Report on the administration of minors' estates by revenue officers under guardians and wards act, 1800, in the province of Bembay. 1926-27 •

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRESIDENCY PROPER

SECTI	0N .						PAGES
I	-Number of estates un	ler manage	ment				1
11	Income			•••	•••		1
111	-Expenditure	•••					2
IV	-Collection of estate du	les				•••	4
v	-Government revenue,	cesses and r	ates	•••	•••	•••	5
VI	-Cost of management		•••			•••	6
VII	-Advances to cultivator	8	•••	•••	•••		6
VIII	-Special schemes for m	anagement	•••		•••		7
IX	-Maintenance and educ	ation of Wa	ards			•••	7
X	-Repayment of existing	debts and]	liabilities	•••	•••	•••	9
XI	-Reduction of claims see	cured in cor	nsideration	of promp	t payment .		10
XII	-General remarks	•••	,	•••	•••	•••	10
	Statements I, II, III			•••	•••	1	2-25
		5	Sind				
	Report	•••		•••	•••	2	7-37
	Statements I, II, III					3	9-41
	Commissioner's reman	ks		•••		4	3-45

NORTHERN DIVISION

W. W. SMART, Esqr., I.C.S., Commissioner, N. D. (Acting). CENTRAL DIVISION

G. W. HATCH, Esqr., C.I.E., I.C.S., Commissioner, C. D.

SOUTHERN DIVISION

H. L. PAINTER, Esqr., I.C.S., Commissioner, S. D.

BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION

R. D. BELL, Esqr., C.I.E., I.C.S., Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division.

I.--NUMBER OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT

Northern Division.—At the beginning of the year there were 35 estates (four large and 31 small) under management. Two large estates—Gamph in the Ahmedabad District and Jhanod in the Kaira District—were released and three large (Badshahi Diwan's estate in Ahmedabad and Janiadra and Dehej estates in Broach) and one small estate in Thana were taken under management during the year. In the case of the Jhanor estate in Broach management under the Court of Wards Act was substituted for that under the Guardians and Wards Act. The year thus closed with 38 estates (six large and 32 small). As the estate of Badshahi Diwan was taken under management towards the close of the year, figures for that estate were not available and the statements contain information in respect of the remaining 37 estates.

Central Division.—At the beginning of the year there were 13 large and 16 small estates under management, the small kulkarni estate of Nasik having been restored to the Wards on 31st July 1926. One small estate (the Patansing estate in Poona) was released while two small estates (Deshmukh of Kukana and Shinde of Shirapur in Ahmednagar) were taken up during the year. Thus the year closed with 30 estates under management (13 large and 17 small). As the Shinde estate of Shirapur was taken over at the close of the year, the statistical information in respect of this estate is not given in this report.

Southern Division.—These remained stationary, viz., 50. Government have sanctioned the relinquishment of two estates in Belgaum and they will be released shortly.

Bombay Suburban Division.—There was no change in the number of estates under management, which continued to be two.

II.-INCOME

Northern Division.—The total gross income amounted to Rs. 2,36,134 as against Rs. 2,44,664 in the previous year. The $\frac{1}{821-1}$ small decrease is due to the slightly unfavourable nature of the season in parts of the Ahmedabad, Broach and Surat Districts.

Central Division.—The total gross income of the estates amounted to Rs. 8,59,915 as against Rs. 7,78,322 of the previous year. The increase was mainly due to larger receipts of the Raisingpur, Kathi and Naik (Poona) estates and to the addition of the income of the Dabhade estate which could not be included in the last year's statement as the estate had just been taken over at the close of the year.

Southern Division.—The total gross income amounted to Rs. 3,08,246 against Rs. 3,15,368 during the previous year. Belgaum showed a decrease of Rs. 18,000 which was partly counterbalanced by increases of Rs. 6,000 and Rs. 5,000 in Dharwar and Ratnagiri. The decrease in Belgaum was due to non-recovery of revenue and grant of suspensions on account of failure of crops. Dharwar received accumulated rentals and interest on Government paper while Ratnagiri converted war bonds of 1926 and 1927 into cash.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The gross income was Rs. 9,993 against Rs. 30,414 during the previous year. The last year's income included the sale proceeds of the Quetta property of Miss Weir's estate which was sold for Rs. 20,275. No sale of property was effected during the year. The slight decrease in income in Gulamalli and Noormahomed's estate was due to the fall in the price of cocoanuts.

III.-EXPENDITURE

Northern Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,73,548 as against 2,43,705 in the previous year. The expenditure has decreased in all Districts except Broach where over a lakh of rupees were invested in Government loan, Co-operative Bank, etc.

	Name ef estate			Amount	Object of expenditure
				Rs.	
Mehlol				1,300	Obsequies of the younger son of the Thakor.
Jhanor		•••		54,987	Investment in the Co-operative Bank.
Do.	•••	•••		44,050	Purchase of Government securities.
Do.				2,285	Purchase of lands.
Do.	•••	•••		2,800	Advance to Dehej estate.

The following were items of special expenditure :---

Central Division.—The total Expenditure amounted to Rs. 7,66,455 as compared with Rs. 6,21,378 in the previous year. Besides the ordinary expenses of management of the estates and of maintenance of the wards, their relatives and dependents, expenditure was incurred from some of the estates as shown below on special items :---

Rs.			
62,504	• • •	•••	Repayment of loans.
14,056		•••	Law charges.
7,900		•••	Repairs to bungalows and houses
6,054		•••	Marriages.

During the year fresh investments as detailed below were made in purchasing Government bonds and securities :---

Name of estate			Ar	nount invested
				Rs.
Fakirchand of C	hopda			36,750
Deshmukh of Y	awal	•••	••••	10,115
Deshmukh of Ar	nalner	•••	•••	5,60 0
Naik of Paldhi			•••	8,725
Wani of Gondga	ion		•••	3,875
Naik of Poona	•••			29,000
Shriniwas	•••	•••		11,000
Natu		•••	•••	1,000
Dehu	••••	•••	•••	1,000
Panse	•••	•••	•••	8,860
Purandhare	•••	•••	•••	1,000
Shikarkhane	•••	•••	•••	300
Deshmukh of Cl	nikurde	•••	•••	3,000

Southern Division.—The total gross expenditure amounted to Rs. 3,19,953 against Rs. 3,11,353 in the previous year. An increase of Rs. 25,000 occurred in Belgaum due to the payment to Government of the difference between the survey assessment and judi payable by the Nipani Desgat, which has lapsed to Government. In Dharwar there was a decrease of Rs. 21,000 as last year's figures included unusual items, e. g., marriages, payment of debt, &c. There was an increase of Rs. 5,000 in Ratnagiri on account of the marriage of one of the wards' sisters and investments in post office cash certificates.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 8,027 as against Rs. 29,043 in the previous year. The last year's figure included the amount invested in the purchase of Government Promissory Notes of Rs. 20,000 in the case of Miss Weir's estate. The expenditure on the Gulamalli estate was also slightly in excess of what it was last year, the increase being due to the repayment of debts.

IV .-- COLLECTION OF ESTATE DUES

Northern Division.—Out of the total demand of Rs. 2,12,216 on account of rents Rs. 1,48,307 (including Rs. 39 as over collection) were collected and Rs. 3,572 were written off leaving a balance of Rs. 60,376 for collection at the close of the year. Out of this amount Rs. 33,312 are due from tenants of the estates in the Panch Mahals and Broach Districts as arrears of rent for years prior to the assumption of management and the Collector of Broach is making inquiries to ascertain what amount out of the arrears of Rs. 28,682 in that District should be written off as irrecoverable.

Central Division.—The total demand including arrears was Rs. 3,65,158 of which Rs. 2,75,916 was collected and Rs. 2,272 were written off as irrecoverable leaving a balance of Rs. 86,970 as against Rs. 51,110 in the previous year. Reasons for arrears wherever they are comparatively heavy are given below :—

Name of estate		Amount of arrears	Reasons
-	•	Rs.	
Deshmukh of Kukana estate).	(small	1,567	The recovery is held over owing to searcity conditions prevailing in the villages.
Fakirchand of Chopda		ر 7,159	
Deshmukh of Yawal		3,019	Necessary steps were taken to recover the estates' dues but as the year under
Naik of Paldhi		1,498	report was not a satisfactory one the whole amount of dues could not
Wani of Gondgaon		3,436	be recovered: Steps will be taken to recover the arrears during the current
Deshmukh of Amalner estate).	(small	5,411)	year.
Kathi		5,397	Rs. 643 will have to be written off as there are no means to recover them Efforts were made for the recovery of arrears but to no purpose. Suspen- sion for the remaining amount o Rs. 4,754 will have to be given nex year if the season does not turn out to be prosperous to the bhil cultivators.
Naik		1,115	Out of the past arrears of Rs. 1,492 Rs. 268 have been recovered and Rs. 372 were written off as irrecover able, leaving at the end of the year a balance of Rs. 852 still due for recovery. The whole of this will have probably to be written off as the defaulters have no property from which the arrears could be recovered Out of the current year's demand only Rs. 263 remained in arrears at the end of the year. Steps for their recovery are in progress.

• Name of estate	Amount of arrears	Reasons		
	Rs.			
Shriniwas	10,258	The arrears were chiefly due to the suspension of Land Revenue owing to the failure of crops in three inam villages situated in the Ahmednagar District and the non-recovery of rent of lands situated in the same area for the same reasons.		
Purandhare (small estate)	1,054	The arrears were due to the fact that the last season was not so favourable as to make the recovery of the entire dues without hardship to the tenants possible. It is boped that the arrears will be recovered during the current year.		
Deshmukh of Chikurde	5,903	Out of the arrears, Rs. 775 are due from the tenants of Sharikavathe for which a civil suit has been filed in the Miraj Court. Steps are being taken for the recovery of the remaining arrears.		

Southern Division.—The total demand, including arreara, was Rs. 5,38,212, of which Rs. 2,43,650 (including Rs. 41 overcollections and Rs. 25 collected in advance last year) were collected and Rs. 44,411 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,50,167 against Rs. 2,26,715 last year. Belgaum alone has arrears of Rs. 2,11,195. The Akbarnavis estate is responsible for Rs. 41,630 consisting of irrecoverable balances and amounts embezzled by the manager of the estate who was tried and sentenced to imprisonment for one year; detailed enquiries regarding the scope for embezzlement are in progress. Nearly Rs. 14,000 of the arrears pertain to years prior to management of the estates by the Court of Wards and the rest is due to bad seasons. In Bijapur the arrears are due to non-recovery of rents of lands in Kotyal (Aundh State) by the State Karbhari, who has been expedited by the Collector. Of the arrears of Rs. 35,404 in Dharwar Rs. 817 are suspended and the balance is due to bad season. Ratnagiri has no arrears.

Bombay Suburban Division.—Ont of the total demand for rent amounting to Rs. 8,281, Rs. 7,971 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 310 in minor Gulamalli and Nurmahomed estate.

V.-GOVERNMENT REVENCE, CESSES AND BATES

Northern Division.—All Government dues ordered to be recovered were paid up in full.

Central Division.—Amounts due to Government have been fully paid for all the estates.

Southern Division .- All Government dues were paid in fulls

Bombay Suburban Division.—All Government dues were paid in full.

VI.-COST OF MANAGEMENT

Northern Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 19,934 or 8.46 per cent. of the income as against Rs. 21,889 or 8.9 in the previous year.

Central Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 59,684 or 6.9 per cent. of the income as against 7.8 in the previous year.

