# INDIAN POLITICAL PRACTICE

C.L. TUPPER

B. R. PUBLISHING CORPORATION DELHI - 110052



#### INDEX

ŤΟ

## INDIAN POLITICAL PRACTICE.

A

COLLECTION

OF THE

### DECISIONS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

IN

#### POLITICAL CASES.

COMPILED BY

C. L. TUPPER,

INDIAN CIVIL SERVICE,

ON SPECIAL DUTY IN THE FOREIGN DEPARTMENT.

VOLUMES I, II AND III.



#### B. R. PUBLISHING CORPORATION

461, Vivekanand Nagar, DELHI-110052

#### B. R. PUBLISHING CORPORATION

461. Vivekanand Nagar, DELHI-110052

First Published in 1895 by Office of the Suprintendent of Government Printing, Calcutta.

Reprinted 1974

Ps. 120-00 \$ 30-00

#### INDEX

TO

## INDIAN POLITICAL PRACTICE.

### VOLUMES I, II AND III.

In consulting this Index it is to be remembered that the paging of each Volume is separate, and that Volume I contains Chapters I to VII, Volume II Chapters XIV to XXII.

S	UBJECT.					Chapter.	Paragraph,	Page.
	A							
Abdication of the Maharaja of Manipur	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	1	7, 8	8, 810
of the Raja of Chamba	•••	•••	•••	***	•••	III	50	68, 69
of the Nawab of Sachin	•••	***	***	***	•••	III	51	69, 71
of the Khan of Kalat accepted	i by the Briti	sh Govern	nent	***	***	IV	59	80, 82
Abdul Ali, his title of Khan Bahadur with	drawa for dis	srespect	***	***		XXII	798A	227
Abdul Hakk. Exception taken to the Nizam	bestowal of t	itles on —	, a Britis!	a subject	by the	IIXX	766	211, 212
Abdul Hakk's railway scheme, 18	82. Direct Capi	dealings l	between N not permise	lative Sta vible	tes and	. 🔻	97	117, 118
Abdul Kaiyum's (Hafiz) case, 1883	3. Importati	ion of slave	s into Bbo	pal	•••	V	103	123, 124
Abdur Bashid and Sher Ali, Afghan office	rials suspected	of a crime		n of the m		XVI	643	68, 69
Abetment of an offence is not a scheduled	extradition of	gore inge	r the Act o	f 1879	***	XVI	563	85
Abkari. Agreements as to with Bomba	y States	•••		444		٧ı	187	207
See also "	Fiscal Co-op	eration."			. '			
Absenteeigm. Case of the Maharaj Rana	of Dholpur	•••	•••	***	· ]	▼ [	71	95
Systematic — not permissi	ible in the cas	e of Rulin	g Chiefs	•••		v	71, 74	95; 96, 97
Case of the Raja of Nahan	, 1892	***	•••	***		▼	73	96
Case of the Maharaja of P	atiala	•••	•••	***		▼	73	96
Chiefs intending to leave should give notice of the	their States, air intention	except fo	r a casus	l stay ele	owbore,	v	73, 75	96, 97
Case of the Gaekwar of Ba	toda	•••	100	•••		v	74	96, 97
Chiefs compelled by ill-he efficient arrangements for	ealth to be a	beent from the adminis	their Station	stes, shoul	d make	v	74, 75	97
There is no general rule de ments of Chiefs	fining the con	trol of G	overnmen t	over the	200ve-	v	75	97
See also "Native States,"	head (8) (i).				j	ļ	]	
Abu. Ceded jurisdiction in the station of -		***	***	***		xv	523	55, 58
Achakzais (seven), British subjects, seized official. Case of —	in British te	rritory and	carried of	by an	Afghan	XVI	543	68, 69
Act(8). (I) Acts relating to the extent Deregulationising — what they are	of British e	nacimenis. 	***	400	•	<b>v</b> it	217	230, 231

The Scheduled Districts. 1874. Objects of —	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
The Scheduled Districts. 1874. Objects of —	Act(8). (1) Acts relating to the extent of British enactments—continued.			
Schodoldo Districts. Effect of — a — raise the question what territories are State trivity. The Laws Local Extent. Discussions on — raise the question what territories are State trivity. The Schodoldo Districts. Discussions on — raise the question what territories are State trivity. The Schodoldo Districts. Discussions on — raise the question what territories are State trivity. The Schodoldo Archive territories — a raise the question what territories are State trivity. The Laws — of several provinces part of the process of obliberating the distinction between Regulation and Non-Regulation Provinces. The Comment of the Schodoldo Archive Archive Schodoldo Archive Schodold		VII	217	230, 231
The Laws Local Extent. Discussions on — raise the question what territories are State territories.  The Scheduled Districtal. Discussions on — raise the question what territories are State territories. The Scheduled Districts of Discussions on a raise the question what territories are State territories.  Laws — of several provinces part of the process of obliterating the distinction between Regulation and Non-Regulation Frowinces.  VII 190 221, 213  (2) Act consected with Cessions and other originatures of territory.  No. XI of 1855. Tributary Mehals of Orissa	The Scheduled Districts, 1874. Objects of	VII	217	230, 231
The Scheduled Districts. Discussions on — raise the question what territories are State territories.  Laws — of several provinces part of the process of obliterating the distinction between Regulation and Non-Regulation Provinces	Scheduled Districts. Effect of	VII	233	240
Lever—of several provinces part of the process of obliterating the distinction between Regulation and Mon-Regulation Provinces  (2) dets consected with Cosmons and other adjustments of territory.  No. XX of 1860, Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1860, Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1860, Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1864, Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1864, Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1864, Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1870, Enamager Cension  No. XX of 1870, Enamager Cension  No. XX of 1870, Enamager Cension  No. XX of 1880, Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1880 pepaked by No. XI of 1883. Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1880 pepaked by No. XI of 1883. Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1880 pepaked by No. XI of 1883. Tributary Mehals of Orisas  No. XX of 1880 defining the law applicable to lands coded by Enhawalpur for Enlaway purposes  The ladion Evidence. Effect of section 118 in connection with censions  The ladion Evidence. Effect of section 118 in connection with censions  The ladion Evidence. Effect of section 118 in connection with censions  The ladion Evidence and Expelication and extension of an — to State territory  Distinction between the application and extension of an — to State territory  Indian Divorce — 1869, applies to Eritish subjects of Her Majesty in  Slate territory  Married Women's Property — 1874, applies to Christian subjects of Her Majesty in State territory  The Ecropsen Varrancy — 1876, Some of its provisions apply in Native States upon express notification  Livia 445  The Indian Rainways — 1880, applies to erisin British subjects in State territory  The Indian Evidence of the Property — 1874, applies to evidence of the Majesty in State territory  The Indian Rainways — 1890, applies to evidence in State territory  The Indian Rainways — 1890, Its application in State territory  The Indian Rainways — 1890, Its application in State territory  The Indian Rainways — 1895, Its application in State territory  The Indian Rainways —	AiA-ui-a-	VII	190	211, 212
Regulation and Mon-Regulation Provinces	A a maril A a million at	VII	190	211, 212
No. XX of 1850, Tributary Mehals of Orissa	Laws — of several provinces part of the process of obliterating the distinction between Regulation and Non-Regulation Provinces	VII	190	211, 212
No. XXI of 1845, Tributary Mehals of Orisan  No. XI of 1863 annexing Fornhack to the Singhbhum District  No. XI of 1864 exempts the Mohwad Chiefships from the Bombay Regulations, 1ts repeal  No. XI of 1866 exempts the Mohwad Chiefships from the Bombay Regulations, 1ts repeal  No. XX of 1876. Ehannagar Cession  No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mehals of Orisan  No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mehals of Orisan  No. XX of 1850 repealed by No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mehals of Orisan  No. XII of 1883 defining the law applicable to lands ceded by Eshawalpur for Eallway purposes  The Indian Evidence. Effect of sexion 113 in connection with cessions  No. XIII of 1883 defining the law applicable to lands ceded by Eshawalpur for Eallway purposes  The Indian Evidence. Effect of sexion 113 in connection with cessions  No. XIII of 1883 defining the law application and extension of an — to State territory  Distinction between the spilication and extension of an — to State territory  Distinction between the spilication and extension of an — to State territory  Distinction between the spilication and extension of the Majority in State territory  Married Women's Property — 1876, applies to Christian subjects of Her Majority in State territory  The Ecropean Vagrancy — 1876. Some of its provisions as subjects of Her Majority in State territory  The Ecropean Vagrancy — 1876. Some of its provisions apply in Native States upon aspress molification as press molific	(2) Acts connected with Cessions and other adjustments of territory.			
No. II of 1893 amening Fornhat to the Singbibbon District	No. XX of 1850, Tributary Mehals of Orissa	VII	208	223, 224
No. XI of 1896 exempts the Mehwad Chiefahips from the Bombay Regulations. Its rapeal No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mehals of Orissa No. XI of 1894. Tributary Mehals of Orissa No. XI of 1895. Tributary Mehals of Orissa No. XI of 1895. Tributary Mehals of Orissa No. XI of 1897. Tributary No. XI of 1898. Tributary No. XI of 1897. Trib	No. XXI of 1845, Tributary Mehals of Orissa	VII	208	223, 224
No. XX of 187d. Bhaunagar Cession	No. II of 1893 annexing Porahat to the Singhbhum District	VII	226, 236	236, 237; 241—243
No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mehals of Orissa	No. XI of 1846 exempts the Mehwasi Chiefships from the Bombay Regulations. Its repeal	VII	238	245, 246
No. XX of 1850 repealed by No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mebals of Orissa XV 498 40  No. XIII of 1883 defining the law applicable to lands ceded by Bahawalpur for Bailway purposes XV 520 53, 54  The Indian Evidence. Effect of eaction 113 in connection with cessions XV 520 53, 54  The Indian Evidence. Effect of eaction 113 in connection with cessions XV 520 65, 56  The Indian Evidence. Effect of eaction 113 in connection with cessions XV 520 65, 56  The Indian Divorce 1866, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 440 1  Indian Divorce 1869, applies to British subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  Indian Christian Marriage 1372, applies with certain exceptions to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  Birtha, Deaths and Marriages Registration, 1880, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Ecropean Varrancy 1874. Some of its provisions apply in Native States upon express notification XIV 445 4, 5  The Official Servets, 1889, applies to all subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Catha, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 6  The Indian Bailways, 1890. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 6  The Indian Railways 1890. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Railways 1866. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Recerve Forces 1883. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Recerve Forces 1887, Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Landian Scaticer Property, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Recerve Forces 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Post Office, 1874. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Post Office, 1880. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Post Office, 1885. I	No. XX of 1876. Bhaunagar Cession	VIII	246, 249, 250	6, 7; 9, 10; 10—12
No. XIII of 1883 defining the law applicable to lands ceded by Bahawalpur for Railway purposes	No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mehals of Crissa	xv	498	40
The Indian Evidence. Effect of section 113 in connection with cessions	No. XX of 1850 repealed by No. XI of 1893. Tributary Mehals of Orissa	XV	498	40
(3) Acts applicable to British subjects and public servants in State territory.  Distinction between the application and extension of an — to State territory	DOLDOSES	xv	520	53, 54
Distinction between the application and extension of an — to State territory XIV 440 1  Indian Divorce —, 1869, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  Indian Christian Marriage —, 1372, applies to Christian subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  Marriad Women's Property —, 1874, applies with certain exceptions to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  Birth, Deaths and Marriages Registration —, 1886, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Ecropean Varrancy —, 1874. Some of its provisions apply in Native States upon express notification XIV 445 4, 5  Indian — which extend as personal laws to British subjects and public servants XIV 445 4, 5  The Official Secrets —, 1889, applies to all subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Income Tax —, 1886, applies to certain British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 6  The Indian Caths —, 1878, Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 6  The Indian Railways —, 1890. Its application is State territory XIV 445 4, 5  A Native British employé carries his liabilities under the Indian Post Office — with him into State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Post Office —, 1866. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Recerve Forces —, 1883. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1886. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1886. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1886. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1886. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Recerve Forces —, 1889. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Teleg	The Indian Evidence. Effect of section 113 in connection with cessions	All	246	6, 7
Indian Divorce —, 1869, applies to British subjects in State territory	Distinction between the application and extension of an — to State territory	XIV	440	,
Indian Christian Marriage —, 1872, applies to Christian subjects of Her Majesty in State territory	Indian Divorce - 1869, applies to British subjects in State territory			
Married Women's Property —, 1874, applies with certain exceptions to subjects of Her Elajesty in State territory  Birtha, Deaths and Marriages Registration —, 1886, applies to British subjects in State territory  The Ecropean Vagrancy —, 1874. Some of its provisions apply in Native States upon express notification	Indian Christian Marriage —, 1872, applies to Christian subjects of Her Majesty in			
Birtha, Deaths and Marriages Registration —, 1886, applies to British subjects in State territory	Married Women's Property -, 1874, applies with certain exceptions to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory			
The Ecropean Vagrancy —, 1874. Some of its provisions apply in Native States upon express notification	Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration -, 1886, applies to British subjects in State			
The Official Secrets —, 1889, applies to all subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Income Tax —, 1886, applies to certain British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Indian Oaths —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Indian Railways —, 1890. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  A Native British employé carries his liabilities under the Iodian Post Office — with him into State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Post Office —, 1866. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Majority —, 1875, applies to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Administrator-General's —, 1874, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Reserve Forces —, 1888. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Native Passenger Ships —, 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1986, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government employ in	express notification	XIV	445	
The Official Secrets —, 1889, applies to all subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Income Tax —, 1836, applies to certain British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Oaths —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Railways —, 1890. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  A Native British employé carries his liabilities under the Indian Post Office — with him ito State territory XIV 443 3, 4  The Indian Post Office —, 1866. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Majority —, 1875, applies to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Administrator-General's —, 1874, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Reserve Forces —, 1838. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Native Passenger Ships —, 1837. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1886. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government employ in	Indian — which extend as personal laws to British subjects and public servants	XIV	445	4, 5
The Income Tax —, 1886, applies to certain British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Oaths —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Railways —, 1890. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  A Native British employé carries his liabilities under the Indian Post Office — with him into State territory XIV 443 3, 4  The Indian Post Office —, 1866. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Majority —, 1875, applies to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Administrator General's —, 1874, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Reserve Forces —, 1888. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government employ in Native States	The Official Secrets -, 1889, applies to all subjects of Her Majesty in State territory	XIV	445	
The Indian Oaths —, 1873. Its application in State territory	•	XIV		_
The Indian Railways —, 1890. Its application in State territory	The Indian Oaths, 1873. Its application in State territory			
A Native British employó carries his liabilities under the Iudian Post Office — with him into State territory	The Indian Railways -, 1890. Its application in State territors	XIV		
The Indian Post Office —, 1866. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Indian Majority —, 1875, applies to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Administrator-General's —, 1874, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Indian Reserve Forces —, 1888. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Native Passenger Ships —, 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5 No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in	A Native British employé carries his liabilities under the Indian Post Office — with	·		
The Indian Majority —, 1875, applies to subjects of Her Majesty in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Administrator-General's —, 1874, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Reserve Forces —, 1888. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Native Passenger Ships —, 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1986, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government. employ in	The Indian Post Office 1866. Its application in State to-item		445	4, 5
The Administrator-General's —, 1874, applies to British subjects in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Reserve Forces —, 1888. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Native Passenger Ships —, 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in				-
The Indian Reserve Forces —, 1888. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Native Passenger Ships —, 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in				
The Lunatic Soldiers Property —, 1873. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Native Passenger Ships —, 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in	The Indian Reserve Forces -, 1888. Its application in State territory			
The Native Passenger Ships —, 1887. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in Native States				-
The Indian Telegraph —, 1885. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4.5  The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4.5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4.5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4.5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government. employ in	The Native Passenger Ships -, 1887. Its application in State territors			
The Indian Volunteers —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in	The Indian Telegraph -, 1885. Its application in State territors		ł	
The Indian Articles of War —, 1869. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in Native States	The Indian Volunteers -, 1869. Its application in State territory	i		
No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State territory XIV 445 4, 5  No. II of 1886, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in	The Indian Articles of War -, 1869. Its application in State territors			
No. 11 of 1986, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government, employ in	No. XIV of 1887, relating to the Indian Marine. Its application in State country			
AX ( 701   170, 171	No. 11 of 1986, Income Tax. Applicable to British subjects in Government employ in	XX	445 701	4, 5 170, 171

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Act(8). (4) Application of Acts to places in State territory under Brilish jurisdiction	a.		
Application of British — to British Cantonments in State territory	XIV	461	17
Extension of the Civil Procedure Code to the Nimach Cantonment	XIV	463	19
Reference to a Darbar in the matter of an application of — to Cautonments in I States considered objectionable. Erinpura case, 1892	Native XIV	473	24, 25
Probate and Administration, 1881, and other — applied, without communication wi Nizam's Government, to the Hyderabad Residency Bazars	th the XIV	476	26, 27
(5) The Prisoners Act.	,	·	
The Prisoners —, 1871. Certain provisions of — quoted. It does not authoric execution in British India of death sentences passed by British Courts in the exof residuary jurisdiction	se the kercise XVIII	622	122
No. V of 1871, the Prisoners Act, in section 19 reproduces with modifications section Act VIII of 1863	ation 4	537	64
No. V of 1871, The Prisoners. Provisions of the — as to execution in British ten of certain sentences passed in State territory	XV	494, 496	37 ; 38, 39
Provisions of the — as amended in 1894	xv	496	<b>38,</b> 39
(6) Acts relating to jurisdiction in Thagi and Dakaiti cases.			
No. XXX of 1836. Punishment for Thagi	XV	537	64
No. XXIV of 1843. Punishment for Dakaiti	xv	537	64
XVIII of 1843. Imprisonment of Thags and Dakaits in British jails	xv	537	64
No. VIII of 1863 repeals and in section 4 re-enacts provisions of — XVIII of 1843	XV	537	64
No. V of 1871 repeals and with modifications re-enacts section 4 of — VIII of 1863	3 XV	537	64
Indian Penal Code, sections 310, 311, and 400	xv	538	64, 65
Code of Criminal Procedure, section 181	XV	538	64, 65
(7) The Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act No. XXI of 1879.		,	
Provisions of — quoted with reference to the two kinds of territory	VII	234	241
Criminal law of British India extended by section 8 to Eur British subjects in State territory	XIV	414	4
Authority delegated to certain officers under — in view to the e of jurisdiction in certain parts in Native States  Notification of powers within Indore Residency limits without	XIV	463	19
ence to Maharaja Holkar	XIV	477	27
Repeals and re-enacts No. XI of 1872, the old — on the same s	ubject XVI	542	66, 67, 68
with rules made under it, regulates the procedure in extradition subordinate States	XVI	542	66, 67, 68
Section 14, on requisitions under the term "offence,' is not lim offences designated in the schedule	XVI	545	70
The Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act. Question w section 14 applies in extradition to the French Settlements	hether XVI	547	71, 72
The Act applies in the absence of trenty procedure	xvi	547, 549	71, 72; 72—74
Opinion of Messrs. Woodroffe and Evans that the Act does not where there is a treaty procedure	XVI	5 <b>49</b>	72, 73, 74
Reference to the section prescribing the penalty, instead of section defining the offence, should not prejudice a dema extradition. Case of Najaf Ali	nd for XVI	550	74, 75
Provisions of — relating to European British subjects in territory	State XVI	551	75, 76
Section 8 not to be construed as necessitating the trial within 1 India of British subjects for offences committed elsewhere	XVI	<b>558</b>	60 <b>, 81</b>
Rule 9 under — requires the Political Agent to satisfy himsel an accused person surrendered to a Native State has a fair tritat his punishment is neither barbarous nor excessive	f tbat al and XVI	559	81, 82
Provisions of — applied to extradition to Kashmir in supersess the orders of 1856	sion of XVI	560	82, 83
Procedure under sections 15 and 16	XVI	561	83, 84

					<del></del> ,			
	Subject.					Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Act(8). (7) The Foreig	n Jurisdiction and Extradi	tion Act No. I	XXI of 187	9—continue	ad.			
res(s). (i) zaczoros	Acceptance of bail in extra			•••		XVI	562	84
	Abetment of an offence is the Act			on offence	under	xvi	563	85
	Provision has to be made	for returning to	o jail an ext	radited con	vict	IVX	564	86
	The Foreign Jurisdiction a for working the Act and	and Extradition			i	xvı	565	87
	Rules under. General ins		ae working	of the —	•••	XVI	565	87
	Trials held by Political Ag Indian law so far as it i	ents must be			British	xvi	565	87
	The Foreign Jurisdiction ing the list of scheduled	and Extradition	a Act. Obj	ection to	nlarg-	XVIII	615	117, 1
	Otherwise than in accord person can be delivered	ance with Ch	apter IV o India	of —, no a	ccused	XVIII	616	118
	Notification under — nece in State territory			for British	Courts	XVIII	619	120
	Provisions of -regarding	Commissions fo	r the examin	ation of wi	tnesses	XVIII	620	120, 1
	Reproduced in extenso wi				ŀ		,	-
(5) Miscellaneous A	-							
<b>\</b>	offences against the State	***	***	•••		I	11	12, 1
	offences relating to the Arm	oy and against	the State	•••		1	11	12, 1
	he Native Coinage. Passin		•••	•••		v	113	18
		e undertaken t	nder	***	•••	v	115, 116	133,
The Indian Arms	. Operation of — and of the importation of arm	e rules frame	l thereund	er, in rest	ricting	VI	125	145, 1
The Indian Rails	ray — should be applied in I		•••	***	•••	VI	175, 176 (10)	190, 1 191, 1
The Telegraph.	Baroda resists the applicatio	n of —	***	***	•••	VI	182	194, 1
Indian Telegraph	-should be applied in Nat	ive States	***	•••	•••	·VI	182, 184 (4)	194, 19 197, 19
Sea Customs Act	of 1878, Section 19	***	•••	•••	•••	VI	188A	209, 2
Indian Tariff Act	t, VIII of 1894, as amended	by Act XVI of	f 1894	***	•••	VI	188A	209, 2
No. XVII of 189	4, Section 86. Cotton dutie	8 ***	•••	•••	•••	vı	188A	209, 2
No. II of 1869 e British subject	nabled Justices of the Peace ts for trial, repealed by the C	e in State ter Code of Crimin	ritory to c al Procedur	commit Eu e, 1872	ropean	XVI	642, 551	. 66—69 75—7
No. V of 1893 fo	or legalising the execution in	India of certa	in capital s	entences	,	XVIII	622	122
	2. Naturalisation	•				XIX	665	149, 1
	Section 8. Power to remove	foreigners	•••	•••	•••	XXI	751, 752	199, 20 200, 2
Bombay Baroneto	y Acts. No. VI of 1893 and	i No. XX of 18	360	***		XXII	783	220, 2
No. I of 1869, T	he Oudh Talukdars. Section se estates shall be by primog	a 8 provides fo				XXII	783	220, 2
	trials under British authori		***	•••	404	I	14 (10)	18
	loes not bar employment of	_	-	under the	1	XIX	663	147, 1
Acts of Parliament	. Ses "Statutes."		•					
	int. See " Native States," 1	head (7).						
	connected with succession to		and the like	, is a questi	on for	VII	205	221, 2
in Native the ter	States will be recognised by ms of their — sanads		vernment i	n accordance		IX	289(4)	48
by a wide	ow does not carry a right of		***	***		x	833	111, 1
	-				1			

can a Ending Chief logally adopt carring too inter a diministration of an only son. The Kolhapur succession case, 1877	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
a safeguard against divitions in Native States  An only son cannot by Hinda haw be adopted  Statement of the obligations of the Supreme Government in the natter of recogniting—  of an only son. Than. Such adoption not opposed to custom in the gain and the Southern Maharita country  of an only son. Than Such adoption not opposed to custom in Bajputana and the Southern Maharita country  of an edite too me opposed to custom in the Southern Maharita country  of an edite on me opposed to custom in the Southern Maharita country  of an edit son me opposed to custom in the Southern Maharita country  of an edit son by Southern States and States of the Southern Maharita country  of an edity son. The Kollagart succession case, 1871  (An) recognised by Government may be set aside by the birth of a son of the body to the Chief of the States  of a younger one in preference to an elder disqualified an X 323  324, 97, 88  of a symmetr one of Rajputana to goe back from nephew to uncle X 320  The Pudekota case, 1877  Difficulty of electing a successor to a Native State on failure of — perhaps not so formidable as its speared to Stir H. Maiss X 331  109, 110  The Alwar case, probably overlooked in the consideration of the Pudakota case, 1877  A widow has no claim of right to adopt a son with a view to succession X 333  118, 118  A famale Chief of Naigawan Ribal permitted to adopt X 333  119, 110  in that strict seems one control with a view to succession X 333  in that strict seems one control with a view to succession X 333  114-116  in that strict seems one control with a view to succession X 333  114-116  in that strict seems one control with a view to succession X 333  114-116  in that strict seems one control with a view to succession X 333  114-116  in that strict seems one control with a view to succession X 333  114-116  in that strict seems one control with a view to succession X 333  115, 116  YI Leadern X 340  117-118  The view of a Chief thoug	Chiefshin is provided for by selection	x	318	87—91
An only son cannot by Hinda law be adopted  Statements of the chilipations of the Supreme Government in the matter of recognising —  of on only son, The. Such adoption not apposed to custom in Rajputana and the Southern Makratic country  of an addest son not opposed to custom in the Southern Makratic country  Can a Reliacy Chief legally adopt during the life of a disinherited son?  of an only son, The Kollapar succession case, 1871  Supremension of the general law by custom in the matter of —  (As) prograised by Government may be set asids by the birth of a son of the body to the Chief of the State  of a younger son in preference to a left disqualified can X 323  93, 97  It is against the custom of Rajputana to go back from nephew to uncle  of a founger son in preference to a left disqualified ran X 320  The Pudoktot case, 1877 X 330  The Pudoktot case, 1877 X 330  The Alexa case, probably overbooked in the consideration of the Pudoktot case, 1877 X 337  A widow has no claim of right to adopt a son with a risw to succession X 337  A smale Chief of Rajawana Ribai permitted to adopt X 339  113, 114  Why the inflamements to Chiefs to make timely and formal — have rather diminated than increased in the successor of a polytoper of the contideration of the Pudoktot case, 1877  Under an adoption assend a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude the work of the contideration X 339  114-116  Statements of Chiefs of one colleteral in preference to another of closer affinity and the whole his is exercised by Hinda Chiefs cannot be claimed by Mahammadas Chiefs about the table and the successor X 339  114-116  Statema, 1884-8. Frinciple observed in States without — sands X 339  114-116  Statema, 1884-8. Frinciple observed in States without — sands X 339  114-116  Statema, 1884-8. Frinciple observed in States without — sands X 339  114-116  Statema, 1884-8. Frinciple observed in States without — san personal printing X 339  114-116  Rail case of activitie		x	318	87-91
Stritument of the obligations of the Supreme Government in the matter of recogniting—  of an only sen, The. Such adoption not opposed to contom in Rajputana and the Southern Makarita country		x	318	87—91
of an only son. The. Such adoption not opposed to cratom in Rajputana and the Southern Mahratta country	Statement of the obligations of the Supreme Government in the matter of recog-	x	319	91
can a clost son not opposed to custom in the Southern Mahratta country  can a Clost son not opposed to custom in the Southern Mahratta country  can a Ruling Chief Reguly adopt during the life of a disinherited son?  can a Ruling Chief Reguly adopt during the life of a disinherited son?  can a Ruling Chief Reguly adopt during the life of a disinherited son?  can a Ruling Chief Reguly adopt during the life of a disinherited son?  X 320  Supersonation of the general law by outtom in the matter of —  X 221  Supersonation of the general law by outtom in the matter of —  Can a Company of the Chief of the State  of a younger son in preference to an elder disqualided son  It is against the custom of Rajputana to go back from nephew to uncle  of a dampther's son in preference to a brother's son  The Putakota case, 1877  Difficulty of selecting a ancessor to a Native State on failure of — perhaps not so formishabs as it specared to Sir H. Mains  The Alvar case, probably overlooked in the consideration of the Putakota case, 1877  A widew has no claim of right to adopt a son with a view to succession  of a younger brother in preference to the father of a deceased Chief  A femula Chief of Najayawa Rikhi permitted to adopt  Why the inducements to Chiefs to make timely and formal — have rather diminated than horeward.  In the strict sense necessarily limited to Hindra and Sikha in full access in which it is exercised by Hindra Chiefs cannot be claimed by Mahammadan Chiefs of one colleteral in preference to another of closer affinity Under an adoption sensed a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily acclude those who by law or custom have valid claims (see foot-coate)  The widow of a Chief should inch hastly adopt sone person whose claim the Governor-General in Council may be objected to disallor  Silana, 1884, See "Sullana  Yill 250  Silana, 1884, See "Sullana  Yill 260  Silana, 1884, See "Sullana  Yill 261  Privileges  Grant of — in al leest three cases to Non-Reiling Chiefs  Yill 266	of an only son. The. Such adoption not opposed to custom in Rajputana and the		319, 320	91: 92.93
Can a Raling Chief legally adopt during the life of a disinherited son?  of an only son. The Kohaplaw succession case, 1871  Supersession of the general key by custom in the matter of —	Southern Mahratta country			
sof an only son. The Kolhapur succession case, 1871		x	322	9496
Supersession of the general law by eastern in the matter of — X 321 93,84  (An) recognized by Governments may be set saids by the birth of a son of the body to the Chief of the Blate		x	320	92, 93
(An) recognised by Government may be set aside by the birth of a son of the body to the Chief of the State	•	x	321	93, 94
of a younger son in preference to an elder disqualified son  It is against the custom of Rajputana to go back from nephew to uncle  of a daughter's son in preference to a brother's son  The Podukota casa, 1877  Difficulty of selecting a successor to a Native State on failure of — perhaps not so formidable as it appeared to Sir H. Maine  The Awar case, probably overlooked in the consideration of the Pudukota case, 1877  A widow has no claim of right to adopt a son with a view to succession  of a younger brother in preference to the father of a deceased Chief  A female Chief of Naigawan Rhal permitted to adopt  Why the indusements to Chiefs to make timely and formal — have rather diminated than increased  in the strict sense necessarily limited to Hindus and Sikhs  in full sense in which it is exercised by Hindu Chiefs cannot be claimed by Mahammadan Chiefs  by Mahammadan Chiefs of one collateral in preference to another of closer affinity Under an adoption sensed a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude those who by law or custom have valid claims (see foot-note)  in States without Canning Sanada. Widow of the Chief of Santh permitted to adopt (see foot-note)  Sitaman, 1884-85. Frinciple observed in States without — Sanada  The widow of a Chief abound not bastily adopt some person whose claim the Governmen-General in Council many be obliged to disallow  Sitaman, 1884-85. Frinciple observed in States without — Sanada  The privileges of — granted by sanad  Ortain Jagichars in the Punjah may be granted the right of — as a personal privilege  The vidow of a Chief about Canning Sanada  Sitaman, 1884-85. Frinciple observed in States without Canning Sanada to be avoided  Sitaman, 1884-85. Frinciple observed in States without Canning Sanada to be aroded  Sitaman, 1884-85. Frinciple observed in States without Canning Sanada to be aroded  Sitaman, 1884-186. See "Saliman —"  Grant of — in a elected fundatories in 1885 in recognition of their limited oversignity  G	(An) recognised by Government may be set aside by the birth of a son of the body	x	822	94—96
It is against the custom of Rajputana to go back from nephew to uncle  of a daughter's son in preference to a brother's son  The Podokota case, 1877  Difficulty of eelecting a successor to a Native State on failure of — perhaps not so formidable as it appeared to Sir H. Mainon  The Alena case, probably overlooked in the consideration of the Podokota case, 1877  A videw has no claim of right to adopt a son with a view to succession  A ridew has no claim of right to adopt a son with a view to succession  A female Chief of Naigawan Ribai permitted to adopt  A female Chief of Naigawan Ribai permitted to adopt  A female Chief of Naigawan Ribai permitted to adopt  The Alena case, probably overlooked in the consideration of the Podokota case, 1877  A videw has no claim of right to adopt a son with a view to succession  A female Chief of Naigawan Ribai permitted to adopt  A female Chief of Naigawan Ribai permitted to adopt  The videw of the son in which it is exercised by Hindu Chiefs cannot be claimed by Mahammadau Chiefs  The Whas made and Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude those who by law or custom have valid claims (see foot-note)  The widow of a Chief abound not hastily adopt some person whose claim the door time foot adopt (see foot-note)  The widow of a Chief abound not hastily adopt some person whose claim the Governor-Gueeral in Council may be obliged to disallow  The widow of a Chief abound not hastily adopt some person whose claim the Governor-Gueeral in Council may be obliged to disallow  The widow of a Chief abound not hastily adopt some person whose claim the Governor-Gueeral in Council may be obliged to disallow  The widow of a Chief abound not hastily adopt some person whose claim the Governor-Gueeral in Council may be obliged to disallow  The widow of a Chief abound not hastily adopt some person whose claim the Governor-Gueeral in Council may be obliged to disallow  The widow of a Chief abound not be consecution of the council may be obliged to disallow  The widow of a Chief about		x	323	96, 97
cf a daughter's son in preference to a brother's son X 830 107—109 The Pudukota case, 1877	• -	x	824	97, 98
The Pudukota casa, 1877	•	x	830	107—109
Difficulty of selecting a successor to a Native State on failure of — perhaps not so formidable as it appeared to Sir H. Maino	-	x	330	107109
The Alwar case, probably overlooked in the consideration of the Pudukota case, 1877	Difficulty of selecting a successor to a Native State on failure of - perhaps not so	x	331	• 109, 110
A widow has no claim of right to adopt a son with a view to succession  of a younger brother in preference to the father of a deceased Chief X 336 113  A femule Chief of Naigawan Ribai permitted to adopt X 338 113, 114  Why the inducements to Chiefs to make timely and formal — have rather diminished than increased	The Alwar case, probably overlooked in the consideration of the Pudukota case,	x	331	109, 110
of a younger brother in preference to the father of a deceased Chief X 338 113, 114  A female Chief of Naigawan Bibai permitted to adopt X 338 113, 114  Why the inducements to Chiefs to make timely and formal — have rather diminished than increased	•	x	337	113
A female Chief of Naigawan Bibai permitted to adopt		x	836	113
Why the inducements to Chiefs to make timely and formal — have rather diminiahed than increased		x	338	113, 114
in the strict sense necessarily limited to Hindus and Sikhs	Why the inducements to Chiefs to make timely and formal - have rather dimi-	x	339	114116
in full sense in which it is exercised by Hindu Chiefs cannot be claimed by Muhammadan Chiefs		ХI	340	117
by Muhammadan Chiefs of one collateral in preference to another of closer affinity  Under an adoption sanad a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude those who by law or custom have valid claims (see foot-note)	in full sense in which it is exercised by Hindu Chiefs cannot be claimed by	XI	341	117, 118
Under an adoption saxed a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude those who by law or custom have valid claims (see foot-note)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ХI	342, 343	118-122;
in States without Canning Sanads. Widow of the Chief of Sunth permitted to adopt (ree foot-note)	Under an adoption sanad a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude	XI	354	
Sitaman, 1884-85. Principle observed in States without — Sanads XI 358 140, 141  Sailana, 1884. See "Sailana — " XI 359 142  Premature — in cases of successions to States without Canning Sanads to be avoided	in States without Canning Sanads. Widow of the Chief of Sunth permitted to	XI	855	137, 138
Sailana, 1834. See "Sailana—" XI 359 142  Premature—in cases of successions to States without Canning Sanads to be avoided	The widow of a Chief should not hastily adopt some person whose claim the Governor-General in Council may be obliged to disallow	XI	357	139, 140
Premature — in cases of successions to States without Canning Sanads to be avoided	Sitaman, 1884-85. Principle observed in States without - Sanads	xı	358	140, 141
avoided	Sailana, 1884. See "Sailana —"	XI	359	142
Certain Jagirdars in the Punjab may be granted the right of — as a personal privilege		ХI	361	142,143
by widows of Ruling Chiefs. See "Widow —."  of a successor by the widows of a Chief in accordance with the directions of the late Chief XII 377 154  Privileges of — granted by sanad XXI 740 194, 195  Adoption Sanad(s). Holkar's protest against the grant of — to Jaora II 22 28—32  Grant of — to selected feudatories in 1865 in recognition of their limited sovereignty VII 206 222  Grant of — in at least three cases to Non-Ruling Chiefs VII 206 222  Grant of — to the Chiefs of the Oriesa Tributary Mahals VII 210 224, 225	permitted in States without Cauning Sanads	XI	361	142, 143
of a successor by the widows of a Chief in accordance with the directions of the late Chief XII 377 154  Privileges of — granted by sanad XXI 740 194, 195  Adoption Sanad(s). Holkar's protest against the grant of — to Jaora II 22 28—32  Grant of — to selected feudatories in 1865 in recognition of their limited sovereignty VII 206 222  Grant of — in at least three cases to Non-Ruling Chiefs VII 206 222  Grant of — to the Chiefs of the Orissa Tributary Mahals VII 210 224, 225		XI	369	146—148
Privileges of — granted by sanad XII 377 154  Privileges of — granted by sanad XXI 740 194, 195  Adoption Sanad(s). Holkar's protest against the grant of — to Jaora II 22 28—33  Grant of — to selected feudatories in 1865 in recognition of their limited sovereignty VII 206 222  Grant of — in at least three cases to Non-Ruling Chiefs VII 206 222  Grant of — to the Chiefs of the Oriesa Tributary Mahals VII 210 224, 225	by widows of Ruling Chiefs. See "Widow"			
Adoption Sanad(s). Holkar's protest against the grant of — to Jaora II 22 28—33  Grant of — to selected feudatories in 1865 in recognition of their limited sovereignty VII 206 222  Grant of — in at least three cases to Non-Ruling Chiefs VII 206 222  Grant of — to the Chiefs of the Orissa Tributary Mahals VII 210 224, 225	1 · 01 · 4	XII.	877	154
Grant of — to selected feudatories in 1865 in recognition of their limited sovereignty VII 206 222  Grant of — in at least three cases to Non-Ruling Chiefs VII 206 222  Grant of — to the Chiefs of the Oriesa Tributary Mahala VII 210 224, 225	Privileges of — granted by sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Grant of — to selected feudatories in 1865 in recognition of their limited sovereignty VII 206 222  Grant of — in at least three cases to Non-Ruling Chiefs VII 206 222  Grant of — to the Chiefs of the Orissa Tributary Mahals VII 210 224, 225	Adoption Sanad(s). Holkar's protest against the grant of — to Jaora	11	22	2832
Grant of — to the Chiefe of the Orissa Tributary Mahals VII 210 224, 225	Grant of - to selected feudatories in 1865 in recognition of their	vii	206	222
Grant of — to any oniting of the Oliver Linds and and any	Grant of — in at least three cases to Non-Ruling Chiefs	VII	206	222
	Grant of — to the Chiefs of the Oriesa Tributary Mahals	VII	210	224, 225
The grant of — to Chiefships adduced as an evidence of their possession of sovereignty VII 215 227, 228, 22	The grant of — to Chiefships adduced as an evidence of their possession of sovereignty	AII	215 °	227, 228, 229

	Surject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Adoption Sanad(s).	Do they alter the status of a Chief?	VII	221	233, 234
	Form of —	IX	275	35, 36
	The distribution of — in 1862	IX	275	85, 86
	Working of the policy of	x	<b>816, 3</b> 39	31;114-116
	The Kashmir succession case, 1868	x	317	81,86
	Kashwir Chief's request for a sanad expressly guaranteeing succession in favour of collaterals	. <b>x</b>	317	81,86
	The Shahpura succession case	x	818	87—91
	Lord Canning's. Mr. Aitchison's note in the Shahpura case on the effect of —	x	\$18	87—91
	Liberal construction of — by Government	x	821, 827	93, 94; 102, 103
	The Nawanagar succession case, 1884	x	323	94—96
·	Lord Canning's. If a Chief creates a failure of natural heirs by dis- inheriting his sons for vice, Government is not bound to recognise and confirm his adoption of a successor	<b>x</b> .	323	<b>94—</b> 96
	The Dhar adoption case, 1890. Claim lost by subsequent birth of legitimate son of the body	X	323	96, 97
	The Jhabua adoption case, 1893. Claim lost by subsequent birth of legitimate son of the body	x	323	96, 97
	The Udaipur succession case, 1874	x	324	97, 93
	Lord Canning's. Discussion between the Secretary of State and the Government of India on the right interpretation of —	x	325, 329	98-101;
	The Alwar succession case, 1874-75	x	<b>3</b> 25 <b>,</b> 329	98—101; 106,107
	Interpretation of the words "natural heirs" in the —	x	330	107—109
	The principle underlying the — rests on the abandonment of the policy of annexation	x	333	111, 112
	Lord Canning's. The abandonment of the doctrine of lapse is closely connected with the assurances conveyed in —, but is not identical with them	x	839	114—116
	were the fruit of the policy of non-annexation on failure of heirs	x	<b>3</b> 39	114-116
	Their distribution was a consequence of the acceptance of the principle of imperial policy that Native Bule ought to be preserved	1	340	117
	Reference to Muhammadan law in — Question in what way it limits scope of Chief's right of choice	ıx	343	122-125
	Intention of saving clause in — when granted to Muhammadan Chiefs	1%	843	122—125
	were a declaration of the abandonment of the policy of annexation	XI.	844	125, 126
	probably do not give Chiefs power of arbitrary selection to the exclusion of those who by law or custom have valid claims	xı	84 <u>4</u>	125, 126
	Palitana succession case. Under an adoption a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude those who by law or custom have valid claims	XI	354	136, 137
	granted to Muhammadan Chiefs leave much to the discretion of the Paramount Power	XI	854	136, 137
	Grant of — does not imply that right of adoption will not be admitted in other cases	XI.	855	137, 135
	limits freedom of action of Government of India	ХI	<b>358,</b> 36 <b>1</b>	140, 141 ; 142, 143
	for selected bolders of perpetual jagirs in the Punjab	xı	870	143, 149
	Form of — granted to certain Punjab jagirdars	xı	370	143, 149
	Nazarana leviable from certain Native States on the occasion of a direct succession or under the	XII	884	157—159
	Stipulation for nazarana in the original distribution of certain	XII	384	157—159
	Nazarana taken on certain successions in accordance with the terms of the —	XII	<b>3</b> 56	159—161

	SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Advice to Ruling	Chiefs; circumstances under which it should be given by Political officers	xx{	676 677 680 681	155, 156 156, 157 158 159
Afghanistan cla	sed as independent by Sir Charles Aitchison in 1873	ı	13	14
The	re is no extradition treaty with —	xvi	543	68,69
Ext s	radition with —. Circumstances under which the law will be construed tricily in favorem libertatis	xvi	543	68, 69
Afghanistan, A	nir of. Application from Messrs. Jehangir & Co. of Peshawar for permission to sue the — for money due to them by His Highness, refused	XIX	630	128
	Purchase by the — of land and house property in the Peshawar District	XIX	647	137
	has a salute of 21 guns in British India	XXII	813	235
	See also "Amir," "Amir's almond trade."			,
gar. British jur	isdiction exercised without any express cession	XIV	480	29, 30
gents. Raling (	hiefs or their subjects not permitted to be represented by — in political matters	XIX	667, 671	150-151; 1
gra Barkheda	Case (The), 1890. Jurisdiction in Central India Guaranteed Thakurates	, xv	502	43, 44
greements and	treaties. See "Treaties and agreements."	` ,		
hmadnagar su	occession case, The, mentioned	x	318	87—91
	Claims of the Jodhpur house and lapse to Idar	ıx	307	65—67
itchison (Sir	Charles). On the relations of the British Government with independent			
	his opinion regarding the employment of Europeans at Indore	· I	13	14
	on the armics of Notice States	V	82	107, 108
		VI	131	150—152
	on the subordinate co-operation of Native States	VI VI	157	173
	on control of the whole telegraph system of India by the Paramount Power	vi	178	192, 193
	his opinion as to the status of the Orissa Chiefs	VII	212	225,226
	his views regarding the status of the Orises Mahals	VII	216	229, 230
	his statement of the rules of International Law acted upon in the settlement of river boundaries	VIII	260	22, 23
•	his note in the Shahpura case on the effect of the Canning Adoption Sanads	x	318	87—91
	his view that the Canning Saunds did not give Chiefs a power of arbitrary selection to the exclusion of those who by law or custom had valid claims	XI	344	125, 126
	his proposal to grant the right of adoption on certain conditions in respect of some perpetual jagirs in the Punjab	XI XI	369	146-148
	his statement that the Indore Residency has always been treated as territory for which the British Government alone has jurisdiction	XIV	475	26
	quoted by Lord Lansdowne in discussing cantonment jurisdiction	XIV	480	29, 30
	his note on the powers of mediatised Chiefs in Central India	XV	503	44
•	his opinion as to the functions of the Thagi and Dakaiti Department	XV	530	60, 61
	on the acquisition of immoveable property by Ruling Chiefs in British territory	XIX	637	132, 133
	his opinion that the law enabling local officers to execute certain contracts, etc., does not apply to succession sanads which should not run in the name of the Secretary of State	XXI	721	182, 183
	Note by — to the effect that the making of treaties between the Paramount Power and protected and dependent States is an inconvenient mode of recording mutual obligations	XXI	738	192, 193
ljaigarh. Naza	rana prescribed in Adoption Sanad	XII	738 384	
	inted by Sanad	XXI		157-159
	riminal jurisdiction granted by sanad	l '	740	194, 195
	of Raja Bahadur conferred by Sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
	ballary see ese est see	XXI	740	194, 195

Ajit Singh, Mehta, of Mewar. Atrocities committed by — His punishment Ajmir and Kishangurh case (The), 1870-74. Objections to transfer of British territory to Native Fulle  Akalkot. State Courts included in the reciprocity system  Abtari agreement, 1894. Bules preferred to formal abtari agreements with small States  Aliana, Order of the. Proposed revival of the — by the Nizam of Hyders bad, 1885  Aliana. How far subjects of Native States are —  See also "Allegiance, double" and paragraphs § 28, § 29, § 32 and § 659.  Alian servants of the British Government are to be made liable for offences under the Indian Penal Code committed in State territory  Aliana prevants of the British Repose made the sole legal tender in —  Aliana British Repose made the sole legal tender in —  Aliana Rajpur Case (The), 1833. Employment of foreign mercenaries  Aliana Penal Code committed in State territory  State of vigit. Considerations observed in making selection. Widow adoption  Aliana Penal Reputer of the Constant state of the Constant Superintendent  Aliana Penal Reputer of the Constant Superintendent  Aliana Penal Reputer of the Constant Superintendent  Aliana Penal Reputer of the Reparal Triver case. Direct engagements between Native States not allowed  Alwar. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Rebellion of the Thakurs of —, 1870, see also paragraphs § 45, § 52, § 76, and § 80  Bouvession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs  Alwar. Extradition Pales  and Patala, Extradition Rules  and Patala, Extradition Rules  and Jajur, Extra	VIII XXI XXI XXII XXII XXII XXII XXII X	54 255 612 735 771 665, 667 710 384 120B 147 292	74, 75, 76  17  115, 116  190, 191  215  149; 150, 15  176, 177  157—159  139—142  162, 163
Akalkot. State Courts included in the reciprocity system  Abbari sgreement, 1894. Bules preferred to formal abkari agreements with small States  Ali Band, Order of the. Proposed revival of the — by the Nizam of Hyderabad, 1885  Alions. How far subjects of Native States are —  See also "Allegiance, double" and paragraphs § 25, § 29, § 32 and § 659.  Alion servants of the British Government are to be made liable for offences under the Indian Penal Code committed in State territory  Ali Rajpur. The British Rupee made the sole legal tender in —  Ali Rajpur Case (The), 1883. Employment of foreign mercenaries  Ali Rajpur will Case. The —  Ali Rajpur will Case. The —  Ali Rajpur minority, 1891. Administration entrusted to a Native Seperintendent  has accepted Colonel Wylle's interstatal extradition rules  Ali Rajpur minority, 1891. Administration entrusted to a Native Seperintendent  Ballegiance, Double. Mr. Pontifer's view of the doctrine of a —  Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakrais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note  Allegiance to the Crown. Maharaja of Rewa fined for a breach of —  Allegiance to the Crown. Maharaja of Rewa fined for a breach of —  Alwar and Bhartpur. The Ruparuli river case. Direct engagements between Native States not allowed  Alwar Bhartpur Case (The). Exchange of territory  Alwar. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Alwar succession, 1862. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Alwar, 1877. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience  Alwar, Extradition frules  Maharao Eaja of —; bis honorary military rank  Alwar, Extradition Fules  Maharao Eaja of —; bis honorary military rank  Alwar Case (The), 1870, Intervention to prevent gross misrule  (The), 1892. Homicide without trial	XXI XXII XIX  V VI IX XI XII	735 771 665, 667 710 384 120B	115, 116 190, 191 215 149; 150, 15: 176, 177 157—159 139—142 162, 163
Akalkot. State Courts included in the reciprocity system  Abtari agreement, 1894. Rules preferred to formal abtari agreements with small States  Ali Band, Order of the. Proposed revival of the — by the Nizam of Hyderabad, 1885  Alions. How far subjects of Native States are —  See also "Allegiance, dooble" and paragraphs \$ 28, \$ 29, \$ 32 and \$ 659.  Alions for the British Government are to be made liable for offences under the Indian Fenal Code committed in State territory  Ali Rajpur. Nazarana prescribed in Adoption Sanad  Ali Rajpur Case (The), 1833. Employment of foreign mercenaries  Ali Rajpur Gase (The), 1833. Employment of foreign mercenaries  Ali Rajpur succession, 1883 and 1890-91. Selection when there is no one who can claim — so of right. Considerations observed in making selection. Widow adoption  All Rajpur minority, 1891. Administration entrusted to a Native Superintendent  has accepted Colonel Wylle's interstatal extradition rules  \$ Llegiance, Double. Mr. Pontifer's view of the doctrine of a —  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakrais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note  Allegiance to the Crown. Maharaja of Rewa fined for a breach of —  Alwar and Bhartpur Case (The). Exchange of territory  Alwar. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Alwar. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative succession, 1892. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Alwar. Extradition freaty amended  and Patiala, Extradition Rules  Maharao Eaja of —; his honorary military rank  Alwar Case (The), 1870, Intervention to prevent gross misrule  (The), 1892. Homicide without trial	XXI XXII XXI XXI XXI XXI XXI XXI XXI XX	735 771 665, 667 710 384 120B 147	115, 116 190, 191 215 149; 150, 15: 176, 177 157—159 139—142 162, 163
Abitari agreement, 1894. Rules preferred to formal abitari agreements with small States  Ali Band, Order of the. Proposed revival of the — by the Nizam of Hyderabad, 1885  Alions. How far subjects of Native States are —	XXII XIX XII XIIX	771 665, 667 710 384 120B 147	215 149; 150, 15: 176, 177 157—159 139—142 162, 163
Ali Band, Order of the. Proposed revival of the — by the Nizam of Hyders bad, 1885	XXII XIX XII XIIX	771 665, 667 710 384 120B 147	215 149; 150, 15 176, 177 157—159 139—142 162, 163
See also "Allegiance, double" and paragraphs \$ 28, \$ 29, \$ 32 and \$ 659.  Alien servants of the British Government are to be made liable for offences under the Indian Penal Code committed in State territory  Alipura. Nazarans prescribed in Adoption Sanad  Ali Rajpur. The British Rupce made the sole legal tender in —	XX XII VIIX	665, 667 710 384 120B 147	149; 150, 15 176, 177 157—159 139—142 162, 163
See also "Allegiance, double" and paragraphs § 28, § 29, § 32 and § 659.  Llien servants of the British Government are to be made liable for offences under the Indian Penal Code committed in State territory	XX XII V IX XI XI XIII	710 384 120B 147	176, 177 157—159 139—142 162, 163
Lien servants of the British Government are to be made liable for offences under the Indian Penal Code committed in State territory  Hightra. Nazarans prescribed in Adoption Sanad  Hi Egipur. The British Rupee made the sole legal tender in —  Hi Rajpur Case (The), 1833. Employment of foreign mercenaries  Hi Egipur Will Case. The —  Hi Egipur will Case. The —  Hi Egipur succession, 1883 and 1890-91. Selection when there is no one who can claim — as of right. Considerations observed in making selection. Widow adoption  Hi Egipur minority, 1891. Administration entrusted to a Native Superintendent  has accepted Colonel Wylle's interstatal extradition rules  Lilegiance, Double. Mr. Pontifer's view of the doctrine of a —  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakzais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note  Lilegiance to the Crown. Maharaja of Rewa fined for a breach of —  Liwar and Bhartpur. The Ruparail river case. Direct engagements between Native States not allowed  Liwar. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Rebellion of the Thakurs of —, 1870, see also paragraphs § 45, § 52, § 76, and § 80  Succession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs  Alwar succession, 1882. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Liwar, 1877. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience  Alwar succession, 1882. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Liwar, 1877. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience  Alwar succession, 1882. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Liwar, 1877. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience  Alwar is succession, 1882. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Liwar, 1877. Restrictions placed o	XII XIII	384 120B 147	157—159 139—142 162, 168
Penal Code committed in State territory	XII XIII	384 120B 147	157—159 139—142 162, 163
Hi Rajpur. The British Rupce made the sole legal tender in —	V IX XI XIII	120B 147	139—142 162, 163
All Rajpur Case (The), 1883. Employment of foreign mercenaries  All Egipur Will Case. The—  All Egipur succession, 1883 and 1890-91. Selection when there is no one who can claim— as of right. Considerations observed in making selection. Widow adoption  All Rajpur minority, 1891. Administration entrusted to a Native Superintendent has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules  Allegiance, Double. Mr. Pontifer's view of the doctrine of a—  Doctrine of a—  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a—  Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakzais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note  Allwar and Bhartpur. The Ruparail river case. Direct engagements between Native States not allowed  Allwar. Bhartpur Case (The). Exchange of territory  Liwar. Coinage undertaken for— under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to—, dropped  Rebellion of the Thakurs of—, 1870, see also paragraphs § 45, § 52, § 76, and § 80  Succession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs  Allwar, 1877. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he guined administrative experience  Allwar, Extradition treaty amended  and Patials, Extradition Rules  and Jaipur, Extradition Rules  Allwar Case (The), 1870, Intervention to prevent gross misrule  (The), 1892. Homicide without trial	XI XIII	147	162, 163
All Rajpur Will Case. The—  All Rajpur succession, 1883 and 1890-91. Selection when there is no one who can claim— as of right. Considerations observed in making selection. Widow adoption	XI XIIX		
All Ecipus succession, 1882 and 1890-91. Selection when there is no one who can claim—as of right. Considerations observed in making selection. Widow adoption	XIIX	292	PA
as of right. Considerations observed in making selection. Widow adoption  Il Rejpur minority, 1891. Administration entrusted to a Native Superintendent has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules  Doctrine of a —  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakzais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note  Illegiance to the Crown. Maharaja of Rewa fined for a breach of —  Liwar and Bhartpur. The Ruparail river case. Direct engagements between Native States not allowed  Alwar-Bhartpur Case (The). Exchange of territory  Liwar. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Rebellion of the Thakurs of —, 1870, see also paragraphs § 45, § 52, § 76, and § 80  Succession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs  Liwar succession, 1892. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Liwar, 1977. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience  Alwar. Extradition treaty amended  and Patiala, Extradition Rules  Maharao Raja of —; his honorary military rank  Alwar Case (The), 1870, Intervention to prevent gross misrule  (The), 1892. Homicide without trial	XIII		50, 51
Heappur minority, 1891. Administration entrusted to a Native Superintendent has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules  Doctrine of a —  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakzais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note  Illegiance to the Crown. Maharaja of Rewa fined for a breach of —  Iwar and Bhartpur. The Ruparail river case. Direct engagements between Native States not allowed  Iwar. Bhartpur Case (The). Exchange of territory  Iwar. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Rebellion of the Thakurs of —, 1870, see also paragraphs § 45, § 52, § 76, and § 80  Succession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs  Alwar succession, 1892. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Liwar, 1977. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience  Alwar, Extradition treaty amended  and Patials, Extradition Rules  Maharao Raja of —; bis honorary military rauk  Liwar Case (The), 1870, Intervention to prevent gross misrule  (The), 1892, Homicide without trial	XIII		
has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules		357, 360	139, 140 ; 14
Doctrine of a —	XVII	421	186, 187
Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —		582	98
Sir H. Maine's remarks on the doctrine of a —  Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakzais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note  Bear and Bhartpur. The Ruparail river case. Direct engagements between Native States not allowed  War-Bhartpur Case (The). Exchange of territory  War. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876  Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped  Rebellion of the Thakurs of —, 1870, see also paragraphs § 45, § 52, § 78, and § 80  Succession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs  Alwar succession, 1892. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure  Liwar, 1877. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience  Liwar. Extradition treaty amended  and Patials, Extradition Rules  and Bhartpur, Extradition Rules  Maharao Baja of —; his honorary military rauk  Liwar Case (The), 1870, Intervention to prevent gross misrule  (The), 1892, Homicide without trial	I	12	14
Case of divided duty, Amirulla Khan, Chief of the Orakzais being also a British-born subject, see foot-note	1	12	14
a British-born subject, see foot-note	1	12	14
Ilegiance to the Crown. Maharaja of Rewa fined for a breach of —	_		14
Awar and Bhartpur. The Ruparail river case, Direct engagements between Native States not allowed	I	12	24-26
Iwar-Bhartpur Case (The). Exchange of territory	II	20	2-20
Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped	11	19	22, 23, 24
Proposal to make a present of guns to —, dropped	11	19	22, 23, 24
Rebellion of the Thakurs of —, 1870, see also paragraphs § 45, § 52, § 76, and § 80  Succession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs	v	115	133
Succession case (The), 1874-75. Question of lapse on failure of natural or adopted heirs	vi	134	153
Alwar succession, 1892. Proposals for investment of surplus treasure	IX	308	67—72
Alwar, 1877. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till such time as he gained administrative experience	x	825, 329	98101; 106, 107
and Patials, Extradition Rules	XII	378	154, 155
and Patials, Extradition Rules			400.55
and Patials, Extradition Rules	XIII	429	193, 194
and Bhartpur, Extradition Rules	XVI	549	72—74
and Jaipur, Extradition Rules	XVII	576	94,95
Maharao Raja of —; bis honorary military rank	XVII	578	95, 96
Liwar Case (The), 1870. Intervention to prevent gross misrule	XVII	. 578	95,96
(The), 1892. Homicide without trial	XIX	658	144,145
***	III	45	59
(The), 1870. Religious questions	IV	60	82-84
mb. The will of the Nawab of — 1889	v	76	97, 98
Americans. Employment of — in Native States	IX	294	52-54
940 940 Pao		81, 90	105—107 112, 113
The general orders of 1886 regarding employment of Europeans and Eurasians in Native States apply to —	V	90	112,113
Americans and Europeans. Baroda Courts have not jurisdiction over — under the Telegraph Act	V V	182	194, 195

#### POLITICAL PRACTICE.

	Subject.		,			Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Americans, Australians or Eu	•					xx	706	173,174
- Practice of s	granting titles came ac Generalship of —	tively and sys	tematical	lly into use du	aring	XXII	759	205, 206
Amir's agents or officials in I British territory	ndia amenable to Br	itish Courts	for offen	ces committe	ed in	XIX	673	153, 154
See also "Afghanistan,	Amir of."							
Amin's almond trade. Lis	bility to Khaibar tolls	and Peshawar	city dutie	es		XIX	655	140, 141
Amir Hasan, Raja of Oudh.	Title of "Khan Bas, as an hereditary title	hadur" conf	erred on	- recognised	l, for	IIXX	782	220
Amir-i-Kabir. Particulars of	his salute as one of th	e Regents of	Hyderaba	d		XXII	817	236, 237
Amir-ulla Khan's case. D	octrine of a double alle	giance (see fo	ot-note)	***		I	12	14
Amjhera. Cession of — to Sin		***	***	***		VIII	244	2, 3
Amjhera Case (The). Punish		•••	•••	***		1	11	12-14
Ammunition, see " Manufact	ure of arms and ammu	nition" and	Act, the	Indian Arms	.»			•
	n various States	•••	•••	•••		VI	127	146, 147
supplied to Ma		•••	•••	***		VĮ	127	146,147
			•••	***		ıx	273	34
Amnesty Proclamation (The Anent Khandra and Jhanker	Cambo Cost	of In e	vercise of	f residuary	inria-		[ [	
Anant Khandra and Juanke diction a death	sentence of imprisonme	ent may not b	e enhanc	ed to a souter	ice of	xv	497	39
Angul. Punishment of - for r	rebellion	. •••	•••	***	•••	Ţ	11	12—14
Raja of — deposed for		•••	•••	***	•••	VII	210	224, 225
Anghad exempted from nazarat		y, 1887	***	***	}	XII	392	167
						ς r	7	8
Annexation. Manipur becam	e liable to the penalty	of — in 1891	••• 24	***	***	ΙĴ	13	14-17
Policy of — re	pudiated in regard to N	ative States	•••	•••		IX	273	34
	resorting to — in the		ur	***		IX	286	41-46
	s not desired by the Br			•••		IX	289	47, 48
Of Matrice State	,					( X	317	81-86
of Kashmir not	contemplated	***	***	***	•••	111	47	62, 63, 64, 65, 66
Any further e the application	xtension of the direct j	jurisdiction of pse is inexped	the Briti ient	ish Governme	nt by	x	339	114-116
<del>-</del> :	pudiated by Lord Ellen		•••	***		XX	676	155, 156
Anup Singh, Commissariat G			drawn fr	om — in 188	з	XXII	799	227
Arbitrators in disputes between ot act as						ХX	686, 687, 688	161
Archer, Captain; his note on d	ealings between Native	States and ca	pitalist <b>s</b> 1	reproduced		. v	98	118—121
Arcot. Prince of; his salut		***		•••	***	XXII	811	233, 234
	erred on Nawab Azin	Jah of —				XXII	787	222
Argaum. Defeat of the Mahra	attas at		•••	•••	***	VII	207	222, 223
Armies of Native States.			***	***		VI	129	148, 149
Atmies of Mative States.	Policy in regard to the		***	•••		vı	129, 130	148, 149; 149, 150
	The Jodhpur and Ton	k cases, 1873-7	74	•••		17	130	149, 150
	Organization of Imper			•••	•••	vı	131, 141	150—152; 158
	Strength estimated at	360,000 men.	cannot be	abolished		{ vi	131	150152
	Duties and degree of e				-	( VI	131	150152
	(foot-note)	***	•••	,,,	•••	V1	132	152

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Armies of Native States. Breech-loading rifles not to be given to Native States troops other than those organised for Imperial Service	VI	135	153—155
Conversion of unselected troops into police desirable	VI	135	153—155
Recruitment of — exclusive of Imperial Service Corps	vi	143	159, 160
Enlistment of foreign mercenaries in the	VI	143, 149	159, 160 ; 164
Rules regarding recruitment of the	VI	149	164
Recruitment of deserters from the British Army by Native States, prohibited	VI	150	164166
See also "Native States," head (10) and "Imperial Service Corps, Scheme, Troops".			
Arms. Claudestine manufacture of — in Indore	VI	124	144, 145
Control over the carriage of —, etc., on railways where Native States have ceded jurisdiction and the Arms Act is not in force, is being arranged by notification (foot-note)	VI	125	145, 146
The British Government should be the source of supply of — to Native States	vr	127	146, 147
Purchase of — by Native States in the open market should not be authorised	VI	127	146, 147
Restriction on the supply to, or purchase by, a Native State of magazine or breech-loading — (foot-note)	VI	135	153—155
Arms and Military Stores. Restrictions on importation of by Native States	VI.	125, 127	145, 146;
Arms and Ammunition. Special arrangements with Baroda for importation of — into that State	VI	126	146, 147
Arms Act, the Indian. See "Act, head (8), Miscellaneous Acts."	}		
Army. Enlistment of Native States' subjects in the British	XIX	656	141-143
Jurisdiction of Native States over Native officers and soldiers of the British — in respect of offences committed in State territory is limited	xx	708	174 175
British. Subjects of Native States eligible for enlistment in	\{\begin{aligned} \vi \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	152	167—169
British. No arrangements for giving special promotion to relatives of Ruling Chiefs or other leading men of Native States who join the — as Native officers	XIX	656, 666 (3) 666 (3), 657	141, 142; 150 150; 143, 144
Arrest of the Gaekwar outside Residency limits at the close of a Darbar	XXII	821	241-243
of the Naib Governor of Kej-Mekran by Colonel Sandeman in Darbar	XXII	821	241-243
of Jalal-ud-din in Darbar by Sir Lepel Griffin	ırzx	821	241243
of the principal Ministers of the Amir at the close of a Darbar in Kabul by General Roberts	XXII	821	241—248
Arrests should not be made in Darbar. Proposed arrest of the Senapati of Manipur, 1891	XXII	821	241243
Articles of War for Her Majesty's Indian Army. Power of the Governor-General in Council to make —	xiv	441	1—2
Artillery, its gift to Native States improbable	VI	134	153
not included in selected troops of Imperial Service scheme	VI	184	153
as an exception, Kashmir has a selected corps of mountain —	<b>V</b> I∵	140	157, 158
Aslam Khan, Sardar Muhammad; his honorary military rank	XIX	656	141—143
Asafia, Order of the. Nizam not permitted to create the — of three degrees on the English model	XXII	771	215
Ataulla Khan, Mirza; his honorary military rank	XIX	656	141-143
Athgarh. Exemption of — from nazarana expressly recognised in 1894	XII	386	159—161
Athmalik is liable to nazarana	X1I	386	159-161
Assaye. Defeat of the Mahrattas at —	VII	207	222, 223
Attachéships, Hyderabad, created for subjects of Native States and others with a view to training them for Civil or Police service under the British Government	XIX	660	146
Central India, created for subjects of Native States with a view to training them for superior service under the British Government	xıx	661	146, 147
Rajputana, created for subjects of Native States with a view to training them for superior service under the British Government	XIX	661	140 140
Aundh. Proposal for the partition of - would not be entertained (foot-note)	IX	·	146,147
Australians. The General orders regarding employment of Europeans and Eurasians in Native	14	298	56—58

