with you?
111. Do they board with you?

## RESURVEY OF POONA (1954)

Investigators will be supplied with a list of names and addresses of families for each of which they have to fill in a questionnaire by visiting them. This list has been extracted from the list of ration-card holders at the Rationing Office. In some cases, when a person from outside comes to Poona and applies for a ration card, he is treated as the head of a separate family by the Rationing Authorities in Poona even though he may be living with a family. In such cases, the person in the list supplied to the investigators will be found to be really a member of a family and not its "head". If the investigators come across such cases they should fill in the following information about the person in the list supplied by us in the blank space on the first page of the questionnaire.
(a) Name
(b) Relation to the head of the family with whom he resides;
(c) Year and month in which he came to Poona.

After filling in this information, the investigator should fill in a questionnaire for the family in which the person in the list resides.

When a family in the list is not found at the given address, the investigators should fill in a questionnaire in respect of the family which is staying in the same tenement (in which the family in the list was formerly staying) at the time of investigation. He should also collect the following information about the family in the list and this should be written in the blank space on the first page.
(x) Name of the head of the family :
(y) Whether the family has left Poona permanently?
(z) Present address of the family, if it has shifted elsewhere in Poona. The investigators should try to get the address of that family from the neighbouring families, ration-shop, post office, etc. If the address cannot be ascertained from any of these sources, the investigators should inform our officers immediately.

No questionnaire is to be filled in (except getting information about items $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ ) when nobody is residing in the tenement formerly occupied by the family in the list.

A separate questionnaire is to be filled in for each family: Information about every member of the family will appear in some
block or other. No information is to be collected about persons who have temporarily come to Poona as guests. (The period of their stay in Poona may be 8-15 days or even a month). Such persons as are not family relations but stay permanently in the family should be included. Paying guests lodging and/or boarding in the family should be included. In such cases write "paying guest" against Qu. 12 in respect of the person concerned. If a group of persons (not necessarily related to each other) are residing, in one tenement and running a common kitchen, then the person in whose name the house-rent receipt is given should be treated as the head of the family. In such cases, write "room-partner" against Qu. 12 in the case of remaining members of the group.

While noting the Peth (Ward), house number and name of the street the investigators should also write the house-owner's name.
Q. 1. Name-The name should be the full name of the head of the family (male or female).
Q. 2. Cast and Sub-caste-Under this heading details of caste should be given. In the case of non-Hindus, other Sectional differences, if any, such as Catholic, Bohra, Pathan, etc. should be noted.
Q. 4-10 These questions will enable us to know whether the family has migrated to Poona permanently or temporararily, the occupation in which the head of the family is engaged, etc.
In Q. 4, three classes are mentioned. The investigators should ascertain the class to which the respondent belongs. The three classes are (i) Resident in Poona for $2-3$ generations, (ii) Migrated permanently to Poona during this generation, (iii) Migrated temporarily, (a) for 2-4 years for service, education, etc. or (b) for 4-6 months. Q. 5 to 10 are not applicable to those who are residents of Poona for generations. However, information about the occupation of their fathers and grand-fathers is to be noted. Qus. 9 and 10 will throw light on the occupational mobility between 3 generations. In the case of a married female being the head of a family, information about her father-in-law and grand-father-in-law is to be noted afid not about her father and grandfather. Also mention her husband's place of residence and his occupation.
Q. 8 :-Reasons for coming to Poona-Write whichever of the following is applicable (1) No office jobs in villages, (2) Not enough land for cultivation, (3) Studies of children, (4) For his or her own studies, (5) For urban amenities, (6) Transferred to Poona, (7) Refugee, (8) other reasons-give details.

Earners in the family, resident in Poona including unemployed :-Earners as well as those family members who normally earn but are, at the time of visit, out of employment due to illness, loss of employment, etc. should be nated here.
Q. 12 :-Enter the relation of the person concerned to the head of the family (i.e. with the person in Q. No. 1).
Q. 15 :-In the case of persons who (1) have received some school education, the standard upto which education has been received should be noted. In the case of degree or diploma holders these should be noted. .(2) Those who have not attended school but can read and write, should be entered as literates. (3) In the case of those who cannot read and write enter as illiterates. In the case of educand-earners, enter the class in which they are studying.
Q. 16 :-Note whether married, unmarried, widow or widower.
Q. 17-6.3 :-This is the most important set of questions relating to the earners, their occupations and earnings, the nature of their work, etc. The investigators should follow the following instructions very carefully.
Q. 17 :-Give brief but accurate description of the occupation of the person, such as clerk in Agricultural Department, manufacturer of brass-ware, clerk in a grocer's shop, domestic servant, carpenter in a furniture workshop, etc. For other part of the question "How long have you practised this occupation?" write the total number of years over which the person is following the present occupation.

For example, a carpenter is working in a furniture workshop for the past two years and if he was practising as a carpenter during a period of 8 years previous to that, the duration of the present occupation should be entered as 10 years or if an individual has worked as a clerk for 15 years and as a headclerk for 5 years he should be entered as being in the occupation for 20 years. Give details where possible. Write "Unemployed" if the person is without a job.
Q. 18-68 have been divided inta four blocks in $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D. A and B relate to employees. Persons in salaried service of some kind permanent, monthly etc, will fall in class "A". In block " $B$ " persons with casual or daily employment are to be noted. Block "C" deals with independent traders, professionals, artisans, etc. who do not employ any paid labour outside their family. Block " D " deals with independent entrepreneurs, owners of shops, factories, etc. who employ one or more persons outside their own family in their business.
Q. 17 :-After getting a general but correct idea about the occupation of a person the investigators should decide to which of the 4 blocks, viz. A, B, C and D the person belongs. In no case will an individual fall in more than one block. However, different members of the same family may fall in different blocks.
Q. 18 :-If a respondent definitely states that he is permanently employed write "Permanent Service". If he is temporarily employed write "Temporary Service"-monthly, fortnightly etc. whatever is applicable.
Q. 19 \& $30:-$ Write the name of the employer, firm, etc. write the number of employers and not their names, if the person is serving at more than one place simultaneously.
Q. 21, 31, 43 :-Write the place of work. If it is in his house write accordingly. If it is elsewhere (which may be a work-shop, factory, etc.) state where it is, the distance between it and his residence. State also whether the person goes to work by foot, bicycle, motorbus, car, local train, etc.
Q. 20, 29, 42 and 57 :-Give details about the work that the person himself does.
Q. 22, 32, 46 :-Write whether payment is received in cash or in kind, and when?-monthly, weekly, daily, piece payment, etc. whatever is applicable. In Q. 46 contract work will be an additional category.
Q. 23, 33, 47 :-Enter the time, rate of payment such as daily, monthly, etc. as the case may be. As far as possible show separately basic salary, dearness allowance, house rent allowance, night shift allowance, travelling and daily allowance, etc. If possible, show Bonus and Provident fund contribution of the employer, if any. In Q. 47, the rate at which contractor's services are made available will have to be entered.
Q. 24 :-Write how long the person has been in the present employment.

Block B-Q. 34, 35, 36 and 37 and Block C,-Q. 48, 49, 50 and 51 :-Details about work in the previous month. Total number of days in the four questions in every group must not exceed the number of days in the previous month to be counted according to the English calendar. (If it is April- 30 days, May 31 days, June- 30 days).
Q. 27, 40,55,62:-If the person is continuously engaged in one or more subsidiary occupations in addition to his main occupation, give details about it.
Q. 25, 26; 38, 39; 52,54; 59, 60 :-These questions relate to monthly and annual income. Monthly and yearly earnings of salaried persons can be easily calculated by multiplying monthly earnings by 12. This will be also easy in the case of those who receive daily wages or weekly wages, or those who are being paid by piece rates though the latter will involve a somewhat more complicated calculations. Adequate and carefully ascertained deductions for non-working days (illness, leave, etc.) should be made in all these calculations. Seasonal fluctuations in rates of payment also will have to be taken into account. The investigators should, therefore, write in blank space at the botiom of each page, in detail how the annual income was calculated in each case.
Q. 64-72 :-These questions relate to the unemployed members of the family and deal with the period of unemployment, occupation prior to loss of employment, willingness to enter into a new occupation, etc. In the case of the unemployed, questions 18 to 63 will not apply. Only questions 64 to 72 will apply.
Q. 66 :-Causes of unemployment-enter whichever of the following is applicable. (1) Retrenchment, (2) business slump, (3) unqualified, (4) Other reasons. Give details.
Q. 67 :-Reasons for not registering with Employment Exchange such as (1) Never heard of Employment Exchange, (2) No use registering with it, (3) Could not be registered for the job wanted, (4) Other reasons. Give details. Write whatever is applicable.
Q. 69 :-State why a change in the nature of job is desired. Write whatever is applicable (1) Interest, (2) Recently qualified for it, (3) better remuneration, (4) Other reasons.
Q. 78-78-Non-earning members of the family:-Under this should be noted those who are unable to work (children, old persons, etc.) and those who are able to work but do not work and also are not seeking work. Non-earners, not related to the family but supported by it should also be entered here. Unemployed persons do not fall in this class since they actively look for work.
Q. 77 :-State the standard, class, etc. in case of educands.
Q. 78 :-Same instructions as for Q. 15.

Members of family not residing in Poona :-Include only family members. Do not include family relations who stay separately. In an undivided family, all members residing out of Poona are to be included in this group.
Q. 87-88:-Write purposes for which money is received or sent e.g. education, household, repayment of loan, etc.
Q. 89 :-If permanently staying outside Poona, write the name of the place and also "permanently" after that.
Q. 92-98-Earnings of the family :-Total receipts from main as well as subsidiary occupations, remittances from outside, income from land, house rent, interest, unearned income and income tax refund, lottery-prizes should be noted here. All these items are included in annual earnings.

NOTES :-Space has been provided for writing notes where necessary.
Q. 99-105-Living accommodation. Q. 101 :-Exclude such places as are unfit for habitation as also those that are not so used, such as bathrooms, latrines, etc. Include in the total area, places like verandahs etc. which are in use.
Q. 103-105 :-Write total number of taps, bathrooms, latrines in the house in which the family is residing, and how many families share them.

Domestic Servants :-Include those who are in regular employment of the family full-time or. part-time e.g. Maid-servant, Cooli, Gardener and are paid for their work.

If the investigators have any doubts or difficulties, they should write detailed notes in the blank space provided on the first page or on a separate sheet of paper which should be firmly attached to the questionnaire.

## CHAPTER -II

## MIGRATION

1. In-migration:-City populations grow both through an excess of births over deaths and by a net favourable balance of migration and in a majority of cases the latter plays the dominant role. A study of migrations to and from cities is quite important in the analysis of urbanization. Migration, however, is a complicated phenomenon and its analysis and investigation present innumerable difficulties. It is, therefore, necessary to discuss at some length the definitions we adopted in our investigation and the questions that we framed for this purpose.

Migration refers to the phenomenon of the giving up of a habitual residence in a country, region, city, community, etc. and establishing residence in another country, region, cíty, community, etc. As we are here referring to human migration the migrant unit can be a person, a family or a community. ${ }^{1}$ Migration covers two main types of movements: movement into and out of a city, region, community, etc. These are called in-migration and out-migration when they refer to movements within a country. For inter country or international migrations the terms immigration and emigration are used. ${ }^{2}$ Below we deal separately with in-migration and outmigration.

People come into a city for a variety of reasons and stay there over varying lengths of time. There are daily visitors, commuters, temporary and periodic visitors and others who come with the definite intention of making or not making the city their permanent abode. As, in general, by migration is meant a shift of residence of substantial duration not all of the above are considered as in-migrations. For defining in-migration precisely for our purposes we had to settle three questions: (i) the unit of in-migration, (ii) definition of "the habitual" residence which was abandoned, (iii) a somewhat mōre precise definition of "substantial" duration of stay.

[^19](i) In-migration could be studied in respect of a community, a family or a person. Obviously in a survey of families the only choice for us was between the latter two units for the data so gathered could have been aggregated for any desired community. We decided to take the family as the unit for our survey for several reasons. Firstly, one of the main migration differential in urban communities is found to be the 'family' and the 'lone' migrant and an analysis of in-migration in these terms is very valuable for the study of urbanization. ${ }^{1}$ As the family unit could consist of a single member the 'lone' in-migrant was not neglected by the adoption of the family unit. and could be easily separated from the rest. ${ }^{2}$ It was also economical in terms of investigational effort because if a substantial part of the total in-migration was, as we suspected and as it ultimately turned out, in 'family' rather than in 'lone' units, it was a waste of effort to collect information for each individual member in an inmigrant family. Even if we had been wrong in our guess, nothing would have been lost as most of the 'lone' in-migrants would have been adequately covered by our inquiry. Thirdly the 'family' unit commended itself to us because of its convenience for meeting the problems raised by (ii) and (iii) as described below.
(ii) A 'habitual' residence could be defined only by•fixing some arbitrary period of length of stay there of a family. We did not think that a suitable period of this type could be really indicated or precisely defined. We, therefore, decided in favour of a rather vague but significant demographic and social category for this purpose, the generation. Whether a family 'habitually' stayed at a place could be more meaningfully decided on the basis of whether it was staying there for one or more generations. We decided to ask the place of residence of the father and the grand-father of the head of a family in our sample. From this information we could ascertain, in the first place, who migrated to Poona. It could be the ancestors, the grandfather, the father or the head of the family in the sample. We defined an in-migrant family as one whose coming to Poona had been decided upon by the present head of the family. All

1. Cf. Dorothy S. Thomas, Research Memorandum on Migration Differentials, Social Science Research Council, U.S.A., Bulletin 43, 1938, p. 85.
2. The above assumes that uni-member families completely cover the lone migrants. On the whole it is a valid assumption but there is no doubt that it does not cover the 'Ione" migrants who were distant relatives of sample families and lived with them. In our sample, from such data as we have regarding family types, relation categories, etc. we can say that such cases were few. The above definition of a 'lone' in-migrant again covers only those who had come into Poona singly and had remained single up to the time of the survey. Those who came singly but married and raised families in Poona are included in family in-migrants.
the others were treated as residents of Poona for one or two or more generations or as autochthons. Besides the place of residence of the father and the grandfather of the head of the family we gathered information about their occupations too. In the case of families with female heads this information was gathered in respect of their husbands, fathers-in-law and grand-fathers-in-law. These have been put to use for an analysis of occupational mobility between three generations in Chapter VII.
(iii) In defining a stay of 'substantial' duration in the city the question of motive was more important than the determination of some specific period of stáy because a family may stay in a city for 15 to 20 years, by all accounts a' 'substantial' period, but without the intention of making the city their permanent abode. On the other hand, a family staying in the city for a few months or years, may have already decided to stay there permanently. Irrespective of the lengths of their stay the former family had to be treated as a temporary 'in-migrant' and the latter as a permanent 'in-migrant'. We decided to ask directly about the intention of the head of the family in this respect and to classify them as indicated above instead of determining arbitrarily a term of years as constituting 'substantial' duration. ${ }^{1}$ Though we have thus disregarded duration of stay in this connexion we have presented fully below the data regarding the duration of stay of inmigrants; so that if anybody. would like to fix a duration criterion for this purpose he can easily regroup the data in the manner required.

As per these definitions, of the total families in our sample 1,712 or 31 per cent were residents of the city or autochthons; 3,289 or about 59 per cent had migrated into the city from without and intended to stay there permanently; the remaining 600 or about 11 per cent were temporary in-migrants. We treat separately these two classes of in-migrants.

But before doing that, it would be better to dispose of the question of the family-status of the in-migrants. The following statement gives the distribution of the permanent and temporary in-migrant families according to their size.

[^20]|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | No. of persons in the family: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Permanent inmigrant families: | 367 | 436 | 483 | 509 | 484 | 389 | 254 | 156 | 88 | 123 | 3289 |
| Temporary inmigrant families: | 123 | 92 | 94. | 91 | 80 | 52 | 32 | 16 | 13 | 7 | 600 |

For all practical purposes the 'lone' migrants can be identified as the uni-member families in the above. Among the permanent in-migrant families about 11 per cent were unimember families. Their proportion among the temporary in-migrant families was about 20 per cent. It was 8 in the families of autochthons. The proportion of 'lone' in-migrants was thus small atmong autochthons and higher in the permanent inmigrants. It was more sizeable among the temporary in-migrants as may be expected but even so not very high. The in-migrants were predominantly family units rather than 'lone' individuals.

We next attempted to find out whether the proportion of 'lone' to family in-migrants had changed during the last 16 years. In the following the uni-member in-migrant families are classified according to the duration of their stay in Poona. The proportion they bore to the total number of families in each of the duration categories is also shown :

|  | Duration of stay in Poona : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three mths. |  | 1 year | 2 yrs. | $3 \mathrm{yrs}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-5 } \\ & \text { yrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6-10 } \\ & \text { yrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11-15 } \\ & \text { yrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \& \\ \text { more } \\ \text { yrs. } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Uni-member in-migrant families Temporary | 10 | 8 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 25 | 21. | 1 | 3 | 123 |
| $\%$ total in the class |  | \% |  | 30 |  | 21\% | 14.5\% | 1\% | 9\% |  |
| Permanent | 1 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 14 | 48 | 79 | 58 | 138 | 867 |
| \% total in the class |  | \% |  | 19.5 |  | 22\% | 10\% | 8\% | 10\% |  |

It is quite clear from this that before and during the war the proportion of 'lone' in-migrants to the total was quite low. It has, however, rather sharply increased since 1949 among both the teriporary and the permanent in-migrants. Nevertheless;, the evidence
adduced so far doubtless indicates that in-migration in Poona was and continues predominantly to be of the family-type.
2. Permanent in-migrants:-In the following the information regarding these is presented after first classifying them according to the duration of their stay in Poona city. This has been done mainly to bring out the characteristics of in-migrants who came into the city in different periods during the past 15 years i.e. since the beginning of World War II in 1939. Those who had come into the city before 1939 are put into one single class. Of the permanent inmigrants 40 per cent belonged to this' class. Another 45 per cent had migrated to Poona between 1939 and 1949, about half of them upto 1944. More than 6 per cent had c̣ome between 1949 and 1951 and the rest after -1951. The average annual rate of flow of inmigrants into the city during the different periods since 1939 had broadly speaking, remained steady during the period up to the present survey.
2.1 Place of Origin : Table 2.1 gives the distribution of permanent in-migrants according to the place of their origin and the duration of their stay in Poona. The places of origin have been grouped conveniently into 8 regions.

Of the total permanent in-migrants nearly 28 per cent had come from the Poona district which surrounds the city. A further 26 per cent had come from the Marathi-speaking districts of the Bombay Dedcan. The next largest group of nearly 15 per cent was from the districts of Konkan and 11 per cent from Madhya Pradesh, Madhya Bharat, etc. Only 6 per cent came from the Karnatak districts of Bombay State and about 9 per cent from Mysore, Hyderabad and Madras, etc. (Less than 3 per cent came from the Gujarati-speaking districts of Bombay.)

Looking at the figures duration-wise it would appear that the. share of the Poona district had fluctuated widely between 30 and 18 per cent during 1939-1954. The pre-1939 percentage of more than 33 went down continuously from 1939 but very rapidly after 1944 to 18 and recovered after 1952 but the pre-1939 level had not been attained at the time of our survey. On the other hand, the share of the Marathi-speaking districts of the Bombay State and the shares of Madhya Pradesh, Madhya Bharat, etc., increased over the pre-1939 share. The share of Hyderabad, Mysore, Madras increased after 1951. The contribution of the Konkan districts fluctuated but did not show any trend. The Karnatak districts did not vary the proportion of their contribution throughout the period. A large part of the permanent in-migrants continued to be derived from the Poona district, the Marathi speaking areas of Bombay and F... 9

## TABLE No. 2.1.

Permanent In-migrant. families in the sample classified according to the place of their origin and the Duration of their stay in Poona.

|  | Duration of Stay in Poona |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Place of Residence | $-\mathbf{3}$ <br> months | $\stackrel{-6}{\text { months }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-one } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+2}{- \text { years }}$ | $\stackrel{-3}{\text { years }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { to } 5 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 to 15 years | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { years } \\ & \& \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | Not stated | Total |
| Poona District | 3 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 21 | 41 | 156 | 220 | 450 | 1 | $92 \dot{4}$ |
| Ahmednagar, Satara, Khandesh, Sholapur \& Nasik | 3 | 3 | 13 | 22 | 21 | 61 | 210 | 217 | 319 | - | 869 |
| Bijapur, Belgaum, <br> . Dharwar \& Karwar | - | - | 2 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 46 | 54 | 61 | - | 189 |
| Ratnagiri, Kolaba, Thana, \& Bombay City | - | 4 | 5 | ; 11 | 9 | 30 | 105 | 103 | 211 | 1 | 479 |
| Surat, Barodar Broach, etc. | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 41 | - | 79 |
| Hyderabad, Mysore, Madras \& South India | - | $1{ }^{*}$ | 2 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 82 | 48 | 107 | 1 | 285 |
| Madhya Bharat, Madhya Pradesh \& North India |  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 37 | 133 | 70 | 107 | - | 376 |
| Other places | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 9 | - | 25 |
| No fixed place | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 10 | 5 | '18 | - | 37 |
| Not stated | - | - | - | - | - * | - | 1 | - | 15 | 10 | 26 |
| 'Total | 7 | 13 | 46 | 74 | 87 | 216 | . $765{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 730 | 1338 | 13 | 3289 |

from Madhya Pradesh, etc. There were variations between and among these but as a whole they supplied the bulk of the permanent in-migrants to Poona City.
2.2 Mother tongue :-Table 2.2 presents the information regarding the mother tongue of permanent in-migrants classified according to the duration of their stay in Poona.

Looking at total figures, we find that nearly 70 per cent of the permanent in-migrants had Marathi as their mother tongue. The next largest group was that of Urdu-speaking people, mainly Muhommedans, constituting 7 per cent of the total. . Hindi, Gujarati, Telugu and others accounted for about 4 per cent each. The share of those with Kannad as their mother tongue was slightly smaller than that of those with Sindhi as their mother tongue ( 2 per cent).

Looking at in-migrants in different periods, it is clear that the proportion of those with Marathi as the mother tongue had been almost continuously declining since 1939. The proportion of those with Hindi, Telugu and Sindhi as their mother tongue spurted up markedly after 1949. The Sindhi refugees were pouring in everywhere in the country at about this time and the spurt in their number coincided with this. Those with Kannada as their mother tongue slightly increased in proportion during the war period and a little after but that increase could not be maintained later. The position of those with Urdu as their mother tongue showed a slight deterioration. It should be remembered in this connection that of the 416 roporting Urdu as their mother tongue, 412 were Muhommedans. The language class was here really a religious class.
2.3 Causes of Migration to Poona:-Table 2.3 presents the classification of heads of families according to the duration of their stay in Poona and the causes of migration to Poona as reported by the in-migrants themselves. We have only grouped together tho various causes as reported. We have not tried to fit them into a pattern of our own. It will be noticed that a large majority had come to Poona seeking employment or work. Nearly 43 per cent of the total had come to the city for this purpose. Another 27 per cent had come to Poona either because no employment was available in the villages or the land available to them was insufficient. The reasons may slightly differ between these groups but all of them had come to the city seeking employment. Together they amounted to 70 per cent. Besides these about 7 per cent had come to the city to take xdvantage of its educational facilifies. Another miscellaneous group of about 10 per cent had come to the city not of their own volition. Half of these had been transferred by their employers to Poona in

TABLE No. 2.2.
Permanent In-migrant families in the sample classified aocording to their Mother tongue and the Duration of stay in Poona.


TABLE No. 2.3.
Pormanont In-migrant familues in the sample classified according to the reported Causes of Migration and Duration of stay in Poona.

| Causes of Migration - as reported | $\frac{-3}{\text { months }}$ | $\stackrel{-6}{\text { months }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-one } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-2}{\text { years }}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 4 to 5 years | Duration 6 to 10 years. | of Stay 11 to 15 years | n Poona 16 years \& more | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) No jobs in villages | - | - | 2 | 1 | 10 | 21 | 79 | 91 | 107 | - | 311 |
| (2) Not enough land to cultivate | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 77 | 64 | 123 | - | 308 |
| (3) For studies | - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | 17 | 40 | 51 | 133 | - | 248 |
| (4) For urban amenities | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 12 | - | 28 |
| (5) On account of transfer | 2 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 27 | 59 | 75 | 157 | - | 358 |
| (6) Refugees | - | - | 2 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 74 | 2 | 2 | - | 109 |
| (7) Death of husband | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 34 | 1 | 65 |
| (8) Slack business at native place | 1 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 15 | 29 | 124 | 117 | 283 | 1 | 587 |
| (9) For settling in Poona after retiring from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| service | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 6 | 4 | 6 | - | 20 |
| (10) In search of a job | - | 2 | 11 | 19 | 13 | 49 | 209 | 209 | 307 | 1 | 820 |
| (11) To earn their living | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 17 | 64 | 72 | 116 | 1 | 294 |
| (12) For a change in climate | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 10 |
| (13) Loss in business at native place | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| (14) Due to famine | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| (15) On account of death of some family members | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 22 | - | 56 |
| (16) Other reasons - | $\square$ | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 10 | - | 28 |
| (17) Reasons not stated | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 9 | 42 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 13 | 46 | 74 | 87 | 216 | 765 | 730 | 1338 | 13 | 3289 |

the course of their employment, about a quarter were female heads of families who had originally accompanied thētr nusbãđđs, who had been transferred to Poona. These women had become heads of families after the deaths of their husbands. The rest were those that had come to Poona because their fathers or sons had been transferred there. To properly, evaluate these percentages we should recall the mechanism of transfers in government and other jobs. It is based on the sound principle that persons in jobs should not be stationed in one place; that they should be periodically transfered to other places so that they get all types of experiences that are necessary to increase their maturity and efficiency. Under this system, therefore, an employee is not expected to be posted at a place for a very long period. As such in a sample of persons transferred to Poona the proportion of those transferred in the recent past is likely to be much larger than that of those who had been transferred to Poona long ago. The longer a person transferred to Poona lives there, the more liable he becomes to transfer out of Poona. The high proportion among persons transferred to Poona of those with short stay there is mainly a reflection of the way the system of transfers operates. Among the remaining the largest group was that of "refugees" from Pakistan constituting about 3 per cent of the total. Of the rest a majority could not state the reason of their coming to Poona; while others had come because they were married to a person living in Poona, or for medical treatment or to enjoy city life or some, who after retirement, had come to settle down in Poona.

An examination of the data according to the duration of stay in Poona did not show significant variations in different periods in regard to the main bulk of the in-migrants, 70 per-cent-nf-whom came to the city seeking work and employment. Compared to the pre-1939 proportion, however, the proportion of those coming to Poona for education showed an almost continuous decline. From 10 per cent before 1939 it had dwindled down to insignificance during the last 5 years, particularly from 1951. The refugee flood of course capme in after 1947 and ebbed rapidly after 1949. The refugee element was completely absent before 1939. The percentage of those coming to Poona because of transfer was around 3 before 1939. It increased slightly during the war but shot upto 11 per cent between 1949 and 1951 and to 17 per cent after 1952 and continued to be high afterwards though not at the peak level of 1952.

Attempts have been made to classify the causes of migration into "pull" and "push" factors. Pull factors attract migrants outside a region into a city or a region and push factors impell migrants to move out of the home region because of poverty, etc. This classi-
fication is largely unreal because "essentially both a•"push" and a "'pull" are merely the two aspects of the same phenomenon which is the difference in the relative conditions obtaining in two regions bringing about a movement of population from one to the other in spite of costs of transfer. No movement can take place unless there exists a better place to go to (pull) and the phrase 'better conditions outside' logically involves comparatively unfavourable conditions (push) at home. It may perhaps be better to say that it is always a "pull" that acts; for there are no conditions so absolutely unfavourable as will "push" people outside a region if they have nowhere else to go to."1 "It is, therefore, idle to contend that push or pull alone and singly actuate movement. To invoke Marshell's classic image it might as well be disputed which of the blades of a pair of scissors cuts a piece of paper." ${ }^{2}$

The analysis of the causes of migration carried out above illustrates this very well. The 70 per cent of the in-migrants who came to the city to seek employment and work came to Poona because there was not enough work or employment at home and also because Poona offered them larger opportunities and perhaps better ones. In all these cases both push and pull factors were at work obviously. In regard to the rest, the 10 per cent who came to Poona because they or their relatives were transferred to it could not be said to have been either pushed or pulled, unless of course one chooses to describe the act of transfer as a 'push' by the employer. The refugees, of course were "pushed" out but they do not constitute a normal migratory group. The 7 per cent who came to Poona for education may be said to have been 'pulled' to Poona but they were also pushed from their home regions because of the lack of educational facilities there. It is only among the minor groups that it is possible to discuss the dominance of "push" or the "pull" but among major movements they can only be unrealistically separated. "
2.4 Occupation before coming to Poona:-In Table 2.4 is presented the distribution of heads of families according to their occupations ${ }^{8}$ before coming to Poona and the duration of their stay in Poona. The aim is to find out primarily on what occupational personnel did Poona draw during these years for recruiting its permanent in-migrants and to see whether there has been any variation in them in the different periods.

[^21]TABLE No. 2.4.
Distribution of Heads. of Permanent in-migrant families according to their Occupation prior to coming to Poona and the Duration of stay in Poona.

| Occupation prior to coming to Poona | $-3$ months | $\frac{-6}{\text { months }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-one } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-2}{\text { years }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Durati } \\ & -\mathbf{3} \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | of Stay <br> 4 to 5 years | in Poona 6 to 10 years | 11 to 15 years | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { years } \\ & \& \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I • Unskilled Manual Work | - | 1 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 23 | 76 | 92 | 116 | 1 | 337 |
| II Skilled Manual Work | - | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 82 | 65 | 112 | - | 300 |
| III Lowest Professions, Administrative posts, Primary Teachers, ete | - | 1 | - | 7 | 3 | 12 | 35 | 28 | 39 | - | 125 |
| IV Small Business | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 35 | 25 | 67 | - | 151, |
| V Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | - | $\cdots$ | 3 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 47 | 39 | 55 | - | 168 |
| VI Clerks \& Shop Assistants | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 34 | 20 | 19 | - | 98 |
| VII Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers etc. | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 26 | 19. | 23 | - | 92 |
| VIII Medium Business | - | $<$ | - | 2 | 3 | 13 | 34 | 13 | 33. | - | 98 |
| IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 7 | - | 30 |

'IABLE No. 2.4-(Contd.)
Distribution of Heads of Permanent in-migrant families according to their Occupation prior to coming to Poona and the Duration of stay in Poona.

| Occupation prior to coming to Poona |  | $\stackrel{-3}{\text { months }}$ | $\stackrel{-6}{\text { months }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-one } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-2}{\text { years }}$ | $\stackrel{-3}{\text { years }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { to } 5 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 to 15 years | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { years } \\ & \text { \& more } \end{aligned}$ | Not Stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops etc. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 7 |
| XI | Pensioners | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| XIV | Cultivators | *3 | 2 | 11 | 19 | 26 | 36 | 167 | 149 | 256 | - | 669 |
|  | Salaried work-details not stated | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 5 | 25 | 33 | 32 | - | 101 |
|  | Business-details not stated | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | - | 11 |
| XIII | Unemployed | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | - | 8 |
|  | Educands | - | - | - | 4 | 7 | 39 | 116 | 144 | 276 | $\cdots$ | 586 |
|  | Non-earners | 2 | - | 7 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 73 | 83 | 265 | 3 | 459 |
|  | Not stated | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 9 | 45 |
|  | TOTAL | 7 | 13 | 46 | 74 | 87 | 216 | 765 | 730 | 1338 | 13 | 3289 |

Before the war-pre 1939-about 28 per cent came from agriculture and other unskilled manual occupations, a further 20 per cent from educands, 12 per cent from those who were non-earners because they were well off, 8 per cent from skilled occupations, nearly the 'same from domestic work and 4 per cent from highly skilled occupations. Looking at variations in these proportions in the different periods following 1939, it will be observed that the proportion of those coming from agriculture and unskilled manual work increased slightly during 1939-49, declined slightly during the following two years, and shot up to 40 per cent or so during the following years. Similarly the proportions in Grade III-Lowest professions, and Grade VI-Clerks and shop assistants showed an increasing trend. The proportions of those coming from skilled and highly skilled occupations and domestic work fluctuated little. In contrast the proportion drawn from educands declined sharply after 1944 and had declined to almost nothing during the years preceeding the survey.
2.5 Occupation prior to coming to Poona and at the time of the Survey:-A comparison of the occupations of in-migrants into Poona before coming there and at the time of the survey throws interesting light on an aspect of occupational mobility. . Here is the essense of the process of urbanization and a close study of it is necessary. Table 2.5 gives the distribution of heads of families according to their present occupational grade and their occupational grade before coming to Poona.

An examination of the percentage occupational distribution of heads of families at the two points of time shows that the percentage of educands and those who were not working because they were well off, was 18 and 7 per cent respectively before coming to Poona and had at the time of the survey declined into insignificance. The proportion of those engaged in agriculture and unskilled manual work before coming to Poona had declined to a small extent at the time of the survey. In all other occupations the present proportions were higher than those prevailing before coming to Poona. The educands and the 'well offs' had secured in Poona employment in occupations other than agriculture and unskilled manual work at the time of the survey; while those who were in agriculture and unskilled work before coming to Poona were most of them absorbed in Grade I-Unskilled manual work and a small proportion into other occupations. An examination of the detailed distribution shows that nearly 22 per cent of the 'educands' were at the time of the survey 'clerks and shop assistants', 15 per cent highly skilled workers, and 12 per cent were in intermediate supervisory posts. Of
those coming from agriculture, 37 per cent had gone into unskilled manual work, 15 per cent into skilled work and 10 per cent each in. to lowest professions and small business. Of the "well-offs" little more than 20 per cent were found in skilled manual work, 17 per cent in unskilled manual work, 11 per cent in highly skilled work and 9 per cent among clerks and shop assistants.

The diagonal cells in the table show the percentage of those who were at the time of the survey found in the same occupation as they had been before coming to Poona. The highest percentage, 80 , was to be found in Grade V-Highly skilled occupations. In unskilled and skilled manual work nearly 60 per cent were where they were before coming to the city. In most of the other grades upto IX this percentage varied between 40 and 50 per cent. It was 57 in Grade X.
3. Temporary in-migrants :-We now present a similar series of tables for the temporary in-migrants into Poona. Most of the information is presented by classifying these in-migrants by the duration of their stay in Poona.

It would be useful to comment on the duration of stay of these in-migrants which enable us to broadly indicate the groups that came into Poona at different periods. A little less than 6 per cent had come to Poona before 1939. All the rest had come in since then. About 24 per cent had arrived during 1939-1944 and 19 per cent, in the following 5 years. The contingent of temporary inmigrants into Poona during 1952-53 was larger than that in both the previous and the following year.

Table 2.6 gives the place of origin of the in-migrants classified by the duration of their stay in Poona. Disregarding duration for the moment the figures as a whole show that nearly 50 per cent of them came from the Marathi-speaking districts of the Bombay Deccan and a little less than half of these from the Poona district alone. About 14 per cent came from the Konkan districts and 16 per cent from the Marathi-speaking areas of Madhya Pradesh, Madhya Bharat, etc. About 10 per cent came from the southern States of Hyderabad, Mysore, Madras, etc. An examination by different periods shows that as compared to the pattern before 1939 the proportion of those coming from the Marathi-speaking districts of the Bombay Deccan, Konkan and Madhya Pradesh, Madhya Bharat, etc. had recorded a fluctuäting but generally rising trend. On the other hand the proportion of those coming from Poona district, the Karnatak districts of Bombay, Hyderabad, Mysore, etc. showed a generally declining trend. Both these trends seemed to have originated during the war period, 1939-45.

TABLE No. 2.5.
Heads of permanent in-migrant families classified according to their occupation at the time of the survey and their occupation prior to coming to Poona.

Occupational Grade prior to coming to Poona.

| Occup | ational Grade at the time of the survey | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Unskilled Manual Work | 203 | 44 | 16 | 8 | 9 | 4 | - |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 32 | 185 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 7 | - |
| III | Lowest Professions, Primary Teachers, Administrative Posts etc. | 11 | 5 | 49 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| IV | Small Business | 23 | 11 | 5 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| V | Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | 19 | 25 | 7 | 7 | . 186 | 8 | 6 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop-Assistants | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | - | 40 | 5 |
| VII | Intermedıate Professions, Salaried Posts \& Secondary Teachers | 1 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 36 |
| VIII | Meduum, Businesses | 3 | 4 | 3 | 27 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 6 |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. | - | 1 | 1 | 7 | - | - | 1 |
| XI | Pensioners | - | 2 | 8 | - | - | 9 | 22 |
| XII | Beggars \% Prostitutes | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| XIV | Cultivators | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Salaried work-details not stated | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
|  | Business-details not stated | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| XIII | Unemployed | 13 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Educands | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Non-earners | 24 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
|  | TOTAL | 337 | 300 | 125 | 151 | 168 | 98 | 92 |

TABLE No. 2.5-(Contd.)
Heads of permanent sn-migrant familces classzfied according to their occupation at the time of the survey and their occupation prior to coming to Poona.

| VIII IX | X | XI | XII | XIV |  |  | Unemployed |  | \% |  | 7 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - | - | - | - | 247 | 15 | - | 3 | 37 | 81 | 6 | 674 |
| . 1 | - | - | - | 108 | 13 | - | 1 | 35 | 75 | ; | 485 |
| - - | - | - | - | 64 | 6 | - | 1 | 83 | 27 | 2 | 261 |
| 11 - | 1 | - | - | 66 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 23 | 4 | 236 |
| 6 - | - | - | - | 39 | 8 | 2 | - | 85 | 27 | 3 | 378 |
| 21 | - | - | - | 20 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 129 | 22 | 4 | 262 |
| 31 | - | - | - | 12 | 16 | - | - | 76 | 9 | 1. | 184 |
| 441 | 1 | - | - | 24 | 8 | 2 | - | 32 | 14 | 2 | 175 |
| 214 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 28 | 4 | 1 | 60 |
| 17 - | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 54 |
| 19 | - | - | - | 9 | 5 | - | - | 10 | 5 | 1 | 81 |
| - - | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | 20 |
| - - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 4 |
| - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | . - | - | - |
| - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - - | - | 1 |
| 31 | - | - | - | 27 | 6 | - | - | 18 | 15 | 6 | 119 |
| - - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - - | - | 7 | 8 | - | 14 |
| ، 73 | 1 | - | - | 38 | 3 | - | - | 17 | 145 | 8 | , 281 |
| -98 30 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6691 | 101 | 11 | 9 | 586 | 459 | 45 | 3289 |

TABLE No. 2.6
Temporary In-migrant families in the sample classified according to the Place of orngin and the Duration of stay in Poona.

| Previous Place of Residence | months $-3$ | $\underset{-6}{\text { months }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { year } \\ & \text {-one } \end{aligned}$ | ration years -2 | tay in years -3 | ona. years -4 to 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { years } \\ & 6 \text { to } 10 \end{aligned}$ | years 11 to 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \& \text { more } \\ & 16 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poona District | 6 | 5 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 31 | 27 | 15 | 9 | 136 |
| Ahmednagar, Satara, Khandesh, Sholapur \& Nasik | 7 | 3 | 19 | 25 | 12 | 30 | 40 | 27 | 8 | 171 |
| Bijapur, Belgaum, Dharwar \& Karwar | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 29 |
| Ratnagiri, Kolaba, Thana \& Bombay-City | 6 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 17, | 18 | 8 | 3 | 83 |
| Surat, Barroda, Broach, etc. | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 10 |
| Hyderabad, Mysore, Madras \& South India | 1 | - | 3 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 7 | 6 | 58 |
| Madhya Bharat, Madhya Pradesh \& North India | - | 2 | 11 | 17 | 8 | 18 | 27 | 9 | 3 | 95 |
| -Other places | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| No fixed place | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1. | 5 |
| Not stated | - . | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| TOTAL | 22 | 17 | 58 | 80 | 53 | 116 | . 144 | 76 | 34 | 600 |

3.1 Mother tongue :-Table 2.7 presents the information regarding the mother tongue of in-migrants classified by the duration of their stay in Poona. The most noteworthy feature of the figures taken as whole is the very large proportion of those with Marathi as their mother tongue. Remebering that a high proportion of in-migrants came from Marathi-speaking areas of Bombay and Madhya Pradesh, which has 8 districts in which there is a majority of Marathi-speakers, this is consistent. Three-fifths of the temporary in-migrants had Marathi as their mother tongue, the next large group was of Hindi-speakers and next to them that of Telugu-speakers. The miscellaneous "others" group accounted for about 5 per cent. An examination by individual duration periods shows that from 1939 onwards the proportion of those with Marathi as their mother tongue had been increasing relatively to the pre1939 in-migrants while the proportion of "others" had shown a decline as compared to pre-1939 position. The respective proportions of those with other mother tongues recorded fluctuations but did not show any marked trend. In the broad they have not changed much.
3.2 Causes of Migration to Poona : Table 2.8 presents information about the causes of migrating to Poona as reported by temporary in-migrants classified according to the duration of their stay in Poona. As in the case of the permanent in-migrants a very large percentage, 54, of the temporary migrants had come to Poona in search of employment and work. About 19 per cent had been transferred to Poona from other places by their employers. A little more than 13 per cent came to Poona for their education. An examination period-wise shows that the proportion of those coming to Poona seeking work and employment did not change significantly in the different periods. The proportion of those transferred to Poona, however, showed an increase compared to the pre-1939 position. So also did the proportion of those coming to Poona for education.
3.3 Present occupation and occupation prior to coming to Poona:-Table 2.9 gives a two way distribution of temporary inmigrants into Poona by their occupations before coming to Poona and at the time of the survey. Looking at the distribution of inmigrants according to their occupations prior to coming to Poona it seems that about one-third were in agriculture and unskiltedmanual occupations, 22 per cent were educañđs, 8 per cent were in intermediate professions and 5 to 6 per cent each were skilled and highly skilled workers and clerks and shop assistants. The occupational distribution of the same persons at the time of the survey

TABLE No. 2.7.
Temporary In-migrant families in the sample classified according to Mother tongue and the Duration of stay in Poona.

| Mother Tongue | $\stackrel{-3}{\text { month } 3}$ | $\stackrel{-6}{\text { months }}$ | -one <br> year | $\stackrel{-2}{\text { years }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Durat } \\ & -3 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | of stay 4 to 5 years | in Poona 6 to 10 years | 11 to 15 years | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { years } \\ & \& \quad \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marathi | 14 | 12 | 37 | 46 | 38 | 75 | 83 | 50 | 20 | - | 375 |
| Kannad | 3 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 20 |
| Hindi | - | 1 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 9 | 4 | - | 66 |
| Sindhi | - | 1 | 2 | $\Sigma$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | 17 |
| Urdu | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | 16 |
| Gujarati | 2 | - | 7 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | - | $20^{\circ}$ |
| Telugu | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 3 | - | 45 |
| English | - | $\cdots$ | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 4 |
| Punjabi | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 4 |
| Other | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 5 | - | 33 |
| TOTAL | 22 | 17 | 58 | 80 | 53 | 116 | 144 | 76 | 34 | - | 600 |

TABLE No. 2.8.
Temporary In-migrant families in the sample classified according to the Causes of Migration and Duration of stay in Poona.

|  | Reported Causes of Magration | Duration of stay in Poona. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{-8}{\text { months }}$ | $\underset{\text { months }}{-6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-one } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-2}{\text { years }}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,5 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 to 15 years | 16 years or more | Not stated | Total |  |
| (1) | No jobs in villages | - | 1 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | - | 36 |  |
| (2) | Not enough land to cultivate | - | - | 8 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 9 | 3 | - | 45 |  |
| (3) | For studies | 7 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 8 | - | 79 | - |
| (4) | For urban amenities | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |  |
| (5) | On account of transfer | 6 | 8 | 17 | 27 | 13 | 22 | 22 | 12 | 2 | - | 124 | - |
| (6) | Refugees | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 1 | - | - | 26 | K |
| (7) | Death of husband | 1 | - | - | $\square$ | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 8 | 2 |
| (8) | Slack business at pative place | - | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 8 | - | 43 | H |
| (9) | For settling in Poona after retiring from services | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | \% |
| (10) | In search of a job | 7 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 13 | 80 | 59 | 85 | 16 | - | 187 |  |
| (11) | To earn their living | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | 21 |  |
| (12) | For a change in climate | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 8 |  |
| (13) | Loss in busuess at native place | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| (14) | Due to famine | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |
| (15) | On account of death of some family member | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | 13 |  |
| (16) | Other reasons | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 |  |
| (17) | Reasons not stated | - | , | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
|  | Total | 22 | 17 | 58 | 80 | 53 | 116 | 144 | 76 | 84 | - | 600 | - |

## TABLE No. 2.9.

Distribution of Heads of in-migrant sampls families according to their occupation at the time of the survey and their occupation prior to coming to Poona.

Occupational Grade prior to coming to Póna.


TABLE No. 2.9-(Contd.)
Distribution of Heads of in-migrant sample familes according to their occupation at the time of the survey and their occupation prior to coming to Poona.

Occupational Grade prior to coming to Poona.

showed that the proportion in agriculture and unskilled manual occupations had slightly declined and that of educands had done so more sharply. In contrast the proportions in skilled and highiy skilled occupations and among clerks and shop assistants had marked. ly increased. A comparison of the two distributions of the same individuals at two different points of time broadly indicated that a little more than 56 per cent of those who were in agriculture and unskilled manual work had remained there, 14 per cent had gone into skilled occupations and 5 per cent each into lowest professions and highly skilled occupations. Only 34 per cent of those who were educands before coming to Poona had remained so at the time of the survey. 12 per cent had become highly skilled workers. About $20^{\circ}$ per cent had become clerks and shop assistants, 10 per cent each going into lowest professions and intermediate professions. The percentage of those who remained where they were prior to coming to Poona in other occupational grades was the highest in Grades V and IX being 85 ; the next highest, 72, was found in Grades II and VI. The lowest proportion was in Grade VIII (28), the next lowest being found in Grade IV (37).
4. Who mignate to cities ? :-We may consider the permanent and temporary in-migrants together to study an important aspect of urbanization viz. from what occupations are the in-migrants of an urban area drawn? This would indicate where the main impact of the increased demand for personnel in an expanding urban area falls in the main recruiting regions.

Quite a substantial proportion of the total in-migrants into Poona city during the last 15 years and more was drawn from the Bombay Deccan (North) and Bombay Konkan regions. If we compare the distribution by livelihood classes of the self supporting and earning dependents in these regions as given in the 1951 Census with the distribution of heads of in-migrant families in our sample according to their occupations prior to coming to Poona, we may get a broad idea regarding the classes in these regions from which the in-migrants were drawn. Table 2.10 presents the distribution of self-supporting persons and earning dependents in Bombay Deccan. (North) and Bombay Konkan (excluding Greater Bombay) distributed by livelihood classes according to the 1951 Census. Table 2.11 presents the distribution of heads of sample in-migrant families according to the occupational grade in which they were before coming to Poona.

The data in the two tables are not directly comparable. We can; however, with little manipulation, make them broadly so. Firstly of course we must compare only proportions in both tables and for

TABLE No. 2.10.
Distribution of self-supporting persons and earning dependents in Bombay Decoan (North) and Bombay Konkan (excluding Greater Bombay) by livelhhood classes according to the 1951 Census.

| L according to the 1951 Census. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Livelihood <br> Classes | Sub-classes | Bombay Deccan <br> Northern <br> Division |


| $\underset{\text { classes. }}{\text { Agricultural }} \quad 1 .$ | Cultivators of land wholly or manly owned and their dependents | $\begin{array}{r} 28,18,212 \\ 54.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,87,908 \\ 29.0 \% \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .- 2. | Cultivators of land wholly or mainly owned and their dependents | $\begin{array}{r} 2,18,080 \\ 4.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,83,497 \\ 33.8 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. | Cultivating labourers and their dependents | $\begin{array}{r} 7,07,236 \\ 13.5 \% \end{array}$ | 1,36,060 <br> $6.7 \%$ |
| 4. | Non-cultivating owners of land agricultural rent receivers and their dependents | $\begin{array}{r} 92,902 \\ 1.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,636 \\ 1.0 \% \end{array}$ |
| Non-agricultural 5. classes | Production other than cultivation | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5}, 08,128 \\ 9.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,15,680 \\ 10.6 \% \end{array}$ |
| 6. | Commerce | $\begin{array}{r} 2,07,293 \\ 4.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81,174 \\ 4.0 \% \end{array}$ |
| 7. | Transport | $\begin{array}{r} 69,339 \\ . \quad 1,1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37,479 \\ 1.8 \% \end{array}$ |
| 8. | Other services and Miscellaneous sources | $\begin{array}{r} 6,09,630 \\ 11,6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,65,809 \\ 18.1 \% \end{array}$ |
| . | TOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 62,20,820 } \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,28,248 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ |

TABLE No. 2.11.
Distribution of Heads of Sample in-migrant families according to the Ocoupa. tional Grade in which they were before coming to Poona.'

| Occupational Grade No. of families |  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Unskilled Manual Work | 418 | 10.7 |
| II Skilled Manual Work | 329 | 8.4 |
| III Lowest Professions \& Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { strative } 162 \\ & \text { e. } \quad 162 \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 |
| IV Small Businesses | 170 | 4.4 |
| V Highly skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | 190 | 4.9 |
| VI Clerks and Shop-assistants | 138 | 3.5 |
| VII Intermediate Professions and Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. | 141 | 3.6 |
| VIII Medium Businesses | 112 | 2.9 |
| IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | ed Posts 44 | 1.1 |
| X Owners of factories, large shops, etc. | ps, etc. 9 | 0.2 |
| XI Pensioners | 2 | 0.1 |
| XII Beggars and Prostitutes | 2 | 0.1 |
| XIII Unemployed | 9 | 0.2 |
| XIV Cultivators | 775 | 20.0 |
| Salaried work-details not stated | 121 | 3.1 |
| Business-details not stated | 12 | 0.3 |
| Educands | 722 | 18.6 |
| Non-earners | 486 | 12.5 |
| Occupation not stated | 47 | 1.2 |
| TOTAL | 3,889 | 100.0 |

computing these proportions for our sample (Table 2.11) we must exclude from the total, educands and non-earners which are absent in the Census classification. Again for comparison with the Census data, the occupational data of our sample have to be regrouped. In Table 2.11 cultivators (Grade XIV) is broadly comparable with the total proportion in livelihood classes I. and II in the Census. Those in Grade I (unskilled labour) are not comparable with livelihood class III of agricultural labour in the Census because it contains non-farm labour also. The Census category is also a status category while ours is a skill category. We can combine livelihood classes VI and VII (Commerce and Transport) and com-
pare them with the combined total of Grades IV, VIII and X covering small, medium and large business. The livelihood class VIII (other services) can be compared with the total of Grades III, VI, VII and IX covering the salariat. These totals and proportions of these comparable figures are shown below.

| Family Sample (1954) |  |  | 1951 Census. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Grade of the head of the family before coming to Poons | Heads of families in different grades. |  | Livelihood classes | Self-supporting per sons \& earning dependents. |  |
|  | No. | portion total. |  |  | oportion total. |
| XIV | 722 | 48.2 | I + II | 43,07,697 | 77.4 |
| IV + VIII + X | 291 | 19.4 | VI + VII | 3,85,285 | 6.9 |
| $\mathrm{III}+\mathrm{VI}+\mathrm{VII}+\mathrm{IX}$ | 485 | 32.4 | VIII | 8,75,430- | 15.7 |
|  | 1,498 | 100.0 |  | 55,68,421 | 100.0 |

Even at first glance it would be obvious that the proportion of those who came from cultivators to Poona was much smaller than the proportion of cultivators in the population of the two regions from which a large proportion of the in-migrants were drawn. While the proportions of those who came to Poona from commerce and services were much larger than those to be found in the populations of the two recruiting regions. This would broadly indicate that those who migrated to Poona came largely from persons in business or services in these regions and less from the class of cultivators. We should also take into account the educands and non-earners (who were excluded from the above comparisons) who constitute about 30 per cent of the total in-migrating heads of families. We can regard them as a part of the slack in the areas of recruitment. Taking into account all this, it would appear that the impact of growing demand for personnel in Poona in these years did not fall very much on those engaged in agriculture or cultivation but on educands and non-earners and on those in business and services. This differential impact is no doubt connected with the pattern of employment in Poona. The above analysis is a bit tenuous but we feel that the broad indications given by it are sufficiently reliable. However, its limitations beyond this are no doubt severe.

Another interesting feature of the in-migrants as a whole can also be brought out. By studying the age distribution of heads of in-migrant families and comparing it with that of the heads of per-
manently resident families, we can find out whether the impact of in-migration is on certain age-groups. We are here primarily interested in finding out the age composition of in-migrants at the time they came to Poona. To do this it would be necessary to adjust for the duration of their stay in Poona. To da this for all the heads of in-migrating families would be too complicated. We therefore confine ourselves to the group of families whose duration of stay in Poona was less than 5 years. In their case the adjustment is small. In Table 2.12 is given the age distribution of the heads of families of permanent residents and of in-migrant families whose duration of stay in Poona was less than 5 years at the time of our survey.

TABLE No. 2.12.
Age distribution of the heads of families of permanent residents and of in-migrant families whose duration of stay in Poona was less than five years at the time of the survey.

| Age of the Head of the family (yrs.) | In-migrant families |  | Permanent families (Autochthons) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | \% |
| 11-15 | 3 | (0.4) | 4 | (0.2) |
| 16-20 | 62 | (7.7) | 41 | (2.4) |
| 21-25 | 166 | (20.8) | 163 | (9.5) |
| 26-30 | 177 | (22.2) | 266 | (15.6) |
| 31-35 | 129 | (16.1) | 267 | (15.6) |
| 36-40 | 102 | (12.7) | 245 | (14.3) |
| 41-45 | 75 | (9.3) | 197 | (11.5) |
| 46-50 | 33 | (4.1) | 164 | (9,6) |
| 51 \& over | 54 | (6.7) | 363 | (21.3) |
| Total |  | (100.0) | 1710 | (100.0) |

The age composition of the heads of in-migrant families was very much younger than that of the heads of permanent resident families. We have broadly satisfied ourselves that this holds good for the head of other in-migrating families also. Obviously again the analysis made above is based on the age distributions of heads of families and not on these of the total permanent residents and in-migrants. But it is valid enough to support the indication that in-migrants belong to young age groups. This is also supported by the significant proportion of educands, who usually belong to young age groups, among the in-migrants.
4. Out-migration:* While we could cover more fully the in-migration into Poona we could not as fully cover the out-migrants, because in a survey of families, at present residing in Poona, we could collect information only about their members who might have migrated out of Poona temporarily or permanently. We could get no information about those who had gone out of Poona without so to say, leaving any trace behind. In our inquiry we sought to gather information about the members of sample families, who were at the time of the survey living outside Poona. It was made clear to the investigators that this had no reference to near or distant relatives of the family, staying outside Poona but only to members of the same economic family. We also gathered information about the time they had left Poona and whether they had migrated permanently or temporarily. Besides this other information regarding their age and sex composition, earner status, earnings, occupations, etc. was also gathered.

In gathering this information our aim was to get at the people who had gone out of Poona, during the last 15 years. From that point of view, we could not consider the information on this point in regard to the families of temporary in-migrants because most of their 'members out' had never been to Poona. This was also the case in regard to members left behind by many of the families of permanent in-migrants into Poona. These had to be excluded because they were not cases of out-migration from Poona as such.

In our sample a total of $\mathbf{6 7 2}$ persons from 305 families were staying out of Poona. Of these 36 per cent were permanent outmigrants and 42 per cent were temporary ones. About 19 per cent had supplied no information on the point. Of the permanent outmigrants about 15 per cent had gone out in the year preceding the survey, about 20 per cent between 1944 and 1952 and 21 per cent between 1939 and 1948. Of the temporary ones 28 per cent had left during the year preceding the survey, 33 per cent had left between 1949 and 1952 and about 8 per cent only during 1939-1948.

Table No. 2.13 gives the composition of out-migrants. Of the 672 out-migrants, 418 or 62 per cent were males and the rest females. Of the males about 22 per cent were non-adults. Among females, non-adults were one-third of the total. 52 per cent of the males and 37 per cent of the females were unmarried. Of the total out-migrants 35 per cent were illiterate; 43 per cent were earners and the rest non-earners.

[^22]TABLE No．2．13．
Composition of Out－migratnt members from sample families in different wards．

| Wards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 架 } \\ & \text { 学 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shivajinagar | 25 | 22 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 6 | 31 | 18 | 24 | － | 25 | 49 |
| Sadashiv | 28 | 34 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 21 | 21 | 13 | 6 | 52 | 9 | 29 | － | 32 | 61 |
| Narayan | 19 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 27 | 11 | 19 | － | 19 | 38 |
| Shanwar | 30 | 39 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 24 | 22 | 16 | 6 | 62 | 6 | 34 | － | 34 | 68 |
| Budhwar | 16 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 25 | 10 | 12 | － | 23 | 35 |
| Raviwar | 9 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 12 | 12 | － | 19 | 26 |
| Kasba | 29 | 27 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 22 | 16 | 10 | 36 | 27 | 25 | － | 38 | 63 |
| Mangalwar | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 4 | － | 8 | 12 |
| Somwar | 7 | 6 | 2 | 3 | － | 3 | 5 | 3 | － | 7 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 | 11 |
| Rasta | － | － | － | － | － | － | 5 | 3 | － | 7 | 4 | $\omega$ | － | 6 | 11 |
| Nagesh | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Ganesh | 3 | 3 | － | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |
| Nana | 13 | 18 | 7 | 14. | 8 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 27 | $20^{1}$ | 13 | － | 34 | 7 |
| Bhavani | 16 | 19 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 24 | 8 | 16 | $\ldots$ | 16 | 32 |
| Ganj | 4 | 3 | － | 5 | 1 | 3 | － | 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 | － | 7 | 9 |
| Gurwar | 7 | 8 | － | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Shukrawari | 18 | 23 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 35 | 7 | 21 | － | 21 | 42 |
| Ghorpade \＆ Gultekdi | 1 | － | － | － | $3$ | 要 | 11 | － | 3 | 3 | － | 21 - | － | 21 3 | 3 |

TABLE No. 2.18-(Contd.)
Composition of Out-migratnt members from sampls families in different wards.

| Wards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 哭 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suburban Roads | 13 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 21 | 16 | 17 | - | 20 | 37 |
| Yeravada | 5 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Wakdewadi | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aundh, Pashan | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | 5 |
| Bibewadi etc. | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Erandavana etc. | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 7 | - | 5 | 12 |
| Ghorpadi - Village | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | 4 | - | 3 | 7 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Wanavdi | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| Poons Cantt. | 32 | 32 | 8 | 18 | 10 | 16 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 42 | 26 | 27 | - | 41 | 68 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | 6 | 7 |
| Sangamwadi | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | $\pm$ |
| Navikhadki | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | - | 5 | 8 |
| Total | 305 | 324 | 94 | 170 | 84 | 202 | 216 | 162 | 92 | 447 | 225 | 290 | 2 | 880 | 672 |

Ten per cent of the total out-migrants had migrated to places within the Poona district, 17 per cent to the Marathi-speaking districts of the Bombay Deccan, 18 per cent to Bombay, 5.6 per cent to the Kannada-speaking areas of Bombay State, about 14 per cent to Northern and Eastern India and about 5 per cent to South Indian regions.

Of the 305 families reporting out-migration of some of their members 30 per cent did not supply any information regarding what those out-migrants earned there. In the remaining cases 60 per cent reported annual earnings of between Rs. 501 and Rs. 3,500 each, 20 per cent earned less than Rs. 500 each. The rest earned more than Rs. 3,500 each.

Table No. 2.14 gives the distribution of families with outmigrant members according to their family income and remittances received from and sent to out-migrants by these families. Of the 305 families, 153 or nearly half did not receive any remittances from members out. In the remaining cases they did. About 27 per cent of the latter families had received during the year preceding the survey up to Rs. 250 each, 21 per cent between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500, 23 per cent between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000 and 17 per cent between Rs. 1,001 and Rs, 2,000 each. A little more than 10 per cent each had received more than Rs. 2,000. In the latter two classes there were two main types of families. A large group consisted of famllies whose chief earning member stayed out of Poona and maintained an establishment in Poona for his wife and family. Naturally a large part of his earnings outside Poona were remitted to his family living in Poona. The second group comprised some rich Sindhi families whose members were rich and prosperous traders in Africa and elsewhere and who remitted large amounts to their familiea living in Poona.

We also gathered information about moneys remitted by families in Poona to members outside. In 10 per cent of the families no money was remitted to members out. In the remaining cases in' 60 per cent families less than Rs. 250 each had been remitted from Poona during, the year preceding the survey. In 17 per cent cases it was between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 each and in about 18 per cent cases it was between Rs. 501 and Rs. 2,000 each. In the remaining cases it was more than Rs. 2,000 each.

TABLE No. 2.14.
Distribution of sample families with out-migrants according to their family incomes and remittances receqved from and sent to out-migrants by these families, Rs.


| Upto 250 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 251- 500 | 9 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 37 |  |
| $501-1,000$ | 9 | 3 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 | 71 |  |
| $1,001-2,000$ | 6 | 5 | 8 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 38 | 78 |  |
| $2,001-3,500$ | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 19 | 47 |  |
| $3,501-5,000$ |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | 14 | 33 |
| $5,001-7,500$ | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 9 | 12 |  |
| $7,501-10,000$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |  |
| $10,001 \&$ above | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 |  |
| Not stated | - | - | -7 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots 8$ | 8 |  |

Remittanceer sent to out-migrants by
these families

| Upto 250 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $251-500$ | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $501-1,000$ | 20 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 46 | 71 |
| $1,001-2,000$ | 18 | 9 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 49 | 78 |
| $2,001-3,500$ | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 34 | 47 |
| $3,501-5,000$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 33 |
| $5,001-7,500$ | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 12 |
| $7,501-10,000$ | 1 | - | - | -1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 5 |
| 10,001 \& above | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| Not stated | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 8 |

## CHAPTER III

## OCCUPATIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF EARNERS.

1. Introduction:-In the following sections the information regarding the occupational distribution of earners from the family sample is presented. While collecting the information, earners were classified in the categories of salaried workers, casual workers, self-employeds and employers. The unemployeds were noted separately and the pensioners were noted in the group of salaried persons for convenience. In the questionnaire, questions were specially designed for each of the above mentioned classes in order to get the most significant information in regard to each.

The information relating to the special characteristics of these classes has been presented in the course of this chapter. The earlier sections seek to give information regarding the general occupational structure of all the earners. The main sections relate to education, juvenile and old earners, female earners, earnings and employment status of the earners. The earners have been divided into four groups viz. male adults, male non-adults, female adults, female non-adults. The 1951 Census classification distinguishes, a class of earning dependents. We have not made that distinction. All earning dependents in our tables are included in the earners.
2. The Scheme of Occupational Classification* : The data regarding gainfully employed persons gathered in our survey have been tabulated according to a graded scheme of occupational classification formulated at the time of the 1937 Survey. Separately also tabulations have been made according to ISIC scheme of industrial classification and these are presentedin an appendix to this chapter. Our scheme of classification was arrived at after an elaborate process of listing, defining, weighing, etc., of such elements as economic and social status, skill, enterprise, etc. Both social and economic factors were taken into account in defining the different grades of occupations. Each of these grades have been also divided into sub-groups in respect of the specially important individual occupations or occupational groups contained in it. The grades have not been distinguished by "skill" or "status" only. They are rather "skill-status" grades.

In the classification adopted in Table 3.1 all earners have been divided into 14 different grades or groups of occupations. Grades XI, XII and XIII are in a class by themselves in as much as the per-

[^23]sons included in them cannot strictly be described either as earners or as non-earners. Grade XI is that of pensioners who have 'earned' their pensions but cannot be said to be earning the income by current effort. The case of the unemployeds, Grade XIII, is similar. Grade XII has been adopted from the occupational classification in the Indian Censuses before 1951 with a slight modification. In this grade are put together beggars and prostitutes. In the pre-1951 Census classification this class also included vagrants. As ours was a household survey vagrants were eliminated from it almost by definition. We, therefore, deleted them from Grade XII in our classification. In the proper economic sense of the term, prostitutes should be described as earners and have little in common with the general group of beggars. The class of prostitutes, however, could not be accommodated in any of the other grades. Also some Indian castes combine prostitution with begging. As in the pre-1951 Censuses, therefore, the prostitutes were separately classified into a sub-group in the same grade with beggars.
"The first ten grades have been arranged in an ascending order of social and economic importance. They broadly fall into three distinct economic groups : Grades I, II and $V_{F}$-unskilled and skilled wage earners (manual labour); Grades IV, VIII and Xbusiness (enterprise) ; Grades III, VI, VII and IX-professions and administration (services and salariat).
"Grade I, unskilled manual work, needs no explanation. It includes domestic servants, bidi-workers, sweepers, etc., workers who require no sort of skill but only physical energy. Grade II of skilled manual work comprises skilled as well as semi-skilled workėrs. As the semi-skilled class is numerically small in the working population of the city we made only one grade of skilled manual work, an intermediate grade between the unskilled and the highly skilled (Grade V). This grade includes all persons who possess some skill of hand. Most of the artisan classes, like cobblers, carpenters or barbers are put into it together with the semi-skilled workers, like workers in the cotton mills. While dealing with artisans a somewhat difficult problem had to be solved. Among barbers for instance, we came across individuals who worked in separate establishments owned by themselves and in which they had also engaged a few assistants. Such individuals were skilled workers as well as businessmen in a small way. They could, therefore, be put either in Grade II or IV. Similarly there were owners of tongas, who besides being tonga-drivers themselves, owned 2 or 3 tongas and hired them out. In such cases we had to choose between the ignoring of either the skill or the entrepreneurial factor. We decided in most cases to ignore the latter as our classification aimed at indicating the strength
of the various grades and skill groups ìn economic society. Besides the information that was being dealt with was collected during a household survey and not in a census of éstablishments.
"Grade V of highly skilled and supervisory manual work is largely composed of workers like fitters, wiremen, goldsmiths, tailors, etc. Grades I, II and V together embrace all the manual wage earners in the working population. The common characteristic of: persons of this class is that they are skilled or unskilled manual workers whose earnings chiefly represent payments for their labour, unskilled or skilled. These have been classified into the three grades mainly by using the skill criterion and partly also by the income index.

- "Grades III, VI, VII and IX together make up what may be called the services. Professional, administrative and educational services are included in this class. Grade III has within its fold persons in the lowest professions, occupations like astrologers, priests, compounders, jugglers, etc. and primary teachers. Grade VI comprises clerks and shop assistants. The clerks in this grade were employed both in business and adminstration, while the shop assistants were those that did not undertake work which was mainly physical. Grade'VII of "intermediate" adminstrative and business posts and occupations is composed of such persons as'sub-inspectors, overseers, etc. and secondary teachers. Grade IX of highest administrative posts and professions includes persons belonging to the liberal professions as well as all higher administrative officers. This "services" class is characterised by the absence of manual work and the greater emphasis on brain work and educational equipment. It has been classified into three grades mainly by the standard of income.
"Grades IV, VIII and X constitute together the "business" class. Its principal characteristic is that in distinction to the exploitation of physical energy, technical skill or educational qualification, it is engaged in the conduct of business or economic enterprise. Grade IV of small business is composed largely of small shopkeepers. hawkers, etc. Grade VIII is of small business and is composed of grocers, sweetmeat sellers, cloth merchants, etc. Grade X of owners of factories, workshops, etc. is the substantial entrepreneur group. It shows the element of enterprise at its highest. The division of this class into three different grades is mainly on the basis of income." ${ }^{1}$

[^24]The occupational classification described above had to be modified in the light of our experience as well as to elaborate it further to accommodate new occupations arising or old ones gaining such strength as to be mentioned separately or individually. The first modification we made was to introduce Grade XIV for those engaged in agricultural production. Cities in India are not many of them continuous and solid urban agglomerations and they include a few cultivators on the peripheries as well as within the city limits. In the 1937 Survey we had classified them in Grade I but that was obviously unsatisfactory. Though they were unskilled manual workers they were also owners or tenants of land and were also in one sense entrepreneurs. They could not be, however, fitted in between any of the 13 grades defined earlier. So we decided to form the Grade XIV to put them together and to exhibit their various characteristics as a distinct group. Wherever in this publication, they are not separately shown, they are included in Grade I. There were a few cases of cultivators in our sample who were very big landlords and ran their large estate as a large business having very large incomes. They were farmers as well as manufacturers of gul (raw sugar). Considering everything we decided to put them in Grade X.

When we listed all the occupations reported by earners in our sample we found that some of them had not been mentioned in our 1937 classification either because they were then totally absent or were too insignificant in our 1937 sample for being specifically mentioned. We had to find appropriate places for these groups of occupations in the 1937 scheme. In Grade I, we added separate subgroups for packers, railway porters and watchmen. All these were present in the miscellaneous sub-group in the 1937 Survey but were quite important to be separately mentioned in the present survey. In Grade II we included auto-rikshaw drivers, cycle repairers, motor cleaners (they also help in motor repairs), moulders, signboard painters and tin-makers. Of these, only the first was completely new because the auto-rikshaws had been introduced into Poona only after 1945. All others had grown in numbers since the 1937 Survey to be significantly present in our sample. The work of all of them required some skill, though not very high skill, and they could be described correctly as skilled workers. In Grade III because of the considerable increase recorded in their numbers between the two surveys we decided to show separately police and office peons, both of which had been formerly included in the single category of "lowest administrative posts." Also into the original sub-class of 'musicians' we decided to include those persons who played the various instruments included in a brass band, thus expanding it to inF... 11
clude some newer varieties of the same species. A new sub-group of bus-conductors was added to this grade. In 1937 there was no bus service operating in the city and there were no bus-conductors. In Grade V the added sub-groups were those of wiremen and fitters, turners, machine operators, mukadams and mistries, radio repairers and telephone operators. None of these had newly developed between the two surveys but had increased in strength in the period and required to be classified into separate sub-groups, Mainly because of the increase in their numbers we also decided to treat motor repairers and motor drivers in separate groups instead of treating them jointly as in the 1937 Survey.

Three new types of intermediate salaried officers had to be added in Grade VII namely rationing inspectors, sales-tax inspectors, and shop-inspectors, all products of the war and the post-war periods. The Sales-tax Act and the Shops and Establishment Act were put on the statute book after 1946. Rationing of foodgrains had been instituted since 1942 and had lasted till the middle of 1954.

Besides these elaborations we had to decide a rather different kind of question in regard to the income criterion that had been used for classifying traders into small, medium and big. In the 1937 Survey traders annually earning Rs. 250 or less were put into the category of small businesses in Grade IV, those earning between Rs. 251 and 750 in medium businesses in Grade VIII and those earning more than Rs. 750 in large businesses in Grade X.

- Since the 1937 Survey prices had increased more than four times and to make these classes comparable with those of the earlier survey we decided to increase these limits by four times for the present survey. Small businesses, therefore, include traders earning less than Rs. 1,000 per annum; medium businesses, those earning between Rs. 1,001 and 3,900; large businesses, those earning more than Rs. 3,000 .

3. The General Occupational Structure * : Table 3.1 gives the classification of earners according to age, sex, annual income, etc. and occupation. In the sample of 5,601 families there-were 8,176 earners, including unemployed persons. 52 per cent of the fotal earners belonged to the class of unskilled, skilled and highly skilled workers; about 14 per.cent were engaged in business; about 22 per cent were engaged in professions and administration (services and salariat). The group of pensioners, beggars and prostitutes accounted for less than three per cent. The remaining about 9 per cent were unemployed.
[^25]Grade I consisting of unskilled manual workers was the largest of all and accounted for about one-fourth of the total earners in the sample. The largest group in this grade was that of workers
 in factories and accounted for about one-fifth of the total workers in this grade. The next two large groups, each containing about 13 per cent of the total workers in the grade, were domestic servants and unattached casual labourers. Bidi-workers formed about 11 per cent; labourers engaged in building construction about 8 per cent; sweepers and scavengers and agricultural labourers and cowherds about 6 per cent each; servants in shops and watchmen about 5 per cent each; assistants to artisans about 3 per cent; servants in restaurants about 3 per cent. The remaining were packers, handcart pullers, railway porters and others.

Grade II contained about 14 per cent of the total earners. Of these carpenters formed 12 per cent, cooks, butlers, bearers and ayahs together another 12 per cent. Masons, washermen, gardeners, barbers, workers in leather, workers in textiles, cycle repairers and rickshaw, tonga and bullock cart drivers each accounted for about 5 to 8 per cent of the total earners in the grade.

Earners in Grade III were $\boldsymbol{T}$ per cent of the total earners in the sample. Office peons accounted for about 40 per cent and police for about 17 per cent. Primary teachers were 13 per cent of the total in the grade, priests and astrologers about 7 per cent and bus conductors about 4 per cent, nurses, compounders etc. being about 7 per cent.

Of the total earners about 8 per cent were found in Grade IV. Of these 70 per cent were small shopkeepers, 20 per cent hawkers and the remaining 10 per cent were engaged in the smallest businesses.

About 12 per cent of the total earners were in Grade V. About one-third of these were motor drivers, motor repairers and wiremen and fitters; 20 per cent tailors, about 7 per cent mistries, about 6 per cent each were fire-fighters and compositors, about 5 per cent each goldsmiths and machine-operators and the remaining were in other highly skilled occupations.

8 per cent of the total workers were in Grade VI. About 29 per cent of them were government clerks, about 20 per_cent, clerks in military service, about 17 per cent clerks in private concerns and about 7 per cent in semi-government establishments. About 10 per cent were account clerks and cashiers and 3 per cent were typists and stenographers. . The remaining about 14 per cent were shopassistants.

TABLE No. 3.1.
Earners Classificd according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 皆 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-UNSKILLEED } \\ & \text { MANUAL WORK } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 34 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 18 | 21 |
| 1 | Agricultural Labourers | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  |  | 3 | 43 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 10 | 36 |
| - | Total | $\square$ | 78 | - | 9 | - | - | - | 28 | 50 |
| 2 | Labourers engaged in earthwork, road mak- | 1 | 11 | 4 | 9 | - | - | - | 11 | 12 |
|  | ing, brick-kilns, etc. | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 |
|  | Total | - | 20 | 4 | 9 | - | - | - | 14 | 17 |
| 3 | Labourers engaged in | 1 | 68 | 5 | 18 | 1 | - | - | 31 | 61 |
|  | building construction | 3 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 27 |
|  | Total | - | 100 | 5 | 18 | 1 | - | - | 36 | 88 |
| 4 | Wood-cutters, Grasscutters, etc. | 1 | 24 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 6 | 19 |
|  | Total | - | 24 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 6 | 19 |
| $V{ }^{\prime}$ | Servants in workshops, factories, etc. | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathbf{3} \end{aligned}$ | 140 27 | 47 2 | 174 | $\underline{2}$ | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 353 \\ 94 \end{array}$ | 10 |
|  | Total | - | 167 | 49 | 174 | 2 | - | - | 377 | 15 |
| - 6 | Assistants to artisans | 1 | 16 | 5 | 34 | 2 | - | - | 37 | 18 |
|  |  | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | Total | - | 18 | 6 | 34 | 2 | - | - | 38 | 19 |

[^26]TABLE No. 3 1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classzfied accarding to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Incomo.

| Status |  |  |  | Incom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bi } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \stackrel{8}{4} \\ & \stackrel{9}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 蔮 |  |  |
| - | 6 | 27 | 27 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61 |
| - - | 36 | 86 | 43 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 166 |
| $\square$ | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | 44 | 113 | 70 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 229 |
| - - | 29 | 13 | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | -. | . 1 | 54 |
| - - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| - | -3- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| - - | 34 | 14 | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 61 |
| - - | 8 | 11 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29 |
| - - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - - | 190 | 37 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 232 |
| - - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| - - | 202 | 48 | 12 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 265 |
| - | 6 | 5 | 57 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 69 |
| - - | 8 | . 6 | 46 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 62 |
| - | 14 | 11 | 103 | 2 | - | $\div$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | 131 |
| - - - | - | 3 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| - - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - - | 1 | 4 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| 1 - | 4 | 6 | 17 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 29 |
| - - | 3 | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| - - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 1 - | 8 | 6 | 18 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 34 |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education; Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earnere Classified according to Ocoupational Grado, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income,

| Status Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 우N } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \mathbf{B} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \underset{7}{7} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { w } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\text { Rs. } 5,001-7,500$ |  |  |  |  | \# |
| 1 - | 35 | 68 | 73 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 179 |
| - - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 12 | 48 | 25 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 77 |
| - - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 13 - | 91 | 93 | 77 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 264 |
| - - | 22 | 36 | 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 103 |
| - - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| - - | 2. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| - - | 27 | 39 | 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 111 |
| - - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 1 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| - - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 1 - | 6 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| - - | 7 | 18 | 61 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 90 |
| - - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| - - | 12 | 18 | 62 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 96 |
| - | - | 1 | 17 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| - | - | 1 | 17 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| $2-$ | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | 8 |
| 5 - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 7 . - | b | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 9 |

TABLE No, 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified acoonding to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 嵒 |
| 19 | Metal workers | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 | - |
|  |  | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | Total | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | 1 |
| 20 | Handcart pushers | 1 | 16 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | 10 |
|  | Total | - | 16 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | 10 |
| 21 | Packers | 1 | 1 | 5 | 14 | - | - | - | 20 | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | $\div$ | - | 1 | - |
|  | Total | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 5. | 15 | - | - | - | 21 | - |
| 22 | Miscellaneous | 1 | 17 | 3 | 15 | 1 | - | - | 13 | 12 |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | Total | - | 21 | 3 | 15 | 1 | - | - | 14 | 13 |
| Total for Grade I |  | 1 | 657 | 147 | 531 | 8 | - | - | 953 | 360 |
|  |  | 2 | 11 | - | 12 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 16 | 7 |
|  |  | 3 | 623 | 17 | 49 | - | - | 1 | 359 | 310 |
|  |  | 4 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 3 |
|  | Total | - | 1298 | 164 | 503 | 8 | - | 1 | 1333 | 680 |

## II-SKILLED MANUAL WORK



TABLE No．3．1－（Contd．）
Earners Classified acoording to Ocoupational Grade，Age，Sex，Education， Employment Status and Income．

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 유N } \\ & \text { 雷 } \\ & \text { 8 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 8 08 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | Rs．7，501－10，000 |  |  |  | W |
| 1 | － | － | － | 7 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 7 |
| － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| 1 | － | － | 2 | 7 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 9 |
| 11 | － | 5 | 9 | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 22 |
| 11 | － | 5 | 9 | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 22 |
| － | － | 2 | － | 9 | 9 | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | 20 |
| － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 二 | － | 1 |
| $\cdots$ | － | 2 | － | 10 | 9 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 21 |
| 11 | － | 8 | 9 | 19 | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | 36 |
| 2 | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 |
| 13 | － | 10 | 11 | 19 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 40 |
| 30 | － | 196 | 325 | 735 | 79 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 7 | 1343 |
| － | － | 17 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 23 |
| 21 | － | 」373 | 187 | 125 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 690 |
| － | － | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| 51 | － | 594 | 517 | 860 | 81 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 11 | 2064 |
|  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ！ |  |  |
| 32 | 4 | 8 | 27 | 52 | 50 | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | 1 | 138 |
| 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| 34 | 4 | 9 | 28 | 52 | 50 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 140 |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { Hin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | pəq飞7S 70N |  |  |
| 2 | Masons | 1 | 22 | 15 | 29 | - | - | - | 18 | 36 |
|  | Total | - | 22 | 15 | 29 | - | - | - | 18 | 30 |
| 3 | Cooks | 1 | 14 | 5 | 14. | - | - | - | 28 | 2 |
|  |  | 3 | 28 | 6 | 13 | - | - | - | 42 | 4 |
|  | Total | - | 42 | 11 | 27 | - | - | - | 70 | 6 |
| 4 | Ayahs | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  |  | 3 | 8 | 2 | 11 | - | - | - | 21 | - |
|  | Total | - | 8 | 2 | 12 | - | - | - | 22 | - |
| 5 | Washermen | 1 | 25 | 4 | 21 | - | - | - | 19 | 3 |
|  |  | 3 | 11 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | Total | - | 36 | 4 | 22 | - | - | - | 20 | 3 |
| 6 | Gardeners. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 1 | 44 | 8 | 18 | - | - | - | 69 | 1 |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
|  |  | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - |
|  | Total | . | 53 | 8 | 19 | - | - | - | 79 | 1 |
| 7 | Barbers | 1 | 20 | 15 | 22 | - | - | - | 13 | 8 |
|  | Total | - | 20 | 15 | 22 | - | - | - | 13 | 3 |
| 8 | Shoe-makers and | 1 | 23 | 7 | 24 | - | - | - | 6 | 3 |
|  | makers of Leather goods | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 25 | 7 | 24 | - | - | - | 6 | 3 |
| 9 | Sawyers | 1 | 3 | 2. | 2 | - | - | - | 7 | - |
|  | Total | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 7 | - |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 蚛 } \\ & 8 \\ & \frac{B}{B} \end{aligned}$ | 009-Igz sy |  | $\text { Rs. } 1,001-2,000$ |  | $0000^{9}-109^{8} 8 \mathrm{sy}$ |  |  | 2 <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 현 |  |  | 3 3 3 0 |
| 12 | - | 3 | 12 | 38 | 17 | - | - | -- | - | - | - | 1 | 66 |
| 12 | - | 3 | 12 | 33 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 66 |
| 3 | - | 3 | 11 | 18 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38 |
| 1 | - | 34. | 11 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 47 |
| 4 | - | 37 | 22 | 19 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 |
| - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | 10. | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21 |
| - | - | 11 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| 28 | - | 5 | 18 | 21 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 |
| 11 | - | 4. | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| 39 | - | 9 | 21 | 26 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 62 |
| $\cdots$ | - | 4 | 26 | 38 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 70 |
| - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | 3. | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | 8 |
| - | - | 7 | 29 | 42 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 |
| 32 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 25 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 57 |
| 32 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 25 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 57 |
| 89 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 35 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 54 |
| 1 | 1 | 1. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 40 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 36 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 56 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No．8．1－（Conta．）
Earnere Classified according to Oocupational Grade，Age，Sex，Education， Employment Statue and Income．

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罰 } \\ & \frac{0.0}{\hat{O}} \\ & \text { 甼 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 웅 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 8 } \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { Bi } \\ & \text { 安 } \end{aligned}$ | $000^{\circ} \mathbf{Z} 100^{\prime} I \cdot 8 \neq$ |  |  |  | Rs．7，501－10，000 |  |  |  | 핑 |
| 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 29 |
| 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 15 | ． 6 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 29 |
| － | － | 1 | 7 | 44 | 12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 64 |
| － | － | 1. | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| － | － | 2 | 9 | 44 | 12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 67 |
| 11 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 80 |
| － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| 3 | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 |
| 14 | 2 | 10 | 16 | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 35 |
| 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | 10 |
| 4 | － | 4. | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 5 |
| 6 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 15 |
| 15 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 23 |
| 15 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 23 |
| 17 | － | 2 | 4 | 15 | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 80 |
| 17 | － | 2 | 4 | 15 | 8 | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | 1 | 30 |
| 6 | － | 4 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 7 |
| 3 | － | 2. | 1 | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| 10 | － | 7 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | 11 |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified aecording to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classifisd aecording to Oooupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Incone.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified accondling to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Entploument Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Blacksmiths | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | 6 | 3 |
|  |  | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 6 | 1 | 8 | - | - | $\square$ | 6 | 3 |
| 26 | Workers in Paper mill | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 7 | - |
|  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - |
|  | Total | - | 5 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | 11 | - |
| 27 |  | 1 | 3 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Fishermen | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 4 | - | 10 | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - |
| 28 | Reparrers of Bicycles | 1 | 4 | 5 | 30 | 1 | - | - | 19 | 2 |
|  | Total | 1 | 4 | 5 | 30 | 1 | - | - | 19 | . 2 |
| 29 | Sweetmeat-makers | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 |
|  | Total | - | 3 | 2 | 1. | - | - | - | 3 | 1 |
| 30 | Motor cleaners |  | 3 | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | 15 | - |
|  | Total | - | 3 | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | 15 | - |
| 31 | Gangmen. | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | 7 | - |
|  | Total | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| 82 | Miscellaneous | 1 | 16 | 8 | 54 | 5 | - |  | 55 | 5 |
|  |  | 8 | 3 | 1 | - | - |  | - | 1 |  |
|  | Total | - | 19 | 9 | 54 | 5 | - | - | 56 | 6 |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sẹx, Edacation, Employment Status and Income.

