

Dhananjayrao Gadgil Librar



GIPE-PUNE-056919

*Agents for the sale of Books published by the Superintendent of Government
Printing, India, Calcutta.*

IN LONDON.

| | |
|--|---|
| E. A. Arnold, 37, Bedford Street, Strand, W. C. | P. S. King & Son, 9, Bridge Street, West- minster, S. W. |
| Constable & Co., 2, Whitehall Gardens, S. W. | Luzac & Co., 46, Great Russell Street, W. C. |
| Sampson Low, Marston & Co., St. Dunstan's House, Fetter Lane, E. C. | Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co., Charing Cross Road, W. C. |
| B. Quaritch, 15, Piccadilly, W. | |

ON THE CONTINENT.

| | |
|--|--|
| Friedländer & Sohn, 11, Carlstrasse, Berlin. | Karl W. Hiersemann, Leipzig. |
| Otto Harrassowitz, Leipzig. | Ernest Leroux, 28, Rue Bonaparte, Paris. |
| Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague. | |

IN INDIA.

| | |
|---|---|
| Thacker, Spink & Co., Calcutta and Simla. | E. Seymour Hale, Esq., Fort Bombay. |
| Newman & Co., Calcutta. | Higginbotham & Co., Madras. |
| Thacker & Co., Ltd., Bombay. | Superintendent, American Baptist Mission Press, Rangoon. |

SELECTIONS
FROM THE
RECORDS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
HOME DEPARTMENT.

No. CCCLXVI.

HOME DEPARTMENT SERIAL No. 27.

REPORT
ON
THE SYSTEM OF TRIAL BY JURY IN
COURTS OF SESSION IN THE MOFASSAL
DURING THE YEAR
1890-97.



Published by Authority.

CALCUTTA :
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING, INDIA.
1899.

CALCUTTA :
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA CENTRAL PRINTING OFFICE,
8, HASTINGS STREET.

Z2,70k6:6Y

C7

56919

CONTENTS.

| No. | | PAGE. | |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 1. | To the Governments of Madras, Bombay, and the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, Nos. 740, 741 and 743, dated 31st May 1890, asking for a report showing how the system of trial by jury has worked in their respective Provinces, what opinion is entertained as to its merits as a means for the repression of crime and what improvements, if any, are called for in its application | 1 | |
| 2. | To the Government of Bengal, No. 742, dated 31st May 1890, asking for a similar report | 2 | |
| 3. | Endorsement to the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 744, asking for a similar report | 2 | |
| 4. | To the Registrar of the High Court, Calcutta, No. 745, dated 31st May 1890, asking for the views of the High Court regarding the working of the system in the Lower Provinces and Assam | 2 and 3 | |
| 5. | From the Government of Madras, No. 2096, dated 29th December 1890, forwarding copies of letters received from the various officers consulted on the subject of the system of trial by jury, and stating the views of that Government | 3 | |
| 6. | Enclosures to the above . | Proceedings of the Government of Madras in the Judicial Department, No. 2095 (Judicial), dated 29th December 1890 | 3 |
| 7. | | From the Sessions Judge of Vizagapatam, to the Government of Madras, No. 575, dated 12th August 1890 | 3 and 4 |
| 8. | | From the Sessions Judge of South Arcot, to the Government of Madras, No. 74, dated 12th August 1890 | 4—6 |
| 9. | | From the Sessions Judge, Tanjore, to the Government of Madras, No. 400, dated 13th August 1890 | 6 and 7 |
| 10. | | From the Sessions Judge, Coimbatore, to the Government of Madras, No. 973, dated 16th August 1890 | 7 and 8 |
| 11. | | From the Sessions Judge of South Arcot, to the Government of Madras, No. 88, dated 16th September 1890 | 8 |
| 12. | | From the Registrar of the High Court, Madras, to the Government of Madras, No. 2543, dated 5th November 1890, forwarding Minutes by the Honourable the Chief Justice and the Judges of the High Court | 8—11 |
| 13. | | From the Registrar of the High Court, Madras, to the Government of Madras, No. 2565, dated 7th November 1890, forwarding an extract from the Report on the Administration of Criminal Justice in Salem for 1889, containing the opinion of Mr. Justice Weir on the subject of the system of trial by jury | 11 and 12 |
| 14. | | From the Government of Bombay, No. 7105, dated 19th December 1890, submitting the opinion of that Government on the working of the system of trial by jury in the Bombay Presidency both on its merits and the improvements called for in its application | 12—15 |
| 15. | | Enclosures to the above . | From the Registrar of the High Court, Bombay, No. 2003, dated 26th September 1890, forwarding copies of Minutes recorded by the Honourable the Chief Justice and Judges and of the letters of the Sessions Judges consulted on the subject |
| 16. | Minute by the Honourable the Chief Justice (Sir Charles Sargent) | | 15 |
| 17. | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Birdwood, dated 9th August 1890 | | 15 and 16 |

