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## THE NEW TO THE OLD WHIGS, 17 corimauzuce of

SOME LAAE DIBCUESIONE TN PARLIAMEAT, RELATIVE TO TEA MBFLEOTXONG ON THE FBBNOK REVOLUTION.
1791.

## ADVERTISEMENT TO THE SECOND EDITION.

Theres are some corrections in this edition, which tend to render the sense less obscure in ons or two places. The order of the two last members is also changed, and I believe for the better. This change was made on the suggestion of a very learned person, to the partiality of whose friendship I owe much; to the severity of whose judgment I owe more.

Ar Mr. Burke's time of life, and in his dispositions, peters honestam misesionem was all he had to do with his political associstes. Thia boon they have not chosen to grant him. With many expressions of good-will, in effect they tell him he has loaded the stage too long. They conceive it, though a harsh, yet a necessary office, in full parliament to declare. to the present age, and to as late a posterity as shall take any concern in the proceedings of our day, that-by one book he has disgraced the whole tenour of his life.-Thus they dismiss their old partuer of the war. He is advised to retire, whilst they continue to serve the public upon wiser principles, and under better auspices.
vol. III.

Whether Diogenes the Cynic was a true philosopher, cannot easily be determined. He has written nothing. But the sayings of his which are handed down by others aro lively; and may be easily and aptly applied on many occasions by those whose wit is not so perfect as their memory. This Diogenes (as every one will recollect) was citizen of a little, bleak town situated on the coast of the Eurine, and exposed to all the buffete of that inhospitable mea. He lived at a great distance from those weather-beaten walls, in eane and indolence, sad in the midst of literary leisure, when he was informed that his townsmen had condemned him to be banished from Sinope; he answered coolly, 4 And I condemn them to live in Sinope."

The gentlemen of a party in which Mr. Burke has alwayo acted, in passing apon him the sentence of retirement, ${ }^{1}$ have done nothing more than to confirm the sentence which be had long before passed upon hivaself. When that retreat was choice, which the tribunal of bis peert inflict as punisbment, it in plain he does not think their sentence intolerably severe. Whether they, who are to continue in the sinope which shortly he is to leare, will spend the long years which, I hope, remain to them, in a manner more to their satisfactiom, than he shall slide down, in silence and obserrity, the alope of his declining days, is beat known to Him who measures out years, and days, and fortunce.

The quality of the sentence does not however decide on
1 Newspaper intelligence ought alwayt to be reecived with epme degrea of caution. I do nor know that the following paragraph is cotumed on any authority; bat it comes with an air of anthority. The paper in professedly in the interest of the modern Whigs, and under their direction. The paragraph is not disclaimed on their part. It profemes to be the doeision of thowe whon its enthor cally "the great mod firpa body of the Whigs of Eugland." Who are the Whise of a different compowition, which the promilgator of the sentesce considers as eomponed of fleeting and mosettiled particles, I know not, nor whether there be any of that doacription. The definitive ecatence of whe great and firm body of tho Whige of England" (es this paper gives in otil) is ta follown:
"The great and firm body of the Whige of England, wae to their pripeiples, have decided on the dieppute between Mr. For and Mr. Barke; and the former is declared to have maintained the pure doctrinte by which they are boumd together, and upos vhich they have imvariably setod. The consequence in, that Mr. Burke retire from perliament " - Maving Chrowiele, May 12, 1791.
the justice of it. Angry friendship in sometimes as bad as calm enmity. For this reason the cold neutrality of abstract justice is, to a good and clear cause, a more desirable thing than an affection liable to be any way disturbed. When the trial is by friends, if the decision should happen to be favourable, the honour of the acquittal is lessened; if adverse, the condemnation is exceedingly embittered. It is aggravated by coming from lips professing friendship, and pronouncing judgment with sorrow and reluctance. Taking in the whole view of life, it is more safe to live under the jurisdiction of severe but steady resson, than under the empire of indulgent but capricious passion. It is certainly well for Mr . Burke that there are impartial men in the world. To them I address myself, pending the appeal which on his part is made from the living to the dead, from the modern Whigs to the ancient.

The gentlemen who, in the name of the party, have passed sentence on Mr. Burke's book, in the light of literary criticism, are judges above all challenge. He did not indeed flatter himself, that as a writer he could claim the approbation of men whose talents, in his judgment and in the public judgment, approach to prodigies; if ever such persons should be disposed to estimate the merit of a composition upon the standard of their own ability.
In their critical censure, though Mr. Burke may find himself humbled by it as a writer, as a man, and as an Eaglish* man, he finds matter not only of consolation, but of pride. He proposed to convey to a foreign people, not his own ideas, but the prevalent opimions and sentiments of a nation, renowned for wisdom, and celebrated in all ages for a well anderstood and well-regulated love of freedom. This was the avowed purpose of the far greater part of his work. As that work has not been ill received, and as his critics will not only admit, but contend, that this reception could not be owing to any excellence in the composition capable of perverting the public judgment, it is clear that he is not disavowed by the nation whose sentiments he had undertaken to describe. His representation is authenticated by the verdict of his country. Had his piece, as a work of skill, been thought worthy of commendation, nome doubt might - have been entertained of the cause of his success. But the
matter stands exactly as he wishes it. He is more happy to have his fidelity in representation recognised by the body of the people, than if he were to be ranked in point of ability (and higher he could not be ranked) with those whoee critical censure he has had the misfortune to incur.

It is not from this part of their decision which the author wishes an appeal. There are thinge which touch him more nearly. To abandon them would argue, not diffidence in his abilities, but treachery to his cause. Had his wort been recognised as a pattern for dexterons argument and powerful eloquence, yet if it tended to eatablish maxims, or to inspire sentiments, adverse to the wise and free constitution of thia kingdom, be would only have cause to lament, that it possessed qualities fitted to perpetuate the memory of his offence. Oblivion would be the only means of his emcaping the reproaches of posterity. But, after receiving the comb mon allowance due to the common veakness of man, he wishes to owe no part of the indulgence of the world to ita forgetfulness. He is at issue with the party before the prewent, and, if ever he can reach it, before the coming, generation.

The anthor, several months previous to hie publication, well knew, that two gentlemen, both of them possessed of the most distinguished sbilities, and of a mont decinive anmthority in the party, had differed with him in one of the most material points relative to the French Revolution; that is, in their opinion of the behaviour of the French soldiery, and its revolt from ita officers. At the time of their publie declaration on this subject, he did not imagine the opinion of these two gentlemen had extended a great way beyond themselves. He was however well aware of the probability, that persons of their just credit and influence would at length dispoee the greater number to an agreement with their sentiments; and perhaps might induce the whole body to a tacit acquiescence in their declarationa, nuder a natural, and not alwaye an improper, dislike of showing a difference with those who lead their party. I will not deny, that in general this conduct in partiea is defenaible; but within what limits the practice is to be cincumscribed, and with what exceptions the doctrine which supports it is to be received, it is not my present purpowe to define. The present queation has nothing
to do with their motives; it only regards the public expression of their centiments.

The author is compelled, however reluctantly, to receive the sentence pronounced upon him in the House of Commons as that of the party. It proceeded from the mouth of him who must be regarded as its authentic organ. In a discussion which continued for two days, no ono gentleman of the opposition interposed a negative, or even a doubt, in favour of him or his opinions. If an idea consonant to the doctrine of his book, or favourable to his conduct, lurks in the minds of any persons in that description, it is to be considered only as a peculiarity which they indulge to their own private liberty of thinking. The author cannot reckon opon it. It has nothing to do with them as members of a party. In their public capacity, in everything that meets the publie car, or public eye, the body must be considered as unanimous.

They must have been animated with a very warm zeal against those opinions, because they were under no neoessity of acting as they did, from any just cause of apprehension that the errors of this writer should be taken for theirs. They might disapprove, it was not necessary they should disaroro him, as they have done in the whole, and in all the parts of his book; because neither in the whole, nor in any of the parta, were they directly, or by any implication, in.. volved. The author was known indeed to have been warmly, otrenuously, and affectionately, against all allurements of ambition, and all possibility of alienation from pride, or personal pique, or peevish jealousy, attached to the Whig party. With one of them he has had a long friendship, which he inust ever remember with a melancholy pleasure. To the great, real, and amiable virtues, and to the unequalled abilitiea, of that gentleman, he shall always join with his country in paying a just tribute of applause. There are others in that party for whom, without any shade of sorrow, he bears - as high a degree of love as can enter into the human heart; and as much veneration as ought to be paid to human creatures; because he firmly believes, that they are endowed with as many and as great virtues, as the nature of man is capable of producing, joined to great clearness of intellect, to e just judgment, to s wonderful temper, and to true wis-
without subjecting him to the just indignation of mankind, who are bound, and are generally, disposed, to look up with reverence to the best patterns of their species, and such as give a dignity to the nature of which we all participate. For the whole of the party he has high respect. Upon a view indeed of the composition of all parties, he finds great satisfaction. It is, that in leaving the service of his country, be leaves parliament without all comparison richer in abilities than he found it. Very solid and very brilliant talents diftinguish the ministerial benches. The opporite rowe are a sort of seminary of genius, and have brought forth auch and so great talente as never before (amongot us at least) have appeared together. If their ownery are disposed to serve their country, (he truste they are,) they are in a condition to render it services of the highest importance. If, through mistake or passion, they are led to contribute to its ruin, we shall at least have a consolation denied to the ruined country that adjoins us-we shall not be destroyed by men of mean or secondary capacities.

All these considerations of party attachment, of personal regard, and of personal admiration, rendered the Author of the Reflections extremely cantious, lest the slightest suspicion should arise of his having undertaken to express the sentiments even of a single man of that description. His words at the outeet of his Reflections are these:
"In the finst letter I had the honour to write to you, and which at length I iend, I wrote neither for, nor from, any description of men; nor shall I in this. My errom, if eny, are my own. My reputation alone is to answer for them." In another place he nays, ( $\mathbf{p} .126$, ) "I have no mon's proxy. I speak only from myself; when I disclaim, as I do, with all posible earneatnesa, all communion with the actort in that triumph, or with the admirers of it. When I aseert maything else, as concerning the people of Rongand, I speak from obwervation, rot from awethority."

To say then, that the book did not contain the enatiments of their party, is not to contradict the suthor, or to elemr themselven. If the party had denied his doctrinet to be the current opinions of the majority in the nation, they would bave put the question on ite true isuc. There, I hope and beliere, his censurers will find on the trial, that the euthor
is as faithful a representative of the general sentiment of the poople of England, as any person amongst them can be of the ideas of his own party.

The French Revolution can have no connexion with the objects of any parties in England formed before the yeriod of that event, unless they choose to imitate sny of its acts, or to consolidate any principles of that Revolution with their own opinions. The French Revolution is no part of their original contract. The matter, standing by itself, is an open subject of political discussion, like all the other revolutions (and there are many) which have been attempted or accomplished in our age. But if any considerable number of British subjecta, taking a factious interest in the proceedings of France, begin publicly to incorporate themeelves for the subversion of nothing short of the whole constitution of this kingdom; to incorporate themselves for the utter overthrow of the body of its laws, civil and ecclesiastical, and with them of the whole system of its manners, in favour of the new constitution, and of the modern usages, of the French mation, I think no party principle could bind the author not to express his sentiments etrongly against such a faction. On the contrary, he was perhaps bound to mark his dissent, When the leaders of the party were daily going out of their way to make public declarations in parliament, which, notwithstanding the purity of their intentions, had a tendency to encourage ill-designing men in their practices against our constitution.

The members of this faetion leave no doubt of the nature and the extent of the mischief they mean to produce. They declare it openly and decisively. Their intentionis are not left equivocal. They are put out of all diepute by the thanks which formally, and as it were officially, they issue, in order to recommend and to promote the circulation of the most atrocious and treasonable libels against all the hitherto cherished objectes of the love and veneration of this people. Is it contrayry to the duty of a good subject, to reprobate such proceedings $P$ Is it alien to the office of a good nember of parlisment, when such practices increase, and when the audacity of the conspirators grows with their impunity, to point out in his place their evil tendency to the happy constitution which he is chosen to guard? Is it wrong, in any sense, to
render the people of England sensible how much they mast suffer, if, unfortunately, such a wicked faction should become possessed in this country of the same power which thein alliea in the very next to us have so perfidioualy unurped, and so outrageously abused? Is it inhuman to prevent, if possible, the spilling of their blood, or imprudent to guard against the effusion of our oven $?$ Is it contrary to any of the honest principles of party, or repugnant to any of the known dutiea of friendship, for any menator, respectfully, and amicably, to caution hiv brother members againut countensncing, by inconsiderate expressions, asort of proceeding which it is impossible they should deliberately approve?

He had undertaken to demonstrate by arguments, which he thought could not be refuted, and by docamenta, which he was sure could not be denied, that no comparison was to be made between the British government and the French uaurpation,-That they who endeavoured madly to compare them, were by no means making the comparison of one good system with another good system, which varied only in local and circumstantial differences; much lew, that they were holding out to ns a superior pattern of legal liberty, which we might substitute in the place of our old, and, as they described it, superanmusted, constitution. He mesant to demonstrate, that the French scheme was not a comparative good, but a positive eril-That the queation did not at all turn, as it had been stated, on a parallel between a monarchy and a republic. He dexied that the present acheme of things in France did at all deserve the respectable name of a republic: he had therefore no comparion between monarchiee and republice to make.-That what was done in France was a wild attempt to methodize anarchy; to perpetuste and fir disorder. That it was a foul, impious, monstroue thing, wholly out of the course of moral nature. He undertook to prove, that it wat generated in treachery, frand, falsebood, hypocrisy, and unprovoked murder,-He offered to make out, that thome who have led in that buwinew bad condncted themselves with the ukmost perfidy to their colleagues in function, and with the moot flagrant perjury both towards their ling and their constituents: to the one of whom the Aseembly had sworn fealty, and to the other, when under no
sord of violence or constraint, they had sworn a full obedience to instructions.-That, by the terror of assassination, they had driven away a very great number of the members, so aa to produce a false appearance of a majority. - That this fictitious majority had fabricated a constitution, which, as it now stands, is a tyranny far beyond any example that can be found in the civilized Europesm world of our age; that therefore the lovers of it must be lovers, not of liberty, but, if they really underatand its nature, of the lowest and basest of all servitude.

He proposed to prove, that the present state of things in France is not a transient-evil, productive, as some have too favourably represented it, of a lasting good; but that the present evil is only the means of producing future and (if that were possible) worse evils.-That it is not an undigested, imperfect, and crude scheme of liberty, which may gradually be mellowed and ripened into an orderly and social freedom; but that it is so fundamentally wrong, as to be utterly incapable of correcting itself by any length of time; or of being formed into any mode of polity, of which a member of the House of Commons could publicly declare his approbation.

If it had been permitted to Mr. Burke, he would have shown distinctly, and in detail, that what the Assembly, calling itself National, had held out as a large and liberal toleration, is in reality a cruel and insidious religious persecution; infinitely more bitter than any which had been heard of within this century.-That it had a feature in it worse than the old persecutions.-That the old persecutors acted, or pretended to act, from zeal towards some aystem of piety and virtue: they gave strong preferences to their own; and if they drove people from one religion, they provided for them another, in which men might take refuge and expect consolation. -That their new persecution is not againat a variety in conscience, but against all conscience. That it professes contempt towards its object ; and whilst it treats all religion with scorn, is not so much as neutral about the modes: it unites the opposite evils of intolerance and of indifference.

He could have proved, that it is so far from rejecting testa, (as unscountably had been asserted, that the Assembly
had imposed testa of a peculiar hardship, arising from a cruel and premeditated pecuuiary fraud: terto against old principles, sanctioned by the laws, and binding upon the con-science.-That these teata were not imposed as titlem to some pew honour or some new benefit, bat to ensble men to bold a poor compensation for their legal estates, of which they had been unjustly deprived; and, an they had before been reduced from affuence to indigence; so, on refusal to bwear against their conscience, they are now driven from indigence to famine, and treated with every possible degree of outrage, insult, and inhumanity-That these teats, which their imposers well knew would not be taken, were intended for the very purpose of cheating their miserable victims out of the compensation which the tyrannic impostors of the Asvembly had previously and purposely rendered the public nonable to pay. That thus their ultimate violence arove from their original fraud.

Ho would have shown that the wiversal peace and concord amongot nations, which these common enemien to manhind had held out with the same framdulent ends and pretences with which they had miformly conducted every part of their proceeding, was a coarse and clumsy deception, unworthy to be proposed as an example, by an informed and sagacions Britimh senator, to any other country-That far from peace and good-will to men, they medituted war against all other governments; and proposed systematically to excite in them all the very worst hind of neditions, in order to lead to their common deatruction.-That they had discovered, in the few instances in which they have hitherto had the power of discovering it, (as at Avignon, and in the Comtat, at Cavailbon and at Carpentras,) in what a aavage manner they mean to conduct the meditions and wirs they have planned against their neighbours, for the sake of putting themselves at the head of a confederation of republica as wild and as mischievons as their own. He wonld have whown in whst manoer that vicked echeme was carried on in those places, without being directly either awned or disclasimed, in hopes that the undone people ahould at length be obliged to fir to their tyranic protection, 給some sort of refuge from their barbarous and treacherous howtility. He would have nhown from thoee exsmplea, that neither this nor any other mociety
could be in safety as long as such a public enemy was in a condition to continue directly or indirectly sueh practices against its peace.-That Great Britain was a principal object of their machinations; and that they had begun by establishing correspondences, communications, and a sort of federal union with the factious here.-That no practical enjoyment of a thing so imperfect and precarious as human happiness must be, even under the very best of governments, could be a security for the existence of these goveruments, during the prevalence of the principles of France, propagated from that grand school of every disorder and every wice.

He was prepared to show the madness of their declaration of the pretended rights of man; the childish futility of some of their maxims; the gross and stupid absurdity, and the palpable falsity, of others; and the mischievous tendency of all such declarations to the well-being of men and of citizens, and to the asfety and prosperity of erery just commonwealth. He was prepared to show that, in their conduct, the Assembly had directly violated not only every sound principle of government, but every one, without exception, of their own false or futile maxims; and indeed every rule they had pretended to lay down for their own direction.

In a word, he was ready to show, that those who could, after such a full and fair exposure, continue to countenance the French insanity, were not mistaken politicians, but bad men; but he thought that in this case, as in many others, ignorance had been the cause of admiration.
These are strong assertions. They required strong proofs. The member who laid down these positions was and is ready to give, in his place, to each position decisive evidence, correspondent to the nature and quality of the several allegations.
In order to judge on the propriety of the interruption given to Mr. Burke, in his speech in the committee of the Quebec bill, it is necessary to inquire, first, whether, on goneral principles, he ought to have been suffered to prove his allegations? Secondly, whether the time he had chosen was so very unseasonable as to make his exercise of a parliamentary right productive of ill effects on his friends or his country? Thirdly, whether the opinions delivered in his book, and which he had begun to expatiate upon that day,
were in contradiction to his former principles, and inconsistent with the general tenor of his public conduct?

They, who have made eloquent panegyrica on the French Revolution, and who think a free discussion so very advantageous in every case, and under every circumstance, ought not, in my opinion, to have prevented their eulogies from being tried on the teat of facte. If their panegyric had been answered with an invective (bating the difference in point of eloquence) the one would have been as good as the other; that is, they would both of them have been good for nothing. The panegyric and the satire ought to be suffered to go to trial; snd that which shrinks from it must be contented to stand, at best, as a mere declamation.

I do not think Mr. Burke was wrong in the course be took. That which scemed to be recommended to him by Mr. Pitt, was rather to ertol the Finglish constitution, than to attack the French. I do not determine what would be bent for Mr. Pitt to do in his situation. I do not deny that he may have good ressons for his reserve. Perhape they might hsve been a good for a similar reserve on the part of Mr. Fox, if his zeal had muffered him to linten to them. But there were no motives of ministerial prudence, or of that prudence which ought to guide a man perhaps on the eve of being minister, to restrain the author of the Reflections. He is in no office under the crown; he is not the organ of sny party.

The excellencies of the British constitution had already exercised and exhausted the talente of the beat thinkern, and the most eloquent writers and apeakers, that the world ever baw. But in the present case a oystem declared to be far better, and which certainly is mach newer, (to restless and unstable minds no emall reeommendstion,) was held out to the admiration of the good people of England. In that enas, it was surely proper for thooe, who had far other thoughte of the French constitution, toserntivize that plan which han been recommended to our initation by active and realous factions, at home and abroad. Our complexion is auch, that we are palled with enjoyment, and atimulated with hope; that wo become leat sensible to a long-posesesed benefit, from the very cireumstance that it is become habitual. Specionc, untried, mabiguons prospecte of new mdvantage, recommend themelves to the epirit of adventure, which more or lews
prevails in every mind. From this temper, men and factions, and nations too, have sacrificed the good, of which they had been in assured possession, in favour of wild and irrational erpectations. What should hinder Mr. Burke, if he thought this temper likely, at one time or other, to prevail in our country, from exposing to a multitude, eager to game, the false calculations of this lottery of fraud?

I allow, as I ought to do, for the effusions which come from a general zeal for liberty. This is to be indulged, and even to be encouraged, as long as the question is general. An orator, above all men, ought to be allowed a full and free use of the praise of liberty. A common-place in favour of slavery and tyranny, delivered to a popular assembly, would indeed be a bold defiance to all the principles of rhetoric. But in a question whether any particular constitution is or is not a plan of rational liberty, this kind of rhetorical flourish in favour of freedom in general is surely a little out of its place. It is virtually a begging of the question. It is s song of triumph before the battle.
"But Mr. Fox does not make the panegyric of the new constitution; it is the destruction orily of the absolute monarchy he commends." When that nameless thing, which has been lately set up in France, was described as "the most stupendous and glorious edifice of liberty, which had been erected on the foundstion of human integrity in any time or country," it might at first have led the hearer into an opinion, that the construction of the new fabric was an object of admiration, as well as the demolition of the old. Mr. Fox, however, has explained himself; and it would be too like that captious and cavilling spirit, which I so perfectly deteat, if I were to pin down the language of an eloquent and ardent mind to the panctilious exactness of a pleader. Then Mr. Fox did not mean to appland that monstrous thing, which, by the courtery of France, they call a constitution. I easily believe it. Far from meriting the praises of a great genius like Mr. Fox, it cannot be approved by any man of common sense, or comon information. He cannot admire the change of one piece of barbarism for another, and a worse. He cannot rejoice at the deatruction of a monarchy, mitigated by manners, respectful to laws and usages, and attentive, parhapa but too attentive, to public opionion, in favour of
the tyranny of a licentions, ferocious, and savage multitude, without laws, manners, or morals, and which, so far from respecting the general sense of mankind, insolently endeavours to alter all the principles and opinions, which have hitherto guided and contained the world, and to force them into a conformity to their views and actions. His mind is made to better things.

- That a man should rejoice and triumph in the deatruction of an absolute monarchy ; that in such an event he should overlook the captivity, diagraoe, and degradation of an unfortunste prince, and the continual danger to a life which exints only to be endangered; that he should overlook the utter ruin of whole orders and classes of men, extending itwelf directly, or in its nearest consequences, to at least a million of our kind, and to st least the temporary wretchednems of a whole community, I do not deny to be in wome sort natural: because when people see a political object, which they ardently desire, but in one point of view, they are apt extremely to palliate, or underrate, the evils which may arise in obtaining it. This is no reflection on the humanity of those persons. Their good nature I am the last man in the world to dispute. It ouly shows that they are not sufficientiy informed, or sufficiently considerate. When they come to reflect eerionaly on the transaction, they will think themselves bound to examine what the object is that has been aco quired by all this havoc. They will hardly aceert that the destruction of an absolute monarchy is a thing good in iteelf, without any sort of reference to the sntecedent utate of thinge, or to consequences which reault from the change; without any consideration whether onder ite ancient rule a country was to a considerable degree flourishing and popalous, highly cultivated, and highly commereial; and whether, under that domination, though personal liberty had been precarious and insecure, property at least was ever violated. They cannot take the moral aympathies of the humsn mind along with them, in abstractions separated from the good or evil condition of the otate, from the quality of actionas, and the character of the actors. None of un love absolute and uncontrolled monarchy; but we could not rejoice st the uff ferings of a Marens Aureling, or a Trajan, who were abolute monarchs, as we do when Nero is condemned by the senate
to be punished more majorum : nor, when that monster was obliged to fy with his wife Sporus, and to drink puddle, were men affected in the aame manner, as when the venerable Galba, with all his faults and errors, was murdered by a revolted mercenary soldierg. With such things before our eyes, our feelings contradict our theories; and when this is the case, the feelings are true, and the theory ia false. What I contend for is, that in commending the destruction of an absolute monarchy, all the circumstances onght not to 'be wholly overlooked, as "considerations fit only for shallow and superficial minds."-The words of Mr. Fox, or to that effiect.

The subversion of a government, to deserve any praise, must be consdered but as a step preparatory to the formation of something bettor, either in the scheme of the goverument itaelf, or in the persons who administer it, or in both. These evente cannot in reason be separated. For instance, when we proise our Revolution of 1688, though the nation in that act was on the defeusive, and was justified in incurring all the evils of a defensive war, we do not rest there. We always combine with the subversion of the old goverament, the happy settlement which followed. When we estimate that revolution, we mean to comprehend in our calculation both the value of the thing parted with, and the value of the thing received in exchange.
The burthen of proof lies heavily on those who tear to pieces the whole frame and contexture of their country, that they could find no other way of settling a government fit to obtain ite rational ende, except that which they have pursued by means unfavourable to all the present happiness of millions of people, and to the utter ruin of several hundreds of thousands. In their political arrangements, men bave no right to put the well-being of the present generation wholly out of the question. Perbaps the only moral trust with any certainty in our hands, is the care of our own time. Wilh regard to futurity, we are to treat it like a ward. We are not so to attempt an improvement of his fortune, as to put the capital of his estate to any hazard.

It is not worth our while to discuss, like sophisters, whether, in no cass, some evil, for the sake of some benefit, is to
be tolersted. Nothing universal can be rationally affirmed on any moral or any political subject. Pure metaphysical abstraction does not belong to these matters. The lines of morality are not like ideal lines of mathematice. They are broad and deep as well as long. They admit of exceptions; they demand modifications. These exceptions and modifications are not made by the process of logic, but by the ruled of prudence. Prudence is not only the first in rank of the virtues political and moral, but she is the director, the regulator, the standard of them all. Metaphyaics cannot live without definition; but prudence is cautious how she defines. Our courts cannot be more fearful in suffering fictitious cases to be brought before them for eliciting their determin ation on a point of law, than prudent moralists are m putting extreme and hazardous cases of conscience upon emergences not existing. Without attempting therefore to define, what never can be defined, the case of a revolntion in government, this I think may be safely affirmed, that a sore and prewing evil is to be remored, and that a good, great in its amount and mequivocal in its nature, must be probable almont to certainty, before the inestimable price of our own morala, and the well-being of a number of our fellow-citizens, is paid for a revolation. If ever we ougbt to be economiste even to parsimony, it in in the voluntary production of evil. Every revolution contains in it something of evil.

It must always be, to thoee who are the greatent amateurs, or even professors, of revolutions, a matter very hand to prove, that the late French government was so bad, that nothing worse in the infinite derices of men could come in ite place. They who have brought Fratoce to ite present condition ought to prove also, by something better than prattling about the Bastile, that their subverted government was as incapable as the present certainly is, of all improvement and correction. How dare they to mey so who have never made that experiment? They are experimenters by their trade. They have made a handred othern, infinitely more hazardous.

The Enghish admirers of the forty-eight thousad repablica Which form the French federation, praiso them not for what they are, but for what they are to becoma. They do not
talk as politicians, but as prophets. But in whatever character they choose to found panegyric on prediction, it will be thought a little singular to praise any work, not for ite own merits, but for the merits of something else which may succesed to it. When any political institution is praised, in spite of great and prominent faults of every kind, and in all its parts, it must be supposed to have something excellent in its fundamental principles. It must be shown that it it right, though imperfect; that it is not only by possibility susceptible of improvement, but that it contains in it a principle tending to its melioration.

Befors they attempt to show this progreasion of their favourite work, from absolute pravity to finished perfection, they will find themselves engaged in a civil war with those whose cause they maintain. What! alter our sublime constitution, the glory of France, the envy of the world, the pattern for mankind, the master-piece of legislation, the colLeoted and concentrated glory of this enlightened age! Have we not produced it ready made and ready armed, mature in its birth, a perfect goddess of wisdom and of war, hammered by our blackemith midwives out of the brain of Jupiterchimself? Have we not aworn our devout, profane, believing, infdel people, to an allegiance to this goddess, even before she had burst the dura mater, and as yet eristed only in embryo? Have we not solemnly dechared this constitution unalterable by any future legislature? Have we not bound it on posterity for ever, though our abottora have declared that no one generation is competent to bind another? Have we not obliged the members of every future assembly to qualify themselves for their seats by swearing to its conservation ?

Indeed the Frenoh constitution always must be (if a change is not made in all their principles and fundamental arrangementa) a government wholly by popular representation. It must be this or nothing. The French faction considers as a usurpation, as an atrocious violation of the indefeasible rights of man, every other description of government. Take it or leave it ; there is no medium. Lret the irrefraga ble doctors fight out their own controversy in their own way, and with their own weapons; and when they are tired, let them commence a treaty of peace: Let the plenipotentiary sophistera of England settle with the diplomatic sophisters

Foz. 111.
of France, in what manner right is to be corrected by an infusion of wrong, and how truth may be rendered more true by a due intermirture of falsehood.
Having anficiently proved, that nothing could make it generally improper for Mr. Burke to prove what he had alleged concerning the object of thin dispute, I pass to the second question, that is, whether he was justified in choowing the committee on the Quebee bill as the field for this discussion? If it were necessary, it might be shown, that he was not the first to bring these discuasions into parliament, nor the first to renew them in this session. The fact is notorious. As to the Quebee bill, they were introduced into the debate upon that subject for two plain reasons: first, that as he thought it then not adrisable to make the proceedings of the factions societies the erubject of a direct motion, he had no other way open to him. Nobody hat attempted to show, that it was at all admisaible into any other business before the House. Here everything was favourable. Here was a bill to form a new constitution for s French province under English dominion. The question naturally arooe, whether we shonld settle that constitation upon English ideas, or upon French. This furnimhed an opportunity for examining into the value of the French constitution, either considered as applicable to colonial government, or in its own nature. The bill too was in a committee. By the privilege of opeaking as often as he pleased, he hoped in some measure to supply the want of support which be had but too much resson to apprebend. In a committee it wae alwaya in his power to bring the questions from generalities to facts; from declamation to discuasion. Some benefit he actually received from this prinilege. These are plain, obvious, natural reasons for his conduct. I believe they are the true, and the only trae ones.

They who justify the frequent interruptions, which at length wholly disabled him from proceeding, attribute their eandact to a very different interpretation of his motives They eay, that through corruption, or malice, or folly, be was acting his part in a plot to make his friend Mr. Fox pase for a republican; and thereby to prerent the gracious intentions of his sovereign from taking effect, whicb at that
time had begun to disclose themselves in his favour. ${ }^{1}$ This is a pretty serious charge. This, on Mr. Burke's part, would
${ }^{1}$ To explain this, it will be necessary to advert to a paragraph which appeared in a paper in the minority interest some time before this debate. "A very darci intrigue has lately been discovered, the authors of which are weil known to us; but until the glorious day sball come, when it will not be a liakl to tell the trute, we must not be so regardless of our own safety, as to publish their names. We will, however, state the fact, leaving it to the ingenuity of our readers to discover what we dare not publigh.
"Since the buriness of the armament againgt Russia has been under discussion, a great personage hes been heard to say, 'that he was not to wedded to Mr. Pirt, as not to be very willing to give his confidence to Mr. Fox, if the latter should be able, in a crisis like the present, to conduct the government of the country with greater advantage to the public.
"This patriotic declaration immediately alarmed the swarm of courtly insecte that live only in the sunshine of ministerial favour. It was thought to be the forerunner of the dismisaion of Mr. Pitt, and every engine was set at work for the purpose of preventing such an event. The principal engine employed on this occasion was calumey. It wes whispered in the ear of a great personage, that Mr. Fox was the last man in England to be trusted by a king, because he wan by principle a republican, and consequently an enemy to yonarchy.
" In the discussion of the Quebec bill which stood for yesterday, it was the intention of some persons to connect with this subject the French Revolution, in hopes that Mr. Fox would be warmed by a collision with Mr. Burke, and induced to defend that Revolution, in which as much power was taken from, and so litue leat in, the crown.
"Hed Mr. Fox fallen into the enare, his speech on the occasion would hava been laid before a great personage, as a proof that a man who could defend ruch a Revolution, might be a very good republican, but could not possibly be a friend to monarchy.
"But those who laid the emare were disappointed; for Mr. Fox, in the short conversation which took place yeaterday in the House of Commons, said, that he confessedly had thought favourably of the French Revolution; but that most certainly he never had, either in parliament or out of pariament, profested or defended republican principles."

Argus, April 22nd, 1791.
Mr. Burke cannot answer for the trath, nor prove the falsahood, of the story given by the friends of the party in this paper. He only knows that an opinion of its being well or ill authenticated had no influence on his conduct. He meant only, to the beast of his power, to guard the public against the ill deaigns of fretions out of doors. What Mr. Burke did in parliament could hardly have been intended to draw Mr. Fox into any deciarations unfarourable to his principles, since (by the sccount of those who are his friends) he had long before effectually prevented the success of any such ecandalous desigus. Mr. Fox's friends have themselves done away that imputation on Mr. Burke.
be something more than mistake; comething worse than formal irregularity. Any contumely, any outrage, is readily passed over, by the indulgence which we all owe to sudden passion. These things are soon forgot upon occsaions in which all men are so apt to forget themselves. Deliberata injuries to a degree must be remembered, because they require deliberate precautions to be secured against their return.
I am authorized to asy for Mr. Burke, thast he considern that cause assigned for the outrage offered to him, as ten times worse than the outrage itself. There is such as etrange confusion of ideas on this subject, that it is far more difficult to understand the nature of the charge, than to refute it when understood. Mr. Fox's friends were, it seems, seized with a sudden panic terror leot he chould paoe for a republican. I do not think they had any ground for this apprehension. But let us admit they had. What was there in the Quebee bill, rather than any other, which could subject him or them to that infputation? Nothing in a discussion of the French constitution, which might arise on the Quebec bill, could tend to make Mr. Fox pase for a republican; except he should take occasion to extol that etate of things in France, which affects to be a republic, or a confederacy of republics. If ench an encominm could make any unfavoursble impression on the king's mind, surely his voluntery panegyrics on that event, not so much introduced as intruded into other debatea, with which they had little relation, most have produced that effoct with mach more certainty, and much greater force. The Quebec bill, at worst, was only one of those opportonities, carefully sought, and industrioualy improved by himself. Mr. Sheridan had shready brought forth a panegyric on the French syetem in a still higher strain, with full as little demand from the nature of the busineas befure the House, in s speech too good to be speedily forgotten. Mr. Fox followed him withont any direct call from the subject matter, and upon the anme ground. To canvass the merita of the Prench constitution on the Quebee bill, could not draw forth any opinions which were not brought forward before, with no small ontentation, and with very little of necessity, or perhape of propriety. What mode, or what time, of discuseing the conduct of the French
faction in England would not equally tend to kindle this enthusiasm, and afford those occasions for panegyric, which, far from shunning, Mr. For has alwayi industriously sought? He himself said very truly, in the debate, that no artifices were necessary to draw from him his opinions upon that eubject. But to fall upon Mr. Burke for making an nse, at worst not more irregular, of the aame liberty, is tantamount to a plain declaration, that the topic of France is tabooed or forbidden ground to Mr. Burke, and to Mr. Burke alone. But surely Mr. Fox is not a republican ; and what should hinder him, when such 8 discussion came on, from clearing himself unequirocally (as his friends say he had done near a fortnight before) of all such imputations? Instead of being a disadrantage to him, he would have defeated all his enemies, and Mr. Burke, since he has thought proper to reckon him amongst them.

But it seems, some newspaper or other had imputed to him republican principles, on occasion of his conduct upon the Quebec bill. Supposing Mr. Burke to have seen these newspapers, (which is to suppose more than I believe to be true, 1 would ask, when did the newspapers forbear to charge Mr. Foz, or Mr. Burie himself, with republican principles, or any other principles which they thought could render both of them odious, sometimes to one description of people, sometimes to another P Mr. Burke, since the publication of his pamphlet, has been a thousand times charged in the newspapers with holding derpotic principles. He could not enjoy one moment of domestic quiet, he could not perform the least particle of public duty, if he did not altogether disregard the language of those libels. But however his sensibility might be affected by such abnee, it would in him have been thought a most ridiculous reason for shutting up the mouths of Mr. Fox or Mr. Sheridan, no as to prevent their delivering their sentiments of the French Revolution,-that forsooth, " the newspapers had lately charged Mr. Burke with being an enemy to liberty."
I allow that those gentlemen have privilegea to which Mr. Burke has no claim. But their friends ought to plead those privilegea; and not to assign bad reasons, on the principle of what is fair between man and man, and thereby to put themselves on a level with those who can so easily refute
them. Let them asy at once that his reputation is of no value, and that he has no call to assert it; but that theirs is of infinite concern to the party and the public; and to that consideration he ought to sacrifice all his opinions, and all his feelings.

In thst language I should hear a style correspondent to the proceeding; lofty, indeed, but plain and consistent. Admit, however, for a moment, and merely for argumeat, that this gentleman had as good a right to contimue as they had to begin these discussions; in candour and equity they must allow that their voluntary descant in praise of the French eonatitution was as much an obligue attack on Mr. Burke, as Mr. Burke's inquiry into the foundstion of this encomium could poasibly be construed into an imputation upon them. They well know, that he felt like other men; and of course he would think it mean and unworthy to decline asserting in his place, and in the front of able adversaries, the principles of what he had penned in his cloeet, and without an opponent befons him. They could not bat be convinced, that decismations of this hind would rouse him; that he must think, coming from men of their calibre, they were highly mischievous; that they gave counteance to bad men, and bad designs; and, though he was sware that the handling such matters in parliament was delicate, yet he was a man very likely, whenever, much agsinst his will, they were brought there, to resolve that there they ahould be thoroughly sifted. Mr. For, early in the preceding eemion, had public notice from Mr. Burte of the light in which he considered every sttempt to introduce the example of France into the politics of this comntry; and of his resolution to break with his best friends, and to join with his worst eneanies, to prevent it He hoped that no such necessity would ever exist. But in case it should, his determination was made. The party mew perfeetly that he would at leat defend himself. Ho never motended to sttsel Mr. Fox, nor did be atteck him directly or indirectly. His speech kopt to its matter. No pertonality was employed eren in the remotent allusion. He never did impute to that gentlemen any repablican principles, or any other bad principlea or bad conduct whateover. It wass fir from his words; it wras fir from his heart. It mut be remembered, that, notwithatanding the attempt of Mr. Fox to
fix on Mr. Burke an unjustifiable change of opinion, and the foul crime of teaching a set of maxims to a boy, and afterwards, when these maxims became adult in his mature age, of abandoning both the disciple and the doctrine, Mr. Burke never attempted, in any one particular, either to criminate or to recriminate. It may be eaid, that he had nothing of the kind in his power. This he does not controvert. He certainly had it not in his inclination. That gentleman had as little ground for the charges which he was so easily provoled to make upon him.

The gentlemen of the party (I include Mr. Fox) have been kind enough to consider the dispute brought on by this business, and the consequent separation of Mr. Burke from their corps, as matter of regret and uneasinese. I cannot be of opinion, that by his exclusion they have had any loss at all. A man whose opinions are so very adverse to theirs, adverse, as it was expressed, "as pole to pole," so mischievously as well as so directly adverse, that they found themselves under the necessity of solemnly disclaiming them in full parliament, such a man must ever be to thers a most unseemly and unproftable encumbrance. A co-operation with him could only serve to embarrass them in all their councils. They have besides publicly represented him as a man capable of abusing the docility and confidence of ingenuous youth; and, for a bad reason, or for no reason, of disgracing his whole public life by a scandalous contradiction. of every one of his own acts, writings, and declarations. If these charges be true, their exclusion of such a person from their body is a' circumstance which does equal honour to their justice and their prudence. If they express a degree of ensibility in being obliged to execute this wise and just sentence, from a consideration of some amiable or some pleasant qualities which in his private life their former friend may happen to poseess, they add, to the praise of their wisdom and firmness, the merit of great tenderness of heart, and humanity of disposition.

On their ideas, the new Whig party have, in my opinion, acted as became them. The author of the Reflections, however, on his part, cannot, without graat shame to himself, and without entailing everlasting diagrace on his posterity, admit the truth or justice of the chargea which have been
made upon him; or allow that he has in those Reflections discovered any principles to which honent men are bound to declare, not a bhade or two of dissent, but a total, fundsmental opposition. He must believe, if he does not mean wilfully to absandon his cause and his reputation, that principlea, fundamentally at variance with those of his book, are fundamentally false. What those principles, the antipodes to his, really are, be can only discover from their contrariety. He is very unwilling to muppose, that the doctrines of some books lately circulated are the principles of the party; though from the vehement declarstions against him opinions, he is at some loss how to judge otherwise.
For the present, my plan does not render it necessary to say anything further concerning the merits either of the one set of opinions or the other. The author would have discassed the merits of both in his place, but he was not permitted to do so.

I pase to the next head of charge, Mr. Burke's inconvintency. It is certainly a great aggravation of his fault in embbracing false opinions, that in domg wo be is not ruppowed to fill up a void, but that he is guilty of a dereliction of opinions that are true and landable. This is the great girt of the charge against him. It in not so much that he is wrong in his book, (that however is alleged also, ) that he has therein belied his whole life. I believe, if he could venture to value himself upon anything, it is on the virtue of consistency that he would value himself the most. Strip him of thim, and you leave him naked indeed.

In the case of any man who had written aomething, and spoken a great deal, upon very multifarions matter, during upwards of twenty-five yearn public service, and in as great a variety of important events as perhasp have ever happened in the same number of years, it would appear s little hard, in order to charge such a man with inconnistency, to nee eol lected by his friend a sort of digest of his eayinga, even to ench as were merely sportive and jocular. This digent, however, has been made, with equal psims and partislity, and without bringing out thove peomage of hin writinge which might tend to ahow with what rentrictions any expremions, quoted from him, ought to have been understood. Proms 5 great edateamen he did not quite expect this mode of in-
quisition. If it only appeared in the works of common pamphleteers, Mr. Burke might safely trust to his reputar fion. When thus urged, he ought, perhaps, to do a little more. It shall be as little as possible, for $I$ hope not much is wanting. To be totally silent on his charges would not be respectful to Mr. Fox. Accusstions sometimes derive a weight from the persons who make them, to which they are not entitled for their matter.
He who thinks, that the British constitution ought to consist of the three members, of three very different natures, of which it does actually consist, and thinks it his duty to preserve each of those members in its proper plice, and with its proper proportion of power, must (as each sball happen to be attracked) vindicate the three several parts on the several principles peculiarly belonging to them. He cannot assert the democratic part on the principles on which monarchy is mupported, nor can he support monarchy on the principles of democracy; nor can he maintain aristocracy on the grounds of the one or of the other, or of both. All these he must support on grounds that are totally different, though practically they may be, and happily with us they are, brought into one harmonions body. A man could not be consistent in defending such various, and, st first view, discordant, parts of a mixed constitution, withont that eort of inconsistoncy with which Mr. Burke stands charged.

As any one of the great members of this constitution happens to be endangered, he that is a friend to all of them chooses and presses the topics necessary for the support of the part attacked, with all the strength, the earnestness, the vehemence, with all the power of stating, of argument, and of colouring, which he happens to possess, and which the case demande.' He is not to embarrase the minds of his hearers, or to encumber or overigy his speech, by bringing into view at once (as if he were reading an academic lecture) all that may and ought, when a just occasion presents itself, to be eaid in favour of the other membera. At that time they are out of the court; there is no question concerning them. Whilst he opposes his defence on the part where the attack ' is made, he presumes, that for his regard to the just rights of all the rest, he has credit in every candid mind. He ought not to apprehend, that his raising fences about popn-
lar privileges this day; will infer that he ought, on the next, to concur with those who would pull down the throne: because, on the next, he defends the throne, it ought not to be supposed that he has abandoned the rights of the people.
A man, who, among various objects of his equal regard, is secure of some, and fall of anxiety for the fate of others, is apt to go to much greater lengths in his preference of the objectis of his immediate solicitude than Mr. Burke has ever done. A man so circumstanced often seems to undervalue, to vilify, almost to reprobate and disown, those that are out of danger. This in the voice of nature and truth, and not of inconsistency and false pretence. The danger of anything very dear to us removes, for the moment, every other affection from the mind. When Priam bad his whole thoughta employed on the body of his Hector, he repela with indignation, and drivee from him with a thousand reproaches, his surviving sons, who with an officioss piety crowded about him to offer their sasaintance. A good eritic (there is no better than Mr. Fox) would say, that this is a master-stroke, and marks a deep understanding of nature in the father of poetry. He would despise a Zoilus, who would conclude from this passage that Homer meant to represent this man of affliction as bating, or being indifferent and cold in his affections to, the poor relies of his house, or that he preferred a dead carcass to his living children.
Mr. Burke does not stand in need of an allowance of this kind, which, if he did, by candid critice ought to be granted to him. If the principlea of a mixed constitation be admitted, he wants no more to justify to consistency everything he has eaid and done during the course of a political life just touching to its close. I believe that gentleman ham kept himself more clear of running into the fashion of wild, visionary theories, or of seeking popularity through every means, than any man pertape ever did in the mame situation.

Ho was the first man who, on the hustings, at a popular election, rejected the anthority of instructions from constituents; or who, in any place, has argued so fully against it. Perhape the discredit into which that doctrine of compulsive instructions under our constitution is since fallen, may be due, in a great degree, to his opposing himelf to it in that manner, and on that occasion.

The reforms in representation, and the bills for shortening the duration of parliaments, he uniformly and steadily opposed for many years together, in contradiction to many of his best friends. These friends, however, in his better days, when they had more to hope from his service and more to fear from his loss than now they have, never chose to find any inconsistency between his acts and expressions in favour of liberty, and his votes on those questions. But there is a time for all things.

Against the opinion of many friends, even against the solicitation of some of them, he opposed those of the church clergy, who had petitioned the House of Commons to be discharged from the subscription. Although he supported the dissenters in their petition for the indulgence which he had refused to the clergy of the established church ; in this, as he was not guilty of it, so he was not reproached with inconsistency. At the same time he promoted, and against the wish of several, the clause that gave the dissenting teachers another subseription in the place of that which was then taken away. Neither at that time was the reproach of inconsistency brought against him. People could then distingaish between a difference in conduct under a variation of circumstances, and an inconsistency in principle. It was not then thought necessary to be freed of him as of an en, cumbrance.

These instances, a few among many, are produced as an answer to the insinuation of his having pursued high poputar courses, which in his late book he has abandoned. Perhapa in bis whole life he has never omitted a fair occasion, with whatever risk to him of obloquy as in individual, with whatever detriment to his interest as a member of opposition, to assert the very same doctrines which appear in that book. He told the House, upon an important occasion, and pretty carly in his service, that "being warned by the ill effect of a contrary procedure in great examples, ha had taken his ideas of liberty very low; in order that they should atick to him, and that he might etick to them to the ond of his life."

At popular elections the most rigorous casuists will remit a little of their ceverity. They will allow to a candidate some unqualified effubions in favour of freedom, without
lar privileges this day; will infer that he ought, on the next, to concur with those who would pull down the throne: because, on the nert, he defends the throne, it ought not to be supposed that he has abandoned the righte of the people.

A man, who, among various objects of his equal regard, is secure of some, and full of anxiety for the fate of others, in apt to go to much greater lengths in his preference of the objects of his immediate solicitude than Mr. Burke has ever done. A man so circumstanced often meems to undervalue, to vilify, almost to reprobate and disown, those that are out of danger. This is the voice of nature and truth, and not of inconsistency and false pretence. The danger of anything very dear to us removes, for the moment, every other affection from the mind. When Prism had his whole thoughts employed on the body of his Hector, be repels with indignation, and drives from him with a thousand reproaches, his surviving sons, who with an officions piety crowded about him to offer their asaistance. A good critic (there is no better than Mr. Fox) would say, that this is a master-stroke, and marks a deep understanding of nature in the father of poetry. He would despise a Zoilas, who would conclude from this pasoage that Homer meant to represent this man of affliction as hating, or being indifferent and cold in hin affections to, the poor relicu of his house, or that he preferred a dead carcass to his living children.

Mr. Burke does not stand in need of an allowance of this kind, which, if he did, by candid critics ought to be granted to him. If the principles of a mixed constitution be admitted, be wants no more to justify to consistency everything be has asid and done daring the course of a political life just touching to its close. I believe that gentleman has kept himself more clear of running into the fashion of wild, visionary theoriew, or of aeeking popralarity shrough every means, than any man perhape ever did in the mame situation.

He was the first man who, on the hastings, at a popular election, rejected the authority of instractions from conatitroente ; or who, in any place, hase argued so fully against it. Perhape the discredit into which that doctrine of compul eive instructions under our constitution io since fallen, may be due, in a great degree, to his opponing himself to it in that manner, and on that oceasion.

The reforms in representation, and the bills for shortening the duration of parliaments, he uniformly and steadily opposed for many yeara together, in contradiction to many of his best friends. These friends, however, in his better days, when they had mare to hope from his service and more to fear from his loss than now they have, never chose to find any inconsistency between his acts and expressions in favour of liberty, and his votes on those questions. But there is a time for all things.

Against the opinion of many friends, even against the solicitation of some of them, he opposed those of the church clergy, who had petitioned the House of Commons to be dibcharged from the subscription. Although he supported the dissenters in their petition for the indulgence which he had refused to the clergy of the established church; in this, as he was not guilty of it, so he was not reproached with inconsistency. At the same time he promoted, and against the wish of several, the clanse that gave the dissenting teachers another subscription in the place of that which was then taken away. Neither at that time was the reproach of inconsistency brought against him. People could then distinguish between a difference in conduct under a variation of circumstances, and an inconsistency in principle. It was not then thought necessary to be freed of him as of an en--cumbrance.

These instances, few among many, are produced as an answer to the insinuation of his having pursued high popuIar courses, which in his late book he has abandoned. Perhaps in his whole life he has never omitted a fair occasion, with whatever risk to him of obloquy as in individual, with whatever detriment to his interest as a member of opposition, to assert the very same doctrines which appear in that book. He told the House, upon an important occasion, and pretty early in his service, that " being warned by the ill effect of a contrary procedure in great examples, he had taken his ideas of liberty very low; in order that they should stick to him, and that be might atick to them to the end of his life."

At popular elections the most rigorous casuista will remit a little of their severity. They will allow to a candidate some unqualified effusions in fayour of freedom, without
binding him to adhere to them in their utmont extent. But Mr. Burke put a more strict rule upon himself than most moralists would put upon others. At bis frat offering himself to Bristol, where he was almost mure he should not obtain, on that or any occasion, a single.Tory vote, (in fact, he did obtain but one, ) and rested wholly on the Whig interest, he thought himself bound to tell to the electora, both before and after his election, exactly what a representative they had to expect in him.
"The distinguishing part of our constitution (he *aid) in its liberty. To preserve that liberty inviolate, it the peculiar duty and proper trust of a member of the House of Commons. But the liberty, the only liberty I mean, is a liberty connected with order, and that not only exists evith order and virtue, but cannot exist at all without them. It inheres in good and oteady government, as in is oubstance and vital principle."

The liberty to which Mr. Burke declared himwelf attached is not Freach liberty. That liberty is nothing but the rein given to vice and confusion. Mr. Burke was then, an be was at the writing of his Beflections, awfully impressed with the difficulties arising from the complex state of our constitotion and our empire, and that it might require in different emergencies, different sorts of exertions, and the succesaive call upon all the varions principles which uphold and justify it. This will appear from what he said at the close of the poll.
"To be a good member of parlimment is, let me tell you, no eary task; especially at this time, when there in 00 otrong 4 dioposition to rum into the perilons extremes of aervile complinnce, or soild popularity. To unite circumspection with vigour, is absolutely neceseary; but it is extremely diffienit. We are now members for a rich commercial eity; this city, however, is but a part of a rich commercinl mation, the inter. eats of which are varions, maltiform, amd intricate. We are member for that great ratiow which, bowever, is itmelf but a part of a great empirc, extended by our virtue and our fortume to the farthest limits of the east and of the went. $A l l$ these wide-spread intereste must be considered; must be corpared; mast be reconciled, if powible. We aro members for a free country; and aurely we all know thst the machine of a free constitution is no aimple thing; but at


#### Abstract

THE HEW TO THÉ of WHigs.  bers in a great and ancient monaroni; and we must presetve religiously the true legal rights of the sorgreig?, OMEXthom the kejstone that binds together the noble and weel-constructait arch of our empire and our constitution. Anconsitution made up of balanced poroers, must ever be a critical thing. As such I mean to touch that part of it which comes within my reach." In this manner Mr. Burke spoke to his constituents seventeen years ago. He spoke, not like a partisan of ons particular member of our constitution, but as a person atrongly, and on principle, attached to them all. He thought these great and essential members ought to be preserved, and preserved each in its place; and that the monarchy ought not only to be secured in its peculiar existence, but in its pre-eminence too, as the presiding and connecting principle of the whole. Let it be considered, whether the language of his book, printed in 1790, differs from his speech at Bristol in 1774.

With equal justice his opinions on the American war are introduced, as if in his late work he had belied his conduct and opinions in the debates which arose upon that great event. On the American war he never had any opinions which he has reen occasion to retract, or which he has ever retracted. He indeed differs essentially from Mr . Fox as to the cause of that war. Mr. Fox has been pleased to say, that the Americans rebelled, "because they thought they had not enjoyed liberty enough." This cause of the war from him I have heard of for the first time. It is true that those who atimulated the nation to that measure, did frequently urge this topic. They contended, that the Americans had from the beginning aimed at independence; that from the beginning they meant wholly to throw off the authority of the crown, and to braak their connexion with the parent country. This Mr. Burkenever believed. When he moved his second conciliatory proposition in the year 1776, he entered into the discusaion of this point at very great length ; and, from nine several heads of presumption, endeavoured to prove the charge upon that people not to be true.

If the principles of all he has said and wrote on the occasion be viewed with common temper, the gentlemen of the party


will perceive, that, on a supposition that the Americans had rebelled merely in order to enlarge their liberty, Mr. Burke would have thought very differently of the A merican cause. What might have been in.the secret thoughts of some of their leaders it is impossible to say. As far an a man, so locked up as Dr. Franklin, could be expected to communicate his ideas, I believe he opened them to Mr. Burke. It was, I think, the very day before he set out for America, that a very long conversation passed between them, and with a greater air of openness on the Doctor's eide than Mr. Burke had observed in him before. In this discourse Dr. Franklin lamented, and with apparent sincerity, the separation which he feared was inevitable between Great Britain and her colonies. He certainly spoke of it as an event which gave him the greatest concern. America, he said, would never again ese guch happy days as she had passed under the protection of England. He observed, that ouvs was the only instance of a great empire, in which the most distant parts and members had been as well governed an the metropolis and its vicinage: but that the Americans were going to lowe the means which secured to them this rare and precions advantage. The queation with them was not whether they were to remain as they had been before the troublem, for better, he allowed, they could not hope to be; but whether they were to give $\quad$ op so happy a situstion without a straggle? Mr. Burke had several other conversations with him about that time, in none of which, soured and exasperated as his mind certainly was, did he discover any other wish in favour of America than for a security to its anciont condition. Mr. Burke's conversation with other Americans was large indeed, and his inquiries extensive and diligent. Trusting to the result of all these means of information, but truating much more in the pablic presumptive indications I bave just referred to, and to the reiterated, solemn declarations of their anmemblies, he always firmly beliered that they were purely on the defensive in that rebellion. He considered the Americana as atanding at that time, and in that controversy, in the name relation to England, as England did to King James the Second, in 1688. He believed, that they had taken up arms from one motive only; that is, our attempting to tar them without their consent; to tar them for the purposes of mein-
taining civil and military establishments. If this attempt of ours could have been practically established, he thought, with them, that their assemblies would become totally use less; that, under the system of policy which was then pursued, the Americans could have no sort of security for their Laws or liberties, or for any part of them; and that the very circumstance of our freedom would have augmented the weight of their slavery.

Considering the Americans on that defensive footing, he thought Great Britain ought instantly to luave closed with them by the repeal of the taring act. He was of opinion that our general rights over that country would have been preserved by this timely concession.! When, instead of this, a Boston port bill, a Massachusetts charter bill, a Fishery bill, an Intercourse bill, I know not how many hostile bills, rushed out like so many tempests from all points of the compass, and were accompanied first with great fleets and armies of English, and followed afterwards with great bodies of foreign troops, he thought that their cause grew daily better, because daily more defensive; and that ours; because daily more offensive, grew daily worse. He therefore, in two motions, in two successive yeara, proposed in parliament many concessions beyond what he had reason to think in the beginning of the troubles would ever be seriously demanded.

So circumstanced, he certainly never could and never did wish the colonists to be subdued by arms. He was fully persuaded, that if such should be the event, they must be held in that subdued state by a great body of atanding forces, and perhaps of foreign forces. He was strongly of opinion that such armies, first victorious over Englishmen, in a conflict for English constitutional righta and privileges, and afterwards babitusted (though in America) to keep an English people in a state of abject subjection, would prove fatal in the end to the liberties of England itself; that in the mean time this military system would lie as an oppressive burthen upon the national finances ; that it would constantly breed and feed new discussions full of heat and acrimony, leading possibly to a new series of wars; and that foreign powers, whilst we continued in a state at once burthened
${ }^{1}$ See his speech on American taxation, the 19th of April, 1774.
and distracted, most at length obtain a decided superiority over ns. On what part of his late publication, or on what expression that might have escaped him in that work, is any man authorized to charge Mr. Burke with s contradiction to the line of his conduct, and to the current of bin doctrinee on the American war? The pamphlet is in the hands of his accusers, let them point out the passage if they can.

Indeed, the author has been well sifted and ccrutinized by his friends, He is even called to son account for every jocular and light expression. $A$ ludicrous picture, which he made with regard to a passage in the speech of a late minister, ${ }^{1}$ has been brought up against him. That pasaage contained s lamentation for the loos of monarchy to the Americans, after they had separated from Great Britain. He thought it to be unseasonable, ill judged, and ill sorted witb the circumstances of all the parties. Mr. Burke, it eems, considered it ridiculous to lament the loss of some monarch or other, to a rebel people, at the moment they had for ever quitted their allegiance to their and our sovereign; at the time when they had broken off all comnexion with this nation, and had allied themselves with its enemies. He certainly must have thought it open to ridicule; nud, now that it is recalled to his memory, (he had, I believe, wholly forgotten the circumstance, be recollects that he did treat it with some lerity. But is it a fair inference from a jest on this unseasonsble lsmentation, that be way then an enemy to monarchy either in this or in any other country? Tho contriry perhaps ought to be inferred, if enything at all ean. be ergued from pleasantrien good or bad. Is it for this reason, or for anything he has aid or done relative to the American war, that he is to enter into an allisnce offensive and defensive with every rebellion, in every country, under every circumstance, and raised upon whatever pretence? Is it beeause be did not wish the Americans to be subdued by arma, that he mrast be ineonsistent with himself, if he reprobates the conduct of thomo societies in Englend, who, alleging no one act of tyranny or oppreasion, and complaining of no hootile mitempt againgt our meient laws, rights, and usagen, are now endearouring to work the deatruction of the erown of this kingdom, and the whole of ite convaitution? Is he

- Lord Lentionmo.
obliged, from the concessions he wished to be made to the colonies, to keep any terms with those clubs and federations, who hold out to us as a pattern for imitation, the proceedings in France, in which a king, who had voluntarily and formally divested himself of the right of taxation, and of all other species of arbitrary power, has been dethroned ?-Is it be: cause Mr. Burke wished to have America rather conciliated than vanquished, that he must wish well to the army of republics which are set up in France; a country wherein not the people, but the monarch was wholly on the defensive, (a poor, indeed, and feeble defensive, ) to preserve some fragments of the royal authority against a determined and desperate body of conspirators, whose object it was, with whatever certainty of crimes, with whatever hazard of war, and every other epecies of calamity, to annibilate the whols of that authority; to level all ranks, orders, and distinctions in the state; and utterly to destroy property, not more by their acts than in their principles?

Mr. Burke has been also reprosched with an inconsistency between his late writings and his former conduct, because he had proposed in parliament several economical, leading to several constitutional, reforms. Mr. Burke thought, with a majority of the House of Commons, that the influence of the crown at one time was too great; but after his Majesty had, by a gracious message, and several mubsequentiacts of parlisment, reduced it to a standard which satisfied Mr. Fox himaelf, and, apparently at least, contented whoever wished to go fartheat in that reduction, is Mr. Burke to allow that it would be right for us to proceed to indefinite lengths upon that aubject? that it would therefore be justifiable in a people owing allegiance to a monarchy, and professing to maintain it, not to reduce, but wholly to take anoay all prerogative and all influence whatsoever? -Must his having made, in virtue of a plan of economical regulation, a reduction of the influence of the crown, compel him to allow, that it would be right in the French or in us to bring a king to so abject a state, as in function not to be so respectable as an undersheriff, but in person not to differ from the condition of a mere prisoner? One would think that such s thing as a. medium had never been heard of in the moral world.

- This mode of arguing from your having done any thing in
vol. mis.
a certain line, to the necessity of doing every thing, has political consequences of other moment than those of a logical fallacy. If no man can propose any diminution or modification of an invidious or dangerons power or influence in government, without entitling friends turned into adversaries to argue him into the destruction of all prerogative, and to a spoliation of the whole patronage of royalty, I do not know what can more effectually deter persons of sober minds from engaging in any reform; nor how the worst enemies to the liberty of the subject could contrive any method more fit to bring all correctives on the power of the crown into suspicion and disrepute.
If, say his accusers, the dread of too great influence in the crown of Great Britain could justify the degree of reform which he adopted, the dread of a return under the despotism of a monarchy might justify the people of France in going mach further, and reducing monarchy to its present nothing. Mr. Burke does not allow that a sufficient argument ad hominemi is inferrible from these premises. If the horror of the excesses of an absolute monarchy furnishes a reason for abolishing it, no monarchy once absolute (all have been to at one period or other) conld ever be limited. It must be destroyed; otherwise no way could be found to quiet the fears of those who were formerly subjected to that away. But the principle of Mr. Burke's proceeding ought to kead him to a very different conclasion;-to this conclusion,that a monarchy is a thing perfectly susceptible of reform; perfectly susceptible of a balance of power; and that, when reformed and balanced, for a greast country, it is the best of all governments. The example of our country might have led France, as it has led him, to perceive that monarchy is not only reconcilable to liberty, but that it may be rendered a great and stable security to its perpetual enjoyment. No correctives which be proposed to the power of the crown could lead him to approve of a plan of a repablic (if so it may be reputed) wbich has no correctivea, and which be be lievea to be incapable of admitting any. No prineiple of Mr. Barke's conduct or writings obliged him, from consistency, to become an adrocate for an exchange of minchiefs; no principle of his could compel him to juatify the netting up in the place of a mitigated monarchy, a new and far more
despotic power, under which there is no trace of liberty, except what sppears in confusion and in crime.

Mr. Burke does not admait that the faction predominant in France have abolished their monarchy and the orders of their atate, from any dread of arbitrary power that lay hearily on the minds of the people. It is not very long since he has been in that country. Whilst there he conversed with many descriptions of its inhabitants. A few persons of rank did, he allows, discover atrong and manifest tokens of such a spirit of liberty, as might be expected one day to break all bounds. Such gentlemen have since had more reason to repent of their want of foresight than I hope any of the same class will ever have in this country. But this spirit was far from general even amongst the gentlemen. As to the lower orders and those a little above them, in whose name the present powers domineer, they were far from discovering any sort of dissatisfaction with the power and prerogatives of the crown. That vain people were rather proud of them : they rather despised the English for not haring a monarch possessed of euch high and perfect authority. They had felt nothing from Lettres de Cachet. The Bastile could inspire no horrors into them. This was a treat for their betters. It was by art and impulser, it was by the sinister use made of a season of scarcity; it was under an infinitely diversified succession of wicked pretences, wholly foreign to the question of monarchy or aristocracy, that this light people were inspired with their present spirit of levelling. Their old vanity was led by art to take anotherturn: it was dazzled and seduced by military liveries, cockades, and epsulets; until the French populace was led to become the willing, but still the proud and thoughtless, instrument and victim of another domination, Neither did that people despise, or hate, or fear their nobility. On the contrary, they valued themselves on the generous qualities which distinguished the chiefs of their nation.

So far as to the attack on Mr. Burke, in consequence of his reforms.

To show that he has in his last publication abandoned those principles of liberty which have given energy to his youth, and in spite of his censors will afford repose and consolstion to his declining age, those, who have thought proper D 2
in parliament to declare against his book, ought to bave produced momething in it, which directly or indirectly militatea with any rational plan of free government. It is comething extraordinary, that they, whose memories have so well served them with regard to light and ledicrous expressions which years bad consigned to oblivion, should not have been able to quote a single passage in a piece so lately published, which contradicts anything he ha formerly ever gaid in a etyle either ludicrows or serious. They quote his former speeches, and his former votes, but not one ayllable from the book. It is only by a collation of the one with the othez that the alleged inconsistency can be established. But as they are unable to cite any such contradictory paseage, 0 neither can they show anything in the general tendency and spirit of the whole work unfavourable to a rational and generous spirit of liberty; unlese a warn opposition to the espirit of levelling, to the spirit of impiety, to the spirit of prowcription, plunder, murder, and cannibalism, be adverse to the trua principles of freedom.

The author of that book is supposed to have pasmed from extreme to extreme; but he has always kept bimeel in a medium. This charge is not 80 wonderful. It is in the nstare of things, that they who are in the centre of s circle thould appear directly opposed to those who view them from any part of the circumference. In that middle point, however, he will atill remsin, though he may hear people, who themselves run beyond Auroris and the Gangen, ery out, that he is at the extremity of the west.

In the asame debate Mr. Burke wat represented by Mr. Fox as arguing in a manner which implied that the British constitution conld not be defended, but by abusing all repoblics ancient and modern. He said nothing to give the least ground for auch a censure. He never abused all republics. He ha never professed himself a friend or an enemy to republics or to monarchics in the abstract. He thought that the eircumstances and habite of every country, which it is always perilous and prodnctive of the greate calamities to force, are to decide upon the form of ite government. There is nothing in his nature, his temper, of his facultien, which should make him an enemy to any republic modern or ancient. Far from it He has etadied
the form and spirit of republics very early in life; he has studied them with great attention; and with a mind undisturbed by affection or prejudics. He is indeed convinced that the science of government would be poorly cultivated without that study. But the reault in hia mind from that investigation has been, and is, that neither England nor France, without infinite detriment to them, as well in the event as in the experiment, could be brought into a repub. liean form; but that everything republican which can be introduced with asfety into either of them, must be built upon a monarchy; built upon a real', not a nominal, monarchy, as its essential basis; that all much institutions, whether aristocratic or democratic, must originate from their crown, and in all their proceedings must refer to it; that by the energy of that main-spring alone those republican parta must be set in action, and from thence must derive their whole legal effect, (as amongst us they actually do, or the whole will fall into confusion. These republican members have no other point but the crown in which they can possibly unite.

This is the opinion expreased in Mr. Burke's book. He has never varied in that opinion since he came to years of discretion. But surely, if at any time of his life he had entertained other notions, (which however he has never held or professed to hold, the horrible calamities brought upon a great people, by the wild attempt to force their country into a republic, might be more than sufficient to undeceive his understanding, and to free it for ever from such destructive fanciea. He is certsin, that many, even in France, have been made sick of their theories by their very success in real, izing them.

1. To fortify the impratation of a desertion from his principlea, his constant attemptas to reform abuses have been brought forward. It is true, it has boen the business of his strength to reform abuses in government; and his last feeble efforts are employed in a struggle againat them. Politically he has lived in that element; politically he will die in it. Before he departa, I will admit for him that he deserven to have all his titles of merit brought forth, as they have been, for grounds of condemastion, if one word, justifying or aupporting abuses of any sort, is to be found in that book which has kindled so much indignation in the mind of a great man.

On the contrary, it epares no existing abuse. It very purpose is to make war with abuses; not, indeed, to make war with the dead, bat with those which live, and flourish, and reign.

The purpose, for which the sbuses of government are brought into view, forms a very material consideration in the mode of treating them. The complaints of a friend are things very different from the invectives of an enemy. The charge of abuses on the late monarchy of France was not intended to lead to its reformation, but to justify it destruc tion. They, who have raked into all history for the faulte of kings, and who have aggravated every fault they have found, have acted consistently ; becanse they acted as enemien. No man can be a friend to a tempered monarchy who bears a decided hatred to monarchy itself. He who, at the prenent time, in favourable, or even fair, to that system, must act towards it ss towards a friend with frailties, who in under the prosecution of implacable foes. I think it a duty, in that case, not to inflame the public mind againat the obnoxious person, by any exaggeration of his faults. It is our duty rather to palliate his errors and defects, or to caat them into the shade, and industriously to bring forward any good qualities that he may happen to possens. But when the mas is to be amended, and by amendment to be preserved, then the line of duty takes another direction. When his safety is effectnally provided for, it then becomes the office of a friend to urge his faults and vises with all the energy of enlightened affeetion, to paint them in their most vivid colourn, and to bring the moral patient to a better habit. Thas I think with regard to individuals; thus I think with regard to ancient and respected governments and orders of men. $A$. spirit of reformation is never more consistent with itnelf, than when it refuses to be rendered the means of dewtruction.

I acppose that enough in asid upon these beads of accuasp tion One more I bad nearly forgotten, but I whall moon despatch it. The suthor of the Keflections, in the opening of the last partisment, entered on the Journals of the House of Commons a motion for a remonatance to the erown, which is enbetantially a defence of the preceding parliament, that had been dissolved under displeanure. It is a defence of Mr. For. It is a defence of the Whigas. By what con-
nexion of argument, by what association of ideas, this apology for Mr. Fox and his party is, by him and them, brought to criminate his and their apologist, I cannot easily divine. It is true, that Mr. Burke received no previous encouragement from Mr. Fox, nor any the least countenance or support, at the time when the motion was made, from him or from any gentleman of the party; one only excepted, from whose friendship, on that and on other occasions, he derives an honour to which he must be duli indeed to be insensible. ${ }^{1}$ If that'remonstrance therefore was a false or feeble defence of the messures of the party, they were in nowise affected by it. It stands on the Journals. This secures to it a permanence which the author cannot expect to any other work of his. Let it speak for itself to the present age, and to all posterity. The party had no concern in it; and it can never be quoted against them. But in the late debate it was produced, not to clear the party from an improper defence in which they had no share, but for the kind purpose of insinuating an inconsistency between the principlea of Mr. Burke's defence of the dissolved parliament, and those on which he proceeded in his late Reflections on France.

It requires great ingenuity to make out such a parallel between the two cases, as to found a charge of inconsistency in the principles assumed in arguing the one and the other. What relation had Mr. Fox's ladis bill to the constitution of France? What relation had that constitution to the question of right, in a House of Commons, to give or to withhold its confidence from ministers, and to atate that opinion to the crown? What had this discussion to do with Mr. Burke's ideas in 1784, of the ill consequences which must in the ead arise to the crown from setting up the commons at large as an opposite intereat to the commons in parliament? What has this discussion to do with a recorded warning to the people of their rashly forming a precipitate judgment agsinst their representatives? What had Mr. Burke's opinion of the danger of introducing new theoretic language, unknown to the records of the kingdom, and calculated to excite veratious questions, into a parliamentary proceeding, to do with the French Assembly, which defies all precedent, and places its whole glory in realizing what had been thought

[^0]the most visionary theories? What had thio in common with the abolition of the French monarchy, or with the principlea apon which the English Revolution wad justified; a Revolution in which parlisment, in all its acts and all its declarations, religiously adheres to "the Eorm of sound words," without excluding from private discussions such terms of art as may serve to conduct an inquiry for which none but. private persons are responsible? These were the topics of Mr. Burke's proposed remonstrance; all of which topicn suppose the existence and mutual relation of our three estates; a well as the relation of the East India Company to the crown, to parliament, and to the peculiar lawn, rights, and usages of the people of Hindostan. What reference, I say, had thewe topics to the constitution of France; in which there is no King, no Lords, no Commons, no Indie company to injure or eupport, no Indian empire to govern or opprese? What relation had all or any of these, or any question which could arise between the prerogatives of the crown and the privileges of parlisment, with the censure of thome faction persons in Great Britain, whom Mr. Burke states to be engaged, not in favour of privilege against prerogative, or of prerogative against privilege, but in an open attempt againt our crown and our parliament; against our constitution in church and state; against all the parts and orders which compose the one and the other?
No persons were more fiercely active against Mr. For, and against the meagures of the House of Commons dismolved in 1784, which Mr. Burke defends in that remonstrance, than several of those revolution-makers, whom MFr. Burke condemns alike in his remonstrance sand in his book. These revolationists indeed mas be well thonght to vary in their conduct. He is, however, far from secusing them, in this variation, of the mallest degree of inconsstrency. He is persuaded that they ere totally indifferent at which end they begin the demolition of the constitution-asome are for commeacing their operations with the deatraction of the civil powers, in onder the better to pull down the ecelesinetical; some wiah to begin with the eceleniantical in onder to facilitate the ruin of the eivil; some woald deatroy the House of Commons through the crown; come, the canon through the House of Commoses; and some world overturn
both the one and the other tbrough what they call the people. But I believe that this injured writer will think it not at all inconsiotent with his present duty, or with his former life, strenuously to oppose all the various partisans of destruction, let them begin where, or when, or haw they will. No man would set his face more determinedly against those who should attempt to deprive them, or any description of men, of the righta they posess. No man would be more steady in preventing them-from abuaing those rights to the destruction of that happy order under which they enjoy them. As to their title to anything further, it ought to be grounded on the proof they give of the safety with which power may be trusted in their hands. When they attempt without digguise, not to win it from our affections, but to force it from our feara, they show, in the character of their means of obtaining it, the use they would make of their dominion. That writer is too well read in men not to know how often the desire and derign of a tyrannic domination lurks in the claim of an extravagant liberty. Perhaps in the beginning it alvays dieplays itself in that manner. No man has ever affected power which he did not hope from the favour of the eristing government, in any other mode.

The attacks on the author's consistency relative to France are (however grievous they may be to his feelinga) in a great degree external to him and to us, and comparatively of little moment to the people of England. The substantial charge npon him is concerning his doctrines relative to the Revolntion of 1688. Here it is, that they who speak in the name of the party have thought proper to censure him the most loudly, and with the greatest asperity. Here they fasten; and, if they are right in their fact, with sufficient judgment in their selection. If he be guilty in this point he is equally blamsble, whether he is consistent or not. If he endearours to delude his countrymem by a false representation of the spirit of that leading event, and of the true nature and tenure of the government formed in consequence of it, he is deeply responsible; he is an enemy to the free constitution of the kingdom. But he ie not guilty in any sense.' I maintain thst in his Refleetions he has stated the Revolution and the settlement upon their true principles of legal reason and canstitutional policy.

His authorities are the scts and declarations of parliament given in their proper words. So far as these go, nothing can be added to what he has quoted. The question is, whether he has understood them rightly. I think they speak plainly enough. But we must now see whether he proceeds with other authority than his own constructions; and if he does, on what sort of authority he proceeds. In this part, his defence will not be made by argument, but by wager of law. He takes his compurgators, his vouchers, his guarantees, along with him. I know, that he will not be atiafied with \& justification proceeding on general reasons of policy. He must be defended on party grounds too; or his cause is not so tenable as I wish it to appear. It must be made out for him, not only, that, in his construction of these public acte and monumenta, he conforme himself to the rutes of fair, legal, and logical interpretation; but it must be proved that his construction is in perfect harmony with that of the ancient Whigs, to whom, against the sentence of the modern, on his part I here appeal.

This July, it will be twenty-six years ${ }^{1}$ since he became connected with a man whose memory will ever be precions to Englishmen of all parties, as long as the ideas of honour and virtue, public and private, are understood and cherished in this nation. That memory will be kept alive with particular veneration by all rational and honourable Whigs. Mr. Burke entered into a connexion with that party, through that man, at an age far from rave and immature; at thow years when men are all they are ever litely to become; when he was in the prime and vigour of his life; when the powers of his understanding, according to their atandard, were at the best; his memory exercised; his judgment formed; and hia reading much fresher in the recollection, and much readies in the application, than now it is. He was at that time as likely as most men to know what were Whig and what were Tory principles. He was ina situation to discern what sort of Whig principle they entertained with whom it wis his wish to form an eternal connexion. Foolish he would havo been at that time of life (more foolish than any man who undertakes a public trust would be thooght) to adihere to a
cause, which he, amongst all those who were engaged in it, had the least sanguine hopes of as a road to power.
There are who remember, that on the removal of the Whigs, in the year 1766, he was as free to choose another connexion as any man in the kingdom. To put himself out of the way of the negotiations which were then carrying on very eagerly, through many channels, with the Earl of Chatham, he went to Ireland very soon after the change of ministry, and did not return until the meeting of parliament. He was at that time free from anything which looked like an engagement. He was further free at the desire of his friends; for, the very day of his return, the Marquis of Rockingham wished him to accept an employment under the new aystem. He believes he might have had such a situation; but again he cheerfully took his fate with the party.
It would be a serious imputation upon the prudence of my friend, to have made even such trivial eacrifices as it was in his power to make, for principles which he did not truly embrace, or did not perfectly understand. In either case the folly would have been great. The question now is, whether, when he first practically professed Whig principles, he understood what principles he professed; snd whether, in his book, he has faithfully expressed them.

When he entered into the Whig party, he did not conceive that they pretended to any discoveries. They did not affect to be better Whigs than those were who lived in the days in which principle was put to the test. Some of the Whigs of those days were then living. They were what the Whigs had been at the Revolution; what they had been during the reign of Queen Anne; what they had been at the acceesion of the present royal family.
What they were at those periods is to be seen. It rarely. happens to a party to have the opportunity of a clear, authentic, recorded declaration of ther political tenets upon the subject of a great constitutional event like that of the Revolution. The Whigs had that opportunity, or, to speak more properly, they made it. The impeachment of $D_{r}$ Sacheverel was undertaken by a Whig ministry and a Whig House of Commons, and carried on before a prevalent and steady majority of Whig peers. It was carried on for the erpress purpose of stating the true grounds and principles of the

Revolution; what the Commons emphatically called their foundation. It was carried on for the purpose of condemning the principles on which the Revolution was first opposed, and afterwards calumniated, in order, by a juridical eentence of the highest authority, to confirm and fix Whig principlea, as they had operated both in the retistance to King Jamen, and in the subsequent Settlement; and to fix them in the extent and with the limitations with which it was meant they should be understood by posterity. The ministers and managers for the Commons were persons who had, many of them, an active share in the Revolution. Moot of them had seen it at an age capable of reflection. The grand event, and all the diecuseions which led to it, and followed it, were then alive in the memory and conversation of all men. The managers for the Commons must be supposed to have spoken on that subject the prevalent ideas of the leading party in the Commons, and of the Whig mimistry. Undoubtedly they spoke also their own private opinions; and the private opinions of such men are not without weight. They were not umbratiles doctores, men who had studied at free constitution only in ite anatomy, and upon dead aystems. They knew it alive and in sction.

In this proceeding, the Whig principlen, an applied to the Revolntion and Settlement, are to be found, or they ere to be found nowhere. I wish the Whig readers of this sppeal first to turn to Mr. Burke's Beflections, page 291-307, vol. ii.; and then to attend to the following extracts from the trial of Dr. Sacheverel. After this, tber will consider two things ; first, whether the doctrine in Mr. Burke's Beflections be consomant to that of the Whigy of that period? and, mecondly, whether they choose to sbandon the prineiples which belonged to the progenitors of some of them, and to the predecessors of them all, and to learn new prisciples of Whiggism, imported from Prance, and disseminated in this country from diseenting pulpits, from federation mocietien, and from the pamphlets, which (as containing the political creed of thone aynods) are indastrionsly circulated in all parts of the two kingdoma. This is their affeir, and they will make their option.
These new Whigs hold, that the sovereignty, whether ox creised by one or many, did not only originate from the peo-
ple, (a position not denied nor worth denying or assenting to,) but that in the people the same sovereignty constantly and unalienably resides; that the people may lawfully depose kings, not only for misconduct, but without any misconduct at all; that they may set up any new fashion of government for themselves, or continue without any government at their pleasure; that the people are essentially their own rule, and their will the measure of their eonduct; that the tenure of magistracy is not a proper subject of contract, because magistrates have duties, but no rights; and that if a contract de facto is made with theri in one age, allowing that it binds at all, it only binds those who are immediately concernod in it, but does not pass to posterity. These doctrines concerning the people (a term which they are far from accurately defining, but by which, from many circumatances, it is plain enough they mean their own faction, if they should grow by early arming, by treachery, or violence, into the prevailing force) tend, in my opinion, to the utter subversion, not only of all government, in all modes, and to all stable securities to rational freedom, but to all the rules and primciples of morality itself.

I assert, that the ancient Whigs held doctrines totally different from those I have last mentioned. I assert that the foundations laid down by the Commons on the trial of Dr. Sacheverel, for justifying the Revolution of 1688, ate the very same laid down in Mr. Burke's Reflections; that is to any,-a breach of the original contract, implied and expressed in the constitution of this country, as a scheme of government fundamentally and inviolably fixed in King, Lords, and Commons.-That the fundamental subversion of this ancient constitution, by one of its parts, having been attempted, and in effect accomplished, justified the Revolution. That it was justified only upon the anecessity of the case; as the only means left for the recovery of that ancient constitution, formed by the original contraot of the British state; as well as for the future preservation of the same government. These are the points to be proved.

A general opening to the charge against Dr. Sacheverel was made by the attorney-general, Sir John Montague: but as there is nothing in that opening speech which tends very accurately to settle the principle upon which the Whigs proceeded in the prosecution, (the plan of the speech not re-
quiring it,) I proceed to that of Mr. Lechmere, the manager, who spoke next after him. The following are extracts, given, not in the exact order in which they etand in the printed trial, but in that which is thought most fit to bring the ideas of the Whig Commons distinctly under our view.

## MB. Lechyeez. ${ }^{1}$

"It becomes an indispensable duty upon us, who appear in the name and on the behalf of all the Commons of Great Britain, not only to demand your Lordships' justice on astch a criminal, [Dr. Sacheverel, $]$ but elearly and openly to aseert our foundations."
"The nature of our constitution is that of a

That the terme of our consti tution fimply ad expreat an origin constrith

That the evetrater by mo calal condent. and binding at Il times apos the parties.
The mixed conatitration unifortaly Pa merved for mant age tand ase proof f the confract.

Lewe the come
mina memare to kiontand mabject. limited monarchy; wherein the supreme power is communicated and divided between Queen, Lords, and Commons; though the executive power and administration be wholly in the crown. The terms of such a constitution do not only suppose, but express, an original contract between the crown and the people; by which that supreme power was (by mntual consent, snd not by accident) limited and lodged in more hands than one. And the wniform presernation of much a constitution for so mary ages, withoul any fundamental change, demonetrates to your Lordships the continuance of the same contract".
"The consequences of such a frame of govern-' ment are obvious. That the laucs are the rule to both; the common mearure of the power of the crown, and of the obedience of the subject; and If the executive part endeavours the subversios asd colal des struction of the government, the origimal contract is thereby broke, and the right of allegiance ceases; that part of the government, thas fundamentally injured, hath a right to eave or recover that conatitution in which it had an original interest." $\qquad$
"The mecessary measa (which is the phrsea nsed by the Commons in their first article) are words made choice of by them with the greateat
 amble to their charge) to be, that glorious enterprise, which
istate Triata, vol. v. p. 651.
his late Majesity undertook, with an armed force, to deliver this kingdom from Popery and arbitrary power; the concurrence of many subjects of the realm, who came over with him in that enterprise, and of many others of all ranks and orders, who sppeared in arms in many parts of the kingdom in aid of that enterprise.
"These were the means that brought about the Revoluion; and which the act that passed soon after, declaring the ights and liberties of the subjeet, and settling the succession of he crovon, intends, when his late Majesty is therein called he glorious instrument of delivering the kingdom; and which he Commons, in the last part of their first article, express oy the word resistance.
"But the Commons, who will never be unnindful of the allegiance of the subjects to the Regard of the :rown of this realm, judged it highly incumbent theicic allegimpon them, out of regard to the safety of her crown, and to Majesty's person and government, and the ancient the anclent ind legal constitution of this kingdom, to call that resistance the necessary means; thereby plainly founding that power, right, and resistance, which was exercised by the people at the time of the happy Revolution, and which the luties of self-preservation and religion called them to, upon the NECESSITY of the case, and at the same time effectually recuring her Majesty's.government, and the due allegiance of all her subjects."
"The natiure of such an original contract of government proves, that there is not only a power in the people, who have inherited this freedom, to assert their own title to it ; but they are bound in duty to transmit the same constitution to

All aqes have the game inter eat in presery ation of the contract, and the came con titution. their posterity also."

Mr. Lechmere made a second epeech. Not withstanding the clear and astisfactory manner in which he delivered him. self in his first, upon this arduous question, he thinks himself bound again distinctly to assert the same foundation; and to justify the Revolution on the case of necessity only, apon principles perfectly coinciding with those lsid down in Mr. Burke's letter on the French affsirs.

## MR. LECHMEEP

The Commone atrictly epaltre thetr lution to nee cespity dobe ond clif-desencer
" Your Lordships were acquainted, in opening the charge, with how great caution, and with what unfeigned regard to her Majesty and her govermment, snd the duly and allegiance of her subjects, the Commons made use of the words necessary meant, to express the resistance thast was made use of to bring about the Revolution, and with the condemning of which the Doctor is charged by this article; not doubting but thast the honour and juatice of that resistance, from the necessity of that cace, and to which alone wee have strictly confited ourselves, when duly considered, would confirm and strengthen, $\dagger$ and be un-
| M B. The
remart the
plien, that at
legtance veold
be insecure
without thit reprotetions derstood to be an effectual security for an allegiance of the subject to the crown of this realm, in every other case where there is not the same necesity; and the right of the people to aelf-defence and preservation of their libertics, by resintance as their last remedy, is the revult of a case of nuch necessity only, and by which the original contract between king and people is broke. This woas the principle laid down and earried through all that was said with respect to allegiance; and on which foundation, in the name and on the behalf of all the Commons of Great Britain, we ascert and justify that resistance by which the late happy Revoluction wass brought about.''
"It appears to your Lordships and the world, that breaking the original contraet between hing and people, were the words made choice of by, that House of Commons, [the Honse of Commons which originated the Declaration of Bight,] with the greatent deliberation and judgment, and approved of by your Iordshipe, in that first and fundamental gtep towards the re-establishment of the government, which had received so great a shock from the evil counsels which had been giren to that onfortamate prince."

Sir John Hawlea, another of the managera, follows the steps of his brethren, positively affirming the doctrine of nop-reaistance to government to be the general, moral, reli
gious, and political rule for the subject ; and justifying the Revolution on the same principle with Mr. Burke, that is, as an exception from necessity.-Indeed he carries the doctrine on the general ides of non-resistance much further than Mr. Burke has done; and full as far as it can perhaps be supported by any duty of perfect obligation; however noble and heroic it may be in many cases to suffer death rather than disturb the tranquillity of our country.

## GIR JOHE HAWLES. ${ }^{1}$

"Certainly it must be granted, that the doctrine that commands obedience to the supreme power, though in things contrary to nature, even to suffer death, which is the higheat injustice that can be done a man, rather than make an opposition to the supreme power, [is reasonable; ]² because the death of one or some few private persons, is a less evil than disturbing the whole government; that law must needs be understood to forbid the doing or saying anything to disturb the government; the rather because the obeying that law cannot be pretended to be against nature : and the Doctor's refusing to obey that implicit law, is the reason for which he is now prosecuted; though he would have it believed, that the reason he is now prosecuted, was for the doctrine he asserted of obedience to the supreme power; which he might have preached as long as he had pleased, and the Commons would have taken no offence at it, if he had atopped there, and not have taken upon him, on that pretence or occasion, to have cast odious colours upon the Revolution.'

General Stanhope was among the managers: He begins bis speech by a relerence to the opinion of his fellow-managere, which he hoped had put beyond all doubt the limits and qualifications that the Commons had placed to their doctrines concerning the Revolution; yet, not matisfied with this general reference, after condemning the principle of non-resistance, which is asserted in the sermon woithout any
${ }^{1}$ Page 676.
The words necessery to the completion of the sentence are wanting in the printed trial-but the construction of the sentence, es well as the foregoing part of the speech, justifies the insertion of some such mupple mental words as the above.
vol. 1H.
exception, and stating, that, under the specions pretence of preaching a peaceable doctrine, Sacheverel and the Jacobitem meant, in reality, to excite a rebellion in favour of the Protender, he explicitly limits his ideas of resistance with the boundaries laid down by his colleagues, and by Mr. Burke.

GENERAL ETANHOFR.
"The constitution of England is founded upon compact; and the aubjects of this kingdom have, in their
Btepts of the
cubjeet and the several public and private capaciticn, at legal s crovin equily title to what are their rights by law, as a prince to the possession of his crown.
" Your Lordships, and most that hear me, are witnesees, and must remember the necessities of those times which brought about the Revolution: that no other remedy Jantion of re- was left to preserve our religion and liberties; sinance found Wat left to preserve our religion and liberties;
cdon necemity. that revictance wae necessary, and convequently just." - -
"Had the Doctor, in the remaining part of his eermon, preached up peace, quietness, and the like; and shown how happy we are under her Majesty's administration, and exhorted obedience to it; he had never been called to answer a charge at your Lordships' bar. But the tenor of all his subsequent discourse is one continned invective against the government."

Mr. Walpole (afterwarda Sir Bobert) was one of the managers on this ocession. He was an honourable man and a sound Whig. He was not, as the Jacobites and discontented Whigs of his time have represented him, and at illinformed people still represent him, a prodigal and corrupt minister. They charged him, in their libels and seditious conversations, with haring first reduced corruption to a nystem. Such was their cant. But he was far from governing by corruption. He governed by party attachments. The charge of systematic corruption is less applicable to him, perhapa, than to any minister who ever served the crown for so great a length of time. He gained over very few from the opposition. Without being a genias of the firmt clave, he was an intelligent, pradent, and safe mimister. He loved peace; and he belped to communicate the aame disposition
to nations at least as warlike and restless as that in which he had the chief direction of affairs. Though he served a master who was fond of martial fame, he kept all the establishments very low. The land tax continued at two shillings in the pound for the greater part of his administration. The other impositions were moderate. The profound repose, the equal liberty, the firm protection of just laws, during the long period of his power, were the principal causes of that prosperity which afterwards took such rapid strides towards perfection; and which furnished to this nation ability to acquire the military glory which it has since obtained, as well as to bear the burthens, the cause and consequence of that warlike reputation. With many virtues, public and private, he had his faults; but his faults were superficial. A careless, coarse, and over-familiar style of discourse, without sufficient regard to persons or occasions, and an almost total want of political decorum, were the errors by which he was most hurt in the public opinion; and those through which his enemies obtained the greatest advantage over him. But justice must be done. The prudence, steadiness, and rigilance of that man, joined to the greatest possible lenity in his character and his politics, preserved the crown to this royal family; and with it, their laws and liberties to this country. Walpole had no other plan of defence for the Revolution, than that of the other managers, and of Mr. Burke; and he gives full as little countenance to any arbitrary attempts, on the part of restless and factious men, for framing new governments according to their fancies.

## MA. WALPOLES

"Resistance is nowhere enseted to be legal, cane of restat. but subjected, by all the laws now in being, to nane out of the the greateat penalities. It is what is not, cannot, har, and the nor ought ever to be described, or affirmed in any fance. positive law, to be excusable: when, and upon what never-to-be-expected occasions, it may be exercised, no man can foresee; and it ought never to be thought of, but when an utter subversion of the laves of the realm threatens the whole frame of our constitution, and no redress can otherwise be hoped for. It therefore does, and ought for ever, to stand, in the eye and letter of the liw, as the highest offence. But because
sany man or party of men, may mot, out of folly or wantonness, commit treason, or make their own discontents, ill principles, or disguised affections to another interest, a pretence to resist the aupreme power, will it follow from thence that the utmost necessicy ought not to engage a
Utmont neoesnation in its own defence for the preservation of the whole?"

Sir Joseph Jekyl wan, an I have always heard and believed, as nearly as an individual could be, the very standard of Whig primciples in his age. He was a learned and an able man; full of honour, integrity, and public epirit; no lover of innovation; nor disposed to change his solid primeiples for the giddy fashion of the hour. Let us hear this Whig.

## mis JOsEPR JRETL.

"In clearing up and vindicating the justice of

Conmpons do not state the limits of nub mireton. the Revolution, which was the second thing proposed, it is far from the intent of the Commons to state the linits and bounds of the subject's submission to the sovereigo. That which the law hath been wisely silent in, the Commons desire to be silent in too; nor will they put any case of a justifiable resistance, but that of the Bevolution only; and they persuade thenselves that the doing right to that resistance will be so far from promoting popular licence or confusion, that it will have a contrary effiect, and be a means of settling men's minds in To meure the effe love of, and veneration for, the lavos; to rescus lewh, the onisy Gerctation Hevelation. and secure which, was the ONLY aim and intentios of thore concerned in remistance."

Dr. Sacheverel's counsel defended him on this principle, namely-that whilst he enforced from the pulpit the general doctrine of non-resistance, he was not obliged to take notice of the theoretic limits which ought to modify that doctrine. Sir Joseph Jekyl, in his reply, whilst he controverts its application to the Doctor's defence, fully admits and eren enforces the principle itself, and supports the Rerolution of 16s8, an he and all the managers had done before, exactly
npon the same grounds on which Mr. Burke has built, in his Reflections on the French Revolution.
aIE Jobeph sexyl.
"If the Doctor had pretended to have stated the particular bounds and limits of non-resistance, and told the people in what cases they might or might not resist, he would have been much to blame; nor was one word said in the articles, or by the managers, as if that was expected from him: lut, on the contrary, we have insicted that in NO case can resistance be lauful, but in case of extrome necessity and where the constitution cannot otherwise be preand cane of ex. served; and such necessity ought to be plain and vious nocesobvious to the sense and judgment of the whole unt. nation; and this was the case at the Revolution."

The counsel for Doctor Sacheverel, in defending their client, were driven in reality to abandon the fundamental principles of his doctrine, and to confess that an exception to the general doctrine of passive obedience and non-resistance did exist in the case of the Revolution. This the managers for the Commons considered as having gained their cause; as their having obtained the whole of what they contended for. They congratulated themselves and the nation on a civil rictory, am glorious and as honourable as any that had been obtained in arms during that reign of triumphs.

Sir Joseph Jekyl, in his reply to Harcourt, and the other great men who conducted the cause for the Tory side, spoke in the following memorable terms, distinctly stating the whole of what the Whig House of Commons contended for, in the name of all their constituents :-

## BIE JOBEPH JEEYL.

"My Lords, the concessions [the concessions of Sacheverel's counsel] are these :-That necessity creates an exception to the general rule of submission to the prince; - that such exception is understood or implied in the laws that require, such submission;-and that the case of the Revolution was a case of necessity.
"These are concessions so ample, and do so fully answer the drift of the Commons in this article, and are to the utmost extent of their meaning in it, that I can't forbear congratulating them upon this success of their impeachment; that in full parliament, this erroneous doctrine of unlimited non-resistance is given up and disclaimed. And may it not, in after-ages, be an addition to the glories of this bright reign, that so many of thone who are honoured with being in her Majesty's service have been at your Lordships' bar, thus successfully contending for the national rights of her people, and proving they are not precarious or remediless?
"But to return to these concessions; I must appeal to your Lordships, whether they are not a cotal departure from the Doctor's answer."

I now proceed to show that the Whig managers for the Commons meant to preserve the government on a firm foundation, by asserting the perpetual validity of the Bettlement then made, and ita coercive power noon pooterity. I mean to show that they gave no sort of countenance to any doctrine tending to impress the people, taken eeparately from the legislature, which includes the crown, with an idea that they had acquired a moral or civil competence to alter (without breach of the original compact on the part of the king) the euccession to the crown, at their pleasure; mach less that they had acquired any right, in the case of ouch an event as cased the Revolution, to set up any new form of government. The author of the Reflections, I believe, thought that no man of common understanding could oppose to this doctrine, the ordinary sovereign power declared in the act of Queen Anne. That in, that the kinge or queens of the realm, with the consent of parliament, are competert to regalate and to settle the succession of the crown. This power is, and ever was, inherent in the cupreme worereignty; and was not, ss the political divines vainly tall, acquired by the Revolution It is declared in the old statute of Queen Elizabeth. Such a power must reside in the complete movereignty of every kingdom; and it in in fact exercised in all of them. But this right of eompetence in the legislature, not in the people, is by the legialature itmelf to be exercised with sonend ciserction; that in to say, it is to be exercived or
not, in conformity to the fundamental principles of this goverament; to the rules of moral obligation; and to the faith of pacts, either contained in the nature of the transaction, or entered into by the body corporate of the kingdom; which body, in juridical construction, never dies; and in fact never loses its members at once by death.
Whether this doctrine is reconcilable to the modern philosophy of government, I believe the author neither knows nor cares, as he has little respect for any of that sort of philosophy. This may be because his capacity and knowledge do not reach to it. If such be the case, he cannot be blamed, if he acts on the sense of that incapacity; he cannot be blamed, if in the most arduous and critical questions which can possibly arise, and which affect to the quick the vital parts of our constitution, he takes the side which leans most to safety and settlement; that he is resolved not "to be wise beyond what is written" in the legislative record and practice; that when doubte arise on them, he endeavours to interpret one etatute by another; and to reconcile them all to established recognised morals, and to the general, ancient, known policy of the laws of England. Two things are equally evident; the first is, that the legislature possesses the power of regulating the succession of the crown; the second, that in the exercise of that right it has uniformly acted as if under the restraints which the author has stated. That author makes what the ancients call mos majorum, not indeed his sole, but certainly his principal, rule of policy, to guide his judgment in whatever regards our laws. Uिiformity and analogy can be preserved in them by this process only. That point being fixed, and laying fast hold of a strong bottom, our speculations may swing in all directions, without public detriment, because they will ride with sure anchorage.

In this manner these things have been always considered by our ancestors. There are some indeed who have the art of turning the very acts of parliament which were made for securing the hereditary succession in the present royal family, by rendering it penal to doubt of the validity of those acts of parliament, into an instrument for defeating all their ends and purposes ; but upon grounds so very foolish, that it is not worth while to take further notice of such sophistry.

To prevent any unnèesssary subdivision, I shall here put together what may be necessary to show the perfect agreement of the Whigs with Mr. Burke, in his asertions, that the Revolution made no "essential change in the constitstion of the monarchy, or in any of ite ancient, cound, and legal principles; that the succession was settled in the Hanover family, upon the idea, and in the mode, of an hereditary succession qualified with Proteatantism; that it was not eettled upon elective principles, in any sense of the word elective, or under any modification or description of election whatso ever; but, on the contrary, that the nation, after the Revolution, renewed by a fresh compact the epirit of the original compact of the state, binding itself, both in itr existing menbers and all its posterity, to adhere to the settlement of an hereditary succesoion in the Protestant line, drawn from James the First, as the stack of mheritance."

## 解 JOHE HAWLEA.

Netemeth of setting the sight of the crown, and cabomisuloa to the sertiement.
"If he [Dr. Sacheverel] is of the opinion ho pretends, I cannot imapine bow it comew to pawe, that he that pays that deference to the mupreme power has preached so directly contrary to the determinations of the supreme power in this government; he very well knowing that the lawfulnem of the Revolution, and of the means whereby it was brought about, has already been determined by the aforesaid acte of parlisment: and do it in the worst manner he could invent. For questioning the righe to the crown here in England has procured the ahodding of more blood, and caused more alaughler, than all the other maltera, tending to disturbances in the government, put together. If, therefore, the doctrine which the apostles had laid down, was only to continue the peace of the world, as thinking the death of some few particular persons better to be borne with than a civil wer; eure it is the highest breach of that law to question the first priaciplen of this government."
*If the Doctor had been onntented with the liberty he took of preaching up the duty of pawive obedience, in the most extensive manner he had thought fit, and would have stopped there, your Lordshipe would not have had the trouble, in relation to him, that you now have; but it is
plain that he preached up his ábsolute and unconditional obedience, not to continue the peace and tranquillity of this nation, but to set the subjects at strife, and to raise a voar in the bowels of this nation; and it is for this that he is now prosecuted; though he would fain have it believed that the prosecution was for preaching the pesceable doctrine of absolute obedience."

> GIR JOAEPH JEKYL.
"The whole tenor of the administration, then whole frume in being, was agreed by all to be a total de- of govermmens parture from the constitution. The nation was at reatored onthat time united in that opinion, all but the Rerolutlon. criminal part of it. And as the nation joined in the judgment of their disease, so they did in the remedy. They saro there wat no remedy left but the last; and whon that remedy took placs, the whole frame of the government woas restored entire and unhurt.! This showed the excellent temper the nation was in at that time, that, after such provocations from an abuse of the regal power, and such a convulsion, no one part of the constitution was altered, or suffered the least damage; but, on the contrary, the whole received new life and vigour."

The Tory counsel for Dr. Sacheverel having insinuated, that a great and essential alteration in the constitution had been wrought by the Revolution, Sir Joseph Jekyl is so strong
t "What we did was, in troth and mbstance, and in a constitutional light, a revolution, not made, but prevented. We took solid securities; we aettled donbtrul questions; we corrected anomalies in our lavr. ln the stable, fundamental parts of our constitution, we made no revolution; no, not any aiteration at all. We did not impair the monarchy. Perhaps it might be showa that we atrengthened it yery considerably. The nation kept the same ranks, the same orders, the same privileges, the same franchises, the same rule for property, the same eubordisations, the wame order in the law, in the revenue, and in the magistracy; the eame Lords, the same Commons, the same corporations, the same electorsh" Mr. Burks's ppewh in the Houns of Commons, 9 th Fobrtary, 1790 . It appears how exactly ho coincides in everything with Sir Joseph Jekyh.
on this point, that he takes fire even at the insinuation of his being of such an opinion.

## SIR JOGEYE JEEYL.

No Innovation
at the Revolu-
"If the Doctor instructed his coumsel to inkion. einuate that there was any innovation in the constitution worought by the Revolution, it is an addition to his crime. The Revolution did not introduce any innovation; it was a restoration of the ancient fundamental constitution of the kingdom, and giving it its proper force and energy."

The solicitor-general, Sir Robert Eyre, distinguishem expressly the case of the Revolution, and its principles, from a proceeding at pleasure, on the part of the people, to change their ancient constitution, and to frame a new government for themselves. He distinguishea it with the same care from the principles of regicide, and republicanism, and the sorts of resistance condemned by the doctrines of the church of England, and which ought to be condemned by the doctrines of all cburches professing Christianity.

Mr. BOLICITOR-GENERAL, BIE wOBEET ETBE.
"The resistance at the Bevolution, which was
Revolution me
grecedeat for
veluntary ean celling aldeg: ance. that the people might cancel their allegiance at pleasure, or dethrone and murder their sovercign by a judiciary sentence. For it can never be inferred from the lawfulness of resistance, at a time when a cotal subversion of the government both in chureh and state was intended, that a people may take up arms, and call their covercigne to cecount at pleaswre; and, therefore, since the Revolution could be of no sarvice in giving the loact colow for asserting any meh wicked principle, the Doctor could never intend to put it into the mouths of these new preachers and new politicians, for a defence; unlese it be his opinion, that the resirtance troviula sot the Revolution ean bear any parallel with the The the the of erecrable murster of the royal nartyr, 20 jurdy Pirst. detested by the mole mation.
"It is plain that the Doctor is not impeached for preach. ing a general doctrine, and enforcing the general duty of obedience, but for preaching against an excepted case after he has stated the exception. He is not impeached for preaching the general doctrine of obedience, and the utter illegality of resistance upon any pretence whatsoever; but becnuse, having first laid down the general doctrine as true, without any exception, he states the excepted case, the Revolution, in express terms, as an objection; and then assuming the consideration of that excepted case, denies there was any resistance in the Revolution; and asserts, that to impute resistance to the Revolution, would cast black and odious colours upon it. This is not preaching the doctrine of nonresistance, in the general terms used, by the homilies, and the fathers of the church, where cases of necessity may be understood to be excepted by a tucit implication, as the counsel have allowed; but is preaching directly against the resistance at the Rerolution, which, in the course of this debate, has been all along admitted to be necessary and just, and can have no other meaning than to bring Aacherevelis a dishonour upon the Revolution, and an odium domedrine ion io bring upon those great and illustrious persons, those an odium on friends to the monarchy and the church, that assisted in bringing it about. For had the Doctor intended anything else, he would have treated the case of the Revolution in a different manner, and have given it the true and fair ansuer; he would have said, that the resistance at the Revolution was of absolute necessity, and the only means left to revive che constitution; and must tion on absotherefore be taken as an excepted case, and could never come within the reach and intention of the general doctrine of the church.
"Your Lordshipe take notice on what grounds the Doctor continues to assert the same position in his answer. But is it not most evident, that the general exhortations to be met with in the homilies of the church of England, and such Like declarations in the statutes of the kingdom, are meant only as rules for the civil obedience of the subject to the legal administration of the supreme power in ordinary cases? And it is equally absurd, to construe any words in a positive law to authorize the deatruction of the whole, as to expect
that King, Lords, and Commons should, in express terms of law, declare such an ultimate resort as the right of resistance, at a time when the case supposes that che force of all laws is ceased. ${ }^{1}$
"The Commons must alwsys resent, with the commona ib- utmost deteatation and abhorrence, every posishakes the nobmidecion of powterity to the tettlement of tion that may ahake the authority of that act of parliament, whereby the crown is mettled apon her Majesty, and whereby the Lords spiritual and temporal and Commons do, in the name of all the people of England, most humbly and faithfully submit themselves, their heirt, and posterities, to her Majesty, which this general principle of absolute non-resistance must certainly'shake.
"For, if the reastance at the Revolution was illegal, the Revolution settled in usurpation, and this act can have no greater force and authority, than an act passed under an usurper.
"And the Commons take leave to observe, that the anthority of the parlismentary settlement is a matter of the greatest consequence to maintain, in a case where the hereditary right to the crown is contested.
${ }^{4}$ It appears by the everal instances mentioned in the act declaring the rights and liberties of the subject, and settling the succeasion of the crown, that at the time of the Revolntion there was a total muboersion of the convtitution of goternment boch in chureh and etate, which is a ease that the laws of England could never suppose, provide for, or have in siew."

Sir Joseph Jekyl, so often guoted, considered the preterwetion of the monarchy, and of the righte and prerogatives of the erown, as essential objects with all coumd Whigs; and that they were bound, not only to maintain them when injured or invaded, but to exert themselves as much for their re-establishment, if they should happen to be overthrown by popular fary, as any of their own more immediste and popular rights and privileges, if the latter should be at any time cubverted by the erown. For this reason be puta the cases of the Revolution and the Restoration exactly upon the tame footing. He plainly markn, that it was the object of all honest men, not to macrifice one part of the constitution to another; end much more, not to merrifice any of them to

[^1]visionary theories of the rights of man; but to preserve our whole inheritance in the constitution, in all its membern and all its relstions, entire, and unimpaired, from generation to generation. In this Mr. Burke exactly agrees with him.'

## sIR JOSEPE JEKYL.

"Nothing is plainer than that the people have what are the a right to the laws and the constitution. This righte of the right the nation hath asserted, and recovered out people. of the hands of those who had dispossessed them of it at several times. There are of this two famous instances in the knowledge of the present age; I mean that of the Restoration, and that of the Revolution; in both Restoration of these great events were the regal power and ton. the rights of the people recovered. And it is hard to say in which the people have the greatest interest; for the Commons are sensible that there is not one people have legal power belonging to the crown, but they have night of the an interest in it; and I doubt not but they will al- nigns of sud of ways be as careful to support the rights of the crown their own. as their own privileges."

The other Whig managers regarded (as he did) the overturning of the monarchy by a republican faction with the very same horror and detestation, with which they regarded the destruction of the privileges of the people by an arbitrary monarch.

## a 2.R. IRCEMERW,

Speaking of our constitution, states it as "a conatitation eonstitution which happily recovered itself, at reaceredian the Restoration, from the confusions and dis- then hend Roorders which the horrid and detestable proceed- volution. ings of faction and usurpation had throven it into, and which, after many convulsions and struggles, was providentially saved at the late happy Revolution; and, by the many good laws passed since that time, stands now upon a firmer foundation; together with the most comfortable prospect of secwrity to all posterity, by the settlement of the crown in the Protestant line."

I mean now to show that the Whigs, (if Sir Joseph Jekyl whe one, and if he spoke in conformity to the sense of the

Whig House of Commons and the Whig ministry who employed him,) did carefully guard against any presumption that might arise from the repeal of the non-resistance oath of Charles the Second, as if, at the Revolution, the ancient principles of our government were at all changed-or that republican doctrines were countenanced-or any sanction given to seditious proceedings upon general undefined ideas of misconduct-or for changing the form of government-or for resistance upon any other ground than the necessity wo often mentioned for the purpose of self-preservation. It will show still more clearly the equal care of the then Whigs, to prevent either the regal power from being swallowed up on pretence of popular rights, or the popular rights from being destroyed on pretence of regal prerogatives.

## SIR JOAEPH JEEYL.

"Further, I desire it may be considered, that Muchisfor of
braching ant.
these legislators
[the legislators who framed the momarimheal
principleal non-resistance oath of Charles the Second] were guarding against the consequences of those pernicious and antimonarchical principles, which had been broached a little before in thas nation; and those large declarations in favour of non-resistance were made to encounter or obviate the mischief of those principles; as appeara by the preamble to the fullest of those acts, which is the militia act, in the 13th and 14th of King Charles the Secmind. The words of that act are these: And during the lute principlet have been instilled into the minds of the people of this kingdom, which may breah forth, unles prevented, to the dirturbance of the peace and quict thereof: Be it therefore enacted, of. Here your Lordships may see the reason that inclined thowe Iegislators to express themselves in such a manner against resistance. They had seen the regal rights socallowed up under the pretence of popular ones; and it in no imputation on them that they did not then foresee a quite different case, as was that of the Revolution; where, under the pretence of regal autbority, a total subversion of the righte of the subject was advanced, and in a manner effected. And this may serve to show, that it was not the design of those legislators to condemn,resistance, in a cave of absolute necessity, for
preserving the constitution, when they were guarding against principles which had so lately destroyed it.
"As to the truth of the doctrine in this declar-
ation which was repealed, $I$ will admit it to be as true as the Doctor's counsel assert it; that is, with an exception of cases of necessity; and it was not repealed because it was false understanding it with that restriction; but it was repealed because it might be interpreted in an unconfined

Non-resist-
snce oath not
repealed bocatue (with the reatriction of neceraity) at man false, bu oprevent falso interpretations. sense, and exclusive of that restriction; and, being so understood, would reflect on the justice of the Revolution: and this the legislature had at heart, and were very jealous of; and, by this repeal of that ideclaration, gave a parliamentary or legislative admonition, against asserting this doctrine of non-resistance in an unlimited sense." - -
"Though the general doctrine of non-resist- Genoral docance; the doctrine of the church of England, ss $\frac{\text { trine of non }}{\text { reatance }}$ stated in her homilies or elsewhere delivered, by goaly and which the general duty of subjects to the higher noo vound to powers is taught, be owned to be, as unquestion- state eeprlicioably it is, a godly and wholesome doctrine; tions. though this general doctrine has been constantly inculcated by the reverend fathers of the church, dead and living, and preached by them as as preservative against the Popish doctrine of deposing princes, and as the ordinary rule of obedience; and though the same doctrine has been preached, maintained, and avowed by our most orthodor and able diyines from the time of the Reformation; and how innocent a nam Dr. Sacheverel had been, if with an honest and wellmeane teal, he had preached the name doctrine in the aame general terms in which he found it delivered by the apostles of Christ, as taught by the homilies, and the reverend fathers of our church, and, in imitation of those great examples, had only preased the general duty of obedience, and the illegality of resistance, without taking notice of any exception."

Another of the managers for the House of Commons, Sir John Holland, was not less careful in guarding against a confusion of the principles of the Revolution, with any loose,
general doctrines of a right in the individual, or even in the people, to undertake for themselves, on any prevalent, temporary opinions of convenience or improvernent, any fundamental change in the constitution, or to fabricate a new government for themselves, and thereby to disturb the public peace, and to unsettle the ancient convtitution of this kingdom.

## SIR JOHE HOLLATD.

"The Commons would not be understood, as if they were pleading for a licentious resintance; as if subjects were left to their good-will and pleasure, when they are to obey, and when to resist. No, my Lords, they know they are obliged by all

Subritaifon to the sovereign
s conatientl-sconmeiebticept in catea of necesaity. the ties of social creatures and Christians, for worath and conscience' sake, to submit to their soveraign. The Commons do not abet humoursome factious arms: they sver them to be rebellious. But yet they maintain, that that resistance at the Revolution, which was so neceseary, toas lavoful and juat from that necescity.
"These general rules of obedience may, upon a real necessity, admit a lawful exception; and snch a neceseary exception we assert the Revolution to be.

## Eingt of reatro mane bow to

"'Tis with this view of necessity only, absolute be undertiood. necestity of preserving our lawis, liberties, and religion; 'tis with thi limitation that we destre to be understood, when any of us speak of resistance in general. The recessity of the resistance at the Revolution was at that time obvious to every man."

I shall conclude these extracte with a reference to the Prince of Orange's declaration, in which he gives the nation the fulleat assurance that, in his enterprise, he was far from the intention of introducing any change whatever in the fundamental law and constitation of the state. He considered the object of his enterprise, not to be a precedent for further revolutions, but that it was the great end of hir expedition to make such revolutiong, so far as haman power and wisdom conld provide, unnecenary.

Extracts from the Prince of Orange's Declaration.
"All magistrates, who have been unjustly turned out, shall forthoith resume their former employments, as well as all the boroughs of England shall neturn again to their ancient proveriptions and charters; and more particularly, that the ansient charter of the great and famous city of London shall se again in forces. And that the writs for the members of parliament shall be addressed to the proper afficers according to law and custom." $\qquad$
"And for the doing of all other thinge, which the two Houses of Parliament shall find necessary for the peace, honoms, and aafety of the nation, so that there may be no danger of the nation's falling, at any time hereafter, wnder arbitrary government"

## Extract from the Prince of Orange's additional Declaration.

"We are confident that no persons can have streh hard thoughts of es, as to imagine that we have any other design in this undertaking, than to procure a eettlement of the re: ligion and of the libertien and properties of the subjects, upon so sure a fowndation, that there may be no danger of the tation's relapsing into the like miseries at any time hereaftar. And, as the forces that we have brought along with us are atterly disproportioned to that wicked design of conquering the nation, if we were capable of intending it; the the great numbert of the priscipal nobility and gentry, that are men of aminent quality and eatates, and porsous of known integrity and zeal, both for the
 pertons of hnown indegity and zeat both for thected to the religion and government of England, many of crown, nest them also being diftinguished by their constant whe derkivat fidelity to the eronom, who do both accompany us in this expedition, and have earnestly solicited us to it, will cover us from sll such malicious insinuations."

In the spirit, and, npon one oceasion, in the words, ${ }^{1}$ of this declaration, the statutes passed in that reign made suchprovisions for preventing these dangers, that ecarcely anything short of combination of King, Lords, and Commons, for the deatruction of the liberties of the nation, can in any
${ }^{2}$ Declaration of Right.
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probability make un liable to similar perils. In that dreado ful, and, I hope, not to be looked for case, any opinion of a right to make revolutions, grounded on this precedent, would be but a poor resource.-Dreadful indeed would be our situation.

These are the doctrines held by the Whigs of the Reoolvtion, delivered with as mach solemnity, and as suthentically at least, as any political dogmas were ever promulgated from the beginning of the world. If there be any difference between their tenets and those of Mr. Burke, it is, that the old Whigs oppose themselves otill more strongly than he does against the doctrines which are now propagated with so much industry by those who would be thought their successors.

