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## NOTE BY THE DIRECTOR

The plans of the Division of Economics and History of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace have been transformed by the World War. Problems now calling for study transcend in importance those with which this Division has been dealing and material for research and record so far transcends any that was formerly available that it will demand almost exclusive attention for some years to come. A new world has evolved suddenly out of the world which we knew and the transformation extends to the foundations of government and of economic life.

The process of warfare itself is now so unlike that of former days that many military rules of the past have gone into the scrap basket. The late war ended when its deadliest tools had barely been brought into action. The peoples have fought as they had worked, by machinery, mechanical and chemical engines of destruction have decided the result and will decide in like manner the result of all wars of the future. Machine shops and chemical laboratories will so largely determine what armies shall win that fighting strength will be as much a matter of available capital and of science in applying it as of numbers of troops and strategy in directing them. It is safe to say that the death dealing arts and instruments will far surpass in destructiveness those which made the late war so deadly, and to a soldier of the future the order to march into a cloud of poisonous gas and a whirlwind of missiles will resemble an order to plunge into the rapids of Niagara. This is one central and obvious fact which the war has taught us and it has many corollaries, some of which have to do with the increased costs of war and the importance of the particular resources that make a nation powerful for offense and defense; but there are less conspicuous economic facts which are more fundamental, since they may determine where and when, if at all, wars shall hereafter occur.

Causes of warfare are always partly economic and those which incited the recent one were mainly so. The business plans of a powerful state reached to the ends of the earth
and so crossed and interlaced the claims of other states that some writers, then and afterwards, pronounced the war inevitable. If we assume a settled purpose on the part of such a state to encroach on the rights of others, we may say that it doubtless was inevitable. The victory of the defending countries has saved them from an immediate and intolerable domination, but it can not be taken as an assured fact that similar attempts will never again be made. The economic inducement continues and the means may at some time be forthcoming.

Within the several states war has democratized industry, giving to labor an increase of control-a change that if continued will entail momentous consequences; but still greater effects have been produced on the relations of states to each other. The world as a whole has changed more than its component parts and the new relation of the parts to one another is the critical element in the situation. The great increase in the economic functions of governments is one cause of this condition. Within the great international community in which the several states are units extensive economic functions have gravitated into the hands of governments and caused them to face each other as business rivals and to deal with each other in a multitude of ways in which the merely self-seeking policy of private business is intolerable. Power to invoke principles of justice and international law as interpreted by a competent court has become an indispensable means of allaying strife and this fact exalts to supreme importance the high court of nations which has just been established. It magnifies also the importance of the economic facts and principles with which the law itself will have to deal. It is not merely individual men or private corporations who now meet each other in the rough and tumble of a world-wide mart but states themselves, each representing its own population and seeking to foster its interests as a zealous and faithful agent. The chances of friction that are inherent in ordinary commerce inhere today in vast international transactions, and will increase in the measure in which the intercourse grows. All this means a
great increase in incentives to warfare, on the one hand, and in the motives for preventing it, on the other. Private commerce unites more than it separates those who participate in it, and it remains to be seen whether international commerce will act in the same way; but, in view of what modern war means, the human race will deserve to perish, and much of it will probably do so, if the forces of strife are allowed to get the upper hand. Whether they will or not-whether the recent economic changes will tend to reduce warfare or to increase it-depends on the ability of nations to create and maintain the instrumentalities that in the new state of the world are necessary.

Certain it is that the feeling which prevails today, the world over, is not one of security. The dread of further war is greater than it was before 1914. In some areas war still prevails, in others peace is held by a precarious tenure and in all it can be firmly established only by conscious and intelligent action by the states themselves. Mere exhaustion holds war dogs temporarily in leash, but it will take more than that to tame them as they must be tamed if peace is to endure.

We here confront a wide difference between the several states in comparative desire for peace and disposition to maintain it. One portentous fact is the grim determination of Russian communists to extend their system by crude force from state to state. Bolshevism is government by the few and largely the bad masquerading as government for and by the people. In its mother country, Russia, the economic measure by which it began its career was confiscation of private wealth-in itself an ultra-democratic measure. If this had brought in a true communism, it would have been a ruthless and unjust measure for creating a peace-loving state. A just and orderly democratizing of industry in the several states would give new strength to the forces of peace, and it would be highly improbable that any state so influenced would try to extend its system over foreign countries by military invasion. Democracy, socialism, communism and bolshevism all appear in the aftermath of the war. The first of
them makes for future peace and so does even the conservative element in the second, while all else in the series means certainty of civil strife and danger of international war.

The fact that during the war governments had to take on innumerable functions that were formerly in private hands has lent an impetus to socialism and to the perverted growths that have accompanied it, and it has created a new international system the meaning of which is profoundly significant, though he who runs can not so easily read it. There are dangerous features in the system which the war evoked and, happily for mankind, there are available safeguards which were evoked with them and need to be retained if human effort can do it.

By a compulsion that there was no resisting, the war forced the nations of the Entente into economic cooperation with each other. Commissions centering finally in the Supreme Economic Council adjusted in a harmonious way questions that would otherwise have led to rivalry and conflicting action in purchasing war materials, securing ships, apportioning food, controlling railroads, financing the war and doing a multitude of other things with the one common purpose of victory. The special compulsion of the struggle is over, but it has left an aftermath of issues grave enough to make peace insecure unless something equivalent to the Supreme Economic Council survives in full efficiency. The agency that did so much to win the war can do so much to prevent another one, but to that end it will have to be guided by economic principles and it is a saving fact that these still survive. The war has not abolished the law of demand and supply, though governments may forget it. In the coming era they must build better than they now know. Economic knowledge must either go in advance of action and prevent disaster or follow action and be learned from disaster. Beyond computation is the importance of attaining the knowledge and using it when evil impends and prevention is possible.

John Bates Clark,

[^0]Director.

## PREFACE

For the actual compilation of this treatise we are indebted to Mr. Tamizô Kushida, a well-known student of economic subjects. The task involved a vast amount of investigation, facilitated in the main by the works of reference cited in the accompanying bibliography, and frequently complemented by material specially prepared and made accessible by the Finance Department.

The principal theme of the present work relates to the history of the Japanese war taxes. However, the work contains also an exposition of statistical analyses and their economic effects. The importance of affording European and American readers a brief knowledge of the history of Japanese taxation in general led the author to a continuous description of the facts and statistics relating thereto during those eventful years between 1868 and 1913, thus making the connection as clear as possible In dealing with these facts and figures the writer must have met with serious difficulty, the distinction between war taxes and non-war taxes being very frequently vague. Similar difficulty presented itself' in distinguishing the facts which stand in close touch with war taxes from those independent thereof.

The writer's endeavors resulted in bringing to light the following facts: Japanese war imposts have shown heavy increases from one period to another; until at present their proceeds represent nearly 65 per cent of the total revenue from taxation; before the war with China direct taxes had prevailed, whereas since that war indirect taxation has much increased, and at the present moment we see that direct taxes constitute 34 per cent and indirect taxes the remaining 66 per cent; as regards the distribution of public burden and the ensuing diversity in the enjoyment of political rights, we notice that in the beginning such direct taxes as those on land were dominant, and naturally the superior strength of the landowners and peasantry had to be reckoned with, but when subsequently such direct levies as those on trade and industry (the business tax, etc.) were introduced, the capital-owning
classes, commercial and industrial, began to hold up their heads beside the peasantry, and thenceforth these opposing classes have contended for economic supremacy. Increased imposts thereafter consisted in greater part of indirect taxes, which are partial to the direct interests of neither of the contending rivals. As the Japanese laborers are not yet organized into a social or political class, their burdens have consequently increased in rapid succession. Lastly, the author depicts the noteworthy fact that, economically speaking, national production has not been affected by the war taxation to any extraordinary extent, though in point of distribution the livelihood of smaller wage earners, especially the peasantry and laborers, has been appreciably impaired, for they bear a far heavier burden than is suited to them.

The characteristic feature of the present work lies in the social-political point of view, to which the author devoted his best efforts in the consideration of the consequences of war taxation, as well as in the collection of minute and highly instructive statistics regarding the conditions of income and livelihood of each specific social class.

The author's patient scholarship and great diligence seem to me to be deserving of the highest praise.

Ushisaburo Kobayashi.
Tokyo, Japan, April, igıб.
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## EXPLANATORY TABLES <br> Value of Japanese Currencies

Japan adopted the gold standard system in 1871, but the inconvertible paper money became principal currency a few years later. In 1886 the paper money became convertible into silver and after that date the Japanese currency system was the silver standard de facto, until on October 1, 1897, the gold standard system was legally adopted. The figures necessary to ascertain the value of Japanese currencies are given below:
(I) 1 gold yen (according to Coinage Law of 1871 ) contains 1.5 gramme pure gold.
(2) I gold yen (according to Coinage Law of 1897) contains 0.75 gramme pure gold.
(3) I silver yen contains 24.261 gramme pure silver.
(4) The value of $I$ silver yen in the English currency (according to the demand rate of exchange on London in the average of the year) is as follows:

| 187 | s. d. | 1882. | s. d. $3.08 .8$ | 1890. | s. d. $3.04 .5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 | 4.00 .8 | 1883. | 3.07 .9 | 1891. | 2.02 .6 |
| 1876. | 3.11 .2 | 1884 | 3.07 .9 | 1892. | 2.10 .5 |
| 1877. | 3.11 .7 | 1885. | 3.06 .0 | 1893. | 2.06.7 |
| 1878. | 3.09 .4 | 1886. | 3.03 .2 | 1894. | .0x. 2 |
| 1879 | 3.08 .0 | 1887. | 3.02 .0 | 1895. | 2.01 .3 |
| 1880 | 3.08 .9 | 1888. | 3.01 .0 | 1896. | 2.02 .0 |
| 1881. | 3.08 .4 | 1889. | 3.01 .1 | 1897. | 2.00 .4 |

(5) The value of 1 yen of paper money in the English currency (calculated on the basis of the above mentioned exchange rate and the quotations of the silver price in the Tokyo Exchange in the average of the year) is as follows:

(6) 1 ryob (unit of value of the old currency system) was declared in the Coinage Law of 1871 to be equal to 1 yen.

## Chronological Table

| Meiji | ISt |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 3 d |
| 4 | 4th |
| 4 | 5 th |
| * | 6th |
| 4 | 7th |
| ${ }^{*}$ | 8 th |
| * | 9th |
| ${ }^{4}$ | 10th |
| 4 | 11th |
| ${ }^{4}$ | 12th |
| ${ }^{4}$ | $13^{\text {th }}$ |
| ${ }^{6}$ | 14th |


| 1868 | A. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1869 |  |
| 1870 | $u$ |
| 1871 | $u$ |
| 1872 | $u$ |
| 1873 | $u$ |
| 1874 | $u$ |
| 1875 | $u$ |
| 1876 | $u$ |
| 1877 | $u$ |
| 1878 | $u$ |
| 1879 | $u$ |
| 1880 | $u$ |
| 1881 | $u$ |


| Meiji 15th | 1882 | D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " 16th | 1883 |  |
| * 17th | 1884 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| * 18th | 1885 | * |
| " 19th | 1886 | * |
| 20th | 1887 | 4 |
| " 21st | 1888 | " |
| 4 22d | 1889 | 4 |
| 4 23d | 1890 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 4 24th | 1891 | " |
| c 25th | 1892 | * |
| 4 26th | 1893 | 4 |
| " 27th | 1894 | * |
| * 28th | 1895 | * |

## Ceronological Table-(Continued)

| Meiji 29th | ${ }_{1897}^{1896} A$ |  | $\underset{42 d i}{\text { Meiji }}$ | 1908 A. D. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * 30th |  |  | 1909 |  |
| " 31st | 1898 | 4 |  | * 43d | 1910 | * |
| * 32d | 1899 | 4 | " 44th | 1911 |  |
| " 33d | 1900 | ${ }^{6}$ | " 45th |  | 4 |
| 4 34th | 1901 | " | Taisho 1st $\}$ | 1912 |  |
| * 35th | 1902 | * | 4 2d | 1913 | 4 |
| 36 th | 1903 | 4 | * 3d | 1914 | " |
| * 37th | 1904 | 4 | 4 4 th | 1915 | 4 |
| 4 38th | 1905 | * | 45 5th | 1916 | 4 |
| 39th | 1906 | " | * 6th | $\pm 917$ | 4 |
| 4 40th | 1907 | * |  |  |  |

Weights, Measures and Money
With English, American, French and German Equivalents
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## APPENDIX A

## STATISTICAL TABLES

No. I (a).-Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted wita Total Revienur from Taxation, 1867-1877"

| Items | First Period (Dec., ${ }^{18677}$ 1868) | Second (Jan., 1869 Sept., 1869) | Third Period (Sept., 18691870) | Fourth Period (Oct. ${ }^{1870}$ Sept. 1871) | Fifth Period (Oct., 1871 Dec.: 1872) | Sixth <br> Period (Jan., ${ }^{1873}$ Dec.: 1873) | Seventh Period (Jan., 1874- Dec. 2874) | Eighth <br> Period <br> (Jan** <br> 5875- <br> 1875) | 1875-6 | 1876-7 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land ta | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} Y_{e r} \\ 2,009,014 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Yen } \\ 3,355,964 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} Y \in n \\ 8,2 \times 8,969 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} Y e n \\ I \mathrm{~T}, 340,984 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{Y e n} \\ 20,051,917 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{Y} \ell \pi \\ 60,604,342 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Yen } \\ 59,412,429 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Yen } \\ 67,717,947 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\underset{\mid}{Y \in m} \mid$ | $\begin{gathered} Y_{6 \pi} \\ 326,080,220 \end{gathered}$ |
| Sundry taxes on open towns and ports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anchorages. | 201,734 |  |  |  |  | 8.944 | 677,344 | 45.535 74.925 | 28,774 28,022 |  | 789.531 |
| Toll on servitude of rivers | 919 |  | 101,988 | 53,866 | 92,194 | 134,304 | 145,613 | 312.477 |  |  | 841,361 |
| Tax on pilkworme and raw silk.. Stamp duties on raw silk and co- | .... | .... | 95,223 | 39.522 | $\times 03.279$ | $325.44{ }^{\circ}$ | 230,700 | 236,227 | 110,245 | 121,224 | 1.251,860 |
| coons............. |  |  |  |  |  | 35.176 | 40.77x | 17,129 | 27,19 | 35,457 | 151.724 |
| Royalites and migcelianeous taxes | 324,777 | 446,531 | 103,684 | 213,376 | 188,544 | 422,726 | 1,204, 775 | $\boldsymbol{x}, 450,288$ |  |  | 4,354,101 |
| Tributes from Ryukyu. . | .... | … | …". | ….. | .... | 43.584 | 31,908 39.581 | 61,745 64,164 | 48,190 | 76,885 | 212,372 293,247 |
| Tax on hereditary stipends | $\ldots$ | "... | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  | 2,949,839 | 2,075,118 | 2,130,187 | 7,155,144 |
| Mining tax. . . . | .... |  | ..... | .... |  |  |  | 44,492 | 7.431 | 8,903 | 60,826 |
| Sake tax.. |  |  |  |  | 16,208 | 961,031 | 1,683.530 | x,310,38I | 2,555.595 | 1,911,039 | 8,438,384 |
| Tax on marine products of Hok- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 384,584 | 727,110 |
| Postage |  |  | $\ldots$ |  | 17,960 | 88,887 | ${ }^{188.075}$ | 599,97x | 583,267 | 689,229 | 2,167.385 |
| Stamp duty on instruments,... |  |  |  |  |  | 319,302 | 291,879 | 395.316 | 498,228 | 434,155 | 1,938,880 |
| Proceeds from litigation papers. |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | 63.463 | 80,174 | 143.637 |
| Copyright license fees. . . . | $\cdots$ |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | 5.198 | 2,439 | 7.057 |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\text {assport }}$ fees. | .... | $\ldots$ | $\because .$. |  |  |  |  |  | , 77 | ${ }_{5}^{4,741}$ | 8.515 |
| Shooting tax... |  |  |  |  |  | 7,841 | 45,992 | 36,409 | 46,92 | 46,63t | 183.794 |
| License tax on ox and horse trading. |  |  |  |  |  | 64,488 | 70,345 | 6,225 | 90,833 | 60,899 | 292,790 |
| Tax on servants, carriage horses, palanquint and pleasure boats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142,188 |
| Shipmoney |  |  |  |  | 7.803 | 83.123 | 125.677 | 12,336 | 12,336 | 128.515 | 360.790 |
| Tax on vehiclee. |  |  |  |  |  |  | .... | .... | 213.193 | 234.002 | 448,095 |
| Tax on weights and measur |  |  |  |  |  | -... |  |  | 2,020 | 2,720 | 4,740 |
| Tax on tobacco.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 206,748 | 28,455 $\mathbf{2 4 4 , 1 4 0}$ | 28,455 450.897 |
| Tax on pressed oil |  |  |  |  | 1,994 | 73.242 | 66.134 | 6,297 |  |  | 147,667 |
| Customs duties. | 720,867 | 502,817 | 648,453 | 1,071,63x | $x, 331,560$ | 1,685.975 | x,498,258 | x.038,204 | 1,718.733 | x,988,668 | 12,205,066 |
| rovincial asses. | 73,37 | 79,137 | 378,093 | 1,461,064 | 693,815 | 108,87x |  |  |  |  | 2,794,357 |
| To | 3,230,688 | 4.478,453 | 9,702,057 | 14,313,098 | 22,538,918 | 65.123 .564 | 65.299,170 | 76,432,382 | 59,079,004 | 51,676,363 | 371.373,607 |
| Military taxen (armamenta) | 73,377 | 79.137 | 378,093 | x.461,064 | 693,815 | 108.87I |  | 2,949,839 | 2,075, $1 \times 8$ | 2, 130, 287 | 9.949.30x |
| Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation, excludIng aeventh period | $\begin{gathered} P_{\text {er cemt }} \\ \hline .3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Por cent } \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | Par cemt 3.9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ \mathbf{1 0 . 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cems } \\ 3 . I \end{gathered}$ | Par cemt | Per cent | $\begin{gathered} P a r \text { cemp } \\ 3.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Par cent } \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline P_{4 . \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{comb} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P s c_{1} \operatorname{cont} \\ 3.3 \end{gathered}$ |

[^1]No. I (b)--Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted with Total Revenue from Taxation, 8877-1894

| Items | 1877-8 | 1878-9 | 1879-80 | 1880-1 | 1881-2 | 1882-3 | 1883-4 | 1884-5 | 1885-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land tax. | $\underset{\substack{Y_{e n} \\ 39,450,551}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{Y 0,454,714}}{\text { Yen }}$ | $\underset{42,112,648}{Y_{e n}}$ | $\underset{42,346,781}{\text { Yen }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Yen } \\ \text { Yen, } \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\underset{43,342,188}{\text { Yen }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Xen } \\ 43,53,649}}{ }$ | $\underset{43,425,996}{\text { Yen }}$ | $\underset{\substack{Y_{e n} \\ \hline 3.033,679}}{ }$ |
| Income tax. ........ |  |  |  |  |  | .... | " ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | . . . | .... |
| eggs......................... | 179,678 |  | ... | .... |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tributes from | 42,815 | 51,394 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... |  |  |  |
| Kanrokteex. | 70,596 9,339 | 78,085 10,610 | 40,073 | 12,990 | 26,631 | 18,806 | 14,816 | 13.50x | 18,346 |
| Tax on national |  | 186.308 | 237.331 | 240,720 | 240,778 | \% 2389868 | $\begin{array}{r}229,083 \\ \hline 100.730\end{array}$ | [ $\begin{array}{r}23,850 \\ \hline 1.068,132\end{array}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}110,935 \\ \mathbf{r o , 5 3 0 , 4 6 5}\end{array}$ |
| Sake tax. ....... | 3,050,318 | 5,200,063 | 6,463,894 | 5,520,335 | 10,646,163 | 16,329,624 | 13,490,730 | 14,068,132 | 10,530,46S |
| Prefecture. . . . . | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business tax on barm. |  |  |  | 66,550 | 56,796 | 47,200 | 32,100 | 28,510 | 27,410 $\mathbf{6 4 0 , 1 7 9}$ |
| Soy tax.................. |  |  | . | . . . |  | .... |  | .... |  |
| Hokkaido. | 361,12x | 509,595 | $813.4 \times 6$ | 899,087 | 817.837 | 864.712 | 559,195 | 500,443 | 554,778 |
| Stamp duty on instruments.: . | 505,625 | 588,094 | 695,588 | - 869,260 | 885.836 | - 878.794 | 671,180 | 678,991 | 435.805 |
| Proceeds from litigation papers. | 76,488 | 78.855 | 89,330 | 93,441 | 116,507 | 166,916 | 304,457 | 399,977 | 273,896 |
| Copyright license fees. . . ...... | 3.378 | 3.830 | 3,697 | 3.311 | 3,703 | 4.376 | 4,379 | 4,7171 4.170 | 2,987 6,975 |
| Trade-mark registration fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,820 |
| Attorneys' license fees. | 7.400 | 5,440 | 10,460 | 8,510 | 10,120 | 10,270 | 10,300 | 10.600 | 7,630 |
| Passport fees... | 4,818 | 3.345 | 9,955 63080 | 7,795 | 7.937 00.314 | 4,045 85.892 | 23,060 68,851 | 28,797 56,215 | 19,315 50,018 |
| Shooting-license fees........... | 42,405 | 48,538 | 63.980 | 79,259 | 90,314 | 85.892 | 08,8,5I | 56,215 |  |
| License tax on ax and horse trading. | 62,339 | 68,209 | 75,829 | 84,202 | 88,437 | 87,720 | 80.285 | 73,490 | 66.130 |
| Shipmoney | 133.119 | r94,738 | $\begin{array}{r}133.589 \\ \mathbf{3 3 5} \\ \hline 180\end{array}$ | 134,658 | 835,389 | 133.418 45369 | 218,040 462,088 | 230,435 478,512 | 238,334 484,020 |
| Tax on vehicles. | 261,859 | 289,134 | 335.940 | 379,486 | 428,211 3,299 | 453,869 | 462,088 1,665 | 476, r ,663 | ${ }_{869}$ |
| Tax on weights and measures. | 1,077 809,856 | 2,801 948,900 | 3,295 $\mathrm{r}, 167,953$ | 3,719 $1,423.728$ | 1,650,680 | 1,612,985 | 2,973,464 | 2,418,701 | $x, 509.255$ |
| Taz on Rice Exchange | 80.90 | 201,831 | - 241.762 | 1,423,170 | 210,262 | 95,966 | 13.270 | 15.472 | 69,786 |
| Tax on brokers in Rice Exchange |  |  |  |  |  | 36,497 46,701 | 259.74 x $\mathbf{4 . 3 6 0}$ | $327,81 \mathrm{ra}$ 3.672 | 156,797 10,092 |
| Tax on Stock Exchange....... |  | 7,306 | 15,139 | 35.388 | 45.184 | 46,701 | 4.360 | 3.672 |  |
| Tax on brakers in stock Exm change. |  |  |  |  |  | 16,943 | 68,778 | 66.462 | 7,028 |
| Tax on German Silver Exchange | $\ldots$ | 4,373 | 14,378 | .... | ..... | 89,306 | 89,628 | 83,244 | 74.862 |
| Business tax on patent medicines | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | . | $\ldots$ | 89.306 | 89,626 | 83,244 |  |
| Stamp duty on patent medicines. |  |  | .... |  |  | 275.637 | 405.8 r 3 | 280,345 | 207,266 |
| Fee on patent-medicine licenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business tax on tobacco.... | 181,978 45.103 | 200,392 74,140 | 214,124 55.451 | 225,816 67,065 | 225.087 $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 4 5}$ | 231,037 49,813 | $\mathbf{x , 7 8 9 , 2 5 4}$ | 973.189 | 636,988 |
| Stamp duty on tobacco...... |  | 74,140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Old taxes collected. | 1,397 | 11,304 | 2,763 | 900 | $548$ | 4.428 | x,295 | 7.629 | $\begin{array}{r} 637 \\ 437.893 \end{array}$ |
| Customs duties. .. | 2,358,654 | 2,35x,635 | 2,69t,205 | 2,634,177 | 2,569,666 | 2,613.291 | 2,68t,32x | 2,750,165 | 2,085,250 |
| Total revenuefrom taxation | 47.660.748 | 51,472,834 | 55.499.846 | 55,184.748 | 6x,593.552 | 67,745,732 | 67,659,762 | 67,275,809 | 62,058,542 |
| Military taxes ${ }_{\text {Tax }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3.000,000 |
| Soy tax............ |  |  | . $\cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  | 670,149 |
| Confectionery tax... |  |  | , |  |  | .... | .... |  | 437,9,3 |
| Total. . |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 4,078,072 |
| Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation, 1883ven. | . |  | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 4.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P_{\text {er }} \text { cent } \\ \hline .5 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent $7.8$ |