| No. | | Page. | |
|------------|---|--|-----------|
| 18. | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Parsons, dated 27th August 1890 | 16 and 17 | |
| 19— 21. | Minutes by the Honourable Mr. Justice Candy, dated the 28th June and 11th August 1890, and enclosures . . . | 17—21 | |
| 22. | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Farran | 22 and 23 | |
| 23. | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Telang, dated 30th June 1890 | 23 | |
| 24. | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Telang, dated 27th August 1890 | 24 | |
| 25. | From the Sessions Judge, Ahmedabad, No. 314, dated 19th April 1890 | 24—26 | |
| 26. | From the Sessions Judge, Surat, No. 434, dated 14th March 1890 | 26 and 27 | |
| 27. | From the Sessions Judge, Poona, No. 106, dated 15th March 1890 | 28 | |
| 28. | From the Sessions Judge, Thana, No. 654, dated the 18th March 1890 | 28 | |
| 29. | From the Sessions Judge, Belgaum, No. 699, dated 17th April 1890 | 28—30 | |
| 30— 31. | Enclosures— <i>contd</i> From the Registrar of the High Court, Bombay, No. 2005, dated 26th September 1890, submitting a supplementary Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Candy . . . | 30—32 | |
| 32. | From the Judge and Sessions Judge of Karachi, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1017, dated 19th August 1890 . . . | 32—34 | |
| 33. | From the Judicial Commissioner in Sind, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1847, dated 26th August 1890 . . . | 34 and 35 | |
| 34. | From the Sessions Judge, Ahmednagar, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1791, dated 28th July 1890 | 35—37 | |
| 35. | From the Sessions Judge, Surat, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1220, dated 14th August 1890 | 37 and 38 | |
| 36. | Statement showing the result of cases tried by Jury in the Surat District from March 1885 to August 1890 . . . | 39—44 | |
| 37. | From the Sessions Judge of Poona, to the Government of Bombay, No. 391, dated 16th August 1890 | 45 | |
| 38. | From the Sessions Judge, Belgaum, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1317, dated 23rd July 1890 | 45 | |
| 39. | From the Sessions Judge of Thana, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1499, dated 19th July 1890 | 45 | |
| 40.) | From the Sessions Judge, Ahmedabad, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1038, dated 22nd September 1890 . . . | 45—47 | |
| 41. | From the Government of Bengal, No. 122-J. D., dated 22nd June 1891, forwarding, with remarks, the reports of the officers who were consulted on the working of the system of trial by jury in the Lower Provinces | 47—50 | |
| 42.) | Enclosures to the above . | From the Sessions Judge of Burdwan, No. 1606, dated 7th July 1890 | 50 and 51 |
| 43— 46. | | From the Sessions Judge of Nadia, No. 477, dated 19th July 1890, and enclosures | 51—56 |
| 47— 48. | | From the Judge of Patna, No. 197, dated 21st July 1890, and enclosure | 56—58 |
| 49— 50. | | From the District and Sessions Judge of the 24-Parganas, No. 763, dated 9th August 1890, and enclosure | 58—62 |
| 51— 52. | | From the Inspector-General of Police, No. 11832, dated 2nd September 1890, and enclosure | 62—64 |

CONTENTS.

iii

| No. | | PAGE. |
|------------|--|-------------|
| 53. | From the Sessions Judge of Dacca, No. 1016, dated 2nd September 1890 | 65 |
| 54— 57. | From the Sessions Judge of Hooghly, No. 1334, dated 23rd September 1890, and enclosures | 65—72 |
| 58. | From the Commissioner of the Patna Division, No. 589-G., dated 24th September 1890 | 72 and 73 |
| 59. | From the Sessions Judge of Marshidabad, No. 1291, dated 25th September 1890 | 73 and 74 |
| 60— 61. | From the Commissioner of the Dacca Division, No. 1357-J., dated 7th October 1890, and enclosure | 74—76 |
| 62— 63. | From the Commissioner of the Presidency Division, No. 106-J. G., dated 16th October 1890, and enclosure | 76 |
| 64— 66. | From the Commissioner of the Burdwan Division, No. ^{207-T. J.} / _{202-J. G.} , dated 31st October 1890, and enclosures | 76—80 |
| 67. | From the District and Sessions Judge, Dacca, No. 45, dated 16th January 1891 | 80—82 |
| 68— 74. | From the Commissioner of the Presidency Division, No. 14-J. G., dated 18th February 1891, and enclosures | 82—87 |
| 75. | From the Government of Bengal, No. 4675-J., dated 21st December 1891, bringing to the notice of the Government of India the failure of justice in the trial by jury of the third batch of prisoners prosecuted in the 24-Parganas for rioting near Sham Bazar in the Suburbs of Calcutta | 87 and 88 |
| 76. | Enclosure to the above—Charge to the jury | 88—99 |
| 77. | From the Registrar of the High Court, Calcutta, No. 540, dated 29th February 1891, forwarding copies of Minutes recorded by the Honourable Judges on the subject of the working of the system of trial by jury in the Lower Provinces of Beugal and Assam | 99 |
| 78.) | Minute by the Honourable the Chief Justice | 99 and 100 |
| 79.) | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Prinsep, dated 19th December 1890, concurred in by the Honourable Messrs. Justices Wilson, Pigot and Macpherson | 100—102 |
| 80.) | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Tottenham | 102 |
| 81.) | Minute by the Honourable Messrs. Justices Norris, Ghose and Banerjee, dated 13th January 1891 | 102 and 103 |
| 82.) | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Trevelyan, dated 18th January 1891 | 103 |
| 83.) | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Beverley, dated 18th December 1890 | 104 |
| 84.) | Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Ameer Ali, dated 16th January 1891, concurred in by the Honourable Mr. Justice O'Kinealy | 104 and 105 |
| 85. | From the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 307, dated 2nd February 1891, forwarding the opinions of the officers consulted on the subject of the working of the system of trial by jury in those Provinces, together with copies of the letters which were addressed to them | 105 and 106 |
| 86. | Enclosures to the above From the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, to the Registrar of the High Court, North-Western Provinces, No. ¹⁶⁴⁷ / _{71-598 B.} , dated 26th June 1890, requesting to be favoured with an expression of the opinion of the Honourable Judges regarding the system of trial by jury | 106 |

