

Report on the Judicial Administration in the Central Provinces & Berar (CIVIL) for the Calendar Year

for the Calendar Year 1929

\* \*

\*

NAGPUR:
PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS
1930

#### Agents for the sale of Publications issued by the Central Provinces Government.

[Note.—Appointments of all Official Agents in the United Kingdom and on the Continent for the sale of Government Publications have been terminated with effect from the 1st April 1925.

All publications in future are obtainable either direct from the High Commissioner for India, India House Aldwych, London, W. C. 2, or through any bookseller.]

#### INDIA.

#### AKOLA:

The Proprietor, Shri Ramdas Stores, Tainapeth

#### ALLAHABAD:

Mr. Ram Narain Lal, Publisher, etc., 1, Bank Road.

The Superintendent, Nazair Kanun Hind Press.

#### AMRAOTI:

Thakur & Co., Booksellers.

Mr. M. C. Kothari, Bookseller and Publisher, Raopura Road.

#### BILASPUR:

Mr. M. A. Subhan, Bookseller and Publisher.

#### BOMBAY:

D. B. Taraporevala, Sons & Co., 190, Hornby Road, Fort.

Radhabai Atmaram Sagoon

Sunder Pandurang, 25, Kalbadevi Road.

Thacker & Co., Ltd.

#### CALCUTTA:

The Book Company, 4/4-A, College Street.

Butterworth & Co. (India), Ltd., 8-2, Hastings Street.

The Indian School Supply Depôt. M. C. Sircar & Sons, 75, Harrison Road.

Newman & Co.

R. Cambray & Co. S. K. Lahiri & Co.

Thacker, Spink & Co.

#### COOCH BEHAR:

Babu S. C. Taluqdar, Proprietor, Students & Co.

#### DELHI:

The Oxford Book and Stationery Co.

#### JUBBULPORE:

The Manager, General Book Depôt.

#### KARACHI:

The Standard Bookstall,

#### KHANDWA:

The Proprietor, Shri Ramdas Stores, Khandwa Branch.

LAHORE: Rai Sahib M. Gulab Singh & Sons.

#### LUCKNOW:

The Proprietor, Newal Kishore Press.

The Upper India Publishing House, Ltd., 41, Aminabad Park.

#### MADRAS:

Higginbotham & Co.

Murthy & Co., Post Box No. 152.

The Proprietor, The City Book Co., Post Box No. 283.

#### NAGPUR:

Aidan Binzani, Proprietors, Kar-

mavir Book Depôt, Mahal. Mr. G. G. Khot, Walker Road.

Mr. G. A. Shastri, Bookseller and Publisher, Hislop College Road.

The Manager, General Book Depôt. The Manager, "The Hitavada".

The Proprietor, ne Proprietor, Bhisey Brothers, Booksellers and Publishers.

#### PATNA:

The Patna Law Press Co.

#### POONA;

The Proprietors, New Kitabkhana.

#### RANGOON:

The Superintendent. American Baptist Mission Press.

#### SIMLA:

Thacker, Spink & Co.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS.

#### A.—TRIBUNALS.

Tribunals			Para.	5
i ribunais	***	•••	1—5	1 & 2
B.—Orio	ginal Juri	SDICTION.		
Institution of suits	• • • •	•••	6-9	2 & 3
Valuation of suits	•••	***	10	3
Small Cause Courts	•••	•••	11	3 & 4
Distribution of suits	***	***	12	4
Durations	•••		13	4 & 5
Pending files			14	5
Executions			15	5 & 6
Insolvency		•••	16—17	6
C.—Appe	LLATE JUR	ISDICTION.		
Appellate work in the Judio Court.			18	6 & 7
Appellate work in the Dist	rict Judge	es' Courts	19	7
D.—I	Miscellan	EOUS.		
The Bar	***	•••	20	8
Guardians and Wards Act	• • •		21	ib.
Usurious Loans Act	•••		22	ib.
Copying Branch	***	•	23	ib.
Records and Record-rooms		•••	24	8 & 9
Ministerial Service			25	9
Working of the Nazarat		•••	26-28	9 & 10
The trial of tenancy suits by	Revenue	officers	29	10
Buildings			30	10 & 11
Furniture and Libraries		•••	31	11
Inspections	•••	•••	32	11 & 12
Judicial Services and charge	of office	•••	33—35	12

#### APPENDICES.

#### PRESCRIBED STATEMENTS.

- No. 25.—Tribunal statement.
  - , 26.-Number of civil cases decided.
  - ,, 27.-Number and description of suits instituted.
  - , 28.—Number and value of suits instituted.
  - ,, 29.—Result of trials of civil suits.
  - ., 30.—Result of trials of miscellaneous caser.
  - ., 31.—Appeals.
  - ., 32.—Miscellaneous appeals.

Additional statements.

#### REPORT.

#### ON THE

Administration of Civil Justice in the Central Provinces and Berar during the calendar year 1929.

#### A.—TRIBUNALS.

The temporary post of the fourth Additional Judicial No. 25. Commissioner continued throughout the year. It has been made permanent from the 1st May 1930. number of District Judges increased from 11 to 12 owing to the constitution of Buldana as a separate Civil district.

During the year under report there were temporary Courts of Additional Subordinate Judges at the places and for the periods shown below: -

Subordinate Judges, 1st Class.

rvagpur	•••	1-1-27 10 10-29	
Hoshangaba	ıd	8-2-29 to 31-7-29	
Harda	•••	8-8-29 to 23-12-29	
Yeotmal		1-1-29 to 31-1-29	
Akola		20-6-29 to 23-11-29	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
()	uborainate Ii	dges, 2nd Class.	
Nagpur		16-6-29 to 31-12-29	
Wardha	•••	2-1-29 to 11-7-29	
Khurai		9-9-29 to 23-12-29	
Khandwa		6-8-29 to 31-12-29	
Amraoti		1-1-29 to 31-12-29	
	***		•
Daryapur		1-1-29 to 23 12-29	
Akola		1-1-29 to 30-4-29	
Basim		1-1-29 to 31-12-29	
Khamgaon	•••	1-1-29 to 31-12-29	
Rhamgaon	•••	1-1-27 10 31-12-29	

In addition to these Courts, Judges out of the leave reserve were utilized, when available, to relieve conges-They were employed as Additional Judges at Nagpur, Saoner, Khandwa, Burhanpur, Bilaspur, Wun. Ellichpur, Yeotmal, Buldana, Malkapur and Jalgaon.

The temporary Court of the Additional Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, and Additional District Judge opened at Akola with effect from the 16th June 1924 was made permanent from the 16th June. Tahsildar, Baihar, continued to try money suits of the Baihar tahsil not exceeding Rs. 200 in value. arrangement works fairly well and has been continued for another year with effect from the 1st December 1929. The linked Court system between Betul and Multai and between Narsinghpur and Jubbulpore continued throughout the year. In autumn the staff of Judges was depleted by illness, while the need for additional Judges at places where files were congested continued to exist.

Accordingly, from 1st September, the Court at Banda was closed for a period of one year; from 10th September the linked Court system between Kelapur and Wun was reintroduced and from the 1st October was also established between Mungeli and Bilaspur for a period of

one year.

4. West Berar was divided into two Civil districts, viz., Akola and Buldana, with effect from the 4th November. The headquarters of the Buldana civil district will remain at Akola until the Civil Court building at Buldana is extended. 62 Tahsildars and 21 Naib-Tahsildars exercised civil powers as against 64 and 21, respectively, in the previous year. The Court of the Honorary Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, Saraipalli, which was temporarily closed from the 16th June 1928 owing to the ill-health of Thakur Lal Bahadursing, Zamindar of Phuljhar, was reopened from the 15th January 1929.

5. 152 new Panchayat Courts were established during the year under the Village Panchayat Act, 1920; of these 90 were in the Central Provinces and 62 in Berar, while one Panchayat Court in Bilaspur was abolished and one in Balaghat did not function. The total number of these Courts in existence at the end of the year was 369, viz., 226 in the Central Provinces and 143 in Berar.

The increase is a marked one.

### B.—ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

Statement 6. Institutions under three main heads in the years No. 27. 1926, 1927, 1928 and 1929 are compared below:—

		1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.
1.	Central Provinces. Suits for money and movable property.	57,917	70,010	76,481	76,022
2. 3.	Suits under the rent law Title and other suits	10,784 7,875	13,068 8,261	12,756 9,227	13,848 9,889
	Total	76,576	91,339	98,464	99,759
1.	Berar. Suits for money and movable property.	31,838	44,902	48,118	36,900
2. 3.	Suits under the rent law Title and other suits	144 4,66 <b>7</b>	197 5,991	236 <b>7,</b> 456	189 7,465
	Total	36,649	51,090	55,810	44,554
	GRAND TOTAL	113,225	142,429	154,274	144,313

The total institution has decreased by 9,961 but 31,088 more than it was in the year 1926. In the Central Provinces it has increased by 1,295, and in Berar while it has decreased by it is still 7,905 more than in the year 1926. In the Central Provinces there is a marked fall in Nagpur and Wardha districts and a marked rise in the Saugor, Jubbulpore and Raipur districts. In Berar the fall is shared by all the three districts. The rise in the Central Provinces is confined to suits under the rent law and to title and other suits. The fall in Berar is mainly in suits for money and movable property. Suits for money and movable property have fallen markedly in the Nagpur and Wardha districts and Berar. On the other hand, they have increased in the Saugor district, from 7.785 to 9,730. Jubbulpore and Saugor are mainly responsible for the rise in suits under the Rent Law, a rise which may be due to the local failure of crops.

