



Dhananjayarao Gadgil Library
GIPE-PUNE-003694

THE GOVERNMENT OF MUNICIPALITIES

THE GOVERNMENT

OF

MUNICIPALITIES

BY

DORMAN B. EATON



New York

PUBLISHED FOR THE COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS BY
THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

LONDON: MACMILLAN & CO., LTD.

1899

All rights reserved

COPYRIGHT, 1899, BY THE MACMILLAN COMPANY.

V73,61.M9 C9 3694

> Norwood Bress J. S. Cushing & Co. — Berwick & Smith Norwood Mass. U.S.A.

PREFACE

THE main topics treated in this volume and the reasons for the order of their presentation are so fully explained in the introductory chapter that few words are needed here. The lack of any generally accepted municipal system in the United States and the contrariety of opinion concerning the most important methods of municipal government are recognized facts,—and they must largely affect the treatment of our subject. Conflicts of opinion and serious disputes about theories and methods would be unavoidable even if nothing further should be attempted than a plan of city government formed by compilation and deductions from American precedents and experience alone.

I must think that such a treatment of the matters we are to deal with would be quite inadequate; for very instructive lessons in municipal government can be best studied in the practical methods, and the results of administration in the leading cities of Europe, where municipal experience has been much longer and more varied than in the United States. Nevertheless, our municipal system must be framed in harmony with our constitutional principles and social life; so that, while nothing intrinsically good should be rejected merely because of its foreign origin, nothing can be adopted unless compatible with the fundamental theories of republican government.

Despite the fact that no view of city governments is so uniform and pervading in the United States as the opinion that they are unsatisfactory, if not discreditable, there will doubtless be readers who will shrink from any attempt to improve them from a study of the enlightened experience of the older nations.

It seems inevitable that the treatment of the subject before us should be controversial as to some important points,—especially as to nominations, minority representation, the choice of mayors, the composition of city councils, and the relation of parties to city government,—but I have sought to avoid all merely theoretical discussions. Municipal government is a very practical affair, which should be based on constitutional principles, and the well-tested facts of experience—and not on parties. Nevertheless, there are some matters as to which a sound theory is so important as to be worth all its vindication may cost.

The difficulty of establishing a sound municipal system in the United States is, I think, much greater than it is supposed to be by those who seem to regard separate city elections and Home Rule as sufficient in themselves for the purpose, though I regard these measures as highly useful. Yet, I am convinced that far more drastic and comprehensive remedies are needed, — remedies some of which are practicable in this decade, at the present stage of civic instruction, — without waiting for that more thorough municipal education which the recently aroused municipal sentiment of the country seems sure to supply.

I have ventured to suggest several remedial measures, some of which I hope may be found available, in the near future, in aid of the municipal reform we so greatly need.

In going over the earlier parts of this volume the reader may regard it as being in considerable measure historical and critical, but, before he has completed it, he may perhaps think it to be in yet larger part constructive and practical. In the midst of the vast contrariety and confusion of our hastily devised municipal constructions, I have felt the need of a definite plan and theory of city government, — carefully considered on the basis both of principle and experience, — and I have therefore presented such a plan, well knowing, however, that it would encounter fewer objections if it were less definite and therefore less useful for its purpose. Besides, it seems to be essential for our municipal betterment, to bring our indefinite municipal thinking — or lack of thought — and our manifold partisan schemes, of city domination for party and sectarian advantage, to the test of a definite kind, and organization of city government, having its principles defined and its methods organized in the interests of the people and not of any party or sect.

Being much indebted to several gentlemen for valuable information and suggestions, I wish to make some acknowledgments here. Ex-Mayor Hewitt had the kindness to read the first six chapters of this volume before they were sent to the press. President Low and Professor Goodnow, of Columbia University, obligingly read the first seventeen chapters in manuscript, and I am indebted to them for valuable suggestions, and for opportunities for discussing municipal subjects. To Dr. Albert Shaw, I am indebted much beyond my obligation from a study and large use of his volumes on municipal subjects.1 Ex-Mayor Strong, of New York, has given me very useful information from his experience. Judge Wheeler and Judge Brown - of the United States District Court - have placed me under obligations by their kindness, - the former having read Chapter XVII before it went to the printer.2 Mr. Andrew H. Green did me the favor of reading Chapter XVIII, and Mr. Horace E. Deming the favor of reading several chapters, and gave me the opportunity of much useful discussion of municipal principles, before these chapters took their final form. I am under obligations to Mr. Richard H. Dana, of Boston, for useful information very kindly supplied. It should be said that in no case are these readings referred to as evidence of an assent to the views advanced in the chapters read, but only as some assurance of my wish to have advice from those most competent to give it.

I may add that it has been many years since I first gave some study to city affairs, and had a practical part in their administration,¹—facts which may be some excuse for offering this treatise to the public, but cannot justify its defects.

DORMAN B. EATON.

NEW YORK CITY, June 1, 1899.

1 Notes, pp. 60, 411, 441.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER	
How and why we have reached the present municipal condition	PAGE
in the United States, and the problems we must solve to improve it	3
CHAPTER II	
The nature of the evils in American municipal government, and separate elections and Home Rule as remedies	19
CHAPTER III	
The relation of political parties to Home Rule and municipal administration	57
CHAPTER IV	
Municipal government by party as illustrated by the Tammany	
Democracy	89
CHAPTER V	
Municipal government by party as illustrated by the Tammany Democracy (continued)	111
CHAPTER VI	
Municipal government by party as illustrated by the Tammany Democracy (concluded)	135
CHAPTER VII	
Several vicious conditions and practices considered and remedies	
for them proposed. The Merit—or Civil Service Reform— System as a remedy	158
	100
CHAPTER VIII	
The same subject concluded. Evil effects of too short terms of office and too many elections. How to insure a salutary pub-	
licity of official action	189

CHAPTER IX	
Concerning Free Nominations and Free Voting; minority representation	209
CHAPTER X	
Concerning the functions and relations of city councils and mayors	246
CHAPTER XI	
Concerning the constitution and membership of a city council .	276
CHAPTER XII	
The methods and practical results of municipal government in Great Britain	309
CHAPTER XIII	
The methods and practical results of municipal government in Continental Europe	337
CHAPTER XIV	
Concerning the election of mayors and their powers and functions	368
CHAPTER XV	
Concerning school administration and sanitary administration .	398
CHAPTER XVI	
Concerning police administration	415
CHAPTER XVII	
Concerning judicial administration in municipalities	4 36
CHAPTER XVIII	
The charter of the Greater New York as an admonition in city extension and a lesson in city-party government	461
APPENDIX	
The neglected need of minority representation, and the threatened state-police despotism, in New York	1

APPENDIX.—THE NEGLECTED NEED OF MINORITY REPRESENTATION, AND THE THREATENED DANGER OF STATE POLICE DESPOTISM, IN NEW YORK

- 1. Breach of Republican party managers with Tammany. Its city administration being investigated by the legislature. Yet, independent voters denied all opportunity of Free Voting, and party tests are enforced against them. Minority representation strangely neglected.
- 2. Republican party scheme for a state police in Democratic cities. Its sudden presentation at end of a session. Its despotic and revolutionary character. Its incompatibility with our Republican institutions. It would defeat true Home Rule and essential municipal activity. It would prevent effectual inspection of police administration.
- 3. The need of a police state-school analogous to those at West Point and Annapolis for the education and discipline of those seeking to be officers over policemen.
- I. Since the foregoing chapters went to the printer, proceedings and omissions on the part of the ruling party of the state of New York have occurred which require some notice here. Experience under the charter, when the last chapter was written, had been too brief to justify more than ominous anticipations. But facts of evil significance as to Tammany administration have of late more and more come before the public. On the other hand, the moral tone of the administration of Governor Roosevelt has facilitated a spirit of patriotic scrutiny which has led to some alarming disclosures. In the meantime, the despotic power of Tammany has emboldened her officials for acts of rash indiscretion.

It has now apparently become impossible for the partisan leaders and boss of the republicans to maintain their old trier dly relations with Tammany. As a consequence, the more independent and non-partisan elements in the republican ranks were able to compel the passage (April, 1899) of a new and admirable Civil Service Reform law,—such a law as the boss and partisans of both parties have long opposed,—and also to repeal the vicious old law, which Tammany has been easily able to defy and pervert to its own advantage. This old law was in the spirit of the Greater New York charter, and the two had a common origin and purpose.

A breach between the managers of the two parties was, therefore, unavoidable. The managers of the republican party, not daring to justify the evil doings of Tammany, found themselves compelled to investigate them. The republican leaders, who, eighteen months before, had forced a partisan, despotic charter upon the Greater New York, — expecting to capture it at the first election, — were now ready to join hands with the independents in resorting to an investigation for arresting the very evils, under it, which the independents had told them from the beginning were inevitable.

II. Though some of these leaders at the outset supported the investigation with fear and reluctance, its first disclosures established its wisdom, supplying direct and conclusive evidence of some of the worst evils of the Tammany system which we have set forth. We cannot take the space needed for even briefly summarizing this evidence. It must suffice to say that it requires no retractions, but makes us desire to emphasize the conclusions we had reached. The state assembly, in view of the evidence taken, has greatly broadened the original sphere of its committee's inquiries, and has extended its term until the legislative session of next year. We cannot doubt that salutary results may be expected from the action of this committee—results to which the new Civil Service Reform law, which much restricts Tammany's vicious discretion, will largely contribute.

III. Taking only this law and investigation into the account, we should feel quite sure that the republican party managers had decided to no longer imitate Tammany methods in dealing with cities; — even that it had decided that the interests of the people, rather than those of the party, should be treated as paramount. But reare sorry to have to say other facts convey a different suggestion. We have seen that minority representation was needlessly disallowed by the Greater New York charter, apparently because the members of the faction which imposed that charter were hostile to such representation.