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. 3,501-5,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| 5 | - | - | 5 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | T | - | - | 14 |
| 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 1 |
| 6 | - | - | 6 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| - | - | - | - | 6. | 1 | - | - | - | T | - | - | - | 7 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| 12 | - | 2. | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| 2 | $\cdots$ | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 14 | - | 4 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| 11 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 |
| 11 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 2 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 7 | - | - | 40 |
| 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| - | - | - | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| - | - | - | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\checkmark$ | 15 |
| - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | $\div$ | 7 |
| - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| 20 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 40 | 16 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 88 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| 21 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 41 | 16 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 87 |

TABLE No．3．1－（Contd．）
Earners Classified according to Occupationat Grade，Age，Sex，Education， Employnent Status and Income．

| Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 品 总 感 品品 |  |  |  |  | 告 |
| Total for Grade II | 1 | 376 | 151 | 505 | 10 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 520 | 151 |
|  | 2 | 2 | ＋ | 1 | － | － | － | 3 | － |
|  | 3 | 80 | 11 | 30 | － | － | － | 79 | 8 |
|  | 4 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Total | － | 458 | 162 | 537 | 10 | 1 | － | 602 | 159 |

> III-LOWEST PROFESSIONS
> AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSTS, PRIMARY
> TEACHERS, ETC.


| 2 | Primary Teachers | 1 | （1） | － | 18 | 21 | 4 | － | 39 | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | － | $\cdots$ | 19 | 12 | － | － | 31 | $\cdots$ |
|  | Total | － | 1 | － | 37 | 33 | 4 | － | 70 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 | Ayurvedic | 1 | 5 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | $\cdots$ | － | － |
|  | Practitioners | 8 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － |
|  | Tatal | －i | 6 | $\cdots$ | 8 | 2 | 2 | － | － | － |
| 4 | Compounders | 1 | － | 1 | 14 | 1 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 16 | $\cdots$ |
|  | ＊ | 8 | － | $\cdots$ | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | 1 | 15 | 1 | － | － | 17 | － |
| 5 | Police constables | 1 | 1 | 13 | 89 | 2 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 101 | － |
|  |  | 13 | － | － | 2 | $\cdots$ | － | － | 2 | － |
|  | Total | － | 1 | 13 | 85 | 2 | 2 | － | 103 | － |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


| 22 | - | 8 | 17 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 23 | - | 3 | 18 | 11. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32 |
| 5 | - | 4. | 4 | 14 | 22 | $\div$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 44 |
| - | - | 1 | 1 | 15 | 14 | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - | - | 81 |
| 5 | - | 5 | 5 | 29 | 36 | - | T | - | - | - | - | - | 75 |
| 10 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | -1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | $-1$ | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 12 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| - | - | - | 2 | 9 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | - | 2 | 10 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 81 | 17 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 101 |
| - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 83 | 17 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 103 |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earnors Classified according to Occupational Grade, Ags, Sec. Education* Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Educatoon, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Literates |  | Matriculates |  |  | Salaried workers |  |
| 8 |  | 1 | - | - | ${ }^{\prime} 3$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Proprietors of Flour | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | mills | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | Traderes in meat, | 1 | 7 | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | mutton, etc | 3 | 2 | -- | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 9 | 4 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | Traders in bangles | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | T | - | - |
| 11 | Fruit-merchants | 1 | 8 | 1 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | -3 | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 18 | 1 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | Hotel and Restaurant | 1 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 1 | - | - | - | $\sim$ |
|  | owners | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 3 | 2 | 20 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Grocers | 1 | 1 | 9 | 44 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3 | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 3 | 9 | 49 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 14 | Commission Agents | 1 | 5 | 2 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
|  | and Dalals | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 5 | 2 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - |

TABLE No．3．1－（Contd．）
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade，Age，Sex，Education， Employment Status and Income．

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 参 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 高 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \frac{8}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \text { BN } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Rs．5，001－7，500 | 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  | W |
| 3 | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 |
| 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | － | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 5 |
| 11 | 5 | －． | 3 | 13 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 16 |
| 4 | － | 1 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 |
| 15 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 13 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 20 |
| 4 | － | － | 1 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 |
| 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| 6 | － | 1 | 2 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 6 |
| 26 | － | 4 | 4 | 18 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 26 |
| 11 | － | 4 | 5 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 11 |
| 37 | － | 8 | 9 | 20 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 37 |
| 6 | 18 | － | 6 | 16 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 23 |
| － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| 6 | 20 | － | 7 | 18 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 26 |
| 53 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 48 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 63 |
| 7 | － | $\square$ | 3 | 4 | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 7 |
| 60 | 10 | 2 | 16 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 70 |
| 31 | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 14 ＊ | 21 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | 38 |
| 2 | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 |
| 33 | 7 | － | 16 | 21 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 | 40 |

Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade，Age，Sex，Education， Employment Status and Income．

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䍐 } \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \text { 薄 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 易 |
| 15 | Building Contractors | 1 | $4{ }^{\prime}$ | － | 8 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － |
|  | Total | － | 4 | － | 8 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － |
| 16 | Miscellaneous | 1 | 22 | 8 | 31 | 4 | 2 | － | － | － |
|  |  | 3 | 20 | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | Total | － | 42 | 8 | 34 | 4 | 2 | － | － | － |
| Total for Grade IV |  | 1 | 113 | 62 | 296 | 19 | 7 | 1 | － | － |
|  |  | 2 | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － |
|  |  | 3 | 132 | 6 | 24 | 1 | － | － | － | － |
|  |  | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Total |  | － | 245 | 68 | 323 | 20 | 7 | 1 | － | － |


| V－HIGHLY SKILLED \＆ SUPERVISORY MANUAL WORK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Motor－drivers | 1 ＇ | 6 | 13 | 68 | 1 | － | － | 70 | 2 |
|  | Total | －．． | 6 | 13 | 58 | 1 | － | － | 70 | 2 |
| $\checkmark$ | Motor－repairers | 1 | 4 | 8 | 43 | 5 | 2 | － | 54 | 1 |
|  | Total | － | 4 | 8 | 43 | 5 | 2 | － | 54 | 1 |
| $\checkmark 3$ | Wiremen and Fitters | 1 | 18 | 16 | 166 | 9 | 4 | － | 193 | 9 |
|  | Total | － | 18 | 16 | 166 | 9 | 4 | － | 193 | 9 |
| 4 | Telegraph Clerks | 1 | － | － | 1 | 5 | － | － | 6 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | － | 1 | 5 | － | － | 6 | － |
| J6 | Compositora | 1 | 1 | － | 50 | 5 | － | － | 65 | 1 |
|  | Total | － | 1 | － | 50 | 5 | － | － | 65 | 1 |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Clasificd according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Educatzon, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classefied according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employinent Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  |  | E <br>  | ducation |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \# 0 0 0 0 0 0 B |  |  |
| 6 | Book-binders | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | - | - | - | 12 | $\cdot 1$ |
|  | Total | - | 1 | 1 | 12 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 12 | 1 |
| 7 | Goldsmiths | 1. | 7 | 8 | 33 | - | - | - | 12 | 1 |
|  | Total | - | 7 | 8 | 33 | - | - | - | 12 | 1 |
| 8 | Tailors | 1 | 22 | 30 | 134 | 2 | 1 | - | 43 | 18 |
|  |  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Total | - | 25 | 31 | 140 | 2 | 1 | - | 43 | 19 |
| 9 | Machine operators | 1 | 9 | 3 | 28 | 2 | 3 | - | 44 | 1 |
|  | Total | - | 9 | 3 | 28 | 2 | 3 | - | 44 | 1 |
| 10 | Jobbers | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 7 | - |
|  | Total | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 7 | - |
| 11 | Watchmakers and Repairers | 1 | T | - | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - |
|  | Total | - | - | - | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - |
| 12 | Supervisors, | 1 | 9 | 5 | 54 | 3 | - | - | 70 | 1 |
|  | Mukadams, Mistries | 2 | - | - | 1 |  |  | - | 1 | - |
|  | Total | - | 9 | 5 | 55 | 3 | - | $\sim$ | 71 | 1 |
| 13 | Welders and Vulcanizers | 1 | - | - | 12 | - | - | $\square$ | 11 | - |
|  | Total | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | 11 | - |
| 14 | Foremen | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 6 | - |
|  | Total | - | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 6 | - |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Ineome.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classifed according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& . \& \& \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Education} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Employment} <br>
\hline \& Occupational Grade \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& 苞 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15} \& Radio-repairers \& 1 \& 1 \& - \& 7 \& 3 \& $3-$ \& 11 \& - <br>
\hline \& Total \& - \& 1 \& - \& 7 \& 3 \& 3 \& 11 \& - <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{16} \& Telephone operators \& 1 \& - \& - \& 5 \& 2 \& $\sim$ \& 7 \& - <br>
\hline \& \& 3 \& - \& - \& - \& 1 \& - \& 1 \& - <br>
\hline \& Total \& - \& - \& - \& 5 \& 3 \& - \& 8 \& - <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17} \& Engine and Tractor Drivers \& 1 \& 2 \& - \& 5 \& 1 \& - - \& 7 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& Total \& - \& 2 \& - \& 5 \& 1 \& - - \& 7 \& 1 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} \& Photographers \& $` 1$ \& - \& , \& 7 \& 2 \& - \& 8 \& - <br>
\hline \& Total \& - \& - \& - \& 7 \& 2 \& - \& 3 \& - <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{19} \& Turners \& 1 \& 4 \& 4 \& 25 \& - \& - - \& 81 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& Total \& $\cdots$ \& 4 \& 4 \& 25 \& -. \& - \& 31 \& 2 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{20} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Commercial Artists, etc. 1} \& - \& - \& 6 \& 7 \& 6 - \& 17 \& - <br>
\hline \& Total \& - \& - \& - \& 6 \& 7 \& 6 - \& 17 \& - <br>
\hline \& Miscellaneous \& 1 \& 7 \& 2 \& 43 \& 9 \& - - \& 57 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& Total \& 1 \& 7 \& 2 \& 43 \& 9 \& - - \& 57 \& 2 <br>

\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow{4}{*}{| Total for |
| :--- |
| Grade V |}} \& 1 \& 92 \& 91 \& 701 \& 63 \& 21 \& 719 \& 40 <br>

\hline \& \& 2 \& - \& - \& 1 \& - \& - - \& 1 \& - <br>
\hline \& \& 8 \& 3 \& 1 \& 6 \& 1 \& - - \& 1 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& \& 4 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - - \& - \& - <br>
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total} \& - \& 95 \& 92 \& 708 \& 64 \& 21 - \& 721 \& 41 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No．3．1－（Contd．）
Earners Classified according to Oecupatsonal Grade，Age，Seax，Educatran， Employment Statue and Income．

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 曷 } \\ & \text { 膏 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. 3,501-5,000 |  | Rs．7，501－10，000 |  |  |  | － |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 14 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 14 |
| － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 7 |
| － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| $\cdots$ | － | － | 1 | 1 | 5 | － | 1 | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | 8 |
| － | － | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 9 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 0 |
| － | － | 1 | 5 | 9 | 18 | － | － | $\square$ | － | － | － | － | 83 |
| － | － | 1 | 5 | 9 | 18 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 33 |
| 2 | － | － | － | － | 14 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 19 |
| 2 | － | － | － | － | 14 | 5 | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | － | 19 |
| 2 | － | 1 | 2 | 16 | 38 | 3 | 1 | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | － | － | 61 |
| 2 | － | 1 | 2 | 16 | 38 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 61 |
| 180 | 29 | 29 | 109 | 387 | 396 | 37 | 4 | 1 | － | － | － | 5 | 968 |
| 9 |  | 1 | 4 | ， | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 9 | － | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | 11 |
|  | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | － | $\square$ | － |
| 189 | 29 | 34 | 113 | 389 | 397 | 87 | 4 | 1 | － | － | － | 5 | 980 |

F．．． 13

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Clasbified according to Ocicupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


VI-CLERKS AND SHOP-ASSISTANTS.

| 1 | Clerks in Govt.- | 1 | - | - | 40 | 130 | 25 | - | 195 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | offices | 3 | - | - | 4 | 5 | 3 | - | 12 | - |
|  | Total | - | - | - | 44 | 135 | 28 | - | 207 | - |


| 2 Clerks in semi-Govt. | 1 | - | - | 9 | 28 | 11 | - | 48 | - |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| offices | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| Total | - | - | - | 9 | 28 | 14 | - | 51 | - |


| 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 60 | 42 | 8 | - | 112 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Clerks in private | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | - | 6 | - |
| establishments | 3 | 1 | 3 | 60 | 43 | 13 | - | 118 | 2 |

4 Accounts-clerks in

| private establishments | 1 | - | 1 | 38 | 7 | 2 | - |  | 47 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total $\quad-\quad-\quad 1$| 1 | 38 | 7 | 2 | - |  | 47 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

5 Cashiers in private establishments
Total $-2 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 8 \quad 1$
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrrr}6 \\ \text { Shop-Assistants and } & 1 & & 3 & 5 & 63 & 13 & - & - & 83 & 1 \\ \text { Salesmen } & 3 & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - \\ \text { Total } & - & & 3 & 5 & 64 & 13 & - & - & 84 & 1\end{array}$
7 Clerks in Military

| Services | 1 | 1 | 2 | 67 | 63 | 11 | - | 144 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Total } & - & 1 & 2 & 67 & 63 & 11 & - & 144 & -\end{array}$

TABLE No，3．1－（Contd．）
Earners Classified wecording to Occupational Grade，Age，Ses，Education， Employment Status and Income．

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䍖 } \\ & \text { 家 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8 <br> 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | 8 <br> 8 <br> $\mathbf{N}$ <br> $\mathbf{L}$ <br> 0 |  |  |  | － |
| － | － | 1 | 2 | 9 | 150 | 33 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 195 |
| － | － | － | －： | 2 | 10 | － | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | － | － | － | － | － | 12 |
| － | － | 1 | 2 | 11 | 160 | 33 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 207 |
| － | － | － | － | 6 | 40 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 48 |
| － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| $\cdots$ | － | － | － | 8 | 41 | 2 | － | － | － | － | T | － | 51 |
| $\cdots$ | － | 7 | 10 | ， 49 | 45 | ． 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 7 | 114 |
| －－ | － | － | 1 | 1 | 4 | － | － | $\leftarrow$ | － | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | 6 |
| － | － | 7 | 11 | 50 | 49 | 3 | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | $\rightarrow$ | 120 |
| 1 | － | 1 | 3 | 22 | 20 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 48 |
| 1 | － | 1 | 3 | 22 | 20 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 48 |
| － | － | － | 1 | － | 5 | 2 | － | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | － | 8 |
| $\cdots$ | － | － | 1 | － | 5 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| $\cdots$ | － | 3 | 14 | 42 | 23 | 2 | ， | － | － | － | － | － | 84 |
| $\cdots$ | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| － | $\cdots$ | 3 | 15 | 42 | 23 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 85 |
| $\rightarrow$ | － | 1 | － | 9 | 72 | 61 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 144 |
| $\cdots$ | － | 1 | － | 9 | 72 | 61 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 144 |

TABLE No. 3.1.
Earner Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 嵒 |
| 8 | Typists | 1 | - | - | 3 | 7 | 2 | - | 11 | - |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | Total | - | - | - | 3 | 8 | 2 | - | 12 | - |
| 9 | Stenographers | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | 6 | - |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | Total | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | 7 | - |
| $10^{\circ}$ | Miscellaneous | 1 | - | - | 5 | 7 | 4 | - | 16 | - |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | $\because$ | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | Total | $\cdots$ | - | - | 5 | 7 | 5 | - | 17 | - |
| - Total for Grade VI |  | 1 | 6 | 11 | 287 | 305 | 66 | - | 670 | 3 |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | 5 | 8 | 12 | - | 25 | - |
|  | Total | - | 6 | 11 | 292 | 313 | 78 | - | 695 | 3 |

VII-INTE'RMEDIATE PROFESSIONS AND SALARIED POSTS, SECONDARY TEACHERS, ETC.

1 Civil and Mechanical


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No．3．1－（Contd．）
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade，Age，Sex，Education， Employment Status and Income．

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 要 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Police Sub－Inspectors | 1 | － | － | 2 | 4 | 3 | － | 9 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | － | 2 | 4 | 3 | － | 9 | － |
| 6 | Accountants | 1 | － | － | 4 | 11 | 5 | － | 18 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | － | 4 | 11 | 5 | － | － 18 | － |
| 6 | Supervisors | 1 | － | 2 | 26 | 48 | 20 | － | 95 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | 2 | 26 | 48 | 20 | － | 95 | － |
| 7 | Station Masters \＆ Railway Guards | 1 | $\cdots$ | － | 4 | 3 | － | － | 7 | $\cdots$ |
|  | Total | － | － | － | 4 | 3 | － | － | 7 | － |
| 8 | Observers <br> （Meteorological） | $1$ | － | － | － | 2 | 5 | $\cdots$ | 7 | － |
|  | （Meteorological） | 3 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | － | － | 2 | 6 | － | 8 | － |
| 9 | Overseers | 1 | － | － | 4 | 4 | 9 | － | 17 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | － | 4 | 4 | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 17 | － |
| 10 | Auditops | 1 | － | － | 5 | 3 | － | － | 7 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | － | 5 | 3 | － | － | 7 | $\cdots$ |
| 11 | Bus Inspectors | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | － | 1 | 7 | － | － | 8 | － |
|  | Total | － | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | 7 | － | $\cdots$ | 8 | － |
| 12 | Chemista | 1 | － | － | 3 | 4 | 6 | － | 15 | － |
|  |  | 3 | $\cdots$ | － | － | $\ldots$ | 1 | － | 1 | － |
|  | Total | － | － | － | 8 | 4 | ＇7 | － | 14 | － |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earmert Classified aceording to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Cortd.)
Larnera Classified acoording to Ocoupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No．3．1－（Contd．）
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade，Age，Sex，Education， Employment Status and Income．

| Occupational Grade |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罰 } \\ & \text { 世 } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { 荨 } \end{aligned}$ | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total for Grade VII | 1 | － | 4 | 101 | 144 | 121 | － | 354 | － |
|  | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | 3 | － | 1 | 1 | 6 | 14 | － | 21 | － |
|  | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Tòtal | － | － | 5 | 102 | 150 | 135 | － | 375 | － |

VIII－MEDIUM BUSINESSES．

| 1 | Suppliers of Building materials | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | － | － | － | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | － | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | － | － | － | － |
| 2 | Grocers | 1 | － | 9 | 57 | 7 | 1 | 1 | － | － |
|  |  | 3 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | Total | － | － | 9 | 58 | 7 | 1 | 1 | － | － |
| 3 | Hotel and Restaurant owners |  | 1 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － |
|  |  |  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | Total | － | 2 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 1 | － | $\sim$ | － |
| 4 | Cloth－merchants | 1 | 1 | － | 14 | 2 | － | － | － | － |
|  | Total | － | 1 | － | 14 | 2 | － | － | － | － |
| －5 | Stationery \＆Cutlery merchants | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － |
|  | Total | － | 1 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － |
| 6 | Owners of Cycle shops | 1 | － | － | $5^{-}$ | 2 | － | － | － | $\cdots$ |
|  | Total | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | 5 | 2 | － | － | － | － |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Oceupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


| 4 | 14 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 18 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 14 | - | - | - | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 18 |  |
| 41 | 34 | - | - | - | 48 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 75 |  |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 42 | 34 | - | - | - | 48 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 76 |  |
| 1 | 22 | - | - | - | 11 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 23 |  |
| - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 1 | 23 | - | - | - | 12 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 24 |  |
| 11 | 6 | - | - | - | 14 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 |  |
| 11 | 6 | - | - | - | 14 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 |  |
| 10 | 12 | - | - | - | 15 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 22 |  |
| 10 | 12 | - | - | - | 15 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 22 |  |
| 3 | 4 | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |  |
| 3 | 4 | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |  |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 哭 |  |  |  |  |  | 硠 |
| 7 | Sweetmeat Sellers | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Traders in Brass \& Copper Utensils | 1 | 1 | - | 13 | 5 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
|  | Total | - | 1 | - | 13 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | Agents and Brokers | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | Printers | 1 | - | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ |
|  | Total | - | -. | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 11 | Tobacco, Bidi and Snuff merchants | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | Motor Transport Contractors | 1 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | - | $\cdots$ | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Fuel \& Coal merchants | 1 | - | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | - | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | Building Contractors | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | - | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 15 | Traders in Milk | 1 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 4 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |

TABLE No. 8.1-(Conta.)
Earnors Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Inoome.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to-Occupational Grade, Agé, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Casual workers |
| 16 | Goldsmiths \& Jewellers | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 17 | Dealers in spare-parts of Automobiles | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 18 | Miscellaneous | 1 | 10 | 16 | 60 | 16 | 4 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | 10 | 16 | 60 | 16 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Total for Grade VIII |  | 1 | 23 | 46 | 244 | 59 | 12 | 1 | - | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | m |
|  |  | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  | - | 24 | 46 | 245 | 59 | 13 | 1 | - | - |

IX-HIGHER PROFESSIONS AND SALARIED POSTS.

| 1 | Medical Practitioners (Physicians \& Surgeons) | 1 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 29 4 | - | 10 1 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | - | - | - | 1 | - | 33 | - | 11 | - |
| 2 | Lawyers | 1 | - | - | - | - | 23 | - | 2 | - |
|  | Total | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 23 | - | 2 | - |
| 3 | Professors | 1 | - | - | - | - | 16 | - | 15 | - |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | Total | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | 17 | - |

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified acoording to Occupational Grade, Ags, Sex, Education, Employment Stotus and Income.


TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according 'to Oceupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


X-OWNERS OF FACTORIES, LARGE SHOPS, ETC.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 \begin{array}{llllll}\text { Owners of Workshops } \\ \text { and Factories }\end{array} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 2 & 1 & - & -1 & -1\end{array}\right)$

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Oceupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Status |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \underline{7} \\ & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| - - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | 7 |
| - - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | 7 |
| - - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 25 |
| - - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 26 |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | $\square$ | - | - | - | $\theta$ |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| - - | - | - | - | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 1 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 4 | 2 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 31 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 15 |
| 31 | - | - | 1 | 2 * | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 15 |
| $25 \quad 24$ | - | - | 5 | 18 | 39 | 27 | 27 | 6 | 8 | - | - | 130 |
| - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 8 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $27 \quad 25$ | - | - | 5 | 23 | 40 | 28 | 27 | 6 | 9 | - | - | 138 |


| 1 | 16 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 16 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 8 | 4 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 17 | F... 14

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Oceupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  | Occupational Grade |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Owners of large shops | 1 | 2 | 4 | 57 | 12 | 4 | - - |
|  | . | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - - | - - |
|  | Total | - | 3 | 4 | 57 | 12 | 4 | - - |
| 3 | Miscellaneous | 1 | - | 1 | 17 | 8 | 4 | - - |
|  | Total | - | - | 7 | 17 | 8 | 4 | - - |
| Total for Grade X |  | 1 | 3 | 6 | 86 | 22 | 9 - | - - |
|  |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | - - | - - |
|  |  | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - - |
|  |  | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
|  | Total | - | 4 | 6 | 86 | 22 | $9 \sim$ | - - |

XI-PENSIONERS.

| Pensioners | 1 | 4 | 6 | 58 | 52 | 35 |  | 155 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total for Grade XI | - | 4 | 6 | 62 | 53 | 35 | - | 160 | - |

XII-begGars and prostitutes.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1 & \text { Beggars } & 1 & 4 & - & 3 & 2 & - & - & - & 3 \\ & & 3 & 5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\ & \text { Total } & - & 9 & - & 3 & 2 & - & - & - & 3\end{array}\right]$

TABLE No. 3.1-(Contd.)
Earnors Classified according to Oceupational Grade, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 8.1-(Contd.)
Earners Classified according to Occupational Grade, Age, Sex, Edueation, Employment Status and Income.

|  |  |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 蜀 |
| Total for Grade XII | 1 | 4 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | 3 | 17 | - | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | - |  | - | $\sim$ |
| Total | - | 21 | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |

XIII-UNEMPLOYEDS.

|  | 1 | 89 | 39 | 404 | 65 | 13 | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unemployeds | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 3 | 50 | - | 21 | 21 | 9 | - | - | - |
|  | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | -1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total for Grade XIII | - | 145 | 40 | 433 | 86 | 22 | - | - | - |


*The totals for all Grades under Employment Status are exclusive of unemployed
persons in Grade XIII persons in Grade XIII.

TABLE No. 3.1- (Contd.)
Earners Claseified aecording to Ocoupational Grade, Ago, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | - | 69 | 62 | 33 | 8 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 434 | 610 |
| - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 12 |
| - | - | 18 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 76 | 101 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| - | - | 89 | 65 | 37 | 8 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 523 | 726 |


| 20 4 | 20 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 6 | $7$ |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 40 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 7 | - | - | -- | - | - | $\xrightarrow{*}$ | - | 46 |


| 1301 | 499 | 495 | 1035 | 2528 | 1610 | 487 | 132 | 56 | 17 | 22 | - | 505 | 6887 | 6890 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 20 | -7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 42 | 78 |
| 235 | 19 | 542 | 316 | 216 | 60 | 6 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 7 | 83 | 1235 | 1285 |
| 1 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 12 | 13 |
| 1539 | 519 | 1066 | 1358 | 2748 | 1670 | 493 | 136 | 56 | 17 | 23 | 7 | 602 | 8176 | 817 |

19.6

In Grade VII there were about 5 per cent of the total earners. 70 per cent of them were in some supervisory administrative positions, 13 per cent secondary teachers and about 5 per cent were in intermediate occupations.

In Grade VIII, there were 388 earners or about 5 per cent of the total. About 25 per cent of them were grocers, about 20 per cent owners of stationery shops and about 10 per cent each were hotel owners and owners of cloth shops.

About 1.5 per cent of the total earners were in grade IX. A quarter of them were doctors, another quarter government officers, about 20 per cent lawyers and 15 per cent college teachers and lecturers. There were 127 earners in Grade X. Two-thirds of them owned big shops and about one-fifth were owners of factories and large workshops. Grade XI with about 2 per cent of the total earners was entirely of pensioners. Grade XII consisted of beggars and prostitutes. In all there were 12 beggars and 15 prostitutes in the sample. Grade XIII contained the unemployeds numbering 726. They are treated separately in the next chapter. Grade XIV constituted of those engaged in agriculture or cultivation, contained 46 earners.
4. Juvenile and Old Earners*: The proportion of juvenile earners i.e. those below 15 years of age in the sample was very small, less than one per cent of the total earners. The male juvenile earners were mainly found in Grade I. The remaining, about onefourth were scattered in Grades II, IV and V.

Of the 30 male juvenile earners, 23 were in Grade I. Of them 6 were casual workers, 5 servants in shops, 4 servants in hotels, 3 cowherds and one each a domestic servant, a watchman and , an assistant to a carpenter.A majority of them were illiterate. Of the 3 belonging to Grade II one was a gardener and 2 were weavers. Of the 2 in Grade IV, one had a vegetable shop and other a flour mill i.e. they were working in a concern owned by their families. Only one belonged to Grade V being a mukadam. A majority of the male juvenile earners had earned less than Rs. 250 and the others - had earned between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 during the previous year. In most cases the parents of these earners also belonged to the same occupations, i.e. juvenile workers usually worked in the same occupations as those of their parents. There were only 9 female juvenile earners in the sample, out of which 8 belonged to Grade I and the remaining one to Grade II. Of the 9,2 were bidi-workers, 3 domestic servants, 2 unattached casual workers and one an incense-stick

[^27]worker and the other a cane-worker. Only the latter two were literate. All of them had earned less than Rs. 250 during the previous year.

482 earners in the sample were more than 55 years old. These are called the old earners. Of them 395 were males and 87 females. Of the 395 males, 134 or about 33 per cent were pensioners. About 10 per cent each belonged to Grades I and II. More than 20 per cent were in business. The proportion of those who were engaged in lowest professions and administrative posts was about 6 per cent. In each of the remaining grades their proportion was 5 or less than 5 per cent:

Of the 87 female earners, 36 were in Grade I and 14 in Grade II. They were mostly domestic servants and cooks and ayahs; 20 were engaged in small business. There were only 4 female pensioners. The remaining 13 were scattered in other grades.

Of the male earners, about 20 per cent were illiterate and about 10 per cent were literate. About 30 per cent had been educated up to the middle school standard. About 30 per cent were matriculates or with higher educational attainments. Of the 87 females 69 were illiterate, only 3 were matriculates and only one had a postgraduate degree. The remaining 14 were literate.

Of the 395 male earners, 215 were salaried workers of whom 134 were pensioners. About 10 per cent of the éarners in this class had earned less than Rs. 250 during the previous year, about 20 per cent between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500, and about 30 per cent between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000. About 35 per cent had earned between Rs. 1001 and Rs. 3,500 in the previous year and the remaining had earned more than Rs. 3500. Those who earned more than Rs. 1,000 mainly belonged to occupational Grades VI, VII, IX, X and XI. 47 belonged to the class of employers. They were mainly to be found in Grades IV, VIII, IX and X. 10 of them had earned less than Ris: 1,000 in the previous year; 26 between Rs. 1,001 and Rs. 3,500 and the remainnig' had earned more than Rs. 3,500. 25 were casual, unskilled or semi-skilled workers. 108 were self-employed and were mainly found in Grades II to V, VIII and IX. One-third of them had earned up to Rs. 250, about one-half had earned between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 and the remaining had earned more than Rs. 500 in the previous year.

Of the 108 self-employed old earners, 18 had earned up to Rs. 250, 29 had earned between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 and 37 had earned between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000. The remaining 24 had earned more than Rs. 1,000 in the previous year.

Of the 87 female earners 44 were salaried workers and were mainly engaged in Grades I and II. 28 female earners had earned up to Rs. 250 during the previous year, 7 earned between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 and the earning of others were not very much higher than Rs. 500 . There were 10 old female earners who were casual workers and were mainly in Grade I. Half of them had earned up to Rs. 250 and the remaining had earned between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 during the previous year. 29 female earners were self-employed and of them, 20 had small shops. 10 of them had earned up to Rs. 250 and 11 of them had earned between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 and the remaining had earned more than Rs. 500 in the previous year. There were only 4 old female earners belonging to the category of employers. 3 of them had earned up to Rs. 1,000 and one of them had earned up to Rs. 5,000 in the previous year.
5. Female earners* : All the female earners in the sample formed about 14 per cent of the total earners. They belonged mainly to the first four occupational grades and only about 10 per cent were to be found in other grades. More than half of the female earners belonged to Grade I of unskilled manual workers. Of the 690 female earners in this grade 33 per cent were domestic servants and outnumbered the male domestic servants by a sizeable margin. About 24 per cent were bidi-workers, 11 per cent casual workers, 10 per cent sweepers and about 8 per cent each agricultural labourers, etc. and workers engaged in building, construction activity, etc., about 5 per cent were factory workers. In Grade II there were 122 female workers. More than half of these were cooks and ayahs and outnumbered male cooks in the sample. About 10 pèr cent were washerwomen and the remaining were textile workers, gardeners, potters, etc. Of the 73 female earners in Grade III, 31 were primary teachers and 25 nurses, compounders, etc. In Grade IV 23 females were hawkers, 66 had small shops selling fruits and vegetables, 20 had milk shops and the remaining had shops trading in other things. Of the 11 highly skilled female. workers in Grade V' 10 were tailors and one was a telephone operator. 24 female clerks in Grade VI were employed in government and other offices. In Grade VII of the 21 female workers 14 were secondary teachers. There were only 3 female earners in Grade VIII of medium business. In Grade IX there were 4 female medical practitioners and 2 college teachers and lecturers, a government officer and an editor. There were 5 female beggars; females made up the whole class of prostitutes.

The female earners were not prominent in skilled or highly skilled jobs. They were dominant in occupations such as domestic

[^28]servants, cooks, etc., which in a large measure are considered specifically women's occupations.
6. Eairnings of Female Earners*: As mentioned earlier, a majority of the female earners belonged to the first four occupational grades. A majority of them were in the first three earning ranges. About 45 per cent of the total female earners had during the previous year earned less than Rs. 250. Of these two-thirds belonged to the class of unskilled manual workers. Many of them worked as domestic servants and unattached casual workers. Less than one-fourth of the female bidi-workers had earned less than Rs. 250. In Grade II a number of female cooks belonged to the first earnings group. Of course these did not represent their total earnings because most of them got boarding at their employers and also free lodging in several cases. 28 per cent or 318 of the total female earners had eàrned between 'Rs.' 251 and Rs. 500. Here again a majority of them i.e. 188 belonged to occupational Grade I. Of them only 37 were domestic servants, 25 were unattached casual workers and 86 bidi-workers.

About 19 per cent or 213 of the total female earners had during the previous year earned between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000. Of them 125 belonged to Grade I; 46 of these were sweepers and 43 were bidi-workers. There were only 17 female earners in Grade II in this earnings range. Of the 34 female earners in Grade III who wére within this range, 15 were primary teachers and 6 each were nurses and office peong (in Municipal Girls' Schools)...

The 61 female earners with earnings ranging from Rs. 1,001 to Rs. 2,000 belonged mainly to Grade $V$ (where most of them were tailors), Grade VI (where most of them were clerks) and Grade VII (where again 10 out of 12 were secondary teachers).

6 female earners had earned during the previous year between Rs. 2,001 and Rs. 3,500. They were secondary teachers, and shop keepers. 4 female earners had earned between Rs. 3,501 and Rs. 5,000 . One of them was a head-mistress in a school, one a chemist, one a government officer and one owned a large shop. Of the two female earners with earnings above Rs. 10,000 one was a famous medical practitioner in the city and the other was a pensioner.

On the whole it will be found that the earnings of female earners were low. - This was mainly due to their main concentrations being in low paid occupations and partly because quite a large number of the female earners were part-time workers who had low earnings.

[^29]7. Literacy by Occupational Grades* : The earners in each grade have been classified by literacy into the following categories : (i) illiterates, (ii) literates i.e. who could read and write, (iii) upto matriculation, (iv) Matriculates, (v) Graduates and others with higher qualifications.

More than half of the total illiterate earners belonged to Grade I. Within that grade they accounted for a little over 60 per cent. Another 30 per cent in this grade were literates and the remaining had some further training. The proportion of illiterates showed a continuous fall from Grade I to to Grade X, only Grade IV breaking the descending sequence. In Grades VI, VII and IX illiterates were practically absent. In the cultivators, i.e. Grade XIV, illiterates wers 40 per cent.

The proportion of illiterates was higher among female than among male earners in the sample. Of the 691 female earners in Grade I, 624 were illiterate and were mainly engaged as domestic servants and bidi-workers. The percentage of literates' was high among male workers in this grade, particularly among the factory workers, assistants to artisans, packers and watchmen. In Grade II also twothirds of the female workers were illiterate, particularly the female textile workers and handloom weavers. Among the cooks and ayahs about 50 per cent were literate. Among the male earners in this grade a majority of the gardeners, washermen, bullock-cart drivers, nalband and padlock boys and moulders were illiterate. All the auto-rikshaw drivers were literate; the proportion of literates was high among cycle repairers, signboard painters and carpenters.

The proportion of illiterates in Grade III was the lowest among the first four grades. This was mainly because the grade contained such occupations as primary teachers, priests and astrologers, police, bus conductors which could not be practiced without some literacy. Among the office boys, etc. 90 per cent were literates.

In Grade V a little less than 10 per cent were illiterate. They were mainly wiremen, fitters, tailors and machine operators.

Among the earners in Grade VI, clerks in private establishments had comparatively less school or college education than the clerks in government offices. Typists and stenographers and tele. graph clerks were naturally literate in English and matriculates in many cases. In Grade VII, engineers were graduates. The proportion of literacy and higher education was naturally high in this grade. A majority of the secondary teachers, overseers,

[^30]demonstrators and research assistants were graduates. In Grade VIII again the proportion of illiterates slightly increased. The illiterates were not concentrated in any particular occupation though there were some shop-keepers like cloth merchants, chemists, fuel merchants amongst whom there was not even a single illiterate. The medical practitioners lawyers and professors from Grade IX were graduates and the remaining were all literates and educated in most cases. A few shop-keepers and factory owners in Grade $\mathbf{X}$ were illiterate while all the remaining were literate. Among the pensioners the illiterates were less than 2 per cent of the total in that grade.

All the beggars and prostitutes except a few were illiterate. Among the class of cultivators about 40 per cent were illiterate. The distribution of the earners in this grade according to literacy was quite similar to the distribution of unskilled workers in Grade I
8. Employment Status :-Of the total earners in the sample about 60 per cent were salary earners i.e. who got a weekly, fortnightly or monthly salary or a time rate. About 12 per cent were casual workers. The self-employed accounted for about 20 per cent and the remaining about 8 per cent belonged to the category of employers.

Between the male and female earners large differences in em'ployment status were found. For instance, about 62 per cent of the total male-earners were salaried workers whereas only 49 per cent female earners belonged to that class. On the other hand, only 9 per cent of the total male earners were casual workers as compared to 28 per cent of the female earners found in that class. The class of selfemployed earners showed more or less the same proportion in the case of both the male and female earners. About 8 per cent of the male earners were employers, the percentage for the females being only 2. A majority of the juvenile earners belonged to the class of salary earners and the casual workers.

In Grades I, II and V, the proportion of the salaried and casual workers was quite high. Out of these three grades, Grade II had the largest proportion of self-employed persons. As stated elsewhere more than 50 per cent of the total female earners belonged to Grade I. From these again a little more than 50 per cent were casual workers.

In Grades IV, VIII and X (business) the classes of self-employed persons and of employers were naturally dominant. The proportion of the self-employed earners was lower in Grade IV than in Grade VIII and the latter was in turn lower than that in Grade $\mathbf{X}$.

On the other hand, the proportion of employers was 10 per cent in Grade IV and it increased to 52 per cent in Grade VIII and to 90 per cent in Grade X.

90 per cent of the earners from Grade III were in the class of salary earners and the remaining mainly in the class of self-employed persons. More than 90 per cent of the earners in Grades.VI and VII belonged to the class of salaried workers. Cultivators from Grade XIV were almost equally divided between the categories of self-employed and the employers.
9. Duration of Employment in Present Occupation:-In Table 3.2 we give the distribution of earners according to the duration of their employment in the present occupation. The male and female earners did not show any significant variations from the general distribution of all the earners regarding this. Those who were in the present occupations for less than one year were not many and accounted for a little less than 10 per cent of the total earners. About 13 per cent were employed in the present occupations for 2 to 3 years. An almost equal proportion had been engaged for a period of 4 to 5 years. About 27 per cent of the earners were engaged for about 6 ta 10 years in the present occupations and about 15 per cent for about 11 to 15 years. The remaining, about 23 per cent, had been in their present occupations for more than 15 years. Between different occupational grades a similar distribution was noticed.
10. Main and Subsidiary Occupation :-Along with the main occupation of the earner we also collected information about his subsidiary occupation, if any. A little over 3 per cent of the total earners reported subsidiary occupations. Table 3.3 gives the subsidiary occupations of earners classified into the occupational grades according to their main occupation.

Of the 257 earners who reported subsidiary occupations, 60 per cent were salary earners, 21 casual workers, 51 were self-employed persons and 22 employers. Apart from the small percentage of earners with subsidiary occupations their distribution among the occupational classes (by their main occupation) was interesting. A little more than 40 per cent were unskilled, skilled and highly skilled workers from Grades I, II and V; another 40 per cent were from the salariat in Grades III, VI, IX and VII. The remaining were from business in Grades IV, VIII and X.

An examination of the subsidiary occupations practised shows that nearly a quarter of the earners were engaged in some kind of trade or business. About 14 per cent were pensioners, 40

TABLE No. 3.2
Distribution of Earners according to Duration of employment in their Present Ocoupation:


TABLE No. 3.2-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners according to Duration of employment in their Present Occupation.
Duration in Present Occupation.


TABLE No. 3.2-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earnera according to Duration of employment in thcir Present Occupatzon.

| Occupational Grade | Duration in Present Occupation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 甹 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{B} \\ & \dot{H} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | - |
| VI Clerks \& Shap Assistants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male adults | 10 | 10 | 27 | 42 | 53 | 100 | 207 | 137 | 89 | - | 675 |
| Male non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Female adults | - | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 2 | - | 25 |
| Female non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 10 | 10 | 29 | 44 | 58 | 104 | 216 | 138 | 91 | - | .700 |
| Intermediate Professions \& .Salaried Posis. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male adults | 3 | 2 | 17 | 31 | 25 | 47 | 88 | 63 | 94 | - | 370 |
| Male non-aduits | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Female adults | - | - | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 22 |
| Female non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 8 | 2 | 20 | 36 | 28 | 48 | 94 | 65 | 96 | - | 392 |

TABLE No. 3.2-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners according to Duration of employment in their Present Occupation.

| Occupational Grade | Duration in Present Occupation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $3 \mathrm{yrs} \text {, to } 5 \mathrm{yrs} \text {. }$ | $\cdot \operatorname{sx} 0[\text { of } \operatorname{sxs} 9$ |  |  |  | + |
| VIII Medium Businesses. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male adults | - | 2 | 8 | 20 | 23 | 57 | 101 | 54 | 119 | 1 | 385 |
| Male non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Female adults | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Female non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | 2 | 8 | 20 | 23 | 58 | 102 | 55 | 119 | 1 | 383 |
| IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male adults | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 24 | 18 | 65 | - | 130 |
| Male non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Female adults | - | $\rightarrow$ | $\div$ | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | 8 |
| Female non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |
| Total' | $\cdots$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 27 | 20 | 67 | - | 138 |

TABLE No. 8.2-(Contd.)

| Occupational Grade |  | Duration in Present Occupation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11 \mathrm{yrs} . \text { to } 15 \mathrm{yrs} \text {. }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { प्ष } \\ & \text { 荡 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| X Owners of factories, Largs Shops, etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Male adults . | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 32 | 20 | 50 | 1 | 126 |
|  | Male non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Female adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
|  | Female non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 32 | 20 | 51 | 1 | 127 |
| XI | Penaioners. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male adults | 2 | - | 7 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 24 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 155 |
|  | Male non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Female adults | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Female non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 2 | - | 7 | 6 | 11 | 12 | 26 | 10 | 26 | 61 | 166 |
| XII | Beggars and Prostitutes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male adults | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | 9 |
|  | Male non-adulta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Female adults | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 18 |
|  | Female non-adults | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 27 |

TABLE No．3．2－（Contd．）
Distribution of Earners according to Duration of employment in their Present Occupation．

| Dccupational Grade | Duration in Present Occupation． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 步 } \\ & \text { 荡 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gig } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \dot{B} \\ & -\mathbf{B} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |
| XIII Unemployed． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male adults | 88 | 66 | 123 | 136 | 73 | 74 | 43 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 610 |
| Male non－adults | 2 | － | 4 | 6 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 12 |
| Female adults | 21 | 6 | 17 | 28 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 101 |
| Female non－adults | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 3 |
| Total | 111 | 72 | 145 | 171 | 80 | 83 | 54 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 726 |
| XIV Cultivators． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male aduts | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 40 |
| Male non－adults | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 6 |
| Female adults | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 4 | － | － |
| Female non－adults | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Total | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 18 | 2 | 46 |
| Total Earners ineluding Unemployed Persons． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male adults | 195 | 153 | 381 | 553 | 437 | 908 | 1763 | 959 | 1459 | 79 | 6887 ． |
| Male non－adults | 10 | 2 | 10 | 16 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 42 |
| Female adults | 48 | 26 | 89 | 124 | 86 | 135 | 300 | 171 | 251 | 5 | 1235 ． |
| Female non－adults | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | 12 |
| Grand Total | 255 | 184 | 482 | 695 | 523 | 1047 | 2064 | 1130 | 1711 | 85 | 8176 |

TABLE No. 3.3
Substdary occupations of earners in different occupational grades.


TABLE No. 3.3-(Contd.)
Subsididry occupations of earners in different occupational grades.


TABLE No. 3.3-(Contd.)
Subsidiary accupations of earners in difforent occupational grades.

per cent of whom considered the pension that they were getting as a subsidiary source of income (obviously it could not be a subsidiary occupation in the proper sense). The others considered their pensioner status as dominant, the other occupation they were practising being considered as secondary. The next two subsidiary occupations reported were those of teacher and cutivator, 10 per cent of the earners being in each of these categories. Some of those reporting cultivation as a subsidiary occupation were possibly mere receivers of land rent.

Income from subsidiary occupation during the year preceding the survey was less than Rs. 250 in the case of half the earners with subsidiary occupations. It was between Rs. 251 and 500 in the case of 20 per cent and between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000 in the case of a further 14 per cent. In 9 per cent cases it was between Rs, 1,001 and Rs. 2,000. In the remaining cases income from the subsidiary occupation was not stated.

Nearly 70 per cent of the earners who had subsidiary occupations had incomes from their main occupations ranging from Rs.

501 to Rs. 2,000. In most cases income from the subsidiary occupation was less than that from the main occupation. It was the other way round in about 7 per cent cases. Perhaps in these cases it would have been better from the economic point of view, if the subsidiary occupations of these earners which brought in more than the occupation they reported as their main one, were treated as the "main" occupation. But we could have done this only by ignoring the expressed preference of these earners in describing from among then own, "main" and "subsidiary" occupations. We thought it better to let them stand as they were reported.
11. Employees and Employers :-There were 4,502 salaried earners in the sample. 45 per cent of them were Government servants, 32 per cent being employed by the Central Government and the rest by the State Government. Of the remaining, 477 or 11 per cent were employed by the Poona Municipal Corporation or similar semi-government bodies, about 7 per cent by banks, insurance and other registered companies. 193 were in private employment and the remaining 141 did not mention their employer.

Between the two groups of wards there were certain variations. For instance in the first group of wards less than 25 per cent of the earners were employees of the Central Government while in the additional group of wards this percentage was a little over 50 per cent. This was mainly due to such establishments as the Kirkee Ammunition Factory and the High Explosive Factory and such other Central Government establishments in the additional group of wards. On the other hand, in the first group of wards, there was a higher proportion than that among the additional wards of the salaried earners employed by the State Government, Corporation and like bodies, traders and shop-keepers.

If we look at the type of occupational employment provided by each of these employers we find that about one-third of the Central Government employees were unskilled workers, about $\mathbf{3 0}$ per cent skilled and highly skilled workers and 16 per cent clerks. About 31 per cent of the employees of the State Government were in the lowest professions like peons, policemen, etc. and a further 23 per cent were clerks.

Semi-government institutions like the Municipal Corporation, etc. employed mainly unskilled, skilled and highly skilled workers (constituting 55 per cent of the total employed by them) and lowest professionals like peons, etc. ( 23 per cent). Among the employees of banks, insurance companies, etc. 23 per cent were skilled workers, 20 per cent highly skilled workers, 18 per cent un-
skilled workers and 17 per cent clerks. Nearly 70 per cent of those employed by commerce, trade and industry were unskilled (30), skilled (20) and highly skilled (19) workers and further 18 per cent were clerks. Most of those employed as domestics were unskilled workers. The main employment given by schools and colleges was to primary, secondary and college teachers who formed 77 per cent of the total employed by them. About three-fourths of the employees of contractors were unskilled workers.

Of the 890 casual workers 231 were bidi-workers. They were mainly employed by bidi-manufacturers. In the case of the remaining casual workers the employer was not fixed in many cases because of the casual nature of their employment. The casual workers mainly belonged to the first occupational grade of unskilled workers.
12. Occupation and place of work: Distance from Resi-dence:-The earners were asked to state the place of work, the distance between the residence and the place of work and how they covered the distance. The data gathered did not display any direct relation between a particular occupation and distance between the residence and the place of work. Hence instead of taking each occupation separately we will take into account the distance covered. In the case of salaried workers about 6 per cent were not required to go outside their homes for work; in many cases they were provided with residential accommodation by the employer. They were mainly found in the first three occupational grades. In the case of casual workers about 15 per cent could work at their own residence. Many of them were bidi-workers who brought tobacco and bidileaves from the manufacturers and worked at home. In the case of the self-employed earners, a little over one-third worked at their residence, probably because their shops or establishments were located in the same building where they resided.

More than 40 per cent of the earners in the class of salaried workers had to cover a distance of about a mile only to reach the place of work. In the case of casual workers the proportion of such earners was a little over 30 per cent and it was slightly more in the case of the self-employeds,

About 20 per cent of the salaried workers had to cover a distance of about 2 miles. In the case of casual workers the proportion of such earners was about 5 per cent whereas in the case of self-employed persons it was just one per cent.

In the case of salaried workers about 15 per cent had to cover: a distance of 3 to 5 miles to reach the place of work, about 5 per cent
had to cover a distance of about 6 to 10 miles and about 5 per cent had to cover more than 10 miles to reach the place of work. In the case of about 4 per cent of the salaried workers, the place of work was uncertain because they were either engaged in some sort of inspection or maintenance or supply work. Between the groups of wards, there were some variations in the distance covered by the salaried workers. The earners belonging to the first group of wards had to cover a longer distance to reach the place of work. Particularly the workers who were engaged in the Ammunition Factory and the like establishments located in the additional group of wards had to cover a long distance.

In the case of casual workers, the table does not show any large percentage of those who had to travel long distances to reach the place of work. But this is a distorted picture. 40 per cent of the casual workers were unable to state the place of work because it was uncertain. The case of self-employed persons was similar. In the case of about 30 per cent of the earners the place of work was uncertain.
13. Distance from Residence and Means of Covering the Distance :-Of the total salaried workers about 50 per cent reached the place of work on foot, about 25 per cent went on bicycles, about 3 per cent by motor bus and about 7 per cent by railway. A little over ten per cent did not give any information on the point whereas about one-and-a-half per cent stated, that they had no fixed routine about the means of transport. They used all those mentioned above. Between the two groups of wards there were some variations in this regard. For instance, about 50 per cent of the earners in the first group of wards went to the place of work on foot and 28 per cent went on bicycles. In the additional group of wards the corresponding figures were 60 per cent and 20 per cent respectively.There was not much difference in other cases.

It would be normally expected that the longer the distance the lesser would be the number of persons going on foot to their work. When the place of work was about a mile from the residence more than three-fourths of the salaried workers went on foot and about 13 per cent on bicycles. With an increasing distance the proportion of those who went on foot decreased. When the distance was between 3 to 5 miles, 25 per cent of the salaried workers went on foot and a large majority went on bicycles. Those who had to travel a distance of 11 to 20 miles mainly went by railway whereas those who used the motor bus were mainly to be found among those whose place of work was between 2 to 10 miles away from their places of residence. It was noted that about 13 per cent or 554 of the sala-
ried workers did not furnish information relating to the means of covering the distance. 223 of them had their place of work at their residence only and in the case of 43 salaried workers it was a mile away from their residence so that in these cases the earners were most probably going on foot.

There were about 890 casual workers in the sample. About 600 of them went on foot irrespective of the distance they had to cover to reach the place of work. Only 29 went on bicycles, only one by motor-bus and only 4 by railway. 231 casual workers did not give any reply to the question bearing on this. 128 of them however, worked at home and 76 were unable to state the distance from the place of work as also the means of covering the distance. Taking into account the nature of work and employment and the amount they earned it was but natural that a great majority went on foot to their place of work.

Of the 1,539 self-employed earners, about 50 per cent went to the place of work on foot and about 5 per cent on bicycles. There were a few cases where the earners went by motor-bus or by railway. 636 earners, however, did not answer this question. 442 of them worked at their residence only so that actually we are left with 194 self-employed earners. Out of these 194, the place of work or the distance covered was uncertain in the case of 142. Of the remaining 52,38 had to cover a distance of a mile only which presumably they did on foot or on bycicles, so that actually this information was not available in the case of about only one per cent of the self-employed persons.
14. Occupations and Earnings*:-Table 3.1 presents information regarding the annual earnings of earners classified into occupational grades. Table 3.4 gives the 1st quartile, median and 3rd quartile earnings of the various grades. These have been calculated after classifying the earners in the different earning classes. In all thère are 10 earning ranges of varying mangnitudes. We now proceed to examine the distribution of earnings in the different occupational grades.

In Grade I 96 per cent of the earners were in classes where the earnings were less than Rs. 1,000 . The middle fifty per cent of earners earned between Rs. 216 and Rs. 750. Three-fourths of the unskilled workers in factories were in the bracket, Rs. 501-1,000: Half the bidi-workers earned between Rs. 251-500 each. Among the casual workers 36 per cent earned less than Rs. 250 another 36 per cent between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 and a further 30 per cent between

[^31]TABLE No. 3.4.
The 1st quartile, median and $3 r d$ quartile earnings of earners in various grades.

|  | Occupational Grades | 1st quartile | Median | 3rd quartile |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| I | Unslkilled Manual Work | 216 | 460 | 750 |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 364 | 633 | 910 |
| III | Lowest Professions, Administrative |  |  |  |
|  | Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. | 560 | 761 | 960 |
| IV | Small Businesses | 338 | 531 | 774 |
| V | Highly skilled \& Supervisory |  |  |  |
|  | Manual Work | 671 | 1,000 | 1,570 |
| VI | Clerks and Shop-Assistants | -929 | 1,394 | 1,839 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried |  |  |  |
|  | Posts, \& Secondary Teachers, etc. | 1,415 | 2,037 | 2,961 |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | 1,359 | 1,719 | 2,351 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | 2,165 | 3,571 | 5,658 |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. | 3,775 | 4,550 | 6,842 |
| XI | Pensioners | 438 | 900 | 1,800 |
| XII | Beggars and Prostitutes | 139 | 307 | 636 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 195 | 415 | 1,266 |

Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000. Three-fourths of the domestic servants earned less than Rs. 250, but many of them were part-time workers. In Grade II the first quartile earning was Rs. 364 and the median Rs. 633. Nearly 45 per cent of the earners in the grade were concentrated in the earning bracket Rs. 501-1,000. Only one per cent éarned more than Rs. 2,000 annually. About 70 per cent of the carpenters were equally divided in the two earning brackets, Rs. $501-1,000$ and Rs. 1,001-2,000. More than half the gardeners were in the bracket Rs. $501-1,000$ while the remaining were in lower earning brackets. Two-thirds of the workers in the textile mill were in the earning bracket, Rs. 501-1,000. Among cooks 45 per cent earned less than Rs. 250 and 27 per cent earned between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 though it must be borne in mind that most of them got free boarding and in many cases also free lodging at their employers'. In Grade III 63 per cent of the earners were in the earning bracket Rs. 501-1,000, the 1st quartile, median and third quartile earnings in this grade differed uniformly. The median earnings were Rs. 761 and the 1st and 3rd quartile earnings differed from it by Rs. 200 on either side. Of the primary teachers half were in the bracket of Rs. 1,001-2,000 and 38 per cent in Rs, 501-1,000. About 83 per cent of the police were in the bracket Rs. 501-1,000.

Slightly more than fourth-fifths of the earners in Grade IV were to be found in the earning brackets Rs. 251-500 and 501-1,000.
the latter containing 50 per cent of the earners. The general level of earnings in this grade was lower than in Grades II and III. The third quartile earnings were Rs. 791 as compared to the corresponding figure of Rs. 750 in Grade I. One-third of the vegetable sellers earned less than Rs. 250 and 37 per cent between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500. About 40 per cent of the hawkers were in the bracket Rs. 251500 and 30 per cent in Rs. 501-1,000. Half the milkmen earned between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000 and 40 per cent between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500. More than two-thirds of the grocers were in the bracket Rs. 501-1,000.

In Grade V the earning level moved up as a whole. 82 per cent of the earners were to be found in the earning brackets of Rs. $501-1,000$ and .Rs. 1,001-2,000. The median income was Rs. 1,000. About 55 per cent of the wiremen and fitters were in the bracket Rs. 1,001-2,000 and 33 per cent in Rs. 501-1,000. Nearly 54 per cent of the tailors earned between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000 and 15 per cent between Rs. 1,001 and Rs. 2,000. More than half the Mukudams and Mistries were in the bracket Rs. 1,001-2,000 and 35 per cent in Rs. 501-1,000. • Half the motor drivers earned between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000 another 30 per cent earning between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500.

More than half the earners in Grade VI were in the earning bracket Rs. 1,001-2,000. The median and third quartile earnings were Rs. 1394 and Rs. 1839 respectively. Among clerks in civilian government employ, 80 per cent were in the bracket Rs. 1,001-2,0co and 15 per cent in Rs. 2001-3500. Among those, however, in military employ 50 per cent were in Rs. 1,001-2,000 and 40 per cent in Rs. 2,001-3,500. About 40 per cent each of the clerks in private employ were in the brackets Rs. 1,001-2,000 and Rs. 2,001-3,500. Half the shop assistants got between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000 and 25 per cent between Rs. 1,001 and Rs. 2,000.

In Grade VII, 82 per cent of the earners were almost equally divided between the earning brackets Rs. 1,001-2,000 and Rs. 2,0013,500. The first quartile earnings were Rs. 1415 and the third quartile earnings Rs. 2951 only a little more than twice the former. 56 per cent of the secondary teachers were in the bracket Rs. 1,0012,000 and 24 per cent in the bracket Rs. 2,001-3,500. Half of the supervisors were in the bracket Rs. 2,001-3,500 and 31 per cent in Rs. 1,001-2,000.

In Grade VIII 70 per cent of the earners earned between Rs. 1,001 and Rs. 2,000 and 24 per cent between Rs. 2,001 and Rs. 3,000. The median earning was Rs. 1719. It was larger by Rs. 360 than
the first quartile earnings and smaller than the 3rd quartile earnings, by Rs. 632. There were no marked concentrations in any of the sub-classes in this grade. The group of hotel keepers was rather large and 50 per cent of them were in the earning bracket Rs. 1,0012,000 , while the remaining 50 per cent were in the range Rs. 2,0013,000 . In the rather large miscellaneous group three-fourths of the earners were in the bracket Rs. 1,001-2,000.

There were no earners in Grade IX in the first two earning brackets. The earners were rather evenly distributed among 3rd through to the 7th earning brackets. Nearly 7 per cent were in the category of earnings of more than Rs. $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$. The middle fifty per cent of the incomes were between Rs. 2165 and Rs. 5658. Thirtysix per cent of the medical practitioners earned between Rs. 2,001 and Rs. 3,500 and 24 per cent between Rs. 3,501 and Rs. 5,000. Of the pleaders one-third were in the bracket Rs. 1,001-2,000 and 46 per cent in Rs. 2001-5,000. 44 per cent of the administrative officers got between Rs. 5,001 and Rs. 7,500.

Of the total earners in Grade X 64 per cent had earnings between Rs. 3,001 and Rs. 5,000 and about 8 per cent had earnings of more than Rs. 10,000 . The first quartile earnings were less than the median by about Rs. 775 but the median was smaller than the 3rd quartile earnings by Rs. 2292. Half the owners of big shops were in the bracket Rs. 3,501-5,000 and 20 per cent in Rs. 2,001-3,500. Thirty per cent of the oweners of factories earned between Rs. 7,501 and Rs. 10,000 each and 24 per cent each between Rs. 5,001 and Rs. 7,500 and between Rs. 3,501 and Rs. 5,000.

There was relatively even distribution of earners in the first four earning brackets in Grade XI. The median earnings were about two times the first quartile earnings and the third quartile earnings were in turn double that of the median.

In Grade XII 45 per cent of the persons were earning less than R̂s. 250 each annually. The median earnings were Rs. 307. Most of the beggars were in the lowest earning bracket. Similarly a large proportion of the prostitutes were earning less than Rs. 500 each.

Two-thirds of the earners in Grade XIV were in the first two earning brackets. The median earnings were Rs. 415.

The table can be studied from another angle, that of examining what contribution is made by each grade to the different earning brackets. However, this as well as the dispersion of earnings in different grades and their sub-classes can be broadly examined,
by studying the ratio of 1st quartile to 3rd quartile earnings and the ratio that these earnings individually bore to the median earnings in each of the grades. An examination of dispersion of earnings by considering the lowest and the highest earnings giye a rather distorted picture of dispersion especially when the earning classes are open-ended. A better and representative picture is given by ..comparing the 1st and 3rd quartile earnings. Instead of considering extremes, we compare the limits of earnings of the middle fifty per cent of earners in each grade. This ratio gives us the limits of dispersion. The median earnings give the middle point and the ratio of 1 st and 3 rd quartiles to median furnish an idea as to the dispersion of earnings in the lower and the upper stretches. As is obvious if there is no dispersion all the ratios mentioned will be 1: 1. Table 3.5 gives these ratios for different grades.

TABLE No. 35.
Indices of Dispersion of Earnings in different Occupational Grades. .

|  | Occupational Grade | Ratio of 1st quartile to median earnings <br> (1) | Ratio of 1st quartile to 3 rd quartıle earnings <br> (2) | Ratio of median to 3rd quartile earnings <br> (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Unskilled Manual Work | 1:3.47 | 1:2 13 | 1:1.63 |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 1:2.50 | 1:1.74 | 1:1.44 |
| III | Lowest Professions \&Administrative Posts | 1:1.71 | 1:136 | 1:126 |
| IV | Small Businesses | 1:2.30 | 1:157 | 1.1.46 - |
| V | Highly skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | - 1.2 .32 | 1149 | 1:1.57 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop-Assistants | 1:198 | $1 \cdot 150$ | 1:1.32 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts \& Secondary Teachers, etc. | $1 \cdot 2.08$ | 1:1.44 | 1.1 .45 |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | 1:1.73 | 1:126 | 1:1.37 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | 1:2.61 | 1:1.65 | 1:1.58 |
| X | Owners of factories, large Shops, etc. | 1:1.81 | 1:1.21 | 1:1.66 |
| X1 | Pensioners | 1:4.11 | 1:2.05 | 1:2.00 |
| XII | Beggara \& Prostıtutes | 1:4.58 ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | .1:2.21 | 1:2.08 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 1:6.49 | 1:2.13 | 1.3.05 |

Dispersion of earnings in a grade may arise because earnings in it are really dispersed or because the category is not homogenous and contains persons of diverse attainments who are placed together
because of the vagaries of classification. The second type may be illustrated by physicians and medical practitioners. They range from licentiates to specialists, pure consultants to those who run nursing homes and hospitals, general practitioners to surgeons, etc. The levels of earnings are different for these different types of persons who are put under the common title of 'doctors' or 'physicians' in an occupational classification. The class cannot be called heterogeneous but it contain's very diversely qualified persons. The other type of dispersion can be illustrated by referring to the class of cultivators (shown separately as Grade XIV). All are cultivators in this class but their earnings differ because the size of their holdings differ. Their earnings are as a result different.*

The highest degree of dispersion is displayed in Grades I, XI XII, XIV. Next to these come Grades II, IV, V and IX. The least degree of dispersion is shown by Grade III. In the remaining the degree of dispersion is only slightly higher than in Grade III. The dispersion in the lower and upper half of the middle 50 per cent of earnings was higher in the lower half in Grades I to IV, VI and IX and higher in the upper half in Grades V, VIII, X, in both cases irrespective of whether the total degree of dispersion in any grade was high or low. This would broadly indicate that in lower income grades there is a limit to the high earnings (This is so by definition for Grade IV) that can be had but there is no bottom for the lowest earnings. On the other hand, in the higher earnings grades there is a definite lower limit below which earnings do not sink but there is no limit to the highest income that can be earned (except of course in the case of administrative officers, etc.) relative ofcourse to the highest earning class in our classification.

[^32]
## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER III.

DISTRIBUTION OF EARNERS ACCORDING TO INDUSTRY AND OCCUPATION AS PER THE I:S.I. CLASSIFICATION.

The occupational classification adopted by us, in Chapter III is not of a universal character. It is regional and local. It is also not purely economic but socio-economic. For the analysis of the Poona data it is more meaningful than any other classification, But for all-India comparisons, etc., it is of limited use.

For presenting the Poona-data in a manner which can be com:parable with data from other cities we, at the suggestion of the Research Programmes Committee, had tabulated our data according to the industrial and occupational classification evolved by the Indian Statistical Institute. Before presenting it, some remarks on this scheme of classification are necessary.

The International 'Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) prepared and sponsored by the Statistical Commission of the United - Nations was adopted by the 1951 Census of India after making certain modifications and changes in order to suit Indian conditions.. It is known as the Indian Census Economic Classification (ICEC). Under the ISIC scheme the unit of classification is the organized establishment. Under the ICEC the unit of classification is the in dividual.

Both these were considered and further modified by the Indian Statistical Institute in the light of the analysis of the data of the National Sample Survey. These modifications were needed "firstly, to make the classification more suitable for Indian conditions, secondly, to remove confusion in regard to two distinct concepts, in.dustry and occupation........ The industry of an earner is that branch of gainful economic activity in which he is engaged in producing either goods or services. His occupation is the name of the function which he performs by engaging himself in that particular branch of gainful economic activity which is his industry."*

In the modified classification prepared by the Indian Statistical Institute "each gainfully occupied person is classified by the sectur of economic activity where the person is engaged, the sector being composed of both organized establishments and individuals as they exist in real life. The sectors of economic activities are classified

[^33]in this scheme according to the goods and services produced. This' mixed unit is considered to be the most suitable unit for the country where highly developed industries exist side by side with cottage industries run in some cases even by single individuals." *

In Table 3.6 is presented the distribution of earners in the present survey by industry. We do not propose to elaborately comment on these data as detailed comments on all the aspects of these data from the view point of a different classification have already been made. Attention may be drawn to some points of difference between the two groups of wards.

In Division ' $O$ ' which consists of earners in agriculture, livestock, forestry, fishing, etc., there were 4.5 per cent of the earners in the first group of wards whereas in the other group the proportion was double this figure. This was mostly due to the semi-rural character of many of the wards in this group. It may be noted here that in the 1937 Survey which covered mainly the Poona City Municipal and Suburban Municipal Area, the proportion of earners in this division was as low as 2.5 per cent.

Between the two groups of wards another notable point of difference was the high percentage of earners in manufacturing industry in the additional group of wards. 30 per cent of the earners were in the manufacturing industry in the first group whereas in the additional group they were 40 per cent. In Divisions 4,5 and 9 there was not much difference between the two groups of wards. The major difference was found in Division 6 of trade and commerce. In the first group of wards about 19 per cent of the earners belonged to this group whereas in the additional group of wards only 12 per cent of the earners were found there. The proportion of earners in this industry in the two groups of wards were 9 per cent and 5 per cent respectively. The first group of wards had about 30 per cent of the earners in Division 8 whereas in the second group of wards only 26 per cent were in that division.

Comparison with the Census Economic Classification in 1951 :-It would be interesting to compare the distribution of earners as presented above with that given in the District Census Handbook for 1951. Such a comparison can only be of limited significance for several reasons. Firstly the two classifications used are comparable over a certain range and with certain manipulations. The following gives the main divisions in the two classifications:-

Division 0 in the two classifications is not comparable because the Census classification excludes those connected with agriculture.

| Ceneus Economic Classification (Industries and Services). | 15.1. Modified Classificatian. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Division | Division |
| 0 : Primary Industries not elsewhere Specified. | a-Agriculture, Livestock, Furestry, Fishing and Hunting. |
| 1: Mining and Quarrying. |  |
| 2: Processing and manufar ture-food stuffs, textiles, | 1-Mining and Quarrying. <br> 2-Manufacturing. |
| thereof. | 3-Manufacturing. . |
| 3: Processing and manufac-ture-metals, chemicals and products thereof. |  |
| 4 : Processing and manufac-ture-not elsewhere speelfied. | 4-Construction. |
| 5 : Construction and Utilitues. | 5-Electricity, Gas, Water and Sanitary Services. |
| 6 : Commerce. | 6-Distributive Services <br> (Trade and Commerce). |
| 7: Transport, Storage and Communications. | T-Transport, Storage and Communications. |
| 8 : Health, Education, Public Administration. | 8-Services. |
| 9 : Services not elsewhere specified. | 9-Activities not adequately described. |

Division 1 is comparable in both. Divisions 2, 3 and 4 together from the Census classification are comparable with Divisions 2 and 3 together in the ISI classification. Division 5 in the Census classification can be compared with Divisions 4 and 5 together in the ISI classification. Divisions 6 and 7 individually are comparable in both. Divisions 8 and 9 together are comparable in both.

Secondly, the Census classification is not of all earners but of "self-supporting" persons." In the present inquiry we did not make any distinction between self-supporting persons and earning dependents. All of those who earned at all and even those who were unemployed and, therefore, coud not earn but who were actively looking for work were treated by us as earners. The total number of earning

[^34]TABLE No. 3.6.
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

|  |  |  | , | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry \& Occupation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |

INDUSTRY DIVISION 0-AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK, FOREŚTRY, FISHING AND HUNTING.

Occupational Division 0.
Managerial, administra
tive \& executive occupa

Total $-2-2 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 1-$
Occupational Division 1.
Professional, teechnical
\& related occupations

Occupational Division 2.
Subordinate administ-
rative \& executive

| occupations | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total
Occupational Division 3.


Total $-\quad-\quad-11$|  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Occupational Division 4.
Sales \& related occupa-
tions (subordinate) 1
Total
Occupational Division 5 .

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Subordinate | 1 | 90 | 8 | 47 | - | 1 | - | 42 | 30 |
| technical occupations | 2 | 8 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 8 | 2 |
|  | 8 | 56 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 12 | 36 |
| Total | - | 149 | 8 | 52 | - | 1 | - | 57 | 68 |

[^35]TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distmbution of Earners in sample famulies according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Educatron, Employment Status and Income.

| Status |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | Rs. 7,501-10,000 |  |  |  | - |


| 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 2 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 2 | 6 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | T | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\div$ | 8 |
| 47 | 27 | 26 | 49 | 50 | 12 | 4 | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 146 |
| - | - | 8 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 5 |
| $\theta$ | 2 | 40 | 17 | 1 | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 59 |
| 56 | 29 | 69 | 68 | 51 | 18 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 210 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Industry \& Occupation. <br>  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 吅 |
| Occupational Division 6. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| technical occupations | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| Total | - | 11 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 7 | 6 |
| Total-Industry Division '0' 1 |  | 101 | 14 | 59 | 1 | 3 | - | 57 | 34 |
|  | 2 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 8 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 59 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 14 | 37 |
| Total | - | 163 | 14 | 65 | 1 | 8 | - | 74 | 74 |

INDUSTRY DIVISION 1-MINING AND QUARRYING.
Occupational Division 4.
Sale \& related oceu-
pations (subordinate)
1
Total
Occupational Division 5.
Subordinate technical
occupations
Total
Occupational Division 6.
Subordinate technical
Subordinate technica
occupations
Total
Occupational Division 6.
Subordinate technical
Subordinate technica
occupations
Total
Occupational Division 6.
Subordinate technical
3
5


Subordinate technical
occupations
Total

| 1 | 6 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | 6 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| 1 | 11 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | 11 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| - | 13 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | 13 | 1 |

TABLE No. 8.6-(Contd.)
Diatribution of Earners in eample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distributian of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


INDUSTRY DIVISION 2-MANUFACTURING.
Occupational Dvision 0.
Managerial, administra-
tive \& executive occupa-
tions (non-technical) $1 \quad-\quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 2 \quad-\quad-\quad 1 \quad 1-$

Occupational Division 1.
Professional, technical
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { \& related occupations } & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 2 & - & 3 & -\end{array}$

Occupational Division 2.

| Subordinate administ- <br> rative \& executive | 1 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 1 | - | 20 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| occupations | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| Total | - | 8 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 1 | - | 24 | 1 |

Occupational Division 3.

| Ministerial occupations | 1 | - | - | 11 | 6 | - | - | 16 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | - | - | - | 11 | 6 | - | - | 16 | - |

Occupational Division 4.

| Sales \& related | 1 | 8 | 5 | 33 | 2 | 1 | - | 9 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| occupations | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | 8 | 6 | 33 | 2 | 1 | - | 9 | - |

Occupational Division 5.
Subordinate technical
occupations

|  | 3 | 156 | 11 | 28 | - | - | - | 4 | 171 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | -1 | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | - | 258 | 83 | 303 | 4 | 1 | - | 135 | 290 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Eduoation, Employment Status and Inoome.

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rs. 1,001-2,000 |  | 8 0. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Rs. 5,001-7,500 | Rs. 7,501-10,000 |  |  |  | Fĩ E. |
| - | 92 | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 10 |
| - | 9 | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 10 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | $\cdots$ | 3 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| - | 4 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 25 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| - | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 29 |
| 1 | - | 2 | - | 7 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 二 | 17 |
| 1 | - | 2 | - | 7 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 |
| 16 | 24 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 49 |
| - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 16 | 25 | 1 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 50 |
| 171 | 32 | 40 | 103 | 224 | 66 | 9 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 449 |
| - | - | 2 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 20 | - | 51 | 99 | 44 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 195 |
| 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 192 | 32 | 96 | 202 | 268 | 67 | 9 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 649 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation,'Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Industry \& Occupation. |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupational Division 6. <br> $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Subordinate } & 1 & 1 & 31 & 9 & 38 & 2 & 1 & - & 64 & 9\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| technical | 2 | - | - | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |
| occupations | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| Total | - | 37 | 10 | 41 | 2 | 1 | - | 72 | 8 |
| Occupatianal Division 7. Service occupations | 1 | 7 | 3 | 13 | - | - | - | 19 | 4 |
|  | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Total | - | 8 | 3 | 14 | - | - | - | 20 | 5 |
| Total-Industry Division 2 | 1 | 150 | 98 | 384 | 19 | 6 | - | 261 | 131 |
|  | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | - | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 167 | 13 | 30 | - | - | - | 17 | 171 |
|  | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Total | - | 319 | 111 | 419 | 19 | 6 | - | 280 | 305 |

INDUSTRY DIVISION 3-MANUFACTURING.
Oceupational Division 0.
Managerial, administra-
tive \& executive occupa-
tions (non-technical) $1 \times 1 \begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 3 & - & 6 & -\end{array}$
Total
Occupational Division 1.
Professional, technical
\& related occupations
Total
1

| 3 | - | 17 | 8 | 11 | - | 22 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | - | 17 | 8 | 11 | - | 22 | 2 |

TABLE No．8．6－（Contd．）
Distribution of Earners in sampls families according to Industry and Oceupation，Age，Sex，Education，Employment Statua and Income．

| Status Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { 鬲 } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 8 <br> 8 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 苞号 } \end{aligned}$ |  | ？ |
| 71 | 11 | 14 | 41 | 12 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 81 |
| 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| 1 | 3 | － | 1 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 9 |
| $9 \quad 1$ | 14 | 14 | 43 | 17 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 91 |
| －－ | 9 | 6 | 7 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 23 |
| －－ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 |
| －－ | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| －－ | 10 | 6 | 7 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 25 |
| 19570 | 65 | 133 | 308 | 106 | 23 | 9 | 3 | 4 | － | － | 6 | 657 |
| 1 | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 4 |
| 211 | 56 | 99 | 49 | 6 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 210 |
| 1 － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 |
| 21871 | 126 | 232 | 358 | 112 | 23 | 9 | 3 | 4 | － | － | 7 | 874 |

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}
- & 13 & - & - & 1 & 2 & 8 & 7 & 5 & - & - & - & 1 & 19 \\
- & 13 & - & - & 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 5 & - & - & - & 1 & 19
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}
9 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 17 & 7 & 1 & 1 & - & \dot{2} & - & - & 39 \\
9 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 17 & 7 & 1 & 1 & - & 2 & - & - & 39 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

TABLE No. 8.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in bample families according to Industryं and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.
E.

