Annual Reports.

Report on the administration of the estates under the management of the Courts of Wards in the Bombay Presidency for the year ending 31st July 1923.

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Resolution No. P.-54.

Bombay Castle, 28th January 1924.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P.—88-R., dated 24th September 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. W.R.D.-28 dated 2nd October 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. W.R.D.-P.R.B.-11 dated 4th October 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. P.W.D.-P.R.B.—1 dated 6th October 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division, No. W.R.D.-P.R.I., dated 21st November 1923.

Submitting their annual reports on the management of private estates in their respective charges as Courts of Wards for the year ending 31st July 1923.

RESOLUTION.-Recorded.

By order of the Governor in Council,

A. R. DALAL,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

*The Commissioner in Sind,

- *The Commissioner, N. D.,
- •The Commissioner, C. D.,
- *The Commissioner, S. D.,
- *The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,
- •All Collectors, including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

н 781

*The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,

*The Director of Agriculture, *The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records,

*The Director of Public Instructions,

*The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor,

[†]The Accountant General,

•The Oriental Translator to Government,

*The Editor's Tables, *The Non-official members of the Legislative Council, Bombay,

*The Non-official members of the Legislative Assembly representing the Bombay Presidency,

†The High Commissioner for India.

.....

* With copies of the report. † By letter.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Presidency Proper.

PABA.			PAGES.
INumber of estates under manage	ment	•••	1
II.—Income			1-2
III.—Expenditure		•••	2-3
IV Collection of estates' dues	• ·	•••	35
V.—Government revenue, cesses and ra	ates		5
VI.—Cost of management	•••		56
VIIAdvances granted to cultivators			6
VIIISpecial schemes for management			6—7
IXMaintenance and education of war	ds		78
XRepayment of existing debts and li	iabilities		9
XIReduction of claims			10
XII.—General remarks		•••	10—11
Statements I, II, III	•••		12 - 25
Sind.			
Report		***	27-38
Statements I, II, III		••••	3941
Commissioner's remarks			4345

NORTHERN DIVISION.

F. G. PRATT, Esq., I.C.S., Commissioner, N. D.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

G. W. HATCH, Esq., I.C.S., Commissioner, C. D.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

H. L. PAINTER, Esq., I.C.S., Commissioner, S. D.

BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION.

J. R. MARTIN, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S., Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division.

I.--NUMBER OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.

Northern Division.—At the beginning of the year there were 42 estates under management (5 large and 37 small). Two small estates were taken under management during the year (1 in Ahmedabad and 1 in Surat). The assumption of the superintendence of the estate of the minor daughters of the late Vanmali Vallabh of Surat was sanctioned under Government Resolution, Revenue Department, No. 9989 dated 3rd January 1924, but the assumption of management has been kept in abeyance under orders of the Civil Court till the suit filed by the mother of the minors is decided. Four small estates were released from management during the year under report (2 in Kaira and 2 in Surat). The year thus closed with 40 estates under management (5 large and 35 small).

Central Division.—At the beginning of the year there were 8 large and 15 small estates under management. One small estate of Chaugule of Jalgaon was released while a small estate of Kadam of Poona was taken up during the year. Thus the total number at the end of the year remained the same. Statistical information regarding these estates is given in the accompanying three statements.

Southern Division.—The year began with 50 estates as against 51 in the preceding year. In Belgaum one estate was taken over, and one was relinquished on account of the death of the ward. The number of estates at the close of the year thus remained the same.

Bombay Suburban Division.—There was no change in the number of estates under management—two.

II.-INCOME.

Northern Division.—The total gross income amounted to Rs. 3,17,304 as against Ks. 3,31,766 in the previous year. The decrease is due to unfavourable season and to four small estates having gone out of management.

R 789—1

Central Division.—The total gross income of the estates rose from Rs. 2,92,961 to Rs. 3,51,966 during the year under report. The increase is due partly to larger receipts of some of the estates in Nasik and East Khandesh districts and partly to the addition of the income of the estates of the two wards, Naik and Panse of Poona, information regarding which appears for the first time in this report (vide paragraph 2 (2) of the last year's report).

Southern Division.—The total gross income rose from Rs. 3,25,811 to Rs. 3,32,010.

There was a fall of Rs. 17,109 in Belgaum owing to scarcity, but it was more than counterbalanced mainly by increases in Dharwar (Rs. 18,486) owing to sale of a gin factory, recovery of debt and encashment of post office cash certificates, and in Ratnagiri (Rs. 4,223) due to withdrawal from the Savings Bank account.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The gross income fell from Rs. 43,818 to Rs. 9,776. The decrease in recurring income was not appreciable. Last year's account was for a period of 14 months and the figures of income in Miss Weir's estate included the sale-proceeds of moveable property such as jewels, furniture and compensation for land acquired and trees sold and other miscellaneous items.

III.--EXPENDITURE.

Northern Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,60,137 as against Rs. 1,85,198 in the previous year. The increase in expenditure is due to the incorporation of the figures of the Edroos and Gamph estates taken under management during the previous year.

The following were the items of special expenditure during the year :---

Name of estate.	Amount. Rs.	Object of expenditure.
Janod (Kaira)	2,547	Majirajba's death ceremony.
	403	Litigation.
Nayka (Kaira)	690	Advance for marriage of 2 daughters of the Thakor.
Kazi (Surat)	1,729	Litigation.
Mehlol (Panch Mahals).	15,000	Marriage of the eldest son of the Thakor.

Central Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,34,470 as against Rs. 1,95,085 in the previous year. Besides the ordinary expenses of management of the estates and maintenance of the wards and their relatives and dependents expenditure was incurred on account of some of the estates on the following special items:—

Rs.

50,715 Repayment of loans.

5,472 Law charges.

- 10,456 Repairs to the bungalows and houses of the ward Naik of Poona
- 6,000 Marriage of the sister of the ward Sukenkar in the Nasik District.

2. During the year fresh investments were made by the following estates in war bonds:---

		Amount invested.
		Rs.
		30,000
		* 34,000
	·	3,000
		15,000
:		18,000
	 	··· (···

Two small estates in the Nasik District also invested Rs. 9,000 in Government Promissory Notes.

Southern Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 3,04,048 as against Rs. 2,51,598. The increase was due to—

- (1) revision of pay of establishment, marriage and religious expenses, introduction of survey settlement and land improvement works in Belgaum (Rs. 18,334);
- (2) payment in liquidation of loans in Bijapur (Rs. 7,950);
- (3) investment in Government Promissory Notes in Dharwar (Rs. 13,300) and
- (4) purchase of 1/3rd share in the gin factory at Navalgund by the Bhadrapur estate and pilgrimage and marriage expenses in Dharwar (Rs. 15,166).

Bombay Suburban Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 17,229 against Rs. 34,731 in the previous year. The total of Rs. 34,731 included Rs. 10,000 transferred to Miss Mary Weir's overdraft account, and Rs. 3,300 invested. In ordinary items there has been a decrease in expenditure of Rs. 4,000. The account for the last year being for a period of 14 months the decrease is not appreciable.

IV.-COLLECTION OF ESTATES' DUES.

Northern Division.—Out of the total demand of Rs. 2,76,106 on account of rents Rs. 1,96,138 were collected and Rs. 15,782 were written off leaving a balance of Rs. 64,184 for collection at the close of the year. Of these Rs. 19,131 were on account of authorized suspensions and Rs. 45,055 represented unauthorized arrears, of which Rs. 26,570 due to the Edroos estate on account of arrears of past years are reported to be irrecoverable.

Central Division.—The total demand including arrears was Rs. 2,07,805 of which Rs. 1,56,678 were collected and Rs. 3,674 were written off as irrecoverable, leaving a balance of Rs. 47,453 as

Name of estate.	Amount.	Reasons.
Fakirehand of Chopda	Rs. 7,312	The Collector states that the arrear of Rs. 5,000 due from a tenant residing in Indore Statewill be struck off the accounts as soon as the sale deed of the lands he has given in satisfaction of the claim is executed in ward's favour, that another item of Rs. 1,048 has been converted into Givil Court decrees and that the real balance is Rs. 1,264 only.
Deshmukk of Chikurde	4 ,509	The details are as under : Rs. 868 Arrears for 1923-24 of which Rs. 225 were recovered in August last. 2,866 Past arrears of which accounts are yet to be settled. 775 Rent for which a Civil Suit bas been filed. 4,509
Radam of Poors	15,594	The Collector states that the whole of the year's land revenue for the inam village, Shirasgaon Kata, put down for collection and a part of the rent due to the estate were recovered in the year of report and that no further recovery could be effected owing to the unsatisfactory financial condition of the tenants due to continuous famine for the last 4 years.
4 Small estates in Ahmednagar	11,142	3 of the 4 wards are holders of inam villages and the Collector states that the collection was made according to the anna valuation of the crops in those villages and that the rent of private lands was recovered leaving balances only in villages having bad crops.

against 59,717 in the previous year. Detailed reasons for the heavy arrears in some of the estates are given below :---

It will be seen from the above that the outstandings were not due to any remissness in the matter of collection.

Southern Division.—Out of the total rental demand of Rs. 3,64,030, Rs. 2,48,725 were collected and Rs. 10,919 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,05,109. Belgaum alone is responsible for arrears to the extent of Rs. 98,580. More than one-third of these arrears (Rs. 33,250) pertain to the Akbarnavis estate. The major portion of this amount is considered to be irrecoverable. The arrears go on swelling year by year and the ward does not agree to the irrecoverable amounts being written off for fear that this might affect his interest in civil litigation. The remaining arrears, to which Dharwar contributes Rs. 5,545, are mostly due to two successive bad seasons. Steps are being taken for their recovery.

Bombay Suburban Division.—Out of the total rental demand of Rs. 10,558, Rs. 9,776 were collected during the year leaving a balance of Rs. 782 which has mostly been recovered since.

V .--- GOVERNMENT REVENUE, CESSES AND RATES.

Northern Division.-All Government dues ordered to be paid were paid in full.

Central Division .--- Amounts due to Government have been paid by all the estates.

Southern Division.—With the exception of the suspended arrears in Belgaum, all Government dues were paid up in full.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The amount due to Government was paid in full by the estates. There were no suspensions or remissions granted.

VI.-COST OF MANAGEMENT.

