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# From the Committee on Petitions relating to 

East India Shipping.

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to De Printed; 22 May 1817.
The COMMITTEE to whom the Petition of the United Company of Merchants of England trading to The East Indies was referred, to examine the Matter thereof, and report the Facts, with their Obsërvations thereupon, to The House; and to whom the Petition of the there undersigned Proprietors of India Stock, and also the several Acts for regulating the manner in which the United Company of Merchants of England trading to The East Indies should take up Ships for their regular Service, were likewise referred; -Have, pursuant to the Order of the House, examined the Matters to them referred, and have agreed to the following REPORT:

ACCORDING to the Accounts laid before Your Committee, on the part, of the owners of the several ships enumerated in the Petition referred to them, it appears that very considerable losses have been incurred by the owners of almost all the said ships in the execution (so far as the same have been executed) of their respective contracts with the Company; and that further losses' are likely to be incurred by them in the fulfilment of such part of those contracts as remain to be fulfilled. In these accounts (which your Committee have had no -other mono of verifying than by the evidence of the owners or their agents) the losses stated to have been incurred by the ship owners are traced back to: the commencement of their respective contracts, and are attributed to various causes. But as the Petition referred to the consideration of Your Committee prays only for permission to make compensation for such losses as have arisen or may arise from the inadequacy of the fixed peace freight upon which such contracts have respectively been made, in respect to voyages entered upon or to be entered upon subsequently to the conclusion of the war, -it is with respect to such losses only that Your Committee conceive it to be their duty to offer any observations to the House.

It has been proved' to Your Committee, from the records of the Company, and by the evidence of their officers, that the lowest rate of peace freight at which the Company have been able to contract for building regular ships, since the conclusion of the war, exceeds f. 26 per ton (without kentledge and for a direct China voyage.) The medium rate of peace freight at which the ships enumerated in the petition are engaged, is about $\delta .18$ per ton (including kentledge, and without distinction as to direct or circuitous voyage) being. thus about $\mathcal{E} .8$ below the lowest actual rate at the present time.
Notwithstanding the explicit language of the Act of 1799, by which the are: sent shipping system was legally established, and notwithstanding the clause in the Act of 1803, in which it was expressly declared that "nothing therein *contained"*should - "he construed hereafter tot recognize or admit'any claim " in the owners of any ship contracted for, for any allowance in addition'to "' the fixed rate of peace freight, as settled in their respective contracts; on "account of any variation which may from time to time prevail in" the price" of stores, \&c." it appears from the statements of several ship owners, that expectations have been entertained that some specific relief would be granted Thiffiem in like manner as by the Act of 1803; in the event of the expenses of If outfit of a ship ot the return of peace proving to be greatly disproportionate Wa the rate of peace freight at which the contract for such ship was made. WIE seems clear however, that such expectations can only be justified, (if in are case) in the cases of those ships whose contracts were framed in strict
3015
conformity to the Act of 1799, on the principle of an invariable peace freight. And Your Committee is therefore of opinion, that the case of the six ships contracted for by the Company in the year. 1811, viz. Herefordshire, Atlas, Bridgewater, General Harris, Vansittart, and General Kyd, does not come within the scope of the prayer of the petition. Those contracts were made on tenders of fixed rates of peace freight, but with express stipulations, that if the price of outfit, whether in peace or war, should exceed the scale of prices specified in the Company's "Terms" on which the tenders were made, the owners should receive the excess of the charges on each voyage; and on the other hand, that if the price of outfit should fall below the said scale of prices, the owners should account with the Company for such difference.

There are four other of the ships enumerated in the petition of the class called "Regular Extra Ships," three of which were engaged for eight voyages, ànd one for six, all of which are of a smaller size than the regular ships, and of an inferior rate of equipment, which were not bound by their contracts to serve in warfare, and which are now going on their last voyage, having had the benefit of war allowances during all the preceding voyages. Respecting these four ships, no evidence has been tendered to Your Committee; and Your Committee therefore are not prepared to say how far they may be proper objects of any such relief as is prayed for.

Setting aside therefore ten ships of the thirty-four to which the Petition refers, Your Committee have to obserye; that the cases of the other ships in the list in the petition, twenty-four in number, all of which were taken up at a fixed peace freight for six voyages, and the owners of which complain, that they should be greatly injured by the performance of the remaining voyages, at the contract rate of freight, are cases upon which Your Committee have found real and great difficulty in forming an opinion.

Yôur Committee are decidedly of opinion, that it is expedient that the principles of open competition and fixed tender for six voyages, which have been long sanctioned by the Legislature, should be maintained unimpaired; and therefore that it is not desirable to resort, though but at distant periods; to measures producing even a temporary relaxation of those principles. But Your Committee, on the other hand, cannot but acknowledge, that the long duration. of war, and the extraordinary price of articles of equipment continuing after the conclusion of peace, have constituted a state of things, under which there might be great hardship in compelling the ownets of thóse twenty-four ships to a literal execution of their contracts.
At the same time Your Committee cannot recommend that an unlimited discretion should be given to the Court of Directors, to afford relief to the owners of such ships for the voyages remaining to be performed under their contracts; still less, that the power of Parliament should be exercised to dissolve by authority and without the consent of both parties, such existing. contracts. The object of Your Committee would be to devise some measure, which might afford a certain degree of relief to the ship owners, maintaining at the same time a due regard to the reciprocal obligations of the existing contracts, but not to afford even this partial relief, without suggesting such an improvement in the shipping system, as may tend to prevent the recurrence of similar difficulties, and similar applications.

With these views, the first course which occurred to Your Comittee, was to recommend to the House;-

1st. To permit the dissolution of the existing contracts, by mútual consent (in each case) of the Company and the ship owner ; and,

2dly. To permit the Company to enter into new contracts with the ships whose contracts should be thus dissolved, for the number of voyages of each: contract which should remain unperformed.

But as the re-engagement of the ships so released must be optional with the. Company, and as those ships are of a class adapted to no other service, the risk. of having them thrown out of employment.might counterbalance the adyantage of relief to be obtained by the ship owners from the release of their contracts; and on the other hand, as a very large charge has been incurred by the Company for the building of the ships in question, and for the equipment of them (in respect to such part of their stores as does not require to be renewed every voyage) in the contemplation of having the use of the ships for the whole period of their respective contracts, the Company would lose the benefit of
their lien upon the ships, if they were to be given up to their owners to be treated for anew. From these opposite considerations, it seems probable, that a permission to dissolve the contracts by mutual consent would not be acted upon.

The only course, therefore, by which, as appears to Your Committee, relief can be given to the ship owners, consistently with the principles of the shipping system, would be, by allowing permission to such ship owners as shall pay the penalty of $£ 5,500$, attached to the non-performance of their contracts on the original terms, to receive some improved rate of freight for the remainder of their voyages; such increase to be in no case greater than $£ .8$ per ton, being the difference between the average rate of freight as stated in the petition, and f. 26 per ton; and in no case greater in the aggregate, than to make up the total freight to $£ .26$ per ton, being the lowest freight contracted for since the peace; and this rate to be reducible from voyage to voyage (with respect to such ships as have more than one voyage to perform) in proportion to any reduction which may take place in the prices of stores and cost of outfit, below the standard of 1816.

It has not escaped the observation of Your Committee, that as some of the ships in question have more voyages to perform on their existing contracts than others, the payment of the penalty on the one hand, and the rate of relief on the other, will affect them in different proportions. But it does not appear to Your Committee, that there is any thing in this inequality which is really uffair. Those ships which have the fewest voyages to perform, have of course received for a greater number of voyages the benefit of war allowances; and those ships whose penalty of $£ 5,500$ will be spread over a greater number of unperformed voyages, have had comparatively but little of the benefit, and are subject to a comparatively greater proportion of the burthen, of their contracts.

It is the opinion of Your Committee, that as a check upon the discretion which must necessarily be left to the Court of Directors in the distribution of relief to the scveral ships within the maximum proposed to be allowed, the proceedings of the Court of Directors, in each specific case, should be reported from time to time to Parliament.
Your Committee, however, cannot venture to recommend even this qualified departure from the established principle of fixed peace freight, without submitting to the House at the same time, whether it might not be expedient to revise and consolidate the several laws relating to the shipping system of the Company, with a view to the introduction of such improvement in them, as may effectually provide against the recurrence, under any circumstances, or any pretext whatever, of a similar deviation from that system in time to come.
This object might possibly be effected, either by a revision of the contract prices at the commencement of the fourth or repairing voyage, or by an annual exhibition at the India House of a scale of prices of such articles of naval outfit as are renewable each voyage; in reference to which scale the rate of freight of each ship might be adjusted, whether in peace or war, and the amount thereof either raised or lowered, previous to the commencement of any voyage, in a given ratio to the rise or fall of prices, in the event of such rise or fall exceeding a certain specified per centage. These however are points upon which Your Committee do not presume, nor do they feel themselves authorized. under the reference made to them, to deliver an opinion; but they are points which have forced themselves upon the observation of Your Committee, in the course of their investigation, and which they think it their duty to recommend to the future consideration of the House.
Your Committee are of opinion, that one or other of the regulations which they have suggested (if not liable to objections in practice, of which Your Committee are not aware) would preserve to the Company the advantages of open competition, and of an ascertained rate of freight in proportion to prices both in peace and war; would secure the ship owners against such losses as those to which they are now represented to be liable; and would save Parliament from the difficulty in which it is, for the second time, involved, of either refusing to interfere in cases of such peculiar hardship, as those now submitted to it, or impairing by repeated relaxations the efficacy of the system established under its sanction and authority.
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NAMES OF WITNESSES EXAMINED.



## ACCOUNTS AND PAPERS．

（1．）－Number and Tonnage of Ships employed in H．M．Service ；under the Tranfport Board p．ijs
（2．）－Number of Hired Armed Veffels，with their Thonage，Do．
ibid
（3．）－Number and Tonnage of Ships and Veffels bailt in Great Britain；1786－1814－p．76،
（4．）－Nomber and Tonnage of Prize Ships admitted to Regiftry in G．B．in 1793 －1814－P．774
（5．）－Number and Tonnage of Ships launched in the Thames，for E．I．Company ；1770—1812 p． 78 ．
（6．）－Do．－－employed lif the Tfade with The Eaft Indies and China；1792－1813 p．790
（7．）－Do．．＋－employed by The E．I．C．in Voyages to and from India ；1793－i814．p．80－84．
．（8．）－Number and Tonnage of Indiart－built Ships and Veftels（regittered）annually employed in the Trade between Great Britain，India and China ；1794－1814 $\quad$ a p． 8 g ．
（9．）－Number and Tonnage of Ships now building in Britify India and the adjacent INands－p． 86. （ 10. ）－Do．－－built in Afia，which have been admitted to Regiftry in G．B．in 1794－1814 ibid． （11．）－Freight per Ton paid for the laft 5 Ships built in Ergland for The E．1．Company－p．88． （12．）－Freight per Ton agreed to be paid，by The Eaft India Company，to the Owners of the Ships

（15．）—Oak Timber imported，in each year ；1794－1813－．．．p．92．
（16．）－Mafte and Spars imported in each year ； $17994-1813$－－．－j． 93 ．
（17．）－Hemp imported，in each year ；1793－1813－－．．－p．94．
（18．）—Cordage exported to India，in each year ； $1793-1813$ ：$\quad$－：ibid．
（19．）－Sail－cloth exported to India，in each year ；${ }^{1793-1813 ~}$－－．p． 95 ．
（20．）－Iron exported to Indid，in each jear ；1793－1813－．．．ibid．
（21．）Wrought or manufactured Iron exported to India，in each year；1793－1813－p．96．
（22．）－Copper exported to India，in each year ；1793－1813－－－p．97i
（23．）－Teak，and other Timber，imported into India；1792－18i2－－．p．98。
（24．）－Letter from the Nary Board to Mefrs．Brent－－－－a p．176）
（25．）Ships in the Service of Goverument which have been under Repair in the Merchants Yardsj
on the River Thames
（25．）－Frigates，Sloops，and fmati Veflels built for the Naval Service in the Merchants Yards p．177：
（27．）－Mafts，Yards，and Spars，exported by The Eaft India Company ；1794－1813－p．195：
（28．）－Lofs of the Ship，Earl Talbot＊＊．．．．．ibid，
（29．）Lofs of the Ships，True Briton and Skelton Caille＊－a－p．rg6．
（30．）－Lofs of the Skip，Priuce of Wales • ．．．．．a ibid．
（31．）－Lofs of the Ship，Ganges－－．」 ．．．．p．897：
（32．）－Lofs of the Ship，Ocean－－．．． 4 ．ibid．
（33．）－Ships laid down on the bottom of other Ships，\＆c．－－－－p．ig8．
（34．）－Shipwrights at prefent employed in H．M．Dock Yards－$\quad$－p． $225^{\circ}$ ．
（35．）－Ships built in Private Yards for H．M．Service，fince 1791 ，broken up，loft or deftroyed，p．226；
（36．）－Ships built in His Majeft＇s＇Yards，fince 1791，broken ups bof，or deftroyed，\＆c．p．229．
（37．）－Ships built in Príate Yards，fince 179r，and purchafed for，or taken into H．M．Sertice p．236ر
（38．）－Ships built in The Eaft Indies，fince 1791，of account of H．M．Navy－－p．233。
（39．）－Account of Expence incurred for reparing Ships of War in Private Yards；in 1805－9．252－
（40．）－Minutes of Evidençe on the lofs of the Ships Calcutta，\＆e．＊4．P．269－
（41．）－Timber cut，in the laft feren yeart，in H．M．Foreft of Dean a e P．337．
（42．）－Timber ftanding in H．M．Foreft of Dean－－．．．ibid，
（43．）Surrey of Oak Tunber Alanding in the Foreft of Dear，1802－－p．338， （72．$\lambda$
［B］
（4＋）－Teak

## ACCOUNTS AND PAPERS.

(44.)-Teak Timber, or other Afiatic Wood, purchafed by the Navy Doard, $18 p 0-18 r_{4}$ - p. 338. (45.) -Britih North American Pine or Fir Timber, contraĉed for by the Navy Board - p. 339.
(46.) -Ships (not Indiamen) launched in the River, for the Merchants Scrvice - - ibid
(47.)-Correfpondence in 1805, on the fubject of building 74-Gun-Ships - - - p. 3470
(48.)-Letter from the Admiralty-office, 19 May 1814 ; enelofing,

( $44^{2}$ ) —— Corref ponidence relating to a Supply of: 20,000 Loads of Timber - - - p. 377
(483)—Contract of Mr.Larking, Feb. 1814, to fupply the Nayy Board with Oak Timber - p. $3^{81}$.
${ }^{\prime}\left(4^{84}\right)$-Oak Timber delivered into His Majefty's Yards by Mr, Larking - . . - p. 385 .
( $48^{5}$ )-Englifh and Foreign Oak Timber, $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{c}$. expended in H, M.'s feveral Dock Yards . - ibid
(49.)-Letter from Plymouth Officers, March. $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{r}$; , on the Building and Faftening of The.Dublin 386,
( 50. ) -Maitter Shipwrights of Chatham, \&c. on the Building and Faltening of The Rodney -p .387 .
(51.) -Officers of Plymouth Yard, May 1804, on the Building and Faftening of Tbe Ardent - p. 388 .
(52:)-Letter from Comr Grey, April-1813, on the Building and Fattening of The Rodncy - p. 3 sg .
(53.) -Defeets of His Majefty's Ship The Rodncy - - - ibid.
( 54. ) -Letter from ComPeake, March 1819, on the Building and Fattening of The Dubin - p. 390.
(55.) -Length of Service, 'before requiring Repair, of H. M. Ships Ardent, Rodney, and DubIn p. 398 .
(56.) -Shipsrights and Workmen in the Building and Repairing Yards on the Thames -p. 39 a
( 57 i) -Number of the Men, of all Clalles, employed inn 23 Private Yards on the River Thamee, for. building andrepairing Ships, on Thurfday 14 April $28 \times 4$ - - $\quad$. 8.433
(58:) -Ships of War, built in the Private Yards at The Out Ports. - - p. 434*
(59.) A. T-Money paid by The E.I. Company, for Building and Outft of Ships.ia India = p. 455.
(6a.) A.2.-Accounts of Expences of The Eaft India Company's fhip Thbemas Grenwille, from her firt coit and outit in India, to her 3 rd voyage from London - abid.

(52.) A.4-Artificers permitted by The E. I. Company to go to India - $=$ pe 46 co
(63.) A. 5-India-built Ships admitted to Britilh Regitry fince 3x March 1844 - . ibid
(64.) A.6.—Orders of The Eaft India Company, relating to Teak or Indian Wood-Ships, 2ce. p.46!.
(6.5.) B.-Award in the cafe of H. M. Ship Ajax, built by Meffrs.Brent . . P. 462.
(66) C. 2.-Overfeer's cèrtificate for The Dublin - - . - 46 y ;
(667.) C. 2.-Mafter Shipwright of Woolwich Yard and Afiftants, their Survey of The Dubin p. 466.
(68.) C.3.- Do. - Do. - upon the Faltenings ofTbe Rodncy . . - ibid

(70.) C. 5.-Mafter Shipwright of Woolwich Yard and Affitants, their Survey of Tbe Rodney p. 467.
(71.) C. 6-Money expended on the Hulls of The Rodney and Tbe Dublin, after being launched ibid.
(72.) D. 1. 2. 3.-Papers relating to defects in H. M. Ship Courageux - . - ibid
(73.) E. 1. 2.- Do. - Do. - - in H. M. Ship Blake - - - p. 469.
(74.) F.-Extract of Letter from the Chatham Officers, relating to H. M. Ship The Terribic p. 47 I ,
(75.) G. - Certificate of Survey of the Mafter Shipwright of Woolwich Yard and his Affifants for
(f6.) H.-Ships and Veffels in H. M. fervice, launched from the Private Yards in the river Thames; and affo Ships, \&zc. now buildingi - . . - - - " Pi 47z.
(77.) I.-Rourh Qak Timber purchafed by The Ordnance Board; 1806-1813 - p-48t
(78.) K.-Ships and Veffels built in the parts of Sunderland and Tyne; 1786-1873 = pr486.
, (72.) -Ships built, building, or ordered to be built in India for His Majefy's Service - ibid.
(80.)-Ships of the Line built in the Merchants Yards, from 17.93 to ia14 inclufive $=$ p. 487.
(81.) - Sbipwrights employed in the King's Yards; in,each Year, from 1793 to 18.14 - p-488.
(82.) - Shipwrights entered in the King's Yards in the prefent year (1814.) - - ibid.
883.)-Expences for repairing Shipo- of War in Private Yards; :793-1814n - . - pr-489.
(34) -Ships

## ACCOUNTS AND PAPERS.

(84.) -Ships in the fervice of Government repaired in Merchants Yards; 1493-18i4 - p.489.
(85.)-Ships and Veffels of War building in Private Yards at The Outports - . p. 490.
(86.) - Foreign ThickRuff, Plank, and Fir Timber, expended in His Majefy's Dock Yards ibid.
(87.)-ENGRAVING of a Model, referred to in the Evidence of Mr. Henry Dawes - p. 49 C .
(88.)-Letter from the Earl of St. Vincent to the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of The Eal India Company; 31 March $1802:-$ On limiting the Tonnage of their Shipping; and annually building a Ship of Teak wood in India
P. 517
(89.)-Return to an Order for an Account of all the Machinery fent from Great Britain to India, fince 1780, for the manufacture of Ropes, Cordage, and other Naval Stores - ibid.
( 0 .) - Account of the Prices per ton at which Ship Builders in the Private Yards in the Thames and at the Out Ports, have offered to build Ships of the Line, Frigates, \&c. - P. 545.
-(91.) -Forms of Advertifements for bailding, in Private Yards, Ships of the Line, Frigates, \&c. p. 549-
(92.)-Account of Ships, Regular and Extra, at prefent (15 June 18:4) in the fervice of The Eaft India Company; fecifying the Tonnage, \&c. - - - . p. 584
(93.)-Correfpondence (in March and April 1802) between The Eaf India Company and The Admiralty, 'relative to the building large Ships for The Company's Service - p. 586.
(94.)-Account fpecifying which of the Tenders contained in the Account dated the toth Inflant (p.545, No. XVIII. of thefe Minutes) were accepted by the Commifioners of the Navy P. 617.
(95.)-Account of the Number of Veffels, with the amount of their Tonnage and the number of Men and Boys ufually employed in Navigating the fame; \&c.-for Ten years, 1804 - $1813_{0}$ [Extrased from the Finance Accounts, Clars IV.] - - - - ibid.
( 9 gi:)-Ships and quantity of Tonnage in The Eaft India Company's Service - - p. 6rg.
(97.)-Private Trade between Europe, America, and Bengal, 1795-1800. [No. VI. in the Papers
ordered by The Houfe to be printed 30 April 1813 ]
(98.)-Minute of The Eaft India Company, 8 April 1807, relative to coatinuing Ships in their fervice, for two years beyond the number contracted for - - - - p. 645 .
(99.)-Infructions, dated 3 June 1814, fent by The Court of Diretors of The Eaft India Company, to their Governor in Council at Bombay, relative to the building a Ship for The Company'd Service - - - - - . . ibid.
(100))-Account of the Prices at which different perfons have made Tenders for the fupply of Oak Timber, for the ufe of the Ordnance - - - - p. 646.
(101.)—Ships built in The King's Yards; 1793-1814 - - - - p. 65 x.
(102.) - Englifh Oak Timber contraEted for by The Navy Board, from 1803 to 1810 - p. 652.
(103.)-Extract Contruct John Larkin with Nary Board in November 1810; refpeting Allowance in certain Cafes to Contractors - - . . . . p. 653-
(104.)-Ships difcharged from The Eaft India Company's Service fince April in the prefent year ibich
(105:)-Eaft India-builts Ships engaged in diferent Expeditions to Manilla, Scc. from 1792 to the .prefent time.

## MINUTES OF THE EVIDENCE

## TAKEN BEFORE THE SELECT COMMITTEE,

To whom the several Petitions of The Shipbuilders, and Others, interested in the Building and Equipment of Ships, and also, the Petition of the Agents of Owners of Ships, built in The East Indies, were referred; to examine the Matters thereof, and report the same, with their Observations thereupon; together with The Minutes of the Evidence taken before them, from time to time, to the House.

## Martis, $19^{\circ}$ die Aprilis 1814.

The Right Honourable THOMAS WALLACE, in The Chair.

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Petition of Ropemakers in the Port of } \\ \text { London; - }\end{array}\right\}$ Read;-Agent, Mr. Atchefon.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Petition of Shipwrights and Others, carrying } \\ \text { on their buanefs in the Port of London; }\end{array}\right\}$ Read ;-Agent, Mr. Wm. Paterfon.
Petition of Agents of Owners of Ships built

Mr. Jobn Hillman, called in; and examined by Mr. Adolphus.

ARE you Surveyor of the Eaft India Company's Shipping ?-Yes. To what bufinefs were you bred ?-Shipwright.
Did you pracife that bufinefs any time?-I did: I worked for twelve years; I was then an officer in Blackwall yard for a few years, and then went into the Company's fervice.
Is it your office, under the Eaft India Company, to have the direction and fuperintendence of the building of their thips in London? -The whole of them.

Do you furvey every thip that is built?-Every hhip that is taken up by the Company, either new or old fhips.
When a flip is ordered by the Eaft India Company, when does your fuperintendence begin ?-Directly that the engagement is made with a perfon to begin a thip, my attendance begins from that time.

What is the nature of your fuperintendence?-To fee the fhip is built agreeably to the draft given to the builder; and to fee that the materials are found, and put together in a good workmanlike manner.

Is it your duty, in the courfe of that furvey, to object to the ufe of anything that can be amifs to the fhip?-Anything I fee improper.

How long have you been in this employ of the Eaft India Company ?-Nearly thirteen years; thirteen years come Auguft, I think.

In the courfe of that time, you have undoubtedly furveyed a great many hips that have been built for them in London?-A great many indeed.
You know, by common fame and otherwife, that fome of thofe muft have been loft?-Yes, I do.
Is the lofs of any of thofe fhips to be afcribed to a deficiency in the workmanhip or materials? - Not in the leaft.
Are the fhips ever permitted to leave London without being furveged again ? Never.
Are they furveyed again every voyage?-Every voyage; and they are always under our infpection till they leave the River Thames.
(72.)
$M_{r}$. They undergo your infpection?-Yes, generally, with my affiftants and the Jobn Hillman. mafter attendants.
Is that infection minute and particular ?--It is yery minute, to fee that the fhips are equal to contend with their voyage:

How many voyages does an Eaft India thip go ? - They goeight, fome of them.
How many did they ufe to go when your employment firt conimenced?-Six.
Do you recullect any other number of voyages that they were ufed to go befide eithte and fix that' you have ménitioned ? There is one thip did go her ninit voyage.
But do you recollect any number that was the regulating number before fix or eight was eftablifhed ?-They ufed to go only four voyages.
In the courfe of how many years are the eight voyages performed ?-They perform the eight voyages now in fixteen years, provided they do not lie at home a feáton.

In the courfe of regular eniployment, the eight voyages are performed in fixteen or eighteen years?-Generally.

Are thofe hips under your infpection which have been built in the outports or in the foreign dominions of the Crown ?-All under my infpection, all that are taken up by the Eaft India Company.-

Did you know the fhip called the Countefs of Sutherland?-I have feen her.
Did you furvey her before that voyage from which the did not return?-We did not; The was not taken up on the Company's account.
Do you know the John Palmer ?-I have feen her ; fhe was not taken up by the Company either.

Do you furvey the extra chips?-The whole of them.
Do you know what hips the Countefs of Sutherland and the John Palmer were? -They appeared to me to be very good fhips.

What were they built of?-They were built at Bengal, of teak.
Are you well acquainted with the dock yards upon the Thames, at which India thips are built?-The whole of them.
Which in particular can you name?-Meffrs. Wigrams \& Green! at Blackwall; Mr. Brent's 'of:Rotherhithe ; Mr. Bärnard, of Deptford; Mr. Pitchef,'of Northfleet; Mr. Dudman; and the whole of them.

Are thiofe the principal fhip-builders?-Thofe are the principal yards.
Thofe are the principal yards where fhips of thofe dimenfions can be built ?There are feveral yards where hips of the fame defription cañ be built.
Is their bufinefs carried on to a confiderable degree? - Yes
Can they keep up their eftablifhments if the building of Eatt India Ohipping is takern away from them? ? I ?hould think it impofible,

Give your reafon for that opinion? - The whole of their bufinefs depends entirely upon the Eaft India fhipping, they cannot keep the people together, without the Eaft India building,
Do you gnow of yhat number of men and boys or thereabouts thole eftablith ments condifit, when they are in full work; according to your obfervations? - They can employ collectively $2,500 \mathrm{men}$, including fhipwrights and all kinds of artificers when Ifpeak of, that number of people, I mean on the Eaft India fhipping, taking the whole of the yards for the Eaft India hipping

The whole who are engaged on Eaft India Chipping employ that number?-Yes, in building and repairing: Eaft India hhips.

Do you mean that they would be all the perfons employed, or are there others, apprenticés and fo on, employed ?-It includes all except apptentices.
Is it from the oblervations furnifhed by your conftant infpection that you rate them at that number ?-Yes, it is.:
To what time you fpeak when yop compute the number to be 2,500 , on this year? -About four years ago, or fix years ago, in the year y802, there was more I think built at that time; I remember we had got fixteen fail of Indiamen in the river.

When you fay that there people can only be kept together by the yards doing the Eaft India work, what reafor have you for faying that?-I do not fee any other employment on the river Thames for them.

Can the yards maintain them without they have a round of work?-They cannot; it is impoffible.
Is it incident to the employment of thore perfons, that a great number of apprentices are brought up under them? - Yes, it is.
Are they brought up regularly as fhipwrights, or taken from other trades, and ufed occafionally ?-Brought up as regular hipwrights, with feven years fervice.

Is'it neceffary that a regular apprenticefhip thould be ferved to the bufinels; or can you make a hipwright of any perfon who can ufe tools?-It is ; there are few we:can make fhipwrights of in feven years:

Are thofe men and boys shipwrights frequendy taken into employment in the King's yards? -They are in the time of war; we bring them up in the merchants yards, and they go into the King's yards.

Do any go into the King's yards but thofe who have been regularly brought up ? No; they will not admit any one into the King's yards without producing their regular indenture for feven years, fhewing that they have been apprentices for feven years.

Committee] ]. And a certificate of fervice under the indenture?-Yes.
Mr. Adolpbus.]-When you fpoke of 2,500 men being employed, did you include the apprentices in that number, or not ? -No , the Chipwrights and artificers, without thofe apprentices.

When the bulinefs lackens in the King's yards, dothofe men come back again to the merchants yards?-They do, there is no where elfe for them to go to, without they leave the country.

Is the building of fhips in the King's yards, and in the merchants yards, performed for the niof part by the fame perfons?-It is, they go from one yard to the other.

When men of this defcription are difcharged from the King's yards, in time of peace, where muft they go for employment?-They have no other place to feek but the merchant yards; that ufed to be the cafe, I do not know what they will do now.

Gan the merchants yards continue to employ thofe men if the building of large thips is taken away from them? -They cannot.

Have you had an opportunity of obferving the workmanfhip and materials of fhips made in the King's yards?-1 have, many of them.

Are the fhips made in the merchants yards equal to or inferior to thofe ?-Quite equal; if anything; I'fhould think fuperior.

Are you quite fure they are in every refpect equal ?-Quite equal, that I am fure of.

Have you an opportunity of knowing how King's fhips are built in the merchants yards, under what fort of infpection?- Yes, I know very well.

When a thip is ordered for the public fervice at the merchants yards, is there a contract ?-Directly.

Is there a fpecification of every part, and the materials of which it is to be made?-Yes, there is, mentioned in the contract.

Is that minute, and extending to every particular?-To every particular.
Is there an officer employed by Government to fuperintend the building of every fhip?-There is one fent directly the contract is made; the draft is fent to the builder from the Navy Board, and there is a man fent to fee the fhip laid down, and he attends throughout the building of the fhip.

Is it ufual for the carpenter, who is to fail in the hip, to fuperintend the building of her ?-They generally appoint a carpenter very early in a man of war.

Which carpenter is to fail in the fhip?-Yes.
To rifk his own life upon the workmanfhip which he fuperintends?-Yes.
After a lhip has been launched in the merchants yards, after this infpection, is fhe furveyed again before fhe proceeds further?-She is, before the builder can get his certificate for his final payments.

A certificate of having accomplifhed bis contract, fo as to entitle himfelf to payment for it ?-Yes, I have had the building of feveral men of war myfelf.

What knowledge have you had perfonally of the building of men of war ?-I had the carrying on the bufinefs of Mr. Perry, at the time Mr. Perry was building at Blackwall.

Conmittee.]-Will you name them?-The Kent.
In what year ?-I think in the year $179^{8}$.
What burthen was ine ?-Seventy four guns, 1,960 tons; I think the Albioni, a 74, I left her half finifhed; when I left this yard, they were the only line-of-battle thips.

Mr. Adolphus.]-Were there frigates befides?-Yes, but I:cannot recolleat at this moment what they were; there was the Agincourt of 64 guns, which was
built

Mr. built about 1799 or 1800 . I was, at that time, the foreman of the new works in Jobn Hillman. Mr. Perry's yard.

Then did the Government's furveyor attend to the building of all thofe thips, in the manner you have defcribed?-He did; he attended to the whole of them, and a fub-furveyor ufed to come twice a week.
From whence did he come?-From the Nary Office; and the furveyor came as often as be thought proper.
And the Chips, I underftand you, were furveyed again when finifhed, before they were received, or the certificate iffued ?-That was the cafe.
In any part of the performance of this work then, could the fhip-builder have put in improper materials, without the knowledge of the furveyor ?-I think not.
And if the furveyor, by negligence or connivance, had permitted it, could it have been difcovered before it was ufed?-No; many parts of it you could not fee after the was built.

But many parts they could fee, of courfe? -Many parts they could fee.
Could they form a judgment of the workmanhip in general, fo as to fee whether the contrad had been faithfully executed.?-They could very well.
Were the officers of Government generally vigilant and careful in what they permitted to be done?-I have always found them fo.

Could thofe Government furveyors judge of the degree of fitnefs of the uimber, and the quantity of feafoning it would require?-They could.

Could they peremptorily caufe anything to be rejeded that they thought unfit for ufe ? - Yes, they could.

Was the effed of this conflant employment upon large hips fuch, that fudden orders could be undertaken and executed with certainty?-They could, at any time.
Have you known inflances of Indiamen meeting with accidents joft at the beginning of a voyage, or before they began the voyage?-Yes, I bave.

Were they always able to obtain their repairs fo expeditiouly as not to lofe their voyage? -We could always repair them by a number of people, fo that they could go on their voyage.

Do you remember ever a voyage loft for want of perfons to be employed in repairing them?-No.

Committee.]-Do you do this with your own people, or call in any freß hands?It is common for one perfon to go to another's yard.
Did you call in any other perfons?-I cannot fate that.
Mr. Adolphus.]-Did you call in any other but hipwrights to do Ahipwrights work? -1 do not think we did.
Could they in any cale make thele exertions if the number of their hands were greally diminifhed?-I fhould think they could not.

What is done with the Indiamen when they have done their work?-They are generally broken up; but lately there are a number in the tranfport fervice; within the laft twelve months, a great many of them bave gone fo, and as ftore fhips.
How many voyages have any thips gone that you have known to be fo employed as fore fhips ?-There is one going out now for Government fervice, that has been eight voyages in the Company's fervice.
Committee.]-What voyages?-To the Eaft Indies ; there is a thip now called the Arnifton, which has been eight voyages.

Mr. Adolpbus.]-What fort of repair is the undergoing?-Nothing but new coppering and caulking.
Suppofe a new hip going to India, when does fhe firt come under repair, according to the general courfe of fervice?-About two years, only juft to caulk, to examine her bottom, and look round and fee whether the is able to perform her next voyage.

Subfequently?-The fecond voyage we generally new copper them, and caulk them to go upon the third; and after the third voyage, when the chips ufed to go the fix voyages, we ufed to call it a Fitting voyage.

Then the was regularly examined?-Yes.
And went through a regular repair?-Some; fome required more than others.
Committee.]-Is that a thorough repair?-A thorough repair.
What does that cont ?-To the thipwright five or fix thourand pounds, and from that to eight and ten thoufand pounds; all hips do not turn out alike.

After the has performed half her voyages?-Yes.

Is this the fame fort of repair that a man of war undergoes?-No; we never enter into thofe great repairs, by fifining the tiaibers; fome flips we do; but it is not general.
What other expenfes than thofe of the hipwrichts are conneited with what you call the Fitting ? - I Mould think the average may be about $\neq 8,000$.
In the whole fifteen or $\mathscr{E}^{\prime} 16,000$ ? $-\mathrm{No}^{\prime}$, I mean the whole of the repairs for the Fituing vorase.

The hipwright's bill is five or $£ 6,000$. ?- Yes
And the other bills about $\mathcal{E} \mathrm{S}, 000$. more ?-No; there is the frith's bill, and for other articles.
What fun do they amonnt to ? - May be from tro to $\boldsymbol{E}_{3,000}$. more.
Making the whole about $\pm 10,000$ ? - They rery feldom exceed that.
What fized hip do you allude to ?- A hip of 1,200 tons.
When you fpeak of the thorough repair of an Indiaman, do you mean that The requires any thiftiag of her timbers, or merely of the plank? -Some of the upper timbers, but very feldom any of the lower timbers, unlefs in occinions of drs rot; we have feveral hips that have gone fix voyages, and have never had a timber thifted at all.

You have gone through hatf the fervice of the hip; in the other half what repairs does fhe require?-Afer returning from her fouth vojage, the will want nothing but looking to the bettom, and repairing the copper, and caulking.

After her fifh voyage? -Then they generally want new coppering, and fome triting repairs, but nut any thing material.

Then at the fixth voyage you count her works done, azconding to your prefent defcription ?- We call her done, without entering into repairs.

Suppofing it extends to eight royages, will the repairs extend in the fame pro-portion?-They are repaired a great deal more in the mode in which we have done it, of doubling the fhips.
When they extend their fervice to eight vogages, there is a procefs of doubling performed on them?-Yes.

What is that?-The bringing on another plank from the keel to the ports.
Of what timber is that ?-A three-inch plank; under the body we have worked deals, two-inch deals, and two-inch and a half.

What planks do you ufe for the double ?-A foreign plank, we generally ufe the Quebec plank for double.
Under water? -Quite up, we find it will anfiwer above as well as under water, and go two rayages, or even three.

You have flated the average repairs of a hhip of 1,200 tons; what is the average of a thorough repair of a hip of $\mathrm{I}_{2}+\infty$ tons? -They come to $x:, 000$, more.

Ccamittee.]-It is about in proportion to the tonnage throughout?-Theresbouts.
A hip of Soo tons, then, would that be in the fame proportion ?-Very near that, they are very near that.
Had the Arnition, which you now defcribe as going into the Gorernment fervice, any thing trore than the ufual repairs beflowed upcn it ?-No, the had not.

## [Crcf-eximired by Mr. Grant.]

I undertand you to kay, that, according to your computation, about 3,500 Bipurights and artificers are employed in building India mips upon the Thames? -I think that is about the number, as well as I cen judse.
Do you mean to $\mathrm{Kaj}_{5}$, that acconfing to your computation, there have been that number emploged at one time?- Tes, I think there have.
Are you able to form any eftimate of the number of mipwrights altogether employed upon the Thames, on Bipping of all kinds?-I cannot lay exady; I mould judge from 3 to,$+ \infty 0$, including fipwrights and artificers; but 1 cannot ipeak exacaly to that queftion.

They are emplojed generally in building thipping of fmaller dimenfions?-Yes; in reparing Wefl Indiamen and other flipping, ${ }^{\circ}$ that comes into the river.
Ccmaituce.]-Is that 3 to 4,000 , befides the 2,500 ?-No.
Then it is oaly 1,500 more?-That is all.
Do jou mean at the fame period too? - Tes, at the fame period.
Mr. Grant.]-You fpeak with pretty good confidence, that that is about the number?-Yes, it is.

Mir. Do you know that all thofe perfors have been regularly bred to thipwrights Jibn Hinnum. work? -I cannot fay; on the Thames there are none work at the bufinefs without they have been regularly indentured, and ferved their feven years.

Comnittce.]-Do you know that fact?-I fee on the Thames, their brother workmen will not fuffer them to work, unlefs they have been indentured.

Do you know that there was not a complaint of thiprrights being employed on the Thames, who had not ferved their time?-That may be the cafe; for at the Nore, they ferve a very little time, and in fome of the fnaller yards they may get in, but in the larger yards they do not.

Do you kriow whether recentiy, when a great exertion was made for the naral fervice, there was a confiderable portion of perfons employed, who were not thip-wrights?-I do not exally underfland the queftion.

Mr. Grant.]-Do you know whether, on any emergency, for the public fervice, perfons have tzen employed in thipwrights work, who had not been regularly bred to that employment?-I do not temember any.

Do you know whether perfons, not being thipwrights, are excluded from being employed in fhipwrights work, from any other caufe than the jealoufy of the other workmen ?-I never found them in the river to employ any perfon but what has regulariy ferved his time.

Do you know of any other caufe for their exclufion, than the jealouly of the other workmen?-No, I do net.

Are you able to fpeak at all to the wages of thipwrights?- Yes.
Can you flate, whether the amount of their wages has declined of late years, or has increaled, or remains about fationary ?-Rather increafed, I think.

Can you fate what they are ufually now?-I fuppofe about fix or feven thillings a day; ihere is but little done by the day, it is aimoft all done as tafk work.

Suppofing a man to be diligent in performing fuch takk work, can you give us any idea of what he may earn per day ?-Some will earn from cight to ten thilings, but very few I believe.

Can you Iate at all, what the increafe of the ufual wages of hipwrights has been of late years?-I cannot exadly.

Can you form fomerhing like a judgment?-I conffder, within the laft feven years, from about five to feven fbillings.

You mean to fay, the wages have generally increafed in about that proportion, to the belt of your judgment? - I think fo.

Can you fay, whether the price of talk work has increafed in the fame proportion? - In the fame proportion.

Do you know, that in any inllances, of other perfons being employed than thipwrights in Chipwrights work, the jealoufy of the workmen has produced confufions or tumults, or difturbances of any kind ?-No, I do not know any inftance of it.

In point of fact, it is known to you, that their jealouly is great upon fuch occafions? - Yes, it is.

Have you had any opportunities of judging of the price of timber, and whether any increafe has taken place of late years in that price?-I do not think there has been any increafe within the laft three or four years.

Are you able to compare that period with the period of three or four years ante-cedent?-No, I cannot fpeak exactly to it; I am not prepared for it.

Caa you not fay, whether it has remained about fationary all that time ?-For the laft four years it has been nearly ftationary; before that time it was rather cheaper.

Are you able to fay, whether or not, during the whole of your experience, the price of timber has increafed ?-Yes, it centainly has.

Have ycu had the infpection of teak-builr hips at any time?- Yes, fereral.
What have you found to be the quality of thofe thips in general ?-Teak is 2 very durable ftrong wood, and a wood that never contrads.

Have you had opportunities of obferving the quality of that wood particularly?Yes, I have.

Do you know what it is in point of weight, as compared with oak ?-It is a lighter wood than oak.

Have you had occafion to know whether it fplinters or not?-I have never underfood that; I think it would fplinter more thon the oak; it is more of a Atraight grain.

Fromits having a fraighter grain, you are inclined to think it would fplinter more than oak?-I think it would.

Jabn Hillnan.

You have fpoken generally of the price of hip-building; can you fay, whether the price of building Eatt Indiamen has continued flationary or not, during late years? -I believe there has been very little difference in the laft five or fix years.

You believe there has been very little difference in the laft five or fix years?Very little.

Can you compare the price of building Indiamen during that period with about the fame period before? -Yes, I con, for fourteen years back.

What variation has there bery in the ufual price, during the courfe of fourteen years ?-I think about fourteen years ago we ufed to build at about three-and-twenty pounds, and our laft building was about feven-and-twenty; and that has been the average, 1 think, for the laft three or four years.

Has there been a progreffive increafe in the price during the laft fourteen years? - Yes, there has.

What fized ihips do you mean to fpeak of?-Eight hundred, a thoufand, and twelve hundred tons.

Committee.]-And the increafe has been from three-and-twenty to feven-and-twenty pounds a ton? - Yes, I think thereabouts, or from that to fix-and-twenty.

Do you mean the price actually paid to the builder?-Yes, the price paid by the Company to the builder.
Do you know any thing as to the larger veffels, whether there is any difference? There is not much difference, becaufe a large fhip pays the builder better than a fmall one. We have only built one 1,400 ton for the laft fourteen or fixteen years.

Do you happen to know what has been the contract price of fhips of war, frigates for infance, which are about the fame fize, in the merchants yards, per ton? -The laft thips that were built for Government, I think, were about four-and-thirty pounds; 1 fpeak of line-of-battle fhips, but not frigates.

Mr. Grant.]-You have told us a good deal about the methods of procedure in building King's fhips in the merchants yards?-Yes.

Have you fpoken generally, or from your own individual experience in Mr. Perry's yard?-From my own experience in that and other yards which I vifit two or three times a week, and I know that to be the cafe.

What you have told us applies equally to all thofe yards where King's flips are built?-Yes, all of them.
[Re-examined by Mr. Adslpbus.]
You have ftated, in anfwer to my learned friend, that no perfons are employed as fhipwrights, but thofe who have ferved a feven years apprenticefhip in the yards ?-That is generally the cafe.

I think I undertood you, that it is not every man who does ferre feven years that will be a good Mhipwright?-Certainly not.

Shipwrights, in the private yards on the Thames, work in bodies in a great part of their work, I believe?-They do, in companies.
They ufe no horfes, I believe?-In fome of the yards they do, but very few.
The work in general, then, depends upon the correat co-operation of all the individuals employed in it ; does it not?-Yes, it does.

And could one bad workman or two derange the operations of a great number, if they did not underfand thofe operations as well as the reft ?-I Thould think not.
If they work together and depend on co-operation, would it not happen that one bad workman would derange the operation of the reft?-It is fometimes the cafe, but very feldom.

You have fpoken of the wages advancing in the proportion of feven to five during late years; do you know, of your own knowledge, whether in other trades they have advanced lefs?-I fhculd think they have advanced nearly the fame.

As well thofe conneqted with fhip-building as every other object?-Yes.
Is the labour of a fhipwright rery hard, fuch as to require the exertion of a man in the beft days of his life ?-Very laborious.

Can a man at an advanced period of life be fuppofed equal to it?-No; very few after fixty, I hould think.
You have not been concerned in the purchafe of timber, I believe, of late years?-I have not.

Therefore
$M r$ Jobn Hillman.

Therefore the advance you fpeak of in the price you do not derive from your own obfervation, but from what you hear:-Yes, I think it is nearly correct.

You have flated that, with refpect to India Ihipping; they ufed to build formerly at $£_{23}$. a ton; that now it has got to $£_{26}$. or $£_{27}$. a ton ?-Yes, I think it has.

Has the price of materials and labour advanced in that time? - Yes, it has.
And are the contracts more full now than they were then ?-Yes, they are.
Is more done for the fix or feven and twenty pounds paid now, than ufed to be done for the twenty-three pounds paid formerly? -Yes; the contracts are more full than formerly.

## [Examined by the Comnittee.]

What do you mean by the contracts being more full than formerly ?-There ufed formerly to be a more heavy extra-bill, befides the flipwright's bill.

Do you mean to fay the price is not increafed?-Yes, it is increafed.
Do not they pay any extra bills now? - Yes, they do.
Do you cunceive that the Chips of the Eaft India Company go out now. better equipped than they did twenty years ago? - No; much the fame.

This inftitution of working in bodies is rather favourable for raifing of wages when the workmen have a mind to it ?-l do not know that it is.

Have you not knowninitances of combination among the workmen to raife their wages ?-Yes.

Has not this rife in price been chiefly effected by thofe combinations ? - It has, in a great meafure.

When the wages have been raifed, has it not been either always or generally in confequence of a general combination among the workmen, ftriking and demanding they fhould be raifed?-It has been the cafe,

Can you ftate how many. inftances you recollect of that within the laft four or five years, or any period you can ftate?-I do not recollect any of the fhipwrights ftanding out for an increafe of wages in the laft five years.

When was the laft inftance you recollect? - I do not recollect any thing of the kind fince s 801.

You have juft now ftated, that the rife of wages was owing to thofe combinations ? -No; not to their ftanding out and faying they would have more, but that the times have occafioned their afking for a rife in their wages.

Did not I underftand you, that it had been owing to the men rifing ?-Certainly not.

You have ftated a rife of wages in the proportion of from five to feven; I alked you then whether that rife had not taken place, either altogether or in great part, in confequence of the combination of the men agreeing together to demand higher wages?-No.

From what caufe was it?-From the advance of provifions.
Has it been a voluntary offer by the mafters, or has it been afked by the men? - It has been afked by the men.

Do you mean to fay, you have known of no inftance fince 1801 , of the thipwrights in the yards ftriking for a rife in wages?-It was in 1801 or 1802 , that they ftruck for wages; never fince.

Have you known of their ever threatening to ftrike? -No; the caulkers, another body of people, have ftruck at various times.

You recollect them friking feveral times, within what period of time?-About eight or ten years ago.

Within that period, what has been the rife in caulkers wages?-I do not know any thing of the wages of the caulkers.

- Do you know that the caulkers wages have been raifed in confequence of that ftriking?-In fome years they did ftrike, in others they did not; in fome parts of the river they did not employ them again in confequence of it. Mr. Meftears has never employed a caulker fince they rofe for wages.

Have you known fuch combination among any of the other workmen employed about thip-building ?-The fawyers have ftruck at times.

Do the caulkers ferve an apprenticefhip ?-Yes.
Do the fawyers ? - No; they do not, I believe.
Have you known any inftances of combination among any other defcription of work-people ?-I hàve not.

Upon the fawyers friking, did not the fame refult occur, of the principal yards refufing, and the fawyers not being employed again?-Yes.

You fated, that the rife of wages to the fhipwrights has not been owing to the ftanding out among the workmen?-I think not.

But you ftate, that the wages have rifen within a given time ?-Yes.
Has not that rife been occafioned by the great demand for building thips for Government ?-That, together with the high price of provifions.
About the year 1804 and 1805 , it appears by the accounts here, that there were great exertions made in the dock yards to bring out a great number of hips of war ; the Committee want to know, whether in that time, with a view to make that exertion, a number of perfons were not brought into thofe yards, without having ferved their time as apprentices to Chipwrights?-I fhould think not; I never knew of any.

What number of perfons fkilled and educated as mipwrights, are neceffary in building a fhip; muft they be all equally fkilled in the building a flip?-They generally are.

Do you mean to fay, it is neceffary each Chould have all the fkill which is acquired in a feven years apprenticefhip?-Yes.

Is there no rougher work that men not fo ikilled may be employed upon, and work by degrees? -There is a good deal of rough work.
Do you happen to know, that in the building of ten frigates in the river, every man who could handle a faw or an axe, were employed?-No, they were not; the Ships were built by fhipwrights who had ferved their time; there were a number of people from different parts of the country, but they were all fhipwrights.

You ftated, that the work was done by talk work, and in gangs ; is the tafk taken by the gang, or by the individual?-It is taken by the gang ; the foreman of ten or twelve people takes fuch a part of a fhip to perform, for fo much money.

Is there a perfon infpecting them during that time ?-Yes, during the whole time.

Do' you know of any alteration having been directed in confequence of that infpection; any timbers directed to be taken out, and others put in that were better?-Several.

In the courfe of your duty, as Infpector for the Company, you have infpected a number of teak fhips?-Yes.

The Committee wifh you would ftate, after a given number of voyages, what you have found the ftate of a teak lhip, as compared with the ftate of Britifh thips ?-A teak hip, after fhe has performed fix voyages, is equal to one of ours after the has performed three, and more particularly if the has copper faftenings; thofe we have had, have had iron faftenings.

Do you know any thing of the wages of the Out-ports?-I do not.
As Infpector of the Company, I think you fated all the fhips employed by the Company were built in the Thames?-Yes; we have only had one other within the laft few years, which was a mip built at Hull; and there the wages were equal to thofe paid in the Thames.

Was there any difference in the goodnefs of the thip?-I do not know; the fhips in the Thames I fee every day; thofe built in the country, I fee only four or five times in the courfe of their building.

As far as your information goes, is a thip built in the Out-ports equal to thofe built in London?-I think nearly; 1 hould certainly give the preference to the London-built hip.

Do you know the price paid per ton for that fhip?-I think the fame as was given in the Thames; about fix or feven and twenty pounds a ton.

You fpoke to fome chips which have been loft, do you happen to know what number of fhips of the Company have foundered at fea?-I do not know exactly; there are feveral.

Do you know anything of any of thofe thips which have foundered at fea; have any of them been fubject to your infpection?-Several of them; fome of them were new fhips, on their firft voyage.

Going out?-Coming home; and the whole of them that have been loft have either been loft in their firft voyage, or after having their fitting voyage.

You fated, that a perfon could judge of timber by looking at it, how far.it had been feafoned; do you mean to fate, that a perfon upon looking at timber could fee how far it was fufficienty feafoned or not; whether it had ftood one year, of

Mr. Jobn Hillman.
three or four?-Not fo far as that he can tell whether it is fufficiently feafoned oll not, by the look of the timber.

But not what degree of feafoning it has had - No , they cannor.
What do you think fufficient feafoning? -Two years, I fhould think, is quitc fufficient.

What feafoning has the timber undergone which is ufed in the conftruction of thefe hips generally ?-Government have very little timber put into their hips, but what has been cut down from three to four or five years.

Before they are launched?-Yes.
What length of time is it before it is ufed in the building of a thip; is it not fometimes done within a twelvemonth of its being cut down?-It is mixed, fome part of it; but the Government timber is more feafoned than others, becaufe their contract binds them to feafon.

Is not the effect of putting in frefh timber of that defcription to make the hip lefs able to refift the ftorm?-No I fhould think not ; becaufe it has been known to be otherwife.

Is it not frequently the caufe of the dry rot?-I think it is.
When the dry rot gets into a fhip to a confiderable extent, is it not very injurious to the people in it? - 1 fhould think it mult be, if it is to a great extent; but $[$ never faw it to that extent where it would be of any hurt.

You ftated that you thought there was greater durability in the fhips built for Government in the merchants' yards than in the flips built for Government in the King's yards; what means have you of judging of that?-I fee them come under repairafter they have.been built for a few years.

Then you have an opportunity of feeing the fhips, built for the King's fervice coming under repair? - Yes, fome of them.

Have you feen an inftance of dry rot in the teak fhips you have feen?-Yes; I have feen a little in the fhips from Bengal; not in the Bombay flips.

Was it in the teak wood or any other wood?-I am hardly able to fay that; the svood is very much mixed at Bengal, I certainly faw a little dry rot in fome of them.

But never any in the Bombay thips?-No.
You faid about 2,500 fhipwrights and artificers were employed in the Thames in India Thips?-Yes,
And from 3 to 4,000 on all hips? - Yes.
Exclufive of thofe in the King's yards?-Yes.
Is there any building in the Thames now ?-Not a fingle veffel.
How many lefs thipwrights are now employed than there were?-I fhould not uppofe now that the river employs 200 .
Thofe 200 are employed on repairs?-Yes, on repairs of Weft India mipping and tranfports.
How long has that been the cale?--Ever fince they launched the fir frigates, four or five months.
How are thofe men employed now who are out of employ as thipwrights?-I meet many hundreds of them every day; they are actually ftarying.

Do you happen to know, whether any number of them are gone to the Out-ports? -I think many.
Do you hold converfe with thefe perfons?-No.
Do they make applications to you for recommendations to employment ?-Yery often.

What do they fay? -They tell me their diftrefs.
Do they difclofe to you their profpects, if they do not get employment ?-Some of them of courfe do mention their profpects; that if there is not fhipping to build in the river Thames they muft go fomewhere elfe, there is nothing elfe for them to do; formerly if there was not work in the thipwrights yards, they ufed to go to the rope yard, but there is nothing of that now.

Have the King's yards their complement of men?-Quite full i I have fent feveral there myfelf, and they are taken in; that is two months ago; they are full now, and I dare fay they will difcharge thofe people to the peace eftablifhment.

Is it not ufual, after the India hips are fitted out, to have flacknefs of work among thefe men?- $Y e s$, it is.

Is this then the feafon when that regular flacknefs thould occur? $\}$-If is,
And do you attribute the whole of the want of work to that flacknefs? ?-It is moftly for the want of building ; there is no building, and no mepairing.

Are you able to flate from your own knowledge of late years, now many teak Ships from India may have come into the navigation of this country ?-No $;$ I do not know.

Do you think the kind of work in the yards upon the Thames has been at all affected by the teak hips which have come into this country?-I fhould think it has.

In what degree?-I cannot fate that.
How long have you been acquainted with the Company's mipping?-Five-andtwenty years.
And you know that it is the practice of the Company, every year, to have an account made out of the coft of a new fhip of Soo tons and 1,200 tons ?-Yes.

What variation has taken place within the laft ewenty years, in the coff of thofe fhips?-I have only belonged to the Company fince 1801, and am not able to speak to that.
In 1801, what was the coft and outfit of a new hip of 800 tons, and what was it in 1813 or 1814 ?-I cannot ftate exactly; I hould think at that time five-andthirty, or forty thoufand pounds.

And what fhould you judge it would coft now ?-I fhould judge it would come to from fifty to fixty thoufand pounds.

Does that include provifions? -Yes.
Does not that fum include alfo the fix months wages to the men?-I am not equal to fay that, but I fhould rather think not.

What is your recollection about the colt and outfit of a fhip of 1,200 tons at the fame period?-At the firft period it would have coft fixty or feventy thoufand; and now it is eighty thoufand, 1 think.

What was it in 1801 ?-About $\mathfrak{E} 65,000$. I think ; but I cannot fate exactly.
What is it now?-More than $\mathfrak{E} 80,000$.
Have not the repairs of the fhips altered or increafed in the fame proportion between thofe two periods?-I have ftated that they have.

Do thefe fhipwrights work every day through the week, or is it their practice to be idle for fome days together occafionally?-They are not all alike, but generally they work throughout the week.
How many days do they work in the week?-I think we may very fairly average it at five out of the fix, taking the generality of them.

You fay you are furveyor to the Eaft India Company's fhips ?-Yes.
Do that Company fuffer any purchafes to be made for them now of ready-made fhips, which they do not order to be laid down?-I believe not.
Ships built entirely or in part on fpeculation ?-It has been the cafe, but not lately.
How.long have they left it off?-About five or fix years.
Does your knowledge enable you to fay, on what account they determined no longer to take up. fhips that have been built in part on fpeculation?-They do not think they have been put together fo well.
When the Company were in the habit of taking up fhips that were built on fpeculation, with a view to their fervice, did that employ the fhipwrights when there were not orders to employ them in another way ?-Yes.
Do you think, if the Company were to renew the practice, the fhipwrights who are now without employment, would have much though not full employment in building fhips upon feculation ?-They would have fome employment certainly.
You do not mean to fay, the Company would take any more fhips than they now do?-No, certainly.
Do you think it would be for the advantage of the Company to take up thips in that manner, rather than in the manner they do at prefent?-I fhould think not.
Do you remember the hip Ganges being built?-I do.
And the Thames? - I had fomething to do with the building of the Thames.
Did not the want fome repairs in India on the firft toyage?-On the firf or fecond voyage the did; the fprung a leak.
You mentioned a fhip having gone her ninth voyage; what fate was the in when the returned?-She was very indifferent, the hip was the Taunton Caftle.
Did not the Albion come home in a very dangerous fate?-No, I do not remember it; when the returned the brought home a very good cargo, and came home very tight; the broke up very bad; fhe went eight voyages.'
In building a bhip of 1,200 tons, how many men would you bave at work at one time ?-It would depend on the time you hadd to build het ini.

In the ordinary way of building ?-If a mip is to be built in eighteen months.
That is the ordinary time; is it?-Yes .
Then how many men would you employ upon it?-From four-and-twenty to thirty fhipwrights.

You have fpoken to the number of fhipwrights in the yards five or fix years ago and at prefent; do you know at all what were the number of hipwrights during the laft peace, that were employed in the river? -I do not know.

Do you happen to know the number in the years 1803 and 1804 ?-Yes; in the years 1802 and 1803 there were a great number of Chipwrights.

Do you know what number there were ?-I Chould confider about twenty-five hundred, including fhipwrights and artificers.

Altogether?-Altogether.
Then they were increared to about 4,000 , and then they were reduced to the prefent number of 250 thipwrights?-That is the number now.

When did the great increafe take place?-At the time the contrads were made to build the feventy-fours.

And when did the decreafe take place down to 250 ?-They have been declining from the laft fix or eight months, as the frigates got out of hand.

How long might that be, about twelve months ago ?-Twelve months ago there were nearly the number I have mentioned.

Nearly the four thoufand?-I hould think there were; there was a great deal of work in the river then.

You faid, when the frigates were began a great number of workmen came from the country, from the Out-ports?-Yes ; they do follow the work.

Do you fuppofe they have returned now?-I hould think they have.
I think you faid you were employed in building the Albion, 74?-Yes; I left her about half finifhed.
Do you recollect where the fhip went?-I recolled her going to the Eaft Indies. Do you recollect her returning?-Yes, I do.
How long was the there?-Seven or eight years.
Do you know in what ftate he returned ? - In a very bad flate.
You did not fee her to examine her?-I faw her in the Medway, and went on board of her.

What were her particular defects; can you ftate them?-She feemed to be very much broken altogether.
Do you know whether the had ever been in battle?-I cannot fay; but the had had very bad weather.
Had the ever been afhore?-I dare fay the had, from the appearance of the veffel.

Was not fhe ftrained by a very heavy gale? -Yes, by the gale in which fome of the Indiamen were lof.
In coming home, or going out ?-In coming home, at the time The Lord Nelfon, The Glory, and The Experiment were lof.

Was the fent home on account of her being in bad condition?-I think fhe was.
As you recollect now the time that the came home, you can probably recolled the year in which thofe fhips were loft ?-It was in 1808 or 1809 , I think.

As you have great experience and wide obfervations in fhip-building, to what caufe do you attribute the total fufpenfion of fhip-building in the river now ?-I Chould think the hips coming from India is the great caufe of it.

Have you any reafon to attribute it to that caufe?-There is no thip-building of either merchant or King's fhips.
Is it not as much to be attributed to there being no building of King's thips as anything? --Certainly it is for want of the general building they are fo lack; it is partly from the want of building men of war that they are fo flack.

You attribute the fmallnefs of the number of hips now building in the Thames to the admiffion of hips from India?-No.'

Do you attribute it to that partly ?-In fome meafure, but not upon a large fcale.

Am I to underftand, that as the decreafe has been fo much greater within the laft twelve months, that that caufe has operated much more extenfively than at any other period?-No, it has not.
Has the Company taken up any thips within the laft twelve months?-Not more than twọo.

New hips?-Yes.
Do not you think that the want of building in the Thames at this moment, is owing to the great demand that was made on the merchants yards for the laft few years baving been completed ?-I do not underftand the queftion.

The great demand for fhips during the laft four or five years for the King, having been fatisfied, is not the want of building in the niver Thames owing to that?It is certainly.

Do sot you conceive the hips introduced by-capture from the enemy have had a greater effect than the number of teak thips ?-That is one of the caufes.

Are you aware; that by the late charter granted to the Company, one clafs of their thips muft be difcontinued, the extra hips; that they do not renew any of them as they fall off?-Yes, I am.

Suppofing the teak fhips not to have been admitred, would not, in due courre, a certain number of Indiamen have been upon the flocks at this moment?-I hould think there would.

How many teak Bips have been taken into the Company's fervice within thele twelve months ?-Not more than twe, I think.

Have you any reafon to believe, that if thofe fhips had not been built in Indiz they would have been built in the Thames, and not in the Out-perts?-I think it is probable they would have been built in the river Thames.

Do not you know, that when a thip is to be built fer the India Comipany in India, more time muft be taken progreffively to finifh that hip, than if fhe was to be ordered in the river Thames?-Yes, it muf.

Do you knosv of ary fale of Eaft India built fhips being made in the Thames lately?-No, I do not, without it is two fmall hips to the Government.

Do you know a thip called The Swallow, an India-built thip? - Yes.
Having been fold in the Thames lately ?-I did not know the was fold.
Any other?-No, I do not know of any other.

## Mercurij, $20^{\circ}$ die Aprilis 1814.

## SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

## Nathaniel Atchefon, Efq. examined by Mr. Harrisons on behalf of the Petitioners. <br> Nathanill Atctryon $E_{\sqrt{q}}$

HAVE you made an AbftraCl from the two papers numbered 245 and y 36 , of the but not red to regiter from 1794 to 1813; and of fome others admitted to entry, mered taken from the accounts printed by order of the Hoafe of Commons on the gth of April and ift of June, referred to by the above numbers; and alfo an account of the nomber of India-built thips admitted to regiftry fince 1794, printed by order of the Houfe of Commons on the ift of Jane 1813 ?-1 have.

$$
[A b \text { fracts (A.) and (B.) delivered in. }]
$$

An ACCOUNT of the Number of Ships built in India, and admitted to Regiftry, from 1794 to 1813; and of fome others admitted to entry; but not regiftered.


ABSTRACT of the Account of the Number of India-built Ships admitted :to. Regiftry fince 1793.


Is the Abftract produced a correct one?-I believe it to be fo.
Committee.]-Did you make it yourfelf?-It was made by another Gentleman ; I went over it, and it appears to be correct.

Mr. Harrifon.]-After that Abftract. was made, did you examine it with the Original, "fo as to fee that it was correct?-I did compare it with the original paper, and I believe it to be correct.

Will you fate how, that Abftract is made, with reference to fhips not regiftered? -It appears by the Account, No. 336, prefented by Mr. Morris to the Houfe of Commons, that three of the fhips therein mentioned did not appear to have been regiftered on the 1 th of May 1813 , by the account delivered in from the Cuftom Houfe by Mr. Willoughby, No. 245, and are confequently not included in that account ; the names of thofe thips are as follow: The Sir Edward Hughes and The Britannia, in 1796; The Inglis, in 1812; and five fhips ftated to be then building. by Mr. Morris's account ; together 9,003 tons.

Committee.]-Is that the tonnage ftated in your Abfract to be not regiftered?- It is; I have ufed the account prefented by Mr. Morris, No. 136, to afcertain the num. ber of India-built fhips employed by the Company, which do not appear to have been regiftered by Mr. Willoughby's return.

Mr. Harrifon.] Will yqu explain the circumftance of The Sir Edward Hughes appearing repeatedly in that accopnt, 136 , and why you have taken it in your account of unregiftered tonnage in the way you have done?-I have felected from that account the names of three fhips, which do not appear to have been regiftered by Mr. Willoughby's account, the names of which I have before given.

Committee.]-Then in computing this tonnage from No. 245; you have not taken any hhip twice over?-Certainly not.

In making up the account or abftract marked (B.) now given in, have you procceded upon any data that are oot contained in the Parliamentary Papers No. 245 and 3 a 3 - No, certainly not.

Comnittee ]-Was 1793 the firft year in which India finps began to come to Natbaniel Atchefon this country? -The firit India-bult fhip regitered, was in 179 t, accordng to the parlamentary account, 45. I have no knowledge of any having come earthet. I have no knowledge of the fate of the bulding in the river Thames in thofe years, but what I have taken from the public accounts.

Looking at that account, No. 84, have you made any calculation of the fate of bulding, as appearing on the face of that account, previous to the year 1794, and fubfequent to the year 1794 , taking the fhips launched in the river Thames for the fervice of the Eaft India Company ${ }^{\text {? }}$-The account, No 84, fhews the ftate of the building in the 11 ver Thames for the Eaft Inda Company's fervice, from the year $\mathbf{1 7 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 8 1 2}$ inclufive, containng the names of the fhips, the tonnage of thofe flups, and the fingle fhip then buldang.

Have you made any comparion, as arifing out of that account, batween the ftate of the building in the river Thames tor the Eaft India Company, previous to the year 1794, and fubfequent?-I have (but not here) an Abftract of cach year, containing the number and the tonnage of each veffel bult.

