OCCUPANCY RIGHT
OCCUPANCY RIGHT
ITS HISTORY AND INCIDENTS

TOGETHER WITH AN INTRODUCTION DEALING WITH
LAND TENURE IN ANCIENT INDIA

BY
RADHAROMON MOOKERJEE,
VAKIL, AUTHOR OF 'THE LAW OF BENAMI TRANSACTIONS.'

PUBLISHED BY THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA
1919
To

The Hon'ble Justice Sir Asutosh Mookerjee, Kt., C.S.I.

To whose noble enthusiasm, earnest endeavour, and organising capacity, scientific research in every department of knowledge owes so much.
The subject of the present thesis is "The origin and growth of the right of occupancy in agricultural land and the incidents thereof."

The subject naturally divides itself into two parts—I. The origin and growth of occupancy right, and II. Its incidents.

In building up my theory of the origin and growth of occupancy right, I have had the advantage of the writings of many learned authors who have made laborious and minute researches into the nature of the landed rights in this country. I have attached hereto a list, by no means exhaustive, of the authorities I have consulted and have stated fully in the footnotes the sources from which every particular information is derived. While I acknowledge to them my indebtedness for the informations they have furnished, I have, by my own independent research, collected materials from our ancient Sanskrit books, the authentic history of the country during the Mahomedan Period and from Government Reports and Minutes, and recorded my own personal observations thereon. My research has been conducted independently without advice from or collaboration with others.

The portions of the thesis which I claim to be original are indicated below.

It has been said by a high authority that the occupancy right is a creation of Act X of 1859. I have attempted to shew in this thesis that this is far from the truth. A careful perusal of our Sanskrit Sastras and also of the record of the Mahomedan rule would convince any one that the proprietary right in the soil always belonged to the cultivator and that the King was only entitled to a share of its produce and was never regarded as its proprietor. And as the proprietary right carries with it the right of possession, there can be little doubt that the cultivators in ancient times had also the right to occupy the land. The rise of a class of landlords between the King and the village community did not disturb those cultivators in their occupation of the land. In those days in a state of society when there was plenty of unoccupied land and population sparse, and the competition consequently was not amongst tenants for land, but amongst
landlords for raiyats, it gradually became the custom not to
eject these resident raiyats so long as they paid their rent.
From none of these could any rent be demanded except what
was fair according to received ideas, or in other words,
customary rent. Thus immunity from ejectment and
enhancement—the two privileges implied in the right of
occupancy—were possessed by the tenants from the most
ancient times. Besides these, there was another class of
tenants who were 
rentants of a neighbouring village, where
they could not obtain enough quantity of land to cultivate,
and who sought in a different village to bring under cultiva-
tion the lands which the resident raiyats of that village were
unable to cultivate. It was only when such persons came
to ask for leave to occupy the land that the rent could be
fixed with some advantage. But even in their case it had to
be determined at a low rate. The reason was that not having
their residence in the village those people were not so
amenable to pressure, and, what was more, they could at any time
abandon the land for which they had no particular attach-
ment. They have been held by all authorities to have no
specific rights and to be mere tenants-at-will. Though
theoretically they were liable to ejectment, in practice,
however, the competition then being for tenants rather than
for lands, no ejectment could actually take place. Thus
those tenants also enjoyed some sort of protection both from
eviction as well as from rack-rent.

But with the establishment of British rule, however the
old state of things entirely changed. With peace, good
government and improvement of commerce there has arisen
great demand for land, and rent, which was formerly settled
by custom, has now come to be fixed by competition. The
Permanent Settlement made no provisions for the protection
of the raiyats, and the Revenue Sale Laws expressly gave
powers to the auction-purchasers to oust all but the resident
raiyats. And when we recollect the fact that nine-tenths of
the revenue-paying estates were soon after sold for arrears
of revenue, we can well imagine how extensively the raiyats
were ousted from their holdings by the purchaser of estates
when a demand for land arose. The resident hereditary
raiyats were indeed by law entitled to protection, but even in
their case, owing to the absence of any definite rule of law
and the constant change of landlords, possessed of large
powers of disturbing their vested rights, these often came to
be lost sight of. Besides, the non-resident raiyats in the
village, who were mere tenants-at-will and who had yet been
long cultivating the same pieces of land which they improved
by their own labour, if not by their own capital, were, therefore, equitably entitled to some sort of protection.

Act X of 1859 came to afford to the raiyats the protection which they so sorely needed. It gave equal protection to both these classes of raiyats by enacting that *twelve year's continuous cultivation of the same piece of land* would confer on them the right of occupancy in that land and that they could no longer be evicted therefrom. This provision substantially restored the *khud kasht* raiyat to the former position which he had always enjoyed during the Hindu and the Moslem periods and from which he had considerably fallen. For probably in those days a raiyat who had cultivated the same holding for the space of twelve years was presumed to have given the pledges required by the community for protection against ouster. The Act further conferred rights of occupancy on a large class of raiyats who had previously been mere tenants-at-will. The rule became the Charter of the cultivating classes and it became the ambition of every tenant to retain possession of his fields for twelve years and thereby to gain the coveted status of occupancy tenant with protection against arbitrary eviction, rack-renting and hereditary rights. On the other hand, it became a common practice with the landlord to evict the tenant and then to reinstate him or to induce him to change the particular fields he held for others, before his twelve years were up. The B. T. Act, 1885, considerably enlarged the basis of the claim to the occupancy right in view of these practices by enacting that the tenant, on proving that he has held, *any land in the village for twelve years continuously*, attains the status of a “settled raiyat,” and becomes, as such, entitled to an occupancy right in all the lands he holds for the time being.

With regard to the origin of the occupancy raiyat, or *khud kasht* raiyat as he is called, there are two different theories put forward. According to Dr. Field they were *outsiders*, who had been permitted to settle in the village and had to contribute to the Raja a share of the produce as government revenue and to the village community something in addition. According to Mr. Baden Powel, on the other hand, they were not settlers from outside but *original members* of the village community who cultivated their own lands and were liable for shares of the government revenue and nothing in addition. They were, in fact, proprietors of the soil, which they cultivated.
I have attempted to shew that both the above views contain only half truths. There can be no doubt that the original settlers in the village, who were all members of the same family, and who, in course of time, formed the village community, were the proprietors of the village lands, which they themselves cultivated. But the struggle for existence compelled them to amalgamate with strangers, who, although originally had no right to the village lands, would then become as much proprietors of the lands which they had themselves cleared and on which they had established themselves. But when the struggle for existence ceased to trouble the community it became a close corporation and refused to assimilate the strangers. As soon as this stage was reached there could be no doubt that any new-comer would only be admitted into the village on terms of paying rent for the use and occupation of lands, or, in other words, as tenants under the original proprietors and acquired no proprietary right in them themselves. With the rise however of a class of aristocracy intermediate between the King and the village community, who assumed the landlord's right over the village proprietors whom they degraded to the position of tenants under them, the distinction that had existed between the outsider and the proprietors themselves soon disappeared. All were now tenants under the landlords. Besides these, there were the non-resident raiyats of the village, already spoken of, who yet cultivated the village lands and have been held by all authorities to be mere tenants-at-will. Act X of 1859 gave all these different classes of tenants the right of occupancy without any distinction as to their origin. Thus all raiyats in the village—whether the original members of the village community, or the outsiders assimilated into it, or the outsiders not so assimilated but settled in the village as tenants, or the non-resident cultivators in the village,—could become occupancy raiyats after they had held the same land for twelve continuous years. And the B. T. Act of 1885 went further and provided that by only holding any land in the village for the same period such right could be acquired.

In dealing with the incidents of occupancy right, besides the authorities already referred to, I have to depend more largely on the reports, both government and private, of the decisions of the High Court interpreting the provisions of the laws relating to the same. I have tried to find out what may be called the leading cases on the subject and to deduce from them the underlying principles on which they are based and indicated them in my thesis in a way which, I venture to say, has not yet been done in any of the annotated editions of the Acts.
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197

Under old law

197

Under B.T. Act

198

Position of under-lessee on—

197

(3)

Misuse of land

198, 210—212

What amounts to—See "Use"

198

Conditions precedent to

199

Suit for the purpose

199

Prior notice to pay compensation

199

Relief—against forfeiture on these grounds

199

Onus

190

Damage measure of, nominal or substantial

200

Claim in respect of whole tenancy

200

Compensation to raiyat for improvement on holding on—

200

Acquiescence by landlord, effect of—

201

Waiver of forfeiture

201

What amounts to

201

Limitation to suit for, on those grounds

202

(4)

Raiyat turning rent receiver

203

(5)

Denial of landlord's title—See "Disclaimer"

203—6

(6)

Transfer of whole or part of holding—See "Transfer"

(7)

Sale of estate for arrears of revenue under Act XI of

1859—See "Sale" "Revenue"

206—207

(8)

Sale of tenure for arrears of rent under B.T. Act. See "Sale" 207—208

(9)

Sale of Patut for arrears of rent under Reg. VIII of 1819 208—209

(10)

Sale of under-tenure under Act VIII B.C. of 1869 209

Suspension of rent on

181—2

Encroachment. See "Alteration of Rent"

Enhancement

Cultivator’s rent—limited by custom

46

Rent of raiyat after Permanent Settlement

62

Under Reg. V of 1812

66

Up to Pergana or customary rate

63

Where no such rate

66

Protection from—of occupancy raiyat

151—170

What is

152

I. By contract

152

Under old law

152

Under B.T. Act S 29

152—160

Limitations to—

(1) Must be in writing and registered

152—153

(2) Not more than 2 As in the rupee

153
EXCEPTIONS.

(i) On ground of landlord's improvement

(ii) Release of raiyat from obligation to cultivate particular crop

(iii) Settlement of bonafide dispute as to rent and area

Distinction between where initial rent known and where disputed

Avoidance of stringent conditions in previous lease

Contract in contravention of S 29 void and not severable

Effect payment of enhanced rent for more than three years

Onus of proof of contract being against S. 29

Device to defeat S. 29

Allowance (Mahakub) for a term at end of which full rent payable—effect

Agreement by way of penalty

Addition of new land to old

Consolidation of tenancies and of rent

Splitting up of one holding into several and distribution of rent over the same and

Conversion of pasture into arable land and

Conversion of one kind of rent into another and

By co-sharer landlord

Compromise of suit by landlord and tenant in contravention of S. 29

II. BY SUIT

Grounds thereof

(i) Rate of rent below prevailing rate

(1) Principle of prevailing rate

Meaning of prevailing rate

Rate paid by largest number of raiyats

New definition added by Act III of 1898 B.C.