They preferred that Tammany, rather than the supporters of Mr. Low, should triumph. Expecting to themselves rule the new city, they desired no independents or non-partisans either in the city council or in the state legislature. Facts thus far seem to show that they find it easier to accept a true Civil Service Reform law, than to accept a law which will give a real repre-

sentation to the 151,000 voters of New York City who supported Mr. Low for mayor.¹

It seems too plain for argument that to no source of strength in city elections could the worthy leaders of a party turn so naturally or hopefully as to this class of voters, who are supporters of the principles of their party in state and national politics. Yet the voting of all of them is obstructed, if they are not practically disfranchised, by restrictions imposed upon them by partisan laws designed to give party managers the control of elections and the monopoly of offices in cities.²

Unless there are in the dominant party of the state of New York powerful leaders who still favor the partisan monopoly to which the Tammany system tends, why has nearly a year and a half passed since Tammanys triumphed, without an effort made in the state legislature to establish any form of minority representation? We have seen that the republican party of New York has been long committed to the principle of such representation, and that it might be established by a law, which the legislature is now competent to enact.8 Are the vast body of republican voters in the Greater New York, and the many independent democrats that have voted with them, - all of whom demand such representation, — to understand that the republican leaders prefer a few compliant partisans in the city council and in the legislature, whom they secure under the party system, rather than have such a full representation of the people as true minority representation would give? Are the independent nonpartisan voters to be permanently excluded from all participation in the counting of the votes, by laws enacted by parties to secure their own monopoly and the aggrandizement of their managers?4 Can a governor who has nobly championed a Civil Service Reform policy which aims to ensure to young men and women of superior merit -- irrespective of party affiliations, favor, or opinions - all the places in the official service of

¹There is good reason for believing not only that the evil influences of the new charter, but general causes have materially increased the numbers of the independent and non-partisan voters of New York and other cities during the past year. There seems to be an increasing opposition to party monopoly and despotism, especially in cities. The last elections in Chicago, Minneapolis, Detroit, Toledo, Cleveland, and San Francisco indicate this. Review of Reviews, May, 1899, pp. 516-521, 570.

² For facts on the subject, see pp. 214-217, 294, 295, 487.

⁸ See pp. 237, and 477, note.

⁴ For facts on the subject, see pp. 494, 495.

the city and the state, long fail to condemn an election system which, in the interest of partisan monopoly, not only largely excludes their fathers and brothers from nearly all legislative offices in cities, but actually brands them as unworthy to aid in receiving or counting votes anywhere—unless they belong to one of the two greatest parties? Would a mere party test for office be any more indefensible, if applied to clerks who are to count the money in the city treasury, than it is when applied to the clerks who are to count the votes in the city ballot boxes? ¹ It seems to be more and more the case, in the state of New York, that Home Rule in cities is, by the politicians, held to be,—and strongly tends, under city-party rule, to become,—not Home Rule by the city people, but Home Rule by the majority of the largest party.

IV. A STATE POLICE. The other subject which should have some notice here is that of the exercise of police power — the control of the police administration — as to which a new and seductive policy has been suddenly announced by the managers of the dominant party in the New York legislature. This policy appears in a bill introduced in the New York Senate near the end of its session, April 13, 1899. The bill was defeated; but it is understood that a persistent effort is to be made to establish the theory which it embodies, to which the leaders of the republican party seem to have committed themselves. We have space for only a very brief outline of its leading provisions, and a very inadequate consideration of the principles and theories which it enunciates.

The matter of city government is unfortunately much complicated and embarrassed in the state of New York 2 by having her cities divided into three classes, of which the first and second together include the six largest cities, to which alone this bill at first extends. But the other cities, according to its provisions, are to come under it, and the new state police system it establishes, as soon as their population shall reach the required number

¹ See pp. 494 and 495, as to this test. The New York Law of 1895, Ch. 1035, shows the manner in which the two great parties, through the action of their managers, secure the monopoly of poll clerks, ballot clerks, and inspectors,—whom they are to nominate in all the election districts of cities. May we not hope the time will soon come when we shall directly seek and secure fair-minded, reliable men for such places, as we do for all other positions of high trust, rather than mere pairs of crafty and unscrupulous partisans ready to cheat whenever they can? Why should not ex-policemen be required to serve as election officers? They are not likely to be mere partisans.

for being in such classes. The purpose, therefore, is to substitute a new state police system — provided for in the bill—in place of the former city police system, which has prevailed in the state of New York, and we believe, with moderate modification, in all the states of the Union, from the time that policemen first existed in the United States. The important question, therefore, is whether a new police system should be established.

- 1. The new state police system, which the bill, if made a law, would create, provides for an original state police department, extending at the outset to the six cities. department is to have all the police powers, authority, functions, and duties which now belong to or pertain by law to the six cities; and not only these powers, but, generally, the new state department is also to have the property and income of these city police departments, which are to be suppressed by their absorption or consolidation into the new state department. This new state department is to have its central office at Albany. the capital of the state. The local city police organizations and activities are to be discontinued. 2. The head of this state police department is to be a single "commissioner of state police," upon whom all state police power is to be concentrated. He is to be appointed by the governor and confirmed by the Senate for the term of six years. It is not provided that this commissioner shall have any advisers or assistants, his authority being possessed by him as absolutely as any acknowledged despot could possess his powers. But he is required "to appoint, and at pleasure remove, a deputy commissioner of state police and a secretary of state police." These three officers — one supreme and his two subordinates - are to have all the police powers of the six cities, with others given by the bill. The commissioner has also the vast power of appointing and removing a police treasurer in each city, who is to be the purchasing agent of the police department. There are no conditions that such appointments by the governor or the state commissioner shall be made in reference to any experience, capacity, or other standard of qualifications; on the contrary, this appointing power of the latter is declared to be exercisable "at pleasure," and therefore may be exercised according to the theory - as it is conferred in the language of the party spoils system. The governor's appointing and removing power is not less absolute.
 - 3. It is not by inference merely, but by the express provi-

sions of the bill, or new state police system, that the vast police powers of cities to be thus transferred are to go to him as a single state officer, to be possessed so absolutely by him that he may at pleasure transfer any part of his power, authority, functions, and duties to the "police chiefs" of the cities, who are to be appointed by him. These chiefs are to detail policemen to the election polls of the cities, obviously a great political power. All paramount police authority, therefore, is centred absolutely in one state police commissioner, save as he may temporarily delegate fragments of it to his appointees, the police chiefs of cities. It is further declared the state commissioner "may make. adopt, and enforce such rules, orders, and regulations, and do such other acts as may be reasonably necessary (of course in his view) for the exercise of his powers." He is, therefore, to be equally a despot in the legislative and in the executive spheres over the whole domain of our police affairs. Both the commissioner and the city chiefs have the vast political power of appointing an unlimited number of special state policemen, practically at their discretion.1

The state police commissioner, with slight exceptions, is also the ultimate executive authority to whom appeals must be made as to every question of police administration, duty, or discretion which can arise in the cities, and his decision is to be final.

4. The proposed law would obviously suppress all legislative action in cities, and also all local officials, so far as they have any function or duty of inquiry or discussion concerning police matters. Local, city authority, therefore, for making ordinances, so far as police affairs are concerned, would be suppressed. Not only would all Home Rule officers be practically extinguished, but the very sphere for Home Rule action in the police domain would itself be abolished. The state power, exercised by a single, absolute state police commissioner, would consequently be omnipresent and supreme in every city, in every detail of city affairs, and as to the duties of every police officer who may patrol its streets, so far as police action is concerned; and this police authority is the most important, potential, multifarious, and pervading of all authority known in municipal life.

¹ We have no space — nor is there any need — to consider the provisions of the bill as to the rights and duties of ordinary policemen. They are, in substance, the provisions of existing laws, so compiled as to be almost certain to lead to much needless uncertainty, confusion, and litigation.

5. We cannot go further into the details of the official machinery of the new scheme, most of which is highly centralized and despotic. Such a bill, if made a law, would not only declare the people of a city should have no part in the police administration they must accept, but that no local executive officer or legislative council should possess either authority, duty, or liberty as to making or enforcing the ordinances under which they must live, regulating the streets which they must travel. or compelling obedience to the moral standards to which they may conform. Such a law would reverse the whole police and a large part of the municipal - policy which has prevailed in every state of the Union and in all the most enlightened cities of the world. Tested by American constitutions and precedents, it is the most un-American and anti-republican scheme ever proposed. It proclaims city residents to be unworthy of having the least direct police authority as to matters at their own doors. It condemns the elementary principles of the American government. In spirit, it declares the theory of local Home Rule --- whether in cities, villages, counties, or towns — to be a mistake, and that the great effort should be to make state rule supreme and exclusive, by absorbing all local jurisdictions; and why should not the nation make similar claims against the states by giving us a national police commissioner and making us a republican Russia? Such a law would establish a police system more centralized and despotic than that existing in any leading nation of modern times except Russia, and perhaps Germany. It would preclude the possibility of that excellent police system of England, - the best which has ever existed for a free state, — and from which nearly all that is most valuable in our police system has been borrowed.

More than this, it would so weaken the forces of local government, and so much augment the central powers of the state, as to seriously impair the counterpoise between them, upon which the constitutional and legal systems of American states repose.

We have no space for adequately illustrating the extent to which this state police scheme would diminish the sphere of legitimate city activity and protection and enlarge those of the state. Every power given to the state police by this bill, as to mere city affairs, is a part of the measure of this diminution — a declaration of the incompetency of city residents to deal with their own affairs. We can mention here only two examples, startling in themselves:

(1) absolute state control in the future of the purchase, location, and ownership of all police station-houses, and other erections and equipments, for which the city must pay, without any chance for a hearing as to their cost or location; (2) the fixing of all police salaries and other police expenditures, for which the city must provide the money, also without a hearing as to their amount. But in the note we give a few examples of the subjects as to which, according to this bill, the state police control would deny the city any authority, grasping the whole of it arbitrarily, and exercising it exclusively by its own officers, thus accomplishing a great revolution, and making fearless, non-partisan, or effective debates in cities over city affairs impossible. This scheme, in principle, declares that we need no municipal government; that city councils and all local control are useless; that only

¹ The bill declares, "It is hereby made the duty of the state police in the cities . . . to regulate the movement of teams and vehicles in streets, bridges, squares, parks, and public places, and remove all nuisances in the public streets, . . . inspect all places of public amusement, all places of business, all places having excise or other licenses to carry on any business; all houses of ill-fame or prostitution, . . . all lottery offices, . . . all gambling houses, cock-pits, rat-pits, and public common dance-houses, . . . enforce and prevent the violation of all laws and ordinances in force in such cities, . . . possess powers of general police supervision and inspection over all licensed or unlicensed pawnbrokers, venders, junk-shop keepers, junk-boatmen, cartmen, dealers in second-hand merchandise . . . and auctioneers, . . . premises, . . . dealers in second-hand merchandise. It shall be the duty of the (state) city chiefs, each in his city, to provide and cause to be enforced . . rules and regulations for excursion steamers, yachts, and all crafts taking part in regattas or races, . . .

