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## FOREWORD

I welcome Mr. Patankar's Study of Water resources of Wardha District for a variety of reasons. In the first instance, it brings out, through presentation of detailed data and maps in connection with a variety of problems, the great variations in conditions in regard to such fundamental problems as the availability of water for crop production even in a single district in a State. The monograph emphasises, in this manner; what should have been always known but has unfortunately been largely ignored, that intensive local studies must be undertaken and completed before plans of agricultural development can be properly formulated.

Secondly, Mr. Patankar's careful work indicates the complexity of a number of problems that have to be currently tackled in India. In this particular district, the most important as well as the most difficult problems appear to be those connected with wells. It is clear from the account of Mr. Patankar that it would be wrong to treat the problem of wells, especially of the phenomenon of abandoned wells, as if its causations and characteristics were uniform throughout the district. Physical factors, crop patterns, prices, as well as socio-economic policy all shape the contours of this problem and under different situations the possible approaches to the solution may be widely different.

Further, Mr. Patankar's study should impress forcibly on the reader the very inadequate extent of applied scientific work done so far in this country in relation to problems of agricultural production. Whether it is the problem of grass lands or of contour bunding or of Bandhara irrigation, the work, so far, appears to have been limited to isolated experiments or to limited areas of successful projects. The application of the experimental data or the limited area of experience necessarily involves, in view of the variety of conditions, considerable further work on the ground level in each case beginning with exploratory pilot projects. Remarkably little of this has been done during the last ten years.

One suspects that the surprising neglect of local studies and of local
experimentation during the last ten years has been the result of the manner in which our plans have been formulated, of the over-centralisation $n$ every sphere of administration and of the natural conservatism of superior ranks in administration, even in the technical services. It is hoped that with the new experiment of decentralisation on the district level, consequent upon the formation of Zilla Parishads in Maharashtra, these obstacles will be removed to a considerable extent. However, if the Zilla Parishads take up seriously the work of planning at the district level they will require in a liberal measure assistance of studies like those of Mr. Patankar. Such studies, it is hoped, will in their turn help in discovering the special features and characteristics of local production problems and lead to the relevant experimentation and pilot projects for formulating meaningful programmes of agricultural development.

D R. Gadgil

Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, 12th November, 1962.
Poona 4.

## PREFACE

Opportunities presented by close associations with what is now termed as 'District Planning Project Wardha', have been the mainsprings of this series of 'District Development Papers'. These papers mainly attempt a reappraisal of development policies and programmes ; and further spotlight the field of investigation and experimentation involved in initiating a process of meaningful planning in the wake of Zilla Parishads which have to be trustworthy forerunners of District Governments of tomorrow.

The present paper covers but one aspect of the studies concerning the Resource Complex of the,District. Subsequent papers would discuss such aspects of development as (i) Dry farming and land development; (ii) Grass lands and forest resources; (iii) Animal Husbandry and Dairy Farming ; (iv) Surplus labour and trends in urbanization ; (v) Industries for Area-plans; (vi) Public Utilities under a planned perspective; (vii) Organisation ; and (viii) Scope of Planning. Publication of these papers would follow this first monograph.

Drafting of these papers has involved consultations with a wide range of persons who can speak on authority in their respective spheres. It is rather difficult to mention them here by their names. However, I take this opportunity to express my feelings of indebtedness, with a profound sense of gratitude to the officials in different walks of governmental activity; but for whose willing co-operation the reappraisal would have proved a delicate task. This does not, however, mean that the opinions expressed in the following pages, in anyway, represent their views.

At different stages of compilation of this draft, I was fortunate enough, in getting frank and valuable criticism from Dr. K. G. Joshi, Principal, Government College of Agriculture, Nagpur; Engineers of Water Resources Division, Government of Maharashtra, and in particular from Shri B. R. Sardesai, Retd. Executive Engineer, Poona ; and from Shri D. A. Gadkari, Retired Director of Minor Irrigation, Maharashtra State.
...vi...
With great pleasure, I wish to register my sincere appreciation of co-operation received from Shri S. H. Pore and Shri S. W. Muranjan of Gokhale Institute, Poona, who bore the major brunt of field studies, all these days, in the wake of district Surveys and Planning. Exchange of notes with them has all along been an enduring experience. Indeed, I look upon them as co-authors of this Series.