| No. | | Page. |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 87. | From the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, to the Judicial Commissioner, Oudh, Sessions Judges of Allahabad, Benares and Lucknow, Magistrates of Benares and Lucknow, Legal Remembrancer to Government and Public Prosecutor, Allahabad, No. $\frac{1648}{VI-598 B}$, dated 26th June 1890, requesting to be favoured with an expression of their opinion on the subject | 106 |
| 88. | From the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, to Colonel G. E. Erskine and Messrs. W. E. Neale, F. W. Porter, and G. Adams, No. $\frac{1649}{VI-598 B}$, dated 26th June 1890, requesting their opinion on the subject | 106 |
| 89. | From Colonel G. E. Erskine, Commissioner, Kumaon Division, No. $\frac{1859}{XIII-340}$, dated 7th July 1890 | 106 and 107 |
| 90. | From the Magistrate of Benares, No. $\frac{4}{XVII-39}$, dated 10th July 1890 | 107 |
| 91. | From W. E. Neale, Esq., Commissioner, Agra Division, No. $\frac{7510}{XV-38}$, dated 15th July 1890 | 107 |
| 92. | From G. Adams, Esq., Commissioner, Benares Division, No. $\frac{7940}{XIII-307}$, dated 15th July 1890 | 107 |
| 93. | From the Commissioner, Lucknow Division, No. 4219, dated 14th July 1890 | 108 |
| 94. | From the Deputy Commissioner, Lucknow, No. 3868, dated 29th July 1890 | 108 |
| 95— 96. | From the Public Prosecutor, High Court, Allahabad, dated 29th July 1890, and enclosure | 108 and 109 |
| 97. | From the Legal Remembrancer, No. $\frac{4236}{F.-1107}$, dated 31st July 1890 | 110 |
| 98— 100. | From the Registrar of the High Court, North-Western Provinces, No. 4169, dated 20th August 1890, forwarding Minutes by the Honourable Mr. Justice Young and the Honourable the Chief Justice | 110 and 111 |
| 101— 102. | From the District Judge of Benares, No. 761, dated 18th September 1890, and enclosures, forwarding copies of the report and statements submitted by him to the High Court regarding the system of trial by jury | 111—114 |
| 103— 104. | From F. W. Porter, Esq., Magistrate of Allahabad, dated 22nd September 1890, and enclosure | 115 and 116 |
| 105— 108. | From the Sessions Judge of Allahabad, No. 614, dated 22nd September 1890, and enclosures | 117—123 |
| 109— 110. | From the Judicial Commissioner, Oudh, No. 1493, dated 29th September 1890, and enclosure, submitting a memorandum drawn up by the Additional Judicial Commissioner | 124 |
| 111. | From the Secretary to Khasi Sujan Samaj, Benares, No. 1199, dated 22nd September 1890 | 124 |
| 112. | From the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 3007, dated 5th November 1891, reporting that the local authorities at Cawnpore and Agra, who were consulted on the subject of extending the jury system to those districts, were of opinion that the measure was not expedient at the time | 124 and 125 |
| 113. | From the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 145-J., dated 14th January 1891, forwarding a report on the working of the jury system in the Assam Valley | 125 |
| 114— 124. | Enclosures to the above { From the Judge of the Assam Valley Districts, No. 1271, dated 17th November 1890, and enclosures | 125—140 |

CONTENTS.