Northern Division.—The management charges amounted to Rs. 25,746 or 81 per cent. of the gross income recovered as against Rs. 18,413 or 55 per cent. respectively of the last year. The increase is due to the recovery of arrears of contributions of past years in Ahmedabad and Surat districts.

Central Division.—In the case of two small estates—Shete and Kazi—in the Ahmednagar District no expenditure was incurred as the management was conducted departmentally. The total cost incurred on the management of the remaining estates amounted to Rs. 32,203 or 91 per cent. of their income as against 103 in the previous year.

2. In the case of the Raisingpur estate the management charges amounted to Rs. 12,051, *i.e.*, 35.2 per cent. of its income. With a view to curtailing these charges a reduction in the forest establishment of this estate has been sanctioned in Government Resolution No. 222/24 dated 16th February 1924. The reduction, however, could not be effected during the year owing to the work of valuation of the trees cut in the disputed area of the Kathi Raisingpur estates. The reduction has been given effect to from 1st August 1924.

Southern Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 29,466 or 8.9 per cent. of the income as against Rs. 28,324.or 8.7 per cent. in the previous year. The slight increase was due to smaller collections in Belgaum.

The cost of actual collection was below 10 per cent. except in Belgaum where it was recovered at an all round rate of 10 per cent. on the budgetted gross income of the estates. The percentage on actual collections in Belgaum therefore varied from 7.2 to 15.5 in the case of individual estates according as they were more or less than those estimated. The practice followed in Belgaum is wrong inasmuch as the arrears will again be subjected to the percentage deduction next year. The Collector has therefore been asked to charge the percentage on the actual collections.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The management charges of the estates amounted to Rs. 2,292 or 23.4 per cent. of the gross income as against Rs. 2,258 or 5.1 per cent for the preceding year. The increased percentage was due to the fall in the income.

VII.—Advances to Cultivators.

Northern Division.—Only in one estate, viz., the Kantilal estate in the Thana District, Rs. 11,929 in addition to paddy worth Rs. 1,843 were advanced to the tenants.

Central Division.—The estate of Fakirchand of Chopda advanced a sum of Rs. 2,000 to an agriculturist for bringing the estate's land lying fallow in H. H. Holkar's territory under cultivation. The amount was advanced by way of tagai on security of other land belonging to the borrower and is made repayable within 5 years.

Southern Division.-Nil.

Bombay Suburban Division.-Nil.

VIII.—SPECIAL SCHEMES FOR MANAGEMENT.

Northern Division.—There was no special scheme for management of any of the estates.

Central Division.—The management of the Raisingpur forest has been undertaken according to the sanctioned working plan and the estate is divided into 3 circles A, B & C. The colonization process in C Circle which contains good agricultural lands and teak trees continues to be slow and unsatisfactory and the Collector reports that in the absence of a survey settlement and guarantee of a permanent tenure matters are not likely to improve.

2. The fellings in C Circle due for clearing have now come to an end but as the progress of colonization has not kept pace with the areas felled the remaining coupes from coupe No. 9 in Circle C are now being halved and only one-half the area sold annually so as to prolong the period of fellings in the area of C Circle.

3. The number of villages inhabited in the estate was the same as last year, viz., 8.

4. At present there are 3 schools in the estate. The school at Nizampur has been closed from 1st January 1924 as the villagers do not seem to be in need of it. Considerable difficulty is being experienced in getting qualified teachers for the schools.

5. No special schemes were undertaken in respect of other estates.

Southern Division.-Nil.

Bombay Suburban Division.—No special scheme of management has yet been worked out as the estate of Miss Weir was involved in litigation.

IX .- MAINTENANCE AND EDUCATION OF WARDS.

Northern Division.—All reasonable demands on account of Maintenance of Wards and their relatives were met and Rs. 61,657 were spent under the head as against Rs. 33,162 in the previous year. The increase is due to the fact that the accounts of two large estates which were omitted from the last year's report have been included in the statement for the year under report.

The details are as under :---

Rs.

Cash for maintenance and education ... 61,070. Produce enjoyed by wards or on their behalf and adjusted to maintenance allowance ... 587

Total ... 61,657

There were in all 55 wards—53 males and 2 females. One of the females is studying Sanskrit and Hindu religious books under a special tutor.

- 2 are below school-going age,
- 3 are over school-going age,
- 3 have left school,
- 15 are in service,
- 2 are of unsound mind,
- 11 are learning professional work or are engaged in the management of their estates,
- 1 a private tutor has been engaged for his education,
- 1 is studying at Davar's College of Commerce,
- 2 are studying in College,
- 10 are attending Anglo-Vernacular schools,
- 3 are in Vérnacular schools.
- 53 Males.
- 2 Females.

Central Division.—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the wards and their relatives dependent on them continued to be satisfied except in the case of two wards—one in Ahmednagar and the other in Poona—whose relatives support them.

⁵⁵

2. There are in all 23 wards. Out of these 20 are males and 3 are females. The person of only one male ward is under superintendence. None of the female wards go to school. Out of 20 males

- 6 are over school-going age,
- 1 is below school-going age,
- 13 are receiving education as funder :---
 - 1 in Deccan College, Poona,
 - 5 in Anglo-Vernacular schools,
 - 7 in Vernacular schools.

3. As advised by the Superintendent, Lunatic Asylum, Yeravda, the Chieftain of Raisingpur was taken to Matheran for change of climate and kept there for over a month. Since his return he is reported to have much improved.

Southern Division.— All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the wards and the relatives dependent on them were satisfied. The expenditure on this account was Rs. 96,154 as against Rs. 98,235. The decrease is due partly to the death of the mother of a ward in Dharwar, who was paid a monthly allowance of Rs. 160, and partly to non-payment of allowances to some wards in Belgaum for want of funds.

There were in all 89 wards (77 mile and 12 female). One female ward is attending an English school in Belgaum and another is reading in vernacular IV standard at Shirpur. Out of the 77 males—

- 1 is below school-going age,
- 38 are above school-going age and
- 38 are receiving education as follows :---
 - 17 in primary schools,
 - 20 in English schools and
 - 1 at College.

38

77 TOTAL.

Bombay Suburban Division.—All reasonable demands for the maintenance and education of the wards were satisfied during the year under report.

Miss Weir is still unable to attend to her own interests. Her health shows steady, even if very slow, improvement.

X.-REPAYMENT OF EXISTING DEBTS AND LIABILITIES.

Northern Division.— The aggregate amount of debt due by the estates which amounted to Rs. 6,77,548 when management was assumed was reduced to Rs. 2,71,190 at the beginning of the year under report.

During the year the liabilities rose by Rs. 21,704 mainly on account of interest charges, Rs. 17,320 were remitted by creditors, and Rs. 31,715 were paid out of the current income of the estates leaving a balance of Rs. 2,43,859 at the close of the year.

Central Division.—The six large estates named in the margin and five small estates are free from debt.

- 1. Fakirchand of Chopda.
- 2. Pande of Dharangaon.

3. Deshmukh of Yawal,

4. Naik of Paldhi.

Chieftain of Raisingpur.
 Koshti of Rahuri.

The total balance of debt due by the estates at the beginning of the year was Rs. 4,21,389. A fresh debt of Rs. 15,000 was raised for the liquidation

of the old debts due by the two small estates, Kazi of Ahmednagar and Panse of Poona. Claims amounting to Rs. 87,599 were cleared off, leaving a balance of Rs. 3,48,790 out of which Rs. 1,03,494 represent the family debt of the small joint estate of Natu which will be wiped off when partition of the estate is complete.

Southern Division.—The total balance of debt due by the estates at the beginning of the year was Rs. 5,56,600. Additional debt amounting to Rs. 60,050 was incurred wholly in Belgaum. The total repayment of debt amounted to Rs. 70,846 (as against Rs. 93,086), of which Rs. 40,671 was paid from current income and Rs. 30,175 by incurring fresh debts. Claims amounting to Rs. 1,600 were rejected.

Fifteen out of 41 estates in Belgaum are free from debt. In 12 out of these 15 estates the holders being minors, it is not desirable to withdraw management till they have attained majority. Of the remaining three estates, the holders of two are incapable of managing their affairs and in the case of the third proceedings for declaration of incapacity of the proprietor are pending in the Civil Court.

Both the estates in Bijapur are encumbered; the Durga estate is heavily in debt, the liabilities amounting to Rs. 1,00,825, and the Collector is devising means for its liquidation.

Only one estate in Dharwar, viz., Belgali, is in debt to the extent of Rs. 1,529.

The Gore estate in Ratnagiri has no debts.

Bombay Suburban Division.—Other than a bank overdraft of Rs. 13,000 in the case of Miss Weir's estate there are no liabilities on the estates.

In the case of the Ward Gulamalli and Nurmahomed a claim of Rs. 1,278 has been made and is under investigation.

During the year under report no repayment against the overdraft in Miss Weir's case was made.

H 73)-2

XI.—REDUCTION OF CLAIMS SECURED IN CONSIDERATION OF PROMPT PAYMENT.

There were no such cases in the Northern, Central and Suburban Divisions. In the Southern Division proceedings for the settlement of some claims are in progress in Belgaum.

XII.—GENERAL REMARKS.

Northern Division.—The year opened with 16 suits pending in Ahmedabad and eight fresh suits, including six for or against the Gamph estate, were instituted during the year. One suit was decided, the decision being against the estate. All the remaining suits were pending at the close of the year.

After the close of the year a sum of Rs. 7,860 out of the surplus balance of the Janod estate was invested in 5 per cent. bonds issued by Government.

The payment of instalments in settlement of the debts of the Buxi estate had to be stopped as the ward protested against payment of the money from his pension. The estate has no other source of income. The whole question is being examined by the Collector with a view to ascertain whether management should be continued or not.

The claims of the relatives and creditors of the Edroos estates are under investigation.

The execution of the decree of Mr. Mulla for the sale of the Orma village of the Edroos estate has been settled by compromise.

Central Division.—The appeal in the High Court regarding inam villages of the small Javale estate in the Ahmednagar District and the Civil Suit against Malegaon Rajebahadur estate in the Nasik District, referred to in paragraph 12 (1) and (2) of the last year's report are still pending. Another suit was filed against the Kulkaini estate in the Nasik District for a claim which was refused by the Collector twice on the ground that it was not sufficiently proved. The suit is being defended.

