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# REPORT ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE IN THE PRESIDENCY OF BOMBAY DURING THE YEAR 1022 

1. This report and the statements appended to it relate to tho l'residence Proper and do not include the figures for Sind.
2. Area and Population.-The figures for area and population are thoso of Statement No. I (Civil). the Census of 1021.
3. Judicial Staff.-The permanent Civil Judicial Steff employed in the Statoment No. 1 (Civil). Presidency on 31st December 1022 consisted of 8 Iligh Court Judges (including the Additional Judge); 15 District Judges; 2 Joint Judges; 10 Assistant Judges, including 2 under training; a Chief Judge and four Judges of the Presidency Small Cause Court; 2 Provincial Small Cause Court Judges, 22 First Class Subordinate Judges and 107 Second Class Subordinate Judges. In addition the Cantonment Magistrates of Belgaum. Ahmednagar and Ahmedabad and the Registrars of the Simall Causo Courts of Ahmedabad and Poona exercised the powers of a Small Cause Court Judge. Thero were also 4 Inamdars and Jahagirdars exercising powers of Subordinato Juiges under Regulation XIII of 1830.

The Honourable Sir Norman Cranstoun Macleod, Knight, presided over the High Court from Ist January to 12th June and from 23rd October to 31st December 1922. His Lordship was on leave from 13th June to 22nd October 1022. During this period the Honourable Sir Lallubhai Asharam Shah, Kinight, olliciated at Chief Justice.

Puisne Judges.
The Honourable Sir Lallubhai Asharam Shah, Inight, from 1st to Cth January, from 13th March to 12th June and from 23 rd Dctober to 31st

- December 1922. His Lordship was on deputation from 7th January to 12th March 1922 and officiated as Chief Justice from 13th June to 22nd October 1022.

The Honourable Mr. Justice A. B. Marten from Ist January to 31st December 1922.

The Honourable Mr. Justice E. M. Pratt from 1st January to 17th March and from 18th April to 31st December 1022. His Lordship was on leave from 18th March to 17th April 1922.

The Honourable Mİ. Justice A. M. Kajiji from 1st to 2Gth January and from 27th February to 31st December 1022. His Lordship was on leavo from 27 th January to 26th February 1022.

The Honourable Mr. Justice C. G. II. Fawcett from 1st January to 12th Juno and from 23rd October to 31st December 1022. ILis Lordship was on leatp from 13th June to 22nd October 1022.

The Honourable Mr. Justice L. C. Crump from 1st January to 31st December 1922.

The Honourable Mr. Justice J. B. Kanga officiated as an Additional Judga of the High Court from 1st January to 15th April 1022.

The Honourable Mr. Justice D. F. Mulla officiated as an Additional Judore of the High Court from 19th June to 31st December 1022.

The Honourable Mr. Justice C. A. Kincaid oficiated as a Judgo of the IIigh Court from 13th June to 22nd October 1022.

The Honourable Mr. Justice N. W. Kemp officiated as a Judge of the lligh Court from 16ith June to 21st October 1022.

The Honourable Mr. Justice II. C. Coyajee officiated as a Judge of the Migh Court from 7th January to 12th March 1022.

## IIga Cocrt.

## Original Jurisdiction.

4. Original Suits Instituled.-The number for Civil suits pending on the
${ }^{-}$Bombay Court of Small Causes, and the number instituted during 1922 was 5,020, including 47 suits received by transfer from the Bombay Court of Small Causes and 249 (including 4 suits received by transfer from the Bombay Court of Small Causes) restored during the year, making a total of 10,426 for disposal in 1922.
5. Original Suits Disposed of.-The number of suits decided was 5,470 ,

Statement No. IX, Part I. including 46 suits received by transfer from the Bombay Court of Small Causes, and the number left undisposed of at the end of the year was 4,956 , including 103 suits received by transfer from the Bombay Court of Small Causes. The number pending at the close of the year exceeded the number pending at the close of the previous year by 609. There were 2,148 suits pending over one year, showing an increase of 543 on the figures of the previous year.

Of the suits decided,
1,514 were dismissed for default or want of prosecution, including 23 Small Cause Court transferred suits;
38 were withdrawn with leave;
982 were determined by compromise, including 5 Small Cause Court suits;
78 were decreed on confession;
2,078 were decreed ex-parte, including 6 Small Cause Court suits;
51 were dismissed ex-parte, including 2 Small Cause Court suits;
308 were decreed after contest, including 3 Small Cause Court suits;
105 were dismissed after contest, including 4 Small Cause Court suits;
60 were disposed of by reference to arbitration, including 3 Small Cause Court suits;
160 were disposed of by reference to Commissioner, and
24 were disposed of by transfer to Stayed List.
5,470
0. Suits Instituted and Disposed of under the Testamentary and Intestate Juris-diction.-The number of suits pending at the end of 1921 was 13 and the number instituted during 1922 was 28, and 1 was restored during the year, making a total of 42 for disposal in 1922. Of these, 18 were decided and 24 remained pending at the close of the year 1922. Of the suits decided,

2 were dismissed for default or want of prosecution;
7 were determined by compromise;
5 were decreed for Plaintiffs after contest;
2 were decreed for Defendants after contest; and
2 were disposed of by transfer to Stayed List.
18
There were 3 suits pending over one year at the close of the year.
7. Suits instituted and disposed of under the Admirally and Vice-Admiralty jurisdiction.-The number of suits pending at the end of 1021 was 1 and 3 were instituted in 1022, making a total of 4 for disposal in 1922. Of these 4 suits, 2 were dismissed for default or want of prosecution and 2 were decreed ex-parte, and none remained pending at the close of the year.
8. Suits institutcd and disposed of under the Parsi Chief Matrimonial Jurisdiction.-The number of suits pending at the end of 1921 was 16 and the number instituted during 1022 was 5 , making a total of 21 for disposal in 1922. Of these; 12 were decided and 9 remained pending at the close of the jear 1922.

There were 7 suits peiding over one year at the close of the year. Of the suits decided,

6 were decreed ex-parit ;
5 were decreed after contest; and
1 was dismissed after contest,
12
9. Insolvency cases.-The number of cases at the end of 1021 was 1,040 and the number instituted during 1922 was 1,148, making a total of 8,000 for disposal in 1922. Of these, 678 were decided and 2,412 remained pending at the close of the year 1922. There were 1,298 cases pending over one year at the close of the jear. Of the cases decided,

$$
128 \text { were disposed of ex-parto ; }
$$

520 were dismissed ex-parte ;
9 were decided after contest; and
21 were dismissed after contest.
678
10. References from the Bombay Court of Small Causes.- Five References were made during the year and were decided in the same year."
11. Appeals to the Privy Council.-Nineteen appeals were pending from the previous year and 12 were admitted during the year, making a total of 81 for disposal during the year. Of these,

4 were decided by the Privy Council, who confirmed the decrees of the High Court ;
15 were pending before the Privy Council in England (including 6 deapatched during the year); and
12 were pending in the High Court.
-
31
12. References to take accounts, eto. -The number of referencen to toke accounta, to make inquiries as to estates to be edministered or partitioned, as to debte and incumbrances, ss to allowances to be made for maintensnce, on question of title, on questions afiecting minors in proposed terms of settlement, to settle convegances, and in like matters pending at the commencement of the "year 1022 wan 150. Two hundred and twenty-five references were filed in 1922 in the oflice of the Commissioner for taking accounts and 156 references were disponed of by him during the year 1022.
13. Petitione for Probate and Letters of. Administration, etc.-During the year 814 petitions for Probate and Letters of Administration, 8 Pauper petitions for leave to sue or defend in "Forms Pauperis", 63 Petitions under the Indian Companies Act, 30 Petitions under the Indian Trust Act, 22 References under the Land Acquisition Act, and 374 Petitions under the Guardians and Wardn, Lunacy and Arbitration Acts, etc., making in all 1,311, were filed for disposal.
14. Applicatione for the execution of decrees, do.-The number of applications
enmman Na. $\mathbf{x I}$. pending at the commencement of the year was 871 and the number of applications filed during the year was 2,869, making a total of $\mathbf{3 , 2 4 0}$ for disposal. Of these, 478 were disposed of by transfer to other Courts ; in 357 satisfaction wes obtained in full, in 33 satiaflaction was obtained in part and 1,528 were wholly infructuous, making a total of 2,391, and 849 remained at the close of the year 1922. Number of Darkhasts (Decrees or Orders) disposed of during the gear in which immovesble property was sold, was 6. Number of Decrees received from other Courts for erecution during the year 1022 was 142.
15. Reccipts and expenditure.-The following statement shows receipts and expenditure of the High Court on the Original Side during 1922.

Receipts.

|  |  | Rs. | a. p. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fecs realised by means of stamps |  | 11,77,095 | 07 |
| Probate and Administration duty |  | 13,12,889 | 90 |
| Admission Fees for Advocates and Attorneys |  | 15,500 | 0 |
|  | Total | 25,05,484 | 97 |

## Expenditure.

One-fifth salary of the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and
salaries of four Puisne Judges (including one additional Judge) sitting on the Original Side (with
compensation allowance) .. -.. .. 1,75,647 2 3
Establishment ... .. .. $3,04,533110$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Contingencies } & . & . . & . & 36,641 & 8 & 5\end{array}$
Total .. 5,16,821 12 -6
16. . Taxing office.-The number of bills taxed during the year was as follows:-
Between party and party .. . .. .. 1,739

Between Attorney and client .. .. .. 310
The Court-fees for taxation during the year amounted to Rs. 81,570-8-0. This sum is also included in the item "fees realised by means of stamps."
17. Financial results.-The following figures exhibit the financial results for the last three years, including, under the head of expenditure, the salaries of the Judges :-

| Year. |  | Probate and Administra. tion duty. | - Other Receipta. | Expenditure. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1020 \\ & 1991 \\ & 1922 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  $\begin{array}{llll}6,63,821 \\ 6,12 & 8 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | .. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## I.-High Court.

18. Appeals under Section 15 of the Letters Patent.-Seventy-nine appeals Statement No. x, rart I. from decrees on the Original Side were filed during the year and 56 were pending at its commencement. Of the total 135, 94 were decided and 41 remained pending at the close of the year, of which 4 were pending for more than a year. The number of appeals disposed of was more by 6 than in 1921.