No. I (b).-Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted with Total Revenue from Taxation, 1877-1894-Continued

| Items | 1886-7 | 1887-8 | 1888-9 | 1889-90 | 1890-x | 1890-2 | +1898-3 | 1893-4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land tax | $\underset{43,282,477}{\text { Yen }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yen } \\ 42,152,172 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\boldsymbol{Y}_{\ell \in}, 650,528}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{gathered} Y \mathrm{en} \\ 40,084,468 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} Y \text { Yen } \\ 37.457 .500 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{37,925,243}{Y_{\text {en }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} Y e n \\ \mathbf{3 8}, 808.680 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { 697, Soo,034 }}{\text { Yen }}$ |
| Income tax... |  | 527,724 | 1,066,895 | 1,052,982 | 1,092,378 | 1,110,554 | 1,132,259 | x,238,763 | 7,221,555 |
| eggs. |  |  | ... |  |  | ... | $\ldots$ |  | 179,618 |
| Tributes from Ryukyu. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | - | 94,209 |
| Kanrokuzei. |  | $\cdots$ | .... |  | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | 787,935 |
|  |  | 2218 | $\because 3 \mathrm{~T}, 850$ | 95.579 221.850 | 221850 | 212850 | 134.033 32.850 | 1788,869 | 545,593 |
| Sake tax. . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1x,743,778 | 13,069,808 | 17,063,801 | 16,439,720 | 221,850 $13,912,126$ | 14,686,920 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 221,850 } \\ \hline 15.812,888\end{array}$ | 1820,730 $16,637,436$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,282,543 \\ 204,566,201 \end{array}$ |
| Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture. . . . . |  |  | 2,110 |  | 14.900 |  |  |  |  |
| Business tax on barm. | 25,360 | 26,122 | 27,23 | 28,322 | 30,210 | 31,698 | 32,648 | 31,860 | 73.131 492,020 |
| Soy tax. . . . . . . . . | 1,188,413 | 1,252,721 | 1,359,986 | 1,288,078 | x,210,575 | 1,254,106 | 1,278,015 | 1,332,793 | 10,804,862 |
| Tax on marine products of Hokkaido. . . . . . . . . . . ..... | 611,400 | 20,2 | 218,775 | 215,201 | 223.406 | 2 r 9.086 | 303.656 | 303.769 | 8,196,650 |
| Stamp duty on instruments. | 587,625 | 564,306 | 13,411 | 18,161 | 23,321 | 25,652 | 25.669 | 38,951 | 7,500,259 |
| Proceeds from litigation papers. | 334,969 | 313.929 | 320,257 |  |  | .... | .... |  | 2,569,010 |
| Copyright license fees. |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | .... | $\cdots$ |  |  | 34,372 |
| Trade-mark registration | .... | .... |  | ... |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 1x,145 |
| Fee on monopolies. . |  |  |  | ... |  |  |  |  | 2,820 |
| Attorneys' license fees. | .... |  |  | ... | .... | $\cdots$ |  | ... | 80,730 |
| Passport fees......... | 48,459 | 57.55. | 72,480 | 91,942 | 96,573 | 105,990 | 19,172 |  | 109.067 1. 073.639 |
| License tax on ox and horsetrading. | 64.969 | 69.370 | 72,18 | 74,0 | 73.738 |  | 73,408 | 75.432 | 1, 261,847 |
| Shipmoney | 350,469 | 259,945 | 270,468 | 280,330 | 282,530 | 378,373 | 276 ,915 | 275.701 | 3,826,35x |
| Tax on vehicles | 531,103 | 577,390 | 611.366 | 648.006 | 664,229 | 682,812 | 729.634 | 773.948 | 8,791,706 |
| Tax on weights and measures... | 2,002 | 2,476 | 2,583 | 2,483 | 2,564 | 2.165 | 3,041 | .... | 48,031 |
| Postage on Rice Exchange. | 180,765 | 93,005 | $\ddot{87,077}$ | 140,357 | 231,850 | - 888.751 | 166,197 | 92,986 | $13,014,535$ $2.076,467$ |
| Tax on brokers in Rice Exchange |  |  | -,07\% | -40,3n7 | 2,1,850 |  | 6,198 | 92,986 | 2, 780,846 |
| Tax on Stock Exchange....... | 88,346 | 97.757 | 85,971 | 79,074 | 46,467 | 41,604 | 57,615 | 100,1II | 760,787 |
| Tax on brokers in Stock Ex- |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | 159,211 |
| Tax on German Silver Exchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.751 |
| Business tax on patent medicines | 73,428 | 71,952 | 73,256 | 77.319 | 81,056 | 83,999 | 88,801 | 94,074 | 980,925 |
| Stamp duty on patent medicines. | 363,061 | 350,635 | 377,151 | 406,411 | 416,430 | 432,400 | 500,415 | 542,359 | 4,557,923 |
| Fee on patent-medicine licenses | 2,168 | 1,447 | 1,306 | 1.425 | 1,491 | 1.54I |  |  | 9,378 |
| Business tax on tobacco . | 250,672 | 270,838 | 280.538 | 308,036 | 314,420 | 317,225 | 327,665 | 356,225 | 4.658,834 |
| Stamp duty on tobacceo. | 979,844 | x,313,766 | 1,619,288 | 1,666,782 |  | 1,474.989 | 1,833,984 | 2,284,125 | 16.407.758 |
| Tax on licenses. | 5.397 | 6,148 | 7.516 | 6,722 | $6,28 x .$ | 5.923 | .... | .... | 37,887 |
| Old taxes collected. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}41,941 \\ \hline \text { 511537 }\end{array}$ |
| Confectionery tax. . Customs duties. | $\begin{array}{r} 544,001 \\ \mathbf{2 , 9 8 9 , 6 8 6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 595.738 \\ 4, \times 35.652 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,8,323 \\ 4,615,494 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 647,033 \\ 4,728,023 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 662,647 \\ 4,392,566 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 614,192 \\ 4,539,687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 587,007 \\ 4,991,524 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 593,809 \\ 5,125,372 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.311 .537 \\ 58.243 .368 \end{array}$ |
| Total revenuefrom taxation | 64,471,436 | 66,256,346 | 63,755,663 | 70,690,296 | 65,569,408 | 63,860,909 | 66,534,009 | 69,123,827 | 1,066,413,466 |
| tary taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax on sake m | 3,000,000 | 3.000, | 3,000 | 3.000,0 | 3.000, | 3,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 33,000,000 |
| Soy ta | 1.188,413 | 1,252,721 | $\begin{array}{r}3.359,986 \\ \hline 6.38 .323\end{array}$ | 1,288,078 | 1,210,575 $\mathbf{6 6 2 , 6 4 7}$ | 1,254,106 | $1,278,011$ 587,001 | $1,332,793$ 593,809 | 10,804,862 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 4,733.314 | 4,848,459 | 4,988,309 | 4,935.711 | 4,873,222 | 4,868,298 | 4,865.012 | 4,926,602 | 49.116.399 |
| Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation, 18831894. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 7.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 7.3 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent $7.7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 7.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 7.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{7.0}{\text { Per cemt }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 6.8 \end{gathered}$ |

No. I (c).-Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted with Total Revenue from Taxation, 1894-1904

| Items | 1894-5 | 2895-6 | 1896-7 | 1897-8 | 1898-9 | 1899-1900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen |
| Land tax. | 39,297,495 | 8,592,868 | 37,640,283 | 37,964,727 | 38,440,976 | 44,861, 888 |
| Businese tax | 1,353, | 1,497,095 | 1,810,212 | 4,416,249 | -5,478,020 | 5,507,147 |
| Mining tax. | 241,418 | 247,061 | 335,502 | $421,38 \mathrm{r}$ | 567,992 | 605,948 |
| Tax on national banks | 220,730 | 220,730 | 216,6a8 | 109.53x | 2 x .616 | 92 |
| Tax on convertible bank not | 6,966 | 408.662 | 926,240 | 560,975 | 1,255.610 | 846.582 |
| Tax on excess note issue. | 6,966 | 408,662 | 926,240 | 560.975 | 1,255,610 | 176,43I |
| Sake tax. .................. | 16,130,47t | x7,748,735 | 19.476.512 | 31,205,172 | 32,959,857 | 48,918,423 |
| Export duty on sake for Okinav | 25,186 | 19,848 | 35.190 | 56,83I | 72,616 | 81,572 |
| Business tax on spirits. | 7,080 | 10,034 | 9,646 | 15,331 | 19.720 | 9,343 |
| Business tax on barm | 32,110 | 30,686 |  |  |  |  |
| Soy tax........ | r,382,879 | x,463,073 | 1.534,022 | 1,532,101 | 1,535,543 | 2,453.392 |
| Tax on marine products of Hokic | 301.025 | 301,344 | 301,532 | 359,289 | 353,188 | 357,611 |
| Stamp duty on instruments. . . | 46,667 | 63.323 | 95.103 | 124,540 | 154.366 | 60,745 |
| License tax on ox and horae trading | 75,432 | 79.537 | 41,369 |  |  |  |
| Ship money, | 2811,525 815032 | 20t,350 | ${ }^{152,087}$ | 9 | 10 |  |
| Tax on vehic | 811.032 | 86r,951 | 469.419 |  |  |  |
| Bourse tax. | 587,691. | 780.31 I | 1,001,173 | 1,106,208 | 856,036 | 5,177,040 |
| Tax on patent medicines | 670,133 | 777,613 | 881.604 | 982,381 | x,061,438 | 113.525 |
| Tax on tobacco. | 2,680,468 | 2,740,774 | 2,977,630 | 4,935,173 | 2,120,555 | .... |
| Confectionery tax. | 637,636 | 689,133 | 546,136 | 19.788 | 145 | 678 |
| Sugar excise. . ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  |  |  | 19,780 | 445 |  |
| Tonnage dues. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Custome duties. | 5,755.456 | 6.785 .640 | 6.728,323 | 8,020.513 | 9,092,592 | 15,936,890 |
| Total. | 70.546,774 | 74,018,430 | 76,104,911 | 94,386,266 | 97,597,310 | 126,184,044 |
| Stamp receipts. . ...... |  |  | 5,377,049 | 5,970,689 | 6,163,017 | 11,942,825 |
| Leaf-tobacco monopoly profits. | .... |  |  | 292,142 | 5,145.999 | 7.559,534 |
| Total revenue from taxation. | 70,546,774 | 74,018,430 | 81,481,960 | 100,649,097 | 108,906,320 | 145,686,403 |

No. I (c)-Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted wite Total Revenue from Taxation, 1894-1904-Continued


No. I (d).-Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted with Total Revenue prom Taxation, 1903-1913*

| Items | 1903-4 | 1904-5 | 1905-6 | 1906-7 | 1907-8b | 1908-9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land tax. | Yen ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen |
| Income tax | 46,873,086 | 60,939,781 | 80,473,022 | 84,037,498 | 84,973,927 | 85,418,399 |
| Business tax | 7,049,251 | ${ }_{12,601,542}$ | ${ }_{18,784,656}$ | 19,770,159 | 27,291875 $20,383,940$ | 23,574,427 |
| Mining tax. | 80x,983 | 998,593 | 1,692,108 | 1,928,152 | 2,173,555 | 1,998,143 |
| Tax on convertible bank notes. | 823,603 | 1,906,234 | 2,472,089 | 1,692,285 | 3,329,916 | 1,775,465 |
| Sake tax.......................... | 52,821,748 | 58,286,011 | 59,099,293 | 71,100,004 | 78,406,323 | 83,590,684 |
| Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture | $\begin{array}{r}32,306,336 \\ \hline 2,50,32\end{array}$ | ${ }_{4}^{465,591}$ | 476,849 $4.408,468$ | 471,979 4.76600 | $513,101$ | 185,522 |
| Soy tax. Bourse tax |  | $4.244,239$ 880,777 | 4,408,468 $\mathbf{2 , 2 4 1 , 0 9 8}$ | $4,766,900$ $4,679,835$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 7 1 , 6 9 1}$ $\mathbf{3 , 1 5 4 , 9 2 9}$ | $\underset{\substack{4,850,485 \\ \mathbf{2 , 4 0 , 7 9 4}}}{ }$ |
| Tax on patent medicines. | 130,164 | 167,306 | 197,856 | 204,780 |  |  |
| Business tax on patent medicines. |  |  |  |  | 214,023 | 234,69r |
| Suat tax excise | 6,942,969 | 8,362,294 | - $\begin{array}{r}488,359 \\ \times 1,348,317\end{array}$ | 16,756,704 | 16,178,234 | 19,684,318 |
| Testile-fabric excise |  | 4,423,766 | -5,319,899 | 5,037,515 | 19,114,902 | ${ }_{19,316 ; 736}$ |
| Petroleum excise |  | 1,882,983 |  | 5, | 53,078 | 1,689,16r |
| Traveling tax. | $\ldots$ | 344,305 | 2,214,869 | 2,463,80x | 2,773,550 | 2,851,071 |
| Succession tax. |  |  | 629,684 | 1,405,425 | 1,822,297 | 2.446,948 |
| Old taxes collected |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 320 \\ 36.757,382 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8,393 \\ \hline 1,853,533\end{array}$ |  | $88$ |
| Customs duties. <br> Tonnage dues. . | $\begin{array}{r} 17,378,303 \\ 430,530 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,159,73 \mathrm{I} \\ 465,066 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,757,382 \\ 538,435 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,853.533 \\ 580,58 \mathrm{r} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,027,305 \\ 610,458 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,067,807 \\ \mathbf{5 8 3 , 0 0 8} \end{array}$ |
| Total <br> Stamp receipts. Monopoly profit. | $155,137,052$ $14,169.480$ 15,771804 | $\begin{array}{r} 193,497,652 \\ 17,226,439 \\ 27,462,007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 250,411,081 \\ 30,267,758 \\ 33.602,058 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 283,106,279 \\ 34,260,448 \\ 32,574,484 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 316,496,516 \\ 25,155.994 \\ 35,607,902 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 322,821,579 \\ 22,853,222 \\ 52,571,213 \end{array}$ |
| Cotal revenue from taxation. | 184,478,3,36 | 238,186,098 | 314,280,897 | 349,947,2II | 377,360,413 | 398,246,007 |
| Military taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land tax. | .... | 23,803,145 | 46,249,200 | 49,920,983 | 49,9a0,982 | 49,920,983 |
| Income tax. | '...' | 5,660,129 $\mathbf{5 , 1 5 4 , 6 0 9}$ | $\begin{aligned} 13,409,816 \end{aligned}$ | $15,447.1150$ 11.877.276 | $15,447,150$ 15 867.276 | 15,447,150 |
| Succession tax | .... | 3,154,009 | ${ }^{1} \mathbf{6 9 , 6 8 4}$ | -1,460,656 | 4,822,297 | 2,446,948 |
| Mining tax. |  | 86,484 | 755,756 | $712,99 \mathrm{x}$ | 712,991 | 712,991 |
| Business tax on patent medicines. |  |  | 74,655 | 80,867 | 80,867 | 80,867 |
| Bourse tax. |  | 232,682 | 1,220,547 | 2,339,915 | 2.339,915 | 2,339,915 |
| Traveling tax. |  | 2,997.767 | 2,211,868 $18,330,939$ | $2,463,899$ $\mathbf{r o , 8 2 7 , 9 3 6}$ | 2,773,500 $19,827.936$ | 2,851,071 $10.827,936$ |
| Sake tax.... |  | 169,855 | - $6,442,997$ | 8,276,263 | 8, ${ }^{\text {8,76,263 }}$ | 9.776,262 |
| Soy tax. |  | x,383,811 | 1,7x6,753 | 1,717,958 | x,717,958 | 1,717,958 |
| Sugar excise. |  | x,936,763 | 6,706,138 | 9,997,350 | 9,997,350 | 12,857,046 |
| Textile-fabric excise |  |  | 5.319,898 | 5,036,715 | $19,114,902$ 53,078 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,316,736 \\ 1,689,165 \end{array}$ |
| Export duty on sake for ókinawa Prefecture |  | 1,082,619 | 38,147 |  |  |  |
| Customs duty....... |  | 2,413,999 | 22,174,384 | 17,781,713 | 17,781,713 | 17,78r,7x3 |
| Salt-monopoly receipts |  |  | 15.9988 .012 | 33,227,905 | 23,227,961 | 23,277,061 |
| Salt tax............. | .... | 8,929,793 | $\begin{array}{r} 481,359 \\ 15,157,888 \end{array}$ | 14,679,794 | 14,679,794 | x4,679,794 |
| Total. |  | 59,178.406 | 154,091,850 | 184,839,426 | 199,64r,933 | 206,041.767 |
| Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation, |  | Per cemt 25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per eemt } \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} P e r \operatorname{cenf} \\ 5 \end{array}$ | Por cent 52 |

${ }^{4}$ The figurea for the new taxes are taken from the bettled accounts, while those for the increased taxes are from the eatimates, except those for the fiscal yeara from 1904-5 to 1906-7, which are based upon the settled accounts.
or the increased taxes aubsequent to $1907-8$, for which the budget estimates can not be ascertained, the figures given are calculated on the basis of

No. I (d).-Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted with Total Revenue from Taxation, 1903-1913-Continued


No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law ${ }^{\circ}$


- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russa-Japanese War.
- The rate of the land tax for Hokkaido is one per cent, the rate of increase being the same as with the ordinary land tax.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued
2. Beer tax ................ Export duty on suke for (The rates of this duty are the same as those of the sake manufac-
ture tax.)
Alcohol and alcoholic
beverage tax
Containing pure alcohol of less than 20 per cent of the original
fluid. ..............
Containing pure alcohol of more than zoper of more than zoper
cent of the original fluid.

Sugar extise
Class $1 . .$.
Class $2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$.



[^2]No. IL--Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law *-Continued


- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law --Coninued

a Extracted from the official Report on the Finamcial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued


[^3]No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law --Continued

| Purchase or transfer by cession. | 75 yen per case | 25 yen per case | ..... - | 100 yen per case |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bourse lax |  |  |  | 100 yen per case |
| Wares and negotiable instruments. | .6 per mille of amount transacted | .3 per mille of amount transacted | .3 per mille of amount transacted | 1.2 per mille of |
| National and local loan bonds | . 3 | transacted |  | $.5$ |
| Shooting-license tax |  |  |  |  |
| First class. . . . . | 20 yen | 10 yen | 10 yen | 40 yen |
| Second class. | 10 " | 5 " | 15 " | 30. |
| Third class. |  | 1 |  | 7 " |
| Tax on mining lots |  |  |  |  |
| Prospecting................. | . Io yen for each 1,ooo isubo per annum |  | .20 yen for each 1,ooo tsubo per annum | . 30 yen for each 1,000 tsubo per annum |
| Mining. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | . 10 yen for each 1,000 tsubo per annum |  |  |
| Placer tax |  |  |  |  |
| Alluvial. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | ...... |  | .30 yen per cho of placer area per annum | .30 yen per cho of placer area per annum |
| Non-alluvial. . . . . . . . . . . . . Business tax on patent medicine |  | -**. | .30 yen per 1,000 tsubo of placer area per annum | .30 yen per 1,000 tsubo of placer area per annum |
| Business tax on patent medicine Total value of each medicine manufactured in one year |  | 1 | area per annum | area per annum |
| Under ${ }_{\text {u }} \mathbf{3 0 0}$ yen..... | 2 yen per dose | . . . . . | 1 yen per dose | 3 yen per dose |
|  | 2 | . | 3 \% | 5 " |
|  | 2 |  | 5 7 | 7 9 " |
| " 3,000 | 2 " |  | 10 " | 12 if |
| " 5,000 | 2 |  | 15 " | 17 " |
| " 10,000 | 2 |  | 20 " | 22 " |
| " 20,000 | 2 |  | 30 " | 32 " |
| " 30,000 | 2 " |  | 40 " | 42 " |
| " ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 50,000 | 2 " |  | 55 " | 57 " |
| " 70,000 | 2 |  | 70 " | 72 |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime} 100,000$ | 2 |  | 85 | 87 " |
| Above 100,000 '" ..... | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | . $\cdot$. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 100 " | 102 " |

[^4]No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Conlinued


- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. 11.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law -Continued


[^5]No. II--Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued


* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russe-Japanese War,

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by tae Emergency Tax Law "-Continued


- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by tee Emergency Tax Law :-Continued


- Extracted from the official Report on the Finaincial Candition of the Russa-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by tae Emergency Tax Law - Coninued
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|ccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Group V } \\ \text { (Oxide of cobalt, liquid } \\ \text { of gold, siver and } \\ \text { platinum, dry indigo } \\ \text { and logwood are ex }\end{array} \\ \text { cluded.) }\end{array}\right)$

- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enactrd by the Emergency Tax Law --Continued


- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law --Continued


[^6]No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law s--Conlinued


[^7]No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued


* Extracted from the official Repori pn the Financial Condition of the RussomJapanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by tre Embreenciy Tax Lait L-Continued


[^8]No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued


[^9]No. II--Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law *-Continued


- Extracted from the official Report on the Finantial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law *--Continued

| Matting, Chinese, in rolls of 40 yards. . . . . . . . . | 20 per cent ad valorem |  |  | 5 per cent ad valorem |  | 25 per cent ad valorem |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matting, cocoa, I square yard. | 20 | * |  | 5 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 25 | " |
| Mats and matting, all other. |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 25 25 | " |
| Paintings in oil or water color, lithographs, chromolithographs, photographic albums and all other paintings, pictures, and calligraphy, not otherwise provided for. | 25 | " |  | 10 | " |  | " |
| Pitch, wood-tar and coaltar. | 25 5 | " |  | 10 5 | " | 35 10 | " |
| Plaster of Paris....... | 5 | " |  | 5 | ${ }^{4}$ | 10 | " |
| Playing cards of all kinds | 35 | " |  | 10 | " | 45 | " |
| Plumbago or blacklead... | 5 | " |  | 5 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 10 | " |
| Pottery, including porcelain and earthenware, not otherwise provided for | 20 | " |  | 10 | " | 30 | " |
| Precious stones and pearls | 35 | ، |  | 10 | " | 45 | " |
| Precious stones and pearls, imitations of Putty | 30 | " |  | 10 | " | 40 10 | " |
| Rattans, split or otherwise. | 5 | " |  | 5 | " | 10 | ، |
| Saddles, bridles, and harness. $\qquad$ | 25 | " |  | 10 | " | 35 | " |
| Sandalwood........... | 10 | " |  | 10 | * | 20 | " |
| Shoeblacking of all kinds Smokers'articles (articles | 20 | " |  | 5 | " | 25 | " |
| for use in opium are excluded) | 30 | " |  | 10 | " | 45 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Soapstone, in lump or powdered........... | 5 | " |  | 5 | " | 10 | " |

- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Comdition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.--Tarifys Enacted by tae Emergency Tax Law --Continued


- Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of tha Russo-Japamese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law "-Continued

| Vessels, steam or sailing, and boats. | 5 per cent ad valorem | ...... | 5 per cent ad valorem | 10 per cent ad valorem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wares of santalum or ebony wood......... All articles, raw or un- | 25 * | - | 10 is | $35^{4}$ |
| manufactured, not herein enumerated. | 10 " | ...... | 5 " | 15 " |
| All articles, manufactured, wholly or in part, not herein enumerated. | 20 " | . . . . . | 10 " | 30 " |
| Class II |  | . |  |  |
| Cocoons of all kinds. . . . |  |  | 10 " | 10 " |
| Rice and paddy.... |  |  | 15. | 15.3 |
| Traveling tax |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| . First class. . . . . . . . |  | . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . 50 yen | . 50 yen |
| Second class. |  | . $\cdot$. | . 25 " | . 25 " |
| Third class | . $\cdot$. $\cdot$ •* | . . . . . | . $04{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | . 04 " |
| Under 200 miles or knots: |  |  |  |  |
| Second class............ |  |  | . 20 | .20 |
| Third class. . . . . . . . |  |  | $.03{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | . 03 " |
| Under 100 miles or knots: |  |  |  |  |
| Second class. . . . . . . . . . |  |  | . 10 " | .20 |
| Third class. . . . . . . . . . . | ..... | ..... | .20 | . $20 \times$ |
| Under 50 miles or knots: |  |  |  |  |
| Second class. . . . . . . . . . |  |  | . 03 " | . 03 " |
| Third Class............ |  |  | .or " | .01 " |
| Textile fabric excise <br> Woolen. |  |  |  |  |
| Woolen. | . $\quad .$. | 15 per cent ad valorem |  | 15 per cent ad valorem |
| Others...................... |  |  | ro per cent ad valorem |  |

[^10][^11]No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergenčy Tax Law -Continued


[^12]No. 11.-Tarifps Enactrd by thr Emergency Tax Law --Continued


* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued


* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condilion of the Russp-Japanese War,

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law --Continued


- Extracted from the official Repont on the Rinancial Condition of the Russo.Japonese War.