And here at home, it has been an enriching experience to discuss the draft with my colleagues at the Regional Planning Institute. More particularly, I am proud to note that access to the painstaking work undertaken by Shri M. R. Deshpande on consumption of Electricity in Rural areas has made remarkable contribution to this monograph. My thoughts go back to those trainees of first batch who trampled the ploughed fields in the scorching heat of May and helped conduct the ' Condition Survey' of abandoned wells, which has so profoundly influenced the course of this study.

Regional Planning Institute,
Pandit Patankar Sewagram, (Wardha)
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## APPENDIX A

Statement showing district-wise number of irrigation wells and those not in use together with area irtigated by them in Maharashtra State (1959).
(Area figures in hundreds)


APPENDIX A (Contd.)

| S. No. District | Total no. of irrigation wells. | No. of wells in use | No. of wells not in use. | \%of wells not in use to the total no. of wells in Col. 3 | Gross area <br> All sources <br> (acres) | $-\frac{\text { Irrigated }}{\text { wells }}$ | $\%$ of area irrigated by wells to total area in - Col. 7. | Average area al irrigated per well (Acres) | Gross cropped area (acres) | \%of gross area irrigated by 'all sources to the gross cropped area | \%of gross area irrigated by wells to gross cropped area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 . 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 13. $\cdot$ E. Khandesh | 46,174 | 34,689 | 11,485 | 24.9 | 887 | 605 | 68.2 | 1.8 | 20,963 | $4 \cdot 2$ | 2.9 |
| 14. Aurangabad | 29,501 | 26,009 | 3,492 | 11.8 | 1,190 |  | $77 \cdot 3$ | 3.5 | 32098 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 2.9 |
| 15. Parbhani | 13,292 | 10,547 | 2,745 | 20.7 | 435 | 422 | 97.0 | $4 \cdot 0$ | 24,152 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 1.7 |
| 16. Bhir | 26,944 | 25,146 | 1,798 | $6 \cdot 7$ | 855 | 533 | 62.3 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 20,433 | $4 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| 17. Osmanabad | 17,588 | 14,714 | 2,874 | $16 \cdot 3$ | 997 | 778 | $78 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | 26,829 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 2.9 |
| 18. Nanded | 4,120 | 2,880 | 1,240 | $30 \cdot 1$ | 171 | 152 | 88.9 | $5 \cdot 3$ | 19,800 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Aurangabad Division Total : | 1,37,619 | 1,13,985 | 23,634 | 17.2 | 4,535 | 3,410 | $75 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | 1,44,275 | $3 \cdot 1$ | 2.4 |
| 19. Buldhana | 19,110 | 12,693 | 6,417 | $33 \cdot 6$ | 1. 168 | 165 | 98.2 | $1 \cdot 3$ | 16,947 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 20. Akola | 15,197 | 2,872 | 12,325* | $81 \cdot 1$ | 71 | 67. | 94.4 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 18,918 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Amravati | 13,927 | 10,109 | 3,818 | $27 \cdot 4$ | 131 | 127 | $96 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | 16,703 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 22. Yeotmal | 6,410 | 3,588 | 2,822 | $44 \cdot 0$ | 61 | 51 | $83 \cdot 6$ | 1.4 | 18,069 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 23. Wardha | 16,097 | - 5,725 | 10,372 | $64 \cdot 4$ | 106 | 102 | 96.2 | 1.8 | 9,898 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 24. Nagpur | 34,490 | 18,746 | 15,744 | $45 \cdot 6$ | 594 | 313 | 52.7 | $1 \cdot 7$ | 13,167 | 4.5 | 2.4 |
| 25. Chanda | 9,085 | 6,399 | 2,686 | 29.6 | 2,125 | 27 | $1 \cdot 3$ | 0.4 | 13,499 | 15.7 | 0.2 |
| 26. Bhandara | 11,127 | 8,410 | 2,717 | 24.4 | 3,098 | 64 | $2 \cdot 1$, | 0.8 | 12,465 | 24.9 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Nagpur Division Total : | 1,25,443 | 68542 | 56,901 | $45 \cdot 4$ | 6,354 | 916 | 14.4 | 1.3 | 1,19,666 | $5 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| State Total : | 9,62,764 | 463,928 | 1,12,086 | 21.07 | 26,074 1 | 11,697 | 49.22 | 2.57 | 4,63,787 | $5 \cdot 3$ | 2.47 |