2. The administration of the estates through local officers has been efficient and satisfactory.

Southern Division.—Out of 35 suits (24 pending from last year and 11 instituted during the year), 19 were decided in favour of the Court of Wards and two against it, leaving 14 pending at the close of the year.

The appeal preferred to the High Court on behalf of the Tignibidri estate in Bijapur has not yet come up for hearing.

The Adur Ward in Dharwar has attained majority, and the management of the estate is being relinquished.

The administration of the estates was efficient and satisfactory.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The management of Miss Weir's estate was severely handicapped by the suit brought by Miss Weir's

uncle Mr. A. Weir for the transfer of the estate to the Official Trustee. This made it impossible either to liquidate the estate's debt or invest the cash balances.

The management expenses are high on this estate but will diminish now that legal problems are being solved and it is possible to get the property into a more manageable form. Both the present Collector and his predecessor have taken a keen and personal interest in the welfare of the ward and have done much to secure her comfort and restoration to health.

STATEMENT

Statement showing the general position of estates unler Bombay Suburban Divisions

(Details are given only for esta	tes with gross income of Rs. 10,000
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

	-	(Details are	given	only for	estates w	ith gross	income of	
- Serial Number.	Nume of distric	t. Name of esta	te.	• Total areu of estate.	or Cultivated area.	o. Uncultivated a. area.	a Total assessment.	Total land re- wenue demund including cesses.
£	NORTHERN DIVISION,			Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Kaira) Janod	!	13,274	10,656	2,603	19,960	17,696
2	Ahmedabad	Gamph		34,961	26,177	8,784	38,904	63,561
3	Panch Mahala	Mehlol		29,339	17,045	18,293	87,650	26,965
\$	Surat -	Edroos		4,598	4,258	340	5,919	23.047
5	Do.	Buxi						
69	Kaira)						
1020	Ahmedabad							
2125	Panch Mabals							
25 28	Broach	Ostates.	11a11	29,521	19,509	10,912	95,873	78,814
29-38	Surat							
39 -40	Thana]J						
	CENTRAL DIVISION.	Total, North Division.	a761	111,692	77,655	84,037	1,37,6 06	9,15,083
1	East Khandesh.	Fakirchand	of	931	901	90	1,414	14,342
2	Do.	Chopda. Pande of Dha		373	373		1,186	7,419
3	Do.	gaon. Deshmukh	of	827	790	37	1,296	11,456
4	Do.	Yawal. Naik of Paldh		565	542	23	963	5,970
5	West Khandesh	Raisingpur	1	128,000	7,200	120,800	4,754	4,754
6	Poona	Naik		100	100		74	453
7	Satara	Deshmukh Chikurde.	of	Acres 853 and Bighas	Acres 853 and Bighas		4,448	19,506
8	Ahmednagar	Koshti of Rah	uri.	266 89	866 89		134	839
9	East Khandesb)				·		
10-14	Poona			28,556	25,777	8,779	23,888	87,500
15-19	Nasik	estetes.	181	20,000	20,111	\$1119 	200 ₇ 000	01,000
90 23	Ahmednagar							
		Total, Cen Division.		Acres 160,284 and Bighas 206	Acres 36,625 and Bighas 260	123,659	38,157	1,52,139

J,

Government management in the Northern, Central, Southern and during the year 1923-24.

and above;	figures for	the other	estates ar	e lumped.)			
in-	ex-	Bala	nce.	ties.	Dage-	19. 0	1
Total gross in- come recovered.	Total gross penditure.	H Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Cost of menago- ment.	Percentage gross income.	Remarks.
9	10	11	18	13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.		
22,233	13,668	7,500	17,017		8,194	9.9	
67,468	66,331	97	6,211	1,84,090	8,045	11-9	
47,795	52,707	1,21,700	13,134	••••••	4,185	10-2	
31.041	2 2,550		8,542		650	2-9	
22,727	17,578		11,429	63,576	1,002	4.4	
1,33,040	87,303	3,07,905	72,036	46,193	9,670	7.3	*The changes in area and assessment
8,17,504	2,60,137	4,37,202	1,28,362	2,43,~59	25,746	8·1	are due partly to the purchase of some lands and partly to some lands being held in mortgage.
52,938	17,256	1,74,400	~19,136		4,346	*8-2	The decrease in area and assessment is
13,509	6,555	1,11,000	4,449		8,070	15-3	due to the reconve- yance of certain
24,085	9,309	19,000	8,978		8,323	1 9·6	land.
33,782	12,168	87,500	10,956		2,451	17:2	The increase in area and assessment is
81,149	27,239	2,16,800	59,498		12,051	\$35 - 2	due to the purchase of land by the estate.
21,810	21,228		3,122	5,755	1,494	6-8	The assessment of this estate always
23,250	23,857		23,443		1,623	**6-9	varies as it is based on Autbandi System.
8,183	. 2,314	38,400	14,555		407	419	** The Deshmukhi watan held by the ward has lapsed to Government but the
1,40,260	1,14,554	29,047	60,777	8,43,035	5,438	3.8	watan lands are continued to her on payment of full assessment (vide G o v er n m e n t Besolution No. 471-A
8,51,966	2,34,470	6,76,147	9,04,914	3,48,790	5 2, 20 3	8.1	dated 8th July 1924). The area and assess- ment of the lands held by the ward are given in columns 4 to 7.

and above; figures for the other estates are lumped.)

STATEMENT

L Beriel Number.	Name of dist	rict.	Name of estate.	- Total area of - estate.	o . Cultivated area.	o. Uncultivated area.	- Total assoss- ment.	Total land reve- c nue demand in- cluding cesses.
	SOUTHER DIVISION	N		Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Belgaum		Nipani	7.016	6,575	441	8,170	34,243
. 8	Do.		Chandur	9.437	8,437		3,883	31,173
8	Do.	•••	Jamboti	16,633	6,734	9,899	7,499	11,036
4	Do,		Here •				•••	12,536
6	Do.	•••	Yargatti	. 17,844	17,844		9,299	19 ,93 3
6	Do.		Kudachi	. 14,359	14,036	325	18,452	10.386
7	Do,	. •••	Ghosarwad	1,334	1,334	-,.,	3,9 51	8,363
8	Do.		Metgud	392	392		955	12,000
9	Bijapur	•••	Tignibidri	3,713	3,546	165	2,705	707
10	Dharwar		Aminbhavi	742	741	1	1,889	10,509
11	Do.	•••	Bhadrapur	891	891	•••	1,703	9,651
12-44	Belgaum	•••	ر ر	1 .				
45	Bijapur	•••	Fotal for small	27,173	17,669	9,504	23,848	1,11,228
46-49	Dharwar		estates.	611,10	71,003	5,00%	01010	411,220
50	Ratnagiri		J Total, Southern Division.	92,532	72,199	20,333	82,347	2,71,764

I-continued.

In-	θ¥-	BALA	NCE.	ities.	-9 ĝej	.of	
Total gross in- comerecovered.	Total gross penditure.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Cost of manage- ment.	Percentage gross income.	Remarks.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.		
36,841	31,627	29,400	4,008	1,76,658	3,650	8·9	
28,356	26,239	3,700	12,436	21,402	3.079	10 [.] 8	
16,174	11,363	8,900	4.269	•	1,582	8.4	
20,531	23,351		3.874	24,325	1,490	7.2	-
13,675	24,709	4.100	2,997	-7.500	2,127	15 [.] 5	
10,974	10,439	2,600	4,030	24,038	1,060	9.7	
11,748	4,917		2,063	4,388	882	7.8	
12,000	2,154		2,035	45,646	1,200	7-9	
10,593	8.575		4,545	14,500	607	5.7	
13,512	11,963	17,300	15,617		522	3-86	
27,156	18,813	72,600	26,093	•••	745	2.76	
1,30,456	1,29,898	1,29,800	74,353	2,19,197	12,522	9.6	
3,32,010	3,04,048	2,68,400	1,56,320	5,37,654	29,466	8.9	

STATEMENT	•
-----------	---

- Serial Number.	e Name of district.	Name of estate.	Total area of estate. 4	er Cultivated area.	9 Uacultivated area.	⊲ Total assessment.	Total lend revenue domand including cosses
	BOMBAY SUBUR- BAN DI- VISION.		A. g.	A. g	A. g.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. v. p.
1	Bombay Subur- ban,	Estate of Miss Mary Nazli Weir.	331 0	84 0	247- 0	864 5 6	854 5 6
8	Do	Gulamalliand Nurmoho- med Abdulla.	<u>9</u> 16 <u>3</u>	1 62	1 10	18 1 0	2580
		Total, Bom- bay Subur- ban Division.	333 16	85 61	248 10	882 6 6	839 13 6
	-	Grand Total	364,841 Acres +266 Bighas +163 Gun- thas.	Acres+	178,277 10	2,88,992 6 6 -	6,39,875 13 6

sred.		-		-			Ba	L/	INCE.								ď	
o Totsi gross income recovered.			U Total gross expenditure.			H Invested.			5 Uninvested.			u Total liabilities.		1 Cost of management.			r Percentage of gross income.	91 Remarks.
Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.	a. p.	R	8. 8 .	p.	Rs.	8.	p.		[
8,922	7	9	16,718	5 10	7	8,000	0	0	*5,945	98	12,9	75 10	8	\$,228	0	0	24-9	
853	10	4	513	10	0	485	15	10	97	7 10	1	Nil		164	2	0	7.5	
9.776	1	7	17,229	4	7	8,485	15	10	6,023	1 6	12,9	75 10	8	2,292	2	0	23·4	
10,11,056	1	- 7	8,15,684	4	7	13,90,234	15	10	4.95,619	1 6	11,48,2	78 10	8		2	0	9.5	
					•	1 -			·.									

* Last year's figure was incorrect.

+ This amount has been sanctioned but the payment is to be made.

STATEMENT

.