The management charges for the estates of Fakirchand of Chopda, Deshmukh of Yawal and Naik of Paldhi were slightly over the prescribed limit of 10 per cent. while in the case of Wani estate of Gondgaon, they were 21.6 per cent. The Collector reports that this is due to the reduction in the amount of recoveries during the year.

The management charges of the Kathi estate amounted to Rs. 18,968 as against Rs. 16,870 in the previous year. The increase was due to the appointment of Forester whose services have been lent by the Conservator of Forests and the appointment of two forest guards and also due to promotion and allowances granted to certain servants of the estate.

The cost of management of the Shriniwas Estate fell from Rs. 1,693 of the last year to Rs. 1,636 of the current. But the percentage on gross income as shown in column 15 of Statement No. I is slightly over the prescribed limit of 10 per cent. on account of the entire revenue in three Inam Villages in the Ahmednagar District being suspended during the year owing to scarcity.

Southern Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 36,186 or 11.7 per cent. of the gross income recovered against Rs. 44,792 or 14.2 per cent. in the previous year. In Belgaum a uniform rate of 12½ per cent. on the gross income recovered during the previous year was charged as usual. The cost is below the prescribed percentage in all districts except Belgaum.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The management charges of the estates amounted to Rs. 339 or 3.4 per cent. of the gross income against Rs. 2,373 or 8 per cent. in the preceding year. The decrease was due to the retrenchment of the post of the Assistant Manager in Miss Weir's estate which is now managed personally by the Collector with a clerk on a small remuneration of Rs. 15 per mensem. It was also due to the services of the special nurse in charge of Miss Weir being dispensed with.

VII.—ADVANCES TO CULTIVATORS

Northern Division.—In one estate only, viz., the Kantilal estate in the Thana District, Rs. 327 in addition to paddy worth Rs. 2,064 Central Division .-- No advances were granted to cultivators from any estate.

Southern Division .- Nil.

Bombay Suburban Division.-Nil.

VIII .-- SPECIAL SCHEMES FOR MANAGEMENT

Northern Division.—There was no special scheme for management of any of the Estates.

Central Division.—Raisingpur estate. The forests of the estate are being managed according to the sanctioned working plan by which the estate is divided into three circles A, B and C. The land in the C circle which is on the level of the Tapti valley plain consists of rich black soil. The revenue survey of this circle was undertaken in 1924-25 and it is still in progress. Concessions in Autbandi, tagai without interest for five years, free grazing, grant of hutting materials and forest privileges are given in order to attract new settlers to the circle. A scheme for improvement of the drinking water supply in this circle has been framed and it is proposed to spend Rs. 3,000 for this purpose in 1927-28.

Kathi cstate.—No special scheme for the management of the estate has been so far drawn up and the administration is for the present carried on along the old lines. A working plan for the management of the forests was proposed to be prepared in 1926-27 but it is not yet ready.

Kazi estate of Nandurbar (Small estate).—A loan of Rs. 30,000 at $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. was taken from the Raisingpur estate and the debts of all old Sawkars were paid out of this amount. The debt due to Raisingpur will be repaid in about 25 years by paying almost the whole income of lands.

Warad estate.—The proposed loan of six lakhs from the Imperial Bank of India has not yet been finally sanctioned.

Southern Division.-Nil.

Bombay Suburban Division.- No special schemes for management were undertaken.

IX.-MAINTENANCE AND EDUCATION OF WARDS

Northern Division.—All reasonable demands on account of the maintenance of the wards and their relatives were met and Rs. 39,250 were spent for the purpose as against Rs. 42,010 in the previous year. The decrease is due to the release of the Gamph estate from management. In the Panch Mahals District 520 acres of Gharkhed land was allowed to the wards in addition to the allowance in cash for their maintenance.

There were in all 80 wards—68 males and 12 females. them :—

- 7 are below or above school going age;
- 2 are of unsound mind;
- 19 are receiving education as follows :----
 - 5 in vernacular schools;
 - 8 in Anglo-vernacular schools;
 - 2 in College;
 - 4 through private tutors.
- 13 are learning professional work or are engaged in the management of their estates.

41

The remaining 39 wards are the sharers of Kotda and Gadukpur estates in the Panch Mahals District who make their own arrangements for the education of their children.

Central Division.—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of wards and their relatives dependent on them continued to be satisfied. The expenditure on the account amounts to Rs. 80,299.

There are in all 39 wards—37 males and two females. Of the two female wards, one is married: the other is studying in the Vernacular VI standard.

Out of the 37 male wards :--

3 are below school-going age.

16 are above school-going age.

18 are receiving education as under :---

- 2 in Primary schools.
- 15 in English schools.
 - 1 in College.

37

Southern Division.—All reasonable demands on account of the maintenance of the wards and their relatives dependent upon them were satisfied, the total expenditure being Rs. 1,06,138 against Rs. 94,973 in the previous year. The increase is due to accumulated allowances paid in Belgaum and to the fact that the expenditure of three estates was confined to a part of last year since their assumption by the Court of Wards.

There were in all 82 wards, 79 males and three females. One female ward attends the Grant Medical College, Bombay. Of the 79 male wards :--

1 is below the school-going age,

34 are above the school-going age, and

44 are receiving education as under :---

12 in Primary schools.

29 in English schools,

3 in Colleges,

44

Bombay Suburban Division.—All reasonable demands for the maintenance and education of the wards were satisfied during the year.

X.-REPAYMENT OF EXISTING DEBTS AND LIABILITIES

Northern Division.—The aggregate amount of debt due by the estates which amounted to Rs. 4,15,709 when management was assumed was reduced to Rs. 2,03,733 at the beginning of the year. The liabilities increased during the year by Rs. 7,671 of which Rs. 2,500 were borrowed on behalf of the Dehej estate for performing the obsequies of the late Thakor and Rs. 3,250 were borrowed on behalf of the Edrus estate.

Claims to the extent of Rs. 20,160 were rejected, Rs. 411 were remitted by creditors and Rs. 13,635 paid from current income leaving a balance of Rs. 1,77,198 at the close of the year, of which the Edrus estate alone is responsible for Rs. 1,24,250.

Central Division.—Nine out of the 13 large estates and nine out of the 16 small estates for which statistics are available are free from debt.

The total balance of debt due by the estates at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 10,62,583. This amount does not tally with the closing balance of the last year owing to the fact that the debts of estates newly taken under management have been added while the debt of the estate released during the year has been omitted. The increase of Rs. 1,83,520 over the amount shown in the last year's statement is explained below :—

- +1,85,200 Dabhade estate newly added.
- + 4,289 Deshmukh estate of Kukana newly added.
- 5,919 Patansing estate (Poona) released during the year.
- -- 50 In the case of Kazi estate of Nandurbar, it was found after settlement with the Sawkars that the debts amounted to Rs. 30,811 and not 30,861 included in the last year's statement and hence the difference of Rs. 50.

1,83,520

Fresh claims amounting to Rs. 50,175 were received during the year. Loans to the extent of Rs. 1.17,333 were raised to pay off old debts by two large estates—Kathi and Warad and two small estates— Kazi of Nandurbar and Panse of Poona Out of the total liabilities amounting to Rs. 12,30,091, claims of Rs. 2,50,353 were cleared off leaving a balance of Rs. 9,79,738 of which the Warad estate is responsible for Rs. 6,36,190. A scheme to raise a loan of about six lacs at 6½ per cent. from the Imperial Bank of India, Sholapur, for the liquidation of the debts of the Warad estate has been submitted to Government. Southern Division.—The aggregate amount of debt due by the estates at the beginning of the year was Rs. 8,84,850. Fresh loans to the extent of Rs. 1,43,798 were raised during the year, principally in Belgaum. Out of this Rs. 1,10,000 were utilised towards the payment of old debts. Rs. 59,570 were paid off from current revenue and Rs. 3,175 by leasing out mortgaged property which was in the hands of a creditor. Claims for Rs. 48,648 were given up by the creditors and for Rs. 21,496 were rejected by the Court of Wards. The balance due at the end of the year was Rs. 7,85,759.

Twelve out of the 40 estates in Belgaum are free from debt. Out of the loan of Rs. 1,50,000 to be borrowed with the sanction of Government from the Sar Desai of Vantmuri for the Datwad estate, Rs. 1,00,000 were received and utilised for payment of old claims; the balance (Rs. 50,000) was received after the close of the year. The two estates in Bijapur are not yet free from liabilities. The sale of lands of the Darga estate to pay off existing heavy debts although sanctioned by Government over a year ago has had to be postponed on account of the unfavourable season precluding the lands from realising their values and consequently two awaiting darkhasts had to be executed; in one lands at Torvi were put up for sale by auction but the sale was set aside The Belgali estate in Dharwar has a liability of as irregular. Rs. 3,807 only. The Mogul estate in Ratnagiri has been freed from encumbrances.

Bombay Suburban Division.—Miss Weir's estate has no debts to pay. In the case of the other estate Rs. 600 out of the claim of Rs. 1,000 were paid off during the year.

XI.—REDUCTION OF CLAIMS SECURED IN CONSIDERATION OF PROMPT PAYMENT

Northern Division.—There was no such case in this Division worth noting.

Southern Division.—Three small estates in Belgaum received remissions of Rs. 48,648.

Bombay Suburban Division.—There was no such case in this division.

XII.—GENERAL REMARKS

Northern Division.—Out of seven suits pending at the beginning of the year in the Ahmedabad District Court three were decided in favour of the estates, one was decided against the estate and one was compromised. Two suits were returned by the High Court. Thus there were four suits pending at the close of the year in the Ahmedabad District.

Three suits in Broach and one in Thana were decided in favour of the estates, one in the Panch Mahals was compromised and 10 (three in Kaira, two in Broach, three in Surat and two in Thana) were pending at the close of the year. Central Division.—The civil suit filed for the possession of Shri Devi's temple belonging to the Rajabahadur of Nasik had to be withdrawn for want of sufficient evidence. Two fresh Civil suits one for the redemption of the mortgaged land of the Chandor Deshpande estate and the other for an encroachment made on the Sukenkar's land at Sukene—a Sharakati Dumala village—have been filed and they are pending in the Courts.

A company, trading in the name of 'Economic Trading Company' have obtained a decree for Rs. 50,000 against the Panse Wards. The Manager of the Company had submitted a claim of the amount to the Collector who rejected it after consulting legal opinion. The Manager thereafter sought to execute the decree in the Sub-Judge's Court at Poona. The Collector opposed it but the Court overruled his objection. The Collector has since preferred an appeal to the High Court against the decision of the Sub-Judge.

2. The administration of the estates through local officers continued to be efficient and satisfactory.

Southern Division.—The administration of the estates through local officers was generally satisfactory.

Out of 78 suits (38 pending from the previous year and 40 instituted during the year) 27 were decided in favour of and five against the Court of Wards. Four appeals are pending in the High Court and eight in District Court.

Bombay Suburban Division.—With a retrenchment of expenditure to the extent of Rs. 6,000 per annum the financial condition of the estate of Miss Weir is now improving and it is anticipated that a saving will be regularly shown from next year.

The financial condition of the other estate is also satisfactory; the existing debt of Rs. 400 will, it is expected, be repaid during the next four years at Rs. 100 per year as arranged.

The administration of the estates was efficient and satisfactory.