Of the appeals decided,
0 were dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.
1 was decided ex-parte and decree of the Court of first instance was confirmed.
52 were decided after contest and decrees of the Courts of first instance were confirmed.
9 were decided after contest and decrees of the Courts of first instance were modified.
25 were decided after contest and decrees of the Courts of first instance were reversed.
1 was decided after contest and the case was remanded to the Court of first instance.
19. Thirty-six appeals from orders on the Original Sido were filed during

Statement No. X, Pait II. the year and 7 were pending at its commencement. Of the total 43,36 were decided and 7 remained pending at the close of the year. The number of appeals disposed of was more by 5 than in 1921.

Of the appeals decided,
3 were dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecutcd.
21 were decided after contest and orders of the Courts of first instanco were confirmed.
4 were decided after contest and orders of the Courts of first instance were modified.
8 were decided after contest and orders of the Courts of first instance were reversed.
20. On the Appellate Side, 36 appeals from decrees were filed during the Statement No. x, Part I Year and 28 were pending at its commencement. Of the total 64,53 were decided and 11 remained pending at the close of the year. The number of appeals disposed of was less by $\$ 2$ than in 1921.

Of the appeals decided,
1 was allowed to be withdrawn.
.27 were decided ex-parte and docrees appealed agalnst were confirmed.
1 was decided ex-parte and the decree appealed against was reversed.
17 were decided after contest and decrees appealed against wero confirmed.
4 were decided after contest and decrees appealed against were modified. 3 were decided after contest and decrees appealed against were reversed.

| $53$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II.-Appeals from the Subordinate Civil Courts. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Appeals to the IIIgh Court from Subordinate Courls.-The statement in the margin shows the numbers of first and second appeals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sitatement No. X, Parta I and IL. | from decrees, and appeals from orders, of the provincial Courts of Original and Appellatd Jurisdiction which |  |  |  |  |
| - | Pending at the end of 1021. | Preferred. | Docided | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pending } \\ & \text { at he } \\ & \text { end of } \\ & 1022 . \end{aligned}$ | came veiore tho Migh Court and were decided during the Jear. The institutions of first appeals and aypeals from |
| Appeals from Original | 447 | 357 | 219 | 685 | respectively, than in 1021, |
| Appesala from Appei- |  | 357 | 219 | 005 | and the institution of second |
| Latto Decreet.$\cdot$ | 409 00 | $\begin{array}{r}727 \\ \hline 85\end{array}$ | 612 85 | $\begin{gathered} 824 \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | appeals was less by $\mathbf{C O}$ than |
|  | 016 | 1,169 | 016 | 1,109 |  |

22. Appeals decided.-The disposals of first and second appeals ehow a decrease of 240 and 300 , respectively, and that of appeals

## Statement No. X, Farta I snd II

 from orders shows an increase of 12, on the figures of the previous year.23. Appeals pending.-At the close of the year, 585 first appeals, 624 sccond appeals and 60 appeals from orders were pending. The

Statement No. X, Pante I and IL. aggregate total of the pending appeals $i 3$ more by 253 than in 1921.
J52-2
24. Civil work of the High Court.-The following statement shows in detail the civil business which has come before, and disposed of

Steloment No, X, Parts I and II. by, the High Court in its Appellate Jurisdiction during each of the past four years:-

|  | Instituted. |  |  |  | Disposed of. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1910. | 1820. | 1921. | 1922. | 1919. | 1920. | 1921. | 1029. |
| Appeals from Original Decrees .. | 212 | 405 | 293 | 357 | 385 | 311 | 459 | 219 |
| Appeals from Appellate Doorees .. | 986 | 894 | 787 | 727 | 1,371 | 1,243 | 912 | 612 |
| Appoals under the Letters Patent. . | 86 | 111 | 75 | 36 | 85 | 117. | 95 | 53 |
| Appeals from Orders $\quad .$. | 81 | 08 | 77 | 85 | 106 | 62 | 73 | 85 |
| Civil Extraordinary Applications ... | 290 | 337 | 312 | 419 | 387 | 315 | 311 | 355 |
| Civil Referencea $\quad$. | 13 | 25 | 21 | 24 | 9 | 23 | 26 | 14 |
| Applications for Review .. | 18 | 38 | 48 | 27 | 20 | 43 | 45 | 25 |
| Miacollanoous Applications .. | 947 | 828 | 898 | 787 | 070 | 964 | 018 | 788 |

25. Results of appeals.-Of the 219 appeals from original decrees decided, Statement No. X, Part I. 30 were uncontested, being dismissed for default, withdrawn, compromised, heard ex-parte or dismissed under Order XLI; Rule 11, Civil Procedure Code. Of the appeals decided after contest; decrees of lower Courts were confirmed in 124, reversed in '40, modified in 21 and remanded in 4.

Of the 612 appeals from appellate decrees decided, 301 were uncontested, of
Statement No. X, Part $1 \quad$ which 289 were dealt with under Order XLI, Rule II, of the Civil Procedure Code, 3 dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted, in 7 the decrees of the lower Courts were affirmed and in 2 reversed. 311 appeals were heard and determined after contest, with the result that 224 decrees of the lower Courts were affirmed, 63 reversed, I9 modified and 5 remanded.

Of the 85 appeals from orders decided, 39 were uncontested, of which 30 were
Statement No. X, Part II. dealt with under Order XLI, Rule 11, of the Civil Procedure Code, 6 were allowed to be withdrawn and in 3 orders of the lower Courts were affirmed. 46 appeals were decided after contest, with the result that 14 orders of the lower Courts were affirmed, 11 reversed and 21 remanded.
20. Appeals to the Privy Council.-At the opening of the year, 12 appeals to the Privy Council were under preparation for despatch to England and 16 applications for leave were pending for orders. 9 new applications were filed during the year. Of the total number of applications for leave, 18 were rejected, withdrawn or compromised, in 4 leave was granted and 3 applications for leave remained pending at the close of the year. In one case special leave was granted by the Prjvy Council. During the year 9 appeals were despatched to England, one appeal was withdrawn and 7 appeals were under preparation.
27. Results of appcals to the Privy Council.-During the year, 2 appeals from this Court were decided by the Privy Council, with the result that one was dismissed with costs and the other allowed. 9 appeals were pending before the Privy Council at the end of the year.

## Appellate Courts subordinate to the High Court.

23. Appcals instituted. $-4,208$ appeals were instituted in 1922, showing a Statoment No. x, part 1. . decrease of 269 , as compared with the previous year.
The number of appeals instituted was larger than in the previous year in 6, Statemont No. X, Part I. and smaller in 10, districts.
There were noticcable increases in Belgaum (55), Ahmedabad (35) and Dharwar (26), while there were noticeable decreases in Poona (122), Bijapur (84), Nasik (50) and Khandesh (35). In the remaining districts the variations were less than 25.
24. Appeals disposed of. $-4,287$ appeals were decided during the year, uf

Statements No. X, Part I, and No. XIV. which 631 were disposed of by First Class Subordinate Judges exercising appellato powers. These fipures are more by 791 and 472 than the corresponding tipures of the previous year. The number of appeals disposed of after contest ( $3, \therefore 07$ ) wat more by 582 than in 1921. The number of appeals dismissed under Order NII, - Rule 11, Civil Procedure Code, was 765, being more by 200 than in 1021.

The increase in disposals was spread over 10 districts and was the greatest in Ahmedabad (245), followed by Bijapur (224), Khandesh (124) and latnaniri (124). On the other hand, there was a noticeable decrease in Sholapur (20:4) and Thana (41).

Statement No. X, Part 1.

Statement NO. XIV.
30. Appeals pending.-There were 5,005 nupeals pending at the close of the year, the number beingless by 70 than at the end of 1021.

The number of appeals pending for more than a year rose from 1,512 to 1,704 .
31. Results of appeals.-The results on the decision of the lower Courts of the appeals decided during the year were as follows:-In 2,820 cases, or $05 \cdot 0$ per cent. of the whole, the judgment of the lower Court was allirmal ; in 374 cases or 8.7 per cent. it was modified; and in 595 cases or 13.9 per cent. it was reversed. 239, or 5.6 per cent. appeals were remanded and 253 , or 5.9 per cent. were cither nut prosecuted or dismissed for default.
32. Miscellaneous appeals. -275 miscellaneous appeals were instituted during Statement No. X, Part II. the year and 107 were pending at its commencement. Of the total 382, 203 were disposed of during the year, and 119 remained pending at its close. The number pending was more by 12 than in the previous year.

Original Jurisdiction. $:$

## I.-Civil Courts in the Mofussil subordinate to the Migh Court.

33. Changes in Jursdiction.-During the year under report changes were effected in the constitution and jurisdiction of some of the Civil Courts in the Presidency as under :-
(1) The Courts of the Subordinate Judges of Dohad and Godhra in the Ahmedabad District, of Amalner and Erandol in the Khandesh District and of Murbad and Bhivandi in the Thana District were linked together with effect from lst October 1922. (2) The Courts of the Subordinate Judges of Gokak and IIukeri in the Belgaum District were linked together with effect from 1st August 102.'. (3) The 11 villages of the Chikodi Taluka included within the local limits of the Hukeri Court and the 14 villages of the Athni Taluka included within the local limits of the Gokak Court in the Belgaum District were excluded therefrom and included, respectively, within the local limits of the Chikodi and Athni Courts in the Belgaum District. (4) The First Class Subordinate Judge at Alibag way replaced by a Second Class Subordinate Judge with effect from ist October 102, the First Class Subordinate Judge being temporarily transferred to Thana as Joint First Class Subordinate Judge. (5) The posts of Registrars of District and Sessions Courts and of the two Inspecting Subordinate Judges were abolished with effect from 19th March 1922, as a temporary measure of retrenchment.
34. Original suits instituted.-The number of suits instituted in 1022 was

Statement No. VII. 121,544, showing an increase of 0,060 on the figures of the previous year.
The increase occurred in money and title suits to the extent of $7 \cdot 4$ and $2 \cdot 1$ per cent. respectively, the number of money suits instituted being 08,910, against

Statement No. vil. 02,412, in 1021, and that of title suits being se,, $2 \pi$,
The total value of suits instituted in 1022 amountel to Rs. $3,74,07,730$,
8utement No. VIIL. showing a decrease of Ms. 20,00,202 on the total for 1021.
35. Local distribution.-The number of suits instituted in 1922 was larger Statement No. vir. than in the previous year in 10, and smaller in 6,

The increase was most marked in the districts of Khandesh $(4,449)$, Ahmedabad ( 1,157 ), Ahmednagar (728) and Nasik (726), and occurred chiefly in money suits. I'he largest number of suits was instituted in Khandesh $(24,022)$, where the number of money suits $(21,226)$ was the heaviest in the Presidency. In no other district, except Ahmedabad and Poona, did institutions exceed 10,000 .