"Each city chief shall in his respective city from time to time, with the consent of the (state) commissioner, establish, provide, and furnish stations and station houses, or sub-stations and sub-station houses, . . . Each city chief is hereby authorized and empowered to furnish horses and wagons, to be known as precinct wagons . . . The board of estimate and apportionment . . . are directed to appropriate a sufficient sum of money in each and every year . . . for the purpose of furnishing such horses, wagons, and apparatus connected therewith, and . . .

"the number and boundaries of precincts in any city shall be fixed by the (state) city chief in said city. The commissioner shall have power to erect, operate, supply, and maintain . . . lines of telegraph and telephone . . .

"The state police may... procure and use and employ such rowboats, steamboats, and boats propelled by other power as shall be deemed necessary and proper. It shall be a misdemeanor for any person not being a regular member of the state police established in any city of this state... to serve any criminal process within the cities affected by the provisions of this act. The commissioner of state police shall have power to apportion any general expense incurred for the general conduct, maintenance, or equipment of the state police... salaries and expenses of any officers, members, or employees... It is the intent of this act to give to the commissioner of state police cognizance and control of the state police throughout the cities affected by this act."

central, irresistible, omnipresent, executive state force is necessary, before which city people must bow, stagnate, and be servile and silent. It is a striking fact that the whole course of our study has shown that the tendency and progress in good city government, even under a police system so despotic as that in Berlin, have been made through increasing Home Rule and bringing unofficial citizens more and more into participation in the management of their local administration, but this despotic bill and scheme of a state police, in a republic, would reverse this tendency, and substitute those methods of centralization and state autocracy which all enlightened monarchies are abandoning as dangerous and indefensible.

6. If the state may thus appropriate and exercise all local police powers, why may it not do the same thing with all local sanitary powers, with all local authority over schools, taxation, transportation, and other matters, until real Home Rule, and consequently effective local activities, which are the peculiarity and strength of republican governments, shall substantially cease to exist, and state domination shall become alike universal and absolute?

It is obvious that without a right and duty on the part of city officers to take an active and responsible part in governmental affairs at their own doors, of which police matters are among the largest and most essential, the vitality and utility of local government cannot be preserved, nor can a debasing subserviency to central despotism be avoided. Central party tyranny has, as we have shown, already become despotic and debasing, especially in cities; and this police scheme is a desperate demand for a sort of tyrant in the police sphere—a demand which naturally arises when the legitimate forces of government have been perverted, and party despotism has become unendurable. Such a tyrant would make true city councils—that is, representative government in cities—impossible.

7. But we have seen — modern municipal experience shows — that one of our greatest municipal needs is city councils, representing not parties, but the people, competent to frame municipal ordinances, and especially police ordinances — bodies that shall be fearless and potential enough to keep the whole police department upon its good behavior and to be great forces in leading the benevolence and civilization of the age. With

such councils, mere state police domination would be impossible—almost unthinkable.

We have also shown how, through a uniform police code, the payment of a part of police expenses by the state, and inspections by state officers, the state may easily secure proper subordination and discipline on the part of the local police without resorting to revolutionary measures. Nothing of this kind is proposed by this bill. The state, under it, proposes to take all the police property of the cities, to suppress all police activity by their people, and to fix the measure of their police expenditures, without paying any portion of police expenses.

8. It seems to be an unanswerable objection to this scheme of state police despotism that it provides—and in fact permits—no adequate method of police inspection by the state, such as we have, in the second chapter, shown to be useful and essential. A state bureau or officer which does not actually carry on the local police administration is independent to deal with it. He is naturally inclined to inspect and report upon it severely. He will fearlessly compare the results in cost and method in each city with those in the others, with excellent results, as we have shown, especially from the English practice. City councils and other city officers—if made competent as we have proposed—will also contribute their useful criticisms.

But the moment — local councils and local police activity being suppressed — a single state officer shall be made supreme and universal in his control of local police affairs, there will be no competent authority to expose his wrongdoing or make any form of fearless or effective inspection. This state police despot will not expose his own maladministration; nor will he show his own incapacity by comparing results in one city with those in another of his police realm. He will always declare it well governed. He will not dare act in opposition to the wishes of the party-elected governor. The governor, his only superior officer, will not order inspections, unless, indeed, he is seeking for grounds for a removal, most likely for party reasons. Do we desire that the legislature should be made an inspecting body for cities, and as such have additional reasons for interfering with reasonable Home Rule in every city?

9. We must not wholly ignore the party interests and possibilities which such a state police scheme involves, nor the very

unsatisfactory manner and time of bringing it before the public. The majority of voters in the six cities to be at first subjected to the new law belong to a party with which the authors of the state police scheme expect an anxious gubernatorial contest a few months hence. Who can doubt that the vast political influence this scheme would put in the hands of the party in power would greatly increase its chances in this election, — unless, indeed, a disastrous revolution within its own ranks should occur, similar to that which happened when the apparent promoters of this scheme attempted to ensure their domination by imposing a partisan charter upon the Greater New York?

The last scheme, like the first, seems to have been secretly matured in the councils of the leaders, and to have been brought forward, as a party measure, just at the end of a session, thus precluding — whether by design or not — that fair and intelligent consideration which so great a revolution in our police system requires. It is, therefore, a just cause for congratulation that, contrary to the facts when that charter was pending, there were a few men in the Senate who had the statesmanship and moral courage to resist the party demand for servile obedience.

10. The duties of statesmanship - we might, perhaps, say of ordinary justice and discretion - in dealing with so grave a subject are very plain. Even if no more than superficial methods were intended, the people of the cities directly affected should have been first consulted, and a bill and report should have been brought in at the beginning of a session. The bill should not be a conglomeration — like that before us — of all the laws applicable to any of the six cities, but should be in the nature of a systematic state police code, which should supersede the whole of these laws, and tend to order and definite authority, rather than to the confusion, distressing doubts, and needless litigations which this crude and hasty bill suggests. An adequate bill would, of course, be drawn in the direct and paramount interest of the people both of the cities and the state, without any reference to mere party advantage. If the public interests in regard to the subject were adequately conceived, the governor would be authorized to appoint a commission, having a police expert and a statesman upon it, to first examine and report upon the best police systems of Europe, - those of England, France, and Germany, whose long and varied police experience can give us much information which we greatly need. A police bill

drafted and enacted in the light of such information—like that which Sir Robert Peel championed—might be an honor to all concerned in its production.

- 11. If, from such objections to this police scheme, we turn to the reasons given for it, these most deserve notice: (1) It is said it will tend to simple methods and vigor of administration. A despotism always does this, and the local government essential for a free people can hardly be as simple as a centralized tyranny. (2) It is said it will relieve us of bi-partisan commissions. have dealt with the objections to such commissions elsewhere, and have concluded that, bad as they are, they are preferable to police domination by a single party, which this new scheme will (3) We are told it will give us a single head of the police, which is claimed to be a great advantage in the way of simplicity and vigor. This is the old argument of despotism. It is the justification of the Russian police system and of the worst parts of that of Germany. We have shown how much confusion of thought and how many fallacies there are, connected with a certain measure of truth, in the seductive and superficial theory of a single head of the police. It has been made plain that a single head, such as the new scheme provides for New York City, could not properly dispose of police trials alone, even if he did deal with anything else.1
- (4) But the chief reason given for the new scheme is a claim that it will take police administration out of party politics—a most desirable result, certainly. We must think this claim to be utterly unwarranted, and that the new scheme would make the police power a more potent party force than it ever has been—in both state and city politics.² The politicians in both parties—like the independents—regard the bill as a party measure for party advantage. Are they all mistaken?

We have seen that the state commissioner, who has all controlling power, is to be appointed and removed by the governor—the political head of a party—"at pleasure," subject to confirmation by the Senate, and that the commissioner appoints and removes city chiefs of police practically in his discretion,—absolutely "at his pleasure," during the first year of his term. It is

¹ See pp. 431-434.

² The bill referred to contains some commendable provisions—most of which of any value are taken from existing laws—against policemen interfering in party politics.

only down in the common ranks of mere policemen, who must absolutely obey, where non-partisan provisions are to prevail. Those high police officers who wield paramount power may be mere political representatives of the state executive and the Senate, -doing as they please, as to their appointments and removals. Here, apparently, we see the reasons why no nonpartisan or adequate qualifications are required on the part of nominees of the governor or of the state commissioner. If it can be said with truth that the present governor is not likely to freely nominate an active, partisan republican for state commissioner, it may be answered that the present Senate would confirm no other. Does any one doubt the party purpose of the republican senatorial leaders in urging this bill? Does any one believe they do not expect great gain from state control of the police? Does any one think they would support a law which declared that the state commissioner should be a graduate of West Point, or a non-partisan of long police experience? Besides, the next governor may be an extreme politician. Would he and his party hesitate to retaliate for this partisan attempt to control the police in democratic cities? Can any wellinformed man fail to see that state police scheme has already made the police question more than ever before a party issue? In every city to which it extends, this question has already become an absorbing political issue. Can one doubt that party contest for the election of members of the next state Senate will be more bitter, partisan, and intense by reason of the control of the state police having been made a party question? If the control of election machinery has, in one particular, been taken away from the police, it has been handed over to officers whom the two great parties nominate and practically appoint, thus making elections in some respects more partisan than ever before.1

V. We think the reader must have been impressed with the need of there being more men than we now have who are well instructed for the discharge of the higher police functions, — for the duties of commissioners, police chiefs, inspectors, and captains. The number of policemen must rapidly increase in our cities and villages. The duties of police officers not only in their legal aspects, but in their administrative complications, are fast becoming more difficult. It is essential not only that there should be more complete theoretical instruction, but more prac-

tical experience for such positions. Above all, it is necessary that we should reënforce every means through which the needed competency can be attained, while at the same time increasing the facilities for gaining the chief offices in the police force without coming under debasing obligations to parties, their managers, or the boss. We must also strengthen the feeling that to be a true policeman requires independence of all mere party passion.