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Australians. Americans or Europeans may be required by the Resident in Kashmir to leave	XX	706	173, 174
Azim-ud-din Khan's Case, Rampur, 1891-92. Delegation of jurisdiction	xv	527	59, 60
Azim-ud-din Khan (General) of Rampur. Case of the murder of Extradition of			
servants of Government to Native States	XVI	558	80, 81
See also " paragraph § 527."	,		
<b>B</b>	:		
Baghat. Restoration of the Salogra estate to — (foot-note)	VIII	252	13, 14
Baharvatia or outlawry. Practice of — in Kathiawar	AII	192	212, 213
Bahawalpur. The — case, 1866. Interference in Native States	111	50	68, 69
Question of supply of breech-loaders to unselected troops	VI	135	153155
has accepted postal unity	vı	166	181, 182
and Sindh-Kachi Kasmor Case (The), 1859. River boundaries	AIII	261	23
and Dera Ghazi Khan-Kachi Chuan Case (The), 1860	AIII	262	23—25
1879. Restrictions on the Chief's powers till he gained administrative experience. See orders of the Secretary of State	XIII	432	195, 196
Extradition arrangements	XVI	559	81, 82
Bahawalpur-Bikanir case (The), 1875. Understandings between Native States how effected	II	18	20—22
Bail. Acceptance of — in extradition proceedings	XVI	562	84
Bakhtgarh Adoption Case (The), 1869. Widow adoption expressly permitted	x	334	112
Balab Das, Seth of Jabalpur. Proposal to confer the hereditary title of "Rai Bahadur" on —,			
negatived	XXII	781	220
Balasinor. Permission to reopen mint, refused	Ÿ	117	134, 135
Balasinor-Lunawara case (The), 1885-86. Exchange of territory	II	19	22-24
Balasor. Capture of —	VII	207	222, 223
Ballabhgarh. Punishment of — for rebellion	I	11	12-14
Balwant Rao Bute and Ram Sahai, Case of, 1891. Extradition of Native servants of the British Government	XVI	557	79, 80
Bangalore Stamps Case, 1862-63	XIV	442	1, 2
Ceded jurisdiction in the Civil and Military Station of	xv	522	55, 56
Civil and Military Station. Reciprocal service of summonses and execution of decrees as between the Mysore Courts and the Civil Courts of the —	XVIII	601	111, 112
Courts. Warrants of — will not run in British territory. Purcell's case. See also case of Tota Ram and Panna Lal	XVIII /	619	120
The responsible Organian whather it should not be treated as a scheduled district	VII	218	231, 232
Frampted by torms of saned from the love of negroups	XII	336	159—161
Refusal to entertain memorials from a Lawyer in regard to the — succession	XIX	669	151
Succession case, 1870. Treaties and agreements with Ruling Chiefs are acts of State	XXI	721	182, 183
held under sanad	xxı	740	194, 195
Banki. Raja of - deposed for murder	ı	11	12
Raja of — deposed for murder, 1839	ıv	62	87
Raja of — deposed for murder and his State forfeited, 1839	VII	210	224, 225
Banpur. Punishment of - for rebellion	1	11	12
Bansda village of Bibabari. Exchange of the — for lands in the Surat District (foot-note)	VIII	252	13, 14
Bansi, Raja Ram Singh of. Deprived of his hereditary title of Raja in 1886	XXII	801	228
Banswara. Attempt of the Maharawal of — to perform the talwar bandhai ceremony at Kusalgarh	11	24	34, 35
Chief of _ not entitled to interfere in the officer of Kneelgarh	II	24	34, 35
Chief of — not envired to invertee in the shalls of Massissin	- 1	**	مره (مده

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Banswara Kusalgarh Case (The), 1869. Punishment of a Buling Chief for misconduct	ıv	63	87—89
Banswara. Chief of — punished by a reduction of his salute for descritful conduct	17	63	87-89
Banswara Case (The), 1873. Employment of foreign mercenaries	VI	144	160
Bangwara Extradition Treaty amended	xvi	549	72-74
and Partabgarh Extradition Rules	xvii	579	96
Chief of —, reduction in his salute; see paragraph §63	XXII	818	237-239
Banswara and Kusalgarh. Dispute between —, 1868. Support of Chiefs against rebellion, see also paragraph §63	ıx	312	75-77
Baoni. Nazarava prescribed in adoption sanad	XII	384	157—159
Enhanced powers conferred on the Chief	XIV	457	1315
Full criminal jurisdiction granted by sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Baragaon. See "Bundelkhand, the States of."	1		
Baraundha. The Rajs of — punished for a hostile act committed in Kamta Rajsula territory	11	20	24-26
Baraundha and Kamta Rajaula Case (The), 1892. Interstated dispute	11	20	24-26
Barbarous practices. Probibition of — in Native States	ıv	53, 59	74;80-82
Ruling Chiefs are held responsible for prevention of —	***	53, 59, 69	74;89—82; 93,94
Raja Purandar Singh's engagement to abstain from —	10	54	74-76
Chamba Raja's engagement to abstain from	ıv	54	7476
The Udaipur Case, 1863	10	54	74-76
The Jhabua Case, 1865	. 14	55	76, 77
The Faridkot Case, 1887	17	57	78, 79
The Bupal Case, 1890	737	57	78, 79
The Porbandar Case, 1869	17	58	79, 80
The Kalat Case, 1893	10	59	80-82
Barbour, Sir David, holds that we should aim at postal unity	777	165	180, 181
Bargard. Punishment of — for rebellion		11	12
Bariya. Import of muskets by —	VI	127	146, 147
Barnswall's (Captain) testimony regarding the Gaekwar's suzerainty in Kathiawar before British rule		193	212, 213
Baroda. Presentations from — to the Chicago Museum. Communications made through the Agent to the Governor-General	11	80	88-40
Case of 1873-75. Intervention to prevent gross misrule. Incorrigible misrule is a disqualification for soverign power	111	39, 42	49—54; 56, 57
Gackwar of -; question of his absenteeism	v	74	96, 97
Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	v	81	105—107
Unification of coinage not accepted by	v	118	135, 136
Circulation of copper coin of — in British territory	v	118	135, 136
Closure of mints in	V	120 A	138, 139
Special arrangements with — for importation of arms and ammunition into that	VI	126	146
Offer of the Gaekwar to contribute an Imperial Service Corps, declined	vı	141	158
has accepted postal unity	vı	166	181, 182
Cedes lands in full sovereignty for Railway purposes	vi	171	186, 187
Railway jurisdiction case, Surat and Songhad line	VI	171, 172	186, 187 ; 187
Futile resistance of to extension of the Telegraph Act to its territory	vı	182	194, 195
Telegraph Act when applied becomes a Baroda law	VI	182	194, 195
Opium arrangements	vi	185A	199, 200
Salt arrangements with —	vi	186B	204, 205
12	<u> </u>		

	Subject.		Chapter.	Paragraph,	Page.
arods.	Abkari agreement with —		VI	187	207
42 - 44	Its former overlordship in Kathiawar		VII	192, 196	212, 213; 215 216
	Exchange of lands with - (see foot-note)	•••	VIII	252	13, 14
	Boundary settlement rules extended to - and adjoining States		VIII	271	33
	The case of - considered in connection with the preservation of Native Rule		IX	280, 282	40; 41, 42
	Conditions affecting the selection of a successor to Malhar Rao Gaekwar		IX	284	42-44
	Case of 1873-75 compared with the Manipur, Mysore and Kalat cases		IX	288	47
	Minority, 1881. Leading case in regard to termination of minority and grant powers to Chief immediately on his attaining his majority	of full	XIII	427	191, 192
	Cantonment Jurisdiction Case, 1886 & 1890. Mistaken admission of — claim diction not expressly deleg British officers by the Darbar	gated to	X1A	472	24
	Jurisdiction in Thagi and Dakaiti trials in		xv	532	61, 62
	Treaty of 6th November 1817. Discussion on Art. IX of the —	•••	XVI	553	76, 77
	Extradition from and to —. The correspondence of 1876-77	*14	XVI	553, 556	76;79
	Concession made to — in 1877 in the matter of offences for which British Officemend the surrender of Baroda subjects	1	xvi	563A	85, 86
	Claim of — to a primd facie case in the matters of extradition		xvII	583, 584	9899 ; 99
	Extradition between — and the Kathiawar States (foot-note)		XVII	586	100
,	Rules for regulating the reciprocal execution of civil decrees, realisation demands and service of summouses between the — State and the — Cau	of State tonment	<b>V</b> 17777	eo z	119 114
	(foot-note)	•••	XVIII	605	113, 114
	The Dewan not to be addressed as His Excellency	•••	XXII	792	223, 224
	Cantonment. British jurisdiction exercised without any express cession	•••	XIA	480	29, 30
	ndore Case, The. Interference of one State with another	•••	II	23	28-32
eroda-J	Junagarh Pipal Tree Case, The. Interference of one State with another	•••	11	22	28-32
	See also "Prabhas Patan," paragraph § 525.				80 80
	Prachi Kund Case, The. Interference of one State with another	•••	II	22	28-32
	Somnath Temple Case, The, Interference of one State with anoth	er	11	22	28-32
	Census Case, The. Interference of one State with another	•••	11	23	28-32
aroda I	Residency. Assumption in 1890-91 that the British Government bas j	urisdic-	XIV	475	26
arrister	s. Restrictions on their employment in political cases	•••	XIX	667, 671	150—151; 152
arwani	The British Rupee made the sole legal tender in the southern part of —		V	126B	139—143
	Change of Hali Rupees in — for British Rupees		v	120B	139 -142
arwani	, 1880 and 1884. Powers of Chief restricted till he gained administrative exp	erience	XIII	435	197, 198
	bas accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	•••	XVII	582	98
astar m	nority, 1891. Managed by a Native official as Superintendent	•••	XIII	420	186
Se	ntences for more than seven years require confirmation		xv	493	37
Bayar. (	Cession of the village of — to Idar	•••	VIII	251	12, 13
Beaucler	k's (Captain) case, Hyderabad. Employment of Europeans in Native State note)	es (foot	v	88	111, 112
Bell <b>ary</b> a	nd Hyderabad, Kitanur Gadda case (The), 1872. River boundaries		VIII	265	26, 27
Bengal C	Chamber of Commerce. Recommendation of the — that the rules of 11 the execution in Native States of the dec Courts in British India should be reconsidered	rees of	XVIII	594	107
	t, Lord William. Resolution of 1829 by the Government of -, recognising to portance of granting titles to Natives and laying down the grounds on which	he im-	IIXX	759	205, 206
3entinck	would be granted	•		140	
	would be granted	es	1	5	6, 7

		SUBJECT.				}	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,
erar. C	Ceded jurisdiction in —. See ale	o paragraph §5	140	111	***		xv	521	55
•	sire of the widow to adopt overr	aled (see foot-note	e)	•••			x	333	111, 1
	zarana prescribed in adoption sa	nad ban			•••	***	XII	384	1571
	nd Gopal, Jagirdar of the Pu		anad gran	ated to — in	1889		XI	370	143, 1
	i or security. Use of - in Kat		***	•••	•••		VII	192	212, 2
	i. Question of restoring the par		onthal	•••	•••		VIII	<b>2</b> 5 <b>6</b>	171
	r-Alwar case, The. Exchange		•••	•••	•••	•••	11	19	22—2
-	r and Alwar. The Ruparail riv		ngageme	nts between	Native St	ates			
	not allowed	4++	***	•••	•••	•••	II	19	22-2
	Treaty provisions as to the em	ployment of Euro	peans and	the like	•••	***	V	81	105—1
	Superscription on its coinage	***	•••	•••	•••	•••	▼	106	125—1
	Manufacture at — of Gwalior	•	•••	***	•••	•••	V	110	131
	Manufacture of ammunition is		•••	•••	***	•••	VI	127	146, 1
	Succession. A Ruling Chief i note and § 436)	•••	•••	•••	•••		ıx	293	51, 5
	Succession, 1893. Arrangeme	nts made for the	support	of member	s of the ru	ling	XII	380	15
	Council of Regency, 1853	A	•••	•••	•••		XIII	425	190,
	Chief assisted in 1869-71 in g						2111	120	100,
	perience	-		Samed Man	***		XIII	428	192,
	Succession, 1893-94. Restrict	ions imposed on a	uthority	of Chief th	ough 21 y	ears	XIII	436	19
•	Extradition treaty amended	***	•••	•••	•••	•••	XVI	549	72-
	and Alwar, Extradition Rules	***	***	•••	•••		XVII	578	95,
	and Karauli, Extradition Bule	s	•••	`	•••	•••	XVII	578	95,
	and Jaipur, Extradition Rules	***	•••	***	•••		XVII	578	95,
	Case of 1893. Darbar asked late Mahuraja, in British te	o dispose of certain	in landed	property b	elonging to	the	XIX	648	13
	Question raised by Politics	1 Agent in 1869	in regs	ard to con	position of	bis	xx	699	169,
atkhe	ri. Case of the Thakur of — Chiefs in Central India	referred to; juri	sdiction	in the case	of mediat	ised		F00	۱ ,
191190	ar. Eastern portion of — assi	rnod to the Best-	•••	•••	•••	***	XV	502	43,
					•••		VII	196	215,
	Restoration to the Thak Sihore		eastern I	portion of	his State	vith	VII	196	215,
1	Cession case (The), 1876.	Cessions of terri	to <b>ry</b>	•••	•••	]	VIII	246	6,
	Refusal of — to serve Bri	tish summonses wi	ithout rec	iprocity	•••		XVIII	598	109,
	With other States appeals ing jurisdiction over the	against the orders Kathiawar Agenc	of the B	ombay Gove	ernment reg	ard-	xx	709	175,
	Chief of -; increase to hi			r	•••		XXII	818	237—
hopal.	The Begam's Consort deprived public affairs				to interfer	in	111	48	66, 67
	Case of 1885. A Native Admi	nistration preferre	d for a N	ative State	•••		III	48	68,
	Importation of slaves into -		•••	***	•••	•••	· <b>v</b>	103	123, 1
•	Closure of mints in	***	•••	***	***		V	120A	138,
	the currency case of 1894	***	•••	•••	•••	***	v	120A	138,
	Manufacture of ammunition in		•••	•••	***	***	VI	127	146,
	Troublesome presence of Wilay		•••	•••			VI	143	163,
	Cession of territory to				•••	•••		244	2,
	Female succession. Limited p	 Livilega alaimad b	··· v nobles i	in salaation a	er.	•••	VIII		
	Case of Sala-ud-din. Jurisdic	ion delegated L.	Dulies i	Mhine += - T	or onier	. ***	XI	345	126,
	has accepted Colonel Wylie's in		· writing (	omer to # 1	THE OUTCO	· ••• [	XV	515	5:

	Subject.				Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Bhopal.	Objections to the purchase in Calcutta of certain of	n house prope	rty by the B	Begam	XIX	640	135, 136
	Currie's case; question of expulsion of British subject	s from Native	States		xx	706	173, 174
	Territorial grant to — made by sanad		***		XXI	740	194, 195
	Nawab Sadik Hasan of — deprived of his title and s	alute in 1885	***		XXII	800	227
	Nawab Consort of —; deprivation of salute. See par		***	•••	XXII	819	237, 239
	Nawab Consort of —, his life salute		***	***	XXII	818	237-239
	ar Currency Case, 1894. The unification of coinage i	n India			v	120B	139—142
	ate not to be pressed to accept postal unity	***	***	***	vi	166	181, 182
Bhuj Con	tonment jurisdiction case, 1890-91. Rejection of Bom antonments and civil stations in State territory to be p ligh Court cannot be substituted for the Governor in C hese places. The disposal of revenue from Cantonment because of agreement, with the authority imposing it	art of the Bor ounicl as final C ts in State terri	o declare conbay Preside	ency.	XIV	474	25, 26
Br	tish jurisdiction exercised without any express cession	***	•••	]	XIV	480	29, 30
Bhutan.	Cession of territory to the Deb Raja of -, 1884, trea	ted as a bounds	ry case		viii	252	13, 14
	azarana prescribed in adoption sanad	***	•••		XII	384	157-159
Bijaragh	ogarh. Punishment of - for rebellion	•••	•••		1	11	12
Bijawar.	Enhanced powers conferred on the — Chief	•••	•••		xiv	457	1315
Bikanir	Case of 1870-72. Reluctance of Government to inter-	fere in Native	States		III	46	5962
	Copper coin made in the Calcutta Mint for — and British India	declared to be	legal tend	er in	v	120	136—138
	and Hyderabad. Territorial dispute between —	•••	100		VIII	242	1, 2
	Cession of territory to —	•••	•••		VIII	244	2, 3
	Case of the Sidhs of —, 1875	•••	•••		ıx	310	73, 74
	Disaffection of the Thakurs of —, 1870-72, 1880-83.	See also parag	raph §46		1x	312	75-77
	Succession, 1887. Precautions for the safety of State	e property and	the Zanana	•••	XII	875	154
	Extradition treaty amended		•••		XVI	549	72-74
	and Jind, Extradition Rules	***	•••		XVII	576	94, 95
	and Nabha, Extradition Rules	•••	•••		XVII	576	94, 95
	and Loharu, Extradition Bules	•••	•••		XVII	576	94, 95
	and Jaisulmir, Extradition Rules	•19	•••		XVII	580	96
	territorial grant to — made by sanad	***	•••		XXI	740	194, 195
Bikanir	Bahawalpur Case (The), 1875. Understandings	between Native	s States		11	18	20-22
Bikenir	Jodhpur Railway Case (The), 1888-89. Under	standings betwe	en Native S	tates	11	18	20—22
Bikanir	Jodhpur Loan Case (foot-note)	•••	•••		11	23	82-84
Bilaspu	Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave dealing	***	***		v	101	122
	Part of — held under sanad	***	•••		xxi	740	194, 195
Bill to	epeal the regulations applicable to the Orissa Tributary	Mahals	***		VII	224	235, 236
to 1	romote reciprocity in execution of certain criminal pro	cesses by Britis	h Courts w	hether			
	No to maroma	•••			XVIII	619	120
	Tife coints of the Weberrai of	•••	***	***	XII	386	159—161
Darram	Makanda of his life salate	***	•••	•••	XXII	811	238, 234
Bomber	Government. Views of the — as to the political	status of Kothi	444	••• ]	XXII	818	237 - 239
_	Native States. All — have accepted poetal unity			•••	VII	197	216, 217
mu=)	Opium arrangements with —	and a work a		-"	VI	166	181, 182
	Salt arrangements with	***	***	***	VI IV	185A	199, 200
	Abkari arrangements in —	•				186A 186C	203, 204; 205, 206
	enwers arranRamenta in	***	***		VI	187	207

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Bombay Native States. Adoption sanads granted in 1889 to certain —	XI	856	138, 139
Discussion as to decrees and summonses of State Courts and British  Courts in State territory, 1880-85	XVIII	597, 600	109; 111
Courts in — included in the system of reciprocity in regard to execution of decrees and service of summonses	XVIII	612	115, 116
Why political control is exercised over some of the — by District Collectors	ΧV	484	<b>3</b> 2, 33
Bombay Presidency. Residuary jurisdiction in the —	ΧV	484, 492	32, 83 86, 37
Non-jurisdictory Chiefs in the —	XV	485	83
Interstatal extradition in the —	XVII	<b>583</b>	98, 99
Bombay State territory. Recognition of British and State Courts in — whose decrees may be executed by Courts in British India	XVIII	597, 600	109, 111
Bonai. See "Chota-Nagpur Tributary Mehale."			
liable to nazarana though subject to periodical revision of tribute	XII	391	164
Border Panchayats, Rajputana. Revised rules for the	IIVX	574, 575	93,94; 94
Border Courts, Rejputane and Guzerat	XVII	575	94
Boundaries between two or more States or between Native and British territory. Only the Paramount Power can fix the	VIII	241	1
Boundary dispute between two States. The question of sovereignty in a — might be decided by a Boundary Officer appointed by British authorities	LIII	242	1, 2
Boundary Settlement Rules of 1877 for Rajputana, Central India and the Punjab	VIII	270	8188
Boundaries between the Orissa Tributary Mahals and British territory how to be determined	VIII	271 A	38
Boundary Settlement Rules extended to Barods and adjoining States and Districts	VIII	271	83
Breach of engagement. Makrai Chief punished for a	IV	64	89
Jhalawar Chief punished for a —	IV	65	89, 90
Breech-loaders. Objection to import of Martini-Henry rifles into Hyderabad territory by	VI	135	153-155
Breech-loading weapons. Clandestine manufacture of — in Indore	VI	124	144, 145
supplied to selected but not to unselected troops	VI	135	153, 155
objection to the supply of arms of precision to Native	VI	135	153, 155
Purchase of — by a noble of Hyderabad	VI	185	153, 155
Bribery. Question of the extradition of persons charged with —. Extradition may be granted under Section 14 of the Act for offences not named in its Schedule	xvı	545	70
British enactments may be applied but cannot be extended to State territory	. XIV	440	1
may extend as personal laws to subjects and servants of the British Government in State territory	XIV	442	2, 3
Form of notification for applying — to State territory under British jurisdiction	XV	520▲	<b>54,</b> 55
See "Acts relating to the extent of —."		}	
British Government (The) is the sole arbiter in interstatal disputes	II	20, 83	24-26; 43
(The) the only independent sovereign in India	111	85	44, 45
(The) will not surrender its right to interfere in Native States	111	46A, 53	61, 62 ; 72, 7
The term may be used on behalf of Government as a contracting party in agreements with Native States	XXI	712	178, 179
British Indian and State territory distinguished	VII	235	241
Tests for discriminating between —	VII	240	250-253
British Indian territory. Three kinds of	VII	233	240
Power of the East India Company to cede	VIII	243	2
The Crown competent to cede — without intervention of Parliament	VIII	243, 259	2;21,22
See also "British territory."			

SCRIECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,
British jurisdiction in State territory		439-540	165
British management in Native States. Selection of Native officers in preference t	ZIII	419	185, 186
British Officers. Execution of — by a Native Darbar is murder	.   1	8	810
British Officials. Bules regarding transfer of - to service in Native States	. 🔻	91	113, 114
British Officials, Europeans and the like in State territory. Position of	. xx	67 <del>4</del> 710	155177
British protected person defined in Persian Coast and Islands Order, 1889	. u	29	<b>37, 3</b> 3
British Residents at the Courts of Native States. Sanctity attached to the lives of	ıx	281	40, 41
British subject(s), Meaning of the expression	XIV	441	1, 2
Liability of - for offences committed in State territory	xiv	414	4
carry with them into State territory as a personal law their liability under the Indian Criminal Codes		444	4
and public servants. Indian Acts which extend as personal laws to —	XIV	445	4, 5
See also "Acta."  European — British criminal jurisdiction over —	XIV	446	5
Buling of 1871 that Native State Courts cannot be permitted to tr	XIV	446	5
Question whether, in the absence of proved necessity, any State Court should be given any power over European —	. xiv	447	5, 6
Undesirability of trying European — by a court-martial composed o officers belonging to State troops, Hyderabad	XIV	448	6, 7
Prerogative of the Government of India to exercise jurisdiction over Europeans in Native States	VIII	449	7, 8
Jurisdiction over European — in Travancore and Cochin	XIV	<b>4</b> 50	8, 9
It is the right of —, while obeying the Travancore Courts, to invoke the aid of the Resident, and the duty of the Resident to give aid is required; and it is the duty of the Travancore Courts to consult the Resident when they find that they have to deal with one who claims to be a —		450	8, 9
Discretion to be exercised by a Political Officer in leaving — to be tried by Native States Courts	XIV	450	8, 9
European officials in Travancore and Cochin empowered in 1875 to tr European — in certain cases	T T T	451	9, 10
Jurisdiction exercised by the Madras High Court over European — i Travancore and Cochin	XIV	<b>4</b> 51	9, 10
Jurisdiction over European — charged with crime committed in a Native State is one of the many undefined matters which the Eritish Government has a right to regulate		452	10, 11
European —. Trial in British Courts of European — for offences com mitted in Native States. Former state of the law	A 2 to	452	10, 11
Rulings in the Liddell Case, 1873, relating to the question of jurisdiction over European — in Native States	717	452	10, 11
Jurisdiction of Native States over European	XIV	452	10, 11
Decision that European shall not be tried by State Courts at all	. XIV	453	11, 12
The effect of the Nizam's sanad of 1861 in regard to jurisdiction ove European — for offences committed by them in Hyderabad territories	XIV	449	7, 8
Each case of acquisition of land by — in Native States to be decided o its own merits	T T T	705	173
Residence of a — in a Native State. Political Officer cannot prohibit the — nor can be take an active part in expelling such a person from the State even though his presence is objectionable	)	706	178, 174
Authority delegated to the Resident in Kashmir to require a — whose presence is objectionable in the State to leave it	xx	706	173, 176
residing in a Native State. If necessary to expel a — from the Stat Political Officer to confine himself to sauctioning expulsion and seein that it is managed without unnecessary violence or scandal	xx	- 706	173, 174
See also a European British subjects."			
British territory. No Indian Legislature can validly declare that the enactments of succeeding Legislatures are not to apply to —	10717	239	248-250

								}	
		Subject.					Chapter.	Peregraph.	Page.
British territor	y. Unsuccessf	ul attempts of the Gove hether any particular te	ernment of l	ndia to of	tain the pow	er to	VIII	241	1
•		to the transfer of — to			***		VIII	253, 258	14-16;
	-						~, <b>(</b> )	650, 651,	20, 21
•		ns in — must be govern		h law	•••	***	XIX {	652, 653, 654, 655	188-14
		British Indian territory	.~				. 🔻	105	124, 125
Bundelkhand.	-		•••	•••	•••	" ]	VII	289	248-250
		by the Peshwa, 1804	•••	•••	. ***	"	VII	239	_
	•	Question of their state		Concerns	•••	"	VII	239	248-250 248-250
		Chiefships in — not pe				in l	***	ទទំន	2-50200
	powers	veen the Treaty and S	States	111 — 88	regards luc		xv	504	45
	Extension of th	ne judicial powers of soc	ne of the Ch	iefs of -	, <b>1867</b> , & <b>18</b> 8	7	XV.	505, 506	45
	Judicial powers	s of certain Chiefs of —	– defined, 18	887	•••	•••	XV,	506	45
	Principles laid	down in 1890 to guide	the discret	ion of th	e Political A		xv	507A	46
		judicial trials  — have accepted the in	toretatel and	endition -	nlae	***	XVII	507A 582	98
		Chiefs and others have	•	-		thain	AyM		
		British territory	and oeen I	bresser t	orisbose or	tneir	XIX.	649	138
Bundelkhand (	Chiefs, Powe	rs of the	•••		•••		ΧV	504	45
Bundelkhand (	Ihiefships o	continued or granted by	sanads	•••	•••	•••	XXI	740	194, 19
Dundelkhand	States have ac	ccepted Colonel Wylie's	interstatal	Extraditio	n Bules	***	xvII.	582	98
Bundi Extradition	a Treaty, 1868.	The English version of	f a treaty is	the accep	red text	***	XXI	715	180
Extradition	n Treaty, amende		•••	••	•••	•••	XVI	549	72-74
Drydsan, Life	salute of the M	Isbaraja of —	•••	•••	•••	•••	XXII	811, 818	233, 234 237—23
Buxma, Thibaw 8 territo	Sawbya. Amen	nable to British Law for	r offences o	ommitted	by him in B	ritish •••	xix	673	153, 15
Burma Descripti		of khilats to accompa	ny sanads,	which ma	y be confere	**	XXII	796	226
,		a — of subjects of Nati	ve States as	British I	ndian subject	 	11.	27	85; 86
		C							
Cambay. Restr	ictions on impor	rt of arms	•••	•••	•••	•••	VI	125	145, 14
Title	of His Excellen	cy for the Dewan of —	objected to	·	•••	•••	XXII	792	228, 22
Naws	d of — ; withdr	awal of his salute sanct	ioned but re	mitted	••• .	•••	XXII	818	287—28
Cambay Case (	(Tha), 1890. In	atervention on account	of misrule	***	•••	***	. 111	51	69, 7
•	80	apport of Chiefs against	t their subje	ata Saa		. E E E	12	812	75, 7
•				cus pedi	dso paragrap	n 3or		1	
Canadian Miss	donaries Ca	se (The), 1883. Relig	•		•	in Sor	v	79	101, 10
		se (The), 1883. Relig	rious questio		•	•	v	79 endix A, Vol	1
Canning (Lord),	him despatches o	. ,	gious questio		ive States	•••	v	1	11.
Canning (Lord), Canning's (Lord	his despatches of Adoption Sand	on the adoption question ads. Distribution of -	rious question  —, 1862  iption of ti	ons in Nat	ive States	ad re-	V Apj	endix A, Vol.	II. 85, 8
Canning (Lord), Canning's (Lord Canning (Lord	his despatches of d) Adoption San l). Despatch of commen in India	on the adoption question ads. Distribution of — ! 1859 suggesting describing that they be at the	rious question 1 1862 iption of ties disposal or	ons in Nat	en's represen	ad re-	V App IX XXII	endi <b>z A, V</b> ol. <b>27</b> 6	206, 20
Canning (Lord), Canning's (Lord Canning (Lord	his despatches of d) Adoption San l). Despatch of commen in India	on the adoption question ads. Distribution of — f 1859 suggesting descriding that they be at the control of the	rious question  1  1862  iption of ties disposal of the disposal of the	ons in Nat	granted an	ad re-	V App	endix A, Vol. 275 760	206, 20 17
Canning (Lord), Canning's (Lord Canning (Lord	his despatches of d) Adoption San l). Despatch of commen in India	on the adoption question ads. Distribution of —  1859 suggesting describing that they be at the Leading case of 1875  Rajama's case, Security	rious question  1  1862 iption of ti e disposal of ti e disposal of ti	ons in Nat	granted an	ad re-	V App IX XXII XIV XIV	endix A, Vol. 275 760 461	206, 20 17
Canning (Lord), Canning's (Lord Canning (Lord	his despatches of d) Adoption San l). Despatch of commen in India	on the adoption question ads. Distribution of — f 1859 suggesting describing that they be at th Leading case of 1875 Rajama's case, Secun Co-ordinate or divided in stations of the Hyd independently of 1	rious question  1  1.862  iption of ti e disposal of   derabad, 187  il jurisdiction  derabad Cont	ons in Nat	granted and en's representation of the states of the state	ad re- itative ent	V App IX XXII XIV XIV	760 461 468	206, 20 17 17—1
Canning (Lord), Canning's (Lord Canning (Lord	his despatches of d) Adoption San l). Despatch of commen in India	on the adoption question ads. Distribution of — 1859 suggesting describing that they be at th Leading case of 1875 Rajama's case, Secun Co-ordinate or divided in stations of the Hyd independently of I: Nizam Precedent as to the	n 1862 iption of ti e disposal o derabad, 183 il jurisdiction ierabad Cont nternational	tles to be the Que	granted an en's represented inconvenients	and re- ntative ent sserted of the	V App IX XXII XIV XIV XIV	760 461 462 468	206, 207 17 17—1: 22 28, 2
Canning (Lord), Canning's (Lord Canning (Lord	his despatches of d) Adoption San l). Despatch of commen in India	on the adoption question ads. Distribution of — 1859 suggesting describing that they be at the Leading case of 1875 Bajama's case, Secun Co-ordinate or divided in stations of the Hyd independently of In Nizam	derabad, 187 derabad Contineernational manner in See also B	ties to be the Que	ed inconvenients may be a paragraph § 4	ent pplied,	V App IX XXII XIV XIV XIV XIV	760 461 468	206, 20 17 17—1

Page.	Paragraph.	Chapter.	· ·	JECT.	SUE	1
24; 26	472, 474	XIV	Courts to con-	applied to Cantonments in State addification and with power to the model with such alterations as me to the matter before the Court	detailed m	antonment Jurisdiction.
24, 25	473	xiv	- without refer-	by the British Government of Darbars concerned	Assumption ence to the	
24, 25 &	473, 480	xıv	n a Native State	British Cantonment is located ction of the British Government	Whenever a	
29, 80 25, 26	474	xiv	ish Cantonments	inexpedient to declare that Bri	It would be	
	484	,	the proceeds of exists as to the a disposal must	eneral rule as to the disposal of except that where no agreement ment of revenue so obtained,	There is no g taxation, e	
25, 26	474	XIV		he authority by which the taxa		•
28, 29	479	XIV	matter of fact.	diction in cautonments exists as	-	
29, 80	480	XIV		wne's minute, 1892		
178	157	Δ1	•••			Cantonment(s). Location of
17	461	XIV	in Native States	British Legislature to British -		
17	461	XIV	***		British — in l	
17; 25 &	461, 474	XIV			in within	
17-19	462	xıv	he — of Secun-	Resident at Hyderabad within	rercised by the	Authority enderabed
17—19 19, 20	462, 464	xiv	omplete civil and resons and things	stablished in State territory the the British Government over p the limits so occupied	urisdiction of	criminal j
19	463	XIV		cedure Code to the Nimach	f the Civil Pro	Extension o
19	463	· xiv	•••	ious —, 1873	diction in var	British juri
19	463	xiv	orarily employed	powers on Military officers tem	of Magisterial gistrates	Conferment as — Mag
19, 20	464	xıv		41	risdiction, 187	Deesa — ju
19, 20	464	xIV	ersons and things	rument to full jurisdiction over pritory is not open to question	British Gove in Native ter	Claim of the within —
21	465	XIV	- 1	al Law quoted in support of B	om Internation nin State terri	
21, 22	466	xiv	***	pplied to — in State territory	•	
22	467	XIV	ates regulated by	or officers in — within Native 8		Powers of F
					antonment jur	
25, 26	474	xiv	clare them to be of India. Bhuj		ons in State te combay Presid	Cantonments and Civil Stati
93, 94	69	īv	•••	oy a Ruling Chief without trial	t be inflicted l	Capital punishment may no
			da Railway case, reference, 1881; the Hyderabad 1883-88; the	ect dealings between Native; ermitted. The Hyderabad-Cha 877; Lord Claude Hamilton's bdul Hukk's first scheme, 1882 Deccan) Mining Company cas	p 1 <b>A</b> (	Capitalists or Financial A
115-122	95100	<b>v</b>	assumed by Gov-	habua Cotton Ginning Factory of position of intermediary to be	The	
239	281	VII	Chota Nagpur	nment in negotiations between biefs and —	. c	
		. [		"Native States," head (8) (iv).		
206, 207	760	XXII	***			Carnatic Titles. Fr. Balfou
219	779	XXII	***	rely personal courtesy titles	-	•
50, 51	514	XV	***	Distinction drawn between —	risdiction.	Ceded and Delegated Jui
53	519	XΫ	•••	100	***	Ceded Jurisdiction
53; 55; 55, 56	519, 521, 522	xv	ation in regard		ence suggested ne exercise of -	eded Jurisdiction. Reference to the

		Svi	BJECT.					Chapter,	Paregraph.	Page.
Ceded Jurisdi	ation within	. Railway land	g		1112	***		xv	520	53, 54
Ceded Jurisai		rar. See also )		5	•••	•••		xv .	621	55
		nces of, in Rail			•••	•••	•••	xv	520	53, 54
	LDStRi	Berar	way Lanus	***		•••	•••	XV	521	65
		Ramand	irna	•	•••		•••	xv	522	55
			ina Salt Sou	***	***	***		xv	522	55
				irces	•••	•••	•••	XV	522	55
		Abu	•••	•••	•••		•••	XV	522	
		Kasumt		•••	•••	***	***	XV	522 522	55
		-	Conl Fields		***	•••	***	_ `		55
		•	Project Ca	mps	•••	•••	•••	XV	522	55
		llaneous instan		•••	•••	***	•••	ΧV	522	55
		of Civil Station		•	-	•••	•••	XV	523	56,57
Central India.	Small States	in — to be lef	t out of Im	perial Serv	vice scheme	•••	•••	VI	138	156, 15
	Opium arrang	gements with S	states of —	•	<b>;···</b>	***	•••	VI	185	198, 19
	Salt arranger	ments with Sta	tes in —	•••	•••	•••	•••	VI	186	201—20
	Boundary Se	ttlement Rules	for —	•••	•••	•••	•••	VIII	270	31-33
	Causes of B	ritish mediatio kurs of —	n between	the super	ior Native	powers a	nd the	· <b>xv</b>	500	41, 42
	- •	Chiefships. R	esidnary in	risdiction i	in the —	•••	••••	xv	500, 503	41, 42;
	General prin	ciples, proposed	l by Mr. He	envey, for	guidance in	dealing w		xv	501, 502	42, 43
	Powers of Poin 1883 an	olitical Officers d 1891	in the exe	rcise of re	esiduary jur	isdiction	defined 	xv	508	43, 44 46, 47
•	Jurisdiction i	in Thagi and D	akaiti trial	s in <del>-</del>		***	•••	xv	535	63
	Approval of	Colonel Wylie's	rules for i	nterstatal	extradition	in —		ΧVII	582	98
	Local Corps i	n to furnisl	a escorts to	Political (	Officers if th	ey require	e them	XX	69 <b>9, 70</b> 0	169, 170;
	Sealing of tre	nties concernin	g certain te	erritorial e	zohanges w	ith Sindia		XXI	714	180
entral Provinc	es. Origin	of the Zamindo	eris and Ch	iefsbips in	the —	***	•••	VII	203	220, 221
	Classifi	cation of the Z	amindaris:	and Chiefe	hips in the			VII	205	<b>2</b> 21, 22 <b>2</b>
	Compar	roiaivib <b>t</b> o nosi	of soverei	gnty in K	athiawa <b>r a</b> n	d in the -		VII	206	222
	Grant o	of adoption san of their limited	ads to the f	eudatory (				VII	206	222
	Certain Briti	States placed sh Governmen	under ma t subject to	nagement Europear	of Native	officials	of the	riix	419	185, 186
	Questio dinti	n of notifying	the powers	of Britis		_		XIV	459	16
enkal Provinc				***	***	***	"	111	86	4547
w 10 2-4 4 4 4 4 4	os omeis.	Case of the -	- considere	d in conne	ection with	 the distri	bution	111	36	4547
		(The) case, 10 ment and N	865. Divis	ion of sov			1	vit	203, 205	220, 221 <b>2</b> 21, 222
		Influence of the	e Kathiawa				•	VII	204	221, 222
entral Provinc	es Fendat			••• d noetal nr	nite	•••	•••	VI	166	181, 18
		2			on in the —	•••		xv	493, 497	87, 39
			_	•			acting	4	200, 101	2,,00
			the —	LOS PT	isoners Act,	, 1871, &II	ecting	ΧV	494, 496	87; 88,
eremonial and	Titles	***	***	***	•••	•••		XXII	758—821	205-24
T	he Viceroy de	termines preced	lence in Da	rbars, etc.	Jodhpur	case, 1870		XXII	820	239—24
	refusal on the	e part of a ruli in a Darbar or	ng Chief to other State,	accept the	position as of disrespec	t to Her M	him by Injesty	XXII	820	239—24
eremonies. I	nterchange of	between Ru	ling Chiefe	may he ne	objectional	مام		11	24, 33	84, 35;

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Cessions of territory cannot be made by one State to another	11	19	22—24
to Native States can be made only by the authority of the Paramount Power	<b>V</b> III	241	1
British Government snother except through the	VIII	242, 259	1, 2; 21, 22
may be made by the Crown without intervention of Parliament	AIII	243	2
Power of the East India Company to make	AIII	243	2
in time of peace. Practice of the Government of India in making -	AIII	244	2, 3
to certain Native States mentioned	VIII	244	2,3
The Government of India is the sole judge of the considerations of State policy by which such cessions must be determined	<b>V</b> 111	245	3, 6
The document by which they are made has no efficacy except as evidence of cession	AIII	245, 259	3, 6; 21, 22
The form of document by which they are made is immaterial	VIII	245, 259	3, 6; 21, 22
The Rampur cession case, 1869	VIII	245, 217	3, 6; 7, 8
The Bhaunagar cession case, 1876	VIII	246	6, 7
The French Pettas case, 1884	AIII	248	8, 9
Difference between a cession to a Native State and a cession to a foreign power	VIII	248	8, 9
General orders of 1886 as to the procedure to be followed in making —	VIII	249	9, 10
The Jhansi and Morar case, 1886	VIII	250	10, 12
Circumstances under which legislation may be necessary in consequence of a cession	viii {	250, 251, 252, 259	10, 12; 12, 13 13, 14; 21, 22
The Idar cession case, 1869-1882	VIII	251	12, 13
Restoration of the Salogra estate to Baghat with sanction of the Secretary of State (foot-note)	VIII	252	13, 14
Government of India generally opposed to transfer of British territory to Native States, except under special circumstances	VIII	258	20, 21
Question of guaranteeing protection to inhabitants of British territory transferred to a Native State	VIII	258, 259	20, 21 21, 22
Unimportant transfers of British territory to Native States sanctioned by the Government of India without reference to the Secretary of State:—			}
Cession to the Deb Raja of Bhutan	VIII	252	13, 14
Transfer of the village of Lakhnaur to Patiala	VIII	252	13, 14
Exchange of land with the Jind State on the Western Jumna Canal (see foot-note)	VIII	252	13, 14
Exchange of the Raja of Bansda's village of Bibabari for certain lands in the Surat District (see foot-note)	VIII	252	13, 14
Exchange with Jind of land in Western Jumna Canal (foot-note)	VIII	252	18,14
Exchange of the Bansda village of Bibabari for lands in Surat District (foot-note)	VIII	253	13, 14
Objections to the transfer of British territory to Native rule:—			
Cases illustrating the abore:—  Treaty of 1860 with Sindhia. Refusal to cede Kunch	VIII	253	14 -16
Cession to Sindhia of certain British Districts, many years under Pritish rule, objected to by the Court of Directors in 1855 (foot-note)	IIIV	253	14-16
Cessions prohibited to Native States of any British territory without previous sauction of the Home Government (foot-note)	VIII	253	14—16
Ajmere and Kishangarh case, 1870-74	VIII	255	17
Refusal to restore Bharauli to Keonthal	Ani	256	17—19
Cession of Tangacheri to Travancore, disallowed	. VIII	257	19, 20
The Porahát case	VIII	258	20, 21
The Mewar-Merwara case (see foot-note and paragraph § 742)	VIII	258	20, 21
Proposed rectification of boundary between the Hyderabad State and the Bombay Presidency (see foot-note)	VIII	258	20, 21

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Cessions of territory. Orders of 1862-63 as to securing existing rights in cases of —	AIII	254	16, 17
Holkar's proposal to purchase the fort of Sindwa. In transfers of territory, the interests of the inhabitants are to be considered (foot-note).	VIII	254	16, 17
Cession of Wakna to Keonthal	VIII	256	17, 19
Negotiations with Keonthal regarding the cession of the Cheog forest to	.,,,,		
Government	VIII	256	17, 19
Complaints evoked by the transfer of British territory to Native rule	VIII	256	17, 19
Negotiations with Keonthal regarding jurisdiction in Kasumti	VIII.	256	17. 19
Proposed exchange of the Bijsipur-Dhanpur tract, in Rampur, for two British villages	VIII	258	20, 21
Summary of the principles on which they are governed	VIII	259	21, 22
Chamba (The) case, 1872. Interference in Native States	ш	50	68, 9
Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave dealing	▼	101	122
Succession Case (The), 1870. Military aid to a Native State	1%	311	74
1873. Under European Superintendency	XIII	409	180
1884. Restrictions on the Chief's power till he gained administrative experience	XIII	431	195
and Kashmir extradition rules do not require prima facis proof	ZV1I	. 587	100, 101
Forest Lease; agreement of 1887 supplementary to drafted in lawyer's phraseology	XXI	720	181,182
held under sanad	12.2	740	194, 195
Chamba-Kashmir Extradition Case (The), 1875. Understandings between Native States	11		90 00
The makes family 1984. Claims of — to the handitum title of Dair	XXII	18 775, 777	20-23
Thomdura's Cuga Hudamhad 1909. Extradition of reisonors	XVI	564	217;218,21
Chang Bhakar. See "Chota-Nagpur Tributary Mahala."		. 00+	
The saned greated in 1948 to the Chief of not constianed	VII	232	239, 240
Charkhari (The), Case 1879. Reforms in a Native State should be effected through the ruler	111	51B	72
Cession of territory to —	VIII	244	2, 8
Adoption Case (The), 1880. Succession recognised and widow adoption tacitly		233	","
permitted	x	, 884-	112
1881-86. Administration by the real father of the adopted son during minority	XIII	414	182, 183
1892. Reservation of the Chief's powers till he gained administrative experience	XIII	429	193, 194
Position of a Superintendent of a Native State defined in the — case, 1881	XIV	457	18, 14, 15
Enhanced powers conferred on the Superintendent during a minority	XIV	457	13, 14, 15
Enhancement of criminal judicial powers of Chief referred to in foot-note	XV	506	45
Charter of January 14, 1758, granted by George II to the E. I. Company	VIII	248	2
Cheog Forest. Negotiations with Keonthal regarding the cession of the — to Government	AIII	256	17—19
Chhaliar Adoption Case (The), 1888-90. Adoption by a widow not permitted	X	837	113
Chhatarpur. Nazaraua prescribed in adoption sanad	XII.	884	157—159
1887. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till he gained administrative experience	žIII	429	193, 194
Enhancement of criminal judicial powers of Chief referred to in foot note	xv	506	45
re-granted by sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Chhatarsal, Raja. See "Bundelkhand, the States of."	<u> </u>	Ī	
Chhuikhadan. Sentences for more than seven years require confirmation	xv	498	87
Chiefs, Ruling. See "Ruling Chiefs."			1
Chiefships. Successions in Muhammadan and other —	XI	340, 370	117 ; 148, 14
Chikkli. See "Mehwasi Chiefshipe."			
Chikhli Estate exempted provisionally from Nazarana, 1888	XII	394	165
Chimnabi's case. Secunderabad Cantonment jurisdiction, 1887-89. Mixed Court discontinued		i	
420 040 440 440	XIV	471	24

	Subject.					Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Chirgson. Punishment of — for re	ebellion	***	+44	***		1	11	12, 14
Chitral. Slavery in		•••	•••	•••	•••	v	162	122, 123
Choila. Cession of the village of —	to Idar	•••	•••	•••		VIII	251	12, 13
Chota Nagpur Tributary Ma	hals bave accept	ed pbstal un	it <b>y</b>	•••		vr.	166	181, 182
	Case of the l	Porabat Stat	e	•••		VII	226	236, 237
	Classification	n of the —	***	•••		VII	226	236, 237
	The whole pr wan is not	resent exten 1-British ten		als and K	harsa-	VII	226	236, 237
	Views of the status of	he Governm the —	ent of Ind	lia regardin 	g the	VII	226, 232	236, <b>237</b> ; 239, 240
		the State of the Chief		to the yo	unger	VII	229	238
		ent of the for murder he State				VII	229	238
	Their gener	al treatment	t	•••		VII	230	238, 239
	•	f European				VII	231	239
	The positi Governm	on of inter ont in neg and capital	rmediary to	be assume	ed by Chiefs	VII	231	239
	The escheat by the S	t of Udsiput upreme Gove	r in 1852 ne ernwent	ver acknowl	edged	VII	233	239, 240
	Status of th	ne —	***	••	•••	IIV	225, 232	236 ; 239, <b>2</b> 40
	The sanads and Char tent auth	granted in ng Bhakar n nority	1848 to the out sanction	Chiefs of ed by any c	Korea ompe-	VII	232	239, 240
	Residuary ( §230)	jurisdiction	in the (	ee also para	graph	xv	458	40
	Grant of n	ew sanads t	o the Chief	s of the	•	xv	498	40
Christian Missions. Question of	establishing them	in a Native	State duri	ng a minorit	y	v	79, 80	101—104; 104—105
Christian Schools. Question of o	pening them in a	Native State	during a r	ain rity		v	79, 80	101-104;
Cis-Sutlej territory occupied by	British subjects.	Reason for Jhajjar es Nabha in p	tate to I	Patiala, Jine	f the	VIII	256	104-105 17-19
Civil Law. Position of Ruling Chi	efs in British terri	_				XIX	624	123, 124
Civil Procedure Code. Provisi		•		ertain decr	ŀ	xviii	695	107, 108
	ions of the — as to	-			- 1	XVIII	<b>596</b>	108, 109
	ions of the - appl					XIX	624	123, 124
smend	ed in 1877 with	reference 1	-	sition of I		XIX	628	127,128
Section Cour	n 10. Amenabilit	y of subjects	of Native	States to I	British 	XIX	673	153, 154
Civil Processes. The kinds of - levy of fees	- to which the arra on the British syst	ngement wi em extends	th Hyderab	ad for the n	nutual 	XVIII	606	114
- on the B	th Gwalior, Mysor ritish system	•••	•••	•••	•••	XVIII	606	114
under the sy	veen British India ystem of levying fe	es on —	•••	•••	••• !	xviii	606	114
except wit	person of a Ruling h the consent of and "Processes, Ci	Governmen	ch Chiefs e it. See als	xempt from paragraphs	arrest § 13,	XIX	651	139; 140
Civil War. The existence of - ca	anot be permitted	in any Nati	ve State	***	***	111	45, 52	59; 72, 73
Clerk (Captain). Exception take employed by	n to the bestowal o	of a title on -	–, a Europe	an British s	ubject 	XXII	765	210, 211
Cochin. Proposed agreement of 18	73 between - and	Travancore	•••		•••	I	8	2, 3
Troposta British of 10							_	_, -, -

Cochin. Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like  Measures taken to restrict importation of arms  Proposals for postal unity  Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Clanating an heir-apparent  and Travancore. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in —  and Travancore. European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European and Travancore. Extradition rules, primal facie proof required in certa Execution of decrees of — Courts by Courts in British India  Cockburn's (Captain) Case, Hyderabad, 1883. Employment of European States  Code of Criminal Procedure, Mysore, 1884-85. Jurisdiction over European British Coinage, Imperial. Desire of the Bombay Government to substitute it for lockathiawar  Coinage Act, Native, No. IX of 1876. Passing of the —  Coinage in India. Unification of the — a most desirable object  Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for of a particular Rupee as the legal currency	ain cases sh subjects cal coinage in	V VI VI XI XIV XVII XVIII V VII V V	81 125 166 366 450 451 587 602 89 447 196 113 120 B	105—107 145,146 181,182 145 146 8,9 9,10 100,101 112 112 5,6 215 132 139—142
Measures taken to restrict importation of arms  Proposals for postal unity  Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Clanating an heir-apparent  and Travancore. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in —  and Travancore. European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European Subjects in certain cases  and Travancore. Extradition rules, prind facie proof required in certain cases  Execution of decrees of — Courts by Courts in British India  Cockburn's (Captain) Case, Hyderabad, 1883. Employment of European States  Code of Criminal Procedure, Mysore, 1884-85. Jurisdiction over European Britis  Coinage, Imperial. Desire of the Bombay Government to substitute it for lockathiawar  Coinage Act, Native, No. IX of 1876. Passing of the —  Coinage in India. Unification of the — a most desirable object  Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for	hief or designation with the adoption	VI XI XIV XIV XVIII V XIV VIII V	166 366 450 451 587 602 89 447 196 113 120 B	181, 182 145 146 8, 9 9, 10 100, 101 112 112 5, 6 215 132
Proposals for postal unity	hief or designation with the adoption	XI XIV XIV XIII V XIV VIII V V	366 450 451 587 602 89 447 196 113 120 B	145 146 8,9 9,10 100,101 112 112 5,6 215
and Travancore. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in —  and Travancore. European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European British in Grant European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European and Travancore. Extradition rules, primal facie proof required in certa Execution of decrees of — Courts by Courts in British India  Cockburn's (Captain) Case, Hyderabad, 1883. Employment of European States  Code of Criminal Procedure, Mysore, 1884-85. Jurisdiction over European Britis  Coinage, Imperial. Desire of the Bombay Government to substitute it for lockathiawar  Coinage Act, Native, No. IX of 1876. Passing of the —  Coinage in India. Unification of the — a most desirable object  Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for	ain cases  ain cases  ain s in Native  sh subjects  coal coinage in  the adoption	XIV XIV XVIII XVIII V XIV VIII V	450 451 587 602 89 447 196 113 120 B	9,10 100,101 112 112 5,6 215
and Travancore. European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European Subjects in certain cases	ain cases ain cases ain s in Nutive sh subjects coal coinage in the adoption	XIV XVIII V XIV VIII V	451 587 602 89 447 196 113 120 B	9,10 100,101 112 112 5,6 215
and Travancore. Extradition rules, primd facie proof required in certa Execution of decrees of — Courts by Courts in British India  Cockburn's (Captain) Case, Hyderabad, 1883. Employment of European States  Code of Criminal Procedura, Mysore, 1884-85. Jurisdiction over European Britis  Coinage, Imperial. Desire of the Bombay Government to substitute it for lockathiawar  Coinage Act, Native, No. IX of 1876. Passing of the —  Coinage in India. Unification of the — a most desirable object  Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for	ain cases  ans in Native  sh subjects  cal coinage in  the adoption	XVII  XVIII  V  XIV  VII  V	587 602 89 447 196 113 120 B	100, 101 112 112 5,6 215
Execution of decrees of — Courts by Courts in British India  Cockburn's (Captain) Case, Hyderabad, 1883. Employment of European States  Code of Criminal Procedure, Mysore, 1884-85. Jurisdiction over European Britis  Coinage, Imperial. Desire of the Bombay Government to substitute it for lockathiawar  Coinage Act, Native, No. IX of 1876. Passing of the —  Coinage in India. Unification of the — a most desirable object  Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for	sh subjects cal coinage in the adoption	XVIII V VII V V	602 89 447 196 113 120 B	112 112 5,6 215 132
Cockburn's (Captain) Case, Hyderabad, 1883. Employment of European States	sh subjects cal coinage in the adoption	V XIV VII V V	89 447 196 113 120 B	112 5,6 215 132
States	sh subjects cal coinage in	XIV VII V	196 113 120 B	5,6 215 132
Coinage, Imperial. Desire of the Bombay Government to substitute it for low Kathiawar	col coinage in	VII V V	196 113 120 B	215 132
Coinage Act, Native, No. IX of 1876. Passing of the —  Coinage in India. Unification of the — a most desirable object  Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for	the adoption	v v	113 120 B	132
Coinage in India. Unification of the — a most desirable object  Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for	the adoption	v	120 B	]
Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for	the adoption			139—14
Course adopted by the East India Company to provide for of a particular Rupee as the legal currency	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v	120 B	
				13914
Coinage in Native States. See "Mints and Coinage in Native States."  Coinage, Uniform. Scheme for introducing a — throughout India	***	v	105, 120	124, 125
and all Anticles in Commencial Tractics described		V71	750	136—13
Combinations between Native States should be repressed	***	XVI	·	199
•	***	11	26, 33	85;4
Commercial Treaty (ies). General rule that when $a - is$ negotiated Indiculated in the Colonial Article $(q. v.)$	in shall be in-	XXI	750	199
with Foreign powers. Objections of India to b with reference to Act III of 1864 (given remove foreigners) and the general position States	ing nower to	ıxx	, 750, 75 <b>4</b>	199; 20:
Participation of India in — between the Britis	sh Government	XXI	750-757	199; 20
Exclusion of India from the — with Italy, 1884	***	XXI	751	190, 20
India desires in 1887 to be excluded from the pro-	oposed — with	XXI	752	200, 20
Secretary of State in 1885 withdraws his assen cipation of India in the proposed — with Mex	ico	ıxx	753	201, 20
Cole mercial Treaty (ies). India desires in 1885 to withdraw from the Salva	idor Treaty	IXX	754	202
The Goa — of 1878 is not a precedent for separat from British India in relations with Foreign Po	owers	ıxx	755	202, 20
Commissions for the examination of witnesses, provisions of the Code of Civil Pr		XVIII	620	120, 12
for the examination of witnesses, provisions of the Foreign Ju Extradition Act		XVIII	620	120,12
for the examination of witnesses, provisions of the Code of Crimina	al Procedure	XVIII	620	120, 12
for the examination of witnesses. Objections to the wide ext	tension of the	XVIII	620	120, 12
for Ruling Chiefs. Political officers must not execute	•••	XX	689	1C1, 16
	•••	XIX	656	141, 14
Confirmation of sentence of imprisonment vassed by a Ruling Chief makes the c	confinement of	vv	494, 495	[ ]   37; 37, :
Conf goltion of the State of Dhar for rebellion (see foot note)		XV	11	12-1
Circumstances in which a Native State may become liable to		17.	275, 289	35, 36 47,48
Circumstances which justify the of a State		x	327	102, 10
Consular Officers should not be appointed in the interior of India	•••	11	31, 33	40, 41; 4

#### POLITICAL PRACTICE.

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
lonsuls (Foreign) in India may hold no communications with Native States	11	30, 33	38, 40 ; 43
No appointment of —, honorary or otherwise, can be made to Native	. 11	31, 32, 33	40-43
Contributions of money not received for Imperial defence	VI	138	156, 157
Control of Political officers. Character of as described by Sir John Malcolm	xx	678	157, 158
Conventions, postal, are no longer negotiated	VI	163, 164 166 (4)	178, 179 ; 179, 180 ; 181, 182
or treaties with petty States are not approved	IXX	711	178
and formal agreements with Native States. In the case of small States or of petty transactions with any State, formal agreements are often unnecessary and it is usually expedient to avoid them	XXI	736 (4)	191
Cases establishing this conclusion:—	,		
The Faridkot Postal Convention	VI	163	178,179
Guarantees in Telegraph Extensions	VI	180	193, 194
The Rajkot-Tauk case	XXI	733	189, 190
The Surgana case	xxI	734	190
The Akalkot case	XXI	735	190, 191
o-operation of Native States with the Paramount Power. See "Subordinate Co-opera- tion" and "Native States," heads (9) to (14) inclusive.			
opper Coin. Elicit manufacture of counterfeit pice in Khetri	٣	107	127, 128
Counterfeit — struck at Loharu	V	110	131
of Gwalior Currency manufactured at Bhartpur	V	110	131
made for the Dhar State under the Native Coinage Act	٧	116	133, 134
Mintage of in Loharu disallowed	٧	117	134, 135
Influx of State — into British territory	. 🔻	119	136
Supply of British — to Native States	V	120	136—138
Native States not to mint — not easily distinguishable from British coin	•	120	136—138
Correspondence. Bules regarding communication of Government orders to Darbars	XX	696	166, 167
Copies of letters received from or addressed to the Government of India not to be sent to Darbars	<b>XX</b>	696	166, 167
Full style and titles to be used in addressing Ruling and Titular Chiefs	XXII	<b>7</b> 80	219, 220
lossins (Mr.). His murder at Manipur	I	6	7, 8
louncil (State) of Kashmir. A European officer not allowed to be a member of the -	111	47	62, 66
Counsel, restrictions on their employment in political cases	XIX	667, 671	150, 151; 152
Representation by — not permitted to Ruling Chiefs and their subjects in political cases, but they may get their aid in preparing memorials	XIX	667, 671	150, 151 ; 152
Sourt, Chief, of Criminal Justice in Kathiawar, its establishment	VII	195	215
Jourt of Directors. View taken by the - in regard to our relations with Kathiawar	VII	194	214, 215
Their decision that British subjects ought not to be surrendered to a Native State for trial, is no longer operative	XVI	553, 554, 559	76, 77; 77, 78; 81,82
Court (8) constituted by an act of preregative. Instance of —	I	9	10, 11
Constitution of the Chief Criminal, Kathiawar	ZV	492	36, 37
Certain — declared by notification to have been established or continued by the authority of the Governor-General in Council	XVIII	<b>6</b> 08	115
Nizam's City and Suburban. Decrees of the — may be executed by certain British — in Hyderabad territory	XVIII	605, 610	113, 114; 115
Mysore State. Decrees of the — may be executed by any British Courts in State territory	IIIVX	610	1:5
in Bombey Native States included in the system of reciprocity in regard to the execution of decrees and service of summonses	XVIII	612	115, 116
Ruling of 1895 that States whose decrees may be executed in British India may be asked to reciprocate	XVIII	592	104107

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Court(s), British, in State territory. Decrees of — may be executed by the Courts in British India generally	XVIII	595	107, 108
British, in State territory, Bombay. Discussion as to decrees and summonses of —, 1880-85	XVIII	597, 600	109;111
Courts, British, in State territory, Bombay. Difficulty of determining which of the — had been established by the authority of the Governor-General in Council	XVIII	600, 607	111 ; 114, 115
in different places in State territory. Arrangements for securing reciprocity in regard to the execution of decrees as between —	XVIII	608	. 115
in State territory. Notification of lists of such Courts established or continued by the authority of the Governor-General in Council	XVIII	609	115
in State territory generally may execute decrees of Mysore State Courts	X VIII	610	115
in Hyderabad territory may execute decrees of the Nizam's City and Suburban Courts	XVIII	610	115
in State territory Service of summonses by —	XVIII	611	115
in State territory. The fact of the Kashmir Courts having incomplete civil jurisdiction held in the Legislative Department not to be a bar to their inclusion in the reciprocal system	XVIII	613	116
Courts, British and State, in Bombay State territory. Recognition of — whose decrees may be executed by Courts in British India	XVIII	597, 600	109; 111
Courts in British India. Execution of decrees of — by State Courts or officers in State territory	<b>XV</b> III	596	108, 109
Execution of decrees of — by State Courts or by officers in States which have no regular Courts. Rules of 1868	XVIII	591, 594	104; 107
Decrees of — cannot be executed by British Courts in State territory except by selected Courts of that class duly notified	XVIII	595	107, 108
Courts in State territory. Established by the Governor-General in Council. Opinion of the Bombay Government that such Courts are of two kinds	xviii	599	110, 111
Courts of Justice. Classification of — into Courts in British India, State Courts and British Courts in State territory	xviii	590	104
Courts of Requests, Military. Levy of stamps in —	XIV	442	2, 3
Courts of the Resident in Kashmir and his Assistants included in the system of reciprocity in regard to execution of decrees and service of summonses	XVIII	613	116
Court, Rajasthanik, of Kathiawar, mentioned	VII	195	215
See "Rajasthanik Court."		Ì	
Courts, State. Execution of decrees of Courts in British India by	xviii	591	104
Decrees of — cannot be executed by Courts in British India except in the case of selected State Courts duly notified	XVIII	595	107, 108
Bombay. Discussions as to decrees and summonses of, 1880-1885	XVIII	597, 600	109; 111
Service of decrees of — by Courts in British India. The Mysore and Kuob Behar precedents cited	XVIII	_	109, 110 ; 111
Mysore. Decrees and summonses of — 1882	XVIII	601	111, 112
Decrees of Munsiff's Courts in Travancore and Cochin required to be counter-signed by the District Judge before execution in British India	XVIII	602	112
of Travancore and Cochin. Execution of decrees of — by Courts in British India	XVIII	602	112
Reasons why legislation was not undertaken in 1870 to give effect to decrees of — in British territory	XVIII	603, 604	112, 118 ; 118
Summary of the principles upon which the Government of India act in arranging for execution of decrees and service of summonses of — in British territory	XVIII	614	116, 117
Court of Vakils. Power of — to adjudicate upon interjurisdictional questions in Central India	1	13	14—17
British right to impose the authority of the — on the Chiefs of Central Iudia	11	20	2 <del>4</del> —26
Courtesy in intercourse with Ruling Chiefs insisted on	XX	<b>676, 677, 67</b> 8	
Courtesy Title(s). The title of Prince occasionally allowed as a	XXII	788	222
of sons of titular Chiefs	XXII	791	223
Ex-officio titles may be used as a matter of courtesy in vernacular correspondence	XXII	804	229
26			