Institutions were between 9,000 and 10,000 in the district of Nasik, between 6,000 and 7,000 in Thana and Sholapur, between 5,000 and 6,000 in Dharwar, Ahmednagar and Satara, between 4,000 and 5,000 in Surat, Ràtnagiri and Belgaum, between 3,000 and 4,000 in Bijapur, Broach and Kolaba, while Kanara, with 2,744, shows the lowest figure.
36. Number of suits disposed of.-In addition to the $1,21,544$ suits instituted

Statement No. IX, Part I. during the year and the 51,577 suits pending trial from the previous year, 1,499 suits were revived or received on remand or review, making a total of $1,74,620$ for disposal.

Statement No. IX, Part I.
The number of suits disposed of was $1,21,385$, an
Of the total number disposed of, $1,11,030$ were disposed of by Paid Sub-
Statement No. IX, Part I. divisional Tribunals, that is, Courts of Subordinate Judges, 9,497 by Small Cause Courts, 819 by District Courts and 39 by Unpaid Tribunals, that is, by Inamdars and Jahagirdars.
37. Results of suits decided.-In the suits disposed of during the year, plaintiffs were unsuccessful in 19,697 cases, or 16.2 per cent. ; in 26,759 cases or $22 \cdot 1$ per cent. a compromise was
Statement No. IX, Part I. effected. Plaintiffs were successful in 74,929 cases or $61 \cdot 7$ per cent. These results were almost as favourable to plaintiffs as in 1921.

Of the 52,984 suits decided on contest, 46,755 or 88.2 per cent. resulted in
Statement No. IX, Part I. favour of plaintiffs, and 6,229 or $11 \cdot 8$ per cent. in favour of defendants.
38. Suits disposed of by Inamdars and Jahagirdars.-The Unpaid Tribunals

Statement No. IX, Part L. disposed of 39 original suits, of which 8 were contested. In 1921 the figures were. 23 and 6 respectively.
39. Suits disposed of by Subordinate Judges.-The number of original suits Statement No. IX, Pert I. disposed of by Subordinate Judges was 1,11,030, an increase of 2,826 on the figures of the previous year. The increase was in cases decided in the exercise of Small Cause Court powers, and was spread over both contested and uncontested suits; while there was a noticeable decrease in the number of cases decided under the ordinary procedure. The number of suits decided under the ordinary procedure was 59,574 and under the Small Cause Court procedure 51,456 ; of these 48.4 per cent. and 37.1 per cent., respectively, were contested. The percentage of suits decided after contest both under the ordinary and Small Cause Court procedures shows a decrease, as compared with the previous year.
40. Suits disposed of by Small Cause Court Judges.-The Provincial Small Statement No. IX, Part I.

Cause Courts disposed of 9,497 original suits, of which 4,641 were contested. The latter shows an increase of 1,141 , as compared with the previous year.
41. Suits disposed of by District, Joint and Assistant Judges.-The District Statement No. IX, Fart $\mathbf{I}$. Courts disposed of 819 original suits, of which 434 were contested. The latter shows an increase of 168, as -compared with the previous year.
42. Perding suits.-The number of suits pending at

Eletomont No. IX, Part I. the close of the jearwas 53,235 as against 51,577 in the previous sear.
Of the pending cases 0,004 had been pending for more than a year, and 25,065 for
statementa Noa. Ix. Part I more than threemonths. There was a slight reduction in oud yiv. the number of suits pending for more than three months, while there was an increase of 220 in suits pending for over one year. Of the suits
 Courts of Subordinate Judges, 6 in the Small Ciane Courts and 1 in the Court ot a Jahagirdar, the figures showing an increase of 158 and 71 and a decre:se of 10 amd: respectively, as compared with 1921.

The number of suits pending over a year was the largent in . Ihmedahad (if1).
Statement No. XIV. followed by Poona (830), Khandesh ( 799 ) and belyam (670). As compared with the figures of the previons ye.u. the number of gear-old suits shows a marked increase frem474 to 703 in khandesh, from 620 to 830 in Poona and from 85 to $2 s 0$ in Shclapur, while a noticeahle decrease from 811 to 477 in Satara and from 515 to 381 in Ahmednagar.
43. Execution proccelings. -There were 47,817 applications for cxecution of decrees pending from the previous year, and 1.14:413 applications were made during the gear. Realizationwaw complete in 29,025 cases and partial in 31,024 . In 52,202 cases procectings wero returned as infructuous and 49,901 remained pending at the close of the year, while 58 were disposed of by transfer. The total amount realized in Courts other than Small Cause Courts was IRs. 68,11,746-7-2.

Debtors were imprisoned in 103 cases, moveahle
Statement No. XI. property was sold in 633 and immoveable property in 2,231 cases.
44. Insolvency proceedings.-The number of applications before the Courts

Statement No. XII. for declaration of insolvency was 837, out of which fe:3 were disposed of. Of these 75 were transferred to another Province or withdrawn, 314 were granted and 34 rejected.
45. Miscellaneous cases.-The number of miscellaneots cases intituted row from 0,442 to 9,402 . The number disposed of frll from 9,517 to 9,329 and that of pending rose from $3,0: 53$ t1 3,216. Of the cases disposed of 1,630 were withdrawn, compromised, or decreed on confession, 2,010 were decided ex-parte, and 5,083 were contested. Of these 5,083 contested cases, 3,209 or $64 \cdot 3$ per cent. were decided in favour of plaintiffs.

## II.-Bombay Small Cause Court.

46. The number of suits instituted and decided in the Presidency Court of

Statement No. VII. Small Causes during 1922, and the number pending at it. close, were $28,451,27,553$ and 5,518 , respectively. Institutions and disposals show an increase of $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 3}$ and 1,055 , respectively. Thir number of suits pending at the end of the year was more by 808 than in 1021.

Statement No. VIIL.

Statement No. VIIL.

The increase in institutions was spread over suits of all values.

The total value of the litigation was IRs. $99,07,514$, as against Rs. $00,64,428$ in the preceding ycar.
The average duration of contested and uncontested suits was 80 and 44 days,
Statement No. IX, Part I. respectively. The figures for 1921 were 90 and 80 respectively.

Statement No. IX, Part I.
Compromised
. . 7,478
1 lecreed on confesaion .. 6.880
Decreed ex-parla
Dismissed ex-parto
Total .. $\overline{23,344}$
Of the 5,518 suits remaining under trial at the close of the gear, $1,31.5$ haml
47. Mode of disposal.-Of the 27,553 suits dinpoced of, 968 were disposed of without trial, 23,344 were deciden! without contest in the manner shown in the margin, and 3,241 were decided after contest or upon reference to arbitration.

Statement No. IX, Part I.
48. Execution of decrees.-Of the 13,481 applications for the excrution of

Statemeat No. XL. decrees dealt with during the year, including 1,010 petuling from the previous year, 12,262 were dispoced of and 1,219 remained pending at the close of the gear. Of the latter, 118 were more than three months old. Of the applications disposed of, 4,889 resulted in whole
or partial satisfaction of the decrees granted, 6.712 were returned as wholly infructuous and 661 were transferred to other Courts.

The total amount realized was Rs. $17,29,947$, as
Statement No. XI. compared with Rs. 16,12,438 in the previous year.
49. Coercive processes.-In order to enforce the execution of decrees, imprisonment was resorted to in 127 cases and sale of moveables in 424. These figures are more by 17 and 162, respectively, than those of the preceding year.

## General.

50. There was an increase of more than $\mathbf{0 , 5 0 0}$ in the number of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts and of more than 2,800 in the number disposed of by them. As the result of increasing the limit of Small Cause Court powers of Subordinate Judges, there was a further increase of over 4,500 in the disposals of Small Cause suits, 9,550 more Small Cause suits being disposed of by them than in the year 1920 .

The number of appeals disposed of by the District Courts was 791 more than in the previous year, the First Class Subordinate Judges exercising appellate powers having disposed of 631 appeals as against 159 in the preceding year.

There was a further increase in t'e number of suits instituted on the Original Side of the High Court and the Judges ordinarily constituting the Second Division Bench of the Appellate Side were engaged for a greater part of the year in clearing off arrears of suits on the Original Side. Although there was a marked increase in the total disposals on the Original Side from 5,700 to nearly 6,200 the arrears increased from 6,200 to 7,400 , notwithstanding the employment of additional staff of Judges on that Side. On the Appellate Side the total number of appeals instituted was 1,205 , and 960 appeals were disposed of, the number pending at the end of the year being 1,180 .
51. Inspection.-During the year under report no Inspection tour was undertaken by the Administrative High Court Judge. The Hon'ble the Chief Justice, however, visited the Courts at Ahmednagar and Poona. His Lordship had visited during the preceding year the Courts at Satara, Belgaum and Dharwar.

During the year District and Assistant Judges inspected the Courts of 31 Subordinate Judges.
52. Reccipts and charges of the Civil and Criminal Courts.-The total receipts of all the Courts (Civil and Criminal) have risen from Rs. $75,94,052$ to Rs. $85,55,523$, and the total charges have fallen from Rs. $60,62,248$ to Rs. 44,10,108. The latter figure includes Rs. 2,44,345 being the fixed adjustment, contribution and Foreiga Service Payments. These figures are supplied by the Accountant General, Bombay, in accordance with Government Resolution, Judicial Department, No. 5042, dated 11th August 1893.
53. The District Judges of Bijapur, Sholapur and Surat assembled Judicial Conferences of the Judges subordinate to them where points touching the practice and procedure of Civil Courts were discussed.

N. D. GHARDA, - Registrar.

[^0]
# REPOR' ON THE ADMIINIS'TRATION OF CRIMINAL, JUS'IICE IN 'IHE PRESIDENCY OF BOMB.19 DURING THE YEAR 192. 