Its principle

Facility of enhancement on this ground

Where no prevailing rate

(2) Lands must be similar

(3) Three years rent

(4) Special rate for particular castes

(5) Landlord's improvement

Commissioner for determining it

(6) No reason for holding at low rate

Sufficiency of Jangalbari leases

(ii) Rise in price of food crops

Not special crops

Two food crops

Average price

Nature of land

Price list

Where rent paid in kind

Rules for determining enhancement of this ground

(iii) Increase in productive power of land

(1) Due to landlord's improvement

Onus

Rules for determining amount on this ground

(2) Due to fluvial action

Meaning of fluvial action

Its duration

Must be gradual

Must not be within 15 years
Suit for Who may bring Court-fee in Decree for, when takes effect
(iv) Increase in area. See “Alteration of rent”

III. On sale of estate for arrears of revenue
IV. On sale of tenure for arrear of Putoi rent

Escheat—
Occupancy right does not—to crown
Estoppel—
Against tenant
Eviction—See “Ejectment”

Factory—
Occupancy right in Building factory on holding and liability of occupancy raiyat to ejectment

Famine
Of 1770—Its effect on economic relation between landlord and raiyat

Farmer
Of rent when acquires occupancy right
Raiyat acquiring farmer’s right, suspension of his right to acquire occupancy right
Suit for enhancement of rent by

Farming of land revenue
In ancient times
During Mahammadan Rule—Its effect on raiyats

Field, Dr.
Protection of tenants from eviction in ancient times
Theory as to origin of Khudkasht and Paikasht raiyats

Firm
When acquires occupancy right

Firuz Shah Tughluck
Land tenure during his reign

Fishery
Occupancy right in

Fixity of rent
Presumption as to
From payment of uniform rent for 20 years
Except when origin of tenancy known
Proof of payment for 20 years
Does it apply to occupancy raiyats?
Occupancy raiyat may acquire right to—
Occupancy raiyat at fixed rate
Can’t acquire status of fixed rate raiyat

Fixed rate raiyat
Can’t acquire occupancy right
Presumption as to fixed rate of rent
Occupancy raiyat at fixed rate can acquire status of

Fluvial action
Increase in productive power of land due to
Ground of enhancement
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Forfeiture
  Of tenancy ... ... ... ... 196—202
  Relief against ... ... ... ... 199
  Waiver of ... ... ... ... 201
  See "Ejectment."

Fraud
  Bar to acquisition of occupancy right ... ... ... 116, 135

Garden
  Occupancy right in ... ... ... ... 121
  Occupancy raiyat's right to make ... ... ... 210
  Gautama
    King's share in produce of land ... ... ... 7, 9
  Ghat
    Occupancy right in ... ... ... ... 124
  Ghatwali
    Occupancy right in ... ... ... ... 129—132
    See "Chaukidari Chakran"

Ghias-ud-din Tughluk
  Land tenure during his reign... ... ... ... 41

Gift
  Occupancy raiyat's right to make—of his holding ... ... 320—321
  Such—can't be rescinded by donor ... ... ... 321
  His heirs bound by it ... ... ... 321
  Landlord when bound by it ... ... ... 321

Gomasta
  Power to recognise transfer of occupancy holding ... ... 269—271
  Presumption and proof of such power ... ... ... 269
  Burden of proof of such power ... ... ... 269—271

Grant
  Of Dewany to E.I. Co. ... ... ... ... 110
  Origin of—Determines character of tenancy ... ... ... 110

Grazing
  Occupancy right in — land ... ... ... ... 125—126

Haptam Regulation
  Its effect on raiyat ... ... ... ... 61
  Its necessity ... ... ... ... 63
  Its failure ... ... ... ... 63

Hereditary right
  Test of raiyati interest ... ... ... ... 108
  Of permanent tenant ... ... ... ... 22
  Of khud kaasth ... ... ... ... 56

Heir
  Raiyat's—if can question transfer by him of non-transferable occupancy holding ... ... ... 292
  If bound by his gift thereof ... ... ... ... 321
    Devise ... ... ... ... 330
  Liability to pay rent of occupancy holding ... ... 326
  Can claim recognition as tenant ... ... ... 326—327
  Default of—effect ... ... ... ... 327
  Effect of rent decree against some of ... ... ... 328
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See "Will."
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Mahammadan theory regarding ... 37

Lavellye
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Occupation under—and acquisition of occupancy right ... 139

Successive or single and Do. ... 139

Effect of covenant for re-entry ... 140

Enhancement for giving up stringent conditions of—not void under S 29 B. T. Act ... 155

Ejectment on ground of breach of conditions in ... 197

Where right of re-entry reserved ... 197

Where not ... 197

Surrender of ... 234-237
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Acquisition of occupancy right by holder of ... 136

By holding under ... 136
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Limitation
- To landlord's right to bring successive enhancement suits
- In suit by raiyat to recover possession of abandoned holding
- In suit for ejectment of occupancy raiyat
- Occupation by trespasser not sufficient for acquiring status of raiyat
- Occupation under trespasser is so
- In suit for ejectment on failure to pay compensation under S.165
- In suit to eject raiyat for breach of condition in contract providing it as penalty
- In suit for possession of land claimed by plaintiff as occupancy raiyat
- Status of tenant can be acquired by adverse possession under Law of
- In suit for Khas possession of occupancy holding on transfer against transferee

Mahamadan
- Tenure during—period
- Theory of Land Tax
  - King regarded proprietor of conquered land
  - His right to impose tax (Kheraj) on such land
  - Two kinds:—(1) Mookasuma (i.e., share of produce), (2) Wusufa (Implying personal liability of cultivator)
  - Partnership between king and cultivator
  - Commutation of fixed money rate for share of produce divests king of his proprietary right to land and vests it in cultivator
  - King only entitled to money rent from him who is regarded as proprietor
  - Same relationship between king and cultivator during Hindu period
  - Resemblance of Wusufa kheraj to land tax paid by cultivator in Hindu Period
  - Gradual assimilation of two systems—its political causes
- Land tenure during Pathan Rule
  - Different classes of tenures
  - Raiyatwari tenure
  - Zamindari tenure
  - Original Position of Zamindars
  - Jaiigir or salary tenure
  - Its incidents
  - Khalsa or crown land
  - Attempted changes by Ala-ud-din Khilji
    - Tenures confiscated and converted into crown lands
    - Heavy tribute imposed on lands
    - Restoration of tenures by Feroz Tughluk
    - Revival Jaiigir
    - Right merely to collect government revenue given
    - No right of management of village
    - Scale of land tax
    - Ala-ud-din Khilji exacted fixed rate at full half gross produce
    - Assessed on measurement of land instead of on proportion produce
    - Imposition of Wusufa kheraj
    - House tax
    - Grazing tax
    - Land tax reduced by Ghiasuddin Tughluk
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   Ejection of raiyat for—

Merger
   Doctrine of—
   Its application to mufussil...
   Under T. P. Act
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Under old Rent Law... 335
Under B. T. Act '85 335
Acquisition of occupancy right by landlord, effect of... 335-336
Union of entire interest necessary for merger 336
Where landlord co-sharer 336
Distinction between transferable and non-transferable holding 337
Merger when it is transferable 337
Continuance of holding divested of occupancy right when it is non-transferable 336
Where he sole landlord 338
Position of co-sharer landlord in case of merger 338
Bound to give up joint possession to his other co-sharers where holding non-transferable 338
Entitled to exclusive possession where it is transferable 339
But as raiyat under other co-proprietors 339
View opposed to policy of B. T. Act 339
Amendment of B. T. Act '85 by Act I of 1907 B.C. 340-341
His position on amendment 341
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Can't be raiyat 341
Remains co-sharer proprietor or tenure-holder 342
Amendment by E.B. and A. Act I of 1907 342
His position under it 342
Has exclusive possession not as tenant but as proprietor 342
Yet liable to pay share of rent to his other co-sharers 343
Land does not become Nijjote but remains raiyat 343
Landlord can't acquire new occupancy right even when co-sharer 343
Effect of such acquisition on rights of third persons under B. T. Act, 1885... 344-349
Reservation of rights of third persons 344
Who are third parties or persons? 344
Position of under-raiyat already on holding 345
Where '16 As' landlord purchases at rent execution sale 344-345
Where he does so at private or other execution sale 345-346
Surrender by raiyat—effect of 346
Death of raiyat—effect of 346
Under-raiyat does not become raiyat 347
Amendment by Act I of 1907 B.C. 347
Acquisition by '16 As' landlord—effect of 347
Holding ceases to exist 347
Under-raiyat becomes non-occupancy raiyat 347
Acquisition by co-sharer landlord, effect 348
Holding ceases to exist 348
Under-raiyat becomes non-occupancy raiyat 348
Where holding transferable 348
Where it is not so 348
Effect of omission of reservation of 3rd person's rights 349
Position of mortgagee 349
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Co-sharer tenure-holder 349
Acquisition by Ijaradar or farmer of rent... 349-351
Under-raiyat becomes non-occupancy raiyat 350
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Acquisition by raiyat of landlord's interest, effect of... 351-353
Of '16 As' landlord 351
Position of under-raiyat 352
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</tr>
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<td>King's proprietary right to soil ................................................</td>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of nontransferable occupancy holding if valid ................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of surrender of holding on .............................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy raiyat's right to redeem mortgage on holding ..................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mogul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land tenure during—Rule. See “Mahamadan” .....................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivator's right to oil during—Rule. See “Mahamadan” ..................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukarari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raiyat acquiring—right, effect of in acquiring occupancy right .......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy raiyat may acquire— ...............................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>But cant acquire status of fixed rate raiyat ................................</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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### Narada
- King’s proprietary right to land: 4
- Sources of King’s revenue: 9
- King’s right to share of produce of soil: 8
- King’s share of produce for protecting his subjects: 11
- Sublease of land: 26

### Nasar
- Transfer of nontransferable occupancy right to landlord: 249-259
- Growth of such custom binding on landlord: 249
- Receipt of transfer in recognition of transfer: 249

### Nij, Nijjote
- Occupancy right in: 127

### Notice
- To landlord after transfer of nontransferable occupancy holding: 251
  - Unless given both transferor and transferee jointly and severally liable for rent: 257
- Condition precedent to ejectment of raiyat for misuse of land or breach of condition of tenancy: 198-199
  - Particulars of such: 198-199
- Of surrender of holding to landlord: 225
- Raiyat’s liability to rent when not given: 235
- By landlord of intention to enter on abandoned holding: 235

### Occupancy right
- Origin and growth of: 53-57
- Suppression of: 58-77
- Revival of—effect of Rent Act X of 1859: 78-96
- Acquisition of: 106-144

See “Land,” “Raiyat,” “Possession.”