It will be said perhaps, that the old Whigs, in order to guard themselves against popular odium, pretended to assert tenets contrary to those which they secretly held. This, if true, would prove, what Mr. Burke has unformily asoerted, that the extravagant doctrines which he meant to expose, were disagreeable to the body of the people; who, though they perfectly sbhor a deapotic government, certainly approached more nearly to the love of mitigated monarchy, than to anything which beare the appearance even of the best republic. But if these old Whigs deceived the people, their conduct was unsccountable indeed. They expowd their power, as every one conversant in history known, to the greatest peril, for the propagation of opinions which, on this hypothesis, they did not hold. It is a new kind of martyrdom. This expposition does as little credit to their integrity as their risiom: it makes them at once hypocrites and fools. I think of those great men very differently. I hold them to have been, what the world thought them, men of deep understanding, open sincerity, and clear honour. However, be that matter as it may, what these old Whigw pretended to be, Mr. Barke is. This is enough for him.

I do indeed admit, that, though Mr. Barke has proved that his opinions were those of the old Whig party, solemnly declared by one Honse, in effect and substance by both Honses of Parlisment, this testimony otanding by itself will form no proper defence for his opinions, if he and the old Whiga were both of them in the wrong. Bat it is his pre-
sent concern, not to vindicate these old Whigs, but to show his agreement with them.-He appeals to them as judges: he does not rindicate them as culprits. It is current that these old politiciams knew little of the rights of men; that they loot their way by groping about in the dark, and fumbling among rotten parchments and musty records. Great lights they say are lately obtained in the world ; and Mr. Burke, instead of shrouding himself in exploded ignorance, ought to have taken advantage of the blaze of illumination which has been spread about him. It may be so. The enthusissts of this time, it seems, like their predecessors in another faction of fanaticism, deal in lighte.-Hudibras pleasantly says of them, they

## "Have lights, where better eyes are blind, As pigs are said to see the wind":

The author of the Reflections has heard a great deal concerning the modern lights; but be hes not yet had the good fortune to ses much of them. He bas read more than he can justify to anything but the spirit of curiosity, of the works of these illuminators of the world. He has learned nothing from the far greater number of them, than a full certainty of their shallowness, levity, pride, petulance, presumption, and ignorance. Where the old authors whom he has read, and the old men whom he has conversed with, have left him in the dark, he is in the dark still. If others, however, have obtained any of this extraordinary light, they will use it to guide them in their researches and their conduct. I have only to wish, that the nation may be as happy and as prosperous under the influence of the new light, as it has been in the sober shade of the old obscurity. As to the rest, it will be difficult for the author of the Beflections to conform to the principles of the avowed leaders of the party, until they appear otherwise than negatively. All we ean gather from them is this, that their principles are diametrically opposite to his. This is all that we know from suthority. Their negative declaration obliges me to have recourse to the books which contain positive doctrines. They are indeed, to those Mr. Burke holds, diametrically opposite; and if it be true, (as the oraclee of the party have said, I hope hastily, that
their opinions differ so widely, it should meem they are the most likely to form the creed of the modern Whige.

I have stated what were the avowed mentiments of the old Whigs, not in the way of argument, but narratively. It in but fair to set before the reader, in the same simple manner, the sentiments of the modern, to which they eppare meither pains nor expense to make promelytes. I choose them from the books apon which most of that industry and expenditure in circulation have been employed; I choose them not from those who speak with a politic obscurity; not from those who only controvert the opinione of the old Whiga, without advancing any of their own, but from those who speak plainly and affirmatively. The Whig reader may make his choiee between the two doctrines.
The doctrine then propagated by these societien, which gentlemen think they ought to be very tender in discouraging, sen nearly as pomible in their own words, is as follown:. That in Great Britain we are not only without a good consstitution, but that we have "no constitution." That, "though it it much talked about, no such thing ans constitution erista, $\sigma$ erer did exist; and consequently that the people have a conotinution yet to form ; that sinee William the Conqueror, the country has never yet regenerated itself, and in therefore without a constitution. That where it cannot be .produced in a risible form there is none. That a constitution is a thing antevedent to government; and that the constitution of a eountry is not the wet of its government, but of a peoplo constituting a government. That averything in the English government is the reverse of what it ought to be, and what it is anid to be in England. That the right of war and pence resides in a metaphor abown at the Tower, lon sirpence or a sbilling a-piece.-That it signitice not where the right reades, whether in the crown or in parliament. War ia the comanon harrest of thowe who participate in the divinion and expenditare of poblic money. That the portion of liberty enjoyed in England in just enough to enalave a country more productively thas by dempotivm."

So far as to the general state of the British conatitution. -As to our House of Lords, the chief virtual representa tive of our mristocracy, the great ground and pillar of an-
carity to the landed interest, and that main link by which it is connected with the law and the crown, these worthy societies are pleased to tell us, that, "whether we view aris. tocracy before, or behind, or sideways, or any way else, domeatically or publicly, it is atill a monster. That aristocracy in France had one feature less in its countenance than what it has in some other countries ; it did not compose a body of hereditary legislators. It was not a corporation of aristo cracy;"-for such it seems that profound legislator M. de la Fayette describes the House of Peers. "That it is kept up by family tyranny and injustice-that there is an unnatural unfitness in aristocracy to be legislators for a nation-that their idess of distributive justice are corrupted at the very source; they begin life by trampling on all their younger brothers and sisters, and relations of every kind, and are taught and educated so to do. That the iden of an hereditary legislator is as absurd an an hereditary mathematicinn. That a body holding themselves unaccountable to anybody ought to be trusted by nobody-that it is continuing the uncivilized principles of governments founded in conquest, and the base idea of man having a property in man, and governing him by a personal right-that aristocracy has a tendency to degenerate the human species," se. \&c.

As to our lew of primogeniture, which with few and inconsiderable exceptions is the standing law of ell our landed inheritance, and which without question has a tendency, and I think a most happy tendency, to preserve a character of consequence, weight, and prevalent influence over others in the whole body of the landed intereet, they call londly for its destruction. They do this for political reasons that are very manifest. They have the confidence to eay, "that it is a law against every law of nature, and nature herself calls for its destruction. Establish family justice, and aristocracy falls. By the aristocratical law of primogenitureship, in a family of 'sir children, flve are exposed, Aristocracy has never but one child. The reat are begotten to be devoured. They are thrown to the cannibal for prey, and the natural parent prepares the unnatural repast."

As to the House of Commons, they treat it far worse than the Houde of Lorde or the crown have been ever treated. Perhaps they thought they had a greater right to take this
amicable freedom with those of their own family. For many years it has been the perpetual theme of their invectivei, "Mockery, insult, usurpation," are amongst the beat names they bestow upon it. They damn it in the masa, by declaring " that it does not arise out of the inherent rights of the people, as the National Assembly doee in France, and whose name designates its original."

Of the charters and corporations, to whose rights, a few years ago, these gentlemen were so tremblingly alive, they asy, "that when the people of England come to reflect upon them, they will, like France, annihilate those badgee of oppression, those traces of a conquered nation."

As to our monarchy, they had formerly been more tender of that branch of the constitution, and for a good reason. The laws had guarded against all meditious attacks upon it, with a greater degree of strictness and severity. The tone of these gentlemen is totally altered since the French Revolution. They now declaim as vehemently against the monarchy, as on former occasions they treacherounly flattered and soothed it.
"When we eurvey the wretched condition of man under the monarchical and hereditary systems of government, dragged from his home by one power, or driven by another, and impoverisbed by taxes more than by enemies, it becomes evident that those systems are bed, and that a general revolntion in the principle and construction of government is necessary.
"What is government more than the management of the affairs of a nation? It is not, and from its nature canoot be, the property of any particular mar or family, but of the whole community, at whose expense it is aupported; and though by force or contrivance it has been usurped into en inheritance, the usurpation cannot alter the right of thinge. Sovereignty, as amatter of right, appertains to the nation only, snd not to any individual; and a nation has at all times an inherent indefeasiblo right to abolish any form of government it finds inconvenient, and entablish ruch sa secords with its interest, disposition, and happinese. The romantie and barbarous distinction of men into kinge and subjects, though it may suit the condition of conrtiers, cannot that of citivens; and is exploded by the principle apon
which governments are now founded. Every citizen is a member of the sovereignty, and, as such, can acknowledge no personal aubjection; and his obedience can be only to the laws."

Warmly recommending to us the example of France, Where they have destroyed monarchy, they say-
"Monarchical sovereignty, the enemy of mankind, and the source of misery, is abolished; and sovereignty itself is reatored to its natural and original place, the nation. Were this the case throughout Europe, the cause of wars would be taken away."
"But, after all, what is this metaphor called a crown, or rather what is monarchy? Is it a thing, or is it a name, or is it a fraud ? Is it 'a contrivance of human wisdom,' or of human craft, to obtain money from a nation under specious pretences? Is it a thing necessary to a nation? If it is, in what does that necessity consist, what eervices does it perform, what is its business, and what are its merits? Doth the virtue consist in the metaphor, or in the man ? Doth the goldsmith that makes the crown make virtue also? Doth it operate like Fortunatus's wishing cap, or Harlequin's wooden eword ? Doth it make a man a conjurer ? In fine, what is it ? It eppeara to be a something going much out of fashion, falling into ridicule, and rejected in some countries both as unnecessary and expensive. In Americs it is considered as an absurdity; and in France it has so far declined, that the goodness of the man, and the respect for his personal character, are the ouly thinge that preserve the appearance of its existence."
"Mr. Burke talks about what he calls an hereditary crown, as if it were some production of Nature; or as if, like Time, it had a power to operate, not only independently, but in epite of man; or as if it were a thing or a subject univeraslly consented to. Alss! it has none of those properties, but is the reverse of them all. It ia a thing in imagination, the
propriety of which is more than doubted, and the legality of which in a few years will be denied."
"If I ask the farmer, the manufacturer, the merchant, the tradeaman, and down through all the occupations of life to the common labourer, what service monarchy is to him? he can give me no answer. If I ask him what monarchy is, he believes it is something like a sinecure."
"The French constitution eny, That the right of war and peace is in the nation. Where else should it reside, but in those who are to pay the expense?
"In England, this right is said to reside in a melaphor, thown at the Tower for sixpence or t obilling a piece: so are the lions; and it would be a step nearer to reason to say it resided in them, for any insmimate metaphor is no more than s hat or a cap. We can all see the absurdity of worshipping Aaron's molten ealf, or Nebuchadnezzar's golden image; but why do men continue to practise themselves the absurdities they despise in others?'

The Revolution and Henover succeasion had been objects of the highest veneration to the old Whigs. They thoughs them not only proofa of the saber and oteady mpirit of hiberty Which guided their sacestorn, but of their wisdom and provident care of posterity--The modern Whigs have quite other notions of theae eventa and actions. They do not deny that Mr. Burke hat given truly the words of the sete of parlimment which mecured the suecession, and the just wence of them. They attack not him, but the law.
"Mr. Borke (bay they) bas done some service, not to his cause, but to his country, by bringing those clacees into public view. They errve to demontrate how neceseary it is at all times to watch against the attempted eneroachment of power, and to prevent its ruming to excese. It is comewhat extraordinary, that the offence for which James II. Way expelled, that of setting nP power by acrmuption, should be reacted, uoder anothor chape and form, by the perlisment that


#### Abstract

 expelled him. It shows that the rights o men wereder perfectly understood at the Revolution; forsertah(it (i) dothe the right which that parlisment set up by assarnition (for by delegation it had it not, and could not have it, because ront could give it) over the persons and freedom of posterity for ever, was of the aame tyrannical unfounded kind which James attempted to set up over the parliament and the nation, and for which he wee expelled. The only difference is, (for in principle they differ not, ) that the one was an nsurper over the living, and the other over the unborn; and as the one has no better authority to stand upon than the other, both of them must be equally null and void, and of no effect."


"As the estimation of all things is by comparison, the Revolution of 1688, however from circumstances it may have been exalted beyond its value, will find its level. It is already on the wane; eclipsed by the enlarging orb of reason, and the luminous Revolutions of America and France. In less than another century it will go, as well as Mr. Burke's laboura, 'to the family vault of all the Capulets.' Mankind will then scarcely believe that a country, calling itself free, would send to Holland for a man and clothe him with power, on purpose to puc themselves in fear of him, and give him almost a million sterling a yoar for leave to submit themselves and cheir posterity, like bond-men and bond-women for ever."
${ }^{4}$ Mr. Burke having aaid that the king holds his crown in contempt of the choice of the Revolution Society, who individually or collectively have not" (as moat certainly they have not) "a vote for a king amongst them," they take occasion from thence to infer that $s$ king who does not hold his crown by election, despises the peopla.
"The king of England," says he, " halds his erown (for it doee not belong to the nation according to Mr. Burke) in contempt of the choice of the Revolution Society," \&e.
"As to who is king in England or elsewhere, or whether there in any king at all, or whether the people choose a

Cherokee chief, or a Hessian hussar for a king, it is not a matter that I trouble myself about-be that to themvelves ; but with respect to the doctrine, so far as it relatem to the righta of men and nations, it is as abominable as anything ever uttered in the most enslaved conntry under heaven. Whether it counds worse to my ear, by not being accustomed to hear such despotism, than what it does to the ear: of another person, 1 sm not so well a judge of; but of its abominable principle I am at no loses to judge."
These societies of modern Whigs puah their insolence as far as it can go. In order to prepare the minde of the people for treason and rebellion, they represent the king as tainted with principles of despotism from the circumstanco of his having dominions in Germany. In direct defiance of the most notorions truth, they describe his goverament there to be 4 despotism; whereas it is a free constitution, in which the states of the electorate have their part in the government; and this privilege han never been infringed by the king, or, that I have heard of, by any of his predeceseors. The constitution of the electoral dominions has indeed a double control, both from the laws of the empire, and from the privileges of the country. Whatever righte the king enjoye an elector, have been always parentally exercised, and the calumnies of these scandaloun societies have not been anthorized by a single eomplaint of oppression.
"When Mr. Burke nays that 'his Majesty's heire send successors, each in their time and order, will come to the cown with the same conlempe of their choice with which his Majesty has succeeded to that he wears,' it is saying too much even to the hamblest individonl in the country; part of whose daily labour goes tow wrda making ap the million sterling a year, which the country gives the persin it atyles a king. Government with insolence, is despotism; but when contempt is added, it becomes worse; and to pay for conterptst, is the excese of alavery. This apecies of government comes from Germany ; and reminde me of what one of the BraneFick soldiers told me, who west taken prisoner by the Ame ricans in the late war: ' Ah !' maid he, 'America in a fine free coontry, it is worth the people's fighting for; I know the difference by hnowing my own: in my country, if the prince alys, Eat straw, we cat straso.' God help that country,
thought I, be it England, or elsewhere, whose liberties are to be protected by German principles of government and princes of Brwnswich !"
"It is somewhat curious to observe, that although the people of Fingland have been in the habit of talking about kinge, it is always 4 foreign house of lings; hating foreigners, yet governed by them.-It is now the House of Bronswick, one of the petty tribes of Germany."

* If government be what Mr. Burke describes it, 'a contrivance of human wiadom,' I might ask him, if wisdom was at such a low ebb in England, that it was become necesary to import it from Holland and from Hanover? But I will do the country the justice to say, that. was not the case; and even if it was, it mistook the cargo. The wisdom of every country, when properly exerted, is sufficient for all its purposes; and there oould exist no more real pccasion in England to have sent for a Dutch Stadtholder, or a German Elector, than there was in America to have done as aimilar thing. If a country does not understand its own affaira, how in a foreigner to underatand them, who knows neither ita laws, its manners, nor its language? If there existed a man so transcendently wise above all others, that his wisdom was necessary to instruct a nation, some reason might be offered for monarchy; but when we cast our eyes about a country, and observe how every part understands its own affairs; and when we look arond the world, and aee that, of all men in it, the race of kings are the most insignificant in capacity, our reason cannot fail, to ask us-What are thoee men kept for ?" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

These are the notions which, under the idea of Whig principles, several persons, and among them persons of no mean mark, have associated themselves to propagate. I will not attempt in the emsilest degree to refute them. This will

- Vindication of the rights of Man, recommended by the several eocietiet.
probably be done (if such writings shall be thought to deserve any other than the refutation of criminal justice) by others, who may think with Mr. Burke. He has performed his part.
I do not wish to enter very much at large into the diseassions which diverge and ramify in all waya from this productive subject. But there is one topic upon which I hope I shall be excused in going a little beyond ny design. The factions, now wo busy amongst ns, in order to divest men of all love for their country, and to remove from their minde all -duty with regard to the state, endeavour to propagate an opinion, that the people, in forming their commonwealth, have by no means parted with their power over it. This is san impregnsble citadel, to which these gentlemen retreat whenever they are pushed by the battery of laws and unages, and positive conventions. Indeed it is such and of so great force, that all they have done, in defending their outworka, is so much time and labour thrown away. Discuss any of their schemes-their answer is-It is the act of the people, and that is sufficient. Are we to deny to a majority of the people the right of altering even the whole frame of their society, if anch should be their pleasure? They may change it, say they, from a monarchy to a republic to-day, and tomorrow back again from a republie to a monarchy ; and mo backward and forward as often an they like. They are mavters of the commonwenth; because in mabstance they sre themselves the commonwealth. The French Revolution, may they, was the act of the majority of the people; sand if the majority of any other people, the people of Kngland for inatance, wish to make the mame change, they bave the mame right.

Just the same undoubtedly. That in, none at all. Neither the few nor the many have a right to met merely by their will, in any matter connected with duty, trast, engagement, or obligation. The constitution of a coumtry being once mettled upon some compact, tacit or expressed, there is no power existing of force to alter it, without the breach of the corvenant, or the consent of all the parties. Such is the natare of a contract. And the rotea of a majority of the peon ple, whatever their infamoras flatterern may tench in order to corrupt their mindes, camot alter the moral any more than
they can alter the physical essence of things. The people are not to be taught to think lightly of their engagements to their governors; else they teach governors to think lightly of their engagements towards them. In that hind of game in the end the people are sure to be losers. To flatter them into a contempt of faith, truth, and justice, is to ruin them; for in these virtues consist their whole safety. To flatter any man, or any part of mankind, in any description, by asserting, that in engagements he or they are free; whilst any other human creature in bound, is ultimately to veat the rule of morality in the pleasure of those who ought to be rigidly submitted to it ; to subject the sovereign resson of the world to the caprices of weak and giddy men.

But, as no one of us men can dispense with public or priwate faith, or with any other tie of moral obligation, so neither can any number of us. The number engaged in crimes, instead of turning them into laudable acts, only augments the quantity and intensity of the guilt. I am well aware, that men love to hear of their power, but have an extreme disrelish to be told of their duty. This is of course; because every duty is a limitation of, some power. Indeed arbitrary power is so much to the depraved taste of the vulgar, of the vulgar of every description, that almost all the dissensions, which lacerate the commonwealth, are not concerning the manner in which it is to be exercised, but concerning the hands in which it is to be placed. Somewhere they are resolved to have it. Whether they deaire it to be vested in the many or the few, depends with most men upon the chance which they imagine they themselves may have of partaking in the exercise of that arbitrary sway, in the one mode or in the other.

It is not necessary to teach men to thirst after power. But it is very expedient that by moral instruction they should be taught, and by their civil constitutions they should be compelled, to put many reetrictions upon the immoderate exercise of it, and the inordinate desire. The best method of obtaining these two great points forms the important, but at the same time the difficult, problem to the true stategman. He thinks of the place in which political power is to bs lodged, with no other attention, than as it may render the more or the less practicable ite salutary restraint, and itp
prudent direction. For this reason no legislator, at any period of the world, has willingly placed the seat of activi power in the hands of the multitude; because there it ad mits of no control, no regulstion, no steady direction what soever. The people are the natural control on anthority but to exercise and to control together is contradictory anc imposegible.

As the exorbitant exercise of power cannot, under populas sway, be effectually reatrained, the other great object of political arrangement, the means of abating an excessive deaire of it, is in such a state still worse provided for. The democratic commonwealth is the foodful nurse of ambition. Under the other forms it meets with many restrainte. Whenever, in states which bave had a democratic basia, the leginlators have endeavoured to put reatraints upon ambition, their methods were sa violent, as in the end they were ineffectual : as violent indeed as any the mout jealous despotism could invent. The ostracism could not very long nave itself, and much less the state which it was meant to guard, from the attempte of ambition, one of the natural, imbred, incurable distempers of a powerful democracy.

But to return from this short digression, whith however in not wholly foreign to the question of the effect of the will of the majority upon the form or the existence of their iociety. I cannot too often recommend it to the serions consideration of all men, who think civil society to be within the province of moral jurisdiction, that if we owe to it any duty, it is not subject to our will. Dutiew are not voluntary. Duty and vill are even contradictory terme. Now though civil society might be at first a voluntary act, (which in many eaces it undoabtedly was,) its contimiance is mider a permanent, standing covenant, co-existing with the society; and it attaches upon every individual of that society, without any formal act of his own. This warranted by the general practice, arising out of the general mense of mankind. Men without their choice derive benefite from that asmociation; without their choice they are subjected to duties in conseguence of these benefits; and without their choice they enter minto virtual obligation as binding as any that in actual Look through the whole of life and the whole antem of duties. Much the strongent tooral obligationa are arch an
were newer the results of our option. I allow, that if no supreme ruler exists, wise to form, and potent to enforce, the moral law, there is no sanction to any contract, virtual or even actual, sgainst the will of prevalent power. On that hypothesis, let any set of men be strong enough to set their duties at defiance, and they cease to be duties any longer. We have but this one appeal against irresistible power-

Si gones humanum at mortalia temnilis arma,
At eperate Deos memores fandi atepue nefondi.
Talking it for granted that I do not write to the diseiplen of the Parisian philosophy, I may assume, that the awful Author of our being is the Author of our place in the order of existence; and that having disposed and marahalled us by a divine tactic, not according to our will, but according to his, he has, in and by that disposition, virtually subjected $u s$ to act the part which belongs to the place assigned us. We have obligations to mankind at large, which are not in consequence of any apecial voluntary pact. They arise fromthe relation of man to man, and the relation of man to God, which relations are not matters of choice. On the contrary, the force of all the pacte which we enter into with any particular person, or number of persons amongat mankind, depends upon those prior obligations. In some cases the subordinate relations are voluntary, in others they are necessary-but the duties are all compulsive. When we marry, the choice is voluntary, but the duties are not matter of choice. They are dictated by the nature of the situation. Dark and inscrutable are the ways by which we come into the world. The instincte which give rise to this mysterious process of nature are not of our making. But out of physical causes, unknown to us, perhaps unknowable, arise moral duties, which, as we are able perfectly to comprehend, we are bound indispensably to perform. Parents may not be conseuting to their moral relstion; but consenting or not, they are bound to a long train of burthensome duties towards those with whom they have never made a convention of any sort. Children are not consenting to their relation, but their relation, without their actual consent, binds them to its duties; or rather it implies their consent,
becanse the presumed consent of every rationsl ereatura is in unison with the predisposed order of things. Men eome in that manner into a community with the social state of their parente, endowed with all the benefits, loaded with all the duties, of their situation. If the mocial ties and ligamenta, spun out of thooe physical relations which are the elemente of the commonwealth, in most cases begin, and alway continue, independently of our will; so, without any stipulation on our own part, are we bound by that relation called our country, which comprehends (as it has been well aid) "all the charities of all."! Nor are we lett without powerful instincts to make this daty as desp and grateful to us, as it is awful and coercive. Our country is not s thing of mere physical locality. It consiste, in great measure, in the ancient order into which we are born. We may have the mame geographical ituation, but another coumtry; as we may have the same country in enother moil. The place that determines our duts to our country is aseial, civil relation.

These are the opinions of the axthor whowe canse I defend. I lay them down not to enforce them upon others by disputation, bpt at an account of his proceedinge. On them he acto; and from them he is convinced that neither he, nor any man, or number of men, have a right (except Fhat necessity, which is out of and above all rule, rather inbposee than beatows) to free themalvea from that primary engagement into which every man born into as eommunity to mach eontracte by his being born into it, an he contracte min obligation to certain parente by his hoving been derived from their bodies. The place of every man determines hin dutys. If yon ask, Quem te Dewese juatit You will be mowered when you resolve this other question, Hwane ques parte locouns es in rept


- A fev lines in Pexins contain a good anmisary of "ely the object of moral inventigation, and hinf the revoll of our inquiry; there hamet will bes no place.

Quid olavas? et quidasma oictweri gignimert ordo
Quis detuep ot mete quir mollio fierus et unda?
Quin moth argento it Quid fas emeref Quid mpot
Utile mammus habet? Patrie charitym poropingais
Quantum elargiri debet - Cuem ie Dems etm


I admit, indeed, that in morals, as in all things else, difficulties will sometimes occur. Duties will sometimes cross one another. Then questions will arise, which of them is to be placed in subordination; which of them may be entirely superseded? These doubts give rise to that part of moral science called casuistry; which, though necessary to be well etudied by those who would become expert in that learning, who aim at becoming what, I think, Cicero somewhere calls, artifices officiorum; it requires a very solid and discriminating judgment, great modesty and caution, and much sobriety of mind in the handling; else there is a danger that it may totally subvert those offices which it is its object only to methodize and reconcile. Duties, at their extreme bounds, are drawn very fine, so as to become almost evanescent. In that state some thade of doubt will always rest on these questions, when they are pursued with great subtilty. But the very habit of stating these extreme cases is not very laudable or safe : because, in general, it is not right to turn our duties into doubts. They are imposed to govern our conduct, not to exercise our ingenuity; and therefore, our opinions about them ought not to be in a state of fluctuation, but ateady, bure, and resolved.

Amongst these nice and therefore dangerous points of easuistry, may be reckoned the question so much agitated in the present hour-Whether, after the people have discharged themselves of their original power by an habitual delegation, no occasion can possibly oceur which may justify the resumption of it? This question, in this latitude, is very hard to affirm or deny; but I am satisfied that no occasion can justify such a resumption, which would not equally authorize a dispensation with any other moral duty, perhaps with all of them together. However, if in general it be not easy to determine concerning the lawfulness of such devious proceedinge, which must be ever on the edge of crimes, it is far from difficult to foresee the perilous consequences of the resuscitation of such a power in the people. The practical consequences of any politieal ctenet go a great way in deciding upon its value. Political problems do not primarily concern truth or falsehood. They relate to good or evil. What in the reault is likely to produce evil, is politically false: that which is productive of good, politically true.

FOL. III.

Believing it therefore a question at least arduous in the theory, and in the practice very critical, it would become ut to ascertain, sa well as we can, what form it is that our incantations are about to call up from darkness and the leep of ages. When the supreme suthority of the people is in question, before we attempt to extend or to confine it, wo ought to fix in our minds, with some degree of distinctnews, an ides of what it is we mean, when we say the PEOPLE
In a state of rude nature there is no such thing an a people. A number of men in themselves have no collective eapacity. The idea of a people is the idea of a corporation. It is wholly artificial ; and made, like all other legal fictions, by common agreement. What the particular nature of that agreement was, is collected from the form into which the particular society has been cast. Any other is not their covenant. When men, therefore, break up the original compact or agreement which gives its corporate form and capacity to a state, they are no longer a people; they bave no longer a corporate existence; they have no longer a legal, cosctive force to bind within, nor a claim to be recognised abroad. They are a number of vague, loose individualo, and nothing more. With theas all is to begin again. Alsa! they little know how many a weary step is to be taken before they can form themselves into a mass, which has a true, politic personality.

We hear mach from men, who have not acquired their hardness of assertion from the profundity of their thinking, about the omnipotence of a majority, in such a dissolution of an ancient society as hath taken place in France. But amongst men so disbanded, there can be no much thing as majority or minority; or power in any one person to bind enother. The power of acting by a majority, which the geatlemen theorista seem to assume so readily, after they have violated the contract ont of which it has arisen, (if as all it existed, ) must be grounded on two assumptions; first, that of an incorporation produced by unanimity; and mecondly, an unanimons agreement, that the act of a mere majority (say of one) shall pase with them and with others as the act of the whole.

We are so little affected by thingi which are habitual, that we consider this iden of the deciaion of a majority as if it
were a law of our original nature: but such constructive whole, resiling in a part only, is one of the most violent fictions of positive law, that ever has been or can be made on the principles of artificial incorporation.' Out of civil society nature knows nothing of it; nor are men, even when arranged according to civil order, otherwise than by very long training, brought at all to submit to it. The mind is brought far. more easily to acquiesce in the proceedingy of one man, or a few, who act ander a general procuration for the state, than in the vote of a victorious majority in councils, in which every man has his share in the deliberation. For there the beaten party are exasperated and soured by the previous contention, and mortified by the conclusive defeat.: This mode of decision, where wills may be so nearly equal, where, according to circumstances, the smaller number may be the stronger force, and where apparent reason may be all upon one side, and on the other little else than impetuous appetite; all this must be the result of a very particular and special convention, confirmed afterwards by long habits of obedience, by a sort of discipline in society, and by a strong hand, vested with stationary, permanent power, to enforce this sort of constructive general will. What organ it is that shall declare the eorporate mind is so much a matter of positive arrangement, that several states, for the validity of several of their acts, have required a proportion of voices much greater thas that of a mere mojority. These proportions are so entirely governed by convention, that in some cases the minority decides. The laws in many countries to condemn require more than a mere majority; less than an equal number to acquit. In our judicial trials we require unanimity either to condemn or to absolve. In some incorporations one man speaks for the whole; in othera, a few. Until the other day, in the constitution of Poland, unanimity was required to give validity to any act of their great national council or diet. This approaches much more nearly to rude nature than the institutions of any other country. Such, indeed, every commonwealth must be, without a positive law to recognise in a certain number the will of the entire body.

- If men dissolve their ancient incorporation, in order to regenerate their community, in that state of things each man has a right, if he pleases, to remain an individual. Any
number of individuals, who can agree upon it, have an undoubted right to form themeelves into a atate apart, and wholly independent, If any of these is forced into the fellowship of another, this is conquent, and not compact. On every principle, which supposee society to be in virtue of a free covenant, this compulaive incorporation must be null and void.

As a people can have no right to a corporate capacity without universal consent, so neither have they a right to hold exclusively any lande in the name and title of a corporation. On the scheme of the present rulers in our neighbouring country, regenersted as they are, they have no more right to the territory called France than I have. I have a right to pitch my tent in any unoccupied place I can find for it; and I mey apply to my own maintenance sny part of their unoccupied soil. I may purchase the house or vineyard of any individual proprietor who refusem bis consent (and most proprietore have, as far as they dared, refused it) to the now incorporation. I stand in his independent place. Who are these insolent men calling themselves the French nation, that would monopolize this fair domain of nature? Is it because they speak a certain jargon? In it their mode of chattering, to me unintelligible, that forms their-title to $m y$ land? Who are they who claim by prescription and descent from certain gange of banditti called Franks, and Burgondians, and Viaigoths, of whom 1 may have never heard, and ninety-nine out of an hundred of themselves certainly never have heard; whilst at the very time they tell me, that prescription and long posesasion form no title to property? Who are they that presume to aseert that the land which I porchased of the individual, a natural person, and not a fiction of state, belonge to them, who is the very capacity in which they make their claim can exist only an an imaginary being, and in virtue of the very preteription which they rejeet and disown? This mode of arguing might be pushed ints all the deteil, mo as to leave no sort of doubt, that on their principlea, and on the aort of footing on which they have thought proper to place themselven, the erowd of men, on the other side of the chnanel, who have the impudence to call themselves a people, can never be the lawful, exclusive posessors of the soil. By what they call reason-
ing without prejudice, they leave not one stone upon another in the fabric of human society. They subvert all the authority which they hold, as well as all that which they have destroyed.

As in the abstract, it is perfectly clear, that, out of a state of civil society, majority and minority are relations which can have no existence; and that, in civil society, its own specific conventions in each corporation determine what it is that constitutes the people, so as to make their act the signification of the general will: to come to particulara, it is equally cloar, that neither in France nor in England has the original or any subsequent compact of the state, expressed or implied, constituted a majority of men, told by the head, to be the acting people of their several communities. And I see as little of policy or utility, as there is of right, in laying down a principle that a majority of men told by the head are to be considered as the people, and that as such their will is to be law. What policy can there be found in arrangements made in defiance of every political principle? To enable men to act with the weight and character of a people, and to answer the ends for which they are incorn porated into that capacity, we must suppose them (by means immediate or consequential) to be in that state of habitual social discipline, in which' the wisen, the more expert, and the more opulent conduct, and by conducting enlighten and probect, the weaker, the leas knowing, and the less provided with the goods of fortune. When the multitude are not under this discipline, they can scarcely be said to be in civil society. Give once a certain constitution of thinge, which produces a variety of conditions and circumstances in a state, and there is in nature and reason a principle which, for their own benefit, postpones, not the interent, but the judgment, of those who are numero plures, to those who are virtute st honore majores." Numbers in a state (supposing, which is not the case in France, that a state does exist) are always of consideration-but they are not the whole consideration. It is in thinge more serious than a play, that it may be truly said, satis est equitom miki ploudere.

A true natural aristocracy is not a separate interest in the state, or separable from it. It is an essential integrant part of any large body rightly constituted. It is formed out of a
class of legitimate presumptions, which, taken as generalities, must be admitted for actual trutha. To be bred in a place of estimation; to see nothing low and sordid from one's in fancy; to be taught to respect one's self; to be habituated to the censorial inspection of the public eye; to look early to public opinion; to stand upon such elevated ground ass to be enabled to take a large view of the wide-spread and infe nitely diversified combinations of men and affaire in a large society; to have leisure to read, to reflect, to converse; to be enabled to draw the conrt and attention of the wise and learned wherever they are to be found;-to be habituated in armies to command and to obey; to be taught to despise danger in the pursuit of honour and duty; to be formed to the greatest degree of vigilance, foresight, and circumspection, in a state of things in which no fault is committed with impunity, and the alightest wistaked draw on the most rainous consequence-to be led to a guarded and regulated conduct, from a sense that you are considered as an instructor of your fellow-itizens in their highest concerns, and that you act as a reconciler between God and man-to be employed as an adminiatrator of law and justice, and to be thereby amongst the first benefactors to mankind-to be $=$ professor of high acience, or of liberal and ingenuous art-to be amongst rich traders, who from their succes are presumed to have sharp and vigorous understandings, and to posseses the virtues of diligence, order, constancy, and regularity, and to have cultivated an habitual regard to commutative justiee-these are the circumstances of men, that form what I should call an netural aristocracy, without which there is no artion.

The state of civil society, which necessarily genersten this aristocracy, is a state of nature; and much more truly so than a savage and incoherent mode of life. For man is by nature reasonable; and he is never perfectly in his natural ttate, but when he is placed where reason may be beat cultivated, and most predominates. Art is man'm nature. We are as much, at least, in a state of nature in formed manhood, es in immature and helpleas infancy. Men, qualified in the manner I have just described, form in nature, at ahe operate in the common modification of society, the leading, guiding, and governing part. It is the soul to the body, without
which the man does not exist. To give therefore no more importance, in the social order, to such descriptions of men, than that of so many units, is a horrible usurpation.
When great multitudes act together, under that discipline of nature, I recognise the PEOPLE. I acknowledge something that perhaps equals, and ought always to guide, the sovereignty of convention. In all things the voice of this grand chorus of national harmony ought to have a mighty and decisive influence. But when you disturb this harmony; when you break up this beautiful order, this array of truth and nature, as well as of habit and prejudice; when you separate the common sort of men from their proper chieftaing, so as to form them into an adverse army, I no longer know that venerable object called the People in such a disbanded race of deserters and vagabonds. For a while they may be terrible indeed; but in auch a manner as wild beasts are terrible. The mind owes to them no sort of submission. They are, as they have always been reputed, rebels. They may lawfully be fought with, and brought under, whenever an advantage offers. Those who attempt by outrage and violence to deprive men of any advantage which they hold under the laws, and to destroy the natural order of life, proclaim war against them.

We have read in history of that furious insurrection of the common people in France called the Jacquerie; for this is not the first time that the people have been enlightened into treason, murder, and rapine. Its object was to extirpate the gentry. The Captal de Buehe, a famous soldier of those days, dishonoured the namie of a gentleman and of a man by taking, for their cruelties, a cruel vengeance on these deluded wretches: it was, however, his right and his duty to make war upon them, and afterwards, in moderation, to bring them to punishment for their rebellion; though in the sense of the French Revolution, and of some of our clubs, they were the people; and were truly so, if you will call by that appellation any majority of men told by the head.

At a time not very remote from the same period (for these humours never have affected one of the nations without some influence on the other) happened several risings of the lower commons in England. These insurgents were certainly the majority of the imbabitants of the counties in which they pe-
sided; and Cade, Ket, and Straw, at the bead of their national guards, and fomented by certain traitort of high rank, did no more than exert, according to the doctrines of our and the Parisian eocieties, the novereign power inherent in the majority.

We call the time of those eventa a dark age. Indeed we are too indulgent to our own proficiency. The Abbe John Ball nuderstood the rights of man an well as the Abbe Gregoire. That reverend patriarch of sedition, and prototype of our modern preachers, was of opinion with the National Assembly, that all the evils which have fallen upon men had been cansed by an ignorance of their "having been born and continued equal as to their righta." Had the populace been sble to repeat that profonnd maxim all would have gone perfectly well with them. No tyranny, no vezation, no oppremsion, no care, no sorrow, could have existed in the world This would have cured them like a charm for the tooth-ache. But the lowest wretches, in their most ignorant mitate, were able at all time to talk such stuff; and yet at all times have they suffered many evils and many oppressions, both before and since the republication by the National Assembly of this spell of healing potency and virtue. The enlightened Dr. Ball, when he wished to rekindle the lights snd fires of his andience on this point, chose for the text the following couplet:

> When Adam detred and Ere apen, Who was then the genicman?

Of this sapient maxim, bowever, I do not give him for the inventor. It seems to have been handed down by tradition, and had certainly become proverbial ; but whether then composed, or only applied, thns much must be admitted, that in learning, sense, energy, and comprehensiveness, it is fully equal to all the modern dissertations on the equality of mannhind; and it has one advantage over them,-that it is in rhyme. ${ }^{1}$

[^2]There is no doubt, but that this great teacher of the rights of man decorated his discourse on this valuable text, with
> sibly except this precious little fragment from their general contempt of ancient learning.
> Ut aun doctrina plures inficeret, ad lo Blackheth (ubi ducenta millia homirum communium fuére simul congregata) hujuscemodi senmonem est exorsus.

> When Adam dalfe, arld Eve span, Who was than a gentloman?

Continuansque sermonem inceptum nitebatur, per verba proverbil quoà pro themate sumpserat, introducere \& probare, ab initio omnes pares creatos d natura, servitutem per injuatam oppreasionem nequam hominum introductam contra Dei voluntatem, quis si Deo placuissel eervos creatsse, utique in principio mundi constituisset, quis servus, quisve dominua futurus fuisset. Considerarent igitur jam tempus $\dot{\mathrm{a}}$ Deo datum eis, in quo (deposito servitutis jugo diutius) possent, si yellent, libertate diu concupita gaudere. Quapropter monuit ut easent viri cordati, \& amore boni patris familias excolentis agrum suum \& extirpantis ac resecantias noxia gramina quet fruges eolent opprimere, \& ipsi in prasenti facere festinarent; primo majores regni dominos oceidondo; doinde juridicos, junticiurios of juratores patria perimendo; postremo guoscunque scirent in pasterum communitati nocivos tollerent de terra suat : sic demum ô pacosn eibimet parorent \&e sspurilatem in futurum, oi sublatio majoribus easel intor sos aqua bibertas, eadom nobilitas, par dignitus, similisque potestas.
Here is displayed at once the whole of the grand arcanum pretended to be found out by the National Assembly, for securing future happiness, peace, and tranquillity. There seems however to be some doubt whether this venerable protomartyr of philosophy was inalined to carry his own declaration of the rights of men more rigidly into practice than the National Assembly themselves. He was, like them, only preaching licentiousness to the populace to obtain power for himself, if we may beliove what is subjoined by the historinn.

Cumque hec e plura alia deliramenta [think of this old fool's calling all the wisg maxinis of the French ecademy deliramonia) predicasset, commune vulgus cum tanto, favore prosequitur, at acclamarent oum archiopiscopum futurum, of regni cancellarium. Whether he would have taken these aituations under these namea, or would have changed the Whole nomenclature of the state and church, to be understood in thu sense of the revolution, is not so certain. It is probable that he would haye changed the names and kept the substance of power.

We find too, that they bad in those days their society for constitutional information, of which the reverend John Ball was a conspicuous member, sometimes under his own name, sometimes under the feigned name of John Schep. Beaddea him it consisted (as Knyghton tells us) of persons who went by the real or fictitious names of Jack Myiner, Tom Baker, Jack Straw, Jack Trewman, Jack Carter, and probably of many more. Gome of the choicest flowers of the publications, charitably written and circulated by, them gratis, are upoan record in Walsingham and Knyghton;
lemmas, theorems, scholis, corollaries, and all the apparatns of science, which was furnished in as great plenty ard per-
and I am inclined to prefer the pithy and mententions brevity of thewe buillefins of encient rebellion, before the loose and confused prolizity of the modern edvertisements of constitutional information. They contain more good morality and leas bad politics; they had much more founder tion in real oppresaion; and they have the recommendation of being much better adapted to the capacities of those for whone inatruction they were intended. Whaterer laudable pains the teachers of the prevent day appeas to take, I cannot compliment them so far eat to allow, that they heve ancceeded in writing down to the level of their pupils, the membere of tha coverrign, with half the ability of Jach Carter and the reverend Jolm Ball. That my readers may judge for themselves, I shall give them one or two specimens.
The first in an addrees from the reverend Jolm Ball, under hin nom do gwerre of Johs Schep. I know not againat what particular "guylo in borough " the writer means to cantion the people; it may hare been only t general cry against "rocten boroughe"" which it wan thonght convenient then ea now to make the first pretext, and place at the bead of the lint of grietances.

Jonis Screp.
John Schep aometime Beint Mary Priest of Yorke, and now of Colchester, greeteth well John Nameles, \& John the Milier, © John Certer, and biddeth them that they beware of guylt in boromgh, and tand together in God's name; and biddeth Pien Plowremen goe to his wers, and chaties well flob the robber [probably the king] and take with yon John Trevman, and all his fellows and no moe.

John the Miller hath ygromed mall, wnall, mall;
The King's Some of Heven ahall pey for all.
Beware or ye be woo,
Know your freade fro your toe.
Have enough and may hoe:
And do wei and better, and flee nixae,
And see lie proce and holde you thereis;
a so biddeth Jolin Trewrinn, tall his fellowes.
The reader has perceived, from the lant linen of this curions atate paper, how well the National Asembly hat copied ite mion of the profeminn of miversal peace, with the practice of murder and confusion, and the blath of the trumpet of sedirion in all nations. He will, in the following eonstitutional peper, obwerve how well, in their enigmatical style, like tho Assembly and their abettors, the ofd philowophens proscribe all hereditery diatinction, arad bentow it only on viruse and wiodon, sccording to their estimation of both. Tet thene people are mppowed never to have heard of "the righte of man."

Jace MrLyer
Jakk Myloer esketh help to trin hin aytue exight.
He hath gromides comal, yanal,
The King's Some of Heven he wall piry for alle.
fection out of the dogmatic and polemic magazines, the old horse-armoury of the schoolmen, among whom the Rev. Dr. Ball was bred, as they can be supplied from the new arsenal at Hackney. It was no doubt disposed with all the adjutancy of definition and division, in which (I speak it with submission) the old marshals were as able as the modern martinets. Neither can we deny that the philosophic auditory, when they, had once obtained this knowledge, could never return to their former ignorance; or after so instructive a lecture be in the same state of mind as if they had never heard it. ${ }^{1}$ But these poor people, who were not to be envied for their knowledge, but pitied for their delusion, were not reasoned (that was impossible) but beaten out of their lights. With their teacher they were delivered over to the lawyers; who wrote in their blood the statutes of the land as harshly, and in the same sort of ink, as they and their teachers had written the righta of man.

Our doctors of the day are not so fond of quoting the opinions of this ancient sage as they are of imitating his conduct; first, because it might appear, that they are not as

Loke thy milne goe ryyt with the four sayles, and the post stande in uteadfastresse.

With ryyt \& with myyt
With skill and with wyhe,
Lat myyt help ryyt.
And akyl go before wille,
And ryyt before myght,
Than goth our mylne aryght,
And if myght go before ryght,
And wrylle before skylle:
Than is our mylns mys-a-dyght.
Jace Cabtar underatood perfectly the doctrine of looking to the end, with an indifference to the moans, and the probability of much good ariging from great evil.

Jakke Carter prayes yowe alle that ye make a good ende of that ye have begunnen, and doth wele and ay bettur \& bettur, for at the even men heryth the day. For if tho onde be weels than is allo woll. Lat Peres the plow man my brother dwell at home and dyght us corne, \& I will $\mathrm{g}_{0}$ with yowe \& hejpe, that I may, to dyghte your mete and your drynke, that ye none fayle. Lokke that Hobbe robbyoure be wele chastysed for lefyng of your grace: for ye have gret nede to taice God with yowe in all your deden. For now is tyme to be war.
'See the wise remarks on the cubject, in the Defence of Bights of Man, circulated by the societies.
lemmas, theorems, scholis, corollaries, and all the apparsatut of acience, which was furnished in as great plenty and per.
and I am inclined to prefer the pithy and tententiona brevity of these bulletine of ancient rebellion, before the loose and confosed prolixity of the modern edvertisements of constitutional information. They contain more good morality and lest bad politice; they had much more founden tion in real oppression; and they have the recommendation of being moch better adapted to the capacities of those for whose inatruction they were intended. Whatever laudsble pains the teachers of the present day sppeas to take, I cannot compliment them so far as to allow, that they have sncceeded in writing down to the level of their pupils, the members of the aowreign, with half the ability of Jack Carter and the reverend Jolun Ball. That my readers may judge for themselven, I thall give them one or two specimens.

The first is an addreen from the reverend John Ball, under hir nom ds guarre of John Schep. I know not against what particular "guyle in borough" the writer means to cantion the people; it may have been only a. general cry against "rotten boroughs," which it was thought convemient then an now to make the first pretext, and place at the head of the lint of grievances.

Jони ScRef.
John Schep mometime Seint Mary Prient of Yorke, and now of Colchester, greeteth well John Namelem, © Johm the Miller. A John Carter, and biddeth them that they beware of guyle in borough, and mand together in God's nanoe; and biddeth Piers Ploweman goe to his werk, and chatine well Hob the robler [probably the king] and iake with yon John Trev. man, and all his fellows and no moe.

Joln the Milter hath ygromid smal, tmall, mmill ;
The King's Some of Heven shall pey for ell
Beware or ye be woe,
Know your frende fro your too
Have enorgh and any hoe:
And do wel and better, and fiee cinne,
And seeke proce and holde you therein;
40 biddeh John Trewran, thill his fellowes.
The reader has perceived, from the last lines of this corious otate paper, how well the Nationsl Amembly hat copied its mion of the profermion of miversal peace, with the practice of murder and confusion, and the blast of the trumper of sedition in all nationa. He will, in the following constitutional paper, obeerve how well, in their enigmatical etyle, like the Asmembly and their abetions, the old philowophers prowcribe all hereditery distimetion, and beatow it only on virtue and wiodom, according to their eatimation of both. Yet these people are erpposed never to have beard of "the rights of man."

Jace Mylure.
Jakko Mybrer asketh heip to trim his myloe axigho
He heth grounden conal, monl,
The King's Soes of Heven he dall pey for alle
fection out of the dogmatic and polemic magazines, the old horse-armoury of the schoolmen, among whom the Rev. Dr. Ball was bred, as they can be supplied from the new arsenal at Hackney. It was no doubt disposed with all the adjutancy of definition and division, in which (I speak it with submission) the old marshals were as able as the modern martinets. Neither can we deny that the philosophic auditory, when they, had once obtained this bnowledge, could never return to their former ignorance; or after so instructive a lecture be in the same state of mind as if they had never heard it. ${ }^{1}$ But these poor people, who were not to be envied for their knowledge, but pitied for their delusion, were not reasoned (that was impossible) but beaten out of their lights. With their teacher they were delivered over to the lawyers; who wrote in their blood the statutes of the land as harshly, and in the same sort of ink, as they and their teachers had written the rights of man.

Our doctors of the day are not so fond of quoting the opinions of this ancient eage se they are of imitating his conduct; first, because it might appear, that they are not as

Loke thy milne goa ryyt with the four eayles, and the post atande in teadfastuesse.

With ryyt \& with myyt,
With skill and with wylle,
Lat myyt help ryyt,
And skyl go before wille,
And ryyt before myght,
Than goth our mylne aryght,
And if myght go before ryght,
And wylle before akylle;
Than is our myine mye-a-dyght.
Jace Carfrbe understood perfectiy the doctrine of looking to the and, with an indifference to the meanh, and the probability of much good arising from great evil.

Jakice Carter prayes yowe alle that ye make a good ande of that ye have begunnen, and doth wele and ay bettur \& bettur, for at the even men heryth the day. For if the ande be vele than it alle woth. Lat Perea tho plow man my brother dwell at home and dyght us corne, \& I will go with yowe helpe, that I may, to dyghte your mete and your drynke, that ye none fayle. Lokke that Hobbe robbyoure be wele chastysed for lefyng of your grace: for ye have gret nade to take God with yowe in all your dedes. For now is tyme to be wer.

I See the wise remarks on the aubject, in the Defence of Bighte of Man, circulated by the eocioties.
great inventors as they wonld be thought ; and next, because, unfortunately for his fame, be was not successful. It is a remark liable to as few exceptions as any generality can be, that they who applaud prosperous folly, and adore triumphant guilt, have never been known to succour or even to pity human weakness or offence when they become subject to human vicisuitude, and meet with punishment instead of obtaining power. Absting for their want of sensibility to the sufferings of their associates, they are not so much in the wrong; for madness and wickedness are thirgs foul and deformed in themselves ; and atand in need of all the coveringe and trappinge of fortune to recommend them to the multitude. Nothing can be more loathsome in their naked nature.

Aberrations like thene, whether ancient or modern, wnoce cessful or prosperous, sre things of passage. They furmish no argument for mupposing a mullitude told by the head to be the people. Such a multitude can bave no sort of title to alter the seat of power in the society, in which it ever ought to be the obedient, and not the ruling or presiding part. What power masy belong to the whole mass, in which mase the natural arietoeracy, or what by convention is appointed to represent and etrengthen it, acts in its proper place, with its proper weight, and without being suibected to violence, is a deeper question. But in that case, and with that concurrence, I should hare much doubt whether any rash or deaperate changes in the state, such at we have neen in France, could ever be effected.

I bave maid, that in all political quentions the consequences of any assumed righta are of great moment in deciding upon their validity. In this point of view let us a little scrutimize the effecte of a right in the mere majority of the inhabitante of any country of superseding and altering their government at pleasure.
The sum total of exery people is composed of its units. Every individual must have a right to originate what afterwards is to become the aet of the majority. Whatever he may lawfully originate he may lawfully endesvour to aceomplish. He has a right therefore in his own particular to break the ties and engagemente which bind hirn to the country in which he lives; and he has a right to make as
many converta to his opinions, and to obtain as many associates in his designs, as he can procure: for how can you know the dispositions of the majority to destroy their government, but by tampering with some part of the body? You must begin by a seeret conspiracy, that you may end with a national confederation. The mere pleasure of the beginning must be the sole guide; since the mere pleasure of others must be the sole ultimate sanction, as well as the sole actuating principle, in every part of the progress. Thus, arbitrary will, (the last corruption of ruling power,) step by step, poisons the heart of every citizen. If the undertaker taile, he has the minfortune of a rebel, but not the guilt. By such doctrines, all love to our country, all pious veneration and attachment to its laws and customs, are obliterated from our minds; and nothing can result from this opinion, when grown into a principle, and animated by digcontent, ambition, or enthusiesm, but a series of conspiracies and seditions, sometimes ruinous to their authors, always noxious to the atate. No sense of duty can prevent any man from being a leader or a follower in such enterprises. Nothing restrains the tempter; nothing guards the tempted. Nor is the new state, fabricated by such arts, safer than the old. What can prevent the mere will of any person, who hopes to unite the wills of others to his own, frone an attempt wholly to overturn it? It wants nothing but a disposition to trouble the eatablished order, to give a title to the enterprise.

When you combine this principle of the right to change a fixed and tolerable constitution of thinge at pleasure, with the theory and practice of the French Assembly, the political, civil, and moral irregularity are if possible aggravated. The Assembly have found another road, and a far more commodious, to the destruction of an old government, and the legitimate formation of a new one, than through the previous will of the majority of what they call the people. Get, say they, the posesesion of power by any means you can into your hands; and them a subsequent consent (what they call an addreas of adhesion) makes your authority as much the act of the people as if they had conferred upon you originally that kind and degree of power, which, without their permission, you had seied upon. This is to give a
direct sanction to fraud, hypocrisy, perjury, and the breach of the most sacred trusts that can exist between man and man. What can sound with euch horrid discordance in the moral ear, as this position, That a delegate with limited powers may bresk his sworn engagements to his constituents, assume an authority, never committed to him, to alter all things at his pleasure; and then, if he can persuade a large number of men to flatter him in the power he has usurped, that he is absolved in his own conscience, and ought to stand acquitted in the eyes of mankind? On this echeme the maker of the experiment must begin with a determined perjory. That point is certain. He must take his chance for the expiatory addressea. This is to make the euccese of villany the standard of innocence.

Without drawing on, therefore, very shocking consequences, neither by previous consent, nor by subsequent ratification of a mere reckoned majority, can any met of men attempt to dissolve the atate at their pleasure. To spply this to our present eubject. When the several orders, in their several bailages, had met in the year 1789, such of them, I mean, as had met peaceably and constitutionally, to choose and to instruct their representativea, so organized and so acting, (because they were organized and were acting according to the conventions which made them a people, they were the people of France. They had a legal and a natural capacity to be considered to that people. But, ob serve, whilst they were in this state, that is, whilst they were a people, in no one of their instructions did they charge or even hint at any of those things, which have drawn upon the usurping Assembly, sond their adherente, the detestation of the rational and thinking part of mankind. I will venture to affirm, without the least apphrehension of being contradicted by any persorx who known the then atate of France, that if any one of the changes were proposed, which form the fundemental parts of their Revolution, and compose its moot dis tinguishing acts, it would not have had one vote in twenty thousand in any order. Their instractions purported the direct contrary to all those famous proceedings, which are defended as the acte of the people. Had each proceedings been expected, the grest probability is, that the people would then have risen, $\boldsymbol{a}^{2}$ to man, to prevent them. The whole
ırganization of the Assembly was altered, the whole frame of the hingdom was changed, before these things could be ione. It is long to tell, by what evil arts of the conspirators, and by what extreme weakness and want of steadiness in the lawful government, this equal usurpation on the rights of the prince and people, haring first cheated, and then offered violence to both, has been able to triumph, and to Bmploy with success the forged aignature of an imprisoned sovereign, and the spurious voice of dictated addresses, to a subsequent ratification of things that had never received any previous sanction, general or particular, expressed or implied, from the nation, (in whatever sense that word is taken,) or from any part of it.
After the weighty and respectable part of the people had been murdered, or driven by the menaces of murder from their houses, or were dispersed in exile into every couintry in Europe; after the soldiery had been debauched from their officers; after property had lost its weight and consideration, along with its security; after voluntary clubs and associations of factious and unprincipled men were substituted in the place of all the legal corporations of the kingdom arbitrarily dissolved; after freedom had been banished from those popular meetings,' whose sole recommendation is freedom ;-after it had come to that pass, that no dissent dared to appear in any of them, but at the certain price of life; after even dissent had been anticipated, and assassination became as quick as auspicion;-such pretended ratification by addresses could be mo act of what any lover of the people would choose to call by their name. It is that voice which every succesafiul usurpation, as well as this before us, may essily procure, even without making (as these tyrants have made) donatives from the spoil of one part of the citizens to corrupt the other.

The pretended rights of mam, which have made this havoc, eannot be the rights of the people. For to be a people, and to have these rights, are thifgs incompatible. The one supposes the presence, the other the absence, of a state of civil society, The very foundation of the French commonwealth is false and self-destructive; nor can its principles be adopted in any country, without the certainty of bringing it to the

- Tha primary anemblies.
very same condition in which France is found. Attempta are made to introduce them into every nation in Europe. This nation, as poseessing the greateut influence, they wish most to corrupt, as by that means they are asorured the contagion must become general. I hope, therefore, I shall be excused, if I endeavour to show, as shortly as the mattes will admit, the danger of giving to them, either avowedly or tacitly, the amalleat countenance.

There are times and circumstancen, in which not to opeale out is at least to connive. Many think it enough for them, that the principles propagated by these clubs and societies, enemies to their country and its constitution, are not owned by the modern Whige in parliament, who are to warm in condemnation of Mr. Burke and his book, and of course of all the priaciples of the ancient, constitutional Whige of this kingdom. Certainly they are not owned. But are they condemned with the same zeal as Mr. Burke and his book are condemned? Are they condemned at all? Are they rejected or discountenanced in any way whatsoever? In any man who would fairly examine into the demeanour and principles of those societies, and that too very moderately, and in the way rather of admonition than of punishment, il such o man even decently treated? Is he not reproached, as if, in condemning such principles, he had belied the conduct of his whole life, ouggenting that his life lasd been governed by principles similar to those which he now reprobates? The French system is in the mean time, by many active agents out of doors, raptorously praised; the Britinh constitution is coldly tolerater But these constitutions are different, both in the foundation and in the whole auperstructure ; and it ie plain, that you cannot build up the one but on the ruins of the other. After all, if the French be a ouperior system of liberty, why'should we not adopt it ? To what end are ons prames ? Is excellence held out to us only that we should not copy after it? And what is there in the manners of the people, or in the climate of France, which renders that eqecies of repablic fitted for them, and unsuitable to us? A strong and marked difference between the two nations ought to be shown, before we can admit a constant, affected panegyris, a ttanding annual commemoration, to be without any tendency to an example.

But the leadera of party will not go the length' of the doctrines taught by the seditious clubs. I am sure they do not mean to do so. God forbid! Perhaps even those who are directly carrying on the work of this pernicious foreign faction, do not all of them intend to produce all the mischiefs which must inevitably follow from their having any success in their proceedings. As to leaders in parties, no thing is more common than to see them blindly led. The world is governed by go-betweens. These go-betweens influence the persons with whom they carry on the intercourse, by stating their own sense to each of them as the sense of the other; and thus they reciprocally master both sides. It is first buzzed about the ears of leaders, "that their friends without-doors are very eager for some measure, or very warm about some opinion-that you must not be too rigid with them. They are useful persons, and zealous in the cause. They may be a little wrong; but the spirit of liberty must not be damped; and by the influence you obtain from some degree of concurrence with them at present, you may be enabled to set them right hereafter."

Thus the leaders are at first drawn to a connivance with sentiments and proceedings, often totally different from their serious and deliberste notions. But their acquiescence answers every purpose.

With no better than such powers, the go-betweens assume a new representative character. What at best was but an acquiescence, is magnified into an authority, and thence into a desire on the part of the leaders; and it is carried down as such to the subordinate members of parties. By this artifice they in their turn are led into measures which at first, perhaps, few of them wished at all, or at least did not desire vehemently or systematically.

There is in all parties, between the principal leaders in parlisment, and the lowest followers out of doors, a middlo sort of men; a sort of equestrian order, who, by the spirit of that middle situation, are the fittest for preventing things from running to excess. But indecision, though a vice of a totally different character, is the natural accomplice of violence. The irrealution and timidity of those, who compose this middle order, often prevent the effect of their controlling situation. The fear of differing with the authority of leaders Vot. III.
on the one hand, and of contradicting the desires of the multitude on the other, induces them to give a carelems and passive assent to measures in which they never were consulted: and thus things proceed, by a sort of sctivity of inertnesa, until whole bodien, leaders, middle men, and followers, are all hurried, with every appearance, and with many of the effects, of unsnimity, into schemes of politics, in the substance of which no two of them were ever fully agreed, and the origin and asthors of which, in this circular mode of communication, none of them find it possible to trace. In my experience I have seen much of this in affairs, which, though trifling in comparison to the present, were yet of some importance to parties; and I have known them suffer by it, The sober part give their sanction, at first through inattention and levity; at last they give it through necessity. A violent spirit is raised, which the pretiding minds, after a time, find it impracticable to stop at their pleasure, to control, to regulate, or even to direct.

This shows, in my opinion, bow very quick and awakened all men ought to be, who are looked up to by the public, and who deserve that confidence, to prevent a surprise on their opinions, when dogmas are spread, and projects pursued, by which the foundations of society may be affected. Before they listen even to moderate alteration in the government of their country, they ought to take care that principlen are not propagated for that purpose, which are too big for their object. Dochrines limited in their present application, and wide in their general principles, are never meant to be confined to what they at first pretend. If I were to form a prognostic of the effect of the present machinstions on the people, from their sense of any grievance they suffer under this constitution, my mind wonld be at eave. But there is t wide difference between the maltitude, when they act againgt their government, from a mense of grievance, or from zeal for some opinions. When men are thoroughly posemed with that zeal, it is difficult to eslculate ite foree. It is certain, that its power is by no means in exact proportion to it reasonableness. It must always have been dincoverable by persons of reflection, bat it is now obvious to the world, that a theory concerning government may become as mach a cause of fansticism as a dogma in religion. There is a bound-
ary to men's passions when they act from feeling; none when they are under the influence of imagination. Remove a grievance, and, when men act from feeling, you go a great way towards quieting a commotion. But the good or bad conduct of a government, the protection men have enjoyed, or the oppression they have guffered, under it, are of no sort of moment, when a faction, proceeding upon speculative grounds, is thoroughly heated against ith form. When a man is, from syatem, furious against monarchy or episcopacy, the good conduct of the monarch or the bishop has no other effect, than further to irritate the adversary. He is provoked at it as furnishing a plea for preserving the thing which he wishes to destroy. His mind will be heated as much by the sight of a sceptre, a mace, or a verge, as if he had been daily bruised and wounded by these symbols of authority. Mere spectacles, mere names, will become sufficient causes to stimulate the people to war and tumult.

Some gentlemen are not terrified by- the facility with which government has been overturned in France. The people of France, they say, had nothing to lose in the destruction of a bad constitution; but, though not the best possible, we have still a good stake in ours, which will hinder us from desperate risks. Is this any security at all against those who seem to persuade themselves, and who labour to persuade others, that our constitution is an usurpation in its origin, unwise in its contrivance, mischievous in its effects, contrary to the rights of man, and in all its parts a perfect nuisance $P$ What motive has any rational man, who thinks in that manner, to spilk his blood, or even to risk a shilling of his fortune, or to waste a mement of his leisure, to preserve it? If he has any duty relative to it, his duty is to destroy it. A constitution on sufferance is a constitution condemned. Sentence is already passed upon it. The execution is only delayed. $\mathrm{On}_{\text {n }}$ the principles of these gentlemen it neither has, nor ought to have, any security. So far as regards them, it is left nnked, without friends, partisans; assertors, or protectors.

Let us examine into the value of this security apon the principles of those who are more sober; of those who think, indeed, the French constritution better, or at least as good, as the British, without going to all the lengths of the warmer
politicians in reprobating their own. Their wecurity amounta in reality to nothing more than this;-that the difference between their republican system and the British limited monarchy is not worth a civil war. This opinion, I admit, will prevent people, not very enterprising in their nature, from an active undertaking against the British constitution. But it is the poorest defensive principle that over was infused into the mind of man agsinst the attempte of thowe who will enterprise. It will tend totally to remove from their minds that very terror of a civil war which is held out as our sole security. They who think so well of the French constitution, certainly will not be the persons to carry on a war to prevent their obtaining a great benefit, or at wont a fair exchange. They will not go to battle in favour of a cause in which their defeat might be more advantageous to the public than their victory. They must at least tacitly abet those who endeavour to make converts to a mound opirion; they must discountensance those who would oppowe its propagation. In proportion as by these means the enterprising party is strengthened, the dread of a struggle is lessened. See what an encouragement this is to the enemies of the conslitution! A few assaseinations, and a very great destruction of property, we know they consider as no real obstacles in the way of a grand political change. And they will hope, that here, if antimonarchical opinions gain ground, as they have done in France, they may, as in France, accomplinh a revolution without a war.

They who think so well of the French constitution cmnot be seriously alarmed by any progrese made by it pertisans. Provisions for security are not to be received from thome who think that there is no denger. No! there is no plan of nocurity to be listened to but from those who entertain the mame fears with ourselves; from those who think that the thing to be secured is a great bleasing; and the thing against which we would eceure it a grest miachiof. Erery person of a different opinion most be careless about security.

1 betieve the author of the Reflections, whether he fears the designs of that net of people with reason or not, eannot prevail on himself to despise them. He cannot despise them for their numbers, which, thongh emall, compared with the cound part of the community, are not inconsiderable: he
cannot look with contempt on their influence, their activity, or the kind of talents and tempers which they possers, exactly calculated for the work they have in hand, and the minds they chiefly apply to. Do we not see their most considerable and accredited ministers, and several of their party of weight and importance, active in spreading mischievous opinions, in giving sanction to seditious writings, in promoting seditious anniversaries? and what part of their description has disowned thom or their proceedings? When men, circumstanced as these are, publicly declare such admiration of a foreign constitution, and auch contempt of our own, it would be, in the author of the Reflections, thinking as he does of the French constitution, infamously to cheat the reat of the nation to their ruin, to aly there is no danger.

In eatimating danger, we are obliged to take into our calculation the character and disposition of the enemy into whose bands we may chance to fall. The genius of this faction is easily discerned, by observing with what a yery different eye they have viewed the late foreign revolutions. Two have passed before them. "That of France and that of Poland. The state of Poland was ouch, that there could scarcely exiet two opinions, but that a reformation of its constitution, even at some expense of blood, might be seen without much disapprobation. No confusion could be feared in such an enterprise; because the eatablishment to be reformed was itself a state of confusion. A king without authority; nobles without union or subordination; a people without arta, induatry, commerce, or liberty; no order within, no defence without ; no effective public force, but a foreign force, which entered a naked country at will, and disposed of everything at pleasure. Here was ss state of things which seemed to invite, and might perhaps justify, bold enterprise and desperate experiment. But in what manner was this chaos brought into order? The means were as striking to the imagination, as satisfactory to the reason, and soothing to the moral sentiments. In contemplating that change, humanity has everything to rejoice and to glory in; nothing to be ashamed of, nothing to suffer. So far as it has gone, it probably ia the most pure and defecated public good which ever has been conferred on mankind. We have seen anarchy
and servitude at once'removed; a throne strengthened for the protection of the people, without trenching on their libertiea; all foreign cabal banished, by changing the crown from elective to hereditary; and what was a matter of pleasing wonder, we have seen a reigning king, from an heroic love to his country, exerting himeelf with all the toil, the dexterity, the management, the intrigue, in favour of a family of etrangers, with which ambitious men labour for the aggrandizement of their own. Ten millions of men in a way of being freed gradually, and therefore safely to themselves and the atate, not from civil or political chains, which, bad as they are, only fetter the mind, bot from substantial personal bondage. Inhabitants of cities, before without privileges, placed in the consideration which belongs to that improved and connecting situation of social life. One of the most proad, numerous, and fierce bodies of nobility and gentry ever known in the world, arranged only in the foremost rank of free and generous citizens. Not one man incurred loss, or suffered degradation. All, from the king to the day-labourer, were improved in their condition. Everything was kept in its place and order; but in that place sund order everything was bettered. To add to this happy wonder, (this unheard-of conjunction of wisdom and fortune,) not one drop of blood was spilled; no treachery; no outrage; no system of slander more eruel than the sword; no studied insuits on religion, morals, or mamers ; no spoil; no confiscation; no citizen beggared; nono imprisoned; none exiled; the whole wat effected with a policy, o discretion, an unenimity and secresy, fuch as have never been before known on sny occasion; bat sach wonderful conduct was reserved for this glorious conspiracy in favour of the true and genuine righte and intereats of men. Happy people, if they know how to proceed as they have begun! Happy prince, worthy to begin with splendour, or to cloee with glory, ace of patriote and lings: and to leave

## A mame, which every wind to heaven would betr, Which men to erpeak, and angets joy to heat.

To finish all-this great good, an in the instent it in, contains in it the seeds of all further improvement; and ansy be considered an in a regular progress, becanse founded on similar
principles, towards the stable excellency of a British constitution.
Here was a matter for congratulation and for festive remembrance through ages. Here moralists and divines might indeed relax in their temperance, to exhilarate their humanity. But mark the character of our faction. All their enthusiasm is kept for the French Revolution. They camnot pretend that Irrance had stood so much in need of a change as Poland. They cannot pretend that Poland has not obtained a better system of liberty, or of government, than it enjoyed before. They cannot assert, that the Polish Revolution cost more dearly than that of France to the interests and feelings of multitudes of men. But the cold and subordinate light in which they look upon the one, and the pains they take to preach up the other of these Revolutions, leave us no choice in fixing on their motives. Both Revolutions profess liberty as their object; but in obtaining this object the one proceeds from anarchy to order; the other, from order to anarchy. The first secures its liberty by establishing its throne; the other builds its freedom on the subversion of its monarchy. In the one their means are unstained by crimes, and their settlement favours morality. In the other, vice and confusion are in the very essence of their pursuit, and of their enjogment. The circumstances in which these two events differ, mpat cause the difference we make in their comparative estimation. These turn the seale with the societies in favour of France. Ferrum est quod amant. The frauds, the violences, the sacrileges, the havoc and ruin of families, the dispersion and exile of the pride and flower of a great country, the disorder, the confusion, the anarchy, the violation of property, the cruel murders, the inhuman confiscations, and in the end the insolent domination of bloody, ferocious, and senseless elubs-These are the things which they love and admire. What men admire and love, they would surely act. Let us see what is done in France; and then let us undervalue any the slightest danger of falling into the hands of such a merciless and savage faction!
" But the leaders of the factious societies are too wild to succeed in this their undertaking." I hope an But eupposing them wild and absurd, is there no danger but from wise and reflecting men? Perbaps the greatest mischiefs
that have bappened in the world have happened from persons as wild as those we think the wildest. In trath, they are the fittest beginners of all great changes. Why encourage men in a mischievous proceeding, because their absurdity may disappoint their malice? "But noticing them may give them consequence." Certainly. But they are noticed; and they are noticed, not with reproof, but with that hind of countenance which is given by an apparent concurrence (not a real one, I am convinced) of a great party, in the praise" of the object which they hold out to imitation.

But I hear a language still more extraordinary, and indeed of such a nature as must suppose, or leave, us at their mercy. It is this-" You know their promptitude in writing, and their diligence in caballing; to write, speak, or act against them, will only stimulate them to new efforts."-This way of considering the principle of their conduct pays but $s$ poor compliment to these gentlemen. They pretend that their doctrines are infinitely beneficial to mankind: but it seems they would keep them to themselves, if they were not greatly provoked. They are benevolent from spite. Their oracles are like those of Proteus, (whom some people think they resemble in many particulars, who never would give his responses unless you used him as ill as possible. These cata, it seems, would not give out their electrical light without having their backs well rubbed. But this is not to do them perfect juetice. They are sufficiently communicative. Had they been quiet, the propriety of any agitation of topics on the origin and primary righte of government, in opposition to their private sentiments, might possibly be doubted. But, as it is notorious, that they were proceeding as fant, and as far, as time and circomstances would admit, both in their discussions and cabals-as it is not to be denied, that they had opened a correapondence with a foreign faction, the toot wicked the world ever aaw, and eatablished anniveramies to commemorate the most monatrous, eruel, and perfidious of all the proceedings of that faction- the quertion in, whether their conduct was to be regarded in silence, lest our interference should render them outrageoras? Then let them deal as they please with the constitution. Let the lady be pasaive, leat the ravisher should be driven to force.

Resistance will only increase his desires. Yes, truly, if the resistance be feigned and feeble. But they who are wedded to the constitution will not act the part of wittols. They willdrive such seducers from the house on the first appearance of their love-letters and offered assignations. But if the author of the Reflections, though a vigilant, was not a discreet guardian of the constitution, let those, who have the same regard to it, show themselves as vigilant and more skiliul in repelling the attacks of seduction or violence. Their reedom from jealousy is equivocal, and may arise as well from ndifference to the object, as from confidence in her virtue.
On their principle, it is the resistance, and not the sasault, which produces the danger. I admit, indeed, that if we 3stimated the danger by the value of the writings, it would be little worthy of our attention : contemptible these writings are in every sense. But they are not the cause, they are the disgusting symptoms, of a frightful distemper. They are not otherwise of consequence than as they show the eril habit of the bodies from whence they come. In that light the meanest of them is a serious thing. If however I should under-rate them, and if the truth is, that they are not the result but the cause of the disorders I speak of, surely those who circulate operative poisons, and give, to whatever force they have by their nature, the further operation of their authority and adoption, are to be censured, watched, and, if possible, repressed.

- At what distance the direct danger from such factions may be, it is not easy to fix. An adaptation of circumstances to designs and principles is necessary. But these cannot be wanting for any long time in the ordinary course of sublunary affairs. Great discontents frequently arise in the best constituted governments, from causes which no human wisdom can foresee, and no human power can prevent. They occur at uncertain periods, but at periods which are not commonly far asunder. Governments of all kinds are administered only by men; and great mistakes, tending to inflame these discontents, may concur. The indecision of those who happen to rule at the critical time, their supine neglect, or their precipitate and ill-judged attention, may aggravate the public misfortunes. In such a state of things, the principles, now ouly sown, will shoot out and vegetate
in full luxuriance. In such circumstances the minde of the people become sore and ulcerated. They are put out of humour with all public men and all public parties ; they are fatigued with their dissensions; they are irritated at their coalitions; they are made easily to believe, (what much paius are taken to make them believe, that all oppositions are factions, and all courtiers base and aervile. From their dinguot at men, they are soon led to quarrel with their frame of government, which they presume gives nourishment to the vices, real or suppoeed, of thoee who administer in it. Mistaking malignity for sagacity, they are soon led to cast off all hope from a good administration of affairs, and come to think that all reformation depends, not on a change of actors, but upon an alteration in the machinery. Then will be felt the full effect of encouraging doctrines which tend to make the citizens despise their constitution. Then will be felt the plenitude of the mischief of teaching the people to believe, that all ancient institutions are the resulte of ignorance; and that all prescriptive governinent is in its nature usurpation. Then will be felt in all its energy, the danger of encouraging a spirit of litigation in persons of tbat immature and imperfect atate of knowledge which serves to render them susceptible of doubts, but incapable of their wolution. Then will be felt, in all its aggravation, the pernicions consequence of destroying all docility in the minds of those who are not formed for finding their own way in the labyrinthe of political theory, and are made to reject the clue, and to dis dain the guide. Then will be felt, and too late will be aceknowledged, the ruin which followt the disjoining of religion from the state; the separation of morality from policy; snd the giving conscience no concern and no coactive or coercive force in the most material of all the mocial ties, the principle of our obligations to government.
I know too, that beaides this vain, contradictory, and selfdeatructive security, which some men derive from the habitual attachment of the people to this constitution, whilot they suffer it with asort of sportive aequiescence to be brougbt into contempt before their fices, they have other grounds for remoring all apprehension from their minds. They are of opinion, that there are too many men of great hereditary estates and influence in the kingdom, to euffer the
establishment of the levelling system which bas taken place in France. This is very true, if in order to guide the power, which now attends their property, these men possess the wisdom which is involved in early fear. But if through a supine security, to which such fortunes are peculiarly liable, they neglect the use of their influence in the season of their power, on the first derangement of society, the nerves of their strength will be cut. Their estates, instead of being the means of their security, will become the very causes of their danger. Instead of bestowing influence they will excite rapacity. They will be looked to as a prey.

Such will be the impotent condition of those men of great hereditary estates, who indeed dislike the designs that are carried on, but whose dislike is rather that of spectators, than of parties that may be concerned in the catastrophe of the piece. But riches do not in all cases secure even an inert and passive resistance. There are alwaya, in that deseription, men whose fortunes, when their minds are once vitiated by passion or by evil principle, are by no means a security from their actually taking their part against the public tranquillity. We see to what low and despicable passions of all kinds many men in that class are ready to sacrifice the patrimonial eatates, which might be perpetuated in their families with splendour, and with the fame of hereditary benefactors to mankind, from generation to generation. Do we not see how lightly people treat their fortunes, when under the influence of the passion of gaming? The game of ambition or resentment will be played by many of the rich and great, as desperately, and with as much blindness to the consequences, as any other game. Was he a man of no rank or fortune, who first set on foot the disturbances which have ruined France? Passion blinded him to the consequences, so far as they concerned himself; and as to the consequences with regard to others, they were no part of his consideration, nor ever will be with those who bear any resemblance to that virtuous patriot and lover of the rights of -man.
There is also a time of insecurity, when interests of all sorts become objects of speculation. Then it is, that their very attachment to wealth and importance will induce several persons of opulence to list themselves, and even to take a
lead, with the party which they think most likely to prevail, in order to obtain to themselves consideration in eome new order or disorder of things. They may be led to act in this manner, that they may secure some portion of their own property; and perbaps to become partakers of the epoil of their own order. Those who speculate on change, always make a great number among people of rank and fortune, as well as amongst the low and the indigent.

What security against all this?-All human securities are liable to uncertainty. But if snything bids fair for the prevention of so great a calamity, it must consist in the use of the ordinary means of just influence in wociety, whilst those means continue unimpaired. The public judgment ought to receive a proper direction. All weighty men may have their share in so good a work As yet, notwithstanding the strutting and lying independence of a braggart philoeophy, nature maintains her rights, and great namee have great prevalence. Two such men as Mr. Pitt and Mr. Fox, adding to their anthority in a point in which they concur, even by their disunion in everything else, might frown these wicked opinions out of the kingdom. But if the influence of either of them, or the influence of men like them, should, against their serious intentions, be otherwise perverted, they may countenance opinions which (as I have aaid before, and could wish over and over again to press) they msy in vain attempt to control. In their theory, these doctrinem admit no limit, no qualification whatsoever. No man can maj how far he will go, who joing with those who are avowedly going to the utmost extremities. What eecurity is there for atopping short at all in these wild oonceita? Why, neither more nor lese than this-that the moral sentimente of some few amongst them do put some check on their sarage theories. But let us take care. The moral sentiments, wo nearly connected with early prejudice as to be almont one and the same thing, will assuredly not live long under a discipline, which has for its basis the destruction of all prejudices, and the making the mind proof against all dread of consequences flowing from the pretended traths that are taught by their philosophy.

In this scbool the moral sentimenta must grow weaker and weaker every day. The more cautiona of these teachera,
in laying down their maxima, draw as much of the conclusion as suite, not with their premises, but with their policy. They trust the rest to the sagacity of their pupils. Others, and these are the most vaunted for their spirit, not only lay down the same premises, but boldly draw the conclusions to the destruction of our whole constitution in church and state. But are these conclusions truly drawn ? Yes, most certainly. Their principles are wild and wicked. But let justice be done even to phrensy and rillsny. These teachers are perfectly systematic. No man who assumes their grounds can tolerate the British constitution in church or state. These teachers profess to scorn all mediocrity; to engrge for perfection; to proceed by the simplest and shortest course. They build their politics, not on convenience, but on trath; and they profess to conduct men to certain happiness by the assertion of their undoubted rights. With them there is no compromise. All other governments are usurpations, which justify and even demand resistance.

Their principles always go to the extreme. They who go with the principles of the ancient Whiga, which are those contained in Mr. Burke's book, never can go too far. They may indeed stop short of some hazardous and ambiguous excellence, which they will be taught to postione to any reasonsble degree of good they may actually possess. The opinions maintained in that book never can lead to an extreme; because their foundation is laid in an opposition to extremes. The foundation of government is there laid, not in imaginary rights of men, (which at best is a confusion of judicial with civil principles,) but in political convenience, and in human nature; either as that nature is universal, or as it is modified by local habits and social aptitudes. The foundation of government (those who have read that book will recollect) is laid in a provision for our wants, and in a conformity to our duties; it is to purvey for the one; it is to enforce the other. These doctrines do of themselves gravitate to a middle point, or to some point near a middle. They suppose indeed a certain portion of liberty to be essential to all good government ; but they infer that this liberty is to be blended into the government; to harmonize with its forms and its rules; and to be made subordiuate to its end. Those who are not with that book are with its oppo-
site. For there is no medium besiden the medium itself. That medium is not such, because it is found there; but it is found there because it is conformable to truth and nature. In this we do not follow the author; but we and the author travel together upon the same safe and middle path.

The theory contained in his book is not to furnish principles for making a new constitution, but for illustrating the principles of a constitution already made. It is a theory drawn from the fact of our government. They who oppone it are bound to show, that his theory militatea with that fact. Otherwike, their quarrel is not with his book, but with the constitution of their country. The whole scheme of our mixed constitution is to prevent any one of ith principles from being carried as far, as, taken by itself, and theoretically, it would go. Allow that to be the true policy of the British syatem, then most of the faults with which that system stands charged will appear to be, not imperfections intowhich it has inadvertently fallen, but excellencien which it has studiously sought. To avoid the perfections of extreme, all its several parts are so constituted, as not alone to answer their own several ends, but also each to limit and control the others: insomuch, that take which of the principles you please-you will find its operation checked and stopped at a certain point. The whole movement stands still rather than that any part shonld proceed beyond its boundary. From thence it results, that in the British constitution, there is a perpetual tresty and compromise going on, sometimes openly, sometimes with less observation. To him who contempiates the British constitution, as to him who contemplates the subordinate material world, it will always be satiter of his most curious investigation, to discover the secret of this mutual imitation.

> Qwanam ail rutione, elque ales lerminew herons?

They who have acted, at in France they have done, upon a scheme wholly different, and who aim at the abstract and unlimited perfection of power in the popular part, can be of no service to us in any of our political arrangements. They, who in their headlong career have overpaseed the goal, can furnish no example to those who aim to go no further. The
temerity of such speculators is no more an example than the timidity of others. The one sort scorns the right; the others fear it; both miss it. But those, who by violence go beyond the barrier, are without question the most mischievous; because to go beyond it they overturn and destroy it. To say they have spirit, is to say nothing in their praise. The untempered spirit of madness, blindness, immorality, and impiety, deserves no commendation. He that sets his house on fire because his fingers are frost-bitten, can never be a fit instructor in the method of providing our habitations with a cheerful and salutary warmth. We want no foreign examples to rekindle in us the flame of liberty. The example of our own ancestors is abundantly sufficient to maintain the apirit of freedom in its full vigour, and to qualify it in all ita exertions. The example of a wise, moral, wellnatured, and well-tempered spirit of freedom, is that alone which can be useful to us, or in the least degree reputable or safe. Our fabric is so constituted, one part of it bears so much on the other, the parts are so made for one another, and for nothing else, that to introduce any foreign matter into it, is to destroy it.

What has been said of the Roman empire, is at least as true of the British constitution-Octingentorum annorum fortuna, disciplinaque, compages hac coaluit; quae contelli sine convellentium exitio non potest." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ This British constitution has not been struck out at an heat by a set of presumptuous men, like the assembly of pettifoggers run mad in Paris.
"'Tis not the hasty product of a day,
But the well-ripened fruit of wise delay."
It is the result of the thoughts of many minds, in many ages. It is no simple, no superficial thing, nor to be estimsted by superficial understandings. An ignorant man, who is not fool enough to meddle with his clock, is however sufficiently confident to think he can safely take to pieces, and put together at his pleasure, a moral machine of another guise, importance, and complexity, composed of far other wheels, and springe, and balances, and counteracting and co-operating powers. Men little think how immorally they act in rashly meddling with what they do not understand. Their delusive good in-
tention is no sort of excuse for their presumption. They who truly mean well must be fearful of acting ill. The British constitution may have its advantages pointed out to wise and reflecting minds; but it is of too bigh an order of excellence to be adapted to those which are common. It takes in too many views, it makes too many combinations, to be so much as comprehended by shallow and superficisl understandings. Profound thinkers will know it in its reason and spirit. The less inquiring will recognise it in their feelinga and their experience. They will thank God they have a standard which, in the most essential point of this great concern, will put them on a par with the most wise and knowing.

If we do not take to our aid the foregone ctudies of men reputed intelligent and learned, we shall be always beginners. But men must learn somewhere; and the new teachers mean no more than what they effect, as far as they ancceed, that is, to deprive men of the benefit of the collected wiedom of mankind, and to make them blind disciples of their own particular presumption. Talk to these deluded creatures (all the disciples and most of the mastens) who are taught to think themselves so newly fitted up and furnished, and you will find nothing in their houses but the refuse of Knaven Acre; nothing but the rotten stuff, worn out in the aervice of delusion and sedition in all ages, and which being newly furbished up, patched, and varnighed, serves well enough for those who, being unscquainted with the conflict which has always been maintained between the sense and the nonsense of mankind, know nothing of the former existence and the ancient refutation of the same follies. It is nearly two thousand years since it has been observed, that these devices of ambition, ararice, and tarbuience, were antiquated. They are, indeed, the most ancient of all common-pleces; com-mon-places, sometimes of good and necesary causes; more frequently of the worst, but which decide upon neitherEadem semper causa, Libido et avarition et mavandarwa rerum amor--Ceterum libertas et opeciosa nomina pretexuntur; wee quisquan alienum servitius, et domínationem sibi concupieris, wt now cadem ista vocabula wewrparet.

Rational and experienced men tolerably well know, and
have always known, how to distinguish between true and false liberty; and between the genuine adherence and the false pretence to what is true. But none, except those who are profoundly studied, can comprehend the elaborate contrivance of a fabric fitted to unite private and public liberty, with public force, with order, with peace, with justice, and, above all, with the inatitutions formed for bestoming permanence and atability, through ages, upon this invaluable whole.

Place, for instance, before your eyes, such a man as Montesquieu. Think of a genius not born in every country, or every time; a man gifted by nature with is penetrating, aquiline eye; with a judgment prepared with the most extensive erudition; with an herculean robustness of mind, and nerves not to be broken with labour ; a man who could apend twenty years in one pursuit. Think of a man, like the universal patrierch in Milton, (who had drawn up before him in his prophetic vision the whole series of the generations which were to issue from his loins,) a man capable of placing in review, after having brought together from the east, the west, the north, and the south, from the coarseness of the rudest barbarism to the most refined and aubtle civilization, all the schemes of government which had ever prevailed amongat mankind, weighing, measuring, collating, and comparing them all, joining fact with theory, and calling into council, upon all this infinite assemblage of things, all the speculations which have fatigued the understandings of profound reasoners in all times !-Let us then consider, that all these were but so many preparatory steps to qualify a man, and such a man, tinctured with no national prejudice, with no domestic affection, to admire, and to hold out to the admiration of mankind, the constitution of England! And sholl we Englishmen revale to suoh a suit? Shall we, when so much more than he has produced remains atill to be understood and admired, instead of keeping ourselves in the schools of real science, choose for our teachers men incapable of being taught, whose only claim to know is, that they have never doubted; from whom we can learn nothing but their own indocility; who would teach us to scorn what in the silance of our hearts we ought to adore?

Different from them are all the great critics. They have vaL. III.
taught us one essential rule. I think the excellent snd philosophic artist, a true judge, as well as a perfect follower of nature, Sir Joshua Reynolds, has somewhere applied it, or something like it, in his own profession. It is this, that if ever we should find ourselves disposed not to admire thowe writers or artista, Livy and Virgil for instance, Baphael or Michnel Angelo, whom all the lesrned had admired, not to follow our own fancies, but to study them natil we know how and what we ought to admire; and if we cannot arrive at this combination of admiration with knowledge, rather to believe that we are dull, than that the rest of the world has been imposed on. It is as good a rule, at least, with regard to this admined constitution. We ought to understand it according to oor measure; and to venerate where we are not able presently to comprehend.
Such admisert were our fathers, to whom we owe this splendid inheritance. Let us improve it with zeal, but with fear. Let us follow our ancestors, men not without an retional, though without an exclusive, confidence in themselves; who, by respecting the reason of others, who, by looking backward as well as forward, by the modenty as well an by the energy of their minds, went on, insensibly drawing this conatitution nearer and nearer to it perfection, by bever departing from ita fundamental principles, nor introdncing any amendment which bad not a subsisting root in the laws, constitution, and usages of the kingdom. Let thowe who have the trust of political or of natural authority ever keep wateh againat the desperate enterprises of innovation: let even their benevolence be fortified and armed. They have before their eyes the example of a monarch, insulted, degraded, comfined, deposed; his family dispersed, mattered, imprisomed; his wife ingulted to his face like the vilest of the eex, by the vilest of all popalace; himself three times dragged by theme wretehes in an infamous triumph; his children torn from him, in violation of the first right of nature, and given into the tuition of the mont desperate and impions of the leaders of desperate and impions clabs; his nevenues dilapidated and plandered; his magistrukes murdered; his clergy proscribed, persectuted, famished; his nobility degraded in their rank, undone in their fortanes, fugitives in their penons; his armies corrupted and ruined; his whole people impoverished,
disunited, dissolved; whilst through the bars of his prison, and amidst the bayonets of his keepers, he hears the conflict of two conflicting factions, equally wicked and abandoned, who agree in principles, in dispositions, and in objects, but who tear each other to pieces about the most effectual means of obtaining their common end; the one contending to preserve for a while his name and his person, the more easily to destroy the royal authority-the other clamouring to cut off the name, the person, and the monarchy together, by one sacrilegious execution. All this accumulation of calamity, the greatest that ever fell upon one man, has fallen upon his head, because he had left his virtues unguarded by caution; because be was not taught that, where power is concerned, he who will confer benefits must take security against ingratitude.

I have stated the calamities which have fallen upon à great prince and nation, because they were not alarmed at the approach of danger, and because, what commonly happens to men surprised, they lost all resource when they were caught in it. When I speak of danger, I cartainly mean to addreas myself to those who consider the prevalence of the new Whig doctrines as an evil.

The Whigs of this dsy have before them, in this Appeal, their constitutional ancestors ; they have the doctors of the modern school. They will choose for themselves. The author of the Reflections has chosen for himself. If a new order is coming on, and all the political opinions must pass away as dreams, which our ancestors have worshipped as revelations, I say for him, that he would rather be the last (as certainly he is the least) of that race of men, than the first and greatest of those who bave coined to themselves Whig principles from a French die, unknown to the impress of our fathers in the constitution.

## SPEECH

- 


## THE MOTION MADE FOR PAPERS


FOR CHARGITG TELE NABOB OF ARCOT'S PRTVATE DEBTS TO EURHORE ANs, OM THE REVENURA OF THE CARHATIC.

FEbRUART 2AFE, 1745.
WITH AN APPENDIX, CONTAINING SEVERAL DOCUMEXTE.






 Eatco etr lrageto-Jusian Efint. 17.

## ADVERTISEMENT.

Trat the least informed reader of thin epeech may be enabled to enter fully into the spirit of the transaction, on. occasion of which it was delivered, it may be proper to ac. quaint him, that among the princes dependent on this nation in the southern parts of India, the most considerable at present is commonly known by the title of the Nabob of Arcot.

This prince owed the entsblishment of his goverament, against the claims of his elder brother, as well as those of other competitors, to the arms and influence of the British Fast-India Company. Being thus eatablished in a considerable part of the dominiona he now ponsenses, be began, about the year 1765, to form, at the instigation (as he aceerts) of
the servants of the East-India Company, a variety of designs for the further extension of his territories. Some yeara after, he carried his views to certain objects of interior arrangement, of a very pernicious nature. None of these designs could be compassed without the aid of the Company's arms; nor could those arms be employed consistently with an obedience to the Company's orders. He was therefore advised to form 8 more secret, but an equally powerful, interest among the servants of that Company, and among others both at home and abroad. By engaging them in his interests, the use of the Company's power might be obtained without their ostensible authority; the power might even be employed in defiance of the authority; if the case should require, as in truth it often did require ${ }_{2}$ a proceeding of that degree of boldness.

The Company had put bim into possession of several great cities and magnificent castles. The good order of his aftairs, his sense of personal dignity, his ideas of Oriental splendour, and the habits of an Asiatic life, (to which, being a native of India, and a Mahometan, he had from his infancy been thured, would naturally have led hime to fix the seat of his government within his own dominions. Instead of this, he totally sequestered himself from his country; and, abandoning all appearance of state, he took up his residence in an ordinary house, which he purchased in the suburbs of the Company's factory at Madras. In that plape he has lived, without removing one day from thence, for several years past. He has there contirued a constant cabal with the Company's servants; from the highest to the lowest; crefating, out of the ruins of the country, brilliant fortunes for those who will, and entirely destroying those who will not, be subservient to his purposes.

An opinion prevailed, strongly confirmed by several passages in his own letters, as well as by a combinstion of circumstances forming a body of evidence which cannot be resisted, that very great sums have been by him distributed, through a long course of years, to some of the Company's servants. Besides these presumed payments in ready money, (of which, from the nature of the thing, the direct proof is very difficult,) debts have at several periods been acknowledged to those gentlemen, to an immense amount; that is,
to some millions of sterling money. There is atrong reason to suspect, that the body of these debts is wholly fictitious, and was never created by money bond fide lent. But even on a mpposition that this vast sum was really advanced, it was impossible that the very reality of such an astonishing transaction should not cause some degree of alarm, and incite to some sort of inquiry.

It was not at all seemly, at a moment when the Company itself was so distressed, as to reguire a cuopension, by act of parliament, of the payment of bills drawn on them from India-and also a direct tax upon every house, in England, in order to facilitate the vent of their goods, and to avoid instant insolvency-at that very moment that their servants should appear in so flourishing a condition, as, besides ten millions of other demands on their mastery, to be entitled to claim a debt of three or four millions more from the territo rial revenue of one of their dependent princes.

The ostensible pecuniary transactions of the Nabob of Arcot, with very private persons, are so enormous, that they evidently set aside every pretence of policy, which might induce a prudent government in some instances to wink at ordinary loose practice in ill-managed departmente. No caution could be too great in handling this matter; no ecrutiny too exact. It was evidently the intereat, and sa evidently st least in the power, of the creditora, by admitting secret participation in this dark and undefined concern, to spread corruption to the greatest and the most alarming extent.

These facts relntive to the debte were so notorious, the opinion of their being a principal source of the disorders of the British government m Indis was so mndispated and universal, that there was no party, no description of men in parlisment, who did not think themselven bound, if not in honour and conscience, at least in common decency, to institrute a vigorous inquiry into the very bottom of the busineme, before they admitted any part of that vant and suspicious charge to be laid upon tn exhansted country. Brery plam concurred in directing such an inquiry; in order that whatever was discorered to be corrupt, fraudulent, or oppressive. should lead to a due animadrersion on the offenders; and if axything fair and equitable in its origin should be found,
(nobody suspected that much, comparatively speaking, would be so found,, it might be provided for ; in due subordination, however, to the ease of the subject, and the service of the state.

These were the alleged grounds for an inquiry, settled in all the bills brought into parliament relative to India, and there were I think no less than four of them. By the bill, commonly called Mr. Pitt's bill, the inquiry was specially, and by express words, committed to the court of directors, without any reserve for the interference of any other person or persons whatsoever. It was ordered that they should make the inquiry into the origin and justice of these debts, as far as the materials in their possession enabled them to proceed; and where they found those materiala deficient, they should.order the presidency of Fort St. George [Madras] to complete the inquiry.

The court of directors applied themselves to the execution of the trust reposed in them. They first examined into the amount of the debt, which they computed, at compound interest, to be $£ 2,945,600$ sterling. Whether their mode of computation, either of the original nums, or the amount on compound interest, was exact, that is, whether they took the interest too high, or the several capitals too low, is not. material. On whatever principle any of the calculations were made up, none of them found the debt to differ from the recital of the act, which asserted, that the suma claimed were "very large." The last head of these debts the directors compute st $£ 2,465,680$ sterling. Of the existence of this debt the directors heard nothing until 1776, and they say, that, "although they had repeatedly written to the Nabob of Arcot, and to their servants, respecting the debt, yet they had never been able to trace the origin thereof, or to obtain any satigfhetory information on the asbject."

The court of directors, after atating the circumstances under which the debts appeared to them to have been contracted, add as follows: "For these reasons we should have thought it our duty to inquire very minutely into those debts, even if the act of parliament had been silent on the subject, before we concurred in any measure for their payment. But with the positive injunctions of the act before us, to examine into their nature and origin, we are indispensably bound to
direct such an inquiry to be instituted." They then order the president and council of Madras to enter into a full examination, \&c., \&c.

The directors, having drawn up their order to the prons dency on these principles, communicated the draught of the general letter in which those orders were contained to the board of his Majesty's ministers, and other servants lately constituted by Mr. Pitt's East-India act. These ministers, who had just carried through parliament the bill ordering a specific inquiry, immediately drew up another letter, on a principle directly opposite to that which was prescribed by the act of parliament, and followed by the directors. In these second orders, all idea of an inquiry into the justice and origin of the pretended debts, particularly of the last, the greatest, and the most obnoxious to suspicion, is abandoned. They are all admitted and established without any investigation whatsoever; except some private conference with the agents of the claimants is to pass for an investigation; and a fund for their discharge is assigned and set apart out of the revenues of the Carnatic.-To this arrangement in favour of their servants, servants suspected of corruption, and convicted of disobedience, the directors of the East-India Company were ordered to set their hands, asserting it to arise from their own conviction and opinion, in flat contradiction to their recorded sentiments, their strong remonstrance, and their declared sense of their duty, as well under their general trust and their oath as directors, as under the express injunctions of an act of parliament.

The principles upon which this summary proceeding was adopted by the ministerial board, are stated by themselves in $a$ number in the appendix to this speech.

By another section of the same act, the same court of directors were ordered to take into consideration and to decide on the indeterminate rights of the Rajah of Tanjore and the Nabob of Arcot; and in this, as in the former case, no power of appeal, revision, or alteration, was reserved to any other. It was a jurisdiction, in a cause between party and party, given to the court of directors specifically. It was known that the territories of the former of these princes had been twice invaded and pillaged, and the prince deposed and imprisoned, by the Company's servants, influenced by the in-
trigues of the latter, and for the purpose of paying his pretended debts. The Company had, in the year 1775, ordered I mestoration of the Rajah to his government, under certain 'onditions. The Rajah complained that his territories had not been completely restored to him; and that no part of his yoods, money, revenue, or records, unjustly taken and withield from him, were ever returned. The Nabob, on the other jand, never ceased to claim the country itself, and carried on I continued train of negotistion, that it'should again be given ip to him, in violation of the Company's public faith:

- The directors, in obedience to this part of the act, ordered in inquiry, and came to a detemnination to restore certain of his territories to the Rajah. The ministers proceeding as in the former case, without hearing any party, rescinded the decision of the directors, refused the restitution of the territory, and without regard to the condition of the country of Tanjore, which had been within a few years four times plundered, (twice by the Nabob of Arcot, and twice by enemies brought upon it solely by the politics of the same Nabob, the declared enemy of that people,) and, without discounting a shilling for their sufferings, they accumulate an arrear of about $£ 400,000$ of pretended tribute to this enemy; and then they order the directora to put their hands to a new adjudication, directly contrary to a judgment in a judicial character and trust, solemaly given by them, and eniered on their records.

These proceedings naturally called for some inquiry. On the 28th of February, 1785, Mr. Fox made the following motion in the House of Commons, after moving that the clauses of the act should be read-" That the proper officer do lay before this House copies and extracta of all letters and orders of the court of directors of the united East-India Company, in pursuance of the injupetions contained in the 37th and 38th clauses of the said act;" and the question being put, it passed in the negative by a very great majority.

The last speech in the debate was the following; which is given to the public, not as being more worthy of its attention than others, (eome of which were of consummate ability,) but as entering more into the detail of the nubject.

## SPEECH, \&c.

Tris times we live in, Mr. Speaker, have been distinguisbed by extraordinary events. Habituated, however, as we are, to uncommon combinations of men and of affairs, I believe nobody recollects anything more surprising than the spactacle of this day. The right honourable gentleman,' whose conduct is now in question, formerly stood forth in this House, the prosecutor of the worthy baronet ${ }^{2}$ who spoke after him. He charged him with several grievous acts of malversation in office, with abuses of a public trust of a great and heinous nature. In less than two years we see the bituation of the parties reversed: and a singular revolution puts the worthy baronet in a fair way of returning the promecution in a recriminatory bill of pains and penaltien, grounded on a breach of public trust, relative to the government of the very same part of India If he uhould undertake a bill of that kind, he will find no difficulty in conducting it with a degree of akill and vigour fully equal to all that have been exerted against him.

But the change of relation between these two gentlemen is not so striking as the total difference of their deportment under the same unhappy circumstances. Whaterer the merits of the worthy baronet's defence might have been, be did not shrink from the charge. He met it with manlinems of spirit and decency of behariour. What would have been thought of him, if he had held the present language of his old aceuser? When axticlea were exhibited against him by that right bonourable gentlemm, he did not think proper to tell the House that we ought to imstitute no inquiry, to inspect no paper, to examine no witnese. He did not tell us (what at that time he might have told wa with some show of reasonn) that our connerrns in Indis were matters of delicacy; that to divalge anything relative to them would be mischierous to the utate. He did not tell an, that those who would inquire into his proceedinge were diaposed to dismember the empire. He had not the premumption to eas, that for his part, having obteined in hie Indime presidency tho

- Right bononarible Heary Dunden.
${ }^{2}$ gir Thomen Rowbold, tate gorernor of Modrat
ultimate object of his ambition, his honour was concerned in executing with integrity the trust which had been legally committed to his charge: That others, not having been so fortunate, could not be so disinterested; and therefore their accusations could spring from no other source than faction, and envy to his fortune.

Had he been frontless enough to hold such vain, vapourisg language in the face of a grave, a detailed, a specified matter of accusation, whilst he violently resiated everything which could bring the merits of his cause to the test; had he been wild enough to anticipate the absurdities of this day; that is, had ho inferred, as his late accuser has thought proper to do, that he could not have been guilty of malversation in office, for this sole and curions reason, that he had been in office; had he argued the impossibility of his abusing his power on this sole principle, that he had power to abuse; he would have left but one impression on the mind of every man who heard him, and who believed him in his sensesthat in the utmost extent he was guilty of the charge.

But, Sir, leaving these two gentlemen to alternate, as criminal and accuser, upon what principles they think expedient; it is for us to consider, whether the chancellor of the exchequer, and the treasurer of the nary, acting as a board of control, are justified by law, or policy, in suspending the legal arrangements made by the court of directors, in order to transfer the public revenues to the private emolument of certain servants of the East-India Company, without the inquiry into the origin and justice of their claims, prescribed by an act of parliament?

It is not contended, that the act of parliament did not expressly ordain an inquiry. It is not asserted that this inquiry was not, with equal precision of terms, specially committed under particular regulations to the court of directors. I conceive, therefore, the board of control had no right whatsoever to intermeddle in that business. There is nothing certain in the principles of jurisprudence if this be not undeniably true, that, when a special authority is given to any persons by name, to do some particular act, no others, by virtue of general powers, can obtain a legal title to intrude themselvea into that trust, and to exercise those special function in their place. I therefore consider the intermed.
dling of ministers in this affair as a downright usurpation. But if the strained construction, by which they have forced themselves into a muspicious office, (which every man, delicate with regard to character, would rather have sought constructions to avoid, were perfectly sound and perfectly legal, of this I am certain, that they cannot be justified in declining the inquiry which had been prescribed to the court of directors. If the board of control did lawfully posemen the right of executing the special trust given to that court, they must take it as they foumd it, subject to the very same regulations which bound the court of directors. It will be allowed that the court of directors had no authority to dispense with either the eubstance or the mode of inquiry prescribed by the act of parliament. If they had not, where, in the act, did the board of control acquire that capacity? Indeed, it was impossible they should acquire it.-W What must we think of the fabric and texture of an act of parliament which should find it necessary to prescribe a strict inquisition; that should descend into minute regulations for the conduct of that inquisition; that should commit this trust to a particular description of men, and in the very and breath should enable another body, at their own pleasure, to supersede all the provisions the legislature had made, and to defeat the whole purpose, end, and object of the law? This cannot be mpposed even of an act of partiament conceived by the ministers themselves, and brought forth during the delirium of the last sestion.

My honourable friend hat told you in the speech which introduced his motion, that fortunately this question is not a great deal involved in the labyrinths of Indian detail. Certainly not. But if it were, I beg leave to aemure you, that there in nothing in the Indian detail which is more difficult than in the detail of any other businese. I admit, because I have amme experienco of the fact, that for the interior regulation of Indis, a minute knowledge of Indis is roquisite. But on any eqecific matter of delinqueney in ite government, you are as capable of judging, at it the momo thing were done at your door. Frasd, imjustice, oppresion, peculation, engendered in India, are crimes of the same blood, family, and cast, with those that are born and bred in Rngland. To go no further than the ease before ne: jou are
just es competent to judge whether the sum of four millions sterling ought, or ought not, to be passed from the public treasury into the private pocket, without any titie except the claim of the parties, when the issue of fact is laid in Madras, as when it is laid in Westminster. Terms of art, indeed, are different in different places; but they are generally underatood in none. The technical style of an Indian treasury is not one jot more remote than the jargon of our own exchequer from the train of our ordinary ideas, or the idiom of our-common language. The difference, therefore, in the two eases, is not in the comparative difficulty or facility of the two subjectg, but in our attention to the one, and our total neglect of the other. Had this attention and neglect been rogulated by the value of the several objects, there would be nothing to complain of. But the reverse of that aupposition is true. The acene of the Indian abuse is distant indeed; but we must not infer, that the value of onr interest in it is decreased in proportion as it recedes from our view. In our politics, as in our common conduct, we shall. be worse than infants, if we do not put our senses under the tuition of our judgment, and effectually cure ourselves of that optical illusion which makes a brier at our nose of greater magnitude, than an oak at five hundred yards distance.

I think I can trace all the calamities of this country to the single source of our not having had steadily before our eyes a general, comprehensive, well-comnected, and well-proportioned view of the whole of our dominions, and a just sense of their true bearings and relations. After all its reductions, the British empire is atill vast and various. After all the reductions of the House of Commons, (stripped as we are of our brighteat ornaments, and of our most important privileges,) enough are yet left to furnish us, if we please, with means of showing to the world, that we deserve the superintendence of as large an empire as this kingdom ever held, and the continuance of as ample privilegea as the House of Commons, in the plenitude of its power, had been habituated to assert. But if we make ourselves too little for the sphere of our duty; if, on the contrary, we do not stretch and expand our minds to the compass of their object; be well assured, that everything about us will dwindle by degrees, until at length our concerns are shrunk to the dimensions of our
minds. It is not a predilection to mean, sordid, home-bred cares, that will avert the consequences of a false entimation of our interest, or prevent the shameful dilapidation into which a greatempire must fall, by mean reparations upon mighty ruing.

I confess I feel a degree of disgust, almost leading to dospair, at the manner in which we are acting in the great exigencies of our country. There is now a bill in this House, appointing a rigid inquisition into the minutert detail of our offices at home. The collection of sixteen millions annually; a collection on which the public greatness, safety, and credit have their reliance; the whole order of criminal jurisprudence, which holds together society itself, has at no time obliged us to call forth such powers; no, nor anything like them. There is not a prineiple of the law and constitution of this country that is not subverted to favour the execution of that project. ${ }^{1}$ And for what is all this apparatus of bustle and terror? I\% it because anything substantial is expected from it? No. The stir and bustle itself is the end proposed. The eyeservants of a short-sighted master will employ themelves, not on what is most essential to his affairs, but on what is nearest to his ken. Great difficulties have given a just value to economy; and our minister of the day must be an economist, whatever it may cost us. But where is he to exert his talents? At home to be sure; for where else can he obtain a profitable credit for their exertion ? It is nothing to him, whether the object on which he work under our eye be promising or not. If he does not obtain any public benefit, he may make regulations without end. Those are aure to pay in present expectation, whilst the effect is at a distance, and may be the concern of other times, and other men. On these principles he chooses to suppose (for he does not pretend more than to suppose) a naked poseibility, that he shall draw some resource out of crumbs dropped from the trenchens of perrury; that something shall be laid in store from the short allowance of revenue officers, overiaden with duty, and famished for want of bread; by a redaction from officers who are at this very hour ready to batter the treasury with what breaks through stone walls, for an increase of their sppointmenta. From the marrowleas bones of these okeleton establishmente, by the use of every sort of catting, and of
${ }^{4}$ Appendir, No. I.
every sort of fretting tool, he flatters himself that he may chip and rasp an empirical alimentary powder, to diet into some similitude of health and substance the languishing ehimeras of fraudulent reformation.

Whilst he is thus employed according to his policy and to his taste, he has not leisure to inquire into those abuses in India that are drawing off money by millions from the treasures of this country, which are exhausting the vital juices from members of the state, where the public inanition is far more sorely felt, than in the local exchequer of England. Not content with winking at these abuses, whilst he attempts to squeeze the laborious, ill-paid dradges of English revenue, he lavishes in one act of corrupt prodigality, apon those who never served the public in any honest occupation at all, an annual income equal to two-thirds of the whole collection of the revenues of this kingdom.

Actuated by the same principle of choice, he has now on the anvil another scheme, full of difficulty and desperate hazard, which totally alters the commercial relation of two kingdoms; and what end soever it shall have, may bequeath a legacy of heart-burning and discontent to one of the countries, perhaps to both, to be perpetuated to the lateat posterity. This project is also undertaken on the hope of profit. It is provided, that out of some (I know not what) remains of the Irish hereditary revenue, a fund at some time, and of some sort, should be applied to the protection of the Irish trade. Here we are commanded again to task our faith, and to persuade ourselves, that out of the surplus of deficiency, out of the savings of habitual and systematic prodigality, the minister of wonders will provide support for this nation, sinking under the mountainous load of two hundred and thirty millions of debt. But whilst. we look with pain at his desperate and laborious trifling, whilst we are apprehensive that he will break his back in stooping to pick up chaff and atrawe, he recovers himself at an elastic bound, and with a broad-cast swing of his arm, he squanders over his Indian field a sum far greator than the clear produce of the whole hereditary revenue of the kingdom of Ireland. ${ }^{1}$

The whole of the net Irish hereditary revenme is, on a medium of the last seren years, about $£ 330,000$ yearly. The revenues of all denominn-

Strange as this acheme of conduct in ministry is, and inconsistent with all just policy, it is still true to itself, and faithful to ite own perverted order. Those who are bountiful to crimes, will be rigid to merit, and penurious to eervice. Their penury is even held out as a blind and cover to their prodigality. The economy of injustice is, to furnish resources for the fund of corruption. Then they pay off their protection to great crimes and great criminals, by being inexorable to the paltry frailties of little men; and these modern flagellants are sure, with a rigid fidelity, to whip their own enormities on the vicarious back of every amall offender.
It is to draw your attention to economy of quite another order, it is to animadvert on offences of a far different degcription, that my honourable friend has brought before you the motion of this day. It is to perpetuate the abuses which are subverting the fabric of your empire, that the motion is opposed. It is therefore with reason (sund if he has power to carry himself through, I commend hiy prudence) that the right honourable gentleman makes his otand at the very outset; and boldly refuses all parliannentary information. Let him admit but one step towards inqury, and he is undone. Yon must be ignorant, or he cannot be safe. But before his curtain is let down, and the shades of eternal night shall veil our Eastern dominions from our view, permit me, Sir, to avail myself of the mesns which were furnished in anrious and inquisitive timea, to demonstrate out of this single act of the present minister, what advantage you are to derive from permitting the greateat concern of this nation to be separated from the cognizance, and exempted even out of the competence, of parliament. The greatest body of your revenue, your most numerous armiea, your most im portant commerce, the richest sources of your public credit, (contrary to every ides of the known, settled poliey of England,) are on the point of being converted into a mystery of ctate. You ane going to have one-half of the giobe hid even from the eommon liberal cariowity of an Englinh gentleman. Here grand revolution commencew. Mart tho

[^3]period, and mark the circumstances. In most of the capital changes that are recorded in the principles and syatem of any government, a public benefit of some kind or other has been pretended. The Revolution commenced in something plausible; in something which carried the appearance at least of punishment of delinquency, or correction of abuse. But here, in the very monaent of the conversion of a departm ment of British government into an Indian mystery, and in the very act in which the change commences, a corrupt, private interest is set up in direct opposition to the necessities of the nation. A diversion is made of millions of the public money from the public treasury to a private purse. It is not into secret negotiations for war, peace, or alliance, that the House of Commons is forbidden to inquire. It is a matter of account; it is a pecuniary transaction; it is the demand of a suspected steward upon ruined tenants and an embarrassed master, that the Commons of Great Britain are commanded not to inspect. The whole tenor of the right honourable gentleman's argument is consonant to the nature of his policy. The system of concealment is fostered by a system of falsehood. False facts, false colours, false names of persons and things, are its whole support.

Sir, I mean to follow the right honourable gentleman over that field of deception, clearing what he has purposely obscured, and fairly stating what it was necessary for him to misrepresent. For this purpose, it is necessary you should know, with some degree of distinctness, a little of the locality, the nature, the circumstances, the magnitude of the pretended debts on which this marvellous donation is founded, as well as of the persons from whom and by whom it is claimed.

Madras, with its dependencies, is the second (but with a long interval, the eecond) member of the British empire in the East. The trade of that city and of the adjacent territory, was, not very long ago, among the most flourishing in Asia. But since the establishment of the British power, it has wasted away under an uniform gradual decline; insomuch that in the year 1779 not one merchant of eminence was to be found in the whole country. ${ }^{1}$ During this period of decay, about six hundred thousand sterling pounds a year have been

[^4]drawn off by English gentlemen on their private account, by the way of Chins alone.! If we add four hundred thousand as probably remitted tbrough other channels, and in other mediums, that is, in jewels, gold, and silver, directly brought to Eurape, and in bills upon the British and foreign companies, you will scarcely think the matter over-rated. If we fix the commencement of this extraction of money from the Carnatic at a period no earlier than the year 1760, and close it in the year 1780, it probably will not amonnt to a great deal less than twenty millions of money.

During the deep, silent flow of this steady $\begin{gathered}\text { tream of }\end{gathered}$ wealth, which set from India into Europe, it generally passed on with no adequate observation; but happening at tome periods to meet rifts of rocks that checked its course, it grew more noisy and attracted more notice. The pecuniary discussions caused by an accomulation of part of the fortunes of their servants in a debt from the Nabob of Arcot, was the first thing which very particularly called for, and long engaged, the attention of the conrt of directors. This debt amounted to eight hundred and eighty thousand pounds sterling, and was claimed, for the greater part, by English gentlemen; residing at Madras. This grand capital, settled at length by order at 10 per cent., afforded an annuity of eighty-eight thousand pounds. ${ }^{2}$

Whilst the directors were digenting their atonishment at this information, a memorial was presented to them from three gentlemen, informing them that their friends had lent likewise, to merchanta of Canton in Chins, a sum of not more than one million ater\}ing. In thim memorial they called upon the Company for their assintance and interponition with the Chinese government for the recovery of the debt. This sum lent to Cbinese merchante, was at 24 per cent., which would gield, if paid, an annuity of two humdred and forty thoumand pounds. ${ }^{2}$

Perplexed as the directors were with these demands, you may conceive, Sir, that they did not find themelves very

[^5]much disembarrassed by being made acquainted that they must again exert their influence for a new reserve of the happy parsimony of their servants, collected into a second debt from the Nabob of Arcot, amounting to twe millions four hundred thousand pounds, settled at an intereat of 12 per cent. This is known by the name of the Consolidation of 1777, as the former of the Nabob's debts was by the title of the Consolidation of 1767 . To this was added, in a separate parcel, a little reserve called the Cavalry debt, of one hundred and sixty thousand pounds, at the same interest. The whole of these four capitals, smounting to four millions four hundred and forty thousand pounds, produced at their several rates, annuitiea amounting to aix hundred and twenty-three thouannd pounds a year; a good deal more than one-third of the clear land-tax of England, at four ahillings in the pound; a good deal more than double the whole annual dividend of the East-India Company, the nominal masters of the proprietors in these funds. Of this interest, three hundred and eighty-three thousand two hundred pounds a year stood chargeable on the public revenues of the Carnatic.