Statenient No. 1 (Criminal).<br>1. Arca and Population.-The figures for area and population are those of the census of $19: 1$.

2. Judicual Staff.-The Criminal Judicial Staff, employed in the l'residency on 31st December 1022, consisted of 8 Migh Court Judses:
Statement No. 1 (Criminal). 15 Sessions Judges; 9 Alditional Sessions Judbes: 1 Assistant Sessions Judge ; 354 First Class Magistrates: 3jx Second Class Magistrates; 257 Third Class Magistrates and 278 sanitary Cormittees and Sanitary Boards under Bombay Act I of $18 s 9$.

In addition to the above, the number of Police Patels in the Presidency exercising powers under Section 14 of the Village loulice

Statement (Criminal).

Statement (Criminal).

No. 1 Act, VIII of 1867, was 21,387 . Out of these, 421 hatr also been invested with powers under Section 15 of the said Act.

There were 59 Benches of Magistrates, inclusive "f those in the City of Bombay, constituted from among 433 Monorary Magistrates.
3. Offences reported.-There were 100,054 criminal offences reported during Statement No. II the year, showing an increase of $11,8.40$ on tho figures al 1921. In the Districts of Surat and Puach Malals und in the City of Bombay there was a noticeable increase in the number of offences reported during the year, while on the other hand there was a marked decrease in the Districts of East Khandesh and Nasik. Of the total number of offences reported, 42,149 were under the Indian Penal Code and 120,005 under Special and Local Laws.
4. Complaints dismissed (Scction 293, Criminal Procedure Cole) and com-

Statement No. II. plainants fined (Section 250, Criminal Procrdure Cole).-The number of complaints dismissed under Section $\mathbf{y} 03$ was 0,159 , and the number of complainants fined under Section 250 was 492 .
5. Cases found to be true.-Of the total number of cases under inquiry, 102,2;3 were found to be true, and the number of cases brought the previous year, was 160,014 . Compared with 1021, the number of cases foumd to be true and the number of cases brought to trial show an increase of $1.1,002$ at:l 13,681 respectively.
6. Murders reported as truc.-Out of 040 cases affecting human life Statement No. IL brought to trial during the year, 223 were murders. 012 and 210.

These murders may be classified as under:-

| Murders from motives connected with women | . | .. | 01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Murders of children for the sake of their ornaments |  | . | 8 |
| Other murders for the sake of gain | . | . | 40 |
| Murders arising out of other causes | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 108 |
|  |  |  | 2.23 |

## Original Jerisdiction.

## I. Presidency Magistrates' Courts.

7. Cases in Presidency Magistrates' Courts.-The total numbire of cases

Statement No. IL brought to trial during the year in the Courts of l'resideny Magistrates and before the Denches of Henorary I'renideny Magistrates was 90,522 . This number is more by 15,320 than that of $1!21$. The number of cases under Special and Local Laws was 61,720 or 13,7:27 nore than in 1021, while cases under the Penal Code ruse from $10,2(1)$ to $11,75 \%$. The increase under the former head was most marked in olfences under the City
of Bombay Police Act, which rose from 35,275 to 45,489. The number of offences under the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act (XI of 1890) rose from 13,875 to 16,384 , under the Bombay Abkari Act from 318 to 519 , and under the Railways Act from 311 to 699. There was a noticeable decrease in offences under the Opium Act and under the Prevention of Gambling $\Lambda$ ct, which fell from 157 and 1,134 to 64 and 949 respectively. As regards offences under the Penal Code, there was an increase in offences of hurt, of. theft, of robbery and dacoity, and of criminal intimidation, insult and annoyance which rose from $1,180,3,006,44$ and 1,294 to $1,728,3,215,131$ and 1,558 respectively. On the other hand there was no noticeable decrease in any other class of offences.

During the year 95,841 cases were disposed of, of which 27,165 were by Statement No. IV. Stipendiary Magistrates and 68,676 by Benches of HonoJary Magistrates. Only 632 cases were pending at the cose of the year. Of the total number of cases disposed of, the cases of 175 persons were committed to the High Court and the remainder were finally decided by the Magistrates.

Statement No. IV.
The number of witnesses examined before these Magistrates rose from 126,901 to 152,944 .
8. Results of Trials.-The aggregate number of persons under trial before the

Statement No. IV. Magistrates was 105,430 . Of the persons tried, 17,661 were acquitted or discharged, 86,896 were convicted, 20 died, 29 were transferred, 175 were committed to the High Court, 17 were sent to the Military Authorities and 632 remained under trial at the close of the year. Of the persons tried for offences under the Penal Code, 6,714 were convicted and 10,676 acquitted or discharged. The results of trials before each of the two classes of Magistrates during the year are shown below :-

|  |  | - |  | Aoquitted or discharged. | Con victed. | Percentage of con victions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stipendiary Magiatrates | $\cdots$ | - | * | - 14,808 | 18,483 | 55.4 |
| benches of llunorary Magistrates | . | - | - | 2,702 | 88,413 | $98 \cdot 1$ |

Punishments.-Of the persons convicted, 4,258 were punished with rigorous
Statement No. v. imprisonment, 1,846 with simple imprisonment, 72,239 with fine, and 598 with whipping. Eighty-two sentences of imprisonment were, in the case of youthful offenders, commuted to detention in a Reformatory School, 7,268 convicted persons were warned and discharged, 55 were sent to the Leper Asylum at Matunga, and 478 were released on probation of good conduct under Section 562, Criminal Procedure Code.

The sentences of imprisonment passed were for the following terms :-

$$
\text { Not exceeding } \quad . \quad \quad . \quad\left\{\begin{array}{llr}
15 \text { days } & . . & 3,264 \\
6 \text { months } & \ldots & 2,319 \\
2 \text { years } & \ldots & 531
\end{array}\right.
$$

The fines imposed aggregated to Rs. $5,58,895$. Rs. $4,72,878$ were realised, of which Rs. 10,371 were paid as compensation to complainants.
0. Miscellancous Proccedings.-In addition to these sentences, 48 persons Statement No. III. were required to furnish security for good behaviour under Chapter VIII of the Criminal Procedure Code, of whom 10 were imprisoned in default of the same.

Thirty complainants were ordered to pay compensation to accused persons under Section 250 of the Criminal Procedure Code, the accusations having been found to be frivolous or vexatious. Proceedings for maintenance under Chapter X.X.XVI of the Criminal Procedure Code were taken against 59 persons, and orders were made absolute against 4 , the rest having been discharged.

Proceedings under Chapter XLII of the Criminal Procedure Code for forfeiture of bail or recognizance were taken in the case of 1,349 persons and orders were made absolute against all of them.

## II. Majistrates, including Village Officers and Sanitary Commftics and Sanitary Boards outside Bombriy.

10. During the year 65,212 cases were diaposed of by these tribunals as against 60,452 in 1021.
The disposals were distributed as follows:-

|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Carre } \\ \text { diqnuclon. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Special SIagintratee |  | . | 9, 1310 |
|  | $\because$ | $\because$ | ${ }^{10,712}$ |
| Village Officera | $\because$ | $\because$ | 1,:シ3 |

In addition to the cases shown above, District and Sub-Divisional Magistrates decided 101 cases referred to them under Sections 347 and 340 of the Cudo of Criminal Procedure as against 194 in the preceding year.

The number of cases decided during the year mas less by 1,240 than in 1021. The number of cases disposed of by Honorary Magistrates, Benches of Magistrates and Village Officers show a marked decrease of $3,441,483$ and 273 respectively, as compared with their disposals in 1021. On the other hand, the numbers of cases disposed of by Special Magistrates and Subordinate Stipendiary Magistrates show an increase of 1,717 and 1,343 respectively, as comparal with their disposals in 1921.

The number of cases disposed of by Chief Magistrates of Districts was 23 as against 20 in the preceding year.
11. Results of trials.-Of the total number of cases shown in the preceling Statement No. IV. paragraph, the cases of 1,547 persons were committed or referred to the Sessions, and the remainder, involving 106,355 persons, were finally disposed of by the various tribunals. 60,785 or $47 \cdot 8$ per cent. out of the total 100,355 were convicted and 54,000 or $511^{\circ} 0$ per cent. were acquitted or discharged. The cases of 70 persons. were transferred, whie 149 persons died and 445 escaped during their trials.

The total number of persons awaiting trial before Magistrates and Villngo Officers at the close of the year 1022 was 0,297 , as compared with 0,337 in 1021.

The general results of trials before Magistrates of each class are as follows:-


Of the persons shown above as convicted, tho numbers tricd summarily were 3,885 by Benches of Magistrates; 10,074 by Subordinate Stipendiary Magistrates; 875 hy Honorary Magistrates; 1,056 by Special Magistrates ; 17 by Chicf Magistrates of Districts and 40 by Village Officers.
12. Punishments.-The sentences passed on parsons convic-

Statement No. V. ted were as follows :-

| Imprisonment |  | Rigorous | -. | -. | 7,743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imprisonment |  | Simple | . | - | 077 |
| Fine | . | .. | $\cdots$ | - | 41,410 |
| Whipping | . | . | . | - | 362 |

Besides the above, 572 persons were sentenced to rigorous or simple imprisonment on failure to furnish security for good behaviour. In the cases of 15 youthful 3 51 -4
offenders the sentence of imprisonment was commuted to one of detention in a Reformatory School. 1,986 persons were, on conviction, ordered to find or give security for keeping the peace.

Of the sentences of imprisonment imposed, 2,291 were for terms not exceeding 15 days ; 5,234 for terms not exceeding. 6 months ; 1,747 for terms not exceeding two years and 20 for a term exceeding 2 years.

Sentences of whipping were passed on 362 persons as against 386 in the preceding year.

