THE BURDEN OF BRITISH TAXATION

BY

G. FINDLAY SHIRRAS

of the Faculty of Economics and Commerce, University College, Exeter

AND

L. ROSTAS

CAMBRIDGE AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS 1942

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

LONDON: BENTLEY HOUSE NEW YORK, TORONTO, BOMBAY CALCUTTA, MADRAS: MACMILLAN

All rights reserved

CONTENTS

	CONTENTS	PAGE
Introduction	ı	хi
	PART I	
Chapter I	The basis of the estimates: definitions and assumptions	I
II	The tax structure	1 I
III	The total burden of taxation	23
IV	Summary of conclusions	35
App	endix to Part I. Summary tables and charts	38
	PART II	
V	Income tax and surtax	71
VI	Death duties	76
	I. The problem of the death duties	76
	II. The estimation of the burden of death duties (by Nicholas Kaldor)	80
VII	Taxes on business profits, and the burden of taxation on imputed incomes	97
	Note on stamp duties	102
VIII	The tea and sugar duties	103
IX	The tobacco duty	124
X	The duties on alcoholic drinks	137
XI	The entertainments duty	167
XII	Motor vehicle duties and fuel duties	173
XIII	Small indirect taxes	189
XIV	Social insurance contributions	196
XV	Post Office net revenue	201
XVI	Taxes allocated on general expenditure: protective duties, taxes on production in general, and the purchase tax	207
Apper	adix to Part II. Rates of taxation in force for the years 1926-27 to 1942-43	225
Index		233

List	t of Charts	PAGE
I	The tax structure in 1937-38 and 1941-42. (Figures in Tables 1 and 2)	13
2	The total burden of taxation. Fully earned incomes. Family of four. 1937-38, 1941-42. (Figures in Table 12)	63
3	incomes. Family of five. 1903-04, 1913-14, 1925-26, 1937-38, 1941-42. (Figures in Table 14)	_
4	The burden of taxation on the lower and middle incomes. Fully earned incomes. Family of four. 1937-38, 1941-42. (Figures in Table 12)	65
5	The unavoidable burden on different-sized families. Fully earned incomes. 1937-38. (Figures in Table 7)	66
6	The unavoidable burden on different-sized families. Fully earned incomes. 1941-42. (Figures in Table 8)	67
7	The proportion of direct taxes, indirect taxes and net incomes at different income levels. Fully earned incomes. 1937-38, 1941-42. (Figures in Table 10)	68
8	Net income after deduction of taxation. Lower and middle incomes. Fully earned incomes. Family of four. 1937-38, 1941-42. (Figures in Table 12)	69
9		70
List	of Tables I Summary Tables. Part I	
	Net receipts of the Exchequer from ordinary revenue. In £ mill. $1913-14$, $1925-26$, $1937-38$, $1940-41$, $1941-42$	11
	Net receipts of the Exchequer from taxation. In percentage of total receipts. 1913-14, 1925-26, 1937-38, 1940-41, 1941-42	12
3•	Total burden of taxation and other compulsory contributions borne by the community. In \mathcal{L} mill. 1913-14, 1925-26, 1937-38, 1941-42	18
4.	The distribution of the tax burden according to tax categories. In £ mill. 1937-38, 1941-42	20
5.	The burden of taxation on individual incomes. The unavoidable burden for five different family categories. In £ s. d. 1937-38	39-41
6.	The unavoidable burden for five different family categories. In $\pounds s. d.$ 1941-42	42-44
7-	The unavoidable burden for five different family categories. In percentage of income. 1937-38.	45-47
8.	The unavoidable burden for five different family categories. In percentage of income. 1941-42	48-50