### Incidents of—privileges and liabilities of occupancy raiyats: 145-332

### How it may be lost or extinguished

### Provisions of Act X of 1859 regarding: 78-96

See “Rent Act.”

### Effect of acquisition of—by landlord: 334-355

See “Acquisition,” “Merger.”

### Onus
- In suit for ejectment of occupancy raiyat: 199
- In suit for enhancement of rent of: 215-216
- As to rate of rent: 146
- As to proof that kabuliat contravenes § 29 B.T. Act: 155
- As to proof of transferability of occupancy holding: 248

### Orchard
- Acquisition of occupancy right in: 121-122
- Ejectment of occupancy raiyat for converting agricultural land into:

### Ownership
- Different theories as to—of land during ancient Hindu Period: 2
  - King if owner of land: 2-8
  - Cultivator if owner of land: 8-9
  - Earth Res Nullius according to ancient Hindu law: 9-10
  - Also Res Communes: 10
Over particular portions thereof, how acquired in ancient times... 10–11
First tiller of soil its owner... 44–45
Common in ancient times... 20
- Village communities not owners of land in Ancient India... 14–15
- Joint families owners of land in Ancient India... 14–15
Objects of common... 15–16, 27
- Fences... 27
- Grazing fields... 15, 27
- Forests... 16
- Water courses... 16
- Irrigation channels... 27
- Temples... 16
- Gods... 35
- Usurpation of—by aristocracy between king and cultivator... 35
- Degradation of village proprietors into tenants... 35

Theory as to—of land during Mahamadan Rule... 37–39
- King owner of conquered land... 37
- Imposition of kherai on such land implies partnership between him and cultivator as regards produce thereof... 37
- Commutation of king’s share of produce into money divests him of—and vests it in cultivator... 37–38
- Not formally vested in cultivator... 43
- Revenue collectors during Mahamadan Rule usurped—of land... 44–45
- Degradation of village proprietors to tenants... 44–45

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pahikasht</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>See “Pahikasht.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paikasht</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Different theories as to his origin... 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Their rights... 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Famine of 1770 brought about economic conditions very favourable to... 73–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khudkashts reduced to... 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of situation brought about by British rule caused agricultural depression... 74–75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liable to pay contract rent under Reg. VIII of 1793... 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement of rent owing to competition of tenants for land... 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khudkashts after Permanent Settlement reduced to... 80–81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired occupancy right under Act X of 1859... 84–85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pancham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reg. V of 1812... 62–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No enhancement of raiyat’s rent without written contract or formal notice therefor... 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abolition of landlord’s power of arresting raiyat for realising arrears of rent... 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landlord’s right of distraint of crops for same remained... 62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasara</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King’s share of produce of soil, his remuneration for protecting his subjects... 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sublease of land during Hindu Period... 26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>See “Sub-division.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>According Mahamadan theory existed between king and cultivator regarding produce of soil... 37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Raiyat may cultivate land in—and acquire occupancy right ........................ 109
Pasture
Occupancy right in .................................................................................. 124
Pathan
Land tenure during—rule .......................................................................... 39—41

See "Mahamadan."

Patni
Creation of—Its disastrous effect on raiyats .......................................... 71—72
Sale of—under Reg. VIII of 1819 and enhancement of rent of raiyats .... 169—170
Sale—under Reg. VIII of 1819 and ejectment of raiyats ........................ 208

Pattas
Grant of, enjoined by Reg. I of 1793 ......................................................... 60
To specify area of holding, conditions of tenancy, rent payable by consolidation of all demands into one lump sum not exceeding Pargana rate ................................................................. 60
To be effective for 10 years .................................................................... 70
Evasion thereof by landlord and refusal to take—by raiyat ....................... 60

Patuari
Revival of—to maintain rights and obligations of landlords and raiyats—Reg. XII of 1817 ................................................................. 63
Failure of— ................................................................................................. 64

Payment of Rent

See "Rent."

Penalty
Agreement for enhancement of rent by way of—if enforceable ............... 157

Permanent Settlement
Its effects on status of zamindar ............................................................... 58
Of raiyats .................................................................................................. 58—59
Its defects .................................................................................................. 69
Its provisions for protection of raiyats ..................................................... 59—60
Neglect thereof—dispute between landlord and raiyat .......... 60—61
Economic conditions at the time of ......................................................... 73—74
Competition of landlords for tenants ..................................................... 72
Situation more-favourable to Paikashts than to Khudkashts ............... 73—73

Effect on raiyats
Khudkashts reduced to Paikashts ............................................................. 74
Compulsory reduction of customary rent ................................................. 74
Tenant's undeniable rights to soil overlooked ......................................... 75
Khudkashts before and after ................................................................. 80—81
Khudkashts liable to pay customary rent ................................................. 85
Paikashts liable to contract rent ............................................................. 85
Transferability of raiyat's right before and after .................................. 90—91
Growth of custom of transferability after .............................................. 91—92
Rent not altered since—cannot be enhanced ........................................ 147
Payment of uniform rent for 20 years raises presumption of rent being unchanged since ................................................................. 147

Possession
Nature of—necessary for acquiring occupancy right ............................. 134 144
As trespasser not sufficient ..................................................................... 136
Under him is sufficient ........................................................................... 135
Permissive—is not .................................................................................. 136—137
Of lands in same or different villages .......................................................
Of different plots under same or different landlords ............................
Twelve years necessary under Act X ..................................................... 138
Presumption of continuous—in favour of raiyat .................................... 143—144
Of same land for the period if necessary ................................................ 142
INDEX

Acquisition of raiyat's right by adverse ... 278—280
Landlord bound to give tenant peaceful ... ....
Dispossession of tenant by landlord and suspension of rent 181—183

See "Suspension of rent."

Adverse—by tenant against landlord ... 172—174
Adverse possession by tenant against third party 174
By third party against tenant ... 181—188
Effect of—under sub lease in contravention of § 85 B. T. Act 223—223
Dispossession of transfers of non-transferable occupancy holding by landlord—His right to recover under § 9 of S. P. R. Act 277—278

Pre-emption
In ancient Hindu Period tax-paying cultivator could mortgage or sell their lands only among themselves 24
Holders of revenue-free lands could do so only to those who deserved or enjoyed such lands 24
Member of village community could not alienate their lands to a stranger without consent of others 29
They had right of—in such cases 29

Presumption
As to tenant being tenure-holder when he holds over 100 bighas of land 111
No—in converse cases 111
As to amount of rent 146
As to existing rent being fair and equitable 146
How rebutted 147
As to fixity of rent 147—157
Under § 50 B. T. Act its application to occupancy raiyats 145—151
Apart from § 50 B.T. Act—
As to lands being raiyat and not proprietor's private lands 127—128
As to holding of land as raiyat continuously for 12 years 141—142
As to conditions of a raiyat holding ... 142
As to occupancy raiyat's right of cutting down trees... 215
No—as to his right to appropriate trees cut down ... 216
As to notice by raiyat to landlord of surrender of his holding ... 234
As to occupancy right being not transferable ... 245—248

Prevailing rate
Meaning of ... 161
How to be determined ... 161
Definition added by Act III of 1898 B. C. ... 161
Principle of ... 160—162
Enhancement of occupancy raiyat's rent when it is below 160
Rules as to enhancement on this ground ... 163
Once determined shall not be enhanced except for rise in price 162
Rents in contravention of § 29 should be excluded in determining it ... 164—166

Prices
Fall in—of staple food crops ground for reduction of rent of occupancy raiyat ... 171—172
Rise in—of same, ground for enhancing his rent ... 164—166

Priority
Statutory mortgage under §171 acquires over other charges ... 186
Rent first charge and has—over all others ... 186—187
Of purchasers at rent and other execution of sales ... 186—187

Private land
Proprietor's ... 127—129
What they are ... 127
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<table>
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<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distinction between—and raiyat's land</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zemindar's attempt to convert raiyat into</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resumption as to land not being</td>
<td>127–128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy right in</td>
<td>128–129, 140–141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under old law</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under B. T. Act</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure holder's</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy right in</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding abandoned by raiyat does not become</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings right to share of land in ancient Hindu Period</td>
<td>6–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land tax levied by Mahamadan ruler in share of</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its commutation into money during Mahamadan rule</td>
<td>37–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent paid in kind</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration to labourer in share of—Is not rent in kind</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent—Its appraisement and commutation</td>
<td>191–195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where prevalent and why</td>
<td>191–192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two methods</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evils</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent in kind or in cash—How to distinguish</td>
<td>194–195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in productive power of land, ground for enhancement of rent of occupancy raiyat...</td>
<td>166–168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See “Enhancement.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in it, ground for reduction of his rent</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See “Reduction.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent for—rent</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchaser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See "Transfer,"

