REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PRESIDENCY OF BOMBAY

IN 1878.

Bombay: TED AT THE GOVERNMENT CENTRAL PRESS.

I.—GENERAL RESULTS.

The Civil tribuna's are, in Bombay, the High Court and Small Cause Court, and in the Mofussil, 25 Courts of District Joint and Assistant Judges, 102 Courts of Subordinate Judges, 7 Small Cause Courts, 17 Courts of Subordinate and First Class Magistrates with Civil jurisdiction, and 5 unpaid local Courts of Jághirdárs.

During the year under review, 147,565 suits in all were instituted, being an increase of 1,673 as compared with 1877, but a decrease of 33,709 from 1876.

3,706 appeals were instituted, 618 less than in 1877.

There were 206,703 applications for the execution of decrees, 19,510 less than in 1877, and nearly 76,000 less than in 1876.

147,181 suits were disposed of, about 6,000 less than in 1877, 119,410 by the Subordinate Judges, 16,941 by the Small Cause Courts, 2,163 by the High Court, 1,642 by Cantonment Magistrates, 402 by District and Assistant Judges, 138 by Jághirdárs, and 6,467 by the Revenue Courts.

The appeals disposed of were 5,282—4,547 by District and Assistant Judges, 606 by the High Court, 30 by the Sadar Court in Sind, and 99 by the Revenue Courts.

There were 75,297 decrees wholly or partly executed, being 9,224 less than in 1877. And there were 71,218 decrees unexecuted or 13,299 more than in that year.

The working of the Small Cause Court in Bombay is not included in this report, as it is shown separately.

The most remarkable feature of the year was the continued decrease in the number of applications for execution of decrees, and the great increase in the number of unexecuted decrees.

As the whole of the civil work turns on the number of suits instituted, the following figures will serve to explain generally the state of litigation during the last 5 years:—

,	1874.	1875.	1876.	1877.	1678,
Total number of suits instituted in all Courts	101010	183,273	181,274	145,892	147,568

It will thus be observed that there was a sudden and abrupt fall in litigation in 1877; but that during the year under review there was a slight upward tendency. The fall in 1877 was due to the impoverished state of the country, consequent on bad seasons, and the general opinion of the Judges is that as the state of the country improved during the year, so did the litigation increase, but that the tendency of the new Code of Civil Procedure is to check the execution of decrees.

The causes of the increase and decrease of litigation are complex, and until an average is obtained in each district, by a consideration of the working during, some years, it is difficult to decide whether that increase or decrease is exceptional or not. Some of the Judges ascribe even trifling decreases to had seasons, and increases to good ones. And in some cases where there is more than one revenue district in the judicial district, and the results were not the same in each, one explanation is given as to the total increase or decrease in the two districts combined.

On an examination of the work of the Subordinate Courts—the great mass of original civil work being done by them—it will be found that, excluding the Thar and Parkar district, where the work is very small, in 13 districts there was an increase in the number of suits instituted and in 8 a decrease. Similarly,

the number of suits disposed of was more in 13 districts than in the year. But notwithstanding this increase of suits received and dispost the majority of districts, in 14 there were fewer applications received he execution of decrees, and in 15 there was a rise in the number of unexecu, decrees. The number of applications for execution of decrees has always been unusually large in this I'residency compared with the number of suits disposed of. It has no doubt been the practice for judgment-creditors often to apply for execution and then to drop the proceeding on coming to some temporary understanding with their judgment-debtors, and subsequently to make a fresh application. The provisions of the new Code of Civil Procedure compel judgment-holders to exercise more diligence in procuring complete satisfaction of the decree on the first application, and hence a second and subsequent applications on the same decree have become fewer. As far as can be ascertained the great rise in the number of unexecuted decrees was also due, at any rate to some extent, to the provisions of the new Code. Proceedings in execution of decrees under the new rules take a longer time, and hence a smaller number of decrees is executed wholly or partly during the year. It is also said that a decree has to some extent depreciated in value through the prohibition as to the attachment of certain property and the reduction in the period during which a judgment-debtor can be imprisoned. And there is said to be a feeling abroad that the new Code is unduly severe on creditors. These and other causes it is supposed have conduced in making judgment-holders less eager to enforce their rights. That the neglect to enforce decrees is not due to any increase in the general poverty of the country, is abundantly clear. A longer experience is required before a definite opinion can be formed on the subject.

In some of the districts there was a most marked inactivity in litigation. In Broach the suits decreased to nearly one-half the number in the previous year. There were about 2,900 fewer suits instituted, and 3,200 less disposed of; and there were about 7,700 applications for execution of decrees less, and the decrees wholly or partly executed decreased by about 2,300. The cause assigned is the bad season of 1878. There was a similar depression, though to a less extent, in Surat, Kaira and Ahmedabad, although in the last-named district the number of suits instituted was actually larger. There was, however, a much greater activity during the year in Khándesh, Násik, Ahmednagar, Sholápur and Kaládgi. In these there was a corresponding increase in the number of suits disposed of, and in some there were more applications filed for execution of decrees; and yet it is striking that in all of them the number of unexecuted decrees rose, and in some of them out of proportion altogether to the number of suits disposed of.

The general results of the year contrasted with 1877, and with the lower Provinces of Bengal in 1877, may be summarised thus:—

	. Bomi	Bongal,	
	1877.	1878.	1877.
Total number of suits instituted in all Courts Total number of suits disposed of Suits decreed ex-parts Contested suits disposed of Appeals instituted	145,892 153,179 68,500 30,623 4,324	147,565 147,181 67,840 28,387 3,706	357,725 363,129 117,924 96,113 23,452
Applications for execution of decrees Decrees completely executed Decrees partly executed Decrees unexecuted	226,213 25,115 59,406 57,919	206,703 27,786 47,511 71,218	256,453 73,324 182,410 57,775
Persons imprisoned	3,653 15,020,149 402,351	3,612 14,225,889 441,596 1,622,836 1,634,037	3,936 46,922,593 354,507 2,704,830 4,481,586

The cost of Sessions Courts appears to be excluded in Bengal, but is included in Bombay. The population in Bengal (in the mofussil) is given at 58,767,250, and in Bombay at 15,494,384. On these numbers there is one suit instituted to every 164 persons in Bengal and to every 110 persons in Bombay. The cost on each suit disposed of in all, except the Presidency-town Courts, was Rs. 7 in Bengal and Rs. 10 in Bombay; but, as stated above, the latter also includes the cost of the Sessions Courts.

II.—ORIGINAL.

High Court, Bombay.—During the year 666 original suits were instituted, and 21 were restored to the Board under the general jurisdiction. And there were 565 suits in the insolvent jurisdiction. The suits in the other jurisdictions were, as usual, few; 7 in the Testamentary, 1 in the Matrimonial, and 4 in the Parsi Matrimonial jurisdiction.

The following is the number instituted during the last 5 years, and the number in the High Court, Bengal, in 1877, is added for the purpose of comparison:—

•		1874.	1875.	1876.	1877.	1878.	High Court, liencal, 1577.
General jurisdiction Insolvency	•••	69 7 580	728 633	734 622	820 475	687 865	721

The number of regular suits seems to have been exceptionally large in 1877, and rather below the average last year. 1,264 suits in all were instituted and 1,962 remained from 1877, 799 Regular, 1,145 Insolvency, 7 Admiralty, 9 Testamentary, and 2 Matrimonial. Including 1 suit received by transfer in the extraordinary jurisdiction, there were thus 3,227 suits for disposal, and during the year 2,163 were disposed of and 1,064 remained pending, 870 over 4 months and 116 over 2 months.

These suits were disposed of as follows: 242 were dismissed for default (most of them being really compromised out of Court without any official intimation being given), 16 were withdrawn with leave, 76 compromised, and 13 dismissed by a Judge's order in chambers by consent; 22 were decreed on confession; 419 were decreed ex-parts and 1,166 dismissed ex-parts, and 172 were contested. The large number shown as dismissed ex-parts was owing to the Insolvency cases. Of these, out of a total of 1,531 disposed of, no less than 1,159, or 75 per cent. were dismissed ex-parts, and only 40 were contested. Of the 617 regular suits disposed of, 120, or 19 per cent were contested, 80 being decided in favour of the plaintiff and 40 for defendant. The percentage (66) of decisions for plaintiff is less than in the Lower Courts; in 1877 it was only 62. Of the 7 admiralty suits which remained from 1877, 5 were decided during the year, all being contested, and decided in favour of the defendant. As to the 4 Parsi Matrimonial suits instituted, 2 were decided for plaintiff and 1 for defendant. The average duration of suits in the general jurisdiction was 213 for contested and 135 for uncontested cases.

Sadar Court, Sind.—Two original suits were instituted, I for dissolution of marriage and I for judicial separation, under the Indian Divorce Act. These were decided during the year in favour of the plaintiff. They were the first suits in the province since Act IV. of 1869 was passed.

District and Subordinate Courts.—The number of suits instituted in the District Courts was 239, I less than the last year, and in the Subordinate Courts 120,074 or 676 more. The return of suits instituted in the Subordinate Courts

exercising Small Cause Court jurisdiction is not complete, as in some districts this class of suits has not been distinguished. The returns show 12,755 such suits, more than double the number in 1877. The increase is owing to the Subordinate Courts in the Ahmednagar District being now all invested with summary jurisdiction. In that district during the year there were 5,150 Small Cause Court suits as against 381 during the previous year. In Khandesh there was an increase of about 1,400 in such suits, and of about 880 in Shelapur. In Satara the numbers remained about the same. The suits instituted in the District and Subordinate Courts during the last 5 years were:—

		1874.	1875.	1676.	1877.	1678.	
District Courts Subordinate Courts	•••	424 147,244	323 153,814	345 151,112	240 119,398	239 120,074	

1874 was an exceptional year; since 1875 there has been a continued decrease in the number of suits in the Subordinate Courts, and in 1877, as will be seen above, there was an abrupt fall in litigation, which was slightly checked during the year. There was an increase of suits in the following districts:—Ahmedabad 311; Khándesh 3,890; Násik 1,167; Ahmednagar 2,161; Sholápur 1,132; Belgaum 404; Kaládgi 922; Dhárwár 679; and Shikárpur 2,149: and decrease Kaira 700; Surat 824; Broach 2,904; Panch Mahals 441; Thána 129; Kolába 292; Poona 289; Sátára 258; Ratnágiri 388; Kánara 379; Kurrachee 334; Hyderabad 157; Thar and Párkar 244.