Do you know any thing of the ftate and hiftory of any of thofe flips contaned in number 245 ?-I have no knowledge of it whatever.

Do you know what number of thofe ftated to have been regiftered in this country, have been fold in this country?-I have no correct knowledge of that fact.

Have you made any enquiry ?-I made fome enquiry three years ago, when this queftion was coming before the Government; when I found fome of them had gone into the general trade of the country.
Have you at home any document, from which you can fpeak with certanty to that fact?-No, I have not.

Mr. Harrifon.]-Did you make any abftract from the printed paper prefented to the Houfe, No. 140 , of the number of fhps, and of the amount of tonnage refpectively, at the poits of Calcutta and Bombay '-I have added up the two accounts prefented to the Houfe, No. 140, and have flated in an Abfract the refult.
[AbAract delivered in]

## (C.)

ABSTRACT of the two Accounts of the Number ef SHIPS belonging to the Ports of Calcutta and Bombay, on the 3ut December 1811, of which it is not afcertaned what proportion was bult in any of the King's dommons in India, or elfewhere. Pinted by the Order of the Houfe of Commons, of the $13^{\text {th }}$ day of April 1813 .


Committee 」-Do you know what number, and what is the tonnage of thofe fhips included in that account, which have entered into the trade between this country and India - I have no knowledge of the fhips mentioned in thefe accounts, farther than that which appears upon the face of them.

Do you know how many fhips there are included in that Account that are under 350 tons? - The account will fhew that.

Mr Giant.]-The Abftract does not fhew that?-No; the Abffract does not thew that ; it fhews the average tonnage of the whole.
[Abfract delivered in.]

## (D.)

Nathaniel Atchefon ABSTRACT of part of the two Accounts printed by Order of theHoufe of Commnns, E/q. of the 15 th day of March and the firt day of June, 1813 , of the Ships built in the River Thames, from 1795 to 1813 , both inclufive, for the Eaft India Company's Service, and for the Merchants Service; diftinguilhing each.

|  | SHIPS built in the River Thames, for the <br> Eaft India Company's Service. |  |  | Ships, Vesspls, and River Craft built in the River Thames, not for the Eift India Com pany |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YEARS. | SHIPS. | Amount of their <br> Tonnage. | SHIPS. | TONNAGE. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | total. | Average of each Ship of this Chirs |
|  | 1795 | 1 | 1,439 | 20 | 1,706 | 85 |
|  | 1796 | 17 | 19,918 | 27 | 1.536 | 53 |
|  | 1797 | 4 | 5,239 | 36. | 2,812 | 78 |
|  | 1798 | 6 | 4,788 | 34 | 1,978 | 58 |
| Average building | 1799. | 10 | 8,201 | 44 | 4.700 | $10 \%$ |
| per annum, during | 1800. | 5 | 5,385 | 48 | 5,329 | 114 |
| theie 10 years, leven | 1801 | 8 | 8,066 | $4^{6}$ | 2,960 | 64 |
| thips. | 1802 | 16 | 14,682 | 38 | 1,6\%0 | 70 |
|  | 1803 | 4 | 3,728 | 45 | 2,172 | 48 |
|  | 1804 | 6 | 4,698 | 26 | 1,388 | 53 |
|  | 1805 | 2 | 1,638 | 26 | 1,401 | 54 |
|  | 8806 | I | [1,273 | 20 | 1,324 | 60 |
|  | $\pm 807$ - | - - | - - | 24 | 989 | 41 |
|  | 1808 | 3 |  | 18 | 867 | 48 |
| Ditto - during. | 1809 | 1 | 820 | 15 | 808 | 54 |
| thefe to years, two | 1810 | $\pm$ | 955 | 30 | 4,877 | 162 |
| thips. | 4845 | 6 | 6,829 | 24 | 2,755 | 115 |
|  | 1812 | 6. | 6,711 | 19 | 1,280 | 67 |
|  | ${ }_{1813}$ | 1 | 1,257 | - | - | - : |
|  | 1814 |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | Total - | 98 | 98,717 | 54.2 | 41,552 | 76 |

Further Abstract:
98 Ships built in 19 years in the river Thames, for $\}$ Average 1,007 tons each. the Eaft India Company's fervice
542 Ships, veffels and river craft, bailt there in $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Ships, veffels and river craft, bailt there in } \\ \text { the life period, not for the Eaft India Com- } \\ \text { pany's fervice }\end{array}\right\}$ Average 76 tons each.

Decreafe:
Built in the Port of London, for the Eaft India \} Ships 77. Tons 76,127.


Decreafe of building of Eaft Indiamen in the Thames \}Ships 56 . Tons 53,537. fince 1804
Mr. Grant.]-Does the Abftract (C.) include any dara taken from any other document than No. 140. of the Parliamentary Papers?-Certainly not; (D.) is an Abftract of the account 84 and 247.

Does the Abftract (D.) proceed upon any data not contained in the Parliamentary Paper 84 and 247?-The Abftract contains refults from the Parliamentary Papers.

Committee.]

Committee.]-And from thofe only ? $-\dot{N}$ ne other:'
The firlt hip appeass, from the paper No. 245, to have been regiftered in 1794 ? -Yes.

> Mr. James Hugbes, examined by Mr. Harrison.

Were you originally bred 'a hipwright ?-Yes, I was.
I. what yards were you brought up. a hhipwright? -His Majefty's yard at Deptford.

How long did you remain in the yard at Deptford; up to what age?-To oneand twenty.
After that where did you go ?-After that I was folicited to ge abroad, on a three years flation to Halifax.
Upon the Government fervice? - Upon the Government fervice-
Upon the difcharge of your duty as a fhipwright?-Yes.
How long did you ftay abroad ?-Four years at Halifax.
When did you return to England ?-I returned after that time to Deptford agair, and had a fervant for feven years to ferve me.
Had you after that a fuperintendence in the yard ?-There 1 was a quarterman ; to fuperintend the hhips, to keep an account of the men's work, the produce that they do, that they fhall be paid for fo doing no more nor lefs.

Conmittee.]-Is that an office belonging exclufively to the King's yards?-Yes, quarterman to the flipwrights.

Mr, Harrifon]-Were you afterwards engaged in fuperintending the Dublin man of war, in Mr. Brent's yards?-Not for fome time after that; 1 had an order to go under Government, to Gibraltar, in the fame gituation as before, with letters of recommendation to be promoted for paft fervice.

I believe you are now retired on an allowance from Government to live upon?I am retired on a remuneration to live upon; I have been twenty-feven years in that yard.

Had you the fuperintendence of the Dublin, which was built in Mr. Brent's yard ? Not altogether.

Will you flate what fuperintendence you had?-So far as this; I had a marrant from the Admiralty to fuperintend the Edinburgh, in Mr. Brent's lower yard, and was in the habit of going to Mr. Brent's upper yard, with my brother officer the overfeer ; we go and look to each other's ship, and give information to each other, becaufe what one perfon might do, another might not do; therefore he comes and looks at my Chip, and I ufed to take a walk up and look at the Dublin, for information for myfelf indeed I may fay; for there are many fhips I have had the fuperintending the building of, and I hardly ever knew two alike.

You liked to fee at that time as many as you could?-Certainly; for infor:mation.

Refpecting the Dublin, you had not the intereft in her execution that the actual builder had ?-No ; nor no charge to me let her be built as the would ; no, I looked to my own hip.

Who fuperintended the Dublin?-There were two ; Mr. Randal, I think that was the name.

I take for granted the credit of a fuperintendent of a man of war in the merchant yards, is affected in a degree by the examination when the is to pafs for a certificate? -Certainly.

You neceffarily therefore watch her very much, in order that the ultimate examination may prove a credir to your fuperintendence?-Certainly; for if I, as an officer, pafs fuch and fuch works, to that hip there are two furveyors, which come over me twice or thrice a week; and that is not all, for after that, when the thip is latnched, fhe has then to go to a King's yard, and there to be docked and furveyed, and examined in the minuteft point that can be, before they will grant a certificate; therefore an overfeer or a furvegor has not done with the thip when the is received into His Majefty's fervice as a good fhip; for if any defect or fault fhall occur in the repair of that fhip in future, my bread is at ftake; 1 am liable to be difmifled if that work is executed in an improper manner, I am called to an account and difmiffed from my fervices.

Have you got with you a copy of a contract?-Yes; I have.
Does that contrac which is ufually made contain a very accurate and minute fpecification of how the work is to be done, and of what materials it is to be comr-
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poled?

Mr. pofed ?-Particularly fize, dimenfions and quality ; and it goes further than that, that

By contract he is bound to build as you direct ?-It is a contratt on the part of the builder, but not as on the part of Government, for they will have it built as direded or ordered.

Is that the ufual nature of all contracts?-This is the contradt of The Dublin, and The Edinburgh, and The Benbow:

You have flated that three hips were built under that exprefs contract, but is that the general nature of the contracts made?-It is, generally fpeaking.

Is the period for which a thip muft ftand for feafoning, left to the diicretion of the perfons who fuperintend on the part of the Navy Board?-By the Admiralty and Navy Board, it is, but not to that perfon.

Is the period for which the is to itand to feafon at the difcretion of the Admiralry ? -Yes; it mentions it in the contract.

Committce.]-After he is built ?-No; when they have got up her frame.
Mr. Harrijon.]-The Government therefore are to occupy the flip as long as they think it neceffary for the purpofe of feafoning the thip?-Quite To; if a merchant builder wanted that nip to build any thip, he could not have it; unlefs the Board gave directions to launch that thip, the mult fand there as long as they think proper.

The principal feafoning takes place when the is in what they call her timbers?In her frame.

I afked you about The Dublin, becaufe I collected that you were not interefted in her ultimate examination ?-Not at all ; no further than for information.

But you had abundant opportunity of feeing how the was conflructed?-There was not a wreek but I faw her.
Was that veffel conftructed with great care and attention, and of good materials? -Yes.
From your general knowledge of fhip-building, acquired in His Majefty's yards, and as fuperintending men of war that have been built in the merchants yards, I wih you to ftate to the Committee, your opinton as to the mode of building in the merchant yards, whether it is excellent, or not ?-Their mode is conformable to the Navy Board, therefore the builder has not a voice in fpeaking how he will build or equip his hip.

Do they, or not, do their work in a workmanlike manner, and in a proper way? Yes; they do.

In your judgment, is the work done in building a 74 as well done in a merchant's yard as in a King's yard?-Equally fo ; for this reafon, for they are both done by one mode, that is, by tafk ; in both King's and merchants yards the men are paid by taks.
Do they in the merchants yards employ as fkilful men and as good materials? Moft of the men are brought up in His Majefty's yards, and leave the fersice to come to work in the merchants yards.

And many, I fuppofe, brought up in the merchants yards go to the King's yards? -Certainly ; as many, and more at this time.
Has there always been a conftant interchiange of men from the merchants yards to the King's yards?-Yes.

Committee.]-Do you know under what circumftances they go from one yard to the other? - When there is a llack tume in the River, many of them will go into the King's yards to ferve themfelves; and when work is brifi, they will come to the merchant fervice again.

Mr. harrifon.]-Have you from' the fort of opportunity you have had of witneffing the mode of working in the merchants yards, feen the conftruation alfo of Eaft Indiamen?-Yes, I have; I have been eleven years in the River, fuperintending the King's hips and repairing King's hips.

In the merchants yards ? - Yes, in the merchants yards.
When you fpenk of repairing, what defcription of hips do you allude to, Seventy-fours? - Sixty-fours; The Intrepid, 64, a very large fhip.
If you were told that fhips that were built in merchants yards had been loft, is there any thing which would lead you to fuppofe it was by negligence in their con-ftruction?-By no means.

Are jou 2ciurinted with the diferent yan's of the prirsie builders oa the Thames? -Te, Im.
I furporat rou cannax fate the number of men they were in the habit of enploying uhea ia fall mork? - I canrot fate exathy; Ithuld fippore, as a rough calcuts. Lien, betwen four inj fire thoufand.

That is iacluling all the gan's?-Tes, in the merchanas fervice; not fuch people as hare a place to puta few boiks, but thofe who hare priacipul rands.

For reficls emplayed in trate; but nat the foull craft, zad veifels of that fert ? -No

Hare you erarinal the mercharess gands bedy? - Tes, 1 hare
And been through thera all ?- Yes, 1 have.
Will you be fogood 35 to fate to the Corrmitice, what is the frifent flate of the pirse rands oathe river Thayass? - They are in a deplarable itate, I dreas to goto then, it looks like Suaday inftead of weet days.

Will you rame the pands you hare been fo litely ? Waliis, of Bischwall.
When cial you fee that gand?- Yellerday.
Is that a yard which you kare been acquanted with for jears pan? - Ever finie it las beea opened.
Then you areable to know by comparifon its prefeat Ra:e and appearance, 25 to work and employment of mea with iss former fate? - Yes

In what Bate did you find it?-Nior a Elip building; nor not a Rip an the Cips; sor not oiem emplorad in it.

What is the next yard?-Mefrs. Wismm and Green, of Blackwall
Is ithat a large jand too?-Yes; it is a large jand, there are feren aips for tuilding.

What is the fize of Wallis's yand?-But a fmall yand.
Is that comperent to boild Eall ladiumen and ferenty-fours?-It has built frigates.

What is doing at Tigram and Greca's? - There are two double docks, and two fingle docts.

That is a large fand ?-Tes, it is
Capable of buiking ferentryours, and vefichs of any defription ?-les.
What is the ftaie of that jand?-There is nothing coing.
That gard, with feren fips, four docks, and foca, is abfolutels without employ-ment?-Yes, it is.

What is the next gand ?- Pircher and Sans.
What is the fate of that yand ?-There is cae 腽 for building, tro double docks, zad one Rip repairing.

What is doing there? -There are a number of pecple.
What is doing to that hip ? - There is a hip lying there, but nothing doing to her.

Mr. Pitcher has a large dock at Northeet? - Tes, be bas.
That is a doct of confuiterble fize, is is not i-Ies, it is a dock of confiderahle fize.

That you did not goto ?-No; but by the infunmaiva, there was nothing there. State the aber dock?-Buraird and Roberts.
What is the fize of that yand?-Four fips for bailding, two double docks, are fingle dock, and one thip now refairing.

What is doing there?-There are eight itipurights at work, four blackfmiths, and .Grejoiners: The next is Dudraza and Ca; there are fire fips for building, zad ane double dock: Meffrs. Brents, Roiherhithe; feren nips for builhing, rwo double Cocks, ene fingle dock, zad one buip now repaing : Blackett, Alilluall; one double dick: Hitu \& Sons, Lineboule; two fips for tuiluing trips, two double docks, and cae Bip nowe repuiving: Curling \& Co Limehoufe; three flips for buiklitg thips, tro double docks, cae fingle dock, and one Mip now repuiring: Tebbutt S Co. Limehoufe; one fip for tuilding flipes, ane double deck, and ore thip now repairing : Dowfon \& Co. Limetoule; one duuble dock, and ane hiip now repairing: Young \& Co. Rocherkithe; two Rips for builling kips, $20 d$ two double Jocts: Tkompron, Rochertiithe ; one nip for building laips, and one doable dock: Mefter, Rocherticthe; two lips for builling laips, one double dock, axe Gagle dock, and one Mip now repeiring: Longbotiom, Ratcliff-crofs; one double dock, and two fhips now reparing: Fletcher \& San, Sbadwell; one double dock
$\qquad$
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Mr. Jaines Hughes.
and two fhips now repziring: Luke \& Co. Wapping; one double dock, and one fingle dock : Beatfon \& Co. Rotherhithe, one flip for building thips, and one double dock : Mitchell, Millwall; one fingle dock : Rattenbury, Millwall; one fingle dock, and one thip now tepairing: Weflake, Millwall; one fingle dock, and one thip now repairing.

In "any of thofè yards in which you found nothing doing, if a large fhip had, in the courfe of that morning after you went away, been brought in to be repaired, a number of men would have been put on?-Certainly; when I went round thole yards on the 18 th and 19 th, that was the ftatement of the yards.

Mr. Grant. J- Were you in all the yards on each of the two days you have mentioned, or were you in fome of them at four on the 18 th, and in others ar four'on the 19th ?-No part of them on the Rotherhithe fide I went to on the 18 th.

At what time did you go the next day? - At fix in the morning I went to $\mathrm{Mf}_{\text {. }}$ Brent's yard; I went into the counting-houfe.

Have you ever before feen the yards in fuch a ftate as they are at prefent?-Never fo bad.

1 believe in Mr. Wigram's yard there have been lately buifding a confiderable number of frigates ? - A number of frigates.

Thole are all completed ?- They are all launched.
During the periods in which thole frigates were building a great number of hands were employed? - A great number.

What number of actual fhipwrights do you generally have employed upon a veffel in which particular expedition is not wanted, of $1_{2} 200$ tons?-Sixty fhipwrights ${ }_{2}$ if the thip is not in a hurry.

And at particular periods you would put on twice that number? - Yes.
Committee.]-What is the time you give for building a 1,200 ton thip, not being in a hurry ?-About thirteen or fourteen months.

Mr: Harrifon.]-If the timbers are feafoned ?-She will not fland to feafon in that time.

That is the period of her conftruction ?-Yes.
And at times the finds employment for twice the number of men you have mentioned ?-Yes; in a hurry.

What proportion of other people will be in the yard, as compared to the fhipwrights, ordinatily fpeaking ? - About fixy in the different branches.

Does that include the labourers?-Yes, it does.
The fhipwrights are about one half the whole number !-About the fame in the different branches; fawyers, blackfmiths, caulkers, and fo on.

Is that for the whole time ?-For the whole time.
I wifh to afk you whether, in the conftrution of a hip, you are obliged, from the nature of the work, to felect and employ only people that have ferved fufficiently long to become fkilful?--Yes.

Is the length of fervitude of a hipwright fueth, as to give a man only a title to be put on fuch work?-Not a day too much.

Am I to fuppofe too, that builders cannot get hold of carpenters, and common and ordinary people, and fet them on to fhipwrights work?-If the builder would, the men would not allow it,

If there was no arrangement of that fort among the men, is the period of éeven years too much to give a man more than a competent kill to be employed ? - No, by no means; a builder would not employ a bad man, for fear of having his materials fpoiled.

What proportion of the men employed upon a Hhip mult have the gill that is acquired by the ordinary length of fervitude?-Every one, or he will not be employed as-a mechanic.

The hipwrights and carpenters work with a different fet of tools, do not they? Quite fo.
The one fquares by adze and axe, and the carpenters never ufe that ?-They are quite different tools.

You recollect the laft period of peace ?--Yes.
Has it been ufual, upon an occafion of that fort, for a confiderable namber of men to come from the 'King's yards ?-It has not been the habit, of late years, to difcharge the people from the King's yards; they let them reduce themfelves; they do not take others in, but they let them reduce themfelves by deaths.

They let any man who withed to go into the merchants yards, go out ?-Of courfe.

Did the merchants yards afford employment to perfons who chofe to feek it in that way ?-Fully:

I need not alk you, whether now they can find anything upon the River to do?It is thocking.

Have you examined the number of thips in the River built in India?-Yes, I have.

What fhips have you found built in India now on fale in the river Thames?-I put them down on a bit of paper this morning.

Look at that piece of paper; will that refrefh your memory as to the names?There is The Countels of Harcourt, 501 tons, lately fold, now lying in the Canal, Blackwall. There is The Lord Minto, 642, for fale, lying in the Canal. The Bengal Merchant, 466 tons : I am not certain whether fhe is fold or is to be fold; I could not get the information on board, the people could not tell me; this veffel lay in Mr. Pitcher's dock. There is The Henry Wellelley, 350 tons, for fale in the Canal. There is The Countefs of Loudon, 500 tons: I am not certain whether the is for fale; I could not get any information. There is The Swallow, 333 tons, for fale in the Canal. The Earl of Balcarres, 1,300 tons. I am not certain whether the is to be fold or not; She is lying in the Eaft India Docks. The Mornington, 770 tons, lying at Blackwall : I could not get any information whether he was to be fold or not; the is a country thip. There is The Malabar, 450 tons, now fold, and in the tranfport fervice.

Committee.]-Do you know how long any of thofe fhips have been on fale ?-No.
Have you feen them there for any confiderable length of time?-No, I have not noticed them.

Mr. Harrifon.]-Do you know the hand-writing of Mr. Legge?-No, I do not. Or Mr. Peake? -Yes, I think I do.
[Crofs-examined by Mr.Grant.]
You have mentioned among thofe fhips, The Mornington, to be fold ?-No, I am not certain of that.

How have you derived your information with refpect to thofe veffels?-By going on board, and inquiring of the officers on board whether they were for fale or were fold; that is the information I have got fince five o'clock this morning.

Becaufe I underftand The Mornington not to be for fale?-So it might be, for I could not get the information from the officers on board.

What did the officer on board that veffel tell you on the fubject?-I cannot charge my memory; there are ten hips, and it is not to be fuppofed that I can remember what they told me now; I believe it was that they could not tell me whether the was to be fold or not.

What fort of anfwer did you receive on board The Earl of Balcarres?-Not certain whether fhe was to be fold or not.

Are we to underftand, that when they gave you no anfwer, or did not tell you that the fhip was to befold, you concluded that it was uncertain; or that you mean to fay, they told you that it was uncertain?-I concluded they could not tell whether the was to be fold or not; I could not get an anfwer ; that is my meaning.

Can you form any eftimate of what has been the number of thipwrights employed in the merchants yards in the river, generally at one time, for fome years paft?-Not minutely, only from my habit of feeing it, as I faid before, between four and five thoufand people; Ahipwrights and caulkers, I mean to fay.

What number of Thipwrights, properly fo called?-I fuppofe upwards of four thoufand; four thoufand five hundred I thould fuppofe; I cannot fpeak from knowledge, but according to my opinion, I fuppofe there mult be pretty near 500 caulkers in the river Thames.

I underftood you to fay, that upon any one thip the number of hipwrights employed was generally about equal to the number of all the other people you mentioned, fuch as joiners, blackfmiths, fawyers, and labourers?-Yes.

Are we to infer, that the number of thofe perfons you have mentioned, not being properly thipwrights, has of late years been about equal to the number of fhipwrights employed ?-Yes; I Thould fuppofe where there was a call for fhipwrights there was a call for blackfmiths and fawyers and joiners, and the different trades.

$$
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Upon

Mr. Upon the whole, have the number of thofe perfons been generally about the James Hugbes fame as the number of fhipwrights?-Yes, generally.

What is the effect of the glacknels of work on apy of thofe other employments? They cannot get work, they live upon what they have been earning.

Do not they turn their employment to other ways? - I do not know that people will employ the blackfmiths, they are confined to a rough work, they cannot make locks and keys.

You have defcribed the prefent ftate of the yards to be deplorable for want of work; I want to know whether that obfervation is confimed to the Mhipwrights alone, or all the other handicrafts you have mentioned?-Tp the fhipwrights chiefly; but if the fhipwrights fop, all the others ftop.

You fay the fhipwrights are not at work, 28 if it way Sunday ? - Yes, and fo are they all.

Da you mean to fay that the other people cannot get employment ?-It does not appear like it; they are walking about and appear dejected,

There are perpetual variations in general, in the number of perfons employed in the yards, not only from day to day, but fometimes from hour to hour?-Certainly; if my men had done their work, and I had nothing elfe, I ufed to turn them off, at fometimes half, and fometimes a quarter of a day.

You have flated, that there is perpetual interchange of workmen between the King's and the merchants yards?-Yes, I have.

What circumftances produce that interchange ?- Owing to the flacknefs of work in the river Thames.

What circumftances occafion the transfer of workmen backwards and forwards? -Owing to the dearnels of provifions; and fometimes in the King's yards they make very low wiges; there are different fyitems of paying their wages, by which the people are obliged frequently to leave them; now at this time, they earn better wages than they ured formerly, fuch as talk work.

How long has that been the cafe in the King's yard ? $\quad$. Seven years.
Then you conceive them to moxe chiefly from being tempted by higher wages?That was fometimes the cafe, not always; fometimes pleafure; fome do not like the confinement in the King's yard; that is only my own opinion.
Are there not confiderable advantages in the King's yard befides the mere wages? - No s no other advantage.

Do not they come to fome fituations? --After having been there two-and-thirty years, they are allowed four-and-twenty pounds a year.
In' cafe of accidents, they have no advantage?-In cafe of accidents they have it alfo; I believe the times are different from what they ufed to be; when Lord St. Vincent went away, he altered the time, and fuperannuates a man when he becomes unable to earn his wages, whether from ficknefs or other circumftances.

Mr.Grant.]-Are you able to fay, whether the fhipwrights employed in the merchants yards are generally in the habit of working throughout the week, or only on particular days? - Some will take a day after three weeks or a month, fome will take half a day then, but never otherwife.

What do you mean by ftating, that the confinement in the King's yatds is fo much greater than in the merchants yards, and yet that the work will be done in the fame time? - They are obliged to be within the gates to anfwer to their names, and are obliged to lofe half a day if they are not there to their time; a man comes to us ten minutes after his time, and we take him on.
Do you know whether fhipwrights have been ever in the habit of coming from the Outports to London, or going from London to the Outports? - I have known feveral come from the Outports in the North to London.

And from London to the Outports? -No, I cannot fpeak to that; but I:believethere are very few that go but wifh to come back again, iff they can get work here.

Are there a good many fhipwrights employed in the River, in other yards than where large ihips are built?-On fmall craft there may be a few.

Is there any difficulty in hipwrights employed in the larger yards tarning their hands to the work in the yards where that frall craft is built $\mathcal{B}$-No; but thofe people do not want morethan three or four men, and there are forty-or fifty men in the large yards, whe cannot all go there.
Do you know, the number of men employed in the River in thofe frall yards?No, I do not.

Can you ftate what has been the general rate of wages in the River for flipprights of late years ?-Yes.

What has it been? -From five to feven fhillings a day, for the laft feven years.
To what period of time do you look back when you fay that? - Seven years.
You mean to fay feven years ago the wages were five fhillings ? + It may be longer.

You do not know of any decline in their wages of late? -Na .
You have fated that you faw a hip building in Mr. Pitcher's yard ? -Na , there is no fhip building in the river Thames.

You fay you faw a thip in Mr, Pitcher's yard ? - Yes.
What veffel is the ?-I think the is one of the country-built thips:
There is nothing doing to her now?-Nothing.
What is the caufe of that?-I cannot fay; poffibly they are waiting for orders,

Owing to fo many hijps being built abroad that has brought home the produce to this country, which has prevented our merchants from embarking in the fame line.
To what country do you allude when you fay " abroad" ?-mImdia,
You conceive that the introduction of India-built fhips has been the occafion of the prefent decline of work in the River?-Yes, I do.

Committee.]-Do you mean to fay, that is the fole caufe?-Yes, I do.
Are you aware how many India-built fhips have been introduced in the manner you defcribe? - I cannot charge my memory exacly, I cannot fay from information, But I fuppofe upwards of fixty.
Within what time?-Within thefe ten. years.
You fuppofe there have been upwards of fixty within thefe ten years? ?-I only fpeak generally.

Mr. Grant.]-Then you conceive that the introduction of thofe fixty fhips fas been the fole caufe of the entire decline of work for fhipwrights in the river Thames? -1 hould think fo; that is my own opinion.
Are you aware of any prize fhips having been introduced into this country within the laft ten years?-I have heard of it ; but do not know it of my own perfonal knowledge.

In your judgment has the number not been fufficient to affed, in any manner, the flate of hip-building on the river Thames.?-No; I hould fuppofe, by what I have heard of them, there being but few, that it would have no great effect.
Do you mean to fay, that from the beft of your information, the flips that have been made prize from the enexiy within the laft ten years have been yery few? Very few, only two or three, and that would make nothing on the river Thames.
Do you mean that anfwer to apply to prize thips, of whatever fize? --Four or five bundred tons, thofe I have heard of. I never heand of them exandy by name; but I have been told of two or three that have been taken up as prize पhips; I never heard of but a few; moftly they are broken up; we generally break them up.
My queftion is, whether the introduction of prize fhips into the general trade of the Country, has been fuch as to affect the trade of the Thames?-No, I do not think it bas. I think they dave been broken up, rather than put into any part of the trade of the Country.
Suppofing, that in the year 1813 , there were employed in the gemeral trade of this Country 518 ;000 tons of prize lhips and upwards, do you:conceive that the employment of that quantity of tonnage, of that defcription, would affect the flate of Ship-building on the river Thames? -It would affect it far as this; it would not affect the workmen much; for the merchants do not have a grear deal done to them when they take them up.

Would not that render it lefs neceffary to build fhips on the River?-Not for the Eaft India line.
In the general trade of the Country?-Of.courfe; if that:[hipping was there, of courfe there would be lefs Ihipping wanted to:be built.
Committee.]-But you have no notion that there can be any fuch quantity of tons of: prize Ihips in the employment of the trade-of the Country?-No.

When you affigned the introduction of the India fhipping, as being the caufe; youidid:not think of there being any fuch quantity ; did you ${ }^{\text {? }}$-No, Idid' not.

Mr. Grant.]-Is the price of flip-building in the River, high, or otherwife, at this time?-1t is not quite fo high as it has been.

Afr. James Hugkes.

Within what time has any decreafe of price taken place? - Within thefe feren years I have known fhipping at 362 a ton, and I have known it at 33 l . 100 . fifty thillings lefs; I fpeak of men of war, 74 s .

Committec.]-Will you fpecify the period at which it was 361.2 ton ?-Seven years ago I built 274 for that; The York.

What was the price in any one or two years preceding that; what was the price in 1804 ?-I cannot exadtly fpeak to that.

In 1803 or 1802 ?-I cannot fpeak with correctnefs, becaufe' I was not in it then, and therefore cannot fpeak to it.

When was the firft year you were in it ?-Nine or ten jears ago in the repairing, but not in the building.

Do not you know the common rate of building then?-Not exactly; when I had a 64 repairing, I had no contract.

Did that price of $36 L$ per ton arife from the price of labour, or the price of timber? -The price of wages, and every thing in the Chip-building line.

Were they higher than they are now ?-Confiderably; deals were 5 h a piece.
Then it was in the price of the timber?-In foreign timber; that was brought from Dantzic in the time of war.

Do you mean to fate, that that price did not arife very confiderably from the great demand there was for building in the River?-I fuppofe it is like all other things; they charge more when they have plenty of work.

Mr. Grant.]-Has the price of building merchants thips in the River been high or low of late?-I hould think it has been higher than it was.

How long did the high price continue at 361. a ton ?-About two years.
Committee.]-That is during thofe particular contra\&s ?-Yes; there were three, I think I may fay.

Mr. Grant.]-Is there not ufually a Aacknefs of Mipwrights work in the River at particular feafons of the year?-Yes; there is.

Is not this one of thofe feafons?-Yes; it is, when the Indiamen are all equipped.

Is not this one of the fearons?-Yes, it is.
We have heard, that on the breaking out of a war, it is ufual for fome hipwrights to remove from the merchants to the King's yards; is that fo?-Yes; 2 few, not a great quantity.

Can you at all flate how many might remove in that manner at the commencement of this war ?-It is impofible for me to fay that.

Should you conceive that a great proportion of them removed in that way ?- It depends on whether there are King's Ships building in the River at the time; if there are King's fhips building in the River when it is war, they would not go into the King's yards, becaufe they would make better wages.

You think there are not many remove ?-Not many ; there are a few.

## [Re-examined by Mr. Harrijon.]

Your experience and knowledge has been principally derived on the River, except fo far as you have been abroad?-It has.

Then you are not competent to give any opinion as to the effect of prize Chips on building, except as it affects the river Thames ?-No.

What has been the defcription of hips that conftituted the employment of the large yards, of which you have been giving evidence?-Ships for India.

Has the building of hips for India been the great employment of all thofe yards? - Yes, it has.

Not the building of thips of other defcriptions?-Not the building of Bhips of other defcriptions.

Since your knowledge of thofe yards, has the employment of building thips for India confantly kept a certain averaged number of men employed in thofe yards? - Not exaaly; it fell off there lant three or four years.

But I peak of ten or fifteen years back? -I do not think there were fo many fhips in the fervice, five-and.twenty or thirty years ago.
But, taking the period of twenty-five years ago, up to the prefent three years, have you obferved them in an averaged ftate of employment?-Always employed.

With refpect to the alacknefs of work, does it depend more on repairs than
building?-We are flack now becaufe we have equipped the lhips, and they are going out; fome of them are gone.

Then all repair-work is at a fand at this period?-Yes.
And the work that would be now doing, in ordinary times would be the building on the flocks?-Yes, certainly.
It would be facker, becaufe they have not the two employments of repairing and building ?-Yes.
Do you ever recolleat fuch a time before, when the yards were without a hip building in them?-Not for fix or feven-andthirty years.
With refpect to the tonnage of prize ©hips, as interfering with the general building, scu know nothing ?-Very little.
Your evidence went to their affecing the yards in the Thames, whofe employment you ftate to be the building of India hips?-Chiefly.

And they chicfly depend on the building thofe dhips for employment?-Yes, they do.

Was building upon fpeculation formerly allowed upon India hips ?-Yes, in the River there were many built on feeculation.
That latterly has not been permitted ?-They do not like it.

## [Examined by the Committee.]

You flate, that the fhips that are ufually under repair, are out of dock at this period of the year?-Moft of them are gone their voyage.

Then there is nothing furprifing in there being none to repair now ?-No? I fpeak of building.

Have you any general knowledge of the flate of building for the laft two years?-Yes.

Do you know that there have been a great number of hhips within the laft two years built for the fervice of Government?-There have.

Were great exertions made to fulfil thofe contraats by the different builders :Yes, the greatelt ; they worked early and late on them to get them done; they were tied up to a certain time.

Thole contracts have been fulfilled ?-They have.
Will you take upon you to fay the llacknels of work is not owing to that great demand having been fulfilled ?-No; I do not think it is altogether.

Has not that a confiderable effed?-Of courfe it muft make a difference; when there were thofe thips building, there were no Indiamen building; if there had been as many Indiamen building as formerly, I do not know where they could get the men.
Is not the flacknefs upon the River owing to thofe fhips being built?-The hips have gone out and the men have no other work to do.

You fated that there were about four or five thoufand men employed in thip building in the Thames? - Yes; I cannot fpeak exactly, about 4,500.

And that about fixty Bhipwrights are employed upon a fhip of 1,200 ton? -Yes; and fometimes twice the number I faid.

Then how many thips of 1,200 tons would it be neceffary to have conftantly building to keep thofe 4,500 fhipwrights employed?-About a dozen I hould think.

From calculation it appears that it would require feventy-five?-There are fomeuimes twice the number employed about a Chip.

You attended the building of The Dublin, at leaft you faw her ?-Yes, I did.
And you bave flated the was conftructed of good materials?-Yes, I bave.
Do you know any thing of that thip after fhe was launched?-No, I cannot fay that 1 do.

Do you know where the went?-No, I do not.
Do you know when the returned?-No.
You do not know in what fate the returned ?-No, I do not.
If The Dublin after one year's cruize, without having mer with any particular accident, fhould have returned in fuch 2 ftate as to be immediately ordered to be paid off, and to require 20,000l. to be laid out in her repair, hould you flill think the was properly built, and of proper materials?-Yes, if the had not been built fix nuonths; a thip ar fea may meet a gale, and not be able to weather the form.

If The Dublin after one year's cruize, without having met with any particular accident, fhould have returned in fuch a flate as to be immediately ordered to te paid

Mr. off, and to require $\mathscr{E} 20,000$. to be laid out in her repair, Ghould you ftill think the was properly built and of proper materials?-I muft know firf where it was fhe failed ; I do not know what part of the fhip failed; is it her bottom ? does the leak? is the ricketty? are her faftenings drawn? I do not know what is the matter with; her.

If the failed, mult not there be a defect in her ?-She was built as well as ever faw a thip in my life; I never faw better materials in my life; her materials were of the beft quality of feafoned oak.

You have feen her in the courfe of the building ?-Yes.
You had feen the materials of which the was built ?-Yes.
Could you take upon yourfelf, in looking at a piece of vak, to fay what degree of feafoning it had received?-Certainly I could; I know how long it has been felled by looking at it.

And you fay fhe was conftructed of oak that was fufficiently feafoned?-It was fufficiently feafoned for the purpofes to which it was applied.

Should you ftill hold to that opinion, if it appeared that fhe had returned in a very damp and humid ftate?-I fhould confider that not owing to the building of the hip; I hould confider it owing to the bad airing and looking over the hip. I have known thips that have not laid at Deptford longer than three weeks, and I have feen the mildew from the hatches being thut down, which comes to the dry rot.

Is not that from the timber being frefh ?-No; I have known two thips built by the fide of each other, and one has gone longer than the other; you cannot account for it.

Except from the accident of not having a fufficient ventilation?-I do not know any thing elfe but that; it could not be owing to bad materials; that I affert.

Could a thip on fervice want a fufficient ventilation to preferve the wood? There are ventilators framed on purpofe for the fhips; 1 do not know whether they are ufed ; The Dublin was faftened with chocks inftead of knees, and iron plates; the was not the firft built in that way.

Did thofe fhips that were fo built fail in a twelvemonth ?-I never heard of them.
Do you conceive it was from The Dublin's being built with chocks inftead of knees, that the failed?--No.

Would that have any effeat on the health of the men that were in ber?-I cannot fay.

Does it not raife any prefumption in your mind againf a fhip, to hear that the wanted repairs that coft $£ 20,000$ after the had been builc twelve months?-No; not unlefs I hear where ihe failed; I cannot think that ever a chip wanted it.

When a hip goes to a King's yard to be examined, previous to obtaining the certificate, can all the parts be feen?-Except the frame; that is impofible.

That is a material part in the conftruction of a fhip; is it not ?-No; the frame is the lealt of it.

They can examine every thing but the frame? - Yes; but that they can bore and do; and there is an opening between each deck to fee it.
If the frame were to fail, would not the hip come to pieces? -Of courfe the would not bear the fea then.

Does it never happen that the timbers in the frame rot even in the time fhe flands for feafon?-I have feen it in the King's yard, where they have been for ten or eleven years.

But you never faw an inftance in which it was neceffary to take out a part of, the frame, becaufe during the time the food for feafoning, the timbers failed?- A few top timbers might rend with the fun, and they might take them down and replace them; but I never faw them decay.

Did you ever fee inftances of dry rot in thips? - Yes.
What is the caufe of it ? I believe ftagnation of air.
In what ©hips have you obferved it? -Merchants hips; 'there was a thip came home a few days ago, The Harrilon; which was as foul as a fhip could be.

Did you ever confider it as arifing from unfeafoned wood ?-No.
Do you know what difference there is in the doration of fhips built in the King's yards or in the merchants yards, or whether there is any?-Not the leaft, one is equal to the other; why fhould they not, when there is a King's officer to fee them in both places; there is no difference; they are all King's officers that build the ships in the merchants yards.

Is that the eftimation of builders in general, that the fhips built in the merchants jards are as good as thofe built in the docks?-Equally.

You have flated that you think the Ilacknels of bufinels in the River is owing to the continuing the building Indiamen there i-Yes, I do.
You fay you have known the River for many years?-Thirty-feven years.
What number of Indiamen were built in the River thirty-feven jears ago?-I do not know.

Then how do you know the number of men that were emplojed on the work ? From feeing the hips.

You mult have formed fome notion how many men were.employed in building Indianien twenty years ago?-I fuppofe the fame number that is now, about fixty in each.
How many were employed in the whole; have you any notion of that at all ?I hould fuppofe about 14 or 15 .

Then you would be very much furprifed if there were no more than feven? I cannot fay; I only fpeak from feeing the number in each gard.

You having made up your mind that this falling off was owing to the ceafing to build Indiamen, and you conceiving that the average was fourteen Indiamen in a year, fourteen or fifteen years ago, if you heard that it never amounted to more than half that number, of courfe that would account for the falling of of the employment ?-Yes.

At the rate you have flated for hhipwrights employment, if feven Aips are built, that would not give employment to more than four hundred and twenty men ? -No , not in that line; but then there are all the King's fhips.

* You have ftated, that you think the difcontinuing of building Indiamen, is the great reaton of want of employment now? - Yes, ido

According to the rate you have given us of work, fuppofe feven thips of twelve hundred tons each were the average built twenty years ago, the whole number of men employed in building Indiamen would be but four hundred and twenty?-Yes

What is the quantity of men an eight-hundred-ton veffed will give employment to ?-1 fuppofe about fifty.
If, therefore, a part of thefe feven thips were eight-hundredton thips, of courfe the number of men ordinarily employed in India thip-building would be fo much the lefs ?-Yes:

Suppofing Indiamen building to be difcontinued, you would not have more than four hundred and twenty men thrown out of employment ?-Yes, ten times the number in reparing.

But the fubtraction of the building would not tarow more than that number out of employment ?-I do not know.

Have you feen any great difference in the quantity of repaining lately in the Thames, from what there ufed to be? - Yes, there is.

And where do you fuppofe thofe repairs are now done that ufed ta be done in the siver Thames?-They do not want any repairs.

The India Mhips want lefs repairs now than they ufed to do ?-No, the teak-built Thips do not want the repair that Englifh-built thips do.

Then it appears, that the thipbuilders. in the Thames are fuffering, becaufe the thips are better ?-They do it to fait their own purpofes I fuppole; 2 few individuals to make the whole nation ftarve in England.

So thofe rogues build the beft hhips they can to ftarve the nation ?-Yes, I think they do.

You have faid, that when fome of thefe farewd fellows come from the Northward, they do not like to go back again; what is that owing to?-They get better information in the yards.

They have no better wages?-No; they are not much wort when they come here.
They are not better paid than in the Northern parts? - Yes, they are corfiderably better paid.

What is the reafon of that, do you know:-Provifions and things are cheaper; a man can have rent and living at half what he has it here.

Do you mean to fay, that a fhipwright in London is not upon the whole better of in point of wages than a fhipwright in the North ?-I do not know that; I have not worked at the North, but from general information.
Do you mean to fay, they are not better paid here?-Rather better paid.
They get from 3 s.to 3 s. 6 d . in the North 3 -I think fo.


When was the laft general rife in wages that you recollect in London; I mean the laft friking for wages?-I fuppofe twelve or thirteen years ago; I cannot exactly fay now.
At that time they obtained the rife?-Yes, they did.
'I he caulkers have ftruck fince that ?-Not generally, there may be a few.
You remember about five or fix years aga, they threatened to frike? -There was fome little demur, but I do not recollect that they fruck.
It was prevented by raifing their wages?-I cannot fpeak to that.
Have not you; in point of fact, known that feveral times the wages have been raifed in confequence of the friking of the men?-I believe, owing to the dearnefs of provifions; I-never knew it but once, and that was fome years ago, as long ago as that fame time of their ftanding out ; I cannot fay when it took place exactly.

How long ago was that?-Seven years ago.
Was not that the period when their wages were advanced to from 5 to 7 s .?-Yes.
Đo you happen to know whether any Indiaman has been lately repaired at the Outpurts? - No, I do not.
The:flacknefs of trade that you fpeak of, how long has that prevailed?-I fuppofe four or five years; except in the men of war, there has been a brikk of that within the laft twelve months, fuch as the frigates, and that; but there bas been but very little building in the River thefe laft four or five years, except that.

Yoù mean, that there has been lefs building for the Eaft India Company ? - Yes, I do.

Are, you fure there have been fewer fhips built by the Company within the laft three years, than the three years preceding? -I think there have been lefs for the laft three years, than there had been in the three years before.

Are you not aware, that for two of thofe lalt three years, the India Company built three fhips in the Thames?-I cannot fay exactly.

Are you not aware they built more in thofe fwo years than in any one year fince 1802 ?-I cannot fpeak to that; I cannot fay.
If you are not acquainted nearly with the number of thips built by the Company in the river Thames, how can you fay that it is owing to their falling off this flacknefs is to be attributed?-If I do not go into the yards to fee that there are any fhips building, IIfee the mechanics walking about for want of work.
You fay, there has been lel's in the laft three years, than the three years before? -Yes.

And attribute that to the introduction of chips from India ?-Yes, I do.
In the 60 thips you have fpoken of, do you include India company's flups built in India ?-No, merchants fhips.

You mean private veffels ?-Yes, I do.
You think fixty have come in within the laft ten years?-Yes.
And you think the greateff portion have come in within the laft three years ? - Yes, I think fo.

And therefore it is owing to the India company building fewer thips, and there being more come from India, that the flacknefs of trade is attributable; then fuppofing it was fhewn to you, that neither of thofe facts are correct, would your opinion remain ftill the fame? -No; I faid before I was not certain of it, becaufe I had nòt a particular account.

- Has there been a ready fale for thofe India hips of late ? 1 do not know.

The fhips that are now lying in the River for fale, have they been for fome time on fale? - I do not know the time.

Have you any reafon to think there has been'a brik fale among thofe velfels?No, I cannot fay there has.
What is your idea of the amount of tonnage in which the Thames flipbuilding has fallen off per annum ; you fate there are fewer tons now built in the Thames than there were thiree or foür years ago; what do you think the difference of tons per annum to be ?-I fuppofe about five or fix hips a year, of 800 tons each.

You fpeak generally, not of India hips only ?-No, I only fpeak of Indiamen.
Are you acquainted with the fate of the building in the Thames at the commencement of the prefent war? - Not fo much as I am now.
Do you know that it is common for the King's yards, upon fudden exigency, to receive
receive fhipwrights from the merchant yatds?-I know they have fuch power, but I never faw it put in force.

Do they receive any additional force, on any fudden exigency, from the merchants yards?-There is an open order for every body to come from the country, or where they choofe; they cannot demand them.

Do they get them from the merchants yards when they fuddenly want them?Yes; they do come, not if they have got better work in the River, they will not come.

Could the King's yards obtain, on fudden exigency, as many wrights from the merchants yards, if the merchants yards fhould ceafe to build fhips for the India trade, as they could if the merchants yards fhould continue to have the building of thofe fhips for the India trade?-No, by no means, becaufe they could not earn enough; they muft quit this quarter and go to fome other country; they could not be kept there.

Would fhipwrights taken from yards ufed only to build veffels of lefs fize than what are employed in the India trade, be as ufeful, on fudden exigence, to the King's yards as wrights from yards where India fhips are ufually built?-No, I think not; becaufe they come more like men of war than any other thips that are built.

When there is fuddenly great prefs of bufinefs in the King's yards, what brings in the help from the merchants yard?-They ufe their own pleafure; they come in and out of the yard when they like. When they come to a merchant's yard they get employment ; and if he cannot get as many hipwrights as he wihes for, he is obliged to let his men work more hours; I do not fee any inducement to them; they go as they like.
You have faid, on fudden exigency in the King's yards, additional hands do comefrom the merchants yards?-Yes; I fay, if they did not take them from the merchants yards, it could not be faid to be an open entry.

What indures a man, who cannot be compelled, to come over from a merchant yard to the King's yard? -Some for thinking they are growing old, and want to be employed there for life; they go in under a certain age, and they work till a certain age, and then are fuperannuated.

Cannot they make better wages in the King's yards?-Not at all times.
When additional frength is brought over from the merchants yards to the King's yard, are the wages at that time in the King's yard higher than at the merchant's yard ?-There are no wages fet ; there is a rate for talk work.

Is the rafk work larger at that time?-I cannot fay; there is fo many modes of working them in the King's yard; in this year it has been altered.

When there is a preffure in the King's yards, is there not allo a preffure in the merchants yards? -When there is a prefure in the King's yards, I think there is alfo in the merchants yards; becaufe there are not King's thips building in the River, only repairing.

When there is a preffure in both the King's yards and the merchants yards, are they in the habit of leaving the merchants yards to go to the King's yards?I cannot fay exactly, it depends on people's own mind.

Do you know of your own knowledge of any application having been made froms a King's yard to the owner of a merchant yard for flipwrights?-No; I cannot fay I ever did.

Do you know whether the King's yards have their full complement of wrights and other workmen at prefent, or not?-I think they have at prefent.

What means have you of knowing anything about the ftate' of the King's yards at prefent?-I am in the habit of going in there frequently.

How many wrights are employed in building a 74 in the King's yards at ordinary rates of proceeding?-About 120 or 130 fhipwrights.

And by ordinary rate of procedure, you mean what time?-About three years.
How many, in cafe of great hafte?-That is, almoft as quick as ever I knew them; three years.
How many would be employed in building a 74 in great hafte ?-Suppole you fay two years, there would be one-third more hands.

Do you knew of your own knowledge, what the wages of a thipwright in the Out. ports are ?-No, I do not.

You do not know, of your knowledge, whether they are higher or lower than they are in the port of London? - No, I do not ; I thould think lower confiderably; 1 cannot fpeak further than I have heard people fpeak who have come from there.
[The Signatures to the following Letters being identified by a Member of the Committee; they were read.]
" Meffrs. Barnard \& Roberts, " Navy Office, 5 th Otober 1808.
"The Lords Commiffioners of the Admiralty having directed that the
s: frames of the 74 gun lhips building in the merchants yards, for His
" Majefty's fervice, Chall ftand to feaion for twelve months; we fignify the
" fame for your information and guidance, in refpect to the fhips build-
" ing by you.
" We are your affectionate friends,
"R. Barlow.
" Deptford.
" W. Rule.
"H. Legge."
${ }^{6}$ Meffrs. Barnard \& Co.
" Navy Office, 23d Jan. 1809.
"We defire you will ufe all poffible expedition in completing the fips
" named in the margin, building by you for Government, without letting
" them fland to feafon, giving a preference to thofe whiciz are moft forward,
" and can be the fooneft launched, if all three cannot be taken in hand at
" the fame time.
cs We are your affectionate friends,
" F. J. Hartwell.
" Deptford.
" $W$. Rule.
"H. Legge."

* Meffrs. Barnard,
"Navy Office, 4th May 1809.
"Notwithflanding our Letter of the $23^{\mathrm{d}}$ of January laft, we defire
" that you will caufe the frames of His Majefty's Thips Cornwall and
"Devonfhire to fland to feafon; but the works of the Rodney are to
" be proceeded on with all poffible difpatch.
${ }^{6}$ We are your affectionate friends,
* Deptford. $\quad$ "Tho. Peake
$\begin{gathered}\text { "H. Legge } \\ \text { "Hamilton." }\end{gathered}$

Rodney.
Cornwail.
Devonhire,

$$
\text { Jovis, } 21^{\sigma} \text { dic Aprilis }: 8 \times 4 \text {. }
$$

SIR ROBERT PEEL; BARONET, in The Chair.

TTHE Petition of the Ship-owners and Ship-builders and other perfons interefted in the Shipping of the Port of Newcaftle-upon.Tyne, was read. Mr. Harrifon appeared as Counfel : Mr. Atchefon appeared as agent.

The Petition of the Ship-owners and Ship-builders of the Port of Kirkaldys in Scotland, was read.-No Agent appeared.

The Petition of the Merchants and Ship-owners of the Port of Plymoath was read.-No Agent appeared.

## Mr. James Hushes, called in again, and examined.

Mr. Committee.]-Do you know of any men of war having been built laft year in the yard of Meffrs. Wigram \& Green?-Many, but not to notice the building of them; Fhave not been on board to notice the work; they were Fir frigates, to the tune I believe of eight, or thereaway; feven or eight.

If there had been no build of hips of war in their yard laft year, how long do you think the men ufually working in that yard would have been out of work?-I cannot fpeak to that queftion; they. muft have been out of work if thofe frigates had not been built.

Do you know how many were building laft year ?-I think feven.

How many fhipwrights, with a proportionate number of inferior artificers, would they give employment to? - I never noticed the men; I cannot exaclly feak to that; I fhould fuppore about thirty fhipwrights to each fhip.

Were they then all the fame, or nearly the fame fize? - Nearly.
You mean thirty thipwrights only, not including all artificers?-No.
Are the thirty fhipwrights of whom you fpeak more than fufficient to go on in the ordinary way; and, if great fpeed were required, would not more be neceffary? -Yes.

Do you know in point of fact whether great hafte was required at that time or not ? - They were required to be built in a fhort time, the greatef part of them; not all, becaufe fome were fopped, I believe.

You flated that if thofe frigates had not been building the men would have been idle ; what grounds have you to fay fo, that if the men were not employed on thofe thips, other fhips would not have been built there?-There were no other thips building on the river Thames to employ them.

You have no reafon to fuppofe Sir Robert Wigram would not have built fhips if he had not employed his yard in that manner ?-He would not build on fpeculation at that time.

Have you any knowledge of that fact from Sir Robert Wigram ?-It is only my opinion.

In what time will they build a frigate?-Nine months.
I think you faid yefterday it required 120 men to build a feventy-four in three years?-Yes; thofe are Fir frigates, they are difpatched quicker.
[The Witnefs delivered in a Navy-board warrant, directing him to fuperintend the building of a man of war in Mefrs. Brents yard at Rotherbithe.]
[Withdrew.
" By the Principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy.
"HAVING agreed with Meffrs. Brents for building in their yard at Rotherhithe, a 74 -Gun thip for His Majefty, and you being judged a proper perfon to overfee the building of the faid fhip:-Thefe are to direct and require you to take the fame upon you; and, with the utmoft care and circumfpection, to overfee the building of the faid fhip, agreeably to the draught defigned for her: and you are conftantly to attend all the working hours, and faithfully and diligently to fee that the works are performed according to the beft of your fkill and judgment, as to the fhape, fcantling, and goodnefs of the timber, (particularly that no green timber is ufed) and all other materials, as well as to the workmanfhip; and you are to be very careful herein, as we have given orders to all the yards to furvey fhips built by contract, on their arrival, and to report to us how they are completed; and alfo to inform us upon the repair or reftting of fhips built by contract, of any infufficiency of workmanfhip or materials; fo that in cafe of any neglect appearing hereafter in her, you mufie expect to be anfwerable for it.
" You are to take a copy of the contract from the one in the con: tractor's hands (attefted by the fecretary of this Board) for your guidance therein, and if you fhall find any deviation from it of any kind, you are to give notice thereof to the contractor, directing its amendment; and if not immediately complied with, you are to acquaint us therewith, that we may fee His Majefty righted.
" And as the payments agreed to be made for the faid thip fhall become due, you are to give certificates thereof to the contrador, for enabling us to make out bills at this office for the fame.
"A And yous are to fee that the carpenter, when one fhall be appointed, conftantly attends all the working hours, and certify to us, quarierly, the time of his affiftance whilft fo employed; and in cale he neglects his daty, you are forthwith to let us know it.
"If any other overfeer thould be at the fame Port with you, in: fpecting another fhip or veffel, you are, in cafe of his illnefs, or abfence at any time, to take upon you the charge of the hip or veffel under his cate, tull the is able to attend it again.
" You,
$M r$.
"You, as well as the carpenter of the chip, are to take care and be fatisfied, that all the iron-work, agreed to be provided by the contractor, be of the beft quality that can be procured, and in all refpects proper for the fervice it is ufed for.
"You are to keep a particular account of the copper bolts, rings and flaples, fent for any fhip you may overfee the building of, and the quantity or weight of thofe articles returned, and tranfmit the fame to us when they are returned.
" If it fhould appear at any time that the faid fhip cannot be launched agreeable to the contract, you are immediately to intorm us thereof, and to continue your Reporft from time to time, that we may not be difappointed, as has frequently happened for want of information. And you are likewife to let us know if the builder at any time takes of the work. men, and employs them on any other fervice.
"Y You are to return to your duty in Deptford yard when this bufinefs fhall be completed.
"For which this fhall be your Warrant. Dated at the Navy Office, 2d May 1805.

> "H. Duncan. J. Henflow, IV. Rule.
"P.S. You are to continue to attend to the repair of The Intrepid " alfo, notwithftanding this appointment.
" Mr. James Hughes,
Mr. Robert Ferguffon, called in; and examined by Mr. Adolphus.
What is your bufinefs?-A block-maker.
Where?-At Deptford, in the county of Kent.
Have you a confiderable eftablifhment ?-Yes, I have.
What number of men do you ensploy when you are in full work ?-From five-and-twenty to thirty.

What do you make befides blocks ?-Gun-carriages, pumps, fhips tops, fteering: wheels, dead-eyes, \&c.

What trade principally does your manufacture depend upon?-Upon the Eaft India fhipping.

Do you perform your bufinefs for other fhips befides the Eaft India fhips? Yes; 1 do bufinefs for the men of war for the different builders.
For thofe men of war that are built at the different yards by the merchant builders?-Yes.

Will it be poffible for you to maintain your eftablifhment if the Eaf India flipping, and the other large fhipping are difcontinued ?-It will be impoffible for me.

There are Weft Indiamen and other fhipping upon the Thames; will they enable you to carry on your eftablifhment?-I have never, to my knowledge, done any thing in the Weft India trade; mine is principally confined to the Eaft India fhipping, holding a contract under the honourable Eaft India Company.

I need hardly afk you whether in time of peace the Government building would render you any fervice? -No, certainly ; none whatever.
Have you ever had from fhips which were built in India any employment ?-Yes, $I$ have.

Any confiderable employ?-Not very confiderable.
Do you expect, or have you reafon, to believe, that if thofe Chips are generally employed, your bufinefs will be carried on as well as it is now ?-O dear! no, by no means.

Why fo ?-Becaufe they do now make a valt number of blocks in India, and all their gun carriages, pumps and tops, which is the greateft part of our trade; the blocks I confider one-third of our trade, as near as I can judge.

The other objects with which you fupply hips, they get made in India, and confequently da not want them of you?-Yes.
Has it been your habit, in anticipation of bufinefs that may come, to keep a readymade ftock upon your hands ?-Certainly fo.

Does that enable you to keep your men conflantly employed ?-It does.

Can you continue to do fo if the building of Eaft India 隹pping is removed from the Thames?-As far as relates to blocks, in fome meafure; becaufe, although the
$M_{r}$. R. Fergufon. fhips are built in India, I moft likely thall have to fend out for the Company a num. bet of blociss each feafon.

But will that enable you to employ your men, and keep open your premifes as heretofore ?-Not fo many certainly.
Can you calculate, or judge, to what extent that will be the means of depriving you of beneficial employment?-It will depend on the future orders; it is impoffible for me to tell.

## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

When you ftate that you chiefly fupply the fhipping of The Eaft India company, and men of war, you do not mean that there is any peculiarity in your divifion of trade; you might fupply other fhipping; 1 prefume ?-I might, certainly.

You do not mean to fay that there is any diftinction of trade, between a blockmaker who fupplies the Eaft India trade, and one who fupplies the Weft India trade, but merely that your bufinefs has generally lain in the direction of the Company's thipping ? -Yes.

I prefume, if the fame number of blocks were taken out to India, to fupply Indiabuilt thips, that are now ufed upon fhipping built for the Company upon the River, it could make no difference to your trade whatever?-O yes; very material.

Will you fate how that difference could affect your trade?-I have fitated it, but I will fate it again if you pleafe; as to the block part of the trade, it is impoffible for me to afcertain what orders I fhall have from the Company.

You mean to fay, with refpect to blocks, you fhould keep up your eflablifhment, but not as to any thing elfe?-Yes, I fuppofe fo.

Will you fay for what reafon you think your trade would fall off in other refpects? -The India fhips bring home their gun-carriages, their pumps and tops, which I confider as two thirds of my bufinefs; at the fame time the hull, and malt, and yard-work of a fhip, is part of my work.
They bring gun-carriages made in India, and pumps?-Yes, they do, and tops.

What proportion of your trade is for the repair of thips, and what for fhips that are originally building?-The repairs fluctuate as to their different number of voyages, in my department.

Has not a great part of your trade confifted in fupplying thofe articles you have mentioned, to fhips repairing ?-Surely.
Suppofe then that the fame number of fhips were not built in the River as now, but that the fame number were repaired there, in what manner do you conceive that would affect your trade?-The repairs would not be fo great.

Cannot you form any thing like a comparifon ?-No, I cannot at this moment; the repairs would not be fo great, becaule the teak-carriages are more durable than ours, certainly.
You mean to fay that teak-wood is a much more durable wood for confructing thofe articles than the wood employed in this country ?-Yes, I think it is.
[Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]
You fay that the blocks you would have to make would depend on orders to be received ? - Yes.

Of courfe you would wait to receive thofe orders firft, and not make them by anticipation ?-I certainly fhould.

Therefore your employment, and confequent feeding of your men, would be precarious and temporary, inftead of as it is now, regular and certain?-They have already been fo; I have only three block-makers ernployed at this moment.

By what has the decreafe in your employment of block-maker been occafioned?By the fcarcity of work, having no hips building or repairing.
You fpeak of blocks as being fent out from this country; is that invariably the cafe?-It is; every feafon I fend out blocks for the Company,-more or lefs.
Is there fo much myftery in making blocks, that thofe who make gun-carriages cannot make them?-They do make blocks in India, fuch as they are.
Mr. Grant.]-To what do you attribute the decline in the number of men that you employ in block-making ?-Becaufe I have no orders.

Do you attribute it to any particular circumftance in the ftate of the fhip-building (72.)

## [Examined by the Committee.]

You flate you have only three block-makers ?-Only thitee.
Do you recollect what was your complement of blocl-makefs priof to the admifion of the black fhips to regifter?-I have had tas many as twethty ; and at that time I have had diffetent block-mitakers about the River warking for me.
Do not the black fhips ufually ufe European-made blocks ?-They do; fonte,
But not all?-Not all.
Do you think they will ever make blocks in India as well as you do now?-No; 1 think they neter will.
Dd not you think they will rather take blocks of your manufacture than any of the inferiot fort made by thēmfelves? ?-Certainly.
You have ftated juft now, that your chief employmient was from the Eaft India company, and the Navy ?-I amt employed by the builders for the hull-work of ihips built in treetchants yards for the Navy.

Will not the ceafing to build fhips for the Navy likewife hurt your employment in your fard ? ${ }^{2}$ Certainly ; and had it tot been for the building-work laft fummer I muilt have difcharged fome of my men.

How lóng have you perceived this flaekniefs ? - For thefe three or förr years paft. In the block trade ?-Yes.
You fay, hitherto they do not make blocks in India fo well as you do, and that but few are ufed ?-Yes.
And yet you tell the Committee your eftablifhment is teduced from twenty block. makers to three?-Yes.

And you attribute that to fome change in the introduction of teak-fhips ?-Yes.
If you fay they hitherto ufe almoft exclufively Englifh blocks, how can the introduction of thofe teak-fhips into the India trade hurt your black-trade, as alluded to? -For the laft three or four years I have not fent out fo many blocks for the Eaft India company as I have ufually done.

To what do you attribute that?-From the fituation of the Company's Charter; before the Chatter was granted, the forës were not feit out in the abuindance they were béfore.

Then that has nothing to do with the introduction of black lhips?-No; it has not.

In what way do you fuppofe the introduction of black fhips hás affected it, they continuing to ufe Etiglifh blocks? -The gun-carriagess, puthps, and tops, arre a principal párt of myy trade, which the block-makers ate e employed in making.

They are not employed folely in block-making?-Yes, fome of them are.
Under the term block-makers, you alfo iniclude perfons employed in other parts of your trade?-Yes:

It is in that fenfe you ufe the term block-makers, when you fpeak of the teduction of your eftablinhment ?-Yes; it is:
Do you know whether any teak-wood is imported into this country, which you could ufe for any purpofes of your trade? -I have never ufed any; I believe there are teak-planks brought over.
Do you knöw the comparative value of it ?-No, I da not.
And you never worked any in your trade?-No, not to my knowledge.
At what timè waś it you had twenty block-makers employed ?-To the beft of my recollection, it was in the year 1802; I think it was.
is And has your number gradually diminifhed fince that time? -No.
Have you had nearly twenty, at any period fince?-From twelve to fifteen.
From fwelve to fifteen, generally, fince ?-Within thefe three years, the number emplóyed by me fias diminifhed.
Have you, during that time, held a contract with the India Company ?-1 have held a coñtract all the time.
Is that a contriad for any particular quantity, or as many as they wanted?-As many as may be demanded from time to time.

But, within the laft three years, though you have had the fame contract, you have not had the fame demands upon that contract?-No; with the exception of the laft feafon, I think I have fent out more this feafon than for the laft three years before, as neat as I can judge.

To what caufe do you attribute that ?-I cannot attribute it to any caufe, any further than they give me orders for them.
You mentioned that the orders were fufpended, becaufe the Company were in doubt about their charter? -II judged that.

And in this laft feafon you have had latge ordets again ?-Yes.
As large as ever?-No, not as large as ever; very confiderable, certainly.
Under what terms is the contract now fubfiting terminable; what is the nature of it, as to time ?-There is no limited time.

Is it to be deternined by either party then ?-Yes.
In fhort, you have the work of the Company ?-Yes.
Within the laft year, was your employment to make blocks to fend out to India, or for the fhips in the River?-I have a fock by me, which I have for the anticipation of the Company; which we ase obliged to do when the fhips are away.

That was to fend out to India ?-Yes.
But upon the whole, you fent out a fair average quantity of blocks this feajon? Yes.

And no alteration has been made in the terms of your contract?-No.
In what refpect is it, the teak gun-carriages are better than thofe made in Europe?
-They are not fo liable to rot.
Are they as liable to fplinter?-More fo.
Are they more liable to fplit as well as to fplinter? -Yes.
Are they then, upon the whole, preferable ?-They are not liable to any great accidents.

Are there many block-makers in the River, befides yourfelf?-Yes.
But you are principally employed by the Eaft India Company?-I am, and the owners of Eaft India Thipping.

Have you been in the habit of fupplying the private fhips ?-I have.
To a confiderable extent?-Not to a confiderable extent.
To 2 greater extent latterly than formerly ? - No.
But you ftill think, you have fupplied a greater quantity of the blocks required by the black thips, than any other block-maker?-No, I do not think I have.

Can you fate any perfon who has?-I think Mr. Milner ; I think he has fupplied moft.

You fay, you have a contract for fupplying the blocks for the fhips built in India ? - Yes, on the Comipany's account.

Then if there are more fhips built in India, you will have more blocks to make?
-I cannot fay that ; the private individuals fend out blocks for their fhips, as well as the Company; I hould judge there would be a greater demand.

It would make up the deficiency for fupplying the Company's fhips here ?-That depends on circumitances, for they are making blocks in India.

They do not make thofe blocks well?-No, they do not.
Do you fuppofe that fhip-owners would not take a good block in preference to a bad one ?-1 fhould think fo.