Occupational Division 2.
Subordinate administ-

| rative \& executive | 1 | 31 | 11 | 95 | 35 | 15 | - | 183 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| occupations | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| Total | - | 36 | 11 | 95 | 35 | 15 | - | 188 | 1 |

Occupational Division 3.
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Ministerial occupations } & 1 & 2 & - & 68 & 53 & 10 & - & 133 & - \\ & 3 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 3 & -\end{array}$


Occupational Division 4.

| Sales and related occu- | 1 | 4 | 4 | 35 | 15 | 3 | - | 9 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pations (subordinate) | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Total | - | 4 | 4 | 36 | 15 | 3 | - | 10 | - |

Occupational Division 5.

| Subordinate technical | 1 | 34 | 8 | 52 | 1 | - | - | 45 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| occupations | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total | - | 39 | 8 | 52 | 1 | - | - | 45 | 4 |

Occupational Diviaion 6.

| Subordinate |  | 1 | 203 | 95 | 591 | 35 | 7 | - | 759 | 43 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| technical | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| occupations |  | 3 | 43 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 26 | 10 |
|  |  | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total - |  |  | 247 | 97 | 592 | 35 | 7 | - | 786 | 54 |

Occupational Division 7.
Service occupations
Total

| 1 | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | 7 | - |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | 7 | - |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Industry \& Occupation. |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 㫛 |
| Total Industry Division 3 | 1 | 278 | 119 | 875 | 151 | 49 | - | 1164 | 49 |
|  | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
|  | 3 | 53 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 84 | 11 |
|  | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total | - | 332 | 121 | 878 | 152 | 50 | - | 1200 | 61 |

INDUSTRY DIVISION 4-CONSTRUCTION.
Occupational Division 1.
Professional, technical

| \& related occupations | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | $3 \cdot$ | 5 | - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - |

Occupatronal Division 2.
Subordinate administ-
rative \& executive
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { occupations } & \ldots & \ldots . & 1 & 3 & 2 & 15 & 4 & 6 & - & 27 & - \\ \text { Total } & & - & 3 & 2 & 15 & 4 & 6 & - & 27 & -\end{array}$

Occupational Division 3.
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Ministerial occupations } 1 & - & - & 6 & 6 & 1 & - & 13 & -\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Total } & - & - & - & 6 & 6 & 1 & - & 18 & -\end{array}$
Occupational Division 4.
Sales \& related occupa-

| tions (subordinate) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | - | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |

Occupational Division 5.

| Subordinate | 1 | 97 | 24 | 75 | 2 | - | - | 30 | 124 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| technical | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| occupations | 3 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 26 |
| Total |  |  | 126 | 24 | 75 | 2 | - | - | 30 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllllllllll}
2 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & - & - & - & 7 \\
2 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 2 & - & - & 2 & - & - & - & 7
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllllllllll}
3 & - & 1 & - & 9 & 14 & 4 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & 30 \\
3 & - & 1 & - & 9 & 14 & 4 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & 30
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
8 & 13 & - & - & - & 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 & - & 5 & - & - & 16 \\
3 & 13 & - & - & - & 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 & - & 5 & - & - & 16
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
37 & 7 & 24 & 70 & 79 & 24 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 198 \\
- & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2 \\
=1 & - & 18 & 9 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 27 \\
38 & 7 & 44 & 79 & 79 & 24 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 227
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


INDUSTRY DIVISION 5-ELECTRICITY, GAS, WATER AND
SANITARY SERVICES.
Occupational Division 1.
Professional, technical

| \& related occupations | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 4 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 4 | - |

Occupational Division 2.
Subordinate administrative \& executive
occupations
Total

| 1 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 1 | - | - | 23 | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 13 | - |
| - | 17 | 4 | 14 | 1 | - | - | 36 | - |

Occupational Division 8.

| Ministerial | 1 | - | - | 4 | 7 | 1 | - | 12 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| occupations | 8 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| $T o t a l$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according ta Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Industry \& Occupation. <br>  |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 爵 } \\ & 4_{4}^{4} \\ & \text { \$ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Salaried workers |  |
| Occupational Division 5. Subordinate technical occupations | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - - | 2 | - |
| Total | - | - | - | 2 | - | - - | 2 | - |
| Occupational Dvision 6. Subordinate technical occupations | 1 | 8 | 2 | 26 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 30 | 6 |
| Total | - | -8 | 2 | 26 | - | 1 | 30 | 6 |
| Occupational Division 7. Service occupations | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - - | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - - | 1 | - |
| Total | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| Total Industry | 1 | 19 | 6 | 43 | 8 | 4 | 72 | 7 |
| Division 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 6 | - | 1 | 16 | - |
| Total | - | 27 | 7. | 49 | 8 | $5-$ | 88 | 7 |

[^36]TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earnore in sample families acoording to Industry and Oceupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Statue and Income.


$$
\overline{F . . .17}
$$

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample' familice according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

Industry \& Oceupation.


Occupational Division 2.
Subordinate administ rative \& executive


Total $-\quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & - & 22 & 5 & 3 & \sim & 30 & -\end{array}$
Occupational Diviszon 3.

| Ministerial | 1 | - | 2 | 36 | 24 | 10 | - | 70 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| occupations | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Total | - | - | 2 | 36 | 26 | 10 | - | 72 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pational Division 4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales \& related | 1 | 142 | 98 | 589 | 80 | 22 | 1 | 162 | 13 |
| occupations | 2 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| (Subordinate) | 3 | $115^{*}$ | 6 | 25 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 4 |
| Total | - | 257 | 104 | 619 | 81 | 22 | 1 | 169 | 17 |

Occupational Division 5.

| Subordinate | 1 | 12 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | 9 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| technical occupations | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | 13 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | 9 | 6 |

Occupational Division 6.

| Subordinate technical | 1 | 81 | 5 | 24 | 2 | - | - | 36 | 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| occupations | 3 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| Total | - | 44 | 5 | 24 | 2 |  | - | 36 | 31 |

Ocoupational Division 7 .
Service occupations

$$
\begin{array}{lccccccccc}
\text { Service occupations } & 1 & 5 & - & 4 & - & - & - & 5 & 2 \\
& 8 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
* T o t a l & - & 6 & - & 4 & - & - & - & 5 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

TABLE No. 3.6- (Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Status |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{4} \end{aligned}$ | 8 0. 0. in in in | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \underset{7}{7} \\ & \dot{8} \\ & 80 \\ & \text { Mi } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Rs. 7,501-10,000 |  |  |  | 끈 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 31 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 81 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 38 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 72 |
| - - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 24 | 39 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 74 |
| 537220 | 67 | 206 | 358 | 198 | 43 | 26 | 3 | 4 | 2 | - | 25 | 932 |
| 1 | 2. | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 1382 | 59 | 62 | 23 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 147 |
| 676222 | 128 | 271 | 881 | 198 | 44 | 27 | 3 | 4 | 2 | - | $26^{\circ}$ | 1084 |
| 3 | 1 | 6 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| 1 | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 4 - | 2 | 6 | 11 | = | "m | $\bigcirc$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | m | $\cdots$ | 19 |
| 61 | 10 | 23 | 19 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 62 |
| 1 - | - 8 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 13 |
| 71 | 18 | 28 | 19 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 78 |
| 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 10 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Industry \& Occupation. |  |  | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 気 |
| Total Industry | 1 | 191 | 106 | 683 | 113 | 39 | 1. | 320 | 41 |
| Division 6 | 2 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | - |
|  | 3 | 130 | 6 | 25 | 3 | - | - | 5 | 16 |
| Total | - | 321 | 112 | 713 | 116 | 39 | 1 | 329 | 57 |



TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Larners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
- & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 4 \\
- & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 4
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
- & 1 & - & - & 1 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 4 \\
- & 1 & - & 1 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 4
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{rrrrrrrlllllll}
1 & - & 4 & 4 & 38 & 29 & 18 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 93 \\
- & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2 \\
1 & - & 4 & 4 & 38 & 31 & 18 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 95
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
- \\
2
\end{array}-\quad \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 2 & 4 & 55 & 12 & - & - & - & - & & 74 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample familiea according to Industry and Oecupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Statua and Income.


| Occupational Division 5. Subordinate technical occupations | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| Occupational Division 6. Subordinate technical | 1 | 136 | 35 | 173 | 19 | 1 | - | 208 | 76 |
| accupations | 3 | 26 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 17 |
| Total | - | 162 | 35 | 173 | 20 | 1 | - | 209 | 93 |
| Occupational Division 7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Service occupations | 1 | 3 | - | 4 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 5 | - |
|  | 3 | 1. | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| Total Industry | 1 | 149 | 43 | 270 | 85 | 13 | - | 392 | 77 |
| Division 7 | 3 | 29 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | 17 |
| Total | - | 178 | 43 | 270 | 89 | 13 | - | 396 | 94 |

INDUSTRY DIVISION 8-SERVICE.
Occupational Division 0.
Managerial, administ-
rative \& executive

| occupations | 1. | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 12 | - | 19 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| (non-technical) | 3 | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | 19 | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 13 | - | 20 | - |

Occupational Division 1.
Professional, technical \& related
occupations
Total

| 1 | 20 | 8 | 83 | 53 | 136 | - | 180 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 22 | 19 | 20 | - | 57 | - |
| - | 25 | 10 | 105 | 72 | 156 | - | 237 | 4 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families aocording to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \underset{1}{7} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 8 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 |  | 8 <br> 8 <br> 0 <br>  <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 | * 흥 용品 |  |  | \% |
| - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 71 | . 9 | 32 | 61 | 142 | 114 | 8 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 - | 364 |
| 9 | - | 18 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 |
| 80 | 9 | 50 | 68 | 143 | 115 | 8 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 391 |
| 2 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 78 | 13 | 38 | 71 | 192 | 210 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 560 |
| 12 | - | 18 | 8 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 33 |
| 90 | 13 | 56 | 79 | 193 | 216 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 693 |

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
- & 7 & - & - & 4 & 1 & 9 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 2 & - & - & 26 \\
- & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & -1 \\
- & 7 & - & - & 4 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 2 & - & - & 27 \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
91 & 25 & 12 & 38 & 61 & 85 & 60 & 22 & 13 & 2 & 4 & - & 3 & 300 \\
9 & 2 & 2 & 8 & 22 & 30 & 4 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 68 \\
100 & 27 & 14 & 46 & 83 & 115 & 64 & 23 & 13 & 2 & 5 & - & 3 & 368 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.

| Industry \& Occupation. |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupational Division 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subordinate administ- | 1 | 72 | 67 | 281 | 35 | 33 | - | 479 | 2 |
| rative \& executive | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| occupations | 3 | 37 | 2 | 8 | - | 2 | - | 49 | - |
| Total | - | 109 | 69 | 290 | 35 | 35 | - | 528 | 2 |
| Oceupational Divìsion 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| occupations | 3 | - | - | 4 | 6 | 5 | - | 15 | - |
| Total | - | 1 | 3 | 68 | 188 | 49 | - | 306 | 1 |
| Occupational Division 4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions (subordinate) | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | 1 | 2 | 15 | 5 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Occupational Division 5. Subordinate technical | 1 | 45 | 19 | 42 | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | - | - | 99 | 4 |
| occupation | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| ; | 3 | 11 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 11 | 1 |
| Total | - | 57 | 19 | 44 | - | - | - | 112 | 5 |
| Occupational Division 6. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| occupations | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | 3 | 11 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 12 | 2 |
| $\cdots$ - . Total | $\square$ | 53 | 16 | 71 | 9 | 4 | - | 145 | 8 |
| Oceupational Diviaion 7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service occupations | 1 | 104 | 37 | 121 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 175 | 10 |
|  | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | 1 |
| $\therefore$ | 3 | 267 | 12 | 52 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 313 | 11 |
|  | 4 | 5. | - | - |  |  | - | 5 | - |
| Total | - | 380 | 49 | 174 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 497 | 22 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Status and Income.


TABLE No．3．6－（Contd．）＇
Distribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Oecupatzon，Age，Sex，Education，Employment Status and Income．

| Industry \＆Occupation． |  | 琞䔍莒 | Education |  |  |  | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 第 |
| Total Industry | 1 | 287 | 151 | 678 | 293 | 228 | 1 | 1377 | 27 |
| Division 8 | 2 | 5 | － | 4 | － | － | － | 7 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 331 | 18 | 90 | 27 | 34 | 1 | 458 | 14 |
|  | 4 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | 5 | － |
| Total | － | 628 | 169 | 772 | 320 | 264 | 2 | 1847 | 42 |

INDUSTR P division 9－ACTIVIties not adequately described
Occupational Division 1.

| Professional，technical <br> and related occupations | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |

Occupational Division 2.
Subordinate
administrative and executive occupations

$$
\text { Total }-\quad-\quad 2 \quad 1 \quad-\quad-\quad-\quad 2
$$

Occupational Division 3.
Ministerial occupations $1 \quad-\quad-1-1-1-$
Total－$-\infty-1 \rightarrow 1-$


TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distrubution of Earners in sample families acoording to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Statue and Ineome.

| Status |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 8 0 0 0 0 N N M | 8 8 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| 17266 | 91 | 201 | 646 | 428 | 190 | 42 | 21 | 4 | 6 | - | 13 | 1642 |
| - 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| $27 \quad 2$ | 267 | 89 | 85 | 48 | 4 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 501 |
| - - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 19969 | 368 | 291 | 734 | 476 | 194 | 45 | 21 | 4 | 7 | - | 17 | 2157 |


| 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 3 | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - | $-$ | 1 |
|  | - | - | - | 1 | 'T | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 1 |

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Dintribution of Earners in sample families according to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sext, Education, Employment Status and Income.
(

Occupational Division 6.

| Subordinate technical | 1 | 8 | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | 3 | 7 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| occupations | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Total | - | 10 | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | 3 | 9 |

Ocoupational Division 7.

| Service occupations | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Total | - | 6 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |


| Total Industry | 1 | 10 | 10 | 14 | - | - | - | 10 | 10 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Division 9 | 3 | 6 | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - | 1 | 8 |  |
|  | Total | - | 16 | 10 | 14 | - | - | - | 11 | 13 |


| - | 1 | 1335 | 583 | 3154 | 684 | 335 | 2 | 3761 | 558 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grand Total* | 2 | 13 | - | 17 | - | - | - | 20 | 7 |
|  | 3 | 843 | 39 | 155 | 31 | 42 | 1 | 556 | 319 |
|  | 4 | 7 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 5 | 3 |
| Total | - | 2198 | 622 | 3328 | 715 | 397 | 3 | 4342 | 887 |

* For all earners in the sample families excluding unemployeds, pensioners, beggare and prostitutes.

TABLE No. 3.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of Earnere in sample families aecording to Industry and Occupation, Age, Sex, Education, Employment Statua and Inceme.


| 1295 | 499 | 407 | 941 | 2455 | 1562 | 471 | 124 | 53 | 16 | 81 | - | 63 | 6113 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 18 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 80 |
| 217 | 19 | 515 | 807 | 209 | 69 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | 6 | 5 | 1111 |
| 1 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 0 |
| 1515 | 819 | 049 | 1255 | 2668 | 1621 | 477 | 128 | 68 | 16 | 21 | 6 | 69 | 7268 |

TABLE No．3．7．＊
Distribution of earners in sample families according to main Occupational Division．

|  |  |  |  | Education |  | Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Division |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䍐 } \\ & \text { 药 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Occupational Division 0.

| Managerial，administ－ <br> rative \＆executive occu－ | 1 | 2 | 7 | 25 | .15 | 18 | - | 32 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| pations（non－technical） | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | -1 | - |
| Total | - | 2 | 7 | 25 | 15 | 19 | - | 33 | - |

Occupational Division 1.

| Professional，technical |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \＆related | 1 | 27 | 11 | 109 | 63 | 160 | - | 226 | 8 |
| occupations | 3 | 5 | 2 | 22 | 15 | 24 | - | 57 | - |
| Total | - | 32 | 13 | 131 | 78 | 184 | - | 283 | 8 |

Occupational Division 2.

| Subordinate | 1 | 130 | 86 | 494 | 111 | 64 | - | 860 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| administrative \＆ | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| executive occupations | 3 | 56 | 3 | 12 | - | 2 | - | 73 | - |
| Total | - | 186 | 89 | 507 | 111 | 66 | - | 983 | 6 |

Occupational Division 8.

| Ministerial | 1 | 3 | 5 | 218 | 323 | 69 | - | 612 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| occupations | 3 | - | - | 6 | 12 | 7 | - | 23 | - |
| Total | - | 3 | 5 | 224 | 385 | 76 | - | 635 | 2 |

－Oceupatianal Division 4.

| Sales \＆related | 1 | 158 | 114 | 683 | 106 | 27 | 1 | 184 | 13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| occupations | 2 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| （subordinate） | 3 | 115 | 8 | 26 | 1 | - | - | - | 4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 273 | 122 | 725 | 107 | 27 | 1 | 189 | 17 |

＊This table gives details regarding earners in individual occupational divisions． It is only by way of a summary table and has been compiled from Table 3.6 for convenience of reference．

TABLE No. 3.7- (Contd.)
Distribution of earners in sample families according to main Occupational Division.


| 1 | 34 | - | 1 | 8 | 6 | 17 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 3 | - | - 3 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 1 | 34 | - | 1 | 8 | 6 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 3 | - | 3 | 68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | 32 | 19 | 41 | 74 | 110 | 70 | 23 | 17 | 5 | 8 | - | 3 | 870 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 22 | 30 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 68 |
| 113 | 34 | 21 | 49 | 96 | 140 | 74 | 24 | 17 | 5 | 9 | - | 3 | 438 |
| 5 | 14 | 31 | 43 | 471 | 195 | 112 | 26 | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | 885 |
| - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | 9 | 6 | 52 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 73 |
| 5 | 15 | 40 | 49 | 524 | 199 | 112 | 27 | 5 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 8 | 959 |
| 4 | $\square$ | 10 | 14 | 102 | 877 | 112 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 618 |
| 2 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 25 |
| 6 | - | 10 | 16 | 107 | 395 | 112 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 643 |
| 596 | 306 | 52 | 223 | 879 | 230 | 103 | 45 | 18 | 4 | 8 | $\square$ | 87 | 1099 |
| 1 | - | 8 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\square$ | - | 6 |
| 132 | 14 | 59 | 63 | 25 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150 |
| 729 | 320 | 114 | 289 | 404 | 230 | 104 | 46 | 18 | 4 | -2 | - | 88 | 1255 |

TABLE No. 3.7- (Contd.),
Distribution of earners in sample families according to main Occupational Division.


Occupational Division 6.

| Subordinate technical | 1 | 511 | 172 | 962 | 70 | 16 | - | 1265 | 223 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| occupations | 2 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 4 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 130 | 4 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 63 | 67 |
|  | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total |  | 644 | 176 | 971 | 71 | 16 | - | 1322 | 292 |

Oceupational Division 7.

| Service occupations | 1 | 121 | 43 | 152 | 3 | 2 | - | 213 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 4 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 279 | 10 | 52 | - | 6 | 1 | 315 | 18 |
|  | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 3 | 2 |
| Total | - | 409 | 53 | 206 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 535 | 39 |
| Grand Total * | 1 | 1335 | 583 | 8154 | 684 | 355 | 2 | 8761 | 558 |
|  | 2 | 18 | - | 17 | - | - | - | 20 | 7 |
|  | 8 | 848 | 89 | 155 | 81 | 42 | 1 | 656 | 818 |
|  | 4 | 7 | - | 2 | - | , | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| Total | - | 2198 | 622 | 3328 | 715 | 397 | 3 | 4342 | 887 |

[^37]TABLE No．3．7－（Contd）
Distribution of earners in sample families according to main Oceupatronal Divsion．

| Status |  |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䍘 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { 膏 } \\ & \text { 邑 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8 <br> 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | 8 8 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  | F |
| 302 | 72 | 103 | 272 | 477 | 143 | 18 | 6 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1027 |
| － | － | 7 | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 11 |
| ， 34 | 3 | 118 | 132 | 49 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 301 |
| 1 | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 |
| 337 | 75 | 231 | 406 | 528 | 145 | 18 | 6 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1342 |
| 204 | 39 | 144 | 248 | 815 | 467 | 34 | 9 | 3 | － | － | － | 11 | 1731 |
| 1 | － | 4 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 6 |
| 20 | － | 74 | 31 | 28 | 7 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 140 |
| － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| 225 | 39 | 223 | 280 | 844 | 474 | 34 | 9 | 3 | － | － | － | 11 | 1878 |
| 79 | 7 | 52 | 99 | 129 | 34 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 321 |
| － | － | 4 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 6 |
| 20 | － | 253 | 65 | 21. | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | 6 | 3 | 348 |
| － | － | 5 | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | 5 |
| 99 | 7 | 314 | 165 | 150 | 34 | 5 | － | － | － | － | 6 | 6 | 680 |
| 1295 | 499 | 407 | 941 | 2455 | 1562 | 471 | 124 | 53 | 16 | 21 | － | 63 | 6113 |
| 2 | 1 | 18 | 7 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 30 |
| 217 | 19 | 515 | 307 | 209 | 59 | 6 | 4 | － | － | 4 | 6 | 5 | 1111 |
| 1 | － | 9 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 9 |
| 1515 | 519 | 949 | 1255 | 2668 | 1621 | 477 | 128 | 53 | 16 | 21 | 6 | 69 | 7263 |
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dependents in the Poona Corporation area according to the 1951 Census was, however, relatively small $(14,768)$ as compared to the total number of self-supporting persons $(1,57,266)$. So that broadly a comparison was possible between our sample and the 1951 Census. The 1951 Census again did not present this information regarding the population of the two cantonments and so a comparison, within the limitations discussed above, could be made only for the Poona Corporation area.

Table 3.8 gives the percentage distribution of self-supporting persons by industries at the 1951 Census and the distribution of earners in our sample, both being for the Poona Municipal Corporation area.

TABLE No. 3.8
Distmbution of Self-supporting earners by Industry at the 1951 Census and of .earners in the family sample for the Poona Municnpal Corporation area.

| ICEC Classification 1951 CensusPoona City Corporation Area. |  |  |  | ISI Classification Famly Sample Poona City Corporation Area. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Div. | No. | \% |  | No. | $\%$ |  |
| 0 | 1.121 | 0.8 |  | 233 | 3.6 |  |
| 1 | 747 | 0.5 |  | 19 | 0.3 | - |
| 2 | 13,704 | 9.4 |  | 775 | 12.2 |  |
| 8 | 14,176 | 9.7 |  | 1,148 | 18.2 |  |
| 4 | 9,985 | 6.8 |  | 390 | 6.2 |  |
| 5 | 9,637. | 6.6 | , | 91 | 1.4 |  |
| 6 | 25,929 | 17.7 |  | 1,166 | 18.5 |  |
| 7 | 10,698 | 7.3 |  | 565 | 8.9 |  |
| 8 | 28,208 | 19.3 . |  | 1,904 | 30.1 |  |
| 9 | 31,882 | 21.9 |  | 37 | 0.6 |  |
| Total | 1,46,087 | 100\% |  | 6,328 | 100\% |  |

The agreement is fairly close in mining and quarrying, construction and utilities, commerce and transport, storage and communications. There was a marked difference in regard to persons engaged in manufacturing. There were 26 per cent of the self-supporting persons in Divisions 2, 3 and 4 of the ICEC; while in the corresponding Divisions 2 and 3 of the ISI there were 33 per cent of the total earners from our sample. The largest disparity was in Divisions 8 and 9 ; while only 30 per cent of the earners in our sample were in these-divisions about 41 per cent of the self-supporting persons at the 1951 Census were in.these divisions.

Classification of earners 'by industry and occupation :-The ISI classification of industry and occupation is a very elaborate one containing hundreds of sub-divisions. A presentation of the earners in our sample in all these minute details was not likely to serve any useful purpose. It was also unnecessary for analytical or other purposes. We, therefore, decided to present details regarding the main industry and occupational divisions, and also details regarding the such sub-divisions in each of the main divisions as contained at least 75 or one per cent of the total earners in the sample. In Table 3.9 the earners are classified by industrial divisions and sub-divisions and by the main occupational divisions. In Table 3.10 the distribution of earners into the main and subsidiary occupational divisions classified by the main industry division is presented. The two tables together give us an adequate picture of the industrial and occupational structure in Poona.

From Table 3.9 it is clear that 'manufacturing' industry (Divisions 2-3) employed nearly one-third of the total earners. Another 29 per cent were in 'services' (Division 8). About a quarter more were in 'Commerce' and transport (Divisions 6 and 7). A little more than 5 per cent each were in agriculture, forestry, fishing, etc., (Division 0) and construction (Division 4). In manufacture the main industries were manufacture of ammunition and explosives, bidi-making, cotton textiles, repairing and servicing of motor vehicles and brass and copper industry. Nearly 30 per cent of the total earners in this group were employed in the manufacture of ammunition and high explosives, about 10 per cent in bidi-making, 8 per cent in cotton textile manufacturing, 5 per cent in repairing and servicing of motor vehicles and 4 per cent in manufacturing brass and copperware. In the 'services' division, of the total earners employed, about one-fifth were in domestic service, about 16 per cent in Government administrative departments, a little more than 10 per cent in educational services, 7 to 8 per cent each in state police and armed forces, and in public health and medical services and about 7 per cent in the Municipal serviees. Of the total earners in trade and commerce a little more than 5 per cent were in wholesale trade, others being mainly in retail trade. Of those engaged in transport 30 per cent were in the railways, 18 per cent in motor transport and an equal proportion in carting agencies, etc.'

An examination of the distribution of earners into the main occupational divisíns shows that a little more than 43 per cent were in subordinate technical occupations, about 17 per cent were in sales and related occupations (subordinate), 13 per cent in subordinate administrative and executive occupations, 9 per cent each in service

TABLE No. 3.9.
Earners in sample families classifed by Industry, Divisions and sub-Divisions, and by Occupational Division.

|  | Industry-Divisions and Sub-divisions |  | Occupational Division, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3. | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| 000 | Production of Cereal Crops | 1 | - | 1. | - | - | 129 | - | - | 131 |
|  | Others from Division 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 81 | 14. | - | 115 |
| \% | Total for Division 0 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 210 | 14 | - | 246 |
|  | Earners from Division 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | 10 | - | 13 |
|  | Total for Division 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | 10 | - | 19 |
| 220 | Manufacture of Bidi | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 231 | 3 | 2 | - 245 |
| 272 | Making of Textile garments | - | - | - | - | 1 | 194 | 3 | 1 | 199 |
|  | Others from Division 2 | 6 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 48 | 224 | 85 | 22 | 430 |
|  | Total for Division 2 | 10 | 3 | 29 | 17 | 50 | 649 | 91 | 25 | 874 |
| 322 | Manufacture of Ammunition, Explosions \& fire works | 3 | 9 | 147 | 95 | 6 | 28 | 423 | 5 | 716 |
| 356 | Manufacture of Brass \& bell metal products | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | 11 | - | 77 | - | 95 |
| 373 | Repairing \& Servicing of motor vehicles | 3 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 93 | 1 | 122 |
|  | Others from Division 3 | 12 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 44 | 70 | 385 | 1 | 600 |
|  | Total for Division 3 | 19 | 39 | 192 | 136 | 62 | 100 | 978 | 7 | 1533 |

TABLE No. 3.9-(Contd.)
Earners in sample familes classified by Industry, Divisions and sub-Divisions, and by Occupational Division.


Earners in sample families classified by Industry, Dvvisıons and sub-Divisions, and by Occupational Division.


* For all earners, excluding the unemployeds, pensioners, beggars and prostitutes.

TABLE No. 3.10
Earners from sample famelies classified by Occupational, divzsions and sub-divistons, and by Industry divzsion.


TABLE No. 3.10-(Contd.)
Earners from sample famılies classified by Occupational, divisions and sub-divsions, and by Industry division.

| Occupational Divisions and sub-divisions |  | Industry Division. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 | Retail Dealers | 7 | 1 | 35 | 19 | - | - | 556 | - | 14 | - | 632 |
| 401 | Retail shop-assistants | 1 | - | 8 | 6 | - | - | 159 | 1 | 1 | - | 176 |
| 402 | Hawkers | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 153 | - | 1 | - | 158 |
| 410 | Wholesale dealers | - | - | 3 | 27 | 1 | - | 129 | 1 | 2 | - | 163 |
|  | Others from Division 4 | - | - | 3 | 8 | 15 | - | 87 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 126 |
|  | Total for Division 4 | 8 | 1 | 50 | 63 | 16 | - | 1084 | 7 | 24 | 2 | 1255 |
| 503 | Agricultural labour | 92 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 92 |
| 507 | Gardeners | 8 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 64 | - | 80 |
| 538 | Makers of bidi, snuff, etc. | - | - | 234 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 235 |
| 550 | Tailors, cutters | - | - | 197 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 202 |
| 561 | Carpenters | - | - | 51 | 31 | 60 | - | - | 4 | 15 | 1 | 162 |
| 573 | Other construction workers | - | - | - | 3 | 108 | - | - | 1 | 10 | - | 122 |
|  | Others from Division 5 | 110 | 8 | 166 | 61 | 59 | - | 18 | - | 28 | - | 450 |
|  | Total for Division 5 | 210 | 8 | 649 | 105 | 227 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 120 | 1 | 1343 |

TABLE No．8．10－（Contd．）
Earners from sample families classified by Occupational，divisions and sub－divisions，and by Industry division

| Occupational Divisions and aub－divisions |  | Industry Division． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 宫家置荡苞菅宫蚵 <br>  9 | Total |
| 604 | Mechanics and fitters | － | － | 9 | 218 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 37 | 13 | － | 288 |
| 632 | Motor vehicles＇drivers | － | 1 | － | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 23 | － | 102 |
| 635 | Cartmen， | 2 | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | 5 | 67 | － | 1 | 79 |
| 639 | Luggage－porters | － | 1 | 2 | － | 6 | － | 17 | 98 | 3 | － | 127 |
| 670 | Skilled labour | － | － | 8 | 48 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 78 |
| 672 | Unskilled labour | 9 | 8 | 43 | 335 | 83 | 10 | 33 | 40 | 73 | 7 | 641 |
|  | Others from Division 6 | 3 | － | －26 | 370 | 6 | 22 | 14 | 79 | 36 | 7 | 563 |
|  | Total for Division 6 | 14 | 10 | 91 | 978 | 108 | 37 | 75 | 391 | 154 | 20 | 1878 |
| 700 | Cooks，Butlers，etc． | － | － | 5 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 100 | － | 106 |
| 701 | Domestic servants | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 282 | － | 283 |
|  | Others from Division 7 | － | － | 20 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 235 | 8 | 289 |
|  | Total for Division 7 | － | － | 25 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 617 | 8 | 678 |
|  | Grand Total＊ | 246 | 19 | 874 | 1533 | 403 | 96 | 1302 | 593 | 2157 | 40 | 7263 |

and ministerial occupations and 6 per cent in professional, related and technical occupations. Only 3 per cent were in managerial, administrative and executive occupations (non-technical). Of those engaged in subordinate technical pccupations, 20 per cent were unskilled labourers, 9 per cent mechanics and fitters, 7 per cent makers of bidis, snuffs, etc., 6 per cent tailors and cutters, 5 per cent carpenters, 4 per cent luggage porters and 3 per cent motor drivers. Of those in sales and related occupations (subordinate) half were retail dealers, 13 per cent each were hawkers and wholesale dealers and 14 per cent retail shop assistants. Among those employed in subordinate administrative and executive occupations ä little more than half were police constables, bearers, peons, darwans, erand runners, etc. and 31 per cent were supervisors, inspectors, etc. and their assistants. Nearly four-fifths of these earners in ministerial occupations were clerks, other than accounts clerks and cashiers. In the service occupations 42 per cent of the earners were domestic servants, ayahs, etc. and 16 per cent cooks, butlers, etc.

Comparison with the 1937 Survey :-On the occasion of the present survey we took the opportunity of retabulating completely all the material gathered in the household sample of the 1937 Survey from the original schedules. In doing this we also got the earners in the 1937 Survey classified according to the ISI scheme for comparison with the data gathered in the present survey. To this we now turn. The present survey covered a wider area than that covered in the 1937 Survey. For comparison, therefores we have utilised below data from the present survey relating to the same area as covered by the 1937 Survey. Tables 3.11 and 3.12 give the distribution of earners in the 1937 Survey according to industry and occupation and Tables 3.13 and 3.14 the distribution of earners in the present survey (in the comparable area) by industry and occupation.

The comparison between these data has to be made in terms* of percentages rather than absolutes because the sizes of the samples at the two surveys were not the same. Such a comparison sheds light on the relative strength of different industries and occupations in the structure of employment in Poona. Looking at the distribution ortarners according to industry it seems that the proportion of those engaged in servicéndustries had remained unaltered at 30 per cent in both the surveys. The proportion of those engaged in transport storage and communications had increased slightly from 8 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 9 per cent in the present one. The more notable increase was in the proportion of those engaged in manufacturing, i.e. from 25 per cent in the 1937 Survey to 30 per cent in the
present one. In all other industries the proportion of earners in the present survey was lower than in the 1937 Survey. The largest decrease was among those engaged in trade and commerce, their proportion declining from 23 in the 1937 Survey to 19 in the present one. The proportion of those engaged in construction had also declined from about 8 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 5 per cent in the present one. In the 1937 Survey the proportion of those engaged in agriculture, livestock, etc., was 6 per cent; it was 4.5 per cent in the present survey.

On the whole, however, the changes in the relative strength of industries in Poona between the two surveys were narrow and small. They do not make a marked impression on the structure of employment as it existed at the time of the 1937 Survey. If we remember that the sample size at the present survey was roughly half of that of the 1937 Survey we realise that about twice the number of earners were finding employment in the same industries, as at the 1937 Survey, with only slight variations. The development between the surveys is pronouncedly of the lateral type, an extention of the existing avenues of employment only.

Occupation-wise also the changes are of small magnitude. There were increases in the proportion of earners engaged in subordinate administrative and executive occupations and ministerial occupations in the present survey as compared with the 1937 Survey. Their proportion in the total increased from 8.3 and 7 per cent respectively in the 1937 Survey to 12.5 and 10 per cent respectively in the present one. There were, however, decreases in the proportion of those engaged in sales and related occupations, service occupations and subordinate technical occupations in the present as compared with the 1937 Survey, the extent of the decline being less than 3.6 points each. This again confirms the conclusion reached above regarding the stable character of the occupational structure between the two surveys.

In 1937 about 1.5 per cent each of the total earners were engaged in cotton textiles manufacturing and handloom weaving industries. In 1954, however, the proportion of the workers in textile manufacturing had declined to about one per cent of the total earners and that of the earners in handloom industries to less than one per cent. The proportion of earners in bidi manufacturing remained at a little over 3 per cent in both the surveys. The same was the case with the earners engaged in the manufacture of textile garments.

In Division 3, in the 1937 Survey a little over one per cent of the total earners were engaged in the repairing and manufacturing

Earners in sample families in the 1937 Survey classified by Industry，Divisions and sub－divisions，and Occupational division．

| Industry－Divisions \＆ Sub－divisions |  | Occupational Divisions． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{7}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 000 | Production of cereal crops | 210 | － | － | － | － | 34 | － | － | 244 |
| 040 | Production and rearing of livestock | － | － | － | － | － | 68 | 2 | － | 70 |
|  | Others from Division 0 | － | － | － | － | － | 42 | － | － | 42 |
|  | Total for Division 0 | 210 | － | － | － | － | 144 | 2 | － | 356 |
|  | Others from Division 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 23 | － | 24 |
|  | Total for Division 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 23 | － | 24 |
| 220 | Manufacture of bidi | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 190．． | 1 | － | 195 |
| 233 | Cotton spinning and weaving in mills | ． | － | 4 | 5 | － | 75 | 1 | 1 | 86 |
| 236 | Cotton weaving in handlooms | － | － | － | － | － | 82 | － | － | 82 |
| 272 | Making of textile garments | － | － | － | － | － | 128 | 3 | － | 131 |
|  | Others from Division 2 | － | ー | 9 | 10 | 25 | 120 | 48 | 19 | 231 |
|  | Total for Division 2 | 2 | － | 14 | 16 | 25 | 595 | 53 | 20 | 725 |
| 301 | Manufacture and repair of shoes and other leather products | － | － | － | － | － | 73 | 1 | － | 74 |

TABLE No. 3.11-(Contd.)
Earners in sample families in the 1937 Survey classtfied by Industry, Divisions and sub-divisions, and Occupational diviston.

| Occupational Divisions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry-Divisions \& Sub-divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| 355 | Manufacture of sundry hardware | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 92 | - | 96 |
| 392 | Manufacture of Jewellery, Silverware etc. | - | - | - | 3 | 6 | - | 84 | - | 93 |
|  | Others from Division 3 | 8 | 20 | 21 | 28 | 7 | 16 | 300 | - | 395 |
|  | Total for Division 3 | 3 | 20 | 22 | 32 | 15 | 89 | 477 | - | 658 |
| 400 | Construction and maintenance of buildings | - . | - | 7 | 9 | 24 | 394 | 25 | - | 459 |
|  | Others from Division 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 4 | - | 10 |
|  | Total for Division 4 | - | - | 7 | 9 | 24 | 400 | 29 | - | 469 |
|  | Others from Division 5 | - | - | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | - | 21 |
|  | Total for Division 5 | - | - | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | - | 21 |
| 601 | Retail trading in vegetables, fruits, sugar, etc. | c. | - | 5 | 48 | 84 | 2 | 74 | - | 213 |
| 640 | Retail trading in cereals and pulses | - | - | - | 10 | 381 | - | 1 | - | 392 |
| 641 | Retall trading in beverages and intoxicants | - | - | - | - | 60 | - | - | - | 60 |
| 642 | Retail trading in fuel such as coal, firewood, etc. | c. | - | - | - | 65 | 2 | 17 | - | 84 |
| 643 | Retail trading in drinks, food-stuffs etc. | - | - | - | - | 73 | - | - | - | 73 |

TABLE No. 3.11-(Contd.)
Earners in sample families in the 1937 Survey classified by Industry, Divisions and sub-divisions, and Occupational dıvision.


# TABLE No. 8.11-(Cqntd.) 

Earners in sample families in the 1937 Survey classified by Industry, Divisions and sub-divisions, and Occupational division.

| Industry-Divisions \& |  | Occupational Divisions. |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 馬 |
| 820 | Public Health and Medical Services . | - | 31 | 66 | 1 | - | 4 | 7 | 106 | 215 |
| - 831 | Religious and allied services. | - | 70 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 70 |
| 861 | Services rendered by civic social, etc. organizations. | $\cdots$ | 8 | 89 | 28 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 11 | 154 |
| 880 | Services rendered to households such as cooks, domestic servants etc. | - | 1 | 21 | 1 | - | 76 | 29 | 361 | 489 |
| 882 | Services rendered by hotels | B | - | 11 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - | 51 | 77 |
| 883 | Services rendered by laundries | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 75 | 75 |
| 884 | Services rendered by barbers | - | - | $1{ }^{-}$ | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | 61 | 62 |
|  | Others from Division 8 | - | 88 | 61 | 40 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 222 |
|  | - Total for Division 8 | 10 | 305 | 360 | 200 | 21 | 103 | 78 | 665 | 1748 |
|  | Others from Division 9 | - | - | 2 | - . | 1 | - | - | - | 9 |
|  | Total for Division 9 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 9 |
|  | Grand Total * | 226 | 327 | 476 | 398 | 1202 | 1344 | 1133 | 698 | 5804 |

TABLE No. 3.12.
Earners in sample famihes in the 1937 Survey classified by Industry division and Occupation, Divisions and sub-divisions.


TABLE No, 3.12-(Contd.)
Earners in aample famifies in the 1937 Survoy classified by Industry division and Oceupation, Divisions and sub-divisione.

| Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 㶨 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5} \\ & \mathbf{5} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | 222 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 10 | . - | 226 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 66 | - | 66 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 82 | - | 82 |
| 20 | - | - | - | 2 | 157 | $\cdots$ | 179 |
| 20 | - | - | - | 2 | 305 | - | 827 |
| 14 | 6 | - | 2 | 8 | 78 | - | 119 |
| 8 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 34 | 180 | 2 | 242 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 5 | 86 | - | 93 |
| - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 16 | - | 22 |
| 22 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 49 | 860 | 2 | 476 |
| 25 | 7 | 2 | 47 | 38 | 194 | - | 826 |
| 6 | 1 | - | 52 | - | 5 | - | 66 |
| 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 6 |
| 32 | 9 | 2 | 100 | 89 | 200 | - | 6 358 |
| 1 | - | - | 497 | - | 10 | - | 524 |
| 3 | - | - | 118 | - | 1 | - | 122 |
| 5 | - | - | 225 | - | 2 | $\cdots$ | 236 |
| 3 | - | - | 100 | $\cdots$ | 2 | - | 236 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $\overline{24}$ | - | 63 | , - | - | - | 63 |
| 15 | 24 | 1 | ${ }^{92}$ | 19 | 8 | 1 | 150 . |
| 15 | 24 | 1 | 1095 | 19 | 21 | 1 | 1202 |
| - | - | - | - - | - | 96 | - | 102 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 191 |
| - | - | - | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | - |  |  |
| . 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | H2r |

TABLE No. 3.12-(Contd.)
Earners in sample families in the 1937 Survey classified by Industry division and Occupation, Divisions and sub-divisions.


[^38]TABLE No. 3.12-(Contd.)
Earners in sample familes in the 1937 Survey classified by Industry division and Occupation, Divtsions and sub-divisione.

| Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 131 |
| 75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75 |
| 3 | 137 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 169 |
| - | 103 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 105 |
| , - | 152 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 155 |
| 11 | 8 | - | 5 | - | 3 | - | 321 |
| 89 | 400 | 1 | 6 | - | 109 | - | 1344 |
| 69 | 7 | 3 | - | 21 | 6 | - | 110 |
| 85 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 87 |
| - | 3 | - | 2 | 39 | 33 | - | 79 |
| 1 | - | - | 5 | 96 | 4 | - | 110 |
| - | - | - | 95 | 161 | 6 | - | 262 |
| 72 | 16 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 13 | - | 172 |
| - 250 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 20 | 16 | - | 313 |
| 477 | 29 | 11 | 115 | 345. | 78 | - | 1133 |
| - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 101 | - | 110 |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 314 | - | 820 |
| - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 87 | $\cdots$ | 87 |
| - | - | - | $=$ | - | 63 | - | - 63 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 100 | 6 | 118 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 665 | 6 | 698 |
| 658 | 469 | 21 | 1334 | 460 | 1748 | 9 | 5804 |

TABLE No. 813
Earnert in sample families from the First Group of Wards classified by Industry, Divisions and sub-divisions and Occupatzon divzsion.


TABLE No. 3.13-(Contd.)
Earners in sample families from the First Group of Wards classified by Industry, Divisions and sub-divisions and Ocoupation division.

Occupational Divisions

Suy-Divisions \& Sub-divisions


| 373 | Repairing and servicing of motor vehicles. | 3 | 3 | 9 | 8 | - | 1 | 68 | - | 92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Others from Division 3 | 7 | 21 | 19 | 28 | 40 | 56 | 811 | $\cdots$ | 482 |
|  | Total for Division 3 | 12 | 28 | 91 | 115 | 55 | 71 | $620{ }^{-}$ | 3. | 995 |
| 400 | Construction and maintenance of buldings etc. | - | 7 | 25 | 8 | 14 | 140 | 76 | 2 | 272. |
|  | Others from Division 4 | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | 6 | 13 | - | 25 |
|  | Total for Division 4 | - | 7 | 28 | 11 | 14 | 146 | 89 | 2 | 297 |
|  | Others from Division 5 | - | 4 | 30 | 12. | - | 1 | 32 | - | 79 |
|  | Total for Division 5 | - | 4 | 30 | 12 | - | 1 | 32 | - | 79 |
| 600 | Wholesale trading in cereals and pulses | - | 2 | - | 16 | 37 | - | 6 | - | 61 |
| 640 | Retail trading in cereals \& pulses, etc. |  | - | - | 5 | 335 | 4 | 9 | - | 353 |
| 642 | Retail trading in fuel | - | - | - | 1 | 34 | 10 | 16 | - | 61 |

${ }^{\mathbf{O}}$

Earners in sample families from the First Group of Wards classified by Industry, Divisions and sub-divisions and Occupation division.

| Industry-Divisions \& Sub-divisions |  | Occupational Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 643 | Retail trading in drinks \& food-stuffs | - | - | - | - | 53 | - | - . | 6 | 59 |
| 650 | Retail trading in textile and hosiery goods | 1 | - | - | 2 | 56 | - | - | - | 59 |
|  | Others from Division 6 | 1 | 5 | 27 | 44 | 384 | 3 | 36 | 4 | 504 |
|  | Total for Division 6 | 2 | 7 | 27 | 68 | 899 | 17 | 67 | 10 | 1097 |
| 700 | Transporting by railway | 1 | 2 | 27 | 26 | 1 | 5 | 76 | 2 | 140 |
| 702 | Transporting by motor-vehicle | 1 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 6 | - | 75 | 1 | 107 |
| 705 | Transporting by man | - | - | - | - | - | - | 108 | - | 108 |
| 710 | Postl and telegraphic etc. communications | - | - | 33 | 27 | ' | 1 | 10 | - | 71 |
|  | Others from Division 7 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 1 | - | 63 | - | 83 |
|  | Total for Division 7 | 3 | 4 | 79 | 74 | 8 | 6 | 332 | 3 | 509 |
| 800 | Public services in Union and State Armed Forces etc. | 1 | 12 | 22 | 48 | 1 | 5. | 15 | 6 | 110 |
| 803 | Public service in police | 2 | 2 | 107 | 17 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 135 |

TABLE No. 3.13-(Contd.)
Earners in sample famihes from the First Group of Wards classified by Industry, Divisions and sub-divisions and Oceupation division.

| Occupational Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Industry-Divisions \& Sub-divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W0 + H0, |
| 804 | Public service in administrative depts. and offices of governments | 9 | 17 | 113 | 138 | - | 12 | 18 | $\cdots$ | 307 |
| 811 | Educational services | 4 | 124 | 42 | 16 | - | 8 | 10 | 2 | 206 |
| 820 | Public Health and medical services | - | 34 | 38 | 6 | - | 2 | 3 | 41 | 124 |
| 861 | Services rendered by civic, social, cultural ete. and simılar other organizations | 1 | 4 | 48 | 28 | - | 7 | 26 | 5 | 119 |
| 880 | Services rendered by domestic servants | - | - | 19 | 3 | - | 31 | 13 | 273 | 339 |
| 882 | Services rendered by Hotels | 1 | - | 10 | - | 12 | 1 | 4 | 27 | 65 |
|  | Others from Division 8 | 3 | 111 | 39 | 23 | 7 | 13 | 32 | 93 | 321 |
|  | Total for Division 8 | 21 | 304 | 438 | 279 | 20 | 79 | 123 | 452 | 1716 |
|  | Others from Division 9 | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 15 | 11 | 37 |
|  | Total for Division 9 | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 15 | 11 | 37 |
|  | Grand Total * | 40 | 361 | 713 | 576 | 1055 | 968 | 1357 | 501 | 5571 |

* For all earners excluding the unemployeds, pensioners, beggars and prostitutes.


TABLE No. 8.14-(Contch)
Earnsre in sample families from the Firat Group of Wards elasenfied by Industry divzsion and Ocoupation, Divtsions and Sub-divisions.

| - Divisions, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 표 } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | 21 |
| 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 15 | - | 19 |
| 12 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 20 | - | 40 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 75 | - | 75 |
| 28 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 229 | 4 | 286 |
| 28 | 7 | 4. | 7 | 4 | 804 | 4 | 361 |
| 57 | 21 | 3 | 10 | 27 | 96 | 1 | 225 |
| 31 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 46 | 284 | 2 | 396 |
| 2 | - | 20 | 1 | 5 | 56 | - | 86 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2 | - | 6 |
| 91 | 28 | 30 | 27 | $79^{\circ}$ | 438 | 3 | 713 |
| 105 | 9 | 9 | 30 | 67 | 232 | - | 460 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 33 | 2 | 16 | - | 61 |
| 6 | - | 2 | 5 | 5 | 32 | 1 | 55 |
| 115 | 11 | 12 | 68 | 74 | 280 | 1 | 576 |
| 15 | - | - | 434 | - | 12 | - | 502 |
| 3 | - | $\cdots$ | 144 | 1 | 1 | - | 158 |
| 3 | - | - | 130 | - | - | 1 | 135 |
| 27 | 1 | - | 114 | 1 | 1 | - | 147 |
| 7 | 13 | - | 77 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 513 |
| 55 | 14 | - | 899 | 8 | 20 | 3 | 1055 |

TABLE No. 3.14-(Contd.)
Earners in sample families from the First Group of Wards classified by Industry division and Oceupation, Divisions and Sub-divisione.
Occupational Divisions \& Sub-divisions.

| 550 | Tailors, cutters | - | - | 130 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 561 | Carpenters | - | - | 47 |
|  | Others from Division 5 | 83 | 8 | 156 |
|  | Total for Division 5 | 83 | 8 | 557 |

604 Mechanics and Fitters - - $\quad 6$
635 Cartmen - 3
639 Palkì bearers, duli and the like $\quad 1 \quad-$
670 Sklled workers - - 7
672 Unskilled labour 8
Others from Division $6 \quad 3 \quad 19$
Total for Division $6 \quad 11 \quad 5 \quad 63$
700 Cooks, butlers - - 5

701 Domestic servants
— $\quad$ - $\quad \overline{15}$
Total for Division $7 \quad-\quad-\quad 20$

| Grand Total |
| :--- |

* For all earners excluding the unemployeds, pensioners, beggars and prostitutes.

TABLE No. 3.14-(Contd.)
Earners in sumple famihes from the First Group of Warde classified by Industry division and Occepation, Divisions and Sub-givssions.

| Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing | 들 烒 范 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 225 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 133 |
| 17 | 49 | - | - | 4 | 12 | - | 129 |
| 53 | 97 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 64 | - | 481 |
| 71 | 146 | 1 | 17 | 6 | 79 | - | 968 |
| 122 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 11 | - | 178 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 59 | 16 | - | 85 |
| - | - | - | 5 | 59 | 1 | - | 68 |
| - | 1 | - | 15 | 85 | 1 | - | 103 |
| 43 | $3^{-}$ | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 72 |
| 143 308 | 70 | 8 | 32 | 39 | 59 | 4 | 394 |
| 308 | 6 | 20 | 10 | 56 | 29 | 6 | 457 |
| 620 | 89 | 32 | 67 | 332 | 123 | 15 | 1357 |
| - | - | - | 1 | - | 62 | - | 68 |
| - | 1 | - | - | - ${ }_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ 170 \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{11}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 221 \\ 212 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | . 2 | - | 10 | 3 | 452 | 11 | 501 |
| 995 | 297 | 79 | 1097 | 509 | 1716 | 87 | 5571 |

of shoes. Their proportion in the present sürvey, however, had declined to less than one per cent. In the present survey, a little over 5 per cent of the total earners were engaged in the manufacture of ammunition, explosives and fire works. In the 1937 . Survey, such earners had formed less than one per cent of the total earners. In the 1937 Survey about 2 per cent of the earners were engaged in the manufacture of sundry hardware whereas in the present survey they accounted for less than one per cent of the total earners. On the other hand, the proportion of the earners engaged in the manufacture of brass and bell metal products was about one-and-a-half per cent in the present survey as against a proportion of less than one per cent in the 1937 Survey. Same was the case with the earners engaged in repairing and servicing of motor vehicles. They formed less than one per cent of the earners in the 1937 Survey and about one-and-a-half per cent in the present survey. Another interesting change in this Division was the decline in the number of earners engaged in manufacture of jewellery, silverware, etc., from about two per cent in the 1937 Survey to less than one per cent in the present survey.

In Division 4, the proportion of the earners engaged in the manufacture and maintenance of buildings, etc., showed a fall from about 7 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 5 per cent in the present survey.

, ${ }^{3}$
The proportion of wholesale traders in cereals and pulses and grocery, etc., showed a fall from about 4 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about one per cent in the present survey. The proportion of retail traders in cereals, pulses, vegetables, etc., however, remained at about 6 per cent in both the surveys though the proportion of retail traders in beverages and intoxicants declined from one per cent in the 1937 Survey to less than one per cent in the present survey. The proportion of retail traders in fuel and the retail traders in drinks and foodstuffs and traders in cloth and hosiery did not show much variation between the two surveys.

In Division 7 of transport, storage and communications, the number of earners engaged in railway transport increased from about one-and-a-half per cent in the 1937 Survey to two-and-a-half per cent in the present survey. About 2 per cent of the total earners who were engaged in transport by motor vehicles in the present survey were less than one per cent in the 1937 Survey. In the 1937 Survey a little over one per cent of the earners were engaged in transport by tonga and bullock cart. Their proportion had declined to less Than one per cent in the present survey due to the transport provided by auto-rickshaws. The earners engaged in transporting by hand
cart, etc. had declined from a little over 10 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 2 per cent in the present survey. About one per cent of the earners were engaged in postal and telegraphic services at the time of the present survey whereas in the 1937 Survey they had accounted for less than one per cent.

In Division 8, in the 1937 Survey, one per cent of the total earners were engaged in public serviee in Union and State armed forces. Their proportion had doubled in the present survey. A little over 2 per cent of the earners were engaged in the police department at the time of the present survey whereas in the 1937 Survey they accounted for less than one per cent. The proportion of earners in the administrative departments and offices of government had increased from about 3 per cent in the 1937 Survey to about 6 per cent in the present survey. The earners in educational services showed an increase from 2 to 3 per cent in the two surveys. On the other hand, the earners in health services showed a decline from 3 to 2 per cent. The earners in religious services declined from about one-and-a-half per cent in the 1937 Survey to less than one per cent in the present survey.

The earners in services rendered by civic associations, etc. showed a slight decline. The proportion of domestic servants showed a decline from 8 to 6 per cent. The proportion of earners engaged in hotels and boarding houses, in laundry servicing and in hairdressing services showed some decline though it was not marked.

As for the distribution of earners in different occupations in the two surveys, it will be found that the priests, wholesale salesmen, gardeners (malis), weavers, shoemakers and makers of other leather goods, builders, masons and bricklayers and construction workers, gold and silver smiths, washermen and barbers who formed one per cent or more of the total earners in 1937 Survey were found to be less than one per cent in the present survey. On the other hand in the present survey the only new addition is to be found in the group of skilled workers who formed less than one per cent in the 1937 Survey. A detailed comment on the changing pattern of employment appears in Chapter VIII.

## CHAPTER IV

## UNEMPLOYMENT

1. Unemployment:-One of the main objectives of the present survey was to collect information regarding the extent and form of unemployment prevailing at the time. A seperate section in our questionnaire was designed for recording the information regarding the unemployed persons in the sample families. We also tried to collect data regarding partial unemployment or underemployment of the employed. These results are presented below with comparable data from the 1937 Survey.

The information regarding unemployment gathered in the family sample survey was supplemented by another survey of a sample of registrants on the Live Register of the Employment Exchange in Poona. This related as is obvious, to registered unemployeds. The results of this survey are presented separately at the end of this chapter.
.2. The Extent of Unemployment :-An unemployed person was defined as one who was actively looking for work and who had no gainful employment, part-time or full time, temporary or permanent, at the time of the survey. Those who were Jooking for work for the first time were specifically so noted. In the case of those who had been previously employed, the nature of previous employment and the duration of unemployment was noted.

The total number of unemployed persons in the sample acording to the above definition, came to 726. There were 8,178 earners in the sample (including the unemployed); the unemployed were therefore about 9 per cent of the total earners. Of the total unemployeds 258 or 35.5 per cent were looking for employment for the first time or were freshers; the rest were non-freshers.

Table 4.1 gives the age distribution, educational status, the sex and marital conditions of the freshers and non-freshers separately.

The freshers, as might be expected, were over-whelmingly ( 85 per cent) concentrated in the age group 16-25 years. No fresher was more than 40 years old. Among the non-freshers the largest concentration, 22 per cent, was in the age group 21-25 years. About 51 per cent of the non-freshers were between the ages of 16 and 30 years. Another 18 per cent were between 31 and 40 years, about 14 per cent being more than 50 years of age.

TABLE No. 4.1
Classification of the Unemployed persone (Non-freshers and Fresherg) according to Occupation prior to Lose of Employment and Age, Education, Sex, and Marital Status.
Occupational Grade

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㗊 } \\ & \text { 霍 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto 5 years | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6-10 years | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 11-15 years | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | 19 | 3 | 22 | 36 |
| 16-20 years | 29 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $i$ | 15 | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | 68 | 1 | 120 | 22 | 143 | 211 |
| 21-25 years | 44 | 4 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 105 | 2 | 66 | 8 | 76 | 181 |
| 26-30 years | 19 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 79 | - | 9 | 2 | 11 | 90 |
| 81-35 years | 18 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 48 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 51 |
| 36-40 years | 14 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | 37 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 40 |
| 41-45 gears | 8 | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | 7 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 26 | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| 46-50 years | 7 | - | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| 51 years \& above | 18 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 68 | - | - | - | - | 68 |
| Total | 168 | 15 | 66 | 31 | 28 | 72 | 32. | 27 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 468 | 3 | 215 | 40 | 258 | 726 |

TABLE No. 4.1-(Contd.)
Classification of the Unemployed persons (Non-freshers and Freshers) according to Ocoupation prior to Loss of Employment and Age, Education, Sex, and Martal Status.



Among the freshers the illiterates were only 5 per cent. About 22 per cent were matriculates, 3 per cent graduates and less than 1 per cent had high technical qualifications. Among the nonfreshers 28 per cent were illiterates, 6 per cent matriculates, less than 2 per cent graduates and only one-fifth of 1 per cent had high technical qualifications.
'Among all the unemployed persons 14 per cent were females. The percentage was only slightly different among the non-freshers and freshers. Nearly 90 per' cent of the total freshers were unmarried. Among the non-freshers 36 per cent of the males were unmarried and 58 per cent married, the rest being widowers. Half the females among non-freshers were married, about 35 per cent were widows and 10 per cent unmarried. The rest had been married but separated from their husbands.

A distribution of the unemployeds according to the occupations previous to the loss of employment and the duration of unemployment is presented in Table 4.2 separately for freshers and non-freshers.

Of the total non-freshers nearly 36 per cent were concentrated in the unskilled occupations, while about 15 per cent each were found in the skilled and highly-skilled groups of occupations. About 7 per cent each were found in the lowest professions and as clerks and shop assistants.

The data regarding the duration of unemployment in the case of these persons showed that about 20 per cent had been unemployed for between 4 and 10 years. Perhaps there was some degree of exaggeration in stating the durations in such cases. In a few cases we were genuinely doubtful about the veracity of the statements. It was doubtful whether they "were actively looking for work and were thus 'unemployed' according to our definition. We had, however, not sufficient information to eliminate them. We have, therefore, retained them. This should be borne in mind in reading the comments that follow.

Among the freshers, 55 per cent had been unemployed for a period of more than 6 months and Tess than 2 years, about 15 per cent had been unemployed for between 2 and 3 years, about 9 per cent had started looking for work during 6 months preceding the survey. Among the non-freshers, 21 per cent had lost their jobs during 3 months preceding the survey; nearly 36 per cent had been rendered unemployed in the period 6 months to 2 years preceding the survey. About 28 per cent had been unemployed for a period of 2 to 10 years.

TABLE No. 4.2
Unemployed persons (Non-freshers and Freshers) classified aecording to Occupation prior to loss of employment and duration of present unemployment. (All Wards).

| Duration of unemployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | XIII Unemployer |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto 3 months | 43 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 101 | - | 10 | - | 10 | 111 |
| 4 to 6 months | 16 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $2 \cdot$ | 59 | - | 12 | 1 | 13 | 72 |
| 6 months 1 year | 32 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | 79 | - | 58 | 8 | 66 | 145 |
| . 1-2 years | 32 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 2 | - | 3 | 94 | 8 | 64 | 10 | 77 | 171 |
| 2-3 years | 13 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 42 | - | 31 | 7 | 38 | 80 |
| 4-6 years | 23 | - | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 47 | - | 28 | 8 | 36 | 83 |
| 7-10 years | 9 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 41 | - | 8 | 5 | 13 | 54 |
| 11-15 years | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 2 |
| 16 years \& above | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Not stated | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | 3 |


| TOTAL | 168 | 15 | 66 | 31 | 28 | 72 | 32 | 27 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 468 | 3 | 215 | 40 | 258 | 726 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* 3. Unemployeds and Communities :-Table 4.3 presents the distribution of the unemployeds by broad community groups together with the total number of earners (including the unemployeds) in those community groups.

TABLE No. 4.3.
Unemployeds (freshers and non-freshers) classified by broad caste groups and the total number of earners (including unemployeds) in those groups. (All Wards)


Of the non-freshers 22 per cent were Marathas, 16 per cent Brahmins, about 10 per cent each Mahars and Muhommedans, 9 per cent Depressed classes other than Mahars and 6 per cent Christians. Among the freshers 17 per cent came from the Marathas and 25 per cent from Brahmins, about 10 per cent from Muhommedans, 8 per cent from Christians, 7 per cent from Mahars and 5 per cent from the Depressed classes other than Mahars.

The incidence of unemployment was heavy among Christains and Artisan Group I: Next to them came Mahars and Muhommedans. The incidence was fairly equal among Brahmins and Marathas and Depressed classes other than Mahars. Anong weaving castes it was relatively low.
4. Families with unemployed persons:-Table 4.4 gives the number of unemployed persons in families in different community groups. Comment has already been made on the incidence of unemployment in different communities by relating the number of unemployeds to the total number of earners in them. Attention is here sought to be directed to the proportion of families in each community

TABLE No. 4.4.
Classifioation of families with unemployed persons according to oaste and the number of unemployed persors in these families. (All Wards).

| $*$ | Number of families with the following number of unemployed persons in them. |  |  |  |  | Total No. of famulies with unem ployed persons | Total No. of unemploy- <br> - ed persons 2 these families |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Marathas | 122 | 9 | 3 | 一 | 134 | 149 |
| 2. | Brahmins | 93 | 11 | 2 | - | 106 | 121 |
| 3. | Artisan Groups I \& II | 29 | 9 | 2 | - | 40 | 63 |
| 4. | Depressed classes | 78 | 13 | 3 | - | 94 | 113 |
| 5. | Other Hindus | 96 | 5 | 3 | - | 104 | 115 |
| 6. | Gujarati-Marwad-Jains | 13 | 2 | - | - | 15 | 17 |
| 7. | Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs | 11 | 3 | 1 | - | 15 | 20 |
| 8. | Muhommedans | 45 | 12 | 3 | - | 60 | 78 |
| 9. | Christians | 28 | 7 | - | 2 | 37 | 50 |
| 10. | Parsis \& Jews | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | 10 |
|  | Total | 517 | 73 | 17 | 3 | 608 | 726 |

group which carried the load of one or more unemployed persons. Families with one unemployed person formed nearly 17 per cent of the total among South Indians. Among the Christians though the proportion of such families was about 12 per cent, 3 per cent more families had 2 unemployed persons each and two families 4 unemployeds each. The percentage of families with one unemployed person was between 9 and 12 among Marathas, Brahmins, Artisan Group I, Depressed classes, Other Hindus and Muhommedans. In almost all these communities there were families with 2 unemployeds each and their proportion ranged between 1 and 2 per cent in most. In only 16 families in the sample there were 3 unemployeds each, 3 each being from the Marathas, the Depressed classes and the Muhommedans and one each from the Brahmins, Artisan Groups I and If South Indians and Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs.
5. Causes of Unemployment :-Table 4.5 gives the occupational distribution, according to occupation prior to unemployment of non-freshers classified by the reasons for the loss of their former employment, as reported by them. In the case of the 258 freshers in the sample there had been no los's of employment as they were seeking employment for the first time. They had remained unemployed because they had been unable to find employment or alternatively. they had not come across employment opportunities during the period over which they were actively looking for them.

TABLE No．4．5．
Unemployeds（non－freshers）classified by their occupation previous to the loss of employment and the reported reasons for that loss．（All Wards）．

| ．Reported <br> Reasons for Loss of employment |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罢 } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { 品品 } \end{aligned}$ | 费 |  |  | Duration of unemployment ． |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | が |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. 譳 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | － |
|  | Retrenchment |  |  | 16 | 21 ＊ | 29 | 15 | 18 | 12 | － | 1 | 148 |
|  | Slack business | 11 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 5 | － | － | 59 |
|  | Unqualified | － | － | － | 2 | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 6 |
|  | Resigned | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | － | － | 20 |
|  | Illness | 13 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 7 | － | 1 | 57 |
|  | Closure of the employing concern | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | －2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | － | 56 |
|  | Inability to get a job | 13 | 8 | 13 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 5 | － | － | 72 |
| 8. | Retired | － | － | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | 13 |
|  | Lost job due to differences with employer |  | 1 | －2 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 11 |
| 10. | Refugees | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 5 |
|  | Shortage of capital | － | 2 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 6 |
| 12. | Other Reasons | 55 | 3 | 4 | － | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | 16 |
|  | Total | 101 | 59 | 79 | 94 | 42 | 47 | 41 | 2 | 3 | 468 |

－The causes of unemployment in the case of non－freshers as reported by them can be broadly said to be falling in three catego－ ries ：（1）Those that had been retrenched by their employers because the business or work was slow or slack，their employers possibly continuing to work their establishments with a smaller staff or by themselves with no hired hands．．Nearly 43 per cent of the non－ freshers had thus been retrenched．The incidence was heaviest in occupational Grades I，II and－V．（2）About 11 per cent had lost their jobs because the offices，shops or establishments in which they were employed had folded up or had ceased to exist．Here also the incidence was heaviest in occupational Grades I，II and V．（3）In this group can be put those who lost their previous employment，not mainly through the action of the employer．Twelve per cent of the non－freshers，for example，had lost their employment because they had fallen ill，about 4 per cent had resigned their jobs and about 2 per cent had quarrelled with their employers and left．Besides these， about 4 per cent of the non－freshers were casual workers and could not find employment，About 1 per cent were refugees from Pakis－ tan．About 3 per cent had retired at the prescribed age from their
previous jobs and were seeking employment elsewhere. The rest had not stated specifically the reasons for the loss of their employment.

The analysis by causes as reported broadly indicated that retrenchment and folding up of establishments had been mainly responsible for the growth of unemployment. A very large proportion of those rendered unemployment were found to be previously employed in unskilled, skilled and highly skilled manual work.
6. Unemployed and the Employment Exchange:-In order to find out how far the facilities afforded by the Employment Exchanges were availed of by the unemployed persons we asked the unemployeds in the sample families whether they had registered at the Employment Exchange and if they had not what were the reasons. Table 4.6 presents the information for freshers and nonfreshers in the sample.

Taking freshers and non-freshers together nearly 48 per cent had registered at the Employment Exchange. The proportion of registrants was 41 per cent among non-freshers and 50 per cent among freshers. If we assume that the extent of unemployment was correctly reflected by our family sample data, the Employment Exchange, it can be said, registered a little less than half of the total unemployment in the area concerned and that under-registration was slightly more in regard to non-freshers than in regard to freshers.

Apart from this quantitative estimate of under-registration of the unemployeds at the Employment Exchange it would be useful to get some idea of the degree of it in the different occupation categories so as to find out in which occpations the underregistration was relatively more or less. Table 4.6 also supplies the necessary information. Obviously we can take into account the non-freshers only for this purpose. "Among them if we examine the proportion of those in each occupational grade who had registered at the Employment Exchange we find that it was lowest in Grade IV, with Grades I and VIII following in order of magnitude. The highest proportion was in Grade II followed by Grades V, IX and VI. Broadly speaking, those in unskilled work and small and medium business previously, tended to be more underregistered than those who were in lowest administrative posts, highly skilled and clerical work.

About 14 per cent of the total unemployeds did not supply any information on this point. Our impression is that they did not really know about the Employment Exchange; only they did not say

TABLE No. 4.6.
Distribution of the Unemployeds (Freshers and non-freshers) accordeng to the Ocoupation prior to loss of employment and
reported reasons for not registering at the Employment Exchange.
(All Wards)
Destribution of the Unemployeds (Freshers and non-freshers) according to the Occupation prior to loss of employment and
reported reasons for not registering at the Employment Exchange.
(All Wards)


TABLE No. 4.6-(Contd.)
Distribution of the Unemployeds (Freshers and non-freshers) according to the Ocoupation prior to loss of employment and reported reasons for not registering at the Employment Exchange.

Not registered because

| Occu | pation prior to loss of employment | Registered at the Employment Exchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XIV | Cultivators | . 6 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 15 |
|  | Details not stated | 7 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 18 |
|  | Total | 194 | 116 | 36 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 71 | 468 |
| Freshers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployed | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | Educands | 132 | 26 | 9 | 5 | - | 4 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 26 | 215 |
|  | Not working | 15 | 9 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 8 | 40 |
|  | Total | 150 | 35 | 15 | 5 | - | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 34 | 258 |
|  | Total Unemployed | 844 | 151 | 51 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 28 | 20 | 12 | 105 | 726 |

so as some others frankly did. If we treat them as such, we find that among the unemployeds as a whole nearly one-third did not know about the Employment Exchanges or the facilities offered by them. Their proportion among non-freshers was higher at 40 per cent than among freshers, which was 27 per cent. Between 6 and 7 per cent of the unemployeds, freshers and non-freshers, had not registered at the Exchange because they were convinced of its uselessness. About one per cent among non-freshers and freshers had registered in the past but had not renewed their registration. In the remaining, a sizeable group of about 4 per cent among the nonfreshers, had not registered because they wanted to start a business of their own and to become self-employed rather than employees. Among the rest a few were going to register; a few were either too old or too young and had not therefore registered.
7. Unemployed and relation categories:-Table 4.7 gives the distribution of unemployed persons according to their relation with the heads of their families, separately for freshers and nonfreshers. About half the freshers were sons of heads of families, a little less than one-fifth brothers and about one-eighth other male relatives. Only 16 or 6 per cent were heads of families themselves. Among the non-freshers, however, 36 per cent were male heads of families and 5 per cent female heads of families, i.e. they were mainly responsible for the support of their families. A further one-fourth were sons of heads of families, 16 per cent brothers and 5 per cent other male relatives.
8. The unemployeds and their future :-In the questionnaire Question Nos. 68 to 72 were directed towards assessing the expectations, hopes, readiness to migrate or to change occupation, etc. of the unemployeds. We tried to ascertain whether they would like to get employment in their old profession or in any other profession which they were ready to learn. Secondly, whether they would like to have employment in Poona or outside either in urban or rural places. The information gathered is dealt with below separately for freshers and non-freshers.

Only 10 per cent of the freshers were not prepared to go out of Poona for employment; a slightly larger number was ready to go anywhere. About 5 per cent were ready to go out of Poona to any urban area. The rest, i.e. 72 per cent, did not give any reply to the question. Among the non-freshers 36 per cent were not ready to go out of Poona even if they got employment in their old occupation; 48 per cent were ready to go anywhere. 11 per cent more were ready to go out of Poona to any urban area. Only about 4 per cent did not express any opinion in the matter. As between the freshers

TABLE No. 4.7.
Distribution of the Unemployds (non-freshers and freshers) into relation categories and Occupation prior to loss of Employment. (All Wards) -

and the non-freshers it appeared that the latter entertained more definite attitudes towards all the alternatives.

The freshers had no definite opinion or, rather they could not have had any opinion, whether they would prefer employment in their old occupation or a new one simply because they were seeking employment for the first time and had no previous occupations. About 54 per cent of the non-freshers reported that they would prefer to be employed in their old occupations. Only about 10 per cent wanted employment in other occupations but 28 per cent were prepared to be employed either in the old or any other occupation. They wanted employment of some kind. Only about 8 per cent of the non-freshers could not give any definite reply in this regard. It was understandable in the case of the non-freshers that a large majority of them should have preferred to be employed in the occupations with which they were familiar and in which they had worked. before. Most of those, however, who said that they wanted to change their occupation did not seem to have expressed that opinion for any definite reason because they gave no reasons for their desire to change.

If we examine the responses to the Question Nos. 69 and 70 regarding their willingness and economic possibility of undergoing some training for a new occupation we find that one-fifth of the nonfreshers were not ready to undergo any further training and 57 per cent could not give any reply to this question. Only 6 per cent were ready and could afford to undergo training for a new profession. 17 per cent were ready to be trained but were not in a position to do so on their own because of economic circumstances. If the age distributions of these groups are examined we find that the two groups willing to undergo training proportionately contained markedly smaller number of persons above 35 years of age than in the other two groups. Among the freshers 13 per cent were not ready "to train themselves for any other work than they were already qualified for. 46 per cent were ready to be trained but could not economically, afford it. In their case, of course, training for a different coccupation meant learning something other than what they had learned as educands. Slightly more than 15 per cent were ready and could afford to train themselves for a different occupation from the one they were trained for before. A quarter of the freshers had no opinion to express in this connection.

## 9. Comparison with Unemployment in the 1937 Survey :-

 The present survey covered a larger area than the 1937 Survey. By the 'unemployed' in that survey was meant, a person who hadren employed before but was not employed at the time of
## UNEMPLOYMENT

the survey and excluded those who were seeking employment for the first time. We can only compare the non-freshers among the unemployeds enumerated in the first group of wards in the present survey with the unemployeds in the 1937 Survey. ${ }^{1}$

In the 1937 Survey the sample consisted of 4,529 families. The total number of earners in these families including the unemployeds, was 5,829 and the unemployed persons numbered 172 or 3 per cent of the total earners. 163 of these were adult males forming 3.4 per cent of the total adult male earners. Of the unemployeds 47 were illiterate and 125 literate among whom 35 were literate in English. A classification of the unemployeds by their occupations previous to unemployment could not be provided because their previous occpations had not been noted. It was however possible to locate the incidence of unemployment among the major caste groups. The incidence was heaviest among the Depressed classes. Mahars, who constituted the largest group among these, were represented in the sample by 184 families. Among these there were 12 unemployed persons, or 5 per cent of the total earners in the 184 families. There were 144 families belonging to other Depressed classes in the sample with 12 unemployed persons or 6 per cent of the total earners. Next to these two, the incidence of unemployment was rather marked among Brahmins, weaving castes and the Artisan Groups I and II. In all these three groups the unemployeds formed 3.5. per cent of the total earners in them. In contrast with these barbers, potters, washermen, etc. showed no or little unemployment.

There were 6099 earners (including non-fresher unemployeds) in the comparable area in the present survey and the unemployeds (non-freshers) were 359 or 6 per cent as compared with only 3 per cent revealed by the 1937 Survey. Of these 14 per cent were females as against 5 per cent in the 1937 Survey. A little more than 25 per cent were illiterates as against 27 per cent in the 1937 Survey revealing very little change.

Information regarding the ineidence of unemployment among different communities as revealed by the present and the 1937 Survey is presented in Table 4.8. Except among the weaving. castes the situation was worse in all others at the time of the present survey than ir 1937. As in the 1937 Survey the Mahars and the other Depressed classes were subjected ta the heaviest incidence in the present survey but, unlike at the 1937 Survey, the incidence was also equally heavy among Muhommedans, Christians and Artisan Group I.

1. Poona-Part II, p. 85.

TABLE No. 4.8.
Inciaiexce of Unemployment in different communities in 1937 and $1954 .{ }^{\circ}$

| Community 1937 | Percentage of unemployed to total earners (including unemployed nonfreshers only) in each community group. <br> Survey In Comparable Area from the Present Survey (1954) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mahars | 5.0 | 9.8 |
| Artisan Group 1 | 3.5 | 8.9 |
| Brahmins | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| Weaving castes | - | 2.9 |
| Other Depressed classes | classes - | 8.1 |
| Marathas | - | - 8.0 |
| Muhommedans | - | 7.9 |
| Christions | + | 9.6 |

10. Partial U'nemployment:-An unemployed person was was defined for the purposes of this survey as one who was without employment of any kind and who was actively seeking it. Those who had temporary or part-time employment were by definition treated as employed. We were aware that this dichotomy was rather simple and that it effectively concealed the partial unemployment of the employed persons. In order to collect information regarding this kind of 'unemployment within employment' and if possible to. try to measure its incidence we initially classified the employeds into four distinct classes in the questionnaire itself and collected the information regarding the state of employment for each separately by devising questions appropriate to each class of the employed persons. The four classes were : (A) salaried persons, i.e. who were paid a time rate generally and who had a fixed employer, (B) casual workers, i.e. those who generally had no fixed employer and were paid a time or a piece rate when employed, (C) self-employed, i.e. those who worked on their own and did not hire anybody from outside the family; who did either wage work mainly or who produced certain articles on their own and sold them; who had shops and businesses of their own to operate, (D) employers i.e. those who hired people outside the family to work in their own establishments and who were entrepreneures in the real sense. Quite obviously, there was no point in collecting further detailed employment data regarding persons in classes $A$ and $D$, because those getting a salary were paid for the whole period and could not be strictly described as unemployed during anytime they were employed. Weekly, fortnightly or monthly salary was essentially a time-rate fixed for the whole
period and over that period they had to be considered as fully employed for the purposes of this inquiry. In regard to employers, class (D), again this question did not arise.

In regard to the persons in class (C) the problem was a little complicated. The artisans among them were self-employed, yet some of them did wage-work only and did not get enough work during, say, a month to keep them fully employed. In the case of traders and shop keepers one could speak of adequate or inadequate business or turnover during a period. The case of artisans who worked on their own and did not mainly do wage-work was similar to that of the traders. It was, however, necessary to gather information, if possible, regarding partial unemployment in regard to at least a section of the persons in this class. How we attacked the problem is discussed in greater detail later. The problem was more clear cut in the case of casual workers of class ( $B$ ) because all of them sought casual employment; they were generally not attached to an employer; they were paid either a time-rate for the period for which they were employed and a piece rate if they were employed for a specific piece of work.

We now go on to present the data that we gathered in regard to class ( $B$ ) and ( $C$ ) of the employed persons. We firstly indicate the nature of the problem, in each case, the manner in which we sought to meet it in the questionnaire, the data we were able to gather, their evaluation and the light they throw on the problem of partial unemployment.

In regard to employed persons in class (B) i.e. casual workers. as remarked earlier, the problem was clear cut. We had to decide the period over which we should seek information regarding the number of days on which a casual worker could get work, and the days on which he could not, even though he actively sought it, as also the number of days on which he did not seek work of his own free choice or because he was ill and temporarily incapacitated. From our experience, we were convinced that such information, if it was to be reliable, had to be related to an immediate past period which was not so long as the details about which could not be easily remembered by the ignorant and illiterate persons who mainly constituted the class of casual workers. We decided, therefore, to restrict our inquiry regarding those details only to the month immediately preceding the one in which the family questionnaire was filled in. . For this month we recorded the number of days on which the person concerned worked or was employed, the days on which he voluntarily
did not or could not work ${ }^{1}$ and the days on which he was unemployed because he could not get work though he actively sought it.

In presenting the data in an intelligible and significant manner, it was necessary to relate the days of involuntary unemployment to the days on which the person was actively seeking employment. The summary statement below presents the casual workers in the unskilled, skilled and highly skilled categories classified according to the percentage of days on which they were employed to the total days on which work was actively sought by them during the preceding month. This involved elaborate calculations for each case had to be considered individually. The results are summarised in Table 4.9.

Of the total of 890 casual workers in the sample 76 per cent were unskilled, 18 per cent skilled and about 5 per cent highly skilled. At the two ends of the columns we get the extreme and the clear cut cases of those who were fully employed during the preceding month i.e. 100 per cent and those who could not get work on any of the days on which they were actively seeking it during that month. There were only a few cases in the latter category accounting for about 2 per cent of the total casual workers. None of them was a highly skilled worker. In the whole group the percentage of those fully employed was about 56 per cent i.e. the rest were only partially employed. About 19 per cent did not get work on more than half the days on which they actively sought it; 14 per cent could find work on 60 to 70 per cent of the days on which they were actively looking for it and the remaining 11 per cent on 80 to 90 per cent days. ${ }^{2}$

1. Casual workers could not work on some days because they were ill or indisposed. In some cases, however, we met with a different type of phenomenon, Some casual poorkers were attached to particular trades or shops or establishments and did not do or seek work in other trades or shops and establishments. Under the provisions of the Shops and Establishments Act, in force at the time of our survey, all trades, shop's and establishments had to compulsorily have a weekly holiday. Naturally on these holidays the casual workers attached to them, could not work. Though this idleness was partly enforced it was also partly due to their unwillingness to seek or do any other work on these days. We have, in our calculations, included all such days in 'the days on which he could not work'.
2. This and Table 4.10 perhaps illustrate from another angle the following observations on the survey results in Puetro Rico of Fernando Sierra Berdecia and A. J. Jaffe: "In our opinion, there is no uniquely correct measurement of under-employment or partial employment. Rather, there is a continuum ranging from none or little employment to the fullest employment conceivable; this continuum onn be cut at any point desired, to divide the "employed" between the "fully" and the "under" employed." The Concept and Measurement of Underemployment, Monthly Labour Review, U.S. Department of Labour, March 1955.

