

**THE SCIENCE OF LAW**  
**AND**  
**LAWMAKING**

# THE SCIENCE OF LAW

AND

## LAWMAKING

BEING

AN INTRODUCTION TO LAW, A GENERAL VIEW OF  
ITS FORMS AND SUBSTANCE, AND A DISCUSSION  
OF THE QUESTION OF CODIFICATION

BY

R. FLOYD CLARKE, A.B., LL.B.

OF THE NEW YORK BAR

*"Jus summum sæpe summa est malitia"*

*(The strictest law is often the greatest injustice)*

TERENCE, HEAUTON-TIMOBŒUMENOS, IV. 5. 48

New York

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

LONDON: MACMILLAN & CO., LTD.

1898

*All rights reserved*

**COPYRIGHT, 1898,  
BY THE MACMILLAN COMPANY.**

**Norwood Press  
J. S. Cushing & Co. — Berwick & Smith  
Norwood Mass. U.S.A.**

## PREFACE

THIS book is an attempt to make clear to the average reader some of the truths of Law and Jurisprudence. The object is to introduce Laymen to a true conception of the system of law under which they live, a system whose rules constitute bonds restraining their activities, less palpable, yet no less effective, than the iron bars of the captive's cage. It is a curious fact that no work exists in which the general outlines of legal systems are explained in popular terms so as to be intelligible to the ordinary mind not versed in the technicalities of the subject. And it is especially strange that no work exists which explains to such readers, and to the law student just beginning his course, the fundamental truths contained in the two forms of expression in which it is possible to embody a system of law. Yet a complete knowledge of these fundamental truths lies at the base of the correct decision of a question of great importance now agitating the legal world. And this question — the question of Codification — is one whose decision will rest more in the hands of laymen than in the hands of lawyers. These facts suggested to the writer the idea of a book which, in the first instance, should be an introduction to the study of the law; and, in the second instance, should use this introduction as a groundwork on which to build up an argument on codification intelligible to the lay mind. And it was considered

that labor bestowed on a full and complete examination of the familiar truths of the subject matter, would not be thrown away, even in so far as the professional audience might be concerned. For, it is deemed that the reiteration of fundamental truths, half forgotten because assumed without clear statement and demarcation, and the contemplation of concrete instances and examples of the working out of the contradictory principles involved, will refresh the recollection of the professional reader, and aid to a clearer conception by him of the generalizations involved in the further arguments herein contained.

To what extent success has been attained in making this essay intelligible, instructive, and convincing to the two classes of readers for whom it has been written, only the future can determine.

This much, however, may be said. The attempt has been,—

First: To write an introduction to law which shall enlighten the intelligent lay reader as to the beauty and interest of its problems;

Second: To remove the discussion of the Code Question from the generalities in which it has always been obscured to the contemplation of the practical working of the two systems in concrete instances (*see* Chapters V and VI);

Third: To elaborate the idea of the fundamental and intrinsic difference between the two forms of writings, statute and case law (*see* Chapters X and XI); and

Fourth: To draw the proper conclusions and apply these principles to actual legislation, judicial or legislative, and to determine by a practical test the provinces of each and the best way to conserve them (*see* Chapter XI).

In this age when the common people, populists or otherwise, look up to the legislature as the *deus ex machina*, capable by its action of ameliorating their social, political and financial condition; and when men of mark and influence are urging the adoption of favorite schemes for social or individual advancement; and when the keen few, realizing the practical supremacy of legislation, no longer seek rights or redress in the courts, but create them by gaining in their behalf the fiat of the legislature — it is fitting that an attempt should be made to delimit the proper provinces of legislative and judicial action.

If the writer has succeeded in this, he has added his mite to the true solution of the complex problems ever presenting themselves for solution.

R. FLOYD CLARKE.

NEW YORK, August 20, 1897.