And the difference of price would not be an object?-I hould think not.
It is an article eafily exported ?-Yes, it is.
Did you ever furnifh any blocks for any of the King's yards?-I never did.
No fudden call was ever made upon you for affiftance of blocks from the King's yardi?-Never.

You have faid that blocks, gun-carriages, pumps and tops, are made in India ; do you know when firft they began to be made in India ?-I cannot fay ; partially fo, a good many years ago.

Do you know whether the manufacture of thofe articles in India is on the increafe, or not?-I cannot exactly fay that; I have found more blocks come home now than heretofore.

India-made blocks ? -Yes.
As well as carriages and pumps?-Yes.
Do you know whether any Indiatnen not built in India, have beex ferved with blocks, carriages or puthps, made in India? - Not to miy knowledge.

You have ftated, that you fent blocks for the fupply of fhips built in India, that is, not confined to thofe who come to Eutope, but thofe which are employed in the country ?-I fuppofe they are employed in the fhips for the country trade.

Is your off-lend of blocks, pumps and carriages, for thofe fhips, upon the increafe or decreafe? -On the decreafe; we never fent gun-carriages out as fores.

Mr. In what way have you fent them out; have you merely fitted the thips here with
them?-Exactly.
In what way have you fent out blocks?-As ftores.
You only deal with the Company ?-Yes, and Eaft India owners.
The Company is the only exporter of naval fores?-Yes, except by Orders in Council.

May not the greater number of India-made blocks that come home now, be owing to the deficiency of fupply in this country ? - That I cannot fpeak to.

What do you think, with regard to the quality of the blocks?-With regard to the quality, I would employ European blocks.

They have been forced to ufe the country blocks, becaule they could not get European blocks?-No; I did not fay that.

Have you ever known a black hip come home entirely in country blocking? No, not entirely.

Partially fo, only ?-Partially fo, I have.

## Mr. Cbarles Carter, called in; and examined by. Mr. Adolphus.

$M r$.
You are, I undertand, an overfeer employed by the owners of Eaft India and other fhips, to fuperintend the building and repairing of thofe hips? - Xes.

How long have you been employed To ?-Since the year 1780 ; about four-and thirty years I have been in the Eaft India fervice, as carpenter to the Eaft India thips, and overfeer to the Eaft İndia Company's fhips.

To what bufinefs were you bred ?-A Ahipwright.
And ferved a regular apprenticefhip to it?-Yes; and my father before me; and was brought up under my father.

Then all your life you have been experienced about the building of fhips ?-Yes, fhips and other craft in the River.

Are you well acquainted with thofe merchants yards, in which the larger hipping have been built?-Yes, perfectly.
Particularly thofe where the Eaft India Chips have been built? - Yes.
And the men-of-war alfo ?-Yes; and the men-of-war and merchant fhips in the merchant yards.

Do you know by your own obfervation, whether the proprictors of thofe yards do their bufinefs in a fit and proper manner, according to their employment? - I always confidered it fo, for workmanhip and ftrength.

Are the raterials they ufe the beft that can be procured ?-I believe fo; I never faw to the contrary.

Both for Eaft India Company's and Government Thips, have they ufually employed every thing in the beft ?-As far my knowledge goes, I never faw any defect, either in workmanfhip or materials.
Was it your duty to detect any of thofe defects?-Certainly it was.
Was the bufinefs well done, both in fitting and equipment, fo as to conflitute the ftrength and well-being of the fhip? --It was.:

Does the chip-building upon the Thames materially depend upon the building of Eaft India Chipping ? - Very matetially $;$ it has been in the parilh of Rotherhithe, , where I refide.

Are the eftablifhments upon the Thames, with which you are acquainted, in a ftate of increafing, or declining profperity at this time ?-They are declining at prefent, for want of bufinefs.

Are they for the moft part doing any bufinefs at all at this time ?-Very little indeed; I believe I may fay one half of the mechanics, in our vicinity, are out of employment.

Committee ]-How long has that beẹn the cafe ?-It has been the cafe thefe three months.

Mr Adolphus. 7 -Were theyin full employment before that, or declining ? - When Government bufinels was going oins-the yards were generally, employed, till within thefe three months.

Have there been, any Indiamen built in any of thofe yards, within thefe two years?-Only one, I believe.

Do you know, upon aniaverage, how many a year ufed to be built before?-I fhould rather fuppofe from four to fix or feven, generally,?\%,

Committee.]

- Conmittee.]-What period are you fpeaking of; before the laft two years?-Yes.

Mr. Adolpbus.]-Do you mean that from fix or feven, it diminihhed to four; or, that four, fix, and feven, were promifcuous numbers? -Sometimes four, and fometimes fix and feven were building in the yards from Gravefend to Rotherhithe.

The Company, I underftand, do not permit the builders to put fhips up upon fpeculation, and afterwards to be furveyed and bought by them? -That never is the cafe now; it might have been.

Has that been the cafe at any time within your knowledge? - Not in the River.
You fpoke of half the mechanics in your neighbourhood being out of employ-. ment?-Yes.

Your attention has been confined to thofe eftablifhments where the large flipping have been built for the Eaft India Company, and for Government?-Yes.

Thofe eftablifhments, I underftood you to fay, cannot be carried on with advantage, if the Eaft India Company and Government fhipping are removed from them? -Certainly, they will have no employment.

Then 1 ank you, whether you have any means of judging of the average number of mechanics employed in thofe eftablifhments?-Yes, probably fix or feven hundred fhipwrights, in the River.

At an average, at one time?-I dare fay there is.
And how many other mechanics ?- Three or four hundred fawyers, I dare fay.
Do you flate this from any particular means of knowledge, or merely from your own obfervation?-Nothing; it only comes to me junt as you alk me the queftion.

It is merely the reprefentation of your own mind, from your own obfervation? It is my own obfervation, from paffing down the River fo many years, and looking at them.

What other mechanics are there?-Caulkers; I fuppofe four or five hundred caulkers, and probably two hundred joiners, painters and plumbers; I never made. any calculation of; nor blackfmiths.

Of all thofe, you cannot give an accurate view, of courfe?-I have made no calculation, and therefore probably might be incorrect.
Do you think half thofe perfons have any employment now?-I do not think they are half employed now.

Is the effect of the want of employment to reduce them to confiderable diftrefs? It has reduced them to diftrels, and induced them to apply to their parih in Rotherhithe; fome of thofe who ufed to fupport their families, have applied to the parifh fupport weekly.
Do you know that of your own knowledge? - Yes, I do; for 1 have to attend to fome of the parih concerns.

What office do you hold in the parih? ?-None; 1 have gone through them all, but I generally attend at the veftries.

So as to have exach information of the ftate of the poor in your own parifh?Yes.

Can thofe perfons who are fo out of employment and feeking relief, fuddenly betake themfelves to other work?-I do not think there is other work for them to find to do.
Are the perfous employed as thipwrights, all regular-bred Ihipwrights?-They are all, either in London or the Out-ports.

Does the education of a thipwright enable him to follow any other trade immediately, without any other inftruction; 1 mean his bringing up as a flipwright, could he become a carpenter or wheelwright?-He would be at a lofs to follow any other branch, without it was Chip-building or boat-building, or lighter-building; if a man is a good mechanic at one thing, he could foon be a good one at another. I have experienced all trades myfelf, as well as mon people, and I could turn my hand to the bufinefs of a wheelwright, if I could get it; but the dificulty is to find out work where there is a vacancy for a man to go to.

And would you take up that new trade without fome new apprenticefhip or inftruction firl ? $-I$ do not fuppofe I could; it would not be allowed.
But would your fkill enable you to do fo?-lt would take a great deal of prattice to follow any other trade.
I afked you before, as to the India fhips built in the yards; have you obferved the building of men of war in the yards?-1 have.

Were thofe as well built as it was pofible for them to be?-In my opinion well; I have frequently infpected the fhips building in Mr:Brents yards at

Mr:- Rotherfithe, being fo near me, and I frequently have bufinefs there at the time; I
Have you feen fhips for Government built in other yards befides Mr. Brent's ? I have, but moft fo in Mr. Brent's, it is more in my way.

Under your obfervation, do thofe thips deferve the good character you have given of the other fhips that were built in the merchants yards ?-In my opinion, perfectly fo.

Could they be built betten in the King's yards, or any where elfe than they were there?-I do not think it was in their power to build them better, or of better materials.

Thofe fhipwrights that you have fpoken of being out of employment; can you continue to take apprentices and bring up other perfons to follow the bufinefs after them? -They will not take apprentices, of courfe, if they have no profpect of work.

## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

You fay that half the mechanics in the yards of which you have fpoken, have fallen out of work within the laft three months?-Yes.

They were in full employment, if I underftand you, juft before that ?-Yes.
You faid, they were in full employment while the Government bufinefs was going on?-The greateft part of them.

Will you explain what you mean by Government bufinefs?-While the contract frigates were building at Blackwall. I believe there were as many as nine or ten frigates contracted for by Government to be built in the River, which employed a great number of people that are now unemployed.

Then, if I underftand you, while thofe frigates were building, the whole trade was in pretty good work?-Yes; with the fitting out of the Eaft and Weft Indiamen.

I fancy the trade is fubject to this fort of variation, is it not?-Quite fo.
In the courle of your experience, you have known inftances of thatt kind?-Not equal to this, nor any thing like it, in any relpect whatever.

You afcribe, however, this decline to the falling-off of the building of frigates for Government?-Or other Chips.

You flated, that till within the laft two years; there were generally about four, five, fix, or feven fhips building for the India Company ?-Yes.
Can you fate at all what is the length of time in which one of thofe fhips' was built, on an average?-Two years in building, or thereabouts: from the commencement of their building to the finifhing of them, they have been built in that time.

Committee.]-What tonnage do you fpeak of?-Eight hundred tons, and twelve hundred tons'; they have been built int that time.
Mr. Grant.]- Upon an average, what time were they in building?-Two years, with the launching.
You fay the fhipwrights can turn their hands to other employments in the carpentering line?-Some of them; yery few.

What is the principal obftacle to their fo doing ? Their not.being accuftomed to any other trade, fo that the generality of them would be very much at a lofs to follow any other trade; they would find it difficult to get into any other trade which would fuit them; any other mechanical bufinels.

But could they not find other bufinefs in the carpentering line?-They would be at a lofs to. follow any other bufinefis in the carpentering line; there are very few Thipwrights that would be able to make joiners; they would be able to put up a hedge; but they make very bad houfe carpenters, moft of them.
I underfland you to fay, that the chief obitacle to their going into thofe other mechanical lines is, that they wauld not be permitted to do fo, or that they would not find work?-For very good realons: If I were a mafter houfe-carpenter, I would employ a man that had been accuftomed to the bufinefs of houfe-carpenter, if I could get one, becaưfe you do not take a man to learn him his bufinefs; after he is become a man perhaps in years, you cannot take him and make him what he never was brought up to.:

You mean to fay, that he might turn his hand to that bufinefs, but that there would be difficulty in teaching him how to perform his work ?-Yes, both in getting employment, and turning his hand to it.

Do you conceive their prefent line is more profitable than any other thing in the carpentering line?-The wages of hipwrights are the top wages for working men; generally fo

Have they varied at all of late years? - Not for this fome time; not for thefe three or four years; for the day's pay.

For thefe three or four years, up to the prefent time, they have not varied? -No.

They work by tafk-work mofly, do not they?-Mofly; there muft be fome that work by the day; fome part of the work you cannot let by jobs.

Do you mean to fay that fort of day-work mult be done by regularly bred fhip-wrights?-Yes.

Has the pay for talk-work fallen off within the time you have mentioned ?-No, I do not think it has.

I undertand you to flate, that the fhipwrights employed on the Thames have generally ferved a regular apprenticelhip, either in London or at the Outports?Yes.
Are there many who have ferved their time at the Outports?-A great number.
Do you mean to fay it is ufual for them to come from the Outports to London? -Yes.

What drives them hither?-They want men in London probably to difpatch bufinefs; and if a man wants work at Sunderland or Hull, he may have a friend in London who may write to him, and advife him to come, and he nay bring a friend, or relation or two, and they have their indentures, and can go into any yard in London; and that has brought a good many from all parts.

You mean to fay, there has been fo much demand for fhipwrights in London that perfons bred at the Outports have found it their intereft to remove hither? - Yes.

Probably they have found better wages here than at the Outports?-Yes.
How lately have you known any fhipwrights to apply to the parih for relief?Within thefe three weeks.
It has never happened but within that time ?-No, not for able men who have been competent to work.

You mean that thofe who have been paft work, and thofe who have been diftreffed for a feafon, have before applied?-Yes.

Do you know the difference of wages in London and at the Outports for fhip-wrights?-I fuppofe in all probability as much as eighteen-pence a day in London.
Eighteen-pence a day better in London?-Yes,
Is it from your own knowledge you ftate that?-Yes; I have been in the North, and beard their wages.

Is that lately ?-Two years ago.
Have you had fuch a comperent experience both at Landon and in the Outports, as to enable you to fay, that upon the whole the wages are better in London than in the Outports?-I believe they are.

And you believe them to be fo much better as to have induced fhipwrights to have removed from the Outports to work in London ?-Yes, I do.

> [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

Perhaps allo it is within your knowledge, that living is a good deal cheaper in the North than it is in London?-I believe it is; I have feen a man with a fifh as much as he could carry on bis fhoulder, for which he gave half-a-crown, a cod-filh; at Shields filh is particularly cheap.
You never faw that in London, I prefume? - No.
Upon the whole is it within your knowledge, that living is confiderably cheaper at the Outports than in London?-Certainly; more than the difference of wages, I think.
I underftand you to fay, that the tools ufed by a fhipwright are quite different from thofe ufed by a carpenter?-Moftly fo; there are few tools of a fhipwright that are ufed in other ccmmon trades.

Is the action of the arm in ufing them different from what is ufed by a houfecarpenter, or any other mechanic of that kind?-Yes; the carpenters ofe a fmall adze, and the fhipwrights a large one.

It is all very different, and requires a different action of the body and arm? - Yes.
The work of a bipwright is the more ftrong and violent of the two ?-Very much fo.

Mr. Wouid it therefore be ealy for a man ufed to ohipwrights work to become expert as a joiner or carpenter, or any of thofe works which require more neatnefs of labour?-He would be a long time before he would acquire that neatnefs of work that would give fatisfaction to his employer.

You fay the wages gained by a flipwright are top wages for a working man ?Yes, for mechanical wages; caulkers wages are as good, but they have i.ot a conftancy of work like the fhipwrights; they work by tafl.

Can a man earn thofe wages as a fhipwright except while he is in the full vigour of his body ?-I do not fuppofe he can ; he muft do a great deal of work for the day's pay.

And does every part of that work require the putting out of a great deal of Atrength ? - Molt of it.

Up to what time of life do you reckon a man able to earn thofe top wages, as a thipwright, generally feaking, one with another?-Till abour fifty years of age.

Would you in general, if you had to employ men, think thofe beyond that age fit to be employed in that heavy and hard work ?-1 would take tiem if they were good characters.

Would they have Arength for their labour?-Yes; it would depend upon how they had lived in the early part of their lives.

Can they in general, after the age of fifty, take their thare in a working gang fo as to go on with the reft?-A great many can, for probably five or ten years longer.

If the fhipwrights now difmiffed were willing to go into other trades at the humbleft wages that can be given in thofe trades, could they get employment, according to your obfervation?-I do not fee at prefent that they could.

Mr. Grant.]-In your judgment, are the fhipwrights that have removed from the Outports to London under the idea of getting a more profitable employment, generally difappointed?-I think they have been difappointed now.

But generally fpeaking is that the cafe?-A man never looks to the value of money, but he looks to how much money he will receive on the Saturday night.

Then in your judgment they have generally miftaken their intereft in coming here?-I think fo.

Have you known many of them return? - No, very few ; and to account for that, they have married in the parifhes where they have been engaged, probably a thipwright's daughter, or another mechanic's daughter ; there they are fixed, and it is no uffe for them to go back again, of courfe.

## [Examined bj the Committtee.]

Is it a certain confequence of coming from the North to the South that he fhould marry immediately ?-It is generally the cafe.

Have you feen carriages, pumps or blocks, that were made in India ?-I have.
How long is it fince you firf faw brought here in hips, as part of the furniture of an Indiaman, blocks, pumps or carriages, that had been made in India ?-Upwards of twenty years.

Are they as good as the like articles made at home?-Yes, fome of the blocks are.

Are the pumps and carriages much in the fame proportion?-The pumps likewife, they are made of fuch durable materials, and put together in fuch a manner, that they will laft as long as any material in this country, except copper.

Are they as well conftructed as the like articles made in this country, pumps, blocks and carriages ?-Yes, generally fo; I will not fay as to all the blocks, but they can make very good blocks in the Eaft Indies, which anfwer every purpofe, and are of good duration ; the wood is orittle, and likely to ly and break, and lo will the clm blocks made in this country; but the wooden pumps Ithink are fuperior to our wooden pumps made in the port of London.

Are thofe articles made in India now growing better in conftruction, or worfe ?-I think better; they improve.

Do you know whether they are now growing more into ufe than they were ? They are.

If the whole of the building of India thips Thould difappear from the Thames, would a certainty of eight or nine frigates to be built for Government in the mer: chants yards compenfate for the lofs of the India building?-I cannot fay.

I think you have faid, the ufual build for India was from four to feren or eight hips a year?-I did; I obferved that.

Can you fay what has been the ufual build of men of war in the merchants yards for Government in each year? -No ; I have never made any calculation.

Of courfe it would vary very much ?-Certainly; and I have not had an opportunity of taking notice of it.

To how, many fhips, fuch as are ufually built in the merchants yards by Government, do you reckon the build of from four to feven or eight Indiamen equal 2 r-m In all probability, I fhould fuppofe as many thips each way.

Then the thips ufually built for the India trade are much of the fame burthen as thofe hips which Government, upon emergency, have built in the merchants yards?-Taking them on the average, I dare lay they are.

Have you feen teak-built Chips?-Yes; I have feen them built.
In India?-Yes.
Do you think them as well put together and built as the home-built fhips P-Mes; they are certainly well put together, and well built.

Well fitted?-The work is done very nice, and the timber is of that nature, that when put together it -will remain there.

You faid that you were able to fpeak as to the.proportion of wages at this prefent time, between the Outports in the North, or the Outports generally, and the port of London; the wages of fhipwrights?-I did.

What do you think is the difference of pay per diem to a thipwright in the Outports, and in the port of London ?-Upon the day, I fuppofe upon the average eighieen-pence.

You fpeak this of your own knowledge? - Yes.
Of courle you know the prices in the port of London, at this moment; have you had an opportunity of coming at the prices in the Outparts?-Yes; I have within thele two years.

Then you do not know within two years, of your own knowledge, what have been the wages of a Chipwright in the Outports?-I do not.

Then your proportion of which you fpeak, between the wages of a fhipwright in the port of London and in the Outports, is founded on the prefent prices of fuch wages in London, and the prices in the Outports two years ago ? - Yes.

Have the wages in the port of London varied within thefe two years?-No.
Do you know that the wages in the Outports have not varied within thefe two years?-I cannot fay.

As you fay you have mitneffed the building of fhips in India, do you know what is the price of a hipwright's wages there?-No, I do not recollect now; I have not been in India the le eighteen years.

Do you know that the building of fhips has increafed in the Outports lately ? I do not think it has lately.

Have you heard that the building of fhips has increafed in the ports of Sunderland and Newcafte-upon-Tyne?-Not Eall India thipping; merchant thipping has, for the Welt India trade and the tranfport fervice.

Do you think that an increafe of building for any purpofe whatever in thofe Ports would alfo produce a fudden increafe of fhipwrights wages in thofe Ports?Yes, I think fo.

Then do you think, knowing that the building has increafed in thofe Ports, that the wages have alfo increafed in thofe Ports?-I Ihould fuppofe it has, in confequence of the great quantity of building within thefe two years.

Does your courfe of bulinefs and employment give you an opportunity of knowing the quantity of bufinefs going on in the King's yards? -No, I do nor know any thing of the King's yards. I very feldom go into them, and do not take particular notice.

Not even of the number of fips building? -No.
Since you have known the Thames, what do you confider the period of the higheit profperity in point of fhip-building?-Within thefe laft ten years.

But more particularly, within thefe laft ten years, what year fhould you name?
-About eight or ten years back there was a great profperity of building.
About the end of the laft war ?-Yes.
Did the Committee undertand you, to fay there was only one Ealt India Chip
built the year before laft?-No; one fhip at Blackwall.
What was her name, The Lady Melviile?-I believe it was.
Have you any idea of the number of Indiamen built within the laft three years? No, I have not.
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Do you think it was lefs than a dozen?-I dare fay it might be about ten or twelve.

And is that more or lefs than the average for the ten years you fpeak of?- Ft is lefs.
'Should you not be furprifed to hear that for the laft ten jears the average has been onty twop-No, I hould not be furprifed.

You would not be furprifed $P-I$ hould not expeet to hear it.
What number of fhipwrights would be employed in building an eight-hundred-ton thip? - Probably fifty fhipwrights.

And how many artificers of all other forts? P-Probably about a hundred.
Altogether do you mean? - Yes, take the average, probably a hundred men.
For how long? -Eighteen or twenty months.
Could other carpenters work as fhipwrights?-Yes, better than a fhipwright could be made a houle-çarpenter of; they could affift and work with other men as hipwrights:

They could ufe the tools?-They could after fome time; it would take foine time to learn; they could bore holes and do hard labour, and in the courfe of time they could work with other men.

Could they work with the fame tools which they have been afed to as houfe-carpenters?- No , they could not with the tools they have been ufed to as houfecarpenters; not generally ; they might ufe a plane.

What are the tools of a fhipwright, which they could not work with ?-They would not be able to work with the adze equal to a hhipwright, nor the axe, nor with the maul, nor the auger.

Any'other?-No, I da not know any thing elle in particular.
You have feen fhips built in India?-Yes.
Do the India fhip-builders ufe thofe tools?-No, they do not ufe any of the fame tools, they ufe chifels and adze, fuch as they make; the adze is a mallet allo, which they ufe to drive a chifel with; they ufe mauls to drive bolts with.

## Charles H. Turner <br> $E_{f q}$.

Cbarles. Hampden Turner, Efq. called in; and examined by Mr. Harrison.
In what particular bufinels are you?-I am a rope-maker and a canvas-manufacturer and fail-maker.

How long have you been engaged in thofe bufineffes?-I have been engaged for very many years as a fail cloth-maker, and as a rope-maker for fourteen or fifteen years.

In that bufinefs have you been engaged in the fupply of Indiamen and mips of a large defeription?-Yes, I have.

Have you fo far yourfelf conducted the aative part of the bufinefs, as to give you a competent knowledge of the quantity of cordage and fails that are required for fhips of different fizes, price, and fo on ?-Yes.

Have you made calculation upon fhips of different fizes, with reference to the quantity of cordage they tequire, the value and the duty? - Yes.

And have you made the fame calculations upon the fubject of canvas?-Yes.
Have you brought, for the affiftance of the Committee, thole calculations fet down on paper, in fuch a way as to be able to put them in ?-I have broughit them on paper, for my own guidance.

On a hip of 1,200 tons, what is the expence of cordage and duty ?-She requires, on her firt fitting, 65 ton; I mean for an Eaft India hip to be fitted according to the rules of the Eaft India Company.

Committee.]-Are you employed by the Company ?-We are in the habit of making cordage for them; we are not exclufively employed.

Mr.Harrifon.]-What additional quantity does a veffel of that fize want, on the average, after the firt voyage?-Speaking upon an average, 44 tons for each fucceeding voyage.

If a veffel goes any number of voyages after the firft, you reckon upon the average, putting out of the quettion any deftruction by gales, the would require 44 tons for cvery fucceeding voyage?-Yes.

That will about fupply the wear and tear? ? Yes.
Will you ftate the value of that, according to the' price of hemp? - That will depend entirely on the price of hemp, which yery much fluctuates; it tluauates from $\mathfrak{E} 60$, to $\mathscr{E}_{120}$ a ton.

Within what period has it fluctuated fo much as that?-Within the laft fix or Cbartes H. Turner Seven years; probably it has not been fo low as $\mathfrak{E} 6$. but it has been at $\mathscr{E} 120$.

When was it at $\mathscr{E} 20$.? - Within the laf two years.
Did that arife from the ftate of political circumftances.in the North of Europe? Certainly.

That is a price not likely to occur again jort immediately?-I fhould hope not.
Could you, from your general knowledge of the trade, and the value of cordage, give what you conceive an average price, independent of thofe accidental circumftances which raifed the price?-I fhould think not, becaufe twenty-five years ago, it was not more than about eight-and-twenty pounds a ton; it is now at feventy; and 1 think that it is rather upon the rife than fall; that is the import price'; it cannot sow be imported for lefs.

And you do not think it is likely to fall? -I do not think it will ; there is a very low and dull fate at this moment.

Have you calculated the value on the firft and other voyages?-I have only given the quantity.

What is the price of cordage now when hemp is at feventy?-About two-andtwenty pounds a ton increafe.

Committee.]-Whatever the price of hemp is, do you put that on, in all cales, as the price of labour, and fo on?-No; when hemp was cheaper, we fhould not have fo much.

But you add that to the price now?-Tes.
$\therefore$ Is that your conftant meafure, to add about two-and-twenty pounds a ton to the price of hemp ?-No.

Mr. Harrifon.]-The value of the wafte makes a difference?-There is a wafte in it.

You muft therefore charge a higher price, if hemp was to get to $\mathscr{E}_{120.2}$ ton inftead of'S 70. ?-Certainly.

But you confider about two and-twenty, which is about one-third, as a fair addition for hemp at feventy?-Cordage is now about $£$ go. a ton.

Committee.]-Would you add about a third, if hemp was at any given price? -No.

You cannot give any rule for charging that?-No.
Mr, Harrifon. -What is the duty on that?-The duty is from nine to ten pounds a ton on the hemp:

The duty is not laid ad valorem?-No, the duty is put on the ton.
What is the quantity of cordage required on the firf voyage, and the average 'quantity on the fucceeding voyage, for a hhip of 800 tons?-Fifty tons on the firt voyage, and 35 tons on every fucceeding voyage.

The fame data will give us the means of calculating the value and duty on that? -Yes.

The duty is on the hemp, not the cordage P -Upon the hemp.
What is the quantity upon a veffel of 600 tons? -On the firft royage, 30 -tons; and un the fucceeding voyages, 20 tons.

The defcriptions of veffels you are alluding to, are the fort of veffels employed in the Eaft India trade?-Yes, they are.
Hitherto very few vefiels have been employed in the Eaft India trade below that tonnage?-I do not know of any.

Now I will trouble you to give the quantity, on veffeks of a fmaller defcription, of 400 tons?-I can only give that in proportion to others.

Your practical knowledge does not extend to the fmaller veffels?-Yes, my practical knowledge extends to it; but no veffels have been fitted at 400 tons, to my knowledge.

Suppofing the trade carried on by merchants, is the proportion found by a veffel under the contract for the Eaft India Company, greater than the quantity a thipowner muft have upon his veffel.? We believe it does not exceed the quantity that thould be allowed by every merchant that fends a veffel to the Eaft Indies.

Do you know, from having fupplied veffels of that fize, what a well-found veffel does carry out?-Yes.

You have mentioned a contract; is that for the veffels employed by the Company, or to fend out to India ?-Nio, for the fupply of the Compariy's Slips here.

Have you had any contract for the Eaft India Company, for the fupply of fhips to rend out to lndia?-Yes.

Carles H. Turner What do private fhips carry out?-They would carry in proporion, certaindyt lefs than the Regulations of the Eaft India Company require.
Do the largeft fized South Whalers carry out the fame quantity? -I fhould ap hend quite, in proportion.

Does the contract apply to veffels belonging to the India Company themfelves No; it is merely when they have required cordage as an article of merchandize, in we and other manufacturers have made it for them.

That is, to fend out to India ?- Yes.
What defcription of cordage is that, as to the fort of veffels it was applicable to: Of all fizes, from fmall ropes to large cables.

Was it of a defcription to fupply veffels of 1,200 tons, as well as fmaller veffe -Yes.
: IJoryou alfo fupply veffels belonging to private owners with cord ?-Yes.
Befides what you fupply to the Company ?-O yes; what we fupply to the Co pany forms a very fmall part.
Thofe are veffels employed by the Eaft India Company ?-Yes.
Is the 65 ton that you have ftated to be the quantity neceflary for a thip of 1,2 , tons on her firft voyage, the quantity you have furnifhed to a pivate owner of a $\Omega$ employed by the India Company?-Yes.

And the fame with reference to fhips of 800 ton and 600 ton ?- Exactly.
Now 400 tons; what would you give to that?' 25 tons on the firlt voyage, a 15 tons on each fucceeding voyage.
, Now I will thank you for a veffel of 300 tons?-20 tons upon the firft voyag and in tons upon the fucceeding voyages.
Have you fupplied canvas in the fame way?-Yes.
Both to individual owners of fhips that are in the Eaft India employ, and al have you fupplied canvas to the others?-Yes, both as canvas, and have made up as fails.

I will beobliged to you to give me the fupply of fails and canvas, for a veffel 1,200 tons?- One fuit of fails for a veffel of 1,200 tons, requires 8,500 yards.
What is the value of canvas for that one fuit?-That varies very confiderab with the value of the raw material ; from the neareft calculation I can make, I ce it $\mathfrak{E}_{4}$.

Is that upon the prefent price of canvas?-It is.
Has there been the fame fluctuation in the price of canvas as in the price 1 cordage?-Yes, quite as much fluctuation in the raw material.

You have given the quantity of canvis for a fuit of fails; the value on the prefer
 fuits, and a third of a fuit when the is firf equipped.

You have two complete fuits?-It is two complete fuits, and a third forefail, third fore-top-fail, a third fore-top-garliant-fail, and fome other fails, fo as to mak up about the third of a fuit.

That is two and a third for the firft voyage ; what is the quantity that is wanted $t$ keep up the equipment of the veffel for the other voyages, on an average?-Abou one fuit and a quarter on each fucceeding voyage.

You reckoned them to have one complete fuit always, and the repair of the wea and tear about a quarter more?-Yes.

Have you put into any form the aggregate quantity of the whole canvas fupplie on the firt voyage? - No, I have calculated on the feven royages.

On a veffel of 800 ton, I will thank you to give me the fame defcription?6,300 yards of canvas for one fuit of fails; $\mathfrak{E} 698$, the value of canvas ; ${ }^{2} 9 ;$. the value of fails; two fuits and a third required for the firft voyage; and one fuit and a quarter on the fucceeding voyages.

Has the fupply of cordage fent out to India increafed or decreafed of late? Very much decreafed within the laft five years.

Can you ftate in what proportion it has decreafed ?-No, that I cannot; I am not prepared with that, or I could have ftated it ; but the India Company ufed to export very largely upon their awn account, and they now export very little.
${ }^{-}$Are you able to. ftate the caufe of the decline?-I apprehend; from the increafe of the manufacture of cordage in India from the Indian hemp, and the other articles they make cordage of.

The fact of the decreafe you know, as being engaged in the trade, and you frate, it has been confiderable; has it been in a progreflive ftate of decreafe withir the Jaft four or five years?-It has; the export of the Company has nearly ceafed.

Then as far as they wanted fupply, they are almoft out of the Englifh market?- Charles K.T.Turuer They are.
Do you know any thing of the quality of the Indian hemp? - Yes, we do.
Have you ever had any of it to manufafure in this country ?- We have manufac. tured of it largely.
Of what quality is the hemp you have had from India to manufacture? -We have manufactured fome lately for the Navy Board, which has been very good.

I take for granted the Navy Board would not have had it, unlefs the hemp would make a good article?-The Navy Board engaged with the India Campany, a few ycars ago, for 20,000 tons of Indian hemp; it was taken largely, and the Eaft India Company feat home fun, and fent home hemp. We have manufactured for the Navy Board the fun as well as the hemp; but that which I allude to is hemp; it was. cither Concan hemp or Salfette hemp.
Was it of a quality equal to the Ruflian hemp you get?-It was very little in ferior; it was more difficult to manufacture, from not having been fo well cleaned in India as the Ruffian hemp is in Ruffia. The Navy Board, about two years ago, purchafed a confiderable quantity of Indian hemp, for which they gave, by the advice of their officers, a higher price than at that day Ruffian hemp was worth, from the houfe of Sharpe \& Sons.

They gave, then, a higher price, of courfe, for the fine quality, than at that time was the price of Ruffian hemp? -Yes.

Is it of a quality, particularly when they have got the practice of cleaning it, that will enable the people in India to fupply themfelves on the fpot with cordage, without. reforting to this country ?-Moft undoubtedly.
As a mannfacturer of cordage in this country, do you look to the fupply of cordage to the Eaft Indies, as part of your employment? - Certainly, a very large part.

If they manufa氏ture hemp of equal quality there, will that interfere with your manufature?-Certainly, molt materially.

It will entirely take away the market, of courfe ?-Certainly.
The information you have given us now, is applied to hemp which you manufactured ; there is an article called "Sun," of what defription is that article?-It is of another quality, and is not fo ftrong as the Ruffian hemp by about a third.

Is it hemp?-No, it is not hemp, though it is the opinion of Doctor Roxburghe, that it is the hemp plant, and its apparent difference is in the mode of preparing.
But this article called fun, is not equal in quality to the other hemp ?-No, certainly not.

In what degree can you fate it, fo as to give us any comparifon?-When the Navy Board found themfelves with a very confiderable quantiry of it, they were inclined to ufe it, and applied to the rope manufactory in which I am engaged, to knowwhether we could apply it fuccelsfally for cordage or cables for the Navy; and we gave it as our opinion, that according to pur mode of manufacture, if they would increafe the fize of the cables for the larger fhips from one to two inches diameter, that it might be plefully applied; and as a proof, they immediately ordered fo many cables as to put one or two in fome of the fhips in the North-fea fquadron during the laft winter; and as wehave heard no complaint of them, it is fair to infer no complaint is due.

Then it is an article, that though not equal to the quality of the other,' may, by increafing the diameter of the rope, be applied very ufefully?-Certainly.

The ftrength you confider as one-third lefs?-Yes.
Do yod know' an article called "Coire ?"-Yes.
Of what defcription is that?-I believe it to be the rind of the cocoa nut.
Have you ever manufactured any of that ?-No.
Have you feen cordage of it, fo as to form a judgement of its quality as a fubfitute for hemp?-Yes.
Will you be fo good as to fate to the Committee your opinion as to its quality; as a fuibfitute tor hemp-cordage? -I believe, until very lately, it was not confidered as a very good fubftitute, from its decaying in frefh water; but within the laft year or two, they have applied the operation of tarring to it the fame as to other cordage in India; and I am now given to underfand (it is not within my own knowledge) that it is ferviceable in all ways.

Then that has remedied the only defect there was in it ?-Yes.
Do you know the comparative ftrength of that with hemp-cordage? -It is exceedingly weaker ; I do not know the comparative ftrength.
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You have mentioned your mode of manufacturing ; is yours Mr. Huddart's ?Yes.
You manufacture by machinery, which brings the fibres of the hemp more longitudinally and regularly into the lay of the rope?-Yes.
Then I am to take as the refult of your evidence, that the Eaft India hemp is of a nature to enable you to make cordage of a very good quality? - Yes.
That the fun-hemp might be ufed very beneficially, but the coire-hemp, for its. inferiority of ftrength, probably not for the largeft defription of veffels?-1 am not acquainted with it fufficiently to fpeak to that.
Has your work for India thips fallen off of late, within thefe laft four or five years? -It has fallen off, particularly for fails, but not for cordage, becaufe the fhips chartered by the India Company are required to be fitted in this country with cordage.
Then in the articles of fails there has been a confiderable falling off?--Very much fo.

Cordage, as yet, has not fallen off in the fame proportion, becaufe the fhips that are built in India are fupplied with cordage from this country? -No; all the Indianbuilt fhips are fupplied there; thofe fhips that are built in India upon engagements with perfons in this country, I believe, have had the cordage fent to them from hence.
Committee.]-You mean the fhips engaged in the country trade?-Yes.
Mr. Harrifon.]-You do not know this of your own knowledge ?-Yes; I know of my own knowledge that thips that are built in India, for inftance the $r ; 200$-ton fhips that are building now, are fupplied 'with. cordage from this country; but the 1,200 -ton thips that are built there by the refidents there, are fupplied with cordage made in India.

Does this obfervation as to the fupply of cordage to the regular fhips built in India, apply to the cordage ufed for running-rigging, and that defcription of rigging, or only to the cables, fhrouds, and the larger-fized ropes of that fort ?-I fhould apprehend both with cables and flanding-rigging, and the larger part of the running-rigging.

But though that has been hitherto fupplied from England, India is perfectly competent to the fupply ? - Moft perfectly fo.

Both of fails and cordage?-Of fails I can give a very particular inftance; that I am at thís hour an owner of a fhip which I fuppofe to be launched, and on her way to England, and although an owner and a. fail-maker, I have not fupplied. her with a fail.

You are not an entire owner, I fuppofe ?-No; but the fails are found all of them in India.'

Was the cordage fent from England?-I believe fo, but I am not quite fure of that.
But the fails you know were fupplied in India ?-The fails I know were

## [Crofsexamined by Mr. Grant.]

When you ftated of that fhip, that you had not fent out any fails for her, do you mean to fay that the fails with which she is coming home are of Indian manu-facture?-Yes.

Do you know the fact ?-I have every reaton to believe it, becaufe I have alfo feen fimilar inftances in other fhips.
You have known hips come over under fuch circumftances, you conjecture that is the cafe here?-Yes.

You have mentioned a great fluctuation in the price of hemp; to what de you conceive that lluctuation to be owing? -It muft be from political circumftances.

Do you alcribe it to our having been dependent for the article upon a particular country?-It is from the general circumflances of the country, in its connection with foreign powers, being at war, and with the difficulty of obtaining it from Ruffia.

Hasit not been a great object with this country to procure fupplies of herop from other parts than Rufia? - It is no doubt a great object to be independent of any one country, and under that impreflion.I apprehend the Navy Board contracted with the India Board for 20,000 tons of hemp.
Has it come to your knowledge, that great pains have been taken by the Company's government in India to promote the culture of hemp?-I have great realon to believe that the India Company have ordered their fervants to beftow great pains upon'the culture of the article of hemp.
Do you know whether that attention has been extended to the manufacture of cordage
cordage or canvas?-I have great reafon to. think the improvement in canvas has Cbatles H. Turuer been very confiderable.
I want to know whether the fame attention has been beftowed by the government there to the manufacture of cordage and canvas, that you undertood has been paid to the culture of hemp? - The India Company do not manufacture either failcloth or cordage, it is done by individuals.

- Have you had an opportunity of feeing the cordage manufactured in India? $I$ have.
Will you flate the quality of it, as compared with cordage manufactured in this country ? 1 :cannot fpeak as to the comparative flrength of it, becaufe I do not recolled to have broken any of it, but it is manufactured with great care.
Do you underftand it to be manufatured by means of machinery? - No, I believe not.
Is not the great object fo to manufacture the cordage, that the fibres fhall have greater Atrefs laid upon them ?-Yes.
Do you think that fo feafible when it is done by the hand, as when it is done by machinery? - I know no means of doing it by the hand.

Can you flate from your own obfervation what is the quality of 'the fail-cloth manufacturcd in India, as compared with thore made in this country ?-The failcloth, although very much improved, is ftill inferior in point of workmanfhip.

Have you ever had occafion to fupply Indiabbuilt hipping when in this country. with either cordage or fails?-Yes, frequently.
Suppofing a loip of 1,200 tons goes eight fucceffive voyages, what is the amount of cordage upon the whole fhe confumes? - 773 tons, and the total of the duty paid is $2,984 \%$.

Committce.] In the eight voyages?-In the eight voyages.
Upon each ihip ?-Yes, I have a paper here which flates it.
Mr. Grant.] Suppofing a veffel to go a certain number of voyages between the two countries, and fuppofing after her firt out-fit the receives all her sepairs in this country, it can make very little comparative difference with refpect to the whole quantity of cordage, whether the is fitted out in this country or India?-It would make a difference of 65 tons.

## [Re-cxanined by Mr. Adolphus.]

Thefe fhips, prefuming them to be built in India, do they take their cordage here regularly upon every new voyage, or do they go back there where they have their firft cordage? -If they are in the India Company's fervice they take it from here.

They take their firft 65 tons from where they are built ?-No, they take it all from here; 1 do not know that it is in the contraft, but it has been the cuftum to fend the cordage from hence.

The fail cloth and the cordage made in India you defcribe as being inferior to thofe made here?-Yes.

But if the price is materially lefs there will be fill inducement enough to ufe them, I apprehend ?-Yes, that is the cafe at prefent.

You were offering to the attention of the Committee, a paper refpecting the general ofe of cordage and fail-cloth by the Eaft India Company ; what means have you of making that calculation?-It is a paper which I have formed for my own fatisfaction, as to the confequence of the fail-cloth trade, with reference to India, to fee whether it was of any material confequence to the country or not.

From what materials do you form that paper?-From the quantity of thipping employed by the India Company, and my own experience, as to the quantity of fails employed on each voyage.

What is the average number of fhips employed by the Company within the laft five years?-From 16 to 17 thips of 1,000 to 1,200 tons; 14 hips from 700 to 1,000 tons; and 16 fhips from 500 to 700 tons; then fuppofing thofe fhips to be replaced by fhips from this country, or fuppofing them to be annually outfitted in this country, it would require 1,375 tons of hemp; and 3,300 barrels of tar, to make 1,650 tons of cordage, which is the quantity required; it.will require 170 tons of flax, and 50 tons of hemp, for the fail-cloth, and there are a variety of other articles; the duty paid to Government upon the hemp and lax would be $\neq 13,600$.

[^0]Veneris, $22^{\circ}$ die Aprilis, 1814.
SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

## Charles Hampden Turner Efquire, appeared and defired to corrett a part. of his evidence of yefterday.

 SHOULD wifh to fay, in correction of what I fated yefterday, that in fome inftances I underftand, that fhips contracted for by the Eaft India Company to be built in India, have been fupplied with a confiderable part of their cordage of Indian manufacture.
## [Examined by the Committee:]

Is there any pofitive reguktion in your knowledge of the Eaft India Company, that India-built fhips fhould be fitted out by cordage, fail-cloth, iron, \&c. manufactured in Great Britain?-No, certainly not; the cuftom, of the Company is againft it moft particularly, fails I can fpeak to pofitively; I mean that many fhips. provide themfelves with fails in India, and which are allowed as part of their equipment on their fucceeding voyage from England.

What fort of fails are thofe?-All fort of fails; fails of every defcription.
Made of India canvas?-Made in India of Indian canvas.
Do you know that any machipery for the manufacture: of cordage and fail-cloth, has been fent from this country to India?-I am not aware of any.

Do you know of any wheelwrights, ropemakers and canvas-manufacturers having* been allowed to go to India, or being about to go there ?-No; I do not.
Do you know of any workmen in any other branches of manufacture, having gone or being about ta go to India? -No I do not.

Do you think, as the trade to India is now open to the Out-jorts, as well 25 to. the merchants of London, it is not likely that lhips of above 350 tons, will be built in India for that trade, inftead of being builf in Great Britain ?-I can have no doubj about it.

In that cafe, do you think it likely that iron, copper, cordage or fails, will be continued to be fent to India for thips built there, not employed by the India Company ?-Certainly not.

If the cordage and fails for India-built Ibips are made and manufactured in India, will it not extremely injure yourfelf and others extenfively engaged in the fame. manufacture in this country i-Moft undoubtedly.

Will wat there be a confiderable foll in the manufacure of cordage and fail-cloth. in this country after the war?-Beyond all doubt.

What proportion does the outfit of Thianessbuilt Indiamen, bear to the whole outfit of merchant ihips in the port of London?-I am not able to anfwer that queftion.

You have ftated that you thought that -if fhips were built in India, the equipmeat would ceafe to be fent from hence; the Committee conclude you know the ftate of the powers of India, and that part of the world, to fupply the materials of which that equipment conifils? - It is rather a broad quefion, and therefore must be anfwered very generally ; Iam very much in the habit of intimacy and acquaintance with gentlemen connected wifh India, and I have great reafon to believe that India is capable of fupplying (either is or will immediately be capable of fupplying) every article neceffary for the equipment of a thip.

Do you know, in point of fact, whether any iron has ever been manufactured by. India?-I undertand they are in the habit of cafting guns in India.

Is that India iron?-Xes.
Do you know that as a fact? - I do nut kuow it as a fact, but I believe fo.
Do you kniow any thing of copper being fupplied by India; do yon know any one cafe of a Mhip being coppered with copper fupplied by India? -That I cannor: fpeak to, hut I underfand there is copper in India.

Do you know, whelher that copper, from the expence at which it will be pro cured, and other circumftances, would be applicable to coppering thips ह-I caa only anfwer thefe queftions generally; but I believe it is.
Are you: a hip:owner yourfelf? -Yes, I am.

To a great extent? - Yes, to a confiderable extent.
Then it behoves you to confider of the confequence of all important meafures upon the building of fhips, and the ufe of fhips that are built in this country ?-Yes, certainly.

Tell the Committee your opinion, if fhips built in India are allowed to be regiftered under the Bill now before Parliament, do you think it likely any fhips will be built in Great Britain, for the trade between this country and India and China ?-Yes; I cannot fuppofe but that fo large a capital as is now embarked in the Britih fhipping, will to a certain extent be ftill employed; I apprehend the induftry of the Britifh merchant will endeavour to protect itfelf.

Do you think there will be a great fall-off in the build here, for that trade ?-I apprehend there will be a great fall-off.

Being much interefted yourfelf in the build of fhips here for that trade, have you any apprehenfion that it will fall off till the build here becomes very inconfiderable, and bears a very fmall proportion to the whole build for that trade?-I fhould apprehend it would.

You faid yefterday, difinguißhing between the fhips built for the India Company, and thofe built on private account, that the latter were fitted out entirely with Indian cordage, as you believed ?-Yes.

You mean as well the ftanding rigging as the running rigging and cables?Yes.

Have you ever had an actual opportunity of examining a Mhip built on private account in India coming into the River, fo as to form your opinion as to that fact? I have feen the cables.

Of what thips; can you recollect?-No; I cannot recolled the names of the thips.

Have you feen them, and more bips than one ?-I have feen cables from India, belonging to more fhips than one.

Have you ever been on board one of thofe private thips, and feen their cabling, ro as to fay their outfit was of Indian cordage? -I have not examined all the cordage or fails on board, but I have underfood them to be generally fitted with Indian cordage.

Have you ever had the purpofe and the opportunity of examining the cables of a fhip, fo as to be able to fay generally, whether her outfit was of Indian manufacture? -I have not examined all the ropes, but have fo underftood from the report of the captains of the fhips.

But you have never yourfelf fo infpected it, as to be able to fay fuch was the fat ?-I have never had fuch curiofity.

And in the fame manner as to the ftanding rigging; have you ever infpected the ftanding rigging of thofe fhips, fo as to fay it was generally of Indian manufacture ?-Upon recollection, I believe a hip built by the India Company came home with her ftanding rigging of Indian cordage; the was afterwards employed as a frigate or man of war, but I am not certain which.

Is that the only fhip to which your recollection extends?-No; I have feen other fhips in the India Docks with Indian ftanding rigging; I have underftood it to be the coire rope, covered up to prevent its Atretching.

Have you feen Ceveral fhips of that defcription?-I am not much in the habit of going on board them.

You mentioned fome Indian hemp that had been fent home to the Navy Board; have you ever known any come home on privare account?-Yes.

When?-The parcel I alluded to yefterday, as having been purchafed by Meffrs. Sharpe and Sons for the Navy Board, was purchafed by them at a public fale at the India Houfe.

Do you know the price they gave for it?-I happen to know within a trifle of what the.price was.

What was the price?-Somewhere about $E_{40}$. a ton.
The price of Ruffian hemp being at that time what?- 70. ; and they fold that parcel of hemp to the Navy Board for more than the price of hemp upon the day.

Was not that at a period when the communication with Ruffia was fhut?-No; it came from India in a very rough ftate, very rooty, and with a great deal of the original bark of the plant upon it; Meffrs. Sharpe put up fome machinery to clean it, in which they were fo fuccefsful as to render it in a ftate very fit for manufacture;

Cbarles H. Tarrier its ftrength turned out to be very confiderable, and the Navy Board gave a higher $E / q$. price for it afterwards than they were in the habit of giving for hemp from Ruffia. Have you any ided of what the colt of that cleaning was?-I cannot fay.
Or what the lofs upon the hemp in doing it was? -That was very confiderable.
You fay that Ruflia hemp was at that time for ? - I amn not certain as to that; but it was a few more pounds than the price of the Rufia hemp that was given to Meffrs. Sharpe.

Was it not when no Ruflia hemp was to be had ?-No.
What is the ordinary peace price of Ruffia hemp? - The laft peace we had, except the fhort peace, it was $\mathfrak{E} 30$. a ton; but now that there is every facility for introducing it into this country from Ruffy, it is at $\mathfrak{E} 70$ o the import price.

Have you any information as to the quantity of that Salfette hemp or Concang hemp that is produced in India?-I have no certain information, but I undertand it is confiderable.

Do you know the price it cofts to deliver it into this country? F No, I do not.
In that you manufactured for the Navy Board, was there any great lofs in cleaning it ?-It was greater than in other hemp, but not fo great as in the parcel that was purchafed by the Meffrs. Sharpe; it was in a far better flate, it has come from India in a gradual ftate of improvement, fince we firft faw the Sun, or Concang, or Salfette hemp.

The Sun comes in far greater quantifies than the hemp to this country ? - Yes, confriderably.
You did not purchafe any of that hemp yourfelf?-We never have purchafed any but for experiment.

You did purchafe fome from the India Conipany's fales?-No, not from the Eaft India Company's fales; but we have received famples for experiment from very many of our ewni houfes,

And you found them all to anfwer the Concang and Salfette hemp ? -We have found it would vary according to the quality.

Did you evet fee any of the cordage made from any of that on board any of the country flips?-Ithink I have ftated, I amblittle in the habit of going on board fhips, therefore the moft of the India cordage that I have feen, has either been fent to my own manufactory, or been feen in the merchants warehoufes.
Then you cannot fay, from your own knowledge, that it is applied to the rigging of fhips $?=$ Yes, I think I have flated, that in cne inflance or fo, I have feen it on board fhips; that which I faw, applied to the flanding rigging, I think I underftood was the coorfe.
Is the other cordage applied to flanding rigging?-I bave not feen it; but I am but little in the habit of going on board fhips.

Do not the otwhers of the Eaft India Company's fhips put confiderable quantities of fails and cordage on boatd as ftore $\overline{\text { F }}$ - No, they are allowed to put a quantity by the Company, and I do not know that they ever pat more.

Do not they difpofe of them frequently ? 1 am not aware of that; 1 fuppofe they fpare from thip to thip; but I am not awate that the ftores are taken for merchandize.

You do not think they fpare them as merchandize?-I am not aware that they do; and when it is recolleted that they take but litte, I do not think they can have much to fpare; they only take fourteen pieces of fail-cloth.
Do not they fometimes fell the cables regularly belonging to the thip?-If they do, the Company would faule them.

Wherever it has been difcovered, they have been mulet ?-Yes.
Is there any drawback upon the exportation of cordage from this country? Very triting.

It is fo crifling it would not be worth trading ing except in large quantities? Yes.

Have you ever known India-built fhips take out cordage and canvas for fale? Yes.

Do not you fuppofe, that muft have been for the ufe of hips built in that country? -Yes; but it is many years fince I have known the fact.

Have not the Company, of late years, refricted the exportation of cordage and canvas to their own hips? -No, I am not aware of that, and I will tell my reafon for not being acquainted with it; it is not more than a year fince I thought of fending fome fail-cloth of my own manufacture, which I believe to be very fuperior
to Indian, and I had fome communication with an Indian houfe upon the fubjee, Cbarles H. Turner and there was no difficulty whatever in the exportation of it.

You did not apply to the India Company ? - No, I did not.
You do hope to fend fome to India fill ?-Yes, I do; becaufe I manufacture an article by machinery, which I belicve is not made any where elfe.

You believe, then, that you have an advantage in the manufacture that cannot be rivalled in India?-Yes; unlefs the fame machinery is fent out there.

In the fhips contracued to be built for the Company in India, you fay, that for fome of them part of the cordage was fent out from here, and part was procured from India; are you certain that it was Indian cordage, or Britifh cordage?-We are in the habit of fupplying cordage for a Mir. Mangles, who is now building a fhip in the Eaft Indies; we have reafon to believe, that when he fends to us an order for a hip, that it is the whole order for that hip he has fent to us, and we have fupplied a certain quantity of cordage for this thip of 1,200 tons now building in India; no more cordage going from us, than 15 or 20 tons, we have reafon to conclude, that the other cordage for that fhip will be of Indian manufadure.

Large quantities of cordage being fent out to India for fale, may not be fupply himfelf with that?-I Thould apprehend the difference of price would be fufficient to induce him to take the Indian-made cordage.

You have faid, that you fuppofed hips of 350 tons were built in India for the trade between India and the Outports; upon what grounds do you fuppofe they would be there built ?-I hardly know how to anfwer that queftion; I have generally underftood that India-built thips would be built at a realonable coft; their durability is fuch as to induce the merchant to employ them, in preference to the Britifh-built hips, if he can employ them to his own advantage.

Do nct you think that merchants preferring fuch bips, would allo procure the beft article they could for the rigging and fails? -It would be for their advantage fo to do; but where the difference of price is very material, then that would operate.

What proportion is there between the outfit of a Welt Indiaman and an Ealt Indiaman ?-I can only fpeak of the outfits of the Indiamen generally, as employed under the charter of the Eaft India Company.

On the firf outfit of a Weft India hip, how would the be fupplied ?-She would have a leffer number of cables, and a fewer number of fails, her voyage being fhorter.

You cannot fay exactly what the would hare?-She would have two or three cables lefs, certainly; and very nearly a fuit of fails lefs.

You ftated, you thought the building of Eaft India fhipping wrould fall off; how many fips a year do you think it would fall off in the building ?-It is impofible to fay.

It is merely $a$ matter of opinion that ?-It is a matter of opinion; but if fuch facilities are to be given to the India Chips, as have lately been granted by the India Company, I apprehend no man would build a hip in England, if he could build it in India; I allude to the freight bame, before the engagement with the India Company commences; the thips which are now building in India, of 1,200 tons, are allowed to come to this country freighted by individuals, and they come to this country with a freight of (I fupfofe 1 am within compafs when I fay) five-andtwenty thoufand pounds, which is to be deducted from the outfit of the fhip, before the commences her firft royage in the India Company's fervice.

Would a hip buitt at Quebec have a fimilar advantage; would not the bave a freight to this country? -Yes, I fhould apprehend the would.

Does that apply more to India thips than to any thips built in any other foreign country whatever?-The freight, 1 apprehend, is greater.

Does not that reafoning apply equally to every ohip built in a forcign country ? If the India Company were to allow their thips to be built in Quebec, and the royage was to begin in India, they would be allowed to freight their thip to India; the receives fo much per ton on the voyage, and whether the takes any lading from this country or not, it makes no difference in the charge of freight.

In this anfwer you allude to Chips in the Eaft India Company's employment; fuppofe a private merchant's fhip Belonging to a merchant in Incia, comes home, and returns again, is not he in exaclly the fame fituation?-Yes, a merchant here may get what freight he can for a thip out, I believe.

Then your reafoning cnly applies to the hips in the employment of the Company? -Certainly not.

Your reafoning does not apply to private merchant fhips?-No.
What reafon have you to fuppofe, that the provifions now propofed to be granted will have the injurious effects upon the building in England, which you ftate, as far as the private trade is concerned?-It is to be remarked, that the fhips built in India are built under every convenience, free from tax.

Do you know this of your bwn knowledge?-I would put it in this way ; hhips built in India will be built at fo much more reafonable a coft than thips in this country, that they will, no doubt, be reforted to inftead of fhips from this country.

The Committee take for granted, you are fully acquainted with all the charges to which the fhips built in India are fubject?-No, I am not.

Then how can you fate the proportion of the comparative expence?-I am informed by Mr. James Walker, managing owner of the flip General Kyd, in which I am a part owner, that by his laft letters from India, he learns that the fhip would coft, not exceeding $\mathfrak{E} 60,0001$. to fea.

Have you ever feen any eftimate on which you have formed that opinion?-It was a communication by Mr. Walker to me, I being a part owner of the thip.

You never faw any eftimate of it?-No, I never afked for any. I was fatisfied with his opinion.

Do you know what the opinion of gentlemen who have built at Bombay is;
 thips that have coft more.

But that they allow $\mathscr{E}_{15,000 \text {. in addition to fea, as it is called ?-No, I have not }}$ heard that.

You have not heard that it is the ufual practice in Bombay to make that fort of allowance?-I am quite unaware that any refpectable man would give in an eftimate of $\mathfrak{E} 60,000$. and tell me afterwards it was to coff $\mathfrak{E} 75,0001$. I fhall be much mortified if that is the cafe with this thip of Mr. Walker's.

What do you conceive to be included in the $£ 60,000$. ?-The hip's being put to fea, with all her equipments.

Six months provifions?-No.
It is mere eftimate, the fhip is not gone to fea, the is not built ?-She is on the fea I expect at this moment.

But you cannot ftate the actual expence? -I have ftated all I know about it.
Where is that thip building? --She is building in the thip-yard of Mr. Kyd, I believe the name is ; but I do not know where it is, it is in Bengal.

Do you confider a Bengal-built fhip equal to a Bombay-built fhip?-I am not fufficiently acquainted with the fubject to fay that.

Do you underfand that the timber of which the hips are built in Bengal, is of equally good quality with that of which they are generally built at Bombay?I believe there is great variance in the quality of timber in India, as in other countries.

Does your contract provide for her being built entirely of teak ?-I really do not know, but I believe not; I never read the contract.

Mr. Grant.]-You ftated yourfelf to have lately received an order to fend out ${ }^{\text {a }}$ part of the cordage for the outfit of a twelve hundred ton veffel, building for the Eaft India Company in India? - Yes.

To what part of the fhip did that order apply, to the running rigging or ftanding rigging, or what?-I really do not know; I can fend you a copy of the order; but at this moment I do not at all recollect.

Do you remember any inftance of an India-built thip coming to this country, which has returned without taking with her fome proportion of cordage of Englifh manufacture?-1 really am not acquainted with all the inftances of India-built hips coming to this country generally; I think I can produce you fome inflances where the quantity is fo fmall, as to be of no importance at all.

In general they do take with them a certain proportion of Britifh cordage ?-Very little, indeed.

You fpeak that from your own knowledge? -That is the general opinion.
Committee.]-What is your opinion of the facilities that are to be granted under this Act? -I underftand that an India thip is to be admitted to the privileges of the Britifh regifter.

Generally? - Generally.
So that the may be fold, and become a Britifh thip to all intents and purpofes
purpofes here?-No; becaufe I undertand by the AEt, the is not to be fold for Charles H. Turner general purpofes, but only for the India trade.

You talk of the facilities given by the India Company to the building of flips in India; what do you conceive thofe facilities to be?-I do not know that I can make myfelf better underflood than in this; in the initance of the particular thip to which I have alluded, which I fuppofe to come from India at $£ 60,000$., I believe I am under the mark when I fay, fhe is to earn five-and-twenty thoufand pounds in coming home.

Do you know at what rate per ton a thip of twelve hundred tons can be built in India ?-No, I do not.

You mean grofs freight, when you fay $£_{25,000}$ ? - Oh, certainly; I wifh it were profit.

Have you heard of any fhips going from this country to India, in confequence of the Act of laft year ?-Very few.

But you have heard of fome?-I underfand there are one or two going from Liverpool.

## Mr.Tbomas Barnts, called in, and examined by Mr. Adolphus.

YOU are a fhip-owner and underwriter?-I am.

Mr.
Tlamas Barnas.

In London?-In London.
At Lloyd's, I fuppofe ?-Yes, at Lloyd's, as an underwriter.
How long have you been a fhip-owner and underwriter? - An underwriter about 14 years; a fhip-owner more than twenty years:

In the courfe of your cmployment, have you had an opportunity of obferving the difference between I.ondon-built fhips and thofe built at the Outports?-I have had frequent opportunities, and general opportunities.

Which of thofe two fcrts of fhips do you count fuperior ?-Generally the Riverbuilt fhip.

In what refpect is that fuperior; in material, or workmanhip, or what ? In both.

In durability alfo?-In durability, arifing from fuperior workmanilip and materials.

There are books at Lloyd's, wherein there are particular marks denoting the excellence of ihips?-Yes, there are.

By letters and numbers, I believe ?-By letters and numbers.
Do the London fhips retain the fituation of the better letters and numbers longer than other thips? -By the general inftructions or rule of the furveyors, they do, and generally do; but if a River-built thip were found defective in any refpect, it would be claffed with the others; a thip which is built with well-fquared timber, ftands in the clals (A. 1.) which is the highielt character, twelve years; an Outport-built Mip ten years.

And the characters which defeend in the fcale, do they retain them in the fame proportion?-Yes; and probably the fecond character they retain longer in proportion.

What is the fecond character?-(E.) ; they defcend by the vowels of the alphabet; if the fhip is found to be a very good one, the will remain in the clafs (A. 1.) two or three years longer ; (A. 1.) is a fhip of the firft clafs well found; (A. 2.) is a fhip of the firft clads badly found.

Lofing the letter (A.) they take (E.)?-Yes.
When the fhip has defcended into (E.) does the there retain her ftation longer in proportion before the defcends to (I.) than the did retain her ftation in (A.)? A River-built fhip has a greater preference in that ftation than the has in the other.

How long do you apprehend her to remain in (E.) upon an average ?-It is according to ler quality; if the is fit to carry a dry cargo, the will continue in (E.); a hip lower than that, is only fit for coals or lumber articles, that will not injure by being in water.

Then fhe defcends to a lower clafs?-Yes; but I feldom have feen a fhip fo low as (O.).

I need hardly akk you whether thofe thips are dearer to buy, and more favourable to infure than others?-If River-built hips, I do not admit they are dearer; they cgf more, but you have quality for your price.

How, in your judgment, will the hip-building trade in London be affected by the introdution of India-built Chips, in the manner in which they have been introduced
of late? -Very materially; in whatever degree they are admitted they will interfere materially with the building in the river Thames and in the United Kingdom. I have no queftion about it.

Has or has not the general building in the River been confined to fhips for the fervice of the Eaft India Company, and for the Navy ?-It has materially but not generally, it has been confined.
Has that been the main fupport of the building in the River? - I think it has for the laft twenty years been the principal fupport; I might go further, for the laft thirty years. I remember the trade of the River thirty years.

Will the removal of thofe two trades from the River occafion a very great diminution in the employment of hipbuilders and of thofe perfons who manufacture things ufed about chip-building?-Certainly.
I mean by thofe, ropemakers, failmakers, and fo on ?-Certainly it will.
Is there hhip-building enough, independently of thofe, to keep up the larger efta. blifhments?-I certainly think not ; under prefent circumftances I expett there will be a great diminution of fhip-building in the United Kingdom. I know, from my own actual knowledge of Ruffian and other hhips cofting lefs than half what a Britifh thip will do, and being navigated at lefs expence than a Britifh chip can be; and alfo as to freight, they are at half the price of Britifh fhips. It is no encouragement for a Britih hip-owner to build in this country ; the fhip-builder in the Thames cannot have an opportunity of building other, fhips, when he has no longer an opportunity of building thefe.

Committee.]-To what is that owing ?-From there being no encouragement to build other fort of fhips; he will not be called on to build other fhips when thefe are taken from him.

Mr. Adolphus.]-My queftion was, whether thofe eftablifhments will not fuffer materially, if thefe two fpecies of building are removed. I underftand your anfwer to be, that they will; becaufe they cannot defcend to the building of inferior fhips, as the other countries can build fo much cheaper?-Yes.

From your obfervation, do you confider the maintenance of thofe eftablifhments upon the river Thames has been ufeful to the navy of Great Britain ?-They have been effentially fo.

You have fpoken of the high prices of building in the River; to what do you attribute the highnefs of thofe prices?-As compared with other countrics to the heavy taxes, as to London as compared with the Outports; one cannot be more than the other, except from lome fudden and unexpected demand, which in war is frequently the cafe,
Is there, within your knowledge, any reafon to believe that by any union among the workmen or matters, thofe prices are unfairly raifed upon the public ?-There is competition.

Have you any reafon to believe there is any fuch union among the matters, or confpiracy among the workmen, to raife thofe prices ?-I think it impoffibe; if I wifhed I might be a fhipwright, and enter into the competition; therefore I know no reafon why the prices fhould be beyond what they ought to be.

There are always in London a fufficient number of perfons who have no law to prevent their acting as lhipwrights, to keep up the trade, if it were a gaining one? I have not any doubt of it.

And if they could derive advantage from competition, is there not always room and means for them to do'fo?-I know nothing to hinder him, if he has capital to do it.

You are a fhip-owner ?-I am concerned in feveral fhips.
If the hhip-builders of London, by combination or otherwife, raife the prices upon you improperly, I fuppole you would find means to get your veffels from the Outports? - Moft certainly.

## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

Ithink you have told us you are an underwriter and hip-owner?-Yes, I am.
You likewife told us, that within the laft twenty or thirty years, the fhip-builders have been chiefly engaged in building for the Eaft India trade or Government? Certainly.

You have told us that there is a clals (A. 1) ; in the clafs (A. 1.) do you mean that Eaft India flips are included, or what defription of large fhips built in the

River?-Eait India fhips are reckoned with River-buith hips in the clars (A. 1.), and for the fame period of time.

What clafs of (A. I.) does there exift in the Outports, that can come into comparifon with the Eaft India Chips built in the River? - The Outport-built hips have not been employed in the Eaft India trade; but there may have been fome built in the Outports occafionally; and I believe fhips built there by contract have obtained their clafs as the River-built fhips have.

Have you known any fhips built in the Outports claffed differently from the India Ships built in the River?-1 know no reafon, if they were buils in the fame manner; I did not fay that the Outports could not build as well.

If a hip is built in the River for the Wert India trade, and a fhip is built at Liverpool for that trade, would there be any difference in the clafs of thofe two fhips?-Not for a certain number of years.

