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# COST ACCOUNTS FOR SIX YEARS ON SOMF SUCCESSIFUL. NEIV YORK FARMS 

G. F. Whrren, Van B. Hart, W. I. Myers, R. I. Giliett. C. V. Nomle, and others

## DEVEIOPMENT OF THE MORK

In $187+$ Professor I. P. Roberts took a complete inventory of the Cornell University farm.' From that time he continued to study accounting methods. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

In 1907 the senior writer began kerping accounts on a New York farm and he has kept them continuously since that date. The farm has diversified enterprises, and during this period it has gradually changed from a 90 -acre to a 300 -acre farm, se that a great variety of problems have been involved. Some of the results for this farm have been published. ${ }^{3}$

An attempt is constantly being made to develop methods that will give the most information with the least work. In igII the writer believed that the methods had been sufficiently developed so that work might be attempted on several farms. Accounts were kept on five farms by A. L. Thompson, ${ }^{4}$ who was then a graduate student in the New York State College of Agriculture. In 1912 a cooperative agreement was made with the Office of Farm Management of the United States Department of Agriculture for extending the work. In that year, accounts were kept on eighteen farms by C.. E. Ladd. ${ }^{5}$ Since that date the work has been continued by various graduate students. ${ }^{6}$

During all this time the senior writer has been keeping accounts on one farm, and he has made changes in the cooperative accounting methods only after such changes have been in use for some time. Some of the changes that have been made in this farm have not yet been introduced into the general accounting.

[^0]The primary purpose of keeping cost accounts is to learn how to farm more successfully. This was the primary purpose in beginning this work. However, the data obtained are frequently of value for many other purposes.

## FARM OPERATORS

All the farms on which accounts are included in this work are farms on which the operator is dependent for his living. In all cases the farm operator is a laborer as well as manager. This eliminates all farms operated by hired managers, and of course, all pleasure or experimental farms. No farms were accepted if the operator was practically retired, or if for any other reason the farm was not leing actively operated. There have always been more farmers who desired to cooperate than could be used. The above rules eliminated many of the applicants. In all, ino farmers cooperated in the work.:

In the beginning, none of the cooperators were men trained in agricultural colleges. No effort was made to :secure college men; in fact, other men were preferred. Not intil this bulletin was being written and a tabulation was made, was it realized how many agriculturally trained men there were on the list. In 1919, accounts were closed on 39 farms. On $2 I$ of these, one or more of the operators had taken courses at the New York State College of Agriculure. One other was a college gradwate, and on the remaining 17 farms all had studied agriculture by means of bulletins, farm papers, farmers' weeks, institutes, and the like.

Eight of the operators were farm-reared men who were graduates of the New York State College of Agriculture. One was a graduate but not farm-reared. One was farm-reared and a graduate of Williams College. These ten are grouped together in lable 5 (page 29).

One operator was a high-school graduate who had taken two vears of special work at the New York State College of Agriculture and was farm-reared. Seven were graduates of high schools, were farm-reared, and had taken a winter course at the State College of Agriculture. Three were winter-course students who were farm-reared but were not graduates of high schools. One was a winter-course student who was not farmreared and not a high-school graduate. These twelve are grouped together in table 5 .

Of the remaining 17 men, one was not farm-reared but was a highschool graduate and had taken two years of college work in civil engineer-

[^1]ing. Two were not farm-reared but were high-school graduates. All the remaining men were farm-reared. Three were high-school graduates. One other had attended high school for three years, two for two years, and two for one year. One had not been to high school but had attended a business school. Five had a district-school education only.

## CHARACTER OF THE FARMS

The farms are scattered about the State, as shown in figure i. Some of them are on the hills of southern New York, but more are in the valleys and the level areas of the State. The farm values per acre in the first inventory of igi8 varied from $\$ 33.97$ to $\$ 260.45$ with an average of S96.74, compared with an average of S 69.07 for the State in 1920 .


The farms in 1918 varied from 21 to 64.5 .5 acres, with an average of 160.9 acres. This is one-half larger than the average for the State. The crop acres averaged ion. 2 as compared with 48.8 acres for the State in 1917.

The capital per farm at the end of the year iol 8 varied from $\$ 7852.69$ to $\$ 64,9+1.63$, wit! an average of $522,516.51$. This is over twice the average for the State in 1920.

There was an average of 4.5 horses per farm or 22.1 crop acres per horse in 1918. In 1917 the average for the State was 2.5 horses per farm or 20 crop acres per horse.

The crop vields were 7 per cent better than the State's average as given by the Linited States Bureau of Crop Estimates; and the production per cow on farms that had six or more cows was about it per cent above the average.

Since the farms are larger than the average, but not too large. they were able to save on nearly all costs of operation. The soils were naturally better than the average and are in much better condition due to better care. The buildings are better and more conveniently arranged. All these and other factors have contributed to make these farms much better than the average. They are not, however, spectacular farms.

TABI.E 1. A Comparison of Farms on Which Cost Accocits Were Kept, with Average Farms

|  | Cost account farms | Averapes for the State* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Man equivalent, 5 -sears average (table 6). | 28 |  |
| Wan equivalent, approximate.. |  | 1.6 |
| Capital, 5 -years average (table 4). | S24.839 |  |
| Capital, U. S. Census of 1920 |  | \$9,879 |
| Number of horses per farm, 5 -vears a verage (tahle 23). | 50 |  |
| Number of horses per farm, State Census of 1918. |  | 2.5 |
| Number of cows per farm, 5 -years average. | 1+2 |  |
| Number of cows per farm, State Census of 1917 |  | 7.4 |
| Acres per farm, 5 -years average | 16.5 .1 |  |
| Acres per farm, State Census of 1917 |  | 10.3.2 |
| Crop acres, 5 -years average (table 3 e, | 104.2 |  |
| Crop acres, State Census of 1917 |  | 48.8 |
| Acres of potatoes per farm, 5-years average | 4.3 |  |
| Acres of potatoes, State Census of 1917... |  | 1.9 |
| Acres of cabbage per farm, 5 -years average | 1.5 |  |
| Acres of cabbage, State Census of 1917 |  | 0.3 |
| Mature poultry per farm, 5 -years average | 101 |  |
| Mature poultry per farm, State Census of 191. |  | 64 |
| Bushels of wheat per acre, 5 -years average . . . | 2.3 .6 | 21.5 |
| Bushels of oats per acre, 5 -years average | 3.5 .8 | 34.8 |
| Tons of hay per acre, 5 -vears average . . | 1.53 | 1.37 |
| Crop index, 5 -years average. . . . . . | 107 | 100 |
| Pounds of milk per cow, farms with six or more cows, 5-years average. | 6,294 |  |

[^2]These farms grew 22 per cent more area of crops per man and kept II per cent more cows per man than the average for the State (table i). As nearly as can be estimated, their business as measured by crop area and numbers of stock was 18 per cent larger per man than the average, but the crop yields were 7 per cent better than the State's average, and production per cow was about it per cent above; or they produced 30 per cemt more bushels of crops per man and 27 per cent more pounds of
milk per man than the average. In addition, they grew a slightly higher proportion of intensive crops. As nearly as can be estimated, they produced 29 per cent more per man than the average farm produces.

It is exceedingly difficult to have cost accounts kept in such a way as to show conditions on all classes of farms. Some farmers do not have enough education to make it possible for them to keep accounts. Others are not sufficiently interested to be willing to take the time to do the work.

In Minnesota, the practice has been followed of having a route man go to the farms each week to get the facts for the accounts. In this way, something approaching average farms could be obtained; but after accounts had been kept for a few years, the methods on the farms changed to such an extent that they ceased to be representative of the average.

Costs on all classes of farms can be obtained by the survey method. By this method, the cost of producing milk in three counties has been obtained, also the cost of producing potatoes and canning-factory crops, the cost of operating tractors, and similar cost data. ${ }^{8}$ This department has ohtained. by the survey method. $763+$ records of farm business operations for a year, taken just as thev came in various counties in the State. ${ }^{9}$ By comparison with these farms, it is found that the farms on which cost accounts are kept are about like the average of the best 2 per cent.

Because these farms are better farms and better managed than the average, the results of this work should not be used for purposes of price fixing, any more than results from the best coal mines should be used for that purpose. The results give a fair picture of conditions on the best class of farms. The wages allowed for operator's time are much more than the average farmers' receive, but the costs of production are much below the average. The results may be taken as high standards for good farms.

## METHODS USED

## METHOD OF OBTAINING DATA

A representative of the College visits the farm and assists in taking the inventory and in starting the accounts. A map of the farm is made. This is not an absolute essential, because it has been found that farmers with whom accounts are kept know the areas of their fields very well. Thev have learned these areas by various means, such as drill measure or actual measure. But the maps are exceedingly valuable to the farmer and for the investigation. Maps and a study of farm layout on these farms have been published. ${ }^{10}$

[^3]At the end of the year the representative of the College returns and spends one or more days in helping to take the inventory, seeing that all entries, particularly such as feed transfers, unpaid labor, and the like, are entered. He makes corrections of the map, and marks on it the new fences, the area in each crop, and the places and rates of application of manure, lime, and fertilizer. Formerly a trip was made to the farms once during the year. It is desirable to make such a trip in the early fall, to get all harvest and feed records adjusted, but this has not been done in later years because of the cost.

## WORK REPORT

On all farms a work-report book and a ledger are used. A cashbook and other special books for various purposes are used as occasion requires. The work-report books have at times been printed, but in later years ordinary blank books have been used, with four columns at the right, or, in case a tractor is used, six columns at the right, as shown below. Further columns are added if a truck is used, and sometimes there are additional ones for automobiles.

Corn

| Date |  | Man labor |  | Horse labor |  | Tractor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hours | Minutes | Hours | Minutes | Hours | Minutes |
| April 23 | Plowing | 8 | 30 |  |  | 8 | 30 |
| April 23 | Getting seed | I |  | 2 |  |  |  |

At times some of the farmers kept this report in diary form, that is, all entries were made in chronological order; but now nearly all of them post the time direct to the particular accounts, as corn, labor, or cows. Gummed index tabs are pasted on both the work report and the ledger so that the correct account may be quickly found.

In 1913-14, four kinds of work reports were tried. One was a daily work sheet for each day, which was sometimes used for the farm, and sometimes for each man, each day. Another was a daily sheet prepared by the Office of Farm Management of the United States Department of Agriculture. This sheet was divided into 15 -minute periods, with space to write in the work done during each period or group of periods. The diary and direct posting forms given above were also used. Nearly all the farmers preferred the direct posting form, and the other forms have been dropped.

The direct posting form has the advantage of providing space for full description of the work. Since the farmer posts directly to corn, oats, and other accounts at the time of making the entry of the day's work, the work can be more easily checked. In any form that does not require this posting, there is danger that the description of the work may not indicate to what account it belongs.

The first twelve pages of the work-report book are ruled for chores, as shown below, so that the time spent on chores may be posted by writing the figures only. The same form might be used for the entire

Chores for Jancary, 1920

farm, but for this purpose it is unsatisfactory because it does not provide space for describing the kind of work. The description of the kind of work is valuable information, not only for study but also for reference. It is frequently desirable to be able to refer to the work report to determine when some particular piece of work was done, or how long it took to do a particular task.

## LEDGER AND CASH BOOKS

A ledger is kept on all farms, and usually the cash receipts and the expenses are posted directly to the proper ledger account. Such entries as "Bran" or "Veterinary" posted direct to the ledger are more likely to reach the right account than they would be if entered in a cashbook first. But by direct posting, cash entries and transfers from one account to another which are not cash are mixed in such a way as to make income tax reporting more difficult. A cashbook is therefore desirable, and is kept by some of the farmers.

## BARN BOOKS

For barn use, a book with two holes punched in the upper corners of the cover can be hung on two nails so that it is always open. A string is tied around the used pages on one side, and another around the unused pages on the other side. A pencil is attached. Such a book is very convenient for recording such items as eggs gathered, feed transfers, and all kinds of data that can be best put down at once when the work is done.

## LEDGER HEADINGS

On all farms, ledger accounts are opened with Labor, Horses, Equipment, Operator's House, Other Buildings, Crop Land, Interest, Manure, Woods, Pasture and Fences, General Expenses, Feed and Supplies, Accounts Payable. Accounts Receivable, Farm Personal, Gain and Loss, Inventory, and with such of the following as apply to the farm: Tractor,

Truck, Auto, Tenant Houses, Orchard, Colts; also with various crops, animals, and other enterprises. In IqI 8 there was a total of 176 ledger headings. Of course no one farm had near this number. Truck farms required a large number of headings.

## TRANSFERS BETWEEN ACCOUYTS

As in all cost accounting where more than one product or operation is considered, there are many charges and credits that are not cash transactions. One of the important problems is, therefore, the determination of the correct charge for such exchange transactions. Over half of the farm labor is usually unpaid. Over half of the feed used is generally farm-grown, and some of it is not readily marketable.

Products grown solely for use in the production of another product and having no market value, are charged at cost of production when they enter into a second product, or are sometimes kept as a part of that enterprise in the original entries.

Home-grown products that are readily marketable, when they enter into production of another farm product are charged at farm sale value, that is, the market value less the cost of marketing.

These principles result in charging transfers at farm sale value when they are made between profit-and-loss-making enterprises, and at cost when the products of a subsidiary account are transferred.

The primary purpose of the accounts is to analyze the farm business. Corn is raised for sale and for feed. It may be fed to several classes of livestock. If it is charged to hogs at cost, the profit on the hogs would depend primarily on the weather and the skill in raising corn and on the percentage of the ration that the purchased com made, rather than on the real profits from hogs. When corn is harvested and in the crib, it is just as much a finished product as is a fat hog. Its value is more definitely known than is the value of the hog. If corn costs $\$ 2$ a bushel to grow but is worth only $\$ \mathrm{I}$ to sell or buy, there is a loss in growing it. If hogs are so profitable that they could pay $\$ 1.5^{\circ}$ a bushel for corn, they would show a loss if charged with this corn at cost. The true status of the farm business is a loss on corn and a profit on hogs. Usually some feed is bought. Great confusion would result from the inclusion of some feed at cost and other feed like it at market price. Furthermore, the hogs might be fed on the home-grown corn and the cows on purchased corn. If corn is charged at cost, the profits or losses on hogs and cows would depend on which got the home-grown corn. If one merely wishes to consider the farm as a whole, accounts with each enterprise are not necessary. The very purpose of enterprise accounting is to study the results for each enterprise, so that each will stand or fall on its own merits. When transfer charges are made at cost, it is merely another way of considering the two enterprises as one.

The usual practice in business analysis when more than one business is conducted is to charge transfers at market value. This is sometimes done directly, but in business it is often done by organizing a subsidiary company or is done indirectly. For example, a railroad accomplishes the same result in determining the cost of a bridge by buying the ma-
terials delivered, so that freight enters into the cost of the bridge, not at the cost of hatuling, but at the regular rates.

With the numerous controversies that arose with governmental price-fixing, this method was sometimes challenged. For example, some persons interested in the price of milk have contended that hay should be charged to cows at cost rather than at farm sale value. Public interest in the price of milk should be in the continuous maintenance of an adequate supply of milk at as low a price as public welfare will allow.

In determining whether to sell a cow for beef and whether to raise or not raise heifer calves, does the farmer think of the cost of hay production or of the price of hay?

In determining whether to increase or decrease the hog business, do farmers consider the cost of producing corn or the price of corn?

Farmers are not likelv to continuously choose the less profitable of two ways of disposing of hay or corn. The correct principle was recognized by the Food Administration in its efforts to increase hog production. When an increase in hogs was wanted, the proposed price for hogs was not the cost of producing thirteen bushels of corn, but was the market price of thirteen bushels of corn.

If cows are to be charged with hay at cost when there has been a profit in hay production, they must be charged with the cost of hay when the hay costs much more than it sells for. This usually occurs when the hay crop is very abundant and very cheap. Farmers have not asked that the losses on hay be made up by profits on milk, nor have the writers heard of instances in which consumers proposed an increase in the price of milk because hay was abundant and cheap, so cheap as not to be worth the cost of growing it.

The profits of the farm as a whole are what must be considered when a farmer decides whether to clear more land or to quit farming; but farm sale value of marketable farm-grown products used in subsequent production is the figure considered by farmers when deciding whether or not a particular farm enterprise is to be increased or decreased.

If the public merely wishes to know the status of agriculture, enterprise cost accounts are not needed. The best way to tell the status of agriculture is to find the extent of the movement to and from farms as compared with the normal. The general price level of agricultural products compared with the general price level of all commodities is also a fair measure, but this must be modified by yields. Purchasing power per acre of all crops compared with the normal, corrects for this factor.

A particular crop or animal product may be exceedingly profitable when the farming as a whole is not paying. In that event, the particular product will be increased even tho the farmers may be letting their sons and hired men leave the farms. Or a particular product may be very unprofitable when the farms as a whole are paying very well. In that event, the particular product will be rapidly decreased. If transfers between accounts are made at cost, these facts would all be obscured. In the first case, the profitable product would be given an erroneously high cost. In the second case, it would be given an erroneously low cost. Any public clamor based on the results would in each case be exactly wrong.

If the public wants to know what is the status of a particular enterprise in agriculture, the cost of production as estimated by the methods here employed is a very accurate measure. By this means, it is possible to estimate very accurately whether or not a given enterprise is likely to be increased or decreased.

If mangels are raised solely for feeding cows on advanced registry test and are not marketable, they are charged to cows at cost and the mangel account is studied to see how the cost may be lowered. We have found no case in which they could be grown at a cost that would justify their production except for such special purposes as making large advanced registry records, or to be fed to hens or otherwise used in limited amounts for some special purpose.

In most parts of New York, corn silage is grown solely as cow feed, as it rarely matures enough to have an alternative use. If it is charged to cows at cost, the value must still be estimated in order to see whether the cow account is carrying a burden of unprofitable silage production or has in it a profit that is really due to good crops. Silage was charged at feed value in the earlier years of this work. One advantage of so charging it is that the results of the various efforts are better shown. This furnishes the best basis for analyzing the farm business.

In the Corn Belt, silage is correctly charged at the value of the corn plus the extra cost of putting it in the silo above the cost of selling it as grain, because it is cut from a cornfield that is primarily a grain crop.

Use of land, buildings, pasture, and equipment, are all charged at cost. Pastures are not usually independent enterprises. Pasture might be charged at value. This is usually about the same as cost.

The most important thing is that all details should be shown, so that the accounts can be rearranged and interpreted to meet the use that it is desired to make of them.

## COMFARISONS WITH INDUSTRIAL ACCOUNTING

Since some persons believe the foregoing methods to be in disagreement with commercial accounting, letters were written to some of the leading accounting firms in the United States. Replies from five of these firms as to methods used in cost accounting agreed with the methods described above. Three were in partial agreement, and, for example, recognized that coal should be transferred to a railroad at market value when mined by a company that operates both railroads and coal mines. Several called attention to the danger of anticipating profits if inventories are made at market value. One firm was in absolute disagreement and quoted the following from a report by the United States Steel Corporation:

In respect to such commodities in stock at the close of the year as had been purchased by one sulsidiary company from another there has been excluded the approximate amount of profits in such sales price which had accrued to the subsidiaries selling the same or furnishing service in connection therewith. These profits are not carried into the currently reported earnings of the entire organization until converted into cash or a cash asset to it. Accordingly, in the combined assets for all of the companies, the inventories of those materials and products on hand which have been transferred and sold from one subsidiary company to another, are carried, at net values which are substantially the production cost to the respective subsidiary companies furnishing the same.

This report clearly indicates just the opposite of the conclusion drawn by the accountant. It shows that transfers are not made at cost. When an inventory was taken, the profit from transfers of things not yet sold was excluded.

A coal dealer who operated a farm was asked how he charged farmgrown hay to the coal yard. His reply was, at the same price that he would get if the hay were sold. A firm that makes shoes and operates a chain of stores was asked how the shoes were charged to the stores. The reply was, at wholesale prices. At railroad company was asked what freight it charged on materials in determining the cost of a bridge. The company replied that it bought the material delivered. This, of course, would include freight at market prices, not at cost.

The Bureau of Business Research at Harvard University agrees with the practice here used, as is indicated by its bulletins and by the following letter:

As is explained in our accounting system for retail grocers, the amount debited to the rent account when the store is owned should be the amount for which the store could be leased. By including rent as expens:2 whether the store is owned or lesel, every business is placed upon the same footing. The amount debited to Item 29 (Rent), when the store is owned, is of course credited back to the business through Item +1 , Interest and Rentals Earned.

The owner of a grocery store operating a farm should be careful to keep the accounts for these two separate. The produce which he takes into the store to sell at retail should be accounted for like any other purchases. When it is received, Purchases of Merchandize should be debited for the same amount that he would allow any other farmer in trade. The produce is then part of the retail grocery stock and its sale is accounted for like other sales.

## INTEREST ON INVESTMENT

Beginning with 1918, interest is charged at $\delta$ per cent on all enterprises and reappears as credit in the interest account. Before that year it was charged at 5 per cent.

Some persons have contended that interest is not a cost of production. Others consider it a cost if paid, but not a cost if not paid. Others consider it a cost whether paid or not. Most of the arguments against including interest as a cost apply equally to the value of the farmer's own labor and to that of the unpaid labor of members of his family. ${ }^{1}$

The relative profitableness of different enterprises can be determined only when each enterprise is charged with all the costs incurred in its production, whether or not these costs are cash outlays. The time required in production, whether the labor is hired labor or labor of the farmer, is a cost of production. A charge for the time of capital used in production is likewise a cost, whether the capital is owned or hired. If no charge is made for the use of capital, the enterprise that requires much capital is favored, just as the enterprise that requires much labor is favored if the farmer makes no charge for his time.

The primary ways of benefiting by cost accounts are the comparisons that can be made of successive years and the comparisons with results obtained by other persons. No method of accounting is satis-

[^4]factory that does not make such comparisons easy. If any cost is omitted, the farm or the year or the system of farming that has a relatively high charge for this cost is favored.

Objection has been raised to the inclusion of interest as a cost because the profitableness of the business affects the value of the property and consequently the charge for interest. But this is just as true of the charge for the farmer's time and the wages of hired labor. If the business is profitable, farm wages rise and the necessary allowance for the labor of the farmer and of the members of his family is increased. This latter change takes place more quickly than does the change of capital. Any argument for the exclusion of interest on this basis is therefore an argument for the exclusion of all unpaid lator. For example, as a result of relatively high profits in cities in the year ending with February of 1920, there was a decrease of 17 per cent in hired men on New York farms but a decrease of only 3 per cent of all persons. ${ }^{12}$ This shows that paid labor adjusts itself more quickly to changed conditions than does unpaid labor. So the man who borrows money must adjust his practices to unfavorable conditions more quickly than the man who owns his capital, but in either case the adjustment is equally certain.

The adjustments that took place to meet the inflation of the currency during the World War show the usual order in which such adjustments occur. The wages paid to farm labor, and necessary allowances for labor performed by the farmer and members of his family, increased very materially before increases took place in the selling prices of cows or land. In cases of exceptionally violent changes in demand for a single article, as wool, a change in prices of sheep took place in advance of changes in labor, but such cases are the exception.

Farm wages rose 25 per cent in 1917 but land values rose only 13 per cent. In the following year wages rose 24 per cent and land values 9 per cent. ${ }^{13}$ A part of the fall in prices has been shared by all the persons associated with the agricultural region; only a part is left to be reflected in land values, and often it is the smaller part.

In regions such as Tompkins County, New York, which have been farmed for one hundred years and in which there is some excellent and some poor land, the adjustment in land prices is very far from complete. Apparently less than half of the difference in conditions in adjoining communities is reflected in land prices. The remaining part is diffused thru the returns to all classes of persons associated with the community.

If the farmer desires to know which of his enterprises are the most profitable, he must take account of interest on the capital invested.

If the public desires to know whether or not a given price will result in an increased production of a certain product, account of interest must be taken, and the value of the farmer's time must be taken.

As with all other items, the details of interest charges are set forth so that any one who desires to recalculate the data in some other way can do so.

[^5]
## INCENTORIES

Inventoric: are made at farm sale value, that is, the market value less the cost of marketing. This is the method used by the Federal Government in farm income tax reporting.

In mercantile accounting, selling is a large part, and sometimes the sole part, of the service rendered. Out of this fact grew the rule that inventories should be "at cost or market price, whichever is lower," so that profits may not be anticinated. This rule is not applicable to making farm inventories for most farm products. Groods in a factory or on a shelf in a store are usually a long wav from cash. Corn in a crib or wheat in a bin can be cashed any day:. If held, it is in hope of an additional profit rather than because the service has not yet been rendered. The service in the production of corn or wheat is practically complete when the crop is harvested. It is not anticipating profits to inventory them at farm sale value, any more than it is anticipating profits $10^{\prime}$ inventory goods on a shelf at "cost or market price, whichever is lower." The service in the case of corn and wheat is often more nearly completed when they are stored on the farm than is the service of selling goods when the sale is completed, for the costs and uncertainties of collection for goods sold are often greater than the costs and mertainties in the sale of corn and wheat.

With very high-priced purebred stock, the service is far from complete when the stock is grown. The making of a sale is a large part of the business. Usually such stock is correctly inventoried much below the price at which sales are made. On the other hand, fat hogs in a pen, or corn in a crib, or hay in a mow, can usually be sold by a telephone acceptance of the going price.

Inventories should be taken by principle rather than by rule. The principle is that the inventory should truly reflect the condition of the business, but should be conservative.

If a farmer should have on hand 500 bushels of corn that cost him $i 5$ cents a bushel to grow but that could be sold at the barn to any one of many buyers for 51.50 a lushel, and should have on hand 500 bushels of wheat that could likewise be sold for $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ a bushe but that cost him $\mathrm{S}_{3}$. the true status of the business is not shown by "cost or market value, whichever is lower':

500 bushels of corn @ 50.75
500 bushels of wheat $@ 5.00$
The true status of the business is shown by farm sale value:
500 bushels of corn @ Si.so
500 bushels of wheat (a) $\mathbf{5 . 0 0}$
The service of growing the corn has been rendered. If held longer, it is held speculatively in hope of a rising market. It is not held as goods on a shelf are held in hope of a market. It may be contended that the corn thus held may drop in price before it is sold, but so may goods on a shelf that are listed at "cost or market value, whichever is lower."
I.ike many of the rules of mercantile accounting, this rule for making inventories is the expression of a rule which if followed in certain circumstances will follow the correct principles, but if followed in other circum-
stances will violate all principles. The true principle of making an inventory is that it shall truly reflect the status of the business and yet be conservative.

## IMPORTANCE OF QUANTITIES

In all cost accounting, the hours of labor per cow, per acre, and per bushel, the pounds of grain eaten per cow or horse, the yields per acre, the pounds of gain in hogs per pound of feed, and similar quantities, are much more important than the dollars if one is studying accounts to learn how to make the business pay better. The aim in this work is to give quantities and all other items of cost in cletail so that they may be used in any way that is desired. So far as possible, all costs are carried in detail rather than under such charges as General Expense.

## HUMAN LABOR

The labor account is charged with all cash paid to labor, with farm products, use of house, and other things furnished to labor. It is charged also with unpaid labor of the operator and of members of his family, at what it would cost to hire the work done. When this accounting work was first begun, the time of the operator was estimated at hired man's wages-not at what the operator would get if hired, but at the rate per month that hired men get. This method is not correct. The operator usually does very much more manual labor than the hired man does. In addition, he takes all the responsibility and trouble of seeing that things go right. It is the operator who must be ready to change his personal plans at any time to conform to the farm needs. If the hired man wante to go hunting or go to the fair, he may go; but if the operator wants to go, he must first considerer whether the farm work will allow it. If there is a sick horse or cow, it is usually the operator who sits up at night with it. Usually the operator calls the hired man in the morning, rather than himself being called. He sees that the stock is economically fed. Such service is exceedingly difficult to hire at any price and is practically impossible to obtain at hired man's wages.

Such a monthly rate was often no more than sufficient to cover the charges made to the operator for use of house and use of horses and garden. The basis now used for charging the operator's labor is "what it would cost to hire some one else to do what the operator does." All results in this bulletin are on this basis.

Since most farm managers are paid a given cash wage in addition to use of house and other privileges, this basis was used in obtaining estimates. The value of the privileges was then added to the cash estimate. As a check on the above estimates, each operator was asked what he could have obtained as a hired farm manager. In addition, members of the Department of Farm Management who were acquainted with the men and who knew also the usual wages paid to farm managers, estimated what they believed each operator would receive as a hired farm manager. These estimates were made independently. Most of the estimates by farmers were obtained by mail, so that the members of the department did not influence these decisions. The results are given in table 2. The
charge shown in the table is greater than the average charge because some operators were not charged for the full year.

Table 2. Average Estimates of the Proper Charge to Be Made for the Farm Operator's Labor in Addition to the Lise of a Hocse and farm Prodects

| Year | Farmer's estimate of cost to hire | Farmer's estimate of what he would receive as hired manager | Department's estimate of what he would receive as hired manager |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1914 | \$ 859 | \$ 870 | \$1,033 |
| 1915 | 900 | 852 | 987 |
| 1916 | I,091 | I,000 | I,257 |
| 1917 | 1,269 | I, 189 | 1,295 |
| 1918 | 1,330 | 1,257 |  |
| 1919 | I,234 |  | - |

In 1907 the writers began by following the practice of Professor Roberts, of charging man and horse labor to each enterprise each month. At the end of the vear it was found that there was a large loss on both labor and horses, and that some method must be devised for distributing equipment charges. It is not easy to know the cost of labor until the end of the year, after all fuel, house rent, and board have been charged to labor. It also requires nearly twelve times as much labor to charge these monthly, and therefore in 1909 the practice was begun of distributing the charges for man and horse labor, and use of equipment, at the end of the year.

At the end of the year, all accounts are charged with the hours of labor spent on the enterprise at the average labor rate for the farm, except in special cases when such a method of charging would be distinctly unjust to some enterprise. Such cases are not numerous.

The winter wage per month is often lower than the summer wage, but the hours per day are also less. In cases in which the uniform wage rate causes incorrect conclusions, of course it should not be used. The exact method of handling accounts is not so important as is the use of results. Whatever the method, the results must be interpreted in the light of the method used. If any enterprise does not pay, one should calculate what rate per hour could be charged and yet have the enterprise come out even. Before the enterprise is dropped, consideration should be given as to whether the time spent on it could be more profitably used on some other enterprise and whether the profits of the farm as a whole would be larger with this enterprise included or with it omitted. The way to increase profits is sometimes to drop an enterprise, but more frequently it is to analyze the enterprise and find how to make it pay. The benefits of the accounting on farms where accounts have been kept for a number of years are usually in organization and management rather than in decided changes in type of farming, altho such changes have occurred in some cases.

HORSE LABOR
If colts are raised, a separate account is kept with them. If a sepalrate colt account is not kept, it is not possible to make comparisons of grain used, labor per horse, and other costs on successive years or betwern farms with varying ratios of colts and horses. At the end of the year, the net cost of all horse labor is distributed to the various enterprises in proportion to the hours that horses worked for those enterprises.

Since horses work so few hours per month in winter, the aclual cosit per hour worked in winter is more than in summer, but work done on rainy days and winter work is worth less than work on the good smmmer days. As in the case of human labor, an enterprise that thes horses at odd times is charged at the average rate, but consideration is given to its ability to use such odd-time labor when its value in the farming system is being considered.

## USE OF EQUIPMENT

Some special equipment, as incubators, is kept in the account with the enterprise for which it is used, but most of the farm equipment is kept in one account. Usually it might as well all be so kept. At the end of the year the net cost of all equipment is charged to the respective enterprises in proportion to the hours of horse labor on the enterprise.

A separate account is kept with the tractor, and its net cost is charged to the various enterprises in proportion to the hours of use.

Automobile and truck costs are distributed at the average net co: per mile, per day, or per trip, as best meets the farm condifions.

Separate accounts are kept with such special tools as threshing machines on farms where they are used.

## STOCK ACCOINTS

If dairying is an important part of the business, an accomm is kept with cows, with herd bulls, with veal calves, and with heifers. The young heifers may be divided into heifers under one year old, and heifers one to two years old: This division is necessary if the feed used per cow, the feed used per too pounds of milk, the labor per cow, and the like, are to be compared for successive years or on different farms that have different ratios of cows and young stock. With purebred stock, it is also desiable in some cases to keep an account with bulls kept to be sold. If onlv a few cows or a few hogs or a few hens are kept for home use or as a minor enterprise, accounts are kept with the class of animals as a whole and no analysis of the business is attempted. Cows are treated in this way in all cases where there are less than six. If poultry is important, the account is similarly divided into such accounts as hens, chickens, inculation, and the like.

## MANCRE, LIME, AND GRASS SEED

Some years ago, manure was carried directly from the animal to the crop accounts and residual manure was carried in the inventory. Now a manure account is included. The hauling of manure is charged to this account, and the entire balance of the account is distributed to the ac-
counts that received the benefits of the manure. . Vo manure is caried in the inventory, unless the farm has been decidedly changed. The manure of the vear is, he this method, considered to be a direct charge to each crop of that year in proportion as each cron is benefited from the manuring patacte on the farm. For example, a hay crop mate not have received any manure in a given year, but if manure is regularly used in the rotation, the hay crop has received the benefit from the manuring practice and pays its share each vear. The only occasion when the inventory needs to be made is when a very decided change is made in farm practice, cither by discontinuing the use of manure or by greatly increasing its use. If the use is decreased, the soil may be depleted so that the inventory should be reduced. If applications much larger than usual are being made, an increase of inventory may be required. Even in such cases the general method can be followed be carrsing part of the manure charge to crops, and part to a crop land account where it is added to the inventory. When no better method of distribution is known, fo per cent of manure costs is charged to the first crop, 30 per cent to the second, 20 per cent to the third, and io per cent to the fourth crop following the application. It is possible that this decrease is too rapid.

Lime is similarly disposed of, so that the cost of the year is charged to the expense of the crops grown in proportion to the benelit derived from the liming practice. Fertilizer used in small quantities is usually charged entirely to the crop that receives it. If the benefits to succeeding crops are great, they should, of course, pay part of the cost.

Similarly, grass seed is charged to the has crop of the year. The hay crop of the year exhansts the seeding of the previous years, which is made good by seed sown this year. Here, again, very striking changes in the amount of seed used may require that acconint of the change should be made in the inventory:

## REAL ESTATE

Formerly an account wats kept with the farm, but it was found more useful to split this into separate accounts-operators' houses, tenamt houses, barns and other outbuildings, crop land, orchard land, pasture and fences, woodland, and the like.

## CROP ACCOLNTS

Acommbs are kept with each kind of crop. Sometimes it is desired to keep two acoounts with two fields of the same crop; if so, this is done. Separate acounts are kept with the crop of each year until the product is sold. Tincre are always wo accounts with winter wheat, and often two acounts with other crops, as "19is hay" and "19I9 hay."

The account with crop land is charged with interest, taxes, upkeep, and all costs of maintaining the crop land. The total net cost for the year is then carried to the various crop accounts. If some land is better than other land, the crop grown on it is charged at a higher rate than the average rate per acre.

## SUBSORTING ENTRIES

The entries in the records of the farm on which accounts have been kept for fourteen years are not entered chronologically but are subsorted at the time of entry. For example, under the cow account, a debit page is used in the beginning for the inventory, a series of debit pages for feed purchased, a page for veterinary charges, and other pages for miscellaneous charges. Similarly, a set of credit pages is used for milk sold, and separate pages are used for stock sold. This saves time in sorting the items and is convenient for reference. In making an office copy of a farmer's book, this method of subsorting is used.

## CLOSING ACCOUNTS

A considerable list of original entries is made at the end of the year, or else the books are checked to see that they have been made. The following directions are followed in closing accounts:

Enter any accounts payable and receivable that have not been entered, and carry the credits for items sold and charges for items purchased to the proper ledger accounts.

Charge the labor account with milk, wood, and other produce furnished to hired labor, and credit the proper accounts. Similarly, charge the farm operator with farm products used by him, and credit the proper accounts.

Charge labor with board furnished by the operator, and credit the operator's account.

Charge labor with farm products and farm privileges furnished to the operator's family, and credit the proper accounts:

Charge labor with what it would cost in addition to privileges to hire some one to take the place of the farm operator, also charge unpaid labor performed by members of the operator's family, and credit the operator's account. List each item separately, giving time and value.

Transfer heifers that have become cows to the cow account. See that sales of cows state whether the cows are sold for slaughter or for breeding.

Transfer colts that have become horses to the horse account, and see that young colts are transferred to the colt account.

See that the field and acres of each crop are recorded, and that weights per bushel, rates of seeding, and the like are given.

If a field has grown more than one crop, make a record of this fact. If two crops have been grown together as a crop in an orchard, estimate the acres that should be charged to each.

Charge animals and credit crops with hay, grain, and straw obtained from the farm. Distinguish as far as possible between straw for feed and straw for bedding. Also see that all feed transfers from one class of animals to another are correctly made.

Total the chores in the work report for each month and carry to a yearly summary. Carry totals from this to the respective work accounts, and find the total labor on each enterprise. Keep labor of production separate from labor of marketing. Make a summary of all the accounts with hours of labor. In this summary use the nearest hour.

Credit animals and charge the manure account with manure recovered.

Enter the horse, equipment, tractor, and all other inventories except those that involve costs, before the inventory is made.

Men work for horses, horses work for men, and each of these do work for and receive use of equipment. Each work to keep up and are sheltered by buildings. It is therefore manifestly necessary to start the closing of the accounts by making an estimate. A smaller error is likely to occur if the estimates are made for the less important accounts, that is, if the most important one is charged first. This is nearly always labor. After the inventory is made, the following order is used in closing the accounts:

If labor has had the use of buildings, charge labor and credit buildings at io per cent of the value of the building unless some other rate is known to be more nearly accurate. Charge labor with any land used at 8 per cent unless a different figure is known to be more nearly correct. These charges are intended to cover taxes, costs of upkeep, and 6 per cent interest.

If horses have worked for labor, charge labor and credit horses at an estimated rate per hour; also, charge labor and credit equipment at an estimated rate per hour for use of equipment.

Divide the total net cost of labor by the total hours of labor, omitting the hours that labor worked for labor.

Credit the labor account with the labor on each enterprise at this rate, and charge the various accounts. For convenience, each of these charges may be to the nearest dollar except the largest account, which is made to carry the fraction of a dollar of balance so that the labor account exactly balances.

If equipment has been used for horses, charge horses and credit the equipment account with the use of equipment at an estimated rate per hour.

Charge interest at 6 per cent on the average inventory of horses, and credit the interest account.

Charge horses with the use of buildings at 10 per cent and with the use of pasture and other land at 8 per cent, unless some other rate is more nefly accurate. Both of these charges are intended to cover taxes, upkeep, and interest at 6 per cent. Credit buildings, pasture, and the like.

Close the horse account in the same manner in which the labor account was closed, except that the labor of horses for labor and for themselves should be omitted.

Charge equipment with the use of buildings at io per cent and interest on the average inventory at 6 per cent, and credit.buildings and interest.

Find the net cost of equipment, and divide by the hours of horse labor, omitting time on labor, horses, and equipment. Charge each account with the use of equipment at this rate, and credit equipment.

Charge the tractor account with use of buildings at to per cent and with interest at 6 per cent. Distribute the net cost in proportion to the hours worked.

Similarly, close the automobile, truck, threshing machine, and any other like accounts.

Find the balance of the barn account, and distribute this balance to the various crop and animal accounts, omitting equipment and work horses.

Find the balance of the crop land account and distribute to the various crops.

Find the balance of the pasture account and distribute to the various animals, omitting work horses.

Find the balance of the manure account and distribute to the variou: crops.

Find the balance of the general expense account and distribute. This account should be kept very small.

Transfer the herd bull account to the cow account. Similarly, distribute other accounts that have been carried separately for the various enterprises.

Enter all remaining inventories.
Charge interest to all accounts that have had considerable use of capital.

Close all accounts.

## CAPITAL INTESTED

The capital per farm is shown in table 3, and averaged about Sie,on). The net capital above indebtedness averaged approximately Si6,ooo. The values of the crop land and the orchards are the market values at the present time. Usually the market value of the farms is less than enough to cover the cost of drainage, residual manure, growing crops, buildings, and other improvements, at pre-war prices. In other words, there is little, if any, "unearned increment" represented in any of the values.

The capital per worker for five years averaged $\$ 8867$.

TAlbLE 3. (apicin. at the End of the Year*


 of a cent is drouncod.

## PROFITS

In most industries there is no unpaid labor. A corporation or a partnership usually hires all its emplovees and computes its profits in percentage made on the capital. In agriculture, the unpaid labor of the farmer and of members of his family is usually a larger item than intereit on the capital. The returns are pay for the farmer's time and for the use of his capital.

If the capital is very small, as is the case with many farmers, a slight error in assigning a value to the operator's time results in preposterous percentages. Let it be supposed that a tenant farmer has a capital of $\$ 1000$ and that he makes $\$ 800$ above his expenses in addition to the use of the house and some garden products. This is his reward for a year's work and for the use of $\$ 1000$. If an error of $\$ 100$ is made in estimating the pay for his time, it results in a 10 per cent difference in the profit that he makes. As a matter of fact, it is his labor rather than his capital that is the significant figure. By subtracting interest on the $\$ 1000$ at the usual rate of interest, which is well known, the balance may be said to be what he received for his year's work. This is called labor income. This figure may be compared directly with the wages paid to persons hired to operate farms. It is not to be compared with city wages without making many allowances. But there are no easy means of comparing city and country wages.