[^0]Particulars of wells in use in village Yengaon (Karanja Revenue Circle)

| Particulars of construction |  |  |  |  |  |  | Area Under Irrigation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serial No. as shown on Map | Construction | Total depth of the well | Diameter | Water Column | Year of construction | If Taccavl was secured | Seasonal | Perennial | Total | Water lifting device | Remarks |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1. | B | 12 | 7 | 4 | 1957 | No. | Nil | 0.75 | 0.75 | M |  |
| 2. | B | 21 | 10 | 3 | 1930 |  | $0 \cdot 50$ | Nil | 0.50 | M |  |
| 3. | A | 21 | 11 | 5 | Old | Rs. $400-$ for repairs | 0.50 | $3 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 50$ | M |  |
| 4. | B | 24 | 10 | 9 | Old | No. | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | M |  |
| 5. | B | 26 | 8 | 13 | 1922 | ... | $3 \cdot 0$ | 2.0 | $5 \cdot 0$ | M |  |
| 6. | A | 21 | 8 | 10 | Old | ... | $3 \cdot 0$ | ... | $3 \cdot 0$ | M |  |
| 7. | B | 21 | 10 | 13 | 1932 | ... | $\ldots$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | M |  |
| 8. | A | 17 | 22 | 8 | 1932 | ... | $8 \cdot 30$ | ... | 8.30 | Oil | Rs. 1500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Engine | own expeaditure |
| 9. | A | 11 | 12 | 5 | 1957 | ... | $2 \cdot 50$ | 2.0 | $4 \cdot 50$ | Oil Engine | -do- |
| 10. | B | $20^{\circ}$ | 11 | 9 | 1958 | ... | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1.50 | M |  |
| 11. | B | 21 | 11 | 12 | 1961 | ... | $1 \cdot 15$ | 0.50 | 1.65 | M |  |
| 12. | B | 13 | 10 | 7. | 1957 | ... | ... | 2.50 | $2 \cdot 50$ | M |  |
| 13. | B | 12 | 10 | 5 | Old | ... | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | M |  |
| 14. | B | 11 | 7 | 5 | Old | ... | 1.0 | ... | $1 \cdot 00$ | M |  |
| 15. | R | 17 | 8 | 4 | Old | ... | $1 \cdot 50$ | $2 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 50$ | Oil |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Engine |  |
| 16. | B | 17 | 8 | 4 | 1912 | $\ldots$ | ... | 1.0 | 1.0 | M |  |
| 17. | A | 22 | 15 | 4 | 1961 | Yes | $3 \cdot 00$ | 4.00 | $7 \cdot 00$ | Oil |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Engine |  |

A-Pucca construction; B-Kaccha construction; M-Water lift provided by Mhote.

APPENDIX B (Continued)