TABLE No. 4.0.
Dustribution of casual workers áooording to ocoupation and the proportion of days on which they were employed to the total daye on which they were seeking employment.


The situation of the sub-categories of casual workers shows some marked features. The percentage of the fully employed persons was the lowest among skilled workers, being about 40; it was higher, 51 per cent, among highly skilled workers and the highest, 60 per cent, among the unskilled workers. The incidence of partial unemployment was the heaviest among the skilled workers. It was also much more acute in this group than in the other two in terms of intensity; for while only about 8 per cent of the workers among the unskilled and the highly skilled were able to find employment on 40 per cent or less of days on which they actively looked for it, this percentage was more than 30 per cent in the skilled group.

An examination of the data relating to individual occupations within the above categories points up some interesting patterns of partial unemployment. While only 10 per cent of the bidi workers suffered from partial unemployment, their proportions among agricultural labourers, coolies and workers in the building industry were 45 per cent, 52 per cent and 79 per cent respectively. In the skilled category almost all weavers were fully employed but only one-fifth of the carpenters and masons were so employed. A little less than 30 per cent of the tailors in the highly skilled category suffered from partial employment.

As has been indicated above the data regarding the days on which a person was employed, etc. related to the month immediately preceding the one in which the questionnaire of the family of which the person concerned was a member, was filled in. The work of the survey was begun in May and ended in August. The information presented above, |therefore, I relates to April, May, June and July. From the point of view of casual employment they cannot be considered as abnormal months. A part of June and July may be considered as rather slacki because of rains but April and May as the last summer months can be considered equally brisk. So that there is a good balancing in the period as a whole and as such, for our purposes, we can regard it as a normal period from the point of view of employment in the Poona area. The data presented above regarding partial unemployment may be considered fairly representative of conditions in Poona during the year.

The high incidence of partial unemployment among casual workers as a whole, and among the skilled workers in particular, was really alarming.
11. Partial Unemployment in the 1987 Survey:-By the re-tabulation of the data gathered in the 1937 Survey', it was possible to present a picture of partial unemployment of casual workers at that time and to compare it with the picture for the comparable area
as given by the present survey. These data in the 1937 Survey were gathered over a period of 18 months, from September 1936 to March 1938. As the period to which the data related was quite extensive all seasonal biases were likely to be ironed out in the total.

There were 1,128 casual workers in the 1937 Survey. Of these 50 per cent were unskilled, 31 per cent skilled and a little less than 3 per cent highly skilled. The percentage of those who secured employment on all the days they sought. it was about 67-68 among unskilled and highly skilled workers and 56 among the skilled ones. The percentage of those who secured work on 50 to 90 per cent of the days on which they sought it was 29, 37 and 31 respectively among unskilled, skilled and highly skilled workers. Taken as a whole 65 per cent of the casual workers got employment on all days they sought it while 25 per cent got it only on 50 to 90 per cent of the days on which they sought it.

Table 4.10 presents data regarding partial unemployment of casual workers in the 1937 and the present survey for the comparable area. Even a cursory examination of the table will show that the incidence of partial unemployment was definitely greater at the time of the present survey than at that of the earlier one. The percentage of the "fully employed" ( 100 per cent) was slightly higher in 1937 than in 1954. The percentage of the partially employed who got work on 50-90 per cent of the days on which they actively sought it was about the same in both surveys. Taken together the picture in 1954 was one of increased incidence of partial unemployment.

Looking more closely into the data regarding the different categories of the casual workers we find that the situation in regard to the unskilled workers had not changed much. But in regard to skilled and highly skilled workers the proportion of the "fully employed" ( 100 per cent) had declined quite significantly and the percentage of those "partially employed" (50-90 per cent) was markedly higher in 1954 than in 1937.

This must be, however, related and modified in relation to the changes that appear to have taken place in the period between the surveys. A comparison of occupational distribution showed that while the percentage of unskilled workers had broadly remained unchanged that of skilled workers had declined by 4 per cent while that of highly skilled ones had increased by 4 per cent among total earners in the present survey. These were accompanied by a qualitative change that pervaded all the three categories i.e. the proportion of casual workers among the total in these classes had declined, though the decline was less marked among the highly skilled. In

that class, however, the percentage of casual workers was very low being less than 7 per cent in both the surveys. The decrease in the proportion of casual workers in these classes indicates broadly that the employment in them was steadier in 1954 than in 1937. But the degree of partial unemployment among the casual workers in 1937 was definitely less than that among the casual workers of 1954.
12. Partial Unemployment Among Self-employed persons:The case of the self-employed persons (Class C) was a patch of many colours and designs. This class included traders-small, medium and large-persons in lowest, intermediate and liberal professions and skilled, highly skilled and unskilled workers. Except in regard to the last group it was doubtful whether one could legitimately use the term "employment". One could at best say "work". As these persons again had establishments or shops in the case of traders, and were practitioners in the case of the liberal professions, one could describe these as open for and seeking business in their particular line. But how much business they got depended on several factors. The traders' business and turnover depended upon the season, the purchasing power of their clientele, the size of the clientele, the prices of things they traded in, etc. Shops might have less than the full volume of business also because there were too many shops to share it. Again the volume of business in a particular shop might be less than was sufficient to feed adequately its owner because the capital investment was very small and the shop was incapable, with the given investment, to have a turnover which could give its owner enough. A trader or a businessman could not talk of whether he was fully employed; he could only make a statement regarding the state of his business. So, too a professional. A doctor's earnings depended upon whether there was enough sickness around among people who were in a position to pay for the doctor's services; a lawyer's on whether there was enough litigation, etc. He could again talk only regarding the state of his business.

In regard to the artisans also the picture was mixed. Same of the artisans working on their own did only repair work or wage work. In their case it was quite correct and legitimate to talk about the number of days on which they got work. But those who in addition to wage work also produced certain articles and sold them an element of business was mixed in. In their case it was partly employment and partly the state of business.

We had to devise our questions to take account of all these complications. After a great deal of deliberation we decided again to seek, whatever information we wanted, pertaining to the imme. diately preceding month. We also decided to direct our questions
both to the state of employment and to that of business during last month as also to seek information regarding the level of earnings of the person in question in a month in which in his opinion he had full work. Question Nos. 48 to 51 were consequently devised. We found, however, that the information on the state of business was not supplied by all businessmen and professionals. The available data were found to be not very usable because in many cases the informant did not seem to have a clear idea of his peak monthly earnings during the year and identified it with earnings during last month. On these and other considerations we decided not to present those data but to present the data regarding the employment of unskilled, skilled and highly skilled workers only.

Table 4.11 presents data regarding the proportion of days on which the self-employed workers got employment (work) out of the total days on which they were ready to do business or were ready to work.

The percentage of those who secured employment (work), irrespective of whether it was adequate or not, on all the days they were open for business was 86 per cent among the unskilled, 70 among the skilled and 65 among the highly skilled workers. The percentage of those who got work on 50 to 90 per cent of the days on which they accepted work, was between 14 and 18 in all the three classes.

The occupation wise breakdown of the data shows that among the skilled self-employed workers the prevalence of partial unemployment was least among tonga and bullock cart drivers and fishermen. It was heaviest among painters, masons and carpenters. The autoriksha drivers were a trifle badly off than the tonga and bullock cart drivers in this respect. A comparison of casual and self-employed persons in similar occupations is interesting. The self-employed handloom weavers suffered from greater partial unemployment than handloom weavers employed by others. Masons and carpenters of the two categories were on a par in this respect. Among the highly skilled workers about one-third of the goldsmiths and tailors suffered partial unemployment. The self-employed tailors were a triffe worse off than the tailors employed by others.
13. Employment offered by the Employers-last year and this year:-In the case of employers we were mainly interested in knowing whether there had been any fall in the employment offered by them over the previous year and by how much and in which lines it was located. Accordingly we noted the number of people employed in their establishments at the time of the inquiry and also at

## TABLE No. 4.11

Self-employed earners classified according to Occupation and proportion of days on which they got employment out of the cotal days on which they were ready to do busmess or to work

the time one year previous to that. This gives us a comparative picture of the level of employment offered by these employers at the time of the survey and one year previous to it.

Of course this represents the picture only generally. Obviously the businesses that closed down and rendered men unemployed during the year would not figure in the above. The businesses started daring the year would however come in. This would understate the employment bffered by employing units in the sample during last year. For comparative purposes this can be partially compensated for. In collecting this information we were mainly dealing with the individuals, who were in the category of "employers". For our purposes, therefore, persons who were employers this year but were not so last year represented establishments started during the year, or expansion of activity during the year which necessitated the employment of hired labour outside the family. If these are excluded from the calculation of employment offered by employers at the time of the survey it will partially compensate the understatement of employment offered one year previous to it. Table 4.12 presents the relevant information.

The total member, of earners falling in the category of employers was 519. However, 163 of them were working as partner, etc. in the same businesses as the remaining. When these are excluded the remaining 356 represent businesses or employing units in cour sample which gave employment to persons outside the families of their owners. Below by employer we mean an employing unit. In Table 4.12 is presented the relevant data for these 356 establishments. A total of 1,902 persons were employed in the year of the survey by these employers, as compared with 1,877 in the previous year. If we exclude 14 employers who did not employ any persons outside the family in the previous year but employed 55 persons at the time of the survey, we find that the total employment had fallen by 30 over the year:

We must now proceed to make a closer examination of the data to look into the change in the volume of employment given by employing units over the year preceding the survey. Table 4.12 gives the distribution of employing units according to the number of persons employed by them at the time of the survey, classified 'ky the number of persons employed by them in the previous year. In the case of an overwhelming proportion of employing units the 'employment offered by them had remained the same over the previous year. Only 53 employing units reported variations in the employments offered by them; 19 reported an increase and 34 a decrease. Of the 19 reporting increases 13 who were each employing

1 to 3 persons in the previous year, were each employing 2 to 4 persons at the time of the survey. Two employed 6 persons each this year as compared to 5 each in the previous year. Four employed $5,8,14$ and 16 persons this year as compared to $3,7,8$ and 15 persons respectively a year before. Of the 37 reporting a decrease in the employment offered by them over the previous year 24 had beenl employing $2-5$ persons each previously but were at the time of the survey employing 1 to 3 persons each; 6 were employing 4 to 7 persons each as against 6 to 10 each last year. Five had been employing 15, 20, 20, 35 and 35 persons respectively but were employing $8,10,12,18$ and 18 persons only at the time of the survey. Three. who had been employing from 1-2 persons each last year were not employing any this year.

It is necessary to find out the occupations or industries to which these employers belonged in order to locate the decline and increase in employments offered by them. Of the 19 employing units who reported an increase in the number of people employed by them over the previous year 10 were in medium business, 3 in large business and 1 in small business; 2 were in skilled manual work and one in intermediate professions. Those who had emerged as employers during the year numbered 14. Of these 8 belonged to medium business, 3 to large business and 2 to small businesses and one was in highly skilled work. Of the 37 employing units who reported a decrease in the number of persons employed by them over the previous year 18 were in medium business, 9 in large business and 2 in smallest business; 5 were in skilled manual work and 3 in highly skilled work.

The decline in total employment over the previous year offered by the employing units in our sample was of a small magnitude, being about 2 per cent of the total employed by these units at the time of our survey. The decline was markedly higher among the large employing units. Fluctuations of employment wère very largely concentrated in trading establishments, particularly of the medium class.

It is needless to mention that the employers included in the sample families were individuals and the above discussion relates only to employment offered by them. It does not deal with total employment because it excludes all institutional ensployers, The increase or decrease in the employment offered by these employers is not comparable with the increase in unemployment of non-freshers during the last year as computed from data regarding the duration of unemployment presented earlier.

TABLE No. 4.12.
Employment offered by the Employers in the sample families at the time of the Survey and one year preceding the Survey.

No. of persons employed during the year preceding the survey.
No. of per-
sons em-
ployed at the
time of the

| time of the Survey | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 4 | 71 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | - | 3 | 27 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | - | 2 | 4 | 18 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 5 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 11 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 21-25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-35 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |  |
| 36-50 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| 51-99 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Uncertain | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| No employee | e 1 | 2 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Total | 106 | 88 | 38 | 25 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 |  |

TABLE No. 4.12-(Condtd.)
Employment offered by the Employers in the ample families at the time of the Survey and one year preoeding the Survey.

No. of persons employed during the year preceding the survey.

| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21-25 | 26-35 | 36-50 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un } \\ \text { cer- } \\ 99 \text { tain } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { em- } \\ & \text { ployee } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {Total }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 118 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 85 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 36 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 15 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 8. |
| - | - | - |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | - | - |  | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 7 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| - . | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 7 | - | 16 | 356 |

## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER IV

## SURVEY OF A SAMPLE OF REGISTRANTS ON THE LIVE REGISTER OF THE POONA EMPLOYMENT, EXCHANGE

We decided to supplement the information presented so far by carrying out a separate inquiry regarding the unemployeds registered at the Employment Exchange in Poona. The results of this survey are presented here. The account begins with a short description of how an Employment Exchange works and the terms that are used in the conduct of its affairs. This is intended to facilitate the understanding of some aspects of the data that are presented here. This account is largely given in the words in which the Employment Exchanges describe their own work.

The Employment Exchanges were first created in July 1945 to deal with the aborption into civilian employment of the demobilized defence personnel and war workers. During 1947 and 1948 their scope was gradually extended to all types of employment seekers all over the country. Originally their life was fixed at five years but it, has since been extended.

An Employment Exchange-how it works? Only the citizens of India can register their names with the Employment Exchange. ${ }^{1}$ The registration for employment assistance is not condltional on the availability of employment. In case of every applicant, ${ }^{2}$ the Employment Exchange maintains one envelope called an Index Card. ${ }^{3}$ On this envelope, the necessary details regarding the person, such as his or her name, address, age, educational and technical qualifications, record of previous employment, occupation wanted and alternative occupation, minimum income expected, willingness to go outside and, if willing, limitations, etc. are entered. Inside this envelope all the relevant documents such as certificates, calls given, etc. are filed.

[^39]Every registrant is given a Trade Index Number ${ }^{1}$ which is a styled symbol for the trade or occupation he is seeking. All the index cards are then arranged according to the Trade Index numbers and they are collectively called the Live Register. ${ }^{2}$ The Trade Index number is allotted to the registrant, according to a Guide to Occupational Classification prepared by the Directorate General of Resettlement and.Employment, Ministry of Labour. This guide is sufficiently elaborate and helps a good deal in standardizing the classification of occupations..

Every applicant, after registration ${ }^{3}$ is given a registration card which contains his name, occupation, Register Number, Trade Index number and date of registration. It also includes the date before which registration shall be renewed. Renewal means extension of the period for which the registration is valid. This renewal is generally to be made every two months and can be done either personally or by post. Failure to renew registration by the due date is called the lapsing of the registration and the Index Card in such a case is transferred to the Dead Register.4 The applicant can however re-register and the old Index Card and Registration Card can be used after entering on them the date of re-registration. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

When an employer approaches the Employment Exchange for recruiting suitable persons registered with it the necessary particulars pertaining to his demand for workmen are noted on an Order Card ${ }^{5}$ at the Employment Exchange. Then for submitting names of registered persons to the employer a selection is made by an officer of the Exchange after personally examining the appro-

[^40]priate sections of the Live Register. Within the limitations prescribed by the employer, the best qualified applicants are selected. In this selection the priorities laid down by the Government of India and any other special orders affecting such submission, ${ }^{1}$ from time to time are taken into account. The following was the priority list as laid down by the Government of India at the time of our inquiry.
(a) Central Government employees retrenched or declared surplus as a result of the recomendations of Economy Unit of the Government of India.
(b) Permanent displaced Government servants from N.W. F.P., Baluchistan, and Sind.
(c) Central Government servants retrenched due to normal reduction in establishments but otherwise than on the recommendations of the Economy Unit, as well as the employees of the Rationing and Civil Supplies Department in various states in India who have been or are likely to be retrenched on account of abolition of rationing in India.
(d) Temporary displaced Government servants from N.W. F.P., Baluchistan, and displaced persons from East Pakistan.
(e) Displaced employees of States which have acceded to Pakistan and of local bodies in Pakistan as well as Indian ex-employees of Government of Burma.
(f) Others.

It is quite obvious from this that the ordinary local employment seekers have possibly the lowest priority though they constitute the major part of the registrants.

If on the Live Register, there are more applicants, equally suitable for the vacancy than are required then those who have been the longest continuously on the Live Register have the higher priority of being submitted. While selecting applicants, consideration is also given to those in respect of whom an alternative occupation has been recorded.

The Index Cards of registrants which seem to satisfy the requirements of the vacancy are subjected to a very close examination and after each card has been considered indiviually the final choice is made. If, however, applicants who fully satisfy the requirements of the employer are not available and permission for vacancy clearing has not been given or has not proved successful,

\footnotetext{

1. Submission means an introduction of an applicant or the forwarding of his particulars to an employer for consideration against a notified vacancy.

the employer, whenever possible, is asked whether person or persons with lesser qualifications might be submitted.

Unless the employer has already specified the number to be submitted and subject to availability of suitable candidates, submission is made as follows :-

## No. of vacarcies.

(1) For other than unskilled work.

## 1 or 2

3 or 4
5 and above.

## 2) Unskilled work.

1 to 4

No. of submissions.

Seven timea the number of vacanciea. Five times the number. * Four times the number.

Four times the number. s Three times the number. $\quad \infty$

Applicants who are considered suitable for submission are ay a rule and when time permits called for interview at the Employment Exchange before they are sent to the employer. Each applicant finally selected for submission against a vacancy is given an Introduction Card and advised to report to the employer for interview.

The Survey: Sample:-The Employment Exchange at Poona is a sub-regional office for the three districts of Poona, North Satara and Ahmednagar. As the enquiry was to be restricted to the registrants from the Poona Municipal Corporation and the Poona and Kirkee Cantonments, it was necessary to find out the total number of registrants from this area for selecting our sample. The number of registrants for each of these three districts, as well as for Dhond and Khadakwasla (both in Poona district) were available from the monthly returns for March 1954. The following statement shows the distribution of the total registrants at the end of March, 1954.


As the Index Cards of registrants for the Poona City area were not kept separate from those of the Poona District, it was not possible to find out the exact number of registrants from the Poona Corporation and the Poona and Kirkee Cantonment areas. Of the total registrants in the Poona distriet, about 10,000 wero likely to be from the Poona Municípal Corporation and the Poona and Kirkee Cantonment areas, according to the best estimate of the officers of the Employment Exchange. A 3 per cent random sample was drawn from the Index Cards for this inquiry by tak-
ing out each 33rd card from the Live Register of the rest of the Poona District. If the 33 rd card happened to be from an area outside the area of our inquiry, the card immediately next to it that was from Poona Corporation and the Poona and Kirkee Cantonment areas was taken out. This would make our sample size slightly bigger than 3 per cent. As there was no occupational classification in a summary form available then, it was not possible to decide in advance the approximate number of registrants that should be taken up from each of the major trade or occupational classes. However, as all the Index Cards were arranged trade-index-wise, the sample represents all the major categories almost in proportion to their strengths in the different trades and occupations.

Our total sample as originally selected consisted of 291 persons. Out of these we could contact and obtain information from 216 persons only. Of the remaining 75, 48 had left Poona; 16 could not be traced because either their addresses were incomplete or they had left their old addresses and their new addresses could not be traced. The remaining 11 persons could not be contacted even though the investigator paid several visits to their places. This was mainly because they were to be found at home only at odd times. For example, one of them was employed in a printing press and attended a night school, so that he was not normally to be found af his residence. Another was a motor driver who spent most of his time at his employer's place.

We did not substitute other persons from the Employment Exchange's Live Register to take the place of these persons in the sample. We have therefore to raise and answer the question how far the failure to get information from the 75 persons in the originally selected sample affects the representative character of the remaining sample whose data we will be presenting here. The only way to answer the question is to examine whether the composition of these 75 persons had any very marked peculiarities which distinguished them sharply from those that had been covered.

As demaribed already the sample was drawn from the Index Cards of the Poona Employment Exchange which were classified and arranged occupation-wise. It is necessary in the first instance to see whether the distribution of the original sample as among the occupational categories was markedly different from that of the sample actually covered. The following were the distributions :-

| Occupational <br> category * | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Original sample \% | 13.0 | 17.0 | 10.7 | 25.6 | 15.9 | 13.8 | 4.0 | 390 |
| 2. Actual sample \% | 15.3 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 2.8 | 215 |

[^41]The largest differences in percentage distributions in different categories do not exceed 3. They are not significantly large to impair the representativeness of the sample. actually covered, at least in regard to the representation of different occupational categories. This cannot, however, be said about the age composition of those actually covered, because we found that nearly hall of those who could not be covered from among those originally selected, belonged to the age group 21-25 years and a further one-fifth to the next age group, $26-30$. As a result the age composition of the original and the actual samples differed rather markedly as can be seen from the following :-

| Age 10- Group-years 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 16- \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21- \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26- \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81- \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36- \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $41-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 . \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 51 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oringinal sample Number 2 | 72 | 114 | 46 | 28 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| \% 0.7 | 24.8 | 39.4 | 15.9 | 9.6 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Actual sample <br> Number 2 | 68 | 75 | 31 | 19 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| \% 0.9 | 31.6 | 34.9 | 144 | 8.8 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 |

In the actual sample, the age groups 21-25 and. 31-35 are under-represented and the age group $16-20$ has been over-repre-. sented.

Schedules and Investigation :-Three schedules were used for the purpose of this inquiry. The first schedule was a close copy of the Index Card maintained by the Employment Exchange for every registrant. This schedule was copied out for every registrant in the sample from his Index Card. The second schedule was the one which was used in the present survey of Poona and was used to to get the details regarding the family of the registrant. The third schedule covered a number of items of which information was already obtained from the Employment Exchange, but it, in addition, covered some questions bearing on certain aspects of the problem not covered by the records of the Employment Exchange. The first schedule was not used at the time of the enquiry but was used as a means to check the information given by the informant in the personal interview.

The work of personally visiting all the registrants from the sample and filling in the two schedules was carried out by a Research Assistant who was entrusted with the inquiry completely. Though the sample was drawn in the first week of April, the work of investigation was begun. in the middle August, 1954 due to the heavy pressure of the work of the present survey of Poona. This time lag F... 22
is responsible for certain features of the data presented below. These will be commented upon at, appropriate places in the following.

Occupational Classification:-For the purpose of analysis of the data, the various occupations for which the registrants had registered have been grouped into seven categories as under :-
(1) Clerical freshers (2) Clerical others (3) Lower Professions like domestic servants (ayah, cook), compounders, nurses, packers. It also includes teachers (4) Labour (unskilled) (5) Lower administrative, educational and other office workers, e.g. peons, watchmen, swèepers, etc. (6) All semi-skilled and skilled workers (7) Othersnot covered above such as businessmen, agriculturists, etc. In the following tables the registrants have been classified according to these occupational categories.

Out of the 215 informants nearly a quarter were "unskilled workers", while more than 32 per cent were "clerical-freshers and others" taken together; 10 per cent were from "Lower professions" and 13 per cent "Others".
, Economic Status :-Perhaps the most striking feature of this enquiry was that out of the 216 registrants covered 114 i.e. about 52.7 per cent were found employed at the time of the enquiry while only 101 were found unemployed. One informant was not seeking any employment as he had joined college for further studies. He has, therefore, been excluded throughout and the conclusions refer mainly to two categories, those who were employed and those who were unemployed at the time of the inquiry.

The percentage of the employeds among the registered unemployeds was very high apparently but it should not be taken at its face value. Some of the cases in this category were of persons who had registered at the Exchange under a certificate of 'no objection' from their employers in order to better their prospects of securing better jobs than they were in. They were employed even when they registered at the Employment Exchange. These cases of the "declared employeds" will have to be excluded from the above. Whell we deduct them what remains are cases of 'concealed employeds' i.e. those who had declared themselves unemployed even though they -were employed. But even among these we must exclude those who were found employed at the time of our inquiry but were unemployed . at the time the sample was drawn, i.e. some of them might have secured employment during the period that elapsed between the drawing of the sample in April 1954 and the completion of the inquiry in November 1954. An examination of the employeds according to the duration of their present employment showed that 40
out of the $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ had secured their present jobs after April 1954. If these cases are excluded the real number of cases of "concealed employeds's comes to 74 or 34.4 per cent of the total registrants covered by our inquiry. Even this is quite a high percentage indicating that about one-third of the registrants at the Employment Exchange were not "unemployed", in the proper sense of the word."

The proportion of the employed was the highest ( 69 per cent) in the skilled workers category and lowest ( 27 per cent) in the clerical freshers. Next to the skilled workers, it was the highest in "Others" ( 66.6 per cent) and in the clerical others ( 45.5 per cent).

Of the 114 employed registrants in the sample nearly threefourths were salaried workers i.e. they had a fixed employer and got paid on a time-rate basis, about 15 per cent were employed as casual workers, about 10 per cent were self-employed and the remaining few were employers.

Age:-Table 4.13 gives percentage distribution of registrants in our sample according to age. 67 per cent of the informants were below 25 years of age, but of which 32 per cent were aged 20 or below, the rest being between 21 and 25 years of age. About 9 per cent of the persons were above 35 years. All the clerical freshers were below 25 years, two-thirds of whom were below 20 years.

TABLE No. 4.13.
Percentage distribution of the Registrants according to Age and Occupation.

*A similar inquiry conducted at Delhi Employment Exchange showed that 36 per cent of the registrants on the Live Register were employed. Ref. Special Report on the Survey of Persons in the Live Register of the Delhi Eroployment Exchange, National Sample Survey No. 4. 'Sankhya'-The Indian Journal of Statistics, Calcutta, Vol. XIV, Part III, 1954.

The percentage of those who were above 25 years was low in "clerical others", "others" and "lower administrative, etc. workers". There were only 1.5 per cent registrants in our sample who were more than 46 years old.

Civil Condition:-Table 4.14 gives the percentage distribution of the registtants in the sample according to civil condition. 87 per cent of the total were males, the rest females. 57 per cent were unmarried and the rest married. While 60 per cent of the males were unmarried,' only 39 per cent of the females were unmarried. The percentage of married females was 36,25 per cent being widows.

Education :-Table 4.15 gives the educational status of the registrants in the sample. Only one-eighth of the informants were reported to be illiterate. Out of the remaining nearly one-third were matriculates or those possessing higher qualifications. In the 'clerical-freshers and others' categories matriculates and still more highly educated persons were nearly 90 per cent. One was a B.P. N.A. There was no graduate in the categories 3 to 6 . In the 'unskilled workers', nearly half had completed primary education.

Duration of stay in Poona :-Table 4.16 gives the percentage distribution of the registrants according to whether they were permanent or temporary in-migrants into Poona. The definitions of these categories are the same as those in Chapter II.

About 36 per cent were residents of Poona for generations while only about 4 per cent were temporary in-migrants. The rest were permanent in-migrants. It is difficult to discern any relationship between in-migrants and their occupation. The migrants were distributed evenly in all the occupational categories.

Place of origin:-Table 4.17 shows the percentage distribution of registrants according to the place of origin. 29 per cent of the total in-migrants had come to Poona from the Poona district, 44.2 per cent from the adjacent Marathi-speaking districts like Nasik, Nagar, Sholapur, Ratnagiri, Kolaba, Satara and Bombay. Only about 7 per cent were from the Kannada-speaking areas like Dharwar, Bijapur, etc. districts. Nearly 10 per cent had migrated from the remaining parts of South India like Hyderabad, Mysore, Madras, Travancore-Cochin, etc. and about 9 per cent from Northern India.

Mother-tongue:-Table 4.18 presents the distribution of registrants in the sample according to their mother-tongue. Threefourths of the informants had Marathi as their mother-tongue: about 12 per cent had Telugu and Tamil. Hindi and Urdu were the mother tongues of 5 pef cent each and the number of those with

TABLE No. 4.14
Percentage distribution of the Regrstrants according to Civu Condition and Occupation,

|  | Civil Condition | 1 | $\underset{2}{\text { Occupational }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Category } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | for which 4 | registered. 5 | 6 | 7 | Total | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unmarried | 7.4 | 14.0 | 3.2 | 11.6 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 0.5 | 52.4 | 113 |
|  | Married | 5.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 10.8 | 4.2 | 8.9 | 2.3 | 33.1 | 71 |
|  | Widowed | 0.5 | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 2 |
|  | Divorced or Separated | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 1 |
| Female | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unmarried | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 5.1 | 11 |
|  | . Married | - | - | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 | - | - | 4.7 | 10 |
|  | Widow | - | - | 1.4 | 0.9 | - | - | - | 2.3 | 5 |
|  | Divorced or Separated | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | 0.9 | 2 |
|  | Total | 15.3 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | - |
|  | No. | 33 | 37 | 22 | 53 | 28 | 36 | 6 | - | 215 |

TABLE No. 4.15.
Percentage distribution of the Registrants by Education and Occupation.

| Education | Occupational Category for which registered. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. |
| Illiterate | - | - | 2.3 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | - | 13.9 | 30 |
| Literate | - | - | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | 2.4 | 5 |
| Upto Primary 4th Std. | - | $\pm$ | 1.4 | 12.2 | 2.7 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 22.9 | 49 |
| Upto 7th Std. | - | - | 0.9 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 6.0 | - | 14.8 | 32 |
| Upto 11th Std. | 1.9 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 13.5 | 29 |
| Matriculatea | 11.1 | 14.9 | 0.9 | -. | - | 0.5 | - | 27.4 | 59 |
| Graduates | 2.3 | 0.9 | - | n | - | - | 0.9 | 4.1 | 9 |
| Post-graduate degree holders | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 |
| With Technical Qualifications | - | $:$ | 0.5 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 0.5 | 1 |
| Total | 15.3 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | - |
| No. | 33 | 37 | 22 | 53 | 28 | .36 | 6 | - | 215 |

TABLE No. 4.16.
Distribution of the Registrants according to Duration of stay in Poona and Occupation.

| Occupational <br> Category for which registered | For Generations |  | Permanent |  | Temporary |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | $\%$ | No. | \% |
| 1 | 9 | 4.2 | 23 | 10.6 | 1 | 0.5 | 33 | 15.3 |
| 2 | 13 | 6.0 | 20 | 9.3 | 4 | 1.9 | 37 | 17.2 |
| 3 | 9 | 4.2 | 11 | 5.1 - | 2 | 0.9 | 22 | 10.2 |
| 4 | 17 | 7.9 | 36 | 16.8 | - | - | 53 | 24.7 |
| 5 | 15 | 7.0 | 13 | 6.0 | - | - | 28 | 13.0 |
| 6 | 11 | 5.1 | 24 | 11.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 36 | 16.8 |
| 7 | 3 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.5 | 6 | 2.8 |
| Total | 77 | 35.8 | 129 | 59.9 | 9 | 4.3 | 215 | 100.0 |

TABLE No. 4.17.
Distribution of the Unemployed Registrants (in-migrants) in the sample according to place of origin.

| Place of Origin | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Poona District- | 29.0 |
| 2. Ahmednagar, Khandesh, Satara, Kolhapur, Nasik and Sholapur Districts | 305 |
| 3. Bijapur, Belgaum, Dharwar,-Karwar and North Kanara Districts | 6.5 |
| 4. Ratnagiri, Kolaba, Thana Districts and Bombay | 13.7 |
| 5. Surat, Baroda, Broach, Ahmedabad and Kaira Districts | - |
| 6. Hyderabad, Mysore, Cochin, Travancore, Madras State | 10.1 |
| 7. Madhya Pradesh, Madhya Bharat, Saurashtra, Vindhya Pradesh and remaming Northern India | 8.8 |
| 8. Others-transferable or moving | 1.4 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 |

TABLE No. 4.18.
Distribution of the Registrants according to Mother Tongue and Occupation.

| Mother Tongue | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occupational } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | egory |  |  | istered Total | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marathi | 13.9 | 14.5 | 8.0 | 15.9 | 10.2 | 98 | 2.3 | 74.6 | 160 |
| Kannada | - | 05 | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | 0.4 | - | 1.8 | 4 |
| Hindi | - | 0.4 | - | 2.3 | 1.9 | 05 | - | 5.1 | 11 |
| Sindhi | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4* | - | - | - | - | 1.3 | 9 |
| Urdu | - 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.9 | - | 4.6 | 10 |
| Gujarati. | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 1 |
| Telugu and Tamil | - | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 11.6 | 25 |
| Englsh | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 1 |
| Total | 15.3 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | - |
| No. | 33 | 37 | 22 | 53 | 28 | 36 | 6 | ,- | 215 |

Kannada, Gujarati and English as their mother-tongues was negligible. These figures are consistent with the information regarding the sources of migration presented above.

The proportion of the Marathi-speaking persons was 91 per cent in "clerical others", 84 per cent in "clerical freshers" and 83 per cent in "Others". It was 58 per cent in the "skilled workers". Of the Tamil and Telugu speaking persons 40 'per cent and 36 per cent were unskilled and skilled workers respectively.

Religion :-Table 4.19 gives the percentage distribution of registrants in the sample by religion, and by caste in the case of Hindus. As the number of registrants falling under each of the castes was very small, the castes have been suitably grouped for analysis.

TABLE No. 4.19.
Registrants classified according to Castes and Religion and Ocoupation.

| Caste \& Religion | Occupational Category for which registered. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total | No. |
| Hindus : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marathas | 0.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 6.6 | $6.0{ }^{\prime}$ | 3.2 | 0.5 | 22.3 | 48 |
| Deshastha Brahmins | 6.0 | 5.1 | 1.4 | - | 0.9 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 19.0 | 41 |
| Konkanastha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brahmins | 4.6 | 3.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 7.8 | 17 |
| Other Brahmins | 0.5 | 1.4 | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 2.4 | 5 |
| Artisan Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I \& II | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 10.2 | 22 |
| Mahars | 0.5 | - | 1.4 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | 7.5 | 16 |
| Other Depressed <br> $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { classes } & - & - & 1.4 & 4.2 & - & - & 5.6 & 12\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Hindus | 0.9 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 4.2 | - | 2.8 | 0.9 | 12.9 | 28 |
| Muhommedans | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | - | 5.7 | 12 |
| Christians | 0.5 | - | 1.4 | 2.8 | - | 1.9 | - | 6.6 | 14 |
| Total | 15.3 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | - |
| No. | 33 | 37 | 22 | 53 | 28 | 36 | 6 | - | 215 |

About 6 per cent of the registrants were Muslims, 7 per cent Christians and the rest Hindus. Out of the total registrants, 29 per cent were Brahmins, 22 per cent Marathas and 13 per cent belonged to Depressed classes. All the Konkanastha Brahmins had registered for clerical jobs; more than half the Deshastha Brahmins were also seeking clerical jobs. About 60 per cent of Mahars were seeking work as unskilled workers. In respect of Marathas, about a quarter was seeking lower administrative jobs while another quar-
ter was seeking unskilled work. Only about 3 per cent of the Marathas had registered for clerical jobs.

Rehation with the Head of family :-In Table 4.20, sample registrants are classified according to their relation to the head of their family. More than one-third were heads of families. The proportion of the heads of families was the highest among "skilled workers" being 53 per cent. It was 50 per cent in "others" and 45 cent in "unskilled workers". It was about 16 per cent in "clerical freshers".

TABLE No. 4.20.
Registrants classfied accordiny to occupation and relation to head of the famtly.

| Relationship | Occupationa <br> 12 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Category } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { for whicl } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | registered. |  | Total | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| Head of the family | 3.2 | 2.8 |  | 3.2 | 11.2 | 4.6 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 35.3 | 76 |
| Others' | 12.1 | 14.4 | 7.0 | 13.5 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 14 | 64.7 | 139 |
| Total | 15.3 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 2.8 | 1000 | - |
| No. | 33 | 37 | 22 | 53 | 28 | 36 | 6 | - | 215 |

The fact that a particular person is the head of the family and that he has to look after the maintenance of the members of the family adds to the severity of unemployment. From this point of view, it will be interesting to note the distribution of the 114 who were found employed and the 101 who were unemployed at the time of the enquiry into the various relation categories. In the case of those who were employed at the time of the enquiry, nearly half were heads of families, while among the unemplogeds, only 19 per cent were in that class.

Duration of unemployment :-In Table 4.21 is presented information about the duration of unemployment of the unemployed registrants classified according to their employment categories. In Table 4.22 the employed registrants are classified according to their occupational categories and the duration of their present employment.

Nearly half of the unemployed registrants had been unemployed for a period of less than a year, 34 per cent for a period of between 1 and 2 years, about 13 per cent for a period of between 2 and 3 years and the remaining few for periods of more than 3 years.

Nearly 35 per cent of the employed registrants had been employed for a period of less than 6 months. As already remarked these per-
sons were unemployed at the time of the drawing of our sample but had secured employment before our investigation started six months after. $A$ About 13 per cent of the employed registrants had been employed for a period of between 6 months and an year, 19 per cent for a period of between 1 and 2 years, 6 per cent for a period of 2 to 3 years and the rest for periods of more than 3 years. A substantial majority of the persons in the last group were not satisfied with their present employment and were trying to secure better jobs through the Employment Exchange. Most of them had not, however, registered at the Employment Exchange under a 'no objection' certificate from their employers.

TABLE No. 4.21.
Distribution of Unemployed Registrants according to occupation prior to unemployment and occupation for which registered."

| Occupation prior to unemployment | Occupational |  | Category for which registered. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 11 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 15 |
| 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 6 |
| 4 | - | - | - | 10 | 2 | - | - | 12 |
| 5 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 4 |
| 6 | 2 | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | - | 16 |
| 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| Freshers | - | 27 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 45 |
| Total | 18 | 27 | 9 | 22 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 101 |

TABLE No. 4.22.
Distribution of Employed Registrants aecording to Duration of Present employment.

| Duration of Preapational Category for which registered. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration of Present Fmployment | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | P.C. of Total |
| Lest than 3 months | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 20 | 17.5 |
| 7 7, 3-6 months | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 20 | 17.5 |
| ( ${ }_{6-12}$ months | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 13.2 |
| -2 years | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | - | 22 | 19.3 |
| 0.3 years | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 7 | 6.2 |
| 13-5 years | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | - | 10 | 8.8 |
| $2{ }^{10}$ years | - | - | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | - | 14 | 12.3 |
| 17-15 years | - | - | - | 2 | $\underline{1}$ | 1 | - | 3 | 2.6 |
| Above 15 years | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2.6 |
| Total | 15 | 10 | 13 | 31 | 16 | 24 | 4 | 114 | 100.0 |

Employed and unemployed registrants in the sample-Family Income:-Table 4.23 gives the distribution of the employed and the unemployed registrants separately according to the annual income of their families. About 48 per cent of the families of the employed registrants had incomes of less than Rs. 1,000 during the previous year while the corresponding proportion among the unemployed registrants was only 25 per cent. About 27 per cent of the families of employed registrants had incomes between Rs. 1,001 and Rs. 2,000 and 18 per cent between Rs. 2,001 and Rs. 3,500; the corresponding percentages for the families of the unemployed registrants were 43 and 23 respectively. The families of the unemployed registrants had on the average higher incomes than those of the families of the employed registrants, perhaps another reason why the unemployment problem was more acute for the latter forcing them to take up whatever employment came along.

Change in the Present Occupation :-All the registrants in the sample were asked whether they wanted to change their present occupation and if they desired to change the occupation, what new occupation they would prefer. Those who expressed a desire for change, were asked to state whether they were willing to undergo any training for changing the occupation and whether they could afford it. In the case of the unemployed registrants by present occupation was meant the occupation prior to their unemployment. In the case of freshers this question did not arise. Table 4.24 gives this information separately for employed ando unemployed registrants.

67 of the employed registrants wanted to continue in the occupation in which they were at the time of the inquiry. 31 registrants were not satisfled with the occupation in which they were and wanted to change to others with better prospects.

About 28 per cent of the unemployed registrants wished to continue in the same occupation and 15 per cent wanted to change to occupations with better prospects. The question did not arise in the case of the 41 per cent freshers who were confined to this group. The desire to change the occupation was not particularly associated with any particular occupational category.

Excluding the 67 from the employed registrants who were not willing to change their present occupation, Table 4.25 gives the occupation desired by the remaining 47. There was a marked preference for highly skilled jobs. 40 per cent of the informants from this group expressed their desire to have some technical occupation. Majority of them wanted to be mechnical workers, such as motor

TABLE No. 4.28.
Dustribution of the employed and unemployed Registrants according to their annual famly earnings and oceupation.


TABLE No. 4.24.
Distribution of the employed Registranta according to Reasons for change in Occupation.

| Reasons |  | 1 | Employed |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}  & \text { Occ } \\ \text { Registrants } \\ 5 & 6 \end{array}$ |  | 7 | Total | Category | which | registered. <br> Unemploye <br> 84 |  | Registrants |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No change |  | 9 | 4 | 10 |  |  | 17 | 8 | 18 | 1 | 67 | 6 | - | 3 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 28 |
|  | Not previously employed | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 41 |
| 3. | Closure of work, trans of employer, etc. |  | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | 8 | 2 | - | - | 9 |
|  | Slackness in Business Not profitable |  | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 7 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | For Better prospects | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  | ${ }^{15}$ |
|  | Qualified, completed Training | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | E | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 5 |
| 7. | Wish to do Business |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | * | - | - | 1 |
| - | Total | 15 | 10 | 13 | 31 | 16 | 25 | 4 | 114 | 18 | 27 | 9 | 22 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 101 |

TABLE No. 4.25.
Occupation desired by the Employed Registrants who desired to change thetr occupation.

mechanics, eletricians, etc. Ten out of 14 from unskilled labour wanted to shift to skilled jobs. Table 4.26 gives their willingness and ability for the training necessary for the desired occupation. 40 per cent of the registrants were already qualified for the new occupation and as such needed no training. 40 per cent were willing to undertake training but could not afford it. Only 10 per cent were willing and were in a position to undergo training. Another 8.5 per cent could only partially afford it.

TABLE No. 4.26.
Willingness and ability of the Employed Registrants for the training necessary for the desired occupation.

| Training for new Occupation, Willingness \& ability | Present Occupation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total |
| 1. Willing \& can afford | 2 | 1 | - | * | 1 | - | 1 | 5 |
| 2. Willing \& can afford partially (needs stipend) | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| 3. Willing but cannot afford | 1 | 1 | - | 11 | 4 | 1 | - | 18 |
| 4. Already qualified or trained | 1 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 19 |
| b. Not stated | 1 | - | $\underline{\sim}$ | 3 |  | - | - | 1 |
| Total | 7 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 47 |

Even in the case of unemployed registrants barring 28 who wanted to continue in the same occupation, nearly 44 per cent wished to go into skilled occupations, preferably some mechnical
work. It should be noted here that 10 out of 27 clerical freshers desired to go into highly skilled jobs, while 15 sought what can be described as white collar jobs. Next to the highly skilled, there was preference for clerical and intermediate professions. About 35 per cent were already qualified for the type of jobs they desired; 30 per cent were willing to undergo training for the desired jobs but could not afford it financially. About 21 per cent were willing and able to afford it while about 9 per cent could afford it only partially.

Only 4 out of 215 had undergone an apprenticeship without any stipend and had secured employment, while 13 had done so with a stipend and had secured employment. These figures bring out the general absence of apprenticeship practice.

Reasons for unemployment :-All registrants in the sample were asked to state the reasons which they believed to be responsible for their unemployment. All the reasons as reported by the registrants were recorded. The results are summarised here. 53 of the total registrants thought that the general unemployment in the country was responsible for their unemployment. 10 felt themselves unqualified, 23 inadequately qualified, 20 admitted that it was due to the lack of experience on their part; 4 were physically unfit, 5 were over-age. 23 felt that they were not getting impartial service from the Employment Exchange and therefore, were of the opinion that there was partiality in the Employment Exchange; 16 stated that they could not secure employment as they could not bring to bear any influence on employers under whom they had sought employment. In their opinion influence was the essential thing for securing jobs at present. Only 2 admitted that they had registered their names with the Employment Exchange very recently and would have to wait for their turn. 11 were not keen about securing employment for reasons such as the desire for continuing their education. 37 registrants, could not state any particular reason, while 36 had no reasons to report as they were employed at the time of the inquiry. 29 persons had stated various other reasons than those covered above.

Employed Registrants : Reasons for not cancelling registration :-It is interesting to note the various reasons given by these employed persons for not cancelling their registration at the Employment Exchange after securing employment according to the rules of the Exchange. Table 4.27 presents information regarding the reasons given by informants for not cancelling the registration.

Only 5 per cent of the employeds had registered under the "no objection certificate". A little more than 10 per cent had secured employment through the Employment Exchange whose registration

TABLE No. 4.27.
Distribution of the Employed Registrants according to Reasons' for'not cancelling registration at the Employment Exchange.

| Reported | Occupational |  |  | Category for |  | which | regist | red. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason for not <br> Cancelling Registration 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total | P.C. |
| 1. Regn. lapsed-not intimated to E. E. | - | 6 | 14 | - | . 4 | - | 31 | 27.2 |
| 2. Regn. under no objection certificate from employer | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5.3 |
| 3. Recently employed | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 5 | 4.4 |
| 4. Purely Temporary Service | 2 | - | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 17.6 |
| 5. whe to leave the $k_{6}$ 垂 job | 1 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 29 | 25.5 |
| 6. Sectupa Employment through E. E. | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 12 | 10.5 |
| 7. Part-time employment | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 7.0 |
| 8. Pensioner-seeks re-employment | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1. | 0.8 |
| 9. Apprentice with stipend | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1.8 |
| Total 15 | 10 | 13 | 31 | 16 | 25 | 4 | 114 | - |
| \%. 13.1 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 27.0 | 14.1 | 21.9 | ,3.6 | - | 100.0 |

had been automatically cancelled. About 27 per cent had not renewed their registration with the Employment Exchange after securing employment and as a result their registration had lapsed at the time of the enquiry. These persons, however, had not informed the Employment Exchange of their securing employment, contrary to rules. About a quarter of the employed wanted to leave their present jobs and as such had continued their registration or had registered with the Employment Exchange. These registrants, however, had not informed the Exchange about their present employment. The difficulty in doing so was that they could not have obtained a "no objection certificate" from their employers. They also feared that their cases would be overlooked by the Employment Exchange whenever vacancies were notified as, according to them, it would have been the primary duty of the Exchange to provide jobs for those who had no employment, before providing for those who were already employed. More than 17 per cent had continued their registration because their present employment was purely temporary. 7 per cent had been employed part-time and were seeking full-time jobs.

Nearly 4 per cent had the excuse that they had secured employment very recently and had intended to cancel the registration in due course.

Employed Registrants-Annual Incoms :-Table 4.28 presents information regarding the earnings of employed registrants in our sample during the year preceding the survey. More than one-fourth ( 27.2 per cent) had earned less than Rs. 250 during the previous year, one-third between Rs. 251 and Rs. 500 and 30 per cent between Rs. 501 and Rs. 1,000. Only 7 per cent had earned between Rs. 1,001 and Rs. 2,000. There was no one with earnings of more than Rs. 2,000. In 2.6 per cent cases the employeds had not earned anything as they had been employed only a few days previously.

TABLE No. 4.28.
Annual Earnings of Employed Registrante.

| Annual Earnings - | Occupational |  |  | Category for which registered. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Totad | P.C. |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 8 | - | 31 | 27.2 |
| 251-500 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 88 | 83.1 |
| 501-1,000 | 6 | 2 | - | 11 | 8 | 12 | - | 84 | 20.8 |
| 1,001-2,000 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 7.0 |
| No income | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 8 | 2.6 |
| Total | 15 | 10 | 13 | 81 | 16 | 25 | 4 | 114 | 100.0 |

## CHAPTER V

## HOUSING

1. Introductory :-We present data here regarding the housing conditions of sample families. As ours was a family sample the data relate to the tenements occupied by the sample families. In the 1937 Survey the basis of our sample was a residential house and all the families resident in the sample houses were covered by the survey. In addition, therefore, to the information regarding tenements in a sample house in which the various families lived, we were also able to collect data regarding the structure, etc. of the sample house or the building as a whole. In the present survey this was ruled out by the nature of the basic sample unit. As a result the information gathered in the present survey is of a limited nature and is comparable only with a part of the information of the 1937 Survey.

The tenements in which the sample families lived could be classified into: owned, free and normally or concessionally rented. Between the normally and concessionally rented accommodation the main difference was that in the case of the latter the rent charged was much lower than normally charged for such acconmodation in that locality. Some of the families lived in tenements and/or hpuses provided by their employers at concessional rents. When a respondent definitely stated that the tenement and/or house in which he or she lived had been provided to him or her at a concessional rent it was noted as a case coming under that category. Where the accommodation was reported to be free, the cases were put into a separate category. Table 5.1 gives wardwise classification of sample families who lived in owned, rented, concessionally rented and fret tenements.

Of the total sample families about 12 per cent lived in owned -houses, about 77 per cent were rent-payers, about 3 per cent were concessional rent-payers and the remaining, about 8 per cent, enjoyed free housing accommodation. The overwhelming proportior. of the sample families were rent-paying families.
2. Number of rooms per family ${ }^{1}$ :-Table 5.2 gives the disbution of sample families in different wards according to the number of rooms constituting the tenements in which they lived. In the sample as a whole a little more than half the families lived in one room tenements, about 28 per cent in two room tenements and a fur-

1. Poona, Part II, p. 141.

TABLE No. 5.1.
Wardwise Classification of House-Owners, Tenants and of families obtainang Concessionally rented and free housing accommodation.

| Ward | Tenants | House owners | Concessio rent housi accomod | njoying <br> Free housing | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shivajinagar | 276 | 52 | 5 | 40 | - | 373 |
| Sadashiv | 391 | 50 | 13 | 12 | - | 466 |
| Narsyan | 136 | 24 | 4 | 6 | - | 170 |
| Shanwar | 188 | 37 | 2 | 5 | - | 232 |
| Budhwar | 189 | 14 | 5 | 3 | - | 211 |
| Raviwar | 241 | 32 | 2 | 8 | - | 283 |
| Kasba | 241 | 39 | b | 7 | 1 | 293 |
| Mangalwar | 90 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 105 |
| Somwar | 149 | 13 | 4 | 21 | - | 187 |
| Rasta | 81 | 17 | - | 7 | 1 | 106 |
| Nagesh | 28 | 5 | - | 2 | - | 85 |
| Ganesh | 102 | 11 | - | 2 | - | 115 |
| Nana | 200 | 24 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 236 |
| Bhavani | 292 | 31 | 1. | 15 | - | 339 |
| Ganj | 137 | 21 | - | 7 | - | 165 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | 124 | 18 | 3 | 3 | - | 148 |
| Shukrawar | 360 | 57 | 10 | 15 | 1 | 443 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdi | di 29 | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | 38 |
| Subarban Roads | 103 | 18 | 5 | 79 | - | 205 |
| Yeravada | 62 | 17 | 5 | 49 | - | 133 |
| Wakdewadi | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 15 |
| Navi Khadki | 17 | 11 | - | - | - | 28 |
| Sangamwadi | 13 | 6 | - | 2 | - | 21 |
| Total for I Group of Wards | 3,459 | 507 | 81 | 295 | 5 | 4347 |
| Aundh, Pashan, etc. | 95 | 27 | 30 | 2 | - | 154 |
| Bibewadi, etc. | 8 | 18 | 1 | 6 | - | 33 |
| Erandavana, Hingne, etc. | 45 | 19 | 7 | 8 | - | 79 |
| Ghorpadi village | 51 | 11 | 3 | 18 | - | 83 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa | 58 | 40 | - | 12 | - | 110 |
| Wanavdi | 29 | 7 | 2 | 18 | - | 56 |
| Poona Cantt. | 296 | 59 | 13 | 38 | - | 406 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 255 | 16 | 16 | 46 | - | 333 |
| Total for additional Group of Wards | 837 | 197 | 72 | 148 | - | 1,254 |
| Grand Total for All Wards | 4,296 | 704 | 153 | 443 | 5 | 5,601 |

TABLE No. 5.2.
Wardwise classification of sample familles according to no. of rooms per family.


## CHANGING PATTERN

TABLE No. 5.2-(Contd.)
Wardwise elassification of sample familves according to no. of roome per family.

| Wards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { of } \mathrm{R}_{1} \\ { }^{6} \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\underset{\text { above }}{11 \&}$ | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wakdewadi | 9 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| Navi Khadki | 16 | 9 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| Sangamwadi | 13 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 |
| Total for I Group of Wards | 2281 | 1191 | 443 | 200 | 77 | 49 | 15 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 52 | 4347 |
| Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, etc. | 92 | 40 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 154 |
| Blbwewadi, etc. | 14 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 33 |
| Erandavana, Hingne, etc. | 48 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 8 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | $\cdots$ | 79 |
| Ghorpadi village | 46 | 22 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 83 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhve | 71 | 22 | 10 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 110 |
| Wanavdi | 30 | 19 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 56 |
| Poona Cantt. | 195 | 106 | 49 | 24 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 406 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 117 | 153 | 35 | 14 | 7 | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 383 |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards | 613 | 387 | 126 | 62 | 24 | 20 | 3 | 7 | 2 | - | 1 | 9 | 1254 |
| GRAND TOTAL 2 | 2894 | 1578 | 569 | 262 | 101 | 69 | 18 | 81 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 81 | 5601 |

ther 10 per cent in three room tenements. The distribution of tenements among the two groups of wards was broadly similar but conditions were slightly better in the additional group of wards. In the first group of wards the contrast between some Western wards and some Eastern wards was marked. While in Mangalwar, Ganesh and Ganj more than 90 per cent of sample families lived in one and two tenements-between 65 to 84 per cent living in single room tene-ments-in Sadashiv, Narayan and Shanwar only 70 to 75 per cent lived in such tenements-only about 45 per cent living in single-room tenements in the former two and only 36 per cent in Shanwar. In the group of additional wards the two Cantonments were rather marked off from the other semi-rural areas. Conditions were better in the Cantonments than in the other wards in the group. They, however, differed markedly from one another. While a little less than half the sample families in the Poona Cantonment lived in oneroom tenements only 35 per cent of the families in the Kirkee Cantonment lived similarly. The proportion of families living in tworoom tenements was 26 per cent in the Poona Cantonment as contrasted with 46 per cent in the Kirkee Cantonment.

Table 5.3 presents the distribution of sample families according to the number of rooms in the tenements in which they lived classified by the size of the family. The data presented can be intelligible only if some criterion regarding overcrowding defined in terms of persons per room is applied. As in the 1937 Survey we can define overcrowding as existing when there are more than two persons per each living room. According to this definition there can be no overcrowding of families with one or two members. bvercrowding began when a family of three or more members lived in a one-room tenement or 5 members and more lived in a two-room tenement and so on. Applying this test we find that 56 per cent of the sample families lived in conditions of overcrowding. This proportion was the same for the first group of wards as well as for the additional group of wards.

Over-crowding as judged by inhabitants per room is, however, a crude measure because rooms vary in size. We must therefore seek ta relate living space specifically to persons. The relevant information is presented in Table 5.4 which gives the classification of sample families according to their size and the area in which they lived. The last column gives the area per person.

In the 1937 Survey extreme overcrowding was defined as occuring in tenements where there were more than two persons per

[^42]TABLE No．5．3．
Sample familes classified by their size and the number of rooms per family．


## 毕 总 B 0

TABLE No. 5.3.-(Contd.)
Sample families classified by their size and the number of rooms per family.
No. of members in a family
(Additional Group of Wards.)
No. of Rooms


TABLE No. 6.8.-(Contd.)
Sample families classified by their size and the number of rooms per family.

| $\backslash$ | No. of members in a family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (All Ward3.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Rooms per family | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | . 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11\% more | Total |  |
| 1 | 485 | 455 | 524 | 466 | 381 | 284 | 145 | 79 | 37 | 15 | 23 | 2894 |  |
| 2 | 67 | 171 | 186 | 249 | 269 | 218 | 162 | 108 | 67 | 38 | 43 | 1578 |  |
| 3 | 19 | 35 | 54 | 81 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 50 | 83 | 21 | 44 | 569 |  |
| 4 | 9 | 15 | 16 | 33 | 40 | 38 | 38 | 21 | 7 | 13 | 32 | 262 |  |
| 5 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 101 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 69 |  |
| 7 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 18 |  |
| 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | . 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 31 |  |
| 9 | $\cdots$ | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |  |
| 10 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 8 |  |
| 11 \& more | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | . 8 | 11 |  |
| Not stated | 44 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 61 |  |
| Total | 628 | 694 | 799 | 855 | 807 | 643 | 440 | 281 | 160 | 103 | 191 | 5601 |  |

TABLE No. 5.4.
Classification of sample families according to their szze and the area in which they lived. (I Group of Wards.)

| Area in sq. ft. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Number of persons in a family. |  |  |  | 9 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Average area per person in sq. ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto 100 | 287 | 233 | 264 | 233 | 168 | 112 | 50 | 33 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1385 | 15 |
| 101-200 | 122 | 184 | - 215 | 239 | 239 | 177 | 116 | 68 | 41 | 20 | 22 | 1443 | 33 |
| 201-300 | 36 | 62 | 72 | 99 | 118 | 99 | 74 | 46 | 33 | 12 | 31 | 682 | 47 |
| 301-400 | 15 | 24 | 31 | 44 | 41 | 35 | 34 | 25 | 13 | 11 | 23 | 296 | 62 |
| 401-500 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 164 | 75 |
| 501-600 | - | 8 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 100 | 84 |
| 601-700 | 1 | - | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 41 | 97 |
| 701-800 | 3 | 3 | - | 6 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 49 | 117 |
| 801-900 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 32 | 117 |
| 901 and above | 3 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 17 | 87 | 156 |
| Blank | 33 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 48 | - |
| Total | 506 | 541 | 616 | 664 | 634 | 493 | 343 | 211 | 116 | 76 | 147 | 4347 | 44 |

## CHANGING PATTERN

TABLE No. 5.4-(Contd.)
Classification of sample familhes according to their eise and the area in which they lived.
(Additional Group of Wards.)

| Area in sq. ft . | 1 | 2 | 3 | Number of persons in a family. |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { above }}{11 \&}$ | Total | Average area per person in sq. ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Upto 100 | 73 | 54 | 58 | 46 | 40 | 38 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 841 | 14 |
| 101-200 | 20 | 48 | 69 | 77 | 59 | 48 | 34 | 18 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 410 | 31 |
| 201-300 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 87 | 38 | 35 | 22 | 15 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 254 | 50 |
| 301-400 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 83 | 55 |
| 401-500 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 4 | 49 | 85 |
| 501-600 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 31 | 91 |
| 601-700 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 11 | 113 |
| 701-800 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 144 |
| 801-900 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 7 | 142 |
| 901 and above | 5 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 55 | 171 |
| Blank | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| Total | 122 | 153 | 183 | 191 | 173 | 150 | 97 | 70 | 44 | 27 | 44 | 1254 | 48 |

TABLE No. 5.4-(Contd.)
Classification of sample famulies according to their size and the area in which they lived. (All Wards.)

| Area in sq. ft. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Number of persons in a family. |  |  |  |  | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \& \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Āverage area per person in sq. ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upto 100 | 360 | 287 | 322 | 279 | 208 | 150 | 66 | 44 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 1736 | 14 |
| - 101-200* | $142^{\circ}$ | 232 | 284 | 316 | 298 | 225 | 150 | 86 | 56 | 29 | 35 | 1853 | 32 |
| 201-300 | 51 | 92 | 107 | 136 | 156 | 134 | 96 | -61 | 49 | 18 | 36 | 936 | 48 |
| 301-400 | 16 | 28 | 39 | 51 | 54 | 46 | 45 | 37 | 20 | 15 | 28 | 379 | 61 |
| 401-500 | 8 | 21 | 15 | 26 | 38 | 32 | 23 | 14 | 3 | 9 | 24 | 213 | 78 |
| 501-600 | 2 | 12 | 7 | .12 | 14 | 17 | 28 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 131 | 85 |
| 601-700 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 52 | 100 |
| 701-800 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 59 | 121 |
| 801-900 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 39 | 120 |
| 901 and above | 8 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 24 | 152 | 161 |
| Blank | 35 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 51 | - |
| Total | 628 | 694 | 799 | 855 | 807 | 643 | 440 | 281 | 160 | 103 | 191 | 5601. | 45 |

CHANGING PATTERN
khan, i.e. broadly an area of 50 sq. ft. ${ }^{1}$ If the living area per person is less than $25 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. per person it constitutes according to this definition extreme overcrowding. If we apply this criterion to the present data all the families with less than 100 sq. ft . fall into this class. They constitute 31 per cent of the total families in all the wards and about 32 per cent of the families in the first group of wards.

The same criterian can be applied to these data in a different way. According to the criterian families with 2 or less members living in tenements of less than 100 sq . feet will not be described as overcrowded, assuming the average area for this class of families as not less than 50 sq. ft. per family. Similarly assuming the area per family as $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ sq. ft. in the class of families with living area between 100 and 200 sq. ft., families with more than 6 members can be described as living in overcrowded tenements and so on. Continuing this analysis we find that 26.4 per cent of the total families lived in overcrowded tenements. The proportion was almost the same in the first group of wards.
3. Families \& Living Area ${ }^{2}$ :-Table 5.5 gives the distribution of sample families in different wards according to living area per family. The average area per family in all wards was about 256 sq. ft. In the additional group of wards, on an average, it was $276 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. per family. The number of rooms and living area went together. In the advanced wards like Shivajinagar, Shanwar, Narayan and Budhwar, where the average number of rooms per family was high, the average area per family was also comparatively high, being about 290 sq. ft. per family. In the poorer wards like Mangalwar, Ganesh, Ghorpade and Gultekdi where average number of rooms per family was low the average area per family was below $200 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$; in Mangalwar the average was about 140 sq . ft. In all the wards in the additional group of wards, excepting Hadapsar and Mundhwa, the average was about 250 sq. ft. or more per family. In the Poona Cantonment area, the average area per family was about 300 sq. ft.

Families living in an area of 200 sq. ft . or less accounted for about 60 per cent of the total families. About one-fourth of the total families lived in areas ranging from $200 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. to 500 sq . ft. each. Less than 10 per cent lived in areas of more than 500 sq. ft. each. Between the two groups of wards we do not find any significant differences in this regard.

[^43]TABLE No. 5.5.
v Wardwise classification of sample familhes according to Livong area per famly.

| Living area per family (in sq. ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wards | -100 | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ -200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201 \\ -300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 301 \\ -400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 401 \\ -500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 501 \\ -600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 601 \\ -700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 701 \\ -800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 801 \\ -900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 901 \\ -1000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1001 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ | Not stated | Total |
| Shivajinagar | 102 | 115 | 55 | 27 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 19 | - | 373 |
| Sadashiv | 155 | 121 | 84 | 46 | 27 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 3 | 466 |
| Narayan | 38 | 61 | 16 | 18 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | - | 7 | 170 |
| Shanwar | 45 | 86 | 38 | 22 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | 3 | 232 |
| Budhwar | 42 | 63. | 42 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | - | 4 | 211 |
| Raviwar | 63 | 108 | 60 | 24 | - 7 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | 283 |
| Kasba | 95 | 110 | 49 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 293 |
| Mangalwar | 62 | 38 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 105 |
| Somwar | 60 | 77 | 21 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 187 |
| Rasta | 18 | 29 | 23 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | 106 |
| Nagesh | 8 | 19 | 3. | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 |
| Ganesh | 72 | 30 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 115 |
| Nana | 102 | 79 | 31 | 11 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 236 |
| Bhavani | 121 | 107 | 51 | 24 | 14 | 6 | 2 | . 7 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | 236 |
| Ganj | 60 | 71 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | 339 |
| Vetal (Gurwar) | 52 | 51 | 20 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 165 |
| Shukrawar | 156 | 130 | 78 | 20 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 4 | 148 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdi | 19 | 13 | 4 |  | 18 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 443 |
| Suburban Roads | 69 | 66 | 43 | 9 | 4. | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 38 |
| Yeravada | 36 | 41 | 35 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | -1 | 3 | 1 | - | 205 |

TABLE No. 5.5-(Contd.)
Wardwise olassification of sample families according to Living area per family.

| Living area per family, (In wq. ft.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wards | -100 | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ -200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201 \\ -300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 301 \\ -400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 401 \\ -500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 501 \\ -600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 601 \\ -700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 701 \\ -800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 801 \\ -900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 901 \\ -1000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1001 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ | Not stated | Total |
| Wakdewadı | 6 | 5 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| Navi Khadki | 9 | 13 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| Sangamwadi | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 21 |
| Total for I Group of Wards | 1395 | 1443 | 682 | 296 | 164 | 100 | 41 | 48 | 32 | 74 | 23 | 48 | 4,847 |
| Aundh Pashan, Bopodi, etc. | 42 | 62 | 22 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 154 |
| Bibewadi, etc. | 9 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 83 |
| Erandavana, Hingae, etc. | 31 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 8 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 79 |
| Ghorpadi village | 22 | 37 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 3 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 83 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwe | 45 | 34 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 110 |
| Wanavadi | 11 | 21 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | of |
| Poona Cantt. | 110 | 132 | 64 | 25 | 18 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 406 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 71 | 94 | 112 | 25 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 . | 8 | - | 1 | 833 |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards | 841 | 410 | 254 | 83 | 49 | 81 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 49 | 6 | 8 | 1,254 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 1,736 | 1,853 | 938 | 879 | 213 | 181 | 52 | 69 | 38 | 123 | 29 | 51 | 5,601 |

In Table 5.6 we give a communitywise distribution of sample families according' to living area. Marathas, Depressed classes, Artisan Groups I and II and Vaddars showed concentrations in the class of families living in tenements of less than 300 sq. ft. each. Brahmins, Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs and Parsis had, on the other hand, a comparatively larger area per family. In particular, the Parsis had a large living area per family.
4. Water taps, Bathrooms and Latrines ${ }^{1}$ :-In Table 57 sample families are classified by income and the facilities available in the tenements in which they lived. The general average for all wards shows that about one-third of the families had an independent water tap. 5 per cent families had to share one tap with another family and another 5 per cent had to share one tap with 3 other families. Then there were about 20 per cent families who had to share a tap with 5 to 10 families, about 6 per cent, with about 11 to 20 families and about 3 per cent, with more than 20 families. About 5 per cent families shared water taps with others but did not give complete information.

Beween the two groups of wards, we find that families from the first group of wards had better facilities in all respects as compared with the facilities enjoyed by families in the additional group of wards even though the latter included the two cantonments. So far as the water-taps and latrines were concerned, in the first group of wards, about 9 per cent of the families used public taps and latrines whereas in the additional group of wards the percentage was as high as 22. In the additional group of wards about 15 per cent of the families used open spaces for defication whereas in the first group of wards, only about one per cent did this. Regarding the bathing arrangements about 9 per cent of the families from the first group of wards used open space for bath, etc; in the additional group of wards there were 20 per cent such families.

Nearly 12 per cent of the sample families used public watertaps. This meant that there were no watertaps in the houses in which they lived. Of the 699 such houses, 394 were in the first group of wards and the rest in the additional group of wards. In the first group of wards again such houses were concentrated in Bhavani, Shivajinagar, Mangalwar, Nana and the Suburban Wadis In the additional group of wards they were mainly concentrated in Aundh, Pashan, etc., and Kirkee and Poona Cantonments.

For the purpose of this enquiry a bath-room did not mean a separate independent bath-room. By asking about a bath-room, we tried to find out whether the family had some distinct arrargement

1. Poona, Part II, p. 188.
for bathing. In many cases, it was a small sink-like arrangement. But even then for the sake of investigational convenience we noted all such tenements as having a bath-rodm. We found that 51 per cent of the families had a bath-room each (as defined above). About 22 per cent had to share one with other families in the building. About 12 per cent of the families had to take bath in open courtyards. About 13 per cent did not furnish any information on this point. It is very likely that many of them had no bath-rooms as understood here.

About 13 per cent of the families had an independent latrine each; 23 per cent had to share a latrine with 4 or less number of families; about 31 per cent had to share one with 5 to 10 families; about 13 per cent had to do so with more than 10 families. About 12 per cent of the families used the public latrines built by the Municipal Corporation. About 5 per cent of the families used open spaces for defication, etc.

This meant that 17 per cent of the total sample families lived in houses which had no latrines at all. If we examine the distribution of these houses in the different wards we find that of the 1059 houses without latrines, 478 were in the first group of wards and the remaining in the additional group of wards. In the first group of wards the largest concentrations of such houses were found in Bhavani, Shivajinagar, Nana, Kasba and Mangalwar as also in the Suburban Wadis. In the 1937 Survey also it was noted that such houses were concentrated in Kasba, Nana, Bhavani and Mangalwar i.e. in what may be considered central parts of the city. ${ }^{1}$ Conditions in this respect had not improved with the passage of time. The larger proportion of houses without latrines in the additional group of wards is explained by the semi-rural character of some and also by by their location on the outskirts of the town. The proportion of families using open space for defication, etc. was naturally very much higher in this than in the first group of wards.

Table 5.8 gives the distribution of families according to the number of latrines available to them classified according to the number of taps available to them in all wards. The most important fact brought out by the table is the association of the absence of taps and latrines in the same houses. Of the total of 1059 houses without latrines 655 had no water taps either. Of the 699 houses without water-taps, 655 had no latrines. This association was also noted in the 1937 Survey. ${ }^{2}$ That a large number of these houses were to be found in some of the central wards like Nans, Bhavani,

[^44]TABLE No. $\mathbf{E . 6 .}$
The living area of the tenements of sample families clarsified by community.


TABLE No 5.6-(Contd.)
The living area of the tenements of sample faimilies clasified by oommunity.

| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\mathbf{W}}{\stackrel{\text { P}}{5}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{G} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \dot{H} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 204 | 112 | 14 | 33 | 19 | 10 | 1 | 114 | 24 | - | - | 1395 |
| 144 | 111 | 14 | 67 | 27 | 31 | 3 | 131. | 34 | 1 | 1 | 1443 |
| 36 | 40 | 6 | 48 | 11 | 27 | 1 | 69 | 10 | 1 | - | 682 |
| 13 | 26 | 2 | 21 | 3 | 13 | - | 18 | 8 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 296 |
| 3 | 13 | - | 7 | 6 | 9 | - | 14 | 8 | - | 1 | 164 |
| - | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | - | 7 | 4 | - | 1 | 100 |
| - | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 41 |
| - | 12 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 49 |
| - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 32 |
| 2 | 8 | - | 8 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | - | 7 | 74 |
| - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 23 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | 48 |
| 404 | 345 | 41 | 195 | 69 | 106 | 5 | 372 | 92 | 3 | 12. | 4347 |
| 108 | 26 | - | 9 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 23 | 27 | - | - | 341 |
| 91 | 36 | 2 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 17 | 45 | 48 | - | 2 | 410 |
| 61 | 18 | - | 9 | 19 | 4 | 12 | 25 | 30 | - | 5 | 254 |
| 4 | 11 | - | 5 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 11 | - | 3 | 88 |
| 6 | 4 | - | 5 | 2 | 5 | - | 5 | 3 | $\cdots$ | б | 49 |
| - | 6 | - | 3 | 4 | 3 | - | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 31 |
| - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | $\square$ | 2 | 10 |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 |
| 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | - | 6 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 49 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | T | $\cdots$ | - | - | 3 | 6 |
| 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| 274 | 109 | 2 | 47 | 74 | 49 | 35 | 118 | 135 | 1 | 27 | 1254 |
| 312. | 138 | 14 | 42 | 39 | 18 | 4 | 137 | 51 | - | - | 1736 |
| 235 | 147 | 16 | 78 | 48 | 43 | 20 | 176 | 82 | 1 | 3 | 1853 |
| 97 | 58 | 6 | 57 | 30 | 31 | 13 | 94 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 936 |
| 17 | 37 | 2 | 26 | 7 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 19. | - | 6 | 379 |
| 9 | 17 | - | 12 | 8 | 14 | - | 19 | 6 | - | 6 | 213 |
| - | 16 | 2 | -7 | 6 | 8 | - | 13 | 6 | - | 2 | 131 |
| - | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 52 |
| 1 | 13 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 59 |
| - | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 39 |
| 4 | 11 | - | 10 | 2 | 6 | - | 10 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 123 |
| - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1. | - | 2 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 8 | 29 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 5. | 2 | - | - | 51 |
| 678 | 454 | 43 | 242 | 143 | 155 ' | 40 | 490 | 226 | 4 | 39 | 5601 |

TABLE No. 5.7.
Classification of Sample Families by Income and Water-taps, Latrines and Bath-rooms.

| Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | WATER-TAPS <br> 1 Group of Wards. <br> No. of families sharing a Water-tap |  |  |  | Public | Common in Bldg. | A* | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5-10 | 11-20, | $21 \&$ above |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 27 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 60 | 18 | 8 | 26 | 21 | - | 12 | 206 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 61 | 24 | 34 | 34 | 142 | 36 | 16 | 56 | 25 | 2 | 11 | 441 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 231 | 72 | 89 | 94 | -367 | 102 | - 71 | 182 | 88 | 9 | 27 | 1332 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 467 | 94 | 92 | 101 | 297 | 90 | E5 | 103 | 35 | 7 | 8 | 1349 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 354 | 41 | 29 | 34 | 78 | 19 | 6 | 22 | 2 | - | 3 | 588 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 174 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 19 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 221 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 80 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 90 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29 |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1. | - | - | 34 |
| Income in kind only | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | $\cdots$ | 3 |
| Not stated | 29 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | 2 | 54 |
| TOTAL 1 | 1485 | 259 | 268 | 280 | 976 | 268 | 158 | 394 | 176 | 18 | 65 | 4347 |

A*-Information inadequate.

TABLE No. 5.7-(Contd.)
Classification of Sample Famslies by Income and Water-tqps, Latrines and Bath-rooms,

| Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | WATER-TAPS <br> Additional Group of Wards No. of famulies sharing a Water-tap |  |  |  | Public | Common in Bldg. | $A^{*}$ | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5-10 | 11-20 | 21\& above |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 8 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 29 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 72 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 39 | 26 | 25 | 8 | 137 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 52 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 72 | 23 | 13 | 110 | 34 | 36 | 18 | 408 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 123 | 12 | 6 | 12 | 66 | 18 | 14 | 87 | 15 | 24 | 8 | 385 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 79 | - | 7 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 26 | 4 | 6 | - | 145 |
| Rs, 3,501-5,000 | 41 | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 51 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 25 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 29 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | 7 | - | $\checkmark$ | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| Income in kind only | - | - | - 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Not stated | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| TOTAL | 361 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 171 | 56 | 33 | 293 | 90 | 108 | 38 | 1254 |

TABLE No. .7-(Contd.)
Classification of Sample Families by Income and Water-taps, Latrines and Bath-rooms.

$A^{*}$-Information inadequate.

TABLE No. 5.7-(Contd.)
Classification of Sample Families by Income and Watsr-tape, Latrines and Bath-rooms.

| Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | No. 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { I Grou } \\ \text { ILA } \\ \text { familie } \\ 5-10 \end{array}$ | of War RINES sharing 11-20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Latrine } \\ & 21 \& \end{aligned}$ above | Open Space | Public | Common in Bldg. | A. | $\underset{\text { stated }}{\text { Not }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 84 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 26 | 16 | - | 12 | 206 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 12 | 15 | 37 | 34 | 190 | 42 | 7 | 13 | 56 | 23 | 2 | 10 | 441 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 56 | 62 | 79 | 137 | 504 | 112 | 34 | 29 | 190 | 95 | 4 | 30 | 1332 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 96 | 85 | 150 | 185 | 515 | 99 | 28 | 17 | 111 | 51 | 7 | 5 | 1349 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 121 | 65 | 76 | 75 | 189 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 23 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 588 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 84 | 21 | 80 | 26 | 53 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 221 |
| Rs, $5,001-7,500$ | 49 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 90 |
| R.s. 7,501-10,000 | 22 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 29. |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 27 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 34 |
| Income in kind only | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 8 |
| Not stated | 16 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 3 | - | 1 | 8 | 8 | - | 3 | 54 |
| TOTAL | 490 | 279 | 396 | 478 | 1570 | 298 | 82 | 67 | 411 | 193 | 16 | 67 | 4347 |
| A*-Information inadequate. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLEE. No. 5.7-(Contd.)
Classification of Sample Families by Income and Water-taps, Latrines and Bath-rooms.

| Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | Additional Wards LATRINES <br> No. of families sharing a Latrine |  |  |  |  | Public | Common in Bldg. | $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5-10 | 11-20 | $\underset{\text { above }}{21 \&}$ | - Open Space |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | $\div$ | 1 | 30 | 25 | 4 | - | 1 | 72 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 9 | 3 | 2. | 5 | ${ }^{\prime} 11$ | 1 | 1 | 57 | 34 | 7 | 1. | 6 | 137 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 18 | 10 | 19 | 22 | 71 | is | 9 | 83 | ${ }^{127}{ }^{\circ}$ | 21 | 1 | 12 | 408 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 61 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 72 | 17 | 6 | 43 | 117 | 12 | - | 4 | 385 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 46. | 10 | 8 | 4 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 37 | 4 | - | 1 | 145 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | $\square$ | - | 1 | 8 | - | ■ | * 1 | 51 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 23 | - | - | - | 3 | $\cdots$ | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 29 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 11 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | $\cdots$ - | - | - | 13 |
| Income in kind only | - | - | - | - | - | - | - . | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Not stated | - | - | - | - | $1$ | $i$ | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 6 |
| TOTAL | $205^{*}$ | 47 | 52 | 51 | $187$ | 37. | 18 | 228 | 353 | 48 | 2 | 26 | 1254 |

A*-Information inadequate.

TABLE No. 5.7-(Contd.)
Classification of Sample Families by Income and Water-tapa, Latrines and Bath-rooms.

| Income | 1 | 2 | 8 | All Wards <br> LATRINES <br> No. of families sharing a Latrine |  |  |  |  | Public | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Common } \\ & \text { in Bldg. } \end{aligned}$ | $A^{*}$ | Not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5-10 | 11-20 | $\underset{\text { above }}{21 \&}$ | Open Space |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 10 | 13 | 10 | $14$ | 88 | 18 | 7 | 84 | 61 | 20 | - | 18 | 278 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 21 | 18 | 39 | 89 | 201 | 48 | 8 | 70 | 90 | 30 | 8 | 16 | 578 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 74 | 72 | 98 | 159 | 575. | 127 | 43 | 112 | 817 | 116 | 5 | 42 | 1740 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 157 | 103 | 168 | 202 | 587 | 116 | 84 | 60 | 228 | 63 | 7 | 9 | 1734 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 167 . | 75 | 84 | 79 | 208 | 25 | 7 | 14 | 60 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 738 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 112 | 24 | 83 | 27 | 59 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 1 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 2 | 272 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 72 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 17 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 2 | 119 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | 28 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 37 |
| Re. 10,001 \& above | 38 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 47 |
| Income in kind only | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | - | - | 8 |
| Not stated | 16 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 18 - | 4 | - | 1 | 7 | 3 | - | 3 | 60 |
| TOTAL | 695 | 326 | 448 | 529 | 1757 | 835 | 100 | 295 | 764 | 241 | 18 | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }^{5601}$ |

$A^{*}$ - Information inadequate.
ษ

TABLE No. 5.7-(Contd.)
Classification of Sample Families by Income and Water-taps, Latrines and Bath-rooms.

|  | I Group of Wards <br> BATH-ROOMS <br> amilies sharing a Bathroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-10 | 11-20 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ | Open <br> Space | Public | Common in Bldg. | $A^{*}$ | Not stated | Total |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 54 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 26 | 7 | 4 | 34 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 52 | 206 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 124 | 5 | 16 | 19 | 44 | 18 | 6 | 77 | 7 | 35 | 1 | 89 | 441 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 493 | 28 | 26 | 40 | 173 | 51 | 15 | 197 | 27 | 75 | 2 | 205 | 1332 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 726 | 35 | 40 | 44 | 128 | 46 | 13 | 86 | 17 | 52 | 3 | 159 | 1349 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 438 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 4 | - | 51 | 588 |
| Ra. 3,501-5,000 | 183 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 9 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 5 | - | 7 | 221 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 83 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 90 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29 |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 34 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34 |
| Income in kind only | 1 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Not stated | 29 | 2 | - | - | 6 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | 6 | 54 |
| TOTAL | 2194 | 99 | 105 | 121 | 419 | 131 | 41 | 409 | 58 | 190 | 8 | 572 | 4347 |

A*-Information inadequate.