The farms on which accounts were kept had about three times the average capital, so that the advantages of the labor income method of expressing profits are less than on the average farm. Even on these farms, the value of unpaid labor is more than the normal interest on the capital invested. The profits are due to the combination of unpaid labor and capital. It is not accurate to say that either is the cause, nor is it possible to say exactly how much is due to each.

Both methods of calculating returns are shown in table 4. The labor incomes average from $\$ 453$ to $\$ 2$ III in the successive years. With the allowance made for the operator's time, the interest made would amount to from 3 to 10 per cent in the different years.

TABLE 4. Returns Expreseed as 1.abor Income, 1914 to 1910

|  | 1917 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average capital invested. | \$19,000.90 | \$18,0,3, 32 | \$21,642.09 | \$20,807. 81 | \$22,079. 49 | \$22,516.51 |
| Net ircome from capital an? operator's labar. | 1.403.07 | 1,512.25 | 2,257.71 | 3,003. 12 | 3,266. 50 | $3.4 \times 1.83$ |
| Interest paill on borrowerl capital | 160.26 | 191.69 | 298. 14 | 251.92 | 295.24 | 340.20 |
| Allowance for interest on of: crator's net capital | 783.79 | 710.13 | 783.90 | 791.47 | 1,120 123 | 1,010.79 |
| Labor income . . . . . . . . . . . | 45.302 | 010.43 | 1,175.61 | 1,901.73 | 1,9+1.73 | 2,110.84 |

Intrest on investment

|  | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average capital invested | \$19,000.96 | \$15,0,36.32 | \$21,642.09 | \$20,867.81 | \$22,079.49 | S22,516.51 |
| Net income from capital and operator's labor. | 1,403.07 | 1,512. 25 | 2,257. 71 | 3,005. 12 | 3,206. 50 | 3,461.83 |
| Allowance for operator's time | 825.90 | 878.76 | 1,043.71 | 1,231. 63 | 1,278.00 | 1,233.85 |
| Interest earned (per cent) . . . | 3.0 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.9 |

## EDCCATION OF OPERATORS

No seloction was made for farms except for the requirement that the operator should be one of the farm laborers. This was done to eliminate pleasure farms and other non-typical farms. In the beginming, no college graduates were on the list, but there has been a tendency for college-trained men to desire to do the work. On counting the number: for Iolo, the writers were surprised to find so many agriculturally traned men. The desire to do such work is in itself a selection.

In 191) there were nine graduates of the New Vork State College of Agriculture. One graduate of Williams College had given so muci study to technical agriculture that he is included with the agricultural graduates.

There were eleven winter-course students and one who had taken both a winter and a special course in the College of Agriculture. He was placed in the winter-course group. Of these, eight were also highschool graduates, one had attended high school for one year, and three had had no high-school work.

The remaining seventeen had had no agricultural education in school. One had taken two years of engineering work, five others were high-school graduates, six had had some high-school work but were not graduates, and five had had no high-school training.

It is shown in table 5 that the college graduates made interest on the capital, and, in addition to farm privileges, had left an average of $\$ 3395.21$ to pay for their own labor and supervision. The lowest figure for this item was $5-85.6+$ and the highest was 56825.58 . In only one case was the figure as low as the average of the group that received n: technical education.

The winter-course men made interest on the capital, and, in addition to farm privileges, had left an average of S2+22.78 as pay for labor and supervision. The lowest figure was $\$ 282.37$ and the highest was $\$+16+4$

Those who had received no technical agricultural education in school made interest on the capital, and, in addition to farm privileges, had left Sirs.it to pay for labor and supervision. The lowest figure wat $-\$ 1001.52$ and the highest was $\$ 3 \mathrm{I}+4.39$. No one in this group made as much as the arerage of the college graduates.

Many comparisons were made between the different educational groups in order to find the reasons for the differences in labor income. In all, 175 different factors were compared. A few of these are given in table 5 . They included distribution of capital: man equivalent: hours worked per day; cost of labor per hour; feeding, care, and cost of horses; equipment and its cost; production, feeding, care, and size of llocks and herds; and similar factors for crops.

The only striking differences were found in size of farms, management of dairy herds, and management of apple orchards. These three items were sufficient to account for all differences in labor income. While the college men did not have much more capital than the others, they had more money invested in land and less in other things. They had larger farms and the usual savings that go with larger farms.

The larger allowance for the value of the operator's time was offset by the greater amount of hired labor that goes with large farms, so that

TAble 5. Averigr.s for Firms Operited by Persons with Different Degrees of Epicition, 1919

|  | College graduates | Wintercourse students | Men with no agriculturalcollege training |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farm | 10 | 12 | 17 |
| Capitalat beginning of year. | S22.235.6.5 | S3 $4,917.54$ | \$20,992. 75 |
| Salue of farm. | S17,606. 15 | \$15,919.38 | \$14,313.07 |
| Acres per farm | 219 | 171 | 153 |
| Acres of crops | 117 | 110 | 89 |
| dan equivalem | 3.0 | 2.85 | 2.35 |
| Cost of human labor per hour. | \$0.4.58 | so. 4312 | S0. 4523 |
| Hours worked per man per year. | 2,909 | 3,1+1 | 3,111 |
| Number of horses | +.9 | 50 | 4.2 |
| Pounds of grain per horse | 2,789 | 2.857 | 2.662 |
| Hours of labor taking care of horses | 11.3 | 11.5 | 134 |
| IIours worked per horse per day | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Cost per hour of horec labor | S0.2.31 | S0. $24(1,3$ | S0. 2688 |
| Number of farms having sis or more cows. | 6 | 9 - | 13 |
| Number of cows per farm | 180 | 22.7 | 17.6 |
| Prounds of grain per cow | 1.888 | 1.81+ | 1,556 |
| Pounds of hay per cow. | 3,798 | 3,i96 | 3,934 |
| Hours of labor taking care of cows, | 162 | 156 | 198 |
| Prounds of milk per cow | 6.791 | 0,708 | 5,907 |
| Profit or loss per cow ........idi | + 520.00 | +:319.71 | -S0. 82 |
| Value of operator's labor in addition to privileges | 81,34(1) (1) | \$1,302.00) | \$1,12+.00 |
| Value of operator firm privileges | S60 212 | 5693. ${ }^{1}$ | 5676 |
| Lator income. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | S3, 3951 | \$2,422.78 | \$1,135. 14 |

the average cost per hour of all labor was about the same. This is becatuse the hired labor costs less per hour than the value of the operator's lime. The hours worked per person per day in the college and noncollege groups were about the same.

As usual. he large farms resulted in more acres of crops per man and horse, more hours of work per horse, and consequently less cost of horse labor per hour. The hours spent in taking care of horses were also less, so that this figure was lowered still more.

Of those who kept six or more cows, the college group had about the same sized herds as the non-college group. The college gronp spent less time per cow in doing the work, fed more grain, and got $88+$ pounds more milk per cow, with a slightly less total cost per cow. This resulted in a profit per cow of 520 in the college group, and a loss of 82 cents in the non-college group.

The few college men who had orchards spent more on them than did the non-college men and obtained much better yields, which resulted in cheaper production and higher protits.

These statements are not to be taken as reflecting discredit on those who had received no agricultural traning, for they were making from 1 wo 00 three times as much as the average farmer. All these figures indicate that the results for these farme are typaral of the highly profitable farms of the State.

The year 1919 was a year of high prices. This favored the larger and more intensive enterprises such as college men were inclined to run. When farming is unprofitable, a large business, high grain-feeding of cows, and the like, are likely to result in large losses. Preliminary comparisons have been made for 1920. The labor incomes of college men averaged $\$ 649$, of winter course men $\$ 347$, and of others $\$ 303$. Results for some of the farms for which tables are completed for 1921 show minus labor incomes for all group averages. The largest losses are for the college men, with average labor incomes of $-\$ 417$; the labor incomes of winter-course men averaged - $\$ 180$, and those of others $-\$ 318$.

## HUMAN LABOR

## SOURCES AND AMOUNTS OF LABOR

On the average for five years, 34 months of labor were performed per farm per year. Some of this work was done by boys and other persons who could not do as much as a man. In table 6 a column headed "Man-equivalent months" is included. If in a day a boy did two-thirds as much work as a man, a day of his time is counted as two-thirds of a day in the column headed "Man-equivalent months." The man equivalent of the work done per farm was 33.7 months per year, or not quite equivalent to the time of 3 men per farm. In all work reports, the hours of labor are the actual hours, not man-equivalent hours.

Over half of the labor on the farms was done by the farm operator and members of his family who received no wages (table 6). Hired labor made 56 per cent of the total in I914, 50 per cent in 1915,49 per cent in 1916, 45 per cent in 1917, and 43 per cent in 1918. The decrease in proportion of hired labor agrees with results for the State. Hired men decreased 18 per cent in the year ending February i, i918, but the number of workers on farms decreased only 4 per cent. ${ }^{14}$

Since these farms are much larger than the average, the percentage of labor performed by hired men is much higher than the average for the State. In the State about one-fourth of the farm workers are hired. ${ }^{14}$

TABLE 6. Sources of Laror. Averige per Farm for Five Years, 1914 to 1918


[^6]On only two farms was there a man equivalent as high as 5 (table 7). The most frequent man equivalent was in the 2-2.99 group. The average for the State is about I.5. These farms employ twice as much labor, but produce more than twice as much, as the average farm.
table 7. Number of Farms Hiving Different Numbers of Man Equivalent

| Man equivalent | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-1.49$ | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| 1.5-1.99 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | $2 \%$ |
| $2-2.99$ | 7 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 18 | 84 |
| $3-3.99$ | 3 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 4 |
| $4-4.99$ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 27 |
| $5-5.99$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 2 |

The farms employing the equivalent of from one to two men besides the operator were enough more profitable than those employing fewer men so that they were able to pay the operators more for their time and yet show a greater profit. In years of large losses, these farms would also show the greatest losses. They are, however, more profitable on the average.

Those who employed the most help had the largest farms and the most capital. They used the least capital per man, having an average of $\$ 8269$ per man.

Various reasons why the large farms are more profitable are discussed in Bulletin 295 of this station. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

The farms employing the greatest number of men made more per man above interest on capital than did the farms employing the least number of men (table 8). The larger labor income is therefore in part due to increased return of labor, and not due merely to the operator's profit coming from more men. It should be noted that the larger farms are not elaborate places. All of them are farms on which the operator is a laborer as well as manager.

Table 8. Relation of Man Equivalent to Other Factors, 39 Farms, 1919

|  | Man equivalent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 2 | From 2 to 3 | Over ? |
| Number of farms | 9 | 18 | 12 |
| Average man equivalent .......... | 1.60 | 2.43 | \$31.917.85 |
| Average capital at beginning of year. | \$15,081.94 | \$19,966. 42 | \$31,917.56 |
| Value of operator's time above farm privileges | \$1,144 | \$1,179 | \$1,383 |
| Cost per hour of labor . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$0.5196 | \$0.4377 | S0.3973 |
| Hours of labor per person per month. | 236.2 | 247.0 | 270.6 |
| Average labor income . . . . . . | \$1,388 | \$1,427 | \$3,679 |
| Value of operator's farm privileges.. | \$635. 02 | \$649.74 | S778. 4 |

[^7]
## HOIRS OF LABOR

All labor is usually charged in the work report book in hours, not in man equivalent. The number of months is also known, so that the average hours of work per person per month can be calculated. This contains a small error, because some day-work was reduced to a month basis by estimating 260 hours as one month. The we-years average hours worked per person per year was 3036, or 25.3 hours per month.

In igig the average hours of labor per person per month viried from 166 to $37^{\circ}$ ) on the different farms. The average was 252 hours. On one-third of the farms the hours worked per person per month was less than 2.30; on one-third it was from 23010260 ; and on one-third it was over 260, with an average of 300 . Those who worked the greatest numher of hours had the most capital, employed the most labor, estimated their own time at a higher figure, had the lowest cost of labor per hour, and made the largest labor incomes. The differences are in large part due to the larger business. Sorting by hours worked per person per month placed the larger farms in the group with the most hours of labor per person.

## RELATION OF ALLOWANCE FOR OPERATOR'S TIME TO PROFITS

On the average, the operators whose time was valued most highly were able to make this higher allowance and still make more profit (table g) . When subsorted by man equivalent, the figures indicated that the operator's time should not be valued too highly if he does not employ much help.

TABleE 9. Relation of Aleowance fok Oplentor's Time to Proftts, 39 Firms, 1919

| Allowance for operator's time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { farms } \end{gathered}$ | Average allowance for operatores time; | Average capital at leginning of yeat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Man } \\ & \text { coruiv- } \\ & \text { alent } \end{aligned}$ | Cint of labor茄 hour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l.abor } \\ & \text { incomue* } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| l'uker S1800 | 1.5 | S1, (2) 297 | S17,3,30.97 | 2.15 | 50.4 .308 | 81, 520.5) |
| S1800-S1909 | 11 | 1,809.4.3 | 23,207.13 | 2.8 .3 | 0.4408 | 1,878.76 |
| S Somo or more | 1.3 | 2,277.28 | $27,008.52$ | 3.16 | $0 .+530$ | 2.981 .4 |

*The figures in this column are what is left as profit and may for con-rator" time after deducting interest on investment.

## COST OF LABOR

The estimated cost to hire persons to take the place of the farm operators in 1018 averaged $\$ 1278$ in cash and 5711.15 in perguisites. In that year, hired labor cost an average of $\$ 46.6+$ per month in cash and $\$ 18.5 .5$ in perquisites.

The charge for operator was atout two and one-half times the cost of hired labor. This is a much greater difference than would occur on average farms, because these farm operators are much more valuahle men than the average farmer.
tabiee 10. Cost of Lamor per hoer, Six Years

| Year | Number of farms 7 | Average <br> cost <br> per <br> hour | Per cent (191+ fiente as 10!)! | Wages reported by U. S. Bureau of Crop Estimates for men hired by year boarded (1914 figure as 100 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1914 | 18 | \$4. 2.508 | 100 | 100 |
| 1915 | 46 | 0. 2599 | 104 | 100 |
| 1916 | 31 | 0.3026 | 121 | 116 |
| 1917 | 31 | 0.3563 | 142 | 1.38 |
| 1918 | 32 | 0.3057 | 1.8 | $1: 5$ |
| 1919 | . 39 | 0. +16 ? | 104 | 170 |

The wages paid to hired men are also higher than the average for the State, but the rate of increase in the cost of labor year by year agrees with the increases shown by the Bureau of Crop Estimates (table io).

The cost of man labor on different farms in 1919 varied from 27 cents to 66 cents (table II). The weighted average cost (the total cost of labor divided by the total number of hours) was +2 cents. The average of the averages per farm and the median was $t+$ cents.

Table 11. Varintions in the Cost of Mas labok pre Holr in 1919


The costs of labor for different years are shown in table 12.

TABLE 12. Costs of Labor per Farm, 1914 to 1918

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ (18 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (46 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ \text { (31 farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ \text { (31 farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ (32 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Months | Value | Months | Value | Months | Value | Months | Value | Months | Value |
| First operator | 10.91 | \$825.90 | 11.66 | \$878.76 | 11.50 | \$1,043. 71 | 11.68 | \$1,231.63 | 11.61 | \$1,278.00 |
| Second operator | 1.22 | 63.33 | 1.61 | 60.89 | 1.45 | 67.42 | 3.48 | 191.61 | 3.70 | 293.59 |
| Third operator. . . . | 0.56 | 33.33 | 0.01 | 13.04 | - |  | 0.90 | 40.65 | 1.10 | 79.27 |
| Farm privileges furnished to operator .. | - | 383. 07 | [.88 | 463.99 |  | 524.76 | -76 | 5.54 .90 | - | 711.15 |
| Unpaid family labor. . . . . . | 3.36 | 101.33 | 2.88 | 102.34 | 4.24 | 168.03 | 2.76 | 97.45 | 3.42 | 184.01 |
| Cash paid for labor.. | 20.19 | 618.50 | 15.89 | 490.67 | 16.30 | 583.70 | 15. 08 | 602.29 | 14.79 | 689.84 |
| Value of farm products furnished to hired labor. . |  | 220.83 |  | 179.76 |  | 184.81 | - | 233.38 | - | 274. 39 |
| Total. | 36.24 | \$2,246. 29 | 32.05 | \$2,189.45 | 33.49 | \$2,572.43 | 33.90 | \$2,951.91 | 34.62 | \$3,510.25 |
| Total hours of latior. Cost per hour of labor | 8,956 | \$0. 2508 | 8,424 | \$0. 2599 | 8,501 | \$0.3026 | 8,285 | \$0.3563 | 8,870 | \$0.3957 |

In 1918, cash paid to hired men was 20 per cent of the total labor cost. Cash and farm privileges of hired men combined made 27 per cent of the total cost. On the average, over one-fifth of the pay of hired men is in farm privileges.

The details of costs of labor for the year 1918 are shown in table 13. Farm privileges of the operator are not itemized for one farm. All the farm operators received milk from the farm. In two cases this is charged as the balance of a family cow account, so that the quantity is not known. The 29 farms for which quantity is given show that the farm operators received an average of 2622 pounds per year, or 3.3 quarts per day. Some farms had more than one operator's family, so that the average per family is slightly less.

TABLE 13. Details of Costs of Labor, 32 Farms, 1918

|  | Number of farms having expense | Total quantity | Total value | Average value per farm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operator's labor: <br> Cash allowance | 32 | 371.3 mos. | \$40,896.00 | \$1,278.00 |
| Farm privileges: |  |  |  |  |
| Details not itemized | 1 |  | 711.15 | 22.23 |
| Use of house | 31 | 383 mos. | 4,578.82 | 143.08 |
| Use of other buildings. | 14 |  | 87.75 | 2.74 |
| Humats latoor. . . . . . | 31 | 8,4,32 hrs. | 2,436.57 | 76.14 |
| Horse labor. | 31 | - $5,497 \mathrm{hrs}$. | 1,230.9.3 | 38.47 |
| Use of equipment | 31 |  | 335.57 | 10.49 |
| Woorl. . | 22 | 493.5 cds . | 873.50 | 27.30 |
| Balance of cow account . | 2 | - | 2.36 .13 | 7.38 |
| Milk. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29 | 76,028 lbs. | 2,587.04 | 80.84 |
| Butter | 12 | 1,204 lbs. | 574.03 | 17.94 |
| Other dairy products |  | - | 172.24 | 5.38 |
| Beef. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5 | 904 lbs . | 144.78 | 4.52 |
| Veal. | 2 | $2+9 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 32.25 | 1.01 |
| Pork | 21 | 10,29+ liss. | 2,130.48 | 66.58 |
| Mutton | 1 | 66 lbs . | 11.97 | 0.37 |
| Balance of poultry account | 4 | - | 510.93 | 15.97 |
| Poultry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 23 | 427 head | 464.51 | 14.52 |
| Eggs... | 25 | 3,728 doz. | 1.605 .69 | 50.18 |
| Potatoes. | 30 | 1,193 bu. | 1,194. 66 | 37.33 |
| Other vegetables |  |  | 871.24 | 27.23 |
| Apples....... | 25 | 38,030 lbs. | 555.09 | 17.35 |
| Other fruits |  |  | 160.43 | 5.01 |
| Maple sirup | 7 | 132 gal . | 199.50 | 6.23 |
| Honey . . . . | 3 | 285 lbs. | 57.35 | 1.79 |
| Beans. | 9 | 10 bu. | 50.19 | 1.57 |
| Buckwheat | 5 | 23 bu | 36.70 | 1.15 |
| Wheat | 8 | 174 bu. | 370.82 | 11.59 |
| Rye | 2 | 18 bu . | 37.00 | 1.16 |
| All else |  | (8) | 499.49 | 15.61 |
| Total operator's privileges. |  |  | \$22.756.81 | \$711.15 |
| Total for operator's labor. . |  |  | \$ $63,652.81$ | \$1,989.15 |
| Second operator . . . . . . . . . | 11 | 119 mos. | \$9,395.00 | \$293.59 |
| Third operator. | 3 | 35 mos . | \$2,536. 67 | \$79.27 |
| Unpaid family labor. . . . . . . |  | 109.4 mos . | \$5,888. 25 | \$184.01 |

TABLE 13 (conciuded)

|  | Number of farms having expense | Total quantity | Total value | Average value per farm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hired lahor: Cash paid | 32 | 473.3 mos. | §22,0\%5.00 | Snso.St |
| Farm privileges: |  |  |  |  |
| Use of house. Vse of other huil | 1,3 3 | 100.5 mos. | 910.24 19.53 | 2-8.4t 0.61 |
| Horse labor | 17 | 1,372 hrs. | 315.56 | 1) 86 |
| Use of equipment |  | - | 90.01 | 3.00 |
| Wood. . . . . . . | 12 | 195 eds.* | 322. 1.3 | 10.07 |
| Soard (including lodging) . | 26 | 246.2 mos. | $0,00.3 .0 .3$ | 189. 48 |
| Milk. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12 | 18,872 llss. | $688 .+4$ | 21.51 |
| Other dairy products |  | - | 29. 20 | 0.91 |
| Beef | 1 | 160 lhs. | 23. 6 (r) | 0.74 |
| Pork | 2 |  | 8.80 | 0.27 |
| Potatoes. | 7 | $137 \mathrm{hm}$. | $1+2.75$ | 4.45 |
| Other regetaturs. |  | --- | 17.25 | 11.51 |
| Apples. . . . | 10 | 78 hu. | 74.25 | 2.32 |
| Otherfruits. |  | - | 25.60 | 0.80 |
| All cise |  | - | +4.11 | 1.38 |
| Total farm privileges furnished to hired labor. |  |  | 88,780.39 | S3it. 39 |
| Total for hired labor. |  |  | 830,855.39 | Sont. 23 |
| Total cost of habor. . . . . . . . . |  |  | \$112,328.12 | $43,510.25$ |

*Stove length.

## SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF LABOR

The seasonal distribution was very nearly the same in every year. This distribution on each kind of crop and stock enterprise for four years is given in table 14. The horse labor distribution is given in table 29 (page 57). The hours of labor per farm increased until the last ten days of July. There was a secondary period of increase in October. The total hours of work in the busiest ten-days period was twice as much as in the slack season. This extra work is in part done by extra hired labor, but is largely done by working very long hours and with the help of the farmers' wives and children. The harvest season varies with the type of farming, but the results given in table it probably represent conditions in the State as a whole. This is due to the two harvest periods, one for hay and grain in July and August, and one for corn, potatoes, apples, beans, and cabbage in the fall.

118 farme in 1914; 46 farms in 1015; 31 farms in 1916; 30 farms in 1917)

| Month | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Ten-dinss } \\ \text { neriorid } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Suikl } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { reforir: } \end{gathered}$ | Builaling intprove ment | Fence reparir: | New fence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drain- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { repire } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Ntw } \\ \text { drain- } \\ \text { as. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Brush } \\ \text { inn! } \\ \text { winels } \\ \text { unt } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pick- } \\ & \text { ing } \\ & \text { stonn } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { lowir- } \\ \text { ingr } \\ \text { l.in! } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Winod and lumher | Other real vitate work | Tentil bours on real estate work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jamuary | First | 472 | 41? | 26 |  | 2 |  | ' |  |  | 201 | 150 | 1.272 |
|  | Scomd | 409 | 4+2 | 4 | $\cdots$ | 1 | -. | 2 | - | 46 | 3,30 | 2.38 | 1,472 |
|  | Thirs | 20) | 650 | 1) | 6.4 | 3 |  | 6 |  | 47 | 40. | 290 | 1.74) |
| Fobrmar | 1rirst | 25.5 | 3.34 | $\therefore 3$ | 7 |  | -- | 16 | --- | 54 | 354 | 243 | 1.306 |
|  | Scomd | 286 | . 389 | 7 | 3 | 7 | - | 9 | - .i. | 袻 | 364 | 197 | 1.290 |
|  | Third | 311 | 344 | $\pm 1$ | 26 | 4 | $\underline{2}$ | 3 |  | 3) | 387 | 170 | 1.316 |
| Mareh | First | 376 | 37. | 71 | 2 |  | 1 | 54 | - | \% 8 | 463 | 3.4 .3 | 1,7\%1 |
|  | Sucond | $+16$ | 523 | 48 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }$ | 10 | 37 | 35 | 4 | 37 | 309 | 18.5 | 1.68. |
|  | Third | $46^{\circ}$ | $5: 7$ | 80 | 12 | $\because$ | 24 | -8 | 4 | 35 | 5.34 | 16.8 | 1.901 |
| Atril | First | 4 y | 517 | 10.1 | 106 | 37 | $x 1$ | 128 | 1.3 | 319 | 3.36 | 350 | $3 . .38 \mathrm{c}$ |
|  | Sicond | 376 | 473 | 25.? | 1.30 | 3.4 | 305 | 1.30 | 3 | 117 | 213 | 450 | $\cdots 513$ |
|  | Thirs | 468 | 3.45 | 497 | 106 | 5.1 | 14 | 100 | 70 | 281 | 37 | 3016 | $\therefore 5617$ |
| MiY ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | First | 458 | $\therefore 12$ | 82: | 260 | 46) | 3.1 | $\pm 1$ | 1511 | $\therefore 6$ | 75 | 2is |  |
|  | Surond | 347 | 114 | X11 | 111 | St | 51.8 | 54 | 23.4 | 318 | 27 | 270 | 3,357 |
|  | Third | 676 | 00.3 | 5181 | $+15$ | 5. | 1.5. | $1 \geq 0$ | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | $\therefore 38$ | 1) | 404 | . 3571 |
| Juns | First | 632 | 902 | 472 | 270 | 104 | 2.38 | $\underline{31} 4$ | 186 | 360 | 1.1 | 425 | 3,211 |
|  | Scomd | 405 | 80.5 | 3 SC | 10.3 | N0, | 1114 | $\cdots$ | 1.45 | 1.46 | 6.4 | 2.9. | 3, 0.4 .4 |
|  | Third | 416 | 193.3 | 156 | 2 | .6 6 | 1.5 |  | 96 | 410 | 16 | 217 | 2.4 .15 |
| July | pirst | 011 | 9 | 356 | 16 | is | 10 | $1 ? 4$ | 14 | 0 | + | 16.3 |  |
|  | Sicond | 478 | 530 | . 0.4 | 36 | 36 | 80 | 08 | 10 | $\cdots$ | " | $\underline{15}$ | 1.832 |
|  | Third | 2.51 | $51 \%$ | 146 | 19 | 14 | 16 | $\because 4$ | 35 | - | 14 | 8.4 | 1.416 |
| August | First | 280 | 721 | 270 | 16 | 17 | 51 | 35.4 | 24 | 5.4 | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2} .48$ | 2,04" |
|  | Sreond | . 3.37 | 836 | 106 | 137 | 16 | 19.4 | $41 \%$ | 30 | 18 | 4. | 1.18 | $\therefore \cdots$ |
|  | Third | +76 | 1.550 | 257 | 70 | T 4 | 301 | 571 | 96 | 216 | 14 | 41.8 | 4, 1 , 4,3 |
| Sputatey | P-irst | 601) | 1.767 | 1.615 | 51 | 84 | 51.3 | 300 | 81 | 3.44 | 96 | 5.37 | 4,584 |
|  | Sicond | 320 | 1,611 | 125 | 1 | $1{ }^{1} 4$ | . 3.30 | 85 | 116 | 168 | 8 | 335 | 3,193 |
|  | Third | 209 | 1.107 | 108 | 40 | 15 | 282 | 010 | 58 | 31 | , | 35.9 | 3.40 .3 |
| Oetober | First | 3,38 | 778 | 201 | 5.4 | 12 | $\underline{45}$ | 3.4 | 10 O | $t$ | 20 | 1.30 | 1, \%24 |
|  | Second | 50. | 041 | 108 | 107 | 8 | 130 | 110 | 60 | 26 | 17 | $7{ }^{7}$ | 1,780 |
|  | Thitd | 937 | 578 | 50 | 12 | 12 | 181 | 16 | 64 | 52 | 17 | 13.5 | 1,794 |
| Novemirr | First | 715 | 719 | 26 | 36 | $\underline{24}$ | 526 | 16 | 10 | 76 68 | 20 | 239 | 2.261 |
|  | Scond | 005 | 607 | 54 | 36 | 164 | 5.3 | 16 | 18 | 68 | $\bigcirc$ | 3198 | 2,844 |
|  | Third | 619 | 6.58 | 46 | 48 | 124 | 483 | 1.4 | 18 30 | 160 | $\underline{83}$ | 118 | 2.63. <br> .360 |
| Decominer | First | 595 | 69 | 1104 | 30 |  | 3.30 70 | 14 | 30 |  | 697 | 123 -01 | -1,366 |
|  | Second | 816 4.5 .8 | 4.319 | 1.4 7.3 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 14 |  | 192 | 155 | $\underline{163}$ | $1.9 \pm 1$ |
| T | cotal | 110.000 | 24.805 | 6.879 | 2,001 | 1.284 | 6.424 | $4,0 \times 7$ | 1.967 | 3,932 | 5,476 | 9.214 | 83,608 |

TABLE 14 (continued)

|  |  | Gen-equipment |  | Horses | Cattle | Hogs | Mature poultry | Young poultry and incubation | Farm poultry | Sheen | Becs | Total hours on livestock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms Animal units |  | 122 | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ 711.7 .3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ 2.241 .11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ 68.31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 81.10 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 101 \\ 1.31 .48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 106.40 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | $\cdots$ |
| Month | Ten-days period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jamaary | First | 194 | -3-3 | 2.4072.418 | 9.3140.206 | 404 | 3.58.322 | 8 | 607 | 151 | -- |  |
|  | Scoond | 232 |  |  |  | 425 |  | $-7$ | 654 | 155 | -- |  |
|  | Third | 268 |  | 2.617 | 10.035 | 4.3 | 38.5 |  | 694 772 | 165 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.180 \\ & 14.424 \end{aligned}$ |
| February | First | 3.30 | 4 | 2,394 | 0.171 | 415 | 398 | 0 | 705 | 148 | -- | 13.247 |
|  | Scond | 24.5 | $1{ }^{2}$ | 2,53,3 | 0.131 | 408 | 469 | 2 | 7.39 |  | - | 13.430 |
|  | Third | 332 |  | 2,00\% | 7.608 | 312 | 357 | 5 | 6.5 | 1.53 | - | 11.06013.345 |
| March | First | 546 | 15 | 2.526 | 9.031 | 42.3 | 360 | 124 | 736 | 145 | - |  |
|  | Sicond | 46.4 | 26 | 2,478 | 9.047 | 350 | 348 | 91 | 814 | 1.38 | - | 1.3 .26614.825 |
|  | Thir: 1 | 551 | 40 | 2,812 | 10.04 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 460 | 371 | 13.4 | 4,3? | 1.3.3 | -- |  |
| April | First | 577 | 48 | 2,831 | 8.955 | 39.3 | 376 | $20: 1$ | 1.0 .30 |  | - | 14.825 14,046 |
|  | Serond | 493 | 106 | 2.763 | 8.813 | 36736036 | 40.4 | 257 | 9.32 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,046 \\ & 13.728 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Third | 467 | 216 | 2.654 | 8.869 |  | 380 | 342 | 945 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.728 \\ & 13.725 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mav | First | 481 | 16814.4 | 2.714 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,360 \\ & 7.900 \end{aligned}$ | 359 |  | 31.3 | 95.3 | 181 | 4 | 13.245 |
|  | Srond | 3.12 |  | 2,619 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 361 \\ & 34.5 \end{aligned}$ | 3.58 | 304 | 95.5 | 82 | 2 | 12.65913.146 |
|  | Third | 605 | 40 | 2.907 | 8.156 |  | 3743.3131 | $\begin{array}{r} 270 \\ 231 \end{array}$ | 1,028 |  | 2 |  |
| June | First | 483 | 71 | 2,507 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 .59 \\ & 6.647 \end{aligned}$ | 31.5 |  |  | 884 | 64 |  | 13.146 11.105 |
|  | Sreond | 474 <br> 580 <br> 804 | 337 | 2.503 2.406 | 6.656 | $298$ | $311$ | $\begin{aligned} & 268 \\ & 187 \end{aligned}$ |  | 37 | 3 | 10.951 |
| July | First | 6.4 | 4 | 2,418 | 6.31? | 326 | 309 | 157 | 7-9 | 14 | 7 | 10.771 10.763 |
|  | S-cond | 57.5 |  | 2,28, |  | 3.356 | 277300 | 140163 | 726816 | 7 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 10,071 \\ & 10.951 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Third | 521 | ${ }_{9}$ | 2.515 | 6,786 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1 3 |  |
| August | First | $+77$ |  | 2,339 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.078 \\ & 6.109 \end{aligned}$ | 3.4325 | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 264 \end{aligned}$ | 123 | 745727 |  | 31 | 0.860 |
|  | Second | 406 | 9 | 2,296 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9.870 \\ 10.818 \end{array}$ |
|  | Third | .388 | 25 | 2.468 | 6.699 | 411354 | 262218 | 119 | 830 | 28 | 1 |  |
| September | First | 242 | 92 | $\underline{2.202}$ | 6.215 |  |  | 126 | 641 | 10 | - | 10.818 0.706 |
|  | Second | 3.41 | 5 ? | 2.288 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.346 \\ & 6.126 \end{aligned}$ | 3.333.38 | 218 | 134116 | 6446.1 | 12 | - | 0.075 |
|  | Third | 302 | 8 ? | 2.303 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 3 | 10.078 |
| October | First | 516 | 1.4 | 2, 2.44 | $6,8 \geq 5$ | 410 | 2.37 | 107 | 597 | 14 | - | 10.434 |
|  | Sccond | 328 | 23 | 2.233 | 6.901 | 45.3 | 208 | 118 | 627 | 34 | - | 10,664 |
|  | Third | 215 | 17 | 2.451 | 7.926 | 487 | 321 | 12.2 | 638 | $3{ }^{3}$ | - | 11.984 |
| November | First | 157 | 14 | 3.3.0 | 8.063 | 46.3 | 267 | 37 | 639 | 54 | 1 | 11.844 |
|  | Scond | 183 | 9 | 2,378 | 8,303 | 501 | 294 | 64 | 698 | 89 | $\cdots$ | 12.332 |
|  | Third | 277 | 17 | 2,376 | 8.486 | 596 | 294 | 5 | 620 | 156 | - | 12,533 |
| December | First | 240 | 2 |  |  | 564 | 304 | 2 | 6.33 | 123 | - | 13.050 |
|  | Second | 36.3 | - | 2.410 | 0.289 | 606 | 316 | 2 | 668 | 132 | - | 13.423 |
|  | Third | 241 | 9 | 2,640 | 10.225 | 661 | 380 | 3 | 7.31 | 150 | - | 14.790 |
|  | otal | 14,050 | 1,369 | 88,962 | 286.040 | 14.666 | 11.458 | 4,534 | 27.491 | 3,224 | 31 | 436.406 |