| Particulars of construction |  |  |  |  |  |  | Area under Irrigation |  |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serial No. as shown on Map | Construction | Total depth of the well | Diameter | Water | Year of construction | If Taccavi on was secured | Seasonal | Perennial | Total | Water lifting device |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 18. | A | 20 | 9 | 10 | 1932 | No. | $1 \cdot 00$ | $2 \cdot 50$ | 3.50 . | M |  |
| 19. | A | 24 | 21 | 7 | Old | ... | $1 \cdot 30$ | 5.35 | 6.65 | M |  |
| 20. | A | 20 | 12 | 7 | Old | ... | 1.0 | $2 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | M |  |
| 21. | B | 22 | 7 | 5 | Old | ... | 1.55 | ... | 1.55 | M |  |
| 22. | A | 25 | 7 | 9 | Old | ... | 0.75 | $1 \cdot 00$ | 1.75 | M |  |
| 23. | A | 15 | 14 | 2 | Old | ... | 0.50 | $1 \cdot 00$ | 1.50 | M |  |
| 24. | A | 17 | 9 | 8 | Old | ... | ... | 1.00 | $1 \cdot 00$ | M |  |
| 25. | A | 24 | 12 | 7 | 1932 | ... | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 00$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | M |  |
| 26. | B | 9 | 10 | 2 | 1932 | ... |  | 1.50 | 1.50 | M |  |
| 27. | A | 21 | 13 | 6 | 1912 R | Rs. 800 for re pairs | 1.0 | ... | 1.0 | M |  |
| 28. | A | 16 | 14 | 5 | $1947{ }^{\text { }}$ | ... | $1 \cdot 30$ | 6.00 | $7 \cdot 30$ | Oil <br> Engine |  |
| 29. | B | 11 | 7 | 3 | 1931 | ... | ... | $1 \cdot 00$ | $1 \cdot 00$ | M |  |
| 30. | A | 13 | 11 | 3 | 1931 | $\ldots$ | ... | $1 \cdot 00$ | $1 \cdot 00$ | M |  |
| 31. | B | 14 | 9 | 4 | 1931 | No. | ... | 0.30 | 0.30 | M |  |
| 32. | B | 14 | 7 | 5 | 1931 | ... | 0.30 |  | $0 \cdot 30$ | M |  |
| 33. | A | 18 | 11 | 3 | 1931 | ... | 0.20 | $4 \cdot 00$ | $4 \cdot 20$ | Oil |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Engine |  |
| 34 | B | 12 | 14 | 2 | 1959 | ... | $\cdots$ | 0.30 | 0.30 | M |  |
| 35. | B | 27 | 11 | 4 | Old | ... | ... | 1.00 | 1.00 | M |  |
| 36. | B | 23 | 11 | 4 | . Old | ... | ... | 1.00 | $1 \cdot 00$ | M |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 37.85 | 52.90 | $90 \cdot 75$ | Total Mh Oil Engine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tes } . . .30 \\ & \ldots . .6 \end{aligned}$ |

A - Pucca Construction.
B - Kaccha construction
M-Water lift provided by Mhote.

## APPENDIX B-2

Particulars of wells not in use in the village Yengaon
(Karanja Revenue Circle)

| S. No. | Particulars of construction |  |  |  | Year of construction | Present condition of the well | If Taccavl was secured | Main reason fot its abandonment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Construc- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | Total depth of the well <br> (in feet) | Diamelre <br> (in feet) | Water column (in feet) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 37. | B | ... | ... | ... | Old | Totally ruined | Not available | In total ruins |
| 38. | B | ... | ... | ... | Work in progress | ... | $\cdots$ | ... ... |
| 39. | B | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | Old | Totally ruined | Not available | In total ruins |
| 40. | B | - | - | - | Old | - | - | In total ruins |
| 41. | A | 19 | 9 | 9 | Prior to 1945 | Construction intact | ... | Hard rock : water not struck |
| 42. | B | 14 | 9 | 3 | Prior to 1930 | Slightly <br> Damaged | -.. | Inadequate supply of water; used for drinking water for cattle. |
| 43. | A | 16 | 17 | Nil | Prior to 1930 | Damaged | ... | Hard rock struck. Digging left half way. |
| 44. | A | 10 | 16 | 4 | Prior to 1945 | Collapsed | Taccavi of Rs. 1,000/-secured. | Hard rock. Inadequate supply of water. |
| 45. | B | 11 | 12 | 5 | Prior to 1945 | Damaged | ... | Hard rock. Inadequate supply of water. |
| 46. | B | 12 | 10 | 3 | Prior to 1930 | Damaged |  | Hard rock - inadequate water |

APPENDIX B-2 (continued)