11

STATEMENI

Statement showing the general position of estates under management and Bombay Suburban Divisions

- Serial Number.	Name of distric	t.	Name of es	tate.	Fotal area of estate.	w Cultivated area.	e Uncul tivated area.	- Total assessment	Total land re- wenue demand including cesses.
	NORTHERN DIVISION.				Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Panch Mahals		Mehlol		29,336	16,799	- 12,537	37,747	30,337
2	Broach		Jhanor		3,552	2,474	1,078	• 8,760	16,968
3	Do.		Janiadra		4,020	3,795	225	8,415	17,743
4	Do.		Dehej		4,235	3,939	296	10.820	13,114
5	Surat		Edroos		4,598	4,258	340	3,378	25,950
6-15	Ahmedabad)					•	
16—18	Kaira			\$					
19-22	Panch Mahals								
23-24	Broach		-Total for estates.	small	23,967	19,089	4,878	31,336	59,475
25-34	Surat		0300003.						
25-3 1 35-37	Thans								1
55-51	1 118116		ј Пањај Ман		£0.709	EQ 1954	10.054	100 (70	100 505
	CENTRAL DIVISION.		Total, Nor Division.	thern	69,708	50,354	19,354	100,456	163,587
1	Ahmednagar		Koshti of Ra	huri.	108	108		159	728
2	East Khandesh.		Fakirchand	of	1 919	902	17	1,403	17,414
3	Do.		Chopda. Deshmukh	of	‡802	765	37	1,273	12,379
4	Do.		Yawal. Naik of Palo	ìhi	§549	521	28	945	6,385
5	Do.		Wani of (Gond-	¶550	531	19	1,097	7,326
6	West Khandesh		gaon. Raisingpur		1,28,000	7,200	1,20,800	17,163	7,163
7	Do.		Kathi		3,20,000	18,617	**	14,368	16,330
8	Poona		Naik		100	100		74	16,905
9	Do.		Shriniwas		13,335	12,233	1,102	13,195	13,900
. 10	Do.		Natu		11507	401	106	409	4,555
11	Do.		Dabhade		1,778	1,766	12	3.071	6,374
12	Satara		Deshmukh	of	853	853		4,448	18,958
13 14—18	Sholapur Ahmednagar		Chikurde. Warad		Acres and 266 Bighas ¶¶4,580	Acres and 266 Bighas 4,563	 17	4,483	1,05,863
19 20 2124 2529	East Khandesh West Khandesh Nasik Poona	 	Total for estates.	small	22,522	19,852	2,670	20,926	77,993
			Total, Ce Division.	entral	Acres 4,94,603 and Bighas 266.	Acres 68,412 and Bighas 266.	(b)1,24,808	73,014	3,19,973

(Details are given only for estates with gross income of Rs. 10,00)

13

.

Ι.

under the Court of Wards Act in the Northern, Central, Southern during the year 1926-27.

groa	eX.	Bala	ince.	ties	185	e la	
	Total gross penditure.	d.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Cost of manago- ment.	Percentage of cost ou gross income.	Remarks.
ere ere	Libi Libi	ate	0 10		<u>ن</u> ية 14	enter.	
Total income covered.	ote	Invested.	ia l	oti	081	erc on l	
9	10	n	12	H 13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		* The correct invest balance is Rs. 60.9
110.				AB.			and not Rs. 67,900 shown last yes
49,866	36,420	124,422	22,848		3,778	7.5	Bs. 7,000 out of the loan of Ra. 140
51,036	123,443	96,145	934		3,372	6.6	granted to the Ka estate were alread
13,580	6,766	11,000	8,919		1,456	10-7	recovered. +The change in are
11,329	3,281		8,138	2,500	435	3-8	and assessment a
29,345	16,105		15,317	124,250	2,024	6.9	due to the fact the the land measuring
.		[Sacres was purcha ed during the year.
							; The change in are
							is due to the reconveyance of 15 acro
80,978	87,533	172,363	42,666	50,448	8,919	11-0	of land. 5 The change in are
							is due to the fact th
1			i				2 acres of Inam lan was given back.
			1				The change in are is due to the record
236,134	273,548	403,930	98,822	177,198	19,984	8.46	veyance of 33 acre of land.
						0.10	The assessment
	• • • •						this estate alway varies as it is base
7,041	1,821	*60,900	7,230		500	7-1	on Autbandi system "Not known as th
40,490	18,859	2,68,150	8,683		4,786	11.8	upper portion
18,092	8,730	53,115	6,076		2,395	13-2	Surveyed.
18,972	10,946	1,18,225	841		8,445	12.8	tt Includes Rs. 8.2 which are in th
13,462	15,145	3,875	3,428	433	2,912	21-6	Imperial Bank. :: Last year's figur
1,07,717	56,041	3,30,800	42,990		9,472	8.8	was wrong as it in cluded the area
1,44,120	1,39,419		91,953	73,406	18,968	13• <u>1</u>	the Inam village which are not i
58,040	52,590	29,000	7,149		1,964	3-4	charge of the Ward § Includes Bs. 4,0
13,885	23,523	++33,710	4,548		1,636	11.7	which are in th Imperial Bank.
11,030	12,491	\$\$56,650	6,558		1,067	9.6	"The change in are
63,416	56,111		10,848	1,22,640	1,110	1.7	that lands measu
18,489	15,167	15,500	10,509		í		returned to th
10,403		10,000	10,003	•••••	1,190	6.4	owners on the expir of the periods of
2.12.325	2,15,545		(a) 11,905	4.00.100			(a) The last year
2,12,320	1,13,045		(a) II,905	6,36,190	4,485	2.1	balance was Rs. 15,21 but an amount of
1,32,846	1,40,072	\$9,210	39,332	1,47,069	6,754	5-0	Rs. 85 in the Imperia Bank of India ha
				-1-1,000	4,101		been omitted from
							this statement thi year.
6,59,915	1,66,455	10,69,135	1,85,040	9,79,738	59,684	6-9	(b) Exclusive of th uncultivated area of
	•			-,			the Kathi estate
							which is not known as the upper portion
			1 1	1		1	of the estate is no

and above ; figures for other estates are lumped together.)

14

STATEMENT

, Serial Number.	Name of distri	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated area.	Urcultivated area.	Total assess- ment.	Total land reve- nue demand in- cluding cesses.		
ι β	2		3	ല്⊊ 4	อื่ อ	ີ 2 ³ . 6	ын 1	្ពុ _ភ ុទ 8
	<u> </u>							
	SOUTHERN DIVISION.			Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Belgaum		Nipani	7,016	. 6,575	441	8,170	41,480
ગ	Do.		Chandur	2,429	2,429		4,219	31,62
อ่	Do.		Jamboti	16,633	6,560	10,073	7,492	10,62
4	Do.		Here					12,46
5	Do.		Akbarnis	4,588	4,073	515	4,301	11,99
6	Do		Yargatti	17,844	17,844		9,299	20,47
7	Do.		Ghosarwad	1,379	1,379		3,812	9,42
8	Do.		Kalkundri	602	602		1,126	14,57
9	Dharwar		Bhadrapur	888	888		1,708	1,40
10—41	Belgaum		<u>۱</u>					
4343	Bijapur]	- Total for small	26,790	10.049	9.842	23,529	106,57
4448	Dharwar		estates.	20,190	16,948	9,042	23,329	100,07
4950	Ratnagiri]					
	-		Total, Southern Division.	78,169	57,298	20,871	63,656	201,64

i i i	•¥0	Bala	nce.	bles.	PKO-	grous	
Comerecoverod	Total gross penditure.	luvested.	Unturested.	Total liabilities	Сонь об шицико- топь.	Γαταυπίακο cont on g luconio.	Bemarka.
9	10	11	13	13	14	15	16
R6.	В6.	R6.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.		
39.672	55,860	5,296	5,696	157,526	4,217	10-6	
20,185	33,016	17.620	6,469	30,958	3.241	16-0	
15,712	12,490	42,350	3.150		2.009	12-8	
19,961	17,435	•••	1.673	25,700	2,521	12-6	
10,916	15,350	900	2,356	48.000	1,706	15-6	
19,663	13,665	195	1,074	5,600	1.829	9-3	
11.232	5,667	27,600	4,105	2,138	1,418	12.6	
14.105	13,651	96,837	1,673	9,726	2,008	14-2	
12,764	3.176	79,000	40,441	•••	806	6-3	
144,013	149,643	314.069	92,685	506,109	16,431	11-4	
205.246	319,953	585,876	159,322	785,759	36,196	11.7	

.

I-contd.

15

STA	TEM	ENT
DIU		

- Serial number.	te Name of district.	Name of estate.	Total area of estate.	. Cultivated area.	o. Uncultivated area.	a Total assessment.	& Total land revenue demand including cesses.
	- -	BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION.				Rs.	Rs.
1	Bom bay Subur- ban.	Miss Mary Nazli Weir.	*325 0	84 0	841	*840	2,315
8	Do	Gulamalli and Nurma- homed Abd- ulla of Ver- sova.		1 acre and 63 gunthas.	1 acre and 10 gunthas.	27	27
		Total, Bom- bay Subur- ban Division.	327 acres and 16 1 gunthas.	85 acres and 6‡ gunthas.	243 acres and 10 gunthas.	867	2 ,342
		Grand Total	642,807 Acres, 266 Bighas and 163 Gunthas.	176,149 Acres, 266 Bighas and 6] Gunthas.	165,275 Acres and 10 gunthas.	237,993	738,851

* Reduction in area as well as assessment is due to the sale of Quetta property.

16

I-cont	d.

red.		Balar	ice.			gross	
🛥 Total gross income recovered.	t Total gross expenditure.	11 Invested.	5. Uninvested.	t Total liabilities.	L Cost of management.	L Percentage of cost ou income.	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
9,222	6,857	28,000	5,114		285	3.1	
+771	1,170	422	81	400	54	7.0	
9,993	8,027	28,422	5,195	400	339		
14,14,288	13,67,953	20,87,363	4,48,379	19,43,095	1,16,193	8.2	

† The decrease in income is due to price of cocoaunts going down.

17

.

STATEMENT

				Demand.			Collec,
Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of aurrent year.	Oa account of arrears.
1	2	3	4 ·	5	6	7	8
	NORTHERN DIVISION.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1 2 3 4 5 6—15 16—18 19—23	Panch Mahals Broach Do Surat Kaira Panch Mahals	Janiadra Dehej Edroos	23.971 16.968 17,743 13,114 25,950	962 5,679 18,026 12,005 *2,117	24,932 22,647 35,769 25,119 28,067	23,896 15,120 11,706 10,289 19,231	887 567 324 1,025 2,117
19—23 23—24 25—34 35—37	Fanch Manais Broach Surat Thana	Total for small	64,285	11,396	75,681	59,821	3,324
	CENTRAL DIVISION.	Total, Northern Division	1,62,031	50,185	2,12,216	1,40,063	8,244
1 2	Ahmednagar East Khandesh	Koshti of Rahuri. Fakirchand of Chopda.	728 17,414	127 5,541	855 22,955	445 · 12,108	119 3,688
3	Do	Deshmukh of Yawal.	12,379	3,632	16,011	11,767	813
4 5	- Do. Do	Naik of Paldhi Wani of Gond-	6,385 7,326	1,785 1,849	8,170 9,175	5,897 4,961	539 778
6 7 8	West Khandesh Do Poona	gaon. Raisingpur Kathi Naik	7,163 16,330 16,905	61 5,625 1,492	7,224 21,955 18,397	7,026 14,096 16.299	23 2,448 268
		• 					-
9	Poona	Shriniwas	13,900	1,003	14,902	¶4,108	536
10	Do	Natu	4,555	**1,117	5,672	tt4,538	711
11	Do	Dabhade	6,374	611	6,985	5,851	565
12	Satara	Deshmukh of Chikurde.	18,958	6,094	25,052	17,929	699
13 1418	Sholapur Ahmednagar	Warad	1,05,863	 14,582	1,20,445	76,386	7,466
11-10 19 20 21-24 25-29	East Khandesh West Kbandesh Nasik Poona		77,993	9,367	87,360	(c) 69,131	6,729
		Total, Central Division.	3,12,273	52,885	3,65,158	2,50,542	25,374

.