TABLEE $1+$ (continued)

| Number of firtins Acres |  | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Afalfa } \\ -56 \\ +2905 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apples } \\ -25 \\ 35561 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Barley } \\ \hdashline-25 \\ 1634 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Beans } \\ & -\ldots-\ldots \\ & 34 \\ & 384.65 \end{aligned}$ | Buckwhat$\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 260 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Cab } \\ \text { bage } \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} 38 \\ 206.1 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Corn } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { arain } \end{array}\right\| \begin{gathered} 50 \\ \hdashline 350 \\ \hline 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Curn } \\ \text { ior } \\ \text { silage } \\ -75 \\ 1.00+1 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} c \mathrm{com} \\ \text { cum } \\ \text { brrs } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Girr } \\ \text { dent } \end{array} \\ \hdashline 257 \\ 25.27 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 11 a y \\ 1244 \\ 4.770 .34 \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ten-dars } \\ \text { neriond } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | liirat | 54 | 36. | 15 | 126 | 30 | 286 | 347 |  |  | 1 | 60 |
| February | Scond | 18 | 199 |  | 44 | 7 | 192 | 230 |  |  |  | 601 |
|  | Third | 5 5 | 415 |  | 16 | 5 | 157 | 20. | 1 |  |  | 4.2 |
|  | lirst | 81 | 216 | - | 54 | 8 | 23.5 | 129 |  | - | 2 | 297 |
|  | Second | 19 | 426 | - | 13 | 5 | 156 | 178 |  |  | 3 | 380) |
| March | Third | 9 | 512 | 15 | 3') | 16 | 8 | 116 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 58.3 |
|  | First | 4 | 778 | 3 | 23 |  | 65 | 4.1 | 11 |  | 1 | 21. |
|  | Sicond | 94 | 955 | \% | 41 |  | 17.5 | 87 | 15 | 2 | $\therefore 1$ | $3: 0$ |
| Amii | Third | 24 | 1.616 | 6 | 18 | 2 | 246 | 115 | 21 | 20 | 90 | 436 |
|  | Virst | 611 | 1.757 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 110 | 20 | \%) | 31 | 6. | . 387 |
|  | Secomal | 176 | 1,891 | 149 | 170 | ? | 188 | 3077 | 300 | 18 | 1:3 | 542 |
| May | Third | 94 | 1.97 .3 | 310 | 4010 | 1.3 | 19? | 38.4 | 707 | 54 | 201 | 380 |
|  | Pirst | 115 | 1.0 .11 | 319 | 5.7 | 111 | 5.5 ? | 6.30 | 83 ? | 12 | 375 | .1.38 |
|  | cembl | 28. | 106 | 430 | 4.51 | 118 | , 12 \% | 1,217 | 1.37 ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 3:3 | \$31 |
| June | Hhird | 8.3 | 1, 236 | 200 | 445 | 140 | 371 | 1,083 | 2, 9.1 | \% | 2.47 | 188 |
|  | Firel | 37.5 | 77.1 | $7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 769 | 34.3 | 6.9 | ¢27 | 3 | 2.3 | 2.4 .4 | 317 |
|  | secomd | 1.242 | 5.35 | 14 | 784 | 546 | 76 | 87.3 | 1.8.4? | 4.3 | 3.38 | 421 |
| Juls | Third | 2.308 | 297 | 1 | 1,26 | 477 | 2.546 | 1.113 | $\therefore, 36$ | 4 | 313 | 1.611 |
|  | Virst | 960 | 34 |  | ¢ t 5 ! | 721 | 1.972 | $\times 5$. | $1.5 \%$ | $\therefore$ | . 360 | 5.73, |
|  | cecond | 3.55 | 21.3 | 110 | $85:$ | 246 | 1.4.38 | 78 | 1,5,87 | 4 | 274 | 9.855 |
| Ausust | Chird | 8.0 | 380 | 110 | 7.35 | 6.4 | 816 | T81 |  | 46 | 1511 | 11.10.3 |
|  | [Vitst | 1. 381 | 76.1 | 3.15 | 516 | 4 | 55.4 | $\therefore 5$ | 6.10 | 58 | 118 | -1,175 |
|  | cicond | 8.31 | $0 \%$ | 750 | 305 | 3.3 | 447 | 127 | 311 | 08 | 83 | 4.468 |
| September | Chird | 370 | 620 | 3016 | . 313 | 5 | 106, | 100 | 3.3! 3 | 80 | 179 | 1.734 |
|  | First | 216 | 916 | 208 | 24' | 818 | 8.3 | 127 | 75. | 70 | $8 .$. | 1.693 |
|  | Sernd | 378 | 1.06. | 201 | 60.4 | 270 | 174 | 0.08 | $\underline{209}$ | 61 | 88 | 7.34 |
| October | Chir! | i8\% | 3.30, 3 | 17! | 1.040 | $5 ?$ | 10 | 1.474 | 品》! | $\therefore$ | . 57 | 60.1 |
|  | First | 176 | 5.171 | 34 | 859 | 475 | 24 | 1, 345 | 6.16.5 | 2 | 79 | $1+1$ |
|  | Sicond | 204 | 6,6.34 | $3{ }^{19}$ | 430 | 377 | 447 | 36\% | 3.248 | - | 59 | 190 |
| November | rhird | 9 | 5.910 | 1.3 | 347 | 406 | 407 | 1.009 | 2.061 | - | 05 | 242 |
|  | birst | 20 | 1.702 | 5.3 | 98i | ?4; | 1.6.38 | 1.405 | 6.31 | -- | 100 | 11.3 |
|  | hecond | - | 774 | 55 | 340 | 1.3 | $1.6) 8$ | 1,346 | 138 | -. | 142 | 13.3 |
| December | 1'lird | $\bar{\square}$ |  | 21 | (5) | 2K | 857 | 1272 | 1.31 |  | 30 | 156 |
|  | First | 498 | 208 | 25 | 293 | $\because$ | 300 | $12+1$ | 16.4 | --- | 19 | 710 |
|  | Second | 260 | 80 | 12 | 51 | 16 | 85 | 6.54 | 55 |  | . | 374 |
|  | Thirt | 61 | $2 \times 8$ | 1 | 23 | H1 | 48 | 5\% | 2 | -- | - | 194 |
| Totial |  | 12,047 | 4.5.088, | 3,884 | 14.150, | 5,571 | 18,6\%.3 | 22,9.30 | 37,024 | 820 | 4.275 | 53.347 |


| いっだL | 12601 | ごちを | くとら゙9S | 02I＇I | 506． 1 | 802 \％ | L96 \％ | $18{ }^{\prime} 7$ | ごけで | カャでる |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181 <br> 871 | 1 | 01 | 208 | 二 | － | $\bar{\iota}$ | $\stackrel{\text { l }}{+7}$ | －－． | SS | $\leq$ | paty |  |
| 871 | 0 |  | 56\％ | $\overline{\mathrm{s} 2}$ | $\underline{1}$ | 8 | tz |  | 行 | ＋1 | puoses |  |
| サll | O1 | ${ }_{5}^{06}$ | ¢9\％ | $\underline{\varepsilon}$ | 8 | 8 | こと | $\cdots$ | 220 200 | 51 | p1314． | inquiasocl |
| $t$ | 11 | －－－ | ¢80 ${ }^{\circ}$ | ＇ | ＋ | 1 | t1 | －－ | のぐ5 | ¢！1 | puoses |  |
| 9 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 121 | 1915 | $\underline{\square}$ | ＋ | $\bar{c}$ | ¢ 2 | S | 8 58 | けナを | 7ssid | S¢Mmonos |
| $\varepsilon$ | $1 \varepsilon$ | （9） | $\pm 900^{\circ} 9$ | $i$ | $i$ | ¢̧ 1 | $1+$ | 8 | 805 | だと | ［1！4I |  |
| $\stackrel{c}{4}$ | － | ¢90 | 809 ＇s | $\tau$ | 6 | に！ | to | C） | ¢ +9 | － | puoses |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | ¢ | \％ 1 | 88：1 | $i$ | $\xi$ | GIt | \％os | 801 | 195 | － | 151！ 1 | 109070 |
| til | Siz | 911 | たどく | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | く！を | 028！ | 691 | Ot！ | － | ［1ulil |  |
| Lso | 808 | 59 | $1+8$ | 11 | 91 | －t | $\underset{\sim}{7} 8$ | 0 O | Stril | Ot | puoses |  |
| 0.8 | 0 O\％ | 018 | 「ts | $t$ | $\leq 1$ | $\stackrel{108}{ }$ | yot | as | 560： | ti | 7SI！${ }^{\text {P }}$ | doquentiss |
| ¢8\％ | bici | 605 | 908 | 5 | 5 | T\％ | \＄1\％ | ti | ¢tit | $1{ }^{1}$ | p1！ 4 |  |
| tol | OSul | wos | tow 1 | $\cdots$ | t | ！ | リt | 981 | Lex＇t | to | puoses |  |
| $\underline{195}$ | 1！0 | どに | 9085 | 1 | ぞ1 | $t 2$ | UF | CSI | 906 | （1） | Jinit | 7stusiny |
| Ost | 娅 | 905 | 980＇${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $8+1$ | 120 | ＋1 | ： 1 | HEs | $9+1$ | （リ）1 | H1！4i |  |
| 811 | 9！ | \＆ 1 | 8i0＇ | fli | －9t | 61 | $\because$ | （0）1 | $9{ }^{\circ}$ | it | puoses |  |
| 9s： | 808 | 91 | 0．9！ | all | 61可 | 98 | $\because 1$ | 08 | 9 | 115 | psilei | $\therefore$ ill |
| 080 | 589 | 9 | tot ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \＆1 | 69 | 04 | yod | Yi\％ | 91 | 5゙1 | ए14L |  |
| －98 | 9 |  | Stry | \％ |  | 72 | 018 | 901 | $t+1$ | 1t | puosos |  |
| צi＂ |  | $\cdots$ | 1sic | 1 | al | 551 | 8 F | 0） | 9）1 | （\％） | 23019 | sun！ |
| 281 | （1）${ }^{18}$ | $\underline{1}$ | \＆01\％ |  | it | 011 | － | 251 | 09！ | tt | P） 14.1 |  |
| ¢ol | Stt | 1 | 1tL＇ | に | to | 011 | 6， 1 | 92 | て！！！ | 1．1 | puoses |  |
| 9： | 102 | $\varepsilon 1$ | ¢らら゙1 | 811 | İ | ＋1／ | 818 | 69 | ¢8゙「 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 7515 | S14 |
| yb | 内リ\％ | ） | 8ill | 411 | c．11 | 801 | tic | 0s | ototy |  | 14］15 |  |
| it | 0si | 1 | 6．02 | （0）1 | 9リ1 | OS | cot | 80 | 6．8！＇ | 15 | 1mose |  |
|  | ¢ |  | ¢ソト | $\pm$ | 4．81 | 6） | cot |  | 0 t \％ |  | 7＊1！ 1 | ｜！1！${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $\cdots$ | $\therefore$ | St | 168 | － | リ5 | －15 | ＋ti |  | E\％ |  | 1）214 |  |
|  | ＂ | $0{ }^{-1}$ | 0xs |  | i | ti | ご | $\because 1$ | 5 | 9 | punos |  |
| $\cdots$ | 16 |  | 0ど |  | 3 | 8 | 351 | ＇ | $\therefore 1$ | 11 | 2515 | ฯコロ心 |
| ：${ }^{\prime}$ | 1 | 2 | 9 |  | F | OS | \％ | $t$ | 81 |  | ग川HiL | N |
| t1i | ： |  | 3 Si |  | $t$ | 15 | 91 | － | $0{ }^{1}$ |  | proses |  |
| stig |  |  | sas | － |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | 1：1！ | Latumpril |
| \％8 |  |  | ＋9t | $\cdots$ | －－ |  |  |  | ＂11 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 0： |  |  | 895 95 |  |  | に | $1{ }^{1}$ |  | 08 $S^{\prime \prime}$ | 10 | puosis | ：mumer |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\text { ! } 11: 1$ |
| $\underset{t}{2}$ | $\underset{t i}{50 t i l}$ | ${ }^{9} 58$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { E8E0）} \\ 000\end{gathered}\right.$ | 9） 14 | $\mathrm{Sos}_{8} \mathrm{t}$ \％ | 118 | $\begin{gathered} 006 \\ =1 \end{gathered}$ | $9 t$ |  | 181 | Surie |  |
| いい | 4100 wing | $\therefore \therefore$ ¢ | －304 |  |  | $=11031$ |  | subitio | （31） | －［1／3） |  |  |

TABLE 14 (concluded)

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar- } \\ & \text { ket } \\ & \text { tomi- } \\ & \text { tocs } \end{aligned}$ | Wheat | Manle sugat | All other crops | Total hours for alf crops | Manure habling | Lime | $\underset{\substack{\text { Alse }}}{ }$ | Grand totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms: Acres |  | $17^{7} .3$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 1.060 .57 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | - | - | 135 | 54 | --- |  |
| Month | Ten-days period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | First | - | 74 | - | 179 | 2.103 | 1.038 | 50 | 1.619 | 19.705 |
|  | Second | - | 38 | - | 179 | 2.446 | 951 | 33 | 1.599 | 19,016 |
|  | Third |  | 26 | - | 172 | 2.415 | 1.191 | 78 | 2.469 | 22.594 |
| February | First | - | - | 41 | 108 | 2.065 | 1.022 | 45 | 2.265 | 20.293 |
|  | Second | - | 66 | - | $16 \%$ | 2.177 | 1.354 | 05 | $\underline{2.420}$ | 21.013 |
|  | Third | - | 2 | 64 | 253 | 2.269 | 952 | 100 | 2.024 | 18.076 |
| March | First | - | 68 | 146 | 381 | 2.282 | 1.42.3 | 60 | 3.382 | 22,824 |
|  | Srcond | 14 | 13 | 328 | 680 | 3.577 | 1.185 | 14 | 3.710 | 28.927 |
|  | Third | 7 | 56 | 580 | 1.055 | 5.312 | 1.707 | 90) | 3.328 | 27.835 |
| April | First | 9 | 112 | 672 | 1,522 | 6,588 | 1.289 | 120 | 3.017 | 28.071 |
|  | Sreond | 46 | 34 | 658 | 2.377 | 11.311 | 1,306 | 199 | 2.362 | 32,107 |
|  | Third | 64 | 22 | 149 | 2.536 | 12.919 | 1.408 | 218 | 1.939 | 33.459 |
| May | First | 57 | 57 | - | 2.568 | 12,91? | 1.253 | 191 | 2.002 | 33,271 |
|  | Second | 44 | 40 | - | 2.227 | 13,959 | 900 | 178 | 1.747 | 3,3,286 |
|  | Third | 118 | 65 | - | 2,517 | 14.597 | 763 | 15 | 1.550 | 34.287 |
| June | First | 249 | 64 | - | 2.411 | 14,570 | 789 | 37 | 1.541 | 32.506 |
|  | Srennd | 102 | 34 | - | 1.453 | 13.956 | 568 | 28 | 1.520 | 31.574 34.386 |
|  | Third | 100 | 116 | 25 | 1.614 | 18.852 | 23.3 | 10 | 1.471 | 34.386 3.485 |
| July | First | 37 25 | 65 | 36 2 | ?,054 | 18.693 27.232 | 316 | 12 | 1.373 1.458 | 3.485 36.376 |
|  | Third | 33 | 4.939 | - | 2.160 | 27.914 | 214 | - | 1,145 | 42,165 |
| August | First | 56 | 3.410 | - | 1.755 | 22.259 | 478 | - | 1.26 .4 | 36,396 |
|  | Sceond | 132 | 2,115 | - | 1.624 | 19.857 | 651 | 10 | 1.054 | 34.162 |
|  | Third | 270 | 2,321 | - | 1.816 | 16.013 | 1,153 | 90 | 1.770 | 34,300 |
| Septernber | First | 567 | 2.743 | - | 1.517 | 16,598 | 876 | 32 | 1.585 | 3,3,805 |
|  | Srcond | 738 | 2.857 | - | 2.510 | 20.477 | 581 | 187 | 1,145 | 35.954 |
|  | Third | 672 | 2.470 | - | 2.094 | 21,944 | 332 | 94 | 1.021 | 36,256 |
| October | First | 294 | 1.023 | 1 | 2.374 | 21.512 | 420 | 73 | 1.149 | 36.026 |
|  | Second | 22 | 798 | - | 3.757 | 21.841 | $35 ?$ | 20 | 927 | 35.941 |
|  | Third | 6 | 392 | $\cdots$ | 3.617 | 22.815 | 716 | 46 | 1.141 | $38.7 \pm 8$ |
| November | First | 1 | 286 | 19 | 2,838 | 16,675 | 1.024 | 24 | 1.194 | 33.188 |
|  | Scound | - | 81 | - | 1.724 | 0,2.22 | 886 | 43 | 1.38 .3 | 26,902 |
|  | Third | - | 216 | 20 | 1,614 | 5.950 | 1.387 | 127 | 1.305 | $2+228$ |
| Uecember | First | - | 82 | 29 | 1.050 | 5.959 | 1,154 | 5 | 1.509 | 24.285 |
|  | Sccond | - | 61 | - | 241 | 2.327 | 1936 | - | 1.835 | 20.805 |
|  | Third | - | 76 | - | 106 | 2,097 | 1,257 | - | 1.634 | 21,602 |
| Tota! |  | 3,66, 3 | 25.407 | 2.770 | 57.58 .3 | 438.604 | 32,355 | 2,335 | 62.857 | 1,071.7.34 |

## WEEK-DAY AND SUNDAY LABOR

The distribution of week-day, Sunday, and total labor by months for 1917 is shown in tables 15 to 17 . On dairy farms the Sunday labor on the dairy enterprise is almost as much as the week-day labor. This makes the Sunday labor very high on farms that keep cows. An average of 24 minutes per cow was expended on the dairy enterprise on Sunday, and an average of 26 minutes on week days. On farms having more than six cows, the average number of hours of Sunday labor per worker was 5 . On farms having less than six cows, the Sunday labor averaged less than 3 hours, of which nearly onc-third was on the dairy enterprise. On all farms, 8.5 per cent of the Sundav labor was on livestock. Judged by the standards of industry, the Sunday and holiday labor on cows should be paid for at higher rates. But it seems probable in agriculture that the total reward for a year's work is no greater in those types of farming that require continuous labor than in those that allow some time off.

On farms having six or more cows, the distribution of labor was much more uniform it the different months than on farms having less than six cows. The farms having less than six cows required more help in summer, and probably worked longer hours in summer and shorter hours in winter, than the dairy farms. The total hours per year per person were slightly higher on farms having six or more cows.

TABLE 15. Hours of Labor, Week Diys and Slediys
(Averages for 30) farms in 1917. Average number of menths of labor per farm, 3+27, equivalent to 2.86 persons)

| Month | Sunday labor for dairy enterprises | Sunday <br> labor for other live. stock | Other Sunday labor | Wes:- <br> day <br> lator for dairy enterprises | Weekday lab:r for other livestock | Other weekday labor | Total weekday labor | Total Sunday labor | Total labor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 31 | 11 | 3 | 211 | 81 | 169 | 461 | 45 | 506 |
| February | 32 | 12 | 4 | 189 | 77 | 172 | 4.38 | 48 | 486 |
| March | 31 | 12 | 5 | 214 | 93 | 269 | 576 | 48 | 624 |
| April | 39 | 18 | 7 | 199 | 93 | 412 | 709 | 64 | 77.3 |
| May | 28 | 14 | 7 | 192 | 101 | 493 | 78.5 | 49 | 835 |
| June | 23 | 12 | 10 | 146 | 87 | 466 | 699 | 45 | 744 |
| July | 28 | 15 | 7 | 145 | 7.5 | 589 | 809 | 50 | 859 |
| August | 21 | 10 | 7 | 144 | 71 | 596 | 811 | 38 | 849 |
| September | 27 | 13 | 11 | 139 | 62 | 566 | 767 | 51 | 818 |
| October | 2.5 | 10 | 11 | 170 | 71 | 516 | 757 | 46 | 803 |
| November | 29 | 11 | 10 | 186 | 74 | 384 | 644 | 50 | 694 |
| December | 37 | 14 | 4 | 208 | 76 | 182 | 466 | 55 | 521 |
| Total | 351 | 1.52 | 86 | 2,143 | 966 | 4,814 | 7,923 | 589 | 8,512 |
| Average hours per year per person | 12.3 | 53 | 30 | 749 | 338 | 1,683 | 2.770 | 206 | 2,976 |

Talkle 16. Hours of Labor, Week Days and Sundays
(Average per farm, 20 farms in 1917 having six or more cows. Average number of cows, 22.1. Average number of months of labor, 33.07, equivalent to 2.76 persons)

| Month | Sunday <br> labor for dairy enterprises | Sunday labor for other livestock | Other Sunday labor | Weekday labor for dairy enterprises | Weekday labor for other livestock | Other weekday labor | Total weekday labor | Total Sunday labor | Total tahor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 40 | 10 | 4 | 289 | 7.5 | 168 | 5.32 | 51 | 586 |
| February | 41 | 11 | 6 | 262 | 72 | 126 | 460 | 57 | 517 |
| March | 41 | 10 | 6 | 294 | 84 | 170 | 548 | 57 | 605 |
| April | 52 | 17 | 7 | 27.3 | 92 | 299 | 664 | 76 | 740 |
| May | . 38 | 12 | 7 | 26.5 | 100 | 381 | 746 | 57 | 803 |
| June | 30 | 11 | 12 | 20.3 | 87 | 370 | 660 | 53 | 71.3 |
| July | 38 | 13 | 6 | 201 | 77 | 479 | 757 | 57 | 814 |
| August | 28 | 9 | 7 | 198 | 72 | 470 | 740 | 44 | 784 |
| September | 37 | 12 | 10 | 191 | 62 | 387 | 640 | 59 | 699 |
| October | 3.3 | 9 | 1.3 | 2.36 | 67 | 388 | 691 | 55 | 746 |
| November | 37 | 9 | 13 | 256 | 69 | 318 | 643 | 58 | 701 |
| December | 51 | 12 | 6 | 288 | 76 | 153 | 517 | 69 | 586 |
| Total | 46.5 | 135 | 96 | 2.956 | 933 | 3,709 | 7,598 | 696 | 8,294 |
| A verage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hours per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| per per- | 168 | 49 | 3.5 | 1,071 | 338 | 1,344 | 2,7.53 | 252 | 3,005 |

Table 17. Hours of Labor, Week Days and Sundays
(Average per farm, 10 farms having less than six cows. Average number of months of labor per farm, 36.69 , equivalent to 3.06 persons.)


## DISTRIBUTION OF LABOR BY ENTERPRISES

Over one-fourth of the total labor on the farms studied was spent in care of cattle. This is probably about the average for the State, as the ratio of cattle to crop acres is about the average for the State. Corn, potators, and hay required 16 per cent of the total labor. Results for other enterprises are shown in table 18:

TABLE 18. Distribetion of Labor
(Four-vears averages, 1914 to 1917, from tahle $1+$ )

| Enterprise | llours of balor | Hours per acre or per animal unit | Per cen of total libor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate | 83,608 | 一- - | 7.8 |
| Eyuipment | 15,419 | -- -- | 1.4 |
| ! ivestock: |  |  |  |
| Horses | 88,962 | 125.0 | 8.3 |
| Cattle. | 286,040 | 127.6 | 26.7 |
| Hogs. | 14,666 | $21+7$ | 1.4 |
| Poultry* | $4.3,483$ | 204.5 | 4.1 |
| Sheep. . | 3,22+ | 30.3 | 0.3 |
| Bres. lotal livestock | 31 $4.30,40$ | --- -- | , |
| Crops: |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 12,047 | 28.5 | 11 |
| Apples. | 45,988 | 129.3 | 4.3 |
| Barley | 3,88.4 | 23.8 | 1). 1 |
| Beans. | 14,1.50 | 36.8 | 1.3 |
| Buckwheat | 5,571 | 21.4 | 0.5 |
| Cabhage. | 18,673 | 90.6 | 1.7 |
| Corn for grain | 21,930 | 65.5 | 2.1 |
| Corn for silage. | 37,024 | 36.9 | 3.5 |
| Cucumbers... | 820 | 341.7 | 0.1 |
| Garden | 4,275 | 109.2 | (1) 4 |
| Hay. . | 53,3+7 | 11.2 | 5.0 |
| Nangels | 2,244 | 148.6 | 0.2 |
| Oats. . | 32,472 | 22.4 | 3.0 |
| Onions | 2,281 | 495.9 | 0.2 |
| Peaches | 8,967 | 89.8 | 0.8 |
| Pears. | 3,708 | 97.3 | 0.3 |
| Pras for market | 1,994 | 26.6 | 0. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Camning-factory peas | 1,170 | 28.1 | 0.1 |
| Potatoes. . . . . . . . . . | 56,332 | 90.6 | 5.3 |
| Rye. | 3,472 | 18.7 | 1.3 |
| Swect corn | 10,231 | 89.7 | 1.0 |
| Tolaceo. | 7,491 | 30.3 .3 | 0.7 |
| Aarliet tomatoes | 3,663 | 211.7 | 0.3 |
| IVheat. | 25,407 | 2.3.8 | 2.4 |
| Maple sirupt. | 2,770 | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| All other erops. | 57,583 | 2. | 5.4 |
| Total crops | 438,694 |  |  |
| Mantur bauling. |  | -- | $3.1)$ |
| Lime. | 2,335 | - | ${ }_{5} .2$ |
| All else | 62,857 | - | 5.9 |
| Total | 1,071,734 |  | 100.0 |

[^8]
## MISTRIBCTION OF DIRECT AND INDIRECT I.ABOR

If a coal mine burns some of its own coal in running machinery, the total hours of work divided by the net product gives the hours required per ton of coal available for sale. Similarly, the net product of a wheat field may be olstained by subtracting the amount required for seed.

If a farm produced but one thing, the total product less the amounts of seed and feed consumed in the production, divided into the hours of labor required to operate the farm, would give the hours per unit of product.

When there is more than one product, the same result may be obtained by first distributing all the labor of the farm to productive enterprises. Much of the labor on a farm is carried under nonproductive headings. The care of work horses and equipment, while kept separate from the direct labor, is a charge to the productive enterprises. Similarly, the lator of upteep of buildings is a charge to the crops and animals. Since on these farms horses are kept as tools, not as an enterprise, the labor of rasing feed is a charge that the productive enterprises must carry. Similarly, the time spent in raising cow feed may be charged to cows.

In cost accounting, the labor required to keep up buildings does not appear in the cow account as labor but appears as charge for the use of buildings. Labor of growing feed does not appear as labor but is charged as feed. Another form of expression, which would determine the total labor of all kinds involved in the keeping of a cow, is desirable.

The hours of labor distributed in various wass are shown in tables 19 and 20. In table 19 , the second column of figures shows the way in which the total labor (over a million hours) was charged in the accounts for four years. In the third column of figures the hours spent in the care of horses and equipment are distributed to the various enterprises in proportion to the hours that horses worked for that enterprise. The fourth column gives the hours spent on manure and lime, distributed as the manure and lime were ch.arged. In the fifth column the labor spent in upkeep of buildings, and other miscellaneous labor, is distributed to the productive conterprises in proportion to the direct human labor on the enterprise.

Since horses are kept as tools, the time spent in raising grain and hay for them is also a labor cost chargeable to the enterprises for which horses work. On these farms, out of each ini. 725 hours of man labor II .725 are required to raise oats and hay to feed the horses. ${ }^{16}$ The time recuired to raise horse feed is distributed to the various enterprises in proportion to the hours that horses worked for those enterprises.

The foregoing method of calculating farm labor involved in production does not include all the farm labor, for clearing land, tile drainage, construction of buildings, and the like, are also farm labor charges that must be charged to crops over a series of years.

The labor charged directly to wheat per acre averaged 23.8 hours (table 20). Wheat's share of the indirect labor amounted to 14.9 hours

[^9]TABLE 19. Distribution of Direct and Indirect Human Labor

| Enterprise | Acres of animal units | Labor hour charged directly to enterprise | Labor hours on equipment and horses. distributed | Labor hours on manure and lime. distributed | Labor hours on real pstate and all else, distributed | Total labor hours | Additional hours required to raise horse feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate. Equipment. |  | 83,668 15.419 | 5.928 | - | -- | $\square$ | 二- |
| Livestock: Horses. | 711.73 | 88,962 | --3. | - | - | - | - |
| Cattle. | 2.241 .11 | 286,040 | 6.931 | 256* | 52.768 | 345.995 | 11,259 |
| Hogs. | 68.31 | 14.666 | 303 |  | 2.698 | 17,667 | 490 |
| Poultry ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 212.67 | 43.483 | 866 | $\cdots{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 7.980 | 52,329 | 1.407 |
| Sheep. | 106.40 | 3,224 | 84 | 12* | 605 | 3,925 | 126 |
| Bees. |  | 3,31 436,406 | - |  | - | 31 | -1. |
| Crops: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 422.85 | 12.047 | 2.119 | 1,295 | 2,780 | 18.241 | 3.443 |
| Apoles. | 355.61 | 45.988 | 3.528 | 1.318 | 9.141 | 59.975 | 5.730 |
| Barley | 16.3.4 | 3.884 | 1.023 | 849 | 1.030 | 6,786 | 1.659 |
| Beans. | 384.65 | 14.150 | 3.142 | 893 | 3,270 | 21,455 | 5,102 |
| Buckwheat | 260.3 | 5,571 | 1.461 | 179 | 1,292 | 8,503 | 2.375 |
| Cabbage. | 206.1 | 18,673 | 2.839 | 1,429 | 4.137 | 27,078 | 4.612 |
| Corn for grain. | 350.00 | 22.930 | 3,340 | 1.429 | 4.987 | 32,686 | 5.416 |
| Corn for silage | 1.004 .1 | 37.024 | 8.611 | 0.164 | 9,320 | 61.119 | 13,986 |
| Cucumbers. | 25.4 | 820 | 21 | 57 | 184 | 1.032 | 38 |
| Garden. | 25.27 | 4.275 | 209 | 536 | 899 | 5.919 | 339 |
| Hay. | 4,770.34 | 53.347 | 9.175 | 13,813 | 13,735 | 90.070 | 14.903 |
| Mangels | . 15.1 | 2.234 | . 188 | . 107 | 458 | 2.997 | 314 |
| Oate. | 1,452.2 | 32.472 | 7,745 | 5.986 | 8,323 | 54,526 | 12,566 |
| Onions. | 4.6 09.9 | 2,281 8,067 | 63 772 | 36 152 | 1.725 | 2,805 | 101 |
| Pears. | 38.11 | 3,708 | 313 | 1.56 | 1.818 | 1.673 4,995 | 1,257 |
| Peas for market | 74.95 | 1.994 | 407 | 406 | 507 | 3,314 | 666 |
| Canning-factory dea | 41.6 | 1,170 | 344 | 165 | 294 | 1.973 | 553 |
| Potatoes. | 623.83 | 56,532 | 6.691 | 2.993 | 11,920 | 78,136 | 10.857 |
| Rye. | 185.6 | 3,472 | 825 | 402 | 850 | 5.549 | 1,332 |
| Sweet corn | 114.05 | 10.231 | 1,117 | 849 | 2.191 | 14,388 | 1.810 |
| Tobacco. . . . . . | 24.7 | 7.491 | 522 | 491 | 1,537 | 10,041 | 842 |
| Market tomatoes. | 17.3 | 3.663 | 136 | 147 | 703 | 4.649 | 214 |
| Wheat . . . . . . . | 1.069 .57 | 25.407 | 6.409 | 3.261 | 6,312 | 41,389 | 10,405 |
| Maple sirup | - | 2,770 | 303 | - | 556 | 3,629 | 478 |
| All other crops. . | $\square$ | $57.583$ | 7,923 | 1.318 | 12,035 | 78.859 | 12.866 |
| Total crops. |  | 438.694 |  |  |  | - | - |
| Manure hauling . | -- | 32,355 | 9,311 | -- | - | -- | --- |
| Lime......... | - | 2,335 63.857 | 9,668 | -. | -- | --- | - |
| All eise. . . Total | -- | 62.857 1.071 .734 | 11,064 104,381 | 44.669 | $1 \longdiv { 1 0 3 . 5 1 7 }$ | 1,071.734 | 25.661 |

*Manure and lime charged to livestock wal uged on pasture.
tIncludes labor on chicks and incubation.

TABLE 20. Distribution of limect and Indirect litoman Labor
(Four-ycars averages, 1914 to 1917, from table 19)

*Includea labor an chicke and incubation.
per acre. This includes wheat's share of the labor in care of horses and equipment, hauling of lime and manure, upkeep of buildings, and other labor, as explained on page 45.

To raise enough oats and hay to feed the horses for the number of hours that they worked for wheat, would require 9.7 hours of man labor.

The average yield of wheat on these farms was 24.45 bushels, or enough to furnish the required 2 bushels of seed and have 22.45 bushels of net product. The total amount of direct and indirect farm labor required to raise a bushel of wheat and raise the wheat's share of the horse feed averaged 2.2 hours.

Alfalfa requires more hours per ton than does other hay. The very high number of hours per ton of silage explains the high cost of this crop. The high labor cost of mangels shows why this excellent feed crop is not grown. Root crops are used in countries where labor is cheap.

## ESTIMATED LABOR REQUIREMENTS ON AVERAGE NEW YORK FARMS

The yield of wheat per acre on the cost-account farms was 2.I bushels above the average for the State. In addition to obtaining better yields, the cost-account farms handled about 18 per cent more crops and animals per man (page 8). These differences are due to size of farm, other natural conditions, and ability in management. The average amount of time required to raise a bushel of wheat in this State, therefore, appears to be 2.8 hours (table 2I). This includes direct and indirect labor, and time required to raise the horse feed and to raise the seed wheat for the next year.

TABLE 21. Estimated Average Hours of Direct and Indirect Labor for Average New York Farms, 1914 to $1911^{*}$

| Enterprise | Estimated hours per acre or per animal unit | Average yield for State | Estimated hours per bushel or per ton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle unit | 188.1 |  |  |
| Hogs | 313.6 |  |  |
| Poultry | 298.2 |  |  |
| Sheep. | 45.0 |  |  |
| Barley | 61.0 | 27.8 bu. | 2.4 |
| Beans. | 81.5 | 9.8 hu. | 9.1 |
| Buckwheat | 49.3 | 18.0 bu. | 2.9 |
| Cabbage | 181.5 | 7.4 tons | 24.5 |
| Corn for grain | 128.5 | 35.5 bu. | 3.6 |
| Corn for silage | 88.3 | 8.3 tons | 10.6 |
| Hay. | 26.0 | 1.4 tons | 18.6 |
| Oats. | 54.5 | 33.3 lou. | 1.8 |
| Potatoes. | 108.4 | 93.0 bu . | 2.1 |
| Rye. | 43.8 | 18.4 bu. | 2.6 |
| Wheat | 57.1 | 22.4 bu. | 2.8 |

*These estimates are based on 18 per cent more labor per acre and per animal unit than on the cost account farms, and on the same seed requirements. State vields are as reported by the Bureau of Crop Estimates of the United States Department of Agriculture.

A check on the accuracy of the estimates for the State was made by using the hours per acre and per animal unit for the total acres of crops and animals in the State. This gave an average of 4911 hours of labor
per farm, or about full work for the number of persons engaged in agriculture, that is, 1.6 workers per farm. ${ }^{17}$

## LABOR IN MILK PRODCCTION INCLUDING THE RAISING of silage and hay

The labor in milk production is shown in table 22. For each roo pounds of milk produced above the milk fed to cattle, 5.7 hours of labor were expended for cattle. This includes direct and indirect labor, and the labor required to raise hay and silage for the cattle.

Of course there are many other costs of milk production, such as grain feed, insurance, taxes, veterinary service, and the like. With the greater production of herds with six or more cows, the labor per 100 pounds of milk is about 5 hours.

TABLE 22. Direct and Indirect Labor in Milk Prodection, 1914 to 1917

| Number of cattle units | 2,241.11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of cows | 1,707.18 |
| Total milk above milk fed to cattle* (pounds) | 8,727,096 |
| Milk above milk fed, per cattle unit (pounds) | 3894 |
| Milk above milk fed, per cow (pounds) . . . . . | 5112 |
| $\ddagger$ Iours of direct labor per cattle unit (table 20 ) | 127.6 |
| Hours of indirect labor per cattle unit (table 20) | 26.8 |
| Cattle's share of labor hours to raise horse feed (table 20) | 5.0 |
| Labor to raise silage and hay for cattlet (hours) | 63.6 |
| Total hours . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 223.0 |
| Labor per 100 pounds of milk (hours) | 5.7 |

*The four-years total for farms with six or more cows was 69 farms having 1316.9 cows. They produced $7.82 t .256$ pounds of milk above the amount fed to cattle, or 5939 pounds per cow. On 57 farms having less than six cows, there were 390.28 cows. On +1 of these farms the production per cow above milk fed to cattle was 2321 ponnds per cow. Assuming the same production per cow for the other farms gives a total of 905,840 pounds. The average production for all farms above milk fed to cattle was therefore 5112 pounds per cow or 3894 pounds per cattle unit.
the hay per cow averaged 3459 pounds and the labor to raise it 25 hours. The silage per cow averaged 6220 pounds and labor to raise it 38.6 hours. A cattle unit is a cow or equivalent in bulls or young stock.

The average hours of labor per person per year was 3036 (page 32). This is enough to cover the direct and the indirect labor for 13.6 cattle units, or 10.4 cows and 3.2 other cattle units. It means that on these farms, two men did work equivalent to raising the hay and oats for horses and silage and hay for cattle, and other work for 21 cows, 1 bull, and about 10 head of young stock. This would allow a replacement of nearly one-fourth of the cows each year. However, the farms that keep twenty cows (table 16) employ about one person for each eight cows. They then produce other things besides cattle products for sale.

The milk production for the State is lower per cow and the labor required is higher: hence, average figures for the State are considerably higher than the hours here shown.

The greater efficiency on these farms places the average of all about in the class with the State's average for farms with six or more cows; that is, a little less than 6 hours per ioo pounds of milk, or about double the direct labor on cows. It is commonly stated that 3 hours of labor are

[^10]required to produce 100 pounds of milk. This refers to the direct labor only. It does not include raising crops, care of buildings, pasture and the like.

Results for 149 farms in Broome County having six or more cows showed a use of $\mathbf{r} .976$ tons of dry forage and $r .945$ tons of silage per cattle unit, with a milk production of 4046 pounds per cattle unit above milk fed to cattle. The direct labor per cattle unit averaged 142.7 hours. Indirect labor at the same percentage as on cost-account farms would amount to 35.7 hours per cattle unit. From table 21, the time to raise the silage would amount to 20.6 hours and to raise the hay 36.8 hours, or a total of 235.8 hours per cattle unit, or 5.8 hours per 100 pounds of milk produced above that fed to livestock. This includes all labor directly on cattle, cattle's share of labor for horses, for raising hay and oats for horses, and for building upkeep, and labor required to raise hay and silage for cattle.

At the rates shown above, 20 cows, I bull, and to head of young stock, on the average, would require as much labor as two men could perform. Usually, fewer cows are kept per man and other things are produced.

In Broome County, the dairy herds ate 29.7 pounds of grain for each Loo pounds of milk produced above the milk fed to cattle. ${ }^{88}$ Some veal and beef also is produced, and many other expenses are involved, such as interest on investment, taxes, insurance, veterinary service, and repairs for buildings, fences, and equipment. The yearly average cost of producing milk may be very roughly approximated by adding the value of 5.8 hours of labor to the cost of 29.7 pounds of purchased grain. ${ }^{19}$ On this basis of estimating, manure used in the production of pasture, hay, silage, and the like, is omitted. If included as a return from cows, it would have to be added in as a cost for this feed.

## HORSE LABOR

Work horses were kept separate from other horses. Very few others were kept. Horse labor is charged to all enterprises at the same rate per hour. The horses work so few hours in winter that the actual cost per hour is then high; but, since there is so little to do, the time of horses is worth less per hour. An enterprise that uses horse labor at odd times is charged at the average rate, but, when considering the profits from it, this must be taken into consideration. Similarly, enterprises that require labor at the time when horses are very busy should be considered less profitable than they appear.

Figures showing the average cost of keeping a horse for the years 1914 to 1919 are given in table 23.

The number of work horses per farm in 1919 varied from 2 to 7.25 . Eleven farms had less than 4 work horses, nine had from + to 4.9 . ten had from 5 to 5.9, and eight had 6 or more.

The average weight of horses on each farm was estimated for 1919. The weights varied from 929 to 1400 pounds. On thirteen farms the horses averaged less than 1200 pounds, o. ten farms they averaged from 1200 to $\mathbf{I} 299$ pounds, and on fifteen farms they averaged 1300 pounds or more.

[^11]table 23. Average Cost of Keeping a Horse, 1914 to 1919

|  | 1914 <br> (18 farms) |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (46 \mathrm{farms}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ \text { ( } 31 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ \text { (31 farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ \text { ( } 32 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1919 \\ \text { (38 farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| Number of horses. . . . . . | 91.5 |  | 225.5 4.9 |  | 165.5 5.3 |  | 158 5.1 |  | 144.5 4.5 |  | 175.9 4.6 |  |
| Average value per head.. |  | \$155.58 |  | \$154.49 |  | \$155.20 |  | \$147.97 |  | \$152.21 |  | \$146.04 |
| Grain fed per horse (pounds). | 3,357 | 49.11 | 3,074 | 47.47 | 3.210 | 51.48 | 2.736 | 64.13 | 3.295 | 87.49 | 2.804 |  |
| Roughage fed per horse (pounds) | 7,376 | 44.57 | 6,094 | 38.80 | 7.289 | 40.54 | 7,755 | 50.13 | 7.499 | 68.04 | 6.760 |  |
| Other feed costs per horse...... |  | 3.88 |  | 5.61 |  | 3.87 |  | 3.47 |  | 5.63 |  |  |
| Bedding per horse (pounds).... | 2.447 | 6.60 | 1.573 | 4.84 | 1.805 | 5.60 | 1.678 | 5.36 | 1,609 | 5.74 |  |  |
| Veterimary charges per horse.... |  | 0.62 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.63 |  |  |
| Shoeing per horse. |  | 4.99 |  | 4.66 |  | 4.51 |  | 4.68 |  | 5.56 |  | 5.74 |
| Fire insurance per horse |  | 0.17 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.23 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.25 |  |  |
| Interest per horse... |  | 7.78 |  | 7.72 |  | 7.76 |  | 7.40 |  | 9.13 |  |  |
| Depreciation per horse. |  | 12.81 |  | 15.97 |  | 14.51 |  | 18.33 |  | 17.42 |  | 18.35 |
| Use of buildings per horse. |  | 3.74 |  | 33.81 |  | 3.78 |  | 3.61 |  | 4.49 |  |  |
| Man labor per horse (hours) | 144 | 36.05 | 143 | 37.07 | 116 | 35.11 | 116 | 41.46 | 124 | 48.91 | 122 | 52.24 |
| All else ther horse. . . . . . . . . . |  | 1.35 |  | 1.50 |  | 1.60 |  | 2.45 |  | 2.16 |  |  |
| Total costs. |  | 171.67 |  | 168.54 |  | 170.04 |  | 202.61 |  | 255.45 |  | 237.60 |
| Manure per horse (tons) | 7.5 | 11.36 | 9.5 | 11.17 | 10.5 | 13.04 | 10.6 | 16.28 | 10.8 | 17.95 |  |  |
| Value of colts per norse*. . |  | 1.37 |  | 1.97 |  | 2.62 |  | 1. 52 |  | 1.27 |  |  |
| Net cost of keeping a horse. . . . . |  | 158.94 |  | 155.40 |  | 154.38 |  | 184.81 |  | 236.23 |  |  |
| Hours worked per horse per year. Costs per hour of horse labort. | 1,040 | 0.1580 | 1,016 | 0.1548 | 933 | 0.1666 | 922 | 0.1970 | 1.041 | 0.2259 |  | 0.2434 |

${ }^{*}$ On 18 farms in 1914 , four colts were born that lived. On 46 farms in 1915 , ten colts were born. On 31 farms in 1916 , twelve were born. On the same number of farms in 1917, seven were born. On 32 farms in 1918, six were born. On 38 farms in 1919, three were born.
tThe rates of labor per hour are the rates as found after a part of the labor had been distributed at an estimated rate (page 23 ), and therefore are not eractly the total cost divided by the total bours. This appliea to all tables for horse labor

The average value of horses per head in 1919 varied from $\$ 09$ to $\$ 239$ on the different farms. On eight farms the average value per horse was less than $\$ \mathbf{2 5}$, on nine farms it was from $\$ 125$ to $\$ 149$, on fifteen farms it was from $\$ 150$ to $\$ 174$, and on six farms it was over $\$ 175$.

Grain represents about one-third, hay one-fourth, labor one-fifth, and all other costs about one-fifth, of the cost of keeping a horse. With rising prices there has been a tendency to economize on hay, grain, labor, and other costs in the care of horses.

In i919 the human labor in taking care of a horse varied from 43 to 366 hours. On fourteen farms, less than 100 hours were spent in this work; the average was 76 hours. On sixteen farms from 10010145 hours were spent, averaging iI 8 hours. On eight farms over ifo hours were spent, averaging 2 II hours.

Shoeing cost from \$I to \$II.7I per horse on different farms in 1919. On fifteen farms it was less than $\$_{4}$, on thirteen farms it was from $\$_{4}$ to $\$ 8$, and on ten farms it was over $\$ 8$.

Depreciation varied from $\$ 82.86$ per horse to an appreciation of $\$ 28.57$ per horse. Buying and selling horses, as well as increases in value of young horses and decrease in value of old horses, are involved in this calculation. On seven farms there was an appreciation on horses. On six farms the depreciation was less than $\$$ ro per horse; on twelve farms it was from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 20$; and on thirteen farms it was over $\$ 20$.

The amount of grain fed per horse in 1919 varied from 699 pounds to 6185 pounds on the different farms. Ten farms fed less than i ton, fifteen fed from i to 1.5 tons, and thirteen fed over I. 5 tons. Dry forage varied from 3125 to 13,500 pounds. On ten farms less than 2.5 tons were fed per horse; on thirteen farms from 2.5 to 3.5 tons were fed; and on fifteen farms over 3.5 tons were fed. Low grain feeding usually was accompanied by the feeding of more dry forage, more use of pasture, and less hours of work per day. In some cases a considerable part of the dry forage was used for bedding after the horses had picked it over.

In 1918 oats made up 75 per cent of the amount of grain fed, corn 8 per cent, and wheat bran, feed, and middlings 7 per cent. The kinds of grain fed are shown in table 24:

Tabjef 24. Kinds of Grain Fed to $14+5$ Horses, 32 Farms, 1918

| Kind of grain | Number of farms feeding | Pounds | Per cent of total | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barley | 6 | 10,559 | 2.22 | \$ 270.71 |
| Buckwheat | 1 | 528 | 0.11 | 11.00 |
| Corn. | 17 | 35,740 | 7.51 | 950.73 |
| Corn and oats | 4 | 5,383 | 1.13 | 179.15 |
| Oats. | 32 | 355,667 | 74.71 | 9,456.62 |
| Hominy | 7 | 16,727 | 3.51 | 462.14 |
| Oilmeal. | 9 | 1,480 | 0.31 | 4.60 |
| Wheat bran | 13 | 6,576 | 1.38 | 139.81 |
| Wheat feed | 5 | 17,350 | 3.64 | 429.90 |
| Wheat middlings | 5 | 7,813 | 1.64 | 172.46 |
| All else. | - | 18,293 | 3.84 | 525.65 |
| Total Average per horse. | 32 | $\begin{array}{r} 476,116 \\ 3,295 \end{array}$ | 100.00 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,642.77 \\ \$ 87.49 \end{array}$ |

The roughage used (table 25) was mosily mixed timothy and clover hay.