			1	Demands.			Collec
Seriul No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	Оп ассоипt of аггеагы.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
•	NORTHERN DIVISION.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.
1	Kuira	Janod	17.696	4,520	22,216	14,571	2,633
2	Ahmedabad	. Gamph	68,561	5.345	73,906	60,110	•••
3	Panch Mahals	. Mehlol	20.711	•••	20,711	20.634	•••
4	Surat	Elro 3	23,047	37,246*	60,293	23,045	739
5	Do	. Baxi		¦	•		
69	Kaira	· j		,			
1020	Ahmedabad						
2125	Panch Mahals	. Total for small	81.150	• 17,890	D U 000	70.661	3.695
26—28	Broach		01,100	11,631	98,990	10,001	a,090
2938	Surat			1			
3940	Thana	.)					
		Total. Northern Division	2,11,165	64,941	2,76.106	1,39.071	7.067
	CENTRAL DIVISION.						
1	East Khandesh	. Fakirchand of Chopda.	14,242	7,617	21,859	13,276	1,271
2	Do	Pande of Dharan- gaon.	7,419	1,105	8,524	6,731	991
3	Do	Deshmukh of Yawal.	12,456	2.488	13,944	10,741	1,226
4	Do	. Naik of Paldhi	5,970	1,152	7,122	5,811	311
5	West Khandesh	Raisingpur	4,754	472	5.226	4,434	181
6	Poona	. Naik	453		453	453	•••••
7	Satara	Deshmukh of Chikurde.	19,506	6,218	25.724	18,794	2,421
8 9 1014 1519	East Khandesh Poona Nasik	2	839 87,500	8 36,606	847 1,24,106	421 79,604	t 10,064
20—23	Ahmednagar	J Total, Central Division.	1,62,139	55.666	2,07,805	1,40.265	16,418

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collections in estates Southern and Bombay Suburban

* Rs. 25.570 out of the arrears are reported to be irrecoverable.

+ There is an overcollection of Rs. 39 in Surat which is included in this figure.

II.

Details of Balances. tions. Amount written-off. Balance. 8 Converted into bonds of decrees. Remarks. Current. Arrears. Others. Total. Total. 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 11 Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. 17,204 2,754 2,258 2,258 465 1,773 ••• 60,160 1,391 12,355 12,355 7,161 5,194 ... 20,634 8 69 69 69 23,784 36.509* 36,509 2 36,507+ ••• ••• • • • ••• 74.356 11,629 95 12,900 12,995 10,222 2,773 1,96,138 64,091 17,939 15,782 95 64,186 46,247 14,547 6,264 7,312 1,048 966 6,346 7,662 662 802 688 174 ... 11,967 1,973 1,973 715 4 ... 1,258 6,122 437 56**3** 1,000 159 811. • • • 4,615 211 400 400 330 80 ••• 453 •••• • • • • • • ••• 21,215 4,509 4,509 7123,797 ••• 129 418 418 418 94.607 3,459 30,979 30,979 7,896 23,083 ••• Rs. 2,07,805 §Demands Collections 1,56,678 1.61,617; -4,939 3,674 1,485 45,966 47.453§ 11,874 35,579 51,127 3,674 Written-off ... 1.56.075 47.458

under Government management in the Northern, Central, Divisions during the year 1923-24.

: This includes Rs. 4.939 on account of collections of Natu estate, the demand and closing balance of which are not known as the estate is joint.

STATEMENT

								Demar	ıds	•				Ċ	loll	e e
Serial No.		e of district. Name of estate.		Carrent.			Arrears.			Total.			On account of current year.			
1	8		3		4			5			6			7		
	SOUTH DIVISI				Rs.	8.	y.	Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.	8,	p.	R9.	8.	p
1	Belgaum		Nipani		3 4,243	0	0	3,432	0	0	37,675	0	0	30,848	0	t
8	Do.		Chandur		31,173	0	0	3,440	0	0	34,613	0	0	24,709	0	0
3	Do.		Jamboti		11,036	0	0	3,792	0	0	14,828	0	0	10,747	0	0
4	Do.		Here		12,536	0	0	1,346	0	0	13.882	0	0	11,821	0	0
б	Do.		Yargatti		19,933	0	0	9,700	0	0	29,633	0	0	12,441	0	0
6	D0.		Kudach		10,385	0	0	700	0	0	11,085	0	0	10,190	0	U
7	Do.		Ghosarwad		8,363	0	0	2,610	0	0	10,973	0	0	6,323	0	0
8	Do.		Metgud		12,000	0	0				12,000	0	0	12,000	0	0
9	Bijapur	•	Tignibidri		5,639	0	0	1,170	0	0	6,809	0	0	5,215	0	0
10	Dharwar		Aminbhavi		10,509	0	0	3,805	0	0	14,314	0	0	10,328	0	0
11	Do.		Bhadrapur		9,651	0	0	2,452	: 0	0	12,103	0	0	7,496	0	0
12-44	Belgaum		h											ł		
45	Bijapur	•••	Total		1,14,518	0	0	51,597	' O	0	1,66,115	0	0	· 89,94	0 0	0
4649	Dharwar			.												
50	Ratnagiri		J											[1		
	BOMBAY BAN DIV		Total, Souther Division	rn 	2,79,986	0	0	84,044	0	0	3,64,030	0	0	2,32,05	8 0	0
1	Bombay ban	Subur-	Miss Mary Na Weir.	li	8,837	7	3	691	0	0	9,528	7	3	8,837	7	3
2	Do.		Gullamalli an Nurmoham a Abdulla. Total, Bomba Suburba Division	.d .y	904 9,741			126 • 817	•	0	1,030 10,558		_	9,580	10	4
			Grand Total		6,53,031	11	2	2,05,468	0	0	8,58,499	11	2	5,70,97	11	7

* No balance was shown in the last year's account as information was not available of remote property in one case.

20

•

•

**		
11	—continued	
**		

0216.						-off		Ba	lan	ce	•			Detai	19	of	Balanc	88.		incl.
On account of arrears.			Total.			· [bonds or decrees.	Others.			E Total.			- Current.			d Arrears.	-		Bemarks. B Overcollection inclu-
8			. 9			10	11	12			12		-	14						10
Rs. s	L.]	р.	Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	8.]	p.	Rs.	6.]	p.	Rs.	a. (p.	Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.
8 ,222	0	0	34,070	0	0	640		2,969	0	0	2,969	0	0	2,759	0	0	210	0	0	4
343	0	0	25,052	0	0	15		9,554	0	0	9,554	0	0	6,457	0	0	3,097	0	0	8
471	0	0	11,218	0	0	97		8,515	0	0	3,515	0	0	275	0	0	3,240	0	0	f
486	0	0	12,307	Ó	0			1,614	0	0	1,614	0	0	754	0	0	860	ó	0	3
607	0	Ő	* 13,048	0	0	6,924		9,664	0	c	9,664	0	0	6,094	0	0	3,570	0	0	1
3 20	0	0	10,510	0	0	,		1,149	0	0	1,149	0	0	769	0	0	380	0	0	57
773	0	0	7,096	0	0	58		3,903	0	0	3,908	0	0	2,071	0	0	1,837	0	0	8
·····			12,000	0	0									•···			· 			
437	0	0	5,652	0	0	741		416	0	0	416	0	0	124	0	0	292	0	0	•••
1,945	0	0	12,273	0	0		•••	2,041	•	0	9,041	0	0	181	0	0	1,860	0	0	
1,162	0	0	8,658	30	0	530	290	2,625	0	0	2,915	0	0	2,155	0	0	760	0	C	
6,901	0	0	96,841	0	0†	1,914		67,364	0	0	67,384	0	0	25,747	0	0	41,617	0	0	94
16,667	0	0	2,48,725	0	0	10,919	290	1,04,819	0	0	1,05,109	0	0	47.386	0	0	57,723	0	0	1,68
85	0	0	8,922	3 7	3			606	U	0	606	0	0				606	0	ι	
. 111	0	0	853	3 1 0	4			176	9	7	176	9	7	161	9	7	15	0	0	
196	0		9,776	5 1	7		 	782	9	7	782	9		161	9	7	621	0	0	
40,349	0	0	6,11,317	1	7	30,3 ,5	1,870	2,15,660) 9	7	2,17,530	9	7	77,360	9	7	1,40,170	0	ΰ	

21

STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Date on which nanagement was assumed.	Delits due on assumption of charge.	Balance of delut at the begin- ning of the year.	Additional debts incurre during the year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	NORTHERN DIVISION.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Kaira	Janod				
2	Ahmedabad	Gamph	20th July 1923	1,58,236	1,58,236	17,51
3	Panch Mahals.	Mehlol	·			
4	Surat	Edroos		Amount	not settl-	
5	Do	Buzi	•••••	ed as 1,21,309	585.	
6—9	Kaira	ו				}
10	Ahmedabad					
21-25	Panch Mahals .	- Total for small		9.09.009	10.976	. 10
26-28	Broach	- Total for small estates.		3,98,003	49,378	4,19
2938	Surat					
394 0	Thana	J ·	Í		•	ĺ
			Total, Northern Division	6,77,548	2,71,190	21,70
	CENTRAL DIVISION.					
1	East Khandesh.	Fakirchand of Chop- da.	99 - 147	54,227		
2	Do	Pande of Dharan- gaon.		20,344	•	
3	Do	Deshmukh		35,157	¦	
4	Do	Naik		120		.,.
5	West Khandesh.	Raisingpur		•••	•••	
6	Poona	Naik		58,06	5,806	
7	Satara	Deshmukh		29 ,241	29,241	
8	Ahmednagar	Koshti			•••	
9	East Khandesh.	1				
10 to 14	Роопа			5,44,294	3,86,342	15,00
15 to 19	Nasik		[
20 to 23	Ahmednagar		Total, Central Division	6,49,449	4,21,389	15,00

.

Statement showing progress made in liquidation of debt in Central, Southern and Bombay Suburban

III.

estates under management of the Court of Wards in the Northern, Divisions during the year 1923-24.