7. Fall of institutions in Nagpur, Wardha and Berar is, in the Judicial Commissioner's opinion. probably, connected with the fall in prices of cotton. The District Judge, Raipur, attributes the rise there to favourable agricultural seasons and to settlement opera-

tions being in progress.

8. More than 80 per cent of the general decline

in suits is confined to suits not over Rs. 500 in value.

9 266 suits were pending in Village Panchayat Courts at the end of the previous year. 5,453 were instituted during the year as against 3,416 in 1928. 5,220 suits were disposed of leaving 499 pending at the end of the year. Out of 5,453 suits instituted during the year, the highest institution, 868 (as against 200 in 1928), was from the Seoni district where the number of such Courts was 43.

10. Valuation of suits.—The total value of suits Statement instituted in 1929 was Rs. 4,87,54,655 and the average value per suit was Rs. 338 against Rs. 5,68,65,390 and Rs. 369, respectively, during the year 1928.

The average value of suits in the Central Provinces proper was Rs. 274 against an average value of Rs. 481

in Berar.

11. Small Cause Courts.—Of the total number of suits for money and movable property, 92,777 or 82.2 per cent were instituted in Small Cause Courts as against 102,277 or \$2.1 per cent in the preceding year. The

total value and the average value fell from Rs. 1,18,04,961 and Rs. 115 to Rs. 1,02,46,706 and Rs. 110, respectively. 101,109 suits were tried by summary procedure as against 100,057 in 1928. Of suits disposed of, 14.5 per cent were contested as against 15.3 in 1928.

Statement No. 29. 12. Distribution of suits.—The percentage of suits disposed of by each class of court in 1928 and 1929 was—

		1928.	1929.
District Judge	,	• •2	.3
Subordinate Judge, 1st Class		3.7	3.1
Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class		30.8	31.1
Small Cause Court	•••	65.3	65:5

13. Durations.—The average durations in 1928 and 1929 are compared below: —

		Central P	rovinces.	Berar.		
Year.	į	Contested.	Uncon- tested.	Contested.	Uncon- tested.	
		S	mall Causes.		-	
1928 1929	•••	117.4	100·2 102·0	152·1 168·1	117·1 120·3	
			Others.			
1928 1929		213 <sup>.</sup> 9   214 <sup>.</sup> 2	98·6 100·6	263·6 256·1	138·5 131·2	
			Total.	•		
1928 1929	•••	163·2 166·3	99·7 101·6	214·0 219·2	122·7 123·2	

Durations of both contested and uncontested suits still continue to increase. In Berar the increase is marked, from 152.1 to 168.1 in contested Small Cause Court suits. The duration of contested suits has increased in all districts except Saugor, Nimar. Rainur and Akola. The increase is considerable in the Nagpur, Bhandara and Buldana districts. Average duration in uncontested suits has also increased in all districts except Nimar, Raipur, East Berar and Akola. There is a large increase in the Bhandara and Buldana Variations in duration appear to depend largely on the promptness with which a Judge could be supplied when files become congested. The Judicial Commissioner is satisfied that every effort is being made by the Judges to reduce duration but the fact that it is not being reduced as much as it might be is due to the congested state of files owing to abnormally heavy institutions during the last three years. The Department has now, however, a reserve of Judges and there is good ground for expecting that the decrease in the number of pending cases will result in a decrease in duration in the near future.

- 14. Pending files.—The total number of suits pending at the beginning of the year was 52,842\* as against 8,023 at the beginning of the year 1928. 144,312 suits were instituted and 3,845 were reinstituted during the year as against 154,274 and 3,777 in 1928. The total number of suits for disposal before the Courts was 200,999 as against 206,074 in 1928. Of these, 154,256 were disposed of as against 153,231 in 1928, leaving a balance of 46,743 as against 52,843. The pending file in the Central Provinces has increased from 29,793 to 30,386, while in Berar it has decreased from 23,050 to 16,357. increase in the pending file is shared by Jubbulpore, Saugor, Hoshangabad, Chhindwara and Raipur districts. It is gratifying to note that the totai files have decreased by 6,100. This is due to satisfactory disposals given by the Judges, the posting of Additional Judges to places where files were congested During the year the the fall in institutions. The number of Judges worked at very heavy pressure. suits pending over six months has slightly decreased in the Central Provinces, from 3,357 to 3,306, while in Berar there is a substantial decrease, from 2,999 to 2,379. The number of suits pending over one year has increased from 628 to 789 in the Central Provinces but in Berar it has decreased from 712 to 619.
- 15. Executions.—The number of applications before the Courts in 1929 was 200,421 as against 191,922 in the previous year, thus showing an increase by 8,499. The number of disposals throughout the province rose from 144,940 to 151,060, i.e., by 6120. The pending file increased from 46,982 to 49,361 but the number of cases over six months fell from 3974 to 3831. percentage of cases in which satisfaction was obtained in full was 16.2 as against 16.7, and 61.4 per cent of the applications were wholly infructuous as against 60.5. The amount realized in execution of decrees was Rs. 56,26,731 as against Rs. 58,48,393 in the previous vear. The number of judgment-debtors imprisoned

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes one suit; vide foot-note (a) in Statement No. 29.

was 372 as against 291 in the preceding year. As there is reason to believe that agricultural land when sold during the rains in execution of decrees by Civil Courts fetches a low price, instructions have been issued to Civil Courts in Berar that sales of such lands should not ordinarily be held during that season. The desirability of issuing such instructions to the Courts in the Central Provinces is under consideration.

16. Insolvency.—4,207 petitions were presented by debtors and 320 by creditors during the year as against 3,793 and 489, respectively, in the previous year. The rise is shared by all districts except Saugor and Hoshangabad in the Central Provinces and Akola and Buldana in Berar. 48 petitions were withdrawn under section 14. 1,585 were dismissed under section 25 and 2,914 were disposed of by order of adjudication. 759 insolvents were discharged absolutely and 276 conditionally. Orders of adjudication of 1,005 insolvents were annulled and 8 insolvents died during the year.

17. The application of the Act has been found to be helpful in giving relief to honest debtors and it seems advantageous both to the debtors and creditors. The working of the Act is, on the whole, satisfactory. Insolvency work has considerably increased and the appointment of whole time Judges for the trial of these cases at certain important stations in the Province is a matter which is to receive the attention of the Judicial

Commissioner.

#### C.—APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

Statements Nos. 31 and 32.

18. Judicial Commissioner's Court.—The table below compares the figures for civil Appellate work in the years 1928 and 1929:—

And the second s		1928.		1929,			
	Institu- tions.	Dis- posals.	Pend- ing.	Institu- tions.	Dis- posals.	Pend- ing.	
Second Appeals Miscellaneous Appeals	257 1,014 109 1,380	199 789 97 1,085	360 1,325 60 1,745	225 1,210 111 1,546	1,000 94 1,363	316 1,535 77 1,928	

Total institutions have increased from 1,380 to 1,546. The number of Second Appeals has risen from 1,014 to 1,210. There is a slight fall in First Appeals from 257 to 225. In addition to the above institutions, 996 Civil

revisions and 171 Miscellaneous Petitions were filed as against 888 and 271, respectively, in 1928. Civil Revisions and 176 Miscellaneous Petitions disposed of as against 780 and 221 respectively in 1928. In spite of the good disposals given by the Judges the pending file has increased from 1,745 to 1,928 owing to heavy institutions. The continuous rise in institutions and the pending files of this Court justify the permanent retention of the temporary Court of the fourth Additional Judicial Commissioner. This Court has been made permanent since the close of the year, i.e., with effect from the 1st May 1930. As stated in the last report the appointment of a sixth Judge may soon be necessary. The revised rules providing for the translation into English of papers filed on the Civil side of this Court as mentioned in paragraph 17 of the last year's report were submitted to Government and have recently been sanctioned. The rules will not facilitate the work of the Judges before 1931, as they do not apply to appeals already filed. The subject of improvement in the methods of dealing with civil cases has been discussed by the Judges and some suggestions have been adopted with a view to more speedy disposals.