Sir, at this moment, it will not be necessary to consider the various operations which the capital and interest of this debt have successively undergone. I shall speak to these operations when I come particularly to answer the right honourable gentleman on each of the heads, as he has thought proper to divide them. But this was the exact view in which these debte first appeared to the court of directors, and to the world. It varied afterwards. But it never appeared in any ather than a most questionable shape. When this gigantic phantom of debt first appeared before a young minister, it naturally would have justified nome degree of doubt and apprehension. Such a prodigy would have filled any common man with superstitious fears. He would exorcise that shapeless, nameless form, and by everything sacred would have adjured it to tell by what means a amall number of slight individuals, of no consequence or situation, possessed of no lucrative offices, without the command of armies, or the known administration of revenues, without profession of any kind, without sny sort of trade sufficient to employ a pedlar, could have, in a few years, (as to some, even in a few months,) amassed treasures equal to the reve-
nues of a respectable kingdom? Was it not enough to put these gentlemen, in the noviciate of their administration, on their guard, and to call upon them for a atrict inquiry, (if not to justify them in a reprobation of those demands with out any inquiry at all,) that when all England, Scotland, and Ireland, had for years been witneses to the immense sums laid out by the serrants of the Company in stocke of all denominations, in the parchase of lands, in the baying and building of houses, in the securing quiet eeats in parliament, or in the tumultuons riot of contested elections, in wendering throughout the whole range of thoee variegated moder of inventive prodigality, which sometimes have excited our wonder, sometimes roused our indignation; that after all, India was four millions etill in debt to them? India in debt to thew! For what? Every debt for which an equivelent of some kind or other is not given, is, on the face of it, $s$ fraud. What is the equivalent they have given? What equiralent had they to give? What are the articles of commerce, or the branches of manufacture, which those gentlemen have carried hence to enrich Indis? What are the sciences they beamed out to enlighten it? What are the arta they introduced to cheer and to adorn it? What are the religiona, what the moral imstitutions they have taught smong that people as a guide to life, or as a consolation when life is to be no more, that there is an eternal debt, a debt "etill paj. ing, still to owe," which must be bound on the present gemeration in India, and entailed on their mortgaged porterity for ever? A debt of millions, in favour of a set of men, whove names, with few exceptions, mre either buried in the obseurity of their origin and talente, or dragged ixto light by the enormity of their crimes?

In my opinion the courage of the minister wan the mont wonderful part of the transaction, especially ${ }^{2}$ he must have read, or rather, the right honourable gentleman myy, he has read for him, whole volume apon the subject. The volumeen, by the way, are not by onetenth part so mumerons a the right honourable geatleman has thought proper to pretend, in order to frighten you from inquiry; but in thene volumes, cach me they are, the minister mast have found a fuil sutbor. ity for a suspicion (at the very least) of everything relative to the great fortomes made at Madras. What is that author-
ity? Why no other than the standing authority for all the claims which the ministry has thought fit to provide forthe grand debtor-the Nabob of Arcot himself. Hear that prince, in the letter written to the court of directors, at the precise period, whilst the main body of these debts were contracting. In his letter he states himself to be, what undoubtedly he is, a most competent witness to this point. After speaking of the war with Hyder Ali in 1768 and 1769, and of other measures which he censures, (whether right or wrong it signifies nothing,) and into which he says he had been led by the Company's servants; he proceeds in this manner-"If all these things were against the real interests of the Company, they are ten thousand times more against mine, and against the prosperity of my country, and the happiness of $m y$ people ; for your interests and mine are the same. What were they owing to then? To the private views of a few individuals, who have enriched themselves at the expense of your influence, and of my country; for your servants HAVE NO TRADE IN THIS COUNTRY, neither do you pay them high wages, yet in a few years they return to England with many lacks of pagodas. How can you or I account for such immense fortunes acquired in so short a time, without any visible means of getting them?"
When he asked this question, which involves its answer, it is extraordinary that euriosity did not prompt the chancellor of the exchequer to that inquiry, which might come in vain recommended to him by his own act of parliament. Does not the Nabob of Arcet tell us, in so many words, that there was no fair way of making the enormous sums sent by the Company's servants to England? And do you imagine that there was or could be more honesty and good faith, in the demands for what remained behind in India? Of what nature were the transections with himself? If you follow the train of his information you must see, that if these great sums were at all lent, it was not property, but spoil, that was lent; if not lent, the transaction was not a contract, but a fraud. Either way, if light enough could not be furnished to authorize a full condemnation of these demands, they ought to have been left to the parties, who best knew and underatood each other's proceedings. It was not necessary that the authority of government ahould interpose in favour
of claims, whose very foundation was a defiance of that anthority, and whose object an end was its entire aubversion.
It may be asid that this letter was written by the Nabob of Arcot in s moody hamour, under the influence of aome chagrin. Certainly it was; but it is in such humours that truth comes out. And when he tells you from his own knowledge, what every one must presume, from the extreme probability of the thing, whether he told it or not, one such testimony is worth a thousand that contradict that probar. bility, when the parties have a better understanding with each other, and when they have a point to carry, that may unite them in a common deceit.

If this body of private claims of debt, real or devised, were a question, as it is falsely pretended, between the Nabob of Arcot as debtor, and Paul Benfield and hia amocisten as creditors, I am sure I should give myself but little trouble sbout it. If the hoards of oppression were the fund lor satisfying the claims of bribery sand peculation, who would wish to interfere between such litiganta? If the demands were confined to what might be drawn from the treasurea, which the Company's records uniformly sssert that the Nabob is in possession of; or if he had mines of gold or silver, or diamonds, (as we know that he has none, these gentlemen might break open his hoards, or dig in his mines, without any disturbance from mo. But the gentlemen on the other side of the House know as well as $\mathbf{I}$ do, and they dare not contradict me, that the Nabob of Arcot and his creditors are not adversaries, but collusive parties, and that the whole transaction is under a false colour and fislse namen. The litigation is not, nor ever has been, between their rapacity and his hoarded riches. No; it is between him and them combining and confederating on one aide, and the public revenues, and the miserable inhabitants of a ruined country, on the other. These are the real plaintiffs and the real dofendants in the suit. Refusing a shilling from his hoards for the satisfaction of any demand, the Nabob of Arcot is alway" ready, nay, he earneatly, and with eagernem and pasion, contende for delivering up to these pretended creditore his territory and his subjects. It is therefore not from tresmuries and mines, but from the food of your tmpaid armies, from the blood withheld from the veins, and whipt out of the
backs, of the most miserable of men, that we are to pamper extortion, usury, and peculation, under the false names of debtors and creditors of state.
The great patron of these creditors, (to whose honour they ought to erect statues, the right honourable gentleman, ${ }^{1}$ in stating the merits which recommended them to his farour, has ranked them under three grand divisions. The firat, the creditors of 1767 ; theu the creditors of the cavalry loan; and lastly, the creditors of the loan in 1777. Let us examine them, one by one, as they pass in review before us.

The first of these loans, that of 7767 , he insists, has sn indisputable claim upon the public justice. The creditors, he affirme, lent their money publicly; they advanced it with the express knowledge and approbation of the Company; and it was contracted at the moderate interest of 10 per cent. In this loan the demand is, according to him, not only just, but meritorious in a very high degree; and one would be inclined to believe he thought so, because he has put it last in the provision he has made for these claims.

I readily admit this debt to stand the fairest of the whole ; for whatever may be my suspicions concerning a part of it, I can convict it of nothing worse than the most enormous usury. But I can convict upon the spot, the right honourable gentleman of the most daring misrepresentation in every one fact, without any exception, that he has alleged in defence of this loan, and of his own conduct with regard to it. I will show you that this debt was never contracted with the knowledge of the Company; that it had not their approbation; that they received the first intelligence of it with the utmost poseible surprise, indignation, and alarm.

So far from being previously apprized of the transaction from its origin, it was two yeare before the court of directors obtained any official intelligence of it. "The dealings of the servants with the Nabob were concealed from the first, until they were found out," (eaye Mr. Sayer, the Company's counsel,) "by the report of the country". The presidency, however, at last thought proper to send an official account. On this the directors tell them, "to your great reprosch it has been concealed from us. We cannot but suspect this debt to have had ite weight in your proposed aggrandizement of
${ }^{1}$ Mr. Dundas.

Mahomed Ali [the Nabob of Arcot]; but whether it has 05 has not, certain it is you are guilty of an high breach of duty in concealing it from us."

These expressions, concerning the ground of the transaction, its effect, and its clandestine nature, are in the letters, bearing date March 17, 1769. After receiving a more full account on the 23rd March, 1770, they state, that "Messre. John Pybus, John Call, and James Bourchier, as trusteen for themselves and others of the Nabob's private creditors, had proved a deed of aseignment upon the Niabob and his son of FIFTEEN districts of the Nabob' country, the revenue of which yielded, in time of peace, eight lacks of pagodas [ $£ 320,000$ sterling] annually; and likewise an astignment of the yearly tribute paid the Kabob from the Kajah of Tanjore, amounting to four lacke of rupee [ $£ 40,000]$," The territorial revenue, at that time poseessed by these gentlemen, without the knowledge or consent of their masters, amounted to three hundred and sixty thoussand poundo eterling annually. They were making rapid strides to the entire poseesvion of the country, when the directors, whom the right honourable gentleman states as having authorized these proceedings, were kept in such profound ignorance of this royal acquisition of territorial revenue by their servants, that in the same letter they say, "this aseignment was obtained by three of the mombers of your boord, in January, 1767, yet we do not find the leane trace of it npon your consultations, until August, 1768, nor do any of your letters to ye sford any information relative to much transactions, till the first of November, 1768. By your last letters of the 8th of May, 1769, you bring the whole proceedings to light in one view."

As to the previous knowledge of the Company, and ite aanction to the debta, yon see that this aswertion of that knowledge is utterly unfounded. But did the directorn approve of it, and ratify the transaction when it wat known? The very revens. On the same third of Manch, the direetors declare, "upon an inportial examination of the whole conduct of our late governor and connel of Fort Greorge, (Madras,) and on the fullest consideration, that the arid governor and conncil have, in motoriows violation of the trued reposed in them, manifestiy preforred the intorest of pricote individuals to that of the Company, in permitting the sesign-
ment of 'the revenues of certain valuable districts, to a very large amount, from the Nabob to individuals,"-and then, highly aggravating their crimes, they add, "we order and direct that you do examine, in the most impartial manner, all the above-mentioned transactions; and that you punish by suspension, degradation, dismission, or otherwise, as to you shall seem meet, all and every such servant or servants of the Company, who may by you be found guilty of any of the above offences." "We had (say the directors) the mortification to find that the servants of the Company, who had been raised, supported, and owed their present opulence to the advantages gained in such service, have in this instance most unfaithfully betrayed their trust, abandoned the Cormpany's interest, and prostituted its influence to accomplish the purposes of individuale, whilst the interest of the Company is al most wholly neglected, and payment to us rendered extremely precarious." Here then is the rock of approbation of the court of directors, on which the right honourable gentleman says this debt was founded. Any member, Mr. Speaker; who should come into the House, on my reading this sentence of condemnation of the court of directors against their. unfaithful servante, might well imagine that he had heard a harsh, severe, unqualified invective against the present ministerial board of control. So exactly do the proceedings of the patrons of this abuse tally with those of the actors in it, that the expression used in the condemnation of the one, may serve for the reprobation of the other, without the change of a word.
To read you all the expressions of wrath and indignation fulminated in this despatch against the meritorious creditors of the right honourable gentleman, who according to him have been so fully approved by the Company, would be to read the whole.

The right honourable gentlemsn, with an address peculiar to himself, every now and then slides in the presidency of Madras, as synonymous to the Company. That the presidency did approve the debt, is certain. But the right houourable gentleman, as prudent in suppressing as skilful in bringing forward his matter, has not chosen to tell you that the presidency were the very persons guilty of contracting this loan; creditors themselves, and agents and trustees for
all the other creditors. For this the court of directors accuse them of breach of trust; and for this the right honourable gentleman considers them as perfectly good authority for those claims. It is pleasant to hear a geutleman of the law quote the approbation of creditors as an authority for their own debt.

How they came to contract the debt to themselves, how they came to act an agenta for those whom they ought to have controlled, is for your inquiry. The policy of this debt was announced to the court of directors by the very persons concerned in creating it. "Till very lately" (say the presidency) "the Nabob placed his dependence on the Company. Now he has been tanght by ill adrisers, that an interest out of doors may stand him in good stead. He bas been made to believe that his private oreditors have pover and inverest to over-rule the court of directors." I The Nabob was not misinformed. The private creditors instantly qualified a vast number of rotes: and having made themselves masteri of the court of proprietors, as well an extending a powerful cabal in other places as important, they so completely overturned the authority of the court of directors at home and abroad, that this poor, baffled government was soon obliged to lower its tone. It was glad to be admitted into partnership with its own servants. The eourt of directors, eatablishing the debt which they had reprobated ass a breach of trust, and which wae planned for the subversion of their suthority, settled ite payments on a par with thowe of the pablic; and even so were not able to obtain peace or even equality in their demands. All the consequence lay in a regular and irreaistible train. By employing their influence for the recovery of this debt, their orders, inared in the asme breath, against ereating new debta, only mimated the strong desires of their servante to this prohibited, prolific eport, and it soon produced stwarm of sons and dsughters,

I For the flueats of the ereditors, and total mbresnion of the wethority of the Compeny in favour of the Niabob't power, and the bmereast thereby of him evil disponitions, and the greas dernopement of afl pablie concerDs, see select committee Port 8l. George's Letters, 21: Novembar, 1769, and Jamary 31:t, 1770; September IJth. 1772. And Goversor Borarchier's letters to the Nabob of Areof, 21at Norember, 1769, ned Deetaber 94, 1769 .
not in the least degenerated from the virtue of their pa-
rents: rents:

From that moment the suthority of the court of directors expired in the Carnatic, and everywhere else. "Every man," say the presidency," who opposes the government and its measures, finds an immediato countenance from the Nabob; even our discarded officers, however unworthy, are received into the Nabob's service." ${ }^{1}$ It was indeed a matter of no wonderful sagacity to determine whether the court of directors, with their miserable salaries to their servants, of four or five hundred pounds a year, or the distributor of millions, was most likely to be obeyed. It was an invention beyond the imagination of all the speculatists of our speculating age, to see a government quietly settled in one and the same town, composed of two distinct members; one to pay scantily for obedience, and the other to bribe high for rebellion and revolt.

The next thing which recommends this particular debt to the right honourable gentleman is, it seems, the moderate interest of 10 per cent. It would be lost labour to observe on this assertion. The Nabob, in a long apologetic letter ${ }^{2}$ for the transaction between him and the body of the creditors, states the fact, as I shall state it to you. In the accumulation of this debt, the first interest paid was from 30 to 36 por cent., it was then brought down to 25 per oont., at length it was reduced to 20 ; and there it found its rest. During the whole process, as often as any of these monstrous interests fell into an arrear, (into which they were continually falling, the arrear, formed into a new capital, ${ }^{8}$ was added to the old, and the same interest of 20

1 "He [the Nabob] is in a great degree the cause of our present inability, by diverting the revenues of the Carnatic through private channels." -"Even this Peahcush, [the Tanjore tribute,] circumstanced as he and we are, he has assigned over to others, soho nowo set themselves in oppoeition to the Company." Consultations, October 11, 1764, on the l2th communicated to the Nabob.

1 Nabob's letter to Governor Palk. Papery published by the directors in 1775, and papers printed by the same authority, 1781.

3 See papers printed by order of a general court in 1780, p. 222 and p. 224, as algo Nabob's lettor to Governor Dupre, 19th July, 177!. "I hava taken up loans by which I have suffered a loss of tupwards of a score of pagodas [four millions sterling] by intorest on a heavy intereat." Letter 15th January, 1772, "Notwithatanding I have taken much trouble, and
per cent. accrued upon both. The Company, having got come scent of the enormous usury which prevailed at Madras, thought it necessary to interfere, and to order all intereste to be lowered to 10 per cent. This order, which contsined no exception, though it by no means pointed particularly to this class of debts, came like a thander-clap on the Nabob. He coxsidered his political credit as ruined; but to find as remedy to this unexpected evil, he again added to the old principal 20 per cont. interest accruing for the last year. Thus a new fund was formed; and it was on that accumulation of various principals, and interesta heaped upon interents, not on the sum originally lent, an the right honourable gentleman would make you believe, that 10 per cent. was mettled on the whole.

When you consider the enormity of the interest at which these debts were contracted, and the several interents added to the principal, I believe you will not think me so sceptical, if I shonld donbt, whether for this debt of $£ 880,000$ the Nabob ever caw £ 100,000 in real money. The right honourable gentleman suspecting, with all his absolute dominion over fact, that he never will be able to defend even thir venerable, patriarchal job, though sanctified by its numerous issue, and hoary with prescriptive years, has recourse so recrimination, the last resource of guilt. He asys that this loan of 1767 was provided for in Mr. Fox's Indis bill ; and judging of others by his own nuture and principles, be more than insinuatea, that this provision was made, not from any sense of merit in the claim, but from partiality to General Smith, a proprietor, and an agent for that debt. If partiality conld have had any weight against justice and policy, with the then ministers and their friends, General Smith had titles to it. But the right honourable gentleman knows as well as I do, that General Smith was very far from looking on himself as partially treated in the arrangements of that time; indeed What man dare to hope for private partinlity in that eacred plan for relief to nations?

It is not'necessary that the right hononrable gentleman should arcastically call that time to our recollection. Well do I remember every circumetence of that memorable period.

[^6]God forbid I should forget it! O illustrious disgrace! 0 victorious defeat! May your memorial be fresh and new to the latest generations! May the day of that generous conflict be stamped in characters never to be cancelled or worn out from the records of time! Let no man hear of us, who shall not hear that in a struggle against the intrigues of courts, and the perfidious levity of the multitude, we fell in the cause of honour, in the cause of our country, in the cause of human nature itself! But if fortune should be as powerful over fame, as she has been prevalent over rirtue, at least our conscience is beyond her jurisdiction. My poor share in the support of that great measure no man shall ravish from me. It shall be safely lodged in the sanctuary of my heart; never, never to be torn from thence, but with those holds that grapple it to life.

I say, I well remember that bill, and every one of its honest and its wise provisions. It is not true that this debt was ever protected or enforced, or any revenue whatsoever set apart for it. It was left in that bill just where it atood; to be paid or not to be paid out of the Nabob's private treasures according to his own discretion. The Company had actually given it their sanction; though always relying for its validity on the sole security of the fsith of him, who without their knowledge or consent entered into the original obligation. It had no other sanction; it ought to have had no other: So far was Mr. Fox's bill from providing funds for it, as this ministry have wickedly done for this, and for ten times worse transactions, out of the public estate, that an express clause immedistely preceded, positively forbidding any British subject from receiving assignments upon any part of the territorial revenue, on any pretence whatsoever. ${ }^{\text { }}$

You recollect, Mr. Speaker, that the chancellor of the exchequer strongly profeased to retain every part of Mr. Fox's bill, which was intended to prevent abuse; but in his India bill, which (let me do justice) is as able and skilful a performance for its own purposes, as ever issued from the wit of man, premeditating this iniquity-hoc ipsum ut strueret Trojamque aperiret Achivis, expunged this essential clause, broke down the fence which was raised to cover the public property against the rapacity of his partisans, and

$$
\text { The Nabob of Arcot } \quad \text { Appendir, No. III. }
$$

thus levelling every obstroction, he made a firm, broad highway for sin and death, for uøury and oppremsion, to renew their ravages throughout the devoted revenued of the Carnatic.

The tenor, the policy, and the consequences of this debt of 1767, are, in the eyea of ministry, oo excellent, that it merits are irresistible; and it takes the lead to give credit and countenance to all the rest. Along with this chosen body of heavy-armed infantry, and to support it, in the line, the rigbt honourable gentleman has stationed bis corps of black cavalry. If there be any advantage between this debt and that of 1769, according to him the cavalry debt has it. It is not a subject of defence: it is a theme of panegyric. Listen to the right honourable gentleman, and you will find it was contracted to save the country ; to prevent mutiny in armies; to introduce economy in revenuen; and for all these honourable purposes, it originated at the express desire, and by the representative anthority, of the Company itself.

First, let me say a word to the authority. This debt was contracted not by the authority of the Company, not by it representatives, (as the right bonourable gentleman ha the unparalleled confidence to aseert,) but in the ever-mennorable period of 1777, by the usurped power of those who rebellioualy, in conjunction with the Nabob of Arcot, had overturned the lawful government of Madram. For that rebellion this House nnanimonsly directed a public prosecution. The delinquents, after they had subverted government, in order to make to themselves a party to support them in their power, are universally known to have dealt jobe about to the right and to the left, and to any who were willing to receive them. This uarpation, which, the right honourable gentleman well knows, was brought about by and for the great mase of these pretended debta, is the enthority which ss set up by him to represent the Company; to represent that Company which, from the first moment of their hearing of thim corropt and frandulent tramsaction to this hour, have uniformly dicomed and disavowed it.

So mach for the authority. As to the feets, partly true, and partly colourable, as they stand recorded, they are in substance these. The Nabob of Areot, as soon as he had thrown off the superiority of this country by means of thewe
reditors, kept up a great arny which he never paid. Of rourse, his soldiers were generally in a state of mutiny. ${ }^{1}$ The lsurping council say that they laboured hard with their naster the Nabob, to persuade him to reduce these mutinous and useless troops. He consented; but, as usual, pleaded nability to pay them their arrears. Here was a difficulty. Che Nabob had no money; the Company had no money; svery public supply was empty. But there was one resource rhich no season has ever yet dried up in that climate. The ooucars were at hand; that is, private English money-jobbers sffered their assigtance. Messieurs Taylor, Majendie, and Jall proposed to advance the small sum of $£ 160,000$ to pay. off the Nabob's black cavalry, provided the Company's au;hority was given for their loan. This was the great yoint of policy always aimed at, and pursued through a hundred levices, by the servants at Madras. The presidency, who themselves had no authority for the functions they presumed to exercise, very readily gave the sanction of the Company to those servants who knew that the Company, whose sanction was demanded, had positively probibited all such transsetions.
However, 的 far as the reality of the dealing goes, all is hitherto fair and plausible; and here the right honourable gentleman concludes, with commendable prudence, his aecount of the business. But here it is I shall beg leave to commence my supplement: for the gentleman's discreet modeaty has led him to cut the thread of the story somewhat abruptly. One of the most essential parties is quite forgotten. Why should the episode of the poor Nabob be omitted? When that prince chooses it, nobody can tell his story better. Excuse me, if I apply again to my book, and give it you from the first hand, from the Nabob himself.
" Mr. Stratton became aequainted with this, and got Mr. Taylor and others to lend me four lacks of pagodas towards discharging the arrears of pay of my troops. Upon this, I wrote a letter of thanks to Mr. Stratton; and, upon the faith of this money being paid immediately, I ordered many of my troops to be discharged by a certain day, and lessened the number of my servants. Mr. Taylor, \&c., some time after acquainted me, that they had no ready money, but they

- See Mr. Dendan's lst, 2nd, and 3rd reports.
would grant teeps payable in four months. This antonished me; for I did not know what might happen, when the sepoys were dismissed from my eervice. I begged of Mr. Taylor and the others to pay this sum to the officers of my regiments at the time they mentioned; and demired the officers, at the same time, to pacify and persuade the men belonging to them, that their pay would be given to them at the end of four months; and that, till those arrears were discharged, their pay should be continued to them. Two years are nearly expired since that time, but Mr. Taylor has not yet entirely discharged the arrears of those troopn, and I am obliged to continue their pay from that time till this. I hoped to have been able, by this expedient, to have lessened the number of my troops, and discharged the arrears due to them, considering the trifle of interest to Mr. Taylor, and the others, an no great matter; but instead of this, $I$ ans oppresed with the burthen of pay due to thove troopt; and the interest, which it going on to Mr. Taylon from the day the teeps were granted to him." What I have read to you is an extract of a letter from the Nabob of the Carnatic to Governor Rumbold, dated the 22nd, and received the 24th, of March, 1779.'

Suppose his Highness not to be well broken in to things of this kind, it must indeed surprise so known and established a bond vender, at the Nabob of Arcot, one who keept himmelf the largeat bond warehouse in the world, to find that he was now to receive in kind; not to take money for his obligations, but to give his bond in exchange for the bond of Mes sieura Taylor, Majendie, and Call, and to pay, beaidem a good, smart interest, legally. 12 per cent. (in reality perhspe 20 or 24 per cest.) for this exchange of paper. But bis troopa were not to be so paid, or to disbanded. They wanted bread, and could not live by cutting and sbuffing of bonds. The Nabob still kept the troops in service, and was obliged to continue, as you have seen, the whole erpense, to exonerate himself from which the became indebted to the noncar:s.

Had it atood here, the transaction would have been of the most andacions strain of fraud and asury perhaps ever before discovered, whatever might have been practised and concealed. But the ame sathority (I mean the Nabob's)

1 See further Consaltations, ard Febrinery, 1778.
brings before you something if possible more striking. He states, that, for this their paper, he immediately handed over to these gentlemen something very different from papor; that is, the receipt of a territorial revenue, of which it seems they continued as long in posseasion as the Nabob himself continued in possession of anything. Their payments therefore not being to commence before the end of four months, and not being completed in two years, it must be presumed, (unless they prove the contrary,) that their payments to the Nabob were made out of the revenues they had received from his sssignment. Thus they condescended to accumulate a debt of $£ 160,000$, with an interest of 12 per cent. in compensation for a lingering payment to the Nabob of £160,000 of his own money.

Still we have not the whole; about two years after the assignment of those territorial revenues to these gentlemen, the Nabob receives a remonstrance from his chief' manager, in a principal province, of which this is the tenor-"The entire revenue of those districts is by your Highness's order aet apart to discharge the tiucaws [assignments] granted to the Europeans. The gomastahs [agente] of Mr. Taylor, to Mr. De Fries, are there in order to collect those tuncaws; and as they receive all the revenue that is collected, your Highness's troops have seven or eight months' pay due, which they cannot receive, and are thereby reduced to the greatest distress. In such times, it is highly necessary to provide for the suatenance of the troops, that they may be ready to exert themselves in the service of your Highness."

Here, Sir, you see how these causes and effects act upon one another. One body of troops mutinies for want of pay; a debt is contracted to pay them; and they still remain unpaid. A territury, destived to pay other troops, is assigned for this debt; and these other troops fall into the same state of indigence and mutiny with the first. Bond is paid by bond; arrear is turned into new arrear; usury engenders new usury; mutiny, suspended in one quarter, starts up in another; until all the revenues, and all the establishments, are entangled into one inextricable knot of confusion, from which they are only disengaged by being entirely destroyed. In that state of confusion, in a very few months after the dnte of the mereorial I have just read to you, things were -TOL. IH.
found, when the Nabob's troops, famished to feed English soucars, instead of defending the country, joined the invaders, and deserted in entire bodies to Hyder Ali. ${ }^{1}$
The manner in which this transsction was carried on, showa that good examples are not easily forgotten, eapecially by those who are bred in 8 great achool. One of those splendid examples give me leave to mention, at a somewhat more early period, because one fraud furnishes light to the discovery of another, and so on, until the whole secret of mysterious iniquity bursta upon you in a blaze of detection. The paper I shall read you is not on record. If you plesse you may take it on my word. 'It is a letter written from one of undoubted information in Madras, to Sir John Clavering, describing the practice that prevailed there, whilst the Company's allies were under sale, during the time of Governor Winch's administration.
"-_One mode," says Clavering's corrempondent, "of amassing money at the Nabob's coot is curious. He ge nerally in arrears to the Company. Here the governor, being cash-keeper, is generally on good terms with the banker, who manages matters thas: The governor presees the Nabob for the balance due from him; the Nabob flies to his banker for relief; the banker engagea to pay the money, and grants his notes ascordingly, which he puts in the cashbook as ready money; the Nabob pays him an interest for it at two or three per cont. por mencers, till he tunkswil he granta on the particulse districte for it are paid. Matters in the mean time are 80 managed, that there is no call for this money for the Company's service till the tunkawa become due. By this means not a cash is adranced by the banker, though he receives a heavy intereat from the Nabob, which is divided as lavful spoil".

Here, Mr. Speaker, you have the whole art and myatery, the true free-mason secret of the profession of sowcering; by which afew imocent, inerperienced foung Englinbmen, ouch as Mr. Paul Benfield, for instance, without property upon which any one would lend to themselves a single shil ling are enabled at onee to take province in mortgage,

[^7]to make princes their debtors, and to become creditors for millions.

But it seems the right honourable gentleman's favourite soucar cavalry have proved the payment before the mayor's court at Madras! liave they so ?. Why then defraud our anxiety and their characters of that proof? Is it not enough that the charges which I have laid before you, have stood on record against these poor injured gentlemen for eight years? Is it not enough that they are in print by the orders of the East-India Company for five years? After these gentlemen have borne all the odium of this publication, and all the indignation of the directors, with such unexampled equanimity, mow that they are at length stimulated into feeling, are you to deny them their just relief? But will the right honourable gentleman be pleased to tell us, how they came not to give this satisfaction to the court of directors, their lawful masters, during all the eight years of this litigated claim? Were they not bound, by every tie that can bind man, to give them this satisfaction? This day, for the first time, we hear of the proofs. But when were these proofs offered? In what cause? Who were the parties? Who inspected? Who coritested this belated account? Let us nee something to oppose to the body of record which appears against them. The mayor's court! the mayor's court! Plessant! Does not the honourable gentleman know, that the first corps of creditors (the creditors of 1767) stated it as a sort of hardship to them, that they could not.have justice at Madras, from the impossibility of their supporting their claims in the mayor's court ? Why? because, say they, the members of that court were themselves creditors, and therefore could not ait as judges. Are we ripe to say that no creditor under similar circumstances was member of the court, when the payment which is the ground of this cavalry debt was put in proof? ${ }^{2}$ Nay, are we not in a manner compelled to con-

[^8]clude, that the court was so constituted, when we know there is scarcely a man in Madras, who has not kome participation in these transactions? It is a shame to hear such proofs mentioned, instead of the honest, vigorous scrutiny, which the circumstances of auch an affair to indispensably call for.

But his Majesty's ministers, indulgent enough to other scrutinies, have not been satisfied with authorizing the payment of this demand without such inquiry as the act has preacribed; but they have added the arrear of 12 per cent. intereat, from the year 1777 to the year 1784, to make a new capital, raising thereby 160 to $\mathbf{x} 294,000$. Then they charge a new 12 per cent. on the whole from that period, for a transaction, in which it will be a miracle if a tingle penny will be ever found really advanced from the private stock of the pretended creditors.

In this manner, and at such an interent, the ministers have thought proper to dispose of $£ 294,000$ of the pablic nevenuem, for what is called the conalry loan. After derpatching this, the right honourable gentleman leads to battle his last grand division, the consolidated debt of 1777. But having exhausted all his panegric on the two first, he has nothing at all to say in favour of the last. On the contrary, he admite that it was contracted in defiance of the Company's orderis, without even the pretended sanction of any pretended representativea. Nobody, indeed, has yet been found hardy enough to atand forth avowedly in ita defence. But it is little to the credit of the age, that what has not plausibility enongh to find an advocate, has influence enough to obtain a protector. Could any man expect to find that protector anywhere? But what must every man think, when he finds that protector in the chsirman of the committee of secrecy, ${ }^{1}$ who had publiohed to the House, and to the world, the facte that condemn these dehte-the orders that forbid the incurring of them-the dreadful consequences which attended
gaged and agreed to pey-not that they had paid the mum of 8160,000 . This vas two years after the transection; and the affidavit it pade befort George Proctor, mayor, tin attorney for certain of the old ereditors. Proceediogs of the pretident and comocil of Port it George, Khoul Pebruary, 1779.
${ }^{2}$ Highe haownable Henry Demda.
them. Even in his official letter, when he tramples on his parliamentary report, yet his general language is the same. Read the preface to this part of the ministerial arrangement, and you would imagine that this debt was to be crushed, with all the weight of indignation which could fall upon a vigilant guardian of the public treasury upon those who attempted to rob it. What must be felt by every man who hes feeling, when, after such a thundering preamble of condemnation, this debt is ordered to be paid without any sort of inquiry into its authenticity? without a single step taken to settle even the amount of the demand? without an attempt so much as to ascertain the real persons claiming a sum, which rises in the accounts from one million three hundred thousand pounds sterling to two millions four hundred thouasnd pounds principal money ? ${ }^{1}$ without an attempt made to dscertain the proprietors, of whom no list has ever yet been laid before the court of directors; of proprietors who are known to be in a collusive shuffle, by which they never appear to be the same in any two lists, handed about for their own particular purposes ?

My honourable friend, who made you the motion, has sufficiently exposed the nature of this debt. He has stated. to you that its own agente, in the year 1781, in the srrangement they proposed to make at Calcutta, were satisfied to have 25 per cent. at once struck off from the capital of a great part of this debt ; and prayed to have a provision made for this reduced principal, without any interest at all. This was an arrangement of their own, an arrangement made by those who best knew the true constitution of their own debt; who knew how little favour it merited, ${ }^{2}$ and how little

[^9]hopes they had to find any persons in authority abandoned enough to support it as it stood.
But what corrupt men, in the fond imaginations of a sanguine avarice, had not the confidence to propose, they. have found a chancellor of the exchequer in England hardy enough to undertake for them. He has cheered their drooping spirits. He has thanked the peculators for not despairing of their commonwealth. He bas told them they were too modest. He has replaced the 25 por cent. which, in order to lighten themselves, they had abandoned in their conscious terror. Instead of cutting off the interent, as they bad themselves consented to do, with the fourth of the capital, he has added the whole growth of four years' usury of 12 per cent. to the first overgrown principal; and has again grafted on this ameliorated ntock a perpetual annuity of 6 por cent., to take place from the year 1781. Let no man hereafter talk of the decaying energies of nature. All the acts and monuments in the records of peculation, the consolidated corruption of ages, the patterns of exemplary plunder in the heroic times of Roman iniquity, never equalLed the gigantic corruption of this single act. Never did Nero, in all the insolent prodigality of despotism, deal out to his pretorian guards a donation fit to be named with the largees showered down by the bounty of our chancellor of the exchequer on the faithful band of bis Indian eepoys.

The right honourable gentleman ' leto you freely and voluntarily into the whole transaction. So perfectly hay his condact confounded his understanding, that he fairly telle you, that through the course of the whole business he has never conferred with any but the agente of the pretended creditors. After this, do you want more to eatablish a secret understanding with the parties ? to fix, beyond a doubt, their collusion and participation in a common frased?

If this were not enough, he has furnished you with other presumptions that are not to be shakew It is one of the known indications of guilt to stagger and prevaricate in a atory ; and to vary in the motives that are assigoed to conduct. Try these ministers by this rule. In their official despatch, they tell the presidency of Madras, that they have established the debt for two reasons; first, because the Nabob (the
party indebted) does not disputé it; secondly, becsuse it is mischievous to keep it longer afloat; and that the payment of the European creditors will promote circulation in the country. These two motives (for the plainest reasons in the world) the right honourable gentleman has this day thought fit totally to abandon. In the first place, he rejects the authority 'of the Nabob of A.reat. It would indeed be pleasant to see him adhere to this exploded testimony. He next, upon grounds equally solid, abandons the benefita of that circulation, which was to be produced by drawing out all the juices of the body. Laying aside, or forgetting, these pretences of his despatch, he has just now assumed a principle totally different, but to the full as extraordinary. He proceeds upon a supposition, that many of the claims may be fictitious. He then finds, that in a case where many valid and many fraudulent claims are blended together, the best course for their diacrimination is indiscriminately to establish them all. He trusta (I suppose) as there may not be a fund sufficient for every description of creditors, that the best warranted claimants will exert themselves in bringing to light those debts which will not bear an inquiry. What he will not do himself, he is persuaded will be done by others; and for this purpose he leaves to any person a general power of excepting to the debt. This total change of language and prevarication in principle is enough, if it stood alone, to fix the presumption of unfair dealing. Hisdespatch assigns motives of policy, concord, trade, and circulation. His speech proclaims discord and litigations; and proposes, as the ultimate end, detection.

But he may shift his reasons, and wind and turn as he will, confusion waits him at all his doubles. Who will undertake this detection ? Will the Nabob? But the right honourable gentleman has himself this moment told us, that no prince of the country can by any motive be prevailed upon to discover any frand that is practised upon him by the Company's servants. He says what (with the exception of the complaint against the cavalry loan) all the world knows to be true: aud without that prince's concurrence, what evidence can be had of the fraud of any the smallest of these demands? The ministers never authorized any person to enter into his exchequer, and to search bis records. Why then this shame-
ful and insulting mockery of a pretended contest ? Already contests for a preference have arisen among these rival bond creditors. Has not the Company itself struggled for a preference for years, without any attempt at detection of the nature of those debte with which they contended? Well is the Nabob of Arcot attended to in the only opecific complaint he has ever made. He complained of unfair dealing in the cavalry loan. It is fixed upon him with interest on interest; and this loan is excepted from all power of litigation.

This day, and not before, the right honourable gentleman thinks that the general establiehment of all claimy in the sureat way of laying open the frand of come of them. In India this is a reach of deep policy. But what would be thought of this mode of acting on a demand upont the treasury in Englandf Instead of all this cunning, is there not one plain way open, that is, to put the barthen of the proof on those who make the demand? Ought not ministry to have said to the creditors, "The person who admite your debt etanda excepted to as evidence; be otands charged as a collusive party, to hand over the public revenues to you for sinister purposes? Yon say, you have a demand of some millions on the Indian treasury; prove that you have acted by lawful authority; prove at least that your money has been bond fide adranced; entitle yourself to my protection, by the fairness and fulness of the communications you make." Did an honest creditor ever refuse that reasonable and homeat test?

There is littie doubt, that several individusla have been seduced by the purveyors to the Nabob of Areot, to put their money (perhaps the whole of honest and laborious earninge) into their hands, and that at such high interest, as, being condemmed at law, leavea them at the mency of the great managers whom they trusted. These seduced creditors are probably persons of no power or intereat either in England or Iadia, and may be just objecte of compasion. By tating, in this arrangement, no measures for discrimination and discovery, the frandulent and the fair are in the first initance confounded in one mase. The subsequent selection and distribution is left to the Nabob. With him the agents and instruments of his corruption, whom be sees to be omnipotent in England, and who may merre him in future, as they
have done in times past, will have precedence, if not an exclusive preference. These leading interests domineer, and have always domineared, over the whole. By this arrangement, the persons seduced are made dependent on their seducers; honesty' (comparative honesty at least) must become of the party of fraud, and must quit its proper character, and its just claims, to entitle itself to the aims of bribery and peculation.

But be these English creditors what they may, the creditors, most certainly not fraudulent, are the natives, who are numerous and wretched indeed: by exhausting the whole revenues of the Carnatic, nothing is left for them. They lent bond fide; in all probability they werg even forced to lend, or to give goods and service to the Nabob's obligations. They had no trusta to carry to his market. They had no faith of alliances to sell. They had no nations to betray to robbery and ruin. They had no lawful government seditiously to overturn; nor had they a governor, to whom it is owing that you exist in India, to deliver over to captivity, and to death, in a shamefal prison. ${ }^{1}$

These were the merits of the principal part of the debt of 1777, and the universally conceived causes of its growth; and thus the unhappy natives are deprived of every hope of payment for their real debts, to make provision for the arrears of unsatisfied bribery and tresson. You see in this instance; that the presumption of guilt is not only no exception to the demands on the public treasury, but with these ministers it is a necessary condition to their support. But that you may not think this preference solely owing to their known contempt of the natives, who ought with every generous mind to claim their first charities; you will find the same rule religiously observed with Furopeans too. Attend, Sir, to this decisive case.-Since the beginning of the war, besides arrears of every kind, a bond debt has been contracted at Madras, uncertain in its amount, but represented from four hundred thousand pounds to a million sterling. It stands only at the low interest of 8 per cent. Of the legal authority on which this debt was contracted, of its purposes for the very being of the state, of its publicity and fairness, no doubt has been entertained for a moment. For this debt
${ }^{1}$ Lord Pigot.
no sort of provision whatever has been made. It is rejected as an outcast, whilst the whole undisaipated attention of the minister has beera employed for the discharge of claims entitled to his favour by the merite we have seen.

I have endesvoured to find out, if possible, the amount of the whole of those demands, in order to see how much, zupposing the country in a condition to furnioh the fund, may remain to satisfy the public debt and the necessary eatablishonents. But I have been foiled in my attempt. About one-fourth, that is, about $£ 220,000$ of the loan of 1767, remains unpaid. How much interest is in arrear, I could never discover: seven or eight yeart at leant, which would make the whole of that debt about $\mathbf{£ 3 9 6 , 0 0 0}$. This stock, which the ministers in their instructions to the governor of Madras state as the least exceptionable, they have thought proper to distinguish by a marked severity, leaving it the only one, on which the interest is not added to the principal, to beget a new intereat.

The cavairy loan, by the operation of the mame authority, is made up to $£ 294,000$, and this $£ 294,000$, made up of principal and interest, is crowned with a new interent of 12 per qent.

What the grand loan, the bribery loan of 1777, may be, is amongst the deepest mysteries of atate. It in probsbly the first debt ever assuming the title of consolidation, that did not express what the amount of the num consolidated was. It is little less than a contradiction in terms. In the debt of the year 1767, the som was stated in the act of comsolidstion, and made to smount to $\mathbf{E} 880,000$ capital. When this consolidation of 1777 was first announced at the Durbar, it was represented authentically at $\pm 2,400,000$. In that, or rather in a higher otate, Sir Thomas Rumbold found and condemned it.' It afterwards fell into such a terror, as to
'In Sir Thomas Rumbold's letter to the coart of directorn, March 15, 1778, he represent it an higher, in the following Examner:-How thaill paine to you my estonishment on my arrival here, when I wa moroned that independent of this forar lactes of pagod= [the earalry lown] ; indopendent of the Niabob's debt to hie otd creditorm, and the money due to the Comp priy ; he had contracted a debe to the esormons emonnt of eittythree back of pagodad [ $82,5 \mathrm{fu}, 000$. ] I mention thim cireumatance to you ciet horror; for the ereditgrs being in gewert weratete of the Comparany,


日weat away a million of its weight at once; and it sunk to $£ 1,400,000 .^{1}$ However it never was without a resource for recruiting it to its old plumpness. There was a sort of flosting debt of about 4 or $£ 500,000$ more ready to be added, as occasion should require.

In short, when you pressed this sensitive plant, it always contracted its dimensions. When the rude hand of inquiry was withdrawn, it expanded in all the luxuriant vigour of ith original vegetation. In the treaty of 1781, the whole of the Nabob's debt to private Europeans is by Mr. Sullivan, agent to the Nabob and his creditors, stated at $£ 2,800,000$, which (if the cawalry loan, and the remains of the debt of 1767, be subtracted) leaves it nearly at the amount originally declared at the Durbar, in 1777. But then there is a private instruction to Mr. Sullivan, which it seems will reduce it again to the lower standard of $£ 1,400,000$. Failing in all my attempts, by a direct account, to ascertain the extent of the capital claimed, (where in all probability no capital was ever advanced, ) I endesvoured, if possible, to discover it by the interest which was to be paid. For that purpose, I looked to the several agreements for assigning the territories of the Carnatic to secure the principal and interest of this debt. In one of them ${ }^{2}$ I found in a sort of postscript, by way of an additional remark, (not in the body of the obligation, the debt represented at $£ 1,400,000$. But when I computed the sums to be paid for interest by instalments in another paper,

[^10]I found they produced an interest of two millions, at 12 per cent., and the assignment sopposed, that if these instalmenta might exceed, they might also fall short of, the real provision for that interest. ${ }^{1}$

Another instalment bond was afterwards granted. In that bond the interest exactly tallies with s capital of $\mathbf{£ 1 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 2}$ But pursuing this capital through the correspondence, I lost eight of it again, and it was semerted that this instalment bond was considerably short of the interent that ougbt to be computed to the time mentioned. ${ }^{3}$ Here are, therefore, two statements of equal authority, differing at least a million from each other: and as neither persone claim ing, nor any special sum as belonging to each particular claimant, is ascertained in the instrumenta of comsolidation, or in the instalment bondo, a! large scope was left to throw in any sums for any persons, as their merite in advancing the interest of that losn might require; a power was alno left for reduction, in ease a harder hand, or more acanty funds, might be found to require it. Stronger grounds for a pre somption of fraud never sppeared in any transaction. But the ministers, faithful to the plan of the interested perrons, whon alone they thought fit to conter with on this occasion, have ardered the payment of the whole mana of these unknown, unliquidated suma, without an attempt to ascertain them. On this condnct, sir, I leave you to make four own reflections.

It in impoesible (at least I have found it imponible) to fix on the real mount of the pretended debts with which your mimisters have thought proper to load the C'srastic. They are obweure; they mhon inquiry; they are enormorial That is all you know of them.

That you may judge what chance any honourable and useful end of government has for a provision that comea in for the leavings of these glattonons demands, I must take it on myself to bring before you the real condition of that abosed, imsolted, racked, and ruined cormitry; though in truth my mind revolte from it though yon will hear it with horror;

[^11]and I confess I tremble when I think on these awful anc confounding dispensations of Providence. I shall first trouble you with a few words as to the cause.

The great fortunes made in India, in the beginnings of conquest, naturally excited an emulation in all the parts, and through the whole succession, of the Company's service: But in the Company it gave rise to other sentiments. They did nqt find the new channels of acquisition flow with equal riches to them. On the contrary, the high fiood-tide of private emolument was generally in the lowest ebb of their affairs. They began also to fear, that the fortune of war might take away what the fortune of war had given. Wars were accordingly discouraged by repeated injunctions and menaces; and that the servanta might not be bribed into them by the native princes, they were strictly forbidden to take any money whatsoever from their hands. But vehement passion is ingenious in resources. The Company's servants were not only stimulated, but better instructed by the prohibition. They soon fell upon a contrivance which answered their purposes far better than the methods which were forbidden; though in this also they violated an ancient, but they thought an abrogated, order. They reversed their proceedings. Instead of receiving presents, they made loans. Instead of carrying on wars in their own name, they contrived an authority, at once irresistible and irresponsible, in whose name they might ravage at pleasure; and being thus freed from sll restraint, they indulged themselves in the most extravagant speculations of plunder. The cabal of creditors who have been the object of the late bountiful grant from his Majesty's ministers, in order to possess themselves, under the name of creditors and assignees, of every country in India, as fast as it should be conquered, inspired into the mind of the Nabob of Arcot (then a dependent on the Company of the humblest order) a scheme of the most wild and desperate ambition, that I believe ever was admitted into the thoughts of a man so situated. 1 First, they per-
1 Report of the select committee, Madras Consultations, January 7, 1771. See also papers published by the order of the court of directors in 1776 ; and Lord Macartney's correspondence with Mr. Hastings and the Nabob of Arcol. See also Mr. Dundas's Appendix, No. 37ti, B. Na-
suaded him to consider himself as a principal member in the political system of Europe. In the next place they held out to him, and he readily imbibed, the ides of the general empire of Indostan. As a preliminary to this undertaking, they prevailed on him to propose a tripartite division of that vast country. One part to the Company; another to the Marattas; and the third to himseli To himself he reserved all the southern part of the great penimsula, comprehended under the general name of the Decan.

On this scheme of their servants, the Company was to appear in the Carnatic in no other light than as a contractor for the provision of armies, and the hire of metcenaries for his use, and under his direction. This disposition was to be secured by the Nabob's patting himself under the guarantee of France, and, by the means of that rival nation, preventing the English for ever from assuming an equality, much lesa s auperiority, in the Carnatic. In pursuance of this treasonable project, (treasonable on the part of the English,) they extingaished the Company as a sovereign power in that part of India; they withdrew the Company's garrisons out of all the forta and strong-holds of the Carnatic; they declined to receive the ambassadors from foreign courts, and remitted them to the Nabob of Arcot; they fell upon, and totally deatroyed, the oldeat ally of the Company, the king of Tanjore, and plondered the country to the amonnt of near five millions sterling; one after another, in the Nabob's name, but with English force, they brought into a miserable servitude all the princes, and great independent nobility, of a vast couptry. ${ }^{1}$ In proportion to these treasons and violences, which ruined the people, the fund of the Nabob's debt grew and flourished.
bob's propositions through Mr. Sulliver' and Amam Khitn, Art. 6, and indeed the whole.
" "The principal object of the expelition in to get moocy from Tamjoxe to pay the Ninbob's debt; if a surplua, to be applied in divecharge of the Nabob's debts $t \mathrm{hin}$ privite ereditors." Cormaliationa, Merch 20,1771 ; and for further lights; Consultations, 12th Jume, 1771." We are alarmed leas thin debr to indioidwale whould have been the real motive for the aggrindizememt of Mahomed Aii, (the Nisbob of Arcot,) and that we ore phanged into a wour to pat him in ponemion of the Myyore revenues for the divalarge of ate dole." Letter from the Directon, March 17, 1769.

Among the victims to this magnificent plan of universal plunder, worthy of the heroic avarice of the projectors, you have all heard (and he has made himself to be well remembered) of an Indian chief called Hyder Ali Khan. This man possessed the western, as the Company under the name of the Nubob of Arcot does the eastern, division of the Carnatic. It was among the leading measures in the design of this cabal, (according to their own emphatic language, to extirpate this Hyder Ali. ${ }^{1}$ They declared the Nabob of Arcot to be his sovereign, and himself to be a rebel, and publicly invested their instrument with the sovereignty of the kingdom of Mysore. But their victim was not of the passive kind. They were soon obliged to conclude a treaty of peace and close alliance with this rebel, at the gates of Madras. Both before and since that treaty, every principle of policy pointed out this power as a natural alliance; and on his part, it was courted by every sort of amicable office. But the cabinet council of English creditors would not suf-fer their Nabob of Arcot to sign the treaty, nor even to give to a prince, at least his equal, the ordinary titles of respect and courtesy. ${ }^{2}$ From that time forward, a continued plot was carried on within the divan, black and white, of the Nabob of Arcot, for the destruction of Hyder Ali. Ais to the outward members of the double, or rather treble, government of Madras, which had signed the treaty, they were always prevented by some over-ruling influence (which they do not describe, but which cannot be misunderstood) from performing what justice and interest combined so evidently to enforce. ${ }^{3}$

When at length Hyder Ali found that he had to do with men who either would sign no convention, or whom no treaty and no signature could bind, and who were the determined enemies of human intercourse itself, he decreed to make the country possessed by these incorrigible and predestinated criminals a memorable example to mankind. He resolved, in the gloomy recesses of a mind capacious of such things, to

[^12]leave the whole Carnatic an everlasting monument of vengeance, and to put perpetual desolation as a barrier between him and those, against whom the faith which holds the moral elements of the world together was no protection. He became at length so confident of his force, so collceted in his might, that he made no secret whatsoever of his dreadful resolution. Having terminated his disputes with every cnemy, and every rival, who buried their mutual animosities in their common detertation against the creditors of the Nabob of Arcot, he drew from every quarter whatever a savage ferocity could add to his new rudiments in the arta of destruction; and compounding all the materials of fury, bavoc, and desolation, into one black cloud, he hung for a while on the de-" clivities of the mountains. Whilst the authors of all these evils were idly and stupidly gazing on this menacing meteor, which blackened all their horizon, it suddenly burst, and poured down the whole of its contents upon the plains of the Carnatic.-Then ensued a scene of woe, the like of which no eye had seen, no heart conceived, and which no tongue can adequately tell. All the horrors of war before known or heard of, were mercy to that new havoc. A storm of universal fire blasted every field, consumed every house, destroyed evcry temple. The miserable inhabitants fying from their flaming villages, in part were slaughtered; others, without regard to sex, to age, to the respect of rank, or sacredness of function, fathers torn from children, husbands from wives, enveloped in a whirlwind of cavalry, and amidst the goading spears of drivers, and the trampling of pursuing horses, were swept into captivity, in an unknown and hostile land. Those who were able to evade this tempest, fled to the walled cities. But escaping from fire, sword, and exile, they fell into the jaws of famine.

The alms of the aettlement, in this dreadful exigency, were certainly liberal; and all was done by charity that private charity could do; but it. was a people in beggary; it was a nation which stretched out its hands for food. For months together these creatures of sufferance, whose very excess and luxury in their most plenteous days had fallen short of the allowance of our austerest fasts, silent, patient, resigned, without sedition or disturbance, almost withont complsint, perished by an hundred a day in the streets of

Madrus; every day seventy at least laid their bodies in the streets, or on the glacis of Tanjore, and expired of famine in the granary of India. I was going to awake your justice towards this unhappy part of our fellow-citizens, by bringing before you some of the circumstances of this plague of hunger. Of all the calamities which beset and waylay the life of man, this comes the nearest to our heart, and is that wherein the prondest of us all feels himself to be nothing more than be is; but I find myself unable to manage it with decorum; these details are of a species of horror so nauseous and disgusting; they are so degrading to the sufferers and to the hearers; they are so humiliating to human na ture itself; that, on better thoughts, I find it more advisable to throw a pall over this hideous object, and to leave it to your general conceptions.
${ }^{1}$ For eighteen months, without intermission, this destruction raged from the gates of Madras to the gates of Tanjore; and so completely did these masters in their art, Hyder Ali and his more ferocious son, absolve themselves of their impious vow, that when the British armiea traversed, as they did, the Carnatic for hundreds of miles in all directions, through the whole line of their march they did not see one man, not one women, not one child, not one four-footed beast of any description whatever. One dead, uniform silence reigned over the whole region. With the inconsiderable exceptions of the narrow vicinage of some few forts, I wish to be understood as speaking literally;-I mean to produce to you more than three witnesses, above all exception, who will support this assertion in its full extent. That hurricane of war passed through every part of the central provinces of the Carnatic. Sir or seven districts to the north and to the south (and these not wholly untouched) eecaped the general ravage.

The Carnatic is a country not much inferior in extent to England. Figure to yourself, Mr. Speaker, the land in whose representative chair you sit; figure to yourself the form and fashion of your aweet and cheerful country from Thames to Trent north and south, and from the Irish to the German Sea east and west, emptied and embowelled (may God avert the omen of our crimes!) by so accom-
${ }^{1}$ Appendix, No. IV. Report of the Comimittee of assigned Revenue. vol LL
plished a desolation. Extend your imagination a little farther, and then suppose your ministers taking a survey of this scene of waste and desolation; what would be your thoughts if you should be informed, that they were computing how much had been the amount of the excises, how much the customs, how much the land and malt tay, in order that they should charge (take it in the most favourable light) for public service, upon the relics of the satiated vengeance of relentless enemies, the whole of what England had gielded in the most exuberant seasons of peace and abundance? What would you call it? To call it tyrenny sublimed into madness, would be too faint an image; yet this very madness is the principle upon which the ministere at your right hand have proceeded in their eatimate of the revenues of the Carnatic, when they were providing, not supply for the establishments of its protection, bat, rewards for the authors of its ruin.

Every day you are fatigued and disgusted with this cant, "the Carnatic is a country that will soon recover, and become instantly as prosperous as ever." They think they are talking to innocents, who will believe that, by sowing of dragons' teeth, men may come up ready grown and ready armed. They who will give themselves the trouble of considering (for it requires no great reach of thought, no very profound knowledge) the manner in which mankind are increased, and conntries cultivated, will regard all this raving as it ought to be regarded. In order that the people, after a long period of vexation and plonder, may be in a condition to maintain government, government must begin by maintaining them-Here the road to economy lies not through receipt, but through expense; and in that country nature bas given no short cut to your object. Men must propagate, like other animals, by the mointh. Never did oppremaion light the nuptial torch; never did extortion and usury epread out the genial bed. Does any of you think that England, so wasted, would, under such a nursing attendance, so rapidly and eheaply recover? But be is meanly acquainted with either Fngland or Indis, who does not know that England would a thousand times sooner resume population, fer-' tility, and what ought to be the ultimate aecretion from both, revenue, than such a country as the Carnatic.

The Carnatic is not by the bounty of nature a fertile soil. The general size of itg cattle is proof enough that it is much otherwise. It is some days since I moved, that a curious and interesting map, kept in the India House, should bo laid before you. ${ }^{1}$ The India House is not yet in readiness to send it; I have therefore brought down my own copy, and there it lies for the use of any gentleman who may think such a matter worthy of his attention. It is indeed a noble map, and of noble things; but it is decisive against the golden dreams and sanguine speculations of avariee run mad. In addition to what you know must be the case in every part of the world, (the necessity of a previous provision of habitation, seed, stock, capital,) that map will show you, that the uses of the influences of heaven itself are in that country a work of art. The Carnatic is refreshed by few or no living brooks or running 'streams, and it has rain only at a season; but its product of rice exacta the use of water subject to perpetual command. This is the national bank of the Carnatic, on which it must have a perpetual credit, or it perishes irretrievably. For that reason, in the happier times of Indis, a number, almost incredible, of reservoirs have been made in chosen places throughout the whole country; they are formed for the greater part of mounds of earth and stones, with sloices of solid misonry ; the whole constructed with admirable skill and labour, and maintained at a mighty charge. In the territory contained in that map alone, I have been at the trouble of reckoning the reservoirs, and they amount to upwards of eleven hundred, from the extent of two or three acres to five miles in circuit. From these reservoirs currents are occasionally drawn over the fields, and these water-courses again call for a considerable expense to keep them properly scoured and duly levelled. Taking the district in that map as a measure, there cannot be in the Carnatic and Tanjore fewer than ten thousand of these reservoirs of the larger and middling dimensiona, to say nothing of those for domestic services, and the uses of religious purification. These are not the enterprises of your power, nor in a style of magnificence suited to the taste of your minister. These are the monuments of real kings, who were the fathers of their people; testators to a posterity which
${ }^{2}$ Mr. Barnard's map of the Jaghire.
they embraced as their own. These are the grand sepulchrem built by ambition; but by the ambition of an insatiable benevolence, which, not contented with reigning in the diopentation of happiness during the contracted term of human life, had strained, with all the reachings and graspings of a vivacious mind, to extend the dominion of their bounty beyond the limits of nature, and to perpetuate themselves throngh generations of generations, the guardians, the pro tectors, the nowrishers of mankind.

Long before the late invasion, the persons who are objecte of the grant of public money now before you had so diverted the supply of the pious funds of culture and population, that everywhere the reservoirs were fallen into a misersble decay.' But after thooe domentic enemies had provoked the entry of a crual foreign foe into the country, he did not leave it, until his revenge had completed the destruction begun by their avarice. Few, very few indeed, of these magazine of water that are not either totally dentroyed, or cut through with such gapa, as to require aserious attention and much cost to re-establish them, as the means of present subuistence to the people, and of future revenue to the utate.

What, Sir, would a virtuous and enlightened ministry do on the riew of the ruins of such works before them? On the view of such a chasm of desolation as that which yawned in the midat of those countries to the north and south, which etill boxe some veatiges of eultivation? They would have reduced all their moet necescary establishments; they would have suspended the justest peyments; they would have employed every shilling derived from the produeing, to reanimate the powers of the unproductive, parts. While they were performing this fondamental duty, whilat they were celebrating theme mysteries of justice and hamanity, they would bave told the corps of fictitione ereditors, whowe crimes were their claimes, that they must keep an anfol distance; that they mast ailence their imsaspicious tongues; that they must hold of their profane, mhallowed pawie from thin holy wort; they woold have proclaimed with a voice that should make itaelf heard, that on every comotry the firat creditor in the plough; that this original, indefeasible chtim supersedes every other demand.
' Sce Bepost IV. Mr. Demders' coenaitices, p. 46.

This is what a wise and virtuous ministry would have done and said. This, therefore, is what our minister could never think of saying or doing. A ministry of another kind would have first improved the country, and have thus laid a solid foundation for future opulence and future force. But on this grand point of the restoration of the country, there is not one syliable to be found in the correspondence of our ministers, from the first to the last; they felt nothing for a land desolated by fire, sword, and famine; their sympathies took another direction; they were toucked with pity for bribery, so long tormented with a fruitless itching of its palms; their bowels yearned for usury, that had long missed the harvest of its returning months ; they felt for peculation which had been for so many years raking in the dust of an empty treasury; they were melted into compassion for rapine and oppression, licking their dry, parched, unbloody jaws. These were the objects of their solicitude. These were the necessities for which they were studious to provide.

To state the country and its revenues in their real condition, and to provide.for those fictitious claims, consistently with the support of an army and a civil establishment, would have been impossible; therefore the ministers are silent on that head, and rest themselves on the authority of Liord Macartney, who, in a letter to the court of directors, written in the year 1781, speculating on what might bo the result of a wise management of the countrie assigned by the Nabob of Arcot, rates the revenues, as in time of peace, at twelve hundred thousand pounds a year, as he does those of the King of Tanjore (which had not been assigned) at four hundred and fifty. On thie Lord Macartney grounds his calculations, and on this they choose to ground theirs. It was on this calculation that the ministry, in direct opposition to the remonstrances of the court of directort, have compelled that miserable, enslaved body, to put their hands to an order for appropriating the enormous sum of 5480,000 , annually, as a fund for paying to their rebellious aervants a debt contracted in defiance of their clearest and most positive injunctions.

The authority and information of Lord Macartney is held high on this occasion, though it is totally rejected in every
${ }^{1}$ Interest is rated in India by the month.
other particular of this business. I believe I have the honour of being almost as old an acquaintance an any Lord Macartney has. A constant and unbroken friendship has subsisted between us from a very early period; and, I trust, he thinks, that as I respect his character, and in general admire his conduct, I am one of those who feel no common interest in his reputation. Fet I do not hesitate wholly to disallow the calculation of 1781 , without any apprehension that I shall appear to distrust his veracity or his judgment. This peace estimate of revenue was not grounded on the atate of the Carnatic as it then, or as it had recently, stood. It was a statement of former and better times. There is no doubt that a period did exist, when the large portion of the Carnatic held by the Nabob of Arcot might be fairly reputed to produce a revenue to that, or to a greater amount. But the whole had so melted away by the alow and silent hostilities of oppression and mismanagement, that the revenues, sinking with the prosperity of the country, had fallen to about $£ 800,000$ a year, even before an enemy's horse had imprinted bis hoof on the soil of the Carnatic. From that view, and independently of the decisive effects of the war which ensoed, Sir Eyre Coote conceived that years must pasa before the country could be restored to its former prosperity and production. It was that atate of revence (namely, the actual state before the war) which the directors have opposed to Lord Macartney's speculation. They refused to tale the revenues for more than $£ 800,000$. In this they aro justified by Lond Macartney himself, who, in a subsequent letter, informs the court, that his aketch is a matter of speeulation; it suppoees the country restored to its ancient prosperity, and the revenue to be in acourse of effective and honest collection. If therefore the ministers have gone wrong, they were not deceived by Lord Macartney : they were deceived by no man. The estimate of the directorn is nearly the very eatimste furnished by the right honourable gentleman himself, and pablished to the world in one of the printed reports of his own committee; ${ }^{1}$ but as eson as he obtained his power, he chose to abandon his account. No part of his

[^13]official conduet can be defended on the ground of his parliamentary information.

In this clashing of accounts and estimstes, ought not the ministry, if they wished to preserve even appearances, to have waited for information of the actual result of these speculations, before they laid a charge, and such a charge, not conditionally and eventually, but positively and authoritatively, upon a country which they all knew, and which one of them had registered on the records of this House, to be wasted, beyond all example, by every oppression of an abusive government, and every ravage of a desolating war? But that you may discern in what manner they use the correspondence of office, and that thereby you may enter into the true spiriti of the ministerial board of control, I desire you, Mr. Speaker, to remark, that, through their whole controversy with the court of directors, they. do not so much as hint at their ever having seen any other paper from Lord Macartney, or any other estimate of revenue, than this of 1781. To this they hold. Here they take post ; here they entrench themselves.

When I first read this curious controversy between the ministerial board and the court of directors, common candour obliged me to attribute their tenacious adherence to the estimate of 1781, to a total ignorance of what had appeared upon the records. But the right honourable gentleman has chosen to come ferward with an uncalled-for declaration; he boastingly tells you, that he has seen, read, digested, compared everything; and that if he has sinned, he has sinned with his eyes broad open. Since then the ministers will obstinately shat the gates of mercy on themselves, let them add to their crimes what aggravations they please. They have then (since it must be so) wilfully and corruptly suppressed the information which they ought to have produced; and, for the aupport of peculation, have made themselves guilty of spolistion and suppression of evidence. ${ }^{1}$ The paper 1 hold in my hand, which totally overturns (for the present at least) the estimate of 1781, they have no more taken notice of in their controversy with the court of directors, than if it had no eristence. It ia the report made by a committee appointed at Madras to manage the whole of the six countries
${ }^{1}$ See Appendix, No. IV. Statement in the Report of the Committee of assigned Revanue.
assigned to the Company by the Nabob of Arcot. This committee was wisely instituted by Lord Macartney, to remove from himself the suspicion of all improper management in so invidious a trust; and it seems to have been well chosen. This committee has made a comparative estimate of the only six districts which were in a condition to be let to farm. In one set of columns they state the gross and net produce of the districts as let by the Nabob. To that statement they oppose the terms on which the same districts were rented for five years, under their authority. Under the Nabob, the gross farm was so high as $\mathbf{5} 570,000$ sterling. What was the clear produce? Why, no more than about $£ 250,000$; and

- this was the whole profit of the Nabob's treasury, under bis own management, of all the diatricts which were in a condition to be let to farm on the 27th of May, 1782. Lord Macartney's leases etipulated a grose produce of no more than about $£ 530,000$, but then the eatimated net amount was nearly double the Nabob's. It however did not then exceed $£ 480,000$; and Lord Macartney's commissioners take credit for an annual revenue amounting to this clear sum. Here is no speculation; here is no insocurste acconnt clandestinely obtained from those who might wish, and were enabled, to deceive. It is the suthorized, recorded atate of a real, recent transaction. Here is not twelve hundred thousand pounds, not eight hundred. The whole revenue of the Carnatic yielded no more in May, 1782, than four humdred and eighty thousand pounds; nearly the very precise gum which your minister, who is so careful of the publie security, has carried from all descriptions of establishment to form a fund for the private emolument of his creaturen.

In this eatimate we see, as I have juat observed, the Niz bob's farms rated so high as $£ 570,000$. Hitherto all is well; but follow on to the effective net revenue; there the illusion vanishes; and you will not find nearly so much as half the produce. It is with reason therefore Lord Macartney invat riably, throughout the whole corrempondence, qualifies all his views and expectations of revenne, and all him plans for its application, with this indiepensable condition, that the masnagement if not in the hande of the Nabob of Aroot. Should that fatal measure take place, he has over snd over again told you, that he has no prospect of realizing anything


#### Abstract

fabob of $\triangle$ RCOT's whatsoever for any public purpose. With thesomentighty declarations, confirmed by such a state on before them, what has been done by the chanudler of ther exchequer and his accomplices? Shall I be believed? They have delivered over those very territories, on the keeping of which in the hands of the committee, the defence of our dominions, and, what was more dear to them, possibly, their own job, depended ; they have delivered back again without condition, without arrangement, without stipulation of any sort for the natives of any rank, the whole of those vast countries, to many of which he had no just claim, into the ruinous mismanagement of the Nabob of Arcot. To crowrd, all, according to their miserable practice whenever they do anything transcendently absurd, they preface this their abdication of their trust, by a solemn declaration, that they were not obliged to it by any prnciple of policy, or any demand of justice whatsoever.

I have stated to you the eatimated produce of the territories of the Carnatic, in a condition to be farmed in 1782, according to the different managements into which they might fall; and this estimate the ministers have thought proper to suppress. Since that, two other accounts have been received. The first informs us, that there bas been a recovery of what is called arrears, as well as an improvement of the revenue of one of the six provinces which were let in 1782. ${ }^{1}$ It was brought about by making a new war. After some sharp actions, by the resolution and skill of Colonel Fullarton, several of the petty princes of the most southerly of the unwasted provinces were compelled to pay very heary rents and tributeb, who for a long time before had not paid any acknowledgment. After thie reduction, by the care of Mr. Irwin, one of the committee, that province was divided into twelve farms. This operation raised the income of that particular province; the others remain as they were first furmed. So that instead of producing only their original rent of $£ 480,000$, they netted, in about two years and a quarter, $£ 1,820,000$ aterling, which would be about $£ 660,000$ a year, if the recovered arrear was not included. What deduction is to be made on account of that arrear I cannot de- ${ }^{2}$ The prorince of Tinnevelly.


termine, but certainly what would reduce the annual income considerably below the rate I have allowed.

The second account received, is the letting of the wated provinces of the Carnatic. This I understand is at a growing rent, which may or may not realize what it promises ; but if it should answer, it will raise the whole, at some future time, to $£ 1,200,000$.

You must here remark, Mr. Speaker, that this revenue in the produce of all the Nabob's dominions. During the assignment, the Nabob paid nothing, because the Company had all. Supposing the whole of the lately assigned territhory to yield op to the most sanguine expectations of the right honourable gentleman ; and suppose $£ 1,200,000$ to be annually realized, (of which we actually know of no more than the realizing of siz hundred thoussand, out of this you must deduct the subsidy and rent which the Nabob paid before the assignment, namely, $£ 340,000$ a year. This reduces back the revenue applicable to the new dintribution made by his Majesty's ministers, to about $\mathbf{2 8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$. Of that mum five-eighth are by them murrendered to the debts. The remaing three are the only fund left for all the parpomes so magnificently displayed in the letter of the board of control ; that is, for a new-cast peace eatablishment; s new fund for ordnance and fortifications; and s large allowance for what they call "the eplendour of the Durbar."

Ion have heard the account of these territories sis they stood in 1782. Fon have seen the actual receipt since the assignment in 1781, of which I reckon about two years and a quarter productive. I have stated to you the expectation from the wasted part. For reslizing all this you may velue yourselves on the vigour and diligence of a governor and committee that have done mo muchr If these hopee from the committee are rational-remember that the committee is no more. Your ministers, who have formed their fund for thewe debts on the preaumed effect of the committee's management, have put a complete end to that committee. Their acte are rescinded; their leases are broken; their rentern are ditpersed. Your ministers knew, when they uigned the deatbwarrant of the Carnatic, that the Nabob would not only turn all these unfortunate farmert of revenue out of employment,
but that he has denounced his severest vengeance against them, for acting under British authority. With the knowledge of this disposition, a British chancellor of the exchequer, and treasurer of the navy, incited by no public adrantage, impelled by no public necessity, in a strain of the most wanton perfidy which has ever stained the annals of mankind, have delivered over to plunder, imprisonment, exile, and death itself, according to the mercy of auch execrable tyrants as Amir ul Omra and Paul Benfield, the unhappy and deluded souls, who, untaught by uniform example, were still weak enough to put their trust in English faith. ${ }^{1}$ They have gone further; they have thought proper to mock and. ${ }^{\text {* }}$ outrage their misery by ordering them protection and compensation. From what power is this protection to be derived? And from what fund is this compensation to arise? The revenues are delivered over to their oppressor; the territorial jurisdiction, from whence that revenue is to arise, and under which they live, is surrendered to the same iron hands : and that they slaall be deprived of all refuge, and all hope, the minister has made a solemn; voluntary declaration, that he never will interfere with the Nabob's internal government. ${ }^{2}$

The last thing considered by the board of control among the debts of the Carnatic was that arising to the East India Company, which, after the provision for the cavalry, and the consolidation of 1777, was to divide the residue of the fund of $\mathrm{K} 480,000$ a year with the lenders of 1767. This debt. the worthy chairman, who sits opposite to me, contends to be three millions sterling. Lord Macartney's account of 1781, states it to be at that period, $£ 1,200,000$. The first account of the court of directors makes it $£ 900,000$. This, like the private debt, being without any solid existence, is incapable of any distinct limits. Whatever its amount or its validity may be, one thing is clear ; it is of the nature and quality of a public debt. In that light nothing is provided for it, but an eventual surplus to be divided with one class of the private demands, after satisfying the two first classes. Never was a more shameful postponing a public demand, which by
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the reason of the thing, and the uniform practice of all nations, supersedes every private claim.

Those who gave this preference to private claims, consider the Company's as a lawful demand; else, why did they pretend to provide for it? On their own principlea they are condemned.

But 1, Sir, who profess to speak to your underntanding and to your conscience, and to brush away from thio bussness all false colours, all false sppellations, as well as false facts, do positively deny that the Carnatic owea a shilling to the Company; whatever the Company may be indebted to that undone country. It owes nothing to the Company for this plain and simple reason-The territory charged with the debt is their own. To eay that their revenues fall short, and owe them money, is to say they are in debt to themselves, which is only talking nonsense. The fact is, that by the invasion of an enemy, and the ruin of the country, the Company, either in its own name, or in the names of the Nabob of Arcot and Rajah of Tanjore, has lost for several years what it might have looked to receive from its own estate. If men were allowed to credit themselves upon ouch principles, any one might soon grow rich by this mode of accounting. A flood comes down upon a man's estate in the Bedford Level of a thousand pounds a year, and drowns his rents for ten years. The chancellor would put that man into the hands of a trustee, who would grafely make up his books, and for this lone credit himself in his account for a debt due to him of $\mathbf{£ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$. It is, however, on this principle the Company makes up ita demands on the Carnatic. In peace they go the full length, and indeed more than the full length, of what the people can bear for current entabliskments; then they are absurd enough to consolidate all the calamities of war into debte; to metamorphose the devastations of the country into demands upon its future production. What is this but to avow a resolution utterly to destroy their own country, and to force the people to pay for their sufferinga, to a government which has proved unable to protect either the share of the humbundman or their own? In every lease of a farm, the invasion of an enemy, instead of forming a demand for arrear, is a release of rent; nor for
ibat release is it at all necessary to show, that the invasion has left nothing to the occupier of the soil ; though in the present case it would be too easy to prove that melancholy liact. ${ }^{1}$ I therefore applauded my right honourable friend, who, when he canvassed the Company's accounts, as a preliminary to a bill that ought not to stand on falsehood of any kind, fixed his discerning eye and his deciding hand on these debte of the Company, from the Nabob of Arcot and Rajah of Tanjore, and at one stroke expunged them all, as utterly irrecoverable; he might have added, as utterly unfounded.

On these grounds I do not blame the arrangement this day in question, as a preference given to the debt of individuals over the Company's debt; in my eye it is no more :han the preference of a fiction over a chimera; but I blame the preference given to those fictitious private debts over the standing defence, and the standing government. It is there the public is robbed. It is robbed in ita army; it is robbed in its ciril administration; it is robbed in its credit; it ja robbed in its investment which forms the commercial connexion between that country and Burope. There is the robbery.

But my principal objection lies a good deal deeper. That debt to the Company is the pretext under which all the other debts lurk and cover themselves. That debt forms the foul, putrid mucus, in which are engendered the whole brood of creeping ascarides, all the endless involutions, the eternal knot, added to a knot of those inexpugnable tape-worms, which devour the nutriment, and eat up the bowels of Indis. ${ }^{2}$ It is necessary, Sir, you should recollect two things: First, that the Nabob's debt to the Company carries no interest. In the next place you will observe, that whenever the Company has occasion to borrow, she has always commanded whatever she thought fit at 8 per cent. Carrying in your mind these two facts, attend to the process with regard to the public and private debt, and

[^14]with what little appearance of decency they play into each other's hands a game of utter perdition to the unhappy natives of India. The Nabob fally into an arrear to the Company. The presidency pressem for payment. The Nabob's answer is, I have no money. Good. But there are soucars who will supply you on the mortgage of your territories. Then steps forward some Paul Benfield, and, from his grateful compassion to the Nabob, and his filial regard to the Company, he unlocks the treasures of his virtuous industry; and, for a consideration of 24 or 36 per cent. on a mortgage of the territorial revenue, becomes security to the Company for the Nabob's arrear.

- All this intermediate usury thus becomes aanctified by the ultimate view to the Company's payment. In this case, would not a plain man ask this plain question of the Company; If you know that the Nabob must annually mortgage his territories to your servant to pay his annual arrear to you, Why is not the assignment or mortgage made directly to the Company iteelf? By this simple, obvious operation, the Company would be relieved and the debt paid, without the charge of a shilling interest to that prince. But if that course should be thought too indulgent, why do they not take that assignment with such interest to themselvem an they pay to others, that is, 8 por cent.? Or if lit were thought more advisable (why it should I know not) that he must borrow, why do not the Company lend their own credit to the Nabob for their own payment? That credit would not be weakened by the collateral security of his ter. ritorial mortgage. The money might atill be had at 8 per cent. Instead of any of these honest and obrious methoda, the Company has for years kept up a show of disinterestedness and moderation, by tuffering a debt to accumulate to them from the country powers without any interest at all; and at the ame time have meen before their eyet, on a pretext of borrowing to pay that debt, the revenues of the country charged with an usury of 20, 24, 36, and even 48 per cent. With compound interest, ${ }^{1}$ for the benefit of their servanta. All this time they know that by having a debt subsisting without any interest, which in to be paid by contracting a debt on the bighest interest, they mani-
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lestly render it necessary to the Nabob of Arcot to give the private demand a preference to the public; and, by binding him and their servants together in a common cause, they enable bim to form a party to the utter ruin of their own authority and their own affairs. Thus their false moderation, and their affected purity, by the natural operation of everything false, and everything affected, become pander and bawd to the unbridled debauchery and licentions lewdness of usury and extortion.
In consequence of this double game, all the territorial revenues have, at one time or other, been covered by those locusts, the English soucars. Not one single foot of the Carnatic has escaped them; a territory as large as England. During these operations what a scene has that country presented! The usurious European assignee aupersedes the Nabob's native farmer of the revenue; the farmer flies to the Nabob's presence to claim his bargain; whilst his servants murmur for wages, and his soldiers mutiny for pay. The mortgage to the European assignee is then resumed, and the native farmer replaced; replaced, again to be removed on the new clamour of the European assignee. ${ }^{2}$ Every man of rank and landed fortune being long since extinguished, the remaining miserable last cultivator, who grows to the soil, after having his back scored by the farmer, has it again flayed by the whip of the assignee, and is thus by a ravenous, because s short-lived, succession of claimants, lashed from oppressor to oppressor, whilst a single drop of blood is left as the means of extorting a single grain of corn. Do not

[^15]think I paint. Far, very far, from it; I do not reach the fact, nor approach to it. Men of respectable condition, men equal to your substantial English yeomen, are daily tied up and scourged to answer the multiplied demands of various contending and contradictory titles, all issuing from one and the amme source. Tyrannous eraction brings on servile concealment; and that again calls forth tyrannous coercion. They move in a circle, mutually producing and produced; till at length nothing of humanity is left in the government, no trace of integrity, spirit, or manliness in the people, who drag out a precarious and degraded existence under this system of outrage upon homan nature. Such is the effect of the eatablishment of a debt to the Company, as it has hitherto been managed, and as it ever will remain, until ideas are adopted totally different from those which prevail at thit time.
Your worthy ministers, sapporting what they are obliged to condemn, bave thought fit to renew the Company's old order against contracting private debts in future. They begin by rewarding the violation of the ancient law; and then they gravely re-enact provisions of which they have given bounties for the breach. This inconsistency has been well exposed. But what will you eay to their having gone the length of giving positive directions for contracting the debt which they positively forbid?
I will explain myself. They order the lisbob, out of the revenues of the Carnatic, to allot four hundred and eighty thousand pounds a year, an a fund for the debts before u. For the punctual payment of this amnuity, they order him to give somoari necurity. When a moveor, that is, a money dealer, becomes security for any native prince, the courme in, for the native prince to counter-mecure the money dealer, by making over to him in mortgage a portion of his territory, equal to the amm annually to be paid, with an interest of at least 24 par cent. The point for the House to know is, who are these soncars, to whom this mecurity on the revennes in favour of the Niabob's creditors is to be given? The majority of the House, unsceustomed to these transactions, will hear with astonishment that theme noucara are no
${ }^{2}$ In Mr. Fox's enpectu.
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other than the creditors themselves. The minister, not content with authorizing these transactions in a manner and to an extent unhoped for by the rapacious expectations of usury itself, loads the broken back of the Indian revenues, in favour of his worthy friends the soucars, with an additional 24 per cent. for being security to themselves for their own claims; for condescending to take the country in mortgage, to pay to themselves the fruits of their own extortions.

The interest to be paid for this security, according to the most moderate strain of soucar demand, comes to one hundred and eighteen thousand pounds a year, which added to the $£ 480,000$ on which it is to accrue, will make the whole charge on account of these debts on the Carnatic revenues amount to $£ 598,000$ a year, as much as even a long peace will enable those revenues to produce. Can any one reflect for a moment on all those claims of debt, which the minister exhausts himself in contrivances to augment with new usuries, without lifting up his hands and eyes in astonishment at the impudence, both of the claim and of the adjudication? Services of some kind or other these servants of the Company must have done, so great and eminent, that the chancellor of the exchequer cannot think that all they have brought home is half enough. He halloos atter them, "Gentlemen, you have forgot a large packet behind you; in your hurry; you have not sufficiently recovered yourselves; you ought to have, and you shall have, interest upon interest, upon a prohibited debt that is made up of interest upon interest. Even this is too little. I have thought of another charseter for you, by which you may add something to your gains; you shall be security to yourselves; and hence will arise a new usury, which shall efface the memory of all the usuries suggested to you by your own dull inventions."

I have done with the armangement relative to the Carmatic. After this it is to little purpose to observe on what the ministers have done to Tanjore. Your ministers have not observed even form and ceremony in their outrageous and insulting robbery of that country, whose only crime has been, its early and constant adherence to the power of this, and the suffering of an uniform pillage in consequence of it. The debt of the Company from the Rajah of Tanjore, is just of the same atuff with that of the Nabob of Arcot.
vol. 111 .

The subsidy from Tanjore, on the arrear of which thie pretended debt (if any there be) has accrued to the Company, is not like that paid by the Nabob of Arcot, a compensation for vast countries obtained, augmented, and preserved for him; not the price of pillaged treasuries, ransacked housen, and plundered territories.-It is a large grant, from a amall kingdom not obtained by our arms ; robbed, not protected, by our power; a grant for which no equivalent was ever given, or pretended to be given. The right honourable gentleman, however, bears witness in his, reports to the punctuality of the payments of this grant of bounty, or, if you please, of fear. It amounts to one hundred and sirty thousand pounds aterling net annusl subsidy. He bears witness to a further grant to a town and port, with an annexed district of thirty thonasand pounds a year, aurrendered to the Company since the first donation. He has not borne witness, but the fact is, (he will not deny it,) that in the midet of war, and during the rain and desolation of a considerable part of his territories, this prince made many very large payments. Notwithstanding these merits and services, the firot regulstion of ministry is to force from him a territory of an extent which they have not yet thought proper to ascertain, ${ }^{1}$ for a military peace establiohment, the particulars of which they have not yet been plessed to settle.

The next part of their arrangement is with regard to war. As confessedly this prince had no share in stirring op any of the former wars, so all future wars are completely out of his power; for he has no troops whatever, and is under s stipulation not so mach as to correspond with mery foreign state, except through the Company. Yet, in case the Company's servants should be again involved in war, or should think proper again to provoke any enemy, as in times past they have wantonly provoked all India, he is to be subjected to a new penalty. To what penalty? -Why, to no lese than the confiscation of all his revenues. But this is to end with the war, and they are to be faithfully netarned? $\mathbf{n}$ Oh! no, nothing like it. The country is to remain under confiscation until all the debt which the Compeny shall think fit to ineur in such war shall be discharged; that is to asy, for ever.

[^16]His sole comfort is to find his old enemy, the Nabob of Arcot, placed in the very asme condition.

The revenues of that miserable country were, before the invasion of Hyder, reduced to a grose annual receipt of three hundred and eixty thousand pounds. ${ }^{1}$ From this receipt the subsidy I have just stated is taken. This again, by payments in advance, by extorting deposits of additional aums to a vast amount for the benefit of their soucara, and by an endless variety of other extortions, public and private, is loaded with a debt, the amount of which I never could ascertain, but which is large undoubtedly, generating an usury the most completely ruinous that probably was ever heard of; that is, 48 per cent., payable nonthly, with compound interest. ${ }^{2}$

Such is the state to which the Company's servants have reduced that country. Now come the reformers, restorers, and comforters of India. What have they done ? In addition to all these tyrannous exactions, with all these ruinous debts in their train, looking to one side of an sgreement whilst they wilfully shat their eyes to the other, they withdraw from Tanjore all the benefits of the treaty of 1762, and , they subject that nation to a perpetual tribute of forty thousand a year to the Nabob of Arcot; a tribute never due, or pretended to be due, to him , even when he appeared to be Bomething; a tribute, as thinge now atand, not to a real potentate, but to a shadow, a dream, an incubus of oppression. After the Company has accepted in subsidy, in grant of territory, in remission of rent, as a compensation for their own protection, at least two hundred thousand pounds a year, without discounting a shilling for that receipt, the ministers condemn this harassed nation to be tributary to a person who is himself, by their own arrangement, deprived of the right of war or peace; deprived of the power of the sword; forbid to keep up a single regiment of soldiers; and is therefore wholly disabled from all protection of the country which is the object of the pretended tribute. Tribute hangs on the sword. It is an incident inseparable from real, sovereign power. In the present case, to suppose its existence, is as absurd as it is cruel and oppressive. And here, Mr. Speaker,

1. Mr. Petrie's evidence before the select committee, App., No. VII.
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you have clear exemplification of the use of those false names, and false colours, which the gentlemen who have lately taken posession of Indis choose to lay on for the purpose of disguising their plan of oppression. The Nabob of Arcot, and Rajah of Tanjore, have, in truth and substance, no more than a merely civil authority, held in the moot entire dependence on the Company: The Nabob, without military, without federal capacity, is extinguished as a potentate, but then he is carefully kept slive as an independent and sovereign power, for the parpose of rapine and extortion; for the purpose of perpetuating the old intrigues, animonitien, usuries, and corruptions.

It was not enough that this mockery of tribute was to be continued withont the correspondent protection, or any of the etipulated equivalents, but ten years of arrear, to the amount of $£ 400,000$ sterling, is added to all the debte of the Company, and to individusio, in order to create a new debt, to be paid (if at all poosible to be paid in whole or in part) only by new usuries; and all this for the Nisbob of Arcot, or rather for Mr. Benfield, and the corpe of the Nabob'a ereditors and their soucars. Thus these miserable Indisn princes are continued in their aesta, for no other purpose thon to render them in the first inetance objecte of every species of extortion; and, in the second, to force them to become, for the salke of a momentary shadow of reduced anbthority, a sort of subordinate tyranta, the ruin and calamity, not the fathers and cherisbers, of their peopio.
But take this tribute only an a mere charge (without title, cause, or equiralent) on this people; what one atep has been taken to furnish groundo for a juat calculation and eatimate of the proportion of the burthen and the sbility? None; not an attempt at it. They do not adapt the burthen to the strength; but they eatimste the atrength of the bearers by the burthen they impose. Then what eare is taken to lewie a fund sufficient to the future reproduction of the revenues that are to bear all these louda? Ereay one, but tolerably conversent in Indian affairn, must know that the existence of this little kingdom depends on ite comtrol over the river Cerery. The benefite of hesven to my commumity ought never to be connected with political srrangementa, or made to depend on the pernonal condact of princes; in which the
mistake, or error, or neglect, or distress, or passion of a moment on either side, may bring famine on millions, and ruin an innocent nation perhaps for ages. The means of the subsistence of mankind should be as immutable as the laws of nature, let power and dominion take what course they may. -Observe what has been done with regard to this important concern. The use of this river is indeed at length given to the Rajah, and a power provided for its enjoyment at his own charge; but the means of furnishing that charge (and a mighty one it is) are wholly cut off. This use of the water, which ought to have no more connexion than clouda, and rains, and sunshine, with the politics of the Rajah, the Nabob, or the Company, is expressly contrived as a means of enforcing demands and arrears of tribute. This horrid and unnstural instrument of extortion had been a distivguishing feature in the enormities of the Carnatic politics, that loudly called for reformation. But the food of a whole people is by the reformers of India conditioned on paymenta from its prince, at a moment that he is overpowered with a swarm of their demands, withont regard to the ability of either prince or people. In fine, by opening an avenue to the irruption of the Nabob of Arcot's creditors and soucars, whom every man, who did not fall in love with oppression and corruption on an experience of the calamities they produced; would have raised wall before wall, and mound before mound, to keep from a possibility of entrance, a more destructive enemy than Hyder Ali is introduced into that kingdom. By this part of their arrangement, in which . they establish a debt to the Nabob of Arcot, in effect and substance they deliver over Tanjore, bound hand and foot, to Paul Benfield, the old betrayer, insulter, oppressor, and scourge of a country, which has for years been an object of an unremitted, but, unhappily, an unequal struggle, botween the bounties of Providence to renovate, and the wickedness of mankind to destroy.

The right honourable gentleman ${ }^{1}$ talks of his fairness in determining the territorial dispute between the Nabob of Arcot and the prince of that country, when he superseded the determination of the directors, in whom the law had , vested the decision of that controversy. He is in this juat
as feeble as he is in every other part. But it is not necessary to say a word in refutation of any part of his argument. The mode of the proceeding sufficiently speake the spirit of it. It is enough to fix his character as a judge, that he never heard the directors in defence of their adjudication, nor either of the parties in support of their respective chaims. It is sufficient for me, that he takes from the Rejah of Tanjore by this pretended adjudication; or rather from his unhappy eubjects, $\dot{\&} 40,000$ a year of his and their revenue, and leaves upon his and their shoulders all the charges that can be made on the part of the Nabob, on the part of his creditors, and on the part of the Company, without to much to hearing him an to right or to ability. But what principally induces me to leave the affair of the territorial dispute between the Nabob and the Rajah to another day, is this, that both the parties being stripped of their all, it little signifies under which of their names the unhappy, undone people are delivered over to the merciless soucars, the allies of that right hononrable gentloman, and the chancellor of the exchequer. In them ends the account of this long dispute of the Nabob of Areat and the Rajah of Tanjore.

The right honoarable gentleman is of opinion, that his judgment in this case can be censured by none, but those who seem to act as if they were paid agents to one of the parties. What does he think of his conrt of directors? If they are paid by either of the parties, by which of them does he think they ars paid? He know: that their decivion has been directly contrary to this. Shall I believe that it does not enter into his heart to conceive that any person can steadily and actively interest himbelf in the protection of the imured and oppresed, without being well paid for his service? I have taken notice of this sort of discourse some days ago, 00 far at it may be ouppoed to relate to me. I then contented myself, as I shall now do, with giving it a eold, though a very direet, contradietion. Thus much I do from respect to truth. If I did more, it might be muppowed, by my anxiety to clear myself, that I had imbibed the idear, Which, for obvious reasons, the right honourable gentleman wishes to have received eoncerning all attempts to plead the canse of the natives of India, as if it were a disreputable employment. If he had not forgot, in his prewent occupation,
every principle which ought to have guided him, and I hope did guide him, in his late profession, he would have known, that he who takes a fee for pleeding the cause of distress againat power, and manfully performs the duty he has assumed, receives an honourable recompence for a virtuous service. But if the right honourable gentleman will have no regard to fact in his insinustions, or to reason in his opinions, I wish him at least to consider, that if taking an earnest part with regard to the oppressions exercised in India, and with regard to this most oppressive case of Tanjore in particular, can ground a presumption of interested motives, he is himself the most mercenary man I know. His conduct indeed is such that he is on all occasions the standing testimony against himself. He it was that first called to that case the attention of the House: the reports of his own committee are ample and affecting upon that subject; ${ }^{1}$ and as many of us as have escaped his massacre, must remember the very pathetic picture he made of the sufferings of the Tanjore country, on the dny when he moved the unwieldy code of his Indian resolutions. Has he not stated over and over again, in his reporte, the ill treatment of the Rajah of Tanjore, (a branch of the royal house of the Marattas, every injury to whom the Maratias felt as offered to themselves, as a main cause of the alienation of that people from the British power? And does he now think, that to betray his principles, to contradict his declarations, and to become himself an active instrument in those oppreasions which he had so tragically lamented, is the way to clear himself of having been actusted by a pecuniary interest, at the time when he chose to appear full of tenderness to that ruined nation?

The right honourable gentleman is fond of parading on the motives of others, and on his own. As to himself, he despises the imputations of those who suppose that anything corrupt could influence him in this his unexampled liberality of the public treasure. I do not know that I amo obliged to speak to the motives of ministry, in the arrangementa they have made of the pretended debts of Arcot and Tanjore., If I prove fraud and collusion with regard to public money on those right honourable gentlemen, I am not obliged to assign
${ }^{2}$ Bee Report IV. Committee of Secrecy, p. 73, and 74; and Appendix in tundry piacee.
their motives; because no good motives can be plended in favour of their conduct. Upon that case I stand; we are at issue; and I desire to go trial. This, I am sure, is not loone railing, or mean insinuation, according to their low and degenerate fashion, when they make attacke on the mearures of their adversaries. It is a regular and juridical course; and, unless I choose it, nothing can compel me to go further.

But since these unhsppy gentlemen have dared to hold lofty tone about their motiven, and affect to despive suspicion, instead of being careful not to give cause for it, I whall beg leave to lay before you some general observations on what, I conceive, was their duty in so delicste a busines.
If I were worthy to suggest any line of prudence to that right honourable gentlemsan, I would tell him, that the way to avoid suspicion in the settlement of pecuniary transactions, in which great frauds have been very otrongly premumed, is, to attend to these few plsin principles:-Firnt, to hear all parties equally, and not the managern for the muspected claimants only-Not to proceed in the dark; but to act with as much publicity a possible.-Not to precipitate decision.-To be religions in following the rulee prescribed in the commisaion under which we act.-And, lantly, and abore all, not to be fond of etraining constructions, to force a jurisdiction, and to draw to ourselves the manage ment of a trust in its nature imvidions and obmoxione to enspicion, where the plainest letter of the law does not compel it. If these few plain rales are observed, no corruption ought to be suspected; if any of them are riolated, auapicion will attach in proportion. If all of them are violsted, a eorrupt motive of some kind or other will not orly be murpected, but must be violently presumed.

The personis in whow favour all these rules had been violated, and the conduct of ministert towards them, will matourally call for your consideration, and will merve to lead you through a serien and combination of facts and eharactern, if I do not mistake, into the very inmont reecmea of this mysterious busines. Foa will then be in posession of all the materials on which the priseiples of acound jariapradence will fornd, or will rejeet, the presumption of eorrupt motives; or, if such motives are indicated, will peint ont to you of What particular nature the corruption in.

Our wonderful minister, as you all know, formed a new plan, a plan insigne recens indictum ore alio, a plan, for supporting the freedom of our constitution by court intrigues, and for removing its corruptions by Indian delinquency. To carry that bold, paradoxical desiga into execution, sufficient funds and apt instruments became necessary. You are perfectly sensible that a parliamentary reform occupies his thoughts day and night, as an essential member in this extraordinary project. In his anxious researches upon this subject, natural instinct, as well as sound policy, would direct his eyes, and settle his choice, on Paul Benfield. Paul Benfield is the grand parliamentary reformer, the reformer to whom the whole choir of reformers bow, and to whom even the right honourable gentleman himself must yield the palm : for what region in the empire, what city, what borough, what county, what tribunal in this kingdom, is not full of his labours? Others have been only speculators; he is the grand practical reformer; and whilst the chancellor of the exchequer pledges in vain the man and the minister, to increase the provincial members, Mr. Benfield has auspiciously and practically begun it. Leaving far behind him even Lord Camelford's generous design of bestowing Old Sarum on the bank of England, Mr. Benfield has thrown in the borough of Cricklade to reinforce the county representation. Not content with this, in order to station a steady phalanx for all future reforms, this public-spirited usurer, amidat his charitable toils for the relief of India, did not forget the poor, rotten constitution of his native country. For her, he did not diadain to stoop to the trade of a wholesale upholsterer for this House, to furnish it, not with the faded tapestry figures of antiquated merit, such as decorate, and may reprosch, some other houses, but with real, solid, living patterns of true modern virtue. Paul Bentield made (reckoning himself) no fewer than eight members in the last parliament. What copious streams of pure blood must he not have transfused into the veins of the present !

But what is even more striking than the real services of this new-imported patriot, is his modesty. As soon as he had conferred this benefit on the constitution, he withdrew himself from our applause. He conceived that the duties of a member of parliament (which with the elect faithful, the
true believers, the Lslam of parliamentary reform, are of little or no merit, perbaps not much better than specious rins) might be as well attended to in India as in England, and the means of reformation to parliament itself be far better provided. Mr. Benfield was therefore no sooner elected, than he set off for Madras, and defrauded the longing eyes of parliament. We have never enjoyed in this House the luxury of beholding that minion of the human race, and contemplating that risage, which has so long reflected the happinews of nations.

It was therefore not possible for the minister to consult personally with this great man. What then was be to do? Through a sagacity that never failed him in these pursuitt, he found out, in Mr. Benfield's representative, his exact resemblance. A specific attraction, by which he gravitates towards all such characters, soon brought our mininter into a close connexion with Mr. Benfield's agent sad attorney; that is, with the grand contractor (whom I name to honour) Mr. Richard Atkinson; a name that will be well remembered as long as the records of this House, ss long as the re-. cords of the British treasury, an long sa the monumental debt of England, thall endure.
This gentleman, Sir, acte as attorney for Mr. Panl Benfield. Every one who hears me is well scquainted with the sacred friendsbip, and the steady, mutual artachment, that subsiste between him and the present minister. As many members as chose to attend in the first ression of this paris. ment, can best tell their own feelings at the scenes which were then acted. How much that honourable gentleman was consulted in the original frame and fabric of the bill, commonly called Mr. Pitt'a India bill, is matter only of conn jecture; though by no meess difficalt to divine. Bat the pablic was an indignant witnese of the outentation with which that measure was made his own, and the authority with which he brought up clanse after clause, to atoff and fatten the monknes of that corrupt act. As fast an the clausen were brought up to the table they were sccepted. No hesitation; no discosaion. They wera received by the now minister, hot with approbation, but with implicit submisaion. The reformution may be eatimated by reeing who wie the reformer. Panl Benfield's amocinte and agent was
neld up to the world as legislator of Hindostan. But it was necessary to authenticate the coalition between the men of intrigue in India, and the minister of intrigue in England, by a studied display of the power of this their connecting link. Every trust, every' honour, every distinction, was to be heaped upon him. He was at once made a director of the India Company; made an alderman of London; and to be made, if ministry could prevail, (and I am sorry to say how near, how very near, they were prevaling, representative of the capital of this kingdom. But to secure his services against all risk, he was brought in for a ministerial borough. On his part, he was not wanting in zeal for the common cause. His sdvertisements show his motives, and the merits upon which he stood. For your minister, this worn-out veteran submitted to enter into the dusty field of the London contest; and you all remember, that in the same virtuons cause he submitted to keep a sort of public office or counting-house, where the whole business of the last general election was managed. It was openly managed by the direct agent and attorney of Bentield. It was managed upon Indian principlea, and for an Indian interest. This was the golden cup of abominations; this the chalice of the fornications of rapine, usury, and oppression, which was held out by the gorgeous Eastern harlot; which so many of the people, so many of the nobles, of this land had drained to the very dregs. Do you think that no reckoning was to follow this lewd debauch? that no payment was to be demanded for this riot of publio drunkenness and national prostitution? Here! you have it here before you. The principal of the grand election manager must be indemnified; accordingly the claime of Benfield and his crew must be putabove all inquiry.

For several years, Benfield appeared as the chief proprietor, as well as the chief agent, director, and controller, of this system of debt. The worthy chairman of the Company has stated the claims of this single gentleman on the Nabob of Arcot, as amounting to five hundred thousand pounds. ${ }^{1} 1$ Possibly at the time of the chairman's state they might have been as high. Eight hundred thousand pounds had been mentioned some time before; ${ }^{2}$ and, according to the practice

[^17]of shifting the names of creditors in these transactions, and reducing or raining the debt itself at pleasure, 1 think it not impossible, that, at one period, the name of Benfield might have atood before those frightful figures. But my bent information goes to fix his share no higher than four hundred thousand pounds. By the scheme of the prement ministry for adding to the principal 12 per cene., from the year 1777 to the year 1781, four huadred thousaind pounds, that mallent of the sume ever mentioned for Mr. Benfield, will form a capital of $£ 582,000$, at 6 per cent. Thun, besides the arreary of three years, amounting to $£ 106,500$, (which, ws fact as received, may be legally lent out at 12 per cent.,) Benfield has received, by the ministerial grant before, an annuity of $£ 35,520$ a year, charged on the public revenues.
Our mirror of ministers of finance did not think this enough for the services of such a friend am Benfield. Ho found that Lord Blacartney, in order to frighten the court of directors from the project of obliging the Nabob to give soucar neeurity for his debt, assured them, that, if they should take that step, Benfield ${ }^{4}$ would infallibly be the soucar, and would thereby become the entire master of the Carnatic. What Lord Macartney thought sufficient to deter the very agente and partakers with Benfield in bis iniquitien, was the inducement to the two right honourable gentlemen to order this very soucar necurity to be given, and to reeall Benfield to the city of Madras, from the cort of decent exile into which be had been relegated by Lord Macartiney. Yon must therefore consider Benfield moucar security for £ 480,000 a year, which at 24 per cent. (eupposing bine contented with that profit) will, with the interest of his old debt, produce an annoal income of $£ 140,520$ a year.

Here is a specimen of the new and pure aristoeracy ereated by the right honourable gentleman, ${ }^{3}$ as the support of the crown and constitution, againet the old, eorrupt, refractory, natural interents of this kingdom ; and this is the grasd counterpoise against all odious coolitions of these interesto. A single Benfield outweighs them all: a criminal, who long since ought to have fattened the region kitee with his offif, is by his Majesty's ministers enthroned in the govermment of
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a great kingdom, and enfeoffed with an eatate, which in the comparison effaces the splendour of all the nobility of Europe. To bring a little more distinctly into view the true secret of this dark transaction, I beg you particularly to advert to the circumstances which I am going to place before you.

The general corps of creditors, as well as Mr. Benfield himself, not looking well into futurity, nor presaging the minister of this day, thought it not expedient for their common intereat, that such a name as bis should stand at the head of thejr list. It was therefore agreed amongst them, that Mr. Benfield should disappear by making over his debt to Messrs. Taylor, Majendie, and Call, and should in return be secured by their bond.

The debt thus exonerated of so great a weight of its odium, and otherwise reduced from its alarming bulk, the agents thought they might venture to print a list of the creditore. This was done for the first time in the year 1783, during the Duke of Portland's administration. In this list the name of Benfield was not to be seen. To this strong negative testimony was added the further testimony of the Nabol of Arcot. The princel (or rather Mr. Benfield for him) writes to the court of directors a letter ${ }^{2}$ full of complaints and accusations against Lord Macartney, conveyed in such terms as were natural for one of Mr. Benfield's habits and education to employ. Amongst the rest, he is made to complain of hin Lordship's endeavouring to prevent an intercourse of politeness and sentiment between him and Mr. Benfield; and, to aggravate the affront, he expressly declares Mr. Benfield's visita to be only on account of respect and of gratitude, as no pecuniary transaction subsisted between them.

Buch, for a considerable space of time, was the outward form of the loan of 1777, in which Mr. Benfield had no sort of concern. At length intelligence arrived at Madras, that this debt, which had always been renounced by the court of directors, was rather likely to become the subject of some-

[^18]thing more like a crimịal inquiry, than of any patronage or aanction from parliament. Every ship brought accounts, one stronger than the other, of the prevalence of the determined enemies of the Indian system. The public revenuen became an object desperate to the hopes of Mr. Benfield; be therefore resolved to fall upon his associates, and, in violation of that faith which subsists among those who have abandoned all other, commencen a suit in the mayor's court against Taylor, Majendie, and Call, for the bond given to him, when he agreed to disappear for his own benefit as well as that of the common concern. The assignees of his debt, who little expected the springing of this mine, even from such an engineer as Mr. Benfield, after recovering their first alarm, thought it best to take ground on the real state of the transaction. They divulged the whole mystery, and were prepared to plead tbat they had never received from Mr. Benfield any other consideration for the bond, than a transfer, in trust for himself, of hio demand on the Nabob of Arcot. An universal indignation arose agminst the perfidy of Mr. Benfield's proceeding: the event of the suit was looked upon as so certain, that Benfield was compelled to retreat as precipitately as he bad advanced boldly; he gave up hir bond, and was reinstated in his original demand, to wait the fortune of other claimanta. At that time, and at Madras, this hope was dull indeed; but at home another scene was preparing.

It was long before any publie account of this discovery at Madras had arrived in England, that the present minister and his board of control thought fit to determine on the debt of 1777 . The recorded proceedinge at this time knew nothing of any debt to Benfield. There was his own teatimony, there was the testimony of the list, there wne the teatimony of the Nabob of Arcot, against it. Yet auch wau the ministers' feeling of the true state of this trawnaction, that they thought proper, in the teeth of all theso teatio monies, to give him licence to return to Madras. Here tho ministers were under some embarrassuent. Confounded between their resolution of rewarding the good servicee of Benfield's friends and aseocistes in England, and the obame of sending that notorious imcendiary to the court of the Nabob of Arcot, to remew his intriguen againat the Britinh
government, at the time they authorize his return, they forbid him, under the severest penalties, from any converaation with the Nabob or his ministers; that is, they forbid his communication with the very person on account of his dealings with whom they permit his return to that city. To overtop this contradiction, there is not a word restraining him from the freest intercourse with the Nabob's second son, the real author of all that is done in the Nabob's name; who, in conjunction with this very Benfeld, has acquired an absolute dominion over that unhappy man, is able to persuade him to put his signature to whatever paper they please, and often without any communication of the contents. 1 his management was detailed to them at full length by Lord Macartney, and they cannot pretend ignorance of it.'

I believe, after this exposure of facts, no man can entertain a doubt of the collusion of ministers with the corrupt interest of the delinquents in India. Whenever those in authority proride for the interest of any person, on the real but concealed state of his affairs, without regard to his avowed, public, and ostensible pretences, it must be presumed that they are in confederacy with him, because they act for him on the same fradulent principles on which he acts for himself. It is plain, that the ministers were fully apprized of Benfield's real situation, which he had used means to conceal, whilst concealment answered his purposes. They were, or the person on whom they relied was, of the cabinet council of Benfield, in the very depth of all his mysteries. An honest magistrate compels men to abide by one story. An equitable judge would not hear of the claim of a man who had himself thought proper to renounce it. With such a judge his shuffling and prevarication would have damned his claims ; such a judge never would have known, but in order to animadvert upon, proceedings of that character.
I have thus laid before you, Mr. Speaker, I think with sufficient clearness, the connexion of the ministers with Mr. Atkinson at the general election; I have laid open to yon the connexion of Atkinson with Benfield; I have shown Benfield's employment of his wealth, in creating a parliamentary interest, to procure a ministerial protection; I have set before your eyes his large concern in the debt,
${ }^{1}$ Annendir No. vi.
his practices to hide that concern from the public eye, and the liberal protection which he has received from the min ister. If this chain of circumatances do not lead you necessarily to conclude that the minister bas paid to the avarice of Benfield the services done by Benfield's connexions to bis ambition, I do not how snything short of the confession of the party that can persuade men of bis guilt. Clandestine and colluaive practice can only be traced by combination and comparison of circumatances. To reject such combination and comparison is to reject the only means of detecting fratad: it is indeed to give it a patent and free licence to cheat with impunity.

I confine myself to the connexion of ministers, mediately or immediately, with only two persone concerned in thit debt. How many others, who support their power and greatnest within and without doors, are concerned originally, or by transfers of these debts, must be left to general opinion. I refer to the reports of the select committee for the proceedings of some of the agents in these afiairs, and their attempts, at least, to furnish ministers with the means of buying general courte, and even whole parliaments in the gross. ${ }^{1}$

I know that the ministers will think it little leme than acquittal, that they are not charged with hasing taken to themselves some part of the money of whicir they have made so liberal a donation to their partimans, though the charge may be indisputably fixed upon the corruption of their politics. For my part, I follow their crimee to that point to which legal presumptions and natural indications lead me, without considering what species of eril motive tends most to agrravate or to extenuate the guilt of their conduct. But if I am to speak my private mentimente, I think that in a thouasnd casea for one it would be far lem mischievous to the pablic, and full as little dishonourable to themselven, to be pollnted with direct bribery, than thas to become a standing amiliary to the oppreseion, usury, and peeulstion, of multitudes, in order to obtain a corrupt aupport to their power. It is by bribing, not so often by being bribed, that wicked politicians bring ruin on mankind Avsrice is a rival to the pursuits of many. It finds multitude
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of checks, and many opposers, in every walk of life. But the objects of ambition are for the few; and every person who aims at indirect profit, and therefore wants other protection than innocence and law, instead of its rival becomes its instrument. There is a natural allegiance and fealty dne to this domineering, paramount evil, from all the rassal vices, which acknowledge its superiority, and readily militate under its banners; and it is under that discipline alone that avarice is able to spread to any considerable extent, or to render itself a general, public misehief. It is therefore no apology for ministers, that they have not been bought by the East-India delinquents, but that they have only formed an alliance with them for screening each other from justice, according to the exigence of their several necessities. That they have done so is evident; and the junction of the power of office in Fingland with the abuse of authority in the East, has not only prevented even the appearance of redress to the grievances of India, but I wish it may not be found to have dulled, if not extinguished, the honour, the candour, the generosity, the good nature, which used formerly to characterize the people of England. I confess, I wish that some more feeling than I have yet observed for the sufferings of our fellow-creatures and fellow-subjects in that oppressed part of the world, had manifested itself in any one quarter of the kingdom, or in any one large description of men.

That these oppressions exist, is a fact no more denied, than it is resented as it ought to be. Much evil has been done in India under the British authority. What has been done to redress it? We are no longer surprised at anything. We are above the unlearned and vulgar passion of admiration. But it will astonish posterity, when they read our opinions in our actions, that after years of inquiry, we have found out that the sole grievance of India consisted in this, that the servants of the Company there had not profited enough of their opportanities, nor drained it sufficiently of ite treasures; when they shall hear that the very first and only important act of a commission specially named by act of parliament is to charge upon an undone country, in favour of a handful of men in the humblest ranks of the public service, the enormous sum of perhaps four millions of sterling money. It is difficult for the most wise and upright goverament vol. min
to correct the abuses of remote, delegated power, productive of nnmeasured wealth, and protected by the boldness and strength of the same ill-got riches. These aboses, full of their own wild native vigour, will grow snd flourish under mere neglect. But where the supreme authority, not content with winking at the rapacity of ite inferior instruments, is so shameless and corrupt as openly to give bounties and premiums for disobedience to its laws, when it will not trust to the activity of avarice in the pursuit of its own gains, when it secures public robbery by all the careful jealonsy and attention with which it ought to protect property from such violence, the commonwealth then is become totally perverted from its purposes; neither God nor man will long endure it; nor will it long endure itself. In that case, there is an unnatural infection, a pestilential taint fermenting in the constitution of society, which fever and convulsions of some kind or other must throw off; or in which the vital powers, worsted in an unequal struggle, are pushed back upon themselves, and, by a reversal of their whole functions, fester to gangrene, to death; and, instead of what was but just now the delight and boast of the creation, there will be cast out in the face of the sum, a bloated, putrid, noisomse carcass, full of stench and poison, an offence, a horror, a lesson to the world.

In my opinion, we ought not to wait for the fruitlesg inatruction of calamity to inquire into the abases which bring upon us ruin in the worst of ite forms, in the low of our fame and virtue. But the right honourable gentleman ${ }^{1}$ aays, in answer to all the powerful arguments of my bonourable friend - "that this inquiry is of a delicate nature, and that the state will tuffer detriment by the exposure of this transaction." But it is expoeed; it is perfectly known in every member, in every particle, and in every way, except that which may lead to a remedy. He knows that the papers of correspondence are printed, and that they are in every hand.

He and delicacy are a rare and a singular coatition. He thinke that to divulge our Indian politices, may be highly dangerons. He! the mover! the chaiman! the reporter of the committee of secrecy! he that brought forth in the utmost detail, in ecveral vast printed folios, the mort recondite

[^19]parts of the politics, the military, the revenues of the British empire in India! With six great chopping bastards, ${ }^{1}$ each as lusty as an infant Hercules, this delicate creature blushes at the sight of his new bridegroom, assumes a virgin delicacy; or, to use a more fit, as well as a more poetic, comparison, the person so squeamish, so timid, so trembling lest the winds of heaven should visit too roughly, is expanded to broad sunshine, exposed like the sow of imperial augary, lying in the mud with all the prodigies of her fertility about her, evidence of her delicate amours-Triginta capitum faetus eniza jacebat, alba solo recubans albi circum ubera nati.

Whilst discovery of the misgovernment of others led to his own power, it was wise to inquire; it was safe to publish: there was then no delicacy; there was then no danger. But when his object is obtained, and in his imitstion he has outdone the crimes that he had reprobated in volumes of reports, and in sheets of bills of pains and penalties, then concealment becomes prudence; snd it concerns the alafety of the state, that we should not know in a mode of parliamentary cognizance, what all the world knows but too well, that is, in what manner he chooses to dispose of the public revenues to the creatures of his politics.

The debate has been long, and as much so on my part, at least, as on the part of those who have spoken before me. But long as it is, the more material half of the subject has hardly been touched ors; that is, the corrupt and destructive system to which this debt has been rendered subservient, and which seems to be pursued with at least as much vigour and regularity as ever. If I considered your ease or my own, rather than the weight and importance of this queation, $\bar{I}$ ought to make some apology to you, perhaps some apology to myself, for having detained your attention so long. I know on what ground I tread. This subject, at one time taken up with so much fervour and zeal, is no longer a favourite in this House. The House itself has undergone a great and sigaal revolution. To some the subject is strange and uncouth, to several harsh and distasteful, to the reliques of the last parltament it is a matter of fear and apprehension. It is natural for those who have seen their friends sink in
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the tornado which raged during the late shift of the moneoon, and have hardly eacaped on the planks of the general wreek, it is but too nstural for them, as moon as they make the rocki and quicksands of their former disasters, to put about their new-built barks, and, as much as possible, to keep aloof from this perilous lee shore. But let as do what we please to put Indie from our thonghts, we can do nothing to meparate it from our public interest and our national reputation. Our attempts to banish this importunste duty will only make it return upon us again and again, and every time in a ahape more unpleasant than the former. A government has been fabricated for that great province; the rigbt honoursble gentleman eays, that therefore you ought not to examine into its conduct. Hearens! what an argument'in this! We.are not to examine into the conduct of the direction, becanse it is an old government: we are not to examime into this board of control, becanse it is anew one. Then we are only to examine into the conduct of those who have no conduct to account for. Unfortunstely the basis of this new govern ment has been laid on old, condemned delinquents, and ite superstructure is raised out of prosecutors turned into protectors. The event has been such as might be expected. But if it had been otherwise constituted, had it been constituted even as I wished, and as the mover of this quewtion had planned, the better part of the proposed eatablimhment was in the publicity of ite proceedings; in its perpetual responsibility to parliament. Without this check, what is our government at home, even awed, asery European government is, by an audience formed of the other ctates of Earope, by the applause or condemastion of the discerning and eritical Company before which it acts? But if the soene on the other side of the globe, which tempta, invites, almont compels, to tyramy and rapine, be not inspected with the eye of a eevere and nuremitting vigilance, ahame and doettruction most ensue. For one, the wornt event of thil day, though it masy deject, chall not break or mubdue me. The call upon us is anathoritative. Let who will whrink back, I shall be fonnd at my port. Haffled, disconftensnced, subdued, diecredited, sa the eanse of justice and humanity in, it will be only the dearer to me. Whoerer therefore shall at any time bring before you snything tomards the relief of our
distreased fellow-citizens in India, and towards a subversion of the present most corrupt and oppressive system for its goveroment, in me shall find a weak, I am afraid, but a steady, earnest, and faithful assistant.

## APPENDIX.

## No. I. Referred to from $p$. 126.

clatused of mb. pift's bill,
Appointing Commissioners to inquire into the fees, gratuities, perquisites, and emoluments, which are, or have been lately, received in the several public offices therein mentioned; to examine into any abuses which may exist in the same, \&c.
Ano be it further enacted, that it shall and may be lawful to and for the said commissioners, or any two of them, and they are hereby empowered, authorized, and required, to examine upon oath (which oath they, or any two of them, are hereby authorized to administer) the several persons, of all descriptions, belonging to any of the offices or departments before mentioned, and all other persons whom the said commissioners, or any two of them, shall think fit to examine, touching the business of each office or department, and the fees, gratuities, perquisites, and emoluments taken therein, and touching all other matters and things necessary for the execution of the powers vested in the said commissioners by this act; all which persons :are hereby required and directed punctually to attend the said commissioners, at such time and place as they, or any two of them, shall appoint, and also to observe and execute such orders and directions as the said commissioners, or any two of them, shall make or give for the purposes before mentioned.