v

| No. | PAGE. |
|---|-------------|
| 125. To the Government of Madras, No. 1105, dated 25th August 1892, conveying the orders of the Government of India on that Government's letter No. 2096, dated 29th December 1890 | 140—142 |
| 126. To the Government of Bombay, No. 1106, dated 25th August 1892, conveying the orders of the Government of India on that Government's letter No. 7105, dated 19th December 1890 | 142—146 |
| 127. To the Government of Bengal, No. 1107, dated 25th August 1892, conveying the orders of the Government of India on the proposals of that Government for withdrawing the system of trial by jury from certain districts in the Lower Provinces | 146—149 |
| 128. To the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 1108, dated 25th August 1892, stating that the Government of India were of opinion that no change in the law would be necessary in those Provinces, where capital offences and other cases unsuitable for trial by juries were already excluded from their cognizance, and that the ends of justice would be adequately secured if the safeguard against failures of justice provided by section 307 of the Criminal Procedure Code was strengthened | 149—152 |
| 129. To the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 1109, dated 25th August 1892, forwarding a copy of the correspondence with the Government of Bengal, and requesting him to consider whether the list of offences triable by jury in Assam should not be revised under the powers vested in the Local Administration by section 269 of the Criminal Procedure Code so as to eliminate those offences which experience had shown to be unsuitable for trial by juries in India | 152 and 153 |
| 130. To the Legislative Department, No. 1110, dated 25th August 1892, forwarding copies of the necessary papers, and requesting that when the Code of Criminal Procedure next came under revision, section 303 of that Code might be amended so that the Judge might ask for a special verdict either before the jury came to their decision or after the general verdict had been returned | 153 |
| 131. From the Government of Bengal, No. 4056-J., dated 10th November 1892, forwarding a copy of the Notification of that Government effecting certain changes in the list of offences tried by jury in Bengal, and of a circular on the subject of the selection of jurors to the Sessions Judges and the Collectors of the districts to which the system of trial by jury has been extended | 153 |
| 132. } Notification, dated 20th October 1892 | 154 |
| 133. } Enclosures to the above . { From the Government of Bengal, to the Sessions Judges of the 24-Parganas, Hooghly, Burdwan, Murshidabad, Nadia, Patna and Dacca, No. 907-J. D., dated 24th October 1892 | 154 and 155 |
| 134. From the Government of Bengal, No. 4047-J., dated 11th November 1892, forwarding a table showing separately for the years 1890 and 1891 for the eight districts of Bengal to which the jury system has been extended, (1) the number of cases tried at Sessions by a jury under the Chapters of the Indian Penal Code in regard to which trial by jury has been withdrawn by the Notification of that Government, dated 20th October 1892, (2) the number of cases tried at Sessions by jury under the chapters of the Code in regard to which that Notification did not apply and in regard to which trial by jury still continues, and (3) the number of cases tried by Judges and Assessors under Chapter XX of the Code to which trial by jury has now been extended | 155 |
| 135. Enclosure to the above—Statement | 155 |
| 136. From the Government of Bengal, No. 4527-J., dated 12th December 1892, submitting four statements illustrating the number and proportion of cases in which Judges have, during the past five years from 1887 to 1891, differed from the verdicts of jury in the seven districts to which the jury system has been extended in Bengal | 156 |
| 137— } Enclosures to the above—Statements | 157—160 |
| 140. } | |
| 141. From the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 4661-J., dated 11th October 1892, stating that trial by jury in the Assam Valley Districts is limited to offences under Chapters VIII, XI, XVI, XVII and XVIII of the Indian Penal Code, and that he has always considered that the introduction of the jury system into Assam was premature, and expressing his readiness to accept the suggestion of the Government of India that the jury system in Assam should be limited as in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh to certain offences under the Penal Code | 161 |