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collections in estates Southern and Bombay Suburban

18

II. .

under Government management in the Northern, Central, Divisions during the year 1926-27.

tions.	-off.	1	Balance.			ails of ance.	÷	von.	
Total.	Amount written-off	Converted Into bonds or decrues.	Othnra.	Total.	Current.	Arrours.	Over-eelleetlene.	Amount collected in advance last year.	Romarka.
9	10	11	13	13	14	15	16	' 17	19
Rs.	Rs. ·	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.	Bs.	Бs.	Bs.	F
24,763 15,657 12,030 11,314 21,348	40 1,521 	1,249 	110 4.197 23.739 13.505 6,719	110 5.445 23,739 13,505 6,719	40 1,747 6,057 2,545 6,719	70 3.699 17.702 10.950 			* Rs. 25.570 referred t in last year's state ment chilted there is no possibili
63,145	2.011	645	9,912	10,557	3,714	6.543	32		for their recovery,
1,48,307	3,572	1,394	55,482	60,376	21,052	39.254	39		
557 ¹	190		219	219	203	15			+Out of currer
15,796		1,29)	5,209	7,159	5.3.6	1,553			demand.
12,550	:412	1,275	1,744	3,019	613	2,407	•••		: Out of past arrears
6.436 5,739 -	:236 ⁺	120 	1.378 3.436	1.496 3,436	498 2,305	1.010 1,071		•••	
7,049 16,544 16,567	514 1715 (175 5.397 1.115	175 5,397 1,115	137 2.234 263	38 3,1∂3 852			\$ Out of past arrears 1 343 Out of curren demand. 372 Out of pas arrears.
	ľ								715
4.644		•••	10,358	10,258	9,792	400			"Exclusive of Rs. over-collections.
5,249			423	423	17	<u>486</u>			** This has been arrived at after actua vel at after actua verification of ol- accounts.
6,416	•••		569	569	523	46			<pre>++ Exclusive of Bs. 3 over-collections. :: Out of past arreare</pre>
15,625	::521		5,903 36,577	5.90 3 36,577	1,039 29.477	4.874 7.100		•	i Includes house rent also.
15.560	(d. 271	390	10.943	11.223	8,562	2,361			over-collections. El Out of past arrears
1,75,916	2,273	2,965	64.005	56.970	61,3(6	25,662			

STATEMENT

				Demand.		Collec
Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	SOUTHERN DIVISION.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Belgaum	Nipani	41,480	6,575	48,055	35,821
2	Do	Chandur	31,625	16,597	48,222	10,593
3	Do	Jamboti	10,624	1,614	12,238	9,478
4	Do	Here	12,465	1,875	14,340	11,675
5	Do	Akbarnvis	11,996	40,494	52,490	7,891
6	Do	Yergatti	20,479	17,384	37,863	13,422
7	Do	Ghosarwad	9,423	3,897	13,320	6,818
8	Do	Kalkundri	14,579	4,011	18,590	10,146
9	Dharwar	Bhadrapur	9,575	2,86 3	12,438	5, 65 6
10-41 42-43 44-48 49-50	Belgaum Bijapur Dharwar Ratnagiri	-Total for small estates.	1,49,251	1,31,405	2,80, 656	84,207
		Total, Southern Division.	3,11,497	2,26,715	5,38,212	1,95,707
	BOMBAY SUBUR- BAN DIVISION.					
1	Bombay Subur- ban District.	Miss Mary Nazli Weir.	7,200		7,200	7,200
2	Do.	Estate of Gu- lamalli Nur- mahomed Ab- dulla.	765	326	1,081	704
		Total, Bombay Suburban Division.	7,955	326	8,281	7,904
		Grand Total	7,93,756	3,30,111	11,23,867	5,94,216

*Reduction in yearly rent is due to the sale of the Quetta property.

20

-

2	1

II-contd.

D8.		1-off.		Balance.		Details o	f Balance.	18,	oted ir year.	
On account of arrears.	Total.	•	Converted into bonds or decrees.	Othors.	Total.	Current.	Arrours.	Over-collections.	Amount collected in advance last year.	Romarka
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	1
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1,835	37,656	500		9,903	9,903	5,663	4,240	14	10	
6,132	16,725	29		31,468	31,468	21,003	10,465			
91	9,569	365		2,306	2,306	1.018	1,288	2		
467	12,142	17		2,194	2,194	786	1,408	13		
2,969	10,860			41,630	41,630	4,105	37,525			
6,129	19,551	244		18,065	18,065	6.810	11,255	12	15	
1,211	8,029	20		5,271	5,271	2,585	2,686			
199	10,345			8,245	8,245	4,433	3,812			
2,573	8,229		290	3.919	t4,209	3,919	200			
26,337	1,10,544	43,236	180	1,26,696	1,26.876	63,763	63,113		•••	
47,943	2,43,650	44,411	470	2,49,697	2,50,167	1,14,085	1,36,082	41	25	
	7,200				•••••					
67	771			310	310	- 51	259	•••		
67	7,971			310	310		259			•
81,628	6,75,814	50,255	5,329	3,92,494	3,97,823	1,96,526	2,01,597	80	25	

† This includes a balance of Rs. 817 on account of suspensions.

STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Date on which management was assumed.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Balance of debt at the begin- ning of the year.	Additional debts incurred during the year.
1	2	. 3	4	5	6	7
· .	NORTHERN DIVISION.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Panch Mahals .	Mehlol	31st Jan. 1915			
2	Broach	Jhanor	15th Sept. 1926	28,672	19,947	
3	Do	Janiadra	26th Nov. 1926		•••	
4	Do	Dehej	7th March 1927	326	3 26	2,500
5	Surat	Edroos	11th June 1923	1,32,722	1,21,000	3,250
6-15 16-18 19-32 23-34 25-34 35-37	Ahmedabad Kaira Panch Mahals . Broach Surat Thana	Total for small estates		2,53,989	62,460	1,921
	CENTRAL DIVISION.		Total for North- ern Division	4.15,709	2,03,733	7,671
1	Ahmednagar	Koshti of Rahuri	1st June 192)			
2	East Khandesh.	Fakirchand of Chop- da.	12th May 1917	54,237		
3	Do	Deshmukh of Yawal	28th Feb. 1919	35,157		
4	Do	Naik of Paldhi	14th Sep. 1920	120		
5	Do	Wani of Goudgaon	29th Aug. 1925	9,551	7,526	1,349
6	WestKhandesh.	Raisingpur	15th July 1903			
7	Do	Kathi	26th Sept. 1925	80,340	60,070	74,528
8	Poona	Naik	2nd August 1923 .	5,806		
9	Do	Shriniwas	27th October 1925.	459	177	
10	Do	Natu	31st Aug. 1925	: **		
11	Do	Dabhade	16th July 1926	1,85,200	1,85,200	**1
12	Satara	Deshmukh of Chikurde.	6th October 1932.	29,241	•••	
13	Sholapur	Warad	17th October 1934.	6,60,000	6,52,070	47,694*
14-18 19 20 21-24 25-29	Ahmednagar East Ehandesh. West Ehandesh. Nasik Poona			2,87,731	1,57,540	43,937
			Total for Central Division	13,47,832	10,62,583	1,67.508†
	·			1	De 1109	00 T

Statement showing progress made in liquidation of debts in estates Central, Southern and Bombay Suburban

† This consists of the following :- Rs. 1,17,333 Loan:

ш.

-				
under management	under the Court of	Wards /	Act in the	Northern.
under management	under the cours of	., .,		
Divisions during ti	te year 1926-27.			
Dictorono anting	J .			

L	ebts set	tled durin	g the real	r.		ontrol mate- would	• :
By incurring freah debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditora.	From ourrout income.	Balance.	ft wur ex ton of m the extacto lobt.	Bemarks
8	9	10	ш	12	13	14	15
ks.	Bs.	Es.	Es.	Rs.	Bs.		
	!		•••			•	
	•••	19,731	•	216	•••		
				•••			
	•••			326	2,500		
					1.24 250		
		429	411	13.093	50.445		
				13.035	1.77.155		•
	•••		•••				
			•••	•	, 	· •••••	
				•••			
•••		•••					
. 		3,030	156	5.364	433		
		•••	•••				
61.192	•••				73.406		
			•••	•••	t		
		177			·		
			•••	•	·		1
•••	32,400	6,491	11.232	12,437	1,⊇,€40		
	-	•••	•••		•		
6.463	21,630		•••	35.479	6,36,190		• This includes Rs. 41.223 on account of interess during the current year.
6 5,000		54		9.324	1.47,069		
1.12.657	54,030	9.772	11.390	62.534	9,79.735		1

raised; Rs. 50.175 Add:tional claims received during the year = Rs. 1.07.595.

STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name o district	đ.	Name of estate.	Date on which management was assumed.	Debts due on assump- tion of charge.	Balance of debt at the beginning of the year.	Additional debta incurred during the year.
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
	SOUTHE	RN N.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Belgaum		Nipani	23rd November	3,66,796	1,70,238	5,200
8	Do.		Chandur	1917. 1st August 1914	10,145	20,768	12,700
3	Do.		Jamboti	21st April 1915	1,708		
. 4	Do.		Here	18th August 1915	17,613	30,925	
5	Do.		Akbarnvis	15th June 1920	16,895	43,714	6,000
6	Do.		Yergatti	5th November 1920	2,000	12,100	
7	Do.		Ghosarwad	1st August 1921	*5,138	2,888	
8	Do.		Kalkundri	20th September	19,753	19,753	
9	Dharwar	•••	Bhadrapur	1925. 1st June 1913			•••••
10-41 42-43 44-48 49-50	Belgaum Bijapur Dharwar Ratnagiri		Total for small estates.		4,78,783	5,84,464	1,19,898
	DOWDA		Total for Southern Division.		9,18,831	8,84,850†	1,43,798
1	BOMBA SUBURB. DIVISIO Bombay	AN N. Su-	Miss Mary Nazli Weir.		21,608 (on 22nd July		•••••
1	burban i trict.	D18-	Hazn Wer.		1922).		
9	Do.	•••	Estate of Gu- lamalli and Nurmaho- med Abdulla of Versowa.		Nil (on 19th February 1923).	1,000 recently admitted.	
			Total for Bom- bay Subur- ban Division.	·	21,608	1,000	
			Grand Total.		27,03,980	21,52,166	3,18,977

. . .

"As ascertained during the year under report. The difference in the heat year's and current plained as under :- Current year's figures is er- plained as under :- figures :- figures :- bullerence :- fi.51.50 Difference :- fi.51.50 Difference :- fi.51.50 Difference :- fi.51.50 Last year's figure fi.51 Interest for the current year :- fi.51 Interest for the current year :- fi.51 Interest for the second the second interest shown is balance in head year's figu- nes on account of an account of an account of an estate interest from he billikes 130 Net difference fi.761	15 Transfer
	Date by which it expected on assu tion of managen that the estate w be clear of debt.
1,1,4,199 5,0000 5,0000 5,000 5,0000 5,0000 5,0000000 5,00000000	
50000 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	From current in-
	Rempitted by credi- tors.
11 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.
20 22 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	By sale of pro- perty.
1.10,0000	By incurring fresh debts.