### RAIYATS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Title</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right of during Hindu Period</td>
<td>22–25, 27–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two classes of—(a) Permanent—Their rights</td>
<td>22–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietary right to soil of holding</td>
<td>6–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of ejectment</td>
<td>22–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent paid by them</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of transfer</td>
<td>24–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heretability of right</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Temporary tenants</td>
<td>25–26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection from eviction</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent paid by them</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligation to cultivate land</td>
<td>27–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right during Mahamadan Period</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During early period, in theory had proprietary right to land</td>
<td>37–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement and Ejection of</td>
<td>43–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liable to pay customary rent and could not be ejected so long as they paid the same</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During later period deprived of proprietary right by revenue collectors who became landlords</td>
<td>45, 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement rent limited by custom</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Ejection so long as they paid customary</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Settlement—Its effect or status of</td>
<td>58–59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its provisions for safeguarding their rights</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligation of zamindar to deliver patta to—</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| See 'Patta'
| Evasion of zamindar to deliver patta                                    | 60       |
| Refusal by raiyat to accept patta                                       | 60       |
| Its reasons                                                             | 60       |
Economic conditions in their favour ........................................ 61
Famine of 1770—Depopulation of country and reduction of
culturable land into waste .................................................. 73
Competition of landlords for tenants ....................................... 73
Situation more-favourable to Paikashts than to Khudkashts .......... 74
Khudkashts reduced to Paikashts .......................................... 74
Rent, customary, reduction of— .......................................... 74
Combination of—and their refusal to pay rent .......................... 61
Enhancement of rent and ejectment of—after Permanent
Settlement ............................................................................... 60, 66
Haptam Regulations, effect on .............................................. 61
Landlord’s unrestricted power to distrain moveables and
arrest person of—for arrears of rent .................................... 61
Its disastrous consequences on .............................................. 61—62
See “Haptam” ........................................................................ 62—63
Pancham Regulation effect on .............................................. 62—63
No enhancement without contract or notice ............................. 62
Abolition of Zamindars power of arrest of—for nonpayment of
rent ....................................................................................... 62
Zaminder’s power of distraint of moveables of—still remains ..... 63
Enormous enhancement of rent .............................................. 63
Reg. XII of 1817—Its effect on .............................................. 63
Proposal for protection of— .................................................... 63
Revival of Patwaris and Kanoongoes ..................................... 63
Failure thereof ....................................................................... 64
Proposal for records of rights of—not carried out ...................... 64
Revenue Sale Laws—their effect on ....................................... 65—70
Avoidance of their leases on sale of estates for arrears of revenue
by auction-purchaser .............................................................. 65
Auction-purchaser’s right to demand increase of their rent accord-
ing to pargana rates ............................................................ 65
Enhancement of rent where there was no pargana rate
Ejectment without courts and of—for refusal to pay rent
Under Reg. XI of 1822 he is entitled to eject every raiyat other
than Khudkasht Kademi .......................................................... 66
Only Khudkasht Kademi not liable to ejectment nor to pay rent
higher than previously paid ..................................................... 66—67
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payable in adjacent places ...................................................... 67
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Similar provision in Punni Sale Law—Reg. VIII of 1819 ............. 62
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Settlement ............................................................................. 67
Latter reduced to Tenants-at-will liable to enhancement and
ejectment .............................................................................. 67
Similar powers of Zamindars against all other ......................... 67
Such powers of Zamindars continued under next Revenue Sale
Laws—Act XI of 1841 and Act I of 1845 ................................. 68
Question of rent
Under Permanent Settlement Khudkasht liable to pay customary
or Pargana rent ................................................................. 85—86
Paikasht liable to pay contract rent ......................................... 86
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of absobs ............................................................................. 86
Yet owing to economic conditions enhancement seldom exceeded
full economic rent ................................................................. 88
Subinfeudation of estates—Its disastrous effects on ................. 71—72
Effect of sale of patni Taluqs for arrear of rent same as sale of
estate for arrears of revenue .................................................. 71
Similar power given to auction-purchaser against .................... 71
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Subleases continued to several degrees lower .......................... 72
Raiyats placed in hands of speculators ............................... 72
Changes in economic conditions unfavourable to growth of population during era of peace brought about by British Government .............................................. 74
Competition of—again for lands ........................................... 74
Rent, customary, enhanced by competition .............................. 74
Depression of tenants .......................................................... 74
Suppression of occupancy rights of Raiyats placed in hands of speculators ............................................................... 75—77
Government intervention for protection of tenants .................. 75
Retrospect of situation .......................................................... 76—77
Government recognition of—right previous to this date ............. 78—79
Doubt as to—right and mode of acquiring it ............................ 80
Act X of 1859—Twelve years' occupation of same land sufficient for protection against ejectment ........................................... 81—82
Same protection conferred on Paikashts as much as on Khudkashts .......................................................... 84—85
Khudkashts reduced to tenants-at-will in respect of land not in their occupation for more than 12 years ......................... 85
Rent fixed at customary and equitable rates .......................... 86
Resident—such as were deprived of right to claim patta at Pargana rates ................................................ 86
Right to claim patta at fair and equitable rates limited to those who could prove 12 years occupancy .......................... 86
Rent not economic—competition restricted by custom settled rent .......................................................... 89
Transferability of right of rent ............................................... 89—94
Right during Hindu period ................................................... 24—25
No right before Permanent Settlement ................................. 90
Growth of custom of—after it ............................................... 91—92
Under Act X of 1859 by custom ........................................... 92—94
Nature and proof of custom ................................................ 93—94
Effect of transfer ............................................................... 94
Heritability of right of rent .................................................. 25, 94—95

"Rent Act."

Difficulties in acquiring occupancy right ............................... 95—96
Contracts against acquisition of right ................................... 96
No protection against incessant enhancement ........................ 96
Ejection for arrears of rent .................................................. 96
Necessity for further legislation .......................................... 97
Bengal Tenancy Act, 1885 .......................................................... 101—106
Facilities given for acquiring occupancy right ....................... 101—102
Contracts barring its acquisition declared void ..................... 102
Liability to ejectment restricted ........................................... 102
As to amount of rent, existing rent presumed fair and equitable and enhancement or reduction thereof limited by rules .......................... 102
Enhancement only up to prevailing rate ................................ 102
For rise in price of food crops ................................................ 103
Facilities for it on this ground ............................................... 103
Right limited to village only, initial rent left to competition, practically fixed rent changed to easily enhancible one .......................... 104
No provision for transfer of right by ..................................... 105
No protection against imposition of absabs ........................... 106
Rise in prices of crops—struggle with landlords for this unearned increment ................................................ 103
Acquisition of occupancy right by
Under Rent Act X of 1859 .................................................. See Rent Act.
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Only the—can acquire 107
Who is—? 107
Vernacular names of tenancies furnish no test of— 107
Uncertainty of previous law 107
Tests of raiyat’ holding under 108—109
Cultivation if 108
Receipt of rent if 108
Hereditability if 108
Transferability if 108
Saleability for its own arrear 108
Amount of rent 108
Original conception of 108
Subletting by—effect on status 109
Definition of—in Bengal Tenancy Act 109
Test of—under Bengal Tenancy Act 109
Realisation of rent from under-raiyat 108
Amount of rent payable by raiyat 108
Payment of rent 136
Subsequent subletting does not change character of 110
Original grant determines character of tenancy 110
Local custom, if test 111
Quantity of land, if that 111
Settled raiyat only can 111
Their origin 111
Residence in village if necessary 112
When he acquires right 112

See “Khudkasht”, “Paikasht”.

| At fixed rate | ... | ... | 112 |
| Holding land on produce rent | ... | ... | 114—115, 194 |
| Bhagidar, if and when— | ... | ... | 114—115, 194 |
| Burgadar, if and when— | ... | ... | 114—115, 194 |
| Adhikdar, if and when— | ... | ... | 114—115, 194 |
| Firm, if and when— | ... | ... | 116—118 |
| Landlord cannot acquire status of | ... | ... | 118 |
| Transferee when acquires status of | ... | ... | 118, 268—280 |
| Holding under trespasser | ... | ... |
| Trespasser cannot acquire right of— | ... | ... |
| Prior right to landlord’s to make improvement | ... | ... |
| As to rights of— | ... | ... | See “Contents.” |
| Difficulties in distinguishing tenure holder from | ... | ... | 219 |
| Raiyat—at fixed rent | ... | ... |
| Occupancy right, acquisition by | ... | ... |
| Occupancy not lost by acquiring right to fixed rent | ... | ... |
| Raiyatwari tenure | ... | ... | 41 |
| Reclamation | ... | ... | See “Waste” |
| Recognition | ... | ... |
| Of transfer by ‘16 Annas’ landlord | ... | ... |
| By co-sharer— | ... | ... |
| By landlord’s by his Gomasta | ... | ... |
| By his Patwari | ... | ... |
| Heirs of occupancy raiyat entitled to | ... | ... |
| Record of rights | ... | ... | 64 |
| First attempt at | ... | ... |
| Reduction | ... | ... |
| Of rent | ... | ... |
| Grounds under old law | ... | ... | 170—172 |
| Under present law | ... | ... | 171 |
| (1) Deterioration soil | ... | ... | 171 |
| Permanent | ... | ... | 171 |
| Sudden or gradual | ... | ... | 171 |
(2) Fall in prices .......................... 171
(3) Rent higher than prevailing rate no ground .... 172
(4) Deficiency in area ........................ 172
How can be claimed ..........................  

Re-entry
Covenant for—by landlord did not bar acquisition of right of occupancy by raiyat ........ 139—140
On breach of covenant in lease for ejectment .......................... 197
Ejectment where there is no such right of ................................ 197
Landlord’s right of—on abandonment of holding .......................... 240—241

Registration
Of contracts for enhancement of money rent of occupancy raiyat .......................... 152
Of landlord’s improvement .......................... 153
Enhancement of rent of occupancy raiyat on ground of landlord’s improvement—Improvement must be registered under S. 33, Bengal Tenancy Act .......................... 153
Of sublease granted by occupancy raiyat .......................... 220

Regulation
I Of 1793 .................................. 58
VIII Of 1793 ................................ 60
XLIV Of 1793 ................................ 64
IV Of 1794 ................................ 60
VII Of 1799 ................................ 59, 61, 66
V Of 1872 ................................ 61, 65, 66
XI Of 1817 ................................ 62
VII Of 1822 ................................ 78
XI Of 1822 ................................ 66

Release
From obligation of raiyat to cultivate particular crops ground for enhancement of rent ................................ 153—154
Re relinquishment ................................ See “Abandonment,” “Surrender.”
Rent
King entitled to share of produce during Hindu period from cultivator of land .......................... 6—8
King’s share of produce called ‘Vali’ ................................ 11
At first voluntary offering to king, not— ................................ 11
Voluntary offering became compulsory .......................... 12
King’s share of produce his wages for protecting his subjects .......................... 12
King’s share usually one-sixth .......................... 7—8
King’s share tax and not— ................................ 9, 11—12
Origin of .................................. 19—20
Introduction of strangers into community .......................... 19
Assimilation thereof by community .......................... 19
It loses its power of such assimilation .......................... 20
Then strangers admitted on terms of paying—for use and occupation of land ................................ 30
Ancient theory of— ................................ 23—24
Three kinds of ................................ 23
Back rent from stranger to tribe .......................... 23
Fair—from one belonging to tribe .......................... 23
Stipulated—from both .......................... 23
Customary— ................................ 23
Payable by different tenants in ancient times ................................ 24, 25
Mahomedan theory of— ................................ 37—38
Conquerors considered proprietor and held entitled to impose tribute, called Kheraj on conquered land ................................ 37
Kheraj sometimes amounted to proportion (\(\frac{1}{4}\) or \(\frac{1}{3}\)) of produce, hence called Mohasumah .......................... 36
Kheraj also implied personal liability of cultivator on account of occupation of land hence called wasefa .......................... 36
Partnership between king and cultivator regarding produce of land.

Commuation of king's share of produce into fixed rate in money.

diveses king of proprietary right and vests it in cultivator.

Scale of land tax during Pathan rule.

Half of gross produce maximum demanded by Ala-ud-din Khiliji.

Assessment on quantity of land instead of share of produce.

Akbar's demand.

Third thereof.

Enhancement of.

Could not be under Hindu Period.

During Moghul Period only raiyats to cultivate valuable articles of produce or by clearing tracts of waste land.

During latter part of Mahamadan period Zamindar's power of enhancement limited only by custom.

Customary payable by raiyat.