The following table shows the number of suits instituted, disposed of, and contested in the Subordinate Courts of each district, as compared with 1877:—

District,		Suite Inc	tituted.	Total Dis	lo beaqu	Conteste	od Suits.
<i>District</i>		1577.	1978.	1877.	1878.	1877.	1878
Ahmodabad Kaira Surat Broach Panch Mahála Khándesh Thána Kolába Näsik Ahmednagar Poona Sholápur Satira Belgaum Kaládgi Phárwár Ratnágiri Kánara Kurrachee Hydernbad Shikárpur Thar and Párkar		4,976 7,963 8,763 6,419 1,308 14,266 5,881 3,6×6 8,500 5,621 7,296 4,046 8,102 3,431 1,791 3,587 6,839 2,645 2,538 7,218 8,256 4,01	5,287 7,263 2,939 3,515 867 18,156 5,753 3,394 9,967 7,782 7,007 5,178 7,844 3,898 2,713 4,266 6,451 2,266 2,204 7,061 6,107 157	5,838 10,547 4,109 7,031 1,353 14,727 6,566 3,596 9,224 5,669 6,910 3,498 9,045 3,580 1,664 8,456 7,326 2,585 2,576 7,090 8,505 391	5,168 6,894 3,372 3,864 742 17,638 5,457 3,344 9,200 7,338 7,279 5,210 8,269 3,840 2,691 4,199 7,327 2,182 6,975 5,944 186	644 1,393 814 1,032 299 2,225 1,686 1,103 1,972 906 1,660 825 1,418 888 808 657 1,839 862 351 1,289 1,827 46	681 903 706 548 149 2,427 1,551 1,234 1,700 1,158 1,410 1,055 1,514 710 855 632 2,190 689 396 1,211 1,187
Regular Suits Small Cause Court class	•	113,729 5,669	107,319 12,735	120,731 5,196	107,290 12,120	23,437 703	21,143 1,289
Total	ا ا	119,399	120,074	125,927	119,410	24,139	22,437

The number of suits instituted in each district, in proportion to the population, was:—

•			One Suit to				One Suit to
			Persone.				Persons.
Ahmedabad	•••	•••	87	Sholipur	•••	•••	153
Kaira		***	• 107	Sitára	•••		134
Surat	•••	•••	99	Belgaum	•••		230
Broach	•••	•••	74	Kaladgi		***	300
Panch Mahála		•••	277	Dhárwár			231
Thána	•••		147	Ratnágiri			157
Kolába	•••	•••	103	Kanara	• • •	•••	175
Násik	•••	***	73	Kurraches	•••	• • •	7 3
Khandesh	•••		56	Hyderabad	•••	•••	102
Ahmednagar	•••	•••	93	Shikarpur		•••	123
Poona	•••	•••	63 1	Thar and Parkar	•••	***	1,009

Of the suits in the District Courts, 92 were dismissed, &c., 33 were tried ex-parte, and 219 were contested, 78 being decided in favor of the plaintiff and 51 for defendant. As to the suits in the Subordinate Courts 33,617, or 23 per cent. were dismissed, compromised, &c., 61,423 or more than one-half were decided ex-parte, and only 22,437, or 18 per cent. were contested. Of the contested suits no less than 84 per cent. were decided for the plaintiff in whole or part. This percentage was in the general jurisdiction of the High Court 66, and in the District Courts 60, but these results are obtained from comparatively a very small number of cases. In the Small Cause Courts the percentage decided in favor of plaintiff was the same as in the Subordinate Courts. The number of suits pending at the end of the year was 32,199, an increase of about 1,300 over last year. The total number of suits disposed of was about 6,500 less this year.

Ex-parts Decrees.—In former years it has been noticed that the number of ex-parts decrees was very large, and that complaints had been made, that this state of things was not creditable to the courts. There was reason to fear, it was said, that summenses were not properly served on defendants, and that money-lenders used the Courts with the connivance of the subordinates to recover their claims by the easy process of an ex-parts decree, the debtors knowing nothing of the proceedings till their property was seized in execution of decrees. During the last 5 years there were—

	1874	1875.	1876.	1577.	1975.
Total number of suits disposed of in Subordinate Courts Decrees ex-parts	- 152,058	155,010 83,846	161,439 83,573	125,927 60,031	119,410 58,859

In 1874 the establishments of the Judges were revised, and new rules for regulating the service and execution of processes were promulgated. It will be observed from the above figures that since that year there has been a steady decrease in the proportion of ex-parte decrees. As contrasted with 1874 when 59 per cent of the suits were decreed ex-parte, during the year only 49 per cent. were so decreed.

Average duration of Suits.—The following Table shows the average duration of suits for this and last year:—

Contest	ed Suita.	Es-juris,		
1877.	1578.	1577.	1578.	
272	236	132	150	
67 603	77 \$07	82 321	67 166 186	
	1877. 272 67	272 256 67 77 803 207	1877. 1578. 1577. 272 256 132 67 77 62 603 207 321	

During the year, in the Subordinate Courts, the average was highest in Dhárwár and lowest in the Panch Maháls, where the suits are few and of a comparatively simple kind. A contested suit took more than a year on an average in the Broach, Thána, Kolába, Násik, Dhárwár and Ratnágiri Courts, and in the Thána, Násik and Dhárwár Courts even an uncontested suit took more than a year. The average in the Dhárwár district was very much higher than in the other districts; it was over two years in contested and nearly two years in uncontested suits. No explanation is given of this great delay in the disposal of suits. It is probable however that the average was raised by the delay in suits pending from 1876 and 1877, which could not be disposed of through the parties having left the district during the famine.

In some of the Districts one or other of the Courts was closed from unavoidable causes for some time. In the Ratnágiri district this was the case for about 9 months. In the Kánara district, the Sirsi Court was closed for 3 months and 2 other Courts for periods under a month. And in the Sátára districts some of the Courts were closed for a considerable time.

Suits against Government.—During the year 64 suits were instituted against Government, being an increase of 15 from last year and a decrease of 60 from 1876. Twenty of these suits were brought in the Ahmedabad district and 9 in the Ratnágiri district. 17 referred to land or rights therein, 16 to personal rights; the rest were money suits for breaches of contract and damages. In the Ahmedabad district 5 were decided in favour of Government, 6 were compromised or withdrawn, and 3 were decided against Government. In Ratnágiri most of the suits were compromised and the Judge hopes that the settlement of the Khoti question will soon free Government from litigation.

Mofussil Small Cause Courts.—17,792 suits were instituted as against 16,310 last year, being thus an increase of 1,482. The number of suits pending (1,442) and the number of suits disposed of (16,941) was about the same as last year. Of the whole number disposed of 6 per cent, were dismissed for default, 35 per cent, were withdrawn with leave, confessed or compromised, and in about 7,000, or 41 per cent, the claim was awarded ex-parts. 2,505, or 14 per cent, were contested; and of these 2,118 were decided for plaintiff.

Unpaid Cantonment and Revenue Courts.—The unpaid tribunals are in the Násik, Ahmedaagar, Poona and Sátára districts. Their work, as in previous years, was very small. There was a decrease of 95 in the suits instituted, and they disposed of in all 138 cases, of which 33 were contested.

The Cantonment Magistrates at Poona and Belgaum disposed of 1,642 suits, 653 less than last year. The number of suits instituted was less by 206.

In the Mamlatdar's Revenue Courts and in one unpaid Court in the Nasik district 6,417 suits were instituted, 135 less than last year. And during the year 6,467 suits were disposed of.

Value and Nature of Suits.—The suits disposed of in the Revenue Courts show an increase of about Rs. 39,000 in their total value; but in the Civil Courts, excluding the High Court as to which there is no information, the value declined from 1877 by about Rs. 7,94,000, and from 1876 by 20 lacs.

There is no information available as to the total value of suits instituted. The average value, however, taking all the Civil Courts in the Mofussil, except the Revenue Courts, would probably be a little over Rs. 100. The average value of the suits disposed of in these Courts, during the year, was Rs. 102, and on the total value of the suits disposed of, the average value of each suit instituted, was Rs. 101 during the year and Rs. 103 in 1877. In about 2,740 suits disposed of no money value is given.

In the Subordinate Courts 83,721, or 69 per cent of the total number of suits instituted in them were based on bonds for sums under Rs. 500, and 97 per cent. were suits brought for sums under Rs. 500, 76 per cent. being for sums under Rs. 100.

In the Small Cause Courts the largest number of suits was for sums between Rs. 20 and 100; 38 per cent. were for sums under Rs. 20, 55 per cent. of the suits instituted were on bonds, 14 per cent. on accounts stated, and 17 per cent. for goods sold. In the Subordinate Courts it will be observed the percentage of

bond suits was higher than in the Small Cause Courts in the large towns. The suits on accounts stated 8.3 per cent. were, however, fewer. Next to these suits, in the Subordinate Courts, come suits for immoveable property, 6.1 per cent, and suits on parol contracts under Rs. 500, 4.2 per cent.

In the Ratnágiri, as in the other districts, the largest number of suits referred to debts; then came rent and land suits. As to the land suits the Judge (Mr. Izon) remarks: "Many of them are suits by Khots to recover rent of Khoti land cultivated by tenants. The Khot generally founds his claim on an inspection paper, which he prepares on the spot from an inspection of the crops or stocks in the field with the assistance of one or more of his friends, whether the tenant be present or not. No system of assessment can be more objectionable or more unfair to the cultivators. The revenue survey which is in progress it is hoped will soon determine the Khot's demands on the ryots more accurately." The Judge of Belgaum gives some interesting particulars. 8.9 per cent of the suits were for immoveable property. Of 3,841 suits disposed of, 3,526 were for money claims. 283 suits were between ryots, 309 were between ryots and persons not saucars, and 2,658 between ryots and saucars.

Execution of Decrees.—As already stated there was a remakable decrease in the number of applications for execution of decrees. During the year there were 19,452 less than in 1877, and 75,813, or more than one-fourth the number in 1876, less than in that year. The unexecuted decrees also increased by 13,302.