But would it be ten or twelve?-I think I obferwed before, that if the thips were found after this period to deferve their character (this is the general rule of the fervice), it was continued to them.

Do you know, of your own knowledge, the comparative number of inflances in which an Outport-built ohip has continued in the firf clafs twelve years or more? No, I do not.
It is only a general rule?-Yes; I have had one inftance within the laft two years, of a thip built at Ipfwich, and the was continued in the firft clafs after fhe had been entitled by her age to be rejected.

So that if a man takes care to have her furveyed the is continued?-If fhe is well built he is continued longer; if you pay a good deal of money in the country you will have a good fhip, if not you will have a bad one; the River-built thips are generally fuperior to any others.

Do you mean to fay, that it is ufual to contract for a River-built thip in the firlt inftance at a greater price than for an Outport-built fhip? --Certainly I could mention exceptions; I was concerned myfelf in contracling for the building fome thips at Southampton, which were built by a River contrad, and buikt under the infpection of people bred on the River; of courfe they were as fine fhips as could be built in the River, and they coft as much money as they would in the River.

And the only reafon why thofe built in the Outports are not fa good as the others is, that they do not give fo much money for them? ${ }^{\text {? }}$-That is one reafon, and a material one; in general in the Outports, where they are prevented maintaining their good character for twelve years, they are not fo attentive to the timber as they are here; they are generally built for fale.

Is not it ufual to adapt the original coft of the fhip to the trade in which the is to be employed; for inftance, a fhip built for the coal trade might be differently built from a thip to carry fugar ?-I have obferved that a hip is qualified to carry coals and lumber after the is unfit to carry dry cargoes.

My queftion on that is, whether the building at the Outports is not fo much an inferior capacity of building, as that the fhips are buile for a different trade?-It is owing to the fyftem; the one is built for fale, and no character loft by the building of the fhip badly ; they are like the Jews razors, they are built for fale, and not for ufe perhaps; fhips are not built purpofely or exclufively for the lumber or coal trade at the Out-ports.

Committee.]-The lhips in the River you have fated to be better; is that applica: ble to all the fhips employed in the trade of the country? -Yes.

That they are alfo dearer ?-Higher priced; I did not fay dearet.
Then you confider that it would be worth while to pay that higher price for at better fhip?-Yes; they have quality for their money.

Was not it ufual fome time ago for the Thames builders to build for other trades than for Government and the Eaft India trade !-Certainly; 1 cannot fay the num: ber of years fince, but very few hips have been built for the laft eight or ten years for other trades.
Is not it ufual for perfons generally to ufe the fhips that are the cheapeft ?-People confider their prefent convenience perhaps, rather than their future advantage; and they fometimes buy a cheap thing, and it surns out afterwards they had better have bought a fuperior article.
If that had been the cafe on the river Thames, would not the experience of a few years correct it?-The builders on the river Thanes have certain rules, from which

Mr. which they cannot depart, without people converfant with fhip-building taking Thomas Barnes. notice of it, which would be very difcreditable to the builder; in a thip from the North Country, it is very feldom; but at firft view you may fee defects which would be very difcreditable to the River builder, defects in the chifts of the planks for inftance.

You have ftated, that the Thames builders were in the habit formerly of building other fhips for the general trade, which has ceafed to be the cafe now; what in your contemplation is the reafon of that?-I have ftated to the Committee before, that the perfons conceive an advantage in paying a fmall fum of money, though ultimately, perhaps, it would prove to have' been better for them to have paid a better price.
You have ftated, that the Thames builders were in the habit formerly of building other fhips for the general trade, which has ceafed to be the cafe now; what in your contemplation is the reafon of that? -The premium of infurance on a fmall fum is lefs than that on a greater fum, the premium being in the time of war larger than in the time of peace; merchants go to fea on the fmalleft capital they can, therefore they give a preference to the fhip of the fmalleft value, if they can do it with fafety, looking to the prefent adyantage of going to fea with a fmaller capital.
If the thip is underftood to be a badly built hip, is not the premium higher? No; when fhe is in (A. i.) you will get her done at the fame fum, whatever her ftate may be.

What is the difference of prices, between the fhips built in the Outports and thofe built in the Thames, that fand in clafs (A. I.)?-Perhaps fifteen or twenty per cent.; the Outport thips may go to fea for fifteen or twenty per cent, perhaps lefs capital; but in fpeaking of the Outports I ought to make a diftinction, for I confider Liverpool, Chefter, Lancafter, \&c. alfo Briftol, to build better thips than they do at the ports along the North coaft.
The people at the Outports build thofe thips in which the general trade of the country can be carried on, for lefs money by' fifteen or twenty per cent. to thofe who can carry it on, than they can be built on the Thames? -Yes.
Do you know that from your own knowledge?-From being concerned in fhips, Ifind it to be the cafe.

Your mereconcern in thips will not enable you to fay at what comparative price they are built in the North and in the South ?-By having purchaled them and paid for them, I can of courfe.

Mr. Spankie.]-Are you at all acquainted with the number of prize thips, or the occupation to which they have been introduced during the war?-I only know generally, that a great number of prize fhips have been admitted to regifter düing the war.

Do you know, generally fpeaking, whether the fhips introduced in confequence of the prize laws are of a clafs that carry on the general trade of the country, and therefore interfere with the building of general hips?-1 have no hefitation in faying, they have interfered with fhip-building generally.

Do you know from your experience, as a hip.owner or underwriter, what is the average fize of them, are there many of them 600 or 800 tons? - Not lately.

Probably the prize fhips would be likely to interfere with the building for general trade?-They certainly have interfered with it.

Do you know whether the building of hips for the general trade has declined in the Outports in the fame degree in which you ftate that it has in London?-I have no knowledge of the fact, but I believe it has, interfered with the general building of the whole empire.

Are you at all acquainted with the nature of India-built fhips; have you any perfonal knowledge on the fubject?-As having a knowledge of fhips generally; I know nothing of them particularly,

From your knowledge, do you fuppofe that the India-built thips that have been introduced lately, have interfered with the general building?-Yes, with the building of the United Kingdom, materially.

Committee.]-Do you know what number of India-built fhips have been introduced into the general trade of the Empire within the laft twenty years?-Speaking of the general trade, many thoufand tons, I thould expect; but I cannot pretend to fay prith precifion ; perhaps 30,000 tons.

Do you happen to know, in point of fad, whether more than ro,000 tons have been introduced within the laft twenty years?-No, I do not know; I have given my opinion; I can enumerate a great many fhips, and put their names down. I remember a veffel, called The Exeter, and a great many others; and in half an hour's time, perhaps, I could enumerate a great many.

Mr. Spankie ]-You have flated your general opinion, that the introduction of thofe fhips has interfered with the building of the whole Empire ?-As far as their amount has extended.

Have you any knowledge of the quantity of tonnage that was requifite to carry on the India trade folely twenty years ago, and the tonnage neceffary to carry on the trade now?-Not to fpeak with precifion, certainly.

With refpett to the advantage that the Government has derived from the buiding in the river Thames, do not you confider that it would derive the fame advantage from the fhipwrights being engaged either in the Outports, or in the River?-Certainly; except that one is nearer to the feat of Government.

You flated your opinion, that foreign nations, particularly Rufia, I think, can build hips, and freight them at one half the expence of Britifh Bhips?-Yes.

Then, in your opinion, has the diminution of thip-building in this country been effected in any degree by the exclufion of the Britif flag from various countries on the Continent ?-Certainly, I think it has.

In your opinion, as a fhip-owner, are the mere circumftances of cheap building the circumfances on which the carrying trade of the country will depend ?-If the queftion is meant to apply to the carrying trade to and from foreign countries, it will certainly depend on the dearnefs or cheapnefs of building equipment and management in this country, as compared with other maritime countries; but a country having colonies, as this country las at prefent, and referving her own trade to her own Thips, that part of the carrying trade being confined to Britifh mhips, the rate of freight will depend on the dearnefs or cheapuefs of building equipment and management in the United Kingdom, where (there being no monopoly) the prices cannot materially differ.

My queflion was, whether, in your opinion as a Mip-owner, the firt coft of a Mhip is a principal circumftance to decide whether it hall be employed in that trade or not?-You mult mention the country.

Suppofe you had a cargo of fugar to bring from the Weft Indies, would you employ a Ruffian hhip upon that trade, or an Englifh Chip?-It would be very ftrange if I hould give this Ruffian thip as much as I thould an Englifh thip, when I would get her for half the price; and I could have an India-built fhip cheaper of courfe than a Britifh fhip.

You have not much knowledge of the India-built thips?-I have fufficient knowledge tolpeak on the point.
[Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]
You were afked about hips built at the Outports, and their rate in the books at Lloyd's; is it ufual to fet down where the thips are built, as part of their character?It is.

You were faying alfo, that fhips in the Outports are built and bought cheap, becaufe a man lofes no character by building a bad hip?-Comparatively.

By that you mean that he who buys a ready built fhip depends on his own judgment in buying it, while he who has a hip built by contract depends on the infpection of the flip-builders with whom he engages?-Yes; and upon his looking at it.

Is there alfo in building a fhip by feeculation an adrantage in employing your people whencver it is handy, and inconvenient to employ them?-Certainly; if he makes a convenience of building he is ready to build for lefs than he would be otherwife.

Does that enter into the confideration of the price much ? - Sudden and unexpected demands muft, of courfe, advance the price of the wages, and materially fo.

And the power of employing the men when you pleafe, fo as to work up all their time to advantage, enables jou to fell cheaper than if you were to confult the advantage of another man inftead of your own?-That is one reafon, but they are neither confined to quality of workmanhip or materials.

Mr. Nor to time of beginning or finifhing?-No.
And do all thefe confiderations enter into your mind when you fpeak of the price being twenty per cent. lower at one place than the other?-I fpeat rather of the actual cafe than of the motives which lead to it.

In fpeaking of that actual cafe, you know of thofe motives operating ?-Yes.
You have been afked, whether the intereft of Government would not be as much promoted by the employment of a given number of Chipwrights in the Outports as in London; is it within your knowledge that there is a convenience between the Government yards and the merchants yards in London, fo that men are had eafily from one to the other?-1 know it is a general obfervation, that men go from one to the other.

So that if Government has a demand for extra hands in their yards, the men can be fupplied from the merchants yards without much expence in travelling or lofs of time?-I fhould think that would be a convenience.

Would then the difperfion of thofe men to the Outports be an inconvenience? Of courfe, in that degree it would; the private yards in the River having been employed on large fhips, the men there are more ready at their bufinefs, having been employed in conftructing thips for the Eaft India Company's fervice of 1,200 or 1,500 tons, they are more prepared and are more fit for the fervice of Government, in the King's yards.

With refpect to the exclufion of other veffels from foreign parts, that has been diminihing for fome time paft?-It has, in fome degree, fince the Baltic has been open; but I am afraid it will not long continue, for the reafon I have flated before.

The inconvenience of foreign fhips coming to this country had been partially or totally removed fubfequently, for fome time? - Yes.

Did you ever know fo little fhip-building in the Thames as at this time?-Never.
After that inconvenience has been partially or totally removed ?--Yes.

## Examined by the Committee.

Do you confider the India flips as much cheaper than the Englifh thips !-1 do not know it from fuch knowledge as to be able to ftate it in evidence; the freight home is confiderable, and places them on a better footing.

Did you know the Eaft India flip Ganges?-No, I did not; I have known defeets in River-built fhips, but not from workmanfhip; the beft judge of timber may be deceived in timber, I know; I have built a dozen myfelf, and have been deceived; they have turned out very bad, when they appeared very good.

You built a fhip at Ipfwich ?-No, I bought her there.
Would it not be advantageous to Britifh merchants if they could get hips as cheap as the Ruffian merchants do?-Yes, if the thips were Britifh; but I hould think not, if the thips were foreign, at. lealt ultimately; for we fhould be at the mercy of foreigners; and while the heavy taxation of the country continues, we cannot get Britifh hips fo cheap as foreign fhips; we fhould lofe the breed of thips, feamen, and fhipmakers altogether; and I would rather remove the manafactory of broad cloth to India, than the manufactory of fhips.

## Mr. William Butler called in, and examined by Mr. Harkison.

I believe you are furveyor of Thipping for the underwiters at Lloyd's?Yes.

How long have you filled that fituation?-Going on of four years.
Will you flate to the Committee what is your duty, as furveyor of hipping for Lloyd's; what duty you perform for the underwriters, and for Lloyd's?-To infpect the materials of the fhips, to fee the ftate they are in, their build, their age, and what character they are entitled to.

Is the examination of a defcription which enables you to afcertain all their quallities, as far as perfection and build, and probable durability is concerned ?-From the outfide plank, but not from the timbers; but from the age and her build, we can form an opinion of her goodnefs.

There is a regifter kept of all veffels, I believe, at Lloyd's ?-Yes, regularly. And they are claffed in vowels, A. E. I. O. and U.?-Yes.

Does that regifer alfo contain an account of where every ftip was built? It does.

And when?-It does.
We undertand that River-built flips come into clafs (A. 1.) ?-Yes.
The Outport fhips allo that are well built?-Yes.
Do River-built fhips remain longer than the Outport-built hips in clafs (A. 1.) ?Yes, they do.

Will you fate to the Committee the reafon for keeping the River-built Rips longer in clafs (A. 1.) than the Outport-built Chips?-Both in materials and workmanflip they are confidered much fuperior.

Is there any fixed age given to you as a general rule for keeping them in clafs (A. 1.) ?-There is.

What are the years?-The River-built hips ftand twelve years, the Chips to the North ten years; I cannot exaclly recollect now, not having the book bere; but there are fome of the American thips alfo ftand ten years, fome eight, thofe of oak and cedar ftand eight, fome fix, fome fuur ; thofe of inferior wood only ftand four.

And the rule given you, is to kcep flips in the firft clafs for a certain number of years ?-If the furveyor thinks that the River-built thips are in a good ftate, and entitled to the clafs (A. 1.) they may keep them in one, two, or three years more, according to their defcription.

And if a veffel fails within the ordinary period, of courfe the is removed from that clafs?-Yes, to a lower clafes.

From (A. 1.) they remove to (E. I.)?-Yes.
In (E. 1.) they remain as long as they are confidered as found good fhips?-Yes.
Are you able to state any comparative average between the River-built thips and the Outport-built fhips, as to their maintaining their rank (E. r.) ?-I have known River-built hips keep their charader of (E. 1.) without any very heavy repairs, four or five and twenty years.

That is in (E. 1.) after having been transferred from (A. i.)?-Yes.
Do they in general hold that rank much longer than the Outport veffels?-A good deal, many years longer; the Outport fhips, with many heavy repairs, hold shat character, but not fo long as the River-built hips I confider.

Have you any means of knowing when fhips are undergoing repairs in the River, what are the nature of thofe repairs; have you a general fuperintendence of the thipping?-In general we have notice left in the office, when they are getting any general repairs; then we may fee them, and note them accordingly in our book.

The owner generally gives you notice of the large or general repairs?-He leaves notice at the office, that we miay examine them and infert in the books.

You fee, therefore, not only thofe in the River that are building, but thofe under repair, fo as to fee their conftruction?-We do.

Does that enable you to flate, that there is any confiderable fuperiority in a River-built hip?-Certainly it does.

You have held the fituation of furveyor only four years, I undertand?-No.
How long had you known the River before that?-All my life time.
Of courfe, your occupation was of fome defcription or other, which gave you a competent knowledge of a hip? - I was brought up a thipwright.

Were you brought up a thipwright in the river Thames? -In the river Thames.
To how many years does your knowledge of the river Thames extend ?-From thirty to forty years ; five or fix and thirty years.

In the public yards or private yards?-In the private yards.
Do you know what clafs of hips have, for a confiderable number of years back, afforded the principal employment to the yards in the River?--It was always underftood, while I was an apprentice, and worked in the River, to be the Eaft India fhips, both in building and repairing.

Has that been the cafe, during your recolledion of the River?-It has.
Except the men of war, you do not fpeak of the occafional employ arifing from then; but for merchant trade, that has been the principal employment?-It has generally been looked upon fo is the River, from the great eftablifhment of the Eaft Indiamen.

In your judgment, can the eftablifhments upon the River be kept up, if that bufinefs is taken from them?-I think not.

Mr. In what clafs do the veffels ftand that come from India?-They generally ftand in William Builer. the firt clafs as long as the River-built fhips.

That is in (A. 1.)?-Yes, in (A. 1.)
Have they been long enough in general ufe here to enable you to form any judgment as to the time of their flanding in clafs (E. 1.)?-From their age they become (E. r.); there have been inflances where they come into (E. 1.) before that time.

What defeription of thips have come into (E. I.) before the ufual period of a River-built flip getting into that clafs?-A hip (l cannot fay hips) built in the Eaft Indies.
A Bengal or a Bombay fhip; what was the name of her ?-I cannot charge my memory with the name of her.

Was the a teak hip? - A teak thip.
Who did the belong to ?-I do not know, it is a long time ago.
But that is not ufual, you mention only one fhip?-No, it is not ufual.
Can you form any judgment upon the quality of teak fhips, as ftanding any length of time in (E. x.)?-That depends upon the quality of the wood that they have been built of, and the repairs they have had after they have gone out of the clafs of (A. 1.)

In what flate are the fhipwrights and manufacturers on the river Thames now? Within my remembrance, which is forty years, I have never known them in fo diftreffed a ftare.

Does that include all the claffes of perfons that are employed in the conftruction of a thip?-Yes.

When you are called upon to fuperintend repairs, or you actually do attend repairs of hips, do you ever fuggeft additional fecurities and additional repairs?Very frequently.

Have you had occafion to do that more frequently with refpect to Outport thips or other fhips, than River-built thips? - More frequently.

Committee.]-Have you had to do that with the teak fhips.?-I have generally found that the teak fhips require fome additional faftenings when they come here.

Do you mean by that, that they require more than a River-built fhip after the fame fervice?-More than the River-buit thips in the fame fervice, certainly.

Mr. Harrifon.]-You fay that the teak fhips you have obferved, have required additional faftenings more than the River-built fhips?-Yes.

Is that from the circumfance of their not being fo fecurely faftened when firft built? - From their not being fufficiently fatened before they have been launched.

Then when they are repairing, and are about the time of paffing into (E. 1.) you have found it neceffary, with refpect to teak thips as well as Outport hips, to fuggeft additional faftenings, which the River-built thips have when they are originally built?-It is found neceffary before they come to (E. r.)

In order to keep their ftation of (A. 1.)?-Yes, to put them in a fate to deferve that character.

I take for granted that is generally attended to ?-In general it is.
Do you know any thing of the comparative expence of repairs in the River and in the Outports?-I have had bills pui into my hands by the underwriters, where damage has been repaired in the Outports; and I have obferved, that they have equally colt as much, in my opinion, as if they had been done in the tiver Thames; which obfervation I have made to the underwriters.

Thofe bills have been put into your hands with a view to demands made of them under loffis? - Yes.

As far as your oblervation has gone in that refpect. there is not any great difference between the general charges in the port of London and in the Outports, compared with the nature of the work ?-No; I do not think there is.

And upon the whole, the River-built hips you confider as much better faftened than any of the others?-Much better; they are much fuperior fhips, either in workmanfhip or timber.

And in confruction?-And in conftruction.
Committee.]-Which is the largeft employment for the fhipbuilders in the Thames, repairing or building ?-I believe it is generally underfood that the repairing is.

It is twice or three times as much as the building; is it not ?-From Outport ghips, but not from River-built fhips; we find that the River-built thips are much more durable, and laft much longer without any repairs than any other hips.
Longer than the teak fhips ?-No; I do not know that they do with refpect to India thips.

Do the teak fhips want more or lefs repair than the River-built hips? -After they come to the fecond charater, they want more repair than the River-built fhips.
But do they require more or lefs where they remain in (A. 1.)?-I do not know that there would be any difference.
They are much the fame as the River-built fhips, as to repairs, while in that clafs?-Yes.
Therefore you think they would afford juft as much employment, as to repairs, as the River-built fhips, during their whole exiftence? - I do not know that they would.

You think they would afford juft as much employment as to repairs, as the Riverbuilt fhips, during their whole exiftence ?-I do not think, from recollection, that they would.

Then the Committee will trouble you to explain your two former anfwers ?-I do not think that their faftenings would hold; in point of faftening, they would afford rather more repairs, perhaps; but in point of wood-work, I do not think they would want fo much repair as the River-built fhips.

You fay now that the wood is more durable, but that the faftenings would want more repairs?-The iron-work.

You mean the faftenings?-Yes, the faftenings of the fhip.
Iron goes fooner in teak than other wood, does it?-I allude particularly to the bottoms, where the copper can get at it.

You have obferved that teak fpoils iron fooner than oak?-I never paid fo much attention to that fubject as to be able to anfiwer that queftion; where the copper gets at the iron it corrodes it, it will not laft fo long; in the River-built fhips they put no iron in the bottom.

Then the teak flips are iron-faftened ?-Generally they are iron-faftened.
Have you feen any fhips lately that have tome from India ?-No.
Then when you lay they are iron-fattened, you fpeak of fome time ago, when you faw them?-A year, or a year and half ago.

Do you upon the whole, think that a teak hip would not give as much employment in her repairs as a River-built thip in the courfe of her exiftence?-No, I hardly think fhe would.

Why would fhe not ; is it becaufe her wood is more durable?-I fuppofe that is the cafe; fhe would not afford that opportunity of repairs that our own fhips do, from the wood being better.

## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

I do not fee that you have mentioned how long Briftol and Liverpool.built thips ftand in the clafs (A. I.) You have faid the River-built fhips ftand twelve years, and the Chips built in the North ten years; how long do the Britol and Liverpool-built dhips ftand in that clafs?-The Briftol and Liverpool Chips ftand eleven years; the fhips from the North ftand ten years.

You do not know any thing to prevent there being as good veffels built at the Outports as there are in the Thames?-From the experience I have had, I never found one that was equal to a River-built fhip.

Do you know of any caufe for their being not equally well built, which is not removeable ?-The reafon is, that they do not fquare their timber, nor take the fap out of it, nor put it in that found fate which they do in London.

Do you mean to fay it is not equally well feafoned ?-No; I do not mean that, but they do not take the fap out of it.

When you fay that fhips ftand twelve years in clafs (A. 1.) from what do you reckon that period; from the building of the fhip?-From the time the fhip is launched, and gets her certificate from the builder.

From that period you reckon the time for which the ftands in (A. 1.) ?-Yes.
From what time do you reckon the teak-built thips to ftand in that clafs; from the time of their coming into this country, or from the time when you are informed (72.)
$M_{r} \quad$ that they are finihed and about to fail P-From the information our committee gets from the India-houfe, of the time they are built

Do you find that the fhips built in the Thames fetch a higher price after a given number of years, than the fhips built at the Qutports?-Confiderably.

Do you find that to be the cafe with yeffels that have undergone complete repairs; fuppofe one veffel repaired in the Thames, and another in the Oatports, do you find that reparred at the Outports fetch a lower price than the others?-It will depend on the repairs done ; if the original build of the veffel was in the River, fhe will always fetch a higher price than any other veffel whatever.

Notwithflanding the repairs at the Outports may have coft as much or more than thofe in the Thames?-Notwithftanding that.

To what do you attribute the prefent decline of fhipwrights employ on the River?-One caufe is on account of no thips being. built on the River, as there have been always before this. I have been in the habit of going to fea, and then I could not tell what the caufe was; but while at home I never knew of fo few fhips being built in the River as at prefent.
That is the fact; now I afk you for the caufe?-There is little doubt that the caufe is the fhips being built in the Eaf Indies; there is an underfanding that had there not been fo many built there, there would have been work in the river Thames, not only on Indiamen but on two or three private fhips, which are now building in the Eaft Indies.
Do you fpeak of finss actually built in the Eaft Indies, and now in the general trade of the country, or do you allude to an expectation of thips that are to be built there?-1o both, thofe which have been in the trade, and others that may be built there.

Are you at all aware how many fhips built in India, have of late been introduced into the trade of this country? - No ; I am not.
Have you any guefs at, all of the quantity of tonnage that has been introduced; we will fay for twenty years paft, of the India-built Chipping?-No.

Then when you ftate that there is an underttanding that the India-built fhipping is the caufe of the prefent decline of work, you have no particulat knowledge on the fubject?-Only an underftanding, that there are numbers of thips that have been built and are building, and are' expected home, anid from that circumftance there have been no Indiamen built in the Thames.

Do you mean to fay, that you undertand there is an opinion among the trade, fuch as you have mentioned, but that you yourfelf have no fuch knowledge on the fubject, as to enable you to form a definite judgment on it?-No further than feeing the diftreffed ftate of the river Thames.

You have no knowledge yourfelf as to what it is owing ?-No; I have not been to the Eaft Indies to examine.

Nor do you know how many India-built fhips have come into this country? I know there have been fome.

You cannot form yourfelf a judgment what tonnage of India.built fhipping has been introduced for the laft twenty years ? - No.

## [Examined by the Committee.]

Do you ftate that the building of Eaft India thips in the River has been lefs' in the laft twenty years; than it was the twenty years preceding?-That is a queftion I can hardly anfwer, becaufe I have been going to and fro to fea within thefe four, years, and therefore I cannot give an opinion uponit; I fhould think there has not been fo much, but I have not been able to obferve the fubject much, becaufe I have been abroad.

You fay that the Eaft India-built hips keep twelve years in Clafs (A.) s-Xies.
$\bar{L} u n a, 2 \Sigma^{\circ}$ die Aprilis $18 \mathrm{x}_{4}$
SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

## Mr. James Hugbes, called in, and examined by. The Comaritree.

D0 you wihh to make any correction in your evidence?-Yes; I fated that fixty fhipwrights were competent to build an Indiaman in fourteen months; inftead of that, I meant to fay, all the fhipwrights and artificers included; a 74 I faid, 120 or 130 men were competent ro build in three years; now $I$ will fay the fame number in two years, including all artificers.

What proportion of thofe would be fhipwrights?-About half of them.
What number would build a feventy-four in two years, inftead of three years ! That is all.

Were you the perfon who went down to examine the yards? -Yes, I was.
By whom were you employed?-I was afked by one of the mafter-builders to go round, and get the number of men employed on the different yards, and I took every man; and I believe there were not more than two yards but what I went to the counting-houfe, and took them from the books. I took the numbers as carefully as. if I had been upon my oath.

Was this done in the way of bufinefs; were you paid for it? $-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, by no mearts ; I am a well-wiffer to the bufinefs; I am a lhipwright, and have children bringing up to it-nuthing more.

## James Walker, Eqquire, called in, and examined by Mr. Harrison.

You have fome concern in India fhipping?-A little.
Are you the managing owner of a fhip lately built in India?-I am, or I am to JamesWalker E/q. be the managing owner of a thip now building in India, or now coming home from India.

What is the name of the veffel?-The General Kyd.
You fay the is either completing, or on her voyage home?-I cannot exaculy fay; but the was to leave Bengal in January laft.
Are you able to fate to the Committee what has been the Expence of building that hhip and equipping her? --By a letter received lately from the fhip-builders James and Robert Kyd of Bengal -

Have you that letter?-I have not it about me.
You fay you have received a letter from the perfons who built the thip? I have.

What do they flate to be the expence of building and of equipping her ?-They flate to me, the flip cleared to fea, they think it will be rather under than over £ 60,000 . a 1,200 ton fhip.

Where was the built ?-At Calcutta.
A teak thip, of courfe, I fuppofe?-A thip the growth of that part of the country, Saul, Siffo, and teak; I believe the teak indeed is brought from the coalt of Malabar.

A hhip built in Calcutta in the way in which hips are ufually built thete? Yes.

Your direction was, as far as you were concerned as managing owner, that the fhould be built perfeat and good?-Exacily fo.

Do you expeet that fhip to laft you as long as a Britih-built hip?-I conclude from every intormation that I have, that the will laft infinitely longer.
You probably were induced by that circumflance to prefer building her there, to laying her down in the River?-Certainly.

You are, or are to be the managing owner of thit veffel, I undertand?-I am.
I take it, shat you required a velfel of that defription ia point of fize fot the purpofe of the India trade; you wanted a veffel of that fort, otherwife you would not have built her there?-Certainly.

James Walker E/q. And you would have laid down that thip in the Thames, or at the Outporiss, if you had not had the means of building her there?-It is more than probable that 1 hould.

You wanted a veffel from fomewhere, and if you could not have got her in India, you would have built her in England ?-I wanted a Gip of that defcription to meet the advertifement of the India company, who required fhips of that fize for their fervice.
Is Mr. Turner a part owner of this veffel ?-Mr. Turner is a part owner of this veffel.

You communicated with Mr. Turner upon the fubject of the coft of this veffel, fome little time ago ?-I did.

You flated to Mr. Turner what you have now ftated to the Committee, as to what you underftood the would coft?-Eractly.
Do you know where her copper was fupplied, whether it was fent out from England, or whether it was fupplied in India? - Her copper bolts was fent out from this country, her theet copper was furnifhed in India.
That theet copper is the manufacture of India?-No, I cannot fay that.
You faid the copper bolts were fent out from England?-The copper bolts were fent out from this country.
No copper fheeting was fent out from this country, that you know of?-None for that fhip.
The copper fheeting, I am to underftand, was to be fupplied by the builders in India, in fome way?-lt was.

Whether they bought it as copper fent out from this country, or as furnifhed by India, you have no means of knowing?-I have not.

Do you know what is the coft of the fame fized veffel, upwards of 1,200 tons, which is the largeft clafs of Eaft Indiamen fent to fea from this country, in England? -1 do not.

Is the veffel that you have built, and which is either on her way home, or will be as foon as the is completed, already taken up by the India Company?-She is already taken up by the India Company.

Where does her engagement commence, in India, or after fhe arrives in this country?-It commences after her arrival in this country and her approval by the Company's officers.

Then her voyages, whatever they may be, commence with the failing from this, country to India ?-They do.
Is your fhip coming in ballaft or in freight ?-In freight, I underftand.
Have you any means of knowing what will be the probable amount of the freight on the voyage home?-None whatever.

Have you no means of knowing what is the ordinary freight made by a thip of that fize coming home in that way? -It depends very much upon the amount of the freight paid, which I am not informed of, by the builders who are to fend the fhip home.
Then as yet you have had no communication of whether the is full freighted, or to be light of freight?-The letter to which I have alluded, mentions that the thip is coming home full freighted, but it does not mention at what rate of freight.

You are not able to tell us the freight?-No.
You do not know what other fhips have earned, coming home on full freight, in the fame way ? - No, I do not.
You have ftated you do not know the coft of a veffel built in this country of this defription; do you know the coft of any other fhip of a fimilar defcription built in India; are there any other fhips built in India in which you have had a concern as part owner?-None whatever.

## [Crofs-examined by Mr.Grant.]

As a ship-owner, it is a vaft advantage to you to get the fhip fo cheap as you defcribe it , is it not?-I conceive it is a great advantage to me to have a cheap ship.

That was your reafon, in fact, for procuring a hip to be built in India ?- It was.
When you fay, you expect the' hip to laft infinitely longer than an Englifh. built hip; I fuppofe you mean to imply, both that the materials are better than the materials here, and that the workmanfhip is, generally fpeaking, good?I mean to fay, that I think, from every information that I have, that the thip
will laft longer than the would if ihe was built here; and I am allo informed that Jomestrater Efq. the workmanifip is of a very fuperior kind.

How foon do you expect her to undergo her firft repar ?-It depends very much upon circumfances.

Butfuppofing all exiraordinary circumftances out of the cafe?-It will depend then on the periods that the India Company think it receflary to give them any repairs, perhaps at the fecond or third voyage; the third voyage I believe is gensrally confidered by them as a repairing royage.

Do the repairs, genirally fpeaking, take place in this country?-Always, I believe.

You have fpoken with refpect to the copper ; can you tell with refpect to the other arcicles of the fhip's equipment, whetber they have gone out from this country, or have been procured there?-Other articles have gone out befides the copper bolts, fuch as anchors, guns and cables, and a variety of fmall fores, commonly termed ghip chandlery and ironmengery articles of various forts, even a carved head for the thip, and many things of that fort.

Do you mean to ftate that the whole of the gip's outfit, with refpea to thofe afticles, have been fent out from this country ? -No, I do not think they have.

Are you able to lay what proportion of articles of that defrription, which will be requifite for the outfit of the hhip, has gone out of this country? -Indeed I cannot exactly anfwer that queftion.

Are you able to fay wherber a very great part of the materials neceflary for her outfit, has been fert out from this country? - Probably two-thirds.

With refpect to the other third, or with refped to whatever has not been fent out from this country, are you able to fay whether it would be fupplied from the manufalure of India, or from the manufacture of this country, previoufly exported from this country ?-I believe from articles of the manufacture of India.

What is your reafon for believing that ?-My ground for believing that, is from an effimate furnifhed me by the builders, in which they ftate the articles that they will fupply the fhip with, to equip her to fea, of the growth of that country.

Committee.]-Almoft all the knowledge you have upon the fubject, is from that eftimate? - Firom the eftimate, and the letters which I have at hone.

Mr. Grant.]-Have you the eftimate of which you have been fpeaking? $I$ bave.
[The witnefs delivered it in.
Mr. Harrifon.]-In what way did you receive that paper:-It was fent to me by the fhip-builders, who figned it J. \& R. Kyd, as an inducement to me to build a bhip with them, which governed me in my determination to build. Thefe gendemen, fince that eftimate was given to me, have flated to me, that in confequence of the great rife that took place in Bengal, in confequence of a number of hips being built under the idea that they would be wanted here, there was a rife in umber; and the thip, which was originally by that eftimate to coft 370,000 rupees, by their laft eftimate will coft 400,000 rupees; making the fum fill rather under than over $\mathfrak{X} 60,000$. which I have ftated the thip would coft.

Mr. Grant.]-Have you any other eftimate correfting this eftimate, in the manner you defcribe?-That eftimate was handed to me about a year and a half ago; I have fated that the eftimate was 370,000 rupees; and the fubfequent advice informed me that the fip would coft an additional 30,000 , in confequence of the rife.

Have you any document or letter to that effect fent by them ?-Certainly not with me.

Would you have any objection to produce that letter, in which they fated the grounds for correating, that eftimate? - O certainly not; I think I have it ; I bave made here an abfract of that letter, giving me the information I have flated. This paper contains all that I can fay, or the letter, if produced, could fay.

Is there any information in the letter but what you have got there in that paper? -Nothing more than that I have mentioned; that in confequence of the number of Bips that were built, there was a rife in the price of umber.

That does not appear in your ablract?-No.
Committee.]-What forwardnels was the hipin, when you received the laft letter from Mr. Kyd? - She was to be launched fhortly afterwards, I think on the inth of November, and the was to fail in January.

You are a maft-makes, I underftand $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{I}$ am.
Where is your eftablifhment?-In Millwall, Poplar.
How long have you carried on the bufinefs of a mafl-makë? ?.-Oné-anid-thitty years.

In what line of hipping does your bufinefs principally confin? In màking nyafts for the Eaft India Company's Chips.

Have you made malts for Government fhips building at the private yards, and other thips of that defcription?-We have.

How many men have you been in the habit of employing?-From eighteeh to sour-and-twenty-
is that altogether in the bufinefs of making malts, or do you mäke añy thing elfe belonging to a fhip?-Nothing but the mafts-malts and fpars.

How many men have you in your employ at this time'? - About ten.
Have you declined in the employ of men gradually, or has the dectine been fudden?-We have declined gradually for thefe three years.

To what do you attribute that decline ? - We attribute that decline to our not having any new flips building in the River.

Did it begin to affect you three years ago, and has it progreffively increafed; on has it not fluctuated in the courfe of thofe three years?-It has fluctuated in the courfe of thofe three years.

Has it ever in that time been up to its former ftate of profperity ? NO , it has not.

Have you ever been applied to, to furnifh any malts for thips that were building in lindia?-No.

Have you, when India-built thips have arrived in the Thames, had any employment about them in the way of your bufinef' ?- We have; fome little.

Do they amount, in any degree, in proportion to what you had about London: built hhips, when they returned from their voyages?-No, not near.
Your bufinefs lies principally, 1 think you fay, in mafts for thips belonging to the Eaft India Company, if the India-built fhips continue to be introduced, will it ma; teriahy affect your bufinefs? -Very much indeed.

Can you in that cafe carry on your eftablifhment in its prelent extent, or in any confiderable extent ?-We dannot carry it on to the extent we have, nor any thing near.

- From what countries are the timber and lpars imported which you make ufe of in your bufnefs?-From Quebec; from all parts of Quebec.
I fuppofe they pay duty ? - A trifling duty.
Do you import any from the Baltic?-We have imported from the Baltic, but not this laft fealon; we imported from Quebec laft feafon.

Are the Quebec fpars as good as thofe from the Balic ?-For the India fervice, I think they are, except for topmafts.

Is there any other fervice for which you think they are not equally good?-For the India fervice, they fuit the climate better than thofe from the Baltic; thofe from the Baltic are of a fronger nature, confequently the fon has mbre power upon them; it opens them and fliis them; the Canada mafts are of a more mild nature, and fuir the climate better.

Then are the Canada mafts better for general Fervice than the Baltic mafts? 1 think they are equal.

Then am I to underitand you, that the Canada mafts are equal to the Baltic matts for general fervice, and fuperior for the fervice of the Eaft India Company?-They are, except for topmaits, which is'generally of Riga.

Have you had an opportunity of feeing the mafts that have beerr triade in India for the India-built fhips? - I have.

Are they nearly as good in point of maniufacture as thofe'made in London?-I think they are.

Have you any doubt that in progrefs of time they will be"made fully equal in manufacture to thofe made in London?-In the manufature' cerrainly.

Are they inferior in any other refpect? - None.
Are they fuperior in any refpect?-1 think they are, in quality.

1. Doyou know, or bave you realon to believe, whether or not the fhip-builders in India could fupply themfelves to any extent for the ufe of Raipping?-1 have not a doubt in my mind, to any extent in the courfe of time,

Fave you feen flips from. India fully fupplied with Indian mafts for their ufe ?- Mr. Thomar Todd. $I$ have.

Have they had fpare mafts of Indian growth and manufature? -Yes, fuch as top-mats and top-fail-yards.

Were thofe in every refpeat fully equal to the equipment of thofe liips?-Perfectly-

Committce.]-Do you know the name of the wood ?-Teak or poon.
Mr. Adolpbus.]-Any other wood.?-No, I believe that was the principal.
Is it from the circumplances of feeing thofe fhips fo equipped, that you draw the -opinion you have expreffed, that they can equip fhips to any extent; or have you any other means of forming that opinion?-From feeing the fhips come home fully equipped with thofe things.

Committee.]-Can you recolled any hip's name that you fpeak of?-There is The Inglis, the had poon tops and topfail-yards.

Spare ones?-Yes.
Any other fhip?-There are others, but 1 cannot recollect them.
Mr. Adolpbur.]-Have you feen others whọ!e names you do not recollẹt :I have.

From your obfervation of thofe India.built fhips, can you fay whether their outfit is in every refpect equal to thofe built, in the port of London?-In refpeet to the mafts, certainly they are.

To otber objects, you do not fpeak with any confidence? -No.
When you équip a veffel for India, how many voyages will fhe perform with Thames-made mafts ?-From two to three voyages, feldom more; forite two, and Some three voyages; the principal, part two.
Have you any means of knowing how many voyages a hip with Indian malts *will perform?-There is a frip, to my knowledge, that hias had matts in her for five or fix yoyages.

Háve you, independently of the flip-building in merchants yards, been in the hapit of furnifhing the Royal Navy, with mafts occafionally', in times of emergency? -I have.

Can your, if the employment about India thips is taken away from you, or materially reduced, maintain fuch an eftablifhment as will hereafter enable you to fapply she Navy ? -I cannot.

> [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

Tou have ftated, that you have been ufually in the habit of employing from 18 to 24 men; and you ftate alfo that your employment has dectined within the daft three years; can you ftate how many men, upon an average, you have employed during the laft three years?-We have employed, during the latt three years, from twelve to fixteen.

You fay that you afcribe this decline to a want of demand for fhips building in she Thames; to what thould you afcribe that want of demand ?-Becaufe when the fhips that are fitted out for India were gone from London, we then have it in our power to fet our men to work upon the new work for the enfuing year, and fo kept them employed.

You feem to fay, that you afrribe this decline to a want of demand for flips Built in the Thames; I want to know to what you afcribe the diminithed demand of hhip-building in the Thames; why is it that tewet thips are now building in the Thames than before?-i cannot fay; I fuppofe it mult be from the teak thips coming from India.

Have you any idea of what number of thofe thips have been introduced of late years into this country ? - I thouid think from eighteen to twenty.
[Committee.]-Within how many years? Within thefe three or four years.
[Mr. Grant.]-Do you mean to feak of hips introduced into the general tradeof the country, or fhips that come merely from India here, in the fndia trade'? Ships in the general trade of the country.

You have Itated, that the Indid-built thips coming hither, have not aforded you the fame degree of employment, by demand for mafts, as the Englili-built Chips -voyaging between the two countries?-They have not.
Do you mean to fay that upon the whole you have not been fo much employed

Mr. Thomas Todd. in furnifhing mafts for India-built thips, as for Englifh-built hips in'the Company's fervice; or do you mean to fay that an India-built fhip does, require fuch a fupply of new mafts in general, as an Englifh-built hip of the fame fize? I I do not.

Do you mean to fay therefore, that any number of hips, fay two, employed in the trade between this country and India, if they. be India-built, will require fewer repairs in the way of mafls, than if they were Englifh-built?-Yes, a great deal.

To what do you afcribe that circumftance?-From their being fitted with India malts.

Which are of better materials?-They are of better quality.
Can you flate whether the fir of the growth of this Ifland, is ever employed for malts or fpars ?-lt has been tried, but not found to anfwer.

Do you mean to fay, it has been applied to mafts or fpars ?-For the fmaller fort of fpars.
Then hitherto we have been entirely dependent for mafts and fpars on the North of Europe and Britif America ?-Yes.

What is the caufe that you have imported fewer fpars from the North of Europe of late, and more from Quebec?-Becaufe the ports have been fhut, and we have not had an opportunity of importing mafts from Riga.

Then our depending upon Rufia for maits and fpars, is fubjeat to that inconvenience, that in the event of any rupture between the countries, the fupply is ftopt? -From Ruffia; and then it would be wholly fupplied from North America.

You ftate alfo, that the mafts and fpars from Quebec were better for the climate of India, than the mafts and fpars from the North of Europe ? -I think they are.

The reafon for which is, that it is a milder wood ?-Yes; and particularly for Jower malts:and top-mafts.

You have flated, that the wood imported from Britih America, is of a milder :grain than the wood imported from the North of Europe; I wilh you to fate the comparative qualities, in relpect of frength or mildnefs, of teak or poon wood, and the wood of Britilh America ? -The teak is much fuperior in quality to either the American or the North of Europe wood; the teak wood certainly is fuperior, from the quality it has in itfelf.

Is it of a milder grain? -No, it is not of a milder nature; it is of a Aronger nature, but it fuits the climate, I have no doubt.

Beiter ihan the American wood ?-Yes, I Thould think it does.
Do you fpeak of teak wood only, or of poon wood alfo?-The poon is inferior to the teak.
How is it, as compared with the other woods?-Superior to either of the others.
From your obfervation on this fubject, do you not believe that the $t$ ak wood is the fitteft of all known kinds of wood, for various climates, for mafts ? -It is.

Will not a teak maft endure varieties of climate even better than a malt of any known wood P-Yes.

When you mentioned, that there is fuch a quantity of wood in that country for thefe purpofes, do you infer that merely from the number of fpare mafts you have feen in India-built flips coming to this country? From the fupplies with which the fhips have generally come home, I judge fo.

How many India fhips have you happened to oblerve, which have been fo fupplied with fpare mafts?-I believe, three or four others, befide the one I have named, but 1 do not recollect them immediately.

## [Re-examined by Mr: Adoiphus.] $\therefore$

You have faid that your eftablifhment formerly employed about thirty men? From eighteen to twenty-four.

You have faid, that of late it has declined from fixteen to twelve, and now is at about ten?-Yes, it is.

Did you ever feel yourfelf fo deftitute of employment as at the prefent time, fince you have known the bulinefs? -Not fince I have known the bufinefs.

## [Examined by the Committee.]

Have you known any teak or poon fpars imported for fale here? -Never.
But you have knowry a confiderable quantity of mafts and fails exported from正ngland, for fale in India? - We have fent fpars out.

Pretty largely, at different times?-Yes, for the Company's fervice, and on the Company's account.

You know that the Company export them annually, as an article of fore for fale. Mr. Themas Todd. in India, as well as for ufe?-I do.

You fay you have feen India fhips arriving here, fitted with Indian mafts and fpars; have you not feen India fhips arrive here fitted with European mafts and fpars?-In part; that is, the fmall fpars; the large fpars, fuch as topmafts and topfail.yards, they have been fupplied with in India, and lower mafts allo.

Do not you know that fpare mafts are exported from this country to India for fale? -Yes. I have known them to be exported.
How long ago?-About three years ago.
Upon one occafion, or was it the courfe of the trade?-'They were fent out generally, I believe, to a certain fize, about the fize of a topmaft.
Have you known any fpare mafts imported from India into this country for fale? -Never for fale.

As you fate that the wood of the India fpars is fo much better than of thofe that are made here, how do you account for fpars being an article of export from this country to India for fale, and never of import from India to this country for fale ; and alfo for Thips coming partly at leaft fitted with Englifh fpars from India where they were built?-The fmaller kind of fpars are exported from here, becaufe they are lighter than the Indian fpars.

They are found fo much better for being lighter, that it is worth while to export them to India for the ufe of Indian fhips? -They generally take Englifh fpars of the fmaller kind from England.

Then in your former anfwer, that Indian wood was better than Englifh, you are to be underfood as confining it to the lower mafts and larger fort of fpars? Exactly fo.

Of the lighter fpars you are of opinion that thofe which go from this country are much preferable to thofe which are found in India ?-I am.

Of courfe you fee no profpect of any diminution of the demand of that fort of fpar, in confequence of chips being built in India?-No, I do not; when they go out from this country, of courfe they would take this fmall fort of fpar, becaufe they would prefer them from their lightnefs, but they would no doubt bring their own fpars home, and I have feen that they do.
Why is that exportation from this country for the ufe of hips in India to be dif. continued; do you fee any reafon why it thould be difcontinued ?-No, I do not.
Is that a confiderabe part of the outfit of a hip, the upper fpars?-No, the lower mafts, the lower yards and topmafts, with a fpare topmaft and topfail-yard, are the principal things on board a thip.
It is not meant the original outfit only, but for the wear and tear of the finp? -Yes.
Therefore the furniture of thofe light fpars is a confiderable part of her expenditure, is it not ?-Certainly.
Do not you think the teak and poon a heavier wood than the fars you furnif from here?-I do.
Is it not a material confideration in rigging a thip, that the ropmaft fhall be light ?-Certainly it is.

And the Quebec fars would therefore anfwer better than the poon, would not they?-Yes.

So of the lower yards and topfail-yards, I apprehend?-They would, I Thould think.
If you were to rig a thip of your own, would not you prefer Quebec fpars to poon for thofe purpofes?-I would.
When the trade with the Baltic was opened, or generally when it is open, do you import moft mafts and fpars from the Baltic, or from North America ?-From North America.

The greater part?-The greater part.
During the time in which your bufinefs has fallen off, do you think there has been the fame proportion of fhipping in the Thames of all forts, as has been to be found there at other periods?-Yes, $I$ think there has.

- You think there has been, within the laft three years, no diminution in the number of bips in the Thames?-Generally, I think there has been as many fhips as there were before.

What do you mean by before?-Before the three years; before the fall-off.
Are you fure that within the laft four or five years, there have not been more
(72.) S Dhips

Mr. Thomas Todd, fhips than there were before ?-I cannot fay to that, only to miy own bufinefs, but we have not fitted fo many.

The queftion was, whether you think there has been as brik a navigation in the Thames, within the laft three years, as there were in the three years preceding; has there been as many fhips entered inwards and cleared outwards? -Yes, I think there has.

At no part of that period have you perceived any material diminution?-Within the laft three or four years we have, owing to what I before Itated, to no new fhips building.
Has there or not, been a diminution in the courfe of the laft three or four years, in the intercourfe of fhipping on the Thames; do you think as many fhips have cleared outwards and entered inwards in the port of London, within the laft three or four years, as within the three or four years preceding ?-Yes, I think there may.
During the whole period?-During that period; I cannot fpeak exactly to that queftion.
You are a maft-maker? -I am.
Do you fupply mafts to the King's thips built in the Thames, as well as to others?-Very few.
Where do the mafts come from that are fupplied to the King's fhips built in the Thames?-From North America principally.
By whom are they fupplied ?-By Government.
Do not you receive fpars fometimes from the United States of America?We do.
Of what quality are they ?-Very good.
And you get them in confiderable quantities in time of peace?-I have never known any confiderable quantities above 18 inches come here.

## Mr. Jobn Milner called in, and examined by Mr. Harrison.

Mr. John Milner.
I believe you are a maft and block-maker ?-Yes.
Where do you live? At Wapping Wall.
How long have you çarried on the bufinefs of a maft and block-maker?-For myfelf, about eighteen years.
Do you fupply all defcriptions of fhips, or principally larger veffels? -My principal employment is in the India hipping.
But you fupply all defcriptions of veffels, the Weft Indiamen and thips in the general trade?-Yes, I do.

How many perfons are you in the habit of employing in your trade?-Till within thefe three years, from four to five and twenty.

What number do you employ now ?-About eleven, on the average.
Will you flate to the Committee the reafon of that falling-off ?-It has been progreffively falling off within the laft three or four years, in confequence of our not having new fhips building in the River to fupply the places of thofe worn out in the fervice of the Eaft India Company.

That has already reduced your bufinefs from the employment of four or five and twenty, to about eleven ?-It has.

Have the India-built fhips that have arrived in the Thames, afforded you any confiderable employment in your bufinefs?-Not equal to the fhips that are in the regular fervice.

Have you been in the habit of fupplying any thing to India, for the equipment of thips there ?-Not thefe feven years.

What defcription of articles did you fend out to India previous to that period?Frequently fuits of blocks for the fhips building in India.
You fay, within thefe feven years you have not fent any thing out to India?They were not permitted to go, being warlike ftores.

Committee.]-And that is the reafon you have nor fent them?-That is the reafon'they could not go.

Mr. Harrijon.]-Were you in the habit of fupplying blocks to the Eaft India Company as well as to private fhips?-No; they: were fent out by gentlemen who Have the contract, Mr. Fergufon; I am acquainted with Mr. Fergufori, and therefore know what his bufinefs was ; he fupplies the Company with the blocks.
The fame quantity have not been fent out from this country as formerly ? - No.
Have you had opportunities of feeing the blocks made in India ?-I have.

What is the quality of thips blocks made in Inđia ? -The thell of the blocks cannot Mr. Jobn Milner. be better; they are fuperior to the blocks we make, becaufe we have mot the rame materials; but the fhiver they have not the power of doing in the neat way we have at prefent, nor in the complete way.

Then you fill make fuperior to them, as far as you have obferved i-0 yes.
Are the blocks that you have feen from India, from the obfervations that you have had the means of making, of a quality and defcription that will fuperfede the neceflity of fending any out from this country?-From the obfervations I have been in the habit of making for the laft ten years, having been in the habit of repairing the Thips, I have no doubt, from the improvement they have made within that time, that they very Chortly will be able to do them as well as ourfelves.

And that with Iuperior materials, as to one part of the block? -The material mult go from this country or from America; it is lignum vite the fhiver is made from.

Where do you get your lignum vitz from, in this country ?-It comes from Jamaica, what we have.

Of what material is the outfide the fhell made? --Teak wood.
And that you confider fuperior to the elm, of which it is made here?-In point of its lafting, it is.

In what degree do you conceive the introduction of India-built thips, inftead of Ships built in Great Britain, will affect your bufinefs as a maft and block-maker?In this way, that where I have been in the habit of employing five or fix and twenty men, I fhould moft likely only employ ten or twelve.

I have afted you principally hitherto as to blocks; you fated alfo that you are a malt-maker; where do you get your wood from for mafts?-Principally, for the laft feven years, from Quebec.

Of what quality is the Quebec fir, as compared with the fir that you ufed to get elfewhere!-Prior to that, they were generally made of the Riga malt, imported from the Baltic.

What is the quality of timber you have got from Quebec?-The Canadian pine does not fplit or fly from the heat of the climate fo much as the Riga trees generally do.

Is the Canadian pine of a fufficient fize to enable you to make mafts of all defcriptions ?-We make them of pieces.

It is of a defcription, then, that enables you to make malts of all fizes?-O yes.
From the circumftance that you have flated of its flanding warm climates better, do you confider the Canadian pine fuperior for mafts?-We have found them in general to fland better?
Do you then confider them upon the whole fuperior for maft-making? - I think they are equally good-rather better.
Where do you get your fpars from now? ?-They come principally from Norway.
Have you no fpars from Canada?-Yes, but not the fmall defcription of fpars, nothing under twelve inches diameter.
You bring nothing from Canada under twelve inches ?-No.
Why do not you have any thing lefs than twelve inches, becaufe I fuppofe Canada would furnifh fpars of any fmaller fize?-I fancy they cannot bring them, the expenfe is fo much.
Then the inferior defcription of fpars it is found cheaper to get from Norway? From Norway and Sweden-principally from Norway.

What is the kind of fpar that they bring principally from Canada and Britif America?-The fmall trees are called red pine.

Are the fmall defription of trees and fpars that are brought from America frequently ufed to fill up the fowage of veffels?-In a very fmall degree; the principal broken ftowage is flaves, which pay much better--faves for cafks,
State ©hortly to the Committee what different defcriptions of Ipars you have from America, and what defcription you ftill get from Norway, and Sweden?-The fpars that we get from America are principally confined to thofe which make the lower mafts and yards, and the topmafts.
Thofe are what you principally have from Britifh America? - Yes.
What defcription do you ftill have from Norway and Sweden? -Thofe which are employed in making the booms and the fmall yards, the top-gallant yards and fmall yards.

With what marts are the Chips built in India fupplied?-Principally teak.

Mr. Jobn Milner. Are there any fupplies of mafts that go out from this country for fhips built in India?-Only thofe fent by the Company.

With what mafts are the greater part of the fhips built in India that you have feen in the River furnithed?-All teak; the lower mafts and lower yards are principally teak.
The upper mafts ?-The topmafts are generally red pine, if they can get them, and the fpars fent by the Company.
Do you know whether they have wood in India to make the fmaller fpars?-I have feen fome of the minor fpars made of teak and of poon.
Is the teak of a good quality for mafts?-So good that 1 fancy they need but one fuit, except in the accident of lightning.

How many voyages, on an average, do the mafts made in the River go ?-From two to three; they very rarely go a third voyage.
Have you fupplied the Navy with fpars and mafts?-I have made them out of their own material; they feldom permit us to find the material; they fend us the rough material.

And you make them with your workmen ?-Yes.
If your eftablifhment was lowered, that is a defription of affiftance you would not be able to give ?-Certainly not.

## [Cro/s-examined by Mr. Grant.]

How long has your eftablifhment of men been reduced to eleven ?-It has been decreafing gradually for about the laft three years.
Do you mean to fay, it has been decreafing progreffively all that time, or has there been a fudden decreafe of late?-The fudden decreafe has been for the laft nine months.

To what do you afcribe that greater rapidity of decreafe?-For the laft eighteen years, I have generally been engaged on one or two new hhips, which have given employment to the number of people I have named; that not being the cafe for the laft eighteen months, we have been obliged to difcharge one half of them.

Of what wood do you generally make the fhell of the block ?-Of elm.
But the wheel of it is of lignum vita? -Yes, and brafs.
Do you make them altogether by the ufe of machinery ?-I have a fteam engine for the purpole.

There is very little manual labour? - We do it by fteam, inftead of horfes.
Do you know whether the blocks are made by machinery in India? - By hand.
Should you conceive that a block can be made by hand in the fame degree of perfection as by machinery? -I have no doubt of it; 1 have been in the habit of making blacks for the laft feven years, and always, till within thefe laft four years; did it by hand.

And were they equally good?-Yes.
Committee.]-And as cheap?-No.
Mr. Grant.]-They take infinitely more time and labour ?-Yes.
The greater wafte of time and labour, is an obftacle to their being made in the fane perfection and in the fame number?-Yes.
I wifh to ank. whether you fupply blocks merely to thips in the River, or at the Outports? -Principally confined to the River.
Has the erection of the block-houfe at Porffmouth interfered with your fupply to - Thips in the River?-No, it is confined to the fhips in the King's yatds; the whole of the Navy is fupplied from Portfmouth.

You fay, that the Canadian pine does not fplit fo much, from heat of climate, as the Riga wood?-No; it feems to agree with the hot climate much better.

Is teak wood particularly good to endure different climates?-It appears to me, that the climate does not affect it at all.
Then I hould apprehend it is not given to fpliting?-0 no, by no means.
Though it is, as we underftand, a ftrait grained wood, it is not given to fplitting? - No, I never faw it; it was always a folid body, as I have feen it, in the different fhips.

Do you confider that as a wood eminently adapted to make lower mafts and the larger yards?-Excepting in the weight; the weight is againft it : that is the only objection that I fee to it.
Do you mean, that the weight is againft it as to lower mafts?-Yes, in its action on the flip.

Do you know what the weight is, as compared to the Canadian pine ?-I fhould Mr. Jobn Milner. think one-half.
Haif as much again? -Yes.
Do you know the weight of teak, as compared with that of oak?-I do not.
Do you know, generally, whether it is heavier or lighter than oak? -Oh, it is heavier, I know.
Are you fure of that circumftance?-Yes.
Have you made any experiment upon it?-No. I bave not.
When you fay, you conceive teak to be half as weighty again as the Canadian pine, is it from any experiments you have made, or merely from guefs? - No, it is merely from having afcertained the weight by heaving them up; the Quebec maft and the teak maft.

By means of machinery?-By means of a crane.
Do you confider the experiment fo accurate as to enable you to pronounce it to be heavier, decifively ?-I have no doubt, in my mind, of the weight of it being greater than that of Canadian timber. I believe I can explain that more fully ; becaufe, in the laft fealon, there have been one or two of the teak fhips difmafted in the Eaft India Dock, and they have confidered their mafts to be fo much more in weight, that they would not allow them to be difmafted by the machine, fearing it would put fome of their work out of order; and therefore they have done it by theers.

The fpars, you fay, of India-built fhips, have generally been of wood fent from this country ? - The minor fpars.

Committee.]-Do you mean fpars fent by the Company ?-By the Company.
Mr. Harrifon.]-You have ftated your reafon for knowing that mafts made of teak are confiderably heavier than other mafts?-Yes.
Mr. Grant.]-Have you ever been in the habit of procuring fars from the United States? -Oh yes.

Frequently? -Yes.

## [Re-examined by Mr. Harrifon]

You know that teak mafts are heavier than pine mafts?-Yes.
I underftand you to have flated fome comparifon between teak and oak; have you made any experiment between teak and oak?-No.

Then all, in fact, you know is, that teak mafts are confiderably heavier than the pine mafts?-Yes.

- But you are not at all acquainted with the comparifon of weight between teak wood and oak wood ?-No.


## [Examined by the Committee.]

Were the fpars you have got from the United States of a defrription to make lower yards, topmafts, and topfail-yards?-I believe they were; but it is fo long ago that I do not recollect them.

Were they as good as you imported from Canada? - Not fo good.
Have you ever bought any teak timber to work here for blocks?-No.
Is it to be bought here?-No, I believe not; I have made pumps of it, when it has been brought over in the private fhips.

Does it require a large piece of timber to make the fhell of a block?-No.
Do not you think the teak wood could be imported here to make blocks ?-No, not at the fame price.
Could you not do it if the duty was taken off?-I think we could make it better of elm ; the teak is fo hard to manufacture, you could not get it done for the fame labour.

## The following Accounts, and Papers, were read:

(1:)-Number and Tonnage of Ships employed in His Majelty's Service, under the Tranfport Board
p. 75.
(2.) Number of Hired Armed Veffels, with their Tounage, employed in His Majefty's Service,
under the Navy Board
(3.)-Ntumber' and Tornage of Ships and Veffels built in Great Britain; alfo, in the Port of

(4.)-Number and Tonnage of Prize Ships admitted to Regiftry, in Great Britains 17931814 - - . . . . . . . . . 77.
(5.)-Number and Tonnage of Ships launched in the Thames, for The Eaft India Company; 5770-1812

(6.)-Number and Tonnage of Ships employed in the Trade with the Eaft Indies and China; 1792-1813
p. 79.
(7.) -Number and Tonnage of Ships employed by The Eat India Company, in Voyages to and from India ; when; and where :built, 8 c ; 1.793-1814 : - - p. 80-84.
(8.) -Number and Tonnage of Indian-buill Ships and Veffels (regitered) annually employed in the Trpade between Great Britain, India and China; 1794-18iz - - p. 8 .
(9.) -Number and Tonnage of Ships now building in Britifh India and the adjacent Inands p. 86.
(10.)-Number and Tonnage of Ships built in Afia, which have been admitted to Regiftry in Creat Britain; 1794-1814 - - - - - ibid.
((II))-Freight per Ton paid to the Owners of the laft Five Ships built in England for The Eaft India Company's Service - . . . . . . . . 88


## ACCOUNTS.

-(II.)

Tranfport Office, 12 th April 18 iz.
ACCOUNT of the Number and Tomage of the SHIPS and VESSELS now employed in His Majettys Tranfport Service.


$$
-(2 .)
$$

Nary Office, 19th April 1814.
An ACC.OUNT of the Number and Tonnage of the Hired Armed SHIPS and VESSEIS. now employed in His Majefty's Scrvice.

|  |  | No. |  |  |  | Tonnage. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armed Ships - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 309 |
| Cutters | - | 22 | - | - | - | 2,233 |
| Tenders | - | 6 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 911 |

E. BOUVERIE. ROBT. MDDLETON. E. STEWART.

## －（3．）－ <br> RETURN to an Order，－for

An Account of the Number and Tonnage of SHIPS and VESSELS Built in Great Britanx， from the paffing of the ACt 26th Geo．III．cap． 6 ．to the 5 th of January 1814；dilinguining each year．

| YEARS <br> ending the <br> 5th January |  |  | Veffils built in Great Britain． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number． | Tonnage． |
| $17 \mathrm{S8}$－ | － | － | 935 | 91，543 |
| 1789 | － | － | 840 | 73，379 |
| 1790 | － | － | 620 | ．57，556 |
| 1791 | $\cdots$ | － | 562 | 56，676 |
| 1792 | － | － | 617 | 58，615 |
| 1793 | － | － | 609 | 65，861 |
| 1794 | － | － | 618 | 64，600 |
| 1795 | － | － | 500 | 53，954 |
| 1796 | － | － | 525 | 62，858 |
| 1797 | － | － | 617 | 84,647 |
| 1798 | － | － | 605 | 77，471 |
| 1799 | － | － | 685 | 78，623 |
| 1800 | － | － | 672 | 83，207 |
| 1801 | － | － | 314 | 114,617 |
| 1802 | － | － | 888 | 108，906 |
| 1803 | － |  | 966 | 114，283 |
| 1804 | － |  | 1，096 | 115，627 |
| 1805 | － | － | 712 | 79，892 |
| 1806 | － | － | 715 |  |
| 1807 | － | － | 599 | $58,606$ |
| 1808 | － | － | 620 |  |
| 1809 | － |  | 447 | $46,657$ |
| $1810$ | － |  |  |  |
| 1812 1812 |  |  | The Papers de | late Fire |
| 1812 | － | － | Cufom Ho |  |
| 1814 － |  | － |  |  |

AnAccount of the Number and Tonnage of SHIPS and VESSELS Built in the Port of London from the pafing of the Act 26 th Geo．III． cap．60．to the 5 th of January 1814 ；diftinguifh－ ing reach year，and diftinguilhing Ships built for the fervice of the Eaft India Company．

| YEARS ending the 5th Janaary | Veffels built in the Port of Londun． |  | Vtrils built f．r the fervice of the Eaft India Company． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number． | Tonnage． |  |
| 1788 | 61 | J6，999 |  |
| 1789 | 48 | －8，534 | \＃安 |
| 1790 | 37 | 8，280 |  |
| 1791 | 40 | 9，743 | －EE |
| 1792 | 58 | 6，673 | ¢ |
| 1793 | 51 | 11，003 | ¢ |
| 1794 | 33 | 4，986 | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 1795 | 22 | 1，971 | 唇茄 |
| 1796 | 28 | 7，122 | E |
| 1797 | 64 | 22，315 | － |
| 1798 | 65 | 20，342 | 辰㫐 |
| 1799 | 39 | 6，763 |  |
| 1800 | 32 | 4，830 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 1801 | 56 | 19.973 | 올 |
| 1802 | 50 | 5，845 | \％ |
| 1803 | 41 | 15，129 | 号 |
| 1804 | 97 | 15，994 | － |
| 1805 | 46 | 5，987 |  |
| 18 c 7 | 51 25 | 6，694 | ¢゙島 |
| 1808 | 57 | 2，876 | － |
| 1809 | 27 | 4，602 | 安容京 |
| 1810 |  |  |  |
| 1812 | Tbe P | ers de－ | 氙管号 |
| 1812 | $\}$ froy | at ibe | －吕 |
| 3813 | late |  |  |
| 1814 |  |  |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Inipettor General's Office, } \\ \text { Cuftom Houfe, London, } \\ \text { 19th April } 1814 \text {. } \end{array}\right\}$ |  |  |  |

An Account of the Number and Tonnage of SHIPS and VESSELS Built in the Port of Liverpool，from the palling of the AE 26th Geo．III．cap．6o．to the 5th of January 1814 ；diftinguihing each year．


$$
-(4)-
$$

## RETURN to an Order,-for

Ax ACCOUNT of the Number and Tonnage of PRIZESHIPS admitted to Regitury n Great Britain, from the 5th of January 1793 to the 5th January 1814 .


- The above Account includes the aggregate Number and Tonnage of Prize Ships exifing on Regifer in all Parts of Hir Majgij's Dominicar, from the commencement of the Account to the 30th September $18 \times 3$.