No. Il.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued


* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.-Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law -Coniinued

a Extracted from the official Repont on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. III.-Táble Showing Excessive Increases of Indirect Taxes, 189i-1913 ${ }^{*}$ (in thousands of yen)

| Year | Revenue from taxation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ratio of indirect taxes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indirect taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other taxes | Total revenue from taxation | Ratio of indirect taxes to total revenue | $\begin{gathered} \text { Monop- } \\ \text { oly } \\ \text { profitg } \end{gathered}$ | Total of indirect taxes and monopoly profits | Total of tax rev. and mo nopoly profits | Ratio of indirect taxes to tax rev. and nopolyprofits |
|  | Sake | Soy | Sugar | Textile fabric | Customs | Traveling | Petroleum | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Par cent |  |  |  | Per cent |
| 1891-2. | 14,687 15.813 |  |  |  | 4,540 |  |  | r9,227 20.805 | 44,434 | 63,601 | 29.7 <br> 3 l |  | 19,227 | 63,660 | 29.7 |
| 4893-4. | 16,637 |  | $\ldots$ |  | +4,1292 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 20,805 $2 x, 762$ | 45,6017 47.407 | 66,416 69,169 | 31.8 <br> 3 r .8 |  | 20,804 21,763 | 66,415 69,169 | 3 S .8 31.8 |
| 1894 | 16,130 |  |  | $\ldots$ | 5.755 |  |  | 31,885 | 48.532 | 70.417 | $3 \mathrm{3I.4}$ |  | 21,886 | 70,418 | $3 \mathrm{3r.4}$ |
| 18950 | 17,794 |  | $\cdots$ |  | 6.788 | .... |  | 24.580 | 40,034 | 73,614 | 33.8 | $\cdots$ | 24,534 | 73,568 | 31.4 33.8 |
| ${ }^{1896-7 .}$ | 19.477 |  |  |  | 6,728 |  |  | 26,205 | 48,837 | 75,042 | 34.7 |  | 26,205 | 75,042 | 34.7 |
| 1897-8. | 331,205 | 1.536 |  |  | 8,021 0,002 | $\ldots$ |  | 39,126 44,308 | 54,575 | 93,701 | 41.5 | 292 5.146 | 30,448 | $\begin{array}{r}93,993 \\ \hline 10153\end{array}$ | 41.5 |
| 1899-1900 | 48,918 | 2,453 |  |  | 9,902 15.937 | $\ldots$ |  | 44,398 67,307 | $\mathbf{5 2 , 5 9 9}$ $\mathbf{5 8 , 7 3 6}$ | 96,997 r 26,033 | 43.72 | 5.146 7.560 | 48,734 74.869 | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { 101,333 } \\ 133,595}]{ }$ | 48.5 55.9 |
| 1900-1. | 50,294 | 3.154 |  |  | 17.010 |  |  | 70,458 | 63.469 | 133.927 | 53.2 52.2 | 7,244 | 74,701 | ${ }_{141,170}^{13,595}$ | 55.9 55.3 |
| 1901-2. | 58,017 | 3,464 | 6 ra |  | 13.630 | $\ldots$ |  | 75.723 | 63,850 | ${ }_{139,573}$ | 54.3 | 10,867 | 86,592 | 150,442 | 58.0 50.0 |
| 1902-3. | 63,738 | 3,555 | 4.146 |  | ${ }^{15.501}$ |  |  | 88.940 | 64, 144 | 151.084 | 57.6 | 12,368 | 99,308 | 163,453 | 60.7 |
| 1903-4. | , 52,822 | 3.545 $\mathbf{5} 5109$ | 8,942 8,362 |  | 17.378 23.160 |  | 1,883 | 80,688 rox, 568 | 65.476 92.795 | 146,164 194.363 | 55.5 | ${ }_{1}^{15,179}$ | 95,860 166.15 | 161,335 | 59.6 |
| x905-6. | 59,099 | $\underset{5.273}{ }$ |  | 4.424 $\mathbf{5 . 3 2 0}$ | 23,785 $\mathbf{3 6 , 7 5 7}$ | 2,342 | 1,883 | 120,009 | r $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ 135,795\end{array}$ | $\xrightarrow{194,363}$ | 52.6 47.8 | 34.589 46.343 | 136,157 166,353 | 228.952 297.618 | 59.4 54.4 |
| 1906\%. | 71,100 | 5,601 | 16,157 | 5,038 | 41,854 | 2,464 |  | 842,214 | 141,256 | 283.470 | 50.2 | 56,971 | 199, 184 18, | 340,440 | 34.4 58.5 |
| 1907-8. | 78,406 | 5.475 | 16,178 | 19.115 | 50,027 | 2,774 |  | 172,028 | 143,955 | 315.983 | 54.4 | 61,159 | 233,187 | 377.142 | 6 E .8 |
| ${ }^{1908-9 .}$ | 83,591 | 4.859 | 19,684 | 19.317 18 | 40,068 | 3,831 | 1,689 | 172,039 | 150,044 | 322,083 | 53.2 | ${ }^{651} 1332$ | 237, r9\% | 387,768 | 6x.x |
| 1909-10.. | 91,480 | 4.723 4.681 | 13,270 17.904 | 18,778 18,234 | 36,424 39.949 | 3.033 3.408 | 2,112 2,008 | 169,829 172,965 | 153,578 144.315 | 323.407 317.280 | 53.6 54.6 | 58,449 | 228,278 235,891 | 381,856 380,207 | 59.7 62.8 |
| 1911-12 | 88,727 | 4.631 | 14,727 | 18,618 | - | 3.184 | 2,111 | 182,512 | 144,577 | 324,089 | 5 | ${ }_{6}^{62,927}$ | $\underset{243,867}{235,81}$ | 385,474 | 62.4 63.4 |
| 1912-13 | 88,482 | 13,790 | 13,790 | 18,644 | 49,892 | 3.580 | X,999 | 190.177 | 141,944 | 332,12I | 56.0 | 62,213 | 243,233 | 385.177 | 6.3 .1 |

Na IV.-Importation and Price of Rice*

| Year | Imported |  |  | Market price of domestic per koku | Difference between prices of imported and domestic. per kokw | Import duty | Damestic production in preceding year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Average price per koku |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kokz | Yen | Yen | Yers | Yen | Yen | Kokw |
| 1868-9... | ${ }^{84,000}$ | 436,000 | 5.18 | 6.37 | 1.19 | Free |  |
| 1869-70.. | 648.000 | 4.432 .000 | 6.85 | 8.80 | 1.95 | 4 |  |
| 1870-1. | 2.151 .000 | 14.598.000 | 6.79 | 8.90 | 2.11 | "\% |  |
| $1871-2$. | 168,000 | 1,260,000 | 7.50 | 5.51 | 1.99 | ". |  |
| $1872-3$. $1873-4$. | 8,000 | 30,000 |  | 3.40 | 0.79 | " | $\ldots$ |
| 1874-5. | 4,000 | 24,000 | 6.00 | 6.96 | 0.96 | * |  |
| $1875-6$. | 4,000 | 22,000 | 5.50 | 6.87 | 1.37 | ", |  |
| ${ }^{1876-7} \ldots$. |  | : $\because$ |  | 4.88 |  | "', |  |
| $1877-8 . .$. $1878-9 .$. |  |  |  | 5.17 6.04 |  | $\because$ | 27,744,000 $\mathbf{2 6 , 5 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 1879-80.... | 50,000 | 248,000 | 4.96 | 7.88 | 2.92 | " | 25,283,000 |
| 1880-1. | 79.000 | 434,000 | 5.50 | 9.53 | 4.03 |  | 32,419.000 |
| $188 \mathrm{r}-2$ | 22,000 | 135,000 | 6.17 | 10.50 | 4.33 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 31,359.000 |
| 1882-3. | 3,000 | 20,000 | 6.67 | 8.86 | 2. 19 |  | 29.971,000 |
| 1883-4... |  |  |  | 6.45 | 0.71 | . | 30.692 .000 |
| 1885 -6. | 128,000 | 674,000 | 6.00 6.47 | 5.29 6.75 | 0.71 0.28 | "̈ | $30,671,000$ $\mathbf{2 6 , 3 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| $1886-7$. | 4,000 | 19,000 | 4.75 | 5.72 | 0.97 | " | 34,158,000 |
| 1887-8. | 28,000 | 192,000 | 4.61 | 5.06 | 0.45 | " | 37,191,000 |
| 1888-9.. | 5,000 | 22,000 | 4.40 | 4.98 | 0.58 | "' | 39.999.000 |
| 1889-90.... | 20,000 | 137.000 | 6.85 | 6.08 | 0.77 | "' | 38,646,000 |
| 1890-1. | 1,838,000 | 12,303,000 | 5. 06 | 8.96 | 3.90 | " | 33,008,000 |
| 1891-2. | 671.000 | 3.908,000 | 5.82 | 7.07 | 1.25 | "', | 34.038,000 |
| $1892-3$ | 330,000 | 2,503,000 | 7.58 | 7.34 | 0. 24 | : | 38.124.000 |
| 1893-4.. | 500,000 | 3,255,000 | 5.81 | 7.45 | 1.60 | ". | 41,379,000 |
| 1894-5.. | 1,322,000 | 8,413,000 | 6.36 | 8.81 | 2.45 | "'4 | 37,200,000 |
| 1895-6.... | 671,000 | 4.357,000 | 6.47 | 8.88 | 2.45 | * | 41,866,000 |
| 1896 -7. | 745.000 | 5.662,000 | 7.61 | 9.53 | 1. 92 | * | 39,921.000 |
| 1897-8..... | 2.530,000 | 21,528,000 | 8.25 | 11.87 | 3.62 | * | 36,200.000 |
| 1898-9..... | 4,678,000 | 48,220,000 | 10.35 | 14.72 | 4.45 | " | 33,039,000 |
| 8809-1900. | 600,000 | 5,960,000 | 9.03 | 10.03 | 1.00 | : | 47,388,000 |
| 1900-1.... | 1,372,000 | 9,022,000 | 6.58 | 11. 97 | 5.39 | " | 39,698,000 |
| 1901-2. | 1,245,000 | 11,879,000 | 9.54 | 12.29 | 2.75 | . | 41,466,000 |
| 1902-3. | 1.804,000 | 17.751,000 | 9.86 | 12.65 | 2.79 | ", | 46,914,000 |
| 1903-4. | 4.865.000 | 51,960,000 | 10.68 | 14.44 | 3. 76 | ". | 36,932,000 |
| 1904-5.... | 5,892,000 | 59.792,000 | 19.15 | 13.20 | 3.05 | 6 per | 46,473,000 |
| 1905 | 4,638,000 | 47.981,000 | 10.26 | 12.84 | 2.58 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 . 6} \mathbf{~ p e r} \\ & \text { too kin } \end{aligned}$ | 51,430,000 |
| 1906-7.... | 2,440.000 | 26.172,000 | 10.73 | 14.69 | 3.96 | 1.6 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 38.173,000 |
| 1907-8..... | 2,708.000 | 30,931.000 | 17.45 | 16.43 | 4.98 | 1.6 \% | 46,303,000 |
| 1908-9 | 1,941,000 | 22,689.000 | 11.70 | 15.74 | 4.04 | 1.6: | 49.052,000 |
| 1909-10... | 1,335,000 | 13,586,000 | 10.25 | 13.18 | 2.93 | 1.6 \% | 51,394,000 |
| 1910-11... | 918,006 | 8,644,000 | 9.42 | 13.27 | 3.85 | 1.6 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 52,438,000 |
| 1911-12.... | 1,719,000 | 17,721,000 | 10.35 12.36 | 17.28 19.62 | 6.97 7.26 | $2.50{ }^{\text {2/ }}$ 2.50" | 46,633000 $51,638,000$ |
| 1912-136... | 645.000 | 7,965,000 | 12.36 | 19.62 | 7.20 | 2.50 | 51,036,000 |

[^13]No. V.-Statistics Concerning the Bourses a
A.-Rates of dividend of the bourses, 1901-1905 ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Name of bourse or exchange | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| Tokyo Rice | 2.65 | 2.40 | 2.33 | 2.16 | 1.88 |
| Tokyo Stock | 1.35 | 1.83 | 1.29 | 1. 35 | 2.15 |
| Tokyo Merchand | 1.25 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 0.65 | 0.71 |
| Ósaka Dojima Ric | 1. 55 | 1.75 | 1.55 | 1.25 | 1.45 |
| Osaka Stock | 1.50 | 1. 50 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.58 |
| Osaka Sampin | 2.67 | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.20 |
| Osaka Oil | 0.85 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Ósaka Sugar | 0.50 | Closed | Closed | Closed | Closed |
| Yokohama Silk and others. | 1.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | - 50 | 0. 70 |
| Yokohama Stock and Rice | No dividend | No dividend | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.80 |
| Kobe Silk and others. | No dividend | Closed | Closed | Closed | Closed |
| Kobe Merchandise | No divi- | No divi- | No divi- | No divi- | No divi- |
| Kobe Rice, Stock and others | dend 0.85 | dend | dend 1.30 1.30 | dend 1.25 | dend |
| Nagoya Rice | 1.35 | I. 29 | 1.30 | 1.10 | 1.40 |
| Nagoya Stock | 0.80 | $0.76$ | $0.54$ |  | $0.90$ |
| Nagoya Silk and Cotton | No dividend | Closed | Closed | Closed | Closed |
| Otaru Rice, Stock and others | 1.75 | 3.50 | No dividend | No dividend | No dividend |
| Sendai Rice. | 0.70 | 0.32 | 0.50 | No dividend | 0.20 |
| Niigata Rice and Stoc | 3.50 | 0.98 | 1.70 | 1.10 | 1.30 |
| Niigata Merchandise | 0.13 | Closed | Closed | Closed | Closed |
| Tokushima Rice and Sto | 0.80 | 0.38 | Closed | Closed | Closed |
| Okayama Rice and | 1.10 | 0.35 0.19 0.1 | 1.00 0.80 | $\stackrel{0.74}{\text { Closed }}$ | $\stackrel{0.90}{\text { Closed }}$ |
| Shimonoseki Ric | 0.80 2.50 | 0.19 1.60 | 1.80 1.35 | 1.23 | 1.05 |
| Wakayama Rice | 1.20 | 0.27 | 0.25 | No dividend | 0.25 |
| Matsuyama Rice and Stock |  |  | 0.72 | -. 55 | 0.55 |
| Kumamoto Rice. | -.. | $\cdots$ | .... | 0.30 | 0. 55 |

a Prepared by the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws (Zeiho-Shingakai).
b Average (1900-4): 1900, 1.8I; 190I, 1. 54 ; $1902,0.89 ; 1903,0.90 ; 1904,0.78$.

No. V.-Statistics Concerning the Bourses-Continued
B.-Fees and other accounts of the bourses

| Items | Merchandise | Negotiable instruments | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount of feesreceived | Yen | Yen | Yen |
| 1903. | 1,334,291 | 506,362 | 1,840,653 |
| 1904. | 1,125,427 | 593.325 | 1,718,753 |
| 1905.... | 1,495,346 | 1,558,473. | 3,053,820 |
| Average. | 1,318,355 | 886,054 | 2,204,408 |
| Amount of contracts transacted |  |  |  |
| 1903. | 1,030,809,597 | 291,823,895 | 1,322,633,493 |
| 1904. | 703,808,269 | 268,942,278 | 972,750,547 |
| 1905. | 784,395,719 | 1,137,974,497 | 1,922,370,207 |
| Average | 839,671,195 | 566,246,887 | 1,405,918,082 |
| Distribution of fees ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | , |  |  |
| Taxes....... | 941,275 | 1,365,569 | 2,306,844 |
| Reserve fund. | 74,148 | 1,31,890 | 136,038 |
| Net profit. . . . . . . . | - 257,776 | 73,946 | 331,722 |
| Other expenses. | 222,147 | 57,068 | 279,215 |
| Sundry receipts exclusive of fees: |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits . <br> Interest on instru- | 188,746 | 29,078 | 217,824 |
| ments | 107,298 | -... | 107,298 |
| Rent of lands and warehouses. . . . . | 21,601 |  | 21,601 |
| Interest on public loans. | 6,936 |  | 6,936 |
| Fees on renewal of share certificates and others..... . | 129,677 | -- 13,628 | 143,305 |
| Interest on loans. |  | 116,865 | 116,865 |

- According to the investigation of 1905
C.-A mount of tax paid by bourses, 1890-1900

| Year | Merchandise |  | Negotiable instruments |  | Nationalloans |  | Local loans |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value of contracts | Tax. | Value of contracts | Tax | Value of contracts | Tax | Value of contracts | Tax |
|  | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yes |
| 1899-1900 | r,191,821,516 | 715.093 | 760,848.262 | 461,908 | 14.36x | 4 | 1177360 | 35 |
| 1900-1905 | I,463,846,998 | 878.267 | 516,768,038 | 310,102 | 18,104 | 9 | 26,105 | 8 |
| 1901-1902 | 1,019,616,044 | 611,769 | 366,407.375 | 219.809 | 41,018 | 2 | 164 | $\cdots$ |
| 1903-1903 | 1,098,626,480 | 659.176 | 282,207,402 | 172.924 | 1,614 |  | 390 |  |
| 1903-1904 | I, 205,057,781 | 723.034 | 324,524,052 | 194.714 | 5.565 |  | 186 |  |
| $1904-1905$ $1905-1906$ | $\mathbf{6 5 3 , 7 4 9 , 5 3 9}$ $820.446,660$ | 599.960 984,536 | $297,071,087$ $1,818,962,665$ | 280,304 $1,418,355$ | 2,767 16,096 | 8 |  |  |

No. vi.-Comparison of Revenue of Individutals and Burden of Taxation*

the land in yen: those marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ) the area of the land in lan.
itatal average ratio of revenue to tax: Peasantry, 28 per cent; traders, 44 per cent.

No. VI.-Comparison of Revenue of Individuals and Burden of Taxation a-Conitinued

|  |  |  | Yen | Yen | ${ }_{\text {Y }}$ | $\mathrm{Yen}_{6}$ | Yen | ${ }^{1}$ | 180 | ${ }_{\text {Yen }}$ | Yen | Yen | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iehikawa .. | Agriculture |  | 390.00 <br> 983.00 | ${ }_{983}^{390}$ | 57,630 | ${ }^{6} \mathbf{6 , 6 8 8 0}$ | 54.980 |  | ${ }^{\text {c }} 18.920$ | $c3052012590$ | 49,460 | (114,070 | 29.9 |
| Toyama | Arade |  | 983.00 750.00 7 | 983 750 | 185.350 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 22,880 } \\ 8.880}}$ | 54,980 |  | (12,760 | 12,500 <br> 28.650 |  | ${ }_{1}^{110,950} \mathbf{2 0 8 , 5 1 0}$ | 15.3 <br> 35.8 |
| kui..... | Trade | Sales:4,485 yen | 360.00 | 336 | ${ }^{15.640}$ | 8,400 7 | 25,800 | 49,840 | 14.950 | ${ }^{25.350}$ | 40,260 | 90,100 | 22.0 28.5 |
|  | Agriculture | Land: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Li.0 } \\ & \text { Land: } \\ & \text { T, }\end{aligned}$ | 444.00 144.00 | 447 | 70,580 | 7,720 |  | 78,300 | 24,750 | 24,260 | 48,970 | 127,270 | 28.5 |
|  |  | Sales: 1.700 yen | 440.00 | 790 | 36,020 | 17,810 | 18,550 | 380 | 24.29 | 41,310 | 65,600 | 237.980 | 17.5 |
| Nagano... | Agriculture |  | 276.00 273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sericulture: 30 cmw | 27.00 458.00 | 300 | 32,180 | 7.340 |  | 39.520 | 450 | 20 | 31,930 | 71,450 | 23.8 |
|  | Trat |  | 458. 39 | 488 | 12,200 | 8,600 | 37,750 | 7.57 | 3,020 | 17,640 | 10,060 | 68,230 | 14.0 |
| Niigata.... | Agriculture |  | 600.00 66.00 | 666 | 79,610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29.6 |
| Tokyo..... | Trade Agriculture | Salesi L2,500 \%en Land: 110.3 ( 3,044 ) | 50.00 <br> 950.00 <br> 9.0 | 500 |  | 10,080 | 30,700 | 40,780 | 9,130 | 19.560 | 28,690 | 69,470 | 13.9 |
|  |  | Rented houses | $\begin{aligned} & 950.00 \\ & 251.97 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rented la | 95.38 | r,430 | 184,6i2 | 150 |  | 223.762 | 54,460. | 6.990 | 161,45 | 385 | 7. |
| Chiba. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trade } \\ & \text { Agriculture } \end{aligned}$ | Snles: |  | 920 |  | 20,160 | 135,680 | 155,840 | 16,500 | ,360 | 56,860 | 212 |  |
|  |  | Land: | . 302. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trade | Loan: 1,000 yen | 00. | $\begin{array}{r} 1.402 \\ \hline 669 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 194.550 \\ \mathbf{3 . 2 2 0} \end{array}$ | 36,090 <br> 13,860 | 47 | $\begin{array}{r} 230,640 \\ 64.580 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43,980 \\ & 6,950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.650 \\ & 12.190 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92,630 \\ & 19.140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 323.270 \\ 83.720 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 22.9 12.7 |
| manash |  |  | 596.0a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Loan: 488 yen ${ }^{\text {Sericulture: }} 6$ piec |  | 700 | ro6,880 | 13.650 |  | r20,530 | 34,450 | 36,080 | 70,530 | t91,060 | 27. |
|  | Trade | Sales: 6.384 yen | 585.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rented liand: |  | 585 |  |  | .580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kanagawa. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agriculture } \\ & \text { Trade } \end{aligned}$ |  | 4 | 470 | 93,870 | 7,160 |  | ${ }_{101,030}^{36,420}$ | 25.830 | ${ }^{20.930}$ | 46,760 | ז47,790 | 31.4 |
| Saitama... | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agriculture } \\ & \text { Trade } \end{aligned}$ | Loan: 155 yen | 55.00 <br> 25.00 | 534 |  |  | 25,450 |  | 2,040 | 35.160 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Land: $11.2 .8\left(*_{2,1}\right.$ | 522.00 11.00 | 522 | 120,470 | 14,200 |  | 134,67 | 35.160 | 15.050 | 50,210 | 184,880 | 35.4 |
|  |  | Rented land: $\dagger$ ( ${ }^{*} 75$ ) |  | 487 | 9,610 | 8.460 | 32,68 | 50,750 | 5,38 | 7,268 | r2,664 | 63.414 | 13.0 |
| Shizuoka... <br> Aichi...... | Agriculture Trade | Land: 5 Hoso yen |  | 612 | 12.790 | 8.800 |  |  |  | 31,2 | 58,630 | 180,220 |  |
|  |  | Sales: 7.50 | ${ }_{830}^{526.0}$ | 525 | 1,230 | 9,040 | 27,930 | 38,20 | 4.970 | 12,8 | 17.770 | 55.970 |  |
| Aichi...... |  | Sales: | ${ }^{3} 5$ | r,082 | x44,070. | 18,520 |  | 162,590 | 33.520 | 33.440 | 66,960 | . 550 | 21.2 |
|  |  |  |  | 460 | 2,620 | 3,0 | 20,930 | 31,550 | 3,850 | 18,860 | 21,710 | 53,26 | ${ }_{1} 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gifu... .. } \\ & \text { Miye...... } \end{aligned}$ | Agriculture Trade Agriculture | Landito. ( ${ }^{3} 4.957$ ) | x.523.00 | 7,523 | ,790 | $\underset{8}{21,420}$ |  |  | 88,060 | 51,720 | 839,780 | - 430.900 | 28.8 |
|  |  |  | + 4772.00 | 472 |  |  | 20,20 | 28,30 |  |  | 9,200 | 37,500 |  |
|  | Trade | Salees: 6,339 yen | 65.00 472.00 | 840 472 | $\xrightarrow{132,240} \mathbf{2 . 9 2 0}$ | 88,400 | 28,830 | 1460.840 <br> 40.550 | $\begin{aligned} & 37,380 \\ & 5,370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30,080 \\ 15,620 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67,460 \\ & 10,990 \end{aligned}$ | 314,300 57,140 | 25.4 11.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^14]a Prepared by the Commigsion of inquiry into the Tax Laws (Zeino-Shinsakai). The figures marked with an asterisk (*) denote the assessed value o
the land in yen: those marked with a dagger (t) the area of the land in tant
b Total average ratio of revenue to tax: Peasantry, 28 per cent; traders, 4 per cent.

No. VI.-Comparison of Revenue of Individuals and Burden of Taxation *-Continued

| Prefectures | Occupation | Revenue |  |  | National taxes |  |  |  | Local taxes |  |  | Grand total of taxes | Ratio of Revenue to tax ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Source | Amount | Total | Land | Income | Business | Total | Prefectural |  | Total |  |  |
| Shiga...... | Agriculture |  |  | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Xen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Per cenl |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}802.00 \\ 19.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 893 | 168,590 | 17,680 |  | 186,270 | 35,110 | 47,270 | 82,380 | 268,650 | 30.1 |
| Kyoto...... | Trade Agriculture | Reward: 72 yen | 72.00 730.00 | 730 | 29,970 | 8,700 |  | 80,872 | 8,xio |  | 2x,280 |  |  |
|  |  | Land: ${ }_{\text {LIO.3 }}\left({ }^{(2,987}\right)$ | 720.00 7 | 730 | 29.970 | 8,700 | 42,200 | 80,872 | 8,110 | 13,170 | 21,280 | r02,1.52 | 4.0 |
|  |  | Loan: 880 yen Sericulture: 197 pieces | 26.00 154.00 | 900 | 167,610 | 8,860 |  | 176,470 | 56,010 | 42,610 | 98,620 | 275,090 | 30.6 |
| Obala..... | Trade <br> Agriculture | Sales: 7.660 yen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 532.00 | 532 |  | 8,040 | 37,850 | 45.890 | 6,830 | 14,460 | 21,290 | 67,180 | 12.6 |
|  |  |  | 275.00 141.00 | 416 |  | 6.880 |  | 84 | 24.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Nara....... | Trade | Sales: 7,906 yen | $\begin{array}{r}185.00 \\ \hline 8 .\end{array}$ |  | 77.540 | 6,880 |  | 84,420 | 24,05 | 27,250 | 51,900 | 130,320 | 32.7 |
|  | Agriculture <br> Trade | Loan: 1.040 yen | 48.00 | 433 |  | 7.640 | 40,860 | 48,500 | 9,190 | 17,270 | 26,460 | 74,960 | 17.3 |
|  |  | Loan: 1,670 yen | 784.00 188.00 | 972 | 186.420 | 16,420 |  | 182,840 | 61,150 | 36,170 | 97,320 | 280,160 | 28.8 |
| Wakayama. |  | Land: $\dagger .6$ (*395) | 141.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rent of houses: 3 | 90.00 6012.00 | 843 | 25,210 | 17,680 | 29,883 | 72,720 | 21,420 | 34.440 | 55,860 | 128,580 | 15.3 |
|  | Agriculture | Land: $\mathbf{f}_{3.8}(* 1,086)$ | 528.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hyogo.... | Trade <br> Agriculture <br> Trade | Loan: 105 yen Sales: 20,000 yen | 27.00 <br> 827.00 | 555 | 106,070 19.910 | 7,600 20,160 | 35,800 | 113.670 75.870 | 45.580 15.360 | 14,660 18,150 | 60,240 33.510 | 173.910 109.380 | 31.3 13.2 |
|  |  | Land: $\dagger 4.5(* 1,796$ ) | 888.00 | 828 | 98,760 | 17,600 | 35,800 | 116,360 | 26,230 | 6r,980 | 88,210 | 204,570 | 24.7 |
|  |  |  | 1.092 .00 115.00 | 1.277 | 2.580 | 41,100 | 60,200 | 103,880 | 510 | 32,160 | 33,670 | 136.550 | 10.7 |
| Hiroshima | Agriculture Trade Agriculture |  | 74.00 796.00 |  | 143,590 | 15,270 |  | 158,860 | 36.590 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Saleg: 3.000 yen | 403.00 | 403 | 243.590 | 7,100 | 36,250 | 13.350 | 4,220 | 9,592 | 13.810 | 47,160 | 15.7 |
| Okayama.. |  |  | 335.00 125.00 | 460 | 82,250 | 6,920 |  | 89,170 | 23,080 | 23,870 | 46,950 | 136,120 | 29.6 |
|  | Agriculture <br> Trade | Sales: 3.350 yen | 354.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yamaguchi. | Agriculture | Loan: roo yen | $\begin{array}{r}12.00 \\ 426.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 366 | 4.490 | 7,320 | 22,450 | 34,270 | 3.150 | 8,200 | 15.300 | 45.570 | 12.4 |
|  |  | Loan; 120 yen | ${ }_{10.00}$ | 436 | 44.300 | 8.200 | $\ldots$ | 52,500 | 13,380 | 19,650 | 33,030 | 85.530 | 19.5 |
|  | Trade | Sales: 9,000 yen | 779.00 | 853 | 2,790 | 20,520 | 40,130 | 63.440 | 9.240 | 26,640 | 35,880 | 99,320 | Ix. 6 |
| Shimane... | Arriculture | Rent of houses: 3 | 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Land: 110.3 (*5.086) | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 1542.00 \\ 79.000 \end{array}\right\|$ | 1.542 | 270.730 | 37,930 |  | 317,660 | 70,300 | 8x,890 | 151,190 | 468,850 | 30.5 |
|  |  | Sales: 9,300 yen | 791.00 $\times 60.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Loan: 500 yen <br> Rent of housea: azo s.subo | $\begin{array}{r} 169.00 \\ 58.00 \end{array}$ | 1,097 | 21,520 | 22,950 | 57,530 | 101,600 | 23,200 | 83,090 | 105,300 | 200,890 | 18.8 |

No. VI-Comparison of Revenue of Individuals and Burden of Taxation - Continued

|  |  |  | Y ${ }^{\text {en }}$ | Yen | Yen | $Y$ en | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Yen | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ori. | Agriculture | Forest: 12 yen <br> Land: $\dagger 9.8(* 4,178)$ | ( $\begin{array}{r}12.00 \\ 1+350.00 \\ 5.00\end{array}$ | 1.367 | 229.790 | 37.580 | $\ldots$ | 267.370 | 53.180 | 50.540 | 103.720 | 371,090 | 27.1 |
|  | Trade |  | \% 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rent of houses: 108 Loan: 38 yen | $\begin{array}{r}79.00 \\ 7.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,242 | 30,410 | 37,650 | 73.700 | 141.760 | 13.270 | 37.900 | 51,270 | x92,930 | X 5.5 |
| Fukuoka... | Agriculture Trade |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 1,156.00 \\ 668.00 \\ 563.00 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 668 | 109,890 | 99.540 | . ${ }^{\text {c. }}$ | 119.430 | 16.920 | 33.920 | 50,840 | 170,270 | 25.5 |
|  | Agriculture |  | 71.00 802.00 | 634 |  | 15.230 | 45.080 | 60.310 | 8,620 | 23,480 | $3 \mathrm{x}, 100$ | 91,410 | 14.4 |
| Nagasakl. . |  | Loan: roo yent | $\begin{array}{r}15.00 \\ \hline 8 .\end{array}$ | 817 | 89.540 | 17,470 |  | t07.010 | 38,970 | 66.170 | 105,140 | 212,150 | 26.0 |
|  | Trade Agriculture | Sales: 8.000 yen | 856.00 | 856 | 10.730 | 20.830 | 49.500 | ${ }^{81,060}$ | 12,510 | 25.730 | 38,240 | 189.300 | 13.9 |
| Kumamoto. |  | Land: $\dagger 2.9\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}, 387\right.$ ) | 519.00 | 519 | 76,320 | 8,320 |  | 84.640 | 11,620 | $25.310^{\circ}$ | 36,930 | 121.570 | 23.4 |
| Miyazaki. . | Agriculture | Sales: 6,500 yen ${ }^{\text {Lend }} \dagger 1.6\left({ }^{1,190}\right)$ | 800.00 309.00 | 800 | .... | 19,680 | 47,050 | 60.730 | 5.180 | 25.450 | 30,630 | 97,360 | 12.1 |
|  |  | Loan: 100 yen Sericulture: 6 pieces | 20.00 0.00 | 338 | 46,570 | 6.780 | $\cdots$ | 53.350 | 17,190 | 30,460 | 47.650 | tar,000 | 29.8 |
|  | Trade Agriculture | Salegi 3,600 yek | 360.00 | 360 |  | 7,200 | 34,650 | 31,850 | 4,450 | 8,900 | 13.350 | 45.200 | 12.5 |
| Oita.,..... |  | Land: $\dagger 2.44^{(490)}$, | $\begin{array}{r}327.00 \\ 22.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 349 | 42,820 | 6,040 |  | 48,860 | 13,700 | 13,400 | 77,190 | 76,050 | 21.7 |
| Kagoshima | Trade <br> Agriculture <br> Trade | Sales: 3.638 yen | 489.00 | 489 |  | 8.520 | 33.550 | 42,070 | 5,960 | 15.430 | 21.300 | 63.460 | 13.0 |
|  |  | Land: ${ }^{6}$ Land: $4^{(* 716)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 273.00 \\ 278.00 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 273 | 39,460 | 7.020 |  | 46,480 | r2,140 | 18,910 | 31.050 | 77.530 | 28.0 |
| Saga...... | Agriculture Trade | Sales: 4.500 yen | 400.00 88.00 | 48 | 2,880 | 8.380 | 24,700 | 35,960 | 6,440 | 24,050 | 30,490 | 66.450 | 15.9 |
|  |  | Sales: 1,850 y 6 \% | 681.00 407.00 |  | 116, | 13.130 |  | 129,160 | 31,8 | 22,090 | 54,550 | 183.710 | 27 |
| Tokushiam. | Agriculture <br> Trade |  | 4.00 644.00 | 411 762 | 5.380 179,147 | 7.500 13.230 | 23.230 13.900 | 36,110 206,277 | 4,120 27,438 | r0,270 42,022 | 14,390 69,460 | 50,500 275,737 | 12.3 36.3 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}148.00 \\ 43.00 \\ 88.00 \\ \hline 803\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 275,737 |  |
|  | , | Sales: 6,700 yen <br> Rent of houses: 320 | 403.00 | 579 | 13,370 | 9.960 | 23,700 | 47,030 | 7,060 | 7.510 | 14.570 | 61,600 | 10.9 |
| Kochi. .... | Agriculture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yen } \\ & \text { Land: } \dagger 4.4\left({ }^{(* 2,375)}\right. \\ & \text { Rent of houses: } 2 \text { II } \end{aligned}$ $y \in n$ | $\begin{array}{r} 489.00 \\ 689.00 \\ 15.00 \end{array}$ |  | 131,840 |  |  |  |  |  | 48,900 |  | 27.8 |
|  | Trade Agriculture | Sales: 17,030 yen | 704.00 | 704 | 20,540 | 22,850 | 44,0880 | 77,470 | 6,550 | ${ }_{8,830}$ | 15.380 | 92,850 | 13.4 |
| Ehima..... |  | Land: t4.1(*1,155) <br> Loan: 50 yen Sericulture: 17 yen | 513.00 47.00 14.00 | 574 | 63,240 | 9,630 |  | 72,860 | 21,770 | 44.270 | 66.040 | 138,900 | 24.2 |
|  | Trade | Land: 22 bu ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Agriculture | Sales: 5.067 yen | 557.00 562.00 | 558 | 150 | 9.920 | 30,880 | 40,950 | 9,839 | 18,394 | 28,233 | 69,183 | 12.4 |
| Kagawa... |  | Rent of housea: 18 isubo |  | 574 | 108,390 | 8,560 |  | 116,950 | 25,540 | 42,100 | 67,640 | 184.590 | 32.1 |
|  | Trade | Land: $\dagger .05\left({ }^{*} 38\right)$ <br> Sales: 7,000 yen <br> Loan: 150 yes | $\begin{array}{r} 26.00 \\ 575.00 \\ 18.00 \end{array}$ | Or9 | 3,040 | 22,810 | 86,530 | 81,320 | 9,630 | 23.740 | 33.370 | 115,750 | 18.7 |

No. VII.-Returns on Anticipatory Importation of Principal Сомmodities 1903-1906 a

| Commodities | 1905-6 | 1904-5 | 1903-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implements and tools of farmers, mechanics, and cutlery makers .. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yen } \\ 708,288 \end{gathered}$ | Yen <br> 355,022 | $\begin{gathered} Y e n \\ 319,81 I \end{gathered}$ |
| Musical and physical instruments.... | 384,717 | 247,185 | 272,322 |
| Electric light apparatus and fire engines. | 1,215,472 | 614,202 | 694,087 |
| Photographic instrumentsand fittings | 688,566 | 424,121 | 498,754 |
| Wheat and wheat flour | 13,963,459 | 11,162,170 | 15,492,258 |
| Carbolic acid and antipyrin | 705,335 | 344,913 | 303,178 |
| Rice. | 47,981,265 | 59,791,910 | 51,960,272 |
| Cotton seeds and sesame. . . . . . . . . | 1,841,859 | 1,254,660 | 1,202,130 |
| Hides or skins of bulls, oxen, cows, and buffaloes. | 2,077,996 | 2,319,226 | 825,814 |
| Brass tubes and pipes. | 416,734 | 169,858 | 157,334 |
| Copper tubes and pipes | 571,818 | 284,774 | 245,957 |
| Petroleum. | 12,061,261 | 18,201,489 | 11,455,696 |
| Linseed oil and machin | 970,703 | 572,755 | 683,542 |
| Sugar | 13,046,772 | 18,031,922 | 14,817,125 |
| Cottoñ thread. | 628,703 | 476,674 | 325,356 |
| Coke | 728,283 | 192,539 | 79,136 |
| Bicycles and parts thereo | 1,364,383 | 953,199 | 972,972 |
| Glue | 340,179 | 217,080 | 154,083 |
| Laundry soap | 134,270 | 69,796 | 85,05I |

- Prepared by the Toyo-keizai-shimpo-sha.

No. Vill.-Statistics Concerning Wages of Laborers*
A.-Increases of wages of general laborers, 1900-1910

| Kind of employment | 1900 | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House-building, etc | 100 | 108.1 | 110.0 | 111.5 | 108.0 | 111.8 | 121.3 | 142.9 | 155.7 | 153.5 | 154.4 |
| Tool-making, etc. | 100 | 107.5 | 111.7 | 111.3 | 109.5 | 114.9 | 122.1 | 134.1 | 141.7 | 144.5 | 147.5 |
| Agriculture. | 100 | 102.4 | 100.7 | 105.0 | 104.2 | 109.7 | 111.9 | 126.0 | 135.0 | 132.6 | 141.5 |
| Clothing, etc. | 100 | 103.4 | 107.8 | 108.6 | 106.8 | 112.5 | 121.5 | 131.5 | 136.6 | 139.3 | 146.6 |
| Food and drink manufacture | 100 | 100.9 | 106.6 | 111.2 | 108.6 | 111.2 | 113.4 | 125.8 | 132.0 | 136.8 | 141.9 |
| Miscellaneous. | 100 | 102.8 | 108.4 | 109.5 | 108.1 | 112.4 | 116.7 | 138.0 | 148.6 | 152.2 | 154.9 |
| Total average. | 100 | 104.7 | 107.7 | 109.5 | 107.5 | I12.1 | 118.4 | 134.1 | 143.0 | 144. 1 | 148.5 |

## No. VIII.-Statistics Concerning Wages of Laborersa-Conlinued

B.-Average daily wages of factory laborers and increases, 1900-igio

| Year | Filature |  | Cottonspinning |  | Cotton-tissue weaving |  | Carriage manufacture |  | - Machine driving |  | Printing |  | Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tab | le A |  |  | Table |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wages | Index No. |  |  | Wages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | W'ages | Index No. | Wages | Index No. | Wages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Wages | Index No. | Wages | Index No. | Index No. |
| * | Yen |  | Yen |  | Yen |  | Yen |  | Yen |  | Yen |  | Yen |  |  |
| 1900. | . 260 | 100 | . 310 | 100 | . 250 | 100 | . 450 | 100 | . 570 | 100 | . 350 | 100 | .365 | 100 | 100 |
| 1901. | .270 | 104 | . 310 | 100 | . 270 | 108 | . 460 | 102 | . 510 | 89 | . 400 | 114 | . 367 | 101 | 103 |
| 1902. | . 290 | 112 | . 290 | 94 | . 300 | 120 | . 520 | 116 | . 510 | 89 | . 420 | 120 | . 388 | 106 | 103 |
| 1903. | . 290 | 112 | . 300 | 97 | . 270 | 108 | +510 | 113 | . 530 | 93 | . 410 | 117 | . 385 | 106 | 107 |
| 1904 | . 290 | 112 | . 390 | -126 | . 270 | 108 | - 520 | 116 | - 570 | 100 | -410 | 117 | - 408 | 112 | 113 |
| 1905. | . 290 | 112 | . 330 | 106 | . 250 | 100 | . 550 | 122 | . 550 | 96 | . 420 | 120 | . 398 | 109 | 109 |
| 1906. | . 300 | 115 | . 360 | 116 | . 310 | 124 | . 530 | 118 | . 410 | 72 | . 490 | 140 | . 400 | 110 | 114 |
| 1907. | . 320 | 123 | . 400 | 129 | . 340 | 136 | . 600 | 133 | . 640 | 112 | . 490 | 140 | - 465 | 127 | 129 |
| 1908. | . 570 | 220 | . 390 | 126 | . 400 | 160 | . 660 | 147 | . 600 | 105 | . 510 | 146 | . 522 | 142 | 151 |
| 1909. | . 370 | 142 | . 390 | 126 | . 360 | 156 | . 610 | 136 | . 640 | 112 | . 510 | 146 | . 483 | 132 | 136 |
| 1910. | . 370 | 142 | . 430 | 140 | . 360 | 144 | . 570 | 127 | . 620 | 109 | . 510 | 146 | . 480 | 131 | 135 |

Quoted from the statistics prepared by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce. The figures for Table A represent the index numbers obtained
by averaging the real figures of wages in respective years, while those for Table B represent the index number of wages in corresponding yeara. Hence, both numbers do not claim any approach to accuracy, although the general aspects of fluctuations in wages may be observed therein.

No. IX.-Judicial Disposition Passed for Recovery of Taxes in Arrears*
A.-Taxes in arrears pressed for payment

| Items | 1903-4 |  | 1904-5 |  | Increase or decrease ${ }^{b}$ over previous year |  | 1905-6 |  | Increase or decrease over previous year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount of taxes | No. of defaulters | Amount of taxes | No.of defaulters | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \substack{\text { Amount of }} \\ \\ \text { taxes } \end{array}\right.$ | No.of defaulters | $\begin{gathered} \text { A mount of } \\ \text { taxes } \end{gathered}$ | No. of defaulters | $\int_{\text {taxes }}^{\text {Ampount of }}$ | No. of defaulters |
| Land tax. | $\underset{347}{ }{ }_{\text {Y }}$ |  | Yen |  | Yen |  | $Y$ \% |  | Yen |  |
| Income tax | 347,888 | 53,004 | 506.965 | 356, 623 | 159,077 | 25,070 | 1,045,982 | 352,924 | 539,016 | $\begin{array}{r}3,198 \\ 50.509 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Businesa tax | 523,045 | 97.043 | ${ }_{9} 95.425$ | 101,469 | 432.366 | 4.426 | $1,1,366,230$ | +18.012 | 549,432 410,809 | 30,399 $\mathbf{1 7 , 5 7 5}$ |
| Sake tax. | 1,202,070 | 4,039 | 1,072.455 | 3.964 | -129.614 | -75 | 1 564.564 | 3.195 | $-507,890$ | - 7 -769 |
| Soy tax. | 32.617 | 1,15! | 37,753 | 21,178 | 5.135 | 20.027 | 27.629 | 22.555 | -10,123 | 1,374 |
| Business tax on patent medicines | 10,409 | 5.086 | 15.434 | 5.699 | 5.025 | 613 | 18,320 | 5.244 | 2.886 | -45s |
| Mining tax. | 82,800 | 1,615 | 144.645 | 3.185 | 61,844 | 1.570 | 360,635 | 5.594 | 215.089 | 2,409 |
| Bourse tax, | 3.580 109 | 13 469 | 843 649 | 349 | r $-\mathbf{2 , 7 3 6}$ $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ | -9 -120 | 20,880 | 3.450 | $\overline{20,231}$ | -4 |
| Total. | 86 | 409.372 | 3,186,472 | 383 |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,528,306 | 499.372 | 3.186,472 | 558.38 | 658,074 | 60,015 | 4.405,979 | 604,868 | 1,219.507 | 45,482 |

B.- Seizure of property carried out for the recovery of taxes

| Items | 1903-4 |  | 1904-5 |  | Increase or decrease ${ }^{\text {b }}$ over previous year |  | 1905-6 |  | Increase or decreaseb over previous year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount of } \\ \text { taxe } \end{gathered}$ | No.of defaulters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount of } \\ \text { taxes } \end{gathered}$ | No. of defaulters | $\underset{\substack{\text { Ameses }}}{ }$ | No.of defaulters | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Arnount of } \\ \text { taxes } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { No.of de- } \\ \text { faulters } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Amount of taxes | No. of dee faulters |
| L.and tax. |  | 29.237 | Yan 43,743 | 37.874 | Yen 16.907 |  | Yen 79.407 |  | Yen 35.003 | 6.080 |
| Income tax. | 9,544 | 2,3,31 | 14.744 | 2.989 | 5.200 | 6.58 | 31,574 | $\underset{5.149}{43.85}$ | -16,829 | 2,080 2,160 |
| Buainess tax. | 29.522 | 7,201 | 62.381 | 8,902 | 33,859 | 1,701 | 99.443 | 9,083 | 37,001 | 181 |
| Sake tax.. | 1,018,653 | 1,264 | 978.737 | 1,149 | -39.919 | -115 | 545.848 | 8 II | -432,889 | -338 |
| Soy tax. | 4.294 | 78 | 5.113 | 1+123 | 819 | 1,044 | 2,034 | 1.333 | -3.079 | 211 |
| Business tax on patent medicines. | 1,165 | 471 | 1.538 | 462 | 373 | 9 | 1.557 | 495 | 18 | 33 |
| Mining tax. | 6.632 | 109 | 7.564 | 158 | 932 | 49 | 39.470 | 533 | 31,905 | 375 |
| ${ }_{\text {Staurse }}$ Stax ${ }_{\text {Stamp }}$ | $\begin{array}{r}148 \\ 50 \\ \hline 1.85 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1 204 | 71 27 | $\begin{array}{r}18 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -77 | 0 -182 | 652 | 84 | $\begin{array}{r}767 \\ \hline 65\end{array}$ | 628 |
| Total. | I,097,244 | 40,806 | 1,113,91* | 52,679 | 17,076 | 11,783 | 799.988 | 6r,442 | -313.935 | 8.763 | account the amount brought over from the preceding fiscal year. The amount increases slightly with the years; but if allowance is made for the amount brought over, mainly congisting of the sake tax in arrears, the total arrearages since x goa-3 result in gradual decrease.

b Indicated by minus aign.

No. IX.-Judicial Disposition Passed for Recovery of Taxes in Arrears - Continued
C--Arrears recovered by the dispositions

| Items | 1903-4 |  | 1904-5 |  | Increase or decrease ${ }^{b}$ over previous year |  | 1905-6 |  | Increase or decrease ${ }^{b}$ over previous year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount of taxes | No.of defaulters | Amount of taxes | No.of de faulters | Amount of taxes | No.of de. faulters | A mount of taxes | No.of defaulters | $\underset{\text { taxes of }}{\text { Amount }}$ | No. of defaulters |
| Land tax. | ${ }_{\text {Yen }}^{3.536}$ |  | Yen ${ }_{4,540}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{Y e n t}_{\boldsymbol{x}, 003}$ |  | Yen' ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | $Y_{4, n}$ |  |
| Income tax. | 3.210 | 5,753 399 | 4,540 | 5.737 507 | ${ }^{1,003}$ | 10 108 | \%,200 | 8.506 | 4,659 4,400 | 2,879 |
| Business tax. | 3,106 | 634 | 6,072 | 908 | 2,065 | 274 | 13,53I | r, $\mathrm{iz1}$ | 7,458 | 315 |
| Sake tax. | 480,855 | 659 | 565.742 | 666 | 84.886 | 7 | 272,063 | . 370 | -293.678 | -296 |
| Soy tax.... | 2,539 | 14 | 3,143 | 139 | 603 | 125 | 543. | 104 | -2,599 | 55 |
| Business tax on patent medicines. | 81 | 45 | 8 r | 54 |  | 9 | 236 | 63 | 154 | 9 |
| Mining tax. | 1,572 | 15 | 807 | 24 | $-365$ | 9 | 2,188 | 65 | t,381 | 4 x |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Bourse tax }}$ Stamp receipts. | 53 | 204 | 71 | $\underline{1}$ | $\begin{array}{r}71 \\ -52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | r -303 | 74 | 4 | -71 | -1 3 |
| Total. | 493,552 | 7.823 | 582.555 | 8,037 | 89,002 | 214 | 304,337 | 11,26] | 278,220 | 3.224 |
| D.-Arrears that resulted in loss to Treasury |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Items | 1903-4 |  | 1904-5 |  | Increase or decreaseb over previous year |  | 1905-6 |  | Increase or decrease ${ }^{\text {b }}$ over previous year |  |
|  | $\overline{A_{\text {Amount of }}^{\text {taxes }}} \mid$ | No.of de faulters | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Amount of No.of de- } \\ \text { taxes } \\ \text { fautters } \end{array}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Amount } \\ \text { taxes }}}{ } \|$Noof de- <br> faulters |  | $\underset{\text { taxes }}{\text { Amount of }}$No.of de- <br> faultery |  | Amount of <br> taxesNo. of de- <br> faulters |  |
| Land tax. |  |  |  | 1,090 |  | -237 | Yen ${ }_{\text {3,037 }}$ | 753 | Yem | -337 |
| Income tax. | 10,159 | 2,813 | 13,010 | 2,931 | 2.890 | 118 | 14,927 | 4.475 | 1.917 | 1.544 |
| Business tax. | T5,537 | 3.583 | 32,357 | 4,376 | 6,819 | 793 | 42,594 | 3.832 | 20.237 | -544 |
| Sake tax... | 368,906 | 451 | 381.007 | 393 | 12.109 | $-58$ | 82,740 | 348 | -298,206 | -45 |
| Soy tax. . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 22 | 887 | 185 | 866 | 143 | 583 | 1.167 | $-304$ | 1,002 |
| Business tax on patent medicines. | 885 | 379 | 919 | 433 | - 233 | - 54 | $\begin{array}{r}843 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 392 | $\bigcirc 75$ | -4x |
| Mining tax. | 7.315 | 81 | 3.380 | 54 | -3.935 | -27 | 10,434 | . 49 | 7.053 | 95 |
| Sourre tax Stamp receipta: |  |  | …. | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | 47 | 9 | 47 | 9 |
| Total. | 404,872 | 8,656 | 423.906 | 9.442 | 19.032 | 786 | 155,208 | 41,125 | 268,699 | 1,683 |

Tables A, B, C, D indicate the actual conditions for each fiscal year of the judicial dispositions for the recovery of taxes in arrears, leaving out of account the amount brought over from the preceding fiscal year. The amount increasegsign yty with the years; but in alt
rought over, mainly consisting
Indicated by minua sign.
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## RECENT PROBLEMS RELATING TO INDIRECT TAXES, ESPECIALLY EXCISES

GENERAL REMARKS
As the foregoing investigation shows, the war imposts in Japan consist chiefly of indirect taxes, especially excises. In the present condition, the excises form an integral part of the Japanese tax system. Closer examination of their genesis and development, however, brings to light the fact that most of them were established and have been retained down to the present time for the purpose of war and armament. Such being the case, it is not an exaggeration to assert that the problem whether or not to abolish excises, is not less important than the problem whether or not to abolish war taxes. In the investigation proper, my endeavor was mainly to elucidate the facts concerning the historical and statistical development of war imposts and their effects upon national economy and finance. In this appendix, we shall turn our attention to the contemporary currents of thought and examine the opinions of contemporary statesmen and theorists respecting the aforesaid facts; but because of the limited space allowed for this theme, all I can do is to outline general features, leaving the detailed points out of consideration. As I stated in the investigation proper, the total of the war taxes in this country at the present time amounts to $180,034,154$ yen, of which 34 per cent is the proceeds of direct taxes and the remaining 66 per cent the proceeds of indirect taxes. As to the effect of war taxation upon the national economy and finance, it is the latter group of levies that played the more important rôle. I also noted the fact, in the preceding research, that while the financial effects of war taxation resulted in the expansion of the national and the restriction of the local finances, its economic effects were the oppression of small-
income-earning classes, such as small peasants and laborers, and the unfair distribution of the national income. It will not be a difficult thing to see that this is a description of actualities which lie in the objective region of facts, without any subjective coloring of prejudice or bias. Now, what I want to depict in the following pages are the attitudes and opinions of the statesmen and scholars in Japan toward these facts; namely, in spite of their tecognition of this deplorable state of things, do they intend to leave it alone as a necessary evil, in consideration of the unfavorable economic, financial, and other situation of the country, or do 'they take the opposite view and assert the necessity of the reform and readjustment of the taxes in question, and, if so, what are their leading principles and what measures do they recommend? To describe these currents of thought more fully will be my task in the ensuing pages.

## EXCISE PROBLEM IN THE IMPERIAL DIET

During the half century from the dawn of the Meiji Era down to the present day of Taisho, as I noted in the main part of the investigation, the introduction of new and the increase of old taxes have been repeated six times for the defraying of the expenditures of war and expenses of armament, and all such measures were always attended with the establishment and extension of excises. Of the six cases, however, those we experienced prior to promulgation of the constitutional law of the Empire, in the twenty-third year of Meiji, are too insignificant with respect to their amount to supply the reader with a topic of general interest. Although those cases occurring after the Sino-Japanese War, especially the one at the time of the Boxer Uprising, provoked a hot discussion in the Parliament, still they failed to attract the attention of the public sufficiently to constitute a popular question. ${ }^{1}$ It is only in relation to the twice-

[^15]repeated measure of emergency special taxation that they became the focus of controversies among the intellectuals and grew to be a general theme of public discussion. Numerous were the opinions published by official and unofficial persons at this juncture, containing various projects and criticisms (comparable to those that have been uttered on like occasions in occidental countries) concerning methods of raising means sufficient to defray the war expenditures; namely, which is the more reliable source to be depended upon-the proceeds from public loans or receipts from taxation; and if the latter be preferable, which of the two is to play the more important rôle-direct taxes or indirect ones? Yet, generally speaking, the original proposals of the authorities were enforced under the spellbinding words kyokoku $i c c h i$ (national solidarity) and were accepted by the general public as an inevitable sacrifice in the unprecedented emergency.

In view of the general scheme of this treatise, it seems to me quite natural to begin with the examination of these opinions respecting the excise problem at that time. Unfortunately, however, we have scant sources of reliable information in this connection, partly because of the high-handed suppression of freedom of speech by the authorities in the strained circumstances of war time, partly because of the absence of saner reflection and cooler judgment on the part of the nation, fanatic under the chauvinistic slogan of "national solidarity." Under such conditions, therefore, the sources which I can use for this purpose are limited to the record of the Imperial Diet concerning the progress of the excise problem on the one hand and the opinions expressed in the most influential of non-official papers and journals on the other. From these documents, we know that most of the supporters of the increased tax bill approved it only as supplementary to the revenue from the public loans raised to defray the war cost, and that even some of them went so far as to profess absolute opposition to measures for the increase of taxes (insisting upon adhesion to the public
loan policy). But as to the excises in particular, we have no knowledge of any opinions expressed worthy of our notice, except some articles in the Oriental Economic Journal, which declared against the levies in question. ${ }^{1}$ It was after the restoration of peace, when the continuation of the Emergency Special Tax Law and the subsequent attempt to increase excises became the subject of severe contention, that the excise problem awakened the interest of the nation at large and became the center of public controversy. So, in the present chapter the development of the excise problem after the Russo-Japanese War will be described in its general features, leaving out of account those prior to the emergency. The twenty-second session of the Imperial Diet, the first session since the restoration of peace, was convoked on December 2 , 1905 . The nation, anticipating an enormous expansion of the national finance, owing to the post-bellum arrangement, attentively watched the actions of both the government and the Diet, as seriously affecting the fortunes of the Empire. The cabinet at that time, with Marquis Kimimochi Saionji as its premier, was under the influence of the Seiyūkai Party. The government, having decided to adhere to the schemes of the preceding cabinet en bloc with respect to the framing of the budget, submitted to the Legislature a project rendering war taxes permanent under the name of the Bill Relating to the Continuation of Emergency Special Taxes, together with proposals for establishing a sinking fund and issuing new loans. Despite the fact that the emergency taxes had been introduced in order to meet the war expenses at the time of the Russo-Japanese hostilities, and should have been abolished on the last day of the year following the restoration of peace, the authorities, who were compelled to seek resources of a permanent nature for
${ }^{1}$ Dr. Horie, in the chapters on taxation in his work Science of Finance (4th ed.), and Dr. Utsunomiya, in the first volume of his work Science of Finance, have noted their views upon the problem. Dr. Tajiri proposed the abolition of the salt monopoly in his Economic Essays (pp. 54-5), while Dr. Kudo explained the levying procedure of the existing excises in his instructive report at the tenth convention of the Society for Social Policy (November, 1915), under the title "Method of Assessment of Various Excises," (Ninth volume of the publications of the Society).
defraying the increased "compulsory" expenses, such as the redemption and payment of interest on public loans, pensions, and annuities, endeavored to render the imposts in question permanent and to cover the expanded expenditures by the thereby increased revenue, amounting to $\mathbf{r} 60,000,000$ yen.

First, in order to get a glimpse of the general trend of public opinion, let us examine the attitude taken by the influential newspapers toward the question. The Tokyo Asahi advised postponing the presentation of the bill until the next session in order to permit an adequate investigation, for the reason that "such a hasty decision is unkind to the nation, even if the continuation of the war taxes be unavoidable," while the Nihon argued that "the thoughtless demand for the entire abolition of the war taxes is improvident at this juncture, when the exigency of the National Treasury calls for remedy from this direction." The Mainichi declared its attitude toward the proposal as follows: "It was in anticipation of being able to command some other resources, in consequence of the victorious conclusion of the hostilities, that the government fixed the term of validity to the Emergency Special Tax Law. However, when the authorities failed to attain the desired object, they threw the burden of the war expenses on the shoulders of the nation. The government should apologize to the nation for this course of things. So, we recommend to the authorities to negotiate with the people concerning the measure to be adopted in the spirit of sincerity and thus endeavor to realize their demand of increased taxation." Hence, we see that the opinions of the journalistic world were quite varied.

In the committee of the House of Representatives we find distinct groups of opinions in respect to the project in question; the first disapproved the bill as a whole, the second demanded the repeal of the law on March 31, r907, viz., the extension of the term of validity of the Emergency Special Tax Law for one additional year, while the third supported the government's proposal without any alteration. In
connection with the presentation of the bill, the government announced at a meeting of the committee that it intended to appoint a commission on tax-law inquiry and to carry out in two years the adjustment extending the entire scope of the tax law; and when a division was taken, the original bill passed the commission by a majority. At the regular sitting of February 8, a vote on the Sinking Fund Bill was followed by debate on the project under notice. The opposition declared against the bill for the following three reasons: (i) to force the government to act upon its promise, (2) to induce the government to announce its sincerity to the nation, and (3) because of the absence of consistency in the Premier's administrative policy; whereas the government party supported the proposal, contending that, as the authorities proposed to reform the tax law in two years, appointing a commission on tax law inquiry, for this short period the public had strength enough to bear the burden resulting from the continuation of the war taxes. After the termination of heated controversies the original bill was passed.

On February 13 the same bill was sent to the House of Peers. In the committee an amendment was moved that the law should be repealed on March 31, 1908, but this was defeated and the original bill was passed by a majority. At the regular sitting of March 23, some members opposed the bill on the ground that the continuation of the emergency special taxation was a violation of the promise on the part of the government. Others moved an amendment to continue the law until March 3 r, 1908, and still another member supported the bill by alluding to the fact that "the continuation is inevitable under the present situation of the national finance." Upon division, the government's scheme was finally approved by a great majority.

In the manner above noted, emergency special taxes were made permanent; yet no small number of members of the House of Representatives, desiring the abolition of the salt monopoly, presented a bill at the twenty-third session of the Diet, summoned on December 25, 1906, abolishing the salt
monopoly. The bill, however, was rejected, and the only thing accomplished was the approval of a representation to the same end by a great majority.

Discontented with the continuation of the emergency special taxes, the government submitted to the Diet, at its twenty-fourth session, commencing on December 27, a bill for increased taxation, together with a project of tax-law adjustment. The same bill aimed at the extension of excises, with an expected increase of revenue, coming up to $15,000,000$ yen after the fiscal year 1909-10, from the extension of the tax on sake and the sugar excise, the introduction of the petroleum excise, and the advancement of tobacco prices. The opinions of the members of the committee regarding the bill were at variance. Mr. Matsuda, the Minister of Finance, explained the bill as follows:
"Despite the fact that of the expenses necessary to carry out at this time what had been planned in the fiscal year 1907-8 the greater part could be covered only by raising public loans, the issue of loans was next to impossible under the present unfavorable economic conditions, foreign and domestic. Again, the government's endeavor to meet the exigency by postponing some part of its undertakings and by retrenching expenditures was in vain. Thus, there was no way open but to have recourse to the scheme of increased taxes. Further, granting the inevitableness of increasing taxes, what reason was there for raising that additional revenue exclusively from taxes on consumption? The government committee explained that the reason the government attempted to get the increased revenue exclusively by extending excises was that the share of the public burden apportioned to direct taxes was disproportionately heavy, owing to the increased assessment during war time, amounting to $160,000,000$ yen. While in the fiscal year 1903-4 the percentages of direct and indirect taxes had been 35.8 and 64.2, respectively, after the levying of the war taxes they changed to 40.4 and 59.6 , thus necessitating the extension of excises in order to restore the equilibrium. After the closure, all
the bills were passed with a slight amendment in regard to the tax on sake. At the regular sitting of the lower House, though not without a considerable number of opponents, the bill as amended by the committee was approved. In the House of Peers also, both in the committee and in the regular sitting, the bill was passed by a great majority. In the sitting, 148 voted for and 45 voted against the bill. Now, let us review the main points of the discussions at the session of the House of Peers. The principal reasons for the opposition there were the following: (r) The government had not taken into consideration the surplus of $1908-9$, amounting to $33,000,000$ yen, and, moreover, the consideration of the bill had better be postponed until the Peers were informed of the outcome of the deliberations still going on in the Lower House concerning the tax-law adjustment, which might bring about more or less surplus in the revenue; (2) if the government could manage to postpone or curtail some part of expenditures for armament, which had expanded stupendously since the Sino-Japanese and RussoJapanese wars, it would be able to dispense with the extension of excises and relieve the lower classes from the oppression thereof; (3) at this moment the burden borne by the nation had reached its extreme point and there was no possibility of adding to it. On the other hand, the supporters justified the project for a twofold reason. (i) To make solid the foundation of our finance, it was necessary to frame a sound scheme extending over several years, and to frame a sound financial scheme we could not rely upon an accidental surplus but must look for some other permanent resources; (2) however desirable the recuperation of the economic powers of the nation, it was inadmissible, in view of the international relations of the Empire, to cut down or greatly postpone the expenses of armament."

In this way the bill for increased taxes was approved; but what was the fate of the Bill for Tax-Law Adjustment, which was submitted to the Diet with the above-mentioned bill? The bill was a project of law which provided for adjustment
of the land tax and of twenty-seven other taxes. But here I will introduce the bill so far only as it concerns indirect taxes: (a) As to the textile excise, hitherto woolen fabrics and other fabrics had been treated differently with regard to the method of assessment and the tax rate, but the bill was intended to abolish this discrimination and adopt the method of taxing transactions at the rate of ro per cent ad valorem; (b) as to the travelers' tax, the bill maintained the existing law as it stood, with one exception, that is, exemption of fares less than I sen; (c) as to the salt monopoly, the bill aimed at gradual cheapening and equalization of retail prices throughout the whole country, and for this purpose the government was directed to resort to divers measures, such as appointment of wholesale and retail dealers, sending the commodity to the wholesale dealers at the government's expense, thus enabling them to supply salt at fixed prices, adjustment of the salt fields which required higher cost of production, and importation of salt from Formosa or other places where the article was produced at smaller cost; and, further, in order to realize an abundant and cheap supply of salt, the government was to establish its own shops, bear the cost of sale and transportation, reduce the minimum selling unit, facilitate joint purchases by purchasing societies, and endeavor to reduce the money to be paid as compensation to manufacturers. Thus, the authorities attempted, generally speaking, to maintain the existing system of indirect taxes as in case of direct taxes. Compared with the estimates of the fiscal year 1907-8, the shrinkage of revenue incidental to the reform was estimated at $2,137,945$ yen. But in regard to indirect taxes, reduction of the textile excise by only $1, i 89,578$ yen was to be effected, while increases of the traveling taxes and of the tax on sake by 39,539 and 227,786 yen, respectively, were expected. The bill under consideration was rejected en bloc at the final meeting of the committee after several deliberations. The reasons for the rejection were different as between the government and opposition parties; whereas the former con-
tended that, as the bill required a closer investigation, a year's delay should be granted to the government for composing a more perfect and accurate project, the latter argued to the effect that the bill embodied materially the proposals of increased taxes and did not touch fundamental reforms of the tax law in the least. The same bill, which had been presented to the regular sitting on March 9 , unfortunately fell through, owing partly to the withdrawal by the government and partly to the disapproval of the Assembly.

Although the emergency special taxes had been continued and the bill for increased taxes had been carried, not a few members of the opposition cherished animosity toward it, which feeling became embodied, during the twenty-fourth session of the Diet, in the bill repealing the salt monopoly, the textile excise, and the traveling tax, popularly known as the "Bill for the Repeal of the Three Bad Taxes," by Mr. Saburo Shimada, and seconded by other members. The bill was justified on the grounds that the salt monopoly not only did not yield much profit but entailed a heavy burden on the nation and oppressed the livelihood of the lower classes in particular, that the textile excise hampered the development of industries and impeded the growth of foreign trade, to say nothing of its embarrassing mode of collection, and that the traveling tax, levying upon the use of civilized means of communication, hindered the development of traffic, and especially caused no small discomfort to the lower population.

The project of repealing the salt monopoly, among others, about which a representation had been passed by a great majority in the last session, was defeated, though not a few members of the government party voted for it, together with the proposals concerning the textile excise and the traveling tax, both in the committee and in the regular sitting. In the committee there were none who opposed the bill by denying the notorious nature of the three taxes. Diverse as were the opinions against the project, they agreed on the one point that it was imprudent to insist on the abolition at the
present, when the bill for increased taxes had been passed and the government had no resources at its disposal to replenish the deficiency; whereas the main purport of the supporters' opinions was that the fiscal deficit due to the repeal of the three taxes might be met by retrenchment in the budget. On a division the number of ayes and noes were even, and the project of abolishing the traveling tax suffered a similar fate, the vote on the bill being it for and 15 against. In the regular sitting, Mr. Saburo Shimada, presenter of the bill, and others energetically argued for it, referring to the fact that the three taxes were unfair in distributing public burdens and injured the growth of national production. After the conclusion of debates a vote was taken, and the bill was defeated by 200 noes out of 322 votes.

Not being disheartened in the least, Mr. Saburo Shimada again presented the same bill, at the commencement of the twenty-fifth session of the Diet, viz., a bill repealing three taxes, that is, the salt monopoly, the traveling tax, and the textile excise. The project proposed to repeal the three taxes at the end of igio. Mr. Shimada stated the reasons for the presentation, and said:

The obnoxious nature of the three taxes was universally admitted, with the sole exception, perhaps, of the authorities; nay, even the authorities did not deny that they were bad imposts, yet theyopposed the proposal on the ground of the shortage of resources; thus, the problem was essentially a problem of resources; but going a step further, the problem was a problem of confidence in the present government rather than the problem of resources, for if the government had listened to the unanimous cry of the nation against the notorious taxes, and endeavored to search for the proper resources, the problem of resources would never have taken place.

In response to this, Prime Minister Taro Katsura opposed the bill by stating that under the existing circumstances of national finance the government could not, with all its efforts, find any source of taxation large enough to offset the reduced revenue of $35,000,000$ yen, which would result from the repeal of the three taxes. After a criticism which Mr. Shimada made, to the effect that according to the anticipation of the

Minister of Finance of the preceding cabinet the increased revenue from the tax on sake and the petroleum excise would amount to $11,400,000$ yen in 19 ro and 20,000,000 yen in 1913, and the profits of the tobacco monopoly to $7,760,000$ yen in 1910 and 9,800,000 yen in 1913, which figures, added together, would be more than enough to supplement the deficiency due to the abolition of the three taxes, the discussion was continued between him and the Premier; but, upon a division, the bill was again rejected.

Together with the bill relating to the repeal of the three taxes, the question of customs duty on grains became a center of discussion during this session of the Diet. The Seiyūkai and Daido Club presented a reform bill relating to the customs tariff law and impoirt tariffs, proposing to double or more than double the existing rates of customs duty on grains, by means of which they intended to protect the interests of land owners through the artificial advance in prices of rice and other cereals. They also presented a separate bill imposing different tax rates on cleaned and uncleaned rice. Though accepted by the committee, of which the Seiyükai and Daidō Club formed a majority, at the regular sitting the debates on the bills led to the utter confusion of the Assembly, and a division did not take place.

The Tax-Law Adjustment Bill, which had been submitted to and rejected by the twenty-third session of the Diet, was again presented, after reinvestigation to the Legislature during its twenty-sixth session. The outlines of the adjustment bill, as far as it is concerned with excise problems, are as follows: (I) as to the traveling tax, passengers who traveled within cities, or places deemed as such, were to be exempted; (2) as to the tax on refined sake, three months' delay was to be allowed for the payment if adequate securities were given, and the tax should be remitted if the sake was used for industrial purposes during the period; (3) as to the sugar excise, the existing graduation of four classes should be changed into one of six, and tax rates were to be reduced; (4) as to the textile excise, the rate of 15 per cent
imposed on woolen fabrics was to be reduced to so per cent, as in the case of other textile fabrics; (5) as to the salt monopoly, (a) the profit rate was to be lowered in regard to the salt with specially reduced prices, (b) the payment for the transport expenses of purchased salt to be reduced, and, finally, (c) adjustment of salt fields to be carried out, the deficiency occasioned thereby to be supplemented by importing the salt with lower cost of production from Formosa and Kwantung Province, and increased profits due to this process and reduced expenses due to the adjustment of salt fields to be disposed for the purpose of lowering the selling prices of salt. The reduction of revenue (putting both direct and indirect taxes together, but setting aside the salt monopoly) was estimated at $10,111,000$ yen, of which the reductions of the indirect taxes were $1,007,000$ yen in the case of the traveling tax, 2,034,000 yen in that of the sugar excise, and $\mathrm{r}, 04 \mathrm{r}, 000$ yen in that of the textile excise. Furthermore, the profits of the salt monopoly were to be reduced (i) by io,000 yen in, consequence of the lowered prices of the salt with specially reduced prices (fiscal year 1910-11); (2) by 174,000 yen in consequence of the diminished revenue resulting from the reduced assessment for transport expenses of purchased salt (fiscal year 1910-11); (3) by 312,000 yen (fiscal year 1911-12) and by 634,000 yen (fiscal year 1912-13) in consequence of the reduced price due to the adjustment of salt fields. Summing up all the items above mentioned, the remissions of indirect taxes came to $6,668,000 \mathrm{yen}$. After the closure, the project of repealing the transit duty on city traffic, having the Seiyūkai as its opponents, was disapproved on the ground that, although the law provided for determining by ordinance what should be regarded as the vicinity of a city, it would be impossible to determine this precisely, and that the revision would exert no small influence upon the resources of the national coffers. The Revised Sugar Excise Bill was passed with no objection. As to the rate of the textile excise, the revised bill was accepted, but the clause, stipulating that textile dealers who made false
answer to the questions put by revenue officials should be fined was blotted out from the original bill as sounding too drastic. The Salt Monopoly Adjustment Bill was accepted with slight amendment by the House of Representatives and the amendment passed the House of Peers. This is the first session of the Diet in which the remission of excises obtained approval, the total sum of which amounted to $3,66 \mathrm{r}$,000 yen, the diminution of receipts from the sugar excise and the textile excise being $3,075,000$ yen and that of profits from the salt monopoly 585,000 yen.

However, this adjustment can by no means be called fundamental, and the opposition party did not cease to claim radical remissions of taxes. In the debate on the budget in the twenty-seventh session of the Diet, Mr. Tokitoshi Taketomi brought forward a motion to send back the budget to the government, and explained the reasons for it, in the course of which he touched the tax-law problem, and said;

We can not help being surprised at the arrogant pretension of the government that the emergency taxes have been for the most part adjusted. When and how has the government adjusted the emergency special taxation? What has been done in regard to the income tax or the business tax, the unfair distribution of the burden of which taxes the government expressly recognized and declared that the remission of them was an urgent necessity? How about the textile excise and the salt monopoly? The adjustment, which the government is so proud of, is nothing but the reduction of the tax rates of the land tax by 8 per cent and the continuation of emergency special taxes as. permanent ones. I can not see the reason why the same government which recognized the necessity of taxlaw reform last year should now remain indifferent toward it. The traveling tax is still obnoxious, and as to the textile excise and the salt monopoly, groanings are heard from all directions, of which the government must, have full knowledge.

After the conclusion of the discussions, the motion was lost upon a vote, and the problem has made no further progress, except for the government's promise in the twentyeighth session concerning the salt monopoly, among other indirect taxes, that it would endeavor to make adequate investigation with the object of mitigating it.

Being an extraordinary session, convened for the vote of expenses of the Imperial funeral incidental to the demise of Emperor Meiji, the twenty-ninth session of the Diet expired without touching the problem in the least. In the thirtieth session of the Diet, under the regime of Yamamoto's Cabinet; in spite of the promise that the government would endeavor to carry out a reduction of taxes upon the basis of the investigation prepared by the preceding Saionji Cabinet, what the government achieved in this connection was but an announcement that from and after the year 1913 the profits of the salt monopoly should be reduced by $2,150,000$ yen.

In the thirty-first session, upon explaining the financial scheme for the fiscal year $1914-15$, Yamamoto's Cabinet announced nothing positive in regard to the tax-system adjustment, but only that such measures as were deemed necessary in consequence of the results of the investigation should be submitted to the Diet. The realization of this announcement, however, seems to have been restricted to the reduction of direct taxes, such as the business tax, the succession duty, the tax on bourses, and others, and never extended to indirect taxes. Thereupon, the Kokuminto party brought forth a bill demanding the abolition of the business tax, the textile excise, the traveling tax, the petroleum excise, as well as the salt monopoly, but was unfortunately unsuccessful. The Seiyūkai party also presented a bill reducing the business tax and the land tax, together with bills reducing the traveling tax and textile excises. According to these proposals, the traveling tax was to be reduced in proportion to the reduction in fares for students, soldiers, and laborers, leaving taxes under 1 sen uncollected, and consequently students, soldiers, and laborers journeying less than fifty miles for the reduced fares would be exempted from the tax ( 410,000 yen); i per cent of the traveling tax collected was to be handed to the operators of railways, steamers, and tramways as commission fees for collecting the tax ( 40,000 yen); as to the textile excise, 1 per cent of the tax collected was to be given to the gilds of textile dealers
as commission fees ( $190,000 \mathrm{yen}$ ), and the standard of taxation was to be rearranged and taxable values reduced ( $2,300,000$ yen). But in the House of Peers both bills were rejected.

Corresponding to the presentation; by the political parties, of bills reducing or abolishing taxes, a movement for the same end was started among the people to reinforce themAs the government, notwithstanding the unprecedented surplus of this year, amounting to $132,000,000$ yen, laid undue store by the completion of naval armament, and dealt quite indifferently with the mitigation of the national burden, the whole country was filled with overwhelming complaint, which burst out in reduced-tax demonstrations of various descriptions. The movement culminated in a lecture meeting at the Theatre Meiji on January 25. This movement, being started by the Tokyo Chamber of Commerce with the support of a business men's union and a journalists' association, attracted public attention for a time by challenging the authorities with the resolution that they would boycott all the banks and companies connected with the members of the Seiyūkai party; nevertheless, the movement was substantially directed to the repeal of the business tax and had little connection with the excise problem.

The thirty-second, thirty-third, and thirty-fourth sessions of the Diet were all extraordinary ones. The thirty-second session, summoned for the vote of the funeral expenses incidental to the demise of the Imperial Dowager, did not touch the question under consideration. In the thirty-third session of the Diet, convoked for the deliberation of an urgent measure for constructing three battleships, which had been approved by the thirtieth session, but had not yet been put into practice owing to the failure of the budget in the thirtyfirst session, the only project laid before the Legislature was a supplementary budget for the purpose above noted. During the session, a certain member moved a question of general character concerning the tax system: (i) which taxes did the government intend to repeal if the circumstances allowed it? (2) did the government intend to reduce the land
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tax, and if so to what extent? to which the government replied with a note that as the matter was under consideration it could not give a definite answer. So, this session accomplished nothing in connection with the excise problem. Lastly, the thirty-fourth session was extraordinarily summoned for the vote of war expenses incidental to the outbreak of Germano-Japanese hostilities, and the business performed was nothing but the approval of the war budget.

Although Okuma's Ministry paid so much attention to the solution of the question of national defense and to the realization of means of remitting taxes that it counted them among the important items of its political program, the urgent need of war expenses due to the outbreak of the European War, and subsequent participation of the Empire in it, compelled the government to abandon these measures for the moment. Upon the commencement of the thirty-fifth session as an ordinary session, the subjects of political controversies between the opposition and the Ministry were national defense, diplomatic problems in relation to the GermanoJapanese War, as well as the financial schemes connected with the above affairs; and no event worthy of our notice occurred with regard to the problem in hand, excepting a few questions moved by some members concerning the repeal of the business tax in the Committee on the Budget. As affecting the problem immediately, a bill revising part of the Sake Tax Law, a bill revising part of the Textile Excise Law, and a bill revising part of the Sugar Excise Law were presented but were rejected.

In the thirty-sixth session of the Imperial Legislature, an extraordinary session, the establishment of two military divisions in Chōsen, the reduction of the amount to be devoted to the redemption of national debt, and the transfer therefrom to the special account of Imperial Railways, which supplied the motives for the dissolution of the last session and were the principal objects for the summoning of this extraordinary session, absorbed all the attention of the government and the people, and naturally the problem
of the taxation system was almost set aside. Upon the debate on the budget; questions and answers were exchanged once or twice between the opposition and the government concerning the reduction of the land tax and the total abolition of the business tax; but with regard to indirect taxation the only event was the presentation of the revised salt monopoly bill, which, however, fell through prior to the vote. Besides this bill, a representation was brought in stating the need of establishing an institution for inquiry into taxsystem adjustment, and several questions were forwarded concerning the remissions of public burden and realization of the reduced tax policy. Further, Dr. Kobayashi moved a question concerning the prospect of the raising-no-loan measure of the government, during which he stated: "The reverse side of the financial scheme founded on the raising-no-loan principle is, generally speaking, recognition of an increased tax policy, for the resources of financial schemes of the state are substantially either public loan or taxation. However, in this country the tax system is so confused and unreasonable that we could hardly expect any benefit from a makeshift measure of partial reduction of tax rates, if a radical adjustment were not carried out"; and he emphasized the pressing necessity of tax-system adjustment and the impossibility of extension of taxes. But these opinions have no immediate relation with the problem under consideration.

In the latest session (thirty-seventh) of the Diet, a project of law revising part of the Sake Tax Law, which designed to enlarge the scope of some part of the category of alcoholic liquors and which had been laid before the thirty-fifth session, was again presented; but it is of small significance. The representation about the establishment of an institution for investigating the tax system was again brought in. Discussions about remissions of taxation took place between the Minister of Finance and Messrs. Kobáyashi and Nagashima; but they never touched the excise problem directly. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Cf. History of National Accounts; Extract from the Proceedings of the House of Representatives; History of Imperial Diet, supplement of "the New Law."

According to what I have described hitherto, the problem whether to retain or repeal, to reduce or extend, indirect taxes, especially excises, as war imposts, became a focus of parliamentary controversies during a decade, viz., from the twenty-second session (1905-6) to the thirtieth session (191213) of the Imperial Diet. In all controversies, however, the government party always defeated the opposition; namely, in the twenty-third session the special taxes were continued as the government proposed, and in the twentyfourth session the tax on sake and the sugar excise were extended, and the prices of monopoly tobacco raised. Though we met with the reduction of the sugar and textile excises, as well as the revision of the salt monopoly, not only were they all government projects, but the sum reduced was ridiculously small, amounting to only 15 per cent of the sum previously increased.

Turning to the direct taxes, we have experienced the reduction, if not enormous, of the land tax, the business tax, the succession duty, and others, but no cases of their increase. From the thirty-first session (1913) down to the present time, the problem of the taxation system has never attained to a significant place among parliamentary affairs, and even when the tax system was considered at all, the taxes dealt with have always been limited to direct taxes, indirect taxes having been left out of account. ${ }^{1}$

## EXCISE PROBLEM AS SEEN IN THE REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON TAX-LAW INQUIRY

The impression we can get from the survey of the history of the excise problem in the House of Representatives during the twelve years since the Russo-Japanese War is that, whereas the government has always insisted on the inevitableness of the introduction and extension of excises on the ground of the shortage of revenue, the opposition parties have all the time demanded their repeal and reduction,
${ }^{1}$ See notes, ante, pp. 206, 208. Cf. National Finance and Economy of the Sino-Japanese War, and Kobayashi, The Past and Present of the National Finance, and the articles of Messrs. Amano and Uetake, "Comment on the Increased Tax Bill," published in the Tokyo Economic Journal in 1904-5
chiefly on the ground of the unfair distribution of the burden; and that, in spite of tumultuous discussions, very little has been added either to the development of theories or to the investigation of facts. Be that as it may, we can apprehend the fundamental notions concerning this subject on the part of the government through two official documents, the one being the "Report of the Commission on Tax-Law Inquiry," which Commission, consisting of high officials of the Department of Finance, was appointed as part of the post-bellum measures of financial adjustment, and the other being "Extract from Proceedings of the Committee on the Inquiry into the Bill of Tax-Law Adjustment," which committee was appointed for the contemplation of projects of tax-law adjustment presented by the aforesaid commission, having as its members higher officials of several departments, members of the two houses of the Imperial Diet, scholars both in and out of office, and eminent business men. Apart from these, we have no knowledge of any investigation concerning the tax system subsequent to the memorable struggle, and notwithstanding the alteration of ministries from time to time the attitude of the successive Cabinets has been for the most part to adhere to the point of view founded on the results of the investigation in question, overlooking insignificant diversions.

In the instruction of the Minister of Finance, issued on the occasion, the following five items are pointed out as the objects of the investigation: ( r ) equalization of the burden of taxation in case of unfair apportionment; (2) abolition of taxes or reduction of their rates where their imposition or high rates stand in the way of industrial development; (3) reduction of the rates of such taxes as have suffered decrease of proceeds on account of enhanced tax rates; (4) simplification in the method of assessment and collection where it is complicated; (5) selection of new sources of taxation in order to fill the deficit of the revenue which might be caused if the foregoing reform were carried into effect. In obedience to the ministerial instruction with regard to the scope
and order of investigation and other matters, the committee started the investigation, which extended to both financial and economic fields concerning different imposts, taking foreign institutions and actual situations at home into consideration. As to the selection of the sources of taxation, and other adjustment and reform of the tax system in general, revenue officers were consulted, while with regard to the effects of the emergency special taxation, vicissitudes of industries in general before and after the war, changes in the livelihood of the nation, and strength of tax-paying ability, the returns from local governors, revenue superintendents, and chambers of commerce were chiefly depended upon, and, finally, in respect to the propriety and reasonableness of each item of the proposed adjustment, chambers of commerce, clearing houses, trade associations of the textile manufacturers, and other associations of business men were consulted, whose answers were taken into account.

The investigation resulted in three projects of adjustment. The first project proposed reform only in such taxes as require revision on account of the unfair distribution of their burden, their complexity, and the inconvenience of their collection, and other reasons, leaving the existing tax law, in the main, as it is; the second one proposed to repeal such taxes as were the subject of popular discussion, so far as the consequent deficit may be supplemented by the introduction of new taxes which are deemed comparatively good; the last one planned a fundamental reform of the existing system of taxation, with simultaneous abolition of all the unfavorable taxes. Of these three plans, the commission preferred the first, as most befitting the present situation, and decided to compose the original tax-law bill on the basis of it; in other words, the commission attempted to effect the improvement in only those levies the burden of which is not apportioned fairly or of which the collecting process is too complicated. This is the leading principle under which the Commission on the Inquiry into the Tax Law worked out its own plan of tax-law readjustment. Keeping these things in mind,
therefore, it will not be so difficult to imagine the general features of the positive proposals of the commission toward war imposts, especially excises, the purport of which is to recognize the retention of excises of all the emergency special taxes that have been continued since the war time, as an unavoidable necessity, and to carry out the adjustment only in those with unduly heavy incidence and much complicated method of collection.

Let us, now, examine the detailed points of the report as far as they concern our present examination. According to the report as to the tax on sake, to begin with, there is no hope of raising its rate in the face of the fact that further advancement of the rate might cause shrinkage in the volume of consumption; secondly, as to the tax on soy and the sugar excise, it is advisable to maintain them in status quo; and, lastly, as to the textile excise and the salt monopoly, the most disputed of all the excises, their retention as they stand is maintained in consideration of the fiscal necessity, the only reform being the adoption of an ad valorem system in the textile excise. So, even though we find in the reform project a reduction of estimated revenue of nearly $2,000,000$ yen compared with the estimated amount of the same for the fiscal year 1907-8, yet this sum of $2,000,000$ yen is to be ascribed not so much to the revision of indirect taxes, excises among others, as to the adjustment of the direct ones. If we may assume that the point of view of this commission has been sustained by the successive Cabinets, it will not be amiss to conclude that the authorities have recognized the necessity of neither repeal nor revision nor readjustment in the existing excises, holding the present situation of economic and financial affairs in mind. ${ }^{1}$

As there were a few among the authorities who insisted upon the abolition or revision of excises, so also were heard incessantly arguments to the same end, though stronger, from the mouths of theorists, statesmen, and practitioners.

[^16]But I hesitate to quote assertions of the business men concerned and political parties as representing unbiased opinion, for they are likely to be tinted by egoistic motives. In the following pages, therefore, attention will be directed to the survey of the excise problem in academic circles.

## EXCISE PROBLEM IN ACADEMIC CIRCLES

In the present stage of the progress of social sciences in Japan, where neither consistent assertion of scientific conviction nor distinct systematization of economic truths is discernible, and where consequently no scientific school in this line has yet developed, it is very difficult, even next to impossible, to make precise discrimination and exact classification of the different opinions on the current questions.

In the following description, therefore, all that I can do is to arrange, for only the purpose in hand, the opinions of theorists, as far as they affect the excise problem, in accordance with my own private judgment.

I will begin with the argument of a general nature concerning the increase of excises. Mr. Noritake, editor-inchief of the Tokyo Economic Journal, published his opinion in that journal under the title of "Danger of Undue Increase of Excises," in which he argued, as follows:

A tax on commodities would be a good source of revenue if the taxable objects were carefully selected and assessment were moderate, and there is no civilized country in modern times which has not recourse to it. So, I am not an absolute opponent of the imposts under discussion, and yet $I$ am convinced of the fact that there is a certain limit assignable to excises beyond which no more increase of revenue can be expected from the imposition. Nevertheless, the government, overlooking this obvious truth, has been ready all the time to extend excises whenever the situation called for the increase of revenue. And at this juncture, when the fiscal exigency has given rise to an attempt on the part of the authorities to increase taxes, the government has paid special attention to excises, among others, and intends, it seems, to increase the profit of the tobacco monopoly and extend the sugar excise and the tax on sake. Even in the present condition, it is a rare exception when the necessaries of life and luxuries are not subjected to heavy taxation. Nor is it deniable that the distribution of burden is not equitable, which
presses the poor unduly and charges the rich much too lightly. The incessant extension of the excises at this moment, therefore, will necessarily lead to the shifting of unbearable pain upon the middle and lower strata of society, upon laboring classes in particular. The vexatious pressure felt on the part of the contributors will not be the only result of such excises, but they are doomed to enervate the activity of the economic society. When the economic vitality of the country tends to decline, the proceeds from the excises will not only not increase but will necessarily shrink, even if the government should raise the price or lower the quality of the monopoly goods or make the rate of excises heavier. And the government, which is blind to this indisputable truth and resorts to the lopsided policy of increased excises, is open all the time to the charge of abusing the financial sources, and if the government is obstinate enough to cling to such a measure, surely some day will dawn when it will be surprised at the unexpected result of its former decision.

It may be supposed that the government endeavors to follow the line of least resistance by depending chiefly upon the increased receipts from the excises. Again it is true that the excises which producers or sellers pay as they dispose of their goods are much more convenient for the contributors to pay than the direct taxes, which are directly collected by tax officers. But very few of the bearers of this public charge will long remain ignorant of the obnoxious effect of such imposition, i.e. the rise in the prices of commodities. High prices of commodities can not help but accelerate the tendency of the rich to grow richer and of the poor to grow poorer, and consequently widen the gulf between the wealthy and the destitute. Thus, the quarter whence the government expected the least resistance may one day turn out to be the place of the most violent resistance, and if this course of things happened to bring about the prevalence of dangerous social theories none but the government itself would be responsible for supplying them with adequate impetus. ${ }^{1}$

Likewise, the Oriental Economic Journal, in the article entitled "Pressure of Taxation and Abnormal Change in Prices," declared against the extension of the excise. The purport of the argument is as follows: "In the present situation of our taxation system, the total sum of the ordinary revenue from taxes amounts to $470,000,000$ yen, of which only $140,000,000$ yen is the yield of direct taxes, while the remaining $330,000,000$ yen is from indirect ones, and the charges of the latter group of taxes are levies for the most part upon rice, meat, salt, sake fabrics, and sugar. The necessary result is, needless to say, the abrupt rise in the prices of taxed
${ }^{1}$ Shukudō's (Noritake's pen name) posthumgus work on Public Finance, pp. 763-64.
commodities, and thus an abnormal elevation of price level in recent years has been brought about." Further, contrasting the index numbers of prices of fifty-three staple goods, before and after the war, prepared by the same journal, the writer points out the vexatious influence of the imposts, and reasons thus:

Recent high prices may be attributed partly to the lower value of gold throughout the world, partly to the inflation of currency after the war, and hence they are universal phenomena, regardless of the kinds of goods; yet the difference in the rising tendency among individual wares can not but be ascribed solely to the effect of taxation. If we watch the movement of prices more carefully, we will find that primarily the price of the articles levied upon has been raised directly on account of the shifting of the imposts and indirectly because of the increased cost of production, showing multifarious variations of individual prices, according to the greater or lesser competition from imported articles. Such an abnormalchange in prices will exert upon the national industries nothing but an injurious effect.

In the case of industries the products of which have become extraordinarily dear, the exorbitant price, being the result of heavy taxation, by no means benefits the producers, but only impairs the interest of the consumers; and in the case of industries the products of which have not shown such greatly increased prices or rather which have shown a diminished price, the profits will be cut on account of the higher prices of other articles, sometimes the loss being so fatal as to threaten the enterprises with utter destruction. In this way, the development of domestic industry will be hampered, a grievous load of taxation will be piled upon the shouldersof inland consumers, especially of the poor, and the lucrative market will be prepared for the triumphant intrusion of foreign goods. These propositions are not the product of mere surmise but can be convincingly evidenced in the numerical study of the price movementin this country. Man can not help shuddering at the vexatious result of this abnormal progress in prices, which, appearing with regard to foodstuffs, oppresses the life of the poor, most pitiful of all social classes; and, further, occurring with regard to raw materials, impairs the interest of inland agriculture, and, lastly, taking place with regard to manufactured products, strikes at the root of our commerical and industrial enterprises, the backbone of the economic life of the nation. ${ }^{1}$

These are the attitudes taken by two of the most influential of the weekly economic journals toward the excises problem. Such arguments have been advanced, generally speak-

[^17]ing, by the followers of economic liberalism, and the representatives of this tendency are especially numerous among the theorists of unofficial type.

Now, going a step further, of all indirect taxes which have been retained since the war and extended, of which of them, by what means, and in what degree should the adjustment be carried out? As to this problem, the theories and contentions are by no means unanimous. At the beginning, I will introduce the proposal of Dr. Kobayashi on this subject. He published a book in 1912 under the title of Financial Adjustment, in which he explained his views respecting the fiscal reform of the country.

The principle of taxation [he commences his argument concerning the problem under noticel, is to be determined in relation to the economic ability of individuals to contribute, independent of the demand of public expenditure. According to this principle,that is a good tax which is in harmony with this tax-paying ability of individuals and a bad one which is out of harmony with it. This is the one infallible axiom of tax-system adjustment in its true sense.

After explaining his standpoint in this way, he emphasizes, in the chapter on "Extent of Readjustment in the System of Taxation," the imperious need of the mitigation of indirect taxes above all, by comparing the growth of the economic power of the nation and the increase of taxes since the year 1883 , which, being one year prior to the outbreak of the Sino-Japanese War, he made the basic year for comparison, and by studying carefully the coordination of different kinds of taxes and the minute details of each tax. Later on, when he considers, in the chapter entitled "Indirect Taxes to be Reduced," which of the existent indirect taxes should be repealed and which of them reduced, he elucidates his standpoint once more, and says:

Extremely simple is the financial principle of indirect taxation, which means nothing but to adapt the taxation to the tax-paying ability by levying on the necessaries of life as lightly as possible. The unintentional coincidence of this assertion with the requirements of social politics has induced the followers of the latter doctrine to acknowledge the above financial principle. For my part, indeed, I never intend to support my theory on socio-political
grounds, yet, observing the indisputable fact that the enormous increase of indirect taxes, especially of excises upon necessaries such as rice, salt, petroleum, and sugar, exerts disastrous pressure upon the lower stratum of society with feeble tax-paying ability, I can not help crying, from the standpoint of purely fiscal principles of equitable burden, for the abolition and reduction of the imposts in question.

Though his project of taxation adjustment, as shown in the foregoing description, is founded on the basis of the socalled financial taxation policy, and not imbued with the socalled socio-political taxation policy, he seems to be convinced of the natural coincidence of the financial taxation policy with the requirements of the social policy. Standing on this basic principle, what sort of concrete plan does he propose? Let this be explained by his own words.
I.-Abolition of the import duty on grains. This duty was introduced by the Emergency Special Tax Law with the purpose that it might facilitate the process of shifting a part of the burden of the land tax, increased by the same law, to the consumer of grains. So, the duty was established in order to keep a necessary balance with internal taxation. The rate of the duty was 64 sen per 100 kin . Though the land tax has not only never since been increased, but has even recently been reduced, regardless of the reasons for its introduction, the rate of the import duty on grains was raised to I yen per rookin, and since that time the rate has remained at the same point, with the single exception of a slight mitigation in the autumn of 19II, when the price of rice attained to an unprecedented height. It is matter of course that the import duty in question is of no use in years of favorable crops nor in years of average harvest. Moreover, in years of poor crops, its operation is quite injurious, for in a country where the average yield of agricultural products does not keep pace with the growth of population, and hence with its consuming power, a corresponding advance in the price is unavoidable, and the artificial barrier of customs duties will infallibly facilitate the monopoly of a small number of speculators, drive up the market price, diminish the demand for labor, and consequently, in the present system of tenancy, with rent in kind, and fill the pockets of landowners at the expense of wage earners and small peasants. Further, the abolition of the import duty on Chosen rice in particular is an urgent necessity, in view of the fact that the development of Chosen is one of the most serious problems for the greater Empire, and a cardinal measure of Chosen's economic development is the enlargement of the market for rice from the territory. So, it is beyond doubt that the scheme of reduced taxes must begin with
the repeal of this duty, and public opinion had long recognized the necessity of the measure and was impatient with the hesitating attitude on the part the government. The decrease of revenue due to the foregoing adjustment amounts to $1,500,000$ yen.
II.-Reduction of the tax on salt and the tax on soy by one half. By the tax on salt I here mean the profits of the salt monopoly. I am by no means an opponent of the monopoly system. On the the contrary, I am ready to acknowledge the merits of the system in preventing the articles of daily necessity for which no substitute can be found from being an object of speculative transactions, and in providing that the salt intended for use in industry, fishery, and agriculture shall be sold at a specially reduced price. Nevertheless, I do not hesitate to protest against the extortionate rate of monoply profit of 2.50 yen per $k o k u$ against the original cost of production of r. 40 yen per $k o k u$. The injurious effect is manifested in the successive advances of salt prices. The price stood at I. 13 yen per koku in 1886, and showing 2.26 yen and 5.01 yen in 1896 and 1909, respectively, it has tended recently to go upward even beyond 5.50 yen per koku. The reader is asked to note that these are all wholesale prices. As to the retail price, the rising trend has been much stronger, due to the expenses incurred for the payment of the interest of the capital employed and as the recompense for inevitable loss of quantity during the transactions. Besides, to the tax on salt the soy tax has been extended. The effect of the charge is discernible in the movement of the price, which shows a conspicuous upheaval; namely, the price which stood at 9.5I yen per $k o k u$ in 1886, rising to 18.29 yen in 1896, advanced to 23.29 yen per koku in 1909, and since that date has ranged at about the same level. In addition to this, the high price of salt exerted no small influence upon the prices of pickles and salted fish. It is sometimes contended that such earnest discussions on this subject are out of place, as the per capita burden of these levies is negligible. But I can hardly consent to the statement in consideration of the fact that of the profits of the salt monopoly, amounting to $10,000,000$ yen; and the receipts from the soy tax, amounting to $4,6 \mathrm{Io}, 000$ yen, the colossal burden falls with undue weight, compared with tax-paying ability, upon the slender shoulders of the lower classes, of which the majority of the nation consists, to say nothing of the enhanced prices of pickles, fresh meat, and vegetables as their mediate and immediate effects. For these reasons, I demand the mitigation of the price of monopoly salt and the rate of soy tax by one half, and propose the expansion and reform of the sale system of the former, in order that it may be extensively provided at a moderate price. By this revision the revenue would be curtailed by $7,300,000$ yen.
III.-The abolition of the petroleum excise. The petroleum excise was prematurely established in this country. In 1904, when urgent need of emergency finance led to the 20 per cent increase of duties upon imported petroleum, the Exchequer introduced the

## RECENT PROBLEMS RELATING TO INDIRECT TAXES

internal consumption tax on the same article, both foreign and domestic, with the rate of 1 yen per koku (io per cent ad valorem), for the duration of six months, in order to prevent speculative importation. The tax was soon abolished on account of its meager productiveness; as the annual yield of home production of the article at that time was estimated at $350,000 \mathrm{koku}$, which constituted only one eighth of the whole quantity consumed. Later on, the enhancement of the customs tariff to 50 per cent ad valorem, incidental to the revision carried out to meet the increased requirements of the emergency finance in 1905, encouraged the domestic production of petroleum, the output of which increased successively from 500,000 $k o k u$ in 1907 to $750,000 \mathrm{koku}$ in 1909, representing one third of the inland consumption of the commodity. Under these circumstances the Treasury intended to establish the petroleum excise, after the system prevalent in France, Austria, and Italy, and in 1908 reintroduced the internal taxation on consumption of petroleum with the rate of I yen per koku. The influence of the imposition is easily seen in the continual rise of the price from year to year; and the market price, which stood at 2.91 yen per box in 1893 jumped to 3.91 yen per box in 1909. After that time, however, the demand for petroleum, and consequently the output thereof, began to diminish, owing to the development of the supply of gas and electricity. Such a tendency toward decline of the domestic petroleum production, which forms but one third of the total volume consumed even at the present moment, is disadvantageous not only to the manufacturers but also to the consumers, whose interest lies in abundant supply with cheap price. Moreover, the consumers of petroleum belong to lower classes than the users of gas and electricity and petroleum is indubitably one of the necessaries of life for the former. So it is a violation of the principle of equity that petroleum, which is consumed essentially by the majority of poorer people, should be taxed, while gas and electricity are exempted from any charge. If the petroleum excise be repealed, and the sacrifice of consumers mitigated, the interest of the commodity enlarged, and the manufacture of it encouraged, the reduction of the price to that extent would be realized. This is the reason why I am convinced of the urgent necessity of the abolition of the petroleum excise. The reduction of revenue due to this change is estimated at $2,000,000$ yen.
IV.-Abolition of tax on cotton fabrics. This tax, which was introduced by the revised Emergency Special Tax Law in 1905, constitutes our textile excise, together with the tax on silk fabrics, established' by the same law, and the tax on woolen fabrics, established by the first Emergency Special Tax Law of 1904. The excise is to be assessed on the textile wares, at the rate of 10 per cent ad valorem, as they are delivered from the factories of the manufacturers or the customs compounds. In consideration of the fact that to load the cotton and silk fabrics with a similar burden is unjust, and of the fact that the appraisement of the wares is always
accompanied by the danger of difference of opinion, the government consulted with the Commission on Tax-law Inquiry, intending to change the existing ad valorem duty into a specific duty. But the attempted revision was not carried into effect by reason of the annoyance which would have been caused by the multifarious varieties of textile fabrics and the inconvenience, which may be increased by specific treatment, in local transactions; and cotton fabrics have remained, together with the silk fabrics, under the same burden of 10 per cent ad valorem. The ad valorem system may be unavoidable in regard to commodities of such extensive $\mu s e$ as textile fabrics, with great varieties in quality and tremendous dissimilarity in price, for which the specific duty is undoubtedly unsuitable; yet the tax on cotton fabrics is not only unfair, as it is charged upon a commodity of daily necessity, but also it necessitates no small outlay for supervising the manufacturers, scattered all over the country, and hampers the development of this branch of industry in a great measure. Thus, I can urge with reason the abolition of all the taxes on cotton fabrics, as well as of other fabrics intended for home use, and the restriction of the textile excise to taxes on silk, silk and cotton mixed fabrics, and woolen fabrics, which are consumed principally by the middle and upper classes of the nation. The abolition of the tax on cotton fabrics will be beneficent not only because it rectifies the unfairly distributed burden of the poor, but because it diminishes the cost of collection of the excise to no stmall extent. These are the reasons why I demand the abolition of the tax on cotton fabrics as a constituent part of textile excise. The decrease in revenue incidental to this adjustment would be some 8,300,000 yen.
V.-Reduction of the sugar excise. This excise has achieved an unexpected development, for at the outset such a productiveness was not in the least apprehended. In its origin, the sugar excise was introduced in order to increase the charge upon foreign sugar as supplementary to the conventional tariff, which was very low, notwithstanding the circumstances that domestic sugar production was deplorably undeveloped and the main portion of the annual consumption was furnished by imported sugar. Thus, the excise was established rather with the economic object of stimulating inland production than with the fiscal aim of raising the revenue by means of taxation. At the present time, the revision of customs tariff laws and commercial treaties having been accomplished, we are placed in a position to control the import of foreign sugar, both refined and raw, with a statutory tariff. The tariffs vary according to the quality of the goods. The rate is roughly estimated at 60 per cent ad valorem. The internal tax on consumption of sugar is also assessed virtually at the rate 60 per cent ad valorem. Thus, we see that foreign sugar is loaded with a burden of 120 per cent, adding both customs duty and excise together, while domestic sugar is under a charge of 60 per cent. Though the protective tariff occasioned a
shrinkage in the importation of foreign sugar and a corresponding prosperity of domestic production, it caused a rapid rise in the price of the commodity; namely, the price of white sugar, which stood at 10.35 yen per 100 kin in 1893 , and at 10.76 yen in 1903, leaped to 17.49 yen in 1909, and ever since has maintained about the same position. The enhanced price has checked the enlargement of the demand for the commodity, and in the present situation the annual output of $500,000,000 \mathrm{kin}$ is always accompanied by more or less overproduction. Although sugar can not always be counted among the necessaries of life, and the sugar excise may prospectively be one of the important revenue-yielding sources, the exorbitant advance in price exerts no small pressure on the livelihood of the nation-a fact which is made visible by the standing still of the quantity consumed. So, I propose the reduction of this excise by one third. The resultant decrease in the revenue need not besoembarrassing for the central Treasury as for the Special Account for Formosa, but even in the latter case the diminution would be transitory, and the yield of the excise would gradually recover with the extension of the market if the manufacturers and dealers reduced the selling price of the commodity to the extent of the curtailed excise. By this reform the revenue would be reduced by $5,000,000$ yen.
VI.-Abolition of the traveling tax. This tax, which was introduced by the second Emergency Special Tax Law, is a kind of poll tax somewhat modified with a certain form of graduation, according, both to the difference of accommodation (I, II and III classes) and to the number of miles traveled. The total abolition of the tax has frequently been demanded, but I believe in the reasonableness of its retention as a tax on the benefit enjoyed by the use of the means of communication. As the benefit derived from the use of the means of communication is essentially represented by the amount of fares, the proper method of imposition seems to be to tax passengers in proportion to the price of tickets instead of by the crude criterion of the traveled mileage. Besides, it is advisable to secure for the poorer classes in cities and towns privileged treatment by exempting tickets costing less than 5 sen per fare. By this revision the revenue would be reduced by $1,000,000$ yen.

Summing up all the items, Dr. Kobayashi proposes the following curtailment of state revenue by way of remissions of indirect taxes: ${ }^{1}$

Yen
Abolition of customs duty on grains. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad \mathbf{~}, 500,000$
Reduction of tax on salt and on soy . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7,300,000
Abolition of petroleum excise. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,000,000
Abolition of tax on cotton fabrics. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8,300,000
Reduction of sugar excise by one third. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5,000,000
Revision of traveling tax. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $1,000,000$
Total
25,100,000
${ }^{1}$ Kobayashi, On Fingnizal Readjustment. pp. 1-126.

Next to Dr. Kobayashi, Dr. Tanaka may be cited as representing a similar theoretical tendency. His proposals concerning this subject are summarized in his work entitled Tax System Adjustment, the first edition of which was published in 1909 as the third volume of the Economic Problem Series (Keizai Mondai Sōsho). Before introducing the outline of his own reform plan according to the book above mentioned, it may not be out of place to note his fundamental conceptions regarding the problem. He commenced the report, which he read at the convention of the Society for Social Policy (Shakai Seisaku Gakkai), with a criticism of Wagner's socio-political conception of taxation, and contended that a tax system adjusted in accordance with this conception is not merely incongruous with the financial principle of equity, but also the object desired is not attainable by its enforcement, and that the result of the application of the purely financial principle of equity will coincide with the requirements of social policy. So, in this respect he may well be treated as representing the same tendency of thought as Dr. Kobayashi. ${ }^{1}$ From this standpoint he commented upon the existing tax system in this country and explained his view on the question we are considering, saying:

As to the proportions of the taxes on acquisition and the taxes on consumption, a hasty review of the development of our tax system since the dawn of the present century will bring some definite facts to light. Already in the Settled Account of the fiscal year 1898, in which the proceeds from consumption taxes constituted 56 per cent of all tax revenue, in contradistinction to 44 fer cent for taxes on acquisition, we find such an undue expansion of the tax on consumption that it is no more admissible to regard it as a supplementary tax. Then, after the Sino-Japanese-War, the so-called second bill for increased taxes obtained the approval of the Imperial Diet in its thirteenth session. With this bill, the scheme measure of increased taxes amounting to $42,000,000$ yen was carried into effect, of which sum the taxes on consumption amounted to 68 per cent as against 32 per cent for taxes on acquisition. After this, at the time of the Russo-Japanese War, taxes were twice increased, with the object of yielding a revenue of $144,000,000$ yen, of which the receipts from taxes on acquisition formed 57 per cent, and those of taxes on
${ }^{2}$ Kobayashi, Taxation System as Seen from the Standpoint of Social Policy, pp. 13 ff.
consumption the remaining 43 per cent. So, we do not fail to appreciate the necessity of increased taxes incidental to the RussoJapanese War; nevertheless we can not help protesting against the post-bellum policy of retaining all the special taxes of the war time as permanent taxes, which will necessarily result in the grievous oppression of the poorer classes through the impositions on grains, petroleum, salt and cotton fabrics, and in so doing will come into conflict with the object set up by the social policy.

Much more unreasonable, it seems to me, is the recent movement for reduced taxes. Since the year 1909, when a little surplus began to appear in the State Treasury, we have experienced three cases of remissions of taxes, and the total sum reduced by the attempts was estimated at $38,000,000$ yen, of which the yield from the tax on acquisition amounted to $32,000,000$ yen, that from the tax on consumption to only $6,000,000$ yen. Regardless of the actual fact that the lower classes are groaning under the crushing weight of extortionate taxes on consumption, which include all the necessaries of life as taxable objects, the first attempt of the government, when the circumstances allowed it, was directed to effect reduction chiefly on the side of taxes on acquisition, and thus to mitigate the burden of the rich, which is as yet unduly light. Such a preposterous policy deserves the name of a movement "which gropes in the darkness," as the Chinese phrase expresses it. For this course of things, we must not blame the authorities alone. It is, indeed, beyond doubt that there is some fault on the part of the government, yet the members of the Parliament, having little information concerning financial matters and a poor understanding of social policy, can not avoid the responsibility of exploiting the poorer population in conspiracy with the authorities. According to the aphorism which Colbert coined at the end of the seventeenth century, the key to efficient finance is to pull out as much feather as possible from the geese without letting them quack. The "choked geese" in Colbert's sense, are the lower classes of this country, who lie prostrate under the crushing weight of heavy taxation, and, knowing no way of giving vent to their complaint, resign themselves to their predestined doom. Such a state of things is more than the supporters of social policy can endure. ${ }^{1}$

Now, let us examine his concrete plan with regard to this problem according to his work above cited, Tax System Adjustment.

There are many points to be discussed concerning the tax on sake, the tax on soy, the sugar excise, the tax on bourses, the tax on the issue of bank notes, the tonnage dues, the customsduties, the succession duty, the petroleum excise, the stamp receipts, and others; and the defect common to them all is the improper choice of the standard of taxation. Such reforms, therefore, as the change of the
${ }^{1}$ Kobayashi, Tax System as Seen from the Standpoint of Social Policy, pp. 35-8.
standard from the color to the purity, in the case of the sugar excise, and from the quantity of issue to the profits derived therefrom, in the case of the tax on the issue of bank notes, must be carried out in connection with all the aforesaid taxes. However, as revision of the standard of taxation is a problem of smaller significance, I will not dwell upon it for the present. First, we must pay special attention to the import duty on rice, which was introduced at the time of the Russo-Japanese War, with the twofold aim of protecting the peasants against the increased land tax and of increasing the revenue of the state. But the duty is the most conspicuous of all the bad taxes, for it not only lacks the ability to secure the necessary protection to the peasants, but it also oppresses the lower people. The reason is this: As foreign rice, for the most part, is inferior to domestic rice in quality, the treatment of foreign rice as free goods will never result in driving the domestic rice out of the market, and consequently causing the decline of inland agriculture; but the quantity to be imported of the former will not surpass the deficit in the supply of the latter. Though it is not unlikely that the incessant growth of population may cause a rapid increase of the importation of foreign rice and hamper the development of inland agriculture, the universal elevation of the standard of life, with which our economic development is always attended, will undoubtedly induce people to prefer domestic rice, high-priced but of superior quality, to foreign rice, cheap but of inferior quality, and the former will by no means be replaced by the latter.. Thus, obviously the import duty on foreign rice has no efficacy in protecting inland agriculture on the one hand, and on the other hand to lay an imposition on that portion of rice which is necessary to fill the deficit of domestic production is to burden the lower people still more heavily. For these reasons, the tax under discussion should be abolished, and the consequent decrease in the revenue; amounting to $4,000,000-$ $5,000,000$ yen, must be faced.

Further, the textile excise, the traveling tax, and the salt monopoly must be abolished, for reasons which will be explained presently. As to the textile excise, the inclusion of such daily necessaries as cotton fabrics as taxable objects is closely connected with the unfair distribution of the burden. In textile fabrics, moreover, we find multifarious varieties of quality and extreme discrepancies in prices, owing to the difference in materials and method of weaving and dyeing; and not only is the movement of prices influenced by the higher or lower prices of materials, greater or lesser cost of labor, and brisk or dull markets, but sudden fluctuations in an unlimited degree may be caused sometimes by changes in tastes and fashion. Upon a commodity with such characteristics, fair and exact imposition is next to impossible, and when the appraisement of the commodities, so difficult for even the specialist dealer, in the case of the woven goods he is not accustomed to handle, is entrusted to the inexperienced revenue officials, it may lead to the recurrence of a thousand
evils, even to the open practice of bribery and the outburst of riots. If we grant the supposition that unfair taxation is bearable, yet to incur no small loss from the hindrance of the smooth progress of business transactions and the quick utilization of favorable conjunctures, and to suffer serious disadvantages from the divulging of business secrets, which frequently occur in consequence of the examination of business documents and inspection of the factories, is more than the business men concerned can endure. The tax of which distribution of the burden is so unfair and the damage done to the weaving trade is so conspicuous, while the revenue is so meager as $20,000,000$ yen, must be the foremost of all the notorious taxes.

The traveling tax also is a bad tax. The intensive and extensive development of communication is the indispensable prerequisite of the industrial evolution and cultural progress of the country, and the reason the government resorted to so decisive a measure as to nationalize at a stroke all the trunk lines in the Empire at the very time of fiscal exigencies subsequent to the Russo-Japanese War, was evidently that the authorities recognized it as one of the most urgent post-bellum economic rearrangements. Under such circumstances, it is an obvious contradiction to impede the industrial development and cultural progress with the continuation of the traveling tax, especially established for the emergency.

It will be superfluous to dwell upon the inferiority of the salt monopoly as a fiscal resource. As salt is a prime necessary of life, the tax on it is indubitably unfair in disregarding the tax-paying ability, and the unfairness is still more aggravated by the fact that physiological need forces the lower classes to consume more salt than the middle and upper classes. Moreover, in our monopoly system, which refuses to take the differences in quality into account and assesses a uniform rate of 2.50 yen per koku or 1.48 yen per 100 kin, the poor, who consume inferior salt, must bear an unjust burden as compared with the rich, who consume superior salt. The impost is attended not only with unfair distribution of the charge, but also it stands in the way of industrial development, because salt is an indispensable material in stock farming, agriculture, manufacturing, and fishing. To retain the salt monopoly on the presumption of protecting domestic production is a great mistake, and the evidence shows that the enforcement of the Salt Monopoly Law has hindered inland production instead of encouraging it, and only resulted in the oppression of the lower classes.

## The taxes to be abolished and the loss to be incurred due to the aforesaid reform are as follows:

| Abolition of textile excise | $19,460,000$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abolition of traveling tax | 2,480,000 |
| Abolition of salt monopoly | 10,650,000 |
| Abolition of import duty on grains | 4,000,000 |
| Total. | 36,600,000 |

The plan therefore demands the reduction of revenue by $36,600,000 \mathrm{yen}$; but if we subtract from the sum the cost of collection, which the Treasury could save by the repeal, amounting to something like 5,000,000 yen-viz., 800,000 yen in the textile excise, 50,000 yen in the traveling tax, and 4,120,000 yen in the salt monopoly-the effective curtailment of the revenue may be estimated at $3 \mathrm{I}, 600,000$ yen. ${ }^{1}$

There is another group of theorists whose position is distinct from that of Dr. Kobayashi and Dr. Tanaka. We may well describe them by the name of the "historical" school, as they are accustomed to lay store by the historical facts. In the following pages Dr. Matsuzaki will be quoted as a representative of the school. He was a member of the Commission of Inquiry into the Bill of Tax-Law Adjustment, and we can get a glimpse of his reform plan in the articles which he published in the Japanese Economic News (Nihon Keizai Shinshi), in 1907 and 1908, and afterward in book form, entitled Tax-Law Adjustment. In this book, before noting his own opinions, he points out five characteristics of our tax system as follows:
I. Formerly in our tax system the constituents were essentially direct taxes, especially real taxes (land tax).
2. Although subsequently the taxes on revenue other than from land and excises were newly introduced, yet at least to the time of the Russo-Japanese War direct taxes were the backbone of the system.
3. For this reason the burden of taxation fell most heavily upon the shoulders of the middle class as compared with the lower or higher classes.
4. The introduction of excises led to the shifting of the burden to lower grades of society, and the imposts charged were principally upon necessaries of life and commodities which are objects of business transactions, closely connected with industrial progress, while the luxuries, which are objects of mere consumption, wereoverlooked.
5. Recently, under the pretext of equity, an absurd reform of tax rates was attempted, which resulted only in manifold contradictions.

Considering the above characteristics of our tax system and the growth of the nation's power of consumption, the
${ }^{1}$ Tanaka, Tax System Adjustmeni; pp. 94-104.
author demands the extension of indirect taxes, and excises in particular, but he wishes to limit the taxable objects to such articles as tobacco, sake, and drinks of various kinds, which are not necessaries of life. ${ }^{1}$ According to his project of excise reform, he proposes to repeal the textile excise and the salt monopoly and to supplement the deficit by the extension of the tobacco monopoly and the tax on sake. He argues as follows:

The deficit of public revenue incidental to the abolition of the salt monopoly may be estimated at $42,981,820$ yen, which amount is by no means contemptible. As the expenses of the monopoly amount to $13,927,48 \mathrm{I}$ yen, the real loss to the state Treasury is the balance of the two sums, viz. 29,045.339 yen. As we observe at the present moment that the output of sake and beer shows a tendency of continual growth, and the increase of tobacco consumption is still more startling, the gap due to the aforesaid reform may be filled without much difficulty by increasing the charges upon these commodities. Even though such extension of excises be not sufficient to meet the deficit, there is evident possibility of attaining the purpose by the increase of customs duties. Thus, if we take it for granted that our financial conditions will maintain the status quo, the right measure to be pursued is to increase the tobacco monopoly and the tax on sake and to repeal the textile excise and the salt monopoly. ${ }^{2}$

In his recent work, Social Morality and Economy, Dr. Matsuzaki inserts a chapter under the title of "Quintessence of Tax-Law Reform," in which he asserts:

The tax on sake, among other excises, seems to have attained its climax, and increase of revenue from it can hardly be expected. As to customs duties upon imported alcoholic liquors, in contradistinction to the case of the tax on sake, we find an obvious possibility of extension. Further, the profits of the tobacco monopoly, as far as I am informed, have the prospect of increasing. As to the textile excise, I propose at least the revision of the tax on cotton fabrics in future. The customs duty which was imposed upon imported cotton has been entirely abolished for the purpose of developing our spinning industry; however, while the abolition changed cotton goods into one of the most important exported commodities, the domestic production of cotton suffered no trifling loss, and in some places the production was totally abandoned. In view of these conditions, I propose the restoration of the customs duty on im-
${ }^{1}$ Tanaka, Tax System Adjustment, pp. 1-7, 61-3.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid., pp. 67-8.
ported cotton, with the provision for refunding drawbacks on exported cotton yarn, and the simultaneous abolition of the tax on cotton fabrics. Though the manufacturers may lose something in interest upon capital employed, the increase of which is necessitated by the imposition on imported cotton, the loss will be offset by granting them the privilege of delaying payment of tax. The pursuance of such measures will certainly stimulate domestic production of cotton on the one hand and reduce the cost of collection of the textile excise on the other. Of all the customs duties, the duty which is most common in European countries and still absent in this country is the tax on daily drinks, such as tea, coffee, and others. It is a strange fact that tea, which is the favorite daily drink of the Japanese, is exempted from any taxation. The authorities preferred daily necessaries like salt and soy to tea and coffee as taxable objects; but I am rather inclined theoretically to believe in the reasonableness of the reverse policy.

Further, the professor elucidates his fundamental views concerning the assessment of excises, and says:

About a half century ago, European writers rejected taxes on necessaries of life and taxes on materials of industry, as a whole, for the reason that the former by raising wages and the latter by increasing the cost of production would impede the commercial and industrial progress of the country. Most of the contemporary opponents of excises are earnest followers of this doctrine. Excises are, however, not to be rejected altogether. If combined with proper procedure of assessment; the tax under discussion constitutes an indispensable part of the tax system in order to effect a fair distribution of the national burden. There is another group of theorists who decidedly oppose the imposition on necessaries of life for the reason that it oppresses the livelihood of the lower population, especially of laborers. The evidence of the facts shows that the imposition on these articles will not immediately burden the livelihood of the wage earners, as some theorists imagine, for the taxed commodities are not the only articles that laborers consume, and even of the taxed goods the quantity consumed does not always remain under the limit of necessity. As to the betterment of the livelihood of the wage earners, we ought to expect much from moral elevation and remodeling of character, and compared to these the imposition of excises is a problem of much less significance. ${ }^{1}$

Thus, we see the professor maintains his former opinions in demanding taxation upon consumption, especially upon luxuries, and in justifying the extension of excises in general, excepting the tax on sake, of which he finds no possibility of increase, contrary to his former assertion.
${ }^{1}$ Matsuzaki, Social Morality and Economy, pp. 269-70.

## I propose now to cite Dr. Kambe as another representative

 of this tendency to emphasize the taxation of luxuries. He writes as follows:Although the burden of excises is by no means light in this country, yet if taxable objects are properly chosen and the rates of taxes are moderate, they are certainly a good resource. In selecting the taxable objects, special care must be exercised not to impose upon materials of production nor necessaries of life, but luxuries of more or less extensive use, and not to hinder the national production but to protect and encourage it. It is true that there is scarcely any commodity which fulfils all these requirements; but we may find examples of the sort, though incomplete, in sake, tobacco and sugar, with respect to which there is a possibility of extending taxes when necessary. It is contended sometimes that sake and sugar must be put under a monopoly system, as in the case of salt. Though the contention is not without reason, in view of the present financial situation, it is not the proper time to carry it out, for at this time, when the argument for the repeal of the nationalization of railways is often heard, and especially since the issue of public loans to defray the necessary expenditures is not desirable, the execution of such a plan is almost impossible. Moreover, in regard to sugar, the number of manufacturing factories is so small that supervision is quite simple, thus making invalid one reason for monopolization. The customs duties yield an enormous revenue, even at the present time, and the coming enforcement of the revised customs tariffs will provide still more receipts. According to the new customs duties, higher rates than the existing conventional tariffs are to be imposed on many articles, and the chief motive for the revision in this line lies not only in the financial reasons but also in considerations of commercial policy and protection of domestic production. But I am against the extension of the import duty on grains. Even if the object aimed at is the protection of agriculture, the result would be to stimulate the concentration of land ownership and lead to the tyrannical domination of larger landowners, benefiting larger peasants and landowners exclusively, or at least conferring upon them greater advantages than upon the smaller ones. So, even from the standpoint of agriculture, I hesitate to approve this proposed reform. From the standpoint of consumers in general and low-wage earners in particular, as well as of commerce and manufacture, I am decidedly against it. Lastly, if we regard the financial maxim that the tax must be levied in accordance with tax-paying ability, the import duty upon grains must be numbered among the most unjust taxes, for it pays little regard to the personal concerns of contributors in charging larger families more heavily than the smaller, and denies discriminative treatment between incomes from labor and those from capital in charging with the self-same rate both laborers and capitalists. Again, the salt monopoly, like the customs duty upon
grains, should be opposed as contradicting the cardinal maxim of taxation. The government seems busy in rearranging salt fields and in reforming the sales system, but I am rather sceptical regarding its efficacy and recommend abolishing the salt monopoly and leaving it to private enterprise. Neither can I approve the petroleum excise, because it charges the lower classes much too heavily, but rather I believe in the propriety of levying on electricity for lighting purposes. Though counted among the three bad taxes, together with the salt monopoly and the traveling tax, the textile excise should not be absolutely condemned. As the tax is imposed on such a necessary of life as clothing, it is undoubtedly a worse impost than taxes which charge luxurious articles, such as sake, tobacco and sugar. But compared with the imposts which levy upon daily necessaries, with little elasticity in their demand, such as salt and rice, the tax under discussion can be named a better tax, for this or that tax can never be called a bad or a good tax in an absolute sense but only in a relative sense. The textile excise, as far as it is concerned with the taxable objects only, is in no way abad tax. Since the textile excise is called a notorious tax, because of the incompleteness in its collecting procedure, if reform in this line is practicable it should not be rejected as a bad tax. Especially, the sudden abolition of it will disturb the natural course of business life, causing unexpected profit on the one hand and unexpected loss on the other. Though the embarrassment, being a temporary phenomenon, may be endured, the state Treasury will not be able to cover the deficit of $10,000,000$ yen, due to the repeal, without suffering a great inconvenience. So, the abolition of the tax is inadvisable; but the reform in the method of its collection is desirable.

As seen above, Dr. Kambe proposes the abolition of the customs duty on grains, the petroleum excise, and the salt monopoly, and the reform of the textile excise; but we can not ascertain the amount of revenue to be reduced. ${ }^{1}$

Besides the four professors above cited, viz., Dr. Kobayashi, Dr. Tanaka, Dr. Matsuzaki, and Dr. Kambe, theorists such as Dr. Horié, Dr. Utsunomiya, Dr. Tajiri, and Dr. Kudo² have frequently published their views on the problem under consideration, but so far as I know there is not one who has published his concrete project covering the entire problem.

Lastly, there is still another group of theorists who criticize the existing tax system from standpoints other than those above mentioned, of whom Dr. Ogawa stands foremost.

[^18]At the ninth convention of the Society for Social Policy, held in December, 1915, he read his report on the "Tax System in Japan," and commented as follows upon the existing system of excises:

When viewed from the standpoint of the tax system of the country, excises are also indispensable. Setting aside the impracticable assertion of socialists that excises should be entirely abolished, even from the standpoint of social policy, the total repeal of excises is by no means necessary. If we set our eyes upon excises only, certainly they may be unjust; but if we combine them with taxes on acquisition, that is, direct taxes, and if the combination is not improper, the tax system as a whole can be fair and just, differing not so much from the requirements of social policy. So, we see, one can not be free from the blame of a too-hasty conclusion in condemning a given tax system on account of the mere existence of excises among its components; the socio-political valuation of excises must differ according to the difference in the structure of the tax system.

Excises are imposts resting on consumption, such as drinking of sake, smoking of tobacco, use of soy, and others, based on the presumption that consumption signifies the existence of tax-paying ability. In the present state of things excises are levied on commodities which are objects of general consumption; the poor and rich are charged with the same burden irrespective of their taxpaying ability. Such equal treatment of the poor and the rich is obviously unfair; and there lies the drawback of this impost. Take the tax on sake as an example. The uniform rate means a heavier burden for the poor, because the sacrifice should not be measured by the amount of money contributed but by the subjective feeling connected with the contribution, and thus we can condemn the tax, from the standpoint of social policy, as oppressing the poor unjustly. Generally speaking, excise is always attended with the danger of falling into this defect owing to its peculiar nature and collecting procedure. I do not deny, however, the existence of such an excise as charges the rich heavier than the poor. The tax system of this country is not wanting in instances of excises of this description. The sugar excise is one, different rates being assessed according to the differences in quality. Black sugar, which is principally consumed by the poor, is charged at the rate of 2 yen per kin , while, the rates being gradually enhanced, sugar candy and lump sugar are imposed at the rate of 10 yen per kin. According to the Sugar Excise Law, sugar is graded into six classes, upon the basis of Dutch standard color, and taxed at the graduated rates, the first class being sugar under No. II of standard color, this again being subdivided into black sugar in cask, shirojita (masse cuite) in cask, and others, the second class being under No. 15, the third class under

No. 18, the fourth class under No. 21, the fifth class above No. 21, and the sixth class being sugar candy, lump sugar, loaf sugar, and the like. These classes and subclasses are imposed with rates of 2 yen, 2.5 yen, 3 yen, 5 yen, 7 yen, 8 yen, 9 yen, and to yen per 100 kin, respectively. The operation of such a tax, graduated according to quality, will be to levy lightly on the poor and heavily on the rich, because the number of poor who eat lump sugar is insignificant. Therefore, if an excise be framed in this way, it can answer the requirements of social policy, viz. to burden lightly the weaker and heavily the stronger. We find another example in the tax on tobacco. In Japan, tobacco is subject to the monopoly system; nevertheless, the fact does not affect in the least its nature as an excise. There are several kinds of tobacco and cigarettes, with different prices and different tax rates. Students with little means are accustomed to smoke tobacco and cigarettes of inferior quality, while the rich prefer those of superior quality, or sometimes, not satisfied with the domestic goods, smoke imported ones. Thus we see, with regard to tobacco also, the principle of taxing the rich more heavily is realized to a certain extent by means of a graduated tax rate.

These two, however, are rare exceptions in our tax system; most of our excises are levied with a uniform rate, and consequently the incidence falls more heavily upon the poor. Let us begin with daily necessaries. First, imported rice is levied with a customs duty the rate of which is necessarily uniform, irrespective of consumers. With regard to salt, a government monopoly has been carried out, and the price being fixed at 2.50 yen per $k o k u$, the rich and the poor bear the same load of public charge. The tax on soy is another instance. The consumption of soy is taxed at the rate of 1.70 yen in the case of ordinary soy or 1.60 yen in the case of tamari (soy of inferior kind), and as the amount consumed may not vary, whether the consumer is rich or poor, the tax charges both equally. Further, as to the tax on sake, which forms an essential part of our excise system, though the tax rates are more or less graduated according to the degree of alcohol contained, the uniform rate of 20 yen per koku is levied on ordinary sake, viz. refined sake, unrefined sake, white sake, containing twenty degrees or less of alcohol, and, mirin and shōchū, containing thirty degrees or less of alcohol; and, remembering the fact that any kind of sake which contains more than twenty degrees of alcohol is a rare exception, it may be asserted without exaggeration that the rate of the sake tax is practically uniform.' Thus, sake is taxed with the same burden of 20 yen per $k o k u$ whether it is consumed on the laborer's table or is consumed at the luxurious banquet of the wealthy. As the price of sake is not reduced because the consumer is poor, the tax, by levying similar charges, oppresses the poor more heavily than the rich.

There is a still more unjust kind of excise which taxes commadities at the same tax rate regardless of their quality, ignoring the obvi-
ous truth that the poor, who consume the inferior goods, should be taxed lightly. An example of this kind of impost is the textile excise, which charges silk, woolen, and cotton fabrics with equal burden, in spite of the fact that those who wear silk or woolen clothes are wealthier than those who wear cotton ones. Though it is possible to graduate tax rates according to differences in quality of the woven goods, the existing law provides the uniform rate of 10 per cent ad valorem. The result is that the poor, who put on cotton clothing only, bear the same burden as the rich, who wear silk or woolen garments, and consequently the former suffer unfairly.

The worst type of this kind is the tax which falls exclusively on the poor and exempts the rich. The petroleum excise is an example. Petroleum is levied at the rate of I yen per koku. Even though one koku of petroleum will serve for a long time when used with a petroleum lamp, yet the consumption of one koku is invariably attended with the tax of 1 yen. Poor pupils, who study hard under the flickering light of a tiny petroleum lamp, and peasants, who are busy at their night work under the dim light of a hanging lamp, pay the petroleum tax, while the electric light, which lights the faces of the economically stronger, is totally exempted from any taxation. It is exceedingly absurd to tax petroleum and exempt electricity and gas, grievously annoying the poor at their night work, while the wealthy are left unembarrassed in their extravagant evening parties.

Analyzed in this way, we can conclude that the Japanese excises fall lightly on the rich and heavily on the poor. So, Japanese excises may be called regressive. A regressive rate is the opposite of a progressive rate; and while in the latter case the heavier burden is laid on the rich, in the former a higher per cent is assessed on the poor. It is preposterous to tax sparingly the wealthy, with sufficient ability to contribute, as in the case of fares, where the charges for the longer journey are proportionately less. Thus, we perceive that the urgent need of adjustment lies not so much in the abolition and reduction of the excises as in the correction of their absurdities. ${ }^{1}$

Though Dr. Ogawa criticizes the existing excise system from the socio-political viewpoint, in contradistinction to the purely financial standpoint of Dr. Kobayashi and Dr. Tanaka, the conclusion of the former, it seems to me, is not so different from that of the latter.
As seen from the preceding description, among the able scholars in this branch of science there are none who propose the total abolition of excises, but they are unanimous in demanding their partial abolition or reform, and the excises of which partial abolition or revision is required are the im-

[^19]posts upon daily necessaries, such as customs duty on grains, the petroleum excise, the salt monopoly, the textile excise, the traveling tax, etc. As to the extent of abolition and of revision, these scholars are at variance with one another, according to their views of the present situation of our national economy and finance, but, roughly speaking, they propose reductions amounting to from $25,000,000$ yen to $30,000,000$ yen.

The leading principles which underlie these reform plans are diverse; some have recourse to a purely financial taxation policy, others lay much importance on historical facts, and still others adhere to the socio-political principle. Though followers of the purely financial principle are most numerous, disciples of Wagner's socio-political taxation policy are not wanting. Mr. Ueda, professor of the Tokyo Higher Commerical School, may be cited as a representative of the latter. He delivered a lecture at the tenth convention of the Society for Social Policy, held in December, 1916, on the subject of "Taxation and Social Policy," the purport of which is as follows:

The opponents contend that socio-political taxation is inconsistent with the requirements of justice and sacrifices the end for the means; but I can not agree to the contention. The problem of justice and equality in relation to the assessment of taxes was first dealt with by the orthodox economists, and has an intimate connection with their fundamental conception of economic policy. As they were convinced of the truth that the best policy is the policy of laissezfaire, they opposed all the human interferences that disturb the natural course of things, and accordingly they disowned the social policy which attempted to interfere with the mode of distribution and to bridge the gap between the wealthy and the destitute. Touching the taxation problem, they recognized only the purely financial taxation policy, which levies upon every income proportionately and which does not result in any disturbance of the natural course of things, as fulfiling the requirement of justice, while they rejected the socio-political taxation policy as opposed to it, which attempts to reform the mode of distribution by exemptingorimposing lightly on the poor and by charging the rich heavily. Of course, we can not but recognize the consistency of their theory of justice. In this country, however, not a few supporters of the social policy are wont to reject socio-political measures in regard to their taxation theory, and thus they fall into logical inconsistency. The social
policy demands the rationalization of the existing irrational-mode of distribution, and every measure it recommends takes something from the rich and spends it for the benefit of the poor. So, for those who pronounce laissez-faire as the leading principle of economic policy, none but the purely financial taxation policy fulfils the demand of justice; but those who have abandoned the laissezfaire principle can not reasonably condemn socio-political taxation as unjust. If the existing unfair mode of distribution may be remedied, even a little, by means of any kind of taxation, such a taxation policy must be called just.

Further, is socio-political taxation a proper means for realizing the aim of the social policy? The antagonists argue that if the socio-political taxation policy be carried out, the rich would be oppressed, the poor become lazy, the growth of national wealth retarded, and the social policy deprived of resources. But the distribution policy by no means sets at naught the production policy and to rectify the mode of distribution without trenching upon production is not an unattainable matter. We find a good example in factory legislation. All such measures as the adoption of a progressive rate, abolition of excises on daily necessaries, exemption of the minimum of existence, the tax on increased land values, succession duty, and heavy tax on other unearned incomes, not only contribute to the realization of the aims of the social policy, but also do not necessarily impair the accumulation of wealth in the hands of the rich, sometimes even stimulating and promoting it, so that national wealth may increase with greater rapidity. Thus, we see that the social policy is not opposed to the requirements of justice and that it never sacrifices the end for the means. ${ }^{3}$

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, I want to say that although the war-tax problem has never been discussed under that name, either in the Imperial Diet or in academic circles, yet practically it has existed as the excise problem, which forms the essential part of the war taxes, as I have explained in the foregoing research.
1 For more minute details, the article entitled "Taxation and Social Policy" by the same writer, appearing in the Journal of National Economy (Kokumin Keizai Zasshi), December, 1916, no. 6, vol. 21, should be referred to.
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Treaties:Shimonoseki, 23; Portsmouth, 29.

Ueda, cited, 248.
Urban districts. See Rural districts.
Vehicles, $\operatorname{tax}$ on, 24, 102, 142.
Villages and towns: system of local taxation introduced, $100,102 \mathrm{et} \mathrm{seg} .$,

141 et seq-; migration of rural population to citjes, $\mathbf{I} 30$.

Wages: relative increase in taxes and workmen's, 122 et seq.; statistics concerning, 199-200.
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Wheat flour, price and import duty, 49 et seq.
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