# CONTENTS

## CHAPTER I

### THE LAW AND THE LAYMAN

	PAGE
THE LAYMAN'S IDEA OF LAW . . . . .	1
THE NATURE OF LAW—ITS PRACTICAL INTEREST . . . . .	4
THE DEBS CASE . . . . .	5
A WILL CASE . . . . .	8
WITH SLIGHT PREPARATION A LAYMAN MAY GRASP THE CODE QUESTION . . . . .	9
SHORT DEFINITION OF A CODE . . . . .	10
THE TWO WAYS IN WHICH THE LAW CAN BE DECLARED . . . . .	11
THE CODE WAY—§ 938 OF THE FIELD CIVIL CODE . . . . .	11
THE CASE WAY—ARMORY vs. DELAMIRIE . . . . .	12
THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO WAYS . . . . .	13
THE BOOKS IN WHICH LAW IS WRITTEN . . . . .	15

## CHAPTER II

### THE FORMS OF LAW

THE TWO GREAT SYSTEMS OF LAW . . . . .	17
THEIR ORIGIN IN A COMMON FORM . . . . .	18
THE SOURCE OF LAW AN ORAL TRADITION . . . . .	20
ITS DEVELOPMENT INTO TWO TYPES . . . . .	21
THE DISTINCTION IS BETWEEN CODE AND CASE LAW—NOT CODE AND COMMON LAW . . . . .	22
THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE FORM AND THE SUBSTANCE OF LAW . . . . .	22
THIS PROPOSITION DISPUTED BY THE CODIFIERS . . . . .	23
THE ARGUMENT FOR THE PROPOSITION . . . . .	23
THE SELECTION OF CASE OR STATUTE LAW A QUESTION OF MIXED FORM AND SUBSTANCE . . . . .	25



	PAGE
THE CONFLICT IS BETWEEN CODE AND CASE LAW . . . . .	26
THE CRY OF THE CODIFIERS . . . . .	26
THE ANSWER OF THE PRACTITIONERS . . . . .	28
THE IMPORTANCE AND PRACTICAL NATURE OF THE QUESTION . . . . .	31

## CHAPTER III

### THE CODE QUESTION

THE CONFLICT IN NEW YORK . . . . .	33
THE BROAD QUESTION—TO CODIFY OR NOT TO CODIFY . . . . .	34
THE LIMITATIONS OF THE QUESTION . . . . .	35
THE TRUE DEBATABLE GROUND . . . . .	36
THE ARGUMENT THAT CODIFICATION ENABLES THE COMMON MAN TO KNOW THE LAW . . . . .	36
THE ANSWER TO THIS ARGUMENT . . . . .	37
THE COMPARATIVE INTELLIGIBILITY OF THE CODE AND CASE SYS- TEMS . . . . .	39
THE NECESSITY OF MEETING THE QUESTION BEFORE THE POPU- LAR FORUM . . . . .	42
NOT DIFFICULT FOR THE MAN OF EDUCATION TO MASTER THE PROBLEM AND DRAW HIS OWN CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	43

## CHAPTER IV

### AN INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF LAW

WHAT IS LAW . . . . .	44
CIVIL LAW . . . . .	49
COMMON LAW . . . . .	50
ECCLESIASTICAL OR CANON LAW . . . . .	51
ADMIRALTY LAW . . . . .	52
THE COMMON LAW AND EQUITY . . . . .	54
THE FOUR DIVISIONS . . . . .	71
THE DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE ROMAN AND THE ENGLISH LAW	72
THE MUNICIPAL LAW OF ENGLAND . . . . .	76
SOURCES OF THE LAW . . . . .	76
A LAWSUIT—WITH SIDE NOTES . . . . .	78
THE SOURCES FROM WHICH THE JUDGE OBTAINS HIS LAW . . . . .	88

	PAGE
THE LAW CHANGES AS THE TIMES CHANGE . . . . .	90
THE FIELD OF STUDY NOT SO EXTENSIVE AS IT APPEARS . . . . .	92
THE BOOKS OF STATUTES AND THE BOOKS OF REPORTED CASES . . . . .	94
THE LAWYER'S OTHER TOOLS OF TRADE . . . . .	96
THE DISTINCTION AND LIKENESS BETWEEN A CODE AND A STATUTE . . . . .	97
THE PRESENT RELATIONS OF STATUTES AND CASES . . . . .	97
HOW THE CODE QUESTION ARISES . . . . .	98
EXPLANATION OF THE TWO SUCCEEDING CHAPTERS . . . . .	98
GENERAL REMARKS ON THE QUESTION PRESENTED . . . . .	100