| S. No. | Particulars of coustruction |  |  |  | Year of construction | Present condition of the well | If Taccavi was secured | Main reason for its abandonment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Construction | Total depth of the well in feet | Diametre in feet | Water column in feet |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 47. | B | 13 | 10 | 2 | Prior to 1945 | Damaged | - | Hard rock - inadequate water |
| 48. | B | 23 | 7 | 7 | Prior to 1945 | Good | ... | Murum layers : inadequate water |
| 49. | B | 11 | 10 | 1 | Prior to 1945 | Good | ... | Hard rock - left incomplete Inadequate water. |
| 50. | B | 8 | 12 | Nil | Prior to 1945 | Co Tapsed | ... | Hard rock. Left incomplete. Water not struck. |
| 51. | B | 15 | 19 | 1.5 | Prior to 1945 |  | ... | Hard rock - left incomplete. |
| 52. | A | 17 | 9 | Nil | Prior to 1945 | " | ... | Hard rock-left incomplete ; water not struck. |
| 53. | B | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | Not available | In $\mathrm{I}_{\text {otal ruins }}$ | ... | $\cdots \quad . .$. |
| 54. | B | 17 | 9 | 2 | Prior to 1945 | Heavily sitted | ... | Murum layers-cultivator unable to repair for want of finances. |
| 55. | B | 17 | 9 | Nil | Prior to 1945 | Damaged. | ... | Hard rock struck-left incomplete |
| 56. | B | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | Not available | In total ruins | ... | ... ... ... |
| 57. | B | 22 | 12 | 5 | Prior to 1945 | Damaged | ... | Murum layers-inadequate supply of water. |
| 58. | B | 20 | 10 | 0.5 | Not available | Collapsed | ... | Requires major repairs. |
| 59. | B | 17 | 9 | Nil | Prior to 1945 | Damaged | ... | Hard rock encountered. Water not struck |
| 60. | B | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | In total ruins | ... | On the bank of Nallah. |
| 61. | B | ... | ** | ... | ... | In total ruins | ... | Damaged on account of flooding of Nallah. |
| 62. | B | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | In total ruins | $\cdots$ | Damaged on account of flooding of Nallah. |

## APPENDIX C

Statement showing extent of consumption of Electricity for lifting water from wells in Wardha ( 1960-61) recorded as in the month of July 1961.

| Serlal No. | Name of the village. | Revenue Inspector's circle | Total no. of consumers. | Number of consumers consuming power more than the minimum prescribed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | for year total |  | Even for summer season | Percent |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1. | Sewagram | Sindi | 17 | 13 | 76 | 11 | 64 |
| 2. | Kharangana | " | 17 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 23 |
| 3. | Chitoda | " | 5 | 4 | 80 | 4 | 80 |
| 4. | Salod | Wardha | 38 | 14 | 36 | 18 | 47. |
| 5. | Inzapur | Wardha | 7 | 4 | 57 | 2 | 28 |
| 6. | Selu Kate | Sindi | 1 | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... |
| 7. | Barbadi | " | 15 | 5 | 33 | 7 | 46 |
| 8. | Borgaon | Selu | 12 | 4 | 33 | 5 | 41 |
| 9. | Warud | Selu | 13. | 10 | 77 | 11 | 84 |
| 10. | Selsura | Deoli | 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 11. | Nalwadi | Wardha | 16 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 25 |
| 12. | Isapur | Deoli | 5 | 3 | 60 | 1 | 20 |
| 13. | Wadadh | Deoli | 10 | 3 | 38 | 2 | 25 |
| 14. | Chichala | Sindi | 5 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 60 |
| 15. | Dattapur | Sindi | 4 | 2 | 50 | 3 | 75 |
| 16. | Mahakal | Selu | 2 | 1 | 50 | 2 | 100 |
| 17. | Pipri | Wardha | 5 | 1 | 20 | ... | ... |
| 18. | Khapri | Deoli | 10 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 20 |
| 19. | Seldo | Selu | 4 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| 20. | Digras | Sindi | 3 | 1 | 33 | ... | ... |
| 21. | Deoli | Deoli | 54 | 10 | 18 | 8 | 14 |
| 22. | Saongi | Deoli | 10 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 30 |
| 23. | Satoda | Selu | 11 | 6 | 54 | 5 | 45 |
| 24. | Sindi | Sindi | 18 | 5 | 28 | 6 | 33 |
| 25. | Bela | Selu | 6 | ... | $\ldots$ | 6 |  |
| 26. | Sawangi | Wardha | 1 | 1 | 100 | $\cdots$ | 100 |
| 27. | Asola | Pulgaon | 1 | 1 | 100 |  | 100 |
| 28. | Arvi | Arvi | 43 | 20 | 46 | 20 | 46 |
| 29. | Dautpur | Arvi | 7. | 1 | 14 | 1 | 14 |
| 30. | Pachegaon | Rohana | 7 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 28 |

