
REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF THE ESTATES UNDER THE
MANAGEMENT OF THE COURTS OF WARDS

IN THE

NORTHERN, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS
AND SIND

For the year ending 31st July 1910.

Northern Division.

R. P. BARROW, Esq., COMMISSIONER, N. D.

Central Division.

THE HONOURABLE MR. G. S. CURTIS, COMMISSIONER, C. D.

Southern Division.

THE HONOURABLE MR. M. C. GIBB, COMMISSIONER, S. D.

(1).—NUMBER OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

During the year under report six new estates, three in the Ahmedabad District (Bādsāhi Dewān, Bāgād and Hirāpur), two in Kaira (Vanthvali and Kharanti) and one in the Panch Mahāls (Gadukpur) were taken over for management under the Act. Of these, five were already under Government management, the first and the fourth under the Guardians and Wards Act, the second under Bombay Act VI of 1862 and the third and the sixth under the Land Revenue Code. The Bāgād estate was released from management in a solvent condition in February 1910; but management had again to be assumed as the estate owner died soon after, leaving a minor son of sixteen in the care of an aged and incapable grandmother. The Manjipura estate which was previously under temporary management under section 10 (1) of the Act was formally taken under management under sections 4 and 5. The Vanthvali estate will be dealt with in the report on the administration of the Minors' Estates in this Division for the year 1909-1910, as it remained for eleven months out of the year under management under the Guardians and Wards Act.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

At the beginning of the year, there were seven estates under management. Five were added during the year and one was released.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

At the beginning of the year there were twelve estates under management. Estates Nos. 11 and 12 in Belgaum (Nerli and Yadihalli) were added during the year. Estate No. 8 (Kurbet Desgat) which was under temporary management for about eighteen months and the assumption of which was hotly contested, came under the regular management of the Court of Wards in January 1910. Balasaheb, the brother of the Desāi, has quite recently brought a civil suit with the ostensible object of redeeming certain lands from a mortgage but with the real intention of getting the property declared partible. This probably has been done in collusion with the Desāi himself. The result of the suit is being watched by the Collector.

(2).—INCOME.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total gross income of the year was Rs. 1,48,811. The income of the thirteen old estates was Rs. 1,34,215 as against Rs. 1,81,696. There was an appreciable increase in the income of estates Nos. 1, 10, 12, 5 and 17 owing

in the case of the first three to improvement in the agricultural conditions in the case of No. 5 to the lease of the estate to the minor's brother-in-law and in case of the last to the refund by the Baroda authorities of 10 per cent. deductions on account of the minor's maintenance. Of the total income of Rs. 3,289 of estate No. 14, Rs. 2,089 represent the refund of the estate's share of Forest revenue erroneously credited to Government. The decline in the case of estates Nos. 3, 7 and 9 has been ascribed to inferiority of crops and bad harvests. The apparent decrease in the case of estate No. 16 is due to the fact that in the previous year property, ornaments and Port Trust bonds all worth about Rs. 32,000 were sold for the liquidation of the estate's debts.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

Of the twelve estates, one the Raste estate (No. 9) came under management so late in the year that no figures except those of miscellaneous receipts are available. Estate No. 1 (that of the Javale Jaghirdar) was taken over in March 1910 by which time the Government ward, Mr. Malharrao, had taken away the produce from his lands. Consequently only items of miscellaneous revenue due to the estate could be collected. Information as regards No. 7 (estate of Shri Baba Maharaj) is withheld as before pending orders of Government which have not yet been received. Excepting this, the total receipts of the remaining eleven estates, excluding debts recovered and loans received were Rs. 75,215, with an opening balance of Rs. 45,292 + Rs. 60,000 invested.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The total gross income realised during the year from the estates in the Belgaum District was Rs. 1,71,885 and one khandi of rice valued at Rs. 80. Of this Rs. 1,55,264 and one khandi of rice represent the rent of agricultural land and the remainder was derived from various other sources. The gross income of the Tignibidri estate in the Bijapur District amounted to Rs. 4,562 against Rs. 4,207 of the previous year, and that of the Newalkar brothers in Ratnagiri was Rs. 664 as compared with Rs. 744 in the previous year.

(3).—EXPENDITURE.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total gross expenditure exclusive of the amount paid towards the liquidation of debts was Rs. 1,21,959. The expenditure of the thirteen estates referred to in the preceding paragraph rose from Rs. 97,954 to Rs. 1,08,652. The reasons for the increase are given below :—

Name of estate.	Reasons for increase.
Shah Alam ...	... Payment of arrears of Government dues and medical charges and repairs to the Shah Alam Mosque.
Rozka ...	... Payment of arrears of establishment charges and the minor's educational expenses.
Tagdi Vavdi ...	... Payment of arrears of maintenance allowance from the date of assumption of management in March 1907 and marriage expenses of the minor.
Desai ...	... Expenses in connection with the minor's outfit and journey to England, his educational expenses there and the increase from Rs. 150 to Rs. 300 per mensem of the allowance made to the minor's grandmother, Bai Mani.
Osara ...	... Payment of interest amounting to Rs. 2,076.
Hariprasad Vishnuprasad ...	... Purchase of clothing, etc., for the minor's two sisters, Mudan expenses of his sister's son, and payment of law charges.
Shastri ...	... Grant of maintenance allowance at the rate of Rs. 640 per annum from 1st August 1908, Mr. Laxmanrao Madhavrao who was maintaining the family having died.

As contemplated last year additional promissory notes of the value of Rs. 15,700 were purchased during the year from the balance of the Desai estate. Owing to the inadvisability of leaving too large a cash balance at the disposal of the ward as soon as he attains majority and in order to compensate for the low rate of interest of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. which Government paper carries, promissory notes of the face value of Rs. 26,500 were sold during the year and Rs. 25,000 advanced to the Thakor of Katosan in the Mahi Kántha Agency at $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the mortgage with possession of his British village of Savala in the Viramgám Táluka of the Ahmedabad District. Rs. 4,000 were also advanced from the estate's balance to the Thakor of Jhanor, whose estate is also under Government management, at $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. At the end of the year the estate still possessed promissory notes of the value of Rs. 20,200.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The expenditure of the twelve estates (excluding No. 7) was Rs. 59,323 and includes besides the ordinary expenses, the following items:—

Rs. 40	Advanced as a loan to the Forest Inspector of Raisingpur estate (No. 4) for the purchase of a pony.
Rs. 10,000	Invested in promissory notes by estate No. 4 (Raisingpur).
Rs. 13,311	Repayment of debts due by estates Nos. 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11 and 12.

The closing balance at the credit of the estates excluding the balance of Rs. 463 handed over to the Government ward of the estate No. 5 at the time of making over the property was Rs. 63,279 plus Rs. 60,000 invested.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The gross expenditure in the Belgaum District was Rs. 1,22,203 and nine khandis of rice worth Rs. 720 against Rs. 80,656 and $6\frac{1}{2}$ khandis of rice valued at Rs. 625 in 1908-09. The expenditure in kind was incurred on account of the Kowad widow to whom an annual allowance of 5 khandis of rice is given in addition to a monthly allowance in cash. The principal items of expenditure were the Government demand, cost of management, education of the wards and the maintenance of the wards and their relatives. The Government demand was Rs. 41,001 paid on account of assessment and quit-rent and Rs. 63 paid as income tax. The cost of management amounted to Rs. 10,609 and that of maintenance and education of the wards was Rs. 12,036. The miscellaneous expenditure of Rs. 43,935 included the following items:—

Rs. 1,124	Salaries of village officers.
" 16,869	Expenses of litigation.
" 4,797	Allowances paid to widows and the cost of the establishment of the Sirsangi widow.
" 2,285	Temples and other religious expenses.
" 2,534	Repairs to buildings, tanks and wells.
" 1,405	Feed of animals.
" 1,262	Pay of the servants of the Kowad estate.
" 1,252	Allowances paid to dependents.
" 1,000	Marriage expenses.
" 4,000	Compensation for annulment of a permanent lease.
" 2,500	Purchase of decrees against estate property.
" 2,378	Purchase of a bungalow and building sites.
" 256	Registration and copying fees.
" 234	Destruction of prickly-pear.
" 270	Purchase of cattle.
" 150	Purchase of a bicycle.
" 812	Miscellaneous items.
" 807	Return of deposits.

43,935

In the case of estates Nos. 13 and 14 the total gross expenditure was respectively Rs. 3,644 and Rs. 1,803 as against Rs. 3,302 and Rs. 836 of the previous year. The increase in the case of the former is too small to require any explanation and that in the case of the latter was due to the unusual item of expenditure amounting to Rs. 800 in connection with the marriage of a daughter of the elder ward.

(4).—COLLECTION OF ESTATES' DUES.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The season was fairly good from an agricultural point of view, and its effect was satisfactorily reflected in the collections of rents of all the estates with the exception of one or two, such as Naika for example, which suffered from bad harvests. Of a total rent demand of Rs. 89,037 for the year under report Rs. 82,376 were collected. Large arrears of former years mostly in the Shah Alam and Desai estates are, as reported last year, still pending. However Rs. 12,908 were written off in the case of these two estates during the year. In the case of the former estate a large portion of the arrears is reported to be due to disputes between the Inamdar and his tenants as to the proper rate of *Kyari* lands. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer is, however, endeavouring to settle the dispute, and has partially finished the inquiry into the arrears in other estates.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The total rent demand of the estates, excluding Nos. 1, 7 and 9, amounted to Rs. 78,153 of which only Rs. 39,982 could be collected. As regards No. 1, the Collector of Ahmednagar reports that as the estate has been only recently taken under the superintendence of the Court of Wards, arrangements are being made to give out the lands on leases next year and that exact figures of rent, etc., for the year under report are not therefore known as yet. Nos. 7 and 9 need no explanation. No suspensions of the land revenue were granted. In the case of No. 11 (Ghadge estate) Government granted remission of proportionate judi of Rs. 15-6-1 on water rates to the estate, the benefit of which was passed on to the tenants. The balance uncollected represents arrears mostly due in previous years and is shared principally by estates Nos. 10, 11 and 12 (Thorat, Ghadge and Bubane): the Ghadge estate (No. 11) alone accounts for Rs. 19,913 owing to the fact that the larger portion of the estate is situated in Mán and the eastern portion of the Khatáv Tálukas, tracts which are always liable to famine. The Collector states that with a good harvest in prospects in these tálukas, an attempt will be made materially to reduce the arrears. The chief source of income of the property of estate No. 12 (Bubane) is not agricultural land. Debts due to the estate mostly consist of rents, &c., accruing from houses, bungalow and shops; and steps are being taken to recover them. The Government ward in estate No. 2 (Bhusával Jaghirdar's) owned land in the Phaltan State assessed at Rs. 202-2-0, which was sold at his request and fetched Rs. 3,432-14-0.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

Belgaum.—The total rent demand was Rs. 1,40,366 and 45 khandis of rice valued at Rs. 3,600 against Rs. 1,14,110 and 45 khandis of rice valued at Rs. 4,500 in 1908-09. Rs. 1,33,480 in cash (including an excess collection of Rs. 15) and Rs. 3,305, the price of 40 khandis of rice and one khandi of rice valued at Rs. 80 were recovered during the year. A sum of Rs. 556 due to certain estates was also adjusted against claims on those estates. Arrears of rent were recovered to the extent of Rs. 24,245 and arrears to the extent of Rs. 4,461 and current dues to the extent of Rs. 1,234 were remitted. No suspensions were granted. The outstanding balance of current revenue was Rs. 5,667 as against Rs. 9,506 in the previous year. The Sirsangi estate is mainly

responsible for the outstandings of the year under report, a large portion of this amount being due from sub-alienees who claim to be exempt from the payment of quit-rent.

Bijápur.—In the case of the Tignibidri estate the total land revenue demand including arrears of Rs. 393 amounted to Rs. 4,780 of which Rs. 4,562 were collected, Rs. 181 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 37.

Ratnágiri.—Out of Rs. 443 on account of rent of lands and buildings Rs. 334 were recovered and the remainder is in process of recovery. Though Rs. 2,077 has been invested in the shop it yielded merely a profit of Rs. 173, which is less than the interest on the capital (9 per cent.). No fresh investments have been made in the shop.

(5).—GOVERNMENT REVENUE, CESSSES AND RATES.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total amount which the estates paid to Government on account of revenue, etc., was Rs. 21,671, no remissions or suspensions having been granted.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The total Government demand paid for the estates Nos. 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 and 12 amounted to Rs. 12,546.

In estate No. 11 (Ghadge) remission of proportionate judi of Rs. 15-0-1 on water rates was granted and a suspension of Rs. 23 was allowed in respect of estate No. 6 (Málegaon Rajebahadur).

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

Rs. 36,936 were paid in satisfaction of Government dues in the Belgaum District including Rs. 63 on account of income tax. The whole of the suspended dues for the previous year amounting to Rs. 4,128 was also paid.

The Tignibidri Jahagirdar paid an annual judi amounting to Rs. 435 and Local Fund Cess of Rs. 81 in addition to kadim cash allowances (Ro. 1) and assessment and judi, etc., for the inám Japti and other lands in his possession amounting to Rs. 93. The annual instalment of Rs. 127 of the tagái loan taken by the Jahagirdar was also paid during the year.

The Government dues of the Newalkars' estate were punctually paid. The increase of Rs. 25 under this head is accounted for by the fact that all the lands held by the family were fully brought to account during the year under report. No remissions were granted by Government nor were any needed.

(6).—COST OF MANAGEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The aggregate cost of management of all the estates absorbed 7 per cent. of the gross income realized as against 8 per cent. in the preceding year. Arrangements to reduce the cost in the Shah Alam estate have been made but they took effect too late in the year to make the results visible. With reference to the remark made in the last sentence of paragraph 4 of Government Resolution No. 2830, dated the 29th March 1910, that efforts should be made to bring expenses of superintendence within 10 per cent. of the total income, it may be observed that the figures of cost shown in Statement II include not only the Táluka and Huzúr establishment charges, which alone can properly be classed as superintendence charges, but also Talátis' and Havalárs' pay, police charges, etc., which the estates bear even if they were not under Government management. This accounts for the high percentages of cost in the case of estates Nos. 1, 2, 3, 9 and 10.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

As regards estates Nos. 1, 3, 7 and 9 no expenditure was incurred during the year in the first *two* cases, because the management was only assumed in the course of the year : in the latter because it had not been definitely settled what form the management should take.

In the case of estates Nos. 2, 5, 6 and 8 the cost of management was nil in the first three cases because the revenue due to the estate was collected by the village officers under the direct supervision of the Mámlatdárs concerned, and in the fourth because the landed property, which yields an income of Rs. 191 only, was managed by the Sardár's son.

In the case of estate No. 4 (Raisingpur) the cost of management was Rs. 3,571 or Rs. 2,409 more than in 1908-1909. The increase was merely due to the payment of arrears due to servants employed by the Chief before the estate was taken under management, and to larger expenditure on boundary settlement, and the preparation of Forest working plans.