## CHAPTER V

## THE ENGLISH LAW AS IT IS

TITLE I. — CONCRETE EXAMPLES OF STATUTES . . . . .	103
Exhibit A. — The Statute of Frauds . . . . .	103
<i>Note to Exhibit A. — The Statute of Frauds. Distinctions between Statutes and Cases . . . . .</i>	<i>104</i>
Exhibit B. — The Statute of Limitations . . . . .	116
<i>Note to Exhibit B. — The Statute of Limitations . . . . .</i>	<i>117</i>
TITLE II. — CONCRETE EXAMPLES OF REPORTED CASES . . . . .	122
Exhibit C. — <i>Mitchell vs. Reynolds</i> . . . . .	122
<i>Note to Exhibit C. — Mitchell vs. Reynolds . . . . .</i>	<i>126</i>
Exhibit D. — <i>The Diamond Match Co., Respondent, vs. William Roeber, Appellant . . . . .</i>	<i>133</i>
<i>Note to Exhibit D. — The Diamond Match Company Case . . . . .</i>	<i>139</i>
TITLE III. — CONCRETE EXAMPLE OF A TEXT-BOOK . . . . .	141
Exhibit E. — Extract from Pollock on Contracts . . . . .	141
<i>Note to Exhibit E. — Pollock on Contracts . . . . .</i>	<i>148</i>
TITLE IV. — CONCRETE EXAMPLES OF DIGESTS . . . . .	149
Exhibit F. — Sample of an Old Digest, including Digest of <i>Mitchell vs. Reynolds</i> . . . . .	149
<i>Note to Exhibit F. — An Old Digest . . . . .</i>	<i>149</i>
Exhibit G. — Sample of a New York Digest, including Digest of <i>Diamond Match Co. vs. Roeber</i> . . . . .	150
<i>Note to Exhibit G. — A New York Digest . . . . .</i>	<i>154</i>

	PAGE
<b>Exhibit H. — Sample of an Annual Digest — The General Digest of 1895 . . . . .</b>	154
<i>Note to Exhibit H. — An Annual Digest . . . . .</i>	157
<b>Exhibit I. — Definitions . . . . .</b>	157
<b>TITLE V. — SUMMARY STATEMENT OF THE PRINCIPLES OF THE COMMON LAW OF CONTRACTS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE . . . . .</b>	159
<b>TITLE VI. — THE RELATIVE PROVINCES OF STATUTE AND CASE LAW AS THEY EXIST IN THE COMMON LAW . . . . .</b>	163
<b>Exhibit K. — The Statute Law. Table of Contents of the New York Revised Statutes . . . . .</b>	165
<b>Exhibit L. — The Case Law. Table of Contents of Kent's Commentaries and of Bispham's Equity . . . . .</b>	170

## CHAPTER VI

### THE ENGLISH LAW AS IT WOULD BE IF CODIFIED

<b>Exhibit M. — The French Civil Code . . . . .</b>	178
<b>THE PROVISIONS OF THE FRENCH CIVIL CODE AS TO ILLEGAL CONTRACTS, INCLUDING CONTRACTS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE . . . . .</b>	179
<i>Note to Exhibit M. — The French Civil Code . . . . .</i>	180
<b>Exhibit N. — The Proposed Civil Code of New York . . . . .</b>	186
<b>THE PROVISIONS OF THE FIELD CIVIL CODE AS TO UNLAWFUL CONTRACTS, INCLUDING CONTRACTS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE . . . . .</b>	188
<i>Note to Exhibit N. — The Field Civil Code . . . . .</i>	189
<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE FIELD CIVIL CODE AS TO UNLAWFUL CONTRACTS . . . . .</b>	189
<b>PARTICULAR DISCUSSION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE FIELD CIVIL CODE AS TO UNLAWFUL CONTRACTS . . . . .</b>	192
<b>PARTICULAR DISCUSSION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE FIELD CIVIL CODE AS TO CONTRACTS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE . . . . .</b>	198
<b>THE ANGLO-INDIAN CODES . . . . .</b>	211
<b>Exhibit O. — The Indian Contract Act . . . . .</b>	211
<b>THE PROVISIONS OF THE INDIAN CONTRACT ACT IN REGARD TO CONTRACTS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE . . . . .</b>	212
<i>Note to Exhibit O. — The Indian Code . . . . .</i>	213
<i>Note to all the Codes . . . . .</i>	220