## APPENDIX C (Continued)

| Serial No. | Name of <br> the village | Revenue Inspector's circle | Total no. of consumers. | of Number af consumers consuming power more than the minimum prescribed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | for yearly total | Percent, | Even for summer season | Percent |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 31. | Pipri | Rohana | 7 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 28 |
| 32. | Maneri | Arvi | 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 33. | Varadh | Arvi | 13 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 13 |
| 34. | Jalgaon | Arvi | 35 | 22 | 62 | 18 | 51 |
| 35. | Borgaon | Arvi | 7 | 3 | 43 | ... | ... |
| 36. | Belora | Arvi | 7 | 3 | 43 | 1 | 14 |
| 37. | Borgaon <br> (Hatla) | Arvi | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 38. | Talegaon | Arvi | 39 | 18 | 46 | 23 | 59 |
| 39. | Nachangaon | Pulgaon | 39 | 24 | 61 | -25 | 64 |
| 40. | Rohana | Rohana | 22 | 11 | 50 | 11 | 50 |
| 41. | Virul | Rohana | 25 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12 |
| 42. | Sorta | Rohana | 1 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 100 |
| 43. | Pulgaon | Pulgaon | 5 | 4 | 80 | 3 | 60 |
| 44. | Selu | Selu | 38 | 25 | 66 | 28 | 73 |
| 45. | Kini | Selu | 11 | 7 | 63 | 8 | 72 |
| 46. | Juwadi | Selu | 5 | 4 | 80 | 4 | 80 |
| 47. | Ghorad | Selu | 11 | 6 | 54 | 5 | 45 |
| 48. | Lehki | Selu | 52 | 33 | 63 | 46 | 88 |
| 49. S | Surgaon | Selu | 18 | 7 | 39 | 10 | 55 |
| 50. | Hingni | Selu | 33 | 16 | 59 | 20 | 60 |
| 51. | Wadgaon | Selu | 49 | 28 | 57 | 38 | 77 |
| 52. | Moi | Selu | 15 | 5 | 33 | 8 | 53 |
| 53. Z | Zadsi | Selu | 37 | 17 | 46 | 18 | 48 |
| 54. P | Pimpalkhuta | Kharangana | 5 | 3 | 60 | 3 | 60 |
| 55. B | Borikokate | Selu | 8 | 3 | 37 | 4 | 50 |
| 56. S | Salai Peth | Selu | 7 | 3 | 43 | 3 | 43 |
| 57. H | Hinganghat | Hinganghat | 11 | 4 | 36 | 4 | 36 |

## APPENDIX C (Continued)

## List of villages approved for electrification.

1. Kutki - Wardha.
2. Talodi - Wardha.
3. Tuljapur - Wardha.
4. Waghala - Wardha.
5. Jaipur - Wardha.
6. Chanki - Wardha.
7. Kopra - Wardha.
8. Takli - Wardha.
9. Karanji (Kazi) - Wardha.
10. Madni - Wardha.
11. Hivra - Hinganghat.
12. Sakra - Hinganghat.
13. Ashta - Wardha.
14. Hamdapur - Wardha.
15. Nandora - Wardha.
16. Mandaogad - Wardha
17. Shirpur - Wardha.
18. Karanji (Bhoge) - Wardha.
19. Nagapur - Wardha.
20. Dhanora - Wardha.
21. Dodki - Wardha.
22. Morangana - Arvi.

Supplementary list of village electrified till 30th Oct. '62.

1. Paunar - Wardha.
2. Salod (Hirapur) - Wardha.
3. Wadadh - Wardha.
4. Kamthi - Wardha.
5. Hivra - Wardha.
6. Umri - Wardha.
7. Ratnapur - Wardha.
8. Bhidi - Wardha.
9. Muradgaon - Wardha.
10. Nandora - Wardha.
11. Dhanoli - Wardha.
12. Inzala - Wardha.
13. Vijaygopal - Wardha.
14. Waigaon (Nipani) - Wardha.
15. Kurzadi - Wardha.
16. Jamtha - Wardha.
17. Sonegaon (Abaji) - Wardha.
18. Nagzari - Wardha.
19. Agargaon - Wardha.
20. Loni - Wardha.
21. Ashti - Arvi.
22. Rahati - Arvi.
23. Ashta - Arvi.
24. Jam - Arvi.
25. Peth Ahmadpur - Arvi.
26. Talegaon - Arvi.
27. Panwadi - Arvi.
28. Rasulabad - Arvi.
29. Bhisnoor - Arvi.
30. Kajali - Arvi.
31. Dhawoli Bahadurpur.-Arvi.
32. Wagholi - Hinganghat.
33. Dabha - Hinganghat.
34. Ganeshpur - Hinganghat.
35. Nagzari - Arvi.
36. Dhavadi - Arvi.
37. Madni - Arvi.
38. Behadi - Arvi.
39. Karanja - Arvi.
40. Sondi - Wardha.
41. Salai Kala - Wardha.
42. Palasgaon - Wardha.
43. Palasgaon near Bhidi-Wardha
44. Kholapur - Wardha.
45. Yeli Keli - Wardha.
46. Sukli - Wardha.
47. Anji - Wardha.
48. Paunar - Wardha.
49. Kharangana - Arvi.
50. Dahegaon Gondi - Arvi.