19. District Judges.—The total number of regular appeals instituted increased from 4,211 to 4,509 and of those disposed of increased from 3,759 to 4,162. In spite of the increase in disposals the pending file has increased from 1,877 to 2,222. The number of appeals pending over three months was 1,083 as against 695 in 1928 and that of appeals over one year was 71 as against 55. The rise in appellate work is due to the abnormal rise in institutions during the past three years. The average duration of regular appeals in the Central Provinces increased from 152.6 to 158.2 and in Berar from 165.4 to 209.3. increase is noticeable in the Wardha, Hoshangabad. Chhindwara and Berar districts. The increase in Wardha and Berar is due to congestion of work owing to heavy institutions. In Hoshangabad the increase is attributed to heavy sessions work. In Nagpurand Bhandara there was a rise in the duration of uncontested appeals and this is attributed to heavy criminal work. In Nimar duration has decreased markedly, a circumstance probably due to light sessions work during the year. 615 miscellaneous appeals were disposed of during the year as against 549 in 1928.

#### D.—MISCELLANEOUS.

20. Bar.—The total strength of the Bar increased from 1,303 to 1,376. Relations between the Bench and the Bar continued generally to be cordial except at one outlying station where however measures were taken

which put matters right again.

21. Guardians and Wards Act.—913 estates were under the management under the Act during the year as against 872 in 1928. Management was relinquished in 38 estates as against 65 in 1928. The question of an inspecting agency for inspecting the management and auditing accounts of guardians of Wards' estates referred to in paragraph 20 of the last year's report is still under the consideration of the Judicial Commissioner.

22. Usurious Loans Act.—The Act is being applied by the Courts to a considerable extent. The benefits of its provisions are being given to debtors although difficulty is experienced in that the more ignorant debtors often fail to make out a relevant case for its applicability. Nevertheless, the Act is affording some relief and the Judicial Commissioner believes that more and more advantage will be derived from it. The District Judge, East Berar, remarks that it has been noticed that in some cases creditors have of their own accord reduced the stipulated excessive rate of interest to the normal rate in the plaints. This is a satisfactory feature of—so to speak—the moral effect of the enactment.

23. Copying Branch.—The detailed instructions and suggestions issued by the Officiating Judicial Commissioner in order to secure efficiency and economy resulted in increased outturn and efficiency and have obviated the necessity of strengthening the copying staff in certain districts. The total number of sheets written by the copyists at headquarters increased from 466,826 in 1928 to 491,498. The total expenditure on section-writing decreased from Rs. 15,771 in 1928 to Rs. 9,484. Some of the District Judges report that competent section-writers

are not regularly available.

24. Records and Record-rooms.—The rack space in the record-room at Raipur continues to be insufficient and its extension is a very urgent necessity. Extension of the record room at Saugor and that of the Civil Court building at Raipur could not be taken up during the year for want of funds. The inquiry mentioned in paragraph 22 of the last year's report, as to how the difficulty

regarding the storing of the ever increasing records which are to be preserved permanently is met in other Provinces, showed that fewer records are permanently preserved than here. The question of adopting the Bombay system by which only plaint, judgment and decree are preserved permanently is under the consideration of the Judicial Commissioner. The records of disposed of cases of the Buldana district are at present stored in the record-room of the District Judge, Akola. In all other districts the accommodation is sufficient for the present. Elimination of records was up to date in all districts except in East Berar and Buldana. Berar it has fallen into arrear; the District Judge reports that a redistribution of work amongst the staff is under consideration. In Buldana, owing to inadequacy of staff, elimination of records is not up to date. It is hoped that, with the help of extra hands available during the ensuing summer vacation, the work will be well in hand.

- 25. Ministerial Service.—With effect from the 1st April 1929 ten per cent of the existing posts of the Lower Division clerks on the scale of Rs. 30-1-50 in the offices of the District and Sessions Judges and the Subordinate Civil Courts have been converted into a Selection grade on Rs. 50-2\frac{1}{2}-65. With effect from the same date, the pay of Copyists in the District and Sessions Judges' (Headquarters) offices has also been raised from Rs.  $30-2-40-42\frac{1}{2}-2\frac{1}{2}-50$  to Rs. 30-2-40-42½-2½-65-68-3-80, all, except the Head Copyists and their two Assistants in each Civil district of the Central Provinces, being barred at Rs. 65. Although inadequacy of pay is still a general complaint, the above revision of the scales of pay has, as anticipated in paragraph 23 of the last year's report, allayed the grievance of the ministerial establishment to some extent. The ministerial establishment, especially in Berar, continued to work under a heavy strain.
- 26. Working of the Nazarat.—The percentage of service of summonses and notices ranged from 71 to 80 and that of warrants from 72 to 83 except in East Berar, where the percentage of summonses and notices was 68 and that of warrants 67, and in Nimar, where the percentage of warrants was 68. Several District Judges report that the system of employing Sale-Amins to check the work of process-servers is working satisfactorily. The District Judges, Jubbulpore, Saugor, Hoshangabad,

Chhindwara and Akola, report that some posts of process-servers have been kept vacant as an experimental measure. The work is being managed with the reduced staff and by employing men under the 30 per cent rule, whenever necessary.

27. There were two cases of embezzlement of public funds during the year: one at Bilaspur and the other at Daryapur. In Bilaspur a temporary process-server embezzled Rs. 26-4-0 on account of a decretal amount recovered from a Judgment-debtor. The amount was paid by his surety, and the server was prosecuted and convicted. In Daryapur a process-server embezzled Rs. 100 recovered by him on a warrant of The money embezzled is in process of recovery and the process-server is being prosecuted under section

409, Indian Penal Code.

The District Judges. Jubbulpore, Saugor, Nimar, Raipur and East Berar report 107 cases of obstruction to service and 11 cases of escape from the custody of process-servers: in 32 cases conviction was secured and 25 cases were pending. The District Judge, Akola, reports that several cases of obstruction to service were reported by process-servers but for want of sufficient evidence and help the delinquents could not be punished. 'A process-server of Gondia was killed by a Judgmentdebtor while another one at Katol was severely injured by a sword while executing a warrant of attachment. During the year under report, proposals to revise the scale of pay of process-servers and to supply uniform to them were before Government, but since the close of the year, the Judicial Commissioner has been informed that it had not been found possible to obtain funds to meet the extra cost involved in the proposals. Both proposals he regards as highly desirable ones.

29. Trial of Tenancy Suits by Revenue Officers.— 'Almost all District Judges report that the procedure followed by Revenue Officers in the trial of tenancy suits

is susceptible of considerable improvement.

30. Buildings.—The extension of the Civil Court buildings at Warora, Saugor, Rehli and Raipur, although administratively sanctioned, could not be taken up during the year 1929 also for want of tunds. The Civil Court buildings at Gondia, Brahmapuri, Harda, Multai and Sehora also require extension. Independent Civil Court buildings at Mahasamund, Murtizapur and Akot are also required. The roof of the Civil Court building

at Jubbulpore is in an unsatisfactory condition and requires immediate renewal. The work of installation of electric fittings in the Civil Court buildings at Wardha and Jubbulpore could not be taken up owing to lack of funds. Owing to the increase in litigation, the consequent posting of Additional Judges and also to the increase in the number of the members of the Bar insufficiency of accommodation is being felt at many places but for financial reasons nothing could be done in this connection. The construction of the Civil Court house at Chandur was completed during the year. for extending the Civil Court building at Buldana and for the construction of a bungalow for the District and Sessions Judge, Buldana, are being allotted in 1930-31. It is a matter for satisfaction that the allotment for minor works for 1930-31 was Rs. 27,000 in place of the previous inadequate sum of Rs. 6,000. It is expected that in the future the Department will continue to be treated more liberally in this connection than it has been in some past years. Preliminary steps for the provision of increased accommodation for a possible local High Court have been taken and the question whether the present building should be extended or an entirely new building should be constructed is under the consideration of Government.

- 31. Furniture and Libraries.—Courts and office rooms are reported generally to have been sufficiently furnished. The District Judge, Wardha, reports that he had to postpone the purchase of necessary furniture for want of funds, while the District Judge, Saugor, reports that he postponed the purchase of furniture to effect economy. Allotment for the purchase of books continued to be insufficient for providing up to date editions to Courts.
- 32. Inspections.—The Judicial Commissioner conducted detailed inspection of the Civil Courts at Wardha, Mandla. Chhindwara and Drug and visited Jubbulpore for administrative reasons. All Civil Courts were inspected by the District Judges during the year except the one at Saraipalli. The District Judge, Raipur, reports that, owing to heavy sessions work, the Court of the Honorary Additional Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, at Saraipalli could not be inspected. The District Judge, Akola, also reports that, owing to extra heavy work thrown on him in making arrangements in connection with the separation of the Buldana district, the Copying section and Record room

could not be inspected during the year. They have,

however, been since inspected.

33. Mr. D. K. Kolatkar, District and Sessions Judge, and Messrs. R. M. Wathodkar, R. M. Bhagade, K. G. Khandekar and B. B. Chaubal, Subordinate Judges, 1st Class, retired from service during the year. The title of Rao Bahadur was conferred on Mr. S. M. Parande, retired District and Sessions Judge, and of Rao Sahib on Mr. S. M. Bhagade, Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, during

the year.

Sir Charles Stewart Findlay, Kt., I.C.S., Judicial 34. Commissioner, was on combined leave from the 7th February till the 10th November and during his absence Mr. R. H. Macnair, I.C.S., officiated as Judicial Commissioner. Mr. R. J. Jackson, LC.S., District and Sessions Judge, officiated as Additional Judicial Commissioner from the 7th February until he was confirmed in the vacancy caused by the retirement of Mr. F. W. A. Prideaux, who was permitted to retire from service from the 9th May. Rao Bahadur M. B. Kinkhede, B.A., B.L., Additional Judicial Commissioner, proceeded on leave for five months on full allowances with effect from the 18th February to the 17th July, and Mr. G. L. Subhedar, Barristerat-Law, Advocate of this Court, was appointed to officiate. Rao Bahadur M B. Kinkhede resigned the service with effect from the 18th July and Khan Bahadur Ghulam Mohiuddin, Temporary Additional Judicial Commissioner, was confirmed as Additional Judicial Commissioner; since then Mr. G. L. Subhedar, Barrister-at-Law, holds the appointment of the Temporary Additional Mr. F. H Judicial Commissioner. Staples, Officiating Additional Judicial Commissioner, proceeded on combined leave owing to ill-health with effect from the 25th September and Rao Sahib (now Rao Bahadur) Hari Sheoram Munje, District and Sessions Judge, was appointed to officiate as Additional Judicial Commissioner from the 3rd October until the 10th November when he was relieved by Mr. R. H. Macnair. Khan Bahadur Ghulam Mohiuddin was on duty throughout the year.

35. A Knighthood was conferred on Mr. C. S. Findlay, I.C.S., Judicial Commissioner, during the year

under review.

G. B. DE, Registrar,

Judicial Commissioner's Court, NAGPUR: The 29th April 1930. Central Provinces.

# PRESCRIBED STATEMENTS ( CIVIL )

# STATEMENT No. 25. - Showing the number of Judicial Divisions and the Central Provinces and Berar on the

			Civil
Name of Province.	Judges of Chief Court of Province.	Number of districts.	District Judges.
ī	2	3	4
Central Provinces (including Berary.	g 5	12	12 (a)

(a) Do both civil and criminal (b) 153 do both civil and

## STATEMENT No. 26. - Showing the number of Civil cases decided in

			Number of cases
Name of Province.	Origi	Appel	
	Regular.	Miscellaneous.	Regular.
1	2	3	4
Central Provinces (including Berar)	154,256	20,950	5,431

the number of officers exercising Appellat or Original Civil Jurisdiction in 31st December 1929.—(Paragraphs 1—5.)

Other Ju-	dges.	Remarks.			
Stipendiary.	Honorary.				
S	s 6 7				
			Euro- peans,	In- dians.	
223 (b)	1	Judicial Commissioner	1	`	
		Additional Judicial Commissioners	2	2	
		District Judges	4	8	
		Additional District Judges		30	
		Subordinate Judges, First Class		12	
		Additional Judges to Courts of Subordinate Judges, First Class.	.,.	18	
vork.		Judges, Small Cause Courts		5	
criminal work.		Registrari, Small Cause Courts		,	
		Subordinate Judges, Second Class		75	
		Extra-Subordinate Judges, Second Class		17	
	1	Additional Judges to Courts of Subordicate Judges, Second Class.	e	66	
		Total	. 7	234	

the Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar for the year 1929.

decided.	Remarks.
Miscellaneous.	
5	6
709	

STATEMENT No. 27.—Showing the number and description of Civil Suits for the

	1			S	uits under the
Class of Tribunal.		Suits for money or mcvable property,	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment	En ance- ment or abatement of rent.	For eject- ment or recovery of possession alone,
I		2	3	4	5
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.	!				
Unpaid Tribunals		47	•	***	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals .		18,124	13,938		31
Small Cause Courts .	••	92,777	••	•••	ļ
District Courts other than Chief Courts districts.	afi :	1,890	60		2
Chief Courts of districts .	••	84	,		
Fotal	–	112,922	13,998		33

STATEMENT No. 28.—Showing the number and value of suits for the

			Nu	moer of suit
Class of Tribunal.	Value not exceeding Rs. 10	Value Rs. 10 to Rs. 50.	Value Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.	Value Rs. 100 to Rs. 500.
ľ	2	3	4	5
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.				
Unpaid Tribunals		14	33	***
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	3,655	11,317	5,982	15,165
Small Cause Courts	2,881	29,526	25,644	33,557
District Courts other than Chief Courts of districts.	410	382	174	452
Chief Courts of districts				11
Total	6,946	41,239	31,833	49,185

instituted in the Civil Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar year 1929.—(Paragraphs 6-9.)

Rent Law.		Title and other suits.									
All other suits under the Rent Law.	Total.	Suits for immovable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Mortgage suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the preceding heads.	Total.	Grand Total.				
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13				
***				•••	•••	•••	47				
3	1,,972	6,803	1,765	4,863	654	14,085	46,181				
	•••		<b></b>	***	,4.	***	92,777				
3	65	907	515	1,402	120	2,944	4,899				
		42	49	173	61	325	409				
6	14,037	7,752	2,329	6,438	835	17,354	144,313				

instituted in the Civil Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar year 1929.—(Paragraphs 10 and 11).

٠				differen		
- 1	matitui!	100	1 Pha	CITOTOL	1 P	COMPTE

Value Rs. 500 to Rs. 1,000.	Value Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 5,000.	Rs. 1,000 Value above Rs. 5,000.		Total number of suits instituted.	Total value of suits.
6	6 7 8		9	10	. 11
		**	•••	47	3,259
6,133	3,929			46,181	1,62,04,072
1,169		•••		92,777	1,02,46,706
444	2,355	682		4,899	1,09,44,36
1	4	393	•••	409	1,13,56,257
7,747	6,288	1,075		144,313	4,87,54,655

# STATEMENT No. 29.—Showing the General Results of the Trial of Civil Berar for the

CIVIL SUITS .-

		Nu	mber of suits l	before the Cou	rts.
Class of Tribunal.		Pending at the begin- ning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived during the year.	Total.
· · · · ·		, [			
		2	3	4	5
•	Ì				
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.					
Unpaid Tribunals	<i>.</i>		· 47	I	48
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals		(a) 17,543	(b) 46, 107	1,793	65,743
Small Cause Courts		32,059	92,772	1,788	12 <b>6,6</b> 19
District Courts other than Chief Courts districts.	ol	2,716	4,677	236	7,629
Chief Courts of districts	•••	524	409	27	960
Total	•••	(a) 52,842	(b) 144,312	3,845	200,999

Note.—The difference between column 11 of the statement for the year 1928 and column 2 of the
(a) Excludes one suit treated as
(b) Excludes one suit treated

Cases in the Courts of Original; of Original Jurisdiction in the Central

(Paragraphs 12-14.)

Number	of suits disp	osed of exc	Iuding tra	ansfers.			Average of s	u. suits.tion	
		With co	ntest.	On re-	Pending at the close of	Pending for more	Average duration	Average duration	
Without trial.	Without contest.	Judgment for plaintiff.	Judg- ment for de- fendant.	ference to arbitra- tion.	the year.	than a year.	in days of con- tested suits.	in days of un- con- tested suit- ases.	emanrks.
6	7	8	9	10	11	13	13 -	s.	Remak
			:			,			5
6	16	1			22	***	101,0	61.0	
7. <del>41</del> 3	27,061	10,611	2,801	51	17,776	706	215'5	104'4	-
15,501	70,390	13,300	1,908	10	25,510	104	133'7	108.7	
672	2,143	1,573	342	9	2,890	362	313.7	160,1	
65	7.41	173	28.	8	545	236	507'7	298.3	v .5°
23,687	99,751	25,661	5,079	78	46,743	1,408	183.3	100.0	

statement for the year 1929 is due to the transfer of suits from one class of Tribunal to another, miscellaneous as Judicial case in the year 1929, as miscellaneous Judicial case.

### STATEMENT No. 29.—Showing the Geneing General Results of the Trial Provinces and Berar

#### MISCELLANEOUS

		Nu	mber of cases	before the Cou	arts.
Class of Tribunal.		Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived during the year.	Total.
/ 1	_	2	3	4	5
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.					
Unpaid Tribunals			3	***	3
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals		3 <b>,9</b> 94	10,905	39	12,938
Small Cause Courts		1,018	5,768	12	6,798
District Courts other than Chief Courts districts.	of	854	2,900	15	3,769
Chief Courts of districts		401	1,598	2	2,001
Chief Court of the Province	•••	34	140	3	¥ <b>7</b> 5
<b>T</b> otal		4,301	21,314	60	25,684

Note.—(a) Three cases of contempt of Court under Chapter XXXV of the Code of
(b) Insolvency petitions are not faken into

of Civil Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Central for the year 1929.

CASES.

Number o	of cases disp	posed of exc	luding tra	ansfers.			Average duration of cases.		
		With co		On	Pending at the close of	Pending for more than a year.	Average	Average duration in days of uncon- tested cases.	
Without trial.	Without contest.	Judgmen <b>t</b> for plaintiff.	Judg- ment for defend- ant,	reference to arbitra- tion.	the year.		in days of con- tested cases.		Domonto
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	ī
				į					
	1		***		2	••	***	32.0	
5,303	2,069	1,932	1,337	***	2,297	11	100,1	54.6	
3,339	897	<b>88</b> 5			1,129	6	9 <b>9.</b> 1	53'4	
1,518	548	507	352	••	841	28	156.8	83'6	
1,013	246	157	156		<b>4</b> 29	28	180.2	70'8	
97	5	2:	19	•••	33	6	2581	51.8	
11,270	3,766	3,502	2,412		4,734	<b>7</b> 9	118.7	59.6	

Criminal Procedure were disposed of by Civil Courts during the year, account for purposes of this statement.

# STATEMENT No. 31.—Showing the Business of the Civil Appellate

# APPEALS FROM DECREES .-

	Number o	f appeals be Courts.	fore the		A	ppeals from		
Class of Tribunal.	Pending	Instituted and	,	Appeals disposed of				
	at the beginning of the year.	re-insti- tuted during the year.	Total.	Dismissed or not prose- cuted.	Con- firmed.	Modified.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.								
Appeals from Original Decrees.								
Chief Appellate Courts of districts.	(a) 1,875	4,509	6,384	306	2,216	693		
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.								
Appeals from original de-	(b) 360 i	225	585	22	167	38		
ince.  Appeals from appellate decrees.	(c) 1,725	1,210	2,535	50	822	28		
Total	1,685	. 1,435	3,120	72	989	66		
GRAND TOTAL	3,560	5,944	9,504	378	3,205	759		

Norr.—There were no references under section 27
(2) Excludes two appeals transferred to the Court of the Additional
(b) Two appeals were received on
(c) One appeal of 1928 was

#### (Paragraphs 18 and 19.)

decrees.			1	Average o	duration of eals.		
(excluding tr	ansfers).	Pending at the close of	Pending for more than a	Average duration	Average	Remarks.	
Reversed.	Remanded.	the year.	year.	in days of contested appeals.	in days of uncontested appeals.		
8	9	10	. 11	12	13	14	
655	292	2,2 <b>22</b>	71	175'9	1597		
				,			
21	21	316	124	572.3	364.6	. •	
67	33	1,535.	583	553'7	183.6		
88	54	1,851	7,7	55 <b>8</b> °3	202-1		
743	346	4,073	7 <b>7</b> 8	248.7	179'4		

of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923. Judicial Commissioner, transfer from the District Judge, East Berar, cancelled during the year.

# STATEMENT No 32.—Showing the Business of the Civil Appellate

#### MISCELLANEOUS

	Number	of appeals be Courts,	fore the		Appeals	disposed of
Class of Tribunal,	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted and re-instituted during the year.	Total.	Dismissed or not prose- cuted.	Con- firmed.	Modified.
Ī	2	3	4	5	6	7
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.						
Appeals from Original Decrees.						
Chief Appellate Courts of districts.	222	675	897	90	339	20
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.						
Appeals from original Chief Court decrees.	44	63	107	5	46	***
ince.   Appeals from appellate decrees.	(a) 16	84 (9)	(b) 6 <sub>1</sub>	2	21	48,
Total	бо	(11	171	7	67	
GRAND TOTAL	282	786	1,068	97	406	20

<sup>(</sup>a) One appeal of 1928 was cancelled during the year 1929 and hence the
(b) Includes two appeals under section 30

#### APPEALS .- (Paragraphs 18 and 19.)

	uration of sals.	Average d appe			ansfers).	(excluding tra	
Remarks.	Avarage duration in days of uncontested appeals.	Average duration in days of contested appeals.	Pending for more than a year.	Pending at the close of the year.	Remanded.	Reversed.	
14	13	12	11	10	8 9		
		·					
		,					
	95'4	135'2	. 5	282	68	98	
		,		,			
	118.7	263 <b>'5</b>	6	45	5	6	
	97'9	ე₹8 <b>·</b> o	3	(b) 32	2	7	
	111.8	306.4	9	77	7	13	
	97.8	156.2	14	359	75	111	

number of appeals pending at the close of the previous year has been reduced by one, of the Workmen's Compensation Acr, 1923.

### ADDITIONAL STATMENTS.

[Giving statistics, of Civil Cases only, and showing totals for each district.]

1929.

STATEMENT Statement showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil Cases Central Provinces and Berar

PART I .--

<del></del>			For disp	osal.					Ω	isposed
										Un
Districts.		Remain- ing at the	Insti-	Re-		Total for		Plaint reject-	Dismiss default of of prose	r want
		close of previous year.	tuted.			disposal.	ferred to jother prov- inces.	ed or return- ed after insti- tution.	Number.	Percent- age of total dis- posed of.
1	·	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Nagpur		4,747	12,367	317		17,431		79	1,647	12'5
Wardha		5,249	13,19 ‡	355		18,798		77	2,280	15'7
Bhandara	•••	2,8 19	8,807	280	<b></b> .	11,936		99	1,470	15'7
Jubbulpore	•••	3,040	12,688	370		16,098		111	2,039	16.6
Saugor	-11	3,129	13,589	251	144	16,969		42	2,007	15'4
Hoshangabad		2,220	8,705	322		11,247		78	1,565	17.6
Nimar		3,674	10,999	289		14,962		62	1,725	14'7
Chhindwara		1,804	7,134	208		9,146		73	1,438	37,0
Raipur		(a)3,080	12,276	549		15,705		95	2,144	17'7
Total Central Prov-	(1929	(a)29,792	99,759	2,741		132,292		716	16,315	16.0
inces.	(1928		98, 164	2,876		127,163	٠.	727	16,096	16.3
East Berar	***	8,698	18,981	298		27,977		80	2,304	10.3
Akola	•••	6,904	(b) 12,065	104		19,373		120	1,436	10.3
Buldana		7,448	13,507	402		21,357	***	49	1,979	12'4
Total Berar	(1929	23,050	14,553	1,104	,	68,707		<b>2</b> 40	5,719	10,0
Total Berar	1928	20,200	55 810	901		76,911		229	5,247	9.7
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL PROVINCES	<b>( 192</b> 9	(a) 52,843	(b) 144,312	3,845		2 <b>0</b> 0,99 <b>9</b>		965	22,034	14*3
AND BERAR.	( 1928	48,023	154,374	3,777	.,.	206,074		956	21,343	13.9

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes one suit treated as (b) Excludes one suit treated as

No. IV.—(CIVIL.)
in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the districts of the
during the year 1929.

(CIVIL SUITS.)

of

contested.

	Comp	oromised.		reed on fession.			Decided ex	xpa <b>rte.</b>	
With- drawn with		Percentage		Percentrge Dismissed.		nissed.	Т	`otal.	
leave.	Number.	of total	Number.	of total	Decreed.	Number.	Percentage of total exparte.	Number.	Percentage of total disposed of.
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
55	891	6.7	2,676	20'3	5,247	20	.4	5,267	39'9
97	632	4'4	2,677	18'5	5,906	71	1'2	5,977	41'2
42	564	6.0	1,397	:4'9	3,793	21	.2	3,814	4017
79	896	7*3	3,527	28.7	3,301			3,302	26.0
32	863	6.6	3,615	27.9	3,991	14	.3	4,005	35.6
28	960	10.8	1,879	21'2	2,615	5	. 2	2,620	29.5
45	148	3.8	2,240	19.1	5,633	1		5,634	46.2
32	409	ნო	1,429	20'9	1,719			1,719	25.2
94	1,370	11'3	2,065	17.0	2,505	32	1.3	2.537	20,0
504	7,033	6.9	21 535	- 21*1	34.710	165	'5	34.875	31,3
425	7,342	7.4	20,330	20*4	33,293	197	•6	3-,490	33.7
89	1,413	6.3	4,061	18*1	9,928	58	.6	9,586	44'4
56	798	5'7	2,496	17.8	6,103	27	<b>.</b> 5	6,13 <b>0</b>	43'8
39	519	3'3	3,008	18.0	7,894	3	· <b></b>	7, <sup>2</sup> 97	49'7
184	2,730	5.5	9,565	18.3	23,925	88	'4	24,013	45'9
188	3,149	5.8	11,567	21.2	23,550	107		23,667	44'0
688	9,763	6.3	31,100	20.3	58,635	253	·4	58,888	38 2
613	10,491	6.8	31,897	20.8	56,843	304	.2	57,157	37'3

miscellaneous Judicial case in 1929, miscellaneous Judicial case,

PART I.-

				Disposed o	et—concl <b>d</b> .		
		E		Conte	ested.		
Districts.			rence to ation.			judgment for defendant.	
		Found for plaintiff.	Found for defendant.	Number.	Percent- age of total contested.	Number,	Percent- age of total contested
I		21	22	23	24	25	26
Nagpur			,,,	2,156	83.5	425	16.5
Wardha	***	5	1	2,323	84.6	418	15.5
Bhandara	••.	т		1,531	77.4	447	226
Jubbulpore		29	6	1,886	81.4	395	17'1
Saugor		***	I	2,172	87·9	397	12'0
Hoshangabad		2		1,305	79'9	349	20'0
Nimar		2		1,259	. 81.2	283	18.3
Chhindwara		6		1,467	848	257	14'9
Raipur		6	.,	- 2,972	77'9	837	21'9
(1929		51	8	17,161	82'0	3,708	17.7
Total Central Prov-		49	7	17,180	83.0	3,724	17.7
East Berar		8	3	3,950	86.8	590	13.0
A kola		2	2	2,410	81.9	527	1 <b>7</b> *9
Buldana		2	2	2,140	89.3	254	10.6
(1929		12	7	8,500	85.9	1,371	13'9
Total Berar {		21	5	8,648	88.0	1,147	11.7
GRAND TOTAL CEN- (1929 TRAL PROVINCES }	٠.	63	15	25,661	83'3	5,079	16.2
AND BERAR. (1928	$\cdot$	73	13	25,828	83.0	4,871	15'8

No. IV.—(CIVIL.)
(CIVIL SUITS)—concld.

			Per	nding.	:	Av	rerage.		
		-			in da	of suits ys and ths.		ber of s in suits ed of.	
Т	ota!.	7		Over 6					
Number.	Percentage of total disposed of.	Total.	Total.	munths.	Con- tested.	Uncon- tested.	Con- tested.	Uncon- tested.	Remarks.
27	28	29	30	31	32	33	31	35	36 .
2,581	19.5	13,196	4,235	777	205'0	1111	5'5	2'0	
2, <b>747</b>	19.0	14,487	4,311	637	175'5	112.3	4'5	1.8	
1,9 <b>79</b>	21'1	9,365	2,571	380	198.7	129.5	4'7	1'9	
2,316	18.0	12,270	3,828	276	160.2	95'4	4'7	1.0	
2,470	18.0	13,064	3:905	170	1.56.1	85.4	4'0	1.4	
1,746	19'7	8,876	2,371	429	190'2	97'4	4.7	1.8	
1,514	13'2	11,698	3,264	232	187'0	106.3	4'5	1.6	
1,730	25'3	6,830	2,316	153	143'7	9116	4'1	1.8	
3,815	31,2	12,120	3,585	252	135'0	81'5	3'9	1.8	
20,928	20'5	101,906	30,386	3,305	166.3	101.6	4'9	1.7	
20,950	21.1	99.370	29,793	3,357	163.3	99.7	43	1'7	
4,551	20.3	22,48;	5,493	644	210'4	109*5	5'1	1.0	
2.941	210	13,977	5,396	872	315,3	119'4	5'0	119	
2,398	15.1	15,889	5,468	863	2 10-4	11174	5.5	1'9	
9,890	18.0	52,150	16,357	2,379	319.5	123.3	2,1	1.0	
9,8 ?4	1812	52,861	23,050	2,993	214'0	132.4	<b>5'2</b>	1'7	
30,8,8	20'0	154,256	46,743	5,6%5	183'2	109.0	5.0	1.8	
30,784	20.1	153,23'	52.8+3	6,256	179.5	100'8	4.2	1.2	

STATEMENT Statement showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts in PART I --- APPEALS

			F	or dispos	al.	1		Dispos	ed of.	
	Districts.		ining at the	Instituted, read- mitted and received by transfer from provinces.	1	Trans- ferred to other prov- inces.	under Rule	ed for default or other-	_	
	1	<u> </u>	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Judicial Com- mission e r's Conrt	Appeals from or nal decrees. Appeals from pellate decrees otal { 1929 1928	ap-	(a) 360 (b) 1,315 1,685 4,401	1,210	585 2,535 3,120 2,672		312 328 277	50 72 58	7 10 4	1 3
Nagpur Wardha Bhanda, a Jubbulpore Saugor Hoshangabad Nimar Chhindwara Raipur			218 193 174 84 29 130 65 38 249	440 380 352 221 206 298 189 212 699	658 573 526 302 235 428 254 250 948		: :: :: 5 3	30 23 31 6 13 21 10	4 4 2 3 2 1 	1  3 4 
Total Central inces for 1929.	Prov- (Districts	••	1,177 2 862	2,997 4.433	4,17 ; 7, <sup>2</sup> 94		21 349	209	31 41	11
Total Central inces for 1928.		••	9 <sup>7</sup> 7 2,378	2,882 41153	3, <sup>5</sup> 59 6,531	•	31 308	171	21 25	12
E4st Berar Akola Buldaga	•••	 	(c) 276 319 73	683 567 262	959 916 355		9 3	39 63 25	10 10 2	6 9 1
Total Berar for	1939 { Districts A!I Courts	••• ••	698 698	1,512	2,210		12	127	22	16 1 <b>6</b>
Total Berar for	1923 { Districts All Courts	·•	448 448	1,329	1,777	-11	14 14	80 80	10	4
BERAR FOR '9 GRAND TOTAL	CEN- Districts ES AND All Courts  39.  EN- Districts ES AND All Courts	•••	1,875 3,560 1,125 2,826	4,509 5,944 4,211 5,4 <sup>8</sup> 2	6,384 9,504 5,636 8,308		361 361 45 323	136 408 	51 63  31 35	37 30 16
BERAR FOR 19		••	2,020	314 -	0,300		ا د مان	,	<b>J</b> J	

(a) Includes two appeals received on transfer (b) O e second appeal of 1928 was cancelled (c) Excludes two appeals transferred to the

No. V .-- (CIVIL.) the Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1929. FROM DECREES.

	ta		<del></del>					nding.		bjections	
Revets			C	ontested					Order X	Rule 22, LI, Act V 8, were	Ave-
	And re-			Re	versed.	-					dura tion of
With- cut re- mand.	man-lad	Con- firm- ed.	Modi- fied.	With- out re- mand.	And remanded under Rule 23, Order XLI, Act V of 1908.	Total.	Total,	Over 3 months.	Preferred.	Allowed because succesfully maintain- ed.	each apper tried
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		148	36	21	21	269	316	255	27	9	538.0
••	•	503	27	67	33	1,000	1,535	1,:39	10	5	416'8
4	1	651 432	63 50	88 80	54 82	1,263 988	1,851	1,454	37 19	14	442'5 3 <b>9</b> 9'3
 I	2	195 196 180	47 84 38	70 59 73	19 18	385	288 188	172 96 82	1 3 25	7 8 3	226° 211°
1 4		113 99 164	5 t 45 38	39	20 8 20	236 199	66 36	17 6 59	7 29 23	5 10 7	114° 80°
4.	7	118 73 345	38 17 8c	35 26 21 122	16	213 141	109	6 44 100		4	97'.
8		1:483	445	470	18		-		143	50	158
8	11	2,134	508	558	24	4,145	3,149	2,056	180	64	245
13		1,385	400 450		198					28 33	153
1	8 ı	287 268 66	79	80	3: 4'	5 567	349	176			203°
10					9		9 ?4 5 9 2 4				
	5 4				6 6	0 1,077					
1	8 1			-07	27						
	8 (										

from District Judge, East Berar, during the year. Court of the Additional Judicial Commissioner

STATEMENT

Statement showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the

PART II .- MISCEILLANEOUS

		T-1-1	Deci- sions	Dis-	; 		Heard
Districts,	Total number of appeals before the Courts.	Transferred to Courts in other provinces.	con- ifirmed under Rule 11 (1), Order XLI, Act VI of 1908.	missed for default or other- wise not prose- cuted,	Re- jected.	Modi- fied.	De- creed or grant- ed.
1	2	3	4	5	б	7	8
Judicial Com- Appeals from origin	1		17	5			
missioner's Appeals from appella Court.	te f4	***	9	2			
IUIAI . )	171	•••	26 25	7 6			2
Wardha	92 83 20			8 5 1	3		 2 1
Saugor Hoshangabad	3I 45	***	2 1 2	2 6 5	I	2	1
Chhindwara	35 28 62		2 t	4 3 13	. 5		
,	451 622		14 40	47 51	12	3 3	6
A O \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	388 546		19	27 33	18	I	5 7
Akola	190 213 44	***	7 25 	12 21 10	6 3 3	 2 	1 2 
I Dial Detai 101 IU20 \ Ati a	446 446	### ***	32 32	43 43	12	2 2	3
	383		29 29	44	11	4 4	2
PROVINCES AND BERAR	897		45 72	90	24	5	9
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL Districts	771		48	71	20	5	7
PROVINCES AND BERAR All Courts	- (1)		73	77	30	5	9

No. V. (CIVIL)—concld.

# Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1929.

## APPEALS-(JUDICIAL).

x parte.		Con	tested.	į	Pendi	ng.		
Re- manded.	Reject- ed.	Modi- fied.	Decreed or granted.	Remand- ed.	Total.	Over 3 months.	Average duration of appeals,	Objections under Rule 22, Order XLI, Act V of 1908.
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
***	29	154	6	5	45	26	212.1	
	12	•••	7	. 2	32	20	288.3	
<del></del>	41 41	1	13	7 8	77 61	. 46 31	238°0 187°6	***
	23		8 9	5 8	4 <sup>2</sup> 21	21	159'5	***
***	3 10 22	 	3 5 10	1 2	10 6 5	. 1	69.3 58.1	•••
•••	12 16		8	4	22	9	132'4 49'I	***
	10	1	4	5	17	17	87.5	***
2 2	139 180	10 10		35 42	132 209	51 97		
9		6		35 43	100	20 51		
<sup>2</sup> 7		3		9 13	79 50 21	36 19	134'2	
9		5	38	22	150	62		
	106		28	31	122	17	123'3	
11	269	1			282	113		
11	310	15	102	64	359	159	138.5	
Ic	225	11	77	66	222	63	114'2	
E	266	1:	2 89	7+	283	94	1 25'7	

Statement showing the Result of proceedings on Applications for Execution of during the

						App lication
					By transfer	
Districts.			Total number of applications for the execution of decrees before the Cours.	To Civil Courts,	Collector under section 68 of Act V of 1908.	To a Revenue Officer under section 85 of the Tenancy Act.
1		******	2	3	4	5
Nagpur	_		20.199	6	3	702
Wardha			19,772	8	10	173
Bhandara .			15,866	-11		146
Jubbalpore		,	12,838	20	26 <b>7</b>	273
Saugor			15,567	83	542	354
Hoshangabad			13,561	21	•••	286
Nimar		•••	17,867	***		68
Chhindwara			9,117	13	56	102
Raipur		•••	13,170	21		51
m. 10 (17 )	( 1929		137,957	172	8;8	1,755
Total Central Provinces	1928		132,100	177	679	1,980
East Berar			2°,073	4	1,712	21
Akola			16,741	***	875	
Buldana			17,650		652	***
m . 1 B	(1929		62,464	4	3,239	21
Total Berar	{ 1928		59,822	10	3,096	***
, 5	( 1929		200,421	176	4,117	1,776
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL PRO INCES AND BERAR.	1928		191,922	187	3,735	1,980

No. VI.—(CIVIL.)

Decrees of Civil Courts in the Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar year 1929.

lisposed of	(		Pen	ding.	
Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction cotained in part.	Wholly infructuous.	Total.	Over 6 months.	Amount realized.
					-
6	7	8	. 9	10	13
2,215	3,783	8,713	5,177	616	8,66,155
2,363	3,081	8,698	5,139	484	7,03,478
1,647	2,451	<b>7,</b> 879	3,743	310	4,27,065
1,531	2,415	5,819	2,513	162	3.10,558
1,301	2,347	7,534	3,406	157	2,26,862
1,461	1,726	6,931	3,136	333	4,47,870
1,377	2,149	9,501	4.773	252	5,28,935
1,022	1,568	4,338	2,0 8	107	2,80,084
2,206	1,787	5,655	3,450	139	5,43,337
15,123	21,307	65 068	33,654	2,560	43,34,344
15:531	20,678	61,826	31,229	2,444	43,35,349
4,759	3,088	11,883	6,605	417	3,71,027
2,136	1,527	8,005	4,198	457	5,41,638
2,432	1,917	7,746	4,903	397	3,79,722
9,327	. б,532.	. 27,634	15,707	1,271	12,92,387
8,803	6,311	25,849	15,753	1,530	15,13,044
24,450	27,839	92,702	49,361	- 3,831	55,26,731
24,334	26,989	87,675	46,9 <b>8</b> 2 °	3,974	58,48,393

STATEMENT

Statement showing the Result of Proceedings on applications for Execution of during the

						Number o
					On which prope	
District	S.		On which judgment debtor was imprisoned.	On which he was arrested, but released withou: imprison- ment.	Was sold.	Was attached, but subse- quently released.
1	•		12	13	14	15
Nagpar	•••	,	13	290	130	599
Wardha	***	***	7	285	267	1,096
Bhandara -	•••	••,	ī	96	196	1,234
Jubbulpore	***		73	317	1 14	1,029
Saugor	•••	**	4	399	236	1,179
Hoshangabad	***	14.	14	220	95	740
Nimar	***	•••	40	608	121	416
Chhindwara	***	•••	30	284	146	603
Raipur	•••	***	12	295	229	1,048
	(1929		191	2,795	1,564	7,914
Total Central Provinces	{	***	150	2,149	1,634	8,371
East Berar			146	691	238	307
Akola			12	169	86	95
Buldana	141	•••	20	60	165	213
Total Berar	∫ 192ġ	••	178	860	489	615
rotal belar	1928	•••	141	499	499	641
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL INCES AND BERAR.	PROV- \$ 1929		372	3,655	2,053	8,550
TURES WERE SERVERS	(1928	.,	291	2,548	2,133	9,012

No. VI.—(CIVIL)—concld.

Decrees of Civil Courts in the Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar year 1929.

applications.

On which	ch imm <b>ov</b> abl	e propert <b>y</b>	On which was	possession given				
Was sold,	Was dealt with under Rule 83, Order XXI, paragraph 2 of Schedule 111 or Section 72 of Act V of 1908.	with under Rule 83, Order XXI, paragraph 2 of Schedule NII or Section 72 XXI, Act V		Of immov- ables.	On which specific perform- ance was enforced.	On which partition was effected,	On which execution was effected otherwise than as in the preceding columns.	Re. marks,
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
451	1	922	2	208	3	,	5,200	
336	4	509	2	205	***	•••	3,769	
1,11		312	,	174	1	101	3,560	
141		299	3	204	/**	***	2,345	
200		114	•••	202	8		3,111	
232		240	1	179		•••	2,691	
204	***	147	***	53	***	•••	1,844	
169		96	1	162	***		1,737	
129		458	4	697	1	****	2,334	
2,053	5	2,997	13	2,084	13	1	26,591	
2,154	2	3,406	21	2,086	12	2	27,483	
585		639	3	1,163	5	111	7,580	
343	. 1	220	5	704	7	13	2,687	
451		167		697	17	1	3,203	
1,379	1	1,026	8	2,564	29	14	13,470	
1,412	1	1,273	و	1,952	8		12,209	
3,452	6	4,023	21	4,648	42	15	40,061	
3,566	3	4,679	30	4,038	20	2	39,692	

# Proceedings in Insolvency under Act V of 1920 in the Districts

 $P_{\mbox{\scriptsize ART}\mbox{\ }I}$  —Showing the number and Result of Petitions made

•					. 1	Insolvenc
				Number dealt	with.	
Districts.		Pending	Presente	d during the	rear.	
		at close of previous year,	By de	Ву	Received by	
			Under arrest or im- prisonment.	Not under arrest or im- prisonment.	credi-	transfer
1		2	3	4	5	6
	·					
Nagpur		129	32	282	12	155
Wardha		139	116	191	9	
Bhandara	•••	135	39	255	2	
Jubbulpore	,	86	68	161	6	
Saugor		30	38	44	,	
Hoshangabad	٠	138	36	109	7	
Nimar		138	172	302	16	•••
Chhindwara		25	56	. 49	2	1
Raipur	•••	40	63	92	5	
T 1929	-	860	620	1,485	59	156
Total Central Provinces 1928		64 <sup>1</sup>	546	1,206	149	-41
East Berar		486	277	790	81	••
Akola		327	133	424	115	1
Buldana		259	90	<b>3</b> 88	65	
(1929		1,072	500	1,602	261	1
. Total Berar		815	322	1,719	347	
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL 1929		1,932	1,120	3,087	320	157
PROVINCES AND BERAR. 1928		1,456	868	2,925	489	•••

No. VII.—(CIVIL.)

of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1929.
under Section 7 and the number of Insolvents before the Court.