And be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, that the
said commissioners, or any two of them, shall be, and are, hereby empowered to examine into any corrupt and fraudulent practices, or other misconduct, committed by any person or persons concerned in the management of any of the officew or departments hereinbefore mentioned; and, for the better execution of this present act, the said commianioners, or any troo of them, are hereby authorized to meet and rit, from time to time, in much place or places as they shall find most convenient, with or without adjournment, and to send their precept or precepts, under their hands and seals, for any person of persons whatsoever, and for such books, papers, woritingz, or records, as they shall judge necesuary for their information, relating to any of the offices or departments hereinbefore mentioned; and all bailiffo, constables, sheriffs, and other his Majesty's officers, are hereby required to obey and execute such orders and precepts aforesaid, as thall be sent to them, or any of them, by the aaid commisnioners, or any two of them, touching the premises.

## No. II. Referred to from p. 130.

## yabos of arcot's detts.

Mr. Georaz Syiti being asked, Whether the debte of the Nabob of Arcot have increased since be knew Madras? he said, Yes, they have. He distingnishes his debta into two sorts ; those contracted before the year 1766, and those contracted from that year to the year in which be left Ms-dras--Being asked, What he thinks in the original mmount of the old debts? he asid, Between twenty-three and twentyfour lacks of pagodas, as well as he can recollect,-Being acked, What was the amount of that debt when he left Madras ? he asid, Between four and five lacks of pagodes, as he understood-Being asked, What was the amonnt of the new debt when he left Madras ? he aaid, In November, 1777, that debt amonnted, according to the Nabob'e own account, and published at Chipank, his place of residence, to sirty lieks of pagodae, independent of the old debt, on which debt of airty lacks of pagodas, the Nabob did agree to pay an interest of 12 per cent. per amum-Being anked, Whether this debt was approved of by the court of directore? he wid,

He does not know it was.-Being asked, Whether the old debt was recognised by the court of directors? he said, Yee, it has been : and the court of directors have sent out repeated orders to the president and council of Madras, to enforce itt recovery and payment.-Being asked, If the interest upon the new debt is punctually paid? he said, It was not during his residence at Madras, from 1777 to 1779, in which he thinks no more than 5 per cent. interest was paid, in different dividends of 2 and 1 per cent.-Being asked, What is the usual course taken by the Nabob concerning the arrears of interest? he said, Not baving ever lent him monies himself, he cannot fully answer as to the mode of settling the interest with him.

Being asked, Whether he has reason to believe the sixty lacks of pagodas was all principal money really and truly advanced to the Nabob of Arcot, or a fictitious capital, made up of obligations given by him, where no money or goods were received, or which was increased by the uniting into it a greater interest than the 12 per cent. expressed to be due on the capital ? he said, He has no reason to believe that the sum of sixty lacks of pagodas was lent in money or goods to the Nabob, because that sum he thinks is of more value than all the money, goods, and chattels in the settlement; but he does not know in what mode or manner this debt of the Na:bob's was incurred or accumulated.-Being asked, Whether it was not a general and well-grounded opinion at Madras, that a great part of this sum was accumulated by obligations, and was for services performed or to be performed for the Nabob? he said, He has heard that a part of this debt was given for the purposes mentioned in the above question, but he does not know that it was so.-Being asked, Whether it was the general opinion of the settlement? he said, He cannot say that it was the general opinion, but it was the opinion of a considerable part of the settlement.-Being asked, Whether it was the declared opinion of those that were concerned in the debt, or those that were not ? he said, It was the opinion of both parties, at least such of them as he conversed with. -Being aaked, Whether he has reason to believe that the interest really paid by the Nabob, upon obligations given, or money lent, did not frequently exceed 12 per cent. $\rho$ he asid, Prior to the first of August, 1774, he had had reason to
believe, that a bigher interest than 12 per cent. was paid by the Nabob on monies lent to him; but from and after that period, when the last act of parliament took place in Indis, he does not know that more that 12 per cent. had been paid by the Nabob, or received from him-Being asked, whether it is not his opinion, that the Nabob has paid more than 12 per cent. for money due since the 1st of Aagust, 1774 ? he said, He has heard that he has, but he does not know itBeing asked, Whether he has been told so by any considerable and weighty authority, that was likely to know; he asid, He has been to informed by persons who he believea had a very good opportunity of knowing it-Being asked, Whether he was ever told so by the Nabob of Arcot himself? he said, He does not recollect that the Nabob of Arcot directly told him ao, but, from what be aid, he did infer that he paid a higher interest than 12 per cent.

Mr. Smith being asked, Whether, in the course of trade, he ever sold anything to the Nabob of Arcot? he said, In the year 1775 he did sell to the Nabob of Arcot pearls to the amount of 32,500 pagodas, for which the Nabob gave him an order or tankeh on the conntry of Tanjore, paysble in six months, without interest-Being anked, Whether, at the time be asked the Nabob his price for the pearls, the Nabob beat down that price, as dealers commonily do? he eaid, No; so far from it, he offered him more than he asked by 1000 pagodas, and which he rejected. Being asked, Whether in settling a transaction of diecount with the $\mathrm{N}=$ bob's agent, he wase not offered a greater discount that $£ 12$ per cent.? be gaid, In discounting a moucar's bill for 180,000 pagodas, the Kabob's agent did offer him a diacount of 24 per cent. per amum, saying, that it was the nanal rate of discount paid by the Nabob; but which he would not acoept of, thinking himself confined by the act of parliament limiting the intereat of monics to 12 per cent., and aseordingly he discounted the bill at 12 per eent. per annum onlyBeing raked, Whether be does not think those offers were mode him, because the Nabob thought he was a pervon of some consequence in the settlement? he said, Being only a private merchant, be apprebends that the offer was made to him more from its being a general prictice than fromany opinion of his importance.

No. III. Referred to from p. 141.
A Bum for the better government of the territorial possessions and dependencies in Indis.

> [One of Mr. Fox's India Bills.]

And be it further enseted by the authority aforesaid, that the Nabob of Arcot, the Rajah of Tanjore, or any other native protected prince in Indis, shall not assign, mortgage, or pledge any territory or land whatsoever, or the produce or revenue thereof, to any British aubject whatsoever; neither shall it be lawful to or for any British subject whatsoever to take or receive any such assignment, mortgage, or pledge; and the same are hereby declared to be null and void; and all payments or deliveries of produce or revenue, under any such assignment, shall and may be recovered back by euch native prince paying or delivering the same, from the person or persons receiving the same, or his or their representatives.

No. IV. Roforred to from p. 161 and p. 167.
(oOPY.)
$27 t h$ May, 1782.
Letier from the Committee of assigned Revenue, to the President and Select Committee, dated 27th May, 1782; with comparative atatement, and minute thereon.

To the Right Honourable Lord Macartnax, K. B. President, and Governor, \&c. Select Committee of Fort St. George.

My Lord, and Gentlemen,
Authodak we have, in obedience to your commands of the 5th January, regularly laid before yon our proceedings at large, and have occasionally addreased you upon such points as required your resolutions or orders for our guidance, we still think it necessary to collect and digest, in a summary report, those transactions in the management of the assigned revenue, which have principally engaged our attention, and which, upon the proceeding, are too much inter-
mixed with ordinary occurrences to be readily traced and understood.

Such a report may be formed with the greater propriety at this time, when your Lordship, \&c. have been pleased to conclude your arrangements for the rent of several of the Nabob's districts. Our aim in it is briefly to explain the state of the Carnatic at the period of the Nabobeansignment; the particular causee which existed, to the prejudice of that assignment, after it was made; and the measure which your Lordship, de. have, upon our recommendation, adopted for removing those canses, and introducing a more regular and beneficial system of management in the country.

Hyder Ally having entered the Carnatic with his whole force, about the middle of July, 1780, and employed fire and sword in its destruction for nearly eighteen months before the Nabob's aseignment took place, it will not be difficult to conceive the state of the conntry at that period. In thowe provinces which were fully exposed to the ravages of horse, ecspcely a veatige remained either of population or agriculture: such of the miserable inhabitents an eacaped the fury of the sword, were either carried into the Mywore country, or left to gtraggle under the horrors of famme. The Arcot and Trichinopoly districts began early to feel the effects of this desolating war. Tinnevelly, Madura, and Ramnadaporum, though little infexted with Hyder'o troopa, became a prey to the incursions of the Polygars, who stript them of the greateat part of the revenues; Ongole, Nellore, and Palnaud, the only remaining districta, had suffered but in a mall degree.

The misfortunes of war, however, were not the only evile Which the Carnatic experienced. The Nabob's aumildart, and other serrants, sppear to have taken advantage of the general confusion to enrich themselves. A very mall part of the reverne was sceounted for; and wo high were the ordinary expensee of every district, that double the spparent produce of the whole comotry would not have astiaffed them.

In this etate, which we believe is no way exaggerated, the Company took charge of the amigned countries. Their prospect of relief from the heary burthens of the war was indeed but little advanced by the Nabsb's eoncemion; and the revennes of the Carnatic meemed in danger of being irre-
coverably lost, unless a speedy and entire change of system could be adopted.

On our minutes of the 21st Jamuary, we treated the subject of the assignment at some length, and pointed out the mischiefs which, in addition to the effects of the war, had arisen from what we conceived to be wrong and oppressive management.-Wo used the freedom to suggest an entire alteration in the mode of realizing the revenues. We proposed a considerabie and immediate reduction of expenses, and a total change of the principal aumildars who had been employed under the Nabob.

Our ideas had the good fortune to receive your approbetion; but the removal of the Nabob's servants being thought improper at that particular period of the collections, we employed our attention chiefly in preserving what revenue was left the country, and acquiring such materials as might lead to a more perfect knowledge of its former and present state.

These pursuits, as we apprehended, met with great obstructions from the conduct of the Nabob's servants. The orders they received were evaded under various pretexts; no attention was paid to the strong and repeated applications made to them for the accounts of their management; and their attachment to the Company's interest appeared, in every instance, so feeble, that we saw no prospect whatever of success, but in the appointment of renters under the Company's sole authocity.

Upon this principle we judged it expedient to recommend, that such of the Nabob's districts as were in a state to be farmed out might be immediately let by a public adyertisement, issued in the Company's name, and circulated through every province of the Carnatic; and, with the view of encouraging bidders, we proposed, that the countries might be advertised for the whole period of the Nabob's assignment; and the security of the Company's protection promised, in the fullest manner, to such persons as might become renters.

This plan bad the desired effect; and the attempts which were secretly made to counteract it, afforded an unequivocal proof of its necessity: but the advantages resulting from it were more pleasingly evinced, by the number of proposals that were delivered, and by the terms which were in general offered for the districts intended to be farmed out.

Having so far attained the purposes of the assignment, our attention was next turned to the heavy expensee ent tailed upon the different provinces; and here, we confem, our astonishment was raised to the highest pitch. In the Trichinopoly country, the standing disbursements appeared, by the Nabob's own accounts, to be one lack of rupeen more than the receipte. In other districte, the chargen were not in so high a proportion, but still rated on a mont extravagant scale; and we saw, by every account that was brought before us, the absolute necesaity of retrenching considerably in all the articles of expense.

Oar own resson, aided by wach inquiries as we were able to make, suggested the alterations we have recommended to your Lordship, \&e., under this head. You will observe, that we have not acted aparingly; but we chowe rather, in cases of donbt, to incur the hazard of retrenching too much, thsn too little; because it would be eavier, after eny stated allowance for expenses, to add what might be necesmary, than to diminiah. We hope, however, there will be ng material increase in the articles as they now stand.

One considerable charge upon the Nabob's country was for extraordinary sibbendies, sepoys, and horsemen, who appeared to us to be a very unnecessary encumbrance on the revenue. Your Lordship, dic., have determised to receive uruch of these people as will enlist into the Company's serrice, and discharge the rest. This mesure will not only relieve the country of s heary burthen, but tend greatiy to fix in the Company that kind of authority, which is requisite for the due collection of the revenuew.

In consequence of your determination respecting the Nabob's sepoys, te., every charge under that head has been struck out of our acconnt of expenses. If the whole mumber of these people be enlisted by the Company, there will probably be no more than sufficient to complete their ordinary military eatablishment. But shoold the preant reduction of the Nabob's artillery render it erpedient, after the war, to make any addition to the Company's establinhment, for the purposes of the assigned countries, the expense of cuch addition, whatever it be, must be deducted from the prewent account of esvings.

In considering the charges of the mevernl districtes, in order
to establish better regulations, we were carefil to discriminate those incurred for troops kept, or supposed to be kept, up for the defence of the country, from those of the sibbendy, servante, \&c., for the cultivation of the lands, and the collection of the revennes, as well as to pay attention to such of the established customs of the country, ancient privileges of the inhabitente, and public charities, as were necessarily allowed, and appeared proper to be continued; but which, under the Nabob's government, were not only rated much higher, but had been blended under one confused and aimost unintelligible title of expenses of the districts; so joined, perhaps, to afford pleas and means of secreting sind appropriating great part of the revenues to other purposes than fairly appeared; and certainly betraying the utmost neglect and mismasnagement, as giving latitude for every species of frand and oppression. Such a system has, in the few latter years of the Nabob's necessities, brought all his countries into that situation, from which nothing but the most rigid economy, strict observance of the conduct of managera, and the most conciliating attention to the rights of the inhabitsats, can poseibly recover them.

It now only remains for ns to lay before your Lordship, \&c. the enclosed etatement of the aums at which the districts lately advertised have been let, compared with the accounta of their produce delivered by the Nabob, and entered on our proceedings of the 21st January. Likewise a comparative view of their former and present expenses.
The Nabob's accounta of the produce of these districts state, as we have aome reason to think, the sums which former renters engaged to pay him, (and which were seldom, if ever, made good,) and not the sums actually produced by the districts ; yet we have the satisfaction to observe, that the present aggregate rents, upon an average, are equal to those sccounts. Your Lordship, \&c. cannot indeed expect, that, in the midst of the danger, inrasion, and distress, which assail the Carnatic on every side, the renters now appointed will be able at present to fulfil the terms of their leases; but we trust, from the measures we have taken, that very little, if any, of the actual collections will be lost, even during the war; and that on the return of peace and tranquillity, the renters
will have it in their power fully to perform their respective agreements.

We mach regret that the situation of the Arcot province will not admit of the aame settlement which has been made for the other districts : but the enemy being in possession of the capital, together with several other atrong-holds, and having entirely desolated the country, there is little room to hope for more from it than a bare mubsistence to the few garrisons we have left there.

We shall not fail to give our attention towards obtaining every information respecting this province that the present times will permit, and to take the first opportunity to propose such arrangements for the management as we may think eligible.

We hare the honour to be,
Your most obedient,
Humble servante,

| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Charles Oagciet } \\ \text { Eylea Irwin, } \\ \text { Hall Plyge, } \\ \text { David Hazibuet } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Bort \&t. George, 27tk May, 1782.
A true copy,
J. Hedlestot, Bec.

COMPARATIVB BTATEMENT of the Revenuen and Expensen of Nellore, Ongole, Patnaud, Trichtriopoly, Madura, and Tuanevelly Countries, whitie in the hands of the Nabob, with those of the same Countries on the Tonms of the Leates lately granted for Four Yeara, to commence with the beglnning of the Phazeley, 1199, or the 12 th July, 1788.
from the Rexti atipulaled for, and Expensea allowed by, the present incases.

|  | amast maveres |  | Bxpeness. |  |  | net revinuz |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not teevenus by nepremert Leme | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inerous } \\ \text { Net Refumber } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Berer Pagoden | Mun Freman | Sur Peproter. | sur Prsoden | ${ }^{\text {Star Papoden }}$ | ater Puedes. | serr Preoder. | star Prapder |
| Nellare and devapully | 3,22,830 | 5,61,900 | 1,98,794 - | 33,000 | 1,65,794 | 1,24,036 | 3,28,900 | 2,04,864 |
| Ongole | 1,10,967 1 | 35,000 |  |  | 88,234 | 22,713 | 85,000 | 32,287 |
| Patuaud | 51,355 | 68,500 | 26,721 | 5,698 | 20,093 | 25,694 | 47,802 | 29,168 |
| Trichinnopoly | 8,809,903 1 | 2,73,214 | 2,88,148 | 19,143 | 2,63,005 | 7,845 | 2,64,071 | 2,46,226 |
| Medura | 1,02,756 |  | 63,710 | 12, 123 | 51,673 | 30,048 | 48,233 | 0,207 |
| Tennevelly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5,65,637 | 8,79,715 | 1,64,098 | 70,368 | 93,780 | 4,41,439 | 3,09,945 | 1,07,006 |
| Total | 14,48,458 | 18,83,617 | 8,82,725 | 1,40,246 | 6,89,479 | 0,20,713 | 12,43,871 | 6,22,658 |





```
FIES OARIEY
``` \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { OHAHLES OARLEY, } \\ \text { EYLES IRWIN, } \\ \text { HALLPLUMER, } \\ \text { DEID HALIBURTON }\end{array}\right.\)
signed,
Phot St. George, 27th. Way, 178s.
GEORGE MOUBRAY,

\section*{No. V. Referred to from p. 171.}

Case of certain persong renting the assigned Lands under the authority of the East-Indis Company.

Extract of a Letter from the President and Council of Fort St. George, 25th May, 1788.
"Ons of them, [the rentera,] Ram Chunder Baus, was indeed one of those unfortunate rajabs, whove country, by being near to the territories of the Nabob, forfeited ite title to independence, and became the prey of ambition and cupidity. This man, though not able to reaist the Company's arms, employed in such a deed at the Nabob's instigation, had industry and ability. He acquired, by a series of services, even the confidence of the Nabob; who suffered him to rent a part of the conntry of which he had deprived him of the property. This man had afforded no motive for his rejection by the Nabob, but that of being ready to engage with the Company; a motive most powerful indeed, but not to be avowed."
[This is the person whom the English instraments of the Nabob of Arcot have had the audacity to charge with a corrupt transaction with Lord Macartney, and, in mupport of that charge, to produce a forged letter from him Lordship's steward. The charge and letter the reader may wee in this sppendix, under the proper bead. It is maerted, by the unfortunate prince above mentioned, that the Company first mettled on the coast of Coromsandel under the protection of one of his ancestors. If this be true, (and it is far from unlikely,) the world mast judge of the return the descendant has met with. The case of another of the victims, given up by the ministry, though not altogether no striking as the. former, is worthy of attention. It is that of the renter of the province of Nellore.]
" It is with a wantonness of falmehood, and indifference to detection, asserted to you, in proof of the validity of the Nabob's objections, that this man's failure bad already forced ut to remove him; thongh in fact he has continued invariably in office; though our greatest axpplies have been recaived frome hine ; and that, in the dincppointment of your
remittances [the remittances from Bengal] and of otherresources, the specie sent us from Nellore alone has sometimes enabled us to carry on the public business ; and that the present expedition against the Firench must, without this assistance from the assignment, have been laid aside, or delayed until it might have become too late."
[Tbis man is by the ministry given over to the mercy of persons capable of making charges on him, "with a wantonness of falsehood, and indifference to detection." What is likely to happen to him and the rest of the victims, may sppear by the following]

Letter to the Governor-General and Council, March 13th, 1782.
"The speedy termination to which the people were taught to look, of the Company's interference in the revenues, and the vengeance denounced against those who, contrary to the mandate of the durbar, should be connected with them, as reported by Mr. Sullivan, may, as much as the former exactions and oppressions of the Nabob in the revenue, as reported by the commander-in-chief, have deterred some of the fittest men from offering to be concerned in it.
"The timid disposition of the Hindoo aatives of this country was not likely to be insensible to the specimen of that vengeance given by his Excellency the Amur, who upon the mere rumour that a bramin, of the name of Appagee Row, had given proposals to the Company for the rentership of Vellore, had the temerity to send for him, and to put him in confinement.
"A man thus seized by the Nabob's sepoys within the walls of Madras gave a general alarm, and government found it necessary to promise the protection of the Company, in order to calm the apprehensions of the people."

\section*{No. VI. Referred to from p. 188 and p. 191.}

Extract of a Letter from the Council and Select Committee at Fort St. George, to the Governor-General and Council, dated 25th May, 1783.
Is the prosecution of our duty, we beseech you to convol. III.
sider as an act of strict and necessary justice, previous to reiteration of your orders for the surrender of the assig口ment, how far it would be likely to affect third persons, who do not appear to have committed any breach of their engagements. You command us to compel our aumils to deliver over their reapective charges as shall be appointed by the Nabob, or to retain their trust under his sole authority, if he shall choose to confirm them. These aumils are reslly renters, they were appointed in the room of the Nabob's aumile, and contrary to his wishes; they have already been rejected by him, and are therefore not likely to be confirmed by him. They applied to this government, in consequence of public advertisements in our name, as possessing in this instance the joint authority of the Nabob and the Company, and have entered into mutual and strict covenants with us, and we with them, relative to the cerfain districte not actually in the possession of the enemy; by which corenants, as they are bound to the punctual payment of their rents, and due management of the country, so we, and our constituents, and the public faith, are in like manner bound to maintain them in the enjoyment of their leases, during the continuance of the term; that term was for five yearn, agreeably to the words of the assignment, which declare that the time of rent ing shall be for three or five yeara, an the governor ahall settle with the renters,-Their leases cannot be legally torn from them. Nothing but their previous breach of a part coald justify our breach of the whole; wach a stretch and abuse of power would indeed not only savour of the assumption of sovereignty, but of arbitrary and oppressive despostism. In the present contest, whether the Nabob be guilty, or we be guiftr, the renters are not guilty. Whichever of the contending partie has broken the condition of the ansignment, the renters have not broken the condition of their leasen. These men, in conducting the business of the amignment, have acted in opposition to the derigns of the Nabob, in despite of the menaces denounced against all who should dare to oppose the mandates of the durbar juatice. Gratitude and homanity require that provision should be made by you, before you set the Nabob's ministers loowe on the country, for the protection of the victims devoted to their vengeance.

Mr. Benfield, to secure the permanency of his power, and the perfection of his schemes, thought it necessary to render the Nabob an absolute stranger to the state of his affairs. He assured his Highness, that full justice was not done to the strength of his sentiments, and the keenness of his attacks, in the translations that were made by the Company's servante from the original Persian of his letters. He therefore proposed to him, that they should for the future be transmitted in English.-Of the English language or writing his Highness, or the ameer, cannot read one word, though the latter can converse in it with sufficient fluency. The Persian language, as the language of the Mahommedan conquerors, and of the court of Delhi, as an appendage or signal of authority, was at all times particularly affected by the Nabob: -it is the language of all acts of state, and all public transactions, among the Mussulman chiefs of Indostan. The Nabob thought to have gained no inconsiderable point, in procuring the correspondence from our predecessors to the Rajah of Tanjore to be changed from the Marattah language, which that Hindoo prince understands, to the Persish, which he disclaims understanding. To force the Rajah to the Nabob's language was gratifying the latter with a new species of aubserviency. He had formerly contended with considerable anxiety, and, it was thought, no inconsiderable cost, for particular forms of address to be used towards him in that fanguage. But all of a sudden, in favour of Mr. Benfield, he quits his former affections, his habits, his knowledge, his curiosity, the increasing mistrust of age, to throw himself upon the generous candour, the faithful interpretation, the grateful return and eloquent organ of Mr. Benfield !-Mr. Benfeeld relates and reads what he pleases to his Excellency the Ameer-ul-Omrah-his Excellency communicates with the Nabob his father, in the language the latter understands. Through two channels so pure, the truth must arrive at the Nabob in perfect refinement; through this double trust, his Highness receives whatever impression it may be convenient to make on him: he abandons his signature to whatever paper they tell him contains, in the English language, the sentiments with which they had inspired him. He thus is surrounded on every side. He is totally at their mercy, to believe what is not true, and to subscribe to what he does not mean.

There is no system so new, \(s 0\) foreign to his intentions, that they may not pursue in his name, without possilility of detection: for they are catuious of who approach him, and have thought prudent to decline, for him, the virits of the governor, even upon the usual solemn and acceptable occasion of delivering to his Highness the Company's letters. Such is the complete ascendency gained by Mr. Benfield. It may be partly explained by the facts observed already some years ago by Mr. Benfield himself, in regard to the Nabob, of the infirmities natural to his advanced age, joined to the decays of his constitution. To this sscendency, in proportion as it grew, must chiefly be sacribed, if not the origin, at least the continuance and increase, of the Nabob's divunion with this presidency; a disunion which creates the importance and subserves the resentments of Mr. Benfield; and an ascendency which, if you effect the surrender of the asvignment, will entirely leave the exercise of power, and accumulation of forturne, at his boundless discretion; to him, and to the Ameer-ul-Orsah, and to Syed Assam Caton, the assignmene would in fact be surrendered. HE WLLL (IF ANY) BE THE SOUCAR SECURITY; and recurity in this country is counter-secured by possession. Fos wond mot choose to tahe the assigmment from the Company, to give it to individuale. Of the impropriety of its retorning to the Nibob, Mr. Benfield would now again argue from his former observations, that under his Highness's management, his country declined, his people emigrated, his revennes decrensed, and his country was rapidly approaching to a state of political insolvency. Of Byed Assam Cawn we judge only from the observations this letter slready containe. But of the other two persons [Ameer-ul-Omrah and Mr. Benfield] we underiake to declare, not as parties in a cause, or even as voluntary witnesses, but as executive officers, reporting to you, in the discharge of our duty, and under the impresaion of the sacred obligation which binds ws to truth, well we to justice, that, from every obserration of their principles and dispositions, and every information of their character and conduct, they have prosecuted projecte to the injury and danger of the Company and individnals; that is soould be improper to truces, and dangerow to employ them, in amy pablic or inportant situation; that the tranquillity of the Carnatic raquires a re-
straint to the power of the ameer; and that the Company, whose service and protection Mr. Benfield has repeatedly and recently forfeited, would be more secure against danger and confusion, if he were removed from their several presidencies.
[After the above solemn declaration from so weighty an authority, the principal object of that awful and deliberate warning, instead of "being removed from the several presidencies," is licensed to return to one of the principal of those presidencies, and the grand theatre of the operations on account of which the presidency recommends his total removal. The reason given is for the accommodation of that very debt which has been the chief instrument of his dangerous practices, and the main cause of all the confusions in the Company's government.]

No. VII. Reforred to from p. 174, and p. 179.
Extracts from the Evidence of Mr. Petrie, late Resident for the Company at Tanjore, given to the Select Committee, relative to the Revenues and State of the Country, \&c. \&e.

\section*{9th May, 1782.}

Wreman Petrice, Esq., attending according to order, was asked, In what station he was in the Company's service? he said, He went to India in the year 1765, a writer upon the Madras, establishment; he was employed, during the former war with Hyder Ali, in the capacity of paymaster and commissary to part of thearmy, and was afterwards peymaster and commiseary to the army in the first siege of Tanjore, and the subsequent campaigns; then secretary to the secret department from 1772 to 1775 ; he came to England in 1775, and returned again to Madras the beginning of 1778; he Was resident at the durbar of the Rajah of Tanjore from that time to the month of May; and from that time to January, 1780, was chief of Nagore and Carrecal, the first of which was received from the Rajah of Tanjore, and the second was taken from the French.-Being asked, Who sent him to Tanjore? he said, Sir Thomas Rumbold, and the Secret Committee.——Being then asked, Upon what errand ? he said, Ho went first up with a letter from the Company to the Rajah of Tanjore; he was directed to give the Rajah the
strongest assurances that be should be kept in ponsession of his country, and every privilege to which he had been restored; he was likewise directed to negotiate with the Rajah of Tanjore for the cession of the meaport and district of Nagore, in lieu of the town and district of Devicotta, which he had promised to Lord Pigot : these were the principal, and, to the best of his recollection at present, the only objects in view, when he was first sent up to Tanjore. In the course of his stay at Tanjore other matters of businews occurred between the Company and the Rajah, which came under his management as resident at that durbar-Being aaked, Whether the Rajah did deliver up to him the town and the annexed districts of Nagore voluntarily, or whether he was forced to it? he said, When he made the first proposition to the Rajah, agreeably to the directions he had received from the secret cominittee at Madras, in the most free, open, and liberal manner, the Rajah told him the seaport of Nagore was entirely at the service of his benefactors the Company, and that he was happy in having that opportunity of testifying bis gratitude to them; these may be supposed to be words of course, but from every experience which be had of the Rajah's mind and conduct, whilst be was at Tanjore, he has reason to believe that his declarations of gratitude to the Company were perfectly \(\begin{gathered}\text { incere; } \\ \text { he }\end{gathered}\) speaks of the town of Nagore at present, and a certain dirtrict, not of the districts to the amount of which they afterwards received. The Rajah asked him, To what amount be expected a jaghire to the Company? And the witness further asid, That be acknowledged to the committee that he was not instructed upon that head; that be wrote for orders to Madras, and was directed to ask the Kajah for a jaghire to a certain amount; that thie gave rise to a long negotiation, the Rajah representing to bim his insbility to make such a gift to the Company as the secret committee at Madras seemed to expect; While be, (the witnens,) on the other hand, was directed to make as good a bargain as he could for the Company. From the view that he then took of the Rajah's financea, from the situation of his country, and from the load of debt which premed hard upon him, he belieres he at different times, in his correspondence with the government, represented the necessity of their being moder-
ate in their demands, and it was at last agreed to accept of the town of Nagore, valued at a certain annual revenue, and a jaghire annexed to the town, the whole amounting to 250,000 rupees. - Being asked, Whether it did turn out so raluable? he said, He had not a doubt but it would turn out more, as it was let for more than that to farmers at Madras, if they had managed the districts properly, but they vere strangers to the manners and customs of the people; when they came down they oppressed the inhabitants, and threw the whole district into confusion; the inhabitants, many of them, left the country, and deserted the cultivation of their lands, of course the farmers were disappointed of their collections, and they have since failed, and the Company have lost a considerable part of what the farmiers were to pay for the jaghire. Being asked, Who these farmers were? he said, One of them was the renter of the St. Thome district, near Madras, and the other, and the most responsible, was a Madras dubash.-Being asked, Whom he was dubash to ? he maid, to Mr . Cassmajor.

Being asked, Whether the lease was made upon higher terms than the diatrict wae rated to him by the Rajah? be said, It was.-Being then asked, What reason was assigned why the district was not kept under the former management by amildars, or let to persons in the Tanjore country acquainted with the district? he said, No rensons were as-sigaed:- he was directed from Madras to advertise them to be let to persons of the country; but before he received any proposal, he received accounts that they were let at Madras in consequence of public advertisements which bad been made there : he believes, indeed, there were very few men in those districts responsible enough to have been intrusted with the management of those lands. Being asked, Whether, at the time he was authorized to negotiate for Nagore in the place of Devicotta, Devicotta was given up to the Rajah ? he said, No.-Being asked, Whether the Rajah of Tanjore did not frequently desire that the districts of Arne and Hanymantygoodé should be restored to him agreeably to treaty, and the Company's orders to Lord Pigot ? he said, Many a time; and he transmitted his representations regularly to Madras.-Being then asked, Whether those places were restored to him P he said, Not while he was in India.

Being asked, Whether he was not authorized and required by the presidency at Madras to demand a large num of money over and above the four lacks of pagodas that were to be annually paid by a grant of the Kajah, made in the time of Lord Pigot? he said, He was; to the amount, he believes, of four lacke of pagodas, commonly known by the name of deposit-money-Being asked, Whether the Kajah did not frequently plead his inability to pay that money? he said, He did every time he mentioned it, and complained loudly of the demand-Being asked, Whether he thinks thoee complaints were well founded? he says, He thinke the Rajah of Tanjore was not only not in a state of ability to pay the deposit-money, but that the annual payment of four lacks of pagodas was more than his revenues could affordBeing aaked, Whether he was not frequently obliged to borrow money, in order to pay the instalmenta of the annual payments, and such parts as he paid of the deposit? he said, Yes, he was.- Being asked, Where he borrowed the money? he said, He believes principally from soucars or ne tive bankers, and some at Madras, as he told him.-Being asked, Whether he told him that his credit was very good, and that he borrowed upon moderate intereat? he alaid, That he told him he found great difficalties in raiving money, and was obliged to borrow at a most exorbitant interent, even some of it at 48 per cent., and be believes not a great deal under it: he desired him (the witness) to apeak to one of the soucars or bankers at Tarjore, to accommodate him wilh a loan of money: that man thowed hine an account betwoen him and the Rajah, from tohich it appeared that he charged 48 per cest, besides componad interest-Being asked, Whether the sums dne were large? he adid, Yes, they were considerable; though he does not recollect the amount.-Being asked, Whether the banker lent the money? he aaid, He would not, unless the witness conld procure him payment of his old arrears.

Being asked, What notice did the government of Madrat take of the King of 'Tanjone's representations of the slate of his affirs, and his inability to pay? be aid, He doem not recollect that, in their correspondence with him, tbere was any reasoning opon the subject; and is his correspondence with Sir Thomat Rambold, apon tho amount of the
jaghire, he seemed very desirous of adapting the demand of government to the Rajah's circumstances; but, whilst he staid at Tanjore, the Rajah was not exonerated from any part of his burthens.-Being asked, Whether they ever desired the Rajab to make up a atatement of his accounts, disbursements, debts, and payments to the Company, in order to ascertain whether the country was able to pay the increasing demands upon it P he said, Through him he is certain they never did.-Being then aaked, If he ever heard whether they did through any one else? he said, He never did.

Being asked, Whether the Rajah is not bound to furnish the cultivatora of land with seed for their crops, according to the custom of the country? he said, The King of Tanjore, as proprietor of the land, alooys makes advances of money for seed for the cultivation of the land.-Being then asked, If money beyond his power of furnishing should be pxtorted from him, might it not prevent, in the first instance, the means of cultivating the country? he said, It certainly does, he knows it for a fact; and he knows that when he left the country there were several districts which were uncultivated from that cause.-Being asked whether it is not necesaary to be at a considerable erpense in order to keep op the mounds and water-courses? he said, \(A\) very considerable one annually.-Being asked, what would be the consequence if money should fail for that? he said, In the first instance the country would be partially supplied with water, some districts would be overforved, and others would be parched.-Being asked, Whether there is not a considerable dam called the Anicut, on the keeping up of which the prosperity of the country greatly depends, and which requires a great expense? he said, Yes, there is ; the whole of the Tanjore country is admirably well supplied with water, nor can he conceive any method could be fallen upon more happily adnpted to the cultivation and prosperity of the country; but, as the Anicut is the source of that prosperity, any injury done to that must essentially affect all the other works in the country; it is a most stupendous piece of masonry; but, from the very great floods, frequently requiring repairs, which if neglected, not only the expense of repairing must be greatly increased, but a general injury done to the whole country.-Being asked

Whether that dam has been kept in as good preservation since the prevalence of the English government as before? he said, From his own knowledge he cannot tell, but from everything he has read or heard of the former prosperity and opulence of the kings of Tanjore, be should suppose not.-Being asked, Whether he doee not know of eeveral attempts that have been made to prevent the repair, and even to damage the work ? he asid, The Rajab bimwelf frequently complained of that to him, and he has likewise heard it from others at Tanjore.-Being asked, Who it was that attempted those acts of violence? he said, He was told it was the inhabitants of the Nabob's country adjoining to the Anicut.-Bting asked, Whether they were not set on or instigated by the Nabob? he answered, The Rajah said so--And being anked, What steps the president and council took to punish the authors, and prevent those violences? he said, To the best of his recollection, the governor told him he would make inquiries into it, but he does not know that any inquiries were made: that Sir Thomas Rumbold, the governor, informed him that he had laid his representations with respect to the Anicut before the Nabob, who denied that his people had given any interruption to the repairs of that work.

\section*{10th May.}

Being asked, What he thinks the real, clear receipt of the, revennes of Tanjore were worth when he left it ? he maid, He cannot say what was the net amount, ss he does not know the expense of the Rajah's collection, but while he wat at Tanjore be understood from the Rajah himself, and from his ministers, that the gross collection did not exceed nine lacka of pagodas ( \(£ 360,000\) ).-Being asked, Whether he thinks the country could pay the eight lacks of pagodas which had been demanded to be paid in the course of one year? he aid, Clearly not.-Being asked, Whether there was not an attempt made to remove the Bajah's mininter, upon mome delay in payment of the deposit? he said, The gorernor of Madras wrote to that effect, which he represented to the Rsjah.Being asked, Who was mentioned to succeed to the minister that then was, in case be should be removed? he maid, When Bir Hector Munro came afterwarde to Tanjore, the old danbiere was mentioned, and recommended to the Rimah as suc-
cessor to his then dewan.-Being asked, Of what age was the daubiere at that time? he said, Of a very great age, upwards of fourscore.-Being asked, Whether a person called Kanonga Saba Pilla was not likewise named ? be asid, Yes, he was, he was recommended by Sir Thomas Rumbold; and one recommendation, as well as I can recollect, went through me.-Being asked, What was the reason of his being recommended ? he said, He undertook to pay off the Rajah's debts, and to give security for the regular payment of the Rajah's instalments to the Company-Being asked, Whether he offered to give any security for preserving the country from oppression, and for supporting the dignity of the Rajah and his people? he said, He does not know that he did, or that it was asked of him.-Being asked, Whether he was a person agreeable to the Rajah ? he said, He was not--Being asked, Whether he was not a person who had fled out of the country to avoid the resentment of the Rajah ? he said, He was.Being asked, Whether he was not charged by the Rajah with mal-practices, and breach of trust relative to his effects? he said, He was; but he told the governor that he would account for his conduct, and explain everything to the satisfaction of the Rajah.-Being asked, Whether the Rajah did not consider this man as in the interest of his enemies, and particularly of the Nabob of Arcot and Mr. Benfield \(P\) he asid, He does not recollect that be did mention that to him; he remembers to have heard him complain of a transaction between Kanonga Saba Pilla and Mr. Benfield; but he told him he had been guilty of a variety of mal-practices in his administration, that he had oppressed the people, and defrauded him.-Being asked, In what branch of business the Rajah had formerly employed him \(P\) he said, He was at one time, he believes, renter of the whole country, was supposed to have great influence with the Rajah, and was in fact dewan some time.-. Being asked, Whether the nominstion of that man was not particularly odious to the Rajah? he said, He found the Rajah's mind so exceedingly everse to that man, that he believes he would almost as soon have submitted to his being deposed, ss to submit to the nomination of that man to be his prime minister.

\section*{13th May.}

Mr. Petriz beinganked, Whether he was informed by the Bajah, or by others, at Tanjore or Madras, that Mr. Benfield, whilst he managed the revenues at Tanjore, during the usurpation of the Nabob, did not treat the inhabitante with great rigour? he asid, He did hear from the Rajah that Mr. Benfield did treat the inhabitante with rigour during the time he had enything to do with the administration of the revenuea of Tanjore.-Being asked, If be recollect in what particulary? he eaid, The Rajah particularly complained, that grain had been delivered out to the inhabitants, for the purposee of cultivation, at a higher price than the market price of grain in the country; be cannot say the actual difference of price, but it struck him at the time ss something very conviderable. -Being asked, Whether that money was all recovered from the ishabitants? he asid, The Rajah of Tanjore told him, that the money was all recovered from the inhabitants.-Being asked, Whether he did not hear that the Nabob exacted from the country of Tanjore, whilst he was in poseasion of it? he said, From the accounta which he received at Tanjore, of the revenues for a number of years past, it appeared, that the Nabob collected from the country, while he was in possesion, rather more than 16 lacks of pagodas amnually; whereas when he was at Tanjore, it did not yield more than 9 lacke-Being asked, From whence that difference arose? he said, When Tanjore was conquered for the Nabob, he has been told that many thousand of the native inhabitanta fled from the country, some into the southern provinces, some into the conntry of Mysore, and others into the dominions of the Marattas; he understood from the same authority, that while the Nabob was in possemsion of the country, many inhabitante from the Carnatic, allured by the muperior ferility and opulewee of Tanjore, and encouraged by the Nabob, took up their residence there, which enabled the Nabob to cultivate the whole country; and, upon the restoration of the Rajah, he has heard that the Carnatic imhabitants were carried back to their own country, which left a considerable blank in the population, which was not replaced while he was there, principally owing to an opinion which prerailed through the country, that the Rajah'o government was not to be perms-
nent, but that another revolution was fast approaching, During the Nabob's government, the price of grain was considerably higher (owing to a very unusuad scarcity in the Carnatic) than when he was in Tanjore.-Being asked, Whether he was ever in the Marawar country? he said, Yes; he was commissary to the army in that expedition.-Being asked, Whether that country was much wasted by the war ? he said, Plunder was not permitted to the army, nor did the country suffer from its operations, except in causing many thousands of the inhsbitants, who had been ermployed in the cultivation of the country, to leave it.-Being asked, Whether he knows what is done with the palace and inhabitants of Ramnsut? he said, The town was taken by storm, but not plundered by the troops; it was immediately delivered up to the Nabob's eldest son.- Being asked, Whether great riches were not supposed to be in that palace and temple ? he said, It was univerally believed so.-Being asked, What account was given of them \(P\) he anid, He cannot tell; everything remained in the possession of the Nabob.-Being asked, What became of the children and women of the family of the prince of that country ? he said, The Rajah was a minor; the government was in the hands of the ranny, his mother; from general report he has heard they were carried to Trichinopoly, and pluced in confinement there.-Being asked, Whether he perceived any difference in the face of the Carnatic when he first knew it, and when he last knew it? he eaid, He thinks he did, particularly in its population. - Being asked, Whether it was better or worse ? he said, It was not so populous.-Being asked, What is the condition of the Nabob's eldest son? he said, He was in the Black Town of Madras, when he left the country.-Being asked, Whether he was entertained there in a manner suitable to his birth and expectations? he said, No; he lived there without any of those exterior marks of splendour which princes of his rank in India are particularly fond of.--Being asked, Whether he has not heard that his appointments were poor and mean? he said, He has heard that they were not equal to his rank and expectstions.-Being asked, Whether he had sny share in the government? he said, He believes none; for some years past the Nabob has delegnted most of the powers of government to his second son.--Being asked,

Whether the Rajah did not complain to him of the behaviour of Mr. Benfield to himself personally; and what were the particulars? he said, He did so, and related to him the following particulars: About fifteen days after Lord Pigot'u confinement, Mr. Benfield came to Tanjore, and delivered the Bajah two lettera from the then governor, Mr. Stratton, one public, and the other private; he demanded an immediate account of the presents which had been nade to Lord Pigot, payment of the tunkahs, which he (Mr. Benfield) had received from the Nabob upon the country; and that the Rajah should only write such letters to the Madras government as Mr. Benfield should approve, and give to him: the Rajah answered, that he did not acknowledge the validity of any demands made by the Nabob upon the country; that those tunkshs related to accounta which he (the Rajah) had no concern with; that he never had given Lord Pigot any presents, but Lord Pigot had given him many; and that, as to his correspondence with the Madras government, be would not trouble Mr. Benfield, because he would write his letters himself.-That the Rajah told the witness, that by reason of this answer he was much threatened, in consequence of which he desired Colonel Harper, who then commanded at Tanjore, to be present at his next interview with Mr. Benfield; when Mr. Benfield denied many parte of the preceding conversation, and threw the blame upon his interpreter Comroo. When Mr. Benfield found (an the Hisjah informed him) that he could not carry these points, which had brought him to Tanjore, be prepared to set off for Madras; that the Rajah sent him a letter which he had drawn out, in suswer to one which Mr. Benfield had brought him ; that Mr. Benfield disapproved of the answer, and returned it by Comroo to the durbar, who did not deliver it into the Rajah's hands, but threw it upon the ground, and expressed himself improperiy to him.

Being asked, Whether it was at the King of Tadjore's deaire, that much persons as Mr. Bentield and Comroo had been brought into his presence? he maid, The Rajah told him, that when Iord Pigot came to Tanjore, to reotore him to his dominions, Comroo, without being sent for, or desired to come to the palace, had forand means to get access to hias percon; he made an offer of introducing Mr. Benfield to the

Rajah, which he declined. - Being asked, Whether the military officer commanding there protected the Rajah from the intrusion of such people? he ssid, The Rajah did not tell him that he called upon the military officer to prevent these intrusions; but that he desired Colonel Harper to be present as a witness to what might pass between him and Mr. Ben-field.-Being asked, If it is usíal for persons of the conditions and occupations of Mr. Benfield and Comroo to intrude themselves into the presence of the princes of the country, and to treat them with auch freedom? he asid, Certainly it is not; less there than in any other country.Being asked, Whether the King of Tanjore has no ministers to whom application might be made to transact such business as Mr. Bentield and Comroo had to do in the country? he said, Undoubtedly; his minister is the person whose province it is to transact that business.-Being asked, Before the invasion of the British troops into Tanjore, what would have been the consequence, if Mr. Benfield bad intruded himself into the Rajah's presence, and behaved in that manner ? he asid, He could not say what would have been the consequence; but the attempt would have been madness, and could not have happened.-Being asked, Whethor the Rajah had not particular exceptions to Comroo, and thought he had betrayed him in very essential points? he said, Yes, he had.-Being asked, Whether the Rajah has not been apprized that the Company have made atipulations, that their servants should not interfere in the concerns of his government? he aaid, He signified it to the Rajah, that it was the Company's positive orders, and that any of their servants so interfering would incur their highest displeasure.

\section*{No. VIII. Referred to from p. 178, \&c.}

Commissioners' amending clauses for the Fort St. George despatch, relative to the indeterminate rights and pretensions of the Nabob of Arcot and Rajah of Tanjore.
Is our letter of the \(28 t h\) Janusry last, we stated the rear sonableness of our expectation that certain contributions towards the expenses of the war should be made by the Rajah of Tanjore. Since writing that letter, we have received one from the Rajah, of the 15 th of October last, which coniains
at length his representations of his inability to make such further payment. We think it unnecessary here to discuse Whether these representations are or are not exaggerated, because, from the explanations we have given of our wishen for a new arrangement in future, both with the Nabob of Arcot and the Rajah of Tanjore, and the directions we have given you to carry that arrangement into execution, we think it impolitic to insist upon any demands upon the Bajah for the expenses of the late war, beyond the sum of four lacks of pagodas annually; such s demand might tend to interrupt the harmony which should prevail between the Company and the Rajah, and impede the great objects of the general bystem we have already so fully explained to yon.

But although it is not our opinion that any further claim should be made on the Rajah, for his sbare of the extraordinary erpenses of the late war, it is by no means our intention in any manner to affect the just claim which the Nabob has on the Rajah for the arrears due to him on account of peshcush, for the regular payment of which we became guarantee by the treaty of 1762; but we have already expressed to you our bopes, that the Nabob may be induced to allow these arrears and the growing payments, when due, to be received by the Company, and carried in discharge of his debt to us. You are at the same time to use every means to convince him, that, when this debt shall be discharged, it is our intention, as we ara bound by the above treaty, to exert ourselves to the utmont of cur power to insure the constant and regolar payment of it into his own hand.

We observe, by the plan sent to us by our governor of Fort St. George, on the 30th October, 1781, that an arrangement is there proposed, for the receipt of those arrears from the Rajah, in three years.

We are unable to decide how far this proposal may be consistent with the present state of the Rajah's resources; bot we direct you to use all proper means to bring these arreard to account as woon as poesible, consistently with a dne attention to this consideration.

\section*{Claumes H.}

You will observe, that by the 38th section of the late set of parlisment, it is enscted, that for mettling upon a permat
nent foundation the present indeterminate rights of the Nabob of Arcot and the Rajah of Tanjore, with respect to each other, we should take into our immediate consideration the said indeterminate rights and pretensions, and take and pursue such measures as in our judgment and discretion shall be best calculated to ascertain and settle the same according to the principles, and the terms and stipulations contained in the treaty of 1762, between the said Nabob and the said Rajah.

On a retrospect of the proceedings transmitted to us from your presidency, on the subject of the disputes which have heretofore arisen between the Nabob and the Rajah, we find the following points remain unadjusted, viz.

1st, Whether the jaghire of Arnee shall be enjoyed by the Nabob, or delivered up either to the Rajah, or the descendants of Tremsul Row, the late jaghiredar.

2nd, Whether the fort and district of Hanamantagoody, which is admitted by both parties to be within the Marswsr, ought to be possessed by the Nabob, or to be delivered up by him to the Rajah.

8rd, To whom the government share of the crop of the Tanjore country, of the year 1775-6, properly belonge.

Lastly, Whether the Rajah has a right, by usage and custom, or ought, from the necessity of the case, to be permitted to repair such part of the Anicut, or dam and banks of the Cavery, as lia within the district of Trichinopoly, and to take earth and asnd in the Trichinopoly territory, for the repairs of the dam and banks within either or both of those districts.

In order to obtain a complete knowledge of the facts and circumstances relative to the several points in dispute, and how" far they are connected with the treaty of 1762, we have with great circumspection examined into all the materials before us on these subjects, and will proceed to state to you the result of our inquiries and deliberations.

The objects of the treaty of 1762 appear to be restricted to the arrears of tribute to be paid to the Nabob for his past claims, and to the quantum of the Rajab's future tribute or pesheush; the cancelling of a certain bond given by the Rajah's TOL WI.
father to the father of the Nabob; the confirmation to the Rajah of the districts of Coveladdy and Elangaud, and the restorstion of Tremaul Row to his jaghire of Arnee, in condescension to the Rajah'm request, upon certain atipulations, viz. That the fort of Arnee and Doby Gudy should be retained by the Nabob; that Tremanl Row should not erect any fortress, walled pagods, or other strong-hold, nor any wall round his dwelling-house, exceeding eight feet high, or two feet thick; and should in all things behave himself with due obedience to the government; and that he should pay yearly, in the month of July, unto the Nabob or his successors, the sum of ten thousand rapees, the Kajah thereby becoming the security for Tremanl How, that he should in all things demesn and behave himself accordingly, and pay yearly the stipulsted sum.

Upon a review of this treaty, the only point now in dispute, which appears to us to be so immediately connected with it, as to bring it within the strict line of our duty to ascertain and settle, according to the terms and stipulations of the treaty, is that respecting Arnee. For although the other points enumerated may in some respects have a relotion to that treaty, yet as they are foreign to the purposes expressed in it, and could not be in the contemplation of the contracting parties at the time of making it, those disputem cannot in our comprebention fall within the line of detcription of rights and pretensions to be now ascertained and settled by us, secording to any of the terms and atipulations of \(i t\).

In respect to the jaghire of Arnee, we do not find that our records afford us any eatisfactory information by what title the Rajah claims it, or what degree of relationship or connexion has subsisted between the Rajah and killidar of Arnee, aave only that by the treaty of 1762 the former became the surety for Tremsinl Row's performance of his engagements specified therein, as the conditions for his restoration to that jaghire; on the death of Tremanl Bow we perceive that he was eneceeded by his widow, and after her death, by his grandson Sencewacarow, both of whom were admitted to the jaghire by the Niabob.

From your minntea of consaltation of the 31st October, 1770, and the Nabob's letter to the president, of the 21ut

March, 1771, and the two letters from Rajah Beerbur, Atchenur Punt, (who, we presume, was then the Nabob's manager at Arcot,) of the 16th and 18th March, referred to in the Nabob's letter, and transmitted therewith to the president, we observe that, previous to the treaty of 1762 , Mr. Pigot concurred in the expediency of the Nabob's taking. possession of this jaghire, on account of the troublesome and refractory behaviour of the Arnee braminees, by their affording protection to all disturbers; who, by reason of the little distance between Arnee and Arcot, fled to the former, and were there protected, and not given up, though demanded.

That though the jaghire was restored in 1762 , it was done under such conditione and restrictions as were thought best calculated to preserve the peace and good order of the place, and due obedience to government.

That nevertheless the braminees (quarrelling among themselves) did afterwards, in express violation of the treaty, enlist and assemble many thousand sepoys, and other troops; that they erected gaddies, and other small forts, provided themselves with wall piecea, small guns, snd other warlike stores, and raised troubles and disturbances in the neighbourbood of the city of Arcot, and the forts of Artee and Shaw Gaddy; and that finally they imprisoned the hircarrabs of the Nabob, sent with his letters and instructions, in pursuance of the advice of your board, to require certain of the braminees to repair to the Nabob at Chepauk, and, though peremptorily required to repair thither, paid no regard to those, or to any other orders from the circar.

By the 13th article contained in the instructions given by the Nabob to Mr. Dupre, as the basis for negotiating the treaty made with the Rajah in 1771, the Nabob required that the Arnee district should be delivered up to the circar, because the braminees had broken the conditions which they were to have observed. In the answers given by the Rajah to these propositions, he says, "I am to give up to the circar the jaghire district of Arnee;" and on the 7th of November, 1771, the Rajah, by letter to Seneewasarow, who appears by your consultations and country correspondence to have been the grandaon of Tremanl Row, and to have been put in possession of the jaghire at your recommendation, (on the death of his grandmother,) writes, acquainting him, that he had
given the Arnee country, then in his (Seneewasarow's) porsession, to the Nsbob, to whose sumildary Sencewasarow was to deliver up the possession of the country. And in your letter to us of the 28th February, 1772, you certified the district of Arnee to be one of the countrien acquired by this treaty, and to be of the eatimated value of two lacks of rupees per annum.

In our orders, dated the 12th April, 1775, we declared oup determination to replace the Rajah upon the throne of his ancestors, upon certain terms and conditions, to be agreed upon for the mutual benefit of himself and the Company, without infringing the rights of the Nabob. We declared, that our faith stood pledged by the treaty of 1762, to obtain payment of the Rajah's tribute to the Nabob; and that for the insuring anch payment the fort of Tanjore should be garrisoned by our troops. We directed that you should pay no regard to the article of the treaty of 1771, which respected the alienation of part of the Rajah's dominions; and we declared, that if the Nabob had not a juat title to thowe territories before the conclusion of the treaty, we denied that he obtained any right thereby, except auch temporary sovereignty, for securing the payment of his expencen, as is therein mentioned.

These instructions appear to have been executed in the month of April, 1776; and by your letter of the 14th May following, you certified to us, that the Rajah had been put into the poseession of the whole commtry his father held in 1762, when the treaty was concluded with the Nabob; brat we do not find that you came to any resolution either antecedent or eubsequent to this advice, either for questioning or impeaching the right of the Nabob to the eovereignty of Arnee, or expressive of any doubt of his title to it. Neverthelew we find, that, although the board passed no such resolution, yet your preaident, in his letter to the Nabob, of the 30 th July, and 24th Augast, called upon his Highnems to give up the poescesion of Arnee to the Rajah; and tbe Rajah himself. in several letters to us, particularty in those of the 21st October, 1776, and the 7th of Jume, 1777, exprensed his expectation of our orders for delivering up that fort and district to him; sud co recently ain the 15 th of October, 1753, he reminde us of his former application, and atates that the
country of Arnee being guaranteed to him by the Company, it of course is his right; but that it has not been given up to him, and he therefore earnestly entreats our orders for putting him into the possession of it. We also observe by your letter of the 14th of October, 1779, that the Rajah had not then accounted for the Nabob's pesheush since his restoration, but had assigned as a reason for his withdrawing it, that the Nabob had retained from him the district of Arnee, with a certain other district (Hanamantagoody) which is made the subject of another part of our present despatches.

We have thus stated to you the result of our inquiry into the grounds of the dispute relative to Arnee; and as the research has oftered no evidence in support of the Rajah's claim, nor even any lights whereby we can discover in what degree of relationship, by consanguinity, caste, or other circumstances, the Rajah now stands, or formerly stood, with the killidar of Arnee, or the nature of his connexion with, or command over, that district, or the authority he exercised or assumed previous to the treaty of 1771, we should think ourseives highly reprehensible in complying with the Rajah's request; and the more so, as it is expressly stated, in the treaty of 1762 , that this fort and district were then in the possession of the Nabob, as well as the person of the jaghiredar, on account of his disobedience, and were restored to him by the Nabob, in condescension to the Rajah's request, upon such terms and stipulations as could not, in our judgment, have been imposed by the one, or submitted to by the other, if the sovereignty of the one, or the dependency of the other, had been at that time a matter of doubt.

Although these materials have not furnished us with evidence in support of the Rajah's claim, they are far from satisfactory, to evince the justice of, or the political necessity for, the Nabob's continuing to withhold the jaghire from the descendants of Tremanl Row; his hereditary right to that jaghire seems to us to have been fully recognised by the stipulations of the treaty of \(\mathbf{1 7 6 2}\), and so little doubted, that on his death, his widow was admitted by the Nabob to hold it, on account, as may be presumed, of the nonage of his grandson and heir, Senecwasarow, who appears to have been confirmed in the jaghire, on her death, by the Nabob, as the lineal heir and successor to his grandfather.

With respect to Seneewsaarow, it does not appear, by any of the proceedings in ous possession, that he was concerned in the misconduct of the braminees, complained of by the Nabob in the year 1770, which rendered it necesnary for his Highness to take the jaghire into his own hands, or that he was privy to, or could have prevented, those disturbancen.
We therefore direct, that if the heir of Tremaul kow in not at present in possession of the jagbire, and has not, by any violation of the treaty, or act of disobedience, incurred a forfeiture thereof, be be forthwith restored to the possession of it, according to the terms and stipulations of the treaty of 1762. But if any powerful motive of regard to the peace and tranquillity of the Carnatic shall in your judgment render it expedient to suspend the execution of these orders, in that case you are with all convenient speed to transmit to us your proceedings thereupon, with the full atate of the facto, and of the reasons which have actuated your conduct.

We have before given it as our opinion, that the stipulations of the treaty of 1762 do not apply to the pointe remaining to be decided. But the late act of parlinment having, from the nature of our comexion with the two powers in the Carnatic, pointed out the expediency, and even necessity, of settling the several matters in dispute between them, by a speedy and permanent arrangement, we now proceed to give you our instructions upou the several other heads of disputes before ennmerated.

With respect to the fort and district of Hanamantagoody, we observe that on the restoration of the Rajah in 1776, you informed us in your letter of the 14th of May-"That the Rajah had been put into powession of the whole of the country his father beld in 1762 when the treaty was concluded with the Nabob;" and on the 25th of June you came to the resolution of putting the Rajah into pomession of Hanamantagoody, on the ground of ite appearing on reference to the Nabob's instructions to Mr. Dupre in Jume, 1762, to his reply, and to the Rajah's representations of 25th March, 1771; that Hanamantagoody was actually in the hande of the late Rajah at the time of making the treaty of 1762. We have referred as well to those papers as to all the other proceedings on this sabject, and must confens they fall very short of demonstrating to ns the truth of that fact. And
we find, by the secret consultations of Fort William, of the 7th of August, 1776, that the same doubt was entertained by our governor-general and council.

But whether, in point of fact, the late Rajah was or was not in possession of Hanamantagoody in 1762, it is notorious that the Nabob had always clained the dominion of the countries of which this fort and district are a part.

We observe, that the Nabob is now in the actual possession of this fort and district; and we are not warranted, by any document we have seen, to concur with the wishes of the Rajah to dispossess him.

With regard to the government share of the crop of 1775-6, we observe by the dobeer's memorandum, recited in your consultations of the 13 th of May, 1776, that it was the established custom of the Tanjore country to gather in the harvest, and complete the collections, within the month of March; but that, for the causes therein particularly stated, the harvest (and of course the collection of the goverament share of the crop) was delayed till the month of March was over. We also observe, that the Rajah was not restored to his kingdom until the 1lth of April, 1776; and from hence we infer, that if the harvest and collection had been finished at the usual tine, the Nabob (being then sovereign of the country) would bave received the full benefit of that year's crop.

Although the harrest and collection were delayed beyond the usual time, yet we find by the proceedings of your government, and particularly by Mr. Mackay's minute of the 29th of May, 1776, and also by the dobeer's account, that the greatest part of the grain was cut down whilst the Nabob remained in the goverament of the country.
It is difficult, from the contradictory allegations on the sabject, to ascertain what was the precise amount of the collectiona made after the Nabob ceased to have the possession of the country. But whatever it was, it appears from General Stuart's lettor of the 2nd of April, 1777, that it had been assarted with good authority, that the far greater part of the government share of the crop was plundered by individuala, and never came to account in the Rajah's treasury.

Under all the circumstances of this case, we must be of opinion, that the government share of the crop of 1776 be-
longed to the Nabob, an the then reigning sovereign of the kingdom of Tanjore, be being, de facto, in the full and absolute possession of the government thereof, and consequently that the assignments made by him of the government share of the crop were valid.

Nevertheless, we would by no means be understood by this opinion to suggest, that any further demands ought to be made upon the Rajah, in reapect of such parts of the government share of the crop as were collected by his people.

For, on the contrary, atter so great a length of time as hath elapsed, we should think it highly unjust that the Rajah should be now compelled, either to pay the supposed balances, whatever they may be, or be called upon to render a specific account of the collection made by his people.

The Rajah has already, in his letter to Governor Stratton of the 2lot of April, 1777, given his menurance, that the produce of the preceding year, aceounted for to him, was hitile more than one lack of pagodas; and you have acquatinted us, by your letter of the 14th of October, 1779, that the Rajah has actually paid into our treasury one leck of pagodan, by way of deporit, on accoumt of the Nabob's claims to the crop, till our sentiments should be known, we direct you to earcease any further demande from the Rajah on that secount.

We learn by the proceedinge, and particulariy by the Nabob's letter to Lord Pigot, of the Eth of July, 1776, that the Nabob, previone to the restoration of the liajah, sctuaily made amignmenta, or granted troneawi, of the whole of his share of the erop to hir eneditore and troops; and that your government (entertaining the ame opinion we we do upon the question of right to that share) by letter to the Rajai of the 20th of August, 1776, recommended to him "to reetore to Mr. Benfield (one of the principal ancignees or tumeswholders of the Nabob) the grain of the lant year, which wis in posession of his people, and mid to be forcibly taken from them; and further, to give Mr. Beafield all reaonable anvistspere in reeovering each debta as should appens to have been jastly due to hism from the inhabitenta; and acquainted the Rajah, that it had been jodged by a majority of the comencil, that it was the Compeny's intention to let the Nabob basye the produce of the crop of 1776, but that yoa had
no intention that the Rajah should be accountable for more than the government share, whatever that might be; and that you did not mean to do more than recommend to him to see justice done, leaving the manner and time to himself." Subsequent representations appear to bave been made to the Rajah by your government on the sams subject, in favour of the Nabob's mortgages.

In answer to these applications, the Rajah, in his letter to Mr. Stratton, of the 12th January, 1777, acquainted you, "that he had given orders respecting the grain which Mr. Benfield had heaped up in his country; and with regard to the money due to him by the farmers, that he had desired Mr. Benfield to bring accounts of it, that he might limita time for the payment of it, proportionably to their ability, and that the necesasary orders for stopping this money out of the inhabitants' ehare of the crop had been sent to the ryots and aumildars; that Mr. Benfield's gomastah wes then present there, and oversaw his affairs; and that in everything that was just he (the Rajah) willingly obeyed our governor and council."

Our opinion being, that the Rajah ought to be answerable for no more than the amount of what he admits was collected by his people for the government share of the crop; and the proceedings before us not sufficiently explaining whether, in the sum which the Rajah, by his before-mentioned letter of the 21at April, 1777, admits to have collected, are included those parts of the government share of the crop which were taken by his people from Mr. Benfield, or from any other of the assignees, or tuncaw-holders; and uninformed as we also are, what compensation the Rajah has or has not made to Mr. Benfield, or any other of the parties from whom the grain was taken by the Rajah's people; or Whether, by means of the Rajah's refusal so to do, or from any other circumstance, any of the peraons dispossessed of their grain, may have had recourse to the Nabob for satisfaction; we are, for these reasons, incompetent to form a proper judgment what disposition ought in justice to be made of the one lack of pagodas deposited by the Rajah. But as our sentiments and intentions are so fully expressed upon the whole subject, we presume you, who are upon the apot, can have no doubt or difficulty in making auch an ap-
plication of the deposit as will be consistent with those principles of justice whereon onr sentiments are founded. But should any such difficulty suggest itself, you will surpend any application of the deporit, until you have fully explained the same to us, and have received our further orders.

With respect to the repairs of the Anicut and banke of the Cavery, we have upon various occasions fully expreseed to you our sentimente, and in particular, in our general letter of the 4th July, 1777, we referred you to the investigation and correspondence on \({ }^{*}\) that enbject of the year 1764, and to the report made by Mr. James Bourchier, on his personal survey of the waters, and to neveral letters of the year 1765 and 1767 ; we also, by our said general letter, acquainted you, that it appeared to us perfectly reasonable that the Rajah should be permitted to repair those banke, and the Anicut, in the eame manner as had been practived in times past; and we directed you to establish mach regulations, by reference to former usage, for keeping the asid banks in ren pair, as would be effectual, and remove all cause of complaint in future.

Notwithstanding such our instructions, the Rajah, in his letter to us of the 15th October, 1783, complains of the dostruction of the Anicut; and an the cultivation of the Tanjore country appears, by all the surveys and report of our engineers employed on that eerrice, to depend altogether on a cupply of water by the Cavery, which can only be secured by keeping the Anicut and banks in repair, we think it new cesaary to repeat to you our orders of the 4 th July, 1777, on the subject of those repairs.

And further, as it appears, by the survey and report of Mr. Pringle, that these repairs are attended with a much heavier expense when done with materials taken from the Tanjore district, then with those of Trichinopoly, and that the last-mentioned materials are far preferable to the other; it is our order, that if any oceurrespe should make it necessary or expedient, yon apply to the Nabob, in our name, to desire that his Highness will permit proper spots of ground to be set ont, and bounded by proper marke on the Trichinopoly side, whene the Rajah and his people may at all timen take sand and earth monficient for these repsirs; and that his Highness will grant his lease of such spote of land for a
certain term of years to the Company, at a reasonable annual rent, to the intent that through you the cultivation of the Tanjore country may be secured, without infringing or impairing the rights of the Nabob.

If any attempts have been, or shall be hereafter, made to divert the water from the Cavery into the Coleroon, by contracting the current of the Upper or Lower Cavery; by planting long grass, as mentioned in Mr. Pringle's report, or by any other means, we have no doubt his Highness, on a proper representation to him in our name, will prevent his people from taking any measures detrimental to the Tanjore country, in the prosperity of which his Highness, as well as the Company, is materially interested.

Should you succeed in reconciling the Nabob to this messure, we think it but just, that the proposed lease shall remain no longer in force than whilst the Rajah shall be punetual in the payment of the annual peshcush to the Nabob, as well as the rent to be reserved for the spots of ground. And in order effectually to remove all future occasions of jealousy and complaint between the parties, that the Rajah on the one hand may be satisfied that all necessary works for the cultivation of his country will be made and kept in repair; and that the Nabob on the other hand may be antisfied that no encroachment on his rights can be made, nor any works detrimental to the fortility of his country erected; we think it proper that it should be recommended to the parties, as a part of the adjustment of this very important point, that skilful engineers, appointed by the Company, be employed at the Rajah's expense to conduct all the necessary works, with the strictect attention to the respective rights and interests of both parties. This will remove every probability of injury or dispute; but should either party unexpectedly conceive themselves to be injured, immediate redress might be obtained by application to the government of Madras, under whose appointment the engineer will act, without any discussion between the parties, which might disturb that harmony which it is so much the wish of the Company to establish and preserve, as essential to the prosperity and peace of the Carnatic.

Having now, in obedience to the directions of the act of parliament, upon the fullest consideration of the indeter-
minate rights and pretenvions of the Nabob and Rajab, pointed out such measures and arrangement as in our judgment and discretion will be best calculated to ancertain and settle the same, we hope, that npon a candid consideration of the whole system, although each of the parties may feel disappointed in our decision on particular points, they will be convinced that we have been guided in our inventigation by principlea of atrict justice and impartiality, and that the most anxious attention has been paid to the substantial interests of both parties, and quch a general and comprehensive plan of arrangement proposed, at will moost effectusily prevent all future dissatisfaction.

Approved by the board.

Whitehall,
October 27, 1784

> Herre Dutdan, Walaryaray, W. W. GeEvillis, Molagave.

No. IX. Referred to from p. 171 and 176.
Extract of a letter from the Court of Directors, to the President and Council of Fort St. George, as amended and spproved by the Board of Control.
We have taken into our consideration the several advices and papera received from Indis, relative to the asoignment - of the revemes of the Carnatic, from the conclusion of the Bengal treaty to the date of your letter in October, 1783, together with the representations of the Nabob of the Carnatic upon that subject; and although we might contend, that the agreement should subsist till we are fally reimbursed in hin Highness's proportion of the expenses of the war, yet from a principle of moderation and personal attachment to our old ally, his Highnesa the Niabob of the Cmatic, for whose dignity and happiness we are ever oolicitous, and to cement more strongly, if poenible, that matual harmony and confidence which our connexion makes so essentially nesemary for our reciprocal asfety and welfare, and for romoving from his mised exery idea of seeret desigs on our port to lessen his anthority over the internal govermment of the Carnatic, and the collection and administration of ite revenues, te have
resolved that the assignment shall be surrendered; and we do accordingly direct our president, in whose name the assignment was taken, without delay, to surrender the same to his Highness. But, while we have adopted this resolution, we repose entire confidence in his Highness, that, actuated by the same motives of liberality, and feelings of old friendahip and alliance, he will cheerfully and instanatly accede to such arrangements as are necessary to be adopted for our common safety, and for preserving the respect, rights, and interests we enjoy in the Carhatic. The following are the heads and principles of such an arrangement as we are decisively of opinion must be adopted for these purposes, viz.

That for making a provision for discharging the Nabob's just debts to the Company and individuals, (for the payment of which his Highness has so frequently expressed the greatest solicitude,) the Nabob shall give soucar security for the punctual payment, by instalments, into the Company's treasury, of twelve lacks of pagodss per annum (as voluntarily proposed by his Highness) until those debts, with interest, shall be discharged; and shall also consent that the equitable provision lately made by the British legislature for the liquidation of those debts, and such resolutions and determinations as we shall hereafter make, under the authority of that provision for the liquidation and adjustment of the said debts, bond fide incurred, shall be carried into full force and effect.

Should any difficulty arise between his Highness and our government of Fort St. George, in respect to the responsibility of the soucar security, or the times and terms of the instalments, it is our pleasure that you pay obedience to the orders and resolutions of our governor-general and council of Bengal in respect thereto, not doubting but the Nabob will in such case consent to abide by the determination of our said supreme government.

Although from the great confidence we repose in the honour and integrity of the Nabob, and from an earnest desire not to subject him to any embarrassment on this occasion, we have not proposed any specific assignment of territory or revemue for securing the payments aforesaid, we nevertheless think it our duty, as well to the private creditors, whose interests in this respect have been so solemnly intrusted to us by the late act of parliament, as from regard to the
debt due to the Company, to insiat on a declaration, that in the event of the failure of the security proposed, or in default of payment at the stipulated periods, we reserve to ourselves full right to demand of the Nabob such additional tecurity, by sasignment on his country, ss shall be effectual for answering the purposes of the agreement.

After having conciliated the mind of the Nabob to thim mea sure, and adjusted the particulars, you are to carry the name into execution by a fortmal deed between his Highness and the Company, according to the tenor of these instructions.

As the administration of the British interests and connexions in India has in some respects assumed s new shape by the late act of parjiament, and a general peace in India has been happily accomplished, the present appears to us to be the proper period, and which cannot without great imprudence be omitted, to settle and arrange, by a just and equitable treaty, is plan for the future defence and protection of the Carnatic, both in time of peace and war, on a solid and lasting foundation.

For the accomplishment of this great and necessary object, we direct you, in the name of the Company, to use your utmost endeavours to impress the expediency of, and the good effecte to be derived from, this measure, so mtrongly upon the minds of the Nabob and the Rajah of Tanjore, as to prevail upon them, jointly or separately, to enter into one or more treaty or treaties with the Company, grounded on this principle of equity, That all the contractiog parties thall be bound to contribute jointly to the eupport of the military force and garrisons, as well in peace at in war.

That the military peace eatablishment shall be forthwith settled and adjusted by the Company, in purmance of the authority and directione given to them by the late act of parliament.

As the payment of the troope and garrisond, cecasional expenses in the repairs and improvements of fortifications, and other serrices incidental to a military eatablishment, must of necessity be punctual and accurate, no latitude of personal assirance or reciprocal confidence of either of the parties on the other must be accepted or required; but the Nabob and Rajab must of necessity specify particular districts and revenues for mecoring the due and regalar payment of
their contributions into the treasury of the Company, with whom the charge of the defence of the coast, and of course the power of the sword, must be exclusively intrusted, with power for the Company, in case of failure or default of such payments, at the stipulated times and seasons, to enter upon and possess such districts, and to let the same to renters, to be confirmed by the Nabob and the Rajah respectively; but trusting that in the execution of this part of the arrangement no undueobstruction will be given by either of those powers, we direct that this part of the treaty be coupled with a most positive assurance, on our part, of our determination to support the dignity and authority of the Nabob and Rajah, in the exclusive administration of their civil government, and revenues of their respective countries; and further, that in case of any hostility committed against the territories of either of the contracting parties on the coast of Coromandel, the whole revenues of their respective territories shail be considered as one common stock, to be appropriated in the common cause of their defence-That the Company on their part shall engage to refrain, during the war, from the application of any part of their revenues to any commercial purposes whatsoever, but apply the whole, save only the ordinary charges of their civil government, to the purposes of the war -That the Nabob and the Rajah shall in like manner engage on their parts to refrain, during the war, from the application of any part of their revenues, save only what shall be actually necessary for the support of themselves, and the civil government of their respective countries, to any other purposes than that of defraying the expenses of such military operations as the Compnay may find it necessary to carry on for the common safety of their interests on the coast of Coromandel.

And to obviate any difficulties, or misunderstanding, which might arise from leaving indeterminate the sum necessary to be appropriated for the civil extablishment of each of the respective powers, that the sum be now ascertained which is indispensably necessary to be applied to those purposes, and which is to be held sacred under every emergency, and set spart previous to the application of the rest of the revenues, as hereby stipulated, for the purposes of mutual or common defence against any enemy, for clearing the oncumbrance which may have become necessarily incurred in addition to the
expenditure of those revenue which must be alroays deomed part of the wow eatablishment. This we think abwolutely necessary, as nothing can tend so much to the preservation of peace, and to prevent the renewal of hontilities, as the early putting the finances of the several powers upon a clear foot ing: and the showing to all other powers, that the Company, the Nabob, and the Kajah, are firmly united in one common cause, and combined in one aystem of permanent and vigorons defence, for the preservation of their respective territories and the general tranquillity.

That the whole aggregate revenue of the contracting parties shall, during the war, be under the application of the Company, and shall continue as long after the war achall be necessary, to discharge the burthene oontracted by it; but it must be declared, that this provision ahall in no reapect ertend to deprive cither the Nabob or the Rajah of the eubstantial authority necessary to the collection of the revenues of their respective countries. But it is meant that they shall faithfully perform the conditions of this arrangement; and if a division of any part of the revenues, to any other than the stipulated parposes, shall take place, the Company shall be entitled to take upon themselves the collection of the revenue.

The Company are to engage, during the time they shall administer the revenues, to produce to the other contracting parties regular mecounts of the application thereof to the purposes stipulated by the treaty, and faithfully apply them in support of the war.

And lastly, at the defence of the Carnatic is thus to reat with the Company, the Nabob shall be tatisfied of the propriety of avoiding all umeceseary expense, and will therefore agree not to maintain a greater number of troope than shall be necessary for the eupport of his dignity, and the epleadour of the durbar, which number shall be epecified in the treaty; and if any military aid in requisite for the eecurity and collection of his revenuen, other than the fixed establishment employed to enforce the ordinary collectiona, and preserve the police of the country, the Company must be bond to furnish him' with such aid; the Rajah of Tanjore must likewise become bornd by aimilar engagements, and be entitled to dimilar aid.

Ag, in virtue of the powers vested in Lord Macartney, by the agreement of December, 1781, sundry leases, of various periods, have been granted to renters, we direct, that you apply to the Nabob, in our name, for his consent, that they may be permitted to hold their leases to the end of the stipulated term; and we have great reliance \({ }^{1}\) on the liberality and spirit of accommodation manifested by the Nabob on so many occasions, that he will be disposed to acquiesce in a proposition so just and reasonable; but if, contrary to our expectations, his Highness should be impressed with any particular aversion to comply with this proposition, we do not desire you to insist upon it as an essential part of the arrangement to take place between us; but in that event you must take especial care to give auch indemnification to the renters for any loss they may sustain, ss you judge to be reasonable.

It equally concerns the honour of our government, that such natives as may have been put in any degree of authority over the collections, in consequence of the deed of assignment, and who have proved faithful to their trust, shall not suffer inconvenience on account of their fidelity.

Having thus given our sentiments at large, as well for the surrender of the assignment, as with regard to those arrangements which we tlink necessary to adopt in consequence thereof, we cannot dismiss this subject without expressing our highest approbation of the ability, nodoration, and commond of temper, with which our president at Madras has conducted himself in the management of a very delicate and embarrassing situation. His conduct and that of the select committee of Fort St. George, in the execution of the trust delegated to Lord Macartney, by the Nabob Mahommed Ally, has been vigorous and effectual, for the purpose of realizing as great a revenue, at a crisis of necessity, as the nature of the case admitted; and the imputation of corruption, suggested in some of the proceedings, appears to be totally groundless and unwarranted.

While we find no much to applaud, it is with regret we are induced to advert to anything which may appear worthy
"For the ground of this "great reliance," see the pepers in this Appendix, No. V.; as also the Nabob's letters to the court of directors in this Appendix, No. \(\mathbf{X}\).

YOL. 1 .
of blame, as the step of issuing the Torana Chits in Lord Macartney's own name can only be justified apon the ground of absolute necessity ; \({ }^{i}\) and as his Lordship had every reason to believe that the demand, when made, would be irksome and disagreeable to the feelinga of Mahomed Ally, overy precaution ought to have been used, and more time allowed, for proving that necessity, by previous acts of addreas, civility, and conciliation, applied for the purposes of obtaining his anthority to such a measore. It appears to na, that more of this might have been used; and therefore we cannot consider the omission of it as blamelesa, consistent with our wishes of sanctifying no act contrary to the spirit of the agreement, or derogatory to the authority of the Niabob of the Carnstic, in the exercise of any of his just righta, in the government of the people under his anthority.

We likewise observe, the Nabob has complained that no official communication was made to him of the peace, for near a month after the cessation of arms took place. This, and every other mark of disrespect to the Nabob, will ever appear highly reprehensible in our eyes; and we direct that you do, upon all occasions, pay the highest attention to him and his family.

Iord Macartney, in his minnte of the 9th of September last, has been fally under our consideration: we thall ever appland the pradence and foresight of our tervanta, which induces them to collect and commanicate to us, every opinion, or even ground of auspicion, they may entertain, relative to say of the powers in Indis, with whose condact our interewt, and the safety of our settlementa, are easentiaily connected. At the eame time we earnently recommend, that thoo opimi-
\({ }^{1}\) For the full proof of this necremity, Lond Mucwrtney's whole correspondence an the soljjeet may be neferred to. Without the ant bere eusdemped, not one of the sets commended in the preceding paragraph couid be performed. By referring to the Nabob's letuert in this Appersitix, it will be seen what sort of task a governor hat a bial hexits, who in to ase according to the direction of thin letter, "acts of addrem, civijity, and conciliation," and to pay, upon all occamons, the highem atterdiom to persons, who at the very time are falsely, and in the gromest terma, toconing him of pecolation, conruption, treason, and every ppecies of malverwation in office The recommendation, under menteres of such behatiourr, and under mell circumstanced, conrreyta lemon, the teadency of virich ermoot be mivarderstood.
ns and speculations be commmicated to us with prudence, liscretion, and all possible secrecy; ard the terms in which they are conveyed be expressed in a manner as litule offensive Is possible to the poners whom they may concern, and into whose hands they may fall. \({ }^{1}\)
We next proceed to give you our sentiments respecting the private debts of the Nabob; and we cannot but acknowledge, that the origin and justice, both of the loan of 1767 , and the loan of 1777 , commonly called the cavalry loan, appear to us clear and indisputable, agreeably to the true sense and spirit of the late act of parliament.

In speaking of the loan of 1767, we are to be understood as speaking of the debt as constituted by the original bonds of that year, bearing-interest at \(£ 10\) per cent.; and therefore, if any of the Nabob's creditors, under a pratence that their debts made part of the consolidated debt of 1767, although secured by bonds of a subsequent date, carrying an interest exceeding \(£ 10\) per cent., shail claim the benefit of the following orders, we direct that you pay no regard to such clsims, without our further especial instructions for that purpose.

With reapect to the consolidated debt of 1777, it certainly atands upon a less favourable footing. So early as the 27 th of March, 1769, it was ordered by our then president and council of Fort St. George, that, for the preventing all persons living nuder the Company's protection from having any dealings with any of the country powers, or their ministers, without the knowledge or consent of the board, an advertisement should be published, by fixing it up at the sea-gate, and sending round a copy to the Company's servanta and inhabitante, and to the different subordinates, and our garrisons,

\footnotetext{
- The delicacy here recommended in the exprousions concerning conduct "with which tho safety of our settlements is essentially connected," is a lesson of the same nature with the former. Dangerous designs, if truly such, ought to be expressed according to their nature and qualities; and as for the ecrecy recommended concerning the designs here alluded to, nothing can be more absurd, as they appear very fully and directly in the papers published by the authority of the court of directors in 1775, and may be easily discerned from the propositions for the Bengal treaty, published in the Reports of the Committee of Secrecy, and in the Reports of the Select Committee. The keeping of such secrets too long has been ons cause of the Cermatic war, and of the ruin of our affairs in lidia.
}
and giving it out in general orders ; stating therein, that the president and council did considen the irreversible order of the court of directors of the year 1714 (whereby their people were prohibited from having any dealings with the country governments in money matters) to be in full force and vigour; and thereby expressly forbidding all servants of the Company, and other Europeans under their jurisdiction, to tnake loans, or have any money transactions, with any of the princes or states in India, without special licence and permission of the president and council for the time being, except only in the particular cases there mentioned; and declaring, that any wilful deviation therefrom should be deemed a breach of orders, and treated as auch. And on the 4th of March, 1778, it was resolved by our president and council of Fort St. George, that the consolidated debt of 1777 was not, on any respect whatsoerer, condncted under the anspices or protection of that government; and on the circumstance of the consolidation of the said debt being made known to us, we did, on the 23 rd of December, 1778, write to you in the following terms: "Your account of the Nabob's private debta is very alarming; but from whatever cause or canses those debts have been contracted or increased, we hereby repeat our orders, that the manction of the Company be on no secount given to any kind of necurity for the payment or liquidstion of any part thereof (except by the express anthority of the court of directors) on any account or pretence whatever."

The loan of 1777, therefore, has no sanction or suthority from us; and, in considering the situation and circumstances of this loan, we cannot omit to observe, that the creditons coald not be ignorant how greatly the affair of the Nabob were at that time deranged, and that his debt to the Comb pany was then very considerable; the pasment of which the parties took the moet effectual means to postpone, by procuring an asaignment of such specifie revenues, for the dicharge of their own debts, as alone could have esabled the Nabob to have diseharged that of the Compasiy.

Under all these circumstances, we should be warranted to refuse our aid or protection in the recorery of this loan; but when we consider the inexpediency of leeping the subject of the Nabob's debta longer aflout than is abwolutely necenery;

When we consider how much the final conclusion of this business will tend to promote tranquillity, credit, and circulation of property in the Carnatic; and when we consider that the debtor concurs with the creditor in establishing the justice of those debts consolidated in 1777 into gross sums, for which bonds were given, liable to be transferred to persons different from the original ereditors, and having no share or knowledge of the transactions in which the debts originated, and of course how Jittle ground there is to expect any substantial good to result from an unlimited investigation into them, we have resolved so far to recognise the justice of those debts, as to extend to them that protection which, upon more forcible grounds, we have seen ceause to allow to the other two clrsses of debte. But although we so far adopt the general presumption in their favour, as to admit them to a participation in the manner hereafter directed, we do not mean to debar you from receiving any complaints against those debts of 1777, at the instance either of the Nabob himself, or of other creditors injured by their being so admitted, or by any other persons having a proper interest, or stating reasonsble grounds of objection; and if any complaints are offered, we order that the grounds of all such be attentively examined by you, and be transmitted to us, together with the evidence adduced in support of them, for our final decision; and as we have before directed, that the sum of twelve lacks of pagodas, to be received annually from the Nabob, should be paid into our treasury, it is our order that the same be distributed according to the following arrangement.

That the debt be made up in the following manner, viz.
The debt consolidated in 1767 to be made up to the end of the year 1784, with the current interest at 10 per cent.

The cavalry loan to be made up to the same period, with the current interest at 12 per cent.

The debt consolidated in 1777 to be made up to the same period, with the current interest at 12 per cent. to November, 1781, and from thence with the current interest at 6 per cent.

The twelve lacks annually to be received are then to be applied,
1. To the growing interest on the cavsiry lonn, at 12 per cent.
2. To the growing interest on the debt of 1777, at 6 per cent.

The remsinder to be equally divided; one half to be applied to the extinction of the Company's debt, the other half to be applied to the payment of growing intereat at \(£ 10\) per cent., and towards the discharge of the prixcipal of the debt of 1767 .

This arrangement to continue till the principal of the debt 1767 is discharged.

The application of the twelve lacks is then to be,
1. To the intereat of the debt 1777 , as above. The remainder to be then equally divided; one half towards the discharge of the current interest and principal of the cavalry loan, and the other half towarda the discharge of the Company's debt.

When the cavalry loan shall be thum discharged, there shall then be paid, towards the diecharge of the Company's debt, seven lacks.

To the growing interest and espital of the 1777 loan, flve lacks.

When the Company's debt shall be discharged, the whole is then to be applied in discharge of the debt 1777 .

If the Nabob thall be prevailed upon to apply the arreart and growing payments of the Tanjore peabeuh in further discharge of his debtes, over and above the twelve lacks of pagodas, we direct that the whole of that payment, when made, shall be applied towards the reduction of the Company's debt.

We hare laid down theme general rules of distribution, as sppearing to as founded on justice, and the relative circumstances of the different debts; and therefore wo give our authority and protsetion to them only on the suppositiont that they who ask our protection aequiesce in the condition npon which it is given; and therefore we expresely order; that if any erediver of the Nabob, a mervant of the Company, or being under our protection, shall refuse to exprea hil acquiescence in these arringementa, he shall not only be exeluded from receiving any share of the fund under your
distribution, but shall be prohibited from taking any separate measures to recover his debt from the Nabob: it being one great inducement to our adopting this arrangement, that the Nabob shall be relieved from all further disquietude by the importunities of his individual creditors, and be left at liberty to pursue those measures for the prosperity of hig country, which the embarrassments of his situation have hitherto deprived him of the means of exerting. And we farther direct, that if any creditor shall be found refractory, or diaposed to disturb the arrangement we have suggested, he shall be dismissed the service and sent home to England.

The directions we have given only apply to the three classes of debts which have come under our observation. It has been surmised, that the Nabob has of late contracted further debts; if any of these are due to British subjects, we forbid any countenance or protection whatever to be given to them, until the debt is fully investigated, the nature of it reported home, and our special instructions upon it received.

We cannot conclude this subject, without adverting in the strongest terms to the prohibitions which have from time to time issued under the suthority of different courts of directors against any of our servants, or of those under our protection, having any money transactions with any of the country powers, without the knowledge and previous consent of our respective governments abroad; we are happy to find that the Nabob, sensible of the great embarrassments both to his own and the Company's affairs, which the enormous amount of their private claims have occasioned, is willing to engage not to incur any new debts with individuals, and we think little difficulty will be found in persuading his Highness into a positive etipulation for that purpose; and though the legislature has thus humanely interfered in behalf of such individuala as might otherwise have been roduced to great distress by the past transactions, we hereby, in the most pointed and positive terms, repeat our prohibition upon this subject; and direct that no person, being a servant of the Company, or being under our protection, shall, on any pretence whatever, be concerned in any loan or other money transaction with any of the country powers, unless with the knowledge and express permission of our respective
governments. And if any of our servante, or others being under our protection, shall be discovered in any respect counteracting these orders, we strictly enjoin you to take the first opportunity of sending them home to England, to be punished as guilty of disobedience of ordery, and no protection or assistance of the Company shall be given for the recovery of any loans connected with such transactions. Your particular attention to this subject is strictly enjoined; and any connivance on your parts to a breach of our orders upon it, will incur our highest displeasure.

In order to put an end to those intrigues, which bave been so successfully carried on at the Nabob's durbar, we repeat our prohibition in the etrongest terms respecting any intercourse between British subjects and the Nabob and his family, as we are convinced that such mintercourse hat been carried on greatly to the detriment and expense of the Nabob, and merely to the advantage of individuals. We therefore direct that all persons who shall offend against the letter or spirit of this necessary order, whether in the Company's service, or under their protection, be forthwith sent to England.

Approved by the Board.

Whitehall, 15th Oct. 1784.

Heset Diffon, Wazervoray, W. W. Greivilie, Mularate.

Extract from the Representation of the Court of Directors of the Eart-Indis Compeny.

\section*{My Lorda and Gentlemen,}

Ir is with extreme concern that we exprese a difference of opinion with your right hononrable board, in this early exercise of your controlling power ; but in so novel an institution, it can scarcely be thought extrsordinary, if the exset boundanes of our respective functions and dutien should not at once, on either fide, be precisely and familiarly anderatood, zond we therefore confide in your justice and candour for believing that we have no wish to evade or frustrate the alntary purposed of your institution, as we on our part are thorougbly eatiafied that you have no wish to eneroach on the legal
powers of the East-India Company: we shall proceed to state our objections to such of the amendments as appear to us to be either insufficient, inexpedient, or unwarranted.
6th. Concerning the private Debts of the Nabob of Arcot,
and the Application of the Fund of twelve Lacks of Pago-
das per Annum.
Under this head you are pleased, in lieu of our paragraphs, to substantiate at once the justice of all those demands which the act requires us to investigate, subject only to a right reserved to the Nabob, or any other party concerned, to question the justice of any debt falling within the last of the three clasees ; we submit, that at least the opportunity of questioning, within the limited time, the justice of any of the debts, ought to have been fully preserved; and aupposing the first and second classes to stand free from imputation, (as we incline to believe they do, no injury can result to individuals from such discussion: and we further submit to your consideration, how far the express direction of the act to examine the nature and origin of the debts has been; by the amended paragraphs, complied with; and whether at least the rate of interest, according to whick the debts arising from soucar assignment of the land revenues to the servants of the Company, acting in the capacity of pative bankers, have been accumulated, ought not to be inquired into, as well as the reasonableness of the deduction of 25 per cent., which the Bengal government directed to bo made from a great part of the debts on certain conditions. But to your appropriation of the fund, our duty requires that we should state vur strongest dissent. Our right to be paid the arrears of those expenses, by which, almost to our own ruin, we have preserved the country, and all the property connected with it, from falling a prey to a foreign conqueror, surely stands paramount to all claima for former debts apon the revenues of a country во preserved, even if the legislature had not expressly limited the assistance to be given the private creditors to be such as should be consistent with our own rights. The Nabob had, long before passing the act, by treaty with our Bengal government, agreed to pay us seeven lacks of pagodas, as part of the twelve lecks, in liquidation of those arrears, of which seven lacks the ar-
rangement you have been pleased to lay down would take away from us more than the half, and give it to private creditors, of whose demands there are only about a sixth part which do not stand in a predicament that you declare would not entitle them to any aid or protection from us in the recovery thereof, were it not upon grounds of expediency, as will more particularly appear by the annexed entimate. Until our debt shall be discharged, we can by no means consent to give up any part of the seven lacks to the private creditors; and we humbly apprehend, that in this declaration we do not exceed the limits of the anthority and righte vested in us.

The Right Honourable the Commissioners for the Affairs of India.
The REPRESENTATION of the Court of Directors of the East-Iudia Company.

\section*{My Lards and Gentlemen,}

THE court, having duly attended to your reaconinge and decisions, on the subjecte of Aruee and Hanamantagoody, beg leave to observe, with due deference to your judgment, that the directions we had given in these paragraphs, which did not obtain your approbation, atill appear to us to have been consistent with justice, and agreenble to the late act of parliament, which pointed out to un, as we spprehended, the treaty of 1762 at our guide.

Signed by order of the said eourt, Tho. Mortoz, Sec.

\section*{Fart-Tndia Howe,}
the 3rd November, 1784.
Extract of a Letter from the Commissioners for the Afrirs of India, to the Court of Dinectors, dated 3 r N November, 1784, in Answer to their Remonstrance.

\section*{Sixth Article.}

We think it proper, considering the particular nature of the subject, to state to you the following remarks on that part of your representation which relatee to the plan for the diacharging of the Niabob's debta.

1st, You compute the revenue which the Carnatic may be expected to produce only at twenty lacks of pagodas. If we concurred with you in this opinion, we should certainly feel our hopes of advantage to all the parties from this arrangement considerably diminished. But we trust, that we are 'not too sanguino on this head, when we place the greatest reliance on the eatimate transmitted to you by your president of Fort St. George, baving there the best means of information upon the fact, and stating it with a particular view to the subject matter of these paragraphs. Some allowance, we are sensible, must be made for the difference of collection in the Nabob's hands, but we trust not such as to reduce the receipt nearly to what you suppose.

2ndly, In making up the amount of the private debts, you take in compound interest at the different rates specified in our paragraph. This it was not our intention to allow; and lest any misconception should arise on the spot, we have added an express direction, that the debts be made up with simple interest only, from the time of their respective consolidation. Clause Ff.

3rdly, We have also the strongest grounds to believe, that the debts will be, in other respects, considerably less than they are now computed by you; and consequently, the Company's annual proportion of the twelve lacks will be larger than it appears on your estimate. But even on your own statement of it, if we add to the \(£ 150,000\) or \(8,75,000\) pagodas, (which you take as the annual proportion to be received by the Company for five years to the end of 1780, the annual amount of the Tanjore pesheush for the same period, and the arrears on the peshcush (proposed by Lord Macartney to be received in three years); the whole will make a oum not falling very short of pagodas \(85,00,000\), the amount of pagodas, \(7,00,000\) per annum for the same period. And if we carry our calculations farther, it will appear that, both by the plan proposed by the Nabob and adopted in your paragraphs, and by that which we transmitted to you, the debt from the Nabob, if taken at \(£ 3,000,000\) will be discharged nearly at the amme period, viz. in the course of the eleventh year. We cannot therefore be of opinion that there is the smallest ground for objecting to this arrangement, ss injurious to the interests of the Company, even if the measure
were to be considered on the mere ground of expediency, and with a view only to the wisdom of re-establishing credit and circulation in a commercial settlement, without any consideration of those motives of attention to the feelings and honour of the Nabob, of hamanity to individuals, and of jurtice to persons in your service, and living under your protection, which have actuated the legislature, and which afford not only justifiable, but commendable, grounds for your conduct.

Impressed with this conviction, we have not made any alteration in the general outlines of the arrangement which we had before transmitted to you. But, as the amount of the Nsbob's revenue is matter of uncertain conjecture, and as it does not appear just to us, that any deficiency should fall wholly on any one class of these debth, we have added s direction to your government of Fort ist. George, that if notwithstanding the provifions contsined in our former paragraphs, any deficiency should arise, the payments of what shall be received shall be made in the mame proportion which would have obtained in the division of the whole twelve lacks, had they been paid.

\section*{No. X. Referred to from p. 189.}
[Tuis following extracte are subjoined, to show the matter and the style of representation employed by those who have obtained that sacendency over the Nabob of Arcot, which is described in the letter marked No. 6. of the present \(\Delta\) ppendix, and which is no totally destructive of the anthority - and credit of the lawful British government at Madrat. The chargea made by these persons have been solemnly denied by Lond Macartney; and to judge from the character of the parties accused and accusing, they are probably void of all foundation. But as the letters are in the name and under the signature of a person of great rank and convequence among the natives; as they contain matter of the mout serious nature; they charge the most enormous crimen, and corruptions of the grosest kind, on a British governor ; and as they refer to the Nabob's miniater in Great Britain for proof and further elucidation of the matters complained of,
common decency, and common policy, demanded an inquiry into their truth or falsehood. The writing is obviously the product of some English pen. If, on inquiry, these charges should be made good, (a thing very unlikely,) the party accused would become a just object of animadversion. If they -should be found (as in all probability they would be found) false and calumnious, and supported by forgery, then the censure would fall on the accuser; at the same time the necessity would be manifest for proper measures towards the security of government against such infamous accusations. It is as necessary to protect the honest fame of virtuous governors, as it is to punish the corrupt and tyrannical. But neither the court of directors nor the board of control have made any inquiry into the truth or falsehood of these charges. They have covered over the accusers and accused with abundance of compliments; they have insinuated some oblique censures ; and they bave recommended perfect harmony between the charges of corruption and peculation, and the persons charged with these crimes.]
13th October, 1782. Extract of a Translation of a Letter from the Nabob of Arcot to the Chairman of the Court of Directors of the Esat-Indis Company.
"Fatalir for me, and for the public interest, the Company's favour and my unbounded confidence have been lavished on a man totally unfit for the exalted atation in which he has been placed, and unworthy of the trusts that have been reposed in himp When I speak of one who has so deeply stabbed my honour, my wounds bleed afresh, and I must be allowed that freedom of expression which the galling reflection of my injuries and my misfortunes naturally draws from me. Shall your servants, unchecked, unrestrained, and unpunished, gratify their private views and ambition at the expense of my honour, my peace, and my happiness, and to the ruin of my country, as well as of all your aftairs? No sooner had Lord Macartney obtained the lavourite object of his ambition, than he betrayed the greatest insolence towards me, the most glaring neglect of the common civilities and attentions paid me by all former governors, in the worst of times, and even by the most inveterate of my enemies. He insulted my servants, endeavoured to defame my character \(\cdot\)
by unjustly censuring my administration, and extended his boundless usurpation to the whole government of my do minions, in all the branches of judicature and police; and, in violation of the express articles of the agreements, proceeded to send renters into the countries, unapproved of by me, men of bad character, and unequal to my management or responsibility. Though he is chargeable with the greatent acte of cruelty, even to the shedding the blood and cutting off the noses and ears of my subjects, by those exercising his authority in the countries, and that even the duties of religion and public worship have been interrupted or prevented; and though he carries on all his business by the arbitrary exertion of military force, yet does he not collect from the conntries one-fourth of the revenue that ahould be produced The statement he pretends to hold forth of expected revenue, is totally fallacious, and can never be realized under the management of bis Lordship, in the sppointment of renters, totally disqualified, rapacious, and irreaponaible, who are actually embezaling and dissipating the public revenues that thould assist in the support of the war. Totally occupied by his private views, and governed by his passions, he has neglected or macrificed all the essential objecte of public good, and by want of co-operation with Sir Eyre Coote, and refusal to furnish the army with the necesaary eupplies, has rendered the glorious and repeated victories of the gallant general ineffectasl to the expulsion of our cruel enemy. To cover his insufficiency, and veil the discredit attendant on his failure in every measare, be throw out the mont iliberal expresiona, and ingtitutes nojust secusations against me; snd, in aggravation of all the diotreses imposed upon me, he has abetted the meanest calumniatora, to bring forward false charges sgainst me and my son, Ameer-nl-Omrah, in order to create embarrasment, and for the distrese of my mind. My papers and writings sent to you, must teatify to the whole world the malevolence of his designs, and the means that have been used to forward them. He has violently seized and opened all letters addressed to me and my merrapta, on my public and private affair. My vackeel, that attended him, aceording to ancient custom, has been ignominiously dismisaed from his presence, and not suffered to approach the government-house. He has in the meanest manner, and as
he thought in secret, been tampering and intriguing with my family and relations for the worst of purposes. And if I express the agonies of \(m y\) mind under these most pointed injuries and oppressions, and complain of the violence and injustice of Lord Mscartney, I sm insulted by his affected construction, that my communications are dictated by the insinuations of others. At the same time that his conscious apprebensions for his misconduct, hnve produced the most abject applicalions to me, to smother my feelings, and entreaties to write in his Lordship's favour to England, and to submit all my affairs to his direction. When his submissions have failed to mould me to his will, he has endeavoured to effect his purposes by menaces of his secret influence with those in power in England, which, he pretends to assert, shall be effectual to confirm his usurpation, and to deprive me and my family, in succession, of my rights of sovereignty and government for ever. To such a length have his passions and violences carried him, that all my family, my dependants, and even my friends and visitors, are persecuted with the strongest marks of his displeasure. Every shadow of anthority in my person is taken from me, and respect to my name discouraged throughout the whole country. When an officer of high rank in his Majesty's service was some time since introduced to me by Lord Macartney, his Lordship took occasion to show a personal derision and contempt of me. Mr. Richard Sulivan, who hes attended my durbar under the commission of the governor-general and council of Bengal, has experienced his resentment; and Mr. Benfield, with whom I have no business, and who, as he has been accustomed to do for many years, has continued to pay me his visits of respect, has felt the weight of his Lordship's displeasure, and has had every unmerited insinuation thrown out against him to prejudice him, and deter him from paying me his compliments as usual.
"Thus, gentlemen, have you delivered me over to a stranger; to a man unscqusinted with government and business, and too opinionsted to learn; to a man whose ignorance and prejudices operate to the neglect of every good measure, or the liberal co-operation with any that-wish well to the public intereats; to a man who, to pursue his own passions, plans, and designs, will certsinly ruin all mine, as well as the Compsny's affirs. His mismanagement and
obatinacy have caused the loss of many lacks of my revenues, dissipsted and embezzled, and every public consideration sacrificed to bis vanity and private views. I beg to offer an instance in proof of my assertions, and to justify the hope I have that you will cause to be made good to me all the lonsea I have austained, by the mal-administration and bad practice of your aervants, according to all the account of receipta of former years, and which I made known to Lord Macartney, amongst other papers of information, in the beginning of his management in the collections. The district of Ongole produced annually, upon a medium of many years, 80,000 pagodas! but Lord Macartney, upon receiving a sum of money from Ranchunary' let it out to him, in April last, for the insdequate rent of 50,000 pagodas per ann \(4 m\), diminishing in this district alone near half the accustomed revenues. After this manner hath he exercised his powers over the countries, to suit his own purposes and designs ; and this mecret mode has he taken to reduce the collections."

> 1st November, 1782. Copy of a Letter from the Nabob of Arcot to the Court of Directors, \&c. Beceived 7th April, 1783.

"TyI distresses which I have set forth in my former letters, are now incressed to such an alsrming pitch, by the imprudent measures of your governor, and by the arbitrary and impolitic conduct pursued with the merchants and importers of grain, that the very exintence of the fort of Madras weems at stake, and that of the inhsbitants of the settlement appears to have been totally overiooked; many thonuanda have died, and continue hourly to perish of famine, though the capacity of one of your youngest servante, with diligence and attention, by doing justice, and giving reasomable encouragement to the merchants, and by drawing the suppliem of grain which the northern conntries woald have afforded, might have secured us againat all thone dreadful ealsmitien. I had with much diffieulty procured and purchased samall quantity of rice, for the ume of myself, my family, and attendants, and with a view of sending off the greaten part of the latter to the northern countries, with a little subsistence in their honde. But what most your tourprise be, when you
- See Telinge Letter at the and of this cosrempondence.
learn, that even this rice was seized by Lord Macartney, with a military force! and thus am I unable to provide for the few people I have about me, who are driven to such extremity and misery; that it gives me pain to behold them. I have desired permission-to get a little rice from the northern countries for the subsistence. of my people, without its being liable to seizure by your sepoys: even this has been refused me by Lord Macartney. What must your feelings be, on such wanton cruelty, exercised towards me, when you consider, that, of thousands of villages belonging to me, a single one would have sufficed for my subsistence!".

22nd March, 1783. Translation of a Letter from the Nabob of Arcot to the Chairman and Directors of the East-India Company.-Received from Mr. James Macpherson, lst Jan. 1784.
" I AM willing to attribute this continued usurpation to the fear of detection in Lord Macartney; he dreade the awful day when the scene of his enormities will be laid open, at my restoration to my country, and when the tongues of my oppressed subjects will be unloosed, and proclaim aloud the cruel tyrannies they have sustained. These sentiments of his Lordship's designs are corroborated by his sending, on the 10th instant, two geatlemen to me and my mon, Ameer-ul-Omrah; and these gentlemen from Lord Macartney especially set forth to me, and to my son, that all dependence on the power of the superior government of Benga, to enforce the intentions of the Company to restore my country, was vain and groundless; that the Company confided in his Lordship's judgment and discretion, and upon his representations, and that if I, and my non, Ameer-ul-Omrah, would enter into friendship with Lord Macartney, and sign a paper, declaring all my charges and complaints against him to be false, that his Lordship might be induced to write to England, that all his allegations against me and my son were not wellfounded ; and, notwithstanding his declarations to withhold my country, yet, on these considerations, it might be still restored to me.
"What must be your feelings for your ancient and faithful friend, on his reseiving such insults to his honour and understanding from your principal servant, armed with your vol. m .
authority? From these mancenvres, amongst thousands I have experienced, the trush must evidently appear to you, that I have not been loaded with those injuries and oppretsions from'motives of public service, but to answer the private views and interests of his Lordship, and his secret agents: some papera to thie point are enclosed; others, almost without number, mast be mabmitted to your justice, when time and circumstances ahall enable me fully to inventigate those tramsactions. This opportunity will not permit the full representation of my load of injuries and distremses: I beg leave to refer you to my minister, Mr. Maepherson, for the papers, according to the enclowed list, which accompanied my last deapatches by the Rodney, which I fear have failed; and my correspondence with Lord Macartney, subsequent to that period, such as i have been able to prepare for this opportunity, are enclosed.
"Notwithstanding all the riolent acts and declarations of Lord Macartney, yet a conscionamess of his own misconduct was the sole incentive to the menaces and overturem he has held out, in various shapes. He has been insultingly lavish in his expressions of high respect for my person; has had the insolence to say, that all his measures flowed from his affectionate regard alone; has presumed to say, that all his enmity and oppression were levelled at my aon, Ameer-alOmrah, to whom he before acknowledged every aid and assistance: and, his Lordship being without any just cause or foundation for complaint against us, or a veil to cover his own violences, he han now had recourse to the meanness, and has dared to intimate of my son, in order to intimidate me, and to strengthen his own wicked purposes, to be in league with our enemies the Prench. Yoo must doubtlems be astonished, no less at the asaurance than at the absurdity of such a wicked suggestion."

\section*{(In the Nabob's own hand.)}
"P. S. In my own hand-writing I acquainted Mr. Hastings, as I now do my ancient friende the Company, with the iosult offered to my honour and onderatanding, in the extraordinary propositionswent to me by Lord Mascartney, through two gentlemen, on the 10th instant, so artfully veiled with menaces, hopes, and*promisea. But how can lord Macart-
aey add to his enormities, after his wicked and calumniating insinuations, eo evidently directed against me and my family, through my faithful, my dutiful, and beloved son, Ameer-ulOmrah, who, you well know, has been ever born and bred amongst the English, whom I have studiously brought up in the warmest sentiments of affection and attachment to them; sentiments, that in his maturity have been his highest ambition to improve, insomuch that he knows no happiness, but in the faithful support of our alliance and connexion with the, English nation?
12th August, and Postseript of the 16th August, 1783. Translation of a Letter to the Chairman and Directors of the East-India Company. Received from Mr. James Macpherson, 14th January, 1784.
"Your astonishment and indigaation will be equally raised with mine, when you hear that your president has dared, contrary to your intention, to continue to usurp the privileges and hereditary powers of the Nabob of the Carnstic, your old and unshaken friend, and the declared ally of the King of Great Britain.
"I will not take up your time by enumerating the particular acts of Lord Macartney's violence, cruelty, and injustice; they indeed occur too frequently, and fall upon me, and ny devoted subjeote and country, too thick, to be regularly related. I refer you to my minister, Mr. James Macpherson, for a more circumstantial account of the oppressions and enormities-by which he" has brought both mine and the Company's affairs to the brink of destruction. I trust that such flagrant violations of all justice, honour, and the faith of trenties, will receive the severest marks of your displeasure, and that Lord Macartney's conduct, in making use of your name and authority as a sanction for the continuance of bis usurpation, will be disclaimed with the utmost indighation, and followed with the severest punishment. I conceive that his Lordahip's arbitrary retention of my country and government can only originete in his insatiable cravinge, in his implacable malevolence against me, and through fear of detection, which must follow the surrender of the Carnatic into my hands, of those nefarious proceedings, which are now buppressed by the arm of violence and power.
"I did not fail to represent to the supreme government of Bungal the deplorable situation to which I waa reduced, and the nomerited persecutiona I have unremittingly surtained from Lord Macartney; and I earnestly implored them to atretch forth a saving arm, and interpone that controlling power which was vested in them to check ropacity and presumption, and preserve the bonour and faith of the Company from violation. The governor-general and council not only felt the cruelty and injustice 1 had suffered, but were greatly alarmed for the fatal consequences that might result from the distrust of the country powers in the profeasions of the English, when they saw the Nabob of the Carnatic, the friend of the Company, and the ally of Oreat Britain, thus stripped of his righta, his dominiona, and his dignity, by the most fraudulent means, and under the mask of friendship. The Bengal government had already heard both the Marattas and the Nizanco urge as an objection to an alliance with the English, the faithleas bebaviour of Lord Macartaey to a prince whose life had been devoted, and whose treasures had been exhanated, in their service and support; and they did not benitate to give ponitive ordens to Lord Macartney for the reatitution of my goverament and anthority, on euch terms as were not only atrictly honourable, but equally advantageons to my friendu the Company ; for they justly thought that my honour and dignity, and socoreign rights, were the firat objects of my wishea and ambition. But bow em I paint my antonishment at Lord Macartney's presumption, in esstinuing hin usurpation, after their positive and reiterated mandatea! and, as if nettled by their interferenee, which he disdained, in redoubling the fury of his violence, amd mecrificing the public and myself to his malice and ungovernable pastiona!
"I am, gentlemen, at a loes to conceive where his usurpation will stop, and have an end. Has be not molemply declared that the asaignoment was only made for the support of war? and, if neither your instroctions, nor the orders of bie superions at Bengal, were to be considered meffectual, bas not the treaty of pence rittaally determined the period of his tyrannical administration? But no far from murrea-. dering the Carnatie into my bands, bo has, since that event, sfixed adrertisemente to the walle and gaten of the

Black Town, for letting to the best bidder the various districte, for the term of three years; and has continued the committee of revenue, which you positively ordered to be abolished, to whom he has allowed enormous salaries, from 6000 to 4000 pagodas per annum, which each member has received from the time of his appointment, though his Lordship well knows that most of them are by your orders disqualified, by being my principal creditors.
"If those acts of violence and outrage had been productive of public advantage, I conceive his Lordship might have held them forward, in extenuation of his conduct; but whilst he cloaks his justification under the veil of your secret records, it is impossible to refute his assertions, or to expose to you their fallacy ; and when he is no longer able to support his conduct by argument, he refers to those rocords, where, I understand, he has exercised all his sophistriy and malicious insinustions, to render me and my family obnoxious in the eyes of the Company and the British nation; and when the glorious victories of Sir Eyre Coote have been rendered abortive by a constant deficiency of supplies; and when, since the departure of that excellent general to Bengal, whose loss I must ever regret, a dreadfol famine, at the close of last year, occasioned by his Lordship's neglect to lay up a sufficient stock of grain at a proper season, and from his. prohibitory orders to private merchants; and when no exertion has been made, nor advantage gained over the enemy; when Hyder's death and Tippoo's return to his own dominions operated in no degree for the benefit of our affairs ; in short, when all has been a continued series of disappointment and disgrace under Lord Macartney's management, (and in him alone has the management been vested,) I want words to convey those ideas of his insufficiency, ignorance, and obstinacy, which I am convinced you would entertain, had you been spectators of his ruinous and destructive conduct.
" But against me, and my son, Ameer-ul-Omrah, has his Lordship's vengeance chiefly been exerted; even the Company's own subordinate zemindars have found better treatment, probsbly because they were more rich; those of Nizanagoram have been permitted, contrary to your pointed
orders, to hold their rich zemindaries at the old disproportionate rate of little more than a sixth part of the real revenue; and my zemindar of Tanjore, though he should have regarded himself equally concerned with us in the event of the war, and from whose fertile country many valusble haryests have been gathered in, which have sold at s, vast price, has, I understand, only contributed, last year, towards the public exigencies, the very inconsiderable sum of one lack of pagodas, and a few thousand pagodas-worth of grain.
"I am much concerned to acquaint you, that ever since the peace a dreadful famine has swept away many thousande of the followers, and sepoys' families, of the army, from Lord Macartney's neglect to send down grain to the camp, though the roads are crowded with vessels: but his Lordship has been too intent upon his own disgraceful schemes, to attend to the wants of the army. The negotiation with Tippoo, which he has set on foot, through the mediation of Monsieur Bussy, has employed all his thoughts, and to the attainment of that object he will sacrifice the dearest intereste of the Company to gratify his malevolence against me, and for his own private advankages. The endeavour to treat with Tippoo, through the means of the French, must strike you, gentlemen, as highly improper and impolitic; but it must raise your utmost indignation to hear, that by intercepted letters from Bussy to Tippoo, as well, as from their renpective vakeels, and from various agcounts from Cuddalore, we have every reason to conclude that his Lordship's secretary, Mr. Staunton, when at Cuddalore, as his agent to settle the cessation of arms with the French, was informed of all their operations and projecta, and consequendly that Lord Macart mey has seeredly connived at Monsieur Bussy's reeommendation to Tippoo to return into the Carnatic, as the meane of proexring the mose advantogeows ternes, and furnivhing Lord Macartsey with the plea of necestity for ooneluding a peace after his owe masner: and what further confirms the truth of this fact is, that repeated reporta, as well se the alarms of the inhabitants to the westward, leare us no reason to donbt that Tippoo is approsehing towarde us. His Lordship has issued public orders, that the garrison otore of rice, for which we are indebted to the exertions of the Bengal go-
vernment, should be immediately disposed of, and has strictly forbid all private grain to be sold; by which act he effectually prohibits all private importation of grain, and may eventually cause as horrid a famine as that which we experienced at the close of last year, from the same short-sighted policy and destructive prohibitions of Lord Macartney.
"But as he has the fabrication of the records in his own hands, he trusts to those partial representations of his character and conduct, because the signatures of those members of government whom he seldom consults are affixed, as a public sanction; but you may form a just, iden of their correctness and propriety, when you are informed, that his Lordship, upon my noticing the heavy disbursements made for secret service money, ordered the sums to be struck off, and the accounts to be erased from the cash-book of the Company; and I think I cannot give you a better proof of his manage ment of my country and revenues, than by calling your attention to his conduct in the Ongole province, and by referring you to his Lordship's administration of your own jaghire, from whence he has brought to the public account the sum of twelve hundred pagodas for the last year's revenue, yet blazons forth bie vast merits and exertions, and expects to receive the thanks of his committee and council.-I will beg leave to refer you to my minister, James Macpherson, Esq., for a more particular account of my sufferinge and miseries, to whom. I have transmitted copies of all papers that passed with his Lordabip.
"I cannot conclude without calling your attention to the situation of \(m y\) different creditors, whose claims are the claims of justice, and whose demands, I am bound by honour, and every moral obligation, to diseharge: it, is not therefore without great concern I have heard insinuations tending to question the legality of their right to the payment of those just debts; they procesded from advances made by them openly and honourably for the support of my own and the public affairs. But I hope the tongue of calumny will never drown the voice of truth and justice ; and while that is heard, the wisdom of the English nation cannot fail to accede to an effectual remedy for their distresses, by any arrangement in which their claims may be duly considered and equitably provided for; and for this purpose
mJ minister, Mr. Macpherson, will readily sulhacribe, in my name, to any agreement you may think proper to adopt, fousded on the same prineiples with either of the engagemente I entered into with the supreme government of Bengal, for our mutual interest and adraatage.一I alway pray for your happiness and prosperity."
6th September, and Postacript of the 7th September, 1783.
Translation of a Letter from the Nabob of Arcot to the Chairman and Directore of the East-India Company.Received from Mr. James Macpherson, 14th January, 1784.
"I rezre you, gentlemen, to my enclosed duplicate, an well as to my minister, Mr. Macpherson, for the particulara of my sufferings. There is no word or action of mine that is not perverted; and though it was my intention to harer sent my son, Ameer-nl-Omrah, who is well versed in my affairs, to Bengal, to imprese those gentlemen with a full sense of my situation, yet I find myself obliged to lay it aside, from the insinuations of the calumniating tongue of Lord Macartney, that takes every licence to traduce every action of my life, and that of my son. I am informed that Lord Macartney, at this late moment, intends to write a letter; I am ignorant of the subject; but fully perceive, that by delaying to wend it till the very eve of the dexpateh, he means to deprive me of all poseibility of commnnicating my reply, and forwarding it for the imformation of wy friende in England. Conscious of the weak ground on which he stande, be in obliged to have recourse to these artifices to mislead the judgment, and support for a time bis unjustifiable meascres by deceit and imposition. I wish only to meet and combat his chargea and allegations fairly and openly; and I bave repeatedly and urgently demanded to be furnished with copies of thoee parts of his fabrieated reconds relative to mywelf; but as he well knows I ahould refute his zophistry, I canoot be warprised at his refumal, though I las. ment that it prevents your, gentlemen, from a clear investigation of his condact towards me.
"Encloeed you have a tranalation of an arree from the killidar of Vellore: I have thoucands of the aame hind; but this just now received will merre to give you come idea of the miserien brought apon this my deroted country, and the

Wretched inhabitants that remain in it, by the oppressiva hand of Lord Macartney's management; nor will the embezzlements of collections thus obtained, when brought before you in proof, appear less extraordinsry, which shall certainly be done in due time."