The following is a statement of the results during the last 3 years in all Courts out of Bombay, except Revenue:—

		_	1876.	1877.	1578.
Applications to execute decrees Decrees completely executed Do. partly executed Do. unexecuted Persons imprisoned Moveable property attached Do. do. sold Immoveable property attached Do. do. sold	•	•••	282,516 30,212 80,352 56,103 4,550 17,551 7,293 30,522 21,413	226,155 23,066 59,402 57,916 3,612 13,577 5,113 22,725 15,971	206,703 27,786 . 47,511 71,213 3,823 13,361 4,512 18,815 11,130

The figures in each of the Subordinate Courts were as under-

			Applications Filed.		Decrees completely executed,		Decrees partly executed.		Decrees unexecuted.	
			1877.	1878.	1877.	1978.	1877.	1978.	1877.	1873
Ahmedabad Kaira Surat Broach Panch Mahala Khandesh Thana Kolaba Nasik Ahmednagar Poona Sholapur Satara Beigaum Kaialgi Dharwar Ratnagiri	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		9,241 22,963 9,521 18,073 1,472 27,924 12,319 7,244 14,264 11,263 13,166 4,414 12,674 3,231 1,455 3,146 10,469	8,705 19,003 4,703 10,035 765 31,472 10,705 6,272 14,173 15,264 13,519 6,4-9 11,726 0,309 1,945 3,450 8,474	1,002 2,119 790 1,857 330 2,934 1,116 710 1,421 764 1,133 357 143 357 143 143 1,313	1,132 2,303 629 1,010 223 3,179 1,961 819 2,043 1,444 1,344 1,344 1,344 1,344 1,533 839 1,744 0,63	2,001 6,3.0 1,471 4,163 9,9 8,701 1,511 2,995 1,101 2,507 413 2,634 2,634 2,634 1,214 1,257 1,257	1,000 1,000	1,530 2,501 2,613 0,727 7,116 3,6-2 2,112 2,613 4,647 1,673 3,6-3 1,539 3,71 7,27 0,044	2,911 6,021 1,022 2,003 12,120 4,004 2,004 3,007 6,721 6,731 1,733 1,733 1,733 1,733
Kánara Kurrachee Hyderabad Shikarpur	***	••	2,543 1,297 4,-04 8,504	1,923 905 2,903 3,056	1,002 650 607 671	613 415 840 916	376 2/1 2/4 9/1	1-2 127 2-1 2-1 236	545 59 259 421	6-1 474 372
That and Parkar	440	•••		21		22		1	•••	3

The following is a summary of the above figures, with the results in 1874-76, and the total number of suits instituted and disposed of is added for comparison:—

	1874.	1875.	1676.	1877.	1578.
Number of suits instituted .	147,244	153,814	151,112	119,308	120,074
Number of suits disposed of .	152,058	155,010	161,439	125,927	119,410
Applications for execution decrees	234,034	239,163	243,946	196,900	179,537
Decrees executed	. 24,761	25,186	26,643	22,048	24,463
Decroes partly executed .	67,860	50,698	60,498	44,087	34,077
Decrees unexecuted .	62,191	56,429	50,591	52,277	63,676

III.—APPELLATE.

High Court from Division Courts.—There were 22 appeals remaining from 1877, and 36 were instituted during the year, and 36 disposed of: 4 were dismissed or withdrawn, and the rest were contested—11 confirmed, 7 modified, 13 reversed, and 1 remanded.

			1874.	1875.	1876.	1877.	1878.	High Court, Longal. 1877.
Number of appeal	s.,,		33	25	21	26	36	38
Number disposed	of		. 27	87	27	15	36	23
Contested	•••	•••	17	29	24	10	32	14
Confirmed	4+1	••.	11	18	15	4	11	13

Hight Court. Appellate Side.—540 appeals altogether were instituted during the year, 58 more than in 1877. 296 remained from last year, and of the 836 for disposal, 610 were disposed of during the year, 71 more than in 1877; but the number of contested appeals was 93 less. Of the number instituted, 449 were second and special appeals. According to the table for 1877 there were only 264 special appeals instituted. But the number given is wrong, as by mistake the second appeals were reckoned as appeals from District Courts instead of as special appeals. The appellate work from the District Courts stands thus, compared with former years:—

		_	1874.	1575.	1876.	1877.	1878.
Regular and instituted Decided ex-pa Contested Confirmed Modified Reversed Remanded	•••	appeals	679 25 467 305 34 56 72	588 44 617 400 51 91 69	529 30 596 598 40 76 72	4S2 78 460 S22 41 53	539 203 367 230 44 52 41

There was thus an increase of 57 in the number of appeals instituted, but a decrease as compared with the average of former years. As to the much larger proportion than before which were decided ex-parte, 33 per cent. of the whole number disposed of, against 13 per cent. in 1877 and 4 per cent. in 1876, the increase is explained by the fact that in the large majority the appeals shown as decided ex-parte were dismissed without notice having been sent to the respondents, under the provisions of section 551 of the Civil Procedure Code. There was accordingly a proportionate decrease in the number of contested appeals. Sixtyfive per cent. of the contested appeals decided were confirmed in 1375, 67 per cent. in 1876, and 70 per cent. in 1877. Last year this percentage was 62. This does not, however, mean a less satisfactory result, as many of the appeals dismissed ex-parte, under section 551 of the Code, were such as in former years under the old procedure would have been contested; and they would so have been shown as confirmed among the contested appeals, and would thus have served to raise the percentage of appeals confirmed. From the same cause the appeals reversed during the year are made to bear a larger percentage than would have been the case formerly. The new Code came into force on the 1st October 1877, and hence, probably, the proportionate increase that year in the number of appeals decided ex-parte.

Seventy-four regular appeals from District Courts were disposed of. The appeals confirmed, out of those contested, were at the rate of 60 per cent.; from the Division Courts of the High Court the appeals confirmed were at the rate of 34 per cent. Of the miscellaneous appeals instituted, 10 were under the minors Act (20 of 1864), 1 under Act X of 1865, 1 under the Letters Patent, and 28 Miscellaneous. At the end of the year 225 appeals were pending, and of these 122 were over 4 months.

District Courts from Subordinate Courts.—There has been a slow but steady decline in the number of appeals from the Subordinate Courts—

18	374.	1875.	1876.	1877.	1878.
Appeals instituted 3.	749	3.802	3.781	3.626	3.000

Some of the Judges consider that the decrease is owing to the improved quality of the work done in the Subordinate Courts, a result of the improvement in the cducation of the Subordinate Judges and the better procedure rules now in force. The great improvement of the Subordinate Courts has been generally noticed and is a most gratifying result, and there can be no doubt that suitors are now beginning to find that where the original suit has been carefully tried and there are no doubtful questions of law involved, there is nothing to be gained, as a rule, by an appeal; but there are other causes which have also been clearly at work. Apart from the natural decrease to be expected in proportion to the decrease in the number of suits instituted, a great many of the Subordinate Judges have been invested with Small Cause Court jurisdiction, and the suits disposed of by them in that capacity are no longer appealable. The power to dismiss appeals without calling on the respondent, as given by Section 550 of Code, has also tended to discourage such appeals as were formerly brought by the losing side merely to gain that although 3 of the Subordinate Judges have been given summary powers, the appeals have increased by 53. The litigation in that district is altogether exceptional. It has to be remarked, however, that, as compared with 1875, when the number of appeals was the largest, the actual proportion of appeals to suits instituted remains the same, namely, 2.4 per cent.; but the proportion of appeals to appealable decisions in the Subordinate Courts was only 14 per cent during the year, as against 15 per cent. in 1877 and 16 per cent. in 1875. The most marked decrease was in Broach, where the appeals fell off to a little over onethird of the number in 1877, and in Surat, where the appeals were about onehalf the number in 1877. In these districts the decrease accompanies the falling off in the original suits and the litigation generally. Excepting a slight rise in Dhárwár, Khándesh, Kolába and Ahmedabad, in addition to Ratnágiri, there was a falling off in all the districts.

The Appellate work in each of the districts is shown below :-

				Number	instituted.	Number	Contested	Pending.	Ratio of Appeals to original
				1877.	1879.	Disposed of.	Appeals.		auite con- tested.
Ahmodabad			•••	112	131	86	51	185	19.6
Kuira	•••	•••	••••	142	115	80	43	186	12.6
Surat	• • •	•••		163	84	114	75	226	11.7
Broach	•••	•••	•••	202	71	102	83	278	12.9
Panch Maháls	•••		***	59	37	30	22	84	24.8
Thann		•••	•••	230	*171	254	214	189	10.7
Kolába	***			161	†188	177	161	204	14.7
Násik			•••	223	178	76	66	357	10.4
Khándesh		***		180	193	183	168	149	7.9
Ahmednagar –		***		184	159	09	81	188	13.4
Poona		•••	•••	274	172	239	185	28	11.8
Sholápur		• • •	•••	154	97	166	142	56	9.2
Sáthra		•••		243	236	234	211	121	15·5
Belgaum		***		168	154	171	151	88	21.2
Kaládgi	• • •	***		77	37	57	46	47	10-1
Dharwar		***		131	142	207	179	124	22.
Ratnágiri				456	509	401	325	614	23.2
Kánara		***		186	149	171	157	179	21.4
Kurracheo		***		12	_ 8	11	10	2	1.8
Hyderabad				. 53	41	47	43	10	8.3
Shikarpur		•••	•••	202	137	143	132	24	11.5
•			ŀ			·[· ·		<u> </u>
•	•	Total	•••	3,626	3,000	3,051	2,550	3,349	14.1

[•] Includee 5 remanded from High Court.
† Do. 6 do. do.

Excepting Shikarpur, the number of appeals in the Sind Districts was very small. In the Thar and Parkar District there was not a single appeal instituted, although there were 186 suits, including 31 contested, disposed of. The heaviest appellate work has been, as in former years, in Ratnagiri. The number of appeals instituted, disposed of and contested, has been much larger in that district than in any other. The proportion of appeals to contested or appealable suits in that district, namely, 23.2 per cent, has also been the largest, excepting in the Panch Mahals, where, however, the number of contested suits was small. The largest number of contested suits was in Khandesh, and yet the proportion of appeals to such suits there was only 7.9 per cent, the lowest proportion if the Sind Districts be excepted. The percentage of appeals to appealable decisions was over 20 in the Ratingiri, Belgaum, Dharwar and Kanara Districts, and excepting Ahmedabad and Satara was below 15 per cent. in the other districts. In 1877 the percentage in the Ratnagiri District was 25.2. In that district one Judge succeeded in disposing of 404 appeals, that is about double the work performed by a single Judge in any other district. No explanation is given of this fact. And the reports of the District Judges do not give any information as to the character of the principal appellate work in the district. As will be seen from the above table, the arrears at the end of the year were 750 in the Thana District, including Kolába and Násik, 614 in Ratnágiri, 504 in Surat, including Broach, 371 in Ahmedabad and Kaira, 188 in Ahmednagar and 179 in Kanara. In the other districts the number was under 150. The First Class Subordinate Judge of Dharwar has appellate powers, and he disposed of 174 out of the 207 appeals disposed of, the time of the District Judge having been taken up largely by criminal work.

Sadar Court, Sind.—Fourteen regular and 17 second appeals were instituted during the year and 17 remained from 1877. Thirty were disposed of and 18 were pending at the end of the year.