•	Subject.			Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
ourtesy Title(s) of Raic	r Rao Bahadur granted ex-c and withdrawn in 1891	officio to members	of local boards i	a XXII	806	230, 231
grante	l ex-officio to certain D. P.		BG and withdraw	X X I I	807	231
	<b>A</b> 1.	***	***	YYII	808	231, 232
owasji Rustomji, a Baroda		ion proceedings	441	Y VI	563 A	85, 86
Cowie (Mr.), his opinion as (			•••	viii	245	36
	ion of a précis on employme tive States referred to in foo	ent of Europeans	and Eurasians i		90 .	112, 118
" Pré	cis of correspondence relatered to (foot-note)			VI	185	198, 199
" Pré	cis of correspondence rela reign Settlements" referred	ting to salt in I	Native States an	d ví	• 186	201, 203
wining Codes British s	J			ir XIV	412, 444	2, 3; 4
Criminal Jurisdiction.		itish subjects in Na	tive States .	xiv	446	5
Criminal Procedure Co		British subjects	for offences con	. xıv	414	4
	Provisions relating to 7	Thagi and Dacoity	***	xv	538	64, 65
	Provisions of — relate State territory	ing to European F	•	in xvi	551	75, 76
	Proposal to amend sect	tion 57	•••	XIX	673	153, 154
	section 177; amenabi British Courts	lity of subjects of		to XIX	673	158, 154
	estion of enlarging the list ion Act	of offences schedul	led to the Extra	i- xviii	615	117, 118
Į	extradition procedure requisitish India before a British Court	ired to bring an act Court in State	cused person out territory or a Sta	of te XVIII	615	117, 118
Cas	e of Tota Ram and Panna L	al, Indore, 1885-188	86	XVIII	615	117, 118
o	rrants of State Courts do no f British Courts in State turisdiction	t run in British ter territory which is	not under Briti	te sh XVIII	615, 616	117, 118; 11
Int	erchange of — between Brit	ish and State territo	ory	xviii	615, 618	117, 118;12
The	Kuch Behar Case, 1886	***	-	xviii	616	118
7	the authorities of a State Warrant, there can be no obj f such processes is not clain	jection, provided re	ciprocity in respe	sh ect XVIII	616	118
1	fusal of the Governmeut of Native States in the matter Criminal Courts	India to arrange for of the attendance	or reciprocity wi of witnesses befo	th ore XVIII	617	119, .120
	tendance of witnesses before	re State Courts in	the Bombay Pre	si- XVIII	617	119, 120
	tendance of witnesses reside Courts in British India	ent in Native Stat	tes before Crimi 	mal XVIII	617	119, 120
Pu	rcell's case, 1892. His ille warrant from the Civil and I	gal arrest in Briti Military Station of	sh territory unde Bangalore	xVIII	618	120
Pr	oposed reciprocity in service British Courts whether in St	ce and execution o ate or British territ	f certain — by	XVIII	619	120
Co	mmissions for the examinati	on of witnesses	•••	XVIII	620	120, 121
	mmary of the principles g British and State territory	overning the interel	hange of — betw	een XVIII	621	121, 123
	Ses "Processes," "Warre	•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cromer (Lord), his opinio		ns between Native S	States and capital	ists V	96	116, 117
Currency, uniform, for all	India. Question of a -	***	***	V	108, 109	128, 129; 12 131
Evils in — brou	ight to light in Kathiawar	•••	***	VII	196	215, 216
See " Mints and	l Coinage."	,				

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph,	Page.
nerie (Mr.). Question of his expulsion from Bhopal	xx	706	173, 174
ustom and Law. When a custom is proved to exist it supersedes the general law	x	321	93, 94
ustoms Revenue (British) at ports of maritime States. Proposals for protecting —	VI.	188	207-209
uttack. Capture of	VII	207	222, 223
Cession of the province of —	VII	207	222, 223
D			
amodar Gordhan versus Deoram Kanji. The case of	VIII	245, 246	3—6 ; 6,
ang Chiefs and their subjects fined, imprisoned and hanged for criminal offences	VII	237	243-24
Gackwar's claims over the	VII	237	243-24
ang Chiefships provisionally exempted from nazarana	XII	393	165
ang Chieftains fined, imprisoned and hanged for serious criminal offences		62	87
langs. The case of the —. Refusal to treat them as British territory		237	243-24
British interference on the —		237	243-24
British interference on the —		207	24-26
parbar(s), etc. Precedence in — are determined by the Viceroy. Jodhpur case, 1870		820	239-24
A refusal on the part of a ruling Chief to accept the position assigned to him by the Viceroy in a — is an act of disrespect to Her Majesty	,	820	239-2
Arrests should not be made in Proposed arrest of the Senapati of Manipur 1891		821	241-2
earbar (Ceremonial). Jodhpur Chief punished for absenting himself from a	10	62	87
earbar etiquette. Further reasons why it is not a proper subject for general rules (see foot note)		820	239—2
erbar webioles and animals. Bules prohibiting the habitual use of —	xx	· <b>69</b> 0	162
Oarbhanga, Mabaraja of. Salute refused to	XXII	811	233, 2
atia. See "Bundelkhand, the States of."			
Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	·   v	81	105, 1
Opium arrangements	. VI	185	198, 1
need not refer death sentences	xv	504	45
eath of Chiefs and successions to be reported to Government of Iudia	ΣI	362, 366	143,144 ; 146
Maintenance of order and conduct of affairs on the occasion of the decease of Chief		874	153, 1
Management of a State on the occasion of the decease of its Chief	. xII	8/7	154
Cath Sentences. In exercise of residuary jurisdiction a sentence of imprisonment may not be subanced to a sentence of death	7077	497	88
of Kapurthals and Faridkot require confirmation by British authoritie	xv	499	40, 4
Mediatised Chiefe of Central India have not power of life and death	. xv	503	4
Bewa, Orchha, Datis and Samthar need not refer	. xv	504	. 48
must be referred by Bundelkhand Sanad States	. xv	504	44
passed in the exercise of residuary jurisdiction may, in certain circumstances, be executed in British India	a xviíi	622	12:
passed in exercise of residuary jurisdiction and executed in British territory. Prescription of a form of warrant for use in such cases an selection of the jails in which such sentences may be carried out	4	622	122
Sabtors, Absounding. French and Portuguese settlements in India suggested in 1886 a being Alastias for an	I TVIII	594	107
Debts of Ruling Chiefs. Government does not undertake to use its political influence tenforce payment of — or obligations incurred by them	. XIX	627, 631	126, 127; 128
Debts. Government or Political officers have interposed their good offices to induce Chiefs to pay just — even when they cannot be sued under the law	XIX	631, 633	128, 129;

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Decorations.	Order of the Medjidi	II	80	38-40
	British Ruling Chiefs and their subjects are eligible for —	XXII	758	205
	Order of "Officer de l'Instruction Publique"	11	30	38 - 40
	Order of the Star of India	XXII	758	205
	Order of the Indian Empire	XXII	758	205
	Foreign -, question not dealt with except in paragraph § 30	XXII	758	205
	Badges granted by the Nawab of the Carnatic	XXII	760	206, 207
	Badges proposed in Bombsy	IIXX	769	213
	Badges allowed in Burma	XXII	769	213
	Medals with titles disallowed in India but allowed in Burms	XXII	769	213
	Order of the Ali Band	XXII	771	215
	Order of Ganda-bherunda, Mysore	XXII	772	216
	Summary as to the grant of medals or badges with titles	XXII	803, (7) (8)	228, 229
hee seerne	Sentences. Execution of —	XVIII	590, 622	104; 122
	tion of reciprocity with Native States in the matter of the execution of —	XVIII	592	104-107
	ng of 1895 that States whose decrees may be executed in British India may be			
8.	sked to reciprocate	XVIII	592, 593	104-107
Prov	risions of the Code of Civil Procedure regarding the execution of certain	XVIII	595	107, 108
<b>6</b> e	tate Courts cannot be executed by Courts in British India except in the case of lected State Courts duly notified	MAIII	595	107, 108
18	summonses of State Courts and British Courts in State territory, Bombay, 80-1885. Discussions regarding —	XVIII	597, 600	109;111
m	ognition of British and State Courts in Bombay State territory whose decrees sy be executed by Courts in British India	IIIAX	597, 600	109;111
K	tate Courts. Execution of — by Courts in British India. The Mysore and uch Bebar precedents cited	XVIII	598, 600	109; 111
	respondence with Bombay regarding execution of —, 1883-1884	XVIII	599	110, 111
, С	cution of —. Government of India willing to concede reciprocity to State courts in cases where it is merited	XVIII	600	111
Reci	iprocal execution of — as between the Mysore Courts and the Civil Courts of the civil and Military Station of Bangalore	MIIIAX	601	111, 112
and	Summonses of Mysore Courts, 1882	XVIII	601	111, 112
of T	Travancore and Cochin Courts. Execution of — by Courts in British India	IIIVX	602	112
	d Napier of Merchistoun's opinion on reciprocal execution of — as between State Courts and Courts in British India	XVIII	603, 604	112, 113 ; 113
€	State Courts. Reasons why legislation was not undertaken in 1870 to give effect to — in British territory	XVIII	603, 604	112, 113 ; 113
H	State Demands. Enforcement of — as between the Secunderabad Cantonment and yderabad Residency Bazars on the one hand and the Nizam's Courts on the other	XVIII	605	118, 114
e	State Demands. Arrangements of mutual convenience with Native States for inforcement of — otherwise than under the Civil Procedure Code	TIIVE	605	113, 114
H	es for regulating reciprocal execution of Civil —, realisation of Staté demands nd service of summonses between Baroda Cantonment and Baroda State (see cot-note)	XAIII	60\$	118, 114
8	rution of. Privileges of the Nizam's City and Suburban Courts and of Mysore itate Courts in regard to the —	ÀŤIIÍ	608, 6ĬÔ	118, 114 ; 11 <b>5</b>
c	intion of. General measures adopted in 1889 to promote permissible reciprosity with State territory in the —	ĀVIII	60 <b>7, 611</b>	114, 115, 115
A	cution of, as between British Courts in different places in State territory.	žviii	608	115
e	ntion of. Courts in Bombay Native States included in the system of reciprosity in regard to the —	XVIII	613	115, 116
t	ntion of. Courts of the Resident in Kashmir and his Assistants included in he system of reciprocity in regard to	XVIII	613	116
, or s	tate Courts. Summary of the principles upon which the Government of India at in arranging for execution of — in British territory	XAIII	614	116, 117

Subject.					Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,		
Degrees of Courts in E	British India.	Execution States v of 1868	INTOM WOLD	tate Courts o	r by Of Courts.	ficers in Roles	<b>X</b> VIII	591, 594	104; 107
		In Native Govern pition o	nent will n	h regular Cou ot interfere t	o obtai	British n recog-	XAIII	592, 593	104—107;
	•	Politica cases, to of —	l Officers use their	with no regular not, excluding the contract of	ept in btain e	certain xecution	XAIII	·592, 593	104—107; 107
		executio	n of - in	of the rules Native State Il Chamber	s reco	mmend-	XVIII	594	107
		territor	executed ly except bly notified	by British Co by selected (	ourts i Courts	n State of that	XVIII	595	107, 108
Decrees of British Cou			India g	enerally	***	***	XVIII	595	107, 108
Decrees of Courts of Na		section 22	f — cau 9 B of the	now be au Civil Proced	thorised are Cod	l under le	I	13	1417
Deesa Cantonment jurisdic		,41	101	***	441	4**	XIA	464	19, 20
British jurisdiction		• -		•••	•••	***	XIA	480	29, 30
	e coinage in India	•••	•••	***	•••	***	v	120 B	139142
Delegated Jurisdiction	Council, (2) by	a Buling	Chief	by the Gover	mor-Ge	eneral in 	XV	514	50,51
	Case of Sala-ud-	lin of Bhop	al, 1888	411	•••	***	XV	515	51
•	Case of Vrijeshji	Vrijnathji	Mabaraj,	of Nawanage	ar, 188	2	XV	524	57
	The case of Prab	has Patan,	Junagarh,	1892-94	400 ,	***	VX	525	57, 58
	The Judges in the an objection of the colculated financial justice in the	a <b>a p</b> oint o to interfere	f law whi	ch would in	their	apinian	ł	526	58, 59
	Kunj Behari Lal	's case, Alw	ar, 1892	•••	•••	4**	xv	526	58, 59
•	Case of Azim-ud	-din Khan,	Rampur,	1891 <b>-92</b>	•••	***	XV	527	59, 60
	Precedents for trial	constitution	of a sp	ecial Court	for a	special	XV	526, 527	58, 59 ; 59,
	Conferment of judgment for	or the purp	oses of a s	pecial trial	•••	***	XV	526, 527	58 <b>, 59</b> ; 59,
	Summary of the in illustration	of	***	•••	•••	•••	. XV	528	60
• **	The Rajputana ( of authority f	courts of Victoria	ikils not co ites concern	onstituted by ned	any de	legation	XVII	572	91,92
Delegated and Ceded J	urisdiction.	Distinction	drawn bet	- 1890		***	XV	514	50, 51
Delegation of jurisdiction t	o special Courts	•••	***	***	•••		xv	525, 627	57, 58 ; 59,
Delhi Assemblage, 187	7. Lord Lytton's	speech at t	he —	• -		•••	IX	274	34, 35
Pelhi Assemblage. Pro	posala afterwards College	dropped i	or a Nat	ive Peerage	and I	Teraidic	XXII	758	205
	ree hereditary title	s conferred	at —		***	***	XXII	773	216,217
Deogaon. Treaty of -, 18		100	•••	•••	•••	**-	VII	207	222, 223
Deoli. Application of Ajme				***	•••	**	XIV	466	21, 22
British jurisdiction	exercised without s	rad exbiese	cession		***	•••	XIV	480	29,30
Deposition of the Gackwar	of Resods						( 111	39, 42	49, 54; 56,
		***	•••	***	*** '	***	XI }	282	41, 42
of the Nawab o	f Janjira by his ov	n subjects	•••	e48	***		III	44	58, 59
of the Baja of f		***	•••	***	***	***	III	50	68, 69
		te)					,		

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	l age.
•			
Deposition of the Raja of Singhbhum (see foot-note)	ξ 1	11	12-14
Deposition of the Raja of Singhuhum (see root-note)	( VII	926	236, 237
of the Chief of Jaitpur (see foot-note)	1	11	12-14
The Raja of Chamba held to have merited —	111	50	68, 69
The Khan of Kalat liable to the penalty of —	IV	59	80—82
of the Chief of Banki, for murder	IV	62	87
(The) of a Ruling Chief on any ground is an act of State not cognizable by Courts of Law	1x	289	47, 48
Dera Ghazi Khan and Bahawalpur Kachi Chuan Case (The), 1860. River boundaries	Vill	262	23 <u>~</u> 25
Deregulationising Acts. Explanation as to what they are	vII	217	230, 231
Deserters from the British Army, Recruitment of. Case of Natha Singh, trumpeter, Jind,			
from the British Army, Recruitment of. Case of the 4th Gurkhas, Kashmir,	VI	150, 152, 153	164—166; 167169 169, 170
1867	VI	150	164-166
from the British Army. Recruitment of by Native States, prohibited	VI	150	164—166
from Native States Armies. Question of surrender of —. The Gwalior case,	VI	151	166, 167
from the British Army must be surrendered by Native States on domand	vi	151	166, 167
There is no complete reciprocity between the British Government and Native States as to surrender of —	vi	151	166, 167
from the Imperial Army and desertion from the Armies of Native States. Distinction between —	vı	151	166, 167
from Native States Armies. Surrender of — by the British Government cannot be claimed as of right	Vı	151	166, 167
Desertion from Native States Armies. Question of arrest in State territory and punishment of Native soldiers of the British Army for —	vı	152	167—169
Deserters from Native States Armies. The cases of Sepoy Sadhu and Sowar Hardit Singh,	vı	152	167-169
from Native States Armies. The law at present forbids the surrender of —	VI	153	169, 170
from Native States Armies. The Jind complaint regarding employment of its deserters in the British service	vı	153	169, 170
from Native States Armies will be dismissed if they enlist in the British Army	vi	154	170-172
from Native States Armies. The Kapurthala Bandsman's case, 1875	V1	154	170-172
from Native States Armies. Application from Jind, 1889, for surrender of some sepoys in the British service for desertion	vi	154	170—172
from the Imperial Service Corps. Issue of orders for preventing the enlistment in the British Army of —	VI	154	170—172
from Native States Armies. Hyderabad application for surrender of some sepays in the British service for desertion	VI	154	170-172
from Imperial Service Corps. Intended legulisation of the extradition of —	VI	155	172
Summary of the policy which governs the treatment of —	VI	156	172, 173
from foreign men-of-war. Extradition of — is contrary to law	xvi	548	72
Extradition of —. Case of Pole, a deserter from the Austrian man of war Aurora.  See also paragraphs § 150 to § 156 inclusive	xvı	548	72
Case of Salvucci, a deserter from the Italian Cruiser Cristoforo Colombo (footnote)	xvi	548	72
Detention of Persons. Execution in British territory of a sentence, order or warrant for — passed or issued by Courts or tribunals of Native States	xv	496	88, 39
Dewas, Senior and Junior Branches. Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876	V	116	133, 134
Junior Branch, though a Treaty State held liable to pay nazarana	XII	390	163, 164
(Senior and Junior) have accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Dewas-Indore Case, The, 1893. Interference of one State with another	11	22	28-32
D'Gruyther, Mr. Employment of — in the Kashmir State	V	87	110, 111

Subject.	Chapter,	Paragraph.	Page.
Dhandhuka. Cession of the district of — to the Eritich Government, 1802	VII	196	215, 216
Ohar. Confiscated for rebellion but restored in 1860 (see foot-note)	ı	11	12-14
Coinage undertaken for — under Act IX of 1876	v	116	133, 134
Proposed introduction of British Rupees into	v	120 B	139-149
1864. Conditions prescribed after the Chief had attained age of 21	xm	433	196
has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	xvit	<b>წ</b> 82	98
Char Adoption Case (The), 1e90. Provisional adoption, claim lost by subsequent birth of legitimate son of the body	x	323	98, 97
Dharampur. Agreement bringing the State within the extradition convention executed between the British and Portuguese Governments	122	712, 756	178, 179 ; 20 204
and Janhar. Extradition agreements with - (see also foot-note to § 712)	xxı	756	203, 204
Dharna, Sitting in. Practice of — in Kathiawar	VII	192	212,218
Dhenkanal. Exempt from nazarana by terms of sanad	XII	386	159—161
Oholpur, Maharaj Rana of; question of his absenteeism	·v	71	95
Superscription on its coinage	v	106	125-127
1873-74. Under European Superintendency	x111	408	180
1883. Restrictions placed on the Chief's powers till he gained administrative experience	XIII	429	193, 194
Extradition Treaty amended	ıvz	549	72, 73, 74
and Karauli, Extradition Rules	XVII	€78	95,96
Maharaj Rana; his honorary military rank	XIX	658	144, 145
Minority, 1874. Question of the composition of the Political Agent's Escort	xx	693	169, 170
Cholpur Case (The), 1892. Absence of Chiefs from their States	v	71	95
Dholpur-Patiala Caso, The. Interference of one State with another	11	- 21	26, 28
Ohrangadra. Chief of —; increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—233
Dismambarmant of Staton Come of the	IX	300, 303	58, 61
Henry on Intersection The Dame Schemel and 1979	11	20	24-26
The Delth Comment is the subitor in	11	20, 83	24, 26; 4
•.	11	20, 03	24-26
The Baraundha-Kamta Bajaula case, 1892			1
The Bajputana Border Criminals case, 1874	II	20	24-26
British right to impose the authority of the Court of Vakils on the Chiefs of Central India. Sir Henry Maine's opinion	11	20	24-26
Ouflerin, Lord; his interview of January 14, 1886, with the Maharaja of Kashmir	ın	47	62 - 66
explains to the Maharaja of Kashmir the duty of subordinate co-operation	l vi	128	147, 149
sunounces at Patials in 1888 the principles of the Imperial Service Scheme	VΙ	131	150122
on the establishment of a British Cantonwent in Kashmir	VI.	157	173
insists upon British traders being sllowed to buy or hire suitable buildings in Kashmir	xx	703	171,172
Ougri Succession, 1884, Primogeniture. Muhammadan law of private succession not applicable to Chiefships	XI.	347	128, 129
Oujana held under saned	XXI	740	194, 195
Sungarpur Extradition treaty amended	XVI	549	72, 73, 74
Ourand (Sir Henry); his epinion on the question of guaranteeing succession of collaterals in Kashmir	x	317	8186
his opinion in the Shahpura succession case; importance of timely adoptions	x	318	8791
Durand (Sir Mortimer); his opinion that Foreign powers should not be permitted to take cognizance of the existence of Native States in India and that "to outsiders, India should be all red"	11	27	85-36
his opinion that no State should be allowed to interfere in the dealings of the British Government with any other State	II	22	28-32

	Subject.				•	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
rand (Sir Mortimer); his op	inion in regard t	6 interfere	ence with ju	idicial proc	eedings	IV	61	84-87
his opi	nion that we cann		k tendency	of a Rulin	_	IV	65	89, 90
	ection to Joint Ad	 minietratio	 ma in Nativ	a States	•	17	68	92,93
•	nion regarding di							
	ve States	***	***	•••		▼	96, 97	116, 117; 117
	ection to unnece ention case, 1886	ssary coi	ventions.	Faridkot	postal	VI	163	178, 179
advoca	tes postal unity	***	•••	•••		. VI	164	179, 180
	te on the Nawa herit sons and th					x	322	9496
on suc and	cession in Muhar the policy of prim	nmadan Si ogeniture	tates; the	wills of	Chiefs,	ı <b>x</b> ı	347	128, 129
·holds t with	hat the Paramoui in Residency limit	it Power i	nust have	full jaris	diction	XIV	475	26
his opi with	nion that the pres a Mission to Kab	sence of a	considerat	ble Ruling	Chief	XIX	658	144, 145
	v as to the authoriects of Native St		Viceroy to	o grant ti	itles to	XXII	768	212, 213
20-Sombre Case (The), mention	ed	•••	,			VII	223	234, 235
36-06mo10 0 === ( )								,
	E							
					j			
t India Company. Powers of			•••	***	•••	VIII	243	2
nonstone (Mr.); his remarks in			,,,	***	***	I	11	1214
ication. Steps taken to see to the		Ali Rajpu	r Chief	•••	**	ΧI	357	139,140
of minor Chiefs. The I					. "	XIII	403, 404	174176; 176179
of minor Chiefs. Appoi Sindhia	•••	•••	•••	•••		IIIX	422	187, 189
ılji Pestonji's title of Khan Bahı ernweut informe	dur conferred by d that Viceroy al	Bombay one can gra	Government aut titles	t. Bombay	Gov-	XXII	762	208
case a precedent a	gainst the grant o	f medals w	rith titles (f	oot-note)		XXII	796	226
enborough (Lord); his Circula	r of 1842. Instr	actions to	Political off	Bcers-	·	xx	676	155, 156
chpur-Hoshangabad Case (The), 1	889-90. River bo	undaries		•••		VIII	266	27
ployment of Ruling Chiefs and the military capacities	eir subjects under	the Britis	h Governm	ent ia civ	il and	XIX	656, 658 660, 665	(141-143; 144-145, 146; 149 150
of Ruling Chiefs in civi	affairs under the	British G	overnment	•••		XIX	658	144, 145
See "Ruling Chiefs' ou	ployment, etc."							
of Native States' subject Settlement	ts under the Briti	sh Governs	nent not ba	erred by	Act of	XIX	663	147, 14
ployment of Eurasians in N	ative States.	Case of M	r. Kershaw	, Sirobi, 18	391	v	93	114
ployment of Europeans in I	Vative States.	The Indo	re Cotton Mi	ills Case, 18	66-70	v	82	107, 108.
-		Mr. Evan	s' Case, Ind	lore, 1871		v	83	108
		The Hyde	erabad Case,	, 1872		v	84	109
		Mr. Mart	in's Case, R	ajputana, 1	871	v	85	109, 110
		The Punj	ab Case, 18	73-75		v	86	110
		The Punja	ab and Kashi	mir Case, 1	876-81	v	87	110, 113
		Mr. Steve	ens' Case, H	yderabad,	1883	v	88	111, 112
						ļ		
		Captain C 1883	Cockburn's (	Case, Hyde	rabad,	v	89	112

emħ1				
	SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Employment Americans	of Europeans and Restrictions on the	٧	81, 90	105—107; 112,113
	The restrictions as to the — extends to all States irrespective of treaty obligations	v	81, 94	105, 107;
	The employment of such by a noble is equivalent to their employment by the Darbar	v	88, 94	115 111, 112; 11
	See "Native States," head (8) (iii).			•
Employment Enresians i	of Europeaus and General Orders of August 14, 1886	v	90	112, 113
	of Foreigners in Native States. Engagements with the various	v	81	105, 107
Enactments.	British — may be applied, but cannot be extended to State territory	XIV	440	1
ettecomono.	British — may extend as personal laws to subjects and servants of the British Government in State territory	XIV	442	2, 3
	The territorial extent of British —	VII	233	240
	.See "Acts."			
Engagements	s between Ruling Chiefs and their own feudatories are permissible. Rajpipla and Sagbara case, 1890	II	25, 33	85;43
	How effect may be given to necessary understandings between States	11	33 (1), (2),	} 43
	between Native States prohibited except with the consent and through the medium of the British Government:—		(3), (4)	
Ca	ees illustrating the above principle:—			
	The Kutch Case; 1874-75	11	17	20
	The Bajputana Border Crimiusls Case, 1874	11	18	20-22
	The Bahawalpur and Bikanir Case, 1875	l II	18	2022
	The Kutch and Nawanagar Case, 1883	11	18	20-22
	The Jodhpur and Bikanir Railway Case, 1888-89	II	18	20—22
	between Ruling Chiefs and their subjects not to be guaranteed by Political Officers	xx	<b>C</b> 85	160
Srinpura Can P	tonment Jurisdiction Case, 1892. Mistaken reference to the Sirohi Darbar pro- osing to apply certain Acts to the cautonment and to confer certain powers therein	XIV	473	24, 25
Bri	tish jurisdiction exercised without any express cession	XIV	480	29, 80
Escorts of Pol one	itical Agents in Central India or Rajputana, when necessary, to be furnished by of the political corps	XX	699, 700	169, 170 ; 1
of Pol	itical Officers not as a rule to consist of State troops	xx	699, 700	169, 170 ; 1
	itical Officers in Native States during a minority may consist of State troops	XX	699, 700	169, 170; 1
	State on failure of natural or adopted heirs. Question of —	Z,	325, 329	98, 101;
'Estates'' aı	and "States." Application of these designations to certain Chiefships in India, discussed	Áli	215	106, 107 227—229
Eurasians. (	deneral orders of 1886 regarding employment of - in Native States	v	90	112, 113
	Employment of — in Native States does not need formal sanction but should be watched	v	90, 94	112, 113 ; 1
1	Employment of — in Native States. Mr. Kershaw's Case, Sirohi, 1891	v	93	114
	itish Subjects. Declaration of 1871 that no Native States may try -, etc.	ı	2	1,2
	Jurisdiction over The Liddell Case, Travancore	1	2,4	1,2;3-
	Jurisdiction over Resolution of August 8, 1871.	-	}	
	referred to	I	2, 4	1,2;8-
	Travancore's claim to jurisdiction over —	I	3	2, 3
	Jurisdiction over — Sir Henry Maine's opinion	I	8	2, 3
	Jurisdiction over —. Lord Northbrook's opinion	I	3	2, 3
	Jurisdiction over —. Mr. Fitzjames Stephen's opinion	I	3	2, 3
	Jurisdiction over —. Lord Hobbouse's opinion	I	3	2,
	Jurisdiction over — General exposition of principles, 1873	I	4	3-6

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
72 Priti	sh Subjects may be tried by Courts of Native States in exceptional case		4	86
European Brid	Ko Native State has capital jurisdiction over —.	1 +	8	810
	in Native States. The British Government have a right i		14 (5)	17, 18
	Mysore jurisdiction in postal cases could not extend to — .	' l	443	8, 4
	resident in Native States. No question of extradition arise	l .		"
	in the case of —	. XVI	542	66, 67, 68
	in Native States. The right of exterritoriality belongs t	I YVI	542	66, 67, 68
	Procedure to be followed in bringing one of them out of State territory for trial in British territory	T T T	551	75 76
	in State territory. The ordinary British Indian criminal la extends to —	i VVI	551	75, 76
	in Native States. Original and appellate criminal juris diction over — has been conferred on the various Hig Courts	P AAL	551	75,76
	Extradition of - is not made to or from Native States	1VX	551	75,76
	Native States, with one or two exceptious, have no juri- diction over — in respect of extraditable offences	zvi	551	75,76
	in Native States. The British Government can exercise if own jurisdiction over — without the assent of any of these States	f ver	551	75, 76
	Demand for the extradition from Mhow to Hyderabad of Trumpeter Phillips, 1893	WWT	552	76
	Legal advice that a requisition for extradition under set tion 14 of the Act cannot be made in respect of —	777	552	76
	Committing an offence in State territory may be dealt wit in respect of such offence as if it had been committed any place within British India at which he may be foun (section 188, Criminal Procedure Code)	t i	652	78
	Titles conferred upon — by Ruling Chiefs cannot I recognised without the sanction of the Queen. Capta Clerk's case	e n XXII	765	210, 211
	See also "British subjects."			
Europeans and	Americans. Employment of — by Native States in industrial occupation permissible	s V	83, 84, 94	108;109;115
	not permitted to be employed by Native States in the	e V	83, 94	108;115
	See also "Employment of Europeans in Native States" Employment of Europeans and Americans in Native States", and "Europeans,"	•		
	Baroda Courts have not jurisdiction over — under the Telegraph Act	1 177	182	194, 195
European and	Sative Agency in effecting reforms in Native States		66, 67	90, 91 ; 91, 93
_	See also paragraph §433, summary (7), (9), (9) (10).			
European Office	r (A) not allowed to be a member of the Kashmir State Council .	. m	47	6266
	(A) appointed Minister of Bhopal	. 111	48	66, 67
Europeans. Em	ployment of — in Native States	. v	81, 90	105-107;
Pri I	ociple to be observed in the introduction of — into official or quasi-official or in Native States	I 47	89, 94	112,113
Loc	al Governments authorised to sanction employment of — in minor position Native States	5 V	90	112,113
Ge	eral orders of 1883 regarding employment of — in Native States		90	112, 113
s n d	foreigners. Position of — in Native States. (See also paragraphs §78 to §8 clusive, §81 to §94 and §95 to §100)	0	702—707	171—174
W1	en the Government of India can prevent the residence of a foreigner of uropean British subject in a Native State can they also prevent the acquison by such person of improves his property in State territory 2			
	idence of - other than officials in Native States		703	171,178
	184 Indone 1871. Employment of Funnaceus in Native States		706, 707	173, 174; 174
Stans (We) C	ase, Indore, 1871. Employment of Europeans in Native States	·   V	83	108

Subject.		Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Exchange of Territory between States must be effected through the British Goment	overn-	11	33 ( <i>5</i> )	43
Cases illustrating this principle:—				
Gwalior and Hyderabad		11	19	22-24
Lunawara and Balasinor, 1885-86		11	19	22-24
Ruparsil River Case		11	19	22 -24
Excise Agreements. Bombay — executed in certain cases by Chiefs who were minors		XXI	727	186
Execution of Treaties. Formalities to be observed in —		XXI	712—719	178-181
Treaties must be executed in English and the English vers the accepted text:—	sion is			
Cases illustrating these principles:—				
The Bundi Case, 1868		XXI	715	180
The Gwalior Exchange Case, 1871		XXI	716	180, 181
The Hathmati River Case, 1874	•••	XXI	717	181
Only permanent transactions should be recorded in treaties		XXI	718	181
Except in cases of very great urgency, the sanction of the Goment of India should be obtained to the policy, and, as f possible, to the terms of any agreement which it is propomake with a Native State before the Government is in au committed	far as	XXI	728 (6)	186, 187
Cases establishing this principle:—				ļ
Hyderabad and Imperial Postal Agreement,-1882		XXI	723	184
The Shaikh Othman Case, Aden, 1882		XXI	724	184, 185
The Kutch and Nawanagar Case, 1883		IXX }	18 725	2022 185, 186
The Ruparail River Case		II }	19 726	2224 186
Additional Postal Agreement, Gwalior, 1888		XXI	726	186
No engagements except treaties are ratified by the Vi	iceroy.			
Cases establishing this rule:-	1			
Railway agreement with Holkar, 1870		XXI	729	187
Patiala, Jind and Nabha Postal Conventions, 1885		IXX	730	187, 188
Gwalior Postal Convention, 1885		XXI	731	188
Exemption from nazarana, in accordance with terms of sanad or agreement		XII	386	159161
from nazarana of feudatories of Ruling Chiefs		IIX	387	161
from nazarana cannot now be founded on possession of a treaty or pay of tribute	ment	XII	384	157, 159
from nazarana. Grounds of — discussed with Bombay, 1884		XII	389	162-163
from nazarana does not depend on liability to periodical revision of trib the Naudgaon case, 1884	bute ;	хII	391	164
from nazarana of Aughad on the ground of poverty. See also parage § 389, § 394 and § 395	таръ	XII	892	164
Ex-officio titles. Useof — in British India		XXII	804, 805	229 ; 229, 23
Use of - in Native States by British and State subjects		XXII	808	231, 232
Expenditure. Distribution of — between Supreme and State Governments in Imperial Scheme	ervice	VI	139	157
Exterritoriality. Present tendency to abandon the doctrine of — in respect of jurisdi in cantonments in Native States	iction	I	13	14—17
Extradition of deserters from Imperial Service Corps. Intended legalisation of the —		ıv	155	172
between British India and Foreign or Native States. Beasons for not tre	ating	_,		
the subject exhaustively between British India and Foreign or Native States	**	XVI	541	66
Extradition between British India and independent or protected Native States. Geesposition of the subject of — addressed to the Straits Settlements, 1884	eneral	XVI	541, 559	66, 80, 81

SUBJECT,	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Extradition in India. The question of — a complicated one	xvi	542	66, 67, 68
Classification of the States with which the Government of India have to deal in the matter of —	zvı	542	66, 67, 68
(1) With protected Native States.			
with subordinate Native States. The Government of India have power to demand extradition as an act of State	XVI	542	66, 67, 68
No question of — arises in the case of European British subjects resident in Native States	XVI	542	66, 67, 68
Opinion that a Native State possessing a treaty of — is required to elect either for the process laid down in its treaty, or for that prescribed by the law. (But see §§ 547 and 549)	XVI	542	66, 67, 68
The law of — makes no distinction between Native British subjects and the subjects of Native States	, ivx	542	66, 67, 68
with Native States with which there are no treaties on the subject, is regulated by Act XXI of 1879	xvi	5+2	66, 67, 68
with Native States. The provisions of extradition treaties are not superseded by those of the Extradition Act	xvı	542	66, 67, 68
with Native States with which there are treaties on the subject is regulated by the provisions of the treaties	XVI	542	66, 67, 68
Treatment of Native British subjects in Native States in the matter of —	XVI	542	66, 67, 68
Conditions under which the demand of Native States for the surrender of their own subjects or the subjects of neighbouring States is complied with	xvt	542	66, 67, 68
(2) With Foreign States.			ł
with Foreign States is regulated by the principle of reciprocity, see also paragraphs § 32, and § 548 to § 548 inclusive	XVI	542	66, 67, 68
There is no treaty of extradition with Afghanistan	xvı	542	€6, 67, 68
of Mirza Baiza to the Amir of Afghanistan refused, 1884	xvi	543	68, 69
with Foreign States. Remarks on the arrangements for	XVI	543	68, 69
The Hon'ble Mr. Ilbert's advice that in the case of Afghanistan the analogy of the Act should be followed	χVI	543	68, 69
with Afghanistan. Sir Andrew Scoble's opinion on —	XVI	543	68, 69
with Afghanistan. Particulars of some cases in which a demand for surrender was made on either side	XVI	543	68, 69
with Afghanistan. Sir Andrew Miller's views on —	xvi	543	68, 69
In the absence of a treaty no Foreign State can require us to deliver up its fugitives from justice; but we are not bound to afford them an asylum	xvı	543	68, 69
with Nepal. Refusal of the Government of India to surrender certain political offenders and escaped prisoners	xvi	544	69, 70
In certain circumstances the Government of India will be disposed to construe very strictly their obligations to surrender offenders	XVI	544, 546	69, 70, 71
with Nepal. Cases in which the British Government was prepared to make or actually sanctioned a surrender which it was not bound by treaty to make	1VX	543	68, 69
Considerations by which the British Government is guided in granting or refusing —	xvi	546	71
precedents must be regarded rather as so much recorded experience than as the vehicle of general and obligatory rules	XVI	548	71
with French Settlements in India is regulated by Act IX of the Treaty of 1815	XVI	547	71
to French Settlements in India. The case of Pir Bakhah, 1884	xvi	547	71
Ruling of the Secretary of State in regard to the French Settlements that a procedure prescribed by treaty must be followed to the exclusion of that prescribed by the Act	XVI	547	71, 72
Question whether Article IX of the Treaty of 1815 with France provides any "procedure" within the meaning of § 1 of the Indian Extradition Act, 1879	XVI	547	71, 72
of deserters. Case of Pole, a deserter from the Austrian man-of-war Aurora	XVI	548	72
of deserters from Foreign men-of-war is contrary to law	XVI	548	78
See also separate heading "Extradition with Foreign territories."			

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,
Extradition. (3) Extradition treaties.			
Opinion that when a Native State has executed a treaty of —, the procedure prescribed by the treaty must be followed to the exclusion of that laid down in the Act	XVI	<b>5</b> 49	72, 73, 74
The procedure prescribed by the law substituted for that prescribed by treaty in the case of Hyderabad and the Rajputana States	XVI	549	72, 73, 74
The reason why in the case of those Native States with which treaties of — have not been made, the present policy is opposed to the conclusion of them	XVI	<b>5</b> 50	74,75
See also separate heading "Extradition treaties."			
(6) From Bative States.			
No law or treaty is required to enable the Government of India to demand —	XVI	550	74, 75
See also paragraph § 559.			
Case of Najaf Ali, 1884	XVI	550	74, 75
Government of India Resolution of August 8, 1871, quoted	XVI	650	74, 75
The British prerogative of demanding — is founded on the general subordination of States of the internal protectorate	XVI	550	74,75
(5) No extradition of European British subjects.			
Native States, with one or two exceptions, have no jurisdiction over European British subjects in respect of extraditable offences	14%	551	75, 76
of European British subjects is not made to or from Native States	XVI	551	75, 76
of a European Rritish subject to a Native State. Demand for —. Case of Trumpeter Phillips, Mhow and Hyderabad, 1893	xvı	552	76
Legal advice that a requisition for — under section 14 of the Act cannot be made in respect of European British subjects	X7I	<b>6</b> 52	76
(6) Of Native British subjects and Servants.			
of Natives of India to Baroda	xvi	553	76, 77
The decision of the Court of Directors that British subjects resident in British territory should not be surrendered to a Native State for trial	XVI	553, 554	76, 77 ; 77, 78
Persons cannot be surrendered from British territory except in accordance with British laws which include a treaty procedure when there is one	xvı	554	77,78
of Native British subjects for trial by State Courts is permissible in certain carcumstances	XVI	554	77, 78
from and to Baroda. The correspondence of 1876-77	XVI	553, 556	76, 77; 79
of Natives of India to Native States	IVX	553, 560	76, 77 ; 82,83
Act of 1854 noticed	XVI	554	77, 78
Abrogation of the old principle that Native British subjects must not be surrendered for trial by State Courts	XVI	554	77, 78
of Native servants of the British Government	. xvi	555	78, 79
of public servants of the British and Baroda Governments. Rejection of Mr. Melvill's draft rules for the jurisdiction over and —	XVI	555	78, 79
of Native servants of the British Government. The case of the Mahi Kantha. Policeman, 1889	XV1	556	79
of Native Servants of the British Government. The case of Balwant Rao Bute and Ram Sahai, Central India, 1891	xv1	557	79, 80
Servants of the British Government are, as a general rule, not surrendered to Native States in Central India	xvi	557	79, 80
of Native servants of the British Government. Case of the murder of General Azum-ud-din Khan, Rampur, 1891	Zvi	558	80, 81
The mere fact that an accused person is in the service of the British Government or is a Native British subject is not sufficient ground for refusing to surrender him to a Native State for trial	XVI	558	80, 81
(7) With the Punjab States and Kashmir.			
in the Punjab. Proposed modification of the rules of 1858 regarding -	XVI	559	81, 82
in the Punjab. The rules of 1858 and orders of 1884	XVI	559	81, 82
As Paramount Power the British Government possesses the prerogative of claiming the extradition of offenders from all Native States—See also paragraph			61,62

in the Pariph. The establishment of a primal facet case essential  to a Natire State. Relibiad officer should satisfy theseselves that the primine continuities by a State Cent upon an extendible defender is miniter barbarous are excessive  with Kashmir, 1894 to 1852  procedure (the vegically does and prevent the isomedizate pursuit and served of effenders in State territory. Distinction between —  of offinders for trial by the Corets of another State and their pursuit and served in Longing or State territory. Distinction between —  rales of 1855 and 1855 challenged in the law courts (see foot-code)  proceedings for surrender of crisinals now canducted in accordance with the provisions of the Extendition Act (foot-code),  (5) Miscellaneses.  Abstract of an ellence is not a schoduled extradition offices under the parity have commissed as the State to which he is surrendeded  ament on the to a granted as to risk a second conviction of the same persualized the second to the second conviction of the same persualized the second conviction of the same persualized the second to the second conviction of the same persualization of the same persualization of the same persualization of the same persuali	Subject.	Chapter.	Pangmph.	Page.
with Kashmir. Sir John Lawressels rains for regulating—, 1855; their supersession by the procedure of the act in the Fersjab. The establishment of a prind facie case essential  to a Mirim State. Foliated officer should essistly thousables that the punishment of state Curri upon as extractified effection in mither barbarous arc attention.  with Kashmir, 1884 to 1852  procedure (the regular) does not provent the immediate purmit and arrest of efficulties in State faction;  d. climiter for the by the Courts of carefuler State and their purmit and arrest in faceign or State territory. Distinction between a rules of 1852 and 1559 challenged in the law courts (are foot-note)  proceedings for surrection of continuits now conducted in accordance with the provinces of the Extendition Act (foot-note).  (5) Mineral season.  Abstract of an affence is not a schedulaid extradition offence quade the Act of 1879  A surrendered person may exclimitly to tried for any offence which he may have committed in the State to which he is surrendered  must not be so greated as to sink a scand conviction of the same personflur far same offices.  Sammary of some points relating to—which appear to be well established.  (9) Interested Exteristica.  Remarks made in Rejecture Border Criminals case  from State territories under different jurisdiction. The Secundershal case, 1384.  Idenoren State territories wather different jurisdictions and between state of the arrest post of the contract of the most of the state which to be a scand contract of the contract of the form of	Extradition. (7) Will the Punjab States and Kashmir-continued.			
is the Purjub. The establishment of a prime favoir one consultial  to a Naive State. Political officers should satisfy thorouselves that the presidence in statistical by a State Occur upon an extractical director is suches headered and extract of all calcers in State. Political officers should satisfy thorouselves that the presidence in State State Occur upon an extractical officence is suches headered and extract of all calcers in State State Court upon an extractic of contract and extract of all calcers in State Interface. Polithcain between —	in the Punjab. Bules for regulating —	XVI	<b>5</b> 59	81, 82
to a Native State. Political officers should existly thereadward that the purishment individed by a State Court spon an extradict officedor is artitled between with Kashmin, 1884 to 1893  procedure (the regional does and prevent the immediate puresit and arrest of effectors in State territory.  of officedors for tried by the Courts of smother State and their puresit and arrest of a foreign of State territory. Distinction between —	with Kashmir. Sir John Lawrence's rules for regulating —, 1856; their super- session by the procedure of the Act	XVI	<b>659, 5</b> 60	81, 82; 82, 83
more indicated by a Sizate Court upon an extraction of mander is minimize backwares are excessive with Kashmir, 1934 to 1853	in the Panjab. The establishment of a prima focie case essential	XVI	<b>5</b> 59	81,82
with Kachmir, 1884 to 1893 procedure (the regular) does and prevent the immediate pursuit and arrest of oftenders in State territory. The immediate pursuit and arrest of oftenders in State territory. Datherian between —	ment inflicted by a State Court upon an extradited offender is neither barbarous	XVI	559	81.82
procedure (the regular) does not prevent the immediate pursuit and arrest of offenders for truit by the Courts of austher State and their pursuit and arrest in Longing or State territory. Distinction between —				82, 83; 85
of offenders for trial by the Courts of another State and their perwit and arrest in facing or State territory. Distinction between —	procedure (the regular) does not prevent the immediate pursuit and arrest of			
rules of 1856 and 1855 challenged in the law courts (see foot-note)  proceedings for surrender of craisinals now conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Extendition Act (foot-note).  (5) Miscellaneses.  Abetment of an effects is not a scheduled extendition offence under the Act of 1879 A surrendered person may credinarily be tried for any offence which he may have consmitted in the State to which he is surrendered.  Summary of some points relating to—which appear to be well established.  (7) Interested Extradition.  Remarks made in Rejustana Border Criminals case from State territory under British jurisdiction and State territory under State Government. The Governor-General in Council can prescribe by executive order a procedure for — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		XVI	<b>561</b> .	83, 84
proceedings for surrender of criminals now conducted in sacordance with the provisions of the Extradition Act (foot-suct).  (5) Misscollanesses.  Abstract of an effence is not a scheduled extradition offence under the Act of 1879 A surrendered person may ordinarily be tried for any offence which he may have committed in the State to which he is sacrendered  must not be so granted as to risk a second conviction of the same person[for liss same offence  same offence  Sammary of some points relating to—which appear to be well established  (9) Interstatel Extradition.  Bemarks unde in Rejectana Border Criminals case  from State territory under British jurisdiction and State territory under State Government. The Governer-General in Council can prescribe by executive order a procedure for—  botwoon State territories under different jurisdictions and between subordinate States  The theory of — explained by Six Andrew Scable  The jurisdiction of the Courts of Valis in Enjectana a substitute for—  as the Bajputana and Bombay border  Bajputana Border Fanchayats  Explainant Border Court  Below for—between various States  Letwon States need not be limited to homess effences  The form of an intenstatal agreement avoided in arranging for—between Alwar and Shartpur  Below Interstatal, Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjected of intens of the States which are parties to the understanding  between Native States to British India or from on Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British Ledia  Extracted the States case, 1885-91. Claim admitted, 1590  Bombay representation that the orders westving the production of a prima facing case to support demands for—from Barded would do samy with existing governed prices of procedy are support demands for—from Barded would do samy with existing governed procedy are support demands for—from Barded would do samy with existing governed procedy are support demands for—from Barded would do samy with existing governed procedy are support demands f	in foreign or State territory. Distinction between	XVI	<b>561</b>	<b>53</b> , 84
(3) Misseedissesses. Abstract of an effecte is not a scheduled extradition offence under the Act of 1879 A currendered person may ordinarily be tried for any offence which he may have committed in the State to which he is sourcedered.  Summary of some points relating to — which appear to be well established.  Summary of some points relating to — which appear to be well established.  (7) Intervited Extradition.  Remarks made in Rejustana Berder Criminals case from State territory under British jurisdiction. The Secundershad case, 1886.  I 20 26  from State territory under British jurisdiction and State territory under State Government. The Governor-General in Council can prescribe by executive order a procedure for —  between State territory under British jurisdictions and between subordinate States  The theory of — explained by Six Andrew Scales  The intridiction of the Courte of Vakils in Rejustana a substitute for  The purisdiction of the Courte of Vakils in Rejustana a substitute for  Rejustana and General Berder Court  Rejustana and General Berder Court  Relate for — between States need and be limited to beinges offences  The form of an intervisable agreement avoided in arranging for — between Alwar and Elastyper  Berde State  Styll 573 585 94, 55; 58  between States need and be limited to beinges offences  The form of an intervisable agreement avoided in arranging for — between Alwar and Elastyper  Berde State of British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India or fro	·	XVI	561	53, 84
Abetment of an allemoe is not a scheduled extradition allemoe under the Act of 1879  A surrendered person may codinarily be tried for any offence which he may have committed in the bitute to which he is surrendered means offence  sums not be as granted as to risk a second conviction of the same personfor the same offence  Sammary of some points relating to — which appear to be well established  YVI 567  83 488, 55  (4) Interstate I Extradition.  Eccentral made in Rajputana Border Criminals case	proceedings for surrender of criminals now conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Extradition Act (foot-note).	XVI	561	53, 54
A surrendered person may ordinarily be tried for any officus which he may have committed in the State to which he is surrendered sums to be so granted as to risk a second conviction of the same personflor the same science such social state in the same personflor the	•			
have committed in the State to which a bis surrendered  sums not be so granted as to risk a second conviction of the same persuaffor the same offence  Sammary of some points relating to — which appear to be well established  (1) Interstated Extradition.  Remarks unde in Rejputans Border Criminals case  from State territory under British jurisdiction. The Secunderabad case, 1834  At the flowermer-deneral in Consell can prescribe by executive order a procedure for — State foreign under different jurisdictions and between subordinate States or — States — XVII 569 90  between State territory under different jurisdictions and between subordinate States — XVII 569, 589 90; 102, 1  The theory of — explained by Sir Andrew Scoble — XVII 571 91.  The purisdiction of the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana a substitute for — XVII 573 92, 39  Rajputana Border Panchayats — XVII 574 93, 39  Rajputana Border Panchayats — XVII 574, 575 92, 39  Rajputana Border Panchayats — XVII 577 94.  Rales for — between various States — XVII 577 95  Reles for — between various States — XVII 577 95  Reles for — between various States — XVII 577 95  Reles, Interstatal agreement avaided in arranging for — between Alvar and Shartpur — XVII 578 55, 59  Reles, Interstatal, Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding — XVII 578 55, 59  Active States. The form in which rules of procedure relating to — should be issued — XVII 579 96  Extent of the demand which one Native State may make of another in the matter of — XVII 580 96  See also separate heading "Extradition (Interestatal)."  (10) The primh facio case and Microlleneous matters.  Remarks under the surface case, 1333-91. Claim admitted, 1990 — XVII 532, 584 95, 99; 9		XVI	563	85
Sammary of some points relating to — which appear to be well established	have committed in the State to which he is surrendered	XVI	5634, 568(13	85,86; 88,89
### Remarks made in Rejpetans Border Criminals case    From State territory under British jurisdiction. The Secunderabad case, 1834   XVII   559   90	esme offence see our our	XVI	567	88
Remarks made in Rejputana Border Criminals case  from State territory under British jurisdiction. The Secunderabad case, 1884  between State territory under British jurisdiction and State territory under State Government. The Governor-General in Council can prescribe by executive order a procedure for —  between State territories under different jurisdictions and between subordinate States  The theory of — explained by Sir Andrew Scoble  The jurisdiction of the Courts of Valids in Rejputana a substitute for —  SVII 569 90  101, 1  The jurisdiction of the Courts of Valids in Rejputana a substitute for —  Rejputana Border Panchayats  Rejputana Border Panchayats  Rejputana Border Panchayats  Rejputana Border Panchayats  Relact Interestatal agreement avoided in arranging for — between Alwar and Shartpur  Relact Interestatal Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding  Relact Interestatal Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding  YVII 579 96  Between Matire States to British India  Revenue State of either of the States which are parties to the understanding  YVII 580 96  Set also separate heading "Extradition (Interestatal)."  (10) The prima facio case and Miccollesseess matters.  Barcha chain to a primal facio case, 1333-91. Claim admitted, 1890  Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a primal facio case test support domands for — from Baroda would do away with extining recipitation and production of a primal facio case test support domands for — from Baroda would do away with extining recipitation and production of a primal facio case test support domands for — from Baroda would do away with extining recipitation and production of a primal facio	Summary of some points relating to — which appear to be well established	XVI	568	188, 89
het ween State territory under British jurisdiction. The Secunderabad case, 1884.  bet ween State territory under British jurisdiction and State territory under State Government. The Government in Council can prescribe by executive order a procedure for	(9) Interstat at Extradition.			
between State territory under British jurisdiction and State territory under State Government. The Governor-General in Council can prescribe by executive order a procedure for —	Remarks made in Rajputana Border Criminals case	1	20	26
Government. The Governor-General in Council can prescribe by executive order a procedure for—  between State territories under different jurisdictions and between subordinate States  YVII 569, 589 90; 102, 1  The theory of — explained by Sir Andrew Scoble  The jurisdiction of the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana a substitute for —  AVII 572 92, 93  an the Rajputana and Bombay border  YVII 574 93, 94  Rajputana Border Fanchayats  Rajputana Border Fanchayats  YVII 575, 582  Rajputana and Guzerat Rorder Court  Endes for — between various States  YVII 576, 582  between States need not be limited to beinons elfences  YVII 576, 582  See also spearful of the States which are parties to the understanding  AVII 579 96  Balles, Interestaal, Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding  AVII 579 96  between Matire State. The form in which rules of procedure relating to should be issued  YVII 580 96  From a Native State to British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India.  Extent of the demand which one Native State may make of another is the matter of  See also separate heading "Extradition (Interestata)."  (10) The primal facie case and Microllaneous matters.  Beroda claim to a primal facie case, 1889-91. Claim admitted, 1830  Rombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a primal facie case is support domands for — from Raroda would do away with existing reciprocity  YVII 586	from State territory under British jurisdiction. The Secundersbad case, 1884	XAII	569	90
The theory of — explained by Sir Andrew Scoble	Government. The Governor-General in Council can prescribe by executive	ł	569	90
The jurisdiction of the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana a substitute for — XVII 572 92, 93 on the Rajputana and Bombay border	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	XVII	569, 589	90 ; 102, 103
Bajputana Border Panchayats	The theory of — explained by Sir Andrew Scoble	xvii	571	91
Rejputane Border Panchayats	The jurisdiction of the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana a substitute for -	XVII	573	92, 93
Rejputana and Gunerat Border Court  Rules for — between various States  between States need not be limited to beinous effences  The form of an interstatal agreement avoided in arranging for — between Alwar and Ehartpur  Rules, Interstatal, Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding  between Native States. The form in which rules of procedure relating to—should be issued  from a Native State to British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India  Extent of the demand which one Native State may make of another in the matter of—See also separate heading Extradition (Interstatal).*  (10) The prima facile case and Miscellaneous matters.  Barcda claim to a prima facile case, 1883-91. Claim admitted, 1890  Rombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a prima facile case to support demands for — from Barods would do away with existing reciprocity  XVII 586 100	on the Rajputana and Bombay border	XVII	574	93, 94
Eules for — between various States	Bajputana Border Panchayats	XVII	574, 575	93, 94; 94
between States need not be limited to heinous effences  The form of an interstatal agreement avoided in arranging for — between Alwar and Bhartpur  Eales, Interstatal, Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding  between Native States. The form in which rules of procedure relating to — should be issued — XVII 550 96  from a Native State to British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India — XVII 581 96 to 95  Estent of the demand which one Native State may make of another in the matter of — XVII 581 96 to 95  See also separate heading "Extradition (Interstatal)."  (10) The prima facile case and Microlleneous matters.  Baroda claim to a prima facile case, 1888-91. Claim admitted, 1890 XVII 583, 584 95, 99; 9  Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a prima facile case to support demands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reciprocity — XVII 586 100	Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court	XVII	575	94
The form of an interstatal agreement avoided in arranging for — between Alwar and Shartpur ————————————————————————————————————	Rules for — between various States	XVII	576, 582	94, 95 ; 93
Rules, Interstatal. Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding	between States need not be limited to hemons effences	XVII	577	95
between Native States. The form in which rules of procedure relating to should be issued.  If rom a Native State to British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India  Extent of the demand which one Native State may make of another in the matter of  See also separate heading "Extradition (Interstatel)."  [10] The primal facile case and Miscellaneous matters.  Baroda claim to a primal facile case, 1883-91. Claim admitted, 1890  Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a primal facile case to support demands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reciprocity  IVII 586  100		XVII	578	<b>95,98</b>
from a Native State to British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India  Extent of the demand which one Native State may make of another in the matter of  See also separate heading "Extradition (Interstate)."  (10) The prima facie case and Miscellaneous matters.  Barcal claim to a prima facie case, 1888-91. Claim admitted, 1890  Extra disting the production of a prima facie case to support demands for — from Barcal would do away with existing reciprocity	Bales, Interstatal. Question as to surrender of persons who may not be subjects of either of the States which are parties to the understanding	XVII	579	96
Estent of the demand which one Native State may make of another in the matter of  See also separate heading "Extradition (Interstatal)."  (10) The prima facie case and Miscellaneous matters.  Baroda claim to a prima facie case, 1833-91. Claim admitted, 1890  Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a prima facie case to support demands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reciprocity	between Native States. The form in which rules of procedure relating to	XVII	<b>5</b> 80	96
See also separate heading "Extradition (Interstatel)."  (10) The prima facie case and Miscellaneous matters.  Barcal claim to a prima facie case, 1888-91. Claim admitted, 1890 XVII 583, 584 95, 99; 9  Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a prima facie case to support domands for — from Barcal would do away with existing reciprocity XVII 586 100	from a Native State to British India or from one Native State to another is not governed by the Municipal law of British India	XVII	581	96 to <b>98</b>
(10) The prima facie case and Miscellaneous matters.  Bareda claim to a prima facie case, 1888-91. Claim admitted, 1890 XVII 583, 584 95, 99; 9  Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a prima facie case to support domands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reciprocity XVII 586 100	Extent of the demand which one Native State may make of another in the matter of -	XVII	581	96 to 98
Baroda claim to a primé facie case, 1883-91. Claim admitted, 1890 XVII 583, 584 95, 99; 9  Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a primé facie case to support demands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reciprocity XVII 586 100				i R
Bombay representation that the orders requiring the production of a prime facie case to support demands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reciprocity XVII 586 100	(10) The prima facin case and Miscellaneous matters.			
case to support demands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reci- procity XVII 586 100		XVII	583, 584	95, 99 ; 99
	case to support demands for — from Baroda would do away with existing reci-	<b>XVII</b>	586	100
The authority of Political Agents in metters of — is derived from the Paramount  Power — XVII 586 100	The authority of Political Agents in metters of - is derived from the Paramount			

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Extradition. (10) The prima facie case and Miscellaneous matters - continued.			
Question of reciprecity with Native States in the matter of	xvII	586	100
Bules approved in proceedings of February 1991 extended to cases of — between Baroda and the Kathiawar States (200 foot-note)	XVII	£86	100
Provisions of various rules and treaties regarding the prima facie case	X VII	587	100, 101
The production of a primal facie case required by the treaties of — concluded with Hyderabad, the Rajputana States and Nepal	XVII	587	100, 101
As to the prima facie case, see also under "Extradition (Interstatal)."	)		
The primary object of any arrangements for — is the enforcement of effectual measures for the suppression of crime	xaii	588	101, 102
Complete reciprocity between the Paramount Power and its fendatories in the matter of — is impossible	XVII	583	101, 102
Summary of the policy and practice of the British Government in the matter of — as between Native States and State territories under different jurisdictions	XVII	589	102, 103
When a Native State follows in its own arrangements for — the provisions of the British Act and rules, the Act and rules do not apply of their own force as British enactments.	xvII	589	709 102
Prove Margan hofers and dian by annihistian to Sunnintendents of Distinct	XVIII	616A	102, 103
Act of 1872. Be-enactment of — in the Act of 1879	XVI	550	118, 119
Act of 1872. The treaties contemplated in the 14th section of the — held to be		553	74, 75
Extradition Act, 1879. Befusal of the Government of India to enlarge the schedule of offences appended to the Act	XVI	563	85, 86
and Bules. General instructions of 1875 as to the working of the	XVI	565	87
(The) only concerned with extradition from British India	XVII	584	99
Question of enlarging the list of offences scheduled to the —	XVIII	615	117, 118
See also under "Acts," head (7).	}		
Extradition Agreements with Native States. The present policy of Government is opposed to the conclusion of	XVI	542	66, 67, 63
with Dharampur and Jauhar	IXX	756	203, 204
Extradition Convention. (Draft) with Portugal identifies State with British territory for purposes of extradition demands from Foreign States	п	32	41-43
Extractition (Interstatal) See also under "Extractition (9) Interstatal Extractition."  May be regulated by express order of the Paramount Power or by rules prescribed by the Governor-General in Council. (See also paragraphs § 16, § 17, § 18, and § 20)	XVII	570	90, 91
One Native State may be required to surrender to another Native State the criminal subjects of the latter	XVII	570	90, 91
is not regulated by British laws	IIVX	570	90, 91
If one Native State refuses to surrender to another State, the case becomes one of a dispute between two States to be settled by British authority	XVII	570.	90, 91
Prime facie proof of guilt before surrender required by some sets of the rules for — and not by others	XVII	<b>[</b>	94, 95 ; 95, 96 98 ; 100, 101
in Rajputana	XVII	577, 581	95; 96—98
A clear expression of the views of the Government of India as to the requirement of a prima facis case in —	XVII	581	96 to 98
Case of Natha Dola, Baroda and Burwani, 1882-83	XVII	581	96 to 98
A Political Officer should not carry his intervention in cases of — further than may be really necessary	XVII	581	96 to 98
The attitude of a Political Officer when transmitting an application for extradition should usually be neutral	XVII	<b>681</b>	96 to 98
in Central India. Approval of Colonel Wylie's rules for	XVII	582	98
Assimilation of the Bombay procedure to that prescribed for extradition from British to State territory	XVII	58 <b>3</b>	98 <b>,</b> 99
in the Eombay Presidency	IIVX	583	98, 93
The establishment of a prima facie case not provided for in the Chamba and Kashmir Rules, 1875	XVII	687	100, 101

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,
Extradition (Interstatal) A prima facio case required by the Travancore and Cochin Rules, 1873	XVII	587	100, 101
Disadvantages of requiring the production of a prima facie case in —	XVII	588	101, 102
Case of the Rao of Kutch, 1891. His claim to a prima facie case	XVII	588	101, 102
Extradition Offence. For purposes of section 14 of the Act, — is not limited to offences entered in the schedule	XVI	545	70
Extradition of Prisoners. It is not clear whether on the re-transfer of such persons to British territory they could be lawfully detained for the	XVI	564	86
Chandrin's enga Hydrahad 1902	XVI	564	86
Each case of — must be treated on its merits as it arises	XVI	564	86
A-tra dition Propositions Assentance of bail in -	XVI	562	84
Introdition Dulas Period mls No K of the	XVI	542	66, 67, 6
Tainer and Daticle 1979 Their actions to ather States	XVII	576	94, 95
Alman and Phanton 1809	XVII	578	95, 96
Bangwaya and Paytahoash	XVII	579	96
Dibaria and Tains-in 1901	XVII	580	96
Extradition Treaties with Hyderabad and the Rajputana States. Amendment of the —,	XVI	549	72, 73, 7
The conclusion with Native States of new agreements on the nature		era eca	81, 82 ; 85
of — is opposed to the policy of Government	XVI	559, 563 566	87, 88
Either party may voluntarily do much more than a treaty requires although neither can without the consent of the other do what the treaty forbids	XVI	566	87, 83
executed between 1867 and 1868 in Rajputana. Formalities considered necessary	IXX	713	179, 180
Extradition Treaties, Rajputana. Importance attached to the - being duly sealed	IXX	714	180
Extradition with Foreign territories. Native States are part of the British Empire for purposes of —	11	32, 33	41—43; 43
to Foreign States, outside the internal Protectorate. Cases in illustration of —			
To Nepal from Rampur and Sikkim	II	32	41-43
To , from British territory. Hanumannagar case	XVI	544	69, 70
To , Officials charged with bribery	ZVI	545	70
To , Muhammad Ali, Watchman	XVI	546	71
To Afghanistan—  Case of Mirza Baiza	XVI	543	68, 69
, of seven Achakzais	XVI	543	68, 69
of Abdur Bashid and Sher Ali	xvı	543	68, 69
,, of Muhammad Ibrahim	XVI	5 <b>43</b>	68, 69
To the French Settlements—  Case of Sankara Reddy	11	32	41-43
of Die Bakhah	XVI	547	71, 72
See also the draft Convention with Portugal and Extradition Agreements with Dharmpur and Jauhar	XXI {	82	41—43 203, 204 ; 20
Also the case of Pole, a deserter from an Austrian mau-of-war	XVI	548	72
Extradition Warrants under the Act do not run in State territory	IIVX	581—585	96-200
Issue of — in the Bowbay Presidency in interstatal cases when the accused must pass through British territory	XVII	585	99, 100
F			
Factories in Mative States caunot, if the projectors are British subjects or Europeans, be established without a reference to the Government of India	<b>v</b>	99, 100	l <b>21 ; 121, 123</b>