The cost of managing the Thorat estate (No. 10) was Rs. 195 representing the salary of the manager and his peons : that of managing the Ghadge estate (No. 11) Rs. 1,176 representing the cost of a kárkun for part of the year, an auditor for two months, and the establishment maintained in the Collector's office for the Court of Wards work. It is not understood why a special clerk is required in the Collector's office for only two estates : the Collector will be asked to report. Further report will also be called for in the case of estate No. 12, where the collection of an income of Rs. 2,303 required the services of three kárkuns costing in seven months Rs. 329.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The cost of management of the several estates in Belgaum was Rs. 10,609, being about 6 per cent. of their income. Of this, Rs. 4,657 was on account of the Collector's personal general establishment, the rest being incurred on the local establishment entertained on the several estates. Though the cost of management was on the whole well within 10 per cent. of the income. It exceeded that standard in the case of four estates, viz., those of Kokatnur, Kowad, Nez and Alakhnur, a large portion of whose lands are still in the possession of mortgagees. Still even in respect of these estates the cost is well within 10 per cent. of the nominal income though it exceeds that fraction of the actual income received by the Court of Wards, which will show a much better result as soon as the mortgages are redeemed for which purpose steps have already been taken. No charge was made for the management of the property of estates Nos. 2 and 6 which are insolvent.

The total cost of management incurred in connection with the Tignibidri estate was as under :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
(1) Extra remuneration to the Pátíl from 1st August 1909 to 12th December 1909 at Rs. 30 per year...	...	11	0 0
(2) Contingency for the Mámlatdár's office ...	...	10	0 0
		21	0 0

In the case of estate No. 14 the total cost of management rose from Rs. 116 to Rs. 326. The rise chiefly was due to the fact that during the previous year the manager who is a relative of the wards lodged and boarded with them and did not draw his pay. This practice has been stopped and his monthly pay is now regularly disbursed to him.

(7).—ADVANCES GRANTED TO CULTIVATORS.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

No advances were granted to the cultivators in any of the estates under management.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

No advances were granted to cultivators during the year.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

No advances were made to cultivators except in Bijápúr where Rs. 25 were advanced to a cultivator for erecting a *mot*.

(8).—SPECIAL SCHEMES FOR MANAGEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

A lease of the Tagdi Vavdi estate, which was already under contemplation, has been given to the ward's brother-in-law for Rs. 14,000. The estate appears to have prospered under the lease system. The Hirapur estate which comprises the two villages of Hirapur and Charal has similarly been leased to a merchant of Sánand, Mr. Devchand Thakersey, for Rs. 5,700 for a period of ten years. In accordance with the scheme approved by Government three tanks of the estate—Hirapur, Rasulpur and Fatepur—which were in a dilapidated condition were repaired during the year at a heavy cost, half of which Government bore, the estate bearing the other half with the aid of tagái.

The mortgage-deed securing the whole debt of the Osara estate to Mr. Chaturbhuj Permananddas of Broach and bearing interest at 4½ per cent. which had not previously been executed as the creditor insisted upon the insertion of certain clauses, which would have the effect of converting a simple mortgage into one with possession, was at last executed during the year in terms of the old deed.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The only estate with regard to which there is anything to report is No. 5 (Chandor Kazi). In this case lands yielding a rental of Rs. 600 were leased for fifteen years to Mr. Chandwadkar in satisfaction of a debt of Rs. 3,736 and on payment of a further sum of Rs. 2,000 to settle other debts. This left the estate free of debt and enabled it to be released from Government management.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The special scheme adopted for the management of the Nipáni estate was described in the last year's report. Similar schemes have been devised for the management of three more estates in the Belgaum District, viz, Kokatnur, Alakhnur and Nez.

The liabilities of the Kokatnur estate were Rs. 54,759 and most of the lands were in the possession of mortgagees. Rs. 28,000 were borrowed from the Sar-Desai of Vantmuri at 6 per cent. and a number of the unfavourable mortgages has been redeemed. Possession of some mortgaged land has also been regained under the Dekkhan Agriculturists' Relief Act.

The Alakhnur estate is all Watan property and steps have been taken for the restoration of the mortgaged land.

The Nez estate is too poor to meet its liabilities, and the Collector has arranged with the principal creditor Shrimant Appajirao Sarjerao Shitole to have the extent of his claim settled by arbitration.

New methods of management have been introduced for the Newalkar's estate in the Ratnágiri District.

The Collector remarks that they may have the effect of reducing the income temporarily; but there is no doubt that the estate will be much benefited ultimately.

(9).—MAINTENANCE AND EDUCATION OF WARDS.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total expenditure under this head amounted to Rs. 31,037. The only Government wards of school-going age are :—

- (1) The Rozka Minor.
- (2) The Bagad Minor.
- (3) The Tagdi Vavdi Minor.
- (4) The Kharanti Minor.
- (5) The Nadiád Minor.
- (6) The Manjipura Minor.
- (7) The Desai of Broach.
- (8) The Mota Mandir Maharaj of Surat, and
- (9) The Surat Nagarsheth.

No. 1 resides in the Dhandhuka Jubilee Hostel and is studying Gujaráti third standard. A special private teacher has been engaged to supervise his education.

No. 2 lives in the same Hostel and is studying English second standard in the Dhandhuka English School.

No. 3 receives private tuition at home, while Nos. 4 and 5 have commenced to learn Gujaráti and No. 6 has reached the English fourth standard.

The young Desai of Broach was sent to England to complete his education. He was studying English Standard VI in the Broach High School, but it was necessary to remove him from undesirable home influences and the atmosphere of intrigue with which he was surrounded. Government approved of the arrangement and he is now under the care of the Rev. A. Clifton Knight, M.A., Head Master of Derby School, with whose family he will reside till he is sufficiently advanced for a University education.

The young Maharaj of Surat receives his education privately from a Shástri specially selected by the Temple Committee without any remuneration. His progress is very unsatisfactory and endeavours are being made to make a change, but it is very difficult to do anything in face of the influences surrounding the temple.

The minor Nagarsheth of Surat is being educated in a private English School under the supervision of a private tutor. His health and progress are fair.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The Javale ward (No. 1) is allowed Rs. 30 per mensem for his maintenance and is to be paid Rs. 90 in advance every quarter. As this estate and No. 9 (Raste estate) came under management almost at the close of the year, the cost under this head in these two cases was therefore nil. The maintenance allowance of the Bhusával ward (No. 2) was raised from Rs. 60 to Rs. 74 as the former amount was found rather insufficient for the purpose. The ward's mother and step-mother are each in receipt of maintenance allowance of

Rs. 20 as before. The Gorwadkar ward (No. 3) is a girl about 11 years of age. She has now been brought to Jalgaon for education and Rs. 16 per mensem are being paid for maintenance of the girl and her widowed aunt who is staying with her and looking after her food, etc.

In the case of estate No. 4, the Chieftain and his relatives receive the following maintenance allowance per month:—

	Rs
Meherbān Surupsing Khatia Walvi, the Chieftain ...	200
His wife Bijanbai with her daughter (the other child being dead) ...	50
His two other wives ...	30 each.
Relatives of the Chieftain who are dependent on him ...	64

The Chieftain is residing at Raisingpur and his wife Bijanbai is staying with him. His other two wives have not drawn the allowance.

The Mālegaon Sardār No. 6 received Rs. 1,000 for maintenance which looking to the income of the estate seems excessive. The Collector will be asked to consider whether it cannot be reduced.

As regards the estate of Sardār Potnis (No. 8), an annual allowance of Rs. 3,000 granted at the time of the Delhi Darbār has been assigned to him for his personal expenses. Here again it seems possible to enforce economy.

A monthly allowance of Rs. 100 is paid for the maintenance and other expenses of the Thorat ward (No. 10) and his mother which seems excessive: and the same remark applies to the maintenance allowance, Rs. 3,000, paid to the three widows dependent on the Ghadge estate. Considering the desperate position of both these estates too much care cannot be taken to husband the available resources.

In the case of estate No. 12, a sum of Rs. 30 per mensem is sanctioned for the maintenance allowance of the minor, his mother and sister.

The only Government wards of school-going age are:—

(No. 3) Gorwadkar minor—She is about 11 years of age and is being taught to read and write in the female school at Jalgaon.

(No. 9) Raste minor—He is 14 years of age and is at present being educated at Poona. Arrangements for his future training are under consideration.

(No. 10) Thorat minor—He is 11 years of age and receives education in the Vernacular school at Vālvā.

(No. 12) Bubane minor—He is a lad aged 7 years and is in the first Vernacular standard at Sholāpur.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The Nipāni Ward, Meherbān Raghunathrao Murarrao, is in receipt of a monthly allowance of Rs. 250 and his brother Meherbān Jijajirao was allowed Rs. 40 a month, which since September 1910 has been raised to Rs. 55. The Kokatnur Wards have been allowed Rs. 20 a month each in addition to the income of a few fields. Allowances are also paid to the Koujalgi Desai's step-mother to the extent of Rs. 2,000 a year and the Sirsangi Sar-Desai's widow Rs. 1,200 a year, and the Kowad minor's grand aunt Rs. 500 a year. The Sirsangi estate bears a further charge of Rs. 834 per annum on account of the establishment of the Sar-Desai's widow. The wards of whom the guardianship was assumed during the year under report were the Nerli minor, Dundappa, and the two widows of the late Desai of Yadihalli. The former is allowed a monthly allowance of Rs. 20 and the latter who reside outside the limits of the Belgaum District have not yet made an application for allowances, which are however being paid from the Yadihalli estate to Basava, the grandmother and Lakshmava, the step-mother of the late Desai, at Rs. 30 each per month. Though the estate of the Desai of Kurbet has come under regular management the Desai has not yet asked for an allowance though he occasionally sends calls for money.

The charges incurred on behalf of the Koujalgi, Sirsangi and Yadihalli ladies and the establishment of the Sirsangi widow do not appear in statement II under "Maintenance and Education" as none of the recipients is a ward.

An amount of Rs. 1,200 was paid as allowances for maintenance of the three Jahagirdars of Tignitidri and their families during the year under report while an amount of Rs. 500 proved sufficient for maintenance and ordinary requirements of the Newalker brothers and their dependants.

Wards Nos. 1, 7, 9 and 11 in Belgaum and 14 in Ratnágiri are of school-going age. No. 1 (Koujalgi Desai) attends the Sardárs' High School at Belgaum, and is in the English fourth standard. His conduct and progress are reported to be excellent. The elder Kowad boy also attends the Sardárs' High School and is in the English first standard and his conduct and progress are good. His younger brother attends a Maráthi School at Belgaum and is in the third standard. In addition to attending school these three boys receive private tuition at home. The Nez ward resides with his mother at Nez and attends there the village vernacular school. The Nerli ward is a boy of about 18 years of age and has studied up to the Kánarese fifth standard. An endeavour was made to make him resume his studies at the Vernacular School at Hukeri, but as he is rather old and evinced a strong disinclination to go back to school, he has been allowed to follow his bent by adopting his hereditary occupation of shop-keeping. Every endeavour was made to give some English education to the younger ward, Atmaram, No. 14 (2). It was at first intended to bring him to an English school at Ratnágiri, but when it was found he was too old to mix with young boys, the Collector thought of engaging a tutor to give him lessons privately. But the boy appears to be unpromising and not of full mental capacity. He is not willing to study and wastes his time in visiting his relatives at Bombay, Ahmedabad and other places.

(10).—REPAYMENT OF DEBTS AND EXISTING LIABILITIES.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The debt with which the estates were encumbered when management was assumed amounted to Rs. 5,17,549. By the commencement of the year under report it had been reduced to Rs. 3,87,423. Fresh debt of Rs. 157 was incurred by the Manjipura minor for maintenance while the estate was under temporary management and has since been admitted. Rs. 23,988 have been paid off either from current income or from the sale proceeds of estate property, while time-barred claims amounting to Rs. 23,130 have been written off and Rs. 53,988 were remitted by creditors. Thus at the close of the year the outstanding balance was reduced to Rs. 2,86,474. The Tagdi Vavdi, Osara, Salia-Khabda-and-Kotda, Mota Mandir, Nagarsheth and Shastri estates were heavily encumbered when management was assumed and much has been done towards clearing them of debt. The Tagdi-Vavdi estate is completely clear of debt. The Osara estate paid Rs. 2,076 on account of interest. The Salia-Khabda-and-Kotda estate is almost free from debt and the small amount of Rs. 356 will be wiped off next year. The Mota Mandir estate paid off Rs. 4,000 and will be free from debt as soon as the amount due to the minor Maharaj on account of the Kothia village is received from the Baroda Darbár. Nearly one-fifth of the outstanding debt on the Nagarsheth estate was paid during the year from the current revenues of the estate and the greater portion of the remainder will be defrayed from the proceeds of the sale of certain land which Government have recently sanctioned. In the case of the Shastri estate a final settlement of debt was arrived at and the total liabilities, principal and interest, amounted to Rs. 55,622 at the close of the year. To still further ameliorate the condition of the estate so heavily involved, proposals for the sale of one house and garden and the grant of a loan of Rs. 40,000 at $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. have already been submitted to Government, whereby it is expected to free the estate in about twenty-eight years. Government orders are awaited.

As the estates, Nos. 2, 4, 8, 10, 11 and 15 were taken under management late in the year, the period of notice under section 14 (1) of the Act did not

expire before the close of the year and the figures of liabilities are, so far as they are concerned approximate only. In the case of the Hirapur estate the period expired on the 27th July 1910. The total liabilities of the Badshahi Dewan estate which are estimated at about Rs. 10,435 will be paid off, it is expected next year from the sale-proceeds of a piece of estate land acquired for the extension of the Ahmedabad Station yard.

Similarly all the debts of the Gadukpur estate will be liquidated from the sale proceeds of the teak forest, which, it is expected, will be ready for cutting in 1912-13, and from the balance of Rs. 1,496 in hand. The latter will be disbursed as soon as the settlement of debts is completed.

The only fully solvent estates under management are those of Rozka, Naika, Tagdi-Vavdi, Broach Desai, Hariprasad Vishnuprasad and Salia Khabda and Kotda.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

1. The total balance of debts at the beginning of the year was Rs. 4,39,199. Fresh loans were incurred to the extent of Rs. 4,983 and claims amounting to Rs. 22,703 were cleared during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,21,479 at the close of the year. Important sums paid off by each estate are as under:—

No. 2 Bhusaval.—Rs. 3,850 settled in cash.

No. 5 Chandor Kazi.—Rs. 7,381 settled as detailed below:—

Rs. 1,645 paid off in cash to petty creditors.

Rs. 5,736 paid off by lease of lands.

Rs. 7,381

No. 8 Potnis.—Rs. 1,517 settled for cash.

No. 11 Ghadge.—Rs. 6,000 settled for cash.

Estates Nos. 3 and 4 are free from debt. Claims are being inquired into and settled in the case of Nos. 1, 2, 6, 10, 11 and 12. As regards the potnis estate (No. 8) a report under section 18 of the Court of Wards Act has been submitted to Government on which no orders are received. The creditors are pressing for their claims but these cannot be satisfied at present for lack of funds. The Collector will be urged to expedite inquiry in the case of Nos. 10 and 11. In both these estates, the burden of debt is very heavy indeed. Many claims against the estate No. 12 have been received and the total liabilities amount to Rs. 78,125 with a further contingent liability of Rs. 2,38,000 to the New Spinning and Weaving Company, Limited, of Sholapur, now in liquidation. If this liability is enforced, the position of the estate will be hopeless. If it is not, vigorous management may save it. The Collector will be asked to direct special attention to it.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

Belgaum.—The total liabilities at the beginning of the year were Rs. 6,22,191 and in the year under report a further claim for Rs. 15,331 was received. Of these liabilities Rs. 38,717 were remitted by certain creditors and claims for Rs. 2,106 were rejected by the Court of Wards and Civil Courts, claims for Rs. 25,348 including a claim for Rs. 16,217 on account of the balance of a tagai loan taken by the late Sir-Desai of Sirsangi were also paid out of the current revenue and the liabilities were further reduced by Rs. 1,76,300 paid out of fresh loans contracted during the year under report and last year. The fresh loans contracted during the year amounted to Rs. 1,76,153 of which Rs. 1,75,000 was utilized towards this object. The liabilities at the close of the year therefore stood at Rs. 5,71,229.