The fines imposed aggregated to Rs. 487,190. Rs. 419,687 were realised, of which Rs. 33,047 were paid as compensation to complainants.
13. Miscellaneous proceedings under the Code of Criminal Procedure.-In addition to criminal trials, the following were the more
Statement No. III. important classes of work dealt with by Magistrates in the mofussil :-
One hundred and twenty-eight proceedings under Chapter VIII, Criminal Procedure Code, to prevent a breach of the peace, involving 658 persons, were disposed of. The numbers of cases and persons involved therein were less by 82 and 286, respectively, than those in the preceding year. Of the persons concerned, 324 were required to execute bonds to keep the peace, in addition to the persons mentioned in the preceding paragraph as having been similarly bound down on conviction. The - numbers of persons dealt with for this purpose were the largest in Kaira (166), and - Bijapur (130). No proceedings of this class were taken in the District of Sholapur in 1922 as against 68 in 1921, while there was no marked increase or decrease in any other district.

In 700 cases, 1,856 persons were called upon to show cause why they should not give security to be of good behaviour, and orders were made absolute against 1,425 , while 431 persons were discharged. Out of 1,425 persons, 576 failed to give the required sccurity and were imprisoned in default, 4 after reference to the Courts of Sessions under Section 123 of the Code and 572 under orders of Magistrates.

Proceedings for the abatement of nuisances under Chapter X of the Code were taken in 42 cases involving 50 persons, of whom 8 were discharged, orders having been made absolute against the rest.

The number of cases under Chapter XII of the Code, involving questions of the possession of immoveable property decided by Magistrates, was 102, showing an increase of 19 on the figures for 1921.

Four hundred and sixty-two complainants were ordered to pay compensation to accused persons under Section 250 of the Code, the accusations having been found to be frivolous or vexatious, while 47 were discharged.

Proceedings for maintenance under Chapter XXXVI of the Code were taken against 281 persons, and orders were made absolute against 66; while 215 persons were discharged.
14. Witnesses.-The number of witnesses examined in the Courts of Statoment No. IV. Magistrates in the mofussil was 138,112, as compared with 157,316 in 1921.

## III.-Courts of Session.

Statoment No. IV.
15. Commitments.-The number of cases decided was 852, or 14 less than in 1921.
16. Rcsults of trials.-There were 2,597 persons, or 232 less than in 192j, Statoment No. IV. under trial. The cases of 2,237 persons were decided, while those of 360 remained pending at the close of the year. Of the 2,237 persons tried, 1,109 or 49.6 per cent: were convicted and 1,053 or 47.1 per cent. were acquitted or discharged, and the cases of 69 persons were referred to the High Court under Sections 307 and 374 of the Code of Criminal: Procedure, while 0 persons died during trial.

Seatement No. V.
17. Punishnent.-The following sentences were pased by.

| Death |  | . | $\cdots$ | . | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transportation | , | . | . | $\ldots$ | 121 |
| Imprisonment | Rigorous | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $8: 2$ |
|  | Simple | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | 56 |
| Whipping | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}102 \\ \hdashline 0\end{array}$ |

Besides the above, 4 persons were sentenced to rigorous or simple imprisonment on failure to furnish security for good behaviour. Sentences of inprisomment were passed for the following terms:-

Fines to the amount of Rs. 27,065 were imposed by Courts of Scssion, as compared with Rs. 18,594 in the preceding year. The total realizations amounted to Rs. 14,608, as compared with lis. 14,142 in 1021. The amount of fines realised and paid as compensation rose from Rs. 1,470 to Rs. 2,451.
18. Jury trials. -The number of persons tried by jury was 452, and of the
statement No. xili. persons so tried, the Sessions Judges approved of the verdict of the jury in respect of 430 persons and disapproved of it in respect of 22 and referred their cases to the lligh Court under section 307 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The results of these refercaces are given under the head "Superintendence, Reference and llevision."
19. Trials by Assessors.-The number of persons tried with the aid of assessors Statement No, xiIL. was 1,438. In the cases of 1,010 persons the Sessions Judges concurred with the opinion of both the assessors; in the cases of 142 they differed from the opinion of one, and in the cases of 277 differed from the opinion of both the assessors. The corresponding figures of $10: 2$ were $1,784,1,006,374$ and 404 , respectively.
20. Duration of cases.-The average duration of Sessions trials, from the date of commitment to the date of decision, rose from 40 days in 1021 to 51 days in 1022.
The duration of cases was the highest in the district of Dharwar (112), followed by Belgaum (75) and Nasik (60). There was a marked increase from 70 to 112 days in Dharwar and from 41 to 00 days in Nasik. On the other hand, there was a noticeable reduction from 71 to 44 days in Ratnagiri and from 78 to 59 in Bijapur.
21. Witnesses.-The number of witnesses in attendance before the Courts of Statement No. IV. Session during the year under report was 0,020 as ngain.t 10,160 in the preceding year.

## Appellate Jurisdiction.

Appellate Courts subordinate to the IIIgh Court.
22. Magistrates' Courts.-The number of appeals decided during the year Statemeni No. vi. was 1,071 as against 1,289 in the preceding year.
The number of persons whose appeals were decided by Courts of Magistrates was 1,574 . Of these, appeals of 218 were summarily dismissed, and sentences on 703 whose appeals wero admitted, were confirmed, making a total of 050 wholly unsuccessful appellants, or 60.7 per cent. Of the remainder, 150 appellants, or 0.5 per cent. obtained a modification of the sentences passed on them, and 440, or 28 per cent., their total annulment. In the cases of 4 appellants, the proceeding3 were quashed and in those of 24 appellants new trials or further inquirics were ordered. As comparad with 1021, the results were less favourable to appellants.
23. Sessions Courts.-During the year, 1,023 appeals were decided, involving

Statement No. vi. 1,524 persons. The appeals of 619 persons or 40.6 per cent. were admitted, were altogether unsuccessful; 224 obtained a reduction of altcration of sentence; 247 were acquitted ; in the cases of 23 appellants, new trials or further inquiries were ordered and in the case of one, the proceedings were quashed. The cases of 3 appellants were transferred, while the case of one appellant abated owing to his death.

The proportion of persons whose appeals were wholly unsuccessful, successful
Statement No. VI. in obtaining 'a modification of the original orders, and successful in obtaining their reversal, was $67.3,14.7$ and 10.2 per cent. respectively. Of those concerned in admitted appeals only, the proportions were $44^{\circ 9,24 \cdot 8}$ and $27 \cdot 3$ per cent. If admitted appeals only are considered, these results were, on the whole, more favourable to appellants than in the previous ycar, the percentage of successful appellants in 1922 being $52 \%$ against 49 l in 1921.

## Superintendence, Reference and Revision.

## Courts subordinate to the High Court.

24. Magistrates' Courts.-The cases of 167 accused persons who applied for

Statement No. VL. revision or against whom applications were made by complainants, came before District Magistrates for revision. The number was less by 26 than in 1921. Of the total, applications of 131 persons were rejected, and in the cases of 32 persons new trials or further inquiries were directed. The cases of three persons were referred to the High Court and the case of one person was pending at the close of the year.
25. Sessions Courts.-Including the cases of accused persons who themselves

Statement No. VI. applied for revision of the orders passed on them in the Courts of Magistrates, and of those againstwhom such applications were made by complainants, the cases of 685 persons were decided on revision by Courts of Session during the year. The number was more by 40 than in 1021. Applications of 012 persons were rejected. In the cases of 39 persons new trials or further inquiries were ordered. The cases of 32 persons were referred to the IIigh Court. - In two cases the proceedings abated owing to the death of the accused.

High Court.

## I.-Original Jurisdiction.

20. Commitments to the IIigh Court.-Two cases were pending at the opening statoment No. IV. of the year and 140 cases were brought to trial during the year. Of these, 141 were decided during the year, and one remained pending at its close.

Altogether 200 persons were tried, of whom 132 were convicted and 68 acquitted.

Statenent No. v. Punishment.-The following sentences were passed:-

| Death |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transportation |  |  | $\because$ | .. | 14 |
|  | \{Rigorous |  | . | . | 110 |
| Imprisonment | \{Simple |  | - | $\cdots$ | 2 |
| Fine - |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 10 |
| Whipping |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |

Sentences of imprisonment were passed for the following terms:-

| Not excceding | ¢ 15 days | . | . |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( 0 months | . | - |  | 8 |
|  | 2 years | - | . |  | 21 |
|  | (7 years | . | . |  | 71 |
| Exceeding 7 scars |  | . | . |  | 10 |

The fines imposed aggregated to Rs. 23,064, and Is. 6,000 were realized durise the year.

The number of witnesses examined was 872, and the average duration of cases from commitment till disposal was 51 days, against 47 days in 1921 .

> II.-Appellate Jurisliction.
27. At the opening of the year, 08 appeals were pending before the lligh Court

Statement No. VI. from sentences or orders of Criminal Courts. During the year, 765 appeals were preferred and $80 \&$ decided, leaving 59 pending at its close. The numbers preferred and decided were less hy 110 and 42 , respectively, than in the preceding year. The pending file shows a decrease of 39.

Of the appeals preferred against sentences, 582 were from Courts of Session and ${ }^{\prime}$ 179 from Courts of Presidency Magistrates, while 4 appeals were preferred by tho Local Government against orders of acquittal.
28. Results of Appeals.-014 appeals against sentences passed by Courts of Session were decided. The sentences of the lower Courts were upheh in 537 cases, reversed in 23 and modified in 38. 10 appeals were returned for presentation to proper Courts, 4 related to sanction matters and 1 to property matter. One appeal abated owing to appellant's death.

187 appeals against sentences by Presidency Magistrates were decided. The sentences were upheld in 169 cascs, reversed in 4 and modifiod in 11. One appeal was returned for presentation to proper Court, one remanded for retrial and one related to property matter.

The results of appeals decided, as affecting individuals, were as follows :-
Appeals of 607 persons were summarily dismissed under section 421 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, while one person died before admission of his appeal. In admitted appeals, sentences of 284 appellants were confirmed, of 88 reduced or otherwise altered, and of 42 reversed, while 4 appellants died during the pendency of their appeals. In the case of one appellant a new trial was ordered. In appeals against acquittal orders, sentences were passed by the High Court on two persons, while one person was acquitted. The case of one person abated owing to his death.

Three appeals by the Local Government against orders of acquittal were disposed of during the year. In one case, the accused person was acquitted of offences under sections 110 and 101, Indian Penal Code, by the Chicf 'residency Magistrate. The High Court upheld the order of the Magistrate and dismisced the appeal. In the second case, two accused persons were ucquitted of offences under sections 454, 380 and 114, Indian P'cual Code, by a l'residency Magistrate. The High Court set aside the order of acquittal and convicted the accuncel under those sections and sentenced each of them to three monthy' rigurous imprisonment. In the third case, the accused person was acquitted of an offence under section 379, Indian Penal Code, by a Sessions Judge. The appeal to the High Court abated owing to the death of the accused.