Del	ots settl	ed during (surrent ye	ar.		d be	
By incurring fresh debts.	By sule of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.	Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of manage- ment that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
8	9	10	11	12	13.	• ¹⁴	15
¦Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
			16,645	25,012	1,34,090		
						···	
					63,576		
			675	6,703	46.193		
•••			17,320	31,715	2,43,859		
			•				
	,						
	•••			•••	•••	•••••	
		·.·		•••		•••••	
					•••		
		28		23	5,765	1925.	
		9,021	3,368 -	16,852	•••		
						··	
14.859	•••	8,197	1.411	33,840	3,43,035		
14,859		17,246	4.779	50,715	3,48,790		

STATEMENT

Serial No.	district.		Name o estate.		• Date on which management was assumed.	Debts due on assump- tion of charge.		Balance of debt at the beginning of the year.	Additional debt incurred during the	year.
1	2	ļ	3		4	5		6	7	
	SOUTHER DIVISION	N				Rs.	s. p.	Rs.	Rs.	a. 1
1	Belgaum		Nipani		1st May 1906 and 23rd November	3,66,796	0 0	1.87,368	2,000	0
9	Do.		Chandur	•	1917. 1st August 1914	10,145	0 0	21;536		
3	Do.	•••	Jamboti		21st April 1915	1,708	0 0			
4	Do.		Here		18th August 1915	17,613	0 0	19,225	5,700	0
5	Do.		Yargatti		5th November 1920.	2,000	0 0	3,000	11,000	0
6	Do.		Kudachi	•••	1920. 10th April 1920	28,609	0 0	23,038	••••	
7.	Do.	•••	Ghosarwad		1st August 1921		•	5,138		••
8	Do.		Metgud		17th January 1922.	91,185	0 0	53,580	10,350	0
9	Bijapur		Tignibidri	•••		25,000	0 0	17,500		••
10	Dharwar	•••	Aminbhavi	•	• •	•••				•
11	Do.	•••	Bhadrapur	•••		•••••				
12 to 44 45 46 to 49	Bijapur		Total for estates.	sme]}		2,40,177	0 0	9,26,215	aj.000	0
50	Ratnagiri									
				•	Total, Southern Division	7,23,233	0 0	5,56,00	60,050	0
	BOMBAY SUBURBA DIVISION	N				.				
1	Bombay f burban	ðu-	Miss Mary Weir.	Nazli	G. R., R. D., No. 5585 of 22nd July 1922.	21,608	73	12,643	332 	10
2	Do ,	•••	Gullamalli Nurmo h a Abdulla.	and mad					 	
					Total, Bombay Suburban Division	21,608	7 3	12,649	333	10
				•	Grand Total	21,11,578	73	12,61,822	97,086	10

 $\mathbf{24}$

•

III—continued.

Debts settled during current year. and the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. Item of the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. Item of the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. Item of the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. Item of the settle during current year. and the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. Item of the settle during current year. and the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. Item of the settle during current year. and the settled during current year. and the settled during current year. Item of the settle during current year. and the settle during current year. and the settle during current year. B 9 10 11 12 13 14 Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. a. p. years. 1,000 and the settle during current year. and the settle during current year. year. year.	marks. 15
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 Rs. <	15
Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. a. p.	
1.000 m m 11.710 1.76.658 0 0 20 vears	
134 21,402 0 0	
600 24,325 0 0 15 .,	
•6,500	
23,038 0 0 15	
750 4,388 0 0	
10,350 7,334 45,646 0 0 15	
3,000 14,500 0 0 1938-39	
··· ·· ·· ·· ··· ···	
12,325 1.600 16,543 2,96,747 0 0	
30,175 1,600 40,671 5,44,204 0 0	
	it account erest.
···· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··· ··· ··· ···	
45.034 18,846 22,099 1,23,101 11,49,828 10 8	

SIND.

No. A-638.

From

KHAN BAHADUR NABI BAKSH MUHAMMED HUSSAIN, M.A., LL.B.,

Court of Wards in Sind;

To

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

SIND COURT OF WARDS OFFICE, Camp Hyderabad, 11th September 1924.

Subject :- Annual Administration Report.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the annual report on the administration of the estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards in the province of Sind for the year ending the 31st July 1924.

Personnel. 2. The charge of the office was held by me throughout the year.

3. The number of estates under superintendence at the close of Number of estates under superintendence. the year was 207 as compared with 181 in the previous year, the details being as under :--

At the beginning of the year	•••	•••		181
Add-Taken up during the year :				
(a) On applications to the Comm	issioner in Sind		8	
(b) On applications to the Court of		•••	17	
(c) On recommendations from Col	llectors		11	
(d) On certificates from District (•••	1	
(e) On applications to District (Courts for guardi	an-		
ship certificates	***			
(f) Transferred from Incumbered	Estates Branch	•••	1	

43

224

Superintendence of one estate was sanctioned by Commissioner without a report from the Court of Wards; subsequently the sanction was cancelled.

Deduct-

(a) Released on their owners attaining majority .		12	
(b) Dologood on own one' doath		1	
(c) Superintendence relinquished after appointing	8		
mardian		1	
(d) Released on account of low income	••••	1	
(e) Discharged under section 40 (1) of Act I of 1905.		1	
(f) Discharged under section 40 (2) of Act I of 1905.		1	
-	-		17
Balance at the close of the year			207

The number of applications for superintendence disposed of osal of applica-during the year was 99 as compared with 82 4. Disposal of applicain the last year. tions.

The number of applications for superintendence pending at the close of the last year was 59 Received during the year under report 83
Dimond -
Disposal 43 (a) Admitted (one under Act XX of 1896) 43 (b) Rejected 56
Pending at the close of the year 43
Out of these 8 have since been disposed of, 4 more have been reported upon, 17 were received within 3 months of the close of the year, 11 within 6 months; the remaining 3 have been pending for longer periods on account of the non-receipt of the reports from the Mukhtiarkars, all of whom are being expedited from time to time.
5. Two hundred and twenty-four estates remained under
superintendence for the whole or part of the
Management by year under report. The details are as leasing or otherwise. under :
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under : (a) Wholly leased to outsiders 1.58
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under :
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under (a) Wholly leased to outsiders 158 (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to out-
 leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under : (a) Wholly leased to outsiders 158 (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders 13 (c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under : (a) Wholly leased to outsiders (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders (b) Leased partly to outsiders and partly to outsiders (c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department (d) Entirely managed departmentally including those 8
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under : (a) Wholly leased to outsiders (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders (b) Leased partly to outsiders and partly to outsiders (c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department (d) Entirely managed departmentally including those 8
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under report. (a) Wholly leased to outsiders 158 (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders 13 (c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department 6 (d) Entirely managed departmentally including those 8 (e) Leased partly to estate owner and partly amani 1 (f) No cultivation 2
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under report. (a) Wholly leased to outsiders 158 (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders 13 (c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department 6 (d) Entirely managed departmentally including those 8 8 (e) Leased partly to estate owner and partly amani 1 (f) No cultivation 2
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under := (a) Wholly leased to outsiders 158 (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders 13 (c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department 6 (d) Entirely managed departmentally including those 8 6 (e) Leased partly to estate owner and partly amani 1 1 (f) No cultivation 2 (g) Rabi produce taken away by estate owners 3 (h) Only house rents 1
leasing or otherwise. year under report. The details are as under : (a) Wholly leased to outsiders (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders (c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department (d) Entirely managed departmentally including those 8 (e) Leased partly to estate owner and partly amani 1 (f) No cultivation (g) Rabi produce taken away by estate owners 3 (h) Only house rents 1

removed (k) Discharged from superintendence before crops were ... 7 ready •••

224

The number of estates wholly leased to outsiders was 158 out of 224 as compared with 149 out of 190 in the preceding year. The two estates that remained uncultivated could not be brought under plough on account of chronic deficiency of water supply.

The results of the working are shown in the following table : the totals given in this table will be found to agree with those given in statement II.

Produce.	Der	nands.	Becoveries.		Written off or otherwise struck off.		Balance.	
	Arre- ars.	Current.	Arre- ars.	Current.	Arre- ars.	Cur- rent.	Arre- ars.	Cur- rent.
Lease money Assessment (1) Not amain produce (2) Assessment (1) Rents Total	1,404 3,529	Rs. 3,50,359 1,60,010 20,370 3,983 4,899 5,39,620	Rs. 21,608 388 2,507 224 24,727	Rs. 3,03,233 1,58,439 18,741 3,983 4,446 4,88,842	Rs. 15,524 52 8 44 15,628	Rs. 19,217 477 19,694	Rs. 9,603 964 1,014 54 11,635	Rs. 27,908 1,571 1,152 453 31 084

(1) Includes fallow assessment and assessment due on land given on cash rents.

.

(2) Includes net cash rents.

6. The following figures show the total receipts under the various heads for the year under report and the preceding year :--

	1923-24.	1922-23.	Increase.	Decrease.
1. Rents and produce	Rs. 5,13,569	Rs. 5,04,257	Rs. 9,312	Rs.
2. Earnest money	10,104	10,400		296
3. Adjustment of lease money	12,282	4,536	7,746	
4. Interest, Notice fee, etc	2,349	2,989		640
5. Cash balances of new estates	83,259		33,2 59	
6. Sale proceeds of land	3 ,931	10,593	•••	6,602
 Compensation of land acquired by Government. Old debts 	995 15,817	21,727	995 	 5,910
9. Sale proceeds of moveable property	2,348	240	2,108	
10. Paid by estate owners	• •••	975	•••	975
11. Interest on investment	30,116	24,192	5,924	•••
12. Government loan		250	•••	250
13. Recovery of takavi	4,207	6,095		1,888
14. Recoveries on account of registra- tion, etc.	•••	107		107
15. Private loan	600		600	
	6,29,577	5,86,301	59,944	16,668
Net increase			43,276	····

The increase of Rs. 9,312 under the head 'rents and produce' is due to a number of new estates having been admitted to superintendence.

The acc	ount of the old	debts reco	overable star	ids as	under	:
						Rs.
Balanc	ce outstanding	at the beg	inning of the	e yean		11,273
Add-				•		
(a)	Shown in the the future year		ort as reco	verat	le in	12,970
(b)	Determined du	ring the y	ear		•••	27,892
		,				
Deduc	t				Rs.	52,135
	Recoverable in	future ve	aro		18,504	
• •		•				
	Written off, est	-	-	•••	470	
(c)	Written off for	other reas	ons	•••	14	
			•	-	18,988	
Balanc	ce to be recover	ed within	the year	•••	•	33,147
Recov	eries	•••	•••	•••		15,817
Balanc	e still due		•••			17,330
_	Recoverable the decretal debts					.,
	pending)	•••		•••	391	
	Due from Mana and so to be	ager and (paid in	Court of Wa due -course	rds of	0.050	
	liquidation	•••	•••	•••	2,659	
	Balance under Rs. 6,573 on ac				14,280	17,330
Deservort	of the sum of	Rs 15.81	7 under th	ia ha	and an	•

Recovery of the sum of Rs. 15,817 under this head as against Rs. 21,727 in the previous year and Rs. 9,732 in the year before it calls for no special remarks, these variations being usual.