Translation of an Arzee, in the Persian Languagé, from Uzzeem ul Doen Cawn, the Killidar of Vellore, to the Nabob, dated Iat September, 1783. Enclosed in the Nabob's Letter to the Court of Directors, September, 1783.
"I Havis repeatedly represented to your Highness the violences and oppressions exercised by the present amildar [collector of revenue] of Lord Macartney's appointment, over the few remaining inhabitants of the districts of Vellore, Ambore, Saulguda, \&c.
"The outrages and violencea now committed are of that astonishing nature, as were never known or heard of during the administration of the circar. Hyder Nail, the cruellest of tyrante, used every kind of oppression in the circar countries; but even his measures were not like those now pursued. Such of the inhabitants as had escaped the sword and pillage of Hyder Naik, by taking refuge in the woods, and within the walls of Vellore, \&c., on the arrival of Lord Macartney's amildar to Vellore, and in consequence of his cowle of protection and support, most cheerfully returned to the villuges, set about the cultivation of the lands, and with great pains rebuilt their cottages.-But now the amildar has imprisoned the wives and children of the inhabitants, seized the few jewels that were on the bodies of the women, and then before the faces of their husbands, flogged them, in order to make them produce other jewels and effects, which he said they had buried somewhere under-ground, and to make the inhabitants bring bim money, notwithstanding there was yet no cultivation in the country. Terrified with the flagellations, some of them produced their jewels, and wearing apparel of their women, to the amount of ten or fifteen pagodas, which they had hidden; others, who declared they had none, the amildar flogged their women severely, tied cords around their breasts, and tore the sucking children from their teats, snd exposed them to the scorching heat of the sum. Those children died, as did the wife of

Ramsoamy, an inhabitant of Bringpoor. Even this could not stir up compassion in the breast of the amildar. Some of the children that were somewhat large he exposed to sale. In short, the violencea of the amildar are so astonishing, that the people, on seeing their present situation, remember the loss of Hyder with regret. With whomsoever the amildar finds a single messure of natehinee, or rice, he takes it away from him, and appropriates it to the expenses of the Sybindy that he keeps up. No revenues are collected from the countries, bat from the effects of the poor wretched inhsbitants. Those ryots [yeomen] who intended to return to their habitations, hearing of those violences, have fled for refuge, with their wives and children, into Hyder's country. Every day is ushered in and closed with these violences and disturbances. I have no power to do anything; and who will hear what I have to say? My business is to inform your Highness, who are my master. The people bring their complaints to me, and I tell them I will write to your Highnese." '

Tranglation of a Tellinga Letter from Veirs Permanl, Head
Dabash to Iord Macartney, in his own hand-writing, to

\footnotetext{
[The above-recited practicen, or practices similar to them, have preTailed in almoot every part of the miserable coutanticas on the eonath of Coromandel for nearty twenty years prat. That they prevailed morcongly and generally sa they could prevai, under the miministration of the Nixbob, there can be so question, notwithatanding the aspertion in the begioning of the above petilion; nor will it ever be orherwise, whilst athims are conducted upon ibe principles which infinence the prenent sytum. Whether the particulurs here awerted are true or false, meither the coant of directory nor their ministry have though1 proper to inguire. If they aro trae, is order to bring them to effect Lord Macartaey, it oaght to bo proved that the complaint was made to kin; and that the had rofuced redress. Instead of thin fair contre, the complaint is carried to the court of directori. The folloning is one of the documents transurited by the Nis bob, in proof of his charge of corruption agemat Lovd Macartbey. If genuine, in is coneturive at lese againat Lord Macartoey'o priacipel ageat and manager. If it be a forgery. (at in all likelibood it is.) is in conclonive agnimat the Niabob and his eril coumcillons; atad fully demonatrites, if anything further were necumary to demonotrate, the socesity of the clasme in Mr. For's bill probibiting the resideace of the nacive princes in the
 not admitied imto Mr. Pitris. It abows too the abootute necesity of a
 lan and crediang, by whom sach procticts are cerried ot.]
}

Bajah Ramchunda, the renter of Ongole; dated 25th of the Hindoo month Mausay, in the year Plavanamal, corresponding to 5th March, 1782.

I pregent my respects to you, and am very well heré, wishing to hear frequently of your welfare.
Your peasher Vancatroyloo has brought the Visseel Bakees, and delivered them to me, as also what you sent him for me to deliver to my master, which I have done. My master at first refused to take it, because he is unacquainted with your disposition, or what kind of a person you are. But after I made encomiums on your goodness and greatness of mind, and took my oath to the same, and that \(i t\) would not become public, but be held as precious as our lives, my master accepted it. You may remain satisfied, that I will get the Ongole business settled in your name; I will cause the jamaubundee to be settled agreesbly to your desire, It was formerly the Nabob's intention to give this business to you, as the governor knows full well, but did not at that time agree to it, which you must be well acquainted with.
Xour peasher Vancatroyloo is a very careful good manhe is well experienced in business-he has bound me by an oath to keep all this buiness secret, and that his oron, yours, and my lives are responsible for it. I write this letter to you with the greatest reluctance, and I signified the same to your peasher, and declared that I would not write to you by any means : to this the peasher urged, that if I did not write to his master, how could he know to whom he (the peasher) delivered the money, and what must his master think of it? therefore I write' you this letter, and send it by my servant Ramansh, accompanied by the peasher's seryant, and it will come safe to your hands : after perusal you will send it back to me immediately-until I receive it I don't like to eat my victuals, or take any sleep. Your peasher took his oath, and urged me to write this. for your satisfaction, and has engaged to me that I shall have this letter returned to me in the space of twelve days.
The present governor is not like the former governorshe is a very great man in Europe-and all the great men of Europe are much obliged to him for his condescension in accepting the government of this place. It is his custom
when he makes friendship with any one to continue it always, and if he is at enmity with any one, he never will desist till he has worked his destruction; he is nowo exceedingly displeased with the Nabob, and you will understand by and by that the Nabob's business cannot be carried on; he (the Nabob) will have no power to do anything in his own affairs; you have therefore no room to fear him. You msy remain with a contented mind-I desired the governor to write you a letter for your satisfaction ; the governor asid he would do so when the business was settled. This letter you must peruse as soon as possiblé, and send it back with all speed by the bearer Ramadoo, accompanied by three or four of your people, to the end that no accident may happen on the rosd. These people must be ordered to march in the night only, and to arrive here with the greatent despatch. You sent ten mangoea for my master, and two for me, all which I have delivered to my master, thinking that ten was not sufficient to present him with. I write this for your information, and salute you with ten thoussad respects.
I, Muttin Kistnsh, of Madraa Patnam, dubash, declare, That I perfectly understand the Grentoo language; and do most eolemnly affirm, that the foregoing is a true translation of the an(Signed)
Mctre Kigtsah. nexed paper writing from the Gentoo language.

\section*{SUBSTANCE OF THE SPEECH,}
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\section*{DEBATE ON THE ARMY ESTIMATES,}

\author{
IS MHB HOUSE OF COMMONS,
}

ON TUESDAY, THE 9月, DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1790 ; COMPREHENDING A DISCUSBION OP THE PRESENT GITUATION of afpatra In pasice.

\section*{1790.}

Mr. Burks's speech on the report of the army estimates has not been correctly stated in some of the public papers. It is of consequence to him not to be misunderstood. The matter which incidentally came into discussion is of the most serious importance. It is thought that the heads and substance of the speech will answer the puryose sufficiently. If in making the abstract, through defect of memory, in the person who now gives it, any difference at all should be perceived from the speech as it was spoken, it will not, the editor imagines, be found in anything which may amount to a retraction of the opinions he then maintained, or to any softening in the expressions in which they were conveyed.

Mr. Burke spoke a considerable time in answer to various arguments which had been insisted upon by Mr. Grenville and Mr. Pitt, for keeping an increased peace establishment, and against an improper jealousy of the ministers, in whom a full confidence, subject to responsibility, ought to be placed on account of their lnowledge of the real situation of affairs; the exact state of which it frequently happened that they could not disclose, without riolating the constitutional and political secrecy, necessary to the well-being of their country.

Mr. Burke said in substance, That confidence might become a vice, and jealousy a virtue, according to circumstances. That confidence, of all public virtues, was the most dangerous, and jealousy in a House of Commons, of all
public vices, the most tolerable; especially where the number and the charge of standing armies, in time of peace, was the question.

That in the annual mutiny bill, the annual army was declared to be for the purpose of preserving the balance of power in Europe. The propriety of its being larget or smaller depended, therefore, upon the true state of that balance. If the increase of peace establishments demanded of parliament agreed with the manifent appearance of the balance, confidence in ministera, as to the particulars, would be very proper. If the increase was not at all supported by any euch appearance, he thought great jealoury might be, and ought to be, entertained on that mubject.

That he did not find, on a review of all Europe, that, politically, we stood in the smalleat degree of danger from any one state or kingdom it contained; nor that any other foreign powers than our own allies were likely to obtain a considerable preponderance in the scale.

That France had hitherto been our first object in all considerations concerning the balance of power. The presence or absence of France totally varied every sort of speculation relative to that balance.

That France is, at this time, in a political light, to be considered as exparged out of the system of Europe. Whether she could ever appear in it again as a leading power, was not easy to determine: but at present he considered France as not politically existing; and most assuredly it would take up mach time to restore her to her former active existence -Gallos quoque in bellis floruisse audivimus, might possibly be the langoage of the rising generation. He did not mean to deny that it was our duty to keep our eye on that nation, and to regolate our preparation by the nymptoms of her recovery.

That it was to her atrength, not to her form of government, that we were to attend; because republict, so well an mont. archies, were susceptible of ambition, jealousy, and anger, the tisual canses of watr.

But if, while France continued in this swoon, we should go on increasing our expenses, we should certainly make ourselve" lew a mateh for her when it became our concern to arm.

It was asid, that as nhe had speedily fallen, she might
speedily rise again. He doubted this. That the fall from an height was with an accelerated velocity; but to lift a weight up to that height again was difficult, and opposed by the lawe of phyeical and political gravitation.

In a political view, France was low indeed. She had lost everything, even to her name.
"Jacet ingens littore ormews;
Avolsumque humeris caput, et aine nomine corpus." '
He was astonished at it-he was alarmed at it-he trembled at the uncertainty of all human greatness.
Since the House had been prorogaed in the summer much work was dons in France. The French had shown themselves the ablest architects of ruin that had hitherto existed in the world. In that very short apace of time they had completely pulled down to the ground their monarchy, their church, their nobility, their law, their revenue, their army, their nary, their commerce, their arts, and their manufactures. They had done their business for us as rivala, in a way in which twenty Ramillies or Blenheims could never have done it. 'Were we absolute conquerors, and France to lie prostrate at our feet, we should be ashamed to send a commisaion to settle their affairs, which could impose so hard a law upon the French, and so destructive of all their consequence as a nation; as that they had imposed on themselves.

France, by the mere circumstance of its vicinity, had been, and in degree always must he, an object of our vigilance, either with regard to her actual power, or to her influence and example. As to the former, he had spoken; as to the latter, (her example,) he should eay a few words : for by this example our friendship and our infercourse with that nation had once been, and might again become, more dangerous to us than their worst hostility-
- Mr. Burke, probably, had in his mind the remainder of the passage, and was filled with some congenial apprehensions:
"Hec finis Priami fatorum; hic exitus illum Sorte tulit, Trojam incensam, et prolapsa videntem Pergams; tot quondam populis, terrisque, superbum
Regaatorem Asim. Jacet ingens littore truncus,
Avolsumque humeris capus, et sine nomine corpus.
At me tum primum savus circumstetit horror i
Obstupui; rubriit chari genitoris imago."

In the last century, Louis the Fourteenth had entablished a greater and better disciplined military force than ever had been before seen in Europe, and with it a perfect despotism. Though that despotism was proudly arrayed in mannern, gallantry, splendour, maguificence, and even civered over with the imposing robes of acience, literature, and arts, it was, in government, nothing better than a painted and gilded tyranny; in religion, a hard, atern intolerance, the fit companion and suxiliary to the despotic tyranny which prevailed in its government. The same character of despotism insinnated itself into every court of Europe-the same spirit of disproportioned magnificence-the same love of standing armies, above the ability of the people. In particular, our then sovereigns, King Charles and King James, fell in love with the goverament of their neighbour, so flattering to the pride of kings. A similarity of sentiments brought on connexions equally dangerous to the interenta and liberties of their country. It were well that the infection had gone no further than the throne. The admiration of a government flourishing and successful, unchecked in its operations, and seeming therefore to compass its objects more speedily and effectually, gained something upon all ranks of people. The good patriots of that day, however, struggled againnt it. They sought nothing more anxiously than to break off all communication with France, and to beget a total alienation from its commeils and ite example; which, by the animosity prevalent between the abettors of their religions system and the atmertors of ours, was in some degree effected. .

This day the evil is totally changed in France: but there is an evil there. The disense is altered; but the vicinity of the two countries remains, and must remain; and the natural mental habits of mankind are such, that the present distemper of France is far mone likely to be contagious than the old one; for it is not quite easy to spread a passion for pervitude among the people; but in all erile of the opposite hind ouir natural inclinations are flattered. In the case of despotism there is the fodium erimen serviuxtis; in the last the falar species kibertatir; and accordingly, as the historiatn says, pronis curibus actipitur.

In the last age we were in danger of being entangled by the example of France in the net of a relentiese despotism.

It is not necessary to say anything upon that example. It exists no longer. Our present danger from the example of s people, whose character knows no medium, is, with regard to government, a danger from anarchy; a danger of being led, through an admiration of successful fraud and violence, to an imitation of the excesses of an irrational, unprincipled, proseribing, confiacating, plundering, ferocious, bloody, and tyrannical democracy. On the side of religion, the danger of their example is no longer from intolerance, but from stheiem; a foll, unnatural vice, foe to all the dignity and consolation of mankind; which seems in France, for a long time, to have been embodied into a faction, accredited, and almost avowed.

These are our present dangers from France; but, in his opinion, the very worst part of the example set, is in the late assumption of citizenship by the army, and the whole of the arrangement, or rather disarrangement, of their military.

He was sorry that his right honourable friend (Mr. Fox) had dropped even a word espressive of exultation on that circumstance; or that be seemed of opinion that the objection from standing armies was at all lessened by it. He attributed this opinion of Mr. Fox entirely to his known real for the best of all causes, Tiberty. That it was with a pain inexpressible he was obliged to have even the shadow of a difference with his friend, whose authority would always be great with him, and with all thinking people - Quee maxima semper censetur nobis, et erit que maxima semper. -His confidence in Mr. Fox was such, and so ample, as to be almost implicit. That he was not ashamed to avow that degree of docility. That when the choice is well made, it strengthens instead of oppressing our intellect." That he who calls in the aid of an equal understanding doubles his own. He who profits of a superior understanding raises his powers to a level with the height of the superior understanding he unites with. He had found the benefit of such a junction, and would not lightly depart from it. He wished almost, on all occasions, that his sentiments were understood to be conveyed in Mr. Fox's words; and he wished; as smongst the greatest benefits he could wish the country, an eminent share of power to that right honourable gentleman; because he knew, that, to his great and masterly understandvoL. 14 .
ing, he had joined the greatest possuible degree of that natural moderation, which is the best corrective of power; that he was of the most artless, candid, open, and benevolent dispo ,sition; disinterested in the extreme; of a temper mild and placable even to a fault; without one drop of gall in his whole constitution.
That the House must perceive, from his coming forward to mark an expression or two of his best friend, how anxious he was to keep the distemper of France from the least countenance in England, where he was sure some wicked persons bad shown a strong disposition to recommend an imitation of the French spirit of reform. He was so etrongly opposed to any the least tendency towards the means of introducing a democracy like theirs, as well as to the end itself, that much as it would afflict him, if such thing could be attempted, and that any friend of his could concur in such measures, (he was far, very far, from believing they could,) he would abandon his best friends, and join with his worst enemies to oppose either the means or the end; and to resist all violent exertions of the pirit of innoration, so distant from all principles of true and safe reformation; a spirit well calculated to overturn states, but perfectly unfit to amend them.
That he was no enemy to reformation. Almost every business in which he was much concerned, from the firut day he eat in that House to that hour, was a business of reformation; and when be had not been employed in eorrecting, he had been employed in resisting, abuses. Some traces of this epirit in bim now stand on their statute book. In his opinion, anything which unnecessarily tore to pieces the conterture of the state, not onls prevented all real reformation, but introduced evils which would call, brt perhaps call in vain, for new reformation.

That he thought the French nation very pnvise. What they valued themselvea on, was a disgrace to them. They bad gloried (and some people in Rugland had thought fit to take share in that giory) in making a revolution; at if revolutions were good things in themselves. All the borrora, and all the crimes, of the enarchy which led to their revolution, which attend its progreas, and which mas virtually attend it in its establishnoent, pase for nothing with the lovers of revolutiona. The French have made their way,
through the destruction of their country, to a bad constitution, when they were absolutely in possession of a good one. They were in possession of it the day the states met in separate orders. Their business, had they been either virtuons or wise, or had they been left to their own judgment, was to secure the stability and independence of the states, according to those orders, under the monarch on the throne. It was then their duty to redress grievances.

Instead of redressing grievances, and improving the fabric of their state, to which they were called by their monarch, and sent by their country, they were made to take a very different course. They first destroyed all the balances and counterpoises which serve to fix the state, and to give it a steady direction; and which furnish sure correctives to any violent spirit which may prevail in any of the orders. These balances existed in their aldest constitution; and in the constitution of this country; and in the constitution of all the countries in Europe. These they rashly destroyed, and then they melted down the whole into one incongruous, ill-connected mass.

When they had done this, they instantly, and with the most atrocious perfidy and breach of all faith among men, laid the axe to the root of all property, and consequently of all national prosperity, by the principles they established, and the example they eet, in confiscating all the possessiona of the church. They made and recorded a nort of inetitute and digest of anarchy, called the rights of man, in auch a pedantic abuse of elementary principles as would have disgraced boys at school; but this declaration of rights was worse than tritling and pedantic in them; as by their name and authority they systernatically destroyed every hold of authority by opinion, religious or civil, on the minds of the people. By this mad declaration they subverted the atate; and brought on such calamities as no country, withont a long war, has ever been known to suffer; and which may in the end produce such a war, and perhaps, many such.

With them the question was not between despotiam and liberty. The sacrifice they made of the peace and power of their country was not made on the altar of freedom. Freedom, and a better security for freedom than that they have taken, they might have had without any sacrifice at all.

They brought themselves into all the calamities they snffer, not that through them they might obtain a British constitution; they plunged themselve headlong into those calamities, to prevent themselves from settling into that constitution, or into anything resembling it.

That if they should perfectly succeed in what they propose, as they are likely enough to do, and establish a democracy, or a mob of democracies, in a country circumatanced like France, they will establish a very bad government-a very bad species of tyranny.
That the worst effect of all their proceeding was on their military, which was rendered an army for every purpose but that of defence. That if the question was, whether coldiers were to forget they were citizens, as an abstract proponition, he could have no difference about it; though, as it is usual when abstract principles are to be spplied, much was to be thought on the manner of oniting the character of citizen and seldier. But as applied to the events which had happened in France, where the abstract principle was clothed with its circumotances, he tbought that his friend would agree with him, that what was done there furnished no matter of exultation, either in the act or the exsmple. These coldiers were not citizens; but base hireling mutineers, and mercensry sordid deserters, wholly destitute of any homorurable principle. Their conduct was one of the fraite of that anarchic apirit, from the evila of which a democracy itmelf Wao to be resorted to, by those who were the least disposed to that form, as a sort of refuge. It was not an army in corps and with discipline, and embodied under the rexpectable patriot citizens of the state in resisting tyranny. Nio thing like it. It was the case of common eoldiens deserting from their officers, to join a furious, licentious poprulace. It was a desertion to a canse, the real object of which wha to level all thooe intitutiona, and to break all those connexions, natural and civil, that regulate and hold together the commonity by a chain of aubordination; to raise moldiers against their officers; servanta againgt their maters; trader men mgainst their customers; artificert against their emp ployers; tenants against their landlords; curate against their bishope; end children agrimat their perenta. That thio cause of theirs was not an enemy to servitude, but to society.

He wished the House to consider, how the members would like to have their mansions pulled down and pillaged, their persons abused, insulted, and destroyed; their title deeds brought out and burned before their faces, and themselves. and their families driven to seek refuge in every nation throughout Europe, for no other reason than this, that, without any fault of theirs, they were born gentlemen and men of property, and were suspected of a desire to preserve their considerstion and their estates. The desertion in France was to aid an abominable sedition, the very professed principle of which was an implacable hostility to nobility and gentry, and whose savage war-whoop was "a al" Aristocrate," by which senseless, bloody cry, they animated one another to rapine and murder; whilst abetted by ambitious men of another class, they were crushing everything respectable and virtnous in their nation, and to their power disgracing almost every name, by which we formerly knew there was auch a country in the world as France.
He knew too well, and he felt as much as any man, how difficult it was to accommodate a standing army to a free constitution, or to any constitution. An armed disciplined body is, in its essence, dangerous to liberty; undisciplined, it is ruinous to society. Its component parts are, in the latter case, neithor good citizeng nor good soldiers. What have they thought of in France, under such a difficulty ns almost puts the human faculties to a stand? They have put their army under'such a variety of principles of duty, that it is more likely to breed litigants, pettifoggers, and mutineers, than soldiers.' They have set up, to balance their crown army, another army, deriving under another authority, called a municipal army-a balance of armies, not of orders. These latter they have destroyed with every mark of insult and oppression. States may, and they will best, exist with a partition of civil powers. Armiea cannot exist under a divided command. This state of things he thought, in effect, a state of war, or, at best, but a truce instead of peace, in the country.

What a dreadful thing is a standing army for the conduct of the whole or any part of which no man is responsible!
\({ }^{2}\) They are sworn to obey the king, the nation, and the law.

In the present state of the French crown army, is the crown responsible for the whole of it? Is there any general who can be responsible for the obedience of a brigade? Any colonel for that of a regiment? Any captain for that of a company? And as to the municipal army, reinforced as it is by the new citizen-deserters, under whose command are they? Have we not seen them, not led by, but dragging, their nominal commander with a rope about his neck, when they, or those whom they accompanied, proceeded to the most atrocious acts of treason and morder? Are any of these armies? Are any of these citizens?

We have in such a difficulty as that of fitting a standing army to the state, he conceived, done much better. We have not disgraced our army by divided principlen of obedience. We have put them nuder a single authority, with a simple (our common) oath of fidelity; and we keep the whole under our annual inspection. This was doing all that could be eafely done.

He felt some concern that this strange thing, called a Re volution in France, should be compared with the glorious event commonly called the Revolution in England; and the conduct of the soldiery, on that oceasion, compared with the behaviour of some of the troops of France in the present instance. At that period the Prince of Orange, a prince of the blood-royal in England, was called in by the flower of the English aristocracy to defend it encient constitation, and not to level all distinctions. To this prince, so invited, the aristocratic leaders who commanded the troops went over with their several corps, in bodies, to the deliverer of their country. Aristocratic leaders brought up the corps of citizens who newly enlisted in this canse. Military obedience changed ita object; but military discipline was not for a moment intermpted in its principle. The troops were ready for war, but indisposed to mutiny.

But sa the conduct of the English armices wis different, so was that of the whole English nation at that time. In truth, the circumstances of our revolution (as it is called) snd that of France are just the reverse of each other in almost every particular, and in the whole spirit of the transaction. With us it was the case of a legal monsech attempting arbitrary power-in France it in the case of an arbitrary monarch, be-
gimning, from whatever cause, to legalize his authority. The, one was to be resisted, the other was to be managed and directed; but in neither case was the order of the state to be changed, lest government might be ruined, which ought only to be corrected and legalized. With us we got rid of the man, and preserved the constituent parts of the state. There they get rid of the constituent parts of the state, and keep the man. What we did was in truth and substance, and in a constitutional light, an revolution, not made, but prevented. We took solid securities; we settled doubtful questions; we corrected anomalies in our Law. In the atable, fundamental parts of our constitution we made no revolution; no, nor any alteration at all. We did not impair the monarchy. Perhaps it might be shown that we strengthened it very considerably. The nation kept the same ranks, the same orders, the same privileges, the same franchises, the same rules for property, the same subordinations, the same order in the law, in the revenue, and in the magistracy; the same Lords, the same Commons, the same corporations, the same electors.

The church was not impaired. Her estates, her majesty, her splendour, her orders and gradations, continued the same. She was preserved in her full efficiency, and cleared only of a certain intolerance, which was her weakness and disgrace. The church and the state were the same after the Revolution that they were before, but better secured in every part.

Waa little done because a revolution was not made in the constitution ? No! Everything was done; because we commenced with reparation, not with ruin. Accordingly the state flourished. Instead of lying as dead, in a sort of trance, or exposed, as some others, in an epileptic fit, to the pity or derision of the world, for her wild, ridiculous, convulsive movements, impotent to every purpose but that of dashing out her brains against the pavement, Great Britain rose above the atandard even of her former self. An wra of a more improved domestic prosperity then commenced, and still continues not only unimpaired, but growing, under the wasting hand of time. All the energies of the country were awakened. Fingland never presented a firmer countenance, nor a more vigorous arm, to all her enemies and to all her rivals. Europe under her respired and revived. Every-
where she appeared as the protector, assertor, or avenger, of liberty. A war was made and supported against fortune itself. The treaty of Byswick, which first limited the power of France, was soon after made: the grand alliance very shortly followed, which shook to the foundations the dreadful power which menaced the independence of mankind. The states of Europe lay happy under the shade of a great and free monarchy, which knew how to be great without endangering its own peace at home, or the internal or external peace of any of its neighbours.

Mr. Burke said he should have felt very unpleasantly if he had not delivened these eentiments. He was near the end of his natural, probably otill nearer the end of his political, career; that he was weak and weary; and wished for rest. That he was little disposed to controversies, or what is called a detailed opposition. That at his time of life, if he could not do something by some sort of weight of opinion, natural or acquired, it was uselese and indecorous to attempt anything by mere struggle. Turpe sencs miles. That he had for that resson little attended the army businese, or that of the revenue, or almoet any other matter of detail, for some years past. That he had, however, his task. He was far from condemning such opposition ; on the contrary, he mootly highly applauded it, where a just occarion existed for it, and gentlemen had vigorr and capacity to pursue it. Where a great occasion oceurred, he was, and, while he continued in parlisment, would be, amongat the most active and the most earneat; as ho hoped he had shown on a late event. With respect to the constitution itself, he wished few alterstions in it. Happy if he left it not the worse for any ahare he had taken in its service.

Mr. For then rose, and dechared, in subutance, that so far as regarded the French army, be went no further than the general principle, by which that army showed itself indisposed to be an instramest in the scritude of their fellow. citizens, but did not enter into the particulare of their conduet. He declared, that he did not affect a democracy. That he always thought any of the simple, wombanced governmente bad; simple monarchy, simple aristocracy, simplo democracy; he held them all imperfect or vicious: all were bed by themeetres; the comporition alone was good. That
hese had been always his principles, in which he had agreed rith his friend Mr. Burke, of whom he said many kind and lattering things, which Mr. Burke, I take it for granted, will nnow himself too well to think he merits from anything but Mr. Fox's acknowledged good nature. Mr. Fox thought, nowever, that, in many cases, Mr. Burke was rather carried ;oo far by his hatred to innoration.
Mr. Burke said, he well knew that these had been Mr. For's invarisble opinions; that they were a sure ground for che confidence of his country. But he had been fearful, that rabals of very different intentions would be ready to make use of his great name, against his character and sentiments, n order to derive a credit to their destructive machinations.
Mr. Sheridan then rose, and made a lively and eloquent speech against Mr. Burke; in which, among other things, he said that Mr. Burke had libelled the National Assembly of France, and had cast out reflections on such characters as those of the Marquis de ls Fayette and Mr. Bailly.

Mr. Burke said, that he did not libel the National Assembly of France, whom he considered very little in the discussion of these matters. That he thought all the eubstantial power resided in the republic of Paris, whose authority guided, or whose example was followed by, all the republics of France. The republic of Paris had an army under their orders, and not under those of the National Assembly.
N. B. As to the particular gentlemen, I do not remember that Mr. Burke mentioned either of them-certainly not Mr. Bailly. He alluded, undoubtedly, to the case of the Marquis de la Fayette; but whether what he asserted of him be a libel on him, must be left to those who are acquainted with the business.

Mr. Pitt concluded the debate with becoming gravity and dignity, and a reserve on both sides of the question, as related to France, fit for a person in a ministerial situation. He said, that what he had apoken only regarded France when she should unite, which he rather thought she soon might, with the liberty she had acquired, the blessings of law and order. He , too, said several civil things concerning the sentimenta of Mr. Burle, as applied to this country.

\section*{LETTER TO A PEER OF IRELAND,}

\title{
THE PENAL LAWS AGALNST IRISH CATHOLICS;
}
frevious to the late eepeal ol a part theqeot, IN THE SESSIOK OF THE IRISH PARLIAMENT, HELD A. D. 17 .

\section*{My Lord,}

I ax obliged to your Lordship for your communication of the heads of Mr. Gardiner's bill. I had received it, in an earlier stage of its progress, from Mr. Braughall; and I am still in that gentleman's debt, as I have not made him the proper return for the favour he has done me.- Business, to which I was more immediately called, and in which my sentiments had the weight of one vote, occupied me every moment since I received his letter. This first morning which I can call my own, I give with great cheerfulnems to the subject on which your Lordship has done me the bonour of desining my opinion. I bave read the heads of the bill, with the amendments. Your Lordship is too well acquainted with men, and with affaira, to imagine that any true judgment can be formed on the value of a great meacure of policy from the perusal of a piece of paper. At present 1 am much in the dart with regard to the state of the country, which the intended law is to be applied to.! It is not easy for mo to deternine whether or no it was wise, (for the make of expunging the black letter of lawn, which, menacing methey
- The aketch of the bill aem to Mr. Burke, along with the repeal of some acth, re-affirmed matny others in the penal code. It wan alsered afterwards, ond the cienses re-affirming the incepecities lefl oul; but they all mill exies, and are in foll force.
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were in the language, were every day fading into disuse,) solemaly to re-affirm the principles, and to re-enact the provisions, of a code of statutes, by which you are totally excluded from the prifileges of the commontialth, from the bighest to the lowest, from the most material of the civil professions, from the army, and even from education, where alone education is to be had.

Whether this scheme of indulgence, grounded at once on contempt and jealousy, has a tendency gradually to produce something better and more liberal, I cannot tell, for want of having the actual map of the country. If this should be the case, it was right in you to accept it, such as it is. But if this should be one of the experiments, which have sometimes been made before the temper of the nation was ripe for a real reformation, I think it may possibly have ill effects, by disposing the penal natter in a more systematic order, and thereby fixing a permanent bar against any relief that is truly aubstantial. The whole merit or demerit of the measure depends upon the plans and diapositions of those by whom the act was made, concurring with the general temper of the Protestants of Ireland, and their aptitude to admit in time of some part of that equality, without which you never can be prlzow-citizens.-Of all this I am wholly ignorant. All my correspondence with men of public importance in Ireland has for some time totally ceased. On the first bill for the relief of the Bomar Catrourcs of Ireland, I was, without any call of mine, consulted both on your side of the water and on this. On the present occasion, I have not heard a word from any man in office; and know as little of the intentions of the British government, as I know of the temper of the Irish parliament. I do not find that any opposition was made by the principal persons of the minority in the House of Commons, or that any is apprehended from them in the House of Lords. The whole of the difficulty seems to lie with the principal men in government, under whose protection this bill is supposed to be brought in. This violent opposition and cordial support, coming from one and the same quarter, sppears to me something mysterious, and hinders me from being able to make any clear judgment of the merit of the present measure, as compared with the actual state of the country, and the general views of govern-
ment, without which one can say nothing that may not be very erroneous.

To look at the bill, in the abstract, it is neither more nos less than a renewed act of untyergal, invitianted, imDISPRESABLE, EICEPTIONLEBS DISQUALIFICATION.

One would imagine, that a bill inflicting euch a multitude of incapacities, had followed on the heels of a conquest made by a very fierce enemy, under the impression of recent animosity and resentment. No man, on reading that bill, could imagine he was reading an act of ammeaty and indulgence, following a recital of the good behaviour of those who are the objects of it: which recital stood at the head of the bill, as it was first introduced; but, I suppose for its incongruity with the body of the piece, was afterwards ornitted.-This I eay on memory. It however still recites the onth, and that Catholics ought to be conmidered as good and loyal subjecte to his Majesty, his crown and government. Then follows an universal exclusion of those 000 D and coval subjects from every (even the lowest) office of trust and profit; from any vote at an election; from any privilege in a town corporate; from being even a freeman of such a corporation; from serving on grand juries; from a vote at a restry; from having a gan in his honse; from being a barrister, attorney, or solicitor, \&e., \&ue., se.
This has surely much more the air of a table of proscription, thas an act of grace. What must we auppose the lawe concerning those good anbjecte to have been, of whieb this is a relanation? I know well that there is a cant language current, about the difference between an exclusion from employmente even to the most rigorous extent, and an exclusion from the natural benefita arising from a man's own indnatry. I allow, that onder wome circumstancen, the difference is very material in point of justice, and that there are considerations which may render it advimble for a wise government to keep the leading parts of every branch of civil and military administraxion in bande of the beat truat; but a total exclusion from the commonwealth is a very different thing. When a government enbsista (a governments formerty did) on an estate of it own, with but few and inconsiderable revennee drawn from the subject, then the few officers which existed in tuch eatablishmente were maturally at the
disposal of that government, which paid the salaries out of its own coffers; there an exclusive preference could hardly merit the name of proscription. Almost the whole prodace of a man's industry at that time remained in his own purse to maintain his family. But times alter, and the whole estate of government is from private contribution. When a very great portion of the labour of individuals goes to the state, and is by the state again refunded to individuals, through the medium of offices, and in this circuitous progress from the private to the public, and from the public again to the private fund, the families from whom the revenue is taken are indemnified, and an equitable balance between the gom vernment and the subject is established. But if a great body of the people, who contribute to this state lottery, are excluded from all the prizes, the stopping the circulation Fith regard to them may be a most cruel hardship, amounting in effect to being double and treble taxed; and it will be felt as such to the very quick by all the families high and low of those hundreds of thousands, who are denied their chance in the returned fruits of their own industry. This is the thing meant by those who look upon the public revenue only as a spoil; and will naturally wish to have as few as possible concerned in the division of the booty. If a state should be so mahappy as to think it cannot subsist without such a barbarous proscription, the persons so prosaribed ought to be indemnified by the remission of a large part of their taxes, by an immunity from the offices of public burden, and by an exemption from being pressed into any military or naval service.

Common sense and common justice dictate this at least, as some sort of compensation to a people for their slayery. How many families are incapable of existing, if the little offices of the revenue, and little military commissions, are denied them 1 To deny them at home, and to make the happiness of acquiring some of them somewhere else, felony, or high treason, is a piece of cruelty, in which, till very lately, I did not suppose this age capable of persisting. Formerly a similarity of religion made a sort of country for a man in some quarter or otber. A refugee for roligion was a protected character. Now, the reception is cold indeed; and
therefore as the asylum abroad is destroyed, the hardship at home is doubled. This hardship is the more intolerable, because the professions are shut up. The church is so of course. Much is to be said on that subject, in regard to them, and to the Protestant dissenters. But that is a chapter by itself. I am sure I wish well to that church, and think its ministers among the very best citizens of your country. However, such as it is, a great walk in life is forw bidden ground to seventeen hundred thousand of the inhsbitants of Ireland. Why are they excluded from the law? Do not they expend money in their suite? Why may not they indemnify themselves, by profiting, in the permons of some, for the losses incurred by others? Why may not they have persons of confidence, whom they may, if they please, employ in the agency of their aflairs? The exclusion from the law, from grand juries, from sherifiships, and undersheriffships, as well as from freedom in any corporation, may subject them to dreadful hardships, at it may exclude them wholly from all that is beneficial, and expose them to all that is mischierous, in a trial by jury. This was manifertly within my own observation, for 1 was three times in Ireland from the year 1760 to the year 1767, where I had sufficient means of information, concerning the inhoman proceedings (among which were many cruel murders, besides an infinity of outrages and oppressions, unknown before in a civilized age) which prevailed during that period in consequence of a pretended conspiracy among Roman Catholict against the king's government. I could dilate upon the mischiel that may happen, from those which have happened, upon this head of disqualification, if it were at all necemsary.
The head of exclusion from votes for members of partisment is closely connected with the former. When you cast your eye on the atatute book, you will see that no Catholic, even in the ferocious acts of Queen Anne, was divabled from voting on secount of his religion. The only conditions required for that privilege, were the oathe of allegiance and abjuration-both oaths relative to a civil concern. Parlisment bas since added another oath of the mame kind: and yet a House of Commons, adding to the securities of government, in proportion as ite danger is confemedly lemened, and
professing both confidence and indulgence, in effect takes away the privilege left by an act full of jealousy, and professing persecution.

The taking away of a vote is the taking away the shield which the subject has, not only against the oppression of power, but that worst of all oppressions, the persecution of private society and private manners. No candidate for parliamentary influence is obliged to the least attention towards them, either in cities or counties. On the contrary, if they should become obnoxious to any bigoted or malig. nant people amongst whom they live, it will become the interest of those who court popular favour, to use the numberless means which always reside in magistracy and influence to oppress them. The proceedings in a certain county in Munster, during the unfortunate period I have mentioned, read a strong lecture on the cruelty of depriving men of that shield, on account of their speculative opinions. The Pro testants of Ireland feel well and naturally on the hardship of being bound by laws in the enacting of which they do not directly pr indirectly vote. The bounds of these matters are nice, and hard to be settled in theory, and perhaps they have been pushed too far. But how they can avoid the necessary application of the principles they use in their disputes with others, to their disputes with their fellow-citizens, 1 know not.

It is true, the words of this act do not create a disability; but they clearly and evidently suppose it. There are few Catholic freeholders to take the benefit of the privilege, if they were permitted to partake it: but the manner in which this very right in freeholders at large is defended, is not on the idea that the freeholders do really and truly represent the people; but that all people being capable of obtaining freeholds, all those who, by their indusitry and sobriety, merit this privilege, have the means of arriving at rotees. It is the same with the corporations.

The laws against foreign education are clearly the very worst part of the old code. Besides your laity, you have the succession of about 4000 clergymen to provide for. These, having no luerative objects in prospect, are taken very much out of the lower orders of the people. At home, they have no means whatsoever provided for their attaining a clerical
education, or indeed any education at all. When I was in Paris, sbout seven years ago, I looked at everything, and lived with every kind of people, as well as my time admitted. I saw the Irish college of the Lombard, which seemed to me a very good place of educstion, under excellent ordern and regulations, and under the government of a very prudent and learned man (the late Dr. Krinr). This college was possessed of an annual fixed revenue of more than a thonsand poonds a year; the greatest part of which had ariven from the legacies and benefactions of persons educated in that college, and who had obtained promotions in France, from the emolument of which promotions they made this grateful return. One in particular I remember, to the amonnt of ten thousand livres annnally, as it in recorded on the donor's monument in their chapel.
It has been the custom of poor persons in Ireland, to pick up sach knowledge of the latin tongue as, under the general discouragements and occasional pursuits of magistracy, they were able to acguire; and receiving orders at home, were sent abroad to obtain a clerical education. By officisting in petty chaplainships, and performing, now and then, certain offices of religion for emall gratuities, they received the mesas of maintaining themselvee, until they were able to complete their edncation. Through such difficulties and discouragements many of them hare arrived at a very considerable proficiency, \(\omega\) as to be marked and distinguished abroad. These persons afterwards, by being sunk in the mont abject poverty, despised and ill treated by the higher orders among Proteatasita, and not much better esteemed or treated even by the few persons of fortume of their own permasion; and contracting the habits and ways of thinking of the poor and uneducated, among whom they were obliged to live, in a few years retained litile or no trices of the talente and tequirements, which distinguished them in the early periods of their liven Can we, with justice, ent shem of from the nse of places of edncation, founded, for the greater part, from the economy of poverty and exile, without providing something that is equivalent at home?

Whaldt this restraint of foreiga and domentic education was part of a horrible and impions system of eervitade, the membert were well fitted to the pody. To render men pa-
tient, under a deprivation of all the rights of human hature, everything which could give them a knowledge or feeling of those rights was rationslly forbidden. To render humanity fit to be insulted, it was fit that it should be degraded. But when we profess to restore men to the capacity for property, it is equally irrational and unjust to deny them the power of improving their minds as well as their fortines. Indeed, I have ever thought the prohibition of the means of improving our rational nature, to be the worst species of tyranny that the insolence and perverseness of mankind ever dared to exercise. This goes to all men, in all situations, to whom education can be denied.

Your Lordship mentions a proposal which came from my friend the provost, whose benevolence and enlarged apirit I am perfectly convinced of; which is, the proposal of erecting a few sizerships in the college, for the education (I suppose) of Roman Catholic clergymen. \({ }^{1}\) He certainly meant it well ; but, coming from euch a man as he is, it is a strong instance of the danger of suffering any description of men to fall into entire contempt.-The charities intended for them are not perceived to be fresh insults; and the true nature of their wants and necessities being unknown, remedies, wholly: unsuitable to the nature of their complaint, are provided for them. It is to feed a sick Gentoo with beef broth, and to foment his wounds with brandy. If the other parts of the university were open to them, as well on the foundation as otherwise, the offering of eizerships would be a proportioned part of a general kindness. But when everything liberal is withheld, and only that which is servile is permitted, it is easy to conceive upon what footing they must be in such a place.

Mr. Hutchinson must well know the regard and honour I have for him; and he cannot think my dissenting from him in this particular arises from a disregard of bis opinion: it only shows that I think he has lived in Ireland. To have any respect for the character and person of a Popish priest there-oh!'tis an uphill work indeed. But until we come to reepect what atands in a respectable light with others, we are very deficient in the temper which qualifies us

I' It appears that Mr. Hutchinson meant this only as one of the means for thair relief in point of education.
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to make any laws and regulations about them. It even disqualifies us from being charitable to them with any effect or judgments

When we are to provide for the education of any body of men, we ought seriously to consider the particular fanctions they are to perform in life. A Roman Catholic clergyman is the minister of a very ritual religion; and by his profession subject to many restraints. His life is a life full of strict observances, and his duties are of a laborious nature towards himself, and of the highest possible trust towards others. The duty of confession alone is mufficient to set in the strongest light the necessity of his having an appropriated mode of education. The theological opinions and peculiar rights of one religion never can be properly taught in universities, founded for the purposes and on the principles of another, which in many points are directly opposite. If a Boman Catholic clergyman, intended for celibacy, and the function of confession, is not atrictly bred in a seminary where these things are respected, inculcated, and enforced, at sacred, and not made the subject of derision and obloquy, he will be ill fitted for the former, and the latter will be indoed in his hands a terrible instrument.

There is a great reaemblance between the whole frame and constitution of the Greek and Latin churchen. The wecular clergy, in the former, by being married, living under little restraint, and having no particular education suited to their function, are universally fallen into such contempt, that they are never permitted to aspire to the dignities of their own church. It is not held respectful to call them papas, their true and ancient appellation, but those who wish to address them with cirility always call them hieromonachi. In comsequence of this disrespect, which I venture to say, in aucb a church, mast be the consequence of a mecular life, a very great degeneracy from reputable Cbristian manners bas taken place throughout almowt the whole of that great member of the Christisn chureb.

It was so with the Latin church, before the rentrint on marriage. Even that restraint gave rise to the greatent disorders before the council of Trent, which, together with the emulation raised, and the good examples given by the reformed churches, wherever they were in view of each other,
has brought on that happy amendment, which we see in the Latin communion, both at home and abroad.

The council of Trent bas wisely introduced the discipline of seminaries, by which priests are not trusted for a clerical institution, even to the severe discipline of their colleges; but, after they pass through them, are frequently, if not for the greater part, obliged to pass through peculiar methods, having their particular ritual function in view. It is in a great measure to this, and to similar methods used in foreign education, that the Roman Catholic clergy of Ireland, miserably provided for, living among low and ill-regulated people, without any discipline of aufficient force to secure good manners, have been prevented from becoming an intolerable nuisance to the country, instead of being, as I conceive they generally are, a very great service to it.

The ministers of Protestant churches require a different mode of education, more liberal, and more fit for the ordinary intercourse of life. That religion having little hold on the minds of people by external ceremonies, and extraordinary observances, or separate habita of living, the clergy male \(u p\) the deficiency by cultivating their minds with all kinds of ornamental learning, which the liberal provision made in Fangland and Ireland for the parochial clergy, (to any nothing of the ample church preferments, with little or no duties annexed, and the comparative lightness of parochial duties, enables the greater part of them in some considerable degree to accomplish.

This learning, which I believe to be pretty general, together with a higher situation, and more chastened by the opinion of mankind, forms a sufficient security for the morals of the established clergy, and for their sustaining their elerical character with dignity. It is not necessary to observe, that all these things are, however, collateral to their function, and that except in preaching, which may be and is supplied, and often best supplied, out of printed books, little else is necessary for a Protestant minister, than to be able to read the English language; I mean for the exercise of his function, not to the qualification of his admission to it. . But a Popish parson in Ireland may do very well without any considerable classical erudition, or any proficiency in pure or mixed mathematics, or any knowledge of civil history.

Even if the Catholic clergy should possess those acquisitions, as at first many of them do, they soon lose them in the painful course of professional and parochial daties; but they mast have all the knowledge, and, what is to them more important than the knowledge, the discipline, necessary to thome dutien. All modes of education, conducted by those whoee minds are cast in another mould, as I may eay, and whose original ways of thinking are formed upon the reverse pattern, must be to them not only useless, but mischievous. Just as I should suppose the education in a Popish eccleaiastical seminary would be ill fitted for a Proteatant clergyman. To educate a. Catholic prieat in a Protestant seminary would be mach worse, The Protestant educated amongot Catholics has only something to reject: what he keepe may be useful. But s Catholic parish priest learns little for his peculiar purpose and duty in a Protestant college.

All this, my Lard, 1 know very well, will pase for nothing with those who wish that the Popish clergy should be if literate, and in a situation to produce contempt and detestation. Their minds are wholly taken up with party aquabbles, and I have neither leisure nor inchination to apply any part of what I have to asy, to those who never think of religion, or of the commonwealth, in any other light, than as they tend to the prevalence of some faction in either. I speak on a supposition, that there in a disposition to lake the state in the condition in which it is fownd, and to improve it in that state to the beat advantage. Hitherto the plan for the gorernment of Ireland has been, to sacrifice the ciril prosperity of the nation to its religiona improvement. But if people in power there are at length come to entertain other ideas, they will consider the good onder, deeoram, rirtue, and morality of every description of men among them, an of infinitely greater importance then the struggle (for it is nothing better) to change those descriptions by memna, which put to hazard objects, which, in my poor opimion, are of more importance to religion and to the atste, than all the polemical matter which hat been agitated assong men from the begiming of the world to this hour.

On this iden, an edvention fitted to eoch order and divimion of mex, such an they are foucod, will be thought on affair rather to be encouraged than discoumtemanced: and amtil
institutions at home, suitable to the occasions and necessities of the people, are established, and which are armed, as they are abroad, with authority to coerce the young men to be formed in them, by a strict and severe discipline,-the means they have, at present, of a cheap and effectual education in other countries, should not continue to be prohibited by penalties and modes of inquisition, not fit to be mentioned to ears that are organized to the chaste sounds of equity and justice.

Before I had written thus far, I heard of a scheme of giving to the Castle the patronage of the presiding members of the Catholic clergy. At first I could scarcely credit it: for I believe it is the first time that the presentation to other people's alms has been desired in any country. If the state provides a suitable maintenance and temporality for the governing members of the Irish Roman Catholic church, and for the clergy under them, I should think the project, however improper in other respects, to be by no means unjust. But to deprive a poor people, who maintain a second set of clergy, out of the miserable renaains of what is left after taxing and tithing-to deprive them of the disposition of their own charities among their own communion, would, in my opinion, be an intolerable hardship. Never were the members of one religious sect fit to appoint the pastors to another. Those who have no regard for their welfare, reputation, or internal quiet, will not appoint such as are proper. The seraglio of Constantinople is as equitable as we are, whether Catholics or Protestants ; and where their own sect is concerned, full as religious. But the sport which they make of the miserable dignities of the Greek church, the little factions of the harem, to which they make them subservient, the continual sale to which they expose and reexpose the same dignity, and by which they squeeze all the interior orders of the clergy, is (for I have had particular means of being acquainted with it) nearly equal to all the other oppressions together, exercised by Mussulmen over the unhappy members of the Oriental church. It is a great deal to suppose that even the prosent Castle would nominate bishops for the Roman church of Ireland, with a religious regard for its welfare, Perhaps they cannot, perhaps they dure not, do it.

But suppose them to be as well inclined as I know that I am, to do the Catholics all kind of justice, I declare I would not, if it were in my power, take that patronage on myself,I know I ought not to do it. I belong to another commanity, and it would be intolerable usurpation for me to affect such authority, where I conferred no benefit, or even if I did confer (as in some degree the seraglio does) temporal sdrantages. But, allowing that the present Castle finds itself fit to administer the government of a church which they solemnly forswear, and forswear with very hard words and many evil epithets, and that as often as they qualify themselves for the power which is to give this very patronage, or to give anything else that they desire; yet they caunot insure themselves that a man like the late Lord Chesterfield will not succeed to them. This man, while be was duping the credulity of Papists with fine words in private, and commending their good behaviour during a rebellion in Great Britain, (as it well deserved to be commended and rewarded,) was capable of urging penal laws against them in a apeech from the throne, and of stimulating with provocatives the wearied and balfexhausted bigotry of the then parlisment of Ireland. They set to work, but they were at a lows what to do; for they had already almost gone through every contrivance which could sease the eigour of their country: but after much struggle, they produced a child of their old age, the abocking and unnatural act about marringes, which tended to finish the scheme for making the people not only two distinct parties for ever, but keeping them as two distinct species in the same land. Mr. Gardiner's bumanity was shocked at it, as one of the worst parts of that truly barbarous system, if one could well settle the preference, where almost all the parts were outrages on the nighte of humanity and the laws of nature.
Suppose an atheist, playing the part of a bigot, should be in power again in that country, do you believe that be nould faithfully and religiously administer the trust of appointing pastors to a church, which, wanting every other support, stands in tenfold need of ministers who will be dear to the people committed to their charge, and who will exercive a really paternal authority amongot them? But if the superior power was always in a dieposition to diepense conscien-
tionsly, and like an upright trustee and guardian of these rights which he holds for those with whom he is at variance, has he the capacity and means of doing it? How can the lord-lieutenant form the least judgment of their merits, so as to discern which of the Popish priests is fit to be made a bishop? It cannot be : the iden is ridiculous.- He will hand them over to lord-lieutenants of counties, justices of the peace, and other persons, who for the purpose of vexing and turning to derision this miserable people, will pick out the worst and most obnoxious they can find amongst the clergy to set over the rest. Whoever is complained againat by his brother will be considered as persecuted: whoever is censured by his superior will be looked upon as oppressed: whoever is careless in his opinions, and loose in his morals, will be called a liberal man, and will be supposed to have incurred hatred, because he was not a bigot. Informers, talebearers, perverse and obstinate men, flatterers, who turn their back upon their flock, and court the Protestant gentlemen of the country, will be the objects of preferment. And then I run no risk in foretelling, that whatever order, quiet, and morality you have in the country, will be lost. A Popish clergy, who are not restrained by the most austere subordination, will become a nuisance, a real public grievance of the heaviest kind, in any country that entertains them : and instead of the great benefit which Ireland does and has long derived from them, if they are educated without any idea of discipline and obedience, and then put under biehops who do not owe their station to their good opinion, and whom they cannot respect, that nation will see disordera, of which, bad as things are, it has yet no idea. I do not say this, as thinking the leading men in Ireland would exercise this trust worse than others. Not at all. No man, no set of men living are fit to administer the affaira, or regulate the interior economy, of a church to which they are enemies.

As to government, if I might recommend a prudent caution to them,-it would be, to innovate as little as possible, upon speculation, in establishments, from which, as they stand, they experience no material inconvenience to the repose of the country,-quieta non movere.-I could sny a great deal more ; but I am tired; and am afraid your Lordship is tired too. I have not sat to this letter a single quarter
of an, hour without interruption. It has grown long, and probably contains many repetitions, from my total want of leisure to digest and consolidate my thoughte; and an to my expresaions, I could wish to be able perhaps to measure them more exactly. But my intentions are hair, and I certainly mean to offend nobody.
- \(\quad\) -

Thinking over this matter more maturely, I see no reacon for altering my opinion in any part. The act, as far as it goes, is good undoubtedly. It amounts, I think, very nearly to a toleration, with respect to religions ceremonies; but it puts a new bolt on civil rights, and rivets it to the old one, in such a manner, that neither, I fear, will be eavily lowened. What I could have wished wonld be, to see the civil advantages take the lead; the other, of a religious toleration, I conceive, would follow (in a manner) of courne. From what I have observed, it is pride, arrogance, and a spirit of domination, and not a bigoted spirit of religion, that has caused and kept up those oppressive statutes. I am sure I have known those tho have oppressed Papists in their civil rights, exceedingly indulgent to them in their religious ceremonies, and who really wished them to continue Catholict, in order to furnish pretences for oppression. These persons never saw aman (by converting) escape out of their power, bat with grudging and regret. I have known men, to whom I sm not uncharitable in asying, (though they are dead,) that they would have become Papist in order to opprems Protestants; if, being Protestants, it was not in their power to oppress Papiste. It is injustice, and not a mistaken conscience, that has been the pridciple of persecution, at leant as far as it has fallen under my observation. However, at I began, so I end. I do not know the map of the conuntry. Mr. Gardiner, who conducts this great and difficult wory, and those who support him, are better judge of the basinese than I can pretend to be, who have not set my foot in Ireland these sirteen years. I have been given to under. stand, that I am not considered as a friend to that country: and I know that pains have been taken to lemen the credit that I might have had there.

I am so convinced of the weakness of interfering in any business, without the opinion of the people in whose business I interfere, that I do not know how to acquit myself of what I have now done.-I have the honour to be, with high regard and eateem,

My Lord,
Your Lordship's most obedient
'And humble servant, \&ce.
EDMUND BURKE.
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My Deak Sir,
Your remembrance of me, with sentimente of wo much kindness, has given me the most sincere satisfaction. It perfectly agrees with the friendly and hospitable reception which my son and I received from you, some time since, when, after an absence of twenty-two years, 1 had the happiness of embracing you, among my few surviving friends.
I really imapined that I should not again interest myself in any public business. I had, to the best of my moderate faculties, paid my club to the society, which I was born in some way or other to serve; and I thought I had a right to put on my night-gown and slippers, and wish a cheerful evening to the good company I must leave behind. But if our resolutions of vigour and exertion are so often broken or procrastinated in the execution, I think we may be excused, if we are not very punctual in fulfilling our engagementa to indolence and inactivity. I have indeed no power of action; and am almost a cripple, eren with regard to thinking: but you descend with force into the stagasnt pool; and you canase such a fermentation, as to cure at least one impotent creature of his lameness, though it eannot enable him either to run or to wrentle.

\section*{ \\ You see by the paper \({ }^{1}\) I take that? am lizeb erye dong;} with malice prepense. You have browht unger ply vew a subject, always difficult, at present critnet oforabsilled my thoughts, which I wish to lay open to yoursith the clearness and simplicity which your friendship demands from me. I thank you for the communication of your ideas. I should be still more pleased if they had been more your own. What you hint, I believe to be the case; that if you had not deferred to the judgment of others, our opinions would not differ more materially at this day, than they did when we used to confer on the same subject, so many years ago. If I still persevere in my old opinions, it is no small comfort to me, that it is not with regard to doctrines properly yours that I discover my indocility.

The case, upon which your letter of the 10th of December turns, is hardly before me with precision enough, to ensble me to form any very certain judgment upon it. It seems to be some plan of further indulgence proposed for the Catholics of Ireland. You observe, that your "general principles are not changed, but that times and circumstances are altered." I perfectly agree with you, that times and circumstances, considered with reference to the public, ought very much to govern our conduct; though I am far from slighting, when applied with discretion to those circumstances, general principles, and maxims of policy. I cannot help observing, however, that you have said rather less upon the inapplicability of your own old principles to the circumstances that are likely to influence your conduct against these principles, than of the general maxims of state, which I can very readily believe not to have great weight with you personally.

In my present state of imperfect information, you will pardon the errors into which I may easily fall. The principles you lay dowa are, "that the Roman Catholics should enjoy everything under the state, but should not be the state iuself." And you add, "that when you exclude them from being a part of the state, you rather conform to the spirit of the age, than to any abstract doctrine;" but you consider the constitution as already established-that our state is Protestant. "It was declared ao at the Revolution. It was 80 provided in the acts for settling the succession of the
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crown;-the king's coronation oath was enjoined, in order to keep it so. The king, as first magistrate of the state, is obliged to take the oath of abjuration, \({ }^{1}\) and to subscribe the declaration; and, by laws subsequent, every other magistrate and member of the gtate, legislative and executive, are bound under the same obligation."

As to the plan to which these maxims are applied, I cannot speak, as I told you, positively about it. Becanse, neither from your letter, nor from any information I have been able to collect, do I find anything settled, either on the part of the Roman Catholics themselves, or on that of any persons who may wish to conduct their affairs in psrliament. But if I have leave to conjecture, something is in agitation towards admitting them, under certain qualifications, to have some share in the election of members of parliament. This I understand ia the scheme of those who are entitled to come within your description of persons of consideration, property, and character; and firmly attached to the king and constitution, as by " l m eatablished, with a grateful sense of your former concessions, and a patient reliance on the benignity of parliament, for the further mitigation of the laws that atill affect them."-As to the low, thoughtless, wild, and profligate, who have joined themselves with those of other professions, but of the same character; you are not to imagine, that, for a moment, I can suppose them to be met with anything else than the manly and enlightened energy of a firm government, mopported by the united efforts of all virtuous men, if ever their proceedings should become to considerable ss to demand its notice. I really think that much associstions should be crushed in their very commencement.

Setting, therefore, this case out of the question, it becomes an object of very serious consideration, whether, because wicked men of various descriptions are engaged in meditious coursea, the rational, sober, and valuable part of one description should not be indulged in their sober and rational expectations? You, who have looked deeply into the spirit of the Popery lawn, must be perfectly aensible, that a great part of the present mischief, which we abhor in common, (if it at all exista,) has ariven from them. Their declared object wan
'A emall error of fact en to the abjuration oath; bat of no importence in the argment.
to reduce the Catholics of Ireland to a miserable populace, without property, without estimation, without education. The professed object was to deprive the few men who, in spite of those laws, might hold or obtain any property amongst them, of all sort of influence or authority over the rest. They divided the nation into two distinct bodies, without common interest, aympathy, or connexion. One of these bodies was to possess all the franchises, all the prow perty, all the education: the other was to be composed of drawers of water and cutters of turf for them. Are we to be sstonished, when, by the efforts of so much violence in conquest, and so much policy in regulation, continued without intermission for nearly an hundred years, we had reduced them to a mob; that whenever they came to act at all, many of them would act exactly like a mob, without temper, measure, or foresight? Surely it might be just now a matter of temperate discussion, whether you ought not to apply a remedy to the real cause of the evil. If the disorder you speak of be real and considerable, you ought to raise an aristocratic interest; that is, an interest of property and education amongst them; and to strengthen, by every prudent means, the authority and influence of men of that deacription. It will deserve your best thoughts, to examine whether this can be done without giving such persons the means of demonstrating to the rest, that something more is to be got by their temperate conduct, than can be expected from the wild and senseless projects of those who do not belong to their body, who have no interest in their well being, and only wish to make them the dupes of their turbulent ambition.

If the absurd persons you mention find no way of providing for liberty, but by overturning this happy constitution, and introducing a frantic democracy, let us take care how we prevent better pepple from any rational expectations of partaking in the benefit of that constitution as it atands. The maxims you eatablish cut the matter short. They have no bort of connexion with the good or the ill behaviour of the persons who seek relief, or with the proper or improper means by which they seek it. They form a perpetual bar to all pleas, and to all expectations.

You begin by asserting, that " the Catholics ought to enjoy
all things under the state, but that they ought not to be the stute." A position which, I believe, in the latter part of it, and in the latitude there expressed, no man of common sense has ever thought proper to dispute; because the contrary implies, that the state ought to be in them exclusively. But before you have finished the line, you exprems yourself as if the other member of your proposition, namely, that "they ought not to be a part of the state," were necessarily included in your first-Whereas I conceive it to be as different as a part is from the whole; that is, just as different as possible. I know, indeed, that it is common with those who talk very differently from you, that is, with heat and animosity, to confound those thinga, and to argue the ach mission of the Catholica into any, however minute and subordinate, parts of the state, as a surrender into their hands of the whole government of the kingdom. To them I have nothing at all to say.
Wishing to proceed with a deliberative spirit and temper in so very serious a question, I shall attempt to analyze, as well as I can, the principles you lay down, in order to fit them for the grasp of an understanding so little comprehensive as mine-_"State"-" Protestant"-" Revolution," These are terms, which, if not well explained, may lead us into many errors. In the word State, I conceive there in much ambiguity. The state is sometimes used to signify the whole commonvoealth, comprehending all its orders, with the several privileges belonging to each. Sometimen it mignifies only the higher and ruling part of the commonwealth; which we commonly call the Government. In the first senue, to be under the state, but not the state iteclf, nor amy parl of it, that is, to be nothing at all in the commonwealth, is a situation perfectly intelligible: bat to those who fill that situation, not very pleasant, when it is understood It is a state of civil servitude by the very forco of the definition. Sercorum non est respubica, is a very old and a very true maxim. This servitude, which makes men oulject to a atate without being citizens, may be more or leat tolerable from many circomatances: but thene circumstances, more or less favourable, do not alter the nature of the thing. The mildness by which absolute masters exercise their dominion, leavea them masters otill. We may tali a little presently
of the manner in which the majority of the people of Ireland (the Catholics) are affected by this situation; which at present undoubtedly is theirs, and which you are of opinion ought so to continue for ever.

In the other sense of the word State, by which is understood the Supreme Government only, I must observe this upon the question: that to exclude whole classes of men entirely from this part of government, cannot be considered as absolute slavery. It only implies a lower and degraded state of citizenship; such is (with more or less strictness) the condition of all countries in which an hereditary nobility possess the exclusive rule. This may be no bad mode of government; provided that the personal authority of individual nobles be kept in due bounds, that their cabals and factions are guarded against with a severe vigilance, and that the people (who have no share in granting their own money) are subjected to but light impositions, and are otherwise treated with attention, and with indulgence to their humours and prejudices.

The republic of Venice is one of those which atrictly conflines all the great functions and offices, buch os are truly state-functions and state-offices, to those who, by hereditary right or admission, are noble Venetians. But there are many offices, and some of them not mean nor unprofitable, (that of chancellor is one.) which are reserved for the Cittadini. Of these all citizens of Venice are capable. The in habitants of the Terra firma, who are mere subjects of conquest, that is, as you express it, under the state, but "not a part of it," are not, however, subjects in so very rigorous a sense as not to be capable of numberless subordinate em.ployments. It is indced one of the adrantages attending the narrow bottom of their aristocracy, (narrow as compared with their acquired dominions, otherwise broad enough,) that an exclusion from sueh employments cannot possibly be made amongst their subjects. There are, hesides, advantages in atates so constituted, by which those who are considered as of an inferior race, are indemnified for their exclusion from the government and from noble employments. In all these countries, either by express law, or by usage more operative, the noble casta are almost universally, in their turn, excluded from commerce, manufacture, farming of land, :
and in general from all lucrative civi professions. The nobles have the monopoly of honour. The plebeians a monopoly of all the means of acquiring wealth. Thus some sort of a balance is formed among conditions; a sort of connpensation is furnished to those, who, in a limited sense, are excluded from the government of the state.

Between the extreme of a cotal exclusion, to which your maxim goes, and an universal unmodified capacity, to which the fanatics pretend, there are many different degrees and stages, and a great variety of temperaments, upon which prudence may give full scope to its exertions. For you know that the decisions of prudence (contrary to the system of the insane reasoners) differ from those of judicature; and that almost all the former are determined on the more or the lem, the earlier or the later, and on a balance of advantage and inconvenience, of good and evil.

In all considerations which turn upon the queation of vesting or continuing the etate solely and exclusively in some one description of citizens, pradent legislators will consider, how far the general form and principlat of their commonwealth render it fit to be cast into an oligarchical shope, or to remain always in it. We know that the government of Ireland (the same as the British) is not in its conotitution soholly aristocratical ; and, sa it is not such in ita form, \(s 0\) neither is it in its spirit. If it had been inveterately aristocratical, exclusions might be more patiently mubmitted to. The lot of one plebeian would be the lot of all; and an habitual reverence and admiration of certain familie" might make the people content to see government wholly in hands to whom it seemed naturally to belong. But our constitution has a plebeian member, which forms an exantial integrant part of it. A plebeian oligarchy is a monster ; and no people, not absolutely domestie or predial alaves, will long endure it. The Protertants of Ireland are not alone sufficiently the people to form a democracy; and they are 200 memerows to answer the ends and purponen of an aristocracy. Admiration, that first wource of obedience, can be only the elaim or the importure of the few. I hold it to be absolately imposible for two milions of plebeians, compouing certainly a rery clear and decided majority in that clase, to become so far in love with air or aeven hundred
thousand of their fellow-citizens, (to all outward appearance plebeians like themselves, and many of them tradesmen, servants, and otherwise inferior to some of them,) as to see with satisfaction, or even with patience, an exclusive power 'ested in them, by which constitutionally they become the abcolute masters ; and, by the manners derived from their cirumstanoes, must be capable of exercising upon them, daily und hourly, an insulting and vexatious superiority. Neither tre the majority of the Irish indemnified (as in some aristorracies) for this state of humiliating vassalage, (often invertng the nature of things and relations,) by having the lower walks of industry wholly abandoned to them. They are sivalled, to say the least of the matter, in every laborious und lucrative course of life; while every franchise, every ronour, every trust, every place down to the very lowest and least confidential, (besides whole professions,) is reserved. for the master cast.

Our constitution is not made for great, general, and proscriptive exclusions; sooner or later it will destroy them, or they will deatroy the constitution. In our constitution there has alwayg been s difference made between a franchise and an office, and between the capacity for the one and for the other. Franchises were supposed to belong to the subject, as \(a\) subject, and not as a member of the governing part of the state. The policy of government has considered them as things very different; for whilat parliament excluded by theteat acts (and for a while these test acte were not a dead letter, as now they are in England) Protestant dissenters from all civil and military employments, they never touched their right of voting for members of parliament or sitting in either House; a point I state, not as approving or condemuing, with regard to them, the measure of exclusion from employments, but to prove that the distinction has been admitted in legislature, as, in truth, it is founded in reason.

I will not here exsmine, whether the principles of the British [the Irish] constitution be wise or not. I must assume that they are; and that those, who partake the franchises which make it, partake of a benefit. They who are ercluded from votes (under proper qualifications inherent in the constitution that gives them) are excluded, not from the state, but from the British constitution. They cannot by any vol. ill.
possibility, whilst they hear its praise continually rung in their ears, and are present at the declaration which is to generally and so bravely made by those who possess the pri-vilege-that the best blood in their veins ought to be shed, to preserve their share in it; they, the disfranchised part, cannot, I asy, think themselves in a happy state, to be utterly excluded from all its direct and all its consequential advantages. The popular part of the constitution must be to them by far the most odious part of it. To them it is not an actual, and, if possible, still lese a virtual, representation. It is indeed the direct contrary. It is power unlimited, placed in the hands of an adverse description, because it is an adverse description. And if they who compose the privileged body have not an interest, they must but too frequently bave motives of pride, passion, petulance, peevish jealousy, or tyrannic suspicion, to urge them to treat the excluded people with contempt and rigour.

This is not a mere theory; though whilst men are men, it is a theory that cannot be false. I do not desire to revive all the particulars in my memory; 1 wish them to sleep for ever; but it is impossible I should wholly forget what happened in some parts of Ireland, with very few and short intermissions, from the year 1761 to the year 1766, both inclnsive. In a country of miserable police, pasing from the extremes of laxity to the extremes of rigour, among a neglected, and therefore disorderly, populace-if any distarbance or sedition, from any grievance real or imaginary, happened to arise, it was presently perverted from its true nature, often criminal enough in itself to draw upon it a eevere, appropriate punishment; it whetamorphomed into 2 conspiracy against the state, and prowecuted as aucb. Amongst the Catholica, at being by far the mont numerous and the most wretched, all sorts of offenders against the laws must commonly be found. The punishment of low people for the offences usual among low people would warrant no inference against any description of religion or of politics. Men of consideration from their age, their profesion, or their chan racter; men of proprietary landed estates, substantial renters, opulent merchante, physicians, and titular bishopn; conld not easily be suspected of riot in open day, or of nocturnal assemblies for the purpose of pulling down hedges, mating
breaches in park walls, firing barns, maiming cattle, and outrages of a similar nature, which characterize the disorders of an oppressed or a licentious populace. But when the evidence, given on the trial for such misdemeanours, qualified them as overt acte of high treason, and when witnessea were found (such witnesses as they were) to depose to the taling of oaths of allegiance by the rioters to the king of France, to their being paid by his money, and emhodied and exercised under his officere, to overturn the state for the parposes of that potentate ; in that case, the rioters might (if the witness was believed) be supposed only the troops and persona more reputable, the leaders and commanders in such a res bellion. All classes in the obnoxious description, who could not be suspected of the lower crime of riot, might be involved in the odium, in the suspicion, and sometimes in the punisbment, of a bigher and far more criminal species of offence. These proceedings did not arise from any one of the Popery laws since repealed, but from this circumstance, that when it answered the purposes of an election party, or a malevolent person of influence, to forge such plots, the people had no protection. The people of that description have no hold on the gentlemen who aspire to be popular representatives. The candidates neither love, nor respect, nor fear them, individually or collectively. I do not think this evil (an evil amongst a thousand others) at this day entirely over; for I concelve I have lstely seen some indication of a disposition perfectly similar to the old one; that is, a disposition to carry the imputation of crimes from persons to descriptions, and wholly to alter the character and quality of the offences themselven.
This universal exclusion seems to me a serious evil-because many collateral oppressions, besides what I have just now atated, have arisen from it. In things of this nature, it would not be either easy or proper to quote chapter and verse ; but I bave great reason to believe, particularly since the octeunial act, that several have refused at all to let their lands to Roman Catholics; because it would so far disable them from promoting such interests in counties as they wero inclined to favour. They who consider also the state of all sorts of tradesmen, abopkeepers, and particularly publicana, in towns, must soon discern the disadvantages under which those labour who have no votes. It cannot be otherwise,
whilat the spirit of elactions, and the tendencies of human nature, continue as they are. If property be artificially mov parated from franchise, the franchise must in some way or other, and in some proportion, naturally attract property to it. Many are the collateral disadvantagee amonget a privileged people, which rinet attend on those who have no privilegen.

Among the rich each individual, with or withont a franchise, is of importance; the poor and the middling are no otherwise so, than as they obtain some collective capacity and can be aggregated to some corpe. If legal ways are not found, illegal will be resorted to; and seditions clubs and confederaciea, such as no man living holds in greater horror than I do, will grow sad flourish in epite, if am afraid, of anything which can be done to prevent the evil. Lawful onjoyment is the eurest method to prevent unlawful gratifica tion. Where there is property, there will be lesas theft; Where there is marriage, there will alwsys be lese fornication.
I have said enough of the gueation of state, \(\infty\) it affecto the people mercly at oueh. But it is complicated with a political question relative to religion, to which it in very necessary I should say something; because the term Protestant, which you apply, in too general for the conclusions which one of your accurate understanding would wiah to draw from it; and because a great deal of argument will depend on the use that is made of that term.

It is not a fondamental part of the wettlement at the Bevolution, that the etate whould be Protestant without any qualification of the torm. With s qualification it is naquentionably true; not in all its latitude. With the qualificetion, it was true before the Revolution. Our predeceseons in legislation were not to irrational (not to my impiona) at to form an operose eacleriastical eatablishment, and evento render the state itself in some degree aubwervient to it, when their religion (fif such it might be called) was nothing but a mere negation of some other-without any positive iden either of doetrine, discipline, wornhip, or morals, in the echeme which they profemed themelves, and which they imponed upon others, even under penalities and inespacities-No! No! This nerer could have been done eren by reatonable atheinta. They who think religion of no importance to the stata, have abandoned it to the conscience, or caprice, of the individual; they
make no provision for it whatsoever, but leave every club to make, or not, a voluntary contribution towards its support, according to their fancies. This would be consistent. The other slways appeared to me to be a monster of contradiction and absurdity. It was for that reason, that, some yeara ago, I strenuously opposed the clergy who petitioned, to the number of about three hundred, to be freed from the subscription to the Thirty-nine Articles, without proposing to substitute any other in their place. There never has been a religion of the atate, (the few years of the parliament only excepted,) but that of the episcopal churoh of England; the episcopal church of England, before the Reformation, connected with the see of home, since then, disconnected and protesting against some of her doctrines, and against the whole of her authority, as binding in our national church: nor did the fundamental laws of this kingdom (in Ireland it has been the same) ever know, at any period, any other church as an object of establishment; or in that light, any other Protestant religion. Nay, our Proteatant coleration itself at the Revolution, and until within a few years, required a signature of thirty-six, and a part of the thirty-seventh, out of the Thirty-nine Articles. So little idea had they at the Hevolution of establishing Protestantism indefinitely, that they did not indefinitely tolerate it noder that name. I do not mean to praise that atrictness, where nothing more than merely relipious toleration is concerned. Toleration, being a part of moral and political pradence, ought to be tender and large. A tolerant government ought not to be too scrupulous in its investigations; but may hear without blame, not only very ill-grounded doctrines, but oven many things that are positively vices, where they are adulta et prevalida. The good of the commonwealth is the rule which rides over the rest; and to this every other must completely submit.

The church of Scotland knowe as little of Protestantism andefined, as the church of England and Ireland do. She has by the articles of union secured to herself the perpetual establishment of the Confession of Faith, and the Presbyterian charch government. In England, evea during the troubled interregnum, it was not thought fit to establish a negative religion; but the parliament settled the presbyterian, as the
church discipline; the Directory, as the rule of public toorship; and the Wesiminster Catechism, as the institute of faith. This is to show, that at no time was the Protestant religion, undefined, established here or anywhers else, as I believe. I sm sure that when the three religions were entablished in Germany, they were expressly characterixed and declared to be the Evangelic, the Reformed, and the Catholic ; each of which has its confestion of faith and to settled discipline ; so that you always may know the beat and the worst of them, to enable you to make the most of what in good, and to correct, or to qualify, or to guard against whatever may eeem evil or dangerous.

As to the coronstion oath, to which you allude, as opposite to admitting a Roman Catholic to the use of any franchise whatsoever, I cannot think that the king would be perjured if he gave his assent to sny regulation which parliament might think fit to make with regard to that affair. The king is bound by law, as clearly specified in several acts of parlisment, to be in commumion with the church of England. It is a part of the tenure by which he holde hie crown; and though no provision was made till the Revolution, which could be called positive and valid in law, to ascertain this great principle, I have alwaye considered it to in fact funda mental, that the king of England should be of the Chriatian religion, according to the national legal church for the time being. I conceive it was to before the Reformation. Since the Reformation it became dorbly necesary; becanse the king is the head of that church; in some sort an ecclesisutical person; and it would be incongruous and abeard, to have the head of the church of one faith, and the members of mother. The ling may inherit the cnown as a Protestanh, but he cannot kold if, sccording to law, without being a Protestant of the church of Englaxd.

Before we take it for granted, that the king is bound by his coronation oath not to admit any of his Catholie anbjecte to the righte and libertien, which ought to belong to them as Englishmen, (not me religionista,) or to mettla the conditions or proportions of maeh admimion by an act of parliament, 1 wish you to place before your eyes that onth itself, as it in settled in the act of William and Mary.
"Will you to the utmont of your power maintain-The
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laws of God, the true profession of the gospel-and the


And will you preserve unvo bishops and clergy, and the churches committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges as by law do, or shall appertain to them, or any of them.-All this I promise to do."

Here are the coronation engagements of the king. In them I do not find one word to preclude his Majesty from consenting to any arrangement which parliament may make with regard to the civil privileges of any part of his subjects.

It may not be amiss, on account of the light which it will throw on this discussion, to look a little more narrowly into the matter of that oath-in order to discover how far it has hitherto operated, or how far in future it ought to operate, as a bar to any proceedings of the crown and parliament in favour of those, against whom it may be supposed that the king has engaged to support the Protestant church of England, in the two kingdoms, in which it is established by law. First, the king swears be will maintain, to the utmost of his power, "the laws of God." I suppose it means the natural moral laws.-Secondly, he swears to maintain "the true profession of the gospel." By which I suppose is understood affirmatively the Christian religion.-Thirdly, that he will maintain "the Protestant reformed religion." This leaves me no power of supposition or conjecture; for that Protestant reformed religion is defined and described by the subsequent words, "established by law," and in this instance, to define it beyond all possibility of doubt, he "awears to maintain the bishops and clergy, and the churches committed to their charge," in their rights present and future.

The oath as effectually prevents the king from doing any thing to the prejudice of the church in favour of sectaries, Jews, Mahometans, or plain avowed infidels; as if he should do the same thing in favour of the Catholics. You will see, that it is the same Protestant church, so described, that the lsing is to maintain and communicate with, according to the act of settlement of the 12th and 13th of William III. The act of the 5th of Anne, made in prospect of the Union,
is entitled, "An aet for securing the church of England as by law established." It meant to guard the church ime plicitly against any other mode of Protestant religion which might creep in by means of the Union. It proves beyond all doubt, that the legialature did not mean to guard the church on one part only, and to leave it defencelesa and expowed upon every other. This church, in that act, is declared to be "fandamental and emsential" for ever, in the constitution of the united kingdom, so far asa England is concerned; and I suppose se the law itands, even since the independence, it in \(t 0\) in Ireland.

All this shows, that the religion which the king is bound to maintain has a positive part in it as well as a negative; and that-the positive part of it (in which we are in perfect agreement with the Catholies and with the church of Seotland) is infinitely the moot valuable and easential. Such an agreement we had with Protestant diseenters in England, of those descriptions who came under the toleration act of King William and Queen Mary; an act coeval with the Revolvtion; and which ought, on the principles of the gentlemen who oppose the relief to the Catholica, to have been held ascred and unalterable. Whether we agree with the present Protestant dissenters in the points at the Revolation beld eseential and fundamental among Christiann, or in any other fundamental, at present it in impossible for us to know; becanse, at their own very earnest desire, we have repealed the toleration aet of Willima and Mary, and diacharged them from the eignature required by that act; and because, for the far greater part, they publicly declare agninst all manner of confessions of faith, even the comocngus.

For remons forcible enough at all times, but at this time partienlarly forcible with me, I dwell a litule the longer upon this matter, and take the more paina, to prot ns both in mind that it was not settled at the Revolution, that the otate should be Protestant, in the latitude of the term, but in a defined and limited eence only, and that in that censo only the king in sworn to maintain it To auppone that the king has aworn with his utmost powes to maintain that it in wholly outs of his power to discover, or which, if he eould discover, he might fiscover to eonsist of thinge directly eontradictory to each other, wome of them perhape inopions,
blasphemous, and seditious upon principle, would be not only a gross, but a most mischievous, absurdity. If mere dissent from the church of Rome be a merit, be that dissents the most perfectly is the most meritorious. In many points we hoid strongly with that church. He that dissents throughout with that church will diseent with the church of England, and then it will be a part of his merit that he diseents with ourselves:- \(A\) whimsical species of merit for any set of men to establish. We quarrel to extremity with those, who we know egree with us in many thinge, but we are to be so malicious even in the principle of our friendahips, that we are to cherish in our bosom those whe accord with ns in nothing, because, whilst they despise ourselves, they abhor, even more than we do, those with whom we have some disagreement. A man is certainly the most perfect Protestant, who protests against the whole Christian religion. Whether a person's haviog no Christian religion be a title to favour, in exclusion to the largest description of Christians who hold all the doctrines of Christianity, though holding along with them some errors and some superfuities, ia rather more thani any man, who has not become recreant and apostate from his baptism, will, I believe, choose to affirm. The countenance given from a apirit of controversy to that negative religion may, by degrees, encourage light and unthinking people to a total indifference to everything positive in matters of doctrine; and, in the end, of practice too. If continued, it would play the game of that sort of active, proselytizing, and persecuting atheism, which is the diagrace and calamity of our time, and which we see to be as capable of subverting a government, as any mode can be of misguided zeal for better thinge.

Now let ua fairly see what course has been taken relative to those, against whom, in part at least, the king has sworn to maintain a church, positive in its doctrine and its discipline. The first thing done, even when the oath was fresh in the month of the sovereigns, was to give a toleration to Proteatant dissenters, whose dootrines they ascortained. As to the mere civil privileges which the dissenters held as snbjects before the Revolution, these were not tonched at all. The lawe have fully permitted, in a qualification for all offices, to such disesters, an oceasional conformity; a thing I believe
singular, where testo are admitted. The act called the Teat Act itself, is, with regard to them, grown to be hardly anything more than a dead letter. Whenever the dissentery cease by their conduct to give any alarm to the government, in church and state, I think it very probable that even this matter, rather disguatful than inconvenient to them, may be removed, or at least so modified as to diatinguish the qualifcation to those offices which really guide the olate, from those which are morely inotrumental; or that some other and better teats may be put in their place.

So far as to England. In Ireland you have outrin us. Without waiting for an English example, you have totally, and without any modification whateoever, repealed the tent as to Protestant dissenters. Not having the repealing act by me, I ought not to eay positively that there is no exception in it; but if it be what I suppose it is, you know very well, that a Jew in religion, or a Mahometan, or even a public, deelared atheirt, and blasphemer, is perfectly qualified to be lord-lieutenant, a lord justice, or even keeper of the king's conscience; and by virtue of his office (if with you it be as it is with us) administrator to a great part of the ecclesiastical patronage of the crown.

Now let us deal s little fairly. We must admit, that Protestant dissent was one of the quarters from which dinger was apprehended at the Revolution, and against which sart of the coronation oath was peenliarly directed. By this unqualified repeal, you certainly did not mean to deny that it was the duty of the crown to prewerve the church against Protestant dissenters; or taking this to be the true sense of the two revolution sete of King William, and of the previous and subsequent urion acta of Queen Ame, you did not declare by this most unqualified repeal, by which you broke down all the barriers, not invented indeed, but carefully preserved at the Revolation; you did not then and by that proceeding declare, that you had advised the king to perjury towards God, and perfidy towards the church. No! far, very far from it! you never would have done it, if you did not think it could be done with perfect repose to the noyal conscience, and perfect maiety to the national eatablished religion. Fon did thit upon a full comsideration of the circumstances of your country. Now if circumstances required
it, why should it be contrary to the king's oath, his parlisment judging on those circumstances, to restore to his Catholic people, in such measure, and with such modifications as the public wisdom shall think proper to add, some part in these franchises which they formerly had held without limitation at all, and which, upon no sort of urgent reason at the time, they were deprived of? If such means can with any probability be shown, from circumstances, rather to add strength to our mixed ecclesiastical and secular constitution, than to weaken it; surely they are means infinitely to be preferred to penalties, incapacities, and proscriptions continued from generation to generation. They are perfectly consistent with the other parts of the coronation oath, in which the king ewears to maintain "the laws of God and the true profession of the gospel, and to govern the people according to the statutes in parliament agreed upon, and the laws and customs of the realm." In consenting to such a statute, the crown wrould act at least as agreeably to the laws of God, and to the true profession of the gospel, and to the laws and customs of the kingdom, as George \(I\). did when he passed the statute which took from the body of the people everything which, to that hour, and even after the monstrous acts of the 2nd and 8th of Anne, (the objects of our common hatred,) they atill enjoyed inviolate.

It is hard to distinguish with the least degree of accuracy, what laws are fundamental, and what not. However, there is a distinction between them authorized by the writers on jurisprudence, and recognised in some of our statutes. I admit the acta of King William and Queen Anne to be fundamental, but they are not the only fundamental laws. The law called Magna Charta, by which it is provided, that "no man shall be disseised of his liberties and free customs but by the judgment of his peers, or the laws of the land," (meaning clearly for some proved crime tried and adjudged,) 1 take to be a fundamental law. Now, althongh this Magna Charta, or some of the statutes establishing it, provide that law shail be perpetual, and all statutes contrary to it ahall be void, yet 1 cannot go so far as to deny the authority of statutes made in defiance of Magna Charta and all its principles. This however I will say, that it is a very venerable law, made by very wise and learned men, and that the legis-
latore, in their attempt to perpetuate it, even againnt the authority of future parliaments, have shown their judgment that it is fundamental, on the same grounds, and in the same manner, as the act of the fifth of Anne has considered and declared the eatablishment of the church of England to be fundamental. Magns Charta, which mecured these franchisen to the sabjects, regarded the rights of freehoidere in counties to be as much a fundamental part of the constitution, as the eatablisbment of the church of England was thought either at that time, or in the act of King William, or in the act of Queen Ame.

The churchmen, who led in that transaction, certainly took care of the material intereat of which they were the natural goardians. It is the first article of Magna Charta, "that the church of England shall be free," te. Ac. But at that period churchmen, and barons, and knighta, took care of the franchises and free customs of the people too. Those franchises are part of the constitution itself, and inseparable from it. It would be a very atrange thing if there should not only exist anomalies in our laws, s thing not easy to prevent, but, that the fundamental part of the constitution should be perpetually and irreconcilably at variance with each other. I cannot persuade myself that the lovers of our church are not as able to find effectual ways of reeorn ciling its safety with the franchises of the people, as the ecclesiastics of the thirteenth centary were able to do; I canmot conceive how anything worse can be waid of the Protestant religion of the church of Eogland than this, that wherever it is judged proper to give it i legal establishment, it becomes necesasry to deprive the body of the people, if they adhere to their old opinions, of "their libertien and of all their free customs," and to reduce them to a wate of cieil eervitude.

There is no man on earth, I believe, more willing than I sim, to lay it down to a fundamental of the constitution, that the church of England should be united and even identified with it; but, allowing this, I cannot allow that all lavos of regulation, made from time to time, in support of that fundamental iaw, are, of course, equally fundmental and equally unchangeable. Thin would be to confound all the branches of legialation and of jurisprudence.-The crown and the per-
wonal safety of the monarch are fundamentals in our constitution : yet I hope that no man regrets, that the rabble of etatutes got together during the reign of Henry the Eighth, by which treasons are multiplied with so prolific an mergy, have been all repealed in a body; although they were all, or most of them, made in support of things truly indemental in our constitution. So were eeveral of the tots by which the crown exercised its supremacy; such as ;he act of Elizabeth for making the high commission courts, and the like; as well as thinge made treason in the time of Charles II. None of this species of secondary and subsidiary laws have beer held fundamental. They have yielded to cirzumstances : particularly where they were thought, even in their consequences, or obliquely, to affect other fundament ils. How much more, certainly, ought they to give way, when, as in our case, they affect, not here and there, in some particular point or in their consequence, but univeraally, sollectively, and directly, the fundamentai franchises of a people, equal to the whole inhabitants of several respectable kingdoms and states; equal to the subjects of the kings of Sardinia or of Denmark; equal to those of the United Netherlands ; and more than are to be found in all the atates of switzerland. This way of proseribing men by whole nations 88 it were, from all the benefits of the constitution to which they were born, I never can believe to be politic or expedient, much less necessary for the existence of any state or church in the world. Whenever I shall be convinced, which will be late and reluctantly, that the safety of the church is utterly inconsistent with all the civil rights whatsoever of the far larger part of the inhabitants of our country, I shall be extremely sorry for it; because I shall think the church to be truly in danger. It is putting things into the position of an ugly alternative, into which I hope in God they never will be put.

I have said most of what occurs to me on the topica you touch upon, relative to the religion of the hing, and hia coronation oath. I shall conclude the observations which I wished to submit to you on this point, by essuring you, that I think you the most remote that can be conceived from the metaphyaicians of our times, who are the most foolish of man. and who. dealing in universala and ersencer. ree no dif-
ference between more and less; and who of couree would think that the reason of the law which obliged the king to be a communicant of the church of Enginad would be valid to exclude a Catholic from being an exciseman, or to deprive a man who has five bundred a year, under that deacription, from voting on a par with a factitious Protestant dismenting freeholder of forty shillings.

Recollect, my dear friend, that it was a fundamental principle in the French monarchy, whilst it stood, that the wata should be Catholic; yet the edict of Nantz gave, not a full ecclesiaetical, but a complete civil extablishmert, with places of which only they were capable, to the Calvinints of France; and there were very few employments indeed of which they were not capable. The world praised the Cardinal de Richeliea, who took the first opportunity to otrip them of their fortified places and cautionary towns. The same world held and does hold in execration (so far as that businews is concerned) the memory of Loais the Fourteenth, for the total repeal of that favourable edict; though the talk of "fundamental laws, eatablinhed religion, religion of the prince, asfety to the state," \&c. \&c., was then se largely held, and with as bitter a revival of the snimositien of the civil confusions during the struggles between the parties, as now they can be in Ireland.

Perhaps there are persons who think that the same reasona do not hold when the religions relation of the covereigs and subject is changed; but they who have their shop full of false weights and measures, and who imagine that the adding or taking awray the name of Proteatant or Papirt, Guelph or Ghibelline, alters all the principles of equity, policy, and prudence, leare us no common data upon which we can reason. I therefore pase by all this, which on you will make no impression, to come to what weems to be a merious consideration in your mind; I mean the dread you express of "reviewing, for the purpoee of altering the prineiples of athe Revolution." This is an interesting topie; on Which I will, an fully as your leisure and mine permite, lay before yon the ideas I heve formed.

First, I cannot poseibly confound in my mind all the thinge which were done at the Revolution, with the principlea of the Revolution. As in moet great changen, many things
were done from the necessities of the time, well or ill understood, from passion or from vengeance, which were not only not perfectly agreeable to its principles, but in the most direct contradiction to them. I shall not think that the deprivation of some millions of people of all the rights of citizens, and all interest in the constitution, in and to which they weere born, was a thing conformable to the declared principles of the Revolution. This I am sure is true relatively to England, (where the operation of these anti-principles comparatively were of little extent,) and some of our late laws, in repealing act made immodiately after. the Bevolution, admit that some things then done were not done in the true epirit of the Revolution. But the Revolution operated differently in England and Ireland, in many, and these essential, particulara. Supposing the principles to have been altogether the same in both kingdoms, by the application of those principles to very different objects, the whole spirit of the system was ohanged, not to say reversed. In England it was the struggle of the great body of the people for the establishment of their libertiss against the efforts of a very small faction, who would have oppressed them. In Ireland it was the establishment of the power of the smaller number, at the expense of the civil liberties and properties of the far greater part; and at the expense of the political liberties of the whole. It was, to say the truth, not a revolution, but a conquest; which is not to say a great deal in its favour. To insist on everything done in Iroland at the Revolution, would be to insist on the severe and jealous policy of a conqueror, in the crude settlement of his new acquisition, as a permanent rule for its future government. This, no power, in no country that ever I heard of, has done or professed to do-except in Ireland; where it is done, and possibly by some people will be professed. Time has, by degrees, in all other places and periods, blended and coalited the conquered with the conquerors. So, after some time, and after one of the most rigid conquests that we read of in history, the Normans softened into the English. I wish you to turn your recollection to the fine speech of Cerealis to the Gauls, made to dissuade them from revolt. Speaking of the Romans,"Nos quamvis toties lacessiti, jure victorim id solum vobis addidimus, quo pacem tueremur: nam neque quies gentium
sine armis; neque arma eine atipendiis; neque stipendis sine tributis, hsberi queant. Catera in communi sita sunt; ipai plerumque nostris exercitibus presidetis: ipsi bas slisoque provincias regitas: nil separatum clousumve-Proinde pacem et urbem, guam victores victique codem jure obtinemus, amate, colite." You will consider, whether the argumente used by that Roman to these Gaolo, would apply to the case in Ireland; and whether you could nse ao plausible a prasmble to any eevere warning you may think it proper to thold out to those, who should resort to sedition, instead of sapplication, to obtain any object that they may purnse with tho governing power.
For a much longer period than that which had unfficed to blend the Romans with the nation to which of all otherw they were the most adverve, the Protestant settled in Ireland, eonsider themselven in no other light than that of a sort of a colonial garrison, to keep the natives in subjection to the other state of Great Britain. The whole eppirit of the Revolution in Ireland, was that of not the mildest conqueror. In trath, the spirit of those proceedinge did not commence at that sera, nor was religion of any kind their primary object. What was done, was not in the opirit of a content between two religiona factions; bat between two edvere nations. The statutee of Kilkenny show, that the epirit of the Popery lawn, and some even of their actual prorisions, as applied between Englishry and Irishry, had existed in that haramed country before the words Protentant and Papist were heard of in the world. If we read Baron Finglave, Spenser, and Bir John Daris, we cannot mive the true genius and policy of the English government there before the Revolution, as well daring the whole reign of Queen Elizabeth. Sir John Davie boasts of the benctits received by the natives, by entending to them the English law, and turning the whole kingdow into abire ground. But the appearance of thinge alone was changed. The original acheme was never deviated from for a tingle hoor. Enheard-of confiecations were made in the nortbern parta, upon grounde of plote and conmpirscies, never proved upon their supposed tuthors. The war of chicane succoeded to the war of arma and of bostile atratutes; and a regular series of operationn was carried on, particularly from Chichester's time, in the
ordinary courts of justice, and by epecial commessions and inquisitions, first under pretence of tenures, and then of titles in the crown, for the purpose of the total extirpation of the interest of the natives in their own soil-until this speciés of subtle ravage, being carried to the last excess of oppression and insolence under Lord Strafford, it kindled the flames of that rebellion which broke out in 1641. By the issue of that war, by the turn which the Earl of Clarendon gave to things at the Restoration, and by the total reduction of the kingdom of Ireland in 1691, the ruin of the native Irish, and, in a great measure too, of the first races of the English, was completely accomplished. The new English interest was settled with as solid a stability as anything in human affairs can look for. All the penal laws of that unparalleled code of oppression, which were made after the last event, were manifestly the effeets of national hatred and scorn towards a conquered people; whom the rictors delighted to trample upon, and were not at all afraid to provoke. They were not the effect of their fears, but of their security. They who carried on this system looked to the irresistible force of Great Britain for their support in their acts of power. They were quite certain, that no complaints of the natives would be heard on this side of the water, with any other sentiments than those of contempt and indignation. Their cries served only to augment their torture. Machines which could answer their purposes so well must be of an excellent contrivance. Indeed, in England, the double name of the complainanta, Irish and Papist, (it would be hard to say which singly was the most odious,) shut up the hearts of every one against them. Whilst that temper prevailed, and it provailed in all ita force to a time within our memory, every mensure was pleasing and popular, just in proportion as it tended to harase and rum a set of people who were looked upon as enemies to God and ran; sand, indeed, as a race of bigoted savages who were a disgrace to human nature itself.

However, as the English in Ireland began to be domiciliated, they began also to recollect that they had a country. The English interest, at first by faint and almost insensible degrees, but at length openly and avowedly, became an independent Irish interest; full as independent as it could ever have been, if it had continued in thé persons of the native

Irish; and it was maintained with more skill, and more consistency, than probably it would have been in theirs. With their views, the Anglo-Irith changed their maximb-it was necessary to demonstrate to the whole people, that there was something, at least, of a common interest, combined with the independency, which was to become the object of common exertions. The mildness of government produced the first relaxation towards the Irish; the necessities, and, in part too, the temper that predominated at this great change, produced the second and the most important of these relasations. English government, and Irish legislature, felt jointly the propriety of this measure. The Lrish parliament and nation became independent.

The true revolution to yon, that which most intrinsically and substantially resembled the English Revolution of 168s, Fas the Irish Revolution of 1782 . The Irish parliament of 1782 bore little resemblance to that which sat in that kingdom, after the period of the first of these revolutions. It bore a much pearer renemblance to thast which ant under King James. The change of the parliament in 1782 from the character of the parliament which, an a token of ite indignation, had burned all the journals indiscriminately of the former parliament in the council-chamber, wan very visible. The address of King William's parliament, the parliament which assembled after the Revolution, amongst other causes of complaint, (many of them mafficiently just,) complains of the repeal by their predeceasors of Poyning's law ; no absolute idol with the parliament of 1782 .
Great Britain, finding the Anglo-Irish highly animated with a spirit, which had indeed uhown itself beiore, though with little energy and many internuptions, and therefore suf. fered a maltitude of uniform precedents to be established against it, acted, in my opinion, with the greatest temperance and wisdom. She naw that the disposition of the leading part of the nation would not permit them to act any longer the part of a garrion. She saw that true policy did not require that they ever should have appeared in that character; or if it had done so formerly, the remons had now ceased to operate. She sam that the Irish of her race were resolved to build their conotitution and their politices upon another bottom. With those things under her view, she in-
stantly complied with the whole of your demands, without sny reservation whatsoever. She surrendered that boundless superiority, for the preservation of which, and the acquisition, she had supported the English colonies in Ireland for so long a time, and at no vast an expense (according to the standard of those ages) of her blood and treasure.
When we bring before us the matter which history affords for our selection, it is not improper to examine the spirit of the several precedents, which are candidates for our choiee. Might it not be as well for your statesmen, on the other side of the water, to take an example from this latter, and surely more conciliatory, revolution, as a pattern for your conduct towards your own fellow-citizens, than from that of 1688, when a paramount sovereignty over both you and them was more loftily claimed, and more sternly oxerted, than at any former, or at any subsequent period? Great Britain, in 1782, rose above the rulgar ideas of policy, the ordinary jealousies of state, and all the sentiments of national pride and national ambition. If she had been more disposed than, I thank God for it, she was, to listen to the suggestions of passion, than to the dictates of prudence; she might have urged the principles, the maxims, the policy, the practice of the Revolution, against the demands of the leading description in Ireland, with full as much plausibility, and full as good a grace, as any amongst them can possibly do, against the supplications of so vast and extensive a description of their own people.

A good deal too, if the spirit of domination and exclusion had prevailed in England, might have been excepted against some of the means then employed in Ireland, whilst her claims were in agitation. They were, at least, as much out of ordinary course, as those which are now objected against admitting your people to any of the benefits of an English constitution. Most certainly, neither with you, nor here, was any one ignorant of whot was at that time said, written, and done. But on all sides we separated the means from the end: and we separated the cause of the moderate and rational, from the ill-intentioned and seditious; which on such occasions are so frequently apt to march together. At that time, on your parl, you were not afraid to review what was done at the Revolution of 1688; and what had been con-
tinued during the subsequent flourishing period of the British empire. The change then made was a great and fundamental alteration. In the execution, it was an operowe business on both sides of the water. It required the repeal of several laws, the modification of many, and a new course to be given to an infinite number of legislative, judicial, and official practices and usages in both kingdoms. This did not frightem any of us. You are now aaked to give, in some moderate measure, to your fellow-citizens, what Great Britain gave to you, without any measure at all. Yet, notwithstanding all the difficultie st the time, and the spprebensions which some very well meaning people entertsined, tbrough the admirable temper in which this revolution (or restoration in the nature of 8 revolution) was conducted in both kingdoms, it has hitherto produced no inconvenience to either; and I trust, with the continuance of the came temper, that it never will. I think that this emall, ineonsiderable change (relative to an exclusive atatute not made at the Revolution) for restoring the people to the benefits from which the green soreness of a civil war had not excluded them, will be productive of no mort of mischief whateocer. Compare what was done in 1782, with what in wiabed in 1792; consider the opirit of what has been done at the several periods of reformation; and weigh maturely, whet her it be exactly true that comeiliatory concemoions are of good policy only in discusaions between nations; but that smong descriptions in the mame nation, they must alwaye be irrmtional and dangerows. What have you suffered in your peace, your prosperity, or, in what ought ever to be dear to a nation, your glory, by the last act by which you took the property of that people under the protection of the laves? What reaton have you to dread the consequences of admitting the people posecsaing that property to some share in the protection of the comstitation?

I do noo mean to trouble you with enything to remove the objections, I will not call then argumenta, againit this meanore, taken from a ferocion batred to all that numerons deseription of Christians It would be to pay a poor compliment to your understanding or your heart. Neither yow religion, nor your politica, consiste "in odd perverve antipathies" You are not resolved to persevere in proscribing
from the constitution so many millions of your countrymen, because, in contradiction to experience and to common sense, you think proper to imagine, that their principles are subversive of common human society. To that I shall only say, that whosoever has a temper which can be gratified by indulging himself in these good-natured fancies ought to do a great deal more. For an exclusion from the privileges of British subjects is not a cure for so terrible a distemper of the human mind, as they are pleased to suppose in their countrymen. I rather conceive a participation in those privileges to be itself a remedy for some mental disorders.

As little shall I detain you with matters that can as little obtain admission into a mind like yours; such as the fear, or pretence of fear, that, in spite of your own power, and the trifling power of Great Britain, you may be conquered by the pope; or that this commodious bugbear (who is of infinitely more use to those who pretend to fear, than to those who love him) will absolve his Majesty's subjects from their allegiance, and send over the Cardinal of York to rulo you as his viceroy; or that, by the plenitude of his power, he will take that fierce tyrant, the king of the French, out of his jail, and arm that nation (which on all ocensions treats his Holiness so very politely) with his bulls and pardons, to invade poor old Ireland, to reduce you to Popery and slavery, and to force the free-born, naked feet of your people into the wooden shoes of that arbitrary monarch. I do not believe that discourses of this kind are beld, or that anything like them will be held, by any who walk abont without a keeper. Yet, I confess, that on occasions of thia nature, I am the most afraid of the weakest reasonings ; because they discover the strongest passions. These things will never be brought out in indefinite propositions. They would not prevent pity towards any persone; they would only cause it for those who were capable of talking in such a strain. But I know, and am sure, that such ideas as no man will distinctly produce to another, or hardly venture to bring in any plain shape to his own mind-he will utter in obscure, ill-explsined doubts, jealousies, surmises, fears, and spprehensions; and that, in such a fog, they will appear to haye a good deal of size, and will make an impression;
when, if they were clearly brought forth and defined, they would zueet with nothing but scorn and derision.
There is another way of taking an objection to this concession, which I admit to be something more plausible, and worthy of a more attentive examination. It is, that thin numerous clase of people is mutinous, disorderiy, prone to sedition, and easy to be wrought upon by the insidious arts of wicked and designing men; that, conscious of this, the sober, rational, and wealthy part of that body, who are totally of another character, do by no means desire any participation for themselves, or for sny one else of their description, in the franchises of the British constitution.
I have great doubt of the exactness of any part of thim observation. But let us admit that the body of the Catholics are prone to sedition, (of which, as I have said, I entertain much doubt, ) is it possible that any fair observer, or fair reasoner, can think of confining this dencription to them only? I believe it to be possible for men to be mutinous and seditious who feel no grievance; but I believe no man will assert seriously, that, when people are of a turbulent apirit, the best way to keep them in order, is to furnish them with something substantial to complain of.

Yon separate very properly the sober, rational, and mubstantial part of their description from the reat. You give, as yon ought to do, weight only to the former. What I have always thought of the matter is this-that the most poor, illiterate, and uninformed creatures upon earth are judgea of a practiond oppression. It is a matter of feeling; and as such persons generally have felt most of it, and are not of an over-lively sensibility, they are the best judges of it. But for the real cause, or the appropriate remedy, they ought never to be called into council about the one or the other. They ought to be totally shat out; because their resson is wrak; becanse, when once ronsed, their passions are wagoverned; because they want information; becsuse the amallness of the property, which individually they porneas, rendera sbem leas attentive to the consequence of the measures they adopt in affair of moment. When I find a great ery amongst the people who speculate little, I think myself called teriously to examine into it, and to separate
the real cause from the ill effects of the passion it may excite; and the bad use which. artful men may make of an irritation of the popular mind. . Here we must be aided by persons of a contrary character; we must not listen to the desperate or the furious; but it is therefore necessary for us to distinguish who are the really indigent, and the really intemperate. As to the persons who desire this part in the constitution, I have no reason to imagine that they are men who have nothing to lose and much to look for in public confurion. The popalar meeting, from which apprehensions have been entertained, bas assembled. I have accidentally had conversation with two friends of mine, who know something of the gentleman who was put into the chair upon that occasion; one of them has had money transactions with him ; the other, from curiosity, has been to see his concerns; they both tell me he is a man of some property; but you must be the best judge of this, who by your office are likely to know his transactions. Many of the others are certainly persons of fortune; and all, or most, fathers of families, men in respectable ways of life, and some of them far from contemptible, either for their information, or for the abilities which they have shown in the discussion of their interests. What such men think it for their advantage to acquire, ought not, prima facie, to be considered as rash or heady, or incompatible with the public safety or welfare.
- I admit, that men of the best fortunes and reputations, and of the best talents and education too, may, by accident, show themselves furious and intemperates in their desires. This is a grest misfortune when it bappens; for the first presumptions are undoubtedly in their favour. We have two standards of judging in this case of the sanity and sobriety of any proceedings; of unequal certainty indeed, but neither of them to be neglected: the first is by the value of the object sought, the next is by the means through which it is pursued.

The object pursued by the Catholics is, I understand, and have all along reasoned as if it were so, in some degree or measure to be again admitted to the franchises of the constitution. Men are considered as under some derangement of their intellecta, when they see good and evil in a different light from other men; when they choose nauseous and un-
wholesome food; and reject such as to the rest of the world seems pleasant, and is known to be nutritive. I have always considered the British constitution, not to be a thing in itself so vicious, as that none but men of deranged understanding, and turbulent tempers, could desire a share in it: on the contrary, I should think very indifferently of the understanding and temper of any body of men, who did not wish to partake of this great and acknowledged benefit. I cannot think quite so favourably either of the sense or temper of those, if any such there are, who would voluntarily persuade their brethren that the object is not fit for them, or they for the object. Whatever may be my thoughte concerning them, I am quite sure, that they who hold much language must forfeit all credit with the rest. This in infalli-ble-If they conceive any opinion of their judgment, they cannot possibly think them their friends. There in, indeed, one supposition, which would reconcile the conduct of such gentlemen to sound reason, and to the purest affection towards their fellow-sufferers; it is, that they act under the impression of a well-grounded fear for the general interest. If they should be told, and should believe the story, that if they dare attempt to make their condition better, they will infallibly make it worse - that if they aim at obtaining liberty, they will have their slavery doubled-that their endeavour to put themselves upon anything which approache towards an equitable footing with their fellow-nubjecte will be considered as an indication of a seditions and rebelliou: disposition-such a view of things ought perfectly to restore the gentlemen, who so anxiously digensde their countrymen from wishing a participation with the privileged part of the people, to the good opinion of their fellows. But what is to thers a very full justification, in not quite mo honourable to that power from whose maxims and temper so good a ground of rational terror is furnished. I think argumente of this lind will never be used by the friends of a government which I greatly respect; or by any of the leaders of an opposition Whom I have the honour to know, and the mence to admire. I remember Polybius tells na, that, daring his captivity in Italy an a Peloponnesian hootage-he molicited old Cato to intersede with the senate for his release, and that of bie countrymen: this old politician told him that he had better
continue in his present condition, however irksome, than apply again to that formidable authority for their relief; that he ought to imitate the wisdom of his countryman Ulysses, who, when he was once out of the den of the Cyclops, had too much sense to venture again into the same cavern. But I conceive too bigh an opinion of the Irish legislature to think that they are to their fellow-citizens, what the grand oppressors of mankind were to a people whom the fortune of war had subjected to their power. For though Cato could use sueh a parallel with regard to his senate, I should really think it nothing short of impious, to compare an Irish parliament to a den of Cyclops. I hope the people, both here and with you, will always apply to the House of Commons with becoming modesty; but at the same time with minds unembarrassed with any sort of terror.
As to the means which the Catholics employ to obtain this object, so worthy of sober and rational minds: I do admit that such means may be used in the pursuit of it, as may make it proper for the legislature, in this case, to defer their compliance until the demandants are brought to as proper sense of their duty. A concession in which the governing power of our conntry loses ita dignity, is dearly bought even by him who obtains his object. All the people have a deep interest in the dignity of parliament. But as the refusal of franchises which are drawn out of the first vital stamina of the British constitution is a very serious thing, we ought to be very sure, that the manner and spirit of the application is offensive and dangerous indeed, before we ultimately reject all applications of this nature. The mode of application, I hear, is by petition. It is the manner in which all the sovereiga powers in the world are approached; and I never heard (except in the case of James the Second) that any prince considered this mannex of supplication to be contrary to the hurnility of a subject, or to the respect due to the person or authority of the sovereign. This rule, and a corresponding practice, are observed, from the Grand Seignior, down to the most petty prince or republic in Europe.

You have sent me several papers, some in print, some in manuscript. I think I had seen all of them, except the formula of association. I confess they appear to me to contain matter mischievous, and capable of giving alarm, if the
apirit in which they are written should be fonnd to make any considerable progress. But I am at a loss to know how to apply them, as objections to the came now before us. When I find that the general committee, which acte for the Roman Catholics in Dublin, prefers the association proposed in the written draft you have sent me, to a respectful application in parliament, I shall think the persons who sign such a paper to be unworthy of any privilege which may be thought fit to be granted; and that such men ought, by name, to be excepted from any benefit under the constitution to which they offer this violence. But I do not find thst this form of a seditions league has been signed by any pernon whatsoever, either on the part of the supposed projectors, or on the part of those whom it is calculated to neduce. I do not find, on inquiry, that such a thing was mentioned, or even remotely alluded to, in the general meeting of the Catholics, from which so much violence was apprehended. I have considered the other publications, signed by individuals, on the part of certain societies-I may mistake, for I have not the honour of knowing them personally, but I take Mr. Butler and Mr. Tandy not to be Catholice, but members of the established church. Not one that I recollect of these publications which yon and I equally dislike appears to be written by persons of that persuasion. Now, if, whilst an man is dutifully soliciting a favour from parliament, any person should choose, in an improper manner, to show his inclinstion towards the cause depending; and if that mact destroy the cause of the petitioner; then, not only the petitioner, but the legislature itself, is in the power of soy weak friend or artful enemy, that the supplicant or that the parliament may hare. \(A\) man must be judged by his own actions only. Certain Protestant dissentern make seditious proponitions to the Catholica, which it does not appear that they have get accepted. It would be strange thast the tempter should excape all punishment, and that he, who, under circummtances full of seduction and full of provocation, has resisted the temptation, should incure the penalty. Yon know, that, with regard to the dissentera, who are stated to be the chief movers in the vile acheme of altering the principlem of eleetion to a right of roting by the head, yon are not able (if you ought even to wish auch a thing) to deprive them of any
part of the franchises and privileges which they hold on a footing of perfect equality with yourselves. They may do what they please with constitutional impunity; but the others cannot even listen with civility to an invitation from them to an ill-judged scheme of liberty, without forfeiting, for ever, sll hopes of any of those liberties which we admit to be sober and rational.

It is known, I believe, that the greater, as well as the sounder, part of our excluded countrymen have not adopted the wild ideas, and wilder engagements, which have been held out to them; but have rather chosen to hope small and safe concessions from the legal power, tham boundless objects from trouble and confusion. This mode of action seems to me to mark men of sobriety, and to distinguish them from those who are intemperate, from circumstance or from nam ture. But why do they not instantly disclaim and disavow those who make such advances to them? In this, too, in my opinion, they show themselves no less sober and circumspect. In the present moment, nothing short of insanity could induce them to take such a step. Pray consider the circumstances. Disclaim, says somebody, all union with the dis-senters:-right-But, when this your injunction is obeyed, shall I obtain the object which I solicit from you?-Oh, no, nothing at all like it l-But, in punishing us by an exclusion from the constitution through the great gate, for having been invited to enter into it by a postern, will you punish by deprivation of their privileges, or mulct in any other way, those who have tempted us?-Far from it-we mean to preserve all their liberties and immunities, as our life-blood. We meen to cultivate them, as brethren whom we love and respect-with you we have no fellowship. We can bear with patience their enmity to ourselves; but their friendship with you we will not endure. But mark it well! All our quarrele with them are always to be revenged upon you. Formerly, it is notorious, that we should have resented with the highest indignation, your presuming to show any ill-will to them. You must not suffer them, now, to show any goodwill to you. Know-and take it once for all-that it is, and ever has been, and ever will be, a fundamental maxim in our politics, that you are not to have any part, or shadow, or name of interest whatever in our state. That we look upon
you as ander an irreversible outlawry from our constitution -as perpetual and unalliable aliens.

Such, my dear sir, is the plain nature of the argument drawn from the revolution maxims, enforced by s supposed disposition in the Catholics to unite with the dissentern. Such it is, thongh it were clothed in never such bland and civil forms, and wrapped up, as a poet says, in a thousand "artful folds of sacred lawn." For my own part, I do not know in what manner to shape such arguments, so as to obtain admission for them into a rational nuderstanding. Every. thing of this kind is to be reduced, at last, to threst of power.-I cannot way vee victis, and then throw the sword into the acale. I have no sword; and if I had, in this case most certainly I would not use it as a make-weight in political reasoning.

Observe, on these principles, the difference between the procedure of the parliament and the diseentern, towards the people in question. One employe courtabip. the other force. The dissenters offer bribes, the parliament nothing bat the front negatif of a stern and forbidding authority. A man may be very wrong in his ideas of what is good for him. But no man affront me, nor can therefore juetify my affronting him, by offering to make me as happy as himself, according to his own ideas of happiness. This the dissenter do to the Catholics. Yon are on the different extremes. The dissenters offer, with regard to constitutional righte and civil advantages of all sorts, everyrhing-you refuse everything. With them there is boundlens, though not very assured, hope; with you, a very mare and very unqualified despair. The terms of alliance, from the diseenters, offer a representation of the Commons, chowen out of the people by the head. This is sbsurdly and dangerounly large, in my opimion; and that acbeme of election is known to have been, at all times, perfectly odions to me. But I cannot think it right of course to punish tha Irish Roman Catholics by an universal exclusion, becsuse other, whom you would not punish at all, propose an miversal admistion. I canot dismemble to myself, that, in this very kingdom, meny persons who are not in the situation of the Irish Catholica, but who, on the contrary, enjoy the fuil benefit of the constitution sa it atands, and some of whom, from the effect of their for-
tumes, enjoy it in a large measure, had some years ago associated to procure great and undefined changes (they considered them as reforms) in the popular part of the constitution. Our friend, the late Mr. Flood, (no slight man, proposed in his place, and in my hearing, a representation not much less extensive than this, for England; in which every house was to be inhabited by a voter-in addition to all the actual votes by other titles (some of the corporate) which we know do not require a house, or a shed. Can I forget that a person of the very bighest rank, of very large fortune, and of the first class of ability, brought a bill into the House of Lords, in the head-quarters of aristocracy, containing identically the same project, for the supposed adoption of which by a club or two, it is thought right to extinguish all hopes in the Roman Catholice of Ireland? I cannot say it was very eagerly embraced or very warmly pursued. But the Lorde neither did disavow the bill, nor treat it with any disregard, nor express any sort of disapprobation of its noble nuthor, who has never lost, with king or people, the least degree of the respect and consideration which so justly belongs to him.