Imposition of cesses in addition.

Effect of Permanent Settlement.

Question of—under Reg. VIII of 1793.

Khudkashts liable to pay customary or pargana rate.

Paiyahs liable to pay contract rate.

No new assessment to be imposed.

Consolidation of all demands into one lump sum as—under Reg. VIII of 1793.

Refusal of raiyats to pay rent—dispute.

Landlords given power to arrest his person and distrain all his personal property for arrears of rent without reference to court under Reg. VII of 1799.

Under Reg. V of 1812 power of arrest taken away and enhancement only in case of written contract for it or notice therefor given allowed.

Enhancement.

Of Khudkashts limited to (a) where it had fallen below pargana rate (b) for equalising and correcting assessment on general measurement of pargana.

Of other rayats—no limit.

Only up to customary or Pargana rate allowed.

Where no such rate existed according rate payable by Adjacent lands.

Competition of landlords for tenants lowered rates below customary in case of Paikehats.

No enhancement exceeding full economic rent.

Resident raiyats entitled to pattas at Pargana rates before Act X of 1859.

Deprived of this right by said Act.

Nature of—economic or customary.

Competition restricted by custom.

Customary and fair.

See "Rent Act X of 1859."

Definition of—first given in B.T. Act.

Provisions regarding—in that Act.

Liability pay.

Test of raiyat.

Nonpayment, effect on occupancy right.

Rate of rent.

In case of contract.

Where no contract.

145—195

145

146—147

145

146

146
Amount of—test of raiyat

Presumption as to amount of—

Fair and equitable rate

Presumption of existing—being fair and equitable

How rebutted

(iii) Presumption of to fixity of—

See " Fixity of Rent."

(iv) Enhancement of—

See " Enhancement."

(v) Reduction of—

See " Reduction."

(vi) Alteration of—

See " Alteration."

(vii) Imposition of Abwab

See " Abwab."

(viii) Suspension of

See " Suspension."

(ix) Payment of—Its Time and place

Its place—Malkutchery of landlord

Instalments of—

Regulated by contract or usage

In monthly kists, contract to pay in

Usage in pargana or local area

Practice of raiyat does not regulate

Four equal—with reference to agricultural year

Suit for arrears to be brought once in every three months

Arrear of

Not paid within sunset of day on which instalment falls due

becomes—

Interest payable on—

Its rate 12½ P. C. P. A.

Contract to pay on each monthly kist void

Court has no discretion to grant

Contract for—when not enforceable

Damage when rent not paid in due time, in lieu of interest

Realisation of—

See " Arrear"

Produce—Its appraisement and commutation

See " Appraisement" " Produce rent"

Receipt of—from transferee amounts to recognition of

transferee as tenant

Withdrawal of deposit of—by landlord—effect same as above

Heirs of occupancy raiyat dying intestate, liability of—

Rent Act X of 1859

Revival of occupancy right under

Acquisition of occupancy right under

Occupation of same land continuously for twelve years

necessary...

Abandonment of element of residence in village—Its reasons

Occupancy right could now be acquired by Pakiashts as well

as Khudkashts

Khudkashts reduced to tenants-at-will with respect to lands

not in their possession for more than twelve years

Rent payable by occupancy raiyat—customary and fair rent

under

Protection against enhancement under—

Enhancement below economic rent

Transferability of statutory right of occupancy under—by
custom
INDEX

Nature and proof of custom .... 93-94
Effect of transfer without landlords’ consent where no such custom .... 94
Occupancy right if heritable under— .... 95
Defects in— .... 96
For other points see different heads .... 96
Representative
Purchaser of non-transferable occupancy holding if is—
of raiyat and can maintain application for setting aside sale in execution of rent decree .... 297-301

See “Transfer”

Rhys Davids
Village headman elected by village community .... 30

Revenue
Officers appointed in ancient Hindu times .... 31
Their remuneration by assignment of land .... 32
Farmer of land— .... 34
Sale Laws—
Their principles .... 65
Provisions for avoidance of pattas under Reg. XLIV of 1893 .... 65
Under Act. XI of 1841 .... 66
Under Act. 1 of 1845 .... 66
Under Act. 1 of 1859 .... 68-70
Evil effects of
Recognition of raiyats rights .... 78
Enhancement of raiyat’s rent on sale of estate for arrears of—under .... 169
Ejectment of raiyat on such sale of estate for .... 206-207

Sale
Of estate for arrears of revenue under Act. XI of 1859.
Its effect on raiyats’ rights .... 65-72
Right of auction purchaser to enhance rent of raiyats on .... 169
To eject raiyats from their holdings .... 206
Of Putni for arrears of rent under Reg. VIII .... 167
Right of auction—purchaser to enhance rent of raiyats .... 169-170
To eject raiyats .... 208-209
Resident occupancy raiyats only protected .... 208
Not non-resident occupancy raiyats .... 208
Their position anomalous .... 208-209
Of tenure of arrears of rent under Act. VIII of 1855 B.C.
Right of auction purchaser to eject raiyat .... 209
Of tenure, for arrears of rent under B. T. Act—Right of auction-purchaser to eject .... 207-208
Realisation of arrears of rent by—of holding in execution of decree .... 185-191
Setting aside—in execution of rent decree.—Right of transferee of non-transferable occupancy holding .... 297-301
Prevention of—same by same .... 302-309
Of non-transferable occupancy holding voluntarily to landlord .... 252
Of same to third party .... 252-255
Of same in execution of money decree of third party .... 255-261
Of same in execution of rent decree .... 261
See “Transfer”
Index

Savara
- Kings' proprietary right to land
- King entitled to share of produce of land
- Earth *Res communes*
- Acquisition of ownership of land

Sayana
- King's proprietary right to land
- *Res commune*

Servants
- Raiyat may cultivate his land by
- Acquisition of occupancy right by
- Service tenure
  - Occupancy right, acquisition of, in
  - Distinction between private and public
  - Under old law
  - Under B. T. Act

Settled raiyats
- Their origin
- Residence in village necessary for
- Who can be
- When acquires occupancy right
- Co-sharer raiyat can acquire rights of
- Retention and recovery of rights of
- Onus of proof of rights of

Shifting
- Practice of—of raiyat by landlords to prevent acquisition of occupancy right by thus stopped by B. T. Act
- Stopped by B. T. Act

Shop
- Occupancy right in

Sir
- Land, occupancy right in

Smith, Vincent
- King's proprietary right to land during Hindu Period

Splitting up
- Of tenancies and distribution of rent—enhancement of rent if valid under S. 29 B.T. Act

Sub-division
- Partition of occupancy holding
- Landlord's consent necessary
- Under old law—express or implied
- Under original B.T. Act—written
- Might be implied
- Under amended B.T. Act—express
- Of his duly authorised agent
- Presumption of such consent from alteration of rent roll

Law in East Bengal same as original B. T. Act
- Of whole body of
- Co-sharer cannot give
- Without it not binding on landlord

How to be effected
- Between co-sharer landlords
- Consent of raiyat if necessary
- Binding on raiyat

Suit for partition by raiyat Court to avoid giving decree, when landlord does not consent

Partition of holding and transfer of different portions to different persons by raiyat not valid...
INDEX
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INDEX

Surrender
Right of occupancy raiyat to— ... 234-238
Contract in bar void ... 234
Conditions of valid— ... 234-235
Where lease not for fixed period ... 234
Notice ... 234-235
Written or oral... ... 234
Service of— ... 234
Time of— ... 234
Presumption of ... 234-235
When required ... 234-235
Raiyat’s liability for rent when—not given ... 235
Heirs liable for rent until— ... 235
By heirs ... 235
By co-sharer raiyat ... 235
By Karta of joint Hindu Family if valid against other members 235-236
By one valid only to extent of his own interest ... 236
Does not entitle others to claim portion surrendered ... 237
Of part of holding...
Effect on incumbrances created by raiyat ... 236
When binding on landlord ... 236-237
Not binding unless registered ... 236-237
He may accept ... 236
Effect on incumbrances created by raiyat ... 236
When it is collusive ... 237
Meaning of ‘incumbrances’ ... 237
Includes—mortgage, sublease ... 237
On mortgage ... 237-238
On Statutory lien under S. 171 by B. T. Act ... 238
On subleases ... 238
On transfer ... 238-239

“See Transfer.”

Suspension
Distinction between it and abandonment ... 242

Tank
Occupancy right in ... 124-125
Excavation of—Raiyats right ... 210
Improvement on holding ... 213

Tea
Occupancy right cannot be acquired over lands leased for

cultivation of— ... 133
Tenants of—garden squatters or coolies not raiyat and not
capable of acquiring such right ... 133
Planters if raiyats ... 116-117

Tenants
Origin of ... 20

Tennure
See “Raiyat”
History of land—See “Hindu,” “Mahamadan” ... 1-52
Held by joint families in ancient Hindu Period ... 26
In separate ownership ... 27
### Tenure-holder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village proprietors degraded into Permanent and temporary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former assimilated into village group, latter were not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence in village gave them status of Permanent and temporary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights of permanent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights of temporary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Village proprietors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligation to cultivate land in ancient Hindu Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinction between raiyat and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raiyat must hold land under proprietor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of occupancy right by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raiyat cannot convert himself into</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>his land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May do so in respect of his under-tenants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Thakadar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raiyat's right to—in ancient India</th>
<th>24—25, 89</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right of—if test of raiyat, right</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy right, transfer before and after Permanent Settlement</td>
<td>90—91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth of custom of—since that date up to Rent Act X of 1859</td>
<td>91—92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Rent Act X of 1859</td>
<td>92—93, 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature and proof custom of</td>
<td>93—94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under B. T. Act, 1885</td>
<td>244—333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(i) Not ordinarily transferable except by custom or local usage

| Usage meaning of                                                           | 243       |
| Distinguished from custom                                                  | 245       |
| Need not exist but may grow up after creation of holding                   | 245—246   |
| Need not exist at time of B. T. Act but may subsequently grow up           | 246       |
| Meaning of “Local”                                                         | 249       |

How grows up and affects pre-existing as well as tenancies

| Its essence                                                                | 246       |
| Effect of growing usage                                                    | 247—248   |
| Landlord can retard its growth                                              | 248       |
| Growth of—between 1859—1885                                                | 105       |
| Custom—Its essentials                                                      | 248       |
| Onus and proof of custom or usage of                                       | 248—249   |
| Custom of—subject to payment of Nazar                                       | 249—250   |
| Proof of such                                                              | 249       |
| Nonpayment of Nazar—effect on transfer                                     | 249       |
| Acceptance of Nazar by landlord, effect of                                 | 250       |

(ii) Transfer where right transferable

| Its formality                                                              | 250       |
| Landlord bound to recognise                                                | 250       |
| Notice of—to landlord                                                     | 250       |
| Unless given both transfer or and transferee                               | 251       |
| Transfer piecemeal                                                         | 251—252   |
Can nontransferable right be transferred voluntarily:—

(1) A. To landlord?