> III.-Superintendence, Refercnce and Rccision.
29. References under section 307 of the Code of Criminal Procclurc.- Onc reference was pending in the Iligh Court at the opening of the year, und 12 were submitted to it during the year. 11 references were decided during the year, while 2 remained pending at its close. The result of the 11 cases disposed of was that the verdict of the jury was accepted in 4 cases and set aside in 7.
30. References under section 374, Code of Criminal Proccdure.- 31 references under this section were submitted to the High Court during the ycar for confirmation of death sentences, and 4 were pending at its opening. 32 references, involving 50 persons, were decided during the ycar. The death aentence was confirmed in the case of 33 persons and commuted to that of transportation for life in the case of 14. The remaining 3 persons were acquitted, their sentences having been reveracel.
31. Revision.-During the year, 75 cases were reported for the orders of the High Court by Sessions Judges and District Magistrates under ection 408 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and 13 were pending at its opening. Of these, 75 were decided during the year, and 9 remained pending at ity cluse. The numb,r $r$ reported was more by 14 than in 1021 . In 23 casca the orders sent up for revisien
were set aside, in 2 the proceedings were quashed and in 8 new trials were ordered. Sentences were reduced or altered in 6, and enhanced in 9. In 39 cases the High Court declined to interfcre.

Under section 435 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, orders were passed in 225 cases, a decrease of 62 on the figures of the previous year. Of these, 201 came before the High Court on application, and 24 were taken up by the Court on review of Criminal Returns or otherwise of its own motion.

Of the 201 applications for the issue of rule, 116 were rejected. Of 85 rules issued, 30 were finally discharged, 29 were made absolute in their entirety, in 24 the orders complained of were modified, in one new trial was ordered and one was withdrawn.
7 In 9 , out of the 24 cases taken up by the High Court suo motu, the orders passed by the lower Coutts were not disturbed; in 7 the sentences were enhanced; in 3 reduced and in 3 set aside. Two cases were ordered to be committed to the Court of Session.
32. Applications for transfer.-49 applications under section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for transfer of cases ( 45 original cases and 4 appeals) from one Court or District to another were received during the year, and 4 were pending at its opening. Of these 53, 49 cases were decided during the year, while 4 remained pending at its close, 22 were summarily rejected and in 5 transfers were granted without the issue of a rule. In 20 applications rules were issued, with the result that transfers were granted in 7 and refused in 13 cases. Two applications were allowed to be withdrawn.
33. Miscellancous Applications.-8 applications for bail, 5 for stay of proceedings, 13 for disposal of property, 8 for sanction, 6 for compensation, 4 for maintenance and 4 for contempt of Court, besides 52 applications for miscellancous purposes, were disposed of by the High Court during the year.

## General.

34. Trials of European British Subjects.-The cases of 630 European British Statement No. II.A. subjects were decided during the year, as against 588 in , 3 by S prious year. Of these, 625 were dealt with by Magistrates, 3 by Sessions Judges and 2 by the High Court.

In the cases disposed of, 434 persons were convicted and 196 were acquitted. The convictions of the 434 persons are classified as under:-

377 under Special and Local Laws, 34 for offences against property, 14 for offences affecting the human body, $\mathbf{6}$ for offences affecting the public health, etc., 1 for false evidence, etc., 1 for defamation and 1 for criminal intimidation, etc. Of the total 630, Bombay contributed the largest number (580), as against 525 in the previous year.
33. The following table shows the numbers of the Original and Appellate Criminal cases disposed of during the year as compared with those of the last. year:-

30. During the year under report 12 persons were tried for offences against the State, of whom 10 were convicted and 2 were acquitted.

N. D. GHARDA,<br>Registrar.

II is Majesty's Migh Court of Judicature,

STATDADNT NO. I ioll 102. on the last day of the year 1922,


Notes-Column 1.-Sab-bead "Total."-The entriea to be made bere will ohow the area and population of the entire Presidencyp total work done by them, with the financial resulte.
Columus 16 and 17,-The Jodicial receipte and ohargen ohonld be shown as a whole, just at they eppear in the 'freasury
Statement showing the number of Judicial Officers exercising Original and A, pellate

| Deaignation, | - |  |  | Eircopoans, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | - |
| Chiot Juatice and High Court Jadgen | -as | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 5 |
| District Judgen | $\cdots$ | - | *** | 9 |
| Joint Judger | $\cdots$ | ** | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Asalstant Judgen | -0* | ... | *- | 6 |
| Small Catee Court Jodges | ** | - 0 | -*. | ** |
| Sobordinate Judges, First Clase | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ** |
| Subordinate Judges, Socond Clasa | $\cdots$ | ** | - | ..* |

Mis Majesty's Migi Court of Judicature, Appellato Side, $\}$

No. 1-(Civil).
exercissing Appollate or Original Jwrisdiclion in the Presilemey of Domboy with the cost of Tribwnals.

the average number of Districts and Sub-Districts for Civil purposes, the astani number of pareons exaroialing Jariallotion, eail acconnte, care being takos that the charges on acconnt of buildinge are inoluded is colema 17.

Jurisdiction, bolk Exropeane and Indians, in the Presidency of Bombay.

| Indians | Remarks, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 |  |
| 6 | . |
| 2 |  |
| 4 | - |
| 7 | Beniden thes, there were 2 Indian Registrarts and 8 European Cantonment Magiatrater, exerching Civil powers. |
| 22 |  |
| 107 |  |

[^1]JUDICIAL STATEMENT
Slatement showing the number of Judicial Divisions and the number of Officers
on the last day of the year 1922,


Nufar-Colomn 1-Wub-head "Total."-The entrica to be made here will show the area and population of the entire Presidency, and the total work done by them, with the finadial reaulta Columns 10 and 17.-The Judicial receipta and cbarges ahould be shown as a whole, juat as they appear in the Treasury

Statement showing the number of Judicial Oficere exercising Original and Appellate


No. 1-(Criminal).
exercising Appellate or Original Jurisiliction in the Presilleney of Bombay with the cost of Tribunals.

 accounts, care being taken that the charges on ecconat of buildinge are included la colean 17.
Juriediction, both Europeans and Indiant, in the Presidency of Bomlay.

s. M. MOLEDJINA,

Aesistant ligetrar.

JUdicial statemient no. 2-(Cbiminal).
Statement of Offences reported and of Persons tried, convicted and acquitted of each class of offence in the Presidency of Bombay, in the year 1922.


JUDICIAL STATE ilent No. 』-(Criminal)-conlinued.


JUDICIAL statement no. 2-(Criminai)-continued.


JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 2-(Cnmmal)-concluded.






 gole.

 and in which the complainens wee fived surier ametion who Cr. Y, C.




 - 11 seol to multary Autbortilit.
llie Majesty's Digh Court of Jwdicatkre, Appellato Side, $\}$
s. M. MoliLDJINi.

Domlay, 31st July 1323.


Slatement to be added as footnote to Annual Judicial Statoment No. II (Criminal), as directed by Government in their letter No. 3464, dated 8th July 1301.


His Majecty's Iligh Court of Judicature, Appollato Sida? - Bombay, 31st July 1923.
S. M. MOBEDJINA, Assistant Registrar.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 2-A-(Crmmal).
Slatement bhowing the Ceneral Resslt of the trial of Ewropean Briliuh anbjects in the Prosilesey of Bombay in the year 10:2.


IIis Majestg's Migh Court of Jwlicalure, Sppellats Side,
S. .1. MOLEDJI:i,

Abintant licastriap.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 3-(Cmiminal).
Slalement of Mfiscellaneous Proceedings under the Criminal Procodurs Codo in the Presidency of Bombay during the year 1922.

| Natare of Procoulinga. | Total number of cases befure the Conrts daring the y cars. | Number of percons concerned | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Number of } \\ \text { peroson } \\ \text { discharged. } \end{array}\right.$ | Number of persons conricted. |  |  | Revabic. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 8 | - | . | 6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Pending. | Died. | Tranaferred. |  |  |
| 1.- l'roceedings against witnessea under Chapter VI C and section 485. | 8 | 8 | 2 | - 6 | -•• | ** | . ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| 2.-Procoodings under Chapter VIII to prevent breach of tho peace. | 143 | 728 | 334 | 324 | 68 | $\cdots$ | ... |  |  |
| 3.-Procesdings under Chapter VIII, security for good bohaviour. | 785 | 2,084 | 436 | 1,473 | 175 | -•• | -0॰ |  |  |
| 4.-Proceodings against local nuisances, Chapter X. | 49 | 57 | 8 | 42 | 7 | -•• | ** |  |  |
| E. -Possession, Chapter XII... | 100 | 492 | 274 | 214 | 4 | ... | ** |  |  |
| G.-Frivolous or vexatious accusations summarily dealt with under Chapter XX, ection 250 . | 335 | 539 | 47 | 492 | $\cdots$ | ... | -•• |  |  |
| 7.-Non-attendance of Jurors or Ascessors, Chapter XXIII, section 332. | 31 | 31 | 13 | 18 | . $\cdot$ | - ${ }^{+}$ | -•• | - |  |
| 8.- Maintonance, Chapter | 341 | 343. | 270 | - 70 | 8 | $\cdots$ | -•• |  |  |
| 9.-Forfeiture of bail or recognizance under Chapter XLII. | 1,417 | 1,453 | 5 | 1,447 | . 0 | 1 | .* |  |  |
| 10.-Procoedings under Chapter XLVI, soction 568, a gainst convicted offenders released under section B85. | 89 | 66 | 11 | 55. | $\cdots$ | ... | . ${ }^{\circ}$ | 19 casea at the jear. | were |
| Total ... | 3,253 | 5,799 | 1,400 | 4,141 | 257 | 1 | -** |  |  |

[^2]
## S. M. MOBEDJINA, <br> Assistant Registras

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 4.