		PAGE
9.	The burden of taxation on fully earned incomes. Family of four (husband, wife and two dependent children). In £ s. d. 1937-38,	
	1941-42	52-53
10.	The burden of taxation on fully earned incomes. Family of four. In percentage of income. 1937-38, 1941-42	5 ² ~53
11.	The burden of taxation on fully investment incomes. Family of	
	four. In percentage of income. 1937-38, 1941-42	54
12,	A. Estimated total burden of taxation. Fully earned and fully investment incomes. Family of four. In percentage of income.	
	1937–38, 1941–42	56
	B. Estimated net income after deduction of all taxes. Fully earned	
	and fully investment incomes. Family of four. In 1937-38,	
	1941-42	56
13.	The burden of taxation on partly earned and partly investment	
	incomes. Family of four. In percentage of income. 1937-38	57
14.	The increase in the burden of national taxation. A comparison with	
	previous enquiries, omitting certain taxes. Fully earned incomes.	
	Family of five. In percentage of income. 1903-04, 1913-14,	-0
	1918-19, 1923-24, 1925-26, 1930-31, 1937-38, 1941-42	58
15.	The increase in the unavoidable burden of national taxation. A comparison with previous enquiries, omitting certain taxes.	
	Fully earned incomes. Family of five. In percentage of income.	
	1903-04, 1913-14, 1918-19, 1923-24, 1925-26, 1930-31, 1934-35,	
	1937-38, 1941-42	59
16,	The burden of taxation on lower incomes. Fully earned incomes.	
	Family of four. In £ s. 1937-38, 1941-42	60
17.	The burden of taxation on middle incomes. Fully earned in-	
	comes. Family of four. In £ 5. 1937-38, 1941-42	61
18.	The burden of taxation on higher incomes. Fully investment in-	_
	comes. Family of four. In £ s. 1937-38, 1941-42	62
	II General Tables. Part II	
	(These tables refer to individual taxes)	
to	Effective rate of income tax and surtax for the two limiting cate-	
.9.	gories. (Single person with fully investment incomes and family	
	of five with fully earned incomes.) In s. d. 1937-38, 1941-42	74
20.	Post-war credits from income taxation. Single person and family	
	of four	75
21.	The net annual burden of death duties, assuming zero net saving	
	during each generation. Family of four. In £ s. and in percentage	
	of income. 1937-38, 1941-42	91
22,	The net annual burden of death duties, assuming maintenance of	
	maximum net income. Family of four. In £ s. and in percentage	
	of income. 1937–38, 1941–42	92

		PAGE
23.	The total burden of direct taxes on investment incomes. Family of four. In percentage of income. 1937-38, 1941-42	93
24.	The burden of death duties calculated by the insurance method. Family of four. In \pounds s. and in percentage of income. 1937-38,	
	1941-42	94
25.	The rates of death duties. 1937-38, 1941-42	96
26 .	Imputed incomes at different levels of statutory incomes. 1937-38	99
27.	The burden of taxation on imputed incomes. Fully investment incomes. 1937-38	100
28.	Consumption of and duty payable on tea for five family categories. 1937-38	110
29.	Consumption of and duty payable on tea for five family categories. 1941-42	111
30.	Consumption of and duty payable on sugar for five family categories. 1937-38	121
31.	Consumption of and duty payable on sugar for five family categories. 1941-42	122
32.	A. Estimated consumption of tea. A comparison with previous enquiries. Family of five. 1903-04, 1913-14, 1925-26, 1937-38,	
	1941-42 B. Estimated burden of tea duty. A comparison with previous enquiries. Family of five. 1903-04, 1913-14, 1925-26, 1937-38,	123
	1941-42	123
33.	A. Estimated consumption of sugar. A comparison with previous	
	enquiries. Family of five. 1903-04, 1925-26, 1937-38, 1941-42 B. Estimated burden of sugar duty. A comparison with previous	123
	enquiries. Family of five. 1903-04, 1925-26, 1937-38, 1941-42	123
34.	The consumption of tobacco in the United Kingdom. Clearances of raw tobacco. 1913, 1924-40	133
35.	The consumption of tobacco in the United Kingdom. Output of finished products retained in the United Kingdom. 1907, 1924,	
	1930, 1935	133
36.	The burden of tobacco duties on incomes. For two income groups.	
	Three categories of smokers. 1937-38	134
37-	The burden of tobacco duties on incomes. For two income groups. Three categories of smokers. 1941-42	135
38.	The burden of tobacco duties on incomes, assuming moderate smoking. (With allowance for the duty on matches.) 1937-38, 1941-42	136
20-	The burden of duties on alcoholic drinks. (On consumption of	
39,	husband and wife, with allowance for liquor licences.) 1937-38,	
	1941-42	158
40.	Consumption of beer, spirits and wine in the United Kingdom.	J -
	1900, 1910, 1914, 1920, 1925, 1929-20	150-60