H 9921-4

25

III-agented.

SIND

No. A-630 CF 1927

From

KHAN BAHADUB NABI BAKSH MUHAMMED HUSSAIN, M.A., LL.B., Court of Wards in Sind;

То

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

SIND COURT OF WARDS OFFICE :

Hyderabad, Sind, 7th September 1927.

Subject.-Annual Administration Report.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the annual report on the administration of the estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards in the Province of Sind for the year ending the 31st July 1927.

Personnel. 2. The charge of the office was held by me throughout the year.

3. The number of estates under superintendence at the close of Number of estates the year was 272 as compared with 243 in the under superintendence previous year, the details being as under :---

4 3 7 0		
At the beginning of the year		243
Add—Taken up during the year :		
(a) On applications to the Commissioner in		
Sind	13	
(b) On applications to the Court of Wards in		
Sind	15	
(c) On recommendations from Collectors	4	
(d) On certificates from District Courts	1	
(e) On applications to District Courts for		
guardianship certificates	5	
(f) Transferred from Incumbered Estates		
branch	1	
		39
		<u> </u>
		282
Deduct—		
(a) Released on the owners' attaining		
majority	7	
(b) Released on owner's death	1	
	*	
(c) Discharged under section 40 (2) (c) of Act	T	
I of 1905	1	
I of 1905 (1) Transferred to the Manager, Incumbered	1	
I of 1905	1	
I of 1905 (1) Transferred to the Manager, Incumbered	-	10
I of 1905 (d) Transferred to the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind	-	10
I of 1905 (1) Transferred to the Manager, Incumbered	-	10 272

4. The number of applications for superintendence disposed of Disposal of applications. Disposal of applications. in the previous year.

The number of ap pending at the	plications f close of the	or superintende previous year	ence was 6	4	
Received during t			<u>10</u>	8 - 172	1
Disposal—				115	
(a) Admitted	• •••	•••	3	9	
(b) Rejected		•••	7	7	
•				- 116	
					
Pendin	g at the clo	ose of the year		56	

Out of these, 9 have since been disposed of, 2 more have been reported upon and 45 only remain to be disposed of.

Out of these 45 petitions 21 were received within three months of the close of the year, 18 within six months, the remaining 6 have been pending for longer periods. Four of these are pending because the question of mutation in the Record of Rights in favour of the applicants has not yet been settled, and 2 are pending for want of detailed particulars from the Mukhtiarkars who are being expedited from time to time.

Two hundred 5. and eighty-two estates remained under superintendence for the whole or part of the Management by leasunder report. The details are as year ing or otherwise. under :---206(a) Wholly leased to outsiders (b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders 20(c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department 4 managed departmentally (d) Entirely including those worked on cash rents. 11 2 (e) Only house rents (f) Partly under pre-existing lease and 9 partly amani (g) Superintendence assumed after crops 20were removed ... (h) Discharged from superintendence before 4 crops were ready . . . 1 (i) Wholly leased to estate owners ... (j) Partly leased to outsiders and partly 2 under pre-existing lease ... (k) No lease given, the property being under 1 dispute . . . uncultivated to owing (l) Remained $\mathbf{2}$ deficiency of water

Total ... 282

The number of estates wholly leased to outsiders was 206 out of 282 as compared with 189 out of 263 in the preceding year.

The results of the working are shown in the following table; the totals given in this table will be found to agree with those given in statement II.

Produee	Dem	Demands Red		Recoveries Written off or otherwise stuck off		rwise	Balance	
	Arrears	Current	Arrears	Current	Arrears	Current	Arrears	Current
	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Lease Money Assessment(1) Net Ambni produce (2)	92.206 1,390 6,870	5,35,210 2,00,189 5,729	65.526 1,346 175	4,00,797 1,93,132 4,516	80 3 	 	25,877 44 6,695	1,34,413 7,067 1,213
Assessment (1) Rents		2,700 13,678	757	2,700 12,409	 			
Total	1,01,460	7,57,506	67,804	6,13,554	603		3 2,853	1,43,959

(1) Includes fallow assessment and assessment due on land given on cash rents. (2) Includes net cash rents.

6. The following figures show the total receipts under the various heads for the year under report and the preceding year :--

			1926-27	1925-26	Increase	Decrease
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Rents and produce		6,81,358	5,94,024	87,334	
2.	Earnest money		17,162	13,300	3,862	
3.	Adjustment of lease money	***	11,314	5,613	5,701	
4.	Interest, notice fee, etc.		5,311	3,078	2,233	
5,	Cash balances of new estates		19	20,949		20,930
6.	Sale proceeds of land		6,182	8,118		1,936
7.	Compensation of land acquired Government.	by	89,065	15,844	23,221	
8.	Old debts		9,858	1,29,204		1,19,346
9.	Sale proceeds of moveable prope	rtv	1,640	16,201	•••	14,561
10.	Paid by estate owners		378		378	11,001
11.	Interest on investment		39,945	32,246	7,699	•••
12.	Government loan		2,000		2,000	•••
13.	Recovery of takavi		2,505	5,105	2,000	2,600
14.	Recoveries on account of regist tion, etc.	tra-	-,7	•••	7	
15,	Private loan	•••	6,960	21,000		14.040
16.	Pension		642	706	•••	64
					····	
	Total	•••	8,24,346	8,65,388	1,32,435	1,73,477
	Total decrease				41,042	

The increase of Rs. 87,334 under the head "Rents and produce" is due to a number of new estates having been admitted to superintendence and to the realization of better lease moneys and the decrease under the head "Old debts" is explained below :---

Balance outstanding at	Ks. 18,204			
Add— (a) Shown in the las the future years (b) Determined during	t report as	recover	•	21,215 16,398
Deduct— (a) Recoverable in fu (b) Written off	ature years	2	Rs. 5,424 438	55,817
•				25,862
Balance to be recovered	l within the	e year		29,955
Recoveries	•••	•••		9,858
Balance still due				20,097

The entire balance is under process of recovery. It is on account of the bad year that the balance is slightly larger than that of the previous year in which it was Rs. 18,204.

7. The expenditure for the year under report excluding Expenditure. investments amounted to Rs. 8,24,063 against Rs. 5,67,455 in the previous year :--

	:·		1926-27	1925-26	Increase	Decrease
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Government demands		2,01,358	1,52,995	48,363	
2.	Maintenance and tution		1,88,618	1,60,150	28,468	
3.	Personal expenses		1,02,141	78,346	23,795	
4.	Suit expenses, etc	••••]	30,699	18,673	12,026	
5.	Municipal and other taxes		1,610	740	870	
6.	Pay of servants		4,663	1,413	3,250	
7.	Registration and stamp		217	377		160
8.	Garden and other cultivat	ion				í í
	expenses		723	618	105	
9.	Produce to co-sharers		12,669	4,162	8,507	
10.	Adjustment of former lease mor	ley.	11,314	5,613	5,701	
11.	Purchase of lands and buildinetc.	1gs,	6,764	33,044	•••	26,280
12.	Savings paid to estate owners		72,950	39,862	33,088	
13.	Compensation to lessees		1,000		1,000	
14.	Pension		80		80	
15.	Petty items		1,209	1,352	'	143
16.	Cost of management		41,270	36,277	4,993	
17.	Proved debts paid with interest		1,17,982	14,196	1,03,786	
18.	Loans repaid with interest		18,863	4,635	14,228	
19.	Government loan repaid		50		50	•••
20.	Takavi		9,883	2,922	6,961	
21.	Paid under Civil Court decrees			5,754		5,754
22.	Redemption of ornaments			1,206	•••	1,206
23.	Audit fee		•••	5,120		5,120
	Total		8,24,063	5,67,455	2,95,271	38,663
	Net increase			•••	2,56,608	

Notable increases are of Rs. 48,363, Rs. 28,468 and Rs. 23,795 under'the heads "Government demands, maintenance and tuition and personal expenses," respectively, due to a large increase in the number of the estates admitted to superintendence and of Rs. 1,03,786 under the head "Proved debts paid with interest" chiefly due to the payment of debts of the estate of the late Mr. G. M. Bhurgri to the extent of Rs. 99,658.

Collection of dues. 8. The figures regarding collections of rents and produce stand as under:—

Ľ			$\mathbf{Rs.}$	
Arrears shown last year			. 27,207	
Under postponement allo	wed in previou			
Amount prohibited under	r Civil Court	orders	. 6,623	
			·	
			1,01,460	
Current demands		· • •	. 7,57,506	
		Total	. 8,58,966	
Deductions—		\mathbf{Rs}	•	
Amount written off out o	f arrears	46	5	
Amount under postponen Amount struck off owing	aent	96,095	5	
of estates (out of arrea	ars)	757	,	
Amount prohibited under	r Ćivil Court			
Orders	··· `···	7,423	ERVANTS O	
Due by a debtor since co	me under the	5	ERVANISO	FINDIA S
protection of the Mana	ager, Incum-		BRAN	CH LIBEA
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind	ager, Incum-		Ř	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174)	ager, Incum- (Incumbered	10,005	Ř	OMBAY
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by		Ř	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by	10,005	B	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of		B	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of	10,005 7,101	; B	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of	10,005	; B	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency	10,005 7,101	; B	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency	10,005 7,101 7,133	1 ,28,560	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court Amount recoverable with	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency in the year	10,005 7,101 7,133	1,28,560 7,30,406	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court Amount recoverable with Collections out of arrears	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency in the year	10,005 7,101 7,133 67,804	1 ,28,560 7 ,30,406	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court Amount recoverable with	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency in the year	10,005 7,101 7,133 67,804 6,13,554	1 ,28,560 7 ,30,406	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court Amount recoverable with Collections out of arrears Collections out of current	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency in the year t demands Total rec	10,005 7,101 7,133 67,804 6,13,554 overy	1 ,28,560 7 ,30,406 6,81,353	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court Amount recoverable with Collections out of arrears Collections out of current Since recovered	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency in the year t demands Total rec	10,005 7,101 7,133 67,804 6,13,554	1 ,28,560 7 ,30,406 6,81,358 49,048	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court Amount recoverable with Collections out of arrears Collections out of current	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency in the year t demands Total rec Ba	10,005 7,101 7,133 67,804 6,13,554 overy lance	1 ,28,560 7,30,406 6,81,353 49,048	
protection of the Mana bered Estates in Sind Estate No. 1174) Government assessment Mukhtiarkars after t the year Claim pending before Court Amount recoverable with Collections out of arrears Collections out of current Since recovered	ager, Incum- (Incumbered demanded by he close of Insolvency in the year t demands Total rec Ba	10,005 7,101 7,133 67,804 6,13,554 overy lance 18,155	1 ,28,560 7,30,406 6,81,353 49,048	

The percentage of the total recovery (Rs. 6,81,358) to the amount recoverable within the year (Rs. 7,30,406) works out at 93'29 per cent. as compared with 95'62 per cent. in the preceding year and that of recoveries (Rs. 6,13,554) out of the current demands recoverable within the year (Rs. 7,57,506—postponed Rs. 96,095 = Rs. 6,61,411) works out at 92'76 as compared with 96'81 in the preceding year. The decrease in the percentage of recoveries has not, been considerable in view of general deficiency of water supply, damage done by locusts and to the heavy fall in the price of the cotton which hit hard the lessees in the cotton growing tracts. Numerous applications were received by me for remission and postponement of lease money. Remission was of course refused, so also postponement except in a few hard cases. In these cases too postponement; of only a part of the lease money was granted. Even after the close of the year no efforts were spared to reduce the arrears to the lowest possible limit inasmuch as out of an outstanding of Rs. 49,048, an amount of Rs. 18,155 has since been recovered. This practically raises the percentage of recoveries to 95.77.