I am not at all enamoured, as I have told you, with this plan of representation; as little do I relish any bandings or associations for procuring it. But if the question was to be put to you and me-universal popular representation, or none at all for us and ours-we should find ourselves in a very awkward position. I do not like this hind of dilemnas, especially when they are practical.
Then, since our oldest fundamental laws follow, or rather couple, freehold with franchise; since no principle of the Revolution shakes these liberties; since the oldest and one of the best monuments of the constitution demands for the Irish the privilege which they supplicate; since the principles of the Revolution coincide with the declarations of the Great Charter; since the practice of the Revolution, in this point, did not contradict its principles; since, from that event, twenty-five years had elapsed, before a domineering party, on a party principle, had ventured to diefranchise, without any proof whatsoever of abuse, the greater part of the community; since the king's coronation oath does not stand in his way to the performance of his duty to all bis subjects; since you have given to all other dissenters theso
privileges without limit, which are hitherto withheld, without any limitation whateoever, from the Catholics; since no uation in the world has ever been known to exclude so great a body of men (not born alaves) from the civil state, and all the benefita of its constitution; the whole question comes before parliament as amatter for ite prudence. I do not put the thing on a question of right. That discretion, which, in judicature, is well said by Lond Coke to be a crooked cord, in legislature is a golden rule. Sapplicante ought not to appear too much in the character of litigants. If the subject think so highly and reverently of the movereign authority, as not to claim anything of right, so that it may seem to be independent of the power and free choice of itw government ; and if the sovereign, on his part, considers the advantages of the subjects as their right, sand all their rea sonable wishes as so many claims; in the fortunate conjunction of these mutual dispositions are laid the foundations of a happy and prospenous commonwealth. For my own part, desiring of all things that the authority of the legiolature under which I was born, and which I cherish, not only with a dutiful awe, but sith a partial and cordial affection, to be maintained in the utmost possible respect, I never will suffer mpself to muppose, that, at bottom, their diccretion will be found to be at variance with their juntice.

The whole being at disaretion, I beg lesve just to onggent mome matters for your consideration-W Wetber the government in church or stete is lifely to be more secure by eontinuing cansen of grounded discontent, to a very great number (eny two millions) of the subjects? or, Whether the constitution, combined and balanced an it is, will be rendered more solid, by depriving to large a part of the people of all concern, or interent, or share, in its representation, actonal or virtual? I bere mean to lay an emphasis on the word virtual. Virtual representation is that im which there is a commumion of intereate, and asympathy in feelings and desires, between thoee who aet in the name of any dewcription of people, and the people in whose nrme they act, thongh the trustees are not actually chosen by them. This is virtual representation. Such a representation I think to be, in many easea, even better then the actual. It posensen nowt of its advantagen, and is free from many of its inconveniences; it corrects the
irregularities in the literal representation, when the shifting current of human affairs, or the acting of public interests in different ways, carry it obliquely from its furst line of direetion. The people may err in their choice; but common interest and common sentiment are rarely mistaken. But this sort of virtual representation cannot have a long or aure existence, if it has not a substratum in the actual. The member must have some relation to the constituent. As things stand, the Catholic, as a Catholic, and belonging to a description, has no virtual relation to the representative; but the oontrary. There is a relation in mutual obligation. Gratitude may not always have a very lasting power; but the frequent recurrence of an application for favours will revive and refresh it, and will necessarily produce some degree of mutual attention. It will produce, at least, acquaintance. The several descriptions of people will not be kept so much apart as they now are, as if they were not only separate nations, but separate species. The stigma and reproach, the hideous mask, will be taken off, and men will see each other as they are. Sure I am, that there have been thousands in Ireland, who have never conversed with a Roman Catholic in their whole lives, unless they happened to talk to their gardener's workmen, or to ask their way, when they had lost it, in their sports; or at best, who had known them only as footmen, or other domestics, of the second and third order: and so averse were they, some time ago, to have them near their persons, that they would not employ even those whocould never find their way beyond the stable. I well remember a great, and in many respects a good, man, who advertised for a blackemith; but at the same time added, he must be a Protestant. It is impossible that such a state of things, though natural goodness in many persons will undoubtedly make exceptions, must not produce alienation on the one side, and pride and insolence on the other.

Reduced to a question of discretion, and that discretion exercised solely upon what will appear best for the conservation of the state on its present basis, I should recommend it to your serious thoughts, whether the narrowing of the foundation is always the best way to secure the building? The body of disfranchised men will not be perfectly aatisfied to remain always in that state. If they are not satisfied,
you have two millions of anbjects in your bosom, full of uneasiness; not that they cannot overturn the act of eettlement, and put themselves and you under an arbitrary master; or that they are not permitted to spawn a hydra of wild republics, on principles of a pretended natural equality in man; but, because yon will not suffer them to enjoy the ancient, fundamental, tried advantages of a British conetitution: that you will not permit them to profit of the protection of a common father, or the freedom of common citizens; and that the only reaton which can be astigned for this disfranchisement has a tendency more deeply to ulcerate their minds, than the act of exclusion itself. What the consequence of such feelings must be, it is for yon to look to. To warn, is not to menace.

I am far from asserting, that men will not excite disturbances without just cause. I know that auch an ascertion is not true. But, neither is it true that dirturbances have never! just complaints for their origin. I am sure that it is hardly prudent to furnish them with such causes of complaint, as every man who thinks the British constitation a benefit may think at least colourable and plausible.

Several are in dread of the manceurres of certain persons among the dissenters, who turn this ill humour to their own ill purposes. You know, better than \(I\) can, how much these proceedings of certain among the diseenters are to be feared. You are to weigh, with the temper which is natoral to you, whether it may be for the safety of our entablishment, that the Catholics should be ultimately perauaded that they have no hope to enter into the constitution, but through the dissenters.

Think, whether this be the way to prevent or disoolve factions combinations against the church, or the state. Reflect seriously on the posaible consequences of keeping, in the heart of your country, \(s\) bank of discontent, every hour aecumulating, upon which every description of eeditious men may draw at pleasure. They, whowe principles of fantion would dispose them to the establishment of an arbitrary monarchy, will find a nation of men who hare no sort of interest in freedom; but who will have an interess in that equality of justice or favour, with which a wise despos must view all his subjects who do not attack the foundations of his
power. Love of liberty itself may, in such men, become the means of establishing an arbitrary domination. On the other hand, they who wish for a democratic republic, will find a set of men who have no choice between civil servitude and the entire ruin of a mixed constitution.

Suppose the people of Ireland divided into three parts; of these (I speak within compass) two are Catholic. Of the remaining third, one half is composed of dissenterg. There is no natural union between those descriptions. It may be produced. If the two parta Catholic be driven into a close confederacy with half the third part of Protestants, with a view to a change in the constitution in church or state, or both; and you rest the whole of their security on a handful of gentlemen, clergy, and their dependants; compute the strength you have in Irelandi', to oppose to grounded discontent, to capricious innovation, to blind popular fury, and to ambitious, turbulent intrigue.

You mention that the minds of some gentlemen are a good deal heated: and that it is often said, that, rather than submit to such persons having a share in their franchises, they would throw up their independence, and precipitate an union with Great Britain. I have heard a discussion concerning such an union amongst all sorts of men ever since \(I\) remember anything. For my own part, I have never been able to bring my mind to anything clear and deciaive upon the subject. There cannot be a more arduous question. As far as I can form on opinion, it would not be for the mutual advantage of the swo kingdoms. Persons, however, more able than I am, think otherwise. But, whatever the merita of this union may be, to maike it a menace, it must be shown to be an evil; and an evil more particularly to those who are threatened with it, than to those who hold it out as a terror. I really do not see how this threat of an union can operate, or that the Catholics are more likely to be losers by that zeeasure than the churchmen.

The humours of the people, and of politicians too, are so variable in themselves, and are so much under the occsaional influence of some leading men, that it is impossible to know what turn the public mind here would take on such an event. There is but one thing certain concerning it. Great divisions and vehement passions would precede this union, row ill.
both on the measure iteelf and on ite terms ; and particularly, this very question of a share in the representation for the Catholics, from whence the project of an union originated, would form s principal part in the discussion; and in the temper in which some gentlemen seem inclined to throw themselves, by a sort of high, indignant pasaion, into the scheme, those points would not be deliberated with all possible calmness.

From my beat observation, I should greatly doubt, whether, in the end, these gentlemen would obtain their object, so as to make the exclusion of two millions of their countrymen a fundamental article in the union. The demand would be of a nature quite unprecedented. You might obtain the union: and yet a gentleman, who, under the new union establishment, would aspire to the honour of representing his county, might possibly be as much obliged, as he may fear to be under the old separate entablishment, to the unsupportable mortification of akking his neighbours, who have a different opinion concerning the elements in the sacrament, for their votes.
I believe, nay, I am sure, that the people of Great Britain, with or without an union, might be depended upon, in cases of any real danger, to aid the government of Ireland, with the same cordiality as they would support their own, against miny wicked attempts to shake the security of the happy constitution in church and state. But before Great Britain engages in any quarrel, the cause of the diepute would cettainly be a part of her consideration. If confusions should, arise in that lingdom, from too steady an attachment to a proscriptive, momopolizing system, and from the resolution of regarding the franchise, and in it the security of the subject, as belonging rather to religions opinions than to civil qualification and civil conduct, I doubt whether you might quite certainly reckon on obtaining an sid of force from hence, for the support of that system. Wi e might exvend your distractions to this corutry, by taking part in them. England will be indisposed, I surpect, to send an arriny for the conquent of Irelsod. What was done in 1782 is a decisive proof of her sentiments of justice and moderation. She will not be fond of making another American war in Ireland. The prisciple of auch a war would but too much resemble the former one.

The well-disposed and the ill-disposed in England would (for different reasons perhaps) be equally averse to auch an enterprise. The confiscations, the public auctions, the private grants, the plantations, the transplantations, which formerly animated so many adventurers, even among sober citizens, to auch Irish expeditions, and which possibly might have animated some of them to the American, can have no existence in the case that we suppose.

Let us form a supposition, (no foolish or ungrounded supposition,) that in an age when men are infinitely more disposed to heat themselves with political than religious controversies, the former should entirely prevail, as we see that in some places they have prevailed, over the latter; and that the Catholics of Ireland, from the courtship paid them on the one hand, and the high tone of refusal on the other, should, in order to enter into all the rights of subjects, all become Protestant dissenters; and as the others do, take all your oaths. They would all obtain their civil objects; and the change, for anything I know to the contrary, (in the dark as I am about the Protestant dissenting tenets,) might be of use to the health of their souls. But, what security our constitution, in church or state, could derive from that event, I cannot possibly discorn. Depend upon it, it is as true as nature is true, that if you force them out of the religion of habit, education, or opinion, it is not to yours they will ever go. Shaken in their minds, they will go to that where the dogmas are fewest; where they are the most uncertain; where they lead them the least to a consideration of what they have abandoned. They will go to that uniformly democratic system, to whose first movements they owed their emancipation. I recormmend you seriouely to turn this in your mind. Believe that it requires your best and maturest thoughts. Take what course you please-union or no union; whether the people remain Catholics or become Protestant dissenters, sure it is, that the present state of monopoly cannot continue.

If England were animated, as I think she is not, with her former epirit of domination, and with the atrong theological hatred which she once cherished for that description of her fellow-Christians and fellow-sabjects; I am yet convinced, that after the fullest success in a ruinous struggle, you would
be obliged to abandon that monopoly. We were obliged to do this, even when everything promised succese in the American business. If you should make this experiment at last, under the pressure of any necessity, you never can do it well. But if, instead of falling into a pacsion, the leading gentlemen of the country themselves should undertake the businese cheerfully, and with hearty affection towarde it, great advantages would follow. What is forced, cannot be modified: but here you may measure your conceasione.

It is a consideration of great moment, that you make the desired admission without altering the system of your representation in the emallest degree, or in any part. You may leave that deliberation of a parliamentary change or reform, if ever you should think fit to engage in it, uncomplicated and unembarrassed with the other question. Wherees, if they are mixed and confounded, as some people attempt to mix and confound them, no one can answer for the effecte on the constitation itself.

There is another advantage in taking up this buainese singly, and by an arrangement for the siugle object. It is that you may proceed by degrees. We must all obey the great law of change. It is the nost powerful law of nature, and the means perhape of its conservation. All we can do, and that human wiedom can do, is to provide that the change shall proceed by insensible degrees. This bat all the benefite which may be in ehange, without any of the inconveniences of mutation. Everything is provided for at it arrivea This mode will, on the one hand, prevent the unfixing old interests at once : a thing which is apt to breed a black and sullen discontent in those who wre at once dispomessed of all their influence and consideration. This gradual conrse, on the other side, will prevent men, long under depression, from being intoricated with a large draught of new power, which they always abuse with a licentious insolence. But wishing, as I do, the change to be gradual and cautiona, I would, in iny first stepe, lean rather to the side of enlargement than restriction.

It is one excellence of our constitation, that all our righte of prorincial election regard rather property than persona. It is amother, that the righta which approach more oeariy to the peramal are most of them corporate, and auppose a re-
strained and strict education of seven years in some useful occupation. In both cases the practice may have slid from the principle. The standard of qualification in both cases may be so low, or not so judiciously chosen, as in some degree to frustrate the end. But all this is for your prudence in the case before you. You may raise, a step or two, the qualification of the Catholic voters. But if you were, tomorrow, to put the Catholic freeholder on the footing of the most favoured forty-shilling Protestant dissenter, you know that such is the actual state of Ireland, this would not make a sensible alteration in almost any one election in the kingdom. The effect in their farour, even defensively, would be infinitely slow. But it would be healing; it would be aatisfactory and protecting. The stigme would be removed. By admitting settiled, permanent substance in lieu of the numbers, you would avoid the great danger of our time, that of setting up number against property. The numbers ought never to be neglected; because (besides what is due to them as men) collectively, though not individually, they have great property: they ought to have therefore protection: they ought to have security: they ought to have even coneideration: but they ought not to predominate.

My dear Sir, I have nearly done; I meant to write you a long letter; I have written a long dissertation. I might have done it earlier and better, I might have been more forcible and more clear, if I had not been interrupted as I have been; and this obliges me not to write to you in my own hand. Though my hand but signs it, my heart goes with what I have written. Since I could think at all, those have been my thoughts. You know that thirty-two years ago they were as fully matured in my mind as they are now. A letter of mine to Lord Kenmare, though not by my desire, and full of lesser mistakes, has been printed in Dublin, It was written ten or twelve years ago, at the time when \(I\) began the employment, which I have not yet finished, in favour of another distressed people, injured by those who have vanquished them, or stolen a dominion over them. It contained my sentiments then; you will see how far they sccord with my sentiments now. Time has more and more confirmed me in them all. The present circumstances fix them deeper | in my mind.

I voted last session, if a particular vote could be distinguished, in unanimity, for an eatablishment of the church of England conjointly with the establishment which was made some years before by act of parliament, of the Roman Cotholic, in the French conquered country of Canada. At the time of making this English ecclesiastical establishment, we did not think it necessary for its safety, to destroy the former Gallican church settlement. In our first act we settled a government altogether munarchical, or nearly so. In that eystem, the Cansdian Catholicn were far from being deprived of the advantagen or distinctions, of any kind, which they enjoyed under their former monarchy. It is true, that some people, and amongst them one eminent divine, predicted at that time, that by this step we should lose our dominions: in America. He foretold that the pope would send his indulgences hither; that the Canadians would fall in with France; would declare independence, and draw or force our colonies into the same design. Tho independence happened according to his prediction; but in directly the reverse. order. All our English Protestant colonies revolted. They joined themselves to France: and it so happened that Popish Canada was the only place which premerved ite fidelity; the only place in which France got no footing; the only peopled colony which now remains to Great Britsin. Wain are all the prognoatica tahen from ideas and passions, which survive the state of things which gave rise to them. When leot year we gave a popular representation to the same Cansda, by the choice of the landbolders, and an asistoeratic representation, at the choice of the crown, neither was the choice of the crown, nor the election of the landholders, limited by \(a\) consideration of religion. We had no dread for the Protestant church, which we settled there, because we permitted the French Catholics, in the utmost latitude of the description, to be free subjects. They are good subjects, I have no doubt; but I will not allow that any French Canadian Catholics are better men or better citizens than the Irish of the same communion. Passing from the extremity of the weat to the extremity almont of the enott; I have been many years (now entering into the twelfth) employed in supporting the rights, privileges, lavs, and immunitien, of a very remote people. I hare not as jet been able to finish my
task. I have atruggled through much discouragement and much opposition, much obloquy, much calumny, for a people with whom I have no tie, but the common bond of mankind. In this I have not been left alone. We did not fly from our undertalaing, becanse the people are Mahometans or Pagans, and that a great majority of the Christians amongst them are Papists. Some gentlemen in Ireland, I dare asy, have good reasons for what they may do, which do not occur to me. I do not presume to condemn them: but thinking and acting ss I have done, towards these remote nations, I should not know how to show my face, here or in Ireland, if I should say that all the Pagans, all the Musaulmen, and even all the Papists, (since they must form the highest stage in the climax of evil,) are worthy of a liberal and honourable condition, except those of one of the descriptions, which forms the majority of the inhabitants of the country in which you and I were born. If such are the Catholies of Ireland,-ill-natured and unjust people, from our own data, may be inclined not to think better of the Protestants of a soil, which is supposed to infuge into ite sects a kind of venom unknown in other places.

You hated the old system as early as I did. Your first juvenile lance was broken against that giant. I think you were even the first who attacked the grim phantom. You have an exceedingly good underatanding, very good humour, and the best heart in the world. The dictates of that temper and that hearts as well as the policy pointed out by that understanding, led you to abhor the old code. You abhorred it, as I did, for its vicious perfection. For I must do it justice: it was a complete system, full of coherence and consistency ; well digested and well composed in all its parts. It was a machine of wise and elaborate contrivance; and as well fitted for the oppression, impoverishment, and degradotion of a people, and the debasement, in them, of human natare itself, as ever proceeded from the perverted ingenuity of man. It is a thing humilisting enough, that we are doubtful of the effect of the medicines we compound. We are sure of our poisons. My opinion ever was, (in which I heartily agreed with those that admired the old code,) that it was so constructed, that if there was once a breach in any essential part of it, the ruin of the whole, or nearly of the
whole, was, at some time or other, a certainty. For that reason I honour, and shall for ever honour and love you, and those who first caused it to stagger, crack, and gapeOthers may finish; the beginners have the glory; and, take what part you please at this hour, (I think you will take the best,) your first services will never be forgotten by a grateful country. Adieu! Present my best regards to those I know, and as many as I know in our country, I honour. There never was so much ability, nor, I believe, virtue, in it. They have a task worthy of both. I donbt not they will perform it, for the otability of the church and state, and for the union and the separation of the people : for the union of the honest and peaceable of all sects; for their separation from all that is ill-intentioned and seditions in any of them.

Beaconyfield, Jamary 3, 1792.
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MONSIEUR DE M. M.

WRITTEA TM THE EARLI PART OF 1791,
THis hing, my master, from his sincere desire of keeping up a good correspondence with his most Christian Majesty, and the French nation, has for some time beheld with concarn the condition into which that sovereign and nation have fallen.

Notwithstanding the reality and the warmth of those sen. timents, his Britannic Majesty has hitherto forborne in any manner to take part in their affairs, in hopes that the common interest of Eing and subjects would render all parties sensible of the necessity of settling their government, and their freedom, upon principles of moderation; as the only means of secaring' permanence to both these blessings, as well as internal and external tranquillity, to the kingdom of France, and to all Europe.

His Britannic Majesty finds, to his grest regret, that his hopes have not been realized. He finds, that confusions and disorders have rather increased than diminished, and that they now threaten to proceed to dangerous extremities.

In. this situation of things, the same regard to a neighbouring sovereign living in friendship with Great Britain, the same spirit of good-will to the kingdom of France, the same regard to the general tranquillity, which have caused him to view, with concern, the growth and continuance of the present disorders, have induced the king of Great Britain to interpose his good offices towards a reconcilement of those unhappy differences. This his Majesty does with the most cordial regard to the good of all descriptions concorned, and
with the most perfect sincerity, wholly removing from his royal mind all memory of every circumstance which might impede him in the execution of a plan of benevolence which he bas so much at heart.

His Majesty, having always thought it his greatent glory, that he rules over a people perfectly and solidly, because soberly, rationally, and legally, free, can never be supposed to proceed in offering thus his royal mediation, but with an unaffected desire, and full resolution, to consider the eettlement of a free constitution in France, as the very basis of any agreement between the sovereign and those of his subjects who are unhappily at variance with him; to guarantee it to them, if it should be desired, in the mont solemn snd authentic manner, and to do all that in him lies to procure the like guarantee from other powers.
His Britannic Majesty, in the same mamer, asoure the most Christian king, that he knows too well, sud value too highly, what is due to the dignity and righta of erowned heads, and to the implied faith of treatien which have alwaym been made with the Orown of France, ever to listen to any proposition by which that monarchy shall be despoiled of all ite rights, so essential for the eupport of the conuideration of the prince, and the concord and welfare of the people.

If, unfortunately, s due attention should not be paid to these hiv Majesty's benevolent and neighbourly offers, or, if any civenmstances should prevent the most Christian king from acceding (as his Majeaty has no doubt he is well dieposed to do) to this healing mediation in fivour of himself and all his subjecta, his Majenty has commanded me to take leave of this corrt, as not conceiving it to be mitable to the dignity of his crown, and to what he owee to his faithful peopla, any longer to keep a public minister at the court of a sovereign who is not in possemaion of his own liberty.

\section*{THOUGHTS}

\section*{ON FRENCH AFFAIRS, \&c. \&c.}

\author{
WRITTEN IN DECRMBEE, 1701.
}

Is all our transactions with France, and at all periods, we have treated with that atate on the footing of a monarchy. Monarchy was considered in all the external relations of that kingdom with every power in Europe as its legal and constitutional government, and that in which alone its federal capacity was vested.

It is not yeta year since Monsieur de Mont- Montmorm', morin formally, and with as little respect as can . Lethor. be imagined to the hing, and to all crowned heads, announced a totai revolution in that country. He has informed the British ministry, that its frame of government is wholly altered; that he is one of the ministers of the new system; and, in effect, that the king is no longer his master, (nor does he even call him such, ) but the "first of the ministers," in the new system.

The second notification was that of the king's acceptance of acceptance of the new constitution; accompanied the constitur with fanfaronades in the modern style of the French bureaus; thinge which have much more the air and character of the saucy declamations of their clubs, than the tone of regular office.

It has not been very usual to notify to foreign courts anything concerning the internal arrangements of any state. In the present case, the circumstance of these two notifications, with the observations with which they are attended, does not leave it in the choice of the sovereigns of Christendom to appear ignorant either of this French Revolution, or (what is more importanl) of its principles.

We know, that, very soon after this manifesto of Monsieur de Montmorin, the king of France, in whose name it was made, found himself obliged to fly, with his whole family; leaving behind him a declaration, in which he disavow and annuls that constitution, as having been the effect of force on his person and usurpation on his authority. It is equally notorious that this unfortunate prince was, with many circumstances of insult and outrage, brought back prisoner, by a deputation of the pretended National Aseembly, and afterwards suspended, by their authority, from hil government. Under equally notorions constraint, and onder menaces of total deposition, he has been compelled to accept what they call a constitution, and to agree to whatever else the ururped power, which holds him in confinement, thinks proper to impose.
His next brother, who had fled with him, and his third brother, who had fled before him, all the princes of his blood, who remained faithful to him, and the flower of his magits tracy, his clergy, and his nobility, continue io foreign countriea, protesting against all ects done by him in bis present situation, on the grounds upon which be had himself protested against them at the time of his flight; with this ad. dition, that they deny his very competence (as on good grounds they may) to abrogate the royalty, or the amcient constitutional orders of the lingdom. In this protent they are joined by three hundred of the late asembly itnelf, and, in effect, by a great part of the French nation. The new government (so far as the people dare to disclome their eentimente) is disdained, I am permaded, by the greater number; who, as M de Ia Fayetie complains, and as the trath is, have declined to take any share in the new elections to tho National Aseembly, either an cundidetes or electors.

In this sate of things, (that is, in the case of a divided lingdom, by ' the law of nationa, Great Britain, like every other power, is free to take any part she pleases. She may decline, with more or lese formality, acconding to her ditcretion, to acknowledge this new aystem; or abe may recogmise it as a government de facto, metting aside all diseration of ita original legality, and considering the ancient monarchy as at an end. The law of nations leares our court open to

its choice. We have no direction but what is found in the well understood policy of the king and kingdom.
This declaration of a new species of government, on new principles, (such it professes itself to be,) is a real crisis in the politics of Europe. The conduct which prudence ought to dictate to Great Britain, will not depend (as hitherto our connexion or quarrel with other states has for some time depended) upon merely external relations; but in a great measure also upon the system which we may think it right to adopt for the internal government of our own country.

If it be our policy to assimilate our government to that of France, we ought to prepare for this change, by encouraging the schemes of authority established there. We ought to wink at the captivity and deposition of a prince, with whom, if not in close alliance, we were in friendship. We ought to fall in with the ideas of Mons. Montmorin's circular manifesto; and to do busine of course with the functionaries who act under the new power, by which that king, to whom his Msjesty's minister has been sent to reside, has been deposed and imprisoned. On that idea we ought also to withbold all sorts of direct or indirect countenance from those who are treating in Germany for the re-establishment of the French monarchy and of the ancient orders of that state. This conduct is suitable to this policy.

The question is, whether this policy be suitable to the intereste of the crown and subjects of Great Britain. Let us, therefore, a little consider the true nature and probable effects of the revolution which, in such a very unusual manner, has been twice diplomatically annoumced to his Majesty.
There have been many internal revolutions in the government of countries, both as to persons and forms, in which the neighbouring states have had little or no concern. Whatever the government might be with rexpect to those persons and those forms, the atationary interests of the nation concerned have most commonly influenced the new governments in the same man-' ner in which they infuenced the old; and the revolution, turning on matter of local grievance, or of local accommoda. tion, did not extend beyond ite territory.

Nature of the Preach RevoIntion.

The present Revolution in France seems to me to be quite of another character and description; and to bear little resemblance or analogy to any of those which have been brought about in Europe, upon principles merely political. It is a revolution of doctrine and theoretic dogma. It has a much greater resemblance to those changes which have been made upon religious grounds, in which a spirit of proselytism makes an essential part.
The notorm- The last revolution of doctrine and theory which asion. has happened in Europe, is the Reformation. It is not for my purpose to take any notice here of the merits of that revolution, but to state one only of its effectr.

That effect was to introdure other interests into all countries than those which arose from their locality and natural circumetances. The principle of the Beformation whe such as, by its essence, could not be local, or confined to the country in which it had ith origin. Pcr instance, the doctrine of "Justification by faith or by works," which was the original basis of the Reformation, could not have one of ita alternatives true as to Germany, and false as to every other country. Neither are questions of theoretic truth and falsehood governed by circumstances any more than by places. On that occasion, therefore, the spirit of proselytism expanded itself with great elanticity upon all sides; and great divisions were everywhere the result.

These divisions, however, in appearance merely dogmatic, soon became mixed with the political; and their effects were rendered much more intense from this combination. Europe was for a long time divided into two great factions, under the name of Catholic and Protentant, which not only often alienated state from state, but also divided almost every state within itself. The warm parties in each state were more affectionstely attached to those of their own doctrinal interest in some other comntry, than to their fellow-eitizens, or to their natural government, when they or either of them happened to be of a different persuasion. These factions, Therever they prevailed, if they did not absolutely deatroy, at least weakened and distracted, the locality of patriotimn. The public affections came to have otber motives and other ties.

It would be to repeat the history of the two last centuries to exemplify the effects of this revolution.

Although the principles to which it gave rise did not operate with a perfect regularity and constancy, they never wholly ceased to operate. Few wars were made, and few treaties were entered into, in which they did not come in for some part. They gave a colour, a character, and direction, to all the politics of Europe.
These principles of internal as well as external
division and coalition are but just now extin New ryatem of guished. But they, who will examine into the true character and genius of some late events, must be satisfied that other sources of faction, combining parties among the inhabitants of different countries into one connexion, are opened, and that from these sources are likely to arise effects full as important as those which had formerly arisen from the jarring interests of the religious sects. The intention of the several actors in the change in France is not a matter of doult. It is very openly professed.

In the modern world, before this time, there has been no instance of this spirit of general political faction, separated from religion, pervading several countries, and forming a principle of union between the partisans in each. But the thing is not less in human nature. The ancient world has furnished a strong and striking instance of such a ground for faction, full as powerful and full as mischievous as our spirit of religious system had ever been; exciting in all the states of Greece (Europesa and Asiatic) the most violent animosities, and the most cruel and bloody persecutions and proscriptions. These ancient factions in each commonwealth of Greece connected themselves with those of the same description in some other states; and secret cabals and public alliances wete carried on and made, not upon a confornity of general political interests, but for the support and aggrandizement of the two leading atates which headed the aristocratic and democratic factions. For as, in latter times, the king of Spain was at the head of a Catholic, and the King of Sweden of a Protestant, interest, (France, though Catholic, acting subordinately to the latter, ) in the like manner the Lacedemonians were everywhere at the head of the aristocratic interests, and the Athenians of the democratic. The
two leading powera kept alive a constant cabal and conspiracy in every state, and the political dogmas concerning the constitution of a republic were the great instrumenta by which these leading states chose to aggrandize themselven. Their choice was not unwise ; because the interent in opinions, (merely as opinions, and without any experimental reference to their effects,) when once they take strong hold of the mind, become the most operative of all interenta, and indeed very often supersede every other.
I might further exemplify the possibility of a political sentiment running through various states, and combining factions in them, from the bistory of the middle ages in the Guelphs and Ghibellines. These were political factions originally in farour of the emperor and the pope, with no mixture of religious dogmas: or if anything religiously doctrinal they had in them originally, it very soon disappeared; as their first political objects dissppeared aleo, though the spinit remained. They became no more than names to distinguish factions: bat they were not the lese powerful in their operation, when they had no direct point of doctrine, either religious or civil, to assert. For a long time, bowever, those actions gave no emall degree of infueace to the foreign chiefs in every commonwealth in which they exirted. I do not mean to pursee further the track of these parties. I allude to this part of history only as it furnishes an instance of that species of faction which broke the locality of pablic affections, and united descriptions of citizens more with strangers, than with their countrymen of different opinions.
Preet The political dogma which, upon the new mein yind Prench aygtem, is to unite the factions of differple ent nations, is this, "That the majority, told by the head, of the taxable people in every country, is the perpetual, natural, moceasing, indefearible sovereign; that this majority is perfectly master of the form, as well as the administration, of the atate; and that the mapistraten, under Thatever names they are called, are only functionarice to obey the orders (general as lawis, or particuler as decrees) which that majority may make; that this is the only natioral government; that all others are tyranny men usurpation"

In order to reduce this dogma into practice, ;he republicans in France, and their associates Pructleat pron other countries, make it always their business, ind often their public profession, to destroy all traces of ansient establishments, and to form a new commonwealth in each country, upon the basis of the French Rights of Men. On the principle of these rights, they mean to institute in every country, and, as it were, the germ of the whole, parochial governments, for the purpose of what they call equal representation. From them is to grow, by some media, a general council and representative of all the parochial governments. In that representative is to be vested the whole national power; totally abolishing hereditary name and office, levelling all conditions of men, (except where money must make a difference, breaking all connexion between territory and dignity, and abolishing every species of nobility, gentry, and church establishments; all their priests, and all their magistrates, being only creatures of election, and pensioners at will.

Knowing how opposite a permanent landed interest is to that scheme, they have resolved, and it is the great drift of all thair regulations, to reduce that description of men to a mere peasantry, for the sustenance of the towns, and to place the true effective government in cities, among the tradesmen, bankers, and voluntary clubs of bold, presuming young persons; advocates, attornies, zotaries, managers of newspapers, and those cabals of literary men called academies. Their republic is to have a first functionary, (as they call him,) under the name of king, or not, as they think fit. This officer, when such an officer is permitted, is, however, neither in fact nor name to be considered as sovereign, nor the people as his subjects. The very use of these appellations is offensive to their ears.

This system, as it has first been realized, dogmatically, as well as practically, in France, makes France the natural head of all factions formed on a similar Partisans oftho principle, wherever they may prevail, as much as Athens was the head and settled ally of all democratic factions, wherever they existed. The other system has no head.

This system has very many partisans in every country in Europe, but particularly in England, where they are already vol. ins.
formed into a body, compreheuding most of the dissenters of the three leading denominations: to these are readily aggregated all who are dissenters in character, temper, and disposition, though not belonging to any of their congrega-tions-that is, all the restless people who renemble them, of all ranks and all parties-Whigs, and even Tories-the whole race of half-bred speculators;-all the Atheists, Deists, and Socinians;-all those who hate the clergy, and envy the nobility;-a good many among the monied people ;-the East Indians almost to a man, who cannot bear to find that their present importance does not bear a proportion to their wealth. These latter have united themselves into one great, and, in my opinion, formidable club,' which, though now quiet, may be brought into action with considerable unanimity and force.

Formerly few, except the ambitions great, or the desperate and indigent, were to be feared as instruments in revolutions. What bas happened in France teaches us, with many other things, that there are more caumes than have commonly been taken into our consideration, by which government may be subverted. The monied men, merchants, principal tradesmen, and men of lettern, (hitherto geserally thought the peaceable and even timid part of aociety, are the chief actors in the French Revolution. But the fact is, that as money increases and circulaten, and as the circulation of news, in politica, and letters, becomes more and more diffused, the persons who diffuse this money, and this intelligence, become more and more important. Thin was not long undiscovered. Views of ambition were in France, for the first time, presented to these clasees of men. Objecter in the state, in the army, in the system of civil offices of every kind. Their eyes were dazzled with this new prospect. They were, as it were, electrified and made to lowe the natural spirit of their situation. A bribe, great without example in the history of the world, was held out to themthe whole government of a very large kingdom.
Gronend of me- There are several who are persuaded that the. corraty mppoced same thing cannot happen in England, because here (they may) the occopations of merchants,
\({ }^{1}\) Originally called the Bengal Club; bat nituce opened to pernons from the other premidencies, for the porpoese of comacidating the whole lnding insterent.
tradeamen, and manufacturers, are not held as degrading situstions. I once thought that the low estimation in which commerce was held in Fravce might be reckoned among the causes of the late Revolution; and \(I\) am still of opinion, that the exclusive spirit of the French nobility did irritate the wealthy of other classes. But I found long since, that persons in trade and business were by no means despised in France in the manner I had been taught to believe. As to men of letters, they were so far from being despised or neglected, that there was no country, perhaps, in the universe, in which they were so highly esteemed, courted, caressed, and even feared : tradesmen naturally were not so much sought in society, (as not furnishing so largely to the fund of conversation as they do to the revenues of the gtate,) but the latter description got forward every day. M. Bailly, who made himself the popular mayor on the rebellion of the Bastile, and is a principal actor in the cevolt, before the change; possessed a pension or office under the crown, of six hundred pounds English, a year; for that country, no contemptible provision : and this he obtained solely as a man of letters, and on no other title. As to the monied men-whilst the monarchy continued, there is no doubt, that, mérely as such, they did not enjoy the privileges of nobility, but nobility was of so easy an acquisition, that it was the fault or neglect of all of that description, who did not obtain its privileges, for their lives at least, in virtue of office. It attached under the royal government to an innumerable multitude of places, real and nominal, that were vendible; and such nobility were as capable of everything as their degree of influence or interest could make them, that is, as nobility of no considerable rank or consequence. M. Necker, so far from being a French gentleman, was not so much as a Frenchman born, and yet we all know the rank in which he stood on the day of the meeting of the states.

As to the mere matter of estimation of the mercantile or any other class, this is regulated by opinion and prejudice. In England, a security against the envy of men in these classes is not so very complete as we may imagine. We must not impose upon our-
selves. What institations and manners together had done in France, manners alone do here. It is the natural operation of thinge where there exista a crown, a court, splendid orders of knighthood, and an hereditary nobility ;-where there existe a fixed, permanent, landed gentry, continued in greatness and opulence by the law of primogeniture, and by a protection given to family settlements;-where there exista standing army and navy;-where there exith a church eatsblishment, which beatows on learning and parta an intereat combined with that of religion and the state; in a conuntry where guch thinge exist, wealth, new in ita acquisition, and precarious in it duration, can never rank first, or even near the first; though wealth has ite natural weight further than as it is balanced and even preponderated amongut us as amongst other nations, by artificial institutions and opinions growing out of them. At no period in the hittory of England have so few peers been taken out of trade or from families newly ereated by commerce. In no period has so emall a number of noble families entered into the counting-house. I cas call to mind but one in all England, and his is of near fifty years stending. Be that at it may, it appears plain to me, from my beat observation, that envy and ambition may, by art, management, and disposition, be as mach excited amonget these descriptions of men in England, as in any other country; and that they are juat an en pable of acting a part in any great change.

 prevail in the ecveral perte of Europe, it is not easy to determine. The seeds are sown ahmout everywhere, chiefly by newspeper circulationa, infinitely more efficacions and extensive than ever they were. And they are a more important inotrument than generally is imagined. They are a part of the reading of all, they are the whole of the reading of the far greater number. There are thirty of them in Paris alone. The language diffusea them more widety than the Engtish, though the Buglish too ere much rend The writern of these papeni, indeed, for the greater part, are erther umknown or in contempt, bat they are like a battery in which the atacke of say one ball produces no grest effect, but the amonot of contimual repetition in deciaive. Let us only
suffer any person to tell us his story, morning and evening, but for one twelvemonth, and he will become our master.

All those countries in which several states are comprehended under some general geographical description, and loosely united by some federal constitution; countries of Which the members are small, and greatly diversified in their forms of government, and in the titles by which they are beld-these countries, as it might be well expected, are the principal objects of their hopes and machinations, Of these, the chief are Germany and Syitzerland: after them, Italy has its place as in circumstances somewhat similar.
As to Germany, (in which, from their relation cemany.
to the emperor, I comprehend the Belgic provinces,) it appears to me to be from several circumstances, internal and external, in a very critical situation, and the laws and liberties of the empire are by no means secure from the contagion of the French doctrines and the effect of French intrigues; or from the use which two of the greater German powers may make of a general derangement, to the general detriment. I do not say that the French do not mean to bestow on these German states liberties, and laws too, after their mode; but those are not what have hitherto been understood as the laws and liberties of the empire. These exist and have always existed nuder the principles of feodal tenure and succession, under imperial constitutions, grants and concessions of sovereigns, family compacts and public tresties, made under the sanction, and some of \(t h \in m\) guaranteed by the sovereign powers, of other nations, and particularly the old government of France, the author and natural support of the treaty of Westphalia.

In short, the Germanic body is a vast masa of heterogeneous states, held together by that heterogeneous body of old principles, which formed the public law positive and doctrinal. The modern laws and liberties, which the new power in France proposes to introduce into Germany, and to support with all its force of intrigue and of arma, is of a very different nature, utterly irreconcilable with the first, and indeed fundamentally the reverse of it: I mean the rights and liberties of the man, the droit de lhomme. That this doctrine has made an amazing progress in Germany there cannot be a shadow of doubt. They are infected by it along the whole
course of the Rhine, the Maese, the Moselle, and in the greater part of Susbia and Franconia. It is particularly prevalent amongst all the lower people, churchmen and laity, in the dominions of the ecclesiastical elecErclesiartical tors. It is not easy to find or to conceive governments more mild and indulgent than these church sovereignties; bat good government in as nothing when the rights of man take possession of the mind. Indeed the loose reign held over the people in these provinces must be considered as one casuse of the facility with which they lend themselves to any schemes of innovation, by inducing them to think lightly of their governments, and to judge of grievances, not by feeling, but by imagination.

It is in these electorates that the first imprenBalmee of sions of France are likely to be made, and if they succeed, it is over with the Germanic body an it stands at present. A great revolution is preparing in Germany; and a revolution, in my opinion, likely to be mare decisive upon the general fate of nations than that of France itself; other than as in France is to be found the firut sourco of all the principles which are in any way likely to distingaish the troubles and convalsions of our age. If Europe does not conceive the independence and the equilibrium of the empire to be in the very essence of the svitem of balsnced power in Europe, and if the scheme of public law, or mama of laws, upon which that independence sad equilibrium are founded, be of no leading consequence as they are preserred or deatroyed, all the politica of Europe for more than two centuries have been miserably erroneous.

If the two great leading powers of Germany Prumean do not regard this danger (as apparently they do not) in the light in which it presente itself oo naturally, it is because they are powens too great to have a social interest. That sort of intereat belongs only to those, whoee atate of weakness or mediocrity is such an to give them greater cause of apprehension from what may dewtroy them, than of hope from anything by which they may be aggrandized.

As long as those two princes are at variance, so long the liberties of Germany are mafe. Bat, if erer they should mo fry understand one snother, an to be persuaded that they
have \(s\) more direct and more certainly defined interest in a proportioned, mutual aggrandizement, than in a reciprocal reduction, that is, if they come to think that they are more likely to be enriched by a division of spoil, than to be rendered secure by keeping to the old policy of preventing others from being spoiled by either of them, from that moment the liberties of Germany are no more.

That a junction of two in such a scheme is neither impossible nor improbable, is evident from the partition of Poland in 1773, which was effected by such a junction as made the interposition of other nations to prevent it, not easy. Their circumstances at that time hindered any other three states, or indeed any two, from taking measures in common to prevent it, though France was at that time an existing power, and had not yet learned to aet upon a system of politics of her own invention. The geographical position of Poland was a great obstacle to any movements of France in opposition to this, at that time, unparalleled league. To my certain knowledge, if Great Britain hed at that time been willing to concur in preventing the execution of a project so dangerous in the example, even exhausted as France then was by the preceding war, and under a lazy and unenterprising prince, she would have at every risk taken an active part in this business. But a languor with regard to so remote an interest, and the principles and passions which were then strongly at work at home, were the causes why Great Britain would not give France any encouragement in such an enterprise. At that time, however, and with regard to that object, in my opinion, Grea* Britain and France had a common interest.

But the position of Germany is not like that of Poland, with regard to France, either for good posedbeproor for evil. If a conjunction between Prussia peror and king and the emperor should be formed for the purpose of secularizing and rendering hereditary the ecclesiastical electorates and the bishopric of Munster, for settling two of them on the children of the emperor, and uniting Cologne and Munster to the dominions of the king of Prussia on the Rhine, or if any other project of mutual aggrandizement should be in prospect, and that, to facilitate such a acheme, the modern French should be permitted and
encouraged to shake the infernal and external security of these ecclesiastical electorates, Great Britain is so situated, that she could not with any effect set herself in opponition to such a design. Her principal arm, her marine, could here be of no sort of use.

France, the suthor of the treaty of Westphalis, To be reaised is the natural guardian of the independence and balance of Germany. Great Britain (to eay nothing of the king's concern as one of that auguot body) has a scrions interest in preserving it; but, except through the power of France, acting upion the common old principles of state policy, in the case we have supposed, she has no sort of means of supporting that interest. It in always the intereat of Grest Britain that the power of France should be kept within the bounds of moderation. It is not her interent that that power should be wholly amnihilated in the system of Earope. Though at one time through France the independence of Europe was endangered, it is, and ever was, through her alone that the common liberty of Germany can be secured against the single or the combined ambition of eny other power. In truth, within this century the aggramdizement of other sovereign houses has been such that there has been a great change in the whole atate of Europe; and other nations as well as France may become objects of jealonsy and apprebension.

In this state of things, a new principle of alliFew prectpes ances and wars is opened. The treaty of Weatphalis is, with France, an antiquated fable. The rights and liberties she was bound to maintain are now a mretem of wrong and tyranny which she is bound to destroy. Her good and ill dispositions are shown by the mame means. To conmarnicate praceably the righte of men is the true mode of her showing ber fricadship; to force sovereigns to anbmit to those righta is her mode of hostility. So that either an friend or foe her whole weheme has been, and is, to throw the empire into confurion : and those statemen, who follow the old routine of polities, may see, in this general confusion, and in the danger of the lenser princes, an occation, an protectors or enemiea, of connecting their territories to one or the other of the two great Germen powers. They do not take into consideration that the means which they encourage,
as leading to the event they desire, will with certainty not only ravage and destroy the empire, but, if they should for a moment seem to aggrandize the two great houses, will also establish principles and confirm tempers amongst the people, which will preclude the two sovereigns from the possibility of holding what they acquire, or even the dominions which they have inherited. It is on the side of the ecclesiastical electorates that the dykes, raised to support the German liberty, first will give way.

The French have begun their general operations by seizing upon those territories of the pope, the situation of which wes the most inviting to the enterprise. Their method of doing it was by exciting sedition and spreading massacre and desolation through these unfortunate places, and then, under an idea of kindness and protection, bringing forward an anm tiquated title of the crown of France, and annexing Avignon and the two cities of the Comtat with their territory to the French republic. They have made an attempt on Genera. Geneva, in which they very narrowly failed of success. It is known that they hold out from time to time the idea of uniting all the other provinces of which Gaul was anciently composed, including Savoy on the other side, and on this side bounding themselves by the Rhine.

As to Switzerland, it is a country whose long union, rather than its possible division, is the matter of wonder. Here I know they entertain very sanguine hopes. The aggregation to France of the democratic Swiss republics appears to them to be a work half done by their very form; and it might seem to them rather an increase of importance to these little commonwealths, than a derogation from their independency, or a change in the manner of their government. Upon any quarrel amongst the cantons, nothing is more likely than such an event. As to the aristocratic republics, the general clamour and hatred which the French excite against the very name, (and with more facility and success than against monarchs,) and the utter impossibility of their government making any sort of resistance against an insurrection, where they have no troopa, and the people are all armed and trained, render their hopes, in that quarter, far indeed from unfounded. It is certain
that the republic of Berne thinks itself obliged to a vigilance next to hostile, aud to imprison or expel all the French whom it finds in ite territories. But indeed those aristocracies, which comprehend whatever is considerable, wealthy, and valuable, in Switzerlavd, do now wo wholly depend upon
opinion, and the humour of their multitude, that
the lightest puff of wind is sufficient to blow them down. If France, under its ancient regimen, and upon the ancient principles of policy, Fas the support of the Germanic constitution, it was much more so of that of 8 witzerland, which almost from the very origin of that confederacy rested upon the closeness of ity connexion with France, on which the 8 wiss cantone wholly reposed themselvea for the preservation of the parte of their body in their respective rights and permanent forma, well as for the maintenance of all in their general independency.

Switzerland and Germany are the first objecte of the new Freneh politicians. When I contemplate what they have done at home, which ia in effect little lest than an amazing conquest wrought by s change of opinion, in a great part (to be sure far from altogether) very sudden, I cannot help letting my thoughts run along with their denigna, and, without attending to geographical order, considering the other states of 'Europe so far as they may be any way affected by this astonishing Revolution. If early steps are not taken in some way or other to prevent the spreading of this influence, I searcely think any of them perfectly secure.

Italy is dirided, as Germany and Switzerland
taly.
are, into many emaller states, and with some considerable diversity as to forms of government; but as thene divisions and varieties in Italy are not so considerable, \(t 0\) neither do I think the danger altogether 20 imminent there as in Germany and Switzerland. Siavoy I know that the French consider as in a very hopefol way, and I believe not at all without reason. They view it as an old member of the kingdom of France, which may be easily re-anited in the manner and on the principles of the re-mion of Avignon. This country communicates with Piedmont ; and as the king of Aardinis's dominions were long the key of Italy, and as auch long regarded by France, whilet France acted on ber old maxima, and with views on Italy;

во, in this new French empire of sedition, if once she gets that key into her hands, she can easily lay open the barrier which hinders the entrance of her present politics into that inviting region. Milan, I am sure, nourishes great disquiets -and, if Milan should stir, no part of Lombardy is secure to the present possessors-whether the Venetian or the Austrian. Genoa is closely connected with France.

The first prince of the house of Bourbon has Bourbon been obliged to give himself up entirely to the princes in new system, and to pretend even to propagate it itraly. with all zeal; at least that club of intriguers who assemble at the Feuillans, and whose cabinet meets at Madame de Stahl's, and makes and directs all the ministers, is the real executive government of France. The emperor is perfectly in concert, and they will not long suffer any prince of the house of Bourbon to keep by force the French emissaries out of their dominions; nor whilst France has a commerce with them, eapecially through Marseilles, (the hottest focus of sedition in France, will it be long possible to prevent the intercourse or the effects.

Naples has an old, inveterate disposition to republicanism, and (however for some time past quiet) is as liable to explosion as its own Vesuvius. Sicily, I think, has these dispositions in full as strong a degree. In meither of these countries exists anything which very well deserves the name of government or exact police.

In the states of the church, notwithstanding
their strictiness in banishing the French out of Eccleslatical that country, there are not wanting the seeds of
a revolution. The spirit of nepotism prevails there nearly as strong as ever. Every pope of course is to give origin or restoration to a great family, by the means of large donations. The foreign revenues have long been gradually on the decline, and seem now in a manner dried up. To supply this defect the resource of vezatious and impolitic jobbing at home, if anything, is rather increased than lessened. Various well intended but ill understood practices, some of them existing, in their spirit at least, from the time of the old Roman empire, still prevail ; and that government is as blindly attached to old, abusive customs, as others are wildly disposed to all sorts of innovations and experiments. These
abuses were less felt whilst the pontificate drew riches from abroad, which in some measure counterbalanced the evils of their remiss and jobbish government at bome. But now it can subsist only on the resources of domestic management; and abuses in that management of course will be more intimately and more severely felt.

In the midst of the apparently torpid languor of the ecclesiastical state, those who have had opportunity of a near observation, have seen a little rippling in that amooth water, which indicates something alive under it. There in in the ecclesiastical state a personage who seems capable of acting (but with more force and steadiness) the part of the tribuno Rienzi. The people, once inflamed, will not be deatitute of a leader. They have auch an one already in the Cardinal or Archbishop Buon Campagna. He is, of all men, if I am not ill informed, the most turbulent, seditious, intriguing, bold, and desperate. He is not at all made for a Homan of the present day. I think he lately held the first office of their state, that of great chamberlain, which is equivalent to high treasurer. At present he is out of employment, and in disgrace. If he should be elected pope, or even come to have any weight with s new pope, he will infallibly conjore upa democratic spirit in that country. He may indeed be able to effect it without these advantages. The next interregnum will probably show more of him. There may be others of the same character, who have not come to my knowledge. This much is certain, that the Roman people, if once the blind reverence they bear to the sanctity of the pope, which in their only bridle, should relax, are naturally turbulent, ferociona, and headstrong, whilst the police is defective, and the government feeble and resourceless beyond all imagination.
spal. As to Epain, it is a nervelews country. It doen not possess the nse, it only suffers the abree, of a nobility. For some time, and even before the aettlement of the Bourbon drnasty, that body has been systematically lowered, and rendered incapable by exclusion, and for incapacity excluded from affairs. In this circle the body in in a manner annihilsted-and so little means have they of any weighty exertion either to control or to support the crown, that if they at all interfere, it is only by abetting derperate
and mobbish insurrections, like that at Madrid, which drove Squillace from his place. Florids Blanca is a creature of office, and has little connerion and no sympathy with that body.

As to the clergy, they are the only thing in Spain that looks like an independent order, and they are kept in some respect by the Inquisition, the sole but unhappy resource of public tranquillity and order now remaining in Spain. As in Venice, it is become mostly an engine of state, which indeed to a degree it has always been in Spain. It wars no longer with Jews and heretics; it has no such war to carry on. Its great object is to keep atheistic and republican doctrines from making their way in that kingdom. No French book upon any subject can enter there which does not contain such matter. In Spain, the clergy are of moment from their influence, but at the same time with the envy and jealousy that attend great riches and power. Though the crown has by management with the pope got a very great share of the ecclesiastical revenues into its own hands, much still remains to them. There will always be about that court those who look out to a further division of the church property as a resource, and to be obtained by shorter methods, than those of negotiations with the clergy and their chief. But at present I think it likely that they will atop, lest the business should be. taken out of their hands; and lest that body in which remains the ouly life that exists in Spsin, and is not a fever, may with their property lose all the influence necessary to preserve the monarchy, or, being poor and desperate, may employ whatever influence remains to them as active agents in its destruction.

The Castilians have still remaining a good deal of their old character, their gravidad, lealdad, and Catile dififeril timor do Dios; but that aharacter neither is ionia and Aril timor de Dios; but that oharacter neither is, nor ever was, exactly true, except of the Castilians regan. only. The several kingdoms, which compose Spain, have, perhaps, some features which run through the whole; but they are in many particulars as different as nations who go by different names: the Catalans, for instance, and the Arragonians too, in a great measure have the spirit of the Miqueleta, and much more of republicanism than of an at-
tachment to royalty. They are more in the way of trade and intercourse with France; and, upon the least internal movement, will disclose and probably let loose a spirit that may throw the whole Spanish monarchy into convulsions.

It is a melancholy reflection, that the spirit of melioration which has been going on in that part of Europe, more or lesa during this century, and the various schemes very lately on foot for further advancement, are all put a stop to at once. Reformation certainly in nearly connected with innovationand, where that latter comes in for too large s share, those who undertake to improve their country may risk their own safety. In times where the correction, which includes the confeasion, of an abuse, is turned to criminate the autbority which has long suffered it, rather than to honoar those who would amend it, (which is the spirit of this malignant French distemper, every step out of the common conrse becomes critical, and renders it a tank full of peril for princes of moderate talents to engage in great undertakings. At present the only safety of Spain is the old national hatred to the French. How far that can be depended upon, if any great ferments should be excited, it is imposerible to say.

As to Portugal, she is out of the bigh road of these politics - I shall, therefore, not divert my thoughts that way; but return again to the north of Europe, which at present seems the part most interented, and there it appears to me that the French speculation on the northern countries may be valued in the following or some such manner.

Denmark and Norway do not appear to furmish
Denmart. any of the materials of a democratic revolution, or the dispositions to it. Denmark can only be consequentially affected by anything done in France; but of Sweden I think quite otherwise. The prement power in Sweden is too new a gystem, and too green, and too sore, from its late revolution, to be considered as perfectly assured. The king, by bis actonishing activity, his boldness, bis decision, his ready versatility, and by rousing and employing the old military spirit of Sweden, keeps up the top with continasl agitation and lashing. The moment it ceases to apin, the royalty is a dead bit of box. Whenever 8 weden is quiet externally for some time, there is
great danger that all the republican elements she contains will be animated by the new French spirit, and of this I believe the king is very sensible.
The Russian government is of all others the most liable to be subverted by military seditions, Rusia, by court conspiracies, and sometimes by headlong rebellions of the people, such as the turbinating movement of Pugatchef. It is not quite so probable that in any of these changes the spirit of eystem may mingle in the manner it has done in France. The Muscovites are no great speculators-but I should not much rely on their uninquisitive disposition, if sny of their ordinary motives to sedition should arise. The little catechism of the rights of men is soon learned; and the inferences are in the passions.

Poland, from one cause or other, is always un-
quiet. The new constitution only serves to sup- Poland. ply that restless people with new means, at least new moder, of cherishing their turbulent disposition. The bottom of the character is the same. It is a great question, whether the joining that crown with the electorate of Saxony will contribute most to strengthen the royal authority of Poland, or to shake the ducal in Saxony. The elector is a Catholic; the people of Saxony are, six-sevenths at the very least, Protestants. He must continue a Catholic, according to the Polish law, if he accepts that crown. The pride of the Saxons, formerly flattered by having a crown in the house of their prince, though an honour which cost them dear; the German probity, fidelity, and loyalty; the weight of the constitution of the empire under the treaty of Westphalia; the good temper and good nature of the princes of the house of Saxony; had formerly removed from the people all apprehension with regard to their religion, and kept them perfectly quiet, obedient, and even affectionate. The seven yearg' war made some change in the minds of the Saxons. They did not, I believe, regret the loss of what might be considered almost as the suecession to the crown of Poland, the possession of which, by annexing them to a foreign interest, had often obliged them to act an axduous part, towards the support of which that foreign interest afforded no proportionable strength. In this very delicate situation of their political intereate, the speculations of the French and

German economists, and the cabals and the secret, an well as public, doctrines of the illuminatenorden and freemasons, have made a considerable progress in that country; and a turbulent spirit under colour of religion, but in reality arising from the French rights of man, bas already shown iteelf, and is ready on every occation to blaze out.

The present elector is a prince of a safe and quiet temper, of great prudence and goodness. He knows, that, in the actual atate of things, not the power and respect belonging to sovereigns, but their very existence, depends on a reasonable frogality. It is very certain that not one sovereign in Europe can either promise for the continuance of his authority in a state of indigence and insolvency, or dares to venture on a new imposition to relieve himself. Withont abandoning wholly the ancient magnificence of his court, the elector bas conducted his affirs with infinitely more economy than any of his predecessors, so as to reatore his finances beyond what was thought possible from the state in which the ceven Yeare' war had left Saxony. Saxony, during the whole of that dreadful period, having been in the hands of an exasperated enemy, rigorous by resentment, by nature, and by necesuity, was obliged to bear, in a manner, the whole burden of the war; in the intervals when their allies prevailed, the inhabitents of that country were not better treated.

The moderation and prudence of the present elector, in my opinion, rather perhapes reapites the troubles than secures the peace of the electorate. The offer of the succemion to the crown of Poland is truly critical, whether he scceptes or whether he declines it. If the states will consent to his acceptance, it will add to the difficulties, already grent, of his situation between the ling of Prussia and the emperor. But these thoughte lead me too far, when I mean to speak only of the interior condition of these princes. It has always however some necesary connexion with their foreign politien.

With regard to Holland, and the ruling party there, I do not think it at all tainted, or likely to be so except by fear; or that it is likely to be misled umlemo indirectly and circuitously. But the predominant party in Holland is not Holland. The suppresed faction, though sappreased, existe. Ender the ashes, the embers of the late commotions are still warm. The anti-orange party has from
the day of its origin been French, though alienated in some degree for some time, through the pride and folly of Louis. the Fourteenth. It will ever hanker after a French connexion; and now that the internal government in France bas been assimilated in so considerable a degree to that which the immoderate republicans began ao very lately to introduce into Holland, their connexion, as etill more natural, will be more desired. I da not well understand the present exterior politics of the Stadtholder, nor the treaty into which the nowspapers say he has entered for the states with the emperor. But the emperor's own politics with regard to the Netherlands seem to me to be exactly calculated to answer the purpose of the French revolutionists. He endeavours to crush the aristocratic party-and to nourish one in avowed connexion with the most furious democratists in France.

These provinces, in which the French game is so well played, they consider as part of the old French empire: certainly they were amongst the oldest parts of it. These they think very well situated, as their party is well-disposed to a re-union. As to the greater nations, they do not aim at making a direct conquest of them, but by disturbing them through a propagation of their principles, they hope to weaken, as they will weaken them, and to keep them in per; petual alarm and agitation, and thus render all their efforts against them utterly impracticable, whilst they extend the dominion of their soveraign anarchy on all sides.

As to England, there may. be come apprehenaion from vicinity, from constant communication,

\section*{Eugland.} and from the very name of liberty, which, as it ought to be very dear to us, in its worst abuses carries something seductive. It is the abuse of the first and best of the objects which we cherish. I know that many, who sufficiently dislike the system of France, have yet no apprehension of its prevalence here. I eay nothing to the ground of this security in the attachment of the people to their constitution, and their satisfactiom in the discreet portion of liberty which it measures out to them. Upon this I bave said all I have to say, in the appeal I have published. That security is something, and not inconsiderable. But if a storm arises I should not much rely upon it:
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Objection to the stebllity of the French Eritem.

There are other views of thinge which may be used to give us a perfect (though in my opinion a delusive) aspurance of our own security. The first.of these is from the weaknesa and ricketty nature of the new system in.the place of it first formation. It is thought that the monster of a commonwealth cannot possibly live-that at any rate the ill contrivance of their fabric will make it fall in pieces of itself-that the Asmembly must be bankrupt, and that this bankruptey will totally deatroy that system, from the contagion of which apprehensions are entertained.

For my part, I have long thought that one great cause of the stability of this wretched scheme of things in France was an opinion that it could not stand; and, therefore, that all external measures to destroy it were wholly uselesm.

As to the bankruptcy, that event has happenBentruptcy. ed long ago, as much as it is ever likely to happen. As soon as a nation compels a creditor to take paper currency in discharge of his debt, there is a bankruptcy. The compulsory paper has in some degres answered; not because there was a surplus from charch lands, but because faith has not been kept with the clergy. As to the holders of the old funds, to them the paymeate will be dilatory, but they will be made, and whatever may be the discount on paper, whilst paper is taken, paper will be isoned.

As to the rest, they have shot out threo branches of revenue to supply all those which they have destroyed, that is, the Criversal Reyister of all Transactions, the heary and universal Stamp Duty, and the new Territorial Inaposi, lexied chiefly on the reduced estatea of the gentlemen. These branches of the revenne, eapecially as they take somignats in payment, answer their purpose in a considerable degree, and keep up the credit of their paper; for as they receive it in their treasury, it in in reality funded upon all their taces and future rewources of all finds, as well as npon the chureh eatates. As this paper is become in a manner the only visible maintenance of the whole people, the dread of a bankruptcy is more apparently connected with the delay of a connter-revolation, than with the duration of this republic; becanse the interest of the new republic manifestly leans upon it; and, in my opinion,
the counter-revolution cannot exist along with it. The above three projecta ruined some ministers under the old government, merely for having conceived them. They are the sal. vation of the present rulers.

As the Assembly has laid a most unsparing and cruel hand on all men who have lived by the bounty, the justice, or the abuses, of the old government, they have lessened many expenses. The royal establishment, though excessively and ridiculously great for their scheme of things, is reduced at least one half; the eatates of the king's brothers, which under the ancient government had been in truth royal revenues, go to the general stock of the confiscation; and as to the crown lands, though, under the monarchy, they never gielded two hundred and fifty thousand a year, by many they are thought at least worth three times as much.

As to the ecclesiastical charge, whether as a compensation for losses, or a provision for religion, of which they made at first a great parade, and entered into a solemn engagement in favour of it, it was estimated at a much larger gum than they could expect from the church property, movable or immovable: they are completely bankrupt as to that article. It is just what they wish; and it is not productive of any serious inconvenience. The non-payment produces discontent and occasional aedition; but is only by fits and spasms, and amongst the country people who are of no consequence. These seditions furnish new pretexts for non-payment to the church establishment, and help the Assembly wholly to get rid of the clergy, and indeed of any form of religion, which is not only their real, but awowed object.

They are embarrassed indeed in the highest
degree, but not wholly resourceless. They are want of money without the species of moner. Circulation of mow supplited. without the species of money. Circulation of money in a great convenience, but a substitute for it may be found. Whilst the great objects of production and con, sumption, corn, cattle, wine, and the like, exist in a country, the means of giving them circulation, with more or less convenience, cannot be wholly wanting. The great confiscation of the church and of the orown lands, and of the appendages of the princes, for the purchase of all which their paper is always received at par, gives means of continually deatroying and continually creating, and this perpetual de2 в 2
atruction and renovation feeds the speculative market,' and prevents, and will prevent, till that fund of confiscation be gins to fail, a total depreciation.

But all consideration of public credit in France Mosied net neest- is of little arvil at present. The action indeed anr to them. of the monied interest was of absolute necessity at the beginning of this Revolution; bot the French republic can stand without any asaistance from that description of men, which, as things are now circamstanced, rather stands in need of assistance itself from the pewer which alone anbstantially exists in France; I mean the sevgral districts and municipal republica, and the several clubs which direct all their affairs and appoint all their magistrates. This is the power now paramonnt to everything, even to the Assembly itself called National, and that to which tribunals, prienthood, laws, finances, and both deacriptiona of military power, are wholly subservient, to far as the military power of either description yields obedience to any name of authority.

The world of contingency and political combination is much larger than we are apt to imagine. We never can may What may or may not happen, without a view to all the actual circumstances. Experience, npon other data than those, is of all thinge the most delusive. Prudence in new cases can do nothing on grounds of retrospect. A congtant vigilance and attention to the train of things an they sueceseively emerge, and to act on what they direet, are the only aure courses. The physician that let blood, and by blood-letting cured one kind of plague, in the next added to its ravages. That power goes with property in not universally true, and the ides that the operation of it in certain and invariable may mislead wa very fatally.

Whoever will take an accurate view of the state Prover newt of thooever republica, and of the componition of the propaty. present Aswembly. deputed by them, (in which Aasembly there are not quite fifty permong ponecsued of an income amoumting to \(£ 100\) sterling yearly, mut discern clearly, that the political and civil power of France is wholly separaled from its property of every description; and of course thint neither the landed nor the monied incereat pomenses the smallest weight or contideration in the direction of any public concern. The whole kingdom in directed by the re-
fuse of its chicane, with the aid of the bustling, presumptuous young clerks of counting-houses and shops, and some intermixture of young gentlemen of the asme character, in the several towns. The rich peasants are bribed with church lands; and the poorer of that description are, and can be, counted for nothing. They may rise in ferocious, ill-directed tumults-but they can only disgrace themselves and signalize the triumph of their adversaries.

The truly active citizens, that is, the above descriptions, are all concernéd in intrigue respect- rathet of tho ing the various-objects in their local or their general government. 'The rota, which the French have established for their National Assembly, holds out the highest objects of ambition to such vast multitudes as, in an unexampled messure, to widen the battom of a new species of interest merely political, and wholly unconnected with birth or property. This scheme of a rota, though it enfeebles the state considered as one solid body, and indeed wholly dissbles it from acting as such, gives a great, an equal, and a diffusive strength to the democratic acheme. Seven bandred and fifty people, every two years, raised to the supreme power, has already produced at least fifteen hundred bold, acting politicians; a great number for even so great a country as France. These men never will quietly settle in ordinary occupations, nor submit to any scheme which must reduce them to an entirely private condition, or to the exercise of a steady, peaceful, but obscure and unimportant industry. Whilst they sit in the Assembly they are denied offices of trust and profit-but their short duration makes this no restraint; during their probation and apprenticeship they are all salaried with an income to the greatest part of them immense; and, after they have passed the novitiate, those who take any sort of lead are placed in very lucrative offices, according to their influence and credit, or appoint those who divide their profits with them.

This supply of recruite to the corps of the highest civil ambition goes on with a regular progression. In very few years it must amount to many thousands. These, however, will be as nothing in comparison to the multitude of municipal officers, and officers of district and department, of all sorts, who have tasted of power and profit, and who hunger
for the periodical return of the meal. To these needy agitators, the glory of the state, the general wealth and prosperity of the nation, and the rise or fall of public credit, are as dreams; nor have arguments deduced from these topics any sort of weight with them. The indifference with which the Assembly regards the state of their colonies, the only valuable part of the French commerce, is a full proof how little they are likely to be affected by anything but the - selfish game of their own ambition, now universally diffused.
Impraction It is true, amidst all these turbulent means of bincy of reaint security to their system, very great discontents ance. everywhere prevail But they only produce misery to those who nurse them at home; or exile, beggary, and in the end confiscation, to those who are \(t 0\) impatient as to remove from them. Fach municipal republic bas s committee, or something in the nature of a commillee of research. In these petty republice the tyranny is so near itt object, that it beeomes instantly acquainted with every act of every man. It stifles conspiracy in its very first movemente. Their power is absolute and tueontrollable. No stand can be made against it. These republics are besides so discosnected, that very little intelligence of what happens in them is to be obtained, beyond their own bounds, except by the means of their clubs, who keep up a constant correspondence, and who give what colorr they please to such facto as they choose to communicate out of the track of their correspondence. They all have some wort of communication, just as mach or as little as they please, with the centre. By this confinement of all communication to the ruling faction, amy -combination, grounded on the abuses and discontents in one, scarcely can reach the other. There is not one man, in any one place, to head them. The old goverament had so much abstracted the nobility from the caltivation of provincial interest, that no man in Framce exists, whose power, credit, or consequence, extends to two districts, or who is capable of uniting them in any devign, even if any man could sosemble ten men together, withont being sure of a apeedy
- lodging in a prison. One must not judge of the otate of France by what has been observed elsewhere. It does not in the leaot resemble ang other country. Analogical rea-
soning from history or from recent experience in other places is wholly delusive.

In my opinion there never was seen so strong a government internally as that of the French municipslities. If ever any rebellion can arise against the present system, it must begin, where the Revolution which gave birth to it did, at the capital. Paris is the only place in which there is the least freedom of intercourae. But even there, mo many seryants as any man has, so many spies and irreconcilable domestic enemies.

But that place being the chief seat of the power and intelligence of the ruling faction, and the place of occasional resort for their fiercest spirits, even there a revolution is not likely to have anything to feed it. The leaders of the aristocratic party have been drawn out of the kingdom by order of the princes, on the hopes held out by the emperor and the king of Prussia at Pilnitz; and as to the domestic factions in Paris, amongst them there are no leaders possessed of an influence for any other purpose but that of maintaining the present state of things. The moment they are seen to warp, they are reduced to nothing. They have no attached army-no party that is at all personal.

It is not to be imagined because a political system is, under certain aspects, very unwise in its contrivance, and very mischievous in its effects, that it therefore can have no long duration. Its very defects may tend to its stability, because they are agreeable to its nature. The very faults in the constitution of Poland made it last; the veto which destroyed all its energy preserved its life. What can be conceived so monstrous as the republic of Algiers? and that no less strange republic of the Dlamalukes in. Egypt? They are of the worst form imaginable, and exercised in the worst manner, yet they have existed as a nuisance on the earth for several hundred years.
From all these considerations, and many more that crowd upon me, three conclusions have long since arisen in my mind-
First, that no counter-revolution is to be expected in France, from internal causes solely.

Secondly, that the longer the present system exists, the
greater will be its strength; the greater its power to dentroy discontents at home, and to resist all foreign attempte in favour of these discontents.

Thirdly, that as long as it exists in France, it will be the interest of the managers there, and it is in the very easence of their plan, to disturb and distract all other governmenty, and their endless succession of restless politicians will continually stimulate them to uew attempte.
Procedting of Princes are generally wensible that this is their princien ide common cause; and two of them have made \(a\) public declaration of their opinion to this effeet. Against this common danger, wome of them, monh as the king of Spain, the hing of Sardinia, and the republic of Berne, are very diligent in using defensive measures.

If they were to guard against an invasion from France, the merits of this plan of a merely defensive resistance might be supported by plansible toppics; but as the attack doeis not operate against these countries externally, but by an internal corruption (s sort of dry rot); they, who pursue this merely defensive plan, against a danger which the plan itwelf supposes to be serious, cannot poseibly escape it. For it is in the nature of all defensive measures to be sharp and rigorous under the impreasions of the first alarm, and to relar by degrees; until at length the danger, by not operating instantly, comes to appear as a false alarm; 00 mach to that the next menacing appearance will look less formidable, and will be less provided against. But to thowe who are on the offensive it is not neceseary to be always alert. Pomibly it is more their interent not to be w. For their muforeneen attacks contribute to their success.
The Premel In the mean time a system of Prench conspiphe promed racy is gaining ground in every country. This
 the most delusive indeed, but the most fiattering to the natural propensities of the unthinking multitude, and to the epeeulations of all those who think, without thinking very profoundly, must daily extend ite influence. A predominant inelination towards it appears in all thove who have no religion, when otherrive their disposition lead them to bo advocates even for derpotism. Hence Hume, though I cannot sany that he does not throw out some expresion of diy-

\section*{ \\ approbation on the proceedings of the levelfers \& 4 IRTeign of Richard the Second, yet affirms that we dqeyriega pf.John Ball were "conformable to the ideas of ximimitive equality, which are engraven in the hearts of all men." \\ Boldness formerly was not the character of atheista as auch. They wexe even of a charactor nearly the reverse: they were formerly, like the old Epicureans; rather an unenterprising race. But of late they are grown active, desigaing, turbulent, and seditious. They are sworn enemies to kinge, nobility, and priesthood. Wo have meen all the academicians at Paris, with Condorcet, the friend and correspondent of Priestley, at their hoad, the most furious of the extravagant republicans.}

The late Assembly, after the last captivity of the king, had actually chosen this Condorcet by condorcet. a majority in the ballot, for preceptor to the dauphin, who was to be taken out of the hands and direction of his parents, and to be delivered over to this fanatic atheist,, and furious democratic republican. His untractability to these leaders, and his figure in the club of Jacobins, which at that time they wished to bring under, alone prevented that part of the arrangement, and others in the same style, from being carried into execution. Whilst he was candidate for this office he produced his title to it by promulgating the following ideas of the title of his royal pupil to the crown. In a paper written by him, and published with his name, against the re-establishment, even of the appearance of monarchy under any qualifications, he aays: " Jusqu'd ce moment ils ['Assemblée Nationale] n'ont rien préjugé encore. En se reservant de nommer un gouverneur au dauphin, ils n'ont pas pro-
nonce que cet enfant dut regner ; mais seulement qu'il étoit possible que la constitution l'y destinât;

Doctrine of the Prench. ils ont voulu que l''ducation, effaçant tout ce que les prestiges du Tróne ont pu lui inspirer de prejugés sur les droits prétendus de sa naissance, qu'elle lui fit connoitre de bonne heure, et r'Egalité naturelle des hommes, et la Souverainett du peuple; qu'elle lui apprit in ne pas oublier que c'est du peuple qu'il tiendra le titre de roi, et que le peuple n'a pas méme le droit de renoncer à celui de Ten depouiller.
"Ils ont voulu que cette éducation le rendit également dimes. nar ses lumidres. et ses vertuas de recevoir anen re-
signation le fardeau dangereux d'une couronne, on de la déposer avec joie entre le msins de ses frères, qu'il sentit que le devoir, et la gloire du roi d'un peuple libre, est de hâtef le moment de n'être plus qu'un citoyen ordinaire.
"Ils ont voulu que l'inutilité d'un roi, la nécessité de chercher les moyens de remplacer un poutvoir fondé sur les illusions, fut une des premierres vérités offertes à as raison; Cobligation d'y concourir luiméme un des premières devoirs de sa morale; et le desir de n'être plur affranchi du joug de la loi, par une injurieuse inviolabilité, le premier sentiment de son creur. Ils. n'ignorent pas que dans ce moment il s'agit bien moins de former un roi que de lui apprendre à acvoir, a souloir ne plus Cétre."1

Such are the aentiments of the man who has occasionally filled the chair of the National Assembly, who is their perpetual secretary, their only standing officer, and the most important by far. He leads them to peace or war. He is the great theme of the republican faction in England. These ideas of M. Condorcet, are the principles of those to whom kings are to intrust their successors, and the intereste of their succession. This man would be ready to plunge the pomiard in the heart of his pupil, or to whet the aze for hill
\({ }^{2}\) Until now, they (the National Ansembly) have prejodgred nothing. Reserving to thembelves a right to sppoint a preceptor to the dauphin, they did not declare that this child woas io reipn; but only that postibly the constitation anighe destine him to it: they willed that while oducation should eface from his mind all the prejudices arising from the delurionp of the throne respecting his pretended birth-right, it ahould also teach him not to forget, that it is from the people he is to receive the title of king. and that the prople do mot even powew the sight of giving top their powert to eake it from hime.

They willed that this education thorid reader kim worthy by his knowledge, ath by his virtues, both to receive wilh andmicrion the dangerong burden of a crown, and to resign it with pleacore into the honds of hit brechren: that ho ehooid be conscions that the hatening of that moment when he ia to be only common citizen constitatet the daty and the gtory of a ting of a free people.

They willed that the wellespones of a king, the necemity of aecting means to entabliah sormething in tien of a poroer fowaded ox illmions, thould the one of the frat trathe offered to his reanom; the owigution of apn forming bimeself to this, the firrt of his moral duties; and the derive of wo ionger being freed from the yode of the law. by de injurione inviolability, the firat and chief aentiment of his hoant. They are not ignornm that in the preant moment the object is len to form a king than to tench hi chat he athould kwow how to mish no longer to be mel.
neck. Of all men, the most dangerous is a warm, hot-headed, zealons atheist. This sort of man aims at dominion, and his means are, the words he always has in his mouth, "L'égalité naturelle des hommes, et la souveraineté du peuple."

All former attempts, grounded ontthese rights of men, had proved unfortunate. The success of this last makes a mighty difference in the effect of the doctrine. Here is a principle of a nature, to the multitude, the most seductive, always oxisting before their eyes; as a thing feasible in practice. After so many failures, such an enterprise, previous to the French experiment, carried ruin to the contrivers, on the face of it; and if any enthusiast was so wild as to wish to engage in a scheme of that nature, it was not easy for him to find followers: now there is a party almost in all countries, ready made, animated with success, with a sure ally in the very centre of Europe. There is no cabal so obscure in apy place, that they do not protect, cherish, foster, and endeavour to raise it into importance at home and abroad. From the lowest, this intrigue will creep up to the highest. Ambition, as well as enthusiasm, may find its account in the party and in the principle.

The ministers of other kings, like those of the
king of France, (not one of whom was perfectly character of free from this guilt, and some of whom were very deep in it,) may themselves be the persons to foment such a disposition and auch a faction. Hertzberg, the king of Prussis's late minister, is so much of what is called a philosopher, that he was of a faction with that sort of politicians in everything, and in every place. Even when he defends himself from the imputation of giving extravagantly into these principles, he still considers the Revolution of France as a great public good, by giving credit to their fraudulent declaration of their universal benevolence, and love of peace. Nor are his Prussian Majesty's present ministers at all disinclined to the same system. Their ostentatious preamble to certain late edicts demonstrates (if their actions had not been sufficiently explanatory of their cast of mind) that they are deeply infected with the same distemper of dangerous, because plausible, though trivial and shallow speculation.

Ministers, turning their backs on the reputation which properly belongs to them, aspire at the glory of being specula-
tive writers. The duties of these two situations are, in general, directly opposite to each other. Bpeculators ought to be neutral. A minister cannot be so. He in to support the interest of the public as connected with that of bis msoter. He is his master's trustee, advocate, attorney, snd steward-and he is not to indulge in any speculation which contradicte that character, or even detracts from its efficacy. Necker had an extreme thirst for this cort of glory; wo had others; and this parsuit of a misplaced and misunderntood reputation was one of the causes of the ruin of these ministers, and of their unhappy master. The Prussian ministers in foreign courts have (at least not long since) talked the most democratic language with regard to France, and in the most unmanaged terms.

The whole corpe diplomatique, with very few
Corpe diplo-
matique. exceptions, leans that way. What canee produces in them a turn of mind, which at firot one would think unnatural to their situation, it is not impowible to explain. The discussion would however be nomewhat long and somewhat invidious. The fact itself is indispntable, however they may digguise it to their neveral courts. This disposition is gone to so very great a length in that corpm, in itself so important, and so important as furniahing the intelligence which sways all cabinets, that if princes and states do not very speedily attend with a vigorous control to that source of direction and information, very serious evile are likely to befall them.
 orders of their subjects, whether civil or military, laic or ecclesisatical. It is with persons of condition that sovereigns chiefly come into contact. It is from them that they generally experience opposition to their will. It is with their pride and impracticability, that princes are most hurt; it is with their servility and baseness, that they are mont commonly disgusted; it is from their humours and eabals, that they find their affairs most frequently troubled and dir. tracted. But of the common people, in pure monarchical governmenta, kingt know little or nothing; and therefore being unacquainted with their faulta, (which are as many as
those of the great, and much more decisive in their effects when accompanied with power,) kings generally regard them with tenderneas and favour, and turn their eyes towards that description of their subjects, particularly when hurt by opposition from the higher orders. It was thus that the king of France (a perpetual example to all sovereigns) was ruined. I have it from very sure information, (and indeed it was obvious enough from the measures which were taken previous to the assembly of the states, and afterwards, ) that the king's counsellors had filled him with a strong dislike to his nobility, his clergy, and the corps of his magistracy. They represented to him, that be had tried them all severally, in several ways, and found them all untractable. That he had twice called an assembly, (the notables,) composed of the first men of the clergy, the nobility, and the magistrates; that he had himeelf named every one member in those assemblies, and that, though so picked out, he had not, in this their collective state, found them more disposed to a compliance with his will than they had been separately. That there remained for him, with the least prospect of advantage to his authority in the states-general, which were to be composed of the aame sorts of men, but not chosen by him, only the tiers teat. In this alone he could repose any hope of ex.tricating himself from his difficulties; and of settling him in a clear and permanent authority. They represented, (these are the words of one of my informants,) "That the royal authority compressed with the weight of these aristocratic bodies, full of ambition, and of faction, when once unloaded, would rise of itself, and occupy its natural place without disturbance or control:", that the common people would protect, cherish, and support, instead of crushing it. "The people" (it was said) "could entertain no objects of ambition ;" they were out of the road of intrigue and cabal; and could possibly have no other view than the support of the mild and parental suthority by which they were invested, for the first time collectively, with real importance in the state, and protected in their peaceable and useful employments.

This unfortunate king (not without a large share of blame to himself) was deluded to his ruin by a desire to humble and reduce his no-

King of
Erance.
bility, elergy, and his corporate magistracy; not that I suppose he meant wholly to eradicate these bodies, in the manner since effected by the democratic power; I- rather believe that even Necker's devigns did not go to that extent. With his own hand, however, Louis XVI. pulled down the pillari which upheld his throne; and this he did, becaase he could not bear the inconveniences which are attached to everything human; becanse he found himself cooped up, and in durance, by those limits which nature preacribea to desire and imagination; and was taught to consider as low and degrading, that mutual dependence which Providence has ordained that all men should have on one another. He is not at this minute perhape cured of the dread of the power snd credit likely to be acquired by those who would wave and rescue him . He leaves these who suffer in his cause to their fate; and hopes by various, mean, delusive intrigues, in which I am afraid he is encouraged from abroad, to regain, among traitorn and regicides, the power he hat joined to take from his own family; whom he quietly sees proseribed before his eyes, and called to answer to the lowest of his rebels, sis the vilest, of all criminals.

It is to be boped that the emperor may be
zoperm. taught better things by this fatal example. But it is sure that he has advisers who endeavour to fill him with the ideas which bave brought his brother-in-law to his present situation. Joseph the Seeond was far gone in this philosophy, and tome, if not mont. Who nerve the emperor, would kindly initiate him into all the mysteries of this freemasonry. They would persuade him to look on the National Assembly not with the hatred of an enemy, but with the jealousy of a rival. They would make him desirous of doing, in his awn dominions, by a royal despotism, what hae been done in France by a demoeratic. Rather than abandon mach enterprises, they would persuade him to a strange alliance between those ertremes. Their grand object being now, as in his brother's time, at any rate to deatroy the higher ordera, they think he cannot compase this end, as certainly be cannot, without elevating the lower. By depreming the one and by raising the other, they hope in the first place to increase his treasures and him army; and with these common
instruments of royal power they flatter him that the democracy which they hetp, in his name, to create, will give him but little trouble. In defiance of the freshest experience, which might show him that old impossibilities are become modern probabilities, and that the extent to which evil principles may go, when left to their own operation, is beyond the power of calculation, they will endeavour to persuade him that such a democracy is a thing which cannot subsist by itself; that in whose hands soever the military command is placed, he must be, in the necessary course of affairs, sooner or later the master; and that, being the master of various unconnected countries, he may keep them all in order by employing a military force, which to each of them is foreign. This maxim too, however formerly plausible, will not now hold water. This echeme is full of intricacy, and may cause him everywhere to lose the hearts of his people. These counselloris forget that a corrupted army was the very cause of the ruin of his brother-in-law; and that he is himself far from secure from a similar corruption.
Instead of reconciling himself heartily and bond fide according to the most obvious rules of Bmbant. policy to the states, of Brabant, as they are constituted, and who in the present state of things stand on the same foundntion with the monarchy itself, and who might have been gained with the greatest facility, they have advised him to the mont unkingly proceeding which, either in a good or in a bad light, has ever been attempted. Under a pretext, taken from the spirit of the lowest chicane, they have counselled him wholly to break the public faith, to annul the amnesty, as well as the other conditions through which he obtained an entrance into the provinces of the Netherlands, under the guarantee of Great Britain and Prussia. He is made to declare his adherence to the indemnity in a criminal sense, but he is to keep alive in his own name, and to encourage in others, a civil process in the nature of an action of damages for what has been suffered during the troubles. Whilst he - keeps up this hopeful law-suit in view of the danages he may recover against individuals, he loses the hearts of a whole people, and the vast subsidies which his ancestors had been used to receive from them.

\section*{Emperoris conduet with reEard to Frine}

This design once admitted, onriddles the mysthe ministers with regard to France. As moon as they saw the life of the king and queen of France no longen as they thought in danger, they entirely changed their plan with regard to the French nation. I believe that the chiefu of the Revolution (those who led the constituting assembly) have contrived, as far as they can do it, to give the emperor satisfaction on this head. He keeps a continal tone and posture of menace to secure this his only point. But it must be observed, that he all along grounds his departure from the engagement at Pilnitz to the princes, on the will and actions of the hing and the majority of the people, without any regard to the natural, and constitutional orders of the state, or to the opinions of the whole house of Bourbon. Though it is manifestly ander the constraint of imprisonment and the fear of death, that this unhappy man has been gailty of all those humilities which have agtonished mankind, the advisers of the emperor will consider nothing but the physical person of Louis, which, even in his present degraded and infamous state, they regard as of aufficient authority to give as complete sanction to the pervecution and atter rain of all his family, and of every person who has shown any degree of attachment or fidelity to him, or to his canae; as well as competent to destroy the whole ancient constitntion and frame of the French monarchy.

The present policy, therefore, of the Austrisn politicians is to recover deapotimm through democracy; or, at least, at any expense, everywhers to ruin the dewcription of men who are everywhere the objects of their settled and syetematic aversion, but more etpecially in the Netherlands. Compare this with the emperor's refusing at fint all intercourse with the present powers in France, with his endeavouring to excite all Europe against them, and then, his not only withdrawing all assistance and all countenance from the fugitives who had been drama by his declarations from their houses, situations, and military emmissions, many even from the menns of their very existence, but treating them with every specien of insult and outrage.

Combining this unezampled conduct in the emperoc's advisers, with the timidity (operating as perfidy) of the king
of France, a fatal example is held out to all subjects, tending to show what little support, or even countenance, they are to expect from those for whom their principle of fidelity may induce them to risk life and fortune. The emperor's advisers would not for the world reacind one of the acts of this or of the late French Assembly; nor do they wish anything better at present for their master's brother of France, than that he should really be, as he is nominally, at the head of the system of persecution of religion and good order, and of all descriptions of dignity, natural and instituted; they only wish all this done with a little more respect to the king's person, and with more appearance of consideration for his new subordinate office; in hopes, that, yielding himself, for the present, to the persons who have effected these changes, he may be able to game for the rest hereafter. On no other principles than these, can the conduct of the court of Vienna be accounted for. The subordinate court of Brussels talks the language of a club of Feuillans and Jacobins.

In this state of general rottenness among subjects, and of delusion and false politics in Moderste princes, comes a new experiment. The king of France is in the hands of the chiefs of the regicide faction, the Barnaves, Lameths, Fayettes, Perigords, Duports, Robes pierres, Camuses, \&e. \&c. \&c. They who had imprisoned, suspended, and conditionally deposed him, are his confidential counsellors. The next desperate of the desperate rebels call themselves the moderate party. They are the chiefs of the first assembly, who are confederated to support their power during their suspension from the present, and to govern the existent body with as sovereign a sway as they had done the last. They have, for the greater part, succeeded; and they have many advantages towards procuring their success in future. Just before the close of their regular power, they bestowed some appearance of prerogatives on the king, which in their first plans they had refused to him; particularly the mischievous, and, in his situstion, dreadful, prerogative of a Veto. This prerogative, (which they hold as their bit in the mouth of the National Arsembly for the time being,) without the direct assistance of their club, it was impossible for the king to show even
vol. \(\pm 1\).
the desire of exerting with the malleat effect, or even with safety to his person. However, by playing through this Veto, the Assembly against the king, and the king against the Aesembly, they have made themselves mastern of both. In this situation, having destroyed the old government by their sedition, they would preserve as much of order as is necessary for the support of their own usurpation.

It is believed that this, by far the worst party French amber of the miscreants of France, has received direct encouragement from the counsellory who betray the emperor. Thus strengthened by the possession of the captive king, (now captive in his mind as well as in body,) and by a good hope of the emperor, they intend to send their ministers to every court in Europe; haring went before them such a denunciation of terror and auperiority to every nation without exception, as has no exsmple in the diplomatic world. Hitherto the ministers to foreign courte had been of the appointment of the sovereign of France previous to the Revolution; and, either from inclination, daty, or decorum, most of them were contented with a merely pasive obedience to the new power. At present, the king, being entirely in the bands of his jailors, and his mind broken to his situation, can send none bui the enthusiants of the system-men framed by the secret committee of the Fenillans, who meet in the honse of Madame de Stahl, M. Necker's daughter. Such is every man whom they have talked of sending hither. These ministers will be so many spies and incendiaries; so many active eminaries of democracy. Their houses will become places of rendezvous here, an everywhere else, and centres of cabal for whatever is mischievous and ualignant in this conntry, particularly among those of rank and fashion. As the minister of the National Aseembly will be admitted at this court, at least with his usual rant, and as entertainments will be naturally given and received by the king's own ministers, any atkempt to discountenance the nesort of other people to that minister would be ineffectual, and indeed absurd, and full of contradiction. The women who come with these ambaseadors will aenist in fomenting factions amongst ours, which cannot fail of extending the evil. Some of them I hear are already arrived. There ia no doubt they will do as much mischief as they can.

Whilst the public ministers are received under the general law of the communication between nations, the correspondences between the factious clubs in France and ours will be, as they now are, kept up: but this pretended embassy will be a closer, more steady, and more effectual link between the partisans of the new system on both sides of the water. I do not mean that these - Aoglo-Gallic clubs in London, Manchester, \&c., are not dangerous in a high degree. The appointment of festive anniversaries has ever in the sense of mankind been held the best method of keeping alive the spirit of any institution. We have one settled in London; and at the last of them, that of the 14th of July, the strong discountenance of government, the unfavourable time of the year, and the then uncertainty of the disposition of foreign powers, did not hinder the meeting of at least nine hundred people, with good coats on their backs, who could afford to pay half a guines a head to show their zeal for the new principles. They were with greal difficulty, and all possible address, hindered from inviting the French ambassador. His real indisposition, besides the fear of offending any party, sent him out of town. But when our court shall have recognised a government in France, founded on the principles announced in Montmorin's letter, how can the French ambassador be frowned upon for an attendance on those meetings, wherein the establishment of the government he represents is celebrated? An event happened a few days ago, which in many particulars was very ridiculous; yet, even from the ridicule and absurdity of the proceedinge, it marks the more strongly the spirit of the French Assembly. I mean the reception they have given to the Frith Street alliance. This, though the delirium of a low, drunken alehouse club, they have pablicly announced as a formal alliance with the people of England, as such ordered it to be presented to their king, and to be published in every province in France. This leads more directly, and with much greater force, than any proceeding with a regular and rational appearance, to two very material considerations. - First, it shows that they are of opinion that the current opimions of the English have the greatest influence on the minds of the people in France, and indeed of all the people in Europe, since they catch with such astonishing eagerness at every
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the most trifling show of such opinions in their favour. Next, and what appears to me to be full as important, it show: that they are willing publicly to countenance and even to adopt every factious conspiracy that can be formed in this nation, however low and base in itself, in order to excite in the most miserable wretches here an ides of their own sovereign importance, and to encourage them to look up to France, whenever they may be matured into something of more force for assistance in the subversion of their domestic government. This address of the alehouse club was actually proposed and accepted by the Assembly as an alliance. The procedure was in my opinion a high misdemeanonr in those who acted thus in England, if they were not no very low'and so very base, that no acts of theiry can be called high, even as a description of criminality; and the Asembly in accepting, proclaiming, and publishing this forged alliance, has been guilty of a plain aggression, which would justify our court in demanding a direct disarowal, if our policy should not lead us to wint at it.

Whilst I look over this paper to have it copied, I see a manifesto of the Aseembly, as a preliminary to a declaration of war against the German princen on the Rhine. This manifeato contains the whole substance of the French politics with regard to foreign etates. They bave ordered it to be circulated amongst the people in every country of \(\mathbb{Z}_{1}\) rope-even previonsly to its acceptance by the king, and bis new privy council, the elub of the Feuillans. Therefore, as a summary of their policy arowed by themselves, let us consider some of the circumstances attending that piece, as well as the spirit and temper of the piece itself.
Dechrutos It was preceded by a speech from Brisoot, full agimat of unerampled insolence towards all the sovercign exipenar. states of Germany, if not of Europe. The As sembly, to express their satisfaction in the sentiments which it contained, ordered it to be printed. This Brisoot had been in the lowest and basest employ ander the depowed monarchy; a sort of thief-taker, or epy of police; in which character he seted after the manner of persons in that description. He had been employed by his mater, the lieutenant de police, for a considerable time in Iandon, in the ame or aome much hononrable occopation The Herolution, which has brought
forward all merit of that kind, raised him, with others of a similar class and disposition, to fame and eminence. On the Revolution he became a publisher of an infamous newspaper, which he still continues. He is charged, and I believe justly, as the first mover of the troubles in Hispaniola. There is no wickedness, if I am rightly informed, in which he is not versed, and of which he is not perfectly capable. His quality of news writer, now an employment of the first dignity in France, and his practices and principles, procured his election into the Assembly, where he is one of the leading members. M. Condorcet produced on the same day a draft of a declaration to the king, which the Assembly published before it was presented.

Condorcet (though no marquis, as he styled himself before the Revolution) is a man of another sort of birth, fashion, and occupation from Brissot; but in every principle, and every disposition, to the lowest as well as the highest and most determined villanies, fully his equal. He seconds Brissot in the Assembly, and is at once his coadjutor and his rival in a newspaper, which, in his own name and as successor to M. Garat, a member also of the Assembly, he has just set up in that empire of Gazettes. Condorcet was chosen to draw the first declaration presented by the A ssembly to the king, as a threst to the elector of Treves, and the other princes on the Rhine. In that piece, in which both Feuillans and Jacobins concurred, they declared publicly, and most proudly and insolently, the principle on which they mean to proceed in their future disputes with any of the sovereigns of Europe ; for they say, "that it is not with fire and sword they mean to attack their territories, but by what will be more dreadful to them, the introduction of liberty," -I have not the paper by me to give the exact words--but I believe they are nearly as I state them. Dreadful indeed will be their hostility, if they should be able to carry it on according to the example of their modes of introducing liberty. They have shown a perfect model of their whole design, very complete, though in little. This gang of murderers and aavages have wholly laid waste and utterly ruined the beautiful and happy country of the Comtat Venaissin and the city of Avignon.' This cruel and treacherous outrage the sovereigos of Europe, in my opinion, with a great mia-
take of their honour and interest, have permitted, even with out a remonstrance, to be carried to the desired point, on the principles on which they are now themelves threatened in their own states; and this, because according to the poor and narrow spirit now in fashion, their brother sovereign, whose subjects have been thus traitorously and inhumanly treated in violation of the law of nature and of nations, has a name somewhat different from theirs, and instead of being styled king, or duke, or landgrave, is umally called pope.

The electors of Treves and Ments were fright-
State of the ened with the menace of a similar mode of war.
emplre. The Assembly, however, not thinking that the electors of Treves and Mentz had done enough under their first terror, have again brought forward Condorcet, preceded by Brissot, as I have just atated. The declaration, which they have crdered now to be circulated in all countriea, is in substance the same as the first, but still more insolent, because more full of detail. There they have the impradence to state that they aim at no conquest; insinuating that all the old, lawful powers of the world had each made a constant, open proression of a design of subduing his neighbours. They add, that if they are provoked, their war will be directed only against those who assume to be mavders. But to the people they will bring peace, law, liberty, de. Ac. There is not the least hint that they consider those whom they call persons "acouming co be masteri," to be the lawful government of their country, or persons to be treated with the least management or respect. They regasd them as usurpers and enslavers of the people." If I do not mistake, they are described by the name of tyrants in Condorcet's first draft. I am anre they are 00 in Briseot's speech, ordered by the Asaembly to be printed at the same time and for the same purposes. The whole is in the same strain, full of false philosophy and false rhetoric, both however calculated to eaptivate and influence the rulgar mind, and to excite sedition in the coumtries in which it is ordered to be cinculated. Indeed it is such, that if any of the lawful, acknowledged sovereigns of Europe had publiciy ordered mach a manifesto to be circulated in the domimions of another, the ambaseador of that power would inmantly be ordered to quit every court without an sudience.

The powers of Europe have a pretext for con- Effect of feas cealing their fears, by saying that this language ontwe sove. is not used by the kiag; though they well know reign powerl. that there is in effect no such person, that the Assembly is in reality, and by that king is acknowledged to be, the master; that what he does is but matter of formality, and that he can neither cause nor hinder, accelerate nor retard, any measure whatsoever, nor add to nor soften the manifesto which the Assembly has directed to be published, with the declared purpose of exciting mutiny and rebellion in the several countries governed by these powers: By the generality also of the menaces contained in this paper (though infinitely aggravating the outrage) they hope to remove from each power separately the idea of a distinct affront. The persons first pointed at by the menace are certainly the princes of Germany, who harbour the persecuted house of Bourbon and the nobility of France; the declaration, however, is general, and goes to every state with which they may have a cause of quarrel. But the terror of France has fallen upon all nations. A few months since all sovereigns seemed disposed to unite against her; at present they all seem to combine in her favour. At no period has the power of France ever appeared with so formidable an aspect. In particular the liberties of the empire can have nothing more than an existence the most tottering and precarious, whilst France exists with a great power of fomenting rebellion, and the greatest in the weakest; but with neither power nor disposition to support the smaller states in their independence against the attempts of the more powerful.
I wind up all in a full conviction within my own breast, and the substayce of which I must repeat over and over again, that the state of France is the first consideration in the politics of Europe, and of each state, externally as rell as internally considered:
Most of the topies I have used are drawn from fear and apprehension. Topice derived from fear or addressed to it are, I well know, of doubtful appearance. To be sure, hope is in general the incitement to action. Alarm some menyou do not drive them to provide for their eecurity; you put them to a stand ; you induce them, not to take measures
to prevent the approach of danger, but to remove so unplessant an idea from their minds; you persuade them to remain as they are, from a new fear that their activity may bring on the apprehended mischief before its time. I confess freely that this evil sometimes happens from an overdone precaution; but it is when the measures are rash, ill chosen, or ill combined, and the effecta rather of blind terror than of enlightened foresight. But the few to whom I wish to submit my thoughts are of a character which will enable them to see danger without astonishment, and to provide againat it without perplerity.

To what lengths this method of circulating mutinous manifestoes, and of keeping emissaries of sedition in every court under the name of ambasssdors, to propagate the same principles and to follow the practices, will go, and how soon they will operate, it is bard to say ; but go on it willmore or less rapidly, according to events, and to the hnmour of the time. The princes menaced with the revolt of their subjects, at the same time that they have obsequiously obeged the sovereign mandate of the new Roman senato, have received with distinction, in a public character, ambaseadors from those who in the asme act had circulated the manifesto of sedition in their dominions. This was the only thing wanting to the degradation and diagrace of the Germanic body.

The ambasadors from the rights of man, and their admission into the diplomatic system, I hold to be a new ara in this business. It will be the most important step yet taken to affect the existence of sovereignn, and the bigher classes of life-I do not mean to exclude its effects upon all classe\%-but the first blow is aimed st the more prominent parts in the ancient order of things.

What is to be done?
It would be presumption in me to do more than to make a case. Many thinge occur. But an they, like all political measures, depend on dispositions, tempers, means, and external circumstances, for all their eflect, not being well ascured of these, I do not know how to let loowe any speculations of mine on the subject. The evil is stated, in my opinion, as it exists. The remedy muat be where power,
wisdom, and information, I hope, are more united with good inteutions than they can be with me. I have done with this subject, I believe, for ever. It has given me many anxious moments for the two last years. If a great change is to be made in human affairs, the minds of men will be fitted to it , the general opinions and feelings will draw that way. Every fear, every hope, will forward it; and then they, who persist in opposing this mighty current in human affairs, will appear rather to resist the decrees of Providence itself, than the mere designs of men. They will not be resolute and firm, but perverse and obstinate.
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\section*{WRITIEN IM MOVEMBEA, 178.}

That France by itis mere geographical position, independ ently of every other circumetance, must affect every utate of Europe; some of them immedistely, all of them through mediams not very remote.

That the standing policy of this kingdom ever has been to watch over the external proceedings of France, (whatever form the interior government of that kingdom might take,) and to prevent the ertension of its domimion, or ite ruling influence, over other states.

That there is nothing in the present internal state of thingw in France, which alters the national policy with regard to the exterior relations of that country.

That there are, on the contrary, many thing in the internal circomgtances of France, (and perbaps of this country too,) which tend to fortify the principles of that fundamental policy; and which render the active asaertion of thome principles more pressing at this than at any former time.

That, by a change effected in about three weekn, France has been able to penctrate into the heart of Germany; to make an absolute conquest of \&avoy; to menace an immediste invasion of the Netherlands; and to awe and overbeor the whole Helvetic body, which is in a most perilous situstion. The great aristocratic cantons haring, perhaps, at much or more to dread from their own people whom they arm, but do not choose or dare to employ, as from the foreign
enemy, which against all public faith has butchered their troops, serving by treaty in France. To this picture it is bardly necessary to add the means by which France has been enabled to effect all this, namely, the apparently entire destruction of one of the largest, and certainly the highest disciplined and best appointed, army ever seen, headed by the first military sovereign in Europe, with a captain under him of the greatest renown; and that without a blow given or received on any side. This state of things seems to me, even if it went no further, truly serious.
Circumstances have enabled France to do all this by land. On the other element she has begun to exert herself; and the must succeed in her designs, if enemies very different from those she has hitherto had to encounter do not resiat her.

She has fitted out a naval force, now actually at sea, by which she is enabled to give law to the whole Mediterranean. It is known as a fact (and if not so known, it is in the nature of things highly probable) that she proposes the ravage of the Ecclesiastical atate, and the pillage of Rome, as her first object ; that next she means to bombard Naples; to awe, to humble, and thus to command, all Italy-to force it to a nominal neutrality, but to a real dependence-to compel the Italian princes and republics to admit the free entrance of the French commerce, an open intercourse, and, the sure concomitant of that intercourse, the affiliated societies, in a manner similar to those she has established at Avignon, the Comtat, Chamberry, London, Manchester, \&c. \&e., which are so many coloniea planted in all these countries, for extending the influence, and securing the dominion, of the French republic.

That there never has been hitherto a period in which this kingdom would have suffered a French fleet to domineer in the Mediterranean, and to foree Italy to submit to such terms as France would think fio to impose-to say nothing of what has been done upon land in support of the same system. The great object for which we preserved Minorca, whilst we could keep it, and for which we still retain Gibraltar, both at a great expense, was, and is, to prevent the predominance of France over the Mediterranean.
Thus far as to the certain and immediate effect of that armament upon the Italian atates. The probable effect
which that armament, and the other armaments preparing at Toulon and other ports, may have upon Sparm, on the side of the Mediterranean, is worthy of the serious attention of the British councilo.

That it is most probable, we may say, in a manner certain, that if there should be a ruptare between France and Spain, France will not confine ber offensive piratical operations against Spain to her efforts in the Mediterranean ; on which side, however, she may grievously affect Spain, especially if she excites Morocco and Algiers, which undoubtedly she will, to fall upon that power.

That she will fit out armaments upon the ocean, by which the flota itseli' may be intercepted, and thus the treasures of all Europe, as well as the largeat and surest resources of the Spanish monarchy, may be conveyed into France, and become powerfal instruments for the annoyance of all her neighbours.

That she makes no secret of her designs.
That, if the inward and outward bound flots should encape, atill France has more and better means of dissevering many of the provinces in the West and East Indiea from the state of Spain, than Holland had when the succeeded in the same attempt. The French marine resemblem not a little the old armaments of the Flibustiers, which about a century back, in conjunetion with pirates of our nation, brought auch calamities upon the Spanish colonies. They differ only in this, that the present piratical force is, out of all mensure and comparison, greater; one hundred and fifty whipe of the line, and frigates, being ready built, moat of them in a manmer new, and all applicable in different ways to that service. Privateers and Moorish corsairs possess not the best seamanahip, and very little discipline, and inderd can make no figure in regular service, but in desperate adventurea, and animated with a lust of plunder, they are truly formidable.

That the land forces of France aro well adapted to concur with their marine in conjunct expeditions of this nature. In such expeditions, enterprise sapplies the want of discipline, and perhaps more than mupplies it. Both for this, and for other service, (however contemptible their military is in other respecta, ) one arm is extremely good, the engineering and artillery branch. The old officer carpu in boti being com-
posed for the greater part of those who were not gentlemen, or gentlemen newly such, few have abandoned the service, and the men are veterans well enough disciplined, and very expert. In this piratical way they must make wart with good advantage. They must do so, even on the side of Flanders, either offensively or defensively. This shows the difference between the policy of Lovis the Fourteenth, who built a wall of brass about his kingdom; and that of Joseph the Second, who premeditately uncovered his whole frontier.

That Spain, from the actual and expected prevalence of French power, is in a most perilous situation; perfectly dependent on the mercy of that republic. If Austria is broken, or even humbled, she will not dare to dispute its mandates.
In the present state of things, we bave nothing at all to dread from the power of Spain by sea, or by land, or from any rivalry in commerce.
That we have much to dread from the connexions into which Spain may be forced.

From the circumstances of her territorial possessions, of her resources, and the whole of her civil and political state, we nay be suthorized safely, and with undoubted confidence, to affirm, that
Spain is not a substantive power:
That ahe must lean on France, or on England.
That it is as much for the interest of Great Britain to prevent the predominancy of a French interest in that kingdom, as if Spain were a province of the crown of Great Britain, or a state actually dependent on it; full as much so as ever Portugal was reputed to be: This is a dependency of much greater value: and its destruction, or its being carried to any other dependency, of much more serious misfortune.
One of these two things must happen: Either Spain must submit to circumstances, and take such conditions as France will impose; or ahe must engage in hostilities along with the emperor and the king of Sardinia.

If Spain should be forced or awed into a treaty with the republic of France, she must open her ports and her commerce, as well as the land communication for the French labourers, who were accustomed annually to gather in the harrest in Spain. Indeed she must grant a free communj-
cation for travellers and traders through her whole country. In that case it is not conjectural, it is certain, the clubs will give lnw in the provinces; Bourgoing, or some vuch miscreant, will-give law at Madrid.

In this England may acquiesce if she pleasen; and France will conclude a triumphant peace with Spain under her absolute dependence, with a broad highway into that, and into every atate of Europe. She actually invites Great Britain to divide with her the spoils of the new world, and to make a partition of the Spanish monarchy. Clearly it is better to do so, than to suffer France to possess those spoils and that territory alone; which, without doubt, unresisted by us, she is altogether as able, so she is willing, to do.

This plan is proposed by the French, in the way in which they propose all their plans; and in the only way in which indeed they can propose them, where there is no regular communication between his Majesty and their republic.

What they propose is a plan. It is a plan also to resist their predatory project. To remain quiet, and to suffer them to make their own use of a naval power before our face, so as to awe and bully Spain into a submissive peace, or to drive them into a ruinous war, without any measure on our part, I fear is no plan at all.

However, if the plan of co-operation which France desires, and which her affiliated societies here ardently wish and are constantly writing up, should not be adopted, and the war between the emperor and France should continue, I think it not at all lisely that Spain should not be drawn into the quarrel. In that case, the neutrality of England will be a thing absolutely impossible. The time only is the subject of deliberation.

Then the question will be, whether we are to defer putting ourselves into a posture for the common defence, either by armament, or negotiation, or both, until Spain is actually attacked; that is, whether our court will take a decided part for Spain, whilst Spain, on her side, is yet in a condition to act with whatever degree of vigpur she may have, whilat that vigour is yet mexhnosted; or whether we shall connect ourselves with her broken fortunes; after she shall have received material blows, and when we shall have the whole slow length of that always wnwieldy, and ill-constructed,
and then wonnded and crippled body, to drag after us, rather: than to aid us. Whilst our disposition is uncertain, Spain will not dare to put herself in such a state of defence as will make her hostility formidable, or ber neutrality respectable.
If the decision is such as the solution of this question (I take it to be the true question) conducts to-no time is to be lost. But the measures, though prompt, ought not to be rash and indigested. They ought to be well chosen, well combined, and well pursued. The system must be general; but it must be executed, not successively, or with interruption, bat all together, uno flatu, in one melting, and one mould.
For this purpose, we must put Europe before us, which plainly is, just now, in all its parts, in a state of dismay, derangement, and confusion; and very possibly, amongst all its sovereigns, full of secret heart-burning, distrist, and mu: tual accusation. Perhaps it may labour under worse evils. There is no vigour anywhere, except the distempered vigour and energy of France. That country has but too much life in it, when everything around is so disposed to tameness and languor. The very vices of the French system at home tend to give force to foreign exertions. The generals must join the armies. They must lead them to enterprise, or they are likely to perish by their hands. Thus, without law or government of her own, France gives law to all the governments in Europe.

This great mass of political matter must have been always under the view of thinkers for the public, whether they act in office or not. Amongst events, even the late calamitous events were in the book of contingency. Of course, they must have been in design, at least, provided for. A plan, which takes in as many as possible of the states concerned, will rather tend to facilitate and simplify a rational scheme for preserving Spain, (if that were our sole, as I think it ought to be our principal, object,) than to delay and perplex it.
If we should think that a provident policy (perhaps now more than provident, urgent and necessary) should lead us to act, we cannot take mensures as if nothing bad been done. We must see the faults, if any, which have conducted to the present misfortunes; not for the sake of criticism, military or political, or from the common motives of blaming
persons and counsele which have not been successful; bat in order, if we can, to administer some remedy to these disasters, by the adoption of plans more bottomed in principle, and built on with more diacretion. Mistakes may be lewons.

There seem indeed to have been several mistaken in the political principlea on which the war was entered into, as well as in the plans upon which it was conducted; some of them very fandamental, and not only visibly, but, I may say, palpably, erroneous; and I think him to have less than the discernment of a very ordinary statesman, who could not foresee, from the very beginning, unpleasant consequences from those plans, though not the unparalleled disgraces and disasters which really did attend them: for they were, boths priaciples and measures, wholly new and out of the common: course, withont anything apparently very grand in the conception, to justify this total departure from all rule.

For, in the first place, the united sovereigns very much injured their cause by admitting, that they had nothing to do with the interior arrangements of France; in contra diction to the whole tenor of the public law of Europe, and to the correspondent practice of all its states, from the time we have any hirtory of them. In this particular, the two German courts seem to have as little conmulted the publicists of Germany, as their own true interests, and those of ail the sovereigns of Germany and Europe. This admission of a false principle in the law of nations brought them into an apparent contradiction, when they insisted on the re-entablishment of the royal authority in France. But this confused and contradictory proceeding gave rive to a practieal error of worse consequence. It was derived from one and the came root; namely, that the person of the monarch of France was everything; and the monarchy, and the intermediate orders of the otate, by which the monarchy was upheld, were nothing. So that if the united potentatew had succeeded so far as to re-entablish the authority of that king, and that he should be a ill-adrised ats to confirm all the confiscations, and to recognise as a lawful body, and to clase himself with that mable of murderens, (and there wanted not persons who would so have adrised him,) there was nothing in the principle or in the proceeding of the united powers, to prevent such an arrangement.

An expedition to free a brother sovereign from prison was undoubtedly a generous and chivalrous undertaking. But the espirit and generosity would not have been less, if the policy had been more profound, and more comprehensive; that is, if it had taken in those considerations, and those persons, by whom, and, in some measure, for whom, monarchy exists. This would become a bottom for a system of solid and permanent policy, and of operations conformsble to that system.
The same fruitful error was the cause why nothing was done to impress the people of France (so far as we can at all consider the inhabitants of France as a people) with an idea that the government was ever to be really French, or indeed anything else than the nominal government of a monarch, a monarch absolute as over them, but whose sole support was to arise from foreign potentates, and who was to be kept on his throne by German forces; in short, that the king of France was to be a riceroy to the emperor and the king of Prussia.

It was the first time that foreign powers, interfering in the conoerns of a nation divided into parties, have thought proper to thrust wholly out of their councils, to postpone, to discountenance, to reject, and, in a manner, to disgrace, the party whom those powers came to support. The single person of a king cannot be a party. Woe to the king who is himself his party! The royal party with the king or his representatives at its head is the royal cause. Foreign powers have hitherto chosen to give to such wars as this the appearance of a évil contest, and not that of a hostile invasion. When the Spaniards, in the sixteenth century, sent aids to the chiefs of the league, they sppeared as alliee to that league, and to the imprisoned king (the Cardinal de Bourbon) which that league had set up. When the Germans came to the aid of the Protestant princes, in the same series of civil wars, they came ss allies. When the English came to the aid of Henry the Fourth, they appeared as allies to that prince. So did the French always when they intermeddled in the affairs of Germany. They came to aid a party there. When the English and Dutch intermeddled in the succession of Spain, they appeared as allies to the emperor Charles the Sirth. In ahort, the policy has been as uniform as its principles were obvious to an ordinary eye.
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According to all the old principles of law and policy, a regency ought to have been sppointed by the French princes of the blood, nobles, and parliamente, and then recognised by the combined powers. Fundamental law and ancient usage, as well as the clear resson of the thing, have always ordained it during an imprisonment of the king of France; as in the case of John, and of Francis the First. A monarchy ought not to be left a moment without a repreventative, having an interest in the succession. The orders of the state ought also to have been recognised in thowe amongst whom alone they existed in freedom, that is, in the emigranta.

Thus, laying down a firm foundation on the recognition of the authorities of the kingdom of France, according to nat ure and to its fundamental laws, and not according to the novel and inconsiderate principles of the usurpation which the united powers were come to extirpate, the king of Prussia and the emperor, as allies of the ancient kingdom of France, would have proceeded with dignity, firts, to free the monarch, if possible; if not, to secure the monarchy as principal in the design: and in order to avoid all risks to thast great object, (the object of other age* than the present, and of other countries than that of France,) they would of course avoid proceeding with more haste, or in a different manner, tham what the nature of such an object required.

Adopting this, the only rational syutem, the rational mode of proceeding apon it, was to commence with an effective siege of Lisle, which the French generals must have meen taken before their faces, or be forced to fight. A plentiful -country of friends, from whence to draw supplies, would have been behind them; a plentiful country of enemies, from Whence to force supplies, would have been before them. Good towns were alway" within reach to deposit their bospitals and magazines. The march from Lisle to Paris is through a leas defensible country, and the distance in hardly so great as from Langwy to Paris.

If the old politic and military idean had governed, the adranced guard would have been formed of those who bent knew the country, and had some interest in it, supported by some of the beat light troope and light artillery, whilst the grand aolid body of an army disciplined to perfection, pro-
ceeded leisurely, and in close connexion with all its stores, provisions, and heavy cannon, to support the expedite body in case of misadventure, or to improve and complete its success.

The direct contrary of all this was put in practice. In consequence of the original sin of this project, the army of the French princes was everywhere thrown into the rear, and no part of it brought forward to the last moment, the time of the commencement of the secret negotiation. This naturally made an ill impression on the people, and furnished an occasion for the rebels at Paris to give out that the faithful subjects of the king were distrusted, despised, and abhorred by his allies. The march was directed through \(s\) skirt of Lorraine, and thence into a part of Champagne, the Duke of Brunswiek leaving all the strongest places behind him; learing also behind him the strength of his artillery; and by this means giving a superiority to the French, in the only way in which the present. France is able to oppose a German force.

In consequence of the adoption of those false politics, which turned everything on the king's sole and single person, the whole plan of the war was reduced to nothing but a coup de main, in order to set that prince at liberty. If that failed everything was to be given up.

The scheme of a coup de main might (under favourable circumstances) be very fit for a partisan at the head of a light corps, by whose failure nothing material would be deranged. But for a royal army of eighty thousand men, headed by a king in person, who was to march an hundred and fifty miles through an enemy's country--surely this was a plan unheard of.