| No. | Page. |
|---|-------------|
| 142. To the Secretary of State, No. 32, dated 21st December 1892, forwarding copies of the papers above referred to, and reporting the action taken with a view to regulate the system of trial by jury in certain provinces in British India | 161—170 |
| 143. From the Government of Bengal, No. 4723-J., dated 27th December 1892, forwarding, for transmission to the Secretary of State, a copy of the memorial adopted by a public meeting held at the Calcutta Town Hall on the 20th December 1892 regarding the trial by jury in Bengal, and conveying certain remarks on the subject | 170 |
| 144. } Enclosures to the above { From Maharaja Durga Churn Law, C.I.E., Chairman of the Public Meeting, above referred to, dated 23rd December 1892 | 171 |
| 145. } { Memorial and its accompaniments | 171—177 |
| 146. To the Secretary of State, No. 1, dated 4th January 1893, forwarding the memorial, together with a copy of the covering letter from the Bengal Government and conveying the views of the Government of India | 177—180 |
| 147. From the Government of Bengal, No. 35-J., dated 2nd January 1893, submitting the opinion of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor on the question whether any of the offences withdrawn by the Notification of 20th October 1892 could be restored to the cognizance of juries either with or without a modification of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and submitting, for the consideration of the Government of India, a suggestion for the appointment of a Commission to consider and report on the subject of trial by jury | 180 and 181 |
| 148. To the Secretary of State, No. 3, dated 18th January 1893, forwarding a copy of the foregoing letter | 181 |
| 149. From the Government of Bengal, No. 208-J., dated 13th January 1893, submitting, for transmission to the Secretary of State, a copy of (1) a further memorial with its covering letter from Maharaja Durga Churn Law, and (2) of the appendices to, and corrections of, that memorial, with a covering letter from the Maharaja | 181—185 |
| 150— } From Maharaja Durga Churn Law, dated 7th January 1893, and enclosed memorial | 185—191 |
| 151. } | |
| 152— } From Maharaja Durga Churn Law, dated 10th January 1893, with enclosed appendices | 192 and 193 |
| 156. } to, and corrections of, the above memorial | |
| 157. To the Secretary of State, No. 5, dated 18th January 1893, forwarding, with an expression of opinion, copy of the foregoing papers | 194 and 195 |
| 158. From the Government of Bombay, No. 614, dated 27th January 1893, forwarding, with remarks, copies of the opinions of the High Court Judges and District Officers on the subject of the working of the system of trial by jury in the Bombay Presidency | 196 |
| 159. } From the Registrar of the Bombay High Court, No. 117, dated 19th January 1893, forwarding copies of Minutes recorded by the Chief Justice and other Judges of the High Court | 196 and 197 |
| 160. } Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Fulton, dated 3rd January 1893 | 197 and 198 |
| 161. } Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Candy, dated 9th January 1893 | 198 and 199 |
| 162. } Enclosures to the above { Minute by the Honourable the Chief Justice (Sir Charles Sargent) | 199 and 200 |
| 163. } { Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Parsons | 200 |
| 164. } { Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Starling | 200 |
| 165. } { Minute by the Honourable Mr. Justice Bayley | 200 |
| 166. } From Mr. H. E. M. James, No. 2271, dated 23rd December 1892, submitting reports received from all the District Magistrates in Sind, except Karachi | 200—202 |

CONTENTS.

vii

| No. | | PAGE. | |
|--------------|--|--|-------------|
| 167. | From the District Magistrate of Hyderabad, No. 4-4866, dated 9th December 1892 | 202 | |
| 168. | Extract from a letter from the Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, to the Judicial Commissioner in Sind, No. 3, dated 28th November 1892 | 203 | |
| 169. | From the Sessions Judge, Shikarpur, to the District Magistrate, Shikarpur, No. 7, dated 30th November 1892 | 202 | |
| 170. | From the District Magistrate, Shikarpur, No. 26, dated 23rd December 1892, to the Government of Bombay | 202 | |
| 171. | From the Sessions Judge, Shikarpur, to the District Magistrate, Upper Sind Frontier, No. 4, dated 10th November 1892 | 202 and 203 | |
| 172. | From the Deputy Commissioner, Thar and Parkar, to the Government of Bombay, Np. 819, dated 26th November 1892 | 203 | |
| 173. | From the District Magistrate, Upper Sind Frontier, to the Government of Bombay, No. 67, dated 17th November 1892 | 203 | |
| 174. | From the District Magistrate, Karachi, to the Commissioner in Sind, No. 2681, dated 22nd December 1892 | 203 | |
| 175. | From the Judicial Commissioner in Sind, to the Government of Bombay, No. 8, dated 17th December 1892 | 204 and 205 | |
| 176. | From the Judicial Commissioner in Sind, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1847, dated 26th August 1890 | 205 and 206 | |
| 177. | From the Sessions Judge, Karachi, to the Judicial Commissioner in Sind, No. 1971, dated 29th November 1892 | 206 | |
| 178. | Enclosures to the foregoing— <i>contd.</i> | From the Commissioner, Northern Division, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1516-44, dated 22nd December 1892, submitting reports from certain District Magistrates | 206 and 207 |
| 179. | | From the District Magistrate, Ahmedabad, to the Government of Bombay, No. C. M.—16, dated 15th December 1892 | 207 |
| 180— 181. | From the Sessions Judge, Ahmedabad, to the Registrar of the Bombay High Court, No. 1734, dated 10th December 1892, and enclosure | 207—209 | |
| 182. | From the District Magistrate, Kaira, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1366, dated 15th December 1892 | 209 and 210 | |
| 183. | From the District Magistrate, Panch Mahals, to the Government of Bombay, No. 14, dated 14th December 1892 | 210 | |
| 184. | From the District Magistrate, Broach, to the Government of Bombay, No. 36, dated 8th December 1892 | 210 | |
| 185. | From the District Magistrate, Thana, to the Government of Bombay, No. 6179, dated 8th November 1892 | 210 and 211 | |
| 186. | From the District Magistrate, Surat, to the Government of Bombay, Np. M.—82, dated 19th January 1893 | 211 and 212 | |
| 187. | From the Commissioner, Central Division, to the Government of Bombay, No. P.—2285-51, dated 18th December 1892, submitting reports from the District Magistrates in his Division | 212 | |
| 188. | From the District Magistrate of Nasik, to the Government of Bombay, No. 7590, dated 12th November 1892 | 212 and 213 | |
| 189. | From the District Magistrate, Khandesb, to the Government of Bombay, No. 8883-4 (Confidential), dated 12th November 1892 | 213 | |