It is being more and more clearly seen that in various ways the qualifications, duties, and proprieties of police life are analogous to those of military and naval life. More and more the people are recognizing the facts that the thorough instruction of our officers of the army and navy are of inestimable public advantage, not merely in providing more competent officers, but in raising these two branches of the public service above the low standards and interests of partisan politics. That these results have been mainly due to the discipline, technical instruction, and character-forming influences of the technical schools at West Point and Annapolis, is the common conviction of all competent judges. What would our army and navy now be but for those schools?

Why should we not have similar schools for training young men for holding police offices? Why should not the state of New York take the lead by establishing such a school, to which other states would, perhaps, send students? Its graduation standard should be high enough for admission to the position of officer over policemen, but there should be, of course, an opportunity—as there is in the army—for official elevation from the police ranks. We believe such a school would soon develop a patriotic, honorable, and non-partisan spirit, which would do much to elevate police administration, and prevent a monopoly of office in it by mere politicians. The school could also be made to largely supply such instruction and discipline as would better qualify young men for officers in the military service of the state and in its penal and other institutions.

¹ There is an increasing appreciation of the need and utility of such instruction and discipline. Several of the public institutions of the state of New York now support schools for training those who are to take part in their administration. Mr. Bonner, the worthy ex-Chief of the New York City Fire Department, is now exerting himself in behalf of the establishment of a school he hopes to have, aided by the city, for the better instruction of those who are intending to become firemen.

INDEX

Aldermen, see Council.

Aldermen (English), their mode of election and character, 312-317, and see London City Council and English City Government.

Appointed Aldermen, 292, and see Council.

Appointments, see Power of Appointment and Mayor.

Assembly District Leaders, 117, and see Leaders.

Assessment extortions, 105-112.

"At pleasure," as a rule of appointment and removal, see Removals and Mayor. Austria, cities in, 351, 352, and see Austrian City Government.

Austrian City Government, 351, 352; members of the city council of Vienna have classified terms of six years and elect the mayor, 351; city council of Vienna the dominant city authority, 352.

Autocratic Mayor, 152; origin and nature of, 14, 15, and see Mayor; his relations to the boss, 14, 15, 255, 256, 258; why politicians and partisans favor him, 253; source and results of theory and practice concerning, 254, 255, 259, 260; extreme views of supporters of, 255, 256; theory of making him a despot and holding him responsible, 255, 256; dangerous power of appointment given him in New York, 257, 259; causes which led to his creation, 257, 259, 260; commissions designed to check him, 372, 373; favored by Tammany, 373.

Belgian City Government, city councils under, the controlling city authority, from whose members the king appoints mayors, 353; members of its city councils have classified terms of six years, and the appointing power, 353.

Belgium, city government in, 352-354. Berlin, see German City Government. Berlin City Government, see German City Government.

Bi-partisan police system and commissions, their origin and theory, 150-152; commissions, why parties favorable to them, 373; tests for office, see Tests.

Bi-partisan commissions, the false theory and pernicious effects of, degrade police force, 373, 417-420, 431 note, 433 note; unjustifiable and arbitrary example of in New York, 420.

Birmingham (England), the character and practical results of its government, 331, 332.

Black, Governor, of New York, 176 note. Blackmailing, 104, 105, 114-116.

Board of Health, why it should have several members, 407-409; party opinions of its members and party interests should not be considered, 409; relation of, to Home Rule, 409; the New York health laws of 1866 and their administration, a valuable lesson in sanitary affairs, 409-414; sanitary condition of New York under party government before 1866, 410; health laws of 1866 framed according to the non-partisan system commended in this volume, 410, 411; its power in New York to remove nuisances, 411; importance of a code of good sanitary laws extending to all the municipalities of the state, 412; practice of dividing cities into classes, in reference to sanitary administration, condemned, 413; every charter of a city should give it the powers conferred by the state sanitary code, 413; need of a state board of inspection of local health boards, 409, 410, 413, 414.

Boss, his relation to autocratic mayor, 14, 15, 143.

Boss, and the boss system, 117, 118, 120, 143-149, and see Tammany.

Boston, its ordinances check party action by city officers, 173.

INDEX

Brace, Chas. L., 302. Brooklyn, city of, its vicious charter, 185-188.

Brown, Hon. Addison, 450.

Buckalew, Mr., his work, 228, 231. Bureau of Municipal Affairs, 52, 54.

California, its free nomination law, 224.

Certificates of nominations, see Nominations.

Chamber of Commerce (N. Y.) supports city reform, 113.

Charity, Tammany gives, for party effect, 487 note.

Charter of Greater New York, see Greater New York Charter, 461.

Charter, is the constitution of a city, 8; what it should contain, 8, 307, 478; danger of its being hastily framed, 8, 9, and see Chap. XVIII., 462; of Greater New York, see Greater New York Charter, Chap. XVIII., 462.
Charters generally framed in city-par-

ties' interests, 16; what they should contain, 9, 478.

Cities were at first very small in the United States, 6, 10; do not originate parties or party principles, 12; danger of allowing them to enlarge themselves at pleasure, 257; who governs cities, 33, 34, and see Chap. XVIII.

Citizens, the most intelligent are most responsible for bad city government, 82-84.

Citizens' Union, 66, 67, 79.

City administration, see City Government.

City affairs involve no party principles, 12-15, 73, 74, and see City Government.

City aldermen, 281, and see Council. City Club of New York, 60.

City Council, see Council (the City).

City government, no generally accepted form of, in the United States, 5, 59, 370; the earliest, in the United States under English charters, 6, 370, 371; true system of, and the party system mutually repugnant, 11-13, 67-71; more a matter of business than of politics, 12-15, 73-79, 376; its methods should be analogous to those of business corporations, 376, 377; in England, see English City Government.

City interests debased by parties and parties debased by governing cities, 72-80.

City officers, their party opinions are unimportant, and to treat them as tests for office is vicious, 80-85; right to prevent their being active in politics, 173-175.

City parties, their false and mischievous theories and teaching, 11–14, 82, 100, 101; their relations to police administration, 128, 129, and see Policemen and Police Administration.

City party, 142-149, and see City-party System; does not investigate city abuses or correct the maladministration of its adherents, 112, 113, 275; moral tone of, lower than that of national parties, 109, 133.

City-party government, 11, 12, and see Party Government; how and why extended to cities, 14, 15, 59, 149.

City-party system and its demands explained, 63-70, 92, 93, 149-152.

City problems, what they include, 18, 23; as affected by Constitutions, 67.

Civil Service Examinations, see Merit System; when they began and their progress, 174, 175.

Civil Service Law, national origin of, 175.

Classification of cities, objections to, 413 note.

Clerk of Council, see Council.

Clubs, political, the sphere and just limitations of, 135-140; Tammany system of, 136-140.

Commission of Police, whether it should be single-headed, 431-435, and see Police and Commissioner of Police.

Commissioners (of the United States, who were justices) appointed by the courts, their efficiency, 448-450, and see Police Justices.

Commissions designed to be checks on mayors, 372, 373.

Committee, Legislative, see Legislative Committee.

Commons, Professor, his views, 44, 48, 228, 312.

Competitive Examinations as established by New York constitution, 176; their theory and practical effects, 166– 172, see Merit System.

Compulsory Voting, how far desirable, 203.

Conspiracy between different parties and their leaders, 94, 95, 97, 102, 126, 127, 211; who guilty of, 176. Constitutions as affecting city governments, 67; as repugnant to the cityparty system, 68-71.

Constitution of New York establishes the merit system, 176, but gives parties increased powers, 490, 491, and see Tests and Appendix.

Continuous Council, meaning of, 247, 274, 313, 362, 378.

Coroners, why they should not be elected by popular vote, 455-457, and see Police Justices.

Council (the City) its meaning, 246, 247; would facilitate Home Rule, 54, 55, and see Home Rule; general statement of the organization of that proposed herein, 246, 247; general exposition of that, recommended, Chap. XL, 276-308; theoretical relation of, to mayor and city government, 248; its functions as illustrated by American constitutions, 248-250, 251; if elected through Free Voting would be a non-partisan body, 252; nature of the issue between a predominating mayor and a predominating council, 253-256, 269, 270; we must decide whether the mayor or the council shall be paramount, 255, 256; essential it should have the ordinancemaking power, 262-264; its relation to the enacting of city laws, 264, 265; legislative authority it should possess. 265-267; should be represented in the legislature, 265; should consider bills affecting cities before they are acted upon by the Legislature, 266-269; fundamental distinction between a paramount mayor and a paramount council and its consequences, 253-256, 269, 270; an efficient council as essential to municipal corporations as directors to business corporations, 270-273; contrast of management of municipal corporations with that of business corporations, 270-273; impossibility of governing a great city without an efficient council, 270-273; need of able and experienced men in. 270-273; need of able men in, will increase with size of cities, 273; importance of a council being a continuous body, 247, 274, 313, 362; the proper constitution and organization of, Chap. XI., 276-308; general principles bearing upon, proposed, 277-280; the mayor and aldermen and their terms of office, as proposed, 281; aldermen in part elected at large, and in part in districts, and how classified, 281-283; how aldermen are to be nominated and elected. 282, 283; district aldermen and city aldermen, 281, 282, 284, 287; how the manner of electing members of, will encourage the best voters and check party domination in the council proposed, 285-287; good effects of this nature under this system, 220, 221, 324, 328, 329; method of electing council will aid in suppressing assembly district despotism and party spoils, 288; some objections to mode of election considered, 289-292; appointed aldermen defined and the reasons for appointing them, 292-297; appointed aldermen likely to be fair and candid men, 291, 292, 294, 295; appointed aldermen, precedents in favor of their appointment, 292, 293, 312, 322-325, and see note to 293; honorary aldermen and the reasons which require their selection, 297, 303; need and means of choosing honorary aldermen, 299, 303; how American cities repel worthy members of councils and foreign cities attract them, 100, 295, 296, 315-317, 322-325, 358, 359; what done by Boston in this matter, 173, 302 note; certain members of, called appointed aldermen, to be chosen by the council itself and the reason therefor, 290-297; whether members of, should have salaries, 303, 304; the clerk or secretary of, his term and mode of his election, 304; whether it should be a single or a bi-cameral body, 304, 305; it should be constituted without regard to party advantage, 306; the constitution proposed for it would simplify city government, 307; its relation to mayor, Chap. X., and see Mayor; such as American cities now have, incompetent to elect mayors, 374; competency of the new councils proposed. for choosing the mayor, 375-378, and see Chap. XI.; those of English cities elect the mayor, 312, 313; the powers it should have analogous to those of Congress and the Legislature, 381-383; advantages of mayors as well as the council being responsible for good administration, 384, 385; its proper authority as to removal of mayors, 396, 397; proper powers of American councils as to appointments, promotions, and removals, 385-390; powers

INDEX

of councils in Great Britain and other European countries as to appointments, promotions, and removals. 386. 387; relative powers of council and mayor as to appointments, promotions, and removals in different branches of the city service, 388-390. Councillors (English), mode of election and character, 292, 312, 317, and see Appointed Aldermen and London City Council. County Clerks, why they should not be

elected by popular vote, 455-457, and see Justices.