Revenue Courts.—Ninety-nine appeals were instituted and disposed of in the Collector's Appellate Court. Excepting one appeal in Khandesh, all these appeals were instituted in the Kaira District.

IV.—GENERAL.

Cost of Courts.—The total cost of the Civil Courts out of Bombay, including the cost of the Sessions Courts which it is not possible to show separately, was Rs. 16,22,836; while the aggregate revenue from Stamps and Court fees amounted to Rs. 16,34,037. The average cost on each suit instituted was about Rs. 11, and on each suit disposed of Rs. 13. The average realised in Stamps and Court fees, on each suit instituted, was Rs. 11-12-0. The cost of the High Court, Original Side, was Rs. 4,79,199, and Appellate Side Rs. 2,74,317, and of the Sadar Court in Sind Rs. 37,453, making a total cost of Civil Courts Rs. 24,13,807. cost of the High Court, after deducting the stamps and fees realised, was about Rs. 4,37,593, and of the Sadar Court in Sind Rs. 34,324. This includes, as in the case of the other Civil Courts, the cost on the criminal side. All the Small Cause Courts yield a surplus revenue after deducting the expenses of establishment. The Poona Court gives the largest amount, Rs. 16,819. and the Kurrachee Court the smallest, Rs. 3,601. The cost of the Courts exceed the receipts in the following Subordinate Courts—Panch Mahals, Ratnagiri, Kanara, Kurrachee, and Thar and Parkar. The largest revenue is obtained from the Dhárwár Courts.

Arbitration.—The total number of suits referred to arbitration were in each year from 1875, 267, 324, 480 and 433 respectively, the great majority being from the Subordinate Courts. These suits are very few compared with the whole number of suits disposed of. The Courts are always ready to give parties time to settle their disputes by a reference to arbitration, but the above figures conclusively show that suitors are averse to resorting to arbitration, and that they prefer an adjudication by the Regular Courts though this is often accompained by delay and expense, and a somewhat riged application of the rules of procedure and evidence. The Lavád or Arbitration Courts seem to be decreasing in popularity, as remarked in a previous administration report. Government is desirous of abiding the decision of time as to whether they meet a real want or not. The Judge of Ratnágiri remarks that he is informed the Court there did no work during the year. The Lavád Courts tried in the Ratnágiri District on the system of the old Pancháyats seem, he says, to be a failure. "The people would appear to have no confidence in the good faith of the arbitrators. It was a new scheme, and the novelty attracted some suitors, but as soon as the scheme was brought into practice, and the result tried, no one would go to the Court."

In two of the Khandesh Subordinate Courts 179 arbitration awards were filed. The other Judges have not noticed the subject. The Judical Commissioner in Sind states that in one of the Sind Districts creditors have, by an ingenious device, used the provisions of Chapter 37 of the Code, which refers to arbitration, as a means of recovering their claims from debtors without paying stamp duties and fees of Court; but his explanation is not very clear.

Insolvency.—The reports of the District Judges do not show that any use was made during the year of the Insolvency provisions of Chapter 20, the Code of Civil Procedure by judgment-debtors. In one Subordinate Court, in the Sholf-pur District, it is stated 7 applications were made, but these apparently referred to an application under Chapter 21, Section 370, by a defendant, in a suit in which the plaintiff became bankrupt, for the dismissal of the suit, as if the applications had been by judgment-debtors for a declaration of their insolvency, they would have been made in the District Court, as required by law. One of the Subordinate Judges, in the Khandesh district, remarks that persons in really destitute circumstances cannot take advantage of the provisions of Chapter 20 owing to their inability to pay the Court fees and the expenses of notices to their creditors and advertisements in the public papers. The expense of advertisements may be avoided at the discretion of the Court, but there seems to be no doubt that the machinery provided is too cumbrous and expensive for ordinary use. It involves an application to the District Court, and the appointment of a receiver and a procedure which cannot be otherwise than lengthy and expensive. Time is no

doubt required for the gradual diffusion of a knowledge of this and other new provisions of the law among the mass of the people, but sufficient time has now clapsed to show that such provisions are not appreciated even in the large towns in which the District Courts are situated.

Small Cause Court Jurisdiction of Subordinate Courts.—The Judge of Ratnágiri reports that the Small Cause Court system in the Subordinate Courts appears to have worked well and can be extended with advantage to the other courts not invested with the power. In the Ahmednagar District all the Subordinate Judges are now invested with that power, and the Judge seems to be satisfied with their work. The First Class Subordinate Judge at Sátára has also that power, and at the end of 1877 the Subordinate Judge at Sholápur was invested with Small Cause Court power throughout his jurisdiction and not within the cantonment only, as before. Four out of the 9 Subordinate Courts, in the Khándesh District, have also the power. The working of the system should be particularly noticed in future reports, and the supervision exercised shown. The summary procedure in these courts, in the trial of suits cognizable by Small Cause Courts, certainly gives the advantage of a speedy disposal of the suits. The average duration of suits in the undermentioned courts was:—

			ļ	Regu	ar Suita,	SMALL CAUBE COURT CLASS.		
	•	ř	ţ	Contested.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Uncontested.	
Khandesh	***	***	•••	162	61 68 .	58 83	53 60	
Sholápur Sátára	***	* * *	,	999	83 . 246	35 135	36 118	

Miscellaneous Applications.—The reports do not show the applications for certificates of heirship, guardianship, administration, and the like. These, it is believed, are numerous in some districts, and take up a considerable portion of the time of the Judges. The Judge of Belgaum mentions that in his Court 77 miscellaneous applications were received, and the Judge of Dharwar states that he disposed of 76.

Working of the Civil Procedure Code.—Nearly all the Judges notice the greater delay and expense involved in the new procedure on applications for execution of decrees, and there is no doubt that a considerable degree of extra labour is imposed on the courts. It also appears probable, as stated above, that the new Code of Civil Procedure, has served to check the applications for execution of decrees and to increase the number of unexecuted decrees. But as the Judge of Ratnagiri remarks the longer procedure now required by Section 287 as to the ascertaining of the rights of judgment-debtors in the property to be sold is compensated by the advantage derived by the public from such a system. Purchasers, at Court sales, will not any longer "buy visionary interests and involve themselves in endless litigation." But in the case of moveable property actually seized, of small value, that Judge's opinion is generally concurred in that the long investigations required by the rules in force appear to be unnecessary and increase the costs which eventually fall upon the debtors.

One of the Subordinate Judges in the Khandesh District thinks that the new code is half as expensive again in its working as the old, owing to the increase in the number of notices, and that the issue of so many notices as at present required in respect of property, which is very small, is quite needless and productive of great delay. Another Subordinate Judge, in the same district, states that proceedings in execution of decrees now usually last for 6 months: "It would have been better to apply the rules to immoveable property only, and a general application of the rules to all kinds of property is injurious. The Kunbis and others are deep in debt, and their property consists of a cot, a grinding mill, and a few cooking-vessels. Yet this property remains under attachment for several months, while the proceedings in the High Court rules are pending

or in progress, and then is sold for a few annas." During the year the Judge of Khandesh made a report to the High Court as to the practice which tended to delay the execution, and to keep property such as live-stock under attachment for months. The High Court thereon ruled that the examination of the judgment-debtor, as ordered in the rules under Section 287 of the Code, "need not be taken at a cost of inconvenience out of proportion to the value of the property, unless this should be made necessary by claims actually brought forward." The object of the inquiry under these rules is, it is said, generally defeated by judgment-debtors, hardly over appearing.

In connection with this subject it may be mentioned that one of the Subordinate Judges in the Shelfpur District thinks that judgment-creditors, fearing lest their diligence may be impeached under Section 230 of the Code on their making a renewed application for execution of their decrees, often carry en execution proceedings at a loss to themselves as well as to their debtors. The subject is not, however, noticed in any of the other reports.

During the year Government, under the power conferred by Section 320 of the Code, ordered that the execution of decrees should be transferred from the Civil Courts to the Collector in the districts of Poona, Sholápur, Ahmednagar, and Sátára, in those cases in which a Court has ordered either in execution of a decree or on the terms of the decree itself, the sale of land belonging to any person who earns his livelihood, either wholly or principally, by agriculture. Rules were also published for the guidance of the Collector. Under the amending Act XII of 1879, the law bearing on the subject has been considerably improved.

Section 258, Civil Procedure Code.—An opinion is expressed by some of the Subordinate Judges that a limitation of 15 days, under Section 258 of the Code, is not beneficial. "The debtor is generally airaid of certifying any payments to the court for fear of displeasing the creditor. He cannot force the creditor to certify the payments immediately, and the creditor executes the whole of his decree, and probably appropriates the debtor's payments to some unsecured or time-expired debt. The present provisions of the law press very severely on ignorant people in a district like Khándesh."

Section 266, Civil Procedure Code.—The Judge of Ratnágiri remarks that, under Section 266 of the Code, fishermen's nets and boats are not exempted from attachment, apparently by an oversight. The attachment of the nets and boats reduces the fishermen to starvation, and the courts are helpless as the law stands. It is to be regretted that the subject was not brought to the notice of the Government of India before the amending Act was passed.

by the new rules in the Civil Procedure Code as to the appointment of guardians ad litem, being inoperative in this Presidency through the Minors' Act (XX of 1864). The High Court has decided that, notwithstanding the rules in the Procedure Code, a person cannot defend a suit on behalf of a minor without a certificate from the District Court. When the suit is brought against the minor by a creditor, no one will come forward on behalf of the minor, and hence the Názir has to be appointed; and then the jurisdiction of the Subordinate Courts is barred and proceedings have to be taken do novo in the District Court because the Názir, as an officer of Government, is a party. Great delay and expense are therefore caused, simply because one of the parties happens to be a minor. A change in the law, it is suggested, is required to meet such cases.

Khándesh.—Some particulars are given in the report of the Judge of Khándesh as to the state of the country, which deserve to be recorded. "In the western part of the district," he says: "there is very little trade and not much cultivation, and the country is wild and remote. The general condition of the Agriculturists, throughout the district, seems to be one of indebtedness and poverty. The provisions of the new Civil Procedure Code do not make much difference in their condition; while they cause considerable expense and delay." The Subordinate Judge of Nandurbár remarks that "the population in the tallukas within his district is about 177,416, for the most part Bhils who follow

no occupation except cultivation. They generally work as Sáldárs or labourers by the year, for the cultivators especially the Guzars. Suits brought against them are seldem contested, and they are sunk in deplorable ignorance. Often the trading class take advantage of the Evidence Act, avoiding Stamp and Registration charges. The creditors get accounts adjusted by the debtors or simple money bonds passed, and cause the debtors to relinquish their lands in their favour by getting them transferred to their names in the revenue books. The land remains standing in the creditor's name as security for payment of the debt. So, too, the debtors execute deeds of sale conveying their lands and houses, there being a mutual oral agreement that if the purchase money is repaid with interest, the debtor will receive back his property. But the creditor taking advantage of Section 92 of the Evidence Act fails to fulfil the oral agreement and dispossesses the debtor of all his property in consideration of a very small sum."