Bijapur.—Rs. 1,000 were paid towards the liquidation of the debt against Tignibidri Jahagirdar; Rs. 1,422 being the amount of interest up to 31st of May last were also paid during the year. An instalment of tagai amounting to

Rs. 127 was paid during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 379 under this head.

Estate No. 14 in the Ratnágiri District is free from debt.

(11).—REDUCTION IN CLAIMS SECURED IN CONSIDERATION OF PROMPT SETTLEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

A settlement of the debts of the two estates of Tagdi-Vavdi and Nadiád were made during the year. Claims amounting to Rs. 27,265 in the case of the former and Rs. 4,192 in the case of the latter were reduced to Rs. 7,302. The most important settlement made in connection with the Tagdi-Vavdi estate was in respect of a claim for Rs. 15,882 filed by Parekh Motital Nanlal and Hormusji Shapurji of Bhávnagar. The Thakor of the estate owed a sum of Rs. 50,000 to the claimants who in order to levy interest at a rate higher than was allowed under the Bhávnagar State rules had, along with the document in respect of the above amount, another document executed in respect of the difference of interest for 17 years the period during which the true debt was to be repaid. The rate of interest was not stated in the latter document and it aroused the suspicion of the Debt Settlement Officer, who, after long enquiry, ascertained that the deed was executed without consideration. Khán Bahádur Mehta as Tálukdári Settlement Officer disallowed the claim.

(12).—GENERAL REMARKS.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

In spite of the solvent condition of the Salia-Khabda and Kotda estate it will not be possible to release it from management for several years to come. The income from the estate in gross has been devoted towards the extinction of the debt without attempt at distinction of the sharers responsible for it. It is therefore necessary to keep the estate under management until the debt of each sharer has been fully satisfied from his own share.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The administration of the Act during the year has been uneventful but on the whole productive of good. Owners of estates are at present apt to defer applying for the benefit of the Act until the last moment, with the result that the task of extricating them from their difficulties is a very arduous one indeed, and adds very considerably to the multifarious duties of a Collector. It is hoped that the advantages of applying in good time for the application of the Act will be more generally realized.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

All the important estates are in Belgaum. Good work is being done in clearing some estates from debt. Nos. 2 and 6 are on the high road to bankruptcy and probably their management will have to be surrendered. The last Sar-Desai of Sirsangi left a will expressly prohibiting his widow from making an adoption and after making provision for the payment of certain legacies and other charges, directed that the income of his property should be held in trust for the educational benefit of the Lingayat community. The Collector of Belgaum was appointed in the will sole administrator of the estate and trustee with seven other trustees for the utilisation of the income upon the purposes of the trust. In September 1909 the District Judge of Belgaum granted to the Collector probate of the will and in April last the widow of the testator and the pseudo adopted son succeeded in obtaining from the First Class Sub-Judge a declaration that the adjudication by Government of the nature of alienation and the will were both invalid. Appeals against both these decisions are pending in the High Court. It has now been ascertained that the Newalkar's estate in Ratnágiri is worth Rs. 48,712. It chiefly consists of mortgage-deeds and documents. It appears that the major portion of this investment was made very carelessly and cannot be realised readily. Hence the income of this estate is small. But one favourable feature of it is that it is not in any way encumbered.

S T A T E M E N T I.

Statement showing the general position of each estate.

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated area.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acres.
1	Ahmedabad ...	Shah Alam estate ...	Inamdar Syed Imam Hal-darbak valad Musamian Saheb.	21st September 1906 ... With a view to secure better management, the estate being in debt.	28	5,906	4,322
2	Do. ...	Fadshahi estate. Dewan	Mirza Aftab Hussein Khan Mirza Nazifali Khan.	4th March 1910. ... For Settlement of debts.	22	2,945	2,917
3	Do. ...	Rozka estate ...	Patubha Manubha ...	4th March 1909 ... On account of the minority of the ward and as there was no one to look after the estate.	14	2,822	2,316
4	Do. ...	Bagad estate ...	Ravat Mulu ...	23rd April 1910 ... Owing to minority.	16	1,867	1,253
5	Do. ...	Tagdi Vavdi estate ...	Bhikhubhai Nathubhai ...	10th February 1908 ... Owing to minority.	19	6,760	6,126
6	Do. ...	Hirapur estate ...	Sheikh Musaluddin Gulam Mohiuddin and Sheikh Fatehuddin Gulam Mohiuddin.	27th November 1909 ... With a view to secure better management, the estate being in debt.	56 53	4,094	2,054
7	Kaira ...	Naika estate ...	Jagubhai Jibava ...	7th August 1908 ... Owing to the Thakor's inability to manage the estate.	49		408
8	Do. ...	Kharanti estate ...	Keshavlal Surchand ...	8th July 1910 ... Owing to minority.	8	...	...
9	Do. ...	Naditd estate ...	Desai, Shirabhai Valabh-bhai.	11th August 1907 ... There was no near relation to manage the estate.	8	97	91
10	Do. ...	Manjipura estate ...	Ramchandra Pandurang...	1st April 1907 ... Owing to minority.	14	606	653
11	Do. ...	Vanthvali estate	Raojibhai Chhotalal ...	27th June 1910 ... For settlement of debts	23	This estate remained and it will be dealt with in 1910.	
12	Broach ...	Desai estate ...	Hariprasad Vishnuprasad.	31st March 1907 ... Owing to minority.	17	3,353	2,955
13	Do. ...	Osara estate ...	Sayad Mahomed Sodak valad Sayad Mahomed Saheb.	16th January 1907 ... On account of the Inamdar being old and incapable of managing the estate.	63	220	230
14	Gauch Mahals ..	Salia Khabda and Kotda estate.	(1) Yakubali Musamali ... (2) Amiral Akbarali ... (3) Sarfarajali Dilawarali. (4) Ajarali Umaravali ... (5) Husseinmia Dadamia .	1st January 1907 ... For disposal of the liabilities of the estate.	(1) 61 (2) 31 (3) 51 (4) 51 (5) 46	2,237 Salia. Khabda. 1,412 . Kotda. 1,419	2,071 1,353 1,417

I.

under Government management during the year 1909-10.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue Demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Remarks.
					Invested.	Uninvested.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1,651	17,913	14,638	19,991	16,403	...	7,835	7,514	
28	5,669	5,677	7,822	6,967	...	2,777	10,435 (approximate as the period of notice under Section 14 (1) of the Act has not expired).	
806	5,071	7,797	7,903	5,580	300	8,031	104	
109	1,635	2,468	1,632	1,112	...	508		
634	4,995	14,438	14,654	14,170	...	16	...	
2,040	6,638	4,800	4,600	4,281	...	3,631	1,27,289	
268	5,574	3,601	2,298	1,587	...	1,140		* Last year's figures were from unreliable sources.
...					...	48		The particulars required for the report were not available as the estate came under management at the close of the year.
6	529	967	967	1,048	...	234	1,689	
123	3,554	2,970	3,135	1,289	...	2,442	13,065	
under management in the Report on the Administration of the Guardians and Wards Act for 11 months out of the year 1909-	ment under the	Guardians and	Wards Act for 11 months out of the	the year		278	8,739	
373	17,545	19,933	27,077	23,050	43,959 and in addition promissory notes of Rs. 20,230.	8,911	100	
...	1,402	2,136	2,527	3,769	...	524	22,036	
216	1,543							
53	467	970	3,269	647	...	1,864	356	
2	801							

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated area.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acres.
15	Panch Mahals ...	Gadukpur estate ...	(1) Sher Mahomedkhan Najar Mahomedkhan. (2) Bai Amirbibi, widow of Vajir Mahomedkhan. (3) Imamkhan Ahmedkhan (4) Najanbibi, widow of Mustafakhan. (5) Mahomedkhan Umraokhan. (6) Dasabibi ... (7) Yakubkhan Bakalkhan.	28th December 1909 ... For clearance of debts.	(1) 30 (2) 35 (3) 60 (4) 50 (5) 30 (6) 26 (7) 32	1,150	Net
16	Surat ...	Mota Mandir estate...	Maharaj Shri Brijratnalji Maganlalji.	8th November 1906 ... Minority of the ward.	14	Fide	statement
17	Do. ...	Nagarsheth estate ...	Balubhai Gulabbhai ...	Do. ...	14	17	17
18	Do. ...	Hariprasad Vishnuprasad estate.	Rajendralal Maneklal ...	16th September 1907 ... Minority of the ward.	17	25	25
19	Do. ...	Shastri estate ...	(1) Ganpatrao Bhimashanker. (2) Vishwanathrao Bhimashanker. (3) Mahadevrao Krishnarao	6th May 1908 ... The wards belong to a respectable family.	(1) 33 (2) 18 (3) 46	360	360
Total, Northern Division,						35,721	28,556
1	Ahmednagar ...	Javale Jahagirdar's estate.	Mr. Malharrao Anandrao, Jahagirdar of Javale.	18th March 1910 ... Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	37	68 332 Bighas.	68 210 Bighas
2	East Khândesh .	Bhusawal Inamdār's estate.	Mr. Ganpatrao Sagajirao Nimbalkar, Inamdār.	29th July 1907 ... Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	30	4,696	3,638
3	Do. ...	Gorwadkar estate ...	Varabal, daughter of Dagadu Nagesh Gorwadkar.	20th September 1909 ... Under section 4, on account of the minority of the ward and as there was no near relation to look after the estate.	11	154	154
4	West Khândesh .	Raisingpur (Mewasi). estate	Meharban Sarupsing Khatya Walvi, Chieftain of Raisingpur.	24th July 1908 ... Under section 4, owing to inability of the Chieftain to manage his own affairs.	35	128,000 approximately.	Net 3,468 very made

I—continued.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Remarks.
					Invested.	Uninvested.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres. veyed.	Rs. 781	Rs. 604	Rs. 602	Rs. 917	Rs. ...	Rs. 1,496	Rs. 7,850	
pended.		1,794	87,610	84,471	...	2,527	17,074	
...	151	200	4,430	1,729	...	237	13,701	
3	69	743	4,510	2,494	...	1,046		
...	2,232	5,496	5,826	2,435	6,000	1,413	55,622	
8,015	76,569	89,037	1,43,841	1,21,959	50,259 +Promis- sory notes of Rs. 20,200.	45,908	2,80,474	
...	58	} Not known as yet.	709	1	...	708	6,396	In addition to the property taken over by the Court of wards, the ward holds two Indian villages—Javale and Shirsagaon—which are at present managed by a receiver appointed by the Civil Court and the revenue thereof is paid under Civil Court decrees to Malhar Rao's creditors.
122	78							
998	6,916	8,862	8,789	5,369	...	776	65,501	
...	93	1,454	1,610	55	...	1,657	Nil.	
Nearly 124,532 Survey not made.	1,991	2,619	25,528	11,776	60,000	82,152		

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate	Cultivating area
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
5	Násik	Chándor Kazi Estate.	Mahamad Jawaruddin Jamaluddin Kazi.	11th January 1909 ... Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	Years. About 51	Acres. 662	Acres. 662
6	Do.	Málegaon Rajebahadar's Estate.	Sardar Gopalrao Shiydeorao Rajebahadar of Málegaon.	1st June 1909 ... Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	About 56	999	999
7	Poona	The late Shri Baba Mahars'j of Poona.	*	5th December 1908 ...			
8	Do.	Potnis Estate	Bhivrao Madhacrao Potnis, 1st Class Sardar (Deccan).	28th April 1908 ... Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	About 70*	80	80
9	Do.	Raste Estate	Meharben Anandrao Madhavrao Raste of Poona.	9th July 1910 ... On the authority of the District Court's order under section 10 (1) of the Act.	About 14		
10	Sátára	Thorat Estate	Shivajirao Nanyanrao Thorat of Bahe in the Walwa Taluka.	5th June 1909 ... Management assumed under section 4 of the Act for the liquidation of debts, the ward being a minor.	11	4,719	4,425
11	Do.	Ghadge Estate	Lakshmibai, widow of Bhawanji Shivaji Ghadge.	5th May 1910 ... Management assumed under section 6 of the Act with a view to secure better management, the estate being in debt.	19	11,291 Bighas. 5,277	11,291 Bighas. 5,277
12	Sholápur	Buhane Estate	Bhalchandra Dattatraya Buhane of Sholápur.	19th October 1909 ... Management assumed under section 6 of the Act on account of the owner being a minor and the estate in debt.	7	110	109
Total, Central Division.					 Bighas.	150,779 5,609	24,917 5,487

I—continued.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Remarks.
					Invested.	Uninvested.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
...	876	914	698	623	...	463		Government management was withdrawn and the estate handed over to the ward in May 1910.
...	2,473	1,286	1,490	4,069	...	21	52,900	
...	The question as to the propriety of assuming superintendence of the estate is still under consideration and so the information cannot be supplied.							*The name of the ward cannot be given as the question as to who is the proper heir to the estate has not yet been decided.
37	175	191	2,655	1,624	...	1,304	98,204	*A—The age of 60 given in the last year's return was communicated by the Collector approximately while that of 70 shown in this return is based upon the information given by the manager of the estate, who is the son of the ward.
...			7,235		...	7,235		The Collector writes that the estate came under his management at the close of the year and that the particulars required for the report are not available.
294	13,688	22,350	10,245	8,467	...	6,778	90,163	
... ... }	11,566	30,616	14,700	8,037	...	4,407	80,235	
1	94	3,831	1,557	952	...	4,241	78,026	
125,862 122	37,808	78,153	75,215	35,972	60,000	66,742	4,21,479	