9. The account of Government demands Government stands as under :-demands. Rs . Balance outstanding last year 8,689 Add— (a) Arrears claimed for new estates 210(b) Since notified 1.369... ... (c) Current demands ... 2,02,134 Amount due within the year ... 2,12,402 Payments 2,01,358 Balance outstanding ... 11,044Details of balance-Rs. 233(1) Due in future years (2) Under postponement allowed by the Revenue Department 1,376 • • • (3) Not paid for want of balance available in estates by which due (all prior to assumption of superintendence) ... 2,364(4) Notified after the close of the year (incuding Rs. 5,637 recoverable by the Revenue Department direct from Rais Jan Mahomed lessee of Court of Wards estate No. 415 and uncle of the wards) 7,071 11.044

The percentage of payments made (Rs. 2,01,358) to the amount payable (Rs. 2,12,402 minus 233 minus 1,376) is 95.52 per cent. as compared with 95.74 per cent. in the previous year. The above figure includes demands on account of land revenue due whether before or after assumption of superintendence as also demands on account of takavi due before assumption of superintendence. The balance outstanding viz., Rs. 11,044 is made up of :- -

				Ivs .
Land Revenue	•••	•••		8,427
Takavi	***			2,219
Non-Jamabandi it	ems		•••	398

Total ... 11,044

D-

D.

The figures of land revenue arrears and takavi outstanding at the close of the year have been compiled separately according to the statement so far received from the Mukhtiarkars on the requisition of this office. (The outstandings in respect of a few Talukas from which the necessary statements have not yet been received are according to this office records Rs. 7,148, 100 and 78 on account of land revenue, takavi and non-jamabandi items, respectively). These statements show the balances due to these Talukas including the above amounts to be Rs. 11,887 on account of land revenue, Rs. 2,630 on account of takavi and Rs. 1,384 on account of non-jamabandi items as against the corresponding figures of Rs. 8,427, Rs. 2,219 and Rs. 398 shown above.

As regards the excess of Rs. 3,460 under the head of land revenue Rs. 3,072 have been demanded on account of a new estate and the matter is under correspondence, so also the correspondence is going on in respect of Rs. 92 more. The balance of Rs. 296, the excess of Rs. 986 under non-jamabandi items and Rs. 411 under takavi (the latter being partly due to the accumulation of interest on the original Takavi loan) have not been demanded before.

The account of takavi taken from Government by Court of Wards for estates under superintendence stands as under :---

Balance outstanding at the beginnin $A dd$ —	ng of the yea	r	Ks. 50
Loan raised during the year under	report	•••	2,000
Deduct—	Total	•••	2,050
Repaid during the year	•••	•••	50
Balance at the close	of the year	•••	2,000

10. The cost of management for 1925-26 which was recovered during the year under report was Rs. 41,270 as against Rs. 36,277 recovered in the preceding year. This works out at 6'29 per cent. on the

gross income of 1925-26 as compared with 6.23 per cent. at which it was recovered for 1924-25. The total cost of the joint Departments, the Incumbered Estates and the Court of Wards, was Rs. 90,600 as against Rs. 86,739 in the previous year.

a 821-5

Advances and cost of 11. The details of advances for cultivation are as under :---

Polonce charm entited ing of the and of the	Rs.
Balance shown outstanding at the end of the previous year	2,880
Add Advances made during the year	9,884
	12,764
Deduct— Rs. (a) Recovery 2,505 (b) Written off, estate being discharged 500 (c) Written off as irrecoverable 579	3,384
Balance outstanding at the close of the year Due in 1927-28 9,000 Under process of recovery 380	9,380

12. Rs. 1,88,618 were spent on maintenance and education of Maintenance and the wards as against Rs. 1,60,150 spent in the education of wards. The details are as under :--

-	Rs.
Cash for maintenance and tuition	1,66,345
Produce enjoyed by the wards or on their behalf	
and adjusted to maintenance	22,273
	,

Total ... 1,88,618

There are in all 547 wards. Out of these 394 are males and 153 are females. Three of the females have been receiving education. Out of the 394 males :---

- 32 are below school age.
- 84 are over school age.
 - 5 are in service.
 - 7 are lunatics.
 - 3 are deaf and dumb.
 - 4 are invalids.
- 3 are dead.
- 36 are learning profession or doing agricultural work.
- 44 are doing nothing (5 are given up as hopeless cases, 16 are without a school in their vicinity, attempts to send the remaining 23 to school continue).
- 13 have come under superintendence only recently.
- 163 are receiving education as under :---
 - 38 Qoran.
 - 4 Hindu Sindi characters.
 - 79 Vernacular tuition.
 - 40 English education.
 - 2 College education.

The education of the wards engages my personal attention and every endeavour is made to impress upon them (specially the intelligent and well-to-do ones) the necessity of proper education.

U	,			
Payment of existing debts and liabilities.	13. Statemes ment of debts.	nt III shows The followin	the pr	ogress in pay- n abstract :—
			U	Rs.
Balance at the	beginning of the	e year	•••	2,57,833
Fresh claims fi	- •	••••	•••	1,91,249
Interest accrue	ed	•••	•••	. 4,566
Loans raised	***	••• •	•••	6,960
				<u></u>
		Tota	l	4,60,608
Deduct				·
Settled during	the year :	-	~	
(a) Paid-		-	Rs.	
•	oan and interest		,863	
Proved thereo:		iterest 1,17	982	
(b) Disallow		1,81		
(c) Written			,906	
() () 111101				3,20,004
		Balance		1,40,604
Details of bala	nces—	R	s.	, , _
(a) Amount	awarded	67	,026	
(b) Claims n	ot yet settled	58	,653	
(c) Private l	0an	14	,925	
		1.40	604	
Claims.	14. The follo	1,40		
Ciaims.	14, 1110 1010	owing figure		
		No. of	claims	Amount
Pending at the	beginning of the		E E	Rs.
Filed during th		•	55 81	1,53,908
Thea during in	ie year	• • • •	01	1,91,249
			.36	3 45 157
		Rs.	.00	3,45,157
Decided	104 -	= 2,84,598		
Written off	1=	-		
	4 -	-	05	2,86,504
				2,00,004
	Ba	lance	31	58,653
				00,000

Out of 31 claims pending at the close of the year, 21 claims were not due for hearing, two claims are connected with a pending Civil suit and have been put off *sine die*, one has been since decided and the remaining seven claims could not be heard either for non-appearance of the parties or for want of production of witnesses. No appeal was filed during the year under report. One appeal shown as pending last year was rejected during the year under report.

15. The total investments at the end of the year amounted to Investments. Rs. 7,13,174 as compared with Rs. 6,46,819 in the previous year taking the War loan bonds and the several debentures at their nominal face value.

The investments are as under :---

				Rs.
War loan bon	ds (1929-47)	•••	•••	31,900
Do.	(1927)	• • •		19,600
Do.	(1928)		•••	1,05,400
Do.	(1932)	•••	•••	4,54,400
Do.	(1933)	•••		24,000
	Municipalities Bombay Improve	 ment Trust	··· }	4,500
Bombay Devel	opment loans		•••	16,100
Saving Bank	deposits with Post	t Office and	\mathbf{Cash}	
Certificates,	etc.	•••		19
Loans to Incur	mbered Estates	•••	•••	42,330
Loans to Court	t of Wards Estates	•••	• • •	14,925
Loans to Co-op	perative Societies		•••	•••
			•	

7,13,174

77~

The uninvested balance amounts to Rs. 4,00,109 as compared with Rs. 4,90,583 shown in the previous year. Steps are being taken to invest a good portion of the balance in Government Securities.

16. Forty-three suits were pending at the close of the year Litigation. 1925-26. Thirteen new suits were filed during the year making a total of fifty-six. In 22 the Court of Wards was plaintiff and in 34 defendant. Out of the first 22, 2 were for dissolution and rendition of partnership accounts, 4 for accounts, 2 for recovery of money, 3 for declaration of titles, 8 for cancellation of sales before Superintendence, and 3 for partition.

As for 34 suits in which the Court of Wards was the defendant, 11 were for partition, 3 for possession of land, 12 for recovery of debts due by estates under superintendence; 2 for damages, 2 for declaration and injunction against taking estates under superintendence, 1 for injunction against recovery of lease money, 2 for declaration of titles and 1 for setting aside partition before superintendence. Eighteen suits were disposed of during the year. Out of these 6 were decided in favour of the Court of wards and 6, against the Court of Wards and 6 were struck off. Out of the remaining 38, 12 are comparatively fresh and 1 has since been decided after the close of the year in favour of the Court of Wards and the remaining 25 are still pending. Seven appeals were pending at the close of the year 1925-26. In 2 the Court of Wards was appellant and in the remaining 5 respondent. Eight more appeals were filed during the year, 5 against the Court of Wards, 3 by the Court of Wards. One appeal was decided in favour of the Court of Wards and 1 against and the remaining 13 are pending.

Records. 17. The record due for destruction in the year under report was duly destroyed.

18. The department continues to show progress. The number of estates under superintendence of the Court

of Wards is rapidly increasing. There were 272 estates under superintendence at the close of the year as against 243 in the previous year, 228 in 1924-25, 207 in 1923-24 and 181 in 1922-23. Thus during the last four years the number has increased by almost 50 per cent. Some of the estates are very big and to cope with the ever increasing work every one concerned has to work extra hours. This has however a limit and I think it will be necessary to strengthen the staff soon.

I have maintained close touch with most of the wards during my tour. Their education, health and welfare generally received my personal attention and their reasonable wants were readily supplied.

I inspected the properties of 31 estates in 45 Dehs besides 42 buildings of 25 estates.

The Deputy Managers, Upper Sind and Lower Sind, inspected the properties of 15 and 40 estates respectively.

The office of the Assistant Manager was held by Mr. Nanikram Jethmal throughout the year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. B. MUHAMMED HUSSAIN,

Court of Wards in Sind.

STATEMENT No. I.

Statement showing the general position of estates under Government management for the year 1926-27.