TabI.E 25. Kinds of Feed Fed to 144.5 Horsfa. 32 Furlic, 1918

| Kind of feed | Number of farms using | Pounds | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa | $t$ | 48,682 | S 381.07 |
| Timothy hay | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 18,163 | 182.45 |
| Clover hay - . | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 38,500 | 326.25 |
| Mixed hav- | .3] | 968,593 | 8,889.01 |
| Millet hay | 1 | 667 | 5.00 |
| Rye hay | 1 | 9,000 | 48.00 |
| Barley straw | 3 | 7,060 | 23.62 |
| Oat straw. | 1.3 | 52,600 | 187.03 |
| Wheat straw | 4 | 24,100 | 92.50 |
| Corn stall's. | 4 | .38,567 | 151.50 |
| Corn silage | 2 | 7,500 | 26.50 |
| Potatoes. | 3 | 3,210 | $2+.00$ |
| Carrots | 7 | 15,61.3 | 111.95 |
| Cabbage | 1 | $\underline{2,000}$ | 5.00 |
| Skimmilk | 1 | 2,400 | 12.00 |
| Pasture | 1.3 |  | 117.75 |
| All else. . . . . . . . |  |  | 62.31 |
| Grain (from talile 24) |  |  | 12,642.77 |
| Total feed |  |  | \$23,288.71 |
| Average per horse |  |  | S161 17 |

In the earlier years, the results agreed with the popular statement that the cost of keeping a horse for a year is about equal to the value of a horse. In later years, costs have risen but horses have not increased in value. The cost of keeping a horse for a vear has been somewhere near the cost of raising a horse, but much above the selling price.

In 10IO the number of hours worked per horse varied from 506 to 1280. On eleven farms the average was less than 750 hours; on fifteen farms it was from 750 to 999 hours; and on twelve farms it was over 1000 hours. The distribution of labor is shown in table 29 (page 57). As a five-ycars average there were 21 acres of crops per horse. The cost per hour of horse labor varied from 13 to 37 cents. On eight farms this cost was less than 20 cents, on twenty-one farms it was from 20 to 30 cents, and on nine farms it was over 30 cents.

The chief credit aside from work is manure. Much of the manure is lost on roads or is produced on pasture and yards. Only the manure that is available for use is credited. That which is produced on pasture, if credited to horses, would have to be charged back to them in a higher pasture charge. About 10 tons per year is recovered per horse.

## RELATION OF GRAIN FED TO OTHER FACTORS

The relation of pounds of grain fed per horse to other factors is shown in table 26. When farms were sorted by net energy of the feed, the results were practically the same as shown in this table except that the higher-net-energy groups had a little more dry forage and less grain than are shown in the high-grain groups.

Table 26. Relation of Pounds of Grain Fed per Horse to Other Factors, 38 Farms, 1919

|  | Amount of grain fed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ender 2000 pounds | From 2000 to 3000 pounds | Over 3000 pounds |
| Number of farms | 10 | 15 | 1.3 |
| Average number of work horses | 4.0 | 4.9 | 4.75 |
| Average hours worked per year | T41 | 879 | 1,030 |
| Average value per horse. . . . . | \$142 | \$1.5.3 | \$150 |
| Average weight per horse (pounds) | 1,205 | 1,259 | 1,213 |
| Pounds of grain per horse | 1,368 | 2,522 | 4,097 |
| Pounds of grain per hour of work | -18 | 2.9 | +0 |
| Pounds of dry forage per horse, | 7.802 | 6,505 | 6.278 |
| Cost of feed and bedding per horse | \$116.51 | \$138.96 | \$176.88 |
| Hours of human labor per horse. | 106.1 | 111.6 | 147.1 |
| Gross cost of keeping a horse... | \$196.68 | \$231.4.3 | S276.20 |
| Net cost of keeping a horse. | \$171.38 | $\$ 210.61$ | \$254.65 |
| Cost per hour of horse labor. | S0. $2+30$ | S0.2523 | S0. 2571 |

The horses that were fed the most grain worked about a hall more than those fed the least grain. They used less roughage and required more care. The extra hours of work done nearly offset the greater cost, so that the cost per hour was about the same in the different groups.

## RELATION OF HOURS WORKED TO OTHER FACTORS

When horses are worked more, the cost per hour is decreased, but it is not decreased as rapidly as the hours increase (table 27). On farms where the average hours worked per day was 2.2 , the cost of horse labor was 28 cents per hour. On those where the average hours worked was 3.8, the cost per hour was 22 cents. This shows that a 73 per cent increase in hours decreased the cost per hour by 21 per cent.
table 27. Relation of Hours Worked per Year per Horse to Other Factors, 38 Farms, 1919

|  | Less than 750 hours | From 750 to 1000 hours | Over 1000 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 11 | 1.5 | 12 |
| Average number of work horses | 4.4 | 5.2 | 4.1 |
| Average hours worked per year | 649 | 883 | 1,133 |
| Hours worked per horse per day | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| Average value per horse . . . . . . | \$140 | S14 | \$161 |
| Average weight per horse (pounds) | 1,209 | 1,242 | 1,232 |
| Pounds of grain per horse. | 1,840 | 2,886 | 3,436 |
| Pounds of grain per hour of work | 2.8 | - 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Pounds of dry forage per horse. . | 6,442 | 7,000 | 6,779 |
| Cost of feed and bedding per horse | \$124.86 | \$150. 21 | \$160. 19 |
| Depreciation per horse. | \$14.09 | S17.47 | \$20. 22 |
| Hours of man labor per horse | 93 | 116 | 156 |
| Gross cost of keeping a horse. | \$200.99 | \$235.66 | \$273.58 |
| Net cost of keeping a horse. | \$178.41 | \$217.42 | \$246.63 |
| Cost per hour of horse labor*. | \$0.2843 | S0.2504 | S0. 2228 |

*The cost per hour is not exactly what would be shown by division, since in closing the books the charge to men is included at an estimated rate. The rate used in this table ia the rate for the remaining hours (page 23).

The reason why the decrease in cost per hour is not greater, is that every item of cost increases as the hours of work increase. Better horses are used, more grain and hay are fed, more time is spent taking care of the horses.

In table 28 is shown how, on the average, the costs increase when horses work more hours. But there are decided differences in the way in which different men have handled horses that do equal amounts of work. Each group as to hours worked per year, was subsorted as to whether the grain feeding was more or less than the average of the group. Usually the farmers who saved on grain, saved on labor and other costs. For each number of hours worked, those who used the least grain had the lowest cost per hour of horse labor. This is due not merely to the saving of grain, but also to other economies practiced. It seems probable that an hour of horse labor by the horses that were better fed and cared for would be more effective than an hour by the horses handled less carefully.

The men who fed the horses the least grain per hour of work had the lowest cost per horse hour but generally made the lowest average labor incomes. However, some very large labor incomes came in these groups. The cost of horse labor is not one of the major factors in determining the labor income. The differences in labor incomes are so great that it does not seem possible that the cost of horse labor or the efficiency of an hour of horse labor is the major explanation.

Probably the saving of grain was not wise economy; or it may be that careful farmers feed their horses more than it pay's to feed, and more than make up the difference in other ways. Until more data are available, it would appear that 3, or possibly 4, pounds of grain for each hour of labor is likely to be a better allowance than the lower feeding which gave cheaper horse labor but which was accompanied also by lower average labor incomes. This does not mean 3 pounds per hour evéry day for the labor of that day, but a yearly allowance that averages 3 pounds per hour of labor. Of course, the data do not give any information on the most profitable feeding for horses that work more or less hours than the range included on these farms.

## SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF HORSE LABOR

The distribution of horse labor on each enterprise for four years is shown in table 29.
table 28. Rfilation of Hours Worked per Year per Horse, and Grain Fed, to Other Factors, 38 farms, 1919

|  | Hours per vear less than 750 |  | Hours per vear from 750 to 999 |  | Hours per year 1000 or more |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | less than a werage grain | More than average grain | Less than average grain | More than average grain | Less than average grain | More than average grain |
| Number of farms. | 39 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| Average number of work horses | 3.9 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Average hours worked per year. | 6.30 | 666 | 88.3 | 883 | 1.093 | 1,212 |
| Average value per horse . . . . . . . . | \$14.3 | \$1,3, | \$1.35 | \$10? | \$170 | \$141 |
| Average weight per horse (pounds) | 1,225 | 1,182 | 1,236 | 1,249 | 1,280 | 1,134 |
| Pounds of grain per horse . . . . . . | 1,373 | 2,658 | 2,121 | 3,761 | 2,56, | 5,182 |
| Pounds of grain per hour of work | 2.1 | 4.10 | 2.4 | $+{ }_{4}$ | 2.3 | 4.3 |
| Value of past ure per horse. . | \$2.15 | \$2.58 | \$1.51 | \$0. 50 | \$2. 21 | \$0.32 |
| Pounds of dry forage per horse. | 6,540 | 6,270 | -7,719 | 6,179 | 7,540 | 5,257 |
| Cost of feed and bedding per horse. | \$110. 22 | \$150.48 | \$129.79 | \$173.54 | \$140.91 | \$198.76 |
| Depreciation per horse . . . . . . . . . | \$11.36 | \$18.88 | \$21.56 | \$12.80 | \$20.30 | $5 ? 10.05$ |
| Hours of man labor per horse | 9+4 | 93.3 | 101 | 1345 | 143 | 183 |
| Gross cost of keeping a horse | \$183. 02 | S232.44 | \$207. 78 | \$267.53 | 5252. 21 | S310.3? |
| Net cost of keeping a horse. . | 8159.93 | \$210.76 | \$189.80 | \$2+8.90 | \$22.5.56 | \$288.76 |
| Cost per hour of horse labor | S0. 2590 | S10) 3281 | S0. 2189 | 535\% 2804 | 50.211.3 | S0. $2+50$ |
| Labor income... | \$1,16,3 | \$1.105 | \$2.188 | \$2.95: | S2,174 | S3,17) |

table 29. Distribution of Total Hours of Horse labor for four Years, by Ten-Days Periods ( 18 farms in 1914, 46 farms in 1915, 31 farms in 1916, 30 farms in 1917)

|  |  | building repairs | Building improvements | Fence repairs | New fence | Drainare repairs | New drainage | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Brusi } \\ \text { and weeds } \\ \text { cut }\end{array}\right\|$ | Picking stone | Clearing land | Woord and lumber | Other real estate work | Total hours on real estate work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Ten-days neriod |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jamary | First | 35 | 284 | 6 | - | - | -- | -- | -- | - | 88 | 69 | 482 |
|  | Second | 3 | 260 | - | - | - | -..- | --.. | -_- | 2 | 04 | 104 | 4.3 .3 |
|  | Third | 12 | 408 | 16 | 4 | - | -- | 1 | -.. | 2 | 142 | 290 | 875 |
| February | First | 28 38 | 279 214 | - 4 | $-3$ | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 6 3 | 279 | 141 159 | 733 665 |
|  | Third | 38 12 | 214 113 | 4 | 3 | - | 5 | --- | - | - | 344 | 159 | 665 |
| March | First | 37 | 114 | 57 | 4 | - | 5 | 14 | - | 10 | 309 533 | 154 | 687 814 |
|  | Second | 5.3 | 302 | 6 | -- | - | 67 | - - | 5 | 12 | 323 | 48 | 816 |
|  | Third | 60 | 191 | 2 | -- | 2 | 25 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 314 | 618 | 60.3 |
| April | First | 48 | 134 | 14 | 22 | 4 | 40 | 60 | 100 | 56 | 187 | 118 | 783 |
|  | Srcond | 54 | 212 | 116 | 88 | - | 174 | 53 | 37 | 38 | 32 | 288 | 1.172 |
|  | Third | 62 | 347 | 147 | 11 | 3 | 38 | 16 | 05 | 152 | 32 | 235 | 1.138 |
| May | First | 65 | 163 | 286 | 75 | 6 | 98 | 22 | 211 | 158 | 81 | 144 | 1.309 |
|  | Second | 55 74 | 3.31 | 426 | . 32 | 8 | 160 | 28 | 284 | 229 | 35 | 178 | 1.758 |
|  | Third | 74 $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ | 178 433 | 210 158 | 126 | 8 18 | $\begin{array}{r}77 \\ \hline 12\end{array}$ | 54 | 216 | 132 | 59 | 240 | 1,38.3 |
| June | First | 159 50 | 433 441 | 158 144 | 1.37 58 | 18 5.3 | 122 102 | 15 | 268 209 | 194 160 | 72 47 | 215 | 1.791 1.426 |
|  | Third | 71 | 438 | 47 | 12 | 7 | 126 | 40 | 108 | 160 | 22 | 92 | 1.426 875 |
| July | First | 41 | 390 | 119 | 1 | 26 | 10 | 50 | 22 | 9 | 8 | 68 | 744 |
|  | Sceond | 73 | 253 | 84 | 34 | 22 | $-15$ | 8 | 15 | 8 | -- | 180 | 677 |
|  | Third | 27 | 249 | 81 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 60 | 19 | --3 | 8 | 34 | 517 |
| August | First | 74 80 | 222 | 119 70 | 4 34 | 4 6 | 30 | 126 | 46 | 6.3 | 11 | 128 | 887 |
|  | Second | 80 | 271 | 79 | 34 | 6 | 36 | 82 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 23 | 6 | 74 | 740 |
|  | Third | 171 | 7.32 | 104 | 16 | 53 | 136 | 60 | 82 | 208 | 5 | 244 | 1,811 |
| September | First | 142 36 | 822 639 | 60 34 | 12 | 86 | 272 | 60 | 116 | 152 | 12 | 486 | 2.126 |
|  | Second | 36 74 | 639 504 | 34 50 | 28 | 46 | 157 190 | 34 | 164 | 67 53 | 41 | 207 | 1,425 |
| October | First | 70 | 185 | 48 | 28 4 | 17 | 149 | 18 | 128 | 5.3 1 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ \hline 5\end{array}$ | 237 2.3 | 1.229 668 |
|  | Second | 1.32 | 320 | 51 | 32 | 1 | 122 | 2 | 63 | 38 | 7 | 23 | 700 |
|  | Third | 203 | 228 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 164 | 15 | 95 | 70 | 27 | 63 | 897 |
| November | First | 244 | 227 | 8 | - | 6 | 243 | - | 8 | 76 | 37 | 176 | 1.025 |
|  | Second | 181 | 154 | 20 | 2 | 15 | 160 | 2 | 10 | 64 | 18 | 297 | 923 |
|  | Third | 135 | 126 | 22 | 16 | 71 | 242 | - | 71 | 150 | 1.35 | 112 | 1.080 |
| December | First | 122 | 148 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 114 | $\cdots$ | 66 | 103 | 96 | 144 | 835 |
|  | Second | 65 55 | 989 295 | $\stackrel{6}{2}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 10 | - | - | 43 | 27 26 | 59 116 | 313 424 |
|  | Total | 2.841 | 10,626 | 2.564 | 784 | 400 | 2,988 | 92.3 | 2,565 | 2,303 | 3,447 | 5,448 | 34,984 |

TABLE 29 (continued)

|  |  | General equipment | Special equipment | All horses | Cattle | Hogs | Mature poultry | $\begin{gathered} \text { Young } \\ \text { poultry } \\ \text { andincu- } \\ \text { bation } \end{gathered}$ | Farm Doul(r) | Sheep | Bees | Total hours onlivestock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms Animal units |  | 122 | 12 | 125.73 | 2.241.11 | 8688. | 12 81.19 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \\ & 131.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 106.49 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | - |
| Month | Ten-days period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | First | 44 | 3 | 201 | 1,427 | 54 | 89 | - | 87 | 26 | - | 1,884 |
|  | Second | 54 | 3 | 149 | 1,368 | 74 | 58 | - | 57 | 62 | - | 1,768 |
|  | Third | 80 | - | 135 | 1,445 | 35 | 38 | 6 | 73 | 16 | - | 1.748 |
| February | First | 108 | - | 152 | 1.414 | 56 | 60 | 9 | 68 | 7 | - | 1,766 |
|  | Second | 63 | $\square$ | 275 | 1.402 | 26 | 85 | 2 | 50 | 18 | - | 1.858 |
|  | Third | 23 | 4 | 109 | 1,072 | 39 | 42 | 3 | 58 | 31 | $\square$ | 1,354 |
| March | First | 118 | - | 243 | 1,463 | 54 | 79 | 6 | 78 | 17 | - | 1.940 |
|  | Second | 51 | - | 195 | 1,389 | 34 | 73 | 10 | 83 | 8 | - | 1.792 |
|  | Third | 108 | 10 | 248 | 1,380 | 66 | 8.3 | 6 | 109 | - | - | 1,892 |
| April | First | 103 | 12 | 343 | 1.246 | 73 | 89 | 23 | 101 | 28 | - | 1.903 |
|  | Second | 125 | 26 | 342 | 1,203 | 48 | 56 | 25 | 80 | 29 | - | 1,78.3 |
|  | Third | 84 | 130 | 221 | 1,316 | 104 | 45 | 24 | 130 | 2 | - | 1.842 |
| May | First | 56 | 137 | 237 | 1.158 | 92 | 34 | 48 | 91 | 14 | - | 1.674 |
|  | Second | 70 | 44 | 311 | 1,088 | 96 | 62 | 40 | 99 | 8 | - | 1.704 |
|  | Third | 133 | 10 | 280 | 1.042 | 10 | 62 | 20 | 93 | 3 | 3 | 1.513 |
| June | First | 75 100 | 17 | 333 | 873 | 48 | 65 | 8 | 76 | 7 | - | 1.410 |
|  | Second | 100 | 16 | 224 | 875 | 21 | 42 | 26 | 43 | 10 | - | 1.241 |
|  | Third | 129 | - | 228 | 865 | 16 | 33 | 12 | 31 | 2 | - | 1.187 |
| July | First | 65 97 | - | 211 157 | 817 886 | 20 35 | 61 32 | 9 | 46 | 6 10 | - | 1.170 1.189 |
|  | Third | 79 | 4 | 168 | 409 | 24 | 48 | 12 | 81 | - | - | 1,242 |
| August | First | 80 | 7 | 221 | 826 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 69 | $-10$ | - | 1.182 |
|  | Second | 68 | - | 18? | 851 | 16 | 35 | 4 | 52 | 10 | 1 | 1.151 |
|  | Third | 119 | 7 | 151 | 979 | 56 | 27 | 22 | 134 | 16 | - | 1.385 |
| September | First | 62 | 7 | 116 | 891 | 23 | 26 | 14 | 64 | - | $\cdots$ | 1.134 |
|  | Second | 138 | 51 | 148 | 956 | 18 | 28 | 16 | 35 | - | - | 1.201 |
|  | Third | 98 | 64 | 115 | 976 | 28 | 28 | 16 | 56 | - | - | 1.210 |
| October | First | 164 | 6 | 99 | 1,056 | 42 | 56 | 11 | 42 | 10 | - | 1.306 |
|  | Second | 74 | - | 165 | 1,030 | 52 | 50 | 16 | 68 | 10 | - | 1.391 |
|  | Third | 48 | 2 | 103 | 1.102 | 60 | 153 | 11 | $6{ }^{4}$ | 2 | - | 1,500 |
| November | First | 42 | - | 163 | 1.077 | 43 | 38 | - | 108 | 6 | - | 1.435 |
|  | Second | 52 | - | 140 | 1.124 | 67 | 4.3 | 12 | 83 | 9 | - | 1.478 |
|  | Third | 81 | - | 179 | 1.162 | 80 | 32 | - | 132 | 29 | - | 1.614 |
| December | First | 66 | - | 194 | 1.230 | 77 | 48 | - | 34 | 19 | - | 1.602 |
|  | Second | 64 46 | - | 196 | 1,506 1,504 | 99 82 | 72 | - | 77 | 27 39 | - | 1.977 1.982 |
| Total |  | 2.967 | 550 | 7,142 | 40,908 | 1.798 | 1.970 | 432 | 2.692 | 471 | 4 | $\overline{55.417}$ |
|  |  |  | 550 |  | 40,08 |  | 1.970 | 432 | 2.602 | 4.1 | 4 | 53.417 |

TABLE 29 (continued)

|  |  | Alfalfa | Apples | Barles | Beans | Buchwheat | (ab)bage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Corn } \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { grain } \end{aligned}$ | Corn fot silage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu} \\ & \text { cum- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Garden | Hay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms Acres |  |  | 35.5 | $\stackrel{25}{16.3} 4$ | 3.4 38.65 | 44 260.3 | 38 206.1 | 56 350 | 1.004.1 | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 25.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ 4.770 .34 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 35.801 | 10.3 .4 | 38.65 | 260 | 206.1 | -- |  | - 4 |  |  |
| Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ten-dats } \\ \text { perion } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenuary | First | 54 | 144 | 6 | 11 | 60 | 147 | 30 | 1 | - | - | 75 |
|  | Srcond | 18 | 14 | $-$ | 25 | 7 | 127 | 36 | - | - | - | 336 |
|  | Third | 52 | 17 | 1 | - | 1 | 53 | 38 | 3 | - | - | 87 |
| February | First | 8 | 73 | - | 10 |  | 9 | 2 | $\cdots$ |  |  | 334 |
|  | Second | 7 | 21 | 6 | - | 32 | 30 | 8 | - | - | - | 661 |
|  | Third | 10 | 54 | 3 | 18 | -- | 3 | 5 | 6 | - | - | 1.108 |
| March | First | 4 | 136 | 4 | - | $\cdots$ | 2 | 13 | 11 | - | - | 235 |
|  | Second | 118 | 36 | 11 | 52 | $\rightarrow$ | 68 | 7 | 32 | -- | 4 | 219 |
|  | Third | 25 | 239 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 115 | 46 | 32 | - | 5 | 476 |
| April | First | 70 | 531 | 383 | 12 | 1 | 18 | 173 | 187 | 8 | 19 | 322 |
|  | Second | 397 | 1.077 | 736 | 371 | 4 | 177 | 7.33 | 736 | 2 | 45 | 497 |
|  | Third | 186 | 1,911 | 778 | 1.028 | 33 | 407 | 837 | 1.771 |  | 150 | 393 |
| May | First | 222 | 1.394 | 903 | 1,354 | 240 | 1.161 | 1,377 | 2.022 |  | 157 | 398 |
|  | Second | 359 | 1,216 | 464 | 1,138 | 311 | 575 | 2,463 | 3,397 | 12 | 147 | 302 |
|  | Third | 129 | 1.495 | 167 | 1.175 | 354 | 680 | 2.0 .39 | 6,919 | 8 | 84 | 182 |
| June | First | 504 | 464 | 8 | 1.900 | 60.3 | 1,074 | 1.073 | 5,003 | 20 | 62 | 413 |
|  | Second | 1,257 | 856 | 1 | 1.912 | 1.085 | 045 | 1,140 | 2,977 | 23 | 96 | 391 |
|  | Third | 2.115 | 309 | 1 | 2.101 | 892 | 2,011 | 1,351 | 3,152 | 1.5 | 75 | 1.596 |
| July | First | 876 | 308 | 3 | 1.121 | 1.661 | 1.330 | 930 | 2.184 | 6 | 65 | 5.815 |
|  | Second | 358 | 16.3 | 140 | 8.33 | 536 | 1.133 | 707 | 1.985 | 16 | 57 | 10.273 |
|  | Third | 897 | 302 | 398 | 946 | 129 | 544 | 40.3 | 1.182 | 23 | 29 | 11.607 |
| August | First | 1.310 | 302 | 711 | 405 | 8 | 300 | 140 | 609 | 4 | 8 | 7.649 |
|  | Second | 886 | 220 | 327 | 209 | 19 | 268 | 134 | 411 | 7 | 10 | 4.840 |
|  | Third | 558 | 194 | 220 | 137 | 46 | 120 | 223 | 488 | - | 71 | 1.738 |
| September | First | 262 | 265 | 231 | 182 439 | 120 | 70 115 | 297 | 1,040 | - | 14 | 1.261 889 |
|  | Second | 434 | 438 | 97 | 439 | 33.3 | 115 | 548 | 2.154 | - | 6 | 889 |
|  | Third | 592 | 882 +379 | 39) | 683 | 556 | 131 <br> 388 | 991 | 3.356 5.733 | - | 6 | 600 261 |
| October | First | 220 | 1,379 | 41 | 600 332 | 466 | 388 575 | 628 | 5,733 2.48? | -- | 4 | 261 173 |
|  | Second | 210 65 | 1,843 2,048 | 88 | 332 197 | 201 331 | 575 <br> 423 | 336 393 | 2.482 1.291 | - | 11 | 173 217 |
| November | First | 41 | 8.58 | 120 | 570 | 195 | 1.464 | 593 | - 595 | - | 34 | 118 |
|  | Second | - | 377 | 55 | 243 | 167 | 1.448 | 661 | 324 | - | 22 | 160 |
|  | Third | - | 252 | 43 | 108 | 35 | 594 | 690 | 236 | - | 4 | 33 |
| December | First | 116 | 309 | 19 | 276 | 37 | 190 | 467 | 276 | - | 24 | 150 |
|  | Second | 102 | 43 | 1 | 30 | 10 | 60 | 86 | 60 | -- | - | 112 |
|  | Third | 46 | 4.3 | - | -- | 64 | 2 | 85 | 4 | - | - | 219 |
|  | Total | 12,508 | 20.803 | 6.048 | 18.518 | 8,630 | 16.772 | 19,68.3 | 50.709 | 148 | 1,210 | 54,149 |

TABLE 29 (continued)

|  |  | Mangels | Oats | Onions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yeach- } \\ \text { es } \end{gathered}$ | Fears |  | Canningfactory peas | Potatoes | Rye | Sweet corn | Tobacco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms Acres |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 15.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 102 \\ 1.452 .2 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 4.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 99.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 38.11 \end{aligned}$ | 74.05 | $\stackrel{9}{41.6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \\ & 623.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 185.6 \end{gathered}$ | $144.05$ | $\frac{4}{24.7}$ |
| Month | Ten-days period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | First <br> Second | 32 | 162 | - | - | - | - | - | 130 270 | 二 | 1 | - 3 |
|  | Third | 32 | 35 |  | -- | - | - | - | 214 | - | 2 | 22 |
| February | First | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 326 | - | - | 16 |
|  | Scoond | - | 2 | - | -- | - | 4 | - | 318 | - | 6 | 34 |
|  | Third First | 11 | 3 16 | - | -31 | $-7$ | -7 | - | 224 | - |  | -- |
| March | Sceond | 2 | 52 | - | 75 | - | - | - | 298 | 18 | 10 | - |
|  | Third | - | 147 | - | 93 | 74 | 156 | 4 | 202 | 45 | 8 | 一- |
| April | First | 55 | 1,069 | 4 | 242 | 48 | 467 | 68 | 410 | - | 237 | - |
|  | Scoond | 55 | 5,356 | 101 | 279 | 60 | . 346 | 273 | 838 | - | 406 | 3 |
|  | Third | 8 | 6.66 .3 | 33 | 226 | 47 | 235 | 241 | 1,574 | 10 | 378 | 89 |
| May | First | 41 | 4,870 | 4 | 316 | 292 | 165 | 258 | 2,254 | 10 | 529 | 3.3 |
|  | Sccond | 215 | 3.925 | - | 247 | 172 | 1.34 | 20 | 2,892 | 2 | 520 | 211 |
|  | Third | 67 | 1,659 | - | 302 | 8 | 92 | 20 | 3,170 | 5 | 225 | 102 |
| June | First | 69 | 338 | - | 318 | 168 | 24 | 7 | 3,711 | - | 236 | 240 |
|  | Second | 64 | 202 | $\square$ | 217 | 113 | 8 | 24 | 3,099 | --- | 323 | 560 |
|  | Third | 24 48 | 30 | - | 108 188 | 15 | 41 | 181 | 1.848 | 11 | 384 | 510 |
| July | First | 48 30 | 54 | 1 | 188 60 | 24 12 | 75 161 | 137 410 | 1.268 1.493 | 4.3 180 | 232 199 | 161 53 |
|  | Third | 36 | 186 | 28 | 74 | 127 | 150 | 410 | 1.493 1.417 | ${ }_{5}^{180}$ | 99 131 | 53 160 |
| August | First | 16 | 1.759 | 51 | 27 | 4 | 147 | 3 | 8.84 | 211 | 248 | 86 |
|  | Second | 23 | 4,807 | 53 | 44 | 22 | 62 | 5 | 642 | 310 | 312 | 97 |
|  | Third | 18 | 4,285 | 8 | 71 | 8 | 12 | 14 | 34.3 | 572 | 546 | 72 |
| September | First | 10 | 2,737 | 2 | 250 | 318 | 25 | 11 | 295 | 409 | 4 | 249 |
|  | Second | 3 | 1,810 | 38 | 65.5 | 195 | 34 | 11 | 477 | 461 | 507 | 229 |
|  | Third | - | 1,200 | 26 | 554 | 54 | 16 | 16 | 795 | 201 | 109 | 54 |
| October | First <br> Second | - | +33 433 4 | 6 3 | 90 38 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 8.14 | 379 | 169 | 7 |
|  | Second | $\overline{180}$ | 433 | 3 | 38 | 28 | 6 | 4 | 1.562 | 430 | 46 | 6 |
|  | Third | 180 | 477 | 16 | 28 | 52 | 4 | 7 | 3.043 | 409 | 71 | 11 |
| November | First Second | 120 | 980 826 | 10 | -8 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 2,7.38 | 439 | 130 | 20 |
|  | Second Third | 42 1 | 826 595 59 | - | --8 | - | 9 | 9 | 1,165 | - | 51 | 12 |
| Ducember | Fhird | 16 | 595 575 | - | 8 | ${ }^{9}$ | 6 | 8 | 291 | 10 | 53 | 12 |
|  | Second | 16 | $\begin{array}{r}50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 74 1 | 217 41 | 52 | 24 4 | 5 1 |
|  | Third | 5 | $4{ }^{19}$ | - | - | 4 | - | - | 99 | 10 | 2 | 13 |
|  | Total | 1,1,36 | 45,677 | 384 | 4.556 | 1,860 | 2.426 | 2,018 | 39.457 | 4.8.35 | 6.577 | 3,080 |

TABILE 29 (concluted)


## DISTRIBUTION OF HORSE LABOR BY ENTERPRISES

The distribution of horse labor by enterprises is shown in table $\mathbf{3 0}$ :

TABLE 30. Distribition of Horse Labor
(Four-years averages, 1914 to 1917, from table 29)

| Enterprise |  | Hours per acre or per animal unit | Per cent of total labor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate | 34,984 |  | 5.6 |
| Equipment | 3,517 | - | 0.6 |
| Livestock: |  |  |  |
| Horses | 7,142 | 10.0 | 1.1 |
| Cattle | 40,908 | 18.3 | 6.5 |
| Hogs | 1,998 | 20.3 | 0.3 |
| Poultry* | 5,094 | 24.0 | 0.8 |
| Sheep... | 471 | 4.4 | 0.1 |
| Bees. Total livestock | 55,417 | - | - |
| Crops: |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 12,508 | 29.6 | 2.0 |
| Apples. | 20,803 | 38.5 | 3.3 |
| Barley. | 6,048 | 37.0 | 1.0 |
| Beans | 18,518 | 48.1 | 3.0 |
| Buck wheat | 8,630 | 33.2 | 1.4 |
| Cablage | 16,772 | 81.4 | 2.7 |
| Corn for grain. | 19,683 | 5\%. 2 | 3.1 |
| Corn for silage | 50,799 | 50.6 | 8.1 |
| Cucumbers. | 148 | 01.7 | . |
| Garden | 1,210 | 47.9 | 0.2 |
| Hay. | 54,149 | 11.4 | 8.6 |
| Mangels | 1,136 | 75.2 | 0.2 |
| Oats . . | 45,677 | 31.5 | 7.3 |
| Onions | 384 | 83.5 | 0.1 |
| Peaches | 4,556 | 45.6 | 0.7 |
| Pears. | 1,860 | 48.8 | 0.3 |
| Peas for market | 2,426 | 32.4 | 0.4 |
| Canning-factory peas | 2,018 | 48.5 | 0.3 |
| Potatoes . . . . . . . . . . | 39,457 | 63.2 | 6.3 |
| Rye.... | 4,835 | 26.1 | 0.8 |
| Sweet corn | 6,577 | 57.7 | 1.0 |
| - Tobacco | 3,080 | 124.7 | 0.5 |
| Market tomatoes. | 779 | 45.0 | 0.1 |
| Wheat. | 37,785 | 35.3 | 6.0 |
| Maple sirup $\dagger$. | 1,755 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| All other crops. | 46,733 | 1.4 | 7.5 |
| Total crops. | 408,326 |  |  |
| Manure hauling | 54,932 | - | 8.8 |
| Lime | 3,946 | - | 0.6 |
| All else | 65,311 | - | 10.4 |
| Total. | 626,433 |  | 100.0 |

*Includes labor on chicks and incubation.
$\dagger$ Hours per gallon of maple sirup produced (sugar reducea to sirup by using 8 pounds of sugar to 1 gallon of airup).

## EQUIPMENT

Some special equipment is charged directly to the enterprise concerned, but m:st of the equipment is carried in an equipment account and the total cost is distributed to the various enterprises in proportion to the number of hours that horses worked for those enterprises. Tractor accounts are kept separate and charges are made according to the hours of tractor labor. Automobile and truck accounts are kept separate and charges are made on the basis of trips, loads, or mileage, as best meets the farm conditions.

The value of equipment (other than tractors and some special equipment) on different farms in 1919 varied from $\mathrm{S}_{4} 66$ to S 262 I , and averaged Sio64. The value of special equipment for three years is given in table 3 (page 25). As a five-years average the inventory of regular equipment was $\$ 8.71$ per acre of crops.

Before the war demoralized prices, equipment was inventoried at what it would sell for. This method results in a high depreciation charge for the first years. Depreciation is very rapid for the first years and decreases as the machine grows older. Repairs increase rapidly with age. The sum of repairs and depreciation make a decreasing amount. Machines are usually not discarded because of the high cost of repairs but because of the high cost of unreliability. A broken machine at a critical time may mean a large loss. Probably the sum of depreciation, repairs, and what may be called reliability insurance, is a constant quantity. The last named item is a very high figure for a farm that uses machinery up to its limit, but a low figure for farms that do not have full use for tools. In the latter case there may be time to wait for repairs and yet get the work done. For this reason small farms often use old machines and may buy second-hand tools. This gives them a low cost per hour, even with small use. Larger farms obtain low cost per hour by a large number of hours of use. They might obtain very low cost by using old tools, but usually this would be false economy:

After prices began to rise, equipment was inventoried by deducting as much depreciation as formerly would have been deducted; that is to say, no attention was given to contemporary prices except for tools purchased on contemporary markets. Therefore the rapid rise in prices of equipment is not fully reflected in costs.

Prices for January of 1915 and 1920 were obtained from implement dealers. To have purchased on January I, 1920, the 7 IO machines listed in table 31, would have cost 77 per cent more than the same tools would have cost un january I , 1915. At the average inventory prices used in the accounts at the beginning of the year 1920 , the same tools would have been inventoried at only i8 per cent above the 1915 inventory. Costs new rose 77 per cent but inventories rose only 18 per cent.

The inventory of tools was not increased because of the rising prices except where new tools had been purchased. In 1915, the inventory value of 710 tools was 42 per cent of the cost. Owing to the increase in price of new tools, the inventory value of 701 tools in 1920 was only 28 per cent of what it would have cost to buy new tools at that time. These tools would probably have sold at auction at about 40 per cent of the cost of new tools.
tarle 31. Number of farma Having Certain Tools, and Average Valde of These Tools
(46 farms in 1915, 39 farms in 1920)

| Implenent | 1915 |  |  |  | 1920 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of farms reporting | Number of machines | Average value at end of year | Approximate cost new on January 1 , 1915 | Number of farms reporting | Number of machines | Avarage value at end of year | Approximate cost new on January 1, 1920 |
| Walking plow | 4 | 97 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 13.00 | 38 | 80 | \$ 6.21 | \$ 23.17 |
| Sulky plow. . | 22 | 26 | 24.23 | 46.00 | 25 | 28 | 25.27 | 111.67 |
| Disk harrow | 14 | 15 | 20.13 | 37.50 | 19 | 2.3 | 13.20 | 60, 50 |
| Spring-tooth harrow | 39 | 59 | 6.90 | 17.33 | 31 | 58 | 9.00 | 30.33 |
| Spike-tooth harrow . | 18 | 22 | 6.41 | 16. 25 | 21 | 27 | 7.13 | 21.5() |
| Roller . . . . . . . . . | 35 | 38 | 10.49 | 32.00 | 33 | 35 | 11.77 | 52.00 |
| Walking cultivator (one-horse) | 39 | 8.3 | 3.43 | 8.17 | 34 | 69 | 3.73 | 11.92 |
| Sulky cultivator <br> (two-horse) | 31 | 41 | 17.45 | 45.83 | 31 | 42 | 21.68 | 70.83 |
| Grain drill. . . . | 38 | 38 | 36. 39 | 90.00 | 35 | 36 | 49.06 | 170.00 |
| Grain binder | 32 | 34 | 52.56 | 126.67 | 31 | 33 | 64.09 | 2.31 .67 |
| Corn binder . | 18 | 18 | 55.56 | 125.00 | 2.3 | 24 | 60.45 | 236.67 |
| Mowing machine | 46 | 58 | 20.55 | 45.00 | 37 | 49 | 27.88 | 84.67 |
| Hay rake. . . . . . | 45 | 52 | 15. 30 | 30. 50 | . 38 | 52 | 20.57 | 48.3 .3 |
| Hay tedder | 20 | 20 | 15. 35 | 43.33 | 25 | 25 | 16. 24 | 86.67 |
| Hay loader. | 6 | 6 | 42.33 | 70.00 | 17 | 17 | $52.2+$ | 111.67 |
| Heavy farm wagon | 44 | 81 | 27.79 | 81.67 | 38 | 82 | 27.43 | 1.38 .33 |
| Manure spreader. | 22 | 22 | 59. 18 | 118.33 | 20 | 21 | 75.33 | 200.00 |
| Total <br> Total for all machines Per cent of cost new | 513 | 710 | $\$+19.64$ $\$ 13,692.41$ 41.7 | \$32,850. 52 | 501 | 701 | $\$ 491.29$ $\$ 16,695.55$ 27.9 | \$59,9+2. 22 |

The average costs of equipment are given in table 32. From 1914 to 1917 the total annual cost for use of equipment amounted to from 28 to 30 per cent of the inventory value. After 1917, the costs of new tools

TABIE 32. Average Costs of Equipmext per Farm, 1914 to 1918

|  | Average costs per farm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1914 <br> 1uifarms | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (+6 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ \text { ( } 31 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ \text { (31 farms) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ (32 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |
| Inventory at bexin ing of year | \$915.36 | S792.95 | \$850. 18 | \$874.99 | S 971.59 |
| Equipment rurchased | 111.19 | 105.59 | 128.15 | 154.52 | 189.41 |
| Equipment sold. | 1.37 | 6.64 | 5.76 | 6.24 | 20.96 |
| Inventory at end of year | 918.57 | 805.51 | 879.19 | 928.13 | 1,020.56 |
| Equipment rented to others. | 3.20 | 4.11 | 1.85 | 1.74 3 | 5.26 |
| Equipment rented from others | 2.03 | 2.73 | 2.47 | 3.60 | 4.28 |
| Costs: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation* | S103. 34 | S80.45 | 593.21 | S95. 15 | \$116.52 |
| Repairs . | 33.22 | 37. 68 | 37.23 | 48.08 | 50.49 |
| Human latort | $32+7$ | 26.33 | 33.56 | 42.20 | 58.66 |
| Horse labor | +. 06 | 3.49 | 3.96 | 4.75 | 5.28 |
| Use of buildings | 30.34 | 27.15 | 31.78 | 32.11 | 37.10 |
| Insurance | 1.11 | 1.61 | 1.32 | 1.35 | 1.32 |
| Interest | 4585 | 39.96 | 43.23 | 45.08 | 59.76 |
| Other costs | 3.12 | 3.09 | 2.05 | 2.58 | 4.53 |
| Total costs. | \$235.01 | \$219.76 | S246.34 | \$271.30 | \$333.66 |
| Per cent of average inventory represented by annual cost | 28.0 | 27.5 | 28.5 | 30.1 | 33.5 |
| Total hours of horse labor per farm | 5,138 | 4,988 | 5,024 |  | 4,767 |
| Cost per hour ${ }_{+}^{+}$ | So. 0474 | S0. 0439 | S0. 0494 | S0.0569 | SO. 0712 |

[^12]and repairs for old ones began to increase, while the old tools were not increased in price, hence the annual costs represent a larger percentage of the inventory value.