County Council (English), 320. Cumulative Voting, see Free Voting.

Dana, Richard H., 214. Deming, Horace E., 214. District Aldermen, see Council. District Attorneys, why they should not be elected by popular vote, 455-457, and see Justices. District of Columbia (city of Washington), the government of, 154-157.

Elected officers, there are too many, and examples of excessive number of, 18, 180-183, 450.

Duty of good citizens to vote, 203, 204.

Election expenses in England and United States compared, 107-110, 111, 321, and see Chap. XVIII.

Election of Mayors, see Mayors.

Elections-at-large, utility of, 202, 204, 208, and see Elections.

Elections (popular), enough left even if appointments and terms are increased as herein proposed, 459, 460.

Employees and officers of cities should have better protection against unjust removals, 393, 395, 396.

England, free voting in, 242, 245.

English cities, Home Rule of, not impaired by inspection and reports, 47,53. English City Government, was partisan and bad before 1835, 310; referred to under name of towns, 310; how it was first improved, 311-313; constitution and authority of its city councils, 312, 313; councillors and aldermen defined, 312; councillors and aldermen sit as one body and elect the mayor, 312, 313, 370, 375; English council elects some members of its own body, 313; the mayor, his character and authority, 313, 314; its mayoralty system causes harmony and vigorous administration, 314, 315; its effectiveness for reform, 315, 316; its high reputation and non-partisan spirit, 315-317, 322-325; how far experience under, is valuable in the United States, 318, 319; civil service reform as affecting its improvement, 319; laws of 1882 and 1888 (being municipal codes). enacted, 320; municipal franchise in London, 317-319, 321; provisions against corruption and fraud in elections, 321; members of council and of school boards elected by free nominations and free voting, 242, 245, 326; it promotes the interests of the humbler classes more than American city governments, 329; illustrations of the practical effects of, in several English cities, 329-333, and see Birmingham, Manchester, and Glasgow; relative cost of city government in England and the United States, 333; police administration in, 334, 335, and see Policemen; Home Rule in, 336; council makes appointments, 313.

English mayor elected by the council, 313, 370, 375.

Enlargement of cities, see Cities and Chap. XVIII., 461.

Estimate and Apportionment, Board of, 152-154, 157, 481.

European cities, general character of their governments, 337, 338, and see English City Government.

European municipal experience neglected in the United States, 50. Evils, see Municipal Evils.

Examinations, 166, 167, 172, and see Pass and Competitive Examinations.

Expenses of election, see Election Expenses and Chap. XVIII., 461.

Extension of cities, its importance, see Greater New York, Chap. XVIII., 461.

Fairlie, John A., on School Inspection, 53. Firemen in cities should be subject to uniform state laws, 420 note.

Forney, Mr. M. N., 235.

France, municipal codes and system of, 338, 339; authority and functions of her city councils, 340, 341; and see French City Government.

Franchise, the municipal, in different countries, 319, 321.

Free Cities, theory of, 31, 38.

Free Nominations, 210-224, and see Nominations; when they may be equivalent to elections of candidates, 220, 221.

INDEX

Free Voting, moral basis of, 224-226; party theory of, 225, 226; true theory of, 226, 227; to vote freely as the voter desires is his right, 226, 227; difference between free voting and limited voting, 227, 228; its relation to other forms of minority representation, and more just and practical than any other, 228, 229; as proposed in New York in 1872, 237, 238; effects of, as established in Pennsylvania, 238-245; party managers in Pennsylvania oppose it, 240, 241; striking evils it would suppress, 241, 244; in England, 242, 245, 326; in Glasgow and Manchester, 242, 243; in the London School Board, 244, 245; why free voting would make it easier to secure non-partisan city administration, 245; necessary to secure more than mere party representation, 252, 253; high elements to which it would give representation, 260, 261; has a paramount and distinctive purpose, 229, 230; cases in which proportional minority, or cumulative voting are hardly useful, 230; advantages of using the phrase "Free Voting," 230; can be made to secure almost complete minority representation, 231, 232; special advantages of, 231-233; examples of, in Illinois and other states, 233-242; as provided for in Illinois constitution, 233, 234; as to stock of corporations, 233, 234; practical effects of, in Illinois, 235-237.

French City Government, 340-342; the councils elect the mayor, 340; character and composition of its councils, 340, 341; compared with that of England, 344, 345; its municipal prefects, 340, 341, 343; character of administration under, 340, 341; civil service examinations and police administration under, 341, 342, 345, 346; school system and savings banks under, 342, 343, 347; concerning the city government of Paris, 343-347; city council of Paris, 343, 344; difference between it and English city government, 343, 344, 345; artistic and scientific instruction in Paris, 345, 346; the market and savings-bank systems of Paris, 346, 347; city council of Paris, its provisions for public schools and scientific instruction, 346, 347; private citizens aid the city council in doing city work, 346, and see page 359 (as to the same practice in Berlin); Paris has no mayor, 343.

French Mayor, 340, 341, and see French City Government.

Gas Supply, see Birmingham, Manchester, Glasgow, and German City Government.

German City Government, general estimate of, 356; the city council of Berlin the controlling authority, and elects the mayor, 357; city councillors of Berlin are elected for six years and have classified terms of office, 358; high character and efficiency of the members of the Berlin city council, 358, 359; some members of the council of Berlin analogous to proposed Honorary Aldermen, 297, 358, 359; considered a great honor to be a member of the Berlin council, 358-360; how committees of citizens aid city councils in administration, 359, 360, 364; no party politics in city government of Berlin, 360; the non-partisan methods of that of Berlin eliminate party spirit, 360, 362; the leading men take the most active part under the government of Berlin, 361; some practical results of that of Berlin, 362-365; salutary effects of a continuous council in Berlin by acting on wellmatured plans, 362, and see Continuous Council; valuable methods of elementary and scientific education in Berlin, 363; sanitary and benevolent administration in the city of Berlin, and its savings banks, pawnshops, and street-cleaning, 364, 365.

Germany, city government in, see German City Government.

Glasgow, free voting in, 242; the character and practical results of its government, 332, 333.

Goodnow, Professor, his views, 48, 49, 50, 55, 58, 235, 310.

ot, 50, 50, 505, 510.

Greater New York Charter, 9, and see Chap. XVIII., 461; instructive both as an admonition in city extension and a lesson in city government, 462; the important principles it involves, 462, 463; the public interests it affects, 463, 464; might with advantage have been given a more limited application, 464, 465; for what a new charter was most needed, 465; the party interests and exigencies involved in creating this charter, 466, 467; the Republi-

cans and the Democrats both hostile to the non-partisan government of Mayor Strong in New York City, 466; the Republican party divided concerning principles and objects of the charter, 467; Mr. Low's relations to the charter, 467, 472; fear that a nonpartisan charter would be adopted if party charter not quickly enacted, 467, 468; the partisan object of the Republicans who imposed the charter on New York, 468, 469; the law which provided for framing the charter allowed no adequate time for the work. 470; the majority of the commissioners for framing it not the best that could have been secured, 471; whether it was the duty of commissioners to resign when they found they had no adequate time for their work, 472-474: apparent theory of majority of the commission, 473; has some excellent provisions, 474, 488 note; the commission misconceived the sentiments and wishes of the Greater New York as to a charter, 475; majority of the Republican voters supported Mr. Low and were opposed to the charter which Mayor Strong vetoed, 474, 475; to impose this charter was an act of partisan desperation, 475, 476; minority representation under it essential to the public interest, yet was not provided as it might have been, 476, 477; charter by intention provides only for a representation of party majorities, 476, 477; the so-called charter only a vast, crude compilation, 478; it is a serious invasion of just Home Rule, which will increase special legislation, 478; it favors a radical and despotic party government of the Tammany kind, 479; it creates a despotic mayor, which is favorable to Tammany's continuing supremacy, 479, 480; the vast authority of the mayor under the charter illustrated, 480, 481; it, and the action of the mayor, favor making party opinions tests for all city offices, 481; examples of party contributions by candidates in connection with securing party nominations for offices, 481, 484, 487; Tammany distributes money in form of charity through its party leaders for party effect, 487 note; the great power of the mayor in the sphere of legislation, which will debase the municipal assembly, 482-486; the municipal assembly under, inadequate for its functions and not likely to attract competent men, 482, 483, 486; concerning the constitution of the municipal assembly, and why sure to be a partisan body, 484, 485; allows neither free nominations nor free voting, and assumes non-partisans not desirable in the assembly, 485; only one representative at large in the two branches of the municipal assembly, 486, 487; how constitution of municipal assembly favored Tammany in first election under the charter, enabling it to carry nearly all the elections, 487: unfortunately provides for two houses in the assembly, 485; failed to remedy most important evils, but intrenched Tammany more strongly than ever before. 488, 489; Republicans interpret the charter favorably to partisan supremacy, 489; has made party contests over city affairs inevitable in New York until it shall be repealed, 489, 492; affected by conflicting constitutional provisions, 496, 497; views of Dr. Shaw concerning its character, 490; views of the Bar Association of New York City concerning its character, 491; proceedings of Legislature on its enactment, 492, 493; how Tammany attempted to elect governor through use of semimilitary delegations, and its power under the charter, 494, 495; how this charter affects the liberty and influence of the rural population, 495, 496.