TABLE No. 5.7-(Contd.)
Classification of Sample Families by Incoms and Water-vaps, Latrince and Bath-rooma

| Income | 1 | 2 | 3 | Additional Group of Warda BATH-ROOMS <br> No. of families sharing a Bathroom |  |  |  |  | Public | Common in Bldg. | A* Not mtated |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 8-10 | 11-20 | 21 \& above | Open Space |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto Rs. $250{ }^{\circ}$ | 10 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 | - | 80 | 1 | - | - | 16 | 72 |
| R.s. 251-500 | 11 | 86 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 46 | 5 | 7 | - | 26 | 137 |
| Res. 501-1,000 | 189 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 101 | 11 | 7 | - | 59 | 408 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 258 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 8 | 3 | - | 42 | 885 |
| Rss. 2,001-3,500 | $112{ }^{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 18 | 1 | 2 | - | 8 | 145 |
| Rs, 3,501-5,000 | 45 | 1 | $\square$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | 51 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 29 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\varepsilon$ |
| Rs 10,001 \& above. | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| Income in kind only | 1. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | $z$ |
| Not stated | 8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| total | 676 | 54 | 13 | 20 | 88 | 7 | 2 | 250 | 21 | 19 | - | 154 | 1254 |

TABLE No. 5.7-(Contd.)
Classifivation of Sample Families Income and Water-taps, Latrines and Bath-rooms.


[^45]TABLE No. 5.8.
Distribution of sample families according to the number of latrines available to them olassified according to the number of water-taps avaulable to them.

| No. of families using one latrine | 1 | 2 | $\cdot 3$ | I Group of Wards. <br> No. of families sharing a water-tap. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cannot Common } \\ \text { state the } \\ \text { building } \end{gathered}$ |  | Not stated | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | B to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 \& | Public |  |  |  |  |
| , 1 | 486 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 490 |
| . 2 | 162 | 26 | 8 | 6 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 279 |
| 8 | 201 | 42 | 115 | 4 | 27 | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | 896 |
| 4 | 172 | 57 | 27 | 143 | 38 | 11 | 24 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 478 |
| 5 to 10 | 871 | 54 | 109 | 107 | 779 | 98 | 23 | 14 | - | 13 | 2 | 1570 |
| 11 to 20 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 81 | 140 | 20 | 4 | - | 3 | 1 | 298 |
| 21 and more | 8 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 8 | 64 | - | - | - | 1 | 32 |
| Open space | 4 | 1 | - | 8 | -3 | - | 12 | 18 | 7 | 17 | 2 | s7 |
| Public Latrines | 14 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 349 | - | 5 | - | 411 |
| Cannot utate | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 16 |
| Common in the building | 25 | - | - | 2 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 135 | 1 | 193 |
| Not stated | E | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 54 | 67 |
| Total | 1485 | 259 | 268 | 280 | 976 | 268 | 158 | 894 | 18 | 176 | 65 | 4347 |

TABLE No. 5.8-(Contd.)
Distribution of sample families according to the number of latrines available to them classified according to the number of water-taps available to them.

| No. of families using one latrine | 1 | Additional Group of Wards No. of families sharing a water-tap. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 to 10 | 11 to 20 | $21 \&$ | Public | $\underset{\text { state }}{\text { Cannot }}$ | Common in the building | stated | Total |
| 1 | 197 | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 205 |
| 2 | 29 | 12 | 1. | 2 | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | - | - | 47 |
| 3 | 25 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 52 |
| 4 | 16 | 1 | - | 20 | 12 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 51 |
| 5 to 10 | 39 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 103 | 21 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 187 |
| 11 to 20 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 11 | 12 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 37 |
| 21 and more | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 13 | 1 | - | - | - | 18 |
| Open space | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 79 | 94 | 28 | 6 | 228 |
| Public Latrines | 39 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 32 | 12 | 8 | 206 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 353 |
| Cannot state | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 一 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Common in the building | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 40 | - | 48 |
| Not stated | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 19 | 26 |
| Total | 361 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 171 | 56 | 33 | 293 | 108 | 90 | 38 | 1254 |

TABLE No. 5.8-(Contd.)
Distribution of sample families acoording to the number of latrines available to them olassified acoording to the number of water-taps available to them.

| No. of families using one latrine | 1 | 2 | 3 | All Warda <br> No. of families sharing a water-tap. |  |  |  |  | Cannot Common state in the building |  | Not atated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 \& more | Public |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 683 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | - - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 695 |
| 2 | 191 | 108 | 9 | 8 | 4 | - | - | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | 328 |
| 3 | 226 | 44 | 134 | 5 | 80 | - | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | - | 443 |
| 4 | 188 | 68 | 27 | 163 | 50 | 12 | 24 | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | 529 |
| 5 to 10 | 410 | 68 | 113 | 114 | 882. | 119 | 28 | 15 | - | 15 | 8 | 1757 |
| 11 to 20 | 33 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 92 | 152 | 26 | 7 | - | 4 | 1 | 885 |
| 21 and more | 10 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 5 | 77 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| Open space | 9 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | T | 13 | 97 | 101 | 45 | 8 | 295 |
| Public Latrinea | 58 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 63 | 20 | 14 | 555 | 9 | 19 | 11 | 764 |
| Cannot state | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 1 | - | 18 |
| Common in the building | 28 | - | $\cdots$ | 2 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 175 | 1 | 241 |
| Not stated | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | 8 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - 1 | 73 | 98 |
| Total | 1846 | 291 | 803 | 317 | 1147 | 824 | 191 | 687 | 126 | 268 | 103 | 5601 |

Kasba and Mangalwar was an indication of how slum localities persisted in the heart of the town. It was significant that the situation had not changed since it had been noted in our survey in 1937. Apart from this the table also showis the pressure on these facilities as revealed by the high ratio of families to latrines or water-taps.
5. Rent-paying families :-Of the total sample families, about 77 per cent were rent-paying families. Among them, 56 per cent had one room per family, 29 per cent had 2 rooms each, 9 per cent had 3 rooms each and 4 per cent had 4 rooms each. Only about 2 per cent of the families had each 5 rooms or more.
5.1 Rent-area ratio: Table 5.9 gives the distribution of rent-paying families in different wards according to the rent-area ratio. The average distribution for the two groups of wards in the present survey did not show any significant deviation from the overall distribution. In the individual wards, however, the ratio was higher or lower than the average. For instance, in some of the wards like Shivajinagar, Sadashiv and Erandavana we found a number of families paying Rs. 4 and more per 50 sq. ft. of living space. On the other hand, there were only few such cases in some of the wards like Kasba, Raviwar, Bhavani, etc. in the first group of wards and Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, etc., Ghorpadi, Hadapsar, Mundhwa, etc. in the additional group of wards. In Bhavani, Vetal, Hadapsar, Mundhwa, Wanavdi and Kirkee Cantonment more than two-thirds of the families paid rents ranging from Re. 1 to Rs 3 per 50 sq . ft. Shivajinagar, Sadashiv, Narayan and Shanwar, which are economically relatively better of wards, showed a lower proportion of families paying rent upto Rs. 3 per 50 sq . ft.

Table 5.10 gives distribution of families according to communities and rent-area ratio. Families of Marathas, Deshastha Brahmins and Artisan Groups I and II, which together accounted for 40 per cent of the total families in the sample, showed a distribution which was similar to the general distribution of families in different rent-area ratio groups. Malis, Depressed classes, and Vaddars were concentrated in the low rent-area ratio groups. Lingayats, Guja-rati-Marwadi-Jains, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs were in groups with high rent-area ratios.
5.2 Income and rent-area ratio:-By relating the rent-area ratio of the rent-paying families to their incomes we can find out how they vary with reference to one another. The information is presented in Table 5.11. We find that families with an annual in-

G
Rent-Area Ratio in tenements of sample families different Wards.

|  |  |  |  |  | Rent | ar 50 | ft. | (n Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upto } \\ & \text { Re. } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ \text { R-2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rs} \\ 2-3 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{R s}_{8-4}$ | $\underset{4-5}{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Re. } \\ 6.7}}{\text { R. }}$ | $\underset{8-10}{\mathrm{Rs} .}$ | $\underset{11-15}{\mathrm{Rs} .}$ | Rs. 16 above | Not stated | Blank | Owned | Cons ceasional | Free | Total |
| Shivajinagar | 14 | 87 | 63 | 29 | 49 | 26 | 40 | 15 | - | 8 | - | 52 | 5 | 40 | 373 |
| Sadashiv | 12 | 78 | 83 | 57 | 46 | 41 | 52 | 8 | 1 | 8 | - | 50 | 18 | 12 | 468 |
| Narayan | 7 | 85 | 28 | 14 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 8 | - | 24 | 4 | 6 | 170 |
| Shanavar | 11 | 59 | 34 | 23 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 1 | - | 87 | 2 | 5 | 232 |
| Budhwar | 17 | 66 | 86 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 5 | - | 14 | 5 | 8 | 211 |
| Raviwar | 13 | 57 | 47 | 39 | 89 | 26 | 15 | 2 | 8 | - | - | 82 | 2 | 8 | 283 |
| Kasba | 5 | 62 | 56 | 87 | 43 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 89 | 5 | 7 | 293 |
| Mangalwar | 8 | 17 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 105 |
| Somwar | 7 | 27 | 82 | 21 | 11 | 26 | 20 | 2 | - | 8 | - | 13 | 4 | 21 | 187 |
| Rasta | 8 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 6 | $6 \cdot$ | 2 | - | - | 1 | 17 | - | 7 | 106 |
| Nagesh | - | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 2 | 35 |
| Ganesh | 7 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | 11 | - | 2 | 115 |
| Nana | 5 | 45 | 61 | 36 | 27 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 6 | 238 |
| Ehavani | 11 | 87 | 72 | 46 | 80 | 20 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 8 | - | 81 | 1 | 15 | 839 |
| Ganj | 13 | 27 | 82 | 20 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | 21 | - | 7 | 165 |
| Vetal | 12 | 89 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 18 | 8 | 8 | 148 |
| Shukrawar | 25 | 75 | 85 | 42 | 49 | 22 | 40 | $14^{\circ 0 \%}$ | 6 | 2 | 1 | 57 | 10 | 18 | 443.4 |
| Ghorpade \& Gultekdi | 2 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | 88 |
| Suburban Roads | 9 | 32 | 17 | 7 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 18 | 5 | 79 | 205 |
| Yeravada | 7 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | 17 | 5 | 49 | 183 |

## TABLE No. 5.9-(Contd.)

Rent-Area Ratio in tenements of sample famalies different Wards.

| Ward | Rent per 50 sq. ft. (In Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upto <br> Re. 1 | $\underset{1-2}{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\underset{2-3}{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ \mathbf{3 - 4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 4-5 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{6-7}{\mathrm{Rs} .}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 8-10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rs} . \\ & 11-15 \end{aligned}$ | Rs. 16 above | Nöt stated | Blank | Owned | Con- | Free | Total |
| Wakdewadi | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | $\sim$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| Nav ${ }_{1}$ Khadki | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | E | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 11 | $\cdots$ | - | 28 |
| Sangamwadı | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | - | 6 | - | 2 | 21 |
| Total for I Group of Wards | 195 | 810 | 757 | 456 | 476 | 298 | 309 | 100 | 29 | 29 | 5 | 507 | 81 | 295 | 4347 |
| Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, etc. | 6 | 22 | 28 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 27 | 30 | 2 | 15* |
| Bibewadi, etc. | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 18 | 1 | 6 | 33 |
| Erandavana, Hingne, etc. | 1 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | $\cdots$ | 19 | 7 | 8 | 79 |
| Ghorpadi village | 5 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 11 | 3 | 18 | 83 |
| Hadapsar, Mundhwa | 18 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 40 | - | 12 | 110 |
| Wanavdi | 3 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 7 | 2 | 18 | 56 |
| Poona Cantt. | 13 | 51 | 77 | 52 | 39 | 35 | 21 | 6 | - | 2 | - | 59 | 13 | 38 | 406 |
| Kirkee Cantt. | 8 | 109 | 56 | 40 | 16 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 16 | 16 | 46 | 333 |
| Total for Additional Group of Wards | 54 | 240 | 201 | 128 | 85 | 65 | 42 | 13 | 2 | 7 | - | 197 | 72 | 148 | 1254 |
| Grand Total | 249 | 1050 | 958 | 584 | 561 | 363 | 351 | 113 | 31 | 36 | 5 | 704 | 153 | 443. | 5601 |

come upto Rs. 250 were mainly concentrated in houses where the rent per 50 sq. ft. was less than Rs. 3 per month. ${ }^{1}$

The proportion of families paying a monthly rent of Rs. 3 or less per 50 sq. ft. declined gradually in the classes of families with higher incomes. From about 66 per cent in the first income range the proportion of such families steadily declined to about 50 per cent in the 4th and 5th income ranges and to less than 50 per cent in the still higher income ranges.

About 27 per cent of the rent-paying families paid Rs. 4 or Rs. 5 per month per 50 sq. ft. A majority of them had an income ranging from Rs. 501 to Rs. 2,000. About 17 per cent paid Rs. 6 to Rs. 7 per month per 50 sq . ft. A large proportion of these families had incomes between Rs. 501 and Rs. 2,000.
5.3 Rent-area ratio and duration of stay in the tenements : -Table 5.12 gives the distribution of rent-paying families in the sample according to the duration of their stay in the tenements in which they were living at the time of our survey classified by the rent-area ratio. Nearly 18 per cent of the families were living in the same tenements as in 1939 or before. A further about 40 per cent were occupying their tenements for periods varying between 6 and 15 years. Only about 7 per cent had been occupying the tenements for less than one year.

The data relating to the rent-area ratio classified by the duration of stay in tenements can throw light on how and whether rents had moved in the city during the 16 years preceding the survey. As the quality of housing is not uniform over the city, the movement will have to be studied for different localities characterised by similar housing conditions. The best way this can be done is by calculating the median rent per 50 sq. feet in difffferent localities according to the duration of stay in the tenements. This is done in Table 5.13.

[^46]TABLE No．5．10．
Rent＇area ratio in tenements of sample families classified by community．

| Rent per 50 sc | Community． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ft．器 | 品 |  | 哭 品 品 䓀 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rs． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | roup | of War |  |
| －1 | 43 | 21 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 25 |
| 1－2 | 195 | 110 | 65 | 32 | 23 | 7 | 21 | 36 | 38 | 87 |
| 2－3 | 195 | 91 | 62 | 26 | 21 | 17 | 26 | 28 | 36 | 63 |
| 3－4 | 112 | 56 | 23 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 21 | 17 | 38 |
| 4－5 | 128 | 50 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 23 | 10 | 22 | 38 |
| 6－7 | 63 | 44 | 30 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 17 |
| 8－10 | 54 | 44 | 40 | 15 | 6 | － | 7 | 7 | 6 | 16 |
| 10－15 | 25 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 16 \＆above | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2 |
| Not stated | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | 3 |
| Blank | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Owned | 89 | 61 | 75 | 14 | 31 | 6 | 7 | 26 | － 23 | 44 |
| Concessional | 15 | 8 | 12 | － | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| Free | 92 | 21 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 54 |
| Total | 1027 | 519 | 869 | 163 | 117 | 53 | 126 | 155 | 175 | 404 |


| Rs． |  |  | - |  | Additional Group of Wards． |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1 | 9 | 1 | - | - | 9 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 11 |  |
| $1-2$ | 36 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | - | 8 | 3 | 71 |  |
| $2-3$ | 21 | 6 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 52 |  |
| $3-4$ | 21 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 32 |  |
| $4-5$ | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11 |  |
| $6-7$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 8 |  |
| $8-10$ | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 |  |
| $10-15$ | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| $16 \&$ above | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

TABLE No．5．10－（Contd．）
Rent－area ratia in tenomente of sample families classified by community．
Community．

兑䔍
䓓感 ت゙

| 14 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 195 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64 | 8 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 79 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 810 |
| 46 | 3 | 28 | 12 | 16 | - | 67 | 17 | - | 3 | 757 |
| 35 | 2 | 20 | 11 | 12 | - | 46 | 14 | - | - | 456 |
| 34 | 6 | 33 | 9 | 10 | - | 42 | 9 | - | - | 476 |
| 24 | 3 | 19 | 9 | 13 | - | 17 | 6 | 1 | - | 298 |
| 39 | 4 | 29 | 7 | 16 | - | 12 | 7 | - | - | 309 |
| 12 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| 3 | - | 2 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 29 |
| 3 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 29 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 5 |
| 45 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 48 | 6 | - | 3 | 507 |
| 12 | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | 8 | 1 | - | - | 81 |
| 24 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 9 | - | 27 | 12 | - | 2 | 295 |
| 345 | 41 | 195 | 69 | 106 | 5 | 372 | 91 | 3 | 12 | 4347 |


| 4. | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 7 | - | - | 54 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 240 |
| 14 | - | 5 | 13 | 4 | - | 25 | 35 | - | 4 | 201 |
| 10 | - | 10 | 8 | 4 | - | 8 | 14 | - | 1 | 128 |
| 10 | - | 8 | 6 | 6 | - | 8 | 16 | - | 2 | 85 |
| 9 | - | 8 | 4 | 9 | - | 7 | 8 | - | - | 65 |
| 6 | - | 5 | 1 | 5 | - | 5 | 1 | - | 5 | 42 |
| 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 13 |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |

TABLE No. 5.10-(Contd.)
Rent-area ratio in tenements of sample families classified by communty.
Community.

| Rent per 50 s (In Rs.) | ft. 罢 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 尝 } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{a}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\text { seqseg } 8 \text { Iu! } \Delta 8 ə M$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not stated | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Blank | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Owned | 53 | 2 | 5 | - | 19 | 3 | - | 8 | 9 | 33 |
| Concessional | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 6 |
| Free | 29 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 4 | 44 |
| Total | 192 | 27 | 16 | 7 | 51 | 16 | 4 | 45 | 25 | 274 |


| Rs. | 52 | 22 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 8 | All Wards. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 9 | 36 |
| 1-2 | 231 | 113 | 66 | 33 | 32 | 9 | 21 | 44 | 41 | 158 |
| 2-3 | 216 | 97 | 66 | 26 | 25 | 19 | 27 | 38 | 37 | 115 |
| 3-4 | 133 | 57 | 25 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 28 | 20 | 70 |
| 4-5 | 138 | 52 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 8 | 24 | 15 | 23 | 49 |
| 6-7 | 67 | 45 | 31 | 13. | 3 | 3 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 25 |
| 8-10 | 57 | 48 | 40 | 15 | 6 | - | 8 | 7 | 8 | 20 |
| 10-15 | 25 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| $16 \&$ above | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| Not stated | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Blank ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Owned | 142 | 63 | 80 | 14 | 50 | 9 | 7 | 34 | 32 | 77 |
| Concessional | 21 | 9 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 19 |
| Free | 121 | 26 | 7 | 14 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 98 |
| Total | 1219 | 546 | 385 | 170 | 168. | 69 | 130 | 200 | 200 | 678 |

TABLE No．5．10－（Contd．）
Rent－area ratio in tenements of sample families classified by community．

|  |  |  |  |  | mmun <br> s．xepps |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 愚 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 震 } \end{aligned}$ | ¢ \％ |  | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 7 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 13 | － | 7 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 23 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 8 | 197 |
| 6 | － | 1. | 2 | 4 | 29 | 8 | 8 | － | 1 | 72 |
| 13 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 5 | － | 6 | 18 | － | 3 | 148 |
| 109 | 2 | 47 | 74 | 49 | 35 | 118 | 135 | 1 | 27 | 1254 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |
| 18 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 249 |
| 73 | 9 | 23 | 32 | 12 | 2 | 100 | 44 | 2 | 5 | 1050 |
| 60 | 3 | 33 | 25 | 20 | － | 92 | 52 | － | 7 | 958 |
| 45 | 2 | 30 | 19 | 16 | － | 54 | 28 | － | 1 | 584 |
| 44 | 6 | 36 | 15 | 16 | － | 50 | 25 | － | 2 | 561 |
| 33 | 3 | 27 | 13 | 22 | － | 24 | 14 | 1 | － | 363 |
| 45 | 4 | 34 | 8 | 21 | － | 17 | 8 | － | 5 | 351 |
| 14 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 2 | － | 1 | 118 |
| 5 | － | 2 | － | 4 | － | 3 | － | － | － | 31 |
| 4 | － | 4 | － | $\cdots$ | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | 36 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 5 |
| 58 | 6 | 23 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 71 | 8 | － | 11. | 704 |
| 18 | － | 3 | 6 | 4 | 29 | 16 | 4 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 153 |
| 37 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 14 | － | 33 | 30 | $\rightarrow$ | 5 | 443 |
| 454 | 43 | 242 | 143 | 155 | 40 | 490 | 226 | 4 | 39 | 5601 |

## Y TABLE No. 5.11.

Sample famares classified by Income and Rent-area ratio.
I Group of Wards.

| Income | Rent per $50 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ ( (In Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upto } \\ & \text { Re. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1-2}{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{2 - 3}}{\substack{\text { Rs. }}}$ | $\underset{3-4}{\mathrm{Rs} .}$ | Rs. 4-5 | $\underset{6-7}{\mathrm{Rs}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rs} . \\ 8-10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ 11-15 \end{array}$ | Rs. 16 above | Not stated | Blank | Owned | Concessional | Free | Total |
| Upta Rs. 250 | 15 | 46 | 38 | 14 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 29 | 207 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 21 | 94 | 89 | 48 | 48 | 22 | 30 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 10 | 28 | 441 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 66 | 255 | 241 | 157 | 156 | 87 | 70 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 93 | 35 | 137 | 1332 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 59 | 252 | 244 | 150 | 155 | 114 | 98 | 28 | 4 | 8 | - | 156 | 10 | 71 | 1349 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 20 | 99 | 92 | 59 | 62 | 43 | 59 | 19 | 6 | 3 | - | 96 | 7 | 23 | 588 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 11 | 31 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 13 | 25 | 5 | . 2 | 3 | 1 | $60^{*}$ | 2 | 1 | 221 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 2 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 31 | - | 2 | 90 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | - | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | 29 |
| Rs. 10001 \& above | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | 14 | - | 1 | 34 |
| Not stated | - | 12 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | - | 53 |
| Income in kind only | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 195 | 810 | 757 | 456 | 476 | 298 | 309 | 100 | 29 | 29 | 5 | 507 | 81 | 295 | 4347 |

Sample familes classified by Income and Rent-area ratio. Additional Group of Wards.

| Income | Rent per $50 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{ft}$. (In Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upto } \\ & \text { Re. } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & \text { 1-2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 2-3 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{3 - 4}}{\mathrm{Rs}^{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs, } \\ & 4-\bar{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 8-10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rg} . \\ 11-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs, } 16 \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | Not stated | Blank | Owned | Concessional | Free | Total |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 22 | 6 | 8 | 72 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 5 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 37 | 17 | 26 | 137 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 20 | 71 | 81 | 48 | 20 | 15 | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 47 | 27 | 70 | 408 |
| Re. 1,001-2,000 | 15 | 83 | 68 | 50 | 39 | 23 | 14 | 4 | - | / 3 | - | 44 | 15 | 27 | 385 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 7 | 33 | 26 | 13 | 14 | 7 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | 19 | 5 | 10 | 145 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | 8 | 51 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 10 | 1 | 2 | 29 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | 8 |
| Ris 10,001 \& above | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 13 |
| Not stated | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 |
| Income in kind ority | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 54 | 240 | 201 | 128 | 85 | 35 | 42 | 13 | 2 | 7 | - | 197 | 72 | 148 | 1254 |


| Income | Upto <br> Re. 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ \text { 1-2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ \text { 2-3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{3-4}{\text { Rs. }}$ | Rent per 50 sq. ft. (In Rs.) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 16 \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | Not stated | Blank | Owned | Concessiona | 1 Free | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{4-5}{\mathrm{Rs} .}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 6-7 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{8-10}{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ \text { 11-15 } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 19 | 63 | 42 | 19 | 24 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 31 |  | 37 | 279 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 26 | 112- | 100 | 57 | 51 | 30 | 31 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 64 | 27 | $5 \underline{1}$ | 578 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 86 | 326 | 322 | 205 | 176 | 102 | 75 | 22 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 140 | 62 | 207 | 1740 |
| Rs. . 1,001-2,000 | 74 | 335 | 312 | 200 | 194 | 137 | 112 | 32 | 4 | -11 | - | 200 | 25 | 98 | 1734 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 27 | 132 | 118 | 72 | 76 | 50 | 68 | 21 | 6 | 3 | - | 115 | 12 | 33 | 733 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 13 | 42 | 34 | 18 | 25 | 19 | 31 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 69 | 3 | 4 | 272 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 3 | 18 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 11. | 13 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 41 | 1 | 4 | 119 |
| Rs. 7,500-10,000 | - | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | 37 |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 18 | - | 2 | 47 |
| Not stated | - | 13 | $9{ }^{-}$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | - | - 57 |
| Income in kind only | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 5 |
| Total | 249 | 1050 | 958 | 584 | 561 | 363 | 351 | 113 | 31 | 36 | 5 | 704 | 153 | 443 | 5601 |

x TABLE No. 5.12.
Distribution of Rent-paying sample families according to the Duration of atay in the tenements and Rent-area ratio.

| Duration of stay in tenement | Re.-1 | Rs. 1-2 | Rs. 2-3 | Rent per 50 sq. ft. in Re. |  |  |  | Ra. 11-15 | $\text { Rs. } 16 \text { \& }$above | Rent not stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rs .3-4 | Rs. 4-5 | Rs. 6-7 | Rs. 8-10 |  |  |  |  |
| Upto 3 months | \% 7 | 16 | 23 | 22 | 34 | 31 | 26 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 178 |
| -6 months | s 3 | 14 | 30 | 23 | 26 | 17 | 34 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 161 |
| -1 year | 9 | 38 | 47 | 48 | 69 | 30 | 63 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 323 |
| -2 years | 19 | 36 | 61 | 52 | 73 | 63 | 65 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 373 |
| -3 years | 9 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 41 | 47 | 88 | 11 | 2 | - | 264 |
| 4-5 years | 13 | 64 | 73 | 64 | 77 | 53 | 44 | 19 | 5 | 8 | 405 |
| 6-10 years | 40 | 232 | 228 | 184 | 188 | 76 | 58 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 929 |
| 11-15 years | 62 | 295 | - 243 | 104 | 59 | 25 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 818 |
| 16 years \& above | e 90 | 302 | 202 | 98 | 38 | 16 | 17 | 5 | - | 8 | 771 |
| Not stated | 6 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | $\theta$ | 74 |
| Total 2 | 249 | 1050 | 958 | 584 | 561 | 363 | 851 | 113 | 31 | 36 | 4296 |

## TABLE No. 5.13.

Median rent (per 50 sq. ft.) in different sections of the city paid by sample famelies classified by duration of stay.


But this table cannot be interpreted without reference to the provisions of the Bombay Rent, Hotel Rates and lodging House Rates (Control) Act, 1944 which has been in force in Poona City and Suburban Municipal areas from 13 June 1942 and in the Poona Cantonment from 7 November 1942. Under this ${ }^{\circ}$ Act the rent at which the premises were let on 1 September 1940 or when they were first let before that date or where they were first let after that date, was declared to be the "standard rent" of the premises. This was not allowed to be increased after 22 April 1942 except when the Municipal rate, cess or tax paid by the landlord in respect of the premises was increased and the increase so allowed was not to be in excess of the increase in the rate, cess or tax over those prevailing on 1 September 1940.

The Act was consolidated and amended in 1947 by the Bombay Legislature. The Act did not alter the definition of "standard rent" but allowed increases in rent above the "standard rent", when the landlord made an improvement or structural alteration of the premises with the written consent of the tenant. It also entitled the landlord to make an increase in the rents of premises which had been let out on or before 1 September 1940. This increase was not to exceed 5 per cent of the "standard rent" in respect of residential premises whose rent did not exceed Rs. 20 per month; not to exceed $71 / 2$ per cent of "standard rent" for premises with rents between Rs. 20 and Rs. 80 per month and 10 per cent of "standard rent" in premises with rents exceeding Rs. 80 per month. Increase in "standard rent" not exceeding 5 per cent of annual expenses for special or heavy repairs was also allowed under certain conditions.

This Act with minor amendments was in force in Poona at the time of our Survey. From the history of the Act that we have summarised in very broad terms above, it seems that rents were freezed in Poona in 1942 at the level of 1 September 1940, and increases were allowed only for increases in Municipal taxes, etc. The 1947 Act liberalised the restrictions on rent' increases as detailed above. For our analysis 1940 and 1948 are significant years.

We are now in a position to interpret Table 5.13. The duration of stay intervals in the table correspond with only one of the significant years, i.e. 1948. No separate figures are available for the other year 1940 or even 1942. We can, however, examine the table generally as also with particular reference to 1948. A casual examination of the table shows that rents during 1939-44 were consistently higher in all localities than in 1938 or before. During 194448 they were again higher than during 1939-1944 in all localities, except Aundh, Pashan, etc., and Kirkee Cantonment where they had
declined a little. In Kirkee Cantonment the decline was almost negligible and for all practical purposes rents might be said to have remained constant.

The increase in rents was sharp in the period 1949-51 in all localities expect Aundh, Pashan, etc. The Rent Act of 1947, it may be recalled, allowed increases in rents for certain reasons. In the following period rents have not generally shown a tendency to dedecline. In Aundh, Pashan, etc. the rents declined between 1944-48 and 1948-52 but the thereafter again recorded an increase. This may be due to the peculiarity of our sample in this area.

On the whole, however, the figures for all the localities are consistent and indicate almost a continuous increase in the rate of rents (i.e. rent for $50 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) over the 16 years preceding the survey. This in itself is an indication of the continuously growing pressure on living area in the Poona area during this period. The increase in rents is not unrelated to increase in over-crowding which has already been commented upon.
6. Families living in owned houses :-Of the total families 12 per cent were houseowners. In the first group of wards the proportion of families staying in owned tenements was about 12 per cent and in the additional group of wards it was about 15 per cent. In the 1937 Survey about 14 per cent of the families in the sample were those of houseowners. The higher proportion of houseowners in the additional group of wards was probably due to the semi-rural character of some of these wards. The proportion exceeded 50 per cent in some of the wards like Bibewadi and Kondhwa and it was about 35 per cent in Hadapsar and Mundhwa. The proportion for the whole of the additional group of wards would have been quite high but for the inclusion of the two cantonments in it. In the Kirkee Cantonment particularly, only 5 per cent of the families were those of houseowners.

In Table 5.14 is given the distribution of families of houseowners according to the occupations of theiw heads. Of 704 such cases about 20 per cent were non-earners and ábout 6 per cent were pensioners. About 10 per cent belonged to each of the occupational Grades I and II.. Only about 5 per cent were in Grade III. Grade IV, VIII and $X$ together accounted for about 20 per cent. The proportion of houseowners was low in Grades VI and VII.
$\geqslant \quad$ In Table 5.15 we give the distribution of families of houseowners according to communities. Among the Konkanastha Brahmins, Dhangar-Gaolis and Parsis, the proportion of families living in owned tenements to the total families belonging to these commu-

TABLE No. 5.14.
Distribution of Sample Families who owned houses or enjoyed concessional or free housing according to Occupation of the Head of the Eamily.

| Occupation of the Head of the Family |  | I Group of Wards. Owned Conces- Free |  |  | Additional Group of Wards |  |  |  |  |  | All Wards. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Owned | Conces- | Free | Total | Owned | Concession | Free | Total |
| I | Unskilled Manual Work |  |  |  | c 39 | 16 | 84 | 189 | 41 | 38 | 69 | 138 | 80 | 54 | 143 | 277 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 8 | - | - | 8 | 23 | - | - | 23 | 81 | - | - | 31 |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 48 | 14 | 60 | 122 | 19 | 6 | 88 | 63 | 67 | 20 | 88 | 185 |
| III | Lowest professions \& Administrative posts \& Primary Teachers, etc. | 24 | 6 | '85 | 115 | 9 | 4 | 18 | 31 | 83 | 10 | 103 | 149 |
| IV | Small Businesses | 45 | 5 | 5 | 55 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 22 | 62 | 9 | 6 | 77 |
| V | Highly Skilled \& Supersory Manual Work | $37$ | 10 | 11 | 58 | 17 | 8 | 6 | 26 | 54 | 13 | 17 | 84 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop Assistants | S 24 | 8 | 11 | 38 | 4 | - | 4 | 8 | 28 | 3 | 15 | 46 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. | c. 23 | - | 23 | 46 | 2 | - | 8 | 10 | 25 | - | 31 | 56 |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | 42 | 3 | - | 45 | 14 | 3 | - | $17^{*}$ | 56 | 6 | - | 62 |
| IX. | Higher Professions \& Salaried posts | 14 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 26 |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. | 19 | - | 1 | 20 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 23 | - | 1 | 24 |
| XI | Pensioners | 40 | 1 | 3 | 44 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 46 | 2 | 6 | 54 |
| XII | Beggers ${ }_{\text {a }}$ Prostitutes | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | - | 3 |
|  | Unemployeds | 21 | 7 | 2 | 30 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 25 | 8 | 5 | 88 |
|  | Educands | 6 | 7 | 4 | 17 | $1{ }^{*}$ | 1 | - | 2 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 19 |
|  | Non-Earners | 115 | 0 | 5 | 129 | 81 | 9 | 3 | 43 | 146 | 18 | 8 | 172 |
|  | Total | 507 | 81 | 295 | 883 | 197 | 72 | 148 | 417 | 704 | 153 | 443 | 1300 |

TABLE No. 5.15.
Communitywise distribution of house owning families and famlies enjoying concessionally rented or free accommodation.

COMMUNITY GROUP-Columns 7 and 8.


I Group of Wards.

| Owned | 89 | 61 | 75 | 14 | 31 | 6 | 7 | 26 | 23 | 44 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Concessional | 15 | 8 | 12 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| Free | 92 | 21 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 54 |
|  |  | 196 | 90 | 93 | 26 | 39 | 8 | 11 | 30 | 32 |

Additional Group of Wards.

| Owned | 53 | 2 | 5 | - | 19 | 3 | - | 8 | 9 | 33 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Concessional | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 6 |
| Free | 29 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 44 |
| Total | 88 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 26 | 5 | - | 9 | 12 | 83 |

All Wards.

| Owned | 142 | 63 | 80 | 14 | 50 | 9 | 7 |  |  | '172 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concessional | 21 | 9 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 1\% |
| Free | 121 | 26 | 7 | $14^{\prime}$ | 12 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 99 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 284 | 98 | 100 | 29 | 65 | 13 | 11 | $39^{\circ}$ | 44 | 194 |

TABLE No. E.18- (Coutd)
Communityrise distribution of house ownmg familion and families anjoying ocncessionally rented or froe accommodetron.


| 13 | - | 7 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 23 | 2 | - | 8 | 197 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 29 | 8 | 3 | - | 1 | 78 |
| 13 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 5 | - | 6 | 18 | - | 8 | 148 |
| 32 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 84 | 87 | 23 | - | 12 | 417 |


| 58 | 6 | 23 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 71 | 8 | - | 11 | 704 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | - | 8 | 6 | 4 | 29 | 18 | 4 | - | 1 | 153 |
| 87 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 14 | - | 83 | 80 | - | 5 | 448 |
| 113 | 11 | 36 | 24 | $2 B$ | 85 | 120 | 42 |  | 17 | 1300 |
| . .26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nities in the sample was higher than the general average. On the other hand among the South Indians, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs the proportion was lower than the average. These communities were recent migrants into the city and this low proportion was not surprising.

In Table 5.16 is presented a distribution of sample families, of houseowners according to total income. The proportion of families living in owned tenements increased with the increase in total income. Their proportion was 10 per cent among families having an annual income of less than Rs. 1000. It was 30 per cent among families with income higher than Rs. 3500.

TABLE No. 5.16.
Total Income of House Owning Families and Families Enjoying Concessionally Rented or Free Terements.

| Income Rs. | I Group of Wards Owned Con- Free cessional |  |  | Additional Group of Wards Owned Con- Free cessional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Wards } \\ \text { Owned Con- Free } \\ \text { ces- } \\ \text { sional } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 9 | 14 | 29 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 31 | 20 | 37 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 27 | 10 | 28 | 37 | 17 | 26 | 64 | 27 | 54 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 93 | 35 | 137 | 47 | 27 | 70 | 140 | 62 | 207 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 156 | 10 | 71 | 44 | 15 | 27 | 200 | 25 | 98 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 96 | 7 | 23 | 19 | 5 | 10 | 115 | 12 | 33 |
| Rs. 3,501-5,000 | 60 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 69 | 3 | 4 |
| Rs. 6,001-7,500 | 31 | - | 2 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 41 | 1 | 4 |
| Rs. 7,501-10.000 | 14. | - | - | 3 | - | - | 17 | - | - |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 14 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 18 | - | 2 |
| Not stated | 7 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 8 | 3 |  |
| No cash incomé | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Total | 507 | 81 | 295 | 197 | 72 | 148 | 704 | 153 | 443 |

About 21 per cent of the houseowning families lived in singleroom tenements; about 30 per cent in tenements of 2 rooms each; about 17 per cent in those of 3 rooms each and about 13 per cent in those of 4 rooms each. The remaining about 19 per cent had each 5 or more rooms.

55 peri cent of the houseowner families had an independent water-tap each and 15 per cent used public water-taps. 70 per cent had a bath-room each; about 13 per cent used open space for bath and the remaining shared bath-rooms with tenants. 36 per cent had
an independent latrine, 19 per cent had to share one with 4 or less families; about 14 per cent used open space while about 16 per cent used public latrines.
7. Families living in concessionally rented tenements :The 153 families who were concessional-rent-payers are classified by the occupational grade of their heads in Table 5.14. One-third belonged to Grade I. Grade II had a little over 10 per cent and the proportion varied between 5 to 10 per cent each in other occupational grades. Between the two groups of wards we find that in the additional group of wards more than half of the families were found in Grade I. In the Kirkee Cantonment area, a larger number of people was employed in the Ammunition Factory and other estnblishments which provided tenements at concessional rents. As a result it was found that about 20 per cent of the families from this area were concessional-rent-payers. For the additional group of wards as a whole, the proportion of such families to the total was higher than the proportion of such families in the first group of wards. In the first group of wards, the concessionally rented tenements consisted mainly of the Police Quarters in Shukrawar and Shivajinagar and the Military Establishment in the Suburban Roads. Others in the category included the tenements of domestic servants, butlers, cooks, ayahs, etc.

From Table 5.15 we find that among those who enjoyed concessional housing accommodation, Marathas, Depressed classes, Christians and Parsis showed a higher proportion than the general average. The concessional or free housing was associated with certain types of occupations like policemen, military personnel, cooks, butlers and ayahs, domestic servants and communities that had a higher representation in these occupations figured largely among those who enjoyed free or concessional housing. On thè other hand some of the communities like the Konkanastha Brahmins, Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, showed a very low proportion in this category. Those who enjoyed concessional housing were mainly concentrated in lower income groups. About 70 per cent of such families had an annual income below Rs. 1,000.

61 per cent of the families who enjoyed concessional housing had only one room per family. About 20 per cent had 2 rooms, 5 per cent had 3 and 2 per cent had 4 rooms each. No cases of 5 or more rooms per family were reported in this group. 18 per cent of the families enjoying concessional accommodation had an independent tap, 33 per cent had to share one with others and 39 per cent used public water-taps. 30 per cent had an independent bath-room while about $\mathbf{3 6}$.per cent used open space for bathing. Nearly 10 per cent
of the families had an independent latrine; 11 per cent shared one with 4 or less number of families; 26 per cent used open space while 16 per cent used public latrines.
8. Families living in free tenements:-There were 443 families who enjoyed free housing accommodation." About one-third of these belonged to Grade I. Grades II and III had each over 20 per cent of the total. More than two-thirds of such families were found in Grades I and II in the additional group of wards whereas less than 50 per cent of such families were found in the first group of wards. As in the case of families enjoying concessionally rented accommodation, these families also belonged to lower income groups. The distribution of these families according to number of rooms and living area per family showed that they had a little better accommodation than the rent-paying families and their distribution was close to the distribution of the concessional-rent-paying families. Regarding the other facilities 53 per cent of these families had an independent bath-room, about 25 per cent had an idependent water tap, 12 per cent had an independent latrine.
9. Comparison with the 1937 Survey :-Before a comparison with the 1937 Survey is attempted it would be useful to examine the pace and extent of building activity in the city in the period between the two surveys. The only information available is furnished by the Annual Administration Reports of the Poona Municipal Corporation. The data from this source for the period 1938. 1954 are presented in Table No. 5.17.
$\perp$ TABLE No. 5.17.
Statement of Building Activity in Poona, Crty during 1938-39 \& 1953-54.

| Year | Buildings open space Sq. $\mathbf{f t}$. | Additions to existing Bldgs. <br> Sq. ft . | Dismantling old Bldgs. \& reconstructing Sq. ft. | Temporary sheds. Sq. ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1938-39 | 1,38,904 | 56,460 | 59,371 | 42,395 |
| 1939-40 | 2,14,615 | 66,814 | 45,429 | 11,095 |
| 1944-45 | 3,07,055 | 27,420 | 41,576 | 17,175 |
| 1946-47 | 83,737 | 35,035 | 16,887 | 10,926 |
| 1947-48 | 89,173 $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 , 2 0 7}$ | 53,724 | 28,205 | 8,088 |
| 1948-49 | 2,15,207 2,20,541 | 54,314 | 45,060 | 14,661 |
| 1950-51 | 2,20,641 | 1,15,077 | 69,477 | 33,617 |
| 1951-52 | 8,51,182 | 90,518 37150 | 99,263 | 51,980 |
| 1952-53 | 3,58,001 | 37,150 $\mathbf{5 7 , 5 5 7}$ | 35,391 | 30,832 |
| 1963-54 | $3,48,822$ | 57,557 $\mathbf{9 2 , 7 8 2}$ | 19,557 24,995 | 11,171 18,668 |
| TOTAL | 31,36,802 | 6,86,851 | 4,85,211 |  |

Source-Poona Muncipal Corporation Annual Administrative Reports.

The data are defective in many respects. Information for the years 1940-44 is not given and was not available in the form in which it appears in the table even in the Corporation records. The Corporation authorities informed us that the information for those years had not been similarly processed and tabulated. The figures for 1938-39, 1939-40, 1945-46, 1946-49 had been specially compiled from old records at great labour. Only after the establishment of the Corporation in 1949-50 did the records begin to be kept in the form in which the information is presented in the Annual Administrative Reports now. The data do not therefore form a continuous series.

Secondly, the figures upto 1949-50 are for the Poona City Municipal area ${ }^{1}$ while the figures for later years are for the Poona Corporation area. They are therefore non-comparable.

We can put the available figures to limited use only. We can examine, how far the rate of addition to the built area was keeping pace with the increase in population in the Corporation area during 1951-54. In 1951, according to the Census, the population of the Poona Corporation area was 480,982 . At the time of our survey ${ }^{*}$ in 1954 it had increased by about 78,000 to 559,000 . During the same period i.e. $1951-52$ to $1953-54,10,58,015 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of area was newly built and addition to existing buildings added a further built up area of $187,489 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. i.e. there was an addition of $1,245,504 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of built area to that existing in 1950-51. Assuming that all this additional built up area was residential, which is of course a large assumption, and matching the additional population with it, we find that the ratio of area per person comes to 16 sq . ft. This is to be compared to the ratio of extreme overcrowding above which has been defined as less than 25 sq. ft. per person. Building activity, it is clear, was very inadequate during the period compared to the rate of population increase. With such inadequate rate of building it is not surprising that overcrowding had increased in Poona. We now turn to a consideration of the data bearing on this aspect.

Table 5.18 presents information regarding the distributions of tenements of various classes and the number of occupants of these in the 1937 Survey and in the comparable area in the present survey. The similarity of distributions at the two surveys is remarkable though there are of course certain significant variations in individual items. The proportion of one room tenements had slightly decreased but that of two room tenements had increased, so that the two together maintained almost the same proportion to

[^47]the total number of tenements. Similarly a slightly higher percent. age of the population lived in two room tenements in 1954 than in 1937 but a slightly lower proportion stayed in one room tenements in 1954 than in 1937. The average number of persons per room record, however, an increase in 1954 over that of 1937 except in tene. ments with five or more rooms. The increase is almost at a uniform rate over all the categories.

TABLE No. 5.18.
Number of Tenements of Various Classes and the Number of Occupants of these in 1937 and 1954.

| - | No. of Tenements | No. of Occupants (\% of Population) | No. of persons per room |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1937 |  |  |  |
| One Room | $\begin{array}{r} 2,555 \\ (56) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,232 \\ & (46) \end{aligned}$ | 3.22 |
| Two Rooms | $\begin{array}{r} 1,135 \\ (25) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,815 \\ & (27) \end{aligned}$ | 2.12 |
| Three Rooms | $405$ (9) | $\begin{aligned} & 2,107 \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | 1.73 |
| Four Rooms | $185$ <br> (4) | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 8}$ <br> (6) | 1.46 |
| Five Rooms and Over | $249$ <br> (6) | $1,663$ <br> (9) | 1,34 |
| 1954 |  |  |  |
| One Room | $\begin{array}{r} 2,281 \\ (52.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,451 \\ (42.9) \end{array}$ | 3.74 |
| Two Rooms | $\begin{array}{r} 1,191 \\ (27.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,072 \\ (30.8) \end{array}$ | 2.59 |
| Three Rooms | $\begin{array}{r} 443 \\ (10.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,599 \\ (13.2) \end{array}$ | 1.95 |
| Four Rooms | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ (4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,259 \\ & (6.3) \end{aligned}$ | $1.57$ |
| Five Rooms and Over | $\begin{array}{r} 232 \\ (5.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,349 \\ (6.8) \end{array}$ | . 1.16 |

Though the data presented in Table 5.18 show that overcrowding had increased in 1954 over that in 1937 they do not furnish any definite measure of the degree of overcrowding and how this had varied between the two surveys. For this purpose it is necessary to adopt some criterion of overcrowding. We have already
used the criterion of overcrowding set up in the 1937 Survey to measure overcrowding in the present survey. Applying this criterion to conditions in 1937, it was found that 47 per cent of the total families were living in conditions of overcrowding. ${ }^{1}$ Applying the same test to the 1954 data we have found that 56 per cent of the families were living in conditions of overcrowding in the comparable area. That overcrowding had increased between the surveys is further confirmed when we relate living area to persons. As has been pointed out before, if we define extreme overcrowding when there are less than 25 sq . ft. of living area per person, 31 per cent of the total families in the first group of wards in the present survey were found to be living in conditions of extreme overcrowding. The corresponding proportion of families in the 1937 Survey was 22.6 per cent only.

1. Poona, Part II, p. 144.

## CHAPTER VI

## ECONOMICAL AND SOCIAL ASPECTS OF THE FAMILY AND POVERTY

1. Family Income ${ }^{1}$ and Professions ${ }^{2}$ :-Table 6.1 presents the distribution of families by total income classified by the occupational grade of their heads. In the first five occupational grades the largest groups of families were concentrated in the 3rd (i.e. Rs. 501-1000) and 4th (i.e. Rs. 1001-2000) income ranges accounting for between 65 and 82 per cent of the total families in each of those grades. Families with incomes of more than Rs. 2000 were proportionately higher in the higher grades, their percentage ranging from 4 in Grade I to 18 in Grade V. In the next three grades the points of concentration shifted upwards to the 4th and 5th (i.e. Rs. 2001-3500 and Rs. 3501-5000) income ranges which together contained between 62 to 75 per cent of the total families in each of the grades. In Grades VII and VIII the proportion of families with incomes of less than Rs. 1,000 did not exceed 4 per cent. In Grade VI, however, their proportion was 17 per cent. In Grade IX 73 per cent of the families were found in the 5 th; 6 th and the 7 th income ranges, none in the first two and 10 per cent in the 9th range. In Grade X about 9 per cent of the families had incomes between Rs. 2001 and Rs. 3500 , all others being in higher income ranges with the largest concentration in the 6th range. As the occupational grades in our scheme of classification had been arranged also by the income criterion the increase in the average level of income in each of the higher grades was natural.

Nearly three-fourths of the pensioners had incomes between Rs. 1001 and Rs. 3500. Most of the families in Grade XII were in the first three income ranges. The unemployed were rather spread out, about 65 per cent being in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th income ranges.
2. Communities and Professions:-Table 6.2 gives the occupational distribution of families classified by communities. The family is assume to derive its occupation from the occupation of its head. We can study the data from two angles; whether some communities show concentrations in certain occupations revealing a preference or aptitude for them; secondly, how are the different grades of occupations recruited from the various communities.

[^48]+ TABLE No. 6.1.
Sample Families Classified acoording to Total Inoome and Ocoupation of the Head of the family.

|  | Occupational Grade U | Upto Rs.$250$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rg} . \\ & 1001- \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | I Group of Wards. Total Income |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rs}_{\mathbf{s} .}^{7,501-} \\ \mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ \substack{10,001 \\ \text { e above }} \end{gathered}$ | Notstated | Income in kind only | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 2001- \\ & 8,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R. }_{\text {. }} \\ 35501- \\ \mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ \mathbf{5 0 0 1 -} \\ \mathbf{7 , 5 0 0} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Uaskilled Manual Work | 85 | 148 | 348 | 162 | 28 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 774 |
| XIV | Cultivators : |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 13 |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 30 | 79 | 267 | 188 | 47 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 618 |
| III | Lowest Professions Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. | 6 | 15 | 174 | 124 | 27 | 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 357 |
| Iv | Small Businesses | 17 | 63 | 133 | 89 | 26 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 334 |
| V | Highly skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | 7 | 21 | 144 | 234 | 62 | 14 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 486 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop-Assistants | 2 | 8 | 61 | 195 | 113 | 22 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 406 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts Secondary Teachers, etc. | , | 2 | 10 | 80 | 100 | 35 | 12 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 243 |
| VIII | Medium Businerses | - | 2 | 8 | 82 | 73 | 34 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 5 | - | 226 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | - | - | 1 | 2 | 16 | 25 | 19 | 6 | 10 | - | - | 79 |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 28 | 11 | 6 | 14 | - | - | 65 |
| XI | Pensioners | 2 | 8 | 11 | 32 | 17 | 20 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 1 | - | 116 |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutes | 6 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 22 |
| XIII | Unemployeds | 23 | 30 | 30 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 14 | - | 151 |
|  | Educands | 8 | 5 | 25 | 18 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 67 |
|  | Not-earners | 20 | 52 | 112 | 98 | 53 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 390 |
|  | TOTAL | 206 | 441 | 1332 | 1349 | 588 | 221 | 90 | 29 | 34 | 54 | 3 | 4347 |

TABLE No. 6.1.-(Contd.)
Sample Famulves Classified accordeng to Total Income and Occupation of the Head of the family.

|  | Occupational Grade | Upto Rs.$250$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 251- \\ & \mathbf{5 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | Total Income |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 5001- \\ & \mathbf{7 , 5 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 7,501- \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | Additional Group of Wards. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 501 \text {. } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 1001- \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 2001- \\ & 3,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & \mathbf{3 5 0 1 -} \\ & \mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 10,001 \mathrm{~s} \\ & \text { \& above } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { stated } \end{aligned}$ e | Income in kind only | Total |
| I | Unskilled Manual Work | 34 | 51 | 174 | 71 | 17 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 350 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 4 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 24 |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 8 | 30 | 75 | 50 | 11 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 176 |
| III | Lowest Professions Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. | 4 | 3 | 41 | 15 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 69 |
| IV | Small Businessès | 4 | 15 | 22 | 17 | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 67 |
| V | Highly skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | 3 | 5 | 31 | 110 | 35 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 193 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop-Assistants | - | - | 6 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 39 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts Secondary Teachers, etc. | , | 1 | 1 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 47 |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | - | - | 1 | 25 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 52 |
|  | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | - | - | 1 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - - | 28. |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | - | - | 17 |
| .XI | Pensioners * | 1 | $\cdots$ | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 17 |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| XIII | Unemployeds | 4 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 47 |
|  | Educands | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 6 |
|  | Non-earners | 8 | 13 | 31 | 44 | 11 | 8 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 121 |
|  | TOTAL | 72 | 137 | 408 | 385 | 145 | 51 | 29 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 1254 |

- TABLE No. 6.1-(Contd.)

Sample Families Classificd according to Total Inoome and Oceupation of the Head of the family.

|  | Occupational Grade | Upto Rs. '250 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rs} \text {, } \\ & 251- \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} .} \\ 501- \\ \mathbf{5 0 0 0} \end{gathered}$ | Total Rs. 2000 | Income Rs. 20018,500 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 8501- \\ & \mathbf{5 5 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 5001- \\ & 7,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & \mathbf{7 , 5 0 1} \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & \text { 10,001 } \\ & \text { \&above } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Not }}{\text { Not }}$ | All W Income in kind only | ards Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Unskilled Manual Work | 119 | 199 | 522 | 233 | 45 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1124 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 4 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 87 |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 38 | 109 | 342 | 238 | 68 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 794 |
| III | Lowest: Professions Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, eli. | 10 | 18 | 215 | 139 | 32 | 10 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 426 |
| IV | Small Businesses | 21 | 78 | 155 | 106 | 34 | 2 | 8 | - | - | 2 | - | 401 |
| V | Highly skilled \& Supernsory Manual Work | 10 | 26 | 175 | 844 | 97 | 22 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 679 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop-Assistants | - 2 | 8 | 67 | 216 | 123 | 23 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | 445 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts Secondary Teachers, etc. | - | 3 | 11 | 93 | 115 | 46 | 15 | 4 | 8 | - | - | 290 |
| VIII | Medium Businessea | - | 2 | 9 | 107 | 85 | 40 | 23 | B | 2 | 5 | - | 278 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | - | - | 2 | 7 | 22 | 30 | 27 | 8 | 11 | - | - | 107 |
| $X$ | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. | - | - | - - | 3 | 4 | 33 | 14 | 9 | 19 | - | - | 82 |
| XI | Pensioners | 8 | 8 | 1.14 | 36 | 21 | 22 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 1 | - | 133 |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutes | 6 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 23 |
| XIII | Unemployeds | 27 | 39 | 45 | 45 | 21 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 16 | 1 | 198 |
|  | Educands | 10 | 5 | 28 | 18 | $\theta$ | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 73 |
|  | Non-earners | 28 | 65 | 148 | 142 | 64 | 29 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 27 | 1 | 511 |
|  | TOTAL | 278 | 578 | 1740 | 1734 | 733 | 272 | 119 | 37 | 47 | 58 | 5 | 5601 |

- Table No. 6.2.

Distribution of sample families according to Community and Occupation of the Head of the family.

I Group of Wards.

| ' | Community Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Occupational Grade |  |  |  |  | 荡 |  |  |
| I | Unskılled Manual Work | 290 | 15 | 10 | 9 | 26 | 16 | 42 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 7 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 191 | 26 | 12 | 5 | 22 | 5 | 22 |
| III | Lowest Professions, Administrative posts, Primary Teachers, etc. | 112 | 64 | 18 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| IV | Small Businesses | 76 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 22 | 14 | 8 |
| v | Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | 111 | 59 | 40 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 10 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop Assistants | 51 | 116 | 70 | 32 | 3 | 1 | 13 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried <br> Posts, \& Secondary Teachers | 23 | 69 | 48 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | 28 | 19 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | 4 | 13 | 28 | 12 | - | - | - |
| X | Owners of factories, -Large Shops, etc. | c. 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| XI | Pensioners | 8 | 31 | 33 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutes | 4 | - | 1 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | - | - |
| XIII | Unemployeds | 38 | 18 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Educands | 16 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 1 | - | - |
|  | Non-earners | 63 | 58 | 46 | 18 | 11 | 7 | 11 |
|  | TOTAL 10 | 1027 | 519 | 369 | 163 | 117 | 53 | 126 |

K TABLE No．6．2－（Contd．）
Distribution of sample famulies according to Communty and Oceupation of the Head of the family．

|  | Artisan Group II |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罣 } \\ & \text { 志 } \\ & \text { 岂 } \\ & \text { 菅 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e! } \\ & \text { 亭 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{7}$ | 星 | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 30 | 184 | 54 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 45 | 11 | － | 1 | 774 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 13 |
| 13 | 85 | 65 | 52 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 10 | － | 64 | 13 | － | － | 618 |
| 2 | 11 | 34 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | － | 44 | 14 | － | 1 | 357 |
| － | 10 | 24 | 48 | 3 | 32 | 2 | 15 | － | 46 | － | － | － | Sid |
| 66 | 9 | 24 | 29 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 9 | － | 50 | 17 | － | 2 | 486 |
| 15 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 8 | 31 | 12 | 4 | － | 16 | 2 | － | 1 | 406 |
| 5 | 3 | 4 | 24 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 7 | $\sim$ | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 243 |
| 9 | 4 | 1 | 26 | 6 | 51 | 1 | 19 | － | 25 | － | － | － | 228 |
| － | － | － | 8 | － | 4 | 2 | 2 | － | 2 | 8 | － | 1 | 79 |
| 1 | － | － | 8 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 8 | － | 10 | 1 | － | 1 | 65 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 2 | － | 8 | 2 | － | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 116 |
| － | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 22 |
| 10 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | － | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 151 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 67 |
| 15 | 12 | 33 | 31 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 20 | － | 34 | 16 | － | 1 | 390 |
| 155 | 175 | 404 | 345 | 41 | 195 | 69 | 108 | 5 | 372 | 01 | 3 | 12 | 4347 |

Distribution of sample families according to Community and Occupation of the Head of the family.
Additional Group of Wards.

|  | Community Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Occupational Grade |  |  |  | suipuyexg גәч70 | 鴙 |  |  |
| I | Unskilled Manual Work | 67 | 1 | - | - | 15 | 4 | 2 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 17 | 1 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 31 | 1 | - | - | 10 | - 1 | 1 |
| III | Lowest Professions, Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. | 14 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| IV | Small Businesses | 7 | - | 1 | - | 6 | 4 | - |
| V | Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | 24 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | - | - |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop Assistants | 1 | 3 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts \& Secondary Teachers | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | - | 3 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| XI | Pensioners | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutos ' | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| XIII | Unemployeds | 6 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | Educands | $\cdots$ | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Non-earners | 15 | 8 | 1 | - | 6 | 2 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 192 | 27 | 16 | 7 | 51 | 16 | 4 |

$x^{\text {TABLE No．6．2－（Contd．）}}$
Distribution of sample famslies according to Community and Occupation of the Head of the family．

|  | Artisan Group II | Depressed classes |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 宿 } \\ & \text { 荷 } \end{aligned}$ |  | sus!pur yanos |  |  |  |  | 界 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 茄 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { مu } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 7 | 144 | 22 | － | 2 | 15 | 3 | 25 | 24 | 16 | － | － | 350 |
| － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 24 |
| 6 | 15 | 36 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 4 | － | 16 | 26 | － | － | 176 |
| － | － | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | － | 11 | 9 | － | － | 68 |
| － | 2 | 3 | 11 | － | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 18 | 3 | － | 3 | 67 |
| 20 | － | 39 | 15 | － | 4 | 21 | 4 | － | 17 | 35 | － | 2 | 193 |
| 1 | － | 2 | 5 | － | 6 | 4 | 1 | － | 1 | 8 | － | 3 | 39 |
| 2 | － | － | 9 | － | 3 | 4 | 3 | － | 3 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 47 |
| － | － | － | 11 | － | 14 | ＂2 | 3 | 1 | 10 | － | － | － | 52 |
| 1 | － | － | 4 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 5 | － | 4 | 28 |
| － | － | － | 3 | － | 7 | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | 1. | 17 |
| － | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | 17 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 |
| 2. | － | 9 | 5 | － | 1 | 4 | 8 | － | 4 | 9 | － | － | 47 |
| － | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 6 |
| 10 | － | 18 | 3 | － | 3 | 6 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 11 | － | 8 | 121 |
| 45 | 25 | 274 | 109 | 2 | 47 | 74 | 49 | 35 | 118 | 135 | 1 | 27 | 1254 |

Distribution of sample families according to Community and Occupation. of the Head of the family.

|  | All Wards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Occupational Grade |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |
| I | Unskilled Manual Work | 357 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 41 | 20 | 44 |
| XIV | Cultivators | 24 | 2 | 3 | - | 7 | - | - |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 222 | - 28 | 12 | 5 | 32 | 6 | 83 |
| III | Lowest Professions, Administrative <br> Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. | 126 | 67 | 18 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| IV | Small Businesses | 83 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 28 | 18 | 8 |
| V | Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work | 135 | 62 | 41 | 22 | 18 | 2 | 10 |
| VI | Clerks \& Shop Assistants | 52 | 119 | 73 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 13 |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts \& Secondary Teachers | 27 | 71 | 49 | 28 | ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 2 |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | 33 | 19 | 22 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 3 |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts | 4 | 16 | 34 | 13 | - | 1 | - |
| X | Owners of Factories, Large Shops, ete | 5 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| XI | Pensioners | 9 | 33 | 34 | 6 | 1. | - | - |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutes | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| XIII | Unemployeds | 44 | 20 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
|  | Educands | 16 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
|  | Non-earners | 78 | 61 | 47 | 18 | 17 | 9 | 11 |
|  | TOTAL 1 | 1219 | 546 | 385* | 170 | 168 | 69 | 130 |

TABLE No．6．2－（Contd．）
Distribution of sample families according to Community and Occupation of the Head of the family．

| I dnoxd uestify |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 郘 } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 容 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䁹 } \\ & \text { 㟧 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 37 | 328 | 76 | 3 | 13 | 84 | 8 | 80 | 69 | 27 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1124 |
| － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | 37 |
| 19 | 99 | 101 | 67 | 5 | 13 | 19 | 14 | $\cdots$ | 80 | 39 | $\cdots$ | － | 794 |
| 2 | 12 | 52 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4 | － | 55 | 23 | － | 1 | 426 |
| 9 | 12 | 27 | 59 | 8 | 34 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 64 | 8 | － | 8 | 401 |
| 86 | 9 | 63 | 44 | 5 | 12 | 34 | 18 | － | 67 | 52 | － | 4 | 679 |
| 16 | 4 | 11 | 23 | 8 | 37 | 16 | 5 | － | 17 | 10 | － | 4 | 445 |
| 7 | 3 | 4 | 83 | 1 | 13 | 10 | 10 | － | 8 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 290 |
| 9 | 4 | 1 | 87 | 6 | 65 | 3 | 22 | 1 | 35 | － | － | － | 278 |
| 1 | － | － | 12 | － | 4 | 4 | 8 | － | 2 | 8 | － | 5 | 107 |
| 1 | $\square$ | $\cdots$ | 11 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 4 |  | 12 | 1 | － | 2. | 82 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 2 | $\sim$ | 4 | 4 | － | 18 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 133 |
| $\cdots$ | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | ．－ | $\cdots$ | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | － | $\cdots$ | 23 |
| 12 | 3 | 21 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 7 | $\bullet$ | 20 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 198 |
| 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 73 |
| 25 | 12 | 51 | 34 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 40 | 6 | 48 | 27 | － | $\theta$ | 611 |
| 200 | 200 | 678 | 454 | 43 | 242 | 143 | 155 | 40 | 490 | 226 | 4 | 39 | 5601 |

Examining the data from the former angle we find that Marathas, Malis, Weavers, Artisan Group I, Depressed classes; Other Hindus, Muhommedans and Vaddars had their largest concentrations in Grades I and II, i.e. unskilled and skilled manual work engaged a significant proportion of their numbers. Artisan Group II, Christians and South Indians had their largest concentration in Grade V-highly skilled manual work. While the largest concentration of Artisan Group I was in Grade II that of Artisan Group II was in Grade V. But this was largely inherent in the classification of the two groups because Artisan Group I comprised skilled artisans while Atrisan 'Group II consisted of highly skilled workers only. The three Brahmin groups showed a uniform pattern of concentrations in Grades VI, VII and V, i.e. occupations. where education and training were at a premium. Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs had their biggest clusters in Grade VIIImedium businesses; this is in keeping with general public impression in respect of these communities,

Turning to the second question the picture above is filled out in certain particulars. To the Grade I the largest contributions came from Marathas and the Depressed classes. It was the same in Grade II though the contribution of Artisan Group I and Muhommedans was also quite large. To Grade III again Marathas, Depressed classes and Muhommedans contributed the largest numbers. Largest groups for Grade V were derived from Marathas, Artisan Group II and Muhommedans. More than half of the families in Grades VI and VII were those of Brahmins. To Grade VIII Guja-rati-Marwadi-Jains contributed the largest numbers while Marathas, Other Hindus and Muhommedans made about equal contributions, each individual contribution being, however, only about half of that the largest group. Nearly 70 per cent of the families in Grade IX were those of Brahmins, the Konkanastha Brahmins alone accounting for half of these. In Grade X one-third were Gujarati-MarwadiJains. Other important contributions were from Brahmins, Muhon:medans and Other Hindus.
3. Family Income and Communities:-Table 6.3 presents the income distribution of families classified by community. It is not necessary to analyse the data elaborately to get the essential picture of income, distribution among different communities or groups of communities. We can rely on the median income of each community to represent its relative position in the income scale in the society as a whole. The median incomes are also presented in the table. If these are arranged in an asceding order of magnitude we get some interesting groupings. In the cluster at the bottom were found Marathas, Depressed classes, Artisan Group II, Malis,

Vaddars and Dhangar-Gaolis whose median incomes ranged from Rs. 760 to Rs. 888. In the next cluster were Muhommedans, Jews, Artisan Group I, and Other Hindus, their median incomes ranging from Rs. 975 to Rs. 1036. Weavers and Lingayats had median incomes of Rs. 1127 and Rs. 1166 respectively. The next cluster was that of Deshastha Brahmins, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs and the Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, with median incomes between Rs. 1622 and Rs. 1752. The gap between this and the two earlier clusters was wide indeed although the Christians and South Indians came in between. Still high up in the scale were, in an ascending order, other Brahmins, Konkanastha Brahmins and Parsis.

This spectrum of median incomes of communities brings out two very important features of the situation. The gap between the general income level of Brahmins as a whole and the other local communities was very marked and substantial. Secondly, non-indigenous communities who were clearly in-migrants into the city enjoyed higher income levels than many of the indigenous communities. This was more remarkable in the case of the Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs a majority of whom were very recent in-migrants. Of course, the large proportions of the other non-indigenous communities like the Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, South Indians, etc. were a mixed group of those who had been residents of the city for quite long periods and of recent in-migrants. Similar also was the case with the Parsis, who had the highest income level in the whole sample.

The comparatively very high incomes enjoyed by the Brahmins, as a whole, and particularly by the Konkanastha Brahmins, as compared to other communities shows that they had continued to maintain the economic position they enjoyed before the war, as revealed in the 1937 Survey. The developments during the intervening period had not affected this distribution pattern. It must also be borne in mind that the position of the trading communities appeared lower in the scale than it really was because of a certain degree of understatement of incomes by them which has been referred to before.
4. Family Income and Earner Class ${ }^{1}$ :-Table 6.4 gives the income distribution of families classified according to their earner class. About 54 per cent of the families in the sample had one adult male earner only. 70 per cent of them were concentrated in the 3 rd and the 4th income ranges. Only 16 per cent had incomes higher than Rs. 2,000. Among families with no adult male earner the situation was broadly similar to that among the families with one

[^49]TABLE NO．6．3．
Income distribution of sample families classified according to Community．

|  | Income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 雪 } \end{aligned}$ |  | รe7s8] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upto Rs． 250 | 78 | 12 | 9. | 4 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 10 |  |
|  | Rs．251－500， | 145 | 34 | 23 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 32 |
|  | Rs．501－1，000 | 391 | 87 | 44 | 27 | 39 | 24 | 43 | 52 | 70 |
|  | Rs．1，001－2，000 | 306 | 188 | 103 | 60 | 39 | 7 | 54 | 42 | 39 |
|  | Rs．2，001－3，500 | 71 | 114 | 98 | 33 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 15 |
|  | Rs．3，501－5，000 | 17 | 49 | 39 | 24 | 3 | － | 4 | 9 | 2 |
|  | Rs．5，001－7，500 | 7 | 19 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 2 | － | 1 |  |
|  | Rs．7，501－10，000 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 |
|  | Rs．，10，001 \＆above | 1 | 4 | 7 | － | － | － | － |  |  |
|  | Not stated | 9 | 8 | 8 | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | － |
|  | Income in kind only | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － |
|  | Total | 1027 | 519 | 369 | 163 | 117 | 53 | 126 | 158 | 175 |
|  | Median income | 865.1 | 1651.62 | 015．3 186 | 164.9 | 961.5 | 760.41 | 1148.1 | 990.4 | 806.9 |
|  | Upto Rs． 250 | 16 | 2 | － | － | 4 | 1 | － | 2 | 6 |
|  | Rs．251－500 | 36 | 1 | $\square$ | － | 12 | 3 | － | 1 | $\underline{0}$ |
|  | Rs．501－1，000 | 66 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 19 | 10 |
|  | Rs．1，001－2，000 | 50 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 6 |
|  | Rs．2，001－3，500 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | － | 7 | 2 |
|  | Rs． $3,501-5,000$ | $\dot{5}$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － |
|  | Rs．5，001－7，500 | 1 | － | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | $\sim$ | 1 |  |
|  | Rs．7，501－10，000 | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | $\underline{-}$ | － | － | 1 |
|  | Rs． 10,001 \＆above | － | 2 | － | － |  | － | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 品 | Not stated | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － |  | － |
| 勇 | Income in kind only | － | 7 | － | － | $\underline{1}$ | － | $\sim$ |  |  |
| $\frac{0}{4}$ | Total | 192 | 27 | 16 | 7 | 51 | 16 | －4． | 45 | 25 |
|  | Median income 8 | 829，5 | 16.72 | 57.1187 | 75.0 | 736.8 | 833.3 | 833.31 | 35.7 | 825.0 |
|  | Upto Rs． 250 | 94 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 13 |
|  | Rs．251－500 | 181 | 35 | 23 | 8 | 25 | 11 | 8 | 16 | 32 |
|  | Rs．501－1，000 | 457 | 92 | 46 | 30 | 58 | 30 | 46 | 71 | 89 |
|  | Rs．1，001－2，000 | 356 | 194 | 105 | 61 | 49 | 11 | 55 | 56 | 45 |
|  | Rs，2，001－3，500 Rs．3，501－5，000 | 88 | 120 | 105 | 35 | 16 | 7 | 13 | 30 | 17 |
|  | Rs．3，501－5，000 Rs． $5,001-7,500$ | 22 | 53 | 40 | 25 | 3. | － | 4 | 10 | 2 |
|  | Rs．7，501－10，000 | 8 | 19 | 27 | 6 | 2 | 3 | － | 2 |  |
|  | Rs．10，001 \＆above | 1 | 5 | 14 |  | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | 2 |
|  | Not stated | 10 | 8 | J | － | $\underline{2}$ | － | － |  | $\square$ |
| Income in kind only |  | y 1 | － | 1 | － |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | Total | 1218 | 546 | 385 | 170 | 168 | 69 | 130 | 200 | 200 |
|  | Median income 860 | 860.01659 .82078 .61865 .4 |  |  |  | 888.0 | 775.011 | 127．3 | 996.5 | 809.0 |

TABLE No．6．3－（Contd）
Income distribution of sample families classified aocording to Community．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 骂 } \\ & \text { 㗊 } \\ & \text { \#̈ } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 帚 } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | － | 24 | 7 | － | － | 206 |
| 49 | 38 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | － | 41 | 4 | － | － | 441 |
| 183 | 111 | 12 | 42 | 17 | 21 | 4 | 130 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 1332 |
| 118 | 97 | 15 | 67 | 25 | 38 | 1 | 114 | 34 | － | 2 | 1349 |
| 27 | 42 | 7 | 39 | 11 | 23 | － | 39 | 13 | － | 2 | 688 |
| 1 | 22 | 2 | 22 | 5 | 5 | － | 10 | 6 | 1 | － | 221 |
| － | 14 | － | 4 | 2 | 8 | － | 8 | 3 | － | － | 00 |
| － | 4 | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 29 |
| － | 8 | － | 5 | － | 2 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 2 | － | 8 | 84 |
| 1 | 5 | － | 5 | － | 6 | － | 7 | 1 | － | 1 | 54 |
| － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | 8 |
| 404 | 345 | 41 | 195 | 69 | 106 | 5 | 372 | 91 | 8 | 12 | 4347 |
| 848.5978 .91233 .31656 .71380 .01562 .6 |  |  |  |  |  | 812.5 | 951.91382 .4 |  | 875.02375 .0 |  |  |
| 22 | 3 | － | － | 7 | － | 1 | 3 | 5 | － | － | 72 |
| 29 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 10 | － | 1 | 137 |
| 121 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 35 | 43 | $\cdots$ | － | 408 |
| 81 | 43 | － | 18 | 24 | 15 | 5 | 43 | 52 | － | 10 | 385 |
| 17 | 9 | － | 10 | 14 | 9 | d | 13 | 19 | 1 | 4 | 145 |
| 1 | 7 | － | 7 | 5 | 7 | － | 3 | 4 | － | 5 | 51 |
| 2 | 4 | － | 8 | 2 | 4 | － | g | 1 | － | 5 | 29 |
| － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | $\cdots$ | 1 | － | － | 1 | 8 |
| － | 2 | － | 4 | － | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 |
| － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 |
| 274 | 109 | ${ }^{+} 2$ | 47 | 74 | 49 | 35 | 118 | 135 | 1 | 27 | 1254 |
| 853.31225 .0 |  | 500.02300 .01333 .01833 .3 |  |  |  | 750.01104 .71182 .72750 .02937 .5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 12 | － | － | 278 |
| 78 | 49 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 57 | 14 | － | 1 | 578 |
| 304 | 139 | 13 | 44 | 33 | 31 | 23 | 165 | 64 | 2 | 3 | 1740 |
| 199 | 140 | 15 | 85 | 49 | 63 | 6 | 157 | 86 | － | 12 | 1734 |
| 44 | 51 | 7 | 49 | 25 | 32 | 8 | 52 | 32 | 1 | 6 | 733 |
| 2 | 29 | 2 | 29 | 10 | 12 | － | 13 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 272 |
| 2 | 18 | － | 7 | 4 | 7 | $\cdots$ | 5 | 4 | － | 5 | 119 |
| $\cdots$ | 5 | － | ， | 1 | － | － | 3 | － | － | 2 | 37 |
| － | 10 | － | 9 | － | 4 | － | 8 | 3 | － | 4 | 47 |
| 2 | 6 | － | 5 | － | 6 | － | 7 | 1 | － | 1 | 58 |
|  | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 5 |
| 678 | 454 | － 43 | 242 | 143 | 155 | 40 | 490 | 226 | 4 | 39 | 5601 |
| 850.31036 .61166 .01752 .91357 .11632 .1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE No. 6.4.
Sample families classified \&ecording to Total Income and Earner Class.

| Earner Class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & \text { upto } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 251 \text {. } \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 601- \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I Grou } \\ & \text { Ra. } \\ & \mathbf{1 , 0 0 1 -} \\ & \mathbf{2 , 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { of Wa } \\ \text { Rs. } \\ 2,001- \\ 3,500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ds. } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { Rs. } \\ 3,501 \\ 5,000 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rs. } \\ 5001- \\ 7,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ \begin{array}{c} 7,501- \\ 10,000 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 10,01 \\ & \& \& \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | Not stated | Income in kind only | Totai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No earner | 23 | 34 | 58 | 48 | 26 | 12 | 1 | - | 1 | 23 | 1 | 227 |
| No male adult but one or more female adult earners | 101 | 89 | 49 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 274 |
| No male adult but one or more non-adult earners | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| No male adult but female \& non-adult earners | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| One male adult earner only | 65 | 231 | 891 | 704 | 274 | 97 | 33 | 16 | 16 | 22 | - | 2349 |
| One male adult earner \& female adult earner | 13 | 52 | 154 | 134 | 29 | 10 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | 399 |
| One male adult \& non-adult earners | - | 1. | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 15 |
| One male adult, female adult \& non-adult earners . | 1 | 1 | 5 | - 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Two male adults only | 2 | 19 | 123 | 294 | 128 | 51 | 30 | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | 660 |
| Two male adults \& others | - | 10 | 20 | 54 | 28 | 9 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 123 |
| Three or more male adults only | - | 1 | 18 | 77 | 74 | 37 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 1 | - | 239 |
| Three or more male adults \& others | - | 1 | 2 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 45 |
| TOTAL | 206 | 441 | 1332 | 1349 | 588 | 221 | 90 | 29 | 34 | 54 | 3 | 4347 |

TABLE No 6.4.-(Contd.)
Sample families classified according to Total Inoome and Earner Clase.


TABLE No. 6.4.-(Contd.)
Sample families classufied according to Total Income and Earner Class.

adult male earner, though at a generally lower level. Among families with no earners apparently the distribution pattern seems to be a little different but that was mainly because about 10 per cent cases in this class had not given information about income. If the distribution was adjusted for this factor it conformed to the pattern. The pattern of distribution does not change significantly in the intermediate classes of families until we reach families with two adult male earners. In this class a little less than two-thirds of the families were in the 4th and 5th income ranges. Of the remaining, about 36 per cent only, were in higher income ranges. This pattern changed again in families with three adult male earners in which class a little less than two-thirds of the families were still in the 4th and 5th income ranges but 75 per cent of the remaining were in higher income ranges. Increase in family income seemed to result from an increase in the number of adult male earners in the family.
5. Communities and Earner Class :-Table 6.5 gives the data regarding the earner status of families classified by community. In almost all community groups families with one male earner dominated, the percentage of such families in each ranging from 46 to 62 per cent. The only exception were weavers, among whom such families accounted for only 33 per cent but who also had 31 per cent families in the category "one male earner and other earners.". Families with 2 male earners only were to be found in most of the community groups but they formed from 7 to 21 per cent of the total families of different community groups. The highest percentages were found among Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, South Indians and Artisn Group I. Families having 3 male earners only were 7 to 10 per cent of the total among Artisan Group I, Gujarati-MarwadiJains, South Indians, Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, Muhommedans, and Christians. There were no associations to be found between different communities and the earner class of families. The presence of more than one male earner in he family often indicated a joint family. In communities which were mainly engaged in business, like the Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains, a joint family was perhaps favoured by the nature of the family business.

The weavers were a rather special case where usually all the members in a family worked and were earners. Their very large concentrations in the category "one male earner and others" as well as in "two male earners and others" was mainly. due to that peculiarity of their craft. In regard to what may be called the "refugee group" of Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs the most notable fact was the high proportion of families without any earners. This might indicate two quite opposite situations: the absence of an earner arising out of calamity, natural or man-made, leading to poverty and economic
*. TABLE No. 6.5.
Sample families classified by Earner class and Community.
I Group of Wards.


TABLE No. 6.5-(Contd.)
Sample families elassified by Earmer olass and Community.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 品 |  |  | 界 |  | ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 20 | - | 14 | 5 | - | 1 | 227 |
| 38 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 14 | 11 | - | - | 274 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 182 | 197 | 28 | 127 | 45 | 56 | 4 | 206 | 41 | - | 6 | 2349 |
| 63 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | 25 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 399 |
| 2 | 3 | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 15 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 10 |
| 57 | 63 | 4 | 41 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 70 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 660 |
| 21. | 9 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 11 | 6 | - | - | 123 |
| 24 | 19 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 8 | - | 23 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 239 |
| 8 | 4 | $\cdots$ | - | 1 | 2 | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | 45 |
| 404 | 345 | 41 | 195 | 69 | 106 | 5 | 372 | 91 | 8 | 12 | 4347 |

## 4. TABLE No. 6.5-(Contd.)

Sample families classified by Earner class and Community.
Additional Group of Wards.
Earner Class

| No earner | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No male adult but one or more female adult earners | 15 | 2 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| No male adult but one or more non-adult earners |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| No male adult but female \& non-adult earners | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| One male adult earner only | 109 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 22 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 8 |
| One male adult earner \& female adult earner | 16 | - | 1 | - | 9 | 3 | - | 4 | 4 |
| One male adult, non-adult earner | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| One male adult, female adult \& non-adult earners | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Two male adults only | 28 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 9 | - | - | 8 | 6 |
| Two male adults \& others | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Three or more male adults only | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | - | 7 | 2 |
| Three or more male adults \& others | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 192 | 27 | -16 | 7 | 51 | 16 | 4 | 45 | 25 |

## TABLE No．6．5－（Contd．）

Sample families classified by Earner class and Community．

|  |  |  | 荡 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㫛 } \\ & \text { 㤩 } \\ & \text { 最 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ |  | － | 畐 | ＇50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | 14 | － | 2 | 1 | － | 5 | 40 |
| 24 | 2 | $\square$ | － | 4 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 6 | － | 1 | 68 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 5 |
| 144 | 70 | 2 | 28 | 34 | 22 | 7 | 74 | 90 | 1 | 13 | 685 |
| 37 | 7 | － | 1 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 10 | － | 2 | 121 |
| － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 6 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 |
| 31 | 22 | ＊－ | 9 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 22 | 12 | － | 4 | 188 |
| 11 | 2 | － | 1 | 8 | － | 4 | － | 7 | － | 1 | 40 |
| 15 | 4 | － | 6 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 9 | － | 1 | 89 |
| 5 | － | － | － | 1 | － | $\square$ | － | － | － | － | － 11 |
| 274 | 109 | 2. | 47 | 74 | 49 | 35 | 118 | 135 | 1 | 27 | 1254 |

TABLE No. 6.5-(Contd.)
Sample famlies classified by Earner class and Community. All Wards.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Earner Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE Na 6．5－（Contd．）．
Sample families classified by Earner clase and Community．

|  |  |  |  | sus!pur ynos |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { p } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{m}{0}}$ | 䎂 | 哥 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 20 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 34 | － | 16 | 6 | － | 6 | 267 |
| 62 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 17 | － | 1 | 342 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 4 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 11 |
| 326 | 267 | 30 | 155 | 79 | 78 | 11 | 280 | 131 | 1 | 19 | 3034 |
| 100 | 37 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 15 | 29 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 520 |
| 2 | 3 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | 21 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 11 |
| 88 | 75 | 4 | 50 | 29 | 19 | 8 | 92 | 21 | 1 | 7 | 848 |
| 32 | 11 | － | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 13 | － | 1 | 163 |
| 39 | 23 | 2 | 19 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 35 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 328 |
| 13 | 4 | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | 5 | 1 | － | － | 56 |
| 678 | 454 | 43 | 242 | 143 | 155 | 40 | 490 | 226 | 4 | 39 | 5601 |

misery; or it might represent a family with large unearned incomes or amassed wealth, which enabled its members to refrain from working and currently earning something. The cases can be distinguished only by examining whether the said families were economically well or badly off. The percentage of such families was relatively high among the Parsis and Konkanastha and Deshastha Brahmins, communities that were economically relatively better of than others. In the refugee group nearly 70 per cent of the families having only unearned incomes had unearned incomes of between Rs. 1000 and Rs. 7500 annually. This indicated that these families could afford to live without currently earning.
6. Unearned Income and Family Income':-Table 6.6 gives the distribution of families by their total income as well as by the proportion of unearned income in it. More than three-fourths of the total families had no unearned incomes at all. The proportion of families with unearned incomes in an income range increased in the higher income ranges; only in the 3rd income range it was less than in the 1st and 2nd income ranges. In all other ranges there was a continuous increase. This generally indicated the familiar fact that families with higher incomes had also a larger possibility of having unearned incomes, in the form of interest on savings, rents of owned buildings, etc. The proportion of families whose incomes were completely unearned was larger among families in the 1 st income range than in all the other ranges. This was because threefourths of the families in this group in the 1st income range had no earners. The proportion of families with wholly unearned incomes in the different income ranges did not show any systematic variation.
7. Professions and Unearned Income ${ }^{2}$ :-Table 6.7 presents the distribution of families according to the percentage of unearned income in their total incomes and by the occupational grades of their heads. In-the unskilled, skilled and highly skilled manual labour occupations the proportion of families with some unearned income was round about 10-13 per cent. It was higher than this in Grades III and IV. From Grade VI to X there was a continuous increase in the proportion of families with unearned incomes. It was only in Grade XI that such families were in excess of others. This was as it should be in families whose heads were pensioners. In Grade XII there were no families with unearned incomes. Among the educands, 82 per cent had unearned incomes. Among families headed by non-earners 63 per cent had unearned incomes; three-fifth of them had only unearned incomes.

[^50]

TABLE No. 6.6.- (Conta.)
Sample families classified according to Total Income and Percentage of Unearned Income to Total Income.

| 'Total Income | Additional Group of Wards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 哭 | 宕 |
| Upto Rs. 250 | 7 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 61 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 72 |
| Rs. 251-500 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 117 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 137 |
| Rs. 501-1,000 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 373 | - | - | - | - | - | 408 |
| Rs. 1,001-2,000 | 16 | - 3 | 14 | 32 | 320 | - | - | - | - | - | 385 |
| Rs. 2,001-3,500 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 18 | 105 | - | - | 2 | $1{ }^{-}$ | - | 145 |
| Rs. 3.501-5,000 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 33 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 51 |
| Rs. 5,001-7,500 | 1 | - | 4 | 6 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 29 |
| Rs. 7,501-10,000 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Rs. 10,001 \& above | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| Not stated | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| Payment in kind only | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 15 | 53 | 93 | 1040 | - | 2 | 7 | 1 | - | 1254 |

$\subset$ TABLE No．6．6．－（Contd．）
Sample famalies classified according to Total Income and Percentage of Unearned Income to Total Income．

| Total Income | All Wards or on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  ${ }_{0}^{0}{ }^{\circ}$联男告 <br>  |  | 管 | W |
| Upto Rs． 250 | 33 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 230 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 278 |
| Rs．251－500 | 41 | 16 | 20 | 27 | 470 | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | 578 |
| Rs．501－1，000 | 70 | 14 | 36 | 95 | 1522 | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | 1740 |
| Rs．1，001－2，000 | 66 | 32 | 83 | 196 | 1356 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1734 |
| Rs．2，001－3，500 | 35 | 18 | 59 | 121 | 496 | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － | 733 |
| Rs．3，501－5，000 | 21 | － 16 | 30 | 44 | 152 | 8 | － | 1 | － | － | 272 |
| Rs． $5,001-7,500$ | 5 | 6 | 18 | 34 | 60 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 119 |
| Rs．7，501－10，000 | － | 4 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | 37 |
| Rs． 10,001 \＆above | 8 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 20 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 47 |
| Not stated | － | － | － | － | 13 | 2 | 7 | 21 | 4 | 11 | 58 |
| Payment in kind only | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 5 |
| TOTAL | 274 | 119 | 259 | 584 | 4338 | 16 | 8 | 31 | 10 | 12 | 5601 |

+ TABLE No. 6.7.
Sample families classified according to Ocoupational Grade of the Head of the family and Percentage of Unearned Income to Total Income.


## 菀

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oceupational Grade |
| of the Head of |  |
| the family |  |,

$$
\dagger_{\text {TABLE No. 6.7.- }} \text { (Contd.) }
$$

Sample families classified according to Occupational Grade of the Head of the family and Percentage of other Incoms to Total Income.

|  | Occupational Grade of the Head of the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 5 } \end{aligned}$ | T | (Additional Group of Wards.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Unskilled Manual Work - |  | 2 | 6 | 21 | 321 | - | - | - | - | - | 350 |  |
| XIV | Cultivators |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 24 |  |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | - | - | 7 | 13 | 156 | - | - | - | - | - | 176 |  |
| III | Lowest Professions, etc. | c. - | 2 | 3 | 4 | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | 69 |  |
| IV | Small Businesses |  | 5 | 5 | 4 | 53 | - | - | - | - | - | 67 |  |
| V | Highly Skilled \& Supernisory Manual Work - |  | 1 | 6 | 15 | 170 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |  |
| VI | Clerks \& ShopAssistants |  | - | 3 | 4 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 39 |  |
| VII | Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, etc. |  | - | - | 2 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | 47 |  |
| VIII | Medium Businesses | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | 52 |  |
| IX | Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts |  | - | 3 | 2 | 23 | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| X | Owners of factories, Large Shops etc. | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |  |
| XI | Pensioners | 1 | - | 5 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 |  |
| XII | Beggars \& Prostitutes | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| XIII | Unemployed | 6 | - |  | 4 | 33 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |  |  |
|  | Educands | $\cdots$ | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 6 |
|  | Non-earners | 35 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 60 | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | 121 |  |
|  | TOTAL | 43 | 15 | 53 | 93 | 1040 | - | 2 | 7 | 1 | - | 1254 |  |

4．TABLE No．6．7．－（Contd．）
Sample famlles classified according to Occupational Grade of the Head of the famity and Percentage of Unearned Income to＊心 ， Total Income．

|  | Occupational Grade of the Head of the family |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菏 } \\ & \text { 荡 } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 馹 } \\ & \stackrel{5}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | \＃ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (All } \\ \text { Wards.) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Unskilled Manual Work | － | 10 | 23. | 63. | 1027 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1124 |  |
| XIV | Cultivators | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 25 | － | － | － | － | － | 37 |  |
| II | Skilled Manual Work | 1 | 8 | 27 | 63 | 693 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 794 |  |
| III | Lowest Professions，etc． | ． 1 | 9 | 12 | 49 | 353 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 426 |  |
| IV | Small Businesses | 2 | 16 | 33 | 26 | 324 | － | － | － | － | － | 401 |  |
| V | Highly Skilled \＆Super visory Manual Work |  | 5 | 18 | 63 | 590 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 679 |  |
| VI | Clerks \＆Shop－ Assistants | 1 | 3 | 15 | 69 | 357 | － | $=$ | － | － | － | 445 |  |
| VII | Intermediate Profes－ sions，Salaried Posts， etc． | － | 5 | 15 | 46 | 224 | － | － | － | － | － | 290 |  |
| VIII | Medıum Businesses | 1 | 7 | 20 | 31 | 205 | 11 | － | 1 | － | 2 | 278 |  |
| IX | Higher Professions \＆ <br> －Salaried Posts | － | － | 15 | 16 | 76 | － | － | － | － | － | 107 |  |
| X | Owners of factories， Large Shops etc． | 1 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 43 | 5 | － | － | － | － | 82 |  |
| XI | Pensioners | 1 | 18 | 25 | 25 | 61 | － | － | － | 3 | － | 133 |  |
| XII | Beggars \＆Prostitutes | － | － | － | － | 22 | － |  |  |  | 1 | 23 |  |
| XIII | Unemployed | 26 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 120 | － | － | 11 | 1 | 1 | 198 |  |
|  | Educands | 53 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 14 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 73 |  |
|  | Non－earners 1 | 184 | 21 | 30 | 45 | 198 | － | 6 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 511 | －• |
|  | TOTAL 2 | 274 | 119 | 259 | 534 | 4338 | 16 | 8 | 31 | 10 | 12 | 5601 |  |

8. Family Income and Expenditure Liability ${ }^{1}$ :-Family income, is only one of the two determinants of the standard of life; the other is the expenditure of this income on items of consumption. "In order to ascertain the actual relation that obtains in any place between family income and expenditure it would be necessary to conduct a detailed family budget enquiry at the time of collecting information about the total income of families. No family budget enquiry was, however, undertaken by us as part of the survey. We can, therefore, only indicate at this place the relation between income of families in Poona and their estimated expenditure liability. For estimating in a general manner the comparative expenditure liability of various families it is necessary to define a standard unit into which the different types of sex and age units composing various families might be converted. The formation of such a standard unit assumes a certain relation between consumption requirements of different types of age and sex units which is constant from family to family. The calculation of expenditure liability further involves the determination of normal expenditure on various items entering into the standard of living. The approach through expenditure liability determination is necessarily different than that through a family budget enquiry. In theflatter the results and the averages obtained represent actual conditions. In the former the formulation tion is theoretical or speculative. The speculation might no doubt concern itself with the actual but is more usually concerned with what should or might be. Expenditure liability thus tends to be defined in terms of norms of necessary or desirable expenditure. Conversion of various types of units into the standard unit would then be made to depend on their comparative expenditure liability so defined. Actually considerable progress in the determination of norms of expenditure for various types has been made so far only in the sphere of nutrition. Therefore, most measures of conversion into male adult unit-the usual standard measure follow for the larger part norms of expenditure on food. These scales are not based on total expenditure but on food requirements in terms of calories. 'Even this latter is usually based on the idea that the need for food is proportionate to the need for calories; no allowance is made for the fact that calories of a different kind are needed for persons of different age, sex and occupation; the scales apply to food requirements as a whole and not to individual foods. Unsatisfactory, therefore, as this procedure may be, it is at the present time used in practically all family budget inquiries and if it wished to undertake a study of food consumption "at all, this procedure has to be accepted". ${ }^{2}$ In
[^51]India the data available for preparing tables of conversion into standard adult units are scanty. Almost no data are available for items other than food. On the basis of certain nutritional enquiries, Dr. Aykroyd of the Indian Research Fund Association has prepared a table indicating the relation between the needs of food consumption of persans belonging to various age and sex groups. Our data had been tabulated, for other purposes, according to certain age groups and we had to adapt the conversion table to suit that classification. Also some allowance had to made for consumer expenditure other than food. The conversion table adopted by us and the food unit relations given by Dr. Aykroyd are given below :-

| Indian Research Fund Association | Co-efficient | Poona Survey | Co-efficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult Male (Over 14) ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 1.0 | Adult Male (Over 14) | 1.000 |
| Adult Female (Over 14) | 0.8 - | Adult Female (Over 14) | 0.875 |
| Child 12 \& 13 years | 0.8 | Child 5 to 14 years | 0.625 |
| Child 10 \& 11 years | 0.7 | Child below 5 years | 0.375 |
| Child 8 and 9 years | 0.6 |  |  |
| Child 6 and 7 years | 0.5 m |  |  |
| Child 4 and 5 years | 0.4 |  |  |

By using this scale we reduced all families into their equivalent in terms of male adult consumption units and by dividing the total family income by the number of these adult units arrived at the annual income per adult unit of each family. This income per male adult unit of a family may be said to measure or define the possible standard of life of the families in the Poona sample." ${ }^{1}$

We now proceed to define a minimum level of income per adult unit which will enable us to define poverty more precisely. In the light of that we will discuss later the correlation if any, subsisting between income per adult equivalent and other factors such as size of family, earner class, professions, etc.
9. The Poverty Line :-Various problems are involved in a proper definition of the poverty line. These were indicated at appropriate length in the report on the 1937 Survey. Instead of paraphrasing the same, we merely quote the following relevant extract from that report.
"We now make an attempt at the evaluation of the standard of living as revealed by the data or in other words, at the estimation of the adequacy or otherwise of levels of incomes per adult unit. This is the familiar problem of the analysis and measurement of poverty. For poverty is simply a standard of living below a certain

1. Poona, Part I, pp, 292-293.
minimum. Rowntree in his 'Poverty : A Study in Town Life' defines two types of poverty: (i) primary poverty resulting from inadequate income and (ii) secondary poverty arising out of an unwise spending of an adequate income. We are here concerned with primary poverty only.
"Primary poverty is by definition the result of inadequate income and the problem of measuring it is that of measuring the adequacy of income in terms of a standard of living. This problen: is closely allied to that of the determination of expenditure liability referred to above. As in that determination here also the approach is usually through definition and measurement of norms of necessary or desirable expenditure. In so far as it is possible to set these up in objective and scientific terms the standard of living is related to properly defined conditions of well-being. In other respects, ascertained average expenditure of classes or groups reckoned currentlj to be just above poverty might be adopted as standards. The extent to which it is possible, in any circumstances, to attain objective measurement depends on the progress both of applied sciences and of economic investigation. The progress in India in either respect has not been considerable. Therefore, the problem of defining poverty accurately and measuring the adequacy or inadequacy of a given level of income is specially difficult in this country. We shared in this general difficulty as there has yet beef not even an important family budget enquiry completed in Poona. However, one of the few attempts made in this country at evaluating levels of income in terms of a standard of living was that by the Bombay Textile Labour Enquiry Committee (1937-40). This Committee was faced with the problem of defining in income terms the concept of a living wage standard. It attempted to do this along lines, indicated above, which are adopted in other countries. The calculations of the Committee referred to the year 1937-38 and while the conditions in regard to which its measurement was primarily made were those of Bombay City, it made subsidiary calculations for Ahmedabad and Sholapur also. As the year to which the Committee's calculations related was the same as that during which our survey was made and as conditions in Sholapur might be taken to be broadly similar to those in Poona we decided that it would not be inappropriate to use the Sholapur standard of the Committee for our purpose. The Sholapur standard was defined by the Committee at Rs. 42 per month for a family of 3 adult units. This is equivalent in our terms, to an. income per adult unit of Rs. 168 per year. The nearest limit to this - in our classification was Rs. 150 per annum per adult unit and we adopted this limit as indicating those who were above poverty. The standard of the Committee was related to a living wage; those who
did not reach this standard suffered, in light of the generally accepted notions on the subject, from inadequacy of income."1.

For the present survey we had to define the Poverty Line afresh. For facilitating comparison we decided that the minimum necessary defined for such things as food intake, clothes, living area, etc. should be the same as in 1937. The .problem then was to evaluate all these in terms of money by taking into account the variations the price levels of different items in the family budget. In this connection the cost of living was for obvious reasons a good guide. As no cost of living index number was published or computed for Poona this was not directly possible. In defining the Poverty Line in 1937 we had taken the calculations made for Sholapur as broadly applicable to Poona and had put the Poverty Line a little lower than that indicated for Sholapur because that was the nearest class of income per adult unit in our classification. In order to define a comparable Poverty Line for 1954 we had to take into account the variations in the cost of living index in Sholapur. That index had increased from 73 in 1937 to 294 in 1954 with $1927-28=100$ which indicated a fourfold increase. We, however, wanted an independent check to confidently put the Poverty Line in Poona in 1954 at Rs. 600 or four times that of 1937. Fortunately this was available. The Bombay Labour Gazette published retail prices of most of the food items included in the Sholapur index for each month in Sholapur as well as in Poona. By taking these price quotations for Poona in 1936-37 and 1953-54 and by applying the same weights as in Sholapur to them, we tried to find out by how much had the cost of food items increased between the two surveys. The calculations are reproduced in a truncated form in Table 6.8. The average shows that the over-all cost had increased by more than 4 times, so that the indication given by the Sholapur cost of living index number could be considered a reliable one. Considering that we have to take into account other items besides food which may not have increased, even in the Sholapur index, as much as the food items, we put the Poverty Line at 4 times the 1937 level or at an income of Rs. 600 per adult unit. If we apply this definition we find that nearly 76 per cent of the sample families were below the Poverty Line. In the 1937 Survey it was found that 65 per cent of the, sample families were below the Poverty Line, In the comparable area in 1954, 76 per cent of the families in the sample were living in poverty revealing an increase of about 16 per cent in the prevalence of poverty between the two surveys.

We must of course make some allowance in the above in regard to those who have not to pay rent because they enjoy a conces-
sion in this respect, or who are houseowners as well as for those who get free meals as a part of their remuneration. Obviously these allownces will make a difference as to .whether a particular family is below or above the Poverty Line, in the group of income per adult unit just below this line. Of course this will make no difference to the above conclusion regarding the increase in the prevalance of poverty between the two surveys because there is no reason to believe that these allowances to be made could have beer. greater in 1954 than in 1937.

The increase in the prevalence of poverty indicated by the above figures and comparisons may lead one to feel that perhaps the Poverty Line has been pitched a little too high. To guard against any exaggeration of the prevalence of poverty on our part we indicate the possible range of variation in the prevalence of poverty if the Poverty Line is revised downwards, so that the proper perspective may be imparted to the whole discussion. In the light of price and cost of living indices in Western India the Poverty Line cannot be put at anything less than three times the 1937 level i.e. below Rs. 450. It might be felt that it should be put at three-and-a-half times instead of at four-times the 1937-level. i.e. at Rs. 525. As our classification does not provide such a division at Rs. $\overline{5} 25$, all that we can do is to indicate the possible range within which the prevalence of poverty will vary if the line is put at Rs. 525. Table 6.9 will show that if the Poverty Line is put at Rs. 450, 63 per cent of the families in the sample will be below it. This percentage is the same for the first and the additional group of wards. In the comparable area then the prevalence of poverty in 1954 was a little less than what it was in 1937. If it is put at Rs. 600 or four-times that of 1937, 76 per cent of the families in the sample were found to be below it. The percentages are again the same in the first and the additional group of wards. If therefore the poverty line is put at Rs. 525 i.e. at three-and-a-half-times that of 1937, the prevalence of poverty will be greater than 63 per cent and less than 76 per cent. If we assume a uniform distribution of income per adult unit in this range, around 70 per cent of the sample families will be below the Poverty Line. In any case it is clear that the prevalence will be greater in 1954 than in 1937 in the comparable area. The conclusion that poverty has increased in Poona between the two surveys is inescapable.

Keeping in mind the fact that the bulk of our people are poor we defined in the 1937 Survey, a specially low level below the Poverty Line at an income per adult unit of Rs. 60, which we called the Destitution Line. By the same logic as we applied to the Poverty Line we should put the Destitution Line in 1954 at four times the 1937

* TABLE No. 6.8.

Retail food Prices in Poona City ${ }^{1}$ in 1936-37 and in 1953-54 and Index Number of Cost of Food for 1953-54 with 1936-37 as base.

| Commodit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { lity } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { April } \\ \text { 1936 } \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 1986 \\ \text { Ras.a.p. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } \\ 1936 \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { July } \\ 1936 \\ \text { Rsan } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & \text { 1936 } \\ & \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. } \\ \text { 1936. } \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rice | Maund | 4-6-2 | 4-13-11 | 4-13-11 | 5-0-3 | 5-2-9 | -10-11 |
| Wheat | " | 4-5-2 | 4- ธ-2 | 4-5-2 | 4-13-0 | 6-1-3 | 5. 6-1 |
| Jowar | " | 2: 7-6 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2-7-6 | 2-9-10 | 2-13-10 | 3-3-6 | 3-9-8 |
| Gram |  | ${ }^{3} 3$ - 5-11 | 3- 5-11 | 3-5-11 | 3-5. 3 | 3-8-5 | 3-10-2 |
| Tur dal | " | 4-13-0 | 4-9-2 | 4-13-0 | 5-9-2 | 5-7-4 | 6-0-1 |
| Sugar | " | 10-10-8 | 10-10-8 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0.0 |
| Gur | " | 4-7-1 | 4-0-0 | 4-3-4 | 4-9-2 | 5. 0-0 | 5-0.0 |
| Tea | Lb. | 0-13-0 | 0-13-0 | 0-13-0 | 0-10-5 | 0-10- 5 | 0-10- 5 |
| Beef | Seer | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-5-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0. 5. 0 |
| Mutton | " | 0-8-6 | 0-8-6 | 0-8-0 | 0-7-8 | 0-7-6 | 0-6-6 |
| Milk | Maund | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 |
| Ghee | " | 42-10-8 | 45-11-5 | 45-11-5 | 43-13-4 | 49-3-8 | 45-11- |
| Salt | " | 2-11-10 | 2-11-10 | 2-14-9 | 3- 3- 0 | 3-9-3 | 3-10- |
| Onions | " | 1-2-3 | 1- 2-10 | 1-4-0 | 1-3-1 | 1- 4-0 | 1-0- |
| Potatoes |  | 2-8-0 | 2-10-0 | 2-8-0 | 2-15-5 | 3-5-4 | 2-10-8 |
| Oil | " | 16. 0-0 | 16-0-0 | 16-0-0 | 16-0-0 | 17-4-9 | 17-12- |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Price | April | May | June | July | August | Sept. |
| Commodity | per | 1953 | 1953 | 1953 | 1953 | 1953 | 1953 |
|  |  | Rs a.p. | Rs a.p. | Rs.a.p. | Rs.a.p. | Rs.a.p. | Rs.a.p. |


| Rice | Maund | $30-7-6$ | $30-7-6$ | $30-7-6$ | $30-7-6$ | $30-7-6$ | $30-7-6$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wheat | $"$ | $20-0-0$ | $20-0-0$ | $20-0-0$ | $20-0-0$ | $20-0-0$ | $20-0-0$ |
| Jowari | $"$ | $13-5-0$ | $13-5-0$ | $13-5-0$ | $13-5-0$ | $13-5-0$ | $13-5-0$ |
| Gram | $"$ | $20-0-0$ | $21-0-0$ | $21-0-0$ | $25-0-0$ | $25-0-0$ | $21-8-0$ |
| Turdal | $"$ | $25-0-0$ | $24-0-0$ | $24-0-0$ | $27-0-0$ | $28-0-0$ | $24-0-0$ |
| Sugar | $"$ | $35-9-0$ | $33-8-0$ | $33-8-0$ | $35-0-0$ | $35-0-0$ | $35-0-0$ |
| Gur | $"$ | $20-0-0$ | $23-0-0$ | $23-0-0$ | $26-0-0$ | $28-0-0$ | $25-0-0$ |
| Tea | Lb. | $2-8-0$ | $2-8-0$ | $2-6-11$ | $2-6-11$ | $2-10-9$ | $2-10-0$ |
| Beef | Seer | $1-12-0$ | $1-12-0$ | $1-12-0$ | $1-12-0$ | $1-12-0$ | $1-12-0$ |
| Mutton | $"$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ |
| Mulk | Maund | $30-0-0$ | $35.0-0$ | $35-0-0$ | $30-0-0$ | $30-0-0$ | $30-0-0$ |
| Ghee | $"$ | $213-5-0$ | $240-0-0$ | $240-0-0$ | $200-0-0$ | $200-0-0$ | $200-0-0$ |
| Salt | $"$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ | $2-0-0$ |
| Onions | $"$ | $7-8-0$ | $6-4-0$ | $12-8-0$ | $12-8-0$ | $12-0-0$ | $12-0-0$ |
| Potatoes | $"$ | $12-8-0$ | $17-8-0$ | $20-0-0$ | $23-0-0$ | $23-0-0$ | $23-0-0$ |
| Oil | $"$ | $80-0-0$ | $82-0-0$ | $82-0-0$ | $82-0-0$ | $82-0-0$ | $86-0-0$ |

1. Source Bombay LabourGazette.

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Octo. } \\ \text { 1936 } \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Nov. } \\ \text { 1936 } \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{array}$ | Dec. 1936 Rs.8.p. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { January } \\ 1937 \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { February } \\ 1937 \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{gathered}$ | March 1937 <br> Rs.a.p. | Average price for the year 1936-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-5. 4 | 5-5-4 | 5-5-4. | 5-5-4 | 5. 2-9 | 5-2-9 | 5. 2.3 |
| 5-6. 1 | 5-6-1 | 5-6-1 | 5-2-7 | 5-14-3 | 6-1-6 | 5. 2.0 |
| 3-9-8 | 3-14-8 | 3-9-8 | 3-12-1 | 3-12-1 | 3-12-1 | 3. 4.10 |
| 3-9-8 | 3-9-8 | 3-9-8 | 8-12-2 | 3-12-2 | 3-14-11 | 3. 9. 2 |
| 5-10-2 | 5-10-2 | 5-10-2 | 5-10-2 | 5-10-2 | 5-13. 1 | 5. 6. 3 |
| 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 8-10-5 | 8-6-9 | 9.13-11 |
| 5-0. 0 | 5-0-0 | 4-7. 1 | 4-7-1 | 4-1. 8 | 4. 0-0 | 4. 5. 7 |
| 0-10-5 | 0-10-5 | 0-10-5 | 0-10-5 | 0-10-5 | 0-10-5 | a.11. 1 |
| a. 5-0 | 0.6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0-6-0 | 0.6-0 | 0.5. 9 |
| 0-6-6 | 0. 7-6 | 0-7-6 | 0-7-6 | 0-7-6 | 0. 7-6 | 0. 7. 7 |
| 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0-0 | 10-0.0 | 10-0-0 |
| 42-10-8 | 42-10-8 | 41-0.5 | 42-10-8 | 42-10-8 | 42-10-8 | 43.14.11 |
| 8-10-5 | 8-9-3 | 3-5-11 | 3-2-1 | 3-2-1 | 3-0.4 | 9. 3.4 |
| 1.0-0 | 1-2-5 | 1-4-0 | 1-4-0 | 1-9-7 | 1-1-4 | 1. 3. 2 |
| 2-12-2 | 2-15-5 | 3-3-2 | 3-7-8 | 3-7-8 | 3-1-3 | 2-15. 5 |
| 17-12. 5 | 17-12-5 | 17-12- 5 | 18-13-2 | 17-12-5 | 17-12- | 17-3-8 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ \text { 1953 } \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ 1953 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ Rs.a.p. | Dec. 1953 Rs.a.p. | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 1954 \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { February } \\ \text { 1954. } \\ \text { Rs.a.p. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 1054 \end{gathered}$ Rs.a.p. | Average price for the year 1953-54 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.0-0 | 20. $0-0$ | 20-0-0 | 20-0.0 | 20-0-0 | 20-0-0 | 20-0.0 | 312 |
| 13-5-0 | 13-5. 0 | 13-5-0 | 13-5-0 | 13-5-0 | 13-5. 0 | 13-5. 0 | 407 |
| 24.0.0 | 24. 0-0 | 26-0-0 | 25-0.0 | 19-0.0 | 19-0-0 | 22-8-8 | 843 |
| 24-0.0 | 24-0-0 | 30-0-0 | 30-0-0 | 21-0.0 | 21-0.0 | 25-2. 8 | 46 |
| 35.00 | 35-0-0 | 36-0-0 | 34-0-0 | 34-8-0 | 35-12-0 | 34.12. 8 | 35 |
| 25-0.0 | 25-0-0 | 21-0.0 | 22-0-0 | 24-0-0 | 24-0.0 | 23-13. 4 | 48 |
| 2-10-9 | 2-10-9 | 2-10-9 | 2-2-0 | 2-10-9 | 2-11-9 | 2. 8.11 | 40 |
| 1-12-0 | 1-12-0 | 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 1.13. 4 | 50 |
| 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2. 0. 0 | 42 |
| 30-0-0 | 30-0-0 | 30-0-0 | 30-0-0 | 30-0.0 | 30-0-0 | 30.13. 4 | 30 |
| 200. 0- 0 | 200-0.0 | 200-0-0 | 200-0.0 | 200-0-0 | 200-0-0 | 207-12-4 | 356 |
| 2.0-0 | 2-0-0 | 2. 0-0 | 1-12-0 | 1-8-0 | 1-8-0 | 1.14. 4 | 59 |
| 15-0.0 | 12-0.0 | 10.0 - 0 | 12-8-0 | 5- 0-0 | 5- 0-0 | 10.3. 0 | 85 |
| 15.0.0 | 15-0-0 | 15-0. 0 | 15-0.0 | 12-8-0 | 10-0-0 | 16.12. 8 | 57 |
| 80-0.0 | 76-0.0 | 80-0-0 | 85-0-0 | 80-0-0 | 80-0 0 | 81. 4. 0 | 47 |

INDEX NUMBER OF COST OF FOOD FOR 1953-54-438. *

* In calculating the combined food index number weighta of Sholapur City

Working Class Cost of Living Index were used.
level i.e. Rs. 240. However, our nearest income per adult unit class was Rs. $150-225$. This is 3.75 times the $1937-\mathrm{level}$. If we put the Destitution Line there we find that 25 per cent of the sample families were below this level in 1954 both in the first and the additional group of wards as compared to 15 per cent in 1937 in the first group of wards.

As the next lower class of income per adult unit to the class immediately bordering on the Destitution Line, in our classifition is Rs. 91-150 the range of variation of the prevalence of destitution by a downward revision of the Destitution Line would be rather too broad. It can be, however, surmised that even if the line is put, as in the case of the Poverty Line, at 3.5 times the 1937-level, the proportion of families living below that line could not be less than 15 per cent i.e. the proportion living below that line in 1937. As in the case of poverty, the prevalence of destitution had also increased between the two surveys.
10. Income per adult unit and professions :-TTable 6.9 presents the distribution of sample families classified by their occupational grades and income per adult equivalent in them. The table has to be analysed with reference to the Poverty Line that has been defined earlier. The proportion of families below the Poverty Line was progressively smaller in every higher grade in the first 7 grades. In Grade VIII it was higher than in the previous grade. A part of this higher proportion might be unreal because this grade contained families in "medium businesses" whose incomes were, as remarked already, more liable to be understated. The percentage of families below the Poverty Line suddenly dropped to below 5 per cent in Grades IX and X which was what might be expected. It is interesting to note that more than half the families whose heads were pensioners were above the Poverty Line and that among the unemployed about a quarter were also above it. Among families with educands as heads only 36 per cent lived in poverty.
11. Income per adult unit and Earner Class:-Table 6.10 gives the distribution of sample families by their earner class and income per adult unit. If we examine the table to find out how far the incidence of poverty and destitution varied with the earner class we come up with two rather interesting features. The incidences of poverty and destitution were the lowest among families with no earners. Secondly, among the rest, the incidence was lowest among families with one adult male earner only. Families with no earners must, therefore, be enjoying unearned incomes which were sufficient in 48 and 86 per cent cases to keep them above the Poverty and Destitution Lines respectively. In fact a family
x TABLE No. 6.9.
I Group of Wards
Sample families classified according to Income per Adult Unit and Ocoupational Grade.


```
FTABLE No. 6.9.-(Contd.)

Sample families classified according to Income per Adult Unit and Occupational Grade.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & ccupational Grade of the Head of the Family & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& \text { Upto } \\
& 90
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
91 \\
-150
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
\substack{\mathbf{R s} . \\
\hline 251}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
226 \\
-300
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
351 \\
-450
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs } \\
451 \\
-600
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
601 \\
-800
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
801 \\
-1000
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
1001 \\
-1500
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1501 \\
& \text { above }
\end{aligned}
\] & Not stated & Total \\
\hline 1 & Unskilled Manual Work & 16 & 28 & 77 & 66 & 94 & 38 & 13 & 13 & 5 & - & - & 350 \\
\hline XIV & Cultivators & 7 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 2 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 24 \\
\hline II & Skilled Manual Work & 9 & 11 & 33 & 43 & 39 & 22 & 10 & 5 & 4 & - & - & 176 \\
\hline III & Lowest Professions, Administrative Posts Primary Teachers, etc. & 2 & 7 & 15 & 11 & 17 & 8 & 5 & 2 & 2 & - & - & 69 \\
\hline IV & Small Businesses & 3 & 11 & 15 & 10 & 14 & 8 & 1 & 4 & - & 1 & - & 67 \\
\hline V & Highly Skilled \& Supersory Manual Work & 3 & 6 & 19 & 31. & 66 & 34 & 22 & 6 & 3 & 3 & - & 193 \\
\hline VI & Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & - & - & 4 & 4 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 3 & 2 & 3 & - & 39 \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & - & \(\cdots\) & 1 & - & 7 & 10 & 7 & 4 & 9 & 9 & - & 47 \\
\hline VIII & Medrum Businesses & - & - & 1 & 3 & 11 & 13 & 6 & 11 & 5 & 2 & - & 52 \\
\hline IX & Higher Professions, \& Salaried Posts & - & - & - & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 8 & 8 & - & 28 \\
\hline X & Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2. & 2 & - 3 & 10 & - & 17 \\
\hline XI & Pensioners & 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & - & 2 & 2 & - & 17 \\
\hline XII & Beggars \& Prostitutes & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{XIII} & Unemployed & 2 & 11 & 10 & 7 & 5 & 6 & 3 & - & - & - & 3 & 47 \\
\hline & Educands . & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 6 \\
\hline & Non-earners & 8 & 14 & 21 & 14 & 20 & 16 & 7 & 5 & 10 & 4 & 2 & 121 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{TOTAL} & 53 & 86 & 204 & 194 & 287 & 168 & 91 & 58 & 54 & 43 & 6 & 1254 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & cupational Grade of the lead of the Family & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& \text { Upto } \\
& \hline 90
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{Rs} \\
91 \\
-150
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rg. } \\
\substack{151 \\
-225}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} .} \\
226 \\
-300
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
351 \\
-450
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
451 \\
-600
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rg. } \\
601 \\
601 \\
-800
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rg. } \\
801 \\
-1000
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
1001 \\
-1500
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1501 \\
& \text { above }
\end{aligned}
\] & Not stated & Total \\
\hline 1 & Unskilled Manual Work & 46 & 126 & 248 & 234 & 266 & 111 & 42 & 39 & 8 & - & 4 & 1124 \\
\hline XIV & Cultivators & 8 & 7 & 5 & B & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & - & 87 \\
\hline II & Skilled Manual Work & 28 & 65 & 167 & 172 & 173 & 96 & 55 & 24 & 15 & 2 & 2 & 794 \\
\hline III & Lowest Professions, Administrative Posts & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Primary Teachers, etc. & 4 & 21 & 72 & 101 & 119 & 59 & 23 & 16 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 426 \\
\hline IV & Small Businesses & 15 & 48 & 94 & 75 & 82 & 43 & 14 & 14 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 401 \\
\hline V & Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work & 7 & 30 & 80 & 126 & 198 & 113 & 65 & 35 & 15 & 9 & 1 & 879 \\
\hline VI & Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 8 & 6 & 23 & 40 & 114 & 86 & 96 & 42 & 27 & 8 & - & 445 \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & - & 1 & 3 & 13 & 51 & 58 & 52 & 88 & 41 & 33 & - & 290 \\
\hline VIII & Medium Businessea & - & 3 & 11 & 24 & 60 & 58 & 45 & 32 & 24 & 16 & 5 & 278 \\
\hline IX & Higher Professions, \& Salaried Posts & - & - & - & 2 & 3 & 7 & 16 & 12 & 32 & 35 & - & 107 \\
\hline X & Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & - & - & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 10 & 9 & 24 & 34 & - & 82 \\
\hline XI & Pensioners & 4 & 10 & 8 & 10 & 29 & 14 & 16 & 12 & 14 & 15 & 1 & 133 \\
\hline XII & Beggars \& Prostitutes & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & 2 & - & 3 & 23 \\
\hline XIII & Unemployed & 16 & 35 & 47 & 27 & 28 & 13 & 10 & 2 & 4 & - & 16 & 198 \\
\hline & Educands & 2 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 10 & 13 & 7 & 13 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 73 \\
\hline & Non-earners & 21 & 43 & 81 & 79 & 93 & 54 & 42 & 25 & 28 & 15 & 30 & 511 \\
\hline & TOTAL & 150 & 402 & 853 & 916 & 1242 & 730 & 496 & 314 & 253 & 177 & 68 & 5601 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\dagger\) TABLE No. 6.10.
Distribution of sample families by Earner Class and Income per adult unit. I Group of Wards
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Earner Class & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
\text { Upto } \\
90
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& .91- \\
& 150
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
151 . \\
\mathbf{2 2 5}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& 226- \\
& 300
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
301 \\
-450
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
451 \\
\mathbf{4 5 0}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
601 \\
-800
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs. } \\
801 \\
\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs.} \\
\mathbf{1 , 0 0 1} \\
\mathbf{1 , 5 0 0}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
\text { \& above }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Not } \\
\text { stated }
\end{gathered}
\] & Total \\
\hline No Earner & 2 & 6 & 21 & 23 & 36 & 34 & 25 & 27 & 16 & 10 & 27 & 227 \\
\hline No male adult but one or more female adult earners & 28 & 46 & 56 & 43 & 37 & 18 & 11 & 11 & 8 & 10 & 6 & 274 \\
\hline No male adult but one or more non-adult earners & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & . - & - & - \\
\hline No male adult but female \& non-adult earnerz & - & 2 & 1 & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & 6 \\
\hline One male adult earner only & 33 & 152 & 313 & 374 & 506 & 338 & 246 & 147 & 129 & 88 & 23 & 2349 \\
\hline One male adult earner \& female adult earner & 13 & 41 & 85 & 77 & 75 & 37 & 35 & 18 & 11 & 7 & - & 399 \\
\hline One male adult \& non-adult earner & & 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 2 & - & - & - & \(\rightarrow\) & 1 & 15 \\
\hline One male aduit, female adult \& non-adult earner & - & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 10 \\
\hline Two male adults only & 11 & 35 & 106 & 112 & 166 & 90 & 59 & 36 & 28 & 13 & 4 & 660 \\
\hline Two male aduuts \& others & 6 & 9 & 22 & 23 & 35 & 14 & 10 & 4 & - & - & - & 123 \\
\hline Three or more male adults only & 3 & 11 & 30 & 51 & 77 & 28 & 17 & 11 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 239 \\
\hline Three or more male adults \& others & 1 & 1 & 10 & 14 & 12 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & - & - & 45 \\
\hline TOTAL & 97 & 306 & 649 & 722 & 955 & 562 & 405 & 256 & 199 & 134 & 62 & 4347 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Distribution of sample families by Earner Class and Inoome par adult unit.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Earner Class & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& \text { Upto } \\
& 90
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
91 \\
150
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& { }_{151} \\
& 225
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& 226- \\
& 300
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { R. } \\
301 \\
-450
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rg} . \\
\hline \mathbf{4 5 1} \\
-600
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rg} . \\
601 \\
-800
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
801 \\
\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} .}^{1,001} \\
1,500
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs.} \\
\text { R,501 } \\
\text { \& above }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\underset{\text { stated }}{\text { Not }}
\] & Total \\
\hline No Earner & 1 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 10 & 2 & 8 & 40 \\
\hline No male adult but one or more female adult earners & 15 & 15 & 7 & 6 & 10 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 & - & 68 \\
\hline No male adult but one or more non-adult earners & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(-\) & - & - & - \\
\hline No male adult but female \& non-aduit earners & 1 & - & 4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 5 \\
\hline One male adult earner only & 21 & 34 & 89 & 110 & 176 & 101 & 58 & 39 & 27 & 28 & 2 & 685 \\
\hline One male adult earner \& female adult earner & 5 & 11 & 34 & 22 & 22 & 10 & 10 & 2 & 8 & 1 & 1 & 121 \\
\hline One male adult \& non-adult earner & - & 2 & 3 & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 6 \\
\hline One male adult, female adult \& non-adult earner & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 \\
\hline Two male adults only & 7 & 15 & 29 & 27 & 48 & 32 & 11 & 4 & 8 & 7 & - & 188 \\
\hline Two male adults \& others & 1 & 8 & 11 & 9 & 4 & 4 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 40 \\
\hline Three or more male adults only & 1 & 5 & 21 & 13 & 20 & 14 & 5 & 8 & 1 & 1 & - & 89 \\
\hline Three or more male adults \& others & 1 & 2 & - & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 一 & - & - & - & 11 \\
\hline TOTAL & 53 & 96 & 204 & 194 & 287 & 168 & 91 & 58 & 54 & 43 & 6 & 1254 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2 TABLE No. 6.10.-(Contd.)
All Wards.
Distribution of sample families by Earner Class and Income per adulit unit.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Earner Class & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
\text { Up.t. } \\
\hline 90
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rg. } \\
& \mathbf{9 1 -} \\
& \mathbf{1 5 0}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& 151- \\
& 225
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& 226 . \\
& 300
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
301 \\
-450
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
451 \\
-600
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
601 \\
-800
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
801 \\
\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
\mathbf{1 , 0 0 1}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
\text { 1,501 } \\
\text { \& above }
\end{gathered}
\] & Not stated & Total \\
\hline No Earner & 3 & 2 & 27 & 26 & 41 & 35 & 28 & 30 & 26 & 12 & 30 & 267 \\
\hline No male adult but one or more female adult earners & \(43^{\circ}\) & 61 & 63 & 49 & 47 & 22 & 13 & 13 & 12 & 13 & 6 & 342 \\
\hline No male adult but one or more non-adult earners & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline No male adult but female \& non-adult' earners & 1 & 2 & 5 & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & 11 \\
\hline One male adult earner only & 54 & 186 & 402 & 484 & 682 & 439 & 304 & 186 & 156 & 116 & 25 & 3034 \\
\hline One male adult earner \& female adult earner & 18 & 52 & 119 & 99 & 97 & 47 & 45 & 20 & 14 & 8 & 1 & 520 \\
\hline One male adult \& non-adult earne & er - & 3 & 6 & 3 & 5 & 3 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 21 \\
\hline One male adult, female adult* \& non-adult earner & - & 3 & 2 & 2 & 4 & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & 11 \\
\hline Two male adults only & 18 & 50 & 135 & 139 & 214 & 122 & 70 & 40 & 36 & 20 & 4 & 848 \\
\hline Two male adults \& others & 7 & 17 & 33 & 32 & 39 & 18 & 11 & 4 & 1 & 1 & - & 163 \\
\hline Three or more male adults only & 4 & 16 & 57 & 64 & 97 & 42 - & 22. & 19 & 6 & 6 & 1 & 328 \\
\hline Three or more male adults \& others & 2 & 3 & 10 & 18 & 14 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 & - & - & 56 \\
\hline TOTAL & 150 & 402 & 853 & 916 & 1242 & 730 & 496 & 314 & 253 & 177 & 68 & 5601 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CHANGING PATTERN
with no earner could not expect to survive without sufficient unearned income unless it could live on charity. Directing attention to families with adult male earners it seems that more than one adult male earner in the family did not add much to income per adult unit. This was clearly shown "by the fact that the incidences of poverty and destitution were greater in all such cases than in families with one adult male earner only. It is notable that the incidences of poverty and destitution were higher in families with three adult male earners than in families .with two adult male earners Generally the incidences of poverty and destitution were greater among families with one, two or three adult male earners and other adult male earners than in families with only two adult male earners. It is, therefore, clear that wherever there were earners other than the adult male earners that in itself reflected the poverty of the family. The other earners would not be earners but for the fact that the main adult male earner or earners did not earn enough.
12. Income per adult unit and size of family :-Table 6.11 presents the distribution of families by their size classified according to the income per adult unit. The most prominent thing. brought out by the table is that the incidence of poverty varied directly with the size of the family. The proportion of families below the Poverty Line was lowest among uni-member families ( 55.6 per cent) and it increased progressively to \(88-89\) per cent among families of 8,9 and 10 members. Among families with more than 9 members the proportion was a little lower at around 83 per cent. The size of family did, therefore, play a decisive role in determining the prevalence of poverty.

In respect of the prevalence of destitution also the size of the family was a significant factor. The proportion of families below the Destitution Line increased continuously from around 15-16 per cent in 1 and 2 member families to around 35-36 per cent in 7 and 8 member families. In families with mote than 8 members as a whole the proportion was 28 per cent, lower than that in the 7 and 8 member families.
13. Communities and the incidence of Poverty:-Table 6.12 presents the income per adult equivalent of families classified by communities. The percentage of families in each community who were below the Poverty Line can be seen from the table. The incidence of poverty among the Vaddars was the greatest of all. Almost all the Vaddar families lived in poverty. The Depressed classes had only a slightly higher incidence than the Marathas. If we ignore the Vaddars, communities in which between 83 and 93 per cent of the sample families lived below the Poverty Line were Artisan

F TABLE No. 6.11
Sample families classified according to size and Income per Adult Unit.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Members in the family & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
\text { Upto }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs: } \\
& 91- \\
& 150
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& \text { 151- } \\
& 225
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
226 \\
-300
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs. } \\
301- \\
\mathbf{4 . 5 0}
\end{array}
\] & Incom Rs. 451600 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { per Ac } \\
\text { Rs. } \\
601- \\
800
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ult Unit. } \\
\text { Rs. } \\
801- \\
1,000
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& \mathbf{1 , 0 0 1 -} \\
& 1,500
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1501 \\
\& \text { above }
\end{gathered}
\] & Not stated & Total & I Group: of Wards \\
\hline 1 & 8 & 34 & 42 & 48 & 55 & 96 & 53 & 63 & 48 & 88 & 21 & 506 & \\
\hline 2 & 6 & 21. & 55 & 48 & 108 & * 110 & 73 & 47 & 33 & 28 & 12 & 541 & \\
\hline 3 & 10 & 39 & 80 & 80 & 178 & 77 & 65 & 33 & 25 & 23 & 6 & 616 & \\
\hline 4 & 16 & 42 & 93 & 127 & 169 & 77 & 57 & 41 & 27 & 13 & 2 & 664 & \\
\hline 5 & 16 & 51 & 100 & 144 & \(13{ }^{\circ}\) & 69 & 54 & 24 & 23 & -9 & 10 & 684- & \\
\hline 6 & 14 & 49 & 94 & 104 & 106 & 44 & 32 & 20 & 19 & 7 & 4 & 493 & \\
\hline 7 & 15 & 21 & 78 & 57 & 74 & 36 & - 29 & 11 & 16 & 3 & 3 & 343 & \\
\hline 8 & 4 & 23 & 47 & 39 & 50 & 24 & 15 & 3 & 1 & 5 & - & 211 & \\
\hline 9 & 5 & 12 & 22 & 27 & 26 & 7 & 9 & 3 & - & 3 & 2 & 116 & \\
\hline 10 & 1 & 7 & 12 & 15 & 14 & 9 & . 9 & 6 & 2 & - & 1 & 76 & \\
\hline 11 \& more & 2 & 7 & 26 & 33 & 41 & 13 & 9 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 147 & \\
\hline TOTAL & 97 & 306 & 649 & 722 & 955 & 562 & 405 & 256 & 199 & 134 & 62 & 4347 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- TABLE No. 6.11-(Contd.)

Sample families classified according to eize and Income per Adult Unit,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Members in the family} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{R s p s}_{\mathbf{9 0}} \\
\mathbf{U p t o}
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
91 \\
-150
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rs. } \\
151 \\
-225
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs}_{8} \\
226 \\
-300
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Rs} . \\
301 \\
-450
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Income per Adult Unit.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
1501 \\
\&
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Not stated} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & & & & & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs. } \\
451 \\
600
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rs. } \\
& 601- \\
& 800
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rs. } \\
\text { 801- } \\
\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}
\end{array}
\] & \[
\underset{\substack{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}} \\ 1,001-\\ 1,500}}{ }
\] & & & Total & Additional Group of Wards \\
\hline 1 & 8 & 12 & 6 & 10 & 19 & 15 & 12 & 20 & 16 & 8 & 1 & 122 & \\
\hline 2 & 2 & 9 & 13 & 18 & 27 & 43 & 13 & 7 & 8 & 10 & 3 & 153 & \\
\hline 3 & 10 & 8 & 22 & 25 & 58 & 12 & 22 & 14. & 5 & 6 & 1 & 183 & \\
\hline 4 & 8 & 14 & 24 & 28 & 58 & 24 & 15 & 2 & 9 & 9 & - & 191 & \\
\hline 5 & . 8 & 17 & 26 & 34 & 41 & 21 & 12 & 4 & 6 & 4 & - & 173 & \\
\hline 6. & 7 & 10 & 38 & 32 & 24 & 24 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 1 & - & 150 & \\
\hline 7 & - 8 & 9 & 32 & 11 & 18 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 97 & \\
\hline 8 & 2 & 5 & 18 & 8 & 21 & . 10 & 8 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 70 & \\
\hline 9 & 3 & 4 & 10 & 12 & 9 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 44 & \\
\hline 10 & - & 4 & 9 & 6 & 8 & 1 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 27 & \\
\hline 11 \& more & 2 & 4 & 6 & 10 & 9 & 7 & 1 & 8 & 1 & 1 & - & 44 & \\
\hline TOTAL & 53 & 96 & 204 & 194 & 287 & 168 & 91 & 58 & 54 & 43 & 6 & 1254 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 6.11-(Contd.)
Sampla families classified according to size and Income per Adult Unit.

groups I and II, Depressed classes, Marathas, Dhangar-Gaolis, Malis, Weavers and Muhommedans. The Christians, South Indians and Lingayats made a cluster in which the incidence of poverty was between 68 and 74 per cent. Next to them come Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs and Deshastha Brahmins with about 62 per cent families below the Poverty Line. Among Gujarati-Marwadi- Jains and Konkanastha Brahmins between 47 and 52 per cent families lived in poverty. Among Parsis alone 70 per cent of the families were above the Poverty Line.

TABLE No. 6.12.
Distribution of sample families according to Community and Income per adult Unit.



\section*{CHAPTER VII}

\section*{TIME PERSPECTIVES ON ECONOMIC LIFE IN POONA}

\section*{I. Occupational Mobility In Poona City Between Three \\ Generations.*}

In our questionnaire besides other things, information was gathered about the present occupation of the head of the family, and the occupations and places of residence of his father and grandfather. Information as to whether the family was a resident of Poona for generations and if not, whether the family had permanently or temporarily migrated to Poona and the duration of their stay there was also gathered for each family. In the case of the latter two the place from which the family had migrated to Poona was also noted. In the case of families with female heads, precaution was taken to note the occupation and place of residence of her husband, her father-in-law and grand-father-in-law to ensure uniformity of data. The information however was not complete in all cases finally included in our general sample and cases with incomplete information had to be ignored in the following analysis which deals with 4505 families in the sample. The cases of incontplete information were not concentrated in any particular group or were not characterised by any significant similarity of features. We can therefore treat the analysis of 4505 families as representative. The data collected by us in regard to these families provide a comparative picture of occupational distributions of three generations and these we now proceed to analyse for gauging the state and pattern of occupational mobility between three generations in Poona City.

For an analysis of social and occupational mobility a good social and occupational classification matrix of reference with which to compare changes is necessary. This matrix must be fairly stable over generations, if inter-generation mobilities are to be considered and it must not be unrealistic. This is hardly likely to be the case in a society where rapid economic and other changes are taking place. That is why such analyses for an urban community are likely to be rather ill-founded because even in a country where economic and social conditions are changing at a very very slow rate or not at all, like India in the past, what little change there is may be concentrated in cities or urban centres. Another complica-

\footnotetext{
* A first version of this appeared in Indian Economic Review, August 1955.
}
tion in such studies of urban areas is the large element of migration present there. A significant part of an urban centre's population usually consists of in-migrants from outside. An analysis of their inter-generation mobility therefore extends to areas outside the city. The matrix, therefore, must not only be representative of the conditions in the urban centre but must be also representative of conditions in the areas from which the in-migrants in the population are drawn.

Before undertaking this study of mobility in an urban centre like Poona all these objections and difficulties were carefully considered and weighed. We concluded that such a study and analysis of the Poona data will not be invalid. Firstly, the economic and social structure of India during the last 70 years is broadly speaking characterised by stability bardering on stagnation. The universal prevalence and functioning of the caste system and the slow pace of economic change are mainly resposible for this. Secondly, an elaborate analysis of social and economic conditions in Poona city during 1820-1937, undertaken in connection with the 1937 Survey showed that these displayed an overwhelming degree of stability in Poona. \({ }^{1}\)

This was further corroborated for the period between the first and the second surveys when a comparison of the oceupational distribution of sample families in the two surveys was made. It showed that the general distribution had changed very little during this period even though that period was characterised by expansion of all types of activities connected with World War II. \({ }^{2}\)

Thirdly, the available information on the migrant families in Poona showed that an overwhelming proportion of them come from the surrounding Poona District and the Marathi speaking,

\footnotetext{
1 The following passages from "Concluding Observations" on this analysis will make this clear.
"It is also a picture of a city in a comparatively stationary state, MobiInty was not considerable, in most senses, within the community nor was a large influx of new elements taking place. From 1820 to 1914 or even later, large migratary movements inwards or outwards were not evident.
"Poona city owed its rapid growth neither to trade and transport activity nor to industrial and manufacturing actavity but to political rule and military importance. Economic activity was thus not woven into the main fabrie of its being; it had not become, at any time, the main pillar on which developnent rested, but rather a by-product, an ancillary development of its dominant aspects.
"Another feature of the life of the sity which invites pointed comment, is the amazing degree of farrly rigid stratification attained by caste and occupational distribution." Poona, Part II, pp 319-321.
2. See Chapter VIII below.
}
areas of Bombay State. Poona was the hub of Maharashtra. There was reason to believe therefore that the social and economic values in Poona were likely to be not much different from those prevailing in areas from which most of its in-migrants were drawn. \({ }^{1}\)

The scheme of occupational classification which serves as the matrix for the following analysis was the same as the one drawn up by us for our analysis of earners in Chapter III. Here only the first ten grades arranged in an ascending order are considered.

This scheme of occupational classification has of course an urban orientation and its use in studying occupational mobility might in some ways affect the final picture of mobility. It is however possible to anticipate some of the ways in which this might happen and to take them into account in making the final assessment.

One such bias that might be imported into the analysis is the qualitative change that might be involved even in the same occupation by the mere fact of transfer or migration from a rural to an urban area. The work that, for example, a village carpenter or a blacksmith does in a village may be completely different from what he may be required to do in an urban area even as a carpenter or a blacksmith. It is quite likely that while he may be (classified) regarded as a skilled or a semi-skilled artisan in a village, when he migrates to a city he may find himself employable only as an unskilled worker, as an assistant to a blacksmith or a carpenter. An urban occupational classification will, in such cases, show a large number of cases in the unskilled category only because the classifieation has an urban orientation.

Again, the scheme of occupational classification treates all agricultural work or all those engaged in agriculture whether as labourers or cultivators or tenants as unskilled. As an overwhelming proportion of the rural population is found in these categories it follows that an overwhelming proportion of in-migrants into a city from rural areas will come from them, and will be considered as unskilled labourers in this classification. Grade I, therefore, will swell inordinately and as the in-migrants or their progeny take to other non-farm jobs, a similar inordinately large volume of movement between occupational grades may appear.

These factors do vitiate to a certain extent the mobility analysis. It is possible to mitigate these by taking them into account
1. See the addendum for further discussion on this point.
and properly discounting these vitiations in finally assessing the mobility situation between three generations.

There is yet another factor which may give rise to another kind of bias which arises out of the nature of our data. Because we gathered information from the present heads of families, who were of various ages and at different stages in their careers, our information regarding their occupational grade represents a cross section of individuals at various stages of their careers. But the information regarding the occupational grades of their fathers and grandfathers was likely to be regarding the final positions reached by them in their respective careers. This would in effect mean that in comparing the heads of families with their fathers and grand fathers in regard to their occupation the comparison was rather unfavourably balanced against the heads of families, i.e. they would appear to be in lower grades as compared to their fathers and grand-fathers simply because they were at intermediate stages in their careers. There was no way of eliminating this without extending our enquiry a good deal further; this we could not do as we were not exclusively concerned with mobility as such. This bias has also to be kept in mind in the final appraisal.
1. Heads of families and their fathers :-Table 7.1 presents a two way occupational distribution of the heads of families and their fathers. For convenience only the percentage of heads of families born in various grades and the percentage of heads of families found in various grades but born in the same or other grades are given in the table. The actual numbers are given for each row and column only. The diagonal cells show the percentage of heads of families remaining in the grades in which they were born as well as the percentage of those who were in any grade today, but were also born \({ }^{1}\) in that grade. Concentrating on these diagonal cells, we find that the highest percentage of those born in any particular grade and remaining there was to be found in Grade I, namely 79 per cent. Next to that came Grades II, IV and VIII with about 40 per cent. The lowest percentage was to be found in Grade VI, 17 per cent. On the other hand the highest proportion of those who were in a particular grade and were also born there was found in Grade \(X\) ( 73 per cent) the next highest being in Grade V (63 per cent). The lowest proportions around 26 per cent were found in Grades VII and III. This broadly indicated that

\footnotetext{
1. The word 'born' is used here as a convenient short expression to convey the parental occupational category.
}

TABLE No. 7.1.
Occupational distribution of the Heads of Families and their Fathers.
Occupational Grades of Heads of Families.


TABLE No. 7.1-(Contd.)
Ocoupational distribution of the Heads of Families and their Fathers.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
蚛 \\
备
\end{tabular} &  & \# \\
\hline 10.38 & . 5.04 & 3.19 & 3.54 & 0.95 & 0.80 & 2004 \\
\hline 31.80 & 24.34 & 24.53 & 24.74 & 17.76 & 20.51 & \\
\hline 11.63 & 4.68 & 1.06 & 1.51 & 0.15 & 0.45 & 662 \\
\hline 11.78 & 7.47 & 2.68 & 3.48 & 0.93 & 3.84 & \\
\hline 17.45 & 14.62 & 9.90 & 3.54 & 2.12 & 0.47 & 424 \\
\hline 11.31 & 14.94 & 16.09 & 5.23. & 8.11 & 2.56 & 1 \\
\hline 8.76 & 9.81 & 2.12 & 13.79 & 1.06 & 2.39 & \(\rfloor 77\) \\
\hline 5.05 & 8.92 & 8.07 & 18.12 & 3.74 & 11.54 & \\
\hline 63.46 & 7.81 & 4.23 & 2.69 & 0.77 & - & 260 \\
\hline 25.24 & 4.58- & 4.21 & 2.44 & 1.87 & - & \\
\hline 14.54 & 42.43 & 14.54 & 1.82 & 6.06 & - & 165 \\
\hline 3.67 & 16.87 & 9.20 & 1.04 & 9.35 & - & \\
\hline 15.93 & 26.12 & 25.66 & 2.65 & 10.62 & 0.88 & 226 \\
\hline 5.50 & 14.22 & 22.22 & 2.09 & 22.43 & 2.56 & \\
\hline 10.86 & 7.49 & 7.49 & 43.45 & 2.62 & 8.99 & 267 \\
\hline 4.43 & 4.81 & 7.66 & 40.42 & 6.54 & 30.77 & \\
\hline 7.45 & 15.96 & 28.72 & 5.32 & 31.91 & 3.19 & 94 \\
\hline \[
1.07
\] & 3.61 & 10.34 & 1.74 & 28.04 & \[
3.85
\] & \\
\hline 3.85 & 3.85 & - & 7.69 & 3.85 & 73.07 & 26 \\
\hline 0.15 & 0.24 & - & 0.70 & 0.93 & 2437 & \\
\hline 654 & 415 & 261 & 287 & 107 & 78 & 4505 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
movement out of Grades I and II was difficult for any one born in them. \({ }^{2}\)

Table 7.2 shows the number of sons who have remained in the same grade as their fathers and those who have moved away from their parental grade. The percentage of those in the same grade as their fathers is 43 in the whole sample showing that as a whole those moving out of their parental grades exceeded those remaining therein by a small percentage. The percentage of the latter to the total was different in different grades ranging from 73 in Grade V to 26 in Grades III and VII. No regular trend was observable.

TABLE No. 7.2.
Heads of Families whose Occupational Grade is the same as, or different from, that of their Fathers.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fathers's occupational grade & Same & Different & Total & Per cent of same to total \\
\hline I Unskilled Manual Work & 865 & 1139 & 2004 & 43.16 \\
\hline II Skilled Manual work & 367 & 295 & 662 & 55.44 \\
\hline III Lowest Professions, Administrative posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 111 & 313 & 424 & 26.18 \\
\hline VI Small Businesses & 151 & 226 & 377 & 40.05 \\
\hline V Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work & 165 & 95 & 260 & 63.46 \\
\hline VI Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 70 & 95 & 165 & 42.43 \\
\hline VII Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, etc. & 58 & 168 & 226 & 25.66 \\
\hline VIII Medium Businesses & 116 & 151 & 267 & 43.45 \\
\hline IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts & 30 & 64 & 94 & 31.91 \\
\hline \(X\) Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & 19 & 7 & 26 & 73.07 \\
\hline Total & 1952 & 2553 & 4505 & 43.83 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2. The table also indicates the sources of recruitment of individual grades and the grades to which persons born in individual grades move. For example, while 79 per cent of the heads of families in Grade I were also born there only 43 per cent of all the heads of families born in this grade had remained there. Of those who were not born in Grade I but were found there more than 40 per cent came in from Grade III and 20 per cent and 14 per cent from Grades III and IV respectively. Of those, on the other hand, who were born in Grade I but were not there 25 per cent had moved into Grade II and 17 per cent each into Grades V and III. There was obviously a two way traffic between Grade I and Grades II, III and V. For all those born in the latter three grades the most obvious and natural beginning was to start in Grade I-Unskilled manual work. As for those born in this grade the easier transition in an upward struggle was to skilled and highly skilled work as well as to lowest professions.

Directing attention to the direction in which those moving out moved, Table 7.3 shows the sons who have moved up \({ }^{1}\) and down in the scale. About 72 per cent of those who moved, moved into higher grades than their fathers. The percentage of those moving up in different grades generally decreased in the higher occupational grades. Regarding those going down it should be remembered that part of this downward movement is 'natural' because the sons have to start in lower positions than their fathers even in the same occupation in the beginning. A part of it is also due to the fact that while in most cases the fathers might have reached the highest position in their careers the sons were at earlier stages in them. .

TABLE No. 7.3.
Heads of Famelies whose Occupational Grade is higher or lower
1 than that of their Fathres.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Father's occupational grade & Higher & Lower & Total & Per cent of higher to total \\
\hline I Unskilled Manual Work & 1139 & - & 1139 & 100.00 \\
\hline II Skılled Manual Work & 196 & 99 & 295 & 66.44 \\
\hline III Lowest Professions, Administrative posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 227 & 86 & 313 & 72.52 \\
\hline IV Small Businesses & 143 & 83 & 226 & 63.27 \\
\hline V Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work & 39 & 56 & 95 & 41.05 \\
\hline VI Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 37 & 58 & 95 & 38.95 \\
\hline VII Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, etc. & 32 & 136 & 168 & 19.05 \\
\hline VIII Medium Businesses & 81 & 120 & 151 & 20.53 \\
\hline IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts & 3 & 61 & 64 & 4.69 \\
\hline X Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & - & 7 & 7 & - \\
\hline Total & 1847 & 706 & 2553 & 72.35 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

An attempt is made in Table 7.4 to measure roughly the extent of movement upward and downward for the sample as a whole and for each category in the scale on the assumption that the distances. between the grades are uniform. The average moves up and down in the sample as a whole were almost equally balanced. A't' test

\footnotetext{
1. The words "up" and "down" or "ascent", "descent", "inertia" are used for convenience and do not imply any' value judgement.
}
showed that the difference between the two was not significant. An examination of individual categories shows that those who moved up from the lower categories spanned a larger social distance than those who moved up from higher categories. On the other hand those who fell from higher categories fell farther than those who fell from lower categories.

TABLE No. 7.4.
Average Move upwards and downwards between the Father's and the Head of the Family's Occupational Grades.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Occupational Grade & Up & . Down \\
\hline 1 Unskilled Manual Work & 3.202 & - \\
\hline II Skulled Manual Work & 2.939 & 1.000 \\
\hline III Lowest Professions, Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 2.943 & 1.570 \\
\hline IV Small Businesses & 2.888 & 2.181 \\
\hline v Highly Skilled and Supervisory Manual Work & 1.795 & 2.661 \\
\hline VI Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 1.622 & 2.517 \\
\hline VII Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & 1.875 & 2.346 \\
\hline VIII Medium Businesses & 1.774 & 3.542 \\
\hline IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts & 1.000 & 2.934 \\
\hline X Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & - & 4.286 \\
\hline Total & 2.226 & 2.559 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2. Grandfathers and fathers:-Table 7.5 gives a two way distribution in the different occupational grades of the fathers and grandfathers of heads of families in the sample. As in a similar earlier table only percentages are given in the table. The least inter-generation mobility was displayed in Grades I, II IV, VIII and X. The largest mobility was found in Grades VI and VIII. \({ }^{1}\)

Table 7.6 presents information regarding fathers who remained in the same grade as the grandfathers and those who moved away from that grade. The percentage of these former was 80.33 i.e. nearly four-fifths of the total fathers remained in the same occupational category as the grandfathers. The range of variation of

\footnotetext{
1. 98 per cent of those found in Grade I were also born there; but only 80 per cent born in that grade had remained there. The rest had gone mainly into Grades II and III.

80 per cent of those in Grade II had been born there. Most of the others had come into it from Grade I. Of the total born in Grade II, 90 per cent had remained there. Of the rest the largest proportion (nearly 30 per cent) had gone to Grade V. The next largest proportion had gone into Grade I.
}
this percentage in various individual grades was from 90 to 58 , the highest being in Grades II and V and the lowest in Grade VII. No regularity was observable.

The direction in which those who moved away from their parental occupational grade can be studied from Table 7.7. Of the total who had moved, four-fifths had moved to higher occupational grades than their fathers. In individual grades the percentage of those moving up was progressively lower in higher grades. Table 7.8 presents calculations attempting to measure the extent of movement to higher or lower grades. The average moves upward and downward were about the same and the difference between them as indicated by a ' \(t\) ' test was not significant. Looking at the average moves upward and downward in individual categories it is obvoius that those who moved up from lower grades jumped farther as also those who fell from higher ones fell farther in the scale.
3. Mobility between three generations:-We have so far considered the three generations in two pairs separately. We can now bring all the three in line and look at the comprehensive picture.

Table 7.9 gives information regarding the type of movement recorded by persons in the different generations.

Only about 4 per cent improved their position continuously over the three generations while only about 1 per cent experienced a continuous decline. As against this about 33 per cent remained in the same position over the three generations. The percentage of those going up was large compared to those going down. The percentages in other complimentary pairs like Ascent-Descent and Descent-Ascent and of Inertia-Descent and Descent-Inertia and Inertia-Ascent and Ascent-Inertia are different from one another. The very large percentage in the category Inertia-Ascent indicates quite clearly, together with other evidence, that the movement upward has been much more significant than the downward one, even after duly taking into account the biases in our analysis, to which we have earlier drawn attention. This is also brought out by the following summary table derived from Table 7.9.
\begin{tabular}{lrc} 
& & \% to total \\
Inertia & 3339 & 87.5 \\
Aacent & 2071 & 54.3 \\
Descent & 661 & 17.0
\end{tabular}

Though the percentage of those ascending considerably outweighs that of those descending the overwhelming importance of those who are stable or who do not move either way is too plain. It underlines the essential stability of the structure.

TABLE No. 7.5.
Distribution of Fathers and Grand Fathers of Heads of Families in different Oceupational Grades.
Occupational Grades of Fathers of Heads of Families.


TABLE No. 7.5-(Contd.)
Distribution of Fathers and Grand Fathers of Heads of Families in different Occupational Grades.
Occupational Grades of Fathers of Heads of Families.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  &  &  &  & 7 \\
\hline 1.96 & 1.71 & 2.64 & 1.15 & 0.81 & 0.12 & 2345 \\
\hline 19.25, & 26.67 & 29.67 & 10.63 & 19.59 & 10.72 & \\
\hline 2.69 & 0.38 & 0.38 & 1.55 & - & 0.19 & . 520 \\
\hline 5.85 & 1.33 & 0.96 & 8.15 & - & 3.57 & \\
\hline & & \(\sim\) & & & & \\
\hline 1.99 & 8.52 & 13.07 & 1.14 & 3.41 & - & 352 \\
\hline 2.93 & 20.00 & 22.01 & 1.57 & 12.37 & - & \\
\hline 3.35 & 2.44 & 1.22 & 0.91 & 0.30 & - & 328 \\
\hline 4.60 & 5.32 & 1.91 & 1.18 & 1.03 & - & \\
\hline 90.06 & 2.34 & 1.75 & - - & 1.17 & - & 171 \\
\hline 64.44 & 2.67 & 1.44 & - & 2.06 & - & \\
\hline 5.33 & 60.00 & 8.00 & 2.67 & 6.67 & 1.33 & 75 \\
\hline 1.67 & 30.00 & 286 & 0.79 & 5.15 & 3.57 & \\
\hline 2.83 & 9.43 & 57.55 & 1.89 & 13.20 & - & 106 \\
\hline 1.26 & 6.67 & 29.19 & 0.79 & 14.43 & - & \\
\hline - & 2.46 & 4.92 & 8484 & 3.27 & 0.41 & 244 \\
\hline - & 400 & 5.74 & 81.50 & 8.25 & 8.57 & \\
\hline - & 6.78 & 22.03 & 1.70 & 69.31 & 1.70 & 59 \\
\hline - & 2.67 & 6.22 & 0.39 & 36.08 & 8.57 & \\
\hline - & 4.17 & - & - & 4.16 & 87.50 & 24 \\
\hline - & 0.67 & - & - & 1.04 & 75.00 & \\
\hline 239 & 150 & 209 & 254 & 97 & 28 & 4224 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 7.6.
Fathers of Heads of Families whose Occupational Grade is the same as or different from that of their Fathers (Grandfathers of Heads of Families).'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Grandfathers' Occupational Grade.} & Same & Different & Total & Per cent of higher to total \\
\hline 1 & Unskilled Manual Work & 1906 & 439 & 2345 & 81.28 \\
\hline II & Skilled Manual Work & 469 & 51 & 520 & 90.19 \\
\hline & Lowest Professions, Admınistrative posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 219 & 133 & 352 & 62.22 \\
\hline IV & Small Businesses & 276 & 62 & 328 & 84.15 \\
\hline V & Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work & 154 & 17 & 171 & 90.06 \\
\hline VI & Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 45 & 30 & 75 & 60.00 \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, etc. & 61 & 45 & 106 & 57.55 \\
\hline VIII & Medium Businesses & 20. & 37 & 244 & 84.84 \\
\hline & Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts & 35 & 24 & 59 & 59.31 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{X}\) & Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & 21 & 3 & 24 & 87.50 \\
\hline & Total & 3393 & 831 & 4224 & 80.33 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 7.7.
Fathers of Heads of Families whose Occupational Grade is higher or lower than that of their Fathers (Grandfathers of Heads of Families).


TABLE No. 7.8.
Average Move upwards or downwarda between the Occupational Grade of Grandfathers and Fathers of Head of Family.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Occupational Grade & Up & Down \\
\hline I Unskilled Manual Work & 3.640 & - \\
\hline II Skilled Manual Work & 3.317 & 1.000 \\
\hline III Lowest Professions, Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 3.555 & 1.435 \\
\hline IV Small Businesses & 2.074 & 1.920 \\
\hline V Highly Skilled and Supervisory Manual Work & 2.000 & 2.500 \\
\hline V1 Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 2.071 & 3.063 \\
\hline VII Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & 1.875 & 3.034 \\
\hline VIII Medium Businesses & 1.111 & 2.821 \\
\hline IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts & 1.000 & 3.217 \\
\hline X Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & - & 4.000 \\
\hline Total & 2.282 & 2.554 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 7.9.
Character of Occupational Mobility in Thres Generations.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Type of Movement 1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
GrandfatherFather \\
2
\end{tabular} & Father-Son (Head of the \(\mathbf{f a m i l y}_{\mathbf{3}}\) & Number of individuals 4 & Percenage of total 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Uni-directional in three generations} & Ascent & Ascent & 144* & 3.8 \\
\hline & Descent & Descent & 38 & 1.0 \\
\hline & Inertia & Inertia & 1437 & 37.7 \\
\hline No movement in (2) & Inertia & Ascent & 1366 & 35.8 \\
\hline Uni-directional in (3) & Inertia & Descent & 288 & 7.6 \\
\hline Uni-directional in (2) & Ascent & Inertia & 207 & 6.4 \\
\hline No movement in (3) & Descent & Inertia & -4i & 1.0 \\
\hline Bi-directional in & Ascent & Descent & 228 & 6.0 \\
\hline three generations & Descent & Ascent & 66 & 1.7 \\
\hline & & Total & \(3815{ }^{1}\) & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1. The number of cases in this table is smaller than in Tablea 7.1 and 7.5 because it includes only those families whers the head and his father and granfather were all earners, i.e. in same gainful occupation.
. To get an insight into the social process of selection or the social capillary we had the 144 families with continuous upward mobility in the three

The analysis of mobility between the three generations together can be also cast in different terms for bringing out certain features of the internal character of that mobility. "We may ask whether inter-generation changes were greater or smaller than would have been expected if the final results of changes viz. 'ascent' 'inertia' or 'descent' in the two successive generations remained fixed in the society but if, at the same time, there were equal chances to 'ascend" or 'descend' or to remain fixed".' To take a concrete illustration given the fact that within the Poona sample 579 and 1,576 persons out of 3,815 would in any case have improved status between grand-father-father and father-head of the family generations respectively, how many should we expect to have a continuous upward movement in social status in both the generations? Similar expected values can be calculated for other end-results from data presented in Table 7.9. This is done in Table 7.10, where expected values are shown in brackets.
generations classified according to community. This classification is presented below. About 37 per cent of the families going up were Brahmin though the Brahmin families as a whole were only 21 per cent in the sample. The Christians though only 4 per cent of the total claimed 7 per cent of the ascending families. Maratha families were 22 per cent of the total families in the sample and their share in the ascending families was 28 per cent. The proportion of ascending families in other community groups was in most cases lower than their proportion in the total sample. There is no doubt that the Brahmins and Christians had a disproportionately large share of the ascending families. In other words, they were able to advance in a larger measure than the other community groups during the last three generations. The causes of this were perhaps the high degree of education among Brahmins. Education, together with the patronage of the British Government to some sections and missionary aid and effort in the case of other sections, were possibly the causes of the advance among Christians.

\section*{Distribution of the 144 families by community.}
1. Marathas

41
2. Brahmins 49
3. Artisan Groups I \& II

12
4. Depressed classes

7
5. Other Hindus 9
6. Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains , 3
7. Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs 2
8. Muhommedans 10
9. Christians 10
10. Parsis \& Jews 1

Total
144
1. D. V. Glass et al, Social Mobility in Britain, Routledge and Kagan Paul, Ltd., London, 1954, p. 285.

TABLE No. 7.10.
Observed and expected numbers involved in Inter-generation changes, Grand-father-father and Father-head of the famly.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Grandfatherfather & Ascent & Father-Head of the family Inertia & Descent & Total \\
\hline Ascent & 144 (239) & 207 (256) & 288 (84) & 579 \\
\hline Inertia & 1366 (1276) & 1437(1391) & 288 (449) & 3091 \\
\hline Descent & 66 (60) & 41 (64) & 38 (21) & 145 \\
\hline Total & 1576 & 1685 & 554 & 3815 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In the cases underlined in the table the expected numbers are larger than the observed. In all others they are smaller. These data show that for a very large proportion of families there was a greater tendency to remain stationery in the second generation and to ascend in the third than would be expected. For the other families the tendency to change sharply from one direction to another (Ascent-Descent and vice versa) and to go down continuously was greater than might have been expected. In the remaining cases the expected values were larger than observed values. This would broadly indicate that in this community there was a solid stationary social base containing very large proportion of the total community and on the surface there floated unstable elements who showed a larger tendency to move up in the occupational scale than to go down. This impression persists after taking into account the biases, noted earlier, that are inherent in this comparative analysis.

What bearing has the mobility picture in Poona as a whole on the process of its growth? It generally confirms the conclusions of the 1937 Survey regarding the stationary character of the urban community of Poona. Besides this basic stability, however, the movement upwards in the socio-economic scale outweighs, in both generations, the downward movement. The tempo in the present generation seems to have quickened. Poona is not an industrial or commercial urban centre. Even in such a centre, the process of growth involves such a change in the distribution of employment opportunities as enables an increasing number of its population, to rise in the socio-economic scale.

\section*{ADDENDUM}

In order to examine further the implications of occupational mobility in respect of the development of an urban centre we decided to have the mobility data tabulated separately for autochthons and migrant families in our sample. Tables 7.11 and 7.12 give the

TABLE No．7．11．
Occupation of the Father and Grandfather of the Heads of the families staying in Poona for Generations．
476
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Occupation of the Father of the Head of Family．} \\
\hline Occupation of the Grand－ father of the Head Family &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
※ \\
\％ํㅜํ 룽 \\
高管 \\
\(x^{\text {为家 }}\)
\end{tabular} & ＇ \\
\hline I Unskilled Manual Work 312 & 43 & 29 & 15 & 16 & 11 & 10 & 18 & 3 & 2 & 459 \\
\hline II Skilled Manual Work 3 & 194 & 6 & 3 & 6 & 1 & － & 7 & － & 1 & 221 \\
\hline III Lowest Professions，Ad－ manistrative Posts，Pri－ mary Teachers，etc． & 10 & 66 & 4 & 2 & 7 & 16 & 2 & 5 & － & 115 \\
\hline IV Small Businesses－－ & 9 & 4 & 119 & ． 6 & 4 & 2 & 2 & － & － & 146 \\
\hline V Highly Skilled \＆Super－． visory Manual Work & 1 & 1 & 1 & \(60^{\circ}\) & 3 & 2 & － & 1 & － & 70 \\
\hline VI Clerks \＆Shop－Assistants 2 & － & 1 & 1 & －2 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 25 \\
\hline VII Intermediate Professions， Salaried Posts，Secon－ dary Teachers，etc． & \(\cdots\) & 1 & 1 & 3 & － 5 & 22 & － & 4 & － & 37 \\
\hline VIII Medium Businesses 1 & － & 1 & 2 & \(\underline{+}\) & 1 & － 4 & 77 & 4 & 1 & 91 \\
\hline ＇IX．Higher Professions \＆ Salaried Posts & 1 & 2 & －－ & － & 2 & 4 & － & 10 & － & 20 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{X} \backslash\) Owhers of Factories， Large Shops，etc． & － & 1 & － & － & － & － & T． & － & 10 & 11 \\
\hline Total 324 & 258 & 112 & 146 & 95 & 47 & 61 & 107 & 30 & 15 & 1195 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No．7．11－（Contd．）
Occupation of the Father and Grandfather of the Heads of the families staying in Poona for Generations．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Oecu } \\
& \text { the }
\end{aligned}
\] & upation of the father of head of famly & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 或 } \\
& \text { 彩薜 } \\
& \text { Ba }
\end{aligned}
\] & Occupation & \begin{tabular}{l}
of th \\

\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Head \\

\end{tabular} &  &  &  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 淢 } \\
& \text { R }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1 & Unskilled Manual Work 178 & 36 & 26 & 7 & 89 & 3 & 4 & 7 & － & － & 800 \\
\hline II & Skulled Manual Work 27 & 145 & 14 & 10 & 28 & 9 & 1 & 7 & － & － & 241 \\
\hline & Lowest Professions，Ad－ minıstrative Posts，Pri－ mary Teachers，etc． & 7 & 87 & － & 11 & 13 & 8 & 1 & 1 & － & 100 \\
\hline IV & Small Businesses 11 & 10 & 9 & 66 & 11 & 15 & 1 & 11 & 1 & － & 135 \\
\hline & Highly Skilled \＆Super－ visory Manual Work & 3 & 3 & 5 & 62 & 6 & 4 & 1 & － & － & 88 \\
\hline VI & Clerks \＆Shop－Assistants 3 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 9 & 13 & 3 & － & 2 & － & 40 \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions， Salaried Posts，Secon－ dary Teachers，etc． & 2 & 4 & 8 & 10 & 7 & 9 & 8 & 3 & － & 44 \\
\hline VIII & Medium Businesses 5 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 8 & 3 & 3 & 48 & 1 & 8 & 90 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Higher Professions \＆ \\
Salaned Posts
\end{tabular} & － & － & 1 & 3 & 4 & 4 & － & 8 & 1 & 23 \\
\hline & Owners of Factories， Large Shops，etc． & 1 & － & － & － & － & － & － & － & 13 & \(14^{*}\) \\
\hline & Total 249 & 213 & 98 & 108 & 181 & 73 & 37 & 78 & 16 & 22 & 1075 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 7.12.
Occupation of the Father and the Graudfather of the in-migrant Heads of Familtes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Occupation of the Grandfather of the Head Family} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Occupation of the Father of the Head of Family.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\#} \\
\hline &  &  &  &  &  &  &  & & & \\
\hline I Unskilled Manual 1594 & 51 & 79 & 25 & 30 & 29 & 52 & 9 & 16 & 1 & 1886 \\
\hline II Skilled Manual Work 7 & 275 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 1 & 2 & 1 & - & - & 299 \\
\hline III Lowest Prolessions, Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 3 & 153 & 7 & 5 & 28 & 30 & 2 & 7 & - & 237 \\
\hline IV Small Businesses 6 & 2 & 4 & 157 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & 182 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
v Highly Skilled 4 Super- \\
visory Manual Work
\end{tabular} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 94 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 101 \\
\hline VI Clerks \& Shop-Assistants 3 & - & 5 & - & 2 & 32 & 5 & 1 & 2 & - & 50 \\
\hline VII Intermediate Profensions, Salaried Posts, Secdndary Teachers, etc. & 2 & 7 & 1 & - & 5 & 39 & 2 & 10 & - & 69 \\
\hline VIII Medium Businesses i 3 & - & 2 & 1 & - & 5 & 8 & 130 & 4 & - & 153 \\
\hline IX Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts & - & - & - & - & 2 & 9 & 1 & 25 & 1 & 39 \\
\hline \(X\) Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 11 & 13 \\
\hline Total 1625 & 334 & 253 & 195 & 144 & 103 & 148 & 147 & 67 & 13 & 3029 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No．7．12－（Contd．）
Occupation of the Father and the Grandfather of the in－migrant Heads of Families．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Occu the & pation of the father of Head of Family． &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
of \\

\end{tabular} &  &  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
商品荡 \\
晏家 \\
溇哭塄 \\
붕
\end{tabular} &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 岸 } \\
& \text { م }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|r|}{I Unskilled Manual} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Skilled Manual Work 51 & 171 & 17 & 16 & 28 & 18 & 3 & 3 & － & 3 & 309 \\
\hline & Lowest Professions，Ad－ ministrative Postz，Pri－ mary Teachers，etc． & 18 & 56 & 7 & 40 & 36 & 31 & 10 & 5 & 2 & 226 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Small Businesses 15 & 12 & 6 & 66 & 14 & 20 & 5 & 32 & 2 & 6 & 178 \\
\hline & Highly Skilled \＆Super－ visory Manual work & 8 & 5 & 5 & 84 & 11 & 5 & 5 & 1 & － & 130 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Clerks \＆Shop－Assistants 3 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 11 & 39 & 15 & 2 & 6 & － & 84 \\
\hline & Intermediate Professions， Salaried Posts，Secon－ dary Teachers，etc． & 2 & 7 & 3 & 20 & 35 & 37 & 2 & 12 & 1 & 127 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { VIII } \\
\text { IX } \\
\mathbf{Y}
\end{array}
\]} & Medium Businesses 5 & 5 & ． 2 & 12 & 12 & 15 & 13 & 46 & 4 & 14 & 128 \\
\hline & Higher Professions \＆ Salaried Posts & 1 & 2 & \(\cdots\) & 3 & 7 & 16 & 3 & 14 & 1 & 47 \\
\hline & Owners of Factories， Large Shops，etc． & 1 & － & － & 1 & － & － & 2 & － & 6 & 10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total} & 467 & 273 & 215 & 357 & 267 & 183 & 167 & 61 & 48 & 2733 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
respective two way tables for the two generations and for the two groups in the sample.

It is not necessary to comment elaborately on these tables. Only a few salient points need be brought out. A comparison of the occupational mobility shown between the grand-fathers and fathers of the heads of families of autochthons and in-migrants reveals that the two groups do not show very marked differences though the autochthons display a slightly greater mobility than the in-migrants. The picture for the next generation of the two groups -heads of families and their fathers-is more markedly different. Both the groups show increases in mobility but the in-migrants displayed it in a larger measure than the autochthons; the upward mobility also being larger among the in-migrants than the autochthons. This is perhaps more succinctly brought out by comparing the average moves upward or downward in both the groups in the two generations. The data are presented in Table 7.13.

TABLE No. 7.13.
Average moves upward or downward in the groups of autochthons and in-migrants in two generations.


Why do in-migrants display a lower degree of mobility than the autochthons between the first and the second generation and a higher degree of mobility than the autochthons between the second and the third generation? Perhaps the explanation lies in two directions. Firstly, by definition the in-migrants were those who had come to Poona in their generation i.e. the generation of the present heads of families. Both their fathers and grandfathers
lived their lives outside Poona probobly in a rural area. It was therefore no wonder that they displayed lower mobility than their opposite numbers among the autochthons of Poona. Secondly, the fact that the in-migrants displayed greater mobility than the authochthons between the second and the third generation indicates that the in-migrants were usually more vigorous and pushful than the autochthons. Not only did they overcome their initial handicap but in due time pushed ahead of the autochthons in the struggle for economic and social advancement.

\section*{II. Life-Cycle of Poona Families}

What is the typical life-cycle of a family? This can be inferred from a study of several families over a long period or may be studied by the examination of a representative cross-section of families at various stages of growth at a point of time. The latter method is known to yield equally useful results and because of paucity of historical data in this regard, it is possibly the only method available for this type of analysis. In the following we attempt an analysis of the Poona families by the latter method by classifying them by the age of the head of the family.

The limitations of the analysis that follows, however, should be stressed. The life-cycle that is distilled out of the data by the method of cross-section analysis is that of a synthetic family which is a composite of all the average characteristics of all classes of population in the Poona sample. It is not a real family, representative of any social or economic class in the sample. It is something like a statistical construct, which enables us to have a simplified summary. picture of \(\dot{a}\) complex and variegated social process. Nothing more should be read into it.

The total of 5601 families in our sample are classified in Table 7.14 according to the age group in which their head happened to be at the time of the survey. Nearly 50 per cent of the families had heads who were between 25 and 40 years old. Families with heads more than 50 years old were only 17 per cent of the total. It was also clear that the head of a family was very rarely a non-adult i.e. a person below 15 years of age.

Table 7.15 gives the distribution of families according to family size and the age group of the head of the family. It also contains figures regarding the average size of the family in each class and also the average number of earners and non-earners in it. F... 31

TABLE No. 7.14.
Sample families classified by the age of the head of the family.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age group & Total number of familhes & Percentage to the total & Cumulative percentage \\
\hline 11-15 & 9 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 11-15 & 155 & 2.7 & 2.8 \\
\hline 21-25 & 620 & 11.1 & 13.9 \\
\hline 26-30 & 982 & 17.6 & 31.5 \\
\hline 31-35 & 946 & 16.9 & 48.4 \\
\hline 36-40 & 833 & 14.9 & 63.3 \\
\hline 41-45 & 639 & 11.4 & 74.7 \\
\hline 46-50 & 464 & 8.3 & 83.0 \\
\hline 51 \& above & 953 & 17.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Total & 5601 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

If we ignore the first age group which was very insignificant it is observed that the size of the family increased continuously from 3.1 when the head was between 15 to 20 years of age to 5.1 'when he was between 45 and 50 years old. It remained almost constant in the later age groups. In fact the size of the family was remarkably stable after the head reached the age of 35 years. Looking into the earner-non-earner composition of this average family size again we find that there is an increase in the number of earners as the head of the family ages from 20 to 30 years. There is a sharp drop in the next age group and again an increase till he reached 50 years. The number of dependents however showed a continuous increase between the age of 15 and 45 years. It is difficult to explain the rise in the number of earners per family when the head was in the age group \(26-30\). It is hardly likely to be the age when his children would begin to earn. On the whole one is inclined to treat it as something of a statistical accident rather than an integral feature of the life pattern of families.

The next step is to bring in the data regarding the incomes of families classified according to the age of their heads. This is set out in Table 7.16. It also gives the median income of families classified by the age of their heads. It clearly seems that except when the head was in the age group 31-35 the family income increased directly with his age. In the period of 31 to 35 years, however there was a slight fall. The proportion of families with incomes below Rs. 1,000 dropped from 66 tó 37 per cent almost continuously as age increased, the only exception being in the age

TABLE No. 7.15.
Distribution of sample Families according to family size and the age of the head of the family.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Age of the head of the family} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{No. of members in the family} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Average} \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11 \& \\
\text { above }
\end{array}
\] & Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Family } \\
\text { size }
\end{gathered}
\] & No. of earners & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. of } \\
& \text { non- } \\
& \text { earners }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 11-15 & 2 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 9 & 4.4 & 1.4 & 2.8 \\
\hline 16-20 & 47 & 27 & 24 & 22 & 16 & 9 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 155 & 31 & 1.4. & 1.7 \\
\hline 21-25 & 127 & 103 & 120 & 96 & 65 & 39 & 28 & 17 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 620 & 3.6 & 1.4 & 2.2 \\
\hline 26-30 & 88 & 126 & 188 & 196 & 142 & 106 & 31 & 34 & 19 & 12 & 20 & 982 & 4.3 & 1.5 & 28 \\
\hline 31-35 & 69 & 101 & 154 & 174 & 160 & 121 & 83 & 37 & 20 & 8 & 19 & 946 & 45 & 1.3 & 3.2 \\
\hline 36-40 & 53 & 98 & 90 & 125 & 157 & 123 & 71 & 53 & 26 & 13 & 24 & 833 & \(1^{4.9}\) & 1.4 & 3.6 \\
\hline 41-45 & 60 & 63 & 73 & 99 & 84 & 88 & 70 & 45 & 24 & 10 & 23 & 639 & 5.0 & 1.4 & 3.6 \\
\hline 46-50 & 45 & 46 & 50 & 55 & 72 & 63 & 51 & 33 & 17 & 10 & 22 & 464 & 5.1 & 1.7 & 35 \\
\hline 51 \& above & 137 & 128 & 99 & 88 & 110 & 93 & 82 & 61 & 43 & 40 & 72 & 953 & 5.0 & 1.6 & 3.5 \\
\hline Total & 628 & 694 & 799 & 855 & 807 & 643 & 440 & 281 & 160 & 103 & 191 & 5601 & 4.6 & 14 & 3.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 7.16.
Distribution of sample Familres according to the income of the family and the age of the head of the family.

group 31-35. On the other hand the percentage of families with incomes larger than Rs. 3,500 increased without exception as the age of the head of the family increased. The median income increased from Rs. 561 when the head was between 15 and 20 years old to Rs. 1,117 when he attained the age of about 30 . Then there was a sharp drop to Rs. 990 as he was between 31 and 35 years of age followed by a sharper rise to Ris. 1,242 when he reached the age of 40 years. This was the period when the number of earners in the family also increased. Income (median) then steadily increased to Rs. 1,500.

For relating family size and income we now calculate the per capita income in families whose heads fall in different age groups. This is presented in the column adjoining the one which gives median incomes. It exhibits the same features, i.e. an increase interrupted by a fall when the head was in the age group 30-35 and again continuous increase as the head grew older. How far this was a typical pattern can be studied by plotting the decile incomes of the sample families classified by the age of their heads. This is done in the chart on page 486.

The chart clearly indicates that the median was not abnormal compared to other deciles and could be with confidence treated as representative of the others. The first and 9 th deciles of course markedly differed from the rest only in the beginning and the endportions respectively. This is largely due to the open ended classification of incomes at -both ends. Families with incomes below Rs. 250 dominated the earlier part of the 1st decile and to some extent of the 2nd decile too. The end portion of the 9 th decile was mainly influenced by families with high incomes and incomes of more than Rs. \(\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}\). The other interesting feature brought out by the deciles was that in the first two deciles the peak level of income was attained at \(26-30\) years of age. It declined after 50 years. In most of the other deciles incomes showed a rising trend throughout so that it tended to attain the peak between 51 and 55 years. All deciles showed either a decrease in income or a retardation of its rate of increase when the head of the family was between 31 and 35 years of age.

Per capita is a rather rough and ready index of the level of living. To make it more precise income needs to be related to adult equivalents or standard consumption units, We present the median income per adult-equivalent unit of families classified according to the age of their heads in Table 7.16. This has been directly calculated from original data.


Income per adult equivalent increased from Rs. 369 when the head was 15-20 years old to Rs. 378 when he was between 2d-25 years of age. It dropped to Rs. 338 as he grew older upto 35 years and then rose to Rs. 351 as he approached the age 40 years. It dropped again to Rs. 336 as he passed 40 and rose again to Rs. 360 as he became more than 45 years old. There were thus two periods of stress in the life-cycle of a family, once when the head was between 31 and 35 and again when he was between 41 and 45 years of age.

\section*{CHAPTER VIII CHANGING PATTERNS OF OCCUPATIONS AND EARNINGS}

At various places in the preceding account and in different contexts a reference for comparative purposes has been been made to the 1937 Survey of Poona City carried out in 1936-37. In this chapter the comparison of the results of that and the present survey is to be attempted on several counts and in all relevent detail to bring out the main features of the changing pattern of economic life in the city during the intervening period. Before we embark on this task it is necessary to note certain important facts regarding the 1937 Survey. That survey was confined to the areas of the then Poona City Municipality and the Suburban Municipality. The data from the present survey relating to this area only are comparable with those of the 1937 Survey. All the comparisons made in this chapter are of the comparable areas at the two surveys Secondly, the sample of the 1937 Survey was a house sample, every 15th residential house being covered by it. As such the sample covered between 7 to 8 per cent of the total families and population in the area. By contrast the sample of the present survey was a family sample covering only 4 per cent of the resident families. Roughly speaking, the sample of the present survey was half of that of the 1937 Survey.

In the 1937 Survey from our house sample data we estimated the total population of Poona City as being between 2.2 and 2.5 lakhs. In 1954 we estimated its total population from our sample at around 5 lakhs, i.e. roughly the population had more or less doubled between the two surveys. Broadly speaking about 30 per cent of this increase was the result of in-migration, temporary or permanent. The in-migrants were mainly persons with Marathi as their mother tongue. A very large wroportion of them hailed from the Poona district and a considerable proportion of the remaining from the Marathi-speaking districts of Bombay State.

Community-wise, the proportion of Marathas in the total population had declined from 29 per cent in the 1937 Survey to 23 per cent in the present survey. Such proportionate declines were also registered by Muhommedans (from 9 to 4 per cent) Artisan Group I (from 5 to 2 per cent), Other Hindus (from 4 to 1 per cent) and by Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and Christians. In contrast the Brahmins increased from 18 to 25 per cent, the increase being more marked among Deshastha Brahufins. The Sikh-Punjabi-

\section*{Sindhi-Rajputs also showed an increase mainly due to the influx of refugees after Partition.}

Though the male-female ratio in the total population in both the surveys was the same the proportion of adults and non-adults among females was different. The proportion of female adults had increased from 58 per cent in the 1937 Survey to 62 per cent in the present survey and correspondingly the proportion of non-adults had declined from 43 to 38 per cent. \({ }^{1}\) There was definitive evidence that the age at marriage had increased between the two surveys. This was indicated by the decline in the proportion of married persons in the non-adult groups as also by the rise in the proportion of unmarried persons in the adult age groups, among males and females. There was also a decline in the present survey as compared to the 1937 Survey of the proportion of widowers and widows.

As compared to 1937 the proportion of illiterates in the tota! population had registered a marked decline in 1954. Comparing the progress in the decline in illiteracy among different communities we find that Marathas, Artisan Group I and Other Hindus recorded the highest declines. Next to them came the Muhommedans and Depressed classes. Naturally the progreess appeared much smaller in communities where the proportion of illiterates was quite small in 1937. The proportion of educands had increased among adults and non-adults of both sexes in all communities.

An analysis in terms of earners and non-earners reveals that the proportion of juvenile earners among male and female earners had fallen very sharply. The proportion of earners in the population had recorded a slight fall between the two surveys. In 1937 there was one earner for every 2.12 non-earners; in 1954 this ratio had increased to one earner for 2.49 non-earners, \({ }^{2}\) The proportion of female to male earners was almost the same in both the surveys. Broadly speaking, each earner in 1954 had to carry a heavier load of non-earners than in 1937.

Talking in terms of families, their total number increased by somewhat less than two times between 1937 and 1954. The average size of the family recorded an increase from 3.90 to 4.61 in the same period, reflecting the fact that the number of families had increased to a smaller extent than the total population. The distribution by family size in the two surveys also clearly brings out the increase in family size; the proportion of families of larger sizes had increased in 1954 compared to 1937.
1. A Chi-square test showed that this was significant.
2. A. Chi-square test showed that this was significant.

The distribution of families according to their earner status in the two surveys does show some interesting changes. While the propertion of families with 'no earner' remained steady around 5 per cent that of families with 'one adult male earner' declined by 7 per cent in 1954 as compared to 1937. On the other hand in 1954 the proportion of families with two and three adult male-earners was higher at 15 per cent and 5.5 per cent respectively as against 11 per cent and 3 per cent respectively in 1937.

An analysis by family types \({ }^{1}\) reveals that the distribution of families into these had not much changed during the period. There were, however, certain variations in different community groups which a Chi-square test showed to be quite significant. Among Marathas, Artisan Groups I and II, Other Hindus and Christians the proportion of families of type 2, or nuclear families, had declined. They recorded an increase among Brahmins and Muhommedans. Uni-member families had proportionately declined among Brahmins, Muhommedans and Christians but the proportion of families consisting of 'females, or females and married or unmarried chlidren', had increased among Other Hindus and the latter among Artisans, Depressed classes and Christians. The picture is of course very mixed but the data clearly indicate that the joint family was declining among Brahmins. In other communities the opposite changes were very closely matched but in the broad, there was no indication that the joint family was disintegrating further. If one were to go out on a limb one could say that it appeared somewhat more prevalent in 1954 than in 1937. This was perhaps due not to social but to economic circumstances, such as the growing economic pressure and the housing shortage, which possibly somewhat retarded the general movement towards a break up of the joint family. The increase in the proportion of families with more than one adult male earner noted earlier also corroborates this indirectly.

The decline of families with 'females only' among Other Hindus perhaps reflects the fact that male in-migrants had added to their ranks much more than families as a whole. Among the Christians there had been a sharp decline in the 1st and 2nd type of families; type 3 appeared in 1954 only being completely absent in 1937 while the proportion of families consisting of females only had about doubled.

Where did or could the increased population in Poona City live? Did the built up area expand in any similar proportion? The data regarding building activity in Poona between 1938-1954 presented earlier on page 404 does not indicate a proportionate 1. See p. 95 above.
increase in new construction along with the increase in population during that period. This clearly indicates overcrowding. The indices of overcrowding discussed on page 406, do show that between the two surveys overcrowding did increase marwedly, a definite indication of deterioration of living conditions.

How did the increased number of families and their mensbers earn their livelihood? For answering this question we have to begin by examining the proportion of earners and non-earners in these families. If we consider all the earners in the sample, excluding the unemployeds, we find that the proportion of employed earners in the total population of the sample did not vary to any considerable extent as between the two surveys. Broadly speaking, there were double the number of employed earners in Poona City in 1954 than in 1937. Where did they work? Which occupations absorbed them? are questions that directly follow.

We will begin by a comparison of the occupational distribubution of earners in the sample in 1937 and 1954. It is shown below. In the first 10 occupational grades there was an increase in the proportions of earners contained in half the grades and a decline in others. There was a marked fall in the present survey as compared to the 1937 Survey among skilled manual workers while the decreases among unskilled manual workers, small businessmen, intermediate professions and owners of factories, big shops, etc.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Occupational Grade & \[
\begin{gathered}
1937 \text { Survey } \\
\%
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1954 \text { Survey } \\
\%
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline I & Unskilled Manual Work & 25.0 & 23.4 \\
\hline II & Skilled Manual Work & 22.8 & 14.4 \\
\hline III & Lowest Professions, Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 7.2 & 8.01 \\
\hline IV & Small Businesses & 10.0 & 8.5 \\
\hline V & Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work & k 8.0 & 11.3 . \\
\hline VI & Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 6.8 & 9.7 * \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & 2.1 & 5.2 * \\
\hline VIII & Medium Businesses & 7.8 & 4.8 \\
\hline 1 X & Higher Professions, \& Salaried Posts & 1.7 & 1.6 \\
\hline X & Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & 2.2 & 1.4 - \\
\hline XI & Pensioners & 2.2 & 2.2 \\
\hline XII & Beggars \& Prostıtutes & 1.3 & 0.4 \\
\hline XIII & Unemployed & 2.8 & 8.6 \\
\hline XIV & Cultivators & 0.5 & 0.2 \\
\hline & Total number of earners & 5980 & 6259 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
were rather moderate. There were sharp increases of about equal magnitudes in Grades III, V, VI and of a more modest magnitude in Grade IX. \({ }^{1}\)

The proportion of 'pensioners' showed no variation at all. the unemployed recorded a sharp rise, the dercentage being more than 3 times that of 1937. But a part of this increase is spurious because in 1937 the unemployeds included only non-freshers i.e. those who had a job previously but had lost it and not those who were educands before and/or were seeking a job for the first time. The 1954 figure includes both freshers and non-freshers and as such the increase in the relative magnitude of the unemployed persons between the two years is exaggerated. If we compare only the non-freshers in 1954 with the unemployeds-in 1937 that would be more appropriate. The non-fresher unemployeds formed 6 per cent of the total earners in 1954. Even after excluding the freshers therefore the percentage of the unemployeds in the total was more than twice that of 1937.

The numbers of cultivators in both the surveys are tóo small to yield any reliable comparative results. The decline in the proportion of beggars and prostitutes in 1954 to about one-third of that of 1937 is surprising. The decline was shared by both the groups, that among beggars being rather sharp. This decline among beggars may be attributable to the nature of the samples in the two surveys. The 1937 Survey could cover only those beggars who lived in houses while the present survey could cover those beggars only that had a ration card. Both these would obviously give biased and unrepresentative samples of beggars and a comparison between the samples is not worthwhile.

Concentrating attention on the first ten grades we can now try to gauge the nature of change that had taken place in regard to the occupational distribution of earners. It would be better to consider the three classes i.e. manual workers, business and salariat into which the first 10 grades can be divided to find out the change in the relative positions of these classes. Consider the unskilled, skilled and highly skilled manual workers of Grades I, II, and V.The unskilled workers record a slight relative decline in 1954 over 1937, the skilled ones a more sharp relative decline while the highly skilled ones record a significant increase. The decreases and the increases do not compensate one another and the total proportion of workers among the earners in 1954 was reduced to 49

\footnotetext{
1. A Chisquare test showed that all the variations were significent.
}
per cent from 56 per cent in 1937. The proportion of skilled and highly skilled workers among them, however, had recorded a decline of about 4 per cent in 1954.

In the class of business,_small, medium and big,-contained in Grades IV, VIII and X respectively, we find a relative decline in all. The extent of this decline was largest in medium businesses, small businesses and big businesses following in that order with more modest declines. While therefore in 1937, 20 per cent of the earners in the sample were in business in 1954 only about 15 per cent of the earners were found there. What can be the possible reason for this? In the first place it should of course be realised that the percentage decline discussed above is nowhere of a magnitude making for an absolute decline in the number of business men or business establishments. It only means that the businesses had not expanded-expansion as indicated by the number of persons engaged in them-to the same extent as population.

There is, however, some evidence which indicates that the average size of a business unit had possibly increased. This is, firstly, indirectly indicated by about the 14 -fold increase in the number of shop-assistants in 1954 as compared to 1937. Secondly, a glance at the relative level of earnings in different grades in 1937 and 1954 showed that the earnings in Grade VIII, comprising medium businesses, possibly recorded the highest increase of about 400-500 per cent over 1937, which indirectly indicated the relative greater prosperity of the business units in this grade. \({ }^{1}\)

Coming to the salariat of course we find that there was a sizeable increase. The large increases occurred in Grades VI and VII while Grade III recorded only a slight increase; Grade IX recorded a still slighter one. In 1937 the salariat absorbed about 17 per cent of the earners in the sample; in 1954 it absorbed slightly less than a quarter of them. The major part of this increase was concentrated among clerks in civil and military employments and government officers in intermediate positions in Grades VI and VII respectively. The tremendous increase in the number of Governofficers and employees during and after World War II was mainly responsible for this increase in the salariat.

The above points up the characteristic features of the urban development that has occurred during the period between the two surveys. The activities that have grown are those connected with

\footnotetext{
1. Because of foodgrain, cloth, etc., rationing during and after World War II the conditions were not favourable for the multiplication of new business units. This might have also kept the increase in their number low.
}
government and administration. Neither the growth of industry nor wholesale trade have relatively contributed to the expansion of the urban centre. To put it in another way they have grown only in response to the increase in employment that resulted from the proliferation of government activities. As such they played only a passive role. Poona continues to be an administrative centre and this aspect is developing faster than any other.

Apart from the larger quantitative changes in the distribution of earners as between the different groups of occupational grades it is also necessary to take into account certain qualitative changes in each grade. We may refer to the changes in the employment status of earners. In the present survey we enumerated were salary earners, casual workers, self employed persons or emthe earners into four employment classes according to whether they ployers. These categories and their definitions have been discus. sed in Chapter III and these need not detain us here. In Table 8.1 is presented the number of earners in each grade in each of these categories in 1937 and 1954. The 1937 data did not precisly distinguish between the last two categories. Therefore they are treated together in the 1937 figures.

It is quite clear that the proportion of salary earners had increased in every grade. The increase was quite sharp in Grades I, II, III, V and IX. The proportion of casual workers had generally declined in grades wherever such workers were present in 1937. In grades where the increase in salary earners singly or together with a decrease in casual workers had occurred the proportion of self-employed (for the comparison treating them and employers of 1954 together) had also recorded a decline. In other grades the picture was the same as that of 1937. The increase in the proportion of salary earners and the decrease in that of the casual workers in 1954 as compared to 1937 showed that there was a tendency towards employing regular servants for work which formerly was got done by employing casual workers.

Turning from a study of the broad changes in the three main categories of occupations we can look more closely into the changes within individual occupational grades. This may be done by comparing the strengths of various sub-classes of occupations in each grade at the time of the two surveys. Table 8.2 presents the necessary information in a comparable form. Considering the errors of sampling involved, it seems appropriate to attempt such comparisons only in occupations where there were at least 100 earners in either the 1937 or the present survey sample. For smaller groups such comparisons may not be sufficiently reliable.

TABLE No. 8.1.
Classification of earners in sample families in the 1937 Survey and the 1954 Survey according to thetr Employment Status and Occupation.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Occupational Grade}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{1937 Survey} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1954 Survey \\
1 Group of Wards
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & Casual Workers &  &  &  & s.ayioM [misej &  &  & \$ \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1 Unskilled Manual Work} & 801 & 665 & 25 & 1491 & 900 & 518 & 40 & - & 1458 \\
\hline II & \begin{tabular}{l}
Skilled \\
Manual Work
\end{tabular} & 501 & 386 & 477 & 1364 & 419 & 143 & 306 & 33 & 901 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} & 332 & 5 & 98 & 435 & 445 & 4 & 52 & - & 501 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { IV } \\
\text { V }
\end{gathered}
\]} & Small Businesse & 3es 4 & 6 & 591 & 601 & 2 & 2 & 482 & 47 & 533 \\
\hline & Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work & & 32 & 192 & 477 & 495 & 31 & 136 & 28 & 690 \\
\hline VI & Clerks \& ShopAssistants & 413 & - & - & 413 & 624 & 2 & 1 & - & 627 \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & 121 & - & 7 & 128 & 316 & - & 10 & 3 & 329 \\
\hline VIII & \begin{tabular}{l}
Medium \\
Businesses
\end{tabular} & 10 & - & 460 & 470 & 1 & - & 146 & 157 & 304 \\
\hline IX & Higher Professions, \& Salaried Posts & d 27 & - & 87 & 64 & 65 & - & 19 & 19 & 103 \\
\hline X & Owners of Fac tories, Large Shops, etc. & - & - & 122 & 122 & 1 & - & 11 & 79 & 91 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{XII} & Pensioners & 122 & - & - & 122 & 142 & - & - & - & 142 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Beggars \& \\
Prostitutes
\end{tabular} & - & 35 & 47 & 82 & - & 3 & 22 & - & 25 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
XIV \\
XIII
\end{tabular}} & Cultivators & - & - & 30 & 30 & - & - & 4 & 10 & 14 \\
\hline & Unspecified & 9 & - & - & 9 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Total 2 & 2593 & 1129 & 2086 & 5808 & 3410 & 703 & 1229 & 376. & 5718 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 8.2.
Earners in the 1937 Survey and the 1954 Survey (comparable area) classified by occupation.


\footnotetext{
* 1954-figures adjusted for sample size to make them comparable to 1937-figures.
}

TABLE No. 8.2-(Comid.)
Earners in the 1937 Survey and the 1954 Survey (comparable area) classified by occupation.


\footnotetext{
* 1854-figures adjusted for sample size to make them comparable to 1937-figures.
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TABLE No. 8.2-(Contd.)
Earners in the 1937 Survey and the 1954 Survey (comparable area) classified by occupation.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Motor-drivers & 64 & 63 & 118 \\
\hline & Motor-repairers & 9 & 44 & 83 \\
\hline 3. & Wiremen \& fitters & 56 & 134 & 251 \\
\hline & Telegraph clerks & 2 & 6 & 11 \\
\hline & Compositors & 57 & 53 & 99 \\
\hline 5. & Book binders & 8 & 13 & 24 \\
\hline 7. & Gold smiths & 67 & 45 & 84 \\
\hline 8. & Tailors & 132 & 127 & 238 \\
\hline 9. & Cabinmasters & - & 1 & 2 \\
\hline & Machine operators & 6 & 33 & 62 \\
\hline 11. & Jobbers & 2 & 7 & 18 \\
\hline & Supervisors, Mukadams \& Mistries & 28 & 44 & 83 \\
\hline & Watch makers \& repairers & 5 & 8 & 15 \\
\hline & Welders \& Vulcanizers & \(\sim\) & 5 & 9 \\
\hline 15. & Foremen & 1 & 6 & 11 \\
\hline 16. & Radio-repairers & 3 & 12 & 23 \\
\hline & Telephone operators & 2 & 12
8 & 2. \\
\hline & Engine \& tractor drivers & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline & Photographers & 8 & 7 & 13 \\
\hline 20. & Turners & & 20 & 38 \\
\hline 21. & Block makers & 1 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline 22. & Commercial artists, etc. & 3 & 16 & 4 \\
\hline 23. & Horse-trainers & 3 & 16 & 30 \\
\hline 24. & Miscellaneous & 22 & 2
34 & 4 \\
\hline & al Grade V & 477 & 690 & 1294 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

V1 Clerks and Shop-Assistants.
1. Clerks in Govern-
ment offices . \(136 \quad 195 \quad 366\)
2. Accounts clerks in

Governments offices 236
3. Cashiers in Go-
vernment offices \(2 \quad 5 \quad 9\)
4. Time keepers in

Government offices 1
5. Clerks in semi-
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { government offices } & 25 & 49 & 92\end{array}\)
6. Accounts clerks
in semi-govern-
ment offices 1 3
7. Clerks in private
establishments \(191 \quad 105 \quad 197\)
8. Accounts clerks
in private
- establishments \(2 \quad 43 \quad 81\)
9. Cashiers in private
establishments \(3 \quad 7 \quad 13\)
10. Time keepers in private
establishments 13
11. Shop assistants
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { \& salesmen } & 11 & 75 & 141\end{array}\)
12. Clerks in military
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { services } & 21 & 118 & 221\end{array}\)
13. Typists 31324
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 14. Stenographers } & \rightarrow & 4 & 8 \\ \text { 15. } & \text { Miscellaneous } & \mathbf{1 4} & \mathbf{1} & 2\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total Grade VI } & 413 & 627 & 1178\end{array}\)

VII Intermediate Professions,
Salaried Posts, etc.
1. Civil \& Mechanical \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { engineers } & 5 & 19 & 36\end{array}\)
2. Secondary
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Teachers } & 41 & 36 & 67\end{array}\)
3. Managers \(\quad 9 \quad 30 \quad 56\)

\footnotetext{
* 1954-figures adjusted for sample size to make them comparable to 1937-figures.
}

TABLE No. 8.2-(Contd)
Earnere in the 1937 Survey and the 1954 Survey (comparable area) olassified by occupation.


\footnotetext{
* 1954-figures adjusted for ample size to make them comparable to 1937-figures.
}

TABLE No. 8.2-(Contd.)
Earners in the 1937 Survey and the 1954 Survey (comparable area) classified by occupation.


\footnotetext{
* 1954-figures adjusted for sample size to make them comparable to 1937 -figures.
}

Further, all such cases of comparison were tested by the Chisquare test and only those found statistically significant are referred to below.

In Grade I we consider servants in workshops, factories, etc. domestic servants, coolies and others engaged in sundry work, servants in shops and labourers engaged in earth work, road making, etc. All of them, except the last, recorded increases in their numbers over 1937 ranging from about 15 per cent to about 500 per cent. The last category recorded a decline of about 60 per cent over 1937. Workers in factories had increased more than five times, domestic servants by about 56 per cent, coolies and others doing sundry work by about 23 per cent and servants in shops by about 12 per cent. The increase in factory workers was partly due to the change in the deflnition of a factory under the Factories' Act, \({ }^{1}\) partly to the expansion of the old factories and the starting of new factories in the period between the surveys.

The decline in the number of workers engaged in earth work and road construction can be only partly explained. Employment on road repairs and construction within the city has been consideably reduced by the complete asphalting of roads and cement concreting of alleys during 1948-1949.

In Grade II the important sub-groups were carpenters, masons and gardeners. The carpenters recorded an increase of about 35 per cent. On the other hand there was only a slight decline, bordering on stagnation, in the number of masons and gardeners. The low level of building activity may generally explain the stagnation in the number of masons. The non-expansion of the employment of gardeners is difficult to explain.

The important sub-group in Grade IV was hawkers. It had recorded an increase of about 32 per cent over 1937.

One sub-group in Grade V, wiremen and fitters, had recorded a sharp increase. It had increased more than four times that of 1937 .

Clerks, in government, private and military employment were the important groups in Grade VI. Al recorded increases over 1937, the smallest of 3 per cent being recorded by clerks in private employ. Clerks in Civil Government employ had increased by 170 per cent. Clerks in Military employ in 1954 were more than 10 times those of 1937.
1. Under the 1934 Act an establishment using power and employing more than 20 persons was defined as a factory. The Factories' Act of 1948 defined the factory as an establishment using power and employing more than 10 persons.

In the remaining Grades IX and \(X\) the total number of earners was small and no comment on the variation in their subgroups could be validly made.

Switching from an analysis of the change in the strengths of the different occupational grades to the change in the level of earnings \({ }^{1}\) in them we elected to analyse it in terms of the first quartile, median and third quartile earnings. A comparison of average earnings would not give a correct picture. Moreover, by comparing the quartile earnings it was also possible to guage the dispersion of earnings within each grade. Table 8.3 gives the 1st, 2nd and 3rd quartile earnings of the earners in the different occupational grades in 1937 and 1954.

In the first ten grades, in the majority of cases, the earnings in 1954 had increased by about 3 to 4 times those of the corresponding earnings in 1937. Perhaps the most remarkable increase had occurred in Grade I where the first and second quartile earnings had gone up by more than three-and-a-half times and the third quartile earnings by more than 4 times. An equally remarkable increase had occurred in the first quartile earnings in Grade VIII where they had increased by a little less than 5 times those of 1937. The lowest increase was among pensioners. This was natural because the pensioners were not helped by dearness allowances, etc. during this period.

A comparison of the rates of increase within the different grades as between the different quartile earnings indicates that the inequality of earnings on the whole had not increased over the period from 1937 to 1954. If anything it had decreased. In Grades III, VI, VII, and IX among the first 10, the dispersion of earnings had narrowed mainly because the 3rd quartile earnings had failed to increase as much as the 1st and the 2nd quartile earnings. In the other grades the dispersion of earnings had definitely increased mainly because the 3rd quartile earnings in them had increased more than the 1st and the 2nd quartile ones. Generally speaking the increase or reduction of inequality of earnings in the different grades was more significant in regard to earnings above the median than those below it except in Grade VIII where the 1st quartile earnings had increased much more than the 2 nd and 3 rd quartile ones.

\footnotetext{
1. The per capita income in 1937 was Rs. 140, in 1954 it had increased to Rs. 356 i.e. more than \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) times. The proportions of earned and unearned incomes composing these did not show any significant variation over the period. Similarly the shares of the earnings of local earners, income from subsidiary occupations and from other sources had not changed to any significant extent.
}

TABLE No. 8.8.
1et, 2nd and 3rd Quartile earnings of the earners in different occupational grades.


1 ' Not only had the inequality of earnings* within each grade declined but the median earnings between the grades had tended to converge. Where as the ratio between the median earnings of Grade I and Grade X' was 1:12 in 1937, it was 1:10 in 1954.

Turning from the individual to the family we should examine whether the distribution of families according to the occupational grades of their heads had changed and how far had the incomes of families changed over the period. Table 8.4 gives the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quartiles of family incomes in different occupational grades in 1937 and 1954.

TABLE No. 8.4.
18t, 2nd and 3rd Quartiles of Family Incomes in Different Occupational Grades in 1937 and 1954.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Occupational Grade}} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Income in Rs. } \\
1937
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Income in Rs. } \\
.1954
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline & & Q. 1 & Q. 2 & Q. 3 & Q. 1 & Q. 2 & Q. 3 \\
\hline I & Unskilled Manual Work & 117 & -185 \({ }^{\circ}\) & 266 & 433 & 721 & 999 \\
\hline II & Skilled Manual Work & 182 & 364 & . 369 & 585 & 874 & 1465 \\
\hline III & Lowest Professions \& Adminstrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 186 & 253 & 403 & 696 & 953 & 1587 \\
\hline IV & Small Businesses & 163 & 233 & 359 & 513 & 827 & 1421 \\
\hline V & Highly Skilled \& Super. sory; Manual Work & 256 & 362 & 469 & 825 & 1303 & 1823 \\
\hline VI & Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 371 & 581 & 1062 & 1156 & 167.7 & 2511 \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions \& Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & 659 & 1108 & 1860 & 1609 & 2442 & 3354 \\
\hline VIII & Medium Businesses & 370 & 556 & 890 & 1567 & 2431 & 3698 \\
\hline IX & Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts- & 1183 & ove Rs
2000 & Above & & 4730 & 9007 \\
\hline X & Owners of Factories, Large Shops, etc. & 1183
1203 & 2000
1756 & 2000
-do- & 8545
4049 & 4730
4920 & 7007 \\
\hline XI & Pensioners & 447 & 1068 & -do & 1250 & 2441 & 4775 \\
\hline XII, & Beggars \& Prostitutes & 82 & 257 & 452 & 229 & 428 & 850 \\
\hline XIV & Cultivators & 308 & 383 & 1250 & 875 & 1833 & 4375 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The occupational structure of families as reckoned from the occupations of their heads had on the whole changed to a small extent. As was natural, whatever changes had occurred were similar to those that we noted in regard to the occupational distribution of earners. So that these need not be commented upon at length here. The range of increases in family income over the pe-
riod between the two surveys was rather wide i.e. between 1.8 to 4.8 times that of 1937. But these were the extreme limits. In the first four grades the incomes in 1954 were roughly between 3 to 3.9 times those of 1937. In Grades VI and VII the increase was only between 2 to 3 times. In Grade VIII the increase was more than 4 times and in IX and \(X\) it was between 2 and 3 times roughly. Except in Grade III the degree of inequality of incomes had not tended to increase in any other grade over the period. In Grade III the middle incomes had increased to a smaller extent than the 1st and 3rd quartile incomes, the latter having increased more than. the former. As a result the degree of inequality of earnings in that grade had tended to increase. The inequality of family incomes between occupational grades had declined. The ratio of median incomes in Grades I and X in 1937 was 1:9.5; it was 1:6.8 in 1954.

From the socio-economic point of view it is important to study the occupational structures of the different communities in Poona and to examine whether that had changed, and if so, in what directions? This we do by comparing the occupational distribution of heads of families of different communities.

It would be of course realised that whatever changes have taken place in respect of individual communities have been within the frame work of the overall changes that we have already noted. The broad changes such as the slight decline in the proportion of earners in Grade I, decline in Grade II, increase in Grades Y, VI, etc. noted for the sample as a whole were shared by most of the communities. Only a few exceptions, as noted later, were found. Again, broadly speaking, the occupational structure of the different communities had not changed in very marked ways though there were some significant shifts between occupational grades between the two surveys. Marathas, forming the majority community, conformed to the overall distribution very closely. The Deshastha Brahmins recorded increases in Grades \(V\) and VII but smaller or larger decines in most others. The proportion of Konkanastha Brahmins had increased in Grade IX. The percentage of Other Brahmins in Grade VII had increased by-more than three times that of 1937. The persons "from weaving communities had considerably increased in Grades V and VI. The Depressed classes had increased their proportional representation in Grade VI. .The Muhommedans had recorded a sharp decline in their relative strength in Grade VIII. In 1937, there were very few Sikhs-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs, etc. in Poona and it would not be valid to compare their occupational distribution with that of those who had come to Poona in large numbers in recent years, mainly as refugees. So too in regard to communi-
ties with less than 100 families in the sample we did not think that a comparison of the results of the two surveys would be valid or. representative.

Two communities showed changes that run remarkably counter to the total broad current of changes in the sample as a whole. These were the Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains and the Christians. Among the first group, the relative strength in Grades III, V and X recorded declines. There was a relative increase in Grade VI in 1954. Among Christians there was a significant increase in Grade I and a sharp decrease in Grade VI. The Gujarati-Marwadi-Jain group thus seemed to have concentrated in business and withdrawn from highly skilled and lowest professional jobs. The increase in the unskilled element among the Christians and the decline of the whitecollar elements were partly explained by the efflux of Europeans and Anglo-Indians after 1947. They were included among Christians in the 1937 Survey and were mainly in white collar occupations.

In the Indian context perhaps the most significant index of economic change would be the general and the particular incidence of poverty at the two surveys. The Poverty Line in 1937 and 1954 was defined at an annual income per adult equivalent of Rs. 150 and Rs. 600 respectively. We have also noted that the general incidence of poverty had increased during the period that lapsed between the two surveys by 16 per cent. \({ }^{1}\) An examination of the incidence of poverty community-wise indicated that the incidence had increased in most of the community groups. The solitary exceptions were the weavers and Dhangar-Gaolis, in whose case the incidence had decreased slightly. It is not a very marked or significant improve. ment but had to be specially mentioned because of its exceptional character in the whole gamut of changes in this respect. The change may be attributed in the case of weavers to the period of prosperity they enjoyed during World War II when mill-made cloth was scarce as also the various protective measures that Governments in India have since adopted to prevent a worsening of their position. The high price of milk might to a certain extent accoùnt for the improvement among Gaolis. The case of Dhangars was rather intriguing and no explanation for their improvement readily occurs to the mind. However, as already emphasized, the improvements were so small that they might have been accidental and there was no need to go more deeply into them.

The increased incidence of poverty in 1954 was borne out by other evidence also. If per capita incomes had increased during the period by a little more than \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) times while the level of the poverty

\footnotetext{
1. A Chi-square test showed that this was significant.
}
line had increased by 4 times, most obviously the incidence of poverty would increase. The extent of this increase was different in different communities. Malis recorded about the lowest increase. While the Sikh-Punjabi-Sindhi-Rajputs group recorded the largest increase. However the latter were not repesentative because, as pointed out before, this group in 1937 was very small and in 1954 had swollen enormously because of the influx of refugees. As such the two were not strictly comparabls. Artisan Group I therefore must be said to record the largest increase in the incidence of poverty.

Ignoring the absolute incidence of poverty in the different communities, if we only rank them according to it we find that though several communities had changed their rank the movement had been very narrow. As a result in broad outline and in larger groups the relative positions of different communities had not changed significantly. (The weager-Dhangar-Gaoli-Depressed classes-complex continued to occupy the bottom of the scale while the Brahmin-Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains-Parsi-complex persisted at the apex. In other words, the social and economic divisions in the tociety had not changed since 1937.

The destitution line was defined at Rs. 225 as noted previously. Whereas 15 per cent of the sample families were below the destitution line in 1937, in 1954 their percentage had increased to 25. \({ }^{1}\) The extent of the increase of poverty was almost matched by the extent of the increase in destitution. If this is examined communitywise we find that except in the case of weavers and Dhangar-Gaolis there had been an increase in the incidence of poverty and destitution in almost all other communities, excepting of course such communities as Parsis who had no family represented in the destitute class. Judging these increases by the magnitude of the different percentages of incidence we find that the extent of the increase of destitution was greater than the increase in the extent of poverty among Marathas, Malis, Other Brahmins, Artisan Groups I and II, Depressed classes, Lingayats and South Indians, though the net excess of increase in all except the first two was very small. Incidence of poverty had increased more than that of destitution among. Konkanastha Brahmins and Gujarati-Marwadi-Jains. Only among weavers was there a decline in the incidence of both destitution and poverty; among Dhangar-Gaolis destitution had increased considerably though poverty had slightly decreased.

The incidence of destitution had, therefore, increased along with that of poverty in most communities but a smaller extent of increase of the incidence of destitution than in the extent of the
1. A Chi-square test showed that this increase was significant.
increase in the incidence of poverty was shown only by a few commanities.