2 Do. Gr 3 Do. Tr 4 Do. Mr 5 Do. Mr 6 Do. Mr 7 Do. Mr 8 Do. Ar 9 Do. Gr 1 Sind Dr 2 Do. Gr 3 Do. T	3 Shani Parto, No. 60. Tawharkhan, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302 fahomed Lukman, No. 310. Cararo Shah, No. 314 famookhan, No. 321 fahomad Amin, No. 370. bdul Nabi, No. 394. hulam Rasul No. 415. Total	4 A cres. 3.825 4.985 6.021 3.238 4.859 2.562 2.340 4.796 22.607 55.223	5 Acres. 1.430 2,524 4,538 1.165 2,946 1.450 9'1' 2,194 6,264 22,772	2.36 2.46 1.48 2.61 1.11 1.36 1.034	15 3 2 3 1 2 9 9	7 Bs. 6.251 8.011 4.469 4.361 2.259 5.904 3.255 9.268	8 Rs. 15,229 27,692 77,702 15,908 26,662 17,254 16,355	9 Rs. (1)17,962 34,932 (2)94,867 17,833 (3)29.877
2 Do. Gr 3 Do. Ta 4 Do. Ma 5 Do. Ma 6 Do. Ma 7 Do. Ma 8 Do. Al 9 Do. Gl 1 Sind Da 1 Sind Gl 2 Do. Gl 3 Do. Ta	80. sawharkhan, No. 278. Sajokhan, No. 302 fahomed Lukman, No. 310. Gararo Shah, No. 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321 dahomad Amin, No. 370. bdul Nabi, No. 394. bulam Rasul No. 415. Total	3.825 4.965 6.021 3.238 4.859 2.569 2.540 4.796 22.607 55.223	1.430 2,524 4,538 1,165 2,246 1.450 971 2,184 6,364	2.36 2.46 1.48 2.61 1.11 1.36 1.034	15 3 2 3 1 2 9 9	8,251 8,011 4,489 4,361 2,259 5,204 3,255	15,229 27,692 77,702 15,908 26,692 17,254	(1)17,962 34,932 (2)94,867 17,833 (3)29,877
2 Do. Gr 3 Do. Ta 4 Do. Mi 5 Do. Mi 6 Do. Mi 7 Do. Mi 8 Do. Ai 9 Do. Gi 1 Sind Di 2 Do. G 3 Do. T	80. sawharkhan, No. 278. Sajokhan, No. 302 fahomed Lukman, No. 310. Gararo Shah, No. 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321 dahomad Amin, No. 370. bdul Nabi, No. 394. bulam Rasul No. 415. Total	4,985 6,021 3,238 4,859 9,2562 2,340 4,786 92,607 55,223	2,524 4,538 1,165 2,246 1,450 971 2,184 6,364	2,46 1,48 2,07 1,11 1,130 1,130 1,130 1,130 1,130 1,130		8,011 4,489 4,381 2,259 5,204 3,255	27,692 77,702 15.908 96.692 17.254	34,932 (2)94,867 17,833 (3)29,877
2 Do. Gr 3 Do. Tr 4 Do. Mr 5 Do. Mr 6 Do. Hr 7 Do. Mr 8 Do. Gr 9 Do. Gr 1 Sind Dr 1 Sind Gr 3 Do. Gr	awharkban, No. 278. Ajokhan, No. 302 Jahomed Lukman, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No. 314 Jamzokhan, No. 321 Jahounad Amin, No. 370. Jodul Nabi, No. 394. Julam Rasul No. 415. Total	6.021 3.238 4.859 2.562 2.340 4.786 22,607 55,223	4,538 1,165 2,246 1,450 971 2,184 6,364	i 1,4 ⁶ i 2,07 i 2,61 i 1,11 1,30 i 2,60 i 10,34	3 2 3 3 1 9 9	4,489 4,361 2,259 5,204 3,265	97,702 15.908 96.692 17.254	(2)94.867 17.83 3 (3)29.97 7
3 Do. Ta 4 Do. Mi 5 Do. Mi 6 Do. Mi 9 Do. Mi 9 Do. Gi 1 Sind Gi 1 Sind Gi 3 Do. Gi	ajokhan, No. 302 fahomed Lukman, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No. 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321 fahomad Amin, No. 370. bdul Nabi, No. 394. bulam Rasul No. 415. Total	3.238 4.859 2.562 2.340 4.786 22.607 55,223	1,165 2,246 1,450 971 2,184 6,264	2,07 2,61 1,11 1,36 2,60 10,34	3 3 1 2 9	4.381 2.259 5.904 3.265	15.908 96.682 17.254	17.83 3 (3)29.87 T
5 Do Re 6 Do Hi 7 Do Mi 8 Do Mi 9 Do Gi 9 Do Gi 1 2 Do Gi 1 Sind Di 2 Do Gi 3 Do T	No. 310. (araro Shah, No. 314 famzokhan, No. 321 fahounad Amin, No. 370. bdul Nabi, No. 394. bulam Rasul No. 415. Total	4.859 2.562 2.340 4.786 22,607 55,223	2.246 1.450 971 2.184 6.264	2.61 1.11 1.30 2.60 10.34	3 1 2 9 2	2,259 5,204 3,255	96.682 17.254	(3)29.877
6 Do.	Lararo Shah, No. 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321 Láhounad Amin, No. 370. Ibdul Nabi, No. 394. Ibulam Rasul No. 415. Total	2.562 2.340 4.786 22,607 55,223	1.450 971 2.184 6.264	1,11 1,36 2,66 10,34	2 9 2	5.904 3.255	17.254	
8 Do. Al 9 Do. Gl 1 Sind Gl 1 Sind Gl 2 Do. Gl 3 Do. T	370. bdul Nabi, No. 394. bulam Rasul No. 415. Total	4,786 22,607 55,223	2.184 6.264	2.60 16.34	2	1	16,359	90,075
9 Do Gi	ibulam Rasul No. 415. Total	\$2,607 55,223	6.364	1 10.34			43 305	16.653
o Name of the province. Na 1 2 1 2 Do. 3 Do.	Total		99 779			2,900	43,393 1,06,228	(4)53,399 61,400
1 2 1 Sind D 2 Do G 3 Do T				32,45	9	8,048	3.48.503	3.66,975
1 2 1 Sind D 2 Do G 3 Do T	Total of other estates.	80,979	34,108	46,87	1 1.0	4.641	4,09,003	4.57,365
1 2 1 Sind D 2 Do G 3 Do T	Grand Total	136,202	56.890	79.35	2 2.0	2,869	7.57.506	(∆) €.24.345
1 2 1 Sind D 2 Do G 3 Do T		ехрер-	Balar	;	liabi-	Cost of man- agoment for 1926-26.	Percentage on kross in- come, 1926- 26.	
1 2 1 Sind D 2 Do G 3 Do T	ame of the estate owner and	Б.	ed.	Uninvest- ed.	=	at n 26.	taki	ke.
1 2 1 Sind D 2 Do G 3 Do T	umber of estate.	Total ez diture.	Invested	d.	Total lity.	R - 17	ercenta krora come, 26.	Rewarks
1 Sind D 2 Do G 3 Do T			Ia			5°	e rea	Rei
2 Do G 3 Do T	3	10	IJ	12	13	14	15	16
2 Do G 3 Do T		Rs. (5)	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.	Rs.		
3 Do T	Dhani Parto, No. 80.	31,742 (6)	44,600	-7,100*		917	6-29	ļ
1	Gawharkhan, No. 278.	22,186 (7)	20,500	17,251		1,658	•	
	Tajokhan, No. 302	53.961 (8)	1.78.000	(3, 4 53		4.302	•	
	No. 810.	18.956 (9)	20,300	10.139		639		
		32.771 (10)			1,492	1.334		1
¥ 1.0 M	Kararo Shah, No 314	14,690 7,645 (11)	6,000 	10, <u>236</u> 19,265	=	1,189 1,317		
8 Do A	Hamzokhan, No. 321 Jahomad Amin, No.		30,000	39,393 26,367		4.501 318		
	Hamzokhan, No. 321	30,09 2 1,72,453			1,492	16,375		
•	Hamzokhan, No. 321 Jahomad Amin, No. 370. Abdul Nabi, No. 394.		2,99,400 1	.65,165	1,932 (1	4
	Hamzokhan, No. 321 Jahomad Amin, No. 370. Abdul Nabi, No. 394. Shulam Rasul No. 415.	1,72,453	· •	2.34,944 1.		24,695	· · · · ·	

• This is being made up by sale of investments held on behalf of the ward.

+ The creditor being dissatisfied with the award has filed a civil suit which is still pending. (A) Besides total gross receipts shown in column 9 the following smounts were realized by sale of investments and by return of money on losn:-

	of motoring
oner	on loan :
	Ks.
(1)	4.770
(2)	3,160
(3)	1.6.9
(4)	14,300

4

23,739

(B) Besides total gross expenditure shown in column 10 the following amounts were invested and paid for cost of establishment in advance :---

	Rs.
(5)	2,767
(6)	1.106
(7)	19.711
(5)	\$.320
.9.	1.107
10	2,754
11:	12.300

STATEMENT No. II.

4

	Name	Name of the	Dema	nds on ac of	count	Collect	ions on ac of	count
🛏 Berial No.	of the province.	estate owner and number of estate. 3	+ Arrears.	. Current.	e Total.	 Arrears, 	æ Current.	to Total.
	1	1	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Sind			15,229	15,229		15,229	15,229
2	Do	No. 80. Gawharkhan, No. 278.	1,994	27,692	29,686	1,993	26,971	28,964
3 4	Do Do	Tajokhan, No. 302.	18,045 3,503	77,702 15,908	95,747 19,411	18,045 3,407	60,504 12,204	78,549 15,611
5	Do	TT (1 1 1 1T	801	26,682	27,483	495	24,545	25,040
6	Do		8,072	17,284	25,356	267	14,676	14,943
7	Do		1,000	18,385	19,385	1,000	15.613	16,613
6	Do	44 7 7 77 74 37	12,969	43,393	56,362	12,022	11,893	23,915
9	Do	Ghulam Rasul Khao, No. 415.	•	1,06,228	1,06,228		69,545	69,545
		Total	46,384	3,48,503	3,94,887	37,229	2,51,180	2,88,409
	1	Total of other estates.	55,076	4,09,003	4,64,079	30,575	3,62,374	3,92,949
		Grand Total	1,01,460	7,57,506	8,58,966	67,804	6,13,554	6,81,358

Statement showing the efficiency of rent and produce collections in estates under superintendence of Court of Wards in Sind for the year 1926-27.

	Name of the		Written off from Struck off ou to discharge estates fro		ge of	ge of Balance from		 			
Serial No.	of the province.	estate.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current,	Total.
1	2	3	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	1		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Sind	Dhani Parto, No. 80.		•••							
2	Do	0 1				•••			.1	721	723
3 4	Do Do	Tajokhan, No. 302.				 	 		96	17,198 3,704	17.198 3,800
δ	Do								306	2,137	2,443
6	Do		7		7				7,798	2,608	10,406
7	Do	No. 1. come of America								9,773	2,773
8	Do	4.1 T							947	31,500	32,447
9	Do	Ghulam Rasul Khan, No. 415.				·				36,683	36,683
		Total	7		7				9,148	97,323	1,06,471
		Total of other estates.	89		39	757		757	23,705	46,629	70,334
		Grand Total	46		46	757	•••	757	32,853	1,43,952	1,76,805

STATEMENT No. III.

Statement showing the progress made in the liquidation of debts in the Court of Wards estates during the year 1926-27.