The largest items of cost are depreciation, interest, repairs, housing, and farm labor spent in repairing and taking care of tools. The charge for housing equipment amounts to nearly as much as the repair bill.

The total cost of equipment was distributed to the different enterprises in proportion to the hours of horse labor spent on the enterprises. This amounted to an average of from 4 to 5 cents for each hour of horse labor, until the prices of machinery began to rise. In rg19 the cost varied from 3.4 to 17.7 cents per hour of horse labor, with an average of 8.4 cents. The lower figure was on a farm where equipment with low inventory value was used for a large number of hours. The higher cost was where equipment having a high inventory value was used for a small number of hours.

When farms were sorted by the value of equipment, those coming in the lower value groups had the lowest average cost per hour each year. When sorted by hours of use, the groups using equipment for the greatest number of hours had the lowest cost per hour.

The more hours of use and the higher inventories tend to be associated with the larger farms. The cost per hour of equipment labor on these farms is in no case a dominating factor in labor income.

A special study of tractor costs is given in Bulletin +05 of this station. ${ }^{20}$

## REAL ESTATE COSTS

The market value of each farm was divided between operators' houses, tenant houses, barns, crop land, orchards, pastures, woods, and the like. When land was used for both pasture and woods, an estimate was made of the proportion of the total acreage and value that should be charged to each.

The cost, less depreciation, of improvements such as buildings, fences, tile drains, orchards, removal of stone, residual manure, land plowed for succeeding crops, grass seeding, and growing crops, very commonly exceed the value of the farm, and yet the land without these improvements has a market value. In inventorying real estate, the total of all the items that make up the "farm" is not allowed to exceed the market value of the farm. For these reasons the values assigned to the separate items appear to be low. Buildings will usually be insured for more than the amount for which they are inventoried.

Many factors have combined to bring about this condition of values. Farmers usually realize that the most necessary improvements, such as drainage, fertility, and adequate buildings, may pay if one is to continue farming, but that they usually will not add their cost to the selling price of the land. There is no "unearned increment" in land values of most New York farms, but there is an "unearned decrement."

Taxes on the farm were distributed to the different classes of real estate at estimated amounts. Land that is highly improved is commonly assessed at a much lower rate relative to its value than is land that is unimproved. Assessment is usually made at a figure somewhere between a flat-acre rate and the sale value of the farm. Land that is badly run down, with little residual manure or fertilizer, little seeding, poor fences, and poor buildings, is often assessed at its full sale value and sometimes at more than it would sell for on the market. Land that is very highly improved is usually assessed at less than its sale value. In effect this assesses buildings at a lower rate than land, and assesses woods and pasture land at a higher rate than crop land. However, in this work, the taxes have been pro-rated to the different real estate accounts on the basis of the inventories.

The largest single item of real estate cost is interest. This was charged at 5 per cent before 1918. In 1918 and later years, it was charged at 6 per cent. On the average, the farmers were in debt for about onesixth of their total capital. This was mostly in the form of mortgages

[^13]and amounted to about one-fourth of the value of the farm. Some were in debt for practically the entire farm, and some had practically no debts.

## OPERATORS' HOLSES

The five-years average inventory value of the operators' houses was $\$ 1538$. The repairing is largely done by the farmer, and is done so efficiently that the costs are very low. As a five-years average, repairs and depreciation amounted to $2 .!$ per cent on the inventory, insurance 0.3 per cent, and taxes 0.9 per cent. The total costs aside from interest averaged 3.6 per cent. The data are given in table 33 .

## TENANT HOUSES

The five-years average inventory of tenant houses was $\$ 572$. The repairs and depreciation averaged 2.9 per cent, insurance 0.3 per cent, and taxes 0.9 per cent. The total costs aside from interest averaged 4.1 per cent. The data are given in table 34 .

## BARNS AND OTHER OUTBUILDINGS

The inventory per farm of barns and other outbuildings averaged \$2992. Repairs and depreciation averaged 3.I per cent, insurance 0.3 per cent, and taxes o.9 per cent. Total costs aside from interest averaged 4.3 per cent. The data are given in table 35 .

## CROP LAND

There was an average of 104.2 acres of orchard and crop land per farm, inventoried at an average of $\$ 74.32$ per acre. The primary costs of crop land are interest, taxes, and labor. The labor of upkeep was grouped under maintenance of drains, brush and weeds cut, picking the annual crop of stone, and other labor. When land was cleared of brush or stone, or if new drains were put in, they were counted as improvements. The average cost of taxes and upkeep of crop land was 1.7 per cent in addition to interest.

Crop land was charged to the various enterprises at cost. The charge was varied according to the inventory value of different classes of land. The data are given in tables 36 and 37 .

## PASTURE AND FENCES

There was an average of 37.8 acres of pasture per farm. All the fences on the farm were included in the pasture account. The value of pasture land plus the value of all fences averaged $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 . 4 8}$ per acre of pasture. Calculated in this way, fence repairs averaged 2.6 per cent, fence depreciation 2.0 per cent, and taxes 0.9 per cent, making a total cost of 5.5 per cent above the charge for interest. Much of the interest charge is for fences, and very little is left as allowance for use of land. The average annual cost of fence and pasture was 52.73 per acre of pasture. Depreciation and repairs of fences for the farms cost Si. 17 per year for each acre of pasture. The amounts of each kind of fence and the costs

TAble 33. Costs per House of Maintaining Operators' Houses, 1914 to 1918


TABLE 34. Costs per House of Maintaining Tenant Houses, 1914 to 1918

|  | 1914 (17 farms. 12 houses) |  | 1915 ( 45 farms, 29 houses) |  | 191\% <br> (. 30 farms, 27 houses) |  | 1917 <br> (31 farms, 28 houses) |  | 1918 <br> (28 farms, 20 houses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost |
| Values at beginuing of year. |  | \$537.50 |  | \$563.79 |  | \$553. 70 |  | \$586. 25 |  | \$542.50 |
| Purchases. . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 42.80 |  | 21.60 |
| Value of imyrovements |  | 0 |  | 2.11 |  | 123.00 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Sales. . |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{0}$ |  | 28.57 |  | 0 |
| Value at end of year |  | 537.50 |  | 56.3 .79 |  | 6603.33 |  | (6)4. 11 |  | 564.10 |
| Repairs: <br> Farm labor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor. | 22 | \$ 5.58 | 11 | \$ 2.93 | 11 | \$ 3.24 | 7 | \$ 2.57 | 10 | \$ 4.06 |
| Horse lator. | . 3 | 0.45 | 1 | 0.11 | 2 | 0.27 | 0.4 | 0.08 | 3 | 0.66 |
| Use of equipment |  | 0.14 |  | 0.0 .3 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.02 |  | 0. 21 |
| Cash labor. . . . . . |  | 1.10 |  | 2.31 |  | 4.60 |  | 1.42 |  | 3.47 |
| Other repair costs |  | 9.90 |  | 2.45 |  | 9.05 |  | ${ }_{*}^{4.41}$ |  | 9.95 |
| Depreciation. . . . . |  | ${ }^{0} 7$ |  | 2.11 |  | 12.37 |  | * 03 |  | ${ }^{0} 5$ |
| Interest.... |  | 27.38 |  | 28.55 |  | 30.90 |  | 28.93 |  | 33.58 |
| Taxes . . . |  | 4.29 1.47 |  | 4.88 1.85 |  | 5.14 1.34 |  | 5.6 .5 1.59 |  | 5.36 1.28 |
| Insurance. |  | 1.47 |  | 1.85 |  | 1.34 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.28 |
| Total cost. |  | \$50.31 |  | \$45. 22 |  | \$66.99 |  | \$44.67 |  | \$58.57 |
| Total cost in per cent of average investment. . |  | 9.4 |  | 8.0 |  | 11.0 |  | 7.5 |  | 10.6 |

table 35. Costs per Farm of Maintaining Barns and Other Outbuildings, 1914 to 1918

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ (17 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (45 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ (30, \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ (31 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ (28 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost |
| Value at beginning of year. |  | \$2,559. 12 |  | \$2,520.07 |  | \$3,228. 17 |  | \$3,195.48 |  | \$3,163.39 |
| Purchases.............. |  | 0 |  | . 52.56 |  | 0 |  | 14.65 |  | 25.00 |
| Value of improvements . |  | 236.52 |  | 31.3 .55 |  | 105.74 |  | 37.80 |  | -68.05 |
| Sales and insurance received |  | 64.71 |  | 36.11 2763.47 |  | ${ }^{0}{ }^{0} 7$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{0}$ |  | $\cdots \quad 1.21$ |
| Value at end of year |  | 2,690.00 |  | 2,763.47 |  | 3,312.77 |  | 3,242.35 |  | 3,242.34 |
| Repairs: <br> Farm labor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 109 | \$ 27.40 | 129 | \$ 33.53 | 8.3 | \$ 25.14 | 106 | \$ 37.77 | 80 | \$ 31.56 |
| Horse labor. | 22 | 3.46 | 27 | 4.18 | 1.3 | 2.15 | 13 | 2.64 | 11 | 2.42 |
| Use of equipment |  | 1.08 |  | 1.18 |  | 0.61 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.76 |
| Other repair costs. |  | 26.92 |  | 36.17 |  | 21.12 |  | 43.01 |  | 51.05 |
| Depreciation. . . . . |  | 40.93 |  | 34.60 |  | 21.14 |  | 5.58 |  | 12.89 |
| Interest.... |  | 134.08 |  | 133.04 |  | 165.20 |  | 164.19 |  | 193.93 |
| Taxes. |  | 21.01 |  | 22.73 |  | 27.49 |  | 32.10 |  | 30.97 |
| Insurance |  | 7.21 |  | 8.62 |  | 7.15 |  | 9.05 |  | 7.38 |
| Total costs |  | \$262.09 |  | \$274.05 |  | \$270.0.3 |  | \$295.10 |  | \$330.0 |
| Total cost in per cent of average investment. |  | 10.0 |  | 10.4 |  | 8.3 |  | 9.2 |  | 10.3 |

TABLE 36. Costs per Farm of Maintaining Crop Land, 1914 to 1918

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ (17 \text { farms, } 108.8 \\ \text { acres of crop land }) \end{gathered}$ |  | 1915 <br> (45 farms, 94.4 acres of crop land) |  | 1916 <br> (30 farms, 110.5 acres of crop liand) |  | 1917 <br> (31 farms, 104. 3 acres of crop land) |  | $1918$ <br> (28 farms, 102.9 acres of crop land) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value at beginning of year <br> Purchases and transters <br> Value of improvements (from table 37 ) <br> Sales and transfers. <br> Value at end of year | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost |
|  | -.- | $\$ 7,9.58 .0 .3$37.50184.570$8,164.59$ |  | \$6,321. 11 |  | \$8,081.12 |  | 37,0.31 . 6.3 |  | \$8,296.77 |
|  |  |  |  | 178.78 |  | 102.07 |  | 8.83 .42 |  | 156.89 |
|  |  |  |  | 17.72 |  | 106.00 |  | 64.12 |  | 45.02 |
|  |  |  |  | 34.76 |  | 106 |  | ${ }_{0}^{64.12}$ |  | ${ }^{45.02}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 6,470. 58 |  | 8,258.54 |  | 7,756.10 |  | 8,488.50 |
| Repairs: <br> Jrainage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iluman labor | 11 | \$ 2.88 | 4 | \$ 1.00 | 7 | \$ 2.20 | 19 | 86.80 | 14 | \$ 5.60 |
| Horse labor | 4 | 0.71 | 1 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.019 | 7 | 1.4 | 7 | \$ 1.55 |
| Ise of egripment |  | 0.22 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.42 |  | 0.49 |
| ()ther costs |  | 0.45 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.1 \% |  | 0.88 |  | 2.22 |
| Human labor | 4.3 | 10.89 | 23 | 6.0 .5 | 32 | 9.68 | 43 | 15.15 | 46 |  |
| Horse labor. | 9 | 1.45 | 8 | 1.24 | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | 43 7 | 15.15 1.35 | +68 | 18.08 1.06 |
| Use of equipment |  | 0. 1.45 |  | 0.35 |  | 0.31 | , | 0.31 |  | 0.3.3 |
| Stone (ammal): IJuman lator | 2.3 | 5.80 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ilorse labor. | . 30 | 4.8 | 18 | 3.50 2.80 | 10 | 3.16 | 22 | 7.67 5.12 | 28 35 | 10.88 |
| Use of equiphient |  | 1.48 |  | 0.80 | 14 | 0.71 | 26 | 1.98 | 35 | 7.91 2.49 |
| Other baber: <br> Human labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horse labor. . | 24 | 6.55 3.85 |  | 0 0 | 16 | 4.79 | 32 | 11.4) | 9 | 3.60 |
| Use of equipment |  | 3.85 1.20 |  | 0 | 12 | 2.08 0.62 | 30 | 5.97 1.72 | 8 | 1.87 |
| Other costs. . . . . . |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.62 0.17 |  | 1.72 |  | 0.59 |
| Depreciation. |  | 1.5 .51 |  | $\stackrel{0}{12.27}$ |  | 0.17 30.65 |  | ${ }_{23}{ }^{0} 07$ |  | 0.18 |
| Interest |  | 408.82 |  | 322.53 |  | 413.31 |  | 386.22 |  | 10.18 500.77 |
| Taxes. |  | 64.07 |  | 55.11 |  | 68.78 |  | 75.51 |  | -79.97 |
| Total costs |  | \$529.16 |  | \$405.87 |  | \$540.95 |  | \$544.67 |  | \$647.77 |
| average investment |  | 6.6 |  | 6.3 |  | 6.6 |  | 7.1 |  | 7.7 |

tabie 37. Improvements Made on Crop Land, per Farm, 1914 to 1918

of fencing are given in Memoir $3+$ of this station. ${ }^{21}$ pages 459 to -33 The cost of maintenance from I9I4 to IOI7 averaged 5.7 cents per rod per vear. Pasture and fences were charged to animals at cost, as determined by the above methods. The data are given in tables 38 and 39 .

## wOODLAND

There was an average of 14.6 acres of woodland per farm, with an average inventory value of $\$ 339$, or $\$ 23$ per acre. The primary cost was that of labor. The value of the wood and lumber was more than enough to pay the small cost of the woodlots. The fact that the wood is conveniently available for posts, fuel, and repairs, may make the woodlots more valuable than the small inventory would indicate. The data on costs of maintaining woodland are given in table 40.

## GENERAL EXPENSES

Miscellaneous and general expenses were usually charged directly to the enterprise that caused the expenses. In I918, the charge is larger than should occur under this heading. General expense in the successive years from 1914 to 1918 averaged, respectively, $\$ 36.19, \$ 45 \cdot 45, \$ 27 \cdot 37$, $\$ 22.87$, and $\$ 107.57$ per farm. This was charged in proportion to the real estate charges. Probably a charge in proportion to mån labor would be more accurate, but the charge should be kept so small as to make the method of distribution of small importance.

## MANLRE

The various animals were credited with the amount of manure recovered for use on crop land, and the manure account was charged with this amount. No account was taken of manure that was not recovered. Manure dropped on pasture was not considered. To allow for it would merely make an equai increase in the charge to the animals for use of pasture.

Manure was valued by the farmers on the basis of its commercial value in the region. In truck regions the value is usually very high. In sections where many cows are kept and where there is little crop land, the value is very low. The value of manure is dependent also on its distance from the field on which it is to be applied.

Some persons assume that manure is worth what the chemical elements in it would cost. But the value of manure, as of anything else, depends on what can be done with it. Its analvis may be the same in a city, on a truck farm, and at a barn two miles from a field, but its value is dependent on where it is and what can be done with it. It would be just as accurate to value hay on the basis of analysis as to so value manure. Hay analyzes the same in the city as in the country, but its value is not the same in the two localities.

Some manure is given to farmers free for the hauling. In 1918, cow and horse manure at the barn were valued at from Si to $\$ 2$ per ton on the different farms (table 4I). The average at the barn for horse

[^14]Table 38. Costs per farm of Maintaining Pasture and Fences, 1914 to 1918

|  | $1914$ <br> ( 17 farms, 33.8 acres per farm) |  | 1915 <br> (45 farms, 34 acres per farm) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1916 \\ & \text { (30 farms, } 40.6 \\ & \text { acres per farm) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $1917$ <br> (31 farms, 44.6 acres per farm) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1918 \\ & (28 \text { farms, } 36.2 \\ & \text { acres per farm) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost | Hours | Cost |
| Value at beginning of year . . . . . Purchases and transfers . . . . . |  | $\$ 919.24$ 0 |  | $\underset{0}{\$ 873.89}$ |  | $\$ 967.45$ 1.67 |  | \$1,107. 79 35.81 |  | $\underset{0}{\$ 973.38}$ |
| Value of improvements (from tahle 39) |  | 12.39 |  | 14.09 |  | 18.47 |  | 19.07 |  | 14.82 |
| Sales and transfers. . . . . . . . . . . |  | 37. 50 |  | 0 |  | 20.67 |  | 0 |  | 5.68 |
| Value at end of year |  | 881.74 |  | 873.89 |  | 948.45 |  | 1,127.06 |  | 967.70 |
| Fence repairs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 69 | \$17.19 | 58 | \$15.15 | 54 | \$16.43 | 49 | \$17.48 | 45 | \$17.89 |
| Horse labor. . . . . | 26 | 4.08 | 21 | 3.26 | 22 | 3.71 | 18 | 3.55 | 16 | 3.63 |
| Use of equipment |  | 1.28 |  | 0.92 |  | 1.10 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.14 |
| Other costs. . . . . |  | 6.65 |  | 5.05 |  | 1.12 |  | 3.60 |  | 1.77 |
| Depreciation. |  | 12.39 |  | 14.09 |  | 18.47 |  | 35.61 |  | 14.82 |
| Interest.... |  | 45.80 |  | 44.27 |  | 48.80 |  | 56.15 |  | 56.83 |
| Taxes. |  | 7.18 |  | 7.56 |  | 8.12 |  | 10.98 |  | 9.07 |
| Total costs.... |  | \$94. 57 |  | \$90.30 |  | \$97.75 |  | \$128.39 |  | \$105.15 |
| average investment . |  |  |  |  |  | 10.2 |  | 11.5 |  | 10.8 |

TABLE 39. Improvements Made on Pasture and Fences, per Farm, 1914 to 1918

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ (17 \mathrm{farms}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (45 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ (30 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ (31 \mathrm{fa} / \mathrm{ms}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ (28 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hours | Value | Hours | Value | Hours | Value | Hours | Value | Hours | ${ }^{4}$ Valu |
| New fences: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 11.9 | \$2.98 | 14.8 | \$3.84 | 28.6 | \$8.67 | 28.2 | \$10.05 | 9.0 | \$3.58 |
| Horse labor. . | 4.9 | 0.77 | 4.1 | 0.63 | 5.9 | 0.99 | 12.1 | 2.39 | 2.4 | 0.55 |
| Use of equipment |  | 0.24 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.29 |  | 0.69 | 2.4 | 0.17 |
| Cash labor. . . . |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2.02 |
| Other costs |  | 4.19 |  | 7.35 |  | 7.40 |  | 4.19 |  | 5.04 |
| Grass seed. |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.10 |
| Lime. . . . |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0.30 |  | 0.09 |  | 0 |
| Manure. |  | 4.21 |  | 2.09 |  | 0. 77 |  | 1.66 |  | 2.36 |
| Fertilizer |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.05 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total. |  | \$12.39 |  | \$14.09 |  | \$18.47 |  | \$19.07 |  | \$14.82 |

TABIE 40. Costs per Farm of Mantaning Woomland, 1914 to 1918


[^15]TABLE 41. Costs of Manure, 1914 to 1918

| - .-. ---- - | 1914 <br> ( 18 farms) |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ \text { (46 farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ (31 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1917 <br> (31 farms) |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ (32 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| F'urcharast | $\xrightarrow{(T u n s)}$ | \$ 451.15 | (Tins) 31585 | \$44611 | (Tons) 41.65 | \$ 75.46 | (Tuns) 265.5 | \$ 246.47 | (Tons 524.88 | \$ 358.9.3 |
| Given for hanling |  | + 1.15 | 76.0 | - | 30.0 | * .-... 46 | 5.0 | - -737 | 48.0 | - 358.0 .3 |
| lirst inventory. | - | … | 136.0 | 187.22 | 140.0 | 140.00 | 475.0 | 737.34 | 621.5 | 1,224.78 |
| firom horses | 78.3.0 | 1.189.00 | 2,351.0 | 2,750.35 | 1,932.0 | 2.414 .75 | 1.789 .0 | 2.773 .00 | 1.692 .0 | 2.807 .25 |
| From catte | 1.826 .5 | 2,758.76 | 4.711 .5 | 5,068.50 | 4,507.0 | 4,931.20 | 4,590.5 | 6,512.32 | 5.732 .25 | 9,027.13 |
| From slwep | 1.83.0 | 64.50 | 233.0 | 284.10 | 100.0 | 125.00 | 147.0 | 225.00 | 76.25 | 126.38 |
| From hogs. | 60.16 | 92.25 | 206.5 | 277.85 | 124.0 | 167.00 | 91.5 | 152.1 ? | 185.0 | 322.25 |
| From [oultrs | 133.59 | 267.50 | 264.0 | 574.75 | 239.25 | 515.00 | 2.34 .75 | 490.63 $-\quad 50.00$ | 21.3 .0 | 485.75 |
| Other mamure | - | $\cdots$ | 28.0 | 28.00 | 20.0 | 29.00 | 37.0 | $-50.00$ | 10.0 | 20.00 |
| Striow. | 25.25 | 11) 75 | 69.0 | 311.50 | 93.25 | 449.75 | 79.75 | 403.25 | 107.45 | 680.75 |
| Other refuse | 25.5 | 38. 75 | 14.5 | 16.75 | 53.5 | 87.50 | 3.0 | 9.50 | 14.0 | 10.00 |
| Acid phosphate and rock phossphate. | 3.08 | 39.(0) | 1.5 | 19.19 | 0.7 | 13.03 | 0.5 | 11.17 | 2.36 | 40.64 |
| Total bofore tauling <br>  | 3.122,58 | $\$ 5,020$ <br> $\$ 1.61$ | 8.406 .85 | $\$ 0,964.32$ $\$ 1$ | 7,281.35 | \$8.047.69 $\$ 1.23$ | 7,718.5 | \$11,628 819 | 3.2360 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15.103 .86 \\ \$ 1.64 \end{array}$ |
| Manuresodd. . . . . . |  | \$1.61 | 3.0 | \$3.50 | 5.0 | \$5.09 | 70 | \$7 00) | 1.0 | \$1.00 |
| Second inventor |  | --- |  | - | 25.0 | \$25.00 | 411.0 | \$615.74 | 520.0 | \$897.78 |
| Total applied to crops | 3,122. 58 | \$5,020.66 | 8.403 .85 | \$0,960.82 | 7,251. 35 | \$8.917.69 | 7.300. 5 | \$11.00f, 06 | 8.705.6) | \$14.205.08 |
| Hatuling matura: | (llours) |  | (Hours) |  | (Ifours) |  | (Inars) |  | (1]oursi |  |
| Humath labor | 3.5887 | \$300. 62 | 10,730 | \$2.788.73 | 9,181 | \$2.778.17 | 8.725 | \$3.108 72 | 118.466 | \$4.141.40 |
| llorse labor | 5.694 | 899.65 | 18,602 | 2,879 59 | 15,671 | 2,610.71 | 14,64.5 | 2,885.16 | 18,25, | 4,1/3.35 |
| T'se of crnipment |  | 281.28 |  | 816.63 |  | 774.15 |  | 8.33 .30 |  | 1,290.61 |
| Othercouts. |  |  |  | 6.00 |  | --- |  | 71.74 |  | 170.10 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Tons) } \\ 3,12258 \end{gathered}$ | \$2.080. 55 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Tons) } \\ & 8.403 .85 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,400.05 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Tons) } \\ 7.251 .35 \end{gathered}$ | \$6,163. 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Tons) } \\ 7.300 .5 \end{gathered}$ | \$6.897.82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Tons) } \\ & 8,70.5 .69 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Averast cost of hauling ber ton. |  | $\$ 2.080 .55$ $\$ 0.67$ |  | $\$ 6,400.95$ $\$ 0.77$ |  | $\$ 6.163 .11$ 80.85 | . 300.5 | $\$ 0.807 .82$ 594.4 |  | $\$ 9,734.40$ $\$ 1.12$ |
| Totalcost....... |  | \$7.101.21 |  | \$16,4.51.77 |  | \$15,080.80 |  | \$17,903.88 |  | \$73.939.54 |
| Avirigecharge per ton of manure applied ......................... |  | \$2.27 |  | \$1.96 |  | \$2.08 |  | \$2.45 |  | \$2.75 |

manure was $\$ 1.66$, for cattle manure $\$ 1.57$, and for all manure $\$ 1.64$. The average cost of hauling was $\$$ I.12. The total cost applied was $\$ 2.75$. so that crops were charged with this amount.

In the same year, hauling and spreading manure took an average of I. 2 man hours of labor per ton and an average of 2.1 horse hours.

The crops were charged in proportion to the benefits expected to be derived from the manuring practice. The charges to different crops are shown in table 42. Corn and most other tilled crops receive applications. larger than the amounts charged to these crops. Scme crops, such as oats, receive very little manure directly.

TABIE 42. Charges for Manere, Five-Years Average, 1914 to 1918

| Crop | Per cent of total manure charged to crop | Average tons charged per acre | Average charge per acre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa | 2.9 | 1.2 | \$ 2.91 |
| Barley. | 1.9 | 2.5 | 5.89 |
| Beans. | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.73 |
| Buckwheat | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.38 |
| Cabbage | 3.2 | 3.0 | 7.26 |
| Corn for grain | 3.2 | 2.3 | 5.24 |
| Corn for silage | 13.8 | 3.6 | 8.55 |
| Sweet corn | 1.9 | 4.7* | 10.17* |
| Hay. | 31.3 | 1.7 | 3.98 |
| Oats | 13.4 | 2.1 | 4.73 |
| Canning-factory peas | 1.1 | $2.0 \dagger$ | $4.28{ }^{+}$ |
| Potatoes. | 6.7 | 3.2 | 7.63 |
| Rye. | 0.9 | 1.7 | 4.16 |
| Tobacco | 1.1 | 8.6 | 18.51 |
| Wheat | 7.3 | 1.8 | 4.16 |
| Orchard and fruit | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.54 |
| Garden | 1.2 | 7.5 | 17.33 |
| Other crors | 3.5 | - | - |
| Pasture... | 0.6 | - | -- |

*Four-years average, 1914-1917.
$\dagger$ Three-years average, 1914 to 1916.
The total manure applied to crops amounted to 2.I tons per year for each acre of crops.

## LIME

The lowest average cost of lime per ton at the railroad station was $\$ 2.61$ in 1916 (table 43 ). In 1918 it was $\$ 3.81$ per ton. As a five-years average, 3.3 hours of man labor and 5.5 hours of horse labor were required to haul and apply each ton. The labor and other costs aside from the purchase price amounted to $\$ 1.82$ in 1916 and $\$ 5.04$ in 1918 .

TABLE 43. Cost of Lime, 1914 to 1918

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ (6 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (20 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ (16 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ (17 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ (17 \text { farms) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quan- } \\ & \text { tity } \end{aligned}$ | Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Quan- } \\ \text { tity } \end{gathered}$ | Value | Quantity | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quan- } \\ & \text { tity } \end{aligned}$ | Vilue | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {tity }}$ | Value |
| Purchased. | (Tons) | \$328.69 | (Tons) 172.77 | \$524.68 | (Tons) | \$ 797.70 | (Tons) | \$462.55 | (Tons) 136.24 | \$466.89 |
| Sold. | 0 | 0 | 10.1 | 35. 37 | 7.5 | 24.22 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 4.90 |
| Net amount used, and cost at railroad* ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 87.945 <br> (Hours) | \$346.69 | $\begin{gathered} 162.67 \\ \text { (Hours) } \end{gathered}$ | 489.31 | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} 327.61 \\ \text { (Hours) } \end{array}\right.\right)$ | 854.41 | 146. 4.3 <br> (Hours) | 502.19 | 1.35 .34 <br> (Hours) | 515.95 |
| Human labor. | 304 | 76.24 | 548 | 142.43 | (1)854 | 201.45 | ${ }^{4.37}$ | 155.70 | ( 705 | 278.97 |
| Horse labor. | 516 | 81.53 | 802 | 124.15 | 1,507 | 251.07 | 774 | 152.48 | 1,096 | 247.59 |
| Use of equipment |  | 25.49 |  | 35.21 |  | 74.45 |  | +4.0.t |  | 78.04 |
| Automobile lahor |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.25 |
| Tractor labor |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 67.12 |
| Use of buiddings |  | 4.46 |  | 0 |  | 7.00 |  | 4.00 |  | 5.56 |
| Other costs . . . |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.25 |  | 0 |  | 3.68 |
| Total costs. |  | \$534.41 |  | \$791.10 |  | \$1.449. 6.3 |  | \$858. +1 |  | \$1,198. 16 |
| Cost per ton at railroad |  | \$3.942 |  | \$3.008 |  | \$2.608 |  | \$.3. 4.30 |  | \$3. 812 |
| Other costs per ton. |  | \$2.135 |  | \$1.855 |  | \$1.817 |  | \$2.433 |  | \$5.041 |
| Total cost per ton |  | \$6.077 |  | \$4.863 |  | \$4.425 |  | \$5.862 |  | \$8.853 |

## *Including freight.

The cost of lime was distributed to the different crops in proportion to the expected benefits to be derived from its use. Since many farmers did not use lime, the average for all acres is small. The actual rate of application on the area covered was usually from one-half ton to one ton. The charges are shown in table 44:

TAbife 44. Charges for Lime, Focr-Years Average, 1915 to 1918

| Crop | Per cent of total lime charged to crop | Average tons charged per acre | Average charge per acre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa | 8.2 | 0.15 | \$1.04 |
| Barley. | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0. 44 |
| Beans | 0.8 | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| Beets. | 0.3 | 0.12 | 0.80 |
| Buckwheat | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| Cabbage. | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Corn for grain | 1.4 | 0.03 | 0.17 |
| Corn for silage | 6.3 | 0.06 | 0.30 |
| Hay. . . . . . . | 35.0 | 0.16 | 0.29 |
| Oats | 20.0 | 0.12 | 0.53 |
| Canning-factory peas | 1.5 | 0.25 | 1.11 |
| Potatoes. . . . . . . . | 1.2 | 0.04 | 0.10 |
| Rye |  | - | 0.03 |
| Winter wheat | 7.2 | 0.04 | 0.26 |
| All else | 15.6 | - | -- |

DAIRY CATTLE
Results for farms keeping six or more cows and selling wholesale market milk were tabulated separately. On farms with fewer than six cows the dairy is so frequently an incidental enterprise, and so much of the milk is likely to be fed on the farm, that such farms are not considered to be typical of the dairy industry. As a four-years average from 1914 to 1917, the milk produced per cow in addition to milk fed to cattle was 232 I pounds for herds having less than six cows and 5939 pounds for herds having more than six cows.

The methods and costs are different when milk is retailed or when some product other than market milk is produced. Costs for dairy cows were kept separate from the costs for other classes of cattle.

The feed and other costs include costs for cows that are dry, and for cows and heifers that were in the herd for only a part of the year. This makes the results very different from records as reported by cow-testing associations. Such records are usually for animals that complete the year. Most of the heifers are not in the herd for a full year during their first lactation period, and most of the cows are not in the herd for the full year in the year when they do so poorly as to be discarded. Such averages, therefore, include the three best years for most cows and exclude the two fractional poor years.

The average costs are given in table 4.5. The grain fed averaged from i754 to 2167 pounds per cow for the different years; the average amount of hay varied from 2905 to 4298 pounds per cow ; and the aver-



[^16]age amount of silage varied from 5673 to 7892 pounds per cow. The herds that did not feed silage fed the most hay, so that the proportion of the herds using silage affects the relative quantities of silage and hay.

In 1919, the average amount of silage fed per cow on farms that used silage was 6257 pounds. On these farms an average of 3662 pounds of hay was fed per cow.

The labor per cow for five years averaged it4 hours. As with all of the accounts included in this study, the results are for farms that are much better than the average. The labor per cow is less and the milk produced per cow is more than on the average farm. The five-vears average milk production was 6290 pounds per cow. A discussion of labor requirements on dairy cattle is given on pages 49 and 50 .

Details of the method of calculating depreciation are given in table 46. The death rate among cows was nearly 2 per cent. On the average, 23 per cent of the cows were disposed of per year. The animals slaughtered or sold for slaughter gave a credit of 56 per cent of the average inventory price. The depreciation per year averaged 5.2 per cent.

Details showing the methods of determining the cost of bull service are shown in table 47. The net cost of keeping the bull was divided by the number of cows to get the cost per cow.

The total grain fed for five years is given in table 48. Of the total feed, 8I per cent was home-mixed and i9 per cent consisted of proprietary feeds. More than half of the grain given was high-protein feed. The primary succulent feed (table 49) was corn, this constituting 91 per cent of the succulent feed. The dry forage is given in table 5o, and details of the kinds of materials used for bedding are given in table 51 .

TABIE: 46. Deprechation on Dairy Cows, 1914 to 1918

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { (9 farms, } 149.7 \text { cows) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1915$(26$ farms, 440,25 cows $)$ |  |  |  | 1917$(17$ farms, 384.8 cows) |  | 1918$(18$ farms, 387.49 cows) $)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of head | Value | Number of head | Value | Number of head | Value | Number of head | Value | Number of head | Value |
| First inventery . . . . . . . . . Purchases. Transfers from heifer account | 150 1 24 | $\$ 12,100.00$ 65.00 $4,045.00$ | 405 57 90 | $\$ 35.811 .00$ 4.475 .4 .5 8.430 .00 | 3.35 42 54 | \$30,475.00 4.030 .87 $3,975.00$ | 3.37 60 58 | $\$ 32.451 .00$ $8,458.48$ 6.475 .00 | 381 42 63 | $837,909.00$ $4,915.99$ $7,560.00$ |
| Total charges. |  | \$16.210.00 |  | \$48,716.45 |  | \$38,480.87 |  | \$47,384.48 |  | \$50,384.99 |
| Sold as breeders. ............. | 11 | \$ 792.00 | 11 | \$1,085 00 | 17 |  | 30 |  | 26 | \$ 3.524 .58 |
| Slaughtered or sodi for slaughter | 10 | 300.64 | 80 | 5.047 .99 | 74 | 3,603.18 | 48 | 2,829.87 | 65 | 4,036.41 |
| Died hiides solil . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4 | -26.25 | 12 | -95.35 | 6 6 | 61.73 | 3 9 | -75.53 | 7 8 | -58.06 |
| Scond inventory | 150 | 14,610.00 | 449 | 38,745 00 | 334 | 31.736.00 | 383 | 38,9,34 00 | 388 | 41.046 .00 |
| Total credits |  | \$15,728.80 |  | \$44,973.34 |  | \$37,025.41 |  | \$46,231.40 |  | \$48,665.05 |
| Depreciation. <br> Derreciation per cow |  | \$481.11 |  | \$3.74.3.11 $\$ 8.50$ |  | $\$ 1,45.5 .46$ $\$ 4.25$ |  | \$1,153.08 $\$ 3.00$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.719 .94 \\ \$ 4.44 \end{array}$ |
| Depreciation in per cent of average inventory |  | 3.6 |  | 10.0 |  | 4.7 |  | 3.2 |  | 4.4 |

[^17]Five-years average disposed of, 23 per cent

TABLE 47. Cost of Keeping a Herd Bull, $191+$ to 1918

|  | 1014(8 farms, 7.65 bulls) |  | 1915$(21$ farms, 19.3 buils) |  | 1916$(16$ farms. 16.38 bulls) |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ (16 \text { farms. } 15.87 \text { bulls) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ (16 \text { farms, } 17.83 \text { bulls) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of head | Value | Number of head | Value | Number of head | Value | Number of hurad | Value | Number of head | Value |
| First inventory....... |  | \$ 705.00 |  | \$3.171.00 |  | \$2.925.00 |  | \$2.800.00 | 20.5 | \$2,750.001 |
| Purchases and transfers | 7 3 | $1,195.75$ 20000 | 15.75 | 480.50 991.22 | 4 5 | 240.50 38200 | 4.5 | 959.07 591.32 | 7 6 | ${ }_{732} 765$ |
| Sales and transfers. . . | 3 0 | 200.00 | 15 | 991.22 | 5 0 | 382.00 | 7 0 | 591.32 | 6.5 | 732.25 |
| Secondinventory | 12 | 1,541.00 | 21.25 | 3,060.00 | 18 | 2.720 .00 | 17.5 | 3.205 .00 | 20 | 2,317.50 |
|  | Quantity | Valu ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| Costs per bull: Grain | 185 lbs. | \$ 2.66 | 1,024 lbs. | \$15.70 | 802 lbs . | \$13.62 | 933 lbs . | \$23.00 | 819 lbs. | \$21.55 |
| Hay and other dry forage. | 3.843 lbs . | 2381 | 4.299 lbs . | 25.72 | 5.113 lbs . | 26.93 | 5,172 lbs. | 33.64 | 4.695 lbs. | 42.15 |
| Silage and other succulent feed | 5.098 lbs . | 13.15 | $4,632 \mathrm{lbs}$. | 12.53 | 3,370 lbs . | 11.57 | 2,899 lbas. | 12.67 | 2.468 lbs . | 12.47 |
| Pasture..... ${ }^{\text {Other fed. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }}$. |  | 4.69 2.74 |  | 2.77 2.04 |  | 2.21 0.71 |  | 1.77 2.53 |  | 3.39 0.44 |
| Total cost of feed. |  | \$47.05 |  | \$58.76 |  | \$55.04 |  | \$73.61 |  | \$80.00 |
| Bedding | 7.32 lbs. | 1.79 | 503 lbs . | 1.60 | $342 \mathrm{lls}$. | 0.93 | 48.3 lbs . | 1.52 | 477 lbs . |  |
| Use of buildines. |  | 2.44 |  | 3.61 |  | 2.50 |  | 3.23 |  | 2.50 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 0.65 0.31 |  | 0.17 0.28 |  | - ${ }_{0}^{0} 3$ |  | 0.01 0.16 |  | 0.22 0.18 |
| Insurance. |  |  |  |  |  | - 0.33 -8.62 |  |  |  | 0.18 8.69 |
| Labor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 8.3 .3 hrs . | 20.88 | 83.3 hrs . | 21.64 | 76.6 hrs . | 23.17 | 97.18 hrs . | 34.89 | 77.7 hrs . | 30.76 |
| Horse labor. ${ }^{\text {Use of equipment }}$ | 1.6 hrs . | 0.25 0.08 | - 3.3 hrs . | 0.51 0.14 | 0.2 hr . | ${ }^{0.03}$ | 1.8 hre. | 0.35 0.10 | $1.7 \mathrm{lirs}$. | 0.39 0.12 |
| Depreciation...... |  | 20.88 |  | $\square$ |  | 3.88 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 26.12 |
| All other cost: |  | 0.13 |  | 6.59 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.34 |  | . 0.56 |
| Total costs |  | \$101.59 |  | 595.37 |  | \$94.96 |  | \$123.81 |  | \$1.51.35 |
| Returns: | 5.7 tons |  | 7.9 tons |  | 9.1 tons |  | 8.8 tons |  | 9.1 tons |  |
| Service fees received. | 5.72 ms | 1.57 | 7.9 tons | 2.77 | 9.1 tons | 10.27 | 8.8tons | 9.36 8.02 | . 1 tons | $\stackrel{4.71}{ }$ |
| Appreciation...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total returns. |  | \$10.29 |  | \$31 93 |  | \$20.02 |  | \$29.58 |  | \$19.49 |
| Net cost. |  | \$01. 30 |  | \$63.44 |  | \$74.94 |  | \$94.23 |  | \$131.86 |

TABLE 48. Concentrites Fen to 1704.39 Dury Cows, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iome-mixed: |  |  |
| Barley and ground barley. | 20,393 | 0.64 |
| Barley feed. . . . . . . . . . | 24,503 | 0.76 |
| Bean meal. | 1,735 | 0.05 |
| Bect pulp. | 13,356 | 0.42 |
| Buckwheat | 392 | 0.01 |
| Buckwheat ferd | 11,840 | 0.37 |
| Buckwheat middlings | 15,638 | 0.49 |
| Brewers' dried grains . | 153,541 | 4.79 |
| Brewers' wet grains (dry equivalent ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 144,215 | 4.50 |
| Chop feed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,000 | 0.03 |
| Coconut meal | 4,292 | 0.13 |
| Corn and cornmeal | 96,371 | 3.01 |
| Corn bran. | 44,859 | 1.40 |
| Corn-feed meal | 4,695 | 0.15 |
| Corn-germ meal. | 300 | 0.01 |
| Corn-and-cob meal | 2,256 | 0.07 |
| Corn on ear. . | 1,384 | 0.114 |
| Corn middlings. | 1,000 | 0.03 |
| Cottonseed feed | 1,600 | 0.05 |
| Cottonseed meal | 161,848 | 5.05 |
| Distillers' dried grains. | 317,805 | 9.92 |
| Gluten feed . . . . . . . . | 562,615 | 17. 36 |
| Hominy. | 63,179 | 1.97 |
| Malt sprouts | 22,389 | 0.70 |
| Molasses . . . | 1,350 | 0.04 |
| Oats and ground oats. | 180,3+7 | 5.6 .3 |
| Oats and barley, and ground oats and harley. | 9,197 | 0.29 |
| Oat screenings. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 100 |  |
| Linseed oilmeal | 130,671 | 408 |
| Other mixed feed | 81,585 | 2.55 |
| Peas and pea meal | 7,180 | (1.29 |
| Peanut meal. | 3,000 | 0.09 |
| Peanut-oil meal | 7,100 | 0.22 |
| Red-dog. | +,3,30 | 0.14 |
| Rice feed | 1,322 | 0.04 |
| Rye... | 150 | . 1 |
| Rye feed. | 250 | 0.01 |
| Rye middlings . . . . . . . | 154 | ---- |
| Wheat and ground wheat | 12,495 | (0.3) |
| Wheat bran. . . . . . . . . . | 267,829 | 8.30 |
| Wheat feed . . . . | 219,726 | 6.85 |
| Wheat middlings. | 53,999 | 1.09 |
| Total home-mixed | $2,6.51,991$ | 82.76 |
| Total mixed feeds | 551,178 | 17.21 |
| Total concentrates. | 3,203,169 | 99.97 |

TABLE 49. Succulent Feed Fed to 1704.39 Dairy Cows, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silage: |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 8,000 | 0.07 |
| Corn | 9,684,800 | 89.06 |
| Millet | 132,000 | 1.21 |
| Oat and pea | 60,000 | 0.55 |
| Pea vine. | 83,786 | 0.77 |
| Soybean | 14,000 | 0.13 |
| Total silage | 9,982,586 | 91.79 |
| Other succulent feed: |  |  |
| Green alfalfa | 64,000 | 0.59 |
| Apples. | 20,750 | 0.19 |
| Beets. | 66,805 | 0.61 |
| Cabbage | 349,500 | 3.21 |
| Carrots. | 4,230 | 0.04 |
| Green clover hay | 13,333 | 0.12 |
| Green corn fodder | 216,000 | 1.99 |
| Green millet | 46,000 | 0.42 |
| Green oats. | 37,000 | 0.34 |
| Soybeans. | 2,000 | 0.02 |
| Potatoes. | 56,100 | 0.52 |
| Turnips. | 16,710 | 0.15 |
| Total succulent feed | 10,875,014 | 100.00 |

TABLE 50. Dry Forage Fed to 1704.3, Dairy Cows, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hay: |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 421,519 | 6.78 |
| Clover | 189,190 | 3.04 |
| Timothy | 42,000 | 0.68 |
| Mixed. | 4,547,877 | 73.11 |
| Buckwheat | 3,000 | 0.05 |
| Millet | 12,000 | 0.19 |
| Oat | 139,659 | 2.25 |
| Pea | 28,000 | 0.45 |
| Rape | 2,000 | 0.03 |
| Rye. | 16,000 | 0.26 |
| Wheat | 6,000 | 0.10 |
| Total hay | 5,407,245 | 86.94 |
| Straw: |  |  |
| Barley | 3,000 | 0.05 |
| Bean. | 41,200 | 0.66 |
| Oat | 64.020 | 1.03 |
| Wheat | 10,880 | 0.17 |
| Total straw | 119,100 | 1.91 |
| Corn fodder | 268,000 | 4.31 |
| Cornstalks. | 426,420 | 6.85 |
| Total dry forage. | 6,220,765 | 100.00 |

TABLE 51. Bedding for 1704.39 Dimpy Cows, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Straw: |  |  |
| Barley | 48,100 | 4. 19 |
| Buckwheat | 30,0:0 | 2.62 |
| Oat | 431,581 | 37.57 |
| Oat and pea | 14,000 | 1.22 |
| Rye | 37,000 | 3.22 |
| Wheat | 135,415 | 11.88 |
| Mixed | 223,050 | 19.42 |
| Total straw. | 919,996 | 80.12 |
| Other bedding: |  |  |
| Poor hay. | 19,000 | 1.65 |
| Sawdust. | 203,160 | 17.69 |
| Shavings........ | 6,110 $1,148,266$ | 0.53 100.00 |
| Total bedding | 1,148,266 | 100.00 |

The relation of amount of grain fed per cow to other factors is shown in table 52. The farmers who fed the most grain per cow had the largest herds, and were in every way the most intensive in their methods. Some of them obtained higher prices because of having cleaner milk and producing a larger proportion of the milk in winter.