	CONTENTS	ix
		PAGE
•	Expenditure on drink. The national drink bill. 1910, 1914, 1920, 1925-38	160
•	Possible levels of consumption of alcoholic drinks. Assumed consumption levels. $1937-38$	161
_	Possible levels of consumption of alcoholic drinks. Expenditure on drink. $1937-38$	162
14 .	Possible levels of consumption of alcoholic drinks. Duty paid on consumption. Lower income groups. 1937-38	162-63
1 5·	Possible levels of consumption of alcoholic drinks. Duty paid on consumption. Middle income groups. 1937-38	163
4 6.	Possible levels of consumption of alcoholic drinks. Duty paid on consumption. Higher income groups. 1937-38	164
1 7•	Possible levels of consumption of alcoholic drinks. 1. Assumed consumption levels. 2. Expenditure on alcoholic drinks. 1941-42	164-65
t 8.	Possible levels of consumption of alcoholic drinks. Duty paid on alcoholic drinks for three income categories. 1941-42	τ65-66
1 9.	The proportion of entertainments duty in tickets of admission. 1937-38, 1941-42	168
50,	The burden of the entertainments duty on incomes. 1937-38	170
	The burden of the entertainments duty on incomes. 1941-42	172
52.	The burden of motor taxation (licence and fuel duties) according to horse-power categories. $1937-38$	186
53.	The burden of motor taxation (licence and fuel duties) on different-sized cars. 1937-38	187
54.	Some cost elements of running a car in 1937-38	187
55.	Approximate burden of motor taxation on small, medium-sized and large cars. 1941-42	187
-	The burden of motor taxation (licence and fuel duties and drivers' licences) on incomes. 1937-38, 1941-42	188
	The burden of small indirect taxes (cocoa, coffee, etc.) on incomes. 1937-38	191
58.	The burden of small indirect taxes (cocoa, coffee, etc.) on incomes. A comparison with previous enquiries. 1903-04, 1913-14, 1918-19, 1923-24, 1925-26, 1937-38	192
59.	The burden of small indirect taxes, including wheat levy, coal levy, and duty on matches. 1937-38, 1941-42	195
	The burden of employees' social insurance contributions. 1937-38, 1941-42	197
	The percentage burden of social insurance contributions on weekly earnings. 1937-38, 1941-42	197
	The weekly rates of social insurance contributions. 1937-38, 1941-42	200
6ვ.	The burden of Post Office net revenue on incomes. 1903-04, 1913-14, 1918-19, 1937-38	205

CONTENTS

		PAGE
64.	The burden of Post Office net revenue on incomes. 1941-42	206
65.	Production and imports of certain foodstuffs in the United Kingdom. 1937-38	213
66.	The consumption of certain foodstuffs in the United Kingdom. 1937-38	213
67.	Estimated approximate burden of protective duties on foodstuffs. 1937-38	214
68.	Estimated approximate burden of protective duties on foodstuffs. 1941-42	· 214
69.	The burden of protective duties on consumption goods. 1937-38, 1941-42	215
70.	The total burden of the protective duties. 1937-38, 1941-42	215
	The burden on incomes of taxes falling on production in general.	
	1937-38, 1941-42	217
	The burden of the purchase tax. 1941-42	222
73∙	Estimated expenditure on commodities (now) subject to the purchase tax. 1937, 1941-42	222
74.	Estimated expenditure on commodities (now) subject to the purchase tax by an average family. 1937, 1941-42	223
75∙	The distribution of national expenditure in 1937 compared with the distribution of working-class expenditure	223
76.	Estimated expenditure by an average working-class family on commodities (now) subject to the purchase tax. 1937-38, 1941-42	224
77	An estimate of expenditure on clothing under the rationing scheme.	4
;	1941–42	224
78 .	The distribution of personal expenditure in 1937 and 1940	224
, .	and distribution of personal expenditure in 1937 and 1940	224