B. To third party?

Conflict of decisions

Later departure from earlier decisions in favour of

Recognition of—to limited extent

(2) Involuntarily—A. In execution of money decree

(a) Of third party

(b) Of 16 Annas landlord

Sale if valid where raiyat objects

Setting aside sale

After confirmation

Where in execution of decree on mortgage or charge

No distinction between sale of whole or part of holding

Criticism of law

In view of F. B. decision holding saleable and attachable

Knowledge or consent of raiyat unnecessary where

landlord consents

Prior or subsequent to sale

B. In execution of rent decree

Of 16 Annas landlord

Of co-sharer—

(iv) Effect when right not transferable

Landlords right to Khas possession thereof

Effect same as abandonment of holding by raiyat

What is abandonment

Transfer when

Sale of entire holding

Part thereof

Where raiyat still on land

(a) As sublessee under purchaser of whole

(b) In joint possession with transferee

Effect of execution sale

Distinction between sale and mortgage

Mortgage with or without possession

Summary of law by F.B.

(v) Landlord’s consent validates transfer

Consent of landlord

Express or implied

By Estoppel

Receipt of rent from transferee—effect of

Guzaratwari or Manfatwari rent receipts

Receipt of rent by Gomasta if binding on landlord

Receipt of rent by Patwari—effect

Burden of proof of their authority

Different views about it

Receipt of rent by Thicadar, effect of

By Karta of joint Hindu family

Other cases of

Withdrawal of deposit of rent claim, effect

Must be of 16 Annas landlord

Effect of

Of co-sharer landlord
(vi) Transfer without such consent void or voidable

Distinction between void and voidable

Transaction

Transfer voidable at landlord’s instance

Transferee’s right

Transfer binding between parties

(vii) Right of transferee as against landlord

When raiyat does not abandon

Collusion between landlord and raiyat against transferee

Distinction transfer of whole and of part

In former case landlord can in latter cant re-enter

Dispossession of transferee by landlord

His right to recover possession

Transferee may acquire tenancy by adverse possession

When he acquires occupancy right

Landlords suit for Khas possession

Raiyat if necessary party

Whether all co-sharer landlords must join

Limitation for such suit

(viii) Effect of surrender or abandonment of holding by raiyat after transfer

Landlord’s hardship on part transfer by raiyat

Getting surrender from raiyat a means avoiding

Raiyat’s right of surrender when holding subject to incumbrance

Meaning of incumbrance

Sale of part of holding is no

Nor protected under S 86(6) B. T. Act

Effect of F. B. ruling on surrender of whole after transfer of part

Of part thereof

Conflict of decisions

(1) On Surrender of transferred part landlord can, eject transferee

So also on surrender of remainder

Resettlement with raiyat after surrender, if legal

Its effect on raiyat’s right

On landlord’s

Effect of F. B. decision

(2) Contrary view

Raiyat cant surrender transferred portion

Landlord cant eject purchaser

So also on surrender of remainder

No question of fraud, or collusion arises

Resettlement with raiyat thereafter

Often collusive

(ix) Who can question transferability

16 Annas landlord

His representative

Where he is himself purchaser

No estoppel

Two-fold character of landlord and purchaser cant be split up

Not so where he is cosharer

As between transferee and transferee

As between purchaser and cosharer of transferee

As between rival claimants deriving title from raiyat

E. G. Heir and transferee

Mortgagor and purchaser

Auction-purchaser and private purchaser

Priority as between these
INDEX  xlv

As between purchaser and trespasser ... 293
As between subsequent recognised and prior unrecognised purchaser ... 293-294
Of whole or part of holding ... 294
Recognised by cosharer landlord ... 295
As between decree-holder and judgment-debtor ... 295
Confirmation of sale no bar to objection ... 295
Knowledge if bar to it ... 296

Right of transferee to set aside execution sale 297-301
(i) Under S. 47, C. P. C. '08 = S. 244, C. P. C. '82 297
Conflict of authority ... 297
Settled by Full Bench ... 298
(ii) Under S. 174 B. T. Act ... 298-299
(iii) Under S. 310 A. C. P. C. '08 = O. 21 r 89 C. P. C. '08 299-301
In Bengal proper ... 299
In East Bengal ... 299-300
Where landlord is auction-purchaser 300
Where third party auction-purchaser 301
(iv) Under S. 311 C. P. C. '08 = O. 21 r 90 C. P. C. '08 301
By Mortgagee ... 301
By transferee of whole ... 301
By part purchaser ... 301

His right to deposit claim to prevent sale 302-309
Under § 170 B. T. Act ... 302-309
Purchaser of whole holding ... 302-303
According to Calcutta High Court ... 302-303
According to Patna High Court ... 303
Only incumbrancer entitled ... 303
Purchaser of part of holding ... 304-308
Views of Patna High Court ... 304
Different views of Calcutta High Court ... 305-308
Whether his interest voidable on sale ... 305
Whether his interest valid against landlord ... 306
Effect of F. B. decision ... 307
Transferee of whole ... 307
Transferee of part ... 307
Right independent of validity of his interest against landlord ... 308
Mortgagee of whole or part ... 308
Recognised purchaser of whole ... 308
Purchaser of transferable holding ... 309
Purchaser in adverse possession ... 309
No distinction between transferable and non-transferable holding ... 309
Unregistered cosharer ... 309
Procedure relating to deposit ... 310
Effect of withdrawal of deposit by landlord ... 311-315
Landlord estopped from denying purchaser's title after withdrawal ... 311
Grounds of estoppel ... 311
Where landlord does not object ... 311
Where he objects ... 311
Withdrawal with or without protest ... 312
No difference between deposits before and after sale 312-313
Difficulty of landlord ... 313
Its removal in E. B. and A. T. Act ... 313-314
Where estoppel does not arise ... 314
Where decree by cosharer landlord or money decree 314-315
His right to make rent deposit under S. 81 B.T. Act ... 316
His right under O. 21 r 100 C. P. C. ... 317
Right to refund of purchase money under, O. 21 r 93 C. P. C. 318-319
Transfer of Property Act.

Trees
Right to cut down
- Under old law...
- Right subject to custom
- Presumption in favour of right of raiyat
- Onus of proof of right
- Contract in bar of right void

Right to appropriate—when cut
- Rests with landlord
- Presumption of right in favour
- Raiyat's right if custom in favour
- Onus of proof thereof
- Landlords right limited by custom
- May modified or extinguished by custom
- Illustration
- Growth of such custom
- No distinction between agachha and timber
- Landlord cant cut down trees during tenancy
- Suit for compensation for removing trees when cut—Limitation in

Trespasser
If can acquire occupancy right
- Holding under—and acquisition of occupancy right
- Question of transferability of occupancy holding between him and purchaser thereof
- Under- raiyat under a lease in contravention of S. 85 B.T. Act cannot have better title than

Under-raiyat
Acquisition of occupancy right by
- Difficulty in distinguishing raiyat from tenure-holder when he sublets
- Right when sublease contravenes S. 85 B.T. Act

See "Sublease"

Right of, when occupancy raiyat's interest acquired by landlord

See "Merger"

Right on forfeiture of raiyat's lease

See "Ejectment"

Right on death of raiyat

See "Acquisition"

Usnas
Earth Res nullius

Use
By occupancy raiyat of his holding
- Under old law
- Restricted to purpose of tenancy
- Did not sanction complete change
- Erection of brick house
- Excavation of tank
- Making brick
- Making garden

See "Merger"
### INDEX

#### Under B.T. Act

| Materially impairing value of holding or rendering it unfit for purposes of tenancy | 210 |
| Test depends upon circumstances of each case | 211 |
| Distinction between purposes connected or unconnected with agriculture | 211 |
| No distinction between permanent or temporary unfitness | 211 |
| Proportion of land withdrawn from agriculture is test | 211 |
| Cultivation of indigo if | 211 |
| Manufacture of | 211 |
| Building indigo factory | 211 |
| Erection of dwelling house | 211 |
| Permanent or temporary | 211 |
| Landlord's remedy for improper use | 212 |
| Raiyat's right to make improvements on his holding | 212 |

**See "Improvement"**

#### Usage

Regarding transfer of occupancy right

**See "Transfer"**

#### Utbandi

| Occupancy right in |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Under old law | 125 |
| Under B.T. Act | 126 |

#### Vaisi

| King's share of produce of soil according to ancient Hindu sastras called— | 11 |
| Tax not rent | 11 |
| King's wages of protecting subjects | 12 |

#### Vasitha

| King's share of produce of land | 7 |
| Sources of King's revenue | 9 |

#### Village

| Community not owners of land in Ancient India | 14 |
| Joint families owners thereof | 14 |
| Joint families expanded into—community | 18 |
| Community aggregation of joint families | 17 |
| Introduction of strangers into—community | 19 |
| Their tradition of common descent | 19 |
| Assimilation of strangers into—group | 19 |
| Strangers residing in—were while those not doing so were not assimilated | 21 |
| Residence in village gave them status as members of—community | 21 |
| Distinct and separate unit | 17 |
| Organised and self-acting society | 16 |
| Mutual relations between community and families | 28 |
| Self-government within | 32—33 |
| Headman of | 29—30 |
| His functions | 30—31 |
| Common ownership of—of grazing field, water course, irrigation channel, temple, gods | 15—16, 27 |
| Headman displaced by Zamindars during Mahomedan Rule | 47—48 |
| Disintegration of—during same period | 48 |
| Zamindars came to be regarded as landlords of— | 47 |
| Their usurpation of proprietary right to land of | 34—35, 45 |
Degradation of original proprietors into tenants ... 35, 45
Two types of ... ... ... ... ... 36
Attempt to form village agency (Patwatis and canongoes) to maintain records of rights ... ... ... 63
Vishnu
King's share of produce of land ... ... ... ... ... 7
Void—Voidable
Transfer of nontransferable occupancy right without landlord's consent is ... ... ... 274—275
Vrihad-Aranyaka Upanishad
As to king's share of produce of land ... ... ... ... 7
Vrihaspati
As to King's proprietary right ... ... ... ... 3, 4
Vyasa
As to obligation of cultivators to cultivate ... ... ... 28