7. The expenditure for the year under report, excluding investments, amounted to Rs. 4,53,273 as against Rs. 3,96,238 in the previous year.

	192 3-24 .	1922-23.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.
1. Government demands	1,82,247	1,59,745	22,509	
3. Maintenance and tuition	1,05,274	91,677	13,597	
3. Personal expenses	34,258	24,982	9,276	

	1923-24.	1922-23.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4. Suit expenses, etc	3,672	2,275	1,397	•••
5. Paid under Civil Court decrees in discharge of decretal debts.			•••	·
6. Municipal and other taxes	26	152		126
7. Pay of servants	1,715	232	1,483	
8. Registration and stamp	37	•••	37	· ·
9. Redemption of ornaments	370		370	
10. Garden and other cultivation	2,632	909	1,723	
expenses. 11. Produce to co-sharers	. 5,453	834	4,619	
12. Adjustment to maintenance of leas	12,282	4,536	7,746	
money. 13. Purchase of lands and buildings, etc	. 6,055	2,287	3,768	
14. Construction of buildings, etc.				
15. Savings paid to estate owners	. 27,279	22,167	5,112	
16. Petty items	. 683	652	31	
17. Cost of management	42,980	31,715	11,265	
18. Proved debts paid with interest .	. 14,625	31,244		16,619
19. Loans repaid with interest	., 759	6,457	·	5,698
20. Government loan repaid		300		300
21. Interest paid on Government loan.	9	18	5	6
22. Takavi advance	7,907	16,059		8,152
23. Purchase of engine	5,010		5,010	
Total	4,53,27	3,96,238	87,936	30,901
Net increase			57,035	

It will be observed that there is a decrease in the payment of proved debts and private loans to the extent of Rs. 22,317 as compared with the last year. This is due to (1) the entire debts amounting to about Rs. 9,000 of estate No. 225 having been paid last year, (2) about Rs. 11,000 realised from sale of lands of estate Nos. 1 and 223 having been utilized for the same purpose last year. As against that no sales were made during the year under report. Besides payments under these heads had to be made from the balance to the credit of each estate and every care has been taken to pay as much as possible towards discharging the debts.

Other items call for no special remarks.

8. The figures regarding collections of rents and produce stand Collection of dues. as under :---

A A . A			Rs.
Arrears shown last year	•••	•••	14,030
Under postponement allowed in pre	•		22,361
Amount prohibited under Civil Cou	rt orders	•••	15,599
Current demands	•••		51,990 5,39,620
			5,91,610
Deductions-			•,•_,•_
		Rs.	
Amount written off out of arrears		414	
Amount written off out of current	vear's		
dues		75	
Amount remitted out of arrears		1,066	
Amount remitted out of current	vear's	-,	
dues		2,677	
Amount under postponement	•••	22,037	
Amount struck off owing to disch estates—	arge of		
(a) Arrears	•••	14,148	
(b) Current		16,942	
Amount prohibited under Civil	Court	· ,·	
orders		2,557	
			59,916
Amount recoverable within the year		-	5,31,694
Collections out of arrears		24,727	-,,
Collections out of current demands	- 1	,88,842	
Concettons out of Chilent demands		.,00,044	
Total recover	су	_	5,13,569
Balanc	e		18,125
Since recovered	•••	3,270	
Under process		14,855	18,125

The percentage of total recovery (Rs. 5,13,569) to the amount recoverable within the year (Rs. 5,31,694) works out at 96.5 as compared with 97.29 in the preceding year, and that of recoveries (Rs. 4,83,842) out of the current demands recoverable within the year (Rs. 5,39,620-75 written off-2,677 remitted-16,942 due on account of discharged estates-19,387 postponed = 39,081) works out at 97.6.

9. The account of Government deman	ıds	stands	85
Government demands. under :		Rs. 15,488	•
Add— (a) Arrears claimed for new estates (b) Current demands		9,807 1,71,080	
Deduct—		1,96,375	
Excess since detected in taluka offices	•••	1,492	
Net amount due within the year Payments		1,94,883 1,82,247	
Balance outstanding	·	12,636	
Details of balance- 1. Due in future years 2. Under postponement allowed by the	Rs. 109 393		
 3. Not paid for want of balance available in estates by which due— (a) Due prior to assumption of superintendence 9, (b) Due during superintendence (c) Due from lessees, etc., and non- recovery from them not notified by Mukhtiarkars until after the 	,469 399 266		

The percentage of payments made (Rs. 1,82,247) to amount payable (Rs. 1,94,883—109—2,393) is 94.73 per cent. as compared with 94.80 per cent. in the previous year. The above demands include demands on account of land revenue due whether before or after assumption of superintendence as also demands on account of takavi due before assumption of superintendence.

The balance outstanding, viz., Rs. 12,636 is made up of :-

			Rs.
Land Revenue	•••		3,641
Takavi	•••	•••	8,735
Non-jamabandi items		•••	260
			12,636

The figures of land revenue arrears and takavi outstanding at the close of the year have been compiled according to the statements supplied by the Mukhtiarkars on the requisition of this office (some Mukhtiarkars have not as yet complied with it but the

н 789—5

record of this office shows only Rs. 43 as arrears on account of one of these talukas). The statements show the balances due to these talukas to be Rs. 2,971 on account of land revenue and Rs. 8,370 on account of Takavi as against the corresponding figures of Rs. 3,641 and Rs. 8,735 respectively shown above. The net differences being in favour of the Department need no explanation. It only proves the inaccuracy of the Mukhtiarkars' accounts.

Under non-jamabandi items Rs. 852 have been shown by the Makhtiakars in excess. Out of this amount Rs. 99 are under correspondence and the remaining Rs. 753 have not been demanded by the Mukhtiarkars before.

The balance of takavi outstanding at the beginning of the year was Rs. 50. No loan was raised or repaid during the year. Thus the balance at the close of the year was the same, *viz.*, Rs. 50.

10. The cost of management for 1922-23 which was recovered Cost of management. during the year under report was Rs. 42,980 as against Rs. 31,715 recovered in the preceding year. This works out at 8.26 on the gross income of 1922-23 as compared with 8.56 per cent. at which it was recovered for 1921-22. The total cost of the joint Departments, the Incumbered Estates and the Court of Wards, was Rs. 92,263 as against Rs. 87,280.

11. The details of advances for cultivation are as Advances and cost of cultivation. under:---

Balance shown outstanding at the e	nd of last	year	Rs. 5,132
Add— Advances made during the year	•••	•••	7,907
		-	13,039

Deduct-

	-			Rs .	
(a)	Recovery		•••	4,207	
(b)	Written off as	irrecoverabl	e	2,160	
(0)			.		6,367
Balan	ice outstanding	at the close	of the year		6,672
(a)	Due in 1924-2	õ	•••	4,855	
(<i>b</i>)	Due from esta correspondence		ence under '	1,329	
(c)	Recovered by and credited mistake, hen	to Land Ro ce under co	evenue in	00	
	ence for its tr			90	
(d)	Under process	of recovery	•••	398	
• •	•		-		6,672

12. Rs. 1,05,274 were spent on maintenance and education Maintenance and education of wards. of the wards as against Rs. 91,677 spent in the previous year, details being as under :---

			Rs.
Cash for maintenance and tuition	•••	•••	88,914
Produce enjoyed by the wards or and adjusted to maintenance	on their	behal f	16,360
	Tot	al	1,05,274

There are in all 402 wards. Out of these 275 are males and 127 females. The persons of 29 are under superintendénce, 20 of them being males and 9 females. Two of the females have been receiving education. Out of the 275 males :--

- 13 are below school age,
- 27 are over school age,
- 5 are in service,
- 6 are lunatics,
- 2 are deaf and dumb,
- 6 are invalids,
- 3 are dead,
- 36 are learning profession or doing agricultural work,
- 27 are doing nothing (3 are given up as hopeless cases, 13 are without a school in their vicinity, attempts to send the remaining 11 to school continue),
- 17 have come under superintendence only recently,
- 133 are receiving education as under :--
 - 32 Qoran.
 - 13 Hindu Sindhi characters.
 - 73 Vernacular tuition.
 - 14 English education.
 - 1 College education.

275

13. Statement III shows the progress in payment of debts. Payment of existing much the statement of the stat

debts and liabilities. The following is an abstract :--

					Rs.
Balance at the opening	g of the year			•••	68,744
Fresh claims filed	•••			•••	43,314
Interest accrued	••			• • •	1,948
Loan raised	•••	· • • •			600
				-	
		,	Potal		111606

Total ... 1,14,606

Deduct-	_				
Settle	ed during the year-				
	· ·			Rs.	Rs.
(a)	Paid-				
	Private loan and intere		•••	759	
_	Proved debts and inter	est thereo	n	14,625	
	Disallowed	•••	•••	30,092	
(c)	Written off	•••	•••	•••	
			-		45,476
		Balance	e	-	69,130
Details	of balance—				
(a)	Amount awarded			39,592	
(b)	Claims not yet settled		•••	18,838	
	Private loan		•••	10,700	
•				69,130	
С	laims. 14. The f	ollowing			
	, ,				Rs.
Pend	ing at the beginning of	the year	• • •	7	19,520
Filed	during the year	•••	2	29	43,314
•			í	36	62,834
Decid	led	•••	2		43,996

Out of 10 claims pending at the close of the year, 9 claims for Rs. 14,488 were not due for hearing during the year under report, the remaining one claim for Rs. 2,350 was filed only at the close of the year.

Balance ... 10

18,838

Two appeals were filed during the year. In one of them the claim was modified and the other was rejected.

15. The total investments at the close of the year amounted to Investments. Rs. 6,31,093 as compared with Rs. 5,08,827 in the previous year taking the war loan bonds and the several debentures at their nominal face value.