| No. | | PAGE. |
|------|---|-------------|
| 190. | From the Sessions Judge, Sholapur-Bijapur, to the District Magistrate, Sholapur-Bijapur, No. 2611, dated 5th November 1892 | 213 |
| 191. | From the District Magistrate, Sholapur, to the Government of Bombay, No. 6449, dated 23rd November 1892 | 214 |
| 192. | From the Sessions Judge, Satara, to the District Magistrate, Satara, No. 2418, dated 24th November 1892 | 214-216 |
| 193. | From the District Magistrate, Satara, to the Commissioner, Central Division, No. 12-8286, dated 26th November 1892 | 216 |
| 194. | From the District Magistrate, Ahmednagar, to the Government of Bombay, No. D.-14, dated 19th December 1892 | 216 |
| 195. | Memorandum from the Commissioner, Central Division, No. P.-12-2, dated 6th January 1893, to the Government of Bombay, forwarding the above letter with certain remarks | 216 |
| 196. | From the Commissioner, Southern Division, to the Government of Bombay, No. 36 P.-5 (Confidential), dated 6th January 1893, submitting reports from the District Magistrates in his Division and his own opinion on the subject of the system of trial by jury | 217 |
| 197. | From the District Magistrate, Dharwar, to the Government of Bombay, No. 7215-94, dated 16th November 1892, submitting copy of a letter from the Sessions Judge, Dharwar, and his own opinion on the subject | 217 |
| 198. | Enclosures to the foregoing— <i>concl'd.</i> From the Sessions Judge, Dharwar, to the District Magistrate, Dharwar, No. 1876-1, dated 14th November 1892 | 218 |
| 199. | From the District Magistrate, Bijapur, to the Government of Bombay, No. 4963, dated 22nd November 1892 | 218 and 219 |
| 200. | From the District Magistrate, Kanara, to the Government of Bombay, No. 4579, dated 25th November 1892, submitting copy of a letter from the Sessions Judge, Kanara, and his own opinion | 219 |
| 201. | From the Sessions Judge, Kanara, to the District Magistrate, Kanara, No. 11, dated 23rd November 1892 | 219 |
| 202. | From the District Magistrate, Ratnagiri, to the Government of Bombay, No. S. R.-18, of November 1892 | 219 and 220 |
| 203. | From the District Magistrate, Kolaba, to the Government of Bombay, No. 38, dated 30th November 1892 | 220 |
| 204. | From the District Magistrate, Belgaum, to the Government of Bombay, No. 24, dated 21st December 1892, forwarding copy of a letter from the Sessions Judge, Belgaum, and his opinion | 220 and 221 |
| 205. | From the Sessions Judge, Belgaum, to the District Magistrate, Belgaum, No. 2491, dated 19th December 1892 | 221 and 222 |
| 206. | To the Secretary of State, No. 9, dated 8th February 1892, forwarding, with remarks, copy of the letter from the Government of Bombay, No. 614, dated the 27th January 1893, and enclosures | 222 and 223 |
| 207. | From the Government of Madras, No. 268, dated 6th February 1893, forwarding copies of the opinions recorded by the Honourable Judges of the Madras High Court regarding the working of the system of trial by jury in Courts of Session in that Presidency, and communicating the views of the Madras Government on the subject | 223 and 224 |
| 208. | Enclosures to the above. { Order of the Government of Madras, No. 1827, dated 29th September 1892, inviting the opinion of the High Court on the points discussed in Home Department letter dated 25th August 1892 | 224 |