Itules passed.—During the year rules were published in the Gazette as to the remuneration and duties of the Law Officers of Government, and as to suits and civil proceedings in the mofussil in which Government or any officer of Government is a party, or in which Government have any interest.

The High Court also published rules as to examinations for the offices of Subordinate Judges, and of Pleader of the High Court and District Pleader. These rules are to come into force from the beginning of 1880. There are to be two standards—the higher standard for Subordinate Judges and High Court Pleaders, and the lower standard for District Pleaders. The examinations, however, for both standards are to be the same, and are to be held annually in February or March. The examination is to be conducted in the English language, "want of proficiency in which, as shown in the candidate's answer, will be held to disqualify him."

Rules were also passed by the High Court during the year as to Pleader's costs, Testamentary and Intestate practice in the High Court, sales by the Commissioner in the High Court, and Copying and Translating fees.

There was no legislation during the year which calls for any particular notice.

Changes in the Courts and Stoff.—In August 1877, the Small Cause Court, at Ahmednagar, was abolished, and a Court of a Subordinate Judge, 2nd class, 3rd grade, opened at Shrigonda. This Subordinate Judge has since been deputed to assist the Subordinate Judge at Nagar. The Court at Sangameshvar, in the Itatnágiri District, was, during the year, removed to Deorukh.

At the beginning of the year there were 3 substantive vacancies in the establishment of Subordinate Judges, and during the year 3 Subordinate Judges retired on pension—Ráo Sáheb Raoji Govind Karandikar, Harilal Ambashankar, and Dinshaji Pestonji Dastur. Six Subordinate Judges died during the year—Ráo Sáheb Wamanrao Parashram Damle, Mukundrao Bhaskar Kothari, Wasudev Nilkhant Bhadkamkar, Fakirappa Lingappa, Vishnu Moreshwar Kelkar, and Khan Sáheb Shavaksha Sorabji Davar. The following 11 Subordinate Judges were appointed to substantive vacancies in the 2nd Class, 3rd Grade:—Rão Sáheb Krishnaji Narayan Kher, Waman Mahadev Bodas, Khán Sáheb Jamsetji Ardesir Dálál, Ráo Sáheb Anant Gopal Bhave, Vishwanath Vinayak Paranjpe, Chandulal Mathuradas Daolatjada, Raghunath Shivram Tipnis, Vyankatrao Laxamaya, Mahadeo Shridhar Kulkarni, Raghvendra Ramchandra and Nagardas Narotamdas Nanavati. And there was one substantive vacancy at the end of the year.

The following promotions were made:—From 2nd class, 1st grade to 1st class, 3rd grade—Ráo Sáheb Ramrao Subaji Gutikar. From 2nd class, 2nd grade to 2nd class, 1st grade—Ráo Sáheb Shivlal Nathubhai, Govind Jaganath Kotwal, Ramchandra Janardhan Palnitkar, Ragho Narayan Kondvikar and Samukhram Navnidrai Hora.

From 2nd class, 3rd grade to 2nd class, 2nd grade—Khan Sáheb Manekji Nasarwanji Nanavati, Mr. L. G. Fernandez, Rio Sáheb Dinkar Dhondeo Datar, Khán Sáheb Edulji Manjibhai Modi and Rio Sáheb Gopal Vinayak Bhanap. During the year appellate powers under the Bombay Civil Court's Act XIV of 1869, were conferred on Ráo Bahádur Mahadeo Govind Ranadé, 1st Class Subordinate Judge, Násik, under Section 27, and under Section 17 on Messrs. A. L. P. Larken, J. Monteath, and J. Davidson.

A.
Original Cases instituted, disposed of and pending, 1877-78.

	-		Insti	tuted.	Dispe	to be	Penc	ling.
Division.	District.	Courts.	1877.	1878.	1877.	1678.	1877.	1878.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Re.	Ra.	Ra	Ra	Ra	Ra.
	Ahmedabad Kaira Surat Broach Panch Mahála Thána Kolába Násik Khándesh Ahmednagar Poona Sholápur Sátára Belgaum Kaládgi	Do. Small Cause Court Regular Small Cause Court Itegular Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Small Cause Court Regular Do. Court Regular Do. Do. Do. Court Regular Do. Do. Do. Do.	4,984 3,654 7,974 3,776 2,719 6,434 7,793 5,858 8,908 14,703 9,043 5,485 4,052 8,155 3,554	7,277 2,954 3,152 3,522 1,190 8,68 8,764 3,997 10,002 18,161 7,842 8,500 8,867 7,868 4,080 2,710	3,655 10,554 4,127 6,564 7,648 7,648 1,656 3,624 14,762 8,694 1,679 1,679	6,943 8,937 8,937 8,577 1,115 5,465 8,246 7,646 8,714 8,714 8,714 8,22 4,96 2,76	1,915 405 1,012 1,475 1,475 1,818 1,010 1,568 1,010 2,000 2,000 2,072 1,184 3,450 634 0,84	3 ,033 687 391
•	Dhárwár Ratnágiri Kánara Kurrachee Hyderabad Shikárpur Thar and Párkar Total	Do. Do. Small Cause Court Regular Do. Do. Regular	3,599 6,845 2,646 2,638 3,673 7,221 8,828 415	2,260 2,280 3,460 7,064 6,114 174	7,103 9,086 408 1,28,761	4.912 7,356 2,272 2,268 3,347 6,982 8,952 9 216	\$60 3,806 1,681 141 67 203 515 44	624 2,935 1,619 154 146 446 706 06
		Small Cause Court Grand Total	16,310 1,38,028	17,792	16,522 1,45,283	16,941	83,639	2,249 33,75

^{*} Includes all Courts except High Court and Revenue Courts,

B. Number and Value of Suits instituted in the Regular Civil Courts, i. e., excluding the Small Cause Courts, 1874-1878.

Distraction.	Velue in 1874.	Namber In 1874.	Namier in inis.	Number In 1876.	Number in 1677,	Band≁r in 1878.	Value in 1878.	REMARES.
	Its.						Ra.	
Ahmedahad Kaira	13,00,043	16,735	18,544	17,265	A 5,843 K 8,852	A *4,875 K 6,901	*9,36,769 4,75,303	*Taken from Ecturn No. 7.
Furat Froach	10,13,053	15,400	13,054	14,923	8 4,096 B 6,985	3,351 3,565	11,26,273 4,76,731	The number of suits in-
Panch Mahále	11	1,733	1,754	1,810	-1,353 {T 6,564	744 5,250	62,615 4,94,865	atituted, shown in this return, is the number of suits disposed of; as
Koláha Námk Khándesh	11	25,534	24,531 19,780	23,350	O 8,583 N 9,261 —14,735	3,344 9,103 17,640	3,11,346 8,32,532 15,15,809	the value of suits dis- posed of only is given
Khandesh Ahmednagar Poona	6,78,814	(a) 10,442	0,040	(c)8,041	5,759 (P 8,901	7,039 8,748	9,68,966 10,95,230	in Statement No. 7, and this is the only
Sholápur Sátara	11,10,040	(b) 16,714 12,674	15,526 11,448	17,867 12,434	8 8,507 9,094	5,211 8,289	5,30,776 8,81,348	information available as regards value of
Belgaum e Kaládgi	5,60,171 8,65,713	6,433 8,418	4,773 8,460	8,841 8,331	8,609 1,662	4,028 2,698	4,39,174 2,96,658	suits. Consequently the number of suits
Dharwar Ratungiri	4,69,761	2,575 7,282	8,760 7,602	4,729 7,601	8,464 0,918	4,210 7,815	6,72,316 5,03,769	entered here will not agree with the number
Kanara Kurrachoo Hyderahad	1 464910	2,871 1,625 4,772	3,085 8,123 7,658	2,008 2,758 7,580	2,583 2,636 7,103	2,271 2,241 6,955	2,54,506 3,98,765 5,29,009	entered in Statement
Hyderanad Shikarpur Thar and Parkar	4,31,613	4,833 269	8,929 814	10,114 877	9,086 391	5,951 182	4,65,839 20,799	J
•	1,46,83,996	153,993	155,199	162,101	126,074	120,241	1, 2,88,898	•

Suits how disposed of in all Courts, i. s., including Small Cause Courts.

C.

Division.	District.	Withdrawn, sompromised, confessed, do.	Decided Ex-ports	Contested.	Total.	Remarks.	
	Ahmedahad Small Cause Court Kaira Surat Small Cause Court Brusch Small Cause Court Panch Mahála Thána Kolába Násik Khándesh Ahmednagar Poona Small Cause Court Sholápur Satára Belgaum Kaladgi Dhárwár Ratnágiri Kánara Kurrachee Small Cause Court Hyderahad Shikárpur Thar and Parkar	2,006 728 479 395 1,980 807 2,962 8,163 2,084 1,727 2,054 973 1,247 817 817 844 610 1,562 694 1,382 4,709 4,261	2,655 1,910 4,477 1,039 786 2,582 577 200 1,903 1,307 4,578 11,600 4,141 8,581 2,451 2,451 2,987 6,510 2,487 1,996 2,990 3,594 972 303 1,505 1,059 605 28	694 729 909 716 245 550 62 149 1,556 1,236 1,714 2,428 1,182 1,454 1,009 1,055 1,519 724 366 643 2,196 690 424 460 1,214 1,191	5,281 3,924 6,943 8,381 8,037 3,860 1,118 744 5,439 3,350 9,254 17,391 7,405 8,762 5,514 5,015 8,276 4,028 2,706 4,213 7,352 2,256 2,261 3,347 6,982 6,037 216	•	
	Total Regular Small Cause Court.	25,760 7,206	62,764 7,229	27,648 2,503	121,172 16,940		
	Grand Total	82,966	69,993	25,133	138,112		

⁽a). Of these 88 suits were disposed of by the Jaghirdárs.
(b). This includes 1,449 suits decided by the Cantonmont Magistrate of Poons.
(c). Of these 92 suits were disposed of by the Jaghirdárs.

D.

Decrees Executed in the Regular Courts, i. c., excluding Small Cause Courts.