	1	<u> </u>	
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Fadhl Ali's case. Jurisdiction over subjects of Native States in Masket (foot-note)	п	23	36, 37
Fair Ali Khan, Muhammad, Nawab, C.S.I., of Jaipur, appeinted Minister of Kota	17	67	91, 92
Title bestowed by the British Government. Objec- tion to its bestown by the Darber	XXII	768	208, 209
Fanshawe, Mr. A. U., advecates postal unity	VI	165	180, 181
Faridkot Case (The), 1887. Barbarens practices	17	57	78, 79
Faridkot. Tresty previsions as to slavery or slave dealing	▼.	101	123
Postal Convention Case, 1886. Objection to convention	VI.	163	178, 179
Extradition arrangements	XVI	559	81,81
Farrukhnagar. Penishment of for rebellion	r	11	12-14
Farrukh Shah, Nubammad, of the Mysore Family. Title of Shakada conferred on — as a very special case	XXII	<b>790</b>	222, 293
Fatchabad. Refusal to assign the pargama of — in absolute coversignty to Kapanthala	VIII	256	1719
Fatehgarh and Kishangarh. Dispute between -, 1874	IX	309	72
Poes on Civil Processes. Reciprocity with Gwalior, Mysore and Hydershad in the levy of -			
on the British system	IVIII	606	114
Females.			
Objections to Pards rule.			
The Dholpur and Patisla Case	II, XIII	21, 417	26-28; 137
Soccession of fomales.			
The Peint State	XI	345	126, 127
Rampur	XI	345	126, 127
Bhopal	11	345	126, 127
Naigawan Ribni	XI XI	842	126, 127
Summary	XI	8 <b>54</b> (5)	126
Entrusted with administrative powers.			
Sikandar Begam, Regent of Bhopal	xı	345	126, 127
Nanahai, mother of the late Chief, appainted Regent of Lumawara is 1849 (see foot-note)	xm	417	184
Begency of —. The mother of Sindhia appointed Regent without administrative	XIII.	423	187—189
Strangert, 1873	XIII	417	184
Kandgaon, 1883	XIII	417	184
Hysore, 1895 (see foot-note)	XIII	417	184
Simmery on on on on on	XIII	438 (11)	200
Ferozepur and Kapurthala Case (The), 1857. Ever boundaries	VIII	260	22, 23
Feudatories of Ruling Chiefs. See "Amjhers case," "Shorapur case," "Rajpipla and Sagbara case," "Loans."			
Foudatories of Buling Chiefs have not the privilege of coining money	v	107	127, 128
of Rolling Chiefs are exempt from the payment of mazzarana to the British Government	XII	\$87	161
of Baling Chiefa. Status of the Guaranteed Thakurs of Central India	xv	501, 502, 503	4244
Feudatories of Native States will be protected from encroachment on their rights by their Suzerains. The Koti and Keonthal case, 1884	ΙX	<b>S13</b>	77, 78
Financial Agents or Capitalists. Direct dealings between Native State and —not permitted	v	95, 100	115, 116; 121, 122
See "Native States," head (?) (iv).			
Fines. Chief of Jhabea fined for an abose of authority	IV	55	76, 77
Makrai Chief fixed for a breach of engagement	IA	64	න
Fiscal Laws of the British Government can follow its subjects wherever they may go	XIA	452	2, 3

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Fiscal Co-operation of Native States. Opium agreements	VI	185	198, 199
of Native States	ıv	185, 188	193, 199; 207—209
of Native States. Acquisition by Government of salt sources in State territories	VI	186	201-203
of Native States. Abkari agreements with the Bombay States	VI	187	207
of Native States. No State may so manage its fiscal affairs as to interfere with any general fiscal policy of the Paramount Power	VI	188	207-209
See "Native States," head (14).			1
Fitzpatrick (Sir Dennis) on immunity from foreign law as a test of sovereignty	VII	223	234, 235
his opinion that we have jurisdiction in cantonmerts in State territory apart from any consideration of the analogies of International Law	XIV.	470	23, 24
his opinion that our position in the Hyderabad Besidency Bazars is closely analogous to our position in a cantonment in State territory	XIV	476	26, 27
his supposition that the Indore Residency Bazar stands on much the same footing as a cantoument	XIV	477	27
his doubt whether Courts established by a Local Government are courts established by the Governor-General in Council	XVIII -	600	111
his opinion as to the honorary civil employment of a young Ruling Chief	XIX	658	144, 145
his memorandum on the Bhaunagar case. Cessions to Native States and foreign powers	VIII	248	8, 9
Foreign Adventurers. It is an important principle of imperial policy not to permit the unrestricted employment of — by Native Darbars	v	87, 94	110, 111; 115
Foreign Consuls in India may hold no communication with Native States (see "German Consulate case," "Rampur Medjidi case," "Foreign Decoration cases")	11	30, 33	38-40;43
Location of — in the interior of India not permissible	11	<b>8</b> 1, 33	40, 41 ; 43
Foreign Decoration cases, 1879 and 1884	11	30	38-40
Foreigners and Europeans. Position of — in Native States undefined (see also paragraphs §78 to §80 inclusive, §81 to §94 and §95 to §100)	xx	702—707	171—174
Foreign Judgments by what methods enforced	XVIII	602, 603	112; 112, 118
Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act. See under "Acts," head (7).			,
Foreign Mercenaries. Employment of — in the service of Native States	VI	143, 149	159, 160; 164
The Banswara case, 1873	VI	144	160
General orders regarding employment of — in Rajputana	VI	145, 148	160, 161 ; 163, 164
Recruitment of Kukas for service in Kashmir	VI	146	161, 162
The Ali Rajpur case, 1883	VI	147	162, 163
Bhopal reference of 1888 regarding deputation of Wilayatia	VI	148	163, 164
General orders regarding employment of — in Central India extended to Rajputana, 1891	vı	148	163, 164
General orders regarding employment of — in Central India	VΙ	148	163, 164
Foreign Powers. Native States bave no relations with	11	27, 83	85, 86; 43
Bulers of Nepal, Afghauistan, Maskat and Zanzibar entitled to a salute of 21 guns in British India	IIXX	813	235
Forts in Native States. British occupation of —	VI	157	178
French Order of Officier de l'Instruction   Insignia of the — sent to the Maharaja of Publique	11	30	38-40
French pettas. Proposed acquisition of — by exchange	VIII	248	8, 9
Franch and Portuguese Settlements in India pointed out as Alsatias for absconding debtors	XVIII	594	107
French Settlements in India. Extradition with — is regulated by article IX of the treaty of 1815	XVI	547	71, 72
Extradition to —. The case of Pir Bakhsh, 1884	XVI	547	71, 72

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
French Territory in India. Extradition with Sankara Reddy's case	п	82	41-43
Frere (Sir Bartle); his deputation to Zanzibar and Maskat	11	28	26, 87
his minute on the status of Kathiawar	VII	197	216, 217
·			
C			
Gabat. Cession of the village of — to Idar	VIII	251	12, 13
Gaekwar. Suzerainty of the in Kathiawar before British rule	VII	192	212,213
See also "Barods," " Deposition."			
Gandabherunda, Order of. Mysore Mabaraja not allowed to institute the	XXII	772	216
Gangpur. See "Chota Nagpur Tributary Mahala."		ļ	•
Ganpat Rao, Sir, of Gwalior. Grant of salutes in the case of Regency	XXII	817	236, 237
Gaohali. See "Mehwai Chiefshipe."	1		
Garauli. Nasarana prescribed in adoption sanad	XII	884	157—159
Garhjat Chiefs. See "Central Provinces Chiefs."	1		
Garhwal. Title of Raja granted by sanad	IXX	740	194, 195
re-granted by sausd	IXX	740	194, 195
German Consulate's Case (The), 1873. Foreign Consuls and Native States	II	80	88-40
Ghulam Dastagir, case of, referred to	1	13	14-17
Hyderabad Residency Bazars jurisdiction case, 1892. Powers and pro-			
cedure under the Code of Criminal Procedure. Jurisdiction independent of International Law	XIV	479, 480	28, 29 ; 29, 30
Goa Commercial Treaty of 1878 is not a precedent for separating the States from Eritish India in relations with Foreign Powers	XXI	755	202, 203
Godavari Boundary Case (The), Hyderabad, 1894-95. River boundaries	VIII	267 A	28-30
Gogha. Cession of the district of — to the British Government, 1802	VII	196	215, 216
Goktul Das, Seth, of Jabalpur. Proposal to confer the hereditary title of "Bai Bahadur"	1		
on —, negatived	YVII	781	220
Gondal, Mail robberies in	VI	159	174-176
Thakur of, his application for an adoption sanad in 1888	XI	356	138, 139
Nawapagar loan case (foot-note)	11	23	82-84
Government of India (The) is, except in certain boundary cases, the only authority which can decide questions of soversignty as between one State and another	WITT	241, 242, 270	1;1,2;
(The) is the sole judge of the considerations of policy by which grants of territory must be determined	I WITH	245	3-6
THE TAX THE SALE SELECTION OF THE CONTRACT OF	***	722	183, 184
Contilla For Con Than all and a martin and indistration in Water Claim		13	14-17
his opinion regarding the attempt of one State to interfere in the		22	
deslings of the British Government with another	"		28-83
Grant, Smith & Co. (Mossrs.), of Calcutta, Case of. Execution of a British decree in a	V	97	117, 113
Native State	XVIII	591	104 28-82
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	11 77	-	i
his circular regarding the Salvation Army in Central India  bis view time the will available and Makranis in Ali Rajpur excite the		79 A	103, 104
his view that in extradition of deserters there is no complete reciprocity	VI	147	162, 163
suggests precautions against enlistment of bandsmen who have deserted	VI	151	166, 167
from Native State Armies	XIX	154 663	170—172 181
	]	}	

## POLITICAL PRACTICE.

•	Subject.					Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Grimwood (Mr.), his murder at M	anipur	•••	***	944		1	6	7, 8
Guarantee. Telegraph extensions o	n	•••	•••	•••		VI	180	193, 194
of Mediatised Chiefs o	Central India	100	***	***	[	IX	315	79,80
in the case of the Megraph § 315		Central Ind	lia explain 	ed. See also	para-	. <b>xv</b>	500	41,42
Guaranteed Chiefs, cannot waiv Power	***	190	***	***	***	xv	502	43, 44
Guarantees of engagements between Political Officers	•••	***	•••	***		ХX	685	160
Guaranteed Thakurs in Central of the —	***	•••	jurisdict	ion in the	estates 	xv	501	42, 43
Guna. British jurisdiction exercised			***	•••	•••	XIV	480	29, 30
Gurkhas. Employment in the Kash				•••	. •••	VI	140	157, 158
Question of surrender by	Kashmir of desert	ers from th	e Fourth -		***	VI	150	164, 166
Gwalior-Hyderabad Case (The	. Exchange of te	rritory	•••	•••		11	19	22-24
Gwalior. Circulation of copper coin	of — in British te	rritory	•••	••••	•••	•	118	135, 136
Minting by - of copper	coin identical in siz	e and weig	ht with B	ritish coin		V	120	136-138
Closure of mints in —	•••	***	•••			v	120 A	138, 139
Treaty provisions as to th	e employment of E	uropeans s	nd the lik	• '	•••	V	81	105-107
Manufacture of ammunit	on in —	•••	•••	***	•••	VI	127	-146, 147
Question of supply of bre	ch-loaders to unse	lected troo	ps	***		vı	135	153155
has furnished a transport	train for Imperial	Service	•••	•••		VI	138	156,157
Amendment of Postal Con	vention	•••	***	***		vı	163	178, 179
Additional Postal Agreed the consent of the Good obtained	vernment of India	to the neg	otiations initiation	were entered should have	upon, been	xxı	726	186
Postal Convention, 1885.		lissatisfied 	because it	was not sign	ned by	xxı	731	188
Fortress of. Question of	the restoration of t	he — to l	Iaharaja S	indhi <b>a</b>		VIII	250	10—12
Cession of territory to -	***	***	***	•••		vIII	244	2, 3
Cession of territory to -	***	***	•••	***		VIII	250	10—12
Refusal to cede Kunch to		•••	•••	•••		VIII	253	1416
Minority, 1886. State ad	ministered by a Re	gency Cou	noil	•••		XIII	422	187—189
has accepted Colonel Wyl	ie's interstatal extr	adition rul	es	***		XVII	582	. 98
Reciprocity with - in th	e levy of fees on ci	vil process	es on the l	British syster	<u></u>	XVIII	606	114
Maharaja Sindhia, bis ho				400		XIX	656	141—143
Treaty of 1844 made whe			***	***	}	XXI	727	186
Gwalior Case (The), 1885. Descr			es	***		VΙ	151	166, 167
Hall (Mr. W. E.); his treatise on Int				···	 Vdia	I	13	17
Hanumanagar. Attack upon — 1887. Strict tatie	by Nepalese policonstruction of	tical refu the Extrad	rees living	in British in favorem	India,	XVI	544	69, 70
Hardings (Lors, his letter to the	Maharaja C. Kash	mir on the	consequen	ces of misrule	e	II1	38	48, 49
Hardit Singh's (Sowar) Case,						٧٢	152	167—169
Hardit Singh's case mentioned.				•••		XX	703	174, 175
Harington (Mr. H. B.). His op			the Centre			111	36	45-47
in the	Kathiawar case quas limited sovereig	intes Whee			- 1	VII	199	218

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Hasht Bhya Jagirs. See. "Bundelkhand, the States of."			
Hasht Bhyas. Nazarana prescribed in adoption sanad	XII	384	157—159
Hastings, Marquis of, asserted the principle that titles and other marks of distinction should be conferred by the British Government direct on its native subjects instead of as formerly through the Court of Delhi	XXII	759	205, 206
Heirs, natural. See "natural heirs."			
Henvey (Mr.). His note on jurisdiction in the estates of the guaranteed Thakurs in Central India	xv	501	42, 43
Heraldic College. Proposal to establish an — at Calcutta	XXII	758	205
Hereditary titles. Many not expressly conferred or recognised by the British Government are in common use	XXII	774	217
Recognition by Government of — held by usage	XXII	775, 759	217; 205, 206
granted only under very exceptional circumstances	XXII	760	206, 207
List of various — with form of sanads conferring the same	XXII	773	216, 217
Reasonable claims to — will not be rejected without full enquiry	XXII	777, 786 (4)	218, 219 ; 221,
Conferment of — confined to the higher titles of Maharaja, Raja,			222
Nawab and the like	XXII	781	220
may be withdrawn for misconduct	XXII	801, 802	228
High Court(s). Substitution of — for Governor in Council as final Court of Appeal for cantonments and civil stations in State territory, Bombay, not considered desirable. See also paragraph § 464. Statute 24 and 25 Vict., C. 104 referred to —	1	474	95 oc
Their jurisdiction over European British subjects, being Christians, resi-		3/3	25, 26
dent in State territory	XVI	551	75, 76
The law does not provide for references to — in disputed questions of law or fact in political cases, nor is it expedient to enact such provision		672, 673	152, 153; 153
Highness, title of. Restricted to Buling Chiefs who are entitled to a salute of not less than ten			154
guns and to certain other persons specially selected	XXII	792	223, 224
Hill Tippers, Status of —	VII	220	232, 233
Hindol. Exempt from nazarana by terms of sanad	XII	386	159-161
4 His Excellency." Title of — not permitted to be used in addressing Native Chiefs	XXII	792	223, 224
Proposal to accord the title of — to the Nizam's Minister as a personal distinction while in office, negatived	XXII	792	223, 224
Hobhouse (Lord). His opinion regarding jurisdiction of Native States over European British subjects	r	3	2, 3
Letter drafted by him in the Kuch Behar case	AII	215	227— <b>2</b> 29
His views regarding the status of the Orissa Mahals	VII	216	229, 230
His explanation of the objects of the Laws Local Extent Bill	. AII	217	230, 231
Holkar, Claims of — to suserainty over Jaora	11	22	2832
Attempt of — to interfere in the affairs of Baroda	11	22	28-82
Security of on interiors in the strains of periors		41	55, 56
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case	III		1
Maharaja His aminion on the Rawds case	VIII	254	16, 17
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case			16, 17 124, 125
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case	VIII	254	•
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case	XIX	25 <b>4</b> 625	124, 125
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case	XIX XIX	254 625 641	124, 125 136
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case	XIX XIX VIII	254 625 641 729	124, 125 136 187
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case	XIX XIX XXI XXII	254 625 641 729 818	124, 125 136 187 237—239
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case	VIII XIX XIX XXII XXII XXIII	254 625 641 729 818 124	124, 125 136 187 237—239 144, 145 131, 132,
Maharaja. His opinion on the Baroda case  Proposal of — to purchase the fort of Sindwa referred to in foot-note  1867. Liability of Holkar to be sued in a British Court as a trader or banker in British India  permitted to purchase a building site at Khandwa, 1884  Railway agreement with the Maharaja, 1870, not ratified by the Viceroy  Maharaja. Increase to his salute as a mark of favour  Holkar's case, in illustration of the objections to gift or sale of Government lands in British territory to Ruling Chiefs	XIX XIX XXII XXII XXII XXII	254 625 641 729 818 124 636, 638 {	124, 125 136 187 237—239 144, 145 131, 132, 133—135

•	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,
Honorary C	ommissions. Do not involve discharge of any military duties	XIX	656,666 (1)	141 143
Honorary N	filitary titles. The grant of — to gentlemen, however high their rank may be, who are not in any way connected with the army, should only be resorted to under very special circumstances		gra	
		XIX	656	141143
ionorary M	ilitary rank. Not restricted to Buling Chiefs	XIX	656	141—148
	What is its effect?	XIX	656	141_143
_	d-Ellichpur Case (The), 1889-90. River boundaries	VIII	266	27
Iouse prop	erty. Objections to purchase of land in British territory by Ruling Chiefs apply equally to —	XIX	637	132,133
Iusain Bilg	rami, Saiyid. Title bestowed by the Nizam on -, a British subject not	9250-2	13 m. 1 G	carme
_	officially recognised outside the State	XXII	14 1 766 ft .	(211, 212
yderabad.	The sovereignty of the Nizam is only a limited sovereignty	1	16 P 11 1	.1
	Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	<b>v</b>	81	105—107
	Superscription on its coiusge No in the superscription on its coiusge	<b>. ▼</b> .	106	125—127
	Protest against issue of debased coin in	ν,	118	135, 136
	Unification of coinage not accepted by	Ψ.	118	135, 136
	Circulation of copper coin of — in British territory	· : 🔻	119	136
	Closure of mints in —	v	120A	138, 139
	Manufacture of ammunition in —	νı	127	146, 147
	Case of Nawab Vikar-ul-Umara, Objection to import of Martini-Henry rifles	ŅΙ	135	153—155
	Applications from — for surrender of some sepoys in the British service for desertion	YYYY	154	170-172
	Nizam's application for removal of Imperial post offices and letter boxes rejected in 1888 and 1893	VII	161	176-172
	Negotiations for new postal convention dropped	VI	163	178, 179
	Telephone project in —	VI	183	195-197
	Opium arrangements	VI	185 D	200, 201
	Salt arrangements with —	VI	186 E	206
	and Bikanir. Territorial dispute between -		ľ	
	Cession of territory to —	VIII	242	1, 2
	and Ballana Witness C. 11. C. (Mr. ). Tare	VIII	244	2,3
	The Godavari Roundary acco 1900 OF	VIII	265	26, 27
	Succession cases of 1964 and 1976 hr	VIII ·	267 A	28-30
	O in the second	ΧI	342, 343	118—122 122—125
	of the minority of the Nizam, 1869 norities. Leading case	XIII	401-406	170—180
	Old custom of taking off shoes and sitting o 1891 ound when visiting the Nizam abandoned	XIII	401	170, 171
	Jail outbreak, 1885. Jurisdiction over E rinciples ritish subjects refused to a tribunal of the Hyderabad State	XIV	440	
	Offences committed by Europeans inn the Kizam's sanad of 1861 in connection with British jurisden	XIV	448 449	6, 7 7, 8
	Residency Bazars. Authority exercise Acerved its purplident at Hyderabad within the	XIV	462	17—19
	Rules made by the Governor Grand or Seconderabad and other places, 1883, regulating succipline subject 344, regulating reciprocal execution of deer regulating extradition, 1 States armost of — passed Contingent, Centenment	***	400	ột ôo
(	Contingent. Cantonment ded independently of	XIV	466	21, 22
		XIX	470	23, 24
. 1	territory h	XIV	476	26, 27
1	Residency Bazars. Apply territory by entences of procedure under that to acquisition to a Political enteres of death where the states are sense of the	XIV	479,480	28, 29 ; 29, 30

		1		1
	Subject.	Chapter.	Paregraph.	Page,
			1,41	
Hyderabad	Jurisdiction in Thagi and Dacoity trials in -	xv	533	62, 63
	Extradition treaty. Amendment of the -, 1888	XVI	549	72, 73, 74
	Extradition treaty primd facie proof required	XVII	587	100, 101
<b>:</b>	Reciprocity in enforcement of decrees and State demands.	XVIII	605	114
,	Reciprocity with - in the lavy of fees on civil processes on the British		000	1
	system es see see see see	XVIII	606	114
	Endeavours of the State to eject z Mr. Ward from s village leased by him in the State	XX	705	173
-	Jurisdiction of the Nizam's Courts over sepoys of the Hyderabad Contingent	xx	708	174, 175
,	Agreement concluded with the State in 1882 for the exchange of mails between the State and Imperial Post Offices. Previous instructions not given by the Foreign Department	XXI	723	184
	Exceptions taken to the bestowal by the Nizam of titles without the consent	1	·	1
	of the Government of India on British subjects employed by Hia	XXII	765, 766	210, 211; 21
	en de la companya de La companya de la co		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	212
•	Order of the Ali Band proposed to be revived by the Nizam	XXII	771	215
	Order of the Asafia not permitted to be created by the Nizam	XXII	771	215
Hyderabad	and Chanda Railway Case (The), 1877. Direct dealings between Native States and capitalists not per-	-		
•	missible	v	95, 97	{ 115, 116   { 117, 118
gyderabad (	Case (The), 1872. Employment of Europeans in Native States	V,	. 84	109
Hyderabad	Contingent Stations. British jurisdiction exercised without any express	XIV	480	29, 30
Ivderabad	(Deccan) Mining Company Case (The), 1883-88. Direct dealings			
	between Native States and capitalists are not permissible	1 40	98	118-121
.hedavsheti	Swalior Case (The). Exchange of territory	II.	19	22-21
•	Residency Bazars. British jurisdiction in —	XIV	452	17—19
Fracetanag.	British jurisdiction exercised without any express	, is in		
	cession	XIV.	. ↓ <b>48</b> 0	29, 30
	need	1		
	the Nin	g dong. He one	[	Ì
	ive Sta	11/1.5	$\dot{u}$	1
dar. Cession	of territory to -	VIII	251	12, 13
Lapse c	f Ahmadnagar to ase	ıx,	307	65-67
•	ent, 1874. Hathmati river case. Agreema Mahals . Native States must be			
	ocal Ext."	XXI	717	181
libert (Sir Co	ourtensy); his views on Political Law	Vol. I	Preface.	iii
	his opinion as to the use of Interna Political cases was a guide in Indian	Part of the second	13	14-17
	his remarks on British jurisdiction if terabad Cantonment	XIV.	469	22,23
	his opinion that where no law apple in foot-note tradition demand from	XVI	548	68, 69
	Afghanistan the analogy of the irt as a trad t should be followed his advice as to extradition betw	XVII	569-	. 90
	his advice as to extradition between territory			90
	Afghanistan the analogy of the 1 rt as a trad t should be followed his advice as to extradition betw territory bad and the Nizam's territory bad and the Nizam's territory bed by the Vices bjects of the surrendering State r British protected recessor."	XVII	<b>569</b>	96
	Afghanistan the analogy of the left as a trad t should be followed his advice as to extradition between territory	XIX	569- 579-	96
(mmoveable	Afghanistan the snalogy of the left as a trad t should be followed his advice as to extradition between territory	XIX XVII XVII	569- 579- 665 469	96 149, 150 22, 23
mmoveabl <b>e</b>	his advice as to extradition between territory	XIX	569- 579- 665	<b>96</b> 149, 150

	SUBJECT	Chapter	Paragraph	Page
		- <del>11</del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Immoveable property	in British territory Exceptions to the general rule in regard to acquisition of — by Native Chiefs 11.	( XIX	641-649	136—138
	an British territory 'No interference in the case of a bequest to a sou of the Rija of Kothi (t)	XIX.	t 643:	136
	Native State cannot claim as a matter of right to exercise its powers of pre emption under the Purjab Laws Act	XIX	644	136
	in British territory. Government have no legal power to prevent acquisition of — by Ruling Chiefs, or important personages in Native States	XIX	647	137
	Political officers must not hold land in Native States	XX	694	164, 165
	When the, Government of India may prevent the residence of a foreigner or European British subject in a Native State, can they also prevent the acquisition by such person of — in State territory?	XX	703	171, 172
	Holding of — by Europeans and foreigners in State territory	xx	703—705	171—173
Impalement Prohibition		17	63	87, 89
_	Rajputana — abolished ,	, VI	139	157
Imperial defence fund		vI	131, 141	150-152
mperial Service Corps.	Question of the command of the troops of a State or of any portion of them being given to a British (European or Native) Officer		131, 141	158
	(foot note)	VI	131	1:0-152
	Position of Inspecting Officers	IV	133 +	152, 153
	Offers of artillery not accepted	Vı	134	153
	Armament of selected troops	vi	134	153
	Breech loading arms of precision supplied to selected but not to unselected troops	, AI	135	153—155
	Question of transport	, VI	137	155, 156
	Distribution of expenditure between the Supreme and State Governments	vı	139	157
	No present intention to increase the strength of the force	VI	141	158
	Issue of orders for preventing the enlistment in the British Army of deserters from the —	VI VI	154	170—172
	Intended legalisation of the extradition of deserters from -	VI.	155	172
	Provides a military career for Rulers and leading men of some Native States	XIX	658, 666 (4)	144—145, 1
Imperial Service Schen	ne The despatch of March 1889 /	VI	131	150152
	Man principle of the	VI.	131	150152
	History of the movement	VI	131	150152
	Subsidiary principles of the $ extstyle -  au_{-1}$ , $ au_{11}$	VI	131	150—152
	The report of February 1891	VI	132	152
	Comparison of present principles with those of 1874	VI	136	155
	Contributions of money not received , 1 , 114	vı	138	156, 157
	Exceptional position of Kashmir in relation to the —	VI	140	157, 158
	has nearly or quite served its purpose	ΔI	141	158
Imperial Service Troop	India 1 Carlo	XIX	673	153, <b>154</b>
_	For purposes of discipline subject to the military law of their own States	XIX	673	153, 154
Feudate	n in British jails of sentences of — passed in the Central Provinces ory States	xv	495	37, 88
States	a jails of persons convicted and sentenced by Courts of Native A superseded ruling of 1889	xv	495, 496	37, 38 , 38,
acting t	in British territory of sentences of — passed by a Court or tribunal under British authority within or without British India	xv	496	38, 3 <b>9</b>
Sentences should n	of — when referred to a Political authority for confirmation of be enhanced to sentences of death	хv	497	39

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Income-tax Act. Application to cantonments in Native States; when so applied, affects officials and non-officials equally	XX	701	170, 171
Income-tax. Not leviable from salaries portions of salaries or allowances paid by Native	XX	701	170,171
If an officer is however serving under the Government of India and in receipt of a salary fixed by them, the mere fact that the salary is actually paid by a		N 1 1.4. 4	10.03
Native State will not exempt it from taxation under the Act		701	170, 171
Doses of her of making war apply the best failures	XX	701	170, 171
Independence, Partial, The term when applied to Native States technically incorrect	i in III	35	44,45
Independence and Sovereignty: Distinction metween — them is determined to an in-	orto <b>III.</b> Comates	11 (10 <b>35</b> ,0 m)	44.45
India, defined in Statute 52 and 53 Vict., Chapter 63 (see foot-note)  13 31) ULL TONE CVICTOR OF THE Jordan and principles in —	vii.	29 212	37, 38 225, 226
Indian Civil Service. Not ppen to subjects of Native States !	XIX	663, 666 (6)	147,148,1
Indian Fountiory States are in political subordination to the Paramount Power	e la Maria	11.1	Sound
which would enable us to formulate and laws for indian Marine Service. Powers of the Governor-General in Council to make laws for	$\Delta m_{ij}$	de Manie	he old
Treaty-makipersons employed or serving inter belonging to —	XIV	<b>41</b> 000	coteg <b>, 5</b> 0 eticolly
Indian Penal Code. Provisions regarding alayery	▼	102	122, 123
Sections 3 and 4. Liability of British subjects for offences committed beyond British India	XIV	444	ત્રુષ્ટમા <b>ું</b>
Provisions relating to Thagi and Dakaiti	xv	<b>5</b> 38	64, 65
Provisions of — relating to European British subjects in State territory	xvı	551	15, 76
Section 2. Ameanbility of subjects of Native States to British Courts	XIX	673	153, 164
ndian Political Law defined. (See also Preface, pages iii, iv)	I	1	1
came into existence after the mutiny	. I	1	1
is identical with the quasi-international law applicable to India mentioned by Sir Henry Maine	XXI	746, 748	197, 198 ; 1
ndore. Exception taken to the use of the terms "royal," "royalty" and the like with reference to Native States	I	10	11
Criminal sentence passed by a State Courtin — without a regular trial	IV	61	84—67
Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	v	81	105—107
Circulation of copper coin of — in British territory	v	119	136
Closure of mints in —	v	120 A	138, 139
Claudestine manufacture of arms in —	VI	124	144, 145
Manufacture of ammunition in —	VI	127	146, 147
Residency limits. Civil jurisdiction within the -, 1885	ΧIV	477	27
has accepted, with qualifications, Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition sules	XVII	582	98
ndors-Baroda Case (The), Interference of one State with another	II	22	2832
ndore Cotton Mills Case (The), 1866-70. Employment of Europeans in Native	v	82	107 100
ndore-Dewas Case (The), 1893. Interference of one State with another	11	22	107, 108 28—32
ndore-Jaora, Case (The), Interference of one State with another	11	22	28-82
ndore-Panna Case (The). Interference of one State with another	п	22	28-32
ndore Residency Bazars. British jurisdiction exercised without any express cession	XIV	480	29, 80
njunction, notices of. Issue as between British India and Hyderabad of — under the system of levying fees on civil processes	XVIII	606	114
nland Customs line abolished	VI	186	201-203
nstallation or investiture kharitas from the Viceroy	XII	400	171, 172
nstallations. Minor Chiefs usually installed at age of 18	XIII	408	182, 183
nsubordination. Jhalawar Chief punished for —	ΙV	65	89, 90
	1	1	

South mark the star on the	IA IA IA IA IA IA IA IA IA IA IA	33 (8) 46A, 52 61 37 61 69 (5) 61 44 61 55 61 58 61	43 61, 62; 72, 73 84—87 47, 48 84—87 93 84—97 84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87 76, 77 64—87
Interference in Native States. British Government will not surreoder the right of — of the British Government wat barred by certain treaty provisions  The Phulkian Chiefs' case  Assurance given to Holkar of non-interference with his relations, subjects, etc of the British Government with judicial praceedings in Native States  Case of Nana Sitaram, Indore  The Janjira case  The Jahua case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Banswars and Kusalgarh case Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the —  in the Orisas Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in the Orisas Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in the Orisas Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government will not interfere  Theris no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited interval Sovernigaty of — " also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Casces in Western Malwa. Order of 1888 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Esjutana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerts Border Courts  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States See "Native States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle .—  The Liddell case  The Bariar case  The Manipur case  The Shorapur case	IA IA IA IA IA IA IA IA	61 37 61 69 (5) 61 61 44 61 55 61	84—87 47, 48 84—87 93 84—97 84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87 76, 77 84—87
of the British Government wot berred by certain treaty provisions  The Phulkina Chiefs' case  Assurance given to Holkar of non-interference with his relations, subjects, etc of the British Government with judicial proceedings in Native States  Case of Nana Sitaram, Indore  The Janjira case  The Janjira case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  In the Crises Mahala. Right of — reserved to the —  is Kathiawar. British right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited interest Soversignity of — " also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1883 regarding —  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerst Border Courst  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States, " Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle .—  The Liddell case  The Borar case  The Manipur case  The Aniplem case  The Aniplem case  The Shorapur case  The Shorapur case	IA IA IA IA IA IA IA IA	61 37 61 69 (5) 61 61 44 61 55 61	84—87 47, 48 84—87 93 84—97 84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87 76, 77 84—87
Assurance given to Holkar of non-interference with his relations, subjects, etc of the British Government with judicial proceedings in Native States  Case of Nana Sitaram, Indore  The Janjira case  The Udaipur case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Parbandar case  The Bansware and Kossigarh case  Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the —  is Kathiswar. British right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  Is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversigaty of — also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1883 regarding —  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzers Border Courts  Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States See "Native States," "Soversignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle: —  The Liddell case  The Brar case  The Banjhern case  The Shorspur case  The Shorspur case  The Shorspur case	IV IV IV IV IV IV IV	37 61 69 (5) 61 61 44 54 61 55 61	47, 48 84—87 93 84—97 84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87
Assurance given to Holkar of non-interference with his relations, subjects, etc  of the British Government with judicial proceedings in Native States  Case of Nana Sitaram, Indore  The Janjira case  The Janjira case  The Jahua case  The Perbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Banswars and Kussigarh case  Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the —  in the Orisas Mahala, Right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversigaty of — " also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1838 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Esjutana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzers; Border Court  Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Soversignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle :—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Shorspur case  The Shorspur case  The Shorspur case	IV IV IV IV IV IV IV	61 69 (5) 61 61 44 54 61 55 61	84—87 93 84—97 84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87 76, 77 84—87
of the British Government with judicial proceedings in Native States  Case of Nana Sitaram, Indore  The Janjira case  The Janjira case  The Jahua case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  The Bansware and Kuszigarh case  Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the  in Kathiawar. British right of  in the Orises Mahala. Right of  is in the Orises Mahala. Right of  is justified in certain circumstances  is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversignty of" also heads  (5) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1838 regarding  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Esjputana  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Esjputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzers; Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not goven the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle :  The Biorspur case  The Banjhern case  The Shorspur case  The Shorspur case  The Shorspur case	IV IV IV IV IV IV	69 (5) 61 61 44 54 61 55 61	93 84—97 84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87 76, 77 84—87
The Janjira case  The Janjira case  The Janjira case  The Jahaba case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the  in the Orissa Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrale. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere.  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited interval Squereigaly of —" also heads (5) and (7) under the same entry.  dealt with by the Courts of Valils in Esjputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States." Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhara case  The Amjhara case  The Amjhara case  The Shorapur case	IV IV IV IV IV IV IV	61 61 44 54 61 55 61	84—97 84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87 76, 77 84—87
The Janjira case  The Jahaba case  The Porbandar case  The Porbandar case  Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the—  in the Orises Mahala, Right of—reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrale. The duty of—  is justified in certain circumstances  is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to—if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited interval Sovereignty of —" also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  dealt with by the Courts of Valis in Rajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States." "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amipera case  The Amipera case  The Amipera case  The Shorspur case  The Shorspur case	IA IA IA IA IA	61 44 54 61 65 61 58	84—87 58, 59 74—76 84—87 76, 77 84—87
The Udaipur case  The Jabua case  The Porbandar case  The Bansware and Kusalgarh case Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the — in the Orissa Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross mirrule. The duty of — is justified in certain circumstances is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversignty of — " also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1888 regarding — dealt with by the Rajputans and Guzerat Border Court Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Soversignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Shorapur case  The Shorapur case	IV IV IV IV IV	54 61 55 61 58	58, 59 74-76 84-87 76, 77 84-87
The Jabus case  The Porbandar case  The Bansware and Kusalgarh case Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the —  is Kathiawar. British right of —  in the Orissa Mahala. Right of — reserved to Governmenf  in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited intersal Soversignty of — also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1883 regarding —  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Soversignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case	IV IV IV IV IV	61 55 61 58	84—87 76, 77 81—87
The Porbandar case  The Basswars and Kuszigarh case Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the — is Kathiawar. British right of — in the Orissa Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in the Orissa Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  Is justified in certain circumstances  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (6) The limited internal Boversigaty of — " also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1888 regarding — dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Bajputana dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerst Border Courts  Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Soversignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Berar case  The Borapur case  The Shorapur case  The Shorapur case	IV IV IV IV	61 58	81-87
The Banswara and Kussigurh case  Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the —  in Kathiawar. British right of —  in the Orises Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government  in Native States in cases of gross mirrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited interval Soversignty of — also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1883 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Bajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerst Border Court  Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle: —  The Liddell case  The Berar case  The Manipur case  The Shorapur case  The Shorapur case	IV IV		·
Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the —	IV IV		79, 80 84—87
Opinion of Sir Mortimer Durand in regard to the —	IA	63	87—89
in the Orissa Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in the Orissa Mahala. Right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversignty of — " also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1838 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhera case  The Shorapur case  The Shorapur case	1	61	84-87
in the Orissa Mabala. Right of — reserved to Government in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  is undertaken with reluctances  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  Ses "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversigaty of —," also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1883 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  Ses "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhera case  The Shorapur case  The Shorapur case	,	200	218, 219
in Native States in cases of gross misrule. The duty of —  is justified in certain circumstances  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversigaty of —," also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1688 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Bajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Soversignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Shorapur case  The Shorapur case	VII	222	234
is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (6) The limited internal Soversignty of —," also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1888 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Bajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case	ш	88, 52	48-49; 72,73
is undertaken with reluctance  No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere  There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversignty of —," also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1883 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case	m	52	72, 78
There is no bar to — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice  """  See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Soversignty of —," also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1888 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Courts  Internal Soversignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States See "Native States," "Soversignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case	m	52	72, 73
See "Native States. (5) The limited internal Sovereignty of —," also heads (6) and (7) under the same entry.  Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1888 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Bajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case	IV	61, 69	84-87;
Interjurisdictional Cases in Western Malwa. Order of 1888 regarding —  dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:—  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case	IV	<b>6</b> 9	93, 94
dealt with by the Courts of Vakils in Rajputana  dealt with by the Rajputana and Guzerat Border Court  Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:  The Liddell case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case			
Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:  The Liddell case  The Manipur case	xv	507	45, 48
Internal Sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and the Native States  See "Native States," "Sovereignty."  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:  The Liddell case	XVII	573	92, 93
See "Native States," "Sovereignty,"  International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  Cases illustrating this principle:  The Liddell case  The Berar case	XVII 5	574, 575	93, 94; 94
International Law does not govern the relations between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States, but may sometimes be taken as a guide  **Cases illustrating this principle:  The Liddell case	III	52	72, 78
The Liddell case			
The Berar case  The Manipur case  The Amjhern case  The Shorapur case	I	14 (11)	17, 18
The Manipur case	r	2, 4	1, 2 ; 86
The Amjhern case	1	.5	6, 7
The Shorapur case	ı	6, 7	7,8;8
The Shorapur case	I	11	12-14
	1	11	12— <b>1</b> 4
The Secunderabad Jurisdiction case	1	13	14-17
The Quilon Sepoy's case	1	13	14-17
Permissible use of — in discussing Indian questions	1	13	14-17
Permissible use of —. Case of Naik Kishan Singh, 1878		18	1417
An important maxim of — applied by the Secretary of State in the Berar case, 1877-78	1		. 44 10
regards compacts as valid notwithstanding the use of force or intimidation		13	1417
The Hon'ble C. P. Ilbert's opinion on its use as a guide. The Quilon (Sepoy's) case, 1884	1 1	13	1417

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,
International Law. Native States' relations with the British Government not governed by —	ı	14 (1)	17, 18
Limited use of — in dealings with Native States	1	14 (11)	17, 18
framed subject to the contingency of occasional war	VII	200	218, 219
Comparison of Indian and European rules for settlement of River Boundaries	VIII	268	30, 31
Supposed doctrine of — applied to cantonments in Native States. (See also paragraph §13, page 16, and paragraph §480, pages 29-30)	XIV	461	17
Authorities quoted from — in connection with British cantonment jurisdiction	XIA	465	21
Opinion of Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick that we have jurisdiction in canton- ments in State territory apart from any consideration of the analogies of International Law	XIV	470	23, 24
Analogy of — abandoned as a foundation of British jurisdiction in cantonments in State territory	XIV	480	<b>29, 30</b>
Application of rules of — in dealings with an Asiatic power outside the Indian Protectorate	XIX	655	140, 141
International usage. Some instances of the application of — to solve Indian problems	1	13	14-17
Interstatal agreements. Proposals for extradition arrangements between two Native States wrongly submitted in the form of an agreement between those States	XVII	580	96
See also "Native States," heads (2) and (3).			
The form of such an agreement avoided in arranging for extradition between Alwar and Bhartpur	XVII	578	95, 96
The prohibition of — repeated in 1891	XVII	580	98
Interstatal Disputes. The British Government is the arbiter in —	n	20, 33	24-26; 43
Bules for the settlement of boundary disputes	AIII	270, 271	31-83; 33
Interstatal Extradition. See "Extradition," head (9), in "Extradition (Interstatal)."			
Intervention. Wide powers of — possessed by the British Government	IX	278	38, 39
of the British Government must be accepted unconditionally	· IX	808	67—72
See "Interference in Native States" and "Native States," heads (5) and (6).			
Inversity (Mr. F. D.); his minute on Kathiawar shows that the doctrine of the divisibility of sovereignty was not recognised in 1863	VII	197	216, 217
Investiture or installation kharitas from the Viceroy	XII	400	168, 169
Investment of surplus treasure on the death of Buling Chief, Gwalior	XIII	422	187—189
Alwar	. XII	878	154, 155
Isolation of Native States. See "Commercial treaties with Foreign Powers." The Gos Treaty, 1878	XXI	755	202, 203
The Dharampur and Janhar extradition agreements	XXI	756	203, 204
See also Chapter II. passim, and "Native States," heads (3), (3) and (4).			
Italy. Exclusion of India from the Commercial treaty with —, 1884	XXI	751	199, 200
Izhar Husain, Maulavi. Title of Khan Bahadur withdrawn from — in 1875	XXII	797	227
J			
Jabalpur and Rewa case (The), 1890. River boundaries	VIII	267	27, 28
sabria Bhil Estate Case (The), 1886. Dismemberment of States	1.X	303	61
Adoption by a widow permitted in the — (foot-note)	x	334	112
Jagirdars. Bight of adoption may be granted as a personal privilege to selected — in the Punjab	XI	869	146148
Modified Adoption Sanads granted to Punjab	XI	869	146—148
Jaipur-Patiala Extradition Case (The), 1873. Native States may not make engagements with one another	. 11	18	20—23
Jaipur Exhibition Memorials Cases (The), 1884. A Ruling Chief should not address	111	30	38-40

		Subject.					Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Jaipur.	Superscription on its coinage	***	•••		104	•••	V	106	125—127
•	has furnished a transport train for	Imperial	ervice	***	•••	***	VI	138	156, 157
	Acquisition of salt sources	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	VI	186	201 203
	Cession of territory to	•••	***	***	•••	•••	VIII	244	2, 3
	Interference in —, 1835	***	•••	***	•••		1%	305	62, 63
	Succession, 1880. Precautions fo Ascertainment of Wishes of Chic	r the safe of regarding	ty of State	property a	and of the	zanana.	XII	374	153, 154
	1882. Restrictions placed on the	chief's p	owers till	he gained	udministrat	ive ex-	xIII	429	193, 194
	Extradition treaty amended	***	•••	•••	***	•••	XV1	549	72, 73, 7
	and Patiela, Extradition rules	•••	•••	***		•••	XVII	576	94, 95
	and Lobaru, Extradition rules	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	XVII	576	94, 95
	and Nabha, Extradition rules	•••	***	•••	•••	• •••	XVII	576	94, 95
	and Jind, Extradition rules	***	•••	•••	•••	••	XVII	576	94, 95
	and Alwar, Extradition rules	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	XVII	578	95, 96
	and Karauli, Extradition rules		•••	•••	•••	•••	XVII	578	95, 96
	and Bhartpur, Extradition rules	***	•••	•••	•••	•••	XVII	F78	95, 96
	Maharaja of - appointed to the L	gislative C	ouncil	•••	•••	•••	XIX	658	144, 145
	Objections to the grant of a title l			tive British	subject		XXII	763, 764	208, 209 209, 210
	Raja of -, Madras Zamindar. S	alute refus	ed to	***	•		XXII	811	233, 234
	Chiefs of Increases to their sa	lutes as ma	rks of favo	ur	***	***.	XXII	818	237—239
aisalm	ir Succession, 1891. Provisional a				rs to carry	on the	XII	377	154
	Council of Regency, 1891. U	ndar omidar	no of Polit	ioni Officor	aa Sanorin	tondont	XIII	425	190, 191
	Extradition treat; amended	sacri Burner		Car Omcer	-		XVI	549	72, 78, 7
	and Bikanir, Extradition Rules	•••	•••		***	***	XVII	580	96
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Punishment of — for rebellion	•••	***	***	***	***	1	. 11	12:-14
	Mr. H. E. M., advocates postal u	nit <del>v</del>	***	***	•••	Pas.	VI	163	178, 179
_	Mr. Harvey) on the question of		men -	***	***	• •••			
ames (	his opinion as to e	tercise by			risdiction de	legated	VIII	250	10-12
lamk har	to them by Ruli adi. See Partition of the Southern	•	 Jagirs, par	erank \$ 80	··· 2.		XV	515	51
	Abkari agreement with —	•••			140		VI	187	207
	Restrictions on import of arms	•••	•••	***	•••	•••	VI	125	145 146
-	Succession. Election of illegitim			•••	•••		<b>XI</b>	362	143, 144
	Succession, 1879. Right asserted						xı	850	181
	State Courts included in the recipr		•				XVIII	612	115, 116
	Exception taken to title of His Ex			···		***	XXII	793	228, 224
Ioniira	Case (The), 1869. Intervention	•			•••	***	111	44	58, 59
• <b></b>	(The), 1870. Support of				See also par		IX	812	75—77
Jaora.	Bolkar's claims to suzerainty over	···	107	***	•••	•••	11	22	28-82
- re-/ + cs .	has accepted Colonel Wylie's inters		lition mles	•••		•••	XVII	582	98
	Nawab of —. His honorary milita			***	***	•••	XIX	658	144, 145
	Chief of —. Increase to his salute		of favour	***	***	***			
Jaore	and Indore Case (The). Inte			ith another	•••	•••	XXII	818	237—239
UBUTE I	and Indore Case (the). The					. ***	II .	22	2832
Tanna -	and That's stant that Inter-	faranss - F	nnn 01.1	i					
	and Tonk Case (The). Inter Marriage of a Rajput with a Mu					•••	II X	22 321	28—32 93—94

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Jeso. Nararana prescribed in adoption sanad	XII	384	157-159
Jaswant Singh's Will. The case of	LX.	292	50, 51
Jauhar. Agreement bringing the State within the extradition convention executed between the British and Portuguese Governments	XXI	712, 756	178, 179; 203, 204
and Dharampur. Extradition agreements with (See also foot-note to § 712)	XXI	756	203, 204
Jath Succession Case, 1892. Widow adoption not permitted	x	338	113, 114
Jath Case (The), 1885-91. Intervention on account of gross misrule	111	51	60-71
Jawasia and Narwar Nazarana case, 1882-1884, Mediatised Chiefs of the second class		200	101 109
pay under the rules of 1864	į.	888	161, 162
Jetalsar. Uncertain origin of British jurisdiction in —	XV	523	56, 57
Jetpur. Chitral Nazarana case referred to in foot-note	1	896	166
Jotpur Nazaras case, 1893	Į.	896	166
Jewels and State Property. Precautions for safety of — on the death of a Chief	XII	373, 374, 382	152, 153 ; 153, 154 ; 156
Jhabus. Talwar Bendhai ceremony performed by the Raja of — at Kusaigarh	11	24	34, 35
The British Rupes made the sole legal tender in	. 🔻	120 B	139142
Adoption case (The), 1893. Frovisional adoption. Claim lost by subsequent birtle of legitimate son of the body		323	96, 97
Decision as to the liability of — to nazarana (foot-note)	ux .	888	161, 162
has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Chief of —. Deprivation of salute. See paragraph § 55	xžii	818	237—239
Jhabua Case of 1865. Barbarous practices	. 17	55	76, 77
Jhajjar. Punishment of for rebellion	. I	11	12-14
Jhalawar Case (The), 1887. Penalties inflicted on Euling Chiefs	IV	65	89, 90
Jhalawar and Kota Case (The), 1838. Dismemberment of states	. TX	800	<b>5</b> 8
Jhalawar, 1875-76. Under European superintendency	XIII.	411	181
extradition treaty amended	. xvi	549	72, 73, 74
Jhanker Ganda and Anant Khandra, Sonpur, Case of. In exercise of residuary jurisdiction a sentence of imprisonment may not be enhanced to a sentence of death	xv	497	89
Jhansa or written threats. Use of — in Kathiawar	VII	192	212, 213
Jhansi and Morar case (The), 1886. Cessions of territory	VIII	250	1012
and Morar exchange, 1886. Kharitas used for treaty purposes	XXI	743	196
Jigni Adoption case (The), 1870. Widow adoption expressly permitted	. х	334	112
Nazarana prescribed in adoption sanad	. XII	884	157159
1870-71. Administered by a Native Superintendent during minority	XIII	416	183
Jind. See "Phulkian Chiefs' came."	1		
Assurance of non-interference	III	46	69-61
Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave dealing	▼	101	123
offers a battery of four guns for Imperial defence	1	134	153
Question of supply of breech-loaders to unselected troops		135	153-155
claims Natha Singh as a desertor. See 4 Deserters 25	i	150	164-166
urges measures to prevent enlistment of Jind deserters in British army		153	169, 170
Applications from —, 1889, for surrender of some sepoys in the British service for desertion	1 77	154	170-172
Cossion of territory to	VIII	244, 256	2, 3 ; 17—19
Exchange of lands with — on the Western Jumna Canal (see foot-note)	VIII	252	13, 14
Selection of a successor when allowed and how to be made	x	326, 339	101, 102; 114—116
Nazarana prescribed in adoption sanad	XII	384	157159

			1
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Jind, 1887. Council of Regency. Relations of the infant heir not admitted to the Regency .	XIII	<b>4</b> 23	189
extradition arrangements	172	559	81—82
and Jaipur and Bikanir, Extradition Rules	XVII	576	94, 95
Raja of — appointed to the Legislative Council	XIX	658	144, 145
Postal Convention, 1885. Approved and confirmed by the Government of India	XXI	730	187, 188
Powers of life and death and additional territory granted by sanad	122	740	194, 195
Raja of Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
Jobat. The British Rupee made the sole legal tender in	V	120B	139—142
Jobat has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
-	III	<b>49</b>	67, 68
Jodhpur. Armed intervention in —	111	49	67,68
	IV	62	87
Maharaja of — punished in 1870 for contumacy	VI	130	149, 160
Jodhpur and Tonk Cases (The), 1873-74. Armies of Native States	1	168	183, 184
Jodhpur. Construction of railway in — leads to enunciation of policy in 1882	VI	186	201—203
Acquisition of salt sources	VI		62,63
Interference in 1839	IX	305	1
Rebellion of the Thakurs of —, 1868. See also paragraph § 49	ıx	306	63,64
Rebellion of Zorawar Singh, 1872	IX	807	65-67
Extradition treaty amended	IVX	<b>54</b> 9	72, 73, 74
Maharaja. Offers to accompany mission to Kabul	XIX	658	144, 145
Brother of the Maharaja arrested at Bombay in a civil proceeding	XIX	673	153, 154
Objections to the grant of a title by the Chief of to a Native British subject	XXII	764	209, 210
Chief of —. Deprivation of salute. See paragraphs §62 and §820	XXII	818	237—239
Maharaja of —. His punishment for refusing the place in precedence assigned to him in Darbar. See also paragraph §62	xxII	820	239—241
Jodhpur-Bikanir Railway case (The), 1880-89. Understandings between Native	11	18	2022
Todhnyn Bilanin Ioan assa (fost-note)	11	23	3234
Joint Administration Failure of - in Reininle	111	51	6971
Pailure of - in Sechin	111	51	69-71
Success of a — in the Sancil State	17	68	92, 93
•		68	92, 93
The principle of — disapproved	17		92, 93
Bombay view of the advantages of a —	17	68	92,93
Failure of a in the Sachin and Rajpipla States	14	68	72,00
It is not expedient to associate a nomine of Government with a Chief in a —	IV	69	93, 94
See "Native States," head (7).			
Jotindra Mohan Tagor, Sir. Proposed settlement of properts for the support of the hereditary title of "Maharaja" conferred on	XXII	783	220, 221
Judgments. See "Foreign judgments."		,	
Junagarh. Mail robberies in —	VI	159	174176
Postal unity not accepted by —	VI	166	181, 182
Succession, 1892. Proves that the confirmation of a succession to an Indian Chiefship is an act of State not based solely upon considerations of law or even custom	xí	853	184—136
Prabhas Patan riot case in Delegated jurisdiction	xv	525	57, 58
Nawab of Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
Junagarh-Baroda Pinal tree case Interference of one Blate with another	II	22	28-82
Prachi Kund case (The). Interference of one State with another	11	22.	28-82
	1 -	<u> </u>	

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Junagarh-Bai	rods. Census case (The). Interference of one State with another	11	22	28—32
	Somnath temple case (The). Interference of one State with another	11	22	28-32
Jurisdiction.	For cessions of railway — treaties are not required	VII	171	186, 187
	on railways. Cession by Native States of —	VI	171, 174	186, 187; 189, 190
	in Baroda, consequent on the application of the Telegraph Act	VI	182	194, 195
	British — in State territory	XIV, XV	439540	165
	State — exercised by British officers	XIV	456	13
	State — exercised by a British officer or by a joint administration seems a better and more accurate expression than substituted jurisdiction	XIV	456	13
	Position of a British Superintendent of a Native State appointed during a minority or in consequence of miarule	XIV	457	13—15
,	Nature of — exercised by British officers in a State where the Chief has been deprived of powers on account of misrale	XIV	458	15
	If a Ruling Chief is deprived of — he is not thereby made amenable to British Courts of Law	XIX	458	15
	States under management in the Central Provinces, 1890-92. Question of notifying the powers of British officers exercising jurisdiction	XIV	459	16
	Question of notifying the powers of British officers exercising jurisdiction in the Central Provinces States	XIV	459	16
(1) Cantonment	Jurisdiction.			
	Authority exercised by the Resident at Hyderabad within the Cantonment of Secunderabad and the Residency Bazars	XIV	462	17—19
	British — in the Hyderabad Residency Bazars	XIX	453	17—19
	Cantonment Rajamas's case, 1872	XIV	462	17—19
٠.	Conferment of magisterial powers on military officers temporarily employed as Cantonment Magistrates	XIV	463	19
	British — in various cantonments, 1873	XIV	463	19
	Deesa Cantonment, 1874	XIV	461	19,20
	The claim of the British Government to full — over persons and things within British Cantonments in Native territory is not open to question	XIV	463	19, 20
	International law, passages quoted in support of British — in cautonments within State territory	XIV	465	21
	British laws made for or applied to cantonments in State territory	XIV	466	21, 22
	Powers of British Courts or Officers in Cantonments within Native States regulated by the British Government	ZIV	467	22
	divided between the British Government and Udaipur Darbar considered inconvenient in the Kherwara Cantonment	XIV	468	22
	British — in the Cantonments of the Hyderabad Contingent, 1884 to 1894, asserted irrespective of International Law or the assent of the Nizam	XIV	470	23, 24
	Reference to a Darbar in the matter of an application of Acts to cantonments in Native States considered objectionable. Eriupura case, 1892	x.v	473	24, 25
(2) Residency Ju	risdiction,		i	
•	in British Residencies. Assumption that the Governor-General in Council has full —	XIV	475, 476	28; 26, 27
	in State territory. If an officer has been invested in an area under British— to which the Code of Criminal Procedure has not been applied, with certain powers as described in that Code, he is not thereby bound to follow in every thing the procedure of that code when he is holding the trial of a subject of a Native State	XIV	479	28, 29
	in the Hyderabad Residency Bazars. Ghulam Dastagir's case, 1892. Powers and procedure under the Code of Criminal Procedure. Jurisdiction independent of International Law	XIV	479, 480	28, 29 ; 29, 30
	in Residency Bazars. British Government claim jurisdiction as a right in- herent in their sovereignty and without reference to expressed or implied concessions	XIA	480	29 30
	in State territory. List of places in which the British Government exercises — without any express cession	XIV	480	29, 30

	SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph,	Page.
Jurisdiction.	(3) Residuary Jurisdiction.			
	Residuary, ceded and delegated	xv.	482540	8265
	Residuary — defined	xv	483	32
	Residuary - in the Bombay Presidency	xv	484-492	3287
	Non-jurisdictory Chiefs, Bombay	. xv	485	83
	Unique position, in respect of judicial powers, of certain State territory in the Bombay Presidency	xv	485	33
	Classification of Bombay Chiefs with reference to their judicial powers	ΧV	486	33, 34
	Powers of Chiefs of the first and second classes, Bombay	xv	487	-34
	System of appeal from the decisions of Native States tribunals proposed by the Bombay Government, 1890	XV	488, 489	34, 85; 35
	Express reservation of extraordinary jurisdiction in the case of Bombay Chiefs exercising full civil and criminal powers, considered inexpedient	χv	488, 489	34, 35; 35
	Classification, in terms of the British Indian Codes, of the general powers exercised by Political officers over the proceedings of Native States Courts, Bombay, not necessary or desirable	хv	488, 489	34, 35; 35
	Orders of 1891 regarding the powers of first and second class Chiefs, Bombay	ΧV	<b>490</b> ·	35
	Bombay Chiefs and Chiefships may be raised to higher rank in regard to the exercise of —	хv	491	35, 36
	Bombay Government's — in Kathiawar	χv	492	36 <b>,</b> 87
	Constitution of the Chief Criminal Court, Kathiawar	X٧	492	36, 37
	Powers of the Feudatory States of the Central Provinces	χv	493	87
	Provisions of the Prisoners Act, No. V of 1871	ΧV	494-496	87—89
	See also separate heading "Residuary Jurisdiction."	:		
f) Residuary Ju	risdiction in Central India.			,
,	in the estates of the Guaranteed Thakurs in Central India. Mr. Henvey's note on —	xv	501	42, 43
	in the Guaranteed Thakurates of Central India. The Agra Barkheda case, 1890	хv	502	43, 44
	Powers of the Bundelkhand Chiefs, 1864	×ν	504	. 45
	Powers of certain Bundelkhund Chiefs extended in 1867 and 1887	ΧV	505, 506	45
	Powers of certain Bundelkhand Chiefs defined	xv	506	45
	Orders of 1889 regarding interjurisdictional cases in Western Malwa	xv	507	45,46
	Principles laid down in 1890 to guide the discretion of the Political Agent, Bundelkhand, in regard to judicial trials	xv	507 A	46
	See also separate heading " Residuary Jurisdiction."			
5) Hiscellaneous				
	If States join with British Government in establishing a Court to exercise civil jurisdiction in State territory, they may not withdraw from the arrangement without permission	XA	<b>513</b>	50
	Ruitich - in Kashmus	XV	516, 517	51, 52; 52
	Principles governing the acquisition and delegation of - by the British Government	XV	518	53
	in Civil Stations in State to a factor Development	XV	523	56, 57
	British — in Sadra Civil Station does not rest upon a notification under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, but upon long-established custom and unquestioned	•	, 020	00,01
	consent (foot-note)	ΧV	523	56, 57
	Delegation of — to Special Courts	XV	5 <b>25,</b> 52 <b>7</b>	57, 58; 59, 60
	in Thagi and Dakaiti cases. Provisions of certain repealed enactments and of the present law	<b>. xv</b>	537, 538	64; 64, 65
	Proposed modification of present arrangements for trial of Thagi and Dakaiti cases in Hyderabad	xv	533	62, 63
	Political — of the Border Court, Rajputana and Guzerat	XVII	575	94
	Places in State territory where the British Government has territorial	XVIII	<b>600</b>	111