Cuftom Houre, London,
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Cuftom Houfe, London, } \\ \text { Office of the Regitar General of Shipping, } \\ 19 \text { April } 1814\end{array}\right\}$
T. E WILLOUGHBY,

Reg' Cea:

## -(5.) -

A RETURN of dl the SHIPS launched in the River Thames, for the Scrvice of The Eaf Indra Company, in each Year, flom 1770 to 18 r 2 , with the Tonnage of each Ship; alfo, the Tonnage of she Ship now building


$$
-(\delta .)-
$$

## RETURN to an Order,-for

An ACCOUNT of the Number of SHIPS, with the Amount of their Tonnage, employed in the Trade with the Eaft Indies and China, in each Year, from 1792 to 18 r 3 , both inclufive.


A LIST of all SHIPS employed by the Eaft India Company in Voyages to and from India, fince the 10 th of April 1793 ; diftinguifhing Regular from Extra Ships; and fpecifying the Names of the Ships, Builder's Meafurement, when and where built, year when firt engaged, number of Voyages completed or now performing, additional Voyages engaged for ; and when worn out, difcharged, or loft.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { yhen } \\ \text { chem- } \\ \text { ployed. } \end{gathered}$ | Shifs names. | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Builder's } \\ \text { MIaeature- } \\ \text { ment. }\end{array}$ <br> Tons. | When built. | Where built. | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\ \text { of Voyeges } \\ \text { orignall } \\ \text { engaged for. }\end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Additional } \\ \text { Vngyages } \\ \text { Sagaged for. } \end{array}\right\|$ |  | When worn out, dircharged, or lof. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REG | ULAR | SHIPS. |  |  |  |  |  |
| . 1775 | Britannia | $77^{\circ}$ | 1775 | Bombay | Company's own hip. | none | 11 | Loft on the coaft of Brazil, ift November 1805, outward bound on her 12th voyage. |
| 1778 | Royal Admiral | 919 |  | River Thames | 6. | 2 | 8 | Worn out, 1798. |
|  | Earl of Oxford | 703 | 1778 | Do. | 6 | none | 6 | Do. 1796. |
| 1779 | Ganges | 784 | ${ }_{1778}$ | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. $\quad 1795$. |
|  | London | 836 | 1779 | Do. | 6 | 1 | 7 | Do. ${ }^{1799 .}$ |
|  | Waipole | 774 | ${ }^{1} 779$ | Do. | 6 | 1 | 7 | Do. ${ }_{\text {Do }}$ |
| 1780 | ${ }_{\text {L }}{ }_{\text {Lafcelles }}$ | 824 | 1779. <br> 1779 | Do. Do. | 6 | 1 | 8 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Do. } \\ \text { Do. } & 179800\end{array}$ |
| - | Pigot | 755 | 1780 | Do. | 6 | none | 4 | Taken at Bencoolen, 7th February 1794, on 5 th voyage. |
| - | Ponforne | 804 | 1780 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Worn out, 1795. |
|  | Ofterley | 775 | 1780 | Do. | 6 | 1 | 7 | Do. 1800. |
| ${ }_{1781}$ | Valentine | 790 | 5780 | Do. | 6 | none | 6 | Do. 1795. |
| - | Northumberland | 784 | 1780 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. ${ }^{1797}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Effex | 799 | 1780 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ 1798. |
|  | $\underset{\text { Dutton }}{\text { Afia }}$ - | ${ }_{761}^{816}$ | 1780 1781 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Loft on a Voyage to the Weft Indies, in |
|  | Deptford |  |  |  |  | Do. | 6 | the fervice of Government, 1796 . <br> Worn out, 1797. |
|  | Kent | ${ }_{784}$ | ${ }_{1781}^{1781}$ | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. - |
| - | Warren Haftings | 763 | 1781 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. - |
| 1782 | Francis - | 789 | 1781 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. 1796. |
|  | General Coote | 787 | 1781 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. ${ }^{1797 .}$ |
| - | Rodney - | 772 | 1781 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. ${ }^{1796 .}$ |
| - | Europa | 772 | 1781 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. 1798. |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Butbridge }}^{\text {General }}$ Goddard - | 771 | ${ }_{1781}$ | Do. | 6 | I none d | 8 | Do. 1799* |
| - | General Goddard - | 779 | 1782 1782 1782 | Do. | 6 | no. |  | Do. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ D. 1798. |
| 1783 | General Elliott | 880 | ${ }_{1782}$ | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. 1795. |
|  | Barwell | 796 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. 1796. |
| - | Hillfborough | 764 |  | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. 1798. |
| - | L.ord Macartney | 796 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. - |
|  | Sulivan | 876 |  | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 |  |
|  | Raymond | 793 |  | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Taken off Tellicherry, 20th April 1798, on 6th voyage. |
| - | Middlefex | 852 | 1783 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Loft homeward bound, on a voyage from the Weft Indies, in 1796, in the fervice of Government. |
| 1784 | Earl Cornwallis | 774 | - | Do. | 6 | I as an | 7 | Worn out, 1800. |
| - | Lord Camd |  | - | Do. | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extra thy } \\ & \text { none } \end{aligned}$ |  | Do. 1799. |
|  | Berrington | 816 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. - |
| 1785 | King George | 776 | 1784 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. 1798. |
|  | Dublin - | 786 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. 1800. |
|  | Duke of Montrofe | 762 |  | Do. | 6 | 2 | 8 | Do. 1808. |
|  | Manfhip - - | 812 | 1785 | Do. | 6 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { I as an } \\ \text { extra } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 7 | D. 1803. |
| $\square$ | Fort William | 798 | - | Do. | 6 | none | 6 | Do. 1802. |
| 1786 | Pitt | 775 | 1780 | Do. | 1 | 5 | 6 | Do. ${ }^{17988 .}$ |
| - | Bridgewater | 799 | 1785 | Do. | 6 | none | 6 | Do. Do. 18990 1800 |
| - | Queen | 798 801 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Burnt at St. Salvador, gth Jul |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | outward bound on 5 th voyage. |
| - | ${ }_{\text {Rockingham }}$ | 798 |  | Do. | 6 | I none | 7 | Wo. - |
|  | Worcefter | 798 | 二 | Do. | 6 | 2 | 8 | Do. 1808. |
| 1787 | Woodcot | 802 | 1786 | Do. | 6 | none | 4 | Taken off Tellicherry, 20th April 1798, on 5 th royage. |
|  | Princefs Amelia | 808 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Burnt at Bombay, 5th April 1798, on 5 th voyage. |
|  | Earl Fitzwilliam | 803 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Burnt at Bengal, 23d February, 1799, on 5 th voyage. |
| - | Marquis of Lanfdown | 647 | - | Do. | 4 | 2 | 6 | Worn out, 1800. |



|  | SHEPS NAMES. | Builder's <br> Meafure- <br> ment. <br> Tons. | When built. | Where built. | Number of Voyages originally engaged for | Additional <br> Voyages engaged for. | Number of Voyages completed or now performing | When worn out, diccharged, or loft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | REGULAR | SHIPS | -continued. |  |  |
| 1797 | Coutts .- | 1,451 | 1797 | River Thames | 6 | 2 | 8 | Abroad on her 8th voyage. |
| ${ }^{1798}$ | Walpole | 823 | 1798 | Do, | 6 | non | 4 | Loft near Margate, 18 th December $\ddagger 8$ homeward bound, on 5 th voyage. |
| - | Calcutta | 819 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Suppofed to have foundered between I4th and 17th March 1809, homew. bound on her 5 th voyage. |
| - | Dover Caftle | 826 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Worn out, 1813. |
| - | Lord Duncan | 830 | - | Do. | 6 | I | 7 | Do. |
| \$999 | Afia - | 819 | - | Liverpool - | 6 | none | 4 | Loft in the River Houghly, if June 18 on her 5 th voyage. |
| - | Prefton | 675 | - | River Thames | 6 | Do. | 6 | Worn out, 1812. |
| - | Charlton | 818 | 1799 | Liverpool - | 6 | Do. | 5 | Taken 18th November 1809, on her $($ voyage outward bound. |
| 1800 | Keat - | 824 | - | River Thames | 6 | Do. | - | Taken in the Bay of Bengal, 7 th Octol 1800, outward bound on her fi royage. |
| - | Lady Burges - | 821 | -. | Do. | 6 | Do. | 3 | Loft near Cape de Verd Inlands, 20 Apt 1806, outward bound on her $4 t$ voyage. |
| - | L.ord Nelfon | 819 | - | Do. | 6 | Do.* | 4 | Suppofed to have foundered between 20 t and 23d November 1808, on her 51 voyage homeward bound. |
| - | Bengal - - | 818 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Do. between 14th and 17 th Marc 1809, - Do. |
| - | Cafle Eden - | 818 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Worn out, 1812. |
| - | Marquis of Wellelley | 818 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Lott in Bombay Harbour, 24th Apr 1813, on her 6th voyage. |
| - | Walthamftow | 824 818 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Worn out, 1813. |
| - | Earl of St.Vincent | 878 | - | Do. | 6 | $\underline{1}$ | 7 | Do. |
| - | City of London - | 820 821 | - | Do. | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | none Do. | 6 | Do. |
| - | Hugh Inglis ** | 821 | 1800 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Abroad on her 6th vojage. |
| - | Lady Jane Dundas | 826 | 1800 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Suppoled to have foundered between th 14th and 17th March 1809 , home ward bound on her 5 th voyage. |
| - | Ocean - - | 1,273 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Suppoled lolt in the China Seas, in Sef tember 1810, outward bound on her 5 th royage. |
| - | Dorfethire - | 1,201 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Abroad on her 6th voyage. |
| - | Henry Addington | 1,262 | - | Do. | 6 | 1 | 7 | Do. - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Do. |
| 1801 | Windham - | 823 | - | Do. | 6 | none | 4 | Taken 3 dJuly 1810, outward bound on her 5 th voyage. |
| 1802 | United Kingdom - | 820 | 1801 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 3 | Do. - 18th Nov. 1809, Do. |
| - | Alnwick Caitle | 1,256 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Completed 6 voyayes, 1813. |
| - | David Scott | 1,276 | - | Do. | 6 | Do | 6 | Do. |
| - | Marquic of Ely | 1,262 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Abroad on her 6th voyage. |
| - | Perfeverance | 1,271 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. |
| - | Baring - | 819 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. |
|  | Marchionefs of Exeter | 820 | $\bar{\square}$ | Do, | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. |
| 1803 | Warren Haltings | 1,276 | 1802 | Do. | 6 | 1 | 2 | Taken homeward bound on her ad voyage, the 2 Ift June 1806 ; purchafed from the Enemy in India, returned to England, and has fince been engaged for one voyage. |
| - | Earl Camden : | 1,271 | - | Do. | 6 | none | 3 | Burnt at Bombay, 24 July 1810, on he 4th voyage. |
| - | Royal George - | 1,260 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | At home. |
| - | Lord Caftlereagh | 812 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 4 | Do. |
| - | Europe | 824 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Abroad on her 5 th voyage. |
| - | Lord Meville | 818 | 1803 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Do. |
| - | Eflex | 15257 | 1802 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Do. |
| - | Cumberland | 1,260 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. on her 6th voyage. |
| - | Elphinftone | 1,274 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Do. |
| - | Wexford | 1,271 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 |  |
| - | Lady Caftereagh - | 821 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Proceeding on her 6th voyage. |
| - | Ceylon - | 818 | 1803 | Do. | 6 | Do. | 3 | Taken 3d July 1810, ©utward bound her $4^{\text {th }}$ voyage. |
| - | Prince of Wales | 820 | - | Do, | 6 | Do. | - | Suppoled to have been loft $24^{4} \mathrm{~h}$ 1804, on her firf voyage home bound. |
| 1804 |  | 1,265 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 6 | Abroad on her 6th voyage. |
| 1805 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Jane, Duchefs of } \\ \text { Gordon }\end{array}\right\}$ | 822 | 1804 | Do. | 6 | Do. | I | Suppofed to have foundered be 14 th and 17 th March 1809 , home bound on her 2d royage. |
| - | $\underset{\text { Phoenix }}{\text { Metcalfe }}$ - | 818 819 | - | Do. Do. | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | Do. | 3 | Proceeding on her teh royage. Abroad, on her 5 th Do. |
| - | Metcalfe - | 819 | - | Do. | 6 | Do. | 5 | Abroad, on her 5th Do. |


| Yea Year whe when firt fritempley, ploged | SHIPS NAMES. | B ulder's <br> Minafue- <br> ment <br> Tuns | When buit | Where built | Number ot Voyages origmilly engaged for | Additional <br> Voyagis engyed for | Nurnber of Voydges completed cr now perterming | When wo.n out, difcharged, or loft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGULAR SHIPS—continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17)1805 | Surrey <br> Devonfhire William Pitt Streatham Surat Caftle | $\begin{array}{l\|r} - & 819 \\ - & 821 \\ - & 819 \\ - & 819 \\ - & 1,149 \end{array}$ | 1804 | RiverThames | 6 | none | 4 | Proceeding on her 5th soyage. Abroad - on her 5th Do |
|  |  |  | . | Do. | 6 | Do | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | 1805 | Do Do. | 6 | Do | 4 | Do $4^{\text {th }}$ Do <br> Do $4^{\text {th }}$ Do |
|  |  |  | - | Do. |  | Do | 4 |  |
| -1807 |  |  | 1790 | Surat - | 4 | Do | 4 | Suled on her 4 th voyage 1814 ; engaged for 4 voyages only |
|  | Scaleby Caftle | 1,242 | 1798 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do. } \\ & \text { Do } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | Loft on the Goodwin Sands, 25 January 1809, on her ad voyage outwad bound |
| $1-$ | Britanma | 1,273 | 1800 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bombay - } \\ & \text { Rıver Thames } \end{aligned}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{4 \\ \text { Company's } \\ \text { own Stap }}}$ |  |  |  |
| 13808 | Warren Haftings | 1,000 | 1308 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do. } \\ & \text { Do } \\ & \text { Do } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | Do. Do | 2 |  |
| 1/809 | Carnatic | 822 | - |  |  |  |  | Abroad - on her 3 d voyage. <br> Do. <br> Do. |
|  | Princefs Amelia | 1,275 |  |  |  | Do | 3 |  |
| 181 | Thomas Grenville | 886 | - | Bombay - <br> River Thames | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Company's } \\ \text { owas Ship } \end{array}$ | Do | 3 | Do |
| - | Aftell | 820 | 1809 |  | $6$ | Do | 3 | Do. Do.Do. Do |
|  | Bombay | 1,242 | $1810$ |  |  | DoDo. | 3 |  |
| 1811 | Rofe | 955 |  |  |  |  |  | o. 2d royage |
|  | Charles Grant | 1,274 | - | River Thames Bombay | 6 | Do | 2 | Do Do. |
|  | Lowther Caftle | 1,427 | 1811 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { River Thames } \\ \text { Do } \\ \text { Do } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do } \\ & \text { Do. } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Do Do, <br> Do. Do. |
| 1812 | Cabilva - Coldfream | 1,257 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 1812 | Coldftream | 693 | 1810 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do } \\ & \text { Do } \end{aligned}$ | I | Do | 1 | Engaged for one voyage enly, and arrived 1813; fince engaged for one voyage as an extra Ship and proceeding 1814 |
|  | Farrle - | 698 | - | Bengal - | I | Do | I | Engaged for one voyage only, and now abouad |
| - | Inglis - - | 1,298 | - | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Prince of } \\ \text { Wales's lland } \end{array}\right\}$ | 6 | Do | 1 | Abroad on her ift voyage |
| - | Afia - | 958 | 1811 | River Thames | 6 | DoDo | 2 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Do. } & \text { 2d } & \text { Do. } \\ \text { Do } & \text { 2d } & \text { Do. }\end{array}$ |
| - | Marquis of Huntly | 1,279 | — | Do.Do |  |  |  |  |
| - | Bengal - | 955 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | Do | I | Proceeding on her 2 d Do. |
| - | Prince Regent | 953 | - | Do Do |  | DoDo | I | Do. 2d Do. <br> Do. 2d Do |
| - | - Marchonefs of Ely | 952 | 1812 | $$ | 6 |  |  |  |
| - | Broxbornebury | 708 | - |  |  | Do | 1 | Engaged for one voyage, and arrived 1813. <br> Do. Do and now abroad |
| - | Apollo - ${ }^{\text {Prucefs }}$ - | 652 |  |  | I |  | I |  |
| - | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Prucefs Charlotte } \\ \text { of Wales }\end{array}\right\}$ | 978 | - |  | 6 | Do. | I | Abroad on her ift voyage. |
| - | General Farris - | 1,283 | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Do } \\ & \text { Do. } \\ & \text { Do }\end{aligned}\right.$ | 6 | Do | I |  |
|  | Marquis Camden - | 1,261 |  |  | 6 | Do | I |  |
| 1813 | Bridgewater - | 1,276 | - |  | 6 |  |  | Do ift Do |
|  | Atlas - - | 1,267 |  | Do <br> Paulnear Hu ll <br> 1 | 6 | Do. | 1 | Do ift Do. |
| - | Maiq ${ }^{\text {s of Wellington }}$ | 961 | - | Rıver Thames | 6 | Do | 1 | Do ift Do. |
| $\mathrm{IPr4}_{4}$ | Caftle Huntly | 1,263 1,311 | - | Bengal | 6 <br> 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do. } \\ & \text { Do } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Do. ift Do |
|  |  |  |  | EXT | A SH |  |  |  |
| 1793 | Princefs of Wales - | 405 | 1795 | Stockton - <br> Do. <br> Do. <br> River Thames | 2 | none Do. Do. Do. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | D.feharged 1797. |
| - | Bellona - - | 456 | - |  | 2 |  |  | $\text { Do } \quad 1798 .$ |
| I 7 | Ifabella | 459 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| 1796 | Martha | 406 | 1796 |  |  |  |  | Lott on her rit voyage in Bengal Ruver, 10th Auguit 1797. |
| - | Tellicherry | 465 | - | Do | 4 | Do. | 4 | Difcharged 1803 |
| - | Princefs Mary | 462 | 一 | Southampton | 4 | Do | 4 | Do $\quad 1804$ |
| 1797 | Fruend ${ }^{\text {fip }}$ | 407 | 1794 | River Thames | 1 | Do |  | Do 1798. |
| - | Purfut | 393 | 1795 | Newcaftle - | 1 | Do. | 1 | Do Do. |
| - | Queen - | 484 | - | Quebec - | 1 | Do | I | Do. 1799. |
|  | - Varunna - | 526 | 1796 | Bengal - | I | Do. | 1 | Do. Do. |
| - | Aufptcius | 404 | unknown | unknown | 1 | Do |  | Burnt in India on her ift voyage |
| - | Albion | $43^{\circ}$ | Do. | Do | 1 | Do | I | Difcharged 1798. |
| - | Mildred - | 400 | Do. | Do. | I | Do. | 1 | Do 1799. |
| - | Northumberland | 403 | Do | Do. | 1 | Do. | 1 | Do. Do |
| - | Eurydice - | 444 | 1797 | Chelter - | 1 | Do. | 1 | Do. Do. |
| ${ }^{7} 798$ | Caledontan | 612 | 1794 | Kiver Thames | I | 1 | 2 | Do 1803. |
|  | Saman Chriftana | 557 | 1798 | Do. | 1 | 4 4 | 5 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Do } & 18 \mathrm{r} \\ \text { Do } \\ \text { Do } \\ \text { 1800. }\end{array}$ |
| - | Alligator - Phoenix - | 344 592 | unknown | ${ }_{\text {unknown }}^{\text {Do }}$ - | 1 | none Do | 1 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Do } \\ \text { Do } & \text { 1800. } \\ \text { Do }\end{array}$ |
|  | Phenix - | 592 384 | Do. Do. | Do | $\underline{1}$ | Do | 1 | Do Do |
| - | Orpheus - | 384 561 | Do. | Do. | I | Do | 1 | Do. 1800. |
|  | Ocean - | 461 | Do. | Do. | 1 | Do | 1 | Do. Do |
|  | Thames | 746 | Do | Do. | I | Do | 1 | Do. Do. |
| 3800 | Herculean | 608 | 1799 | South Shields | 1 | 1 | 2 | Do. ${ }^{1804}$ |
| - | Skelton Cafte | 583 | 1800 | River Thames | 2 | 4 | 3 | Suppofed to have been loft off the Cape, December 1806, on her $4^{\text {th }}$ voyage. |


N. B.-The Ships defcribed as worn out are thofe which were built for the Conpanys Service, and have completed their contraeted number of Voyages; but agreat number of them have been finge employed as Tranforts, and, in the Weft India and other Trades.

$$
-(8:)-
$$

An ACCOUNT of the INDIAN-BUILTSHłPS andVESSELS (regittered) which have been annually employed in the Trade between Great Britain, Inda, and China refpectively; diftinguifhing each, from $179+$ to 1814 , both inclufive; diftinguifhing the Ships employed in the Eaft India Company's Regular Trade to India and China, from thofe employed in the Private Trade.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEARS of Employment. \& \& SHIPS NAMES. \& TONNAGE. \& INDIA or CHINA. \\
\hline \& \& REGULAR SHIPS. \& \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1796 \\
\& 1798
\end{aligned}
\]} \& - \& Sir Edward Hughes Britannia \& 957
770 \& India. Do. \\
\hline \& - \& Sir Edward Hughes - \& 957 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Britannia - \& 770 \& Do. \\
\hline 1800 \& - \& Sir Edward Hughes \& 957 \& Do. \\
\hline 1801 \& - \& Sir Edward Hughes \& 957 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Britanuia - \& 770 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1803} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-} \& Britannia - \& 770 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Sir Edward Hughes - \& 957 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1804 \\
\& 1806
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{-} \& Britannia - - \& 770 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Scaleby Caftle - \& 1,242 \& China. \\
\hline \& \& Surat Caftle - \& 1,149 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1808 \\
\& 1809
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{-} \& Scaleby Caftle - - \& 1,242 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Bombay - \& 1,242 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Surat Caltle - \& 1,149 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Thomas Grenville - \& 886 \& India. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1810} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{*} \& Charles Grant - \& 1,274 \& China. \\
\hline \& \& Scaleby Caftle \& 1,242 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1818} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{-} \& Surat Caftle - - \& 1,149 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Bombay - - \& 1,242 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Thomas Grenville - \& 886 \& India. \\
\hline \& \& Fairle - \& 698 \& Do. \\
\hline 1812 \& - \& Inglis - - \& 1,298 \& China. \\
\hline \& \& Charles Grant - \& 1,274 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1813} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{-} \& Caftle Huntly - - \& 1,257 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Bombay - - - \& 1,242 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Scaleby Cafle - - \& 1,242 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Surat Caftle \\
Thomas Grenville
\end{tabular} \& 1,149
886 \& Dow
Do. \\
\hline \& \& EXTRA SHIPS. \& \& \\
\hline 1796 \& - \& Varunna \& 526 \& India. \\
\hline 1801 \& - \& Minerva - - \& 560 \& Do. \\
\hline 1804 \& - \& Union *- \& 723 \& Do* \\
\hline \& \& Sir William Pulteney \& 565 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1806} \& - \& Union - \& 723 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Sir William Pulteney _- \& 565
723 \& Do. \\
\hline 1808 \& - \& Sir William Pulteney - \& 565 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1809} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-} \& Juliana - \& 498 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Larkins - - \& 637 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{1810} \& \multirow[t]{7}{*}{-} \& Union - \& 723 \& Do* \\
\hline \& \& Cambridge - - \& 759 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Sir William Pulteney - \& 565 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& James Sibbald - \& 647 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& David Scott - - \& 736 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Moffatt - - \& 776 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Baring - - - \& 756 \& Do. \\
\hline 1815. \& - \& Larkins - - \& 637 \& Do. \\
\hline \& \& Juliana * * \& 498 \& Do. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1812

1813} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{-} \& Sir William Pulteney \& 565 \& Do. <br>
\hline \& \& Moffatt - - \& 776 \& Do. <br>
\hline \& \& Union - - - \& 723 \& Do. <br>
\hline \& \& David Scott - - \& 736 \& Do. <br>
\hline \& \& James Sibbald - - \& 647 \& Do. <br>
\hline 1813 \& - \& Larkins - - \& 637 \& Do. <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

The above Account includes all the Indian-built Ships which have been employed in the Company's Regular Trade, and alfo the Ships employed for the Conveyance of Tonnage for Individuals,
RETURN to an Order,-for

A* ACCOUNT of the Number of SHIPS and their Tonnage, now building in BRITISH INDIA, and the adjacent Iflands, diftinguifhing thofe engaged by the Company for their Service, from thofe which are building for private perfons.

THERE are not any Ships now building in Britifh India, engaged by the Eaft India Company for their Service; three Ships of about 1,250 Tons, built in 1813 , are now on their paflage home.

There are ne documents in the Eaft India Houfe, to afcertain the number of Ships which are building in Britifh India for private perfons,

```
\(\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Eaft India Houfe, } \\ 21 \mathrm{ft} \text { April } 18 \text { 14. }\end{array}\right\} \quad\) J. MORICE.
```

-(10.)-

A RETURN of all the SHIPS and VESSELS built in AsIA, which have been admitted to Regiftry in Great Britain, from 1ft January 1794 to 5 th April 1814 , with the Name and Tonnage of each Ship and Veffel; and diftinguifing the Countries and Places where built, and when admitted to Britif Regiftry.



Cuftam Houre, London,

T. E. WILLOUGHBY,

Regr Gen!.

$$
-(11 .)-
$$

An ACCOUNT of the FREIGHT, per Ton, paid to the Owners of the laft Five Ships built in England, for the Ealt India Company's Service.

| SHIPS NAMES. | Permanent Peace Freight for each Voyage. |  | Allowance for War Building paid upon the Ship's Arrival as Gravefend, on her if Vopage outwards. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Kindledge. | Without Kintledge. |  |
| Marquis of Huntly - engaged for 6 Voyages | . $220 \quad 9$ - | E2t 9- | \& $_{5} 19$ |
| Cabalva - - Do. | 1915 | $2015-$ | $7-$ |

The Owners of the above-mentioned Ships delivered, with their Tenders, a Scale of the PeacePrices, Building, and Stores, upon which the demand for the Peace Freight was calculated.
In the event of War, br preparations for Hoftilities, when the Ships proceed on each Voyage refpectively, the War Contingencies to be fettled and allowed by the Court of Directors, according to the circumftances of the times ; in computing which the ftandard at which the Ships are tendered, compared with the War Prices when the Ships are proceeding on each Voyage, will regulate the Settlement.

| SHIPS NAMES. |  | Permanent Peace Freight for each Voyage. |  | Allowance for War Building paid upon the Ship's Arnval at Gravefend, on her if Voyage. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | With Kintledge. | Without Kincledge. |  |
| General Harris | - engaged for 6 Voyages | £17 7- | £187- | $26 \quad 13$ |
| Bridgewater | - Do. | $177-$ | $187-$ | $613-$ |
| Atlas - | - Do. | 185 | 195 | $519-$ |

The above-mentioned Rates of Freight are calculated upon the following Amount of Outfit; viz.

| Firft Voyage, including Hull | - | - | - | 2,300 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Second do. | do. repairs | - | - |  | 10,900 |
| Third do. | do. do. | - | - | - | 12,800 |
| Fourth do. | do. do. | - | - | - | 17,200 |
| Fifth do. | do. do. | - | - | - | 12,400 |
| Sixth do. | do. do. | - | - | - | 12,900 |

In the event of the Outfit for a Ship of about 1,200 Tons, by an Eftimate prepared by the Company's Mafter Attendant, and approved by the Court of Direetors, being higher in any Seafon in which the Ships are proceeding on each Voyage refpectively, the difference, exclufive of the increafed expenfe of building, on the firft Voyage, is to be allowfd the Owners, whether in Peace or War; fuch difference to be paid in the fame manner as fimilar Allowances are now made to the Owners of the Regular Ships for increafe of Outfit in time of War.
In the event of the Outfit being lower in any Seafon by the Mafter Attendant's Eftimate, approved by the Court of Directors, than the Outfits before mentioned, upon which the permanent Freight is calculated for the Voyage the Ship may be proceeding, a proportionate reduction is to be made from the faid Rate of Freight, and allowed to the Company accordingly.

In the event of Hoftilities or preparations for Hoftilities, when the Ships are to proceed on each Voyage refpectively, fuch Allowances, exclufive of Increafe of Outfit and Repairs, are to be made to the Owners, as fhall be fettled by the Court of Directors, according to the circumftances of the times.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Eaf India Houre, } \\
\text { 21A April } 18 \mathrm{I4} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
-(12 .)-
$$

An Account of the FREIGHT per Ton, agreed to be paid by the Eall India Company to the Owners of the Ships bult in India in 1813, fur their Service.


The above-mentioned Rate of Freight are calculated ypon the following amount of Outfit, viz.

| Firft Vojage |  | including | the Hull |  |  | $\mathscr{E}_{42,300}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second Voyage | - | Do. | Repair! | - | - | 10,900. |
| Third Voyage | - | Do. | Do. | - | - | 12,800. |
| Fourth Voyage | - | Do, | Do. | - | - | 17,200. |
| Tifth Vopage | - | Do. | Do. | - | - | 12,400. |
| Sixth Voyage | - | Do, | Do. | - | - | 12,900. |

In the event of the Optit for a Shis of abcut $1,2,30$ Tons, by an ERimate prepared by the Company's Mafter Attendant, and approved by the Court of Directars, being higher in any Seafon in which the Ships are praceeding on each Voyage refpectively; the difference, excluive of the increafed expenfe of building an the firt Voyage, is to be allowed the Owners whether in Peace or War; tuch difference to be paid in the fame manner as fimilar Allowances are now made to the Owners of the Company's Regular Ships, for increafe of Outfit in time of War.
In event of the Outgt being lower in any Seafan, by the Mafter Attendant's Entimate, approved by the Court of Diretors, than the Outfits before-mentioned," upon which the Permanent Freight is calculated for the Voyage the Ships may be proceeding, a proportionate reduction is to be prade from the faid Rate of Freight, and allowed the Company accordingly

The Freight to be paid the Owners for the Voyage to England, if loaded on the Company's account, or the privilege to be granted the Owners of loading the Ships on their own account, is to cover the $s \times$ era expenfe of building in time of War.

In the event of hontilities, when the Ships are to proceed on each Voyage relpectively, or preparations for hoftilities, fuch Allowances, exclufive of increafe of Outfit and Repairs, are to be made to the Owners, as thall be fettled by the Court of Directors, according to the circumflances of the times.

## - ( 13.$)$ -

An Arcount of the OUTFIT of a new SHIP of 1,200 Tons burthen, from the Year 1793 to the Year 1813; diftungurhing the Rate of Building per Ton, the Amount of the Coft of Saits, of Cables and Cordage, of Mafts and Yards, of Copper Work, and of other Articles forming the Total Coft


Memorandum.-There is no feparate Account of Iron Work, the Amount being included in the Rate per Ton for Buldang.

$$
-(14 .)-
$$

Ar ACCOUNT. of the OUTFIT of.a New Ship of 800 Tons Burthen, from the Year 1793 to the Year 1813: diftinguifhing the Rate of Building per Ton, the Amount of the Coft of Sails, of Cables and Cordage, of Mafts and Yards, of Copper Work, and of other Articles forming the Total Coft.


## axemoranaum :

There is no feparate Account of Iron Work, the Amount being included in the Rate per Ton for Building.

JOSEPH BOULDERSON.

$$
-(15 .)
$$

## RETURN to an Order,-for

AN ACCOUNT of the Quantity of OAK TIMBER imported in each Year, from His Majety's Plantations, and from Foreign Countries; dißinguilaing each; from 1794 to 18 13, both inclufive.


IN confequence of the general deftuction of the Papers and Documents, at the late Fire at the Cuthom-Houfe, the Infpector General is at prefent unable to make a complete Return to the above Order of the Committee of the Fonourable Houfe of Commons.


Tvalamaina aiviaveg,
Infpectof Gfor

RETURN to an Order,-for
An Account of the Number of MASTS and SPARS imported in each Year into Great Britann, from His Majefty's Plantations and from Foreign Countries; didtinguihing each, from 1794 to 1813 , both inclufive.


IN confequence of the general Deftruction of the Papert and Documents at the late Fire at the Cuftom-Houfe, the Infpector General is at prefent unable to make a complete Return to the above Order of the Committee of the Honourable Houfe of Commons.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Cuftom Houfe, London; } \\ \text { 19th April } 1814 \text {. }\end{array}\right\}$

WILLIAM IRVING,
In inecior $^{\text {Gen }}$.

$$
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An Account of the Quantity of HEMP imported into Great Britann in each Year, from 1793 to 1813 inclusive.


$$
-(18)-
$$

An Account of the Quantity of CORDAGE exported to Imbra in each Year,
from 1793 to 1813 inclufive.

(Errors excepted.)

$$
-(19 .)-
$$

An ACCOUNT of the Quantity of SAIL CLOTH Exported to India, in each Year, from 1793 to 1813 inclufive.


An ACCOUNT of the Quantity of IRON Exported to India, in each Year, from 1993 to 1813 inclufive.


$$
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An ACCOUNT of the Quantity of Wrought or Manufactured IRON, exported to INDIA in each Year, from 1793 to 1813 inclufive

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Eaft India Houfe, } \\ \text { 2oth April 1814. }\end{array}\right\}$
(Errors excepted.)
CHA ${ }^{\text {s }}$ CARTWRIGHT,
Acc ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Gent.

Memorandum.-Iron Ordnance, Gun Carrage Iron Work, Shot, Shells, Swords, and Small Arms, are not included in the above Account.

$$
-(22 .)-
$$

An ACCOUNT of the Quantity of COPPER exported to Imdia in each Year, from 1793 to 1813 inclufive.


## -(23.)-

A N S W ER to the Order of the Honourable'the Committee of the Houle of Commons, on Ealt India Shipping, of the 7 th April 1814.

THE Records at the Ealt India Houre do not contain materials for an accurate "Account " of the Quantity of Teak and outler Timber for Naval purpotes, imported infó the Britin Ports " in India from 5790 to 1812 inclufive." The Books of the Bengal and Madras Cuttom Houfes are not tranfmitted to England. The Reports of External Commerce, which are drawn up and abftracted from the Cuftom Houfe Entries, furnifh fome items towards an approximation to fuch an Account; but unfortunately they give only the value in Sicca Rupees, without adding the quantity; and except in a few inftances, the different forts of Timber are placed, without diftinction as to the purpofes for which théy are intended, funder the general head of "Timber and Plank," or "Timber of Sorts." Thé Imports on daciount off thè Indian Governmentit or the Eait India Company, are omitted.
 and for Madras in 1802-3: The following Statements, fhewing the Official Value of the Timber, Mafts and Spars, are extrafted from the Reports.

$$
21 \quad 1-0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \cdot 34
$$

ACCOUNT of TIMBER, of every kind, imported into Bengal in the following Years, exclufive of Imports on account of the Ealt India Company (confiderable part from Malabar, \&e.)

|  |  |  | TIMBER and Plank. |  |  | MASTS and SPARS. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - 2 | Quantity. | ${ }^{\text {Value in } \mathrm{Sa}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Ro}}$ | Number. | Value in $S^{4}$ Rupees |
| 1795-6 | - | $=$ | - | - | 1,39,375 | - - | 35,210 |
| 1796-7 | - | - | - | - | 1,58,401 | - | - |
| 1797-8 | - | 2 | - | - | 1,6\%,950 | - - | 618 |
| 1798-9 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 2,23,320 | - - | 29,385 |
| 1799-1800 | - | - | - | - | 2,20,499 | - - | 1,140 |
| 1800-1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | -6,28,995 | - | - |
| 3801-2 | - | - | - | - | 4,71,719 | 875 | 65,669 |
| 1802-3 | - | - | - | - | 4,45,779 | 1,253 | 50,226 |
| 1803.4 | - | - | - | - | 5,17,855 | 1,787 | 35,517 |
| 1804-5 | - | - | - | - | 2,27,199 | - | - |
| 1805-6 | - | - | - | - | 5,09,957 | - - | 9,911 |
| 1806-7 | * | - | - | - | 2,59,744 | - - | 22,071 |
| 1807.8 | - | $:$ | $=$ | - | 3,56,94t | 394 | 13,371 |
| 1808-9 | - | - | - | - | 4,15,622 | 207 | 3,847 |
| 1809-10 | - | - | - | - | 1,40,249 | - | - - |
| 1810-xI | - | - | - | - | 1,88,607 | 357 | 22,604 |
| 1811-12 | - | - | - | - | '19,70,190 | 619 | 1,73,158 |

Imports of TIMBER of every kind, into Bombay, in the following years, exclufive of Imports on account of the Eaft India Company (chielly from the Prorinces of Malabar, \&c.)


Account of TIMBER of every kind, imported inta the Ports fubject to Fort St. George, in the following years, exclufive of Imports on account of the Eaft India Company.

II.

Maritis, $26^{\circ}$ die Aprilis, 1814.
SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

THE Petition of the Ship-builders, Tradefmen and others, employed in the building, outfit, and equipment of thips, in the Port of Lancafter, in the County Palatine of Lancafter, was read. - No Agent appeared in fupport thereof

The Petition of certain Sailmakers in the Port of London, on behalf of themfelves and all others engaged in Sailmaking, was read.-Mr. Atchefon appeared as Agent:

An Account hewing the number of armed veffels, with their tonnage, employed at prefent in His Majelty's fervice, was read.

James'Walker, Eif. was called in, and the eftimate delivered in by him yefterday, was read as follows:

" Eftimate of the expenfe of building a hip, theathed and coppered, with three flufh decks, poop and forecafile, "double fern and galleries, ports, iron knees and ftandards, \&c. and in every refpect the fame as one of the Company's regular fhips, in every particular, as to fcantling and mode of fitting and faftening. The thip to be built in twelve months after the engagement is made; the frame-timbers, the keel, keelfon, deadwood, fternpoft, ftern-beams, and generally fpeaking the timber to be of faul and fiffoo wood; the infide plank of every kind, under the upper deck, and the plank of the bottom, under the light-water mark outfide, with the wales, topfides, and dead.work, to be of teak; the three decks, poop and forecafle decks, plankfhires, and all the plank above the upper deck, to be of teak; the round-houfe, cuddy, and all the cabins, to be finifhed with joiners work, and the galferies to be fitted with cifterns, \&c. the fame as the Company's fhips; the pumps to have bored chambers, and to be as well as the capttanpoits, Icuttles, chain-plates, ring and eye-bolts, rudder and tiller, hen-coops, theep-pen and hogityes, fitted exactly like the Company's ihips; the iron knees and ftandards to be of the fame weight as thofe of the Company's thips of the fame clafs; the whole of the faftenings to be of iron; and fhould copper be preferred, the difference in price to be allowed; the fheet copper to be in weight from 32 to 20 oz . aforted, the thick on the keel and bows, the middling along the midthips, and the thin in the run:

To have one complete fet of malts and yards; the lower mafts and yards and the bowfprit, to be of teak ; the reft of the fpars to be of fir, if procurable, otherwife to be of teak :

The ftanding rigging to be of Europe, the remaining of the beft coir :
To have two fuits of plain fails of Bengal beft canvas, to be completed with one fuit of 1 ky -fails and fmall fails, one fuit of ftudding.fails, and one quarter-deck awning:

To have two bower anchors, one fheet, and one ftream-anchor:'
To have two bowier-cables, one theet, and one ftream-cable of coir, alfo a meifenger of coir:

To have a long-boat, two cutters, and one jolly-boat:
The veffel with her boats to be painted with two coats of paint, and to be delivered afloat:

No ftores in addition to the above, nor any cafks, or guns or carriages :
Any or all of the Europe articles required for the hip, as iron, copper, lead, fpars, cordage, canvals, paint and anchors, to be taken in part payment at the Calcutta market price of thefe articles."

Estmata

ESTIMATE of the Expence of building a Ship of 830 Tons.

| Timber | - | - |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{5} . \\ 72,000 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iron-wotk - | - |  |  | - 50,000 | - | $\stackrel{+}{0}$ |
| Mafts and gards | - |  |  | - 25,000 | - | - |
| Copper, brafs and lead-wotk | - | - |  | - 30,000 | 0 |  |
| Dammer, oakum and gulgui | - | - |  | - 5;000 | - | - |
| Cordage and canvas - |  | - |  | - 30,000 | - | 0 |
| Hen-coops, pumps, capRans, painting - | boats, | blocks |  | $\} 20,000$ | - | 0 |
| Anchors - | - | - |  | - 6,000 | - | 0 |
| Launching expences | - | - |  | 2,000 | - | 0 |
| Workmankip | - | - |  | - 30,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | S. ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | 1: 270,000 | - | - |

ESTIMATE of Expence of building a Ship of $\mathrm{a}, 000$ Tons.

| Timber | - |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{0}} . \\ -\quad 82,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} A^{5}, & P^{4} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iron-work | - | - |  | 55,000 | 0 |
| Mafts and yards | - | - |  | - 30,000 | - |
| Copper, -bra $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and lead-work | - | - |  | - 35,000 | - 0 |
| Dammex, oakum and gulgul | - | - |  | - 7,000 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Cordage and canvas | - |  |  | - 35,000 | 0 - |
| Hen-coops, pumps, capitan, painting | boats, | Blocks |  | $\}$ 2,000 | 0 - |
| Anchors | - | - |  | - 8,000 | 0 |
| Launching expences | - | - |  | - 2,000 | 0 |
| Workmanhhip * | - | - |  | - 44,000 | 0 |
| - |  |  |  | C. 320,000 | 0 |

ESTIMATE of Expence of building 2 Ship of 1,200 .Tons.

| Timber | - - |  | $\begin{gathered} R^{2} . \\ 92,500 \end{gathered}$ | A. 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irơn-wỡk | - |  | 65,000 | 0 |
| Mafts and yards | - |  | 30,000 | - 0 |
| Copper, brafs, and lead-work | - - |  | 40,000 | 0 O |
| Dammer, oakum and galgul | - - |  | .8,000 | - 0 |
| Cordage and canyas | - |  | 40000 | 0 - |
| Hen-coops, pumps, capitan, painting | boats; blocks |  | 24,000 | 0 |
| Anchors - | - - |  | 10,000 | 0 O |
| Launching expences | - - |  | 3,000 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Workmanmip | - - |  | 57,006 | 00 |
|  |  | S'. R | 370,000 | 0 |

Mr. Grant.]-Will you produce any letters received from the fhip builders, that relate to that eftimate.
TThe Witnefs produced a letter, dated the 24th January 181 i , inclofing the above Eftimate; from which the following extract was read.]
"We now enclofe you eftimates, on which we will actually engage to build : and as it is in contemplation to build the chips wider, we hereby declare, that as far as 18 inches more, we will not make any additional charge. In regard to the fitting out fur Sea, provifioning, infuring, \&cc. we have not as yet got the neceflary information as to its mount, but hope by the next thips to be able to give you a ftatement. We will be happy to act for you as agents and builders, and will take the refponfibility that the thips built by us fhall be fir for the Company's fervice, that is, that they thall be fubftantially built, and that any want of ftrength fhall be remedied at our expenfe to fit them for the fervice: of the mode of payment, we will agree to take it by inftalments of eight payments in advance, the fame as the builders in the Thames do."

[^1]Whace WFather [The Witnefs produced a letter, dated poth September 1811 , from which the follow-
" If you do build, fend out the whole of the iron in bars, copper bolts, copper theeting and copper nails, lead for the ftem and bawfes in pigs, anchors, paints, tar, fmall nails, hinges, and cabin furniture, and light fpars; if you can land the iron knees forged into Araight pieces to be formed afterאxards here, clear of all expence for 70 fhillings per hundred weight, fend them, but not elfe; fend hawfers and cordage for ftanding tigging, ftays, landyards aud bolt-rope for fails; do not fend a fingle thing elfe; canvas, cordage for running-rigging, and cables; allo blocks we can make better here than in Europe; the whole of the ordnance ygu may fead put, including
 by the gunner, fhip-fteward and cook; all manner of compofition work for the rudder-braces and pump-chambers we are fully competent to, fo we are to gyery kind of wrought iron; caft iron, however, we cannot manage, the fcuppers and caft iron about the capftan may therefore be fent out; and coppers, not being a fixture to the fhip, had better go home with wooden çaboufes. The packet being detained, I have been enabled to fend you the accompanying lift or eftimate of the articles,- \&cc. required for a thip of 800 tons, madequt by a friend of mine, a captain of a hip, who is well acquainted with the fubject."
[The lift ablove referred to, was delivered in and read as follows.]

## A SHIP of 800 Tons,

ESTIMATE of Water-Cafks, Square Rope, Spare Sails, Sparc Spars, Spare Blocks, Prowifigas and other Stores.
Cafks to contain $\varsigma$ months water for a crew of 150 men, with allowance for flock and waftage, admit expenditure to be 150 gallons per day ; this will tequire cafks to contain 27,000 gallons, or calks of $\mathbf{x o p}$ gallons.



Brafs bufhed blocks, with iron pins and ligoum vitafheaves.
4 fpare fingle Do, of $₫ 6$ inches, for tye-blocks .
4 號 31 ear is 1200
2 fpare double of 16 inches, for eye blocks - - 2' 61 . 12 a
1 fpare fingle Do. for Do.
2 fingle 14 -inch Do. with a double fcore for iye blocks - - - 34 fingle 16 -inch Do. for quarter blocks
4 fhouldered fingle 14 -inch Do. for top-fail-fineet
blocks ${ }^{-}{ }^{-}{ }^{-}{ }^{-}{ }^{-}$- a' $2 / 10$. 10 a
4 fingle blocks of 14 inches, for lower brace Da. -
-4 fingle Do. 12 inches Do. for top-fill brace-

4 fingle plain blocks of 8 inches, for top-gallant braces
is 2 fpare blocks, from 6 to 10 inches
2 fpare fingle blocks of 20 inches, with brais and iron pins - - - .

Pins
2 Do. double, with Do.



2 fpare lower-deck dead eyes, with $\begin{aligned} & \text { link or two of chain plate, }, ~\end{aligned}$



Provifions for 6 months for 150 men , a' a fear eaclit per day,


Mr:Granf.]-Is there, in any letter you have received from thefe partics, any reference to any fixed medium of exchange? -I think not.

What do you underftand to be the value of a ficca rupee, at the ordiary rate of exchange?-Two Chillings and fixpence.
Does not the rate of exchange fluctuate exceedingly?-It fluturates very much.
Do you know within'what limits it fluctuates? -No , I do not.
[The Witnets produced a letter dated the 21It of November 1812, from which the following exiracts were read.]
"I am afraid that Mr. Perceval's premature death has put an end to any immediate profpect of building for the Navy; but to be candid, there are fo many difficulties and troubles to encounter here, that I am really indifferent about building at all, but on very handfome terms, which I fancy would not be given. Large fhips would, I fuppofe, be the principal object ; and as the whole of the materials muft be exprefsly laid in for the purpofe, the trouble, vexation and refponfibility in getting them, is beyond comprehenfion, and fets at defiance all calculation as to time or coft. Here is an abundance of large timber to be procured, but there being no regular demand for it, the timber merchants will not embark in it, but prefer going on in their old way, which being more certain, is more likely to fuit their purpofe. Now, to be obliged to fend feveral principal men'from one's eftablifhment in different directions for the procurement of timber for one or two chips, is fo inconvenient, that it is not worth any one's while. If an affurance was given to build a certain number of hhips annually, the cafe would be quite different, as people would then embark their capital and talents with confidence, and the builder would be prowided with materials to his hand. I will not engage to build another ludiaman unlefs the materials are fupplied to us, or a year's previous notice to collect them, without any limitation or reftriction as to the coft. I have no allufion to the prefent thip, but merely ftate our terms for any fuiure fhips; nor would I take above a fixteenth of any fip to be built by us. Should the trade be laid open, and Indian-built Thips admitted to Britin regiftry, the terms we would build for are, at 300 rupees per ton, builders meafurement, for all hips of 6 c, tons and under, built of the beft materials and in the beft manner, and fitted with country materials; this fum to include every. thing but fpare flores and ordnance.
"I fay 300 rupees per ton, as it is about the actual coft of all the good and fubftanial-built hips that have been launched here for years paft; but if you preferred it, we would build upon honour, on a commifion of ten per cent.
"In the event of the trade being laid open, I fhall be glad at all times to give you fuch information as 1 poffers, in regard to the articles that would fuit this market; but it is proper to recollet that the confumption of European articles being very limited, it is more than probable that a glut will in the firft inftance be the confequence."
Committee.]-The Committee underfood in your former examination, that though confiderable advances had taken place in timber, fill the whole expence would not exceed 60,000 .; do you mean now to include any other articies that will frell that fum?-None whatever.
[The following Extract was read from an Entimate enclofed in the laft preceding Letter.]
"Our eftimate was 370,000 rupees, which was calculated upon faul and fiffoo, and iron bolts, but as you have directed as much teak as polfible, have ordered copper bolts; and as iron and wood are dearer than at the period the effimate was made, we may fairly calculate that the hull, mafts, yards, fails, anchors, cables, bolts and rigging, exclufive, of fpare fpars for outfit, will coft 400,000 rupees."
[The Wimefs produced a Letter dated the 19 th of October 1813; from which the following extract was read.]
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#### Abstract

that we fhall be oblited to put on board on account of the owners; principally in rice; as the freight is payable after fafe arrival, we thall make infurance on it here, oni the fattre terms as is charged in England, feven per cent. payable by bills on London, and the policies we fhall tranfmit to you in triplicate; we fhall alfo cover the atmount of the dead weight, and any ovetplus on the block, \&ce, fhould het coft exceed $60,000 \%$ clear to fea, but.we are in hopes that it will be rather under."


Mr. Grant.]-Is this fhip built on commiffion, or not?-It is built on commiffion. Is the commiffion included in that fum of $60,000 \%$, ?-I conceive fo , becaufe it ays the goes clear to fea for 60,0001 .

Does that include the provifions alfo ?- $\ddagger$ conceive, every thing.
Is the infurance included ?--1 cannot antwer that queftion decifively.
As the commillion does not appear under that name, or as a feparate article in this eltimate, how do you conceive that it can be allowed for in this, eftimate? I cannot precifely anfwer that queftion, unlefs I was to go back to another letter, which. I did not think material, and therefore did not bring with me.
Committee.]-Do you conceive it to be included under each item fet down there? -It ftrikes me; that that eftimate fays, or the letter which conveyed it, that they fend me the price at which they will actually build, and therefore it muft comprife every thing. I have no doubt whatever that that eftimate includes the commifion and the full expenfe, making it $400 ; 000$ rupees ; they fay "s we now enclofe you eftimates on which we will actually engage to build ;" I agreed afterwards to build on commiffions- and conceive that the fum of " $£ 60,000$. clear to fea," as mentioned in their letter of the igth of October 1813, includes every thing.

- Do yous as a perfon corticethed In thip.building in this country, know whether that expreflion, "cleat to fea," would include infurance in this country ?-No, I do not.
Have you not infured that flip at $\mathscr{S}^{5} 75,000$. ?-I have infured a portion of her, (valuing her at $\mathscr{E}_{75,000 \text {.) }}$

What does that include ?-That includes rifks of every defeription, till the thip comes into the Blackwall Docks. I made that infurance before this letter came to thy hands, otherwife I fhould not have infured fo much, becaufe thele gentlemen tell me they propofe making an infurance on any fum exceeding $\mathfrak{E} 60,000$. I did it by the advice of gentlemen who have gone before me in bufinefs of this kind. And in order to cover the whole rifk of the fhip until the came into the Eaft India Docks, I valued treer at $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 5,000 \text {, on the fhip and tackle. }\end{aligned}$

- Not covering the freight ?-Not covering the freight.
:Mr. Grant.]—The ${ }^{2} 5,000$. covered the premium of infurance ?-Xes, I did it at fix guineas and a half, including the rifk of launching.

Committee].:-By that eftimate, and the whole of the correfpordence to which you have referred, you feem to have it held out to you, that this fhip would go to fea at $\mathfrak{E} 60,000$. :or rather lefs? -From the receipt of the eftimate down to the prefent day, I have always believed, from the information given by thefe gentlemen, that the would go to fea at that coft.

The Committee do not underfand, if you give credit to that Itatement, why you have infured it at 75,000 ?-From the information of other gentlemen who have, built thips in India, particularly from the parties who builc a fhip in the yard of thefe: very gentlemen.
Do you know the natte of that dhip; "was it the Cafte Hunnley? -I Ibelieve it was the Caftle Huntley, or the Huntley Caftle.
Did thofe gentlemen tell yout that the Huntley Cafte coft $\mathbf{E} 70 ; 000$. when fie went to lea? -Thofe gentlemen told me, I think, from 73 to Ef 5,000 . but there is a Heafon which thould be flated for that; I undertand that the Cafte Hidater colt, an addizional fum, in confequence of it being required by the India Company, that the hould be built enticely of:teak wood. My infurance was made under the imin preffon given to me by thofe gentlemen, previous to my having received this leltee man and it had been held out to me, that the builders in India had led he altray yery múch; I do'nò méăn intétionally.


I underftood you to fay, on a former day, that the copper bolts to be ufed for this fhip, the Kyd, were to be fent out from England; but that you did not know whether the Gheet copper was to be fent out from this country, or procured in India? -I faid the copper bolts went out from this country, and I believe I alfo faid that the fheathing copper was found in that country.

I wifi to draw your attention to this claufe in the eftimate-st Any or all of the Europe articles required for the thip, as iron, copper, lead, fpars, cordage, canvas, paint and anchors, to be taken in part payment, at the Calcutta market price of thofe articles;" fhould you not underftand the word copper there includes both copper bolts and the fheathing copper ?-Certainly not copper bolts.

Then it does include copper for fheathing? -Yes.

- Cominittee.]-Why do you underftand it not to include copper bolts ?-Becaufe it Is very unufual to copper-bolt fhips in the way that this fhip is copper-bolted.

Mr. Grant.]-Why do you conclude copper bolts are not comprifed in the word. copper in this claufe of the eflimate? -That eftimate, according to my recollection, goes entirely to iron bolts.

It goes on, "If copper fhould be preferred, the difference of price to be allowed ?" -Then, it is evident enough, the thing fpeaks for itfelf.

Copper bolts are dearer than iron, are they not ?-Greatly:
By: which of courfe you would undertand that thofe copper bolts thould be of European manufacture ?-I do not think that follows at all ; I fent them out, andere ftanding that the builders in India could not manufacture them there.

You underftand that they are not equal to that manufacture in India?-That was. my realon for fending them out.

However, in this claufe where copper is defcribed as an Europe article, you underftand all the copper for theathing is meant ?-All the copper for theathing.

You undertand all the copper for theathing which the fhip would require? Exactly fo.

Did you ever fee a velfel coppered with the copper of India?-Yes. When I. anfwer that queftion I beg to be underftood, that I cannot pofitively fay I have feern a veffel coppered with the copper of India; whether the Ihip which $I$ have feen, the Caftle Huntley, was coppered with the copper of that country, or this or any other country, I cannot fay.

Committee.]-Do you in point of fact know, whether they make any theet copper in India or not? $\boldsymbol{l}$ I do mot.

Mr. Grant.] -Are you able to fay what fort of articles are fent for the outfit of the thip from this country, and what are left to the builders there to find in India, $x^{3}$ My letters lead me to fuppole that the fmall ftores, fuch as fhip-chandlery and ironmongery, and articles of that defcription, are required from this country.

Then in cale of Mips being built in India, for the trade between this country and India, inftead of being built in the Thames, the demand for fhip-chandlery and ironmongery for fhips employed in that trade, would not, in your apprehenfion, materially decline?-I do not think it would, becaufe it would feem by my information, that thofe articles are required for the India-buitt fhips from this country.

The export of ihofeartictes would give fome employment to hipping ?--Inafmuch as it would be neceffary to fend them out, hips would be required to take them.

## [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

What proportion do the Imall fores you have been alluding to bear to the whole coft of the thip, out of the $e^{2} 60,000$. you are to pay for the hip; how much of that will "be expended in fmall ftores ? -Am I to underftand that the queftion applies tolthe Mip as the isifitted from India, or as the is fitted from this country?

As fhe is'fitted from India.-I cannot anfwer that queftion, becaufe I underftand there is ${ }^{2}$ fedvy duty on articles exported from this country payable in India, and withdui lktiew what that duty was, I could not anfwer the queftion.

What'do thiofe atores coit here? - The fores that were Cent out to that Bip of the fmall detcription mioft probably coft about a thoufand pounds.

Of what did.they confint : 'I do not mean as to every item, but generally of what. defcription were they ?-Ironmongery: and Jhip-chandlery.

Jumes Walker $E / q$.

What does that comprife, lanterns and coops, or what ?-Lanterns; and indeed all forts of fores neceffary for the equipment of a fhip, the cutlery for the cabin, the compafies, and other things of that defcription.

This hip you are under no obligation to repair here, are you ?-None that I know of.

You may repair it here or in India, as beft fuits your convenience?-That is in the breaft entirely, if my recollection ferves me, of the India Company.
They are to have the option? -They are to have the option of repairing either here or there.

## [Examined by the Committee.]

You have flated that the friall fores amounted to a very fmall fum, about a thoufand pounds? -1 have.

You do not mean, that thofe are all the flores that are fent from this country? Nơ, certainly not.

Mr. Adolpbus.]-After the repairing voyage, who is to have the option of repairing of her then?-I conceive it refts entirely with the India Company.

After that voyage, as well as before?-I think fo, but I beg not to give a decifive anfwer to that.

Committee.]-Can you fate at all, what is the amount, under the different heads of the Itores fent out from this country, for the building of that thip?-I can ftate the amount of the whole fent out generally, but under the different heads I cannot, unlefs I have my papers.

Of the whole fent out generally ?-I think the fores that I fent out amounted' to about fourteen thoufand pounds.
Has any body elfe fent out any other ftores, that were employed in the conftruc:' tion of that fhip, that you know of?-None; certainly not.
Do you know, whether any Europe fores purchafed in the Calcutta market, beyond thofe which you fent out, were employed in the conftruction of that hip? I cannot fay:
You have ftated, that the copper you did not fend out for fheathing?-I did not fend it out.
Do you know whether that went from Europe or not ?-I do not.
Do you, know whether it could have gone from any other place?-I cannot tell.
You have no eftimate of the full amount of the articles fent from Europe, that: have been applied in the conftruction of that $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{p}$, nor any account of them? and above what I fent myfelf, I have not.
Do you know whether any fails were fent out?-None whatever.
Were they to be purchafed at Bengal ?-They were.
Of Indian manufacture, or European manufacture?-Indian manufacture.
Mr. Grant.]-Are you fure of that ?- O yes, quite fure of it.
It is Itated, I think, in that eftimate which you have produced, that a 600-ton, thip would coft three hundred ficca rupees per ton?-Yes.

That is about 3 yl . 10 s, per ton? -Yes.
What is the price of building fhips in this country, per ton, under 600 tons burthen ?-I cannot anfwer that queftion.

The Committee underfood you were a fhip-owner?-I am, but I have not recently had any thing to do with a thip of that clafs; I have fuch a thip in the Eaft India fervice, but the was an American; 1 cannot anfwer the queftion; 1 have lieard that the price of building fhips of that clafs, would be fomewhere about three or four-and-twenty pounds a ton, but that means merely the hull of the fhip and cop.' per in the ufual way.
Have you had any thing to do with the building of American fhips?-I have not had to do with the building of American fhips, but I have to do with a fhip that was built in America.

What fize was fhe ?-532 tons.
Do you know what the comparative expence is of building in America and building in India?-I have heard that the price of building in Britifh America is yery cheap.

You do not know the comparative price ?-I do not.

- Do you know at all the comparative price between building in America and building in England ?-No, I do not.

Do you know whether it is cheaper or dearer?-I undertand the building in America is cheaper than here.
Was that a good thip you had ?-Very much the reverfe.
-Have you heard of any good fhips being built there? -I have heard that good flips have been built there.

- Can you give the Committee any idea of the comparion between the price of them and thole built in the river Thames?-No, I cannot.

Are they cheaper or dearer? - I indertand they are cheaper than here.

- Then if good fhips can be built cheaper in America, and the fhips built in India are to be excluded from the trade of this country, is not it probable, that thofe who. have to carry on the trade of the country will rather build in America than the river Thames? -If I can form an opinion of the Chips built in America by that which 5 : kave already defribed.
It is meant upon the general character of the veffels?-I cannot anfwer that queltion.
- Mr. Grant.]-When you fay, the fails which were for the fhip General Kyd, were of Indian manufacture, do you mean that the canvas of which they were made was of Indian manufacture? Certainly.

Are you fure that it was not European canvas, manufactured into fails in India? No, I underftand it is Indian canvas, manufactured into fails there.

You fpeak from certain information?-From the information I have from the gentlemen; and I think that the eftimate goes to that very fact.
-Mr. Adoitphur.]-Is the general charader of American thips good or bad?-The general character here is bad.

Is that the badnefs of the building, or the badnefs of the timber, that you defrribe as bad?-The badnels of the timber.

Is the building as good as Englifh building?-I conceive not.
Therefore both in building and material they are inferior ?-I think fo, particularly 56 in timber.

Committee.]-Are not the Eaft India Company now building thips in America?I am not able to anfwer that queftion.

Mr. Adolphus.]-When an American thip comes into ufe here, is it not general that the is obliged to go under a very heavy repair?-Not immediately; if a fhip come here, on her arrival, it does not appear to me that the requires a material repair, but it often turns out that in the courfe of two or three years that flaip does fequire a very heavy repair.

Your own particular experience gives you fome knowledge on that fubject ? $\rightarrow$ Yes, it does.

- What did you pay for repairing your veffel ?-A very large fum ; the fhip which I hufband, and which is now in the Eaft India fervice, called the Ocean, of 532 tons
I think coft 14,700 . repairing, builders bill alone.
Befides other things, I fuppofe?-Yes.
Carrying it up to what ?-Seven-and-twenty thoufand pounds, or thereabouts, in? dependent of her value on going into dock.

Committee.]-Does your knowledge in thipping enable you to fay, when a Thip; built as that upon which you have been giving evidence, in India, and freighted home here, and then taken into the Eaft India fervice, will be repaired, will the be reparted here immediately on her coming home, or will the not be repaired till the has beei to India and come back again? -The Eaft India Company require that fhe fhall go into dock, in order to fee whether the requires repairs or not, after the difcharges het cargo.
Then if the be found found, will the go to India and back again, without further tepairs ? - Yes.
Have you heard, or do you know of any articles, fuch as gun-carriages and pumps being now made in India, which ufed to be fent out from hence?-Giun carriages I certainly undertand are made in India, and pumps allo.
-Do you know that they are made better and in greater quantity now, than they were fome years ago?-They are made in greater quantity now, becaufe they are thore required.
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Have you not ftated that about two-thirds of the equipment of a hip is fent from hence ? - I have flated that two-thirds, I think, of the fores required for the hip in. which 1 was engaged, was fent from hence.

How do you know that?-I judge of it from being acquainted confiderably with. the neceffary equipment of hips.

What do you underftand by two-thitds of the equipment of a thip ? - The fmall ftores of the fhip, a proportion of the cables neceflary for the fip, a proportion of: the anchors neceffary for the thip, and a proportion of the guns neceflary for the thip, and the ftanding rigging.

Two-thirds of the flanding rigging? -The whole of it.
You fay that a large duty is paid on the exportation of goods to India ?I underftand fo.
Do you know to whom it is paid, to Government, or to the Company ? - I cannor anifwer that queftion.

Therefore you do not know by whom it is impofed i-I do not know by whom it is impofed; but I undertand that it is impofed, and a very heavy one.

Do you know what the ufual proportion is of the coft of the Europe articles to the whole coft of the fhip when fhe goes to fea from India; is it one-third, or a quarter, or half, or what?-Fully one-third I fhould think.
'You have flated, that the fails with which the would come home were fails that were made in India? -Yes.
'When the comes home, will not the be fupplied to go out again with Englifh fails? -I think fo.

And every voyage afterwards, when the is equipped by the Company, the will be equipped by Europe fails and blocks, and other things from this country, after every voyage? -There are printed papers or indents given to the managing owners of thole mips, which regulate their future equipment, and by which the managing owners'muft go.

And thofe printed papers oblige them to take out Europe fails ?-Yes, and fuch articles as thofe printed papers mention.

Have you one of thofe papers ?- Not about me.
Do you confider the thip of which you have been fpeaking will now be fitted out in the fane way that the would be fitted out to go a voyage for the Company ? -No; I do not.

Will there be any confiderable increafe of ftores on her arrival in this country! -There will be a confiderable increafe of fores on their going from this country.
Will there not be a confiderable wear and tear of fores in coming home ?-It is natural to fuppofe fó:

She will want new cables, will not fhe? -Yes.
How many?-It depends on whether thofe fent out already are ufed or not; if they are returned home in a fit ftate to go out again, they will be allowed.

What number of cables are required for an Eaft India Chip?-I think fix.
How many of them are required to be new? -The whole of them on the firt voyage.

If a cable is taken out in a thip, and brought home again without being ufed, is that confidered as a new cable?-I believe not; I believe it is confidered as a half-worn cable.

Do not you expect there will be confiderable expenfe in navigating that thip home? - I do.

You have fent out a commanding.officer? - Yes; and a chief and fecond mate:
And the will be manned with Lafcarsi-She will be manned principally with Lafcars.

In addition to the $60,000 \%$. you will have to pay the crew? -There will be fix months advance paid to the crew there.

Do you include the pay to the officers, and the expenfe of fending them out ? $I$ do not.

Will there not be a confiderable expenfe in returning thofe-Lafcars to India ?There will.

Can you eftimate that expenfe ?-If my recollection ferves me, I think I calculated it would coft me about 23,000 .

The infurance of the thip home you do not confider as included in the $\mathscr{E} 60,000$ ? -No.

[^2]Mr. William Fearnell called in, and examined by Mr. Adolphus.
I underftand you are furveyor of hipping to the Tranfport Board ? -Yes
Were you bred to the bufinels of a fhipwright ?-I was.
And ferved a regular apprenticefhip? - Yes.
Did you practife it for many years? - Yes, for feveral years.
How long haye you been in your prefent fituation?-Upwards of nine years.
Are you in the habit of furveying fhips that are tendered to the Tran!port Board for their fervice? -All Rhips that ase tendered to the Tranfport Board in the rivet Thames.

Does that include merchant hips of every defcription nearly ?-Merchant hips of every defcription.