Green, Andrew H., 468.

Governing of cities, by whom it is carried on, 32-35.

Grog-shops as facilities for corrupt voting, 114.

Hamburg, city government of, 354, 356; constitution and authority of its city council, 354, 355; members of council under it elected for classified terms of six years, 355; council under, elects senate which elects mayor from its own members, 355; high standing of mayor under, 355; precedents for Honorary Aldermen in American cities, 355.

Head of Police, whether it should be a single officer, 431-435, and see Police and Appendix.

Health Administration, see Board of | Inferior men in city offices, 22; con-Health.

Hewitt, Hon. A. S., ex-mayor of New York, 441, 446, 472 note.

Hoffman, Tammany governor, vetoes free voting in New York, 237, 238.

Holland, city government of, 354; members of councils under, are elected for six years, and have classified terms, 354; city councils have appointing power, 354.

Holls, F. W., 203.

Home Rule, and a sound municipal system, nature and the relations of, 13-15; its relations to parties, the autocratic mayor and the boss, 12-15, and ses Chap. II., and Parties; republican in theory, and based on constitutional principles, 27; there should be larger, 34; its true theory applies to towns and counties, 27; its legal and moral limitations, 28-30; false theories concerning, 31, 32; should not be allowed to make things worse, 29-31; is sometimes so presented as to favor disintegration and insubordination, 31; cities' claim of a right to be free or to govern themselves unfounded. 31-33; when and on what conditions it may be safely enlarged, 34; cities' neglects and responsibilities in regard to, 34, 35; what essential to true home rule, 36, 37; mischief of absolute home rule, 36; sound theory of, 36, 37; abuse of home rule authority, 38; interest of state and city the same as to, 39; danger that cities may conspire to claim absolute, 39; in England, 49, 50, 336; whether larger home rule will improve government, 40-42; state inspection does not limit, 47-53; inspection of cities by state would make it safe to enlarge home rule, 50, 51; just grounds for claiming, 34, 36, 37, 160; the larger powers needed for, are legislative rather than executive, 251; general results of municipal government upon in the continental cities of Europe, 365-367.

Honorary Aldermen, 297-302, and see Council

Illinois Constitution, free voting under, 233-237, and see Free Voting. Independent Nominations, see Nomina-

Independents, power of, 9, 60, 61, 65.

demn our city methods, 17, 22.

Inspection of city affairs by state, value of, 44, 45, 50-53; by State Board of Charities, 45, 46; by civil service commissions, 46; examples and effects of, 47-50; how inspections should be made by state, 51, 52; effect of, in England, 49-55. Intelligent citizens are most responsible

for bad city government, 160-162. Intolerance, that of partisans in cities unjustifiable, 84, 85.

Italian City Government, 348–350; has a good municipal code, which prevents special legislation, 348; terms of members of city councils are classified, 349; the council elects the mayor from its own membership, 349; good administration in the city of Milan, 349; nominations to office in Milan may be practically decisive of elections, 350, and see Nominations; remarkable improvements being made in Naples, 350.

Judges, sale of nominations for, and effect upon bench and bar, 106-112; see Chap. XVIII., 481; of United States courts, their appointing power, 447-450.

Judicial Administration in Municipalities, Chap. XVII., 436-460, 490.

Judicial officers, were originally appointed under American constitutions, 436, 437; party influence caused them to be elected, and judicial terms to be shortened, 437, 438; experience of New York as to their choice and tenure instructive, 437-440; the numerous elections of justices in New York caused by her party system, and their disastrous consequences, 439, 440; police justices, their functions under the New York system, 439.

Jurors, cases of their election by ballot, 180, 181.

Justices, see Police Justices.

Labor City Service, meaning of, 388, and see Mayor.

Laborer, his difficulties in securing service from the city, 120.

Labor Registration, its methods and advantages, 162-165. Leaders (party), as police justices, 124,

125, 131-134, and see Police Justices. Leaders (party), meaning and functions of, 117-119, 121-127, and see Tammany. Legislative Committee of 1895; report of, concerning New York police, 128, 129.

Lieutenants and Aides of Tammany, 118-121, and see Tammany. Limited Voting, see Free Voting. Liquor Saloons, see Grog-shops. Little Districts, see Small Districts. Local Government Act (English) of 1894, a valuable precedent for United States, 326, 327. Local Officers, which are, 68.

Lodging-houses as facilities for corrupt voting, 114.

London City Council and local government, 320, 321; its constitution, reputation, and the character of its members, 321-326; elects mayor, makes appointments, 313, 320; members elected by free nominations, 326; distinguished men members of, 322-324; laborious habits of its committees, 323, 324; late elections in, and character of men elected, 323-325; city works carried on by, and amusements provided for the people, 325-327; and see English City Government.

Loomis, Frank M., 50, 378. Lot, the use of, 450, 453-455, and see Police Justices. Low, Dr. Seth, 188, 252. Lowell, Mrs. J. S., 302.

Machine, that of the party defined, 117. Major City Service, meaning of, 388, and see Mayor.

Manchester, free voting in, 243; the character and practical results of its government, 329-332.

Mayor and Council, their relative authority, see Chap. X., 246, and Council.

Mayor, his functions, and his relations to the council, 248-250, 374, 384; when autocratic, 15, 482, 486; analogy of his duties to those of the President, 248-250; theory of an autocratic mayor, 14, 15, 248, 250, 254-256, 259-260; nature of the issue between a predominating mayor and a predominating council, 253-256, 269, 270; tendency to make him a despot, 255-259; theory of holding him responsible. 255, 256; dangerous power of appointment given him in New York, 257, 259; removals at pleasure by, 258, 259; dangers of his becoming a vicious power in regard to legislation for cities, 266-269; hearing on bills be-

fore him should be prior to their submission to the Legislature, 267, 268: fundamental distinction between a paramount mayor and a paramount council, and its consequences, 253-256. 269, 270; his business relations to the council, 270–273; absurdity and danger of holding that a mayor can govern the city, 269-274; no man should be eligible as mayor who has not served in the council, 296, 297; various methods of electing him. 368: European and American mayors compared, 369, 370, 372; choice of, in England, 313, 370; how first American, chosen, 371; how parties sought control of, 10-13, 371-373; commissions designed to check his powers, 372, 373; present American councils incompetent to elect him, 374; function of, 248-250, 374, 384; since elected by reform councils in England great city reforms have been accomplished, 375; method of electing in England, 313, 370, 375; competency of proper councils to elect him, 375-378; need of vicemayor, and his function, 378 note; method of choosing him by council, 379; choice of mayor from and by council will improve membership of that body, 379; expense and partisan intrigues avoided by council electing mayor, 380; election of mayor by council will give harmonious vigor to the government, 380, 381; English experience as to electing mayor by council, 381; irresponsible powers conferred on mayor degrade the council, 382, see Chap. XVIII., 482-487; why he should have a veto power, 383; special functions and duties of, 384; advantages of mayors, as well as the council, being responsible for good administration, 384, 385; powers of as to appointments, promotions, and removals, 257, 385-387; example of the United States government, as suggesting his proper appointing power, 387; relative power of, and the council, as to appointments and removals in several branches of the city service, 388-390; nature and responsibilities of his appointing power, 384, 390, 391; removals by, in the Minor municipal service, 388, 394; removals by him in the Major municipal service, 389, 391; his duty to fill higher places by promotion, 390; his legitimate power over removals, 393,

INDEX

cers and dismissal of laborers despotic in the United States, 395, 396; how they should be removed or dismissed, 396, 397; how he should be removed, 396 Merit system defined, 169; its basis of justice and its methods and effects, 165-175; would facilitate non-partisan city government, 172, 173; prevents city officials being active politicians, 173, 174; established by New York constitution, 176. Milan, see Italian City Government. Minnesota, her state inspection of cities, Minor city service, meaning of, 388, and see Mayor. Minority, its power, 61; how far practically disfranchised by party system of voting, 241, 244, 493, 494; and see Free Voting. [and Appendix. Minority representation, see Free Voting Missouri, its free nomination law, 223. Montpelier, excessive number of elections in, 180, 181.

Morality, that of the city and the country considered, 30, 38, 39. Municipal Code in England, 320, and see English City Government. Municipal Corporations Act of 1835

(English), its object and great value, 311-316; reaffirmed after long experience, 320. Municipal Evils, when they first arose,

7; general statement of, 20-22; how far due to parties, 158, 159; better education necessary for their removal, 158, 159. Municipal League, 4.

Municipal reform, friends of, disastrously divided by parties, 160, 161. Municipal system, no original Ameri-

can, 5; how that we have originated, 6; and see City Government.

Naples, its city improvement, 350, and see Italian City Government. Naturalization and registration, of voters, 198-202; rule and practice as to, in various states, 199, 200; should be provisions requiring them to be made

several months before election, 200, 201; laws of Massachusetts as to, 201, 202; elections-at-large would relieve some difficulties as to, 201, 202.

New Jersey, her law enabling citizens to expose abuses through the courts, 195.

394; his powers over removals of offi- | New York City, population in 1790, 6. New York law as to citizens going into the courts to expose abuses, 195, 196. Nominations, their function, and when parties may properly make them. 209-212; their coercion by parties, 211-213; every citizen's right to an equal opportunity in making them, 211-213; the party monopoly in making them despotic and demoralizing, 212, 213, 493, 494; those made by parties often secret and corrupt, 218; when they may be said to be free, 213, 214; origin of making them in the United States by certificate, 213, 214; laws and practice of Massachusetts and New York as to, 214-216; laws of New York as to, both partisan and oppressive, 215, 216; all should be free and be made by certificates, 216, 217; certificates of, need but few signers, 217; probable good effects from, 217-219; why partisans oppose those which are free, 217, 218; origin of those which are free in England, and how made there, 219-221; when free nominations in England supersede the need of voting for candidates, 220, 221, and see 350; how free nominations in England have helped the taking of cities out of party politics, 221; the broader the suffrage the greater the need of free nominations, 221, 222; English system of free nominations available in the United States, 221, 222; if free, whether too many candidates will be nominated, and how to prevent it, 222-224; free in Missouri and California for elections in party primaries, 223, 224.