Norns,-Colamn 1.-Sab-head "Courta of Seacion" inclades casas decided by Sessions Judges on roference nader sections 31, Column 1.-Sub-head "Superior Courts" Incladee cases decided by the High Coart on reference ander sections 307 and 374, Column 2.- liat le the total of the entries in eolnmng 8 to 14 . The cases of persone tranaferred from one Court to Columa 8.-A noto agninat the tgare for asch Court should be made in the column of temarks, alrowing eeparately how many Culumns to 12.-l'ersons, whose case were referted to a Superior Court for higher panishment or for confirmation of they will bo nhown as convictod or sequitted according to the orders passed by it or as pending if ordere have not been Colunn 18 -Tbee casca will alao be shown againat the Magiatrates who made the reference, entry being made as directed Columa if-An inmano secuned, who bae been ecot to a Lanatic Aaylum, shonid be kept on the file and entered in this columa Column $15 .-$ Omit enten in which the aceneed diod, escaped or wns transferred.
C: luma 10-In caloulating the duration before the Magistrates Conrta the atarting poiat to be taken is not the date of nawber of days ocoupied ahould be given commencing from the date of commitment. Cases in which the acensed bas

Genomi.-The figeres in this Statement ahould agree with those in Statament No. 2, and ehould loclade nothing else.
Ilis Majenty's Iligh Cowrt of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Bombay, 31st July 1023.

No. 4-(Cilininal).
of mariow Clasees in tho Presidency of Bombay in the yoar 1929.

| DISPOSED OP. |  |  |  |  | Perrons res maining ander trial at thio and of the year. | Numbor ofonuen dinpoteod durathe year. |  | Numalef of whicesma asminud. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nicted. |  |  |  | Oommitted or referred. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On summary trial, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | aris. |  |
| Bentence pamed. | Roleased on probas tion, ceation 502, Orinatnal Proce dure Code. | Youthful offenderen <br> doant inth nuder <br> section 81, Aot <br> VIII of 1897. |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Dicohare } \\ & \text { ed after } \\ & \text { admil. } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Doliver-ed toparent orgraandianetco |  |  |  |  |  | Pertictiare of colume ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diod | $\mathrm{E}^{\text {recoped }}$ | Trnet |  |
| 9 | 20 | 11 | 12 | $19^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  |  | 18 |  |
| - 46 | ** | ** | - 0 | *** | 69 | 1,285 | 6.2 | 1,691 | ** | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1,056 | ** | ** | ** | -.. | 83 | 2,180 | 0 | 749 | 28 | ** | 19 |  |
| 869 | 6 | -0* | $\cdots$ | 11 | 278 | 2,550 | $8 \cdot 4$ | 8,777 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  |
| 23,912 | 668, | 1 | . 8 | 1,696 | 6,570 | 75,573 | 10.4 | 102,463 | 181. | 484 | 71 |  |
| 71,693 | 6 | 599 | ** | 15 | 989 | 79,388 | 1.9 | 92,134 | 10 | 1 | 6 | Aullorticos. |
| - ••• | $\cdots$ | ** | -. | -4 | *** | 28 | 1 | 25 | ** | ** | $\cdots$ |  |
| ** | ** | $\cdots$ | ** | .0] | ** | 101 | 8.4 | 178 | - 0 | ** | -• |  |
| 17 | . $\cdot$ | ** | $\cdots$ | ** | -0 | - 28 | 278 | 89 | $\cdots$ | ** | ** |  |
| ** | -** | $\cdots$ | -0. . | 6) | 860 | 852 | 81 | 2,228 | 0. | + 0 | *** |  |
| ** | ** | *' |  |  | 16 | 184 | $49 \cdot 8$ | 878 | *** | $\cdots$ | -0* |  |
| 100,698 | . 681 | 600 | 8 | 1,791 | 7,805 | 162,089 | 6.4 | 801,160 | 175 | 445 | 106 |  |

[^3]
## 86 and 123, Oriminal Procedure Code

Criminsl Proceduse Oode
 econsed portone wore tranatarred to other Provinces.


## pacoed,

sbove.
until ho has beos triod acd cither comveted er cequittol.


8. M. MOBEDJINA,

Asciatent legintrar.

Statement showing the punishment inficted by the various Crimino


Norsm-Culumn 1, Subhead "Courta of Susalon" Inoludus cases decided by Eosslons Judges ou reference under section 1 Oularan 1 -Sub-had " Suparior Courte" inuludes caces decided by the Ligh Oourt on ruference under sectione 307 Columa 10.-lneludes fines realised during the ycar thongh imposod lu previoun yearro. This columin is intended to show Column 20.-Roprosenta compeneation awarded to complainanti under noction 645, Act $X$ of 1882 . These awards sho Guarml (1).-The tutal of culume 8, 6 and 11 should corrospond with the tutal of columins 21 to 25 (both inclusive), and (2).-This itatement la meant to exhibit every sentence peased, and where two penalties are inflicted on the a convioted In Statement No. 4, it la necenary to note cases such as thosa in which fulfilment of contrac thou BUS, Code of Criminal Procedure, or.for confirmation of sentence, the punishment, if any, of conctioned
His Myisty's Iligh Court of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Bombay, 31st July 1023.

No．5－（Caiminal）．
Trilunals in the Presidency of Dombay in the year 1322.

| Datail of Pomisiamet． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hiviss． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elue． |  |  |  | Iupris | onmen |  |  |  | Mir |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 苟 |  | 5 5 0 8 8 8 | 第 |  | 苞 |  |  |
| 17 | 18 | 13 | 20 | 01 |  |  | 24 | 85 | 50 | 87 |  | $\pm$ | ：${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| ＇0＇ | $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { R1s } & \text { a，} & \text { p } \\ \text { C14 } & 15 & 0\end{array}$ |  | Rs，a． －．．．． | 134 | －00 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{*}$ |  | $\cdots$ | ＊ 0 | －0＊ |  |
| －61 | 8，209 90 | 8，265 $\quad 9 \quad 0$ | $\cdots$ | 3 | 29 | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | －$*$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ＊＊ |  |
| ．00 | 32，746 00 | 23,42000 | 1，417\％ 0 | 92 | 89 | 14 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 6 | 2 | $\bullet \bullet$ | －0 |  |
| 1 | 702，810 1510 | 620，818 1410 | 43，509 10 7 | 4，930 | 7，130 | 2，127 | 10 | 1 |  | $\because 5$ | 11 | 81 |  |
| ＊＊ | 245,32488 | 231,50664 | 4，130 $12 \quad 0$ | 933 | 230 | 45 | ．．＂ |  | 03 | 7 | ＊＊ | 10 |  |
| ＊ 0 | 2180 | 2180 | ＊＊＊ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\bullet$ | ＊ | ＊ | －• |  | －＊ | ＊＊ |  |
| 100 | 83000 | 374 12 8 | 2504 | 9 | 6.3 | 81 | $\bullet \bullet$ | $\cdots$ | 2 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 3 |  |
| $\cdots$ | 45300 | 4530 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\cdots$ | 8 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | ＊＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |
| 1 | 27,83500 | 14.6581510 | 2，431 140 | 62 | 143 | 108 | 476 | 49 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 4 | 23,96480 | 6，000 00 |  | 8 |  | 23 | 71 | 18 |  | 1 | $\cdots$ | ．．． |  |
| 6 | 1，007，714 1810 | 913，174 98 | 51，870 $10 \quad 1$ | 8，619 | 7，710 | 2，409 | 860 | 61 | 692 | 230 | 18 | 03 |  |

[^4]874，Criminal Proceduso Code．
874，Criminal Proceduso Codo．

total of colomn 8 should corrospond with the total of colames 18 to 17 Inclasive




S．M．Mominul：
Acistant lis．itrar．

## PAbt I.

## Statement showing the particulars of Whippings inficted by the Criminal Tribunals in the Prexidency of Bombay during the ycar 1922.

Showing Whippings inflicted under Sections 3, 4 and 5 of Act IV of 1909 in lieu of other punishmer.ts.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Offences for which awardert.} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nuyiriz of Permons afaudrd.} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total,} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Ilemaiks.} \\
\hline \& \[
\text { B to } 10
\] \& 11 to 20 atripes. \& 21 to 80 stripes. \& \& \\
\hline 1 \& 2 \& 8 \& 4 \& 5 \& 8 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
In licu of othor punishments (seolions 3 to 5 , Act IV of 1303). \\
1. Rape as defined in Section 375, Indian Penal Code.
\end{tabular}} \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& - 0 \& 1 \& - 0 \& 1 \& \\
\hline Section 378, Indian Pennl Code. \& 62 \& 45 \& 3 \& 110 \& \\
\hline z. \(\quad 370\) \% 370 \& 141 \& 66 \& 3 \& 210 \& \\
\hline defined in \({ }^{\text {a }} 380\), \& 168 \& 62 \& -•• \& 230 \& \\
\hline " 381 " \& 31 \& 8 \& - \& 39 \& \\
\hline ( 1382 " \& *' \& -• \& - \({ }^{\circ}\) \& -• \& \\
\hline 3. Lixtortion, ns
defined in \(\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\text { Scotion 389, Indian Penal Cocle ... } \\ \ldots \& 389 \quad,\end{array}\right.\) \& - \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
••• \\
\\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \(\cdots\) \& 3 \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
4. Dishoneshly (Section 411, Indian Penal Code... recoiving stolou pro- \\
porty, ns defined in
\end{tabular} \& 2

.0. \& -• \& | '* |
| :--- |
|  |
|  | \& . 2 \& <br>

\hline K. Lurking honso-trespass as defined in Section 413, Indinu Ponal Codo. \& . ${ }^{\prime}$ \& . ${ }^{\prime}$ \& ** \& . ${ }^{\prime}$ \& <br>
\hline 0. Lurking houso-trespass by nirht, as defined in Section 4.14, Indian Ponal Code. \& -•• \& :•• \& -• \& . ${ }^{\prime}$ \& <br>
\hline 7. IIouse-breaking as defined in Section 445, Indian lenal Code. \& - 0 \& ... \& - $\cdot$ \& - $\cdot$ \& <br>
\hline 8. Ituuso-breaking by night, as defined in Suotion 446, Indian Penal Code. \& 1 \& $\cdots$ \& -• \& 1 \& <br>
\hline 9. Offuces under Section 82, Act IX of 189.1 ...0 \& $\cdots$ \& ... \& -.. \& ." \& <br>
\hline On lst conviction Oa reconviction \& 391
14 \& 177 \& 5
4 \& 573
23 \& <br>
\hline Total ... \& 405 \& 182 \& 9 \& 506 \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{S. M. MOBEDJINA, Assistant Registrar.} <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. V-A-(Cumimal). <br> labt II.