36

The investments are as under :---

TYCSUMCHIS WIC W				Rs.
War Loan bond	ls (1929-47)	•••		1,37,400
Do.	(1926)	•••	•••	21,000
Do.	(1927)	•••		20,800
Do.	(1928)	•••	•••	2,68,450
Do.	(1932)		•••	1,01,500
Debentures of			Trust	
and Bombay	Improvement	Trust	•••	20;000
Bombay Develo	pment Loans			32,800
Savings Bank d				
	ibay (now In	aperial B	ank of	
India) and ca	sh certificate	•••	•••	818
Loan to Incum	pered Estates			17,125
Loan to Court of	of Wards Esta	tes		10,700
Loan to Co-ope	rative Societie	8	•••	500
		Tota	l	6,31,093

The un-invested balance amounts to Rs. 2,84,632 as compared with Rs. 2,60,239 shown in the last year. Steps are being taken to invest a good portion of the balance in Government Securities.

16. Twelve suits were pending at the close of the year 1922-23, Litigation. 14 new suits were filed during the year making a total of 26 in all. In 3, Court of Wards was plaintiff and in 23, defendant. Out of the first 3, 1 was for partition, 1 for redemption of ornaments mortgaged and 1 for accounts. As for the latter 23 suits in which the Court of Wards was defendant, 11 of them were for partition, 1 for declaration of status and title, 1 for injunction against recovery of lease money by the Court of Wards, 8 for recovery of debts due by estates under superintendence, 1 for filling up a pit and 1 for damages.

Nine suits were disposed of during the year. Out of these 3 were decide! (all dismissed) in favour of Court of Wards and one against. Three were compromised; in one case the estate was discharged and in another partition of anna share of outsiders was ordered upon by the court. Of the remaining 17, 5 are comparatively fresh, six are about to be finished (5 of them being for recovery of produce, each for one season or year separately whereas only one suit should have been filed) and the remaining 6 have not yet been heard for want of time.

Two appeals were pending at the close of the year 1922-23. In both of them the Court of Wards was appellant. Two appeals which were filed by the father of a minor, whose estate came under superintendence at the end of the year 1922-23, came to notice at the beginning of the year under report. Two appeals have been filed against Court of Wards only receatly. All the six appeals are pending.

- The record due for destruction in the year under report Record. was duly destroyed.
- 18. The number of estates under superintendence of the Court of Wards is rapidly increasing. There were

207 estates under superintendence at the close of the year against 181 in the previous year.

, I inspected property of 21 estates in 21 Dehs.

The Deputy Manager, Upper Sind and Lower Sind, visited 25 and 42 estates respectively.

The office of the Assistant Manager was held by the following officers during the year:-

Mr. Rasul Baksh Pir Baksh, 16th August 1923 to 11th September 1923.

Mr. Bhawandas Fatehchand, 12th September 1923 to 29th March 1924.

Mr. Nanikram Jethmal from 7th April 1924;

all of them performed their duties to my entire satisfaction.

The Deputy Managers as well as the office staff have, on the whole, done well.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. B. MUHAMMED HUSSAIN, Court of Wards in Sind.

38

STATEMENT I.

Statement showing the general position of estates under Government management for the year 1923-24.

- Serial No.	Name of the province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	Total area of the estate.	o Cultivated aroa.	o Uncultivated	Total Gov-		Total due vo The Depart- ment, inclu- ding assess- ment.	o Total gross receipts.
-	2	•	-				· (· ·	
	Ci - 1	Nabibux, No. 25	Acres.	Acres. 5.21			Rs.	Rs. 58,003	Rs. (1) 73,760
1	Sind Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80.	15,137 8,827	1,134	1 2,	i93	3,37 2	11,053	12,945
3	Do	Alah Bachoyo, No. 266.	1,124	· 490		534	1,348	10,538	10,561
4	Do	Ganwharkhan No. 278.	4,985	2,52	3 2,4	62	8,331	27,728	30,243
5 6	Do Do	Tajokhan No. 302 Mahomed Lukman, No. 310.	6,303 3,238	4,999 961		814 9 871	26,231 4,004	76,382 15,442	74,249 23,253
7	Do Do	Kararo Shah, No. 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321	4,776 2,527	1,753 1,410)2 3 1 7	7,453 4,256	20,595 17,606	20,949 15,072
		Total	41,917	19,45	3 22,	434 1	82,087	2,37,347	2,61,012
		Total of other estates	63,648	25,22	9 37,	419 8	31,906	3,02,273	3,68,565
		Grand Total	105,565	45.71	2 59,	853 1,	63,993	5,39,620	(A) 6,29,577
			-110	Bala	nce.	abi-	for	in- 11-	
No.	Name	Name of the estate	expen-			liabi-	men- ent for 3.	666 on in- 1922-	
al No.	Name of the province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	al expen- ture.				t of man- ement for 22-23.	entage on oss in- nue, 1922-	larks.
serial No.	of the	owner and	fotal expen- diture.				Cost of man- agement for 1922-23.	ercentage on gross in- conie, 1922- 23.	temarks.
- Serial No.	of the	owner and	H Total expen- diture.	Bala. Invested. 11	10 Uninvest- ed.	t Total liabi-	Cost of man- t agement for 1922-23.	Fercentage on gross in- come, 1922- 23.	Remarks.
	of the province.	owner and number of estate.	10 Rs.	Invested.	Uninvest- ed.	Total lity.	14	Fercentage on t gross in- come, 1922- 23.	
	of the province.	owner and number of estate.	10 Rs. (2) 35,790	LI Invested.	t Uninvest- ed.	E Total Structure	Cost of man- cost of man- generat for- 1922-23	15	
1	of the province. 2	owner aud number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No.	10 Rs.	Rs.	Landrest- Landrest- Landrest- Rs.	E Total Ity.	14 Rs.	15 8-26	
1	of the province. 2 Sind	owner and number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No.	10 Rs. (2) 35,790 (3) 7,177 5,747	Petropeter 11 Rs. 2,71,050	12 Rs. 50,856	Start Total	14 Rs. 5,877	15 8-26 	
1 1 _2	of the province. 2 Sind Do	owner and number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No. 80 Alah Bachoyo, No. 266 Ganwharkhan, No.	10 Rs. (2) 35,790 (3) 7,177 5,747 (4) 21,672	Rs. 2,71,050 36,200	цар 12 88. 50,856 9,062		14 Rs. 5,877 1,152	8·26 	
1 1 2 3	of the province. 2 Sind Do Do	owner aud number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No. 80, Alah Bachoyo, No. 266.	10 Rs. (2) 35,790 (3) 7,177 5,747 (4) 21,672 (5) 47,936	Peterson II 11 8.5. 2.71,050 36,200 	12 12 50,856 9,062 5,081	E Total	14 Rs. 5,877 1,159 253	8-26 	
1 1 2 3 4	sind Do Do Do	owner aud number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No. 80, Alah Bachoyo, No. 266, Ganwharkhan, No. 278, Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman	10 Rs. (2) 35,790 (3) 7,177 5,747 (4) 21,672 (5)	rp 38 24 11 11 Rs. 2,71,050 36,200 6,500	11,829	13 Rs	14 Rs. 5,877 1,152 253 2,172	15 8-26 	
1 1 2 3 4 5	sind Do Do Do Do	owner aud number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 266. Ganwharkhan, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302	10 Rs. (2) 35,790 (3) 7,177 5,747 (4) 21,672 (5) 47,9366 (6)	ri 11 11 8s. 2,71,050 36,200 6,500 65,100	то 12 12 12 12 50,856 9,062 5,081 11,829 55,856	:: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .	14 Rs. 5,877 1,152 253 2,172 7,055	8·26 	
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	of the province. 8 Sind Do Do Do Do Do Do	owner aud number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman No. 310. Kararo Shah. No 314	10 Rs. (2) 35,790 (3) 7,177 5,747 (4) 21,672 (5) 47,936 (6) 9,570 22,401	Pi 23 Pi	12 Rs. 50,856 9,062 5,081 11,829 55,856 15,719 3,616 2,292	:: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: ::	14 Rs. 5,877 1,152 253 2,175 7,055 830 790	8-26 	
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	of the province. 8 Sind Do Do Do Do Do Do	owner aud number of estate. 3 Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman No. 310. Kararo Shah. No 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321	10 Rs. (2) 35,700 (3) 7,177 5,747 (4) 21,672 (5) 47,936 (6) 9,570 22,401 13,132	rej s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,54,311		14 Rs. 5,877 1,152 253 2,175 7,055 830 790 4	15 8:26	

(A)—Besides total gross receipts shown in column 9 the following amount was realized by sale of investment and by return of money on loan :--

(B)—Besides total gross expenditure shown in column 10 the following amounts were realised, invested and paid for cost of establishment in advance:-

(1) (2) (3)	Rs. 400 31,580 4,153
(4)	4,672
(5)	67,584
(6)	1.350
	1.09.339

STATEMENT II.

Statement showing the efficiency of rent and produce collections in estates under superintendence of Court of Wards for the year 1923-24.

	Name	Name of the		Dema	nds or of	ACCO	a zi	Co	llectio	ns on ac of	count
L Berlal No.	of the province.	estate owner and number of estate. 3		ALTORIB.	e, Current.		9 Total.	Arroars.		æ Current.	e Total.
		1		Rs.	Re		Rs.	, F	is.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Sind Do	Nabibux, No. 25 Dhani Parto.			59,0 11,0	03	58,003 11,053			58,002 11,006	58,009 11,008
3	Do	No. 80 Alah Bachoyo,	1	185	10.5		10,723		85	10,011	10,196
4	Do	. Ganwharkhan,		10	27,7	28	27,738		10	27,728	27,738
6	Do	No. 278. Tajokhan, No.	5	,940	76,3	82	81,623	4,1	74	65,108	69,282
6	Do	303. Mahomed Luk- man, No. 310.			15,4	48	15,442			15,257	15,257
7	Do			398	20,5	95	20,993	3	98	20,551	20,949
8	Do	Hamzokhan, No. 321.			17,6	06	17,606			13,347	13.347
	l	Total		5,833 (2,37,3	47 2	,43,180	4,	767	8,21,012	8,25,779
		Total of other estates.	4	5,157	3,02,2	73 8	,48,450	19,	960	9,67.830	8,87,790
		Grand Total	51	1,990	5,39,6	20 (5,91,610	24,	727	4,88.842	5,18,569
	Name	Name of the estate owner	Writ	ten off	from	tod	ck off ischar iates fi	ge of	E	Balance f	rom
- Eerial No.	of the province.	and number of estate.	01 Arrears.	H Current.	51 Total.	the Arroars.	t Current.	r Total.	91 Arrears.	L Current.	8 Total.
				 		 	1			1	<u> </u>
1	Sind	Nabibux, No. 25	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs, 	Rs. 	Rs.	R9.
8	Do,	No. 80.			•••					45	45
3	Do	Alah Bachoyo, No. 265		477	477			•••		50	50
5	Do. •	Ganhwar khan, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302	 1,066		 1,063					11,274	11,274
6	Do	Mahomed Luk-								185	185
. 7	Do	man, No. ³ 10 Kararo Shah, No.								44	44
8	Do	314. Hamzokhan, No. 321.								4,259	4.259
		Total	1,066	417	1,543					15,858	15,658
		Total of other estates	414	2.275	2,689	14,148	16,942	31,090	11.63	5 15.226	26,561
		Grand Total	1,480	2,752	4,333	14,148	16,942	31,090	11,63	31,084	42.719

STATEMENT III.