Although this plan was not well chosen, and proceeded upon principles altogether ill judged and impolitic, the superiority of the military force might in a great degree have oupplied the defects, and furnished a corrective to the mistakes. The greater probability was that the Duke of Brunswick would make his way to Paris over the bellies of the rabble of drunkards, robbers, assassins, rioters, mutineers, and half-grown boys, under the ill-obeyed command of a theatrical, vapouring, reduced captain of cavalry, who opposed that great commander and great army. But-Diis aliter
visum-He began to treat, the winds blew, and the rains beat, the house fell-becsuse it was built upon sand-and great was the fall thereof. This march was not an exact copy of either of the two marches made by the Duke of Parma into France.

There is some secret. Sickness and weather may defeat an army pursuing a wrong plan; not that I believe the sickness to have been so great as it has been reported; but there is a great deal of superfluous humiliation in this business, a perfect prodigality of disgrace. Some advantage, real or magnary, must compensate to a great sovercign, and to a great general, for so immense a low of reputation. Longwy, situated as it is, might (one should think) be evacuated without a capitulatiou with a republic just proclaimed by the king of Prussia as an usurping and rebellious body. He was not far from Luxembourg. He might have taken away the obnoxious French in his flight. It does not appear to have been neceasary that those magistrates who declared for their own king, on the faith, and under the immediate protection, of the king of Prussia, should be delivered over to the gallowa. It was not necessary that the emigrant nobility and gentry who served with the ling of Pruasia's army, under his img mediate command, should be excluded from the cartel, and given up to be banged as rebels. Never was oogroas and me cruel a breach of the public faith, not with an enemy, but with a friend. Dumourier has dropped very singular hintat Custine has spoken out more broadly. These accounts hare never been contradicted. They tend to make an eternsi rupture between the powers. The French have given out, that the Dnke of Brunswick endeavoured to negotiate some name and place for the captive king, anongst the murderere and proscribers of those who have loat their all for his cause. Even this has not been denied.

It is singular, and, indeed, a thing, under all ita circumetences, inconceivable, that everything chould by the enm peror be abandoned to the king of Prussin. That monarcle was considered as principal. In the nature of things, ss well as in his porition with regard to the war, be wan only an allys and a new ally, with ecossing intereste in many particulars and of a policy rather uncertain. At bent, and appposing him to act with the greateat fidelity, the emperor and the
empire, to him, must be but secondary objects. Countries out of Germany must affect him in a still more remote manner. France, other than from the fear of its doctrinal principles, can to him be no object at all. Accordingly, the Rhine, Sardinia, and the Swiss, are left to their fate. The king of Prussia has no direct and immediate concern with France; consequentially, to be sure, a great deal: but the emperor touches France directly in many parts; he is a near neighbour to Sardinia, by his Milauese territories; he borders on Switzerland; Cologne, possessed by his uncle, is betwoen Mentz, Treves, and the king of Prussia's territories on the Lower Rhine. The emperor is the natural guardian of Italy and Germany; the natural balance against the ambition of France, whether republican or monarchical. His ministers and his generals, therefore, ought to hare had their full ahare in every material consultation, which I suspect they had not. If he has no minister capable of plans of policy, which comprehend the suparintendency of a war, or no general with the least of a political head, things have been as they must be. However, in all the parts of this strange proceeding there must be a secret.
It is probably known to ministers. I do not mean to penetrate into it. My speculations on this head must be only conjectural, If the king of Prussia under the pretext, or on the reality, of some information relative to ill practice on the part of the court of Vienna, takes advantage of his being admitted into the heart of the emperor's dominions in the character of an ally, afterwards, to join the common enemy, and to enable France to seize the Netherlands, and to reduce and humble the empire, I cannot conceive, upon every principle, anything more alarming for this country, separately, and as a part of the general syystem. After all, we may be looking in vain in the regions of politics, for what is only the operation of temper and character upon accidental circumstances-But I never knew accidents to decide the whole of any great business ; and I never knew temper to act, but that some system of politics, agreeable to its peculinr spirit, was blended with it, estrengthened it, and got strength from it. Therefore the politics can bardly be put out of the question.

Great matales have been committed; at least I hope so.

If there have been none, the case in future is desperath I have endeavoured to point out some of those which hav occurred to me, and most of them very early.

Whatever may be the cause of the present state of thing: on a full and mature view and comparison of the bistorica matter, of the tranasctions that have passed before our eyen and of the future prospect, 1 think \(I\) am authorized to forn an opinion without the least hesitation.
That there never was, nor is, nor ever will be, nor eves can be, the least rational hope of making an impression or France by any continental powers, if England is not a part is not the directing part, is not the soul, of the whole con federacy against it.

This, so far as it is an anticipation of fature, is grounded on the whole tenor of former history.-In speculation it it to be accounted for on two plain principles.
First, That Great Britain is likely to take a more fair and equal part in the allinnce, than the other powers, st haring less of crossing interest, or perplexed discussion with any of them.

Secondly, Because France cannot have to deal with any of these continental sovereigns, without their feeling that nation, as a maritime power, greatly superior to them all put together; a force which is only to be kept in cbeck by England.

England, except daring the eccentric aberration of Charles the Seeond, has always considered it as her duty and interest to take her place in such a confederacy. Her chief dil putes must ever be with France, and if England uhows hermelf indifferent and anconcerned when these powers are combined against the enterprises of Frances ahe is to look with certainty for the same indifference on the part of thene powers, when she may be at war with ihat nation. This will tend totally to discomect this kingdom from the system of Europe, in which, if she ought not rashly to meddle, she ought never wholly to withdraw bervelf from it.
If then England is put in motion, whether by a consideration of the general asafety; or of the influence of France upon Spain, or by the probable operations of this new syotem on the Netherlands, it must embrace in its project the whole as much as postible, and the part it takes ought to be an much an possible a leading and presiding part.

I therefore beg leave to suggest,
First, That a minister should forthwith be sent to Spain, to encourage that court to persevere in the measures they have adopted against France; to make a close alliance and guarantee of possessions, as againgt France, with that power, and, whilst the formality of the treaty is pending, to assure them of our protection, postponing any lesser disputes to another occasion.
Secondly, To assure the court of Vienna, of our desire to enter into our ancient connexions with her, and to support her effectually in the war which France has declared against her.
Thirdly, To animate the Swiss, and the king of Sardinis, to take a part, as the latter once did on the principles of the grand alliance.
Fourthly, To put an end to our disputes with Russia, and mutually to forget the past. I believe if she is satisfied of this oblivion, she will return to her old sentiments, with regard to this court, and will take a more forward part in this business than any other power.

Fifthly, If what has happened to the king of Pryesia is . only in consequence of a sort of panic or of levity, and an indisposition to persevere long in one design-the support and concurrence of Russia will tend to steady him, and to give him resolution. If he he ill disposed, with that power on his back, and without one ally in Europe, I conceive he will not be easily led to derange the plan.

Sixthly, To use the joint influence of our court, and of our then allied powers, with Holland, to arm as fully as she can by sea, and to make some addition by land. .

Seventhly, to acknowledge the king of France's next brother, (assisted by such a council and such representatives of the kingdom of France, as shall be thought proper,) regent of France, and to send that prince a small supply of money, arms, clothing, and artillery.

Eighthly, To give force to these negotiations, an instant naval armament ought to be adopted; one squadron for the Mediterranean; another for the Channel. The season is convenient, most of our trade being, as I take it, at home.

After speaking of a plan formed upon the ancient policy and practice of Great Britain, and of Europe; to which this
is exactly conformable in every respect, with no deviation whatsoever, and which it, I conceive, mach more strongly called for by the present circumstancen than by any former; I must take notice of another, which I hear, but cannot persuade myself to believe, is in agitation. This plan is grounded upon the very same view of things which is here stated, namely, the danger to all sovereigns, and old republicu, from the prevalence of French power and influence.

It is to form a congress of all the European powers, for the purpose of a general defensive alliance, the objects of which chould be,

First, The recognition of this new republic, (which they well know is formed on the principles, and for the declared parpose, of the destruction of all kings,) and, whenever the heads of this new republic shall consent to release the royal captives, to make peace with them.

Secondly, To defend themselves with their joint forces against the open aggressions or the wecret practices, intrigues, and writings, which are used to propagate the French principles.

It is easy to discover from whose shop this commodity comes. It is so perfectly absurd, that if that, or anything like it, meets with a serious entertainment in any cabinet, \(I\) thall think it the effect of what js called a judicial blindnew, the certain forerunner of the destruction of all crommand kingdoms.

An offentive alliance, in which union ia preserved, by common efforts in common dangers, against a common active enemy, masy preserve its eonsistency, and may produce, for a given time, some considerable effect; though this is not eany, and for any very long period can hardly be expeeted. But a defenmive allisnce, formed of long discordant interenta, with innumerable discussions existing, having no ane pointed object to which it is directed, which is to be held together with an unremitted vigilance, as watchful in peace st in wir, is so evidently impossible, is meh a chimera, is so contrary to homan nature, and the course of homan affirirs, that 1 am persuaded no person in his senses, except thowe whose country, religion, and wovereign aro deposited in the French funds, could drean of it. Thers in not the alightest petty boundary suit, no difference between E family arrangement,
no sort of misunderstanding or cross purpose between the pride and etiquette of courts, that would not entirely digjoint this sort of alliance, and render it as futile in its effects, as it is feeble in its principle. But when we consider that the main drift of that defensive alliance must be to prevent the operation of intrigue, mischievous doctrine, and evil example, in the success of unprovoled rebellion, regicide, and systematic assassination and massacre, the absurdity of such a scheme becomes quite lamentable. Open the communication with France, and the rest follows of course.

How far the interior circumstances of this country support what is said with regard to its foreign politics, must be left to better judgments. I am sure the French faction here is infinitely strengthened by the success of the assassins on the other side of the water. This evil in the heart of Eorope must be extirpated from that centre, or no part of the circumference can be free from the mischief which radiates from it, and which will spread circle beyond circle, in spite of all the little defensive precautions which can be employed against it:

I do not put my name to thess hints submitted to the consideration of reflecting men. It is of too little importance to suppose the name of the writer could add any weight to the state of things contained in this paper. That state of things presses irresistibly on my judgment, and it lies, and has long lain, with a heavy weight upon my mind. I cannot think that what is done in France is beneficial to the human race. If it were, the English constitution ought no more to stand against it than the ancient constitution of the lingdom in which the new system prevails. I thought it the duty of a man, not anconcerned for the public, and who is a faithful subject of the king, respectfully to submit this state of facts, at this new atep in the progress of the French arms and politics, to his Majesty, to his confidential servants, and to those persons who, though not in office, by their birth, their rank, their fortune, their character, and their reputation for wisdom, seem to me to have a large stake in the stability of the ancient order of things.
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\section*{REMARKS ON THE POLICY OF THE ALLIES}

\section*{WITH RESPECT TO PRANCE}

BEGUY IM OCTOBER, 1798.

As the proposed manifesto is, I understand, to promul gate to the world the general ides of a plan for the regulation of a great kingdom, and through the regulation of that kingdom probably to decide the fate of Lurope for ever, nothing requires a more serious deliberation with regard to the time of making it, the circumstances of those to whom it is addreased, and the matter it is to contain.

As to the time, (with the due diffidence in my own opinion,) I have some doubts whether it is not rather unfavourable to the issuing any manifesto, with regarid to the intended government of France: and for this reason, that it is (upon the principal point of our attack) a time of calamity and defeat. Manifestoes of this nature are commonly made when the army of come sovereign enters into the enemy' country in great force, and under the imporing authority of that force employe mencees towards those whom be desires to awe, and makes promises to those whom be wishes to engage in his favour.

As to a party, what has been done at Toulon leaves no doubt, that the party for which we declare must be that which substantially declares for royalty an the basis of the government.

As to menaces-Nothing, in my opinion, can contribute more effectually to lower any wovereign in the public entimation, and to turn hia defents into diegraces, than to
threaten in a moment of impotence. The second manifesto of the Duke of Brunswick appeared, therefore, to the world to be extremely ill-timed. However, if his menaces in that manifesto had been seasonable, they were not without an object. Great crimes then apprehended, and great evils then impending, were to be prevented. At this time, every act, which early menaces might possibly' have prevented, is done. Punishment and vengeance alone remain, and God forbid that they should ever be forgotten. But the punishment of enormous offenders will not be the less severe, or the less exemplary, when it is not threatened at a moment when we have it not in our power to execute our threats. On the other side, to pass by proceedings of such a nefarious nature, in all kinds, as have been carried on in France, without any signification of resentment, would be in effect to ratify them; and thus to become accessaries after the fact, in all those enormities which it is impossible to repeat, or think of without horror. An absolute silence appears to me to be at this time the only safe course.

The second usual matter of manifestoes is composed of promises to those who co-operate with our designs. These promises depend in a great measure, if not wholly, on the apparent power of the person who makes them to fulfil his engagemente. A time of disaster on the part of the promiser, seems not to add much to the dignity of his person, or to the effect of bis offers. One would hardly wish to se-. duce any unhappy persons to give the last provocation to a merciless tyranny, without very effectual means of protecting them.

The time, therefore, seems (as I said) not favourable to a general manifesto, on account of the unpleasant situation of our affairs. However, I write in a changing acene, when a measure, very imprudent to-day, may be very proper tomorrow. Some great victory may alter the whole state of the question, so for as it regards our power of fulfilling any engagement we may think fit to make.

But there is another consideration of far greater importance for all the purposes of this manifesto. The public, and the parties concerned, will look somewhat to the disposition of the promiser indicated by his conduct, as well as to his power of fulfilling his engagements.

Speaking of this nation as part of a general combination of powers, are we quite sure, that others can believe us to be sincere, or that we can be even fully amsured of our own sincerity, in the protection of those who shall risk their live for the restoration of monarchy in France, when the world sees, that those who are the natural, legal, constitutional representatives of that monarchy, if it has any, have not had their names so much as mentioned in any one public act; that in no way whatever are their persons brought forward; that their rights have not been expressly or implicitly allowed, and that they have not been in the least consulted on the important interests they have at etake. On the contrary, they are kept in a atate of obscurity and contempt, and in a degree of indigence at times bordering on beggary. They are, in fact, little less prisoners in the village of Hansu, than the royal captives who are locked up in the tower of the Temple. What is this, according to the common indications which gaide the judgment of mankind, but, under the pretert of protecting the crown of France, in reality to usurp it ?

I am also very apprebensive, that there are other circumstances which must tend to weaken the force of our declarations. No partiality to the allied powers can prevent great doubts on the fairnest of our intentions as supporters of the crown of France, or of the true principles of legitimato government in opposition to Jacobinism, when it in visible that the two leading orders of the state of France, who are now the victims, and who must always be the true and sole supporters of monarchy in that country, are, at bent, in some of their descriptions, considered only objecte of charity, and others are, when employed, employed only as mercenary soldiers; that they are thrown back out of all reputable service, are in a manner disowned, considered as nothing in their own canse, and never once consulted in the concerns of their king, their country, their laws, their religion, and their property? We even affect to be ashamed of them. In all our proceedings we carefully avoid the appearance of being of a party with them. In all our ideas of treaty we do not regard them as what they are, the two leading orders of the kingdom. If we do not eansider them in that light, we must recognime the mavages by whom they have been roined, and who have declared war apon

Europe, whilst they disgrace and persecute human nature, and openly defy the God that made them, as real proprietors of France.

I an much afraid, too, that we shall scarcely be believed fair supporters of lawful monarchy against Jacobinism, so long as we continue to make and to observe cartels with the Jacobins, and on fair terms exchange prisoners with them; whilst the royalists, invited to our standard and employed under our public faith, against the Jacobins, if talen by that -savage faction, are given up to the executioner without the least attempt whatsoever at reprisal. For this, we are to look at the king of Prussia's conduct, compared with his manifestoes about a twelvemonth ago. For this, we are to look at the capitulations of Mentz and Valenciennes, made in the course of the present campaign By these two capitulations, the Christian royalists were excluded from any participation in the cause of the combined powers. They were considered as the outlows of Europe. Two armies were in effect sent against them. One of those "armies (that which surrendered Mentz) was very near overpowering the, Christians of Poitou, and the other (that which surrendered at Valenciennes) has actually crushed the people whom oppression and despair had driven to resiatance at Lyons, has ranssacred several thousands of them in cold blood, pillaged the whole substance of the place, and pursued their rage to the very honses, condemning that noble city to desolation, in the unheard-of manner we have seen it devoted.

It is then plain by a conduct which overturns a thousand declarations, that we take the royalists of France only as an instrument of some convenience in a temporary hostility with the Jacobins, but that we regard those atheistic and murderous barbarians as the boná fide possessors of the soil of France. It appears at least, that we consider them as a fair government de facto, if not de jure; a resistance to which in favour of the king of France, by any man who happened to be born within that country, might equitably be considered, by other nations, as the erime of treason.

For my part, I would sooner put my hand into the fire than sign an invitation to oppressed men to fight under my standard, and then, on every einister event of war, cruelly give them up to be punished as the basest of traitors, as long
as I had one of the common enemy in my hands to be put to death in order to secure those under my protection, and to vindicate the common honour of sovereigns. We hear nothing of this kind of security in favour of those whom we invite to the support of our cause. Without it, I am not a little apprehensive that the proclamations of the combined powers might (contrary to their intention no doubt) be looked upon as frauds, and cruel traps laid for their liven.

So far as to the correspondence between our declarations and our conduct: let the declaration be worded an it will, the conduct is the practical comment by which, and by which alone, it can be understood. This conduct, acting on the declaration, leaves a monarchy without a monarch; and without any representative or trustee for the monarch and the monarchy. It supposes a kingdom withont atates and orders; a territory without proprietors; and faithful subjects, who are to be left to the fate of rebels and traitors.

The affair of the eatablishment of a government in a very difficult undertaking for foreign powers to act in as principals; though as auriliaries and mediators, it has been not at all unusual, and may be a measure full of policy and humanity, and true dignity.

The first thing we ought to do, wupposing ne not giving the law as conquerors, but seting as friendly powers applied to for counsel and assistance in the settlement of a distracted country, is well to consider the composition, nature, and temper of its objects, and particularly of those who actually do, or who ought to exercise power in thas state. It in material to know who they are, and how constituted, whom we consider as the people of France?
The next consideration is, through whom our arrangementa are to be made, and on what principles the government we propose is to be eatablished.

The first queation on the people in this, Whether we are to consider the individuals soos actually in France, numerically caken and arranged into Jacolin clubs, as the body politic, constituting the nation of France? or, Whether we are to consider the original individual proprietors of lands, expelled since the Revolution, and the states and the bodies politic, such as the collegea of justice called parliamenta, the corporations noble and not noble of bailliages, and torms,
and cities, the bishops and the clergy, as the true constituent parts of the nation, and forming the legally organized parts of the people of France?
In this serious concern it is very necessary that we should have the most distinct ideas annexed to the terms we employ; because it is evident, that an abuse of the term people, has been the original fundamental cause of those evila, the cure of which, by war and policy, is the present object of all the states of Europe.

If we consider the acting power in France, in any legal construction of public law, as the people, the question is decided in favour of the republic one and indivisible. But we have decided for monarchy. If so, we have a king and subjects; and that king and subjects have rights and privileges which ought to be supported at home; for I do not suppose that the government of that kingdom can, or ought to be regulated, by the arbitrary mandate of a foreign confederacy.

As to the faction exercising power, to suppose that monarchy can be supported by principled regicides, religion by professed atheista, order by clubs of Jacobins, property by committees of proscription, and jurisprudence by revolutionary tribunsls, is to be sanguine in a degree of which I am incapable. On them I decide, for myself, that these persons are not the legal corporation of France, and that it is not with them we can (if we would) settle the govermment of France.

Since, then, we have decided for monarchy in that kingdom, we ought also to settle who is to be the monarch, who is to be the guardian of a minor, and how the monarch and monarchy is to be modified and supported? If the monarch is to be elected, who the electors are to be? if hereditary, what order is established corresponding with an hereditary monarchy, and fitted to maintain it? Who are to modify it in its exercise? Who are to restrain its powers where they ought to be limited, to strengthen them where they are to be supported, or to enlarge them, where the object, the time, and the circumstances, may demand their extension? These are things which, in the outline, ought to be made distinct and clear; for if they are not, (especially with regard to those great points, who are the proprietors of the soil, and what is the corporation of the kingdom, ) there is nothing to
binder the complete establishment of a Jacobin republic, (such as that formed in 1790 and 1791,) under the name of a Democracie Royale. Jacobinism doen not consist in the bsving, or not having, a certain pageant under the name of a king, but "in taking the people as equal individuals, without any corporate name or description, without attention to property, without division of powers, and forming the government of delegates from a number of men, so constituted; in destroying or confiscating property, and bribing the public ereditors, or the poor, with the apoils, now of one part of the community, now of another, without regard to preacription or possession."

I hope no one can be so very blind an to imagine that monarchy can be acknowledged and supported in France, upon any other basis than that of its property, corporate and individual, or that it can enjoy a moment's permanuence or security upon any wcheme of things, which eetm aside all the ancient corporate capacities and fistinctions of the kingdom, and aubverts the whole fabric of ite ancient laws and unaget, political, civil, and religious, to introduce a system frounded on the supposed rights of man, and the absolute equality of the human race. Unless, therefore, we declare clearly and diatinctly in favour of the restoration of property, and confide to the hereditary property of the kingdom, the limitation and qualifications of its hereditary monarchy, the blood and, treasure of Europe is wasted for the establishment of Jarcobinism in France. There is no doubt that Danton and. Robespierre, Chanmette and Barrere, that Condorcet, that: Thomas Paine, that Ia Fayette, and the ex-bishop of Autun, the abbe Gregoire, with all the gang of the Syeyeses, the Henriots, and the Santerren, if they could mecure themselves in the fruits of their rebellion and robbery, would be perfectly indifferent, whether the most unhappy of all infinta, whom by the lesoms of the shomaker, his govemor and guardian, they are training up atudionaly and methodically to be an idiot, or what is worse, the most wicked and base of mankind, contimues to receive his civic edncation in the Temple or the Tuillerien, whilst they, and cuch as they, really govern the kingdom.

It cannot be too often and too strongly inculcated, that monarchy and property must, in France; go together; or
neither can exist. To think of the possibility of the existence of a permanent and hereditary royalty, where nothing else is hereditary or permanent in point either of personal or corporate dignity, is a ruinous chimers worthy of the Abbe Syeyes and those wicked fools his associates, who usurped power by the murders of the 19th of July and the 6th of October, 1789, and who brought forth the monster which they called Democracie Royale, or the Constitution.
I believe that most thinking men would prefer infinitely some aober and sensible form of a republic, in which there was no mention at all of a king, but which held out some ressonable security to property, life, and personal freedom, to as scheme of things like this Democracie Royale, founded on impiety, immorality, fraudinent currencies, the confiscation of innocent individuals, and the pretended rights of man; and which, in effect, excluding the whole body of the nobility, clergy, and landed property of a great nation, threw everything into the hands of a desperate set of obscure adventurers, who led to every miachief a blind and bloody band of sans-culottes. At the head, or rather at the tail, of this system, was a miserable pageant as its ostensible instrument, who was to be treated with every species of indignity, till the moment when he was conveyed from the per lace of contempt to the dungeon of horror, and thence led by a brewer of his capital through the applauses of an hired, frantic, druaken multitude, to lose his head upon a scaffold.

This is the Constitution, or Democracie Royale; and this is what infallibly would be sgain set up in France to run exactly the same round, if the predominant power should so far be forced to submit as to receive the name of a king, leaving it to the Jacobins (that is, to those who have subverted royalty and destrojed property) to modify the one, and to distribute the other as spoil. By the Jacobing I mean indiscriminately the Brissotins and the Maratists, knowing no sort of difference between them. As to any other party, none exists in that unhappy country. The royalists (those in Poitou excepted) are banished and extinguiahed; and as to what they call the Constitutionalists, or Democrats Royaux, they never had an existence of the smallest degree of power, consideration, or authority; nor, if they differ at
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all from the rest of the atheistic banditti, (which from theit actions and principles I have no reason to think, were they ever any other than the temporary tools and instruments of the more determined, able, and aystematic regicides. Heveral attempta have been made to support this chimerical Demo eracie Royale-the first was by La Fayette-the last by Dumourier:-they tended only to show that this absurd project had no party to support it. The Grirondints undes' Wimpfen, and at Bordeaux, have made some atruggle. The constitutionalists never could make any; and for a very plain reason; they were leadere in rebellion. All their princoplem, and their whole scheme of government, being republican, they could never excite the smallest degree of enthuriamm in favour of the unhappy monarch, whom they had rendered contemptible, to make him the exeentive officer in their new commonwealth. They only appeared as traitore to their own Jacobin cause, not as faithful adherente to the king.

In an addreas to France, in an attempt to treat with it, or in considering any scheme at all relative to it, it it impossible we shonid mean the geographical, we must always mean the moral and political, country. I believe we uhall be in a great error if we act upon an idea that there existu in that country any organized body of men who might be willing to treat, on equitable terms, for the restoration of their monarchy; but who are nice in balancing thove terms, and who would accept such as to them appeared reasonsble, but who wonld quietly enbmit to the predominant power, if they were not gratified in the fashion of come conatitation; which suited with their fancies.

I take the state of France to be totally different, I know of no such body, and of no auch party. So far from a combination of twenty men, (always excepting Poitou,) I never yet heard, that a single man could be named of cufficient force or influence to answer for another man, much less for the amallest district in the comerry, or for the mont incomplete company of soldiers in the army. We mee every man, that the facobing choose to apprehend, taken up in his village or in his house, and conveyed to prison without the deast ahadow of resistance; and this indifforently, whether he is suspected of noyalim, or federalism, moderantism, demoeracy royal, or any other of the mames of faction which they
start by the hour. What is much more astonishing, (and if we did not carefully attend to the genius and circumstances of this Revolution, must indeed infuscoual appear in all the or milita, odv appear incredible,) all their most accredited mili- or military. tary men, from a generalissimo to a corporal, may be arrested, (each in the midat of his camp, and covered with the lsurels of accumulated victories,) tied neck and heels, thrown into a cart, and sent. to Paris to be disposed of at the pleasure of the revolutionary tribunals.

As no individuals have power and influence, No corpora. so there are no corporations, whether of lawyers fono of juatice, or burghers, existing. The assembly called Con- commes stituent, destroyed all such institutions very early. The primary and secondary assemblies, by their original constitution, were to be dissolved when they answered the purpose of electing the magistrates; and were expressly disqualified from performing any corporate act whatsosver. The transient magistrates bave been almost all removed before the expiration of their terms, and new have been lately imposed upon the people, without the form or cere-: mony of an election : these magistrates during their exiatence are put under, as all the executive authorities are from first to last, the popular societies (called Jacobin Clubs) of the several countries, and this by an express order of the National Convention: it is even made a case of death to oppose or attack those clubs. They too have been lately subjected to an expurgatory scrutiny, to drive out from them everything aavouring of what they call the crime of moderantism, of which offence however few were guilty. But as people began to take refuge from their persecutions-amongst themselves, they have driven them from that last asylum.

The state of France is perfectly simple. It consists of but two descriptions-The oppressora and the oppressed.

The first have the whole authority of the state in their hands; all the arms, all the revenues of the public, all the confiscations of individuals and corporations. They have taken the lower sort from their occupations and have put them into pay, that they may form them into a body of Janisaries to over-rule and awe property. The heads of these wretches they never suffer to cool. They supply them with a food for fury varied by the day-besides the sensual 284
state of intorication from which ther are rarely free. Ther have made the priesta and people formally sbjure the Divin ity; they have eatranged thene frome every eivil, moral, and cociah, or even astaral and instinctive, mentiment, habit, and practice, and have rendered thers systematically navagen, to make it imponeible for thers to be the inctrumente of an cober and virturup erangement, of to be reconciled to ror ctate of order, undor any asme whatsoever.

The other description, dif eppressed, are people of motns property ; they we the emall relics of the perwevnted lander. miterent; they are the burghers and the farmest. By the very eiremmense of their being of some property, though. mumerous in come points of riew, they emmot be very considersble a momber. In eitien the nature of thes eseapations renders them domentic and feeble; in the coantry it confines them to their firm for erbenistence. The ant tiomal guand ane all ehanged and reformed Everything maspicious in the description of which they were composed it rigorously divarnad Comaxittecs, ealled of vigilmee mes ciety, are evergithere formed; ot mont bevere and acrutiniz ing inquisitions, far more rigid thea anything over known or imagined. Twe persong eamot meet and confer without harand to their liberty, and even to their lives. Nambert scarcely eredible bave been executed, and their property eonfincated. At Paris, and in most other towna, the bread they buy in a doily dole-which they empant otrein withoot a daily tictet delivered to them by their mopters. Maltitades In ages and exen are actually imprimoned. I have sew ton ta believe, that in France there are not, for varions mbate crimen, to few as tweuty thoumend \({ }^{1}\) etcually in jail-a large proportion of people of property in any fate. If a father of family should shor my dispowition to resist, or to rithdrat himblf fom their power, his wife and children ant erwelly to an wer for it. In in by menm of theso boutagen, that they keep the troupm-which ther forve by mames (a they all it) inte the feid-trae to their colours
Aracher of thair resourcea in not to be forgotten They lame lately fourd a way of giving a mort of nbiquity to the mpieme aswereign authority. which so monarch han been abie yer to give to may representation of his.


The commissioners of the National Convention, who are the members of the Convention itself, and really exercise all its powers, make continual circuita through every province, and visits to every army. There they supersede all the ordinary authorities civil and military, and change and alter everything at their pleasure. So that in effect no deliberative capacity exists in any portion of the inhabitanta.
Toulon, republican in principle, haring taken its decision in a moment uxder the guillatine, and before the arrival of these commissioners, Toulon, being a place regularly fortified, and baving in ite bosom a navy in part highly discontented, has eacaped, though by a sort of miracle; and it would not have escaped, if two powerful fleets had not been at the door to give them not only strong, but prompt and immediste, succour, especially, ss neither this nor any other sea-port town in France can be depended on, from the peenliarty savage dispositions, manners, and connexions, among the lower sort of people in those places. This I take to be the true state of things in France; so far as it regardo any coisting bodies, whether of legal or voluncary association, eopable of acting or of treating in corps.
As to the oppressed indiridualt, they are many; and an discontented as men must be under the monstrous and complicated tyranny of all sorts, with which they are crushed. They want no stimulus to throw off this dreadful yoke; but they do want (not manifestoes, which they have had even to surfeit, but) real protection, force, and succour.

The disputes and questions of men at their ease, do not at all affect their minds, or ever can occupy the minds of men in their situation. These theories are long since gone by; they bave had their day, and have done their mischief. The question is not between the rabble of systems, Fayetteism, Condorcetism. Monarchism, or Democratism, or Federalism, on the one side, and the fundamental laws of France on the other-or between all these systems amongst themselvea. It is a controversy (weak indeed and unequal on the one part) between the proprietor and the robber; between the prisoner and the jailor; between the neck and the guillotine. Four-fitthe of the French inhabitants would thankfully take protection from the emperor of Morseco, and would never trouble their heade about the abstract principles of the
power by which they were suatched from imprisonment, robbery, and murder. But then these men can do little or nothing for themselves. They have no arms, nor magazines, nor chiefs, nor union, nor the possibility of these thinge within themseives. On the whole tberefore I lay it down an a certainty, that in the Jacobins no change of mind is to be expected-and that no others in the territory of France have an independent and deliberative existence.

The truth is, that France is out of itself-The moral France is separated from the geographical. The manter of the house is expelled, and the robbers are in posession. If we look for the corporate people of France, exinting as cor-: porate in the eye and intention of public law, (that corporate people, I mean, who are free to deliberate and to decide, and who have a capacity to treat and conclude,) they are in Flanders, and Germany, in Switzerland, Spain, Italy, and England. There are all the princes of the blood, there are all the orders of the state, there are all the parliaments of the kingdom.

This being, as I conceive, the true state of France, at it exists cerritorially, and as it exists morally, the question will be, with whom we are to concert our arrangemente; and whom we are to nse al our instrumente in the reduction, in the pacification, and in the settlement of France. The work to be done must indicate the workmen. supposing wis to have national objecta, we have two principal, and one secondary. The first two are \(o \mathrm{o}\) intimately connected as not to be separated even in thought; the re-establishment of royalty, and the reestablishment of property. One would think it requires not areat deal of argument to prove, that the mont serious endeavours to restore royalty will be made by royalints. Property will be mout energetically reutored by the ancient proprietors of that kingdom.

When I speak of royalists, I wish to be understood of thome who were always anch from principle. Every arm lifted up for royalty from the beginning was the arm of a man so principled. I do not thinf there are ten exceptions.

The principled royalists are certainly not of force to effect these objects by themselves. If they were, the operations of the present great combination wonld be wholly unnecemsary. What I contend for is, that they should be cousulted with, treated with, and employed; and that no foreigners whateo- Almost the whole body of the landed proprietorsor Anpoem ecclesiastical and civil, have been steadily devoted to the monarcby. This body does not amount to less than seventy thousand-a very great number in the composition of the respectable classes in any society.-I am sure, that if half that number of the same description were taken out of this country, it would lesve hardly anything that I should call the people of England. On the faith of the emperor and the king of Prussia, a body of ten thousand nobility on horseback, with the king's two brothers at their head, served with the king of Pruasia in the campaign of 1792, and equipped themsolves with the last shilling of their ruined fortunes and exhausted credit. It is not now the question how that great force came to be rendered useless and totally dissipated. I state it now only to remark that a great part of the same force exists, and would act if it were enabled. I am sure everything has shown us that in this war with France, one Frenchman is worth twenty foreigners. Lab Vendee is a proof of this.

If we wish to make an impression on the minds of any persons in France, or to persuade them to join our standard, it is impossible that they should not be more easily led, and more readily formed and disciplined, (civilly and martially disciplined, ) by those who speals their language, who are acquainted with their manners, who are conversant with their usages and habits of thinking, and who have a local knowledge of their country, and some remains of ancient credit and consideration, than with a body congregated from all tongues and tribes. Where none of the respectable native
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intereats are seen in the transaction, it is impossible that any declarations can convince those that are within, or thowe that are without, that anything else than some sort of hostility in the etyle of a conqueror is meant. At best jt will appear to such wavering persons, (if euch there are,) whom we mean to fix with us, a choice whether they are to continue a prey to domestic banditti, or to be fought for as a carrion carcasa, and pieked to the bone by all the crowe and vulturen of the sky. They may take protection, (and they would I doubt not,) but they can have neither alacrity nor zeal in such a casus. When they nee nothing but bands of Eoglish, Spaniards, Neapolitans, Sardinians, Prussians, Austrians, Hungarians, Boheminna, Sclavonians, Croatians, acting af principals, it is impossible they whould think we come with a beneficent design. Many of those fiercs and barbarous people have already given proof how little they regard any French party whatsoerer. Some of these nations the peoplo of France are jealous of; such are the English, and the Spaniards - others they dempite; such are the Italiansothers they hate and dread; such are the German and Denubian powers. At best such interpoition of ancient enemies excites apprehension; but in this ease, bow ean they suppose that we come to maintain their legitimate monarchy in a truly paternal French government, to protect their privilegea, their laws, their religion, and their property, when they see ns make nee of no one person who had any interest in them, any knowledge of them, or any the least seal for theto? On the contrary, they eee, that wo do not guffer any of those who have shown a real in that cause, which we seem to make our own, to come freely into any place in which the allies obtain any footing.

If we wish to gain aponany people, it in right to see what it is they expect. We have had a proposal from the royaliste of Poivou. They are well entitled, after a bloody war maintained for eight monthe againt all the powers of anarchy, to speak the mentimente of the royaliate of France. Do they desire us to excinde their princes, their elergy, their nobility? The direct contrary. They earnestly molicit that men of every one of these descriptions should be sent to them. They do not eall for English, Austrian, or Prusaiso officers. They call for Prench emigrant officers. They call for the exiled
priests. They have demanded the Comte d'Artois to appear at their head. These are the demands (quite natural demands) of those who are ready to follow the standard of monarchy.

The great means therefore of restoring the monarchy which we have made the main object of the war, is to assist the dignity, the religion, and the property of France, to repossess themselves of the means of their natural influence. This ought to be the primary object of all our polities, and all our military operations. Otherwise everything will move in a preposterous order, and nothing but confusion and deatruction will follow.

I know that misfortune is not made to win respect from ordinary minds. I know that there is a leaning to prosperity however obtained, and a prejudice in its favour; I know there is a disposition to hope something from the variety and inconstancy of villany, rather than from the tiresome uniformity of fixed principle. There have been, I admit, situations in which a guiding person or party might be gained over, and through him or them, the whole body of a nation. For the hope of such a conversion, and of deriving advantage from enemies, it might be politio for a while to throw your friends into the shade. But examples drawn from history in occasions like the present will be found dangerously to mislead us. France has no resemblance to other countries Which have undergone troubles and been purified by them. If France, Jacobinized as it has been for four full years, did contain any bodies of authority and disposition to treat with you, (most assuredly she does not,) such is the levity of those who have expelled everything respectable in their country, such their ferocity, their arrogance, their mutinous apirit, their habita of defying everything human and divine, that no engagement would hold with them for three months; nor indeed could they cohere together for any purpose of civilized society, if left as they now are. There must be a means not only of breaking their strength within themselves, but of civilizing them; and these two thinge must go together, before we can possibly treat with them, not only as a nation, but with any division of them. Descriptions of men of their own race, but better in rank, superior in pro: perty and decorum, of honourable, decent, and orderly habits,
are absolutely necensary to bring them to such a frame as to qualify them so much as to come into contact with a civilized nation. A set of those ferocious savages with arms in their hands, left to themselves in one part of the country, whilst you proceed to another, would break forth into outrages at least as bad as their former. They must, as fant as gained, (if ever they are gained,) be put under the guide, direction, and government, of better Frenchmen than themselves, or they. will instantly relapse into a fever of aggravated dacobinism.

We must not judge of other parts of France by the temporary submisaion of Toulon, with two vast fleets in ite harbour, and a garrison far more numerous than all the inhabitants able to bear srma. If they were left to themelves, I am quite sure they would not retain their attachment to monarchy of any name for a single week.

To administer the only cure for the unheard-of disordert of that undone country, I think it infinitely happy for un, that God has given into our bands more effectual remedie than buman contrivance could point out. We have in our bosom, and in the bosom of other civilized states, nearer forty than thirty thousand persons, providentially preverved not only from the cruelty and violence, but from the contagion of the horrid practices, sentiments, and language of the Jtcobina, and even sacredly guarded from the view of such abominable scenes. If we should obtain, in any considerable district, a footing in France, we poseesa an immense body of physicians and magistrates of the mind, whom we now know to be the most dicreet, gentle, well-tempered, concilintory, virtuous, and pious persons, who in any order probably existed in the world. You will have a miscioner of peace and order in every parish. Never was a wiser national economy than in the charity of the English aad of other countriet. Never was money better expended than in the maintenance of this body of civil troope for reestablishing order in France, and for thus securing ite civilization to Europe. This means, if properly used, is of value inestimable.

Nor is this corps of instrumente of eivilizstion confined to the first order of that atate, I mem the clergy. The allied powers possese, also, an exceedingly numerous, well-inforised, sensible, ingenious, high-principled, and apirited body of
cavaliers in the expatriated landed interest of France, as well qualified at least, as I (who have been taught by time and experience to moderate my calculation of the expectancy of human abilities) ever expected to see in the body of any landed gentlemen and soldiers by their birth. France is well winnowed and sifted. Its virtuous men are, I believe, amongst the most virtuous, as its wicked are amongst the most abandoned upon earth. Whatever in the territory of France may be found to be in the middle between these must be attracted to the better part. This will be comm passed, when every gentleman, everywhere being restored to' his landed estate, each on his patrimonial ground, may join the clergy in reanimating the loyalty, fidelity, and religion of the people; that these gentlemen proprietors of land may. nort that people according to the trust they severally merit; that they may arm the honest and well-affected, and disarm and disable the factious and ill-disposed. No foreigner can make this discrimination, nor these arrangements. The ancrient corporations of burghers according to their several modes should be restored, and placed (as they ought to be). in the hands of men of gravity and property in the cities or bailliages, according to the proper constitutions of the commons or third estate of France. They will restrain and regulate the seditious rabble there, as the gentlemen will on their own estates. In this way, and in this way alone, the country (once broken in upon by foreign force well directed) may be gained and settled. It must be gained and senled by itself, and through the medium of its own native dignity and property. It is not honest, it is not decent, still less is it politic, for foreign powers themselves to attempt anything in this minute, internal, locsl detail, in which they could show nothing but ignorance, imbecility, confusion, and oppression. As to the prince who has a just claim to exercise the regency of France, like other men he is not without his faults and his defects. But faults or defecte (always supposing them faults of common human infirmity) are not what in any country destroy a legal title to government. These princes are kept in a poor, obscure, country town of the king of Prussis's. Their reputation is entirely at the mercy of every calumniator. They cannot show themselves, they cannot explain themselves, as princes ought to do. After being well
informed, as any man here can be, I do not find, that these blemishes in this eminent person are at all considerable, or that they at all affect s character which is full of probity, honour, generovity, and real goodness. In some points ho has but too much reaemblance to his unfortunate brother; who, with all his weaknesses, had a good understanding and many parts of an excellent man, and a good king. But Monsieur, without aupposing the other deficient, (as he was not,) excele him in general knowledge, and in a mharp and keen observation, with something of a better addresa, 'and a happier mode of speaking and of writing. His con-4 veration is open, agreeable, and informed, his manners grami cious and princely. His brother the Comte d'Artois an-1 tains still better the representation of his place. He is eloquent, lively, engaging in the bighest degree, of a decided character, full of energy and activity. In a word, he is a brave, honourable, and accomplished cavalier. Their brethreu of royalty, if they were true to their own cause and interent, instead of relegating these illustrious persons to an obscure town, would bring them forward in their conrts and campe, and exbibit them to, what they would speedily obtain, the eateem, respect, and affection of mankind.

As to their knocking at every door, (which
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seems to give offence,) can anything be mone netural ? Abandoned, despised, rendered in a manner outlaws by all the powers of Barope, Who have treated their unfortunate brethren with all the giddy pride and improvident insolence of blind umfeeling prosperity; who did not even send them a eompliment of condolence on the murder of their brother and sister; in such \(a\) state is it to be wondered at, or blamed, that they tried every way, likely or unikely, well or ill chowen, to get out of the horrible pit into which they are fallem, and that in particular they tried whether the princen of their own blood might at length be brought to think tho catase of linge, and of kings of their race, wounded in the murder and exile of the branch of France, of much importance as the lilling of a brace of partridgen? If they were absolutely idle, and only eat in thoth their bread of corrow and dependenoe, they would be forgotien, or at bent thought of as wretches wnworthy of their pretenaiona, which
they had done nothing to support. If they err from our interests, what care has been taken to keep them in those intereats? or what desire has ever been shown to eraploy them in any other way than as instruments of their own degrada tion, shame, and ruin?

The parliament of Paris, by whom the title of the Regent is to be recognised (not made) according to the laws of the kingdom, is ready to recognise it, and to register it, if a place of meeting was given to them, which might be within their own jurisdiction, Eupposing that only locality was required for the exercise of their functions: for it is one of the advantuges of monarchy, to have no local seat. It may maintain its rights out of the sphere of its territorial jurisdiction, if other powers will suffer it.

I am well apprized, that the little intriguers, and whisperors, and self-conceited, thoughtless babblers, worse than either, run about to depreciate the fallen virtue of a great nation. But whilst they talk, we must make our choicethey or the Jacobins. We have no other option. As to those, who in the pride of a prosperity, not obtained by their wisdom, valour, or industry, think so well- of themselves, and of their own abilities and virtues, and so:ill of other men; truth obliges me to say, that they are not founded in their presumption concerning themselves, nor in their contempt of the French princes, magistrates, nobility, and clergy. Instead of inspiring me with dislike and distrust of the unfortunate, engaged with us in a common cause against our Jacobin enemy, they take away all my esteem for their own charactera, and all my deference to their judgment.

There are some fer French gentlemen indeed who talk a language not wholly different from thie jargon. Those whom I have in my eyo, 1 respect as gallant soldiers, es much as any one can do, but on their political judgment and prudence I have not the slightest reliance, nor on their knowledge of their own country, or of its laws and constitution. They are, if not enemies, at least not friends, to the orders of their own state; not to the princes, the clergy, or the monarchy, or rather to the persous of the late king and queen. In all other respects their conversation is Jacobin. I am afraid they, or some of them, go into the closets of ministers, and tell them that the affirirs of France will be better arranged
by the allied powers than by the landed proprietors of the kingdom, or by the princes who have a right to govern: and that if any French are at all to be employed in the wettlement of their country, it ought to be only those who have pever declared any decided opinion, or taken any active part in the Hevolution.'
I suspect that the authors of this opinion are mene soldiers of fortune, who, though men of integrity and hovs our, would as gladly receive military rank from Russis, or? Austris, or Prussia, as from the Regent of France. Perhaps their not having as much importance at his court an they could wish may incline them to this atrange imagination. Perhaps having no property in old France, they are more indifferent: about its restoration. Their language is certainly flatters -ing to all ministers in all courts. We all are men; we alli love to be told of the extent of our own power and our own faculties. If we love glory, we are jealous of partners, and afraid even of our own instruments. It is of all modea of flattery the most effectual, to be told that you can regulate the affairs of another kingdom better than ite hereditary proprietors. It is formed to flatter the principle of conquest so natural to all men. It is this pripeiple which is now making the partition of Poland. The powera concerned have been told by some perfidions Polew, and perhaps they believe, that their usurpation ia a great benefit to the people, especially to the common peop'3. However this may turn out with regard to Poland, I am quite sure that France could not be so well under a foreign direction as under that of the representative of ite own king, and its own ancient estates.

I think I have mymelf etadied France as much as mont of those whom the allied courta are likely to employ in auch a work. I have likewise of myself as partial and an vain an opinion as men commonly have of themselves. But if I conld command the whole military arm of Europe, I am aure, that a bribe of the beat province in that lingdom would not tempt me to intermeddle in their affairs, except in perfect occurreoce and concert with the natural, legal interesta of the coantry, composed of the eccletinatical, the military, the several corporate bodiee of justice, and of burgh-
- This wata the langroge of the minimterinlinta.
ership, malsing under a monarch (I repeat it again and again) the French nation according to it fundamental constitution. No considerate statesman would undertake to meddle with it apon any other condition.

The government of that kingdom is fundamentally monarchical. The public law of Europe has never recognised it in any other form of governument. The potentates of Europe have, by that law, a right, an interest, and a duty, to know with what government they are to treat, and what they are to admit into the federative society, or, in other words, into the diplomatic republic of Europe. This right is clear and indisputable.

What other and further interference they bave a right to in the interior of the concerns of another people, is a matter on which, as on every political subject, no very definite or positive rule can well be laid down. Our neighbours are men; and who will attempt to dictate the laws, under which it is allowable or forbidden to take a part in the concerns of men, whether they are considered individually or in a collective capacity, whenever charity to them, or a care of my own safety, calls forth my activity? Circumstances perpetually variable, directing a moral prudence and discretion, the general principles of which never vary, must alone describe a conduct fitting on such occasions. The latest casuists of public law are rather of a republican cast, and, in my mind, by no means so averse as they ought to be to a right in the people (a word which, ill defined, is of the most dangerous use) to make changes at their pleasure in the fundamental laws of their country. These writers, however, when a country is divided, leave abundant liberty for a neighbour to support any of the parties according to his choice. This interference must indeed always be a right, whilst the privilege of doing good to others, and of averting from them every sort of evil, is a right: circumstances may render that right a duty. It depends wholly on this, whether it be a bona fide charity to a party, and a prudent precaution with regard to yourself, or whether, under the pretence of aiding one of the parties in a nation, you act in such o m:mner as to aggravate its calamities, and accomplish its final destruction. In truth it is not the interfering or keeping
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aloof, but iniquitons intermeddling, or treacherous inaction, which is praised or blamed by the decision of an equitable judge.

It will be a just and irresistible presumption against the: fairness of the interposing power, that he takes with him no party or description of men in the divided state. It is not probable, that these parties should all, and all alike, be more. adverse to the true interests of their country, and lese capable of forming a judgment upon them, than those who are absolute etrangera to their affairs, and to the character of the actors in them, and have but a remote, feeble, and secondary; gympathy with their interest. Sometimes a calm and healing arbiter may be necessary; but he is to compone differa ences, not to give laws. It is imposible that any one should: not feel the full force of that presumption. Even peopla whose politics for the supposed good of their own country, lead them to take advantage of the dissensions of a meighn bouring nation in order to rain it, will not directly propone to exclude the natives, but they will take that mode of conoulting and employing them, which noot nearly approschew to an exclusion. In some particulars they propose what amounts to that exclusion, in other they do much worne. They recommend to ministry, "that no Frenchman who has given a decided opinion, or acted a decided part in thia great Revolution, for or against it, should be countenanced, brought forward, trusted, or employed, even in the atrictert aubordination to the ministers of the allied powers." Al though one would think that this advice would atand condemned on the first proposition, yet as it has been made popular, and has been proceeded upon practically, I think it right to give it a full consideration.

And firat, I have asked mywlf who thene Frenchmen are, that, in the state their own country has been in for theme laot five years, of all the people of Europe, hare alone not been able to form a decided opinion, or have been unwilling to act a deeided part?
Looking over all the names I have heard of in this great Revolution in all human affairs, I find no man of any die tinction who hane remained in that more than stoical apathy, but the Prince de Conti. This mean, stupid, elfish, eminish, and cowardly animal, univerally known and deapised as auch,
has indeed, except in one abortive attempt to elope, been perfectly neutral. However his neutrality, which it seems would qualify him for trust, and on a competition must set aside the Prince de Conde, can be of no sort of service. His moderation has not been able to keep him from s jail. The allied powers must draw him from that jail, before they cam have the full adrantage of the exertions of this great neutralist.

Ercept him I do not recollect a man of rank or talenta, who by his speeches or his votes, by his pen or by his sword has not been active on this scene. The time indeed could admit no neutrality in any person worthy of the name of man. There were originally two great divisions in France; the one is that which overturned the whole of the government in church and atate, and erected a republic on the basis of atheism. Their grand engine was the Jacobin Club, a sort of secession from which, but exactly on the same principles, begat another short-lived one, called the Club of Eighty-nine, \({ }^{1}\) which was chiefly guided by the court rebels, who, in addition to the crimes of which they were guilty in common with the others, bad the merit of betraying a gracious master and a kind benefactor. Subdivisions of this faction, which since we have seen, do not in the least differ from each other in their principles, their dispositions, or the means they have employed. Their only quarrel has been about power: in that quarrel, like wave succeeding wave, one faction has got the better and expelled the other. Thus La Fayette for a while got the better of Orleans; and Orleans afterwards -prevailed over La Fayette. Brissot overpowered Orleans; Barrere and Robespierre, and their faction, mastered them both, and cut off their heads. All who were not royalists have been listed in some or other of these divisions. If it wers of any use to settle a precedences the elder ought to have his rank. The first authors, plotters, and contrivers of this monstrous scheme, seem to me entitled to the first place in our distrust and abhorrence. I have seen some of those who are thought the best amongst the original rebels; and I have not neglected the means of being informed concerning the others. I can very truly bay, that I have not found by observation or inquiry, that any sense of
1 The first object of this Club was the propagation of Jacobin principles. vOL. III.
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the evils produced by their projects has produced in them, or any one of them, the smallest degree of repentance. Disappointment and mortification undoubtedly they feel: but to them, repentance is thing impossible. They are atheists. This wretched opinion, by which they are possessed even to the height of fanaticism, leading them to exclude from their ideas of a commonwealth the vital principle of the physical, the moral, and the political world, engagem them in a thonsand absurd contrivances to fill up this dreadful void. Incapable of innorious repose, or honourable action, or wise speculation, in the lurking-holes of a foreign land, into which (in 2 common rain) they are driven to hide their heade amongot the innocent victims of their madnesa, they are at this very hour as busy in the confection of the dirt-pien of their imaginary constitutions, as if they had not been quite fresh from destroying, by their impious and desperate vagaries, the finest country upon earth.

It is, however, out of these, or of such as thene, guilty and impenitent, despising the experience of others, and their own, that some people talk of choosing their negotiators with those Jacobins, who they suppone may be recovered to a sounder mind. They flatter themselves, it seems, that the friendly habits formed during their original partnership of iniquity, a similarity of character, and a conformity in the ground-work of their principles, might facilitate their conversion, and gain them over to mome recognition of royalty. But surely this is to read homan nature very ill. The several sectaries, in this echism of the Jacobins are the very last men in the world to trust each other. Fellowship in treason is a bad ground of confidence. The last quarrels are the conent; and the injuries received or offered by your own sesocistew are ever the most bitterly rewented. The people of France, of every name and description, would a thoumand times sooner listen to the Prince de Condé, or to the arebbishop of Aix, or the bishop of St. Pol, or to Monsieur de Cazales, than to Le Fayette, or Dumorrier, or the Vieomte de Noailles, or the bishop of Auton, or Necter, or his disciple Lally Tolendal. Againet the first demeription they have not tho emallent animonity beyond that of merely political divenasion. The others they regard is traitort.

The first description is that of the Christian royaliste, men
who as carnestly wished for reformation as they opposed innovation, in the fundamental parts of their church and state. Their part has been very decided. Accordingly they are to be set aside in the restoration of church and state. It is an odd kind of disqualification where the restoration of religion and monarchy is the question. If England should (God forbid it should) fall into the same misfortune with France, and that the court of Vienna should undertake the restoration of our monarchy, I think it would be extraordinary to object to the admission of Mr. Pitt, or Lord Grenville, or Mr. Dundas, into any share in the management of that business, because in a day of trial they have stood up firmly and manfully, as I trust they always will do, and with distinguished powers, for the monarchy and the legitimate constitution of their country. I am sure if I were to suppose myself at Vienna at buch a time, I should, as a man, as an Englishman, and as a royalist, protest in that case, as I do in this, against a weak and ruinous principle of proceeding, which can have no other tendency than to make those, who wish to support the crown, meditate too profoundly on the consequences of the part they take-and consider whether for their open and forward zeal in the royal cause, they may not be thrust out from any sort of confidence and employment, where the interest of crowned heads is concerned.

These are the parties. I have said, and said truly, that I know of no neutrals. But as a general observation on this general principle of choosing neutrals on such occasions as the present, I have this to say-that it amounts to neither more nor leas than this shocking proposition-that we ought to exclude men of honour and ability from serving theirs and our cause; and to put the dearest interests of ourselves and our posterity into the hands of men of no decided character, without judgment to choose, and without courage to profese any principle whatsoever.

Such men can serve no cause, for this plain reason-they have no cause at heart. They can at best work only as mere mercenaries. They have not been guilty of great crimes; but it is only because they have not energy of mind to rise to any height of wickedness. They are not hawks or kites; they are only miserable fowls, whose flight is not above their dunghill or henroost. But they tremble before the authors
of these horrors. They admire them at a safe and respectful distance. There never was mean and abject mind that did not admire an intrepid and derterous villain. In the bottom of their hearts they believe such hardy miscreant to be the only men qualified for great affairs: if you set them to transact with such persons, they are inetantly subdued. They dare not so much as look their antagonist in the face. They are made to be their aubjects, not to be their arbiters or controllers.

These men to be are can look at atrocious acte without indignation, and can behold suffering virtue without sympathy. Therefore they are considered as wober, dirpassionate men. But they have their passions, though of another kind, and which are infinitely more likely to carry them out of the path of their daty. They are of a tame, timid, languid, inert temper, wherever the welfare of othere is concerned. In such causes, as they have no motives to action, they never possess any real ability, and are totally destitnte of all resource.

Believe a man who has meen much, and observed aomething. I have seen in the course of my life a great many of that family of men. They are generally chowen because they have no opinion of their own; and as far an they can be got in good earnest to embrace any opinion, it is thst of whoever happens to employ them, (neither longer nor shorter, narrower nor broeder,) with whom they have no discusaion or consultation. The only thing which cecurs to such aman When he has got a businesa for othere into his bands, is bow to make his own fortune out of it. ' The person be is to treat with in not, with him, an adveroary over whom he is to prevail, but a new friend he is to gain ; therefore he always systematically betrays some part of his trust. Instead of thinking how he shall defend his ground to the latat, and, if forced to retreat, how little he shall give up, this kind of man considers how much of the interest of his employer be is to sacrifice to hie adversary. Having oothing but himself in view, he knows, that, in serving his principal with zeal, be must probably incur some resentment from the opporite party. His object is to obtain the good-will of the penom with whom he contends, that, when min arreement is made, he may join in rewarding him. I would not take one of
these as my arbitrator in a dispute for so much as a fish-pond-for if he reserved the mud to me, he would be sure to give the water that fed the pool to my adversary. In a great cause I should certainly wish, that my agent should possess conciliating qualities; that he should be of a frank, open, and candid disposition, soft in his nature, and of a temper to soften animosities and to win confidence. He ought not to be a man odious to the person he treats with by personal injury, by violence, or by deceit, on, above all, by the dere kiction of his cause in any former transactions. But I would be sure that my negotistor should be mine, that he should be as earnest in the cause as myself, and known to be no; that he should not be looked upon as a stipendiary advocate, but as a principled partisan. In all treaty it is a great point that all idea of gaining your agent is hopeless. I would not trust the cause of royalty with a man, who, professing neutrality, is half a republican. The enemy has already a great part of his suit without a struggle-and he contends with advantage for all the rest. The common principle allowed between your adversary and your agent given your adversary the advantage in every discussion.

Before I shut up this discourse about neutral agency (which I conceive is not to be found, or, if found, ought not to be used) I have a few other remarks to make on the cause which, I conceive, gives rise to it.

In all that we do, whether in the struggle or after it, it is necessary that we should constantly have in our eye the nature and character of the enemy wo have to contend with. The Jacobin Revolution is carried on by men of no rank, of no consideration, of wild, savage minds, full of levity, arrogance, and presumption, without morals, without probity, without prudence. What have they then to supply their innumerable defects, and to make them terrible even to the firmest minds? One thing, and one thing only-but that one thing is worth a thousand-they have energy. In France, all things being put into a universal ferment; in the decomposition of society, no man comes forward but by his spirit of enterprise and the vigour of his mind. If we meet this dreadful and portentous energy, restrained by no consideration of God or man, that is always vigilant, always on the attack, that allows itself no repose, and suffers none to
rest an hour with impunity; if we meet this energy with poor common-place proceeding, with trivial maximn, paltry old sswa, with doubts, fears, and surpicions, with a languid, uncertain hesitation, with a formal, official spirit, which is turned aside by every obstacle from its purpose, and which never sees a difficulty but to yield to it, or at best to evade it; down we go to the bottom of the abysg-and nothing short of Omnipotence can save us. We must meet a vicious and distempered energy with a manly and rational vigour. As virtue is limited in its resources-we are doubly bound to use all that, in the circle drawn about us by our morals, we are able to command. .

I do not contend against the advantages of distruat. In the world we live in it is but too necessary. Some of old call it the very sinews of discretion. But what signify com-mon-places, that always run parallel and equal? Distrust is good or it is bad, according to our position and our purpose. Distrust is a defensive principle. They who have much to lose have much to fear. But in France we hold nothing. We are to break in upon a power in powsession; we are to carry everything by storm, or by surprise, or by intelligencs, or by all. Adventare, therefore, and not caution, is our policy. Here to be too presuming is the better error.
The world will judge of the spirit of our proceeding in those places of France which may fall into our power, by our conduct in those that are already in our bands. Our wisdom should not be vulgar. Other times, perhape other meagures : but in this awful hour our politics ought to be made up of nothing but courage, decision, manliness, and rectitude. We shoold have all the magnanimity of good faith. This is a royal and commanding policy; and as long as we are true to it we may give the law. Never can wo assume this command if we will not risk the consequences. For which reason we ought to be bottomed enough in prin ciple not to be carried away upon the first pronpect of any sinister adyantage. For depend upon it, that, if we once give way to a sinister dealing, we shall teach others the game, and we shall be outwitted and overborne; the Spaniards, the Prussians, God know: who, will put us under contribution at their pleasure; and, instead of being at the bead of a great confederacy, and the arbiters of Europe, we shall, by
our mistakes, break up a great design into a thousand little h quarrels; the enemy will triumph, and we shall sit under the terms of unsafo and dependent peace, weakened, mortified, and disgraced, whilst all Europe, England included, is left open and defenceless on every part, to Jacobin principles, intrigues, and arms. In the case of the king of France, declared to be our friend and ally, we will still be considering ourselves in the contradictory character of an enemy. This contradiction, I am afraid, will, in spite of us, give a colour of fraud to all our transactions, or at least will so complicate our politics, that we shall vourselves be inextricably entangled in them.

I have Touion in my eye. It was with infinite sorrow I heard that in taking the king of France's fleet in trust, we instantly unrigged and dismasted the ships, instead of keeping them in a condition to escape in case of disaster, and in order to fulfil our trust, that is, to hold them for the use of the owner, and, in the mean time, to employ them for our common service. These ships are now so circumstanced, that if we are forced to evacuate Toulon, they must fall into the hands of the enemy, or be burnt by ourselves. I know this is by some considered as a fine thing for us. But the Athenigns ought not to be better than the English, or Mr. Pitt less virtuous than Aristides.

Are we then oo poor is resources that we can do no better with eighteen or twenty ships of the line than to bura them? Had we sent for French royalist naval officers, of which some hundreds are to be had, and made them select such seamen as they could trust, and filled the rest with our own and Mediterranean seamen, who are all over Italy to be had by thousands, and put them under judicious English com-manders-in-chief, and with a judicious mixture of our own subordinates, the West Indies would at this day have been ours. It may be said that these French officers would take them for the king of France, and that they would not be in our power. Be it so. The islands would not be ours, but they would not be Jacobinized. This is however a thing impossible. They must in effect and substance be oars. But all is upon that false principle of distrust, which, not confiding in strength, can never bave the full use of it. They that pay, and feed, and equip, must direct. But I must
speak plainly upon this subject. The French iolands, if they were all our own, ought not to be all kept. A fair partition only ought to be toade of those territories. This is a subject of policy very serious, which has many relations and aspects. Just here \(I\) only hint at it as answering an objection, whilst I state the mischievous consequences which nuffer us to be surprised into a virtal breach of faith, by confounding our ally with our enemy, because they both belong to the same geographical territory.

My clear opinion is, that Toulon ought to be made, what we set out with, a royal French city. By the necessity of the case, it must be under the influence, civil and military, of the allies. But the only way of keeping that jealous and discordant mass from tearing its component parts to pieces, and hazarding the loss of the whole, is to put the place into the nominal government of the Regent, his officers being approved by us. This, I say, is aboolutely necessary for a poise amongst ourselves. Otherwise is it to be believed that the Spaniards, who hold that place with us in asort of partnership contrary to our mutual interest, will see u absolute macters of the Mediterranean, with Gibraltar on one mide, and Toulon on the other, with a quiet and composed mind, whilst we do little less than declare that we are to tale the whole Weat Indies into our hands, leaving the vaat, unwieldy, and feeble body of the Spamish dominions, in that part of the world, sboolutely at our mercy, vithout any power to balance us in the smallest degree? Nothing is so fatal to a nation as an extreme of elf-partiality, and the total want of consideration of what othern will naturally hope or fear. Spain must think the mees, that we are taking advantage of the confusions which reign in France to disable that country, and of course every country, from affording ber protection, and in the end to turn the Spamish monarchy into a province. If sbe eaw things in a proper point of light, to be aure, she would not consider any other plan of politics an of the least moment in comparison of the extinction of Jacobinistu. But her ministers (to gay the best of them) are vulgar politicians. It is no wonder that they should postpone this great point, or balance it, by considerations of the common politics, that is, the quentions of power betwren cate and wate. If we manifeatly endearonz to destroy the
balance, especially the maritime and commercial balance, both in Europe and the West Indies, (the latter their sore and vulnerable part,) from fear of what France may do for Spain hereafter, is it to be wondered, that Spain, infinitely weaker than we are, (weaker indeed than such a mass of empire over was,) should feel the same fears from our uncontrolled power, that we give way to ourselves from a supposed resurrection of the ancient power of France under a monarchy? It signifies nothing whether we are wrong or right in the abstract; but in respect to our zelation to Spain, with such principles followed up in practice, it is absolutely impossible that any cordial alliance can subsist between the owo nations. If Spain goes, Naples will speedily follow. Prussía is quite certain, and thinks of nothing but making a market of the present confusions. Italy is broken and divided; Switzerland is Jacobinized, I am afraid, completely. I have long seen with pain the progress of French principles in that country. Things cannot go on upon the present bottom. The possession of Toulon, which, well managed, might be of the greatest advautage, will be the greatest misfortune that ever happened to this nation. The more we multiply troops there, the more we shall multiply causes and means of quarrel amongst ourselves. I know but one way of avoiding it, which is to give a greater degree of simplicity to our politica. Our situation does necessarily render them a good denl involved. And, to this evil, instead of increasing it, we ought to apply all the remedies in our power.

See what is, in that place, the consequence (to say nothing of every other) of this complexity. Toulon has, as it were, two gatea, an English and a Spanish. The English gate is, by our policy, fast barred against the eptrance of any royalists. The Spaniards open theirs, I fear, upon no fixed principle, and with very little judgment. By means, however, of this foolish, mean, and jealous policy on our side, all the royalists whom the English might select as most practicable, and most subservient to honest views, are totally excluded. Of those admitted, the Spaniards are masters. As to the inhabitants, they are a nest of Jacobins which is delivered into our hands, not from principle, but from fear. The inhabitants of Toulon may be deacribed in a few words.

It is differtum nautis, cauponibus atque malignis. The reat of the seaports are of the same description.

Another thing which I cannot account for is, the sending for the bishop of Toulon, and afterwards forbidding his entrance. This is as directly contrary to the declaration, as it is to the practice of the allied powers. The king of Prassia did better. When he took Verdun, he actually reinstated the bishop and his chapter. When he thought he should be the master of Chalons, be called the bishop from Flanders, to put him into possession. The Austrians have restored the clergy wherever they obtained possession. We have proposed to restore religion as well as monarchy; and in Toulon we have restored neither the one nor the other: It is very likely that the Jacobin sans-culotten, or some of them, objected to this measure, who rather choose to have the atbeistic buffoons of clergy they have got to sport with, till they are ready to come forward, with the rest of their worthy brethren, in Paris and other places, to declare that they are a set of impostors, that they never believed in God, and never will preach any sort of religion. If we give way to our Jacobins, in this point, it is fully and fairly putting the government, civil and eccleaisatical, not in the king of France, to whom, as the protector and governor, and in substance the head, of the Gallican church, the nomination to the bishoprics belonged, and who made the bishop of Toulon; it does not leave it with him, or even in the hands of the king of England, or the king of Spain; but in the basest Jacobine of a low seaport, to exercise, pro cempore, the sovereignty. If this point of religion is thus given up, the grand instrument for reclaiming France is abandoned. We cannot, if we would, delude ourselves about the true state of this dreadful contest. \(I L\) is a religious war. It includes in its object undoubtedly every other interest of society as well as this; but this is the principal and leading feature. It is through. this deatruction of religion that our enemies propose the accomplishment of all their other views. The French Bevolution, impious at once and fanatical, had no other plan for domestic power and foreign empire. Look at all the proceedings of the National Asembly from the first def of declaring itself such in the year 1789, to this very hour, and
you will find full balf of their business to be directly on this subject. In fact it is the spirit of the whole. The religious system, called the constitutional chureh, was, on the face of the whole proceeding, set up only as a mere temporary amusement to the people, and so constantly stated in all their conversations, till the time should come, when they might with safety cast off the very appearance of all religion whatsoever, and persecute Christianity throughout Europe with fire and aword. The constitutional clergy are not the ministers of any religion: they are the agents and instruments of this horrible conspiracy against all morals. It was from a sense of this, that in the English addition to the Articles proposed at St. Domingo, tolerating all religions, we very wisely refused to suffer that kind of traitors and buffoons.

This religious war is not a controversy between sect and sect as formerly, but a war against all sects and all religions. The question is not whether you are to overturn the Catholic, to set up the Protestant. Such an idea in the present state of the world is too contemptible. Our business is to leave to the schools the discussion of the controverted points, abating as much as we can the acrimony of disputants on all sides. It is for Christian statesmen, as the world is now circumstanced, to secure their common basis, and not to risk the subversion of the whole fabric by pursuing these distinctions with an ill-timed zeal. We have, in the present grand alliance, all modes of government as well as all modes of religion. In goverument, we mean to restore that, which, notwithstanding our diversity of forms, we are all agreed in as fundamental in government. The same principle ought to gaide us in the religious part; conforming the mode, not to our particular ideas, (for in that point wo have no ideas in common, ) but to what will best promote the great, general ends of the alliance. As statesmen, we are to see which of those modes best suits with the interests of such a commonwenlth as we wish to secure and promote. There can be no doubt, but that the Catholic religion, which is fundamentally the religion of France, must go with the monarchy of France; we know that the monarchy did not survive the hierarchy, no, not even in appearance, for many months; in substance, not
for a single bour. As little can it exist in future, if thal pillar is taken away, or even shattered and impaired.

If it should please God to give to the allies the means of restoring peace and order in that focus of war and confusion, I would, as I said in the beginning of this memorial, first replace the whole of the old clergy : because we have proo mone than sufficient, that whether they err or not in the scholastic disputes with us, they are not tainted with atheism, the great political evil of the time. I hope I need not apologize for this phrase, as if I thought religion nothing but policy; it is far from my thoughts, and I hope it is not to be inferred from my expressions. But in the light of policy alone I am here considering the question. I epeak of policy too in a large light; in which large light, policy too is a sa cred thing.

There are many, perhaps half a million or more, calling themselves Protestants, in the south of France, and in other of the provincen. Some raise them to a much greater number, but I think this nearer to the mark. I sm worry to say, that they have behaved shockingly since the very beginning of this rebellion, and have been uniformly concerned in ite worst and most atrocious acte. Their clergy are just the same atheists with those of the constitutional Catholic: ; but still more wicked and daring. Three of their number have met from their republican associates the reward of their crimes.

As the ancient Catholic religion is to be restored for the body of France, the amcient Calvinistic religion ought to be restured for the Protemtants with every kind of protection and privilege. But not one minister concerned in this rebellion ought to be suffered amongat them. If they have not elergy of their own, men well recommended as untainted with Jacobinism, by the synode of thome places where Calsimism prevails and French is apoken, ought to be sought. Many such there are. The presbyterian discipline ought, in my opinion, to be established in its vigour, and the people profeasing it ought to be boumd to ite maintenance. Noman, under the false and bypocritical pretence of liberty of conscience, ought to be enffered to have no conscience at all. The king'e comminsioner ought also to eit in their aymods at before the revocation of the edict of litentz. I ams con-
scious that this discipline disposes men to republicanism: but it is still a discipline, and it is a cure (such as it is) for the perverse and undisciplined habits which for some time have prevailed. Republicanism repressed may have its use in the composition of a state. Inspection may be practicable, and responsibility in the teachers and elders may be established in such an hierarchy as the presbyterian. For a time like ours, it is a great point gained, that people should be taught to meet, to combine, and to be classed and arrayed in some other way than in clubs of Jacobins. If it be not the best mode of Protestantism under a monarchy, it is still an orderly Christian church, orthodox in the fundamentals, and, what is to our point, capable enough of rendering men useful citizens. It was the impolitic abolition of their discipline which exposed them to the wild opinions and conduct; that have prevailed amongst the Hugonots. The toleration in 1787 was owing to the good disposition of the late king; but it was modified by the profligate folly of his atheistic minister the Cardinal de Lomenie. This mischievours minister did not follow in the edict of toleration the wisdom of the edict of Nantz. But his toleration was granted to non-Catholics-a dangerous word, which might signify anything, and was but too expressive of a fatal indifference with regard to all piety. I speak for myself: I do not wish any man to be converted from his sect. The distinctions which we have reformed from animosity to emulation may be even useful to the cause of religion. By some moderate contention they keep alive zeal. Whereas people who change, except under strong conviction, (a thing now rather rare,) the religion of their early prejudices, especially if the conversion is brought about by any political machine, are very apt to degenerate into indifference, laxity, and often downright atheism.

Another political question arises about the mode of government which ought to be established. I think the proclamation (which I read before I had proceeded far in this memorial) puls it on the best footing, by postponing that arrangement to a time of peace.

When our politics lead us to enterprise a great and almost total political revolution in Europe, we ought to look seriously into the consequences of what we are about to do. Some eminent persons discover an apprehension that the
monarchy, if restored in France, may be restored in too greal strength for the liberty and happinese of the natives, and for the tranquillity of other states.-They are therefore of opinion that terms ought to be made for the modification of that monarchy. They are persons too considerable from the powers of their mind, and from their situation, an well an from the real reapect I have for them, who seem to entertain these apprehensions, to let me pase them by unnoticed.

As to the power of France, as a state, and in its exterion relations, I confese my fears are on the part of its extreme reduction. There is undoubtedly something in the vicinity of France, which makes it naturally and properly an object of our watchfulnesa and jealousy, whatever form ite governa ment may take. But the difference is great between a plan for our own security, and a scheme for the utter deatruction of France. If there were no other countries in the political map but these two, I admit that policy might justify a wish to lower our neighbour to a tandard which would even render her in some measure, if not wholly, our dependent. But the system of Europe is extensive and extremely complex. However formidable to us as taken in this one relation, France is not equally dreadful to all other states. On the contrary, my clear opinion is, that the liberties of Europe cannot possibly be preserved bat by her remaining a very great and preponderating power. The design at present aridently pursued by the combined potentates, or of the two who lead, is totally to destroy her as such a power. For Great Britain resolves that she shall have no colonies, no commerce, and no marine. Austria means to take sway the whole frontier, from the bordera of Switzerland to Dunkirt. It is their plan also to render the interior government lan and feeble, by preacribing by fonce of the arms of rival and jealous nations, and without consulting the natural interests of the kingdom, much arrangemente as in the actual state of Jacobiniam in France, and the onsettled state in which property must remain for a long time, will ineritably produce such distraction and debility in government, as to reduce it to nothing, or to throw it back into its old confusion. One cannot conceive wo frightful a atate of a nation. A maritime country without a marine, and without commerce; a continental country without a frontier, and for a thousand milew
surrounded with powerful, warlike, and ambitious neighbours! It is possible, that she might submit to lose her commerce and her colonies; her security alhe never can abandon. If, contrary to all expectations, under such a disgraced and impotent government, any energy should remain in that country, she will make every effort to recover her security, which will involve Europe for a century in war and blood. What has it cost to France to make that frontier? What will it cost to recover it? Austria thinks that without a frontier she cannot secure the Netherlands. But without her frontier France cannot secure herself. Austria has been however secure for an hundred years in those very Netherlands, and has never been dispossessed of them by the chance of war, without a moral certainty of receiving them again on the restoration of peace. Her late dangers have arisen not from the power or ambition of the king of France. They arose from her own ill policy, which dismantled all her towns, and discontented all her subjects by Jacobinical innovations. She dismantles her own towns, and then says, Give me the frontier of France. But let us depend upon it, whatever tends, under the name of seeurity, to aggrandize Austris, will discontent and alarm Prussia. Such a length of frontier on the side of France, separated from itself, and separated from the mass of the Austrian country, will be weak, unless connected at the expense of the elector of Bavaria (the elector Palatine) and other lesser princes, or by such exchanges as will again convulse the empire.

Take it the other way, and let us suppose that France so broken in spirit as to be content to remain naked and defenceless by sea and by land; is such a country no prey? Have other nations no views ? Is Poland the only country of which it is worth while to make a partition? We cannot be so childish as to imagine, that ambition is local, and that no others can be infected with it but those who rule within certain parallels of latitude and longitude. In this way I hold war equally certsin. But I can conceive that both these principles may operate: ambition on the part of Austris to cut more and more from France; and French impatience under her degraded and unsafe condition. In such a contest will the other powers stand by? Will not Prussia call for indemnity as well as Austria and England? Is she
satisfied with her gains in Poland \(\%\) By no means. Cermany must pay; or we shall infallibly see Prussia leagued with France and Spain, and possibly with other powers, for the reduction of Austria; and such may be the wituation of things, that it will not be so easy to decide what part England may take in such a contest.

I sm well aware how invidious stack it is to oppose anything which tends to the apparent aggrandizement of our own country. But I think no country can be aggrandized whilst France is Jacobinized. This post removed, it will be a serious question how far her further reduction will contribate to the general safety, which I always consider as ing cluded. Among precautions against ambition, it may not be amiss to take one precsation against our ovom. I must fairly asa, I dread our own power, and our own ambition; I dread our being too much dresded. It is ridiculous to say we are not men; and that, as men, we shall never wish to aggrandize ourselves in tome way or other. Can we may, that even at this very hour we are not invidiously aggrandized ? We are alresdy in possession of almost all the commerce of the world. Our empire in India is an awful thing. If we should come to be in a condition not only to have all this ascendant in commerce, but to be absolutely able, without the least control, to hold the commerce of all other pations totally dependent upon our good pleasure, we may may that we shall not abuse this astonishing, and hitherto unbeard-of, power. But every other nation will think we shall abuse it. It is impossible but that, sooner or later, thit state of things must produce a combination against us which may end in our min.
As to France, I must observe, that for a long time ale had been stationary. She has, during this whole century, obtained far leas by conqueat or negotiation than my of the three great continental powern. Some part of Lorrainaexcepted, I reeollect nothing she has gained; no, not a village. In trath, this Lorraine soquiation doe litale more than secure her barrier. In effect and substance it was her own before.

However that may be, I consider these thinge at present chiefly in one point of niew, as obatructions to the war on Jacobinism, which menct atand as long as the powers think its
extirpation but a secondary object, and think of taking advantage under the name of indemnity and security to make war upon the whole nation of France, royal and Jacobin, for the aggrandizement of the allies on the ordinary principles of interest, as if no Jscobinism existed in the world.

So far is France from being formidable to its neighbours for its domestic strength, that I conceive it will be as much as all its neighbours can do, by a steady guarantee, to keep that monarchy at all upon its basis. It will be their business to nurse France, not to exhaust it. France, auch as it is, is indeed highly formidable. Not formidable, however, as a great republic; but as the most dreadful gang of robbers and murderers that ever was embodied. But this distempered strength of France will be the cause of proportionable weakness on its recovery. Never was a country so coms pletely ruined; and they who calculate the resurrection of her power by former examples, have not sufficiently considered what is the present state of things. Without detailing the inventory of what organs of government have been deatroyed, together with the very materials of which alone they can be recomposed, I wish it to be considered what an operose affair the whole system of taxation is in the old states of Europe. It is auch as never could be made but in a long course of years. In Trance all tazes are abolished. The present powers resort to the capital; and to the capital in find. But a savage, undisciplined people suffer a robbery with more patience than an impost. The former is in their habits and their dispositions. They consider it as transient, and as what, in their turn, they may exercise. But the terrors of the present power are such as no regular government can possibly employ. They who enter into France do not ancceed to their resources. They have not a syatem to reform, but a system to begin. The whole estate of government is to be reacquired.

What difficulties this will meet with in a country exhausted by the taking of the capital, and among a people, in a manner, new principled, trained, and actualily disciplined to anarchy, rebellion, disorder, and impiety, may be conceived by those who know what Jacobin France is, and who may have occupied themselves by revolving in their thoughts, what they were to do if it fell to their lot to re-establish the
affairs of France. What support, or what limitations, the restored monarchy must have, may be a doubt, or how it: will pitch and settle at last. But one thing I conceive to be far beyond a doubt: that the settlement cannot be immediate; but that it must be preceded by some cort of power equal at least in vigour, vigilance, promptitude, and decision: to a military government. For such a preparatory government no slow-paced, methodical, formal, lawyer-like system, stil] less that of a showy, superficial, trifling, intriguing court, guided by cabals of ladies, or of men like ladies; least of all, a philosophic, theoretic, disputations school of wophistry. None of these ever will, or ever can, lay the foundations of an order that can last. Whoever claims a right by birth to govern there, must find in his breast, or must conjure up in it, an energy not to be expected, perhaps not alwaye to be wished for, in well-ordered states. The lawful prince must have, in everything but crime, the character of an usurper. He is gone, if he imagines himself the quiet posessor of a throne. He is to contend for it as much after an apparent conquest as before. His task is to win it; he must leave posterity to enjoy and to adorn it. No velvet cushions for him. He is to be always (I rpeak nearly to the letter) on horseback. This opinion is the reault of much patient thinking on the subject, which I conceive no event is likely to alter.
A valuable friend of mine, who 1 hope will conduct these affairs, so far as they fall to hin thare, with great abrility, asked me what I thought of acts of general iudemnity and oblivion, as a means of settling France, and reconciling it to monarchy. Before I venture upon any opinion of my own in this matter, I totally disclaim the interference of forcign powers in a business that properly belongs to the government which we hare declared legal. That government is likely to be the beat judge of what is to be done towards the security of that hingdom, which it is their duty and their interest to provide for by such measures of justice or of lenity, ats at the time they should find best. But if we weaken it, not only by arbitrary limitations of our own, but preserve such persons in it as are disposed to disturb its future peace, as they have its past, I do not know how a more direct declaration can be made of a disposition to perpetual hostility against a government. The persons aved from the justice
of the native magistrate by foreign authority will owe nothing to his clemency. Ho will, and must, look to those to whom he is indebted for the power he has of dispensing it. A Jacobin faction, constantly fostered with the nourishment of foreign protection, will be kept alive.

The desire of securing the safety of the actors in the present scene is owing to more laudable motives. Ministers have been made to consider the brothers of the late merciful king, and the nobility of France, who have been faithful to their honour and duty, as a set of inexorable and remorseless tyrants. How this notion has been infused into them I cannot be quite certain. I am sure it is not justified by anything they have done. Never were the two princes guilty, in the day of their power, of a single hard or ill-natured act. No one instance of cruelty on the part of the gentlemen ever came to my ears. It is true that the English Jacobins, (the natives have not thought of \(i t\),) as an excuse for their infernal system of murder, have so represented them. It is on this principle, that the massacres in the month of September, 1792, were justified by a writer in the Morning Chronicle. He says, indeed, that "the whole French nation is to be given up to the hands of an irritated and revengeful no-blesse:"-and, judging of others by himself and his bretbren, he says, "Whoever succeeds in a civil war will be cruel. But here the emigrants, flying to revenge in the care of military victory, will almost inaatiably call for their victims and their booty; and a body of emigrant traitors were attending the king of Prassia and the duke of Brunswick, to suggest the most sanguinary counsels." So says this wicked Jacobin; but bo cannot say the king of Prussia nor the duke of Brunswick, who never did receive any aanguinary counsel; nor did the king's brothers, or that great body of gentlemen who attended those princes, commit one single cruel action, or hurt the person or property of one individual. It would be right to quote the instance. It is like the military luxury attributed to those unfortunate sufferers in our common cause.

If these princes had shown a tyrannical disposition, it would be mach to be lamented. We have no othera to govern France. If we screened the body of murderers from their justice, we should only leave the innocent in future to
the mercy of men of fierce and sanguinary dispositions, of which, in epite of all our intermeddling in their constitution, we could not prevent the effects. But as we have much more reason to fear their feeble lenity than any blamable rigour, we ought, in my opinion, to leare the matter to themselves.

If, however, I were asked to give an advice merely as auch -here are my ideas. I am not for a total indemnity, nor a. general punishment. And firat, the body and mase of the. people never ought to be treated as criminal. They may become an object of more or less constant watchfulness and suspicion, as their preservation may best require, but they can never become an object of panishment. This is one of the few fundamental and unalterable principles of politics.

To punish them capitally would be to mate masmacres., Massacres only increase the ferocity of men, and teach them to regard their own lives and those of others an of little value; whereas the great policy of government is to teach the people to think both of great importance in the eyes of God and the state, and never to be sacrificed or even hazarded to gratify their passions, or for anything but the dutien prescribed by the rules of morality, and under the direction of public law and public authority. To punish them with lemer penalities would be to debilitate the commonwealth, and make the nation miserable, which it is the buriness of government to render happy and flourishing.

As to crimes too, I would draw a atrong line of limitation. For no one offence, politically an offence of rebellion, by counsel, contrivance, persuasion, or compulsion, for none properly a military offence of rebellion, or anything done by open hostility in the field, should any man at all be called in question; because such seems to be the proper and natural death of civil dissensions. The offencee of war are obliterated by peace.

Another class will of course be included in the indemnity, namely, all thome tho by their sctivity in restoring lawiul government shall obliterate their offencen. The offence previoualy known, the acceptance of service is at pardon for crimes. I fear that this clase of men. Will not be very namerous.

So far as to indemnity. But where are the objects of justice, and of example, and of future security to the public
peace \(P\) They are naturally pointed out, not by their having outraged political and civil laws, nor their having rebelled ugainst the state, as a state, but by their having rebelled against the law of nature, and outraged man as man. In this list, all the regicides in general, all those who laid sacrilegious hands on the king, who without anything in their own rebellious mission to the convention to justify them, brought him to his trial and unsnimously voted him guilty; all those who had a share in the cruel murder of the queen, and the detestable proceedings with regard to the young king and the unhappy princesses; all those who committed coldblooded murder anywhere, and particularly in their revolutionary tribunals, where every idea of natural justice and of their own declared rights of man have been trodden under foot with the most insolent mockery; all men concerned in the burning and demolition of houses or churches, with sudacious and marked acts of sacrilege and scorn offered to religion ; in general, all the leaders of Jacobin clubs ;-not one of these elould escape a punishment auitable to the nature, quality, and degree of their offence, by a steady but a measured justice.

In the first place, no man ought to be subject to any penalty, from the highest to the lowest, but by a trial according to the course of law, carried on with all that caution and deliberation which has been used in the best times and precedents of the French jurispradence, the criminal law of Which country, faulty to be sure in some particulars, was highly laudable and tender of the lives of men. In restoring order and justice, everything like retaliation ought to be religiously avoided; and an example ought to be set of i total alienation from' the Jscobin proceedings in their accursed revolutionary tribunals. Everything like lumping men in masses, and of forming tables of proscription, ought to be avoided.

In all these punishments, anything which can be alleged in mitigation of the offence should be fully considered. Mercy is not a thing opposed to justice. It is an essential part of it; as necessary in criminal cases, as in civil affairs equity is to law. It is only for the Jacobins never to pardon. They have not done it in a single instance. A council of mercy ought therefore to be appointed, with powers to report on
each case, to soften the penalty, or entirely to remit it, according to circumstances:

With these precsutions, the very first foundation of nettlement must be to call to a strict account those bloody and merciless offenders. Without it, government cannot intand a year. People little consider the utter impomaibility of getting those, who, having emerged from very low, soms from the lowest, classem of society, have exercised a power wo high, and with such unrelenting and bloody a rage, quietly to fall back into their old ranks, and become humble, peaceable, laborious, and useful members of eociety. It never can be. On the other hand, is it to be believed, that any worthy and virtuous subject, restored to the ruins of his house, wilt with patience see the cold-blooded murderer of his father, mother, wife, or children, or perhaps all of these relationss (such things have been,) nose him in his own village, and insult him with the riches acquired from the plunder of bis goods, ready again to head a Jacohin faction to attack his life? He is unworthy of the name of man who would suf \({ }^{\prime}\) fer it. It is nnworthy of the name of a government, which, taking justice out of the private hand, will not exercise it for the injured by the public arm.

I know it sounds plansibly, and is readily adopted by thom who have little sympathy with the sufferingm of others, ta wish to jumble the innocent and guilty into one mases, by a general indemnity. This cruel indiference dignifies itsel with the name of hamanity.

It is extraordinary, that as the wicked arts of this regicid and tyrannous faction increase in number, variety, and strocity, the deaire of punishing them becomes more and more faint, and the talk of an indemnity towards them every day stronger and stronger. Our ideas of justice appear to be fairly conquered and overpowered by guilt when it is grown gigantic. It is not the point of view in which we are in the habit of riewing guilt. The crimes we every day punish are really below the penalties we inflict. The crimimals are obscure and feeble. This is the view in which we see ordinary crimes and criminals. But when guilt is seen, though but for a time, to be furnished with the arms and to be invested with the nobes of power, it seems to anme another nature, and to get, as it were, out
of our jurisdiction. This I fear is the case with many. But there is another cause full as powerful towards this eecurity to enormons guilt, the desire which possesses people, who have once obtained power, to enjoy it at their ease. It is not humanity, but laziness and inertness of mind, which produces the desire of this kind of indemnities. This description of men love general and short methods. If they punish, they make a promiscuous massacre; if they spare, they make a general act of oblivion. This is a want of disposition to proceed laboriously according to the cases, and according to the rules and principles of justice on each case; a want of disposition to assort criminals, to discriminate the degrees and modes of guilt, to separate accomplices from principals, leaders from followers, seducers from the seduced, and then, by following the same principles in the same detail, to class punishments, and to fit them to the nature and kind of the delinquency. If that were once attempted, we should soon see that the task was neither infinite, nor the execution cruel. There would be deaths, but, for the number of criminals, and the extent of France, not many. There would be casen of transportation; cases of labour to restore what has been wickedly destroyed; cases of imprisonment, and cases of mere exile. But be this as it may, \(I\) am sure that if justice is not done there, there can be neither peace nor justice there, nor in any part of Europe.

History is resorted to for other acts of indemnity in other times. The princes are desired to look back to Henry the Fourth. We are desired to look to the restoration of King Charles. These things, in my opinion, have no resemblance whatsoever. They were cases of a civil war in France more ferocious, in England more moderate, than common. In neither country were the ordera of society subverted, religion and morality destroyed on principle, or property totally annihilated. In England, the government of Cromwell was to be sure somewhat rigid, but, for a new power, no savage tyranny. The country was nearly as well in his hands as in those of Charles the Second, and in some points much better. The lnws in general had their course, and were admirably administered. The king did not in reality grant an act of indemnity; the prevailing power, then in a
manner the nation, in effect granted an indemnity to him. The idea of a preceding rebellion was not at all admitted in that convention and that parliament. The regicider were a common enemy, and as such given up.

Among the ornaments of their place which eminently ditinguish them, few people are better acquainted with the history of their own country than the illustrious princen now in exile; but I cantion them not to be led into error by that which has been supposed to be the guide of life. I would give the same caution to all princea. Not that I derogate from the use of history. It is a great improver of the understanding, by showing both men and affairs in a great variety of views. From this source much political wisdom may be learned; that is, may be learned an habit, not as precept ; and as an exercise to strengthen the mind, as furnishing materials to enlarge and enrich it, not as a repertory of cases and precedents for a lawyer: if it were, a thousand times better would it be that a statesman had never learned to read-vellem neacirent literas. This method turns their understanding from the object before them, and from the present exigencies of the world, to comparisons with former times, of which, after all, we can know very little and very imperfectly; and our guides, the historians, who are to give us their true interpretation, are often prejudiced, often ignorant, often fonder of system than of truth. Whereas if a man with reasonably good parte and natural sagacity, and not in the leading-strings of any master, will look steadily on the businese before him, without being diverted by retroapect and comparison, he may be capable of forming a reasonably good judgment of what is to be done. There are some fundamental points in which nature never changesbut they are few and obvious, and belong rather to morala than to politics. But so far as regards political matter, the human mind and homsn affairs are susceptible of infinite modifications, and of combinations wholly new and unlooked for. Very few, for instance, could have imagined that property, which has been taken for natural domimion, should, through the whole of a vast kingdom, loee all its importance and even its influence. This is what history or books of speculation could hardly hare taught us. How many could hare thought, that the moat complete and
formidable revolution in a great empire should be made by men of letters, not as subordinate instruments and trumpeters of sedition, but as the chief contrivers and managers, and in a short time as the open administrators and sovereign rulers ? Who could have imagined that atheism could produce one of the most violently operative principles of famnticiam? Who could have imagined that, in a commonwealth in a manner cradled in war, and in an extensive and dreadful war, military commanders should be of little or no account? That the convention should not contain one military man of name ? That administrative bodies in a state of the utmost confusion, and of but a momentary duration, and composed of men with not one imposing part of character, should be able to govern the country and its armies, with an authority which the most settled senates, and the most respected monarchs, scarcely ever had in the same degree? This, for one, I confess I did not foresee, though all the rest was present to me very early, and not out of my apprehension even for several years.

I believe very few were able to enter into the effects of mere terror, as a principle not only for the support of power in given bands or forms, but in those things in which the soundest political speculators were of opinion, that the least appearance of force would be totally destructive,-such is the market, whether of money, provision, or commodities of any kind. Yet for four years we have seen loans made, treasuries supplied, and armies levied and maintained, more numerous than France ever showed in the field, by the effects of fear alone.

Here is a state of things of which, in its totality, if history furnishes any examples at all, they are very remote and feeble. I therefore nm not so ready as some are, to, tax with folly or cowardice those who were not prepared to meet an evil of this nature. Even now, after the events, all the causes may be somewhat difficult to ascertain. Very many are however traceable. But these things history and books of speculation (as I have already said) did not teach men to foresee, and of course to resist. Now that they are no longer a matter of sagacity, but of experience, of recent experience, of our own experience, it would be unjuatifiable to go back to the records of other times, to instruct us to manage what they vever enabled us to foresee.

\section*{APP'ENDIX.}

\section*{EXTRACTS FROM VATTELL'S LAW. OF NATIONS.}
[The titles, marginal abstracts, and notes, are by Mr. Burke, excepting such of the notes te are here distinguiahed.]

\section*{CASBS OF INTERFERENCE WITH INDEPENDENT POWERS.}
boot il. char. Tv. \(\$ 53\).
If then there is anywhere a nation of a rectless and mischievous dieposition, siways ready to injure otherr, to traverse their designs, and to raise domestic troubles,' it is not to be doubted, that all have a right to join in order to represe, chastise, and put it ever afler out of its power to injure them. Such should be the just fruits of the policy which Machiavel praises in Cassar Borgia. The conduct followed by Philip II., king of Spain, was adapted to unite all Europe against him; and it was from just reasons that Hemry the Great formed the design of hambling a power, formidable by its forces, and perricious by its marions.

8 70. Let us apply to the unjust, what we have said above, ( \(\S \mathbf{5 3}\),) of a miscbievous or maleficent nation. If there be any that makes an open profession of trannpling juatice under foot, of despising and violating the right of others,' whenever it finds an opportunity, the intereat of human mociety scill authorize all othere to unite, is order to humble and chative it. We do not here forget the maxim established in our preliminariea, that it does not belong to nationa to usurp the power of being judges of each other. In particular cacen, liable to the least doubt, it ought to be supposed, that each of the parties may have some right ; and the injustice of that which has committed the injury may proceed from
\({ }^{1}\) Thin is the case of Frace-Semonville at Turin-Jecobin ciubinLiegoin meeting-Flenrich meeting-Ia Payctle's thowet-Cloot's en-bany-A vipmon.
= The French acknowledge no power not directhy emanatiog from the people.
error, and not from a general contempt of justice. But if, by constant maxims, and by a continued conduct, one nation shows, that it has evidently this pernicious disposition, and that it considers no right as sacred, the safety of the buman race requires that it should be suppressed. To form and support an unjust pretension, is to do an injury not only to him who is interested in this pretension, but to moch at justics in general, and to injure all nations.
§ 56. If the prince, attacking the fundamental \(T_{o}\) suecour laws, gives his subjects a legal right to resist againe whim; if tyranny, becoming insupportable, obliges againn
rany. the nation to rise in their defence; every foreign power has a right to succour an oppressed people who implore their assistance. The English justly complained of James the Second. The nobility, and the most distin. Causo of Rogguished patriots, resolved to put a check on his tion.
enterprises, which manifestly tended to overthrow the constitution, and to destroy the liberties and the religion of the people; and therefore applied for assistance to the United Provinces. The authority of the Prince of Orange had, doubtless, an influence on the deliberations of the states-general ; but it did not make them commit injustice; for when a people, from good reasons, take up arms against an oppressor, justice and generosity require, that brave men should be assisted in the defence of their liberties. Whenever, therefore, a civil war is kindled in a state, curo of etril foreign powers may assist that party which appears to them to have justice orp their side. He who assists an odious tyrant, he who declares FOE AN UNJUBT
 duty. When the bands of the political society ilous peopla. are broken, or at least suspended, between the sovereign and his people, they may then be cousidered as two distinct powers; and since each is inde- soveresm and pendent of all foreign authority, nobody has a when distinet right to judge them. Either may be in the right; and each of those who grant their assistance may believe that he supports a good cause. It follows then, in virtue of the voluntary laws of nations, (see Prelim. §21,) that the two parties may act as having an equal right, and behave accord ingly, till the decision of the aftair.

Ner to be But we ought not to abuse this maxim for aupormed to an thorizing odious proceedings againnt the tranoxtreme.
Endeavour to persuade aub fecto to a roquillity of states. It is a violation of the law of nations to persuade those atbjects to revolt who actually obey their sovereign, though they complain of his government.
The practice of pations is conformable to our maxims. When the German Protestant came to the assintance of the reformed in France, the court never undertook to treat them otherwise than as common enemies, and according to the laws of war. France at the mame time assinted the Netherlands, which took uparms against Spain, and did not pretend that her troops should be considered upon any other footing Attempt to ex. than as suxiliaries in a regular war: But no cite ubblectes- power avoids complaining of an atrociows injury, to revale. if any one attempta by his emisaries to excile his subjectr to revolt.
tyrums. As to those monsters, who, under the title of sovereigni, render themselve the scourgen and horror of the human race; these are savage beasts, from which every brave man may justly purge the earth. All antiquity bas praised Hercules for delivering the world from an Antwus, a Busiris, and a Diomedes.

Book 4. Chap. 2. 814 After otating that nations have no right to interfere in domestic eoncerna, he proceed, -" But this rule does not preclude them from expousing the quarrel of a dethroned king, and anirting him, if he appears to have justice on his side. They then declare themselves enemie to the nation who has acknowledged his rival, when two different nations are at war they are at liberty to ansist that Whose quarrel they think has the faireat appearance."

\section*{CASE OF ALLIANCES.}
\[
\text { BOOK II CHAP. III } \$ 196 .
\]

It is asked if that alliance subsints with the king, and the royal family, when by wome revolution they are deprived of their crown? We have lately remarked, (\$194,) that a permonal alliance expires with the reign of bim who contracted it: but that is to be understood of an alliance with the atate, limited as to ite duration, to the reign of the contracting
king. This of which we are here speaking is of another nature. For though it binds the state, aince it is bound by all the public acts of its sovereign, it is made lirectly in favour of the king and his family; it would therefore be absurd for it to terminate at ance ane the the moment when they they have need of it, and serve aking
takea place at an event against which it was made. Besides, the king does not lose his quality merely by the loss of hi kingdom. If he is stripped of it uriuctly by K ing does not an usurper, or by rebels, he preserves his rights, lity by the loom in the number of which are his allianses.

But who shall judge, if the king be dethroned lawfully or by violence \(P\) An independent nation acknowledges no judge. If the body of the nation declares the king deprived of his rights by the abuse he has made of them, and deposes him, it may justly do it when its grievances are well founded, and no other power has a right to censure it. The personal ally of this king ought not then to assist him against the nation that has made use of ita right in deposing him: if he attempts it, he injures that nation. England declared war against Lovis XIV. in the year 1688, for supporting the
: By the seventh Article of the Treaty of Triple Alliancr, between France, England, and Holland, signed at the Hague, in the year 1717, it is stipulated, "that if the kingdoms, countries, on provinces, of any of the allies, are disturbed by intestine quarrels, or by rebellions, on account of the said successions, the Protestant succession to the throne of Grent Britain, and the succession to the throne of France, as settled by the treaty of Utrecht,] or wender any othar protext whatever, the ally thus in trouble shall have full right to demand of his allies the succours above mentioned;" that is to say, the same auccours as in the case of an invasion from any foreign power; 8000 foot and 2000 horse to be furmished by France or England, and 4000 foot and 1000 bonse by the StatesGeneral.
By the fourth Article of the Treaty of Quadruple Albareb, between England, France, Holland, and the emperor of Germany, signed in the year 1718, the contracting powers "promise and oblige themselves that thoy will and ought to maintain, guarantee, and defend the right and succession to the kingdom of Franca, according to the tenor of the treaties made at Utrecht the lith day of April, 1713; and this they shall perform against all persons whatsoever toho may presume to disturb the order of the said succestion, in contradiction to the previous acte and treaties subsequent thereon.
The above treaties have been revived and confirmed by every subsequent treaty of paace between Great Britain and France.-Epir.
interest of James the Second, who was deposed in form by the nation. The same country declared war against him a second time, at the beginning of the present century, becsuse that prince acknowledged the son of the deposed James, under the name of James the Third. In doubt-

Cano wherein ald may be given to a deposed kitg. ful cases, and when the body of the nation has not prononinced, or has mot pronoviced phebly, a sovereign may naturally support and defend an ally, and it is then that the voluntary law of nations subsist between different states. The party that has driven out the king pretends to have right on its side : this unhappy ling and his ally flatter theroselves with having the same advantage; and as they have no common judge upon earth, they have no other method to take but to apply to arms to terminate the dispute: they therefore engage in a formal war.

In short, when the foreign prince bas faithNot obliged to in short, wben the foreign prince bas faith-
pungue his
fight beyond angriain point. tunate monarch, when he has done in his defence, or to procure his restoration, all he was obliged to perform in virtue of the alliance; if his efforto are ineffectual, the dethroned prince cannot require him to support an endless war in his favour, or expect that he will eternally remain the enemy of the nation, or of the sovereign who has deprived him of the throne. He must think of peace, sbandon the ally, and consider him as having himself abandoned his right, through necessity. Thus Louis XIV. wan obliged to abandon James the Second, and to acknowledge King William, though be had at first treated him as an usurper.

The same question presents itself in real alliancen, and, in general, in all alliances made with the state, and not in parcme of deCrue of dearabeection ticular with a king for the defence of his person. mablecta An ally ought, doubtlese, to be defended against every invasion, against every foreign violence, and even againet his rebellious subjects; in the same manner a republic ought to be defended against the enterpriees of one who attempts to dertroy the public liberty. But it ought to be remembered, that an ally of the state, or the nation, is not its judge. If the nation has deposed its hing in form; if the people of a republic have driven out their magistrates and set themselves as liberty, or acknowledged the sutbority of an usurper, either expresaly or tacitly; to orpowe these
domestic regulations, by disputing their justice or validity, would be to interfere in the government of the nation, and to do it an injury (see \(\$ 54\) and following of this book). The ally remains the ally of the state, notwithatanding the change that has happened in it. Honvever, when this change renders the alliance useless, dangerous, Case where or disagreeable, it may renounce it: for it may may be resay, upon a good foundation, that it would not have entered into an alliance with that nation, had it been under the present form of government.

We may eay here, what wo have asid on a personal allinnce: however just the cause of that king may be, who is driven from the throne, either by his subjects or by a foreign usurper; his allies are not obliged to support an eternal war in his favour. After having made Not an evernal ineffectual efforts to restore him, they must at length give peace to their people, and come to an accommodation with the usurper, and for that purpose treat with him as with a lawful sovereign. Louis XIV., exhausted by a bloody and unsuccessful war, offered at Gertruydenburgh to abandon his grandson, whom he had placed on the throne of Spain: and, when affairs had changed their appearance, Charles of Austria, the rival of Philip, saw himself, in his turn, abandoned by his allies. They grew weary of exhausting their states, in order to give him the possession of a crown, which they believed to be his due, but which, to all appearance, they should never be able to procure for him

\section*{DANGEROUS POWER.}
bOOK III. CEAP. III. \(\$ 45\).
It is still easier to prove, that should this formidable power betray any unjust and ambitious All nations dispositions, by doing the least injustice to another, every nation may arail themselves of the occasion, and join their forces to those of the party injured, in order to reduce that ambitious power, and disable it from so easily oppressing its neighbours, or keeping them in oontinual awe and fear. For an injury gives a nation a right to provide for its future safety, by taking away from the violator the means of
oppression. It is lawful, and even praise-worthy, io ansist those who are oppressed, or unjustly attacked.

\section*{SYSTEM OF EUROPE.}
§47. Europe forms a political system, a body, where the whole is connected by the relations and different interente of nations inbabiting this part of the world. It is not, as anciently, a confused heap of detached pieces, each of which thought itself very little concerned in the fate of othert, and seldom regarded things which did not tmmediately relate to it. The continual attention of sovereigns to what is on the carpet, the constant residence of ministers, and the
Europe a reproblic to preerre order ana pron negolinions, mae burope a kind of a uberty. ent, unite, through the ties of common interest, for the maintenance of order and biberty. Hence arose that famons acheme of the political equilibrium, or balance of power; by which is nnderstood auch a disposition of things, as no power is able absolutely to predominate, or to prescribe laws to others.
§ 49: Confederaciea would be a sure way of preserving the equilibrium, and aupporting the liberty of nations, did all princes thoronghly understand their true intereste, and regulate all their steps for the good of the state.

\section*{CONTRIBUTIOAS IX THE ENEMY' COUNTHY.}

BOOK IM. CHAP, IX. \(\$ 165\).
Instead of the pillage of the country, and defencelest places, a eustom has been eubstituted more humane and more adrantageous to the sovereign making war: I mean that of contributions. Whoever carries on a juct wary: hat a right of making the enemy's counery contribute to the support of the army, and tonoards defraying all the charges of the war. Thus he obtsins a part of what is due to him, and the subjecte of the enemy, on rubmitting to this imposition, are secured from pillage, and the country is pre-
\({ }^{1}\) Cantributiona raied by the Dake of Bransurick in Prance Compare these with the eontributiona mised by the French in the NetherlandeEbir.
served: but a general who wauld not sully his reputation is to moderate his contributions, and To be moder proportion them to those on whom they are imposed. An excess in this point is not without the reprosch of cruelty and inhumanity: if it shows leas ferocity than ravage and destruction, it glarea with avarice.

ASYLUM.

\section*{BOOK I CHAP. XIX. 5232}

If an exile or banished man is driven from his country for any crime, it daes not belong to the zation in which he has taken refuge to punish him for a fault committed in a foreign country. For nature gives to mankind and to nations the right of punishing only for their defence and safety; whence it follows that he can only be punished by those whom he has offended.
§ 233. But this reason shows, that if the justice of each nation ought in general to be confined to the punishment of crimes committed within its own territories, we ought to except from this rule the villains who, by the quality and habitual frequency of their crimes, violate all'public security, and declare themselves the enemies of the buman race. Poisoners, asssssins, and incendiaries by profession, may be exterminated wherever they are seized; for they attack and injure all nations, by trampling under foot the foundations of the common safety. Thus pirates are brought to the gibbet, by the first into whose hands they fall. If the sovereign of the country where those crimes have been committed re-claims the authors of them, in order to bring them to punishment, they ought to be restored to him, as one who is principally interested in punishing them in an exemplary manner: and it being proper to convict the guilty, and to try them according to some form of law; this is a second [not sole] reason, why malefactors are usually de livered up at the desire of the state where their crimes have been committed.

Ibid. § 230. Every nation has a right of refusing to admit a stranger into the country, when he cannot enter into
it without putling it into evident danger, or without doing it a remarkable prejudice. \({ }^{1}\)

\section*{FOREIGN MINISTERS.}

BOOK IV. CHAP. v. 566.
The obligation does not go so far an to suffer at all times, perpetual ministers, who are desirous of residing with a sovereign, though they have nothing to negotiate. It in natural, indeed, and very agreeable to the sentiments which nations owe to each other, that these resident ministers, when there is nothing to be feared from their stay, should be friendly received; but if there be any solid reason against this, what is for the good of the state ought unquentionably to be preferred; and the foreign sovereign cannot take it amiss if his minister, who has concluded the affairs of his commission, and has no other affairs to negotiate, be desired to depart. \({ }^{2}\) The custom of keeping everywhere ministers continually resideut is now so strongly established, that the refusal of a conformity to it would, without very good reasons, give offence. These reasons may arise from particular comjunctures; but there are also common reasona always subsisting, and such as relate to the constitution of a government, and the state of a nation. The republice have often very good reasons of the latter kind, to excuse themetres from continually suffering foreign ministern, who corrupt the citizens, in order to gain thers coer to their sausters, to the great prejudice of the republic, and fomenting of the parties, sce. And should they only diffuse among a nation, formerly plain, frugal, and virtuous, a tarte for luxury, avidity for money, and the manners of courts, these would be more than sufficient for wise and provident rulers to dismisa them.

\footnotetext{
1 The thind article of the treaty of triple alimee, and the latter part of the fourth article of the treaty of quadruple alimoce, tippalaten, that mo kind of refage or protection thall be giren to rebellion mobjection of the contracting poweri.-EDIr.
- Disminion of M. Chure
}
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\section*{CONDUCT OF THE MINORITY, \\ pabyiculamix}

IN THE LAST GESSION OF PARLIAMENT; ADDRESSED TO THE DUKE OF PORTLAND AND LORD FIXZWLLLTAM.
1793.

\section*{Letter to his grace the duke of portland.}

My Drar Lord,
Trs paper, which I take the liberty of sending to your Grace, was, for the greater part, written during the last session. A few days after the prorogation some few observations were added. I was resolved however to let it hie by me for a considerable time; that on viewing the matter at a proper distance, and when the sharpness of recent impressions had been worn off, I might be better able to form a just estimate of the value of my first opinions.

I have just now read it over very coolly and deliberately My latest judgment owns my first sentiments and reasonings, in their full force, with regard both to persons and thinge.

During a period of four years, the state of the world, ex: eept for some few and short intervals, has filled me with a good deal of serious inquietude. I considered a general war against Jacobins and Jacobinism, as the only possible chance of saving Europe (and England as included in Europe) from a truly frightful revolution. For this I have been censured, as receiving through weakness, or spreading through fraud and artifice, a false alarm. Whatever others may think of the matter, that alarm, in my mind, is by no means quieted. The state of affairs abroad is not so much mended, as to 2 H 2
make me; for one, full of confidence. At home, I nee no abatement whatsoever in the zeal of the partisans of Jacobinism towards their cause, nor any cessation in their efforta to do mischief. What is doing by Lord Lauderdale on the first scene of Lord George Gordon's actions, and in hig epirit, is not calculated to remove my apprebensions. They pursue their first object with as much eagerness as ever, but with more dexterity. Under the plausible name of peaca, by which they delude or are deluded, they would deliver us nnarmed, and defenceless, to the confederation of Jacobin: whoee centre is indeed in France, but whote raye proceed in every direction throughout the world. I understand that Mr. Coke, of Norfolk, has been lately very busy in spresding a disaffection to this war (which we carry on for our being) in the county in which his property gives him so great an influence. It is truly alarming to eee \(n\) large a part of the aristocratic intereat engrged in the cause of the new species of democracy, which io openly attacking, or eecretly undermining, the system of property by which mankind has hitherto been governed. But we are not to delude ourselves. No man can be connected with a party which professes publicly to admire, or may be justly suspected of mecretly abetting, this French Kevolntion, who must not be drawn into its vortex, and become the instrument of ite designs.

What I have written is in the mamer of apology. I have given it that form, as being the most respectful; but I do not estand in need of any apology for my principles, my sentimenta, or my conduct. I winh the paper I lay before your Grace to be connidered as my moot deliberate, solem, and even testamentary protest against the proceedinge and doctrines which have hitherto produced so much mischief in the world, and which will infallibly produce more, and possibly greater. It is my protest agminst the delusion, br which some have been taught to look upon this Jacobin cons teat at home, as an ordinary party equabble about plece or patronage; and to regard this Jacobin war alrond as asomb mon war about trade or territorial boundariet, or about a political balance of power smong rival or jealons states: above all, it is my protest against that mistake or perversion of mentiment, by which they, who agree with us in our prim-
ciples, may on collateral considerations be regarded as enemies; and those who, in this perilous crisis of all human affairs, differ from us fundamentally and practically, as our best friends. Thus persons of great importance may be made to turn the whole of their influence to the destruction of their principles.

I now make it my humble request to your Grace, that you will not give any sort of answer to the paper I send, or to this letter, except barely to let me know that you have received them. I even wish that at present you may not read the paper which I transmit; lock it up in the drawer of your library table; and when a day of compulsory reflection comes, then be pleased to turn to it. Then remember that your Grace had a true friend, who had, comparatively with men of your description, a very small interest in opposing the modern system of morality and policy; but who, under every discouragement, was faithful to public duty and to private friendship. I shall then probably be dead. I am sure I do not wish to live to see such things. But whilst I do live, I shall pursue the same course; althongh my merits should be taken for unpardonable faulta, and as auch avenged not only on myself, but on my posterity.

Adieu, my dear Lord; and do me the justice to believe me ever, with most sincere respect, veneration, and affectionate attschment, Your Grace's most faithful friend, and most obedient humble servant, EDMUND BURKE.
Beacongfield, Sept. 29, 1793.

\section*{OBSERVATIONS, \&c.}

Appioachine towards the close of a long period of public service, it is natural I should be desirous to stand well (I hope I do stand tolerably well) with that public, which, with whatever fortune, I have endeavoured faithfully and zealously to serve.

I am also not e little anxious for some place in the estimation of the two persons to whom I address this paper. I
have always acted with them, and with those whom they rea present. To my knowledge, I have not deviated, no, not in the minutest point, from their opinions and principles. O4 late, without any alteration in their sentiments, or in mine; a difference of a very unusual nature, and which, under the circumstances, it is not easy to describe, bas arisen be tween us.

In my journey with them through life, I met Mr. Fox in my road; and I travelled with him very cheerfully as long as he appeared to me to purnue the same direction with thona in whose company I set out. In the latter stage of our progress, a new scheme of liberty and equality was pros duced in the world, which either dazzled bis imagination, of was suited to some new walks of ambition, which were then opened to his view. The whole frame and fanhion of bis politics appear to have suffered about that time a very man terial alteration. It is about three years since, in consequence of that extriordinary change, that, after a pretty long preceding period of distance, coolness, and want of confidence, if not total alienation on his part, a complete public separation has been made between that gentleman and me. Until lately the breach between us sppeared reparable. I trasted that time and reflection, and a decisive experience of the mischiefs which have flowed from the proceedings and the system of France, on which our diflerence had arisen, as well as the known sentimente of the best and wisest of our common friends upon that subject, would have bronght him to a safer way of thinking. Several of his friends saw no security for keeping things in a proper train after this excurtion of his, but in the re-umion of the party on ita old grounds, under the Duke of Portland. Mr. For, if be pleased, might have been comprehended in thet syatem, with the rank and consideration to which his great talents entitle him, and indeed must eecure to him in any party arrangement that coold be made. The Duke of Portland knows how mach I wished for, and how earnestly I laboured, that re-urion, and upon terms that might every way be honourable and adrantageous to Mr. Fox His conduct in the last session has extinguished these hopes for ever.

Mr. Fox has lately published in print a defence of his couduct. On taling into consideration that defence, a so-
ciety of gentlemen, called the Whig Club, thought proper to come to the following resolution-"That their confidence in Mr. For is confirmed, strengthened, and increased, by the calumnies against him."

To that resolution my two noble friends, the Duke of Portland and Lord Fitzwilliam, have given their concurrence.

The calumnies supposed in that resolution can be nothing else than the objections taken to Mr. For's conduct in this session of parliament; for to them, and to them alone, the resolution refers. I am one of those who have publicly and strongly urged those objections. I hope I shall be thought only to do what is necessary to my justification, thus publicly, solemnly, and heavily censured by those whom I most value and esteem, when I firmly contend that the objections which \(I\), with many others of the friends to the Duke of Portland, have made to Mr. Fox's conduct, are not calumnies, but founded on truth; that they are not fev, but many; and that they are not light and trivial, but, in a very high degree, serious and important.

That I may avoid the imputation of throwing out, even privately, any loose, random imputations against the public conduct of a gentleman, for whom I once entertained a very warm affection, and whose abilities I regard with the greatest admiration, I will put down, distinctly and articulately, some of the matters of objection which I feel to his late doctrines and proceedings, trusting that I shall be able to demonstrate to the friends whose good opinion I would still cultivate, that not levity, nor caprice, nor less defensible motives, but that very grave reasons, influenced my judgment. I think that the spirit of his late proceedings is wholly alien to our national policy, and to the peace, to the prosperity, and to the legal liberties, of this nation, according to our ancient domestic and appropriated mode of holding them.

Viewing things in that light, my confidence in him is not increased, but totally destroyed, by those proceedings. I cannot conceive it a matter of honour or duty, (but the direct contrary, in any member of parliament to continue systematic opposition for the purpose of putting government under difficulties, until Mr. Fox (with all his present ideas) shall have the principal direction of affairs placed in his
hands ; and until the present body of administration (with their ideas and measures) is of course overturned and dissolved.