Has that furrey afforded you opportunities of comparing the merchant thips of the port of London with thofe of the Outpors? - Yes, it certainly has.

To which is the preference to be given ?-Ships built in the river Thames are preferable to thofe built in the Outports in any part of the kingdom.

Better in workmanhip and materials, or either?-Better both in workmanfhip and materials, I foould fuppofe from ten to thirty per cent.

Does the fuperiority of the Thames-built fhips over thofe built at.the Qutports, countervail the additional coft?-I foould fuppofe fo, as to hips trading to the Eaft and Weft Indies, but not to thofe in the Tranfport ferrice.

Are the thips at prefent in the Tranfport fervice in good condijon ?-They are expected to be fo; they are conftantly kept in good condition, and if a fhip arrives in part in bad repair, fhe is immediately difcharged, unlefs the owner will give his confent to repair her.

- Are the hips at prefent employed nearly new, or confiderably ufed?,-A greatpart of them are in very excellent condition; more than one third of the fhips in the Tranfport fervice are of the firt clafs, and therefore are in very good condition; but I am not prepared to flate the exact number of that defeription.

When thofe fhips fhall be difcharged from Government fervice, will they in your judgment, be fufficient for the general trade of the country for fome time? -I fhould imagine the greater part of them will.

Of courfe you have had a good many Outport hips tendered to you for the fervice of the Tranfport Board?-A great many ; the principal part of the flips employed
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in the Tranfport fervice are built in the Outports; there are very few of the London. built fhips.
$\cdot$ Have you generally found it neceflary, previous to your taking them into your fervice; to recommend new faftenings and fecurities for them?-It very frequently occurs.
Is that the cafe with the Thames-built fhips fo frequently ?-I do not recollect an inftance of my recommending new faftenings to a new Thames-built thip; I have to fhips that were old, and required repairs.

When you fay you directed additional faflenings to the thips built at the Outports, is that to the new fhips? -That has occurred in fome inftances ; feveral hhips have had new faltenings, before I have confidered them fit for the Tranfport fervice.
"Is it within your obfervation and knowledge, that the large eftablifhments for ship-building on the Thames are principally fupported by the employment of the Eaft India Company, and of Government?-I have ever confidered that they were.
'If the employment of building large Mips for the Eaft India Company in time: of peace is removed from thofe yards, can they in time of war be able to afford the affitance.that they have done to Government, in your judgment?-I Ihould imagine not; if their eftablifhments are obliged to be reduced, the number of workmen would be reduced, and they would not be able to afford it.

Do you know, within your own obfervation and knowledge, of India-built thips. being admitted to the general trade of this country? -I underfand that they are admitted to the general trade of the country.

You have feen fhips advertifed in the newfpapers, to be fold for thofe purpofes?-I believe in the Public Ledger for the months of March and April, it may be obferved that there are feveral.

Have you refrefhed your memory upon that fubject, by looking at any of thofe papers lately ? - I faw a paper containing fuch an advertifement this morning.

Committee.]-What fize were the fhips?-Different fizes, one 600 tons, another 298, I believe another $4<0$.

Mr. Adolphus.]-You have fome knowledge of fome of the fhips built in his Majetty's dominions in America ?-Yes, I have.
Are they as good as Britilh-built thips?-They are not, certainly; I fpeak of the hips built at Quebec.

Not even fo good as the Outport-built thips?-They are not fo good as the fhips built in the Outports of this country.

Do you know any thing of their comparative price, the Quebec-built fhips with Thames.built thips?-It falls within my line of duty frequently to value them; I do. not confider them worth half fo much as a river Thames, built fhip.

Do you mean that comparifon to apply to hips of equal fize burthen, and apparently of the fame age ?-I do.

With refpect to the thips that you have feen advertized, the Indian-built fhips, of what fize have they been?-I believe from 600 to 298 tons, or thereabouts.

Do you know comparatively the coft of repairing fhips in the Thames, and in the Outports?-There is a difference, I believe, of nearly one-third.
In favour of the Outports? -In favour of the Outports.
They certainly are cheaper?-They are.
Is that within your experience?-Yes, it is,
Is the quality of the repair in proportion to the advanced price in the Thames ?The prices of repairing the fhips in the Outports of the kingdom, are reckoned to be about one-third lefs than if the work was done in the river Thames.
And is the work as well done at that price at the Outports, as it is in the Thames? -I confider, that it is not as well done.

- Is the difference in the quality of the workmanhip, equal to the difference in the price of it ? - I think that it is not; I fill confider, that a fhip repairing in an Out: port is cheaper to the Ihip-owner, than a ©hip repaired in the river Thames.

What is the proportion between the coft of building at the Outports, and in the Thames?-From 10 to 30 per cent. cheaper at the Outports. .

You were bred in the river Thames ?-No, in His Majefly's Yard at Chatham.
Your duty extends to the river Thames only ?-My public duty is to furvey fhips offered to the Tranfport Board in the river Thames, or at any other port the Board may think proper to fend me to.
Has your experience been confined chiefly to the river Thames?-My experience has been principally confined to the river Thames, as far as relates to merchants flaps.

You have ftated the difference of colt in the River-built flips and the Outportbuild hips, to be from to to 30 per cent; have you any experience in the mode of building in the Outports yourfelf?-Yes, I have.

You have been there ? $-N o$, I bave not; only from feeing them in the River; my experience has been principally confined to the merchant fhips in the river Thames.

In ftaing that the River-built fhips are fuperior to the Outport-built fhips, do you mean to flate that they are fuperior in a higher degree, than the difference of price compenfates for ?-I confider that for the purpofes of the Eaft and Welt India trade, they are fuperior both in their conftruction and capability of carrying the greateft porfible cargo, with the lealt regiftered meafurement.

Do you mean to fay that the difference of price is compenfated for by the fuperiority of the article?-It is in the particular trades that I mention.

Do you conceive the fuperiority of the River-built thips to arife from any inability in the Outports to build fuch as are built in the Thames, or from their building for a different trade?-I confider they would be equally capable of building fuch hips at the Outports if they were paid for them, but they have been in the habit of building fhips at a very low rate.

I fuppofe a fhip, like every cther article of conftruation, varies in point of value with the employment to which it is to be adapted; fome trades require a good fhlp, and for other trades an inferior flip will do?-Certainly.

Do not you afcribe to that circumftance the difference between Outport-built fhips and River-built hips? - Not exactly ; the fhips built at the Outports are applicable to any trade; men will always be found to purchafe articles that are foll at a low rate, and they may for a few years anfwer his purpofe as well as a hip at a higher price.
You have ftated that the fhips in the Tranfport fervice are kept in a very good flate of repair?-It is expected they fhould be always kept fit for His Majefty's fervice.
Are you aware of the proportion of the flips employed in the Tranfport fervice to the general fhipping of the country? - I am not prepared to anfwer that queftion.

Then you are not aware of the proportional effed which the return of the tranfpott hips to the merchants fervice will produce?- I am not; I am not acquainted with what proportion the fhips in the Tranfport fervice bear to che number employed in the commerce of the country.

Are you aware whether there is any confiderable proportion of prize fhips employed in the Tranfport fervice?-A great many ; there are fhips of all nations which have been taken as prizes, employed in the Tranfport fervice.

From your knowledge and obfervation in the River, does a confiderable proportion of the employment in the builders yards in the River, arife from repairing?A very confiderable proportion of their employment arifes from repairs.

Committee.]-What proportion does that bear to the employment given by building? -1 am not prepared to anfwer that quefion.

Is it greater or lefs than the building?-I fhould rather think that it exceeds the bufinefs that they have by building.

Mr. Spankie.]-It is ufual for thips after a long voyage, upon coming to the Thames, to undergo fome repairs more or lefs?-It is certainly.

Then, in your opinion, the effet of any confiderable proportion of fhips coming to London, of whatever nation they may be, and requiring repairs, will be to produce bufinefs for the fhip-builders? -It will certainly tend to prodace bufinefs for the fhip-builders.
$M r . \quad$ You probably are acquainted with the prefent ftate of the Building upon the River ? William Fearnell. -I believe, at the prefent day, there is not a fingle flip building; I do not know of one.

Do you happen to know that at the prefent time, or perhaps for fome months paft, the Government has ceafed to have the fame demand for fhipurights, and perfons of that defciiption, as formerly?-For fome months paft, I believe, Government have not contracted for any hips in the river Thames, or elfewhere.

Do you happen to know whether the Eaft India Company have contra\&ted lately for any Thames-built fhips?-I do not.

In your opinion, in contemplation of a Peace taking place foon, would merchants requiring hips give orders ?-I believe not.

That is not a time when orders for a new thip are likely to be given?-No, I think it would be bad policy in a merchant to give an order for a new fhip, at this time.
In your opinion, might the profpect of Peace, which has floated more or lefs for the laft three months, have had any effect on the ftate of hip-building in the River?-I believe it has had an effect on the ftate of chip-building in the River; very few men would like to fpeculate in building fhips, when it is not certain in what way they could be employed; it is allo very probable that a great number of fhips will be difcharged from the Tranfport fervice, which would alfo prevent people from building.

So that till the effect of thofe circumftances were decided in one way or other, it would naturally tend to fufpend the building?-I fhould rather think it would.

> [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

Has there been any fuch opinion of Peace as to caufe a decline in building fo long fince as for the laft two or three years?-No.

Has fhip-building declined within your obfervation during that period, in the river Thames?-It certainly has.

You fay that now there is no building going on in the Thames ?-I do not recollect. a fingle fhip.

Is there as much repair going on as ufual in the large yards?-I believe very little.

People repair their fhips, notwithffanding a rumour of peace, if they want re-pairing?-Certainly.
Merchants fhips are not built, you fay, when a peace is expected immediately? -I do not know of any building, and I afcribe ir to that caufe. I have mentioned, that if a fhip-owner requires a fhip juft now, he had better wait till the tranfports are difcharged, and then he will get a thip at a lower price than he can at prefent.

Were the majority of merchant thips, exclufive of thofe for the Eaft India fervice, built in or out of the Thames principally? -Principally built out of the Thames.
Is it therefore the want of orders to build India hips and fhips for Government, that caufes the ftagnation in thofe yards?-I hould rather thirk it was.
Do prize thips generally require a good deal of repair?-Not a great deal ; I Chould imagine if they required a good deal of repair, no perfons would be found to purchafe them, they would rather be fold to be broken up when they firf come in.

So that only the prime prize fhips do come into the trade at all?-I fhould. imagine only thofe which are fit for the purpofes of commerce, without entering into much expence to repair them ; it would not be worth any man's while to afford any. great repairs to a foreign-built thip.
Are thips generally repaired where they are built; are the Outport-built thips repaired at the Outports, and the Thames-built fhips repaired in the Thames? Generally fo .

You do not know of India-built hiips perhaps, whether they are repaired in India or not ?-I cannot fpeak to that.
But where the flips are on which the fhips are made, there it is natural
they thould be repaired?-It is natural it froull be fo, and I believe it generally is fo.

When you fpeak of fhips at the Outports being built at a low rate, do you take into confideration that provifion and the daily habour of men is cheaper than in London?-Certainly it is.

When you fpeak of a hhip being of one price or the other, you fpeal by the meafured tonnage?-Yes, they are generally contracted for at fo much per ton, for the regiftered tonnage.

Is that tonnage affected by the length upwards, and the breadth ?-The regiftered tonnage of a hhip is calculated from the length, meafured from the fore part of the flem to the after part of the ftern-poft, and by the given breadth meafured from outfide to outfide of the plank of the bottom at the broadeft part; the depth of a fhip, which is very material as to what the will carry, is not taken into the calculation for eftimating the fhip's tonnage. Ships built in the river Thames generally are as long, as narrow, and as high as they can well be built, therefore carrying generally more than their regittered tonnage.
Then when you fpeak of Thames-built thips being dearer than thofe built at the Outports, do you mean merely that a hhip of 500 tons buil: in the Thames, will coft more than a hip of 500 tons built at an Outport?-Certainly.
Does the increafed capacity of the River-built chips countervail the difference of price there? -I think that it does for the purpofes I have before ftated, of the regular employment in the Eaft India and Weff India trades, but not for the Tranfport fervice. Ship-builders at the Outports build their fhips as cheap as they poffibly can, with greater breadth in proportion to the Jength than the river Thames fhips are built, thereby meafuring greater tonnage, with not fo much capacity of carrying as the River thips have.

Do Government pay their tranlports by the meafured tonnage?-They pay the tranfports by the regiftered meafurement, and not by the aatual capacity of the veflel.

## [Examined by the Committce.]

You have ftated that you have feen advertifements of India-built fhips for fale, are they numerous? - Not very numerous.

For a long time paft have you feen them ?-I have feen them in the Public Ledger.

For a long time paft?-Not prior to the month of February.
Have you known of any actual fale of an India-built flip fince that time?-I have not.

Did any body fuggeft to you to refreh your memory by looking at thofe papers this morning?-I found a paper on the table of the Committee-room this morning.

If the Committee underftand you rightly, the Outports have had no part of the India bufinefs, as far as you know?-As far as I know; I cannot tell whether they have or not.

Whether thofe fhips you have feen advertifed have been fold or not, you cannot tell?-I am unacquainted.
You are acquainted wih the fhips in the Tranfport fervice?-Yes.
What is the proportion of thofe fhips that are likely to come into the trade of the country ?-1 Thould fuppofe feven-eighths.

What is the condition of them generally ? -The general condition of the fhips in the Tranfport fervice may be faid to be good.
Have moft of them been repaired?-Many of them have.
How long do you think they would lat ? - A new !hip, in the Tranfport fervice, may be fuppofed to laft four and twenty years.

Is that the natural life of a fhip?-1 hould rather imagine it is in the Tranfport fervice.
But none of thofe tranfports are new mips?-Very many of them were news having only performed their voyage from the Outports to the river Thames, to be taken up as tranfoors.

Taking the fhips generally, can you fay what number are old, and what number of them have been built within ten years?-I am not preparec to anfwer that quef. tion; I have flated that one-third of the fhips were in excellent condition, and niay be confidered as very good hips.

Mr. What is the average burthen of tranfport fhips?-I hould imagine about 250 WF:illiam Fearneth atons each.

You were underfood to ftate, that the Thips built in the Thames, were cheaper for fome purpofes and dearer for others, than the fhips built in the Outports', and that you confidered them cheaper for the Eaft and Weft India trades? - Yes.

Do you know any thing of the building for the Weft India trade in the river Thames?-I have feen feveral fhips built in the river Thames for the Weft India trade.

Lately?-Within the laft four or five years.
Any fince that period? what is the lateft period at which you have feen them building any Weft Indiamen?-I cannot feak correctly to that, I fancy it may be about two years fince.

Ships for the Welt India trade have been built in this country within that time? Yes, in the Outports.

Then how do you account for perfons in the Weft India trade, who naturally winh to have the cheapeft hips they can, building in the Outports, rather than in the Thames? - A great many fhips are built in the Outports upon feeculation, they are brought into the Thames, and are expofed to fale, and fome few thips may have been contracted for in the country, but I think not fo many as thofe which have been purchafed in the river Thames, brought there for fale on fpeculation.

Do not they build on fpeculation in the river Thames?-I never knew an in. ftance of it.

Do you know any reafon for that?-The only reafon that appears to be correct is, that thips built in the Outports are fo much cheaper than any thips could be built in the Thames; therefore the preference is given to thips fold at the loweft rate, to the exclufion of River-built hips.

What is the reafon, if they were to fet about it, why they could not build as cheap in.the river Thames?-The price of labour, the price of provifions, and the expenle of the large eftablifhments of the River builders, all operate againft it.

But if the River builders wanted work, would it not be natural that they fhould build, either on fpeculation, or for thofe trades, at cheaper rates?-I Thould imagine, if the River builders confidered it their intereft to build on fpeculation, they would do fo; if they had the chance of felling their fhips, without loing by them, 1 imagine they would build on fpeculation.

And they do not build on fpeculation, becaufe they do build fo much dearer, that they cannot come in competition with the Outport fhips?-I believe that is the cafe.

If the Thames fhould lofe the build of fhips for the India fervice, do you think that the build for the merchant fervice would move from the Outports to the Thames? -I think not.

What is your reafon for thinking it would not?-Becaufe they build fo much cheaper at the Outports than the builders can poffibly do in London.
Do you think, if fhips were prevented from coming from India, that taking into confideration the difference of prices at the Outports and in the Thames, the India fhips would neceffarily be built in the Thames rather than in the Outports ?-I fhould confider, that they would rather be built in the Thames than at the Outports.

Mr. Adolpbus.]-When the perfons at the Outports build on fpeculation, do they warrant the hip they build?-They do not.
Do they build it therefore of inferior material in fuch places as they can ?-Very often.

The Thantes builders never do fo ; I underftand you to fay, they build by contract? -I never obferved that a Thames-built hip was improperly built.
Committee.]-Do you know whether any Eaft India fhips have been built in the Thames, within the laft three years? I am not provided to anfwer correctly to the queftion; I have not feen any fhip-building within that time, to the beft of my recollection.

Do you think you faw any in the preceding fix years?-Certainly.
A confiderable number?-Perhaps as many as from four to fix.
From four to fix in a year?-I think I have.
That is from 1805 to 181 r ?-I think fo.

And you think you have feen none fince 1810 ?-Since 1810 I am Hot clear whether I have or not; I cannot anfwer the queftion as to dates.

Do you think the Thames-built fhips are as well built now as they ufed to be formerly ? - Yes, I think they are.

You think the fcantling is equal to what it ufed to be formerly ? -I believe that it is.

Does not the building fhips as you have defcribed, higher and deeper than thofe built at the Outports, render them more crank, and lefs fafe?-They may be confidered as more crank, but not unfafe at fea.

Are they not lefs fafe ?-They are lefs fafe, no doubt.
In the Quebec fhips you have fpoken of, do you confider their inferiority arifes from the timber? -From the timber principally, but alfo from the workmanhip.

You attribute the decreafe, within the laft three years, to the admiffion of India fhips?-I am not prepared to fay that it arifes from the admiffion of India thips.

Have there not been greatefforts made in the private yards for fome years paft, in confequence of the demand of Government for fhips of war?-There have been very great efforts made in the yards in which they were built.

What are the names of them?-I am not prepared to fay the names of them.
: Have thofe orders been completed, do you know, for the fervice of Government?
-The fhips have all been launched.
Is not that in itfelf fufficient to account for a confiderable decreafe in the lhipbuilding in the Thames?-1t certainly has decreafed, from the King no longer having occafion to build men of war; that has been the great caufe of the decreafe in the Thames.

Do you know at what time the laft of thofe fhips were launched ?-I do not ; but a very thort time fince.

Then you do not apply the decreafe to the yards where they have been building thofe Chips?-I do apply it to the whole of the yards in the Thames; had it not been for building thofe fhips for Government, moft likely they would have had very little bufinefs.
Have you been acquainted with the yards in the river Thames, a confiderable time?-Within the laft nine years.
Have there been more fhips, including the fhips built for the fervice of Government, built in the river Thames, fince the year 1805, than at almoft any previous period ?-I cannot fpeak correctly to that.
Have you any knowledge of the general ftate or progrefs of Mip-building in the country ?-I have not.

## III.

# Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

Mcrcurij, $27^{\circ}$ die Aprilis, 1814.
SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.
$\qquad$ -

THE Petition of the Merchants, Ship-owners, Ship-builders, Rope-makers, Maft and Block Makers, and others, interefted in the building or equipment of Ships, refident at the Port of Hull, was read.-No Agent appeared.

## J. P. Larkins $E / q$.

Jobn Pafcal Larkins Efq. called in, and examined by Mr. Harrison.
I believe you are owner and part-owner of Indiamen? - Yes.
Do you alfo underwrite?-Yes, I do.
Can you ftate to the Committee the expence of building and coft to fea of a River-built fhip of $\mathrm{r}, 000$ tons? -Yes; I fitted out a thoufand-ton thip in the year 1809.

What was the name of that fhip? - The Warren Haftings.
What did that hip coft to fea? - She went clear out of the River for $\mathscr{E} 69,794$.
That included the advance of wages to the feamen, and provifions and every thing ?-Every thing, exclufive of infurance.

Was that fhip chartered by the India Company? - Yes. .
For how many voyages was the chartered ?-She fands for the completion of an unexpired charter of a flip that was taken by the French.
' In fuch cafes, I believe, the thip that is fo permitted to be built, or bought; ;or employed by the Company for the remainder of a term of another charter, completes the term of the charter, and then afterwards takes the chance of being taken in or not, as the Company want her?-This fhip finifhes the charter of the fhip that was loft at the chartered rate; afterwards the Company are bound to complete fix voyages, at the medium terms of freight at which they can get fhips in that year.

Do you know the General Hewet? - Yes, I do.
Was the General Hewet an Indian-built-hip? - She was.
What was her tonnage?-Somewhere between 900 and 1000 tons.
Was it near 1000 ?-I believe it was; nearer a thoufand than nine hundred.
Do you know the expence of building and coft to fea of that veffelp-No, I cannot fay; she was tendered to me to purchafe by the owners in this country, and they afked me $\mathscr{E}_{35,000 \text {. for her. }}$

What.age was the at the time the was tendered to you?-She had only made 20 freight home, and a very fortunate freight home, by which they made a great deal of money; for the came home loaded with rice, at the time that rice was very fcarce, and they made a great deal of money upon her.

She was a new fhip, to be taken up by the India Company in this country, or for fale ?-Yes.

Did you purchafe her ?-I did not.
What became of that thip afterwards? - She is now on a voyage for Government to Port Jackfon, carrying out ftores and convicts, and having a charter from the Company to bring home tea from China.

When you ftate that the fhip was offered to you for $\mathscr{E} 35,000$, I wilh to know in what flate of equipment the was; was the then equipped and ready for fea, with she exception of provifions?-No; the was juft then beginning to fit out
the had made a contrait with Government; but I confidered that the would coft me near $\mathfrak{E}_{20,000}$. to have fitted her to fea, to have made her fit to bring home a cargo of tea for the Company from China.

Where was fhe built? - She was built in Bengal, I believe.
Do you know the Thip_Larkins?-Yes.
What is the fize of the Larkins? -Builder's meafurement, $6_{37}$ tons:-
She was built in India too?-Yes.
Where was fhe built, at Bengal or Bombay? - She was built at Bengal.
You have Itated that you know the Larkins, that the was built in India, and purchaled by your nephew ?-Yes.

How old was the when purchafed?-About fix months.
What did your nephew give for her?-About $\mathscr{Z} 15,000$.
Was the completely equipped, fit to go to fea, with every thing in faet but provifions?-She was as completely equipped as thofe hips come from India, which is in fuch a flate that nobody would trult themelves to go into.

As completely as the flips generally are in India ?-Yes; that is to fay, when they come here they want every thing.
Was the coppered?-Yes, coppered upon iron bolts.
When you fay that fhe is in want of every thing, what do you mean? The alterations of the hull was mere matter of accommodation for the captain and paffengers; but when I tell you that I purchafed her for $2_{23}, 700$., and that fhe coft me $\mathscr{E} 19,850$. to fea, you will conclude fhe was in want of every thing befides the accommodation of the captain and the paffengers; I did add fome knees and beams to faften her better ; her builder's bill was about $\neq 5,000$., out of Mr. Brent's hands.

In that bill was included the charge for the alterations for the captain and paffengers?-Yes; the reafon of that large fum that was given for her was, before I purchafed her I let her to the Company at a very advantageous contract; which made her purchafe fo large.

Committce.]-Did you buy hèr of your nephew?-As agent for my nephew, I firft let her to the Company; then I becaime the purchafer.

Mr. Harrifon.]-Will you be good enough to ftate in general terms, fo as to give the Committee an idea of what the nature of what fhe required here was, what fort of equipment he required, in order to fit her for the Company's contract?-She, in the firft place, wanted a great deal of alteration in the hull.

That you have ftated was for the captain and paffengers?-No, befides that.
Of what defcription were the alterations in the hull, and for what purpoles were they required ?-Two fets of bits for her cables, a new capftan-in fhort the Company's furveyors come and order fuch things as they chufe on board the thip, and the owners are obliged to fubmit when they come into a contratt with the Company; I had a powder room to make.

They order things unneceflary ? - No, I do not fay that; but if you let a fhip to the Company, you muft do as their furveyors bid you

You did not take out the iron bolts, and put in copper bolts?-No; I wifh I had.

Have jou had experience enough of veffels of this fort to form any judgment, as to whether this fhip will laft you longer. upon fmall repairs, than a River-built fhip? Mof certainly.

You confider that he will laft you longer?-Not a doubt of it
Would you, under comparative circumftances, therefore prefer the buying an India-built fhip for the carrying on the India trade to a Britifh Ahip? -In point of emolument I would, certainly; I would give more for a teak-built fip than a Britih-built fhip.
That profit and emolumert naturally will lead you to purchare the veffel that will bring you moft?-Moft certainly.

Did this hip come home upon freight? - No ; the was loaded home by the purt chafer of the thip, my nephew, and configned to me.

Do you know . .that freight he earned home?-No; as it was all his, I did not enquire.
The freight of the Thip came into the profit of the adventure?-I do not think the made much freight, becaufe the came home in cotton, when it was at 2 very low price, and we were glad to get.it off gur hands-

I need hardly afk you, whether the Indiasbuilt thips that are taken ap by the India Company have a confiderable advantage in the freight home, before they commenced their contract?-Moft certainly.

Where is the fhip Larkins now?-She is now going out with her third charter for the India Company.

If the was to require any further repairs in the courfe of her voyages for the Company, fhould you repair her here or in India?-I fhould not fuppofe fhe will want repair for many years to come; that fhe will want copper bolts in lieu of the iron bolts, I expect; that I hould take an opportunity of doing in India if I could; becaufe the teak fheathing and the gulgul which they ufe with it, is fo much more durable than any copper laid on on the fir timber in this country, that I conclude fhe would go an additional voyage, or even more; nay, I have a proof of it; becaufe I had a new thip that went out upon her fecond voyage at the fame time that the did her third voyage, which I have been obliged to new-fheath; whereas this thip is going her third voyage in the Company's fervice, without new fheathing.

Will you fate to the Committee what gulgul is?-It is a kind of hard lime, a cement that is laid at the bottom, and it hardens like ftone; and in cafe the copper was to get off, it would not be penetrated by the worm; a fhip could have no defence of that kind in this country.
Is a material made fomething in the fame way, when applied to houfes, called chunam?-Yes; it is mixed up with oil.
It is a very fine lime made of fea fhells ?-Yes; and mixed with a drying oil, that makes it as hard as any fone.

You are now defcribing to the Committee what you know of your own perfonal knowledge; I believe you have been in India?-Yes.

You have told the Committee, that the Larkins is now performing her third voyage, and that the does not want repair now?-No.

That another fhip that you have had, that has gone only two voyages, built in this country, did require repairs at the end of her two voyages?-She has been new coppered and fheathed, and is going out; fhe is now lying at Gravefend.

And that for durability, and alfo for repairs, and the durability of thofe repairs, you thould prefer an India-built thip and an India repair, to a Britifh one?-Mof certainly. I have alfo one further obfervation to make, which is, that the one fhip has required a complete fet of mafts and yards, whereas the Larkins is going out with the fame mafts and yards that the came home with at firft,
I need hardly afk you, whether the Larkins mafts and yards were the produce of India ? - All.

## [Crofsexamined by Mr. Grant.]

Can you ftate, whether the expence of building has increafed of late years, and in what degree?-Oh, yes; in this country certainly ; the firft thip that I contracted for in the 1793, was contracted for at $\mathscr{E} 12$. 105.

What was the fize of that fhip?-Fourteen hundred tons; the was purchafed by Government in the following year, when there was very little progrefs made' in the building ; and the price of building to relay her keel, I believe, was $\mathscr{E}_{15.10 s ., \text { or }}$ $\mathscr{E}_{17}$. sos.; I cannot'tell which; I think it was $\mathfrak{E} 17$. 10 s.

Are you fure it was not above $\mathscr{E}_{17}$ - Ios. ${ }^{\text {R-No, }}$ I am quite clear it was not beyond the $\mathfrak{E}_{17}$. 10s.
Will you compare that with the prefent rate?-I fent a fhip of 700 tons to fea laft year, which coft me eight-and-twenty pounds per ton.

To what do you afcribe this increafe of expence?-To the general rife in all materials, and a general rife in the labour of fhipwrights.
Do you not know that there has been a very great increafe in the price of timber within the laft twenty years?-In the contracts I have been making with the builders, the argument ufed by them is, that the increafe has been fo much, that they cannot do it without an increafe in the rate of building.
Has there been a great increafe in the price of hipwrights labour? -Very great. .
Do ydu know that of your own knowledge?-It is impofible to check the charge of the Thip-builder for fhipwrights labour.

Will you explain what you mean by checking fhipwrights labout?-The fatt is, when you put a hip into a builder's hands, a refpectable man, your put her into his yard, and you have every confidence in him, and he goes on with the repairs; you
have a furveyor to fee that the work is well-done; but you have no check whatever to the number of men employed, or time, at all

## J. P Lrrlins Efq.

Do you mean to fay it is imponible to check a fhipwright's bill?-Cometely fo.
You therefore muft entirely rely on the perfon who contracts?-On the honour id integrity of the perfon who contracts.
Do you know, whether the charge for fhipwrights labour is generally made in a imping fum, or not ?-I believe generally.
Are the other items lumped in the fame manner?-No; ftuff for certain purpofes, ad then labour of the fhipwrights and caulkers.
But the ftuff is lumped as well as the labour?-No, they always fpecify the umber of feet of timber for fuch a jcb.
Can you fate any other reafon why it is difficult to check the charges for ipwrights labour?-The hipwright very frequently lets job work on the repairs fa hip, which comes very heavy; I believe a hipwright may earn a guinea a day 1 job work.
Why is it therefore dificult for you to check ?-A man that takes a job in hand, rill work fo much earlier and fo much later, that he will earn his three days work 1 one, fo that it does not furnifh any criterion to judge by.
So far therefore, you have fcarcely any poffible means of checking the quantum of he charge? -No.
Is it ufual for India-built hips to require repairs as much as the fhips that you ave mentioned, which you have ftated to want every thing doing?-No; probably - is not every body that would enter into making the fhip fo comfortable, as I did he Larkins, becaufe I had a pride in her, and the was a hobby-horfe, and I laid out nore money on her than others probably would.
You ftated that the General Hewet would have coft you near $\mathscr{E}_{20,000}$. to fit her mit for a voyage afterwards? - Yes.
Does that give any criterion of what India-built fhips in this country require in he way of repair, before they can return to India?-There is fcarce an India-built hip that comes home to this country, that does not want a great deal of iron aftenings, and a great deal of other repairs, before the Eaft India Company's furreyors will receive them into their fervice.
But however, I underftood you to fay, that upon a given quantity of repairs, a eak-built fhip will laft longer than a thip built of other timber?-There is not a loubt of it ; I dare fay fhe will laft double the time, with a great deal lefs repair.
Which doubtlefs you would confider as a great advantage, in the character of a hip-owner ?-Certainly.
Whatever difadvantage the durability of thefe fhips may produce to the fhipwrights, it is in the fame degree highly advantageous to the fhip-owner? -Certainly.

Do you confider in general, that the trade would be carried on cheaper by India-built fhipping, than by Thames-built thipping, or not?-While the price of materials is as high as it is now, I confider that it would be very materially cheaper, indeed; what reduction the peace will bring upon the price of materials, it will not be poffible for me to judge of ; taking the prefent price, I fhould fay wonderfully below it.

Speaking as a perfon converfant with thefe fubjects, you would conclude that a greater quantity of chipping would be likely to be employed between the two countries, if it were India-built thipping, than if it were Thames-built fhipping; would you not ?-I have no doubt, but if regifters were granted to India ihipping, in the courfe of a very few years you would have very few oak fhips in the ports of England.

My queftion is merely, whether the quantity of ihipping employed between England and India, would not be likely to be greater if it were India-built hipping, than if it were Englifh-built hhipping ?-In the courfe of time, they would be all teak fhips that would be employed in the trade to India.

My queftion is merely, whether the quantity of flipping employed between England and India, would not be likely to be greater if it were Indian-built fhipping, than if it were Englifh-built thipping?-It becomes a great commercial queftion; and if I might prefume to give my opinion, the prefent high price of fugars will be the means of bringing home more tonnage from India for the next two or three years, than any after tomnage will probably be.

Committee.]-If the veffels employed to carry the produce from this country to the Eait, and from the Eaft to this country, coft much lefs than they do at prefent, would not the quantity of bufinefs be increafed in confequence of it between the two countries? -Taking it as a commercial queftion, I think, if a man has a fpeculation in hand, it will be immaterial to him whether the fhip cofts a few thoufand pounds more or lefs to fea; and therefore I think it is the high price of fugars which fecures a profit to the gentlemen that are now going out on feeculation to India; that makes the quantity of tonnage now fent out to India.

Committce.]-Are you yourfelf engaged in the India trade?-Only as a fhipowner.

You do not know any thing of it as a merchant?-As agent for the commanding officers of fhips; certainly, fo far as that.

Mr. Harrifon.]-As agent for others, I believe you are a confiderable importer and exporter?-Certainly.

Mr. Grant.]-Do you know whether there are not many fpecies of articles that might be imported from India to this country, which will not bear an expenfive carriage, and which would be likely to find their way here, if there were a cheap freight? -Not at all; becaufe, in the conftruction of a cargo from the Eaft Indies, there is fuch a mixture of valuable goods and goods of little value, that fhips may be perfectly loaded with all forts of goods.

Do you mean to fay, that in bringing home bulky articles, a merchant does not at all take into his account what the freight is?-Surely.
Suppofe he wifhes to bring home cotton wool, does not he confider whether there be any cheap mode of conveyance for it or not?-No doubt of it.

Will it not then, on the whole, make a fenfible difference in the amount of the imports brought home, whether there be eftablifhed a cheap fet of chipping between the two countries or not, for the tranfport of fuch commodities?-You have touched upon the article of cotton wool; and I fuppofe, when things find their level in the time of Peace, very little cotton will come home from there, as it will come fo much cheaper from South America.
Do you mean to fay, generally, that under no circumftances the imports from India to this country would be increafed by having freight cheaper?-Certainly they ,would.

I underftand you to fay, that, upon the whole, the India-built thips require lefs of repair than thips built in this country? -No doubt of it; they may be failed much cheaper.

But is it not poffible, that the increafe in the number of fhips might make up, from the fmall amount of repairs required by each individual fhip? -No; becaufe, as owner of a teak-built fhip, I fhould certainly make all my repairs in a country where I could repair with the fame material; i would not ftrike a hammer upon a teak-built fhip in this country, if I could avoid it.
In point of fact the teak-built fhips are repaired in the Thames every day ?-They certainly are, what little they want ; but it is very trifling their repairs.

Do you know whether the India-built thips employed by the Company, have not ufually been repaired at home?-They muft undergo a certain infpection and repair by the Company's officers.

- I underftood you to ftate, that the mafts and yards of the Larkins were entirely of Indian wood?-That is to fay the mafts and lower yards, but the fmall yards and upper mafts are all made of fir, which was carried out by America and by this country to India; the top-mafts we generally have of pine, if we can get them.

> [Re-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

I believe the veffel that you ftated you repaired, in which you had the fum for work put in a lump, was repaired in Mr. Barnard's yard?-Yes, it was.

What veffel was it?-The Walmer-Caftle.
How long ago was that?-Near four years ago.
Have you employed Mr. Barnard fince?-Yes; and hope I fhall as long as $I$ live.

Then am I to underftand, that you did not ftate that that charge had been made to you, with the leaft viev to throw an imputation on the builder you employed?On the contrary ; Mr. Barnard's bill and charges were the loweft of all the thips of that year that were fitted.

Then we are not to undertand that there is any impofibility of checking the general charge of work, if you fufpected an improper charge, and wihed to do it, but that you have no motive or intereft to do it, when you are employing a refpectable perfon?-Certainly not.

And that general charge has not induced you to withdraw your employment from Mr. Barnard?-Certainly not; I was fatisfied with the general amount of the bill.

I underfood you to fay, that you did not trade between England and India your-folf?-No; I feldom exported any goods upon my own account, but acted as agent for the captains and officers of my own hhips.

Then as agent for others, are you in the habit of exporting and importing from India, fo as to give you a knowledge of the trade carried on between the two countries?-Moit affuredly.

Have any repairs been made upon the Larkins fubfequently to her firft outfit here?-Nothing but mere caulking and joiners work, that muft be done every voyage.

Nothing but what you call the mere wear and tear of the voyage? - Nothing.
I think you before ftated, that the Larkins is now upon her third voyage, and you did not expect the will want any immediate repair?-Certainly not; fhe made an additional voyage in India, carrying a thoufand tons from Bengal to Bombay and back, in addition to the three voyages, with the fame copper on.

If the flip-building in India increafes fo as to produce greater fkill on the part of the fhip-builders there, and an attention to all the circumftances that will be required by their owners, will the neceffity of that fort of faftening and that expence be entircly done away with?-Certainly.

Da you then apprehend, that if India-built fhips are admitted to regiftry in the India trade, that that will be the natural confequence?-No doubt of it.
$\checkmark$ Have I taken your evidence right, in fuppofing, that if India-built fhips are admitted to regiftry for the purpofe of carrying on the trade between India and England, that they will very foon drive the Britifh fhips out of the market?-There is not a doubt in the world of it.

- Out of the trade carried on by thofe merchants?-Certainly.


## [Examined by the Committee.]

You have been fome time engaged in fhipping?-All my life.
Have you then had, at various times, Thips under repair?-Certainly.
By feeing the fhip when fhe comesin, you know generally the fort of repairs fhe wants?-No; paint may make a fhip look very fine, and when you come to look at her fhe may want every thing.

Before they begin to work upon her you have a general idea what is the fort of repair fhe wants?-Certainly ; they always know the age and the fervice fhe has done; her hiftory tells us.

Will you tell the Committee, whether, looking at the repairs made in the fhips, you do not now pay confiderably more for thipwrights work in the repairs than you did fome years ago?-Yes, certainly; but then there are many more repairs, thips render more fervice to their owners than they did many years ago; our thips never performed more than four voyages in the Company's fervice formerly.

Do not you pay more for thipwrights work than you did ten years ago?-Certainly we do; but within there ten years the Company's large fhips have been doubled.

Explain what you mean by doubled?-I mean covered with four-inch oak plank, and heavy repairs gone into for the prefervation of the timber of the country, which has been very expenfive.

Then, in point of fac, in the fame fpecies of repair the work done by the fhipwright is more than it ufed to be?-A great deal, and the charges higher.

And you infer from that, the fhipwrights labour is not increafed?-I certainly infer that it is increafed.
It is increafed in price?-Certainly.
Does not the high price of fhipping operate as a part of the price of the goods, when they are to be fold ?-Of courfe, perfons who give heavy freight for thips, cannot afford to fell their goods fo low.
If foreigners fhould enter into any competition with us, in bringing goods from India, would not the circumftance of our fhipping being dearer than theirs, operate.

## J. P. Larkins

 $E / q$.as a bounty in their favour ?-Unlefs we are proteded by fome law in this country, it certainly would.
Is not the chance of this country being the general carrier or fupplier of the other countries on the Continent with India articles, dependent upon our being able to bring thofe articles in the cheapeft way poffible ?-That is a queftion, I think, that is certainly felf-evident; there is no doubt of that.
Then does not our chance of being fo, the carriers of Europe, depend upon our employing the India fhips in the intercourfe between the two countries, you having flated that it is the cheapeft ?-No doubt of it.
If thofe fhips are much cheaper than European thips, taking their quality into confideration, is not it probable that if they are excluded from the trade of this country, that foreigners would be very defirous of getuing poffeffion of them, if they had the opportunity of buying them?-I think that it is very probable.
Then is not it probable, from thofe circumftances, that by excluding the India fhips from the intercourfe between this country and India, we Gould run a great rifk of throwing the chief trade of India, for the fupply of Europe, into the hands of foreigners?-Not while we poffefs India.
That is, you mean unlefs we protected ourfelves by fome duties impofed in India ?-Foreigners always paid a double duty in India while I was there.

You mean, unlefs we protect curfelves by fome additional duty?-Certainly.
You mean, by the laft anfwer, unlefs we impofe fome additional duties to thofe that foreigners now pay ?--Certainly.

As a flip-owner, will you ftate which is the greater expence of the two, taking a fhip's natural life through her original build, or her repairs as they are ufed now? As they are ufed now; certainly her repairs.
Can you at all ftate in what proportion?-No, I cannot.
In what year was it you purchafed this Thip in Bengal, the Larkins? The year 1808; The was launched in November 1808.

Ships at that time were rather cheaper ?-Yes; there were a great many fhips for fale there, certainly.

How much would that hip have.coft you to build in England, for the hull? I fuppofe fhe would have coft me more than $\mathfrak{E}_{17}$. or $\mathscr{E}_{18} 8$. a ton.

What would an 800 ton fhip have coft building in the Thames?-An 800 ton fhip would have coft at that time eight-and-twenty pounds a ton.
I think you bought another thip at nearly the fame time, the Warren Hantings ? Yes.

How much might you give for that thip in Bengal ?-She was bought for nothing; the was bought and fitted to fea for-1,30,000 rupees, I think.
She was a River-built thip? - Yes; and fhe lay by in the Ganges till the was rotten, and then the was purchafed by fome gentlemen there.

On her arrival in this country, was not fhe fold?-Yes; to my coft.
She was fold as the came from fea? -Yes.
Without any previous repairs?-Yes.
And for how much money?- $\mathfrak{E}_{3} 1,100$.
She has fince been taken up by the Company ?-One voyage.
What did the colt in repairs after the was fold for that \& 31,100 . ?-She coft me $\ddagger 69,000$. to fea.
And how much fince ?-Since, her builder's and fmith's bill are. $\mathscr{E} 18,500$.
What was her tonnage $\mathbf{~ - ~} \mathbf{1 , 2 7 0}$ tons.
She was an old Indiaman? --Yes.
Built on the Thames, was not fhe?-Yes.
 fhe wanted every thing; her anchors were light ; the wanted a fet of guns and fails.

Part of which was the builder's bill for the repairs to the hull ? - Yes; amounting to $£_{5,000 \text {. }}$

The builder would have built this fhip for eight-and-twenty pounds a ton?A great deal lefs than that.
Then you fuppofe his bill for $\mathfrak{E}_{5,000}$. muft have been nearly one-third of what you would have built the hip for?-Yes; I fuppofe it was.
Do you think that there was one-third of the materials and labour there would have been in building a new thip? I told the Committee it was a fort of hobbyhorfe; I put a new Itern to the fhip which I had no occafion for, and I completed a fet of beams in the hold by way of ftrengthening her.

There

There were fome beams before?-Yes. .
How many do you fuppofe?-I believe I put eleven new beams in her, and faftened all that were in before with iron faftenings.
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Efq.

You have faid that materials for fhip-building have very much increafed in price?-Yes.

Has iron, do you think, increafed in price?-No; I know it has not increafed in price.

Has not it decreared?-It has decreafed.
You have ftated, that the fhip-builders object, in the building of hips, that the timber is very much increafed in price; do not they alfo fay that it is difficult to be got?-No, I never heard that ; there was an argument of that kind made ufe of by the fhip-builders fome twenty years ago; but I believe it was laughed at afterwards.

You fay that the fhips have an advantage in the freight; is not the expence of bringing a thip home from the Eaft Indies confiderable?-Not compared to the expence of other fhips; they bring them home with black feamen, and the provifions are very cheap.

But there is infurance on the fhip?-That operates both ways.
It is to be fet againft the earnings? -Of courfe.
And when you bring home Lafcars, there is a great expence in fending them out again?-Yes; but it does not amount to the difference between the price of them and others.
You have faid a fhipwright working at tafk-work may earn a guinea a day ?-I have heard that.
Do you know the prices of tafks? -No; I do not, indeed; the prices of tafks muf be proportionally to the frength and ability of the man that undertakes them; a flout man, with a good head, will earn three times as much as a flender man, with perhaps flender abilities.

But how much each kind of man will earn, you do not know ?-No; I am not competent to anfwer that.

Do you know how long a man, who has taken work to do by tafk, is allowed to work in a day ? -He is allowed to work all the four-and-twenty-hours if he pleafes, if he takes it by talk; nobody has a check upon him at all.

Then if you do not know the prices paid to each defcription of workmen, when he works tafk-work, how can you tell how much he will gain per day ?-Becaufe I have heard that from very refpectable authority.

Then you do not know it of your own knowledge? - Of courfe I cannot.
You have faid that the trade to India will be increafed by the ufe of cheaper built and cheaper repaired fhips?-Of courfe their freights muft be lowered; where you can get it at an eafy rate it will lower the freight materially, and probably there may be more gruff goods come from India.

You fay the freight is a clog upon the trade?-When freight is reduced from India, freights from other parts of the world will reduce in the fame proportion, and they will meet you from America or South America, with freight as much lowered in proportion as you will lower your freights to the Eaft; they will have juft the fame competition upon you.

Do you know that any India-built flips, in the employment of the Company, have been repaired in India?-Oh, yes; I can anfwer that myfelf; for I have feen the Company's Ships in repair in India.

How long ago? -A grear many years ago; it is five-and-twenty years ago fince I was in India.

If the build of hips for the India trade fhould be transferred from the Thames to India, do you think they would come there to be repaired alfo as well as built ? -I think they would, certainly.

In fuch cafe the Thames would lofe the benefit of repairing the flips in the India trade, as well as the building of them ?-Moft certainly; they would have only juft the mere little caulking and jobs that cannot be done without.

Such as are now done in India, intead of the Thames?-Yes.
Do you not think that our trade with India may be defended by a due rate of export duty laid in India upon all articles' thence exported in foreign fhips ?-Certainly; if you lay a duty, you will exclude the foreigners from coming; it depends on the duty you may lay.

Do you think that by the prefent duties on export in foreign bottoms from India, (72.)
the Britifh trade with India is fufficiently protected ?-I am not competent to anfwer that queltion, becaufe I am not informed of what the export duties are at this moment.

Mr. Adolphus.]-Do you happen to know, in point of fact, whether the freight has increafed from India to this country with the increafed expence of the Britifh-builf fhips, or whether it has lowered ?-I fhould fuppore the freights in the country fhips are much lower than they could ever be in a Britifh fhip, certainly.

- I mean to alk this queltion, taking as a fatt, that the price of building Britifh fhips has increafed of late years; is the price of freight from India to this country higher, of is it lower now than it was fome years ago ? - With refpect to us it increafes in' proportion; becaufe we have a fixed peace freight, and the Company pay all the extra freight in the time of war.

Do you know, whether in point of fact the Eaft India Company have obtained their freight on lower termsthan in Britifh fhips; by employing India-built fhips ?No, certainly not; there are fome of the Company's teak fhips that are on much heavier terms than any of the oak flips; perfons entueavour to make the beft terms they can with the Company.

Committee.]-Cannot foreigners build fhips in the Rangoon river ?-They always have done it ; the French have built a number of large hips in that river.

Can teak wood be imported into this country ?-I hould doubt it ; it would come too high to make ufe of.

Suppofing all duties to be taken off?-I do not think it would pay freight.
Do you think teak plank could be imported ?-No, I do not think teak plank could.

The cheapeft way of importing teak wood is as a hip, when built?-Certainly.
And that is the only way in which we can get that valuable wood in this country? -No doubt of it.

As a merchant, would not you give a higher freight on a good fhip than an inferiot one?-Moft certainly I would.

## Mr. Ifaac Sparrow was called in, and examined by Mr. Adolphus.

Mr. You are, I believe, clèrk tớ Meffrs. Bartíard and Company, fhip-builders at 1faac Sparrow. Beptford? -Yes.

How 'many years have you' been in the employment of thole gentlemen ?-Four-and-thirty years, with Mr. Barnard and his father, in the concern.

At that place? - Yes.
Of what extent is their eftablifhment, what docks and flips have they? ${ }^{2}$. They have three docks and four flips, at Deptford and Rotherhithe.

In the whole of their eftablifhment? ?-In the whole of their eftabliffiment.
Are any of thofe double docks?-Two double docks.
Two out of the three are double docks ?-Mes.
Ffave yoy any means of knowing the expence of repairing in the River a Britiffbuilt fhip of 500 tons,' for different voyagés?-Yesi

You have a flatement upon that fubject ? - Yes, I have.
How is that made up?-It is made up from our books, at the different times fie went her voyages, which were five; as the bills were delivered to the owners.

Thefe ate the repairs then for five voyages?- Yes, for five voyages. I hould beg leave to ftate one thing; though I faid five voyages; this fhip; the Tigris, is now going on her fifth voyage ; of courfe I could not make upy that-account for accurate as I could wifh, but I think it is, very near that fum, perhaps $\mathfrak{E} 20$ or $\mathfrak{E} 3$.
[The Witnefs delivered it in.].
Amount of Shipwright's Work and Stores on the Tigxis, five Voyages.


That is a Britifh-built fhip?-Yes, a Britifh-built thip of 500 tons.
Do you know the expence incurred by repairing an India-built hip in the River,

Mr.
Ifaac Sparroúv. for the fame number of voyages?-Yes; I have a ftatement of that, for the fame number of voyages.
[The Witness delivered it in:]
Amount of Shipwright's Work and Stores on the Sir William Pulteney, five Voyages.


For how many years have you been in the habit of purchafing oak timber for Meffrs. Barnard P-I think, to the beft of my knowledge, for fix or feven and twenty years.

In that time have you ever been unable to obtain a fufficient quantity for their ufe?-Never.

I mean of any fpecies of oak timber, large or fmall ?-No, never; we never were in want at any time, we could always procure fufficient for our own ufe.

What fort of hhips have you been in the habit of building in that time?-Eaft India fhips, and 74 -gun hips for Government.

If you had an order for fhips of that clafs to any extent, fhould you be afraid of executing it for want of timber? ? I think not, there is no doubt about it.

Do you know whether Meffrs. Barnard and Roberts were regularly brought up to the bufinefs of fhipwrights?-Yes, both of them.

Do they tefide on the premifes, and fuperintend their own concerns?-- $\dot{Y} e s$, they do.

Has their employment principally been upon large fhipping of the defcription you have mentioned?-Generally fo.

Is that the kind of building which afiords the moff feady and conftant employ to fispwrights and artificers? Y-Yes.

In peace and in war?-Yes.
Can that employ continue to be afforded, if the building of thefe large fhips is taken away ? -I fhould think not.

Will the confequences be injurious to a great number of induftrious people?A great number feel the effect of it very much.

Are the perfons employed about you regularly bred to the very bufinefs that they exercife? -Yes.

That is to fay, are the flhipwrights regularly apprenticed; and brought up as Thipwrights?-Yes.

The joiners as joiners, and the fawyers as fawyers, and fo on ?-ㅋ̈es.
Can the fhipwrights, if out of work, readily turn their hands to other employ? 1 thould not think they could.

Is the nature of their employ, or the toots that they ufe, different from that in any other bufinefs?-Yes, it is a different kind of tool.

How many fhips have you built within the lat three years for the fervice of the Eaft India Company ?-Two.

What were their names?-The Afia, and the Marquis Wellefley.
Were thofe fips built on the bottoms of other fhips ? - Yes, they were both built on the bottoms of other Chips; the one was the Lord Nelion, and the other the Afia, which were both loft.

Was it in confequence of the lofs of thofe fhips that you were called upón to build other two upon their bottoms?--Yes, I fhould think it was.

Is not that a privilege referved by the fhip-owners to do fo; to build a thip on the bottom of one which was Ioft?-Certainly, I belient if is.

Would thofe hips, in your judgment, have been built in your yard, had not the other two been lof, fo that the building of thofe was required?-I fhould think not.

Mr: Have Meffrs. Barnard \& Roberts, within the laft year, fold timber and plank that IJaac Sparrow. formed part of their ftock?-Yes, laft year.

How much ?-About fix-and-twenty load of timber and plank, about 2,000 load of timber, and 600 load of plank.

Sold it unmanufactured?-Yes, as it was received by us.
What quantity of oak timber and plank have they now on hand P -Fourteen hundred load.
To what clafs of perfons did you fell that ?-To a contractor, to go to Government; and it did go to Government.
Committee.]-For hhip-building?-Yes, for fhip-building.
Mr. Adolphus.]-What fized timber is that you have now on hand ?-It metes about 87 feet, one tree with another, taking the number of trees and the quantity.

What fized fhips is that calculated for the building of?-It is adapted for the building large Eaft India fhips, or feventy-fours.

Have Meffrs. Roberts \& Company had any offer of oak timber this feafon?-I have a great quantity of oak timber offered now for them.

From whence? -From the timber merchant, who has purchafed it in Berkfhire, and fome in Effex.
What is the timiber merchant's name who has made you this offer? - In Berkfhire, his name is Smith.

Where does he live? -Newbury.
Who is the perfon in Effex?-Bridges \& Halmer.
Of where ?-Of Miftley, near Maningtree.
To what extent have they offered you timber ?-About 1,200 load.
The two together ?-Yes, the two together.
Of what defcription of timber?-It is large timber, fit for building large fhips.
At what prices have they made you thofe offers?-There has not been any price fixed, only they offer for me to fee it when it is fit to fee, and then to, agree for price; which is the common way of purchafing oak timber.

Have you accepted the offers that have been made by thofe two merchants? I am to fee it when it is in a flate to be feen; when it is manufactured I may fee it.

Was the price of oak timber in the laft year falling or rifing? -It rather fell.
Could you, if your bufinefs required it, undoubtedly procure a great quantity of oak timber and plank, immediately or fpeedily ?-I fhould fuppofe that I could procure enough to build two fhips.

Without delay ? - Without delay ; I fhould fuppofe fo, at leaft, from what I have underftood.

Committee.]-How many load goes to the building of a twelve hundred ton thip? -I fuppofe between $1,800^{\circ}$ and 2,000 load.

You reckon a load and a half to a ton ?--Yes.
That is your regular computation?-Yes.
Does that include timber and plank? -Yes.
Mr. Adolphus.]-Do you know whether, at the time when your yards have been in full employment, you have fill had an opportunity of accommodating the King's yards with timber and plank, when they wanted it ?-Not at the time we wanted timber and plank we could not ; we could get a fupply for ourfelves, but never purchafed to fell again.

Have you eyer had applications from Government upon that fubject, when your yards have been in full employment ?-No, not at that time ; the only reafon was, to keep a connexion, that we purchafed and fent it to the dock-yards, becaufe we could not accommodate it in the yard, for want of room.

Then it was not felling off part of your fuperfluous ftock; but you bought it to keep up a connexion, and fold it to the King's yard becaufe you had not room for it?-Yes.

## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

Have you brought with you a lift of the comparative price of oak timber for the laft ten years? NO , I have not.

What was the price of oak timber in 1802?-I cannot.bear it in my: mind; I did not come prepared for that.

Can

Can you fate generally, what has been the rife in the price for the laff ten ycars? -I can only 「peak loofely, as I have not looked at the book to tell; Ifuppofe twa pounds a load.

What would have been the price ten years ago? -About $£_{7 .}$ a load.
What was it in the year 1813 ? $-\mathscr{E}$, ros.
When you fay you never found it impoffible to get any timber you wanted, you mean that it could be had for a high price?-Yes.

But do you mean to fay, that in thofe years it was not fcarce?-I never found any fcarcity ; I could always get it at a market price.

Do not you conceive that the market price is fixed by the plenty or fcarcity of a commodity, compared with the demand for it ?-By the demand certainly.

At the time that you parted with this timber to Government, you have flated that you had a confiderable ftock on hand?-Yes.

Did you ever know, upon any former occafion, Meffrs. Bainard to part with any. when they were overftocked ?-Only in one inftance, and that fome years back; and that was fupplied to another fhip-builder*s yard.

You being overftocked at the time - We being overfocked at the time.
How many years ago is that ?-I fhould think it is fourteen or fifteen years ago; Meffrs. Barnard had a great ftock, and Meffrs. Perrys of Blackwall were in want of timber, and we could not accommodate it in our yard, fo that they had it from the wharf, where it laid for us at Ipfwich.

I think you ftated, that Meffrs. Barnard have chiefly been confined in their building to Eaft India fhips and feventy-fours?-Yes.

Would their premifes be fir to build hips of 500 tons for the Weft India trade? -Yes.
The fame flips that build the one would build the other? - Y'es.
So that if there fhould be a demand for that fpecies of flip, their yard could fupply them?-Yes, certainly.

Would any great expence be required to accommodate their eftablifhment to the building of any clafs of fhips, downwards from 1,200 tons, to the building of fhips of two, three, four or five hundred tons?-They could build them certainly.
Without occafioning much expence in fitting up their premires?-Certainly.
You have ftated the fteady and regular demand has been for building for the Eaft India Company; if there were a regular demand for building hips of one, two, three, four or five hundred tons, would not that give a fufficient employ to their workmen?-I fhould not think fmall hips would give employment to fo many people.

Would not the fame number of tons building, whether it was for a feventy-four or for fmall merchants hips, give employ to the fame number of workmen?-Yes, the fame quantity of tonnage would.
Five thoufand tons of fmall fhipping might employ pretty nearly the fame number of men as five thoufand tons of large fhipping?-I fhould think not fo much by a confiderable number.

Do you think that more men are required to build a given number of tons in. large veffels than in finall veffels?-No, I do not think there would be any difference.

Committece,]-Would not the fmaller veffels be likely to give more employ ?-No; 1 think about the fame.

Mr. Spankie.]-The building in the River has chiefly been confined you fay, to. the larger fhips; práy can you tell us what proportion the repairing in your yard bears to the building ? -I cannot fpeak to that.

Committfe.]-Do you think the greater part of Mr. Barnard's bufinefs confifts in. repairing or building?-I Ihould fuppofe nearly equal.
Do not you conceive that Government ceafing to build and confume oak timber, may have tended to reduce the price at the prefent moment ? 1 I hould think fo.
Do not you think, that Government ceafing to employ flipwrights, and other perfons. of that defcription, may have been likely to throw a number out of employment? - Yes, I think fo.
Do you think that the profpect of peace may likewife have fufpended any orders for new hhips building at the prefent moment ?-Yes, I fould think it would.
(72.)
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Mr. Do you think, therefore, that any part of the diftrefs experienced by the workIfrac Sparrozv. men at the prefent moment, may be in confequence of that?-Yes, I mould think wholly fo.

Do you happen to know how many teak hips are now in the general trade of this country ?-No, I do not; I am not acquainted with that.
, You have ftated that you have had an offer of timber from certain timber merchants; you have not rejected that altogether?-No, I have not; I am to go and look at it, and fee whether it will fuit our purpofe.

## [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

As to timber that you buy, do you receive it immediately into your yard, or do you let it lie at the places where it is fold to you, till it is convenient to you to take it in ?-We have it when we want it.

Therefore you never have occafion to fell, becaufe your yard is too full, but becaufe you have not employment for what you have bought?-Yes.

May you let that timber lie where it is for a reafonable time, without any rent or charge uponit?--That is according to the agreement we make with the timber merchant.

Is it generally fo ?-Not generally fo.
You have been aflked, whether the building of fmall fhips would not equally employ your men; if you have a dock capable of building a twelve hundred ton thip, will that dock be as fully occupied by a fmall thip; can you put two thips into building in one flip at the fame time?-It depends entirely on the fize of a hip.

Will a flip that would ferve for the building of a thip of 1,200 tons receive fix of 200 at the fame time in building?-No, I fhould conceive not.

Would it receive two ? - Yes, I. fhould think it would.
Would it receive more; though you are not a thipwright I believe? -I am not. .
Could you, in the building of fmall fhips, employ contantly as many men as you do in the building of large fhips?-1 fhould think, if we had a quantity building all tat once, we could.

But could you make your premifes capable of building fo many fmall hips at once as would employ as many men as you have been in the habit of employing in building large ones?-No, I do not think we could.

You fay that the want of orders from Government has caufed the non-employment of a great number of your men; would the employment have continued, if the India Company had been in the courle of building in their ufual way?-The Eaft India Company certainly has been the reafon of thele people being out of employment, as well as Government.

By their not building as ufual?-Yes, by their not building.
Would the building of Wefl Indiamen, fuch as are ufually built to the extent of three or four in a year, enable Meffrs. Barnard \& Co. to keep up their prefent eftablifhment?-I hould think not.

## [Examined by the Committee.]

How long have you been employed in Mr. Barnard's yard ?-I have been four and thirty years with Mr. Barnard and his father.

What increafe has been made in the number of your llips fince you have been in' the yard; how many had they when you firft went into the yard?-I believe there' are two additional flips, but I cannot fpeak pofirively.

When were they added ?-It is fome years ago, but I cannot fay exactly.
The Committee will thank you to flate pofitively? -I believe it may be twenty jears ago, but I cannot fay exactly.

Are you quite fure it is fifteen years ago?-It is full that certainly; or more.
They have been in the habit of building for Government 3-For Government and the Eaft India Company.

Was it in confequence of the practice of building for Government. in the private: yards, that thofe flips were added?-Thofe llips were made to build Eaft India Chips, and thips for Government.

Was it about the period or fublequent to the period at which the practice commenced of building for Government in the private yards?-Mr. Barnard has been in the practice of building for Government ever fince 1 have been there, feventyfours, and on thofe flips.

But you know the practice of building for Government has increared within thele few years?-Yes.

Was it in confequence of that increafe of building for Government in the private yards that thofe new flips were added ?-No; the nips were added before the Building for Government increafed.

You ftate, that in the laft two years you had built two Eall India Chips? - Yes.
Have you been in the habit of building more than two Eaft Incia. hips in a year, at ary time that you recollect?-No, I do not recollect that we have.

Has not timber been in a progreffive flate of advance of price fince you have been in the habit of buying it? - it has till taft year.

You fate it to have fallen laft year; to what caufe do you attribute its fall? -There not being a demand.
.. What is the ufial quantity of oak that you have in your yard, that you keep upon hand?-I thould think about 2,000 loads.
How long do you keep it upon hand ?-1t is cut up fometimes when we are in a hurry to work, as foon as it is landed.

How long have you ever kept it?-Two or three months or fo, if not longer.
If you had been called on to build a feventy-four at any period, had you feafoned oak enough in your yard to have built it ?-I Thould think not.
Have you any means of knowing the comparative profits of building and repairing to the builder? - I cannot fay.
Did not Meffrs. Barńard \& Company, and Mr. Solly, buy of Wells \& Company, a large @hip building yard, which had four flips in it ?-They did.
You know that they did?-Yes.
How long is it fince they purchafed it ?--Upon my word I cannot fay exactly how long it is ago.

That yard had four flips? - I believe it had, but that I cannot fpeak to exactly.
Do you know how many for building large hips it had?-I gould rather fuppofe they were all for large fhips.

But you do not know exactly?-No.
Do yau know whether thofe flips fill are in thole yards?-There is one now that Meffrs. Barnard have.
Do you know that there are no more than one now?-There is only one, 1 know.

What has become of the other three?-It has been turned into a timber merchant's yard.

Into maft houres?-Yes; there is a maft-houfe, I think.
However, they have been converted from flips to other purpofes? -Yes.

- You fay that two Indiamen were buile within the laft two years?-Yes.

How many did you build the fix years preceding?-I cannot fpeak to thats probably two or four.
Probably you can recollect the names of them?-I cannot tell the names in which they were built; we have built a great number of Indiamen during the time I have been there; but I cannot tell the name of thofe, or the year.

The Warren Haftings ?-One Warren Haftings we built, and one was built at Blackwall.
In 1808 you built one Warren Haftings? - Yes.
The Princefs Amelia? -We built the Princefs Amelia.
Did you build the William Pitt?-Yes.

- Do you know how many feventy-fours you have built fince the conmencement of the laft war, in 1803 ?-We have built five line of battle fhips fince 1802 .
Had you built any previous to that, or any King's fhips ?-We built a fir frigate.
That is all?-Yes.
In the quantity of oak you have had tendered to you, is it fealoned, do you know, or is it green?-That that is offered to us now is only now being felled, of courfe.

How long will it be before it is properly feafoned for building?-By the time it gets to the yard it will be fufficiently feafoned to go into a fhip; we fhall have it in in about three months.
You think in three months it will be feafoned for fip-building?-I fhould think fo.

You fay that the fhipwrights could not go to other work on account of their tools ?-I do not know but they might do it, but I never knew an inflance of it.

- Do not you think they could fquare a beam as well for a houfe as for a fhip ? They could do is certainly.
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SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

## Mr. William Sims called in, and examined by Mr, Hargison.

$\xrightarrow{\substack{\text { Mir. } \\ \text { Willame Sims. }}}$ BELIEVE you make cordage?-I do.
How many years have you been engaged in that bufinefs?-Many years; ever fince I left fchool, and my father before me.

What particular defcription of thips do you principally fupply 3-Ships of all forts; but our trade is principally in the Eaft India thipping.

Are you alfo an exporter of cordage to India, a general exporter?-Yes, we are; but unfortunately the export of cordage to the Eall Indies has been very trifing indeed, for the laft five or fix years.

About what period did it begin to fall off?-I thould think for feven or eight years paft it has been gradually decreafing, and now it is not worth naming; there is fcarcely any export at all.

Is your export by contract for the Eaft India Company, or is it general export ?It is generally exported by the Eaft India Company; and until the laft five or fix years, it has been very confiderable ; fince that, it has been very trifling.

Then the great exporters were the India Company themfelves?-Yes.
The other exportation was very inconfiderable?-Very triling at any time.
And the Eaft India Company have gradually ceafed to export? They have.
You fay that your employment was principally in Eaft India Thips; I am Speaking now of later periods, fince the hips have been built in India, both for India thips built abroad and at home?-We have not fold cordage for thofe built in India, they have been fitted in India.
For what vellels built in India have you fupplied cordage ?-Some few that have been built in India for the fervice of the Eaft India Company, one or two that are now coming home; but it has been but a fmall proportion of the cordage the fhip would want if the had been built in this country, certainly not exceeding one third; I have been looking into the account this morning, and that is the extreme; I have great doubts if we fhould have fent as much as that, but I am an owner of thofe fhips; having a large thare of thofe thips, I fent it out.