Non-partisan city government, 9, 14, 60, 61, 65.

Non-partisan sentiment, see Indepen-

Office, party tests for, see Tests. Officers (city), as to right to be active in party politics, 172, 173, 174.

Official Action, need of publicity as to, and means of securing it, 193-198, and see Publicity.

Ordinance-making power, meaning and importance of, 262, 263. Ordinances of Board of Health and

Board of Police, 262, 263.

Paris, the city government of, 342-347; has no mayor, 343; and see French City Government.

relations to cities, 9, 10, 67-72; not needed in city affairs, 11, 57; how far responsible for city evils, 24, 25; their relation to Home Rule, see Chap. III., 57; government by, in cities, 59, 60; when grasped control of cities, 9-12, 59, 60; growth of, 60, 64; how far needed or desirable for city reform, 60-64; do not seek city reform, 63, 64; what they may properly seek in cities, 63; false theory of their relation to cities, 9-12, 64; as affecting Home Rule, 67-71; wrongfully obstruct Home Rule, 68, 69; insist on party test for city offices, 69, 70; oppose the theories of our constitutions, 70; prostitute city interests for their own advantage, 72, 73; national parties purer than state parties and state purer than city parties, 73-75; none have grown out of city affairs, 78-80; no just basis for applying party principles or tests for office in cities, 79-83, 85; men often join them without regard to principles, 81, 82; divide friends of reform, 160; how they secured government of cities, 11, 371; how England defeated their control of city government, 372; why not utterly hostile to bi-partisan commissions, 373; strengthened by recent constitutional provisions in New York, 490, 491; how they unjustly interfere with both municipal and rural government, 494 495.

Partisan voters, how discouraged and baffled by English non-partisan system, 327, 328, and see Voters in cities. Party, see Parties.

Party activity in cities largely mischievous, 86, 87, 88; does not extend to most important matters, 87, 88.

Party government, origin and nature of, in cities, 9-12, 59, 60; in cities defined, 63, 64; more appropriate in towns and counties than in cities, 76, 77; no basis for, in cities, 77; its managers able to dictate in city elections, 77; more corrupt in cities than elsewhere, 76-78; in cities allows no one but adherents of ruling party to have office, and requires all officers to electioneer for it, 11, 92, 113; why it should be excluded in cities, 191, 192.

Party leaders, see Leaders. Party opinion defined, 62.

Parties, their legitimate sphere and their | Party spirit and its effects, 24, 25, 93, 94, relations to cities, 9, 10, 67-72; not | 102, 103.

Party system and true municipal system mutually repugnant, 11, 12, 100; facilitates conspiracy between the leaders of different parties, 102.

Party tests, see Tests.

Pass examinations, 166 note.

Pendleton bill (so-called), origin of, 175. Pennsylvania, free voting in, 238–245, and see Free Voting.

Pensions, or retiring allowances, in the city service, 389 note; for policemen, 431.

Personal representation, see Free Voting.

Plumping, 236, 243.

Police, see Policemen; the, its relation to parties and the bi-partisan system, 149-151, 373, 417; whether the head of should be a single officer, 431-435; who is to be regarded as the head of, 432-434; need of larger local powers over police to prevent excessive special legislation, 434.

Police administration, see Chap. XVI., 415; and see also Policemen, Commission of Police, and Appendix.

Police code of state police laws, need and utility of, and what it should contain, 429, 430.

Police Commission, see Commission of Police, and Appendix.

Police Justices, how treated by Tammany and the politicians, 130-134, and see Tammany; their authority and practical importance under the system of party election, 438-440; essential to the public safety that they should not be controlled by parties, 440: practical effects of their election by popular vote, 440, 441; good effects of their being made appointive by the laws of New York of 1873, 441, 442; their increased length of term a great advantage, 441, 442; their appointment by the mayor involves their activity in party politics, 442, 443; need that they should be good lawyers, 132, 442, 443; tendency in New York to give the higher courts power of appointing and removing justices, 443, 444; reasons why justices should be appointed and removed by the higher courts, 444-446; effect of such appointments and removals upon the bar, 445, 446, 452; precedents under the United States for their appointment by the

courts, 447-450; United States commissioners acting as justices, effi-cient and reliable, 450; the manner in which they should be appointed by the judges, 450-453; the use of the proper lot in connection with appointing justices, 451, 453-455; method proposed for selecting justices applicable to the selection of various other officers. 455, 456; objections to the election by popular vote of coroners, district attorneys, and several other officers, 455-457; a late New York law bearing upon the choice of, indefensible, 457-458; popular elections of, and of other officers, where no political principles are involved, sure to be vicious, 458, 459.

Police laws, those of New York of 1857 and 1870, 150, 151.

Police school, need of, see Appendix. Policemen, their qualifications and duties, 128, 149, 415-417; their relations to parties, 128, 150, 151, 416, 417; the nature of their duties, and why they should not be partisans or politicians. 149, 415, 416; analogy of their duties to those of soldiers, 416; parties cause the greatest difficulties in the discharge of their duties, 416, 417; partisan politicians not fit to be policemen, 417, 418; unfavorably affected by bipartisan commissions, 417-420; vicious practice of selecting them for party reasons, 418, 419; parties - through bi-partisan commissions and otherwise - seek to control them, 418, 419; laws of Massachusetts and New York aim to secure an unjustifiable and partisan monopoly of police appointments, 419-421; how far they are local officers and how far state officers, 422, 423; should recognize a duty to the state as well as to the city, 423, 424; to teach, policemen that their sole duty is to the city is false and vicious, 423, 424; why the state should pay a part of the expense of local police administration, 424-426, 428, 429; the practice of England as to nation paying part of, the expense of police administration. 426; English police system, and methods worthy of study, 50, 426; our partisan police laws restrict Home Rule, 426, 427; need and utility of state inspection of police, 426, 427; whether there should be a state police force, 426, and see note; there should be annual reports to the state concerning city police administration, 427; need of having policemen go outside of their locality to aid in the suppression of riots, etc., elsewhere, 428, 429; their superiority to the militia for such purposes, 428, 429; pensions or retiring allowances for those superannuated, 339 note, 431.

Police trials, their difficulty and number, 433, 434.

Power of appointment and removal is a power in trust for the benefit of the people and not of any party, 191, 192, and see Mayor.

Primary elections, 202, 203, and see Elections.

Principles of parties not involved in cities, 9, 14, 60-65, 73, 74.

Problems, the leading, 5, 17, 18, 23; when municipal arose, 7, 10; municipal underrated, 8, 9.

Property qualifications for suffrage, 198. Proportional representation, see Free Voting.

Publicity of official action, need of, 193-198; as a remedy for abuses, 194; right of citizens to secure it through the courts, 195, 196; how secured in New York and New Jersey, 195-197; need of further law for securing, 197. Public opinion defined, 62; as a practical force in cities, 85-88; its power to overcome party forces, 85-88.

Questions, the leading, in cities, see Problems. Quincy, Josiah, 302.

Railroads (city), see Birmingham, Manchester, Glasgow, and German City Government.

Reform in cities, why parties cannot lead in, 66, 67.

Reform, Municipal, see Municipal Reform.

Reforms begin outside parties, 60, 66. Registration of laborers, see Labor Registration.

Registration of Voters, 199, 200, 201. Removals, true rule as to, 171, 172– 174; theory of removing at pleasure, 257–259, 393, and see "At Pleasure"; should be made publicly, and only for reasons stated, 391, 392; liberty to make them at pleasure can only be desired by a despot or a corrupt officer, 258, 391; provisions for correcting injustice in making, 395; provision for removing mayor, 396.

Reports needed from cities to state, 42, 44, and see Inspection.

Responsibility of representatives, feeling of, impaired by small districts, 204-208.

Retiring allowances, or pensions, in the city service, 389 note, 431.

Rural population, how affected by cityparty rule, 495-498.

Salaries, whether they should be allowed to members of city council, 303.

Sale of nominations, 106-112, and see Judges and Tammany.

Saloons, see Grog-shops.

Sanitary Administration, see Board of Health.

School Administration, see School Boards.

School Boards, the need of, 399; different views as to the true construction of, 399; should be state inspection of, 399, 401; should have no connection with party politics, 400; Free Voting applied to election of members in England, 242, 244, 400; their administration has two branches, 401; what should be the composition of American school boards, and how their members should be selected, 402, 403; their members should have classified terms of office and be chosen by nonpartisan methods, 402; some members of, should be chosen by city council, 402, 403; might be allowed to select honorary members, 404; offices under, should be filled through the civil service examinations, 404; members of, should represent not parties, but the people,404; English law and practice as to their selection, 242-244, 326; American practice as to selecting them, 244; partisan School Board of New York City under law of 1894 involves school administration in party politics and strengthens the spoils system, 405, 406; subordinate officers under last law selected according to spoils system methods, 406, 407.

School Commissioners, see School Boards.

School Inspections, 399-401, and see School Boards.

School officers, see School Boards.

Schools, see School Boards and Appendix.

Schuyler, Louisa Lee, 302. Science, municipal, little in United States, 5, 11, 58.

Secretary of Council, see Council.

Separate city elections, value of, 16, 25, 26; parties may favor them, 26; trial of them in New York, 26.

Shaw, Dr. Albert, 58, 310, 322, 323, 471,

Sheriffs, why they should not be elected by popular vote, 455-457, and see Police Justices.

Small districts for representation vicious in their tendency, 204-208; favor the election of small men and impair a salutary sense of responsibility, 207, 472, 486.

Smith, Chas. S., 302, 472 note.