| No. | Page. |
|---|---|
| 209— } Enclosures to the fore- 210. } going— <i>contd.</i> | { From the Registrar of the High Court, to the Government of Madras, No. 3281, dated 9th December 1892, forwarding Minutes recorded by the Honourable the Chief Justice and the Honourable Judges of that Court 224 and 25 |
| 211. Resolution No. $\frac{5}{201-208}$, dated 23rd February 1893, appointing a Commission to enquire into the question of trial by jury in Courts of Session in the Lower Provinces | 226 and 227 |
| 212. To the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal, No. 215, dated the 23rd February 1893, forwarding a copy of the above Resolution for the information of the Court | 227 |
| 213. To the Secretary of State, No. 10, dated 1st March 1893, forwarding, with remarks, copy of the letter from the Government of Madras, dated 6th February 1893, and its enclosure | 227 |
| 214. To the Government of Madras, No. 256, dated 4th March 1893, stating that, pending the report of the Commission appointed to consider the question of trial by jury and its consideration by the Government of India and the Secretary of State, it would not be convenient that any action dealing with the classification of offences triable by jury should be taken by the Madras Government | 227 and 228 |
| 215. To the Government of Bombay, No. 257, dated 4th March 1893, conveying a similar suggestion | 228 |
| 216. From the Secretary of State, No. 8, dated 16th February 1893, acknowledging receipt of the telegram from His Excellency the Viceroy, of the 16th January 1893, and of the Despatches, No. 32 of 1892 and Nos. 1, 3 and 5 of 1893, and requesting that the report of the Jury Commission may, on receipt, be forthwith communicated to His Lordship with intimation as to the measures proposed to be taken upon it | 228 and 229 |
| 217. From the Secretary to the Jury Commission, dated 24th March 1893, submitting the report of the Commission | 229 |
| 218. Enclosure to the above . Report of the Commission | 229—255 |
| 219. From the Government of Bengal, No. 1523-J., dated 25th March 1893, soliciting permission to withdraw the Notification of that Government, dated 20th October 1892 | 255 |
| 220. To the Government of Bengal, No. 357, dated 28th March 1893, authorizing the withdrawal of the Notification of the 20th October 1892, and the restoration of the classification of offences triable in certain districts in Bengal, which was in force prior to the issue of that Notification | 255 |
| 221. To the Secretary of State, No. 17, dated 29th March 1893, forwarding copy of the Report of the Jury Commission, and stating that the Notification of the 20th October 1892 had been cancelled | 256 |
| 222. To the Governments of Madras and Bombay, Nos. 545—546, dated 6th May 1893, inviting opinion on the proposals of the Jury Commission for amending the Code of Criminal Procedure, and enquiring if any modifications would be necessary in the system of trial by jury obtaining in those Presidencies | 256 |
| 223. To the Government of Bengal, No. 547, dated 6th May 1893, requesting opinion on the proposals of the Jury Commission | 257 |
| 224. To the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 548, dated 6th May 1893, making a similar request | 257 and 258 |
| 225. To the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 549, dated 6th May 1893, making a similar request and suggesting the withdrawal of the Notification issued by him in October 1892 | 258 |
| 226. To the Registrar of the High Court, Calcutta, Appellate Side, No. 550, dated 6th May 1893, inviting opinion on the proposals of the Jury Commission | 259 |
| 227. Telegram to the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 550-A., dated 6th May 1893, requesting him to report the withdrawal of the Notification of October 1892 | 259 |
| 228. From the Government of Bengal, No. 26 J.—D., dated 2nd May 1893, forwarding a copy of correspondence, including a letter from the Legal Remembrancer, submitting the Honourable the Advocate General's opinion that Honorary Magistrates are "Judges" within the meaning of section 320 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and as such are exempt from liability to serve as jurors or assessors, and stating that the opinion has been circulated for the guidance of Sessions Judges | 259 |

| No. | Page. |
|--------------|---|
| 229. | From the Sessions Judge of Hooghly, to the Government of Bengal, No. 1313-J., dated 30th March 1893 259 and 260 |
| 230. | From the Government of Bengal, to the Superintendent and Remembrancer of Legal Affairs, No. 1801-J., dated 14th April 1893 260 |
| 231. | From the Superintendent and Remembrancer of Legal Affairs, to the Government of Bengal, No. 77, dated 20th April 1893 260 |
| 232. | Opinion of the Advocate General, dated 17th April 1893 260 |
| 233— 235. | To the Governments of Madras, Bombay and the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, the Chief Commissioner of Assam, and the Registrar of the High Court, Calcutta, Nos. 685—689, dated 19th June 1893, forwarding copy of the opinion of the Honourable the Advocate General of Bengal above referred to 261 |
| 236. | Telegram from the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 4341-J., dated 12th May 1893, reporting the withdrawal of his Notification of October 1892 261 |
| 237. | From the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. ^{95 L. L.} _{4619-J.} , dated 17th May 1893, forwarding copy of a Notification cancelling the Notification of the 11th October 1892 261 |
| 238. | Enclosure to the above . { Notification of the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. 4279-J., dated 11th May 1893 261 and 262 |
| 239. | From the Government of Madras, No. 1748, dated 28th August 1893, communicating the views of that Government, of the Honourable the Judges of the High Court, and of certain selected officers upon the matters discussed in the Report of the Commission 262—264 |
| 240— 250. | Enclosure to the above . { Proceedings of the Government of Madras, No. 1747, dated 28th August 1893 containing minutes by High Court Judges and letters from the officers above referred to 264—275 |
| 251— 252. | From the Government of Madras, No. 727-A., dated 21st March 1894, forwarding a copy of the Proceedings of that Government, sanctioning the grant of a personal allowance to one clerk in each Sessions Court (not being an Agency Court), according to the grade of examination he has passed in short-hand, and directing the Commissioner for Government Examinations to furnish all Sessions Judges with a list of passed candidates in short-hand 275 and 276 |
| 253. | From the Government of Bombay, No. 5946, dated 23rd September 1893, communicating the opinion of that Government on the proposals of the Jury Commission 276—278 |
| 254— 256. | From the Registrar, High Court, Bombay, to the Government of Bombay, No. 1498, dated 18th July 1893, forwarding copies of minutes recorded by the Honourable the Chief Justice and the Honourable Mr. Justice Candy 279 and 280 |
| 257. | From the Judicial Commissioner in Sind, to the Government of Bombay, No. 5, dated 24th June 1893 280—282 |
| 258. | From the Sessions Judge, Poona, to the Government of Bombay, No. 316, dated 4th July 1893 282 and 283 |
| 259. | From the Sessions Judge, Satara, to the Government of Bombay, No. 2, dated 22nd June 1893 283 and 284 |
| 260. | From the Sessions Judge, Ahmednagar, to the Government of Bombay, No. 2, dated 8th June 1893 284 and 285 |
| 261. | From the Government of Bengal, No. 1350-J., dated 5th March 1894, communicating the opinion of the Lieutenant-Governor and of selected officers on the subject 285—293 |
| 262. | From the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 2664, dated 20th September 1893, replying to letter No. 598, dated the 6th May last 293 and 294 |
| 263. | Enclosure to the above . { From the Registrar, High Court, North-Western Provinces, to the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 5080, dated 11th August 1893, submitting the opinion of the Court 294 |