## APPENDIX D

## BAGLAN SYSTEM OF BANDHARA IRRIGATION.

(The following Note is prepared by extracting relevant portions from Beale's Report (Part I) and from the Report of Mr. Visvesvaraya Committee of 1938).


#### Abstract

"The North Deccan districts differ from the other parts of the Presidency by their extensive system of bandharas or masonry weirs with channels taking off on one or both banks. The flow in the rivers and channels is principally during the monsoon and rabi seasons, but a small hot season supply is sometimes obtained. This is an ancient form of irrigation. The system of cultivation under bandharas has reached perfection in what is called the "Baglan "-a tract including the Burai, the west Panjhra valley, the Mosum and the whole Girna valley west of Malegaon.

In this part the thal or area commanded by a bandhara and its channel, is usually divided into four nearly equal parts or 'phads,' the whole of which is annually brought under irrigation. Each phad is subdivided into an equal number of shares or fields according to the number of owners. In any one year, the whole of one phad is devoted to rice, another to sugarcane, the third to wheat, gram, peas etc., and the fourth to bajri or kharif jowar etc. All cultivators in a given phad are bound to grow the same crop so as to equate their water requirements. Further, they are not allowed to change the rotation of crop pattern fixed by the villagers in advance. In the next year the same crops are grown but on different phads, viz., in the following order :- (1) sugarcane, (2) wheat, (3) bajri, (4) rice and in the next two years the crops move round in the same rotation until in the fifth year they regain their original 'position. This rotation continues without interruption, the only periods of fallow being the interval between the crops. Manure is put on before the rice crop, and again, by those who can afford, for the sugarcane. This system is termed as quadrennial rotation. In triennial the bajri is omitted ; in quinquennial rotation, two sorts of rice are grown, superior Kamod and ordinary.


Under pacca bandharas, which are not controlled exclusively by the irrigation department, a consolidated land and water assessment was fixed on the area irrigated under the bandharas at the time of the survey. The repairs to the bandharas are done by the Government from the revenue of the consolidated assessment.

This system of distribution of irrigation water was advocated by some witnesses for adoption before the Bombay Irrigation Inquiry Committee, 1938. Speaking of the phad system in a Technical Paper (No. 16, issued in 1929) Mr. C. C. Inglis, I. S. E., Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Development and Research Circle, Poona, has explained why its introduction on major irrigation canals is not practicable. He writes :-
"... It was not proposed to divide the blocks into phads because it was realised that such a drastic distribution of holdings would be impossible ; but it was anticipated that exchanges of land could be arranged between those with land outside the selected blocks who wanted to grow cane in the blocks and those with lands inside the blocks who did not want to grow cane.
" The cane areas were not concentrated into large blocks and pra: ctically the only alteration that took place was that cane areas were standardised by demarcation, but they remained scattered widely over large areas wherever there was a demand for them.
"The advantages which occurred from the introduction of blocks were, however, very great ; because when the areas were standardised it was possible for the canal staff to distribute water much more economically ; and the cultivators, having an assurance of water for a period of years, found it worth while to carry out improvements to their land."

The technical difficulties mentioned above do not seem to be insurmountable and serious attempts should be made to find out how this Baglan system of irrigation can be introduced. This system should be tried as an experiment on all new schemes since introduction of co-operative farming is Government policy to-day.


[^0]:    *Figures to be verified. Source: Report of the Technical Committee on Wells Scheme, Government of Bombay 1959.