Waiver
Forfeiture of lease by breach of covenant and—thereof ... 201
What amounts to ... ... ... 201—202
By receipt of rent
Waste
Ameliorating ... ... ... ... 213
Occupancy right in—lands ... ... ... 133, 333
Enhancement of rent of lands let for reclamation of ... ... 164
Leases relating to—are excepted from limitation to raiyat's right to enter into contract ... ... 333
Water-course
Common property of villagers in ancient times ... ... ... 16
Waseefa Kheraj
Implies personal obligation of tenant to cultivate land ... ... 37
Its resemblance to land tax paid by raiyat under Hindu system ... 38
Well
Sinking of—on occupancy holding ... ... ... 210, 213
Western Duars ... ... ... See "Duars"
Widow
Acquisition of occupancy right by holding land under Hindu ... 136
Enhancement of rent by ... ... ... ... ...
Will
Occupancy raiyat has right to make—in respect of his right ... ... ... 329—330
Subject to custom ... ... ... 329
Devise how far binding on heir—estoppel against him ... 330
Distinction between whole and part of holding ... 330
Devise of part valid ... ... ... 330
Effect against landlord ... ... ... 330

Yajnavalkya
As to King's share of produce of land ... ... ... ... 7
As to sources of King's revenue ... ... ... ... 9
As to common ownership of grazing field &c. ... ... 16
As to sublease of land ... ... ... 26
As to obligation of cultivator to cultivate land ... ... ... 28
# INDEX

**Zamindar**

- Their origin
  - During Hindu Period graduated series of officers appointed for revenue, police and other government purposes ...
  - Their remuneration by assignment of land revenue .....
  - Grant was not of land itself but of right to collect revenue due from it ...
  - Tributary chiefs ...
  - Revenue farmers ...
  - Growth of aristocracy between king and cultivator out of these different classes ...
  - Its usurpation of proprietary right to soil which had vested in cultivators ...
  - Degradation of original proprietors into tenants ...
  - During Mahamadan Period Hindu Rajas were allowed to hold their estates on payment of tribute ...
  - Their tenure called Zemindary ...
  - Their position like independent chiefs ...
  - Revenue collectors appointed by Mahamadan Rulers
  - Ancient Hindu Rajas, native chiefs, robber leaders, various state officials, including village headman and farmers acquired right to collect government revenue ...
  - Their hereditary claim to office ...
  - Their obligation to pay only a fixed revenue ...
  - Their power to enhance rent of and eject raiyats ...
  - Their usurpation of proprietary right ...
  - They become landlords ...
  - Position before Permanent Settlement them ...
  - Permanent Settlement of land revenue with them ...
  - Its effect on status of ...
  - It vested proprietary right in ...

See "Raiyat"

- Disintegration of village community ...
- Extinction of ancient classes of—owing to stringent provisions of Revenue Sale Laws ...
- Rise of upstarts as— ...

**Zorat**

- Acquisition of occupancy right in ...

**Zuri peshgi**

- Nature of—leases ...
- Acquisition of occupancy right by—lessee ...
- Raiyat does not lose occupancy right by taking—lease ... 119, 352
Addenda.

Bringing case law down to September 1919.

Add page 110, para. 1 last but one line after “5” :-

“A tenure-holder may settle a raiyat on the land of his tenancy, and a raiyat also may, in his turn, sublet the land of his holding to an under-raiyat. Consequently, the mere fact that a tenant has sublet his land does not, by itself, establish conclusively that his status is that of a tenure-holder and not that of a raiyat. Further, a tenure-holder, though a middle-man who collects rent, may yet cultivate a portion of the land himself, just as a raiyat, though he himself a cultivator, may settle a portion of the land with under-raiyats. The test to be applied in such a case to determine the status of a tenant is furnished by S 5(4) B. T. Act, namely the purpose for which the right of tenancy was originally acquired. In cases when the origin of the tenancy is unknown the mode of user may furnish a valuable clue to determine the original purpose of the tenancy, and where the terms of the grant are ambiguous, evidence of conduct subsequent the parties may also be admissible.”

—Secretary v Digambar—27 C. L. J. 334.

Add page 111, para. 3, line after “4.”

“There is no room however, for its application where the terms of the original grant are known.”

—Secretary v Digambar—27 C. L. J. 334.

Add page 111, para. 3, line 18 after “4.”

“In determining the status, therefore, of a tenant, viz., whether he is a tenure-holder or a raiyat, two elements have to be borne in mind, firstly, the purpose for which the land was acquired, and secondly, the extent of the tenure or holding. A close examination of the definition clauses makes it quite obvious that both these elements are closely inter-related. The law assumes the raiyat to be the actual cultivator of the soil, either by his own labour, or the labour of the members of his family, or by his hired labourers, and it assumes also that ordinarily a larger area than 100 Bighas would make cultivation by personal agency impossible. The presumptions provided in S 5(5) is founded on that hypothesis.”

—Devendra v. Bibhukendra—27 C. L. J. 543, P. C.
Add page 112, after para 2.

"But before a person can become a settled raiyat of a village he must be a raiyat. Mere occupation of homestead in a village for more than 12 years would not make the occupier a settled raiyat of the village."

—Kamal v Ganesh—47 Ind cas 829.

Add page 113, para 3, before "A raiyat."

Upon the question whether a raiyat holding at fixed rates after he has held the land for 12 years in a village can become a settled raiyat of the village and acquire a right of occupancy there is divergence of judicial opinion."

—Lakhi v Hamid—27, C. L. J. 284 (286).

Add page 116, after footnote 1.


Add page 118, after para. 1.

"An occupancy right may be acquired by a joint family, and a landlord in contracting with an individual may be dealing with a whole family represented by that individual. An occupancy right frequently is a part of the ancestral estate, and therefore the younger sons of a mitakshara joint family has interest in it, which it is beyond the power of the father to destroy or encumber for anything but a family purpose."

—Sukru v Brahmapuri—4 P. L. J. 354.

Add page 130, para. 2, line 10.

"So Ghatauli lands were subject to the acquisition of occupancy right and the B. T. Act, S 180 did not take away such right acquired or enjoyed."

—Siti Kanta v Bipradas—27 C. L. J. 556=22 C. W. N. 763.

Add page 131, line 20, after "tenure."

Further, where, for instance, land is held under a Jaigirdar who held his Jaigir as a Kotali Jaigir, the Zemindar is entitled to have the land that is given to the Kotwal for the purpose of performing his duty, returned to him in the same condition as is given to the Kotwal, apart from the rights of any other person. A right of occupancy cannot, therefore, be acquired in a land held under a service tenure.

"Where certain ch r lands formed a part of the holding for which the raiyat had paid rent continuously for 12 years but during the period they had occasionally submerged. Held that the mere fact of submersion did not destroy the right of the tenant to acquire occupancy right therein. Parts of lands comprising the holding may have submerged for long or short period but they did not for that reason cease to be held by the tenant and to form part of his entire holding.


"In dealing with the presumption the real question at issue is whether the rent has been changed or not, and not whether one uniform rate has been paid or not. There may be cases in which a raiyat might not have paid his rent for many years prior to the institution of the suit for enhancement; but if there has been no change in the rent payable by him, he is not deprived of the presumption which the law has expressly laid down for his benefit. The payment at a uniform rate is one mode of shewing that the tenure was held at a uniform rate; but what is only a particular mode of proceeding to the solution of a question ought not to be confounded with the question itself."


"The rule and presumption may thus be applicable to several parcels of lands of which the holding consists when the question arises. Part of the holding may be inherited land, part may have been acquired by purchase from another raiyat. In either case, the raiyat may tack on his own occupation of the land at an unvaried rent to the occupation at an unvaried rent of his predecessors in interest who, as regards lands acquired by purchase from another raiyat, will include his vendor and his vendor's predecessors. So subdivision or amalgamation does not destroy the presumption."

to which is mentioned the remission (Hajat) of Rs. 4 as a mark of favour to him on account of his services as Pradhan and the question was whether it was a permanent remission annexed to the grant or a temporary and personal remission contingent on the performance of services as a Pradhan. It appeared that ever since the death of the original tenant rent was realised at the rate of Rs. 22-1/4 as for a period of a quarter of a century. Held—that under such circumstances the landlord is not entitled to rent at the higher rate.

—Umesh v Surenbra—29 C. L. J. 8.

Add page 159 after para. 1.

"But where on a fresh survey made by the landlord the area of the raiyat’s holding was increased, and the raiyat agreed to pay an enhanced rent, which is considerably in excess of the authorised increase of two annas in a rupee, for the holding as found by measurement, Held—that as the landlord cannot point to any particular piece of land for which the raiyat agreed to pay him so much rent, the rate must be considered as applied to the whole area and for the purpose of considering S. 29 we must take the average rate per Bigha, throughout the whole area."


Add page 181, line 6.

"The fact that a certain item is dealt with in the Kabuliat in a separate clause and is stipulated to be paid separately from the rent and also the fact that it is not included in the instalments of rent have important bearing upon the question whether parties intended to treat it as part of the rent or as something different from rent."


Add page 185, para. 1 after “payment.”

"Bhaoli rents are not ascertained rents, and therefore interest cannot be claimable thereon under S. 67 B.T. Act. And though as soon as the Court adjudicates upon the claim the amount becomes an ascertained amount, interest cannot even then be claimed under the said Section as it applies only to rents which are payable quarterly and cannot apply to Bhaoli rents which are payable at two periods constituting two instalments. The Interest Act however, entitles the landlord to interest on the Bhaoli rent provided the raiyat witholds the payment without any reasonable and probable cause."

Add page 182, after para 2.

"The rent due to the landlord is also suspended where he, having let out a portion of the land to an earlier lessee, lets it out again with other land to a subsequent lessee and is in consequence unable to put the latter in possession of such portion as when he has ousted him from a portion of the holding".


Add page 187, line 1 from bottom after "himself."

It could not be ignored by the landlord. The sale does not ipso facto cancel incumbrances and a notice must be given under S. 167 B.T. Act, (See Beni v. Rewat—24 Cal 746 Kalikanand v. Biprodas—21 C.L.J. 265 = 19 C.W.N. 18) the procedure provided by that Section being the only mode of annulling an incumbrance (Sashi v. Gagan—22 Cal 364.) It follows that the sale by the landlord in execution of his rent decree is subject to the mortgage, but with the right in the purchaser (even though he be the landlord) to annul the mortgage within one year. And where the landlord as purchaser has not done this, the person who takes the land in settlement from him, takes it subject to the mortgage which is still subsisting.

—Pran v. Atul—22 C.W.N. 662 per Greaves J.

Add page 187, para 1, line after "incumbrance."

"The purchaser under the mortgage decree cannot oust the purchaser in possession under a prior rent decree, even though there has been no notice under S167. He may be regarded as a second mortgagee and as such has the right to redeem the mortgage by payment of the amount due under the rent decree.