Total	Number disposed of.										
	Trans-	Withdrawn under	By composition or scheme of arrangement	cation und	of adjudier Section	Dismissed under	Total.	Pend ing			
	ferred. Section 14. under Section 38.		under Section	Receivers being ap- pointed.	Receivers not being appointed.	Section 25.	:				
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15			
610	155	. 4		б	210	56	431	17			
455	***	5		···	196	132	333	12			
431	•••	1			198	84	283	14			
321		5			137	86	228	و			
112	•••		/ <b></b>		43	40	83	2			
290		t	,**	86	93	48	228	б			
628		1		· a	307	149	459	16			
133	1	5			52	39	97	3'			
200		1			62	74	137	6			
3,180	156	23	***	94	1,258	708	2,279	90			
2,535		18	***	34	1,055	568	1,675	86			
1,634		11		49	672	400	11332	50			
1,000			***	84	432	233	749	25			
803	1	14		26	259	244	544	25			
3,436	1	25		159	1,363	877	2,425	1,01			
3,203		26		191	1,153	761	2,131	1,07			
6,616	157	48		253	2,661	1,585	4,704	1,91			
5,738		44		225	2,268	1,329	3,806	1,93			

STATEMENT

Proceedings in Insolvency under Act V of 1920 in the Districts

PART I.—Showing the Number and Result of Petitions made

				Insolvenc	y petitions.	Debtors	adjudge
						Numbe	er before
Di	stricts.		Debtors sentenced under Section 69.	Undis- charged insolvents sent for trial under Section 72.	Remaining undischarged at close of previous year.	Undischarged received by transfer	
Annual Annual Annual State of the State of t	1			16	17	18	19
Nagpur	***			***	***	1,645	1,713
Wardha	•••			***	.a.	1,136	.,
Bhandara	•••			***	•••	959	
Jubbulpore	•••		•••	•••	•	627	***
Saugor			•••	•••	***	222	
Hoshangabad	• •		• -	. ***	***	331	•••
Nimar	***		۸.	1	•	1,011	•••
Chhindwara	•••		•••	<b>,,,</b>	***	26 <b>3</b>	
Raipur	•••			•••	***	540	
Total Central Provinces	***	{ 1929 1928			***	6,734 6,214	1,713
East Berar	***					3,192	47
Akola Buldana	***			***	***	1,992	***
Т	otal Berar	\ 1929 \ 1928				б,240 5,830	47
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL BERAR.	Provinces	AND { 1929 1928		··· I	***	12,971	1,760

	1	
	ders of adju	dication were
(b)	Do.	do.
(c)	Do.	do.
(d)	Do.	do.
(e)	Do.	do.
(i)	Do.	do.
(/) (g)	Do.	do.
(h)	Do.	do.
(i)	Do.	do.
(j)	Do.	do.
(k)	Do.	do.
(1)	Do.	do.

No. VII.—(CIVIL)—contd.

of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1929.

under Section 7 and the numb r of Issolvents before the Court.

							Remaining undis
the Court.			charged at close of year including				
	Total.			Disch	arged.		insolvents who have been refused discharge or
Adjudged to be in- solvent during year.		Transferred.	Died.	Absolutely under Section 41 (2) (a).	tionally	Total.	whose discharge remains suspended under Section 41 (2) (b).
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
27;	3,632	I,713	<b>910</b>	61	23	1,797	1,812 (a)
204	1,340		1	36	30	67	1,200 (b)
307	1,266		•.	117	9	126	1,073 (c)
138	7 <sup>6</sup> 5		***	37		37	68: (d)
43	265	,	**	4	9	13	202 (e)
103	434		•••	6	14	20	403 (f)
342	1,353		***	133	2	135	1,169 (g)
54	317	•••	1	5	1	7	285 (h)
62	602		••	18	4	2 <b>2</b>	535 (i)
1,527 1,249	9 074 7,164	t,713	2	417 222	93 124	2,214 348	7,360 6,734
721 516 285	3,960 2,508 1,341	7	5	156 29 157	30 154	238 183 15°	3,297 (j) 2,153 (k) 1,165 (l)
1,521	7,809 7,177	47	6	342 274	184	579 387	6,615 6,240
3,049 2,596	17.783	1,760	· 8	<b>7</b> 5) 496	276 235	2,803 735	13,975 12,974
nnulled in Redoction do.	. 7 6 4 5 5 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8; insolvents. 67 do. 67 do. 67 do. 69 do. 61 do. 69 do. 61 do. 65 do. 65 do. 65 do. 66 do. 68 do.	,		i.		

Proceedings in Insolvency under Act V of 1920 in the District PART 2. -- Showing the Number of Estates of Insolvents in charge of Courts

				nber of in charge o he hands	Amount of Creditors			
Districts			Pending at the close of the previous year.	Court or a	Number of estates in regard to which proceedings were finally closed during the year.	Fending at the close of the year.	Unsatis- fied and pending at the close of the previous year.	Admitted.
			2	3	4	5	6	7
Nagpur Wardha Bhandara Jubbul pore Saugor Hoshangabad Nimar Chhindwara Raipur		*** *** *** *** *** ***	144 24 7 6 12 2 103 21	9 17 28 4 5 6 25	4 27 24 3 9 1 35 18	149 14 11 7 8 7 93 13	9,38,066 1,55,950 16,045. 27,161 23,961 938 1.03,406 94,555 51,570	5,37,843 90,474 42,476 6,673 1,15,195 97,771 86,853 12,317 35,524
Total Central Province	s { 1929 s { 1928		325 279	112	128	3°9 325	14,11,652 7,91,187	10,25,126
East Beiar Akola Buldana		 	326 25 156	165 112 51	175 27 9	316 180 198	11,25,522 1,88,052 3,65,749	2,89,51 <sup>8</sup> 2,25,267 75,264
Total Berar	1929	***	577	328	211 86	694 577	16,79,323	5,90,049 5,21,859
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL	1929		4<1 902	410	339	1,003	30,90,975	16,15,175
Provinces and Berar.	) 		730	374	200	902	22,01,016	14,05,226

<sup>(</sup>a) Rs. 74,119 (b) Rs 37,979 (c) Rs. 2,852

<sup>(</sup>a) Rs. 38,670 (e) Rs

<sup>(</sup>f) Rs. 17,902 (g) Rs. 13,780

<sup>(</sup>h) Rs. 2.98,489

<sup>(</sup>i) Rs. 20,496 (j) Rs. 10,098 (k) Rs. 40-14-0 were paid as poundage (l) Incurred Rs. 2,079 towards costs of

<sup>(</sup>m) Excludes Rs. 129 paid as costs and
(n) Includes receiver's commission
(o) Excludes Rs. 6,400 placed in civil

No. VII.—(CIVIL)—concld. of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1929. or in the hands of Receivers and the progress made in win lang them up,

laims dea	lt with duri	ng the year.	Amount	of insolvents' a outstand	ing.	eland	
Dis- allowed.	Satisfied.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the year.	Amount of realized assets in Court or in the hands of Receivers at the close of the previous year.	Gross amount realized during the year.	Total amount of diburse- ment.	Amount remaining in Court or in the hands of Receivers at the close of the year.	Remark <b>s</b>
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		i :					
	25,594	14,50,315	31,170	33,066	41,640	22,596	
9,087	10,394	(a) 1,52,824	18,056	26,401	10,429	34,0:8	1
	3,670	(b) 16,872	313	4,608	(k) 1,860	1,0 0	
	3,8c <b>7</b>	(c) 27,175	13,947	4,630	2,807	14,-70	Į.
***	12,277	(d) 88,209	264	11,179	(l) 2,114	(a) 633	
•••	5,285	58,674 (e) 1,40,376	9 <b>6</b> 6,981	9,051 13,184	6,285	13,885	
12 83	3,159	(f) 85,7.8	3,876	17,009	(m) 3,159	17.597	
	12,619	(g) 60,695	1 3,209	5,709	12,019	6,209	
9,182	77,840	21,20,868	87:921	1,24,837	95,251	1,10,978	
2,208	51,492	14,11,652	26,615	1,20,338	58,957	87,921	
1,563	46,337	(h) 13,68,646	44,686	71,059	(n) 53.883	61,856	1
2,782	64.693	(1) 3,25,3 18	66,567	73,525	64,693	75,399	
	15 300	(j) 4,15,615	47,578	21,520	15,300	53,798	
4,350	1,26,330	18,03,603	1,58,831	1,59,104	1,33,882	1,94,053	
	82,039 16,79,323		1,03,147	1,51,206	91,817	1,58,831	
12,531	2,04,170	39,30,477	2,46,752	2,93,941	2,29,133	3,05,031	
2,208	1 33 531	30,90,975	1,29,762	2,71,544	1,50,774	2,46,753	.

```
written off.
```

written off.

written off.

written off. written off.

written off.

written off.

written off.

written off.

fees and Rs. 148-0-6 lapsed to Government.

defending cases, pay of servants and other expenses,

receiver's remuneration.
Rs. 7,178, land revenue Rs. 350, and Rs. 24 paid to insolvent. court deposit.