Statement showing the particulars of Whippings inflicted by the Crissinal Tribnnts in the Presidency of Bombay during the year 13: 2 .
Showing Whippiags in aldition to other panishments, Section 4 , Act IV of 1903.


Gis Majesty's Migh Court of Julicature, Appellato Side,
Bombay, 31st July 1923.
S. M. MODEDJINA,

Asyintant Pupristrar.

# judicial statement No. V-a-(Cbimnal). 

Pant III.

Shatement ohowing the particulars of Whippings inficted ly the Criminal Tribwnals in the Presidency of Bombay during the year 1022.

Showing Whippings inflicted under Section 5, Act IV of 1909, on juveniles for offences other than those specified in Parts I and II.


JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. V-A-(Criminal).
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ART}}$ IV.
Statement showing the parliculare of Whippings inflicted by the Criminal Tribunals in the Presidency of Bombay during the year 1322.

Showing relative number of times Whipping was awarded as compared with other punishments.

| Panlmhments | Number. | Romarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. Total number of whippings awardod | 981 |  |
| 2. Total number of other punishments in cases in which whipping might have been a warded <br> 3. Total vumber of aill punishments in cascs in which whipping mig̈t have been awarded (total of headings 1 and 2) | 5,151 6,132 |  |
| 1. Percentage of whiprings on total number of all punishments (percentage of heading 1 on heading 3) ... | 16 |  |

## JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. O-(Cliunalij,

## Staiement showing the result of Appeal and Recision in Criminal Cises in lhe l'ostarit of Dombay in the yiur 112:.






 to apply almo to caees dealt with by the High Cutint on roview of ruturag,





Column 13-Daretion of appeale, appilcotions for tevinion of reforvace obould be mealated thue:-
(A) Appenis from the date of recelpt in onfe of the peflilion of appeal.
(g) Applications for revision from the dinte of spplication.

(4) Cecen sent to the IIIgh Court by Low or Coarts fof revtion from the date of the irtiee fora the Coart giving the moferme.
 boes onse the Courts have rolused to submit to the LIIgh Court


S. 3. MOBLDDJINA,

Asintant re eistrar.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT
Statement showing the Number and Description of Civil Suits instituted

(a) Statiatice in these columas have not boen ahown as per order of the

Ilis Majasiy's Iligh Court of Judicatare, Appellato Side,
Bomlay, 31st July 1983.

No. 7-(CiviL).
in the Civil Courts in the Presidency of Bombay in the year 102?.


JUDICIAL STATEMENT
Statement showing Number and Value of Suits instituted in the


No. 8-(Crvil).
Citil Courts in the Presidency of Bombay in the year 1192.

as per order of the Hon'ble the Chiel Jantion, datol 2ith Tobruery 1932
S. M. MOBEDJINA,

Ascistant Inciotrar.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT
$\mathbf{P a r t ~ I}_{\text {I- }}$
Statement showing the General Reswlt of the trial of Civil Cases in the


Nofes.-Column 8.-Tutal of the entries In Columns 8 to 13. Cases transferred from one Court to another in the same Province will ponding from the preceding jear ahould be noted in the column of remarks and when deducted from the total of at the end of the previone year, the balance does not agree with the figarem in Colamn 18 of Statement No. VII, the Culumn 6, When nuder Orjer 1X, rule 8, Civil Procedure Code, a decree in wholly or partially made in favour of an absent Column 8.-A caso in which Defendent appears but a Plaintif does not and Dofendant does not confeas judgment and the Culumne 18 and 10.-As regards the average duration of enits the date of the presentation of the plaint alisll be considered adinission after amendment shall be reganlod as the dato of institution. With regard to applications to cxecute decrecs In the parthcular Court ahould alone bo calculated. The interval ahould be omitted during which an application for revief aso to ho treatide as if newly institutad on reviral.
Ilis Majosty's Ming Court of Judicature, Arpellate Sire, $\begin{gathered}\text { Bumbay, } 31 \text { st July 19:3. }\end{gathered}$

No. 9-(Civil).
Civil Suits.
Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Presidency of Bomlay in the year 10:2.

appear only apainot the Court by which docided, or in which pending at the cioe of the yusr, if not dacilimi. The nomiar of rake a
 difference shoold be explained.
Phintif on the admiation of the Defendant the ease obould te entered in this Culumn.
case is thereapod dismisacd, the case ahould be entered in this Culamn.

the date of thuir presentation should alone be conaidered. In calculating arernge dontion, the time that the nait hes bact acturity friding

S. M. MOBEDJINA,

Aseisunt liczintrar.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT
Pabt II.-Miscellaneous
Slatement showing the General-Result of the trial of Civil Cases in the Courts


Noter,-Culamn n.-Total of the entries in Columns 3 to 18. Cases transfurred from one Court to another in the eame Province
Gencral (1)-Cnsea ander Orlur X.II, rule 58 (Civil l'medure Code, shonld be treated as Miart to another in the eame Province (g)-The ciansen of Applications dealt with by Appollate Courts only and sporicellaneous Judicial Casea

Uis I ajisty' Iligh Cowrt of Judicalure, 4 ppellate Side,
Domlay, 31st July 1923.

No. 9-(Civil).
Cases (Judichal).
of Original Jurisdiction in the Presidency of Bomlay in the ycar 13:2.


No. 10 , raztII, should not be shown in this Statcmento
S. M. MODEDJINi, Aebistadt Regitrar.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT
Part I.-Apreals
Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate


Notes-Column 2-Total of the entrioa in Columnis to 14. Appeais, transferred from one Court to another in the same This column ahould also include appeala pending from the preceding yoar, the number of such beligg noted
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Ilis Mojesty's Iligh Cowrt of Judicalure, Appellate Side, } \\ \text { Bomlay, 31st July 1023. }\end{array}\right\}$

No. 10 -(CiviL).
prom Decrers.
Courts of the Presidency of Bombay in the year 1322.


Province, will appear oaly against the Conrt by whloh doclidel, or In which panding at the elow ofothe gear, if not ila ded. in the column of rewnek.

## 8. M. MOBEDJINA, <br> Aesistant IVgintrag.

Part II.-Miscelianeous
Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate

| Clast of Courts. | . | Total number of Appesls before the Court. | Trans. <br> ferred <br> to Courts <br> in <br> other <br> Pro- <br> vince. | Decisions confirm- <br> od, Order <br> XLI, <br> Rule 11, <br> CivilPro. cedure Code. | Dismisa ed for dofault or otherwise not prosocuted. | Heard ex-parte. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Confirmed. | Modified. | Reversed. | Remand ed |
| 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | E | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

Courts in the Interiur.
Civil Coxrts.
District Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.
Chiof Appellate Courts of Districts

Courts at tee Presidency or Seat of Government.

Chicf Court of $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Appeals from Original } \\ \text { Orders. }\end{array}\right.$ Province. Orders.
Appeals fro

Total
Appellate $\left.\begin{array}{l}n \\ . .\end{array}\right\}$ Total Orders.

No. 10-(CiviL).
Apreals.
Courts of the Presidency of Bombay in the year 1322.


JUDIClAL STATEMEN'T
Statement showing the result of Proceedings on applications for the Execution of the


Mit Majesty': Migh Court of Jhdicature, Appellate Side, $\}$


JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 12-(Civil).
Statement shooing the Number and Result of Spplications and Proceedings under the Provincial Insolvency Act, III of 1907, in iho Presidency of Bombay in the year 1322.


Notes-Column 1.-Sub-head "Courts other than Chief Courts of District". Specially empowered nader the provisos to mub-section (1) of aection 3 of Act III of 1907 (vids Govemment Notification, Judicial Departmant, No. 3358, dated 16th Jane 1910)
Colomn 2.-Total of the cntrice In culnmes 8 to 9 . Cases transferred from vine Court to enother in the same Province, will appoar only against the Court by which decided, or in which pendiag at the olone of the year, if not decided.
Column 3.-Applicaticns atruck of for default or otherwise not prosecated ahould be entered in this column.

## S. M. MOBEDJINA, <br> Assistant Registrar.

$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Ilis Majesty's Iligh Court of Judicaiwre, Appellate Side, } \\ \text { Bombay, 31st July 1923. }\end{array}\right\}$

$\qquad$

JUDICIAL STATEMIENT
Slatement showing the use of Juries and, Assessors in the Criminal


His MAajesty's Iligh Oourt of Judicature, Appellate Side, Bombay, 31st July 1923.

No. 18-(Crimasat).
Courte in the Presidency of Bombay in the year 1029.


JUDICIALSAATMMENG No. NIV, Foll 1!2.2.

Judicial Statement No. XIV showing the amount of worth disposed


FOR THE YEAR 1022.
of by the various Courts in each District in the Presidency Proper.



FOR 'SHE YEAR 1922-continued.


STATEMENT No. XIV


FOR THE YEAR 1022-continued.


J 31-17

STATEMENT No. XIV


FOR THE YEAR 1922-continued.



His Majesty's Migh Court of Judicature, Arpellate Sile, Bombay, 31st July 1083.

FOR THE YEAR 1922-concluded.

S. M. MOLEIUJI:A,

Asuistant lowistrat.


[^0]:    His Majesty's High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Bombay, 31st July 1923.

[^1]:    S. M. MOBEDJINA, Ausistant Registrar.

[^2]:    Notrs.-Column 1, Sab-head 6.-Complninanto Aned under cection 250 are. not to be entered as convioted in Statemeate 9, 4 or 5, 1 Culumn 1, Eub-heaila \& and may be noted la the colamn of remarke of Statement 2 againat the complainta preserred by them
    the ayprypriate hend of the Schedule in Statement 2 Perene conp 109, 10, 118, 120 and 123, Criminal Procedure Oode, will aleo appear ectivna $1: 0$ and 193, Criminal Iroceduro Codo, will aloo appear la Statement 5 . Column 1, Sub-heaila 4, 5 bowever apprar in Statement is.

[^3]:    Kilitary Authoritice,

[^4]:    Criminal Procedare Code，