Add page 187, after para 1, new para.

"An incumbrance implies a limitation of the rights of the tenant and not a total extinction of them. The sale of a portion of a nontransferable occupancy holding cannot therefore create an incumbrance in it and the landlord purchaser at a sale in execution of his rent decree is not required to annul the interest of a purchaser of a portion of a nontransferable occupancy holding.

"So where a landlord, after obtaining a decree for arrears of rent against a tenant in respect of a nontransferable occupancy holding, recognised the purchaser of the holding, who had bought it long before the institution of the suit for rent, as his tenant without any liability being taken by the purchaser for the decretal debt, and subsequently put the decree which he had obtained against the old tenant into execution and sold the holding. Held—that the old tenancy had come to an end, and the holding had passed to the purchaser. Therefore the landlord could not put it up to sale as the holding of the old tenant."


"If the landlord desires to obtain a decree good against the land, under the B.T. Act, he must ordinarily (apart from any question of representation) implead all the cotenants, including the heirs or legal representatives of a deceased cotenant. But for purposes of a money decree (in the absence of express agreement to the contrary) he is free, under S. 43 of the Contract Act, to sue any or all of the tenants."

—Krishna v. Kali—22 C.W.N. 289 where all previous cases cited and discussed.

"An application to have the rent commuted should be entertained and determined on the merits by the officer to whom it was presented by the applicant. Consequently the S. D. O. cannot transfer an application received by him to a settlement officer."

—Jadu v. Pran—27 C.L.J. 569 = 22 C.W.N.

Jurisdiction of Civil Court.

The Civil Court it is well-settled is not competent to examine the propriety of an order of commutation made within jurisdiction under S 40 B.T. Act; in other words, it cannot determine whether in the circumstances of the particular case, commutation was or was not properly directed; or whether the amount assessed as cash rent is or is not adequate. But if a question arises it is incumbent upon the Civil Court to satisfy itself that the order is made with jurisdiction; for an order made without jurisdiction is a nullity, and does not affect the rights and obligations of the parties. Where, therefore, the rent was commuted by an officer not competent to do so, the land-
lord was held entitled to recover the price of the produce which the tenant was, before the commutation, liable to deliver."

—Jadu v Pran—27 C.L.J. 569.

Add page 194 footnote 4.

"See Aesutoh v. Haran—30 C.L.J. 41 where all the previous cases on the subject are considered."

Add page 195 footnote :—"See Pokhan v. Rajani—23 C.W.N. 614."

Add page 199 para 1, line 8, after "all".

"A claim for compensation may be either in addition or as alternative to a demand on the tenant to remedy the misuse or the breach."

—Bahadur v Makhan—29 C.L.J. 430.

Add page 199 footnote 2 "followed in Bahadur v. Makhan—29 C.L.J. 430.

Add page 199, line 8 after "all 2."

Add page 199 footnote 4 :— "=29 C.L.J. 40."

"The object of giving the notice is to give the tenant an opportunity of remedying the breach so that on remedying it and on payment of compensation he may avoid ejectment. A notice requiring him to quit the land even if he remedied the breach is not a valid notice."


Add page 205, line 13, before "where" and above "parties"

"3a"

Add page 205 after footnote 3.


Add page 205 foot note 5 "Jeypore v. Rukmini—29 C.L.J. 528 P.C."

Add page 206 footnote 1 "Jeypore v. Rukmini—29 C.L.J. 528 P.C.

Add page 207, para 1 after "cultivating it" in line 11.

"At the time when the Revenue Sale Law was passed, the law relating to landlord and tenant in force was Act X of 1859, and under that Act there were two classes of occupancy raiyats, viz., occupancy raiyats at fixed rent, and occupancy raiyats who did not hold at fixed rates of rent. Both these classes of occu-
pancy raiyats are protected under the provisions of the Revenue Sale Law.

—Lakki v Hamid—27 C.L.J. 384.

Add page 207, para 1, line 19 after “fixed rates.”

“Occupancy raiyats at fixed rates of rent” do not find any place in the classification of raiyats under the B.T. Act. But if a raiyat had a right of occupancy at a fixed rent under Act X of 1859 we do not think that he lost his right of occupancy and the privileges attaching to it after the passing of the B.T. Act, merely because occupancy raiyats holding at fixed rates of rent are not separately mentioned in the classification of raiyats under the B.T. Act.

—Lakki v Hamid—27 C.L.J. 284.

Add page 208, para 1 after “occupancy” in line 1.

Mookerji J. in referring to the omission of ‘a raiyat holding at fixed rates’ from the protection suggests the explanation that ‘the policy of the Legislature was to protect the raiyat, but not necessarily to the complete detriment of the purchaser of a tenure at a sale for arrears of rent. If a raiyat holding at a fixed rate of rent were protected from ejectment, the purchaser would acquire the property in an encumbered condition; for, he would be unable, not only to eject the raiyat but also to enhance the rent. On the other hand, if occupancy raiyats and non-occupancy raiyats alone were protected from ejectment, while their possession would be maintained, they would be liable to have their rent enhanced from time to time, at the instance and for the benefit of the purchaser of the tenure.’”

—Lakki v Hamid 27 C. L. J. 284 (287)

Add page 213, para 2 after 7.

“The mere fact that the tenant has a house in an adjacent mouja, does not deprive him of his right to erect upon his occupancy holding another house for the purpose of making a residence for himself and his family.”


Add page 223, footnote 1.

“Chandi v. Shamla—28 C.L.J. 91=22 C.W.N. 179 Per Beachcroft J. where all cases on the point were again reviewed and the conclusion of Coxe J. accepted”

Add page 223, footnote 6 after “21 C.W.N. c.l.v. iii”

Add page 224, footnote 5 after "21 C.W.N. cl. viii."

"=29 C.L.J. 318=23 C.W.N. 435."

Add page 224, line 1 after 'circumstances'.

"As pointed out in a very recent case:—"It seems to us that although the lease may not be given in evidence there is no valid reason why the tenancy cannot be proved alimude by possession and payment of rent to the rayat."


Add page 224, para. 1, line 8 after "2"

"Although the under-rayat's lease may be absolutely void and therefore passed no title to him, by reason of the fact that it was granted in excess of what a rayat was entitled to grant to an under-rayat under the provisions of the B.T. Act, yet if the sublessee had been in possession of the property on the basis of the kabuliat when he was dispossessed, he had sufficient interest in the property and can prove his title by his possession and is thus entitled to recover the land, if he is dispossessed, on a declaration of his title thereto."


It is worthy of note that it was not a case in which the under-rayat in possession, being dispossessed, brought a suit for recovery of possession, within 6 months of his dispossession under S. 9 of the specific Relief Act in which no question of title can be gone into.

Add page 225, footnote 3.


Add Page 228, end of para 2 after "Purpose".

"But this is only where the sub-lease is not granted in contravention of S. 85. Otherwise, notices under S 167 or S 49 are not necessary.

—Bhukan v Badan—30 C.L.J. 201.

Add page 230, para 3, line 6 after 6.

"An express consent in writing within the meaning of S 88, B.T. Act does not mean a consent which is to be implied from certain documents. Therefore, the consent, if any, which arises by implication from the rent receipts granted by the landlord in respect of a part of a tenure or holding, with a knowledge that the tenancy has been sub-divided by the tenants, or, from
IX
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Jamawaril baki, or, from road cess returns filed by the co-sharer of the landlord, cannot be a consent in writing within the meaning of S 88, B.T. Act. Such documents cannot be taken as principal evidence to prove a consent in writing which does not in fact exist; they can only be used as evidence of such consent firstly when it is proved that there had been in fact a consent in writing, secondly when such consent in writing, although sought for could not be produced and thirdly therefore, it must be presumed, at any rate, against the person who made it, that there had been a consent and that that consent had been in writing as stated by some witnesses who were believed to have proved it—Rajani v. Hara—41 Ind. Cas. 501 = 22 C.W.N. 693.

Add page 231 before para 2.

"But it is not always easy for the tenant to produce an express consent. It may be verbal or acted on by both parties or may be lost. But"

—Rajani v. Hara—41 Ind. Cas. 501 = 22 C.W.N. 693.

Add page 231, para 2 after "distribution 3"

"The rent roll mentioned therein is a jamabandi—a permanent document kept in the estate or sherista of a landlord, which contains a list of the tenants and the rents payable by them which is kept up and amended from time to time. A jama wasil baki (an annual statement of the rents payable and received from a particular estate) is not a rent roll within the meaning of the proviso."

—Rajani v. Hara—41 Ind. Cas. 501 = 22 C.W.N. 693.

Add page 239 footnote 6.

"See also Goher v. Alifuddin—30 C.L.J. 13."

Add page 249 para 2, lines 13 after "transferee".

"Even if there is a customary rate of nazor which the landlord is obliged to accept the transferee can have no title under the custom until he pays or tenders the nazor at that rate."


Add page 249, para 2 line 17 after "nazor 3"

In order to establish a custom of transferability subject to the payment of a customary nazor the evidence must show that the landlord is bound to recognise the transfer when nazor of the amount, or the rate, determined by custom, is tendered to him. There is all the difference between a fixed nazor which the landlord is obliged to accept, whether he likes it or not, and a nazor which is bargained for and paid as the price of the consent which he may give or withhold as he pleases. A practice or course
of business in a zamindary office according to which transferee is recognised provided that the amount of the nazr is satisfactory to the landlord is not sufficient.”


Add page 250, para 1, line 4 after “it”

“...The payment of nazr without more is an indication that the jotes are not transferable without the landlord’s consent, given on receipt of the nazr.”


Add page 250, para 1, line 18 after “unsuccessful”.

“A custom which leaves the amount or rate of nazr indefinite must be void for uncertainty.” The position is no whit better than when the nazr is determined by agreement. No one knows what he has to pay and the landlord can demand what he pleases and refuse his consent unless he is satisfied.”


Add page 264, para 2, end.

Where the occupancy raiyat having no transferable right sold the entire land of the tenancy to a stranger, and it is found that notwithstanding the sale he is in occupation of the homestead portion, which covers about one-tenth of the entire area, but has made no payment of rent to the landlord, there is ample indication of his intention to sever all connexion with the land as tenant under the landlord; and in these circumstances the landlord is clearly entitled to take up the position that there has been an abandonment.


Add page 274, para 1, last line, after “transaction”.

“The purchaser of a non-transferable occupancy holding cannot claim recognition by the landlord as a matter of right, but if he obtains recognition from the landlord, whether by payment or otherwise, then in the absence of special circumstances, he is he is admitted to the origin l tenancy with all its incidents and becomes the successor in interest of the vendor.”
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