	Diet	riets.					1579.
	10100				Completely,	Partially,	Amount Realized.
Ahmedabad	,				1,138	1,064	
	•••	•••	•••	•••	2,302	5,397	
Kaira	•••	•••	***	***	653	1,002	
Surat	•••	448	***	•••	1,013	2,692	
Broach	, •••	•••	***	111	203	431	
Panch Mahal	3	•••	. ***	•••		1,593	
Thána	•••	•••	•••	***	1,072 851	1,593 861	
Kolába	40	•••	***	•••			
Násik	•••	•••	•••	•••	2,057	2,520	***
Khándesh	•••	•••	***	***	3,186	3,753	
Ahmednagar	***	•••	•••	111	1,046	1,519	
Poona	•••	***	***		1,503	4,379	No informa-
Sholapur	•••	•••	***	***	1,138	986	tion.
Sátára	•••	•••	•••	***	1,543.	2,293	
Belgaum	***	•••	•••	•••	625	. 2,627	
Káládgi	•••	•••	•••	•••	1,416	848	
Dhárwár	•••	***	•••	•••	803	1,640	
Ratnágiri	•••	***	. 111	•••	1,461	1,541	
Kánara	•••	•••	•••	•••	880	182	
Kurrachee	•••	••••	, •••	•••	461	230	
Hyderabad	•••	•••	•••	***	843	203	
Shikarpur,	. ***	•••	•••	••-	919	539	1
Thar and Par	kar	•••	•••		. 34	1	Ų.
	•			i	•		
					•	· ·	
			Total		24,863	35,903	

E.

	District	Inst	ituted.	Dispo	ed of.	Per	ding.	REMARKS
Ditision,		1977.	1878.	1577.	1876.	1677.	1676.	
	Kaira Surat Birosch Panch Máhála Thána Kolába Násik Khándesh Ahmednagar Poona Sholápur Sátára Bolgaum Kaladgi Dhárwár Ratnágiri Káuara Kurrachee Ilyderabad Shikárpur	112 142 163 202 50 230 161 223 180 184 274 154 243 168 77 131 456 12 53 202 1	134 115 84 71 37 166 182 178 193 159 172 97 236 154 37 142 509 148 8 41	70 77 72 65 18 235 194 126 164 123 301 214 226 122 47 168 286 168 9 46 257	86 80 114 103 30 254 177 76 183 99 239 166 234 171 67 207 404 171 11 47 143	106 123 256 309 77 272 193 255 139 128 95 125 119 107 69 189 509 202 44	185 186 226 278 84 189 204 857 149 188 28 56 121 88 47 124 614 179 2 10 24	:
	Total .	3,613	3,000	2,989	3,051	3,342	3,349	

F.

Number of Appellate Judges and of Appeals preferred with ratio of Appeals to Decisions (contested).

Division.		Distric	·			Ap.	prilate ulgus.	8	Original nite tried a merite.	Appeals preferred.	Ratio per cent. Appeals to original contested Decisions.	Romance
	Surat Broach Panch Máhá Thána Kolába Násik	 Id 	400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	traction of the traction of th	681 903 706 548 149 1,551 1,234 1,700 1,851 636 1,410 964 1,414 710 355 632 2,190 689 896 1,211 1,187	134 115 84 71 87 168 183 178 193 159 172 97 236 154 87 143 80 143 841 137	19·6 12·7 11·8 12·9 24·8 10·7 14·7 10·4 10·4 25 12·1 10· 16·7 21·6 10·4 23·2 21·4 2· 3·3 11·5	,
	-			Total	•••		62		21,117	3,000	•••	

G.
Appeals instituted, 1874-1878.

Districts.	1874.	1875.	1576	15.7.	1878.	REMARK
	236	256	230	A. 112 K. 257 145	13 t 11 5	
Abmedabad, including Kaira Civil		•••	l		23	
Surat, including Broach Civil	201	220	291	8. 163 B. 202	61 71	}
Panch Mahala { Civil Revenue		70	82 		37	
Thins, including Koliba and Civil Nasik Revenue	541	601	526	T. 230 C. 161 N. 223	-166 -132 -178	<u> </u>
Khándesh {Civil Revenue		274	209	180	193	
Ahmednagar {Civil Revenue	1	280	261 	184	159	
Poons, including Sholapur { Civil Revenue		429	513 	{P. 274 8. 164	172 07	
Sátára {Civil Revenue	276	308	303	243	236	<u> </u>
Belgaum {Civil Revenue		213	200	163	154	
Kaládgi {Civil Revenue	152	110	109	77	37	
Dhárwár {Civil Revenue	207	284	219	131	142	
Ratnágir ⁱ {Civil Revenue	415	410	357 	456 •	C03 	
Kánara { Civil Revenue	139	162 	233	186	143	
Kurrschee {Civil Revenue	7		8	12	8	
Hyderabad {Civil Revenue	47	47			41	
Shikarpur Civil Revenue	102		121 	215	137 	
Thar and Parkar {Civil Revenue	2		5	1	•••	
Total { Civil	3,749	3,802	3,781	3,771 145	3,000	

H.
Appeals how disposed of.

Divintes.	Dietri	d,	Confirmed.	Modified.	Bererota	Remaded.	40 shorwise disposed of.	Total.	Resident.
	Ahmedabad Kaira Surab Breach Panch Máhála Thana Kolába Násik Kiándeab Ahmednagar Poona Sholápur Sátára Helgaum Kaladgi Dhárwár Ratnágiri Kánara Kurruchee Hyderabad Shikárpur	200 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	46 45 69 68 22 140 101 35 132 45 139 92 144 67 82 136 289 104 28	4 5 7 8 89 24 16 16 10 43 20 20 85 18 19 48 80 	4 9 17 10 4 46 88 6 25 28 85 80 22 41 9 86 44 25		32 28 14 10 2 17 5 5 11 10 16 7 7 9 6 1 19 6 19 6	86 80 112 101 80 254 177 76 183 99 239 166 234 171 57 207 404 171 111 47	"Appeale mere- ly transferred from one Court to another are got included.
		Total	1,880	878	452	175	218	1 8,048	<u></u>

I.
Business of the Courts of Small Causes, 1878.

													<u> </u>		
	,						Stat.	& CATES	Courses.	•					
	•)							Contr	eted.		Pond-
Divi.	Creats.	State remain- ing from last year.		Total for disposal,	Plaine rejected of returned.	Dis- mined for delegis.	With- drawn with leave.	Com- promised	Decreed on confes- closs	Decreed co-gurie	Djernico- ed co-parto.	Judge- ment for Plaintiff is whole or in park	ment for	Total disposed of.	ing.
								•			ı				
	Ahmedabad	838	8,149	8,475	•	841	9	892	801	1,296	28	468	111	2,967	508
	Nadiád	79	975	1,047		76	8	81	63	578	18	110	90	956	89
	Surat	807	8,162	8,459	8	865	4	1,468	166	786	•••	208	49	3,087	419
	Broach	191	1,190	1,811	1	90		300	88	577		56	6	1,118	192
	Poona	548	5,867	6,409	6	148	141	826	1,483	2,232	219	885	194	5,514	895
	Karrachee	67	3,460	8,533		135	17	94	1,098	1,409	6	876	84	8,847	186
	Total	1,449	17,792	19,234	25	1,155	176	2,661	3,143	6,968	961	2,118	887	16,941	2,989

^{*} Herr-This incitely uses etherwise dispersi of

J.

Business of the Courts of Small Causes for 1877 and 1878.

Division.	Court.		1877.			1578.	
DIVISION.	Court.	Instituted	Disposed of.	Pending.	Instituted.	Disposed of	Pending
	Ahmedabad .	2,652	2,540	S 33	3,142	2,967	5 08
	Nadiád	1,002	1,065	72	975	958	80
	Surat	2,719	2,726	307	3,152	3,037	419
	Broach	779	769	121	1,190	1,118	19:
•	Poons	5,485	5,745	542	5,867	5,514	893
	Kurrachee .	3,673	3,678	67	3,466	3,347	180
	Total .	16,310	16,523	1,442	17,792	16,941	2,286

1

			District	Judges.			SUBORDINA	та Јерсая.	!	Su	IALL CAUSE	Court Judi	IKA,	
	•.	Conte	osted.	Uncon	tested	Cont	ested.	Uncon	tested.	Conte	erted.	Vacon	bretol.	Remarka.
		No. of	No. of days.	No. of	No. of days.	No. of cases.	No. of days.	No. of cases.	No. of days.	No. of cases.	No. of days.	No. of cases.	No. of days.	·
Ahmedabad Kaira Surat Broach Panch Mahila Thina Koliba Nisik Khindesh Ahmedasgar Poona Sholipur Sitira Belgaum Kalidgi Dhirwir Ratnigiri Kinara Kurrachee Hyderabad Shikirpur Thar and Parkar	Total	5 10 2 3 1 5 9 2 11 11 6 1 23 3 4 7	451 478 590 520 593 558 540 119 143 161 165 1614 177 518 260 847 163 185 185	60 43 16 11 2 6 4 5 11 4 2 17 3 4 4 23	305 195 244 463 1 130 130 60 83 41 99 659 160 1	681 903 706 548 149 1,551 1,234 1,700 1,851 636 1,410 964 1,414 710 355 632 2,190 659 396 1,211 1,187 31	253 184 276 398 63 654 384 614 127 162 207 113 283 136 147 890 427 152 32 48 70	4,507 5,991 2,666 3,316 593 8,906 2,110 7,500 10,185 2,147 5,869 8,273 5,863 8,130 2,336 3,567 5,137 1,786 5,761 4,757 155	108 64 151 159 35 452 213 395 61 68 133 83 246 55 68 718 122 77 62 23 1	729 245 62 1,009 460 2,505	45 183 116 53 8	3,196 2,792 1,036 4,505 2,557 	33 45 118 41 6	*This seems to be a mistake. † Do.
	Average	•••	354		123		258		149		31	***	.40	

M.

Cost of Establishments with Stamp Duty and Court Fees realized, 1878.

	Дитакст .			Coes of Establish- ments, including Judges Salaries.	Stamp Duty and Court Fees sealized,	Surplus,	Deficis.
				Re. e. 1	. Ra. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Ra. a. p
Ahmedabad	•••	***	••.	97,910 0 7	1,18,822 8 8	15,412 8 1	*****
Kaira	***	•••		54,959 15 5	67,748 10 0	12,788 10 7	*4***
Barat	***	•••		97,174 18 7	90,458 0 0	*****	6,716 18 7
Bronch	•••	••• `		45,966 8 4	55,798 1 0	9,826 18 8	*****
Panch Máliále	***		***	10,988 0 0	9,421 10 0	*** ***	1,566 6 0
Thána	•••	***	•••	1,06,488 0 0	81,098 2 0	*****	25,889 14 0
Koláb a		•••	•••	29,945 15	55,041 4 0	25,095 4 6	ın ÿ.
Násik	***	***	•1•	72,784 0 (1,00,786 7 0	28,002 7 0	'5 petti
Khánd seh		***	•	1,89,806 & 6	2,21,278 7 0	61,472 1 7	417 676
k bmednagar	•••	444	•••	94,422 1 8	91,831 8 0		2,590 14 8
] oons	***	. 484		1,20,988 2 11	1,55,612 11 0	84,629 8 1	####E#
Sholápur	***	444	•••	- 55,428 15 10	46,744 11 0	******	8,679 4 10
Bátára	•••	***	•••	1,42,507 18 9	1,08,254 4 0	*****	87,253 9 9
Bolgaum	***		•••	76,451 12 6	59,479 19 9	104 let	18,972 0 4
Kaládgi	***	441	•••	44,816 8 6	82,226 1 6	*****	12,090 7 8
dárwár	***	••• •	***	48,500 0 0	71,215 1 9	27,715 1 2	488184
Ra toágirl	***	414	•••	1,18,581 4 7	77,097 10 0	****	41,433 10 7
Kánara	484	404	. ***	83,807 1 8	41,507 7 10	* 251221	42,299 9-10
Carrechee	•••	***		75,874 19 9	47,797 1 5		28,077 10 9
lyderabad	***	***		54,718 8 0	55,273 7 0	355 4 Q	- 001104
har and Párkar	***	***		*****	*****	*****	. 104 449
bikérper and U	pper Sind I	frontier	•••	56,276 10 7	57,050 6 0	778-11 5	# 11101
lig h Court (Ori g	inal Side)	•••	*	4,79,199 5 9	2,87,841 4 0	444 540	1,91,858 1 9
ligh Court (App	ellate Side)		•••	8,74,817 14 · 8	28,581 15 0	poy eeu	2,45,785 18 3
ourt of the Judic Court of Sind	oial Commi	imioner and	Sadar	87,453 9 9	8,129 10 0	507 525	84 ,324 15 9
		Tota	3	24,13,807 11 0	19,58,089 10 9	2,36,271 6 1	6,96,989 6 4

N.
Statistics of the Civil Courts, 1878.

				Nomi	MA AV	DGR4	Dece C	OUBTE.		Nga		ORIGINAL	Sum	\$ =			4.7	orteised (reafined ing a Ju-	1
2 1110	Daniela	Are, equare miles.	Population south	Jedin	Additional Judge.	Debardinate Judge	Mandif.	Small Chare Court.	Charl of Consts.	Small Cause Court.	1	Other.	į	Ratio of Buits to ! lation (per sen	Total Value of Suit (in Engular Courts)	Sharrys and Court Fuse realized.	Namber of Regular peals (lastituded	Mignellanceng or anger in the Cry to Choos Pequiping darket and the Control of th	Missellances App
1	•	3	4	5	6	7	8	•	10	· 11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		
	Ahmedahad Kaira Surat Breach Breach Panch Mahala Thain Rolaha Nasik Khandush Abmeduagut Puona Sholaput Rolana Rol	1,561 1,630) 1,363 1,644 4,058 1,440 7,155 10,148 6,647 5,040 4,549-11 4,941 8,143 5,645 4,544-96 3,7-9 4,235 14,142-191	829,637 762,733 607,047 850,822 240,743 847,424 850,466 1,024,642 771,933 718,054 1,061,002 854,750 816,007 944,037 1,019,146 394,446 721,947 778,247 180,761	111 111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111	1 :- : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	5544968696649484848	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	3 1	Ra. a. p. 97,910 0 7 79,636 5 8 97,174 13 7 45,966 2 4 10,968 0 0 1,06,468 0 0 29,945 15 6 72,784 0 0 1,39,906 2 11 55,423 15 10 1,32,507 13 9 76,451 13 6 44,316 6 9 43,510 0 0 1,18,525 4 7 87,977 1 8 75,874 12 2 54,716 3 0 54,276 10 7 9,631 10 3	\$,466		\$,350 7,977 2,950 8,523 8-19 5,755 3,397 10,002 18,161 7,848 8,500 5,178 7,868 4,040 2,713 4,270 6,440 2,346 2,346 2,346 2,346 1,144 174	9,467 7,277 6,102 4,712 869 8,755 8,397 10,002 18,161 7,842 14,367 8,178 7,868 4,000 9,713 4,270 6,460 9,764 6,114 174	1-1 -9 1- 1-3 -9 1-3 1-7 1-01 1-5 -7 -7 -4 -5 1-3 -9 -7 -00	10,95,230 5,30,776 8,61,348 4,39,174 2,95,658 6,72,316 5,03,769 2,74,516 3,54,765 5,29,049 4,65,839 20,799	Ra. p. 1,13,322 8 8 73,507 1 6 90,458 0 6 55,793 1 0 9,421 10 0 81,098 2 0 65,041 4 0 1,60,796 7 0 91,631 8 0 1,55,612 11 0 46,744 11 0 1,65,223 12 0 57,473 8 2 71,215 1 2 77,947 10 6 47,747 1 5 55,211 12 0 57,950 6 0 47,745 9 0	134 115 84 71 37 171 184 178 169 179 97 246 37 142 549 148 6 41	No information.	No information.

O.

Detail of Courts and Original Suits, with cost of Establishment and Receipts on account of Stamps and Fees, 1878.

District.		Court,		No. of Build.	Cool Metabilet		Stamps 48- Foot-	4	Štopina,		Deflats,
					Re.	4. p.	Ra. a.	p.	Re. ä.	p.	Ra. a, p
Ahmedabad ,,	. {	Small Cause Courts Hubordinate Judges District Judges		4,117 5,287 63	23,178 50,055 24,676	0 11 8 5 6 3	27,602 14 79,941 8 5,758 7	0 2 6	4,424 7 29,905 15	9	18,917 14
Kaira	. }	Email Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	•••	7,263 14	54,989 24,676	ï5 5 6 8	67,748 10 6,758 7	0	12,788 10	7	18,917 16
Bufat	ί.	Bmall Cause Courts Subordinate Judges	***	8,149 9,939	19,441 29,660	11 10	96,579 8 53,189 14	0	7,137 7 23,609 0	9	******
Broach	}	District Judges Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges	***	11 1,190 9,51 <u>5</u>	48,052 45,966		10,689 15 55,798 1	0	9,826 13	8	87,863 5
Panch Mahala	. }	District Judges Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges.	***	7 867	10,252	 18 6	. 8,885 14	0	\$2111.		1,866 15
Thána	 	District Judges Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges	90. 90. 90.	5,759	57,143	0 0	1,035 19 68,646 15		800 9 11,509 15	6	1011111 1411111 000 000 10
Kolaba	.}	District Judges Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	111	8,394	40,845 29,945	ïs 6	19,451 8 65,041 4	•	25,095 4	8	86,898 13 (
Nacile	.}	Bunil Cause Courts Bushordinate Judges Bistrict Judges	411	10,001	54,93 2 18,559		1,00,486 0 800 7	0	46,254 0	0	18,251 0
Khandesh "	}	Smell Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	410	18,156	1,04,509 85,907		2,18,979 8 7,299 4	-	10,946 15	7	97,997 14
Ahmednagar	.}	Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges		7,839	61,576 82,845	••	86,578 8 5,252 11	0	25,001 14	0	27,592 13
Poona	{	Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	944	8,867 8,40 8	81,122 67,524 22,835	7 6	47,942 0 98,109 4 9,561 7		16,819 8 80,584 6	6	12,774 6 1
Bhol ápur	.}	Sinali Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	844 881	8,178	26,656	41.	42,166 7 4,578 4	0	15,509 15	2	24,189 4
Balara "	. }	Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	85: 88:	7,866	98,251 44,25 <u>5</u>		98,857 4 6,397 0	. 0	605 4	8	87,858 14
Belgaum	.}	Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	994 • 894 • 994	4,079	85,849 40,609	"1 6	53,026 12 4,445 7	8	*****	8	86,164 4
Ralidgi	{	Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges	44: 64:	9,718	95,934 19,081	14 3	30,458 9 1,779 0		5,918 11	*	17,309 10
Dherwer	. {	Small Cause Courte Subordinate Judges District Judges	494 494	4,266	15,600 97,900		66,890 9 4,684 15		50,930 2	8	98,915 1
Ratnagiri .,	}	Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges Small Cause Courts	44. 44.	6,451	77,451 41,074	1 6 8 1	69,900 19 7,196 14		. #84446 , #84496 , #88448	•	7,556 5 83,877 5
Cánara	. {	Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges District Judges Small Cause Courts	41. 41.	2,966	41,811 41,995	14 0	87,633 19 8,873 11	10	186111 1861199	_	4,177 6 1 36,122 4 1
Eurrachee	.}	Subordinate Judges District Judges Small Cause Courts	***	3,466 8,204 76	16,306 90,495 87,148		21,907 9 15,118 15 10,770 9		8,601 1 ******	8	5,306 8 26,372 8
iyderabad	.}	Subordinate Judges District Judges Small Cause Courts	***	7,061	86,501 18,157		52,799 7 2,473 15		16,238 6	0	15,683
hikarpur	1	Rubordipate Judges District Judges Small Cause Courts	80. 80.	6.107 7	80,30 <u>2</u> 25,974	8 4	51,568 6 5,489 0		91,965 13	•	20,492 2
har and Par- kar.	{	Subordinate Judges District Judges	24- 54-	157	9,831	44	187 9	0	494264 444464 444464	!	9,644 1
		Total					*****		*****	_	******

JUDICIAL STATEMENTS-6-(CIVIL.)

Statement showing the Number and Description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil Courts of the Districts in the Presidency of Bombay in the Year 1878.