Spanish City Government, 347, 348; city council elects the mayor and several assistants from its own body, 348.

Special city laws very numerous and excessive, 20.

Special legislation, how necessity for, can be avoided, 251, 264, 265.

Spoils System, origin of, 10; defined, 169, 170.

State Board of Charities, 44, 45.

State Charities' Aid Association of New York, 46.

State Inspection of Cities, see Inspection. State Municipal Bureau, 54.

State Police Scheme, see Appendix. Statesmen, American, have neglected

cities, 8, 59. Sterne, Simon, 471.

Strong, W. L., election of, as mayor, 64; his appointments, 134, 442; his official action, 465, 466, 472.

Suffrage, property qualification for, 198; need of higher standards for, 198, 199.

Tammany, its meaning, 89; reasons for considering it, 89; its methods imitated by its opponents 90; origin and object of, 90, 91; transformation from charity to politics, 91; its nomenclature, 92; it relies on party conflicts for public virtue, 93; insists on municipal servants being all Tammany men, 93, 94; the kind of party government it enforces, 92; its adherents misled by party spirit but not necessarily unpatriotic, 49, 94, 98, 103; treats city affairs as a mass of politics to be managed, 93; makes the will of its majority its moral law, 94; the

decisive question concerning it not the merits of its adherents, but the character and effects of its system, 94, 95; conflicting aims and attitudes of, 95, 99; habitually sacrifices some of the interests it assumes to represent, 96; has become a state and national power in politics, 97; has havit-ually opposed civil service and other reforms, 93, 97; cannot be defende on the basis of principle, 97; a unique attempt to defend its theories, 97, 98; sometimes openly sacrifices city interests, 99, 100; reasons for its having so many worthy supporters, 98, 99; threefold relations of Tammany considered, 99, 100; its views of party demoralizing, 100; it supports and enforces the spoils system, 101; it gives official favors for votes, 101; it aggravates party animosities, 101; what it says to the voters, 101, 102; some of the effects of its system, 102, 103; the bond which holds its adherents together, 103, 104; its theory of the duty of office-holders under it, 103; its extortion of political assessments, 104, 106; its profit from managing city-party politics, 105; it makes gains from granting permits and licenses, 104, 105; its feudal power over office-holders, 102-104; practically sells offices, 106, 107; sales of judicial offices demoralizing in their effects upon the bench and bar, 107-110; price exacted for nominations for various offices, 111, 112, 481, 482, 487; its dealings with police courts and the police force, and its profits therefrom, 112; examples of its dealings with police justices, 113, 114, 133, 134; how the keepers of lodging-houses, grog-shops, gambling dens aid it, 114; calls for no sacrifices of party interests for the public good, 117; to what the extortions and blackmailing of its supporters extend, 114, 115; its great gains from controlling legislation, 115, 116; methods through which it manages its affairs and governs cities, 116-121; its captains, lieutenants, aides, and other subordinates, 118; its machine, and what the term means, 117, 118; the power of its machine to influence voters, 119; the hopes and fears which stimulate its officers, 119; how it apportions patronage and spoils to districts, 119; the duties of its

captains and aides, 118-120; how it deals with city laborers, 120; its boss system prevails through its whole organization, 119, 120; its Assembly districts, and the duties and functions of the leaders of these districts, 117, 118, 121-126; pernicious influence of its leaders, especially over the criminal classes, 122-124; large sums paid these leaders as public officers, 124, 125; its leaders and the leaders of its party opponents punished together, 126, 127; abuses of, investigated by independent citizens, 126, 127; its influence and that of city-party theories upon police administration and the duties of policemen, 128, 129; its relations with and manner of treating police courts, 130-134; treats criminal justices as partisan forces to be used for its own advantage, 131; the danger and injustice of making police justices out of party leaders, 131-133; example of an admirable police justice it condemned, 133; tendency of its system to partisan despotism and servility, 135; its vicious partisan club system, 135-140; how its clubs are supported, 137; what is done at its clubs, 138, 139; politician's theory of clubs, 139; its expenditure of money and its physical demonstrations at conventions, 140-143; it sends many trains of semi-military delegates to conventions; 141-143, 494-497; its boss and its boss system, 143-149, and see Boss; its methods constantly produce bosses, 143, 144; what its system demands in the boss, 145, 146; the duties of its boss, 147; effects of its boss system, 147, 148; it distributes (socalled) charity through its assembly district leaders, 487 note; how it tried to make its mayor governor, 495-497. Tenure, see Term and Tenure.

Tenure, see 1erm and 1enure. Term and Tenure for municipal office, what is reasonable, 178; should be increased, 179; mischievous precedents as to, 179-182; need and utility of their increase as cities grow larger, 182; examples of the advantage of stability in office, 183, 184; evils from their being too short and precarious, 189-191.

Tests, partisan, for office, 419-421, 494, and see Appendix.

Theories of vicious city government illustrated in the charter of Brook-

INDEX

lyn, 185–188; how far important to have a sound theory, 60, 62. Town government, 67.

Town, name sometimes used to designate cities in England, 310.

United States Commissioners, see Commissioners and Police Justices.
Universal suffrage in cities, how far cause of municipal evils, 317-319.
Unrepresented voters, number resulting from the city-party system, 241.

Veto power, why mayor should have, 383-385. Vice-Mayor, 378 note, 387-395, and see

Vice-Mayor, 378 note, 387–395, and se Mayor.

Vienna, city government of, 351, 352, and see Austrian City Government.

Vile voters, how dissuaded from voting by English non-partisan system, 66, 327, 328; will be dissuaded by method

of voting in the proposed American councils, 285.

Voters, different classes of, in cities, and how properly described, 63, 65-67, 327, 328; the non-partisan or independent vote, 65; voters in cities, the party vote, 65; the partisan vote, 65; the mercenary vote, 66; the vile vote, 66, 67, 122-124.

Voting, duty of, 203, 204, and see Free

Voting, duty of, 203, 204, and see Free Voting.

Waring, Colonel Geo. E., 261, 471. Washington, city of, see District of

Columbia.

Water supply, see Birmingham, Man-

chester, Glasgow, and German City Government.

Wheeler, Hon. Hoyt H., 450.

Wilcox, Delos F., 51.

Wood, Fernando, his system, 149.

THE PRINCIPLES OF SOCIOLOGY.

AN ANALYSIS OF THE PHENOMENA OF ASSOCIATION AND OF SOCIAL ORGANIZATION.

By FRANKLIN HENRY GIDDINGS, M.A.,

Professor of Sociology in Columbia University, in the City of New York. (COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS.)

8vo. Cloth. \$3.00, net.

"The book is especially valuable because of the clearness and fulness with which it discusses the psychical elements in social evolution.

"Professor Giddings has done good service by his clear exposition of the present stage of sociology, and he has made a distinct and valuable contribution to the subject. The book is also timely, and will doubtless have wide reading and command the attention of all students of the subject, not only because of Professor Giddings' acknowledged standing as a sociologist, but because of its intrinsic value. The style is particularly lucid, and the tone of the book is judicial throughout." — The Bookman.

"This is a book which has long been awaited with eager expectation by students of sociology. We have a valuable treatise which will, we believe, for many years to come be the text-book on this subject,
"Professor Giddings' work has in a high degree that most valuable character-

istic of a contribution to human thought, suggestiveness. His discussion of the evolution of culture and tradition in his chapter on 'Demogenic Association' is especially rich in suggestion.

"Professor Giddings' book is highly stimulating. He is a vigorous thinker and a strong writer, and he has a broad knowledge of his subject and its various affiliations which is as refreshing as it is unusual in this day of scientific specialists and non-scientific sociologists.

"The book is well indexed and is accompanied by a valuable classified bibliography, - valuable, but by no means exhaustive." - The New Unity.

"Is of great interest. Students of the comparatively new science of sociology will give a hearty welcome to Professor Giddings' book." - Boston Daily Advertiser.

"His volume is a brilliant, fascinating, and important contribution to a subject which is engaging the sustained labors of our foremost scholars, and the attention and interest of our publicists." — The Philadelphia Evening Bulletin.

"The work is on an entirely new basis. There is nothing like it in literature. A glance at the table of contents will convince any one that it is a model of method. We feel convinced that as soon as it becomes known it will be accepted as an authority; and its value, coming in all its completeness at this early stage of the study of this science, cannot be exaggerated."—Minneapolis Tribune.

> THE MACMILLAN COMPANY. 66 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK.

PUBLICATIONS OF THE COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS.

MUNICIPAL HOME RULE.

A STUDY IN ADMINISTRATION

By FRANK J. GOODNOW, A.M., LL.B.,

Professor of Administrative Law in Columbia College, Author of "Comparative Administrative Law."

12mo. Cloth. \$1.50.

"The questions are handled with scholarly skill, and, it is needless to say, without a scintilla of partisanship. A fair, candid, historic treatment of a difficult problem is what we get, and when you lay the little volume down you feel like offering your hand to the professor on the thoroughly impartial and dispassionate manner in which he has done his work. We very seldom give unstinted praise, but in the present instance we include in that prolessor has carried

his work. We very seldom give unstinted praise, but in the present instance we indulge in that privilege because the professor has earned the right to it."—N. V. Herald.

"Here is without doubt one of the most trenchant and scholarly contributions to political science, remarkable for analytical power and lucidity of statement. It cannot fail to do much for the cause it would serve."—Chicago Evening Post.

"To a correct understanding of the problem of municipal reform in all its bearings, Professor Goodnow's work is really indispensable, and it should be very carefully studied."—Boston Daily Advertiser.

MUNICIPAL PROBLEMS.

By FRANK J. GOODNOW, LL.D.,

Professor of Administrative Law, Columbia University in the City of New York.

Cloth. 16mo. \$1.50, net.

"We question if any other book before has achieved quite the important service to what may be termed theoretic municipalism.... One that all those interested in municipal matters should read.... Moderate in tone, sound in argument, and impartial in its conclusions, it is a work that deserves to carry weight."—London Liberal.

"Here is without doubt one of the most trenchant and scholarly contributions to political science of recent writing, remarkable for analytical power and lucidity of statement." — Chicago Evening Post.

> THE MACMILLAN COMPANY. 66 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK.