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## Foreword

TWIIS report presents the results of highway traffic and engineering studies of the Federal-aid, State and county highway systems of the Cleveland regional area made under a cooperative agreement between the Bureau of Public Roads, United States Department of Agriculture, and the County Commissioners of Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

The investigation was undertaken in order to obtain essential facts concerning traffic and its distribution on the highways of the regional area, and the condition of present highway surfaces, structures and railroad crossings at grade, as a basis for planning the development of new arterial highways, the reconstruction and widening of present surfaces and structures, and the separation of railroad grade crossings, to serve present and expected future traffic during a period of 10 years.

The first part of the report contains a summary of the principal conclusions, the second the detailed data of the survey upon which the findings are based, and the third the plan of highway improvement.

The researches were conducted under the joint supervision of Thos. H. MacDonald, Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, and J. R. Zmunt, J. H. Harris, and J. G. Fischer, County Commissioners of Cuyahoga County. The Board of County Commissioners was represented by J. R. Zmunt. The studies of traffic were directed by J. Gordon McKay, chief of the division of highway, economics of the Bureau of Public. Roads, who also supervised the preparation of the report. Dr. McKay was assisted by O. M. Elvehjem, highway economist, and E. T. Stein, J. F. Hehir, and L. E. Peabody, associate highway economists, all of the division of highway economics.

The studies of highway design and location were directed for the Federal bureau by E. W. James, ćhief of the division of design; the bridge studies were made by O. L. Grover, bridge engineer, and the hydrographic studies in connection with the location of bridges were made by C. S. Jarvis, highway engineer.
H. K. Bishop, chief of the division of construction of the bureau, directed the studies of the present condition of the roads and pavements, assisted by Clifford Shoemaker, highway engineer.
H. L. Beavis and C. H. Hutchinson, highway right-of-way .and planning engineers, of the staff of the Cuyahoga County board, and E. J. Thobaben, assistant county prosecutor and legal adviser to the board, rendered invaluable service throughout the survey.

# THE HIGHWAY TRAFFIC AND PLANNING SURVEY IN THE CLEVELAND REGIONAL AREA 

REALIZING the increasing importance of the highway problems of Cuyahoga County and appreciating the value-of establishing a definite plan of highway improvement to serve the steadily increasing traffic demands of the area, the Board of Commissioners of Cuyahoga County requested the cooperation of the Bureau of Public Roads, United States Department of Agriculture, in a study of the highway and traffic problems of the County and in the establishment of a scientific plan of highway improvement.

The Bureau of Public Roads agreed to cooperate in such a study under the following conditions.
I. That the study include the area in which traffic conditions are principally influenced by the City of Cleveland and its surrounding suburban area without regard to political boundaries or jurisdictions.
2. That all governmental agencies in the area having jurisdiction over highways and highway traffic cooperate in the establishment of a general highway development plan and agree to carry this plan into execution when established.
3. That the highway studies in the area be continued over a period of years in order to observe the effect which the execution of the plan will have upon the distribution of traffic and upon the efficiency with which traffic is served by a modern highway system.

These conditions were agreeable to the several highway authorities.

Formal resolutions agreeing to cooperate in the establishment and improvement of highways as determined by the survey were adopted by substantially all highway administrative organiza.tions in the area. The cooperative survey was approved by the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads, the Ohio State Department of Highways, the boards of county commissioners of Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage and Sum-
mit Counties, and, within Cuyahoga County, by the cities of Cleveland, East Cleveland, Cleveland Heights, Lakewood, and forty-two villages and seven townships. The cost of the survey was shared equally by the Bureau of Public Roads and Cuyahoga County.

Throughout the entire survey excellent cooperation prevailed among all governmental agencies. Each of the counties furnished complete data regarding present highways and structures, and made available all existing records. Suggestions as to required improvements of the system based on local traffic demands were also made by each of the counties and in Cuyahoga County by a large number of the cities and villages. The officials of each of these jurisdictions manifested intense interest in the progress of the work and furnished valuable assistance.

The present highways of the Cleveland regional area, and particularly the roads of Cuyahoga County, form an incomplete highway transportation system in that they do not provide adequately for the free and direct movement of the traffic of the area. The traffic which uses the system is primarily a movement between the suburban centers in Cuyahoga County and the City of Cleveland, and secondarily between these centers and the remainder of the regional area.

Excluding roads and streets in the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood, the surviey covered in Cuyahoga County 654 miles of highways of major, medium and minor traffic importance. Major roads-those on which traffic now is or by 1937 will be over 1,800 vehicles a day-comprise 291 miles. Roads of medium traffic importance -those which now carry or by 1937 will carry between 700 and 1,800 vehicles a day-comprise 133 miles; and minor roads-those on which traffic will not exceed 700 vehicles a day in 1937 -comprise 230 miles. Roads of this latter class now serve a traffic of 400 vehicles a day or less.

Of the major road mileage, 62 per cent, or approximately 179 miles, is now in satisfactory condition to serve traffic demands during the next
ten years, or is under contract for adequate improvement. Of the mileage of medium traffic routes, 53 per cent, or 70 miles, will be satisfactory for the ten-year period. Only a comparatively small mileage of minor traffic roads will require reconstruction or widening during the period of the plan.

The remainder of the principal traffic routes of the existing Cuyahoga County system is in an unsatisfactory condition. There are also, in the present system, a number of unimproved gaps and a lack of arterial routes and connections.

Outside of Cuyahoga County in the regional area the survey covered 240 miles of major, 183 miles of medium and 187 miles of minor traffic roads. In this portion of the area only the principal roads were considered.
Causes of the Unsatisfactory Condition of the Cuyahoga County Highway System
A number of major causes are responsible for the present unsatisfactory condition of the Cuyahoga County highway system. These are:
r. The lack of a common plan of highway improvement upon which all agencies responsible for road improvements could apply concerted action.
2. The lack of a common plan of highway improvement has been aggravated by the absence of centralized authority and responsibility for road improvement, due largely to the statutory division of responsibility between the county commissioners and the county surveyor, complicated by the authority of municipal corporations to control the improvement of streets within their limits. Under these conditions the development of a satisfactory highway system has been very difficult.
3. The reconstruction of the principal arterial routes, which were originally constructed for light vehicle traffic, has been too long deferred. This delay in the face of a constantly increasing traffic has resulted in the destruction of the inadequate improvements on these routes.

4 The existing arterial routes between the centers of traffic in the City of Cleveland and other expanding ceaters of population and traffic in the county do not provide a sufficient number of main thoroughfares for the proper distribution of traffic.
5. The main traveled routes of Cuyahoga County and the City of Cleveland converge and unite as they approach the central section of the city and create serious problems of traffic congestion.
6. Narrow surfaces on various sections of the main arterial routes in the county and within the city and obstructions to the free movement of traffic, such as unseparated grade crossings, street cars, street car loading platforms, and the parking and loading of vehicles in business and industrial areas reduce the traffic capacity of these main thoroughfares and cause congestion.
7. Unimproved or unsatisfactorily improved sections of the main arterial thoroughfares and an absence of improved connections on important routes between the suburban centers of traffic have resulted in a partially disconnected system of highways and an uneconomic, indirect movement of traffic.
8. Physical obstructions, particularly the river valleys, industrial developments, railroad yards and park area restrictions, have materially deferred the improvement of present routes and development of new routes and connections.

## Basic Facts Used in Developing the Plan of Improvement

The plan of improvement for the area has been dereloped from the following evidence:
I. Present highway traffic.

The daily volume of passenger car, truck, and bus traffic on each section of the present highway system was determined; a forecast of future traffic was made for each of these sections for five, ten and fifteen years, respectively; and each section was classified as a major, medium, or minor traffic route. This information determined the highway planning requirements necessary for their satisfactory improvement.
2. Distribution of highway traffic.

The points of origin and destination of traffic operating between the various sections of the area were analyzed to determine the necessity for new routes or connections, and results of the study constitute the basic eridence in support of the plan of new routes and connections.

3. Present condition and estimated life period of existing surfaces and structures.

Each section of the highway system was inspected, analyzed, and classified as satisfactory for ten years, salvable as subbase in place, salvable as material, or of no value for reconstruction.

Bridges were classified as satisfactory, requiring replacement, or in need of widening.
Railroad grade crossings were classified as requiring separation or the installation of modern methods of warning and protection to traffic.
4. Basis of proper surface width of new routes, present highways, and structures.

Traffic capacity analyses of road surfaces of various widths were made to determine the economic width of surface required for each section of highway. These studies, which were made on surfaces ranging from I8 to 40 feet in width, included observations of vehicular speed and transverse distribution on the roadway.
5. The present type, width of surface, and the width of right of way.
6. Studies of the density, distribution and trend of population and industrial growth in the cities and suburban areas.
7. Special studies of arterial, lateral, belt, and by-pass routes, relocations of sections of highway, unimproved gaps in the present system, time studies of traffic and train movement at railroad grade crossings, separation of grade at the intersection of heavy traffic routes, and topographic and hydrographic surveys.
8. Conferences with the several county boards and county surveyors and with the officials of the citios and villages: of Cuyahoga County to receive their suggestions and plans for highway improvement.
9. Detailed studies of the cost of construction, using surfaces and structures of modern design, and average prices of construction in the region. This evidence was used as the basis for estimating the cost of the planned improvements.

The resulting plan involving the proposal of new routes and connections, of new construction on existing routes, and reconstruction and widening of present surfaces, of bridge construction and railroad grade separations, has been approved by the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads; the Ohio State Department of Highways; the county boards of Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage and Summit Counties; the City of Cleveland; and other cooperating agencies.

## Summary of Traffic Facts

Local traffic predominant.-Local traffic, particularly between Cleveland and its suburbs and between the larger centers of population within the regional area, constitutes the bulk of the highway traffic. On 15 main routes in Cuyahoga County it was found that only 2.4 per cent of the traffic was moving between points outside of the county.

Sunday and weekday traffic.-Sunday is the day of maximum traffic except in the business section of Cleveland. Compared with the weekday traffic the Sunday movement is proportionately greater on roads of low traffic density.

The improvement of major and medium traffic routes, the widening of major routes, and the creation of new routes will satisfactorily solve the problem of Sunday traffic distribution and provide relief for the congestion which prevails on that day from $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. to $7 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. at the traffic "bottle necks."

Volume of traffic on the highways of the area in 1927.-The greatest traffic density on any section of a highway in the area was recorded on the Superior high-level bridge in Cleveland, where the average daily 24 -hour traffic was 56,000 vehicles.

Within the City of Cleveland daily traffic on the main arterial routes ranged from 14,000 on Detroit Avenue at West 25th Street to 38,000 on Carnegie Avenue at East 55th Street.

Beyond the area included in Cleveland, Lakewood, East Cleveland, and Cleveland Heights only a small mileage of roads had a traffic density of over 10,000 vehicles.

Practically all of the principal radial routes out of Cleveland carried 4,000 or more vehicles
a day for varying distances. Within the heavytraffic area of Cuyahoga County, bounded approximately by Richmond Road (State Route 175), and Pleasant Valley, and Clague Roads, traffic on highways of this class will increase during the next fifteen years to a daily volume which will require a surface width sufficient to carry four lanes of traffic.

Among the radial roads whose daily traffic was over 4,000 vehicles are: Lake Shore Boulevard (State Route 175) ; Euclid Avenue (U. S. Route 20) ; Mayfield Road (U. S. Route 322); Cedar Road; Kinsman Road (U. S. Route 422) ; Miles Avenue (State Route 43); Broadway (State Route 14) ; Brecksville Road (U. S. Route 2I); Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42) ; Center Ridge. Road (U. S. Route 20); Detroit Road (State Route 254) ; and West Lake Road (State Route 2).

Outside of Cuyahoga County the only roads in the regional area on which daily traffic was over 4,000 vehicles were U. S. Route 20 in Lake and Lorain Counties, a short section of State Route 175 in Lake County, and sections of three routes out of Akron, these being State Route 8 north to the Bath-Stowe Road, State Route 36 east to Kent, and State Route 18 west to its junction with State Route 92.

Of the total mileage of roads in Cuyahoga County included in the survey, exclusive of the Cities of Cleveland and Lakewood, 3.1 per cent or 20 miles had a daily traffic density of 10,000 or more vehicles; and 13.8 per cent or 90 miles carried 4,000 or more vehicles daily. Over onethird of the road mileage in Cuyahoga County is of minor traffic importance, having a daily traffic of less than 400 vehicles. These are mainly lateral routes which serve as feeder roads to the radial routes connecting Cleveland and the larger centers of population.
Those roads which are not a part of through routes or which serve sections of low population density show a rapid decrease in traffic volume with increasing distance from Cleveland. Through routes connecting Cleveland with the larger centers of population in the regional area, such as Akron, Lorain, Elyria, Painesville and Medina, carry comparatively greater volumes of traffic over their entire length.

Conzergence of routes causes traffic conges-tion.-Out of any center of population important traffic routes radiate from the business center. In the Cleveland area topographic obstacles, shown in Figure I, have limited the number of such radial routes connecting the city and its suburban territory; and the convergence of the existing routes as they approach the city, as well as within the city proper, has created "bottlenecks"' in which there is serious traffic congestion.

The most serious of these congested sections in the order of their importance are found on the following routes:

1. The Superior high-level b́ridge, the west end of which is the junction point of Bulkley Boulevard, Detroit Avenue, and West 25th Street, the latter carrying a large part of the traffic of Franklin Avenue, Fulton Avenue, and a considerable part of the traffic from Lorain Avenue. The combining of all this traffic results in a daily volume of over 56,000 vehicles on the Superior bridge. The principal routes from the east, which join before crossing the bridge, pass through the badly congested Public Square section.
2. Cedar Glen Road, at the Cleveland city limits, carries 36,000 vehicles a day produced by the junction of Cedar Road, Fairmount Boulevard, Euclid Heights Boulevard, the Kenilworth-Surry connection from Mayfield Road, and Murray Hill Road. A large part of the traffic from the east suburban territory enters Cleveland via Carnegie Avenue to the downtown business district.
3. Euclid Avenue (U. S. Route 20) at the junction with Superior Avenue, where the average daily traffic is 32,000 vehicles. Euclid Avenue from this point east is the main traffic artery for the entire northeastern section of the regional area.
4. West 25th Street, at the Brooklyn-Brighton bridge, carries the traffic of Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42), Brook Park, York, Ridge (State Route 3), State (State Route 94), Broadview (State Route 176), and Schaaf Roads, a daily volume of 25,000 vehicles. West 25 th Street at this point is the only usable connection between the center of Cleveland and the territory south of the city and west of the Cuyahoga River.
5. Woodland Avenue which, west of East 55th Street, carries the traffic of Woodland Avenue, Shaker Boulevard, Buckeye Road, and Kinsman Road, a total of 22,000 vehicles a day.
6. Broadway, southeast of its junction with East 55th Street, where the average daily traffic is 21,000 vehicles, the combined traffic of Broadway, Turney, Warner, Miles Avenue, East 7Ist Street, and Union Avenue. The traffic problem on Broadway is aggravated by its narrow roadway, street car lines, parked vehicles, and the movement of local business traffic.
7. The Rocky River bridge, where West Lake, Detroit, and Wooster Roads on the west, and Sloane, Detroit and Riverside Drive on the east, combine to produce an average daily traffic of 20,000 vehicles and a Sunday traffic of 28,000 vehicles. During peak hours of traffic the capacity of this bridge is greatly exceeded and vehicle speed is reduced to a minimum principally because of the series of turns in the routes approaching and leaving the bridge and congestion at both the east and west approaches.
8. In the outlying section of the county the most congested highway section due to the junction of main routes is in the village of Bedford on Broadway between Columbus Street and Northfield Road. Daily traffic at this point varied between 8,000 and io,000 vehicles. Northwest of Bedford, traffic on Broadway is augmented by that from Warrensville Center, Lee, and other north-south routes. The Northfield route from Akron converges with Broadway within the village of Bedford a short distance southeast of the business center of the village. Motor vehicle parking, the street car traffic, and the movement of local vehicles in Bedford are contributing factors to the resulting traffic congestion.

A satisfactory method of providing relief on over-crowded routes is to distribute traffic over parallel routes and prevent the concentration of great volumes of traffic on the comparatively small number of main arterial routes. A second method is to widen the present main arteries, but as these frequently pass through highly developed business sections the cost of this method in many cases is prohibitive. In addition the concentration of large volumes of traffic on main arterial
routes passsing through highly developed business sections usually results in unsatisfactory traffic service, decreased opportunity to transact business and greater accident hazard.

The proposed plan makes full use of available right of way on these routes, but wherever practicable the relief sought is to develop parallel distributing routes either by the establishment of new highways or the development of proper connection between, and the completion of gaps in, existing routes.

Motor truck traffic.-On the principal trucking routes within the City of Cleveland, average weekly truck traffic ranged from 2,84i on Euclid Avenue at Superior to 4,527 on the Superior high-level bridge.

Outside of the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood the roads carrying the greatest volume of truck traffic for a considerable distance are Euclid Avenue, Mayfield Road, Wooster Pike, Detroit, Lee, Center Ridge, and West Lake Roads, and Broadway.

Motor bus traffic is a very small part of total traffic. Within the heary-traffic zone of Cuyahoga County, bounded approximately by Richmond, Pleasant Valley, and Clague Roads, it was only 0.6 per cent of the total traffic.

Traffic grozeth.-Studies of traffic increase over a period of years in several States have shown that traffic on the highways of an area increases in the same ratio as the motor vehicle registration in the area. An analysis of population growth and the relation of motor vehicle ownership to population, i.e., persons per registered car, indicates that an increase in traffic of 73 per cent may be expected on the highways of Cuyahoga County during the next ten years, and that in the same period the increase in other parts of the region will be 17 per cent in Geauga County, 37 per cent in Lake County, 58 per cent in Lorain County, 13 per cent in Medina County, 38 per cent in Portage County, and 85 per cent in Summit County.

Distribution of traffic in the arca.-To determine whether present roads in the regional area provide direct highway service between important residential, business and suburban areas and to determine the approximate location of new routes

for the relief of traffic congestion, it was necessary to know the principal sources of traffic in the area. The planning survey was directed not only toward the adequate improvement of present routes but also toward the establishment of new routes and the coordination of all routes so that traffic will be enabled to flow from origin to destination over the most direct routes possible and with the least possible congestion and delay.

To accomplish this purpose, traffic was stopped at key locations on the principal roads and a record was made of the places of origin and destination of each trip.
In the analysis of these trips according to place of origin and destination it was found that traffic was predominantly local in character, that the principal movement of traffic in Cuyahoga County was between Cleveland and its suburbs, and that the movement between the suburbs themselves and the remainder of the regional area was small in volume when compared with the movement of local traffic into and out of the City of Cleveland.

In Cleveland the principal source and destination of traffic is the downtown business district, the area bounded by East 12th Street, Euclid Avenue, and the Cuyahoga River. One-fifth of the total traffic between the entire City of Cleveland and its suburbs is between this downtown business district and the suburbs of the city.

The eastern population centers of the suburban area of Cleveland, East Cleveland, Cleveland Heights, Shaker Heights and Lake County, produce a much greater volume of traffic than the southern and western sections. South of Cleveland the principal centers of traffic are the Villages of Parma and Garfield Heights and the City of Akron and vicinity.

Average speed of passenger car traffic.-Supplementing the analysis of density, concentration, and distribution of motor vehicle traffic on the ' main routes serving the area, studies of the speed of passenger car movement on various sections of these routes were made during peak and average traffic periods.

The low average speed of traffic on the main routes in the heavy-traffic zone of the City of Cleveland and its suburban area contrasts sharply with the high average rate of vehicle movement outside of these two area.

Narrow streets, street car operation, street car loading platforms, parking at the curb, large volumes of motor truck traffic, intersecting traffic routes, and traffic control at intersections are in general the principal causes of the slow rate of movement within the city and its more densely populated suburbs.
The low average traffic speed during morning and evening congestion periods on the main routes traversing the City of Cleveland and its adjacent suburban territory, shows that the present routes are not satisfactory, and are causing motor vehicle operators inconvenience and an unnecessary loss of time.

## The Plan of Highway Improvement $\$

The essential highway facilities necessary in each section of the area as determined by the present volume of traffic, expected future traffic and the origin-destination distribution of traffic, compared with the condition, width, location, continuity and adequacy of present highways, the condition and width of bridges and the analysis of railroad crossings at grade, determined the plan of highway improvement.

The plan is designed to provide satisfactory highway facilities for each section of the area by the creation of a complete highway transportation system. The proposed plan makes use of the present highways to the füllest possible extent. New routes are proposed only where essential links of a complete transportation system are missing, or where adequate traffic capacity can not practicably be obtained on present routes.

The improvement of present routes involves an extensive program of widening and reconstruction of present surfaces and structures and the construction of unimproved gaps. The widening program contemplates increasing the width of present pavements to that required by the volume of present and expected future traffic or to the width possible on existing or obtainable right of way. Widening beyond four lanes for moving traffic is not contemplated. The concentration of more than four lanes of traffic on one route results in serious congestion at the focal and central discharge points, and the cost of acquiring additional right of way in the highly developed areas where such routes would be required is
usually excessive. Present and future traffic can be more efficiently served by the provision of a sufficient number of four-lane highways. The condition of the surface on a considerable mileage of the highways of the regional area necessitates reconstruction as well as widening. On a few routes, where present width is adequate, reconstruction without widening is necessary. The new construction program on established highways involves the improvement of routes or sections of routes that are now unimproved, or of sections on which present improvements are in such poor condition as to be worthless except possibly as salvaged material.

The improvement plan for Cuyahoga County includes 281 miles of roadway and 65 structures distributed as follows: New routes, exclusive of the City of Cleveland, 61. 7 miles; new routes within the City of Cleveland, 245 miles; widening of present routes, 68.9 miles; reconstruction and widening, 89.0 miles; reconstruction. 4.7 miles; and new construction on present routes, 31.8 miles. The 65 structures included are as follows: In Cuyahoga County, exclusive of the City of Cleveland, 11 new bridges, 38 railway grade separations, and 4 highway grade separations; within the City of Cleveland, 8 new bridges, 2 railway grade separations, and 2 highway grade separations.

The program of improvement for the regional area, exclusive of Cuyahoga County, comprises 312 miles of highway improvement and 48 structures as follows: New routes 40 miles; new construction of present routes 39 miles; reconstruction and widening 233 miles; 28 railway grade separations; 2 new bridges; and replacement or widening of 18 bridges.

## The Cost of the Plan of Improvement

The estimated cost of construction of the complete plan for the regional area, exclusive of the cost of right-of-way acquisition and property damages, is $\$ 63,000,000$, of which $\$ 41,200,000$ represents the cost of improvements within Cuyahoga County. Of this total of $\$ 41,200,000$, roadways and structures on the new routes are estimated to cost $\$ 26,300,000$, approximately twothirds of the total Cuyahoga County program.

As previously stated, the plan is designed to provide a complete and connected system of highways for proper traffic distribution in the regional area The extent to which this object will be accomplished will depend upon the fullness with which the plan is carried out and upon the provision that is made for future planining to prevent the recurrence of the present unsatisfactory situation.


# ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL OVER HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT 

T\HE improvement of highways and streets in Cuyahoga County is controlled by 63 administrative jurisdictions. The construction of Federal-aid routes involves the cooperation of the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads, the Ohio State Department of Highways, the Commissioners of Cuyahoga County, the Cuyahoga County Surveyor, and the villages through which the Fed-eral-aid routes pass. With respect to State highways not included in the Federal-aid system, the same cooperating agencies, exclusive of the Bureau of Public Roads, are parties to the improvement. For county roads the county commissioners, the county surveyor and the villages and cities through which a county road passes are involved in the improvement. City streets are improved by the respective cities except where the county commissioners accept responsibility for improvements within city limits. During the ten-year period from 1918 to 1928 the county commissioners constructed approximately 33 miles of highway within city limits, of which 25.5 miles were constructed in Cleveland, 4 miles in East Cleveland, and 3.5 miles' in Lakewood.
There are 4 cities, Cleveland, Lakewood, East Cleveland, and Cleveland Heights; 49 villages and 6 townships in Cuyahoga County, as shown in Figure 2, a total of 59 local jurisdictions.
Each of the U. S., State, and county main traveled routes, as shown in Figure 2, pass through several of these jurisdictions, and the number of highway improvement agencies, exclusive of property owners, which must agree to the plan of improvement prior to construction, inevitably causes uneconomic delays and, in some cases, the abandonment of essential highway improvements. This situation is partially responsible for the inability of the Cuyahoga County Commissioners to complete satisfactorily essential highway improvements.
Pearl Road (U. S. Route 42) traverses four villages; Kinsman Road (U. S. Route 422) passes through five villages; and Broadway (State Route 14) crosses three villages between the Cuyahoga County line and the city limits of Cleveland. The
S. O. M. Center Road (State Route 91) passes through seven villages between the north and south limits of the county. Warrensville Center Road, an important county highway, is located entirely within seven villages in a distance of approximately nine miles from Broadway to the city limits of Cleveland Heights. Except for a small mileage on the Broadway and Kinsman routes where they pass through townships, each of these routes is entirely within incorporated village limits. A similar condition exists on all the highways within Cuyahoga County except for a small mileage within the remaining unincorporated township areas of Bedford, Chagrin Falls, Olmsted, Orange, Riveredge, and Warrensville.
Practically the entire county consists of incorporated villages and cities, and within a comparatively short period of time there will probably be no unincorporated township areas.
The control over highway improvements should be more centralized, but to accomplish this purpose the present statutes, which delegate to the villages authority over county highways, would have to be revised.

## Procedure Required to Initiate Highway Improvement

Highway improvements can be initiated by a unanimous vote of the three members of the board of county commissioners approving a resolution declaring that public welfare and convenience require the improvement, or by a petition to the commissioners from 51 per cent or more of the property owners whose land will be assessed to pay. part of the cost of the improvement. If the latter method is used, a majority of the board of commissioners can approve the project.
The resolution of necessity, or the petition of property owners, must state the termini of the proposed improvement, and must describe the grading, drainage, paving, relocation, widening, and the construction or reconstruction of bridges or culverts involved. The apportionment of the improvement cost must also be specified.

d CONSISTENT DELELOPMENT OF THE MAIN HIGHWAYS OF THE REGIONAL AREA HAS BEEN DIFFICELT BECACSE OF THE NUMEROUS COUNTY

AND MENICIPAL JCRISDICTIONS INFOLVED
Aboce-Cherdon Road (Stafe Ronie 85) at the village limits of Richmond Heights and Eaclid Fillages. Note the chaste im midih. The marrem section is being midened this year (1928)
Beloz-Wooster Pike (D. S. Roate 42) at the Capahogo-Median conaty lime. Note the chage in type and the ebript eadiag of the center live

When the proceedings are initiated by petition, the board of commissioners sets the dates for a view of the improvement by the board and a final hearing for approval or disapproval of the petition.

The approval of an improvement by either method results in an order from the board of county commissioners to the county surveyor to prepare surveys, plans, profiles, cross sections, specifications and estimates of cost of the proposed improvement, and an estimated assessment on the benefited property.

The detailed improvement plan prepared by the county surveyor, after approval by the commissioners, is filed for inspection by the public. The date of the hearing by the commissioners of claims for compensation and damage and objections to the improvement must be advertised for two weeks. The decision of the commissioners as to objections to the improvement may be appealed to the probate court, which has authority to reverse the commissioners' decision and modify assess'ments when inequalities exist. Appeals to the court involving awards of compensation and damages are determined by a jury.

When private property is required for a proposed improvement, notice must be served on the owner of each parcel of land affected, showing the ownership, location, and dimensions of the land required, and must fix a time and place for presentation of claims for compensation and damage. On the date named the commissioners consider the claims and awards are made. The board of commissioners may adopt a resolution to settle claims for damage to property resulting from the improvement after the improvement is completed. After all claims for damages have been determined by the commissioners, or by the probate court, when awards of the commissioners are appealed to the court, and these claims are filed, the board of commissioners can adopt a resolution to proceed with the improvement.

After approval of the project by the commissioners, the proposed work is advertised and the contract is let to the lowest and best bidder. When different types of improvement are submitted, a board consisting of the county commissioners and the county surveyor determines by a majority vote the type of improvement. Con-
tracts are usually made on a unit-price basis, the aggregate not to exceed the total estimated cost.

## Municipal Control of County and State Highways

The laws of Ohio establish the exclusive right and duty of a municipality to control the improvement of streets and roads within its corporate limits, even when such roads are State or county highways. Prior to the improvement of a State of county road within a municipality, the council of the city or village must approve the proposed project by resolution or ordinance.

This requirement is responsible for considerable delay in the improvement of important State and county highways, and in some instances has prevented the improvement of roads which are inadequate in their width, class of improvement and state of repair. In Cuyahoga County, where - practically the whole county is incorporated into a large number of municipalities of limited area and limited resources for highway improvement, political zeal has prompted such municipalities-to insist upon limiting the improvement assessments upon abutting or contiguous property to a minimum amount, considerably less than the benefits accruing to property, and to insist further that the State or county or both shall finance practically the entire cost of the construction.

The result of this authority delegated to the villages is that a small village having, perhaps, less than a mile of highway frontage can indefinitely delay necessary improvements on the main highways of the county. This power of the municipalities should be modified to facilitate the procedure of improving highways in Cuyahoga County. In a large majority of the counties of Ohio this situation is not so serious a problem as in Cuyahoga County, since in these other counties a large part of the county area is unincorporated, and the township authorities have no control over State or county highways within their limits.

## Financing Highway Improvements in Cuyahoga

 CountyOhio legislation provides that municipalities traversed by State or county highways may finance a part, but are not compelled to share any of the road improvement cost. When a munici-
pality authorizes participation in the cost of the improvement, the council, by resolution or by legislation, authorizes an agreement with the county commissioners as to the cost assumed by the municipality. Any proportion so assumed is credited to that part of the cost of the improvement otherwise assessed upon benefited property within the municipality.
The first stage of financing a highway improvement by the board of county commissioners is the apportionment of the improvement cost between the county and abutting property owners. All or any part of the cost of the project may be assessed by the commissioners against real property abutting on the highway or within a half mile, one mile or two miles on each side of the improvement, based on the estimated benefit to property resulting from the construction.
There is no legal limit placed on the amount that may be assessed against property for county roads, but in the development of State highways, when the pavement width is less than 18 feet, the assessment can not exceed 10 per cent of the cost, or 20 per cent of the current tax value of the assessed property.

The usual procedure in Cuyahoga County has been to assess one-half the cost against benefited property and finance the remainder from the county highway funds, or from county highway bonds resting on property and real property levies.

This method of financing is inequitable, particularly when the improvement provides a surface width greater than that required for two lanes of traffic. Local property receives no material additional increment of value when a fourlane highway rather than a two-lane highway is constructed. The traffic which requires width in excess of that of a two-lane surface is not local, but rather county and regional traffic. Also the amount of the increase in the value of property resulting from a highway improvement decreases along a route with increase of distance from the center of population.
It would seem logical for the county commissioners of Cuyahoga County to modify the present method of financing highway improvements based upon the width of surface to be constructed and a determination of the influence of the improvements on the value of land at various distances from the City of Cleveland.

## THE CLEVELAND REGIONAL AREA

TWHE territory selected for the highway planning survey and designated as the Cleveland Regional Area, as shown in Figure 1, includes Cuyahoga County and the parts of Lake, Geauga, Portage, Summit, Medina and Lorain Counties enclosing Cuyaiioga County and the City of Cleveland, the center of traffic distribution of the area. The outer limits of the regional area are approximately 30 miles from the business center of Cleveland. The area is bounded by three Ohio States Routes, viz., Route 44 to the east, Route 18 to the south, and Route 57 to the west. On these routes are located the outlying centers of population contributing to the traffic of the area. At the eastern limits these centers are Painesville, Chardon, Mantua and Ravenna; at the southern limits, Kent, Akron, and Medina; and at the western limits, Elyria and Lorain.
This area includes the principal sources of Cuyahoga County traffic and its borders approximately define the limits of local service between Cleveland and its tributary area. An index map of Cuyahoga County showing the location of the principal streets, highways and municipalities is shown in Appendix Figure 9.

## Population Density and Distribution

The distribution of population in the regional area, based on the population sections of the 1920 census, is shown in Figure 3. Population per square mile and rates of increase vary widely in the various sections. Population density in sections of the City of Cleveland exceeds 38,000 person's per square mile and in a considerable number of the townships of the area is less than 30 persons per square mile.
Recent estimates of population trends, supplementing the decennial censuses, furnish a reliable basis for estimates of future population. The estimated rates of growth from 1920 to 1930 in the wards of the City of Cleveland vary from a decrease of about 15 per cent to an increase of 85 per cent; for the remaining sections of Cuyahoga County, from 37 to 560 per cent increase; for the remaining sections of the regional area, from a
decrease of 5 per cent to an increase of 72 per cent. In general, the population is increasing most rapidly in the suburban areas of Cuyahoga County adjacent to the Cleveland city limits, and these are the most important areas of the suburban territory from the standpoint of traffic.
Cuyahoga County's population is distributed in a series of five zones surrounding the business center of Cleveland. In the business center, population is decreasing, and its density per square mile is lower than that of the area immediately surrounding it. In the second zone within the city, which surrounds the business center and is colore ${ }^{\text {g green in Figure 3, population in } 18 \text { of the }}$ wards was more than 15,000 and, in one ward, over 38,000 persons per square mile. One section is decreasing at a rate which for the 19201930 period will approximate 15 per cent. In each of the remaining sections the population is increasing, the maximum rate of estimated growth for the 1920-1930 period being 40 per cent.

Surrounding the second population area, is a third zone extending approximately to the city limits and including the cities of East Cleveland and Lakewood, with a population density between 5,000 and 15,000 persons per square mile. Rates of growth within this area range from 20 to 85 per cent for the 1920-1930 period, the latter being the rate of increase for the southeastern part of the city. Cleveland Heights, University Heights and the section of Cleveland known as West Park each have from 1,000 to 5,000 persons per square mile, and their population is increasing at a rate of approximately 200 per cent for the 1920-1930 period. In the suburban areas adjacent to the city limits, population, and rates of increase, are more variable than in Cleveland.

The fourth zone includes areas that have a population density of 300 to 1,000 persons per square mile, comprising Rocky River, Fairview, Parkview, Euclid, Richmond Heights, South Euclid, Lyndhurst, Beechwood, Shaker Heights, Miles Heights, North Randall, Warrensville Heights, Bedford, Maple Heights, Garfield Heights, Valley View, Cuyahoga Heights, Newburgh Heights, Brooklyn Heights and Chagrin

Falls. The rate of growth within this zone ranges from 148 to 264 per cent for the $1920-1930$ period.
The fifth population zone includes the villages of Highland Heights, Mayfield, Mayfield Heights, Gates Mills, Independence, Seven Hills, Parma, Parma Heights, Brooklyn, Brook Park, Berea, Middleburg Heights, Olmsted Falls, Westview, North Olmsted, Dover and Bay and the townships of Olmsted and Riveredge. This area has a population ranging from 101 to 300 persons per square mile, and rates of increase during the 1920-1930 period varying between 37 and 560 per cent. Parts of this zone, most of which is south and west of the city, are nearer to the center of the city than other sections of greater population density and its relatively slower growth has been influenced by a lack of adequate transportation facilities.
The sixth zone is the most sparsely populated section of Cuyahoga County, and includes the rillages of Pepper Pike, Hunting Valley, Orange, Solon, Glenwillow, Brecksville, BroadviewHeights, North Royalton and Strongsville. Population in these villages is less than 100 persons per square mile, and their rates of population growth between 1920 and 1930 are from 50 to 60 per cent.
A clear picture of the distribution and growth of population in Cuyahoga County may be obtained by comparing the population, area, and rate of population increase in the three principal traffic zones of the county; viz., (1) the City of Cleveland, (2) the area between the Cleveland city limits and Clague, Pleasant Valley, and Richmond Roads, and (3) the remainder of the county.

Table 1 shows sharp differences in population density and rates of increase in these areas.

The rate of population increase from 1910 to 1920 in section 2 was three times that of section 1 , and the estimated increase in section 2 from 1920 to 1930 is four and one-half times that of section I .

Assuming no change in the city limits of Cleveland the distribution of population in 1930 will be approximately 84 per cent in the city, 14 per cent in section 2 and 2 per cent in section 3-

The rapid change in the distribution of population between sections $I$ and 2 , is one of the reasons necessitating improved transportation facilities between section 2, the principal suburban zone, and the business section of Cleveland.

For the purposes of convenience and clarity in the study of traffic and the plan of improvement, Cuyahoga County has been divided into seven sections.

The population in 1910 and 1920 , the percentage of increase from 1910 to 1930 and the estimated increase from 1920 to 1930 in these seven sections, exclusive of the City of Cleveland, Lakewood and Bratenahl Village, are shown in Table 2.

These sections are nearly equal in area except for the western section which is approximately two-thirds the area of each of the others. Their order of importance in respect to population density, therefore, corresponds closely with their order in respect to total population.

Table 1-Comparison of population, area, and rate of population increase in the three traffic. zones of Cuyahoga County


[^0]

The northeastern and east-central sections are most thickly populated and during the period from 1910 to 1920 increased at the most rapid rate. The southeastern and east-south-central sections had approximately the same total population in 1920 but their rates of increase from 1910 to 1920 were approximately half the increases of the northeastern and east-central sections.
Total population in the remaining three sections was low and increased slowly from 1910 to 1920.

Estimated rates of growth from 1920 to 1930 are greater than in the prior decade for all sections except the northeastern. The rate of growth in the west-south-central section exceeds those of all other sections, but this fact loses some of its significance when the low population density of the section in 1920 is noted. Considering population and the present and probable future demand for traffic facilities, the seven sections classified in the order of their importance are: Northeastern; east central; southeastern; east-south-central; west-south-central; western; and southwestern. The provision of new transportation facilities will undaubtedly be an important factor in influencing population changes in the several sections, particularly the low population sections adjacent to the business center of Cleveland.

The section of the regional area outside of Cuyahoga County comprises the seventh population zone. It is sparsely populated except for the cities of Akron, Lorain, Elyria, Painesville, Kent, Ravenna, Medina and Chardon.

## Physical Obstacles to Highway Improvement

The location and improvement of highways and bridges in the regional area has been influenced to a considèrable extent by topography, especially by the Cuyahoga, Rocky, Chagrin and Black River valleys, industrial development, golf courses, cemeteries, and park areas as shown in Figures i and 4. The influence of topography upon the location of highways is particularly marked in Cuyahoga County, as shown in Figure 1.

The four principal river valleys run generally north and south and divide the northern part of the area into four sections. East-west routes cross these valleys and the structure costs involved have materially delayed the establishment of new routes, since large bridge construction projects involve a considerable expenditure of public funds. The main highways_ from the southeast, in general, parallel but do not cross the Cuyahoga River valley. There is no satisfactory east-west crossing of this valley in the suburban zone south of the

Table 2-Population rate of increase in the seven sections of Cuyahoga County

| Section ${ }^{1}$ | Population |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { increase } \\ 1910 \text { to } 1920 \end{gathered}$ | Estimated percentage increase 1920 to 1930 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | - 1910 |  |  |
| Northeastern. | 36,841 | 13,956 | 164 | 130 |
| East-central. | 21,007 | - 7,773 | 170 | 209 |
| Southeastern. | . 9,951 | 5,928 | 68 | 146 |
| Southwestern. | 8,581 | 7,866 | 9 | 52 |
| East-south-central. | 7;387 | 3,934 | 88 | 122 |
| Western.. | 6,745 | 4,895 | 38 | 121 |
| West-south-central. | 4,829 | 3,975 | 22 | 211 |

[^1]Harvard-Denison route; and south of Lorain Avenue there are no important traffic routes which cross the Rocky River valley.

Within the City of Cleveland the traffic congestion in the central business section is partially caused by an absence of proper high-level and low-level crossings over the lower Cuyahoga valley to relieye the heavy traffic utilization of the Superior high-level structure.

Industrial development hampers the location of new routes near the City of Cleveland. As shown in Figure 4, there is a series of industrial belts through which must pass both present routes and new arterial routes. It is a difficult problem to develop new routes through a large industrial section, so difficult that the usual result is a location of the highways to avoid such sections and a sacrifice of the principle of maximum traffic service.

Railroad lines, and freight unloading and classification yards are serious obstacles to the location of new routes. The solution usually resorted to, and the only solution for aggravated cases is viaduct construction, which on account of its cost usually results in a failure to develop the improvement. This type of solution enters into the present plan in only one instance.

Golf courses, cemeteries and park areas are distributed throughout Cuyahoga Cqunty, as shown
in Figure 4 These, also, are barriers to the opening of new routes.

The steady increase, during the past few years, in the land areas occupied by industrial plants, railroads, golf courses, park areas and cemeteries, emphasizes the urgent need of establishing rights of way for future highway development to protect the public in the future development of essential routes.

## HigItany Systems, Width of Surfaces, and Their Condition

There are 1,7841 miles of State and county highways in the Cleveland regional area, bounded, as previously described, by Ohio State Routes 44 , 18, and 57. Of the 604.5 miles of State highways in this area, 339.5 miles are part of the Fed-eral-aid highway system, the cost of improvement of which may be shared by the Federal Government. The mileage of Federal-aid, State and county roads in the several counties of the regional area is shown in Table 3.

The traffic survey, concerned only with the principal roads of the regional area outside of Cuyahoga County, covered $1,263.8$ miles of the total mileage of the Federal-aid, State, and county highways. An analysis of the present type and condition of surfaces of these highways showed

Table 3-Mileage of Federal-aid, State, and county highways in the regional area
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THE SUPERIOR HIGH. LEVEL BRIDGE OVER THE CUYAHOGA RIVER

ABBEY AVENUE VIADUCT OVER THE BIG FOUR AND NICKEL PLATE RAIL ROADS AND SCRANTON ROAD


THE HILLIARD BRIDGE OVER THE ROCKY RIVER

THECOSTOFCON. STRUCTING LARGE BRIDGES OVER THE FOUR PRINCIPAL RIVERS HAS DELAYED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NEW ROUTES BADLY NEEDED FOR THE RELIEF OF CON. GESTION ON THE EXIST. ING ROUTES


Pasement of imadequate midth on Brook Park Road zrest of Hofiman Road
that 52.4 fer cent of the total mileage is faved with brick or concrete, $31-4$ per cent with Lituminous surfaces, and the remaining 16.2 per cent is either surfaced with waterbound macadam, slag, or gravel, or is unimsoved.

The surfaces of $\quad 0.6$ per cent of the 1,263 miles surveyed are classified as satisfactory for 10 years or more, ${ }^{2} 23$.I per cent are classified as suitable for subbase, and 6.3 per cent as salvable for material only. The mileage of major and medium

[^3]Table 4-Classification of major and medium traffic routes according to type and condition of surface

| Trpe and concition | Ceyaboga Cannty |  | Lake Conny |  | Geanga Counis |  | Portage Counis |  | Sumait Conniy |  | Medina Consiy |  | Lorain County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Major | M/ | Major | Me- | Majar | Medium | Major | Mecium | Majar | Me- | Major | ${ }_{\text {Me }}^{\text {dium }}$ | Major | Me- |
| Bricl and concrese: | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per <br> cent | Per cent | Pes cent | Per cent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ |
| Satisiactary ior 10 vears or more. | 58.3 | 69.7 | 55.3 | 78.5 | 50.0 | 21.3 | 41.9 |  | 65.9 | 32.5 | 48.2 | 100.0 | 26.1 | 31.2 |
| Satisilatiory for sibizase.. | 18.5 | 17.0 | 12.0 | 21.5 |  | 26.8 | 9.7 | 30.4 | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seirable as melerial only Bituminous top: | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 14.6 |  | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saxisfactory ior 10 years ar more. | 17.8 | 9.4 | 4.7 |  | 30.0 | 38.3 | 18.8 | 40.4 | 12.6 | 63.4 |  |  | 43.3 | 39.4 |
| Saxisizarry ior suiblaze..... | 1.7 |  | 8.0 |  |  | 13.7 | 15.5 | 18.6 |  |  | 51.8 |  | 30.6 | 23.2 |
| Sairajie as meterial only. . - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minar tryes: <br> Satisizactery ior 10 rears or more |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satisiactary ior stibibase........ |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 10.6 |  | 5.1 |  |  |  | 4.3 |
| Setrabie as material onty | 0.1 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |
| Toral. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total-miles | 291.1 | 132.9 | 47.0 | 35.0 | 14.4 | 29.7 | 38.3 | 37.4 | -4.8 | 24.7 | 30.0 | 11.4 | 35.7 | 44.4 |

- 

Table 5-Classification of major and medium traffic routes according to surface width

| Surface width | Cuyaboga County | Geauga County | Lake <br> County | Lorain County | Medina County | Portage County | Summit County | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feet | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| Less than 18. | 38.3 | 90.5 | 50.4 | 64.1 | 55.9 | 76.7 | 52.6 | - 61.2 |
| 18-20. | 19.7 | 9.5 | 41.8 | 35.9 | 44.1 | 23.3 | 44.1 | 31.1 |
| 21-29. | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | 2.4 |
| 30-39. | 16.7 |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |
| 40 and over | 12.6 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 109.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total-miles. | 424.0 | 44.1 | 82.0 | 80.1 | 41.4 | 75.7 | 99.5 | 846.8 |



Large industrial plants and railroad freight yards stand in the way of much needed highway connections. Before the situation becomes more difficult steps should be taken to acquire the rights of way that will be needed for future highways
traffic roads, ${ }^{2}$ in the several counties of the regional area, according to type and condition of surfaces is shown in Table 4.

The surface width of approximately 61 per cent of the total mileage of major and medium traffic routes is less than 18 feet while that of approximately 31 per cent is 18 and 20 feet. Only 7.7 per cent of the total mileage is paved with surfaces of width greater than 20 feet. The mileage of major and medium traffic routes by width of surface is shown in Table 5.

[^4]

THREE VIEWS TYPICAL OF ROADS IN NEED OF RECONSTRUCTION

Above-Brecksville Road
Middle-Anderson Road
Bottom-Brook Park Road

Brecksville Road and Anderson Road are now being reconstructed (1928) and Brook Park Road is scheduled for early reconditioning

## THE DENSITY OF TRAFFIC IN 1927

THE greatest traffic density on any section of a highway in the regional area was recorded on the Superior high-level bridge in Cleveland, where the average daily 24 -hour traffic was 56,000 vehicles. ${ }^{3}$

Within the City of Cleveland, daily traffic on the main arterial routes was 38,000 on Carnegie Avenue at East 55th Street; 33,000 on Superior Avenue at East 55th Street; 32,000 on Bulkley Boulevard at Main Avenue; 28,000 on Euclid Avenue at East 55th Street, and 32,000 east of its junction with Superior Avenue; 25,000 on West 25th Street at the junction of Broadview Road; 22,000 on Woodland Avenue at East 55th Street; 21,000 on Broadway at East 55th Street; 16,000 on Lorain Avenue at Denison Avenue; and 14,000 on Detroit Avenue at West 25th Street.

The comparative traffic density on various routes in Cuyahoga County and the remainder of the regional area is shown in Figure 5. Seven classes of traffic are shown by colors while traffic of over 1,000 vehicles outside the congested city area, in addition to being shown by colors, is indicated by a tan band, along the road, the width of which represents, according to the scale shown, the daily traffic density. In Appendix I traffic density figures are tabulated for all roads covered by the traffic survey.

Beyond the area, included in Cleveland, Lakewood, East Cleveland, and Cleveland Heights only a small mileage of roads had a traffic density of over 10,000 vehicles, the highest traffic class shown in Figure 5. Lake Shore Boulevard, Euclid Avenue, Lee Road, and Detroit Road are the only sections on which the volume of traffic exceeded ro,000 vehicles beyond the above area.

Practically all of the principal radial routes out of Cleveland carried over 4,000 vehicles a day for varying distances. Within the heavy traffic area, bounded approximately by Richmond, Pleasant Valley, and Clague Roads in Cuyahoga County, traffic on these radial routes and others now carrying 4,000 or more vehicles daily will increase dur-

[^5]ing the next fifteen years to the point where a surface width sufficient to provide for four lanes of traffic will be required. Outside of this congested area, highway traffic capacity researches have indicated that only those roads which carried over 5,000 vehicles a day in 1927 will require widening to four traffic lanes during the next fifteen years. In general, however, the roads shown in Figure 5 as carrying 4,000 or more vehicles in 1927 include those sections which can be considered as requiring widening beyond the normal two-lane width.

Among the radial roads whose traffic was over 4,000 vehicles are: Lake Shore Boulevard (State Route 175) as far as Vine Street in Willowick; Euclid Avenue (U. S. Route 20) to Painesville; Mayfield Road (U. S. Route 322) to Ford Road; Cedar Road to Green Road; Kinsman Road (U. S. Route 422) to Norwood Road; Miles Avenue (State Route 43) to Lee Road; Broadway (State Route 14) to its junction with Northfield Road in Bedford; Northfield Road (State Route 8) from Bedford to the Cuyahoga County line; Brecksville Road (U. S. Route 2I) to Schaaf Road; Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42) to Bagley Road; Center Ridge Road (U. S. Route 20) to Elyria; Detroit Road (State Route 254) to Hall Road; and West Lake Road (State Route 2) to Cahoon Park just west of Dover Center Road.

Several sections of the local roads outside of Cleveland, Lakewood, East Cleveland, and Cleveland Heights carried over 4,000 vehicles a day. These include portions of Lee, Taylor, Warrensville Center, Turney, Warner, and Brook Park Roads, and Windfall Avenue.

The mileage of roads in Cuyahoga County, exclusive of Cleveland and Lakewood, classified according to traffic density, is shown in Figure 6. Of this mileage 3.1 per cent or 20 miles had a density of ro,000 or more vehicles and 13.8 per cent or 90 miles carried 4,000 or more vehicles. Over one-third of the road mileage in Cuyahoga County ( 235 miles) carried a daily traffic of less than 400 vehicles, and is classified as of minor traffic importance. This mileage is made up mainly of short sections of routes which serve as


traffic on main arterial routes in the city of cleveland


Fic. 6.-Mileage of roads in Cayahoga Conety classified eccording. To traffc density
feeder roads to the radial routes connecting Cleveland and thé larger centers of population.

Outside of Cuyahoga County there were no roads on which the traffic exceeded 10,000 vehicles a day; and the only roads on which daily traffic was over 4,000 vehicles were U. S. Route 20 in Lake and Lorain Counties, a short section of State

Route 175 in Lake County, and sections of three routes out of Akron; these being State Route 8 north to the Bath-Stowe Road; State Route 36 east to Kent; and State Route 18 west to its junction with State Route 92. The total mileage of these sections is 34 miles, as shown in Table 6.

The volume of traffic on the principal radial routes from Cleveland is further indicated by Table 7, which shows traffic density on these roads at 7,10 , and 13 miles from the Cleveland Public Square. The 7, 10, and 13 -mile intervals can be approximately located by their intersection with Euclid Avenue, Cedar Road, Broadway, Wooster Pike and Detroit Road. The 7 -mile interval intersects these roads respectively at about Noble, Taylor, Windfall, Snow, and Sloane; the 10 -mile interval at Chardon, Richmond, Rockside, Pleasant Valley, and Clague; and the 13 -mile interval at Lloyd, S. O. M. Center, Forbes, Whitney, and Bassett.

The relative importance of the roads given in Table 7 changes to some extent as distance from the Public Square of Cleveland increases. Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42), for instance, ranks seventh in density of traffic at 7 miles, sixth at 10 miles, and third at 13 miles. Euclid Avenue stands out as the most important of all routes in the regional' area, with a density of 22,826 vehicles at 7 miles, 10,176 at 10 miles, and 8,614 at 13 miles. Lake Shore Boulevard is the next most heavily traveled route at and beyond seven miles. Lorain Road and St. Clair Avenue, which

Table 6-Mileage of roads by traffic classes


[^6]carry 5,470 and 4,453 vehicles a day, respectively, at 7 miles, carry 931 and 447, respectively, at 13 miles.

Those roads which are not a part of through routes or which serve sections of low population density show a much more rapid decrease in traffic volume with increasing distance from Cleveland. St. Clair Avenue, Lorain Avenue, and North Woodland Road are in this class. Through routes connecting with the larger centers of population in the regional area, such as Akron, Lorain, Elyria, and Painesville, carry comparatively greater volumes of traffic over their entire length than the local suburban roads and the less important through roads, such as Mayfield, which do not connect with large, nearby centers of population.

On U. S. Route 20, between Elyria and Painesville, as shown in Figure 7, traffic ranged between 4,600 , vehicles a day west of the Belden-Avon

Road in Lorain County and 56,200 on the Superior high-level bridge. Daily traffic on this route was over 20,000 vehicles between the Rocky River bridge and East ro5th Street. The section of Euclid Avenue just east of Superior Avenue also carried over 20,000 vehicles a day. The effect of the narrow roadway on Superior Avenue. between East 55th Street and Euclid Avenue is apparent. Daily traffic decreased from 33,100 west of East 55th Street to 16,000 west of Euclid Avenue. On Euclid Avenue just east of Su perior daily traffic was 32,300 vehicles. Sunday traffic on the same route ranged between 6,800 vehicles west of the Belden-Avon Road and 47,800 on the Superior high-level bridge. Sunday traffic is smaller in volume than average daily traffic on Bulkley Boulevard, the Superior high-level bridge, and Superior Avenue.

A profile of the traffic on Carnegie Avenue and Cedar Road is shown in Figure 8. On this route

Table 7-Daily 24-hour traffic density on the principal radial routes at intervals of 7, 10, and 13 miles from the Cleveland Public-Square
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Fig. 7.-Aderage density of daily and Sunday traffic on U. S. Route 20 between Elyria and Painesville
the highest density of average daily traffic was found on Carnegie Avenue east of East 55th Strect, where it was 38,200 vehicles. Between this point and Murray Hill Road the density of daily traffic was approximately 36,000 vehicles. West of East 55th Street on Carnegie Avenue it was reduced to $3 \mathrm{I}, 300$. This decrease is due to the fact that Carnegie Avenue ends at East 22nd Strect and, as far out as East 55 th Street, traffic has begun to distribute itself over parallel and lateral routes.

Cedar Road traffic is considerably augmented by traffic from Fairmount Boulevard. East of Fairmount Boulevard the daily traffic density was 11,800 ; west of the same street it was 23,400 vehicles. From Fairmount Boulevard east, traffic gradually decreased until it was only 300 vehicles a day just east of Woodstock Road.

Sunday traffic on this route is considerably less than average daily traffic on Carnegie Avenue at East 55th Street. It increases in volume, however, until it reaches a density greater than daily traffic at Lee Road.

Traffic reaches its greatest density on U. S. Route 42 between Cleveland and Medina on West 25th Street at Broadview Road, as shown in Figure 9. This is the point of traffic convergence of Wooster Pike and York, Ridge, Brook Park, State, and Broadview Roads. The rise in traffic density can be seen as each one of these roads joins U. S. Route 42. North of Denison Avenue a considerable amount of the traffic uses Scranton Road instead of West 25th Street, which accounts for the decrease beyond Denison Avenue. Sunday traffic is greater than average daily traffic on this route with the exception of the section of West 25th Street between Lorain Avenue and the Superior high-level bridge.

## Motor Truck and Bus Traffic

Motor truck traffic constituted 9.8 per cent of average daily total traffic on the roads of the regional area. The percentage varied considerably on different routes due principally to the type of area served and also, within the city, to restrictions on truck use of sections of the main arterial routes, such as Carnegie Avenue, Franklin Ave-
nue from Franklin Circle to W. 85th Street, Bulkley Boulevard, and Shaker Boulevard from Woodhill Road to the city line. The highest motor truck densities were recorded in Cleveland on the Superior high-level bridge and on Woodland Avenue west of East 55th Street, where the average


Motor trucks at a freight warehouse-an important source of motor truck traffic in the city
week-day truck traffic was 4,527 and 3,947 , respectively. On the Superior bridge, trucks comprised 8.2 per cent of total week-day traffic, while on Woodland Avenue they constituted 18.4 per cent.

The average week-day density of truck traffic on the roads in the regional area is shown in Figure io (map insert) and tabulated in Appendix I.

On the principal trucking routes within the City of Cleveland, average week-day truck traffic was: 4,527 on the Superior high-level bridge, 3,947 on Woodland Avenue at East 55th Street, 3,679 on East 55th Street between Euclid and Prospect Avenues, 3,468 on Broadway at East 55th Street, 3,425 on St. Clair Avenue at East 55th Street, 3,086 on Lorain Avenue at West 25th Street, 2,944 on Superior Avenue at East 55th Street, 2,929 on West 25th Street at Broadview Road, 2,841 on Euclid Avenue east of Superior Avenue, 2,8II on Detroit at West 25th Street. In the City of Lakewood the principal trucking routes are Detroit and Madison Avenues, both of which carry around 2,000 trucks on an average weekday.

Outside of the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood, truck traffic is also an important part of total traffic at the principal congestion points. On Euclid Avenue, east of its junction with Superior Road in East Cleveland, average week-day truck traffic was 2,841. Although Euclid Avenue will always be one of the principal trucking routes in the county, the development of an additional trucking route through the northeastern territory must ultimately take place.

On Cedar Glen Road in Cleveland Heights, one of the principal points of traffic congestion, there was an average week-day density of 1,830 trucks.


Fic. 8-Average dewsity of daily mand Sunday traffe on Cariegie A vewe and Cedar Roed frome East 22ed Street to the Chagriv River Road


Puc. 9-Average dewsity of daily and Smeday traffic on Hooster Pike (U. S. Ronte 42) from the Smperior high-Level bridge to the Valley City Road

4. Detroit Road, with a density of over 1,000 trucks to Lakeview Avenue, over 500 to Dover Road, and over 250 from there to French Creek Road in Lorain County.
5. Lee Road, on which truck traffic is over 1,000 between Superior and Kinsman Roads, and over 500 between Kinsman and McCracken Roads.
6. Center Ridge Road, having a truck traffic density of over 500 , under normal conditions, as far as Elyria.
7. West Lake Road, the truck traffic of which averages over 500 to Hall Road and over. 250 from there to the city of Lorain.


Motor truck and bus traffic on West Lake Road (State
Route 2)
8. Broadway, which carries truck traffic of over ${ }^{-}$ 500 between the Cleveland city line and Northfield Road and over 250 between Northfield and Forbes Roads. The volume of truck traffic on Broadway has been influenced by the condition of the roadway south of the city line. This volume will be considerably increased as soon as the roadway is improved.

Of the $65+$ miles of road in Cuyahoga County (excluding the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood) on which traffic was counted, 38.2 per cent or 250 miles had a density of less than 50 trucks a day as shown in Figure ir. These are the roads of minor traffic importance on which truck traffic is composed almost entirely of trucks of small capacity and for which road surfaces of the traf-fic-bound type are adequate.


Fig. 11.-Mileage of roads in Cuyahoga County classified according to density of motor truck traffic on week days

There were 78 miles on which truck traffic exceeded 500 a day; and 18 miles carried over 1,000 trucks a day. Truck traffic alone on at least 78 miles of road in the county was greater than total traffic on over one-third of the total road mileage in the county. On 18 miles the truck traffic alone was of greater volume than total traffic per mile on 372 miles of road in the county.

Traffic of large-capacity trucks (3 to $7^{1 / 2}$ tons capacity) on roads outside of the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood is tabulated in Appendix I. Approximately 80 per cent of the truck traffic on these roads was composed of trucks of less than 3 tons capacity and 20 per cent of trucks of 3 to $71 / 2$ tons capacity. Table 8 shows the percentage distribution of trucks by capacity classes. Traffic of trucks of over $51 / 2$ tons capacity was negligible in volume; only o.r per cent of the total truck traffic was in this class.

Table 8-Distribution of motor trucks by type of rear tire and capacity classes ${ }^{1}$

| Capacity <br> class | Preumatic <br> rear tires | Cushion or <br> solid rear tires | Total <br> trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tons | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| $1,2-112 \ldots$. | 77.4 | 8.9 | 58.1 |
| $2-212 \ldots$. | 18.5 | 32.2 | 22.3 |
| $3-4, \ldots$. | 3.8 | 25.2 | 9.8 |
| $5-51, \ldots$. | 0.3 | 33.5 | 9.7 |
| $6-712 \ldots$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total..... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

${ }^{2}$ Based on 46,149 trucks.
Trucks equipped with pneumatic tires on the rear wheels constituted 72 per cent of all trucks and those equipped with cushion or solid rear tires 28 per cent.

At 30 key locations on the principal roads loaded motor trucks equipped with cushion or solid rear tires were stopped and their weights recorded. It was found that 36 per cent of these


Weighimg a motor truck at the weight station at Euclid Avenue and Chardon Road
trucks were hauling building and road construction materials. ${ }^{4}$ The average gross weight of trucks of various capacities is given in Table 9. Trucks of all capacities hauling construction materials carried, on the average, considerably heavier loads than other trucks. In any attempt to

[^8]Table 9-Average gross weight of loaded motor trucks of various capacities equipped with solid or cushion rear tires

| Capacity | Total trucks |  | Trucks hauling construction material |  | Other trucks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loaded trucks weighed | Average gross weight | Loaded trucks weighed | Average gross weight | Loaded trucks weighed | Average gross weight |
| Tons | Number | Pounds | Number | Pounds | Number | Pounds |
| 12. | 2 | 4,150 |  |  | 2 | 4,150 |
| 34. | 10 | 7,360 |  |  | 10 | 7,360 |
| 1. | 201 | 3,630 | 23 | 7,260 | 178 | 5,420 |
| 114. | 7 | 7,490 | 3 | 8,600 | 4 | 6,650 |
| 1\%. | 76 | 8,550 | 4 | 8,700 | 72 | 8,540 |
| 2. | 652 | 10,850 | 65 | 13,880 | 587 | 10,510 |
| 212. | 738 | 15,220 | 172 | 18,940 | 566 | 14,090 |
| 3. | 361 | 16,530 | 98 | 20.270 | 263 | 15,130 |
| 31 ¢. | 398 | 18,250 | 151 | 20.170 | 247 | - 17,080 |
| 4. | -136 | 19,870 | 78 | 21,590 | 58 | 17,560 |
| 5. | 1,380 | 21,270 | 820 | 22,780 | 560 | 19.050 |
| 512. | 1 | 22,600 |  |  | 1 | 22,600 |
| 6. | 5 | 21,960 |  |  | 5 | 21,960 |
| 61\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. . . . . . . . . | 3,967 | 16,550 | 1,414 | 21,070 | 2,553 | 14,040 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



A treuching machine being moved over the highway-an unusual type of load
regulate the maximum gross load per truck, attention should be directed first toward these con-struction-material trucks. That these trucks are the principal transporters of heavy loads is further evidenced by the fact that they constituted 72 per cent of the trucks having gross weights of over 20,000 pounds.

## Motor Busses

Motor busses accounted for a very small part of the total traffic on the roads of the area. Within the heavy-traffic zone, bounded approxi-
mately by Richmond, Pleasant Valley, and Clague Roads, motor bus traffic constituted only 0.6 per cent of the total. In the regional area outside of this zone it was 0.9 per cent.

The greatest density of motor bus traffic was found on the Superior high-level bridge, where this form of traffic exceeded 500 a day. On the main arterial routes within the city, motor bus traffic was: 345 on West 25th Street south of Detroit Avenue; 299 on Carnegie Avenue at East 55th Street; 183 on Euclid Avenue at East 55th Street; 177 on Detroit Avenue at West 25th Street; $\mathbf{r}_{3}$ on Broadway at East 55th Street; 6 on Superior at East 55th Street; 6 on Bulkley Boulevard at Main Avenue; 3 on Lorain Avenue at Denison Avenue, and 2 on Woodland Avenue at East 55th Street. On none of these arterial routes, with the exception of Detroit Avenue, did motor bus traffic exceed one per cent of total traffic.

Motor bus traffic of any appreciable amount is restricted to comparatively few routes both inside and outside of the City of Cleveland. Outside of the city, Mayfield Road carries over 230 busses a day as far as Green Road, South Woodland Road 180 as far as Lee Road, Superior Road over 170 between Euclid Avenue and Lee Road,


The large size of the modern motor bus makes it a factor to be considered in the design of highways

Lee Road over 170 between Superior and South Woodland Roads, and Euclid Avenue 130 as far as the county line.

From the standpoint of number only, motor busses are a negligible part of the total motor vehicle traffic. However, the size of busses, particularly their width, is a factor which must be considered in the design of highways on which there is an appreciable volume of motor truck and bus traffic. Information as to the size of the busses using the roads, as indicated by their passenger capacity, is summarized in Table 1o. This information was recorded at 60 of the traffic survey stations.

It will be noted that over 50 per cent of the busses recorded have a passenger capacity between 25 and 29; and over 75 per cent ranged between 20 and 29 in passenger capacity.

Table 10-Motor busses classified according to passenger capacity

| Passenger capacity | Busses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent |
| 10-14. | 34 | 1.1 |
| 15-19. | 198 | -6.5 |
| 20-24. | 683 | 22.5 |
| 25-29. | 1,618 | 53.2 |
| 30-34. | 461 | 15.2 |
| 35 and over. | 45 | 1.5 |
| Total | 3,039 | 100.0 |

## Daily and Hourly Variation of Traffic 'Density

Very little variation was found in the density of traffic on week days from Monday to Friday, inclusive. Sunday is the day of maximum traffic in all parts of the regional area except the City of Cleveland, and the total traffic on Saturday is exceeded only by the Sunday movement. The greater total traffic on these two days, however, is the result of increase in the passenger car movement. On both days the truck traffic is less than the week-day average.

The total traffic on Sunday is 134 per cent of the week-day average, the net result of a combination of a passenger car traffic increased to 145 per cent and a truck traffic decreased to 13 per cent of thé respective averages on week days.

On Saturday, the total traffic is 122 per cent of the week-day average; but truck traffic is reduced to .88 per cent of the āverage week-day density.

On the medium and minor traffic routes, the Sunday increase of traffic is proportionately greater than on the main highways of the area. This is indicated by Figure 12 which shows the comparison of Sunday and week-day traffic by traffic-density classes. On those roads which, on an average week day, carry less than 2,500 vehicles, Sunday traffic is over 200 per cent of that of an average week day; on routes carrying between 5,000 and 10,000 vehicles the corresponding ratio is 130 per cent; and on routes carrying 15,000 or more vehicles, it is only 90 per cent.

The principal difference between Sunday and


AVERAGE WEEK-DAY DENSTTY OF TRAFFIC - VEHICLES PER DAY
F.g. 12-Relation of Sunday to week-day traffic for various densities of meek-day traffic
week-day traffic lies in the fact that during a few hours on Sunday there is a considerably greater concentration of traffic than occurs at any time on other days. In Figure 13 is shown a comparison of the hourly variation of Sunday and week-day (Monday to Friday, inclusive) traffic. To obtain this comparison hourly traffic on Sunday and the average week day are expressed as the percentage of traffic during the average hour. It will be noted that from 3 a . m. to $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. the Sunday traffic is less than that of the average week day. During the remaining hours the traffic is greater in volume on Sunday than on the average week day. The peak hour of Sunday traffic occurs between $4 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and $5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.; during this hour the density is 350 per cent of the average hourly density on week days. On week days traffic during the same hour is 198 per cent of that of the average hour.
During the five hours from 2 p. m. to 7 p. m., traffic is greater in density than during any other equal period of time. On Saturday, 35 per cent of the day's total traffic occurs during these hours; on an average week day 36 per cent; and on Sunday 48 per cent occurs during these hours; i. e., almost one-half of the Sunday 24 -hour traffic occurs during these five hours.

The improvement of major and medium traffic routes, the widening of major routes and the creation of new routes will solve the problem of the distribution of Sunday traffic and provide relief for the congestion which has existed during peak hours at the traffic "bottle necks."

## The Distribution of Traffic in the Area

To determine whether the present roads of the regional area provide direct highway service between important residential, business and suburban areas and to determine the location of new routes for the relief of traffic congestion, it was necessary to know the principal sources of traffic in the area. The planning survey was directed not only toward the adequate improvement of present routes but also toward the establishment of new routes and the coordination of all routes so that traffic between important residential and business districts would travel over the most direct route possible and with the least possible congestion, delay, and loss of time.


Fig. 13.-Hourly variation of Sunday and week-day traffic expressed as percentage of the traffic during the average hour

To accomplish this purpose passenger car traffic was stopped at key locations on the principal roads and a record was made of the places of origin and destination of each trip. Additional information was also noted concerning the route followed; a description of the route traveled, if indirect, and the reason for choosing it; whether the trip was made regularly a certain number of times per day or week; and, in the case of vehicles traveling through the City of Cleveland, whether the driver would, if proper highway facilities were provided, prefer to avoid driving through the downtown business section of the city.
Motor trucks were omitted from this analysis because they constitute less than ro per cent of the total traffic and, in general, follow the same tendencies in distribution as passenger car traffic.
The places of origin and destination were recorded by street address within the City of Cleveland and these were subsequently grouped into 24 sections within the city as shown in Appendix Figure I. In Cuyahoga County outside the city
the records were classified by city, village, or township. In all, 82 distinct areas were so considered in Cuyahoga County. Larger sections were used outside of Cuyahoga County.

An example of the analysis of the origin and destination of traffic traversing a specific route is given in Figure 14, which shows by individual sections the origin and destination of the traffic recorded on Euclid Avenue (U. S. 20) at Chardon Road. The principal source and destination of this traffic at the west is the City of Cleveland, to and from which 66.4 per cent of the traffic operates; this 66.4 per cent includes 5,812 vehicles a day of which 1,507 travel to or from the downtown business section of the city. Outside the City of Cleveland the principal areas of source
and destination for this route are the cities of East Cleveland and Cleveland Heights which account for 896 and 604 cars a day, respectively.
In the eastern distribution, 43.9 per cent of the Euclid Avenue traffic ( 3,837 vehicles) originates in or is destined to Lake County. Euclid village accounts for $34 . \mathrm{I}$ per cent, or 2,985 vehicles. States east of Ohio, the northern half of Geauga County, and counties in Ohio east of the regional area account respectively for 767,677 and 410 of the daily passenger cars recorded on the route at the Chardon Road station as shown in Appendix II.

In ${ }^{-}$Cleveland the principal section of source and destination of traffic is the downtown business district, the area bounded by East 12th Street,


Fic. 14-Origin and destimation of week-day passenger car trafic an Euclid Avemue (U. S. Route 20) at Chardon Road

Euclid Avenue, and the Cuyahoga River. Onefifth of the total traffic between the entire City of Cleveland its suburbs is between this downtown busines district and the suburbs of the city.

The distribution of suburban traffic to and from the downtown business district is shown in Figure 15. Over 21,000 cars a day travel on the principal routes between the downtown section and points beyond the city. This is a minimum
of the suburban area, produce a much greater volume of traffic than the southern and western sections. The principal traffic centers to the east are the two sections of Cleveland Heights, Shaker Heights Village, and Lake County. South of the city the principal centers of traffic are the villages of Parma and Garfield Heights and the City of Akron and vicinity. Traffic is fairly evenly distributed among the sections west of the suburban


Cars parked in the downtown business district of Cleveland-a few of the large number that are driven daily to this section from homes all over the regional area
figure, however, since it includes neither the traffic over the less important roads nor the traffic from sections close to the city in congested areas where, because of the great volume of traffic, it was not possible to stop vehicles. For instance, traffic was not stopped on Cedar Hill and consequently not all the traffic is included between the downtown section and section 26, Cleveland Heights. ${ }^{5}$ The inner boundary of the suburban area used in this analysis is marked by a heavy black band.
Figure 15 shows that the sections east of the city area, the present principal population centers

[^9]limits. Had it been possible to stop traffic between Lakewood and Cleveland and between Rocky River Village and Cleveland these two sections would have balanced, to some extent, the heavy traffic volume east of the city.

It was found that the same comparative traffic importance of the suburban sections was maintained by the expansion of the downtown section to 55th Street on the East and 45th Street on the west. Even in the consideration of the movement of traffic between the entire City of Cleveland and the suburban territory very little change was noted in the relative traffic importance of the suburban sections to this larger area of the city from that indicated by Figure 15 .

The volume of long-distance traffic to the downtown sections is small in comparison with

Fio. 15.-Dally traffic between the business section of Cleveland and the suburban territory

local suburban traffic. Daily traffic between the downtown section and Ohio counties east of the regional area is 583 cars, Ohio counties southeast of the regional area produce 315 cars of the daily downtown traffic. Counties of the State southwest of the regional area produce 535 cars and counties west, 372 cars. Between the downtown sections and States east, south and west of Ohio there are respectively 559,25 and 180 cars.
A considerable amount of the traffic operating between points outside the city and the downtown business section as well as traffic to other central sections of the city could advantageously be rerouted over new routes to avoid congested sections of roads outside the city and streets within the city proper. There are, for example, 2,100 cars a day on Lake Shore Boulevard and Euclid Avenue traveling between the downtown section and Lake County and points east. Between the business district and points south of Brook Park Road there are 3,000 cars a day which use West 25th Street. Between points west of the Rocky River and the downtown section there are $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0}$ cars a day traveling over the Rocky River bridge. This volume of traffic to the comparatively limited area of the downtown section is indicative of the amount of traffic between points outside the city and the central sections of the city.

After an analysis of the volume and movement of traffic between the various sections of the regional area it was possible to estimate the amount of traffic which would use the several proposed new routes. One of the most important of these routes is the extension of Brook Park Road over the Cuyahoga Valleye It is estimated, on the basis of the origin-destination data, that a minimum of 6,600 cars a day would have used this extension had it been completed in 1927. Half of this estimated volume of traffic would have used a northerly connection via the East Boulevard route within the city. The distribution of this traffic according to source and destination on the east and west sides of the valley is shown in Figure 16.

On the west side of the Cuyahoga Valley, Parma Village is the chief source and destination of traffic which would use the proposed Brook Park Cuyahoga River crossing; 1,670 cars a day of the total 6,600 would have operated between Parma

Village and points on the east side of the valley tributary to the new route. Lakewood and section 24 of Cleveland would have accounted for 810 and 720 cars, respectively, of the estimated total traffic. The north and south portions of Lorain County would also supply a large part of the total traffic. The north portion, which includes the City of Lorain, will be directly connected with this new route after the proposed extension of Brook Park Road over the Rocky


Employees' cars parked at an industrial plant
River is completed. The City of Lorain and the surrounding territory would have produced 450 cars a day, and southern Lorain County, including the city of Elyria, would have furnished 590 cars a day. Other important sections on the west side are the villages of Berea, Brooklyn Heights and Parma Heights.

On the east side of the valley the principal traffic sections involved in the use of the Brook Park Road extension are the villages of Garfield Heights and Shaker Heights, which would have produced 700 and 600 cars a day, respectively, in 1927. Lake County and sections 16 and 17 of Cleveland are next in importance, being the source or destination of over 400 cars each. Other important sections are East Cleveland, section 26 of Cleveland Heights, section 14 of Cleveland, and the village of Bedford, each of which would have produced between 300 and 400 cars per day over the Brook Park extension.

The traffic shown in Figure 16 represents only those passenger cars now using other routes which would have used the Brook Park Road extension as the most direct route had it been completed in 1927. The part of this traffic pro-

. Fig. 16.-Week-day passenger car traffic. which would have used the Brook Park Road extension over the Cuyahoga River Valley in 1927 (based on the traffic observed on other roads)
duced in Lakewood and other northwestern sections is moving between these points and the sections immediately adjacent to or south of the new route east of the Cuyahoga River, and similarly traffic produced in East Cleveland, Cleveland Heights, Lake. County and other northeastern sections is moving between this area and sections immediately adjacent to or south of Brook Park Road west of the Cuyahoga River.
'The same detailed analysis as that used in
estimating traffic which would use the Brook Park Road extension has been used in connection with all major new routes in the regional area. These determinations of the probable volume of traffic are valuable not only in establishing the most advantageous location for new routes from the standpoint of traffic service but also in arriving at the required width of the roadway and in the selection of lateral connecting roads for improvement.

After classifying the routes traversed by cars whose trips were recorded, it was found that 27.1 per cent of the trips between the downtown business section of Cleveland and the suburban territory were made over indirect routes. The reasons given by the operators for the use of these indirect routes are shown in Table II.

Table 11-Cars using indirect routes between the downtown business section of Cleveland and the suburban territory, classified according to the reason for using these routes

| Reason | Percentage of cars ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic congestion. | 48.2 |
| Direct route in bad condition. | 21.9 |
| Direct route closed. | 10.7 |
| Unfamiliar with direct route. | 6.6 |
| Personal choice.. | 4.9 |
| Shorter. | 3.7 |
| Shopping or business. | 3.0 |
| Miscellaneous. | 1.0 |
| Total. | 100.0 |

Almost one-half of the trips over indirect routes were due to traffic congestion on the direct route. "Bad condition of the roadway on the direct route" was the reason given for 21.9 per cent of the indirect trips and "direct route closed" for 10.7 per cent. The following cases illustrate the use of, and reasons for the choice of, indirect routes between the suburban territory and the downtown business section of Cleveland.

On Mayfield Road west of Taylor Road approximately 45 per cent of the traffic to the downtown business section, instead of proceeding over Mayfield Road and Euclid Avenue which is the most direct route, turned south at Kenilworth and then west over Euclid Heights Boulevard, Cedar Road, and Carnegie Avenue. Traffic

[^10]congestion on Euclid Avenue primarily between Mayfield and 105th Street was the reason given for using this indirect route.

On Lee Road, south of Kinsman Road, 42 per cent of the traffic to the business section used indirect routes because of traffic congestion on Kinsman Road. Approximately one-half of these cars, instead of traveling over the direct route via Kinsman Road and. Woodland Avence, used Lee Road, South Moreland Boulevard, Buckeyè Road and Woodland Avenue. The other half used Lee Road, Fairmount Boulevard; Cedar Road, and Carnegie Avenue.
About 13 per cent of the traffic on South Moreland Boulevard northwest of Buckeye Road did not proceed over the direct route to the downtown section via Shaker Boulevard, Buckeye Road, and Woodland Avenue, but over North Moreland Boulevard, Coventry Road, Fairmount: Boulevard, Cedar Road, and Carnegie Avenue:

On Warrensville Center Road south of Norwood Road about 48 per cent of the traffic used indirect routes rather than the direct route: Norwood Road, Kinsman Road; and Woodland Avenue. One of these indirect routes was over Norwood Road, South Moreland Boulevard,: Bückeye Road, andy Woodland Avenue. . The other was Warrensville Center Road, Kinsman Road, Lee Road, Fairmount Boulevard, Cedar Road, and Carnegie Avenue. These indirect routes: were used because of traffic congestion on Kinsman Road.
From these cases and analysis of similar data for other principal routes it is apparent that a considerable volume of traffic approaching the city is diverted from the direct routes to other routes which; in addition to their normal traffic, are overburdened by this additional improperly routed traffic. The greater part of the traffic which avoids the congestion on Euclid Avenue, Woodland Avenue, and Kinsman Road finds its way to Cedar Road and turns west over. Cedar Hill and Carnegie Avenue to downtown Cleveland. Cedar Road at Murray Hill has reached its full capacity. On an average day it carries over 36,000 vehicles and during the maximum
hour of traffic almost 3,600 vehicles. Under normal conditions this part of Cedar Road is the focal point for traffic feeding into it from Euclid Heights Boulevard, Cedar Road, and Fairmount Boulevard. The additional volume of traffic which pours into it from routes having their own entrances to the city has aggravated the traffic conditions on Cedar Hill and Carnegie Avenue.

When traffic assumes proportions so great that it is forced to avoid traffic congestion on direct routes and to seek indirect routes which are themselves congested, the time has come for the opening of new routes. These routes, if advantageously located, will not only relieve traffic congestion on present routes but provide a better distribution of traffic within the city.

In the southeastern part of the county, Broadway and Miles Avenue were avoided by traffic, which ordinarily would use them, because of the poor condition of the roadway. Broadway, it was found, was also avoided because of traffic congestion inside the city.

South of the city, the most commonly avoided route was State Road, because part of the road was closed and also because of the poor condition of the roadway.

To the west, Lorain Avenue and Detroit Avenue are both avoided as direct routes because of traffic congestion. Traffic to downtown Cleveland is diverted from these routes to Clifton Boulevard and Lake Avenue.

In cases where poor condition of the roadway is responsible for the diversion of traffic the solution is not so difficult. Reconstruction and widening of present surfaces will in most instances bring traffic back to normal channels. These improvements have been too long deferred .on some of the principal arterial routes into the city.

In analyzing the regularity of traffic movement it was found that 47 per cent of the traffic was composed of cars making the same trip (as that recorded) every day with the exception of Sunday. The proportion of regular traffic is greatest on the routes serving industrial and business territory and on those interior county roads not
serving "through" traffic; it is lowest on theoutlying county roads and on routes serving "through" traffic.

In answer to the question as to whether or not they would prefer to avoid driving through the downtown business section of Cleveland, 29 per cent of the operators now doing so reported that they would prefer to avoid the downtown business section if proper highway facilities were provided elsewhere.

## The Predominance of Local Traffic

The improvement of highways in the regional area is primarily for the benefit of, and necessitated by the volume of local traffic. Local traffic, particularly between Cleveland and its suburbs and between the larger centers of population within the regional area, constitutes the bulk of the highway traffic. On 15 main routes in Cuyahoga County it was found that only 2.4 per . cent of the passenger car traffic was crossing the county between points outside of Cuyahoga County and that only 1.5 per cent was crossing the regional area between outside points. On the same roads it was found that 3.7 per cent of the passenger car traffic was composed of cars owned in counties of Ohio outside of the regional area and 4.7 per cent of cars owned in States other than Ohio. Traffic on each of these routes is shown in Table 12.

The greatest volume of cross-over traffic between points outside of Cuyahoga. County and between points outside of the regional area was. found on Euclid Avenue (U. S. Route 20) and Lake Shore Boulevard (State Route 175) east of Cleveland and on Center Ridge Road (U. S. Route 20), Detroit Road (State Route 254) and West Lake Road (State Route 2) west of Cleveland. Euclid Avenue and Lake Shore Boulevard carried a combined total of 671 cars crossing Cuyahoga County between outside points of which 420 cars were moving between points, outside of the regional area. The three routes west of the city carried a combined total of 603 cars crossing Cuyahoga County of which 411 were moving between points outside of the regional area.

Table 12-Week-day cross-over passenger car traffic and traffic of cars owned outside of the regional area

| Road | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Route } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Location of survey station | Average week-day passenger car traffic | Cross-over traffic |  |  |  | Traffic of care owned outaide of regional area |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Between pointa outside of Cuyahoga County |  | Between points outside of regional area |  | Ohio cars out. side of regional area |  | Cars from outh side of Ohio |  |
|  |  |  |  | Cars | Per cent | Cars | Per cent | Cars | Percent | Cars | Per cent |
| Late Shore Blvd.... | 175 | Bliss Road. | 6.831 | 131 | 1.9 | 46 | 0.7 | 96 | 1.4 | 198 | 2.9 |
|  | U. S. 20 | Chardon Road | 8.787 | 540 | 6.2 | 374 | 4.3 | 262 | 3.0 | -840 | 9.6 |
| Mayfield Road..... | U. S. 322 | Taylor Road. ${ }^{\text {Warrenville }}$ Center | 10,800 | 16 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.1 | 32 | 0.3 | - 184 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Road... | 3,768 | 23 | 0.6 | 14 | 0.4 | 132 | 3.5 | 132 | 3.5 |
| Kinsman Road. | U. S. 422 | Lee Road.... | 6,404 | 92 | 1.4 | 80 | 1.2 | 154 | 2.4 | 269 | 4.2 |
| Milea Avenue....... | 43 | North Miles Road. . | 2,075 | 10 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.1 | 66 | 3.2 | 68 | 3.3 |
| Broadway.......... | 14 | County line...... | 1.361 | 15 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.4 | 257 | 18.9 | 42 | 3.1 |
| Northfield Road.... |  | County line....... | 2.854 | 34 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 200 | 7.0 | ${ }_{116}^{66}$ | 2.3 |
| Brecksville Road.... | U. S. 21 | Grant Avenue . . . | 3.053 | 11 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.2 | 49. | 1.6 | 116 | 3.8 |
| Broadview Road.... | $\mathrm{U}^{176}{ }^{\text {S }}$ | Brook Park Road.. . | 3,153 | 68 | 0.3 | 31 | 0.1 | 114 | 3.6 | 35 | 1.1 |
| Wooster Pike....... | U. S. 42 | Ridge Road........ | 4,225 | 65 2 | 1.5 | 31 | 0.7 | 338 | 8.0 0.8 | 199 | 4.8 |
| Center Ridge Road.. | U. S. 20 | Clague Road. . | 2,293 | 237 | 10.3 | 185 | $8.1{ }^{1}$ | 273 | 11.9 | 326 | 14.2 |
| Detroit Road....... | 254 | Clague Road. | 3.104 | 188 | $6: 1$ | 130 | 4.2 | 165 | 5.3 | 227 | 7.3 |
| West Lake Road.... | 2. | Clague Road..... | 3,588 | 178 | 5.0 | 96 | 2.7 | 215 | 6.0 | 301 | 8.4 |
| Total. |  |  | 64,652 | 1,550 | 2.4 | 990 | 1.5 | 2,372 | 3.7 | 3,022 | 4.7 |

These figures east and west of Cleveland involve the same cars to a large extent since these routes are the principal east-west cross-over routes for through traffic in the county.

The greatest traffic of cars owned in Ohio counties outside of the regional area was found on the Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42) at Ridge Road; this traffic amounted to 338 cars on anaverage week day. Five of the 15 roads carried between 200 and 300 such cars and four carried between 100 and 200 on an average week day.

Euclid Avenue and Center Ridge Road which are part of $U$. $S$. Route 20 had the greatest volume of "foreign" traffic, i. e., traffic of cars owned in States other than Ohio. On Euclid Avenie at Chardon Road the week-day density of foreign cars was 840 and on Center Ridge Road at Clague Road it was 326. Foreign traffic on other main routes was: 3oi on West Lake Road (State Route 2) at Clague Road; 269 on Kinsman Road (U. S. Route 422) at Lee Road; 227 on Detroit Road (State Route 254) at Clague Road; 199 on Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42) at Ridge Road; 198 on Lake Shore Boulevard (State Route 175) at Bliss Road; and $184^{\circ}$ on Mayfield Road (U. S. Route 322) at Taylor Road.

Although foreign traffic was probably not at its peak during the period of the traffic survey, the traffic on Labor Day, September 5, indicates. the volume of maximum foreign traffic. The greatest amount of foreign traffic recorded on Labor Day, between $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and 8 p . m. was on the Rocky River bridge where 12 per cent ( 2,839 cars) of the total traffic was composed of cars from States other than Ohio. On Euclid Avenue at Green Road, 12 per cent ( 1,732 cars) of the total traffic was composed of foreign cars. During the same period foreign traffic of over 500 cars was recorded on the following routes: Cedar Glen Road at Murray Hill Road, 1,085 ; Superior Road at Coventry Road, 835; and Lake .Shore Boulevard at East 185th Street, 582 foreign cars. On the day of maximum foreign traffic, this traffic did not exceed 12 per cent of total traffic on any road and, with the exception of a few routes, was considerably less than 12 per cent. At 27 principal gateways to the City of Cleveland, foreign traffic on Labor Day constituted 5.4 per cent of the total passenger car traffic.

The roads which carry an appreciable amount of foreign traffic and traffic of cars owned-in.
counties of Ohio outside of the regional area are the principal routes of the area, and are also the roads which carry the greatest volume of local traffic. On these roads, whatever improvements are required are necessitated principally by the volume of local traffic within the regional area.


## Congested Sections Caused by Convergence of Routes

Out of any center of population the principal traffic routes develop and fan out as the spokes of a wheel, from the business center as the hub. In the regional area this development has resulted in the convergence of these routes as they approach Cleveland as well as within the city, as shown in Figure 3. Traffic congestion at these points of convergence has been further intensified by a lack of sufficient connected radial routes between the center of Cleveland and its suburban territory, a condition for which the topography of the area (Figure 1) has been responsible to a considerable extent.

The most serious of these congestion points, in the order of their traffic density, are found on the following roads:

1. The Superior high-level bridge, the west end of which is at the convergence of Bulkley Boulevard, Detroit Avenue, and West 25th Street into which has poured the traffic from Franklin Avenue, Fulton Avenue, and a considerable part of the traffic from Lorain Avenue. The com-
bining of all this traffic results in a daily utilization of the Superior bridge by over 56,000 vehicles. The fact that Bulkley Boulevard produces almost 60 per cent of the traffic over the bridge indicates the need for a new structure north of the present high-level bridge. It is significant that 7,000 vehicles daily use the present low-level Main Street route across the Cuyahoga Valley, with its excessive grades, railroad grade crossings, train switching, and delays caused by the narrow swing bridge.

The principal routes from the east, which join before crossing the Superior bridge, pass through the badly congested public square "bottle neck."
2. Cedar Glen Road, at the Cleveland city line carries a daily traffic of over 36,000 vehicles produced by the convergence of Cedar Road, Fairmount Boulevard, Euclid Heights Boulevard, the Kenilworth-Surry connection from Mayfield Road, and Murray Hill Road. A large part of the traffic from the eastern suburban territory uses this entrance to the city, proceeding over Carnegie Avenue to the downtown business district. Traffic which, if it followed direct channels, would normally use Mayfield Road and Euclid Arenue, Woodland Avenue, Buckeye Road, or Kinsman Road into the city, because of unsatisfactory conditions on other routes and the satisfactory width and condition of Carnegie Avenue, prefers to use Cedar Glen Road and Carnegie Avenue. The solution of the traffic congestion problem at this entrance to the city does not lie solely in improvements in the immediate vicinity of Cedar Glen but in the rerouting of traffic over new and more direct routes. such as the extension of Chester Avenue to Euclid via Crawford and Hough Avenue and the overpassing of rojth Street, the extension of Mayfield Road to Superior Avenue and the extension of Shaker Boulevard to Broadway. The relocation of street car lines and underpassing the "bottle neck" at the intersection of Euclid Heights Boulevard, and Cedar, and Cedar Glen Roads would relieve congestion materially. The im-
provement of traffic conditions on any of the principal radial routes from the east by the establishment of new routes outside, and improvement of streets within the city will attract traffic back to normal channels and eventually relieve congestion on Cedar Glen Road.
3. Euclid Avenue (U. S. Route 20) east of its junction with Superior Avenue, carries an average daily traffic of 32,300 vehicles. Euclid Avenue east of this point is the main traffic artery for the entire northeastern section of the
center of Cleveland and the entire territory lying south of the city and west of the Cuyahoga River. Any new connection between this territory and the center of Cleveland would materially reduce congestion on West 25th Street and improve traffic service for this area. The proposed Valley Route which taps Wooster Pike north of its junction with York and Ridge Roads, State Road, and Broadview Road north of its junction with Schaaf Road, will carry a considerable volume of traffic from this territory south


Traffic on the Rocky River Bridge at 3 p. m., Sunday, October 23, 1927. Between 5 and 6 p. m. traffic on this bridge reaches an hourly maximum of more than 2,500 vehicles
regional area. Traffic conditions on Euclid Avenue will be relieved considerably by the diversion of a large part of the traffic from Lake County and Euclid Village to the proposed St. Clair Avenue extension and Lake Front Boulevard.
4. West 25th Street, at the Brooklyn-Brighton bridge, carries the combined traffic of Wooster Pike, Brook Park, York, Ridge, State, Broadview, and Schaaf Roads, a total daily volume of 25,000 vehicles. This section of West 25th Street is the only usable connection between the
of the city to the downtown sections. The eastern extension of Brook Park Road and the improvement of Independence Road will also relieve conditions on West 25th as well as provide a through east and west route south of the city.
5. Woodland Avenue, west of East 55th Street carries the combined traffic of Woodland Avenue, Shaker Boulevard, Buckeye Road, and Kinsman Road, a total of 22,000 vehicles a day. A parallel route is undoubtedly needed to reduce the present volume of traffic on Woodland Ave-
nue and to take care of the future increase in traffic in this area Both Kinsman Road and Woodland Avenue are now avoided by a large amount of traffic because of congestion. The extension of Shaker Boulevard to Broadway is a logical solution which will reduce the traffic volume on these routes and provide a more direct route for vehicles which normally would use Woodland Avenue and Kinsman Road but which, because of traffic congestion, are using less direct routes to the center of Cleveland.
6. Broadway southeast of its junction with East 55th Street carries a traffic which on an average day is 21,000 vehicles, the total traffic of Broadway, Turney, Warner, Miles Avenue, East 7Ist Street, and Union Avenue. Traffic conditions are here aggravated by the narrow roadway, street car line, parked rehicles, and the regular movement of local business traffic. A considerable part of the through traffic, particularly that from East 7ist Strset will be diverted to the Brook Park-Independence route after its improvement.
7. Rocky River bridge, where West Lake, Detroit, and Wooster Roads converge at its western end and Sloane, Detroit and Riverside Drive on the east, producing an average daily traffic of 20,000 vehicles and a Sunday traffic of 28,000 vehicles. Sunday traffic between 5 p . m. and $6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. reached an hourly maximum of over 2,500 vehicles on this bridge. During peak hours of traffic the capacity of the bridge is greatly exceeded and vehicle speed is reduced to a minimum, principally because of the series of turns necessary in approaching and leaving the bridge and congestion at the eastern and western approaches. A new lake-front bridge crossing north of Rocky River bridge, and connecting Lake Avenue and West Lake Road will take practically all of the West Lake Road through traffic off the present bridge and the connection of Detroif Road and Hilliard bridge will provide additional relief.
8. In the outlying section of the county the most congested highway section due to the convergence of main line routes is in the village of Bedford on Broadway between Columbus Street and Northfield Road. Daily traffic on this sec-
tion ranged between 8,000 and 10,000 vehicles. On Broadway northwest of this section, traffic is augmented by that from Warrensville Center, Lee, and other north-and-south roads. To the southeast, Northfield Road and Broadway converge. Motor rehicle parking, the street car line, and the regular morement of local traffic in Bedford Village are also factors contributing to the resulting congestion.

A large part of the traffic passing through Bedford, most of which is between Akron and Cleveland, will be able to avoid this congested section after the completion of the proposed connection between Northfield and Warrensville Center Roads.
A satisfactory method of providing relief on over-crowded routes is to distribute and diffuse traffic òver a series of parallel routes and prevent the concentration of great volumes of traffic on a comparatively small number of routes. The alternative method of widening existing main arteries, frequently through highly developed areas; would involve a cost which in most cases would be prohibitive.

Full use should be made of available right of way on these routes, but wherever practicable the remedy sought is to develop parallel distributing routes either by the establishment of new highways or the development of proper connections between, and completion of gaps in, existing routes. This method is the basis of the new routes and connections formulated both from the standpoint of effective distribution of traffic and cost of the improvement.

## Speed of Traffic

In addition to the analysis of the volume, con-- gesfion, and distribution of motor vehicle traffic on routes-in the regional area, a study of the time required for passenger car traffic to traverse the principal routes was made during average and peak-hour traffic periods.

These studies were made by means of a car equipped with a recording device which showed the actual speed at which the car was moving at all times, the mileage traveled in hundredths of miles, and the time required to corer the distance. Observers also recorded the causes of changes in
speed during the test runs on each route. The car was operated so as to "float" with traffic and the data obtained therefore represent average traffic conditions during the period of each test run. Typical results of these time study runs are shown in Figures 17, 18, 19, and 20.

The Public Square at Ontario Street was made a common point for the beginning of each time-study run, with Clague Road in the west, Royalton Road in the south and Green Road and the county line in the east as ending points for most of the test runs.
The lower average speed and the greater time required for a passenger car to travel during congested periods over routes within the city and suburban zones as compared with the average speed and time required to traverse sections of highways beyond these districts are indicated in Table 13, and Figures 17, 18, 19, and 20. For example, the average speed of passenger car traffic on Euclid Avenue during congestion hours from the Public Square to Lakeview Road was 9 miles per hour, from Lakeview Road to Green Road 18 miles per hour, and from Green Road to the county line 31 miles per hour. On Detroif Avenue during the morning and late afternoon rush hours, the average speed from the Public Square to West iryth Street was is miles per hour, from West 117th Street to Wooster Road 10 miles per hour, and from Wooster Road to Clague Road 34 miles per hour. On U. S. Route 42 via West 25th Street from the Public Square to Broadview Road the speed averaged 14 miles per hour, while the average speed from Brook Park Road on the same route to the Royalton Road increased to 34 miles per hour.
Narrow streets, poor surface improvements, street cars, street car loading platforms, traffic control at street intersections, parking at the curbs, and heavy volumes of motor truck and bus traffic are the principal causes of the low average speed on sections of routes within the city and suburban zones.
A comparison of alternate routes extending west to Clague Road from the Public Square, as shown in Table 14, indicates the travel time lost by each passenger car operator because of these causes of delay on the Detroit Avenue route.

Although the distance is approximately the same for the two routes, there was a saving of 22 minutes in the eleven-mile trip for each car operator who traveled from the Public Square via Bulkley Boulevard; Lake Avenue, and West' Lake Road to Clague Road as compared with the Detroit Avenue route.

The junction of U. S. Route 20 and State Route 91 (the S. O. M. Center Road) east of Cleveland, and the junction of U. S. Route 20 and State Route 57 in Elyria, west of Cleveland, were used as the starting and ending poińts for the time-study runs of passenger car traffic which by-passed or passed through the City of Cleveland.

The average time required to make the trip, and the average speed of passenger car traffic which by-passed or passed through the City of Cleveland is shown in Table 15. Although the distance on the by-pass route from the junction of U. S. Route 20 and S. O. M. Center Road (State Route 91) to the junction of U. S. Route 20 and State Route 57 via Kinsman, Warrensville Center, Libby, Dunham, Brookside, Pleasant Valley, Wooster, Bagley, Irish, Lorain and Root Roads is greater than the through-city route via Euclid, Superior, Bulkley,-Lake, West Lake, Clague and U. S. Route 20, and while the former is indirect, the time involved in traveling the two. routes was approximately the same, there being less than three minutes difference.
A comparison of the total time required to travel sections of present routes and the time that it will take to traverse the newly proposed arterial routes in the Cleveland area is shown in Table 16. With the completion of the Lake Front Boulevard there will be an estimated saving of at least 30 minutes for each passenger car. operator who travels from the junction of.U. S. Route 20 and S. O. M. Center Road to the junction of U. S. Route 20 and State Route 57 in Elyria via the new route as compared with the presenf route, over a distance of approximately 44 miles. When the Valley-Independence route is completed it will take each car operator approximately io minutes less time to travel from Wooster Pike at Brook Park Road via the Val-ley-Independence Road to the Public Square, a
County line
Fig. 17-Hourly passenger car traffic, traffic speed, and roadway width on Superior and Euclid Avenues (U. S: Route 20) from West 9th Street to the Lake









Fic. 19C-Hoarly fassenger-car traffic, traffic speed, and roadwasy width of Broadway and Northfield Road from' the Pablic Square to Akren (see preceding pages)


Fig. 20.-Hourly passenger car traffic, traffic speed, and roadzay width on Superior high-level bridge, West 25th Street, and Broadview Road from the Public Square to Pleasant Valley Road

Table 13-Observed speed of passenger car traffic on routes and sections of principal routes ${ }^{\text {2 }}$

| Route | From | To | Distance | Time | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detroit Avenue, Detroit Road | Ontario St. . W. 117th St. Wooster Road | W. 117th St. <br> Wooster Road. Clague Road. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Miles } \\ 4.63 \\ 3.66 \\ 2.95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { Min. Sec. } \\ 21 & 5 \\ 21 & 48 \\ 5 & 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mi. per hr. } \\ 13 \\ 10 \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 11.24 | 485 | 14 |
| Bulkley Blvd., Clifton Blvd., Detroit Road <br> . Total. | Ontario St................ . . <br> Wooster Road. | Wooster Road. . . . . . . . . Clague Road. . . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 8.93 \\ & 2.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 26 & 12 \\ 5 & 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 11.89 | 3149 | 22 |
| Bulkley Blvd., Lake Ave., West Lake Road . | Ontario St. $\qquad$ <br> Bulkley Blvd. and Main St. . <br> Lake Ave. and Webb Road. <br> Wagar Road. $\qquad$ | Bulkley Blvd. and Main St. <br> "Lake Ave. and Webb Road. <br> Wagar Road $\qquad$ <br> Clague Road. $\qquad$ | 1.06 <br> 6.49 <br> 2.47 <br> 1.66 - | $\begin{array}{rr} 4 & 14 \\ 13 & 1 \\ 6 & 00 \\ 2 & 30 \end{array}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> 25 <br> 40 |
| Total |  |  | 11.68 | 2545 | 27 |
| Lorain'Ave. via Öntario St., Central Viaduct, Abbey Ave. | Ontario St. and Euclid Ave. <br> Clark Ave. and Lorain Ave. | Clark Ave. and Lorain Ave. <br> East end of Rocky River Bridge | 4.41 4.53 | $17 \quad 32$ 1348 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  | 8.94 | $31^{-1} 20$ | 17 |
| U. S. Route 42 via Ontario St., Rockwell Ave.,W.3rd St., Superior Ave., W. 25th St. | Ontario St. and St. Clair Ave. <br> Broadview Road and W. 25th St. <br> Brook Park Road and U. S. Route 42 | Broadview Road and W. 25th St. <br> Brook Park Road and U. S. Route 42 <br> Royalton Road.......... . | $\begin{array}{r} 4.83 \\ 2.11 \\ 10.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 21 & 5 \\ 4 & 47 \\ 18 & 6 \end{array}$ | 14 <br> 26 <br> 34 |
| Total |  |  | 17.14 | $43 \quad 58$ | 23 |
| Broadview Road via Ontario St., Rockwell Ave.,W. 3rd St., Superior Ave., W. 25th St. | Ontario St. and St. Clair Ave. <br> W. 25th St. and Broadview Road <br> Broadviéw Road and | Broadview Road and W. 25th St. <br> Broadview Road and Brook Park Road Royalton Road. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.83 \\ & 1.82 \\ & 7.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} 21 & 5 \\ 5 & 34 \\ -14 & 16 \end{array}$ | 14 <br> 20 <br> 32 |
| Total |  |  | 14.37 | $40 \quad 55$ | 21 |
| Carnegie Ave. and Cedar Road via Euclid Ave., E. 22nd St. | Ontario St. and Euclid A've. <br> E. 22nd St. and Euclid Ave. <br> Cedar Road and Euclid Heights Blyd | E. 22nd St. and Euclid Ave. <br> Cedar Road and Euclid Heights Blvd. <br> Cedar Road and Green Road | 1.08 4.38 4.21 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ -\quad 18 \\ 23 \end{array}$ |
| Total. |  |  | 9.67 | 3119 | 19 |

[^11]Table 13-Observed speed of passenger car traffic, etc.-Continued

| Route | From | To | Distance | Time | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclid Ave................ | Ontario St. <br> Lakeview Road. <br> Green Road | Lakeview Road. <br> Green Road <br> County line. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Miles } \\ 5.75 \\ 3.87 \\ 5.63 \end{gathered}$ | Min. Sec.  <br> 36 53 <br> 12 42 <br> 10 46 | Mi. per hr. $9^{-}$ 18 31 |
| Total. |  |  | 15.25 | 6021 | 15 |
| Superior Ave., Euclid Ave.. | Ontario St. and Superior Ave. <br> Superior Ave. and Lakeview Road. <br> Euclid Ave. and Green | Superior Ave. and Lakeview Road. <br> Euclid Ave. and Green Road. <br> County line. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.38 \\ & 3.89 \\ & 5.63 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 18 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  | 14.90 | 515 | 18 |
| Euclid Ave., Mayfield Road. | Ontario St. and Euclid Ave. <br> Mayfield Road and Euclid Ave. | Mayfield Road and Euclid Ave. <br> Mayfield Road and Green Road. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.97 \\ & 4.91 \end{aligned}$ |  | $9$ $22$ |
| Total. |  |  | 9.88 | $47 \quad 36$ | 12 |
| Lake Shore Blvd. via St. Clair Ave., E. 72nd St. <br> Total. $\qquad$ | Ontario St. and St. Clair Ave. <br> E. 72nd St. and St. Clair Ave. <br> E. 72nd St. and Lake Shore Blvd. <br> E. 185th St. . . . . . . . . . . . | E. 72nd St. and St. Clair Ave. <br> E. 72nd St. and Lake Shore Blvd. <br> 'E. 185th St................ <br> Lloyd Róad............... | $\begin{gathered} 3.62 \\ .49 \\ 7.23 \\ 3.76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 12 & 38 \\ 1 & 23 \\ 19 & 14 \\ 8 & 18 \end{array}$ | 17 <br> 21 <br> 23 <br> 27 |
|  |  |  | 15.10 | 4133 | 22 |
| St. Clair Ave. ${ }^{2}$ <br> Total | Ontario St................. <br> E. 105th St. | E. 105th St. . . . . . . . . . . . Ivanhoe Road. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.13 \\ & 2.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 17 & 53 \\ 14 & 44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 9 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | 7.30 | 3237 | 13 |
| North Woodland Road via Woodland Ave. | Ontario St. and Woodland Ave. <br> Coventry Road. . . . . . . . . | Coventry Road. . . . . . . . <br> Green Road. $\qquad$ | 6.18 3.88 | $\begin{array}{rr} 20 & 21 \\ 8 & 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total. |  |  | 10.06 | $28 \quad 33$ | 21 |
| South Woodland Road via Woodland Ave., Buckeye Road | Ontario St. and Woodland Ave. <br> Buckeye Road and Woodland Ave. <br> Woodhill Road and S. Woodland Road. | Buckeye Road and Woodland Ave. <br> Woodhill Road and S. Woodland Road. <br> Green Road. | 3.82 .72 5.54 | $\begin{array}{rr} 14 & 38 \\ 2 & 14 \\ 11 & 21 \end{array}$ | 16 19 29 |
|  |  |  | 10.08 | 2813 | 21 |

[^12]Table 13-Observed speed of passenger car traffic, etc.-Continued


Table 14-Travel speed on Detroit Avenue compared with that on the Bulkley Boulevard, Lake Avenue, and West Lake Road route


[^13]Table 15-Time required for passenger car traffic to by-pass the City of Cleveland and pass through the City from the Junction of S. O. M. Center Road and U. S. Route 20 to the Junction of State Route 57 and U. S. Route 20 in Elyria

By-passing Cleveland

| Route | From | To | Distance | Time | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. O. M. Center Road, Kinsman Rd., Warrensville Ctr. Rd., Libby Rd., Dunham Rd., Brookside Road, Pleasant Valley Rd., Wooster Pike, Bagley Road, Irish Road, Lorain Rd., Root Road, U. S. 20 <br> Total. | U. S. Route 20 and S. O. <br> M. Center Road <br> S. O. M. Center Road and Kinsman Road |  | Miles | Min. Sec. | Mi. per hr |
|  |  | S. O. M. Center Road and Kinsman Road | 11.80 | 2217 | 32 |
|  |  | Kinsman Road and Warrensville Center Road | '5.37 | $10 \quad 59$ | 29 |
|  | Kinsman Road and Warrensville Ctr. Road | Canal Road and Dunham Road | 10.46 | $20 \cdot 24$ | 31 |
|  | Canal Road and Dunham Road | Brecksville Road and Pleasant Valley Road | 2.00 | 348 | 32 |
|  | Brecksville Road and Pleasant Valley Road | U. S. Route 20 and Root Road | 22.35 | 43 29 | 31 |
|  | U. S. Route 20 and Root Road | Jct. U. S. Route 20 and State Route 57in Elyria | 5.00 | 930 | 32 |
|  |  |  | 56.98 | 11027 | 31 |
| S. O. M. Center Road, State Route 82, State Route 57. | U. S. Route 20 and S. O. <br> M. Center Road <br> S. O. M. Center Road and Kinsman Road <br> S. O. M. Center Road and State Route 82 | S. O. M. Center Road and Kinsman Road <br> S. O. M. Center Road and State Route 82 Jct. U. S. Route 20 and State Route 57 in Elyria | 11.80 |  | 32 |
|  |  |  | 11.08 | 1948 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 40.99 | 7814 | 32 |
| Total.. |  |  | 63.87 | 12019 | 31 |

Through Cleveland

| Route | From | , To | Distance | Time | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U. S. Route 20 via Clague Road, Detroit Road, Clifton Blvd., Bulkley Blvd., Superior Ave., Euclid Ave. <br> Total. $\qquad$ | U. S. Route 20 and State Route 57 in Elyria Clague Road and U. S. Route 20 <br> Clague Road and Detroit Road <br> Ontario St. and Superior Ave. <br> U. S. Route 20 at County line | Clague Road and U. S. Route 20 <br> Clague Road and Detroit Road <br> Ontario St. and Superior Ave. <br> U. S. Route 20 at County line <br> U. S. Route 20 and S. O. M. Center Road. | Miles 14.24 <br> 1.03 <br> 11.89 <br> 14.90 <br> 3.42 . | $$ | Mi. per hr 34 <br> 25 <br> 22 <br> 18 <br> 34 |
|  |  |  | 45.48 | 11656 | 24 |
| U. S. Route 20 via Clague Road, West Lake Road, Lake Ave., Bulkley Blvd., Superior Ave., Euclid Ave. <br> Total. $\qquad$ | U. S. Route 20 and State Route 57 in Elyria Clague Road and U. S. Route 20 <br> Clague Road and West Lake Road <br> Ontario St. and Superior Ave. <br> U. S. Route 20 at County line | Clague Road and U. S. Route 20 <br> Clague Road and West Lake Road <br> Ontario Sta and Superior Ave. <br> U. S. Route 20 at County line <br> U. S. Route 20 and S. O. M. Center Road | 14.24 <br> 2.03 <br> 11.68 <br> 14.90 <br> 3.42 | $\begin{array}{rr} 25 & 7 . \\ 5 & 00 \\ 25 & 45 \\ 51 & 5 \\ 6 & 25 \end{array}$ | 34 25 27 18 34 |
|  |  |  | 46.27 | 11322 | 25 |

Table 16-Travel time of passenger car traffic using the present routes and the estimated travel time of passenger cars using the proposed new arterial highways ${ }^{\text { }}$

| Preseme |  |  |  | Propased |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reonte | Fream | To | Thame | - Roatre | From | To | Time | Misimant time saved ench pasbenger car |
| III S. Rentie 20 vin <br>  tom Elvin Deticuit Prand | S. M. Mre ter Rosd and U. S. Remite 20 | U.S. Reodete 3t and stake Romite 57 E Ehynin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mrim Sec. } \\ & 116 \mathrm{St} \end{aligned}$ | Lalve Frosent Ructe via Bolkeley Ruvi. Lube Ave. Wert Laneroad. Cligene Ram | S. O. M. Cen ter Rond and U. S. Rome 20 | U.S. Rome 30 andstate Roerte 57 in Ehyria | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min. Soc } \\ 65 \text { S0 } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{31}{\operatorname{Min}} \mathrm{Sec}$ |
| Fhersent Frillay Reome <br>  WJarsensciile Censury Rexall Limiog Ruad Dembaminoadn Brooteide Revanin, Mrosetur Priben Rariky Restang linisin Romen, Lomatie Romil Rood Resati, OU.S. Requie 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. O M Cene } \\ & \text { ter Roasid amd } \\ & \text { W0 S. Rumie } \end{aligned}$ | CLS. Ronte 30 and State Rounte 57 in Eyyrian | 11027 | Eraok Prat Rivienvinu via U. 5 Rouste $2 a$ Lee Road, Brook Part Rmad, U.S. Rourte 30 | S. M. Cem ter Road and L. S. Rocte 20 | U.S. Ronte 20 andstate Rosute 57 ia Eyria | $10145$ | 8 22 |
| U.S. Reante 42 win W. 250h $\mathrm{St}_{5}$ | Branat Payt Rrond | Oucmias $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ | 2552 | Vafley-Indeppenddence Reante | Broolz Pari Road | Oratarion Si. | 1541 | 1011 |
| S. Wreorithond Rement vian Hecuithemid Ave. | Cuteem Reumi | Ontumio St. | 2313 | Shabar Byoul Evitensizion vin Breanduray | Greea Roud | Ontario St | 2236 | $5 \quad 37$ |
| Mxpfind R Rand vina Elmolide Ave. | Green Restal | Outhanio St | 4736 | Mnyfielid Extiensige Vira Simperiver Ave. | Green Road | Ontario SL | $38 \times$ | 932 |
| Prematuray win Prarkg wille Roand | Cram Ave. | Outamios St. | 2230 | Indeppendiemoe Entumbigh win ErtordWhy | Grast Ave. | Ontame SL | 1235 | 105 |
| Lounimin Ave wis Cus- <br>  Ave. | Ehest sinde of Reolky Eiver Roiitage | Outminio St. | 3180 | Wellworth Traina Romite vin Lackin Are, Ciarly Aven Sorramben Remad | East sinde of Ruchy River Rrindee | Outario St | 2330 | 800 |


distance of about 6 miles, than to pravel the present route via West 25 th Street and the Superior high-level bridge.

The low average speed and the total time required to traverse sections of the principal highway routies during average and peak-hour praffic periods indicate that present main arterial highway facilities are unsatisfactory and are costing mottor vehiche operators considerable ummeoessary loss of time.

## Time Loss at Grade Crossings

Railroad crossings at grade not only constitutte an accident hazard, buat also redure the fraffic capacity of a route This reduction in traffic capacity is due ( 1 ) to the actual stopping of vehicles to permit passing of trains and (2) to the general decrease in speed of all traffic in order to defermine the safety of crossing. To measure the influence of unseparated crossings on traffic capacity and to determine the resulting
vehicle time loss, a series of time studies was made at selocted grade crossings in the area.

The location of these crossings, the highway traffic, the rail traffic, and resulting time loss at each crossing during the observation period are shown in Table 17.

The greatest vehicle time loss was found at the New York Central Railroad crossing of Lorain Avenue near West 143 rd Street. At this point vehicle time loss during the 12 -hour period was 46 hours, involving the stopping of 1,867 vehicles or $31-4$ per cent of all vehicles passing during the 12 -hour period from 7 a. m . to 7 p. m. In this case the railnoad has four tracks. The crossing was closed for train traffic 2.48 hours during the 12 -hour period. The distribution of trains and the period the crossing was blocked by each train, together with the number of vehicles stopped and the resulting fime loss caused by each train, are shown in Figure 21. In Figure 22 is shown the relationship of total


DANGEROUS AND TIME-CONSUMING RAILROAD GRADE CROSSINGS
Above-A dangerous crossing of the Nickel Plate Railroad on Clague Road
Below-Lorain Avenue crossing of the New York Central Railroad near West 143rd Street. During 12 hours the vehicles stopped by the gates at this crossing lost a total of 46 vehicle-hours

Table 17-Summary of rallroad grade crossing time studies with traffic and vehicle time loss

| Road | Ralliroad | Zocation |  | Rall traffic $7 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$, to $7 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{m}$. |  |  | Time blocked 7 to. ${ }_{\text {to }}$ 7 p. m. hours | Vohlclenatopped | $\begin{gathered} \text { Por cont } \\ \text { of timo } \\ \text { blocked } \end{gathered}$ | Per cont vohticlen <br> atopped | Ratlo of clearance tima cromalng la blocked | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { vohlele } \\ \text { cime } \\ \text { low } \\ \text { vellacle- } \\ \text { hours } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Panaenger } \\ \text { tralina }}}{ }$ | Frelght tralns | $\underset{\substack{\text { Swltch } \\ \text { traina }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Eant 9 |  |  | 7.752 | 27 | 17 | $3!$ | 1.69 | 1.078 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 22 | 23.6 |
| Lroadway. |  | Noar Weat 143rd Streat. . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ A |  |  | 6 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Erla, | Noar Meach Avonuei, ${ }^{\text {a }}$,, , | ${ }^{4.872}$ | 13 | 'i'" | 22 | 1.20 | 709 | 10,0 | ${ }^{16.2}$ | 1.31 | 30.6 |
|  |  | Noar Meech Avenua | 4,372 |  | 11 | 18 | 1.18 | 650 | 9,8 | 14.9 | 1.24 | 23.7 |
|  |  | Whito Houne Cronilà. . . . | 1,968 | 201 | - | 3 | . 87 | 191 | 7.3 | 0.7 | . 40 | 2.8 |
| Maln Slraet, , , , , , , , , i, | Now Yort Contral, | Noar Cuyalioga Rivar....... | -1,870 |  |  | 19 | 4.397 | 219 209 | 4.7 10.9 | 9.2 14.2 | 1.17 | 7.9 |
| U. 8. Route $20 . .1$ | Nickel Plate , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |  | 4,789 | ${ }^{2}$ | 12 | 4 | -1.80 | 319 | 7.4 | ${ }^{6.6}$ | 1.18 | 16.4 |
|  |  |  | 4,002 1.820 | 11 | 7 | 25 | . 76 | 170 288 | 3.8 6.2 | 44:2 | (1,133 | 4.4 |
| Yino sireol. ${ }^{\text {a }}$, |  |  | 1, 180 | 26 | 22 | ${ }_{11}^{2}$ | 1.64 | 238 <br> 309 <br> 08 | 0.2 13.7 | 14.1 30.0 | 1.188 | 4.2 |
| toorond. |  | South of Mallos Avenue. . . . ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | $1,1.35$ 4.016 | 12 | 1 |  | . 19 | ${ }_{88} 8$ | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.25 | 0.7 |
| Northifld ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Naidi | Penaylvanla, . |  |  | 16 | 6 |  | : 28 | ${ }_{66} 6$ | 2.35 | 3.0 | 1.18 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 8ouh of Brook Park Rd., ${ }^{\text {d }}$, | 2, 104 | 12 | 30 | 16 | 1.62 | 233 | 13.5 | 22.8 | 1.18 | 0.4 |
| Charronat Road |  |  | 2,222 $\mathbf{1}, 46$ |  | 12 | 9 |  | 410 | 3. ${ }^{3}$ | 1.9 | 1.00 | 0.6 0.8 |
| Areckevillo R Rad. $, \ldots, \ldots$, |  | At Wlilow, | 2, 3.47 | 8 | 2 | , | . 14 | 17 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.08 | 0.2 |
|  | Balcimnre and Olle............ |  | 1,109 |  | ${ }^{13}$ |  | . 34 | 437 | 3.7 | 12.0 | 1.122 | 4.7 |
|  |  | At Weft soth Streel | ${ }^{1} 822$ |  | 18 | 10 | . 60 | 82 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 1.07 | 1,6 |
| Aurora Road. |  |  | ${ }_{921}^{93}$ | 12 | 3 |  | . 17 | ${ }_{24}^{4}$ | 1.818 | $\stackrel{1}{2.5}$ | 1,08 | 0.1 |
| lirok Park Röd | Jatelmore and Oohlo. | Ghaton Potcloment Road. .: | 1,156 |  | 3 | 6 | . 20 | 18 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1,06 | 0, 0 |
| A. O. M, Coneer Road.. Murman Rnafl. |  |  | \$842 | 12 | 3 |  | . 10 |  | 1.6 0.7 | 1.4, | 1,08 | O. 0.02 |
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Fic. 21-Chart showing the perieds during which the gates were closed at the Lorain Avenue crossing of the New York Central Railroad, and the number of vehicles stopped and time lost by vehicles, from 7 a, m. to 7 p. m., November 11, 1927

traffic to vehicles stopped and the time the crossing was closed, compared with vehicle time loss. These data are typical of unseparated intersections of imporfant highways and main lines of railway.

Similar data for a typical crossing of an important highway with a single-track rail line are shown in Figures 23 and 24, which present the data recorded at the Nickel Plate crossing of U. S. Ronte 20 near the west city limits of Painesville. The vehicle time loss during the 12-hour period at this crossing was 16.4 hours and the crossing was blocked .89 hour.

With decreases in highway traffic and decreases in rail traffic the vehicle time loss decreases rapidly, becoming negligible at crossings of unimportant highways with rail lines carrying a - small number of trains.

Vehicle time loss is affected by the type of train traffic as well as its volume. The average time the crossings were blocked by passenger trains was approximately I minute, by freight trains approximately 2 minutes. The time of closure for switch trains varied greatly, depending upon the type of switching. At the crossings where a considerable switching movement was recorded the average time blocked per switch train was between one and one and one-half minutes.'
The time required for clearing of the crossing by highway traffic which has been stopped varied with the number of vehicles stopped, which is in turn dependent upon the volume of highway traffic, and the length of time the crossing has been blocked. The ratio of clearance time (i. e., the total from the time the crossing is closed until the last car stopped has cleared the crossing) to the time the crossing is actually blocked varies from I-40 to I.02. The higher ratios are found at the crossings having the largest highway and raik traffic.

## Transverse Distribution of Traffic

Studies of the transverse distribution of traffic on surfaces of various widths were made to determine the surface width unused by traffic.

The observed transverse distribution of passenger car, truck, and bus traffic was recorded on pavements, the width of which ranged from 18 to 40 feet. The total pavement width, where observations were recorded, was divided into onefoot lanes with painted identification markings. The right rear wheel passage of each motor vehicle crossing within each marked one-foot lane of the pavement was recorded. These data form the basis of the studies of transverse distribution of motor vehicle traffic on straight and level highway pavements in the various width classes made during the month of November, 1927.

The distribution of right rear wheel passages of passenger cars on the various parts of the surface is shown in Table 18. There is a marked difference between the transverse distribution of the right rear wheel passages of passenger cars on pavements 18 and 20 feet in width and similar data on pavements in excess of 20 feet


Fig. 23.-Chart showing the periods during which the gates were closed at the U. S. Route 20 crossing of the Nickel Plate Railroad west of Painesville, and the number of vehicles stopped and time lost by vehicles, from 7 a. m. to 7 p. m., November 14, 1927


Fig. 24.-Highway traffic and time lost by highway vehicles at the Nickel Plate Railroad crossing of U. S. Route 20, west of Painesville, 7 a. m. to 7 p. m., November 14, 1927


Fig. 25.-Transverse distribution of passenger car traffic on an 18-foot pavement (Cedar Road), based on total passenger car traffic November 23, 1927, from 7:15 a. m. to 12 m. and 1:00 p. m. to 5:00 p. m.
in width but not wide enough for four lanes of traffic. On the 18 and 20 -foot surfaces, over 80


Fig. 26.-Transverse distribution of passenger car traffic on a 20-foot pavement (West Lake Road), based on total passenger car traffic November 26, 1927, from 7:15 a. m. to 12 m . and 1:00 p. m. to 5:00 p. m.
per cent of the passenger-car traffic traveled 6 feet or less from the edge of the pavement. For surfaces in excess of 20 feet the highest percentage of utilization of the section within 6 feet of the edge of the pavement was 46.2.

The two one-way traffic pavements, each 18.7 feet in width, on Euclid Avenue, are separated by a center gravel strip 18.5 feet wide. The center gravel strip is used essentially for parking purposes. On these one-way pavements it is significant that over 65 per cent of the passenger cars were seven feet or more from the outer edge of the pavement and that the 3 feet of pavement nearest the edge of the surface was used very little by passenger car traffic.

The Lake Shore Boulevard, 38 feet in width, and U. S. Route 20,40 feet in width, are used as two-lane traffic routes. On each of these routes few passenger cars traveled less than three feet from the edge of the' pavement in each direction of traffic.

The 3 -foot pavement on Franklin Avenue is used as a two-lane traffic route except at peak traffic hours and then it is used as a three-lane route. Bulkley Boulevard is lane-marked to accommodate four lanes of traffic. During peak


Fig. 27.-Transverse distribution of passenger-car traffic on a 32-foot pavement (Detroit Road), based on total passenger car traffic November 25, 1927, from 7:15 a. m. to 12 m . and 1:00 p. m. to 5:00 p. m.

Table 18-Transverse distribution of passenger-car traffic on highway pavements

| Highway | Surface width | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Direction } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { traffic } \end{aligned}$ | Total passenger cars observed | Distance from edge of surface, feet |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Right rear wheel passages-per cent of total number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar Road, east of Warrensville | 18 | E | 1,069 | 1.5 | 12.0 | 21.3 | 25.3 | 13.6 | 8.9 | 82.6 |
| Center Road |  | W | 931 | 1.5 | 15.7 | 31.9 | 26.1 | 14.1 | 4.0 | 93.3 |
| West Lake Road, west of Wagar | 20 | E | 1,304 | 0.5 | $3.8{ }^{1}$ | 17.2 | 33.5 | 23.4 | 8.6 | 87.0 |
| Road |  | W | 1,042 | 2.5 | 19.5 | 41.9 | 23.6 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 93.6 |
| Northfield Road, south of Forbes | 20 | ${ }_{\mathbf{N}}$ | 620 | 1.9 | 13.9 | 32.7 | 27.7 | 13.9 | 3.4 | 93.5 |
| Road |  | $\mathbf{S}$ | 806 |  | $2.1^{2}$ | 13.9 | 27.2 | 21.6 | 16.1 | 80.9 |
| Euclid Ave., ${ }^{3}$ east of Chardon | 18.7 | E | 1,403 |  | 0.8 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 21.4 |
|  |  | W | 1,376 | . . . | 0.6 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 13.5 | 34.0 |
| Euclid Ave., west of Chardon | 18.7 | $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{E}}$ | 2,328 2,354 | ... | 0.6 0.7 | 0.7 4.8 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 9.0 9.1 | 25.6 36.0 |
| Detroit Ave., east of Clague Road | 32 | E | 2,000 | 0.1 |  | 0.3 | 1.4 | . 6.0 | 16.6 | 24.4 |
|  |  | W | 1,668 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 11.0 | 21.6 |
| Franklin Ave., ${ }^{4}$ east of West 65th | 32 | $\underset{\text { E }}{ }$ | 2,948 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 6.0 | 12.1 | 16.3 | 36.9 |
| St. |  | W | 2,095 |  | 0.3 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 10.6 | 16.5 | 33.7 |
| Lake Shore Blvd. east of Harland | 38 | E | 1,334 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 31.7 |
| U. Ave. Route 20, east of Wickliffe... |  | W | 1,803 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 15.1 |
| U. S. Route 20, east of Wickliffe... | 40 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{W}}$ | 1,081 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.8 | 0.5 0.6 | 0.7 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.2 12.9 |
| Bulkley Blvd.,5 east of Center | 40 | E | 8,183 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 18.2 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 46.3 |
| Parkway Section |  | W | 4,702 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 12.7 | 15.7 | 35.4 |

[^14]hours three lanes are used by passenger cars in the direction of heavy traffic. Large-capacity trucks are not allowed on Franklin Avenue or Bulkley Boulevard. On both roads little use is being made of six feet of pavement, three feet on each side of the route being largely unused. Figures 25, 26 and 27 indicate clearly the difference in utilization by passenger cars on 18, 20 , and 32 -foot pavements. The greatest concentration of right rear-wheel passages occur 3, 4 and 5 feet from the edge of the pavement for 18 and 20 -foot highways in each direction of traffic. On West Lake Road, a letter box located close to the edge of the pavement accounts for the low percentage of right, rear-wheel passages eastbound in the second-foot lane. On Detroit Road, a 32 -foot, two-lane traffic route, the greatest concentration of right, rear-wheel passages of passenger cars occurs between 6 and 9 feet from the edge of the pavement.

The transverse distribution of motor trucks
of over $21 / 2$-ton capacity, and the transverse distribution of busses are indicated in Tables i9 and 20 respectively. On 18 and 20 -foot pavements the greatest concentration of right, rearwheel passages of motor trucks and busses occurs between two and four feet from the curb in each direction of traffic, indicating that the heavier motor truck and bus traffic keeps approximately one foot closer to the edge of the pavement than passenger cars. It was observed on Cedar Road that slow-moving, heavily loaded trucks, which were hauling material to a construction job east of the point at which the transverse distribution of motor vehicles was recorded, accounted for the high percentage indicated in the lane nearest to the edge of the pavement in the east direction of traffic.

On pavements over 20 feet in width it is evident that truck and bus traffic fail to utilize to any extent the three feet nearest the edge on each side of the pavement. With an increase in

Table 19-Transverse distribution of $2 \mathrm{I} / 2$-ton or larger motor trucks on highway pavements

| Highway | Surface width | Direction of traffic | Total trucks observed | Distance from edge of surface, feet |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Right rear wheel passagespercentage of total number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar Road, east of Warrensville | 18 | E | 66 | $28.8{ }^{1}$ | 59.1 | 10.6 | 1.5 |  |  | 100.0 |
| Center Road |  | W | 44 | 6.8 | 50.0 | 31.8 | 9.1 |  | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| West Lake Road, west of Wagar | 20 | $\underset{\text { E }}{ }$ | 12 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 25.0 |  |  | 100.0 |
| Road |  | W | 33 | 3.0 | 30.3 | 48.5 | 15.2 | 3.0 |  | 100.0 |
|  | 20 | N | 40 |  | 45.0 | 35.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Road | 20 | S | 35 |  | 5.7 | 45.7 | 31.5 | 5.7 | i1. 4 | 100.0 |
| $\underset{\text { Road }}{\text { Euclid }}$ Ave., ${ }^{2}$ east of Chardon | 18.7 | $\underset{\text { E }}{\text { E }}$ | 58 | 1.7 | 17.3 | 48.3 | 15.5 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 91.4 |
| Euclid Ave 2 west of Chardon |  | W | 75 |  | 4.0 | 29.3 | 32.1 | 22.6 | 5.3 | 93.3 |
| Euclid Ave., ${ }^{2}$ west of Chardon Road | 18.7 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\mathbf{W}}$ | 18 | . . . . |  | 27.8 100.0 | 38.9 | 33.3 |  | 100.0 100.0 |
| Detroit Ave., east of Clague Road | 32 | E | 79 |  |  | 2.5 | 11.4 | 29.1 | 31.6 | 74.6 |
|  |  | W | 81 |  |  | 2.5 | 13.6 | 23.5 | 21.0 | 60.6 |
| Lake Shore Blvd., east of Harland | 38 | $\underset{\text { E }}{\text { W }}$ | 25 |  | 4.0 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 24.0 15.3 | 16.0 | 68.0 38 4 |
| U.S. Route 20, east of Wickliffe... |  | W | 13 |  |  |  | 23.1 | 15.3 |  | 38.4 |
| U. S. Route 20, east of Wickliffe.. . | 40 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\mathbf{W}}$ | 62 68 |  | 1.5 | 8.8 | 20.6 | 14.5 19.1 | 9.7 26.5 | 24.2 76.5 |

[^15]Table 20—Transverse distribution of motor busses on highway pavements

| Highway | Surface width | Direction of traffic | Total busses observed | Distance from edge of surface, feet |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Right rear wheel passages, percentage of total number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar Road, east of Warrensville Center Road | 18 | $\underset{\text { W }}{\text { E }}$ | 2620 | 23.0 | 15.4 | 「30.8 | 15 | 7.7 | ...... | 92.3100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 30.8 | 5.0 | 20.0 | ...... |  |
| West Lake Road, west of Wagar | 20 | $\underset{\text { E }}{\text { W }}$ | 8$-\quad 7$ | - | \%8\%. | 12.5 | 50.0 | 12.5 |  | 75.0 |
| Road |  |  |  |  |  | 71.417.6 |  |  | - 5.9 | 100.0 |
| Northfield Road, south of Forbes | 20 | $\stackrel{N}{\mathbf{S}}$ | 17 | - 3.9 | 47.1 |  | 23.5 |  |  | 100.075.0 |
| Road |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 | - 2.6 | 18.8 | 37.5 | 16.6 |  |  |
| Euclid Ave., ${ }^{1}$ east of Chardon | 18.7 | $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{E}}$ |  |  |  | 16.7 7 | 13.9 | 17.9 | 8.3 5.1 | 58.3 38.4 |
| Euclid Ave., ${ }^{\text {Road }}$ west of Chardon | 18.7 | E | 36 |  |  | 7.7 | 11.1 |  | 5.616.7 | 36.166.7 |
| Road Ave., west of Chardon |  | $\stackrel{\text { W }}{\mathbf{W}}$ | 42 | …... $\quad . . . . .$. |  | 16.7 | 21.4 | 19.4 11.9 |  |  |
| Detroit Ave., east of Clague Road |  | $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{E}}$ | 2926 | ....... | ….... | …… | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ \mathbf{3 . 8} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.8 \\ & 19.2 \end{aligned}$ | 11.011.5 | 66.748.334.5 |
|  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franklin Ave., east of West 65th | 32 | $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{E}}$ | 144 |   <br> $\ldots \ldots .$. 0.7 <br> .......$~$  |  | 3.5 0.8 | 16.7 | 19.2 29.8 | 11.5 24.3 | 34.5 75.0 |
| Lake Shore Blvd, east of Harland |  |  |  |  |  | 9.118.4 | 12.6 36.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 24.4 \\ & 18.2 \\ & 10.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.3 \\ & 18.2 \\ & 18.4 \end{aligned}$ | 60.181.957.90.0 |
| Lake Shore Blvd., east of Harland Ave. | 38 | $\underset{\text { E }}{\text { E }}$ | 33 38 | …......... |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 36.4 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| U. S. Route 20, east of Wickliffe... | 40 | $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{E}}$ | 2830 | ........ | …… |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Each direction is used as a one-way traffic route, separated by an $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ foot gravel center strip.

Table 21-Average position on pavement of passenger cars during period of maximum traffic and average clearance between cars passing in opposite directions

| Highway | Surface width | Direction of traffic | Average distance of right rear wheel from edge of surface |  |  |  | Average clearance between passenger cars passing in opposite directions during maximum traffic period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In direction of maximum passenger car traffic | Passenger car traffic in opposite direction from maximum | Passeng in oppo during tra |  |  |
|  | 18 |  | Feet | Feet | Feet | Feet | Feet |
| Cedar Road, east of | 18 | $\stackrel{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{W}}$ | 4.1 |  | 3.3 |  | 1.1 |
| West Lake Road, west | 20 |  | 4.7 |  | 1 |  |  |
| est Lake Road, west of Wagar Road | 20 | $\stackrel{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{W}}$ | 4.7 | 3.7 | 4.1 | $\cdots 3.1$ | 2.4 |
| Northfield Road, south of Forbes Road | 20 | $\stackrel{N}{\mathbf{S}}$ | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| Detroit Ave., east of | 32 | E | 8.4 |  | 7.3 |  | 6.7 |
| Clague Road |  | W |  | 8.2 | . | 7.5 | 6.7 |
| Lake Shore Blvd., east of Harland Avenue | 38 | $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{E}}$ | 9.7 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 11.2 |
| U. S. Route 20, east of Wickliffe | 40 | $\underset{\text { E }}{\mathbf{E}}$ | 9.7 | 10.3 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 11.7 |

width there is an increasing tendency for motor vehicle traffic to operate more towards the center of the pavement.

The average position on the pavement of the right, rear wheel of passenger cars during the hour of maximum traffic, separated into cars moving freely in one direction and cars passing vehicles moving in the opposite direction, together with the average clearance ${ }^{6}$ of cars in the latter class for highways of various pavement widths are shown in Table 21 .

Passenger cars on an 18-foot highway when passing vehicles moving in the opposite direction travel approximately one foot nearer the edge of the pavement than when they are not passing other vehicles.

The average clearance between passing passenger cars on an 18-foot pavement is approximately one foot, while on a 20 -foot pavement the average clearance ranges from 1.9 to 2.4 feet. On both 18 and 20 -foot pavements, drivers of passenger cars apparently prefer to sacrifice clear-

[^16]ance rather than drive close to the edge of the pavement.
The average distance of rear-wheel passages of passenger cars from the edge of the pavement on 32,38 , and 40 -foot highways is over 8.0 feet. The average clearance of passenger cars passing in opposite directions ranges from 6.7 feet on the 32 -foot pavement to 11.7 feet on the 40 -foot road.
To encourage safety and to develop maximum utilization of total pavement width on two-lane traffic routes, shoulders should be properly maintained, obstacles should be sufficiently removed from the edge of the pavement, raised curbs should be eliminated where possible, and parking on the two-lane surface prohibited.

A three-lane pavement such as the 32 -foot width on Detroit Road seems to be justified only when there is a heavy peak hour traffic and two lanes are used for traffic moving in one direction.

With the expected increase in traffic on Lake Shore Boulevard, and U. S. Route 20, maximum efficiency in utilization can be obtained by lanemarking the pavement.

## FORECAST OF HIGHWAY TRAFFIC

SINCE no historical series of highway traffic records is available for the Cleveland regional area it is impossible to estimate future traffic on the various highways of the area directly from past highway traffic trends.

In the States of Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan and Wisconsin, where historical series of traffic growth are available, highway traffic and motor vehicle registration have increased at approximately equal rates. The similarity of increase of traffic on the various highways of these States and the increase of mojor vehicle registration has occurred despite variations in location, population density, rates of population growth, and industrial and agricultural development. ${ }^{7}$
A forecast of future traffic for a period of ten years for each counfy of the regional area, based on the increase in registration in each county, and the resulting factors of traffic growth applied to the 1927 traffic using the highways of the several counties represents estimated future traffic with reasonable accuracy within the practical limits of highway planning for various densities of traffic.
The increase of registration, and its related factor of growth of highway traffic, is a function of two variables: (I) the increase in population, and (2) the increase in ownership and use of motor vehicles in proportion to population, measured by the number of persons per motor vehicle.

The county is the smallest practical unit for analysis of population and motor vehicle registration trends in the Cleveland regional area.
Motor vehicle registration, population, and persons per car from 1920 to 1927 and estimates to 1937 for each of the counties of the area are shown in Appendix III.

[^17]Registration figures for the regional area are available for the years 1920 to 1927 only.. Although this is a relatively short period for the determination of the future trend in motor vehicle registration in the area its accuracy as the basis of the future trend is indicated by Appendix Figure 2, in which a trend for the entire State of Ohio based upon registration from 1920 to 1927 is compared with a trend based upon a longer period from 1913 to 1924. Only a slight variation is found between the 1920-1927 trend and that based upon the years 1913 to 1924.
The 1913-1924 trend was prepared for the "Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highway System of Ohio." At that time no registration data were available beyond the year 1924. The black circles, representing the actual registration in Appendix Figure 2, show that the amount of error in estimates based upon the 1913-24 trend is very small.
The fact that the trend of registration in the State based upon the 1920-27 data never varies more than three per cent from the 19r3-24 trend indicates that a reasonably accurate trend of registration in the regional area is obtained when registration figures for the years $1920-27$ are used as the basis.

Motor vehicle traffic data for years subsequent to those published in prior traffic survey reports ${ }^{8}$ have recently become available for the States of Maryland and Wisconsin. Traffic and registration data for Maryland include the additional years of 1925 and 1926; the corresponding data for Wisconsin include the additional years 1925, 1926 and 1927. In both States the close agreement of the rates of increase of traffic and of registration during the whole period is shown in Appendix Figure 3, thus emphasizing on the basis of more recent data, that a projection of the trend of motor vehicle registration for comparatively short periods of years is a reasonably accurate measure of traffic growth on a highway system.

[^18]The trend of motor vehicle registration for each of the counties indicates an increase in registration and a corresponding increase of highway traffic on the highways from 1927 to 1937 as shown in Table 22.

Table 22-Estimated increase in motor vehicle registration and highway traffic ${ }^{1}$

| County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { 1927-1932 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { 1927-1937 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Per cent |
| Cuyahoga. | 45 | 73 |
| Geauga. . | 12 | 17 |
| Lake. | 19 | 37 |
| Lorain. | 39 | 58 |
| Medina. | 10 | 13 |
| Portage. | 24 | 38 |
| Summit. | 48 | 85 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on actual registration trends 1920 to 1927, and estimated registrations for 1932 and 1937.

The estimated increase of traffic on the highways of Cuyahoga County is 45 per cent during the first five-year period, 1927 to 1932, and 73 per cent from 1927 to 1937. It is estimated that during the 15 -year period from 1927 to 1942 traffic on the highways of Cuyahoga County will increase approximately 100 per cent. Although the Summit County increase of 85 per cent for the ro-year period exceeds that of Cuyahoga County, the density of traffic in 1927 on the highways of Cuyahoga County is far greater than on the highways of Summit County and the increase of 73 per cent means a considerably greater volume increase on the highways of Cuyahoga County than for any other county in the area.

The expected increase of traffic on the highways of Lorain, Lake and Portage Counties ranks second in importance to Cuyahoga and

Summit Counties, while the estimated increase for Geauga and Medina Counties is comparatively small.

The estimated increases in traffic correspond with population density, the rate of population growth and the motor vehicle ownership in each of the counties. Cuyahoga County in 1927 had 259,000 registered motor vehicles and produced most of the traffic on the highways of the Cleveland suburban area. Lorain with 25,500 and Lake with 12,900 registered motor vehicles produced a comparatively small part of the total traffic of the area. Motor vehicle ownership in Cuyahoga and Summit Counties is less intense than in the remainder of the area, there is therefore a greater potential opportunity for increase in motor vehicle ownership and traffic use in these counties.

The rates of increase as shown in Table 22 may be expected to measure the increase on the routes of the seven counties.

These rates of increase in registration have been applied to the 1927 density of traffic on the routes of each of the counties. In the case of routes carrying considerable volumes of through traffic, the estimate of increase is very conservative.

The estimated traffic for each route is shown in Appendix I.

Recreational, suburban, and industrial developments and changes affecting the present highway system as to location of new routes and improvement of present routes will influence the increase of traffic on short sections of highway. It is not expected that the 1932 and 1937 estimates of traffic will reflect in all cases the actual traffic in these years, but that the estimates will measure with reasonable accuracy highway traffic on the U. S., State, and county highways in the area.

## CLASSIFICATION OF HIGHWAYS

T1 HE determination of the type of surface with which the highways in the regional area should be improved depends principally upon the volume of present and probable future traffic. A high-type surface or a width of pavement in excess of a two-lane roadway cannot be economically justified for a highway carrying a small amount of traffic, nor can a low-type surface be justified in the case of a heavy traffic route. A scientific highway improvement program should be directed toward providing at the lowest possible cost the best possible highway system which will satisfactorily meet the demands of present and expected future traffic.

As one of the principal bases for the determination of the most serviceable type of surface, the highways in the regional area have been classified as major, medium, and minor traffic routes. Major traffic roads are those on which traffic now is or by 1937 will be over 1,800 vehicles a day; medium roads are those which now carry or by 1937 will carry between 700 and 1,800 vehicles a day; and minor roads those on which traffic will not exceed 700 vehicles a day in 1937 . The traffic classes in 1927, 1932, and 1937, and the type of surface recommended for major, medium, and minor roads are shown below.

The approximate limits of the traffic classes of the major, medium, and minor traffic roads are based on experience in many States. In Ohio it has been found that traffic-bound sur-
faces carrying more than a daily average of 600 vehicles throughout the year can not be economically maintained. It has also been found that bituminous macadam surfaces carrying more than a daily average of 1,500 vehicles for the entire year can not be economically maintained.;

Because the traffic counts in the Cleveland regional area were taken during the months of September and October, when daily traffic is approximately 18 per cent greater in volume than average daily traffic throughout the year, the class limits of 600 and 1,500 vehicles a day were increased to 700 and 1,800 vehicles. These latter class limits were then used as the basis for the traffic classification of roads in the regional area.

The roads of the regional area classified as major, medium, and minor are shown in Figure 28. In Cuyahoga County, exclusive of the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood, 653.5 miles were so classified, and of this mileage there are 291.1 miles of major, 132.9 miles of medium, and 229.5 miles of minor roads (Table 23). Practically 45 per cent of the road mileage of Cuyahoga County' is of the major class for which a type A surface is recommended; 20 per cent is of the medium class which requires a type B surface; and 35 per cent is classed as minor which means that it requires nothing better than a type $\mathbf{C}$ surface.

[^19]| Classification | Average daily traffic |  |  | Type of highway surface |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  |
| Major 1. | 1,800 or more | 1,800 or more | 1,800 or more | A. Rigid $\quad$ : $:$ : |
| 2. | 700-1,800 | 1,800 or more | 1,800 or more | (concrete or brick, :i...: |
| 3. | 700-1,800 | 700-1,800 | 1,800 or more | or equivalent) .t:-... |
| Medium 1. | 700-1,800 | 700-1,800 | 700-1,800 | B. Flexible $\because$ |
| 2. | less than 700 | 700-1,800 | 700-1,800 | (bituminous macadam |
| 3. | less than 700 | less than 700 | 700-1,800 | or equivalent) |
| Minor.. | less than 700 | less than 700 | less than 700 | C. Traffic bound |

Outside of Cuyahoga County, on the highways covered by the survey in the regional area there are 240.2 miles of major, 182.6 miles of medium, and 187.5 miles of minor roads. The planning survey was concerned only with the principal roads outside of Cuyahoga County and consequently a considerable mileage of less important minor roads have not been included in the highway classification.

To clarify the discussion of the program of highway improvement, Cuyahoga County has been divided into seven sections as shown in Figure 29.

The governing factors in the selection of these sections were: (1) Traffic distribution, population density and topography; (2) conformity of section boundaries with city, village and township limits; (3) equality of section area as nearly as possible; and (4) inclusion in each section of the highways which primarily serve that section.

A comparison of the mileage of major, medium and minor roads in these sections and the percentage of total area in each are given in Table 24. The east-central section contains the greatest mileage of major traffic roads. Although it includes only $15-4$ per cent of the fotal area and

Table 23-Mileage of major, medium and minor traffic roads in the regional area

| County | Major |  | Medium |  | Minor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miles | Per cent | Miles | Per cent | Miles | Per cent | Miles | Per cent |
| Cuyahoga. | 291.1 | 44.6 | 132.9 | 20.3 | 229.5 | 35.1 | 653.5 | 100.0 |
| Geauga. | 14.4 | 15.1 | 29.7 | 31.1 | 51.3 | 53.8 | 95.4 | 100.0 |
| Lake. | 47.0 | 51.2 | 35.0 | 38.1 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 91.8 | 100.0 |
| Lorain. | 35.7 | 30.8 | 44.4 | 38.2 | 36.0 | 31.0 | 116.1 | 100.0 |
| Medina. | 30.0 | 26.2 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 73.3 | 63.9 | 114.7 | 100.0 |
| Portage. | 38.3 | 47.0 | 37.4 | 45.9 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 81.5 | 100.0 |
| Summit. | 74.8 | 67.5 | 24.7 | 22.3 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 110.8 | 100.0 |
| Total. | 531.3 | 42.0 | 315.5 | 25.0 | 417.0 | 33.0 | 1,263.8 | 100.0 |

Table 24-Mileage of major, medium and minor roads in the seven sections of Cuyahoga County ${ }^{1}$

| Section | Major |  | Medium |  | Minor |  | Total |  | Per cent of total area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miles | Percent | Miles | Per cent | Miles | Per cent | Miles | Per cent |  |
| East-central. | 82.8 | 28.4 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 33.4 | 14.5 | 125.2 | 19.2 | 15.4 |
| Northeast. | 53.8 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 13.4 | 20.6 | 9.0 | 92.2 | 14.1 | 14.3 |
| Southeast. | 39.4 | 13.5 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 48.6 | 21.2 | 91.8 | 14.0 | 14.6 |
| West-south-central | 37.5 | 12.9 | 25.4 | 19.1 | 33.2 | 14.5. | 96.1 | 14.7 | 14.4 |
| West. | 32.0 | . 11.0 | 32.2 | 24.2 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 76.2 | 11.7 | 11.0 |
| East-south-central. | 23.5 | 8.1 | 24.2 | 18.2 | 27.4 | 11.9 | 75.1 | 11.5 | 14.1 |
| Southwest. | 22.1 | 7.6 | 20.5 | 15.4 | 54.3 | 23.7 | 96.9 | 14.8 | 16.2 |
| Total. | 291.1 | 100.0 | 132.9 | 100.0 | 229.5 | 100.0 | 653.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[^20]
19.2 per cent of the total road mileage, it has 28.4 per cent of the major road mileage of the county. The northeast section also includes a percentage of major roads greater than its percentage of total area or road mileage. Over 60 per cent of the mileage of major roads in the county is located east of the Cuyahoga River in the area including the northeastern, east-central, and southeastern sections.
The mileage of medium roads is greatest in the southern and western parts of the county, where population density and the density of local traffic are comparatively low. This is the condition in the east-south-central, west-south-central, southwesfern and western sections. These four sections, which include only 39.6 per cent of the major road mileage of the county, include 76.9 per cent of the medium road mileage.

The mileage of minor roads is greatest in the southeast and southwest sections. These two sections, which include approximately 29 per cent of the total road mileage of the county, contain about 45 per cent of the total mileage of minor roads.
It should be noted that, in addition to the classification of highways as major, medium and minor, other factors were considered in the final selection of surfaces in developing the plan of highway improvement. The volume of truck traffic, particularly of large-capacity trucks, soil, drainage, and climatic conditions, and the usability of existing pavements as sub-base for the new surface were features which, where it was deemed necessary, have modified the theoretical surface type determined on the basis of highway classification.


Fig. 29.-Traffic sections of Cuyahoga Cownty

## BASIS OF THE PLAN OF HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT

IT IS the purpose of a highway system to provide direct, expeditious, and safe service to the motor vehicle traffic of the area. The adequacy of any system is measured by the degree to which it meets the demand for service of this character. The fundamental basis of highway planning is, therefore, present and expected future motor vehicle traffic, its volume, composition and distribution.
The first stage of the planning survey was, therefore, a detailed study of the motor vehicle traffic in the area, involving determination and analyses of the volume of traffic on each route, the composition of the traffic, its sources and destinations, and the expected growth in each section of the area. These data determine the present and expected future demands for traffic service.

The second stage was the determination of the highway facilities required to meet adequately the present and future traffic demands. The determination of the proper highway facilities for a given volume of traffic involves: (a) the selection of the most advantageous location for routes to be improved, (b) the adoption of the appropriate class of improvement, (c) the choice of the correct width of right of way and of roadway surface, and (d) the decision upon the treatment of obstructions most likely to promote the free and rapid movement of traffic.

In the selection of the most advantageous location for routes to be improved, principles of economy, which must always govern the expenditure of public funds, require the fullest possible utilization of the established rights of way and present improvements. Where no right of way exists or where the established right of way is inadequate, reconnaissance location surveys were made to determine the possibility and practicability of obtaining satisfactory locations.

The appropriate class of improvement is determined by the traffic classification, whether major, medium, or minor, into which the particular highway falls by reason of the density of its traffic.

From studies of the relative construction and maintenance costs of different types of highway improvement when subjected to varying volumes of traffic, in this area and in other sections of the country, it has been concluded that a certain general class of improvement is best adapted to highways of each of the three traffic classes. Application of these conclusions to the highways of the regional area results, it is believed, in a choice of a class of improvement for each route which will be most economical under the existing physical and climatic conditions.

The determination of the proper width of roadway surface was based upon detailed studies of the traffic capacity of roadways of various widths and of the transverse distribution of traffic upon the highways of the regional area. From these studies the following conclusions were drawn.
I. The roadway surface for all two-lane roadways should be 20 feet exclusive of space for parked vehicles. Roadways of 18 -foot width in good condition are classed as satisfactory but these should be widened to a minimum of 20 feet when reconstruction is necessary. Surfaces less than 18 feet in width should be widened to a minimum of 20 feet as rapidly as conditions permit except in the case of extremely light traffic routes where the widening is less urgent.
2. Normal distribution of traffic requires an even number of traffic lanes. When the volume of traffic exceeds the capacity of a two-lane roadway a four-lane roadway (approximately 40 feet), exclusive of space for parked vehicles, is recommended. The three-lane roadway is found satisfactory in a few cases where there are very pronounced peak periods of traffic in alternate directions at different periods of the day and particularly when the acquisition of right of way for a four-lane roadway is extremely difficult or prohibitive in cost. The threelane roadway, when used, requires lane marking and careful traffic control.
3. All roadways designed for more than two lanes of traffic should have complete lane marking and provision should be made for regulation of traffic in conformity with the lane marking.
4. Assuming that the roadway is designed for the accommodation of moving traffic, the choice of any width between 20 and approximately 40 feet, except in the relatively few cases where the three-lane roadway is satisfactory, is normally uneconomical, as the excess of width above 20 feet adds but little to the traffic capacity of the roadway. If the additional width is intended to provide space for parking, such widths as 22,24 and 27 do not permit parking without obstruction of the normal traffic lanes.
5. Under open road conditions, i. e., through areas outside of suburban development, with little local traffic and relatively infrequent cross routes, the normal traffic capacity of a two-lane roadway, at a traffic speed of 25 miles per hour, is approximately 10,000 .vehicles per day. In suburban sections, with parking adjacent to the roadway surface, and a larger volume of cross traffic and local traffic, the capacity of a two-lane roadway is reduced to approximately 8,000 vehicles per day. An abnormally high proportion of large-capacity trucks, busses or other slow-moving vehicles will decrease these limits.
Roads now carrying or expected within the next fifteen years to carry 8,000 or more vehicles per day within the zone bounded approximately by Clague Road, Pleasant Valley Road, and Richmond Road (State Route 175) are therefore planned as 40 -foot roadways to carry four lanes of traffic. Routes outside of this zone now car-rying or expected to carry 10,000 or more vehicles per day are similarly planned. Routes expected to carry less than these amounts in the respective zones are planned as 20 -foot, two-lane roadways.

In all cases the roadway widths as planned are designed for moving vehicles only. Space for parking of vehicles, where required, must be provided off the two or four-lane surface.

The normal traffic capacity of a roadway is frequently lowered by obstacles to the free movement of traffic, such as railroad crossings at grade, intersections with heavy traffic routes, sharp curves, steep grades, the use of the roadway by other utilities, especially traction lines, and developments adjacent to the roadway which produce heavy local traffic.
In addition to decreasing the traffic capacity of the route, railroad grade crossings also constitute accident hazards. To measure the influence of unseparated crossings on traffic capacity a series of time studies was made at selected grade crossings in the area. The time lost by traffic was found to vary with the volume of highway traffic, the number of trains, and with the type of railroad traffic. The program of grade crossing elimination was established on the basis of these studies and the accident hazard at each crossing as determined by reconnaissance surveys.

The proper treatment of intersections of heavy traffic routes is determined principally by the volume of traffic on each route and the number of vehicles making right and left turns at the intersections. Possible solutions of the problem at such intersections are: (1) To give traffic on one route the right of way; (2) to construct circular intersections; (3) to provide facilities for circulatory movement by opening connected by-passes around the intersection; and (4) to separate the grades and provide ramp connections.

Curves and grades over 5 per cent which reduce traffic capacity can frequently be eliminated by minor relocations of the roadway.
The use of the roadway by other utilities, particularly street car and local motor bus lines, usually causes a marked decrease in the traffic capacity. On routes which carry a large number of mass transportation vehicles the most satisfactory solution is the development of parallel routes which do not carry this type of traffic.
As a result of these series of studies the required highway facilities for each section of the area were determined.
The third stage of the planning survey involved a detailed engineering study of the present highway system including surfaces and structures.

The purpose of this study was to obtain complete information regarding the present condition of each section of highway in the area.

The type, design, specifications, width and date of consfruction of the existing surfaces on each section of highway were tabulated from construction records. These data were supplemented by careful condition inspections of each route, including the determination of surface roughness


A member of a condition survey party at work
by the use of a roughometer, check measurements of surface thickness, observations of surface cracking, scaling, and shattering, areas patched or repaired, shoulder condition, drainage conditions, and other factors influencing the serviceability of the routes.

On the basis of these inspections routes were classified as to condition into the following classes:

Ar. Pavements in good condition and supporting traffic. Surfaces of this class are reasonably smooth, show no evidence of failure, and are in such condition that they can be used as integral parts of future improvement.
A2. Pavements supporting present traffic but rough and irregular. Such pavements show no evidence of foundation failure, but require resurfacing to transform them into class AI improvements.

Br. Pavements showing signs of disintegration or failure, but having reasonably smooth surfaces. These are suitable for use as subbases for new surfaces.
B2. Pavements disintegrating or disintegrated and having rough irregular surfaces. These show evidence of foundation failure, but are suitable as subbases for new surfaces of adequate thickness.
Cr. Surfaces worn out or so thoroughly disintegrated as to be of no value in place. The material may have a salvage value after removal from the pavement and processing.
C2. Surface completely disintegrated. The materials comprising these surfaces have no salvage value.
This condition classification, together with an estimate of the remaining life of the present surface, considered in conjunction with the traffic classification, determined the plan of improvement for present surfaces, except as to surface width. The present width of the surfaces compared with the required roadway capacity as determined by present and expected future traffic and the traffic capacity studies, determined the plan of improvement as to width.

The results of the detailed sfudy of the major and medium traffic routes in the regional area in respect to type and condition are shown in Table 4 , and in respect to surface width in Table 5. Both types of information are shown on the map, Figure 30.

Of the major and medium traffic roads in Cuyahoga County, 77 per cent are improved with brick, concrete, or bituminous pavements or equivalent surfaces adequate in surface condition for ro years' further service. The corresponding proportions in the other counties are: Lake, 79 per cent; Geauga, 71 per cent; Portage, $5^{1}$ per cent; Summit, 83 per cent; Medina, 61 per cent; and Lorain, 70 per cent. The remaining mileage of major and medium traffic routes in each county will require rebuilding within the 10 year period.

A considerable mileage in each of the counties, which is adequate in surface condition, has surfaces too narrow for traffic requirements. Of
the mileage of major and medium traffic routes in Cuyahoga County, 38.3 per cent is surfaced less than 18 feet in width-the minimum for a satisfactory two-lane roadway. The corresponding percentages in other counties are: ' 50.4 per cent in Lake County; 90.5 per cent in Geauga County; 76.7 per cent in Portage County; 52.6 per cent in Summit County; 55.9 per cent in Medina County; and 64.I per cent in Lorain County. These routes require widening in order to provide adequate traffic service.

To facilitate the analysis of the mass of evidence considered in planning the improvement of the existing routes, all data available for each route in the area were assembled on $\log$ sheets, of which a typical sample is shown in Figure 3r. This form summarizes all the facts as to the present roadway, the traffic which it carries, and the condition and estimated life of the present roadway. Similar data and forms were compiled for each route in the area.

Figure 31 presents these data for Broadway (State Route 14) from the Cleveland city line to Twinsburg in Summit County. Applying the planning principles developed, this route should be a four-lane major-type roadway from the Cleveland line to its junction with Northfield Road (State Route 8) and a two-lane, 20 -foot, major-fype of construction from this junction to Twinsburg. Comparison of these requirements with the present improvements and their condition establishes the required plan as follows: New construction of major type, 48 feet wide from the Cleveland city limits to McCracken Road, and reconstruction and widening to 20 feef of major type between the Cuyahoga County line and Twinsburg. The remaining sections are classed as satisfactory since the sections from McCracken Road to Harrison Avenue, and from Tinker's Creek to the Summit County line are under contract for adequate improvement. The section between the Cleveland city limits and McCracken Road is planned for 48 -foot rather than 40 -foot width in order to form a roadway uniform in width with the section between McCracken Road and Harrison Avenue where the center strip is being paved to provide a 48 -foot roadway. Through the business section of Bed-
ford traffic is impeded by parking and electric railway tracks. Widening of this section to provide four free traffic lanes would be prohibitive in cost and a relief route is therefore provided by the Northfield Road extension.

Similar procedure was followed in planning all routes.

## Reconnaissance Surveys

In order to develop adequate and practicable - remedies for the correction of the traffic difficulties which were found to exist, an extensive reconnaissance of the entire area of the regional survey was necessary. Reference to Figures I and 4 will disclose that a study of the highway and street maps of the region was not sufficient to determine whether complete relief could be secured for the unsatisfactory condition found.

In each case the plan recommendations made in this report and shown in Figure 32, for the extension of, or completion of gaps in, present routes; the opening of new routes; the location and construction of bridges; the location and construction of railroad grade crossing eliminations; and the elimination of highway grade intersections are based upon careful reconnaissance surveys and studies of the proposed improvements. By means of enlarged sections of topographic maps of the U. S. Geological Survey, paper locations and profiles of alternative solutions of each problem were made and then compared with conditions on the ground. Consideration was given to all engineering features, and in addition special attention was paid to the development in the vicinity of each proposed improvement, which might affect right-of-way matters. It is believed that the locations that have been suggested offer a safisfactory and economical solution of traffic distribution "problems. Estimates of the probable cost of the suggested features were based on quantities computed from the paper locations. Examples of the locations selected are shown in Appendix Figures 4, 5, and 6.

In all, 127 miles of extensions and new roads were thus handled, 69 grade crossings, 45 bridges, and 6 highway grade crossing separations. For such work as that involved in the lakefront routes east of the Cuyahoga River and at the mouth of
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Rocky River and for the major bridges, designs were made in sufficient detail to establish a satisfactory preliminary estimate.

Several of the recommendations, such as the Valley Road-Independence development, the Brook Park extension across the Cuyahoga Valley, and the relocation of the northern end of Brecksville Road, involve crossing the Cuyahoga River at a low elevation. The designs for these crossings of the main chanmel of the Cuyahoga River and its flood plain presented a hydrographic problem which was given special investigation in order to insure that the proposals made will not aggravate flood conditions by retarding stream discharge, and at the same time establish a satis-
factory minimum height for causeway and bridge construction on these routes.

## The Design of New Pavements and Reconstruction of Existing Pavements

Estimates for new pavement construction are based on designs of tested serviceability conforming to the best current practice. The large amount of reconstruction and widening required necessitated the development of an unusual variety of special cross-sections designed to utilize to the greatest possible degree the existing pavements, bases in place, or to employ material salvable from the present surfaces. In all, 26 different designs were developed which, with changes
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in width possible in most of the designs, provide for approximately 50 different cross-sections. Examples of these cross-sections are shown in Appendix Figure 7.

These designs are to be considered as typical and are for general application. They are not intended to apply specifically to any particular section of road.

It is expected that the engineer using them will adapt them to meet existing conditions. The reconstruction designs are symmetrical with reference to the center line of the roadway, and provide for different amounts of widening. There will be cases in which the widening will be greater on one side than on the other, for some of the present pavements are not centered on
the right of way; and there are extreme cases in which all the widening will be on one side. For these cases the typical designs will require modification.

The designs for reconstruction and widening show a subbase of stone or gravel under one side and not under the other. This indicates the use and place of subbase when needed. In general, such subbases should be introduced under all flat curbs used for widening up to 3 feet in width and they may be required under wider curbs. Such base support may be omitted only where the natural soil is of a sandy or gravelly nature. It should not be omitted on clay or heavy loam soils.

The designs are grouped in series as follows:
I. New pavements.
2. Widening and retopping with bituminous concrete.
3. Widening and retopping with cement concrete.
4 Miscellaneous widening and resurfacing. In series $I$ there are five designs, representing modern practice for concrete, bituminous concrete, brick, and bituminous macadam construction. Two concrete pavement designs are in-cluded-one with the usual type of thickened edges, and the other with lip curbs. The design with lip curbs will be found useful on grades where the soil easily erodes. Its use requires especial care in providing properly located ouflets
at sags in the grade, and at points where this design is discontinued. The weight of designs is shown for average good conditions. In locations where unusually heavy construction is needed the engineer must select and adjust his designs accordingly.

Series 2 shows a variety of methods for widening and retopping different types of old pavements in cases where the use of bituminous concrete is suggested for the new surface.

Series 3 shows a similar variety of cases where the retopping is to be of cement concrete.

Series 4 shows a group of miscellaneous cases which will arise where bituminous macadam, brick, and concrete pavements are to be widened and used with or without new surface courses as may be required.

## THE PLAN OF HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT

THE plan of highway improvement is designed to provide highway facilities adequate for the accommodation of traffic during the next io years, and to furnish a complete and balanced highway transportation system for the entire area, making use of the present highways and improvements to the fullest possible extent. New routes are proposed only where essential links of a complete transportation system are missing or where adequate traffic capacity can not practicably be obtained on present routes.
It involves an extensive program of widening and reconstruction of present surfaces and structures and the construction of adequate improvements where there are now gaps in the system. The widening program will increase the width of the present pavements to that required by the volume of present and expected future traffic or to that possible on existing or obtainable right of way. Widening beyond four free lanes for moving traffic is not contemplated. The concentration of more than four lanes of traffic on one route results in serious congestion at focal and central discharge points, and the cost of acquiring additional right of way for a roadway of more than four-lane width with necessary provision for parked vehicles is usually prohibitive. Traffic can be more economically served by the provision of a larger number of four-lane roads. Furthermore the traffic capacity of routes providing more than four lanes for moving traffic and their capacity relation to two-lane and four-lane routes has not been finally determined.

The condition of the surface on a considerable mileage of these routes necessitates reconstruction as well as widening. On a few routes where present width is adequate, reconstruction without widening is required. The new construction program on established highways involves the improvement of routes or sections of routes that are now unimproved, or of sections on which present improvements are in such poor condition as to be worthless except possibly by salvaging the material.

In each of the counties of the regional area highway reconstruction and widening has been in progress during the past few years. In Cuyahoga County a large number of vitally necessary reconstruction and widening improvements have been completed during the past year or will be completed during the 1928 construction season. Among the more important of these projects are the following:
Wooster Road from Rocky River Bridge to Lorain Avenue
Center Ridge Road from Wooster Road to the east limits of Dover Village
Lorain Avenue from Rocky River to the Lorain County line
Wooster Pike from York Road to the Medina County line
State Road from near Ridgewood Drive to the Medina County line
Brecksville Road from Rockside Road to the Summit County line
South Miles Road from Warrensville to the Geauga County line
North Miles Road from Green Road to Chagrin Falls
South Woodland Road from Warrensville Center Road to S. O. M. Center Road
North Woodland Road from Belvoir Boulevard to S. O. M. Center Road
Mayfield Road from Warrensville Center Road to the Geauga County line
Lee Road from Miles Avenue to the south limits of Shaker Heights Village and Monmouth Road to Superior Road
Warrensville Center Road from Mayfield Road to the south limits of Shaker Heights Village
These improvements, initiated prior to or during the period of the cooperative survey, are generally in agreement with the findings of the survey and reduce materially the Cuyahoga County reconstruction and widening program necessary during the 10 -year period of the plan.

The current highway improvement program in those sections of Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage, and Summit Counties which were included in the regional area also conforms generally with the findings of the survey and serves to reduce the required ro-year program in these counties.


RECONSTRUCTION AND WIDENING IN PROGRESS DURING THE 1928 SEASON
Above-Preparing for the reconstruction of Wooster Road
Below-Curve widening on the Brecksville Road at Wallings Road

Table 25-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in the regional area
A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. . . . . . . . | 45.6 | 57.3 | 102.9 |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . | 51.3 | 106.1 | 157.4 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. | 27.8 | 199.8 | 227.6 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . | 1.6 | 4.7 | 6.3 |
| New construction, miles.... | 5.4 | 70.8 | 76.2 |
| Total, miles. | 131.7 | 438.7 | 570.4 |
| New routes in City of Cleveland, miles. | 22.2 | 2.3 | 24.5 |
| Grand total, miles. . . . | 153.9 | 441.0 | 594.9 |

The plan of highway improvement for the regional area is shown in Figure 32. This map shows the approximate location of each improvement project and the class of improvement required. The approximate location of new routes is shown by the solid red lines. Improvements on present routes are classified as widening, reconstruction and widening, reconstruction without widening, and new construction and the several classes of improvement are indicated by distinctive colors within the roadway lines. Solid colors represent improvements required during the first five years of the plan period and broken lines those required during the second five years of the period. The required highway structures, such as bridges and railroad grade crossing eliminations, are also shown in their approximate lo-
B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | $\begin{gathered} \text { On } \\ \text { new } \\ \text { routes } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. | 4 | 16 | 20 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number........ | 17 | .. | 17 |
| Railway grade separations, number. | 55 | 12 | 67 |
| Highway grade separations, number. | . | 4 | 4 |
| Total, number........ | 76 | 32 | 108 |
| Structures in City of Cleveland |  |  |  |
| Bridges, new, number...... | . | 8 | 8 |
| Railway grade separations, number. | -• | 2 | 2 |
| Highway grade separations, number. | . | 2 | 2 |
| - Total, number........ | .. | 12 | 12 |
| Grand total, number... | 76 | 44 | 120 |

cation. The location of new routes and structures in the Cuyahoga River Valley between Lake Erie and Rockside Road, and their relation to existing city streets and county highways àre shown in detail in Appendix Figure 8.

A summary of the mileage of roadway improvements and the number of highway structures is shown in Table 25. A detailed description of the plan with respect to roadways, detailing their width, type, class, and period of construction is shown in Appendix IV; and a similar description of the required highway structures is given in Appendix V.

The plan for the entire regional area involves 595 miles of highway improvement, and 120 structures, as shown in Table 25.

## THE PLAN OF HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT IN CUYAHOGA COUNTY

TTHE plan for Cuyahoga County and for the new arterial routes within the City of Cleveland involves 281 miles of surface and 65 structures, as shown in Table 26.

## The Northeastern Section of Cuyahoga County

The northeastern section of Cuyahoga County, which includes the densely populated area north of Cedar Road, produces a large volume of traffic which moves daily between this section and the City of Cleveland. In addition to this locally originated traffic the highways of the section must carry the large volume of traffic which originates in Lake County and the area to the northeast. This combined local and through traffic results
in loading to capacity the main highways of the section. The principal direction of the traffic movement is into and out of the City of Cleveland; and traffic demands can be met by providing adequate arterial routes to the city with proper connections between these routes.

The principal routes in this section are Euclid Avenue, Lake Shore Boulerard, Mayfield Road and St. Clair Avenue.
Euclid Arenue (U. S. Route 20) carries a daily trafic of 32,300 vehicles east of the junction with Superior Avenue, 22,8oo at Noble Road, and 8,600 at the Cuyahoga County line. The roadway width from the City of Cleveland to the county line is approximately 56 feet, consisting of two approximately $1 \&$-foot brick pare-


EUCLID AVENUE AT CHARDON ROAD
The ture 1 -foot brick pavements are in good condition; but the center strip shonld be paved to increase the traffic capacity of the readray

Table 26-Summary of 10-year plan of improvement in Cuyahoga County

## A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles........ : | 29.8 | 31.9 | 61.7 |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . | 32.1 | 36.8 | 68.9 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. | 21.4 | 67.6 | 89.0 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . |  | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| New construction, miles.... | 5.4 | 26.4 | 31.8 |
| Total, miles........... | 88.7 | 167.4 | 256.1 |
| New routes in City of Cleveland, miles. | 22.2 | 2.3 | 24.5 |
| Grand total, miles. . . . | 110.9 | 169.7 | 280.6 |

## B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. | 1 | $10^{*}$ | 11 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number. |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. $\qquad$ | 27 | 11 | 38 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  | 4 | 4 |
| Total, number | 28 | 25 | 53 |
| Structures in City of Cleveland |  | 8 | 8 |
| Railway grade separations, number $\qquad$ |  | 2 | 2 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  | 2 | 2 |
| Total, number......... |  | 12 | 12 |
| Grand total, number. . . | 28 | 37 | 65 |

ments with an unpaved center strip. The brick pavements are in good condition. Because of the large present volume of traffic and its rapid growth, as well as the large volume of truck and bus traffic, the center strips should be improved to permit its free use as part of the surfaced roadway.
Lake Shore Boulevard carries a daily traffic of 12,400 vehicles at the city line, 8,850 at Bliss Road and 5,100 at Vine Street in Lake County. It is improved with two 18 -foot strips of brick in good condition and with a macadam center strip of approximately 18 feet. This improvement is considered as satisfactory for a 10 -year period.
Mayfield Road (U. S. Route 322) carries a daily traffic of 3,900 vehicles west of the junction with Kenilworth Road, ro,000 east of this junction, 11,400 west of Taylor Road, 5,200 west of Richmond Road and 1,200 in Gates Mills. This route is well improved with pavements in good condition and of various widths, including sections with 24 and 32 -foot pavements, and others with two $191 / 2$-foot strips, and two 18 -foot strips. This route is considered satisfactory for 10 years.
St. Clair Avenue outside the city carried very little traffic largely because of its condition east of Bliss Road and the construction of a railroad grade separation at Bliss Road where St. Clair Avenue crosses the New York Central Railroad. Daily traffic at the city line was 1,950 vehicles and at the county line 450 vehicles. This roadway is satisfactory for a ro-year period west of Bliss Road. East of this point it is made a part of a new arterial route from the center of Cleveland to Painesville, and is recommended for 40 -foot width.

Chardon Road (State Route 85) carries a daily traffic of $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 0}$ vehicles at its junction with Euclid Avenue, 1,500 vehicles at Richmond Road and $\mathrm{I}, 050$ vehicles at the county line. Through Euclid village this route is now improved with a 3 --foot pavement in good condition, and through Richmond Heights village widening of the present surface to 20 feet is in progress. Upon the completion of this project this route will be satisfactory for the ro-year period.

On Coventry Road a short section just north of Mayfield Road requires reconstruction and widening. This route carries a daily trafic of 5,100 vehicles at Superior Road and 10,400 rehicles north of Euclid Heights Boulerard.

Lee Road, which is the first continuous northsouth route east of the city and which traverses a densely populated section, requires improrement north of Mayfield Road in order to proride
sections are tos narrow to accommodate two lanes of traffic on each strip and paving of the center strip is therefore recommended. The utilization of this section will also be increased by the proposed northerly connection from this route to Euclid Avenue via parts of Bluestone Road and Belvoir Boulevard.

Green Road from Cedar Road to Euclid Arenue requires rebuilding. It is at present a iq-


LAEE SHORE BOCLETARD AT EGST IS5TH STREET
Beyond the intersection the perement consists of tano 15-foot strips of brict and meceden center strip. This improvement is comsidered satisfactors for a 10 -geer period
a connected route through to Euclid Arenue. Reconstruction and widening is also required on a short section just south of Euclid Arenue. This important belt-line route, because of its condition, carries only 1,800 vehicles north of Mayfield Road. Upon completion of the necessary improvements this traffic will be gready increased and it is therefore recommended as a fo-foot roadway.

Noble Road from its connection with Warrensrille Center Road at Mayfield Road to the south limits of East Cleveland is a $\mathfrak{j} 0$-ioot roadway, improved with two 16 -foot strips. These
foot roadway in such condition as to require the construction of a new parement. At the northern end a relocation connecting with Belvoir Boulerard and Euclid Arenue is phanned to eliminate the keary grade just south of Euclid Avenue.

Richmond Raad (State Route 1-5) is one of the outer belt routes. It carries a daily tratic rarying between Soo and 1,600 reficles at diñerent points and requires reconstruction and widening to 20 ieet from Cedar Road to Chardon Road. An extension of this route from Chardon Road to Euclid Arenue approximately at $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{sin}$ Road is also planned, to provide a direct conmec-
tion with Euclid Avenue. Upson Road will then provide a connection to the St. Clair and Lake Shore Boulevard routes east to the Willoughby territory north of the New York Central Railroad.

Highland Road requires the improvement of its connection with Euclid Avenue.

On S. O. M. Center Road (State Route 9r) reconstruction and widening of the present 16 -
just east of Painesville and runs parallel to and north of the New York Central Railroad, connecting with the present terminus of St. Clair Avenue just east of the Lake County line. St. Clair Avenue is then utilized to Bliss Road. From this point a partial new right of way is necessary north of the railroad using parts of Waterloo Road and Westropp Street to Grant Boulevard in Bratenahl, thence, following Grant


MAYFIELD ROAD NEAR LEE ROAD
This improvement is satisfactory for 10 years' use
foot pavement is required from the Lake County line to Mayfield Road. The 16 -foot section south of Mayfield Road is now under construction. Traffic at different points on this route varies between 1,500 and $\mathrm{I}, 900$ vehicles per day.

Other existing routes in the northeastern section of the county which form parts of a connected traffic distribution system are considered satisfactory for the traffic which they will be required to serve during the 10 -year period.

The most important of the proposed new routes in this section is the new arterial route from Painesville to the center of Cleveland. This route begins at a junction of U. S. Route 20

Boulevard to Gordon Park and through Gordon Park just north of the railroad, to East 72nd Street. At this point a connection will be made to 7 2nd Street but the main line of the proposed route will involve an overhead crossing of 72 nd Street leading to the lake front and a connection to the proposed underpass of the New York Central Railroad at $72 n d$ Street. From this point a roadway on the lake front will be developed to East 9th Street with connections to East 55th and East 40th Streets. East 9th Street will form the principal approach to the business center for traffic from the east. The route will be continued with a diagonal cross-


THE ENDS OF THE PROPOSED LAKE-FRONT BOULEVARD
Above-East end near East 72nd Strees
Below-West end looking toward East 9th Street from West 3rd Street
ing of the Pennsylvania Railroad from the north end of the 9th Street viaduct to the approximate location of Summit Avenue and thence along the line of this avenue with connections at West 3rd and West 6th Streets to a new high-level crossing of the Cuyahoga River in the vicinity of the present Main Street bridge and to a connection with Bulkley Boulevard west of West 25th Street.
during rush hours on Euclid Avenue between East 105th Street and the Public Square is only 9 miles per hour.

On the basis of present traffic it is estimated that a minimum of 6,700 passenger vehicles daily would have used the St. Clair Avenue section of the new route east of the city had it been available in 1927, and a minimum of 12,000 passenger


THE INTERSECTION OF RICHMOND ROAD AND CHARDON ROAD
This junction will be improved as part of one of the recommendations of the plan

The entire route is planned as a 40 -foot roadway and will provide a fast arterial route with no obstructions to the center of the city from the entire northeastern section. It will relieve traffic congestion on Euclid Avenue, Superior Avenue and Lake Shore Boulevard each of which carries large volumes of traffic outside the city and is now loaded beyond capacity within the city.
Daily traffic at East 55th Street on Euclid Avenue is 28,000 vehicles, on Superior Avenue 33,000 and on St. Clair Avenue, which carries the Lake Shore Boulevard traffic west of East 7 2nd Street, it is 25,000 vehicles. Traffic speed
vehicles would have used the lake-front section from East 72nd to East 9th Streets.

The development of this route will result in the shifting of the principal lines of traffic flow northward to a more direct line to the center of the city and will permit the greater utilization of Euclid Avenue by the local traffic originating in its immediate vicinity.

It will also enable traffic originating east of the city and now using Lake Shore Boulevard to avoid the many curves and turns of this route and permit its freer utilization by local traffic. Connections between the new route and existing
arterial routes are provided by the many improved lateral north-south routes in the area, such as Coit Road, East 152nd Street, Dille-Nottingham Road, Chardon Road and East 200th Street, East 185th Street, Bliss Road and Lloyd Road.

Another new route which will permit traffic to move more directly is the proposed connection from Mayfield Road at Taylor Road to Superior Avenue at Euclid Avenue. This connection results in forming a direct line from Mayfield Road to the Public Square via Superior Avenue. The relief provided to Superior Avenue by the St. Clair-Lake Front development will permit it to absorb part of the Mayfield Road traffic that wishes to reach territory adjacent to Superior Avenue in the city, the traffic destined to the downtown business section, and that destined to the west side of the Cuyahoga River. The major part of this traffic is now diverted into the badly congested Cedar Glen section and enters the city via Carnegie Avenue, and the rest of it is compelled to use the equally overcrowded Euclid Avenue entrance to the city. This connection is planned as a 40 -foot roadway.

A third route involves the completion of a direct, belt-line route on the eastern side of the city. Because of the development of lateral streets perpendicular to Euclid Avenue and the topography of the area to the south of Euclid Avenue, nearly all lateral routes take a northwestsoutheast direction. As a result the north-andsouth connections between the Euclid Avenue territory and Cleveland Heights, Shaker Heights and the south are indirect. To provide a direct route the line of Warrensville Center Road has been projected northward to Euclid Avenue and a new route laid out as closely as practicable to this line. This route uses Noble Road to Bluestone Road, thence to the proposed Belvoir Boulevard, thence along Belvoir Boulevard and its eastern branch to Euclid Avenue a short distance west of the present location of Green Road. This connection is planned as a 40 -foot roadway. A connection is provided from the Belvoir Boule-vard-Euclid route to Green Road. This route with the new southern and western belt routes provides a complete direct belt line around Cleveland.

Table 27-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in the northeastern section of Cuyahoga County

| A. Roadways |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles.......... | 4.0 | 2.8 | 6.8 |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . . | 5.7 |  | 5.7 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. $\qquad$ | 0.4 | 11.4 | 11.8 |
| Reconstruction, miles. |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles. . . . | 3.1 | 1.9 | 5.0 |
| Total, miles............ | 13.2 | 16.1 | 29.3 |
| New routes serving this section in City of Cleveland, miles. $\qquad$ | 10.7 |  | 10.7 |
| Grand total, miles. | 23.9 | 16.1 | 40.0 |

B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number. |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. $\qquad$ | 3 |  | 3 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total, number | 3 |  | 3 |
| Structures serving this section in City of Cleveland. |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number |  | 1 | 1 |
| Highway grade separations, number |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total, number |  | 3 | 3 |
| Grand total, number... | 3 | 3 | 6 |

A similar connection is planned from the present terminus of Richmond Road at Chardon Road to Euclid Avenue near Upson Road. This route, which is planned as a 20 -foot roadway, involves a relocation of the junction of Chardon and Richmond Roads to improve alignment.

These improvements, when correlated with the improvements planned in the contiguous sections, will provide a complete and adequate traffic distribution system for the northeastern section of the county.

Traffic conditions on the main routes in the northeastern part of Cleveland will be further improved by the extension of Chester Avenue to a connection with Euclid Avenue, via Crawford Road and Hough Avenue, and by development of a new crossing through Wade Park by an overpass over East ro5th Street and the parkway to Wade Park and a practically straight connection with Mayfield Road via Ford Drive. This development will provide a direct route from the western terminus of Mayfield Road at Euclid Avenue and will permit Euclid Avenue traffic to avoid the badly congested section in the vicinity of University Circle and East 105th Street.

The improvement plan for the northeastern section also includes the construction of railroad grade separations on Dille Road, Chardon Road and Bliss Road at the Nickel Plate Railroad.

The summary of improvements planned in the northeastern section of the county is shown in Table 27.

## The East-central Section of Cuyahoga County

The east-central section of Cuyahoga County comprises the territory east of the City of Cleveland, and located approximately between Cedar Road on the north and North Miles Road on the south. This section includes the densely populated and highly developed residential area immediately east of Cleveland and the rapidly developing area further eastward. It produces a large volume of traffic which moves daily between this section and Cleveland. A considerable volume of traffic moving between the city and the area east and southeast of Cuyahoga County also uses routes through this section, par-
ticularly Kinsman Road, U. S. Route 422, and State Route 87. In this section there are a number of important north-south routes which form sections of the principal north-south belt lines and provide the necessary connections between arterial routes as well as furnish the required facilities for interchange of local traffic between the communities in the section.


CEDAR ROAD WEST OF BRAINARD ROAD On this section of the road the existing 18 -foot pavement will be satisfactory for the next ten years
The existing highways in this section of the county are more nearly adequate for present and expected future traffic than in any other section. All of the principal east-west arterial routes with the exception of Kinsman Road, South Kinsman Road and a section of Cedar Road are now improved or in process of improvement with surfaces adequate for expected traffic during the royear period.

Kinsman and South Kinsman Roads, forming U. S. Route 422, are urgently in need of improvement. This route from Lee Road to the east limits of Shaker Heights village consists of a 30 -foot roadway in good condition. Daily traffic on this section, which was considerably below normal because of the poor condition and narrow width of the surface east of the east limits of Shaker Heights, was 5,200 vehicles. This section is scheduled for widening to 40 feet.

From the east limits of Shaker Heights to the west limits of Chagrin Falls village the present improvement consists of 14 and 16 -foot pavements in such condition as to be salvable as sub-
base. Reconstruction and widening is planned for this section. From the east line of Shaker Heights to a point east of Richmond Road, where a new route begins, a 40 -foot roadway is planned and the balance of the route is planned as a $20-$ foot roadway. This new route (from the point mentioned to a junction with U. S. Route 422 in Geauga County) provides a relocation of U.S.

Chagrin Falls and North Street back to Route 87 because of the unimproved condition of the direct connection. This direct connection located partly in Cuyahoga and partly in Geauga Counties is planned for 20 -foot construction.

Cedar Road from Lee Road to Warrensville Center Road consists of a 50 -foot roadway with two 16 -foot paved strips and an unpaved center


THE TRAFFIC AT LEE ROAD AND MILES AVENUE
Lee Read is plamed as a 40 -foot thoronghfare because of its importance as the first morth-south belt ronte east of Cleveland

Route 422 which greatly improves the alignment and grades and by-passes the village of Chagrin Falls, and will carry the through traffic from beyond Chagrin Falls now using South Kinsman Road. It is planned as a 40 -foot roadway to the junction with Kinsman Road and as a 20 -foot roadway beyond that point. Kinsman Road east of the junction with South Kinsman Road for a distance of approximately two miles is at present a 15 -foot parement in unsatisfactory condition for the daily traffic of 2,300 vehicles. It is planned to reconstruct and widen this section to 20 feet. Through traffic on State Route 87 is now compelled to use Cleveland Street into
strip. This section carries a daily traffic of 6,300 vehicles at Lee Road and 4,600 vehicles at Warrensville Center Road. The 16 -foot strips are too narrow to accommodate safely two lanes of traffic on each strip and, for this reason the paring of the center strip is planned.

From Warrensville Center Road to Chagrin River Road, Cedar Road is improved with an 18-foot pavement in good condition. The section from Warrensville Center Road to Richmond Road is planned for widening to to feet in the second five years of the plan period, and the section east of Richmond Road is considered satisfactory for traffic during the next ten years.

The section of North Woodland Road between S. O. M. Center Road and Chagrin River Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet in the second five years of the plan period. The remainder of the important eastwest arterial routes in this section are in satisfactory condition or are under construction at the present time.

Lee Road traverses the principal centers of population east of the city, and carries a daily traffic ranging from 5,700 vehicles north of Miles Avenue to 13,400 south of Cedar Road. Because of its importance as the first north-south belt route east of Cleveland, it is planned as a 40 -foot roadway throughout. The sections between $\mathrm{Su}-$ perior Road and Monmouth Road, and between the south limits of the village of Shaker Heights and Miles Avenue are now under construction, involving widening to approximately the required width. The remaining sections, Mayfield Road to Superior Road, Monmouth Road to the south limits of Shaker Heights and Miles Avenue to Broadway are planned for widening to 40 feet.

A second north-south belt line of primary importance is Warrensville Center Road. This route, because of its condition, carried very little traffic north of Norwood Road. Between this point and Miles Avenue daily traffic varied between 3,900 and 4,600 vehicles. The section. between Mayfield Road and Norwood Road is now being improved with surfaces of adequate width. Between Norwood Road and Miles Avenue the present 18 -foot pavement, which is in good condition, is planned for widening to 40 feet.

On Green Road the present 14 -foot pavement between Cedar Road and North Woodland Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet.

On Richmond Road the section between Cedar Road and North Woodland Road is also planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet.

Other existing routes in the east-central section which form parts of a connected traffic distribution system are now in satisfactory condition for the traffic which they will be required to serve during the ro-year period or are under contract for adequate improvement.

The only new route located entirely in this section is the proposed new location for U. S. Route 422, mentioned above, known as the South Moreland Boulevard extension. This route begins at Kinsman Road a short distance east of Richmond Road, crosses the present location of Kinsman Road a short distance west of Harper Road and extends in a generally southeasfern direction to a junction with Bainbridge Road at Chillicothe Road in Geauga County. The new location avoids the curves and heavy grades or South Kinsman Road and by-passes the village of Chagrin Falls. It is designed as an alternative to widening in excess of 20 feet on the present location of U.S. Route 422 which is the principal traffic artery between Cleveland and the Youngstown-Warren territory. The new route is planned as a 40 -foot roadway west of its junction with State Route 87 and as a 20 -foot roadway east of that point. A 40 -foot connection is also planned from this new route at a point between Brainard Road and Lander Road to Shaker Boulevard at Brainard Road, thus providing a direct connection from Shaker Boulevard to Kinsman Road, South Kinsman Road, and the South Moreland Boulevard extension.

A series of relocations and new route developments is also planned in the vicinity of North Randall to facilitate traffic movement in that area. The major parts of these improvements are located in the southeastern section of the county and will be discussed in detail later. The improvements affecting routes in the east-central section are: (I) A new route from Warrensville Center Road at Emery Road to Bedford via Broad Road. This route will cross the Erie Railroad by an overpass at the approximate location of the present South Miles Road overpass and will thus permit Warrensville Center Road traffic to avoid the grade crossing on the present route; (2) the projection of Emery Road west from Warrensville Center Road to a junction with Miles Avenue; and (3) a new location of North Miles Road north of the present location from Green Road to the new route east of Warrensville Center Road. These improvements will by-pass North Miles Road traffic around the congestion center formed by the present intersection
of Miles Arenue and Warrensville Center Road in close proximity to the Erie Railroad.

The principal problem resulting from the large volume of traffic produced in the east-central section is that of providing proper arteries from this section to the business center of Cleveland, not the development of adequate highway facilities in the suburban area. Approximately at the Cleveland city line there are ten important routes carrying traffic into the city-Murray Hill Road and Euclid Heights Boulevard, which serve the major part of the Mayfield Road traffic, Cedar Road, Fairmount Boulevard, Larchmere Avenue, Shaker Boulevard, South Moreland Boulevard, South Woodland Road, Kinsman Road and Miles Avenue. Average daily traffic on these routes near the city line is as follows:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Euclid Heights Boulevard .............. 6 . 6,300 |  |
| Cedar Road | 1,800 |
| Fairmount Boulevard | 13,900 |
| Larchmere Boulevard | 5,40 |
| Shaker Boulevard | 3,000 |
| South Moreland Boulevard | 4,80 |
| South Woodland Road | 4.300 |
| Kinsman Road | 8,600 |
| Miles Avenue | 4,10 |
| Total |  |

With the exception of Miles Avenue these routes, before reaching the downtown business section, which is the most important terminal point, converge into two routes. Murray Hill Road, Euclid Heights Boulevard, Cedar Road and Fairmount Boulevard converge into Carnegie Avenne, and Larchmere Boulevard, Shaker Boulevard, South Moreland Boulevard, South Woodland Road and Kinsman Road all join Woodland Avenue between the city line and East 55th Street. Miles Avenue traffic is poured into badly congested Broadway and is compelled to follow this route for approximately five miles. Relief to the northern part of the section is provided by the improvements discussed in the northeastern section, which will provide a direct route for Mayfield Road traffic via Hough Avenue, Crawford Road and the extension of Chester Avenue, and will relieve Carnegie Avenue of this traffic.

Table 28-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in the east-central section of Cuyahoga County

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles.: | 6.0 | 8.5 | 14.5 |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . . | 12.0 |  | 12.0 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. $\qquad$ | 2.1 | 11.9 | 14.0 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles.... |  | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Total, miles. | 20.1 | 21.1 | 41.2 |
| New routes serving this section in City of Cleveland, miles. $\qquad$ | 2.8 |  | 2.8 |
| Grand total, miles. | 22.9 | 21.1 | 44.0 |

B. Stractures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. |  | 1 | 1 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number......... |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Highway grade separations, number |  |  |  |
| Total, number. | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Structures serving this secttion in City of Cleveland. Bridges, new, number....... |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total, number. |  | 1 | 1 |
| Grand total, number... | 1 | 3 | 4 |



WARRENSVILLE CENTER ROAD IS THE SECOND NORTH-SOUTH bELT LINE EAST of CLEVELAND

[^21]

 present Warremscille Crater Rood grode crossing (below)

To relieve congestion caused by the convergence of routes into Woodland Avenue and facilitate traffic movement between the central part of the section and Cleveland an extension of Shaker Boulevard from its present terminus at Woodhill Road to approximately Pittsburgh Avenue at East 34th Street is planned. This route which is planned as a 40 -foot roadway will be depressed with reference to present streets and will form a high-speed route without cross traffic interference between these points. This route if completed in 1927 would have carried, on the basis of the origin-destination analysis, an estimated minimum of 7,500 daily vehicles between points east of the City of Cleveland and the territory west of its terminus at Broadway.

The improvement plan for the east-central section also includes the construction of a railroad grade separation on Lee Road at the Erie Railroad. The replacement of the present railroad overpass on South Miles Road at the Erie Railroad is involved in the development of the new route east of Warrensville Center Road. This new route will also serve a large proportion of the traffic now using the grade crossing of the Erie Railroad on the Warrensville Center Road.

The summary of improvements planned in the east-central section of Cuyahoga County is shown in Table 28.

## The Southeastern Section of Cuyahoga County

The southeastern section of Cuyahoga County includes the territory southeast of Cleveland, located south of North Miles Road and east of the west limits of Garfield Heights and Bedford township. The part between Cleveland and Bedford is a well developed and rapidly growing suburban area; the rest of the section is more rural in character, although parts of it are in process of suburban development.

The area between Bedford and Cleveland produces a large volume of traffic which moves principally between this area and the city. The remainder of the area produces only a moderate amount of traffic. In addition to the local traffic originating in the area, the main highways passing through the southeastern section serve a con-
siderable volume of traffic from the south and southeast. Northfield Road (State Route 8) is the principal route between Akron and Cleveland, carrying a daily traffic of 3,900 vehicles at the Summit County line and 5,300 vehicles at the junction with Broadway in the village of Bedford. Broadway (State Route 14) forms an alternative, although longer, route to Akron and is the direct route to Ravenna and the southeast. It carries a daily traffic of 1,850 vehicles at the Summit County line and 3,100 vehicles at the junction with Northfield Road in Bedford.

South Miles-Aurora Road (State Route 43) is another through route to the southeast, and carries a daily traffic of 1,600 vehicles at the Geauga County line and 2,000 vehicles at its junction with North Miles Road in Warrensville.

Through Bedford, and between Bedford and Cleveland; Broadway carries the combined traffic of Broadway and Northfield Road. Through the business section of Bedford, its daily traffic is 10,200 vehicles. This volume of traffic on a 48-foot roadway, with two electric railway tracks and parking at both curbs, produces a serious local congestion center. Northwest of Bedford the daily traffic on the road is 7,800 vehicles. At Lee Road approximately one-half of the Broadway traffic turns north because of the unsatisfactory surface condition of Broadway between McCracken Road and the Cleveland city limits.

With few exceptions the principal routes of this section are in good condition or in process of construction. South Miles-Aurora Road is being reconstructed and widened to 20 feet. At Solon, a by-pass for through traffic is being constructed south of the Erie Railroad. This eliminates two dangerous railroad crossings.

On Broadway, reconstruction and widening is in progress between Tinkers Creek and the Summit County line. Between Harrison Avenue and McCracken Road, the center strip is being paved, making a 48 -foot roadway. Between McCracken Road and the Cleveland City line the construction of a 48 -foot roadway is planned. This section is designed 48 feet in width to conform with the section now under construction. The construction of the White House crossing of Broad-
way over the tracks of the Pennsylvania, Wheeling and Lake Erie railroads and the Northern Ohio Traction line is now in progress.

Northfield Rcad from the junction of Broadway in Bedford to the Summit County line is a 20 -foot pavement in good condition. The section in the village of Bedford from the junction with Broadway south to Forbes Road is planned for widening to 40 feet.

Turney Road forms an important route for local traffic between Garfield Heights and Cleveland, carrying a daily traffic of $\mathbf{4 , 6 0 0}$ vehicles south of its intersection with Garfield Boulevard. This route is satisfactory for-traffic north of Rockside Road, but from Rockside Road to Dunham Road reconstruction and widening to 20 feet is planned.
Durham Road carries a daily traffic of 2,400 vehicles at its intersection with Broadway, 1,100 rehicles south of its junction with Turney Road and $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ vehicles at its junction with Egypt Road. The section of this road from the end of the viaduct over the Pennsylvania Railroad west of Broadway to its intersection with Schreiber Road is planned for reconstruction and widening. The section from Schreiber Road to Canal Road, which is partly in the east-south-central section, is planned for widening to 20 feet during the second five years of the plan period.
Lee Road, one of the important north-south routes, east of Cleveland, is planned for a $40-$ foot surface: This route was discussed in the east-central section which includes the major part of the route

Warrensville Center Road between Miles Avenue and Broadway is at present a 20 to 26 -foot parement in good condition. Traffic ranges from 3.300 vehicles per day at Broadway to 4,100 at Miles Avenue. In view of the new parallel route planned east of this highway, which will serve a considerable part of the present Warrensrille Center Road traffic, the present improvement is considered adequate.

The section of S. O. M. Center Road between South Miles-Aurora Road and the Summit County line, which is at present a 16 -foot roadway in good condition, is planned for widening to 20 feet. Daily traffic on this section is only 1,100 vehicles and its widening can be safely
deferred until the second five years of the plan period.

Solon Road is planned for improvement as a 20 -foot road during the second five years of the plan period, from Bedford to its intersection with South Miles Road in Solon. In addition to these improvements, all of which are planned as major or medium-type construction, a number of minortype improvements are recommended. These include the following routes: Egypt Road, Dunham Road to the Summit County line; Walton Road, Egbert Road to the Summit County line; Troyan Road, Broadway to Laing Road; Bainbridge Road, Aurora Road to the Geauga County line; Pettibone Road, S. O. M. Center Road to Aurora Road; and Liberty Road from the south limits of Chagrin Falls township to the Summit County line.

The most important of the new routes located principally in this section is the new Warrens-ville-Bedford route which provides a direct connection from Northfield Road south of Bedford to Warrensville Center Road and Miles Avenue north of Warrensville. This route forms a direct northerly projection of Northfield Road from the top of the hill south of Bedford involving a new bridge over Tinkers Creek, a minor relocation of the stream, and overpasses of Broadway and Solon Roads and the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad. Access to the new route from Broadway will be provided by the improvement of Union Street between Broadway and Northfield Road. The proposed route continues north on the general location of Broad Road, and then west to an overhead crossing of the Erie Railroad on the approximate location of the present South Miles Road overpass and a connection with Warrensville Center Road at Emery Road. A connection westward along the line of Emery Road extended to Miles Avenue completes the project. By using this route, traffic will avoid two railroad grade crossings, the congestion of Bedford and Warrensville and the curves and grades on Northfield Road at the entrance to Bedford. The route is planned as a 40 -foot roadway.

The southeastern section also includes a part of the proposed east-west belt route which con-
nects Brook Park Road with Lee Road, Warrensville Center Road and other north-south belt routes east of the city. This route from a circular intersection at East 71st Street near its intersection with Independence Road, takes a northeasterly direction to a connection with Garfield Boulevard, approximately midway between Warner Road and Turney Road from which point a new route skirting the northern boundary
a point east of the present overpass over the Lake Erie and Pittsburgh Railroad to a connection with the belt-line route east of the railroad. This section is planned as a 40 -foot roadway, and the same width has been adopted for the section of Warner Road from the point east of the overpass to the city line, but south of the intersection with the belt-line route to Canal Road the plan is for 20 -foot construction. The condi-


The row of crosses, each representing a fatal accident, strongiy suggests the separation of grades at this intersection of S. O. M. Center Road and the Erie Railroad. The separation is recommended in the plan
of Garfield Park and the southern boundary of Calvary Cemetery is projected to a connection with an extension of East Boulevard into the City of Cleveland. From this point the route extends east, crossing Windfall Avenue with a separation of grades, to a connection with Lee Road south of the Erie Railroad, and thence southeast to Warrensville Center Road at McCracken Road. This route is planned as a $40-$ foot roadway west of Lee Road and as a $20-$ foot roadway between Lee Road and Warrensville Center Road. It involves an underpass of the Lake Erie and Pittsburgh Railroad, a bridge over Broadway, the Pennsylvania, and Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroads, and a separation of grades at its intersection with Windfall Arenue.

A relocation of Warner Road is planned from
tion of the present surface on Warner Road is such as to be of little value except possibly as salvable material.

From the terminus of the belt-line route at Warrensville Center Road a relocation of South Miles Road is planned to Laing Road. This route is planned as a 20 -foot roadway and is designed to afford adequate right of way and permit better utilization of property adjacent to the Erie Railroad.
The remaining new route involves the development of a direct connection of Richmond Road over the Erie Railroad and the construction of a grade separation at this point. This connection is planned as a 20 -foot roadway. With the completion of these improvements, traffic within the area will have adequate facilities for free and
direct movement. As in the other sections, however, the most important problem lies in the provision of adequate facilities between this section and the City of Cleveland. The arterial routes of the entire southeastern section converge into Broadway, a short distance within the city limits of Cleveland. Outside the city, Northfield Road and Dunham Road join Broadway and within the city limits, Miles Avenue, Turney Road and Warner Road feed into Broadway. Due to the congested conditions on this route within the city and the condition of Broadway in Garfield Heights, a large volume of traffic enters the city via Lee Road or Warrensville Center Road and the eastern approaches. Windfall Avenue connecting with East 131 st Street provides an alternative route to the southeastern part of the city but provides no additional route to the central sections. The development of the southern beltline route will provide a connection from the southeastern section to the proposed new entrance to the city through the Cuyahoga River valley and will thus relieve Broadway to some extent. Within the city, Harvard Avenue provides an additional connection between Broadway and the new Cuyahoga River valley arterial route. The East Boulevard connection from the Brook Park belt line and the proposed development of East Boulevard in the city will also provide a direct route to the eastern part of the city. An additional connection, which is not included in present planning but which will-provide future relief, involves a new crossing of the Mill Creek valley from the present terminus of Garfield Boulevard at Warner Road to Grant Avenue at East 7ist Street, and the use of Grant Avenue to East 49th Street and the new arterial route to the center of the city.

The plan of improvement for the southeastern section also includes the construction of grade separations on Northfield Road at the Pennsylvania Railroad south of Bedford; on Broadway at the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad in Bedford and on S. O. M. Center Road at the Erie Railroad in Solon.

The summary of improvements planned in the southeastern section of Cuyahoga County is shown in Table 29.

Table 29-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in the southeastern section of Cuyahoga County
A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles.......... | 5.2 | 3.7 | 8.9 |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . . | 2.0 | 4.1 | 6.1 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Reconstruction, miles. |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles. | 2.3 | 10.0 | 12.3 |
| Total, miles. . . . . . . . . | 9.5 | 20.8 | 30.3 |
| New routes serving this section in City or Cleveland, miles. $\qquad$ | 0.5 | $\cdots$ | 0.5 |
| - Grand total, miles. . . . | 10.0 | 20.8 | 30.8 |

B. Stractures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | $\begin{gathered} \text { On } \\ \text { new } \\ \text { routes } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. |  | 1 | 1 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number........ |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  | 2 | 2 |
| Total, number.......... | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Structures serving this section in City of Cleveland. Bridges, new, number. ..... |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number |  |  |  |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total, number. |  |  |  |
| Grand total, number... | 3 | 7 | 10 |

## The East-south-central Section of Cuyahoga County

The east-south-central section of Cuyahoga County comprises the territory located south of the city of Cleveland, extending from the village of Garfield Heights and Bedford township on the east to and including Broadview Road on the west. This section, which includes the Cuyahoga River Valley and the heights on either side of
(U. S. Route 21), which forms one of the important routes between Akron and Cleveland; Broadview Road (State Route 176), which forms an alternative, although longer route between Cleveland and Akron, entering the west side of Cleveland; and Canal Road, a valley route on the east side of the Cuyahoga River. This route, paralleling the canal, also forms a route to Akron but is at present unimproved in Summit County and therefore carries no through traffic.


The sharp, curving descent to the present bridge over the Cuyahoga River on Royalton Road (State Route 82)
the valley, is sparsely populated and, except for the territory immediately adjacent to Cleveland and several small communities, there is a comparatively small suburban development. This lack of development, even in areas relatively close to the center of Cleveland, is due partially to the topography of the area and the industrial character of the development of the valley in the city and partially to the lack of direct highway connections with the city. Highway traffic from this area is forced into the congested Broadway route to the east or into the equally congested West 25th Street route to the west.

There are three important north-south routes through this section. These are Brecksville Road

Right of way on this route should be protected for future improvement.

Brecksville Road carries a daily traffic of 4,500 vehicles at the city line and $\mathrm{I}, 900$ vehicles south of its intersection with Royalton Road. A considerable amount of Cleveland-Akron traffic detoured this route because of its unsatisfactory condition.

Broadview Road carries a daily traffic of 3,800 vehicles at the city line and 1,600 vehicles at Royalton Road. Canal Road carries 3,000 vehicles per day between Brecksville Road and Warner Road, 1,750 vehicles south of Warner Road and 1,100 vehicles north of its junction with Fitzwater Road.

The east-south-central section has very few connected east-west routes due to the difficulty of developing satisfactory crossings of the Cuyahoga River valley. There is no continuous, improved east-west route between Harvard Avenue, which is largely within the city, and Royalton Road, (State Route 82) approximately nine miles further south. The present river crossing at this point involves sharp descents to the floor of the valley over a curved roadway with heavy grades. Schaaf Road, Rockside Road, Pleasant Valley Road and Wallings Road terminate as improved

This route involves the opening of a direct line from Brecksville Road at Rockside Road to East 7 Ist Street near Independence Road where a circular intersection will be established. From this point Independence Road is relocated to improve alignment and grades to East 49th Street, approximately one-half mile north of the canal, thence via East 49th Street, and Washington Boulevard, after reconstruction and widening, to the southern boundary of Forest City Park. From this point a new route is established through the park and thence along the general lo-


View of Cuyahoga River Valley, at Willow, looking north, showing present location of Brecksoille Road and bridge. The relocation of Brecksoille Road will pass through the point from which the picture is taken on a direct line to the foot of East 71st Street (upper left). At this point a circular intersection is to be established, serving Brecksville Road and East 71st Street, Brook Park Road (a new East-West Route morth of the Cuyahoga River) and the relocation of Independence Road
roads at Brecksville Road. The only improved route across the valley between Harvard Avenue and Royalton Road is via Fitzwater Road to Canal Road, thence to Dunham Road and by the latter to the east side of the valley.

The provision of adequate highway facilities for this section requires, in addition to reconditioning of present routes, the opening of new routes into the central section of the city and the development of additional valley crossings. Brecksville Road is now under contract for reconstruction and widening from Rockside Road to Summit County. From Rockside Road to the central section of the city a new route is planned.
cation of Independence Road to Broadway. This route is planned as a 40 -foot roadway. Between Broadway and a location south of Campbell Street, it is designed as an elevated highway built on a trestle, which will leave the ground clear for industrial storage and railroad sidings. The southern approach of this route to the circular intersection at Willow involves a new bridge over the Cuyahoga River, the canal, and the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. The circular intersection is placed on the north bank of the river at a sufficient height to be well above the high-water line. In addition to the new location of Brecksville Road and the new location of Independence


CONTROLLING POINTS ON THE INDEPENDENCE ROAD RELOCATION
A. Site of the circular intersection
B. The junction with Washington Boulevard
C. Site of the south end of the viaduct at Campbell Road
D. Location of the north end of the viaduct-present Independence Road at the left

Road, this circular intersection will also include the new belt route to the east, described in the southeastern section; the western connection of this belt route with Brook Park Road; East 7Ist Street; Canal Road and Granger Road.

The present location of Brecksville Road between Rockside and Canal Roads is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet to serve local traffic.

Broadview Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet between Schaaf Road and Short Road, and for 20 -foot reconstruction and widening between Short Road and Avery Road. Between Avery : Road and the Summit County line the present 18 -foot surface is satisfactory.

To provide direct transportation facilities to the center of the city from Broadview Road, as well as from other routes from the southwest, which join to form West 25th Street, a new diagonal route is planned across the Cuyahoga River Valley from a location south of the junction of Broadview and Pearl Roads to the southern end of the viaduct section of the Independence Road. This route follows a relocation of Valley Road providing an easy grade to the floor of the valley and thence takes substantially a direct line to the proposed Independence Road. It is planned as a lowlevel route with new structures at the crossing of the Cuyahoga River, the canal, the Newburg and South Shore Railroad and the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. On the basis of the origin-destination analysis this route, if completed in 1927, would have carried a minimum daily traffic of 9,000 vehicles. Independence Road between its junction with Valley Road and Willow, would have carried 5,000 vehicles, and the elevated section between Broadway and the junction of the two branches a minimum of 14,000 vehicles. This entire toute is planned as a 40 -foot roadway. It will furnish relief to Broadway on the east and West 25 th Street on the west, as well as provide greatly improved highway facilities between the southern sections of the county and the central part of the city.

Another route, planned primarily to improve highway connections between the city and the Brooklyn Heights section, involves the develop-
ment of Jennings Road between West 14th Street and Bradley Road, and the development and relocation of Bradley Road between Jennings Road and Schaaf Road. Connections developed at the intersection of this route and the western branch of the valley route provide access to both the east and west sides of the valley from either route. This route is planned as a 20 -foot roadway.

The remaining north-south route, in this sec-tion-Canal Road-is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet from the proposed circle at Willow to Fitzwater Road.

The most important east-west route in this area and one of the major developments of the entire region is the southern belt route which provides connections between the eastern terminus of the present Brook Park Road and the north-south belt lines east of the city as well as East Boulevard in the city. The section from the circle at Willow to the eastern belt lines was described in the southeastern section. West from the circle the route crosses the canal, the Cuyahoga River and the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad and proceeds in a westerly direction passing under Schaaf Road near Marengo Drive and joining Brook Park Road in the vicinity of West 18th Street.

This route is planned as a 40 -foot roadway and Brook Park Road between Schaaf and Broadview Roads is planned for widening to 40 feet. This southern belt route across the Cuyahoga Valley would have carried in 1927 a minimum of 6,600 vehicles per day. Approximately one-half of this traffic would have used East Boulevard to the eastern sections of Cleveland and the other half, Lee Road or parallel routes to the sections east of the city.

This route with its western and eastern connections forms a complete belt line around the City of Cleveland, connecting with each imporant arterial route into the city from every direction. It therefore forms an ideal distribution and traffic sorting route as well as a belt line, and although very advantageous for through traffic is designed primarily for, and will be used principally by, local traffic.

Schaaf Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet between Broadview Road and

Table 30-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in the east-south-central section of Cuyahoga County
A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. | 5.9 | 1.0 | 6.9 |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . | 0.4 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. | 3.0 | 11.2 | 14.2 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles.... |  | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Total, miles........... | 9.3 | 16.7 | 26.0 |
| New routes serving this section in City of Cleveland, miles. | 4.4 | 2.3 | 6.7 |
| Grand total, miles. . . . . | 13.7 | 19.0 | 32.7 |

B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number....... |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total number. | 2 | 10 | 12 |
| Structures serving this section in City of Cleveland. |  |  |  |
| Bridges, new, number...... |  | 6 | 6 |
| Railway grade separations, number. |  | 1 | 1 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total, number |  | 7 | 7 |
| Grand total, number... | 2 | 17 | 19 |

the proposed Brook Park belt route. Between the Brook Park route and the present Brecksville Road, which will serve only local traffic after completion of the belt route, reconstruction and widening to 20 feet is planned.

Pleasant Valley Road now has a 16 -foot surface in good condition and a daily traffic of 500 vehicles. Widening of this route to 20 feet is deferred to the second five years of the plan period. The widening of the part of Dunham Road located in this section is also deferred to the second five years; its daily traffic is between 700 and 900 vehicles. Station Road (State Route 82) between Brecksville Road and the Cuyahoga River, now a 14 -foot pavement, is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet. A high-level bridge over the Cuyahoga River and the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad to eliminate the necessity of descending to the floor of the valley is also planned on this route which forms the outer suburban belt line. This new bridge is located partially in Cuyahoga and partially in Summit County.

The above projects are all planned as major or medium-type improvements. In addition, minortype improvements are planned for Snowville Road, between Brecksville Road and Riverview Road; for Avery Road between Broadview Road and Oakes Road; and for Oakes Road between Richfield Road and Brecksville Road.

In addition to the roadway improvements planned in this section a railroad grade separation is proposed at the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad crossing of Fitzwater Road.

The summary of improvements planned in the east-south-central section of Cuyahoga County is shown in Table 30.

## The West-south-central Section of Cuyahoga County

The west-south-central section of Cuyahoga County includes the area located south of Cleveland between Broadview Road on the east and the eastern limits of the villages of Brook Park, Middleburg Heights, and Strongsville on the west. Immediately' adjacent to the City of Cleveland are located the rapidly growing villages of Parma and Parma Heights, but except for these two villages the area has very little suburban develop-
ment. The section is well served with highways, although some reconditioning is necessary. Large volumes of traffic are found on the routes north of the line of Pleasant Valley Road.

State Road (State Route 94) carried a daily traffic of 4,000 vehicles at the city line, 1,200 at Pleasant Valley Road and 600 at the Medina County line. Ridge Road and West 73rd Street (State Route 3) carried 5,600 vehicles south of the junction with Denison Avenue, 2,900 south of Wooster Pike and 500 at Pleasant Valley Road. Construction detours on this route north of Wooster Pike and south of Royalton Road influenced the volume of traffic on this route during the traffic survey. York Road carried a daily traffic of 1,200 at Wooster Pike and 300 at Royalton Road. Settlement Road, which forms the western boundary of this section, carried 1,400 vehicles at the city line and 1,000 vehicles north of its intersection with Wooster Pike. This route was used as a detour for U. S. Route 42, which was under construction in the city during the survey, and the observed traffic is therefore above normal.

Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42), which forms the principal traffic artery between Cleveland, Medina and the southwest, carried 9,500 , vehicles. at the city line, 4,500 vehicles north of York Road and 3,700 vehicles east of Settlement Road.

State Road is being improved with an adequate surface to the Medina County line. Ridge Road is under construction as a 40 -foot roadway between Brook Park Rọad and Ridgewood Drive, and the section between Ridgewood Drive and Pleasant Valley Road, at present a 14 -foot surface, is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 -feet. On the section between Pleasant Valley Road and Royalton Road, at present a 16 foot surface, reconstruction and widening is deferred until the second five years of the plan period: The section from Royalton Road to the Medina County line is new pavement. West 73rd Street, between Brook Park Road and the city line, is now under construction as a 24 -foot roadway and is planned for widening to 40 feet.

York Road, at present a 14 -foot surface, is under contract for widening between Wooster Pike and Ridgewood Drive, and is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet between

Ridgewood Drive and Royalton Road. The section between Pleasant Valley Road and Royalton Road is deferred to the second five years of the plan period.

Settlement Road, between the city line and - Wooster Pike, at present a 14 -foot surface, is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet. South of Wooster Pike, Settlement Road is at present unimproved, the section between Wooster Pike and Pleasant Valley Road is planned for construction in the first five years of the plan period and the section from Pleasant Valley Road to the Medina County line during the second five years.

Wooster Pike (U. S. Route 42) is planned for widening to 40 feet between Ridge Road and York Road. The section between the city line and Ridge Road is at present a 56 -foot roadway with two 18 -foot pavements and a slag center strip. The section from York Road to the Medina County line is being rebuilt with 40 and $30-$ foot pavements.

Teideman Road between Brook Park and Linndale Roads is planned for 20 -foot construction during the second five-year period.
The most important east-west road in this section. is Brook Park Road which becomes a part of the southern belt route described in the previous sections. This route from Broadview Road to Settlement Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet. Present traffic ranges from 1,800 vehicles per day at Settlement Road to 4,400 vehicles at Wooster Pike. The traffic on the entire route will be greatly increased by the filling of gaps in the route. Linndale and Park Streets from the city line to West 73rd Street are planned for widening to 20 feet.
Pleasant Valley, a 16 -foot pavement carrying between 400 and 600 vehicles per day, is planned for widening to 20 feet during the second five years. The remaining roadways in this area are in satisfactory condition for the traffic which they will carry during the Io-year period.

All projects listed above are planned for major or medium-type improvement except Settlement Road between Royalton Road and the Medina County line, which is planned for minortype construction.

## - Table 31-Summary of ${ }^{\text {1 }} 10$-year plan of improvement in the west-south-central section of Cuyahoga County

| A. Roadways |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. |  |  |  |
| Widening, miles. | 4.5 | 6.9 | 11.4 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. | 7.3 | 15.8 | 23.1 |
| Reconstruction, miles. |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles. | - | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| Total, miles. | 11.8 | 31.6 | 43.4 |
| New routes serving this section in the City of Cleveland, miles. | 2.4 |  | 2.4 |
| Grand total, miles. . . . | 14.2 | 31.6 | 45.8 |

## B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, |  |  |  |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number. |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. $\qquad$ <br> Highway grade separations, number $\qquad$ | 2 |  | 2 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ |
| Total, number. | 2 |  | 2 |
| Structures serving this section in the City of Cleveland |  | - |  |
| Bridges, new, number. . . . . |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  | - |
| Total, number......... |  |  |  |
| Grand total, number... | 2 |  | 2 |

The improvement plan for this section also includes the construction of grade crossing separations at the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad on Brook Park and Settlement Roads.
As in other sections of the county, the principal traffic problem lies in the provision of direct and rapid service facilities between this section and the central part of the City of Cleveland. The traffic of the entire section, together with that of a part of the east-south-central section, and a part of the southwestern section, converges into one route-West 25th Street-on entering the city, producing a daily traffic of 25,000 vehicles. Additional entrances to the city are provided by West 73rd Street and Settlement Road -West I 30th Street. These routes, however, feed into equally congested routes within the city, are less direct, and are not satisfactory for the relief of the West 25th Street congestion.
Relief for this area is planned principally through the proposed Valley-Independence route described in the previous section. This route taps Wooster Pike, State Road and Broadview Road south of the convergence of these routes and forms a fast traffic artery to the center of the city with very few intersections. A second relief route for traffic destined to points west of West 25th Street will be provided by the City of Cleveland by the development of a crossing of the Big Creek Valley through Brookside Park and a connection with West 44th or 45th Street, to form a direct route from Wooster Pike to Bulkley Boulevard west of the most seriously congested area.
Another new route, located wholly within the city and designed principaily for traffic originating within the city, is the development of a depressed 40-foot highway without cross-street connections in the Walworth Run. Valley from Scranton Road to a connection with Clark Avenue. This route will relieve West 25 th Street of a considerable volume of local traffic and there-- fore increase its capacity for traffic from outside the city. It will also provide a direct, fast route for West 73rd Street traffic to the center of the city. When required, this route can be extended via West 63 rd or 65 th Street and projected to a junction with West 73rd Street at Clinton Road
to avoid the congested conditions at the West 73 rd Street and Denison Avenue intersection. It can be further extended via Clinton Road, Jasper Arenue and Bellaire Road to West i3oth Street, and provide a direct connection from Settlement Road to the business section of the city. The summary of improvements planned for the west-south-central section of Cuyahoga County is shown in Table 3I.

The most important highway in the area is Wooster Pike (U. S. Route $4^{2}$ ), which iorms the arterial route to Medina and the southwest and carries a daily traffic of 4.400 vehicles at Settlement Road and 3,100 vehicles south of Royalton Road. This route is now being rebuilt with pavements of 40 and 30 -foot width, which will adequately serve the traffic it will be required to carry.


THE GRADÈ CROSSINGS ON FRONT STREET IN BEREA
In the foregroned is the Big Foer crossing; in the millle distance the crossing of the New York Central Rail. road. Both of rhese grade crossings aomld be elimiaeled by a structure ahich it is proposed to bmild a short distame arest of the present crossings

## The Southwestern Section of Cuyahoga County

The southwestern section of Cuyahoga County includes the area located southwest of the City of Cleveland west of Settlement Road and south of the south limits of the villages of Parkview and ${ }^{-}$ North Olmsted. With the exception of Berea and Olmsted Falls this section has at present only a small amount of suburban development. It is also adjacent to the least densely populated section of Cleveland.

Other important north-south routes are Berea Road or Riverside Drive carrying'a daily trafic of 4,100 vehicles at the Cleveland city line and 3,400 vehicles at Sheldon Road. Front Street. which is a continuation of the route, carries +800 vehicles per day at its intersection with Bagley Road in Berea. This route consists of 24 and 36 -foot pavements except for one short section I8 feet in widih, all in good condition and adequate for the volume of traffic to be carried. In the village of Berea a grade separation of the

New York Central and the Big 4 Railroads is planned a short distance west of the present grade crossings. This grade separation with the proper connections will materially reduce the traffic over the present grade crossings on Front Street. The continuation of this route southward to the Medina County line via Prospect Road is of less traffic significance. The average daily
reconstruction and widening to 20 feet, but the reconditioning of the section south of Irish Road is deferred to the second five years of the plan period. Plans for this route also include the construction of grade crossing separations at the New York Central and the Big 4 Railroads.

The most important east-west route in this section will be Brook Park Road which forms a part


Extensive repairs on Brook Park Road which is planned for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet
traffic on Prospect Road at Royalton Road is 400 vehicles. The section between Fair Road and Depot Road consists of a 14 -foot brick pavement, which is planned for widening to 20 feet in the second five years of the plan period.

The remaining section consisting of a 16 -foot surface is adequate for present and expected traffic. East Road from Riverside Drive to Fowles Road is planned for 20 -foot construction. Separation of the New York Central Railroad grade crossing on East Road is also planned.
Columbia Road (State Route 252) through this section consists of a 14 -foot pavement. It carries a daily traffic of 900 vehicles north of Cook Road, 1,600 through Olmsted Falls, and 700 at the Medina County line. It is planned for
of the southern belt route discussed in the previous sections. The route between Riverside Drive and Settlement Road is now a 14 -foot pavement. This section is planned for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet. The route is also projected westward to a crossing of the Rocky River and a connection with Mastic Road near Spencer Road. This new section and the proposed bridge are planned for 40 -foot roadways. The plans for Brook Park Road also include the elimination, by separation, of the grade crossings at the Big 4 and Cleveland Short Line Railroads. The most heavily traveled, east-west route in the section at present is composed of Irish Road and Bagley Road, which form a direct connection from the Lorain County line to Woos-
ter Pike, passing through Olmsted Falls and ${ }^{-}$ Berea.

This route consists of 14 and 16 -foot pavements except for a short section 24 feet in width in Berea. Daily traffic is 700 vehicles at Stearns Road, 2,200 through Olmsted Falls, 2,800 through Berea and 1,600 at Engle Road. This
from Bagley Road, a short distance west of Wooster Pike, to Pleasant Valley Road, a short distance east of Wooster Pike. This connection is planned as a 20 -foot roadway. As described in the previous section, Pleasant Valley Road is planned for widening to 20 feet in the second five years of the plan period.


Aerial photograph showing the Rocky River Valley and the proposed location of the Brook Park Road crossing from Brook Park Road at Grayton Road to Spencer Road and Mastic Road (see Appendix, Figere 6)
route is planned for widening to 20 feet except through the villages of Berea and Olmsted Falls where widening to 40 feet is suggested. The proper development of this route requires the elimination of three grade crossings, the Big 4 Railroad west of Berea, and the Cleveland Southwestern Electric Railway and the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad between Berea and Wooster Pike.

Except for an offset at Wooster Pike this same route continues eastward via Pleasant Valley Road to Brecksville Road forming a well located intermediate belt route in the western half of the county. The lack of a direct connection at Wooster Pike produces congestion on the latter route between its junctions with Bagley Road and Pleasant Valley Road. To eliminate this congestion and to provide a direct connection between the two roads a new connection is planned

Other improvements planned in this section include the widening of Depot Road between Wooster Pike and Prospect Road to 20 feet during the second five years of the plan period; the construction of medium-fype 20 -foot surfaces on West Road between Prospect Road and Fair Road and on Fair Road between West Road and Sprague Road; the improvement with minor-type surfaces of John Road between Columbia Road and Fitch Road, of Albion Road between Wooster Pike and East Road, and of Jacque Road between Albion Road and Settlement Road; and the elimination of the grade crossing on Royalton Road at the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad.

The summary of improvements planned in the southwestern section of Cuyahoga County is shown in Table 32.

Table 32-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in the southwestern section of Cuyahoga County

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . | 1.2 | 10.6 | 11.8 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. | 2.5 | 3.6 | 6.1 |
| Reconstruction, miles. ..... |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles.... |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Total, miles........... | 5.0 | 19.5 | $\therefore 24.5$ |
| New routes serving this section in City of Clveland, miles. |  |  |  |
| Grand total, miles. . . . | 5.0 | 19.5 | 24.5 |

## B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. . . . . |  |  |  |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number........ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total, number......... | 10 | ........ | 10 |
| Structures serving this section in City of Cleveland Bridges, new, number. .... |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total, number......... |  |  |  |
| Grand total, number... | 10 |  | 10 |

The Western Section of Cuyahoga County
The western section of Cuyahoga County includes the area located west of Cleveland and Lakewood, comprising the villages of Bay, Dover, Fairview, North Olmsted, Parkview and Rocky River.
This section, particularly adjacent to the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood and in the northern part near the lake shore, is a rapidly growing suburban area producing a considerable daily traffic between this section and the cities.

All of the important arterial routes between Cleveland and the west pass through this section and its highways must therefore accommodate in addition to local traffic the traffic between Cleveland and Lorain, Elyria and other population centers to the west. Traffic movement. is predominantly in the east-west direction. North-south movement is limited to local traffic within the section and to the interchange of traffic between the east-west routes. The most important routes are Center Ridge Road (U. S. Route 20) and West Lake Road (State Route 2). Normal utilization of Center Ridge Road during the period of the survey was influenced by the fact that the route was closed for construction east of Clague Road. The recorded daily traffic west of Clague Road was 3,600 vehicles; at Dover Center Road it was 4,300 vehicles; and at the Lorain County line 3,800 vehicles. This highway forms the direct route to Elyria and the west and, with the exception of U. S. Route 20 east of Cleveland, carries a larger volume of long-distance traffic than any other route in the area. The connection of this route to the Rocky River bridge and to Hilliard bridge via Wooster Road has recently been improved with a 40 -foot roadway. Between Wooster Road and the east limits of the village of Dover, construction of a 40 -foot roadway is in progress. The section from the east limits of Dover to the Lorain County line is planned for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet.

West Lake Road (State Route 2) forms the principal route between Cleveland and Lorain and other cities on the lake shore, and also serves the suburban developments along the lake. It carries a daily traffic of 9,300 vehicles at its junction with

Detroit Road west of the Rocky River bridge, 5,400 vehicles at Clague Road, and 3,300 vehicles at the Lorain County line. From Blount Street to the east limits of the village of Bay the present improvement is 20 feet in width and is planned for widening to 40 feet. From the east limits of Bay to the Lorain County line the present improvement consists of a good pavement, 24 feet in width.
The section between the east limits of Bay Village and Dover Center Road is planned for widening to 40 feet in the second five years of the plan period. The 24-foot surface between Dover Center Road and the Lorain County line is adequate for traffic during the next ten years.

Detroit Road (State Route 254), which is located between West Lake Road and Center Ridge Road forms a direct route from Cleveland to the southern part of the City of Lorain. This route served as the detour for U. S. Route 20 during the survey and carried 10,600 vehicles at its junction with State Route 2 west of Rocky River bridge. West of Dover Center Road, where it was free of detour traffic, its average daily traffic density was 2,800 vehicles; and at the Lorain County line it carried 1,600 vehicles. East of Clague Road the present improvement consists of a 3i-foot pavement which is adequate for normal traffic on this route. Between Clague Road and the Lorain County line the present improvement consists of a zo-foot surface which will not provide adequate service for ten years and is therefore planned for reconstruction to $\mathbf{2 0}$-foot width during the second five years of the plan period.

The fourth principal east-west route is Lorain Road located south of Center Ridge Road. This route is under construction as a four-lane pavement with car tracks in the center from Rocky River to the Lorain County line.

Part of an additional east-west route is now under construction as a 20 -foot roadway between Canterbury Road and the Lorain County line. To complete, this route, Hilliard Boulevard, requires the establishment of a new route from Canterbury Road to the present pavement west of Hilliard bridge. When completed in Cuyahoga County and extended to Elyria in Lorain County this route will form an excellent relief route for U. S. Route 20. This route is planned as a 20 -foot roadway
with adequate right of way to permit widening to 40 feet if required.

The most important new east-west route in this section is designed to relieve congestion on Rocky River bridge by the development of a new crossing of the river at the lake front. This route will form a connection from Lake Avenue, near Webb Road in Lakewood, to Avalon Drive, near Wagar road in Rocky River village. Avalon Drive forms a direct connection to West Lake Road and to the proposed Spencer Road western belt route which connects with each of the important east-west arterial routes. This proposed crossing of Rocky River is planned as a 40 -foot roadway. The connection, if it had been completed in 1927, would have carried a minimum of 5,000 vehicles daily.

An improvement in the City of Cleveland which will facilitate traffic movement between the western section of the county and the central business section of Cleveland is the proposed new highlevel crossing of the Cuyahoga River in the vicinity of the present Main Street bridge. Traffic between the central business section of Cleveland and the western centers of traffic crosses either the present Superior high-level bridge, which carries 56,000 rehicles per day, or the present Main Street lowlevel bridge, which carries a daily traffic of 7,000 vehicles. The proposed bridge, if it had been built in 1927, would have carried a minimum of 18,000 vehicles per day. Connections of this bridge with the proposed lake front development east of the Cuyahoga River will also permit traffic destined to points east of the Public Square to by-pass this congestion center. Between the eastern terminus of the proposed Rocky River crossing and the western approach to the proposed Cuyahoga River crossing, present traffic is well served by Lake Avenue, Clifton Boulevard, Edgewater Drive and Bulkley Boulevard. When these arteries approach their capacity, relief can be provided by the development of a lake-front roadway between these points.

Further relief for the present Rocky River bridge is provided by a connection, 40 feet in width, from Detroit Road near Wagar Road to Hilliard Boulevard west of the Hillard bridge. This connection, together with the proposed improvements by the cities of Cleveland and Lake-


CENTER RIDGE ROAD (U. S. ROUTE 20) AT DOVER
This highway is the direct route to Elyria and the west and carries more long-distance traffic than any other hightay in the area with the exception of the U.S. Route 20, east of Cleveland


West lake road, the principal route from cleveland to lorain
The 24 -foos surface is in good condition and will be adequate for 10 years
wood of direct routes irom Hilliard bridge to the central section of the city, will provide a direct route, particularly for commercial traffic and other traffic destimed to points south'of the Public Square section, from Detroit Road to the point of destination.
A further improvement, designed to relieve congestion at the intersection of Blount Street and Detroit Road, involves the development of a short
tion to Spencer Road, which is designed to iorm an inner, north-south belt route is also made. Ramps at both ends of the bridge over Rociny River will provide connections to the proposed Rocky River parkway drives. East of Mastic Road this route is planned as a fo-foot roadway. Westward Mastic Road is utilized to a point near the terminus of Clague Road. This section of Mastic Road is planned for widening to to feet


SITE OF THE LAEE-FRONT CROSSING OF ROCEY RIVER
section of 40 -foot roadway from the west end of Rochy River bridge westward, following the south side of the Lake Shore Electric tracks to Detroit Road west of the present crossing.

Another important new east-west route planned for this section is the extension westward of the Brook Park belt route. From the present terminus of Brook Park Road in the southwestern section, a new route and bridge is planned over Rocky River to a connection with Mastic Road a short distance west of Spencer Road. A connec-
to accommodate the combined trafic of Mastic Road and the new route. From the above-mentioned point near the junction of Mastic and Clague Roads, a new route 20 feet in width is projected directly westward to the Lorain County line, intersecting U.S. Route 20 a short distance east of the county line and forming a direct connection from U. S. Route 20 to the Brook Park belt route for traffic desiring to enter the City of Cleveland from the south or desiring to by-pass the city.


THE PRESENT MAIN STREET LOW-LEVEL BRIDGE AND ITS APPROACHES
Steep grades and bad aligmment of the approaches cause serious congestion with a daily traffic of 7,000 vehicles

Other new east-west routes in the section are Wolf Boulevard from the proposed Spencer Road extension immediately north of the Nickel Plate Railroad to the Lorain County line, which is planned as a 20 -foot roadway; and a short section extending Avon Road from Bradley Road to Detroit Road. This section, also planned as a 20-foot roadway, forms the eastern connection of the proposed. Powdermaker Road development in Lorain County. The plan also provides for the improvement of Avon Road from Bradley Road to the Lorain County line.

The most important north-south route in this section will be the Spencer Road belt route which 'will tap all the important east-west routes and connect with the Brook Park belt route. It will provide facilities for the easy interchange of traffic between routes, for the sorting of traffic between the city entrances, and for the by-passing of traffic around the city. The development of this route, which is planned as 40 -foot roadway, requires the opening of a new connection from the present terminus of Spencer Road at Mastic Road to the proposed Brook Park extension; the widening of Spencer Road between Mastic Road and Lorain Road to 40 feet; and the projection of a new route northward to West Lake Road near the junction of Avalon Drive. The proposed new route underpasses Center Ridge Road with ramp connections. Grade separations are planned af the crossings of the Nickel Plate Railroad and the Lake Shore Electric Railroad. Clague Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet between West Lake Road and Lorain Road.

On Hall Road between Detroit Road and Lorain Road, and on Dover Center Road between West Lake Road and Butternut Ridge Road, widening of the present surface to 20 feet is planned in the second five years of the plan period.

Columbia Road from Lorain Road to the south limits of the section is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet during the first five years.

Bradley Road from West Lake Road to Center Ridge Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet during the second five years of the plan period.

Table 33-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in the western section of Cuyahoga County
A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. | 7.4 | 14.9 | 22.3 |
| Widening. miles. | 6.3 | 11.3 | 17.6 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. | 6.1 | 10.7 | 16.8 |
| Reconstruction, miles. |  | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| New construction, miles. |  |  |  |
| Total, miles | 19.8 | 41.6 | 61.4 |
| New routes serving this section in City of Cleveland, miles $\qquad$ | 1.4 |  | 1.4 |
| Grand total, miles. ..... | 21.2 | 41.6 | 62.8 |

B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | $\begin{gathered} \text { On } \\ \text { new } \\ \text { routes } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. |  | 3 | 3 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number. |  | - |  |
| Railway grade separations, number | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| Highway grade separations, number |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total, number | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Structures serving this section in City of Cleveland, number $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| Bridges, new, number |  | 1 | 1 |
| Railway grade separations, number |  |  |  |
| Highway grade separations, number |  |  |  |
| Total, number |  | 1 | 1 |
| Grand total, number... | 7 | 7 | 14 |

The proper development of these north-south roads also involves the separation of grades at the crossings of these roads with the Nickel Plate and the Lake Shore Electric Railroad. Of these grade crossing. eliminations, the crossings on Dover Center Road, Hall Road, Clague Road and Bradley Road at the Nickel Plate Railroad are planned for the first five years, and the crossings on Hall Road, Dover Center Road and Clague Road at the Lake Shore Electric Railroad for the second five years of the plan period.

Other roadway improvements in this section include the widening to 20 feet in the second five years of the plan period of those sections of Mastic Road between Spencer Road and Columbia Road not made a part of the Brook Park belt route, and of Butternut Ridge Road between Lorain Road and Columbia Road. All of the above improvements are planned for major or medium type construction. The plan also provides for the improvement with minor-type construction of Kennedy Ridge Road between Butternut Ridge Road and Columbia Road.

The summary of improvements planned in the western section of Cuyahoga County is shown in Table 33.

## The City of Cleveland

Improvements planned within the City of Cleveland are principally relief routes for the main arterial routes which provide facilities for the movement of traffic between the central section of the city and the suburban areas. These routes will also serve large volumes of traffic moving between points within the city but the present study does not include plans for improvements which are not of general importance to the regional area.

The principal improvements planned within the city have been discussed in the previous sections in their relation to the various sections of the county. These improvements are:
I. The lake-front development forming a new arterial route from the west limits of the village of Bratenahl to the down-town section, connecting with a new route extending to Painesville. In the city this route follows the north side of the New York Central Railroad to East 72nd Street
and from this point to East 9th Street it is to be constructed in the lake front. From East 9th Street, which forms the principal entrance to the business section, the route is projected westward over the Pennsylvania Railroad and along the approximate line of Summit Avenue to a new high-level crossing of the Cuyahoga River near the location of the present low-level Main Street bridge and to a connection with Bulkley Boulevard west of West 25th Street. In addition to facilitating the movement to the business section both from the east and from the west, this development also provides a by-pass route of the business section of the city.
2. The Cuyahoga Valley development forms, with its two branches, new arterial routes through the valley for the relief of Broadway at West 25th Street. This development includes an elevated 40 -foot roadway through the industrial section of the valley from Broadway at Jefferson Avenue to a point south of Campbell Road and a relocation of Independence Road from this point to a connection with Washington Boulevard north of Harvard Street. The western branch crosses the Cuyahoga River valley from the south end of the viaduct section to connections with Broadview, State, and Pearl Roads south of their convergence. This development will provide relief for the badly congested routes on both sides of the valley and will also furnish greatly improved highway facilities for the upper Cuyahoga Valley both in the city and in the section immediately south of the city.
3. The Shaker Boulevard extension forms a depressed highway without intersections from the present terminus of Shaker Boulevard at Woodhill Road to Broadway at approximately Pittsburgh Avenue at East 34th Street. This route is designed primarily to provide adequate highway facilities between the business section of Cleveland and the east-central suburban section and the transfer of this traffic to the new route will permit the greater utilization of existing routes in this section of the city by local traffic.
4. The Walworth Run development forms a depressed highway without intersections from Scranton Road to Clark Avenue near West 6rst Street. This route is designed to provide relief

for Lorain Avenue and to furnish increased highway facilities for the section of the city south of Lorain Avenue and west of Fulton Avenue.
5. The Jennings Road development provides an improved route from West 14th Street on the west side of the Cuyahoga Valley to the city limits. This route is designed to provide improved facilities for traffic originating in the territory adjacent to the route in the city and in the section immediately south of the city. These routes are included in the plan of improvement and in the cost estimate.

The traffic and planning studies of the area also indicated the demand for and the practicability of developing a number of additional projects which are of primary importance to the city rather than to the regional area, and which are therefore not included as a part of the improvement plan, but which would greatly improve traffic conditions on the city streets. These improvements are:
r. The development of a parallel relief route north of Euclid Avenue. The most satisfactory location of this route is Chester Avenue extended from East 55th Street to 82nd Street, and thence via Crawford Road to Hough Avenue, and via Hough Avenue to Wade Park, overpassing East 105th Street, and a crossing of Wade Park, to Ford Road, and an improved connection with Mayfield Road at Euclid Avenue.
2. The development of a north-south route west of West 25th Street from Pearl Road to Bulkley Boulevard to serve as a relief route for West 25th Street. The development of this route involves a crossing of Big Creek on the west side of Brookside Park, an adequate connection from this crossing to Pearl Road, and the further improvement of West 44th or 45th Street from Denison Avenue to Bulkley Boulevard. This route will provide direct connection to all important east-west routes on the west side of the city and will permit traffic to select the most direct route to its destination.
3. The elimination of grade crossings of the New York Central Railroad at Lorain Avenue and East 72 nd Street. There are a number of other grade crossings also requiring elimination
but the two listed are on important highways and should be given immediate attention.
4. Lower Cuyahoga Valley highway improvements.
(a) The low-level Main Street bridge crossing, connecting Bulkley Boulevard and West 25th Street with West 9th Street. The development of the proposed high-lèvel crossing near this point will relieve the low-level route of traffic moving between the east and west sides of the city, but the present bridge and its approaches from West 9th Street to a point some distance west of the present bridge are inadequate for theuse of local valley traffic and require reconstruction.
(b) Columbus Road improvement. The development of Columbus Road from its connections with West 25th Street and Lorain Avenue to connections with Superior Avenue and to St. Clair Avenue at West 9th Street will provide an additional low-level Cuyahoga Valley crossing connecting the principal-trucking routes from the southwest-West 25th Street and Lorain Ave-nue-with St. Clair Avenue, the principal trucking route to the northeast. It will also provide greatly improved highway distribution facilities for the lower valley. This route will pass under the eastern arch of the Superior high-level bridge, approximately through the present Erie Railroad passenger station, and will connect with the eastbound ramp to Superior Avenue and a proposed Superior Avenue west-bound ramp via West ioth Street to Columbus Road, and to. St. Clair Avenue at West gth Street. The general location of this route is shown in Appendix Figure 8.
,The completion of these projects will provide for the lower valley three high-level crossings; viz., the Superior high-level bridge, the proposed Main Street-Summit Avenue high-level bridge connecting the lake front boulevard routes, and the Central Viaduct bridge, which should be reconstructed. They will also provide three important low-level crossings, viz., Scranton Road and Walworth Avenue via the Eagle Ramp to Ontario Street; Columbus Road with a new bridge connecting Lorain Avenue, West 25th Street and their tributary streets with Superior Avenue and St. Clair Avenue; and the Main

Street route connecting Bulkley Boulevard and West 9 th Street. These crossings and the roadway changes in progress or planned for improvement are expected to meet satisfactorily the immediate requirements of traffic crossing the lower Cuyahoga River Valley.

The location of any additional crossings of the Cuyahoga Valley between the Clark Avenue crossing and Lake Erie should be planned in accordance with routes now constructed, the new high
and low-level crossings planned for improvement, the effect of additional crossings on the traffic usefulness of present and new routes, and traffic distribution requirements. The construction of a bridge on the approximate site of the proposed Lorain-Central bridge, according to present plans, will result in the creation of a traffic congestion area at the eastern approaches to such a bridge probably more serious than any existing congestion in the Cleveland regional area.

## THE PLAN OF HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT IN SURROUNDİNG COUNTIES

TO provide a complete highway traffic distribution system for the Cleveland regional area certain improvements are also required outside of Cuyahoga County in parts of the six surrounding counties of Lake, Lorain, Summit, Portage, Medina, and Geauga. In the parts of these six counties located within approximately thirty miles of the center of Cleveland the traffic needs with reference to the regional area were analyzed and a plan of improvement established on the same basis as the plan for Cuyahoga County. As distance from the center of population of the regional area increases the volume of traffic decreases and the number of routes of regional importance also decreases. Within these six counties no attempt has been made to establish a plan for roads of purely local or county importance; only those roads which form a part of the regional distribution system have been analyzed. These routes group themselves into two classesfirst, and most important, the series of radial routes extending from the center of population of the area, and second the routes which connect the secondary centers of population. These routes generally include the State highways and the more important county highways.

## The Lake County Plan

Lake County, which is located northeast of Cuyahoga County, includes the rapidly developing suburban territory adjacent to Lake Erie between Painesville and Cuyahoga County. This
section produces a large volume of traffic moving daily between it and the City of Cleveland. In addition, the principal through routes to the northeast pass through this area, and on these roads the heavy local traffic is augmented by a considerable volume of through traffic.
The most important highway in this section is U. S. Route 20 which carries a daily traffic of 8,600 vehicles at the Cuyahoga County line, 7,900 east of its junction with State Route 91 and 9,200 east of Center Street in the village of Menfor. This route is planned as a 40 -foot roadway between the Cuyahoga County line and Painesville. A short section directly east of the Cuyahoga County line is at present a 36 to 40 -foot pavement which will not provide adequate highway service for a ro-year period. This section is planned for reconstruction during the second five years of the plan period. Between this section and the west limits of Willoughby, widening to a 36 to 40 -foot surface has recently been completed. From the west limits of Willoughby to the Chagrin River bridge in the village the present surface is 40 feet in width but requires reconstruction. The section between the Chagrin River bridge in Willoughby and Center Street in Mentor is being widened to 40 feet. Between Mentor and the Painesville city line widening to 40 feet is planned. The plan also includes the elimination of the grade crossing at the Nickel Plate Railroad near the west limits of Painesville.

This route passes through the business sections of Wickliffe, Willoughby and Mentor and its
traffic capacity is decreased by parking and local traffic in these villages. To provide a relief route for U. S. Route 20 and to provide- improved highway facilities for the area lying north of the New York Central Railroad a new route is planned as an extension of St. Clair Avenue from its present terminus at Lloyd Road to a junction with U. S. Route 20 immediately east of Painesville. This new route is planned as a 40 -foot roadway and the short section from the Cuyahoga County line to Lloyd Road is planned for widening to 40 feet. Its development involves the construction of bridges over the Chagrin River at Willoughby and over the Grand River at Painesville. This route connects with the proposed St. Clair-Lake Front route in Cuyahoga County and provides a direct route without serious traffic interruptions from Painesville and points east of the center of Cleveland. Adequate connections between this route and U. S. Route 20 are provided by Lloyd Road, Vine Street, and Center Street.

Lake Shore Boulevard, (State Route 175) forms another important route through the western half of Lake County. This highway is the direct route between Cleveland and the villages of Willowick, Mentor-on-the-Lake, and Richmond, and other developments along the lake, as well as a lake-front route from Cleveland to Painesville. It carries a daily traffic of 5,100 vehicles west of its junction with Vine Street, 3,000 vehicles east of this junction, $\mathrm{r}, 900$ vehicles west of its junction with Lost Nation Road, and 2,500 east of this junction. The section from the Cuyahoga County line to Vine Street is planned as a 40 -foot roadway, involving widening of the western half of this section and reconstruction and widening of the eastern half. Between Vine Street and Lost Nation Road the present 18 -foot surface is satisfactory but the bridge over the Chagrin River and a smaller bridge a short distance west are planned for replacement. The replacement of the Chagrin River bridge also involves an improvement of the alignment between the bridge and roadway. The 16 -foot surface between Lost Nation Road and Munson Road is planned for widening to 20 feet as is also the 16-
foot section between the south limits of Richmond and the west limits of Painesville. The proper development of the approach to Painesville on this route necessitates the elimination of the grade crossings of the Baltimore and Ohio and New York Central Railroads.

Vine Street forms an important connection between U. S. Route 20 and State Route 175 carrying a daily traffic of 2,400 vehicles. Following the development of the new St. Clair extension the east end of Vine Street will form an even more important connection between U. S. Route 20 and this new route.

The present 14 -foot surface is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet between Lake Shore Boulevard and the St. Clair extension, and for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet between' U . S. Route 20 and the St. Clair extension. The plan also provides for the elimination of the grade crossings of the New York Central and the Nickel Plate Railroads on this latter section.
The development of an additional route south of U. S. Route 20 has been in progress. This route-State Route 84-extends from State Route 85 near the Cuyahoga County line via French Road, Willoughby Ridge Road, Warren Road, Baxter Road, Johnny Cake Ridge Road and a partial new right of way to a junction with South Ridge Road southeast of Painesville. The section from the Willoughby-Kirtland Road to State Route 44 south of Painesville is in process of improvement, and French Road is improved with a 20 -foot surface. The section between French Road and the Willoughby-Kirtland Road is planned for 20 -foot construction. The improvement of this section involves the construction of a bridge over the Chagrin River near the southern limits of Willoughby.

The proper development of this route also requires the opening of a by-pass route south of Painesville, as a part of which it will be necessary to construct a bridge over the Grand River. The by-pass branches from State Route 84 at its junction with State Route 44 and returns again to Route 84 at the point where the present route turns north to enter Painesville. In order to per-
mit traffic on the eastern part of this route to flow into U. S. Route 20 and thence to the St. Clair extension the construction of a 20 -foot surface on Johnny Cake Ridge Road between State Route 84 and U. S. Route 20 is planned.

The planned improvements on north-south routes in Lake County include the reconstruction and widening to 20 feet of Lost Nation Road between U. S. Route 20 and State Route 175; of the Willoughby-Kirtland Road between U. S. Route 20 and Kirtland Flats; and of Chillicothe Road from Kirtland Flats to the Geauga County line; also the widening to 20 feet of Center Street (Mentor) from Walnut Street to Chillicothe Road and of Chillicothe Road from Center Street

## Table 34-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in Lake County

A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| New routes, miles.......... | 15.8 | 1.1 | 16.9 |
| Widening, miles... . . . . . . . | 6.4 | 5.9 | 12.3 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. $\qquad$ | 0.8 | 13.2 | 14.0 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . | 1.6 |  | 1.6 |
| New construction, miles. |  | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| Total, miles. | 24.6 | 30.6 | 55.2 |

B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number. | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number........ | 2 |  | 2 |
| Railway grade separations, number. | 12 |  | 12 |
| Highway grade separations, number |  |  |  |
| Total, number | 15 | 3 | 18 |

to Kirtland Flats. Minor-type improvement is planned for the Chagrin Falls-Willoughby Road (State Route 174) from State Route 84 to the Cuyahoga County line.

Additional grade crossings planned for elimination are the New York Central Railroad and the Nickel Plate Railroad crossings on Lloyd Road; the crossings of the same two railroads on Center Street (Mentor) ; the Liberty Street and the State Street crossings of the Nickel Plate Railroad in the southern part of Painesville; and the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad crossing of State Route 44, approximately four miles south of Painesville.

The summary of improvements planned in Lake County is shown in Table 34.

## The Lorain County Plan

Lorain County is located west of Cuyahoga County and occupies the same relative position in the western part as Lake County in the eastern part of the regional area. It includes the important cities of Lorain and Elyria which form the western limits of the Cleveland regional area. Except along the lake shore the territory between these cities has little suburban development and is, therefore, not a large source of highway traffic. The principal direction of traffic movement is east and west and the largest part of the traffic on these east-west routes is the movement between Cleveland and Lorain and Elyria. This traffic is supplemented by through traffic from points west and southwest of Lorain and Elyria and by the local traffic originating east of these cities. The most important route in this section is U. S. Route 20 which carries a daily traffic of 4,600 vehicles at the intersection with Belden-Avon Road. The present improvement between the Cuyahoga County line and the Elyria city limits is a 19 -foot surface in good condition. Normal increase in traffic and the further developments anticipated immediately adjacent to the road will require the widening of this route to 40 feet during the second five years of the plan period.

State Route 2 which parallels the lake shore carries a daily traffic of 3,300 vehicles at the Cuyahoga County line and 2,700 vehicles approxi-
mately one mile east of the Lorain city limits. This route between the Cuyahoga County line and the Lorain limits is improved with an unsatisfactory 18 -foot surface and it is therefore planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet.

In place of additional widening of this route when the traffic capacity of a two-lane roadway is reached the development of a new route on a more direct line is planned. With further lakeshore developments along State Route 2 local traffic will also increase and make it progressively less desirable as an arterial route between Lorain and Cleveland. The alternative route is planned along the line of Colorado Avenue and Powdermaker Road, utilizing Colorado Avenue within the city of Lorain, establishing a new route in a direct line from Colorado Avenue at the Lorain city limits to the Lorain-Ridgeville Road at Harris Road, improving the Lorain-Ridgeville Road from Harris Road to Miller Road, establishing a new route in a direct line from Miller Road to Powdermaker Road at Moore Road and improving Powdermaker Road from Moore Road to the Cuyahoga County line where it connects with Avon Road. Plans in Cuyahoga County provide for a connection from the county line to Detroit Road. This route is planned as a 20 -foot roadway. To provide connections between this route and the important State Route 2 entering Lorain from the west, as well as to provide facilities for local traffic in Lorain, an additional bridge over the Black River is essential.

The proposed bridge and approaches forming a connection from Colorado Avenue at Kansas Avenue to 14th Street at Reid Avenue and to Broadway at i6th Street would meet these traffic requirements.

Detroit Road (State Route 254) carries a daily traffic of $\mathrm{I}, 600$ vehicles at the Cuyahoga County line, 1,900 vehicles east of its junction with the Sheffield-French Creak Road, and 1,000 vehicles west of this junction. This route forms a direct connection from Cleveland to the territory south of Lorain and via the Sheffield-French Creek route to the southern part of the City of Lorain. The present 14 -foot surface on the section between the Cuyahoga County line and French Creek requires reconstruction and widening to 20 feet.

Between French Creek and the termination of State Route 254 at State Route 57 , the 18 -foot sections are satisfactory. The unimproved section between the west line of Avon village and West River Road requires a 20 -foot pavement. The construction of a bridge over the Black River to replace the present structure is also required. This bridge is planned as an intermediate level bridge and arranged to serve both State Route 254 and the proposed route along the line of Mills Road, which joins State Route 254 just east of this point.

The Sheffield-French Creek Road is also planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet.

Butternut Ridge Road which forms a continuation of Lorain Road in Cuyahoga County is planned for reconstruction and widening between the Cuyahoga County line and Belden-Avon Road. The present 16 -foot section from Belden-Avon Road to a short distance east of State Route 57 is planned for widening to 20 feet in the second five years of the plan period. The ro-foot macadam section between this point and State Route 57 is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet and the concrete section between State Route 57 and La Grange Road for widening to 20 feet. Other improvements on this route include the opening of a new connection on a direct line between Dewhirst Road and State Route 57 and the construction of a bridge over the East Branch on this connection, and also a relocation of the route between La Grange Road and U. S. Route 20 and the construction of a bridge over the West Branch on this relocation. These improvements, providing a direct connection from U. S. Route 20 southwest of Elyria and by-passing this city, will materially increase the present traffic of 700 vehicles recorded on this road west of the intersection with Root Road.
'A new east-west route in Lorain County is planned in the extension of the Brook Park belt route, described in the Cuyahoga County plan, from the Cuyahoga County line along the line of Mills Road to a junction with State Route 254 at the east end of the proposed Black River bridge on this route. This extension will provide a direct route from Lorain and South Lorain to the southern limits of the City of Cleveland
with connections to aill routes entering the city from the south as well as a complete by-pass of the city for traffic destined to points east. This route is planned as a 20 -foot roadway.

The extension of HiHiard Boulevard from the Cuyahoga County line to Elyria provides an alternative ronte to U. S. Route 20. This route is planned as a 30 -foot roadway extending from the Cuyahoga Coumty line to Mills Road near Nagle Road. Mills Road, which becomes the Brook Park belt routte extension, is then utilized to a poimt between Belden-Avon Road and Jaycox Road, from which point a diagonal route extends to East River Road near the north limits of Elyria.

On State Route 82 an extension is planned from the present terminus of this route at State Route 57 to a connmection with Butternut Ridge Road east of the crossing of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, involving a bridge over the East Branch. This development will provide a direct commection from U. S. Route 30 via State Route 82 to all routtes south and southeast from Cleveland, thus forming an outer belt route.

Other improvements on east-west routes include the reconstruction and widening to 30 feet of the comnection from Irish Road in Cuyahoga County to Butternut Ridge Road, and the widening in the second five gears of the plan period of the short section of the Belden-Hudson Road from State Routte 57 to the Medina County line.

The most important north-south route in Lorain County is State Route 57, carrying a daily traffic of 3,700 velhicles south of the junction with Elyria Avenue between Lorain and Elyria, and 1,700 vehicles south of its junction with Indian Hollow Road south of Elyria. The present 18foot surface between Lorain and Elyria is satisfactory. Between Elyria and the junction with Belden-Avon Road east of Graftom reconstruction and widening to 20 feet is planned, but the improrement of the section east of Grafton is deferred until the second five years of the plan period.

The traffic on Belden-Avon Road is very light, not exceeding 550 vehicles at any point. Reconstruction and widening is planned for the present sections of 10 -foot width Between State

Route 57 and Butternut Ridge Road minor-type construction is recommended and between U. S. Koute 20 and Mills Road medium-type construction will be required.

In addition to these roadway improvements, grade-crossing eliminations are planned on State Route 254 at the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, on State Route 82 at the Big 4 Railroad, and on Root Road and Belden-Avon Road at the New York Central Railroad.

The plan also provides for the replacement of three small bridges, one on U. S. Route 20 to be replaced with a 40 -foot structure when the route is widened, one on State Route 82 a short distance west of Eaton, and one on the BeldenAvon Road a short distance south of Eaton.

The summary of improvements planned in Lorain County is shown in Table 35-
Table 35-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in Lorain County
A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| New routes, miles. |  | 19.1 | 19.1 |
| Widening, miles. | 6.4 | 5.1 | 11.5 |
| Reconstruction and widen ing, miles. |  | 36.6 | 36.6 |
| Reconstruction, miles. |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles. |  | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| Total miles, | 6.4 | 68.5 | 74.9 |

B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number:..... | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Bridges, replacement or wideaing, number. | 3 |  | 3 |
| Railyay grade separations, number. | 4 |  | 4 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total number | 8 | 3 | 11 |

## The Summit County Plan

Summit County, which is located southeast of Cleveland, is an important center of traffic. The regional area extends as far south as the City of Akron, which is the second largest city in the area. The principal direction of traffic movement is north-south, between Cleveland and Akron. Important east-west routes are also found from Akron to the cities located to its east and west, but traffic on east-west routes crossing the county north of Akron is comparatively small in volume.

The most important Cleveland-Akron route is Northfield Road (State Route 8), which carries a daily traffic of 3,800 vehicles at the Cuyahoga County line, 3,500 north and 3,200 south of State Route 82, 3,400 south of Chittenden's Corners, and 4,700 at the north limits of-Cuyahoga Falls. This route is at present an 18 -foot roadway from the Cuyahoga County line to the Akron city limits. This surface is considered adequate between the Cuyahoga County line and the north limits of Cuyahoga Falls. Through Cuyahoga Falls, widening to 40 feet is required, and the section from the Akron city limits to Broad Street will require reconstruction as well as widening.

Other improvements on this route include the widening to 40 feet of the high-level bridge over the Cuyahoga River between Akron and Cuyahoga Falls and the elimination of the two rightangle turns at Chittenden's Corners. by the relocation of the route and the construction of an overhead crossing over the Lake Erie and Pittsburgh Railroad.

A second important Cleveland-Akron route is formed by parts of U. S. Route 21 and State Routes 92 and 18 . Average daily traffic on this route is 1,200 vehicles north of its junction with State Route 176, 2,600 south of this junction, 2,500 on State Route 92 north of its junction with State Route 18 and 4,900 on State Route 18 east of its junction with the State Route 92. Traffic on this entire route and particularly on the section of U. S. Route $2 I$ north of its junction with State Route 176 was influenced by the condition of Brecksville Road (U. S. Route 2I) in Cuyahoga County. The completion of planned improvements in Cuyahoga County will result in
the shifting of part of the traffic from Northfield Road (State Route 8) to this route and materially increase the traffic on U. S. Route 21. The section from the Cuyahoga County line to the south line of Richfield township requires reconstruction and widening of the present 16 foot surface to 20 feet. From the south line of Richfield township to State Route 92 the present surface is in good condition but should be widened to 20 feet: This section could be materially improved by correction of alignment at certain points and by the reduction of a few vertical ${ }^{*}$ curves, and it is therefore planned for reconstruction as well as widening so as to include these improvements. On State Route 92, widening of the present 17 -foot pavement to 20 feet is planned. The relocation of the northern end of this section to eliminate the bad turn in Ghent is also planned. Route 18 from the junction of State Route 92 to the Akron city limits carries the combined traffic of State Route 18 west and of State Route 92. On this section widening of the present 24 -foot surface to 40 feet is planned.

State Route 9 I is a third north-south route which forms an indirect route to Cleveland and provides a direct connection to U. S. Route 20 and the lake-shore section east of Cuyahoga County. This route carries a daily traffic of 3,500 vehicles north of its junction with State Route $36,2,500$ vehicles south of its junction with State Route 14 and i,000 vehicles north of this junction. From the junction of State Route 36 to the junction of State Route 14, Route 91 is being rebuilt and widened at the present time. The section from the junction of State Route 14 to the Cuyahoga County line is planned for widening to 20 feet in the second five years of the plan period. The elimination of the grade crossings on State Route 91 at the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad and at the Akron and Ravenna Electric line just north of State Route 36 are included in the plan of improvement.

State Route 14, which forms a diagonal route from Cleveland to Ravenna and the southeast, crosses the northeastern corner of Summit County. The section between the Cuyahoga County line and State Route 91, which carries a daily traffic of 2,300 vehicles, is planned for re-
construction and widening to 30 feet. The section from State Route 9I to the Portage County line is adequate for the traffic which it will carry.

On State Route 82 the high-level bridge over the Cuyahoga River, described in the Cuyahoga County plan, is a joint project between the two comnties. The present 18 -foot surface from the end of this bridge to State Route 91 is satisfactory, but the Lake Erie and Pittsburgh Railroad grade crossing west of Northfield is planned for climination.

From the junction of State Route 14 east of Twinsburg to the Portage County line construction of a medium-type, $\mathbf{z o}$-foot surface is planned. Plans for this section also include the elimination of the grade crossing at the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad and the replacement of the bridge over Pond Brook.

On the Akron-Medina Road (State Route 18) the widening between the Akron city limits and State Route 92 has been described. West of State Route 92 reconstruction and widening to 20 feet is planned on the 16 -foot brick section and widening to 20 feet on the 16 -foot concrete section. This route carries a daily traffic of 2,000 vehicles west of its junction with U. S. Route 2I.

The most important route east of Akron is State Route 36, which connects Akron and Curahoga Falls with Kent and Ravenna. This route carries a daily traffic of 5,400 vehicles west of its junction with State Route 91 and 4,300 vehicles east of this junction and is plamned as a 40-foot roadway.

At the Cuyahoga River between Akron and Curahoga Falls a high-lével bridge to replace the present bridge and eliminate the badly curved descent into the valley is planned. Within Cuyahoga Falls widening to 40 feet is planned for the asphalt section and reconstruction and widening of the brick section. Between Cuyahoga Falls and State Route 91 widening to 40 feet is planned, and between State Route 91 and the Portage County line reconstruction and widening to 40 feet. On this latter section the widening of the present 32-foot bridge near the county line is also required.

On State Route 18 east of Akron reconstruc-
tion and widening to 30 feet is planned from the Akron city limits to the Portage County line. This route carries a daily traffic of $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 0}$ vehicles east of Tallmadge. Reconstruction and widening to 30 feet is also planned on Tallmadge Arenue between the Akron city limits and Tallmadge.

The Stow Comers-Springfield Road, which forms a continuation of State Route 91 from State Route 36 to State Route 8, carries a daily traffic of 2,700 vehicles south of State Route 36 and 3,300 vehicles south of Tallmadge. Reconstruction and widening to 20 feet is planned on the section between State Route 36 and Tallmadge, and widening to 20 feet on the section between Tallmadge and State Route 8.

Near Tallmadge the plan provides for the elimination of five railroad grade crossings-the crossings of the Erie Railroad on State Route 18 west of Tallmadge, State Route 18 east of Tallmadge, State Route 361, the Stow Corners-Springfield Road, and the Cuyahoga Falls-Mogador Road. These eliminations will be effected by the construction of a by-pass route south and east of the railroad from State Route 18 west to State Route 261 and by the construction of grade separations on State Route 18 east of Tallmadge and on the Stow Corners-Springfield Road south of Tallmadge

Other improvements planned are the widening to 20 feet of the bridges over the Cuyahoga River and canal on the Belden-Hudson Road in Peninsula.

The two principal routes between Cleveland and Akron-State Route 8 and U. S. Route 21 -will ultimately require 40 -foot roadways, although it is not anticipated that such width will be required within ten years. Sound highway planning, however, demands the acquisition or protection of sufficient right of way to permit widening of these routes to 40 feet in the futureAn additional route between Cleveland and Akron can be provided by the development of a highway in the Cuyahoga River valley. Reconnaissance survess indicate that such a development is entirely practicable. This route is not required in the immediate future, but the right of way should be acquired or protected until traffic requirements make its construction necessary.

The summary of improvements planned in Summit County is shown in Table 36.
Table 36-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in Summit County
A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Widening, miles. | 6.2 | 10.3 | 16.5 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. | 4.8 | 24.2 | 29.0 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles. . . |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Total miles. | 11.0 | 38.0 | 49.0 |


| B. Structures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| Bridges, new, number. . . . . | 1 |  | 1 |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number....... | 5 |  | 5 |
| Railway grade separations, number. | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total number. | 12 | 1 | 13 |

## The Portage County Plan

Portage County is located southeast of Cuyahoga County and east of Summit County. Its northwestern corner is 19 miles from the center of Cleveland, and Ravenna, the county seat, is approximately 35 miles from the center of Cleveland. It is therefore in the outer zone of the Cleveland regional area and the influence of regional-area highway traffic is found only on the principal highways. Only that part of the county located west of State Route 44 and north of State Route 18 is included in the regional area. The diagonal routes which form direct routes into Cleveland are State Route 43 from the Geauga County line to Aurora, connecting
with South Miles-Aurora Road, in Cuyahoga County; and State Route 14, connecting with Broadway in Cuyahoga County, and forming a direct route from Cleveland to Ravenna. Both of these routes are in satisfactory condition for a ro-year period. State Route 43 between the Geauga County line and Aurora is an 18 -foot roadway, in good condition, carrying a daily traffic of 1,500 vehicles. State Route 14 was under construction during the traffic survey and is being completed as an 18 -foot roadway.

The most important east-west route in the county is State Route 36, which connects Ravenna and Kent with Akron. This route carries a daily traffic of 4,200 vehicles east of its junction with State Route 91 in Summit County and 2,400 vehicles midway between Kent and Ravenna. It is at present a 16 -foot roadway and is planned for reconstruction and widening to 40 feet between the Summit County line and Kent and for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet between Kent and Ravenna. On the latter section the plans also include the elimination of the grade crossing at the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad by construction of an overpass.
State Route 18, which is at present a 16 -foot roadway carrying a daily traffic of 2,900 vehicles at the Summit County line and 2,300 vehicles west of its junction with State Route 44, is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet. Improvement plans for this route also include the separation of grades at the crossing of the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad at Brimfield Station.
State Route 82, which crosses the northern part of the county, is unimproved between the Summit County line and State Route 43. This is planned for medium-type construction 20 feet in width. Between State Routes 43 and 44 the present improvement is 14 feet in width, carrying a daily traffic of $\mathrm{r}, 000$ vehicles. This section is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet. The grade crossing on this route at the Erie Railroad at Aurora Station is also planned for elimination.
Minor-type improvement is planned for the county road connecting Hudson, in Summit County, with Streetsboro and Shalersville.

This section of the county has two important north-south roads, State Routes 43 and 44 State Route 43 carries a daily traffic of 1,500 vehicles south of its junction with the Kent-Mantua Road, $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 0}$ vehicles north of this junction, and 700 vehicles south of its junction with State Route 82. The section between its intersection with State Route 82 and with State Route 14, which is at present a 14 -foot surface, is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet. The section from State Route 14 to Kent, at present a 16 foot surface, is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet during the second five years of the plan period. The section from Kent to State Route 18, which is at present a 12 -foot surface, is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet in the first five years. Extending north from the intersection of State Routes 43 and 82 at Aurora is a county road connecting with Chillicothe Road, an improved county road in Geauga County. This section is planned for minor-type construction.
State Route 44 forms a direct route from Painesville to Ravenna and Canton. It carries a daily traffic of 700 vehicles north and 1,300 vehicles south of State Route 82 and 2,000 vehicles north of State Route 18. Between State Route 82 and the Geauga County line the present surface, with proper maintenance, is considered adequate. Between State Route 82 and Mantua reconstruction and widening to 20 feet of the present 12 -foot surface is planned.

Through the village of Mantua the present 14 and 16 foot surfaces will be widened to 20 feet and through the business section the present surface will be widened to 40 feet. Plans also provide for the elimination of the Erie Railroad grade crossing in Mantua by the construction of an overpass. Between Mantua and Ravenna the present 14 -foot surface will be reconstructed and widened to 20 feet. From Ravenna to State Route 18 the present 18 -foot surface is satisfactory.

In addition to these improvements the grade crossing on State Route 26I at the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad in Kent is also planned for elimination.

The summary of improvements planned in Portage County is shown in Table 37.

Table 37-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in Portage County
A. Roadways

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. |  |  |  |
| Widening, miles. . . . . . . . . | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. $\qquad$ | 0.8 | 41.8 | 42.6 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles.... |  | 12.9 | 12.9 |
| Total miles. | 1.0 | 55.4 | 56.4 |

B. Stractures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges, new, number |  |  |  |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number. |  |  |  |
| Railway grade separations, number. | 5 |  | 5 |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total number. | 5 |  | 5 |

## The Medina County Plan

Medina County, which is located southwest of Cuyahoga County, is an area of low population density, having no large cities. The section included in the regional area is the territory north and east of State Routes 18 and 57.

The most important route in the section is U. S. Route 42, which connects Cleveland with Medina, the county seat, and with centers of population to the south and southwest. This route carries a daily traffic of 2,700 vehicles on a 16 foot surface in fair condition. Plans for this route provide for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet from the Cuyahoga County line to Medina and the widening of a 16 -foot bridge
located approximately 2 miles north of the Me dina corporation line.

State Route 3, which forms an alternative route between Cleveland and Medina, connecting with Ridge Road in Cuyahoga County, carries a daily traffic of between 300 and 400 vehicles. From Medina to a point 5.4 miles northeast the present 16 -foot surface is satisfactory. From this point to the junction of State Route 94 the present io-foot surface is planned for widening to 20 feet, as is also the ro-foot section between this junction and Hinkley. Plans for this route also include the widening to 20 feet of a bridge near the south end of the ro-foot section. From Hinkley to the Cuyahoga County line a 20 -foot surface is being constructed.

State Route 94, which forms an artery from Cleveland to Wadsworth and points south connecting with State Road in Cuyahoga County, carries a daily traffic of 600 vehicles at the Cuyahoga County line, 500 vehicles east of Hinkley and 400 vehicles at its intersection with State Route 18. The section from the Cuyahoga County line to Hinkley consists of a 10 -foot surface. Its reconstruction and widening to 20 feet is deferred until the second five years of the plan period as the new section of State Route 3 from Hinkley north provides an equally direct route. A bridge approximately one mile east of Hinkley is also planned for widening to 20 feet when the roadway is reconditioned. The section of State Route 94 from its junction with State Route 3 to its intersection with State Route 18 is under contract for widening.

The county road from Bennett Corners directly south to State Route 3 and connecting with the proposed extension of Settlement Road in Cuyahoga County will provide an additional route between Cleveland and Medina. The section from Bennett Corners to the Belden-Hudson Road is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet, and the section from Belden-Hudson Road to State Route 3 for medium-type construction 20 feet in width.

State Route 18 west from Medina, which carries a daily traffic of 1,400 to 1,500 vehicles, is planned for widening to 20 feet from Medina to the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. The grade
crossing at this point is also planned for elimination. On State Route 18 east of Medina the present 18 -foot surface is adequate, but the widening to 20 feet of a bridge less than 16 feet in width located approximately 1.75 miles east of the Medina corporation line is planned.
On State Route 57 which forms the direct route between Medina, Elyria and Lorain, widening to 20 feet is planned on a ro-foot section approximatelly one mile in length immediately east of the Lorain County line.

Crossing the northern part of Medina County is the Belden-Hudson Road, a light-traffic route carrying between 250 and 600 vehicles per day, improved very largely with ro-foot, major-type surfaces. The present surfaces are too narrow for safety, but the volume of traffic does not

Table 38-Summary of 10 -year plan of improvement in Medina County

warrant widening with major type improvements and widening with minor types is therefore proposed.

From the Lorain County line to the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, 3.8 miles, the widening to 20 feet is deferred until the second five years of the plan period. From this point to the east line of Liverpool township the present 16 -foot surface is satisfactory. From this point to-U. S. Route 42, which section carries the largest volume of traffic, widening to 20 feet is planned during the first five years.

From U. S. Route 42 to the end of the concrete pavement, a short distance west of Route 3 , the widening to 20 feet is again deferred to the second half of the plan period. The short unimproved section in Hinkley is planned for $20-$ foot, medium-type construction. The unimproved section from the east junction of State Route 94 to the Summit County line is planned for 20 foot, minor-type construction to complete the improved route.

The summary of improvements planned in Medina County is shown in Table 38.

## The Geauga County Plan

Geauga County, which is located east of Cuyahoga County, is also an area of low population density without important centers of population, and, therefore, not a large source of local traffic. The regional area includes that part of the county located west of State Route 44 This section has one east-west route of major significance, U. S. Route 422, which forms the direct route between Cleveland and Warren and Youngstown. This route carries a daily traffic of 3.400 vehicles at the Cuyahoga County line, and 2,500 vehicles at its intersection with State Route 44 The present pavement is 18 feet in width between the Cuyahoga County line and Chillicothe Road and 16 feet in width between Chillicothe Road and State Route 44 Both sections are planned for widening to 20 feet.

The remaining east-west routes do not connect with large population centers and are therefore only medium-traffic routes. U. S. Route 322, a continuation of Mayfield Road in Cuyahoga

County, carries a daily traffic of 1,000 vehicles at its intersection with Chillicothe Road and 600 vehicles at its intersection with State Route 44 The present 16 -foot surface from the Cuyaboga County line to a point 1.7 miles east is planned for widening to 20 feet. From this point to Chillicothe Road the present 18 -foot surface is satisfactory, and from Chillicothe Road to State Route 44 widening to 18 and 20 feet is in progress. On State Route 85, which carries a daily traffic of 800 vehicles, the present 16 -foot surface is satisfactory.

State Route 87 carries a daily traffic of 1,300 vehicles at the Cuyahoga County line, 1,100 vehicles west of Chillicothe Road, 800 vehicles east of this road, and 700 vehicles at its intersection with State Route 44 . The present surface is 14 feet in width from the Cuyahoga County line to its junction with the unimprored road 1.3 miles east, and 16 feet in width from this point to State Route 44 -
The section from the Cuyahoga County line to Chillicothe Road is planned for widening to 20 feet in the first five jears and the section from Chillicothe Road to State Route 44 for widening to 20 feet in the second five years of the plan period. The bridge on this route a short distance west of Chillicothe Road is also planned for replacement with a bridge 20 feet in width.
The unimproved road which forms a junction with this route 1.3 miles east of the Cuyahoga County line provides a cut-off, shortening the mileage of this route by approximately one mile. This unimproved road is planned for $20-\mathrm{foot}$, medium-type construction to meet the similar improvement planned in Cuyahoga County.

The proposed South Moreland Boulerard extension, described in the Cuyahoga County plan, which prorides an alternative route to present U. S. Route 422 through Chagrin Falls, extends into Geauga County and connects with Bainbridge Road at Chillicothe Road. This new route is planned as a 20 -foot roadway. To provide a direct connection from this point to U. S. Route 422, Bainbridge Road is planned for 20 -foot construction between Chillicothe Road and U. S. Route 422.

Bainbridge Road from the Cuyahoga County line to Chillicothe Road is planned for minortype construction.

State Route 43, which crosses the southwestern corner of Geauga County, carries a daily traffic of $\mathrm{I}, 600$ vehicles. The present I 6 -foot surface is planned for reconstruction and widening to 20 feet.

The north-south routes in this county carry a comparatively small volume of traffic. State Route 44, which forms a direct route from Painesville, through Chardon to Ravenna and Canton, carries a daily traffic of 500 vehicles north of U. S. Route 322 and south of U. S. Route 422. Between U. S. Routes 322 and 422 the route was partly under construction and partly unimproved during the survey.
The present 16 -foot surface between the Lake County line and Chardon, between Chardon and State Route 87; and between U. S. Route 422 and the Portage County line is satisfactory for the traffic that this route will carry.
The section between State Route 87 and U. S. Route 422 is being improved with an 18 -foot surface.

Chillicothe Road carries a daily traffic of 800 vehicles north of U. S. Route 322 and 500 vehicles between U. S. Route 322 and State Route 87. South of State Route 87 this route was unimproved at the time of the traffic survey and carried between 100 and 200 vehicles.
The present section of io-foot width between the Lake County line and the north line of Russell Township is planned for widening to 20 feet in the first five years, and the 14 -foot section between this point and State Route 87 for widening to 20 feet in the second five years. On the latter section there are also two bridges planned
for replacement with structures having a 20 -foot roadway. The section from State Route 87 to the Portage County line is being improved with 16 and 18 -foot surfaces.

The summary of improvements planned for Geauga County is shown in Table 39.

> Table 39-Summary of 10-year plan of improvement in Geauga County

| Proposed construction | Width |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 feet | 20 feet |  |
| New routes, miles. |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Widening, miles. |  | 26.7 | 26.7 |
| Reconstruction and widening, miles. |  | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Reconstruction, miles. . . . . |  |  |  |
| New construction, miles. |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Total miles. |  | 37.8 | 37.8 |

B. Structures

| Proposed construction | On existing routes | On new routes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Bridges, new, number. |  |  |  |
| Bridges, replacement or widening, number........ | 3 |  | 3 |
| Railway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Highway grade separations, number. |  |  |  |
| Total number. | 3 |  | 3 |

## ACQUISITION OF RIGHTS OF WAY

TWHE development of the plan of improvement in the regional area will require the acquisition of new right of way for the majority of the new routes planned. In certain cases, satisfactory right of way is already available, having been acquired or donated in anticipation of the required development of a new route. In highly developed areas the acquisition of the required right of way frequently involves comparatively large expenditures because of high real-estate values. Had this property been acquired prior to such intense development a large saving would have resulted.

The plan of improvement includes all the important routes which will be required during the next ten years; with continued growth and development of the area, however, additional new routes will be required. All existing highway rights of way should therefore be carefully preserved and guarded against encroachments. In addition, rights of way should be acquired in the near future where demands for additional routes can be foreseen or where the ultimate widening of present routes beyond the limits of existing rights of way is anticipated.

Among the new routes, which are not urgently needed during the next ten years but which will be required soon thereafter and for which right of way should be obtained, are: (1) A route parallel to the present canal between Cleveland and Akron forming an alternative route between these cities when the capacity of present routes is reached; (2) an additional direct route between Cleveland and Berea. A satisfactory location for such a route would be on a direct line from Berea to West rioth Spreet at the Cleveland city line, and from this point following Bellaire

Road, Jasper Avenue, and Clinton Road to a connection with the proposed Walworth Run route; (3) a diagonal northeast-southwest route from Chagrin Falls to Ashtabula. This route will provide relief for the present arterial routes between Ashtabula and Cleveland, particularly for traffic destined to the southern part of Cleveland and the area south and southwest of the city. Present right of way can be utilized for the major part of this route and the missing sections can probably be acquired at the present time without large expenditures.

Sufficient right of way for a four-lane roadway should also be acquired on all important arterial routes not included in the present four-lane roadway program.

Two methods may be employed in acquiring necessary right of way for future widening. (1) acquisition through dedication, purchase or condemnation, (2) provision for future acquisition through establishment of building set-back lines. This latter method is suggested in cases where immediate acquisition involves large expenditures and where the widening may be deferred for a period of years.

Particular attention should be given to the acquisition or preservation of sufficient right of way at the intersections of important highways. Such intersections require greater right of way than the remainder of the route and also develop first as business or residential locations.

Foresight in acquisition of required right of way prior to the intensive development of an area will result in an important saving of public funds and will also permit the establishment of routes in the most advantageous locations.

## 乞THE RELIEF OF TRAFFIC CONGESTION PROVIDED BY THE PLAN

TTHE plan of improvement when completed will provide an adequate and well-balanced traffic distribution system for the entire regional area. This system will furnish arterial traffic routes in all directions from the center of the city of Cleveland, each having a capacity equal to the present and expected future traffic demands for the ro-year period. Facilities for the required interchange of traffic between arterial routes, for the movement between secondary centers of population in the area and for by-passing congested areas are provided by the series of belt routes which intersect or connect with all arterial routes. The plan provides for the reconditioning of all arterial routes not now in satisfactory condition, for the widening of such routes to four-lane highways where required, and for the development of new arterial routes where present traffic and expected future traffic cannot be adequately served by the existing routes.

The present highway system, with the rapidly increasing volume of traffic, has-resulted in the development of several major congestion areas. One of the most important problems in the establishment of the present plan of improvement was to provide relief for these areas.

Among the more serious of these is in the northeastern section where the large volumes of traffic on Euclid Avenue, Lake Shore Boulevard, Mayfield Road and their tributaries must enter and move toward the city over Euclid Avenue, Superior Avenue, or St. Clair Avenue. Each of these routes is made up largely of business streets on which there is a large amount of parking and they also carry important street car lines. Primary relief for this section is provided by the Lake Front-St. Clair route, a four-lane uninterrupted route from the center of Cleveland to Painesville. Additional relief for Euclid Avenue, particularly between Mayfield Road and East 97th Street, will result from the completion of the widening of Euclid Avenue west of rorst Street, and the extension of Chester Avenue from East 55th Street to East 82nd Street, and by increasing the traffic capacity of Crawford Road
and Hough Avenue with the development of a route through Wade Park to Euclid Avenue at Mayfield Road. Existing arterial routes in this section are in good condition.

A second serious condition of congestion occurs in the east-central section, due principally to the convergence of routes in the Cedar Glen section and the progressive convergence of routes into Woodland Avenue in the city. Relief for the condition is found partially in the ChesterHough development and in the Mayfield-Superior connection, both of which will serve to remove Mayfield Road traffic from the congested Cedar Glen section. The primary relief route in this section is the extension of Shaker Boulevard as a four-lane depressed highway from its present terminus at Woodhill Road to a Broadway connection at Pittsburgh Avenue and 34th Street. This development will provide a direct highspeed route to the business section of the city, relieving the traffic congestion caused by the convergence of routes into Woodland Avenue and relieving the Cedar Glen section by removing from it traffic which can more directly use the Shaker Boulevard extension. An extension of South Moreland Boulevard which by-passes Chagrin Falls and provides a route without excessive grades or curvature is also planned in the eastcentral section of the county. Existing arterial routes with the exception of Kinsman Road, which is planned for reconstruction, are in good condition.
Another serious congestion area occurs in the southeastern section of the county centering about the convergence of routes into Broadway. Primary relief is provided by the development of a new four-lane, uninterrupted route through the Cuyahoga River Valley from Broadway at Jefferson, to Willow. A belt route connects the new route with each of the southeast arterials from Brecksville Road to South Miles Road. Further relief for Broadway between the Cleveland city line and Bedford is provided by a direct extension of Northfield Road from Bedford to Warrensville Center Road at Emery Road. This route
by-passes Bedford, eliminates curves and railroad grade crossings and connects Northfield Road with the east-central entrances to the city. Improvements on existing arterials are largely limited to the badly needed reconditioning of Broadway through Garfield Heights and the reconstruction of Canal Road.

The next serious congestion area occurs on the west side of the Cuyahoga River Valley due to the convergence of routes into West 25th Strect. Relief in this section is provided principally by the new Valley route crossing the Cuyahoga River Valley from connections with Wooster Pike, State Road and Broadview Road to a connection with Independence Road. This route brings traffic into the business section east of the river and not only relieves congestion on West 25th Street but also on Central Viaduct and the Superior high-level bridge. On the present arterial routes in this section, reconditioning and widening is planned for a part of Broadview Road and for Ridge Road.

The western approaches to the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood form another congestion area, particularly at the present Rocky River bridgeRelief for this area is provided by the development of a new crossing of Rocky River in the lake front which will provide a four-lane direct connection from West Lake Road to Lake Arenue. Connections between other western arterial routes and this new route will be made by the Spencer Road belt route. On the present arterial routes widening is planned on West Lake Road and reconditioning and widening on Center Ridge Road (U. S. Route 20). Alternative routes to these arterials are provided by the proposed Wolf Boulevard and Hilliard Boulevard.

The present Superior high-level bridge is another serious congestion point. Partial relief in this area is provided by the Valley route which will absorb a part of the West 25th Street traffic now crossing this bridge and further relief will result from the development of a new Cuyahoga River high-level crossing at the approximate location of the present Main Street bridge. This new route affords an opportunity to by-pass the Public Square section and through its connection with the new Lake Front Boulevard provides a
fast-line by-pass for traffic moving between the eastern and western parts of the city.

The most important belt-line development results in the provision of a complete inner belt route forming a complete circuit on the western, southern and eastern sides of the city. On the western side Spencer Road, developed as a fourlane roadway, connects all the western arterial routes. Spencer Road connects with the southern belt route on a projection of Brook Park Road west over the Rocky River to a junction with Spencer Road extended. Further connections with the western arterials are provided by a projection of Brook Park Road directly west to a connection with Mills Road at the Lorain County line.

On the southern side of the city the present Brook Park Road is to be reconditioned and widened to a four-lane roadway, and from the present terminus of this road a new four-lane roadway is projected eastward to an intersection with Brecksville Road at Willow and thence eastward intersecting all important arterial routes to connections with East Boulevard, Lee Road and Warrensville Center Road.

Lee Road forms an inner belt route near the eastern limits of Cleveland, but traverses a densely populated area producing a large volume of local traffic Warrensville Center Road, also a fourlane roadway, which parallels Lee Road, forms an important eastern belt route. From Mayfield Road to Euclid Avenue a direct extension of the Warrensville Center belt route is planned via parts of Bluestone Road and the proposed Belvoir Boulevard.

Other north-south routes east and west of Cleveland and east-west routes south of Cleveland are planned for reconditioning where required as two-lane roadways. With the development of these new relief routes, the reconditioning and widening where required of existing arterial routes and of their laterals, the development of an adequate belt route around the city and the reconditioning and widening where required of routes forming secondary belt routes, a complete traffic distribution system adjusted to present and future traffic demands in all parts of the area will be provided.

## COSTS OF THE PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS

T1HE estimated cost of construction of the entire plan for the regional area is $\$ 63,078$,000. The plan covered by this estimate is shown in Figure 32 and described in detail in Appendices IV and V.

The estimate includes the cost of roadways and structures on new routes; of constructing, reconstructing or widening roadways on existing routes; of constructing new structures on existing routes; and of replacing structures as required on existing routes.

It does not include the cost of right-of-way acquisition and of property damages resulting from the improvement program.

The summary of these costs by counties is shown in Table 40.

The estimated costs, shown in Table 40, are based on modern design and construction practices and on current prices paid for materials and labor in the regional area and in comparable sections. Changes in the price level during the plan period or marked changes in construction methods will necessitate corresponding changes in the estimated costs.

Of this total cost, the expenditures in Cuyahoga County are estimated to be $\$ 41,203,000$. Except for maintenance charges, which should be reduced below the present level of annual expenditures due to the early reconditioning of a considerable part of the highway system, this sum should represent the approximate expenditures for highways exclusive of right-of-way acquisition and property damages during the next ten years, provided that projects now in progress or under contract are completed during 1928. It is probable that developments which cannot be foreseen at the present time will require minor additions to the county highway system resulting in a slight increase in the estimated expenditures.
The necessary costs of right-of-way acquisition and property damage charges, which cannot be estimated with any degree of accuracy, will also increase the total costs.

Of the total of $\$ 41,203,000$, the roadways and structures on new routes cost $\$ 26,300,000$ or 64 per cent of the total. The urgency of the need for many of these new routes to relieve present congestion which will become progres-

Table 40-Estimated construction cost of the plan of highway improvement

| County | Roadways |  |  | Structures |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | On new routes | On existing routes | Total | On new routes | On existing routes | Total |  |
| Cuyahoga. | \$6,975,000 | \$10,577,000 | \$17,552,000 | \$19,325,000 | \$4,326,000 | \$23,651,000 | \$41,203,000 |
| Lake. | 1,802,000 | 1,983,000 | 3,785,000 | 1,474,000 | 2,728,000 | 4,202,000 | 7,987,000 |
| Lorain. | 1,054,000 | 2,651,000 | 3,705,000 | 438,000 | 766,000 | 1,204,000 | 4,909,000 |
| Summit. | 121,000 | 2,201,000 | 2,322,000 | 108,000 | 956,000 | 1,064,000 | 3,386,000 |
| Portage. |  | 2,548,000 | 2,548,000 |  | 596,000 | 596,000 | 3,144,000 |
| Medina. |  | 1,337,000 | 1,337,000 |  | 158,000 | 158,000 | 1,495,000 |
| Geauga. | 192,000 | 714,000 | 906,000 |  | 48,000 | 48,000 | 954,000 |
| Total. | 10,144,000 | 22,011,000 | 32,155,000 | 21,345,000 | 9,578,000 | 30,923,000 | 63,078,000 |

sively more severe, demands that they be opened for use as rapidly as possible. This will result in an unbalanced grouping of expenditures in the first few years of the plan period, but this procedure is more than justified by the greatly improved traffic service which will result.

In order to place these new routes in service at the earliest possible date, the major structures which require the longest period to complete should be placed under construction as soon as plans and designs can be completed.
The reconstruction and widening program, except in a few cases where immediate improvements are essential due either to the present condition of the roadway or to large present volumes of traffic on narrow roadways, can be budgeted over the ro-year period in approximately equal annual amounts.

Modern annual highway improvement programs should be scheduled in the order of urgency of each improvement based upon traffic and present roadway condition. They should also provide for the continuous improvement of each route, so as to make the improvements available for use as quickly as possible, and prevent the unnecessary inconvenience and obstruction of traffic by the simultaneous improvement of parallel routes traversing the same area.

In the parts of the six surrounding counties of Lake, Lorain, Summit, Portage, Medina and Geauga, included in the regional area, the estimated construction cost, as shown in Table 40, represents the approximate total expenditures that will be required for highway construction during the so-year period on the principal routes in those sections of the counties included in the regional area In no case does it represent the total required for the entire county; neither does it include costs of right-of-way acquisition or of property damage, nor necessary maintenance costs. Improvements on routes which are not of regional importance, and which are therefore not included in the plan, will also be required.

In Lake County the urgent need for several grade crossing eliminations, for the completion of the widening of U. S. Route 20 and for the development of the St. Clair Avenue extension, requires comparatively large expenditures in the
early years of the plan period. In the remaining five counties expenditures can be approximately uniformly distributed over the plan period, although improvements should be carried on as. rapidly as financial ability permits. The immediate completion of all improvements planned for the first half of the plan period is economically justified on the basis of motor vehicle operating costs but in some cases the improvement can be deferred three or four years without serious inconvenience to traffic.

The plan of improvement for the entire area has been developed throughout on the basis of facts as determined by the planning survey. The improvements planned are all necessary to a properly coordinated highway system for the direct and expeditious distribution of traffic. The total expenditures involved are within the financial ability of the several counties without inequitably burdening the future and without allotting an unwarranted portion of total revenues for highway purposes.

The completion of the plan will relieve traffic congestion and eliminate many of the most serious traffic bottle necks in the area, although several congestion areas, particularly within the City of Cleveland, which are principally of city rather than of regional importance, have been considered as outside the scope of this survey and have not therefore been treated. The new routes planned for development will greatly increase the volume of traffic approaching the Public Square section from the southeast, and unless proper recognition of this fact is taken in the planning of future improvements in the central distributing area a serious congestion center will be developed. The volume of traffic moving into and out of the central business section of Cleveland on the radial routes greatly exceeds the traffic crossing these radial routes and should therefore be given precedence over such cross traffic.

Traffic conditions are constantly changing. The general trend of these changes for the area as a whole and for sections of the area have been analyzed and are reflected in the plan of improvement. Changes in traffic conditions within small sections of the area frequently cannot be foreseen and the recurrence of present conditions of im-
paired traffic service due to such changes can be prevented only by careful and farsighted planning based on a definite knowledge of these changing traffic and highway conditions.
Proper highway planning must be a continuous process based on a continuing series of facts in order that the constantly increasing traffic demands may be foreseen and met with highway improvements as required.
The plan is designed to provide for the regional area a complete distribution system for highway traffic adequate for traffic demands in the various sections of the area. The degree to which traffic facilities are improved and congestion centers eliminated depends upon carrying the present plan into effect, and making provisions for future planning to prevent the recurrence of unsatisfactory traffic conditions.

## Control Over the Development of the Plan of Improvement in Cuyahoga County

The budget for county road and bridge work for many years past in Cuyahoga Country has been a very substantial one, and in order to carry out the recommendations of this report, it will be necessary to adjust this budget progressively in successive years to the execution of a series of definite projects. This work will require the closest possible correlation of authority between
the County Board and the County Surveyor's office, including the county bridge engineer and the authorities responsible for water and sewer development. A lefinite schedule should be adopted covering surveys, designing, advertising for contracts, lettings and construction, and this should be so far as possible a continuing schedule which will enable the smallest possible organization to handle the work. Such an arrangement will require imperatively a closer and more detinite organization of county forces and authority. This organization should include a laboratory available for the control of materials, of which millions of dollars' worth will be purchased before the plan is completed. A testing laboratory should be established as a part of the county organization.

The budget for each year's work should origi-. nate with the County Board, and be made up as far in advance as knowledge is had of available funds. The Surveyor's office should then undertake the necessary surveys and designs, including the structural work involved. A schedule of lettings should be adhered to as nearly as possible, in such order and at such seasons as will best fit the individual projects. Final authority should rest with the County Board, which should be considered ultimately responsible for carrying out the entire program.

## APPENDIX I

Motor Vehicle Traffic on the Highways of the Cleveland Regional Area

1. Cuyahoga County

| Road | Route No. |  | Average daily total traffic |  |  | Average Sunday 1927 | Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | uck tra |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | traffic | Total | $\begin{gathered} 3-4 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { 5-71/2 } \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Akins. <br> Albion. $\qquad$ |  | W. of Broadview Rd. W. of Webster Rd. <br> E. of Webster Rd.. <br> W. of York Rd <br> E. of York Rd. | 56 | 8090 | $100$ |  | 7998 | 55 | 12 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 50 114 | 70 160 | 90 | 79 416 | 45 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 114 40 | 160 | 200 70 | 416 | 63 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Alexander. <br> Anderson |  | W. of York Rd............. | 28 | 40 | 50 | 77 | 21 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 341 | 490 | 590 | 458 | 304 | 157 | $14^{\prime}$ | 9 |  |
| Aurora.................. | 43 | Geauga County line.......... | 1,608 | 2,330 | 2,800 | 4,232 | 1,079 | 146 | 13 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}1.63 \\ 56 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 50 | 60 | 89 | - 24 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 56 286 | 80 420 | 100 500 | 624 | $\begin{array}{r}54 \\ \hline 269\end{array}$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| Babbitt. <br> Bagley. |  | S. of Royalton Rd........... <br> S. E. of Lake Shore Blvd.... | 2,827 | 420 4,100 | 500 4.900 | $\begin{array}{r}222 \\ 4.498 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2269 | 43 |  | 8 |  |
|  |  | E. of Front St. (Berea) <br> W. of Engle Rd. | 2,287 | 3.320 | 4,000 | 3,591 | 2,929 | 261 | 28 | 8 4 |  |
|  |  |  | 1,641 | 2,380 | 2,800 | 2,547 | 1,389 | 206 | 18 | 5 |  |
|  |  | W. of Engle Rd............. E. of Engle Rd | 1.633 | 2,370 | 2.800 | 2.534 | 1,382 | 205 | 18 | 5 |  |
| Bainbridge |  | E. of Engle Rd. <br> E. of S.O.M. Ctr. Rd........ | 60 | 90 | 100 | 133 | 1.45 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Barr... |  | N. of Richfield Rd........... | 16 317 | 20 460 | 350 | 31 476 | 13 289 |  |  |  |  |
| Barton. |  | N: W. of Lorain Rd....... . . <br> S. of Lorain Rd. | 317 | 460 90 | 550 110 | 476 | 289 58 | 26 3 | 1 |  |  |
| Bassett. |  | S. of Lorain Rd. . . . . . . . . . . | 360 | 520 | 620 | 681 | 291 | 36 | 2 | i |  |
|  |  | S. of Detroit Rd | 412 | 600 | 710 | 767 | 336 | 46 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Bell St. (Chagrin Falls) . |  | E. of Russell Rd | 274 | 400 | 470 | 378 | 244 | 35 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | W. of Russell Rd <br> S. of Drake Rd. | 467 | 680 | 810 | 628 | 437 | 70 | 1 |  |  |
| Benbow |  |  | 18 | 30 90 | 30 110 | 30 133 | 16 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Bennett |  | S. W. of Ridge Rd. <br> N. E. of Boston Rd | 64 | 90 80 | 110 | 133 | 52 | 10 |  |  |  |
| rea. |  | N. E. of Boston Rd <br> N. E. of Madison Ave. | 5,384. | 7,810 | 19 9,300 | 149 4,181 | 5,488 | 791 | * | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  | S. W. of Madison Ave. | 8,579 | 12,440 | 14,800 | 6,758 | 8,809 | 1,208 | * | * |  |
|  |  | N. of Wlison Mills Rd | . 793 | 1.150 | 1,400 | 1.838 | 556 | 56 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Blise (E. 222nd St.)...... |  | N. of Lake Shore Blvd....... | 247 | 360 | 430 | 367 | 228 | 24 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,566 | 2,270 | 2,700 | 1,420 | 1,674 | 202 | 10 | 12 |  |
| Bluestone. Bradley |  |  | 52 | 80 | 90 | ${ }^{66}$ | 47 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  |  | W. of Green Rd. <br> S. of West Lake Rd. ......... | 373 | 540 | 640 | 700 | 302 | 50 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | ............ | N. of Detroit Rd. . . . . . . . . | 548 986 | 800 1.430 | 950 1.700 | $\begin{array}{r}1983 \\ 1.818 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 453 784 | 78 | 2 | ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  | N. of Center Ridge RU <br> N. of Cedar Rd. | 744 | 1,080 | 1,300 | 1,407 | 578 | 59 | 2 |  |  |
| Brainard. . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | 328 | 480 | . 570 | - 562 | 285 | 31 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  | N. of Cedar Rd. . . . . . . . . . . <br> S. of Cedar Rd. | 321 | +460 | 560 | 9488 | 269 | 20 | 1 |  |  |
| Brecksville. . . . . . . . . . . |  | S. of Cedar Rd. <br> N. of Schaaf Rd. | 4,466 | 6.480 | 7.700 | 9.736 | 3,466 | 450 | 46 |  |  |
|  | U.S. 21 | S. of Schaaf Rd. | 2,880 | 4,180 | 5,000 | 6,354 | 2.213 | 237 | 22 | 12 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 | N. of Rockside Rd......... | 2,775 | 4,020 | 4,800 | 6,079 | 2,143 | 254 | 16 | 18 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 |  | 2.757 | 4.000 | 4,800 | 5.960 | 2,136 | 267 | 20 | 19 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 | S. of Rocikside Rd. . . 3 . ${ }^{\text {N. }}$. | 2.082 | 3,020 | 3.600 | 4.490 | 1.629 | 245 | 21 | 15 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 | S. of Pleasant Valley Rd.... | 1,952 | 2,830 | 3,400 | 4.245 | 1,520 | 210 | 18 | 14 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 |  | 1.824 | 2.640 | 3.200 | 3,882 | 1.378 | 169 | 15 | 14 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 | N. of Fitzwater Rd. S. of Fitzwater Rd. . | 2,038 | 2,960 | 3.500 | 4,305 | 1,545 | 208 | 18 | 13 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 | S. of Fitzwater Rd. <br> N. of Station Rd. . . . . . . . . . <br> S. of Station Rd | 2,099 | 3,040 | 3,600 | 4.428 | 1,596 | 231 | 11 | 13 |  |
|  | U. S. 21 |  | 1,879 | 2.720 | 3,300 | 3,820 | 1,413 | 192 | 6 | 12 |  |
| Broadview............... | - | S. of Station Rd. <br> N. W. of Pearl Rd. | 1,313 | 1,900 | 2,300 | 1.522 | 1.224 | 124 | * |  |  |
|  | 176 | N. W. of Pearl Rd. <br> S. E. of Pear Rd. | 8.821 | 12,790 | 15,300 | 9.532 | 8,399 | 970 | * | * |  |
|  | 176 | S. E. of Pear Rd.......... . . <br> N. of Schaaf Rd | 7,098 | 10,290 | 12,300 | 9.028 | 6,528 | 692 | 28 | 70 |  |
|  | 176 |  | 5,416 | 7,850 | 9,400 | 6.973 | 4,964 | 478 | 20 | 50 |  |
|  | 176 |  | 4,467 | 6,480 | 7,700 | 5,719 | 4,101 | 420 | 27 | 45 |  |
|  | 176 | N. of Brook Park Rd. . . . . . . S. of Brook Park Rd. $\qquad$ | 3,835 | 5,560 | 6,600 | 4.942 | 3,512 | 326 | 28 | 35 |  |
|  | 176 | N. of Short Rd............. | 2.590 | 3,760 | 4.500 | 3,355 | 2,374 | 211 | 18 | 20 |  |
|  | 176 |  | 2.269 | 3,290 | 3.900 | 2.951 | 2.076 | 174 | 15 | 17 |  |
|  | 176 | S. of Short Rd.............. | 2,122 | 3,080 | 3.700 | 4,777 | 1,613 | 158 | 15 | 6 |  |
|  | 176 | N. of Wallinge Rd........ . S. of Wallings Rd....... | 1,940 | 2,810 | 3.400 | 4.402 | 1,468 | 125 | 10 | 4 |  |
|  | 176 | N. of Oakes Rd............. | 1.642 | 2,380 | 2,800 | 3.710 | 1,245 | 111 | 10 | 2 |  |
|  | 176 |  | 1,587 | 2,300 | 2,700 | 3.653 | 1.178 | 90 | 10 | 2 |  |
| Broadway'............... | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \& 14 \\ & 8 \& \& 14 \\ & 8 \& 814 \\ & 8 \& 814 \\ & 8 \& 814 \\ & 8 \& 14 \end{aligned}$ | N. W. of E. 55 th St......... | 14.523 | 21,060 | 25,100 | 12,875 | 14.511 | 2.164 |  |  |  |
|  |  | S. E. of E. 55 th St......... | 20,896 | 30,300 | 36,200 | 18,036 | 20,980 | 3,468 | * | 63 |  |
|  |  | Cleveland City line. ${ }^{\text {N. W. of Dunham Rd..... }}$ | 3.078 | 4.460 | 5.300 | 3.649 | 2.901 | 649 | 70 | 163 |  |
|  |  | S. E. of Dunham Rd....... | 3,885 3,141 | 5,630 4,550 | 6,700 5,400 | 4,818 4,002 | 3,552 $\mathbf{2 , 8 5 2}$ | 606 418 | 76 49 | 52 30 |  |
|  |  | N. W. of Columbus St. (Bedford) | 7,800 | 11,310 | 13,500 | 4,002 15,217 | 6,363 | 676 | 59 | 31 |  |
|  | $8 \& 14$ |  | 10,160 |  |  |  | 8,356 |  | 70 | 38 |  |
|  | $8 \& 14$ | (Bedford) N. W. of Northfield Rd. . | 8,044 | 11,660 | 13,900 | 15.611 | 6,578 | 701 | 61 | 32 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc}8814 & \text { N. W. of Northield Rd..... } \\ 14\end{array}$ |  | 3,092 | 4,480 | 5.300 | 6.033 | 2.543 | 275 | 2F | 13 |  |
|  | 14 N. W. of Macedonia Rd. . . . |  | 2,370 | 3,440 | 4.100 | 4,223 | 1,958 | 183 | 16 | 7 |  |
|  | 14 | S. E. of Macedonia Rd. N. W. of Laing Rd. | 2.175 1.850 | 3.150 2,680 | 3.800 3,200 | 3.876 3.296 | 1,794 1,526 | 167 | 15 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1.850 | 2,680 | 3.200 | 3.296 | 1.526 | 142 | 11 |  |  |

[^22]- Roadway was in poor condition on Broadway between Cleveland city limits and McCracken Road and caused traffic to be less than normal.
(1. Cuyahoga County-Continued)

| Roed | Route No. | Location of station | Average daily total traffic |  |  | AverageSundaytrafice1927 | Average week-day trafic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total traffic | Truck trafic |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 3-4 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-71/2 } \\ \text { tona } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ |
| Brook Park.............. |  | E. of Broadview Rd........ W. of Broadview Rd........ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,344 \\ & 2,873 \\ & \mathbf{2}, 816 \end{aligned}$ | 1.9504.170 | 2,3005,000 | 1,816 | 1.256 | 222 | 1939 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,677 |  |  |  |
|  | .......... | E. of State Rd............. | $\begin{aligned} & 2,816 \\ & 3,048 \end{aligned}$ | 4,0804.420 | 4.900 5.300 | 3,816 | 2,573 | 369 | 39 | 45 |
| Brook Park.............. |  | W. of State Rd. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Woster Pik...... |  |  | 5,700 | 3,822 5,089 | 2,844 4,277 | - 524 | 45 | 63 90 |
|  |  | W. of Wooster Pike., ....... | 4.415 | 6.400 | 7.600 | 5,397 | 4,168 | 1,951 | 60 | 75 |
|  |  | E. of West 130 h St | 1.834 | 2.600 | 3.200 | 3,018 | 1.591 | 283 | 35 | 37 |
|  |  | W. of West 130 hh St . | 1,472 | 2.130 | 2.500 | 2,996 | 1,179 | 146 | 10 | 11 |
|  |  | E of Riverside Drive. | 1,344 | 1,950 | 2,300 | 3,151 | 1,000 | 129 | 10 | 12 |
|  |  | W. of Riverside Drive...... | 1, 165 | 240 $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 0}$ | 280 2,600 | 3,305 4,647 | 131 978 | 18 38 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Brookside................ |  |  | 1,524 | 2,210 130 | 2,600160 | $\begin{array}{r} 244 \\ 10,691 \end{array}$ | 978 63 | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 8 \\ 1,388 \end{array}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ |  |
|  |  | S. E. of Woodland Ave...... | 11,743 | 17,030 |  |  | 11,449 |  |  |  |
|  |  | W. of Woodhill Rd | 13,677 | 19,830 | 23,700 | 18,007 | 12,394 | 1,298 |  | * |
|  |  | E. of Woodhill Rd. | 9,344 | 13,550 | 16,200 | 12,530 | 9,124 | 1,444 | * | * |
|  |  | W. of S. Moreland Biv | 4,313 | 6,250 | 7,500 | 5,654 | 4,239 | - 707 | 53 | 77 |
| Bulkley Bryd. |  | N. W. of Detroit Ave | 32, 274 | 46,800 | 55,800 | 29,161 | 31.418 | 98 | * | * |
| Butternut Rid |  | E. of Dunham Rd. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ W, $\ldots$, | . 40 | 93060 | 1,70 | ${ }^{101}$ | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ \hline 80\end{array}$ |  | 2 | 1 |
| Button.................... | .......... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 391 | is. | 50" |
|  |  | N. W. of Warner Rd........ | 2,971 1,750 | 4,310 2,540 | $\mathbf{5 , 1 0 0}$ $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$ | 3.574 2.079 | 2,826 | 391 228 | 15 | 50 |
|  |  | N. W. of Dunham R | 1,405 | 2.040 | 2,400 | 3.715 | 1.948 | 116 | 10 | 5 |
|  |  | S. E. of Dunham Rd | 1.150 | 1.670 | 2,000 | 3.021 | 799 | 102 | 9 | 5 |
|  |  | N. of Fitzwater Rd. | 1,096 | 1,590 | 1.900 1.000 | 2.827 | 773 | 122 | 11 | 5 |
|  | \|r......... | E. of South Miles Rd. ${ }^{\text {E }}$. | $\begin{array}{r} 378 \\ 114 \\ 31.270 \end{array}$ |  | 1.000 | 1,480 | 422 | 78 | 3 | 2 |
| Cannon. <br> Carnegie Ave $\qquad$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ 45,340 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 54.100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 213 \\ 19,602 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 32,369 \end{array}$ | 17242 | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 45,340 55,460 | 66.200 | 25,997 | 32,011 |  | * | * |
| Cedar Ave. | …....... | W. of East S5th St......... |  | 11,14013.080 | 13,30015,600 |  | 8,205 | $\begin{array}{r}242 \\ 2486 \\ \hline 2.186\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  | E. of East S5th St.......... | $\begin{aligned} & 7,680 \\ & 9.024 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3,892 <br> 5,082 |  | 2,186 | * | * |
| Cedar Glen. . | ............ |  | 36,649 | 53,140 | $63.400$ | 32,737 | 35,082 | 2,410 1,830 | 159 | 198 |
| Cedar Rd................ |  | E. of Murray Hill Rd. W. of Fairmount Blvd. | 36,212 | 52.51033,900 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 32,929 \\ & 21,107 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,552 \\ & 22,354 \end{aligned}$ | 1,830 | 1409 | $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 174 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 23,214 \\ & 11,785 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 62,600 \\ & 40,500 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1,255 |  | 136 |
|  |  | E. of Fairmount Blvd <br> ... |  | 17.090 | 20,400 | $\begin{aligned} & 21,107 \\ & 10,510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,354 \\ & 11,301 \end{aligned}$ | 1.816 | 71 | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \\ 65 \end{array}$ |
|  | ............ | W. of Lee Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> E. of Lee Rd. | $\begin{aligned} & 8,627 \\ & 6,324 \end{aligned}$ | 12,5170 | $10.900$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.762 \\ & 5.748 \end{aligned}$ | 8,054 | $\begin{aligned} & 682 \\ & 577 \end{aligned}$ | 59 |  |
|  |  | W. of Warrensvile Ctr. Rd. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.624 \\ & 4.720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,170 \\ & 6,700 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 4.182 | 340 | 30 | 23 |
|  | -............ |  |  | 6,840 | 8,200 | 5,869 | 4,262 | 374 | 33 | 26 |
|  |  | W. of Richmond Rd. . . . . . | 3,832 | 5.560 | 6.600 | 4.879 | 3.434 | 189 | 13 | 22 |
|  |  | E. of Richmond Rd......... | 3,430 | 4,970 | 5.900 | 4,362 | 3,073 | 171 | 12 | 16 |
|  |  | W. of Brainard Rd. | 3,013 | 4,370 | 5,200 | 3,810 | 2,706 | 169 | 12 | 10 |
|  |  | E. of Brainard Rd. . . . . . . . | 2,802 | 4,060 | 4,800 | 3.551 | 2,514 | 147 | 12 | 9 |
|  | …........ | E. of Woodstock Rd........ |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 296 \\ & 158 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 430 \\ & 430 \\ & 230 \end{aligned}$ | 510 | - 828 | 194 | $22$ | 1 |  |
| Cedar Point. . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  | 270 | 422 | 176 |  |  | . ${ }^{\text {i }}$. |
| Center Ridge ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . | U. S. $^{20}$ | W. of Lewis Rd. ${ }^{\text {W }}$ W. of Wooster Rd......... | $\begin{array}{r} 223 \\ 2.490 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 320 \\ \mathbf{3}, 610 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 390 \\ 4,300 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 383 \end{array}$ | 10 |  |
|  | U. S. 20 | E. of Clague Rd. . . . . . . . . . | 2,812 | 3,080 | 4,900 4.900 | 4,781 | 2,029 | 383 614 | 30 26 | 15 |
|  | U. S. 20 | W. of Clague Rd. . . . . . . . . | 3,566 | 5,170 | 6,200 | 6,300 | 2,984 | 643 | 36 | 19 |
|  | U. S. 20 | E. of Hall Rd. | 3,591 | 5,210 | 6.200 | 6,447 | 2,978 | 576 | 36 | 18 |
|  | U. S. 20 | W. of Hall Rd. . . . . . . . . . | 4,466 | 6,480 | 7.700 | 8,171 | 3,668 | 615 | 36 | 17 |
|  | U. S. 20 | N. E. of Dover Ctr. Rd..... | 4,318 | 6,260 | 7,500 | 7.810 | 3,567 | 654 | 36 | 16 |
|  | U. S. 20 | S. W. of Dover Ctr. Rd.... | 4,355 <br> $\mathbf{3}, 686$ | 6,320 5 | 7,500 | 7.892 | 3.597 3.597 | 655 | 36 | 15 |
|  | U. S. $^{174}$174174174174174 | N. E of Bradley Rd....... | 3,686 3,837 | 5,340 | 6,400 | 6.762 | 3,037 | 522 | 36 | 14 |
| Chagrin River........... |  | S. W. of Bradley Rd....... | 3,837 $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | 5.560 $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ | 6.600 $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ | 7,150 | 3.136 142 | 479 14 | 34 | 13 |
|  |  | S. of Wilson Mills Rd........ | 474 | 690 | 820 | 852 | 148 38 | 62 | 3 | i |
|  |  | N. of Mayfield Rd.......... | 567 | 820 | 980 | 918 | 478 | 104 | 1 |  |
|  |  | N. of So. Woodland Rd..... | 245 | 360 | 420 | 670 | 164 | 17 |  |  |
|  |  | S. of So. Woodland Rd...... | 398 | 580 | 690 | 1.009 | 280 | 31 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | N. of So. Kinsman Rd...... | 63 | 90 | 110 | 159 | 45 |  |  |  |
| Chardon. |  | S. of So. Kinsman Rd. <br> N. of Euclid Ave | 76 1.677 | 110 2.430 | 130 2.900 | 207 2.204 |  | 286 | 25 | 16 |
|  | 85 | S. E. of Euclid Ave.......... | 1.677 | 2.430 3.210 | 2,900 | 2,204 | 1.562 | 286 |  |  |
|  | 85 | W. of Richmond Rd | 1,521 | 2.200 | 2,600 | 3.370 | 1,115 | 145 | 6 | 3 |
|  | 85 | N. of Richmond Rd.. | 1,293 | 1.880 | 2,200 | 3,669 | 1.823 | 120 | 5 | 3 |
| Chestnut Hilla Drive |  | N. of North Park Blvd | 413 | 600 | 710 | 374 | 400 | 20 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | S. of Lorain Rd.. | 454 | 660 | 780 | 887 | 361 | 36 | 1 |  |
|  |  | N. of Lorain Rd... | 1.142 | 1.660 | 2.000 | 1,516 | 1,028 | 121 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | S. of Center Ridge Rd...... | $\underline{1.640}$ | 2.380 | 2,800 | 1,897 | 1.557 | 78 |  |  |
|  |  | N. of Center Ridge Rd..... | 2.775 2.857 | 4.020 4.140 | 4,800 4.900 | 3.650 3.909 | 2,575 $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 4}$ | 322 400 | 14 |  |
|  |  | S. of Detroit Rd............ | 2.857 514 | 4.140 740 | $\begin{array}{r}4.900 \\ \hline 890\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,909 \\ \hline 972\end{array}$ | 2.644 | 400 90 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | S. of West Lake Rd......... | 462 | 670 | 800 | 827 | 370 | 104 |  | 1 |
| Clark Ave. |  | E. of Scranton Rd. .......... | 10.328 | 14,980 | 17.900 | 11,216 | 10.084 | 1.529 |  | * |
| Cleveland. |  | W. of Scranton Rd.......... | 12.629 | 18,310 | 21,800 | 13.962 | 12.630 | 2.012 | 15 | 6 |
| Cleveland. |  | S. W. of North SL (CBhagrin | 2.283 | 3,310 | 4,000 | 5,869 | 1,567 | 173 | 15 | 6 |
|  |  | Falls) | 2,335 | 3,390 | 4,000 | 5.974 | 1,608 | 191 | 12 | 15 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 90 | 100 | 38 | 63 | 12 |  |  |

ITrafic on Center Ridge between Hall and Wooster Roads below normal because of condition of roadway between Wooster and Clague Roads and the fact that Wooster Road was closed south of the Rocky River Bridge. Ridge and Detroit Roads.

${ }_{4}^{4}$ Westbound traffic over U. S. Route 20 was routed over Hall Road between Detroit and Center Ridge Roads resulting in abnormally high traffic.

## (1. Cuyahoga County-Continued)



[^23]\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Road} \& \& (1. Cuyahoga \&  \& -Cont \& inued) \& \& \& - \& \& 145 \\
\hline \& \multirow{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Route } \\
\& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Location of station} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average daily total traffic}} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Average
Sunday
traffic
1927} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total traffic} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Truck traffic} \\
\hline \& \& \& 1927 \& 1932 \& 1937 \& \& \& Total \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 5-7\%1 } \\
\& \text { tons } \\
\& \text { capacity }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mackenzie................} \& \& N. of Cook Rd. . . . . . . . . . . \& \({ }^{16} 128\) \& \({ }^{14} 190\) \& \({ }^{2} 220\) \& 12.241 \& \({ }^{16.836}\) \& 23 \& \& \\
\hline \& \& E. of Berea Rd. . . . . . . . . \({ }^{\text {W }}\). \& 16,606
16.189 \& 24,080
23.470 \& 28,700
28,000 \& 12,755
12.321 \& 16.838
16.482 \& 2,524 \& - \& *** \\
\hline \multirow[t]{18}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Main Ave \(\qquad\) \\
Mastic'. \(\qquad\) \\
Mayfield. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} \& .......... \& N. E. of Detroit A Ave. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \&  \& 7.039 \& 10.210
1,100 \& 12,200
1,300 \& 3,090
1,517 \& 7.414 \& 560
60 \& 3 \& 2 \\
\hline \& \& S. of Lorain Rd.............. \& + 456 \& 1.100

5600 \& 1.390
790 \& 1.517

4.645 \& + 404 \& | 60 |
| :--- |
| 41 |
| 28 | \& 2 \& 2 <br>

\hline \& U.S. 322 \& W. of Kenilworth Rd....... \& 3.924
10.014 \& 5.690
14.520 \& 6.800
17.300 \& +4,385 \& 3,592
$\mathbf{9 . 0 5 0}$ \& 528
824 \& 46 \& 57 <br>
\hline \& U. S
U.
S. 322 \& N. E. of Kenilworth Rd. . . . \& 10.014
11.780 \& 14.520
17.080 \& 17.300
20.400 \& 11.797 \& 9,050
10,753 \& 824
1,219 \& 72
106 \& 89
116 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& N. E. of Lee Rd. . . . . . . . . . \& 12.626 \& 18.310 \& 21,800 \& 14.386 \& 11,510 \& 1,322 \& 116 \& ${ }_{126}^{116}$ <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& W. of Taylor Rd............. \& 11.403 \& 16.530 \& 19.700 \& 12.871 \& 10.425 \& 1,314 \& 114 \& 108 <br>
\hline \& U. S. ${ }^{\text {U }} 322$ \& E. of Taylor Rd............ \& 14,104
$\mathbf{5}, 775$ \& $\mathbf{2 0 , 4 5 0}$
8.370 \& 24,400
10,000 \& 17.204 \& 12,700
5,184 \& 1,642 \& 143
35 \& 135
59 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& -W. of Noble Rd. . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$. \& 5,775
6.480 \& 8.370
9.400 \& 10.000
11,200 \& 7,210
8,006 \& 5.184
5.824 \& 505 \& 35
58 \& 59 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& W. of Green Rd. . . . . . . . . . . \& 6.102 \& 8.850 \& 10,600 \& 7.553 \& 5,481 \& 618 \& 55 \& 50 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& E. of Green Rd............. \& 5.423 \& 7,860 \& 9.400 \& 6,767 \& 4,887 \& 598 \& 52 \& 34 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& W. of Richmond Rd. . . . . . . \& 5.177 \& 7.510 \& 9,000 \& 9.182 \& 4,240 \& 529 \& 46 \& 24 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& E. of Richmond Rd........ \& 4,305
3,469 \& 6,240 \& 7.400 \& 7.565
5.974 \& 3.543
2.868 \& 4888 \& 42
37 \& 22 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& E. of Lander Rd. \& 3.407 \& 4,940 \& 5,900 \& 5.758 \& 2,839 \& 464 \& 30 \& 18 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& W. of S.O.M. Cir. R d...... \& 2,447 \& 3.550 \& 4.200 \& 4.199 \& 2,026 \& 274 \& 27 \& 18 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& E. of S, O. M. Crr. Rd. ${ }^{\text {d }}$. \& 1.473 \& 2.140 \& 2.500 \& 2.524 \& 1.220 \& 180 \& 25 \& 13 <br>
\hline \& U. S. 322 \& W. of Chagrin River Rd..... \& $\begin{array}{r}1.195 \\ \hline 829\end{array}$ \& 1.730
1.200 \& 2.100
1.400 \& 1.980
1.373 \& 1,001 \& 196 \& 17 \& 8
8 <br>
\hline \& U. S. ${ }_{43} 322$ \& E. of Chagrin River Rd..... \& 1.829
4.073 \& 1.200
5.910 \& 1,400
7.000 \& 1,373 \& 3, 691. \& 136
463 \& 16 \& 59 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Miles Ave...............} \& 43 \& S. E. of Lee Rd............. \& 2.914 \& 4,220 \& 5,000 \& 5,223 \& 3,203 \& 356 \& 33 \& 36 <br>
\hline \& 43 \& N.W.of Warrensvilie Ctr.Rd. \& 2.326 \& 3.370 \& 4,000 \& 4.300 \& 1,828 \& 282 \& 36 \& 30 <br>
\hline \& 43 \& S.E. of Warrensville Ctr. Rd. \& 2.971 \& 4,310 \& 5.100 \& 5,580 \& 2.455 \& 457 \& 65 \& 38 <br>
\hline \& 43 \& W. of North Miles Rd....... \& 3,196 \& 4.630 \& 5,500 \& 5,925 \& 2,615 \& 493 \& 51 \& 40 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Miller. |
| :--- |
| Miner. |} \& \& E. of Richfield Rd.......... \& 64 \& 90 \& 110 \& 99 \& 54 \& 14 \& 1 \& <br>

\hline \& \& N. of Highland Rd........... \& 162 \& 240
330 \& 280 \& 453 \& 155 \& 16 \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| Murray Hill |
| :--- |
| Noble. |} \& \& S. of Highland Rd.......... \& 1629

$\mathbf{2 , 3 7 3}$ \& 330
3,440 \& 400
4.100 \& 1.896 \& 155
2.352 \& 21
519 \& 30 \& <br>
\hline \& \& N. W. of Euclid Ave........ \& 2.317 \& 3,360 \& 4,000 \& 2,044 \& 2,255 \& 412 \& - \& + <br>
\hline \& \& S. E. of Euclid Ave. . . . . \& 10.813 \& 15,680 \& 18,700 \& 9.615 \& 10,721 \& 2.017 \& * \& * <br>
\hline \& \& At Greyton Rd. . . . . . . . . \& 10.128 \& 14,690 \& 17.500 \& 9.618 \& 9.961 \& 1,515 \& 132 \& 164 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Northfield...............} \& \& S. W. of Broadway. .......... \& 5,343 \& 7.750 \& 9.200 \& 10.374 \& 4.402 \& - 494 \& 43 \& 23 <br>
\hline \& 8 \&  \& 3.931 \& 5,700 \& 6,800 \& 7.684 \& 3,232 \& 329 \& 26 \& 16 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{North St................} \& \& Falls)................. \& 2.679 \& 3.880 \& 4.600 \& 6.043 \& 1,993 \& 229 \& 20 \& 14 <br>
\hline \& \& N. of Cleveland Rd.......... \& 1.330 \& 1.930 \& 2.300 \& 3.202 \& . 942 \& 134 \& 11 \& 9 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{North Miler.} \& ....... \& E. of Miles Ave............ \& 1.304 \& 1.890 \& 2.300 \& 2.650 \& 1,027 \& 253 \& 30 \& 21 <br>
\hline \& \& W. of S. O. M. Ctr. Rd..... \& -767 \& 1.110 \& 1.300 \& 1.341 \& 588 \& 112 \& 26 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& \& E. of S. O. M. Ctr. Rd. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& 751 \& 1.090 \& 1,300 \& 1,361 \& 582 \& 92 \& 12 \& 2 <br>
\hline North Morland Blvd... \& , \& N. of Larchmere (Woodland) \& 7.065 \& 10.240 \& 12.200 \& 6,540 \& 7.099 \& 679 \& 59 \& 73 <br>
\hline North Park Blvd. \& ……... \& S. of Larchmere (Woodland). \& 6.677 \& 9.680 \& 11.600 \& 6.419 \& 6.664 \& 422 \& 37 \& 46 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{North Woodland.} \& \& W. of Chestnut Hills Drive. \& 4.594 \& $\mathbf{6 . 6 6 0}$
7,240 \& 7.900
8.600 \& 4.319
4.684 \& 4.428
4.812 \& 20
31 \& 1 \& $\stackrel{2}{3}$ <br>
\hline \& \& W. of Richmond Rd. . ...... \& + 778 \& 1,130 \& 1,300 \& 4.684
1.656 \& 4.618 \& 51 \& 2 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& , \& E of Richmond Rd......... \& 619 \& - 900 \& 1,100 \& 1.319 \& 491 \& 40 \& 2 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& \& W. of S.O.M. Ctr. Rd..... \& 505 \& 730 \& - 870 \& 1.074 \& 401 \& 35 \& 2 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& ..... \& E. of. S. M. Ctr. Rd...... \& 323
3.421 \& 470
4,960 \& 560
5.900 \& 1.587
3.780 \& 272
3.285 \& [ 28. \& 1 \& $\cdots$ <br>
\hline \& \& S. E. of SL Clair Ave. . . . \& 3.480 \& 2,150 \& 2.600 \& 1.167 \& 3.285
1.500 \& 581
254 \& * \& <br>
\hline Norwood. \& \& N.W. of Warrensville Ctr.R.R \& 3,345 \& 4,850 \& 5,800 \& 4,302 \& 3,062 \& 356 \& 40 \& 29 <br>
\hline Oakes.. \& \& E. of Broadview Rd......... \& + 50 \& -70 \& - 90 \& -43 \& . 49 \& 6 \& \& <br>
\hline Pettibone \& \& N. E, of S. O. M. Cet. Rd.. \& 36 \& 50 \& 60 \& 85 \& 24 \& 2 \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{Pleasant Valley} \& \& W. of S. O. M. Ctr. Rd..... \& 183 \& 260 \& 320 \& 282 \& 153 \& 31 \& 1 \& <br>
\hline \& \& W. of Brecksville Rd........ \& 447 \& 650 \& 770 \& 779 \& 382 \& 60 \& 3 \& <br>
\hline \& ......... \& E. of State Rd. . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {W. of State Rd. . }}$. \& 556 \& 820
820 \& 980
980 \& 1.337
1.350 \& 414
405 \& 48 \& 2 \& 4 <br>
\hline \& \& E. of Sidge Rd. . . . . . . . . . . \& 564
542 \& 820
790 \& 980
940 \& 1.350
1.302 \& 405 \& 37
32 \& 2 \& 3
1 <br>
\hline \& \& W. of Ridge Rd. . ${ }^{\text {a }}$. \& 562 \& 820 \& 970 \& 1.341 \& 401 \& 36 \& 3 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& \& S. E. of Wooster Pike Rd... \& 434 \& 630 \& 750 \& - 800 \& 349 \& 64 \& 3 \& 2 <br>
\hline Porter...... \& \& N. of Lorain Rd........... \& 447 \& 650 \& 770 \& 622 \& 392 \& 49 \& 2 \& 1 <br>
\hline Prospect Rd. \& \& W. of Rast S5th St......... \& $\begin{array}{r}8,679 \\ \hline 439\end{array}$ \& 12.580
640 \& 15.000
760 \& 6,513
810 \& $\begin{array}{r}8.852 \\ \hline 352\end{array}$ \& 1.166
80 \& * \& <br>
\hline \& \& S. of Royalton Rd........... \& 377 \& 550 \& 650 \& 883 \& 305 \& 70 \& 1 \& <br>
\hline Richfield. \& \& S. of Barr Rd. . . . . . . . . . . \& 136 \& 200 \& 240 \& 218 \& 115 \& 18 \& 1 \& <br>
\hline \& \& N. E. of Barr Rd. . . . . . . . \& 130 \& 190 \& 220 \& 216 \& 108 \& 12 \& 1 \& <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{Richmond.} \& $175{ }^{\circ}$ \& W. of Brecksville R R ${ }^{\text {S }}$......... \& 498 \& 720 \& 880 \& 837 \& 416 \& 70 \& 9 \& <br>
\hline \& 175 \& S. of Chardon Rdi.......... \& 826 \& 1.200 \& 1.400 \& 1.585 \& 635 \& 119 \& 10 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& 175 \& N. of Highland Rd.......... \& 790 \& 1.150
$\mathbf{1}, 150$ \& 1,400
1,400 \& 1.521
1.556 \& 603
611 \& 108 \& 12 \& 5
5 <br>
\hline \& 175 \& N. of Anderson Rd. . . . . . . . \& 1.329 \& 1,150 \& 1,400 \& 1,536 \& 1.026 \& 198 \& 17 \& 11 <br>
\hline \& 175 \& S of Anderson Rd. \& 1.310 \& 1.900 \& 2,300 \& 2,337 \& 1.056 \& 295 \& 23 \& 15 <br>
\hline \& 175 \& N. of Mayfield Rd.......... \& 1.676 \& 2.430 \& 2.900 \& 2,864 \& 1.381 \& 240 \& 21 \& 11 <br>
\hline \& $\begin{array}{r}175 \\ \hline 175\end{array}$ \& S. of Mayfield Rd............ \& 1.263 \& 1.830 \& 2.200 \& 2.173 \& 1.041 \& 174 \& 10 \& ${ }^{8}$ <br>
\hline \& 175 \&  \& 1.202
1.010 \& 1,740 \& 2.100
1.700 \& 2,493
2,053 \& 945
795 \& 98
76 \& 5 \& 10 <br>
\hline \& 175 \& N. of No. Woodiand R d..... \& 1.010 \& 1,460 \& 1.700
1.700 \& 2.053
2.131 \& 816 \& 90 \& 4 \& 4 <br>
\hline \& 175
175 \& S. of No. Woodland Rd...... \& 1,172 \& 1,700 \& 2,000 \& 2,432 \& 918 \& 88 \& 4 \& 4 <br>
\hline \& 175 \& S. of South Miles Rd........ \& 275 \& 400 \& 480 \& 587 \& 228 \& 19 \& 1 \& 1 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^24]
## (1. Cuyahoga County-Continued)



[^25](1. Cuyahoga County-Continued)

| Road | Route No. | Locrtion of station | Average daily total traffic |  |  | Average Sunday traffic $-1927$ | Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total traffic | Truck traffic |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 3-4 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-71 / 3 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ |
| South Miles. . . . . . . . . . . | 43 | S. E. of Cannon Rd........ | 1,775 | 2.570 | 3.100 | 3,990 | 1,328 | 187 | 16 | 11 |
|  | 43 | N. W. of S. O. M. Cir. Rd.. | 1.621 | 2.350 | 2,800 | 3.666 | 1,203 | 134 | 12 | 5 |
|  | 43 | S. E. of S. O. M. Ctr. Rd... | 1,847 | 2,680 | 3,200 | 4.637 5.196 | 1,280 | 124 | 10 |  |
| South Moreland Blvd.... |  | N. W. of Buckeye Rd. . . . . . | 4,220 | 6.120 | 7,300 | 5.196 | 3.969 | 125 | 10 | 4 |
| South Woodland. . . . . . . |  | S. E. of Buckeye Rd. ....... | 4,838 4,319 | 7,020 | 8,400 7,500 | 6,630 6,047 | 4,440 4,172 | 200 | 12 | 7 |
|  |  | W. of Lee Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,964 | 5,750 | 6,900 | 5,597 | 3,833 | 589 | 51 | 56 |
|  |  | E. of Lee Rd.. . . . . . . . . . | 4,237 | 6,140 | 7,300 | 6,061 | 4,164 | 479 | 40 | 30 |
|  |  | W. of Warrensville Ctr. Rd.. | 1,562 | 2,260 | 2,700 | 2,369 | 1.500 | 157 | 15 | 15 |
|  |  | E. of Warrensville Ctr. Rd.. | 1,511 | 2,190 | 2,600 | 2,317 | 1. 448 | 132 | 12 | 10 |
|  |  | W. of Lander Rd. . . . . . . . . | 1,101 | 1,600 | 1,900 | 1,863 | 894 | 78 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  | E. of Lander Rd.......... | 1,065 | 1,540 | 1,800 | 1,785 | 859 | 77 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  | N. W. of Chagrin River Rd. | 284 | + 410 | 1.490 | 611 | 210 | 33 | 1 | 1 |
| Spencer................................... |  | S. of Lorain Rd. . . . . . . . . . . | 710 | 1,030 | 1,200 | 900 | 648 | 116 | 5 | 4 |
|  |  | E. of Jacque Rd. . . . . . . . . . . | 85 | 120 | . 150 | 145 | 75 | 12 | 1 |  |
|  |  | W. of Jacque Rd... . . . . . . . | 90 529 | 130 | 160 | 151 | 80 | 112 | 10 |  |
|  |  | E, of Columbia Rd.......... | 529 | 770 | 920 | 857 | 468 30 | 111 | 10 | 4 |
|  |  | W. of Columbia Rd........ | 33 2.766 | + 50 | 60 4800 | + 53 | 30 2.709 |  |  |  |
| State................... | $94^{\prime \prime}$ | N. of Brook Park Rd. . . . . . . . | 2.766 | 4,010 | 4,800 | 2,738 | 2,709 | 501 | 47 | 51 |
|  | 94 |  | 3,975 1,233 | 5,760 1,790 | 6,900 2,100 | 5,140 2.287 | 3.684 1.011 | 568 173 | 79 | 55 20 |
|  | 94 | N. of Pleasant Valley Rd... | 1,233 | 1,790 | 2,100 2,000 | 2,287 2,073 | 1.011 | 173 | 25 | 20 |
|  | 94 | S. of Pleasant ${ }^{\text {N }}$ of Royalton Rd.... | 1,128 | 1,440 | 1,700 | 1,787 | 826 | 167 | 25 | 13 |
|  | $94^{\prime \prime}$ | S. of Royalton Rd. | 1,030 | 1,490 | 1,700 | 1,837 | 846 | 161 | 14 | 15 |
|  | 94 | N. of Edgerton Rd. | 788 | 1,140 | 1,400 | 1,370 | 655 | 142 | 12 | 8 |
|  | 94 | S. of Edgerton Rd. | 749 | 1,090 | 1,300 | 1,319 | 618 | 125 | 11 | 4 |
|  | 94 | N. W. of Wiltshire Rd. | 576 | 840 | 1,000 | 1,043 | 470 | 76 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 94 | S. E. of Wiltshire Rd....... | 567 | 820 | 980 | 1,020 | 464 | 78 | 3 | 1 |
| State St........... . . . . . . |  | N. W. of Washington St. <br> (Chagrin Falls) | 48 | 70 | 80 | 48 | 46 | 5 |  |  |
|  | U. S. 422 | S, E. of Washington St..... | 3.399 | 4,930 | 5,900 | 7.378 | 2,529 | 261 | 24 | $4^{*}$ |
|  | ${ }^{82}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{W}} \mathrm{E}_{\text {. }}$ of Riverview Rd...... | . 379 | - 550 | . 660 | . 888 | $\begin{array}{r}2,562 \\ \hline 350\end{array}$ | 56 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 82 | W. of Riverview Rd... | 493 | 720 | 850 | 1,170 | 350 | 75 | 3 | 1 |
| St. Claire Ave.... . . . . . . . | 82 | E. of Brecksville Rd......... | 791 19 | 1.150 | 1.400 | 1,929 | + 548 | [ 92 | 9 | 1 |
|  |  | S. W. of East 55th St. . . . . | 19,904 24,821 | 28,860 35 | 34,400 42,900 | 16,176 | 19,960 24,908 | 2,648 | * | * |
|  | . $6 . .10$. | N. E. of East 55th St...... | 24,821 29,695 | 35,990 43,060 | 42,900 51,400 | 20,092 | 24,908 29,746 | 3,425 3,741 | * | * |
|  |  | S. W. of East 72nd St... | 29,887 | 43,060 30.270 | 31,400 | 24,203 | 29,746 21,062 | 3,741 | * | * |
|  |  | S. W. of East 152nd St..... | 8,031 | 11.640 | 13.900 | 6,526 | 8,050 | 1.244 | * | * |
|  | .......... | N. E. of East 152nd St...... | 4.453 | 6,460 | 7.700 | 4,360 | 4,305 | 713 | * | * |
|  | .......s... | S. W. of Nottingham Rd.... | 2.895 | 4,200 | 5,000 | 3,000 | 2,772 | 515 | * | * |
|  | . .......... | N. E. of Notingham Rd.... | 3,194 | 4,630 | 5,500 | 3,351 | 3,052 | 506 | * | * |
|  | . . . . . . . . . | S. W. of East 200th St. . . . . | 1,956 | 2,840 | 3,400 | 2,004 | 1,879 | 363 | 91 | 22 |
|  | . . . . . . . . . | N. E. of East 200th St. . . . . | 1,909 | 2,770 | 3,300 | 1,949 | 1,835 | 360 | 97 | 22 |
| Stearns. |  | S. of Lorain Rd. . . . . . . . . . | 93 | 140 | 160 | 123 | 90 | 21 | 1 |  |
|  |  | N. of Irish Rd.. | 80 | 120 | 140 | 165 | 67 | 8 | 2 |  |
|  |  | S, of Irish Rd. . . . . . . . . . . | 71 | 100 | 120 | 122 | 62 | 16 | 1 | - |
| Superior high-level bidge (West End) Superior Ave. $\qquad$ |  | E. of West 25th St. | 56,162 | 81,440 | 97,200 | 47,800 | 55,281 | 4,527 | * | * |
|  | U. S. 20 | S. W. of East 55th St. . . . . | 33,076 | 47,960 | 57,200 | 32,851 | 31,986 | 2,944 | * | * |
|  | U.S. 20 | E. of East 55th St......... | 31,073 | 45,060 | 53,800 | 25.443 | 30,981 | 2,940 | * | * |
|  | U. S. 20 | W. of East 105th St. . . . . . . | 20,288 | 29,420 | 35, 100 | 16,698 | 20.182 | 1,617 | * | * |
|  | U. S. 20 | E. of East 105th St. | 20,288 | 29.420 | 35,100 | 16.698 | 20,182 | 1,617 | + | * |
|  | U. S. 20 | W. of Euclid Ave.. | 16,004 | 23.210 | 27.700 | 17.271 | 15.206 | 1,157 | * | * |
| Superior Rd............. |  | S. E. of Euclid Ave.. | 19,768 | 28,660 | 34,200 | 21,488 | 18.773 | 1,437 | * | * |
|  |  | N. W. of Coventry Rd...... | 21,423 | 31,060 | 37, 100 | 23,567 | 20,282 | 1,242 | 108 | 134 |
|  |  | S. E. of Coventry Rd. ..... | 15.796 | 22,900 | 27,300 | 19,738 | 14,553 | 931 | 81 | 101 |
| Surry. |  | N. of Cedar Rd............. | 2,874 | 4,170 | 5,000 | 2,940 | 2,725 | 363 | 32 | 39 |
| Taylor |  | S. of Mayfield Rd........... | 4.465 | 6,470 | 7,700 | 5,514 | 4.162 | 641 | 56 | 53 |
|  |  | N. of Fairmount Blvd....... | 2,594 | 3,760 | 4,500 | 3,349 | 2,397 | 353 | 9 | 31 |
| Tiedema |  | S. W. of Linndale Rd. . . . . . . | . 621 | - 900 | 1,100 | 1,198 | 2, 524 | 52 | 2 | 4 |
| Turney ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 171 7110 | + 250 | 12300 12300 | + 288 | 6. 143 | 85 | 衰 | **** |
|  |  | S. E. of Warner Rd. . . . . . . | 7.110 | 10.310 | 12,300 | 8,230 | 6,775 | 852 | ${ }^{*}$ |  |
|  |  | N. of Garfield Blvd. . . . . . . | 6,111 | 8,860 | 10,600 | 7,057 | 5,831 | 759. | 54 | $82$ |
|  | . . . . . . . . . | S. of Garfield Blvd. . . . . . . . . | 4,632 | 6,720 | 8,000 | 5,311 | 4,428 | 614 | 34 | 75 |
|  | ... | W. of Dunham Rd.......... | 759 81 | 1,100 120 | 1,300 140 | $\begin{array}{r}2.122 \\ \hline 158\end{array}$ | 547 72 | 67 38 | 3 2 | 3 |
| Union Ave. |  | E. of East 93rd St. . . . . . . . . . | 8,256 | 11,970 | 14,300 | 11,817 | 7,439 | 1,127 | * | * |
| Upson. |  | W. of East 93rd St. . . . . . . . | 8,015 | 11, 620 | 13,900 | 11,446 | 7. 227 | 1,117 | * | * |
|  |  | N. of Euclid Ave.......... | 119 97 | 170 140 | 210 170 | 129 162 | 107 86 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| Wallings | . . . . . . . . . | S. W. of Schady Rd. . . . . . . . . | 90 | 130 | 160 | 141 | 81 | 21 | 1 |  |
|  | , | E. of Broadview Rd......... | 472 | 680. | 820 | 1,304 | 308 | 61 | 5 | $3$ |
| Walton. |  | W. of Broadview Rd........ | 244 | 350 | 420 | , 707 | 152 | 17 |  | $1$ |
|  |  | N. of Alexander Rd........ | 80 | 120 | 140 | 204 | 58 | 8 |  |  |
| Warner. | . | S. of Alexander Rd......... | 13.713 | 110 19.880 | 130 23.700 | 16.052 | 13, 514 |  | * | * |
|  |  | N. E. of Turney Rd........ | 13.713 6,780 | 19,880 9,830 | 23,700 11,700 | 16,052 7,926 | 13,014 6,235 | 1,579 786 | * | * |
|  | ............ | N. of Canal Rd............ | 2,162 | 3,140 | 3,700 | 2,533 | 2,069 | 363 | 16 | 61 |
| Warrensville Center ${ }^{12}$. . . . |  | N. of Mayfield R d . | 2,233 | 3,240 | 3.900 | 2,677 | 2,028 | 329 | 33 | 38 |
|  | . . . . . . . . . | S. of Mayfield Rd........... | - 979 | 1,420 | 1,700 | 1,139 | 2,886 | 172 | 13 | 23 |
|  | ........... | N. of Cedar Rd........ . . . . . | 797 | 1,160 | 1,400 | '930 | 774 | 173 | 15 | 12 |

11 Turney Road being paved but passable and open to traffic.
${ }^{12}$ Traffic on Warrensville Center Road between Mayfield and Kinsman Roads was abnormaliy low because of condition of roadway, parts of which were practically impassable.
(1. Cuyahoga County-Continued)

| Road | Route No. | Location of station | Average daily total traffic |  |  | Average Sunday 1927 | Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total traffic | Truck trafic |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | Total | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3-4 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-736 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ |
| Wonater Rd. . . York. | U. S. 20 | N. of Center Ridge Rd. . | 3.698 | 5,360 | 6,400 | 6,600 | 2,994 | 511 | 36 | 25 |
|  |  |  | 1.245 | 1,800 | 2,200 | 1.913 | 1,006 | 114 | 5 | 5 |
|  | ..... | N. of Albion Rd. . . . . . . | - 641 | 930 | 1,100 | 2.141 | , 344 | 43 | 2 | 1 |
|  | . . . . . | S. of Albion Rd........ | 559 | 810 | ( 970 | 1,856 | 301 193 | - $\quad 40$ | 2 | 1 |
|  | .......... | N. of Royalton Rd...... | 326 166 | 470 240 | ( 560 | $\begin{array}{r}1,006 \\ \hline 464\end{array}$ | 193 116 | - $\begin{array}{r}53 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 2 | 1 |

2. Geauga County

| Road | Route No. | Location of Station | Average daily total traffic |  |  | Average Sunday 1927 | Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total traffic | Truck traffic |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | Total | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3-4 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { 5-71/2 } \\ \text { cons } \\ \text { copacity } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
|  | 44 | N. of U. S. Route 322...... | 490 | 550 | 570 | 853 | 399 | 70 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 44 | S. of U. S. Route 322...... | 126 | 140 | 150 | 217 | 103 | 19 |  |  |
|  | 44 | N. of U.S. Route 422...... | 100 | 110 | 120 | 135 | 86 | 10 |  |  |
|  | 44 | S. of U. S. Route 422...... | ${ }^{456}$ | ${ }_{1} 510$ | - 530 | - 949 | 340 | 58 |  |  |
|  | 87 87 | W. of Chillicothe Road..... E. of Chillicothe Rd...... | 1,092 | 1.220 860 | 1,300 890 | 2,580 1,775 | 783 550 | 135 | 12 | $\frac{4}{3}$ |
|  | 87 87 | E. of Chillicothe Rd........ | 763 | 860 | 890 | 1,775 | 550 | 104 |  | 3 |
|  |  |  | 719 | 800 | 840 | 1,811 | 497 | 103 | 9 | 3 |
|  | 87 | E. of Chardon-Auburn Ctr. | 774 | 870 | 910 | 1,743 | 565 | 111 | 10 | 3 |
|  | 88 | N. of U. S. Route $422 . \ldots$ | 610 270 | 680 300 | 710 320 | $\begin{array}{r}1,964 \\ \hline 549\end{array}$ | 499 199 | 90 55 | 4 2 | 1 |
|  | U. ${ }^{88}$. 322 | W. of Chillicothe Road..... | 1,070 1.07 | 1,200 | 1,300 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1,828 } \\ \hline 1.829\end{array}$ | 199 884 | $\begin{array}{r}135 \\ \hline 138\end{array}$ | 12 | 1 |
|  | U. S. 322 | E. of Chillicothe Road....... | 1,023 | 1,150 | 1,200 | 1,729 | 840 | 138 | 12 | 4 |
|  | U.S. 322 | W. of Route 44............. | 575 | 640 | 670 | . 975 | 474 | 101 | 9 | 3 |
|  | U. S. 322 | E. of Route 44............ | +627 | $\begin{array}{r}700 \\ \hline 790\end{array}$ | - 730 | 1,036 | +524 | 134 | 12 | 4 |
|  | U.S. 422 | W. of Route 44........... | 2,489 $\mathbf{2}, 408$ | 2,790 $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0}$ | 2,900 | 4,762 4,557 | 1,954 | 187 198 | 17 | 5 |
|  | U. S. 422 |  | 2,265 | 2,540 | 2,600 | 4,448 | 1,764 | 187 | 16 | 5 |
|  | U.S. 422 |  | 2,350 | 2.630 | 2.800 | 4,599 | 1,827 | 161 | 14 | 4 |
| Bainbridge-Solon. <br> Chagrin Falls-Bainbridge. |  | E. of Chagrin River Rd...... | - 151 | 170 30 | $\begin{array}{r}180 \\ \hline 30\end{array}$ | - 336 | +116 | 27 | 1 |  |
|  |  | W. of Chagrin River Rd.... N. W. of Chillicothe Rd.... | 28 265 | 30 300 | 30 310 | 678 | 21 193 | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | i ${ }^{\text {c. }}$ |  |
|  |  | E. of Chillicothe Rd.... | 111 | 120 | 130 | 226 | 197 | 18 | 2 |  |
| Chagrin River. .......... Chardon-Auburn Ctr.... |  | N. of Bainbridge Rd......... | 152 | 170 | 180 | 332 | 118 | 30 | 1 |  |
|  |  | N. of Route 87.............. | 157 | 180 | 180 | 263 | 130 | 30 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | 189 | 210 | 220 | , 265 | 163 | 34 | 1 |  |
| Chillicathe. |  | N. of U. S. Route 322...... | 832 | 930 | 970 | 1,464 | 703 | 105 | 9 | 3 |
|  |  |  | 531 | 600 590 | 620 | 1.898 | 445 | 81 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | N. of Route 87 | 524 | 590 180 | 610 190 | 1,259 | 375 113 | 59 | 2 |  |
|  |  | S. of Route 87. <br> N. of Chagrin Failo-Bain- | 161 | 180 | 190 | 412 | 113 | 11 |  |  |
|  |  | bridge Rd................ | 50 | 60 | 60 | 114 | 39 | 11 |  |  |
|  |  | S. of Chagrio Fallo-Bainbridge Rd. | 337 | 380 | 390 | 791 | 262 | 55 | 2 | 1 |
| Parkman-Nelson. |  | S. of Route 88............... | 100 | 110 | 120 | 140 | 83 | 24 | 1 |  |

3. Lake County

| Road | Route No. | Location of station | Average daily total traffic |  |  | Average Sunday ${ }_{1927}$ | Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total traffic | Truck traffic |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | Total | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3-4 \\ \text { tons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5-71 / 2 \\ & \text { tons } \\ & \text { capacity } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | U. S. 20 | S. W. of Route 91. . . . . . . | 7,646 | 9,100 | 10,500 | 13,148 | 6,627 | 753 | 39 | 27 |
|  | U. S. S. 20 | N. E. of Route $91 . \ldots \ldots .$. | 7,923 | 9,430 | 10,900 | 12,882 | 6.888 | 764 | 42 | 26 |
|  | U. S. 20 | S.W. of Center St. (Mentor). | 8,088 | 9.620 | 11.100 | 13,336 | 6.989 | 647 | 36 | 23 |
|  | U.S. S. 20 | N. E. of Center St. (Mentor) | 9.227 | 10,980 6.300 | $\begin{array}{r}12,600 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15.237 8.678 | 7,967 4,591 | 725 487 | 40 | 25 |
|  | U. S. 20 | W. of Township Park Rd... E. of Township Park Rd... | 5,297 4,736 | 6,300 5,640 | 7,300 6,500 | 8,678 | 4,591 4,102 | 487 | 42 | 12 |
|  | U. S. ${ }_{44}$ | N. of Concord-Hambden R R d. | -961 | 1,140 | 1,300 | 1,574 | . 800 | 63 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 44. | S. of Concord-Hambden Rd. | 912 | 1,080 | 1,200 | 1,493 | 758 | 59 | 2 | 1 |
|  | $84{ }^{\text {8 }}$ | W. of Riverside Drive. . . . . | 2,928 | 3,480 | 4,000 | 4.810 | 2,543 | 287 | 25 | 8 |
|  | 84 | E. of Riverside Drive. . . . . . | 2,521 | 3,000 | 3,500 | 4.147 | 2.189 | 242 | 21 | 7 |
|  | 85 | W. of Bishop Road. . . . . . . | 1,058 | 1,260 | 1,400 | 3,084 | 677 585 | 87 84 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 85 | E. of Bishop Road. . . . . . . W. of Route $91 . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1,988 1,022 | 1,180 | 1,400 1,400 | 3,176 | 585 596 | 89 | 4 | 3 2 |
|  | 85 | E. of Route $91 . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,283 | 1,530 | 1,700 | 4,160 | 740 | 83 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 85 | W. of Chillicothe Rd. . . . . . . | - 921 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 2;368 | 630 | 72 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 85 | E. of Chillicothe Rd....... | 827 | 980 | 1,100 | 2,080 | 575 | 73 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 86 | N. W. of Bank St. (Paines- | 1,154 | 1,370 | 1,600 | 1,726 | 991 | 193 | 17 | 5 |
|  | 86 | S. E. of Bank St. (Paines- | 966 | 1,150 | 1,300 | 1,469 | 826 | 145 | 13 | 4 |
|  | 91 | S. of U. S. Route 20........ | 1,285 | 1,530 | 1,700 | 3,285 | 876 | 100 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 91 | N. of Route $85 . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,499 | 1,780 | 2,100 | 4,056 | 1,015 | 57 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 91 | S. of Route 85.... . . . . . . . . . | 1,514 | 1, 800 | 2,100 | 4,099 | 1,027 | 61 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 175 | S. W. of Vine St. . . . . . . . . . | 5,142 $\mathbf{3 , 0 4 1}$ | 6.120 3.620 | 7.000 4.200 | 11.054 6.751 | 3,905 $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 9}$ | 255 | 13 | 7 4 |
|  | 175 175 | N. E. of Vine St............ | 3,041 | 3,620 2,280 | 4,200 | 6,751 3,430 | 2,349 1,573 | 125 92 | 6 5 | 4 3 |
|  | 175 | N. E. of Lost Nation Rd.... | 2,542 | 3,020 | 3,500 | 4,577 | 2,086 | 111 | 5 | 3 |
| Bank St. (Painesville).... |  | N. E. of Route 86. . . . . . . . | 93 | 110 | 130 | 148 | 79 | 10 |  |  |
|  |  | S. W. of Route 86. . . . . . . . | 320 | 380 | 440 | + 473 | 275 | 57 | 2 |  |
| Bishop................ |  | S. of Route 85.... . . . . . . . | 709 | 840 | 970 | 1,907 | . 456 | -57 | 2 | 2 |
| Ceater St. (Mentor) . . . . |  | N. of U. S. Route 20. . . . . . | 1,281 | 1,520 | 1,700 | 2.061 | 1,118 | 144 | 13 | 4 |
|  |  | S. of U. S. Route 20...... | 1,247 | 1,480 | 1,700 | 2,038 | 1,081 | 121 | 11 | 3 |
| Chillicothe. |  | N, of Willoughby-Kirtiand. Chardon Rd S. of Willoughby-Kirtland- | 1,250 | 1,490 | 1,700 | 2,006 | 1,048 | 109 | 9 | 3 |
|  |  | Chardon Rd.............. | 1,593 | 1,900 | 2,200 | 2,500 | 1,345 | 160 | 14 | 4 |
|  |  | N. of Route 85. . . . . . . . | 1.074 | 1,280 | 1,500 | 2.831 | 755 | 73 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | S. of Route 85. . . . . . . . . . . . | 808 | -960 | 1.100 | 2,142 | 563 | 57 | 2 |  |
| Concord-Hambden. . . . . |  |  | 89 14 | 110 | 120 .20 | 145 21 | 73 12 | 6 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 912 | 1,080 | 1,200 | 2,259 | 616 | 83 | 3 | 3 |
| French.................... |  | N. W. of St. Clair Ave. . . . | 1,320 | 1,570 | 1,800 | 2,314 | 1,077 | 147 | 13 | 10 |
|  |  | S. E. of St. Clair Ave . . . . . | 1,462 | 1,740 | 2,000 | 2,451 | 1,230 | 207 | 18 | 14 |
| Lost Nation. . . . . ${ }^{\text {L }}$. . . |  | S. of Route 175............ | 1,648 | 1,960 | 2,300 | 2,936 | 1,359 | 101 | 5 | 3 |
| Riverside Drive (Painesville) |  | S. of Route 84 | 481 | 570 | 660 | 785 | 418 | 52 | 2 | 1 |
| St. Clair Ave. . . . . . . . . . |  | W. of Lloyd Rd. . . . . . . . . . | 447 | 530 | 610 | 808 | 368 | 107 | 9 | 7 |
|  |  | E. of Lloyd Rd......... . . . . | 297 | 350 | 410 | 413 | 254 | 34 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | N. of U. S. Route 20. . . . . . | 832 | 990 | 1,100 | 1,361 | 720 | 78 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | E. of Route 175........... | 2.429 | 2,890 | 3,300 | 4,786 | 2,043 | 160 | 8 | 5 |
|  |  | N. W. of Chillicothe Rd.... | 1,120 | 1,330 $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | 1,500 400 | $\begin{array}{r}1,746 \\ \hline 454\end{array}$ | 947 249 | 119 34 | 10 | 3 |

## APPENDIX

4. Lorain County

| - Road | Route No. | Location of atation | Average daily total traffic |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Sunday } \\ \text { traffic } \\ 1927 \end{gathered}$ | Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total traffic | Truck traffic |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | Total | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3-4 \\ \text { cons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-71 / 2 \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ |
| Belden-Avon...... | 2 | E. of Lakebreeze Rd. . | 2,718 | 3,780 | 4,300 | 5,096 | 2,156 | 266 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ | W. of Lakebreeze Rd........ | 2.661 | 3.700 | 4.200 | 5,126 | 2,090 | 271 | 10 | 11 |
|  | U. S. 20 | E of Belden-Avon Rd...... | 4.649 | 6.460 | 7.300 | 6,663 | 4,056 | 583 | 38 | 14 |
|  | U. S. 20 | W. of Belden-Avon Rd..... | 4.733 4.786 | 6.580 | 7.500 | 6',809 | 4,097 | 600 | 40 | 19 |
|  | U. S. 20 | N. of Lagrange Rd......... | 4.786 <br> 3.888 | 6.650 5.400 | 7.600 $\mathbf{6 . 1 0 0}$ | 7,001 | 4,109 | 491 | 60 | 14 |
|  | U. S. ${ }_{\text {S7 }}{ }^{20}$ | S. of North Ridge Rd...... | 3,888 $\mathbf{3 , 2 5 7}$ | 5,400 $\mathbf{4 , 5 3 0}$ | 6,100 5,200 | 5,665 | 3,345 $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 0}$ | 425 | 18 | 12 |
|  | 57 | S. E. of North Ridge Rd.... | 2,457 | 3,420 | 3,900 | 4,280 | 1,989 | 204 | 13 | 2 |
|  | 57 | N. W. of Elyria Ave....... | 2,065 | 2,870 | 3,300 | 3,649 | 1,664 | 152 | 10 | 2 |
|  | 57 | S. E. of Elyria Ave. ${ }^{\text {N }}$. of Indian Hollow R | 3,683 2,136 | 5,120 $\mathbf{2 , 9 7 0}$ | 5,800 $\mathbf{3 , 4 0 0}$ | 4,990 | 3.209 1.814 | 327 139 | 24 | 2 4 3 |
|  | 57 | S. E. of Indian Hollow Rd. . | 2,718 | 2,970 | 3,400 2,700 | 3.170 2.538 | 1,814 | 139 118 | 110 | 3 3 |
|  | 57 | N. of Belden-Lagrange Rd. . | + 588 | - 820 | 930 | 1.194 | . 482 | 76 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 57 59 | E. of Belden-Avon Rd...... | 387 1.098 | 540 1.530 | 610 1.700 | $\begin{array}{r}1779 \\ \hline 1.423\end{array}$ | 321 976 | $\begin{array}{r}52 \\ 154 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 | i... |
|  | 59 59 | E. of Leavitt Rd........... | $\begin{array}{r}1.098 \\ \hline 945\end{array}$ | 1,530 1,310 | 1.700 1.500 | 1.423 | 976 | 154 | 7 | 2 |
|  | 82 |  | 488 | 1,310 | 1. 770 | $\begin{array}{r}1.224 \\ \\ \hline 841\end{array}$ | 841 430 | 134 75 | 5 3 | 2 |
|  | 82 | W. of Route 252........... | 523 | 730 | 830 | 920 | 456 | 68 | 3. | 1 |
|  | 82 | E. of Belden-Avon Rd...... | 460 | 640 | 730 | 710 | 419 | 70 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 82 252 | W. of Belden-Avon Rd..... | 479 140 | 670 | 760 | 744 | 436 | 70 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 252 | N. of Route $82 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. S. of Route $82 \ldots .$. | 140 165 | 200 230 | 220 260 | 236 279 | 124 147 | 21 29 | 1 | .... |
|  | - 254 |  | 1,851 | 2,570 | 2,900 | 239 3,324 | 1,540 | 274 | 11 | ${ }^{*}$ |
|  | 254 | S. W. of French Creek Rd. ${ }^{\text {N. of Walker Rd.. }}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1.892 \\ \\ \hline 84\end{array}$ | 1,380 | 1,600 | 1,822 | + 882 | 138 | 6 | 1 |
|  |  | N. of Walker Rd.......... | 384 | 530 580 | . 610 | $\begin{array}{r}1885 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 347 377 | 81 | 1 | 1. |
|  |  | S. of Walker Rd.......... | 416 | 580 690 | 660 780 | 539 678 | - 377 | 90 113 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  |  | S. of U. S. Route $20 . . .1$. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 541 | 750 | 860 | 826 | 493 | 198 | 2 5 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ |
|  |  | N. of Route 82,.............. | 168 | 230 | 270 | 255 | 156 | 29 | 1 |  |
|  |  | S. of Route 82.............. | 169 | 240 | 270 | 246 | 157 | 37 | 1 |  |
|  |  | S. of Route S7.............. | 419 161 | 580 220 | 660 $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | 794 | 358 | 76 | 3 | $i^{\text {* }}$ |
| Broadway. ${ }^{\text {Brage }}$ ( |  | W. of Belden-Avon Rd..... | 161 | 220 460 | 250 | 269 | 146 | 46 | 2 |  |
| Butternut Ridge. . ........ |  | N. E. of Root Road........ | 329 957 | 1,330 | 520 1.500 | 1557 1.497 | 270 | r39 | $1{ }^{1} \cdot$ | ${ }^{\text {². }}$ |
|  |  | S. W. of Root Road. ........ | 740 | 1,030 | 1.200 | 1,153 | 669 | 112 | 10 | 5 4 |
| Elyria Ave. |  | N. of Route 57............ | 1,885 | 2,620 | 3.000 | 2,375 | 1,674 | 212 | 14 | 2 |
| French Creek. |  | W. of Route 254............ | 1,034 | 1.440 | 1.600 | 1,833 | 856 | 150 | 5 | 2 |
| Lagan Hollow |  | S. of Route $57 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 425 1.085 | 590 1.510 | 1.670 | 1.637 | 359 | 25 | 1 |  |
| Lakebreeze. |  | S. of Route 2 (Shefield)..... | $\begin{array}{r}1.085 \\ \hline 282\end{array}$ | 1,510 | $\begin{array}{r}1.700 \\ \hline 450\end{array}$ | 1,604 | 925 253 | 87 38 | 10 | 2 |
| Leavitt. |  | N. of Route 59............. | 700 | 970 | 1,100 | 944 | 610 | 64 | 3 | i |
| North Ridge. Root........ |  |  | 483 | 670 | 760 | 643 | 425 | 54 | 2 | 1. |
|  |  | N.W. of Butternut Ridge R $\mathrm{R} \mathbf{d}$. | 1.781 449 | 2,480 620 | 2,800 710 | 3,098 702 | 1,444 | 129 61 | 5 | 1 |
| Walker. |  | S.E. of Butternut Ridge Rd. | 234 | 320 | 370 | 350 | 216 | 48 | 3 2 | 1 |
|  |  | E of Belden-Avon Rd...... | 150 | 210 | 240 | 175 | 132 | 26 |  |  |
|  |  | W. of Belden-Avon Rd. | 81 | 110 | 130 | 92 | 75 | 26 |  |  |


6. Portage County

| Road - | RouteNo. | Location of station | Average daily total traffic |  |  | Average Sunday traffic 1927 | Average week-day traffic (Monday-Friday)-1927 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total traffic | Truck traffic |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1927 | 1932 | 1937 |  | Total | 3-4 tons capacity | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-736 } \\ \text { cons } \\ \text { capacity } \end{gathered}$ |
| - | 14 | S. E. of Route 36. | 824 | 1.020 | 1,100 | 1,389 | 699 | 85 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 18 | E. of Route 44.... | 1,795 | 2,230 | 2,500 | 3,506 | 1.393 | 190 | 17 | 5 |
|  | 18 | W. of Route 44............. | 2.273 | 2.820 | 3,100 | 4,813 | 1,698 | 169 | 15 | 5 |
|  | 36 | N. E. of Riddle Rd. . . . . . . | 1.617 | 2,000 | 2,200 | 3.142 | 1,294 | 103 | 9 | 3 5 |
|  | ${ }_{36} 36$ | W. of Riddle Rd........... | 2,358 | 2.920 3.010 | 3,300 3,300 3 | 4,223 4,364 | 1,952 | 196 210 | 17 | 5 6 |
|  | 36 | W. of Brady Lake Rd........ | 2,403 | 2,980 | 3,300 | 4,089 | 2,024 | 211 | 18 | 6 |
|  | 43 | N. W, of Route 82, . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 1,488 | 1,840 | 2,100 | 3,907 | 1,028 | 167 | 15 | 5 |
|  | 43 | S. of Route 82...... | 1,684 | + 850 | 2, 940 | 1,812 | 474 | 76 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 43 | N. of Kent-Mantua Rd. . . . | 1,061 | 1,320 | 1,500 | 1,544 | - 945 | 148 | 13 | 4 |
|  | 43 | S. of Kent-Mantua Rd..... | $\begin{array}{r}1,493 \\ \hline 679\end{array}$ | 1,850 1,840 | 2,100 940 | 2,225 1,453 | $\begin{array}{r}1,354 \\ \hline 498\end{array}$ | 104 63 | 18 | 1 |
|  | 44 |  | 1,269 | 1,570 | 1,700 | - 2,770 | 961 | 138 | 12 | 4 |
|  | 44 |  | 2,040 | 2.530 | 2,800 | 3,346 | 1,731 | 185 | 16 | 5 |
|  | 44 | S. of Route 18.............. | 1,597 | 1,980 | 2,200 | 3.117 1.665 | $\begin{array}{r}1.274 \\ \hline 599\end{array}$ | 167 108 | 15 | 5 3 |
|  | 82 | E, of Route 44. . . . . . . . . . | 1.773 1.047 | 1960 1.300 | 1,100 1,400 | 1,665 2,280 | 599 797 | 108 121 | 11 | 3 3 |
|  | 82 | W. of Route 44............. | 1,047 982 | 1,300 | 1,400 1,400 | 2,280 2,473 | 797 679 | 121 | 12 | 3 4 |
|  | 82 | W. of Route 43... $, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots$ | 7 | - 10 | - 10 | 2, 8 | 6 | 1 |  |  |
| Brady Lake Entrance. . . |  | S. of Kent-Ravenna Co. Rd.. | 180 | 220 | 250 | 322 | 150 | 14 | 1 | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| Brady Lake Road....... |  | N. of Route 36............. | 270 | 340 | 370 | 519 | 218 415 | 10 |  |  |
| Kent-Mantua Rd.. ${ }_{\text {K }}$ Kent-Ravenna ${ }^{\text {Co. }}$. |  | N. E. of Route $43 . . . . . . . . .$. | 437 1,362 | 540 1,690 | 600 1,900 | 682 2,067 | 415 1.186 | 62 109 | 3 .9 | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| Kent-Ravenna Co. Rd... |  | W. of Brady Lake Entrance. | 1,362 | 1,690 1,830 | 1,900 $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0}$ | 2,245 | 1,1880 | 1113 |  | 3 |
| Riddle. |  | E. of Route 14. . . . . . . . . . | 104 | 130 | 140 | 134 | 94 | 10 |  |  |

7. Summit County


## APPENDIX II

Origin and Destination of Traffic Recorded on Euclid Avenue at Chardon Road
(Average Week-day Passenger Car Traffic)

| Section | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Cleve- } \\ \text { land Sec- } \\ \text { tion } 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Euclid } \\ \text { Vil. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Richmond } \\ & \text { Hgts. } \\ & \text { Vil. } \end{aligned}$ | Lake County | North Geauga County | Counties East of Lake County | Counties S. E. of Reg. Area | States East of Ohio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { States } \\ & \text { South of } \\ & \text { Ohio } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cleveland Section 11 |  | 265 |  | 764 | 100 | 113 | 4 | 252 | 9 |  |
| Cleveland Section 2. |  | 17 |  | 48 | 9 |  |  | $2{ }_{9}$ | 9 | 1,507 |
| Cleveland Section 3. |  | 39 |  | 82 | 13 | 9 |  |  |  | 183 |
| Cleveland Section 4 |  | 139 | 9 | 235 | 52 | 34 |  | $26^{\prime}$ |  | 495 |
| Cleveland Section 5. |  | 31 |  | 22 | 13 |  |  | 4 |  | $\begin{array}{r}495 \\ \hline 10\end{array}$ |
| Cleveland Section 6. |  | 13 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| Cleveland Section 7. |  | 44 |  | 61 | $30^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  | . 148 |
| Cleveland Section 8 |  | 56 |  | 96 | 22 | 13 |  | $\cdots$ |  | 1488 |
| Cleveland Section 9. |  | 91 | 4 | 227 | 26 | 22 | 4 | 13 | . | 287 |
| Cleveland Section 10 <br> Cleveland Section 11 |  | 30 57 |  | 35 61 | 13 |  |  | 9 |  | - 83 |
| Cleveland Section 12 |  | 126 | 9 | 161 | 34 | 13 |  |  |  | 144 |
| Cleveland Section 13 |  | 52 |  | 82 | 13 | 9 |  | 4 |  | 348 160 |
| Cleveland Section 14. |  | 179 |  | 313 | 39 | 4 |  | 9 | ....... | 160 |
| Cleveland Section 15. |  | 44 |  | 18 | 4 |  |  | 4 | ......... | $\begin{array}{r}74 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Cleveland Section 16 |  |  |  | 22 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  | 70 26 |
| Cleveland Section 17. |  | 13 |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  | $4^{\cdots \cdots}$ |  |  |  | 26 |
| Cleveland Section 18. |  | 525 |  | 356 |  | 22 |  | $4^{-\cdots}$ |  | 1.051 |
| Cleveland Section 19 |  | 17 |  | 18 | 9 | 22 |  | 4 | . | 1.051 |
| Cleveland Section 20 |  | 13 |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| Cleveland Section 21. |  | 5 |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| Cleveland Section 22. |  | 35 |  | 65 | 13 | 4 |  | $13^{\cdots}$ |  | 130 |
| Cleveland Section 23. |  | 22 |  | 35 | 4 | 9 | - | 13 |  | 70 |
| Cleveland Section 24. |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 39 |
| Cleveland Heights 25 |  | 65 |  | 96 | 17 | 9 |  | 9 |  | 196 |
| Ceveland Heights 26. |  | 169 |  | 178 | 13 | 26 |  | 22 | ........ | 408 |
| Beachwood Village |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Bedford Village. |  | 4 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| Berea Village... |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Braterahl Village |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chagrin Falls Twp |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Dover Village. |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| East Cleveland, City o |  | 287 |  | $491{ }^{\text {² }}$ | $79 \cdots$ | 26 |  | 13 * |  | 896 |
| Euclid Village... |  | 313 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 313 |
| Fairview Village. |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Garfield Hgts. Vil Gates Mills Vil. |  | 13 |  | 9 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 26 |
| Highland Hgts Vil | 4 |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| Hunting Valley Vil |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Independence Vil. |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Lakewood, City of |  | 47 |  | $6{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $9{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime \prime}$ | . . . . . . . . | $4{ }^{-1}$ |  | 130 |
| Lyadhurst Vil. | 9 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| Maple Mgts. Vil | 4 | - |  | 13 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 12 13 |
| Mayfield Hgts. Vi, | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 9 |
| North Randall Vil. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Parma Vil...... |  | 5 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Richmond Higts | 4 | 17 | $\cdots{ }^{\prime} \times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| Rocky River Vi. |  | 13 | 4 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| Shaker Hgts Vil. |  | 39 13 | 9 | 43 |  |  |  | 13 |  | 104 |
| University Hgts Vii |  | 13 |  | 9 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 30 |
| Warrensville Hgts Vil | 4 | 5 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| Warrensville Twp. |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Geauga County-South. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Portage County (Akron | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Summit County... |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 30 |
| Medina County |  | 9 |  | 17 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 35 |
| Lorain County-North. |  | 4 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| Counties S. E of Reg. A |  | 5 |  | 9 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 9 | 35 |
| Counties S. W, of Reg. A |  | 5 |  | 18 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 71 |
| Counties W. of Reg. Are |  |  |  | 13 |  | 13 |  | 52 |  | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | 17 |  | 13 |  | 222 |  | 252 |
| Total. | 53 | 2,932 | 44 | 3.837 | 677 | 410 | 8 | 767 | 18 | 8,746 |

${ }^{1}$ For description of Cleveland and Cleveland Heights Sections, see App. Fig. 1.

## APPENDIX III

Comparison of Population and the Number of Motor Vehicles in the Counties of the Cleveland Regional Area 1. Cuyahoga County

| Year | Registration of motor vehicles |  | Podulation | Persons per car |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |
| 1920... | 92.678 | 86,140 | 943,495 | 10.18 | 10.95 |
| 1921. | 109.154 | 109,320 134,500 | 985,000 | 9.02 | 9.01 |
| 1922.. | 129,672 | 134,500 161,100 | 1,024,000 | 7.90 6.48 | 7.61 |
| 1924. | 197,106 | 188,500 | 1,104,650 | 5.60 | 5.86 |
| 1925. | 216,474 | 216,100 | 1,135,750 | 5.25 | 5.26 |
| 1927. | 242,714, | 243,380 $\mathbf{2 6 9 , 9 4 0}$ | 1,171,950 | 4.83 4.78 | 4.82 4.50 |
| 1932. |  | 382,180 | 1,453,700 | 4.78 | 4.80 3.80 |
| 1937. |  | 454,570 | 1,716,900 |  | 3.78 |

## 2. Geauga County

| Year | Registration of motor vehicles |  | Population | Persons per car |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |
| 1920. | 1,589 | 1,473 | 15,036 | 9.46 | 10.21 |
| 1921. | 1,897 | 1,979 | 15,088 | 7.95 | 7.62 |
| 1922. | 2,515 | 2.528 | 15,138 | 6.02 | 5.99 |
| 1924.. | 3,921 | 3,663 | 15,240 | 3.89 | 4.91 4.16 |
| 1925. | 4,131 | 4,211 | 15,290 | 3.70 | 3.63 |
| 1926. | 4.586 | 4.727 | 15,335 | 3.34 | 3.24 |
| 1927.. | 5,076 ${ }^{1}$ | 5.202 | 15.375 | 3.03 | 2.96 |
| 1937. |  | 5,930 | 15,720 |  | 2.65 |

3. Lake County

| Year | - | Registration of motor vehicles |  | Population | Persons per car |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |  |
| 1920. |  | 3.663 | 3,302 | 28,667 | 7.83 | 8.68 |  |
| 1921.. |  | 5,040 | 4,752 | 29,510 | 5.86 | 6.21 |  |
| 1922.. |  | 6,022 | 6,328 | 30,378 31,272 | 5.04 4.00 | 4.80 3.94 |  |
| 1924.. |  | 9,860 | 9,465 | 32,192 | 3.26 | 3.40 |  |
| 1925. |  | 10,922 | 10,880 | 33,139 | 3.03 | 3.05 |  |
| 1926.. |  | 11,998 | 12,140 | 34,114 | 2.84 | 2.81 |  |
| 1927. |  | 12,8791 | 13,240 | 35,118 | 2.73 | 2.65 |  |
| 1937.. |  |  | 15,260 17,680 | 40,439 46,931 | ....... | 2.65 2.65 |  |

## 4. Lorain County

| Year | Registration of motor vehicles |  | Population | Persons per car |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |
| 1920. | 8.895 | 8.031 • | 90,612 | 10.19 | 11.28 |
| 1921. | 10.588 | 10.492 | 92,500 | 8.74 | 8.82 |
| 1922. | 12.548 | 13.151 | 94,250 | 7.51 | 7.17 |
| 1923. | 16.133 | 15,916 | 96,250 | 5.97 | 6.05 5.25 |
| 1925. | 19,572 | 18,700 21,429 | 100,2125 | 4.64 | 4.67 |
| 1926. | 24,065 | 24,040 | 102.125 | 4.24 | 4.25 |
| 1927.. | 25,7181 | 26,493 | 104,000 | 4.04 | 3.93 |
| 1932.... |  | 35,809 | 114,750 |  | 3.20 |
| 1937.... |  | 40.761 | 128,250 |  | 3.15 |

5. Medina County

| Year | Registration of motor vehicles |  | Population | Persons per car |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |
| 1920. | 3.725 | 3,664 | 76.067 | 7.00 | 7.11 |
| 1921. | 5.019 | 4.899 | 26,150 | 5.21 | 5.34 |
| 1922.. | 5,908 | 6,062 | 26.250 | 4.44 | 4.33 |
| 1923. | 6.967 | 7.087 | 26,360 | 3.78 | 3.72 |
| 1924. | 8,039 | 7.948 | 26,480 | 3.29 | 3.33 |
| 1925.. | 8,489 | 8,645 | 26,600 | 3.13 | 3.08 |
| 1926. | 9.367 | 9,195 | 26.740 | 2.85 | 2.91 |
| 1927. | 9,686 ${ }^{2}$ | 9.621 | 26,900 | 2.78 | 2.80 |
| 1932.. |  | 10.617 | 28,100 |  | 2.65 |
| 1937........ |  | 10,836 | 28,640 |  | 2.64 |

6. Portage County

| Year | Registration of motor vehicles |  | Population | Persons per car |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |
| 1920.. | 4,501 | 4.019 | 36,269 | 8.06 | 9.02 |
| 1921... | 5,427 | 5,308 | 36,900 | 6.80 | 6.95 |
| 1922.. | 6.501 | 6,647 | 37,480 | 5.77 | 5.64 |
| 1923... | 7.883 | 7.974 | 38.050 | 4.83 | 4.77 |
| 1924.. | - 9.250 | 9.238 | 38,650 | 4.18 | 4.18 |
| 1925.. | 10.234 | 10.405 11.460 | 39,225 39 | 3.83 3.36 | 3.77 3.47 |
| 1926. | 12,851 | 11,460 12,380 | 39,800 40,200 | 3.36 3.27 | 3.47 3.25 |
| 1932. | 12,291 | 15.350 | 43,500 |  | 2.83 |
| 1937. |  | 16,940 | 45,660 |  | 2.70 |

7. Summit County

| Year | Registration of motor vehicles |  | Population | Persons per car |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |
| 1920. | 29,959 | 28,900 | 286.065 | 9.55 | 9.90 |
| 1921. | 30,499 | 35,000 | 292.000 | 9.57 | 8.34 |
| 1922. | 34,855 | 41.600 | 298,800 | 8.57 | 7.18 |
| 1923. | 45.355 | 48.500 | 305.600 | 6.74 | 6.30 |
| 1924. | 54.768 | 55,400 | 313.000 | 5.72 | 5.65 |
| 1925. | 62,816 | 62,900 | 320,800 | 5.11 | 5.10 |
| 1926. | 72.961 | 70.600 | 328,200 | 4.50 | 4.65 |
| 1927. | 79,002 ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 78.400 | 336,500 | 4.26 | 4.29 |
| 1932... |  | 117,000 | 387,000 |  | 3.31 |
|  |  | 146,000 | 453,000 |  | 3.10 |

1Estimated on basis of registrations during first eight months of year. Final registration figures show registrationsas follows: Cuyahoga 258,722, Geauga 4,864, Lake 12,897, Lorain 25,500, Medina 9,812, Portage $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 9 3}$ and Summit 79,172. The estimated figures wre used in predicting future trafic and are in such close agreement with the final figures that no revision is considered necessary.

## APPENDIX IV

## Detailed Description of the Plan of Highway Improvement in the Regional Area

City of Cleveland

| Road | From | To | Miles | Plan of improvement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Width (feet) | Type of construction ${ }^{2}$ | Period of conatruc tion |
| New Routes: ${ }^{\text {a }}$, |  | Cleveland dity line. |  |  |  |  |
| Brook Park Rd. extension. . . . . . . . . | Cleveland city line............. | Cleveland city line. . . . . . . . . | 0.5 | 40 40 | A | 1 |
| Independence Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Mroadway Ave........................ | do $\quad$ do.......... | 12.1 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Shaker Blvd...................... | Pittsburgh Ave................ | Woodhill Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2.8 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Valley-Independence connection.... | Independence Rd.............. | State Road................ | 2.4 | 40 40 | A | 1 |
| Walworth Rd.-Train Ave........... | Scranton Rd.................... | W. ${ }^{\text {Cleveland city }}$ cline. . . . . . . . . | 2.4 | 40 20 | A | 1 |
| Total. ............. |  | .............................. | 24.5 | ........ |  | ........ |

${ }^{1}$ A Major type; B. Medium type; C. Minor type.

1. Improvement during first 5 years; 2 . Improvement during second 5 years.

- Includes section in the village of Bratenahl

Northeast section of Cuyahoga County

| New Routes: <br> Belvoir Blvd. <br> Lake Front Blod.-isi. Clair Ave. connection. <br> Mayfield Rd.-Muperior Äve. connection. <br>  nection. <br> Green Rd. Relocation. ................... <br> Richmond Rd. Extension. | Bluestone Rd................... <br> City Ine. <br> Mayfield Rd. <br> Belvoir Blyd. do <br> Richmond Rd. | Euclid Ave <br> Bliss Rd. <br> Superior Ave. <br> Euclid Ave. <br> Green Rd.. <br> Euclid Ave. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & 0.2 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 0.4 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | 40 40 40 20 20 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. | ...............t............ | .............................. | 6.8 | ........ | ........ | ......... |
| Widening: <br> Euclid Ave.. <br> Noble Rd. <br> Lee Rd. | City line. <br> Mayfield Rd. <br> Euclid Ave. | County line. . <br> East Cleveland line. <br> End of section. | 3.8 1.7 0.2 | 56 50 40 | A A $\mathbf{A}$ | 1 1 1 |
| Total. | ............................ | ................................ | 5.7 | ......... | ........ |  |
| Reconstruction and Widening: <br> Coventry Rd. <br> Lee Rd. <br> Green Rd. <br> Richmond Rd... <br> S. O. M. Center R $\mathfrak{R}$ d. | End of section do <br> Top of hill. <br> Chardon Rd. <br> County line. | Mayfield Rd. . <br> End of section. <br> Mayfield Rd. <br> Cedar Rd.. <br> Mayfield Rd. | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.2 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 5.1 \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | A A A A A | 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Total. |  |  | 11.8 |  | , |  |
| New Construction: <br> Lee Rd.. <br> St. Clair Äve. <br> Green Rd. <br> Highland Rd. | East Cleveland line. Bliss Rd. <br> Mayfield Rd. . <br> Euclid Ave.. | Mayfield Rd. <br> County line. <br> Cedar Rd. <br> End of section | 0.5 2.6 1.3 0.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A A A A | 1 1 1 1 |
| Total. | ........................... | ...t., | 5.0 | ........ | ........ | ......... |
| Grand total... |  |  | 29.3 | . | -....... |  |

East-central section of Cuyahoga County


## HIGHWAY PLANNING REPORT

## East-central section of Cuyahoga County-Continued

| Road | From | To | Miles | Plan of improvement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Width (feet) | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Type of } \\ \text { conseruc- } \\ \text { tion } \end{array}\right.$ | Period of con-struction |
| Reconstructioa and Widening: Kineman Rd. | East line of Shaker Heights.... | So. Morctand Blvd extension. | 2.1 |  |  |  |
| Green Rd.............. | Cedar Rd................... | N. Woodland Rd.. . . . . . . . . . | 1.0 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Kinsman Rid. | So. Moretand Blvd, extension. | End of section.-............... | 4.2 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Richmond RJ. | Cedar Rd..................... | N. Woodland Rd. . --....... | 1.0 | 20 | A | 1 |
| S Einsmar Rdi...... | Kinsman Rd......-............ | West line of Chagrin Falls..... | 3.8 | 20 | A |  |
| N. Woodland Rd...... | S O. M Center Rd............ | State Route 174....-.......... | 1.9 | 20 | B | 2 |
| Total. | .- |  | 14.0 | .-...- |  |  |
| Ner Construction: State Roate 87. | Kinsman Rd. - . . . . - .-. - . . . | Connty line................... | 0.7 | 20 | B | 1 |
| Tocal. |  |  | 0.7 | ....-. |  | ....... |
| Grand total. |  |  | 41.2 |  |  |  |

## Southeast section of Cuyahoga County

| Nev Rontes: <br> Brook Parly Rd extensiont Northfield Rd extension. Warner Rd relocation.... Richmond Rd. So Miles Rd, relocation.. | Cuyahoga Heights fine. <br> Northfield Rd. <br> Warver Rd. <br> N. Miles Rd. <br> McCracken Rd. |  | 1.7 3.2 0.3 1.0 2.7 | 40 40 40 20 20 | A $\mathbf{A}$ $\mathbf{A}$ $\mathbf{A}$ $\mathbf{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. |  |  | 8.9 |  |  |  |
| Widening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Northfield Rd. | Broadvay - - - | Forbes Rd.. - .-. ............... | 1.2 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Lee Rd. S M | McCracisen Rd... | Broadray......................- | 0.8 | 40 | A | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S O. M Center } \mathrm{B} \\ & \text { Dunham Rd..... } \end{aligned}$ | So. Mifies Rd........................ | County line...i.. ${ }^{\text {East }}$ (ine of | 2.7 | 20 | A | 2 |
| Total. |  |  | 6.1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dunham Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tarney Rd. | Roctside Rd. | Dunham Rd. | 1.3 | 20 | A | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |  |
| Net Construction: |  | McCracken Rd. | 2.0 |  | A | 1 |
| Warmer Rd. | City line. | Wamer Rd. relocation | 0.3 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Union St. | Northivield RX. | Broadwray..... | 0.5 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Wanner Rd.. | Brook Part Rd extension.... | Canal Rd.. | 0.5 | $20$ | A | 1 |
| Bainbridge R Epopt R | So Miles Rd...... | County line. | 2.4 | 20 | ${ }_{8}$ | 1 |
| Egypt Rd. Solon Rd | Dumham Rd. Broadmay. | County line. So. Mriles Rd | 1.9 | 20 | $\underset{B}{B}$ | 2 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gran ectal.. |  |  | 30.3 |  |  | ...... |

1 Erclasive of section of Garfield Bonlevard nsed by new route.

## East-south-central section of Cuyahoga County



East-south-central section of Cuyahoga Countr-Continued

| Road | From | To | Miles | Plan of improvement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Width (feet) | Type of construc tion | Period of construc tion |
| New Construction Schaaf Rd.... | East line of Brooklyn Heights.. | Brecksville Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.6 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Total. . | . | $\cdots$ | 0.6 | . | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Grand total. . |  | ............. | 26.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ........ |

## West-south-central section of Cuyahoga County



Southwest section of Cuyahoga County

| New Routes: <br> Brook Park Rd. extension. <br> Bagley Rd.-Pleasant Vailey $\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{d}$. connection. | Riverside Drive <br> Bagley Rd. | Rocky River. $\qquad$ <br> Pleasant Valley Rd. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. | -.......................... | ............................ | 2.3 | ......... |  | ...... |
| Widening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bagley Rd. | Front St...................... | East Rd..................... | 0.4 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Irish Rd. | Usher Rd...i. ............... | Weat Rd. | 0.5 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Bagley Rd | East Rd...................... | Wooster Pike. | 2.3 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Irish Rd.. | West Rd........................ | Riverside Drive extension | 1.4 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Depot Rd........................... | Wooster Pike. . . . . . . . . . | Prospect Rd.................... | 1.3 | 20 | A | 2 |
| Pleasant Vall | Settlement Rd................. | Wooster Pike. . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {U }}$. | 0.4 3.5 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Prospect Rd.. | Fair Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Depot Rd.. | 1.7 | 20 | B | 2 |
| Total. |  |  | 11.8 | -1.... |  | $\cdots$ |
| Reconstruction and Widening: Brook Park Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brook Park Rd........... | West line of Parma. |  | 2.5 2.0 | 40 20 | A | 1 |
| Columbia Rd. | Inish Rd......................... | County line................... | 1.6 | 20 | B | 2 |
| Total. |  |  | 6.1 |  |  |  |
| New Construction: East Rd.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair Rd.. | Sprague Rd..... | West Rd. | 1.1 | 20 | $\stackrel{B}{\mathbf{B}}$ | 1 |
| West Rd... | Fair Rd........................ | Prospect Rd.................... | 0.2 | 20 |  |  |
| Total. |  | ............................... | 4.3 | ..... | . | ...... |
| Grand total. |  |  | 24.5 |  |  |  |

## West section of Cuyahoga County

| Road | From | To | Miles | Plan of improvement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Width (feet) | Type of construction | Period of con-struction |
| New Routes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wrook Park Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Mastic Rd. | Rocky River. | 0.6 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Detrait Rd cut-of..................... | Detroit Rd. | Blount St. ..................... | 0.2 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Detroit Rd.-Hilliard Rd. соnnection.. | Detroit Rd. | Hilliard Rd... ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.9 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Lake Front Bivd.1. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Lake Ave...................... | West Lake Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2.6 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Spencer Rd. ............................. | Mastic Rd...... . . . . . . . . . . . | Brook Park Rd. extension.... | 0.1 3.0 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Spencer Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Lorain Rd. | West Lake Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.0 0.9 | 40 20 | A | 1 |
| Brook Park Rd. extension. . . . . . . . . . | Mastic Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | County line.................... | 5.0 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Hilliard Rd.......................... | Detroit Rd.-Hilliard Rd. connection. | Canterbury Rd...... . . . . . . . . | 3.5 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Wolf Blvd. | Spencer Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | County line. | 5.5 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Total. |  |  | 22.3 |  |  |  |
| Widening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mastic Rd. | Brook Park Rd. extension.... | Clague Rd..... | 0.5 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Spencer Rd... | Lorain Rd..................... | Mastic Rd. ...... ${ }^{\text {F }}$. ${ }^{\text {ast }}$ | 1.2 | 40 | A | 1 |
| West Lake Rd. | Blount St. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | East line village of Bay. | 1.9 | 40 | A | 1 |
| West Lake Rd | East line village of Bay...... | Dover Rd. . | 2.7 | 40 | A | 2 |
| Hall Rd. | Detroit Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Lorain Rd.. | 3.1 | 20 | A | 2 |
| Mastic Rd. | Spencer Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Brook Park Rd. extension. . . . | 0.1 | 20 | A | 2 |
| Mastic Rd. | Clague Rd..... . . . . . . . . . . . . | Columbia Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.2 | 20 | A |  |
| - Butternut Ridge R | Lorain Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 1.6 | 20 | B | 2 |
| Dover Rd.. | West Lake Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . | Butternut Ridge Rd.......... | 5.3 | 20 | B | 2 |
| Total. | ........-.................... |  | 17.6 |  |  |  |
| Reconstruction and Widening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Center Ridge Rd. . . . . . | East line of Dover............ |  | 6.1 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Columbia Rd. | Worain Rd. | North line of Olmsted township | 2.1 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Bradley Rd. | Detroit Rd.................... | Center Ridge R1............. | 2.6 | 20 | A | 2 |
| Bradley Rd. | West Lake R̈d. | Detroit Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2.6 | 20 | B | 2 |
| Total. |  |  | 16.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | ............... | ......................... | 4.7 |  |  |  |
| Grand total. | .... | ..................... | 61.4 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Located partly within the City of Lakewood
'Lake County

| New Routes: <br> St. Clair Ave ertension. Johnny Cake Rd. (State Route 84)... | Lloyd Rd........................ | U. S. Route $20 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 15.8 1.1 | 40 20 | A | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. |  |  | 16.9 |  |  |  |
| Widening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Euclid Ave. (U. S. Route 20). | Center St (Mentor). | Painesville city line | 5.3 | 40 | A | 1 |
| Lake Shore Blod. (State Route 175).. | Cuyahoga Co. line. | End of section. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.7 | 40 | A | , |
| St, Clair Ave....................... | Cuyahoga Co. line. | Llloyd Rd. | 0.4 | 40 | A | 1 |
| - Lake Shore Blvd. (State Route 175).. | Lost Nation Rd. | Andrews Rd: | 2.1 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Lake Shore Blvd. (State Route 175).. | Richmond village line... Willoughby-Kirtland | Painesville city line............ Walnut Rd. | 1.1 | 20 20 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 1 |
| Total. |  |  | 12.3 |  |  |  |
| Reconstruction and Widening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Shore Blvi. (State Route i75).. | Vine St. | Point West. . . . | 0.7 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Lost Nation Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | U. S. Route 20 | Lake Shore Blvd. (State Route | 3.5 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Vine St. | Lake Shore Blvd. (State Route | 175). <br> St. Clair Ave. ertension. ..... | 2.6 | 20 | B | 1 |
| Chillicothe Rd. and Willoughby-Kirtland Rd. | 175). <br> Geauga Co. line. . . . . . . . . . . . . | U. S. Route 20. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.4 | 20 | B | 1 |
| Total. |  |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |
| Reconstruction: <br> Euclid Ave. (U. S. Route 20) <br> Euclid Ave. (U. S. Route 20) $\qquad$ | Cuyahoga Co. line. Chandler Rd. | French Rd. <br> High-level bridge. | 0.3 1.3 | 40 40 | A | 2 |
|  |  | .............................. | 1.6 |  |  |  |
| New Construction: Johnny Cake Rd. (State Route 84). . Ridge-Rd. (State Route 84) State Route 174. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U. S. Route 20 |  | 0.7 | 20 20 | A | 1 |
|  | Cuyahoga Co. line... . . . . . . | Ridge Rd..................... | 4.4 | 20 | C | 1 |
| Total. |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |
| Grand tot |  |  | 55.2 |  |  |  |

APPENDIX
Lorain County

Summit County

| New Routes: <br> Chittenden Corners relocation. Ghent, relocation. Tallmadge Ave. relocation | Chittenden Corners........... U. S. Route $21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | State Route 8................ State Route $92 . \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 0.3 0.3 1.4 | 20 20 20 | A | 1 1 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. |  | . | 2.0 |  |  |  |
| Wldening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Route 8.. | Broad St. | Limits of Cuyahoga Falls..... | 0.9 | 40 | A | 1 |
| State Route 18. | Akron. | State Route 92................ | 2.7 | 40 | A | 1 |
| State Route 36.... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Township line................. | End of section. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.8 | 40 | A | 1 |
| State Route ${ }^{\text {State Route } 18}$ | Cuyahoga Falls line........... | Stow Corners................. | 1.8 2.3 | 40 | A | 1 |
| State Route 92. | State Route 18. | Ghent Relocation.............. | 2.4 | 20 | A | 1 |
| Stowe Corners-Springfield Rd.. | Tallmadge.... | State Route 8.. | 3.1 | 20 | A | 1 |
| S. O. M. Center Rd. (State Route 9i). | Cuyahoga Co. line.,........... | Twinsburg. ...................... | 2.5 | 20 | A | 2 |
| Total. |  | $\ldots$ | 16.5 |  |  |  |
| Reconstruction and Widening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Route ${ }^{\text {State Route }} \mathbf{}$ | End of section. . . . . . . . . . . . . | Township line............... | 0.5 | 40 40 | A | 1 |
| State Route 36. | Stow Corners. . . . . . . . . . . . . | Portage Co, line. . . . . . . . . . . . | 2.6 | 40 | A | 1 |
| State Route 14. | Cuyahoga Co. line............. | Twinsburg. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.6 | 20 | A | 1 |
| State Route 18. | Akron........................ | Portage Co. line. . . . . . . . . . . | 4.2 | 20 | A | 1 |
| State Route 18. | State Route 92................. | End of section . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\frac{1.6}{5}$ | 20 | A | 1 |
|  | State Route 92. | Cuyahoga Co. line............. | 8.5 4.2 | 20 20 | A | 1 |
| Tallmadge Ave. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Akron....... | Tallmadge......................... | 4.2 2.1 | 20 | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { A }}$ | 1 |
| Total. |  |  | 29.0 |  |  |  |
| New Construction: State Route 82. | State Route 14............... | Portage Co. line.............. | 1.5 | 20 | B | 1 |
| Total. | . $\cdot$................... | ........................ | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Grand total. |  |  | 49.0 |  |  |  |

Portage County


APPENDIX V
Structures Proposed in the Improvement Plan of the Regional Area Cuyahoga County

| Construction proposed | Section of county | Road on which bridge is located | Bridge crosses | Width in feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New bridge ${ }^{2}$ on existing route... <br> Bridges on new routes. . . . . . . . . | East-south-central... | Station Rd. (State Route 82). . | Cuyahoga River ${ }^{2}$ | 20 |
|  | East-central. . . . . | So. Moreland Blyd. extension.. | Chagrin River. | 20 |
|  | Southeastern......... | Northfield Rd. extension. | Tinkers Creek | 40 |
|  | E.ist-south-central. . . | Brook dark Rd. extension. | Cuyahoga River................... | 40 |
|  |  | BrecksvilleRd.relocation(U.S.Route21). | Cuyahoga River and Canali. ..... | 40 |
|  |  | Canal Rd. relocation... | Mill Creek ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 20 |
|  | Western............ | Brook Park Rd. extension. | Rocky River......................... | 40 |
|  |  | Lake Front Blvd. connection |  | 40 |
|  |  | Spencer Rd. | Stream south of West Lake Rd.... | 40 |
|  | City of Cleveland.... | Bulkley Blvd.-Summit Ave. connection. |  | 40 |
|  |  | do | Burke Branch...................... | 40 |
|  |  |  | Ravine in Forest City $P$ | 40 |
|  |  | IndependenceRd.-ValleyRd.connection. do | Cuyahoga River | 40 |
|  |  |  | Big Creek | 40 |
|  |  | Lake Front Blvd.-St. Clair Rd. connec- | Creek in Gordon Park | 40 |
| Railway grade separations on existing routes. | Northeastern. . . . . . | Bliss Rd............................... | Nickel Plate Railroad. . . . . . . . . . . | 20 |
|  |  | Chardon Rd............................ | do | 40 |
|  | East-central Southeastern |  | Erie Railroad.................... | 40 |
|  |  | Broadway in Bedford | Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad. . | 50 |
|  |  | Northfield Rd.. | Pennsylvania Railroad............ | 20 |
|  | East-south-central... West-south-central. . . | Sitzwater Rd. | Erie Railroad Baltimore and Ohio Railioad....... | 20 |
|  |  | Brook Park Rdi. | do and | 40 |
|  |  | Settlement Rd. |  | 20 |
|  | Southwestern. . . . . . | Front St. relocation (Berea). . . . . . . . . . | New York Central and Big Four | 40 |
|  |  | Irish Rd. | do | 20 |
|  |  | Columbia Rd. | New York Central Railroad....... | 20 |
|  |  | Brook Park Rd. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Big Four Railroad.................. | 40 |
|  |  |  | Cleveland Short Line Railroad.... | 40 |
|  |  | Bagley Road............................... | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad..... | 20 |
|  |  | East Rd..... | New York Central Railroad...... | 20 |
|  |  | Bagley Rd............................... | Cleveland Southwestern Electric Railway. | 20 |
|  |  | Royalton Rd. (State Route 82). . . . . . | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. . . . | 20 |
|  | Western............ | Dover Center Rd.. | Nickel Plate Railroad. . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Hall Rd............................... | do | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Bradley Rd.. | do | 20 |
|  |  | Hall Rd..... | Lake Shore Electric Railway...... | 20 |
|  |  | Dover Center Rd | do - | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 |
| Railway grade separations onnew routes. | Southeastern. | Northfield Rd. extension | Erie Railroad. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 40 |
|  |  | Richmond Rd......... | Who ${ }^{\text {do }}$, | 20 |
|  |  | Northfield Rd. extension. . . . . . . . . . . | Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad.. | 40 |
|  |  | Brook Park Rd. extension. . . . . . . . . . . . do | Pennsyivania and Wheeling and | 40 |
|  | East-south-central... |  | Lake Erie Railroads. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  | Brook Park Ra. extension. . . . . . . . . . . | more and Onio Rairroad. | 40 |
|  |  | Brecksville Rd. | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 40 |
|  |  | Granger Rd. . | Branch of B. and O. Railroad. | 20 |
|  | Western <br> City of Cleveland. | Spencer Rd. extension. | Nickel Plate Railroad........ | 40 |
|  |  |  | Lake Shore Electric Railway.. . . . . | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | 40 |
|  | Southeastern........ | Valley Rd.-Independence Rd. connection Northfield Rd. extension (upper). | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad ${ }^{\text {a }}$..... Broadway (lower) | $40 \text { (upper) }$ |
|  |  | Northfield Rd. extension (upper)....... | Broadway (lower) | 30 ( 30 (lower) |
| Highway grade separations..... |  | Brook Park Rd. extension (upper). . . . . | Windfall Ave. (lower) | 40 (upper) |
|  | East-south-central. . | Schaaf Rd. (upper). | Brook Park Rd. extension (lower). | 20 (upper) |
|  | Western............ . | Center Ridge Rd. (U. S. Route 20) | Spencer Rd. extension (lower)..... | 40 (upper) |
|  |  | (upper). |  | 40 (lower) |
|  |  | Lake Front Blvd. (upper) . . . . . . . . . . . . | East 72nd St. (lower) | 40 (upper) |
|  |  | Woodhill Rd. (upper). | Shaker Blvd. (lower) | 40 (upper) |

[^26]
## Lake County

| Construction proposed | Road on which bridge is located | Bridge crosses | Width in feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New bridge on existing route.............Bridges on new routes. . . . . . . . . . | Ridge Rd. (State Route 84). | Chagrin River. | 20 |
|  | St. Clair Ave. extension.... | do | 40 |
|  | Ridge Rd. connection. | Grand River | 40 |
| Replacement or widening of bridges on existing routes. <br> Railway grade separations on existing routes. | Ridge Rd. connection. State Route $175 . .$. | Chagrin River | 20 |
|  | do do | Branch of Chagrin River | 20 |
|  | U. S. Route 20. | Nickel Plate Railroad. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . | 40 |
|  | Vine St. | New York Central Railroad. . . . . . . . | 40 |
|  | Richmond St. | New York Central Railroad.......... | 40 |
|  | do | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad yards. . | 40 |
|  | Lloyd Rd. | New York Central Railroad. . . . . . . | 20 |
|  | do | Nickel Plate Railroad...... | 20 |
|  | Center St. (Mentor) | New York Central Railroad. | 20 |
|  | Liberty St. (Painesville) | Nicke Plate Railroad. do | 40 |
|  | State St. (Painesville). | do | 40 |
| 1 | State Route 44.. | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. | 20 |

## Lorain Copunty

| New bridge on existing route. . . . . . . . . . . Bridges on new routes. | State Route 254 and Mills Rd. extension. . | Black River. | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Butternut Ridge Rd. relocation. . . . . . . . . . | East Branch of Black River | 20 |
|  | do | West Branch of Black River | 20 |
|  | State Route 82 extension. | East Branch of Black River | 20 |
| Replacement or widening of bridges on existing routes. | U. S. Route 20. | Creek near Ridgeville. | 40 |
|  | State Route 82 | Creek near Eaton. | 20 |
| Railway grade separations on existing routes. | Beldon-Avon R State Route 82 | Big ${ }^{\text {do }}$ Four Railroad. | 20 20 |
|  | State Route 25 | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad | 20 |
|  | Root Rd | New York Central Railroad. . . . . . . | 20. |

## Summit County

| New bridge on existing route. . . . . . . . . . . Replacement or widening of bridges on existing routes. | State Route 36. | Cuyahoga River. | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State Route 8. | do | 40 |
|  | State Route 36 | Creel near Portage County line. | 40 |
|  | State Route 82 | Pond Brook. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 20 |
|  | Richfield-Hudson Rd | Cuyahoga River...... . . . . . . . . . . . . | 20 |
| Railway grade separations on existing routes. | State Route 82 | Canal Erie and Pittsburgh Railroad.. | 20 20 |
|  | do | Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad.... | 20 |
|  | State Route 91 | do | 20 |
|  | Sta do 18 | Northern Ohio Traction Line. . . . . . . | 20 |
|  | State Route 18......... | Erie Railroad. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 20 |
| Railway grade separations on new routes. | Stow Corners-Springfield Rd.................... | Lake Erie and Pittsburgh Railroad. . | 20 20 |
| Railvay srade separalions on new | State Route 8 (Chittenden Corners relocalou). . | Lake Erie and Pitsburgh Railroad. . |  |

## Portage County

| Railway grade separations on existing routes. |  | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. . . . . . . <br> Erie Railroad. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> do <br> Wheeling and Lake Erie Railroad... . do | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 40 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}-$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Medina County

| Replacement or widening of bridges on existing routes. | U. S. Route 42 | West Branch of Rocky River. | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State Route 18. | Creek east of Medina. ....... | 20 |
|  | State Route 3.. | Creek north of Weymouth... | 20 |
|  | State Route 94. | East Branch of Rocky River. | 20 |
| Railway grade separations on existing routes. | State Route 18. | Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. . . . . . . | 20 |

## Geauga County

| Roplacement or widening of bridges on <br> existing routes. |
| :--- |



Fig. 1.-Map of Cleveland and adjacent cities showing sections into which they were divided for purposes of traffic origis and destination analysis


Fig. 2.-The growth awd trend of motor vehicle registration in Okio


Fig. 3.-Trends of highway traffic and motor vehicle registration in Maryland and Wisconsin


Fic. 4.-Study of the proposed Valley Road-Independence Road commection


Fig. 5.-Study of the proposed circular intersection of East 71st Street, Independence Road, Brook Park extension, Brecksoille Road, Canal Road, and Warner Road

highway planning report

Fig. 6mStudy of Brook Park Road extension over Rocky Rioer


Fig. 7A.-Typical pavement cross-section designs


Fig. 7B.-Typical pavement cross-section designs


- Fig. 7C.-Typical padement, cross-section designs


SECTION 3-A


SECTION 3-C


SECTION 3-0


Fig. 7D.-Typical pavement cross-section designs


Fic. 7E.-Typical pavement cross-section designs


SECTION 4-B


Fig. 7F.-Typical pavement cross-section designs


Fig. 8A.-Showing Main Avenue high-level bridge with Lake Front Boulevard connection and Columbus Road


- Fig. 8B.-South of area shown in Figure 8A, showing north end of Independence Viaduct with connection to Broadway


Fig. 8C.-South of area shown in Figure 8B, showing balance of Independence Viaduct and parts of Independence Road relocation and Valley Road


- Fig. 8B.-South of area showm in Figure 8A, showing north end of Independence Viaduct with conmection to Broadway


FIG. 8C.-South of area shown in Figure 8B, showing balance of Independence Viaduct and parts of Independence Road relocation and Valley Road


Fig. 8D.-West of area shown in Figare 8C, showing balance of Valley Road with connections to Broadoieso, State and Pearl Roads


Fig. 8E.-South of area shown in Figure 8C, showing continnation of Independence Road relocation


Fic. 8F-Sonth of area shome in Figure 8D, shoming mesterm part of Brook Perk extension over Carahoga Valley


Fig. 8G.-South of area shown in Figure 8E and east of area of Figure 8F, showing Willow Circle with Independence Road, Brook Park Road, Brecksoille Road and Canal Road connections


Fig. 8H—East of and partially overlapping area of Figure 8G, showing Willow Circle witk Brook Park Belt Road comection to Garfield Bomlevard and Warmer Read relocation


Fig. 81.-South of area of Figure 8G, showing continuation of Brecksville Road relocation to Rockside Road





[^0]:    1 Includes village of West Park annered to the City of Cleveland subsequent to 1920.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Northeastern section, north of Cedar Road; east-central section, between Cedar and North Miles Roads; southeastern section, south of North Miles Road and east of the west limits of Garfield Heights Village and Bedford Township; southwestern section, west of Settlement Road and south of the southern limits of Parkview and North Olmsted Villages; east-south-central section, between Garfield Heights Village and Bedford Township, and Broadview Road; western section, west of the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood and north of the southern limits of Parkview and North Olmsted Villages; west-south-central section, between Broadview and Settlement Roads, as shown in Figure 29.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes township roads in Cuyahoga County, but not in the remainder of the regional area. .

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The classiñcation of surfaces as satisfactory does not mean that the surfaces so classified are adequate as to width.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ These roads carry most of the traffic of the area. Major roads are those which now carry or by 1937 will carry 1,800 or more vehicles a day; medium traffic roads now carry or by 1937 will carry between 700 and 1.800 vehicles.

[^5]:    - Traffic was counted at 973 points on the roads of the regional area, of which 722 were located in Cuyahoga Coumty.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Does not include cities of Cleveland and Lakewood.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Center Ridge Road and Broadway are not included because of the poor condition of the highway in the 7 -mile zone.
    ${ }^{2}$ St. Clair Avenue terminates at Lloyd Road.

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ Including brick, cement, gravel, sand, stone, asphalt, pitch, tar, slag, and cinders.

[^9]:    ${ }^{6}$ A star in Figure 15 indicates the suburban sections whose entire traffic it was impossible to record by place of origin and destination.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ Based on 2,624 passenger-car trips.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Time required for passenger car traffic to traverse the principal traffic routes during peak-hour periods.

[^12]:    ${ }^{2}$ Repairing street car tracks.

[^13]:    1 The Detroit Ave, route comparesfavorably as to surface with with the Bultiey Blod, Lake Ave, and West Labe Roed roate.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ A letter box located close to the edge of pavement accounts for the low percentage in the second foot lane, east direction of traffic.
    ${ }^{2}$ A 6 -inch raised curb accounts for the low percentage in the second foot lane, south direction of traffic.
    ${ }^{2}$ Each direction is used for one-way traffic and is separated by a gravel strip 18.5 feet in width.

    - Used as a three-lane road during peak-hour traffic; the in, or outbound peak traffic, uses two lanes during peak hours. Lanes are not separated by markings.
    ${ }^{5}$ Roadway width separated into four marked lanes, each 10 feet in width. Inbound or outbound peak traffic uses three lanes during peak hours.

[^15]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Slowly moving trucks account for the high percentage in the first one-foot lane for eastbound traffic.
    ${ }^{2}$ Each direction is used as a one-way traffic route, separated by an 18.5 foot gravel center strip.

[^16]:    - It is assumed that the total width of passenger cars is 5.7 feet and the gauge 4.7 feet.

[^17]:    ${ }^{7}$ For detailed presentation of highway traffic and motor vehicle registration data in these States see "The Maine Highway Transportation Survey," Public Roads, Vol. 6, No. 3, May, 1925; "Report of a Study of Highway Traffic and the Highway System of Cook County, Illinois," 1925; "Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highway System of Connecticut," 1926; and "Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highway System of Ohio," 1927.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote $\%$

[^19]:    - See "Report of a Surrey of Transportation on the State Highway System of Ohio," 1927.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exclusive of the cities of Cleveland and Lakewood.

[^21]:    Above-Because of its bad condition north of Norvood Road it carried little traffic on that section in 1927 Below-Construction of grade separation at Shaker Boulevard in 1928

[^22]:    * Density of 3 to $71 / 2$-ton truck traffic not available within the City of Cleveland.

[^23]:    5 Only weekday traffic figures available.
    Shaker Heights Village. between Lee and Sonth Kinsman Roads low because of condition of roadway on Kinsman Road east of east limits of

[^24]:    ' Mastic Road closed between Puritas Springs and Spencer Roada

[^25]:    ${ }^{3}$ Riverside Drive north of Lorain under construction but open to traffic.

    - Traffic on S. O. M. Center Road between Cedar Road and Kinsman Road heavier than normal because of condition of roadway on Kingman Road east of east limits of Shaker Heights Village.
    ${ }^{10}$ Traffic on South Kinsman low because of condition of roadway on Kinsman Road.

[^26]:    1 An intercounty bridge between Cuyahoga and Summit Counties
    Also overpassee the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad.
    Also overpasses branch of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad.

    - Also bridges rail lines, Main St., and West 9th St.
    - Also overpasses Newburgh and South Shore Railroad.

    Also overpasses Broadway.
    Also overpasses Schaaf Road.

    - Also overpasses Jennings Road.