Name of province. Name of the and number of estate. Date on which and number of estate. The set of e						,	<i>.</i>			
Sind Dhani Parto. 24th February 100 1.300 Rs. Rs. </td <td>of province.</td> <td>estate owner and number of estate.</td> <td>superintend- ence was assumed.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Balance of the debta</td> <td>at the beginning the year.</td> <td>Additional dehts curred during year.</td> <td>Debis settled during the year. unouf the sear Age S</td>	of province.	estate owner and number of estate.	superintend- ence was assumed.				Balance of the debta	at the beginning the year.	Additional dehts curred during year.	Debis settled during the year. unouf the sear Age S
Sind Dhani Parto. 24th February 100 1.300 <th< td=""><td></td><td>)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td></th<>)					<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Do. No. 80. Ownhar k han 10th October No. 995. 1921. 1921. 588 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>R</td> <td></td> <td>Rs.</td> <td></td> <td>Rs.</td> <td>Rs.</td> <td>·Rs.</td>				R		Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	·Rs.
Do.	Sinā	Dhani Parto.	24th February 1911		100	1,20	0		••• /	
Do. Tajokhan, No. 14th Septem.	Do,	Gawhar k han.	10th October	••••	.	88	8		•••	
Do.	Do	Tajokhan, No.	14th Septem-			•••				
Do.	Do	Mahomed Luk-	2nd December						•••	
Do.	Do	Sayed Kararo	3rd February			21,75	9	1,492	•••	
Do. Mahomed Amin. 9th July 1924.	Do	Hamzo khan.	1923. 10th May 1923.			91	6			
Do.	Do	Mahomed Amin,	9th July 1924.						•••	
Do. Ghulam Rasul hhau, No. 415. (33rd Novem- ber 1926. 1st April 1926. 30th April 1926. 1,69,722 1.37,130 56,012 Total of other estates Total of other estates 5.94,526 4.37,423 1.19,211 1.46,763 Name of province. Name of the estate owner estate. Date on which superintend- estate. Date on which superintend- ence was assumed. Debts settled during the year-contd. Image: setting s	Do	Abdul Nabi, No.	4th February	••••					•••	
Total of other estates Total $5.94,526$ $4.37,423$ $1.19.211$ $1.46.763$ Total Total $5.94,626$ $6.21,908$ $2.67,633$ $2.02.775$ Name of province. Name of the estate owner estate. Date on which superintend- end number of estate. Date on which superintend- ence was assumed. Debts settled during the year-contd. $\frac{3}{2}$ do $\frac{3}{2$	Do) Ghulam Rasul	23rd Novem- ber 1925. 1st April 1926. 30th April	} .		1,59,72	22 1,	37,130	56,012	
Total 5.94,626 6.21,908 2.57,633 2.02.775 Name of province. Name of the estate owner estate. Date on which superintend- ence was assumed. Debts settled during the year-contd. Image to the states Image to the estate. Date on which superintend- ence was assumed. 1 2 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 16 1 2 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 1 2 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 1 2 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 100.			ŀ	5.94	.526	4.37.42	3 1.	19,211	1.46.763	·
Name of province.Name of the estate owner estate owner estate.Date on which superintend- ence was assumed.Debts settled during the year-contd.Name of a to		1								
1 2 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Sind Dhani Parto. 24th February Rs. Rs. <th></th> <th>ļ</th> <th></th> <th>-10-</th> <th>1022</th> <th>-,,</th> <th></th> <th> </th> <th></th> <th></th>		ļ		-10-	1022	-,,				
1 2 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Sind Dhani Parto. 24th February Rs. Rs. <td></td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td>Deb</td> <td>• ts settle</td> <td>d durir</td> <td>ng the</td> <td>year-</td> <td>contd.</td> <td>waa mp- tate of</td>				Deb	• ts settle	d durir	ng the	year-	contd.	waa mp- tate of
1 2 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Sind Dhani Parto. 24th February Rs. Rs. <td>of</td> <td>estate owner and number of</td> <td>superintend-</td> <td>sale of rty.</td> <td>T</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>90</td> <td>3alance.</td> <td>Jate by which it expected on assu- expected on assu- ment that cui- would be clear debts.</td>	of	estate owner and number of	superintend-	sale of rty.	T			90	3alance.	Jate by which it expected on assu- expected on assu- ment that cui- would be clear debts.
Sind Dhani Parto. 24th February <	1	2	3	-	10		-			•
Sind Dhani Parto. 24th February <		<u> </u>		Re	l Re	Re	Re	Ra	Ra	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sind	Dhani Parto	. 24th February							
Do. No. 298. 1921. Tajokhan, No. 14th September 1922. Do. Mahomed Luk, 2nd December 1922. 2nd December 1922. <td>Do</td> <td>. Gawbarkhan</td> <td>10th October</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Do	. Gawbarkhan	10th October							
Do. Mabomed Luk- man, No. 310. ber 1922. 2nd December <	Do	No. 298.	1921.	1						
man, No. 310. 1922. 1492* Do. Ham z o k h a n. 10th May 1923. 1.492* Do. Mahomad Amin. 9th July 1924.	Do	302.	ber 1922.	1						
Do. Ham z o k h a n. No. 321. 1923.		man, No. 310.	1922.		•••					
No. 321. No. 321. Mahomad Amin. 9th July 1924 No. 370. 4th February Jo. Abdul Nabi, No 394. 1925. Do. Ghulam Rasul ber 1925. Khao, No. 415. 1st April 1926. Total of other estates		Shah, No. 314.	1923.							
Do. No. 370. Abdul Nabi, No 4th February 1925. 1925. Do. Ghulam Rasul Value (23rd Novem-ber 1925.) 1st April 1926. 1st April 1926. Total of other (1926.) states 67.769 1.906 1.906 37,187 1.39,112		No. 321.	1							
394. 1925. Do. Ghulam Rasul States 1926. Total of other estates 67,769 1,906 37,187 1.39,112		No. 370.	-	1						
estates 67,769 1,906 37,187 1.39,112	Do	394. Ghulam Rasu Khan, No. 415.	1925. 23rd Novem- ber 1925. 1 ist April 1926 30th April 1926.]	93,484					
			r]	·	67,769	1,906		37,187	1,39,112	
Total 1.81.253 +1.906 1.36.845 1.40.604		Total .			1.81.253			·		

• The creditor does not accept this amount pending decision of the suit referred to in the last year's statement. • This is one to the estate having been transferred to Manager's protection.

н 621-6

Below report of the estates under management of the Court of Wards in Sind for the year 1926-27

No. P-88-B

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Office of the Commissioner in Sind: Government House, Karachi, 1st October 1927.

Submitted to Government.

2. There were 243 estates under management at the beginning of the year. Of these 10 were relinquished during the year, while 39 new estates were taken up leaving a closing total of 272.

3. Out of 172 applications, including 64 pending at the close of the previous year, 116 were disposed of, leaving a balance of 56 at the end of the year. These include 5 which were under disposal for more than six months.

4. The income of the estates was Rs. 8,24,000 as against Rs. 8,65,000 in the previous year.

5. The total expenditure shows a net increase of Rs. 2,57,000. The heads of increase were as follows :---

				Rs.
Government demands				48,000
Maintenance and tuition			•••	28,000
Personal expenses	•			24,000
Suit expenses, etc.			•••	12,000
Municipal and other taxe	S			1,000
Pay of servants .	••	•••		3,000
Produce to co-sharers			•••	9,000
Adjustment of former lea	se money	•••	•••	6,000
Savings paid to estate-ov	vners	•••		33,000
Compensation to lessees				1,000
Cost of management				5,000
Proved debts paid with in	nterest			1,04,000
Loans repaid with intere				14,000
Takavi .	••	•••	•••	7,000
			•	2,95,000

Expenditure decreased under the following heads :---

					Ks.
Purchase of	f lands an	d buildings, e	tc		26,000
Paid under	Civil Cou	art decrees	•••	••	6,000
Redemption	ı of ornaı	nents			1,000
Audit fee	•••		•••	•••	5,000
					39,000

The increase in expenditure is chiefly due to a large increase in the number of estates admitted to superintendence, and to the payment of proved debts of the estate of the late Mr. G. M. Bhurgri.

6. The aggregate amount due to the estates was Rs. 8,59,000 of which Rs. 6,81,000 were collected and Rs. 1,000 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,77,000 to be recovered. The balance compared with that of the previous year is detailed below :--

			1926-27	1925-26
			Rs.	Rs.
Postponements	•••	•••	96,000	68,000
Recoveries prohibi	ited by Civil C	ourts.	8,000	7,000
Recovered after th	e close of the y	ear	18,000	12,000
Under process		•••	31,000	15,000
Due by a debtor st protection of t bered Estates No. 1174)	he Manager, Ir	ncum-	10,000	•••
Government asses Mukhtyarkars the year			7,000	•••
Claim pending Court	before Insol	vency 	7,000	•••
	,		1,77,000	1,02,000

The percentage of recoveries to the total amount due for collection during the year is 93°29 as compared with 95°62 in the previous year. The decrease is due to poor crops, damage done by locusts and a heavy fall in the price of cotton. A large portion of the balance has been collected since the end of the year.

7. The aggregate amount of old debts due to estates at the commencement of the year was Rs. 39,419 to which Rs. 16,398 were added during the year. Of the total of Rs. 55,817, Rs. 9,858 were recovered and Rs. 438 written off.

The balance of Rs. 45,521 is accounted for as under :--

		Rs	•
Recoverable in future years	•••	25,42	24
Under process of recovery		20,09	97
		<u> </u>	
		45,52	21

8. Government arrears due by the Court of Wards at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 11,044 as against Rs. 8,689 in the previous year.

9. The total indebtedness of the estates at the commencement of the year amounted to Rs. 2,57,833 to which new debts of Rs. 2,02,775 were added during the year. Out of the total liabilities of Rs. 4,60,608 Rs. 3,20,004 compared with Rs. 68,013 in the preceding year, were settled as under, reducing the balance to Rs. 1,40,604 at the close of the year :--

				ns.
Debts paid	•••	•••	•••	1,36,845
Claims rejected	•••	•	•••	1,81,253
Claims written o	ff	•••	•••	1,906
				3,20,004
				3,20,004

10. Out of 136 claims including 55 remaining undecided from the previous year, 105 were disposed off, leaving 31 unsettled claims at the end of the year.

11. The total investments at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 7,13,174 while the uninvested balance was Rs. 4,00,109. Steps are being taken to invest a substantial portion of this balance in Government Securities. The invested balance includes Rs. 31,900 deposited in the War Loan of 1929-47, Rs. 6,03,400 in the War Bonds of 1927, 1928, 1932 and 1933, Rs. 4,500 in the debentures of local bodies, Rs. 16,100 in the Bombay Development Loan and Rs. 57,274 advanced to estates under Government management.

12. The administration of the estates has again been carried on most satisfactorily by Khan Bahadur Nabi Bakhsh, who was in charge of them throughout the year.

13. The administration report of the Bughio estate under the management of the Collector and Special Court of Wards, Larkana, will follow shortly.

W. F. HUDSON, Commissioner in Sind.

 $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}}$

н :21—7

Report.

Court of Wards.

Administration — for the year ending 31st July 1927 of estates in the Bombay Presidency under,

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Resolution No. P. 54.

Bombay Castle, 23rd March 1928.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P. 88-B dated 1st October 1927—Forwarding, with his remarks the report for the year ending 31st July 1927 of the Court of Wards in Sind on the administration of estates under the Court's management.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. W.R.D.P.R.B-I dated 7th October 1927.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. W.R.D.P.R.B-II dated 18th October 1927.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. W.R.D. 28 dated 22nd October 1927.

Letter from the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division, No. W.R.D.P.R. 2 dated 10th November 1927—Submitting the reports for the year ending 31st July 1927 on the administration of estates under the Court of Wards.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P. 88-B dated 29th October 1927.

RESOLUTION.—Recorded.

. By order of the Governor in Council,

G. K. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government.

То

The Commissioner in Sind,

The Commissioner, N. D.,

The Commissioner, C. D.,

The Commissioner, S. D.,

The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,

All Collectors, including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

The Director of Agriculture,

The Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records, The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,

[P. T. O.

н 821

 $\mathbf{2}$

The Compiler, General Administration Report,

The Director of Information and Labour Intelligence,

The Accountant General,

The Oriental Translator to Government, The Finance Department, The Editors' Tables, Hyderabad and Sukkur,

Editors of Newspapers,

The Non-official Members of the Legislative Council, The Non-official Members of the Legislative Assembly and Council of State representing the Bombay Presidency, The Secretary to the High Commissioner for India, London.