| No. | | Page. |
|------|--|--|
| 264. | Enclosures to the foregoing— <i>contd.</i> | From the Judicial Commissioner, Oudh, to the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 947, dated 8th June 1893, submitting his own opinion and that of the Additional Judicial Commissioner |
| 265. | | From the Legal Remembrancer to Government, to the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. $\frac{4289}{P-756}$ dated 18th August 1893 |
| 266. | | From the Sessions Judge of Bareilly, to the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. $\frac{808}{VIII}$, dated 23rd June 1893 |
| 267. | | From the Judge of Agra, to the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, dated 26th June 1893 |
| 268. | From the Chief Commissioner of Assam, No. $\frac{88 L. L.}{7331-J}$, dated 9th September 1893, communicating remarks on the subject | |
| 269. | From the Registrar of the High Court, Calcutta, Appellate Side, No. 2554, dated 18th July 1893, communicating the observations of the High Court | |
| 270. | To the Secretary of State, No. 37, dated 21st August 1894, forwarding copies of the replies to Home Department letters of 6th May 1893, and communicating the views of the Government of India on the proposals of the Jury Commission | |
| 271. | To the Government of Madras, No. 989, dated 10th September 1894, conveying the orders of the Government of India on certain proposals connected with trial by jury in that Presidency | |
| 272. | To the Government of Bombay, No. 1020, dated 17th September 1894, conveying the orders of the Government of India on certain proposals connected with trial by jury in that Presidency | |
| 273. | From the Secretary of State, No. 3 (Judicial), dated 31st January 1895, replying to Despatch No. 37, dated 21st August 1894, regarding the several amendments of the law which had been proposed in reference to the system of trial by jury in India. | |
| 274. | To the Governments of Madras and the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Chief Commissioner of Assam, Nos. 919—921, dated 17th June 1895, forwarding copy of the correspondence with the Secretary of State and stating that the necessary amendments in the law will be undertaken in the Imperial Legislative Council | |
| 275. | To the Government of Bombay, No. 922, dated 17th June 1895, forwarding a copy of the correspondence with the Secretary of State on the subject and conveying a similar intimation | |
| 276. | To the Government of Bengal, No. 923, dated 17th June 1895, forwarding the correspondence with the Secretary of State and stating that the necessary legislation will be undertaken in the Council of the Governor General and that the consideration of the question of extending the jury system to other districts should be deferred pending the amendment of the law | |
| 277. | To the Registrar of the High Court, Calcutta, Appellate Side, No. 924, dated 17th June 1895, forwarding copy of the correspondence with the Secretary of State, together with copy of the correspondence with the Government of Bengal, and asking for the opinion of the Judges as to the districts in the Lower Provinces, other than those in which it was in force, to which the system of trial by jury should be extended when the amendments contemplated in sections 303 and 307 of the Criminal Procedure Code had been carried into effect | |
| 278. | To the Legislative Department, No. 925, dated 17th June 1895, conveying instructions for the amendment of certain sections of the Criminal Procedure Code | |
| 279. | From the Registrar of the Calcutta High Court, Appellate Side, No. 287, dated 22nd January 1896, stating that the Judges were not prepared to express an opinion on the subject of the extension of jury trial until they knew what shape the proposed legislation would finally take | |
| 280. | From the Registrar, Calcutta High Court, Appellate Side, No. 2720, dated 14th August 1896, forwarding, for information, a copy of a letter to the Government of Bengal, together with copies of its enclosures regarding the districts in the Lower Provinces to which the system of trial by jury should be extended, and the manner in which it should be extended to them | |

| No. | Page. |
|------|-------------|
| 281. | 307 and 308 |
| 282. | 308 |
| 283. | 308 |
| 284. | 308 and 309 |
| 285. | 309 |
| 286. | 309 and 310 |
| 287. | 310—313 |
| 288. | 313 |
| 289. | 313 |
| 290. | 314 |
| 291. | 314 |
| 292. | 314 |
| 293. | 314 and 315 |
| 294. | 315 |
| 295. | 315 |