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the Estate.	Cultivated area.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acres.
1	Belgaum	Koujalgi Desgat	Bhimappa Gireppa Desai.	1st April 1908 On account of the owner being a minor.	16	42,953	38,104
2	Do.	Venkatrao Konher Astekar's Estate.	Venkatrao Konher Astekar (deceased).	2nd April 1906 On application.	Dead		
3	Do.	Nipani Desgat	1. Raghunathrao Murarrao Nimbalkar Desai. 2. Jyajirao alias Murrarrao Shiddojirao Nimbalkar.	(1) 1st ward 1st May 1906 On application. (2) 2nd ward 23rd November 1907 On application.	40 28	4,871	4,701
4	Do.	Kokatnur Desgat	1. Rayappa Shankerappa Desai. 2. Mallappa Shankerappa Desai.	1st July 1906 On application.	(1) 52 (2) 41	5,609	5,000
5	Do.	Sirasangi Desgat		25th August 1906 The owner having died without issue and having left a widow who is a minor.		30,612	24,377
6	Do.	Narasingrao Konher Astekar's Estate.	1. Narsingrao Konher, 2. Keshavarao Konher.	15th May 1907 On application.	(1) 52 (2) 47		
7	Do.	Kowad Desgat	1. Ramachandrarao alias Babasaheb. 2. Parvatrao alias Annasaheb Ganapatrao Desai.	15th November 1907 The owner being a minor.	(1) 14 (2) 9	4,957	2,503
8	Do.	Kurbet Desgat	Khanderao alias Babasaheb Shidramappa Desai.	6th July 1908 The owner having been declared unfit by the District Judge to manage his estate.	37	46,369	42,534
9	Do.	Nej Estate	Babasaheb Bhujangrao Inamdár.	15th June 1908 On account of the minority of the owner	12	279	174
10	Do.	Alakhnur Desgat	Krishnarao Sheabgirrao	15th August 1908 On application.	28	4,193	4,108
11	Do.	Nerli Estate	Dhundappa Bhusappa Konaji.	16th October 1909 Owing to the minority of the ward.	18	37	7
12	Do.	Yadihalli Desgat	(1) Nilawa... husband (2) Shidawa... Rudrappa Desai.	30th April 1910 On account of the minority of the wards.	(1) 13 (2) 15	2,601	2,501
13	Dijapur	Tignibidri	1. Mahomed Gavas Saheb valad Haji Ibrahim Saheb Jahágirdár. 2. Mirasaheb alias Babasaheb Jahágirdár. 3. Mahomedsaheb alias Dadasaheb valad Haji Ibrahimsaheb Jahágirdár	5th March 1908 Assumed under section 9 of the Court of Wards Act owing to the indebtedness of the estate.	(1) 47 (2) 42 (3) 37	3,717 of the whole village. Out of this, the Jahágirdár owns acres 2,705.	3,600
14	Ratnágiri	Nevalkar's Estate at Vijaydurg.	1. Vaman Dhonddev Nevalkar. 2. Atmaram Dhonddev Nevalkar.	4th September 1908 Owing to the mental disability of the one and the minority of the other.	(1) 35 (2) 20	184	154
Total, Southern Division						146,382	129,142
Grand Total						332,883	182,653

I—continued.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total Liabilities.	Remarks.
					Invested.	Uninvested.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
4,850	24,067	27,223	42,682	24,075	...	10,243	2,871	
...					...		61,837	Insolvent.
250	6,612	20,316	22,343	22,166	...	2,714	1,83,011	
...	3,097	3,876	3,942	8,678	...	721	48,313	
6,235	41,933	43,014	51,241	83,748	...	20,912	5,120	
...			...		...	80	1,76,620	Insolvent.
2,089	4,081	7,974 and 45 Khandia of rice (= Rs. 3,600.)	14,343 and One Khandi of of rice (= Rs. 80).	12,786 and 9 Khandis of rice (= Rs. 720).	P. Note *2,000	11,860 and 2½ Khandi of rice (=Rs. 103).	150	* Rs. 1,000 were invested to purchase promissory note of Rs. 2,000 (face value).
3,515	80,545	27,075	81,493	19,508	...	9,002	45,523	
105	227	408	408	866	...	80	21,005	
85	901	160	160	56	...	23	20,804	
...	32	539	685	312	...	618	NIL	
...	3,867	9,731	4,588	515	10,100 Stock notes.	6,019		
71	1,636	4,394	4,562	3,644	...	1,744	Rs. Loan ... 23,000 Land Revenue ... 4 Tagal ... 879 23,883	
...	92	388	664	1,803	...	25		
17,200	1,17,090	1,48,748	1,77,191	1,28,375	12,100	82,236	5,94,612	
149,077	2,31,467	3,15,938	4,01,247	2,86,306	1,42,559	1,34,946	13,02,565	

Statement showing the Details required in columns 6—9 of Statement No. I as regards the Mota Mandir Estate in Surat.

Names and places where lands are situated.	No. of Survey Nos.	Paying full Assessment.			No. of Survey Nos.	Ináml.					Remarks
		Area.	Assessment.	Rents of land with Government dues.		Area.	Assessment.	Salámi.	Loss.	Rents of land with Government dues.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Sachin State	...	...	...	...	5	6	68	18	50	48	
British Territory—											
Chorási Táluka	1	3	18	80	12	62	413	92	351	570	
Broach District	...	...	...	...	8	23	116	23	93	105	
Kaira do.	3	4	22	...	2	3	18	9	9	28	
Dholka Táluka	...	...	...	...	2	4	10	3	7	22	
Total	4	7	40	30	24	92	587	127	480	723	
Baroda Raj—											
Kámraj Táluka	3	37	108	...	2	43	121	74	46	...	The Valmansare estates are collected and remitted to Palsara Adhikari Baroda, the sub-clerk at Collector his post attorney.
Palsára do.	...	...	...	...	1	15	41	13	28	...	
Velachha do.	21	117	272	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Petlád do.	...	...	...	...	5	17	14	26	...	...	
Baroda	6	89	89	...	7	21	67	13	55	...	
Total	...	193	469	...	15	96	243	126	129	...	

STATEMENT II.

Statement showing Receipts and Charges of Estates

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance of last year.	Receipts.							Grand Total.
				Rents of land.	Other dues.	Miscellaneous Receipts.	Interest.	Total Gross Income.	Debts recovered.	Loans received.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad	Shah Alam Estate	5,598	15,285		4,708		19,991			19,991
2	Do.	Bādehāhi Dewan Estate	1,022	7,822				7,822			7,822
3	Do.	Roska Estate	6,908	7,747	180	6		7,903			7,903
4	Do.	Bāgad Estate	320	1,602				1,602			1,602
5	Do.	Tagdi Vavdi Estate	6,794	14,654				14,654			14,654
6	Do.	Hirapur Estate	3,968	4,800				4,800			4,800
7	Kaira	Naika Estate	2,431	2,293				2,293			2,293
8	Do.	Kharanti Estate									
9	Do.	Nadiad Estate	961	958		9		967	100		1,067
10	Do.	Manjipura Estate	1,832	3,135				3,135			3,135
11	Do.	Vanthvalli Estate									
12	Broach	Desai Estate	21,833	19,978	4,430	299	2,370	27,077	2,010	25,000	54,087
13	Do.	Osara Estate	1,766	2,149		337		2,527			2,527
14	Panch Mahāls	Salla, Khabda and Kotda Estate.	2,743	1,200		2,089		3,289			3,289
15	Do.	Gadokpur Estate	1,841	602				602			602
16	Surat	Mota Mandir Estate	3,383	1,784		35,816		37,610			37,610
17	Do.	Nagarsheth Estate	940	200		4,230		4,430			4,430
18	Do.	Hariprasad Vishnuprasad Estate.	252	917		3,354	239	4,510			4,510
19	Do.	Shastri Estate	4,023	5,496		330		5,826			5,826
		Total, Northern Division...	65,837	90,428	4,530	51,228	2,609	1,48,841	2,110	25,000	1,76,480
1	Ahmednagar	Jayale Estate, Jahagirdār's			709			709			709
2	East Khāndesh	Bhusaval Estate, Inamdar's	1,206	8,783				8,788			8,788
3	Do.	Gorwadkar's Estate		1,391		219		1,610	102		1,712
4	West Khāndesh	Balsingpur Estate (Mewas).	35,529	2,563	19,625	596	9,739	25,528	60		25,588
5	Nasik	Chandor Kazi Estate	33	693				693		2,000	2,693
6	Do.	Malgaon Estate, Rajabahadar's	50	1,289	204			1,490		2,650	4,140
7	Poona	The late Shri Baba Maharaj of Poona.									
8	Do.	Potnis Estate	1,700	33	2,622			2,655			2,655
9	Do.	Raste Estate				7,235		7,235			7,235
10	Sātara	Thorat Estate		10,143	30	87		10,246			10,246
11	Do.	Ghadge Estate	3,744	13,521	323	854		14,700			14,700
12	Sholāpur	Bubane Estate	2,940	1,549		8		1,557	748		2,305
		Total, Central Division	46,202	30,952	23,513	8,981	2,739	76,215	908	4,650	82,465

Government Management for the year 1909-10.

Expenditure.										Closing balance.	Remarks.
Government demands.	Cost of management.	Maintenance and education of ward.	Interest and rent.	Miscellaneous.	Total Gross Expenditure.	Loans advanced.	Other investment.	Repayment of debts.	Grand Total.		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
6,004	2,618	2,400	...	5,386	16,403	...	...	1,649	18,052	7,435	
1,339	904	980	...	3,704	6,967	...	...	...	6,967	2,777	
2,065	891	1,137	...	1,487	5,580	...	300	...	5,880	8,031	Also Rs. 300 invested.
677	153	223	1	59	1,113	...	...	303	1,414	608	
2,716	90	10,027	...	1,297	14,130	...	...	7,302	21,432	16	
2,146	150	1,800	...	886	4,381	...	...	656	4,937	2,631	
1,333	179	...	...	75	1,587	...	...	...	1,567	1,140	* The difference of Rs. 24 is due to the addition of the amount credited to the estate accounts between the 25th and 31st July 1909.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	49	† Vide remarks against this estate in Statement No. I.
517	183	150	...	168	1,048	...	...	606	1,744	244	
589	405	85	1	171	1,289	...	...	1,236	2,525	2,412	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	278	† Vide remarks against this estate in Statement No. I.
2,553	2,072	8,910	...	9,515	23,060	...	43,979	...	67,039	8,911	Also investments (Statement I, column 14).
381	134	600	2,076	618	3,789	...	...	...	8,789	524	
...	107	...	...	540	647	...	...	2,511	4,108	1,804	
140	67	...	...	740	947	...	...	...	947	1,406	
838	1,656	2,131	...	29,848	34,471	...	...	4,000	38,471	2,527	
17	91	1,200	...	421	1,729	...	...	2,404	5,133	237	
69	55	784	...	1,668	2,494	...	...	1,223	3,716	1,046	
327	525	500	...	763	2,435	...	6,000	...	8,435	1,412	Also Rs. 6,000 invested.
21,671	10,832	31,037	2,078	56,841	1,21,959	...	50,289	23,928	1,90,206	45,948	
...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	708	
3,008	...	1,350	...	1,018	8,388	...	...	2,550	9,318	776	
...	...	50	...	5	58	...	...	...	58	1,647	
683	3,571	4,134	...	3,378	11,776	40	10,000	140	31,905	132,152	† In addition Rs. 60,000 are invested in promissory notes.
106	...	500	...	17	623	...	...	1,645	2,228	1403	‡ This cash balance was handed over to the khar at the time of making over the estate.
978	...	1,000	...	2,091	4,009	...	...	100	4,109	21	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	† Vide remarks against this estate in Statement No. I.
...	...	1,375	139	110	1,624	...	...	1,517	2,141	1,304	§ It is not explained why Government dues were not paid.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,235	As to other items, vide remark against this estate in Statement No. I.
3,502	195	600	...	110	2,407	...	...	...	2,407	6,778	
4,948	1,178	1,000	...	913	8,037	...	...	6,000	14,037	4,407	
23	329	193	...	180	952	...	...	50	1,072	4,241	¶ This represents cash balance handed over by the Naib, District Court, Bhopal, who was entrusted with the management of the property prior to its assumption by the Court of Wards.
12,546	5,271	10,160	139	7,817	35,972	40	10,000	13,311	69,323	89,743	

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance of last year.	Receipts.							
				Rents of land.	Other dues.	Miscellaneous Receipts.	Interest.	Total Gross Income.	Debts recovered.	Loans received.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Belgaum	Koujalgi Desgat	5,350	38,107	853	3,723	...	42,682	53	...	
2	Do.	Venkatrao Konher Astekar's Estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
3	Do.	Nipani Desgat	2,993	19,865	403	1,015	...	22,343	...	1,75,000	
4	Do.	Kokatnur Desgat	5,918	3,876	64	2	...	3,942	...	1,000	
5	Do.	Sirsangi Desgat	27,571	47,375	492	3,195	178	51,241	965	...	
6	Do.	Narsingrao Konher Astekar's Estate.	80	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
7	Do.	Kowad Desgat	8,417 10% Khandis of rice (= Rs. 833).	*11,148 and one Khandi of rice (= Rs. 80).	133	11,899	1,083	14,343 and one Khandi of rice (= Rs. 80).	5,224	...	
8	Do.	Kurbet	294	29,424	647	1,422	...	31,493	...	...	
9	Do.	Nex Estate	...	408	...	...	...	408	...	42	
10	Do.	Alakhnur Desgat	...	160	...	...	...	160	...	56	
11	Do.	Nerli Estate	...	559	...	73	23	685	505	...	
12	Do.	Yadihalli Desgat	1,600	4,312	...	4	373	4,588	345	...	
13	Bijapur	Tignibidri	1,828	4,455	...	107	...	4,562	...	...	
14	Ratnagiri	Newalkar's Estate at Vijayadurg.	68	275	59	63	263	664	1,095	...	
		Total, Southern Division ...	54,890	1,60,074	2,711	12,603	1,304	1,77,191	8,168	1,78,093	15
		Grand Total ...	1,66,019	2,90,462	30,804	72,809	7,152	4,01,347	11,206	9,05,748	111

* This includes Rs. 3,305 representing the price of 40 khandis of rice in kind.

† This includes Rs. 450 being the price of 6 khandis of old rice.

[—concluded.]

Expenditure.										Closing balance.	Remarks.
Government demands.	Cost of management.	Maintenance and education of ward.	Interest and rent.	Miscellaneous.	Total Gross Expenditure.	Loans advanced.	Other investment.	Repayment of debts.	Grand total.		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
16,833	1,787	3,985	680	3,330	24,075	...	...	4,707	27,843	10,213	
...	...	...	8,428	4,806	...	...	...	...	...	Insolvent.	
3,032	1,230	3,930	1,630	6,020	23,186	..	...	1,75,446	1,07,612	2,716	
625	511	840	2,759	23,330	8,676	...	...	1,400	10,136	724	
4,131	3,523	...	...	...	83,749	...	...	10,217	40,005	29,812	
...	...	...	...	5,715	...	...	...	...	...	30 Insolvent.	
1,678	1,546	2,847 and 8 Khandis of rice (= Rs. 240.)	...	Six Khandis of rice (= Rs. 480.)	12,786 and 9 Khandis of rice (= Rs. 720.)	1,008	1,950 Promissory notes of a face value of Rs. 2,000	200	16,124 and 9 Khandis of rice (= Rs. 720.)	11,800 and 2 1/2 Khandis of rice (= Rs. 193).	Also promissory notes Rs. 2,000.
15,335	1,769	800	215	1,389	19,508	...	...	2,219	22,726	9,002	
...	42	194	...	130	308	...	...	54	420	30	
...	56	...	...	...	56	...	...	137	103	23	
49	11	180	12	60	313	...	...	60	372	618	
331	129	...	...	5	515	...	...	...	615	6,018	Also Government Promissory notes worth Rs. 10,100.
789	21	1,200	1,577	87	2,644	...	...	1,000	4,644	1,744	
97	826	500	...	880	1,803	...	...	...	1,803	23	
41,950	10,956	13,766	16,351	45,852	1,38,375	1,008	1,950	2,03,649	2,34,071	82,206	
76,167	26,559	55,002	19,668	1,10,010	2,86,306	1,138	62,209	2,39,947	6,69,800	*1,01,046	* For invested balances see Statement I, column 14.

STATEMENT

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collection in 1914

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Demands.			Collection.	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Ahmedabad	Shah Alam Estate	Rs. 14,638	Rs. *31,911	Rs. 46,549	Rs. 12,209	Rs. 3,076
2	Do.	Badshahi Devan Estate	5,677	3,724	9,401	5,445	2,377
3	Do.	Rozka Estate	7,797		7,797	7,747	
4	Do.	Bagad Estate	2,468	371	2,839	1,557	45
5	Do.	Tagdi Vavdi Estate	14,438	300	14,738	14,438	216
6	Do.	Hirapur Estate	4,600	5,262	9,862	4,600	
7	Kaira	Naika Estate	3,601	+2,374	5,975	2,134	168
8	Do.	Kharanti Estate					
9	Do.	Nadiad Estate	967	26	993	946	12
10	Do.	Mánjipura Estate	2,970	2,800	5,770	2,034	1,101
11	Do.	Vanthvali Estate					
12	Broach	Desai Estate	19,933	75,945	95,878	19,362	616
13	Do.	Osara Estate	2,136	1,697	3,833	2,130	10
14	Panch Mahals	Salia, Khabda and Kotda Estates.	970	+271	1,241	956	24
15	Do.	Gadukpur Estate	604	63	667	590	22
16	Surat	Mota Mándir Estate	1,794		1,794	1,794	
17	Do.	Nagarseth Estate	200		200	200	
18	Do.	Hariprasad Vishnuprasad Estate.	748	169	917	748	169
18	Do.	Shastri Estate	5,496		5,496	5,496	
		Total, Northern Division...	89,037	1,24,913	2,13,950	82,376	8,450
1	Ahmednagar	Javale Jahagirdar's Estate.					

D. III.

der Government management during the year 1909-10.

Total.	Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balances.				Remarks.
		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.					
9	10	11	12	13	14				15
Ra. 15,285	Ra. 429	Ra.	Ra. 80,835	Ra. 80,835	Old	...	...	Ra. 28,434	* Previous year's figure was given by the de- puty manager from unreliable sources.
					Current	...	...	2,401	
7,822	82		1,497	1,497	Old	...	...	1,267	
					Current	...	...	230	
7,747			50	50	Current	...	...	50	† The Talúti in charge of the estate gave previous year's figures from unreliable sources. Vide remarks against this estate in state- ment I.
1,602	1,027		210	210	Old	...	...	198	
					Current	...	...	12	
14,654			84	84	Old	...	...	84	
4,600			5,262	5,262	Old	...	...	5,262	
2,298	236		3,443	3,443	Old	...	...	2,157	
					Current	...	...	1,286	
.....									
953			35	35	Old	...	...	14	
					Current	...	...	21	
3,135			2,635	2,635	Old	...	...	1,699	Vide remarks against this estate in state- ment I.
					Current	...	...	930	
.....									
19,978	12,479	14,844	48,577	63,421	Old	...	...	62,850	
					Current	...	...	571	‡ The increase of Rs. 127 over previous year's figure is on account of previous arrears of Rs. 127 which were ordered to be recover- ed.
2,140	1,665	22	6	28	Old	...	...	22	
					Current	...	...	6	
1,200			41	41	Old	...	...	27	
					Current	...	...	14	
602			65	65	Old	...	...	41	
					Current	...	...	24	
1,794									
200									
917									
5,496									
90,426	15,918	14,866	92,740	1,07,606	Old	...	...	1,02,065	The Collector writes that as the estate has been only recently taken under the superintend- ence of the Court of Wards, arrangements are being made to give out the lands on lease. Exact figures of rent, etc., are not therefore known as yet.
					Current	...	...	5,541	
.....									

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Demands.			Collection.	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
2	East Khándesh ...	Bhusáwal Inámdár's Estate.	8,609	253	8,862	8,571	291
3	Do. ...	Gorwardkar Estate ...	1,053	401	1,454	1,053	333
4	West Khándesh ...	Raisingpur Estate ...	2,388	231	2,619	2,379	189
5	Násik ...	Chándor Kazi Estate ...	652	262	914	462	333
6	Do. ...	Málegaon Rajebabadar's Estate.	984	302	1,286	984	302
7	Poona ...	The late Shri Baba Maháráj of Poona.					
8	Do. ...	Potnis Estate ...	191		191	33	
9	Do. ...	Raste's Estate ...					
10	Sátára ...	Thorat Estate ...	13,320	9,030	22,350	6,159	8,989
11	Do. ...	Ghadge Estate ...	12,504	24,142	36,646	9,375	4,143
12	Sholapur ...	Bubane Estate ...	2,013	1,818	3,831	1,254	255
		Total, Central Division ...	41,714	36,439	78,153	30,273	9,769
1	Belgaum ...	Kanjalgí Desgat ...	27,223	17,963	45,186	25,497	12,759
2	Do. ...	Venkatrao Konher Astekar's Estate.					
3	Do. ...	Nipani Desgat ...	20,316	1,571	21,887	19,954	415
4	Do. ...	Kokatnúr Desgat ...	3,876		3,876	3,876	
5	Do. ...	Sirsangi Desgat ...	43,014	52,453	95,467	39,526	7,849
6	Do. ...	Narsingrao Konher's Astekar's Estate.					
7	Do. ...	Kowad Desgat ...	7,974 and 45 khandis of rice (= Rs. 3,600).	4,213 and 35 khandis of rice (= Rs. 2,800).	12,187 and 80 khandis of rice (= Rs. 6,400).	7,550 and 3,303 10,855 and 1 khandi of rice (= Rs. 80).	2.3

No. III—continued.

Total.	Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balances.		Remarks.
		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.			
9	10	11	12	13	14		15
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
8,788			74	74	Previous year	86	
					Current year	33	
						74	
1,391			63	63	Previous year	63	
2,568			51	51	Current year	9	
					Previous year	42	
						51	
698			216	216	Previous year	26	
					Current year	190	
						216	
1,286							
.....							Vide remarks against this estate in State- ment No. I.
83			158	158	Current year	158	
.....							Vide remarks against this estate in State- ment No. I.
10,148			12,202	12,202	Previous year	5,041	
					Current year	7,161	
						12,202	
13,521	86		23,039	23,039	Previous year	19,013	
					Current year	3,126	
						23,039	
1,549	80		2,202	2,202	Previous year	1,453	
					Current year	749	
						2,202	
39,982	166		38,005	38,005	Previous year	26,574	
					Current year	11,431	
						38,005	
39,256	824		6,121	6,121	Previous year	Rs. 4,359	The amount includes an adjustment of Rs. 149 and also over-collec- tion of Rs. 15.
					Current year	1,732	
						6,121	
.....							Insolvent.
20,272			1,615	1,615	Previous year	1,153	
					Current year	462	Includes an adjustment of Rs. 407.
						1,615	
3,676							
47,375	4,821		43,271	43,271	Previous year	41,009	
					Current year	2,263	
						43,271	
.....							Insolvent.
7,843 3,305 and 1 khandi of rice (= Rs. 60).	50	201 Includes price of 1 khandi of rice.	4,145 and 38 khandis of rice (= Rs. 3,040).	4,343 and 39 khandis of rice (= Rs. 3,040).	Previous year	Cash Khandis. 3,721 83	*Price of 40 khandis of rice.
					Current year	424 5	
						4,145 28	

STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Demands.			Collection.	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
8	Belgaum	... Kurbet Desgat ...	27,075	37,557	64,632	26,741	2,683
9	Do.	... Nex Estate ...	408		408	408	
10	Do.	... Alakhnūr Estate ...	160		160	160	
11	Do.	... Nerli Estate ...	589		589	589	
12	Do.	... Yaddihalli Desgat ...	9,731	3,965	13,696	4,069	243
			Recovered by the Deputy Nazir ...			5,210	56
						9,279	299
13	Bijapur	... Tignibidri ...	*4,887	393	4,780	4,352	210
14	Ratnágiri	... Nevalkar's Estate at Vijayadurg.	888	55	443	307	27
		Total, Southern Division.	1,48,741	1,20,970	2,69,711	1,41,524	+ 24,533
		Grand Total ...	2,79,492	2,82,322	5,61,814	2,54,173	42,297

c. III—continued.

Total.	Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balances.	Remarks.
		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
29,424			35,208	35,208	Previous year ... Current year ...	Rs. 84,874 834 <u>85,208</u>
408						
160						
589						
4,312 5,266			4,118	4,118	Previous year ... Current year ...	Rs. 3,066 452 <u>4,118</u>
9,578						
4,562	181	...	87	87	2 for 1907-1908. 85 for 1908-1910.	
334			109	109	37 Rs. 24 house-rent. 85 khandie on lands given to tenants for cultivation. 109	* Out of Rs. 4,894, Rs. 7 had been collected in advance last year.
1,66,062	5,876	201	97,664	97,865		† Including Rs. 50 re- covered by the Deputy Máir.
2,96,470	21,360	15,067	2,28,409	2,43,476		

Statement showing progress made in Liquidation of D

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Debts incurred in previous years after charge was assumed.	Debts cleared off in previous years.						Total.	Balance at beginning of year.
					By incurring other debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by Creditors.	From current revenue.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Ahmedabad.	Shah Alam Estate ...	12,266	...	...	...		...	3,103	3,103	...	
2		Badshahi Dewan Estate.	10,435	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
3		Rozka Estate ...	104	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
4		Bagad Estate ...	302	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
5		Tagdi Vavdi Estate...	54,163	...	...	...	2,737	...	2,198	4,935	...	
6		Hirapur Estate ...	1,27,945	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
7		Naika Estate ...	1,737	...	...	...		...	1,737	1,737	...	
8	Kaira.	Kharanti Estate ...	No figures are available as the estate was taken under management at the close of the year.									...
9		Nadiad Estate ...	5,661	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
10		Manjipura Estate ...	12,829	1,654 (Interest, Cost of Suit, &c.)	...	...		...	339	339	...	
11		Vanthvali Estate ...	8,739	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
12	Broach.	Desai Estate ...	7,716	232	...	...		...	7,818	7,549	...	
13		Osara Estate ...	23,862	1,674	...	...		...	2,600	2,600	...	
14	Panch Mahals.	Salia, Khabda and Kotda Estate.	25,702 (25,428 + 276 on account of Tagdi.)	...	...	21,825	...	...	...	21,825	...	
15		Gadukpur Estate ...	7,850	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
16	Surat.	Nota Mandir Estate .	91,866	...	...	31,356		...	39,436	70,792	...	
17		Nagarseth Estate ...	34,020	...	...	...		...	16,915	16,915	...	
18		Hariprasad Vishnu-prasad Estate.	5,750	...	...	3,592		...	...	3,592	...	
19		Shastri Estate ...	86,602	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
Total, Northern Division ...			5,17,549	3,560	...	56,773	2,737	...	74,176	1,33,686	...	

V.

Court of Wards Estates during the year 1909-10.

Additional debts incurred during year.	Debts paid off during current year.					Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debts.	Remarks.
	By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.			
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
.....					1,649	7,514	Six years, i.e., 1916.	
.....						10,435	The estate has only recently been taken under management under the Court of Wards Act. The correct amount of debt and the date on which the estate is expected to be clear of debt cannot therefore be ascertained now.	
.....						104	Next year. Not stated in the previous year's report as the claim was submitted in September 1909.	
.....					302			
.....			21,963	19,063	7,302			
.....					656	1,27,289	More than 20 years.	
.....								
.....			1,167	2,109	696	1,689	1914-15.	
.....					1,236	13,065	The period of notice under Section 14 (1) of the Act, has not yet expired and till the correct amount of debt is known, the date on which the estate may be expected to be free from liabilities cannot be stated.	
.....						8,739	Vide remarks against this estate in Statement I.	
.....						100	There is a suit pending in Court in relation to this claim.	
.....						22,936	1939-40. Interest amounting to Rs. 2,076 was paid from the current income of the year.	
.....		3,521				356	Last year's figures were incorrect. The figures now supplied are final.	
.....						7,850	Twelve years. Not accurately ascertained as the period of notice under section 14 (1) of the Act did not expire before the close of the year.	
.....					4,000	17,074	Within two or three years	
.....					3,404	13,701		
.....				936	1,222			
.....				30,900		55,622		
157		3,521	23,130	53,968	20,467	2,86,474		

Remarks against the estate in Statement I.

157
(Incurred by the
minor for main-
tenance.)

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Debts incurred in previous years after charge was assumed.	Debts settled in previous years.						Total.	Per cent of total.
					By incurring other debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court	Remitted by Creditors.	From current income.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Ahmednagar.	Javale Jahagirdar's estate.	6,396	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
2	East Khândesh.	Khushâval Inâmdâr's estate.	80,538	...	...	...	5,143	1,304	4,492	10,939		
3		Gorwâdkar estate ...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
4	W e s t Khândesh.	Raisingpur estate ...	167	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
5	Nâsik.	Chândor Kazi estate .	†6,483	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
6		Malegaon Rajebahdar's estate.	50,000	850	...	...		...	...	...	...	
7		The late Shri Baba Maharaj of Poona.	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
8	Poona.	Potnis estate ..	§99,721	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
9		Raste estate ...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
10	Sâkârâ.	Thorat estate ...	92,073	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
11		Ghadge estate ...	36,285	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
12	Sholâpur .	Bubane estate ...	78,125	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	
Total, Central Division ...			4,49,788	850	...	...	5,143	1,304	4,492	10,939		

—continued.

Additional debts incurred during year.	Debts settled during current year.					Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debts.	Remarks.
	By incurring fresh debts.	By sale or lease of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.			
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
.....						6,396	1926 A. D.	
* 333	...			581	3,850	65,501	1919 A. D.	* Interest calculated as per Civil Court's decree No. 329 of 1910 at 7½ per cent.
.....						...	...	There are no debts to the estate.
.....	...			13	149	...		
2,000	1,645	† 5,736		1,102		...		† The figure of Rs. 7,000 reported last year was a rough estimate as given by the ward. The actual debt was found to be Rs. 6,483. ‡ Rs. 5,736 have been paid off by leasing out certain lands yielding an annual income of Rs. 600 for a period of 15 years to the creditor, Mr. Chandwadkar. As no sale of property was effected in any estate and as there is no separate column to show debts settled by leasing property, column 15 is utilised for the latter purpose.
* 2,650					100	52,900	About 1935 A. D.	
.....			...			...		Vide remark against this estate in Statement I.
.....					1,517	93,204	This cannot be ascertained unless the question of granting a loan of Rs. 50,000 is decided by Government.	§ Demand of creditors is Rs. 99,721, but after making settlement, Rs. 50,000 have been recommended for a loan.
.....				...		...		Vide remark against this estate in Statement I.
.....			1,905			90,128	About 25 years.	
.....					6,000	30,285	About 12 years.	
...				50	50	78,025	The probable debt cannot be ascertained as some debts are still under investigation.	
4,983	1,645	5,736	1,905	1,751	11,666	4,21,479		

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Debts incurred in previous years after charge was assumed.	Debts settled in previous year.					
					By incurring other debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by Creditors.	From current income.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Belgaum.	Koujalgi Desgat ...	37,304	...	...	...		...	29,666	29,666
2		Venkatrao Konher Astekar's estate.	61,837	...	...	...		...	...	...
3		Nipani Desgat ...	2,15,845 5,673	800 claims received during the year.	...	...		...	5,282	5,282
4		Kokatnur Desgat ...	54,759	29,030	20,171	...		7,115	...	27,286
5		Sirsangi Desgat ...	71,951	...	...	...		160	50,410	50,570
6		Narsingrao Konher Astekar's estate.	1,75,529	205	...	...		...	205	205
7		Kowad Desgat ...	2,746	...	...	...	646	...	1,554	2,200
8		Kurbet estate ...	38,438 9,241	1,361 claims received during the year.	...	...		...	300	300
9		Nez estate ...	21,563	54	...	...		...	...	...
10		Alakhnur Desgat ...	23,308 137	... claims received during the year	...	...		...	...	...
11		Nerli estate ...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...
12		Yadihalli Desgat ...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...
13	Bijapur ...	Tignibidri estate ...	26,796 885 53 27,734	25,000 Loan under Land Improvement Loans Act. Loan under the Agriculturists Act.	23,699	...		3,097	Principal. 1,000 Tagai. 432	23,223
		Total ...	7,49,365	56,420	43,870	...	646	10,372	83,849	1,43,737
		Grand Total ...	17,16,702	60,330	43,870	56,773	8,526	11,676	1,67,517	2,83,303

V—continued.

Additional debts incurred during year.	Debts settled during current year.					Balance.	Date by which it was excepted on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
	By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.			
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
.....					4,767	2,871	Debts will be cleared in a year.	
.....					...	61,837	Insolvent.	
1,75,000	1,75,000			32,979	446	1,83,911	Debts will be cleared in 23 years.	
1,000	1,300 out of the loan raised last year.		2,000	5,700	160	49,813	Debts will be cleared in 23 years.	
.....				38	10,217	5,126	Deb'ts will be cleared in a year.	
.....						1,75,529	Insolvent.	
.....			106		230	150	In a year more.	
.....					3,217	45,523	The debts will be cleared in 10 years.	
42					54	21,605	Do. do.	
56					137	26,364	Do. do.	
.....					60	...		
.....						...		
.....					Principal. 1,000 Tagal. 127	23,000 Tagal. 879	In 23 years.	† Last year this amount was taken as Rs. 23,330 instead of as Rs. 24,000 in making the Divisional total.
					1,127	23,379		
1,76,153	1,76,300		2,106	38,717	26,475	5,94,608		• Includes Rs. 15,351 claims received during the year.
1,81,298	1,77,945	9,257	27,141	94,456	58,608	13,02,561		

Sind.

J. W. SMYTH, ESQUIRE, I. C. S.,

COURT OF WARDS FOR THE PROVINCE OF SIND.

I have the honour to submit the Annual Report on the Administration of the estates placed under the superintendence of the Court of Wards for the year ending 31st July 1910. The usual Statements I to IV are appended.

2. At the beginning of the year there were only two estates under management. The rules under section 46 of the Court of Wards Act for the Province of Sind referred to in paragraph 11 of last year's report were sanctioned during the year and 55 minors' estates yielding an annual gross income of over Rs. 200 each were transferred from the guardianship of the Collectors and Deputy Commissioners to the superintendence of the Court of Wards. Eight new estates were also admitted during the year. As only three estates were released on account of the wards having attained majority, there remained 62 estates under management at the close of the year. The assumption of so many estates was effected without any difficulty or inconvenience.

The charge of the minors' estates (Nos. 4 to 52 and 57 to 62) was assumed during and after the month of February 1910 as the records for each were obtained. Consequently these estates were, during the year, managed partly by the district officers and partly by the Court of Wards.

Applications on behalf of fifteen new estates were under enquiry at the close of the year, and of these, one has since been admitted.

Income. 3. The total gross income during the year was as under :—

	Rs.
Produce of land	2,03,594
Miscellaneous Receipts including interest	10,311
Other income (debts recovered)	3,587
	<u>2,17,492</u>

4. Expenditure during the year amounted to Rs. 1,49,536 of which Rs. 40,000 were incurred on account of "Miscellaneous expenses" including repairs to houses, pleaders' and registration fees, the Mohoram festival, marriage, cultivation, suit and other personal expenses. To this sum Rs. 27,112 were alone contributed as under by one estate No. 25 belonging to Wahidbaksh and Nabibaksh valad Wadero Illahibaksh Bhuto of Larkana District :—

Rs.	
15,202	Cultivation expenses.
6,421	Repairs to houses.
1,100	Suit expenses.
909	Purchase of land.
3,480	Salaries of servants and other miscellaneous expenses.
<u>27,112</u>	

The principal items of expenditure of the other estates were—

Estate No.	Rs.	Nature.
1	1,500	Marriage expenses.
13	800	Suit expenses..
30	600	Personal for pilgrimage and other expenses.
44	2,000	Marriage expenses.
	2,694	Suit expenses.

5. The collection of rents was fairly satisfactory. Of a total rent demand of Rs. 2,06,628, a sum of Rs. 1,97,589 was collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 9,039 outstanding at the close of the year. Rs. 6,005 were also collected on account of arrears of previous years, the closing balance under this head standing at Rs. 1,478. Out of the total balance of Rs. 10,517 outstanding on July 31st, Rs. 4,848 are under suspension, Rs. 1,382 under dispute and Rs. 4,287 are in course of recovery under section 29 of the Court of Wards Act. This sum represents the default of 17 persons, though nearly half the amount, i. e., Rs. 2,038, is due from one person.

Old debts amounting to Rs. 3,587 were also collected during the year.

6. Government dues on account of assessment for the year under report amounted to Rs. 76,078. In addition Rs. 5,236 were due on account of arrears of assessment and Rs. 840 on account of takávi instalments repayable during the year. Out of the total of Rs. 82,154, Rs. 76,925 were paid during the year leaving a balance of Rs. 5,529 as under :—

			Rs.
Arrears of assessment	...	...	4,680
Takávi instalments due	...	...	840 since paid.
			5,529

A separate sum of Rs. 2,396 represents Takávi loans already advanced but repayable in future years.

7. The cost of management for 1909-10 will be debited and recovered next year when the figures of actual expenditure are received from the Accountant General.

Only Rs. 675 on account of the cost of management for 1908-09 have been recovered from estate No. 18, the percentage working out at 8'0 on the gross income.

Rs. 2,000 shown in column 14 of Statement II against estate No. 25 of Wahidbaksh Bhuto represents the salary of the manager employed by the Collector of Lárkána when the estate was under his guardianship.

8. Rs. 800 were advanced for cultivation expenses and for takávi to cultivators. Out of the Rs. 138 advanced last year to estate No. 2, Rs. 121 have since been recovered and the balance of Rs. 17 is still outstanding.

9. The total amount spent under this head was Rs. 30,236 as under :—

			Rs.
Cash allowance for maintenance	...	...	25,770
Produce adjusted to maintenance	...	...	3,739
Education charges	...	...	727
			30,236

Of the 97 wards whose estates are under management, twelve being over seventeen are past the age of studying, five are below the school going age, 39 are receiving education including four who are studying the 'Koran' only, three who are the sons of Banyas are learning their father's businesses, nine are not studying at all and 29 are females. There is some room for improvement in these figures, and every endeavour will be made this year to ensure that every boy is sent to school as a matter of course.

10. Claims to the amount of Rs. 14,491 were filed in 1908-09 and claims to the amount of Rs. 1,125 were filed in the year under report against estate No. 1 in Statement IV. If interest claimed is also added, the total comes to Rs. 18,769. Of this amount Rs. 4,539 were remitted by creditors and the balance of Rs. 14,230 was

admitted. No payment was made during the year to creditors as the Government liabilities were paid in full. Out of the closing balance, Rs. 840 have since been paid on account of takávi instalments due and the surplus balance will be paid to the creditors after retaining an amount sufficient for the cost of management, maintenance and contingencies.

A sum of Rs. 3 was remitted by the creditor of estate No. 2 out of a claim for Rs. 97. As the estate owner removed the produce, no payment could be made to the creditor. It is now proposed to discharge this estate by sale as desired by the eldest Ward who is aged 27 years.

In the case of the other estates, notices were issued to the creditors (immediately after the order of assumption of superintendence) to file their claims under section 14 of the Act, but the period of six months allowable for the purpose has not yet expired.

General remarks.	11. Sixty estates comprising agricultural land were managed as under :—			
Wholly leased	...	...	...	43
Managed departmentally	...	...	...	9
Partly leased and partly managed departmentally	...	...	...	8

Ornaments belonging to estates 13 and 44 were received in charge and have been deposited for safe custody in the Government treasury.

It is hoped that during the current year arrangements will be made to invest the greater part of the balance of nearly 2 lákhs at present lying with the Court of Wards uninvested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. W. SMITH,

Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

STATEMENT I.

Statement showing the general position of each estate.

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated area.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acres.
1	Karachi	... Tarumal	... Tarumal, son of Jethomal. Rijhumal, son of Dhaman-mal. Mamabai, daughter of Kakumal. Totalbai, daughter of Kakumal.	Transferred from Collector under Section 6 of Bombay Act I of 1905. 1st February 1910.	24	...	...
					16		
					16		
					14		
2	Do.	... Abdulla	... Abdulla, son of Ahmed ...		21	446	13
			Allahdino, son of Rahim-khan.		19		
3	Do.	... Yar Mahomed	... Yar Mahomed, son of Tayab.		9	109	70
4	Do.	... Fatma	... Bibi Fatma, daughter of Sayad Assudulla Shah.		12	193	102
5	Do.	... Gulam Murtaza	... Gulam Murtaza Shah, son of Sayad Mahomed Shah.		7	864	680
6	Do.	... Mamun	... Mamun valad Saleh Mahomed. Zainah, daughter of Faleh Mahomed.		14	136	99
					10		
7	Do.	... Shahabudin Shah	... Shahabudin Shah valad Satobo Shah.		15	1,102	903
Total ...						2,910	1,974
8	Hyderbad	... Ahmadalikhan	... Ahmadalikhan Chakar-khan.	Newly admitted under Commissioner in Sind's orders, dated 27th June 1910.	10	441	138
9	Do.	... Hyderali	... Hyderali Gulam Akbar ...		8	393	130
10	Do.	... Bijar Khan	... Bijarkhan Khan Mahomadmahan.		13	497	
11	Do.	... Gulam Rasul	... Gulam Rasul Ali Nawaz Khan.		7	596	
12	Do.	... Sajan	... Sajan valad Alibaksh ...	Transferred under Section 6 of Bombay Act I of 1905. 1st February 1910.	18	385	20
13	Do.	... Fakirmahomed	... Fakirmahomed valad Mahomedali.		14	156	14
14	Do.	... Jeram	... Jeram valad Kirpal ...		16	65	60
15	Do.	... Bhugro	... Bhugro valad Towar ...		8	161	34
16	Do.	... Misri	... Misri valad Gulmahomed.		7	367	150
17	Do.	... Abdul Hakim	... Abdul Hakim Mahomed Khan. Allahobhayo Mahomed Khan. Nurmahomed Mahomed Khan.		20	79	40
					16		
18	Do.	... Mirza	... Mirza Ali Khan ...	Commissioner's sanction, dated 26th May 1908, the wards being declared in capable of managing their affairs.	22	2,190	1,100
			Mahomed Hussein Beg ...		19		
			Hassan Beg ...		9		
			Musamat Banu Begum ...		62		
			„ Zain Nissa ...		47		
			„ Firoz Begum ...	Commissioner's sanction, dated 26th January 1910.	17	301	100
			„ Malir Nissa ...		12		
19	Do.	... Ali Navaz	... Ali Nawaz Khudabaksh...		9		
Total ...						5,629	2,000

No. I.

under Government management for the year 1909-10.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue Demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Remarks.
					Invest d.	Uninvested.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
...	...		1,392	3,659	12,000	4,421	Not yet settled.	
323	265	283	893	605	...	2,790		
29	121	130	281	131	...	623		
31	453	482	632	483	800	776		
234	2,200	2,353	4,237	4,577	...	2,390		
97	235	251	459	506	...	737		
162	600	638	1,857	1,117	...	1,305		
936	3,884	4,142	9,741	11,078	12,800	13,119	...	
216	371	460	448	405	...	43		Produce taken away before order of management.
208	331	353	852	352	...			
...					...	-200		
...				...	...			
356	74	79	289	491	...	901	Not yet settled.	
12	453	514	939	514	...	1,196		
5	155	165	352	253	...	217		
107	162	172	806	908	16,000	1,594		
201	380	406	928	404	...	627		
32	125	133	633	313	...	480		
1,003	3,345	3,568	7,524	8,632	...	3,205	14,230	
170	404	431	635	451	...	184	Not yet settled.	
2,310	5,800	6,281	13,206	12,813	16,000	9,267	14,230	

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Colony area.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
					Years.	Acres.		
20	Thar and Parkar.	Ahmedali Shah	Ahmedali Shah valad Mahomedali Shah.	Transferred under section C of Bombay Act I of 1905, 1st February 1910.	16	188	1	
			Mst. Basran, daughter of Kurbanali Shah.		12			
21	Do.	Nihal Khan	Nihal Khan, Jurio Khan.		16	3,023	6	
			Ahsanali Khan Jurio Khan.		12			
			Mst. Rusti, daughter of Jurio Khan.		15			
			Mst. Huri, daughter of Jurio Khan.		6			
22	Do.	Sayad Khan	Sayad Khan Kamal Khan.		13	1,408	1	
			Mst. Janat, daughter of Kamal Khan.		7			
23	Do.	Khudadost	Khudadost Nabi Bux	Transferred under section 6 of Bombay Act I of 1905, 22nd April 1910.	12			
			Mst. Kakim, daughter of Nabi Bux.		11			
Total							4,624	15
24	Larkana	Gokaldas	Gokaldas Mevaldas	Transferred under Section 6 of Bombay Act I of 1905, 1st February 1910.	14	3,068	4	
25	Do.	Wahidbaksh Bhuto	Wahidbux Illahibux		12	21,965	91	
			Nabibux do.		9			
26	Do.	Amarsing	Amarsing Khushiram Hindu.		14	38	4	
27	Do.	Wahidbaksh Sujawal.	Wahidbaksh valad Sujawal Dedar.		19	14	1	
28	Do.	Sidik	Sidik valad Yako		11	26	1	
29	Do.	Parusing	Parusing valad Maujsing.		8	56	1	
			Salamatrai valad Maujsing.		6			
30	Do.	Ali Hassan	Ali Hassan valad Dost Mahomed.		15	1,733	1	
			Mst. Zaina daughter of Dost Mahomed.		13			
31	Do.	Sher Mahomed	Sher Mahomed valad Gulam Hyder.		15	709	1	
32	Do.	Piral	Piral valad Allahwaryo		15	98	1	
			Azim valad do.		7			
33	Do.	Sadhu	Sadhu valad Sital		13	49	1	
34	Do.	Mahomedali	Mahomedali valad Yousif		23			
			Karamali valad Yousif		20			
35	Do.	Bachan	Mst. Bachan, daughter of Khudabux.		19	625	1	
36	Do.	Allahwaryo	Allahwaryo Khudabaksh		16	83	1	
			Makbul do.		13			
37	Do.	Rewachand	Revachand Tavarmal		17	154	1	
38	Do.	Ahmed	Ahmed valad Yousif		17	653	1	
39	Do.	Gulam Mahomed	Gulam Mahomed Darhum Khan.			Dead	529	1
			Mst. Alam Khatun Darhum Khan.			18		
			Nek Mahomed Gulam Mahomed.			2		
			Mst. Janat, daughter of Gulam Mahomed.		4			

I—continued.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue Demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balances		Total Liabilities.	Remarks.
					Inverted.	Uninverted.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
72	418	445	794	744	...	659	Not yet settled.	
2,121	3,314	3,524	9,235	4,577	...	7,319		
1,160	612	652	2,096	2,250	...	2,086		
...					...			
3,353	4,344	4,631	12,125	7,571	...	10,094		Newly transferred.
2,163	2,538	2,708	9,222	4,113	10,000	13,175	Not yet settled.	
12,813	31,371	33,462	1,06,407	71,541	10,413	65,410		
8	86	91	634	336	1,557	1,823		
2	80	64	244	184	...	242		
...	213	227	742	298	...	906		
37	39	42	200	240	...	201		
968	2,983	3,192	10,401	8,004	28,714	7,000		
831	1,484	1,583	3,738	3,004	8,187	2,624		
83	263	231	781	576	...	1,010		
2	176	194	495	346	...	698		
...				144	...			
35	1,743	1,859	3,124	2,752	3,012	4,875	Discharged.	
57	7	7	177	203	...	191		
76	188	201	443	383	...	471		
137	1,735	1,851	5,563	2,721	...	6,555		
67	2,170	2,315	3,224	2,980	7,120	9,378		

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated area.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acres.
40	Larkana	Imambaksh	Imambaksh Ali Navaz	Transferred	17	119	69
41	Do.	Mahomed Saleh	Mahomed Saleh Siandad		10	59	45
42	Do.	Do. Mato	Mahomed Saleh Mato		10	22	17
			Mst. Mithan, daughter of Mato, Shah Mahomed, son of Mato.		12		
			Mst. Bachi, daughter of Allahando.		8		
43	Do.	Mst. Bachi	Mst. Bachi, daughter of Allahando.	Commissioner's sanction, dated 17th March 1910.	10		
			Mst. Begum, daughter of Allahando.		16		
			Mst. Nurkhatun, daughter of Allahando.		8		
44	Do.	Sahro	Haji Sahro Razikdino		7	155	108
45	Do.	Thariomal	Thariomal Topanmal		16	10	10
46	Do.	Mahomed Massood	Mahomed Massood Khush Mahomed.	Commissioner's No. 109, dated 18th March 1909 on application under section 9.	27	75	19
			Khair Mahomed Khush Mahomed.		23		
			Abdulla Khush Mahomed.		13		
			Mst. Janat, daughter of Khush Mahomed.		56		
			Mst. Sardarkhatun, daughter of Khush Mahomed.		16		
47	Do.	Amrah	Amrah Khatun, daughter of Gulam Hyder.	Commissioner's sanction, dated 27th June 1910.	10		N.
Total ..						30,249	13,339
48	Sukkar	Gulam Kadir	Gulam Kadir Gulam Hyder.		17	2,504	1,497
49	Do.	Dharamdas	Dharamdas Kallandas		15	21	12
			Sahhroj Do.		13		
			Devidas Do.		11		
50	Do.	Gulam Mustafa	Gulam Mustafa Azimshah		20	1,350	417
			Arbab Shah Azimshah		18		
51	Do.	Pirdino	Pirdino Shah Khair Mahomed Shah.		10	1,009	67
			Nawazalishah Khair Mahomed Shah.		9		
52	Do.	Nawazali	Nawazali Fakir Khair Mahomed.		14	173	84
			Hassanalli Fakir Khair Mahomed		12		
			Namtazali Fakir Khair Mahomed.		8		
53	Do.	Abdul Khalik	Mst. Hakima, daughter of Fakir Khair Mahomed.	Transferred under Bom. Act I of 1905, Section 6, on 1st February 1910.	15	82	25
			Abdukhalik Mahomed Hassan.		14		
			Mst. Gulam, daughter of Mahomed Hassan.		25		
			Mst. Sabih, daughter of Mahomed Hassan.		23		
			Mst. Vaziran, daughter of Mahomed Hassan.		21		
54	Do.	Hadibaksh	Hadibaksh Rasuibaksh		14		
55	Do.	Harun Khan	Harun Khan Abdul Halim.		15	72	63
			Mst. Shuhail, daughter of Abdul Halim.		17		

I—continued.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue Demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Remarks.
					Invested.	Uninvested.		
9	10.	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
49	272	290	1,092	436	...	5,352	} Not yet settled.	
14	86	92	156	102	...	115		
5	96	102	322	319	...	384		
...				100	...	—100	New estate.	
57	237	253	283	253	...	30	} Not yet settled.	
...	33	85	133	35	...	98		
56	60	64	130	186	...	—73	94	
Estate.								
16,910	45,840	48,903	1,47,661	90,256	75,033	1,21,241	94	
1,007	5,163	5,507	14,653	9,111	4,000	20,592	} Not yet settled.	
9	39	41	1,014	537	27,500	1,158		
933	1,170	1,248	1,672	1,431	...	3,002		
942	266	284	1,031	637	...	1,674		
89	553	590	872	719	...	723		
7	114	122	478	273	608	400		
...	33	53	415	318	...	790	No agricultural land.	
4	294	314	1,079	667	...	1,056	Not yet settled.	

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultural area.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acres.
56	Sukkur	... Mir Hassan	... Mir Hassan Nur Shah ...		10	38	38
57	Do.	... Gulam Hyder	... Gulam Hyder Pir Ali Mahomed Shah.		5	1,118	631
			Bibi Alam Khatun, daughter of Pir Ali Md. Shah.		9		
			Bibi Arbah Khatun Pir Ali Mahomed Shah.		7		
58	Do.	... Jumanmal	... Jumanmal Teekchand ...		14	1,428	594
			Pokarmal do. ...		12		
			Piniomal do. ...		7		
Total ...					...	7,745	3,503
59	Upper Frontier.	Sind Banumal	... Banumal valad Tahilmal...		13	253	31
60	Do.	... Khawandbaksh	... Khawandbaksh Hamid ...		18	461	116
			Rasulbaksh Hamid ...		16		
61	Do.	... Khush Mahommed	... Khush Mahommed Kaderbaksh		13	148	117
62	Do.	... Gul Bahar	... Gul Bahar Dilawar ...		16		
63	Do.	... Mehran Khan	... Mihran valad Pirbaksh...	Transferred under Section 6 of Bombay Act I of 1905. 1st February 1910.	18	620	450
64	Do.	... Gulumal	... Gulumal Awot ...		22		
			Lakhi valad Gungur ...		22		
			Lalu valad do. ...		18		
			Bakhat valad Joto ...		20		
			Hundo do. ...		21		
65	Do.	... Mahomedbaksh	... Mahomedbaksh Khan.	Commissioner's sanction, dated 19th May 1910.	12		
Total ...					...	1,482	721
Grand Total for Sind ...						52,649	23,204

I—continued.

Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue Demand including cesses.	Total gross Income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Remarks.
					Invested.	Uninvested.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
...	86	92	157	56	...	104	Not yet settled.	
487	1,914	2,042	5,162	2,056	...	8,106		
574	385	411	1,433	420	...	1,013		
4,052	10,017	10,684	28,166	16,355	32,108	34,249		
222	104	111	222	136	...	302	Discharged. Not yet settled.	
347	253	236	579	563	...	1,234		
31	394	420	1,090	499	...	1,956		
...				395	...			
161	580	620	1,070	816	...	786	Discharged.	
...			45	51	...			
Estate.								
761	1,336	1,437	3,006	2,463	...	4,278		
28,352	71,221	76,078	2,18,905	1,49,536	1,35,941	1,92,248	14,824	

J. W. SMYTH,
Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

Statement showing Receipts and Charges of Estate

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance of last year.	Receipts.						
				Rents of land.	Other dues.	Miscellaneous receipts.	Interest.	Total gross income.	Debts recovered.	Loans received.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Karachi	Tarumal	18,683	729			663	1,362		
2	Do.	Abdullah	2,518	883				883		
3	Do.	Yar Mahomed	478	281				231		
4	Do.	Fatma	1,427	605			27	632		
5	Do.	Gulam Murtaza	4,075	4,237				4,237		
6	Do.	Mamun	784	459				459		
7	Do.	Shahabudin	728	1,857				1,857		
		Total, Karachi District	28,693	9,051			690	9,741		
8	Hyderabad	Ahmedali Khan		443				443		
9	Do.	Hyderali		352				352		
10	Do.	Bijar Khan								
11	Do.	Ghulam Rasul								
12	Do.	Sajan	1,163	259				289		
13	Do.	Fakir Mahomed	771	939				939		
14	Do.	Jeram	118	352				352		
15	Do.	Bhugro	12,093	379		19		806		
16	Do.	Misri	303	928			408	928	603	
17	Do.	Abdul Hakim	260	633				633		
18	Do.	Mirza	4,773	7,824				7,824		
19	Do.	Ali Nawaz		551		84		635		
		Total, Hyderabad District	24,481	12,605		103	408	13,206	693	
20	Thar and Parkar.	Ahmedali Shah	609	794				794		
21	Do.	Nihal Khan	2,691	9,235				9,235		
22	Do.	Sayad Khan	2,240	1,954		142		2,096		
23	Do.	Khudadost								
		Total, Thar and Parkar District	5,540	11,983		142		12,125		
24	Larkana	Gokaldas	21,880	8,509		73	640	9,223	2,186	
25	Do.	Wahidbaksh Bhuto	43,482	1,00,554		5,908	5	1,06,467		
26	Do.	Amarsing	2,827	572		17	45	634	255	
27	Do.	Wahidbaksh Bijawal	182	244				244		
28	Do.	Sidik	652	742				742		
29	Do.	Parusing	241	290				290		
30	Do.	Ali Hassan	34,013	10,400		1		10,401		
31	Do.	Sher Mahomed	10,077	3,353		96	257	3,738		
32	Do.	Pirai	805	781				781		
33	Do.	Sadhmal	549	494		1		495		
34	Do.	Mahomedali	144							
35	Do.	Bachan	7,515	3,013			112	3,124		
36	Do.	Allahwarayo	217	177				177		
37	Do.	Bowachand	229	441		2		443	183	
38	Do.	Ahmed	3,713	5,568				5,568		
39	Do.	Gulam Mahomed	16,254	2,881		21	222	3,224		
40	Do.	Imambaksh	4,806	1,092				1,092		
41	Do.	Mahomed Saleh	174	135		21		156		
42	Do.	Mahomed Saleh Mato	831	322				322		
43	Do.	Musamat Bachi								
44	Do.	Haji Sahro		253		80		253		
45	Do.	Thariomal		49		64		133		
46	Do.	Mahomed Masood	—138	130				130	121	
47	Do.	Amrah								
		Total, Larkana District	1,47,793	1,40,006		6,254	1,311	1,47,601	2,744	

No. II—continued.

Government management for the year 1909-10.

Expenditure.										Closing balance.
Government demands.	Cost of management.	Maintenance and education of wards.	Interest and rent.	Miscellaneous.	Total gross expenditure.	Loans advanced.	Other investment.	Repayment of debts.	Grand total.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.
...	...	722	...	2,037	3,059	...	...	...	3,059	16,421
283	...	322	...	...	608	...	...	...	608	2,798
131	...	...	...	...	131	...	...	...	131	628
230	...	251	...	2	483	...	...	...	483	1,676
2,277	...	1,750	...	550	4,577	...	...	1,330	5,916	2,398
243	...	150	...	108	508	...	...	...	508	737
704	...	200	...	213	1,117	...	...	103	1,220	1,348
3,373	...	3,395	...	2,810	11,078	...	...	1,442	12,520	26,919
405	...	...	...	...	405	...	...	...	405	43
852	...	...	...	...	352	...	...	...	352	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	200	...	...	200	-200
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
79	...	112	...	300	401	...	...	...	401	961
514	...	...	...	...	514	...	...	...	514	1,106
165	...	88	...	...	253	...	...	...	253	217
168	...	280	...	560	808	...	...	...	808	17,504
403	...	...	...	1	404	...	...	...	404	827
138	...	180	...	...	318	100	...	...	418	480
3,387	675	2,744	...	1,626	8,632	...	...	...	8,632	3,968
431	...	20	...	...	451	...	...	...	451	144
6,331	675	3,424	...	2,483	12,813	300	...	...	13,113	25,307
444	...	280	...	20	744	...	...	...	744	659
3,535	...	980	...	62	4,577	...	...	...	4,577	7,349
1,191	...	518	...	551	2,250	...	...	...	2,250	2,046
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
5,170	...	1,768	...	638	7,571	...	...	...	7,571	10,004
2,708	...	1,400	...	4	4,113	...	...	...	4,113	29,178
33,861	2,000	8,578	...	27,112	71,541	...	...	2,555	74,096	75,833
91	...	240	...	5	336	...	...	...	336	3,390
64	...	120	...	...	184	...	...	...	184	243
227	...	70	...	1	298	...	...	...	299	906
44	...	108	...	...	240	...	...	...	240	291
3,153	...	2,000	...	2,102	8,004	...	...	...	8,004	26,410
1,874	...	1,120	...	10	3,004	...	...	...	3,004	10,811
231	...	120	...	175	576	...	...	...	576	1,010
194	...	150	...	2	346	...	...	...	346	699
...	...	...	...	144	144	...	...	...	144	...
1,838	...	693	...	1	2,752	...	...	...	2,752	7,857
7	...	195	...	1	23	...	...	...	203	191
166	...	50	...	183	383	...	...	...	383	471
1,851	...	510	...	300	2,721	...	...	...	2,721	6,546
2,251	...	338	...	391	2,980	...	...	...	2,980	16,498
230	...	...	...	146	476	...	...	...	476	5,363
...	...	72	...	30	102	...	...	113	215	115
102	...	216	...	1	319	...	...	...	319	346
...	...	...	...	100	100	...	...	...	100	-100
233	...	...	...	...	253	...	...	...	253	30
35	...	...	...	...	35	...	...	...	35	59
...	...	198	...	...	198	...	...	...	198	-73
...	2,000	17,114	...	30,643	91,258	...	...	2,638	1,01,894	1,94,574

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance of last year.	Receipts.						
				Rents of land.	Other dues.	Miscellaneous receipts.	Interest.	Total gross income.	Debts recovered.	Loans received.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
48	Sukkur	Gulam Kadir	19,000	14,256		201	136	14,653	50	
49	Do.	Dharmdas	28,291	49		31	935	1,014		
50	Do.	Gulam Mustafa	3,062	1,872				1,872		
51	Do.	Pirdino	1,340	991		40		1,031		
52	Do.	Nawazali	4,516	672				872		
53	Do.	Abdul Khalik	803	478				478		
54	Do.	Hadibaksh	693	415				415		
55	Do.	Harun Khan	1,724	1,079				1,079		
56	Do.	Mir Hassan	9	157				157		
57	Do.	Ghulam Hyder		5,162				5,162		
58	Do.	Jumanmal		1,433				1,433		
		Total, Sukkur District	59,372	26,763		332	1,071	23,163	50	
59	Upper Sind Frontier.	Banumal	216	222				222		
60	Do.	Khawandbaksh	1,121	579				579	100	
61	Do.	Khush Mahomed	1,365	1,090				1,090		
62	Do.	Gul Bahar	395							
63	Do.	Mahran Khan	532	1,070				1,070		
64	Do.	Gulnawal	6	45				45		
65	Do.	Mahomedbaksh								
		Total, Upper Sind Frontier.	3,635	3,006				3,006	100	
		Grand Total	2,69,519	2,03,594		6,831	3,480	2,13,905	8,687	

No. II -- continued.

Expenditure.										Closing balance.	Remarks.
Government demands.	Cost of management.	Maintenance and education of Wards.	Interest and rent.	Miscellaneous.	Total gross expenditure.	Loans advanced.	Other investment.	Repayment of debts.	Grand total.		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
5,683		1,935	...	1,383	9,111	...			9,111	21,391	
39		406	...	142	637	...			637	24,054	
1,150		280	...	1	1,431	500	...		1,031	3,083	
276		420	...	1	67	...			67	1,674	
535		140	...	44	719	...		3,940	4,659	721	
122		160	...	1	273	...			273	1,008	
.....		219	...	100	318	...			318	700	
814		280	...	83	687	...		430	1,117	1,646	
56			...		56	...			56	104	
2,024			...	33	2,058	...			2,058	3,106	
411			...	9	420	...			420	1,013	
10,615		3,829	...	1,911	16,355	500		4,376	21,231	66,357	
111			...	25	130	...			130	802	
286		280	...		566	...			566	1,274	
420		66	...	13	499	...			499	1,950	
.....	...	165	...	230	395	...			395		
620		195	...	1	816	...			816	706	
.....			...	51	51	...			51		
.....			...			...					
1,437		706	...	320	2,463	...			2,463	4,274	
76,025	2,675	30,236	...	40,700	1,49,536	800		8,186	1,59,822	3,28,119	

J. W. SMYTH,
Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

STATEMENT No. III.

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collection in Estates under Government management for the year 1909-10.

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Demands.			Collections.			Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balance.
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.	Total.		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Rs.	
1	Karschl.	44. Tarnal	800	...	800	729	...	729	...	...	...	181	Current 181.
2		45. Abdulla	888	...	888	888	...	888	...	...	...	...	Current 88.
3		46. Yar Mahomed	205	114	319	167	114	281	...	...	...	38	Old 694; current 38.
4		47. Fatma	887	169	1,056	230	378	608	...	...	...	1,221	Old 694; current 81.
5		48. Gulam Murtaza	4,318	...	4,318	4,237	...	4,237	...	...	...	81	Current 81.
6		49. Mamun	462	...	462	459	...	459	...	...	...	3	Do. 3.
7		50. Shahbudin	1,857	...	1,857	1,857	...	1,857	...	...	...	...	...
		Total	9,473	1,083	10,556	8,562	499	9,061	...	...	...	1,504	Old 694; current 1,504.
8	Hyderabad.	53. Ahmed Ali Khan	448	...	448	448	...	448	...	...	...	...	...
9		54. Hyderali	352	...	352	352	...	352	...	...	...	...	...
10		55. Bijar Khan	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
11		56. Gulam Rasool	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
12		57. Sejan	289	...	289	289	...	289	...	...	...	...	...
13		58. Fakir Mahomed	939	...	939	939	...	939	...	...	...	...	...
14		59. Jeraun	352	...	352	352	...	352	...	...	...	...	...
15		60. Bhugro	389	...	389	379	...	379	...	...	...	10	Current 10.
16		61. Miri	981	50	1,031	928	...	928	...	...	...	58	Do. 3; old 58.
17		62. Abdul Hakim	633	250	883	333	250	583	...	...	...	...	...
18	Thar and Parkar.	1. Mirza	9,609	246	9,855	7,824	...	7,824	...	...	...	2,021	Old 216; current 2,021.
19		2. Ali Navas	651	...	651	651	...	651	...	...	...	100	Current 100.
		Total	14,938	546	15,484	12,445	280	12,695	...	...	...	2,124	Old 216; current 2,124.
20	Thar and Parkar.	10. Ahmedali Shah	795	...	795	795	...	795	...	...	...	...	...
21		11. Nihal Khan	9,020	215	9,235	9,020	215	9,235	...	...	...	...	...
22		12. Sayad Khan	1,665	295	1,960	1,665	295	1,960	...	...	...	6	Old 6.
23		13. Khudadost	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	New Estate.
		Total	11,480	510	11,990	11,480	504	11,984	...	...	...	6	Old 6.
24	Larkana.	24. Gokaldas	8,538	...	8,538	8,509	...	8,509	...	...	...	29	Current 29.
25		25. Wahidbakh Bhuto	1,00,646	...	1,00,646	1,00,584	...	1,00,584	...	...	...	62	Do. 62.
26		16. Amarsing	408	218	626	360	218	578	...	...	...	48	Do. 48.
27		27. Wahidbakh Sujawal	244	...	244	244	...	244	...	...	...	...	...
28		28. Siddik	742	...	742	742	...	742	...	...	...	...	...
29		29. Parusang	289	...	289	289	...	289	...	...	...	...	...
30		30. Ali Hassan	10,429	...	10,429	10,399	...	10,399	...	...	...	30	Current 30.
31		31. Sher Mahomed	4,054	...	4,054	3,334	...	3,334	...	...	...	720	Do. 720.
32		32. Piral	781	...	781	781	...	781	...	...	...	...	...
33		33. Sadhu	494	...	494	494	...	494	...	...	...	...	...
34		34. Mahomedali	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
35		35. Bachan	8,331	...	8,331	8,012	...	8,012	...	...	...	319	Current 319.
36		36. Allahwarayo	177	...	177	177	...	177	...	...	...	...	...
37		37. Bawaehand	477	...	477	442	...	442	...	...	...	35	Current 35.
38		38. Ahmed	4,825	2,225	7,050	3,338	2,225	5,563	...	...	...	1,487	Do. 1,487.
39		39. Gulam Mahomed	3,415	...	3,415	2,981	...	2,981	...	...	...	434	Do. 434.
40		40. Imambakh	1,091	...	1,091	1,091	...	1,091	...	...	...	...	...
41		41. Mahomed Saleh	135	...	135	135	...	135	...	...	...	...	...
42		42. Mahomed Saleh Mato	322	...	322	322	...	322	...	...	...	...	...
43		43. Musamat Bachi	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
44		44. Sahro	528	...	528	263	...	263	...	...	...	265	Current 265.
45		45. Tharlamaj	175	...	175	49	...	49	...	...	...	126	Do. 126.
46		2. Mahomed Masood	130	...	130	130	...	130	...	...	...	...	...
47		65. Amra	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	New Estate.
		Total	1,41,326	2,438	1,43,764	1,37,667	2,438	1,40,095	...	...	...	3,600	Current 3,600.

STATEMENT No. III—continued.

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Demands.			Collections.			Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balances.
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.	Total.		Converted into funds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	
48	Sukkur.	13. Gulam Kadir ...	Rs. 13,010	Rs. 1,608	Rs. 14,618	Rs. 12,908	Rs. 1,448	Rs. 14,356	...	...	...	Rs. 560	Old 188; current 302.
49		14. Dharmandas ...	412	...	412	48	...	48	...	...	...	394	Current 394.
50		15. Gulam Mustafa ...	2,893	221	3,104	1,651	221	1,872	...	...	...	1,232	Do. 1,232.
51		16. Pirdino ...	1,025	23	1,048	991	...	991	...	...	...	87	Old 23; current 34.
52		17. Nawazali ...	1,190	43	1,233	829	43	872	...	...	...	361	Current 361.
53		18. Abdul Khalik ...	285	199	478	285	103	478	...	...	...	...	...
54		19. Hadibaksh ...	500	35	535	380	38	418	...	...	...	120	Current 120.
55		20. Harun Khan ...	1,079	...	1,079	1,079	...	1,079	...	...	...	...	...
56		21. Mir Hassan ...	149	44	193	119	44	167	...	...	...	36	Current 36.
57		22. Gulam Hyder ...	5,186	...	5,186	5,162	...	5,162	...	...	...	24	Do. 24.
58		23. Jumanmal ...	1,438	...	1,438	1,433	...	1,433	...	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	27,182	2,166	29,347	24,779	1,981	26,760	...	...	...	2,684	Old 181; current 2,403.
59	Upper Sind Frontier.	4. Banumal ...	241	...	241	222	...	222	...	...	...	19	Current 12.
60		5. Khawandbaksh ...	579	401	980	579	...	579	...	...	...	401	Old 401.
61		6. Khush Mahomed ...	950	140	1,090	950	140	1,090	...	...	...	...	...
62		7. Gul Bahar ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Relinquished.
63		8. Mahru Khan ...	1,120	200	1,320	870	200	1,070	...	...	...	250	Current 250.
64		9. Gulumal ...	45	...	45	45	...	45	...	...	...	...	Relinquished.
65		64. Mahomedbaksh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	New Estate.
		Total ...	2,935	741	3,676	2,666	340	3,006	...	...	...	670	Old 401; current 269.
		Grand Total ...	2,06,628	7,483	2,14,111	1,97,639	6,006	2,03,645	...	...	...	10,617	

J. W. SMYTH,
Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

STATEMENT IV.

Statement showing the progress made in liquidation of debt in the Court of Wards estates during the year 1901.

Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Debts incurred in previous years after charge was assumed.	Debts settled in previous years						Balance of debt at the beginning of year.	Additional debts incurred during year.	Debts settled during current year					Balance.	Total amount of debt assumed by the Court.
				By incurring other debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.	Total.			By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Hyderabad	Ali Akbarbeg	18,769	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18,769	...	...	...	...	4,539	...	14,230	31st Dec.
Larkana	Mahomed Masood	97	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	97	...	...	...	...	3	...	94	Owing to stoppage of the estate, the debt has not been paid.

Estates 3 to 65—Notices under section 14 have been issued, but the period of six months has not yet expired.

J. W. SMYTH,
Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

*Below Report on the Estates under management of the Court of Wards
in Sind for the year 1909-10.*

No. 548 of 1910.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

*Office of the Commissioner in Sind. Government House,
Karachi, 21st October 1910.*

Submitted to Government.

2. The year was one of transition on which it is not possible to offer much useful criticism. The last column of Statement I shows that the liabilities of most estates have not yet been settled, and consequently there is nothing to show the general condition of solvency or otherwise of each estate. It is presumed that enquiries will be made in the current year, and the liabilities, if any, determined.

3. Mr. Martin has administered the Court of Wards with his usual zeal and ability.

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND,
Commissioner in Sind.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Revenue Department,
Bombay.

Annual Reports.

Report on the Administration of the Estates under the management of the Courts of Wards in the Presidency proper and Sind for the year 1909-10.

No. 2840.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 20th March 1911.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. 4876, dated 7th October 1910.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 548, dated 21st October 1910.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. 4371, dated 16th November 1910.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. R.—3626, dated 12th December 1910.

Submitting the annual reports on the management of private estates in their respective charges as Courts of Wards for the year ending 31st July 1910.

RESOLUTION.—In the Presidency proper there were, at the beginning of the year under report, 32 estates under the management of the Courts of Wards, while during the course of the year 13 new estates were brought under superintendence and one estate was released. There were thus 45 estates under control during the whole or part of the year but practically no statistics are given for seven estates, of which four were under management for a very brief portion of the year, two in the Southern Division are insolvent, and one, that of the late Shri Bába Maháráj of Poona, is still a cause of litigation and is under merely nominal superintendence. Accordingly only 38 estates are dealt with in this review.

2. The total income, exclusive of debts recovered and loans received, of these estates was Rs. 3,93,000, of which 75 per cent. was on account of rents of lands. The good season is reflected in the figures of rent collections given in Statement III. Out of a current demand of Rs. 2,79,000, the receipts amounted to Rs. 2,54,000 or 91 per cent., while out of Rs. 2,82,000 arrears the collections were Rs. 42,000 or 15 per cent. At the close of the year the arrears due to the estates, after a write-off of Rs. 22,000, amounted to Rs. 2,43,000. Of this more than half is on account of arrears of past years in the three estates of Sháh Alam (Ahmedabad), the Desái of Broach and the Sirsangi Desgat of Belgaum. All three estates are solvent and the second and third are possessed of large balances. Some amount was written off against these estates during the year, and of the arrears remaining a part is under dispute. But a considerable portion of the whole arrears appears to be irrecoverable and enquiries should be continued with a view to clearing the accounts of meaningless figures.

3. The expenditure, exclusive of loans advanced and investments made, was Rs. 2,86,000 for the estates under review. Of this amount 19 per cent. was expended on the maintenance and education of the wards and 9 per cent. was incurred on account of management.

4. The debts of the same estates at the beginning of the year were Rs. 12,36,000. During the year fresh debts were incurred amounting to Rs. 1,81,000, but practically the whole of this was employed in paying off old debts, on advantageous terms. Thus out of a total debt reduction of Rs. 1,89,000 by other means during the year Rs. 94,000 or one-half was on account of remissions by creditors for prompt settlements, and only Rs. 59,000 were defrayed from current income. Three large and important estates, which were apparently hopelessly involved, have now been placed by means of cash settlements on a basis which makes liquidation of their debts possible. A remission of Rs. 33,000 by the creditors of the Nipáni Desgat estate in the Belgaum district will enable the Court of Wards to clear off the consolidated debt in 29 years. The liabilities of the Patvardhan Shástri estate in the Surat district which amounted to Rs. 87,000 have been reduced in the same way by Rs. 31,000, and a Government loan of Rs. 40,000 sanctioned after the close of the year is calculated to leave the estate free of debt after 28 years. A cash payment of Rs. 50,000 will it is

expected suffice to liquidate debts amounting to one lách of the Potnis estate in Poona. A Government loan has also been sanctioned in this case since the close of the year, and it is expected that the estate will be restored to solvency in 20 years. Of the whole 38 estates under review the debts of no less than 1 cannot be liquidated in less than 20 years. On the other hand sixteen estates are fully solvent, and some are possessed of large balances, invested and unimpaired.

5. Eighteen wards are of school-going age, and the results of the measure taken to give them a suitable education are, on the whole, satisfactory.

6. In Sind, owing to the transfer of 55 minor's estates from the guardianship of Collectors and Deputy Commissioners, there was a great development in the work undertaken by the Court of Wards, and the total number of estates under control increased from 2 to 62 during the year. Owing to these changes it has not been possible to have the circumstances of the estates fully examined and shown in the tabular statements. The income of the year was Rs. 2,17,000 and the expenditure accounted for was one and a half láchs. The collection of rents amounting to Rs. 2,04,000 appears to have been very satisfactory and the arrears reported amount to only Rs. 11,000. Most of the estates are managed through lessees.

7. The administration of the Act during the year appears to have been productive of much good. The most striking results are naturally shown in the case of embarrassed estates, where the difficulties of liquidation are in many cases very considerable. The ability of the Courts of Wards to effect cash settlements with the financial assistance of Government and to consolidate debts has resulted in practical schemes for the ultimate liquidation of the debts of large and historic estates which were otherwise doomed to ruin. The Governor in Council has much pleasure in commending the district officers who as managers have worked with zeal and judgment in promoting the interests of the estates in their charge.

R. D. BELL,

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,
The Commissioner, N. D.,
The Commissioner, C. D.,
The Commissioner, S. D.,
All Collectors, including the Collectors and Deputy Commissioners in Sind,
The Talukdári Settlement Officer,
The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,
The Director of Agriculture,
The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records,
The Director of Public Instruction,
The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor,
The Accountant General,
The Compiler of the General Administration Report,
The Judicial Department of the Secretariat,
The Educational Department of the Secretariat,
The Political Department of the Secretariat,
The Financial Department of the Secretariat,
The Editors' Tables and Editors of Newspapers,
The Government of India,
The Under Secretary of State for India. } By letter.

With copies of the report.

No. . of 1911.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to