Position of Native States enbjects in relation to Foreign powers over Native States embjects on branching on the high seas in results of their own States over subjects of Native States residing beyond the limits of India of Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect of officers committed in State territory in Limits over the Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect of officers committed in State territory.  Over the Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect to foliase committed in State territory.  No special fram of agreement is prescribed for the casion of 1 is enough that the season should be telently specified  No she "Coded jurisdiction".  No special fram of agreement is prescribed for the casion of 1 is enough that the season should be telently specified  No she "Coded jurisdiction,"  Periodiction, British, over persons in Native States  In Jative States. Distinctions drawn by the Bombay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions  Native States  The more fact that a person has not been registered as British abbject on British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to (Cote 1857, does		1			
Position of Native States enbjects in relation to Foreign powers over Native States embjects on branching on the high seas in results of their own States over subjects of Native States residing beyond the limits of India of Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect of officers committed in State territory in Limits over the Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect of officers committed in State territory.  Over the Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect to foliase committed in State territory.  No special fram of agreement is prescribed for the casion of 1 is enough that the season should be telently specified  No she "Coded jurisdiction".  No special fram of agreement is prescribed for the casion of 1 is enough that the season should be telently specified  No she "Coded jurisdiction,"  Periodiction, British, over persons in Native States  In Jative States. Distinctions drawn by the Bombay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions  Native States  The more fact that a person has not been registered as British abbject on British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to (Cote 1857, does	SUBJECT.		Chapter.	Paragraph.	Paga.
Position of Native States enbjects in relation to Foreign powers over Native States embjects on branching on the high seas in results of their own States over subjects of Native States residing beyond the limits of India of Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect of officers committed in State territory in Limits over the Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect of officers committed in State territory.  Over the Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect to foliase committed in State territory.  No special fram of agreement is prescribed for the casion of 1 is enough that the season should be telently specified  No she "Coded jurisdiction".  No special fram of agreement is prescribed for the casion of 1 is enough that the season should be telently specified  No she "Coded jurisdiction,"  Periodiction, British, over persons in Native States  In Jative States. Distinctions drawn by the Bombay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions  Native States  The more fact that a person has not been registered as British abbject on British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to one (Cote 1857, does not release him from being amountable to (Cote 1857, does	Jurisdiction. (5) Miscellaneous—continued.				
over Nitire States embjeds en beardahip on the high ceas in vessels of their own States over States residing beyond the limits of India			XIX	659	145, 146
over subjects of Native States residing beyond the limits of India  al Native States over Native Officers and sodiers of the British Army in respect of officers committed in State territory immitted  over Government servants not being British subjects. British Courts have no—nader the law at intends for officerse committed in State territory  No special form of agreement in prescribed for the consion of —, 11 is example that the seems should be clearly specified example that the seems should be clearly specified See also "Cecled jurisdiction."  In Sative States. Distinctions drawn by the Bowbay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions.  In Stative States. Distinctions drawn by the Bowbay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions.  In Stative States. Distinctions drawn by the Bowbay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions.  The more fact that a perum has not been registered as a British subject or British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1857, does not release him from being amanable to org. (Col- 1857, does not release him from being amanable to org. (Col- 1868)  Turisdiction in Cantonments. Lessing case of 1875  within Native States  Whenever a British Cantonment is established in State territory the complete of ril and criminal jurisdiction of the British Government or repease and things Sollwar type Jacks which the limits o concepted.  Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconvenient  Typic. Barod, case, 1830, See also libraj case, para- graph § 472  Assumption by the British Government of with power to the Courts to contrets then with acts alterations as any be necessary to adapt them to the matter force to Court to contret she with the timina o conspice.  Assumption by the British Government of revenue so oblained in disposal may read with the submit on the para- graph § 472  Assumption by the British Government of the Pro- cocked of taxion, except the where no agreement active as to the apportament of r		of their			' ' ' ' ' '
of Native States over Native Officers and soldiers of the British Army in respect of officence committed is State territory is limited	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••		}	145, 146
respect of offences committed in State territory is limited			XIX	659	145, 146
over Government servants not being British subjects. British Coarts have no — under the law as it steads for effection committed in State territory.  No special form of grecement is prescribed for the casion of — 11 is surgely that the cesson abould be clearly specified  Ber also "Coled jurisdiction."  Tarisdiction, British very persons in Native States		- 1	XX	708	174, 175
No — under the law as it stands for offences committed in State territory XX 710 176, 17 No special from of a gracement is prescribed for the cession of — It is summy that the cession should be clearly specified XII 747 198 See also "Coded jurisdiction."  Kar and "Coded jurisdiction."  An Mative States. Distinctions drawn by the Bombay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions XIV 453—453 12.13	over the Kathiawar Agency Police Forca. Extent of States'		XX	709	175, 176
See also "Coled jurisdiction."  See also "Coled jurisdiction."  In Sative States. In Stative States	over Government servants not being British subjects. British Con no — under the law as it stands for offences committed in State te	urts bave rritory	XX	710	176, 177
In Native States. Distinctions drawn by the Bombay Government between substituted, delegated and residanty jurisdictions.  In Native States. Distinctions drawn by the Bombay Government between substituted, delegated and residanty jurisdictions.  It is a substituted, delegated and residanty jurisdictions.  It is a substituted, delegated and residanty jurisdictions.  It is a substituted in Maakat over subjects of Native States.  It is a subject or British processed present and are the order in Commild of 1867, does not release him from being amenable to our — (footnote).  It is a subject or British processed present and retinant jurisdiction of the British Cantonnent is established in State territory the complete civil and criminal jurisdiction of the British Covernment over persons and things follows jury factor within the limits so congined.  Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconvenient.  Precedent as to the manuer in which Acts may be applied. Baroda case, 1890. See also thely case, paragraph 5474  Acts may be applied to Cantonnents in State territory without detailed modification and with owner to the Courts to construce them with each alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court  Assumption by the British Government of — without reference to the Dritanes concerned  There is no general rule as to the disposal of the proceeded Canton material and are alterations as may be receased to fastion, except that where no agreement exists as to the apportionment of revenue so obtained its disposal of the proceeded Canton material with the authority by which the tapation is imposed  It would be inerpedient to declare that British Canton ments in State territory are part of British India  XIV 474 25, 26  Kabul Saddozal femily, Title of "Shahnada" limited to the sons of Shah Shaja and Shah Zamaa  XXII 789 222  Sachi Chuan Case of — gives rise to the general orders of 1868 regarding Mediatised Chief in Central India  XXII 262 23-25  Sachi Chuan Case (The,) 185	No special form of agreement is prescribed for the cession of enough that the cession should be clearly specified	It is	XXI	747	198
in Native States. Distinctions drawn by the Bombay Government between substituted, delegated and residuary jurisdictions	See also "Ceded jurisdiction."				
Surisdiction, British Territorial, in State territory	Jurisdiction, British, over persons in Native States	***	XIV	439453	1-12
The mere fact that a person has not been registered as a British subject on British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1867, does not release him from being ameasile to our — (foot-note)  The mere fact that a person has not been registered as a British subject on British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1867, does not release him from being ameasile to our — (foot-note)  The mere fact that a person has not been registered as a British authority of the Council of 1867, does not release him from being ameasile to our — (foot-note)  The mere fact that a person has not been registered as a British Cancel of the British Corner on the Council of the British Corner on the Council of the British Corner on the Council of the British Corner on send things follows iper facts within the limits so occupied  The conditions of the manner in which Acts may be applied. Broads case, 1890. See also they case, paragraph \$474  Acts may be applied to Cantoments in State territory without detailed modification and with power to the Court and t			XIV	455	12, 13
The mere fact that a person has not been registered as a British subject or British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1867, does not recises him from being amenals to our — (footnote)  Furisdiction in Cantonments. Leading case of 1875	Jurisdiction, British Territorial, in State territory	540	XIV	454	12
subject or British-protected person under the Order in Council of 1867, does not release him from being smeashle to our — (footnote)  Note in the British Contonments in State the British Contonments over persons and things follows type factor within the limits so occupied.  Whenever a British Government over persons and things follows type factor within the limits so occupied.  Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconvenient XIV 461, 474 17; 25, 26  Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconvenient XIV 468 22  Precedent as to the manner in which Acts may be applied. Banda case, 1890. See also Bluj case, paragraph § 474 XIV 472 24  Acts may be applied to Cantonments in State territory without detailed modification and with power to the Courts to construct them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court so construct them with under alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court so construct them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court so occurred XIV 472, 474 24; 25, 26  Assumption by the British Government of — without reference to the Darbars concerned XIV 473 24, 25  There is no general rules as to the disposal of the proceeds of taxation, accept that where no agreement exists as to the apportionment of revenue so obtained its disposal must rest with the authority by which the taxation is imposed XIV 474 25, 26  It would be inexpedient to declare that British Cantonments in State territory are part of British India XIV 474 25, 26  Kabul Saddozai family. Title of "Shahrada" limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah Zaman XIII 789 222  Kachi Baroda. Case of — gives rise to the general orders of 1864 regarding Mediatised Chiefs in Central India	Jurisdiction, Consular, in Maskat over subjects of Native States	•	11	28	- 36, 87
within Native States XIV 461, 474 17; 25.  Whenever a British Cantonment is established in State territory the complete civil and criminal jurisdiction of the British Government over persons and things follows ipso facto within the limits so occupied XIV 462, 464, 473, 480 26, 25; 29.  Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconvenient XIV 462, 464, 473, 480 463 22  Precedent as to the manner in which Acts may be applied. Banda case, 1890. See also libaj case, paragraph §474 XIV 472 24  Acts may be applied to Castoments in State territory without detailed modification and with power to the Courts to construe them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court for construe them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court for construe them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court for construe them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court for construe them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court for such as the process of the construence of the Court for such as the process of the construence of the Court for such as the construence of the Court for such as the process as the territory are part of British Canton ments in State territory are part of British India XIV 474 25, 26  Kabul Saddozaí family. Title of "Shahzada" limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah Zaman XXII 789 222  Kachi Baroda. Case of — gives rise to the general orders of 1864 regarding Mediatised Chiefs in Central India	subject or British-protected person under the Order in a 1867, does not release him from being amenable to our	Council of	41	60	90 97
within Native States		•••			1
Whenever a British Cantonment is established in State territory the complete civil and criminal jurisdiction of the British Government over persons and things follows i pro facto within the limits so occupied  Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconvenient  Precedent as to the manner in which Acts may be applied. Barnda case, 1890. See also Binj case, paragraph § 474  Acts may be applied to Castonments in State territory without detailed modification and with power to the Courts to construct them with such alterations as may be necessary to adopt them to the matter before the Court to construct them with such alterations as may be necessary to adopt them to the matter before the Court reference to the Darbars concerned  Assumption by the British Government of — without reference to the Darbars concerned  There is no general rule as to the disposal of the proceed of taxation, except that where no agreement exists as to the apportionment of revenue so obtained its disposal of the proceed of taxation, except that where no agreement exists as to the apportionment of revenue so obtained its disposal of the proceed of taxation, except that where no agreement exists as to the apportionment of revenue so obtained its disposal must rest with the authority by which the taxation is imposed  It would be inexpedient to declare that British Cantonment in State territory are part of British India  XIV 474 25, 26  XIV 472, 474 24; 25, 26  XIV 473, 490  XIV 472, 474  24; 25.  Assumption by the British Government of — without reference to the Darbars concerned  XIV 473 24; 25.	mithin Notine Chains			1	
Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconvenient  Precedent as to the manner in which Acts may be applied. Baroda case, 1890. See also Ehnj case, paragraph § 474  Acts may be applied to Cantonments in State territory without detailed modification and with power to the Courts to construct them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court in	Whenever a British Cantonment is established territory the complete civil and criminal ju	d in State	214	201, 479	11; 20,20
Precedent as to the manner in which Acts may be spplied. Earoda case, 1890. See also Ehyj case, paragraph § 474			XIV .		17-19; 19, 20 24, 25; 29, 8
plied. Baroda case, 1890. See also libuj case, paragraph § 474	Co-ordinate or divided — considered inconveni	ent	XIV	468	22
without detailed modification and with power to the Courts to construct them with such alterations as may be necessary to adapt them to the matter before the Court	plied. Baroda case, 1890. See also Bhuj c	case, para-	VIX	472	24
There is no general rule as to the disposal of the proceeds of taxation, except that where no agreement exists as to the apportionment of revenue so obtained its disposal must rest with the authority by which the taxation is imposed XIV 474 25, 26  It would be inexpedient to declare that British Cantonments in State territory are part of British India XIV 474 25, 26  K  See also separate heading "Cantonment Jurisdiction."  K  Kabul Saddozai family. Title of "Shahzada" limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah Zaman	without detailed modification and with powe Courts to construe them with such alteration be necessary to adopt them to the matter be	er to the ns as may before the	XIV	472, 474	24; 25, 26
Ceeds of taxation, except that where no agreement exists as to the apportionment of revenues so obtained its disposal must rest with the authority by which the taxation is imposed			XIV	473	24, 25
See also separate heading "Cautonment Jurisdiction."  K Kabul Saddozai family. Title of "Shahzada" limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah Zaman	ceeds of taxation, except that where no sexists as to the apportionment of revenue so its disposal must rest with the authority by the sexistic content of the sexistic conten	greement obtained	XIV	474	25, 26
K Kabul Saddozai family. Title of "Shahzada" limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah Zaman			XIV	474	25, 26
Kabul Saddozai family. Title of "Shahzada" limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah Zaman	See also separate heading "Cantonment Jurisdiction."				
Kabul Saddozai family. Title of "Shahzada" limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah Zaman	K				
Kachi Baroda. Case of — gives rise to the general orders of 1864 regarding Mediatised Chiefs in Central India IX 315 79,80 Kachi Chuan Case (The), 1860. River boundaries VIII 262 23—25 Kachi Kasmor Case (The), 1859. River boundaries VIII 261 23 Kagal. A feudatory of Kolbapur exempt from the operation of the Nazarana rules XII 387 161	Kabul Saddozai family. Title of "Shahzada" limited to the sons of Shah S		XXII	789	222
Kachi Chuan Case (The), 1860. River boundaries VIII 262 23-25 Kachi Kasmor Case (The), 1859. River boundaries VIII 261 23 Kagal. A feudatory of Kolhapur exempt from the operation of the Nazarana rules XII 387 161	Kachi Baroda. Case of — gives rise to the general orders of 1864 regarding Machines in Central India		12	315	79,80
Kachi Kasmor Case (The), 1859. River boundaries VIII 261 23  Kagal. A feudatory of Kolhapur exempt from the operation of the Nazarana rules XII 387 161	Kachi Chuan Casa (The) 1860 Piros boundaries		VIII	262	23-25
Kagal. A feudatory of Kolbapur exempt from the operation of the Nazarana rules XII 387 161	Kachi Kasmor Case (The) 1859 Pings boundaries		VIII	261	23
i i i			XII	387	161
	Kalahandi, 1881 to 1887. Under female administration for a time		XIII	419	185, 186

	<del></del>	ſ	
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Kalahandi, 1894. Native Superintendent retained as Dewan for 3 years after Chief attained age of 21	XIII	436	198
Traine Clare (Min.) 1902 Rephasens prestings Homisides without trial	IV	<b>5</b> 9	80-82
Compared with the Baroda, Mysore and Manipur cases	IX	288	47
Withdrawol of the Khan's calute	XXII	818	237—239
Walthian Charles Nessun prescribed in adoption coned	XII	384	157—159
Walein Council of Demons 1992	XIII	424	189, 190
Kalubha's Case, Nawansgar, 1872. The Government recognises the right of succession			
in favour of the son of a Rajput Chief by a Musalmani	X	321	93, 94
Kamta Rajaula-Baraundha Case (The), 1892. Interstatal dispute	п	20	24-26
Kandahar murder case. Extradition of the murderers demanded by the Amir	XVI	543	68, 69
Kanker. Sentences for more than seven years require confirmation ,	XV	493	87
Kapurthala. Offers a battery of four guns for Imperial defence	VI	134	153
and Ferozepore case (The), 1857. River boundaries	VIII	260	<b>22, 2</b> 3
1874 and 1877. Under European Superintendency	XIII	410	184
allowed to make a small addition to an existing holding near Lahore	XIX	644	136
Liability of transactions connected with the — Oudh estates to the operation	XIX	653	140
of the Stamp Law	XXI		
Estates in Oudh granted by sanad	VI	740	194, 195
Kapurthala Bandsman's Case (The), 1875. Deserters from Native States Armies		154	170172
Kapurthala Will Case (The), 1869	IX	291	49, 50
Karauli Case mentioned	X	313	87—91
1886. Powers of Chief restricted till he gained administrative experience	XIII	435	197, 198
Extradition treaty amended	XVI	549	72-74
and Jaipur, Extradition Rules	XAII	578	95,06
and Dholpur, Extradition Bules	XVII	578	95, 96
and Bhartpur, Extradition Rules	XVII	578	95, 96
Kernul Punishment of for rebellion	I	11	12
Kashipur. Objections to a proposal to transfer the pargana of — to the Nawab of Rampur	VIII	254	16, 17
Kashmir. Lord Hardinge's letter to the Maharaj on the consequences of misrule	III	38	.48, 49
Case of 1868. The British Government will not surrender its right to interfere	111	46A	61, 62
Case of 1884-89. Intervention in Native States	III	47	6266
Case of 1884-89. British methods of administration will not be forced on Native States	111	47	6266
A Resident appointed in —	111	47	62-66
Lachhman Das, Dewan of dismissed by the Maharaja	111	47	62—66
Maharaia of - temporarily excluded from nower at his own request	111	47	62-66
Treaty provision as to the employment of Enropeans and the like	v	81	105—107
General doctrine of subordinate co-operation explained to in 1986	VI	128	147,148
Recruitment of Kukas for service in -	VI	146	161, 162
BITORIA Senov Sadhu as a deserter	vi	152	167—169
Location of a British Cantonment in - objected to by the Waltania	VI	157	173
Application in — of the rules of 1885 as to responsibility of Native States for the safe transit of imperial mails	VI VI	160	176
Nezotiations for postal convention dropped	VI	163	178, 179
Telegraphs in - transferred to Government	VI	181	194
Supposed will of the Maharaja of —, 1883-84, dividing his State among his sons		1	
-	IX	293	51, 52
Request of the Maharaja of — for a sound expressly guaranteeing succession in favour of collaterals	x	817	81—86
			•

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Kashmir.	Succession case (The), 1868. Importance of a timely adoption	x	817	8186
	Rules of 1873 and 1891. Reference to —	xv	516, 517	51, 52; 52, 53
	British jurisdiction in —	XV	516, 517	51, 52; 52, 53
	Power of the Resident to expel certain persons maintained in 1891	xv	517	52, 53
	Sir John Lawrence's rules for regulating extradition in —, 1856. Their supersession by the procedure of the Extradition Act	XVI	559, 560	81, 82 ; 82, 83
	Extradition Case (The), 1884 to 1892. See also paragraph 5559	XVI	560, 563	82, 83 ; 85
	Vakils in the Punjab and Kashmir frontier districts	XVI	560	82, 83
	and Chamba Extradition rules do not require primd facie proof	XVII	587	100, 101
	Courts of the Resident in — and his Assistants included in the system of reciprocity in regard to execution of decrees and service of summonses	XVIII	613	116
	Case of 1871 and 1882, illustrating the objections to gift or sale of Government lands in British territory to Ruling Chiefs	XIX	637	132, 133
	Maharaja of —, his honorary military rank	XIX	656	141-143
	Maharaja's objections to allow Europeans to buy immoveable property in — not accepted	XX	703	171, 172
	Authority delegated to the Resident to require any Europeau, American or Australian whose presence is objectionable in the State to leave it	XX	706	173, 174
	Maharaja permitted to deliver Viceroy's title sanads to two persons residing in —	XXII	769	213
	Maharaja of —. Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	IIXX	818	237—239
Cashmir-C	hamba Extradition Case (The), 1875. Isolation of Native States	11	18	20-22
Kasumti	Negotiations with Keonthal regarding jurisdiction in	VIII	256	17—19
	Ceded jurisdiction in —	X V	522	55, 56
Kathiawan	case of 1864. Distribution of internal sovereignty	III	85	44, 45
	Measures taken to restrict importation of arms, etc	VI	125	145, 146
	Mail robberies in —	17	159	174-176
	Opium arrangements	VI	185▲	199
	Political condition of — before British rule	VII	192	212, 213
•	Suzerainty of the Gaekwar in —	AII	192	212, 213
	The practice of Bakarvatia or outlawry in	VII	192	212, 213
	Acquisition of the suzerainty of	VII	193	214
	Colonel Walker's assurances to the Chiefs of	VII	194	214, 215
	View taken by the Court of Directors in regard to our relations with	VII	194	214,215
	Our original policy and proceedings in —	VII	194	214, 215
	Part of the criminal jurisdiction in assumed by Government, 1831	VII	195	215
	Progress of the discression as to sovereignty in	VII	195	215
	Establishment in — of the Political Agent's Chief Court of Criminal Justice	VII	195	215
	Division of Guzerat and — between the Peshwa and the Gaekwar	VII	196	215, 216
	Cession to the British Government of the districts of Dhandhuka and Gogha	· vii	195	215, 216
	Assignment of a part of Bhaunagar to the Peshwa and its subsequent restoration to the Thakur	VII	196	215, 216
	Currency evils brought to light in	VII	196	215, 216
	The closing of certain mints in — proposed by the Bombay Government	VII	196	215, 216
	Views of the Bombay Government as to the political status of	VII	197	216, 217
	Mr. J. D. Inversity's minute on the status of -, quoted	VII	197	216, 217
	Number of separate jurisdictions in — according to Major Keatinge	VII	198 {	217, 218
	Major Keatings's proposals for improving the internal administration of	IIV	198	217,218
	Views of the Government of India as to the political status of —	VII	Ì	218;218,219
	I ivision of sovereignty in between the British Government and the Chiefs	VII	200	218, 219

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Kathiawar.	British right of interference in —	YII	200	218, 219
	declared by Her Majesty's Government not to be British territory	VII	201	219
	Comparison of division of sovereignty in — and in the Central Provinces	VII	206	222
	Adoptions rare in —. Claims of collateral agnates	XI	356	138, 139
	and other Chiefs. Additional adoption sanads granted in 1889	XI	356	138, 139
	Application of the Nazarana rules of 1872	XII	384	157—159
	Orders regarding nazarana in	IIX	396	166
	Bombay Government's jurisdiction in —	xv	492	36—37
	Chief Criminal Court. Constitution of the	χv	492	36-37
	Rajasthanik Court (The). Its origin, etc	xv	510-513	48-50
	Extradition between Baroda and the States in — (see foot-note)	XVII	586	100
	Agency Police Force. Members when triable by State Courts and when by Agency			
	Courts	XX	709	175, 176
	cression Case (The), 1884. Partition of States objected to	IX	298	56—58
Kawardhs.	Sentences for more than seven years require confirmation	XV	493	37
Keatinge (C	colonel); his rules restricting the employment of foreigners in Banswara	VI	144	160
	his arguments against the supply of escorts to Political Officers from State troops	XX	699	169, 170
Keatinge's (	Major) proposals for improving the internal administration of Kathiawar	VII	198	217, 218
Keonihar C	ase of 1868. Status of the Orissa Tributary Mahals	VII	212	225, 226
20 00 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Support of Chiefs against their subjects. See also paragraph §212	IX	312	74—75
Waanthal (	Cession of territory to and negotiations with the Chief of -, 1865, 1872 and 1884	VIII	256	17—19
	ad Koti Case of 1884. Protection of feudatories of Native States	IX		
			313	77, 78
	Mr.) Case, Serohi, 1891. Employment of Eurasians in Native States	V	93	114
Khairagarh	Case (The), 1869. Intervention to prevent gross misrule	Ш	43	57, 58
Khairagarh.	Supersession of the Chief for maladministration and the exercise of jurisdiction in the State	XIV	458	15
	Sentences for more than seven years require confirmation	xv	493	<b>67</b>
Khairpur Ca	se of 1891. Responsibility of Government for prevention of misrule	III	51 A	71, 72
:	Succession, 1893. Selection of a successor in a Muhammadan Chiefship under a	XI	352	133, 184
	Adoption sanad granted to the Chief of	XI	355	137, 138
8	Succession, 1894. Arrangements made to prevent any outbreak	XII	381	155, 156
Khampti tri	ibe. Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave dealing	v	101	122
Kharitas and	Khilate. Udnipur case, 1885	XII	398	167, 168
<b>s</b> en	t by the Viceroy on occasion of installation of Chiefs or their investiture with full owers. List of precedents	XII	400	168, 169
In (	the Travancore case of 1885 the kharita was sent not by the Viceroy but by the Sovernment of Madras	XII	400	168, 169
and a	I Sanads preferred to treaties as the means of placing on record an understanding prived at with a Native State	XXI	711	178
An b	ngreement approved and confirmed by the Government of India is complete and inding without a kharita from the Viceroy	XXI	731	188
are	now often used for treaty purposes. See " Sanads"	IXX	741, 742, 743	195;195, 196; 196
Kharsawan.	The whole present extent of — is non-British territory	VII	226	235, 237
	Porahat territory ceded to See also paragraph §226	VIII	258	20, 21
	See " Chota Nagpur Tributary Mahals."			-
Kharsawan	Succession. All succession cases, in the absence of express delegation of authority, must be reported for formal recognition by the Paramount Power	, IX	364	144

Sueject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Kherwara. Cantonment jurisdiction case, 1878-79	XIA	468	23
British jurisdiction exercised without any express cossion	XIV	480	29, 30
Khetri. Closing of the mints in	v	107	127, 118
Pargans of Kot Putli granted by sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Khilats and Kharitas. Udaipur case, 1885	XII	398	167, 168
Rules for bestowal of — proposed and abandoned, 1887-1890	XII	299	168
Khilat. A may be presented with the sanad to the recipient of a Native title in India	XXII	796	226
Khilchipur has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	583	98
Kine-killing may not be treated as a capital offence in Native States	17	54	·7 <del>4</del> —76
in 1818. Punishment of the Thakur of Bhaunagar for treating it as a capital			19-10
offence	VII	196	215, 216
native rule	VIII	255	17
Kishangarh and Fatehgarh. Dispute between —, 1874	IX	309	72
Kishangarh Extradition Treaty amended	XVI	549	72-74
Kishan Singh (Naik), the murderer of Mr. T. T. Cooper. Case of -, 1878	I	13	1417
Kitanur Gadda Case (The), 1872. River boundaries	VIII	265	26, 27
Kokia Kosai, Nandgaon. Case of —. In exercise of residuary jurisdiction a sentence of imprisonment may not be enhanced to a sentence of death	XV	497	39
Kolhapur. Treaty provisions as to employment of Europeaus and the like	v	81	105—107
has accepted postal unity	VI	160	176
Succession Case (The), 1871. Adoption of an only son	x	320	93, 93
Adoption by the widow sanctioned by Government. (See foot-note)	z	334	112
Nazarans Case, 1884. Discussion with Bombay as to grounds of exemption, viz., poverty and special agreement, and complete independence at commencement of relations with the British Government	ZII	389	162, 163
Opinion that we retroceded jurisdiction in part of the cantonment and retained it in the Residency and Civil Station	XIV	478	<b>27, 2</b> 8
Enhancement of criminal judicial powers of Chief referred to in foot-note	×ν	503	45
State Courts of - included in the reciprocity system	XVIII	600 <b>,</b> 612	111;115,1:
Korez. The sanad granted in 1848 to the Chief of — not sanctioned by any competent authority	VII	. 232	239, 240
liable in nazarana though subject to periodical revision of tribute	XII	391	164
See "Chota Nagpur Tributary Mahale."			
Kot Kapura. Transfer of — to Paridkot unpopular with its residents	AIII	256	17—19
Kota Case of 1873. Direct management of Native States by European officers should be avoided	17	67	91, 92
1892. Restrictions on the Chief's power till he gained administrative experience	XIII	431	195
Extradition treaty amended	<b>XVI</b>	549	72-74
Loan, 1375, guaranteed by a Political Officer	XX	68 <b>z</b>	159
Chief of —; reduction in his salute	XXII	818	237—239
Kota and Jhalawar Case of 1838. Dismemberment of States	ıx	300	58
Kotaria. The Rao of — protects Ajit Singh, an Udaipur outlaw, and incurs the displeasure of Government	ΙV	54	74—76
Kothi. Namarana prescribed in adoption sanad	XII	204	157—159
Raja of Bequest of immoveable property in British accessory to his son not interfered with	XIX	.643	136
Roti and Econthal case of 1884. Protection of fendatories of Native States	ıx	313	77, 78
Kuch Behar. Distribution of internal covereignty	111	35	44, 45
Slavery abolished in the State of —	v	103	122, 123
has accepted postal unity	VI	166	181,182
Opum arrangements with —	VI	185 D	200, 201

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Kuch Behar. Actual cession of the sovereignty of — by the treaty of 1773	VII	215	227—229
The status of —	VII	215, 220	{ 227—229, 232, 233
Case of 1886. British criminal warrants do not run in State territory which is not under British jurisdiction	xvIII	616	118
Maharaja of — ; his honorary military rank	XIX	656	141143
Kuka(s). Recruitment of — for service in Kashmir	VI.	146	161, 162
outbresk referred to —	XIII	407	180
Kunch, Refusal to cede it to Maharaja Sindhia	VIII	253	14-16
Kunj Behari Lal, a member of the Alwar State Council. Murder of —	IV	60	82-84
Case of -, Alwar, 1892. Delegation of jurisdiction	xv	526	58, 59
Kunjon Menon, his title of Rai Bahadur withdrawn on his conviction of corruption	XXII	802 A	228
Kunwar or Kumar. Younger sons of titular Maharajas not entitled to the designation of — but may be styled Mians	XXII	791	223
Kurram (Trans) villages on the Bannu frontier. Complaints evoked by the cession of the —	VIII	256	17-19
Kurundwar. See partition of the Southern Mahratta Jagirs, paragraph §302.			
and other Southern Mahratta Jagirs are apparently exempt from nazarana by the terms of their sanads	XII	386	159—161
Kurwai. Partition of — sanctioned by the Government of India in 1843 but not carried out (see foot-note)	IX	303	61
Succession, 1874. Not a precedent for derivation of title through the female line	XI	346	127, 128
1887. Administration by the real father of the adopted son during minority	XIII	414	182, 183
1892. Powers of Chief restricted till he gained administrative experience	xIII	436	198
has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Kusalgarh. Banswara Darbar not entitled to interfere in the affairs of —	II	24	34, 35
Talmae handhai casa at —	II	24	34, 35
a fendatory of Reneware	II II	24	34, 35
Kutch Case of 1874-75. Isolation of Native States	п	17	20
and Nawanacar case, 1883 - understandings between Native States	п	18	2022
Subjects of — treated as British Indian anhierts	II II	27	35, 36
Superscription on its coinege	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	106	125—127
Re-proping of mint in normitted	1		
Request for certain coinage in British mints, refused	V	117	134, 135
	▼	118	135, 136
Unification of coinage not accepted by —	V	118	135, 136
No import of arms into — except from Bombay and Karachi	ΔI	125	145, 146
Not allowed to purchase 30 Rifles which had been lent to it  Suggestion that the Rao might be encouraged to raise an Imperial Service force not accepted	VI VI	135	153—155
Alleged descent of the Rao of — from a Musalmani slave-girl	VI	141	158
Councils of Regency, 1819, 1859 and 1876. Political Agent as President	X	821	93, 94
Claim of the Rao of — to a prima facie case in the matter of extradition	XIII	425	190, 191
	XVII.	588	101, 102
and Nawanagar, 1883. Agreement cases. Previous sanction of Government required to agreements with Native States	IXXI	725	185, 186
and Nawanagar case, 1893-84. In the case of conventions, etc., not ratified by the Viceroy, the usual formalities should be observed. See also paragraphs § 18 and §725	XXI	732	188, 189
and Nawanagar agreement case, 1894. Formal agreements to be executed in accordance with the rules prescribed for the execution of treaties Kutch-Malia Loan case, The	XXI	732	188, 189
100	II	. 23	32-34
Kutch Subjects in East Africa. Position beyond India of subjects of Native States	II	29	37, 38
prohibited from engaging in the slave trade	V	. 102	122, 123
Kutch vessels. Jurisdiction over Kutch subjects on	XIX	659	145, 146

	<u> </u>	1	l
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
L			
Laccadive Islands Case. Inclusion of a tract in list of Scheduled Districts not a conclusive proof that the tract is British territory	VII	238 ▲	248248
Lachhmi Narayan serere Raja Partab Singh. The case of —	VIII	245, 247	3-6; 7, 8
Lakhma Radwa, Case of, 1890. Legal obstacle to the execution in British India of a sentence of death passed by a British Court in State territory	XVIII	623	122
Lakhnaur. Transfer of the village of — to Patiala	VIII	252	13, 14
Lal Bindesari Parshad Singh, of Sarguja, granted the Chiefship of Udaipur	VII	229	238
Lal Singh, Kalianwala, 1888, Sardar. Case of —. Grant of adoption sanads for perpetual jagirs in the Punjab	xı	369	146148
Lambagraon, Raja of; his honorary military rank	XIX	656	141-143
Larsdowne. Lord, declines offer of the Gaskwar to contribute an Imperial Service Corps	VI.	141	158
on the importance of the exercise of jurisdiction and the control of postal			100
arrangements on all main lines of railway throughout India	VI	160	176
his reasons for not annexing Manipur	IX	286	44-46
his minute on British jurisdiction in cantonments and Residency Bazars,	XIA	480	29, 80
Lapse of Ahmadnagar to Idar	IX	307	65-67
in the case of Mediatised Chiefs of Central India	IX	815	79, 80
Abandonment of the doctrine of — gives the Canning Sauads their real value	x	818	87-91
of a State on failure of natural or adopted heirs. Question of —	x	<b>325, 839</b>	98-101 114-116
Doctrine of — shandoned since 1958	x	328	103-106
Doctrine of - probably never yet actually applied to Rajput States	x	829	106, 107
Any further extension of British jurisdiction by the application of the doctrine of — is inexpedient	x	339	114—116
Ali Bajpur twice became liable to — on failure of heirs	XI XI	857, 860	139, 140; 14
to other States. Government of India opposed to absorption of sucient Rajput principal-	XI		
Larminie, Mr. Claims of - against the Chief of Hill Tippera; objection to arbitration by		358, 361 (6)	140, 141; 143
the Political Agent	XX	687	161
Larut Case, The. Recruitment in India for Foreign Service	17	146	161, 163
Las Bela Succession, 1888. A strong case in favour of primogeniture	ZI	849	129, 130
Succession. Election of Yakub Khan disallowed	XI	862	143, 144
Laswari. Defeat of the Mahrattas at	VII	207	222, 223
Laws has no extradition treaty	XVI	549	72, 74
Laws and Tonk Case (The), 1867. Dismemberment of States	11	301	58, 59
Lawrence, Lord; his letter to the Nawab of Tonk	II	22	23-32
his opinion that Kathiawar is in a certain sense British territory	VII	199	218
his views in regard to succession in Kashmir	X	317	8186
his Rules for regulating extradition with Kashmir, 1856	XVI	559, 560	81,82;82,8
Lawrence (Sir Henry), on adoptions in Rajputana; the Karauli case  Laws. The fiscal — of the British Government can follow its subjects and the Military — the	<b>A</b>	318	87—91
Laws. The fiscal — of the British Government can follow its subjects and the Military — the persons under them, wherever they may go	XIV	448	2, 3
British, can follow British subjects and subjects in State territory	<b>XIX</b>	443	3, 4
The Local Extent Act, 1874. Object of the	VII	217	230,231
Ses = Acta.**			ļ
Lawyers, restrictions on their employment in political cases	XIX	667,671	150, 151 ; 15
I Domaintion . We taking I said the same and the declare that the another at according to	477.7	000	040 950
Legialation. No Indian Legislature can validly declare that the enactments of succeeding Legislatures are not to apply to British territory	VII	239	243-250

Liddell Case (The). Quotation from Lord Napier's minute on —	I I XIV	4 2, 4	36
Bulings relating to the question of jurisdiction over European British subjects in Native States	_	2.4	•
in Native States	XIV	1 -, -	1,2;8-6
		452	10, 11
oan(a) between the feudatories of a Ruling Chief	XXII	795	225, 226
*OSU(8) Detween the redustories of a rating Cuter	. II	23, 33	32-84;48
taken by a feudatory of one State from the Chief of another State	11	23, 33	32-34; 43
between a treaty Chief and his feudatory jagirdars	II	23, 33	32-34; 43
Ruling Chiefs may not make — to one another without the previous sanction of the Government of India	11	33 (9)	43
Cases illustrating this principle:—			
Patiala and Loharu	II	23	32—34
Kutch and Malia	II	23	32—34
Nawanagar and Gondal. Railway loan (foot-note)	II	23	32-34
Jodhpur and Bikanir. Railway loan (foot-note)	11	23	32-34
The decision does not apply to loans made by Ruling Chiefs to their feuda-	п	23	32-34
by Native States on security of Land in British territory discouraged	XIX	639	135
to Native States not to be guaranteed by Political officers		692, 683, 684	159;159, 160;160
of money between Political Officers and Natives in their circles, prohibited	XX	691	162, 163
of vehicles and animals by Darbars to Political Officers when permissible	XX	690	162
Local Corps in Rajputana and Central India to furnish escorts to Political Officers if they require them	xx	699, 700	169, 170 ; <b>170</b>
cocal Governments. Treaty making powers of —	IXX	723	184
Local Self-Government. Ex-officio titles for members of local boards	XXII	806	230, 231
Loharu. Closing of mints in —	7	110	131
Permission refused to produce copper coin and dumpy pice	<b>v</b>	117	134, 135
and Jaipur and Bikanir Extradition Rules	XVII	576	94, 95
Nawab of. Offers to serve with a British Mission to Kabul	XIX	658	144, 145
Nawab of. Appointed manager of the Maler Kotla State	XIX	658	144, 145
held under sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Title of Nawab conferred by sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Coharu-Patiala Loan Case, The	11	23	82-84
unawara. Widow permitted to adopt a successor (see foot-note)	x	834	112
Administrative power entrusted to a female in — (foot-note)	XIII	417	184
Lunawara-Balasinor Case (The), 1885-86. Exchange of territory	11	19	22-24
yall (Sir Alfred) on interstatal extradition, see Bahawalpur and Bikanir case	II	17	20
accepts Sir Mortimer Durand's opinion that to outsiders India should be all red	n l	27	35, 36
his remark that States are much afraid of being in our debt	v	95	115, 116
his proposals in the Udaipur case, 1876, for the control of Chiefs between 18 and 21	XIII	429	193, 194
his opinion as to interstatal extradition	XVII	570	90, 91
his reasons for preferring a sanad to a treaty in the Mysore Rendition case	IXX	788	192, 193
Lyall (Sir James). India accepts his proposal that deserters from Native States Armies en- listed by us should be dismissed but not surrendered	VI	154	170172
Lytton (Lord), his speech at the Delhi Assemblage, 1877	1x	274	84, 85
his call for general information about Native States	XX	•675	155

Sueject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
M			
Machinery for the manufacture of arms and war material may not be imported into Nativ	VI	125, 126	145 140 14
Macpherson's Lists. Reference suggested to — for information in regard to the exercise of	1	519, 521,	145, 146; 146 53; 55; 55, 5
ceded jurisdiction	•	522	
Madhava Rao (Sir); his arguments in the Liddell case	I	2, 8, 4	1, 2; 2, 8;
his proposal regarding coinage	. ▼	112, 114	132; 132, 13
Ladras States. Opiom arrangements with —	. VI	185D	200, 201
Salt arrangements with	. VI	186D	206
Madras Zamindars' and Carnatic Titles. Recognised by Government in 1890 as purel personal courtesy titles	VVII	779	219
Iahamahopadhyaya. Title of conferred on Hiadu literati	VVII	795	225, 226
Caharaja. Hereditary title of conferred on persons other than Ruling Chiefs	XXII	773	216, 217
Sahi Kantha. Parallel between history of — and history of Kathiawar	IX	298	5658
State Courts included in the reciprocity system	XVIII	612	115, 116
Ishi Kantha Policeman, Case of the, 1889. Extradition of native servants of the British Government	777	556	79
Iahi Kantha States Opium arrangements	vı	185C	199, 200
Inhrattas. Power of the — broken in the Deccan and in Northern India	VII	207	222, 223
faihar Chief assisted in 1853-65 in governing his State till he gained administrative experience	xmi	428	192, 193
Raja of — permitted to retain certain properties in British territory	XIX	642	136
Iails, Imperial. Orders in force from 1850-1866 regarding responsibility of Native States for safe transit of —	1 171	158	173, 174
Robbsries of -in Native States. Responsibility for - lies primarily with the States	1	158	173, 174
Imperial. Obligation of Native States to provide for safe transit of	VI	158, 160	173, 174 ; 17
Bobberies of — in Kathiawar, 1878-79. Appeal of the States against a Bombay decision fixing the responsibility on them	1 371	159	174—176
Imperial. Rules of 1885 as to the responsibility of Native States for safe transit of — Declared applicable to Kashwir and binding on all States irrespective of treaty obligations	771	159, 160	174—176;17
Imperial. The rules of 1866 as to the responsibility of Native States for safe transi of —	vi	159	174, 176
Maine (Sir Henry); his opinion on the question of the jurisdiction of Native States over European British subjects	1	8	,2, 8
his remarks on the doctrine of a double allegiance	1	12	14
his minutes. Instances given in — of the application of international usage to solve Indian problems	1	13	14—17
his opinion that the Paramount Power must prevent interstatal	II I	20	<b>24—</b> 26
his opinion that we must extend the privileges of our Native subjects in Foreign territory to the subjects of Native States	11	27	85, 86
his minute in the Kathiawar case, 1864. Sovereignty and independence distinguished	ııı	85, 86	44, 45 ; 45-4
his opinion that the distribution of sovereignty is a question of fact	m	86	45-47
his opinion as to the power of the Crown to cede territory	VIII	245	8—6
his opinion regarding the political status of Kathiawar	VII	200	218, 219
quoted with reference to the status of the Central Provinces Chiefs	AII	204	221
his opinion regarding the status of the Orissa Chiefs	VII	212, 213	225, 226 ; 226, 227
on the status of the Bundelkhand Chiefships	VII	239	<b>2482</b> 50
his opinion on the question of guaranteeing succession of collaterals in Kashmir	· x	817	81—86
his distinction between the application of an Act to State territory	XIV	440	1

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph,	Paga.
Maine (Sir Henry) on power to legislate for servants of Government in State territory	XIV	41	1
his opinion that persons under English military law earry their nationality with them wherever they may go for military purposes	XIV	443	2, 3
his opinion that fiscal laws may extend to subjects resident in	XIV	443	2, 8
his opinion in the Rampur comion case, 1868, that sanads are not necessarily unilateral	XXI	746	. 197, 198
Hakrai. Chief of — fined for a breach of engagement	. 14	64	74.78
Sentences for more than seven years require confirmation	XV	493	87
Maksudangarh has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XAII	582	98
Maler Kotla (The) Successions of 1872 and 1892, discossed. Extent of power of a Muham-	-	-	
madan Chief in selection of a successor	XI	844	125, 126
1875 and 1885. Under European Superintendency	XIII	407	180
1875. Kawab assisted in governing his State until he reached the age of 21	XIII	428	192, 193
Nawab of — Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
Maler Kotla Succession (The), 1872. Partition of States objected to. See also paragraph § 344.	IX	237	5458
Malcolm, Sir John. Instructions of — to Political Officers in Central India	XX	678	157, 158
Malia-Kutch Loan case, The	n	23	32-54
Malwa. Abolition of mints of petty Chiefs in	. ▲	105	124, 125
Mamdot, Kawab of His honorary military rank	XIX	656	141-143
Management of Native States. Selection of Native officers in preference to European	XIII	419	185, 186
Mandalay Registered British Subjects case (The), 1878	n	27	25, 26
Mandi. Treaty provisions as to alavery or slave-dealing	v	101	123
Waif timber lease of 1892 drafted in lawyer's phraseology	331	720	181, 182
held under mand	XXI	740	194, 195
Manipur. Exception taken to the use of the terms "royal," "royalty" and the like with reference to Native States	1	10	11
Redemption of slaves in —	v	104	124
Reasons given by Lord Lansdowne against the annexation of in 1891	11	7 286	44-48
Regrant of the State viewed in connection with the preservation of Native rule	IX	286, 287	11-16; 46, 47
Proclamation regarding the re-establishment of Native rule in, 1891	11	287	46, 47
Conditions and circumstances of the selection of a Chief for the State in 1891	IX	287	46, 47
regranted by sanad	( IX	287	46, 47
Proposed screet of the Senapati	XXII } XXI	740 821	194, 195 241—243
Manipur Case (The), 1891-92. International Law does not apply to Native States. Execution of British officers by a Darbur is murder	1	6, 9	7, 8; 10, 11
Compared with the Baroda, Mysore and Kalat cases	IX	288	47
(The), 1891. Support of Chiefs against their subjects. See also paragraph §8	IX	212	75—77
Manipur trials (The) were acts of State	. I	9	10, 11
Manufacture of arms and ammunition. Machinery for — may not be imported into States on	.VI	125, 126	145, 146 : 146
in Holkar's Arsenal, 1872	VI	124	144,145
Risk of — in the employment of Europeans and the like in Native States	▼ 1	83	108
See also "Ammunition."			
Mardan Ali Khan of Jodhpur. Case of —. Native British subjects may not receive titles from Raling Chiefs without the consent of the British Government	XXII	764	209, 210
Maritime States (Native). Proposals for protecting British Costoms revenue at ports of	VI	188	207—209
Marriage of a Rajput with a Muhammadan allowable among the Jarejus	x	82]	93,94
Martin's (Mr.) case, Rejputene, 1871. Employment of Europeans in Rative States	v	85	109, 110

Surjece.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Marwar-Merwara Kharita Case, 1885. Kharitas used for treaty purposes	XXI	741	195
Maskat. Position in — of subjects of Native States who are amenable to British Consular jurisdiction	111.	28	86, 87
The mere fact that a person has not been registered as a British subject or British protected person under the Order in Council of 1967, does not release him from being amenable to our Consular jurisdiction in — (foot-note)	11	28	36, 37
Treaty of Commerce with —, 1891, referred to (foot-note)	п	28	36, 87
Political Agent in — empowered to grant certificates to unregistered craft belonging to subjects of Native States (foot-note)	п	29	37, 38
Sultan of — has a salute of 21 guns in British India	TIXX	813	235
Mayor of Lyons vs. the East India Company, a leading Privy Council case, referred to	XIX	663	147, 148
Medals not to be presented with title sanads to recipients of Native titles in India	XXII	796	226
Mediatised Chiefs. Preservation of Native Rule amongst the — of Central India	IX	315	79, 80
of the second class pay nazaraoa under the rules of 1864. The Narwar and Jawasia case	XII	{ 388, 397 (4)	161,162; 169,170
of the first class are probably liable to pay nazarana to the British Government. The Sitamau case	XII X	388 397 (5)	164, 165; 167
in Central India. Powers of the — defined in 1862	xv	503	44
in Central India have not the powers of life and death	xv	503	44
Chiefs who have not these powers refer all serious cases, whether inter jurisdictional or not, to the Political Agent (foot-note)	xv	503	44
not within the definition of first or second class. The Narsingari case, 1891. Nazarana payable to Government in accordance with the rules of 1864		888	161, 162
Mediatised Chiefahips in Central India. Residuary jurisdiction in the		500, 503	41, 42; 44
Mediatised States are not necessarily exempt from the application of the Nazarana rules		388	161, 163
"Mediation" and "guarantee" as applied to Pritish intervention in favour of the petty Thakurs of Central India. No distinction between —		500	41, 42
Medical Officers. Rules as to the remuneration of — for attendance on Ruling Chiefs and others in Native States	xx	69 <b>2, 6</b> 9 <b>3</b>	163, 164 ; 164
Mehdi Ali Khan. Exception taken to the bestowal of a title by the Nizam on —, a British subject	וויסיטר	766	211, 213
Mehwagi Chiefships, Case of the. Their conversion into Scheduled districts	AII	238	245, 246
Mewar. Ses " Udaipur."			
Claim of the Maharana to a voice in the selection of a successor to the Chiefship of Shahpura	x	<b>\$</b> 18	87—91
Marwara Kharita case, 1883. Kharitas used for treaty purposes	XXI	748	195, 196
MOXICO. Secretary of State in 1885 withdraws his assent to the participation of India in the proposed commercial treaty with—		753	201, 203
Mhow Small Cause Court Law, 1889	XIA	466	21, 22
Military aid to Native States for suppression of internal disturbances. Rule for affording —	IX	308, 311, 811A, 814	67-72; 74 74, 75; 78 79
Military Service of the British Government. Employment of relatives of Buling Chiefs and nobles of Native States in the —	AIA	657	143, 144
Miller (Sir A.); his opinion on the distribution of sovereignty in India	III	36	45-47
Mining projects in Native States cannot be decided without sanction of the Government of India	1 7	98, 100	118—121; 121, 123
Minorities of Buling Chiefs. Government of India exercises extensive powers	XIII	401-438	170-201
No interference with authority of those entrusted to administer States to be permitted on the part of relatives or subjects of Chiefs	1 7111	403, 405	174—176; 179
Minors. Government of India responsible for training and education of Chiefs who are	XIII	405	179
Minorities. No fixed age for termination of — though ruling powers usually given at eighteen	. XIII	406	179, 180
	XIII	406	179, 180
Practice of Government of India in regard to	Y III	300	1,0,200

					<del>i i</del>	1	
	St	dejeor.		•	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Minorities.	Considerations which lead to Native States during —	the appointmen	t of European S	uperintendents in	XIII	413, 438	182;199—201
	Administration by real father	s of adopted sons	during minoritie	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	XIII	414	182, 183
	Considerations which lead to Native States	the appointme		uperintendents in	XIII	414—416, 438	182—183 199—201
	Mysore, 1895. Administration by the Dewan assisted by a			ni as Regent and	XIII	417	184
	Administrative powers entrust	ed to females (se	ss also foot-note)	***	XIII	417	184
	Position of the Besident or Po	olitical Officer in	relation to Reger	ncy Coancile	XIII	422	187189
	States administered by Regence	ey Councils		944 606	XIII	422, 423, 424, 425	187—189; 189;189, 190; 190, 191
	Age at which Chiefs should be fixed rule. See also paragre	aph §417		••• •••	XIII	426	191
	Chiefs on expiry of their — and not gradually	to be entrusted .	with full governing	g powers at once	XIII	427	191, 192
	Arrangements made for the a full governing powers between	een the ages of I	18 and 21		XIII	68 <b>428, 429</b>	92, 93 192, 193 ; 198, 194
	No one system of administrations one State on different occasions.	ion will be best e sions		necessarily for the	XIII	423	189
	Secretary of State's reluctance	to continue ti		system adopted	XIII	432	195, 196
	Secretary of State's reluctan restrictions on his authority	ce to impose,	on a Ruler attai	ning his majority,	XIII	432	195, 193
	Prolongation of	***	400,		XIII	438 437	196-199
	Prolongation of —. Bestricti Chief of Udaipur aged 35 or	ions imposed in wing to his want	1885 on the sut of experience	hority of the new	XIII	435	197, 198
	Prolongation of —. Restricti 1893-94, though be was 21	ons imposed on years of age on a	authority of	Bhartpur Chief,	XIII	436	198
	Simil	ar cases.		٠.	•		
	Barwani, 1880-84	***	***		XIII	435	197, 198
	Karauli, 1886 ***	*** 110	***	***	XIII	485	197, 198
	Kurwai, 1892	•••	•••	***	XIII	436 <sup>°</sup>	198-
	Baigarb, 1893	•••		***	XIII	436	198
	Kalahandi, 1894	•••.	***	***	×III	437	198, 199
	Administration usually carried Superintendent or a Council	on either by a lof Regency	Curopean Superin	tendent, a Native	XIII	438	199-201
	Circumstances which are consi	dered in appoint	ing European Sup	erintendents	XIII	438	199201
	Summary of the practice of the	Government of	India in dealing	with minorities .	XIII	438	199—201
	Treatment of — emphatically	a matter of police	су	400	XIII	438	199-201
	Escorts of Political Officers du			-	XX	699, 700	169, 170; 170
Minor Chie	Importance of employing	European Agend	y in educating a	nd training the	. XIII	403	174-176
	Education and training of -		***	u14	. xiii	404	176-179
Minority.	Hyderabad, 1869. General poli	cy of British Go	vernment in regar	d to minorities	XIII	401, 402, 408—405	170, 171 ; 171—174, 174—179
	of a Ruling Chief is no bar to hi	is making an agr	reement with the	British Govern-	XXI	727	186
Mints and	Coinage in Native State	8		****	v	105-121	124 143
Mints and	Coinage in Native State	S. See "Native Mints in	e States," head (8) Bundelkhaud	(vi). Closing of	. v	105	124, 125
		Mint	***	the, Partabgarh	v	105	124, 125
		Abolition of Malwa	Mints belonging t	o petty Chiefs in	- ▼	105	124, 125
				:1			

Surv	BOY.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Hints and Coinage in Native States.	Rathem Mint abelished and a subsequent proposal to re-open it, negatived	¥	105	124, 125
	Superscription on the coinage of Native States	v	106	125-127
	Coinage by the fendatories of Ruling Chiefs		107	127, 128
	Closing of the Khetri Mixts	V	107	127, 129
	Coinage Resolution of 1870		109	129-131
	Closing of the Shahpura Mixt	v	109	129—111
	Copper Coinage	v	110	131
	Manufacture at Bhartpur of copper coins of the			
	Gwalier Currency	<b>v</b>	110	131
	Closing of the Lohara Mint	V	110	131
	Coinage bearing the name of the Delhi Emperors	₩	111	131, 133
	Proposal of Sir Madhava Rao, 1875	•	112, 114	132; 132, 133
	Passing of the Native Coinage Act, No. IX of 1876	V	113	133
	Coinage undertaken under the Act of 1876 for certain Native States	▼	113, 115	123; 133 134
	Supply of small eilver in British coin	v	116	133, 134
	Magnifacture of " dube" or dumpy pice	₩	117	134, 135
	Objections to privileges of local coinage	v	117	134, 135
	Name of Loharu not permitted to coin copper or manufacture dampy pice	v	117	134, 135
	Maharaja of Sikkim allowed to manufacture copper "duba"	V	117	134, 135
	Reopening of the Kutch Kint air years after its closure	▼	- 117	134, 135
	Nawab of Balasinor not permitted to respensive mint or obtain coin from British mints		117	134, 135
	Use of British Indian rupees as legal tender in the Purbandar State	V	118	135, 136
	Coinage of gold for Travaneore		118	135, 136
	Protest against issue of debased coin from the Nizam's Mint, 1879	' v	118	135, 136
	Unification of coinage not accepted by Hydera- bad, Kutch or Baroda	V	118	135, 136
	Pudukota and Kutch not allowed to have their coin struck in British mints	▼	118	135, 136
	Influx of State copper coin into British territory	·  •	119	128
	Import of Baroda copper coin prohibited in	V	119	116
	Supply of British copper coin to Kative States	V	120	135-139
	The Bhopal Currency Case, 1894	V	130 A	138, 139
	The effect of closing the British mints in 1823	V	120 ▲	133, 123
	The Bhopawar Currency Case, 1894	V	130 B	129-14
	Unification of coinege in India still the policy of Government	V	120.	123-142
	Policy of Government in regard to	. <b>v</b>	121	142, 143
Mints. Bombay Government's proposal to clos	e certain — in Kathiawar	VII	128	215, 216
Miraj (Senior and Junior branches), see partiti § 302,	on of the Southern Mahratta Jagirs, paragraph			İ
(Junior Branch), State Courts of -	included in the reciprocity system	TALE	612	115, 116
Chief of. Permitted to buy building h Sardars had house propert	and at Poons on the ground that other Decess	XIX	643	137

Surject.		Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Mirea Baisa, Post Master. Extradition of — demanded by the Amir	•••	17%	543	68, 69
Misconduct of Buling Chiefs. The Jhabus case, 1865	•••	14	55	76, 77
The Rupal Case, 1890		IV	57	78, 79
The Porbandar Case, 1869		IV	58	79, 80
The Kalat Case, 1893		TV	59	80-82
The Alwar Case, 1892		ĨŸ	60	82-84
A Janjira Case noticed		ΙV	61	8487
A Khairagarh case noticed		ΙV	61	8487
See " Native States," heads (5) and (6).	1			
Misrule. Except in cases of gross — it is the right and duty of the British Government	to up-			
hold Ruling Chiefs	•••	Ī	8	8= 10
in Native States, gross. The duty of interference in cases of —	•••	111	\$8	48, 49
Lord Hardinge's letter to the Mabaraja of Kashmir on the consequence of —	•••	. III	38	48, 49
in Native States. Cases of intervention to prevent —	•••	III	38 - 52	4878
See cases of Baroda, Khairagarh, Jaujira, Alwar, Bikanir, Kashmir, Bhopal, pur, Sirohi, Bahawalpur, Chamba, Suket, Jath, Rajpipla, Sachin, Cambay, pur, and Charkhari	Jodh- Khair-	ш	38—52	4873
in Native States. Secretary of State's opinion on		III	42	56, 57
Incorrigible - a sufficient disqualification for sovereign power		. III	42, 52	56, 57; 72,
Reluctance of Government to interfere and its preference that measures of should emanate from the Native administration	reform	İri	46, 52	78 59—61:72,
The Kalat case an illustration of the responsibility of the British Governm prevent —	ent to	ΙV	59	80—62
Instances of — in Mysore		IX	276	86, 87
See " Native States," heads (6) and (6).	1			ļ
Missionaries, Christian. Position of - in Native States	•••	v	78, 79 A	101; 103, 104
Missionary preaching in Native States. Attitude of Government towards -		▼.	79, 80	101—108; 104, 105
Missions. Case of the Tibetan Pioneer Mission referred to in foot-note		v ,	79	101—103
Mixed Court in the Secunderabad Cantonment discontinued in 1889		XIV	471	24
Secunderabad. Objection overruled to trial held by First Assistant ale consequence of abolition of Mixed Court. Case of Ghulam Dastagir.	one in	XIV	479	28, 29
Prisoners sentenced by may be confined in British Jails	]	ΧV	494, 495, 496	
		2.	302, 300, 300	88, 39
established in Kashmir in 1873 and abolished in 1891		xv	516, 5 <b>1</b> 7	51, 52; 52, 58
for trial of Thagi and Dakaiti cases in Hyderabad territory	• •••	ΧV	533	62, 63
for trial of Thagi and Dakaiti cases contemplated by the law in 1843		xv	537	64
Mokham Singh of Partapner. Deprived of his title of Raja in 1893		XXII	802	228
Money Contributions not received in 1888 for Imperial defence. (see foot-note)		VI.	138	156, 157
Morar and Jhansi Case (The), 1886. Cessions of territory		VIII	250	10—12
and Jhansi exchange, 1886. Kharitas used for treaty purposes		XXI	743	196
Morbhanj. Rulings of the Calcutta High Court as to its status	•••	VII	190	211, 212
Morbhanj the most important of the Orissa Tributary States	•••	<b>VII</b>	210	224, 225
The status of — settled in the Calcutta High Court	}	VII	221	233, 234
is liable to nazarana		XII	386	159-161
Mortgages. Policy of discouraging Ruling Chiefs from purchasing land in British temperature also to acquisition of land on mortgage	rritory	XIX	638, 639	183—185; 188
Morvi. Leading case regarding telephones in Native States	}	VI	.183	195—197
Mourning for deceased Hindu Chiefs. Duration of the ceremonies of —		· XII	378	154, 155

			80	BJECT.					Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
		rts included i				400	***	***	XVIII	612	115, 116
Muhami	nadan Su	ccessions.	Legitima held	te — accord	ing to th	e Muhamm	adan law to	be up-	XI	341	117,118
			Lord Can	nin <b>g's int</b> en	tion in re	gard to —	•••		XI	343	122—125
Muhamr	nadan Ch	iefships.		ord Lytton erals in —	's Govern	ment in r	egard to suc	cession	XI	843	122—125
Muhami	nadan fe	male inher	itance d	isallowed in	the case	of the Pein	t Chiefship		VII	236	241-243
Muhamn	nad Ali, v	watchman. K for an offen							7 71	546	71
Muhamn	nad Hasa	n, Khalifa Sa	iyid. Titl	e granted to	_, s. Ps.	tiala subjec	t		XXII	768	212, 213
Muhamn	nad Husa	in, Khalifa S	siyid. Tit	le granted t	o —, a P	atiala subje	ct		XXII	768	212, 213
Muhamn	nad Ibrah	uim (contract ernor		wife. Mu					<b>XV</b> I	543	68, 69
Muli Sta	te. Propos	ed loan on mo	rtgage by	the —, disall	owed	***	***		XIX	639	135
Mulkgiri	L Exaction	of tribute by	<u> </u>	. •••	***	•	***		VII	192	212, 213
Murdero	f British off	icers at Manip	a.t	105	344	***	****		1	6, 8, 14 (9)	7, 8—10 ; 17, 18
0	f Mr. T. T.	Cooper at Bh	amo		***	866	•••		τ	13	14-17
7	The execution	n of British of	ficers by a	Darbar is —		***	***	•••	[ I	8 14 (9)	8, 10 17, 18
i	Attempt to u	urder Colonel	Phayre, B	Resident, Ba	roda	•••	•••			39 42	49—54 56, 57
	Such an atter	npt a breach o	f loyalty a	and an act of	hostility	***	***		IX	281	40,41
C	to commit	Resident at such a crime vernment and	would just	ify a re-adji					IX	289	47,48
j	_	the Chief of			***	•••	***		IA	62	87
i	Buling Chief	s are liable to	penalties :	for —		***	•••		17	69 (6)	93, 94
. 1	The — of Ge	neral Azim-ud	-din Khan	Rampur.	Delegate	l jurisdictio	n. Extradi	ition	XX {	527 558	59, 60 80, 81
	The Thibaw	Sawbwa, Rulei n British terri	of a Shan					1	XIX	673	153, 154
I	os exensed l	y the comman	nd or privi	ty of a Ruli	ng Chief	•	***	***	ΙV	69 (2)	93, 94
. 1	The Porband	AT CASO	•••	***	***	***	. 101	•••	ΙV	<b>58</b>	79, 80
7	The Kalat ca	8 <del>0</del> •••		•••	***	***	***	•••	ΙV	<b>59</b>	80-82
1	The — of Ku	ınj Behari Lal	, Alwar	•••	***	•=•	***	***	\\ XY	60 <b>5</b> 26	82-84 57
Murree :	Brewery	Company,	1886. D	esire of —	to purch	ase land in	Kashmir i	for hop	XX	704	172, 173
Murshid	abad. Naw	rab Bahadur o	L Salute	-			***	•••	XXII	811	233, 234
•		Title bestow	red by th			British subj			XXII	766	211, 212
Mustafa	Khan, a	Tabsildar in nd-din Khan o	British se f Rampur,	rvice, impli 1891. Que	nated in stion of t	the murder the extradit	of General ion of —	Azim-	XVI	<b>5</b> 58	80, 81
Mutilati	on. Engag	ements of Ass	am and Ch	amba to abo	lish —	- •••	***	•••	17	53, 54	74; 74-78
	of one	Kesia in the	Jhabua St	ate	***	***	***	•••	IA	55	76,77
	of one	Hasil by the	Raja of F	aridkot	•••	•••	•••	•••	ΙA	57	78, 79
	of one	Malji by the	Thakur of	Rupal	•••	•••	***		17	57	78, 79
	of one	Lachhman by	the Bana	of Porband	MP .	***	•••	600	IA	58	79,80
	•	emasculation				***	***	***	ΙV	59	80—82
Mysore.	Provisions like	in the Instr	rament of	Transfer as	to empl	oyment of :	Europeans s	nd the	٧	84	109
	Negotiatio	ns for postal e	onvention (	dropped	•••	•••	***	•••	VI	163	178, 179
	accepta pos	tal unity	***	•••	•••		**;	***	VI	164	179, 180
	Jurisdiction	n on the Myso	re State R	allways	***	***	***	•••	VI	171, 174	186, 187 ; 189, 190

	Subject.	Chapter.	Faragraph.	Page.
Mysore.	Telephone projects in —	VI	183	195—197
TT J BOLO	Opium arrangements with —	vi	185 D	200, 201
	Instrument of Transfer, its provisions regarding salt and opium	VI	185 D	200, 201
	Salt arrangement with	VI.	186 F	206
	Assumption by Government of the direct management of — owing to misrule	ıx	276	36,37
	Bendition of —	1X	276, 279	86, 37;
				39, 40
	Instrument of Trausfer. Minor stipulations of — considered in connection with established principles of Political Law	IX	279	39, 40
	Instrument of Transfer. Provisions on succession in the	x	332	110, 111
	despatch. Successions to Chiefships require confirmation by the Paramount Power	XI	362	143 144
	Minority, 1895. Administration to be conducted by the Mabarani as Regent and by the Dewan assisted by a Council (see foot-note)	XIII	417	184
	Application of Acts to	XIV	440	1
	Proposed Postal Regulation, 1893	VIX	443	3, 4
	Code of Criminal Procedure, 1884-85. Jurisdiction over European British subjects	XIV	447	6, 6
	has renounced jurisdiction in Residency lauds and buildings	XIV	475	26
	Extradition from — before rendition by application to Superintendents of Divisions (see foot-note)	xvIII	616	118
	Execution in — of civil processes of British Courts provided for as part of the law of the State	XVIII	601	111, 112
	Reciprocity with — in the levy of fees on civil processes on the British system	XVIII	606	114
	Execution in — of criminal processes of British Courts provided for as part of the law of the State	XVIII	616 A	118,119
	Execution of warrants in — when issued by Courts in British territory; see also foot-note to § 616	XVIII	616 A	118, 119
	Maharaja of; his honorary military rank	XIX	658	144, 145
	Ressons for the form of the instrument of transfer, 1881	XXI	738	192, 193
	Proposed institution of the Order of Gandabherunda by the Maharaj, disallowed, 1891	IIXX	772	216
	Family of Tipu Sultan of —. Grandsons of Tipu Sultan permitted to use the title of Shahzada	nxx	790	222, 223
Mysore	Case (The), 1872-74. Armies of Native States	VI	129	148, 149
Мувоге	Courts. Summonses of — may be served by Courts in British India	XVIII	698	109, 110
	Decrees and Summonses of —, 1882	XVIII	601	111, 112
	Reciprocal service of summonses and execution of decrees as between the — and the Civil Courts of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore	XVIII	601	111, 112
_	Instrument of Transfer. Analysis of —. (See also Appendix B of Vol. II)	ix	278	88, 89
Mysore	Rendition. Value of the case as a precedent	12	277	37, 38
	Case compared with the Manipur, Baroda and Kalat cases	IX	288	47
Nabha.	Assurance of non-interference	ın	46	59-61
	Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave-dealing	v	101	122
	offers a battery of four guns for imperial defence	. vi	134	153
	Question of supply of breech-loaders to unselected troops	VI	135	15 <b>8—1</b> 65
	applies for the dismissal of Sowar Hardit Singh said to have deserted from the Nabha Imperial Service Infantry	VI	152	167—169
	Jurisdiction on the Rajpura Bhatinda Railway	VΙ	171, 173	186, 187 ; 187—189
	Cession of territory to —	VIII	244, 256	2, 3 ; 17—19
	Selection of a successor when allowed and how to be made	. <b>x</b> ^	<b>3</b> 26 <b>,</b> 839	101, 102;114 —116

Subject.		Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Nabha. Nazarana prescribed in adoption sanad		XII	384	157, 159
Extradition arrangements		XVI	559	81, 82
and Alwar, Extradition Rules	•••	XVII	576	94, 95
and Jaipur and Bikanir, Extradition Rules	•••	XVII	576	94, 95
Postal Convention, 1885. Approved and confirmed by the Government of India	•••	XXI	780	187, 188
Powers of life and death and additional territory granted by sanad	•••	XXI	740	194, 195
Raja of Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	•••	XXII	818	237—239
See "Phulkian Chiefs' case."	-			ĺ
Nagod. Cession of territory to	•••	AIII	244	2, 3
1874. Prolongation of minority	•••	XIII	434	196, 197
Wahan. Raja of Question of absenteeism			74	96, 97
Question of supply of breech-loaders to unselected troops	•••	vi	135	153—155
case, 1874. Trading by a Buling Chief	•••	XIX	627	126, 127
Raja of — appointed to the Legislative Council	•••	XIX	658	144, 145
Raja of —. Offers to serve with a British Mission to Kabul	•••	XIX	658	144, 145
Pargana of Kiarda Dun granted by sanad	•	XXI	740	194, 195
Chief of Increases to his salute as a mark of favour	•	XXII	818	237-239
Naigawan Ribai. Adoption by a female Chief in — permitted		x	338	113, 114
Succession of a female		XI	345	126, 127
Nazarana prescribed in adoption sanad		ХII	884	157, 159
Vajaf Ali's Case, 1884. Demand of Extradition from a Native State irrespective of latesty	aw or	XVI	550	74, 75
Val. See "Mehwasi Chiefshipa."				1
salagarh, re-granted by sanad	•••	XXI	740	194, 195
Raidanga family, 1884. Claims of the — to the hereditary title of Raja	•••	XXII	776, 777	218; 218, 219
Iana Sitaram of Indore. Criminal sentence passed on without a regular trial		14	61	84-87
Nandgaon succession. The succession to a Chiefship is invalid till it is sanctioned by British authorities	y the	XI	863	144
Nazarana case, 1884. See "Nazarana, Nandgaon case, 1884."		XII	391	164
1883. Under female administration during minority		XIII	417	184
Sentences for more than seven years require confirmation		χV	493	37
Nand Gopal, Bhai. Adoption senad granted to, jagirdar of the Punjab		xı	370	148, 149
Napier (Lord) of Magdala; his reference regarding the enlistment of subjects of N	ative		1	# c# # ao
States		VI	152	167—169
Sapier (Lord) of Merchistoun; his minute on the Liddell case. Quotation from -		Ι	4	3-6
on the position of the Paremount Power	•••	I	4	36
his views as to execution of decrees of State Court British territory	***	XVIII	604	113
his reasons for proposing the title of Prince fo Nawab of Arcot	•••	XXII	787	222
Nareingarh, Nazarana case, 1891. A Mediatised Chiefship of neither the first nor second which would pay to the Government of India under the rules of 1864	class	XII	388	161, 162
has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules		XVII	<b>5</b> 8 <b>2</b>	98
Narsinghpur. Exempt from nazarana by terms of sanad		XII	886	159—161
Narwai and Jawasia Nazarana case, 1882-84. Mediatised Chiefs of the second class	pay	IIX	<b>8</b> 6 <b>8</b>	161, 162
under the rules of 1864				1
andos the unios of 1964		XVII	581	96—98

Subje	or.		Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Native Armies. The British Government car	n limit the strength and equi	pment of —	I	14 (4)	17, 18
Native British Subject(s). A - in postal		,	XIV	443	3, 4
	the old principle that they trial by State Courts	must not be sur-	XVI	554	77, 78
	f — for trial by State Court	s is permissible in	XVI	554	77,78
outside the d	d upon — by Buling Chiefs colominions of the Chiefs, unle		} xxii	763 <b>, 764,</b> 766	208,209; 209,210; 211,212
Native Chiefs. See "Ruling Chiefs."					·
Terms indicating royalty not	to be used in respect of —	848	. 1	10	11
Native Management of States where the C		ns for preferring it nean management	IIIX }	67 419	91, 92 185, 186
Native Servants of the British Government a Native States in Central	are, as a general rule, not sur India	rendered for trial to	XVI	557	79,80
Native Soldiers of the British Army.	Question of the arrest in punishment of — for de States Armies		VI	152	167—169
	Limited jurisdiction of Na in respect of offences of territory		XIX	708	174, 175
Natives of India. Extradition of — to Nat	ive States	***	XVI	553, 560	76, 77 ; 82, 83
Native States. (1) Political Subordination	of -to the Paramount Po	wer.			
Limited diplomatic relations	of — ,	***	Ţ	4	86
have no inherent right of jur	isdiction over European Brit	ish subjects	I	4	36
Principles which govern their	r relations with the British (	lovernment	1	4	3-6
Their relations with the Brit	ish Government how determ	ined	· I	4	3-6
The sovereignty of — is only	a limited sovereignty	***	I	4, 5	3-6;6,7
All enjoy more or less soverei	gn power	***	Ţ	5	6, 7
do not possess international l	ife		·I	5	6, 7
have become part and parcel		***	Ī	5,14 (6)	6, 7; 17—18
It is the right and duty of in —			I	8	8—10
Rulers of — are not royal pe	rsonages	***	1	10	11
Subjects and feudatories of — Amjhera and Shorapur cas		mount Power. See	1	12	14
The relations of — with the tional Law	1 1	***	I	14 (1)	17—18
Extent of authority possedefined	***	500 500	. <b>I</b>	14 (2)	17—18
Certain rights of sovereignty treaty, not enjoyed by —.	orner for flore conceded o	r circumscribed by	I	14 (3)	17—18
The British Government hav	e the power to suppress disc	rder in —	I	14 (4)	17—18
	204 444 204	•••	ı	14 (7)	17—18
Penalty to which Chiefs or o tors of revolt, are liable	ther persons in — who act a	s leaders or instiga-	1	14 (8)	17—18
Execution of British officers	by the Darbars of - is mure	le <b>r</b>	1	14 (9)	17—18
Limited use of International	Law in dealings with —	404 622	1	14 (11)	17—18
(2) Political Isolation of					
See passim	erb tal ven	***	11	15—33	19-43
The Travancore-Cochin case,	1873-74	***	11	16	19 <b>, 2</b> 0
Direct diplomatic intercourse			`	16, 18, 33	19, 20; 20—22; 43
Political officers not to enter	into treaties with	***	n	17, 33	20; 43

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Native States. (2) Political Isolation of -continued.			
The Kutch case, 1874-75	п	17	20
Understandings between The Rajputana Border Criminals Case, 1874	п	18	20-22
Understandings between — how effected. See also "Interstatal agreemen The Bahawalpur-Bikanir case, 1873	r., { XAII	18 580	20—22 96
Understandings between The Jaipur-Patiala Extradition case, 1873	11	18	20-22
Understandings between The Kashmir-Chamba Extradition case, 187	5. 11	18	20-22
Understandings between The Kutch-Nawansgar case, 1883	11	18	20-22
Understandings between The Jodhpur-Bikanir Railway case, 1888-89	11	18	20_29
cannot cede territory to each other. The Gwalior-Hyderabad case	11	19	22-24
cannot cede territory to each other. The Lunawara-Balasinor case, 1885-	B6 II	19	22-24
cannot cede territory to each other. The Ruparail River case	11	19	22-24
Exchanges of territory between - may be effected only through the Briti			
Government	II	19,33	22-24;43
The British Government is the arbiter in disputes between —	11	20, 33	24-26;43
Form in which mutual undertakings of — may be expressed	II	83	43
may not make war with one another	II	83	43
may not enter into conventions or engagements with one another	11	33	43
(8) Interference of — with other States.			
The Patiala-Dholpur case	II	21	26—28
Family relationship does not justify interference of Buling Chiefs in ea	II de	21, 83	26-28; 43
The Tonk-Jaora case	. 11	23	28-32
The Indore-Jaora case	11	23	28-32
Claims of — to interfere in or influence the affairs of other States	п	22	28-32
Ruling Chiefs may not interfere officially in each other's affairs	n	22, 83	28-32 43
The Holkar-Baroda case	п	22	2833
The Indore-Panna case	n	22	2832
The Indore-Dewas case, 1893	11	22	28-33
The Baroda-Junagarh cases	. II	22	2882
Loans given by — to other States. The Patiala-Loharu case	. n	23	3234
Loans given by — to other States. The Kutch-Malia case	. 11	23	8284
Ruling Chiefs cannot make loans to one another without previous sanction of			
Government	1 1	23, 33	82-84; 43
Loans between a Buling Chief and a feudatory of another Chief	1 . 1	23	82-84
Interchange of ceremonies between —. The Jhabua-Kusalgarh case	· 1	24	84, 85
Interchange of ceremonies between —. The Banswara-Kusalgarh case		24	34, 35
Interchange of ceremonies between — may be unobjectionable  not permitted to submit joint petitions	1	24	84, 85
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	25, 33	85; 43
Compacts between a Ruling Chief and his own feudatory are permissble		25, 33	85; 43
have no official relations with one another	1 _ 1	26	85
Combinations between — should be repressed		26	85
	1 \		
are politically isolated from all Foreign Powers	. [ ]	27,83	85, 36; 43
of coreign powers not to be permitted to take cognizance of the existence	e II	27	85, 86
Treatment of subjects of - in Foreign territory as British Indian subject	a II	27, 29, 83	\$5, \$6; \$7, \$8; <b>43</b>
Treatment beyond India of subjects of — as British Indian subjects	11	29, 33	36, 37; 48

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Native States.	(4) Political Isolation of - from Foreign Powers-continued.			
	Foreign Consuls in India may hold no communication with	II	30, 33	38-40;43
	When Foreign States may demand extradition of offenders resident in	11	30	3840
	are part of the British Empire for purposes of extradition to and from Foreign territories	II	32, 33	41,43;43
	cannot be separated from British India in relations with Foreign Powers.  The Goa Treaty of 1878	XXI	755	202, 208
(õ) The	limited internal Sovereignty of—.			,
	Sovereignty of — shared between the British Government and the Native Chiefs	III	36	45—47
	General limitations of internal sovereignty in —	111	·	48, 49 ; 72, 7
	misrule in. Cases of intervention to prevent —	111	38, 52	48, 49 ; 72,
	The duty of interference in — in cases of gross misrule	111	38, 52	48, 49 ; 72,
	Incorrigible misrule in — a sufficient disqualification for sovereign power	111	42, 52	56, 57 ; 72,
	The existence of civil war cannot be permitted in —	111	45, 52	59; 72, 73
	Measures of reform in — should emanate from their own Governments	III	46, 49, 52	59-61; 69 68; 72, 73
	Interference in — is undertaken with reluctance	III	46, 52	59—61; 7 73
	The British Government will not surrender its right to interfere in —	ın	46A, 52	61, 62 ; 72,
	British methods of administration will not be forced on —	111	47, 52	62, 66 ; 72,
	A Chief is not maintained in power for the gratification of his selfish desires	111	47, 52	62-66,72 73
	A European officer not allowed to be a member of the State Council in Kashmir	111	47	6266
	The Maharaja of Kashmir temporarily excluded from power at his own request	III	47	6266
	A European officer appointed Minister of Bhopal	m	48	66, 67
	In cases of intervention preference is frequently given to a native administration in —	111	48, 52	66 <b>, 67</b> ; 72,
	Armed intervention in Jodhpur	m	49	67, 68
	Intervention in — to maintain the general peace of the country	111	49	67,68
	Abdication of the Raja of Chamba	III	50	68, 69
	Interference in the Bahawalpur State	ш	50	68, 69
	Deposition of the Raja of Suket	111	50	68, 69
	Rebellion in Suket	111	50	68,69
	Insurrection in the Bahawalpur State	111	50	68, 69
	The Chief of Jath deprived of power	ııı	51	69-71
	Abdication of the Nawab of Sachin	in	51	6971
	The Raja of Rajpipla deprived of power	ш	51	69—71
	Armed intervention in Cambay	III	51	69—71
	Rebellion in Cambay	111	51	6971
	When Government intervenes to help a Chief in difficulties with his people, he must accept the intervention unconditionally	III	51, 52	71;73
	Reforms in — should be effected through the agency of their Rulers	III	, i	71, 72 ; 72,
	Primitive and isolated. Caution to be observed in introducing reforms in -	III	51 A	71, 72
	Internal sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and	III	52	72,73
	Interference in — is justified in certain circumstances	III	52	72,73
	When the powers of a Chief are withdrawn, there is a fixed desire to restore a Native Government	. III	. 52	72, 73
	***			

: '	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Native States.	(5) The limited internal Sovereignty of—continued.			
	Incorrigible misrule will justify the deposition of a Chief	III	52	72, 73
	The measures taken to set a Chief aside may stop short of his deposition	III	52	72,73
(6) Inte	rvention in — in specific cases of misconduct.			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Barbarous practices prohibited in —	ΙV	53, 59	74; 80—92
" '	Buling Chiefs are held responsible for prevention of barbarous practices	. 14	53, 59, 69	74 ; 80—82 93, 94
	Chief of Jhabua fined and his salute reduced for an abuse of authority	17	55	76,77
	Liability of Chiefs to have their salutes reduced for neglecting to prevent Sati and Samadh	IV	56	78
	In specific cases of misconduct on part of Ruling Chiefs, Government is free to exercise the widest discretion as to the course it will pursue	ΙV	57	78, 79
	Faridkot Chief reprimanded for misconduct	IV	57	78, 79
	Rupal Thakur deprived of jurisdiction for misconduct	IV ·	57	78,79
	Porbandar Chief's power forfeited and his status reduced for misconduct	IV.	58	79, 80
	Atrocities committed by the Khan of Kalat	. 17	59	80-82
	Abdication of the Khan of Kalat when liable to deposition	IV	<b>69, 60</b>	80-82; 82-84
	A discussion of 1884 as to the right of Government to interfere in	IV	61	84-87
	No general rule defining classes of cases in which Government will not interfere in —	IV	61, 69	8 <b>4—</b> 87; 93,
•	Confiscation of Purws for the Chief's complicity in a murder	17	62	87
	Dang Chieftains fined, imprisoned and hanged for serious criminal offences	IV	62	87
	Banki Chief deposed for murder, 1839	IV	62	87
	Maharaja of Jodhpur punished in 1870 for contumacy	IV	62	87
	Banswara Chief's salute reduced for deceitful conduct	IV	63	87—89
	Makrai Chief fined for a breach of engagement	IV	64	89
	Jhalawar Chief punished for breach of engagement and insubordination	ıv	65	89, 90
	Jhalawar Chief deprived of power and replaced in position of a minor Chief	17	65	89, 90
	Jhalawar Chief restored to power	IV	65	89, 90
	European and Native Agency in effecting reforms in	IV	66, 68	20, 91 ; 92,
	Direct management of — by European officers should be avoided, if possible	17	67, 69	91, 92; 93,
	The perpetrator of a murder in a Native State is not allowed to plead the command or countenance of the Chief	ıv	69	93, 94
	There is no bar to interference in — if unwarrantable cruelties are committed in the name of justice	ıv	69	93, 94
	No Ruling Chief may cause an offending person to be put to death without trial	IV	69	93, 94
	Buling Chiefs are liable to penalties for crimes or atrocities or other forms of misconduct	17	69	93, 94
	There is no inflexible rule as to the choice of instruments for effecting reforms in —	IV	69	93,94
	Penalties inflicted on Ruling Chiefs vary according to the nature of the case	14	69	93, 94
(7) Jos	int Administrations in —,		1	
	The principle of joint administration of -, disapproved	14.	68	92, 93
,	It is not expedient to associate a nominee of Government with a Chief as joint administrator of his State	IA	69	93, 94
(1)	rther limitations of internal Sovereignty of—  Absenteeism.	_		05 - 00 00
	A Chief may not systematically absent himself from his State for long periods	V	71, 74	95; 96, 97
	Rules regarding the visits of Chiefs to Simla	V	72	96
	Nahan Chief's absence from his State in 1892	V	78	96

· ·	Subject.	Chapter.	Parngraph.	Page.
Native States.	(8) Further limitations of internal Sovereignty of—continued.			
	nteeism—coutd.			
	If a Chief intends to leave his State, except for a casual stay elsewhere, he should give notice of his intention	<b>v</b>	73, 75	96;97
	There is no general rule defining the control of Government over the movements of Chiefs	v	75	97
	Chiefs compelled by ill-health to be absent from their States should make efficient arrangements for conduct of the administration	₹	75	97
(S) (ii)	Religious questions.			
	Attitude of Government on religious questions in —	V	76, 80	97, 98; 104, 105
	Conversion of the Chief of Rajgarh to Muhammadanism	v	77	98—101
	A change of creed on the part of a Ruling Chief does not justify contumacy or rebellion on the part of his subjects	V	77, 80	98—101;104, 105
	Government will not enter into the merits of purely religious quarrels between a Chief and his subjects	v	77, 80	98—101 ; 104,
	Government will not ordinarily concern itself with the religious convictions of a Ruling Chief	▼	77, 80	08~101; 104, 105
	Position of Christian Missionaries in	v	78, 79A	101 ; 103, 104
	A Superintendent in charge of a State during a minority may not prevent British subjects from establishing a mission or opening a school in the State	v	78, 80	101 ; 104, 105
	Buling Chiefs may not persecute their subjects or outrage their religious			
	feelings	V	80	104, 105
	BUDJects ID	.▼	80	104, 105
	Interference of Political Officers with demonstrations or processions of religious bodies when justifiable	v	80	104, 105
(6) (iii)	Employment of Europeans, Americans and Eurasians in-		•	
	Employment of Europeans or Americans in Native States	. <b>V</b>	81, 90	105—107;112; 113
	The restrictions as to the employment of Europeans or Americans in — extends to all States irrespective of treaty obligations	v	81, 94	105—107; 115
	Government would not permit the employment of Europeans or Americans in the manufacture of arms in —	v	83, 94	108;115
	Government has no wish to prevent employment of Europeans or Americans in industrial occupations in —	V	83, 84, 94	108; 109; 115
	It is an important principle of Imperial policy not to permit the unrestricted employment of foreign adventurers by —	v	87, 94	110, 111 ; 115
	The employment of a European or American by a noble is equivalent to his employment by the Derbar	V	88, 94	111, 112; 115
	The principle of the employment of Natives in all posts in —, for which they are qualified, approved by Government	v	89	112
	Principle to be observed in the introduction of Europeans into official or quasi-official positions in —	· <b>v</b>	89, 94	.112;115
	General orders regarding employment of Europeans and Eurasians in	$\mathbf{v}_{\cdot}$	90	112, 113
	The employment of Eurasians in — does not require formal sanction but should be watched	v	90, 94	112, 113 ; 115
	Rules regarding transfer of British officials to service in	v	91	113, 114
	Salaries and duties of British pensioned officers and non-officials in —	ν.	92, 94	114; 115
(8) (iv)	Dealings of — with capitalists or financial agents.		, 	
	Direct dealings between them and capitalists or Financial Agents are not permitted	v	95, 100	115, 116 ; 121, 122
	How capitalists or Financial Agents may, as regards railway or mining concessions, enter into preliminary negotiations with —	<b>v</b> .	^ <b>98, 100</b>	118-121; 121, 122

Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in —			r
Government of India  Pactories in — cannot, if the projectors are British subjects or Europeans, be established without a reference to the Government of India  (5) (*) Elawe dealing in —  Government will not allow alave dealing in — or importation of slaves into State territory			
established without a reference to the Government of India	v	98, 100	118—121
Government will not allow alays dealing in — or importation of slaves into State territory  (5) (5) (5) Minist and Coinage is —.  See passins	v	99, 100	121, 123; 121; 121, 1;
State territory  (5) (vi) Minis and Coinage is —.  See passin		İ	
See passion	V	104	124
Prohibition of coinage by the feudatories of Ruling Chiefs  See also separate entry "Mints and Coinage in Native States."  (9) Subordinate co-operation of — with the Paramount Power defined  Connection between subordinate co-operation and limitations of sovereignty of —  Restrictions on importation of arms and military stores by —  General doctrine of subordinate co-operation of — explained to Kashmir, 1886  British occupation of forts in —  Location of contonments in —  (10) Armics of — and Imperial Service Corps.  Policy in regard to the armies of —  Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in —  Unlikely that artillery will be given to —  Employment of foreign merceneries in the service of —  (11) Postal co-operation of —.  Obligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lices or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1886 with —  (12) Evilway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones in —.			
See also separate entry "Mints and Coinage in Native States."  (9) Subordinate co-operation of — with the Paramount Power defined  Connection between subordinate co-operation and limitations of severeignty of —  Restrictions on importation of arms and military stores by —  General doctrine of subordinate co-operation of — explained to Kashmir, 1886  British occupation of forts in —  Location of cantonments in —  (10) Armies of — and Imperial Service Corps.  Policy in regard to the armies of —  Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in —  Unlikely that artillery will be given to —  Petty —, if too small to provide a corps of their own, should be left out of the Imperial Service scheme  Employment of foreign merceneries in the service of —  (11) Postal co-operation of —,  Ohligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lices or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1836 with —  (12) Roilway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones in —.	V	105121	124-143
(9) Subordisate co-operation of — with the Paramount Power.  Subordinate co-operation of — with the Paramount Power defined	V	107	127, 128
Subordinate co-operation of — with the Paramount Power defined  Connection between subordinate co-operation and limitations of sovereignty of —	*		
Connection between subordinate co-operation and limitations of eovereignty of —			
Restrictions on importation of arms and military stores by —  General doctrine of subordinate co-operation of — explained to Kashmir, 1836  British occupation of forts in —  Location of cantonments in —  (10) Armies of — and Imperial Service Corps.  Policy in regard to the armies of —  Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in —  Unlikely that artillery will be given to —  Petty —, if too small to provide a corps of their own, should be left out of the Imperial Service scheme  Employment of foreign merceneries in the service of —  (11) Postal co-operation of —.  Obligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lices or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with rallways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1886 with —  (12) Esilway co-operation of — must be reported to Government  Land for rallways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for rallways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on rallways	17	122, 157	144; 173
Restrictions on importation of arms and military stores by —  General doctrine of subordinate co-operation of — explained to Kashmir, 1886  British occupation of forts in —  Location of cantonments in —  Location of cantonments in —  (10) Armics of — and Imperial Service Corps.  Policy in regard to the armics of —  Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in —  Unlikely that artillery will be given to —  Petty —, if too small to provide a corps of their own, abould be left out of the Imperial Service scheme  Employment of foreign merceneries in the service of —  (11) Postal co-operation of —.  Obligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lines or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1836 with —  (12) Roilway co-operation of —.  Eailway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge does not estend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (15) Telegraphs and telephones is —.	VI	123	
General doctrine of subordinate co-operation of — explained to Kashmir, 1886	٧ĭ	125	144
British occupation of forts in —	. **	1.5	145, 146
Location of cantonments in —	VI	128	147, 143
(10) Armies of — and Imperial Service Corps.  Policy in regard to the armies of —	VI	157	173
Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in —	VI	157	173
Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in —			
Unlikely that artillery will be given to —  Petty —, if too small to provide a corps of their own, should be left out of the Imperial Service scheme  Employment of foreign merceneries in the service of —  (11) Postal co-operation of —.  Obligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lines or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1886 with —  (12) Roilway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways	VI	129, 130	148, 149 ; 14 150
Petty —, if too small to provide a corps of their own, should be left out of the Imperial Service scheme	Vi	131, 141	150, 152; 1:
Employment of foreign merceneries in the service of —  (11) Postal co-operation of —.  Obligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lines or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1836 with —  (12) Evilway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  I and for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones is —.	VI	134	153
Obligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lines or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1886 with —  (12) Railway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  I and for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (15) Telegraphs and telephones is —.	VI	138, 14:(7)	15 <b>6, 157</b> ; 13 159
Obligation of —, to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails  must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lines or offices within their territories  Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1836 with —  (12) Railway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Cen by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones is —.	17	143, 149	159, 160; 10
must permit the establishment of Imperial postal lines or offices within their territories			
Imperial postal rights in connection with railways in —  Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1886 with —  (12) Railway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones is —.	VI	158, 160	173, 174 ; 1
Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1886 with —	vi	161	176-178
(12) Railway co-operation of —.  Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones in —.	VI	161	176—178
Railway projects of — must be reported to Government  Land for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Cen by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones in —.	VI	162	178
I and for railways must be provided by — free of charge  The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries  Ce by — of jurisdiction on railways  (13) Telegraphs and telephones is —.			
The obligation of — to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of quarries	VI	168	183, 184
cestend to the products of quarries	VI	169	184, 185
(13) Telegraphs and telephones in —.	vi	170	<b>185,</b> 186
	vi	171, 174	186,187!; 189, 190
			100, 100
Telegraph and telephone projects in — must be reported to Government	V1	177, 183	192; 195—1:
Lines may be constructed without sanction of Gov- ernment within the enclosures of the personal resi- dence of a Ruling Chief	VI	183	195—19
Til alamata	71	183	195—197
(II) Fis. il co-operation of —,			ļ
Opium agreements with	vi	185	198, 100
District Decid Comments of the	VI	185B	200
Final as annual in the second	VI	185, 188	198, 199;

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Native States.	(14) Fiscal co-operation of —continued.			
	Duty of to prevent the snuggling of opium	VI	185 D	200, 201
	Acquisition by Government of salt sources in	vı	186	201—203
	Proposals for protecting British Customs revenue at the ports of maritime States	٧ı	188	207—209
	The Paramount Power has a right to levy in British territory such taxes as it thinks fit to impose and to protect the revenues derived from the same	VI	188A	209, 210
	No State may so manage its fiscal affairs as to interfere with the general fiscal policy of the Paramount Power	IA	188	207—209
(15) Pi	reservation of Native Rule in —.			
	Policy of annexation repudiated in regard to	IX	273	34
	The British Government will respect the rights and dignity and honour of Ruling Chiefs as its own	1X	273, 289	34; <b>47,</b> 48
	Circumstances in which they may become liable to confiscation	IX	275	<b>3</b> 5, 36
	Misrule in Mysore	IX	276	36, 37
	Rendition of Mysore	IX	276, 279	36, 37; 39, 40
	The Baroda case considered in connection with the preservation of native rule	IX	280, 282	40; 41, 42
	Successions in - viewed in connection with the preservation of native rule	IX	293, 285	42;44
	Liability of the Manipur State to annexation in 1891	IX	286, 287	44—46; 46, 47
	The British Government does not desire the annexation of	IX	289	47, 48
	The British Government will recognise adoptions and successions in — in accordance with the terms of their adoption sanads	IX	289	47, 48
	Circumstances which would justify a re-adjustment of the relations between the British Government and a Native State. See also the Alwar succession case, paragraphs §328, §329	1%	289	47, 48
	It is not expedient that the administration of — should be a mere copy of British Administration	IX	289	47 <b>, 4</b> 8
	The British Government desires that the administration of — should be conducted on civilised principles	IX	289	47, 48
	The selection of a successor to a deposed Chief rests solely with the British Government	12	289	47, 48
	The deposition of a Ruling Chief on any ground is an act of State of which the Courts of Law bave no cognizance	ZI	289	47, 48
	The integrity of — must be maintained	IX	290	48, 49
(16) P	artitions of and wills purporting to dispose of —.			
	Political power in cannot be transmitted like private property	IX	293, 295	51, 52; 54
	Objections to the partition of Kashmir	ΙX	293	51, 52
	It is not the practice or policy of the British Government to recognise wills made by Ruling Chiefs in respect to the devolution of their States	IX	295	54
	Objections to the partition of —	1X	296	54
	The dismemberment of small States is, in the absence of special circumstances, politically inexpedient	1X	299	58
	Cases of the dismemberment of —	IX	300303	<b>6861</b>
	Circumstances may arise to make the partition of a State politically expedient	IX	303	61
(17) P	rotection of Chiefs against rebellion.			
	Characteristics of the Rajputana States	IX	305	62, 63
	Rebellion of the Thakurs of Jodhpur, 1868	IX	306	63, 64
	Zorawar Singh's rebellion in Jodhpur, 1872	IX	307	65-67
	Rebellion of the Thakurs of Alwar, 1870	IX	308	67-72
	Dispute between Kishaugarh and Fatchgarh, 1874	1X	309	72
	Case of the Sidhs of Bikanir, 1875		1	

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph	Page.
Native States.	(17) Protection of Chiefs against rebellion—continued.			
•	The Chamba Succession Case, 1870	ıx	311	74
	Conditions on which military aid may be afforded to - for suppression of			
	internal disturbances	IX.	311	74
	Movement of troops into — on emergencies	IX	311A	74, 75
	Disputed succession in Keonjhar, 1868	IX	312	75-77
	Dispute between Banswara and Kusalgarh, 1868	IX	812	75-77
	Disaffection of the Thakurs of Bikanir, 1870-72, 1880, 1883	IX	312	75-77
	Punishment of rebels against the authority of the Manipur State	IX	312	75-77
	Disturbances in Bombay, 1890	IX	312	75-77
	Bebellion in Janjira, 1870	IX	812	75-77
(18	I) Miscellaneous.		1	
·1	Baja of Banki deposed for murder and his State forfeited in 1839	{ vII	11 210	12—14 224, 225
	Raja of Angul deposed for misconduct	{ vii	11 210	12-14 224, 225
	Imprisonment of the Chief of Udaipur (Bengal) and his brother for murder and homicide and confiscation of the State	VII	229	238
<b>.</b>	Re-grant of the State of Udaipur (Bengal) to the younger brother of the Chief of Sarguja	AII	229	238
-	apse of the Peint State through failure of heirs	VII	236	241243
	British interference in the Dángs	VII	237	243—245
	Question of lapse or eacheat of a State on failure of natural or adopted heirs and its subsequent re-grant	x	325 <b>, 3</b> 29	98-101; 106, 107
Ţ	Position of Regent held in Bhopal by the Sikandar Begam	XI	845	126, 127
	nvestment in Government securities of the cash balance of a Native	XII	378	154, 155
	ander British management. Employment of foreigners in — should be re- duced to the narrowest possible limits. Jhalawar case, 1875-76	XIII	<b>6</b> 11	181
Ţ.,	Motives and circumstances which may induce a preference for direct management by Native officers under European control	XIII	419	185, 186
	Certain — in the Central Provinces placed under European management when politically disturbed	XIII	419	185, 186
	Beneficial employment of an alien minister in the Tonk State (foot-note)	XIII	438	199—201
I	Ruling of 1871 that Courts in — cannot be permitted to try European British subjects	XIV	446	5
C	Saution issued in 1874 against installing the Maharana of Udaipur in the Eritish Residency as being quasi-British territory	XIV	475	26
. •	Why political control over some Bombay States is exercised by District Collectors	xv	484	32, 83
71	Non-jurisdictory Chiefs in the Bombay Presidency	X۷	485	33
. (	Chiefs in Malwa who had not the power of life and death used in 1862 to refer all serious cases, whether interjurisdictional or not, to the Political Agent (foot-note)	<b>¥</b> 17	Eng	44
1	The right to deal according to its own laws with all persons resident within its	XVI	503 542	6668
1	Residence of Europeans other than officials in	XX	706, 707	173, 174; 174
	S." Interpretation of the term in the adoption canada	( X	830	107—109
		îx }	342	118—123
•	cortificates granted to Native States subjects under certain circumstances "Mehwasi Chiefships."	XIX	662, 664, 665	147 ; 148, 149 ; 149, 150
-	1 Kutch case, 1873. Understandings between Native States	H	18	20—22
	culation of copper coin of - in British territory	v	119	136
' . ' <del>'</del> ' . ' . ' . ' . ' . ' . ' . ' . ' . '	accepted postal unity	VI	166	181, 182
		,	#0 <b>U</b>	301, 10#

		<del></del>	<del></del>
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Nawanagar. Kalubha's case, 1872. Adoption of the issue of a doubtful marriage. Recognition			
of a right of succession on the part of the son of a Rajput Chief by a Musalmani	x	321	93, 94
and Kutch agreement case, 1883. Previous sanction of Government required to agreements with Native States	XXI	725	185, 186
and Kutch case, 1833-34. In the case of conventions, etc., not ratified by the Viceroy, the usual formalities should be observed	. XXI	732	188, 189
and Kutch agreement case, 1884. Formal agreement to be executed in accordance with the rules prescribed for the execution of treaties	XXI	732	183, 189
Nawanagar-Gondal Loan case (foot-note)	11	23	32-34
Nawanagar Succession Case (The), 1884. The recognition of a successor may be set aside by the birth of a son of the body to the Chief of the State	x	322	94—96
Nazarana of Jaora payable to Indore	11	22	28-32
Classification of a Chiefship as a State in respect of — adduced as an evidence of its			
possession of sovereignty	VII	215	227—229
Rules of 1868	XII	384	157-159
Proposal of Lord Canning for the levy of — whenever adoption took effect	XII	384	157—159
Stipulations for — in the original distribution of certain adoption sanads	XII	381	157-159
Definition of the word as understood by the Native Governments in India  Proposal that it should be leviable in Muhammadan States on certain indirect succes-	XII	304	157—159
sions	XII	384	157—159
the adoption sanad	XII	384	157—159
Various notes on — by Sir Charles Aitchison. Mr. Lee-Warner, Mr. Botellho, and Mr. Forbes referred to in foot-note	XII	384	157—159
Abolition of the practice of levying it on direct successions	XII	384	157—159
Report to be made on the occurrence of a succession as to whether the State should or should not be exempted from the levy of —	XII	385	159
taken on certain successions in accordance with the terms of the adoption sanads	XII	386	159161
Provisions in existing engagements affecting the levy of —	XII	386	159—161
Exemption of States from the levy of — on the ground that agreements with them preclude the levy of —	XII	386	159—161
Sandur and Banganapalle exempted from the levy of	XII ·	386	159—161
Patwardhau family is apparently exempt from the levy of	xII	386	159161
Exemption of feudatories of Native States from the payment of — to the British Government	XII	387	161
Sitaman held liable to pay it, 1885. Mediatised Chief of the first class probably pay to the British Government	XII	388	161, 162
Narwar and Jawasia case, 1882-1884. Mediatised Chiefships of the second class pay under the rules of 1864	XII	388	161, 162
Claim of a Chief to levy it upon direct successions to the guaranteed tankhas payable to feudatories	XII	388	161, 162
in the case of mediatised Chiefs	XII	388	161, 162
Narsingarh case, 1891. A mediatised chiefship of neither the first nor second class, which would pay to the Government of India under the rules of 1864	XII	. 388	161, 162
The Narsingarh precedent followed in the case of Jhabua (foot-note)	XII	388	161, 162
Kolhapur case, 1884. Discussion with Bombay as to the grounds of exemption, viz., poverty, special agreement and complete independence at commencement of relations with the British Government	XII	389	162, 163
Except where the demand for — is barred by special agreement, each case must be considered on its merits	XII	389	162, 163
Treaty States held liable to the payment of — under the rules	XII	389, <b>3</b> 90	162, 163;
The Dewas State, Junior Branch, held liable to the payment of — though it is a Treaty State	XII	390	163, 164
Liability to — of States paying tribute	XII	391	163, 164
	· AII	451	164

## INDEX TO INDIAN

	Scriece.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Nazarana.	Applicability of the— rules to the States of Kores, Bonai and Udaipur of Chota Lagpur	XII	391	164
	Kandgaon case, 1884. Liability to periodical revision of tribute does not carry with it exemption from — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	XII	391	164
	Temporary exemption of Sikkim from the operation of the rules (see foot-note)	ZII	392	164
	Exemption of Anghad on account of poverty, 1887	ZII	392	164
	Exemption of a State from on the ground of poverty	хп	39 <b>2, 3</b> 94 <b>, 3</b> 95	164, 165 ; 165, 166
	Provisional exemption of the Dang Chiefships from, 1887	. XII	393	165
	That a State is small is no sufficient reason for exemption from	XIX	393	165
	Provisional exemption of the Chikhli Estate, 1888	XII	394	165
	Exemption of petty estates in Rewa Kantha	XII	395	165, 166
	Exemption from - of Native States with small annual incomes	XII	395	165, 166
	Limbility to partition and absence of jurisdiction are not of themselves sufficient		1	200, 200
	reasons for exemption from —	XII	396	166
	Jeipur case, 1893	XII	396	166
	Jetpur-Chital case, 1889 (see foot-note)	XII	396	166
	Orders regarding — in Kathiswar	XII	396	166
	Circumstances which justify or preclude the levy of $-$ cannot be precisely defined .	ХII	397	166, 167
	Exemptions from — limited to particular cases under special circumstances	XII	\$97	166, 167
	Each case must be decided on its separate merits	XII	397	166, 167
	Limitation of the original proposal to levy —	xII	397	166, 167
	Question of the liability of the State to — ahould be included in the succession report	XII	397 (1)	167
	Provisions in an existing engagement apparently exempting a State from the levy of — should be liberally construed	XII	<b>3</b> 97 (2)	167
	Pradatories of Kative States are not liable to pay — to the British Govern-	XII	<b>397 (3)</b>	167
	Payment of — by mediatised Chiefs of the 2nd class in Central India to their superior Darbars is regulated by the orders of 1864	XII	<b>397 (4)</b>	167
	Any which is psyable by mediatised Chiefs of the 1st class in Central India is psyable to the British Government	ХП	<b>3</b> 97 (5)	167
	Each case must be considered on its merits	XII	397 (6)	167
Nazarana :	Register. Entry in the of State on the occasion of a succession	XII	\$85	159
	Donate of management for the property of the		366	145, 146
TO REPUBLICE		XI		157
	of 1872	XII	383	157159
	History of the	XII	884	197~159
	of 1872. Exemptions founded on the possession of a treaty or the payment of tribute have no place in the	XIX	. 384	157—159
	of 1868. States exempted from the operation of	XII	384	157—159
	of 1863. Political agitation consequent on the elamification of States with reference to the application of	XII	384	157—159
	of 1872 should be worked with discretion	XII	384	157—159
	are open to modification, if necessary	XII	\$84	157—159
	of 1872. Application of the — to Kathiawar	XII	384	157—159
	Proposal to abandon the —	XII	384	157—159
	Communication of the to political authorities	XII	\$85	159
	as ann Fail to Krowndown and other Conthern Mahmetta Tanina	XII	386	159—161
	Atheretic Red Pal Lahren and Monkhani and liable to account	XII	\$86	159—161
	L listised States are not necessarily exempt from the application of			
	the	III	\$83	161, 162
	Engagements which should be considered treaties for the purpose of the -	XII	389	162, 163

		<del>,</del>	
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Mopal classed as independent by Sir Charles Aitchison in 1873	I	13	14-17
is not in a position analogous to that of the protected States in India	11	32	41-43
Extradition with	{ XVI	32 541, 516	41-43 69, 70 : 70
Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	V	81	105—107
	VIII V	263, 264	25; 26
Extradition with —. Circumstances under which the law will be construed strictly is favorem libertalis	XVI	544, 546	69, 70 : 70
Extradition with — ruling that the word "offences" as used in section 14 of the Extradition Act is not limited to offences designated in the Schedule	XVI	545	70
Extradition treaty requires prima facis proof	XVII	587	100, 101
Property in British territory belonging to	XIX	649	138
Thomason's instructions to Sir Henry Lawrence when going to Nepal as Resident	XX	677	156, 157
Minister of —. Officially addressed as His Excellency	XXII	792	223, 224
Maharaja of — has a salute of 21 guns in British India	XXII	813	235
Nepalege officials charged with bribery, 1887. Demand of the Court of Nepal for the			
surrender of —	XVI	545	70
Notherlands. Position of subjects of Native States under the Convention of 1870 with the	п	28	36, <b>3</b> 7
Nove, Mr. Case of holding immoveable property in Kashmir	XX	703	171, 172
Nilgiri Case, 1890. Objections to the Political Agent acting as arbitrator in the claim against the Raja of Nilgiri	xx	688	161
Nimrans, a feudatory of Alwar, exempt from the operation of the Nazarana rules	XII	387	161
N mach. Extension of the Civil Procedure Code to the — Cantonment	XIV	463	19
Small Cause Court Law, 1889	XIV	466	21, 22
British jurisdiction exercised without any express cession	XIV	480	29, 30
Non-jurisdictory Chiefs in the Bombay Presidency	xv	485	53
Non-officials, British. Salaraiss and duties of — in Native States	v	92, 94	114; 115
Norris' (Mr. Charles) testimony regarding the Gaekwar's suzerainty in Kathiawar before british rule	VII	192	212, 213
Northbrooks (Lord). Opinion of — regarding jurisdiction of Native States over European British subjects	r	3	2, 3
consults Holkar on Baroda affairs	11	22	28-32
his letter to the Gackwar of Baroda on the necessity of British interference in the affairs of his State	m	40	54, 55
his views regarding the enlistment and surrender of deserters	VI IV	153	169, 170
Notification(s) purporting to transfer certain villages to Bhaunagar	Aui	246, 250	6, 7 ; 10—12
in the Idar cession case	IIIA	251	12, 13
publishing the samed regranting the Manipur State	ıx	287	46, 47
Numerous — applying laws to or enacting laws for cantonments in State territory referred to (see foot-notes)	XIV	466	21, 23
Numerous — have regulated powers of British Courts or officers in canton-ments in State territory	XIA	467	22
Series of - regularising administration of justice by British Courts in the cantonments of the Hyderabad Contingent	XIV	470	23, 24
of 1890 regularising administration of justice in Baroda Cantonment, an excellent precedent as to the manner of applying British Acts in State territory	XIV	472	24
of 1891 applicable to the Bhuj Cantonment. Certain clauses similar to those of the Baroda Cantonment — of 1893	XIV	474	25, 26
of 1885 regularising the administration of criminal justice in the Hyderabad Residency Barara	xıv	476	26, 27
without reference to Maharaja Holkur, and under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, of powers within Indore Residency limits	XIV	477	<b>27</b> ·
of 1887 relating to jurisdiction of the Kolbapur Residency and Civil Station	XIV .	478 .	27, 28
	· )		

SUBJECT.	Chapter,	Paragraph.	Page.
Notification(s) of 1876, describing the jurisdiction exercised by the Bombay Government in Kathiawar	XV	492	200 07
defining the residuary jurisdiction of British officers in Central India	XV	508	36, 37
of 1891 regulating jurisdiction in Kashmir	χV	517	46, 47
Numerous — regulating jurisdiction on Railway lands referred to	XV	520	52, 53
Convenient form of — for regulating jurisdiction on Railway lands	XV	520	53, 54 53, 54
Form of — for applying British Indian enactments to State territory under British jurisdiction	xv	520A	54, 55
Various — regulating ceded jarisdiction referred to	xv	523	55, 56
Various — have conferred on High Courts jurisdiction over European British subjects being Christiaus and resident in State territory	XVI	551	75, 76
Powers under the Civil Procedure Code to legalise the execution of certain decrees	XVIII	595	107, 108
Powers under the Civil Procedure Code to legalise the service of summonses in certain cases	XVIII	596	108, 109
of March 1880 recognising certain Courts for the purposes of section 229 of the Code of Civil Procedure	XVIII	597	109
issued to meet the difficulty of distinguishing between Courts established by the Governor-General in Council State territory and others	XVIII	600	111
of March 1889 and July 1890 promoting reciprocity in execution of decrees and service of summonses between various Courts	XVIII	607, 613	114, 115; 116
Issue under the Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act of — operating beyond the limits of British India and relating to execution of decrees and service of summonses	XVIII	608, 610 <b>,</b> 611	115; 115; 115
extending the reciprocal system to certain Kashmir Courts	XVIII	613	116
under Forsign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act necessary to prescribe rules for British Courts in State territory	XVIII	619	120
required to supplement in State territory the operation of Act V of 1893 legalising the execution in British India of certain capital sentences	XVIII	622	122
of honorary military rank in the London Gazette	XIX	656	141—143
of 1859 prohibiting Political officers from borrowing or lending money to Natives within their political circles	xx	691	162, 163
of 1893 publishing rules as to the remuneration of Medical Officers for attendance on Ruling Chiefs and others in Native States	xx	693	164
of 1888 authorising the Resident in Kashmir to require any European, American or Australian whose presence is objectionable in the State to leave it	XX	706	173, 174
of December 1877 recognising hereditary titles in Oudh	- XX1I	775	217
of December 1890 recognising Carnatic titles and titles of Madras Zamindars	XXII	779	219
conferring the title of Shabzada on a great grandsou of Tipu	IIXX	790	222, 223
of 1887. Regarding the establishment of titles for the reward of literary merit	XXII	<b>7</b> 95	225, 226
of 1871 by the Punjab Government prescribing forms of addresses in verna- cular correspondence for Extra Assistant Commissioners and Magistrates	XXII	805	229, 230
Nowgong Small Cause Court Law, 1891	XIV	466	21, 22
British jurisdiction exercised without any express cession	XIA	480	29, 30
Offences. Liability of British subjects for — committed in State territory	XIV	444	4
Officers of Government not to collect subscriptions for political purposes	XX	695	165, 166
Oman classed as independent by Sir Charles Aitchison in 1873	1	13	14-17
Opium agreements with Native States	VI	185	198, 199
Rights of the British Government independent of	VI	185 B	200
Duty of Native States to prevent the smoggling of	VI	185D	200, 201

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Orakzais. Aminulla Khan, chief of the —, also a British-born subject. The Government not bound to prosecute him for fighting his enemies beyond the border (see foot-note)	ı	12	14
Orchha, See "Bundelkhand, the States of".	[		
Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	v	81	105—107
not required to cede lands in full sovereignty for railway purposes	VI	171	186, 187
1874. Prolongation of minority after Chief attained age of 21	XIII	434	196, 197
need not refer death sentences	xv	504	45
Chief of -; increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
Order(s) of distinction. Foreign - not dealt with except in paragraph § 30	XXII	758	205
of distinction, British, mentioned	XXII	758, 760	205 ; 206, 207
of the Ali Band. Proposed revival of the — by the Nizam of Hyderabad, 1885	11XX	771	215
of the Asafia. Nizam not permitted to create the - of three degrees on the		-	
English model	XXII	771	215
of distinction based upon English models. Ruling Chief may not institute —	XXII	771, 772	215; 216
of Gandabherunda, Mysore. Mabaraja not allowed to institute the	XXII	772	216
Orders of Government. Bules regarding their communication to Darbars	XX	696	166, 167
Orissa. British acquisition of —	VII	207	222, 223
Plains of — brought under subjection	VII	207	222, 223
Orissa Tributary Mahals. Case of the —. Question of their status	VII	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 207, \ 214\\ 221, \ 224 \end{array}\right.$	222, 223; 22 233, 234; 235, 236
History of the —	VII	207	222, 223
Our action in regard to the —	VII	208, 210	223, 244; 224 225
Immunity from foreign law continued to the Chiefs of the — in 1805	VII	208	223, 224
Treaties negotiated with the Chiefs of the — in 1803	VII	207	222, 223
Appointment of ex-officio Assistants to the Superintendent of the	, AII	209	224
not regarded as foreign territory in 1814	711	209	224
Appointment of a Superintendent for the	IIV	209	224
Raja of Banki deposed for murder and his State forfeited,	VII	210	224, 225
Engagements to put down Sati taken from the Orissa Chiefs, 1842	VII	210	24, 225
Raja of Angul deposed for misconduct	VII	210	224, 225
Grant of adoption sanads to the Chiefs of the -	VII	210	224, 225
Grant of the title of Raja to the Chiefs of the	VII	210	224, 225
Status of the The Keonjhar case, 1868	VII	212	225, 226
Question of releasing the — from the operation of Regulation XI of 1816, discussed	VII	213	226, 227
The status of Morbhanj settled in the Calcutta High Court	VII	221	233, 234
Cases in the Calcutta High Court	VII	221, 222	233, 234; 234
Right of Government to interfere reserved in the	VII	222	234
Measures necessitated by the decision that they are not British territory	VII	224	235, 236
Boundaries between — and British territory how to be determined	VIII	271A	33
Liability of — to nazarana	XII	386	159-161
Certain of them held to be exempt by the terms of their sanade from the levy of nazarana	1	886	159-161
Residuary jurisdiction in the —. See also paragraphs § 211 and § 234	χv	498	40
Grant of more extensive powers to the Chiefs of the	. xv	498	40

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Panagraph	,
	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Orissa Tributary States have accepted postal unity	VI	166	181, 182
Oudh. Sons of the cx-King permitted, as a courtesy, the title of Prince	XXII	788	222
King of Bestoration of salute refused	xxII	811	233, 234
Oudh and Nepal Case (The), 1860. River boundaries	VIII	263, 264	25; 26
		,	
<b>P</b>			ļ
Palanpur. Objection of — to compensate owners of lands, etc., taken up for railway purpos  (foot-note)	es VI	171	186, 187
Exception taken to title of "His Excellency" for Chief of —	XXII	792	223, 224
Palitana Succession. Under an adoption sanad a Chief probably has not power to arbitrari exclude those who by law or custom have valid claims (see foot-note)	ly XI	354	136, 137
Onl. Tahaya is lighla to pezavone	xII	386	159, 161
Panchayats or tribal Councils. Employment of — in Kathiawar	v11	192	212, 213
Danna Conion of tomitom to	viii	244	2, 3
Succession, 1893. Administration temporarily entrusted to successor pending san	1 717	379	
Followed nowers conformed on the Child	7717	457	155
Title of Mahandan swanted by sound	VVV	740	13-15
Full suiminal implediation amounted by spenda		740	194, 195
	. 11		194, 195
		22	2832
Paraguay. India desires to be excluded from the proposed commercial treaty with —, 1884	XXI	752	200, 201
Paramount Power in India.	, , 1	4	3-6
Extent of authority possessed by the — over subordinate States, n defined	}	14 (2)	17, 18
It is the duty of the — to interfere in cases of gross misrule		38, 52	18, 49; 72,
It is the duty of the - to preserve the general peace of the country	111	52	72, 73
Usual rights of a — in India. See also "Inverarity"	vii	192	212, 213
Only the — can fix the boundaries between two or more States or between British and Native territory	en VIII	241	1
(The) is the only authority by which cessions of British Indian territo	ry VIII	241	1
has an ultimate political right of interference with successions wi	tb XI	343	122-125
- Deal All David Committee and Note 1		5	6, 7
of the British Government presupposes and implies the subdination of Nation States	)r•	9.	10, 11
** T** 4 ** 4 ** 4 ** T** 1 ** A ** T** 1 ** A ** T** 1 ** A ** A	II II	22	28-32
The jurisdiction in Native States an incident of —	XIV	480	29, 30
Objections to express orders declaring an extraordinary juri diction or saving the prerogative of political review		489, 525	85; 57, 58
In the absence of express engagement to the contrary the Parmount Power can demand the extradition of any person from		{ 542, 550, 568 (1)	66-68; 75; 88,
moutable could be Toma of debased soins as in —	' <sub>v</sub>	105	124, 125
Succession 2000 - Managements and Ja 4111 augusteen could be appointed.	""   v,,	376	154
Patralitian Mante amandad	777	549	72-74
and December Weter divion Union		579	96
m 4 th 6th at Cin of Tallana his basemen military south	YIY	656	141-143
Partition of the Aundh State. Proposal for — could not be entertained (see foot-note)		298	56-58
	17	302	59-61
of the Southern Mahratta Jagirs	•••		
of Kurwai sanctioned by the Government of India, 1843, but not carried out (	IX	303	61

		<del></del>	
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Partition of States. Objection to the —	IX	296	5
may sometimes be politically expedient	іх	303	61
See "Native States," head (16).	'	1	
Pataudi held under sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Patiala. See "Phulkian Chiefs' case."			
Assurance of non-interference	111	46 A	61, 62
Maharaja of —. Question of his absenteeism	v	73	96
Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave-dealing	v	101	122
Offers a battery of four guns for Imperial defence	vI	134	153
Jurisdiction on the Rajpura-Bhatinda Railway	vi	171, 173	186, 187; 187—189
Unauthorised construction of telephone lines in —	vi	183	195—197
Cession of territory to —	VIII	244, 256	2, 3; 17-19
Transfer of the village of Lakhnaur to	· vIII	252	13, 14
Selection of a successor when allowed and how to be made	x	326, 339	101, 102;
1876. Death of the Chief. Release of prisoners. Precautions for safety of treas	ure	070	114116
and jewels	XII	373	152, 153
Nazarana prescribed in adoption sanad	XII	384	157—159
1862 and 1876. Councils of Regency	XIII	423	189
Extradition arrangements	X	559	81, 82
and Jaipur, Extradition Rules	XVII	576	94, 95
and Alwar, Extradition Rules	XVII	576	94, 95
Maharaja of — appointed to the Legislative Council	XIX	658	144, 145
	XXI	730	187, 188
	XXI	740	194, 195
and the second s	XXII	768	212, 213
Patiala-Dholpur Case, The. Interference of one Chief with the affairs of another	11	21	26-28
Patiala-Jaipur Extradition Case (The), 1873. Understanding between Native States	II	18	20—22
Patiala-Loharu Loan Case, The	11	23	32-34
Patwardhan family is apparently exempt from the levy of nazarana	x11	386	159—161
Peace. It is the duty of the Paramount Power to preserve the general - of the country	111	52	72, 73
Duty of maintaining the general — of India	xx	676	155, 156
Peacock (Sir Barnes); his opinion as to the power of the Indian Government to legislate a newly acquired territory	VIII	245	3—6
Peerage, Native. Proposal to initiate a — or Libro d'oro for India and to establish  Heraldic College at Calcutta	XXII	758	205
Peint State, Case of the. Conversion of territory into a scheduled district	VII	236	241243
Lapse of the — through failure of heirs	VII	236	241243
Succession of 1840. A female not allowed to succeed	XI	345	126, 127
	IV	62, 65	87; 89, 90
Dannian of Officers British Salaries and duties of a in Nation States	17	69	93, 94
Panaianana Political Practice in communication of Communication	v	92, 94	114;115
People of India, The. Protection of — from oppression, a paramount obligation of t	he III	696 42	166,167 56, 57
Parities Project Core The Proposed continued Tonomehoride Transport	VIII	257	19, 20
Project Compa Coded invisitation in the	xv	522	55, 56
invivilation and 1901 Amillion of Amelian with Matter Classes		. 737.	191, 192
**************************************	XXI	A 1010	101, 104

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Persia classed as independent by Sir Charles Aitchison in 1873	τ	13	14-17
Persian Consulate Case, Hyderabad (The), 1885-90	11	81	40-42
Persian Gulf. Political Resident in the - empowered to grant certificates to a unregistered		00	an aa :
craft belonging to subjects of Native States (foot-note)  Poshwa (The); his connection with Kathiawar and Guzerat	VII	29 192, 196	37, 38 { 212, 213 ; { 215, 216
Petition. Native States not permitted to submit joint —	11	26,33	35 ; <b>43</b>
Pettas Case (The French), 1884. Cessions of territory	IIIA	248	8, 9
Pettigrew, Mr. Case of - in Manipur. Religious questions in Native States (foot-note)	v	78	101
Phaltan. Case of the Court of the Karbhari of — raises the question what Court had been established by the anthority of the Governor-General in Council	XVIII	599	110, 111
Phillips, Trumpeter, Case of, 1893. Demand for the extradition to a Native State of a European British subject	xvi	552	76
Phulkian Chiefs' Case (The), 1863. Limitation of the internal sovereignty of Native Chiefs	111	37	47, 48
Phulkian States. Councils of Regency in —	XIII	423	189
Pipul tree Case. The Baroda-Junagarh —	n	22	2832
Piploda has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Pir Bakhsh. The Case of -, 1884. Extradition to French Settlements in India	17%	547	71,72
Pleaders. Subjects of Native States not eligible for examination as — under Bombay High Court rules	XIX	662	147
Restrictions on their employment in political cases	XIX	667,671	150, 151; 152
Pole, Case of, a deserter from an Austrian man-of-war	xvı	548	72
Police. Proper arrangements must be made for — on railways in Native States	. vI	175, 176 (10)	190, 191 ; 191, 192
Kathiawar Agency. Members of the — are amenable to State Courts for offences committed while they are on leave or absent from duty in State territory, but under other circumstances they must be tried by Agency Courts		709	175, 176
Policy of the Government of India in regard to successions in Native States possessing Canning Sanads	T T	354	136,137
regarding States without Canning Sanads	. xı	355	137,138
Political Cases. Ruling Chiefs or their subjects cannot be represented by Counsel in — but they may get their aid in preparing memorials	I VIV	667—671	150—152
The law does not provide for references to High Courts in disputed questions of law or fact in — nor is it expedient to enact such provision	VYV	672	152-153
Political Isolation of Native States	. 11	1533	19—43
See 4 Native States," heads (2), (3) and (4).	]	1	
Political Jurisdiction in Bundelkhand	vii	239	248, 250
Political Officers may not enter into treaties without sanction; see also paragraphs § 724 and § 728 (7)	1 11	17,83	20;43
The British Government attaches sanctity to the lives of British Residents at the Courts of Native States	i IX	281	40, 41
(1) Their duties on the death of a Ruling Chief	IIX	371—882	150-156
If possible should ascertain beforehand the wishes of the Chief regarding his succession	ı XII	872,874	150—152; 153, 154
must prevent Sati	. x11	372, 374, 375	
should release persons improperly imprisoned	. xii	873	152, 153
should take precautions for the safety of jewels and treasure	XII	873 874 875 878	152, 153 153, 154 154 154, 155
should arrange for carrying on the business of the State	XII	376, 377, 379	154; 154; 155
should arrange for investment of surplus treasure	XII	878	154, 155
		1	1
should arrange proper provision for manhane of the unline famile	XII	386	159-161

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
olitical Offi	COPS. (2) Other duties.			
	Position of — in a State during a minority	XIII	403, 405	174-176 ; 179
	Instructions to -; proposal to compile a code of - never carried out	XX	675	155
	duties of. General instructions for their guidance. See also paragraphs §17, §307, §308, §314, and §372 to §382 inclusive	· <b>x</b> x	675—700	155—170
	should not unnecessarily obtrude advice, but by candour and courtesy should make it solicited. See also paragraphs §680 and §681	xx	676, 677	155,156;15 157
	How their influence should be established	ХX	677	156, 157
	Importance of their frequent intercourse with inhabitants of Native States	xx	677	156, 15
	should uphold the dignity and authority of Ruling Chiefs and their control should not descend to minute interference in the internal administration	xx	678	157, 15
	Old general instructions to — approved by the Government of India in 1874	xx	679	158
	must not act as arbitrators in disputes between British subjects and Ruling Chiefs	xx	686—688	161
	must not execute commissions for Ruling Chiefs	XX	689	161, 162
	prohibited from habitually using Darbar vehicles and animals	XX	690	162
	probibited from borrowing from or lending money to Natives within their political circles	xx	691	162, 163
	Rules regarding acceptance of presents by — from Ruling Chiefs and others	xx	692	163, 164
	must not hold laud in Native States	xx	694	164, 165
	should not, as a general rule, use Darbar houses or furniture	xx	694 A	165
	prohibited from asking Ruling Chiefs or officials of Native States for subscriptions for public, semi-public or private objects	xx	695	165, 166
	must not collect subscriptions for political purposes	xx	695	165, 166
	must not influence Rulers or Ministers of Native States to subscribe to public or private funds	xx	695	165, 166
	Rules for the guidance of — in regard to manner in which Government orders should be communicated to Darbars	VV	696	166, 169
	are under the rules of discipline generally applicable to public servants of like rank	xx	696 A	167
	Duty of impartiality	xx	697	167, 16
	Escorts of — in Native States during a minority may consist of States troops		699, 700	169, 170;
,	as a general rule, should execute nothing having the effect of an agree ment or treaty with Ruling Chiefs except with previous reference to and sanction by the Government of India	2 2 2 2	724	184 18
Political P	ensioners in British territory. Practice in communication of Governmen orders to		696	166, 16
Political St	abordination of Native States. See "Native States," head (1).			
Pontifex (1	dr.); his view of the doctrine of a double allegiance	. 1	12	14
Pope, The.	Mosaic table presented by — to the Maharaja of Kashmir	ıı	30	38-40
Porahat. I	Restoration of the remnant of the State as a zemindari to the family of the late Chief	3717	226	236, 23
7	Fransfer of a portion of — to the Chiefs of Saraikala and Kharsawan	vii	226	236, 23
•	Confiscation of the State for the Chief's rebellion	vii	226	236, 23
(	(The) Case, 1888. Cessions of territory. See also paragraph §226	. viii	258	20, 21
Porbandar	(The) Case, 1869. Barbarous practices	. IV	58	79, 80
1	Use of British Indian rupees as legal tender in —	. v	118	135,13
	Proposed conversion of dokras into British currency	. v	120	106-13
	Dela A. Des latinos de Mandre Companyon 1 640		010	23723
	Raja of. Restrictions on his salute. See paragraph §58	. XXII	818	201

Sueject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Portuguese and French Settlements in India pointed out as Alsatias for absconding debtors	XVIII	594	107
Portuguese East Africa. The British Consul at Mozambique represents Kutch in	11	29	37, 88
Post Office Act. Ses "Acts," head (3).			
A Native British employé carries his liabilities under the Indian Post Office Act with him into State territory	XIV	443	3, 4
Postage Stamps of Native States	VI	166A	182
Postal Agreements. Before negotiations with a Native State are entered upon, the consent of the Government of India to their initiation should be obtained	XXI	726	186
Postal Co-operation of Native States. See "Native States," head (11).			
Postal Conventions of 1884 to 1886, The	VI	162	178
Postal Department. The Imperial — must be allowed to use railways in Native States	VI	175, 176 (10)	190, 191; 191, 192
Postal policy of Government, 1893	VI	166	181, 182
Postal Regulation. Proposed Mysors -, 1893	Z I V	443	8, 4
Postal unity. Origin of the policy of —	VI	163	178, 179
Extension of — to Mysore	vı	164	179, 180
Extension of — to Kashmir, 1894	VI	165	180, 181
Not accepted by Junagarh. Pressure not to be put upon this State or Bhor	. VI	166	181, 182
Proposed extension of — to Travancore and Cochin	VI	166	181, 182
Extension of — to Pudukota	VI	166	181,183
an accomplished fact in Baroda and most of the Bombay States and in other States	VI	• 166	181, 182
Posts, Imperial Native States must permit the establishment of Imperial Postal lines or offices within their territories	VI	161	176—178
Nizam's application for removal of Imperial Post Offices and letter boxes rejected in 1888 and 1893	VI	161	176—178
Postal rights in connection with railways in Native States	VI	161	176—178
Posthumous issue. If a Chief dies without lineal heirs, it is a material point whether there is any prospect of the birth of a posthumous child	XII	882 (7)	156
Inquiry as to — should not be made in such a way as to suggest that a claim could be advanced upon that ground	XII	882	156
Power(s) of certain Chiefs in Kathiawar and Mahi and Rewa Kantha. Restrictions on — as regards trial of British subjects	xv	490	85
of Bombay Chiefs and Chiefships may be raised. See also "Panna," "Baoni," "Ajaigarh"	xv	491	85, 86
of Chiefs in the Central Provinces States	ΧV	493	87
of Chiefs of the Tributary Mahals of Orissa may be raised by the Lieutenaut-Governor of Bengal	XV.	498	40
of Mediatised Chiefs of Central India	xv	503	44
of the Chief of Rews and the Bundelkhand Chiefs	xv	504	45
of Panna, Baoni and Ajaigarh raised in 1887 and of Panna, Baoni and Bijawar in 1867	xv	505 <b>,</b> 506	45
of Charkhari, Chhatarpur and Kolhapur. Enhancement of — referred to in footnote	xv	<b>\$06</b>	45
of Bundelkhand Sanad Chiefs defined in 1887	XV	506	4.5
enhanced, of Panna, Baoni and Ajaigarh do not apply to trial of European British subjects or of persons of European or American nationality	xv	507▲	45
of Ruling Chiefs. In Bundelkhand the extent of the Political Agent's interference in heinous cases is discretionary	xv	507 A	48
of Resident to expel certain persons from Kashmir maintained in 1891	xv	517	52
of pursuit and arrest exercised in State territory by officers of the Thagi Department	χV	538	63, 64
Powers of young Chiefs. Restrictions placed on — till such time as they gain administrative experience	XIII	428, 429, 430, 431, 432	192, 193; 193, 194; 194, 195; 195; 195, 196

## POLITICAL PRACTICE.

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Prabhas Patan. Baroda and Juranother	agarh case. Interference of one State in the affairs of	II	22	28-32
Junagarh. Dele British officiale	gated jurisdiction. Trial of the rioters by a Court of	xv	525	57, 58
Prachi in Junagarh. Census of	— taken by Baroda officials	n	22	28-32
Prachi Kund Case. The Baroda-	Junagarh	II	22	28-32
Precautions for safety of State proj		XII	373, 374, 375, 378, 382	152, 153; 153, 154; 154; 154, 155; 156
Precedence. No complete preceden	ce list for all Ruling Chiefs has ever been settled	XXII	814	235, 236
	affected by number of guns in their salutes	XXII	814	235, 236
	Viceroy determines —. Jodhpur case, 1870	XXII	820	239-241
A refusal on the part	of a Ruling Chief to accept the position assigned to him. Darbar or other State ceremonial is an act of disrespect	XXII	820	239-241
Presents from Ruling Chiefs and oth	ers. Rules regarding the acceptance of - by Political			100 164
officers, etc	Policy of — illustrated by the treatment of the Chota Nagpur Mahals	XX VII .	692 225, 232	163, 164 236 ; 239, 240
	Conversion of the Peint State into a Scheduled District no sign of an annexation policy	VII	236	241—243
	The Amnesty Proclamation, 1858	ıx	273	34
	Policy of annexation repudiated	IX	273	34
	The British Government will respect the rights, dignity and honour of Ruling Chiefs as its own	IX	273, 289	34; <b>4</b> 7 <b>4</b> 8
	Lord Lytton's speech at the Delhi Assemblage, 1877	ıx	274	34, 35
	Distribution of adoption sanads, 1862	1X	275	- 35, 86
	Rendition of Mysore	IX	276, 279	36, 37 ; 39, 40
	The value of the Mysore case as a precedent	ıx	277	37, 38
	The Baroda case considered in connection with the	ıx	280, 282	40; 41, 42
	Successions in Native States viewed in connection with the —	IX	283, 286	42; 44—46
	Regrant of the Manipur State	ıx	286, 287	44-46 ; 46, 47
	Comparison of the cases of Mysore, Baroda, Manipur and Kalat	·IX	288	47
	The British Government does not desire the annexation of Native States	ıx	289	47, 48
	Circumstances in which a Native State may become liable to confiscation	ıx	289	47, 48
	The British Government desires that Native rule should be conducted on civilised principles	ıx	289	47, 48
	The British Government will recognise adoptions and successions in Native States in accordance with the terms of their adoption sanads	13.	289	47, 48
	It is not expedient that Native rule should be a mere copy of British Administration	IX	289	47, 48
	The integrity of States must be maintained	IX	290	48-49
	The Kapurthala Will Case, 1869	1X	291	49, 50
	Political power in Native States cannot be transmitted like private property	ıx	293, 295	51, 52; 54
	Objections to the partition of States	IX	296	54 .
	The dismemberment of small States is, in the absence of special circumstances, politically inexpedient	1%	299	58
	Support of Chiefs against rebellion or disaffection	-′ <b>1X</b>	304, 312	61, 62; 75—77
	Summary of the practice and policy of Government in regard to the —	IX	814	78, 79

_	Subject.					Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Preservation of Na	tive Rule. Case of the Mediatis	ed Chiefs of (	Central l	India.	,,,	ıx	815	80, 81
	See " Native States	s," head (15)	•				ŀ	
Primă facie proof.	Ruling of the Government of I that the establishment of a regarded as essential by a B demanded	primd facis	case sho	ald inveris	bly be	ΧVI	559	81, 82
	required in the case of requisitions from Kashmir	s for the surr	ender of	accused ]	persons	XVI	560	82, 83
	not required in Jaipur and Patial	la extradition	rules	•••	•••	XVII	576	94, 95
	not required in Bhartpur and Al-	war extraditio	n rules			XVII	578	95, 96
	required by the Banswara and Pa	ırtabgarlı exti	radition :	rules	•••	XVII	579	96
	required in some cases under the	Bikanir an	d Jaisel:	mir rules		XVII	580	96
	The case of Natha Dola	***	•••	•••		XVII	581	96, 97, 98
	in extradition to and from Barod	(a	***		***	XVII	{ 581,583, 584,586	} 96, 97, 98; 98, 99; 99
	in extradition, required in some o	ases by Centi	ral India	rules	***	XVII	582	100 98
	Baroda claim to — admitted	***	•••	***		XVII	583, 584	98, 99 ; 19
	in extradition, required by Trava	ncore and Coo	chia rule	s in certair	08.968	xvII	587	100, 101
	in extradition, not required by K	ashmir and C	lhamba r	ules		XVII	-587	100, 101
	required by Hyderabad extradition	n treaty	•••	***	***	XVII	587	100, 101
	required by extradition treaties,	Rajputana	•••	***		XVII	587	100, 101
•	required by Nepal extradition tre	aty	•••	•••	•••	ZVII	587	100, 101
	Disadvantages of —	244	•••	741	.***	XVII	588	101, 102
Primogeniture is t	ne general rule of succession in all	Native States	•	***	•••	,X1	341, 354(4)	117, 118; 136
Ca	es demonstrating this principle:-	-						
9	The adoption Sanad correspondence	·	***	•••	•••	XI	341	117, 118
	The Kashmir case, 1884	***	***	***	***	IX	293	51, 52
:	The Dugri succession, 1884	***	,	100	***	ХI	847	128, 129
•	The Rampur succession, 1887	•••	400	***	•••	ıx	348	129
•	The Las Bela succession	***			•••	XI	849	129, 130
	The Savanur succession	***	•••	488	•••	xı	851	131—133
,	The Khairpur succession	***	•••	•••	***	xı	352	133, 134
•	The Junegarh succession		•••	*80	•••	XI	853	134—136
•	The Bhartpur succession	***	•••	•••	•••	XIII	436	198
	A Ruling Chief is not competent t sion of his eldest son	o bar, by will	or other	wise, the	Bucces-	XIIIX }	293, 295, 436	51, 52; 54, 198
•	Policy of — advocated by Sir Mort	imer Durand	•••	•••	•••	ıx	847	128, 129
	Cases in which the rule of — has b	een applied	•••			χι	347, 348, 349	128, 129;129 129, 130
Prince. Title of	conferred on Nawab Azim Jah of A	reot	***	•••	••	11XX	787	222
Title of — p	ermitted to the sons of the ex-King	of Oudh as s	a courtes	y title	•••	XXII	788	222
Prisoners, extradition	on of. Chandria's Case, Hyderabae	l, 189 <b>3</b>	•••	•••	•••	IAX	564	86
	It is not clear whether on territory they could be portions of their sentence	a lawfully de				XVI	564	86
"Procedure." Me	saning of the term - in the case o		***	901	•••	17%	547	71, 72
	l Criminal — cannot run <i>proprio</i> e	•	ign terri	tory	***	I	13	14-17
	ainst the person. Kuling Chiefs h			•	•••	I	13	14-17
•	ecution of — of British Courts in						601	111, 112

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
rocesses.	Criminal, execution of — of British Courts in Mysore provided for as part of the law of the State	xviii	616 A	118, 119
	Revenue — what may be employed against a Ruling Chief who is a defaulter in respect of land in British territory; see foot-note, also paragraphs § 13, § 624 and § 651	XIX	648	138
	See "Injunction, notices of," "Summouses," "Warrants," "Civil processes," "Criminal processes."			
roclamat	ion(s) of the Rao of Kutch regarding the slave trade	v	102	122, 123
	deposing Malhar Rao Gaekwar, noticed	IX	283	41, 42
	regarding the re-establishment of Native rule in the Manipur State, 1891	ıx	287	46, 47
roclamai	ion(s) (Amnesty), 1858, noticed	ıx	273	34
	and jewels belonging to a State. Precantions for safety of — on the death of a Chief	XII	373, 374, 382	152, 153; 1 154 : 15
•	of a Ruling Chief. Question whether the public — is distinguishable from his private property	XIX	626	125, 12
i	mmoveable. Acquisition of - in British territory by Ruling Chiefs, discouraged	XIX	634649	131—13
Pudukota	Exception taken to the use of the terms "royal," "royalty" and the like with reference to Native States	1	10	11
	Request for coinege of Amman cash in British mints, refused	_ v	118	135, 13
	accepts postal unity	VI	166	181, 18
	Question whether it should not be treated as a Scheduled District	VII	218	231, 23
	Adoption Case (The), 1877. Adoption of a daughter's son in preference to a	7.1		201, 20
	brother's son	X	330	107—1
	minority, 1886. Administration conducted by a Dewan Regent	XIII	418	184, 1
	1892-93. Reservation of the Chief's powers till he gained administrative experience	XIII	430	194, 1
	allowed to buy two houses in British territory	XIX	645	137
	Raja of. Title of His Excellency restored by the Madras Government to the — in 1870 and Madras Government informed in connection with this that Viceroy alone can grant titles	XXII	761	207, 20
	Discontinuous of title of Itie Frankous	XXII	792	223, 2
		AAII	102	,
nnisn' e	nt of Ruling Chiefs. Precedents showing — for rebellion, viz.:—			
	Jhajjar	Ī	11	12-1
	Ballabhgarh	I	11	12—1
	Farrukhnagar	I	11	12-1
	Angul	I	11	12-1
	Singhbhum	I	11	12-1
	Jaitpur	1	11	12-1
	Chirgaon	I	11	12—1
	Fijaraghogarh	I	11	121
	Shabgarh	I	11	121
	Banpur	I	11	12-1
	Tiroha	1	11	12-1
	Bargarh	I	11	12—1
	Karnul. See foot-note; see also "Manipur Case," "Amjhera Case and "Shorapur Case"	1	ıı	12-1
	Precedents showing — for misconduct of various kinds	IV	62	87
unjab C	ase (The), 1873-75. Employment of Europeans in Native States	v	86	110
	The). Boundary Settlement Rules for —	VIII	270	31—3
			559	81,8
1	Extradition in the. The rules of 1858 and orders of 1884	XVI	อออ	01,0

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph	Page.
Punjab Hill Chiefships held under sanads	XXI	740	194, 195
Punjab Jagirdars. Status of —	VII	223	234, 235
Adoption sanads granted to certain —	XI	369, 370	146—148; 148, 149
Punjab States, small, to be left out of Imperial Service Scheme	· vi	138	156, 157
Opium Brrangements with —	VI	185 C	200
Salt arrangements with —	VI	186 G	206, 207
Purcell's Case, 1892. Illegal arrest in British territory under a warrant from a British Court in State territory	XVIII	618	120
Puri, Raja of. Title of Maharaja withdrawn from — in 1878	XXII	798	227
Pursuit and arrest of offenders in State territory. The regular extradition procedure does not prevent the —	XVI	561	83, 84
of offenders in foreign or State territory and their extradition for trial by the Courts of another State. Distinction between —	XVI	561	83, 84
Purwa State confiscated in 1855 for the Chief's complicity in a murder	IV	62	87
Q			
Quarries. The obligation of Native States to provide land for railways free of charge does not extend to the products of	vı	170	185, 186
Boyalties on materials quarried in State territory for railway purposes (foot-note)	vr	170	185, 186
Quilon (Sepoy's) case (The), 1884	ı	13	14, 17
Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in —. Lee also paragraphs § 13 and § 709	xx	708	174, 175
referred to. See paragraphs § 13 and § 708	xx	709	175, 176
Quinton (Mr); his murder at Manipur	ı	6	7, 8
Radhanpur. Proposal of — to buy arms in the open market, disallowed  Exception taken to title of His Excellency for Chief of —	VI XXII	127 793	146, 147 223, 224
Raigarh, 1893. State under Government management for some time after Chief attained age	2211	102	200, 203
of 21	XIII	436	198
Railways in Native States. Imperial postal rights in connection with	VI	161	176—178
Native States must provide land for — free of charge	VI	169	184, 185
When compensation may be given to Native States for free grants of land for —	VI	169, 176	184, 185 ; 191, 192
Cession by Native States of jurisdiction on —	VI	171, 174	186, 187 ; 189, 190
Obligation of Native States to compensate owners and jagirdar of lauds, etc., taken up for — in State territory (foot-note)	vı	171	186, 187
Cession by Native States of jurisdiction over isolated local lines not ordinarily needed	VI	172, 174	187 ; 189, 190
What is an isolated local line in Native territory? The Mysore case, 1893. The Chitor-Udaipur line, 1893	VI	174	189, 190
	VI	175	190, 191
in Native States. The Paramount Power exercises a certain supervision over —			190, 191;191,
in Native States. The Imperial Postal Department must be allowed the use of —	VI	175, 176	193
			192 190, 191 ; <b>1</b> 91,
in Native States. The Imperial Postal Department must be allowed the use of —  See "Native States," head (12).  ailway Act, Indian. Application of — to railways in Native States	VI VI		192
in Native States. The Imperial Postal Department must be allowed the use of —  See "Native States," head (12).  ailway Act, Indian. Application of — to railways in Native States  ailway agreement with the Maharaja Holkar, 1870, not ratified by the Viceroy  ailway employés (not of State Railways) who serve, reside and draw their pay in Native	VI	175, 176	192 190, 191; <b>1</b> 91, 192
in Native States. The Imperial Postal Department must be allowed the use of —  See "Native States," head (12).  ailway Act, Indian. Application of — to railways in Native States  ailway agreement with the Maharaja Holkar, 1870, not ratified by the Viceroy  ailway employés (not of State Railways) who serve, reside and draw their pay in Native	VI VI XXI	175, 176 729	192 190, 191; 191, 192 197

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Railway projects of Native States must be reported to Government	ΙΨ	168	183, 184
Raisinghpur, see " Mehwasi Chiefships."		ļ	
Rajama's Case, 1871. Jurisdiction in cantonments	XIV	462	17—19
Rajasthanik Court in Kathiawar (The). Its origin, constitution, jurisdiction and working	{ VII XV	195 510—513	215 48, 49, 50
Rajgarh has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Rajgarh Case (The), 1867. Conversion of the Chief to Muhammadanism	▼ .	77	98101
Rajkot Station declared not to be British territory	xv	523	56, 57
Tank Case, 1890. Avoidance of formal agreements	IXX	733	189, 190
Rajpipla-Sagbara case, (The). Compacts between a Ruling Chief and a feudatory of his	11	25	35
Rajpipla Case (The), 1887. Intervention on account of gross misrule	III	51	69-71
Rajputana. Opium arrangements with Native States in —	VI	185	. 198, 199
Salt sources in. Acquisition on lease of the	VI	186	201—203
Boundary Settlement Rules for —	VIII	270	31-33
Character of the relations between the Ruling Chiefs and the Thakurs	1X	305	62,63
Characteristics of the States of —	IX	305	62, 63
Jurisdiction in Thagi and Dakaiti trials in —	xv	534	63
Extradition Treaties. Amendment of the —	XVI	549	72, 73, 74
Interstatal extradition in —	XVII	57 <b>1</b> —581	91—98
Extradition Treaties require prima facis proof	XVII	587	100,101
Rajputana and Guzerat Border Courts	XVII	575	94
Rajputana Border Criminals Case, 1874. Isolation of Native States. Understandings			
between them how effected	II	18	20—22
Rajputana Border Panchayats. Bevised Rules for the	XVII	574, 575	93, 94; 94
Rajputana Courts of Vakils. History of the	XVII	571, 573	91; 92, 93
The jurisdiction of the — a substitute for extradition	XVII	573	92, 93
Rajputana Extradition Treaties executed between 1867 and 1868. Formalities considered necessary	XXI	713	179, 180
Importance attached to the documents being duly sealed	XXI	714	180
Rajputana Local Corps to furnish escerts to Political officers if they require them	ХX	699, 700	169, 170 ; 170
Rajputana salt sources. Ceded jurisdiction within the limits of the —	χv	522	55, 56
Rajputana States, small, to be left out of Imperial Service Scheme	VI	138	156, 157
Conditions of validity of adoption in - as described by Sir Henry Lawrence	x	318	87—91
Condition of society in Relations of Chiefs and Thákurs	IX	305	62, 63
Opinion of Sir Alfred Lyall that in a Rajput State the succession of a collateral is as good as the succession of a lineal descendant	x	329	106, 107
Opinion that sovereignty in — is a case of tribal ownership applied to political power	x	829	106, 107
Ramandrug. Ceded jurisdiction within the hill sanitarium of —	xv	522	55, 56
Bampur. Manufacture of ammunition in —	VI	127	146, 147
Opium arrangements with	IA	-185 D	200, 201
Cession of territory to —	IIIA	244	2,3
Mutiny services of the Nawab of His reward	VIII	245	3-6
Succession, 1839. A daughter not allowed to succeed	xı	345	126, 127
Succession, 1887. Primogeniture. Appointment of Council	XI	348	129
	XIII	425	190, 191
Council of Regency, 1889	WITT	,	,
Council of Regency, 1889	XIII	431	195

		,	*********
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Rampur. Territorial grant made by sanad	XXI	740	194, 195
Nawab of Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
Bampur Cession Case (The), 1869. Cessions of territory	V111	245, 247	3-6;7,8
Sir Henry Maine's opinion that sanads are not necessarily uni-			
lateral	XXI	746	197, 198
Rampur Medjidi Case (The), 1879. Foreign Consuls and Native States	11	30	33-40
Ram Sahai, a Railway Policeman in British service, surrendered to Gwalior for trial, as a special case	XVI	557	79, 80
Ram Singh, Raja, of Kashmir; his honorary military rank	XIX	656	141-143
Raja of Bausi. Deprived of his hereditary title of Raja in 1886	XXII	801	228
Ratlam. Mint abolished and not reopened	v	105	124, 125
Circulation of copper coin of — in British territory	v	119	135
1864 and 1893. Administered by a Native Superintendent during minority	XIII	415	183
has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Raja of —. Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
Rebellion against a Ruling Chief; it is the right and duty of the British Government to punish — except in cases of gross misrule	r	8	8-10
against a Ruling Chief. Insurrection in Bahawalpur	ш	50	68, 69
in Suket	III	50	68, 69
in Cambay	III	51	69-71
in Jaujira	111	44	58, 59
	VII	212	225, 226
in Keonjhar	IX	312	75-77
in Kalabandi	XIII	419	185, 186
in Ali Rajpur	VI	147	162, 163
in Jodhpur	12	306, 307	63, 64 ; 65—67
in Alwar	IX	308	67-72
See also "Native States," head (17), "Kishangarh and Fatehgarh," "Bikanir, case of the Sidhs of —" and "Manipur."			
Armed opposition to the British Government is —	ı	8,9	8-10; 10, 11
against the British Government. Numerous precedents for the punishment of —. (See foot-note)	1	11	12-14
Punishment of —. The Amjhera case, 1857. (See Manipur case)	I	11	12-14
Confiscation of the State of Dhar on account of —. (See foot-note)	1	11	12-14
Punishment of —. The Shorapur case, 1858.  (See Manipur case)	1	11	12-14
A Native State may become liable to confiscation if it is guilty of —	18	289	47, 48
or disaffection. Support of Ruling Chiefs against —. See also paragraphs § 38, § 40, § 44, § 45, § 49, § 51, § 63	IX	304, 312	61, <b>62</b> ; 75—77
Beciprocity does not exist between the British Government and subordinate States in the matter of extradition	1V 1VX	151 550	166, 167 74, 75
with Native States. Question of — in the matter of extradition	XVII	586	100
and a second of a second o		588	101, 102
complete, between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States in matters of extradit on, impossible	XVII		1
complete, between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States in matters of	XVII	592	104, 105, 106, 107
complete, between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States in matters of extradi' on, impossible		592 598	
complete, between the Paramount Power and the subordinate States in matters of extradi' on, impossible	XVIII		106, 107

	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Reciprocity with Gwalior, Mysore and Hyderabad in the levy of fees on civil processes on the British system	XVIII	606	114
(permissible) with State territory in the service of summonses and execution of decrees. General measures adopted in 1889 to promote —	IIIVX	607—611	114—115
between British Courts in different places in State territory in regard to the exe-	IIIVX	608	115
in regard to execution of decrees and service of summonses. Courts in Bombay	XVIII	612	115, 116
in regard to execution of decrees and service of summonses. Courts of the Resi-			
dent in Kashmir and his Assistants included in the system of —  in the matters of execution of decrees and service of summonses. Summary of	XVIII	613	116
the principles upon which the Government of India act	XVIII	614	116, 117
(proposed) in service and execution of certain criminal processes by all British Courts whether in State or British territory	XVIII	619	120
Recruitment of deserters. See " Deserters."			
of Imperial Service Corps	VI	131, 140	150—152; 157, 158
of armies of Native States exclusive of Imperial Service Corps	VI	143, 149	159, <b>1</b> 60; <b>1</b> 6
of Kukas for service in Kashmir	VI	146	161, 162
Action taken under Act 33 and 34 Vict., Chap. 90	VI	146	161, 162
for foreign service in India. The Larut case	VI	146	161, 162
Passing of the Foreign Recruiting Act, 1874	VI.	146	161, 162
of the armies of Native States. Rules regarding	VI	149	164
Reforms in a Native State should, when possible, be undertaken by or through the Chief	III	46, 49, 51B, 52	59—61;67, 68;72; 72,73
Reforms in Native States. European and Native Agency in effecting —	IV.	66, 68	90, 91; 92, 93
Regality. Muhammadan law furnishes scanty materials for conclusions in regard to a succession to —	XI	843	02, 00
		040	122-125
	VII	215	122—125 227—229
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	VII	215	227-229
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII	215 422	227-229 187-189
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	VII	215	227-229
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII	215 422 817	227-229 187-189 236, 237
Begency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XXII	215 422 817 422	227-229 187-189 236, 237 187-189
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII	215 422 817 422 423	227-229 187-189 236, 237
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII	215 422 817 422 423 423	227-229 187-189 236, 237 187-189 189
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII	215 422 817 422 423	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189 189, 190
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424	227-229 187-189 236, 237 187-189 189
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425 425 425 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425 425 425 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425 425 425 425 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425 425 425 425 425 425 425 425 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 187-189 187-189 187-189; 189; 189,
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425 425 425 425 425 425 425 425 425	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 187-189 187-189 187-189; 189; 189;
Regency, a term not applicable to ordinary Zamindaris	XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XIII XII	215 422 817 422 423 423 424 425 425 425 425 425 425 422 422 422	227-229  187-189 236, 237  187-189 189 189 189, 190 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 190, 191 187-189 187-189 187-189; 189; 189, 190; 190, 191

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Regent(s).	The Maharani of Mysore appointed Regent during the minority, 1895 (see foot-note)	XIII	417	184
	The Pudukota minority, 1886. Exception taken to the term "Dewan-Regent" as applied to the Administrator	XIII	418	184, 185
	Salutes granted to the Regents of the Hyderabad State	XXII	817	236, 237
	Salute granted to the Regent of the Kolhapur State	XXII	817	236, 237
	Nanabai, mother of the late Chief, appointed Regent of Lunawara in 1849 (see foot-note)	XIII	417	184
Regrant of	a State on failure of natural or adopted heirs. Question of the	x	325, 329	98-101; 106, 107
Regulation	(S), Civil Service, regarding the transfer of British officials to service in Native States	v	91	113, 114
	(Bengal) IV of 1804. Effect of	VII	208	223, 224
	XII of 1805. Effect of	VII	208	223, 224
	XIII of 1805. Effect of —	VII	208	223, 224
	XIV of 1805. Effect of —	VII	208	223, 224
	XI of 1816. Effect of	VII	208	223, 224
	XI of 1816. Repeal of — discussed	VII	213	226, 227
	(Bombay) XXIX of 1827 mentioned in connection with the Mehwasi Chiefships	VII	238	245, 246
	(Bombay) II of 1827	VII	239	248250
	XXII of 1812, considered in connection with the status of the Bundelkhand Chiefs	VII	239	248250
	old Bengal, relating to the Tributary Mabals of Orissa repealed by Act No. XI of 1893	xx	498	40
Relations	with the British Government of Barods unchanged by the deposition of the Caekwar. See also "Kalat" and "Manipur"	11	22	28—32
	of the Kelat State with the British Government unchanged by the abdication of the Khan	17	. 59	80—82
	of a Native State with the British Government. Circumstances under which they may be revised. (See also the Alwar Succession Case, paragraphs §328, §329)	12	288	47
Religious d	emonstrations or processions in Native States. Interference of Political officers with — when justifiable	v	89	104, 105
peligious q	uestions in Native States. The Alwar Case, 1870	v	76	97, 98
	Attitude of Government on —	v	76, 80, 97	98; 104, 10
	The Rajgarh Case, 1867	v	77	98—101
•	The Rewa and Satua Mission Case, 1883	v	78	101
	Case of Mr. Pettigrew in Manipur referred to in foot-note	v	78	101
	The Canadian Missionaries Case, Indore, 1883	v	79	101—103
	The Salvation Army in Central India, 1883	v	79A	103, 104
	The Salvation Army in Kashmir, 1894	٧	79A	103, 104
	No Buling Chief may persecute his subjects or outrage their religious feelings	v	80	104, 105
	See also "Native States," head (8) (is).			
Religious S	ects of all denominations. Policy of Government towards — is one of strict neutrality	v	80	104, 105
Rendition o	of Mysore. Importance of the case as a precedent	IX	276—279	86-40
eprimands	s. Faridkot Chief reprimanded for misconduct	17	57	78,79
leside <b>ncy</b> l	Bazara. In support of British jurisdiction in — it is unnecessary to adduce any doctrine of International Law	XIV	465	21
	British jurisdiction in exists as a matter of fact	xıv	479	28, 29
	British Government claim jurisdiction in — as a right inherent in their sovereignty and without reference to expressed or implied con-	XIV		

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Residency Bazars. Lord Lansdowne's minute regarding jurisdiction in —, 1892	XIV	480	29, 30
Hyderabad. Execution of decrees as between the — and the Nizam's	XVIII	605	113, 114
Residency Jurisdiction. Assumption that the Governor-General in Council has full jurisdiction within British Residency limits	XIV	475—478	2628
of the British Government held to be necessary by Sir Mortimer	XIV	475	26
For purposes of civil and criminal jurisdiction it is probably necessary to treat British Residencies and Residency Bazare precisely as though they were cantonments		475	26
Caution issued in 1874 against installing the Maharana of Udaipur in the Eritish Residency	XIV	475	26
See also " Jurisdiction, (2) Residency Jurisdiction,"			<i>'</i>
Residuary Jurisdiction in Bundelkhand in interjurisdictional cases. Rewa and Schawal case	11	20	2426
in the Orissa Tributary Mahals	VII	209, 211	224; 225
Extensive — does not, in Indian Political Law, destroy limited sovereignty	VII	210	224, 225
Definition of	xv	483	32
in the Bombay Presidency	xv	481—492	32—37
The term not strictly applicable in respect of the estates of non- jurisdictory Chiefs in the Bombay Presidency	xv	485	33
in the Feudatory States of the Central Provinces	xv	493—497	3739
in the Tributary Mahuls of Orissa and Chota Nagpur	xv	498	40
in Native States. Summary of the policy and practice of the British Government in the exercise of —	xv	499	40; 41
in the mediatised Chiefships of Central India	xv	500, 503	41, 42; 44
Powers of Central India Officers in the exercise of — defined in 1883—1891	χv	508	46, 47
Summary of the principles which regulate the exercise of — in Central India	xv	509	47, 48
Exercise of — by the Rajasthanik Court in Kathiawar	xv	512	-49
Death sentences passed in the exercise of — may, in certain circumstances, be executed in British India	xviii	622	122
See also "Jurisdiction (3) Residuary Jurisdiction, (4) Residuary Jurisduction in Central India."	}		
Resolution(s), Coinage, of 1870	v	109	129—131
of 1831 and 1882 regarding supply of copper coin to Native States	v	120	136—138
of August 1871 quoted as regards extradition from and to subordinate States	xvı	550	74,75
of August 1871. Reasons given for not extending rights of ex-territoriality to Native British subjects	xvı	554	77, 78
of August 1871, quoted as directing retention of jurisdiction over servants of the British Government and of officers of that Government in State territory	XVI	554	77, 78
of August 1871, quoted with reference to interstatal extradition	XVII	570	90, 91
of August 1868 regarding the execution of decrees of Courts in British Iudia by State Courts or authorities	XVIII	592	104, 105, 106,
of 1885 and 1889 prohibiting officers from asking Ruling Chiefs or officials of Native States for subscriptions for public, semi-public or private objects	ХХ	695	107 165, 166
of 1886 declaring that salaries of officers in Foreign service are not liable to the levy of income tax	xx	701	170, 17 <b>1</b>
of August 1871, quoted by the Bombay Government in the matter of jurisdiction over servants of the British Government	xx	709	175, 176
of May 1829 regarding grant of titles in India	XXII	759	205, 206
of May 1882, on Local Self-Government, referred to in connection with ex- officio titles	XXII	806	230, 231
of June 1891, cancelling orders of May 1882 as to grant of courtesy titles to members of local boards	XXII^	806	230, 231

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Resolution(s) of September 1886, granting certain ex-officio titles to Department of Public Works employés cancelled in 1891	XXII	807	231
Revenue from Cantonments in State territory; in the absence of agreement its disposal must rest with the authority by which it is imposed	XIV	474	25, 26
Rewa. Maharaja of — fined for a breach of allegiance to the Crown	11	20	24-26
Cession of territory to	VIII	244	2,3
and Jabalpur Case (The), 1890. Biver boundaries	VIII	267	27, 28
1880-83. Under European Superintendency	XIII	412	181, 182
Position of a Superintendent of a Native State defined in the - case	XIV	457	13, 14, 15
Powers of the Chief of	ΧV	504	45
need not refer death sentences	xv	504	45
Maharani of — employs a barrister to submit her memorial. Sir Lepel Grffin's objection to this	XIX	668	151
Chief of =; increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237-239
Rews and Satna Mission Case (The), 1883. Religious questions in Native States	v	78	101
Rews-Sohawal Case (The), 1873. Interstatal dispute	11	20	24-26
Rewa Kantha. Supply of British copper coin to States in —	v	120	136138
Bowa Kantha States. Opium arrangements	VI	185A	199-200
Rewa Kantha. Exemption from nazarana of petty estates in —	XII	895	165, 166
Ridgeway (Sir West); his important note on the status of the Orissa Mahals mentioned	AII	190, 222	211, 212; 234
his valuable note on the Secunderabad jurisdiction case	XIV	469	22, 23
Ripon (Lord); his orders regarding direct transactions between the Nizam's Government and Messra. Rothschild	V	97	117, 118
his remarks on the supposed will of the Maharaja of Kashmir	12	. 293	51, 52
Ritchie (Mr.); his note on the Bhaunagar Bill raises the question of the status of Kathiawar	VII	196	215, 216
River Boundaries. The rule of the Thalweg for settlement of —	VIII	260	22, 23
Application of rules of International Law in the settlement of —	VIII	260	22, 23
The Ferozepur and Kapurthala Case, 1857	VIII	260	22, 23
The Kachi Kasmor Case, Sind and Bahawalpur, 1859	VIII	261	23
The Kachi Chuan Case, Dera Ghazi Khau and Bahawalpur, 1860	AIII	262	2325
The Nepal and Oudh Case, 1860	VIII	263, 264	25 ; 26
The Kitanur Gadda Case, Bellary and Hyderabad, 1872	VIII	265 م	26, 27
The Ellichpur-Hoshangabad Case (The), 1889-90	VIII	266	27
The Jabalpur and Rewa Case, 1890	VIII	267	27, 28
The Godavari Boundary Case, Hyderabad, 1890-95	VIII	267 A	2830
A boundary for purposes of jurisdiction and sovereignty does not determine questions of proprietary right in regard to private property, minerals excepted	VIII	267A	28—30
A houndary may be laid down finally by arbitration	VIII	267A, 269	28-80;81
If the boundary is fixed once for all irrespective of the fluctuations of	VIII	269	81
Indian and European rules for the settlement of compared	VIII	268	80, 81
		842	118—122
Roshan-ud-Daula, the Nizam's brother. Question of his succeeding to the Chiefship	XI	022	110-128
Ross's (Colonel G. C.) temporary appointment as Military Adviser to the Nawab of Rampur (foot-note)	VI	132	152
"Royal," "Royalty" are terms which must not be used with reference to Native States	1	10	11
Rules or laws made by the Governor-General in Council for cantonments in State territory	XIX	468	21, 22
Ruling Chiefs, their feudatories. See "Feudatories of Ruling Chiefs."		[	{
Misconduct of See " Misconduct of Buling Chiefs."			
will be upheld by the British Government except in case of gross misrule	1	8	8—10

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Ruling Chiefs.	Unlawful revolt against — punishable by the British Government	1	8	€—10
_	Some precedents for punishment of — when guilty of rebellion	1	11	12-14
	held to be exempt from process against their persons	. 1	13	14-17
	Rewa. Maharaja of — fined for a breach of allegiance to the Crown	- II	20	24—26
	Baraundha. Raja of — fined and deprived of the privilege of attending Darbar	11	20	24-26
	and feudatories of other Chiefs. Loans between	11	23	32-34
	and their own feudatories. Compacts between —	11	25	35
	Deposition of the Gaekwar of Baroda for gross misrule	111	39, 42	49—54; 56, 57
	Suspension of the Chief of Khairagarh for misrule	111	43	57, 58
	Nawab of Janjira deprived of criminal jurisdiction	111	44	58, 59
	Deposition of the Nawab of Janjira by his own subjects	111	44	58, 59
	may not neglect the welfare of their subjects	{	52 (11) 71	62-66 72, 73 95
	Penalties inflicted on — for misconduct. Instances of —	IV	62—65	87—90
	Aim of the Pritish Government to uphold their legitimate rights and privileges; the Jhalawar case	IA	65	89, 90
	their primary duties	IV.	66	90, 91
	may not systematically absent themselves from their States for long periods	v	71, 74	95 ; 96, 97
	Bules regarding visits of — to Simla	v	72	96
	Assurances given to - in the Amnesty Proclamation, 1858	ıx	273	34
	The British Government will respect the rights, dignity and honour of — as its own	ıx	273, 289	34; 47, 48
	An attempt on the part of a Ruling Chief to murder a British Resident would justify a re-adjustment of the relations between the British Government and his State	IX	289	47, 48
	Support of — against rebellion or disaffection	IX	304, 312	61, 62; 75—77
	Their deaths and successions to be reported whether the succession requires the sanction of Government of India or not	xı	362 866	143, 144 ; 145, 146
	Bombay. Classification of — with reference to jurisdiction exercised by them	xv	486	33, 84
	Position of — and their subjects in British territory	XIX	623-673	123—154
	Objections to - trading in British territory	XIX.	625	124, 125
	Amenable to British Courts of Law should they enter into trade	XIX	{ 624, 625, 629 (2)	123, <b>124</b> ; 124, 125; 128
	Inconsistent with the dignity of — to engage in trading speculations beyond the limits of their own territories	XIX	625, 629 (1)	124, 125 128
	Practice of the Government of India in dealing with applications for leave to sue —	XIX	631	128, 129
	Mode of recovering arrears of land revenue and of cesses and rates recoverable as land revenue when the defaulter is a Ruling Chief (see foot-note)	XIX	648	138
	Employment of — and their subjects under the British Government in Civil and Military capacities	XIX	{ 656—658, 660, 665	141—145; 146; 149, 150
	Appointment of the sons of — as Honorary Assistant Commissioners in view to their being trained in administrative work (see foot-note)	XIX	658	144, 145
	appointed members of the Legislative Council of the Governor-General	XIX	658	144, 145
	may not plead their causes either in person or by agent	XIX	670	151, 152
	Circumstances under which advice should be given to — by Political officers	xx	(676, 677, (680, 681	155, 156; 156, 157; 158; 159
	Political officers must not guarantee loans to —	XX	682, 683	159 ; 159, 160
	Old orders prohibiting interference in the affairs of their creditors  Engagements between — and their subjects. Political officers must not guarantee —	ХX	683, 684 685	159, 160; 160 160
	144	. 44	0,0	

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Ruling Chiefs. Political officers must not act as arbitrators in disputes between — and British subjects	XX	686, 687, 688	} 161
Political officers must not execute Commissions for —	XX	689	181 160
The minority of a — is no bar to his making an agreement with the British		000	161, 162
Government	XXI	727	186
are eligible for British decorations	XXII	758	205
No complete precedence list for — has ever been settled	XXII	814	235, 236
Ruling Powers to be entrusted to Chiefs immediately on attainment of majority and not gradually	XIII	427	191, 192
Rupal Case (The), 1890. Barbarous practices	, 14	57	78, 79
Ruparail River Case, The. Exchange of territory	11	19	•
Russell (Mr.). Case of holding immoveable property in Kashmir	XX	703	22, 24
		1	171, 172
Sachin. Restrictions on import of arms	VI	125	145, 14
State Courte included in the reciprocity system	XVIII	612	115, 116
Sachin Case (The), 1886. Intervention on account of gross misrule	III	51	69-71
Bestrictions (suggested) on the minor Chief of - referred to in		405	
Foot-note: See and the second of the second	XIII	429	193, 194
Saddozai family, Kabul. Title of "Shahzada", limited to the sons of Shah Shuja and Shah	XXII	789	223
Sadhu's (Sepoy) Case, 1892: Deserters from the armies of Native States	V1	152	167—169
Sadik Hasan, Nawab of Bhopal. Deprived of his title and salute in 1885 for misconduct	XXII	800	227
See also paragraphs § 48, § 103, § 515, § 800, § 818.			
Sadra. Uncertain origin of British jurisdiction in	yx	528	56, 57
Sagbara-Rajpipla Case (The). Compacts between a Buling Chief and a feudatory of		DE	
The state of the s	II	25	35
Sailana adoption; 1884. Principle observed in States without Adoption Sanads	XI	859	149
has accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Sakti. Sentences for more than seven years require confirmation	XV	493	87.
Salà-ud-din of Bhopal, Case of, 1888. Delegated jurisdiction	XV	515	51
Salar Jung, Sir. Claim of — to compensation for lands taken up by the S. M. Bailway Company (foot-note)	VI	171	186, 187
Particulars of his salute as Minister and Joint Regent of Hyderabad	XXII	817	236, 237
Salt arrangements with Native States	VI	186—186 G	201—206
Salt sources, Rajputana. Acquisition on lease of the —	VI	186	201-203
Salutes. Jhabua Chief's salute reduced for an abuse of authority	17	55	76, 77
Porbandar Chief's salute kept in abeyance for misconduct	17	58	79, 80
Suspension and reduction of the Jodhpur Chief's salute	17	62	87
Sadik Hasan's salure withdrawn for misconduct	XXII	800	227
Permanent or dynastic, personal and local — distinguished	XXII	810	233
Analysis of the table of —	XXII,	810	233
It is the practice to accord — to Chiefs who are minors	XXII	810	233
Except for very special reasons — are granted only to Buling Chiefs	XXII	811	233, 234
The power to regulate — rests with Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, acting by and with the advice of Her Privy Council. The Viceroy may modify and amend the table of — subject to the senction of Her Majesty	XXII	812	<b>2</b> 34 <b>, 2</b> 35
of the fact of the	XXII	813	235
The question of precedence as between Chiefs is not affected by the number of guns in their	XXII	814	235, 236
and the second of the second o	XXII	815	286
Powers of Buling Chiefs in respect to —	777	7,7	

Page.	Рагадтары.	Chapter.	SUBJECT.	
236	815	XXII	o salute should be given to a British officer in State territory unless he is entitled to a salute in British territory. An officer so entitled should receive in State territory his authorised number of guns	Salutes.
236	816	XXII	be Royal Salute in India is 101 guns for the Sovereign and 31 guns for the Viceroy or Royal Flag	
236, 237	817	XXII	rant of — in certain cases of regency. Case of Sir Ganput Rao of Gwalior, 1888	•
	:		lterations in - are frequently used as marks of the favour or displeasure of	,
237—239	818	XXII	Government	
202	754	XXI V	India desires in 1885 to withdraw from the — commercial treaty	_
103, 104 103, 104	79A 79A	v	Army (The) in Central India, 1883. Religious questions in Native States  (The) in Kashmir, 1894. Religious questions in Native States	Balvatio
72	548	XVI		w - 4 : 4
78	56	IV.	esare, a deserter from an Italian cruiser. Case of — (foot-note)	
70	00	A.V.	General prohibition of —	(
118122	342	XI	I-Mulk, the Nizam's paternal uncle. Question of his succeeding to the Chief-	Samsam-
105-10	81	V	Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	Samthar
198, 199	185	v i	Opium arrangements	
157-15	384	XII	Nazarana prescribed in adoption sanad	
45	504	xv	need not refer death sentences	
			See "Bundelkhand, the States of."	
235, 23	224	<b>V</b> II	Approval of — for distribution to the Chiefs of the Orissa Mahals recognising their position as feudatories	anad(s)
239, 24	232	VII	Grant of — in 1848 to the Chiefs of Korea and Chang Bhakar not sanctioned by any competent authority	
46, 47	287	1X	regranting the Manipur State to Raja Chura Chand, 1891	
178	711	XXI	and kharitas preferred to treaties as the means of placing on record an understand- ing arrived at with a Native State	
192, 193, 193, 194 194, 19	738, 739, 740	XXI	An engagement which consists of a grant, concession or reward to a Ruling Chief, proceeding from the grace and favour of the British Government, may appropriately be expressed in the form of a — or of a document of the nature of a —	
194, 19	740	ıxx	are used to confer or confirm territorial possessions and to grant powers, honours and rewards	•
103-100	828	( X	No treaty, sanad or formal agreement must be taken to include any obligation beyond	
196, 197	745	{ xxi	what is clearly stated in it	
197, 198	746	XXI	often impose on the recipient obligations to which he assents by accepting the grant	
213	769	IIXX	delivered by the Maharaja of Kashmir. Viceroy's title — to two persons residing in the State	
213	769	XXII	title —. Ruling Chiefs cannot claim as of right to deliver the Viceroy's — to British subjects residing in their States	
213	769	XXII	title —. No fixed procedure for the delivery of the Viceroy's — to Native States' subjects	
213	769	IIXX	A — conferring a title on a British subject serving a Native State must, if sent to the Darbar, be promptly delivered (Baroda case, 1892)	
216, 217	773	XXII	Forms of — conferring hereditary titles	
196	744	XXI	and kharitas often preferred to other documents for record of engagements with Native States	
i	\ \.		Cases establishing this conclusion:	
191, 19:	_ 787 <sub>1</sub>	XXI	The Periyar jurisdiction case, 1891	
192, 19	738	XXI	The Mysore Instrument of Transfer	
133, 19	739	XXI	The Sawantwari Sanad	
		g. a	Sanads conferring or confirming territorial possessions and granting honours	
194, 198	740	XXI	and rewards	
195	741	XXI	The Marwar-Merwara case, 1885	
195, 196	742	XXI	The Mewar-Merwara case, 1883	

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Sanad(s) The Jhansi and Morar exchange case, 1888	XXI	743	196
See also "Adoption Sauads."			
Title — not to recite the services for which the title is granted	XXII	796	226
Sandur. Question whether it should not be treated as a scheduled district	AIL ,	218	231, 232
Case (The), 1879 and 1885. Adoption by a widow does not carry a right of succession	x	333	
atomated by terms of saned from the law of mercana	XII	386	111, 112
held under sanad	XXI	740	159—161
Sangli. See Partition of the Southern Mabratta Jagirs, paragraph § 302.		740	194, 195
Sangli State (The) successfully managed by a Joint Administration	IV	68	09 09
Sankara Reddy's Case, 1890. Extradition from a Native State to a Foreign Power	11	32	92, 93
Saraikala. The whole present extent of — is non-British territory	VII	226	41-43
Pornhat territory ceded to -, see also paragraph § 226	VIII	258	236, 237
Sarangarh. Exception taken to the use of the terms "royal," "royalty" and the like with		200	20, 21
reference to Native States	. I	10	11
Succession, 1888. Irregular installation in anticipation of sanction	XI	365	144, 145
1872. Under female administration during minority	XIII	417	184
Sarguja. See "Chota Nagpur Tributary Mahals."	1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to		
Sarila adoption cases, 1871-73 and 1882-83. Adoption by a widow does not of itself confer a right of succession	x	335, 336	112, 113; 113
1882-83. Administration by the real father of the adopted son during minority	XIII	414	182, 183
Sati. General prohibition of —	ıv	56	78
Engagements to put down — taken from the Orissa Chiefs, 1842	VII	210	224, 225
Refusal to allow a Chief's mother to perform sati with her son	XII	372	150—152
in Native States must be prevented; precautions to be used for this purpose	XII	372, 374, 382	150—152; 153, 154; 156
Sattara Jagirs. Case of the - considered in connection with the distribution of sovereignty	111	36	45-47
Savanur Succession, 1884-85. Rejection of will. Primogeniture. Muhammadan law of private inheritance inapplicable	XI.	851	131—133
Adoption sanad granted to the Chief of —	XI	355	137, 138
Sawantwari. Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	v	81	105—107
1883-85. Under British management owing to the Chief's unfitness to rule	XIII	487	198, 199
State Courts included in the reciprocity system	XVIII	612	115, 116
Sanad case, 1884. Proposal founded on the Mysore Instrument of Transfer	XXI	739	193, 194
Scheduled Districts. Some of the Central Provinces Chiefships retained privileges under the designation of —	VII	206	222
General description of —	VII	217	230, 231
Act, 1874. Object of the —	VII	217	230, 231
and State territory. Discrimination between	VII	218, 219	231, 232; 232
(The) are not the whole but merely among the parts of British India not under the ordinary jurisdiction of the Courts	VII	221	233, 234
one of the three kinds of British territory in India	AII	233	240
Act, its general effect	VII	233	240
Inclusion of the Peint territory among the —	VII	236	241-243
Escape of the Dangs from conversion into	VII	237	243—245
Conversion of the Mehwasi chiefships into	vII	238	245, 246
Case of the Laccadive Islands	VII	238A	246—248
Inclusion of a tract in the list of — not a conclusive proof that the tract is British territory	VII	2384, 240	246—248; 250—252
See " Acts."			200-202

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Scoble Sir (Andrew); his minute in the case of the Dangs	VII	237	243-215
on the question of legislation in cessious cases	VIII	250	10-12
on British jurisdiction in the Kolhapur Cantonment	XIV	478	27, 28
on exercise of State jurisdiction by British Officers	xv	515	51
his opinion in a case of extradition to Afghanistan that the Act should be construed very strictly in favorem libertalis	xvi	543	68, 69
on the theory of extradition	xvii	571	91
Sealing of treaties. Question whether it is still considered essential	1XX	712, 714	178, 179 ; 180
Patiala, Jind and Nabha Postal conventions not sealed	xxı	732	188, 189
Secretary of State. Doubtful successions to a Muhammadan Chiefship where the Chief holds a Canning Sanad to be reported to — for consideration	XI	354	136, 137
Classes of successions to be reported to —	ХI	368	146
Secunderabad Cantonment jurisdiction case, 1883. British Government have complete civil and criminal jurisdiction at Secunderabad	XIV	469	(foot-note).
Cantonment jurisdiction. Chimnabi's case, 1887-89. Mixed Court discontinued	XIV	471	24
Secunderabad Jurisdiction Case, 1883. Opinion given by Sir Charles Grant in —; illustration of use of International Law	ı	13	14-17
Grann-Janohad British invidiation granticed without any appears accesson	XIV	480	29, 30
Extradition Case (The), 1884. Extradition from State territory under British jurisdiction	XVII	569	90
Cantonment. Execution of decrees as between the — and the Nizamat	xviii	605	113, 114
Sehore. British jurisdiction exercised without any express cession	XIV	480	29, 30
Selection of an heir. Doubtful whether Muhammadan Chiefs have any absolute right of —	xı	343	122—125
Selection of a successor to a Chiefship when there is no candidate who can claim to succeed as of right. See also paragraphs §284, §289, §339, §369	xı	357	139, 140
Sentences of imprisonment confirmed by British authorities may be executed in British jails	xv	494, 495	37;37,:8
of imprisonment passed by Mixed Courts may be executed in British Jails	xv	494, 495, 496	37; 37, 38;
of imprisonment, certain, passed in respect of Tributary Mahals of Orissa may be executed in British Jaila	xv	498	38, 39 40
of transportation or imprisonment for life must be referred by Bundelkhand Sanad States	xv	504	45
and decrees. Execution of —	XVIII	590-622	104-122
Sentences of imprisonment when referred to a Political authority for confirmation should not be enhanced to sentences of death	xv	497	39
Service of Processes and Execution of Decrees and Sentences	XVIII	590-622	104-122
Seth Srichand, a Jaipur subject. Case of -, 1886. Extradition from British territory to a State which has an extradition treaty	XVI	549	72, 73, 74
Shahgurh. Punishment of — for rebellion	1	11	12-14
Shahpura. Closing of the miut in —	v	109	129—131
Succession Case (The), 1870. Importance of a timely and formal adoption	x	318	87-91
Mewar's claim to a voice in the selection of a successor to —	x	318	87—91
Succession, 1870. Unsanctioned proceedings disallowed	XI	<b>3</b> 62	143, :44
has no extradition treaty	xvı	549	72,78,74
Pargana Phulia grauted by sanad	IXX	740	194, 195
Shahzada. Title of — not to be perpetuated	XXII	789	222
Shaikh Othman Case, 1882. Restrictions on the powers of Folitical Officers to negotiate	XXI	724	184, 185
treaties or agreements ·			000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VII	206	222
Shamsher Singh (Serder). Adoption saned granted to (fact note)	AII	206 223	234, 235

	1		
SUBJECT.	Chapter.	l'aragraph.	Page.
Sher Ali and Abdur Rashid, Afghan officials, suspected of a crime. Question of the surrender of — to the Amir		ļ ————————————————————————————————————	
Shoes. Old custom of taking off — when visiting the Nizam, abandoned	XVI	543	68, 69
Shoranur. Cession of the territory of — to the Nizam	XIII	401	173, 174
Sharener Case (The) Punishment of rebellion	AIII	244	2, 3
Sihor assigned to the Peshwa	1	111	1214
Sikkim. Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like	VII	196	215, 216
Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave dealing	V	81	105-107
allowed to make copper dubs	V _	101	122
temporarily exempted from nazarana on account of its peculiar position (foot-note)	v	117	134, 135
•	XII	391	164
of the Supreme Government. Exception in the case of Punjab Hill Chiefs	v	73	96
Rules regarding the visits of Chiefs to —	v	72	96
Simpson (Lieutenant); his murder at Manipur	r	6.	7-8
Sindh and Bahawalpur Kachi Kasmor Case (The), 1859. River boundaries	VIII	261	23
Sindhia, Maharaja. Increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
See " Gwalior," " Gwalior-Hyderabad case," " Gwalior case," " Morar," " Regency."			
Singareni coal-field draft lease. Case of the — considered in connection with the distribution of sovereignty	111	36	45-47
Singhbhum. Punishment of — for rebellion	1	11	12-14
Singhpur. See " Mehwasi Chiefships."	-		12-19
Sirvi British invisdiction exercised without any exercise	XIV	480	50.00
Sirobi. Condition of — in 1870	IX		29, 80
extradition treaty amended	XVI	305	62, 63
Sirohi Case (The), 1869. Beforms in Native States should originate with the State autho-	741	549	72, 73, 74
rities	111	. 49	67, 68
Sirsa, villages of. Transfer of — to Bikanir unpopular with their residents	AIII	256	17—19
Sitamau Adoption Case, 1884-85. Principle observed in States without Adoption Sanads	X1	358	140, 141
held liable to pay nazarana, 1885. Mediatised Chiefs of the first class probably pay to the British Government	XII	388	161, 162
bas accepted Colonel Wylie's interstatal extradition rules	XVII	582	98
Skene (Colonel); his murder at Manipur	_ I	6	7, 8
Slavery and dealing in slaves. Treaty provisions regarding —	v	101	123
in Chitral	v	102	122, 123
abolished in the State of Kuch Behar	v	102	122, 123
Ses "Native States," head (8) (v).			
Slaves. Importation of Hafiz Abdul Kaiyum's case, Bhopal, 1882	v	103	123, 124
Importation of — into Bhopal	v	103	123, 124
Redemption of — in Manipur	v	104	124
Slave Trade. Kutch subjects prohibited from engaging in the	٧	102	122, 12 <b>3</b>
Small Cause Court Laws for Mhow, Neemach and Nowgong	XIV	466	21, 22
Sohawal-Rewa Case (The), 1873. Interstatal dispute	ıı	20	24-26
Soldiers and officers of the British Army. Jurisdiction of Native States over Native — in respect of officers committed in State territory is limited	xx	708	174, 175
See also paragraph § 152.			
Somali Coast Case (The), 1890-91. Position beyond India of subjects of Native States	11	29	<b>37, 3</b> 8
Somnath in Jonegarh. Census of — taken by Baroda officials	ıı	22	28-32
Temple in Junagarh. Depositions recorded in by Baroda officials	11	22	28-32
Songadh. Uncertain origin of British jurisdiction in	xv	523	56, 57

SUBJECT.		Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
	connection with the distributi	on III	36	AE AN
of sovereignty	•••	17		45-47
Partitions of the —			302	<b>59,</b> 61.
are apparently exempt from	nazarana by the terms of the	XII	386	159—161
Sovereignty of Native States is only a limited sovereignty	100	1	4	3-6
of the Nizam is only a limited sovereignty	***	I	5	6, 7
All Native States enjoy — more or less	***	1	5	5,7
Meaning of the term		111	35	44, 45
The question of — for purposes of internations	d law is a question of fact	111	36	45 -47
Limitations of —; connection between subordin	ate co-operation and —	vi	123	144
Difficulty of distinguishing — between proprie	tary rights and rights of -	in VII	189	211
Question of - raised by administrative and other	r requirements	VII	189, 190	211 ; 211, 212
Partition of - with proprietary rights in Kathi	swar	VII	189, 195	211;215
The doctrine of the divisibility of — first took in the Kathiawar case	ts place in Indian Political La	VII	191	212
in Kathiawar. Origin of the discussion as to -		VII	196	215, 216
includes immunity from foreign laws and the rig	ht to coin money	VII	200	218, 219
Some marks of - mentioned		vii	200	218, 219
Division of — between the British Government	and the Kathiawar Chiefships	vii	200	218, 219
Division of The Central Provinces Chief's	case, 1865	VII	203, 205	220, 221; 221, 222
Test for discriminating between ordinary subjec	ts and Chiefs enjoying limited —	VII	205	221, 222
Limited — is not in Indian Political Law jurisdiction		VII	210	224, 225
The act of settling the revenue of a country an i	mportant test of —	VII	215	227-229
The Kuch Behar case one of transfer of — by a establishing Courts of law in the country cede	cession followed by legislati d	on VII	215	227—229
The relative value of the evidence of document tions of —	100	VII	215	<b>2</b> 27—229
Documentary claims to — liable to be displaced	or modified by overt acts	VII	215	227—229
Classification of a Chiefship in the nazarana co	-	VII	215	227—229
Immunity from British law not a conclusive test	of —	- VII	223	234, 235
Question whether a Chief is a Ruling Chief a must be decided in each particular case on the	whole evidence available	VII	240	250—252
Questions of — as between one State and and authority of the Paramount Power	***	VIII	242	1, 2
Except in certain boundary cases no authori General in Council is competent to decide que and another			2 <b>42, 2</b> 59, 270	1, 2; 21, 22; 31—33
Question of —, in a boundary dispute betwee by a Boundary Officer appointed by the Britis		VIII	242	1, 2
full. Lands ceded in — for railway purposes, way and ceded by Barnundha, Kothi, Maihar,	Nagod, Panna, Rewa, Sohawal	XV	520	58, 54
ceded by Baroda for the Bombay and Ahme North-Western line	dabad line, Kahawalpur for (	the { VI	171 520	186, 187 53,54
Ceded, in respect of railway lands, by Rarc Rampur, Gwalior, Dhar, Jhabua, and Jobat is	da in 1856, Rewa in 1863, s 1 1864 (see foot-note)	ward	520	53, 54
See "Native States", (5) The limited internal	povereignty of —.			
Sovereignty, Internal Distribution of -	***	111	35	44, 45
The distribution of in every	given case is a question of fact		36, 52	45-47; 72, 7
Fovereignty and Independence. Distinction between	l ***	111	35	44, 45

		Sue	JECT.				,	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Sovereignty, lim	itations of.	Prohibition Native St	of the clan	destine m	anufacture (	of cannon,	etc., by	VI	124	144, 145
	:	Restrictions Native St	on import ates	ation of	arms and	military st	ores by	VI	125, 127	145, 146 146, 147
	;	British occu	pation of fo	rts in Na	tive States	•••	101	VI	157	173
	:	Location of	<b>Ca</b> ntonmen	te in Nat	ive States	•••	***	VI	157	173
		See also " No	ative States	," bead (	5).					}
Special Courts	for special trial tion of —	ls under del	egated auti	ority. I	Precedents i	for the co	nstitu-	ΧV	526, 527	58 <b>, 59</b> ; 59, 6
Stamps. Bangalor	re case, 1862-63	3	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	XIV	442	2, 3
Levy of	— in Military	Courts of R	equests	. ***	***	***	200	XIV	442	2, 3
See " Po	etage Stamps o	of Native St	ates. "							
State and Britis	h Indian te	rritory.	Discrimins	tion of -	- fo <del>r</del> statisti	cal purpos	es	VII	220	232, 233
			distinguisl	ned	•••		•••	VII	435	197, 198
			Tests for d	liscrimins	ting betwee	n	•••	VII	240	250-252
State Courts. Ti	he privilege of their decrees ex	having their xecuted by C	summonse courts in Br	s served i itish Ind	s smaller the	an that of	having	XVIII	600	111
State Demands :	and Decrees. I	Enforcement and Hyder Nizam's Co	abad Resid	lency Bar	e Secunder ars on the	abad Cant one hand	onment and the	XAIII	605	113, 114
		rangements enforcement								İ
		Code	***	***	***	***	•••	XVIII	605	118, 114
State property.	Precautions fo	r safety of -	on the de	ath of a	Chief	•••	•••	ХII	373 874 375 378	152, 153 153, 154 154 154, 155
	See also "Poli	itical Officers	, (1) Their	duties on	the death of	a Ruling	Chief."		382	156
States. Document	ary claims of -	- may be set	aside by o	vert acts	***	***	•••	1	5	6, 7
'States" and "I	Estates." A	pplication of	these desi	gnations	to certain C	hiefships i	n India			
		discussed	•••	***	•••	***	•••	AII	215	227—229
State territory.						•••	•••	VII	189	211
	Question of ments	•••	***	•••		***	•••	VII	189, 190	211 ; 211, 2
	Importation is of sovereig		Louriest T	*** Of M	e doctrine (	r tue dia	***	VII	191	212
	Political cond	lition of Ka	tbiawar bef	ore Britis	h rule	•••	•••	VII	192	212, 213
	Acquisition of	f the suzerai	nty of Kat	hiawar	•••	•••	•••	VII	193	214
	Our original	policy and p	roceedings i	in Kathia	war	•••	•••	VII	194	214, 215
	Part of the ement, 1831		risdiction o	ver Katl	iawar assu	med by (	overn-	VII	195	215
	Origin of the	discussion s	s to soverei	gnty in E	Sathiawar	•••		1IV	196	215, 216
	The Central I	Provinces Ch	iefs' case, l	865	•••	•••		VII	203, 205	220, 221 ;
			-			Chiefs e		AII	205	221, 222
	Tests for disc	eriminating ereignty	between or	dinary s	ubjects and	***	•••			1
	Tests for disc	ereignty	***	•••	ubjects and	***	•••	IIV	{207-214 221-224	222—227 233—236
	Tests for disc limited sove The case of the	ereignty he Orissa Tr	ibutary Ma	hals	•••	•••	•••	VII		1
	Tests for disc limited sove The case of the	ereignty  he Orissa Tr  value of the  of sovereignt	ibutary Ma evidence of	bals docume	nts and of	•••	 eciding		<b>§ 221—224</b>	233236
	Tests for disc limited sove The case of the The relative values ions of	he Orissa Tr value of the of sovereignt	ibutary Ma evidence of	hals docume	onte and of	usage in d	eciding	<b>V</b> 1I	215	233—236 227—229 \$ 227—23
	Tests for disc limited sove The case of the The relative valuestions of The status of	ereignty  the Orissa Tr  value of the  of sovereignt  Kuch Beha  d districts.	ibutary Ma evidence of y r	docume	onts and of s	usage in d	eciding	AII	215 215, 220	233—236 227—229 { 227—22 232, 23 231, 232; 2
	Tests for disc limited sove The case of the The relative valuestions of The status of and Scheduled	he Orissa Tr value of the of sovereignt Kuch Beha d districts,	ibutary Ma evidence of y r Discrimina	hala docume tion betw	onte and of a control of a	usage in d	eciding	AII AII	215, 220 218, 219	233—236 227—229 { 227—22: 232, 23:

	SUBJECT.	Chapter	Paragraph.	Page.
State terri	ory. The case of the Peint State	VII	236	241-243
	The case of the Dangs	VII	237	243-245
	The case of the Mehwasi Chiefships	VII	238	245, 246
	The States of Bundelkhand	vii	239	248-250
*	Description of —	VII	240	250-252
	Form of notification for applying British Indian enactments to British jurisdiction	under XV	520 A	<b>54,</b> 55
Statute 24	and 25 Vict., Chap. 104. See "High Court."			
	9 and 40 Vict. Chap. 46. Position of subjects of Native States in foreign to under —	erritory II	27	35, 36
3	9 and 40 Vict., Chap. 46 makes subjects of Indian Native States punisha offences connected with the slave trade committed in certain places	ble for	27	35, 36
5	2 and 53 Vict., Chap. 63. Definition of India, (see foot-note)		29	37, 38
ā	7, Geo. III, Chap. 142, section 28, prohibits loans by British subjects to Chiefs	Ruling	95, 98	115, 116; 118—121
3	3 and 34 Vict., Chep. 90. Action taken for the enforcement of — thro	ongbout VI	146	161, 162
3	3 Vict., Chap. 3. Enactment of Its scope	vr	212	225, 226
	3 Vict., Chap. 3. Question of applying the statute to the Tributary Ma Orissa and Chota Nagpur	hals of VII	214	227
8	3 Vict. Chap. 3. Its object	VII	217	230, 231
8	3 Vict. Chap. 3. Tracts to which it is applied are one of the three k British territory in Iudia; its general effect	inds of VI	233	240
	3 Vict., Chap. 3, sec. 6, opening employment in the Civil Service to Na India	tives of XIX	663	147, 148
2	1 and 22 Vict., Chap. 106. Transferring the Government of India to the Cre	own VIII	243, 244	2; 2, 3
5	1 & 22 Vict., Chap. 106, transferring the Government of India to the Crown	XIX	663	147, 148
\$	1 & 22 Vict., Chap. 106, the Transfer Act, enabled the Secretary of State to estate, etc	sell real XX	721	182, 183
:	2 & 22 Vict., Chap. 106, the Transfer Act, sec. 67, declares that all treaties u the East Indian Company shall be binding on Her Majesty	nade by	722	183, 184
,	2 & 23 Vict., Chap. 41, enabled local officers to execute certain contracts, etc			182, 183
	ndian Councils Act, 1861, part of the process of obliterating the distinction I Regulation and non-Regulation Provinces	}	190	211, 212
:	24 and 25 Vict., Chap. 67. The Indian Councils Act	VIII	246	6,7
	The Indian Councils Act, 1861, section 22. Power to legislate for servants of (ment in State territory	Govern-	441	1,2
:	24 & 25 Vict., Chap. 54, sec. 2 and 5, directing certain vacancies to be filled from Indian Civil Service	om the	663	147, 148
	5, Geo. IV. Chap. 108. Transferring Singapur, etc., to the East India Consee foot-note	npany; VII	248	8, 9
:	28 and 29 Vict., Chap. 17, section 4. Proclamation under — annexing Jhans North-Western Provinces	i to the VIII	250	10—12
:	32 and 33 Vict., Chap. 98, section 1	XIV		1,2
	8 & 29 Vict. Chap. 17, sec. 1, power to legislate for all British subjects in territory			1, 2
:	3 & 4 Will. IV, Chap. 85, sec. 73 (saved by 24 & 25 Vict., Chap. 67, a power to make Articles of War	sec. 22), XIV	441	1, 2
	17 & 48 Vict., Chap. 38, power to make laws for persons serving in the Marine	Indian XIV	441	1, 2
:	28 & 29 Vict., Chap. 15, sec. 3, jurisdiction over Christian subjects of Her Maj State territory may be given to High Courts	esty in XVI	542, 551	66, 67, 68 75, 76
	33 & 34 Vict., Chap. 52, relating to extradition mentioned in connection with dition to the French Settlements	extra-	547	71, 72
	33 Vict., Chap. 14, sec. 7, as to naturalisation, (see foot-note)	XIX	664	148, 149

	Subject.	Chapter,	Paragraph.	Page.
Statute	18 Geo. III, Chap. 63. 33 Geo. III, Chap. 52.  Relating to acceptance of presents by Government	•		
	3 & 4 Will, IV, Chap. 65. 3 servants	XX	692	163, 164
	83 Geo. III, Chap. 52, sec. 43, quoted in connection with powers of Local Governments to ratify treaties	XXI	713	179, 180
	83 Geo. III, Chap. 52, defines treaty making powers of the Governor-General in Council	XXI	723	183, 184
	33 Geo. III, Chap. 52. The Governor-General in Council has power to make treaties and agreements with Native States subject to certain restrictions imposed by the —	XXI	722	183, 184
Stephen	(Sir James Fitzjames) his views regarding jurisdiction of Native States over European British subjects	1	3	2, 3
	substantially accepts the opinion of Sir Charles Aitchison that the law enabling local officers to execute certain contracts, etc., does not apply to Succession Sanads	***		•••
~ <b>-</b>	of Native States	XXI	721	182, 183
	(Mr.) Case, Hyderabad, 1883. Employment of Europeans in Native States	V	88	111, 112
'Subject	t " a term correlative to " Sovereign "	1	12	14
Subjects	of Native States may be made punishable for offences connected with the slave trade committed in certain places outside India	11	27	85, 86
	Beyond India must be treated as though they were subjects of Her Majesty	11	27, 29	85, 86 ; 87, 8
	Trial of — in British territory for offences committed in the Orissa Tributary Mahals	VII	221, 222	233, 234 ; 23
	Employment of — under the British Government in Civil and Military capacities	XIX	{ 656—658 } 660—665	141—145 146—150
	on board ship on the high sers in vessels of their own States, remain subject to the laws of those States	XIX	659	145, 146
	their position in British territory and elsewhere. See also paragraphs §12, §28, §29, §32 and §673	XIX	659—665	145 - 150
	unless naturalised, not at present eligible for Subordinate Judge- ships or the Pleaders' Examination in the Bombay Presidency	XIX	662	147
	Grant of naturalisation certificates under certain circumstances	XIX	662, 664, 665	147; 148—149, 149, 150
	are eligible for the open appointments under Provincial Service Scheme	XIX	665	149, 150
	how far they are aliens	XIX	665, 667	149, 150 ; 15 151
	Assumption that they are "alien friends" for the purposes of suits in British territory	XIX	667	150, 151
	are amenable to British tribunals in respect of transactions oc- curring and offences committed in British Iudia	XIX	673	153, 154
	are eligible for British decorations	XXII	758	205
	The Viceroy may grant titles to	XXII	768	212, 213
Subordin	nate Co-operation and limitations of sovereignty. Connection between	VI	123	144
	General doctrine of — explained to Kashmir, 1886	VI.	128	147, 148
	Policy in regard to the Armies of Native States	VI	129	148, 149
ubordi	nate Co-operation of Native States with the Paramount Power defined	VI	122, 157	144; 173
	Organisation of Imperial Service Corps in Native States	VI	131, 141	150—152;1
	Obligation of States to provide for safe transit of Imperial mails	VI	158, 160	173, 174; 1
	Establishment of Imperial postal lines or offices in State territory	VI	161	176—178
	Policy of Government in regard to rail- ways in State territory	VI	168, 176	18 <b>8,</b> 184 ; 19
	Fiscal co-operation	٧ĭ	185, 188	198, 199; 207—209
	See also "Native States," heads (9) to (14) inclusive.			201-203
Bubscrip	otions. Officers of Government, without previous sanction, not to ask Buling Chiefs or officials of Native States for — to public, private or semi-public objects	XX	695	165, 166

	SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Subscriptions.	Officers of Government not to collect — for political purposes	XX	695	165, 166
	Political Officers must not influence Rulers or Ministers of Native States to subscribe to public or private funds	XX	695	165, 166
Snecession(s).	Every — in a Native State is invalid till recognised by the British Government	1	8	8-10
546909101(5)	in Native States. The right of settling rests with the British Govern-		,	
	ment	I	8	810
	in Native States. The British Government bave the power to regulate	I	14(4)	17
	and adoption by British subjects in British territory are questions for the Courts	, VII	205	221, 222
	to Political Chiefships. Decision of — in Courts of Law an anomaly and inexpedient	VII	212, 213	225, 226; 226, 227
	of a Muhammadan female disallowed in the case of the Peint State	VII	236	241-243
	in Native States viewed in connection with the preservation of Native rule	IX	283, 284	42; 43-44
	in Native States, will be recognised by the British Government in accordance with the terms of their adoption sanads	IX	289	47, 48
	The selection of a successer to a deposed Chief rests solely with the British Government	IX	289	47, 48
	Principles by which the British Government will be guided in the selection of a successor to a Native State	IX	289	47, 48
	in Native States. No deviation from the regular succession by order of primogeniture allowed in Kashmir	IX	293	51, 52
	in Native States. The idea that an elder son can be set aside at the will of his father not to be encouraged	IX	293	51, 52
	in Native States. A Ruling Chief cannot bar — by will or otherwise the succession of his eldest sou	ıx	293, 295	51, 52; 54
	Cases instanced in illustration of the policy of preventing the partition of States	IX	297, 299	5456; 58
	The Kashmir case, 1868	X	317	8186
	in Native States. The fact that a Ruling Chief holds estates in the territory of another Ruling Chief gives the latter no voice in the selection of a successor to the former	x	318	87—91
	The Shahpura case, 1870	x	318	87—91
	The Kolhapur case, 1871	<b>x</b> .	820	92, 93
	Provisional recognition of a candidate for - during the lifetime of a Chief	x	321	93, 94
	The Nawanagar case, 1872 and 1884	x	321, 322	93,94; 94-9
	disputed. The Udaipur case, 1874	x	324	97, 98
	among Rajputs a collateral succession of a descendant however remote from the main stock supposed to be practically as good as a lineal descent	x	829	106, 107
	in Native States. General principles on which they are now dealt with by the Government of India	x	332	110, 111
	General practice of the Government of India in regard to — explained in the despatch in the Mysore Instrument of Transfer	x	332	110, 111
	Provisions on — in the Mysore Instrument of Transfer	x	832	110, 111
	in Native States. Adoption by a widow does not carry a right of succession	x	33 <b>3</b>	111, 112
	in Native States. A clear instance of the prerogative of the Paramount Power being freely exercised in settling a succession on failure of lineal heirs. The Jath case, 1892	x	838	118, 114
٠	In the selection of a successor by the British Government, it is important to consider the political type of the State concerned	x	339	114116
	in Native States. Summary of the policy and practice of the British Government when it has to select a successor	x	839	114-116
	Hindu law of inheritance is essentially a system of class succession, excluding primogeniture	x	317	81—86
	See also paragraph § 343 as regards Muhammadan law.			
	in Muhammadan and other Chiefships	XI	•	117; 148, 149
	legitimate, according to Muhammadan law will be upheld	ΧI	841	117, 118

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Succession(s).	Principles of Muhammadan law in cases of civil inheritance are not adapted to successions to regal or quasi-regal powers	} x1	342 343 351 354	118—122 122—125 131—133 186, 137
	of the nearest collateral. Government of India not bound to uphold the — in a Muhammadan State	XI.	342	118122
	Ultimate political right of the Paramount Power to interfere in	χı	343	122-125
	in Muhammadan States. Each case to be decided on its own merits	XI	343	122—125
	to Muhammadan Chiefships. Each case of serious difficulty to be reported to the Secretary of State	XI.	843	122—125
	in Maler Kotla in 1872 and 1892, discussed. Extent of power of a Muhammadan Chief in selection of a successor	· xı	344	125, 126
	in the Peint State, 1840. A female not allowed to succeed	XI	845	126, 127
	Rampur State, 1839. A daughter not allowed to succeed	XI	345	126, 127
	of females. Question of the —	XI,	345, 354	126, 127; 13 187
	Bhopal female. Limited privilege claimed by nobles in selection of Chiefs	ХI	345	126,127
,	Naigawan Ribai. Succession of a female	XI.	345	126, 127
	Kurwai, 1874. Not a precedent for succession through the female line	xı	846	127, 128
	Dugri, 1884. See "Dugri Succession"	xı	347	128, 129
	Rampur, 1887. See "Rampur Succession"	XI	348	129
	Las Bela, 1888. A strong case in favour of primogeniture	XI	349	129, 130
	Janjira, 1879. Right asserted by Sardars to elect the Chief, disallowed	. xı	350	131
	Doubtful — should be reported to the Government of India before they are provisionally sanctioned	] ·	<b>3</b> 51	131—13:
	Savanur, 1884-85. See "Savanur Succession"	ХI	851	131-13
	in Native States by testamentary documents not permitted	XI.	<b>3</b> 52	133, 13
	Khairpur, 1893. See "Khairpur Succession"	XI	352	133, 13
	Prerogative of recognising a successor from several legitimate claimants to a Muhammadan Chiefship probably is not that of the Chief, but of the	· [	250	184-13
	Paramount Power	XI	853	134-13
	Junagarh, 1892. See "Junagarh Succession"  The recognition of s — to an Indian Chiefship is an act of State not	XI	353 854	186, 18
	Wills purporting to dispose of rights of sovereignty are not recognised for this purpose by the Paramount Power		354	186, 13
	of a doubtful nature should be referred to the Government of India for their views before they are even provisionally recognised	1	354	136, 13
	to be reported to Secretary of State. Those of a doubtful character to a Mu- hammadan Chiefship which holds a Cauning Sanad	xı	854	186, 18
	Palitana; under an adoption Sanad a Chief probably has not power to arbitrarily exclude those who by law or custom have valid claims (see foot-note)	XI XI	854	136, 13
	to States without Canning Sanads. Government of India guided by same considerations as are applied in the case of States with such sanads		355	137, 13
	in Kathiawar. Adoptions rare in Kathiawar. Claims of collateral agnates	X1	356	138, 18
	Ali Rajpur, 1882 and 1890-91. See "Ali Rajpur Succession"	XI.	357, 360	139, 140 142
	Shahpura and other — in illustration of the right of the Paramount Power to confirm successions		362	143, 14
	and deaths of Chiefs to be reported to Government of India	1X	362, 366	143, 144; 1
	to Chiefships require confirmation by the Paramount Power	X1	362,368	143, 14 146
	Cases illustrating this principle:-			140
	The Shahpura Succession	XI	362	143, 14
	The Circular of 1873	X1	362	143, 14
	The Janjira Succession	ıx	362	143, 14

	Sep	eject.				Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Succession(s).	The Las Bela Case		•••	***	***	KI	362	143, 144
	The Mysore Case	***	•••	*4*	•••	XI	. 362	143, 144
	The Nandgron Case	•	***	•••	•••	XI	363	144
	The Kharsawan Succession	•••	•••		*** ***	XI.	364	144
	The Udaipur Succession	•••	***	•••	gus . ***	X1-	365	144, 145
	The Sarangarh Succession	***	***	***	•••	XI	365	144, 145
		•••	•••		*** ***	XI	366	145, 146
	The Cochin Succession	***	***			Xi.	366	145, 146
	The Manipur Case		•••	•••		XI.	368	146
	Validity of — depends on con-	Semution by			•••	<b>Z</b> 1	362, 368	143, 144 ; 146
	Delegation of authority to Bo		•			¥1	367	146
	nelegation or anthourh to no	mosy Gove	oment to be	suly	***		""	( 146
	Class of to be reported to t		_	44.0	***	ì	368	(foot-note).
	Disputed — in Native States : ferred to Civil Courts. Vir	should be a soda case, l	ettled by Pe 894	olitical auti	orities not re	ı xı	368	146
	Some consequences of	***	•••	•••		XII	871-400	150—169
	Duties of Political Officers on	the douth o	of the Chief	•4•		777	372	150—152
	should not be recognised with				F India	YIT	372	150—152
					t tudin			( 150-152;
	Employment of troops to pres	erve peace	•••	•••	*** ***	XII	372, 381	l 195, 155
	The wishes of the Chief regard ascertained	ding his suc	cessor maý,	if there is a	o objection, be	TIT	3 <b>72,</b> 37 <b>4, 3</b> 82	150—152; 153, 154; 156
	Sali must be prevented	•••	•••	***	***	XII	372, 374,382	150—132 153, 154 ; 156
	The Udaipur Case, 1874	•••	***	•••	•••	XII	372	150152
	The Patiala Case, 1376	•••	***	•••	***	XII	373	152, 15 <b>3</b>
	Nomination by a dying Chief	of his succe	P#80T	•••	•••	XII	374	153, 154
	by nomination supported by	Il the princ	ipal nobles s	and the Ma	haranis of the			150 354
	State	•••	***	•••	***	1	374	153, 154
	Maintenance of order on the o	ccasion of t	ihê decesse o	of a Chief	•••		374	153, 154
	The Jaipur Case, 1880	•••	***	•••	***	1	374	153, 154
	The Bikanir Case, 1887	***	***	***	***		375	154
	Inquiries about — consequent	on the deat	ih of a Chief	Ē	***	XII	<b>3</b> 76, <b>377</b>	154;154
	Partabgarh Case, 1890	•••	***	•••	•••		376	154
	Adoption of a successor by the tions of the late Chief	e widows of	* Chief in a	ccordance v	with the direc-	XII	377	154
	Jaisalmir Case, 1891	***	•••	***		XII	377	154
	Management of a State on the		the deces			XII	377	154
•	Alwar Case, 1892					711	378	154, 155
	Precautions for the safety of "Political Officers, (1) The	State prop	erty on the	death of	- C1:		378	154, 155
	Duration of the ceremonies of				hinf	XII	378	154, 155
	of a brother on failure of a na	_		***		XII	379	155
	Management of affairs on a C		-		invornment	XII	379	155
	Panna Case, 1893		*			XII	379	155
	Arrangement for the support	of the femil	v of a deese	eed Chick a	n	XII	380	155
	Bhartpur Case, 1893	18441	A AY S HOLES	eer engi 0	***	XII	380	155
	Khairpur Case, 1894	***	•••	•••	***	XII	380 380	155
	Precautions against an outbree		···	 .:6		^''	0.30	a wif
	ployment of Police and Mili	tary for the	: barbose	ant of a pr	etender. Km-	xiı	<b>\$8</b> i	155, 156

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Succession(s)	. Intention of a Chief to reject the claim of his rightful heir and to nominate a grandson as his successor	XII	- 381	155, 156
	The question of —, if open to any doubt, should be promptly inquired into	XII	382 (6)	156
	Inquiry into the possibility of posthumous issue should not be made in such a way as to suggest to any one of the widows that a claim upon that ground could be advanced	XII	382	156
	What should be done immediately before and after the death of a Chief	XII	382	156
	If a Chief dies without lineal heirs it is a material point whether there is any prospect of the birth of a posthumous child	XII	<b>382 (7)</b>	156
	on a Chief's death. Arrangement must be made for carrying on the business of the State pending orders from Government regarding his successor	XII	382 (8)	156
	It is desirable to obtain orders very promptly on the question of —	XII	382 (9)	156
	On the death-of a Chief it is usually desirable to ascertain the amount of the cash balance of his State	XII	382 (10)	156
	Nazarana leviable from certain Native States on the occasion of a direct succession or under the adoption sanad	XII	384	157—159
	Proposal that nazarana should be leviable in Muhammadan States on certain indirect —	IIX	384	157—159
	Definition of the word "nazarana"	XII	384	157—159
	Stipulation for nazarana in the original distribution of certain adoption sanads	XII	384	157159
	Exemptions founded on the possession of a treaty or the payment of tribute have no place in the Nazarana Rules of 1872	их	384	157—159
	States exempted from the operation of the Nazarana Rules of 1868	XII	384	157-159
	Nazarana Rules of 1868	XII	384	157—159
	Political agitation consequent on the classification of States with reference to the application of the Nazarana Rules of 1868	XII	384	157—159
	Direct abolition of the practice of levying nazarana on —	XII	384	157-159
	Report to be made on the occurrence of a — as to whether the State should or should not be exempted from the levy of nararana	XII	385	159
	Entry in the Nazarana Register of a State on the occasion of a	XII	385	159
	Nazarana taken on certain — in accordance with the terms of the adoption sanads	XII	386	159—161
	Exemption of States from the levy of nazarana on the ground that agreements with them preclude its levy	XII	386	159—161
	Exemption of feudatories to Native States from the payment of nazarana to the British Government	XII	387	161
	Nazarana in the case of mediatised Chiefs	ΣII	388	161, 162
	Claim of a Chief to levy nazarana upon directs successions to the guaranteed taskhas payable to feudatories	XII	\$88	161, 162
	Question of the liability of the State to mazarana should be included in the report of a —	XII	397 ( <i>1</i> )	166, 167
	Khilats and kharitas	XII	398	167, 168
	Rules for the bestowal of khilats on — proposed and abandoned, 1887-90	XII	399	168
	Installation or investiture kharitae from the Viceroy	XII	400	168, 169
	Banganapalle Case, 1870. Treaties and agreements with Kuling Chiefs are acts of State	xxı	721	182, 183
	Construction of law which applies to contracts and agreements regarding inams has no application to a sanad of — to a Native State	XXI	721	182, 183
Suit(s) agains	t Buling Chiefs when permissible	XIX	( 624, 628, ) 630, 631	123, 124; 127, 128; 128; 128, 12
Applic fas	ation for permission to institute a — against the Amir of Afghanistan, re-	XIX	630	. 128
agnine the	st Ruling Chiefs. Opinion that - should not be permitted on the ground that y trade in British territory unless the suit is connected with the trading	XIX	630	128
Local Rul	Governments and Administrations authorised to sanction institution of — against ing Chiefs	XIX	631	128, 129
Gover	ument of India may refuse sanction to institution of - against Ruling Chiefs	XIX	631	128, 129

## POLITICAL PRACTICE.

Subject.	Chapter.	Paregraph.	Page.
Suit(s). Thakur of Umetha. Refusal of leave to sue the — (foot-note)	XIX	631	128, 129
Court must decide who are Ruling Chiefs for the purposes of the Civil Procedure	XIX	632	129, 130
Agents of Chiefs in — may be appointed by Government	XIX	632	129, 130
Summary of the practice of the Government of India in regard to - against Chiefs	XIX	620	
(see also §§ 684 and 686)	V V	633 101	130, 131
held under sanad	ıxxı	740	122 194, 195
Suket case (The), 1878—1893. Interference in Native States	111	50	68, 69
Summary of the principles which describe the political subordination of Native States to the Paramount Power	1	14	17, 18
of the principles which establish and explain the political isolation of Native	п	99	
of the Baroda case, first part	111	33 42	43 56, 57
showing that the internal sovereignty of Native States is limited by the pro-			00, 07.
hibition of gross misrule, and, so limited, should be preserved	III	52	72, 73
of points established regarding intervention in specific cases of misconduct, especially in the matters of barbarous practices and homicides without trial	17.	69	93, 94
of conclusions regarding the absenteeism of Ruling Chiefs	v	75	97
of the position of the British Government in regard to matters of religion in Native States	v	80	104, 105
of principles regarding the employment of Europeans, Americans or Eurasians in Native States	▼	94	115
of principles regarding dealings between Native States and capitalists or financial agents	v	100	121, 122
of conclusions as to dealing in or importation of slaves	v	104	124
Historical — relating to mints and coinage in Native States	v	121	142, 143
of conclusions regarding the armies of Native States and the Imperial Service scheme	VΙ	142	158, 159
of rules regarding recruitment in the armies of Native States, excluding Imperial Service Corps	VI	149	164
of the policy of Government as to deserters from State armies and from the British army to armies of States	VI	156	172, 173
of the policy of postal unity and the obligations of States relating to the Imperial postal service	VI	167	182 <b>,</b> 18 <b>3</b>
of the obligations of States in the matters of Railway construction and administration	VI	176	191, 192
of the obligations of States in connection with telegraphs and telephones	vı	184	197, 198
of the policy and practice of the Government of India in connection with the fiscal co-operation of the Native States	VI	188 A	209, 210
of the tests for discriminating between State territory and territory which is part of British India	VII	240	25025 <b>2</b>
of settled points connected with cessions of territory by or to Native States	VIII	259	21, <b>2</b> 2
of principles accepted in regard to river boundaries of States	VIII	269	31
of facts and policy connected with the preservation of Native Rule	IX	289	47, 48
of accepted principles regarding wills purporting to dispose of rights of sovereignty	IX	295	54
showing that the dismenberment of small States is usually inexpedient	1X	299	58
showing that circumstances may make the partition of a State expedient	IX	303	61
of the practice of the Government of India in the matter of supporting Ruling Chiefs against rebellion or disaffection	1%	314	78, 79
of principles and practice connected with the working of the policy of the Canning Adoption Sanads	x	339	114-116
of certain principles which are taken into consideration in deciding successions to Native States which hold Adoption Sanads	xı	354	136, 137
of the principles which are taken into consideration in deciding successions to Native States holding no Adoption Sanads	. ŶI	861	142, 143

Page.	Paragraph.	Chapter.	Subject.
146	368	XI	Summary of rules in regard to confirmation of successions by the Paramount Power
156	382	XII	of the duties of Political Officers on the death of a Chief
166, 167	397	XII	of conclusions in regard to the working of the Nazarana Rules of 1872
179	405	XIII	of correspondence regarding the minority of the Nizam
182	413	XIII	of circumstances which suggest the appointment of European Superintendents during minorities of Buling Chiafs
199-201	438	XIII	of the practice of the Government of India in dealing with minorities
11, 12	453	XIA	of conclusions as to the application of British laws to subjects and servants of the British Government in State territory and as to the extension of British jurisdiction to European British subjects in the same
16, 17	460	XIV	of conclusions regarding the position of a British Superintendent temporarily exercising the jurisdiction of a State under British management
80, 31	481	XIV	of conclusions regarding British jurisdiction in Cantonments, Residencies and Residency Bazars in State territory
40, 41	499	xv	of conclusions regarding residuary jurisdiction in the States of the Bombay Presidency, the Central Provinces States, and the Orissa and Chota-Nagpur Tributary Mahals
47, 48	509	XV	of conclusions regarding the exercise of residuary jurisdiction in Central India
53	518	xv	of principles implied in the proceedings relating to British jurisdiction in Kashmir
60	528	xv	of conclusions relating to jurisdiction delegated by Ruling Chiefs to officers of the British Government
65	540	XV	of information relating to the Thagi and Dakaiti Department
88, 89	568	XVI	of points which seem clear in the matter of extradition between British India and Foreign or Native States
102, 103	589	XVII	of the policy and practice of the British Government in the matter of extradition as between Native States and State territories under different jurisdictions
107	593	XVIII	of points established regarding the execution of the decrees of British Courts by State Courts or authorities
116, 117	614	XVIII	of the practice of the Government of India in arranging for the execution of decrees and the service of the summonses of State Courts in British territory and in extending reciprocity in these matters to British Courts in India anywhere
121, 122	621	XVIII	of points which seem clear regarding the interchange of criminal processes between British and State territory
128	629	XIX	of conclusions in regard to Buling Chiefs trading in British territory
130, 131	633	XIX	of certain points of interpretation and practice in the matters of suits and claims against Ruling Chiefs
139, 140	651	XIX	of the policy of the Government of India in regard to the acquisition of immove- able property in British India by Buling Chiefs and notable personages of Native States
150	666	XIX	of conclusions in regard to the civil and military employment of Ruling Chiefs and their subjects under the British Government
152	671 672	XIX	of the practice of the Government of India in regard to the employment of lawyers in political cases
152, 153		1	of the decision against referring political questions to High Courts
159	681	XX	of instructions of 1821, 1838, 1842 and 1843 to Political Officers
168, 169	698	XX	of certain duties of Political Officers
170	700	XX	of decisions relating to the escorts of Political Officers of conclusions as to (1) acquisition of immoveable property by British subjects in
174 174, 175	708	XX	Native States and (2) their removal from the same of conclusions as to the jurisdiction of State Courts over Native officers and soldiers of the British army
186, 187	728	XXI	of principles relating to the negotiation and execution of treaties or agreements with Native States
	736	XXI	of conclusions relating to formal agreements with Native States not ratified by the Viceroy
196	744	ххı	of conclusions regarding the use of sanads and kharitas for the record of engage- ments with subordinate States
198, 199	749	IXX	of conclusions regarding the interpretation of treaties or formal agreements with subordinate States

## POLITICAL PRACTICE.

	l l	1	
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Summary of conclusions regarding the participation of India in commercial treaties with Foreign powers	XXI	757	204
of chief points elicited in connection with the history of the grant of titles in India and the powers on this behalf of Ruling Chiefs and British Indian authorities	XXII	770	214, 215
stating that no Ruling Chief may institute an order of distinction	XXII	772	216
of conclusions regarding the use, grant or recognition of hereditary titles, and the grant of titles by titular Chiefs	XXII	786	221, 222
of conclusions relating to the grant of specific titles, to procedure in granting titles and to the withdrawal of titles for misconduct	XXII	803	228, 229
of conclusions relating to the use of ex-officio titles in British and State territory	XXII	809	232, 233
of conclusions regarding salutes in India	XXII	819	239
showing that the Viceroy determines precedence in Darbars	XXII	820	239-241
Summonses. Provisions of the Civil Procedure Code as to the service of — in certain cases	XVIII	596	108, 109
British Indian. Service of — in State territory through a British Officer or Court	XVIII	596	108, 109
Foreign. Service of - by Courts in British India	XVIII	596	108, 109
and Decrees of State Courts and British Courts in State territory, Bombay, 1880-1885	XVIII	597-600	109—111
Question of conceding reciprocity to Native States in the service of	XVIII	598	109, 110
Refusal of the Bhaunagar Darbar to serve British summonses so long as British District Courts had no power to serve Bhaunagar summonses	XVIII	598	109, 110
Reciprocal service of — as between the Mysore Courts and the Civil Courts of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore	XVIII	601	111, 112
issue of. Gover ment of India willing to concede reciprocity to State Courts in cases where it is merited	XVIII	600	111
and decrees of Mysore Courts, 1882	XVIII	601	111, 112
service of. General measures adopted in 1889 to promote permissible reciprocity with State territory in the —	XVIII	607—611	114, 115
Service of - by British Courts in State territory	XVIII	611	115
service of. Courts in Bombay Native States included in the system of reciprocity in regard to —	XVJII	612	115, 116
service of. Courts of the Resident in Kashmir and his Assistants included in the system of reciprocity in regard to —	XVIII	613	116
service of. Summary of the principles upon which the Government of India act in extending reciprocity in this matter	IIIVX	614	116, 117
of State Courts. Summary of the principles upon which the Government of India act in arranging for service of — in British territory	XVIII	614	116, 117
Proposed reciprocity in service of — to persons accused in criminal cases by all Brish Courts whether in State or British territory	MIII	619	120
See " Processes."	( X	334	112
Suntif. Widow permitted to adopt (see foo t-note)	{ xî	855	137, 138
Superintendency. States under the European - during minorities	XIII	407-413	180—182
States placed under Native —	XIII	414—416	182, 183
Superintendent(s), British. Position of a — in a Native State appointed during a minority or in consequence of misrule	XIX	457	13, 14, 15
Superscription on the coinage of Native States	v	106	125—127
Surgana Abkari Agreement, 1893. Bules preferred to formal conventions with amail States	XXI	734	190
Susang, Zamindar of. Hereditary title of Maberaja	XXII	778	219
Sutna. British jurisdiction exercises without any express cession	xıv	480	29, 30
<b>-</b>			
Talcher exempt from nazarana by terms of sanad	W11	906	159—161
	XII	386 24	24, 85
Talwar Bandhai Case. Interchange of ceremonies between Native States	VIII	257	19,20
Zangacheri. Proposed cession of - to travancore	V 1111	201	18,20

SUBJECT.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page,
Tanjore. Refusal to entertain Dr. Kavanagh's memorial on behalf of the — Chiefship	XIX	669	151
Rani of. Salute refused to	XXII	811	233, 234
Tarhooh. Treaty provisions as to slavery or slave dealing	v	101	12"
Tehri. Telephone supplied to — from Rurki workshops as a special case	V1	183	195—197
1891. Reservation of the Chief's power till he gained administrative experience	XIII	429	193, 194
Chief of. Life salute of —	IIXX	818	237—239
Telegraph Act. Futile resistance of Baroda to extension of the to its territory	VI	182	194, 195
The Indian, 260 "Act."	ĺ		1
Telegraph Department will supply telegraphs to railways in Native States	vı	175, 176 ( <i>10</i> )	190, 191; 191, 192
Telegraphs. Projects for — in Native States must be reported to Government	VI	177	192
throughout India must be in the hands of the Supreme Government	V1	178	192, 193
Forms of agreement for extending them to Native States	VI	179	193
Extension of — on guarantee	17	180	193,194
Control of — in Kashmir transferred to Government	vi	181	194
Telegraphs and Telephones in Native States. General policy of Government in regard to —	۸I	184	197, 198
See "Native States," head (13).			1
Telephone line (A purely local). Reference to a Mysore case for definition of — (foot-note)	17	183	195—197
Telephones. Projects for — in Native States must be reported to Government	VI	177, 183	192 ; 195, 19
in Native States	VI	183	195197
Extensions of private lines to Railway Stations in Native States not permitted	vı	183	195-197
relephones and Telegraphs in Native States. General policy of Government in regard			
*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **	Δſ	184	i97, 198
Temple (Sir Richard); his proposals for the classification of the Central Provinces Chiefs	111	86	45—47
his report on the Central Provinces Chiefships	VII	203	220, 221
Cerritorial dispute between Hyderabad and Bikanir	VIII	242	1, 2
Thagi and Dakaiti Trials. Jurisdiction in	ΧV	<b>532—5</b> 35	61, 62, 63
Jurisdiction. Provisions of certain repealed enactments and of the present law	xv	537, 538	64, 65
Chagi and Dakaiti Department (The)	ΧV	529540	6065
(The). Functions of Orders of 1877 and 1883	xv	530, 531	60, 61; 61
(The). Powers of pursuit and arrest exercised in State territory by officers of —	xv	636	63, 64
(The). Reorganisation of — sanctioned by the Secretary of State in 1893	xv	539	65
(The). Issue of Rules for the working of — sanctioned tentatively (foot-note) (The). Summary of information on the subject of —	XV.	539 540	65 65
The Lange Dule of the for nottlement of vives houndaries	VIII	260	22, 23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VIII	200	25, 20
Charad, 1884. Refusal to entertain memorials from lawyers in regard to the claims of the Thakur of —	XIX	670	151, 162
tory	XIX	673	153, 154
homeson (Mr.); his letter of 1843, regarding the duties of Political officers	XX	677	156, 157
Chornton (Mr. T. H.); his opinion as to direct transactions between Native States and capitalists	V	95	<sup>21</sup> 5, 116
his proposals for the amendment of the Civil Precedure Code with reference to the position of Ruling Chiefs in British territory	XIX	628	127, 128
hurston's (Mr.) Case, Hyderabad. Employment of Europeans in Native States (referred to	V	88	111, 112
in foot-note)			

	Subject.	Chapter,	Paragraph.	Page.
ippera,	Chief of Hill. Objection raised to the Political Agent acting as arbitrator in the case of the claims of Mr. Lerminie against —	xx	687	161
iroha.	Punishment of for rebellion	ı	11	12, 14
itle(s)	f the Chief of Rajgarh changed from Bawat to Nawab on his conversion to Islam	v	77	98—101
Q	f Raja granted to the Chief of the Orissa Tributary Mahals	VII	210	2 24, 225
1	nstances of the grant of — by sanade	XXI	740	194, 195
7	Coo much system to be avoided in the grant of — in India	XXII	760,770 (1)	206, 207 ; 214 215
1	History of the grant of — in British India	XXII	758—760	205-207
8	nd ceremonial	XXII	758-821	205-243
	Marquis of Hastings asserted the 'principle that titles and other marks of distinctions should be conferred by the British Government, direct on its Native subjects instead of as formerly through the Court of Delhi	XXII	759	205, 206
	Resolution of Lord William Bentinck's Government on the subject of the grant of to British Native subjects	XXII	759	205, 206
)	Practice of granting — came actively and systemetically into use during Governor- Generalship of Lord Amherst	XXII	759	205, 206
· ]	Lord Canning's desputch of 1859 suggesting description of — to be granted and re- commending that they be in the disposal of the Queen's Representative in India	XXII	760	206, 207
8	Summary of chief points is elicited in connection with the history of the grant of titles in India and the powers on this behalf of Buling Chiefs and British Indian authorities	XXII	770	214, 215
(1)	Powers of the Viceroy.			
	Vicercy alone can grant Native — to Chiefe or Native British subjects in India	XXII	761,762	207, 208; 20
	Madras Government informed that Viceroy alone can confer titles	XXII	761	207, 208
	Bombay Government informed that Viceroy alone can confer titles	XXII	762	208
	to subjects of Native States. Viceroy may grant —	XXII	768, 769	212, 213 ; 21
(3)	Powers of Buling Chiefs in regard to granting	XXII	763—767	208-212
	Ruling Chiefs may grant — to their own subjects provided they do so with discretion	XXII	764	209, 210
	Buling Chiefs not to confer — on British subjects without the consent of the British Government	XXII	764	209, 210
	No — conferred by Ruling Chiefs on European British subjects can be recognised without the sanction of the Queen	XXII	765	210, 211
	conferred upon Native British subjects by Ruling Chiefs. The question of recognising — must be considered in each case on its merits	XXII	768	211, 212
	Ruling Chiefs not to propose — for British subjects in their service, the same as those conferred by the Viceroys	XXII	767	<b>3</b> 12
	to subjects of Native States. Ruling Chiefs not as a rule consulted in regard to —.  They may, have be consulted when the proposed titles have a quasi-official meaning	XXII	768	212, 213
	the grant of — by a Ruling Native Chief to subjects of another Native State is inadmissible (see foot-note)	XXII	903	228, 229
(3)	Hereditary Titles.			
••	hereditary. Granted only under very exceptional circumstances	XXII	760	206, 207
	hereditary. Forms of sanad conferring	XXII	778	216, 217
	bereditary. Many — not expressly conferred or recognised by the British Government are in common use	XXII	774	217
	hereditary. Recognition by Government of - held by usage	XXII		205, 206 ; <b>2</b> 1
	A Local Government or Administration may not prohibit the recognition of a hereditary title without the authority of the Governor-General in Council	XXII	776	218
	of Madras Zamindars and Carnatic stipendiaries. Recognised by Government in 1890 as purely personal courtesy titles	XXII	779	219
	Confined to Mauning, Raja and Nawab and the like	XXII	781	220
	Inexpedient to grant unless it is probable that the descendants of the recipients will have the means of supporting the dignity	XXII	781, 783	220 ; 220 <b>, 2</b> 21

=				
	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Htle(s)	(3) Hereditary Tilles—continued.			
	Recognition of the title of "Khan Bahadur" conferred on Raja Amir Hasan of			
	Oudh as a — for special reasons	XXII	782	220
	Measures dropped for legalising settlements of property made to support —	XXII	784	221
	conferred by the British Government are personal unless stated to be hereditary  Summary of conclusions or recognition in regard to the grant of — by the British	XXII	785	221
	Government	XXII	786	221, 222
(4)	Particular titles.			
	of Prince. Only once conferred in India but its use occasionally permitted as a matter of courtesy	XXII	787, 788	222
	of Shahzada. Not to be perpetuated	XXII	789	222
	Royal. Perpetuation of — in India undesirable	xxii	790	222 <b>, 2</b> 23
	of Kunwar or Kumar. Younger sons of titular Maharajas not entitled to the designation	XXII	791	223
	of sons of titular Chiefs	XXII	791	223
	of Highness. Restricted to Ruling Chiefs who are entitled to a salute of not less than ten guns and to certain other persons specially selected	XXII	792	223, 224
	of His Excellency. Use of the — not permitted in addressing Native Chiefs or their subjects	XXII	792	223,224
	Proposal to accord the — to the Nizam's Minister as a personal distinction while in office, negatived	XXII	792	223, 224
(5)	Distribution of titles.	1		
	Native, distributed periodically	XXII	793	224, 225
	Recomendations for — to reach the Foreign Office not later than November 15 and April 15 as the case may be (see foot-note)	IIXX	793	224, 225
	should not be proposed for persons of inferior social position	IIXX	759, 794	20 <b>5, 2</b> 0 <b>6 ; 2</b> 2
	Literary. Establishment of - for eminent Hindu and Muhammadan literati	XXII	795	225, 226
(6)	Hereditary or personal — may be withdrawn for misconduct	XXII	797—802	227, 228
	Cases illustrating the above:-		1	
	Maulavi Izbar Husain, 1875	XXII	797	227
	The Raja of Puri, 1878	XXII	798	227
	Abdul Ali	IIZX	798 A	227
-	Anup Singh, 1893	XXII	799	227
	Sadik Hasan of Bhopal, 1885	XXII	800	227
	Raja Ram Singh of Banei, 1886	XXII	801	228
	Raja Mokham Singh of Partapner, 1893	IIXX	802	228
	Kunjan Menon	XXII	80 <b>3 A</b>	228
(7)	Ex-officio titles.	-		
	Use of — in British India permissible as a matter of courtesy in vernacular correspondence	XXII	804, 805	229; 229, 23
	Use of — not generally permissible in English official documents	XXII	805	229, 230
	Bombay Government informed that the Viceroy is unable to delegate to them the power to confer —	1 7 7 11	806	230, 231
,	Presidents and Vice-Presidents of Municipal and Local Committees in the Bombay Presidency allowed to use —	, <b>YY1</b>	806	230, 231
	Use of — as marks of official rank discouraged as tending to lower the value of title conferred as personal distinction	XXII	806, 807	230, 231; 23
	Orders of 1886 sanctioning use of — by Native officials of the Public Works Department cancelled in 1891	XXII	807	231
	Use of — in Native States by British and State and their recognition by Political officers in correspondence with Darbars	<b>A</b>	808	291
	Ruling of 1886 that an an-officio title is retained only during tenure of the office to	; {	1	001 000
	which it is appurtenant	XXII	808	231, 232

Title(a). (9) Micoellaneous.  Full ctyle and — should be used in addressing Ruiling and Titular Chiefs  Europees. Symbols of benow presented with title smades to Burness and description of titles  Total and the — (see Societable)				1		1
Foll style and —should be used in addressing Ruling and Titular Chiefs   Barmer. Symbols of boosen presented with title sanals to Burnese and description of titles   XXII   796   226		Subject.		Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Foll style and —should be used in addressing Ruling and Titular Chiefs   Barmer. Symbols of boosen presented with title sanals to Burnese and description of titles   XXII   796   226	Title(s). (8	) Miscellaneous.	<del> </del>			
tion of tibles			iefs	XXII	780	219,220
Initial of the — (see foot-note)			se and descrip-	7717	***	
Balges or medals not to be presented to recipients of Native titles in Italia				1		
Titular chiefs. Full style and titles to be used in addressing Raling and —					İ	}
Titular Chiefs. Ful style and titles to be used in addressing Ruling and —		•		ļ		
Tonk and Jaora Case, The.   Interference of the one State with another   II   22   28—33   and Jodhpur Cases (The), 1873-74. Armies of Native States   VI   130   140,150   and Lawa Case (The), 1873-74. Armies of Native States   VI   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   130   140,150   140			-	į		1
and Johhpur Cases (The), 1873-74. Armies of Native States			-			1
and Lawa Case (The), 1867. Dismemberment of States			•			
Causell of Regency, 1868   160, 191   1869. Prolongation of minority after Chief sitained age of 21		-				1
1899. Prolonguition of minority after Chief sitained age of 21			•			1
Extradition Testy amended	•			i		
Navab of -; reduction in his salute		•	***		· .	1
Tori Fatehpur Adoption Case (The), 1880. Succession recognised and widow adoption tacitly permitted in —  Tota Ram and Panna Lal, Case of, 1885-86. Procedure required to bring an accessed person on to British India before a British Court in State territory		•	***			
Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and Native States   11   13   14-17		**************************************			019	257—239
sen out of British India before a British Court in State torritory " " " " " " " " " " " XVIII 615 117, 118  Trade. Purchase of immoveable property in Native States by Europeans for purposes of — or occupation " " XX 703-705 171-173  Trading. Objections to Roling Chiefs — in British territory XIX 625-629 124-128  Traga or self-wounding. Practice of — in Kathiawar VII 192 212, 213  Transfor of a criminal case to an Agency Court accepted as fulfilling the objects of a committal XV 524 57  Transport, arrangements for, in Imperial Service Scheme I 2, 4 1, 2; 3-6  Travancore. The Liddell Case I 3 2, 3  Case of the Quilon Sepoy I 3 2, 3  Case of the Quilon Sepoy I 1 13 14-17  and Cochin Case (The), 1873-74. Isolation of Native States II 1 16 19, 20  French Decoration Case (The), 1884. Foreign Consuls and Native States III 1 16 19, 20  Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like V 81 105-107  Coinage of gold for — V 118 135, 136  Measures taken to restrict importation of arms VI 125 1445, 146  Proposals for postal unity VI 166 181, 183  Buccession. Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Chief or designating an heir-apparent XII 400 168, 169  and Cochin. European officials in - empowered in 1875 to try European British subjects in certain cases XIV 450 8, 9  and Cochin extradition rules, primá facie proof required in certain cases XIV 451 9, 10  Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy acase	Tori Faten	tacitly permitted in — Succession recognised and	widow adoption	x	334	112
Trading	Tota Ram a	son out of British Iudia before a British	Court in State	XVIII	615	117, 118
Tragg or self-wounding. Fractice of — in Kathiawar				xx	703705	171-173
Transfer of a criminal case to an Agency Court accepted as fulfilling the objects of a committal	Trading. C	bjections to Buling Chiefs — in British territory	*** ***	XIX	625-629	124-128
Transport, arrangements for, in Imperial Service Scheme	Traga or self	-wounding. Practice of — in Kathiawar	•••	VII	192	212, 213
Transport, arrangements for, in Imperial Service Scheme	Transfer of		ects of a com-	V 17	F04	
Travancore.       The Liddell Case          I       2,4       1,2;3—8         Proposed agreement of 1873 between — and Cochin         I       3       2,3         Case of the Quilon Sepoy          II       13       14—17         and Cochin Case (The), 1873-74.       Isolation of Native States        II       16       19,20         French Decoration Case (The), 1884.       Foreign Consuls and Native States        II       30       38—40         Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like        V       81       105—107         Coinage of gold for          VI       125       145, 146         Measures taken to restrict importation of arms         VI       125       145, 146         Proposals for postal unity          VI       166       181, 182         Succession.       Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Chief or designating an heir-apparent        XI       366       145, 146         Kharita Case, 1835.       Kharita seat by the Local Government         XII	<b></b>		•••			
Proposed agreement of 1873 between — and Cochin	<del>-</del>		***			1
Case of the Quilon Sepoy	Travancore		***	_		
and Cochin Case (The), 1873-74. Isolation of Native States II 16 19,20  French Decoration Case (The), 1884. Foreign Consuls and Native States II 30 38—40  Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like V 81 105—107  Coinage of gold for — V 118 135, 136  Measures taken to restrict importation of arms VI 125 145, 146  Proposals for postal unity VI 166 181, 183  Succession. Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Chief or designating an heir-apparent XII 400 168, 169  and Cochin. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in — XIV 450 8, 9  and Cochin. European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European British subjects in certain cases XIV 451 9, 10  and Cochin extradition rules, primá facie proof required in certain cases XVII 567 100, 101  Courts. Execution of decrees of — by Courts in British India VIII 602 112  Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy's case		•	***			
French Decoration Case (The), 1884. Foreign Consuls and Native States II 30 38—40  Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like V 81 105—107  Coinage of gold for — V 118 135, 136  Measures taken to restrict importation of arms VI 125 145, 146  Proposals for postal unity VI 166 181, 183  Succession. Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Chief or designating an heir-apparent XII 366 145, 146  Kharita Case, 1885. Kharita sent by the Local Government XII 400 168, 169  and Cochin. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in — XIV 450 8, 9  and Cochin extradition rules, primal facis proof required in certain cases XVII 587 100, 101  Courts. Execution of decrees of — by Courts in British India XVII 602 112  Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy's case			•••		<u> </u>	
Treaty provisions as to the employment of Europeans and the like V 81 105—107  Coinage of gold for — V 118 135, 136  Measures taken to restrict importation of arms VI 125 145, 146  Proposals for postal unity VI 166 181, 183  Succession. Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Chief or designating an heir-apparent XI 366 145, 146  Kharita Case, 1885. Kharita sent by the Local Government XII 400 168, 169  and Cochin. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in — XIV 450 8, 9  and Cochin. European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European British subjects in certain cases XIV 451 9, 10  and Cochin extradition rules, primá facis proof required in certain cases XVII 587 100, 101  Courts. Execution of decrees of — by Courts in British India VIII 602 112  Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy's case					ł	j
Coinage of gold for —						ł
Measures taken to restrict importation of arms			··· ···		}	
Proposals for postal unity			***			
Succession. Report to be made to Government of India before recognising a new Chief or designating an heir-apparent			***	-		1
new Chief or designating an heir-apparent		• •		V1	100	181, 183
and Cochin. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in —				ХI	366	145, 146
and Cochin. European officials in — empowered in 1875 to try European British subjects in certain cases XIV 451 9, 10  and Cochin extradition rules, primé facie proof required in certain cases XVII 587 100, 101  Courts. Execution of decrees of — by Courts in British India VIII 602 112  Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy's case XX 708 174, 175  Title of Maharaja granted by sanad XXI 740 194, 195  Chief of, Increase to his salute as a mark of favour XXII 818 237—239  Treaty(ies). Objection to treaty negotiations touching jurisdiction over European British subjects. The Liddell case		Kharita Case, 1885. Kharita sent by the Local Government	***	XII	400	168, 109
British subjects in certain cases XIV 451 9, 10  and Cochin extradition rules, primal facial proof required in certain cases XVII 587 100, 101  Courts. Execution of decrees of — by Courts in British India VIII 602 112  Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy's case		and Cochin. Jurisdiction over European British subjects in -		XIV	<b>4</b> 50	8, 9
Courts. Execution of decrees of — by Courts in British India VIII 602 112  Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy's case				XIV	451	9, 10
Question of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Travancore State in Quilon Sepoy's case		and Cochin extradition rules, prima facie proof required in certa	in cases	XVII	587	100, 101
Sepoy's case XX 708 174, 175  Title of Maharaja granted by sanad XXI 740 194, 195  Chief of, Increase to his salute as a mark of favour XXII 818 237—239  Treaty(ies). Objection to treaty negotiations touching jurisdiction over European British subjects. The Liddell case		Courts. Execution of decrees of - by Courts in British India.	•••	VIII	602	112
Chief of, Increase to his salute as a mark of favour XXII 818 237—239  Treaty(ies). Objection to treaty negotiations touching jurisdiction over European British subjects. The Liddell case				xx	708	174, 175
Treaty(ies). Objection to treaty negotiations touching jurisdiction over European British subjects. The Liddeli case I 3 2, 3		Title of Maharaja granted by sanad		IXZ	740	194, 195
jecta. The Liddeli case		Chief of, Increase to his salute as a mark of favour		IIXX	818	237—239
Documentary claims may be set aside by overt acts I 5 6, 7	Treaty(ies).			ī	3	2, 3
		Documentary claims may be set aside by overt acts		r	. 5	6, 7

	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Treaty(ies)	not to be entered into by Political officers without sanction. The Kutch case, 1874-75	II	17	20
	Immaterial, whether obligations of Native States are recorded in — or in sanads.  Rewa and Schuwal case	11	20	24—26
	Provisions of various — cited regarding the employment of Europeans or Americans in Native States	v	81, 84	105—107, 109
	Omission of clause relating to employment of Europeans in treaties with Jaipur, Jodhpur and Dholpur	v	85	109, 110
	Control of entertainment of foreigners by Native States does not depend on treaty stipulations	v	86, 90, 94 (2)	110; 112, 113; 115
	Provisions of various cited regarding slavery and dealing in slaves	V	101	152
	not required for cessions of railway jurisdiction	VI	171	186, 137
	need not stipulate that the jurisdiction of a Native Government shall cease in British Cantonments or State territory	VIZ	430	29, 20
	Beasons for not making extradition treaties or conventions with subordinate States	172	500 <b>, 559, t</b> fo	74, 75; £1, &3; £5
	Sanads and kharitas preferred to — as the means of placing on record an under- standing arrived at with a Native State	XXI	711	178
	or conventions with petty States are not approved	XXI	711	178
	Exclusion of India from participation in — with Foreign Powers	XXI	711	178
	unless personal should be drawn so as to bind the successors of the contracting Chief	XXI	713	178, 179
	Question whether the Governor of a Presidency can ratify a treaty	IZZ	713	179, 180
	Orders of 1884 addressed to Bombay prescribe signature but are silent on the subject of sealing	ıxx	714	180
	Central India. Sealing of treaties concerning certain territorial exchanges with Sindhia	XXI	714	130
	Orders relating to the scaling of	XXI	714	189
	Rajputana extradition. Importance attached to the being duly sealed	XXI	714	180
	may be executed in triplicate	ZXI	719	181
Ti estes and	l agreements. Execution of with Native States	ZXI	711757	178-204
	Instructions of 1884 relating to the execution of —	XXI	712	178, 179
	Government of India attach much importance to the observance of the formalities which have been recognised as applicable to the execution of — with Native States	XXI	712	178, 179
	Procedure for the execution of — with Native States	XXI	712	178, 179
	un' of they are intended to be personal to a Chief, should be so drawn as to bind his successors	XXI	712	178, 179
	with Native States must be submitted for ratification by the Viceroy or approval and confirmation by the Government of India	XXI	713	179, 180
	Instructions of 1884 communicated to the Punjab Government in 1887 and 1892 in the cases of the Chamba Forest lease and the waif timber agreement with Maudi	XXI	713	179, 180
	with Native States. Instructions of 1868 repeated in 1878	XXI	713	179, 180
	Instructions of 1884 compared with the instructions of 1868	XXI	713	179, 180
	No objection to the translation being marginally noted in vernacular; but they must be executed in English, and the English version can slone be taken as the accepted text	IZZ	715, 716, 717	180—181
	Only rermanent transactions should be recorded in —. Territorial exchanges with Sindhia	IXX	718	181
	Lay be executed in triplicate. Excise agreement of junior Chiefs of Karundwar	XXI	719	181
	Right of the British Government to catch, collect and dispose of waif timber on that portion of the River Reas which pusses through Mandi territory. Legal style in which the	XXI	720	181, 182
	document was drafted	ZZI	720	181, 182
	The question of form is not without importance	A 44		

	•			
	Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Treaties and agreements	with Ruling Chiefs are acts of State. The Banganapalle succession case, 1870	IXX	720, 721	181, 182; 182, 183
	Construction of law which applies to inams has no application to a sanad of succession to a Native State	IXX	721	182, 183
	The Governor-General in Council may not, except in certain specified contingencies, enter into a treaty with a Native State for the purposes either of making war upon another Native State or of guaranteeing the possessions of any Pative State	XXI	722	183, 194
	made by the East India Company are binding on Her Majesty.  The old statutory provisions which conferred powers on the Company in this respect are still in force	XXI	722	183, 184
	Importance attached by the Government of India to the principle that no engagements should be entered into by Political officers with Native States except under instructions from the Government of India in the Foreign Department	XXI	723	184
	As a general rule Political officers should execute no — without previous reference to and sanction by the Government of India	XXI	703	184, 185
	Shukh Othman case, Aden, 1882. Restrictions on the power of Political Officers to negotiate treaties and agreements	xxi	724	184, 185
	Except in cases of very great urgency, the sanction of the Government of India should be obtained to the policy, and, as far as possible, to the terms of any agreement which it is proposed to make with a Native State, before the Government is in any way committed	XXI	724	184, 185
	A Political officer not only has no power to enter upon — with Native States, but he should further ascertain the wizhes of Government before making any formal proposals for — to Darbars	XXI	725	185, 123
	The fact that a Chief is a minor does not preclude him from making — with the British Government	IXZ	727	186
	Treaties are ratified by the Viceroy; but formal agreements are merely approved and confirmed by the Government of India under the signature of the Foreign Secretary	XXI	729, 730, 731	187—133
	An agreement approved and confirmed by the Covernment of India, is complete and binding without a kharita from the Viceroy	ixz	781	163
	Except in the particular of ratification by the Viceroy, formal agreements should be executed in accordance with the rules prescribed for the execution of treaties	ZZI	732	188, 169
	In many understandings with Native States it is expedient to avoid conventions and similar formal agreements	XXI	733	189, 190
	In the case of small States or of petty transactions with any States, formal agreements are often unnecessary and it is usually expedient to avoid them	XXI.	739, 784, 735	189,190;190; 190, 191
	In making arrangements between the Paramount Power and subordinate States it is well to avoid the forms of international—	XXI	787, 738	191, 192, 193
	Supersession of treaties by an instrument which does not take the form of a treaty	XXI	738	192, 193
	An engagement which consists of a grant, concession or re- ward to a Ruling Chief, proceeding from the grace and favour of the British Government, may appropriately be expressed in the form of a sanad or of a document of the		<b></b>	100 100 100
	nature of a sanad Sanads are used to confer or confirm territorial possessions	XXI		192, 193; 193, 194; 194, 195
	and to grant powers, honours and rewards  Kharitas are now often used for treaty purposes. See "Sanads	771	740	194, 195
	and Kharitae."	XXI	741, 742, 743	195—196
	§ 215, and § 823	XXI	745 13	196, 197 14-17
	duced the parties to conclude them	( ZZI	745 745	196, 197 198, 197
	cannot bind the British Government to permit misrule		83 745	48, 49 196, 197
	No treaty, sound or formal agreement must be taken to include any obligation beyond what is clearly stated in it	{	328	103-106

	Subject.				Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Treaties and agreements.	assents by accepting the grant					746	197, 198
	In Indian Political Le	aw facts are ice shows ac	everything tual relation	. Uniform and	XXI	746	197, 198
``````````````````````````````````````	No special form of agr jurisdiction. It is en specified	eement is p	rescribed for	bould be clearly	'İ .		
	The terms of an agreen out the formal altera	ent may be	varied by		1 222	747	198
	Participation of India British Government	in comme	rcial treation	•••		748 750757	199-204
	Exclusion of India fr	om the co	mmercial tr		1	751	199, 200
	India desires in 1834 to mercial treaty with P	be exclude araguay	d from the	proposed com-	XXI	752	200, 201
	Secretary of State in 18 cipation of India in Mexico	85 withdraw the propos	vs his assen	ial treaty with	[	***	
	India desires in 1885 to	withdraw f	rom the Sal	vador commer-	XXI	753 754	201, 202
	The Gos commercial t separating the State	reaty of 18	78 is not :	precedent for		# US	202
	Foreign Powers	***	***	*46 000	XXI	755	202, 203
Treaty. See "Extradition Trea	Treaty-making powers of ties."	of Local Go	vernments	400 000	IXX	723	184
Treaty-making powers of t	he Governor-General in (	Council		444	XXI	722	183, 184
	ocal Governments			*** 45.	XXI	723	184
Trials, Political. The Manipur t	rials were acts of State	*49	***	*** ***	- 1	9	10, 11
under British authority w	hen acts of State	•••	•••	***	1	14 (10)	17, 18
Tributary Mahals of Oriss	a. Title of Raja grante	d by sanad	***	***	xxı	740	194, 195
See " Ori	880 Tributary Mahals " 2	nd " Orissa	Tributary S	tates."	{		-
Troops. Movements of - into N	ative States in emergencie	s See 4 Mi	litary aid to	Nutive States."	}		
Turkish Order of the Medj	jidi. Insignia of the -	sent to the	Nawab of	Rampar	11	30	38-40
Turkish Consulate Case. H	yderabad (The), 1893	•••	***	490	11	81	40, 41
•	U						
Udaipur. See "Mewar" Case (1	The), 1863. Barbarous p	ractices	•••	***	17	54	74-76
• <del>-</del>	or supply of ammunition		***	***	VI	127	146, 147
•	Question of jurisdictio		•••	***	vi	174	189, 190
(Bengal). Imprisonment and confiscation of	ent of the Chief of — and the State	l his brother	r for murder 	and homicide,	VII	229	238
(Bengal). Begrant o	f the State to the younge	er brother o	f the Chief	of Sarguja	VII	229	238
(Bengal). The esches	t of — in 1852 never ackn	owledged by	the Suprem	e Government	VII	232	239, 240
Succession, 1874. Pr a lineal heir by bloc	rocedure in Rajputana wood or adoption	vhen a Chi	ef dies wi	thout leaving	X	824	97, 98
Succession. Election ment of India	of Fatch Singh, 1884, in	valid till sa	nctioned by 	the Govern-	12	365	144, 145
Succession, 1874. Prohis successor	evention of Sati. Ascert	tainment of	wishes of C	hief regarding	XI	872	150-152
(Bengal). Liable to	nazarana, though subject	to periodica	il revision o	ftribute	XII	391	164
Khilat and kharita ca					XII	898	167—168
	1875. Presided over by t				XIII	425	190, 191
administrative exper	laced on the Chief's prience  n the authority of new Cl	***	•••	s he gained	Z1:1	429 435	193, 194
					XIII		197, 198

	1	1	
Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Udaipur. Extradition treaty amended	XVI	549	72-74
Chief of —; increase to his salute as a mark of favour	XXII	818	237—239
Umaria Coal Fields. Ceded jurisdiction in the	xv	522	55, 56
Umeths, Case of the Thakur of. Refusal of leave to sue a Ruling Chief (foot-note)	xix	631	128, 129
Usage. Great prominence given to — in questions of political law	VII	215	227-229
The value of the evidence of - in deciding questions of sovereignty	VII	215	227-229
Weight attached to in the Calcutta High Court in deciding the status of the			
Orissa Mahals	VII	221	233, 234
Importance of — in questions of sovereignty	AII	240	250—252
	,		
<b>Y</b>			
Vrijeshji Vrijinathji, Kathiawar. Case of referred to	xv	492	86, 87
Vrijeshji Vrijnathji, Maharaja, of Nawanagar. Case of —, 1882. Delegation of juris-		,	
diction	XV	524	57
Vizianagram. Maharaja of —; his personal and now local salute	XXII	811	233, 234
Vakils. Native Chiefs or their subjects not permitted to be represented by—in political matters	XIX	667—671	150—152
Virsoda Case, 1894. Disputed successions in Native States should be decided by political authorities and not referred to Civil Courts	XI	868	146
W			
Wadhwan has accepted postal unity	VI.	166	181, 182
station declared not to be British territory	xv	523	56, 57
Wakns. Cession of — to Keonthal	VIII	256	17—19
Walker's (Colonel) assurances to the Kathiawar Chiefs	VII	194	214, 215
Walter (Colonel) does not accept the view of Sir Lepel Griffin regarding the character of the Bhils in Ali Rajpur	vi	147	162, 163
Waniad. Cession of the village of — to Idar	VIII	251	12, 13
Ward (Mr.). Endeavour of the Hyderabad State to eject - from a village leased by him in	XX	705	173
Warrants. Execution of — in Mysore when issued by Courts in British territory (see foot-note)			14-17
of State Criminal Courts do not run in British territory nor warrants of British	{xviii	13 616A	118, 119
Criminal Courts in State territory which is not under British jurisdiction	XVIII	615, 616	117, 118 ; 118
of British Criminal Courts. If a State chooses to give effect to — there can be no objection provided reciprocity in respect of such processes is not claimed and observed	XVIII	616	118
for arrest of persons accused in criminal cases. Proposed reciprocity in execution of — by all British Courts whether in State or British territory	XVIII	619	120
See "Processes."		1	
Western Malwa. Orders of 1888 regarding interjurisdictional cases in	xv	507	45, 46
Wheaton. Quotation from — regarding national jurisdiction	ı ı	8	2, 3
referred to as against the extradition of deserters	XVI	548	72
Widow Adoption. Beri Jagir, Bundelkhand. Desire of the widow to adopt overruled (see foot-note)	x	833	111, 112
The Sandur Case, 1879 and 1885	x	333	111,112
Cases in which it has been expressly or tacitly permitted	x	834	112
The widow of the Raja of Lunawara permitted to adopt a successor (see foot-note)	x	334	112
Similar permission given to the widow of the Chief of Sunth (see footnote)	<b>x</b> -	834	112
Adoption by the widow of the Raja of Kolhapur sanctioned by Government (see foot-note)	. x	834	112

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Widow Adoption. Adoption by a widow permitted in a Muhammadan family (foot-note			
and § 303)	X	834	112
The Chhelier Case 1809-00	X	835, 836	112, 118; 113
Case of a widow who was held to be disqualified for adopting owing to	X	837	113
her false allegation of pregnancy. The Chhaliar Case, 1888-89	X	837	113
The Jath Succession Case, 1892	X	<b>838</b>	113, 114
§ 335, § 336 and § 339	XI.	857	139, 140
A lady holding the position of Regent cannot confer political power by making an adoption. Lunawara Case, 1849 (see foot-note)	XIII	417	184
Wilayatis. Employment of - in the military service of Native States	vı	143, 149	159, 160 ; 164
Bhopal reference, 1888, regarding deportation of —	vı	148	163, 164
Wilkinson's (Messrs. A. and C. E. O.) Cases, Hyderabad. Employment of Europeans in Native States referred to in foot-note			
Williams (Dr.). Claim of — against the Burmese Government. Exception taken to the	V	<b>88</b> .	111, 112
Besident at Mandalay acting as arbitrator in the case	XX	686	161
Will(s) of a Ruling Chief making provision by way of jagir for younger sons might call for no interference	18	29 <b>3,</b> 295	61 52, ; 54
made by Buling Chiefs in respect to the devolution of their States are not recognised by the British Government	ıx	295	54
of Bajan Khan. Division of the Jabria Bhil estate in accordance with —	IX	803	61
of Nawab Jahangir Muhammad Khan of Bhopal set aside	xI	845	126, 127
of Chiefs would carry weight as signifying the wishes of the deceased	XI	847	128-129
of Darri Chief governed as evidence of his wishes	XI	847	128-129
of Jam Wir Khan of Lee Rele set saids	XI	849	129, 180
of the Nameh of Sevenny set seids		851	
of Mis Ali Mored of Khairpar set seida	XI		131, 133
Wills disposing of rights of sovereignty. It is inexpedient for Ruling Chiefs	XI	858	184136
to make —	1X	290—295	48 54
The Kapurthala Case, 1869	IX	291	49, 50
The Ali Rajpur Case. (Jaswant Siogh's will)	IX.	292	50, 51
Supposed will of the Maharaja of Kashmir, 1883-84	ıx	293	51, 52
The will of the Nawab of Amb, 1889	ıx	294	52-54
The Maler Kotla succession case not	**	254	0209
. a precedent for upholding —	IX	297	5456
are not recognised for this purpose by the Paramount Power	XI	854	136, 137
See "Native States," head (16).	1	}	
Vilson, Philip, Case of, Hyderabad, 1886. Jurisdiction over European British subjects. The effect of the Nizam's sanad, 1861	XIV	449	7, 8
Vitnesses. The mere service of a summons does not imply that compulsory measures may be used to compel the attendance of the —	XVIII	614 (5)	116, 117
Objections to compelling attendance of — from British territory to appear before State Courts	XVIII	617	119, 120
Attendance of — before the Criminal Courts of Native States in the Bombay Presidency	XVIII	617	119, 120
Refusal of the Government of India to arrange for reciprocity with Native States in the matter of the attendance of — before Criminal Courts	XVIII	617	119, 120
resident in Native States. Attendance of — before Criminal Courts in British India	IIIVX	617	119, 120
Commissions for the examination of — in criminal cases	XVIII	620	120, 121
Objections to any wide extension of the system of obtaining the evidence of — in criminal cases by Commissions	IIIVX	620	120, 121
2001-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000		]	98

## POLITICAL PRACTICE.

Subject.	Chapter.	Paragraph.	Page.
Z			
Zanana. Precautions for the safety of the — on the occasion of the decease of a Chief	XII	374, 375	153, 154; 154
Precautions taken to prevent egress from the — until the funeral of a Chief was	} x11	372 375 374 382	150, 152 154 153, 154 156
Zanzibar. Liability of the Sultan's trading vessels to the operation of the Native Passenger Ships Act	XIX	654	140
Sultan of — has a salute of 21 guns in British India	XXII	813	235
classed as independent by Sir Charles Aitchison in 1873	I	13	14-17
Zorawar Singh; his rebellion in Jodhpur, 1872	IX	307	65-67
Zulfikar-ul-Mulk, the Nizam's paternal uncle. Question of his succeeding to the Chiefship	XI	342	118—122