Looking into the causes of poverty one thing very clearly emerges in the comparison of the results of the two surveys. In the 1937 Survey we noted that the incidence of poverty was not related to the size of the family i.e. its incidence among families with more than five members was lower than in those with less than 5 members. In the present survey, however, there was clear evidence that the incidence of poverty was higher the larger the number of members in the family. However, it would be possible to view the increase in the size of the family as the consequence rather than a cause of growing poverty. The overall incidence of poverty had increased between the two surveys; but the average size of the family had also increased. A slight tendency towards more joint families, families with more than one adult male earners, was also noted. It was also noted that the income of families with two or three adult male earners was not proportionately higher than that of families with one adult male earner only. There was also some evidence that the proportion of non-earners in families had increased. There was again considerable shortage of housing. All this dovetails with one another and indicates that possibly the slight tendency to more joint families was an effort to fight growing economic pressure; to keep together a number of adult male earners so that the pooled incomes and sharing of joint costs may meet the rising cost of living. These joint families if divided into several smaller units would have been perhaps worse off because as separate units their expenditure liabilities would have further increased as they would have had to incur higher expenditures on the overheads separately than they had to as joint units. Growing poverty or economic adversity may be said to have forced families to be more joint than before to better meet it. It was penury trying to keep itself decent.

There can be of course no doubt that the increased extent of unemployment in 1954 as compared to 1937 was an important cause of poverty. It was fairly well distributed and most communities were experiencing increased unemployment. The incidence of partial unemployment among casual workers had, as noted before alsu increased contributing to the increase in the extent of poverty in its own way.
/The comparison as a whole possibly brings out the limitations and drawbacks of a city whose urban base was mainly administrative and had remained so. Whatever happens in it happens as a result of the widening of this base. Trade, commerce, transport, industry, etc. are camp followers. In such a city economic develop-
ment comes about as a response to an expansion or contraction of the administrative base. Its problems typically arise from this fact. They can perhaps be tackled effectively if there is an attempt at changing and re-orienting the fundamental urban base. It will of course eliminate the typical flavour of foona as it is to-day and has been in the past!

\section*{APPENDLX I}

\section*{SOME STATISTICAL NOTES REGARDING THE 1937 SURVEY DATA:}

The purpose of this Appendix is two-fold. Firstly, it notes certain statistical inaccuracies that had crept into the published report of the 1937 Survey' which were discovered after its publication. Fortunately they were few. As in this report there are constant references to the earlier report for purposes of comparison and as we have used the corrected figures for such comparisons it was necessary to put together all the corrections in one place for convenience of reference. This is done here.

Secondly, the Appendix contains a note on certain discrepan. . cies that came to our notice between the occupational classification of earners as embodied in the published report of the 1937 Survey and the one that was recompiled in the retabulation of the 1937 data carried out along with that of the present survey.
1. Part I : Chapter II : Table No. 17: p. 53 : Distribution of male and female, adult and non-adult, pópulation by educational status in different wards.

In this table the figures for individual wards are correct. But the totals for the Poona City Municipality are wrong and also consequently are the figures of the grand total. The corrected table is printed on page 511, (Table A) and the corrected figures are in Italics. As the text only comments on the figures for individual wards, there is no change necessary in it.
2. Chapter II-Table No. 18, p. 57 : Distribution of male and female, adult and non-adult, population by earning status.

All individual figures for wards are correct. Only the total of adult female earners is wrong as also the total of female, earners for the Poona City Municipality. The grand totals of adult females and all female earners are also consequently wrong. The corrected table is printed on p. 514 and the corrected figures are in Italics (Table B). As there are no comments on these figures in the text no change is necessary there.
3. Chapter II, P. 56 : "The most usual proportion in various wards seems to be that of one non-earner to about ten earners." This should read : "The most usual proportion in various wards

TABLE No. A.
Distribution of Mals and Fomale, Adult and Nom-adult Population by Educational Statue, (H.S.). 1987 Survey.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. Suburban Roads & 216 & 12 & 119 & 2 & 79 & 112 & 218 & 91 & 231 \\
\hline 2. Yeravda & 28 & - & 25 & - & 19 & 20 & 28 & 19 & 45 \\
\hline B. Navi Khadki & 8 & - & 11 & - & 5 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 17 \\
\hline 4. Sangamwadi & 7 & - & 7 & - & 6 & 4 & 7 & 6 & 11 \\
\hline 5. Wakdewadi & 6 & 1 & 4 & - & 4 & 9 & 6 & 5 & 18 \\
\hline Total S.M. & 265 & 13 & 166 & 2 & 113 & 151 & 267 & 126 & 817 \\
\hline Grand Total & 3686 & 518 & 1624 & 98 & 1872 & 1964 & 8754 & 1890 & 5588 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. A-(Contd.)
Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Non-adult Population by Educational Status, (H.S.). 1937 Survey.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Wards}} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Female} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
Lite- Edu- Illiterate cand rate
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Adult \\
Lite- Edu- Illiterate cand rate
\end{tabular}} &  & on-adul Educand & Illiterate & & & \\
\hline 1. & Shivajınagar & 69 & 14 & 205 & 4 & 38 & 134 & 73 & 52 & 339 \\
\hline 2. & Sadashiv & 216 & 47 & 259 & 8 & 117 & 165 & 224 & 164 & 424 \\
\hline 3. & Narayan & 96 & 10 & 75 & 2 & 35 & 59 & 98 & 45 & 134 \\
\hline 4. & Shanwar & 115 & 14 & 86 & - & 63 & 61 & 115 & 77 & 147 \\
\hline 5. & Budhwar & 107, & 8 & 122 & 1 & 49 & 94 & 108 & 57 & 216 \\
\hline 6. & Raviwar & 116 & 6 & 320 & 11 & 90 & 177 & 127 & 96 & 497 \\
\hline 7. & Kasba & 80 & 3 & 380 & 4 & 44 & 198 & 84 & 47 & 578 \\
\hline 8. & Mangalwar & 6 & - & 180 & 4 & 11 & 107 & 10 & 11 & 287 \\
\hline 9. & Somwar & 115 & 14 & 86 & - & 63 & 61 & 115 & 77 & 147 \\
\hline 10. & Rasta & 84 & 17 & 33 & 1 & 52 & 29 & 85 & 69 & 62 \\
\hline 11. & Nihal & 13 & - & 37 & - & 12 & 20 & 13 & 12 & 57 \\
\hline 12. & Ganesh & 15 & 1 & 147 & 6 & 13 & 66 & 21 & 14 & 213 \\
\hline 13. & Nana & 63 & 7 & 337 & 14 & 51 & 172 & 77 & 68 & 509 \\
\hline 14. & Bhavani & 22 & 1 & 376 & 8 & . 21 & 168 & 30 & 22 & 544 \\
\hline 15. & Ganj & 23 & 2 & 239 & 1 & 78 & 102 & 24 & 80 & 341 \\
\hline 16. & Vetal & 57 & 4 & 160 & 7 & 40 & 72 & 64 & 44 & 232 \\
\hline 17. & Shukrawar & 148 & 11 & 515 & 5 & 115 & 229 & 153 & 126 & 744 \\
\hline 18. & Ghorpade, Gultekadi & 4 & - & 46 & - & 2 & 26 & 4 & 2 & 72 \\
\hline & Total P.C.M. & 1349 & 159 & 3603 & 76 & 894 & 1940 & 1425 & 1053 & 554\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. Suburban Roads & 61 & 5 & 247 & 2 & 37 & 154 & 63 & 42 & 401 \\
\hline 2. Yeravda & 4 & - & 49 & - & 7 & 26 & 4 & 7 & 75 \\
\hline 3. Navi Khadki & - & - & 16 & - & - & 10 & - & - & 26 \\
\hline 4. Sangamwadi & - & - & 14 & - & 1 & 8 & - & 1 & 22 \\
\hline b. Wakdewadi & 1 & - & 10 & - & 3 & 9 & 1 & 3 & 19 \\
\hline Total S.M. & 66 & 5 & 336 & 2 & 48 & 207 & 68 & 53 & 543 \\
\hline Grand Total & 1415 & 164 & 3989 & 78 & 342 & 2147 & 14.95 & 1106 & 6086 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. B.
Distribution of Male and Fomelo, Adolt and Non-adult Population - by Earning Status, (H.S.). 1987 Survey.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{3}{*}{Wards}} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Male} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total}} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Adult} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Non-adult} & & & \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Non- } \\
& \text { ear- } \\
& \text { ners }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ear- } \\
& \text { ners }
\end{aligned}
\] & Unemployed & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Non- } \\
\text { ear- } \\
\text { ners }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ear- } \\
& \text { ners }
\end{aligned}
\] & Unemployed & Non-earaers & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Ear- Unners employed} \\
\hline 1. & Shivajinagar & 52 & 259 & 10 & 175 & 12 & - & 227 & 271 & 10 \\
\hline 8. & Sadashiv & 144 & 383 & 11 & 318 & 1 & - & 457 & 384 & 11 \\
\hline 3. & Narayan & 50 & 138 & 4 & 122 & 1 & - & 172 & 139 & 4 \\
\hline 4. & Shanwar & 49 & 166 & 11 & 135 & - & - & 184 & 166 & 11 \\
\hline 5. & Budhwar & 47 & 200 & 5 & 123 & 3 & - & 170 & 203 & 5 \\
\hline 6. & Raviwar & 65 & 428 & 13 & 286 & 5 & - & 341 & 433 & 13 \\
\hline 7. & Kasba & 74 & 383 & 18 & 259 & 4 & - & 833 & 387 & 18 \\
\hline 8. & Mangalwar & 14 & 158 & 5 & 99 & 7 & - & 113 & 165 & 5 \\
\hline 9. & Somwar & 38 & 231 & 3 & 158 & 9 & - & 196 & 240 & 3 \\
\hline 10. & Rasta & 44 & 90 & 2 & 89 & 1 & - & 138 & 01 & 2 \\
\hline 11. & Nihal & 5 & 48 & - & 40 & - & - & 45 & 48 & - \\
\hline 12. & Ganesh & 25 & 145 & . 9 & 77 & 2 & - & 102 & 147 & 9 \\
\hline 13. & Nana & 55 & 364 & 13 & 300 & 8 & - & 355 & 373 & 13 \\
\hline 14. & Bhavani & 45 & 330 & 15 & 287 & 14 & - & 282 & 844 & 15 \\
\hline 15. & Ganj & 25 & 233 & 19 & 175 & 5 & 1 & 200 & 238 & 20 \\
\hline 16. & Vetal & 33 & 189 & 11 & 146 & 6 & - & 179 & 195 & 11 \\
\hline 17. & Shukrawar & 115 & 556 & 10 & 351 & 10 & - & 466 & 566 & 10 \\
\hline 18. & Ghorpade, Gultekadí & 7 & 43 & - & 31 & 3 & - & 38 & 46 & - \\
\hline & Total P.C.M. & 877 & 4344 & 159 & 3116 & 92 & 1 & 8993 & 4436 & 160 \\
\hline 1. & Suburban Boads & 32 & 811 & 4 & 190 & 8 & - & 222 & 814 & 4 \\
\hline 2. & Yeravda & 4 & 49 & - & 37 & 2 & - & 41 & 51 & - \\
\hline 8. & Navi Khadki & - & 19 & \(\cdots\) & 11 & - & - & 11 & 19 & - \\
\hline 4. & Sangamwadi & 1 & 13 & - & 10 & - & - & 11 & 13 & - \\
\hline 5. & Wakdewadi & 1 & 10 & - & 13 & - & \(\square\) & 14 & 10 & - \\
\hline & Total S.M. & 38 & 402 & 4 & 261 & 5 & - & 299 & 407 & 4 \\
\hline & Grand Total & 015 & 4746 & 163 & 3377 & 97 & 1 & 4292 & 4843 & 164 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{F... 33} & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Wards}} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Female} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Non- } \\
& \text { ear- } \\
& \text { ners }
\end{aligned}
\] & Adult Earners & Unemployed & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{N} \\
\text { Non- } \\
\text { ear- } \\
\text { ners }
\end{gathered}
\] & Non-adul Earners & Unemployed & Nonners & Total Earners pl & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Jn- } \\
& \text { yed } \\
& \text { yed }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & Shivajinagar & 235 & 51 & 2 & 174 & 2 & - & 409 & 53 & 2 \\
\hline & Sadashiv & 467 & 54 & 1 & 290 & - & - & 757 & 54 & 1 \\
\hline & Narayan & 156 & 25 & - & 96 & \(\cdots\) & - & 252 & 25 & - \\
\hline 4. & Shanwar & 192 & 22 & 1 & 124 & - & - & 316 & 22 & 1 \\
\hline 5. & Budhwar & 221 & 16 & - & 144 & - & - & 365 & 16 & - \\
\hline 6. & Raviwar & 396 & 45 & 1 & 278 & - & - & 674 & 45 & 1 \\
\hline 7. & Kasba & 371 & 92 & - & 245 & 1 & - & 616 & 93 & - \\
\hline 8. & Mangalwar & 132 & 54 & - & 122 & - & - & 254 & 54 & - \\
\hline 9. & Somwar & 187 & 53 & - & 177 & 1 & - & 364 & 54 & - \\
\hline & Rasta & 129 & 5 & - & 82 & - & - & 211 & 5 & - \\
\hline 11. & Nihal & 40 & 10 & - & 32 & - & - & 72 & 10 & - \\
\hline 12. & Ganesh & 130 & 33 & - & 83 & 2 & - & 213 & 35 & - \\
\hline 13. & Nana & 313 & 92 & 2 & 235 & 2 & - & 548 & 94 & 2 \\
\hline 14. & Bhavani & 268 & 130 & 1 & 194 & 3 & - & 462 & 133 & 1 \\
\hline & Ganj & 175 & 19 & - & 170 & 2 & - & 345 & 21 & - \\
\hline 16. & Vetal & 192 & 29 & - & 119 & - & - & 311 & 29 & - \\
\hline 17. & Shukrawar & 529 & 45 & - & 348 & 1 & - & 877 & 46 & - \\
\hline & Ghorpade, Gultekadi & 41 & 9 & - & 27 & 1 & - & 68 & 10 & - \\
\hline & Total P.C.M & C. 4174 & ، 784 & 8 & 2940 & 15 & - & 7114 & 798 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. Suburban Roads & 249 & 64 & - & 193 & - & - & 442 & 64 & - \\
\hline 2. Yeravda & 44 & 9 & - & 33 & - & - & 77 & 9 & - \\
\hline 3. Navi Khadki & 12 & -4 & - & 10 & - & - & 22 & 4 & - \\
\hline 4. Sangamwadi & 10 & 4 & - & 9 & \(\cdots\) & - & 19 & 4 & - \\
\hline 5. Wakdewadi & - & 2 & - & 12 & \(\rightarrow\) & - & 21 & 2 & - \\
\hline Total S.M. & 324 & 83 & - & 257 & - & - & 581 & 83 & - \\
\hline Grand Total & 4498 & 867 & 8 & 8197 & 15 & - & 7695 & \(88 \%\) & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
seems to be that of one adult male non-earner to ten adult male earners."
4.. Chapter II : Table 19 : p. 60 : Distribution of Male and Female, adult and non-adult population according to civil condition :

Again all figures for individual wards are correct. The totals of single and married adult females and married total females for the Poona City Municipality are incorrect. The grand totals of single and married adult females and total married and widowed females are also incorrect. Corrected table is printed on pp. 516-517 and the corrected figures are in italics (Table C.). As comments in the text are confined to ward figures only no revision or correction there is called for.
5. Chapter VI : Table 54, p. 266 : Earners classified according to Occupation, sex, age, annual income, etc.

There is only one error of classification. In "sub-class 4" in Occupational Grade VII of "Intermediate Occupations" were put persons engaged in "Agency Businesses". They should have been put into Grade VIII-Medium Businesses.
6. Reclassification and Re-tabulation of the occupations of earners in the 1937 Survey done in 1954 compared with the earlier classification as embodied in Poona, Part I, Table 54, p. 266.

We have referred to the fact that taking advantage of the present survey, we had the whole of the 1937 data retabulated and re-examined. This was done with the mechanical equipment now installed at the Institute's statistical laboratory. When the data of the 1937 Survey was originally tabulated, the Institute had little mechanical equipment and most of the work was done by hand. It is necessary to bear this in mind in order to appreciate the following comments.
ir The 1937 Survey was the first socio-economic survey of an urban area undertaken by the Institute. As a first venture there was quite a degree of hesitation, indecision and vagueness about the design of classification of the data. Most of these were due to the lack of previous experience. These were inevitably reflected in the results of the Survey. In 1954 when the present survey was undertaken, the Institute had completed two city surveys besides that of Poona and had acquired considerable experience in that field. When therefore in 1954 we undertook to retabulate the 1937 Survey data from the original questionnaires, we were approaching the task with experience. The scheme of occupational classification was the same as in 1937 but it had been considerably ex-

TABLE No. C.
Distribution of Male and Female, Adult and Nonadult Population according to Civil Condition, (H.S.). 1937 Survey.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{3}{*}{Wards}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Single} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Male} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Single} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total } \\
& \text { Mar- } \\
& \text { ried }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Widowed} \\
\hline & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adult} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Non-adult} & & & \\
\hline & & & Mar- & Widowed & Single & \[
\underset{\text { Mied }}{\text { Mar- }}
\] & Widowed & & & \\
\hline & Shivajinagar & 78 & 217 & 26 & 185 & 2 & - & 263 & 219 & 26 \\
\hline & Sadashiv & 191 & 317 & 30 & 314 & - & - & 505 & 817 & 30 \\
\hline & Narayan & 66 & 105 & 21 & 123 & - & - & 189 & 105 & 21 \\
\hline & Shanwar & 79 & 124 & 23 & 135 & - & - & 214 & 124 & 23 \\
\hline & Budhwar & 79 & 145 & 28 & 125 & 1 & - & 204 & 146 & 28 \\
\hline 6. & Raviwar & 112 & 336 & 48 & 290 & 1 & - & 402 & 337 & 48 \\
\hline & Kasba & 117 & 308 & 50 & 263 & - & - & 380 & 308 & 50 \\
\hline & Mangalwar & 28 & 132 & 17 & 106 & - & - & 134 & 132 & 17 \\
\hline 9. & Somwar & 68 & 181 & 23. & 167 & - & - & 235. & 181 & 23 \\
\hline & Rasta & 50 & 80 & 6. & 90 & - & - & 140 & 80 & 6 \\
\hline & Nihal & 10 & 41 & 2 & 40 & - & - & 50 & 41 & 2 \\
\hline 12. & Ganesh & 45 & 117 & 17 & 78 & 1 & - & 123 & 118 & 17 \\
\hline & Nana & 91 & 298 & 48 & 308 & 1 & - & 399 & 294 & 48 \\
\hline & Bhavani & 74 & 278 & 38 & 251 & - & - & 325 & 278 & 38 \\
\hline & Ganj & 64 & 192 & 21 & 179 & 2 & - & 243 & 194 & 21 \\
\hline 16. & Vetal & 63 & 152 & 18 & 152 & - & - & 215 & 152 & 18 \\
\hline 17. & Shukrawar & 167 & 448 & 66 & 360 & 1 & - & 527 & 449 & 66 \\
\hline & Ghorpade, Gultekdi & 11 & 36 & 3 & 34 & - & - & 45 & 36 & 8 \\
\hline & Total P.C.M. & M. 1393 & 3502 & 485 & 3200 & 9 & - & 4593 & ¢ 3511 & 485 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. & Suburban Roads & 77 & 244 & 26 & 193 & - & - & 270 & 244 & 26 \\
\hline 2. & Yeravda & 7 & 40 & 6 & 39 & \(\cdots\) & - & 46 & 40 & 6 \\
\hline 3. & Navi Khadki & 4 & 14 & 1 & 11 & - & - & 15 & 14 & 1 \\
\hline 4. & Sangamwadi & 2 & 9 & 3 & 10 & - & - & 12 & 9 & 3 \\
\hline 5. & Wakdewadi & 2 & 9 & - & 13 & - & - & 15 & 9 & - \\
\hline & Total S.M. & 92 & 816 & 86 & 266 & - & - & 358 & 816 & 36 \\
\hline & Grand Total & 1485 & 3818 & 521 & 3466 & 9 & - & 4951 & 3827 & 521 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table No. C-(Contd.)
Distrabution of Male and Eemale, Adult and Non-aduli Population acoording to Civil Condition, (H.S.). 1937 Survey.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Femals} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Adult} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Non-adult} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Single} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Marned} & \\
\hline & Wards & Single & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Mar- } \\
\text { ried }
\end{gathered}
\] & Widowed & Single & Mar-
ried & Widowed & & & Widowed \\
\hline 1. & Shivajinagar & 18 & 208 & 62 & 162 & 13 & 1 & 180 & 221 & 63 \\
\hline 2. & Sadashir & 52 & 327 & 143 & 285 & 5 & - & 837 & 332 & 143 \\
\hline 3. & Narayan & 17 & 105 & 59 & 90 & 5 & 1 & 107 & 110 & 60 \\
\hline 4. & Shanwar & 15 & 135 & 65 & 124 & - & - & 139 & 135 & 65 \\
\hline 5. & Budhwar & 11 & 148 & 78 & 142 & 2 & - & 153 & 150 & 78 \\
\hline 6. & Raviwar & 9 & 320 & 113 & 254 & 24 & - & 263 & 344 & 113 \\
\hline 7. & Kasba & 14 & 310 & 139 & 232 & 14 & - & 246 & 824 & 138 \\
\hline 8. & Mangalwar & 1 & 137 & 48 & 107 & 15 & - & 108 & 152 & 48 \\
\hline 2. & Somwar & 10 & 169 & 61 & 169 & 8 & 4 & 179 & 177 & 62 \\
\hline 10. & Rasta & 26 & 83 & 25 & 82 & - & - & 108 & 83 & 25 \\
\hline 11. & Nihal & - & 41 & 9 & 32 & - & - & 32 & 41 & 9 \\
\hline 12. & Ganesh & 1 & 109 & 52 & 69 & 15 & 1 & 70 & 124 & 54 \\
\hline 12. & Ganesh & 1 & 109 & 83 & 69 & 15 & 1 & 70 & 124 & 54 \\
\hline 14. & Bhavani & 9 & 281 & 109 & 182 & 15 & - & 191 & 296 & 109 \\
\hline & Ganj & 6 & 186 & 72 & 159 & 12 & 1 & 165 & 198 & 73 \\
\hline 16. & Vetal & 13 & 149 & 59 & 115 & & - & 128 & 153 & 69 \\
\hline 17. & Shukrawar & 25 & 409 & 180 & 830 & 19 & - & 855 & 428 & 180 \\
\hline 18. & Ghorpade, Gultekd & - & 86 & 14 & 24 & 4 & - & 24 & 40 & 14 \\
\hline & Total P.C.M. & 284 & 8581 & 1391 & 2786 & 165 & 6 & 3028 & 8606 & 1397 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. Suburban Roads & 18 & 226 & 69 & 178 & 15 & - & 196 & 241 & 69 \\
\hline 2. Yeravda & - & 40 & 13 & 32 & 1 & \(\cdots\) & 82' & 41 & 18 \\
\hline 3. Navi Khadki & - & 14 & 2 & 10 & - & - & 10 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline 4. Sangamwadi & 1 & 10 & 3 & 0 & - & - & 10 & 10 & 8 \\
\hline 5. Wakdewadi & - & 10 & 1 & 12 & - & - & 12 & 10 & 1 \\
\hline Total S.M. & 19 & 300 & 88 & 241 & 16 & - & 260 & 500 & 88 \\
\hline Grand Total & 258 & 8741 & 1478 & 8025 & 181 & 6 & 3288 & 8918 & 1485 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
panded for the classification of the data gathered in the present survey as noted in Chapter III.

The occupational distribution of earners as contained in Table 54 of Poona, Part I and as obtained by the retabulation done in 1954 were found to differ and in some respects markedly. Table D gives both these distributions by occupational grades.

TABLE No. D.
Occupational Distribution of Earners in the 1937 Survey as retabulated in 1954.


In the first place the total number of earners in the retabulation was less by 87 than in the original tabulation. This was because in 1937, 87 earners who were really living outside Poona City were wrongly treated as local earners. In the retabulation they were excluded from local earners.

Table \(\mathbf{D}\) shows that correspondence is fairly close in the two tabulations in Grades I, III, VII, IX, XI and XII. This does not mean that the correspondence was also as close in each of the subclasses within these grades. The correspondence was very close in the two tabulations even in sub-classes where the sub-class was
distinct and clearly demarcated. For example, in Grade I there was very close correspondence in the .two tabulations among bidiworkers, wood and grass cutters, etc. but in others there were at times considerable differences mainly because the sub-class was heterogeneous and not very sharply differentiated from some others. The 1954 classification, used for retabulation, was much more elaborate than the 1937 one and consequently the class of miscellaneous earners in each grade was much smaller in the 1954 retabulation than in the original 1937 tabulation. Wherever the description of the earner's occupation in the original questionnaire was full and specific the two tabulations did not differ; but in cases where the description was insufficient or vague the classification was a matter of judgement and interpretation, and these were not likely to be identical in 1937 and 1954. The retabulation of the 1937 data was carried out, however, with the aid of the same rules and conventions as were adopted for the occupational tabulation of the 1954 Survey data. From the point of view of comparison of the data of the two surveys the retabulated results of the 1937 survey could be used with fuller confidence than the original tabulation of the 1937 data.

The large differences in the two tabulations in Grades IV, VIII and \(X\) are due to the fact that in the retabulation we strictly adhered to the income limit fixed for each of these grades i.e. below Rs. 250 for Grade IV, Rs. 251-750 for Grade VIII and above Rs. 751 for Grade X. In the original tabulation of the 1937 data this rule though generally followed was not adhered to strictly. What would have been the total number of earners in the original tabulation if the rule had been strictly observed is shown by the figures in brackets in the Table \(\mathbf{D}\) near the column giving the original tabulation data. As can be seen, then, in Grades IV and VIII, the correspondence between the two tabulations would have been quite close. The discrepancy in Grade \(\mathbf{X}\) between the two tabulations is mainly due to the spillover into it from Grades IV and VIII.

In regard to Grade II there was quite a close correspondence in the two tabulations between several sub-classes but in the retabulation the grade as a whole had gained. This was mainly due to a more elaborate and precise formulation of sub-classes in the grade at the time of retabulation than at the original one. In Grade I the difference between the two tabulations is small. The grade as a whole had gained slightly. The difference between the two tabulations in regard to Grade VI was considerable. In the retabulation the Grade had been reduced in atrength because a number of servants in shops were found wrongly classified as shop-assis-
tants in the original tabulation. In the retabulation they went into Grade I sub-class-mervants in shops.
7. Table 55-Chapter VI-p. 276 : The first, second and third quartile incomes were read off from the cumulative percentage graph drawn by noting the percentage of earners in each income class in each grade and then joining the points for each grade by free hand. The graphs as drawn then were not very accurate and the first, second and third quartile earnings as presented in the table are different from those that can be calculated by the usual algebraical formula. Those so derived are presented in Table E.

It should be remembered that these rates have been calculated from the occupational data of the 1937 Survey as originally tabulated and embodied in Table 54, in Poona, Part I, pp. 256-269. In Table E separate calculations for male and female earners in each grade are supplied besides those for the total. We may here draw attention to the fact that the quartile incomes for different occupational grades in 1937 as presented in Table 8.3 for comparison with those of 1954, have been calculated from the retabulated data of the 1937 Survey.

In the discussion on occupations and earnings in Poona, Part 1, pp. 273-280, the quartile earnings calculations as embodied in Table No. 55 in Poona, Part I, p. 276 have been used mainly for descriptive rather than for analytical purposes., and no conclusions have been derived from them. We have therefore not thought it necessary to modify the comments in the text wherein the correct figures, as now supplied in Table E, can be easily substituted for wrong ones that were printed there.

TABLE No. E.
Dispersion of Annual Earnings of Males and Females in Various Ocoupational Grades.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Occupational Grade}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Lower Quartile} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Median} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Upper Quartile} \\
\hline & & \[
\underset{\text { Male }}{\substack{\text { Rs. }}}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Female } \\
& \text { Rs. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Total Rg. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Male } \\
\text { Rs. }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Female } \\
\text { Ra. }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Total } \\
R s .
\end{gathered}
\] & Male
\[
\mathbf{R s}_{\mathbf{s}}
\] & Female Re. & Total Re. \\
\hline 1 & Unskilled Manual Work & 104.8 & 39.7 & 65.4 & 147.8 & 79.1 & 120.2 & 204.5 & 120.6 & 176.8 \\
\hline II & Skilled Manual Work & 161.4 & 48.7 & 145.5 & 214.8 & 96.0 & 203.6 & 267.8 & 148.5 & 278.1 \\
\hline III & Lowest Professions, Administrative Posts, Primary Teachers, etc. & 166.0 & 195.5 & 167.8 & 217.2 & 802.5 & 222.8 & 368.7 & 416.7 & 820.4 \\
\hline IV & Small Businesses & 147.4 & 60.6 & 124.1 & 211.9 & 118.4 & 192.7 & 239.5 & 178.6 & 280.8 \\
\hline v & Highly Skilled \& Supervisory Manual Work & 212.9 & 83.3 & 205.9 & 308.6 & 72.2 & 308.8 & 413.7 & 1250 & 407.8 \\
\hline VI & Clerks \& Shop-Assistants & 279.6 & - & 279.6 & 416.8 & - & 416.2 & 610.0 & - & 610.0 \\
\hline VII & Intermediate Professions, Salaried Posts, Secondary Teachers, etc. & 540.0 & 416.7 & 530.0 & 923.1 & 466.7 & 884.6 & 1707.7 & 625.0 & 1697.0 \\
\hline VIII & Medium Businesses & 825.7 & 88.3 & 820.8 & 533,9 & 250.0 & 531.0 & 944.5 & 625.0 & 925.9 \\
\hline IX & Higher Professions \& Salaried Posts & 916.6 & - & 916.6 & 1620 & - & 1620 & - & - & * \\
\hline I & Ownars of Factories, Large Shops, etc. \({ }^{2}\) & 1200.0 & - & 1200.0 & * & - & * & - & - & - \\
\hline & Total 1-X & 162.1 & 46.0 & 129.4 & 295.6 & 91.9 & 212.4 & 895.0 & 140.7 & 362.9 \\
\hline & Pensioners & 157.1 & 37.5 & 228.6 & 547.6 & 75.0 & 511.8 & 1815.8 & 125.0 & 1210.5 \\
\hline & Beggars \& Prostitutes & 31.4 & 52.2 & 39.6 & 62.8 & 125.0 & 79.8 & 94.2 & 586.4 & 250.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION ON CERTAIN ASPECTS OF SOCIAL LIFE IN POONA}

In this appendix we have put together information, mainly statistical, regarding certain aspects of social life that had been treated in considerable detail in the 1937 Survey but which have not been touched in the main body of the present survey. This was because the present survey was planned with a limited objective in view and did not cover a number of social and economic aspects of the city's life. To compensate partly for these lacunae we have put into this appendix such information as will enable the reader to judge for himself the position in these fields as it was in 1953-54. We summarise here information about (I) Education-primary, secondary and collegiate (II) Medical relief (III) Poor Relief. The emphasis is on the presentation of statistical information with the minium of comments.

\section*{I. Education:}

Municipal Primary Education: Up to 1950 the Municipal School Board of the Poona City Municipality administered Primary Education both within the limits' of the City' and the Suburban Municipalities, as the Suburban Municipality had the status of a "Nonauthorised Local Body. \({ }^{1 "}\) In 1937 there were 57 Municipal primary schools with 13,142 pupils. Besides these the Municipa-' lity also supported several private primary schools in the area with 8,531 pupils \({ }^{2}\). The total number of pupils in these primary schools amounted to 21,674 in 1937 of which about 58 per cent were boys and the rest girls.

In the area of the Poona City and Suburban Municipalities in 1949-50 there were 88 public and 54 private primary schools with 29,014 and 15,258 pupils respectively. Obviously educational facilities in the area had considerably increased since 1937. The number of pupils in 1949-50 was more than twice that of 1937. Table \(F\) gives details regarding the primary schools and the pupils therein. Table G gives a classification of these pupils by communities. About 11 per cent of the pupils came from the Backward communities. The percentage of Mahar, Mang and Tribal pupils to

\footnotetext{
1. Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation for the year 1949-50, b. 64.
2. Poona, Paxt II, pp. 257, 268, 269.
}

TABLE No. F.
Number of Schoola and Pupils.*

= Poona Municipal Corporation Administration Report for the year 1949-50, Annual Administration Report for the School Board for the year 1949-50, pp. 212-213.
the total in 1935 was 5.7. \({ }^{1}\) Assuming that these constituted the bulk of the Backward classes in 1949-50 the educational progress among these communities in the period would appear to be appreciable. Table H summarises the data regarding primary education in the Poona Municipal Corporation area in 1953-54.
táble No. G.
Pupnls according to communities.*
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Schools } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Anglo- Advanced \\
Indians
\end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Inter- \\
mediate
\end{tabular} & Backward & Others & Total \\
Publac Schools & - & 5,871 & 18,328 & 3,937 & 878 & 29,014 \\
Private Schools & 1 & 6,328 & 6,222 & 1,116 & 1,591 & 15,258 \\
\hline Total & 1 & 12,199 & 24,540 & 4,953 & 2,469 & 44,272 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Poona Municipal Corporation Administration Report for the year 1949-50 Annual Administration Report of the School Board for the year 1949-50, pp. 213.

Compulsory Primary Education: Reference has already been made on p. 32 in Chapter I to the progress of this scheme in the the Poona Municipal Corporation area.
Municipal Secondary Education : The Corporation had inherited the Yeravada Secondary School (up to the VIII standard) run by the Suburban Municipality and continued to run it. In 1953 the Corporation started a full fledged new high school of its own.
"Nanawada High School: In May 1953 the Corporation resolved that a secondary school for boys should be started and managed by the Corporation in, the Nanawada premises. The school was meant entirely for the benefit of poor children, the monthly income of whose parents did not exceed Rs. 200. Accordingly with preliminary consultations with the Director of Education, the school started functioning from June 1953. There was a great rush for admission and owing to limited accommodation and in conformity with the funds sanctioned by the Corporation in the beginning only 1,057 students were admitted. The factors governing admission were (1) No child the income of whose parents exceeded Rs. 200 per month was admitted; (2) The children of municipal employees and municipal teachers studying in the Corporation's other schools were given preference; (3) The larger the number of children for
1. Poona, Part II, p. 259.

TABLE No. H.
Statoment showing the Details of all Primary Sohools and the number of Pupale in them on 81st March 1954.*

whose schooling the parents had to arrange the greater the preference; (4) The smaller the income of the parents below Rs. 200 the greater the preference; (5) Previous academic performance and examination results were also taken into account. The school started with 25 divisions, the distribution whereof was as follows : Standard V four divisions (86 students), Standard VI Three divisions ( 126 students), Standard VII three divisions ( 176 students), Standard VIII nine divisions (232 students), Standard IX three divisions ( 251 students), Standard X two divisions (107 students), and Standard XI one division ( 53 students)." \({ }^{1}\)
Secondary and Chiversity Education: In Table I we present information regarding the number, sex, community, etc. of the students in high schools, colleges and post-graduate institutions in Poona in 1953-54. The information presented in the table is similar with that presented in Table No. 76, in Poona, Part II pp. \(286-9\) and has been also obtained from the Director of Education, Bombay State. But the data are not comparable as they are because the 1953-54 data belong to a larger area covered by the Corporation than the 1937 data. Secondly, even within the old area the number of high schools and colleges has increased. Poona has also a separate University now. For convenience of reference and comparison we have arranged the institutions in each class in this table in the same order as that in Table No. 76 in Poona, Part Il and have made sub-totals for all the comparable institutions in each class.

In the 15 high schools for boys represented in Table No. 76 in Poona, Part II, there were 9,320 pupils in 1953-54 as against 7,811 in 1937-38, an increase of about 19 per cent. The proportion of boys of the Backward class was slightly less than 2 per cent in 1937-38 but had increased to 3 per cent in 1953-54. The total number of boys in the 48 high schools in the Poona area in 1953-54 was 19,608. The proportion of boys from the Backward class in them was 6 per cent.

In the 8 girls' high schools listed in 1937-38 there were 2,395 girls as compared to 4,032 in 1953-54, an increase of 66 per cent. The proportion of Backward class girls was one-half of one per cent in 1937-38. This had increased to 2.5 per cent in 1953-54. In the 15 high schools for girls listed in Table I there were a total of 6,232 girls of whom a little less than 2 per cent were from the Backward class.
1. Administration Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation for the year 1953-54, p. 142.

In the three arts colleges in Poona listed in Table 76; Poona part II there were in 1937-38, 2,663 students. The number had increased to 4,583 in 1953-54, an increase of about 70 per cent. In 1937-38 the proportion of Backward class students in these colleges was a little more than 1 per cent. In 1953-54 it had increased to a little more than 2 per cent. In 1953-54 there were, 4,934 students in the 6 arts colleges in Poona and the proportion of students from the Backward class was slightly more than 2 per cent in them.

In the three professional colleges listed in Table No. 76, Poona, Part II, there were 748 students in 1937-38, of whom 2.5. per cent were from the Backward class. In 1953-54 the same colleges had 1,257 students, an increase of 68 per cent. The proportion of students from the Backward class had increased to 4 per cent. The number of professional colleges had increased since 1937-38. The B. J. Medical School had been converted into the B. J. Medical College. The total number of students in all the professional collegiate institutions was 3,569 in 1953-54, and among these a little more than 2 per cent were from the Backward class.
II. Medical Relief : Medical relief at the hands of the Municipal authorities available to the citizens of Poona had increased considerably in volume and quality since 1937.

In 1937 in the area of the City Municipality there were 8 municipal charitable dispensaries, out of which 6 were allopathic and 2 Agurvedic. \({ }^{1}\)

In 1949-50, 3 Ayurvedic Municipal dispensaries that existed then were closed because they were little resorted to by the public and two of them were replaced by allopathic dispensaries. \({ }^{2}\) The total number of patients treated in 1949-50 at the 10 Municipal dispensaries was \(1,88,894\) of which 55,617 were new patients and the rest old ones. \({ }^{3}\) In 1953-54 the total number of patients treated in the 10 dispensaries had increased to \(3,11,198\) of which 98,993 were new patients and the rest old ones. The Medical Mobile Unit, set up in October 1953, served about 10,000 patients in the villages within the area of the Corporation. \({ }^{4}\)

\footnotetext{
1. Poona, Part II, p. 303.
2. Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation for the year 1949-50, p. 127.
3. Ibid, p. XL.
4. Administration Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation for the year 1953-54, p. 14, (Report of the Medical Officer of Health).
}

TABLE No. 1.
1953-54 Educational Institutions '(Colleges), Poona.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(1) \\
Name of the Institute
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { (2) } \\
\text { No. of Pupils }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(4) \\
Backward Class
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(5) \\
Students from Rural Area
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & Boys & Girls & Total & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline & Fergusson College & 1479 & 358 & 1837 & 34 & 6 & 348 & 61 \\
\hline & S. P. College & 1299 & 421 & 1720 & - & \(\sim\) & - & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Wadia College & 802 & 224 & 1026 & 54 & 1 & 33 & 2 \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 3580 & 1003 & 4583 & 88 & 7 & 381 & 63 \\
\hline & M. E. S. College & 212 & 30 & 242 & 8 & - & 67 & 2 \\
\hline & Int. Arts College of Law Socy. & 14 & 1 & 15 & - & - & - & \\
\hline & S. N. D. T. College for Women & - & 94 & 94 & - & 3 & - & - \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 226 & 125 & 351 & 8 & 8 & 57 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total for Arts \& Science Colleges} & 3806 & 1128 & 4934 & 96 & 10 & 438 & 65 \\
\hline & Engineering College (Degree) & 505 & - & 505 & 28 & - & - & - \\
\hline & Engineering College (Diploma) & 470 & - & 470 & 10 & - & - & - \\
\hline & Agricultural College & 278 & \(\cdots\) & 278 & 11 & & - & \\
\hline 10. & Law College & 459 & 15 & 474 & 11 & 1 & 230 & 1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Sub-Total} & 1712 & 15 & 1727 & 60 & 1 & 230 & 1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{} & B. J. Medical College & 317 & 99 & 416 & 11 & 4 & 77 & 19 \\
\hline & Ayurvedic College (Degree) & 105 & 22 & 127 & 11 & & 17 & 18 \\
\hline & Ayurvedic College (Diploma) & 161 & 47 & 208 & - & - & & \\
\hline & B. M. Commerce College & 785 & 23 & 808 & 5 & - & 63 & \\
\hline & Co-op. Training College & 93 & - & 93 & 5 & - & 68 & \\
\hline & Tilak College of Education & 142 & 48 & 190 & - & 1 & & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 1608 & 239 & 1842 & 16 & 5 & 140 & 19 \\
\hline & Total for Professional Colleges & 3315 & 254 & 3569 & 76 & 6 & 370 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
17. Bhandarkar Oriental

Research Inst
\(\begin{array}{llrrrllll}\text { 18. Gokhale Inst, of Pol. \& Eco. } & \mathbf{3} & 2 & 5 & - & - & - & - \\ \text { 19. } & \text { Deccan College Post Gratur } & - & 12 & - & - & - & -\end{array}\)
19. Deccan College Post Graduates

Research Institute
M.A. for Cult. of Science
19
20. M.A. for Cult. of Science
21. Univesity of Poona
(Graduates)
22. N. W. College, T. D. Class
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Total & \\
\hline Grand Total & 251 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54 Educational Institutions (Colleges), Poona.


TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54 Educational Institutions (Poona) Girls High Schools.

\begin{tabular}{lllllllll} 
9. & Progressive Edu. Socy. Girls H. S. & - & 634 & 534 & - & 10 & - & 4 \\
10. & D. E. Socy. Ahilyadevi H. S. & - & 999 & 999 & - & 2 & - & - \\
11. & Anglo Urdu Girls H. S. & - & 185 & 185 & - & - & - & - \\
12. & Mount Carnial Convent H. S. & - & 133 & 133 & - & - & - & - \\
13. & St. Annes High School & - & 184 & 184 & - & - & - & - \\
14. & Janata Vidyalaya & - & 93 & 93 & - & - & - & - \\
15. & St. Helana's High School & - & 72 & 72 & - & - & - & - \\
& (ii) Sub-Total - & - & 2200 & 2200 & - & 12 & - & 4 \\
& Total for High School for Girls & \(\mathbf{-}\) & 6232 & 6232 & - & 117 & - & 81
\end{tabular}

Other High Schoois.
1. Poona High School of Commerce 38
\(\begin{array}{crrrrrrr}\text { 2. Ranji Moosa School of Commerce } 19 & - & 39 & 1 & - & 1 & - \\ \text { Total } & 57 & 1 & 58 & 1 & - & 1 & -\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrr} 
1. & St. John Secondary Middle S. & 164 & - & 164 & 31 & - & - & - \\
2. & A. V. School Poona & 22 & - & 22 & 3 & - & 1 & - \\
3. Secondary Middle S. Yeravda & 101 & 12 & 113 & 44 & 6 & 8 & - \\
\(\quad\) Total & 287 & 12 & 299 & 78 & 6 & 9 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54 Educational Institutiona (Poona) Girls High Schools.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { (6) } \\
\text { Married } \\
18 \quad 14
\end{gathered}
\]}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(7) \\
Displaced
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(8) \\
No. of inmates in Hostel
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(9) \\
No. of Backwards in Hostel
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Attached Not attd to to the inst. the inst.} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Attd. to the inst.} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Other \\
Hostels
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline - & 7 & - & - & - & 91 & - & \(\rightarrow\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & 34 & - & 81 & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & 50 & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - \\
\hline - & 15 & - & - & - & 89 & - & - & - & 19 & - & - \\
\hline - & 3 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & \(\square\) & - & - & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline - & 5 & - & 2 & - & 172 & - & - & - & 38 & - & - \\
\hline - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & 12 & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & 43 & - & 39 & - & 383 & - & - & - & 57 & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & 5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & 2 & - & 18 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & \(\rightarrow\) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & 29 & - & 3 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & 7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & 5 & - & 38 & - & 21 & \(\square\) & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & 48 & - & 77 & - & 404 & - & - & - & 67 & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Other High Schools.} \\
\hline - 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\sim\) & m & - \\
\hline 1 & - & \(\rightarrow\) & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline & - & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 \\
\hline 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 1-(Contd.)
1953-54: Educational Institutions (Poona) Boys and Girls High Schools.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{No.} & Name of the Institute Boys High School. & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{No. of Pupils} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Backward Class} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Students from Rural Area} \\
\hline & (1) & Boys (2) & Girls & \begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & Boys & \[
{ }_{(4)}^{\text {Girls }}
\] & Boys & inls \\
\hline & Anglo Urdu High School & 259 & - & 259 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{2. New English School (Ramanabag)
\[
1147-1147 \quad 20-30
\]} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{3. Nutan M. V. High School \(2468-2468\) 20* -20} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{4. M. E. S. Boys High School \(1322-1322\) 24 \(-20-\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{5. Shri S. M. S. High School \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 570 & 96 & 666 & 56 & 8 & 43 & -\end{array}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{6. Sirdar Dastur High School - - - - - -} \\
\hline 7. & Camp Edu. Socy. High School & 418 & 26 & 444 & 100 & 9 & 17 & 1 \\
\hline 8. & Bharat High School & 702 & 59 & 761 & 15 & 1 & 8 & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{9. Saraswati Mandir Poona English H. S.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{10. Badria High School 6217} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{11. Shri Gopal High School 184} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{12. Modern High School \(926 \sim 926\) - \(30 \quad-\quad 7\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{13. Anglo Gujarati High School - - - - - - - - - - - - - -} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{14. Shri Shivaji Prep. Mily. H. S. 147 - 147} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{15. M. E. S. High School (Dn. Gym.)
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
496 & 330 & 826 & 3 & 1
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 8701 & 619 & 9320 & 274 & 19 & 247 & 1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{16. Mahatma J. Fule Eigh School 257 27 284} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{17. Str Joseph N. H. School \(61-61-20\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{18. R. P. Edu. Socy. Night H. S. \(133 \quad 3 \begin{array}{lllllll} & 136 & 30 & - & 34\end{array}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{19. Lok. Edu. Socy. Night F. S. 88 4 92} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{20. National Model School \(93-10\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{21. St. Vincent High School \(394-394\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{22. St. Ornellas High School \(342-342\) -} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{23. Ideal English School \(197 \quad 52\)}} & - \\
\hline & & & & & & & & 1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{24. Adarsh Vidyalaya 152 67 219} \\
\hline &  & 216 & - & 216 & 1 & - & 5 & \\
\hline 26 & V. R. Velankar High School & 157 & 33 & 190 & 6 & - & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. \(\mathrm{I}-\) (Contd.)
1953-54: Educational Inatitutione (Poona) Boya and Gíla High Schoole.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Married above}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Displaced} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{No. of inmates in Hastol} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{No. pf Backwards in Hostel} \\
\hline & & & & Atta to th & ched inst. & Not att the in & ttd. to
nst. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Attd \\
the in
\end{tabular} & d. to inst. & & \\
\hline 18 & \[
(6)^{14}
\] & Boys & \begin{tabular}{l}
Girls \\
(7)
\end{tabular} & Boys & Girls & Boyi
(8) & Girls & Boys & Girls & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Boys } \\
& (9)
\end{aligned}
\] & Giris \\
\hline - & - & - & - & 15 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 4 & - \\
\hline 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 24 & - \\
\hline 6 & - & - & - & 17 & - & 5 & - & 1 & - & 6 & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 3 & - \\
\hline 4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 2 & - & 5 & - & 44 & - & 10 & - & - & - & 8 & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & 111 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline 25 & - & 6 & - & 187 & - & 15 & - & 1 & - & 40 & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - \\
\hline 20 & - & 4 & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - \\
\hline 31 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 4 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & 2 & - & 28 & - & \(\rightarrow\) & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & 9 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & 41 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & 2 & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & - & - & 2 & - \\
\hline 1 & - & 10 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54: Educational Institutions (Poona) Boys and Girls High Schools.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{No.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Name of the Institute Boys High School. \\
(1)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{No. of Pupils} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Backward } \\
& \text { Class }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Students } \\
\text { from Rural } \\
\text { Area }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Boys } \\
\text { (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & Girls & \begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & Boys & \[
{ }_{(4)}^{\text {Girls }}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Boys } \\
\text { (5 }
\end{array}
\] & \\
\hline 27. & S. V. V. High School & 221 & 170 & 391 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 28. & G. K. H. Mandal High School & 158 & 92 & 250 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 29. & R. C. M. Gujarathi High School & 342 & 160 & 502 & 4 & 1 & - & - \\
\hline 30. & S. D. H. Boys High School & 281 & - & 281 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 31. & Poona English School & 749 & 81 & 830 & 86 & 4 & 48 & 2 \\
\hline 32. & Poona Night High School & 330 & 7 & 337 & 29 & - & 34 & \\
\hline 33. & R. P. Edu, Society's High School & 1494 & 77 & 571 & 83 & 8 & 28 & 4 \\
\hline 34. & Shri S. M. S. Night High Schoo & 01 67 & 1 & 68 & 8 & - & 2 & - \\
\hline 35. & New English School (Tilak Rd.) & 1265 & & 1265 & 99 & - & 56 & - \\
\hline 36. & Raja Dhanaraj Girji H. School & 1441 & - & 441 & 48 & - & 20 & - \\
\hline 37. & Maharashtra Vidyalaya & 290 & - & 290 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 38. & Navin Hind High School & 372 & 218 & 590 & 1 & - & 1 & - \\
\hline 89. & St. Mira's \#igh School & 180 & 155 & 335. & - & - & & \\
\hline 40. & Sharda Mandir Poona & 62 & \(31^{-}\) & 93 & 5 & - & 2 & - \\
\hline 41. & N.P. E. Socy. High School & 69 & 1 & 70 & 2 & - & - & - \\
\hline 42. & Bharat English School & 91 & 18 & 109 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & S. N. H. High School & 65 & 25 & 90 & 1 & 1. & 4 & - \\
\hline 44. & J. N. Petit Orphanage & 125 & - & 125 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 45. & Spicer Missionary School & 29 & 22 & 51 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 46. & Poona Municipal Corp. H. School & 1934 & - & 934 & 48 & - & & \\
\hline 47. & Guru Nanak High School & 14 & 31 & 45 & - & & & \\
\hline 48. & Alegaonkar E. School (Kirkee) & 266 & 78 & 344 & 106 & 31 & - & - \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 8935 & 13531 & 10288 & 818 & 73 & 278 & 0 \\
\hline & Grand Total 1 & 17636 & 19721 & 19608 & 1092 & 92 & 525 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54 : Educational Institutione (Poona) Boys and Girla High Schoold.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Married above} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Displaced} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{No. of inmates in Hostel} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{No. of Backwards in Hostel} \\
\hline & & & Atta th the & ched
e inst & Not att the ins & & Atte the in & to inst. & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\(18 \quad 14\) \\
(6)
\end{tabular} & Boys & \begin{tabular}{l}
Girls \\
(7)
\end{tabular} & Boys & Girls & \begin{tabular}{l}
Boys \\
(8)
\end{tabular} & & Boys & Girls & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Boys } \\
& (0)
\end{aligned}
\] & Girls \\
\hline - - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline - - & \(\rightarrow\) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 5 & - \\
\hline - - & 53 & - & 34 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & \(\sim\) & - & 18 & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline 23 7 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline \(3-\) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 8 & - \\
\hline 21 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10 & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & 6 & - \\
\hline - - & - & - & - & - & 7 & - & - & - & - & 7 \\
\hline - - & - & - & 48 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1 & 257 & 192 & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & - & 1 \\
\hline - - & 180 & 155 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - \\
\hline \(\cdots \quad-\) & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - - & 36 & 18 & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline - - & - & - & 125 & \(\cdots\) & - & - & \(\square\) & \(\cdots\) & - & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline - & - & - & 51 & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\square\) \\
\hline - - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \(\square\) & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & \(\stackrel{-}{\square}\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - & - \\
\hline \(97 \quad 14\) & 593 & 365 & 286 & \(\cdots\) & 9 & \(\cdots\) & - & - & 84 & 8 \\
\hline \(122 \quad 14\) & 599 & 365 & 473 & - & 24 & - & 1 & - & 74 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54 : Educational Institutions (Poona) Boys and Girls High Schools.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the Institute & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{A eduled castes} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { B } \\
\text { Scheduled } \\
\text { Tribes }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { C } \\
\text { Other } \\
\text { Backwards }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
D \\
Other than Backwards
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total of A to D} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total of A to C} \\
\hline No. Boys High School & Boya & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Garls \\
\hline 1. Anglo Urdu High School & 259 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 259 & - & 259 & - & - & \(\sim\) \\
\hline 2. New English School (Ramanbag) & 1147 & - & 11 & - & - & - & 9 & - & 1127 & - & 1147 & - & 20 & - \\
\hline 3. Nutan Marathi Vidyalaya & 2468 & - & 5 & - & 14 & - & 1 & - & 2448 & - & 2468 & - & 20 & - \\
\hline 4. M. E. S. Boys High School & 1322 & - & 10 & - & - & - & 14. & - & 1298 & - & 1322 & - & 24 & -' \\
\hline 5. Shri S. M. S. High School \({ }^{-}\) & 570 & 96 & 41 & 6 & 2 & - & 13 & - & 514 & 90 & 570 & 96 & 56 & 6 \\
\hline 6. Sirdar Dastur High School & 281 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 281 & - & 281 & - & - & - \\
\hline 7. Camp Edu. Society's High School & 418 & 26 & 96 & 9 & 1 & - & 3 & - & 318 & 17 & 418 & 9 & 100 & 9 \\
\hline 8. Bharat High School & 702 & 59 & 3 & - & 2 & - & 10 & 1 & 687 & 58 & 702 & 59 & 15 & 1 \\
\hline 9. Badriya High School & 62 & 17 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 62 & 17 & 62 & 17 & - & - \\
\hline 10. Shri Gopal High School & 184 & 91 & 4 & - & - & - & - & - & 180 & 91 & 184 & 91 & 4 & - \\
\hline 11. Modern High School & 926 & - & 20 & - & - & - & 10 & - & 896 & - & 926 & - & 30 & - \\
\hline 12. Anglo Gujarati High School & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 13. Shri Shivaji Prep. Mily. School & 147 & - & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - & 145 & - & 147 & - & . 2 & - \\
\hline 14. M. E. S. High School (Dn. Gymkhana) & 496 & 330 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 2 & - & 493 & 329 & 496 & 330 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline (i) Sub-Total & 8982 & 619 & 192 & 16 & 19 & - & 63 & 1 & 8708 & 602 & 8982 & 602 & 274 & 17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I- (Contd.)
1953-54: Eduoational Institutions (Poona) Boys and Girls High Schools.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Name of the Institute Boys High School} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A Scheduled castes} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{B
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Scheduled \\
Tribes \\
Boys Girls
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{C
Other
Backwards
Boys Girla}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
D \\
Other than \\
Backwards
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total of } \\
& \mathbf{A} \text { to } \mathbf{D}
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total of A to \(\mathbf{C}\)} \\
\hline No. & & Boys & Girls & Boys & & & & & & Boys & Girla & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline 15. & Mahatma J. Fule High School & 257 & 27 & 214 & 25 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 41 & 2 & 257 & 27 & 216 & 25 \\
\hline & St. Joseph N. High School & 61 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 61 & - & 61 & - & - & - \\
\hline & R. P. Edu. Socy. Night E. School & 138 & 8 & 27 & - & - & - & 8 & - & 103 & 8 & 133 & 3 & 30 & - \\
\hline & Lok. Edu. Socy. High School & 88 & 4 & 2 & - & 1 & - & - & - & 85 & 4 & 88 & 4 & 3 & - \\
\hline 19. & National Model School & 93 & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & 91 & - & 93 & - & 2 & - \\
\hline & St. Vincent High School & 894 & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & 392 & - & 394 & - & 2 & \(\square\) \\
\hline 21. & St. Ornellas High School & 342 & - & 0 & - & - & - & - & - & 333 & - & 842 & - & 9 & \\
\hline 22. & Ideal English High School & 197 & 62 & 7 & - & 1 & - & 9 & 1 & 180 & 51 & 197 & 52 & 17 & 1 \\
\hline 23. & Adarshe Vidyalay & 152 & 67 & 8 & 2 & - & - & 4 & - & 140 & 65 & 152 & 67 & 12 & 2 \\
\hline 24. & Moledina Anglo Urdu High School & 216 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 216 & 170 & 221 & 170 & - & - \\
\hline 25. & V. R. Velankar High School & 157 & 53 & - & - & \(\cdots\) & - & 5 & - & 152 & 63 & 157 & 53 & 5 & - \\
\hline & S. V. V. High School & 221 & 170 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 221 & 170 & 221 & 170 & - & - \\
\hline 27. & G. K. H. Mandal High School & 158 & 92 & - & - & & - & 1 & - & 157 & 92 & 158 & 92 & 1 & - \\
\hline & R. C. M. Gujarati High School & 342 & 160 & 8 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 838 & 159 & 342 & 160 & 4 & 1 \\
\hline & Poona English School & 749 & 81 & 71 & 4 & 1 & - & 14 & - & 663 & 77 & 749 & 81 & 88 & 4 \\
\hline & Poona Night High School & 830 & 7 & 27 & - & - & \(\cdots\) & 2 & - & 801 & 7 & 330 & 7 & 29 & - \\
\hline 31. & St. John S. M. School & 164 & - & 31 & - & - & - & - & - & 133 & - & 164 & - & 31 & - \\
\hline & Shri S. M. S. Night High School & 67 & 1 & 7 & - & - & - & 1 & - & 59 & 1 & 67 & 1 & 8 & - \\
\hline 33. & New English School (Tilak Rd.) & 1265 & - & 72 & - & 3 & - & 24 & - & 1166 & - & 1265 & - & 99 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54: Educational Institutions (Poona) Boys and Girls High Schoots.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Name of the Institute & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
A \\
Scheduled castes
\end{tabular}} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
duled \\
ribes
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { C } \\
\text { Other } \\
\text { Backwards }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
D \\
Other than Backwards
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total of A to D} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total, of A to C} \\
\hline No. & Boys High School & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & - Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline & Raja Dhanraj Girji High School & 441 & - & 44 & \(\cdots\) & 1 & - & 3 & - & 393 & - & 441 & - & 48 & 4 \\
\hline & Maharashtra Vidyalaya & 290 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 290 & - & 290 & - & - & - \\
\hline & Navin Hind High School & 372 & 218. & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 371 & 218 & 372 & 218 & - & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline & St. Mira's High SchooI & 180 & 55 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 180 & 55 & 180 & 55 & - & - \\
\hline & Sharda Mandir Poona & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & N. P. E. Socy. High School & 69 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 5 & - & 69 & 1 & 69 & 1. & - & \(-\) \\
\hline 40. & Rasta Peth Edu. Socy. H. School & 494 & 77 & 75 & 8 & - & \(\cdots\) & 8 & - & 411 & 69 & 494 & 77 & 83 & 8 \\
\hline & S. N. H. High School & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & J. N. Petit Orphanage & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Spicer Missionary School & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Poona Muni. Corp. High School & 934 & - & 18 & - & 8 & - & 22 & - & 886 & - & 934 & - & 48 & - \\
\hline & Guru Nanak High School & 14 & 31 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 14 & 31 & 14 & 31 & - & - \\
\hline \[
46 .
\] & Alegaonkar High School (Poona) & 266 & 78 & 104 & 30 & - & - & 2 & 1 & 160 & 47 & 266 & 78 & 106 & 31 \\
\hline & (ii) Sub-Total & 8446 & 1177 & 724 & 69 & 16 & - & 100 & 3 & 7606 & 1105 & 8446 & 1177 & 840 & 72 \\
\hline & Grand Total 1 & 17428 & 1796 & 916 & 85 & 35 & - & 163 & 4 & 16314 & 1707 & 17428 & 1779 & 1114 & 89 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54 Educational Institutions (Colleges) Poona.'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{No.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Name of the Institute} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Scheduled Castes} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\stackrel{\text { B }}{\text { B }}\) Tribeduled} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other Backward} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total of A to \(\mathbf{C}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
D \\
Other than Backward
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total of A to \(D\)} \\
\hline & & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Bogs & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline & Fergusson College & 19 & 2 & - & - & 15 & 4 & 84 & 6 & 1445 & 852 & 1479 & 858 \\
\hline & S. P. College & 17 & - & 2 & - & 10 & - & 29 & - & 1270 & 421 & 1299 & 421 \\
\hline & Wadia College & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 802 & 224 & 802 & 224 \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 36 & 2 & 2 & - & 25 & 4 & 63 & 6 & 3517 & 997 & 3580 & 1003 \\
\hline & M. E. S. College & 2 & - & - & - & 6 & - & 8 & - & 204 & 30 & 212 & 80 \\
\hline & Inter Arts College of Law Society & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 14 & 1 & 14 & 1 \\
\hline & S. N. D. T. College for Women & - & 1 & - & 2 & - & - & - & 8 & - & 91 & - & 94 \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 2 & 1 & - & 2 & 6 & - & 8 & 8 & 218 & 122 & 226 & 125 \\
\hline 。 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Total for Arts \\
\& Science Colleges
\end{tabular} & 88 & . 8 & 2 & 2 & 81 & 4 & 71 & 0 & 8982 & 1119 & 3736 & 1128 \\
\hline & Engineering College (Degree) & 14 & - & 2 & - & 12 & - & 28 & - & 477 & - & 505 & - \\
\hline & Engineering Collega (Diploma) & 6 & - & - & - & 4 & - & 10 & - & 1460 & - & 470 & - \\
\hline 9. & Agricultural College & 6 & - & 5 & - & - & - & 11 & - & 267 & - & 278 & - \\
\hline 10. & Law College & 1 & 1 & - & - & 7 & - & 11 & 1 & 448 & 14 & 459 & 15 \\
\hline & Sub-Total & 30 & 1 & 7 & - & 23 & - & 60 & 1 & 1652 & 14 & 1712 & 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1953-54 Educatronal Institutions (Colleges) Poona


TABLE No. I-(Contd.)
1958-54 Eduoational Institutione (Poona) Girlo High Schoole.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & Name of the Institute & Total & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A Scheduled Castes} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\mathrm{B}^{\circ}
\] \\
Scheduled Tribes
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
C \\
Other Backward
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total of A to \(C\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than Backward} \\
\hline No. & Girls High School Boys & Girls & Boys & Girla & Boya & Girls & Boys & Girls & Bays & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
M. G. E. Socy. \\
Huzurpaga High School -
\end{tabular} & - 1210 & - & 6 & - & - & - & 4 & - & 10 & - & 1200 \\
\hline 2. & Sirdar Dastur High School - & 204 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 204 \\
\hline 3. & St. Andrews Eigh School - & 267 & \(\cdots\) & 83 & - & - & - & - & - & 83 & - & 234 \\
\hline 4. & Seva Sadan High School - & 523 & - & 24 & - & - & - & 6 & - & 30 & - & 498 \\
\hline 5. & S. N. D. T. Kanyashala - & 808 & - & 5 & - & - & - & 8 & - & 8 & - & 298 \\
\hline 6. & Mahilashram Eigh School - & 182 & - & 80 & - & 1 & - & 7 & - & 88 & - & 144 \\
\hline 7. & Agarkar High School - - & 405 & - & 15 & - & - & - & 2 & - & 17 & - & 388 \\
\hline 8. & M. E. Society Girls H.S. - & 938 & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & 2 & - & 936 \\
\hline & (i) Sub-Total & 4035 & - & 113 & - & 1 & - & 24 & - & 138 & - & 8887 \\
\hline 9. & D. E. Socy. Ahilyadevi H.S. - & 999 & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & 2 & - & 997 \\
\hline 10. & Anglo Urdu Girls H. School - & 185 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 185 \\
\hline 11. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mount Carnial \\
Convert High School
\end{tabular} & 133 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 133 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE No. 1-(Contd.)
1953-54 Educational Institutions (Poona) Girls High Schools.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of the Institute & & tal & Schedu & Castes & Schedul & Tribes & Other & ckward & & & Oth & han \\
\hline No. Girls High School & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls & Boys & Girls \\
\hline 12. St. Annes High School & - & 184 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 184 \\
\hline 13. Janata Vidyalaya & - & 93 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 93 \\
\hline 14. St. Helana's High School & - & 72 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 72 \\
\hline 15. Progressive Edu. Socy. Girls High School & - & 534 & - & 6 & - & - & - & 4 & - & 10 & - & 524 \\
\hline (ii) Sub-Total & - & 2200 & - & 8 & - & - & - & 4 & - & 12 & - & 2188 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total for Girls High School -} & 6235 & - & 121 & - & 1 & - & 28 & - & 150 & - & 6085 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Other High Schools.
1.
Poona High School of
Commerce} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{38} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{-} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{37} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} \\
\hline & & & & & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline f Sharda Mandir Middle & 62 & 31 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 62 & 31 \\
\hline Secondary Middle School & 101 & 12 & 39 & - & - & \(\cdots\) & 5 & \(\cdots\) & 144 & - & 67 & 12 \\
\hline Total & 163 & 43 & 39 & - & - & - & 5 & - & 44 & - & 119 & 43 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table J gives the number of beds in different public hospitals in Poona in 1937 and in 1954. The figures show that during the period between the two surveys the total number of beds available had increased to some extent.

TABLE Na. J.
Number of beds in different public hospitale in Poona.
\begin{tabular}{llcc}
\hline & & 1937 & 1954 \\
\hline 1. Sassoon Hospitals & 300 & 425 \\
2. N, M. Wadia Hospital of the Scottish \\
Free Church Mission
\end{tabular}

Maternity and Child Welfare: In 1937 the Poona City Municipality maintained 2 nurses for attending to labour cases. \({ }^{1}\) Till the establishment of the Municipal Corporation nothing much happened in this field. The Corporation inherited a maternity hospital with 9 beds from the Suburban Municipality and from 1 April 1950 it also took over the Maternity and Child Welfare Centre in Somwar Peth, which was till then run by the Bombay Mothers' and Childrens' Welfare Society.' The number of beds in this Home was increased to 12 in 1951-52. A créche for children was started in February 1952 in Kasba Peth. \({ }^{8}\) Table K contains information regarding the work of the creche. The total number of cases treated at the Maternity Home at Yeravada, was 379 in 1949-50 and had increased to 557 in 1953-54.4 Table L contains information regarding the working of three Child-Welfare Centres in 1953-54.

Family Planning Centres: In 1950-51 the Corporation entered a new line of activity i.e. the provision of family planning advice free of charge and of necessary drugs and implements at
1. Poona, Part II, p. 803.
2. Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation for the year 1949-50, pp. 126, 127.
8. Report of the Medical Officer of Health, p. 15, Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation for the year 1951-52.
4. Report of the Medical Officer of Health, p. 83, Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation for the year 1953-54:
cost price or subsidised rates. One family planning centre was established during the year at the K.E.M. Hospital which received a grant from the Municipal Corporation. Since then the number of centres has increased. In 1953-54, 5 family planning centres were being run by the Corporation. Table M contains information regarding the work of these centres during 1952-53 to 1954-55.

TABLE No. K.
The Creche at Kasba Peth; (1953-54).*

\(\left.\begin{array}{lc}\text { Aprıl } & 1953 \\
\text { May } & " \\
\text { June } & "\end{array}\right\}\)\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
& 92 & \(1216-0\) & \(155-5\) & \(62-6\)\begin{tabular}{r} 
Recd. \\
from \\
the
\end{tabular} \\
UNICEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
July & 1953 \\
\(\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { August } \\
\text { September " }\end{array}\right\} \quad 96 \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots .\). & \(247-14 \quad 64-10\)
\end{tabular}



\footnotetext{
* Poona Municipal Corporation Administration Report for the year` 1953-54 Annual Administration Report of the Health Department for the year 1953-54,
Table no. 12 B, p. 36 .
}

TABLE No. L.
Maternity and Chuld Welfare Centre, (1953-54). 1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Home Visits to} \\
\hline Centre \& Peth S. No. & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Infants \\
New Old
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Toddlers \\
New Old
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Expt. Mothers \\
New Old
\end{tabular}} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ratal Amount of Old milk distr buted at th centre} \\
\hline 1. Chuld Welfare Centre Somwar Peth & 153 & 160 & 145 & 466 & 72 & 105 & 81 & 26 & * \\
\hline 2. Child Welfare Centre Kasba Peth & 272 & 362 & 218 & 399 & 185 & 230 & 190 & 133 & \(\ddagger\) \\
\hline 3. Child Welfare Centre Yeravda & 260 & 383 & 227 & 501 & 166 & 105 & 203 & 116 & 8 \\
\hline Grand Total & 685 & 905 & 590 & 1366 & 423 & 440 & 474 & 275 & \$ \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Attendance at Clinic.} \\
\hline 1. Child Welfare Centre Somwar Peth & 92 & 113 & 181 & 410 & 114 & 214 & - & - & * \\
\hline 2. Child Welfare Centre Kasba Peth & 163 & 127 & 165 & 583 & 106 & 120 & - & - & \(\ddagger\) \\
\hline 3. Chald Welfare Centre Yeravda & 183 & 269 & 291 & 881 & 625 & 630 & - & - & § \\
\hline Grand Total & 438 & 509 & 587 & 1,880 & 845 & 964 & - & - & \$ \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Attending Centre for Milk} \\
\hline 1. Child Welfare Centre Somwar Peth & 21 & 2024 & 20 & 14527 & 9 & 498 & - & - & * \\
\hline 2. Child Welfare Centre Kasba Peth & 51 & 134 & 70 & 730 & - & - & - & - & \(\ddagger\) \\
\hline 3. Child Welfare Centre Yeravda & 93 & 161 & 209 & 668 & 56 & 80 & - & - & 8 \\
\hline Grand Total & 165 & 2319 & 299 & 15,925 & 65 & 578 & - & - & \$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Fresh Milk in Pounds 1,259. Full cream milk in pounds 5823.
\(\ddagger\) Fresh Malk in pounds 1,786 \({ }^{2}\). Powdered Skimmed milk in pounds 1,1711.
\& Powdered Skimmed Mulk in pounds 1,171h. Full Cream milk in pounds \(582 \dot{1}\).
\$ Fresh Milk in pounds 2,047k.
1. Poona Municipal Corporation Administration Report for the year 1953-54 Annual Administration Report of the Health Department for the year 1953-54, Table no. 12, p. 84.
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table No．M．
Family Planning．＊
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year &  &  &  &  &  & H易总家 \(\stackrel{\circ}{0^{2}}\) &  \\
\hline 1952－53 & 2，402 & 682 & 201 & 103 & 125 & 223 & 59 \\
\hline 1953－54 & 3，252 & 555 & 245 & 96 & 146 & 249 & 20 \\
\hline 1954－55 & 2，676 & 466 & 194 & 150 & 176 & 281 & 85 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＊Poona Municipal Corporation Administration Reports．

\section*{III．Poor Relief ：}

There was in 1937 very little provision made in Poona for the relief of the poor or the destitute．There was only one asylum for the infirm poor，the David Sassoon Infirm Asylum，which accom－ modated about 40 persons in 1936－37．\({ }^{1}\)

At the time of the present survey the number of such insti－ tutions had not increased．The Beggars＇Act was，however，passed by the Bombay Legislature in 1949 and under this act the David Sassoon Infirm Asylum was recognized by the Bombay Government as a＇certified institution＇to which old and infirm beggars could be committed．The number of persons accommodated in this asylum had increased to 94 in 1953－54．Of those 54 were males and the rest females．All were infirm persons．

The Children＇s Act was made applicable to Poona in 1935 and a Juvenile Court was established in Poona City．The number of children brought before the Poona Juvenile Court in 1936－37 was 105 ；of these 20 were classified as destitute．\({ }^{2}\) In 1953－54 the num－ ber of destitute children on remand in various institutions in Poona was 568，of which 340 were boys and the rest girls．In the same year the number of destitute children placed through the Poona Juvenile Court in certified institutions in Poona was 150 of which 71 were boys and 79 girls；in the＇same year the number of destitute children placed through the Poona Juvenile Court in certified insti－ tutions outside Poona was 93；of these 74 were boys and the rest girls．

1．Poona，Part II，p．305．
2．Poona，Part II，p． 305.

\section*{INDEX}

\section*{Adult Unit:}

Conversion factors, 440
Income per, 485
and communities, 453
and Earner class, 446 and size of family, 453
Standard of living, as measure of, 440
Age groups and sex: bee also earners
Civil condition, 13, 51
Communities, 36, 52
Compared with census, 12
Educational status, 24, 36
Migrant, out-, 153
Population, 46,
Students, 105, 526,
Income by, median, 484 per capita, 484
per adult unit, 484
Migrant, head of family in-, 148
Unemployed, of, 302, 337

\section*{Area :}

Communities, 368
Family, per, 365
Income groups, 384
Overcrowding, 365
Persons, 358
Rent-area ratio, 384
Wards, 365
Asylum, 546
Aykroyd, Dr. 440
Eackward Class pupils, 524
Eath-room:
Families sharing, 368
Income, family, 368
Beggars, old and infirm, 546
Bombay Beggars Act, 1949, 546
Bombay Children's Act, 1935, 546
Bombay Rents, Hotel Rates and Lodging House Rates (Control) Act 1944, 397
Bombay Textile Labour Enquiry Committee, 1937-40, 441
Building activity, 404, 491
Business :
Liquidated, 310
Size of, change in, 493
Slack, 810

Castes see Communities
Causes :
Non-registration, of 311
Unemployment of 309
Certified institutions, 546
Census :
Economic classification, Indian, 240, 274
Livelihood classes, 148
Sample compared with:
Communities, 11
Family, size of, 92
Sex-ratio, 11
Child Marriage :
Communities, by, 59
Females, married, 62
Restraint Act, C.M., 59
Children:
Destitute by sex, 546
Juvenile Court, 546
Remand, number of on, 546
Remand, Institutions, 546
Civil Condition, 13, 515,
Age and sex, 13, 51
Communities, 56
Compared with 1937 data, 489,
Females, married, 62
Migrants, out-, 153
Pupils, of, 528
Unemployed, of 302, 340
Wards, by, 51
Communities :
Age and sex, 56
Area, 368
Child marriage, 59
Civil condition, 56
Compared with 1987 data, 488
Census, 11
Destitution line, 453, 507
Earner class, 425
Earners, 62
Earners, nom-, 62
Educands, 36, 524
Educational Status, 36
Family, size of, 92, 490
type of, 95
with unemployed, \(809^{\circ}\)
Females, married, 62
Groups defined, 15

Groups, Socio-Economic, 14
Incidence of unemployment, 318
Income, 408
median, 418
per capita, 86
Occupancy rights, 398
Occupations, 408, 505
Poverty line, 453
Population, 11
Pupils, 427
Rent-area ratio, 384
Servants, domestic, 102
Unemployed, 62, 308, 344
Wards, 16
Cost of living Index, 442
David Sassoon Infirm Asylum:
Persons at present, 546
Persons, in 1937, 546
Destitute:
Children, number of, 546
Sex, by, 546
Destitution Line:
Communities, 453, 507
Compared with 1937 data, 507
Definition, 443
Earner class, 446
Family, size of, 453
Duration :
Stay in Poona, of, 340, 387
Unemployment, of 345
Earner class:
Communities, 425
Destitution line, 446
Income, famly, 419 per A.U., 446
Poverty line, 446
Earner Status (see also Earners), 9, 490, 510
Earners:
Age and sex, 62
Census Economic Classification, Indian, 240, 274
Class, defined, 77
Communities, 62
Data (1937) Compared, 489
retabulated, 282
Definition of, 69
Dependents, earning, 158
Earnings, quartile, 234
Education of, 158
Employees, as, 230
Employers, as, 230

Employment status, 318
Female, 216
Increase in, 491
Index, dispersion, 237
Industry and occupation, by, 242
Juvenile, 214
Male, 214
Occupation class:, 162, 494
Age and sex, 162, 242
Duration in, 220
Earner Status, 162, 219, 242
Earnings, 162, 233, 242
Educational status, 162, 218, 242
Main, 220
Subsididiary, 220
Old age, 214
Ratio of non-earners to, 491
Residence-worksite distance, 231
Stlf-supporting, 241
Transport facility, 232
Types of, 258
Unemployed, among registered, 335
Wards, 62, 282
Earners, non-,
Age and sex, 62
Communities, 62
Wards, 62
Earnings:, 76
Age and sex, 162, 233, 242
Attribution of joint, 77
Dispersion indices of, 237
Employed amongst registered unemployed, of, 353
Estimation from turnover, 80
Female, of, 217
Occupational grades, \(82,162,233,520\)
Occupations, subsidiary, 229
Over stated, 81
Quartiles by occupation, 234, 502
Servants, of domestic, 103
Under-estimated, 80
Wards, 82
Worker, of Bidi, 79
Worker, of casual, 78
Economic life, change in, \(488 f\)
Educands, (see also pupils \& students) :
Age and sex, 24
Grades, by, 36
Students, 105
Wards, 24
Education :
Boys, of, 526
Colleges, Art and Science, 527

Colleges, professional, 527
Compulsory primary, 31
Free, 32
Progress of, slow, 32
Gurls, of, 526
Migration for, 131
Primary, municipal, 522
Primary, private, 524
Pupils by communities, 524
Pupils, by sex, 525
Research Institutions, 527
Secondary, 524
University, 526
Educational Status:, 510
Age and sex, 13, 24
Communities, 36
Mıgrant, out-m, 153
Students, 105
Unemployed, of, 302
Unemployed, of registered, 340
Wards, 24
Employed:
Duration of, 338, 346
Occupaton, changes sought in
present, 347
Unemployed, among registered, 338 f
Unemloyment amongr partial, 325
Worker, casual, 320
Worker, self-employed, 325
Employers:, 326
Employment offered by, 328
Employment Exchange, and, 333
Occupationwise, 328
Employment:
Employers offering, 326
Exchange:
Causes of non-registration at, 311
"Certificate, no objection", 351
Delhi, 339
Employer and, 333
Faclity not known, 811
Partiality at, 351
Priorities in recommending, 334
Reasons for non-cancellation of registration at, 351
Register, "Live", 302, 311, 332
Register, "Dead", 333
Trade Index Number at, 333
Usefulness, partial, 311
Working, its, 332
Loss of, 309
Migrants seeking, 131
Occupational, increase in, 491

Opportunities, 328
Pattern of in-migrant, 138
Status, see earner status, 318
Under-, concept and measurement of, 320n
Unemployment, partial, 318
Worker (casual) duration of, 320
Worker, skilled, 491
Worker, unskilled, 491
World War II on, effect of, 2
Establishment, as unit in ISIC, 239
Expenditure liability, 439
Family:
Address, changes in, 4
Area and persons in, 358
Area per, 365
Bath-rooms, sharing, 368
Budget, price level of items in, 442
Census, comparison with, 92
Communities, by, 16f, 92, 95, 368, 408, 453, 490
Duration of stay, 387
Earner class by, 419, 446
Estimated number of, 489
Head of,
Age and median income, 485
Age of, 481
Age of in-migrant, 152
Autochthon, 475
Definition, 3
Earner status, 490
Migrants, in-, 475
Occupation of, 138, 463
Occupation of father of, 463, 468
Occupation of grandfathers of, 468
Income, 384, 402, 408, 482
Unmployed, 302
Earned, 432
Per adult unit, 446
Quartile, 504
Unearned, 432
Latrines, sharing, 368, 369
Life-cycle of, 481
Migrant, 126
Migrant, size of, 128
Occupancy rights of, 354, 398, 402
Occupation, 408446
Occupational mobility, generational,
Place of origin of, 129
Questionnaire, 81101
Questionnaire, compared with 1997 survey, 9

Questionnaire, Instructions, 1191
Ration card, 3
Relationship pattern of, 95, 490
Rent area ratio, 384
Rent, paying, 384
Rooms per, 354, 406
Sample, changes in selected, \(6 f\) final, 5 in 1937 survey, 3 selection of, 4 size of, 6
Servant in, domestic, 101,
Size of, 88, 453, 481
Type of, definition of, 95
Unemployed in, registered, 345
Unemployed, with, 308
Wards, by, 16f, 88
Water taps, sharing, 368, 369
Head of family, see family
House-owners bee tenements
Housing see tenements data, limitations of, 354

Illiteracy, by sex, 13

\section*{Income:}

Adequacy of, 441
Adult unit, per, 485
Earner class, and, 446
Family, size of, 453
Occupation, and, 446
Bath-room, families sharing, 368
Capita, per, 82, 485
Communities, of, 86, 418
Data, bias in , 82
Data, reliability of, 77
Destitution Line, 446
Earned, and unearned, 76, 432
Earner class, by, 419
Expenditure liability; and, 439
Family, of, 408, 482
Family, (quartile), 504
Family with unemployed, 347
House rent, 85
Interest, 85
Land, from, 85
Latrine, families sharing, 368
Median, 418, 485
Occupancy rights and, 402
Occupations, 86, 408, 432
Over statement of, 419
Poverty Line, 446
Remittances, 85
Rent-area ratio, 368

Servants domestic, 100
Standard of living, 440
Subsidiary, 82
Under statement of, 418
Wards, 82
Water-tap, families sharing, 368
Indian Research Fund Association, 440
Indian Statistical Institute, (abbr. ISI), 238
Indices (dispersion) of earnings, 237
Individual as unit in:
ICE classification, 239
ISI classificátion, 239
Industrial classification, ISIC scheme of, 158
Industry, earners by, \(240 f\)
Industrial and occupation;
Classification of, 239
Earners, by, 275
Earners (1937 data), by, 282
Irmates, family, see persons
ISIC scheme, 158
ISI classification compared with ICEC, 274
ISI (abbr, of) Indian Statistical Institute, 238
- ISIC (abbr. of) International Standard Industrial classification, 238
Juvenile Court, 546
Khan see Area
Kirkee Cantonment see Wards
Labourers, residence of, 8
Language see mother tongue,
Latrine:
Families sharing, 368
Income, family, 368
Water-tap, and, 369
Life cycle of family, 481
Literacy by occupational grades, 218
ty sex, 13
Living space see area
Medical Relief:
Beds in Hospitals, number of, 543
Child Welfare Centres, 543
Creche, 544
Dispensaries, municipal charitable, 527.

Family Planning Centres, 543
Milk supply, free to infants, 544
Mobile medical unit, 527
Migrants :
Family as unit, 126

Occupational mobility of, 475
Permanent, 127
Temporary, 127
Migrants, in-;
Age of head of family, 152
Causes, stated:
Educational facilities, 131
Employment, seeking, 131
Insufficiency, previous economic, 131
Poverty, 134
Refugees, 134
Transfer, 134
Duration of stay in Poona city, 128, 136, 139,
Family, size of, 128
"Lone", 126, 128
Mother tongue, 131, 139
Occupation, present, 135, 143, 148
Occupation, previous, 135143
Occupational mobility, 138, 143, 461, 480
Place of origin, 129, 139, 461
Migrants, out-,:
Age and sex, 153
Civil condition, 153
Educational status, 153
Limitations in the Enquiry, 153
Places, to, 156
Remittances to and from, 156
Unemployed unwilling to be, 314
Wards, by, 153
Migration, 9, 12,
Definition of, 125
In-and out-, defined, 125
"Push" and "Pull" factora in, 138
Migration, in-:
Estimate of, 488
Poona City, in, 2
Mortality, infant, 12
Mother tongue, 12,131
Migrants, of in-, 131, 139
Unemployed, of registered, 340
Occupancy rights:
Communities, by, 398
Income, family, 402
Occupations, by, 398
Tenements:
Concessionally rented, 354, 398
Free, 354, 398
Owned, 354, 398
Rented, 354
Occupational grades, 518
Elaboration of, 161

Formulation of, 158
"Skill-status" considerations, 158
Occupational mobility:
Autochthons, 475
Bias due to qualitative classification, 462
Bias due to present age of head of family, 463
Generations, during three, 460,469
- in inter-, 474

Matrix, reference, 460
Migrants, in 138, 143, 475
Movement, continuous upward, 473
Movement, up or down, \(463!\)
Occupations of heads of families, 463
Occupations of their fathers, 463
Occupations of their fathers and grandfathers, 468
Occupations of their sons, movement in, 466
Social and, 460
Urban versus rural, 461
Occupations:
Change in distribution of, \(\$ 61\)
Classification, urban bias in, 462
Communities, 408, 505
Destitution Line, 446
Duration in present, 220
Earners, 162
Earnings, 353
Earnings, quartile, 234,' 602
Employees, 230
Employers, 230, 328
Family, head of, 408, 463
Father of head of family, 463
Husband, of, when female is head of family, 460
Income, 408
Income from main, 86
Income from subsidiary, 86
Income per adult unit, 446
Income, unearned, 446
Increase or decrease in various, 491
Indices, disperaion, 237
Main, 220
Mobility, generational, 9
Occupancy rights, 898
Poverty line, 446
Residence to workgite distance, 231
Salariat, change in, 493
Subsidiary, 220
Unemployed, non-registered, 811
Unemployed, (previous) of, 302
- Unemployed, registered in, 396, 338

Worker, casual, 320; 322
Worker, self-employed 325
Over crowding, 358, 365
Criterion of, 358, 365
Persons
Area and, 358
Room, per, 358, 406
Place of origin:
Unemployed, registered, 340
Poona, Cantonment see wards
Poona City, (see also wards):
Autochthons in, 475
Boundary, changes in, 1
Buildng activity in, 491
Communities, 11
Economic life, changes in, 488 f
Economic life in, 460 .
Estimate of unemployed in, 335
Latrines, 369
Life cycle of family in, 481
Migrants in, 125f, 475
Migration in, 2
Mother tongue, 12
Occupational mobility in three generations, 460
Population:
Census, 1
Census compared with sample, 10
Estimated, 488
Movement of, 2
Sex ratio, 2, 11
Social and economic conditions in, 461
Social life in, 522f,
Water-taps in, 369
Poona Municipal Corporation:
Administrative Officer, School Board, 8

See also Poona City
Poona Suburban Municipality see Wards
Poor Relief:
Bombay Beggars' Act 1949, 546
Bombay Children's Açt 1935, 546
Certified Institutions, 546
David Sassoon Infirm Asylum, 546
Destitutes, 546
Remand Home, 546
Poor, Sex of infirm, 546
Population (see Survey, present): If
488
Census, 1f,
Movement of, 2
Poona City, of, 125
Sample compared with Census, 10
Sex-ratio, 2

Yoverty:
Cause of migration, 134
Cause of bigh incidence, 508 Family, size, increase in, 508 Family, joint, 508 Housing shortage, 508 Unemployment, increase in, 508
Line:, 440 Compared with 1937, data, 506
Poverty:
line: (continued). Community and, 453 \& Earner class and, 446 Family, and size of, 453 Fixation of, 440 Incidence by communities, 506 Occupation and, 446
Prices, retail, 442
Professions see occupations:*
Prostitutes, 81, 387n
Pupils:
Backward class, 528
Civil Conditions, by, 528
Communities, by, 427
Hostels, in, 528
Refugee, 528
Sex, by, 524
Questionnaires:
Family, 110
Instructions to family, 119
- Ration Register: 3

Causes of inflated record, 4
Head of family. definition of, 3
- "Phantom" cases in, 4

Rationing Authority, Poona, 3
Refugees, 12, 125, 131, 310, 488, 528
Relationship pattern of family, 95, 490
Unemployed, families with, 314
Unemployed, occupation of, 315
Unemployed, registered, 345
Feligıon see communities,
Remand Homes, 546
Rent:
Area ratio, 384
Bombay Rent, Hotel Rates and Lodging House Rates
(Control) Act, 1944, 397
Communities and, 384
Duration of stay and, 387
Families paying, 384
Income and, 384
Median by wards, 397
Wards, by, 397

Rooms:
Family, per, 354
Persons per, 358, 406
Size of, variations in, 358
Tenement, per 406
"Self-supporting", definition of, 241
Servants, Domestic:
Communities employing, 102
Earnings of, monthly, 103
Families employing, 101
Work of, nature of, 103
Sex (see also Age and sex):
Children on Remand, 546
Destitutes, of, 546
Earners, of, 62
Earners, of non-, 62
Educands, of, 24
Illiteracy, by, 13
Literacy by, 13
Poor, infirm, 546
Pupils by, 524
Ratio:
Compared with 1937 data, 489
Sample population, in, 11, 46 Trend, 2
Unemployed, of, 62, 302
Standard of living, 439
Adult Unit as a measure of, 440
Income, adequacy of, 441
Students (independent residents):
Age and sex, 105
Educational grades, 105
Communities, 105
Survey, 1937 Data:
Communities, 14, 99
Family, sample, 8
Family, type of, 99
House, selection of, 3
Results compared, 11, 488 f
Retabulated:
Civid condition, 515
Earners by ISI classification, 282
- Earners status, 510

Earnings (quartile) by occupational grades, 520
Educational status, 510
Occupational grades, 518 Relationship pattern of family, 99 Wards, 510
Survey, present-sample families
(Ration Register):
Area covered, 10
Census compared:

Age by sex, 12
Civil condition, 13
Communities, 11
Family, size of, 92
Illiteracy, 13
Literacy, 13
Mother tongue, 12
Sex ratio, 11
Communities, 16, 408
Conduct of, 4
Earner class, 419, 446
Family, final sample, 3, 4
Family, sample selection, 5
Families employing servants, 101
Field-work agency, 10
Housing (see also tenements), 354
Income, 76, 82, 86, 409•
Income, per adult unit, 446
Income, unearned, 432
Occupational mobility, 460
Occupations, 408
Questionnaire, family, 9, \(110 f\)
Questionnaire, instructions to family, 119
Ration cards, 3
See migrants, in-and-out,
Servants, domestic, 101
Students, 105
Unemployed, families with, 308
Survey present-Sample population
(Ration Register)
Age and sex, 24, 36, 46
Area and, 358
Cival conditions, 61
Communities, 36, 56, 62
Earners and non-earners, 62
Educands, 24
Educational grades, 36
Educational status, 24
Income, 82f,
Room, persons per, 358
Sex-ratio, 46
Wards, 24, 33, 47, 62
Survey present-Unemployed (Employ-
- ment Exchange) :

Registered, 325 f .
Unemployment: 802f.
Causes of non-registration of, \(311^{\circ}\)
Non-registered, 811
Workers, casual, 819
Teachers, primary, 8
Tenements:
Area and persons 858

554

Area per family, 365
Bath-rooms, 368
Communities, 368
Duration of stay in, 387
Free, 354, 398, 402
Income, 368, 384, 402
Latrines, 368
Owned, 354, 398, 402
Rent:, 384
Rent Area ratio, 384
Rent, Concessional, 354, 398, 402
Rented, 354
- Rooms, number of, 358, 406

Water-tap, 368
Training (further) of unemployed, 316
Unemployed
Age of, 62, 302
Categories of, 302
Causes, due to, 309
Civil condition, 302
Communities, 62, 308, 408
Definition of, 302
Duration for which, 302
Educational status, 62, 302
Estimate of, 335
Estimate of non-registered, 311
Family, as head of. 302
Families with, 309
Income, 408
Income, unearned, 432
Occupation of, previous, 302
Registered at exchange, 311
Registered, non-, 311
Registration, causes of non-,
Employment Exchange unknown, 311
Employment Exchange thought
useless, 811
Over-age, 311
Relation pattern of family, 814
Sex, 62, 302
Survey of registered, \(\mathbf{3 3 5 f}\)
Age, 337
Civil condition, 340
Communities, 344
Duration, 345
Duration of employed, 338
Duration of stay, 340
Earnings, 353
Earners, 347
Educational status, 340
Employed, 338
Income, family, 347

Mother tongue, 340
Occupation, 336f
Occupations, changes sought in, 347
Place of origin, 340
Reasons for non-cancellation of \(\dot{x}\) egistration, 351
Reasons for, stated, 351
Relation with head of family, 345
Relationship pattern of family, 345
Training, willingness to undertake
further, 316
Wards, 62
Unemployment:
Causes of:, 309, 351
Business, liquidated, 310
Business, slack, 310
General, 351
Miscellaneous, 310
Physical disability, 351
Refugee, 310
Retrenchment, 310
Transfer, rejection of, 310, 314
Unqualífied, 310, 351
Voluntary, 310
Incidence of, 317
Partial, defined, 318
Poverty, and increase in, 508
Self-employed, amongst, 325
Voluntary, 320
Voluntary, in-, 320
Urbanization, 148
Wage standard, concept of living, 441
Wards:
Age and sex, 46
Area and persons, 358
Area per family, 365
Civil condition, 51
Communities, 16
Earners and non-earners, 62
Educands, 24
Educational status, 24
Family, size of, 88
Females, married, 62
Income, 408
Income, earned, 82
Income, per capita, 82
Income, subsidiary, 82
Income, unearned, 82
Migrants, out-, 153
Occupancy rights, 354
Occupations, 408
Overcrowding, 358, 365
Persons per room, 358

\section*{Rent-area ratio, 384}

Rent, median, 397
Rooms per family, 354
Sample by, changes in selected, 7
Tenements, 354
Unemployed, 62
Water-tap
Families sharing, 368
Income, by, 368
Latrine and, 369
Worker, casual:, 319

Skilled, 322, 491
Unskilled, 322, 491
Worker, self-employed:, 325 Occupational grade, 325
Skilled, 325
Unskilled, 325
Worker, skilled and unskilled:
Casual, 322
Employed amongst registered unemployed, 399
Self-employed, 825

\section*{.Publications of the Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Poona 4}

No. 1:-The Salaries of Public Officials in India. By D. R. Gadgil. 1931. (Ox of print).
No. 2:-Imperial Preference for India. (The Ottawa Agreement Examined). By D. R. Gadgil. 1932. (Out of print).
No. 3:-A Survey of the Marketing of Fruit in Poona. By D. R. Gadgil and V. R. Gadgl. 1933. (Out of print).

No. 4:-A Survey of Motor Bus Transportation in Six Districts of the Bombay Presidency. By D. R. Gadgil and L. V. Gogate. 1935. (Out of Print).
No. 5:-Urban Handicrafts of the Bombay Deccan. By N. M. Joshi, M.A.. 1936. (Out of Print).

No. 6:-Legislative Protection and Relief of Agricultural Debtors in India. By K. G. Shivaswami, 1939. (Out of Print).
No. 7:-A Survey of Farm Business in Wai Taluka. By D. R. Gadgil and V. R Gadgil. 1940. (Out of Print).

No. 8:-The Population Problem in India-A Regional Approach. By N. V. Sovani. 1942. (Out of Print).
No. 9:-Regulation of Wages and Other Problems of Industrial Labour in India. By D. R. Gadgil. (Reprint in 1954). Price Rs. 5 or 10s.
No. 10:-War and Indan Economic Policy. By D. R. Gadgil and N. V. Sovani, 1944. (Out of Print).

No. 11:-The Aborigines-"So-called"-and Their Future. By G. S. Ghurye, Professor and Head of the Department of Sociology, University of Bombay. 1943. (Out of Print).

No. 12:-Poona-A Socio-Economic Survey, Part I: Economic. By D. R. Gadgil; Assisted by the Staff of the Institute. Royal 8.vo. Pp. 300, 1945. Price Rs. 15 or 30 s.
No. 13:-Federating India. By D. R. Gadgil. Demy 8vo. Pp. 117, 1945. Price Rs. 6 or 12s. (Out of Print.)
No. 14.-The Socio-Economic Survey of Weaving Communitien in Sholapur. By R. G. Kakade. Demy 8vo. Pp. 221, 1947. Price Rs. 10 or 20s.
No. 15:-The Federal Problem in India. By D. R. Gadgil. Demy 8vo. Pp. 203, 1947. Price Rs. 8 or 16 s.

No. 16:-Bombay Finance-1921-1946. By T. M. Joshi, Demy 8vo. Pp. 220, 1947. Price Rs. 7-8-0 or 15 F.

No. 17:-Economic Effects of Irrigation (Report of a Survey of Direct and Indirect Benefits of the Godavari and Pravara Canals). By D. R. Gadgil. Demy 8vo. Pp. 184, 1948. Price Rs. 8 or 16s.
No. 18:-The Social Survey of Kolhapur City: Part I-Population and Fertility. By N. V. Sovani, Assisted by the Staff of the Institute. Demy 8ro. Pp. 86, 1948. Price Rs. 4 or 8s.
No. 19:-Some Observations on the Draft Constitution. By D. R. Gadgil. Demy 8vo. Pp. 112, 1948. Price Ris. 3 or 4s. 6d.
No. 20:-Reports of the Commodity Prices Board. Edited By N. V. Sovani, 1948. Royal 8vo. Pp. 236. 1948. Price Rs. 10 or 158.

No. 21:-Post-War Inflation in India-A Survey. By N. V. Sovanl, Royal 8vo. Pp. 100. 1949. Price Rs. 5 or 7s. 6d.
No. 22:-Planning of Post-War Economic Development in India. By N. V. Sovani. Demy 8vo. Pp. 102. 1951. Price Rs. 8-8-0 or Es.
No. 23:-Social Survey of Kolhapur City. Vol. II-Industry, Trade and Labour. By N. V. Sovani. Demy 8vo. Pp. 346. 1951. Price Re. 12 or 18 s.
No. 24:-Social Survey of Kolhapur City. Vol. III-Family Living and Social Life By N. V. Sovani. Demy 8vo, Pp. 330. 1952. Price Ra, 12 or 18a.

No. \(25:-\) Poona-A Socio-Economic S̀ Survey. Part Iİ. By D. R. Gadgil. Royal 8vo. Pp. 330. 1952. Price Rs. 15 or 30s.
No. 26:-Report on the Poona Schedules of the National Sample Survey By V. M. Dandekar. Royal 8vo. Pp. viii + 223. 1953. Price Rs. 6 or 9s.
No. 27:-Survey of Fertility and Mortality in Poona District. By V. M. Dandekar and Kumudini Dandekar. Royal 8vo. pp. 191. 1953. Price Rs. 5 or 7s. 6 d .
No. 28:-Structure and Working of Village Panchayats: A Survey based on case studies in Bombay and Madras. By A. V. Raman Rao. Royal 8vo. pp. 218. 1954. Price Rs. 7 or 11s. or \(\$ 1.50\).
No. 29:-Second Report on the Poona Schedules of the National Sample Survey (1950-51). By V. M. Dandekar, Royal 8vo. viii +176. 1954. Price Rs. 6 or 9 s. or \(\$ 1.25\).
No. 30:-Economic Policy \& Development (A Collection of writings). By D. R. Gadgil. Royal 8vo. Pp. 248. 1955. Price Rs. 8 or 12s. or \(\$ 2\).

No. 31:-Fertility Survey of Nasik, Kolaba and North Satara Districts. By N. V. Sovanı and Kumudıni Dandekar. Royal 8vo. Pp. xvi +167. 1955. Price Rs. 6 or 9 s . or \(\$ 1.25\).
No. 32 :-Primary Education in Satara District: Reports of Two Investigations By D. R. Gadgil and V. M. Dandekar. Royal 8vo. Pp. 174. 1955. Price Rs. 6 or 9 s . or \(\$ 1.25\).
No. 33:-Use of Food Surpluses for Economic Development. By V. M. Dandekar. Royal 8vo. Pp. 153, 1956. Price Rs. 5 or 7s. 6d. or \(\$ 1.10\).

\section*{R. R. Kale Memorial Lectures}

1938-The Social Process. By Dr. G. S. Ghurye, Professor of Sociology, Bombay University. Price As. 8, (Out of Print.).
1939-Federation versus Freedom. By B. R. Ambedkar, M.A., Ph.D. Price Re. 1.
1941-The Problem of the Aborigines in India. By A. V. Thakkar, L.C.E. Price Re. 1. (Out of Print).
1942-A Plea for Planning in Co-operation. By Vaikunth L. Mehta. Price As. 12. (Out of Print).
1943-The Formation of Federations. By S. G. Vaze. Price Rs. 1-8-0.
1948-Central Banking in India: A Retrospect. By Chintaman D. Deshmukh. Price Rs. 1-8-0 or 2s. 3 .
1949-Public Administration in Democracy. By D. G. Karve. Price Re. 2 or 3s. (Out of Print.).
1950-Policy of Protection in India. By Dr. H. L. Dey. Price Re. 1 or 18. 6d.
1951-Competitive and Co-operative Trends in Federalism. By Prof. M. Venkatrangaiya. Price Rs. 1-8-0 or 2s. 3d. or \(\$ 0.30\).
1952-The Role of the Administrator: Past, Present and Future. By A. D. Gorwala. Price Rs. 2. 3s. or \(\$ 0.40\).
1954-Public Administration and Economic Development. By W. R. Natu. Price Re. 1 or 1s. 6 d . or \(\$ 0.20\).
1956-Reflections on Economic Growth and Progress. By Dr. S. K. Muranjan. Price Re. 1 or 1s, 6d. or \(\mathbf{\$ 0 . 2 0}\).

\section*{FORTECOMING PUBLICATIONS}
1. Report on the Implementation of the Bombay Tenancy Act of 1948. By V. M. Dandekar and G. J. Khudanpur. (In Preparation).```


[^0]:    *. P. 19-'Poona-A Socro-Economic Survey-Part I-'Economic’ by D. R. Gadgl. Publication No. 12, Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Poona. Hereinafter referred to as 'Poona-Part I'.

    1. On the 15 th February 1950 when the Poona Municipal Corporation was first constituted, the areas of the late Poona City Municmality and the Poona Suburban Municipality alone were included in it. Subsequently by Govern. ment Notincation dated 1 August, 1950, the areas of the whole or part of some 17 adjoining villages (list given below) were added to the Corporation limits. The total area of the Poona Municipal Corporation, excluding the area of the Poona and Kirkee Cantonments, is now approximately 50 square miles.

    The areas of the Poona and Kirkee Cantonments are now wholly surrounded by the Poona Corporation area and these jurisdictions have now become islands within the Corporation limits.

    List of villages included wholly or partly in the Corporation area :1. Aundh, 2. Pashan, 3. Kothrud, 4. Hingne Bk, 5. Parvati, 6. Dhankavdi, 7. Kasbe Poona, 8. Hadapsar r. Lohogaon, 10. Ghorpadi, 11. Mundhawa, 12. Yeravada, 13. Dhairi, 14. Kalas, 15. Bhosari, 16. Dapodi and 17. Vadagaon.

[^1]:    - Poona, Part I, p. 20.
    - Poons, Part I, p. 24.

[^2]:    * In some of these wards there were not many families in the sample. For the sake of convenience it was decided to group them together while presenting the information. Care was taken to see that these groups consisted of those wards which were contıguous. Such groups were made in the following cases:-(1) Aundh, Pashan, Bopodi, Wadarwadi, and Chatushringi-hereinafter referred to as Aundh, Pashan, etc. (2) Bibewadi, Dhanori, Kondhwa, Padmanagar and Govardhanwadi-hereinafter referred to as Bibewadi, etc. (3) Erandavana, Hingne and Kothrud-hereinafter referred to as Erandavana, etc.

[^3]:    * Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation 1953-54, from the Report of the Medical Officer of Health to the Municipal Commissioner, p. 19.

[^4]:    * In the discussion that follows the wards common to both the surveys have been compared in regard to several characteristics. The proper significance of these comparisons needs to be brought out a little. These comparisons are not of the same populations of these wards at two points of time. Though the boundaries of the wards have not changed between the two surveys the populations of almost all wards have increased during the period and their socio-economic compositions have also changed. Some of the changes noted in the following may be merely reflections of these broader changes while others may be of a more genuine charactex. These broader changes might be roughly indicated on the social side by the changes in the wardwise distribution of communities discussed in this section and on the economic side by the distribution of per capita income of wards in the two surveys discussed below. Both these broadly indicate that the socio-economic compositions of populations of the different wards had changed little, except in Shivajinagar and Nagesh and Suburban Roads. Shivajinagar was a new ward at the time of the 1937 Survey but had developed considerably at the time of the present survey. In the population of Nagesh the proportion of Muhommedans in the present survey was found to be considerably lower than in 1937. As the sample in the Suburban Roads in the 1937 Survey was not representative (Cf. Poona Part I: Footnote, $\mathbf{p}$. 72) there can be no valid comparisons as between the surveys in regari to this ward so that the changes noted there have little comparative significance. It is important to keep these considerations in mind to get the proper significance of the discussion that follows.

[^5]:    $\dagger$ P. 67 'Poona-A Socio-Economic .Survey' Part II, D. R. Gadgil, Publication No. 25, Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, (1952), heremafter referred to as 'Poona, Part II'.

[^6]:    $\dagger$ In the additional group of wards, South Indians showed concentration in the Cantonments.

    * Poona, Part I, p. 52.

[^7]:    - Cf. Poona, Part I, p. 54.

[^8]:    * The scheme of free and compulsory education was made applicable to the whole area of the Poona Municipal Corporation in 1950. At the time of our survey therefore the scheme had been in operation for more than 3 years at least in the Corporation area. The assessment of the success of the scheme can be made by examining the data furnished by the Municipal Corporation, itself. The table below gives the number of children of school-going age found not attending schools and the number of those who were made to attend by attendance orders, prosecutions, etc. for the years 1949-50 to 1954-55. (Administrative Report of the Poona Municipal Corporation, 1954-55).

    | Yesar | Census of children of <br> school-going age found <br> not attending <br> schools. | Out of the previous <br> column how many <br> were made to attend <br> by attendance orders, <br> prosecution, etc. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | $1949-50$ | 3,708 | 2.062 |
    | $1950-51$ | 5,582 | 1,966 |
    | $1951-52$ | 8.040 | 2,226 |
    | $1952-53$ | 8,488 | 2,447 |
    | $1953-54$ | 6,146 | 2,572 |
    | $1954-55$ | $, 5,637$ | 2,081 |

[^9]:    - Poona, Part I, p. 61.

[^10]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 59.

[^11]:    * Table 13, Poona Part I, p. 46.

[^12]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 56.

[^13]:    

[^14]:    * Poona, 'Part I, p. 68.

[^15]:    * Study of Prostitution in Poona. (A Preliminary Note) by Dr. B. B. GokhaleReport from "Samaj Seva"-1-1-1955. A Monthly Journal of the Association of Probation Officers and Superintendents and Bombay State Probation and Aftercare Association, Poona,-
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[^16]:    * Poona, Part I, i. 69.

[^17]:    
    \&

[^18]:    - Poona, Part I, p. 72.

[^19]:    1. Migrations can be voluntary as well as forced. We shall be mainly dealing with voluntary migration. Cases of forced migration, such as in the case of refugees, etc., will be met with but numberically they are few in our sample.
    2. Cf. Problems of Migration Statistics, Population, Studies, No. 5, Department of Social Affairs, United Nations, November, 1949, p. 5.
[^20]:    1. It is of course possible in some cases that the intention to stay permanently or temporarily in Poona may undergo a change. An educand eoming to Poona for education would probably report at that stage his stay there as temporary but, after completing education, if he gets a job in Poona he would, at that stage, report that his stay in Poona is permanent. The change in intention therefore may in some cases depend upon the time when they are questioned. In the following we have taken account only of the intention as reported at the time of the survey.
[^21]:    1. D. R. Gadgil, Foreword to N. V. Sovani, "Population Problem in IndianA Regiónal Approach", Publication No. 8, Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Poona, 1942, p. x.
    2. Ibid, p. 188, Cf. also Determinanta and Consequences of Population Trends, United Nations, 1953, p. 111.
    3. For details of the occupational classification used here, see Chapter III.
[^22]:    - Poona, Part I, p. 78

[^23]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 252.

[^24]:    1. Poona, Part 1, pp, 253-255.
[^25]:    Poona, Part I, p. 255.

[^26]:    

[^27]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 270.

[^28]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 271.

[^29]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 280.

[^30]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 272.

[^31]:    * Poona, Part I, p. 273.

[^32]:    * The earnings of some of the earners were found to be much above the average earnings of earners in some occupations. We have checked every case and have satisfied ourselves that these earnings were not overestimates.

[^33]:    * Classification of Industry and Occupation Issued by the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, July 1954, p. 1.

[^34]:    - A self-supporting person is defined as follows: Where a person is in recenpt of an income and that income is sufficient at least for his own maintenance then he (or she as the case may be) is to be regarded as a aelf-supporting person for purposes of the Census inquiry. Any one who was not a self-supporting person in this sense is a dependent. A person who does not earn any income either in cash or in kind is a non-earning dependent. The remaining are earning dependents. F... 16

[^35]:    * Age and Sex :-1 Male Adults, 2 Male Non-adults, 3 Female Adulta,

    4 Female Non-adults.

[^36]:    INDUSTRY DIVISION 6-DISTRIBUTIVE SERVICES, (TRADE AND COMMERCE).
    Occupational Division 0.
    Managerial, administ-
    rative \& executive
    occupations
    (non-technical)
    Total

    $$
    \begin{array}{rllllllll}
    -1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 2 & - \\
    - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 2 & -
    \end{array}
    $$

    Occupational Division 1.
    Professional, technical
    \& related occupations
    $1-3 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 6-$
    

[^37]:    beggarg and prostitutes.

[^38]:    * For all earners in the sample families excluding the unemployeds, pensioners,
    beggars and prostatutes.

[^39]:    1. Employment Exchange means an establishment of the employment service which assists, without remuneration, employment seekers to secure suitable employment and employers to obtain suitable workmen and which carries out such other duties as may be assigned to it by the responsible authorities.
    2. Applicant means a person, who having voluntarily registered at an employment exchange is eligible for employment and other assistance in accordance with prescribed procedure.
    3. Index Card means an envelope made of stiff paper on which particulars pertaining to and action in respect of an applicant are recorded and ingide which relevant documents are filed.
[^40]:    1. Trade Index number means a styled symbol allotted to trade or occupation with a view to facilitating registration, submission and statistical work.
    2. Live Register means a collection of Index Cards pertaining to applicants who, as far as an Employment Exchange is aware, are in need of employment assistance.
    3. Registration means an act of recording on an Index Card and in the Record of Registration, particulars of an employment seeker with a flew to placing him in employment.
    4. Dead Register means a collection of Index Cards which have been transferred from the Live Register according to the prescribed procedure because the applicants concerned are apparently, no longer in need of employment assistance.
    5. Re-registration means the registration of an employment seeker who (i) was previously registered at the Employment Exchange, but whose Index Card had, in accordance with the approved procedure been-transferred to the Dead Register or (ii) was previously registered at another Exchange.
    6. Order Card means an envelope made of atiff paper on which particulars pertaining to and action in respect of an employer's demand for Workmen, are recorded and invide which relevant papers can be filed.
[^41]:    - For a description of these see p. 838.

[^42]:    * Poona, Part II, p. 144.

[^43]:    1. Poona, Part II, p. 147.
    2. Poona, Part II, p. 141.
[^44]:    1. Poona-Part II, p. 141.
    2. Ibrd, p. 138.
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[^45]:    A*-Information insdequate.

[^46]:    1. About 10 per cent of the familied from this income group paid more than Rs. 5 per month for 50 sq. ft. area which was something abnormal, because assuming they paid only Rs. 5 per month as rent, their total annual rent payment would have absorbed about a quarter of their total income. A detailed break-down of these cases showed that most of these cases were of prostitutes. As remarked earlier, prostitutes had understated therr earnings (See p. 81). It is also well-known that they are usually heavily indebted to their Gharwalis who charged them exhorbitant rents. The two together explain the high rent-area ratio in the group. Cf. Dr. B. B. Gokhale-Study of Prostitution in Poona, (A Preli.minary Note), Samaj Seva, A Monthly Journal of the Association of Probation Officers and Superintendents and Bombay State Probation and Aftercare Association, Poona, January 1955.
[^47]:    1. Poona Municipal Corporation, Adminiatration Report for the year 1949-50, Poona, 1950, D. 155.
[^48]:    1. By 'income' is denoted annual income of the family during the year preceding the survey.
    2. Poona, Part I, p. 288.
[^49]:    1. Poona, Part I, p. 288.
[^50]:    1. Poona, Part I, D. 291.
    2. Poona, Part I, p. 292.
[^51]:    1. Poona, Part I, p. 292.
    2. Workers' Nutrition and Sacial Palicy, L.L.O. Series B.N. 23, 1936, p. 40.