Of the thips to which you bave fupplied that quantity, you are yourfelf a part owner?-I am a part owner; they are fhips built in India for the fervice of the Eaft India Company.
Do I undertand you to ftate that you atribute your fupplying thofe fhips to that extent, to your being a part owner?-Yes.
Is that a motive with you to become a part owner fometimes in 2 veffel ?- Yes it is, certainly.
Of the bufinefs which you ftill retain in the fupply of Eaft India thipping, do you confider it as kept by being part owner, more than by any other circumitance? - Yes, we do.

Have you had opportunities of obferving the comparative merits of cordage made in India, and cordage made here? - Yes; 1 have had feveral.
They have not yet the fame filll in India that they have in this country in making it?-They have not; they have not at prefent any machinery in India.
Have they improved of late years in the manufiexure?-Very much; the cordage now is very much fuperior to what it was fome years ago.
Is the quality of the materials they have there, the Concang and Salfette hemp, fufficient to maka good cordage, fit for all purpoles when they have machinery ?Moft undoubtedly.

In your judgment then, as derived from your knowledge of the bufinefs that you have carried on for fome years, what will be the effect of thips being built in India for carrying on the trade between the two countries?-That we fhall have to fhut up our.yards in this country; we thall have no employment for them.

You mean by that, that thips could be built and fitted out in fuch a way there, as to produce no peceffity for reforting to this country?-1 do; or at any rate, the quantity wanted in this country will be fo very villing, that it is hardly worth making.

Depending

Depending probably upon that fort of outfit and fupply that veffels always want in the courfe of a royage? -The thips built in India, when they come to this country, want fo trifing a quantity, probably two, three or four tons, and fometimes ot that.
Will even that quantity diminilh, as the manufaGure becomes more perfect broad?-I Ihould think it can hardly be lefs than that.
The quantity you allude do, I conclude, is what is neceflary from the wear and ear home?-The fhips coming from India bring fores that in a great meafure upply them when they are in this country, the fame as fhips built in this country for he fervice of the Company take out fores, and refit themfelves in India.

- You miean that the boatfwain's ftore-room of a veffel coming home is filled with an additional quantity of cordage for her ufe in coming home, in addition to what The has got fet up and is ufing ?-Certainly.
Have you any account of the quantity of cordage requifite to fupply different defriptions of veffels, as to fize ?-Yes, 1 have; a thip of $t, 200$ tons will require 72 tons of cordage on her firft voyage, and on an average for feven more voyages, about 48 tons each voyage, making a total of 408 tons for eight voyages, the duty upon the hemp for which would be 3,344 .

Mr. Grant.]-As the duties are now ?-As the duties are now; gl. ios. per ton, 1 believe.

Mr. Harrifon.]-Will you flate what it requires far an 800,600 , and 400 -ton thip? - A fhip of 800 tons, upon her firt voyage, will take 50 tons, and the remaining voyages 35 tons, making a total of 295 tons for the eight voyages; the duty upon that is 2,4041 . A hip of 600 tons will take $3^{6}$ tons upon her firf voyage, and 24 tons for the remaining voyages; upon the average making a total of 204 tons upon the eight voyages: the duty I have not calculated, but it will be in proportion to the others. A thip of 400 tons will take 24 tons on her firft voyage and for the remaining voyages 16 tons, making a total of $13^{6}$ tons on the eight voyages. There have only been five thips of 400 tons employed by the Eaft India Company for the laft five years, that was four hips in the feafon 1809-10, and one flip in the feafon 1811-12. I have an average of the number of fhips employed by the Company for the laft five years, with the quantity of cordage.

From what have you taken that average ? - I have taken it from the flips employed by the Company in the different feafons.

Committee.]-From the printed lift?-Yes, from the printed lift.

- Mr. Harrifon.]-Tou have got an eftimate of the quantity of cordage employed by the Eaft India Company for the laft five years? - Yes.
From your calculation of what each fhip would require?-Yes; and from my having fupplied a part of it; there have been 17 flips from 1,000 to 1,200 tons, 14 fhips from 700 to 1,000 , between 15 and 16 fhips from 500 to 700 , five fhips from 400 to 500 ; making a total of 1,768 tons of cordage, about 1,516 tons of hemp, and $\mathfrak{\neq 1} 3,402$. of duty-

Committee.]-Do you mean annually?-Yes, annually, upon an average calculated upon five years.

> Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant,

You flate, that you have never fent cordage to India for the veffels built there, except for thofe built by order of the Company ?-For thofe only.
Is cordage ever exported to India upon fpeculation as an adventure? -Of late it has been very trifing indeed; the Eaft India Company were the principal exporters of cordage, and that has nearly ceared.
Do you know that the hhips that are fitted out with cordage in that country, are fitted our with native cordage?-Ships belonging to India are fitted out with native cordage; I have feen them repeatedly.
Have you feen many of the fhips that have come from India?-I have feen fereral.

Do you mean to fate, that univerfally the cordage employed by them, whether running rigging, ftanding rigging, or cable, has been of Indian manufacture?-I bave not feen every coil of rope that they have had, but I have feen hhips firted with ftanding rigging, and alfo with cables, which is the principal part of the rigging, and allo fome of the running rigging, which has been of Indian rope.

Mr. . Committec.]-All the hips you have feen have been fo fitted ?-All of them ?
William Sims.
Can you name them?-I can name one that I was concerned in, the Fairlie, a* fhip that was purchafed by Meffrs. Biddulph's houfe.

Mr. Grant.]-Can you name any other ?-I do not recollect any other at priefent.
Have you feen fo many of them, and thofe that you have feen have you obferved fo accurately as to enable you to pronounce with perfect confidence, that all, or almoft all the cordage of thofe veffels has been of Indian manufacture?-No, I. do not think I could; I am not in the habit of going on board many of thofe thips.

Then you perhaps fpeak principally with refpea to the Fairlie? -I can fpeak confidently to her.

Committee.]-Was her's coir rigging?-Yes, Ibelieve it was.
Mr. Grant.] - According to the average price of hemp of late years can you ftare whereabouts would be the coft of the quantity of cordage required by a $1,: 00$ ton veffel on her firft voyage? - Hemp has varied fo much of late years, that I can hardly make an average; but I can give you the prefent price, if you pleafe, or the price at any former period.

State the prefent price ? - The cordage for a fhip of 1,200 tons, at the prefent price, would come to $£ 6,840$., as near as I can calculate it ; but we have had hemp within thefe twenty years, or five-and-twenry years, from twenty-five to a hundred-andtwenty pounds per ton.

What is the prefent price ? - The prefent price of hemp is $\xi_{70}$. a ton ; the prefent price of cordage $\neq y$ o. a ton.

I wilh to know, whether you fpeak from extenfive perfonal obfervation, when you flate that the India-built fhips now take from this country, not more than about two or three tons?-They take as fmall a quantity as poffible; they fupply themfelves in that country with fores, by which they refit themfelves in this country; 1 only judge from tiwo or three that have fallen particularly within my own obfervation.

Can you fpeak, except with refpect to thofe two or three Mhips alluded to in your laft anfwer?-I cannot.

I take it for granted, that it is much cheaper for a veffel to fupply herfelf with cordage in India?-I fuppofe it muft be fo; I am not aware of the prices of cordage in India; hemp of courfe muft be confiderably cheaper; and as to labour there is no comparifon.

Committee.]-That you do not know of your own ksowledge ?-I eannot know it of my own knowledge, certainly.

Mr. Grant.] - You flated yourfelf to have become a part owner of veffels, in order to have the means of fupplying them with cordage; of courfe, confidered merely in the character of a perfon fupplying thofe veffels with cordage, it mult be your intereft to have as good a price for your cordage as poffible? We have a fair market price for the article.

Bint on the fuppofition that cordage is much cheaper in India than here, con" fidered as an owner of a veffel, it would be your intereft to fupply your veffel with cordage in that country ?-I am not feaking of fhips belonging to India, but hips belonging to this country; and thofe thips, by their charter with the Eaft India Company, are obliged to be fitted in this country.

My quetion only goes to this, that it muft be the intereft of a hip owner to fupply his fhip with cordage as cheap as he can?-It mult be his intereft to fupply his thip as cheap as he can, provided the arcicle can be procured equally good.

You ftate, that the prices of hemp has fluctuated much lately; has it been from any other caufe than the political circumftances of the country? - I fhould think not.

You allude to the obftacles which have occurred to our trade with the North of Europe particularly?-With the North of Europe particularly.
[Examined by the Committee.]
Do you know any thing of the Sun?-I have manufactured a little of it. Into what fort of cordage?-Shrouds.
What is its relative Atrength compared to good Ruffian hemp?-I Mould think full one-third weaker.

Do you apprehend that relative weaknefs might be compenfated for by the fize of -à cable ?-Yes, that is ufually done.

Taking that increafe of fize, do you think it might be manufactured here into a valuable and ufeful article for the fervice of veffels in this country?-It can be made into tolerably good cordage, but certainly not equal to cordage made of Ruflian hemp.

But by an increafed bulk $;-$ You may then make it equal in Arength, but by that means it would become very unhandy.
Do you know what price it has borne in the market hitherto? I I do not; I never purchafed any.
Have you any doubt, that if it were imported in large quantities from India, it might be made a very ferviceable raw material in your trade ?-I Ihould always prefer the Peterburgh hemp to it.
At an equal price? - At a higher price.
Does your idea of proportion of price apply to the ftrength? -Certainly not; I think the cordage made from the Sun will not wear fo well as cordage made from Ruffian hemp.
Can you give us any notion of the proportion of prices you would fix between them?-I cannot; Sun hemp and the other Indian hemp, has improved within a few years.

Do you not apprehend that it might be better cleaned and prepared than it is now in India?-Yes.

Would not that heighten its value, as an article to be ufed in your trade? Undoubtedly it would.
You have given us the proportions of cordage for Indiamen of different fizes, according to the regulations of the Company ; would individuals fit out their lhips fo fully as that?-1 hould conceive fhips going fuch a voyage as the India Company's fhips go, could not be fitted out at lefs.
Do not the India fhips frequently fell the fpare ftores in India? -I am not aware that they do; they occafionally accommodate each other, but I am not aware that they could fell to any extent.
You think there is no demand for cordage in India ?-I fhould think they have not the cordage to fpare, if there was a demand; by the regulation of the Company they are obliged to have a certain number of cables, and if they have lefs than that number they are mulct; and alfo on their arrival at St. Helena, if they have not a fufficient quantity the Government there put others on board them, at a very heavy expence.

You are concerned in thips now building in India?-Yes; there is a thip building by Kyd's houfe, for Mr. Walker.
That is the General Kyd?-Yes it is; there is another hip, the Herefordhire, I an concerned in, and the Cafle Huntley, which is gow gone out to India.

You have fent out cordage then for the Caftle Huntley?-We fent out a proportion of the cordage, about one-third of the ufual quantity, not more than that, we fent out about three and twenty tons of cordage; if the bad been fitted in this country the would have required feventy.two tons, fo that it is not quite one third; and about the fame proportion for the General Kyd, the fame for the Herefordhire.

Is not the exportation of marine flores prohibited at prefent to India? -No.
Not by the Eaft India Company?-No; there is no difficulty in exporting cordage; you have, according to the AO of Parliannent, to offer it to the Navy Board.

Do the Eaft India Company give free permiffion to merchants who apply, to export cordage to India? - I believe they do, I never found the leaft difficulty.

How late have you applied?-I think laft feafon, not this.
You fend cordage out in the India Company's own thips?-Yes, and in other fhips too.

For the captains and officers? - No; for exportation.
In a confiderable quantity? - No, a very frall quantity indeed; I allude principally to that fent out for the lhips building in India, but I never undertood that there were any difficulties.

Have you any fpecial permifion from the Company on that account ?-No.
You applied for a general permifion to fend it out, and it was granted?- Yes; I am not aware that there was any £pecial application made.

Mr. Are you fure there was not ?-No, I cannot fay. pofitively there was not; but I William Sims. never, in my experience, recolled any difficulty in exporting cordage.

Can you take upon yourfelf to lay, you have frequently made general applications in the courfe of your experience, and never been refufed ?-I have.

Have you, in point of fact, exported much cordage in a general way? -There never has been much exported to India, except for the Eaft India Company; the demand was always very fmall, except through the India Company.

They ufed to export cordage as a flore for fale ?-Yes, as merchandize, as they did other goods.
Have you known any Eaft India-built thips come here rigged with Europe cordage? -I do not know that I ever faw one rigged with Europe cordage, but I am not in the habit of going on board of thofe fhips; if they take any Europe cordage at all, I fhould fuppofe they would prefer it for their ftanding rigging.

Was your reafon for building fhips in India, an idea that they could be built cheaper there than in this country?-Certainly.

And alfo, you took into confideration that they would be better fhips?-Certainly, that they would laft longer, the wood being fuperior. I know one inftance of their cofting more in India.

Lately ?-Yes, the Cafle Huntley; that is the only one that I know.
You have feen the account of the outfic of the Caftle Huntley?-Yes.
What quantity of Europe cordage was purchafed for her in India ? - We fent out about three and twenty tons for her; and I am not aware that they purchaled any other there; the reft was Indian cordage.

Did you fend out cables for her?-We did.
A complete fet?-No, fhe brought home two or three Indian cables.
Were.they made of hemp or of coir?-I did not fee them, and I do not know.
Then you do not know whether they were of Indian hemp or European hemp?I know they were Indian hemp, for the captain told me fo.

You mean, I fuppofe, that the captain told you that they were Indian manufacture, not that they were Indian hemp or coir?-That they were Indian manufactured; whether they were hemp or coir I do not know; I fhould think moft likely coir.

What is your opinion of coir as an article to make cordage of?-I never manufactured any, and do not know ; the general report of it is, that it is inferior, and, in frefh water very foon decays; but latterly they have tarred it, which in a great meafure preferves it.
Have you tried the Salfette and Concang hemp?-I have tried the Salfette; I do not think I have manufactured any of the Concang.

Are you aware of the quantity of that hemp that can be produced ?-Not of my own knowledge; I have underflood that a great quantity can be produced.
What do you think would be the relative value of that hemp to Ruffian hemp? It depends fo much on the fate of its cleaning.
In the ftate in which you have feen it?-I fhould think not more than half the value; about half the value.

What wafte would there be in cleaning it?--The wafte has been very great indeed ; what proportion I do not know.

Nor the expenfe of cleaning it?-I do not know; the houfe of Sharpe and Sons purchafed a large quantity and fold it to Government; but neither the wafte nor the expenfe of cleaning it, do I know any thing of,

Did you fee any of that hemp after it was fo cleaned?-I have, and manufactured fome of $i$.

What was your opinion of that hemp then ?-Very good; it was equal to Ruffian hemp.

Not better?-Not better.
When the Caftle Huntley came home, had the been. fitted out to that extent that the would have been if the had been in the Company's fervice, when the came from Bombay here ?-She was fitted very largely with fores in India.

But not equal to what the Company require? - I fhould think the was, particularly in cordage ; fhe brought home a great quantity of fare cordage.

Did fhe bring home a Company's cargo?-I do not know whether it was a Company's cargo or not.

How long does a fuit of cordage laft to a fhip ?-Running cordage is new every voyage.

What do you mean by a voyage? - A voyage to India and back again.
. How long does a fet of ftanding rigging taft ?-I think two fets of flanding rigging will laft the eight voyages generally.

Then if a thip fhould begin her voyage from India to England, and back again, where would the be to have her cordage renewed?-That wonld depend very much on circumftances; thips very often have new ftanding rigging on their third voyage, more generally on their fourth voyage.

If a flip being built new, and fitted with new cordage in India, fhould begin her voyage from India to England, and return there again, would the then want her running rigging renewed ?-Yes.

Of courfe then it would be renewed there? -I fuppofe it would.
Her ftanding rigging alfo would laft her a certain number of whole voyages? Yes; four whole voyages we may take as the average.

Then is the Committee to imply, fhe would have alfo to lave her fanding rigging renewed in India ?-I fhould think fo.
If the were in the Company's employ, would the have it there or here? -If fhe was in the Company's employ, fhe would have it here.

If European rope is ufed for ftanding rigging, would the be likely to get it here or there ?-I fhould think moft likely here, becaufe they have their general fitting here.

Whether the took her rigging in India, would depend on the ftate in which the was?-No doubt of it. I was going to ftate, that fometimes a fhip wants her new Atanding rigging upon her third voyage, fometimes not till her fifth voyage; it muft depend on the weather the meets with.

When a hip is built for the India Company, and receives a certain proportion of her rigging in India, is not it ufual for her, when ihe comes to England, to be entirely refitted with European cordage?-No, not till the old cordage is worn out.
Have you been acquainted with the number of thips that have come from India to this country ? -Not a great deal ; I have been only on board a few.

Do not you know almoft every lndia-built hhip that comes here requires, before fhe leaves the Thames again, a certain quantity of cordage?-I have underftood that the fhips belonging to individuals in India bring fores with them to refit them in this country ; but hips that are employed by the Eaft India Company certainly refit in this country with Britifh cordage. The quantity of cordage taken from this country is very fmall, as the lhips bring cordage with them, I underfand; and I have known the fact to be fo in feveral.

What fhould you call taking a very fmall quantity ?-A quarter part of what is taken by other hips employed by the Eaff India Company.

Have you ever been in the habit of fupplying cordage to other thips than thofe of the Eaft India Company? -Yes, I have fupplied to a few Eaft India Chips.

Do you know anything of the Lord Melville? $-I$ do not.
Do you know what burthen fhe was :-I do not.
You have flated, that an 800 ton fhip would require fifty tons of cables and cordage? -Yes, when new.

And that fifty tons would coft what? - 4,500 . at the prefent price.
You cannot fay what it would have been in $1802-3$, can you? -No, I cannot.
You have faid, that the India Company's fmall hhips in their fervice do refit themfelves with cordage here?-They are refitted here; I do not know that the Eaft India Company compel them to refit here; I am not aware of any abrolute neceffityThey muft have a certain number of new cables here certainly, by regulation of the Eaft India Company.

And not from any obligation they are under by the terms of their charter? -Yes.

Do you know how much, by the terms of their charter, they oblige the fhips in their fervice to take in of cordage here ?-The quantities I have given you before, I have compared with the quantities allowed by the Company.

You have faid, that if a fhip flould be built new in India, and fitted with new cordage there, and began her voyage from-India to England, and return back again, the would require to be refitted with cordage when fhe got back there?-She would require new running rigging when the got back there, and various other things-new cables.

But if the fhould be taken into the Company's fervice here, fhe would be fitted with thofe articles here?-She would.

Mr. Without making her voyage back to India?-Yes.
Then they would be only half worn out?-Certamly; but they are obliged to have a certain number of half-worn cables, as well as new.

Such then would be the practice with India-built fhips in the Company's fervice? -It would.

But if a great part of the Indian trade Thould come to be carried on in private thips, and not in fhips taken up by the Company, do you think that they would make that renewal of their running rigging here, or wait till they had completed their voyage back to India?-I think they would renew it in India, becaufe it is fo much cheaper in India than here.

Would its being half worn out only when it came here, be a reafon for deferring the renewing of it till her return to India? -The cordage would be rove again in this country when the went out upon her voyage from this country, and worn out of courfe; fome of the running rigging would be worn out before it arrived there, on which account fhips take out fores to replace it.

Do you think they would replace it then with flore they brought out for that purpofe, or with the cordage made here?-I fhould think with the Indian cordage; that they bring as ftores.

Do you think it likely, if great part of the Indian trade fhould come to be carried in private fhips, that the private fhips would be led by their intereft to obferve the fame regulations of fupplying themfelves with European cordage, which the thips taken up by the India Company do?-I hould think if it was carried on by private individuals, they would fupply themfelves where they could get it cheapeft, which would be in India.

What reafons have you to fuppofe that the cordage is cheaper in India than in England?-It feems a notorious fact.

What do you mean by feeming a notorious fact?-That Indian hemp is fo much cheaper than Ruffian hemp, of courfe, cordage muft be fo much cheaper.

Have you feen any of that cordage made from Indian hemp in India ?-Yes, I have feen fome.

In a large quantity ?-No, not a large quantity.
What fort of cordage was ir?-What I faw, was fome topfail tyes; I think cordage about four and a half inches in circumference.

That is what they call running rigging?-It is.
Have you not feen any ftanding rigging of that hemp?-No.
Nor cables?-I think not; I have feen coir cables.
Do you mean coir or hemp, or do you know one from the other ?-Yes; I know 'Sun from hemp, but I do not mean Sun; I mean Salfette, or other hemp.

If cordage goes out for fale in India, mult not it coft mote than cordage made in India?-Certainly; the freight, the duty and expenfes generally upon it, mult increafe the price; there is no drawback whatever upon it.

When you fpeak of the comparative prices of India cordage and Britih, do you take into account the durability alfo?-I do not particularly.

Then you do not confider whether the Britifh cordage, being infinitely ftronger than Indian cordage, being dearer in the firft inftance, is cheaper in the end?-1 am clearly of opinion that the Indian cordage is the cheapeft.

Upon the whole?-Upon the whole.
Then if it is cheapeft upon the whole, can you account for the pracice of fending out the whole of the cordage for a large fhip, which is to be built in India, from Europe ?-I am not aware of fuch a thing having taken place.

If it were ftated to you, that within a year or two that had been the cafe, would not you think it very extraordinary? -I do not know the fact.

Do you know that was not the cafe with the Earl of Balcarras?-I have no snowledge of the Earl of Balcarras.

Are there any other exporters of cordage befides yourfelf?-There are feveral others.

Whofe bufinefs is more general, and not confined to the fupply of India fhips? There are feveral other exporters to India.

You have faid that the India-built fhips fupply themfelves with their fpare ftores when they come to this country? - I have underftood fa.

Muft not they replace thofe fpare ftores again?-They may bring a fufficient quanuity for their whole voyage.

Confidering the chief freight of goods to be from India, do you confider they would
would bring more ftores than neceffary ?-I fhould think they would bring a fufficient quantity of flores for the voyage; but this is mere matter of opinion.
You think they would not rely upon any fupply?-Certainly not at the prefent prices.
Your prices are remarkably high now then?-They are high now; we have had cordage at eight-and-twenty pounds, or thirty pounds a ton in this country, and it is now ninety pounds.

If cordage were again reduced to that price, what effect do you think it would thave?-I do not think we are ever likely to fee it at that price.

But if it Thould be at that price, what would be the effect; would they then be able to fupply themfelves here cheaper than in India?-1 fhould think Indian hemp would always be cheapeft ; but it is mere opinion.

You do not know the coft of Indian hemp in India ?-I do not.
Did you underfand that thofe people who brought home India hemp, made an advantageous fale of it in this country? - I do not know that they did.

Have you heard to the contrary?-No; I have not heard one way or the -other.

Abel Chapman Efq. called in; and examined by Mr. Adorpius.
I underfand you are an elder brother of the Trinity Houfe?-I am.
Are you alfo an owner of fhipping ?-I am, to a confiderable extent.
Are any of your fhips under charter to the Eaft India Company? -Yes.
Will you name the ihips that are fo, and their burthens?-1 have one large fhip of 1,400 tons, now failed with the laft fleet for China, named The Thames.

Any other?-I have none other at this time except the Thames; I have had concerns with the Eaft India Company, ever fince the year 1782.
Hiave you been long acquainted with the port of London, and the general bufinefs of thip-building there? -A great many years; for five-and-thirty or forty years.

Are you acquainted with the bufinefs, in a general way, of the fhip-builders on the Thames?-To a very great extent myfelf, and my family connexions have at leaft 10,000 tons of hipping,

Have thofe builders principally fupported their eftablifhments by the building of nhips for the Eaft India Company ?-Very materially fo ; the principal yards almoft entirely f 0 .

Have thofe eftablifhments depended in any confiderable degree upon building: fmall veffels for the general trade of the country?-Not to a confiderable degree; they do occafionally build hips for the Weft India and other trades.

If the trade between Great Britain and India and China, were to be carried on in India-built fhips, could in your judgment the fhip-building eftablifhments on the Thames be maintained to the extent they are now?-I fhould confider it would be impoflible to enter into competition with the Indian-built fhips; here the price of labour, from a variety of caufes, preffing fo much upon the artificers, it would be impoffible to enter into competition with thofe who pay no taxes, and have every advantage for no confideration.
You have never been in India, 1 prefume?-I have not.
Then whether they pay taxes or not, you can only know from common report? Certainly.

My queftion to you was not, whether the London builders and the Indian builders could enter into competition, but whether if the trade were carried on by the Eaft India Company in India-built fhips, the builders on the Thames could carry on their eftablifhments to the extent to which they do now?-They certainly could not; my opinion is, that a fhip built in India, and immediately receiving a freight for Europe, would have a great advantage over a hip that goes almoft without a freight, as the fhips do from hence, and receiving no remuneration till the has been to India and come back.

Do you know, that in time of war, thofe yards upon the Thames have furnihed a confiderable number of fhips of war to Government ?-Certainly; they have built a great number of fhips of war for Government, and repaired to a great extent; the doubling fytem, I believe, was carried on entirely in them.

If in confequence of the removal of the building, the large fhips for the Eaft India Company, the great eftablifhments on the Thames are reduced, will they be as able as they have been formerly, to render fervices to the public upon any great

Mr. William Sims.
emergency?-Certainly not; I have the honour of being a Director of the Eaft India Dock Company, and on a vifit I made to the Eaft India Docks laft, week, with great pain I obferved the whole of the great yard at Blackwall without an artificer enployed, where many hundreds were employed a few months ago; blackfmiths and all kind of artificers, not a fingle perfon, not a labourer or perfon of any defcription was employed.

> Committec.]-Whofe yard was that ?-Sir Robert Wigram's.
> Where many hundreds had been employed a few months ago ?-Many hundreds.

Mr. Adolplus. 7 -If thofe yards which have hitherto been in the pradice of building large fhips for Government, hould turn themfelves to the building of fmall fhips for other trades, would they then in future be equally able as they have been formerly, to build fhips of a large fize for Government ?-If the eitablifhment is kept up, fo as to employ the artificers, of courfe, they would be forthcoming for any emergency on which they would be required by Government.

Would the building the fmaller fhips that are likely to come to thofe perfons, be fufficient to keep up their eftablihments?-I fhould think it very doubtful indeed, becaufe they have depended very much upon the building of large-Indiamen.

If they were reduced to build funaller thips only, would it be prudent in them to keep up lips and docks capable of undertaking large fhips for Governmenr?-I thould think, that if the trade between India and Great Britain was carried on in India-built hhips, it would be an annihilation to the trade of thofe yards in a great meafure.

Then would they, as prudent men, alter their llips and docks to fmaller fizes? The fyftem is fo new, that it is impoffible for me to anfwer the queftion, as the yards have fo materially been engaged in building lhips for the Eaft India Company.

If the Eaf India Company become owners of India-built fhips to an extent of tonnage equal to their own trade from India and China, is it your judgment that individuals who may be difpofed to engage in the general trade to India, will build Ihips in India or Great Britain for that purpofe? -Certainly, they will build where they can get the cheapeft and beft fhips, which I apprehend to be in India, to the prejudice and detriment of the mother country.

- If the Ealt India Company become owners of India-built hips to an extent to carry on their own trade between this country and India and China, is it likely that the building of any other clafs of thips will enable the eftablifhments for building thips on the Thames to be kept up to their prefent extent?-I beg leave to fay, that the Company are at this time owners of one or two fhips, and I believe they Thave it in contemplation to extend it; the principal yards upon the river Thames have been principally employed in building fhips for the Eaft India Company's fervice; if they lofe that trade I confider they lofe their ftaple, whether any other may turn out, I think is perfectly uncertain.

Does the repair of thofe thips alfo afford a great deal of employment in thofe yards?-Certainly, to a very great extent.

Is it your opinion that the repairing would follow the building, that they would be repaired where built?-Certainly, if they could be repaired cheaper, which they can be in India; the India-built flips will be repaired in India on their return to India, and not in this country.

Committee.]-Do you know that they can be repaired cheaper in India? -I fhould apprehend very materially fo, from the difference in the price of labour.

Mr. Adolpbus.]-If a fhip is built of teak or any other Indian wood, is it preferable to repair her with that wood, or with oak or any other wood which did not enter into the original build of that veffel?-Certainly, with the wood of which fhe is built.

You have had fhips built on your own account?-Yes.
Did you ever know, or did you ever apprehend any difficulty or delay in building them for the want of timber?-Never; I can build now to any extent.
Large fhips? Large fhips of 1,400 tons, I would undertake myfelf, upon the profpect of fair and honourable remuneration, to build at an inftant.

Have you any concern in the bufinefs of 亿hip-building, either in Great Britain or in India? $-N o$, I have nut.
. Did you ever build any thip in India, with a view to employing it in India trade ? -No.

Have you any knowledge of the average duration of an Englih-built thip emloged in the India trade? -Perhaps I have a very fingular evidence upon that ubject. I do underfand that it has been afferted, that Britifh-built thips do not aft above a certain number of years. My father built a fhip of 400 tons meafurement in the year 1745, which lafted till ten years ago. I have a thip now that brought a cargo from Bengal laft year, which was built originally in 1777 , therefore The is 37 years old, and on that voyage there was no cargo damaged. She is a Britifh-built Chip.
Were thofe chips you have mentioned generally employed in the trade to India ? -That Chip has made three voyages for the India Company, two to India, and one to China; and was not pumped the whole of the China voyage.

## Committee.]-What is her name?-The Chapman.

Mr. Adolpbus.]-Where is that hip now ?-She is, I believe, between the Cape and the Mauritius.

Upon an Indian voyage?-No, the is in the fervice of Government.
Where was this thip of 400 tons built ?-At Whitby, in Yorkthire.
Was the built by contract ?-She was built by contract, certainly; not by .Speculation.

Committee.]-Where was the other thip built?-The fhip built in 1777, was built at Whitby likewife.

Mr. Adolpbus.]-Thofe are frong, but rather fingular inftances; do you know the average duration of thips employed in the India trade?-Till the new principle of Eaft India-built fhips was known in England, we did not think anything fuperior to Britifh heart of oak ; and if our fhips were kept in repair they would laft for ever: If a fhip is defective, and you take out the defective part, and replace it with found and good, you maintain that hip.

Then are you enabled to fay, that all the trade to India and China may very well be carried on in Britihn-built Jhips?-I think I give proof of that, when I fay, I have had Britifh-built fhips go to China and back, without being pumped.

I mean to alk, whether it is your judgement, that in the duration of the mips, and in the quantity of fupply, the trade may eafily be carried on in Britifh-built fhips? -To any extent, certainly.

## [Grofsexamined by Mr. Spankie.]

You have been long acquainted with the building of fhips in this country ? - I have.
I think you Itated that the fhips would laft for ever, upon fome principle?-If they are kept in good repair; I believe The Royal William was lately in the Navy, and was condemned fome very fhort time ago.

You ftated, that in your opinion, the advantage of an homeward cargo to the India-built Mips was fo great, as to countervail any advantage which the Britifh-built frips could have in the India trade ?-Certainly.

Would not a thip which comes home with a cargo, firlt from India, likewife go out with 2 proportional fmall cargo, upon returning to India?-But the would previoully have taken advantage of a good freight.

Are you acquainted with the advantage of a freight home, in the firft inftance? No, I am not, becaufe I never had a thip under fuch circumftances.

So that you fpeak merely from information on that fubject?-I fpeak from pofitive fact, that if the is to receive her freight ditectly on coming to England, the has an advantage over a veffel that is to make a voyage out and home firft.

Is not a voyage from England to India compounded of the freight out and the freight home?-No, the Company do not pay their freights antil the arrival of the thips in this country, except a fmall impreft.
Then you feak now of chips in the fervice of the Company?-Yes, going out from Great Britain to India.

But fuppofing the trade to be carried on by individuals, would the fame relatire 3dvantage continue?-From India to England, I fhould apprehend a very great advantage would be derived by the perfons who load their cargoes, and have an opportunity of providing their cargoes in India, and coning directly to Great Britain, over any fhip that would go from England to India and back again.

## Abel Chapman

 Efq.Is that ari advantage arififig fromi the nature of the fhip, or from the nature of the commercial tranfaction generally? - If a Britifh-built fhip happens to be in India by any means; having got there without expence, fhe would have the fame advantage as an Eaft India-built thip.
Have youfluch expetience of the nature of general fhip-building in the tiver; as to fay whether it would be probable that the prefent effablifhments could be adapted to that fpeciés of fhip-building which is of an inferior kind ?-Certainly; fips atd yards that are equal to building men of war, can build any thing, down to the fmalleft veffel.

Do you know how long the practice of building fhips for Government in the merchants yards has prevailed?

How many years? ?-I cinnot fay, but the merchants yards have built men of war ever fince I can remembèr, and long before.
But I afk you with reference to an increafed quantity of building of that kind 3 The public fervice required all the affiftance it could poffibly get from the private yards during the late war.

Do you know whether the practice of building thips of war for Government, has led in any confidetrable, degree to the incteafe of the eftablifhments of the fhip-builders in the river?-Of courfe, while they wefee employed for Government, more artificers would be required; but their being employed by the India fhips confideted themfelves independent of any demand for the public fervice.

You have anfivered that queftion chiefly with reference to the number of artificers; 1 ank it alfo with reference to the number of docks and flips they have?-They are on fol large a fcale that they may be appropriated for building fhips for Government in timine of exigency as well as carrying on the building for the Eaft India Company's fervice.

You fay you have been long acquainted familiarly with fhip-building in the River; I afk you whether from your own knowledge you can ftate, whether fince the prac-' tice of building for Gorernment has prevailed in the Thames to a confiderable extent, the eftablifhments of the builders, as to docks and flips, have increafed with that increafed demand ?-1 do not know that they have, they depended principally. upon the building of Eat India thips.

Then you do hot know whether in faet they have inctealed, within the latt fifteen fears of nof?-I am not fo familiarly acquainted with the extent of their yards, as to know whether they have built additional flips or not,

You fate, that [you are acquainted with Mr. Wigram's yard ?-Perfectly well; 1 have done a great deal of bufinefs in that yard for a great number of years.

Can you ftate with refpett to that yard, whether it has been increafed or not within the laft fifteen years ? - I do tot think it has.

Do you know anything of Mr. Barnard's yard ? -I know it.
Do you know whether upon the whole he has a greater or a lefs number of docks and flips now, than he had fifteen years ago?-I cannot anfwer the queftion exactly, but I do not think he has.

Do you know Mr. Brent's yard?-Yes; I do ; but not fo well as the others.
Then you do not know whether his have increafed ?-No; but I fhould not apprehend they have; thofe yards you mentioned were always on a large fcale.
Conimittec.]-You are not atware whether any of thole eftablifhments have been made at fuch a time, as to lead you to believe that they have been made in contemplátion of the great increafed bulinels of Governurnt?-I cantot anfwer that queftion exactly.

Mr. Spankie.]-You fated that you had never known any fcarcity of fhipe building timber?-Never.

You have known the price of timber fluctuate very greatly ?-I have.
And increafe? - Yes, of courfe, the demand for Government has been fo great during war, but I know to a certainty it is reduced now very much.

- Then the demand for Government during the war has caufed a great confumption of fhip-building timber?-Certainly.

And you afribe the high price to that confumption? - Very much fo indeed.
Have you any accurate idea of the prices during the laft ten years? -No, I have not; I know that the prices are falling yery much.

There is a fufpenfion of building ae the prefent moment?-There is no building. at all.

Is that at all affected by the profpett of peace ?- There being no demand.
Is it likely orders flould be fufpended under a profpect of peace by the private merchants?-Certainly, till the price of labour and materials and every thing finds its level.

You have flated, that in your opinion the trade between this country and India would be carried on entirely in fhips built in India, becaufe they are cheapeft; have you any perfonal knowledge of the expence of thip-building in India?-No, I have not ; but I have feen many of the teak-built hips.

Have you any perfonal knowledge of the expence of the materials?-No; I have not.

Have you any perfonal knowledge of the price of labour in India, particularly for fhipwrights?-1 have no perfonal knowledge.

Have you any perfonal knowledge of the taxes that are paid by the natives in that country to any body?-No, I have not.

## [Re-examined by Mr. Ado! $b$ bus.]

Your attention was called to the profpect of peace; you have feen the termination of two or three wars before this?-Yes, I remember the American war well

Did you ever fee, upon thofe occafions, the yards on the Thames fo defitute az they are at this time?-No, I do not recollect any thing like it.

The price of timber pou fay is advanced, and you believe in confequence of the great demand from Government ?-Moft certainly the demand for the article will increale the price.

But not by artificial means, or any fcarcity ?-I fhould apprehend the market was perfectly fair for any competition.

You were fpeaking of the freight being an advantage to the India-buitt fhip; if I undertand you righty, the freight from this country to India does not produce. much profit?-Not in the Company's fervice; becaule they do not pay the freight till the thip returns; the ufual mode is to advance a fmall interelt upon the freight and the captain to be allowed a certain fum by the Company in India or China, but no abfolute freight paid till the return of the flip.

Does the freight from this country to India produce an equal profit to that which is produced from India to this country?-By no means; the cargo is taken out freight free for the Company, they only pay on the homeward voyage; there is an extra tonnage they pay upon the outward voyage.

The freight is brought home in that way for the Company?-It is brought home at perton.

It is therefore that you fay, that it is a great advantage to begin with your flip by 2 voyage from India?-I hould fuppofe fo certainly.

## [Examinod by the Committee.]

You feem to fuppofe that a fhip coming from India can always get freight?-To judge from my own mode of conducting bufinefs, I thould not build a hip in India, anlefs I was fure of getting her employed.

Then you mean to fay, that an Ladia-built hip would only have the advantage which you have flated over a Briuilh-built frip, when fue can get a freight home? -The grear trade from India to Europe is fuch, that the chance is, that the would be employed.

Can you of your own knowledre flate that India fips coming here, have al:ways or even generally come upon freight ?-No; they generally come with cargoes, whether it is upon freight or upon a ipeculation of the owner, I cannot fay; but they have the advantage of bringing a cargo to Europe.

Do you happen to be able to fay from your own knowledge, whether thple cargoes fo brought have always fold for a profit ?-I take for granted that merchants always trade for a profit.

It is merely matter of fuppofition in you, that they have produced profit?-I cannot fay, not being concerned in them.
You never heard of confiderable lofs by importers on fpeculation in their cargoes? -I do not recolleat ; there may, as in all other fpeculations.
Do not you know there are feveral veffels now lying in the River, which came home in rice, which cannot be fold ?-1 have heard of tome of them.

Are they not unprofitable ?-If they cannot go to a market, they are.
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How then was it that you gave the Committee an opinion, that the India Chips muft have always an advantage from the import cargo ?- I draw my conclufion from the ufual mode of carrying on the trade from India, that they always are paid on their arrival here with the produce of their cargo from India.

Do you mean to ftate to the Conmittee, that an India hhip coming from India is fure of a freight to Europe?-It is not ufual to fend fhips from England to India, without having previoully fecured an homeward bound freight.

But you were afked whether the India-built fhips always come here with a freight? -It is impoffible for me to fay that, becaufe I have not been in India; and I believe thole fhips generally belong to the houfes of agency, and they make ufe of their own judgment as merchants.

You did not mean to reprefent that as an advantage which an India thip was fure of obtaining, but as a matter of contingency which the might or might not obtain ?-I certainly mean to fay, that fhe has a better chance.

Do you know any intance, of India-built fhips coming from India, arriving in ballaft?-No.

Have you been in the habit of obferving the yards occafionally, fince the year 1805 ?-Yes, conftantly.
Since the year 1805, till within the laft fix months, did you ever fee them fo much employed?-They certainly have been well employed, becaufe Government have kept them very much employed; feveral large frigates have been built to act againf America during the laft few months.

From your knowledge of thofe yards, and your knowledge alfo of the extent to which building for the India Company has ufually gone, are not the eftablifhments in flips and men confiderably larger than would be neceffary for that trade only?I really am not able to anfwer the queftion more than I have done; I do not think they are much increafed of tate years; I think they are much the fame as they were many years ago.

Comparing the common average building of the Eaft India Company, as you have known it for the laft twenty years, with the extent of the eftablihment as they flood fix months ago, were not they confiderably larger, both in point of flips and men than was neceffary for that trade?-I thould apprehend the time they carried on the building for Government, that certainly more men were employed than ufual; but if the whole of the fhipping employed in the Eaft India trade was to be confined to the mother country, 1 do not think more men were employed then than would be neceffary for the conducting that bufinefs.
Did you ever know to the extent of 17 fhips of 1,200 tons burthen being built in the courfe of two years? - Yes, I think 1 do.

For the Company? -I know there were a great number built in the years 1794, 1795, and 1796.
Was that owing to any particular circumftances, that you recollect?-It was owing to a defire on the part of the Company to build large fhips, particularly for the China trade, which has anfwered incalculably well.

Then it arofe from an alteration which took place in their mode of building, and was not to be confidered as the general average of the building? -I fhould apprehend, it arofe materially from the demand the Eaft India Company had for tonnage for the trade to China.
You think you remember as many as 17 being built ?-I do not know the number at all.

Suppofe there were in addition to that feven or eight 74 's, do you think the eftablifhments would be fufficient for that ?-I think the means that the mother country poffeffes to be fo extenfive, that we could build fhips for the whole of Europe; we have a firit and a capital.

The Committee wifh you to confine yourfelf to thofe eftablifhments you know in the River; could they build feven or eight 74 's annually, in addition to the number of Indiamen which have been built there annually ?-I am not capable to anfwer the queftion;

But you know generally the great exertions that have been made in building for Government in the River?-Yes.

Then if the eftablifhments have been fufficient for building for the Eaft India Company, and for thofe great exerioons that have been made by Government in the River, are they not more than fufficient for the Eaft India Company only ? - If I may be permitted to give an opinion, the extent of the trade to India is fo great,
and has fo increafed, that if the mother country had all its natural advantages of building and carrying on that trade, I do not think they would be too extenfive.

Do you mean to include in that anfwer, by faying the whole trade to India, more than the whole trade of the Eaft India Company ? - I take in the private trade allo.
Then previous to the laft year, thofe eftablifhments were equal to the building for the Eaft India Company, and they were allo equal to the great exertions that have been made by Government in the River fiuce the year 1805; if they were fo, does it not appear to you that they were more than fufficient for the Eaf India Company folely?-I Chould apprehend that they do polefs the means, like all other artificers, do do that which is beyond their ufual habit of conducting their trade, and when called upon in time of war, can exert themfelves in a manner to aftonih the world; what was done in the laft war was confidered far beyond the extent of their means; but if they had what we call our natural trade, or our ufual natural Englifh trade, I have no doubt thofe yards would be very amply and fully employed.

Do you mean, that you think that the want of the demand by Government would be made up, if the India Company were to build all their fhips here as before? -That is matter of opinion; I do think it would have a very important and great effect.

Do you know the extent to which the India Company have built in India ?-I do not.
You will then have the goodnefs to flate, how you can form an opinion, that they would be able to give full employment to the hip-builders, by building in this country?-Becaufe I fee a great number of large hips conftantly coming in from India every feafon, built in that country.

Will the admiffion of India-built fhips to the trade between this country and India, affect the property of thofe, who have a property in Britifh-built flips at prefent t-Moft affuredly.

You ftate, you have 10,000 tons of that thipping ?-Myfelf and my conneations with me.

The property in that will be very confiderably affected ?-Of courfe, the property of all Britilh-built fhips will be materially deteriorated, by the introduction of Mhips built of teak and materials more lafting than the Englifh oak.

Do not you mean the river Thames, when you talk of the mother country?-No, I mean every port in the united kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

Do you know of any fhips now trading to India, in the Ealt India Company's employment, that were not built in the Thames ?-Yes; there are feveral that were built at Ipfwich, and other ports.

Any large regular fhips ?-Ships of 700 tons.
But what is called a regular fhip?-There is a diftinction, which the Company make in their contract.

You fate that you recollect the conclufion of the war in 1782, and the conclufion of the war in 1802; that you never recollected fo little employ in the yards as at prefent?-I do not recollect any period at which they were fo perfectly unemployed as at prefent.

Is the price of materials and labour the fame as at thofe periods?-The advanced price of articles of the firft neceffity is felt by the artificers, and the wages are advanced accordingly.

Is not that a reafon which prevents the flips being built at this time ?--I fhould apprehend that the building fhips in India mult have a material effect on the building of the fhips in the river Thames, and all other Britifh ports; I think the expenfes of the country, occafioned by the war, and a variety of other things, has altered the value of money materially.

Is not that the caufe which prevents the fhips being built in the river Thames? No, I do not think it is.

Since the trade to India has become an open trade, do you think that the prefent eftablifhments for flip-building for the India trade in the River, are likely to be found more than fufficient ?-I fhould think that they would be very full of employment, and likewife the Out-ports, as fhips of 350 tons are by the late bill allowed to go to India,
You have faid that fome fhips have come home with cargoes on fpeculation from India?-I underftand fo ; and fometimes they turn out profitable, and fometimes, like the cargo of rice alluded to, very much the contrary.
(72.)
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If a cargo is brought home on fpeculation, and it fhould turn out to be a loling fpeculation, is not the freight fitil brought to account for the benefit of the owner of the fhip ?-Of courfe the freight is a part of the concern to a merchant owning both thip and cargo; the whole is one concern, I apprehend.

And in thofe cafes you have heard of, have you heard that the owner of the fhip was the merchant owning the whole of the cargo brought home on fpeculation? -Not having been concerned in any fuch tranfaetions, I cannot fpeak particularly to it; but if chip and cargo were one property, I apprehend they would be taken into one account.
If the owner of the fhip thould only be participator in the cargo, and it fhould turn out a lofing feeculation, would the owner of the fhip lofe only half the freight-age?-That depends on the contract he makes with the merchant; if he made a contrad to receive fo much per ton, on the arrival of the cargo in Great Britain, he would of courfe receive that freight.

In what you have faid of the freight out and home, you allude to the flate of things which has exifted previous to the 1oth April? -I allude to the prefent mode of conducting the Eaft India Company's bufinefs.

You have alluded to the Private Trade, as conducted through the Eaft India Company ? - When I fpoke of Thips being freighted by the Eaft India Company.

You do not refer to the flate-of things that will take place under the new Aat ? It is impofible to give an opinion upon that.

You have ftated that you are poffeffed of two thips built at Whitby; do you conceive that they can build as well in the country as they can in the Thames? The thips built in the Thames have always had the preference, but I think they can build as good fhips as can be in the country ; and Captain Cook, the great circum. navigator, preferred a Whitby-built thip to any other.

Do they build much cheaper in the country than in the Thames?-They certainly do.

Then if the fhips are as good and cheaper, is it certain that if you exclude the India-built fhips from this country, the building Irdia fhips would take place in the Thames? -IE is like all other competition, it would find its level; I do not think the profits here are higher than in the country, but that the high price of Jabour of courfe makes them more expenfive than the thips built in the country.
Have not the Eaft India Company of late years engaged their fhips to go eight voyages inftead of four? - Yes, they have.

Will not that have a confiderable effec upon the fhip-building for their fervice? The lhips have been under the rieceffity of being doubled, and repaired at a great expenfe, to enable them to perform thofe voyages.

In confequence of that, they will have a lefs number of thips than formerly? Certainly, the longer hips are enabled to go in the fervice, the fewer will be wanted.

It will have the effect of reducing them to one half, if they perform double the number of voyages?-They certainly will laft fo many years longer, and perform fo many more voyages; if inftead of four they perform eight voyages, it will have the effect of reducing their number to half, certainly; the whole of their repairs are performed by Britifh fubjects, paying Britifh taxes.

You fay, you are the owner of the thip. Thames?-I am.
What voyage is the now upon?-Upon her eighth voyage.
Upon her firlt or fecond voyage the had a repair at Bombay? -She went into dock at Bombay, fhe fprung a leak at Bombay, and was taken into the dock yard.

Do the thips now built in the Thames laft as long as thofe built formerly?Yes, I think quite as long.

How long do you think the Thames fhip will laft?-The Thames is as tight and flaunch, and as good in' every, sefpect, as the was the firf day fhe went to fea.

Then you expect her to be taken up again by the Company?-That depends upon the policy of the Cpmpany, and the flate the thip is in after fle returns from her prefent voyage.

Are you aware that the builders now fubftitute elm inftead of oak, in fome parts of a hip? - I believe the keel and fone parts under water are confidered to be made as good of elm as of oak.

Do you confider it will laft as long?- I confider it will laft quite as long, and as far as relates to the keel is better than aak.

You have ftated the advantage of fhips bringing home cargoes; if a fhip comes from Quebec, will not the have that advanitage alfo?-If the is built at Quebec; the fhips built at Quebec are very rotten bad veffels, and the India-built lhips are very good ones.

If a fhip in the Private Trade commences her voyage in India, and has the advantage of a freight home, mult the not atfo return, and have the difadvantage of no freight, or a fmall freight to India ?-It may happen that the is fent here for the purpofe of remittance.

Then for the vopage round, it would be the fame thing whether it commenced in England or India, provided the goes to and from?-She will not go from this country without having previoully very much reduced her original value, if the bas made a good freight.

A hip from England goes out without freight, and comes home with full freight; an India fhip comes home with a full freight, and goes out with a fhort freight; on the voyage round, what would be the difference? - The advantage is in favour of the Eaft India fhip coming from India, becaule the has reduced her value in one half the time that the other has; the Britioh-built hip muft go to India and come back, before fhe receives her freight; and the other receives her freight on her arrival in England.

Does not fhe increafe her coft, by returning to India on a voyage that is unpro-fitable?-One great point is gained, which is, the previous reduction of the capital.

Will not the capital be again increafed by the expenfe of fitting her out?-The expenfe of the outfit bears no proportion to the reduction the capital has already received.
What may have been the outfit of the Thames for any voyage? - Very large indeed; it depends on circumfances; I cannot exaetly flate, but very heavy, becaufe we repair in England, not in India: we do all at home.

If fhips come from India with a good freight, they would not incumber themfelves with ftores? - Certainly not with unneceffary ftores.

They would depend on fupplies in this country for what they wanted ?-That depends on whether the articles could be got cheaper in India or not.
The freight would come to fomething? -That is a confideration for the parties themfelves.

What grounds have you for fuppofing fhips may be built cheaper in India than in England ?-The great grounds 1 have to believe that, is, the preference that all people give to India-built flips, if they can get permifion to build them, to the deftruction of building thips here.

That has only been of late years?-No.
They have not experience on that point?-There is an evident partiality to Indiabuilt fhips; and very juftly fo, provided they can get them cheaper, and at the expenfe of the mother country.

Does it arife from the fhips being cheaper, or better?-Not having been concerned in India-built fhips, I cannot poffibly anfwer that queftion, further than the Britifh-built thips having anfwered every purpofe in carrying on the trade till the introduction of the India-built fhips, I fhould not refort to thofe India-built Thips, unleis it was the policy of the individuals to bring them here for their own benefit.
Do you know what number of hips the Eaft India Company have belonging to themfelves?-No, I do not exactly.

Do you know whether they have any other mip than the Grenville? $\mathbf{m}$ I think they have purchafed an India-built fhip lately.
Do you know her name?-No, I do not.
Have not the Company always had a hip or two of their own ?-Yes.
So that they have no more now than ufual?-I do nor know that they have.
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## IV.

# Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

$$
\text { Luna, } 2^{\circ} \text { die Maii, } 18 \mathbf{x} 4 .
$$

SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

Mr. James Alexander, called in; and examined by Mr. Harmison.
$\stackrel{M r}{\text { Mr. }}$
${ }_{\text {James }}^{\text {Alexander. }} \mathrm{T}$ Believe you are a timber merchant?-Yes.

Where do you live?-At Eaton Bridge, in the county of Kent.
How long have you carried on the bufinefs of a timber merchant? years on my own account.

Was your father a timber merchant?-Yes.
And you were bred, I fuppofe, to that particular bufinefs by him?-Yes.
Whàt defcription of timber have you been employed to purchafe ufually?-Oak and elm, and beech and afh, and all Englifh timber.

When you fay all Englifh timber, your dealing has principally been in Englifh rimber, and not in foreign timber ?-No, I have no dealings in foreign timber at all.

Where have you principally purchafed oak?-In the counties of Kent, Suffex, and Surrey, fometimes in Effex, but principally in Suffex and Kent.

Can you give the Committee any idea of the average quantity you have purchafed within the laft twenty years, or any period of that fort?-From twelve to fifteen hundred loads in a year, and fometimes more; I fhould not wifh to ftate it too high.

- Somewhere about that quantity?-Yes, fomewhere thereabout.

For what yard in London have you for the laft thirty years bought timber principally ?-Meffrs. Wells \& Company, the fame firm as Wigram \& Green; fince Mr. Wells left it I have continued in the fame connection.

It was formerly the yard of Wells \& Co. and now it is Wigram \& Green's?-Yes.
And you have continued to fupply Wigram \& Green fince Mr. Wells left. off bufinefs?-Yes.
In what way have you been employed by them to procure timber; have you bought it yourfelf and fold it to them, or have you bought it on commiffion from them ?-I bought it on my own account, and fold it to them; I never had a commiffion from them in my life, nor from any one elfe.

As they have ufually employed you, you have been in the habit of buying the fort of timber you imagined they would want, and felling it again to them at the market price ?-Yes; and I believe the market price of the yards was generally ruled by the price that $\mathrm{Mr}_{\text {, Wells gave me; Mr. Wells ufed generally to make his }}$ agreement with me firft.

Have you ever found any difficulty in procuring large oak timber at the fair market price for that yard ?-Never, if any body could find money to pay for it I never found any difficulty in getting it.

I apprehend their demand has been at times confiderable?-Very confiderable, more fo than any other yard in the kingdom, as a private yard.

If Meffrs. Wigram \& Green wanted immediately a confiderable quantity of oak timber, to what extent could you fupply them?-I could fupply them to as great an extent as I ever did; but if I had known it a few months ago, I could have fupplied them to any extent; I have refufed a great quantity of timber; becaufe I did not know what to do with it.

Then am I to underitand, that if they had told you at the clofe of the laft year, that they wanted timber for this fpring, you could have got them any quantity they wifhed ?-Any reafonable quantity; any quantity they were ever likely to ufe at that yard.

Have you any means of forming a judgment as to the quantity of timber annually felled in Suffex and Kent?-I know the greateft part of the buyers in both counties, almoft to a man I hould think; and I thould fuppofe, from fifteen to twenty thoufand loads a year.

Committee.]-All forts of timber?-No, oak timber. I have fpoken as to oak ever fince the firf queltion.

Mr. Harrifon.]-What quantity of elm, in addition to the oak, upon an average, have you fent to that yard?-I do not know very well; fometimes I fend a great deal, and fometimes none at all; this year I have not bought any; Kent is not an elm county; laft year there was a great demand at Blackwall for elm; and I fent them in 400 loads, and I have not fent them any fince.

Is all the other timber of which you have been giving an account of fupply, oak? - Yes.

From the prefent ftate of timber in the counties of Suffex and Kent, what quantity do you conceive they could fupply annually for any number of years in future? -I fhould fuppofe nearly the fame quantity as they have done, becaufe the timber has been more faved within the laft twenty years than ever it was faved in the memory of man before; they are faving timber every where that they can do.

What do you mean by faving timber ?-To encourage the growth of it : they are encouraging the growth of it, and have been for the laft thirty or forty years.

When you fay generally, faving the growth of timber, do you mean encouraging its growth to a large fize, to a fize fit for veffels of great tonnage ?-Yes, certainly; where they are not obliged to cut down; there are many gentlemen want money, and are obliged to cut it down before it gets to a large fize; but there is a great deal of timber that would come to a large fize, provided they would let it ftand to grow to a large fize; and there is a great deal of timber likewife, that is got to a large fize; there are fome gentlemen in the county of Suffex, I fhould like to give them 100,000l. for what they have got upen their eftate to-morrow; the principal part of it large timber.

Will you give the names of any four or five gentlemen, who have got large fupplies of timber ?-There is Henry Wood, Efq. of Henfield; Sir Harry Goring, in the fame neighbourhood, has got timber to a very large amount; Mr. John Becket has a large quantity of timber; I have bought trees of him, for which I have given him 10l. a tree, of a fmall fize; he has larger timber than that fanding a great deal. What I have bought of him, is only what he has been culling from his largeft, taking out the fmalleft he had; his timber is generally large.

Taking out the fmall, with a view to the better growth of the large ?-I think the large timber has got its growth; and is getting worfe, to tell the truth.

If the building of large fh ips, requiring the largeft fized timber, was difcontinued in England, what in your opinion, would be the effect produced by that on the growth of large timber? -The effect of that would be, that gentlemen would not let their timber ftand to get large; if there was no market for it, they would not incumber their land with it; they would cut it down when it came to a proper fize for fmall fhips, becaufe then they could find a market for it.

Then, in your judgment, if the building of large fhips was transferred from this country to any other, the quantity of great timber would neceffarily decreafe?Certainly, there would be no encouragement to let it grow large.

What effect is produced upon the timber by letting it ftand after it has acquired what you call its full growth?-After ftanding a few years it gets into a defective ftate ; it will ftand fome years without being hurt, but if you let it fand too long after it has got its full growth, it will decay.

After that it goes off?-Yes, it goes off; there are what we call Woodpeckers, they will always let you know whether a tree wants to come down; they will get and peck holes in it; they will always begin on an old tree; they fometimes eat a foot into an old tree, and then the water gets in and it rots.

Is there a confiderable quantity in Kent and Suffex, of timber that has acquired its full growth?-Yes.

Now ftanding ? Now ftanding.

Mr. Is it neceffary to fell a confiderable quantity of oak timber every year for the fupply James Alexander. of bark ?-Certainly.

What defcription of timber is principally felled for that purpofe?-Oak tirnber.

What defcription of oak timber as to fize or quality? -Bark is good from the young timber as well as the old, and rather better.

Rather better from the timber that has not acquired its full growth for fhipping ? -It is what we call more flefhy, there is not fo much rofs on it; the flefh is the in. fide of the bark.

Have you ever purchafed for the Navy Board or the Ordnance?-I have ferved the Ordnance with fome timber, with fcantling timber and fpokes, and thofe things; but I never had any contract with the Navy Board, becaufe I had always to fupply Mr. Wells.

What defcription of timber was that?-I cut it off the timber, not off the large timber, becaufe the fcantlings have not been required to be large; it was in fcantlings and fpokes cut out ready for ufe.

From your knowledge, as a timber merchant and dealer in thofe counties, have you any means of telling the Committee what is the quantity of timber that has been ufually bought by the Ordnance? -The timber that has been bought by the Ordnance has been of the largeft defeription, nothing ever taken under fixty feet, from fixty upwards; and I bolieve by the laft contract, there was nothing taken under feventy; timber of the largeft dimenfions, which was fit for the Navy or any other purpofe.

Is timber above fixty and feventy feet calculated to build any defcription of large men of war?-Yes, they take nothing under that in their contracts, as much above, as you pleafe to fend them.

Have improvements taken place within the laft twenty years in the aplanting and cultivating oak timber ?-Yes, and very great improvements indeed.

You ftated before, that more attention had been paid to the faving it; my prefent queftion is, whether more attention has been paid to the planting it and preferving. it in the young ftate?-Yes, the young timber is taken more care of now, and within a few years back, than ever I knew it taken care of before, by fencing it off to kecp the ftock from it; that has been a practice made ufe of within a few years, fince timber has got fo valuable, and every thing that ever was likely to make 2 tree atall was faved ; they have faved it not only from the acorn, but from the ftub.

When you fay faving from the ftub, do you mean that they fuffer fingle ftems to grow up?-Yes, they will pick out what is likely to make the handfomeft tree, and leave it upon the ftub.

Committec.]-Your account of all thefe procautions apply to the general prefervation of oak p-No, large improvements have taken place for thirty or forty years, and they have fenced it off for fifteen or twenty years.

Mr. Harrifon.]-You have ftated, that it is taken more care of in a young ftate; has a larger proportion alfo been planted of late years?-I believe in thofe counties very little; the natural growth of the country is fuch, they have no occafion to plant; the. country is fo full of oak with the acorn, that planting is not required.

Taking care of it in the earlieft ftages and in the future ftages, is all that is neceffary? - Yes; there is no occafion for any to be planted.

Have you any memorandum, from which you can give us the prices of oak timber fince 1793 and 1794 ? - I have.

Will you give us the prices in each year from that time; I ank for the ordinary market prices?-I have the account from 1794, nothing before that.

## [The witne/s delivered it in.]

Price of oak timber for the laft twenty years, of fixty feet metings.


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | E. s. | $d$. | Mr. <br> 1800 | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | 4 | 10 | 0 | James Alexander. |  |  |
| 1801 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 17 | 6 |  |
| 1802 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 1803 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1804 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 1805 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 1806 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 1807 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 1808 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 1809 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 1810 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 1811 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1812 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 15 | 0 |  |
| 1813 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 10 | 0 |  |

Committce.]-Is that founded on purchafes actually made by you?-Yes, on purchafes acually made by me for Meffrs. Wells; this is timber of 60 feet metings.

That is the timber ufed for the largeft defription of veffels?-Yes; in an agreement for 60 feet metings, we never deliver any thing under forty; what timber we deliver at forty goes at a fmall timber price.

Any thing under forty feet would not come into the meafurement of fixty feet ? Yes; it would come into the meafurement, but they would not take it ; if I fell 500 load of timber, and we call it fixty feet metings, we have a particular price; if it is more than fixty feet, we have an extra price; if it is fifty feet metings, we take off ten fixpences.
You mean by fixty feet metings, folid meafure?-Yes, cubit feet.
Sixty feet metings, is when out of a number of trees, no one of which meafures lefs than forty folid feet, the fum of folid feet contained in the whole being divided by the number of trees, will give you fixty feet as the average? -Yes; or if it gives you more or lefs, is fubject to be compenfated for by the difference of price.
That is to fay fquare meafure, and not round?-Yes, fquare meafure.
And by fquare you only leave out the convex part of the tree?-Yes.
You take the fquare contents of the whole tree?-Yes.
Is the addition of price wich you get if the meting fhould run above fixty feet, a per-centage which bears a proportion to the price at which the bargain was made for the whole lot?-No, it is a certain fcale there is in the River.

So that whether you bargain for a high or low price, for a fixty feet meafure, you would get only the rate which every body elfe gets on the River, fixpence a foot? Yes.

It is a fixed addition?-Yes, or a fixed deduction, if it is lefs; if the timber metes at fify-eight feet, there are two fixpences taken from the price; if timber is $5 l$. a load, at fixty feet metings, and the metings are only fifty-eight, then the price is 41. 19s.; fixpence a foot is taken off of every foot; if the metings are fixty-two feet, then it is $5 l$. I s .; and fo in proportion, higher or lower, upon the whole lot; if you fell fifty lots, it is all regulated by fixty feet meafurement.

If the meafurement fhould much excced fixty feet, do you get any further advance? -Only fixpence a foot.

Let the average meafure run ever fo high? - Never any more.
Suppofing the agreement is made at any given fum for fixty-feet metings; fuppofe it was to come to feventy-feet metings, then the whole would be five Chillings a load higher than it otherwife would be? -Yes; it is fixpence a foot; there is a flated price for the fixty-feet metings; you agree at fo much per load of timber; you have fo much for fifty feet, but you agree that the timber thall mete fixty feet.

For every foot the meting rifes above the average of fixty feet, fixpence per load is added to the contract price? -Yes.

Or deducted, if it falls below?-Exactly fo.
Mr. Adolpbus.]-Have you bad any oak timber offered you for fale this feafon, which you have declined to purchafe? - Yes, feveral lots.

Why have you declined?-Becaufe I did not know any market for them; nothing doing in the River; not a fingle fhip building. I have refufed a good many thoufand pounds worth of timber which has been offered me.

Do you mean by that, large timber, fit for the building of large flips? -Timber
 Fames Alexanare. I would not give it them; and they have been to me fince, and offered repeatedly
 and they are not cut. down ; they have advertifed them in a public paper, in the Lewes Journal, for fale; and they are not fold.

Has there, within your knowledge, been timber put up to auction in the counties of Suffex and Kent, this feafon, and not fold, for want of purchafers?-Yes, a :great deal ; I have bought one lot by private contract myfelf of 455 trees, that was .advertifed for public fale in four different lots; there was not a fingle foul to attend the fale of thefe trees but myfelf, therefore they could not put them up, having only one bidder.

Committee.]-Were thofe large trees?-Yes, fome very good trees; timber fit for any purpofes.

Fit for building the largeft fhips?-Yes, fome fit for planks, and many of them large market pieces.

Mr: Adolpbus.]-To what do you attribute the non-attendance of bidders at thofe auctions?-The reafon was, that they did not know, if they bought it, where to get a market for it. They have no market to look up to ; not a fingle fhip building; and there are many people in the timber trade who, when they are without a market, do not know how to buy timber, becaufe they do not know where to get the money, many of them.

## [Crofsexamined by Mr. Grant.]

You attribute the want of demand for timber, juft at the prefent moment, to the low fate of fhip-building in the River?-Yes.

Have you known, at different times, any variation in the demand for timber? I newer knew but what there was a market for it before in my life; I never was at a lofs to find a market for all the timber I could buy or have bought.

Do you mean to fay, that buying any quantity of it upon fpeculation, any amount however large, you were quite fure of always getting it off?-Yes; I never bought more than Mr. Wells would always take of me in' my life, let the quantity be what it would.

You bought in general, with a direat view to Mr. Wells"s yard?-Yes; becaufe they have always had plenty of work at that yard to do.

But you do not mean to fay, there have not been confiderable fluctuations in the demands for timber, both in Mr. Wells's yard and in other yards?-Certainly ; fometimes they want a great deal more than at other times, according to what they had in hand.
Have you any means of knowing what quantity Mr. Wells required at any particular time?-Yes; about three years ago, Mr. Wells had got feveral feventy-four gun fhips to build, and he gave me directions to buy all the timber I poffibly could, for they Thould have a demand for it all ; and they took all that I did buy.

And you bought largely in purfuance of that notice or order?-Yes.
How long has the prefent total want of demand exifted ${ }^{\text {? }}$-I fuppofe about eighteen months, or from that to two years; they have had very little to do; they had a great deal to do laft year in fir frigates; but they wanted very little oak indeed; and this year, what timber I have bought, I have bought entirely on fpeculation; they do not want an end of timber in the yard; they have fo much timber in the yard, they do not know where to ftow any more; and they have turned over a large quantity to the contractor for Government, which they were not in want of, and could not make room to take in.