INTRODUCTION

The present enquiry has been undertaken at the request of the National Institute of Economic and Social Research and under the auspices of that body. Its object, expressed in simple terms, is to estimate how much was paid in taxation, in 1937-38 and in 1941-42, out of each of a range of different incomes. So far as income tax and surtax are concerned, such a calculation presents few difficulties. But for all other taxes, a number of complicated and difficult calculations and estimates are necessary, as the following pages will indicate. These difficulties presumably explain why the calculation has been so infrequently attempted. The pioneer estimate was that contained in the Presidential Address of Sir Herbert (now Lord) Samuel to the Royal Statistical Society in 1919; the most authoritative calculation is that contained in the Report of the Committee on National Debt and Taxation, usually known as the Colwyn Committee, in 1927. Since that date, great changes have taken place in the burden of taxation. In particular, the outbreak of war has entirely transformed the scale of taxation; a much larger fraction of the national income now passes through the Exchequer than ever before. To state that fraction accurately would involve a discussion of the basis of estimates of the national income. But without any pretence to precise accuracy, it can be said that, while in 1913-14 only some 6 to 8 per cent of the net national income was raised in national taxation, and in 1925-26 (the last year to which the Colwyn Committee's calculations refer) some 17 to 19 per cent, by 1941-42 the revenue of the Exchequer alone (that is, excluding not only local rates but also a number of compulsory levies which do not flow into the Exchequer) may well prove to have been about 30 per cent of the net national income. In these circumstances, the necessity for ascertaining the burden of taxation on different incomes, as a preliminary to forming a judgment on the equity of the existing system of taxes, is redoubled.

I Since the above was written, the appearance of the official estimates of the net national income (in the White Paper entitled 'An Analysis of the Sources of War Finance and an Estimate of the National Income and Expenditure, in 1938, 1940 and 1941') has revealed that the ordinary tax revenue of the Exchequer in 1941-42 amounted to 30.96 per cent of the net national income in the calendar year 1941. Government expenditure, expressed as a percentage of the national income, was much larger.

The primary object of this enquiry was, therefore, to bring up to date the estimates of previous enquiries. It has proved possible, however, in some particulars, to enlarge on the previous methods and to present a more comprehensive picture of the burden of taxation than has been done before. The picture is not yet, however, quite complete; it covers at least three-quarters of the levies that the community imposes on its members, but we have not been able to allocate the remainder to the incomes that bear it. The most important of the omissions, and the one that it should be possible to remedy with the greatest approach to accuracy, is the burden of local rates. But this awaits a considerable volume of preliminary research which we have not been able to undertake.

The assumptions on which the calculations have been based, and the limitations to which the results are subject, are fully set out in subsequent chapters. Two cautions should, however, be mentioned at the outset and borne in mind throughout the book. The first is that the estimates relate solely to the burden placed on the citizen by the finances of the State; they take no notice of the advantage he derives. Before any judgments in equity are entered, both sides must be considered.

The second caveat relates to the accuracy of the figures. The burden of income tax and surtax can be assessed with tolerable precision—though, even here, there are more qualifications than might appear at first sight. The burden on any particular income of every other tax can be assessed only with the aid of certain assumptions and approximations, and the results, accordingly, are subject to a greater or less margin of error. Although the results are printed with an air of precision it must be very clearly understood that all of them are approximate. They are not exact figures, but more or less close indications of the orders of magnitude involved.

The Report is divided into two parts. The first deals with the definitions and the main assumptions underlying the Report and summarizes the results obtained. The tables and charts at the end of Part I, on pp. 38-70, contain the detailed results. Part II consists of twelve chapters on the individual taxes. The methods of calculation are there set out in great detail.

Although we accept full responsibility for the results, it is only fair to state that we have received the greatest assistance and advice from various Government Departments, notably the Treasury, the Board of Inland Revenue, the Board of Customs and Excise, the Ministry of Labour and National Service, the Ministry of

Health, the Board of Trade, the General Post Office, the Ministry of War Transport, the Petroleum Department, the Ministry of Food and other Departments. We have had great assistance from Chambers of Commerce and from unofficial persons of all classes. Without this expert assistance, the results in this Report would have been incomplete.

There remains the duty of personal acknowledgments. Apart from the many eminent Civil Servants who are covered by the anonymity of their Service, we wish to place on record the debt of gratitude that is due to Mr Henry Clay, Chairman of the Council of the National Institute of Economic and Social Research, to Mr Geoffrey Crowther, Chairman of the Special Committee of the Institute appointed for this enquiry, to Professor J. R. Hicks and Mr Nicholas Kaldor, members of the Committee, and to Mrs F. S. Stone, Acting Secretary of the Institute. Thanks are also due to the officers of a number of Chambers of Commerce, Trade Associations and private firms, and to many non-official experts. Members of the Department of Economics, University College, Exeter, including Mr J. Sebag Montefiore, M.A., Miss Margaret Roger, B.Sc., and Miss V. A. Clark have, during the enquiry, served its interests with conspicuous efficiency and a zeal particularly their own.