- Table 52. Relation of Pounds of Grin Fed to Cows to Cost of Milk Production and Other Fictors, 27 Farms, 1919

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l.ess ttan } \\ & 1500 \\ & \text { pounds of } \\ & \text { grain } \end{aligned}$ | From 1501 <br> to 2000 <br> pounds of grain | Over 2000 pounds of grain | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 8 | 10 | 10 | 27 |
| Average pounds of grain per cew | 778.7 | 1,715 | 2,497 | 1,761.7 |
| Number of cows | 14.1 | 18.4 | 25.35 | 19.86 |
| Pounds of hay per cow | 3,321 | +, 012 | 4,070 | 3,854 |
| Pounds of silage per cow | 5,444 | 6,881 | 6,266 | 6,257 |
| Hours of human lator per cow | 166.1 | 180.8 | 183.2 | 177.9 |
| Cost of feed and bedding per cow. | \$99.96 | §134. 18 | \$160.07 | \$134.90 |
| Cost of human labor fer cow | S72.57 | S 69.46 | \$70.95 | \$70.82 |
| Cost of horse and equipment labor; per cow | \$4.08 | \$10.26 | S5. 60 | \$7.30 |
| Total cost per cow (including depreciation, if any) | \$204. 25 | \$248.56 | S282.24 | \$249.55 |
| Cost of milk per 100 pounds sold. | \$3. 43 | 83. 20 | S3. 46 | \$3.35 |
| Pounds of milk per cow......... | 4,832 | 6,872 | 7,179 | 6,457 |
| Pounds of milk produced per pound of grain. | 6.2 | 4 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| Value of milk and milk products; per cow. | \$152.it | \$232.04 | \$272.99 | \$231.84 |
| Price of milk per 100 pounds sold | S3.26 | \$3. 38 | \$3.85 | \$3.55 |
| Total returns per cow (including appreciation if any) | $\leqslant 192.61$ | S264 02 | \$311.08 |  |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - per cow | -s11.t. | + 815.46 | +\$28.84 | +\$13.38 |
| Labor income | \$1.354.08 | \$2,123.11 | \$2,337.44 | \$2,003.11 |

As in all such comparisons, the importance of a well-balanced progress in intensity is evident. A change in one factor may call for changes in all other respects to give a well-balanced development.

With the exception of the group that fed only 779 pounds of grain per cow, the groups averaged 3.5 pounds of milk per pound of grain.

All but three of the farms having six or more cows fed silage in 1919. This number is too small to be representative of farms not feeding silage. The twenty-four farms that fed silage indicate what may be considered typical results from the more successful dairymen following this practice. The results are given in table 53 :

Table 53. Relation of Silage to Milk Production and Other factors, 27 Farms, 1919

|  | Cows fed silage | Cows not fed silage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 24 | 3 |
| Average pounds of silage per cow | 6,257 | 0 |
| Number of cows | 20.31 | 16.25 |
| lounds of grain per cow | 1,655.8 | 2,609 |
| Pounds of hay per cow | 3,662 | 5,394 |
| Hours of human labor per cow | 180.0 | 160.9 |
| Cost of feed and bedding per cow | \$132.36 | \$155. 22 |
| Cost of human labor per cow | \$71.76 | \$63. 24 |
| Cost of horse and equipment labor per cow. | \$7.25 | \$7.69 |
| Total cost per cow (including depreciation, if any) | \$246. 29 | \$275.61 |
| Cost of milk per 100 pounds sold. | S3. 43 | \$2.76 |
| Pounds of milk per cow . . . . . . . . | 6,187 | 8,616 |
| Pounds of milk produced per pound of grain | 3.7 | 3.3 |
| Value of milk and milk products per cow | \$223.71 | \$296.91 |
| Price of milk per 100 pounds sold. . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$3.57 | \$3.45 |
| Total returns per cow (including appreciation, if any) | \$254.33 | \$331.78 |
| Profits per cow . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$8.04 | $\$ 56.17$ |
| Labor income. | \$1,927.66 | \$2,606. 73 |

The relation of milk production per cow to other factors is given in table 54. This sorting is nearly the same as that by amount of grain fed.

TABLe 54. Relation of Milk Production fer Cow to Cost of Milk Prodection and Other Factors, 27 Farms, 1919

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & 5500 \\ & \text { pounds } \\ & \text { milk } \end{aligned}$ | Fron 5500 to 7000 pounds milk | Over 7000 pounds milk | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 6 | 12 | 9 | 27 |
| Pounds of milk per cow | 4,520 | 6,187 | 8,108 | 6,457 |
| Pounds of milk produced per pound of grain. | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Number of cows . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 18.1 | 21.95 | 18.28 | 19.86 |
| Pounds of grain per cow | 979.6 | 1,i.7 | 2,303 | 1,761.7 |
| Pounds of hay per cow | 3,027 | 3,750 | $4,5+5$ | 3.854 |
| Pounds of silage per cow. | 5,417 | 5,738 | 8,135 | 6,257 |
| Hours of human labor per cow | 159.5 | 184.3 | 181.6 | 177.9 |
| Cost of feed and bedding per cow | \$95. 41 | \$139.66 | \$154.87 | \$134.90 |
| Cost of human labor per cow | S62. 70 | \$76.50 | \$68.65 | \$70.82 |
| Cost of horse and equipment labor per cow. | S4.57 | \$7. 50 | \$8.86 | \$7.30 |
| Total cost per cow (including depreciation, if any). | \$183.36 | \$263. 23 | \$275.42 |  |
| Cost of milk per 100 pounds sold. . | \$3.20 | \$3.79 | \$2.88 | \$3.35 |
| Value of milk and milk products per cow | \$164. 66 | S231. 62 | \$276.92 | $5231.84$ |
| Price of milk per 100 pounds sold. . | \$3.28 | \$3.75 | S3.43 | \$3.55 |
| Total returns per cow (including anpreciation, if any) | \$184.92 | \$260.35 | \$318.39 | \$262.93 |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - per cow . . . . . | +S1.56 | -\$2.88 | +\$42.97 | +\$13.38 |
| Labor income | \$1,887.84 | \$1,471.74 | S2,788.46 | \$2,003. 11 |

As in all these sortings, the number of farms is so small that the averages are not in all cases conclusive. A single non-typical farm has too much effect on the average.

The winter grain feeding is evidently much more than one pound of grain for 3.5 pounds of milk produced on the farms that have the most milk per cow, for the grain fed is certainly less in summer than in winter.

## POLLTRY <br> FARM POULTRY

On farms where poultry was a minor enterprise, one account was kept including all ages and classes of fowls. Practically all such poultry were chickens, but there was a very small number of turkevs, ducks, and geese. One flock of 375 mature chickens was included, but the average size of flock for four years was in fowls. The numbers in the inventory (table 5.5 ) are mature fowls only, as the inventories are taken in winter.

Farm poultry gets much of its food by picking it up around the buildings and by stealing it from other classes of livestock. The total feed consumed averaged 57.5 pounds per mature fowl. Part of this was used in raising chickens.

The egg production averaged 77 eggs per fowl. The production per hen would be higher, as there is usually one rooster for about 20 hens.

TABLE 55. Charges and Credits for Average Farm Flock of Poultry, 1915 to 1918

*1916, Fowls sold, 58.7 , plus 19.5 lbs. poultry. Estimated as 65.2 fowls.
+1918 , Fowls sold, 7 , plus 94.8 lbs . poulcry. Estimated as 38.6 fowls.
$\$ 1918$, Fowls, for personal use, 12.1 . plus 11.3 lbs . poultry. Estimated as 15.9 fowls
STotal egg production for 1918 includes 18 broken eggs.

The feed ner dozen eggs averaged 9. I pounds, but this feed, together with feed picked up around the farm, produced 5 I surplus fowls for each hundred fowls kept.

The very high cost of grain resulted in a decrease in fowls each year. The data on feed are given in table 56:

TAble 56. Feed for 7228 Head of Farm Poultry, Including Feed for Young Stock, 1915 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total mash and grain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mash: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Barley meal. | 200 | 0.05 |
| Beef scrap. | 1,666 | 0.41 |
| Bone meal. | 449 | 0.11 |
| Brewers' dried grains | 600 | 0.15 |
| Buckwheat middlings. | 10 |  |
| Corn bran. . . . . . . . | 525 | 0.13 |
| Corn feed. | 50 | 0.01 |
| Cornmeal. | 4,958 | 1.21 |
| Gluten. | 2,785 | 0.68 |
| Hominy. | 800 | 0.19 |
| Meat scrap. | 5,469 | 1.33 |
| Ground oats | 1,716 | 0.42 |
| Oatmeal.... | 140 | 0.03 |
| Linseed oilmeal. | 190 | 0.05 |
| Rye middlings | 200 | 0.05 |
| Tankage..... | 500 | 0.12 |
| Wheat bran | 9,639 | 2.35 |
| Wheat feed | 1,405 | 0.34 |
| Wheat middlings. | 9,969 | 2.43 |
| Mixed mash. . . . | 25,128 | 6.10 |
| Total mash | 66,499 | 16.18 |
| Grain: |  |  |
| Barley. | 23,685 300 | 5.76 0.07 |
| Buckwheat | 8,7+2 | 2.13 |
| Corn and cracked corn | 158,622 | 38.59 |
| Chick feed | 4,450 | 1.08 |
| Oats. | 34,049 | 8.28 |
| Rye.. | 728 | 0.18 |
| Speltz, | 40 95.433 | 0.01 23.22 |
| Other mixed grain | 18,496 | 4.50 |
| Total grain Total mash and grain | $\begin{aligned} & 344,545 \\ & 411,044 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.82 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Per cent of total succulent feed |
| Succulent feed: |  |  |
| Apples. | 250 | 3.32 |
| Beets... | 1,620 | 21. 54 |
| Cabbage. | 1,170 480 | 68.75 6.38 |
| Total succulent feed. | 7,520 | 100.00 |
| Skimmilk. | 70,807 |  |

TABLE 57. Charges anid Credits for 150.2 Animal Units of Mature Commercial Poultry, 1914 to 1918

*Fowls sold and used on farm, 235, plus 3450.35 lbs. poultry. Estimated as 1.38 , fowls.

## COMMERCIAL POLLTR「

For commercial poultry flocks, separate accounts were kept with mature poultry, with the raising of chicks, and with incubation. Twentythree accounts with mature chickens were kept in five years.

Some farmers who had more than one flock did not keep roosters in all the flocks. On the average there was one rooster for about 29 hens.

The average egg production per hen in different vears varied from 72 to 84 . This average is based on the average number of hens in the inventories. If based on the daily average number of hens, the production would be higher.

The data for mature poultry are given in table 57 .
The grain and mash fed per fowl varied from 53 to 72 pounds in the different years. Many of the flocks obtained considerable feed about the buildings. The grain and mash fed per dozen eggs produced varied from 9 to 12 pounds in the different years.

The detailed list of feed used by mature commercial poultry is shown in table 58. Of the total grain and mash used, 64 per cent was whole grain and 36 per cent was mash. Corn and wheat were fed in nearly equal quantities and constituted more than three-fourths of the total whole grain fed.

TABLE 58. Feed for 150.2 Anmal Units of Mitcre Commercial Pocltry, 1914 то 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total mash and grain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mash: |  |  |
| Alfalfa meal | 1,150 | 0.12 |
| Barley meal | 1,721 | 0.18 |
| Barley feed. | 300 | 0.03 |
| Bone meal. | 1,060 | 0.11 |
| Brewers' grains. | 319 | 0.03 |
| Corn feed. . . . | 100 | 0.01 |
| Cornmeal. | 25,780 | 2.65 |
| Distillers' grains . | 540 | 0.06 |
| Fish scrap. . . . . | 100 | 0.01 |
| Gluten . . | 15,838 | 1.63 |
| Hominy . | 14,853 | 1.53 |
| Meat meal and meat scrap. | 53,269 | 5.48 |
| Ground oats. | 5,484 | 0.56 |
| Linseed oilmeal. | 12,590) | 1.29 |
| Red-dog. . . | 6,140 | 0.63 |
| Rye feed | 100 | 0.01 |
| Wheat bran | 63,723 | 6.55 |
| Wheat feed | 8,403 | 0.86 |
| Ground wheat | 1,789 | 0.18 |
| Shredded wheat | 1,534 | 0.16 |
| Wheat middlings | 47,857 | $\stackrel{4.92}{ }$ |
| Mixed mash . . . | 84,981 | 8.72 |
| Total mash | 347,631 | 35.72 |

TABL.E 58 (concluded)

|  | Total pounds | Per cent oi total mash and grain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain: |  |  |
| Barley | 54,178 | 5.57 |
| Beans | 300 | 0.03 |
| Buckwheat | 1,884 | 0.19 |
| Corn and cracked corn | 241,068 | 24.77 |
| Oats. | 70,355 | 7.23 |
| Rye | 448 | 0.05 |
| Wheat | 23.4, 653 | 24.11 |
| Other mixed grains | 22,711 | 2.33 |
| Total grain Total mash and grain | $\begin{aligned} & 625,597 \\ & 973,228 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.28 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total succulent feed |
| Succulent feed: |  |  |
| Green alfalfa | 2,000 | 1.41 |
| Apples. | 2,000 | 1.41 |
| Beets. | 119,515 | 84.07 |
| Cabbage . | 15,579 | 10.95 |
| Potatoes | 1,860 | 1.31 |
| Turnips. | 1,200 | 0.84 |
| Total succulent feed | 142,154 | 100.00 |
| Milk: |  |  |
| Buttermilk | 46,177 |  |
| Skimmilk | 84,470 |  |
| Whey . . . . . . . | 7,000 |  |
| Total milk | 137,647 |  |
| Slaughtered farm animals: |  |  |
| Cow meat . | 600 |  |
| Sheep and lambs. | 50 |  |
| Calves. . . . | 100 |  |
| Horse meat | 3,940 |  |
| Bones from butcher | 92 |  |
| Total meat | 4,782 |  |

The costs of incubation for three years are shown in table 59. The costs per chick hatched varied from 8 to 16 cents in the different years, and the percentage hatched varied from 32 to 54 per cent.

Since day-old chicks are bought and sold, those not sold were trans ferred to the chicken account at farm sale value.

TABLE 59. Costs of Incubation, 1915 to 1917

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (2 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ (2 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ (4 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| Charges: <br> Inventory of incubators. | 5 | \$607.50 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Inventory of incubators... | 5 | S607.50 | 8 | \$180.00 | 11 | \$654.00 |
| ferred | 25,264 | $69+45$ | 2,560 | 50.70 | 13,876 | 443.42 |
| Chicks purchased | 1,877 | 183.40 | - |  | -- |  |
| Hatching hired... | -- | 217.18 | - | -- |  | 10.50 |
| Equipment purchased |  | 15.86 | -- |  | - | 13.48 |
| Fuel for incubation. | --- | 18.62 | - | 6.90 | - | 35.17 |
| Use of buildings. | - | 25.36 | - | 8.20 | - | 35.44 |
| Insurance. . . |  |  | -- | $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 5.83 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 二 | 1.08 |
| Interest. . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -361 | 35.09 93.82 | -7i | 5.83 26.33 | $\overline{268}$ | 31.27 93.61 |
| Horse labor (hours) | 17 | 2.63 | 12 | 2.0 | 11 | 2.14 |
| Use of equipment | -- | 0.75 | - | 0.59 | - | 0.62 |
| Other costs | - | 31.86 | -- | 1.96 | - |  |
| Total charges |  | \$1,926.52 |  | \$282.61 |  | \$1,320.73 |
| Credits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory of incubators | 5 | \$596:00 | 8 | S178.00 | 11 | \$597.00 |
| Chicks sold and transferred | 15,600 | 1,760.81 | 1,242 | 119.80 | 4,407 | 555.19 |
| Custom hatching. |  |  | - | - | 48 | 22.37 |
| Infertile eggs sold |  |  |  | --- | 480 | 10.76 |
| Total credits. |  | \$2,356.81 |  | \$297.80 |  | \$1,185.32 |
| Loss. |  |  |  |  |  | \$135.41 |
| Gain. |  | \$430.29 |  | \$15. 19 |  |  |
| Percentage hatched......... Cost per chick hatched. |  | 54.3 \$0.084 |  | $48.5$ |  | $31.8$ $\$ 0.157$ |
| Cost per chick hatched..... |  | \$0.084 |  |  |  |  |

Some of the men kept the incubation and chicken-raising accounts as one.

The costs of raising chicks are shown in table 60. Usually these accounts were closed about November I and the pullets were then transferred to the mature-chicken account. The costs of raising pullets above the returns from sales averaged from 56 to 97 cents in the different years. The total grain and mash used per chicken raised varied from 12 to 15 pounds in the different years.

Table 60. Costs of Ratsing Young Poultry, 1915 to 1917

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ (3 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ (6 \text { farms }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1917 \\ & \text { (6 farms) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantit: | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| Charges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First incentory: <br> Eggs for incubation. Special poultry equipment. . . . . . . ..... <br> Totalinventory. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 720 | \$ 12.00 1.017 .75 | 1.000 | S 55.00 504.30 | - | \$809.60 |
|  |  | \$1.029.75 |  | \$559.30 |  | \$809.60 |
| Chicks purchased and transferred. | 6,858 | 696.70 | 1,976 | 407.62 | 4,423 | 536.02 |
| Eggs purchased and transferred. | 576 | 10.08 | 3,344 | 208.25 | 2.475 | 100.29 |
| Hatching hired. . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 45.55 |
| Equipment purchased. ... |  | 60.49 | -- | 209.90 | -- | 60.23 |
| Grain <br> Mash <br> Succulent feed <br> Milk <br> Other feed costs | 36.8 .19 lbs . | \$611.04 | 29.448 lbs . | \$547. 26 | 30,466 lbs- | \$978.61 |
|  | 21.147 lbs . | 383.45 | 11,376 lbs. | $\underline{215.07}$ | 18.538 lbs . | $479.48{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | ${ }_{17}^{2.70 *}$ | 13,6\% |  |  | 0.98* |
|  | -- | 17.07 46.71 | 13,620 lbs. | 35.70 4.69 | 1,630 lbs. | 8.00 6.66 |
| Total cost of feed Hay and straw for litter Fuel for brooding Use of buildings Medicine and drugs Insurance Interest Human labor. Horse labor. Use of equipment All other costs <br> Total charges. |  | \$1,060.97 |  | \$802.72 |  | \$1,473.73 |
|  | 2,000 lbs. | $\begin{array}{r}7.70 \\ 4780 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 5.00 38.85 |  |  |
|  |  | 47.80 20.62 | - | 38.85 31.16 | - | 38.84 34.81 |
|  |  | 3.75 | -- | 0.25 |  | 1.45 |
|  | --- | 0.50 | - | 0.30 | -- | 0.15 |
|  |  | 74.09 |  | 31.70 |  | 38.90 |
|  | 2,168 hrs | 563.46 | 1.785 hirs. | 540.14 | 1.446 hrs. | 515.21 |
|  | 109 hrs. | 16.87 | 207 hrs . | 34.48 | 118 hrs . | 23.25 |
|  |  | 4.79 |  | 10.22 |  | 6.71 |
|  | -- | 56.22 | - | 0.77 | -- | 10.09 |
|  |  | \$3,653.79 |  | \$2.880.66 |  | \$3,694. 83 |
| Credits: <br> Inventory of special poultry equipment. Broilers sold and transferred. <br> Day-old chicks sold. Cockerels sold and transferred |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \$1,006.25 | - | \$ 702.30 | - | \$ 746.00 |
|  | 1.901 | 780.57 | 806 | 341.78 | 1.769 | 629.68 |
|  |  |  | 343 | 48.68 | 247 | 40.70 |
|  | 357 | 299.66 | 122 | 104.71 | 136 | 178.92 |
| Pullets sold and transferred. . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.757 | 2.280 .60 | 1.838 | 1.405 .96 | 2.120 | 2,358.30 |
| Equipment sold <br> Eggs sold and transierred. |  | 6.00 | -- | 20.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 36 | 1.50 |  |  |
| Manure produced..... | 11 tons | 22.50 | 15 tons | 31.00 | 22 tons | 45.50 |
| Total credits |  | \$ 4.395 .58 |  | \$2,655.93 |  | \$4.006.42 |
| Loss Gain. <br> Grain and mash per chicken raised <br> Net cost per pullet raised | 11.6 lbs . | $\$ 741.79$$\$ 0.56$ | 14.8 lbs. | \$24.73 | 12.2 lbs. | \$311.59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$0.56 |  | \$0.89 |  | \$0.97 |

* Charge for seed for barley, oats, sweet corn, and swiss chard, planted in the ben yard.

TABLE 61. Concentrates Fed Young Polltry, 1915 to 1917

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total mash and grain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mash: |  |  |
| Bone meal. | 1,363 | 0.92 |
| Buckwheat middlings | 50 | 0.03 |
| Cornmeal. | 5,548 | 3.75 |
| Homcoline | 1,230 | 0.83 |
| Hominy . | 1,860 | 1.26 |
| Meat scrap. | 9,413 | 6.37 |
| Ground oats | 326 | 0.22 |
| Oatmeal. | 310 | 0.21 |
| Oat flake. | 137 | 0.09 |
| Linseed oilmeal | 366 | 0.25 |
| Red-dog. . | 985 | 0.67 |
| Wheat hran | 15,058 | 10.19 |
| Wheat feed | 1,400 | 0.95 |
| Wheat middlings | 6,986 | 4.73 |
| Shredided wheat. | 2,700 | 1.83 |
| Other mixed mash | 3,028 | 2.05 |
| Total mash | 51,051 | 34.55 |
| Grain: |  |  |
| Barley | 3,275 | 2.22 |
| Chick feed | 3,932 | 2.66 |
| Corn and cracked corn | 24,782 | 16.77 |
| Oats. | 6,284 | 4.25 |
| Rice. . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {Wheat }}$ - | 6 |  |
| Wheat and cracked wheat Other mixed grain | $\begin{array}{r}50,929 \\ \hline \mathbf{i}, 535\end{array}$ | 34.46 5.10 |
| Total grain. | 96,743 | 65.45 |
| Total mash and grain | 147,804 | 100.00 |

HOGS
Many of the farms had no hogs. As a total for five years, twenty of the accounts included only I brood sow, seventeen included 2, six included 3, three included 4 , one included 6, and one included 7. The average for all farms having hogs was 0.9 brood sow per farm.

Much of the feed of hogs was waste products, some of which was not counted as of any value.

In 1914 and 1917, the weight of pork produced was determined with approximate accuracy. In I9I4, the hogs averaged 187 pounds live weight at the time of slaughter, and in 1917 they averaged i91 pounds.

The grain fed per 100 pounds of live hog produced averaged 484 pounds in 1914 and 413 pounds in 1917. This is in addition to succulent feed, milk, and dry forage.

The data on hogs are given in tables 62 to 67 :

TABLE 62. Charges and Credits for Hogs, 1914 to 1918

|  | 1914 <br> ( 10 farms. <br> 10.71 animal units) |  | 1915 <br> ( 34 farms. <br> 25.28 animal units) |  | $1 \begin{gathered}\frac{1916}{(19 \text { farms, }} \\ 16.02 \text { animal l(nits) }\end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 11.94 \text { animal units) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| Charges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First inventory: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boars. . | $1{ }^{1}$ | \$ 17.00 | $\stackrel{4}{25}$ | \$ 70.00 | 3 15 | $\$ 50.00$ 370.00 | 14 | \$ 35.00 | $3{ }^{6}$ | \$ 250.00 |
| Other hogs | 58 | 5355 | 192 | 164750 | 128 | $1,690.00$ | 61 | 621.00 | 70 | $1,465.00$ 97.3 |
| Total inventory | 70 | \$850.00 | 221 | \$2,341 50 | 146 | \$1,510.00 | 76 | \$1,066.00 | 108 | \$2,688.00 |
| Hogs purchased. | 45 | 136.50 | 153.5 | 584.93 | 39 | 177.40 | 52 | 345.40 | 105 | 1,206.11 |
| Grain | 69,711 lbs. | \$846.24 | 217.448 lbs . | \$3.002. 65 | 112.281 lbs | \$1,747.77 | 100.818 lbs. | \$2,353, 46 | 201,288 lbs. | \$4.920.17 |
| Milk | 25,195 lbs. | 52.60 | 165,024 lbs. | 2.32 .04 | $108,880 \mathrm{lbs}$. | 337.95 | 74,177 libs. | 246.69 | 127,954 lbs. | 818.58 |
| Dry Foraze. | 13,560 lhs |  | $28 \overline{0101 \mathrm{bs} .}$ |  | 12.920 lbs |  | $\underset{\sim}{2,700 \mathrm{lbs} .}$ | 18.50 6238 | ${ }^{12,500} 1 \mathrm{lbs}$. | 52.50 155 |
| Succulent feed. | 13,560 ths. | 36.55 | 28,010 lbs. | 62.85 22.99 | 12,920 lbs. | 46.50 | 13,060 lbs. | 62.38 | 50.025 lbs . | 155.92 |
| Pasture <br> Ocher feed costs |  | 20.68 |  | 22.99 |  |  |  | 21.12 |  | 45.35 48.03 |
| Total cost of feed. |  | \$056.07 |  | \$3,320.53 |  | \$2,149.44 |  | \$2,702.15 |  | \$6,040.55 |
| Bedding | 13,120 lbs. | 32.75 | 26,700 lbs. | 69.55 | 8.150 lbs. | 22.38 | 23,050 lbs . | 69.78 | 21.020 tbs. |  |
| Service fers paid |  | 1.00 |  | 16.50 |  | 8.00 |  | 19.00 |  | 31.00 |
| Use of buildings. |  | 99.91 |  | 261.06 |  | 13.3 .07 |  | 115.05 |  | $162.7!$ |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 7.50 |  | 10.50 |  | 4.00 |  | 3.00 |  | ${ }_{2}^{2.05}$ |
| Insurance |  | 0.36 |  |  |  | 1.10 |  | 1.17 |  | 2.58 |
| Interest. |  | 34.33 |  | 11.3 .05 |  | 60.80 |  | 8274 |  | 199.93 |
| Human labor | 2.008 hrss | 526.18 | 5,885 lirs. | 1,5.2. 51 | 3,058 hrs. | 925.35 | 2.945 hirs. | 1,040.30 | 5.119 hrs . | 2.025 .59 |
| Horse labor. | 254 hrs . | 40.13 |  | 110.06 |  | 66.64 |  | 68.50 |  | 134.18 |
| Use of equijment |  | 12.55 |  | 31.21 |  | 19.76 |  | 19.80 |  | 42.29 |
| All other costs. . |  | 12.30 |  | 27.57 |  | 25.1 ? |  | 71.57 |  | 133.41 |
| Total charges |  | \$2,709.58 |  | \$8.417.22 |  | \$5.103.06 |  | \$5,613.52 |  | \$12.735.45 |
| Credits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second inventory: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hoars. |  | \$ 20.00 | 4 | \$ 75.00 |  |  |  | \$ 125.00 |  | \$ 215.00 |
| Sows. | 1 | 175.00 | 22 | 605.00 | 10 | \$285.00 | 24 | 1,045.00 | 27 | 1.41500 |
| Other hoss | 51 | 452.00 | 152 | 1.15700 | 55 | 663.00 | 8.3 | 1,026.00 | 141 | 2,216.75 |
| Total inventory | 59 | \$ 647.00 | 178 | \$2,13700 | 65 | \$ 948.00 | 110 | \$2.196. 150 | 173 | \$3,846.75 |
| Manure produced. | 56.2 tons | 84.25 | 171.5 tons | 230.60 | 119 tons | 159.50 | 91 tons | 151.50 | 18? tons | 317.25 |
| Service fees received |  | 113 |  |  |  |  |  | 810.00 |  | 126.25 |
|  | 33 | 113.50 1.410 .10 |  | 3.33071 | -- |  |  | 877.25 2.189 |  |  |
| Pork used on farm. |  | 1,464.20 |  | 930.79 |  | 3,480.7.3 |  | 2.1854 .70 1.054 .70 |  | 1.893.13 |
| Total credits. |  | \$2.519.05 |  | \$7.24.3.10 |  | \$5,397.42 |  | \$6.502.42 |  | \$12,18.3,11 |
| Loss. Gain |  | \$190.53 |  | \$1.174.12 |  | \$294.36 |  | \$888.90 |  | \$552.34 |

*Pigs were included with hogs and pork in 1915, 1916, and 1918.

TABLE 63. Concentrates Fed to 90.53 Animal Units of Hogs, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home-mixed: |  |  |
| Barley and ground barley . | 71,757 | 10.23 |
| Barley middlings. . . . . . . | 1,100 | 0.16 |
| Beans......... | 41,047 | 5.85 |
| Brewers' dried grains. | 845 | 0.12 |
| Buckwheat........ | 1,200 | 0.17 |
| Buckwheat feed. | 1,002 | 0.14 |
| Corn and cornmeal | 227,843 | 32.48 |
| Corn bran | 1,500 | 0.21 |
| Corn-feed meal | 300 | 0.04 |
| Cottonseed meal. | 400 | 0.06 |
| Distillers' dried grains . | 240 | 0.03 |
| Gluten. . . . . . . . . . . | 4,707 | 0.67 |
| Hominy . | 33,479 | +.77 |
| Malt sprouts | 600 | 0.09 |
| Meat scrap . | 650 | 0.09 |
| Molasses . . | 87 | 0.01 |
| Oats | 37,724 | 5.38 |
| Oilmeal. | 5,662 | 0.81 |
| Peanut meal. | 900 | 0.13 |
| Peas | 600 | 0.09 |
| Pumpkin seed | - 1 | - |
| Red-dog. . . . | 5,300 | 0.76 |
| Rice feed | 3,249 | 0.46 |
| Rye and ground rye | 22,109 | 3.15 |
| Rye feed... . . . . | 2,150 | 0.31 |
| Rye middlings | 783 | 0.14 |
| Tankage. . . . | 7,793 | 1.11 |
| Wheat and ground wheat | 17,396 | 2.48 |
| Wheat bran . . . . . . . . . . | 23,105 | 3.29 |
| Wheat feed .:. | 5,368 | 0.77 |
| Wheat middlings . . . . . . . . | 147,125 | 20.97 |
| Mill sweepings and salvaged feed | 3,280 | 0.47 |
| Other mixed feed . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 23,984 | 3.42 |
| Shredded wheat | 200 | 0.03 |
| Total home-mixed. | 693,686 | 98.89 |
| Other mixed feed | $7,860$ |  |
| Total concentrates. | $701,546$ | $100.00$ |

TABLE 64. Succulent Feed Fen to 90.53 Animal Units of Hogs, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silage: |  |  |
| Corn | 7,500 | 6.38 |
| Pea vine | 4,000 | 3.40 |
| Other succulent feed: |  |  |
| Apples. | 33,385 | 28.40 |
| Beets. | 32,250 | 27.43 |
| Cabbage | 12,500 | 10.63 |
| Carrots | 100 | 0.09 |
| Potatoes. | 16,640 | 14.15 |
| Pumpkins | 8,000 | 6.80 |
| Rape. | 2,000 | 1.70 |
| Roots. | 600 | 0.51 |
| Squash | 600 | 0.51 |
| Total surculent feet. | 117,575 | 100.00 |

TABLE 65. Mifk Feo to 90.53 Anmal Units of Hogs, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whole milk | 138 | 0.03 |
| Buttermilk | 15,159 | 3.02 |
| Skimmilk | 425,373 | 84.87 |
| Whey . . | 60,560 | 12.08 |
| Total milk | 501,230 | 100.00 |

TABLE 66. Dry Forage Fed to 90.53 Animal Units of Hogs, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa | 1,500 | 9.87 |
| Mixed hay | 1,700 | 11.18 |
| Cornstalks | 12,000 | 78.95 |
| Total Iry forage | 15,200 | 100.00 |

TABLE 67. Bedding for 90.53 Animal Units of Hogs, 1914 to 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Straw: |  |  |
| Barley | 3,653 | 3.97 |
| Buckwheat | 1,000 | 1.09 |
| Oat | 18,467 | 20.06 |
| Rye | 1,400 | 1.52 |
| Wheat | 38,110 | 41.41 |
| Mixed | 25,190 | 27.37 |
| Total straw | 87,820 | 95.42 |
| Other bedding : | 2,000 |  |
| Shavings | 2,220 | 2.41 |
| Total bedding | 92,040 | 100.00 |

## SHEEP

Results for sheep for three years are shown in table 68 . The number of accounts is too small to be conclusive. In one year there was one account that included 79 ewes, and four accounts with from 43 to 49 ewes are included. The others had smaller numbers.

TABLE 68. Charges and Credits for Sheep, 1915, 1916, and 1918

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
1916
\] \\
12 farms \\
8.32 animal units)
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1918 \\
(4 farms. \\
17.4 animal units)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \& Quantits \& Value \& Quantity \& Value \& Quantity \& Value \\
\hline Charges: First inventory: \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Ewes....... \& 214 \& \$1,589.00 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Bucks..... \& \(\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 73.00
37500 \& \(\frac{2}{6}\) \& \[
23.00
\] \& 3 \& 55.00 \\
\hline Other sheep \& \& 375.00 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Total inventory \& 296 \& \$2,037.00 \& 73 \& \$560 00 \& 121 \& \$1,605.00 \\
\hline Sheep purchased \& 179 \& 844.37 \& 108 \& 447.49 \& 1.5 \& 41.25 \\
\hline Grain \& 13.158 lbs . \& \$198.75 \& 7.966 lbs. \& \$138.48 \& 6.511 lbs \& \$173.09 \\
\hline Dry forage. . \& 131.900 lbs. \& 605.55
28360 \& \(\frac{29.150 ~}{2500} \mathrm{lbs}\) \& \(\begin{array}{r}141.45 \\ 83 \\ \hline 18\end{array}\) \& 72.300 lbs . \& 573.00 \\
\hline Succulent feed \& 107,040 lbs. \& 283.60 \& 25.000 lbs \& 88.3 .98 \& 36.476 lbs . \& 99.87

73 <br>
\hline Pasture....... \& \& r
4.03
4.808 \& \& 1,70 \& \& - 3.48 <br>
\hline Total feed. \& \& \$1,3:1.74 \& \& \$389.17 \& \& \$1.086. 26 <br>
\hline Bedding. \& 45.600 lbs \& 124.60 \& 4,250 lbs. \& 10.62 \& 6.750 lbs \& 26.33 <br>
\hline Use of buildings. . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& \& 10593 \& \& 13.53 \& \& 39.27 <br>
\hline Veterinary and medicine; \& \& 2.75 \& \& 0.25 \& \& 8.35 <br>
\hline Shearing. ............ \& \& 37.45 \& \& \& \& 11.25 <br>

\hline Wool twine \& \& | 2 |
| :--- |
| 01 |
| 0.55 | \& \& 1.75 \& \& 2.55

1.12 <br>
\hline Interest. \& \& 11966 \& \& 2926 \& \& 99.27 <br>
\hline Human labor \& 1.078 hrs \& 280.17 \& 276 hrs : \& 835 \& 62.3 hrs. \& 246.52 <br>
\hline Horse labor... \& 72 hrs . \& 11.15 \& 72 hrs \& 128 \& 98 hrs \& 22.14 <br>
\hline Use of equipment \& \& 3.16 \& \& 380 \& \& 6.98
9.95 <br>
\hline Total charges \& \& \$4.942.21 \& \& S.151 92 \& \& \$3,206.24 <br>
\hline Credits: \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Second inventory: \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Ewes. . \& 254 \& \$1,992.00 \& 97 \& S'50.00 \& 120 \& \$1,6.3300 <br>
\hline Bucks. \& 8 \& 112.00 \& 2 \& 20.00 \& 3.5 \& 71.00 <br>
\hline Other sheep. \& 88 \& 645.50 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Total inventory \& 350 \& \$2,749, 50 \& 99 \& \$1.040.00 \& 123.5 \& \$1.704 0 <br>
\hline Sheepand lambssold and used on tarm. \& 282 \& 1.5:6.37 \& 96 \& 2166 \& 6. \& 822.75 <br>
\hline Wool sold and inventoried. \& 2.238 lbs . \& 657.47 \& 8.39 lbs . \& 266 90 \& 865 lbs . \& 622.38 <br>
\hline Manure produced \& 233 tons \& 284.10 \& 76 tons \& ${ }^{1} 5000$ \& 76.25 tons \& 126.38 <br>
\hline Total credits. \& \& \$5.267.44 \& \& \$2,118.55 \& \& \$3.275.51 <br>
\hline Loss Gain. \& \& \$325.23 \& \& \$33.37 \& \& \$69.27 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

The data on feed and bedding for sheep are given in tables 69 and 70 :

TABLE 69. Concentrates, Roughage, and Succulent Feed Fed to 75.23 Anima. Units of Sheep, 1915, 1916, 1918

|  | Total pounds | Per cent of total concentrates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concentrates: |  |  |
| Barley | 4,304 | 15.57 |
| Beans | 380 | 1.38 |
| Corn and cornmeal | 4,788 | 17.33 |
| Cottonseed meal. | 540 | 1.95 |
| Distillers' dried grains | 1,077 | 3.90 |
| Hominy. . . . . . . . | 1,330 | 4.81 |
| Oats and ground oats | 11,402 | 41.26 |
| Oilmeal. | 424 | 1.53 |
| Wheat bran | 2,800 | 10.13 |
| Wheat middlings | 275 | 1.00 |
| Mixed feed. . . . . | 315 | 1.14 |
| Total concentrates | 27,635 | 100.00 |
|  |  | Per cent of total roughage |
| Roughage: |  |  |
| Alfalfa hay. | 37,033 | 15.87 |
| Mixed hay.............. | 113,900 | 48.80 |
| Bean pods and bean fodder | 70,417 | 30.18 |
| Corn fodder and cornstalks. | 3,000 | 1.29 |
| Oat straw. | 3,000 | 1.29 |
| Wheat straw | 6,000 | 2.57. |
| Total roughage | 233,350 | 100.00 |
|  |  | Pcr cent of total succulent feed |
| Succulent feed: Cornsilage |  |  |
| Pea-vine silage | 10,000 | 8.93 5.93 |
| Beets. . . . . . | 1,500 | 0.89 |
| Cabbage | 23,476 | 13.93 |
| Potatoes | 540 | 0.32 |
| Total succulent feed | 168,516 | 100.00 |

TABLE 70. Bedding for 75.23 Animal Units of Sheep, 1915, 1916, 1918

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barley straw. | 1,250 | 2.21 |
| Oat straw... | 7,600 | 13.43 |
| Rye straw. | 400 | 0.71 |
| Wheat straw | 21,600 | 38.16 |
| Mixed straw | 25,750 | 45.49 |
| Total bedding. | 56,600 | 100.00 |

## COST OF PRODUCING CROPS

Labor requirements on crops are given in tables 14, 18, 19, 20, 29. and 30. The area grown has an important influence on the economy of production. The relation of area grown to other factors is shown in tables 71 and 72. The yields are larger and the labor is less with the larger areas. To some extent the areas are results rather than causes. If the land grows a large yield with a small amount of labor, there is a tendency to grow a large area.