CHAPTER VII

ALL THE LAW IS WRITTEN — THE LIKENESS AND UNLIKENESS

	PAGE
TITLE I. — THE TRUE DISTINCTION LIES IN A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE RULES OF CONSTRUCTION APPLIED TO STATUTES AND REPORTS . . . . .	222
RULES OF STATUTORY INTERPRETATION AND CONSTRUCTION . . . . .	230
RULES OF INTERPRETATION . . . . .	230
RULES OF STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION . . . . .	231
SOME CURIOUS CASES . . . . .	232
TITLE II. — THE DIFFERENCE IS INTRINSIC, NOT ACCIDENTAL . . . . .	248
SUMMARY . . . . .	258

CHAPTER VIII

THE IDEAS AND ARGUMENTS OF THE CODIFIERS

THE AGITATION FOR AND AGAINST CODIFICATION . . . . .	263
THE TWO DISTINCT QUESTIONS INVOLVED . . . . .	264
NO CODE YET WRITTEN SUITS THE SCIENTIFIC CODIFIERS . . . . .	266
FIELD'S CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE . . . . .	267
CRITICISMS OF CODIFIERS ON FIELD'S CIVIL CODE . . . . .	267
FIELD'S NEW YORK CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE . . . . .	269
THE NEW YORK CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE REFORMS MORE SPECTACULAR THAN REAL . . . . .	271
FIRST. THE ALLEGED UNION OF LAW AND EQUITY . . . . .	272
SECOND. THE ALLEGED SIMPLIFICATION OF THE PLEADINGS . . . . .	275
THE SAME REFORMS BETTER EFFECTED BY RULES OF COURT . . . . .	278
THE FAILURE OF THE FIELD CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE . . . . .	279
ONE OF MR. FIELD'S ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF A CODE . . . . .	280
THE BURDEN ON THE CODIFIER . . . . .	281
THE DIVERGENT VIEWS OF CODIFIERS AS TO WHAT A CODE SHOULD BE . . . . .	281
BENTHAM'S VIEW . . . . .	281
HAWKINS' VIEW . . . . .	282
A CODE OF PRINCIPLES . . . . .	284

	PAGE
AMOS ON THE FIELD CIVIL CODE . . . . .	284
HOLLAND'S VIEW . . . . .	285
AUSTIN'S VIEW . . . . .	285
CONFLICTING ANALOGIES. . . . .	286
AUSTIN'S VIEW ( <i>continued</i> ) . . . . .	289
AUSTIN ON THE FRENCH AND PRUSSIAN CODES . . . . .	292
SCHUSTER ON THE FRENCH AND PRUSSIAN CODES . . . . .	294
FOWLER'S VIEW . . . . .	296
THE QUESTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE CASES . . . . .	296
THE QUESTION OF THE REASONS FOR THE RULE . . . . .	297
THE QUESTION OF DEFINITIONS . . . . .	297
THE QUESTION OF MAXIMS AND GENERAL RULES. . . . .	297
THE QUESTION OF FURTHER GROWTH . . . . .	298
THE DISAGREEMENTS OF THE CODIFIERS . . . . .	299
THE COMMON LAW A FIXED QUANTITY — EASILY CRITICISED . . . . .	299
THE CODE PANACEA . . . . .	303
THE CODE AN UNKNOWN QUANTITY — A SHIFTING CONCEPTION . . . . .	304
THE USUAL ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST CODIFICATION . . . . .	306
THE ARGUMENTS AGAINST CODIFICATION AS STATED BY PROFESSOR AMOS . . . . .	306
THE ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF CODIFICATION AS STATED BY PRO- FESSOR AMOS . . . . .	308
ACCESSIBILITY. . . . .	308
COMPENDIOUS BREVITY . . . . .	308
FORMAL ORGANIZATION . . . . .	309
DEFINITENESS — COMPARATIVE CERTAINTY . . . . .	310
SOME PLAUSIBLE MINOR ARGUMENTS . . . . .	310
THE CHIEF ARGUMENT FOR CODIFICATION . . . . .	314
AUSTIN'S STATEMENT OF THE ARGUMENT . . . . .	315
HAWKINS' STATEMENT OF THE ARGUMENT . . . . .	319
HOLLAND'S STATEMENT OF THE ARGUMENT . . . . .	320
FIELD'S STATEMENT OF THE ARGUMENT . . . . .	327
THE NEW YORK CODE COMMISSIONERS' STATEMENT OF THE ARGU- MENT. . . . .	328
AMOS' STATEMENT OF THIS ARGUMENT . . . . .	330
GENERAL DISCUSSION . . . . .	331