Jenn 	res Ma	est, ju	PRINCE		Derma er	70 M	. 600, a	DESTRA	14.8 TT	E MALI	CAUM	B Cour	79 7 70	E = 01	ma C	 ,*		İ	•	8vm	ŲZ I	188	Larr	Lw,	1					0	PERSONAL PROPERTY.	Sem	L.			
•	Co di .		-0		Con most plat		Moor Ped Se	y had salved.	Geods	mobil.	Contra	och of act not loand ure.	falling the	i not ander Rent w.	prope	mble rty or lue real.	Dan	agus.	rithout aject	ent of Beat.	or withhold-	. 3	ery of pos	Of 8600	the Rent Law	,	ormanos of	ertablish rights cloding pro-emp	stabilish person			100 PB	larriage Matrimonia	A CITIZON A	•	and Came.
an or Trovilla.		Abers 26, 100.	Pi. the and males.	45mm Re 400. , .	De ses and motor.	Abors 24, 800.	In. 500 and under.	Ahore Ba. 600,	En. 860 and under.	Above Re. 400.	Re. 100 and under.	Above Ba. test.	In. 800 and under.	Above Ba. 500.	No. 500 and under,	Above Ra. 306.	Be. 800 and under.	778 B.c. 860.	Arrests of Rent with or v	Enhancement or shaken	Horton,	Per Potents or other caus	For ejectment or recov	resorting of Monor	Soite under ischedabore.	for Improvebly	99		3		d of Jupan	or Oble	Der to de dismolation of h	7 4 1	Deite rekettes to fibitorio	of parties of
mell Bank Communication of Loral Tribu- its Conditional Tribu- its Conditional Tributania (Notation of Conditional	1,750 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1,000	940 33 4,554	740 	9,400 6 6,120) 100 11	: : •	2,120 2,640	: 1	191 	 	457 1 2,004		146		94	11		-1.		. [.	.			* 8 7,445	 381	 9 1,379	: :	: :				ſ		.
om Court Jarro- tion Courte of Pla- rts, technolog of	12,000	-	-	-	1,444	-	128		100	-	=	-	104		570	-		-	-	-		- -				••	-	••			-	-		- [.		
int Julyan Bird sistem Julyan -aman Hagis isa , ,	30	- -	11	•	 186	, 	 146		: 9	•	117	-	300	-		•			<u> </u>	- 1		. .				=				n 	1			11 .		
Trial	14,375	1,030	0.300	100	12,771	-	1,345	70	0,346	•	747	П	3,249	-	1,769	-	-	77			4.	+	-	 	•	T, A4 T		4,768	-	75	•	4	•	135 3		16
h in 1877	14,000	LAU	n, 130	>=	14,500	273.	1,514	a	2,249	16	716	-		-	2,070	=	654	72]			. u			1	7,414		L, 307	117		ш	-	13	170 L		
Arvenin Courts. 4 Pol-Arvidonal ribonals	,,		••				•	••	ı	4-	-					-	••							197		1,251 		4,347						-		

JUDICIAL STATEMENTS-6-(CIVIL)

Statement showing the Number and Description of Civil Suits instituted against Government in the Civil Courts of the Districts in the Bombay Presidency in the Year 1878.

Scritt for Marter, san		-	-11-	***************		640, c	-				Carre	Cope	704	-01	— (-	•	_L				** **	d Ro	er La	T.						0		CYTE.					_	ì
•	Ou wyfitas gaings Mark.	⊳]₁	Og gal Felika		On Solved	1	d pro		certie mid.		nch of net no themsel need,	Page 1	nt pot ig und i Reut a V,	M. Co.	proch proty rates magai			though signatures	. [of Beent.	withholding re-			posession.	seconts from	Bent Law Bot		of scatteret.	emption, fore-	ersonal rights.		OF Easts.	Contrade, or		polisi righta			Claste,	
Class of Transfale.	Le. 600 and under.	Algere Me. sec.	Alvert De 166	D. Money and St. Aug.	Alem In Mil.	En 808 and under	Above Ba. 876.	Be. 400 and under.	Abore Re. 444.	Ba. 600 and under.	Above Re. 806.	Be. 610 and under.	Abors Rs. 408.	Rg. 600 and under.			Re. 800 and under.	Above Pa. 604.	m of Laun.	Eshanoment er abatement			For Potutes or Rubooleren.	For ejectment or property of	For recovery of money or Agenda.	All other Sainfander the juduced above.	Buils for jamoreable proper	Saile for specific performans	tota to designe and actualist property, including pre- desare, ha	ratio to designs and establish p	Sale for an secount.	Buits reisting to religious and	Baits to set saids Judgments, Conf. Obligations on the ground of fread	Soits for dissolution of Marria	for enformmen	Bulte for Partition.	Beite publing to Whipping.	펄	Вица
eriet Sudger, Anistes 1830 and Scherdina 1832	1 - 1	9	•									•		1					•		•	,	:	•		•		•	•	16			•	6.			40		

Č

JUDICIAL STATEMENTS-7-(CIVIL.)

Statement showing Value of Suits disposed of in the Civil and Revenue Courts of the Districts of the Bombay Presidency in the Year 1878.

LUE OF SUITS.								
		Village Courts.	Small Cause Courts.	Subordinate Judges' and other Sub-Divisional Courts.	District Courts.	Superior Courts.	Total value of Suits.	Remares.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
•• •••	Rs 5 20 100		1,382 5,418 7,741	4,015 26,525 65.167	9 28 63		Rs. a. p. 19,712 11 10 4,46,635 7 6 37,65,304 10 5	
••••••	500 1,000 5,000 10,000 1,00,000 1,00,000	•••••	2,400	21,318 1,891 892 43 82 3	90 89 30 12 13	2	47,81,556 6 4 13,60,416 10 5 17,34,633 7 9 3,73,946 15 10 11,35,517 13 3 6,08,165 2 2	
	Total		16,911	1,19,916	281	2	1,42,25,859 5 6	
caus Couri				-	 			
••••••	5 20 100 5,000 1,00,000 1,00,000		•••••	1,083 1,216 2,263 1,109 71 21		•••••	3,362 4 3 16,106 1 1 1,16,899 3 9 1,92,101 10 10 52,523 9 10 43,141 11 9	
tal in Reve	nue Courts		•••••	5,791	•••••		4,11,506 1 8	
G	rand Tetal	******	16,941	1,25,710	281	2	1,15,67,1:5 7 2	
	tal in Reve	Rs. 20 100 500 1,000 Total Rs. 20 100 1,00,000 1,0	ivil Courts. Rs. 20 100 1,000 1,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,000 1,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000 1,00,000	Rs.	1	1	1 2 3 4 5 6 ieil Courts. Rs.	Table Tabl

JUDICIAL STATEMENTS—8—(CITIL)

Statement showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil Suits in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Bombay in the Year 1878.

	mrij ji	1879.	Translet.		ā	0. Re	Dofault.	Lare		Conforton.		7	Costs	map.	j,				AVER Densita Des	IUS GE	Irration.	
CLASS OF COURTS.	Butta remaining last year.	Instituted in 18	Received by Tra	Die.	Transferred to	Plaint Esjorial turned.	Dismissed for D	Withdrawn with	Compressined.	Dogroud on Con	Decreed Re-parts	Distinct Leg	Judgement for Plaintiff in	Judgement for Defrudant.	Total disposed	Ponding.	Over 3 Months.	Over & Months.	Curtested.	Uncontented.	Referred to Arbit	REMARKS
Tillare Courts Small Centre (Midmail) Unjuit Local Triberate Paid Sub-divisional Triberate	1,441 16 27,773	17,791 131 107,219		19,254 910 135,796	3'	35 1	#323 1178	174 -1 645	2,651 13,430	ស៊ីន ១! 9,663	27'09 67 67 67 67	261 2,265	2,118 91 17,278		144	51	2:33 4 7,512	178 42 10,133	75 171 224	1423		a The Judge of Thina bas government is described against such a less of that his thirt is that the third is the control of the second of the s
Do., exercising Penall Cates Court Jurisdiction (s) Instrict Courts other than Clarif Courts of Destricts Clief Courts of February Control ment Mag estrates	9,395 44 3,374 81 799	12,756 16 253 1,646 8 067	22	18.27) 144 1,494 1,727 1,464	193 196 —	29 1 47 2	321 7 7 117 6 223	4 4 7 2 4	1,044 11 22 16 76	1,156 1 22 96 21	7,760 4 27 1,129 108	250 19 44 7	1,116 94 54 33 80	173 90 91 16 40	12,130 9) 320 1,643 4 617	3,09e 85	769 10 50 1 59	819 39 1,050 1 756	17 207 409 21 212	87 184 18 18 18 18	"a 11 ""	Court Jurisdiction being in cluded by him under Faul Sub divisional Tribunals.
Superior Extraordinary Jurisdiction	7 9 1,145 84,877	263 141,146		1 7 16 3 4 1,776		1111111	1 10,190	937	16,231	14.126	811 67,538	1,159 4,040	2 24 21,200		1,531 1,631		 54 9.075	*** 1 1 2 **** 79	144 231 228 92	6.5 70 	433	to the Board in 1.73, Most of these are compression on the court without any officer intimation being given.
RETENUE COURTS.		161,146			<u> </u>		-		10,551		66,00	-	2,200			60,004		11,007	183	100	<u> </u>	
Tapald Local Triburals	223 823	6,412 	"io	8,690	 51	3.23 	696	1,040	314 	460	301 	167 	2,108	963 —	6,463 	1 929 	256 	101 	60 84 	3 0 3 4		
Total	822	6,417	59	6,609	61	381		1,040	814	463	302	167	2,109	963	6,467	223	256	104	177	72		
Grand Total	85,099	147,565	1 044	183,729	1.366	TU8	10,886	1,977	16,645	14,585	67,840	4.307	23,369	5,018	147,181	87.075	9.331	12,741	165	67	433	

ယ

JUDICIAL STATEMENTS-9-(CIVIL.)

Statement showing the business of the Civil and Revenue Appellate Courts of the Province of Bombay in the Year 1878.

•	;			•		fault, o		and E arts.		C	CONTRACT	TED.					Bection 1859,		
CLAM OF COURT.	Remaining.	Instituted.	Received by transfe	Total	Transferred.	Dismissed for defi- under 6 and 6 XXIII. of 1861.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Bearred.	Confirmed	Modified.	Reserved.	Remanded.	Total disposed of.	Pending.	Over 4 Months.	Objections under 1	Objections allowed.	Rewares.
Civil Appellate Court other than Chief Court of District.	723	139	1,143	2,003	703	21	28	16	15	326	83	101	3 6	1,349*	654	485	10	7	• In some districts species otherwise disposed of bave
of District Revenue Appeals Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Province, each class of Courts	[99 2,861	509	99 5,984	936	85	90 176	" 17 …	18 27	1 1,300	260 	303 	135 	99 3,199	2,685 	1,707 	 58	18	been included.
Chief Court of Province Bogular Appeal from Original Jurisdictions	22	36		, 58	v a.	4	•••			11	7		1	36	22	11+	•••		† References from from Small Causes Court, 9 dispused of.
From District Courts Miscetlaneous Appeals Special Appeals	75 30 191	49 49		24 133	*** ***	840 840 844	6 К 186	044 042	3	41 11 178	15 2 27	8 3 41	4 3:1	74: 24 468	42 23 160	30 9 83	14 1 15	3	\$ 40 Appeals on the Appellate , Side were otherwise dis-
_ '	3,672 2,055			9,030 8,514		116 147	485 304									2,337		31	posed of

23