TABLE 71. Relation of Arei of Silage Corn Grown, to Costs and Other Fictors. 1919


TABLE 72. Relation of Area of Potatoes Grown to Costs and Other Factors, 1919

|  | l.ess than 4 acres | 4 acres or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 13 | 8 |
| Acres per farm | 1.8 | 7.9 |
| Value per acre | \$131.62 | S173.37 |
| Cost per acre | \$99.40 | \$118.04 |
| Yield per acre (bushels) | 69.7 | 107.7 |
| Cost per bushel. | \$1.43 | \$1.10 |
| Profit per acre. | \$32.2.3 | \$55.33 |

The comparative costs of growing a unit of net energy (therm) in mangels, silage, and hay, respectively, are shown in table 73 . The cost

Tabie 73. Cost of Producing a Unit of Net Exergy in Mangels, Silage, and Hay* 1917

|  | Mangels | Silage | Hay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 6 | 18 | 31 |
| Cost per acre. | \$104 | \$45.19 | \$19.31 |
| Weight of crop (pounds) | 11,010 | 9,600 | 3,440 |
| Weight of dry matter (pminds) | 1,035 | 2,525 | 3,019 |
| Net energy (therms). | 62.5 | 1,526 | 1,413 |
| Cost per unit of net energy | 50.17 | s0.03 | \$0.014 |

*Net energy values as given by Armsby were used in making these calculations.
of net energy in silage is double the cost in hay, and the cost in mangels is nearly six times the cost in silage. The yield of silage corn was low that year, but in a normal year the same principals are shown.

Mangels are an excellent feed, but they are so expensive to raise that very few are raised for dairy feeds except when advanced registry testing is being done. Silage is so valuable a feed that even at the high cost it is desirable for feeding to cows that give milk in winter. This explains the situation shown in the Broome County survey ${ }^{22}$ - that the profits from dairies were increased by feeding silage to cows in winter dairies. but were decreased if silage was fed to cows that were dry in winter.

The costs of producing various crops are shown in tables 74 to 88. Averages are for all farms. For example, some farms did not use twine with corn for grain, hence the twine is less per acre than the amount actually used. Alfalfa seed is per acre of alfalfa harvested not the amount of seed sown per acre. If the alfalfa remains down for four years, the. seed per acre will be one-fourth of the rate of seeding.

A detailed analysis of the costs of producing potatoes is given in Memoir 22 of this station. ${ }^{23}$ A detailed analysis of costs of producing canning-factory crops is contained in Bulletin $412 .{ }^{24}$

[^18]TABLE 74. Costs per Acke of Prodicing Alfalfa


TABLE 74 (concluded)

| Year . . . . . . . . Number of farms Total acres. . . Acres per farm. . | $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ 62.5 \\ 8.8 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 14 \\ 93.45 \\ 6.7 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1916 \\ 13 \\ 110.2 \\ 8.5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 17 \\ 115.4 \\ 6.8 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 9 \\ 87.2 \\ 9.7 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 12 \\ 91.75 \\ 8.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Marketing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor. | 5.3 hrs . | \$1.32 | 2.7 hrs . | \$0.70 |  | 50.69 | 1.9 hrs . |  | 2.1 hrs . | \$0.84 | 2.9 hrs . |
| Horse labor. | 2.9 hrs . | 0.45 | 1.0 hr . | 0.16 | 1.0 hr . | 0.17 | 0.8 hr . | 0.16 | 1.0 hr . | 0.23 | 1.3 hrs . |
| Equipment . .i. ${ }^{\text {Pressing or }}$ |  | 0.14 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.05 |  | $\bigcirc 0.07$ |  |
| Pressing or baling. |  | 1.91 0.17 | 0.7 ton | 0.91 0.13 | 0.6 ton | 0.88 0.10 | 0.5 ton | 0.95 0.08 | 0.7 ton | 1.74 0.17 |  |
| Meals for presscrs, Meals for pressers' horses |  | 0.17 | 0.5 | 0.13 0.06 | 0.4 0.4 | 0.10 0.05 | 0.3 0.3 | 0.08 0.06 | 0.4 0.4 | 0.17 0.08 |  |
| All other marketing costs. |  | 0.14 | 0.5 |  |  | . 01 |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |
| Total marketing costs. |  | \$4.13 |  | \$2.01 |  | \$1.94 |  | \$1.99 |  | \$3.13 |  |
| Total costs. |  | \$25.59 |  | \$26.73 |  | \$27.48 |  | \$30.89 |  | 840.64 |  |
| Yield per acre | 2.8 tons |  | 2.8 tons |  | 2.7 tons |  | 2.2 tons |  | 2.3 tons |  | 2.6 tons |
| Value of hav per acre. . . . . . . . . . . . . iids |  | 41.75 |  | 41.89 |  | 35.65 |  | 43.17 |  | 51.94 |  |
| per acre. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 0.19 |  | 0.27 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.12 |  | 0.75 |  |
| Total value of crop per acre. |  | 41.94 |  | 42.16 |  | 35.74 |  | 43.29 |  | 52.69 |  |
| Cost per ton. . |  | 9.07 |  | 9.45 |  | 10.14 |  | 13.99 |  | 17.34 |  |
| Value per ton. |  | 14.91 |  | 14.96 |  | 13.20 |  | 19.62 |  | 22.58 |  |
| Profic per acre |  | 16.35 |  | 15.43 |  | 8.26 |  | 12.40 |  | 12.05 |  |
| Profit per ton |  | 5.84 |  | 5.51 |  | 3.06 |  | 5.63 |  | 5.24 |  |
| Cost of marketing a ton. |  | 3.20 |  | 2.24 |  | 3.94 |  | 3.71 |  | 4.50 |  |
| Returns per hour of human labor. |  | 0.82 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.60 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.94 |  |
| Extra man hours for gressing*. | Omitted |  | Omitted |  | 1.3 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.4 |  | 1.2 |

*Extra man hours for pressing is the time spent by hay pressers which is not charged in the account because furnished as a part of the terms of sale.

TABLE 75. Costs per Acre of Producing Barley

| Year . . . . . . Number of farms Total acres . . . Acres nor farm. | $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ 2 \\ 10.7 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 10 \\ 62 \\ 6.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ 5 \\ 42.4 \\ 8.5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 7 \\ 46.5 \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 11 \\ 113.3 \\ 10.3 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 75.0 \\ 7.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quannity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantit ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Costs: <br> Growing: <br> Sced <br> Fertilizer <br> lime <br> Manure: <br> Value before hauling. <br> Human labor <br> Horse labor <br> Equipment Total manure <br> Green manure <br> Twine . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | is 5 Un |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 78.5 Jbs. 157.0 Jbs. | \$1.49 $\$ 1.32$ | 103.4 liss. | 81.96 1.37 | 94.3 lbs. $143.1 \mathrm{lbs}$. | $\$ 1.39$ 1.34 | 91.4 lbs. 199.0 lbs. | \$2.41 | 101.7 lbs . | $\$ 4.30$ 2.53 | 93.9 lbs. |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 ton | 0.58 | 0.2 ton | 1.03 | 19.01 ton | 0.13 | 171.8 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$3.99 |  | \$2.51 |  | \$2.82 |  | 5.5 .32 |  | \$3.79 |  |
|  | 2.7 hrs. | 0.71 | 2.8 hrs. | 0.71 | 3.0 hrs. | 0.88 | 4.2 hrs . | 1.49 | 2.8 hrs. | 1.10 | 3.1 hrs. |
|  | 4.5 hrs . | 0.71 0.22 | 4.7 hrs . | 0.73 0.21 | 5.0 hrs. | 0.82 0.24 | 7.0 hrs . | 1.39 0.40 | 4.8 hrs . | 1.09 0.34 | 5.2 hrs. |
|  | 4.950 lhs . | 5.6 .3 | 4,247 113s. | 416 | 4,575 lhs. | 4.76 | $7,011 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 8.60 | 4.590 lbs . | 6.32 | $5,075 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
|  | 2.0 lbs | \$0.20 | 1.6165. | $\$ 0.05$ 0.15 | $21 \mathrm{lds}$. | \$0.23 | 1.7 Bms. | \$0.32 | 2.9 lbs. | \$0.72 | 2.1 lbs. |
| Use of buildings:Interest . . . .Taxes. .Insurance . . .All other . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$0.86 |  | \$0.48 |  | \$0.21 |  | \$0.38 |  | \$0.46 |  |
|  |  | 0.13 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |  |
|  |  | 0.05 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  |
|  |  | 0.55 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.23 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$4.82 |  | \$2.85 |  | \$4.24 |  | \$3.29 |  | \$5.20 |  |
|  |  | 0.76 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.71 |  | 0.64 |  | 0.82 |  |
|  |  | 1.17 6.75 |  | 0.47 3.81 |  | 0.80 5.75 |  | 0.93 4.86 |  | 1.28 7.30 |  |
|  |  | 6.75 |  | 3.81 |  | 5.75 |  | 4.86 |  | 7.30 |  |
| Fire insurance. |  | - |  | \$0.0.3 |  | \$0.02 |  | \$0.04 |  | \$0.02 |  |
| Interest... |  | $\$ 0.65$ 0.73 |  | 0.63 |  | 0.66 |  | 1.01 |  | 0.96 |  |
| Threshing. . . ${ }^{\text {Coal }}$ for threshing | 874.8 40.7 lbs. l | 0.73 0.09 | 1.697 .8 56.0 lbs. | 1.04 | 813.9 lbs. 31.8 lbs. | 0.54 0.08 | 1.273 .5 42.8 lbs. l | 0.97 | 1.516 .2 34.9 lbs. lbs | 1.60 0.13 | $1.235 .2 ~ l ~$ 41.2 bs. libs. |
| Meals for threshers |  |  | 0.5 | 0.11 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.3 | 0. 08 | 0.2 | 0.08 | 0.3 |
| Meals for threshers' horses |  |  | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.1 |
| Human labor. . . | 19.6 hrs . | 4.94 | 23.3 hrs . | 6.0 .5 | 22.5 hrs | 6.79 | 24.9 hrs | 8.64 | 19.3 hrs . | 7.6 .3 | 21.9 hrs . |
| Horse labor | 34.4 hrs. | 5.36 | 33.2 hrs . | 5.15 | 38.4 hrs. | 6.38 | 41.2 hrs . | 7.82 | 23.1 hrs. | 5.22 | 34.1 hrs . |
| Equipment |  | 1.68 | 05 hr . | 1.47 0.49 | 0.1 hr . | 1.89 0.29 |  | 2.27 | 1.0 hr . | 1.64 1.69 | 0.3 hr . |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total growing costs |  | \$ 30.43 |  | \$27.65 |  | \$31.11 |  | \$10.74 |  | \$41.07 |  |

TABIE 75 (concluded)

| Year <br> Number of farms Total acres. Acres per farin. | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 2 \\ 10.7 \\ 5.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 10 \\ 62 \\ 6.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1116 \\ 5 \\ 42.4 \\ 8.5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 7 \\ 46.5 \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1918 \\ & 111 \\ & 113.3 \\ & 10.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | Average $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 55.0 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Marketing: Human labor Horse labor. Equipment. | 0.7 hr. 0.1 hr. | $\$ 0.20$ 0.01 | 0.4 hr. 0.5 hr. | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 0.10 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.02 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.5 hr 0.1 hr. | $\$ 0.16$ 0.02 0.01 | 0.02 hr. | \$0.01 | 0.2 hr . | \$0.06 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \mathrm{hr} . \\ & 0.1 \mathrm{hr} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Total marketing costs |  | \$0.21 |  | \$0.20 |  | \$0.19 |  | \$0.01 |  | \$0.06 |  |
| Total costs . . . . . . . . |  | \$30.64 |  | \$27.85 |  | \$31.30 |  | \$40.75 |  | \$41.13 |  |
| Yield of grain per acre. | 18.2 bu. | 15.66 |  | 22.80 | 17.0 bu. | 16.68 | 26.5 bu. | 39.18 |  | 30.64 |  |
| Yield of straw per acre. | 888 lbs . |  | 1.700 lbs . |  | 896 lbs . |  | 1.269 lbs. |  | 1.296 lbs. |  | 1.230 lbs. |
| Value of straw per acre. |  | 2.34 |  | 5.08 |  | 1.74 |  | 3.75 |  | 4.68 |  |
| Total value of crop per acre |  | 18.00 |  | 27.88 |  | 18.42 |  | 42.93 |  | 35.32 |  |
| Cost per bushel. |  | 1.55 |  | 0.64 |  | 1.74 |  | 1.40 |  | 1.15 |  |
| Value per bushel. . . . . |  | 0.86 |  | +0.65 |  | 0.98 |  | +1.48 |  | 0.97 |  |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per acre. |  | -12.64 |  | +0.03 +0.01 |  | $-12.88$ |  | +2.18 |  | -5.81 |  |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per bushel. |  | -0.69 |  | +0.0t |  | -0.76 |  | +0.08 |  | -0.18 |  |
| Cost of marketing a bushel. . . . . lib |  | 0.07 -0.37 |  | 0.02 0.26 |  | 0.03 -0.26 |  | 0.01 0.43 |  | 0.08 0.10 |  |
| Extra man hours for threshing*. . . . | Onitted | -0.37 | 1.3 | 0.26 | 0.4 | -0.26 | 0.8 | 0.43 | 0.5 | 0.10 | 0.8 |

*Extra man hours for threshing is the time spent by threshers the chatge for which is included in the cash paid for threshing.

TABLE 76. Costs per Acke of producing; Figid Beans

| Year. <br> Number of farms Total acres. Acres perfarm | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 4 \\ 40.6 \\ 10.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 9 \\ 120.3 \\ 13.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1910 \\ & 9 \\ & 92.35 \\ & 10.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1917 \\ & 10 \\ & 120.3 \\ & 12.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1918 \\ & 11 \\ & 49.35 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 9 \\ 84.58 \\ 10.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Costs: <br> Growing: <br> Seed <br> pertilizer. <br> Lime <br> Manure: <br> Value before hauling Human labor. Horse labor Equipment. Total manure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 39.9 hs. | \$1. 36 | 57.7 lhs | \$. 9.3 | 517 bs | \$3.77 | 48.5 lbs. | 56. 36 | 78.9 ths | \$0.98 | 55.31 lbs . |
|  | $140.4 \text { lbs. }$ | 1.10 | 176.9 lbs. | 1.59 | 151.7 lbs | 1.56 | 163.1 lbs. | 1.72 | 1.36 .4 lbs . | 1.89 | 153.7 lbs . |
|  |  |  | 0.02 tor | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.06 ton | 0.48 | 0.02 ton |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . | - |  |
|  |  | \$0.80 |  | \$2.65 |  | \$2.20 |  | \$2.80 |  | \$3.3.3 |  |
|  | 0.6 hr . | 0.15 | 1.8 hrs. | 0.46 | 2.3 hrs . | 0.69 | 2.2 hirs. | 0.79 | 2.4 hrs. | 0.96 | $1.9 \mathrm{hrs}$. |
|  | 0.9 hr . | 0.15 | 3.0 hrs. | 0.47 | 3.9 hts . | 0.65 | 3.7 hrss. | 0.73 | 4.2 hrs . | 0.96 | 3.1 hrs. |
|  |  | 0.05 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.30 |  |
|  | 1,009 lbs. | 1.15 | 4,075 lbs. | 3.71 | 3,590 lbs. | 3.73 | 3,691 lbs. | 4.53 | 4.032 lbs . | 5.55 | 3,279 lbs. |
| Use of buildings: <br> Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes . |  | $\$ 0.62$ 0.10 |  | \$0.31 |  | $\$ 0.3 .3$ 0.06 |  | 50.18 0.0 .3 |  | $\$ 0.22$ 0.04 |  |
| Insurance |  | 0.0 .3 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |
| All other. |  | 0.40 |  | 0.30 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.12 |  |
| Total cost for use of buiddings. |  | 1.15 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.54 |  | 0.32 |  | 0.39 |  |
| Use of land: | - |  |  |  | - - |  | - - |  |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | \$4. 24 |  | \$3.96 |  | \$5.54 |  | \$3.62 |  | \$4.57 |  |
| Taxes. |  | 0.66 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.92 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.73 |  |
| All other. . . . . . . |  | 1.03 |  | 0.65 |  | 1.04 |  | 1.02 |  | 1. 16 |  |
| Total cost for use of land. |  | 5.93 |  | 5.29 |  | 7.50 |  | 5.34 |  | 6.46 |  |
| Fire insurance |  |  |  | \$0.01 |  | \$0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercst |  | 80.39 |  | 0.66 |  | 0.60 |  | \$0.88 |  | \$0.06 |  |
| Thershing. | 847.8 lbs. | 0.76 | 893.8 lbs. | 0.99 | 239.2 lbs. | 0.54 | 365.2 lbs. | 0.91 | 3915 lhs. | 1.17 | 547.5 lbs . |
| Coal for threshing. | 50.4 lbs. | 0.12 | 47.6 lbs. | 0.10 | 44.9 lbs. | 0.09 | 42.1 lbs . | 0.16 | 21.3 lbs . | 0.09 | 41.3 lis. |
| Meals for threshers. |  |  | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.6 | 0.19 | 0.4 | 0.13 | 0.3 |
| Meats for threstues ${ }^{\text {c }}$ horses |  |  | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.1 |
| Human labor. | 31.6 hrs . | 7.91 | 38.9 his. | 10.05 | 34.4 hrs. | 10.35 | 33.7 hrs . | 11.96 | 40.4 hrs . | 16.0 .3 | 35.8 hrs . |
| Horse labor | 47.0 hrs . | 7.43 | 46.1 hrs. | 7.14 | 49.5 hrs . | 8.23 | 46.0 hrs . | 8.95 | 50.3 hrs . | 1137 | 47.8 hrs . |
| Equipment |  | 2.32 |  | 2.03 |  | 2.44 |  | 2.59 |  | 3.58 |  |
| Tractor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 hr . | 0.65 | 0.6 hr. | $0.92$ | 0.3 hr . |
| All other growing costs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |
| Tolal krowing costs |  | \$29.62 |  | \$35.34 |  | \$39.43 |  | \$44.60 |  | \$59.11 |  |

TABLE 76 (concluded)

| Year. <br> Number of farms. <br> Total acres. <br> Acres per farm | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 4 \\ 40.6 \\ 10.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 9 \\ 120.3 \\ 13.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1916 \\ & 9 \\ & 92.35 \\ & 10.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1917 \\ & 10 \\ & 120.3 \\ & 12.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 11 \\ 49.35 \\ 4.5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 9 \\ 84.58 \\ 10.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Marketing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 1.4 hrs . | \$0.35 | 1.3 hrs . | \$0.34 | 0.9 hr . | \$0.26 | 1 hr. | \$0.28 | 0.9 hr. | \$0.37 | 1.1 mrs . |
| Horse labor. | 1.9 hirs. | 0.31 | 1.9 hrs . | 0.29 | 0.3 hr . | 0.06 | 1 hr . | 0.21 | 0.6 hr . | 0.13 | 1.1 hrs. |
| Equipment |  | 0.10 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.04 |  |
| Motor-truck. . . . . . . |  |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.13 |  |
| Total marketing costs. . |  | \$0.76 |  | \$0.77 |  | \$0.36 |  | \$0.57 |  | \$0.67 |  |
| Total costs. |  | \$ $\mathbf{3 0}$. 38 |  | \$36.11 |  | \$ 39.79 |  | 845.17 |  | \$59.78 |  |
| Yicld of bears per acre. | 14.3 bu . |  | 15.3 bu . |  | 4.4 bu . |  | 7.0 bu . |  | 8.1 bu. |  | 9.8 bu . |
| Value of beans pet acre. Yield of fodder per acre. | 909 lbs. | 30.49 | 858 lbs. | 46.15 | 671 lbs . | 24.94 | 524 lbs. | 28.05 | 944 lbs. | 31.29 | 781 lbs . |
| Value of fodder per acre | 90\% tbs. | 2.82 | 858 Ibs. | 3.01 | 671 bs. | 1.88 | 524 Ibs. | 2.30 | 944 los. | 4.14 | 781 lbs. |
| Total value of crop per acre |  | 3.3 .31 |  | 49.16 |  | 26.82 |  | 30.35 |  | 35.43 |  |
| Cost per bushel. |  | 1.93 |  | 2.16 |  | 8.62 |  | 6.12 |  | 6.87 |  |
| Value per bushel. |  | $\underline{2.13}$ |  | +3.02 |  | 5.67 |  | 4.01 |  | 3.86 |  |
| Profit ( + ) or loss (-) per acre |  | $+2.93$ |  | $+13.05$ |  | -12.97 |  | -14.82 |  | -24.35 |  |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per bushel |  | $+0.20$ |  | $+0.86$ |  | -2.95 |  | -2.11 |  | -3.01 |  |
| Cost of markcting a bushel. |  | 0.06 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.10 |  |
| Returns per hour of human labor | Omitted | 0.34 | Omitted | 0.58 | 0.7 | -0.07 | 12 | -0.07 | 0.5 | -0.19 | 0.8 |
| Extra man hours for threshing ${ }^{*}$ | Omitted |  | Omited |  | 0.7 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.8 |

*Extra man hours for threshing is the time spent by threshers the charge for which is includod in the cash paid for threshing.
table 77. Costs per Acre of Producing Buckwheat


TABLE 77 (concluded)

| Year <br> Number of farms Total acres. Acres per farm | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 6 \\ 36.0 \\ 6.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1915 \\ & 12 \\ & 105.9 \\ & 8.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ 10 \\ 64.5 \\ 6.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 10 \\ 34.9 \\ 3.5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 12 \\ 58.8 \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avorage } \\ 10 \\ 60.0 \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Marketing: Human labor Horse labor. Equipment. | 0.7 1.4 hrs. | $\$ 0.17$ 0.21 0.07 | 1.0 hr. 1.6 hrs. | $\$ 0.25$ 0.25 0.07 | 0.9 hr. 0.5 hr. | $\$ 0.26$ 0.08 0.02 | 0.2 lir . | $\begin{array}{r} 50.08 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.03 \end{array}$ | 0.3 hr. | \$0.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \mathrm{hr} . \\ & 0.8 \mathrm{hr} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Total marketing costs |  | \$0.45 |  | \$0.57 |  | \$0.36 |  | \$0.20 |  | \$0.11 |  |
| Total costs. . . . . . . . |  | \$20.35 |  | \$19.19 |  | \$21.3.3 |  | \$26.47 |  | \$33.10 |  |
| Yield of grain per acre. | 18.7 bu. | 13.71 | 17.1 bu. | 13.53 | 9.0 bu . | 11.46 | 16.9 bu . | 31.08 | 12.0 bu. | 14.58 | 14.7 bu. |
| Yield of straw per acre. | 722 lbs. |  | 637 lbs. |  | 707 lbs . |  | 650 lbs . |  | 621 21 ss . | 14.58 | 669 lbs. |
| Value of straw per acre . . . |  | 1.16 14.87 |  | 1.41 14.94 |  | 1.52 12.98 |  | 1.71 32.79 |  | 1.29 |  |
| Total value of crop per acre |  | 14.87 |  | 14.94 |  | 12.98 |  | 32.79 |  | 15.87 |  |
| Cost per bushel. |  | 1.03 |  | 1.04 |  | 2.20 |  | 1.47 |  | 2.65 |  |
| Value per bushel. |  | 0.73 |  | 0.79 |  | 1.27 |  | 1.84 |  | 1.22 |  |
| Profit (+) or loss (-) per acre. |  | -5.48 |  | -4.25 |  | -8.35 |  | +6.32 |  | -17.23 |  |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per bushel. |  | -0.30 |  | -0.25 |  | -093. |  | $+0.37$ |  | -1.43 |  |
| Cost of marketing a bushel. |  | 0.04 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.04 |  |
| Returns per hour of human labor Extra man hours for threshing*. | Omitted | 0.02 | 1.2 | 0.05 | 0.5 | -0.11 | 1.1 | 0.68 | 0.6 | -0.42 | 0.9 |

*Extra man hours for threshing is the time spent by threshers the charge for which is included in the cash paid for threshing.
table 78. Costs per Acre of Producing Cabbage


TABLE 78 (concluded)

| Year. <br> Number of farms <br> Total acres <br> Acres per farm. | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 6 \\ 30.9 \\ 5.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 10 \\ 78.85 \\ 7.9 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ 8 \\ 40.9 \\ 5.1 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 7 \\ 50.2 \\ 7.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 8 \\ 29.7 \\ 3.7 \end{gathered}$ |  | Average <br> 8 <br> 46.1 <br> 5.8 <br> Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |  |
| Marketing: Human labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor. | 15.2 30 30 | $\$ 3.81$ 4.74 | 10.4 14.5 hrs . | \$2.71 | 17.4 hrs. | \$5. 25 | 22.5 hrs. | \$8.03 | 17.8 hrs . | \$7.05 | 16.7 hrs. |
| Horse labor | 30.0 hrs . | 4.74 1.48 | 14.5 hrs . | 2.25 0.64 | 24.8 hrs . | 4.14 1.23 | 19.2 hrs . | 3.78 1.09 | 25.3 hrs . | 5.72 1.80 | 22.8 hts. |
| Automobile, |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8 miles | 0.12 |  |  | 0.4 mile |
| Motor-truck........ . . . |  | 0.15 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.10 |  |
| Total marketing costs |  | \$10.18 |  | \$5.63 |  | \$10.76 |  | \$13.07 |  | \$14.67 |  |
| Total costs. |  | \$63.07 |  | \$50.70 |  | \$63.99 |  | \$78.98 |  | \$108.62 |  |
| Yield per acre . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Value of cabbage per acre . . . . . | 6.5 tons | 46.32 | 7.9 tons | 26.07 | 3.9 tons | 155.72 | 6.4 tons | 111.35 | 6.8 tons | 84.00 | 6.3 tons |
| Value of roughage and miscellancous credits per acre. |  | 16.32 1.29 |  | 26.07 0.36 |  | 155.72 1.85 |  | 111.35 3.75 |  | 84.00 8.22 |  |
| Total value of crop per acre. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 47.61 |  | 26.43 |  | 157.57 |  | 115.10 |  | 92.22 |  |
| Cost per ton. . . . . . . . . . |  | 9.50 |  | 6.37 |  | 15.93 |  | 11.69 |  | 14.76 |  |
| Value perton |  | 7.13 |  | 3.30 |  | 39.93 |  | 17.30 |  | 12.35 |  |
| Protit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per acre. . . . . . . . . . . |  | -15.46 |  | -24.27 |  | +93.58 |  | $+36.12$ |  | -16.40 |  |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per ton. |  | -2.37 |  | -3.07 |  | +24.00 |  | + 5.61 |  | -2.41 |  |
| Cost of marketing a ton. . |  | 1.83 |  | 1.46 |  | 2.78 |  | 2.70 |  | 2.95 |  |
| Returns per hour of human labor . . . . . . . . |  | 0.08 |  | -0.06 |  | 1.32 |  | 0.72 |  | 0.24 |  |

table 79. Costs pé Acre of Pronucing Corn for Grain

| Year. <br> Number of farms <br> Total acres <br> Acres per farm | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 6 \\ 49.4 \\ 8.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 25 \\ 136.6 \\ 5.5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1916 \\ & 10 \\ & 71.75 \\ & 7.2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 13 \\ 83.3 \\ 6.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 11 \\ 58 \\ 5.3 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 13 \\ 79.8 \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Valur | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Qmantity |
| Costs: <br> Growing: <br> Seed <br> Fertilizer. <br> Lime <br> Manure: <br> Value before hauling. <br> Human labor <br> Horse labert <br> Equipment <br> Total manure. <br> Green manure . <br> Twine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.4 lbs. | \$0.41 | 16.6 lhs. | $\$ 0.43$ 0.59 | 19.6 lbs. | \$0.49 | 18.1 lbs. | 50.67 1.08 | 25.2 lbs 134.0 lbs. | \$1.48 | 20.0 lbs. |
|  | 48.7 lbs. | 0.63 | 59.0 lbs. 0.03 ton | 0.59 0.15 | 127.3 lbs 0.03 ton | 1.13 0.12 | 115.61 bs 0.01 ton | 1.08 0.08 | 134.0 lbs 0.04 ton | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78 \\ & 0.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.9 \mathrm{lbs} . \\ & 0.02 \mathrm{ton} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\$ 3.47$ 0.62 |  | $\$ 2.40$ 0.67 |  | $\$ 3.71$ 1.15 | 2.9 hrs . | 83.70 1.04 | $2.2 \mathrm{hrs}$. | $\$ 3.06$ 0.80 |  |
|  | 2.4 hrs. $3.9 \mathrm{hrs}$. | 0.62 0.62 | 2.6 hrs. 4.4 hrs. | 0.67 | 3.9 hrs 6.6 hrs. | 1.08 | $2.9 \mathrm{hrs}$. 4.9 hrs. | 0.96 | 3.2 hrs. | 0.89 0.89 | $2.8 \mathrm{hrs}$. 4.7 hrs. |
|  | 3.9 brs. | 0.19 | 4.4 hrs | 0.20 | 6.6 hrs. | 0.32 | -9 hrs. | 0.28 |  | 0.28 |  |
|  | 4.312 lbs. | 4.90 | 4.04 .3 lbs. | 3.96 | 6,021 lbs. | 6.26 | 4.874 lbs. | 5.98 | 3.724 lbs . | 5.12 | 4,595 lbs. |
|  | 2.9 lbs. | \$0.30 | 1.5 lbs. | \$0.15 | 3.0 tbs. | \$0.36 | 1.9 lbs. | $\$ 0.05$ $\$ 0.37$ | 2.1 lbs | \$0 50 | 2.3 lbs. |
| Use of buildings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | \$0.19 |  | \$1.00 |  | \$0.9.3 |  | \$0.92 |  | \$1.06 |  |
| Taxes... |  | 0.08 |  | 0.17 |  | 0.15 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.17 |  |
| Insurance |  | 0.03 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.04 |  |
| All other |  | 0.32 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.39 1.51 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.54 |  |
| Total cost for use of buildings |  | 0.92 |  | 2.19 |  | 1.51 |  | 1.67 |  | 1.81 |  |
| Use of land: Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest. |  | $\$ 3.47$ 0.54 |  | $\$ 3.52$ 0.60 |  | $\$ 4.69$ 0.78 |  | $\$ 3.49$ 0.68 |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 4.65 \\ 0.73 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| Taxes. |  | 0.54 <br> 0.85 |  | 0.60 <br> 0.58 |  | 0.78 0.88 |  | 0.68 0.09 |  | 0.75 1.10 |  |
| Total cost for use of land. |  | 4.86 |  | 4.70 |  | 6.35 |  | 5.16 |  | 6.57 |  |
| Fire insurance |  | \$0.01 |  | 50.02 |  | \$0.03 |  | \$0.03 |  | \$0.03 |  |
| Interest. |  | 1.05 |  | 0.96 |  | 1.08 |  | 1.33 |  | I. 87 |  |
| Silo filling. |  |  |  | 0.14 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.33 |  |
| Meals for silo fillers. |  |  | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meals for silo fillers' horses |  |  | 0.09 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Husking. . . |  |  | 5.0 bu . | 0.28 |  |  | 5.2 bur . | 0.45 |  |  | 2.0 bu. |
| Human labor | 69.9 hrs | 17.58 | 63.5 hrs. | 16.50 | 53.3 hrs . | 15.85 | 59.5 hrs . | 21.05 | 83.0 hrs. | 32.65 | 65.8 hirs. |
| Horse labor | 54.0 hrs . | 8.53 | 55.5 hrs. | 8.60 | 54.6 hrs . | 9.01 | 57.5 hirs. | 11.00 | 63.1 hrs. | 13.38 | 56.9 hrs. |
| Equipment |  | 2.67 |  | 2.46 |  | 2.67 |  | 3.21 | 1.1 hrs | 4.31 |  |
| Tractor... . . . . . . . |  |  | 0.04 hr . | 0.04 0.07 |  |  |  |  | 1.1 hrs . | 1.88 0.13 | 0.2 hr . |
| Altother grownig costs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total growing costs. |  | \$41.86 |  | \$41.26 |  | \$44.96 |  | \$52.43 |  | \$72.65 |  |

TABLE 79 (concluded)


1AML.E. 8U. (OSTS PER ACRE OF I'RODACINA (ORN FOR SHLAGE


TABLE 80 (concluded)

| Year.... ${ }^{\text {Number of farms }}$. Total acres..... Acres per farm. | $\begin{aligned} & 1914 \\ & 11 \\ & 148.1 \\ & 13.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1915 26 315.8 12.1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ 18 \\ 264.3 \\ 14.7 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1918 \\ 20 \\ 257.9 \\ 12.9 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 19 \\ 249.9 \\ 13.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Yield per acre Cost per ton. | 7.3 tons | \$5.16 | 7.1 tons | \$5.58 | 4.9 tons | \$7.77 | 4.8 tons | \$9.38 | 6.2 tons | \$10.31 | 6.1 tons |

table 81. Costs per Acre of Producing Hay

| Year . . . . . . . Number of farms. Total acres..... Acres per farm. | $\begin{aligned} & 1914 \\ & 17 \\ & 700.7 \\ & 41.2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 4.5 \\ 1.577 .39 \\ .351 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ 31 \\ 1.330 .3 \\ 42.9 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 31 \\ 1128.8 .5 \\ 36.1 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1918 \\ 31 \\ 1255.2 \\ 40.5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 31 \\ 11085 \\ 39.2 \\ -\quad \text { Quantity } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |  |
| Costs: Growing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scert. | 8.5 lbs. | \$0.97 | 8.4 lbs. | \$1.09 | 6.8 lbs | \$1.00 | 7.4 lbs | \$1.21 | 7.8 lbs . | \$1.49 | 7.8 lbs |
| Fertilizer | 24.1 lbs | 0.20 | 9.8 lbs. | 0.15 | 2.4 lbs . | 0.04 | 0.7 lbs | 008 | 0.7 lb . | 0.04 | 7.5 lbs . |
| Lime. | (0.01 ton, | 0.07 | 0.04 ton | 0.18 | 0.1 ton | 0.41 | 0.05 ton | 0.26 | 0.04 tom | 0.31 | 0.05 ton |
| Manure: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value before hauling |  | \$1.65 |  | \$1.73 |  | \$2. 25 |  | \$2.97 |  | \$3.66 |  |
| Human labor. | 1. 1 hrss. | 0.29 | 1.9 hrs. | 0.49 | 2.4 hrs. | 0.70 | 2. 4 h hrs. | 0.84 0.78 | 2.7 hrs . | 1.06 | 2.1 hrs. |
| Horse labor. Eguipment. | 1.8 hrs. | 0.29 0.09 | 3.2 hrs. | 0.50 0.14 | 4.0 hrs. | 0.60 0.20 | 3.9 hrs . | O.78 | 4.7 hrs . | 1.06 0.33 | 3.5 hrs . |
| Total unamire | 2.0 .38 lt s. | 2.32 | 2.921 lbs | 2.86 | 3,666 lls. | 381 | 3,9,38 lbs | 4.81 | 4,4,38 lbs. | 6.11 | $3,400 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| Use of buiklings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | $\$ 0.70$ 0.11 |  | 80.79 0.13 |  | 80.95 0.16 |  | 81.00 0.19 |  | $\$ 1.15$ 0.18 |  |
| Taxis. |  | 0.04 |  | 0.138 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.18 |  |
| All other. |  | 0.45 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.39 |  | 0.56 |  | 0.57 |  |
| Tatal cost for use of huildings. |  | 1.30 |  | 1.72 |  | 1.54 |  | 1.80 |  | 1.94 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes. |  | 0.48 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.58 |  | 0.58 |  | 0.65 |  |
| All other |  | 0.74 |  | 0.47 |  | 0.66 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.02 |  |
| Total cost for use of land |  | 4.27 |  | 3.83 |  | 4.73 |  | 4.40 |  | 5.78 |  |
| Fire insutance |  | 80.03 |  | \$0.03 |  | \$0.03 |  | \$0.0.3 |  | $\$ 0.03$ |  |
| Interest. . |  | 0.23 |  | 0.28 |  | 0.34 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.43 | - |
| Threshing | 0.03 bu . | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muman labor | 8.0 hrs . | 2.02 | 9.7 hrs . | 2.52 | 10.5 hrs. | 3.18 | 9.2 hrs | 3.27 | 9.3 hrs . | 3.68 | 9.3 hrs. |
| Horse labor | 8.1 hrs . | 1.28 | 10.2 hrs . | 1.59 | 10.7 hrs . | 1.79 | 9.8 hrs . | 1.92 | 9.9 hrs . | 2.24 | 9.7 hrs . |
| Equipment. . . . . |  | 0.40 0.02 |  | 0.45 0.01 |  | 0.53 0.01 |  | 0.56 0.01 |  | 0.71 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total growing costs. |  | \$13.12 |  | \$14.71 |  | \$17.42 |  | \$18.66 |  | \$22.77 |  |

TABLEE 81 (concluded)


[^19]TABLE 82. Costs pek Acre of Producing Mangels fok Stock Fefd

table 83. Costs per Acre of Productng Oats


TABI.E. 83 (concluded)


[^20]TAbLE 84. Costs per Acre of Producing Peaches

| Year <br> Number of farms. <br> Total acres. <br> Acres per farm. | $\begin{gathered} 1916 \\ 4 \\ 27.5 \\ 6.88 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 48 \\ 28.7 \\ 7.18 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| Costs: <br> Growing: <br> Trees. <br> 4.0 <br> $\$ 0.35$ <br> 0.6 <br> \$0. 07 |  |  |  |  |
| Manure: |  |  |  |  |
| Value before hauling |  | \$0.45 |  | \$1.68 |
| Human labor | 0.5 hr | 0.14 | $1.3 \mathrm{hrs}$. | 0.47 |
| Horse labor. | 0.8 hr . | 0.13 | 2.2 hrs. | 0.44 |
| Fquipment . |  | 0.04 |  | 0.13 |
| Total manure | 727 lbs. | 0.76 | 2,216 lbs. | 2.72 |
| Spray materials. | 11.1 gal . | \$1.00 |  | $\$ 1.47$ |
| Use of buildings: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | \$0.33 |  | \$0.05 |
| Taxes. |  | 0.06 |  | 0.01 |
| Insurance |  | 0.01 |  |  |
| Alt other |  | 0.14 |  | 0.02 |
| Total cost for use of buildings |  | 0.54 |  | 0.08 |
| Use of land: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest. |  | \$11.01 |  | \$8.35 |
| Taxes. |  | 1.83 |  | 1.63 |
| All other. |  | 2.07 |  | 2.36 |
| Total cost for use of land |  | 14.91 |  | 12.34 |
| Interest. |  | \$0.15 |  | 50.27 |
| Meals for pickers |  |  | 0.5 | 0.18 |
| Human labor. . | 75.4 hrs . | 22.79 | 91.0 hrs. | 32.61 |
| Horse labor | 32.6 hrs . | 5.43 | 28.3 hrs . | 5.57 |
| Equipment. |  | 1.61 |  | 1.60 |
| All other growing costs |  | 0.12 |  | 16.24 |
|  |  |  |  | \$73.15 |
| Marketing: |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 3.1 hrs . | \$0.93 | 9.1 hrs . | \$3.25 |
| Horse labor. | 5.0 hrs . | 0.83 | 15.5 hrs . | 3.05 |
| Equipment. |  | 0.25 | 15.5 hrs . | 0.88 |
| Automobile. |  |  | 0.7 hr . | 0.06 |
| Motor-truck. |  |  |  | 0.31 |
| Barrels, baskets, and containers |  | 7.32 |  | 5.30 |
| All other marketing costs. |  | 0.17 |  | 0.09 |
| Total marketing costs . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | Si). 49 |  | \$12.94 |
| Total costs. |  | \$57.15 |  | S 86.09 |
| Yield ner acre. . . . . | 60.5 bu . |  | 164.7 bu. |  |
| Value of crop per acre. |  | 58.61 |  | 156.78 |
| Cost per bushel. |  | 0.83 |  | 0.52 |
| Value per bushel |  | 0.84 |  | 0.95 |
| Profit per acre. |  | 1.46 |  | 70.69 |
| Profit per bushel |  | 0.02 |  | 0.43 |
| Cost of marketing a bushel. |  | 0.14 |  | 0.08 |
| Returns per hour of human labor |  | 0.32 |  | 1.06 |