## CHAPTER IX

## THE PRACTICAL ARGUMENT

	PAGE
THE DIFFICULTY IN CODIFYING ARISING OUT OF THE INEVITABLE GROWTH OF THE LAW . . . . .	334
THE DIFFICULTY ARISING OUT OF THE DIFFERENCES IN THE CHARACTER, ABILITY AND SPRINGS OF ACTION OF THE AUTHORS OF CODE AND CASE LAW . . . . .	341

## CHAPTER X

## THE FINAL ARGUMENT

RECAPITULATION . . . . .	346
THE ARGUMENT CONTINUED . . . . .	348
THE FINAL GENERALIZATION—A DIFFERENCE IN METHOD . . . . .	351
THE OBJECTION THAT SOME RULES OF LAW ARE PROPERLY EXPRESSED IN STATUTES . . . . .	352
ALL LAWS INVOLVE A RULE OF CONDUCT . . . . .	353
THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN LAWS RELATING TO ETHICAL AND TO INDIFFERENT CONDUCT . . . . .	356
A FURTHER OBJECTION . . . . .	360
THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE CIVIL AND THE CRIMINAL LAW AS TO CODIFICATION . . . . .	360
THE ANALOGY BETWEEN LAW AND OTHER SCIENCES . . . . .	365
THE RELIGIOUS CODE . . . . .	366
QUASI-SCIENTIFIC CODES . . . . .	374
THE ANALOGY BETWEEN LAW AND MEDICINE . . . . .	379
THE ANALOGY BETWEEN LAW AND ELECTRICITY . . . . .	380
THE LAWS OF MAN AND LAWS OF NATURE—THEIR LIKENESS AND UNLIKENESS . . . . .	381
THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN LAWS OF MAN AND LAWS OF NATURE	382
THE LIKENESS BETWEEN LAWS OF MAN AND LAWS OF NATURE . . . . .	384
THE AMBIGUITY IN THE EXPRESSION "LAWS OF NATURE" . . . . .	388
THE TRUE ANALOGY BETWEEN LAWS OF MAN AND LAWS OF NATURE . . . . .	391
THE OBJECTION FOUNDED ON THE FREEDOM OF THE WILL . . . . .	398
THE INEXORABLE UNIFORMITY OF NATURE . . . . .	403

	PAGE
THE SCOPE OF LEGISLATIVE FREEDOM OF WILL . . . . .	407
THE SCIENTIFIC WARRANT FOR THE EXISTENCE OF STATUTE AND CASE LAW—STATUTE LAW FOR INDIFFERENT CONDUCT, CASE LAW FOR ETHICAL CONDUCT . . . . .	412
THE PRACTICAL TEST . . . . .	413
THIS TEST AS APPLIED TO THE ENGLISH LAW . . . . .	415
AN OBJECTION TO A SEEMING INCONSISTENCY IN THE ARGUMENT ANSWERED . . . . .	416
THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE NECESSITY OF CODIFYING RULES OF INDIFFERENT CONDUCT IN LAW AND IN OTHER SCIENCES	417
THE QUASI-CODIFICATION OF RULES APPLYING TO INDIFFERENT CONDUCT IN OTHER SCIENCES . . . . .	421
THE NECESSITY OF CODIFYING INDIFFERENT CONDUCT ARISES FROM THE CLASH OF WILLS . . . . .	422
THE SAME NECESSITY DOES NOT APPLY TO CODIFYING GOOD OR BAD CONDUCT BECAUSE OF THE IMPORTANCE OF A CORRECT DECISION . . . . .	426
THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE CONDUCT OF THE INDIVIDUAL AND OF THE SOCIAL UNIT . . . . .	428
SUMMARY . . . . .	430

## CHAPTER XI

### THE PRACTICAL RESULTS—A SUGGESTION

THE PRACTICAL RESULTS . . . . .	433
TWO PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES—HOW MET . . . . .	437
A SUGGESTION . . . . .	440
AN OBJECTION ANSWERED . . . . .	441
THE LESSON OF EXPERIENCE . . . . .	444
THE LESSON OF ANALOGY . . . . .	446
CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	447
BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	453
TABLE OF CASES . . . . .	457
INDEX . . . . .	461