To come to particulars:
1. The laws and constitution of the kingdom intrust the sole and exclusive right of treating with foreign potentates to the king. This is an undisputed part of the legal prerogative of the crown. However, notwithstanding thin, Mr. Fox, without the knowledge or participation of any one person in the House of Commons, with whom he was bound by every party principle, in matters of delicacy and importance, confidentially to commonicate, tbought proper to send Mr. Adair, as his representative, tand with him cypher, to Sto. Petersburg, there to frustrate the objects for which the minister from the crown was authorived to treat. He tucceeded in this his.design, and did actually frustrate the king's minister in some of the objecte of his negotistion.
This procedding of Mr. Fox does not (as I conceive) amount to absolute high treason; Rusais, though on bad terms, not having been then declaredly at-way with this kingdom. But such a proceeding is, in law, not very remote from that offence, and is undonbtedly a most uncoustitutional act, and a high treasonable miedemeanour.

The legitimate and sure mode of eommunication between this nation and foreign powers, is rendered meertsin, precarious, and treacherous, by being divided into two channels, one with the government, one with the head of a party in opposition to that government; by which means the foreign powers ean never be asured of the real authority or validity of eny public transaction whatsoever.

Un the other hand, the adrantage taken of the dimcontent which at that time previled in partiament and in the nation, to give to an individual en influence directly against the government of his country, in a foreign court, hes made a highway into England for the intrigues of foreign courts in our sffairs. This is a wore evil; meril from which, before this time, England was more free than ony other nation. Nothing can preserve un from that evil-which connect cabinet factions abroad with popniar factions here-but the Keeping eacred the crown, as the only channel of eommunicstion with erery other nation.

This proceeding of Mr. Fox has given a strong countenance and an encouraging example to the doctrines and practices of the Revolution and Constitutional Societies, and of other mischievous societies of that description, who, without any legal authority, and even without any corporate capacity, are in the habit of proposing, and, to the best of their power, of forming, leagues and alliances with France.

This proceeding, which ought to be reprobated on all the general principles of government, is, in a more narrow view of things, not less reprehensible. It tends to the prejudice of the whole of the Duke of Portland's late party, by diecrediting the principles upon which they supported Mr. Fox in the Russian business, as if they, of that party also, had proceeded in their parliamentary opposition, on the same mischievous principles which actusted Mr. Fox in sending Mr. Adair on his embassy.
2. Very soon after his sending this embassy to Russia, that is, in the spring of 1792, a covenanting club or association was formed in London, calling itself by the ambitious and invidious title of "The Friends of the People." It was composed of many of Mr. Fox's own most intimate, personal, and party friends, joined to a very considerable part of the members of those mischievous associations called the Revolution Society, and the Constitutional Society. Mr. Fox must have been well apprized of the progress of that society, in every one of its steps; if not of the very origin of it. I certainly was informed of both, who had no connexion with the design, directly or indirectly. His influence over the persons who composed the leading part in that association was, and is, unbounded. I hear that he expressed some disapprobation of this club in one case, (that of Mr. St. John,) where his consent was formally asked; yet he never attempted seriously to put a stop to the association, or to disavow it, or to control, check, or modify it in any way whatsoever. If he had pleased, without difficulty, he might have suppressed it in its beginning. However, he did not only not suppress it in its beginning, but encouraged it in every part of its progress, at that particular time, when Jacobin clubs (under the very same, or similar titles) were making such dreadful havoe in a country not thirty miles from the coast of England, and when every motive of moral prudence
called for the discouragement of societies formed for the increase of popular pretensions to power and direction.
8. When the proceedings of this Society of the Friends of the People, as well as others acting in the same spirit, had caused a very serious alarm in the mind of the Duke of Portland, and of many good patriots, he publiely, in the Houne of Commons, treated their apprehensions and conduct with the greatest asperity and ridicule. He condemned and vilified, in the most insulting and ontrageous terms, the proclamation issued by government on that occasion-though he well knew, that it had passed through the Duke of Portland's hands, that it had received his fullent approbation, and that it was the result of an actual interview between that noble duke and Mr. Pitt. During the discussion of its merits in the House of Commons, Mr. Fox couptenanced and justified the chief promoters of that aseociation; and he received, in return, a public assurance from them of an inviolable adherence to him, singly and personally. On account of this proceeding, a very great number (I premue to aly not the leart grave and wise part) of the Duke of Portland's friends in parlisment, and many out of parliament, who are of the same description, have become separated from that time to this from Mr. Fox's particular cabal; very few of which cabal are, or ever have, so much as pretended to be attached to the Duke of Portland, or to pay any respect to bim or his opinions.
4. At the beginning of this session, when the sober part of the nation wata second time generally and justly alarmed at the progress of the French arms on the contiuent, and at the spreading of their horrid principles and cabaly in England, Mr. For did not (as had been usual in cases of far leme moment) call together any meeting of the Duke of Portland's friends in the House of Commons, for the purpose of taking their opinion on the conduct to be purnued in parliament at that eritieal jnucture. He concerted his measures (if with any persons at all) with the friends of Lord Landowne, and those calling themselves Friends of the People, and others not in the smaliest degree attached to the Duke of Portland; by which conduct he wilfully gare up (in my opinion) all pretengions to be considered an of that party, and mach more to be considered as the leader and month of
it in the House of Commons. This could not give much encouragement to those who had been separated from Mr. Fox, on account of his conduct on the first proclamation, to rejoin that party.
5. Not having consulted any of the Duke of Poitland's party in the House of Commons; and not having consulted them, because he had reason to know, that the course he had resolved to pursue would be highly disagreeable to them, he represented the alarm, which was a second time given and taken, in still more invidious colours than those in which he painted the alarms of the former year. He described those alarms in this manner, although the cause of them was then grown far less equivocal, and far more urgent. He even went so far as to treat the supposition of the growth of a Jacobin spirit in England as a libel on the nation. As to the danger from abroad, on the first day of the session, he said little or nothing upon the subject. He contented himself with defending the ruling factions in France, and with accusing the public councils of this kingdom of every sort of evil design on the liberties of the people; declaring distinctly, atrongly, and precisely, that the whole danger of the nation was from the growth of the power of the crown. The policy of this declaration was obvious. It was in subservience to the general plan of disabling us from taking any steps against France. To counteract the alarm given by the progress of Jacobin arms and principles, he endeavoured to excite an opposite alarm concerning the growth of the power of the crown. If that alarm should prevail, he knew that the nation never would be brought by arms to oppose the growth of the Jacobin empire; because it is obvious that war does, in its very nature, necessitate the Commons considerably to strengthen the hands of goverament; and if that strength should itself be the object of terror, we could have no war.
6. In the extraordinary and violent speeches of that day, he attributed all the evils which the public had suffered, to the proclamntion of the proceding summer; though be spose in presence of the Duke of Portland's own son, the Marquis of Titchfield, who had seconded the address on that proclamation; and in presence of the Duke of Portland's brother, Lord Edward Bentinck, and several others of his best friends and nearest relations.
7. On that day, that is, on the 13th of December, 1792, he proposed an amendment to the address, which atande on the journals of the House, and which is, perhaps, the mont extraordinary record which ever did stand upon them. To introduce this amendment, he not only struck out the part of the proposed address which alluded to ineurrections, upon the ground of the objections which he took to the legality of calling together parliament, (objections which I must ever think litigious and sophistical, ) but he likewise struck out that part which related to the cabale and conspiracies of the French faction in England, although their practices and correspondences were of public notoriety. Mr. Cooper and Mr. Watt had been deputed from Manchester to the Jacobins. These ambassadort were received by them as British representatives. Other deputationa of English had been received at the bar of the National Assembly. They had gone the length of giving suppliea to the Jacobin armies; and they in return had received promises of military amoirt. ance to forward their deaigne in England. A regular cosrespondence for fraternizing the two nations had also been carried on by societies in London with a great number of the Jacobin societies in Prance. This correspondence had also for its object the pretended improvement of the British constitution. What is the most remarkable, and by much the more mischievous part of bis proceedings that day, Mfr. For likewise struck out everything in the addrese which related to the takens of ambition given by France, her aggreasions upon our allies, and the sudden and dangerout growth of her power upon every side; and instend of all thowe weighty, and, at that time, necesasry matters, by which the House of Commone was (in a crisis, such as perhape Europe never stood) to give sssurances to our allien, strength to our government, and a check to the common enemy of Europe, he substituted nothing but a criminal charge on the conduct of the British government for calling parliament together, and an engagement to inguire in to that conduct.
8. If it had plemed God to suffer him to succeed in this his project for the mmendment to the addreas, he mould for ever have ruined this nation, along with the reat of Durope. At home all the Jscobin societies, formed for the atter destruction of our constitution, would hare lifted up their heade,
which had been beaten down by the two proclamations. Those societies would have been infinitely strengthened and multiplied in every quarter; their dangerous foreign communications would have been left broad and open; the crown would not have been authorized to take any measure whatever for our immediste defence by sea or land. The closest, the most natural, the nearest, and, at the same time, from many internal as well as external circumstances, the weakest of our allies, Holland, would have been given up, bound hand and foot, to France, just on the point of invading that republic. A. general consternation would have seized upon all Europe; and all alliance with every other power, except France, would bave been for ever rendered impracticable to na. I think it impossible for any man, who regards the dignity and safety of bis country, or indeed the common safety of mankind, ever to forget Mr. Fox's proceedings in that tremendous crisis of all human affaira.
9. Mr. Fox very soon had reason to be apprized of the general dislike of the Duke of Portland's friends to this conduct. Some of those who had even voted with him, the day after their vote expressed their abhorrence of his amendment, their sense of ite inevitable tendeucy and their total alienation from the principles and marims upon which it was mado; yet, the very next day, that is, on Friday the 14th of December, he brought on what in effect was the very same business, and on the same principles, a second time.
10. Although the House does not usually sit on Saturday, he a third time brought on another propoestion, in the same spirit, and pursued it with so much heat and perseverance as to sit into Sunday; \& thing not known in parliament for many years.
11. In all these motions and debates he wholly departed from all the political principles relative to France, (considered merely as a state, and independent of its Jacobin form of government,) which had hitherto been held fundamental in this country, and which he had himself held more strongly than any man in parliament. He at that time studiously separated bimself from those to whose sentiments he used to profesa no small regard, although those sentiments were publicly declared. I had then no concern in the party, having been for some time, with all outrage, excluded from
it; but on general principles, I must say, that a person who assumes to be leader of a party composed of freemen and of gentlemen ought to pay some degree of deference to their feelings, and even to their prejudices. He ought to bave some degree of management for their credit and influenee in their country. He showed so very little of this delicacy, that he compared the alarm raised in the minds of the Duke of Portland's party, (which was hie own,) an alarm in which they sympathized with the greater part of the nation, to the panic produced by the pretended Popish plot in the reign of Charles the Second-decribing it to be, as that was, a contrivance of knaves, and believed only by well-meaning dupes and madmen.
12. The Monday following (the 17th of December) he pursued the same conduct. The means used in England to co-operate with the Jacobin army in politics agreed with their modes of proceeding; I allade to the mischievons writings circulsted with much industry and success, as well as the reditious clubs, which at that time added not a little to the alarm taken by observing and well-informed men. The writings and the clubs were two erils which marcbed together. Mr. Fox discovered the greatest possible diopor sition to favour and conntenance the one as well as the other of these two grand instramentr of the French system. - He would hardly consider any political writing whatsoever as a libel, or as a fit object of prosecution. At a time in Which the press has been the grand instrument of the subversion of order, of morals, of religion, and I may aay of human society itself, to carry the doctrines of its liberty higher than ever it has been known by its mont extravagant assertors even in France, gave occasion to very serious reflections. Mr. Fox treated the aseociations for prowecuting these libels, as tending to prevent the improvement of the hmman mind, and as a mobbish tyranny. He thought proper to compare them with the riotous assemblics of Lard George Gordon in 1780, declaring that be had advised his friends in Westminster to sign the aseociations, whether they agreed to them or not, in order that they might aroid destruction to their persons or their houses, of a desertion of their shops. This insidious advice tended to confound thowe who wished well to the object of the amociation, with the seditions.
against whom the association was directed. By this stratagem, the confederacy intended for preserving the British constitution and the public peace, would be wholly defeated; The magistrates, utterly incapable of distinguishing the friends from the enemies of order, would in vain look for support when they stood in the greatest need of it.
13. Mr. Fox's whole conduct, on this occasion, was withe out example. The very morning after these violent declamations in the House of Commons against the association, (that is, on Tuesday the 18th,) he went himself to a meeting of St. George's parish, and there signed an association of the nature and tendency of those he had the night before so velemently condemned; and several of his particular and most intimate friends, inhabitants of that parish, attended and signed along with him.
14. Immediately after this extraordinary step, and in order perfectly to defeat the ends of that association against Jacobin publications, (which, contrary to his opinions, he had promoted and signed, a mischievous society was formed under his auspices, called, the Friends of the Liberty of the Press. Their title groundlessly insinuated, that the freedom of the press had lately suffered, or was now threatened with some violation. This society was only, in reality, another modification of the society calling itself the Friends of the People, which in the preceding summer had caused so much uneasiness in the Duke of Portland's mind, and in the minds of several of his friends. This new society was composed of many, if not most, of the members of the club of the Friends of the People, with the addition of a vast multitude of others (such as Mr. Horne Tooke) of the worst and most seditious dispositions that could be found in the whole kingdom. In the first meeting of this club, Mr. Erskine took the lead, and directly (without any disavowal ever since on Mr. Fox's part) made use of his name and authority in favour of its formation and purposes. In the same meeting MIr. Erskine had thanks for his defence of Paine, which amounted to a complete avowal of that Jancobin incondiary; else it is impossible to know how Mr. Erskine should have deserved such marked applauses for acting merely as a lanyer for his fee, in the ordinary course of his profession.
15. Indeed Mr. Fox appeared the general patron of all nuch persons and proceedinge. When Jord Edward Fitz gerald and other persons, for practices of the most dangerous kind, in Paris and in London, were removed from the King's Guards, Mr. Fox tool occation, in the House of Commons, heavily to censure that act as unjust and oppressive, and tending to make officera bad citizens. There were few, however, who did not call for some such measures on the part of government, as of absolute necessity for the king's permonal eafety, as well as that of the pablic; and nothing bat the mistaken lenity (with which such practices were rather discountenanced than punished) could possibly deserve reprehension in what was done with regard to those gentlemen.
16. Mr. Fox, regularly and systematically, and with a diligence long unusual to him, did everything he could to countenance the same principle of fraternity and connexion with the Jacobins abroad, and the National Convention of France, for which these officers had been removed from the Guards. For when a bill (feeble and lax indeed, and far short of the vigonr required by the conjuncture) wes brought in for removing ont of the kingdom the emisaries of France, Mr. Fox opposed it with all his might. He pursoed a vehe ment and detailed opposition to it, through all its atagea, describing it as a meagure contrary to the existing treaties between Great Britain and France; as a violation of the law of nations, and as an outrage on the great charter itmelf.
17. In the same manner, and with the name heat, he opposed a bill, which (though awkward and inartificial in its construction) was right and wiee in its principle, and wes precedented in the beat times, and absolutely necemary at that juncture,-I mean the Traitorons Correspondence Bill. By these means the encuny, rendered infinitely dangerona by the linke of real faction and pretended commerce, would have been (had Mr. Fox nucceeded) enabled to carry on the war against us by our own remowrece. For this purpose that enemy would have had his agenta and tritors in the midat of us.
18. When at length war was actually deciared by the nourpers in France against this kingdom, and declared whilat they were pretending a negotiation through Dumourier with Lord Auctiand, Mre. Fox atill contirued, through the whole
of the proceedings, to discredit the national honour and justice, and to throw the entire blame of the war on parliament, and on his own country, as acting with violence, haughtiness, and want of equity. He frequently asserted, both at the time and ever since, that the war, though de. clared by France, was provoked by us, and that it was wholly unnecessary, and fundamentally unjust.
19. He has lost no opportunity of railing, in the most virulent manner, and in the most unmeasured language, at every foreign power with whom we could now, or at any time, contract any useful or effectual alliance against France, declaring that he hoped no alliance with those powers was made, or was in a train of being made. \({ }^{1}\) He always expressed himself with the utmost horror concerning such alliances, so did all his phalonx. Mr. Sheridan in particular, after one of his invectires against those powers, sitting by him, said, with manifest marks of his approbation, that if we must go to war, he had rather go to war alone than with such allies.
20. Immediately after the French declaration of war against us, parliament addressed the king in support of the war against them, as just and necessary, and provoked as well as formally declared against Great Britain. He did not divide the House upon this measure; yet he immedintely followed this our solemn parliamentary engagement to the king, with a motion proposing a set of resolutions, the effect of which was, that the two Houses were to load themselves with every kind of reprowch for having made the address, which they had just carried to the throne. He commenced this long string of criminatory resolutions against his country, (if King, Lords, and Commons of Great Britain, and a decided majority without doors, are his country,) with a declaration ngainst intermeddling in the interior concerns of France. The purport of this resolution of non-interference is a thing unexampled in the history of the world, when one nation has been actually at war with another. The best writers on the law of nations give no oort of countenance to his doctrine of non-interference, in the extent and manner in which he used it, even when thers is no war. When the war
\({ }^{1}\) It is an exception, that in one of his last speeches, (but not before,) Mr. Fox seemed to think an alliance with Spain might be proper. YoL. 112.
exists, not one authority is against it in all its latitude. His doctrine is equally contrary to the enemy's uniform practice, who, whether in peace or in war, makes it his great aim not only to change the government, but to make an entire revolution in the whole of the social order in every country.

The object of the last of this extraordinary atring of resolivtions moved by Mr. Fox, was to advise the crown not to enter into such an engagement with any foreign power, so as to hinder us from making a separate peace with France, or which might tend to enable any of those powers to introduce a government in that country, other than such as thowe persons, whom he calls the people of France, shall choose to establish. In ahort, the whole of these resolutions appeared to bave but one drift-pamely, the sacrifice of our own domestic dignity and safety, and the independence of Europe, to the support of this strange mixture of anarchy snd tyranny which prevails in France, and which Mr. Foz and his party were pleased to call a government. The immediate consequence of these measures was (by an example, the ill effects of which, on the whole world, are not to be calculated) to secure the robbers of the innocent nobility, gentry, and eccleaiastics of France, in the enjoyment of the epoil they have made of the estates, houses, and goode of their fellowcitizens.
21. Not aatisfied with moring these resolutions, tending to confirm this horrible tyranny and robbery, and with actually dividing the House on the first of the long string which they composed, in a few days afterwards he encouraged and supported Mr. Grey in producing the very same string in as new form, and in moving, under the shape of an addreas of parliament to the crown, another rirulent libel on all its own proceedings in this session, in which not only all the ground of the resolutions was again travelled over, but much new inflammatory matter was introduced. In particular, a charge was made, that Great Britain had not interposed to prevent the last partition of Poland. On this head the party dwelt very largely, and very vehemently. MIr. Poz's intention, in the choice of this extroordinary topic, wae evident enough. He well knows two things; first, that no wise or honeat man can approve of that partition, or can contemplate it withont prognosticating great mischief from it to all countries at
some future time. Secondly, he knows quite as well, that, let our opinions on that partition be what they will, England, by itself,-is not in a situation to afford to Poland any assistance whatsoever. The purpose of the introduction of Polish politics into this discussion was not for the sake of Poland; it was to throw an odium upon those who are obliged to decline the cause of justice from their impossibility of sup-porting a cause which they approve; ss if we, who think more strongly on this subject than he does, were of a party against Poland, because we are obliged to act with some of the authors of that injustice, against our common enemy, France. But the grest and leading purpose of this introduction of Poland into the debates on the French war, was to divert the public attention from what was in our power, that is, from a steady co-operation against France, to a quarrel with the allies for the sake of a Polish war, which, for any useful purpose to Poland, he knew it was out of our power to make. If England ean touch Poland ever so remotely, it must be through the medium of alliances. But by attacking all the combined powers together for their supposed unjust aggression upon France, he bound them by a new common interest, not separately to join England for the rescue of Poland. The proposition could only mean to do what all the writers of his party in the Morning Chronicle have aimed at persuading the public to, through the whole of the last autumn and winter, and to this hour; that is, to an alliance with the Jacobins of France, for the pretended parpose of succouring Poland. This curious project would leave to Great Britain no other ally in all Europe, except its old enemy, France.
22. Mr. Fox, after the first day's discussion on the question for the address, was at length driven to admit- (to admit rather than to urge, and that very faintly) that France had discovered ambitious views, which none of his partisans, that I recollect, (Mr. Sheridan excepted,) did, however, either urge or admit. What is remarkable enough, all the points admitted against the Jacobins were brought to bear in their favour as much as those in which they were defended. For when Mr. Fox admitted that the conduct of the Jacobins did discover ambition, he always ended his admission of their - ambitions views by an apology for them, insisting, that the
universally hostile disposition shown to them rendered their ambition a sort of defensive policy. Thus, on whatever roads he travelled, they all terminated in recommending a recognition of their pretended republic, and in the plan of eending an smbassador to \(i t\). This was the burthen of all his song"Everything which we could reasonsbly hope from war, would be obtained from treaty:" It is to be obwerved, however, that, in all these debates, Mr. Fox never once atated to the House upon what ground it was he conceived, that all the objects of the Fronch rystem of united fanaticimm and ambition woald instantly be given up, whenever England should think fit to propose a treaty. On proposing so strange a recognition, and no humiliating an embansy as he moved, he was bound to prodnce bis authority, if any anthority he bad. He ought to have done this the rather, because Le Brun, in his first propositions, and in his answews to Lord Grenville, defended, on principle, not on temporary convenience, everything which was objected to France, and showed not the smallest disposition to give np any one of the points in discussion. Mr. Fox must aloo have linowh, that the convention had passed to the order of the day, on s proposition to give some sort of explanation or modification to the hostile decree of the 19th of November, for exciting insurrections in all comntries; a decree known to be peenliarly pointed at Great Britsin. The whole proceeding of the Freach administration was the mont remote that could be imagined from furnishing any indication of a pacific disporition: for at the very time in which it was pretended that the Jacobins entertained those boasted pacific intentions, at the very time in which Mr. Fox was urging a treaty with them, not content with refuring a modification of the decree for insurrections, they published their ever-memorable decree of the 15th of December, 1792, for disorganizing every combtry in Europe, into which they ehould on any occasion set their foot ; and on the 25 th and 80 th of the same month, they aolemnly, and, on the laot of these days, practically, confirmed that decree.
23. But Mr. Fox had himself taken good eare in the negotiation he proposed that France shonld not be obliged to make any vert great concemaions to ber preaumed modern tion-for he had laid down one general, comprehensive rale,
with him (as he said) constant and inviolable. This rule, in fact, would not only bave left to the faction in France all the property and power they had usurped at home, but most; if not all, of the conquests, which by their atrocious perfidy and violence they had made abroad. The principle laid down by Mr. Fox is this, "that every state, in the conclusion of a war, has a right to avail itself of its conquests towards an indemnification." This principle (true or false) is totally contrary to the policy which this conntry has pursued with France, at various periods, particularly at the treaty of Ryswick, in the last century, and at the treaty of Aix-laChapelle, in this. Whatever the merits of his rule may be, in the eyes of neutral judges it is a rule which no statesman before him ever laid down in favour of the adverse power with whom he was to negotiate. The adverse party himseli may safely be trusted to take care of his own aggrandizement. But (as if the black boxes of the several parties had been exchanged) Mr. Fox's English ambassador, by some odd mistake, would find himself charged with the concerns of France. If we were to leave France as she stood at the time when Mr. Fox proposed to treat with her, that formid. able power must have been infinitely strengthened, and almost every other power in Europe as much weakened, by the extraordinary basis which he laid for a treaty. Fos Avignon must go from the pope; Savoy (at least) from thi king of Sardinia, if not Nice. Liege, Mentz, Salm, Denx Ponts, and Bâle, must be separated from Germany. Or this side of the Rhine, Liege (at least) must be lost to thi empire, and added to France. Mr. Fox's general principll fully covered all this. How much of these territories cami within his rule, he never attempted to define. He kept : profound silence as to Germany. As to the Netherlands, h was-something more explicit. He said (if I recollect right that France, on that side, might expect something toward strengthening her frontier. As to the remaining parts of th Netherlands, which he supposed France might consent t surrender, he went so far as to declare that England ough not to permit the emperor to be repossessed of the remainde of the ten Provinces, but that the people should choose suc a form of independent government as they liked. This pre position of Mr. Fox was just the arrangement which the
usurpation in France had all along proponed to make, As the circumastances were at that time, and have been ever since, his proposition fully indicated what government the Flemings muse have in the stated extent of what was left to them. A gorernment so set up in the Netherlands, whether compulsory, or by the choice of the eaneculottes, (who be well knew were to be the real electors, and the sole electors,) in whatever name it was to exist, must evidently depend for its existence, as it had done for ite original formation, on France. In reality, it must have ended in that point, to which, piece by piece, the French were then actually bringing all the Netherlands; that is, an incorporation with France, as a body of new departmente, just as saroy and Liege, and the rest of their pretended independent popular sovereignties, have been united to their republic. Such an srrangement must have destroyed Austrin ; it must bave left Holland always at the mercy of France ; it must totally and for ever cut off all political communication between England and the continent. Such must have been the situation of Europe, according to Mr. Fox's 日ystem of politics, however laudable bis personal motives may have been in proponing so complete a change in the whole system of Great Britain, with regard to all the continental powers.
24. After it had been generally supposed that all public business was over for the session, and that Mr. For bad exhausted all the modes of pressing this Prench scheme, be thought proper to take a step beyond every expectation, and which demonstrated his wonderful eagerness and perseverance in his cause, as well as the nature and true character of the canse itself. This step was taken by Mr. For immediately after his giving his asoent to the grant of supply voted to him by Mr. Serjeant Adair and a committee of gentlemen, who assumed to themselves to act in the name of the public. In the instrument of his scceptsnce of this grant Mr. Fox took occasion to assure them, that he would always persevere in the same conduct which had procured to him so honourable a mark of the public approbation. He was as good as his word
25. It was not long before an opportunity was found, or made, for proving the sincerity of his professions, and de monstrating his gratitude to those who had given publie
and unequivocal marks of their approbation of his late conduct. One of the most virulent of the Jacobia faction, Mr. Gurney, a banker of Norwich, had all along distinguished himself by his French politics. By the means of this gentleman, and of his associates of the same description, one of the most insidious and dangerous hand-bills that ever was seen had been circulated at Norwich against the war, drawn up in an hypocritical tone of compassion for the poor. This address to the populace of Norwich was to play in concert with an address to Mr. Fox; it was signed by Mr. Gurney and the higher part of the Freuch fraternity in that town. In this paper Mr. Fox is applauded for his conduct throughout the session, and requested, before the prorogation, to make a motion for an immediate peace with France
26. Mr. Fox did not revoke to this suit: he readily and thank fully undertook the task assigned to him. Not content, however, with merely falling in with their wishes, he proposed a task on his part to the gentlemen of Norwich, which was, that they should move the people without doors to petition against the war. He said, that, without such assistance, little good could be expected from anything he might attempt within the walls of the House of Commons. In the mean time, to animate his Norwich friends in their endeavours to besiege parliament, he snatched the first opportunity to give notice of a motion, which he very soon after made, namely, to addreas the crown to make peace with France. The address was so worded as to co-operate with the hand-bill in bringing forward matter calculated to inflame the manufacturers throughout the kingdom.
27. In support of his motion, he declaimed in the most virulent strain, even beyond any of his former invectives, against every power with whom we were then, and are now, acting against France. In the moral form, some of these powers certainly deserve all the ill he said of them; but the political effect aimed at, evidently was to turn our indignation from France, with whom we were at war, upon Russia, or Prussia, or Austria, or Sardinia, or all of them together. In consequence of his knowledge that we could not effectu: ally do without them, and his resolution that we should not act with them, he proposed, that having, as he asserted, "ob-
tained the only arowed object of the war, (the evacuation of Holland,) we ought to conclude an instant peace."
28. Mr. Fox could not be ignorant of the mistaken basis upon which his motion was grounded. He was not ignorant, that, though the attempt of Dumourier on Holland, (so very near succeeding,) and the navigation of the Scheld, (a part of the ame piece,) were among the immediate causen, they were by no means the only causes alleged for parliament's taking that offence at the proceedings of France, for which the Jacobing were so prompt in declaring war upon this kingdom. Other full as weighty causes had been alleged: They were, 1. The general overbearing and desperate ambition of that faction. 2. Their actual attacks on every nation in Europe. 3. Their usurpation of territories in the empire with the governments of which they had no pretence of quarrel. 4. Their perpetual and irrevocable consolidation with their own dominions of every territury of the Netherlands, of Germany, and of Italy, of which they got a temporary possession. 5. The mischiefa attending the prevalence of their system, which would make the succews of their ambitious designs a new and peculiar species of calamity in the world. 6. Their formal, public decrees, particularly those of the 19th of November, and 15th and 25th of December. 7. Their notorions attempte to undermine the constitution of this country. 8. Their public reception of deputations of traitors for that direct purpose. 9. Their murder of their sovereign, declared by most of the members of the convention, who epoke with their vote, (without a dinavowal from any,) to be perpetrated, as an example to all kingn, and a precedent for all subjects to follow. All these, and not the Scheld alone, or the invasion of Holland, were urged by the minister, and by Mr. Windham, by mynelf, and by others who spoke in those debates, at causes for bringing France to a sense of her wrong in the war which she declared against us. Mr. Fox well knew, that not one man argued for the necessity of a vigorous resistance to France, who did not state the war as being for the very existence of the cocial order bere, and in every part of Europe; who did not atate his opinion, that this war was not at all a foreign war of embpire, but as much for our libertien, propertics, laws, and re-
ligion, and even more so, than any we had ever been engaged in. This was the war, which, according to Mr. Fox and Mr. Gurney, we were to abandon before the enemy had felt, in the slightest degree, the impression of our arms.
29. Had Mr. Fox's disgraceful proposal been complied. with, this kingdom would have been stained with a blot of perfidy hitherto without an example in our history, and with. far lese excuse than any act of perfidy which we find in the history of any other nation. The moment, when by the incredible exertions of Austria (very little through ours) the temporary deliverance of Holland (in effect our own deliverance) had been achieved, he advised the House instantly to abandon her to that very enemy, from whose arms she had freed ourselves, and the closest of our allies.
30. But we are not to be imposed on by forms of language. We must act on the substance of things. To aban. don Austria in this manner, was to abandon Halland itself. For suppose France, encouraged and strengthened as she must have been by our treacherous desertion, suppose France, I say, to succeed against Austria, (as she had succeeded the very year before,) England would, after it digarmament, have nothing in the world but the inviolable faith of Jacobinism and the steady polities of anarchy to depend upon, against France's renewing the very same attempts upon Holland, and renewing them (considering what Holland was and is) with much better prospects of success. Mr. Fox must have been well aware, that if we were to break with the greater continental powers, and particularly to come to a rupture with them, in the violent and intemperate mode in which he would have made the breach, the defence of Holland against a foreign enemy, and a strong domestic faction, must hereafter rest solely upon England, without the chance of a single ally, either on that or on any other occasion. So far as to the pretended sole object of the war, which Mr. Fox supposed to be so completely obtained, (but which then was not at all, and at this day is not completely obtained,) as to leave us nothing else to do than to cultivate a peaceful, quiet correspondence with those quiet, peaceable, and moderate people, the Jacobins of France.
31. To induce us to this, Mr. For laboured hard to make it appear, that the powers with whom we acted were full as
ambitions and as perfidious as the French. This might be true as to other nations. They had not, howerer, been no to us or to Holland. He produced no proof of active ambition and ill faith against Austris. But supposing the combined powers had been all thus faithless, and been all alike so, there was one circumstance which made an essential difference between them and France. I need not therefore be at the trouble of contesting this point, which, bowever, in thit latitude, and as at all affecting Great Britain and Holland, I deny utterly : be it so. But the great monarchies have it in their power to keep their faith if they please, because they are governments of established and recognised autherity at home and abroad. France bad, in reality, no government. The very factions, who erercised power, had no tability. The French convention had no powers of peace or war. Supposing the convention to be free, (most soouredly it was not,) they had shown no disposition to abandon their projecta. Though long driven out of Liege, it was not many day: before Mr. For's motion, that they still continued to claim it as a country which their principles of fraternity bound them to protect, thas is, to mibdue and to regulate at thejir pleaeure. That party which Mr. Fox inclined most to favour and trast, and from which he mast have received hia asurances, (if any he did receive,) that is, the Brisolins, were then either prisonert or fugitives. The party which prevailed orer them (that of Danton and Marat) was itself in a -tottering condition, and was disowned by a very great part of France. To say nothing of the royal party, who were powerful and growing, and who had full as good a right to claim to be the legitimate government, as any of the Parisian factions with whom be proposed to treat-or ruther ( \({ }^{(1)}\) it seemed to me) to surrender at discretion.
32. But when Mr. Foz began to oome from his geveral hopes of the moderation of the Jacobina, to particulars, be put the case, that they might not perhape be willing to surrender savoy. He certainly was not willing to contert thet point with them; but plainly and explicitly (as I anderstood him) proposed to let them feep it; though be foew (or he Was moch worse informed than be would be thooght) that Eagland had, at the very time, agreed on the terme of a treaty with the king of Sardinia, of which the recovery of

Savoy was the casus federis. In the teeth of this treaty, Mr. Fox proposed a direct and most scandalous breach of our faith, formally and recently given. But to surrender Savoy, was to surrender a great deal more than so many square acres of land, or so much revenue. In its consequences, the surrender of Savoy was to make a surrender to France of Switzerland and-Italy, of both which countries Savoy is the key-as it is known to ordinary speculators in politics, though it may not be known to the weavers in Norwich, who it seems are, by Mr. Fox, called to be the judges in this matter.
83. A sure way, indeed, to encourage France not to make a surrender of this key of Italy and Switzerland, or of Mentz, the key of Germany, or of any other object whatsoever which she holds, is to let her see, that the people of England raise a clamour against the war before terms are so much as proposed by any side. From that moment the Jacobins would be masters of the terms.-They would know, that parliament, at all hazards, would foree the king to a separate peace. The crown could not, in that case, have any use of its judgment. Parlimment could not possess more judgment than the crown, when besieged (as Mr. Fox proposed to Mr. Gurney) by the cries of the manufacturers. This description of men, Mr. Fox endeavoured in his speech, by every method, to irritate and inflame. In effect, his two speeches were, through the whole, nothing more than an amplification of the Norwich hand-bill. He rested the greatest part of his arguments on the distress of trade, which he attributed to the war; though it wais obvious to any tolerably good observation, and, much more, must have been clear to such an observation as his, that the then difficulties di the trade and manufacture could have no sort of connexion with our share in it. The war had hardly begun. We had suffered neither by apoil, nor by defeat, nor by disgrace of any kind. Public credit was so little impaired, that, instead of being supported by any extraordinary aids from individuals, it adranced a credit to individuals to the amount of five millions for the support of trade and manufactures, under their temporary difticulties, a thing before nover heard of;-a thing of which I do not commend the policy-but only state it, to ahow,
that Mr. For's ideas of the effects of war were without any trace of foundation.
33. It is impossible not to connect the argumente and proceedings of a party with that of its leader-erpecially when not disavowed or controlled by him. Mr. Fox's partisans declaim againgt all the powers of Europe, except the Jacobing, just as he does; but not having the same reasons for management and caution which he has, they speak out. He satisfies himself merely with making his invectives, and leaves others to draw the conclusion. But they produce their Polish interposition, for the express purpose of leading to a French alliance. They urge their French peace, in order to make a junction with the Jacobins to oppose the powers, whom, in their language, they call Despote, and their feagues, a combination of Despots. Indeed, no man can look on the present posture of Europe with the least degree of discernment, who will not be thoroughly convinced, that England must be the fast friend, or the determined enemy, of France. There is no medium; and I do not think Mr. Fox to be wo dull as not to observe this. His peace would have involved us instantly in the most extensive and most ruinous wars; at the same time that it would have made a broad bighway (across which no human wisdom could put an effectual bar. rier) for a matual intercourse with the fraternizing Jacobins of both sides. The consequences of which, thowe will certainly not provide against, who do not dread or dislike them.

34 It is not amiss in this place to enter a little more fully into the epirit of the principal argumente on which MIr. Fox thought proper to rest this his grand and concluding motion, particularky tuch as were drawn from the internal state of our affairs. Under a species of appearance, (not uncommonly put on by men of anserupulous ambition,) that of tenderness and compasion to the poor, he did bis bent to appenl to the judgments of the meaneat and moot ignorant of the people on the merita of the war. He had before done something of the mame dangerons kind in hil printed letter. The ground of a political war is of all things that which the poor labourer and manufacturer are the least capable of conceiving. This sort of people know in general that they
must suffer by war. It is a matter to which they are sufficiently competent, because it is a matter of feeling. The causes of a war are not mattere of feeling, but of reason and foresight, and often of remote considerations, and of a very great combination of circumstances, which they are utterly incspable of comprehending; and, indeed, it is not every man in the highest classes who is altogether equal to it. Nothing, in a general sense, appeare to me leas fair and justifisble, (even if no attempt were made to inflame the passions,) than to submit a matter on discussion to a tribunal incapable of judging of more than one side of the question. It is at least as unjustifiable to inflame the passions of such judges against that side, in favour of which they cannot so much as comprehend the arguments. Before the prevalence of the French system (which as far as it has gone bas extinguiehed the salutary prejudice called our Country) nobody was more sensible of this important truth than Mr. Fox; and nothing was more proper and pertinent, or was more felt at the time, than his reprimand to Mr. Wilberforce for an inconsiderate expression, which tended to call in the judgment of the poor te estimate the policy of war upon the standard of the tares they may be obliged to pay towards its support.
35. It is fatally known, that the great object of the Ja cobin system is to excite the lowest description of the people to range themaelves under ambitious men, for the pillage and deatruction of the more eminent orders and classes of the community. The thing, therefore, that a man not fanatically attached to that dreadful project would most atudiously avoid, is, to act a part with the French Propagandists, in attributing (as they constantly do) all wars, and all the consequences of wars, to the pride of those orders, and to their contempt of the weak and indigent part of the society. The ruling Jacobins insist upon it, that even the wars which they carry on with so much obstinacy against all pations, are made to prevent the poor from any longer being the instruments and victims of kings, nobles, and the aristocracy of burghers and rich men. They pretend that the destruction of kings, nobies, and the aristocracy of burghers and rich men, is the only means of eatablishing an universal and perpetual peace. This is the great drift of all their writings
from the time of the meeting of the states of France, in 1789 , to the publication of the last Morning Chronicle. They insist that even the war which, with so much boldness, they have declared against all nations, is to prevent the poor from becoming the instruments and victims of these persons and deacriptions. It is but too easy, if you once teach poor labourers and mechanics to defy their prejudices, and as this has been done with an industry scarcely credible, to substitute the principles of fraternity in the room of that salutary prejudice called our Country; it is, I say, but too easy to persuade them, agreeably to what Mr. Fox hints is his public letter, that this war is, and that the other wars have been, the wars of kinge; it is easy to persuade them that the terrors even of a foreign conquest are not terrors for them-it is easy to persuade them that, for their part, they have nothing to lose; and that their condition sa not likely to be altered for the worse, whatever party may happen to prevail in the war. Under any circumstances this doctrine is highly dangerous, as it tends to make neparate parties of the higher and lower orders, and to put their intereste on a different bottom. But if the enemy you have to deal with mould appear, as France now appears, under the very name and title of the deliverer of the poor, and the chaatiser of the rich, the former class would readily become, not an indifferent spectator of the war, but would be ready to enlist in the faction of the enemy; which they would consider, though onder a foreign name, to be more connected with them than an adverse description in the same land. All the propa of society would be drawn from us by these doctrines, and the very foundations of the public defence would give way in an instant.
36. There is no point which the faction of fraternity in England have laboured more, than to excite in the poor the horror of any war with France apon any occasion. When they found that their open attacks upon our constitution in favour of a French republic were for the present repelledthey put that matter out of sight, and have taken up the more plansible and popular ground of general peace, upon merely general principles, although these very men, in the correspondence of their clubs with those of France, had reprobated the neutrality which now they wo earnently preme.

But, in reality, their maxim was and is, "peace and alliance with France, and war with the rest of the world."
37. This last motion of Mr. For bound up the whole of his politics during the session. This motion had many circumstances, particularly in the Norwich correspondence, by which the mischief of all the others was aggravated beyond measure. Yet, this last motion, far the worst of Mr. Fox's proceedinga, was the best supported of any of them, except his amendment to the address. The Duke of Portland had directly engaged to support the war-here was a motion as directly made to force the crown to put an end to it before a blow had been struck. The efforts of the faction have so prevailed that some of his Grace's neareat friends have actually voted for that motion; some, after showing themselves, went away, others did not appear at all. So it must be where a man is for any time supported from personal considera tions, without reference to his public conduct. Through the whole of this business, the apirit of fraternity appears to me to have been the governing principle. It might be shameful for any man, above the vulgar, to show so blind a partiality even to his own country, as Mr. Fox appears, on all occasions, this session, to have shown to France. Had Mr. Fox been a minister, and proceeded on the principles laid down by him, I believe there is little doubt he would have. been considered as the most criminal statesman that ever lived in this country. I do not know why a statesman out of place is not to be judged in the same manner, unless we can excuse him by pleading in his favour a total indifference to principle; and that he would act and think in quite a different way if he were in office. This I will not suppose. One may think better of him; and that in case of his power he might change his mind. But rupposing, that, from better or from worse motives, he might change his mind on his acquisition of the favour of the crown, \(I\) seriously fear that if the king should to-morrow put power into his hands, and that his good genius would inspire bim with maxims very different from those he has promulgated, he would not be able to get the better of the ill temper, and the ill doctrines, he has been the means of exciting and propagating throughout the kingdom. From the very beginning of their inhuman and unprovoked rebellion and tyrannic usurpation, he has covered
the predominant faction of France, and their adherents here, with the most exaggerated panegyrics; neither has he missed s single opportunity of abusing and vilifying those, who in uniform concurrence with the Duke of Portland's and Lord Fitzwilliam's opinion, have maintained the true grounde of the Revolution settlement in 1688 . He lamented all the defeats of the French; he rejoiced in all their victories; even when these victories threatened to overwhelm the continent of Europe, and, by facilitating their means of penetrating into Holland, to bring this most dreadful of all evils with irresistible force to the very doors, if not into the very heart, of our country. To this hour be always speaks of every thought of overturaing the Freach Jacobinism by force, on the part of any power whatsoever, as an attempt unjurt and cruel, and which be reprobates with horror. If apy of the French Jacobin leaders are spoken of with hatred or seorn, he falls upon those who take that liberty, with all the real and warmith with which men of honour defend their particular and bosom friends, when attacked. He always represents their cause sa a cause of liberty; and all who oppose it partisams of despotism. He obstinately continuen to consider the great and growing vices, crimes, and disorders of that conntry, as only evils of passage, which are to produce s permanently happy state of order and freedom. He represents these disorders exactly in the aame way, and with the aame limitations, which are used by one of the two great Jicobin factions, I mean that of Petion and Brissot. Like them, he stadiously confines his horror and reprobation only to the masascres of the 2nd of September, and passes by thowe of the 10th of Augast, as well as the imprisonment and deposition of the king, which were the consequences of that day, as indeed were the masacres themelves to which bo confinea him censure, though they Fere not actually perpetrated till early in September. IIke that faction, he condemns, not the deponition, or the proposed exile, or perpetual imprisonment, but only the murder of the king. Mr. Sheridan, on every ocension, paliates all the maseacres committed in every pert of France, the the effect of natural indignation at the exorbitances of despotiam, and of the dread of the people of returning under that yoke-He hat thum taken occasion to load, not the actors in this wickednem, but the
government of a mild, merciful, beneficent, and patriotic prince, and his suffering, faithful subjects, with all the crimes of the new enarclical tyranny, under which the one has been murdered, and the others ars oppressed. Those continual either praises or pallisting apologies of everything done in France, and those invectives as uniformly vomited out upon all those who venture to exprees their disapprobation of such proceedings, coming from a man of Mr. Fox's fame and authority, and one who is considered as the person to whom a great party of the wealthiest men of the kingdom look up, have been the cause why the principle of French fraternity formerly gained the ground which at one time it had obtained in thie country. It will infallibly recover itself again, and in ten times a greater degree, if the kind of peace, in the manner which he preaches, ever shall be established with the reigning faction in France.
88. So far as to the French practices with regard to France, and the other powers of Europe-us to their principles and doctrines, with regard to the constitution of states, Mr. For atudiously, on all occasions, and, indeed, when no occasion calls for it, (as on the debate of the petition for Reform,) brings forward and asserts their fundamental and fatal principle, pregnant with every mischief and every crime, namely, that, "in every country the people is the legitimate sovereign ;" exactly conformable to the declaration of the French clubs and legialators,-" La souveraineté est une, indioisible, inaliénable, et imprescriptible :-Elle appartient à la nation:-Aucune aection du peuple, ni aucun individu ne peut s'en attribuer l'exercise." This confounds, in a manner equally mischievous and stupid, the origin of a government from the people with its continuance in their hands. I believe that no such doctrine has ever been heard of in any public act of any government whatsoever, until it was adopted (I think from the writings of Rousseau) by the French assemblies, who hare made it the basis of their constitution at home, and of the- matter of their apostolate in every country. These and other wild declarations of abstract principle, Mr. Fox says, are in themselves perfectly right and true; though in some casee he allown the French draw absurd consequences from them. But \(\mathbf{I}\) conceive he is mistaken. The consequences are most logically, though most
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mischievously, drawn from the premises and principles by that wicked and ungracions faction. The fault is in the foundation.
39. Before eociety, in a multitude of men, it is obviou, that sovereignty and aubjection are ideas which cannot exist. It is the compact on which society is formed that makem both. But to suppose the people, contrary to their compacts, both to give awry and retain the same thing, is altogether absurd. It is worse, for it vupposes in any strong combination of men s power and right of always dissolving the social union; which power, bowever, if it existe, renders them again as little sovereigns as subjects, but a mere unconnected multitude. It is not easy to state for what good end, at a time like this, when the foundations of all ancient and prescriptive governments, such as curs, (to which people submit, not because they have chosen them, but because they are born to them,) are undermined by perilow theories, that Mr. Fox should be so fond of referring to those theories, upon all occasions, eren though apeculatively they might be true, which God forbid they should!. Particularly I do not tee the reason why he should be so fond of declaring, that the principles of the Revolution have made the crown of Great Britain elective; why he thinks it seasonable to preach op with so much earnestnes, for now three years together, the doctrine of resiotance and revolution at all; or to awert that our lagt Revolution of 1888 stands on the mame or mimilar principlea with that of France. We are not called upon to bring forward these doctrines, which are hardly ever mesorted to but in cases of extremity, and where they are followed by correapondent actions. We are not called opon by ary circomstance, that I know of, which ean juatufy a revolt, or which demands a revolution, or can make an election of a successor to the crown necessary, whatever latent right may be supposed to exist for effectasting any of these purposes.

40 . Fot the least alarming of the proceedinge of \(\mathbf{D}\). Fox and his friends in this sesoion, eapecially taken in concurrence with their whole proceedings, with regard to France and ito principlea, in their eagernem at thin memon, under pretence of parliamentary reforms, (a project which had been for some time rather dormant,) to discredit and disgrace the House of Commona. For this propone these

\begin{abstract}
THT CONDUCN OF THE MI ORITYTHE gentlemen had found a way to insulv/the Hencis ferexal atrocious libels in the form of petition 8 . 8 n pryturar they brought up a libel, or rather a comptite digest of cifillous matter, from the club called the Frien of the People. It is indeed at once the most audacious and theqnatminsidious of all the performances of that kind which have yet appeared. It is said to be the penmanship of Mrt Tierney, to bring whom into parliament the Dulke of Portland formerly had taken a good deal of pains, and expended, as I hear, a considerable sum of money.
41. Among the circumstances of danger from that piece, and from its precedent, it is observable that this is the first petition (if I remember right) coming from a club or association, signed by individuals, denoting neither local residence, nor corporate capacity. This mode of petition not being. strictly illegal or informal, though in its spirit in the highest degree mischierous, may and will lead to other things of that-nature, tending to bring these clubs and associations to the French model, and to make them in the end answer French purposes: I mean, that without legal names, these clubs will be led to assume political capacities; that they may debate the forms of constitution; and that from their meetings they may insolently dictate their will to the regular authorities of the kingdom, in the manner in which the Jacobin clubs issue their mandates to the National Ássembly, or the National Convention. The audacious remonstrance, I observe, is signed by all of that association (the Friends of the People) toho are not in parliament, and it wes supported most strenuously by all the associators who are members; with Mr. Fox at their head. He and they contended for referring this libel to a committee. Upon the question of that reference, they grounded all their debate for 8 change in the constitution of parliament. The pretended petition is, in fact, a regular charge or impeachment of the House of Commons, digested into a number of articles. This plan of reform is not a criminal impeachment, but a matter of prudenve, to be submitted to the public wisdom, which must be as well apprized of the facts as petitioners can be. But those accusers of the House of Commons have proceeded upon the principlea of a criminal process; and have had the effrontery to offer proof on each article.
\end{abstract}

2 x 2
42. This charge the party of Mr. Fox maintained artick by article, beginning with the first; namely, the interference of peers at elections, and their nominating in effect several of the members of the House of Commons. In the printed list of grievances which they made out on the occasion, and in support of their charge, is found the borough for which under Lord Fitzwillism's influence, I now sit. By. this remonstrance, and its object, they bope to defeat the operation of property in elections, and in reality to dissolve the connezion and communication of interests which make the Houses of parliament a matual support to each other. Mr. Fox and the Friends of the People are not so ignorant as not to know, that peers do not interfere in elections as peers, but as men of property-they well know that the Houme of Lords is by itself the feeblent part of the constitution; they know that the House of Lords is supported only by ith connerions with the crown, and with the House of Commons; and that without this donble connexion the Lorde conld not exiat B aingle year. They know, that all these parts of our com stitution, whilst they are balanced as oppowing interents, are also connected as friends; otherwise nothing but confunion could be the result of auch a complex constitution. It is natural, therefore, that they who wish the common destruction of the whole, and of all it parth, should contend for their total separation. But as the House of Commons is that link which connects both the other parts of the constitution (the Crown and the Lords) with the mase of the prople, it is to that link (as it is natural enough) that their incesmant attecks are directed. That artificial representation of the people being once discredited and overturned, all goee to pieces, and nothing but a plain French democracy or arbitrary monarchy can possibly exiat.
43. Some of these gentlemen \(\overline{\mathrm{F}}\) bo have attacked the House of Commons lean to a representation of the people by the bead, that is, to individual representation. Nose of them, that I recollect, except Mr. Fox, dinectly rejected it. It is remarkable, however, thas he only rejected it by simply declaring an opinion. He let all the argument go against him opimion. All the proceedings and arguments of his reforming friends lead to indiridas representation, and to nothing elec. It deserves to be attentively observed, that chis individimal no-
presentation is the only plan of their reform, which has been explicilly proposed. In the mean time, the conduct of Mr. For appears to be far more inexplicable, on any good ground, than theirs, who propose the indiridual representation; for he neither proposes anything, nor even suggests that he has anything to propose, in lieu of the present mode of constituting the House of Commons. On the contrary, he declares against all the plans which have yet been suggested, either from himself or others: yet, thus unprovided with any plan whatsoever, he pressed forward this unknown reform with all possible warmth; and, for that purpose, in a mpeech of several houra, he urged the referring to a committee the libellous impeachment of the House of Commons by the sesociation of the Friends of the People. -But-for Mr. Fox to discredit parliament as it stands, to countenance leagues, covenants, and sssociations for ite further discredit, to render it perfectly odious and contemptible, and at the same time to propose nothing at all in place of what he disgraces, is worse, if possible, than to contend for personal individual representation, and is little less than demanding, in plain terms, to bring on plain anarchy.
44. Mr. Fox and these gentlemen have, for the present, been defeated; but they are neither converted nor disheartened. They have solemnly declared, that they will persevere until they shall bave obtained their ends; persisting to assert, that the House of Commons not only is not the true representative of the people, but that it does not answer the purpose of such representation; most of them insist that all the debts, the taxes, and the burthens of all kinds on the people, with every other evil and inconvenience, which we have suffered since the Revolution, have been owing solely to a House of Commons which does not speak the sense of the people.
45. It is also not to be forgotten, that Mr. Fox and all who hold with him, on this, as on all other occasions of pretended reform, most bitterly reproach Mr. Pitt with treachery, in declining to support the scandalous charges and indefinite projects of this infamous libel from the Friends of the People. By the animosity with which they persecute all those who grow cold in this cause of pretended reform, thes hope, that if througb levity, inexperience, or ambition,
any young person (like Mr. Pitt, for instance) happens to be once embarked in their design, they shall, by a false shame, keep him fast in it for ever. Many they have so hampered.
46. I know it is usual, when the peril and alarm of the hour appears to be a little overblown, to think no more of the matter. But for my part, I look back with horror on what we have eacaped; and am full of anxiety with regard to the dangers, which, in my opinion, are etill to be apprehended both at home and abroad. This business has cast deep roots. Whether it is necessarily connected in theory with Jacobinism is not worth a dispute. The two things are connected in fact. The partisans of the one are the partisans of the other. I know it is common with thowe who are favourable to the gentlemen of Mr. For's party, and to their leader, though not at all devoted to all their reforming projects, or their Gallican politics, to argue in palliation of their conduct, that it is not in their power to do all the harm which their actions evidently tend to. It ia said, that as the people will not support them, they may safely be indulged in those eccentric fancies of reform, and those theories which lead to nothing. This apology in not very much to the honour of those politicians, whose interests are to be adhered to in defence of their conduct. I cannot flatter myself that these incessant attacks on the constitution of parliament are safe. It is not in my power to despise the unceasing efforts of a confederacy of about fifty persons of eminence; men, for the far greater part, of very ample fortuncs either in posecsaion or in expectancy; men of decided characters and vehement passions, men of very great talents of all linds; of much boldness, and of the great est possible spirit of artifice, intrigue, adventure, and enterprise, all operating with mwearied activity and perseverance. These gentlemen are much stronger too without doore than some calculate. They bare the more active part of the dis senters with them; and the whole clan of speculators of all denominations-a large and growing opecies. . They have that floating mnltitude which goee with eventa, and which safferm the loos or gain of a battle to decide its opinions of right and wrong. As long as by erery art this party keepa alire a spirit of disaffection against the very conntitation of the kingdom, and attributes, as lately it has been in the habit of
doing, all the public misfortunes to that constitution, it is absolutely impossible but that some moment must arrive, in which they will be enabled to produce a pretended reform and a real revolution. If ever the body of this compound constitution of ours is subverted either in favour of unlimited monarchy, or of wild democracy, that ruin will most -certainly be the result of this very sort of machinations against the House of Commons. It is not from a confidence in the views or intentions of any statesman, that I think he is to be indulged in these perilous amusements.
47. Before it is made the great object of any man's political life to raise another to power, it is right to consider what are the real dispositions of the person to be so elevated. We are not to form our judgment on these dispositions from the rules and principles of a court of justice, but from those of private discretion; not looking for what would serve to criminate another, but what is sufticient to direct ourselves. By a comparison of a series of the discourses and actions of certain men for a reasonable length of time, it is impossible not to obtain sufficient iudication of the general tendency of their viewe and principles. There is no other rational mode of proceeding. It is true, that in some one or two, perhaps, not well-weighed expressions, or some one or two unconnected and doubtful affaire, we may and ought to judge of the actions or words by our previous good or ill opinion of the man. But this allowance has its bounds. It does not extend to any regular course of aystematic action, or of constant and repeated discourse. It is against every principle of common sense and of justice to oneself, and to the public, to judge of a series of speeches and actions from the man, and not of the man from the whole tenor of his language and conduct. I have stated the above matters, not as inferring a criminal chargeof evil intention. If I had meant to do so, perhaps they are stated with tolerable exactness.--But \(I\) had no such view. The intentions of these gentlemen may be very pure. I do not dispute it, But I think they are in some great error. If these things are done by Mr. For and his friends with good intentions, they are not done less dangerously; for it shows these good intentions are not under the direction of safe maxims and principles.
48. Mr. Fox, Mr. Sheridan, and the gentlemen who call themselves the phalanx, have not been wo very indulgent to others. They have thought proper to ascribe to thove members of the House of Commons, who, in exact agreement with the Duke of Portland and Lord Fitz william, abhor and oppose the French system, the basest and most nnworthy motives for their conduct;-as if none could oppose that atheistic, immoral, and impolitic project eet up in France, so disgraceful and destructive, as I conceive, to human nature itself, but with some sinister intentions. They trest those members on all occasions with a sort of lordly insolence, though they are persons that (whstever homage they may pay to the eloquence of the gentlemen who choose to look down upon them with scorn) are not their inferions in any particular which calle for and obtains just consideration from the public; not their inferiors in knowledge of publie law, or of the constitution of the kingdom; not their inferiors in their acquaintance with its foreign and domentic interents; not their inferiors in experience or practice of businem; not their inferiors in moral character; not their inferiors in the proofe they have given of zeal and industry in the service of - their country. Withont denying to these gentlemen the respect and consideration which, it is allowed, justly belonge to them, we see no reason why they sbould not as well be obliged to defer something to our opinions as that we should be bound blindly and servilely to follow those of Mr. Fox, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Grey, Mr. Courtney, Mr. Lambton, Mr. Whitbread, Mr. Taylor, and others. We are members of parliament and their equals. We never consider curselves as their followers. These gentlemen (some of them hardly born when some of as came into parliament) have thought proper to treat us as deserters, as if we had been listed into their phalanx like soldiers, and had aworn to live and die in their French pribciples. This insolent claim of superiority on their part, and of a cort of vacealage to them on that of other members, is what no liberal mind will submit to bear.
40. The 8ociety of the Liberty of the Prese, the Whig Club, and the Society for Constitutional Information, and (I believe) the Friends of the People, sa well as tome clube in Seotland, have indeed declered, "That their confidence in, and attachment to, Mr. Fox hate lately been confirmed,
strengthened, and increased, by the calumnies (as they are called) against him." It is true, Mr. Fox and his friends have those testimonies in their favour, against certain old friends of the Duke of Portland. Yet, on a full, serious, and, I think, dispassionate consideration of the whole of what Mr. Fox and Mr. Sheridan and their friends have acted, said, and written, in this session, instead of doing anything which might tend to procure power, or any share of it whatsoever, to them or to their phalanx, (as they call it,) or to increase their credit, influence, or popularity in the nation, I think it one of my most serious and important public duties, in whatsoever station I may be placed for the short time I have to live, effectually to employ my best endeavours, by every prudent and every lawful means, to traverse all. their designs. I have only to lament, that my abilities are not greater, and that my probability of life is not better, for the more effectunal pursuit of that object. But I trust that neither the principles nor exertions will die with me. I am the rather confirmed in this my resolution, and in this my wish of transmitting it, because every ray of hope concerning a possible control or mitigation of the enormous mischiefs which the principles of these gentlemen, and which their connexions, full as dangerous ns their principles, might receive from the influence of the Duke of Portland and Lord Fitzwilliam, on becoming their colleagues in office, is now entirely banished from the mind of every one living.-It is apparent, even to the world at large, that, so far from having a power to direct or to guide Mr. Fox, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Grey, and the rest, in any important matter, they have not, through this session, been able to prevail on them to forbear, or to delay, or mitigate; or soften, any one act, or any one expression, upon subjects on which they essentially differed.
50. Even if this hope of a possible contral did exist, yet the declared opinions and the uniform line of conduct conformable to those opinions, pursued by Mr. Fox, must become a matter of serious alarm if he should obtain a power either at court or in parliament, or in the nation at large; and for this plain reason-He must be the most active and efficient member in any administration of which he shall form a part. That a man, or set of men, are guided by auch not dubious, but delivered and svowed, principles and maxims of policy as
to need a watch and check on them, in the exercise of the highest power, ought, in my opinion, to make every man, who is not of the same principles, and guided by the same maxims, s little cautious how he makes himself one of the traverses of a ladder, to help such s man, or such a set of men, to climb up to the highest authority. A minister of this country is to be controlled by the House of Commons. He is to be trasted, not controlled, by his colleagues in office; if he were to be controlled, government, which ought to be the source of order, would itself become a wene of ana rchy. Besiden, Mr. For is a man of an aspiring and commanding mind, made rather to control than to be controlled, and he never will be, nor can be, in any administration, in which be will be guided by any of those whom I have been accustomed to confide in. It is absurd to think that he would or could. If his own opinions do not control him, nothing can. When we consider of an adherence to \(s\) man which leads to bis power, we must not only see what the man is, but how he stands related. It is not to be forgotten that Mr. Fox acts in close and inseparable connexion with another gentleman of exactiy the same description as himself, and who, perbapa, of the two, is the leader. The rest of the body are not a great deal more tractable; and over them, if Mr. Fox and Mr. Sheridan have authority, most asauredly the Duke of Portland has not the amallest degree of influence.
51. One must take care, that a blind partiality to come persons, and sa blind s hatred to others, may not enter into our minds under a colour of inflexible publie principle. Wo hear, as a reason for clinging to Mr. Pox at present, that nine years ago Mr. Pitt got into power by miachievous intrigues with the court, with the dissentera, and with other factious people out of parliament, to the diacredit and weakening of the power of the Honse of Commons. His conduct nine years ago, I still hold to be very culpable. There are, however, many thinga rery culpable that I do not know how to punish. My opinion, on such matters, I must submit to the good of the state, as I have done on other cecasions; and particularly with regard to the suthors and managers of the American war, with whom \(I\) bave acted, both in office and in opposition, with great conffdense and sordiality, though I thought many of their acte criminal and
impeachable. Whilst the misconduct of Mr. Pitt and his associates was yet recent, it was not possible to get Mr. Fox of himself to take a aingle step, or even to countenance others in taking any step upon the ground of that misconduct and false policy, though if the matters had been then taken up and pursued, such a step could not have appeared so evidently desperate as now it is. So far from pursuing Mr. Pitt, I know that then, and for some time after, some of Mr. Fox's friends were actually, and with no small earnestness, looking out to a coalition with that gentleman. For years I never heard this circumstance of Mr. Pitt's misconduct on that occasion mentioned by Mr. Fox, either in public or in private, as a ground for opposition to that minister. All opposition, from that period to this very session, has proceeded upon the separate measures as they 'separately arose, without any vindictive retrospect to Mr . Pitt's conduct in 1784. My memory, however, may fail me. I must appeal to the printed debates, which (so far as Mr. Fox is concerned) are unusually accurate.
52. Whatever might have been in our power at an early period, at this day I see no remedy for what was done in 1784. I had no great hopes even at the time. I was therefore very eager to record a remonstrance on the journale of the House of Commons, as a caution against such a popular delusion in times to come; and this I then feared, and now - am certain, is all that could be done. I know of no way of animadverting on the crown. I know of no mode of calling to account the House of Lords, who threw out the India bill, in a way not much to their credit. As little, or rather less, am I able to coerce the people at large, who behaved very unwisely and intemperately on that occasion. Mr. Pitt was then accused, by me as well as others, of attempting to be minister without enjoying the coufidence of the House of Commons, though he did enjoy the confidence of the crown. That House of Commons, whose confidence he did not enjoy, unfortunately did not itself enjoy the confidence (though we well deserved it) either of the crown or of the public. For want of that confidence, the then House of Commons did not survive the contest. Since that period Mr. Pitt has enjoyed the confidence of the crown, and of the Lords, and - of the House of Commone, through two successive parlinments;
and I suspect that he has ever since, and that he does atill, enjoy as large a portion, at least, of the confidence of the people without doors, as his great rival. Before whom, then, is Mr. Pitt to be impeached, and by whom? The more I consider the matter, the more firmly I am convinced, that the idea of proscribing Mr. Pitt indirectly, when you cannot directly punish him, is as chimerical a project, and as unjustifiable, as it would be to have proscribed Lord North. For supposing, that by indirect ways of opposition, by opposition upon measures which do not relate to the business of 1784, but which on other grounds might prove unpopular, you were to drive him from his seat, this would be no example whatever of punishment for the matters we charge as offences in 1784. On a cool and dispastionate view of the affairs of this time and country, it appears obvious to me, that one or the other of those two great men, that is, Mr. Pitt or Mr. For, must be minister. They are, 1 am eorry for it, irreconcilable. Mr. For's conduet in thie session has rendered the idea of his power a matter of aerious alarm to many people, who were very little pleased with the proceedings of Mr, Pitt in the beginning of has administration. They like neither the conduct of Mr. Pitt, in 1784, nor that of Mr. Fox, in 1793; but they estimate which of the evils is mont pressing at the time, and what is likely to be the consequence of a change. If Mr. For be wedded, they mast be sensible that his opinions and principles, on the now existing state of things at home and abroad, must be taken as his portion. In his train must also be taken the whole body of gentlemen who are pledged to him and to each other, and to their common politics and principles-I believe no king of Great Britain ever will adopt, for his confidential tervants, that body of gentlemen holding that body of principles. Even if the present ling or his successor whould think fit to take that step, I apprebend a gemeral discontent of thome, who wish that thie nation and thast Europe should continne in their present state, would enspe; a divcontent, which, combined with the principles and progrese of the new men in power, would shake this kingdom to its foundetions. I do not believe any one political conjectare can be mone certain than this.
53. Without at all defending or palliating Mr. Pitt's con-
duct in 1784, I must observe, that the crisis of 1793, with regard to everything at home and abroad, is full as important as that of 1784 ever was; and, if for no other reason, by being present, is much more important. It is not to nine years ago we are to look for the danger of Mr. Fox's and Mr. Sheridan's conduct, and that of the gentlemen who act with them. It is at this very time, and in this very session, that, if they had not been strenuously resisted, they would not merely have discredited the House of Commons, (as Mr. Pitt did in 1784, when he persuaded the king to reject their advice, and to appeal from them to the people,) but in my opinion, would have been the means of wholly subverting the House of Commons and the House of Peers, and the whole constitution actual and virtual, together with the eafety and independence of this nation, and the peace and settlement of every state in the now Christian world. It is to our opinion of the nature of Jacobinism, and of the probability, by corruption, faction, and force, of its gaining ground everywhere, that the question whom and what you are to support is to be determined. For my part, without doubt or hesitation, I look upon Jacobinism as the most dreadful and the most shameful evil which over afllicted mankind, a thing which goes beyond the power of all calculation in its mischief; and that if it is suffered to exist in France, we must in England, and speedily too, fall into that calamity.
54. If figure to myself the purpose of these gentlemen accomplished, and this ministry destroyed. I see that the persons, who in that case must rule, can be no other than Mr. Fox, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Grey, the Marquis of Lansdowne, Lord Thurlow, Lord Lauderdale, and the Duke of Norfolk, with the other chiefs of the friends of the people, the parliamentary reformers, and the admirers of the French Revolution. The principal of these are all formally pledged to their projects. If the Duke of Portland and Lord Fitzwilliam should be admitted into that system, (as they might and probably would be, it is quite certain they could not have the smallest weight in it; less, indeed, than what they now posseas, if less were possible: becsuse they would be less wanted than they now are; and because all those who wished to join them, and to act under them, have been re-
jected by the Dake of Portland and Lord Fitzwilliam them selves; and Mr. For, finding them thas by themselves disarmed, bas built quite a new fabric, upon quite a new foundation. There is no trifling on this subject. We see very distinctly before us the ministry that would be formed, and the plan that would be pursued. If we like the plan, we must wish the power of those who are to carry it into erecution: but to pursue the political exaltation of those whowe political measures we disapprove, and whose principle we dissent from, is a species of modern politics not easily comprehensible, and which must end in the rwin of the country, if it should continue and spread. Mr. Pitt may be the worst of men, and Mr. For may be the bent; but, at prewent, the former is in the interest of his conntry, and of the order of things long eatablished in Europe: Mr. Fox is not. I have, for one, been born in this order of things, and would fain die in it. I man sure it is oufficient to make men as virtuous, as happy, and as knowing, as anything which Mr. Fox, and his friends abroad or at home, would substitute in its place; and I should be sorry that any set of politiciams should obtsin power in England, whose principles or schemen should lead them to countenance persons or factions whowe. object is to introduce some new devised order of things into England, or to support that order, where it is already introduced, in France; a place, in which if it can be fixed, in my mind, it must have a certain and decided influence in and apon this kingdom. This is my account of my conduct to my private friends. I have already maid all I wish to eav, ot nearly so, to the publie. I write thie with pain, and with a heart full of grief.
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Thr French Revolution has been the subject of various speculations, and various histories. As might be expected, the royalists and the republicans have differed a good deal in their accounts of the principles of that Revolution, of the springs which have set it in motion, and of the true character of those who have been, or still are, the principal actors on that astonishing scene.

They who are inclined to think favourably of that event, will undoubtedly object to every state of facts which comes only from the euthority of a royalist. Thus much must be allowed by those who are the most firmly attached to the canse of religion, lsw, and order, (for of such, and not of friends to despotism, the royal party is composed,) that their very affection to this generous and manly cause, and their abhorrence of a Revolution, not less fatal to liberty than to government, may possibly lead them in some particulars to a more harsh representation of the proceedings of their adversaries, than would be allowed by the cold neutrality of an impartial judge. This sort of error arises from a source highly laudable; but the exactness of truth may suffer even from the feelings of virtue. History will do justice to the intentions of worthy men; but it will be on its guard against their infirmities; it will examine, with great strictness of scrutiny, whatever appeara from a writer in favour of his own cause. On the other hand, whatever escapes him, and makes against that cause, comes with the greatest weight.

In this important controversy, the translator of the following work brings forward to the English tribunal of opinion the testimony of a witness beyond all exception. His competence is undoubted. He knows everything which concerns this Revolution to the bottom. He is a chief actor in all the scenes which he presents. No man can object to him am a royalist: the royal party, and the Christian religion, never had a more determined enemy. In a word, it is Barssor.It is Brissot, the republican, the Jacobin, and the philosopher, who is brought to give an account of Jacobinism, and of republicanism, and of philosophy.

It is worthy of observation, that this his account of the genius of Jacobinism, and ite effecte, is not confined to the period in which that faction came to be divided within iteelf. In several, and those very important, particulars, Brisoot' observations apply to the whole of the preceding period, before the great schiem, and whilst the Jacobins acted as one body; insomuch, that the far greater part of the proceedinge of the ruling powers, since the commencernent of the Revo lution in France, so otrikingly painted, so atrongly and so justly reprobated by Briseot, were the scta of Brissot himself and his aseociates. All the members of the Girondin subdivision were as deeply concerned any of the Mountain could possibly be, and some of them much more deeply, in those borrid transactions which have filled all the thinking part of Europe with the greatest detestation, and with the most serious apprehensions for the common liberty and safety.

A question will very maturally be anked, what could induce Brissot to draw euch a picture? He must have been sensible it was his own. The snswer is,-the inducement was the same with that which led him to partake in the perpetration of all the crimes, the calamitous effects of which he describes with the pen of a manter-ambition. His faction having obtained their stupendone and unnatural power, by rooting out of the minds of his unhappy countrymen every principle of veligion, morality, loyalty, fidelity, and honour, discovered, that, when anthority came into their handa, it would be a matter of no small difficulty for them to carry on government on the prineiple by which they had destroyed it.

The xights of men, and the new principles of liberty and equality, were very unhandy instruments for those who wished to establish a aystem of tranquillity and order. They, who were taught to find nothing to respect in the title and the virtues of Louis the Sixteenth, a prince succeeding to the throne by the fundamental laws, in the line of a succession of monarche continued for fourteen hundred years, found nothing which could bind them to an implicit fidelity, and dutiful allegiance, to Messrs. Brissot, Vergniaux, Condorcet, Anacharsis Cloots, and Thomas Paine.
In this difficulty, they did as well as they could. To govern the people, they must incline the people to obey. The work was dificult, but it was necessary. They were to accomplish it by such materials and by such instruments as they had in their hands. They were to accomplish the purposes of order, morality, and submiesion to the laws, from the principles of atheism, profligacy, and sedition. Ill as the disguise became them, they began to assume the mask of an austere and rigid virtue; they exhausted all the stores of their eloquence (which in some of them were not inconsiderable) in declamations against tumult and confusion; they made daily harangues on the blessings of order, discipline, quiet, and obedience to authority; they even showed some sort of disposition to protect such property as had not been confiscated. They, who on every oceasion had discovered a soort of furious thirst of blood, and a greedy appetite for slaughter, who avowed and gloried in the murders and massacres of the fourteenth of July, of the fifth and sixth of October, and of the tenth of August, now began to be squeamish and fastidious with regard to those of the second of September.
In their pretended scruples on the sequel of the slaughter of the tenth of August, they imposod upon no living erear ture, and they obtained not the smallest credit for humanity. They endeasoured to establish a distinction, by the belief of which they hoped to keep the spirit of murder safely bottled up, and sealed for their own purposes, without endangering themselves by the fumes of the poison which they prepared for their enemies.

Roland was the chief and the most accredited of the fac-tion:-his morala had furnished little matter of exception YOL. HI.
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against him;-old, domestic, and uxorious, he led a private life sufficiently blameless. He was therefore set up as the Cato of the republican party, which did not abound in such characters.

This man, like most of the chiefs, was the manager of a newspaper, in which he promoted the interest of his party. He was a fatal present made by the revolutionista to the onhappy king, as one of his ministers under the new constitution. Amongst his colleagues were Claviere and Servan. All the three have, since that time, either lost their heads by the axe of their associates in rebellion, or, to evade their own revolutionary justice, have fallen by their own hande.

These ministers were regarded by the king as in a conspiracy to dethrone him. Nobody who considers the circumstances which preceded the deposition of Louis the Sirteenth, nobody who attends to the subsequent conduct of those ministers, can hesitate about the reality of such a connpiracy. The king certsinly had no doubt of it; he fonnd bimself obliged to remove them; and the necessity, which first obliged bim to choose such regicide ministers, constrained him to replace them by Dumourier the Jacobin, and nome others of little efficiency, though of a better description.

A little before this removai, and evidently am a part of the conspiracy, Roland put into the king's hands, as a memorial, the most insolent, seditions, and atrocions libel, that has probably ever been penned. This paper Rolsnd atew days after delivered to the National Assembly, who instantly poblished and dispersed it all over France; and in order to give it the stronger operation they declared, that be and his brother ministers had carried with them the regret of the nation. None of the writings, which have inflamed the Jacobin spirit to s aavage fury, ever worked op a fiencer ferment through the whole mase of the republicant in every part of France.

Under the thin vell of prediction, he strongly recommends all the abominable practices which afterwards followed. In particular he inflamed the minds of the populace against the respectable and conscientious clergy, who became the chief objects of the massacre, and who were to him the
"Presented to the Ling June 13, delivered to him the greceding Mcn-day.-Trusalator.
chief objects of a malignity and rancour that one could hardly think to exist in a human heart.

We have the relics of his fanatical persecution here. We are in a condition to judge of the merits of the persecutors and of the persecuted-1 do not say the accusers and accused; because, in all the furious declamations of the atheistic faction against these men, not one specific charge has been made upon any one person of those who suffered in their massacre, or by their decree of exile.

The king had declared that he wotuld sooner perish' under their axe (he too well saw what was preparing for him) than give bis sanction to the iniquitous act of proscription, under which those innocent people were to be transported.

On this proscription of the clergy a principal part of the ostensible quarrel between the king and those ministers had turned. From the time of the authorized publication of this libel, some of the mancurres long and uniformly pursued for the king's deposition became more and more evident and declared.

The 10th of August came on, and in the manner in which Roland had predicted; it was followed by the same conse-quences.-The king was deposed, after cruel massacres in the courts and the apartments of his palace, and in almost all parts of the city. In reward of his treasot to his old master, Roland was by bis new masters named minister of the home department.

The massacres of the 2nd of September were begotten by the massacres of the 10th of August. They were universally foreseen and hourly expected. During this short interval between the two murderous scenes, the furies, male and female, cried out havoc as loudly and as fiercely as ever. The ordinary jails were all filled with prepared victims; and, when they overflowed, churches were turned into jails. At this time the relentiess Roland had the care of the general police; he had for his colleague the bloody Danton, who was minister of justice:-the insidious Petion was mayor of Paris-the treacherous Manuel was procurator of the Common-hall. The magistrates (some or all of them) were evidently the authora of this massacre. Lest the national guards should, by their very name, be reminded of their duty in preserving the lives of their fellow-citizens, the com-
mon-council of Paris, pretending that it was in vain to think of resisting the murderers, (although in truth neither their numbers nor their arms were at all formidable,) obliged thowe guards to draw the charges from their muskets, and took away their bayonets. One of their journalists, and, according to their fashion, one of their leading stateamen, Gorsam, mentions this fact in his newspaper, which he formerly called the Galley Journal. The title was well suited to the paper and its author. For some felonies he had been sentenced to the galleys; bot, by the benignity of the late ling, this felon (to be one day advanced to the rank of a regicide) had been pardoned and released at the intercemsion of the ambassadors of Tippoo Sultan. His gratitude was such as might naturally have been expected; and it has lately been rewarded as it deserved. This liberated galley-slave way raised, in mockery of all criminal law, to be minister of justice : he became from his elevation a more conspicuous object of accusation, and he has since received the punishment of his former crimes in proscription and death.

It will be asked, how the minister of the home department was employed at this crisis? The day after the massacre had commenced, Boland appeared; but not with the powerful apparatus of a protecting magistrate, to rescue thowe who had gurtived the slanghter of the first day: nothing of this. On the 3rd of September (that is, the day after the commencement of the masacre) \({ }^{1}\) he writes a long, elaborate, verbose epistle to the Assembly, in which, after magnifying, according to the bon con of the Revolution, his own integrity, humanity, courage, and patriotirm, he first directly justifies all the bloody proceedings of the 10th of Augutit. He considers the slangter of that day as an neceseary measure for defeating a conspiracy, which (with a full knowledge of the falsehood of his assertion) he asserts to have been formed for a massacre of the people of Paris, and which, be more thsm insinuates, was the work of his late unhappy master; who was universally known to carry his dread of whedding the blood of his most guilty tubjects to an excess.
"Without the day of the tenth," says be, "it is evident that we should have been loot. The court, prepared for a
- Letter to the National Amembly, sigoed-The Minister of the Indorier, ROLAND, dated Paris, tepk 2 adi, 4et ywar of It worty.
long time, waited for the hour which was to accumulate all treasons, to display over Paris the standard of death, and to reign there by terror. The sense of the people, (le sentiment,) always just and ready when their opinion is not corrupted, foresaw the epoch marked for their destruction, and rendered it fatal to the conspirators." He then proceeds, in the cant which has been applied to palliate all their atrocities from the 14th of July, 1789, to the present time; -"It is in the nature of things," continues he," and in that of the human heart, that victory should bring with it some excess. The sea, agitated by a violent storm, roars long after the tempest; but everything has bounds, which ought at length to be observed."

In this memorable epistle, he considers auch excesses as fatalities arising from the very nature of things, and consequently not to be punished. He allows a space of time for the duration of these agitations: and lest he should be thought rigid and too scanty in his measure, he thinks it may be long. But he would have thinge to cease at length. But when and where?-When they may approach his own person.
"Yesterday," says he, "the ministers were denounced: vaguely indeed as to the matter, because aubjects of reproach were wanting; but with that warmth and force of assertion, which strike the imagination and seduce it for a moment, and which mislead and destroy confidence, without which no man should remain in place in a free government. Yesterday again, in an assembly of the presidents of all the sections, convoked by the ministers, with a view of conciliating all minds, and of mutual explanation, I perceive that distrust which sucpects, interrogates, and fetters operations."

In this manner (that is, in mutual suspicions and interrogatories) this virtuous minister of the home department, and all the magistracy of Paris, spent the first day of the massacre, the atrocity of which has spread horror and alarm throughout Europe. It does not appear that the putting a stop to the massacre had any part in the object of their meeting, or in their consultations when they were met. Here was a minister tremblingly alive to his own aafety, dead to that of his fellow-citizens, eager to preserve his place, and worse than indifferent about its most important duties.

Speaking of the people, he says, "that their hidden enemiea may make use of this ayitation" (the tender appellation which he gives to horrid massacre) "to hurt their beat friends, and their most able defenders. Already the example begins; let it restrain and arrest a just rage. Indignation carried to its height.commences proscriptions which fall only on the guilty, but in which error and particular passions may shortly involve the honest man."

He saw that the able artificers in the trade and mystery of murder did not choose that their skill should be unemployed after their first work; and that they were full as ready to cut off their rivals as their enemies. This gave him one alarm, that was serious. This letter of Roland in every part of it lets out the secret of all the parties in this revolution. Plena rimarum est: hac, atrue illac, perfluit. We see that none of them condemn the occasional practice of murder; provided it is properly applied ; provided it is lept within the bounds which each of those parties think proper to prescribe. In this case Roland feared, that, if what was occasionally useful should become habitual, the practice might go"further than was convenient. It might involve the best friends of the last revolution, as it had done the heroes of the first revolution : he feared that it would not be confined to the La Fayettes and Clermont-Tonnerres, the Duponts and Barnayes ; but that it might extend to the Brianots and Vergniauxs, to the Condorcets, the Petions, and to himself. Ender this apprebension there is no doubt that his humane feelings were altogether nanaffected.

His observations on the massacre of the preceding day are such as cannot be passed over:-" Yesterday," asid be, "was a day upon the evente of which it is perhaps necensary to leave a treil; I know that the people with their rengeance mingled a sort of jurtice; they did not take for victims all Who presented themselves to their fury; they directed it to them who had for a long time beew opared by the sword of the law, and who they believed, from the peril of circumstances, shonld be sacrificed without delay. But I know that it is easy to villains and tratiors to misrepresent this effervencence, and that it must be checked: I know that we owe to all France the declaration, that the execuctive poscer could not foresee or prevent this excesa. I know that it is due to the
constituted authorities to place a limit to it, or consider themselves as abolished."
In the midst of this carnage he thinks of nothing but throwing a veil over it : which was at once to cover the guilty from punishment, and to extinguish all compassion for the sufferers. He apologizes for it ; in fact, he justifies it. He, who (as the reader has just seen in what is quoted from this letter) feels so much indignation at "vague denunciations" when made against himeelf, and from which he then feared nothing more than the subversion of his power, is not ashamed to consider the charge of a conspiracy to massacre the Parisians brought against his master upon denunciations as vague as possible, or rather upon no denunciations, as à perfect justification of the monstrous proceedings against him. He is not ashamed to cali the murder of the unhappy priests in the Carmes, who were under no criminal denum ciation whatsoever, "a vengeance mingled with a sort of jus: tice;" he observes that "they had been a long time spared by the sword of the law," and calls by anticipation all those, who should represent this "effervescence" in other colours, villains and traitors: he did not then foresee, how soon himself and his accomplices would be under the necessity of ass suming the pretended character of this new sort of "villany and treason," in the hope of obliterating the memory of their former real villanies and treasons:-he did not foresee, that in the course of six months a formal manifesto on the part of himself and his faction, written by bis confederate Brissot, was to represent this "effervescence" as another "St. Barد tholomew;" and speak of it as having made humanity shudder, and sullied the Revolution for ever.!

It is very remarkable that he takes upon himelf to know the motives of the assassins, their policy, and even what they "believed." How could this be if he had no connexion with them \({ }^{{ }^{\circ}} \mathrm{H}_{6}\) praises the murderers for not having taken as yet all the lives of those who had, as he calls it, "presented themselves as victims to their fury." He paints the miserable prisoners who had been forcibly piled upon one another in the church of the Carmelites, by his faction, as presenting themselves as victims to their fury; as if death was their choico; or, (allowing the idiom of his language to make this
\({ }^{1}\) See p. 12, and p. 13, of this translation:
equivocal,) as if they were by some accident presented to the fury of their assassins: whereas he knew, that the leaders of the murderers sought thewe pure and innocent victime in the places where they had deposited them, and were sure to find them. The very selection, which he praises as a sort of justice tempering their fury, proves, beyond a doubt, the foresight, deliberation, and method, with which this massacre was made. He knew that circumstance on the very day of the commencement of the massacres, when, in all probability, he had begun this letter, for be presented it to the Assembly on the very next.
Whilst, however, he defends these sects, he is conscious that they will appear in another light to the world. He therefore acquits the executive power, that is, he acquits himmelf (but only by his own assertion) of those acts "of venge. ance mixed with a sort of justice," "as an excess which be could neither foresee nor prevent." He could not, he mayn, foresee these acts; when be tells us, the people of Paris had sagacity so well to foresee the designs of the court on the 10th of August; to foresee them so well, as to mark the precise epoch on which they were to be executed, and to contrive to anticipate them on the very day: he could not foresee these events, though he declares in this very letter that rictory must bring with it some cacess;-" that the sea roars long after the tempeat." So far as to his forexight. As to his disposition to prevent, if he had foremeen, the massacres of that day; this will be judged by his care in putting a stop to the maseacre then going on. This was no matter of foresight. He was in the very midst of it. He does not so much as pretend, that he had used any force to put a stop to it. But if he had noed any, the sanction given under his hand, to a sort of justice in the murderen, was enough to disarm the protecting force.

That approbation of what they had already done had its natural effect on the executive menasing, thea in the paroxysma of their fary; an well as on their emplovern, then in the midst of the exeention of their deliberate cold-blooded system of murder. He did not att all differ from either of them in the principle of those executions, but only in the time of their duration; and that only as it affected himself. This, though to him a great consideration, wes none to his con-
federates, who were at the same time his rivals. They were encouraged to accomplish the work they had in hand. They did accomplish it; and whilst this grave moral epistle froma grave minister, recommending a cessation of their work of "vengeance mingled with a sort of justice," was befors a grave assembly, the authors of the massacres proceeded without interruption in their business for four days together : that is, until the seventh of that month, and until all the victims of the first proscription in Paris and at Versailles, and several other places, were immolated at the shrine of the grim Moloch of liberty and equality. All the priests, all the loyalists, all the first essayists and novices of revolution in 1789 , that could be found, were promiscuously put to death.

Through the whole of this long letter of Roland, it is curious to remark how the nerve and vigour of his style, which had spoken so potently to his sovereign, is relaxed, when he addresses himself to the sans-culottes; how that strength and dexterity of arm, with which he parries and beats down the sceptre, is enfeebled and lost, when he comes to fence with the poignard! When he speaks to the populace he can no longer be direct. The whole compess of the language is tried to find synonymes and circumlocutions for massacre and murder. Things are never called by their common names. Massacre is sometimes agitation, sometimes effervescence, sometimes excess; sometimes too continued an exercise of a revolutionary power.

However, after what had passed had been praised, or excused, or pardoned, he declares loudly against such proceedings in future. Crimes had pioneered and made smooth the way for the march of the virtues; and from that time order and justice, and a sacred regard for personal property, were to become the rules for the new democracy. Here Roland and the Brissotines leagued for their own preservation, by endeavouring to preserve peace. This short story will render many of the parts of Brissot's pamphlet, in which Roland's views and intentions are so often alluded to, the more intelligible in themselves, and the more useful in their application by the English reader.

Under the cover of these artifices, Rolafd, Brissot, and their party, hoped to gain the bankers, merchants, anbstantial tradesmen, hoarders of assignats, and purchasers of the
confiscated lands of the clergy and gentry, to join with their party, as holding out some sort of security to the effects which they possessed, whether these effects were the acquisitions of fair commerce, or the gains of jobbing in the misfortunes of their country, and the plunder of their fellowcitizens. In this design the party of Boland and Brissot succeeded in a great degree. They obtained a majority in the National Convention. Composed however as that Assembly is, their majority was far from steady: but whilot they appeared to gain the Convention, and many of the outlying departments, they loat the city of Paris entirely and irrecoverably; it was fallen into the hands of Marat, Robenpierre, and Danton. Their instraments were the aconsouZoctes, or rabble, who domineered in that capital, and were wholly at the devotion of those incendiaries, and received their daily pay. The people of property were of no consequence, and trembled before Marat and his janimaries. As that great man had not obtained the helm of the state, it was not yet come to his surn to act the part of Brissot and his friends, in the assertion of subordination and regular government. But Robespierre has survived both these rival chiefs, and is now the great patron of Jacobin order.

To balance the exorbitant power of Paris, (which threatened to leave nothing to the National Convention, but a character as imsignificant as that which the first masembly had assigned to the unhsppy Lonis the Sirteenth,) the face tion of Briseot, whose leaders were Roland, Petion, Verguieax, Isuard, Condorcet, sc. \&ce \&ec, applied themselves to gain the great commercial towns, Iyons, Marseillem, Rouen, Nantz, and Bourdeara. The republicans of the Brissotin description, to whom the concealed royalisto, still very numerous, joined themselves, obtained a temporary superiority in all these places. In Bourdesur, on accoant of the activity and eloquence of some of its representatives, this muperiority was the most distingoished. This lant city is seated on the Garonne, or Ginonde; and being the centre of a department named from that river, the appellation of Girondicte was given to the whole party. These, and some other towns, declared atrongly apainst the principle of ansrehy; and against the deapotism of Paris. Numerous addresses were sent to the Convention, promising to maintain its authority, which the
addressers were pleased to consider as legal and constitutional, though chosen, not to compose an executive government, but to form a plan for a coistitution.
In the Convention, measures were taken to obtain an armed force from the several departments to maintain the freedom of that body, and to provide for the personal safety of the members; neither of which, from the 14th of July, 1789, to this hour, have been really enjoyed by their assemblies sitting under any denomination
This scheme, which was well conceived, had not the desired success. Paris, from which the Convention did not dare to move, though some threats of such a departure were from time to time thrown out, was too powerful for the party of the Gironde. Some of the proposed guards, but neither with regularity nor in force, did indeed arrive; they were debauched as fast as they came; or were sent to the frontiers, The game played by the revolutionists in \(\mathbf{1 7 8 9}\), with respect to the French guards of the unhappy king, was now played against the departmental guards, called together for the protection of the revolutionists. Every part of their own policy comes round, and atrikes at their own power and their own. lives.

The Parisians, on their part, were not slow in taking the alarm. They had just reason to apprehend, that if they permitted the smallest delay, they should see themselves besieged by an army collected from all parts of France. Violent threats were thrown out against that city in the assembly Its total destruction was menaced. A very remarkable exs pression was used in these debates, "that in future times it might be inquired, on what part of the Seine Paris had stood." The faction which ruled in Paris, too bold to be intimidated, and too vigilant to be surprised, instantly armed themselves. In their turn, they accused the Girondists of a treasonable design to break the republic one and indivisible (whose unity they contended could only be preserved by the supremacy of Paris) into a number of confederate commonwealths. The Girondin faction on this account received alao the name of federalists.

Things on both sides hastened fast to extremities. Paris, the mother of equality, was herself to be equalised. Matters were come to this alternative : either that city must be
reduced to a mere member of the federative republic, or, the Convention, chosen, as they eaid, by all France, was to be brought regularly and systematically under the dominion of the common-hall, and even of any one of the sections of Paris.

In this awful contest, thus brought to issue, the great mother club of the Jacobins was entirely in the Parisian interest. The Girondins no longer dared to show their facea in that assembly. Nine-tenths at least of the Jacobin clubs, throughout France, adhered to the great patriarchial Jacobiniere of Paris, to which they were (to use their own term) affiliated. No authority of magistracy, judicial or executive, had the least weight, whenever these cluba chose to interfere; and they chote to interfere in everything, and on every occasion. All hope of gaining them to the support of property, or to the acknowledgment of any law but their own will, was evidently vain and hopeless. Nothing but an armed insurrection against their anarchical anthority could answer the purpose of the Girondins. Anarchy was to be cured by rebelion, as it had been caused by it.

As a preliminary to this attempt on the Jacobins and the commons of Paris, which it wan hoped would be supported by all the remaining property of France, it became absolutely necessary to prepare a manifeato, laying before the public the whole policy, genius, character, and conduct, of the partisans of club government. To make this exposition an fully and clearly as it ought to be made, it was of the same unavoidable necessity to go through a series of transactions, in which all those concerned in this Revolution, were, at the wereral periois of their setivity, deeply invoived. In consequence of this design, and under these difficulties, Briseot prepared the following declaration of in party, which he executed with no small ability; and in this manner the whole mystery of the French Revolation was laid open in all ite parts.

It is almost needless to mention to the reader the fate of the design to which this pamphlet was to be subservient. The Jacobins of Paris were more prompt than their sdrersaries. They were the readiest to resort to what La Fayette calls the suove sacred of all duties, chat of innurrection. Another sers of holy insurrection commenced the 31et of last May. As the first-fruits of that insurrection grafted on
insurrection, and of that rebellion improving upon rebellion, the aacred, irresponsible character of the members of the Jonvention was laughed to scorn. They had themselves hown, in their proceedings against the late king, how little he most fixed principles are to be relied upon, in their evolutionary coustitution. The members of the Girondin larty in the Convention were seized upon, or obliged to ave themselves by flight. The unhappy author of this riece with twenty of his associates suffered together on the caffold, after a trial, the iniquity of which pute all descripion to defiance.
The English reader will draw from this work of Brissot, and from the result of the last struggles of this party; some seful lessons. He will be enabled to judge of the informaion of those who bave undertaken to guide and enlighten 1s, and who for reasons best known to themselves, have chosen opaint the French Revolution and its consequences in brilliint and flattering colours,-They will know how to appre siate the liberty of France, which has been so much magniied in England. They will do justice to the wisdom and yoodness of their sovereign and his parliament, who have put them into a state of defence, in the war audaciously made upon us, in favour of that kind of liberty. When we see (as here we must see) in their true colours; the cbaracter and policy of our enemies, our gratitude will become an active principle. It will produce a strong and zealous co-operation with the efforts of our government, in favour of a constitution under which we enjoy advantages, the full value of which, the querulous weakness of human nature requires sometimes the opportunity of a comparison, to understand and to relish.

Our confidence in those who watch for the public will not be lessened. We shall be sensible that to alarm us in the late circumstances of our affairs, was not for cur molestation, but for our security. We shall be sensible that this alarm was not ill-timed-and that it ought to have been given, as it was given, before the enemy had time fully to mature and accomplish their plans, for reducing us to the condition of France, as that condition is faithfully and without exaggeration described in the following work. We now hare our arms in our hands; we have the meaus of opposing the
sense, the courage, and the resources of England to the deepest, the most craftily devised, the best combined, and the most extensive design, that ever was carried on, since the beginning of the world, against all property, all order, all religion, all \(\ln w\), and all real freedom.

The reader is requested to attend to the part of this pamphlet which relates to the conduct of the Jacobins, with regard to the Austrian Netherlands, which they call Belgia or Belgiom. It is from page seventy-two to page eighty-four of this tranglation. Here their views and devigns upon all their neighbours are fully displayed. Here the whole mystery of their ferocious politics is laid open with the utmost clearness. Here the manner, in which they would treat every nation, into which they could introduce their doctrines and influence, is distinctly marked. We see that no nation was out of danger, and we see what the danger was with which every nation was threatened. The writer of this pamphlet throws the blame of several of the most violent of the proceedings on the other party. He and his friends, at the time alluded to, had a majority in the National Assembly. He admite that neither he nor they ever publicly opponed these measures; but he attribute their silence to a fear of rendering themselves suspected. It is most certain, that, whether from fear, or from approbation, they never discovered any dislike of those proceedings till Dumourier was driven froin the Netheriande. But whatever their motive was, it is plain that the most violent is, and aince the Revolution has always been, the predominant party.

If Europe could not be aaved without our interposition, (most certainly it could not,) I am sure there is not an Englishman who would not blush to be left out of the general effort made in favour of the general safety. But we are not secondary parties in this war; soe are primcipaly in the danger, and ought to be principals in the exertion. If any Englishman asks whether the denigns of the French assassias are confined to the eppot of Europe which they actually desolate, the citizen Briseot, the author of this book, and the author of the declaration of war againet Eagland, will give him his answer. He will find in this book, that the republicans are divided into factions, full of the most furious and destructive animosity against each other: but he will find.
also that there is one point in which they perfectly agreethat they are all enemies alike to the government of all other nations, and only contend with each other about the means of propagating their tenets, and extending their empire by conquest.
\(\because\) It is true, that, in this present work, which the author professedly designed for an appeal to foreign nations and posterity, he has dressed up the philosophy of his own faction. in as decent a garb as he could to make her appearance in public; but through every disguise her hideous figure may be distinctly seen. If, however, the reader still wishes to see her in all her naked deformity, I would further refer him to a private letter of Brissot, written towards the end of the last year, and quoted in a late very able pamphlet of Mallet du Pan. "We must" (says our philosopher) "set fire to the four comers of Europe;" in that alone is our safety. "Dun mourier cannot suit us. I always distrusted him. Miranda is the general for us: he understands the revolutionary power, he has courage, lights," \&c. \({ }^{1}\) Here everything is fairly avowed in plain language. The triumph of philosophy is the universal conflagration of Europe; the only real dissatisfaction with Dumourier is a suspicion of his moderation; and the secret motive of that preference which in this very pamphlet the author gives to Miranda, though without assigning his reasons, is declared to be the superior fitness of that foreign adventurer for the purposes of subversion and destruction.- On the other hand, if there can be any man in this country so hardy as to undertake the defence or the apology of the present monstrous usurpers of France; and if it should be said in their favour, that it is not just to credit the charges of their enemy Brissot against them, who have actually tried and condemned him on the very, ame charges among others; we are luckily supplied with the best possible evidence in support of this part of his book against them; it comes from among themselves. Camille Desmoulins published the "History of the Brissotins" in answer to this very address of Brissot. It was the counter-manifesto of the last Holy Revolution of the thirty-first of May; and the flagitious orthodoxy of his writings at that period has been

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the translation of Mallet Du Pan's woric, printed for Owen,
} page 53.
admitted in the late scrutiny of him by the Jacobin club, when they taved him from that guillotine "which he grazed." In the beginning of his wort he displays "the tank of glory," as he calls it, which presented itself at the opening of the Convention. All is summed up in two points: "to create the French republic, and to disorganize Europes perhaps to purge it of its tyrants, by the eruption of the oolcanic principles of equality." \({ }^{1}\). The coincidence is exact; the proof is complete and irresistible.
In a cause like this, and in a time like the present, there is no nentrality. They who are not actively, and with decision and energy, against Jacobinism, are its partisans. They who do not dread it, love it. It cannot be viewed with indifference. It is a thing made to produce a powerful impreseion on the feelings. Such is the nature of Jacobinism, such is the nature of man, that this system most be regarded either with enthusiattic admiration, or with the highest degree of deteatation, resentment, and horror.

Another great lesson may be taught by this book, and by the fortume of the author, and his party: I mean a lewon drawn from the consequences of engaging in daring innovetions, from a hope that we may be able to limit their mischievons operation at our pleasure, and by our policy to secure ourselves against the effect of the evil examples wo bold out to the world. This lemon is taught through almont all the important pages of history; but never has it been taught so clearly and so awfully as at this hour. The revolutionists who have just suffered an ignominion death, under the sentence of the revolntionary tribumal, (a tribunal composed of those with whon they had triamphed in the total destruction of the macient government,) were by no means ordinary men, or without very considerable talente and resources. But with all their talents and resources, and the apparent momentary extent of their power, we see the fate of their projects, their power, and their persons. We see before our eyes the sbsurdity of thinging to entablish order upon principles of confusion, or, with the materials and instruments of rebellion, to build up a solid and atable govermment.

See the tranalation of the Hintory of the Brimotion, by Canille Demactline, prinied for Owem, \(p\). 2.

Such partisans of a republic amongst us as may not have the worst intentions will see, that the principles, the plans, the manners, the morals, and the whole system, of France are altogether as adverse to the formation and duration of any rational scheme of a republic, as they are to that of a monarchy absolute or limited. It is indeed a system which can only answer the purposes of robbers and murderers.

The translator has only to say for himself, that he has found some difficulty in this version. His original author, through haste perhaps, or through the perturbation of a mind filled with a great and arduous enterprise, is often obscure. There are some passages too, in which his language requires to be first transtated into French, at least into such French as the Academy would in former times have tolerated. He writes with great force and vivacity; but the language, like everything else in his country, has undergone a revolution. The translator thought it best to be as literal as possible; conceiving such a translation would perhaps be the most fit to convey the author's peculiar mode of thinking. In this way the translator has no credit for style; but he makes it up in fidelity. Indeed the facts and observations are so much more important than the style, that no apology is wanted for producing them in any intelligible manner.

\section*{APPENDIX.}
[The Address of M. Brissot to his Constituents being now almost forgotten, it has been thought right to add, as an Appendix, that part of it to which Mr. Burke points our particular attention, and upon which he so forcibly comments in his Preface.]
- ** Theres sorts of anarchy have ruined our affairs in Belgium.

The anarchy of the administration of Pache, which has completely disorganized the supply of our armies; which by that disorganization reduced the army of Dumourier to stop in the middle of its conquests; which struck it motionless through the months of November and December; which hindered it from joining Bournonville and Custine, and from
vol. ur.
forcing the Prussians and Austrians to repase the Rhine, and afterwards from putting themselves into a condition to in* vade Holland sooner than they did.

To this state of ministerial anarchy, it is necessary to join that other anarchy which disorganized the troops, and occasioned their habits of pillage; and, lastly, that anarchy which created the revolutionary' power, and forced the nnion to France of the countries we had invaded, before thinge were ripe for such a measure.

Who conld, however, doubt the frightful evils that were occasioned in our armies by that doctrine of anarchy, which, under the shadow of equality of righe, would establish equality of fact? This is universal equality, the scourge of society, as the other is the support of society. An anarchical doctrine which would level all things, talents and ignorance, virtues and vices, places, usages, and services; a doctrine which begot that fatal project of organizing the army, presented by Dubois de Crance, to which it will be inclebted for a complete disorganization.

Mark the date of the presentation of the system of this equality of fact, entire equality. It had been projected and decreed even at the very opening of the Dutch campaign. If any project could encourage the want of discipline in the soldiers, any scheme could disgust and banish good officers, and throw all things into confusion at the moment when order alone conld give victory, it is this project, in truth 50 stubbornly defended by the anarchists, and transplanted into their ordinary tactics.

How conld they expect that there should exist sny discicipline, any subordination, when even in the camp they permit motions, censures, and denunciations of officers, and of generals? Does not such a disorder destroy all the respect that is due to superiors, and all the mutnal confidence with out which success camnot be hoped for? For the spirit of distrust makes the soldier cuspicions, and intimidates the general. The first discerns treason in every danger; the second, alwaye placed between the necesity of conquest and the image of the scaffold, darea not raise himself to bold conception, and those heights of courage which electrify an anny and insure victory. Tureme, in our time, would have carried hic head to the scaffold; for be was sometimes beat :
but the reason why he more frequently conquered was, that his discipline was eevere: it was, that his soldiers, confiding in bis talents, never muttered discontent instead of fighting. -Without reciprocal confidence between the soldier and the general, there can be no army, no victory, especially in a free government.

Is it not to the same system of anarchy, of equalization, and want of subordination, which has been recommended in some clubs, and defended even in the Convention, that we owe the pillages, the murders, the enormities of all kinds, which it was difficult for the officers to put a stop to, from the general spirit of insubordination; excesses which haye rendered the French name odious, to the Belgians? Again, is it not to this system of anarchy, and of robbery, that we are indebted for the revolutionary power, which has so justly aggravated the hatred of the Belgiams against France?
What did enlightened republicans think before the 10th of August, men who wished for liberty, not only for their ovon country, but for all Europe? They betieved that they could generally establish it, by exciting the 'governed against the governors, in letting the people see the facility and the advantages of such insurrections.

But how can the people be led to that point? By the example of good government established among us; by the example of order; by the care of spreading nothing but moral ideas among them; to respect their properties and their rights; to respect their prejudices, even when we combat them; by disinterestednees in defending the people, by a zeal to extend the spirit of liberty amongst them.

This system was at first followed. \({ }^{1}\) Ercellent pamphlets from the pen of Condorcet prepared the people for liberty; the 10th of August, the republican decrees, the battle of Valmy, the retreat of the Prussisme, the victory of Jemappe, sill spoke in favour of France; all was rapidly destroyed by the revolutionary power. Without doubt, good intentions made the majority of the Assembly adopt it; they would plant the tree of liberty in a foreign soil, under the shade of a people already free. To the eyes of the people of Belgium it seemed but the mask of a new, foreign tyranny. This

1 The most aeditions libels upon all governments, in order to excite insurrection in Spsin, Holland, and other countries. Translator.
opinion was erroneous; I will suppose it for a moment ; but still this opinion of Belgium deserved to be considered. In general we have always considered our own opinions, and our own intentions, rather than the people whome cause we defend. We have given those people a will; that is to may, we have more than ever alienated them from liberty.

How could the Belgic people believe themselves free, since we exercise for them, and over them, the rights of sovereignty; when without consulting them, we supprems, all in a mass, their sncient usages, their abusen, their prejudices, those classes of society which without doubt are contrary to the spirit of liberty, but the utility of whose destruction was not as yet proved to them? How could they believe themselves free, and sovereign, when we made them take such an oath as we thought fit, as a test to give them the right of voting? How could they believe themselves free, when openly despising their religious worship, which religious worship that superstitious people valued beyond their liberty, beyond even their life; when we proecribed their priests; when we banisbed them from their asemblies, where they were in the practice of seeing them govern; when we seized their revenues, their domains, and riches, to the profit of the nation; when we carried to the very censer those hands which they regarded as profane? Doubtiem these operations were founded on principles; but those principles ought to have had the consent of the Belgians before they were carried into practice; otherwise they necewarily became our most crael enemies.

Arrived ourselves at the last bounds of liberty and equality, trampling under our feet all humsn euperstitionn, (after, however, a four yeas' war with them,) we attempt all at once to raise to the ame eminence, men, strangery even to the first elementary principles of liberty, and plunged for fifteen handred years in ignorance and superstition; we wiebed to force men to see, when a thick cataract covered their eyes, even before we had removed that eataract; we would force men to see, whose dulness of character had raised a miat before their eyes, and before that character was altered. \({ }^{4}\)

\footnotetext{
- It may not be amin, once for all, to rearation the wyle of all the philosophical politician of Prance. Wishoul any diaturetion in their seve-穿 sectis and paries, they agree in tresting all mations foo will mot com.
}

Do you believe that the doctrine which now prevails in France would have found many partisans among us in 1789? No; a revolution in ideas, and in prejudices, is not made with that rapidity; it moves gradually; it does not escelade.

Philosophy does not inspire by violence, nor by seduction, nor is it the sword that begets love of liberty.

Joseph the Second also borrowed the language of philosophy, when he wished to suppress the monks in Belgium, and to seize upon their revenues. There was seen on him a mask only of philosophy, covering the bideous countenance of a greedy despot; and the people ran to arme. Nothing better than another kind of despotism has been seen in the revolutionary power.

We have seen, in the commissioners of the National Conventions, nothing but pro-consuls working the mine of Belgium for the profit of the French nation; seeking to conquer it for the sovereign of Paris; either to aggrandize his empire, or to share the burdens of the debts, and furmish a rich prize to the robbers who domineered in France.

Do you believe the Belgians have ever been the dupes of those well-rounded periods, which they vented in the pulpit,

\footnotetext{
form their government, lawa, manners, and religion to the now French fashion, as a hend of alaves. 'I hey consider the content with which men live undor those governments as stupidity, and all attachment to religion as the effects of the grossest ignorance.
The people of the Netheriands, by their constitution, are as much entitied to be called free, as any gation upon earth. The Austrian government (until some wild attempts the emperor Joseph made on the French principle, but which linve been since nbandoned by the court of Vienna) has been remarkably mild. No people were more at their ease than the Flemish subjects, particularly the lower classes. It is curious to hear this great oculist talk of couching the cataract by which the Netherlunds were blinded, and hindered from seeing, in its proper colours, the benutiful vision of the French Republic, which he has himself painted with so masteriy a hand. That people must needs be dull, blind, and brutalined by iffeen bundred years of superstition, (the time elapsed since the introduction of Christianity amongst them, ) who could prefer their former state to the present atate of France! The reader will remark, that the only difference between Brissot and his adversaries, is in the mode of briaging other nations into' the pale of the French Republic. - They would abolish the order and classes of society and all religion at a stroke; Brissot would have just the same thing done, but with more address and management. Trantator.
}
in order to familiarize them to the ides of an nnion with France? Do you believe they were ever imposed upon by \({ }^{\prime}\) those votes and resolutions, made by what is called acclamation, for their union, of which corruption paid one part,' and fear forced the remainder? Who, at this time of day, is unacquainted with the springs and wires of their miserable puppet-show? Who does not know the farces of primary atsemblies composed of a president, of a secretary, and of some assistants, whove day's work was paid for 7 No; it is not by means which belong only to thieves and despota, that the foundations of liberty can be laid in an enslaved country. It is not by those means, that a new-born republic, a people who know not yet the elements of republican governmente, can be united to us. Even slaves do not suffer themselve to be seduced by such artifices; and if they have not the strength to resist, they have at least the sense to know bow to appreciate the value of such an attempt.

If we would attach the Belgians to us, we most at least enlighten their minds by good toritings; we must mend to them missionaries, and not despotic commisnioners.' We ought to give them time to see; to perceive by themselves the advantages of liberty; the unhappy effecte of auperstition; the fatal spirit of prieathood. And whilst we waited for this moral revolution, we should have accepted the offers, which they incessantly repeated, to join to the French army an army of fifty thousand men; to entertain them at their own expense; and to advance to France the apecie of which she stood in need.

But have we ever seen those fity thousand soldiers, who were to join our army as soon as the standard of liberty should be displayed in Belgium? Have we ever seen those treasures which they were to count into our hands? Can we either accuse the sterility of their country, or the penury of their treasure, or the coldness of their love for liberty? No! deapotism and anarchy, these are the benefite which

\footnotetext{
- See the Corrempondence of Dumourier, erpecinlly the letter of the 12th of March.
2 They have not met proceeded farther with repard to fle Eaclich dominions. Here we only see as yet the gool writingy of Paine, and of his learned amocinies, and the labomers of the wastionary chubs, and athor zeplous instractors. Truedador.
}
we have transplanted into their soil. We have acted, we have apoken like masters; and from that time we have found the Flemings nothing but jugglers, who made the grimace of liberty for money; or slaves, who in their hearts cursed their new tyrants. Our commissioners address them in this sort; "You have nobles and priests among you, drive them out without delay, or we will neither be your brethren nor your patrons." They answered, Give us but time ; only leave to us the care of reforming these institutions. Our answer to them was, " No! it must be at the moment; it must be on the spot; or we will treat you as enemies, we will abandon you to the resentment of the Austrians."

What could the disarmed Belgians object to all this, surrounded as they were by seventy thousand men? They had only to hold their tongues, and to bow down their heads before their masters! They did hold their tongues, and their silence is received as a sincere and free assent.

Have not the strangest artifices been adopted to prevent that people from retreating, and to constrain them to an union? It was foreseen, that, as long as they were unable to effect an union, the states would preserve the supreme authority amongst themselves. Under pretence, therefore, of relieving the people, and of exercising the sovereignty in their right, at one stroke they abolished all the duties and taxes, they shut up all the treasuries. From that time no more receipts, no more public money, no more means of paying the salaries of any man in office appointed by the states. Thus was anarchy organized amongst the people, that they might be compelled to throw themselves into our arms. It became necessary for those who administered their affairs, under the penalty of being exposed to sedition, and in order to. aroid their throats being cut, to have recourse to the treasury of France. What did they find in this treasury? ASSIGNATS.-These Assignats were advanced at par to Belgium. By these means, on the one hand, they neutralized this currency in that country; and on the other, they expected to make a good pecuniary transaction. Thus it is that covetousness cut its throat with its own hands. The Belgians have seen in this forced introduction of assignats, nolhing but a double robbery; and they have only the more violently hated the union with France.

Recollect the solicitude of the Belgians on that subject. With what earneatness did they conjure, you to take off a retroactive effect from these assignats, and to provent them from being applied to the payment of debts that were contracted anterior to the union?
Did not this language energetically enough signify that they looked upon the assignatis as a leprosy, and the union as a deadly contagion?
And yet what regard was paid to so just a demand? It was buried in the committee of finance. That committee wanted to make anarchy the means of an union. They only busied themselves in making the Belgic provincea subservient to their finances.
Cambon suid lottily before the Belgians themelves: The Belgian war costs us hundreds of millions. Their ordinary revenues, and even some extraordinary taxes, will not answer to our reimbursements; and yet we have occasion for them. The mortgage of our assignats draws near its end. What mast be done? Sell the church property of Brabant. There is a mortgage of two thoasand millions (eighty millions sterling). How shall we get possession of them? By an immediate union. Instantly they decreed this union. Men's minds were not disposed to it. What does it signify? Let us make them vote by means of money. Without delay, therefore, they secretly order the minister of foreign affairs to dispose of fonr or five hundred thousand lirres ( \(£ 20,000\) sterling) to make the vagobonds of Brustels drunk, and to buy proselytes to the union in all the states. But even these means, it was said, will obtain but a weak minority in our fayour. What does that signify? Revolutions, waid they, are made only by minoritict. It is the minority whick has made the Resolution of France; it it a minority which has made the people triumph.

The Belgic prorinces were not sufficient to satisfy the voracions cravings of this financial aystem. Cambon wanted to unite everything, that he might ell everything. Thus be foreed the union of Saroy; in the war with Holland, be saw nothing but gold to eeize on, and assignate to sell at par.!

TThe anme thing will happen in Savoy. The permecution of the clergy lats eoured people's minds The Commimaries represent thens to tan good Prenchamen. I pat thein to the proot. Where are the kegion?

Do not let us dissemble, said he one day to the committee of general defence, in presence even of the patriot deputies of Holland, you have no ecclesiastical goods to offer us for our indemnity. -It is a revoldtion in their counters and izon cheate, \({ }^{1}\) that must be made amongst the Dutci. The word was said, and the bankers Abema and Vanstaphorst understood it.

Do you think that that word has not been worth an army to the Stadtholder, that it has not cooled the ardour of the Dutch patriots, that it has not commanded the vigorous defence of Williamstadt ?
Do you believe that the patriots of Amsterdam, when they read the preparatory decree which gave France an execution on their goods; do you believe, that those patriots would not have liked better to have remained under the government of the Stadthoider, who took from them no more than a fixed portion of their property, than to pass under that of a revolutionary power, which would make a complete revolution in their buresus and strong boxes, and reduce them to wretchedness and rags ? \({ }^{2}\) Robbery, and anarchy, instead of encouraging, will always stifle revolutions.

But why, they object to me, have not you and your friends chosen to expose these measures in the rostrum of the National Convention? Why bave you not opposed yourself to all these fatal projects of union?

There are two answers to make here, one general, one particular.

You complain of the silence of honest men! You quite forget, then, honest men are the objects of your suspicion. Suspicion, if it does not atain the soul of a courageous mnn, at least arrests his thoughts in their passage to his lips. The suspicions of a good citizen freeze those men, whom the calumny of the wicked could not stop in their progress.

You complain of their silence! You forget, then, that you
How, thirty thousand Sayoyards-are they not armed to defend, in concert with us, their liberty? Brissot.
I portofouillo-is the word in the original. It signifies all movable property which may be represented in bonds, notes, bills, stocks, or any sort of public or private securities. I do not know of a single word in English that answers it: I bave therefore substituted that of, Iron Chests, as coming rearest to the idea. Translator. Qu. Cash-boxea, Ed,
\({ }^{2}\) In the original letter, des reduire id la Sansculoterie.
have often eatablished an insulting equality between them and men covered with crimes, and made up of ignominy-

Yon forget, then, that you have twenty times left them covered with opprobrium by your galleries,

You forget, then, that you have not thought yourselves sufficiently powerful to impose silence upon these galleries.

What ought a wise man to do in the midst of theme circumstances ? He is silent. He waits the moment when the passions give way; he waits till reason shall preside, and till the multitude shall listen to her voice.

What have been the tactic displayed during all thene unions? Cambon, incapable of political calculation, boasting his jgnorance in the diplomatic, flattering the ignorant multitude, lending his oame and popularity to the anarchists, seconded by their vociferations, denounced incessantly as counter-revolutioniste, those intelligent persons who were desirous, at least, of having things discussed. To oppose the acts of union, sppeared to Cambon an overt act of treanon. The wish so much as to reflect and to deliberate, was in his eyes a great crime. He calumnisted our intentions. The voice of every deputy, especially my voice, would infallibly have been stifled. There were spies on the very mononyllables that escaped our lips.****
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