Statement showing the progress made in the liquidation of debts in the Court of Wards estates during the year 1923-24.

	.		áa]	in fter ned.		ebts g of	in- the	Debts
Name of the province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2	Date on which superintend- ence was assumed. 3	Debts due on assump-	a tion of charge.	Debts incurred in		Balance of the debts at the beginning of the year.	Additional debts - curred during year.	œ frosh debts.
	<u> </u>		<u></u>						1
Sind	Nabibux, No. 25.	22nd January 1910.		Rs.	Rs.		Rs. 	Rs.	Rs.
Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80.			100	1,2	00	•••	•••	
Do	Alah Bachoyo, No. 266.	24th Novem- ber 1920.							
Do	Ganwark h a n. No. 278.	7th March 1921 10th October 1921.			. 8	88		***	
Do	Tajokhan, No. 302.	14th Septem- ber 1922.		}				•••	
Do	Mahomed Luk- man, No. 310.	2nd December 1922. 3rd February			 16,0	m	 16.000	5,75	
Do	Kararo Shah, No. 314. Hamzokhan,	1923.				291	291	5,75 62	1
	No. 321. Total of other estates		5.3	4,785	2,36,1	1	52.453	39,47	
	COURCO 11								
	Total		5,3	4,885	2,54,5	i34	68,744	45,86	2
	Total		5,3	4,885	2,54,5	i34	68,744	45,86]
	Total			d durin			68,744	45,86]
Name of the province.	!	Date on which superintend- ence was assumed.					trom current income.	45,86 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manage- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	superintend- ence was assumed.	By sale of pro- berty.	Rejected by Court of Wards.	g the y 	5 cear. py creditors.	trom current income.	14 Balance,]
Name of the province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January	By sale of pro- perty.	Rejected by Court of Wards,	written off.	Remitted by rea	trom current income.	Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manato- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2 Nabibur, No. 25. Dhani Parto,	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January 1910. 24th February 1911.	settle -ord Dro- berty. B	d durin d durin G o t o t o f o f o t o f o f o f o f o f o f o f o f o f o f	g the y 	Bartitod by creditors.	From current income.	14 Balance,	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manato- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province. 1	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2 Nabibuz, No. 25.	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January 1910.	settle -ord Dro- berty. B	d durin Ad bei ec ted Contto Mards. 	g the y 	ear. 15 creditors.	. 13 Bronn current Incoune.	14 Balance,	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manato- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province. 1 Sind Do Do Do	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2 Nabibux, No. 25. Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 266. Ganwar k han, No. 278.	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January 1910. 24th Fobruary 1911. 94th Norem- ber 1920. 7th March 1921. 10th October 1921.	settle By sale of pro- berty.	d durin Aq petee feet Contro 10 Rs. 	g the y 	. : Bar Itted by Remitted by Registers.	: su current : su current : is income.	000 114 14 	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manato- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province. 1 Sind Do Do Do Do	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2 Nabibux, No. 25. Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 266. Ganwar k han, No. 278. Tajokhan, No.	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January 1910. 24th February 1911. 24th Novem- ber 1920. 7th March 1921 10th October 1921. 14th Septem.	settle -old jo elas Ag 9 Rs. : : : : : :	d durin Nejected by 10 Court of Wards.	g the y .jjo ueggin II Rs. 	ear.	trom current income.	000 114 14 	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manato- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province. 1 Sind Do Do Do Do	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2 Nabibuz, No. 25. Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 266. Ganwar k ha n, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luk- man, No. 310.	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January 1910. 24th February 1911. 94th Norem- ber 1920. 7th March 1921. 16th October 1923. 14th Septem- ber 1922. 2nd December 1922.	settle By sale of pro- berty.	d durin Å 0 y 1 10 0 10 10 Rs. 	g the y U o u o til N H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	Bear. Vd Remitted Breaditors.			Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manage- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province. 1 Sind Do Do Do Do Do	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2 Nabibus, No. 25. Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 266. Ganwar k ha n, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luk- man, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No. 314.	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January 1910. 24th February 1911. 94th Novem- ber 1920. 7th March 1921. 16th October 1921. 16th October 1922. 2nd December 1922. 3rd February 1923.	settle -out po bas kape of pro-	d durin A o y 1 n o 0 10 10 Rs. 19,266	g the y .Ho negatil M 11 Rs 	ear. Vd Bemilted by Constructions in the second sec	tuosuno I I3 Rs II3 Rs II3	000 114 14 	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manage- ment that estates would be clear of debts.
Name of the province. 1 Sind Do Do Do Do	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. 2 Nabibuz, No. 25. Dhani Parto, No. 80. Alah Bachoyo, No. 266. Ganwar k ha n. No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luk- man, No. 310. Kararo Shah,	superintend- ence was assumed. 3 22nd January 1910. 24th February 1911. 94th Novem- ber 1920. 7th March 1921. 16th October 1921. 16th October 1922. 2nd December 1922. 3rd February 1923.	settle By sale of pro- berty.	d durin Å 0 y 1 10 0 10 10 Rs. 	g the y U o u o til N H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H				Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of manato- ment that estates would be clear of debts.

н 789—6

(Below report of the estates under management of the Court of Wards in Sind for the year 1923-24.)

No. P.-88-R.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT:

Office of the Commissioner in Sind, Government House, Karachi, 22nd September 1924.

Submitted to Government.

* 2. There were 181 estates under management at the beginning of the year. Of these 17 were relinquished during the year, while 43 new estates were taken up, leaving a closing total of 207.

3. Out of 142 applications, including 59 pending at the close of the previous year, 99 were disposed of, leaving a balance of 43 at the end of the year. These include 3 which have been pending disposal for more than six months.

4. The income of the estates was Rs. 6,30,000 as against Rs. 5,86,000 in the previous year. The increase is mainly due to the greater number of estates.

5. The total expenditure shows a net increase of Rs. 57,000. The heads of increase were as follows :--

				Rs.
Government assessment				23,000
Maintenance and tuition	L			14,000
Personal expenses				9,000
Pay of servants		•••		2,000
Garden and other cultiv	ation expo	enses		2,000
Produce to co-sharers	•••	•••		5,000
Adjustment to maintenar	nce of lea	se money		8,000
Purchase of lands and bu	uildings			4,000
Savings paid to estate or	vners			5,000
Cost of management			•••	11,000
Purchase of engine		•••	•••	5,000

88,000

Re

On the other hand, the expenditure decreased under the following heads :--

		T09.	
Proved debts paid with interest		 17,000	
Loans repaid with interest		 6,000	
Takavi advances	•••	 8,000	
		01.000	
		31,000	

6. The aggregate amount due to the estates was Rs. 5,92,000, of which Rs. 5,14,000 were collected and Rs. 35,000 remitted and written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 43,000 to be recovered. The balance compared with that of the previous year is detailed below :---

			1923-24.	1922-23.
			Rs.	Rs.
Postponements	•••		22,000	22,000
Recovery prohibited			3,000	16,000
Recovered after the o	close of the y	ear	3,000	3,000
Under process	•••	•••	15,000	11,000
				<u> </u>
			43,000	52,000

The percentage of recoveries to the total amount due for collection during the year is .96.5 compared with 97.29 in the previous year.

7. The aggregate amount of old debts due to estates at the commencement of the year was Rs. 24,243, to which Rs. 27,892 were added during the year. Of the total of Rs. 52,135, Rs. 15,817 have been recovered and Rs. 484 written off. The balance of Rs. 35,834 is accounted for as under :---

			Rs.
			18,504
Recoverable through Civil Courts,	being	decretal	391
debts.			
Due from Incumbered Estates			2,659
Under process of recovery		•••	14,280
			<u> </u>
•			35,834

8. The Government arrears due by the Court of Wards at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 12,636 as against Rs. 15,488 in the previous year.

9. The total indebtedness of the estates at the commencement of the year amounted to Rs. 68,744, to which new debts of Rs. 45,862 were added during the year. Out of the total liabilities of Rs. 1,14,606, Rs. 45,476, compared with Rs. 61,183 in the preceding year, were settled as under, reducing the balance to Rs. 69,130 at the close of the year :--

Debts paid Claims rejected	 ···· ·	·	Rs. 15,384 30,092
			45,476
			40,410

10. Out of 36 claims, including 7 remaining undecided from the previous year, 26 were disposed of, leaving 10 unsettled claims at the end of the year.

11. The total investments at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 6,31,000, while the uninvested balance was Rs. 2,84,632. Steps are being taken to invest a substantial portion of this balance in

Government Securities. The invested balance includes Rs. 1,37,000 deposited in the War Loan of 1929-47, Rs. 4,12,000 in the War Bonds of 1926, 1927, 1928 and 1932, Rs. 20,000 in the debentures of local bodies, Rs. 33,000 in the Bombay Development Loan, and Rs. 29,000 advanced to estates under Government management.

12. The administration of the estates has been carried on satisfactorily by Khan Bahadur Nabi Baksh, who was in charge of them throughout the year.

> J. L. RIEU, Commissioner in Sind.