Table 85. Costs per Acre of Producing Pears

| Year <br> Number of farms Total acres Acres per farm | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1916 \\ & 3 \\ & 1582 \\ & 5.27 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1917$\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 1782 \\ 4.46 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quancit: | Value | Quantity | Value |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manure: <br> Value before hauling. <br> Human labor <br> Horse labor. <br> Equipment. <br> Total manure |  |  | 3.1 5.3 hrs. 4.804 lbs. | $\$ 2.96$ 0.93 0.86 0.26 5.00 | 1.8 hrs 3.0 hrs. 2.974 lbs. | $\$ 2.26$ 0.63 0.59 0.17 3.65 |
| Green manure. Spray materials |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2.75 \\ 5.89 \end{array}$ |  | \$6.53 |  | \$8.34 |
| Use of land: <br> Interest <br> Taxes. <br> All other <br> Total cosc for use of |  | $\$ 3.17$ 0.50 0.77 |  | 58.66 1.44 1.63 |  | $\$ 8.18$ 1.60 2.31 |
| Total cosc for use of land. |  | 4.44 |  | 11.73 |  | 12.09 |
| Interest |  | \$5.42 |  |  |  | \$0.27 |
| Human labor... | 179.0 hrs . | 44.89 | 86.5 hrs . | \$26.15 | 89.9 hrs . | 32.04 |
| Horse labor. | 93.0 hrs . | 14.69 | 43.5 hrs | 7.25 | 36.9 hrs . | 7.27 |
| Equipment. |  | 4.59 |  | 2.15 |  | 2.10 |
| All other growing costs |  |  |  | 0.58 |  |  |
| Total growing costs . |  | \$8? 67 |  | \$60.39 |  | \$67.80 |
| Marketing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 18.0 hrs . | \$4. 51 | 0.7 hr . | \$9 21 | 8.0 hrs . | \$2.85 |
| Horse labor. | 35.0 hrs . | 5.5.3 | 1.1 hrs . | 0.18 0.05 | 14.1 hrs. | 2.77 0.80 |
| Aquipment... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barrels, baskets, and containers. <br> All other marketing cost | 130 | 23.30 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.81 \\ & 0.53 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1.42 |
| Total marketing costs. |  | \$35.07 |  | \$3.78 |  | \$8.20 |
| Total costs. <br> Yield per acre <br> Value of crop per acre <br> Cost per bushel <br> Value per bushel <br> Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per acre <br> Profit ( + ) or loss ( -- ) per bushel. |  | 117.74 |  | \$63.17 |  | 57600 |
|  | 23.5 hu. |  | 83.3 bu. |  | 915 bu. |  |
|  |  | 99.66 |  | 75.83 |  | 91.86 |
|  |  | 0.46 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.83 |
|  |  | 0.39 .18 .08 |  | 0.91 +1266 |  |  |
|  |  | -0.07 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of marketing a bushe: Returns per hour of hume.n labor |  | 0.14 |  | 0.17 |  | 0.09 |
|  |  | 0.16 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.52 |

TABLE 86. Costs per Acke of Producing Potatoes


TABI.E 86 (concluded)

| Year . . . . . . . . Number of Tarms. Total acres. . Acres per farm. . | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 1.3 \\ 91.4 \\ 7.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ 37 \\ 239.48 \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1916 \\ & 24 \\ & 135.85 \\ & 5.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 27 \\ 132.15 \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 29 \\ 79.65 \\ 2.7 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 26 \\ 135.71 \\ 5.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Marketing: <br> Human labor | 17.4 hrs . | \$4.35 | 6.5 hrs . | \$1.66 | 5.9 hrs. | \$1.78 | 8.9 hrs . | \$3.18 | 11.7 hrs . | \$4.62 | 10.1 hrs . |
| Horse labor | 10.8 hrs. | 1.71 | 4.2 hrs . | 0.65 | 4.7 hirs. | 0.78 | 3.1 hrs | 0.60 | 7.4 hrs . | 1.67 | 6.0 hrs . |
| Equipment. |  | 0.54 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.23 |  | 0.17 |  | 0.53 |  |
| Automobile. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.19 |  |
| Motor-truck...... . . . . . . . |  |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.12 |  |
| Barrels, baskets, and containers. All other marketing costs. . . |  |  |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other marketing costs. |  | 0.10 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |  |
| Total marketing costs. |  | \$6.70 |  | \$2.55 |  | \$3.04 |  | \$4.25 |  | \$7.20 |  |
| Total costs. |  | \$74.82 |  | \$54.96 |  | \$72.04 |  | \$106.30 |  | \$122.78 |  |
| Yield per acre........... Value of potatoes per acre | 162.0 bu. |  | 77.2 bu. |  | 88.0 bu. | 152.95 | 91.7 bu. | 90.78 | 137.7 bu . | 139.08 | 111.3 bu . |
| Value of miscellaneous credits per acre |  | 49.42 |  | 64.30 0.07 |  | 152.95 |  | 90.78 |  | 139.08 |  |
| Total value of crop per acre. |  | 49.42 |  | 64.37 |  | 152.95 |  | 90.78 |  | 1.39 .08 |  |
| Cost per bushel. |  | 0.46 |  | 0.71 |  | 0.82 |  | 1.16 |  | 0.89 |  |
| Value per bushel. |  | 0.31 |  | 0.83 +0.41 |  | 1.74 +80.91 |  | 0.99 |  | +1.01 |  |
| Profit (+) or loss ( - per acre. |  | -25.40 |  | $+9.41$ |  | $+80.91$ |  | -15.52 |  | $+16.30$ |  |
| Profit ( + ) or lesss( - ) per bushel. |  | -0.15 |  | +0.12 |  | +0.92 |  | -0.17 |  | +0.12 |  |
| Cost of marketing a bushel. . . . |  | 0.07 -0.01 |  | 0.09 0.38 |  | 0.06 1.34 |  | 0.13 0.18 |  | 0.10 |  |
| Returns per hour of human labor |  | -0.01 |  | 0.38 |  | 1.34 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.54 |  |

TABLE 87. Costs per Acre of Prodlicina; Rye


TABLEE 87 (concluded)

| Year Numbir of farms. Total acres. . . . Acres per farm. | $\begin{gathered} 1015 \\ 8 \\ 48.75 \\ 6.1 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1910 \\ 3 \\ 25 \\ 8.3 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1917 \\ 2 \\ 19.3 \\ 9.6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1918 \\ & 4 \\ & 9.46 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Averise } \\ 25.6 \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Marketing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor. | 1.5 hrs. | \$0.39 | 1.4 hrs. |  | 0.3 hr . | \$0.11 | 0.5 hr . | \$0.21 | $0.9 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Horse labor. . | 0.6 hr . | 0.09 0.03 | 0.6 hr . | 0.11 0.03 | 0.5 hr . | 0.16 0.03 |  |  | $0.4 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Pressing. . | 384.9 lbs. | O. 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meals for pressers | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 hr . | 0.61 | 0.1 hr . |
| Total marketing conts. |  | \$0.81 |  | \$0.55 |  | \$0. 24 |  | \$1). 2 |  |
| Total ensts. |  | \$20.43 |  | \$29.90 |  | \$38.10 |  | \$42.75 |  |
| Yield of grain per acre. | 18.1 bu. | \$20.43 | 16.6 bu . | 18.80 | 21.3 bu . | \$3.10 | 16.7 bu. | 30.75 | 18.2 bu. |
| Value of grain per acre. Yield of straw per acre. |  | 15.71 | 13.60 tbs . | 18.80 | 13.40 lbs . | 33.91 | 18.00 lbs . | 30.41 | 14.25 lbs. |
| Value of straw per acre. | 12.00 lbs. | 3.86 | $13.60 \mathrm{ms}$. | 4.04 | 13.40 lbs. | 3.00 | 18.00 lbs. | 10.94 | 14.25 hbs . |
| Value of pasture nee acre. . |  |  |  |  |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |
| Total value of crop ser acre |  | 19.57 |  | 22.84 |  | 37.26 |  | 41.35 |  |
| Cost per bushel. . . . . . . . |  | 0.92 |  | 1.56 |  | 1.63 |  | 1.90 |  |
| Value per bushel. |  | 0.87 |  | 1.13 |  | 1.59 |  | 1.82 |  |
| Loss per acre. . |  | 0.86 |  | 7.15 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.40 |  |
| Loss ner bushel. |  | 0.05 |  | 0.43 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.08 |  |
| Cost of marketing a bushel. |  | 0 0.6\% |  | 0108 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.12 |  |
| Returns per hour of human labor. |  | 0.21 |  | -0.06 |  | 0.30 |  | 0.31 |  |
| Extra man hours for threshing*. | 0.1 |  | 1.1 |  | 2.5 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |

*Extra man hours for threshing is the time spent by threshers the charge for which is included in the cash paid for threshing.

TABLE 88. Costs per Acre of Producing Wheat


TABI.E 88 (concluded)

| Year <br> Number of farms <br> Total ateres <br> Acres mir farm $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1014 \\ & 10 \\ & 124.2 \\ & 12.4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 191.5 \\ 30 \\ 426.57 \\ 1.42 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1016 \\ & 20 \\ & 232 \\ & 11.6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1017 \\ 18 \\ 27.3 .5 \\ 15.2 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 16 \\ 248.9 \\ 15.6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avorake } \\ 10 \\ 201.0 \\ 13.8 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
| Marketing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Human labor | 1.4 hrs. | \$0.36 | 1.1 hrs . | \$0.30 | $1.3 \mathrm{hrs}$. | \$0.38 | 1.4 hrs. | \$0. 47 | 1.3 hrs. | \$0.50 | $1.3 \mathrm{hrs}$. |
| Horse labor. . | 1.8 hits. | 0.28 | 1.2 hirs. | 0.20 | 1.6 hr . | 0.17 | 1.5 hrs. | 0.30 | - 1.3 lirs. | 0.29 | 1.4 hiss. |
| Eiguipment. . |  | 0.09 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.05 |  | O. $0^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 0.09 |  |
| Pressing. . . . . . . . . |  |  | 407.4 lbs. | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 lbs |
| Meals for pressers. . . All other marketing cost. |  |  | 0.05 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allother marketing cost- <br> Motor-truck |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 002 0.02 |  |
|  | - --- |  |  |  | - - |  | --. . - |  | .. -.. |  |  |
| Tonal matketing mint |  | \$0.73 |  | \$0.6.5 |  | \$0 61 |  | \$1.80 |  | \$0.12 |  |
| Yotal costs. |  | \$28.75 |  | \$28.51 |  | \$31.44 | ir * | \$.37. 9.5 | * | \$40.04 |  |
| Yield of grain par ictre. | 21.9 bu. |  | 2.8) bu. | S.8... | 23.7 bu . |  | 23.3 bu. |  | 20.0 bu . |  | 23.6 bu |
|  |  | 25.80 |  | 29.07 |  | 38.25 0.02 |  | 47.40 0.11 |  | 42.74 | - |
| Yield of sttaw ber atcre . . . . . . . . . | 1.500 lbs. |  | 1,760 Jms. |  | 1,620 lbs. |  | 1,380 lbs. |  | 1,100 lbs. |  | 1.472 ${ }^{\text {Jus }}$ |
| Falue of straw mer acre. |  | 3.96 |  | 5.25 |  | 4.28 | 1,380 lbs. | 4.61 |  | 4.40 |  |
| Total value of crop der acte. |  | 29.85 |  | 34.32 |  | 42.55 |  | 52.12 |  | 47.14 |  |
| Sost por bushel. |  | 1.13 |  | 0.81 |  | 1.15 |  | 1.43 |  | 2.08 |  |
| Falue mer bushel |  | 114 |  | 1.01 |  | 1.61 |  | 2.0 .3 |  | 2.14 |  |
| 3rofit per acre. |  | 1.10 |  | 580 |  | 11.11 |  | 14.17 |  | 1.10 |  |
| Protit per bushel |  | 0.05 |  | 0.20 |  | 0.46 |  | 0.60 |  | 0.06 |  |
| -ost of marketing a bushel |  | 0.05 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.06 |  |
| xeturns jer hour of humatn labor. |  | 0.30 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.74 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.42 |  |
| Extra man hours for threshing*. | Omitted |  | 1.8 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.0 |  | 0.5 |  | 1.1 |

*Extra man hours for threshing is the time spent by threshers the charge for which is included in the cash gaid for threshing.

Some crops, such as oats, are not highly profitable but fit into the year's work in such a way that they are grown even tho not highly profitable. The recommendation is sometimes made that the rental charge and the rate per hour for labor should be reduced, so that oats will show a profit and reduce the profit on hay or other crops. This is based on the belief that if any part of the business is desirable, it should be so charged as to show a profit. If such a method were carried to its logical conclusion, all enterprises on a well-balanced farm would be so charged as to make them all equally profitable since all are needed. The writers believe that an analysis of a business is easier to make when the various crops are all treated as nearly alike as possible. For example, by the methods of accounting here used, the seven-years average returns for the oat crop paid all other costs and left an average of i cent per hour for human labor. Wheat left 57 cents, hay 88 cents. So far as type of farming is concerned, this would indicate that on these farms the oat crop should be looked upon as a supplemental crop. It is not often desirable to expand the oat acreage beyond the area that can be grown without interfering with other crops. On some farms, oats supplement the hay crop by filling the step between a cultivated crop and hay. It would not be desirable to make a combination of enterprises giving such low returns as oats, nor would it be desirable to have too large a proportion of the farm devoted to oats; but there is no reason for eliminating the crop unless it can be replaced by something better, nor is there any reason for expanding the area of a highly profitable crop unless it will result in greater profits for the farm as a whole. Accounts provide information that is an aid in business analysis; they do not provide automatic rules.

The returns per hour for labor are not profits. The return per hour is the amount at which labor can be charged and have the account come out even. In making this calculation only the direct labor is included (page 45 ).

## AVERAGES FOR SEVEN YEARS

Some of the most important averages for each year from 1914 to 1920, inclusive, and for the seven years, are given in table 89 . These years include some pre-war years, years of rising prices, and the first year of falling prices.

TABLE 89. Summary of Averages, 1914 to 1920

|  | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919* | 1920 | Average for seven years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Realestate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farms | 17 | 45 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 35 | 3.3 | -- |
| Acres per farm. | 165.8 | 151.2 | 175.7 | 168.0 | 164.6 | 165.2 | 166.7 | 16.5 .3 |
| Value per farmt...... | \$13,87.3. 24 | \$12,226.90 | \$15.012.56 | \$14.513.14 | \$15.249.23 | \$15,185.90 | \$17,148.28 | \$14.744.19 |
| Value of operators houses . . . . . . . . | \$1,551.76 | \$1.508.36 | \$1.581.0.4 | \$1.530.80 | \$1.516.28 | \$1.78.3.34 | \$17,004 54 | \$1.631).45 |
| Value of barns ant other out buildings. | \$2.624.50 | \$2,641.77 | \$.1.270 47 | \$.1.218.9: | \$3.202.86 | \$ $\$ 3,605.97$ | \$3,280.0.3 | 8.3.049.08 |
| Charge for interest, ger cont of value ( Uther costs for barns and other out- | 5 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{-1.25}$ | -. 215 | ${ }_{6}$ | -3,0 6 | $6^{3}$ | 5.4.3 |
| buidings. per cent of value. ... | 5 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
| Cropacres per farm | 1088 | 94.4 | 110.5 | 104.3 | 102.9 | 101.7 | 104.3 | 10.3.8 |
| Value of eropland der acre. | \$7.4.09 | \$67.75 | \$73.94 | \$73.77 | \$81.50 | \$80.05 | \$90.15 | \$77.33 |
| Charge for interest, ner cent of valut | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5.4.3 |
| valut. | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Number of farms | 18 | 46 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 38 | 33 | --. |
| Mancequivalertt.. | 18.0 | 2.6 | 21.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Total hours of labor . . . . | 8.950 | 8,424 | 8,501 | 8.28 .5 | 8.870 | 8,3,39 | 8.14 .3 | 8,503. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Hours fer merson fuer ycar: | 2.975 | 3,164 | 3,066 | 2,9.18 | 3,089 | 3,086 | 3.058 | 3.055 |
| Cost per hour worked. . | \$0.2508 | 80. 2.501 | \$0.3020 | \$ 80.356 .3 | \$0.3957 | \$0.4144 | \$0.4.300 | \$0.3452 |
| Number of farms. | 18 | 46 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 37 | 3.3 | - |
| Per cent of farms using tractors | 0 | 2.2 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 21.9 | 29.7 | 36.4 | 14.3 |
| Horses pur farm | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| Total hours worked by horses. | 5,1.38 | 4,988 | 5,024 | 4.813 | 4.767 | 4,085 | 3,935 | 4,679 |
| Hours iner horse jer year... | 1,040 | 1.016 | 9.3 .3 | 022 | 1,041 | 805 | . 901 | , 964 |
| Pounds of grain fed per horse. | 3,357 | 3,074 | 3,210 | 2.736 | 3.295 | 2,810 | 2,305 | 2.982 |
| Pounds of dry forage fed jer horse . . . | 7,376 | 6,094 | 7,289 | 7.755 | 7,499 | 6.858 | 6.078 | 6.99 .4 |
| Hours of human labor to take cire of a horse | 14.4 | 143 | 116 | 116 | 124 | 117 | 111 | 112 |
| Cost of feed and iodding perthorse. | \$104.16 | \$16. 81 | \$101.40 | \$123.09 | 8106.90 | \$146.36 | \$125.19 | \$12.4.43 |
| Total cost of keeping a horse. . . | \$171.67 | \$108. 54 | \$170.04 | \$ 202.61 | \$255.45 | \$236.7) | \$215.34 | \$202.91 |
| Cost per hour of horse labor. | \$0.1580 | \$0.1548 | \$0.1666 | \$0.1970 | \$0.2259 | \$0.24.36 | \$0.2188 | \$0.1950 |
| Equinment (exelusive of tractors, auter mobiles, trucks, atid some sjecial equipment): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farms. | 18 | 46 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 37 | 33 | - |
| Average value per farm | \$916.96 | \$799.23 | \$864.68 | \$901. 56 | \$996.08 | \$1,068.82 | \$1,137.49 | 8954.97 |
| Value per acre of crops. . . . . . | \$8.71 | \$8.64 | \$8.33 | $\$ 9.02$ | \$10.14 | + 810.93 | \$ $\$ 11.48$ | \$9.61 |
| Annual cost per acre of crops. . . . . . . | \$2.39 | \$2.36 | \$2.38 | \$2.73 | \$ 8.3 .40 | \$3.48 | \$ $\$ 3.73$ | \$2.92 |
| Cost of equipment per hour of horse labor | \$0.0494 | \$0.0439 | \$0.0494 | \$0.0569 | \$0.0712 | \$0.0854 | \$0.0048 | \$0.0644 |
| Annual cost in per cent of value | 28.0 | 27.5 | 28.5 | 30.1 | 33.5 | 31.8 | 32.5 | 30.3 |

*In this table the figurcs for 1919 are not in all cases the same as those given in the preceding pages, as they include one lees farm.
Value per farm includes operator s house, barns, land, and all other real estate.
\$Total hours divided by total workers, not by man equivalent.

TABLE 89 (continued)

|  | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919* | 1920 | Average for severs years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cows: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farms. | 9 | 26 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 17 |  |
| Number of cows per farm | 16.6 | 16.9 | 20.1 | 22.6 | 21.5 | 19.8 | 17.1 | 19.2 |
| Pounds of grain fed ner cow. | 2.024 | 1,762 3 | 2,167 | 1.828 | 1,754 | 2,058 | 1,835 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 1,918 |
| Pounds of hay fed per cow. | 2.905 | 3,165 | 3,384 | 4,078 | 4.298 | 4,107 | 3,180 | 3,588 |
| Pounds of silage fed per cow. | 7,892 05 | 7,502 | 5.796 | 5.74280 | 5.673 | 5.444 | 7,669 | 6.531 |
| Cost of feed and bedding per cow | \$72.05 | \$69.79 | \$75.50 | \$99.80 | \$118.80 | \$134.40 | \$1.36. 22 | \$100.95 |
| Hours of human labor per cow Cost of human labor per cow. | 153.1 | 160.7 | 142.6 | 128.8 | 137.2 | 156.8 | 150.4 | 147.1 |
| Cost of horse and equipment labor per cow | +38.39 $\$ 5.07$ | + $\$ 5.78$ $\$ 5.78$ | \$43.15 $\$ 5.35$ | $\$ 45.87$ $\$ 6.77$ | \$54.30 | $\$ 62.62$ $\$ 7.90$ | \$61.66 | \$49.08 |
| Total cost per cow (including depreciation. if any) | \$1.35.15 | $\$ 5.56$ $\$ 140.42$ | \$143.31 | $\$ 6.77$ $\$ 172.44$ | $\$ 6.86$ $\$ 20815$ | $\$ 7.90$ $\$ 228.23$ | $\$ 7.68$ $\$ 256.15$ | \$6.46 |
| Cost of milk per 100 pounds sold. . . . . . | \$ $\$ 1.745$ | \$14.118 | \$1.894 | \$172.398 | \$208.1.33 | \$228.23 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 56.15 \\ \$ 3.672 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 183.41$ $\$ 2.5701$ |
| Pounds of milk per cow. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.856 | 5.487 | 6.758 | 6,340 | 6.010 | 6.487 | 6.169 | 6,301 |
| Value of milk and milk products per cow | \$107.60 | \$101.64 | \$123.00 | \$172.24 | \$190.09 | \$225.82 | \$213.62 | \$162.13 |
| Price of milk per 100 pounds sold. . . . . . . | \$1.563 | \$1.631 | \$1.823 | \$2.718 | \$3.190 | \$3.442 | \$3.280 | \$ $\$ 2.522$ |
| otal returns per cow (including appreciation, if any) | \$123.72 | \$117.44 | \$138.89 | \$191.48 | \$211.77 | \$251.9.3 | \$234.64 | \$181.41 |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per cow... | -\$11.43 | -\$22.98 | - $\$ 4.42$ | +\$19.04 | +\$3.62 | +\$2360 | -\$21.51 | \$181.41 |
| Returns per hour of human labort Hay: | \$0.18 | \$0.12 | \$0.27 | \$0.50 | \$0.42 | \$0.55 | \$0.27 | \$0.33 |
| Number of farms | 17 | 45 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 35 | 32 |  |
| Acres grown per farm. | 41.2 | 35.1 | 42.9 | 36.1 | 40.5 | 42.8 | 37.0 | 39.5 |
| Yield in tons per acre. | 1.15 | 1.31 | 1.89 | 1.72 | 1.56 | 1.70 | 1.42 | 1.54 |
| Hours of human labor per acre. | 8.9 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 9.6 | 10.6 |
| Hours of horse labor per acre... | 8.8 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 10.4 |
| Cost of lime and other fertilizers per acre | \$0.27 | \$0.33 | \$0.45 | \$0.28 | \$0.35 | \$0.68 | \$0.89 | \$0.46 |
| Cost of manure per acre | \$2.32 | \$2.86 | \$3.81 | \$4.81 | \$6.11 | \$5.33 | \$5.43 | \$4.38 |
| Cost per acre. | $\$ 13.75$ | \$15.48 | \$18.30 | \$19.31 | \$23.47 | \$24.41 | $\$ 26.52$ | \$20.18 |
| Cost perton. | \$11.76 | \$11.63 | \$9.56 | \$11.06 | \$14.50 | \$13.94 | \$18.63 | \$13.01 |
| Value per ton. | \$13.30 | \$13.32 | \$10.29 | \$15.76 | \$19.29 | \$22.90 | \$ 80.43 | \$16.47 |
| Profit per acre. Profit per ton. | $\$ 1.78$ $\$ 1.54$ | $\$ 2.21$ $\$ 1.69$ | \$1.39 | \$8.09 | \$7.48 | \$15.20 | \$2.55 | \$5.53 |
| Profit per ton. Returns per hour of human labor. | \$1.54 | \$1.69 | \$0.73 $\$ 0.42$ | \$4.70 $\$ 1.17$ | \$4.79 | \$8.96 | \$1.80 | \$3.46 |
| Oats: |  |  |  | +1.17 | +1.14 | \$1.81 | \$0.73 | \$0.88 |
| Number of farms. | 13 | 41 | 23 | 24 | 28 | 30 | 29 | - |
| Acres grown per farm. | 15.0 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 15.2 | 14.9 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 14.0 |
| Yield in bushels per acre. . . . | 27.4 | 43.9 | 24.3 | 35.3 | 47.9 | 25.9 | 42.2 | 35.3 |
| Hours of human labor per acre. | 19.3 | 27.8 | 20.0 | 22.4 | 25.7 | 20.6 | 21.1 | 22.4 |
| Hours of horse labor per acre. . . . . . . . . . | 28.4 | 33.2 | 30.2 | 30.7 | 31.9 | 29.2 | 25.3 | 29.8 |
| Cost of lime and other fertilizers per acre | \$2.18 | \$1.45 | \$2.03 | \$2.51 | \$2.61 | \$2.88 | \$2.67 | \$2.33 |
| Cost of manure per acre . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\$ 4.39$ $\$ 25.74$ | $\$ 3.73$ +18.03 | \$4.95 | \$5.10 | \$5.49 | \$8.04 | \$5.74 | \$5.35 |
| Cost per acre. | $\$ 25.74$ $\$ 0.84$ | \$28.03 | \$27.92 | \$33.19 | \$41.44 | \$41.35 | \$42.36 | \$ $\mathbf{3 4 . 2 9}$ |
| Value per bushel. | \$0.52 | \$0.48 | \$0.61 | \$0.81 | \$0.74 | $\$ 1.45$ $\$ 0.90$ | 50.88 $\$ 0.57$ | \$0.90 |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per acre | -\$8.66 | -\$1.97 | -\$10.23 | +\$1.06 | a $+\$ 0.02$ | -\$14.20 | -\$10.57 | $\$ 0.67$ $-\$ 6.70$ |
| Profit ( + ) or loss ( - ) per bushel | - \$0.32 | - 0.05 | - 80.42 | +\$0.03 | + 0 | - \$0.55 | - $\mathbf{-}$ \$0.31 | -\$0.23 |
| Returns per hour of human labor | -\$0.20 | \$0.18 | - 80.24 | \$0.40 | \$0.39 | -\$0.20 | - $\$ 0.20$ | \$0.01 |

TABLE 89 （continued）

|  | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919＊ | 1920 | Average for seven years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barley： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farms． | 2 | 10 | 5 | 76 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 8 \％ |
| Acres grown per farm． | 5.4 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 7. | 8.3 |
| Yielrl in bughels per acre． | 18.2 | 35.3 | 17.0 | 20.5 | 31.6 | 22.8 | 2.3 \％ | 25.0 |
| Hours of human labor per acre． | 20.3 | 25.0 | 23.4 | 25.7 | 20．0 | 19.2 | 19.3 | 21.8 |
| Hours of horse labor per acre ．i．．．．．． | 34.5 | 33.7 | 38.5 | 41.2 | 23.1 | 31.6 | 26.6 | 32.7 |
| Cost of lime and other fertilizers per acre． | \＄1．32 | \＄1．37 | \＄1．92 | \＄2．87 | \＄2．66 | \＄2．46 | \＄5．06 | \＄2．52 |
| Cost of manure per acre．．．．．．．．．． | \＄5．63 | \＄4．21 | \＄4．76 | \＄8．60 | 86.32 | \＄8．64 | \＄3．96 | \＄0．02 |
| Cost per acre．．．．．．．． | \＄30．64 | \＄27．85 | \＄31．30 | \＄40．75 | \＄41．13 | \＄44．89 | \＄$\$ 38.76$ | \＄36．47 |
| Cost per bushel | \＄1．55 | \＄0．64 | \＄1．74 | \＄1．40 | \＄1．15 | \＄1．78 | \＄1．51 | \＄1．40 |
| Value per bushel．． | \＄0．86 | \＄0．65 | \＄0．98 | \＄1．48 | 80.97 | \＄1．43 | \＄0．90 | \＄1． 04 |
| Profit（ + ）or loss（ - ）per acre ．${ }^{\text {P }}$ ．．．． | －$\$ 12.64$ | ＋$\$ 0.03$ | －$\$ 12.88$ | ＋\＄2．18 | －\＄5．81 | －\＄7．010 | －$\$ 1.4 .47$ | － 87.37 |
| Profit（ + ）or loss（ - ）per bushel ．．．． | $-\$ 0.69$ | ＋\＄0．01 | －\＄0．76 | $+50.08$ | －\＄0．18 | －\＄1．35 | \＄0．61 | － 80.36 |
| Returns per hour of human labor． | －\＄0．37 | \＄0．26 | －\＄0．26 | \＄0．43 | \＄0．10 | －\＄0．03 | －\＄0． 34 | －$\$ 0.03$ |
| Cornforgrain： <br> Number of farms． | 6 | 25 | 10 | 1.3 | 11 | 8 | 1.5 | － |
| Acres grown perfarm． | 8.2 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 4.7 | 06 |
| Yicld in bushels per acre． | 37.0 | 26.8 | 21.1 | 23.1 | 31.2 | 42.0 | 314 | 30． 4 |
| Hours of human labor per acte． | 70.0 | 6.1 .5 | 53.3 | 60.0 | 8.3 .1 | 76.1 | 50.5 | 96． 5 |
| Hours of horse labor per acre．il．．．． | 54.1 | 55.5 | 54.6 | 57.5 | 63.1 | 53.9 | 61.1 | 57.1 |
| Cost of lime and other fertilizers per acre． | \＄0．63 | \＄0．74 | \＄1．25 | \＄1．16 | \＄2．09 | \＄1．09 | \＄1．59 | \＄1．35 |
| Cost of manure per acre ．．．．．．．．．．．． | \＄4．90 | \＄3．96 | \＄6．26 | \＄6．03 | \＄5．12 | \＄10．63 | \＄8． 04 | \＄6．42 |
| Cost peracre． | \＄41．90 | \＄41．26 | \＄44．96 | \＄52．59 | \＄72．65 | \＄81．74 | \＄67．28 | \＄57．48 |
| Cost ner bushel． | \＄0．88 | \＄1．19 | 81.77 | \＄1．79 | \＄2．07 | \＄1．74 | \＄1． 88 | \＄1．62 |
| Value per bushel． | \＄0．66 | \＄0．75 | \＄1．01 | \＄1．51 | \＄1．44 | \＄1．46 | \＄1．06 | \＄1．13 |
| Loss（ - per acre． | －$\$ 8.10$ | －$\$ 11.71$ | $-\$ 16.08$ | －$\$ 6.49$ | $-\$ 19.67$ | －$\$ 12.01$ | －\＄5．75 | －\＄14．26 |
| L．oss（ - ）per bushel ．．．．．．．．． | －$\$ 0.22$ | －$\$ 0.44$ | －$\$ 0.76$ | －\＄0．28 | － 50.63 | \＄5．28 | －\＄0．82 | \＄0．49 |
| Returns per hour of human labor．．．． Corn for silage： | \＄0．14 | \＄0．08 | －\＄0．004 | \＄0．25 | \＄0．16 | \＄0．29 | \＄0．03 | \＄0．14 |
| Corn for siage： Number of farms． | 11 | 26 | \＄18 | 18） | 20 | 26 | 2.5 | － |
| Acres grown per farm． | 13.5 | 12.1 | 14.7 | 14.6 | 12.9 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 13． 1 |
| Yield in tons per acre． | 7.3 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 6.5 |
| Hours of human labor per acre | 41.0 | 45.1 | 29.2 | 32.9 | 38.7 | 42.8 | 34.7 | 37.8 |
| Hours of horse labor per acre ．．．．．．．． | 54.7 | 53.4 | 46.8 | 48.8 | 53.1 | 48.9 | 43.0 | 49.8 |
| Cost of lime and other fertilizers per acre | 81.07 | \＄1．46 | 52.30 | \＄2．04 | \＄2．69 | \＄3．46 | 83.43 | \＄2． 35 |
| Cost of manure per acre．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | \＄6．18 | \＄6．02 | 87.62 | 88.21 | \＄14．87 | \＄13．51 | \＄9．58 | $\$ 9.43$ |
| Cost per acre．．．． | 837.74 | \＄39．88 | \＄38．05 | 345.19 | \＄64．68 | \＄68．22 | \＄62．54 | 350.90 |
| Cost per ton． | \＄5．16 | \＄5．58 | 57.77 | \＄9．38 | \＄10．31 | \＄8．04 | \＄8．89 | \＄7．88 |

＊See footnote on page 133.

TABLE 89 (continued)

*See footnote on page 133


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Autobiography of a farm boy, page 184. By I. P. Roberts.
    =The iarmer's business handbook. Pages 1-115. By 1, P. Roberts,
    ${ }^{3}$ Laboratory exercises in farm management, pages 75-109. By. G. F. Warren and $K$. C. Livermore. Farm management, page 164 and pages $+41-493$. By (;. F. Warren.
    Cost accolnting on farms. American Farm Management Association, Report for 1916, pages 28-38. By G. F. Warren.

    Cost accounts on five New Vork farms, By. A. L. Thompson. Thesis, in Cornell University Library. 1912.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cost accounts on some New York farms. By. C. E. Ladd. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta.. Bul. 377. 1916.

    A system of farm cost accounting. By C. E. Ladd. L. S. Dept. Agr., Farmers' Bul. 572.1914.
    
    
     Card, L. J. Norton, atid WV. H. Hronson. A considerable momber of women helped with the clerical wort. The most important parts of it were done by Jargurite Tarlor. Z. Ila Taibr-Dennis. Rueh Carlsom, Dorcas Ball. Fherence Bussaritmacaillan, Midjred Campbedi, Alice Aiben, Gertrude Huntineton, and Alice Carlson. The bulletin was written by $G_{\text {. }}$. F. Warren, Van B. Hart assisted in preparing all of the data for it.

[^1]:    ;The following farmers congarated in keopine the accounts that are here reported: A. T. Blount 1914-1919; A. D. Brashaber, 1914.1915. 1919; F. W. (;illett, 1914, 1915; F. J. Xicholson, 1914-1917;
    
     1914. 1915; W. W. Keqd. 191t-1010; IF, I. Wigsten, 1914-196: Ray Thomats. 1914-1016; C. W. Barker, 1914-1917; W. A. Crandall. 1914-1919: Hall Brothers, 1914-1919: S. A. Young, 1915; Darry Beckwith. 1915; W. J. Spatsker, 1915; J. E. Dalrymple, 1914, 1915. 1916. 1910; Charkestrouse. 1915; G.V. Robcts, 195-1थ19; Frank Combs, 1915: 11. R. Adams. 1015; J. J. Sivift, 1915-1919: C. H. Riley, 19151919; J. T. Bacon, 1915; Burpitt Perkins. 1915-1919; Floyd Fanton, 1015; D. R. Stevens. 1915. 1916, 1"17, 1919: C. F. McNilty, 1915-1919; F. C. Gibbes. 1915; D. V. Firley. 1915-1919; R. S. Ackerly, 1915-1019; (. . ('oleman, 1915-1917; (). S. Dowd. 1915; Fimer Armok. 1915; Roberc Hall, 1915-1919; W. F. Brown, 1915-j914; G. H. Torre, 1915, 191; F F. T. Wagner. 1915-1919; W: F. Davis, 1915. 1916;
     (Feorge Fitts. 1910. 1917; C. (; Mrllen. 1914. 1918; Rowley Brothers, 1917-1914; J. A. Smith, 1917-1919; T. H. Blair, 1917-1919; J. M. औaewrll. 1918; J. W. Hopkins. 1918, 1919; E. H. Smith, 1918, 1919 ;
    
    
    
    

[^2]:    *State figures were obtained as follows: man equivalent from occupation census; capital. fron $1920 \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S}$ Census; horses, and acres of crops, from lojg State Census; crop vields, from Burcan of Crop E-timates: milk per cow, imm investigations of the Department of Agricultural Eevomics and Farm Managenent, New lork State College of Agriculture.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ Cost of producing milk on 174 farms in Delaware County. New York. By A. L. Thompson. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta.. Bul. 364. 1915.

    An analysis of the costs of growing notatoes. By D. S. Fox. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta., Memoir 22. 1919.

    An pconomic tudy of dairying on 149 farms in Broome County, New York. By E. G. Misner. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta., Bul. 409 . 1922.

    An economic study of dairying on 163 farms in Herkimer Connty. New York. By E. G. Misner. (Ready for publication as a bulletin of the Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station.)

    An economic study of farm tractors in New York. By W. I. Myers. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 405. 1921.

    An economic study of the production of canning crops in New York. By L. J. Norton. Connell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta., BuI. 412. 1923.

    - The publications containing these records are listed at the end of this bulletin.
    $1^{0}$ An economic stady of farm layout. By W. I. Myers. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta., Memoir 34. 1020.

    How to plan the farm laynut. By W. 1. Myers. Connell Extension Bulletin 55. 192 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ The American Economic Review, vol. IX, no, 1, supplement, pages 22-46, March, 1010.
    The American Econumic Review, vol, X, no. 3, pages 546-563, Sutember, 19 ? 0.

[^5]:    ${ }^{12}$ More people leaving the farms. By J. B. Shepard. Mimeographed report of the Field Agent of the Bureau of Crop Estimates. Ithaca, New York, February 12, 1920.
    ${ }^{13}$ L. S. Dept. Agr., Yearbook for 1921 , table 416.

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ Census of the agricultural resources of New York, page 11. 1918.

[^7]:    ${ }^{15}$ An agricultural survey. By G. F. Warren and K. C. Livermore. 1911.

[^8]:    *Includes labor on chicks and incubation
    $\dagger$ Hours per gallon of maple sirup produced (sugar reduced to sirmp by using 8 pounds of sugat to 1 mallon of sirup).

[^9]:    1 "This inchudes labor rembired to raise the feed used by horses while their fed is being grown. These farms raised mure than ermomblats to feed the horses, but some of the oats were used for other purposes and some other feeds wo:e used for horges.

[^10]:    1rOn February 1, 1917, there whe 273.322 persons devoting full time to farm work on 185.051 farms, but on April 1 there were 30,671 more hired men. If these devoted two-thirds of the y ear to farmwork it would give an average of 1.59 workers per farm. The number of males fourteen years old or older residing on farms was 1.64. " (Erom Census of the Agricultural Resources of New York, 1919.)

[^11]:    ${ }^{18}$ An economic study of dairying on 149 farms in Broome Counts: New York. By E. G. Misner Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta., Bul. 409, pages 281, 297, 298.1922.

    10 A basis for estimating costs that does not analyze the farm feed back to its labor basis is given in Milk Production in New Vork, by G. F. Warren. (New York State Dept. Farme and Markets, Circ. 186. 1919.)

[^12]:    *Some equipment was destroyed by fire. The loss above insurance is included in depreciation. Some equipment was transferred to other accounts.

    The hours of labor spent on equipment in the successive years were $130,101,111,118,148$, respectively.
    $\ddagger$ The cost per hour is not exactly what would be shown by division. since in closing the books the charge to men and horses is included at an estimated rate. The rate used in this table is the rate for the remaining hours.

[^13]:    ${ }^{20}$ An economic study of farm tractors in New York. By W. I. Myers. 1921.

[^14]:    ${ }^{21}$ An economic study of farm lasont. W. I. Mvers. 1920.

[^15]:    *Appreciation due to favorable sales of land. \$2.37.

[^16]:    *Fed bags ware deducted from cost of grain.

[^17]:    Five-years average death rate, 1.96 per cent
    Five-years average disposed of, 23 per cent

[^18]:    ${ }^{22}$ An economic study of dairying on 149 farms in Broome County, New York. By E. G. Misner. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta., Bul. 409. 1922.
    ${ }^{2}$ An analysis of the costs of growing potatoes. By D. S. Fox.
    ${ }^{24}$ An economic study of the production of canning crops in New York. By l. J. Norton. 1923.

[^19]:    *Exta man hours ior pressing is the time spent by hay pressers which is not charged in the account because furnished as a part of the terms of sale.

[^20]:    *Extra man hours for threshing is the time spent by threshers the charge for which is included in the cash paid for threshing,