Do you know whether the price has generally fallen within the laft eighteen months?-Yes, it has fell for two years running; we have been taking off ten hhillings 2 load two years ruinning; ten thillings à load on plank, and five fhillings a load on timber.

You mean to fay, timber is ten fhillings a load cheaper than it was two years ago ?-It was laft fpring; but I do hot know how much it may be cheaper now, becaufe I have had no opportunity of making a bargain.

Can you ftate, whether the price for two or three years before the year 1794, was lefs or greater than the price: which this account givés for that year? I think nearly about the fame price; but I did'not take out the account further back than twenty years; I do not recollect it at much lefs than $\boldsymbol{z}_{3}$.'Ios.; I think; orice $\mathscr{E}^{2} 3 \cdot 55$; but I am not quite confident.

- I underftand you to fay, that the timber in the counties of Kent and Suffex has generally been fold to the fhip-builders in the River?-It has been fold to timber merchants in the country; I fend a great deal into the North, but the large timber generally is fent to the River.

The large timber is generally fold to the timber merchants, by whom it is difpofed of to the builders in the River?-Yes, the large timber in particular.
Therefore, if at any time the demand for fhip-building in the River falls off for a feafon, the timber grown in thofe counties will not fetch a price?-No, we have no other market to look to, where large timber can be ufed.

Would there be no pofibility of difpofing of that timber to other fhip-builders in other parts of the kingdom? -Not large timber, they do not build large flips in any other part of the country.

If they.built large fhips in other parts of the kingdon,, would not that timber fetch its price in the market?-It certainly would fetch its price, but perhaps the: e would be a great expence in getting it there; it is an unufual thing for large timber to be wanted any where elfe but in the River.

Might none of it be ufed in the Government yards, even if the demands in the merchants yards were to fall off altogether?-Yes, it might be ufed there, but we muff fubmit to the price Government would give.

Are you able to fay, from your own knowledge, what has been the general quantum of the prices paid by Government for timber during the laft twenty years?-No, I cannot.

Do you mean to ftate, that within the laft twenty years the prices paid by Government per load, have been materially larger than the prices paid by the merchants?I really do not know, becaufe I have not had an opportunity of knowing their prices; they have made a contract with one or two houfes, and nobody elfe has an opportunity of knowing the Government price.

Committec.]-It never tranfpires, does it?-I never heard it.
In the contracts for timber do not Government advertife for what they want?They did, lome few years ago advertife, and Meffrs. Larkins \& Morrice, and Bowcher \& Hodges, two houfes in the timber line, agreed with them for feveral years to come at a certain price.

Mr. Grant.]-For how many years?-I think it was for three years in the firt inftance; and I believe now that two years have paffed over, they have renewed their contrad for three years more, fo that I believe there are four years to come.

At the termination of the firft three years was there any advertifing?-No, it was a private contract between them and the Navy Board; nobody knew there was any alteration made but themfelves.

Might it not be known generally, and not come to your knowledge? - The papers that the Government advertifements are in I am in the habit of feeing, and I muft have heard of it among nyy friends; fome of the gentlemen builders on the Thames muft have known it.

Committee.]-Do you know the fact; of your own knowledge, of this contract being continued?-No, I have never afked the contractors themfelves, though I am in habits of intimacy with them; I thought it a rude queftion to afk them; I know that nobody fends timber in to Government but thofe two houfes.

Mr. Grant.7-When you ftate, that the total want of a demand for timber by the fhip-builders in the River, would deftroy the market for the timber of the counties before mentioned, you mean merely, that it would deftroy the market, fo far as the merchants yards are concerned; and you mean to fay nothing with refpeat to the -effect of any demand on the part of Government for any timber ?-It is impoffible for me to fay that.

You fpoke merely with reference to the demand by the private flip-builders?Yes, the private timber merchants have no other market to look to but the fhipbuilders in the River.
You do not mean to fay that, as to all timber merchants ?-Yes, except thofe two houfes, the whole kingdom have no market for their large timber, except felling to thofe merchants who have the contraft for Government.

Your anfwer is made on the fuppofition that large chips are built only or chiefly in the River? - Yes,

[^3]```

\section*{-(100.)-}

An Account of the PRICES at which diferent Perfons have from time to time made Tenders for the fupply of OAK TIMBER for ufe of The Ordnance, for the laft Five Years; with the Names and Defcriptione of fuch Perfons refpectively:-Together with the Form of the Adveatiszment under which fuch Offers were made; and the Dates of their Offers.


An Account of the Prices at which different Perfons have made Tenders, \&c.-continued.


An Account of the Prices at which different Perfons have made Tenders, \&c.-continued.


An Accounf of the Prices at which different Perfons have made Tenders, \&c.-continued.


\title{
Form of ADVERTISEMENT for the Supply of ENGLISH TIMBER for Service of the Ordnance Department.
}

\section*{Office of Ordnance, 4 December 1811 .}

THE principal Officers of His Majefty's Ordnance, do bereby give notice, That propofals will be received at their Office in Pall Mall, on or before Monday the 2int day of December inftant, from fuch perfons as may be willing to undertake the fupply of Englifh timber required for the Ordnance fervice, for a period of three years, determinable at the expiration of the firft year, at the option of either party.

Defcriptive lifts of the articles to be fupplied, and further particulars, together with the terms and conditions of the contract, may be known upon application at the Secretary's office in Pall Mall aforefaid, any day between the hours of ten and four o'clock, where the propofals muft be delivered fealed up, and endorfed "Propofals for Englifh Timber;" but no propofal can be admitted after the faid 21ft day of December, at 120 'clock at noon of the fame day, neither will any tender be noticed unlefs the party making it, or an agent in his behalf, thall attend.

\section*{By order of the Board,}
(Signed) R.H.Crew, Secretary,
H. OAKES.
R. WARD.
R. MOORSOM.
M. SINGLETON.

\footnotetext{
Office of Ordnance,
17 June 18 s 4 .
}

\section*{XXII.}

\section*{Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping.}
\[
\text { Jovis, } 30^{\circ} \text { die Junii, } 1814
\]

\section*{SIR ROBERT PEEL; BARONET, in the Chair.}
-(ror.)

Navy Office, \(\boldsymbol{Z}\) An ACCOUNT of all SHIPS built in the Knvg's Yards, from 1793 27th June 1814. \(\}\) to 1813 inclufive;-\{pecifying the periods when launched, and the Amount of Tonnage of each Ship.


\[
-(102 .)-
\]

An ACCOUNT, fhowing the Quantity of Englifh OAK TIMBER contracted for br the Navy Board, from 1803 to 18 IO both inclufive; diftinguilhing the Quantities contracted for for each year ; : and what was reported to be brought round the Land's End, difinguifhed from the quantities fupplied from the Home Counties.

N. B.-See Letter dated \(\mathbf{2 7}\) th June 18 r 4 ; from the Navy Board to the Secretary of the Admiralty, explanatory of the above Account.
\[
-(103 .)
\]

Navy-Office, 27th June 1814-
An Extract of the CONTRACT entered into by John Larking with the Navy Board, in Norember 1810; alluded to in the Contract of the jth of February 1814, with refped to the Allowance to be made to the Contractors, in cafe Government put out to private Builders Ships of 36 Guns, and upwards.
" PROVIDED always, and it is hereby covenanted and agreed between the faid Parties hereto, That if any Ships of thity-fix guns, or upwards, fhall, at any time during the continuance of this Contraf, be contraded for by the Commifioners of the Navy now or for the time being, to be buile in the dock yards belonging to private perfons; that then and in fuch cafe, the quantity of Oak Timber herein-before covenanted and agreed upon to be fupplied and delivered into His Majefty's dock yards aforefaid, or fome or one of them, thall be reduced after the following rates; viz.
" 1,000 lods of oak timber for every thip of feventy-four guns, which fhall be contracted for; and
" 500 loads of oak timber for every thip of thirty-fix and thirty-eight guns, which fall be contracted for:
* And in proportion thereto for thips of intermediate clafles.
" Provided the faid John Larking thall claim fuch reduction of quantity; and provided further, that fuch reductions (if fo claimed) thall be made from the deliveries for the gear fucceeding the year in which the contract or contracts thall be entered into and execuled for buildng fuch chips."
J. TUCKER.
J. D. THOMSON.
Wm.SHIELD.
\[
-(104 .)-
\]

An ACCOUNT of all Ships which have been difcharged from the Service of The Eaft India Company, or whofe ContraCts have expired fince the 22d April 1814 ; fpecifying whether they had been in the Service as Regular or Extra Ships, and whether Britifh or. Indian.built.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Ships names. & Tons & Where builk. & When Bulk. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Voyages } \\
\text { orginally } \\
\text { engarged } \\
\text { far. }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Addrional } \\
\text { Voyages } \\
\text { engaged } \\
\text { for. }
\end{gathered}
\] & - \\
\hline & \multirow{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 698 \\
& 652 \\
& 859
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { RE } \\
\text { Bengal } \\
\text { Hull } \\
\text { River Thames }
\end{array}\right.
\]} & ULAR & SHIPS & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Fairlie } & - & & - \\
\text { Apollo } & - & - \\
\text { Baring } & - & & -
\end{array}
\]} & & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1810 \\
1812 \\
1801
\end{tabular}} & 1 & none & Completed her Cuncrac, 18.44. \\
\hline & & & & 1 & Do. & Lo. - Do. \\
\hline & & & & \(\sigma\) & Do. & Do. - Do. \\
\hline & & & & HIIPS : & & \\
\hline Sir Godfrey Webfter & 5.9 & River Thames & 1799 & 1 & Do. & Do. - Do. \\
\hline Diana & 605 & Do. & - & 3 & 1 & Do. - Do. \\
\hline Northampton & 542 & Do. & 1801 & 1 & 6 & Do. - Do. \\
\hline Devaynes - & 604 & Do. & 189.2 & 6 & none & Do.- - Do. \\
\hline General Stuart & 607 & Do. & 1801 & 6 & Do. & Do. - Do. \\
\hline Ocean & 532 & Qucbec & 1802 & 5 & Do. & Do. - Do. \\
\hline William Pitt & 572 & Liverpool . & 1803 & I & 2 & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Lon off Altgoa Bay, the itth } \\
\text { December } 1813 \text {, on herthurd } \\
\text { vojage horneward bound. }
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline David Scott & 736 & Bombay - & 1801 & 1 & \(\pm\) & Comileed her Contr. \(A_{\text {, 1314, }}\) \\
\hline Charles Mills & 563 & Chefter & 1811 & 1 & 1 & Do. - Do. \\
\hline James Sibbald & 647 & Bombay & 1803 & 1 & 1 & Do. - Do. \\
\hline Eaft India Ho the ayth June 1 & \[
f e,\}
\] & & & & & J. MORICE. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
-(105 .)-
\]

An ACCOUNT of alk Eaft India-built SHIPS engaged in the different Expeditions to Manilla, the Red Sea, the Mauritios; and on other Publie Enterprizes, unde the Orders of The Court of Directors of the Leveral Governments is India, with the Amount of their refpective Tonnages, from 1792 to the prefent time; as far as the fame can be prepared from Documents in The Eatt India Houfe.

Ships exaployed on the Expeditions to Ceylon and the Moluccas, in 1795 and 1796.


Ships employed on the Expedtion to Manilla, in 1797.


Ships employed on the Expedition to the Red Sea, in 1800.


Shipe explayed on the Expedition to the Red Sea-coninued.


Ships employed on the Expedition to Jara and the Moluccas, 18 ro-11.

(continued)

Ships employed on the Expedition to Java and the Moluccas-coatinued.


Ships employed on the Expedition to the IIfes of France and Bourbon, 181011.

'The Accounts at the Eaft India Houfe do not furnifh materiala for a more full compliance with this Order.```


[^0]:    [The Refolution of the Houte of Commons; of the iff of April inftant, refpecting Sail-cloth, was read.]

[^1]:    (72.)

    C
    The

[^2]:    'You have not eftimated the freight home?-I cannot make an eftimate becaufe I do not know the price which will be paid for it.

    You would infure the freight, would not you?-I would not infure the freight if it was left to me; but the builders conceiving, I prefume, that the navigation was difficult or dangerous, have ftated that they do mean to infure the freight here.

    If is à infurable intereft?-Of courfe.
    Mr. Turner is a part-owner of this thip, is not he?-He is,
    Any other perfon here?-General Kyd is an owner.
    And the builders?-And the builder in Bengal.
    And yourfelf?-And myfelf.
    Mr. Turner, I fuppofe, will lave the fupply of all the cordage and canvafs, and every thing in his line ?-Yes.

    And you would have the fupply of every thing in your line?-And I of courfe Should have the fupply of every thing in my line; Mr. Sims is alfo an owner; who is a part-owner.

    It is generally the cuftom for thofe trades who fupply the flips to be the principal owners? -It has been the cafe of late, that they were obliged to take fhares in order toobtain the bufinefs.

    Then their principal object was to have the fupply of the hip ?-Their principai object was to have the fupply of the fhip, and to make money as an owner.

    The captain generally takes a fhare in the fhip, does not he? -Very often; not always; the captain has no Ihare of this fipip for inflance.

    There is no arrangement with the captain to have a fhare in this Gip? -Nope, whatever.

    In enumerating the articles fent out from this country as two thirds, you mentioned anchors; are there any anchors made in India?-No large ones, I believe.
    None that could be ufed in fuch a bip as that?-A fmall anchor can bexatein in India.

    James Wraller
    $\qquad$

[^3]:    
    
    
    
    
    

    Mr. Then you mean to imply, that large timber can be fold only to thofe who build James Alexander. large fhips? - Certainly, they will not cut large timber for fmall fhips.
    You mean only to imply, that the large timber will be fold to thofe perfons who build large fhips ?-Yes, nobody elle has a demand for it:

    You ftated, that in the counties of Suffex and Kent the average annual quantity of timber felled, I think for twenty years paft, had been from fifteen to twenty thoufand loads, or thereabouts; can you ftate, whether the greater part of that has been large timber or finall ?-Some of all defcriptions; but if I were to take the whole that has been felled, I might fay more; but there has been that quantity of, timber and plank felled, that has been fit for large hips the greater part of it.

    Committee.]-Containing about a load a tree ?-I cannot fay any particular fize: becaufe we take it as low as twenty-five feet a tree.

    Can you give the Committee the fize of the loweft-fized tree you go to, when you speak of this large timber? -We frequently cut plank out of a tree that has only. twenty-five or thirty feet in it.

    Is that the loweft fize you mean to refer to when you fpeak of large timber ?Yes; becaufe a certain proportion of it is large timber, fit to be ufed in the frame of a thip; but the greater part of it is for planks.

    Mr. Grant.]-I thould wifh to know what proportion of the twenty thoufand loads you take to be plank, and what proportion, to be timber for the frames of large veffels?-I fhould fuppofe two-thirds for plank.

    Plank of what fize; four-inch plank ?-Three-inch or four-inch plank, five-inch or fix-inch plank, or feven-inch or eight-inch plank; they never ufe lefs than threeinch plank in thofe flyips.

    You fay that any quantity of timber might have been.found of late years, provided people chofe to pay the price for it ? Yes, I never found any deficiency of quantity when I wanted to buy it.
    That is the cafe with moft things; if you pay the price, you may have them? You muft pay as other people do $;$; it hás its fcale as well as other things; timber and every produce of the land is equally the fame; according to the demand for it; fo the price varies.

    Do you mean to fay, that in the courfe of the time which the account you have delivered in embraces, the advance in the price of timber has not been greater than the proportional advance of moft commodities in common ufe?-Na, I do not think it has, becaufe labour has increafed very, much indeed.

    To what kind of labour do you allude?-The labour of fawing, and felling and hewing the timber, and eyery defrription of work that has any thing to do with ft , and likewife the carriage to market.

    Can you ftate what is the value of felling a load of timber?-It depends on what country the timber is in, in a great meafire.

    1 tpeak of your own country?-We give them to much for taking aff the bark; they are not paid for cutting down the trees, but for the quantity; of bark they get off them.
    Do youmean to fay, that the labour of felling is now three time as expenfive nearly as it was twenty years ago?-Twenty years ago I could have my timber cut for three. loads of rind to make a load of hatch batk, five-and-forty handred weight, and we gave them I6s. per load of rind for taking it off, and thofe rinds, were houfed and cleaned, and chapped into hatch bark; and at this time or within this year or two they have had the fame price $6 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{a}$ load, but they have fet it in that way, that it has tảken feyen load of rind to make a load of hatch,

    What is the increafe in the price of thatch bark? -That varies, and has done almoft every year.

    What has been the increafe in the average price of it during the time you are now taking into your confideration?-From abont $\mathcal{E x}$ is, to five or fix and thirty pounds.

    Within what time. -Within thefe twenty years.
    Is itmore expenifive, and in whatidegreet to fell timber now than it was twenty years ago ?-I hould fuppofe more than half difference confiderablys:
    Do you mean half as much again ?-Yes.
     fome places; the houfing of bark which we ufed to give half ty guinea for is now r8s, and in lome places ef. ; f the riext is the eleging or hatching of it ; what we, ufed to give $\mathscr{E}_{1}$. for cleaning or hatching we now give 25 s. for, and where we ufed.
    to give 45 s. per load for the carriage of it to London; we are now giving $x_{5}$. or have been giving $\not \equiv 5$. for it ; I gave $\mathfrak{E}_{5}$, for it laft year, and I have had it carried for 45 s , the fame weight, forty-five hundred weight.
    How long has the expence of carriage cifen in that enormous degree? --It has been gradually getuing up for many years back.

    You mean to fay, the general rife has been to that amount?-Yes; where we ufed to get our timber carried for one fhilling a mile to any wharf, we are now obliged to give two fhillings or balfa-crown.
    You have never had occafion to carry it to any great diftance?-Yes.
    What do you call a great diftance?-I have frequently had timber carried thirty. miles land carriage.

    Does not it diminifh the price of timber as you buy it upon the ground?-Not in that degree in which the rife of carriage has taken place.
    How much of the price of a load of timber refolves itfelf into the expence of car. riage upon an average ?-I buy but very little timber in the county of Kent and Suffex that does not coft me one fhilling a foot, and fome a great deal more; if it comes by water, coaftwife, after we have paid the expences of getting it down to the River, paid wharfage, and paid the land carriage to the wharf.

    What do you take the price of timber to be when you flate that ?-£8. Ios. is the price we had laft year.

    Then there are about fix pounds remaining for the price of the timber? -Yes, fix pounds, or thereabout; in many places it cofls more than 50 . carriage.

    Do you mean that the carriage is about one third of the price of the load ?- It depends upon the price of corn; now corn is.cheaper, we expect to have carriage cheaper. The water carriage wass fourteen fhillings a load twenty years ago, and now it is eight-and-twenty.

    What is the increafe of the price of timber to the grower now, or compared with what it wastwenty years ago? Tyenty years ago we could buy timber from 505 . to ${ }^{\notin} 3$. Ios. per load, on the luab, top, and bark, and every thing; I have peen giving fince, four times, that money, or at leaft from twelve to thirteen pounds. upon the fame farm, and;for the fame fpecies of timber.

    Then the grower makes a great advantage by his timber now, compared with mpat he made-by it tweaty years ago?-He has done.
    He has done, until the falling-off of the demand within the laft eighteen months, or fo?-Yes.

    Comimittee.]-You mean that the grower got a higher price for his wood?-Yes, he has got a higher price, within a few years back, from the increafed demand there was for it; but if there is no demand for it, timber muft meet its level, as well as other things.

    Mr. Grant.]-Do you mean to fay, that, the demand has been very great of late years, and that the price has increafed in confequence?-Yes, becaufe at the time the Eaft India Company were building a great many fhips, and Government was building a great many likewife, there was a great deal of timber wanted; and now, at this time, I have bought my timber on fpeculation, knowing no market for it; but I have oak from thirty toforty fhillings per load lefs than I had laft year; if that is to be the cafe, timber muft fall in that proportion all the while there is no demand.

    Then the increafe of which you have fpoken in the price of timber, is owing not merely to the increafe in the price of labour, and in the expence of carriage, but alfo that the grower gets an increafed price for it, which is to be afcribed to the .great demand ?-Yes.

    You ftated that there had been agreat faving of timber for the laft 20 years?Yes.
    Do yọu meanto fay that more care has been taken of it when growing; or that :there has been a more , economical ufe of it , for all the purpofes of machinery in which it is employed - - There has been more care taken in the managing of it, and the fencing of it in, to keep it from harm; and with refpect to all kind of mill-work, inftead of ufing oak timber which they ufed to do, they are now fubflituting caft' ,iron for almoft every purpafe of mill-work.
    Do pou know whether, in the conftruction ofithips, they haye fpared the ufe of -oak timber, by fubftituting other materials? They have ufed iron knee inftead of twood knees. $\because$.

    Mr. You conceive that fort of faving has been of great ufe by fparing the confumption of oak timber?-Certainly; becaufe the knees are a particular defcription of things that are not to be got.

    I believe they have occafionally ufed foreign timber in nhip-building, to fave the ufe of our native oak timber?-I believe there have been inflances of it.
    They have built veffels of fir, have they not, for that purpofe?-Yes, they have built veffels of fir; whether the Navy Board's motive was to fave the confumption of oak timber, I cannot fay; or whether it was that they would fail lighter.

    What effect do you conceive their faving oak timber in thofe refpects, will have upon the growth of oak timber ?-If there is not a greater demand, the timber will not be fuffered to grow fo much ; it will not be worth while to let it grow if there is no demand for it.

    Am I to underftand you, that the ufe of iroh in mill-work initead of oak, and the ufe of other forts of wood in fhip-building, would difcourage the growth of oak timber?-Yes, certainly, there is not a doubt about it; becaufe if there is no demand, it muft reduce in price, and it will not anfwer the grower's purpofe to grow it.

    Then you conceive, that all the faving which has been made in the ufe of oak timber, mult have a bad effect upon the growth of it ?-No, not a bad effect; I do not know what you mean by that.

    It muft difcourage the growth, you fay ?-If there is not a market for it, it muft difcourage the growth; it will not be worth people's while to grow it if they cannot fell it when they have done.

    In your judgment, has the faving which has taken place, operated to difcourage the growth and cultivation of oak timber ?-It cannot have had much operation yet; but it will have, becaufe there will be no market to take it off.

    When you flate, that about from 15 to 20,000 loads have been felled annually upon the average, can you ftate whether more has been felled amnually of late than formerly, or not ?-I do not think there has been a great deal of difference; I think within the laft ten years there may have been more ufed, becaufe there has been a great deal ufed for Government fervice, as well as for the merchant fervice; I think on the average more than there was the ten years before.

    You fay, if timber is left ftanding after it has come to maturity, it becomes do-fective?-Yes.

    Can you ftate in what fort of foil oak grows beft ?-Clay.
    Is it a foil good for corn or not, in which oak timber grows beft?-The beft wheat grows upon ftiff land, which is clay.

    What is good for oak is good for wheat, in fhort ?-Yes.
    [Re-examined by Mr. Adolphus.]
    You ftate that the ufe of iron in machinery has diminilhed the demand for oak? -Yes.

    That has been of the largeft kind of oak, generally ? - Millwrights generally ufe the largeff kind of oak.
    And the fame in fteam engines?-Yes, they ufed to we fome, years ago. Mr. Rennie, the great engineer; I have fold him many large trees to ufe as fhafts; but now they ufe calt iron, and in all kinds of machinery and millwrights work they ufe caft iron.
    But notwithftanding the want of demand fo occafioned, there was always, till now, a fufficient demand for oak to encourage the growth ?-Yes, for all purpofes, millwrights purpofes and hip-builders, and every one elle; and I have no doubt in my mind, there is a fufficient quantity to anfwer thofe purpofes now.

    Are you alfo of opinion, there is a:fufficient quañity in preparation, of rifing oak, to continue anfwering thofe purpofes for years to come? -Yes.
    You fay that oak and wheat grow well in the faime lands; that is, that the land that is good for wheat is good for oak; doies it take a confiderable number of years before you can convert land where oak has been grown into "gobd arable? ?-No; you muft take up the fubs where the oak has grown, and it foon gets;into tillage, the fame as other land.

    Do you know the expence of reducing an acre of land in that way, in bringing it from the growth of oak to arable?-No: a farmer, if he is going to grub up a wood, gives the labourer the flubs for his labour:-
    And they will do it for that?-Yes, in fome places, it depends upon-how firing
    tells; in places whereit is only timber fubs they will charge you half a guinea a chord for taking it up.

    The oak you fay is the natural growth of Kent and Suffex?-Yes.
    So that it is not eafy to rid the land of it, is it?-If you grub it up or plough it up, you may. rid any land of it; but the natural growth of thofe counties is oak timber, and if you drop an acorn, fo that it is covered, it will grow.

    If the ufe of iron in machinery has diminifhed the demand for oak, will the introduction of India-built fhips alfo diminif it confiderably?-Certainly, it will quite annihilate it; there will be no demand for that defcription of timber in this country, without it is for Government.

    If all demand but that by Government is excluded, will it be very difadvantageous to the landed proprietor who grows oak ?-Certainly.

    I fpeak of the large timber, of courfe?-Certainly, there will be no other demand, if there are no large flips built in the River.

    And will be a great difadvantage to the grower ?-Certainly, becaule he will. have no competition in the market, he will have only Government to look to.

    Do you think, that under thefe circumftances, it would be worth the while of any man to let his oak grow to a large fize? -No.

    The bark will be as profitable to him, if he cuts it young? - Yes, if the confumption of timber is ftopped in this country, there will not be bark fufficient from the timber that will be cut for the ufe of the country, to fupply the tanners in this country ; for they have had as much as they could do to get bark in this country when there has been a regular trade.

    Is the fupply of bark from foreign countries eafy; is much brought from abroad? -They expeet to get fome from abroad; and if they do not get fome this year, the fall of timber will not fupply the tanners of this country with bark; they could not for many years back get.any bark.

    ## [Examined.by the Committee.]

    - What age, generally, muft the oak be before it can yield forty feet of timber? 1 fuppofe from 50 to 60 or 70 years, upon an average 50 years.

    The growth after that time is not fo quick as before, is it ?-It fwells more in proportion, it gets more per year all the while it is growing after that time.
    ${ }^{5}$ Suppofe it to be 50 years old before it mealures 40 feet of timber, how old do you take it mult be before it meafures 60 feet? ? I fuppofe from five to ten years ;older; it will increafe very fart indeed when it gets to that fize, when the circle gets. large it fwells in proporion, the larger the circle gets it muft increafe every year.

    What would the average period be, before it gets to 60 feet?-I fuppofe it would grow two feet a year.

    Then that would be ten years growth ? - Yes; we generally call a tree to grow, after it gets about twenty-five feet in it, a foot and a half per year.

    That you give as a.general:rule, as far as you can ftate it?-Yes; where it grows well, it depends on the foil; and in fome foils, when it gets to 15 or 20 feet, it will never grow any more, if if ftands a hundred years.

    In fpeaking of this growth within ten years, you take the average of the foils in the counties you know moft of?-No; becaufe there are many places where it will not grow after it has got to $x_{5}$ or 20 feet; I am feeaking of the beft foils in the county of Kent and Suffex.

    Give me the average of the period which a tree, when it is 50 years old, takes to increafe from 40 to 60 feet? -I thould think, from 15 to 20 years; where it grows very faft, it will increafe two feet a year.

    And will it take the ©ame period of time to increale from 60 to 80 feet; would it increafe flower or fafter, or in the fame degree?-The bigger it gets the larger it gets a year in its growth.

    Has that no end, till it comes to its full growth? - Not till it comes to its full growth.
    What quantity of feet of timber will the largeft trees contain?-We very feldom get timber more than fifty feet metings; we call that fome of the beft fized timber, a large defcription of metings; taking the average of a lot of good timber in thofe metings, there will be two loads in fome of the pieces.
    According to yourcomputation, what time would it take in the beft foils in the counties of Suffex and Kent, for a tree to increafe from, containing 50 feet to a hundred? $-I$ fuppofe, it would increafe in from twenty to five-and-twenty

    Mr. years'; where it is growing well, it will grow two feet a year or more in good James Alexander. foils.

    If your computation is underftood rightly, by the rule of valuing that is eftablifhed in the trade, fuppofing a man to deliver 600 loads of timber, confiting of 500 trees, at five pounds a load, that would juft come to the 60 feet metings?-Yes.

    Then he would have only his contract pricefor that timber ?-No.
    But if he could deliver the fame quantity, confifting of 250 trees, then he would have ※8. a load, and no more? -That would be 120 feet metings, it is not E8. a $^{2}$ load, it will be $£ 6$. tos.

    Suppofing the price of timber then to have remained the fame during the time that the tree was growing from a content of fifty feet to a content of 100 , all that the owner would have got would have been thirty fhillings increafe upon the $\mathfrak{z} 5 . \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{No}_{\text {, }}$ there would be more in it, he would have a double quantity befides, inftead of 50 feet he vould then have 100 feet.

    If the price of timber had been the fame, inftead of $£_{5}$. for his one load, he would have had what? -£i3. for the two load.

    He would have had $\mathscr{E}^{6}$. Ios. in addition to the $\mathfrak{E}_{5}$. if it was fuffered to remain?No, it would be $\mathscr{E}_{\text {I }} 3$. altogether

    Is that all the equivalent to the compound intereft at five per cent. laid out?It requires a calculation; I cannot anfwer the queftion.

    What number of trees, of fixty feet meting, would grow upon an acre of ground? -I do not know that ever I made an obfervation of that fort. I know an inftance in which 160 trees, which contain 167 loads, have grown upon nine acres of land; there is other timber on it; a confiderable number of trees that are in a growing ftate; fome of the trees which are ftanding are twenty or thirty feet; thofe were offeréd to me for $E_{2,50^{\circ}}$.

    Select Committee on Eaf India-built Shipping.

    Martis, $3^{\circ}$ die Maij, 1814.<br>SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    ## Mr. William Driver called in; and examined by Mr. Harrison.

    WHERE do you live? -In the Kent Road ; Surrey Square.

    I believe you have been extenfively employed as a valuer of timber, and furveyor of eftates, for fome years back ? - Yes, I have.
    For how many years? -Thirty years and upwards.
    I believe you have been repeatedly employed by the Land-Revenue Office?Yes, I have.

    Have you had in the courfe of this employment extenfive opportunities of knowing the flate of oak-timber in England?-In fome parts of England, not in all.

    In what particular counties have you had the moft experience? ?-Kent, Suffex, Surrey, Northamptonhire, Hamphire, Eflex, and Cambridge; the fact is, there are three or four of us, and we go different routes. I think my Brother has been more in the timber counties than myfelf.

    Has the price of timber rifen of late years; witbin the laft twenty years?-Yes. Confiderably ? - Very much indeed.
    Has it alfo fluctuated confiderably as well as rifen confiderably? -I think it has been rather ftationary till within the laft two years, and it has rather fallen fince that tinne.

    Has it not fluctuated confiderably in price at different periods within the laft twenty years? -I do not think it has; it has been rifing gradually within that time to the late high price of 1.41. a load, and it has been rather falling within the laft year or two.
    To what do you attribute its falling ?-I rather think the high price of timber has induced people to cut timber, which would not otherwife have come to market had it not been for the high price; that brought a great deal to market.

    What effect in your judgment would be produced by the difcontinuance of building the large clafs of veffels, merchant lhips, and men of war in this country?I will firlt of all affume, that it would take off the demand for large timber, and by doing that, of courfe it would be very injurious to the country, becaufe it would not be worth while for country gentlemen to protect their timber, unlefs they were fure of a good price; if it was lowered in price, it would prevent the care in the cultivation of it which has been taken lately. I think timber has been more protected and encouraged for the laft ten years than ever it was before, on account of the high price.

    Of courfe, if the market was to ceafe, the inducement to protect and take care of the large timber would ceare with it?-Of courle.

    Is it prudent in your judgment, to permit oak-timber to ftand after it has attained its proper growth?-We confider that it may ftand for fome years afterwards, but it does not improve much afterwards. I do not know that it is deteriorated much for a confiderable number of years after it has done growing; the general opinion is, that it is a long time growing, and continues in a good ftate for a long while, and then is a long time in decaying; but it certainly never pays for ftanding after it has done growing.

    I apprehend a confiderable quantity of timber mult be felled annually for the purpofe of the fupply of bark? -Certainly, unlefs they have a fubftitute.

    That alone produces a confiderable fall of timber in the year?-There does not feem to me to be much bark for the prefent confumption; there does not appear to me to be too much bark at prefent.

    Have you had any means of knowing the ftate of the royal forefts as to the fupply of timber? Particularly two forefts, New Foreft and Dean Foreft; I have had a large concern in them for the laft ten years particularly.

    In what ftate are thofe forefts of Dean and the New Foreft, as to the fupply they are capable of affording of oak-timber?-Dean Foreft, at prefent, has very little Ieft, the greutet part is cat ; thete faty be twelve or fifteetr thoufand loads. I went over the forelt of Dean about fiye or fix years ago, and I think we had about thirty thoufand loads left then, but it has been felled confiderably fince that period, for the fake of new Plantations; we are now planting about eleven thoufand acres.

    In what ©ate is the New Foreft? There is not a great deal of timber in the New Forefk; there is fomething cenniderable, but not according to the extent; I never meafured it.

    Thofe are the only two forefts you are particularly acquainted with ?-Yes; they -are the only two $I$ am particularly acquainted with:

    Are you able to form tny judgment, from the means you have had of feeing the flate of the oak-imberer in the counties tó which you have alladed to, whether theré is a fufficient fupply föf thé Navyy, and föz hietthants purpofes; of oak-timber in thofe counties?-My opinioni is that at prefern there is a fufficient fupply forfome years to come; perhaps it mayy ferve for the nèke fifteef of tiventy years, or
     think there would be a fcarcity after that period of large timber.

    Do jou nimean fo fay, tháa the kate that is now taked of the timber, in different ftages of grobith; will tiot furnill a fupply after that petiod ? - What is young timber will not thein be old enough.; twiat twe are now planting for Goxerniment, feventy or eighty years herice will ho douibe amply fupply the Näys

    Am I to underftand you to fay you do tot think there lis timber of intermediate growth, to come in at the expiration of that period of five-and-twenty year's, and continue the fupply?-That is my opinion s the young plantations will not then be arrived at maturity.
    
    Mr. Harrifon.]-Do you mean to include in that opinion the private eftates where timber is pfiferved in thofe counties? That is my opinion; every body ap. pearis to be cinting their large timber, and I think the fmall timber will not be arrived at naturity at the end of five-and.twenty years,

    Committee.]-Da you fpeak of other plantations than Government plantations?I know but of yery few plantations of oak in this country; there are very extentive plantations of fir, but very few of oak.

    So that you apprehend there will be fia farcity of large timber after twentyfive years, till the Government plantations come to maturity? -No, I do not think that; it will not be fo plentiful as at prefent certainly.

    And you fpeak not only of the King's forefls, but generally, as far as you have feen through the country? - Yes.

    Mir. Harrifor.]- You häve fpoken of plantations; I want now to alk you as to the general grodith of timber that is etreouraged in Kent and Suifex, and the count ties whêre it is the natural produce of the country, and where they do not plant, ibut encourage the growth of that which naturally comes up? There will be a great dëal producêd frö̀m that:; but I do hot think that will be fufficient to keep up the fupply after the five-and-twenty years, to the lame extent; I do not think there is à quañitiy rufficiēne to do it.

    You have mentioned fö̈r of fivè counties with which you are acquainted; are yous :aequainted with either of the Northern counties, or with Wales, fo as to give an opinion of thofe counties?-I tave been a great deal in Wales, but not in the North of the country ; there is a confiderable quantity of fmall timber in Wales; it is not in an adyanced flate off growth.

    The Northern counties you are not acquainted with.?-I am not.
    Dóes timber increafe more räpidly in growth after thirty years than it does in the firft thirty years of its growth?-In the progrefs of our bufinefs in the Foreft of Đèan:and New Foreft, we have made experiments every two years, for fome years paft,
    palt, in meafuring the progrefs of trees, which we marked by letters, and I made a memorandum before I came here, that in king William's Woods in the New Foreth, the metings of 15 feet produced a per-centage of $3 \frac{3}{4}$ per annum; they increaled 33 per year: thofe woods were originally planted very thick.
    Thofe woods were originally planted very thick, and not properly thinned afterwards, and the timber has grown upin an unnatural way ?- Yes, very high and very fmall, fit only for planking; there are two lots in the fame wood that produced exadly the fame per-centage. There are a great number of infances in this memorandum, but the refult is that they produced about fix and a half per cent. on their bulk.
    Committee.]-The fifteen feet produces $3 \frac{3}{7}$ ?-Yes, and the next fixteen feet and a half metings produced exadty the fame.

    When you fpeak of this bulk of the timber, you allude to the quantity of fquare contents?-Yes, the fquare contents, 3 3 on the fquare contents; taking the average of fifteen different dimenfions, they only averaged feven feet, becaule many of them were very fmall, and there is one of twenty feet metings produced $4 \div \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. and another of fifteen feet produced 43 .

    What age were thofe woods generally?-Thofe of king Walliam's were about 130 years.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-Have you any tigher average?-No.
    Can you tell me, from your general knowledge upon the fubject, whether timber after thinty years increafes more rapidly in growth than it does in the firft thirty years in a proper fituation for growth?-No; the very fmall timber trees will grow to an enormous per-centage; they will almof double themfelves in two years. I conceive the greatelt growth is from five-and-thirty years to about feventy; I thould think fo from my general knowledge, but not from particular meafurements.

    Excluding quite young new trees?- I mean large trees of courfe.
    Have you made any experiment to enable yoin to ftate any increafe of growth on a tree of that defcription?-About 3 per cent. I think the large trees do not increafe fo much as fmall ones; there is no way of giving a general opinion, the different foils make a difference.

    Committee.]-You mean to apply that 3 per cent. to timber is the molt favourable fituations?-Yes; from 3 to 4 per cent.

    Mr. Harrijon.]-You have given the price per load fo different from what we have delivered in, that I wifh to have it explained; I think you have flated $15 \%$ per load?-Not now; it has been 14.

    Do you mean by that, including the loppages and bark ?-Yes; which is generally reckoned half as much again as fquare meafure.

    That is fomewhere about nine or ten pounds a load for fquare timber?-A load of round will give about a load and a half f́quare.

    ## [Crofsexamined by Mr. Spankie.]

    Have you had much opportunity of obferving the confumption of timber for the laft twenty years?-No; I only know the fale.
    Have you known of a great quantity fold, taking an average, for inftance, of the laft ten years compared with the preceding ten years?-I can hardly anfwer that queftion.
    In your obfervation, has the demand for oak-imber, for machinery as well as fhip-building, increafed within the laft ten years?-I think it has; at leaft there has been a larger quantity come to market than before.
    Comparing your knowledge within thofe counties with which you are mclt acquainted, can you fay whether the quantity which has been cut has been fully fupplied by the quancity planted?-We always confider that oak-woods fupply themfelves without planting. Gorernment make a point of planting them, but nobody elfe. I think there is alwass a fufficient fupply in oak-woods to fupply themfelves after the large imber is cut out. There is timber of all ages to fucceed; and as they cut the larger ones the others will take their place of courfe; fo that there will be a general fupply always going on, of fome age or other.
    Then, in your oblervation, has the demand for large uimber been particularly great during the laft ten years?-We have fold a great quantity of large timber; an immenfe quanity.
    $M \dot{r}_{\text {, }}$ Do you think that a greater quantity of large timbet bas" béen cuit during that William Driver. period of ten years than has grown to maturity, of will arrive at mattarity in the fiext ten years?-It is impoffible to anfùvet that queftion; I think there will be a fupply for the next five-and-twenty yedrs.

    Is there a fupply of timber more immediately coming into ufe ?-1 think there is plenty coming into ufe for the next twenty-five years ; there will be no fearcity for the next five-and-twenty years, in my own opinion.

    When you ftated that you did not think there wouild be an abfolute fcarcity, do you think that the price remaining pretty nearly what it was during the laft three or four years, fuppofing the confumption to continue as it has been, that timber would come to market for the fame quantity of confumption at the fame price? I think it would. I think there would be a fupply of large timber, provided the price was kept up; not otherwife.

    You mean the price of eight or nine pounds a load?-Twelve or fourteen pounds a load, which is about the fame thing; I have fold a large quantity this year at i2l. ios. per load.

    Round meafure?-Round meafure; I have fold nearly 3;000 load from one eftate, this year, of round meafure.

    Committee.]-What did thofe trees average?-We have not meafured them yet, I think about 40 feet, or from that to 45 feet.

    It would be confiderably more if it was fquare meafure?-Yes, it would be fixty feet if it was fquare meafure.
    If it was fold as fquare meafure what would that forty feet produce?-It would have yielded fixty feet.

    It would have been a load of timber at fixty-feet metings? -It would.
    Mr. Spankie.]-State what the round meafure would make, and fquare meafure ? - Half as much again nearly.

    Will you explain that? $-A$ load of round meafure will make a load and a half of fquare meature nearly; it is fuppofed to be about that proportion generally, but that varies according to the hewers, whether they hew it more or lefs.

    In your opinion, would a fmaller price than that you now mention, 8 t. a load, be a fufficient encouragement to a man to let his timber grow?-I am very certain that if the timber was reduced much, it would not; there would be very little protection or care taken of it.

    In the courfe of your obférvation have you feen much wood-land converted into arable-land $p$-Yes, I have feen fome, not a great deal.

    That I fuppofe you afcribe to the high price of wheat?-I think it may, in a great meafure; there is no doubt of it, becaufe oak-land is generally wheat-land.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    What do you fuppofe, upon an average foil, may be the contents of a tree of 40 years old? According to my prefent ftatement, it varies very much indeed, very fmall, certainly; I fhould think we had a very fair experiment of that fort, in the foreft of Dean; I made a report upon that about thirty years ago, and there are a great number of thofe trees which we have tranfplanted lately, now into other parts of the foreft; and there is fcarce any of them have grown to the girth of fix inches fquare.

    When tranfplanted?-No, before tranfplanted; there are perhaps three or four of them rather larger, and others are only three or four inches; the large ones are about feven inches.

    What do you confider to be the average growth of forty years, as to contents in round meafure?-I thould think very likely fix foot of timber.

    Round meafure? - Yes.
    What would be the value of a tree of that kind to the grower, at the price of 122. ros. ?-Twenty or thirty hillings for the whole tree, according to the quantity in the tree.

    - What do you take to be the average contents of a tree feventy years old?-Much depends on the fituation and Yoil.
    Cannot you give a fimilar anfuer to that, as you did to the laft queftion?I know many trees of feventy years growth produce forty feet of timber, and hany others which do not produce twenty; fo that it depends on the foil and the clofe. nefs of their being planted.

    Thear yòn carinot give an arerage $P=1$ catint 6 .
    Takimg into confideration the inteteft that midy be made of money, àt whiat age do. you think it molt adrantageons to an! ownier to cat down his triee for fale? ?-If the price was equal to the prefernt ptice I fhould think about feventy of éghty years; it will never pay for ftanding after that.
    What is the age at which the tree is, getietrally fpeaking, fit for buildintig lafge frips? - From eighty to one hutldred arid twenty years.

    Eighty, therefore, is the youngelt and finailef fort of tree that will do for that purpore ${ }^{\text {P }}-$ For the large timber it is.

    Eighty years is the earlieft périod at which a ttee will cut for large timber ?-Yes, in the beft foil.

    And trees of eighty years do not furnifh the largeft that is wanted?-No.
    Then at the prefent price you dd not think it is tworth an ownet's while to let his tree grow from eighty to one hundred and twenty years?-I do not.

    At what price fhould you think it would be worth while? I I can fcarcely tell.
    The higher the price is, would not the difadvantage be greater, feeing that the owner is lofing intereft upon a latger furn in the prefent price? -Unlefs there was a profpect of further increafe of price, it certainly would.

    Therefore nothing but a profpect of a very large iftcteafe upon the prefent prices, can make it worth while in this country to permit timber to ftatid to ani age fit for the largeft timber wanted in fhip-building?-Cettainly, that is miy opinion.

    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Do you know what proportion of the trees to be employed in building the largeft fhips will be of a quality to require a tree exceeding a hundred years? -That I cannot tell.

    Committee.]-Ddes your habit of furveying timber, and eftates where timber is grown, enable you to fay what proportion the demand for timber of that latge fize bears to the proportion of the demand for timber of a lefs fize?-I carinot anfwer that queftion fully, bectufe we never find any deficiency of demrand for targe timiber; we have no difficulty in felling every fort of timber:

    Have you any difficulty in felling timber under the largeft fize?-No.
    Ther the demand for both the large and lefs fize is always equal to the fupply? Lately it has been, which has rather produced the high price.

    Can you fay, in the courfe of your prictice in felling timber on the part of perfons who employ you, what proportion the timber of the largeft fize which you have fold has borne to the timber of fmaller fize? -We are generally employed in felling large timber; we never advife the cutting fmall timber;, but always advife againf it; we never cut frall timber if we can avoid it.
    . You always advife your employer to fuffer his rimber to fland till it comes to the largen fize?-Till it comes to a good fize, but a great quantity is cut from neceffity or other caufes.

    Then can you fay what proportion the large timber ydu have had to fell bears to the fmall timber?-Full half perЂaps; the largeft quantity of it has been large timber.

    What are the purpofes to which oak timber is particularly applied?-Large timber for Government, and friall timber for country purpofes.

    Do you think the confumption of oak timber has increafed within the laft twenty years? - I think fo, becaufe there has been a larger fall; there has been a great deal of large timber conte.out lately, and it muft of courfe be confumed.

    As far as your obfervation has gone in the counties with which you are beft acquainted, has not the timber of a fize for the pirpofes of thip-building and internal purpofes confiderably diminifhed in the courfe of the laft twenty years?- It has, I think, within the laft ten years; the high prices have brought it forth.

    You have been connected with the office of Woods and Foreft's?-Yes.
    Wete you one of the perfons on whofe informatiof a Report in 1792 was drawn? - Yes.

    You are acquainted with the Report ? -Yes ; I am.
    Did the opinions conveyed in that Report concur with the. information you gave to the Commiffioners? -I do hot recollect; it is a very long Report.
    Do you concur in this opinion, as flated by the Commiffioners, "We are petfuaded that we hall not be thought to go beyond what is warranted by the information before us, when we form this conclufion, that if the profperiry of this: cotuntry flaill continues the confumption of oak timber for its interinal purpofes; and:

    Mr. for the fhipping neceffary for the whole of our trade, including that of the Eaft India company, will, at no very diftant period, furnih a very ample demand for all that can be expected to be produced on private property in this kingdom; and that fuch is the prefent ftate of the growing timber, and the profpea of future fupply, that this country will in all probability experience a fatal want of great oak-timber, and become dependent on other powers for the means of fupporting her Navy, if care be not taken to provide a fupply in future by the improvement and better management of the Royal Forefts, and to reduce the confumption of it by the utmoft care and frugality in the expenditure?"-Yes; certainly that was part of my Report-thofe very words. I may further add, that in confequence of that Report, and other caufes, great care has been taken of timber within the laft twenty years to my knowledge ; fo that it has increafed beyond our expectations at that period. The fmall timber has been protected and taken care of beyond our expectations.

    Subfequent to the making of this Report, has not there been a very unufual confumption in the large oak-timber in the building of large fhips for the Navy ?-I cannot anfwer that queftion from my own knowledge, other than from general report.

    You have ftated, that in about twenty or five-and-twenty years there will be a fcarcity of oak-timber; and that the timber that is now growing cannot be expected to be fit for the purpofes of large timber till it is from 80 to 120 years old?-Yes.

    Then there is an interval of 60 years; do you fee any means of our fupplying ourfelves with oak-timber after that period?-After that period I think there muft be fome importation; not till then.

    Do you fay that from knowledge ?-I fay it from general obfervation; we have found much more timber come out, in confequence of the high prices, than we expected.

    By general obfervation do you mean a knowledge of the ftate of timber all over England, or only a few counties?-Only in thofe counties I have named, Suflex, Kent, Surrey, Hamphire, Gloucefterfhire and Dorfethire.

    And your knowledge of what is likely to happen in the fupply of timber upon which you exprefs that general opinion is derived from a knowledge of the fate of timber in thofe counties? ? Yes.

    And no further?-I do not wifh to extend it further.
    Your knowledge does not extend further ?-Not immediately.
    Do you know that Staffordfhire is a county in which much timber is produced ? Yes; and Warwickfhire particularly.

    And you do not know the fate of timber in thofe counties? -Not exactly.
    Do you know that Yorkfhire is a county in which much timber is grown?-I have heard of it, but $I$ am not concerned with it.

    Berkfhire does not come within your knowledge?-Yes, it does, and there is a confiderable quantity in Berkfhire.
    Is there a confiderable quantity of oak-timber in Berkhire ?-Yes, but not very large.

    You have faid you concurred in the opinion of the Commiffioners which was cited, that except great care was ufed to protect the growth of wood, and great frugality obferved in the ufe of it in the King's yards, the fupply of large fhipbuilding timber grown at home was likely, after a certain number of years, to become infufficient to the want of it?-Generally fpeaking, I approved of that report; I did not take part in drawing it up, but I approved generally of it.

    And that the fhip-building for the Navy of this country was likely to depend for a fupply of large timber on foreign countries?-That follows of courfe, if the other was not protected.

    You have faid alfo, that fince you made reprefentations to Government of the neceffity of taking better care than had been taken of the young timber in the forefts, and fince that Report was made from which the opinion above mentioned was cited, greater care has been taken of the King's Forefts ?-Certainly within the laft ten or fifteen years.

    Be pleafed to ftate your opinion upon the profpect which the country may have of a better fupply in future of large timber. for the purpofe of fhip-building for the Navy from the better care that has been taken within the laft ten or fifteen years in the King's forefts?-My opinion is, that in confequence of the care which they, have lately taken, the timber is likely to increafe and become a very confiderable fupply at fome future time for the Navy.
    $\cdots$ Pleale to ftate whether or not your fears of a deficiency of a fupply of large timber at fome future time, not very far diftant, have been diminihed or not ? - My opinion is, that in confequence of the care my fears are confiderably diminifhed, becaufe it is protected.

    Are not the Committee to underfland, that in confequence of the great confumption'of umber for large fhips, there is Likely to be a deficiency in the courfe of five-and-twenty years? - I think there is.
    . Then do you fuppofe that all the care that can be taken now, or has been taken recently, can prevent that fcarcity? - Certainly not; what I have ftated applies to 2 longer period than that.
    Notwithftanding what is faid in the Report alluded to, has not the fupply of large timber met the demand?-Yes certainly, as far as I know, there always was enough for the demand.
    Has not the fubfequent care in preferving oak-timber brought into the market a greater quantity than you expected?-I do not think it has, becaufe it has nothing to do with the large timber at all; the care that has been taken does not apply to the darge timber that has recently been brought to market.
    When you fay that in confequence of the greater care which has been taken, within the laft few years, of the King's forefts, your reafon for a deficiency of fupply in time to come has been diminifhed, do you mean that that care has fhown ittelf in the planting up timber-ground only, or in planting up timber-ground, and alfo taking care of trees now growing and arriving at middle age ?-In both, certainly.
    Then do you mean that the trees now growing, and now arrived at middle age, by the greater care which has been taken of them, will come in the courfe of the next, five-and-thirty years to the ufe of thip-building, at a large fize, and with more certainty than they would have done if thofe precautions had not been taken?-Yes, I am quite of that opinion.
    And do you think their fo coming will prevent in a great degree that deficiency of fupply of which you expreffed your fear to the Commiflioners of Land Revenue, and which they alfo exprefs in that opinion which was cited to you?-Certainly.

    You neverthelefs ftill remain of the opinion you have expreffed, that there is in the courfe of twenty-five years likely to be a deficiency in large timber ?-I think fo; it is merely matter of opinion.
    You have ftated, that though the precautions which have been taken will ultimately provide for the fupply of the demand, flill that there might be a period in which it will not fupply it?-Yes, that is my opinion.
    You think there is not fufficient large timber to fupply the demand ?-I do not think fa; we are only employed in felling timber, and if we find cuftomers who give a great price for it, we fuppofe they want it, and it muft be had.

    Has not a great deal of large timber been brought to market by the high price, than you think otherwife would have been brought to market?-Exactly fo; I think that is the chief caufe of the great quantity of large timber being brought to market.

    Have not the means of fupply been very confiderably enlarged by the canals and internal navigations which have been made in the country?-Certainly, by redacing the expenfe of carriage.

    And notwithiftanding all thefe advantages there has not been enough to meet the demand ?-No, I cannot fay that, I am quite ignorant of that.
    You are a mere agent for fellers?-Yes; and all that I know is, that we get a ready fale for our timber at a good price.

    - Do not you think that the utmoft frugality is neceffary in the ufe and exponditure of the great oak of the kingdon?-Certainly it ought to be ufed with frugality.

    It is flated that the price of timber has rather fallen within the lalt two years, has not that been owing very confiderably to the unufual circumftance of the timber on four great eftates having come to market ? - No, I think it is more owing to the price of bark, which has fallen confiderably.

    What proportion does the value of the bark bear to the value of the whole tree, at the prefent price?-Forty fhillings a load perhaps at the average, a fifth or a fixth of the whole price of the tree.

    Of the value to the owner?-Yes, to the purchafer.
    Do you think the price of oak has been proportionably higher than the other produces of a foil?-I do not know that it has; I have fold a great quantity of oak' five-and-twenty years ago, at about 61. 55. a load, round meafure, which we now fell for y2h. 105. round meafure; that was then a very fair price; fipce that it has (72.)
    gradually been rifing to $12 l .10$ s. a load, it may be partiy' attributed to the enormous sprice of fir timber that oak is increafed fo confiderably; at one time oak was cheaper than fir.

    Do you happen to know that at any time within the laft four or five years firtimber has been as high as from five to feven fhillings a foot?-1 bought myfelf at five fhillings a foot for Scotch fir, hewn meafure.

    Have you alfo had opportunities of knowing the price of foreign fir?-Yes, by mere accident ; having bought fome myfelf about that time, I gave as high as $14 l$. a load.

    Is that round meafure too ? - No, fquare meafure, 1 think ; 1 gave 5s. $6 d .2$ fờ for it.

    If the canals have octafioned an increafe in the confumption of oak-timber; have not they alfo furnifhed a conveyance for a large quantity of oak-timber applicable to thip-building, which otherwife would not have been brought to market? -Certainly.

    Ought not that circumftance to have diminihhed the price, if timber had been exifting in the country to fupply the demand P -From fome caufe the price diminifined; $I$ attributed it rather to the want of demand.

    Ought not this circumftance to have diminifhed the price, if the demand had not been very great?-It ought.

    - Within the laft two years has the price of timber for hip-building been diminifhed, or has the diminution of price been in the bark P-In both, I think.
    "When you flate the price of timber, is it clear of the price of bark $i$-It is always clear of the bark in the meafurement, but the tree is fold with the batk ori.

    Thenin all thofe prices which you have mentioned in the courfe of your evidence you have included the bark, and the lop and top as well as the timber and the ree? -Of the oak always, not of the fir.

    ## Nir. Jobn Morris; called in; and examined by Mr. Adocpros.

    Mr.

    You are a timber-merchant, I underftand ?-Yes, t am.
    How many years have you been concerned in the timber trade?-About fiye, and-twenty.

    Have you had extenfive opportunities of being acquainted with the ftate of oak timber in many counties?-I believe in moft coonties of the kingdom on this fide of the Severn; where timber is grown we have purchafed.

    Then your knowledge extends fully to thofe counties by your experience?-I was fifteen years as agent to Mr. Larkins, and ten years his partner, and in that time I have managed his bufinefs generally.

    Is there not then within your knowledge at this time a confiderable quantity of large oak-timber in the country?-There certainly are confiderable quantities of large timber in different parts of the kingdom.

    By that timber I mean, fit for the immediate purpoles of building large fhips? I fpeak generally of large timber, as you put the queftion as to large timber.

    Is there not a confiderable quantity of timber fit immediately for the building of large fhips ${ }^{3}$-There certainly is a confiderable quantity in different parts of the kingdom.

    Is there alfo a very confiderable quantity of timber of moderate growth, which in the courfe of twenty or five-and-twenty years will be fit for thofe purpofes? - 1 confider there is a much larger propurtion of that than of the Jarger.

    A much larger proportion of that defcription than of that which is immediately fit to be cut for the purpofes of building large thips? - Yes.

    Is there then any reafon to apprehend, that after a lapfe of twenty-five years there will be any deficiency in timber for all poffible purpofes, luppoling in the mean time proper care is taken of that which is coming forward? - It would depend on the contumption, and what the demand was for it.

    I mean, judging of the future by that which your experience has informed you of, is there likely to be a deficiency after twenty-five years? - I confider there is now fome fcarcity of large timber, though there are large quantities in the kingdom; I think there have been very large quantities of timber brought within the laft ten or fifteen years in particular, into this market, or into the dock yards generally, from the Grand Junction and other newly-formed canalls; it of courfe will be fome time before an equal quantity of timber is produced from thofe countries.

    Do you mean, that that time will exceed probably five-and-twenty years; or that at the end of five-and-twenty-years there will be an ample fupply? -I think from the very firuations that the large timber has come from, there will he confiderable quantities of timber more come; in that time it certainly will grow to timber of a fair fize.

    And from thofe places which are not immediately within the vicinity of the canals you have fpoken of, the fupply will be very large indeed, I apprehend? -I confider there were very large quantities of timber ftanding for want' of a market, and which, the moment the canals were opened, were brought into the market.

    That affects only certain diftricts of the country; of courfe your knowledge extending to the Severn, you, know whether there are not in other places where thofe caufes have not operated, large quantities of large timber, and timber in progrefs to be large timber, which at the end of five-and-twenty years, and in the intermediate time, will probably come to the market?-I confider the country is now fo interfected with canals, that the greater part of the large timber from thofe places is already brought; fill it is there where the principal part of the remaining lupply of large timber is.

    Is it within your knowledge, that of late years great care has been taken in protecting the growing timber?-I think very great attention has been paid to it.

    Not merely to that which has been recently planted within late years, but to fome which had been of confiderable flanding before?-I have not feen a great deal of timber planted; I allude more particularly to the care taken of growing tiraber.

    Have you reafon to expect that, from the effect of that care, 2 fupply will be produced adequate to the general demand of the country?-The fupply of the country may vary fo much, that it is impoffible for me to give an opinion upon that head, but I am fenfible that timber grows fafter than what is generally canfidered; I ground that opinion yery much upon a Report made to the Houle of Commons, in June 1812, from the Commiffioners of Woods and Forefts.

    Have you made any experiments of your own, or taken any coldervations which enable you to fpeak of the increafing and progreffive growth of timber?-Mersly in going through the woods at different times when they bave been felled; hawing been in woods which were felled fourteen years ago, at different periodsy, when I have returned to the fame woods, I have been fengible that what remained had increafed much from the former growth.

    Your obfervations in that way were not fo accurate as to enable you to flate any certain refult, but merely that they have made progreffive advance in fize beyond your expectations? - Merely fo:
    I underftand you that the market has bieen rather extraordinarily fupplied of late years? - The price has brought very large quantities to market, timber being in fuch fituations that required a great price to. pay the expences of bringing it.

    If the building of large fhips in this country for the ufe of Government, or for other ufes, fhould be difcontinued, how will that afiect the price of timber?-If timber is not wanted, it muft fall in value.

    Will the cultivation, in your judgment, go on with equal fpirit and care if the demand decreafes?- It cannot be expected, I hould fuppofe.
    Is it not neceflary annually to fell a confiderable quantity of oal-timber for the purpofe of fupplying bark for the tanners? -There is of courfe a confiderable demand annually in the country for bark for the ufe of tanning.
    Has foreign bark been called in, in aid of Britifh bark? - There are confiderable importations this year, and there are very large quantities likely to be brought in this fummer.
    From what countries?-There has beeri fome quantity ghipped, and fome conEderable quantities coming in from Rotterdam, Dort, and Bremen; thofe are the principal ports at prefent.
    Does any knowledge that you bave, enable you to fay whether that foreign bark will anfwer the purpofes of Britinh bark? -It is not equal in value to Britifo bark.
    But yet arailable as a fubllitute? -I believe if equal care was taken of foreigh bark as is taken of Englifh bark, and it was equally well cleaned or fcraped, the difFcrence of quafity would be about one third.
    But things flanding as they are, mhat is the proportion of its value to Britith

    Mr. bark ? - I have known foreign bark brought into this country and fold.at 6 l. . 2 ton at the time that Englifh bark was worth ten or twelve pounds a ton.

    To what do you attribute that difference? -There is a very material difference in the qualities of bark in different countries; in this kingdom, bark in Northamptonthire is certainly very inferior to Kentifh or Suffex bark; there is even a confiderable difference between bark from different parts of Kent.

    Is foreign bark worfe than the leaft valuable of thefe?-I confider the beft foreign bark is fcarcely equal to the moft inferior Englifh bark, the foil has a very. great effect upon the quality of the bark.

    Can foreign bark however be imported in fuch quantities as to fpare, if fparing Thould be neceffary, the deftruction of oak trees here?-I am of opinion it can; and will be brought into this country in very large quantities.

    At what age, as far as your obfervation ferves you, do you reckon, an oak in perfection for the higheft purpofes?-It depends folely on the foil on which it grows.

    But in favourable foils, at what age is an oak reputed to be in perfection ?-There are 'o many different opinions on that fubject, I do not know how to ftate it; but as far as I fee it; I think a hundred years; timber feldom grows better after it has ftood a hundred years:

    Does timber after a certain time grow worfe for tanding upon the ground $?-$ I confider the timber in good ioils will ftand for fome confiderable time without getting really worfe; it does not increafe in fize, and certainly not in quality; uftet the top of the tree begins to decay it certainly gets worfe, it depends on the foil.

    Oak of that growth is that which is ufed for the greateft purpofes in fhip-building? -For the greatef purpofes, 1 fhould prefume.
    You fpeak' of good foil; what fort of foil do you underftand by good for the growth of oak?-The foil moft favourable for oak-timber is deep clay; it certainly grows longeft in that foil, and remains longeft without being decayed.

    Is there not alfo an additional circumitance neceflary to the goodnefs of that foil, that it hould be a good deal watered? - I do not confider that fo by any means.

    Have you any means of forming an opinion what per acre would be the value of fuch foil, if it were cleated of the oaks? - I have very little idea of farming, generally; I confider, having lived in the country for many years formerly, the foil in which oak-timber grows beft is that which would be molt applicable to the growing of good corn.

    Cominittce.]-What rort of corn?-Wheat ; it is good wheat-land.
    Mr.Adolphus.]-You were fpeaking, before thefe queftions were furggefted by the Committee, of trees of one hundred years being fit for the higheft purpores in fhip-building? -For the general purpofes of fhip-building; there are tome particular pieces in fome fhips, fome few pieces, which I dare fay require more than a hundred years, but they are very few in number.

    How many trees of that very large defcription, in proportion to the whole; do you think may be wanted in a hhip?-Not above half a dozen.
    'Out of how many ?-I mean the ftern-poft, rudder, and a few particular pieces; not exceeding half a dozen.

    Committee.]-You think each large hip would take half a dozen P-Yes; there are about half a dozen yery large trees in every lhip of a large fize ; fay 1200 tons.

    Mr. Adolphus. T-Is that in the proportion of one tree to 200 that are wanted for other purpoles? I fhould think it was.

    In the proportion of one to two hundred at the moft?-I fhould confider it fo.

    For plank and ordinary purpofes, will not timber of a much lefs growth fuffice?-For plank, I thould fuppofe trees of 60 years growth would do; and for frame timber about 80 years.

    Have you any knowledge of the Royal forefts by your own obfervation?-1 have not, merely riding through them occafionally; I have been in feveral of them, but I am not able to fpeak of them.

    Have you fupplied Government with timber in the courfe of your bufinefs?-The contract Atands in Mr, Larkin's name, but I am concerned with him in the bufinefs.

    My quellion to you is; has it been within yourview and knowledge, the fupply of TGovernment with timber from your yards? -For the laft twenty:five years we have always had contracts with Government.

    Have you alfo fupplied many of the merchants yards with timber? - We have.
    Has thete been any-differencein the timber fupplied to the one and to the other in any refpect?-Certainly not, it has been equally good,

    Have you lately purchafed timber of private builders, in the Thames, in confem quence of their having no ufe for it ?-Confiderable quantities; we have purchafed timber wherever it could be found.

    What was the quality of that timber which you fo purchafed of them?-Very good.

    To what clafs of perfons did you fell it?-To Government; it went upon our Government contracts.

    Is it within your obfervation, that the cultivation of timber generally has much increafed in the country within the late few years? - I think there is much more at, tontion paid to timber in woods than ufed to be; confiderably more.

    Has it increafed to fuch an extent as to promife great aid to the future fupply of the country? - I always have been of qpinion, that we fhould have a greater fupply of timber, if fair prices were given, thirty years hence, than we have now.

    Does that obfervation apply as well to oak as to other timber?-1 fpeak only of oak.
    Can thofe prices, which you judge neceflary for the encouragement of the growth of timber, continue to be given, if the building of large fhips is in any confiderable degree removed from this country? -It will depend upon whether Governs ment wanted timber or not.
    But if Government do not want the timber, and the building of large thips is removed from this country, will it permit fuch prices to be given as you think necef, fary for the encouragement of the growth of oak?-It depends upon what quantity of building there is of large chips of four or five hundred tons. If there is building of fuch Thips, as there is fometimes at Shields, Newcafte, and other places, a con* fiderable quantity of timber might be taken of by them.
    Do you think that timber to be taken off fo will compenfate for the abfence of the building Eaft India ©hips?-If there were no large fhips building in this river, of courfe it mult affect the price of the timber in the river Thames, becaufe it would be rhore expenfive to take that timber down to Newcaftle.
    Committee.]-Butif it grows in the north country, the Newcaftle market would be more favourable to it ?-Certainly.
    If there is a market for it at Newcaflle and there is not a market here, the value of it will increafe at Newcaftle, and decreafe here?-1 am afked, would it not decreare the price of timber in thofe countries, if large, fhips were not built in the river Thames; of courfe it muft affect the price of timber in Berkfhire, and the adjoining counties here.
    Mr. Adolpbus.]-If the building of five or fix, or feven Indiamen annually, were taken away from the Kingdom altogether, would that, or would it not, affect the price of timber in the Kingdom?-It certainly would reduce the price of timber...
    Would it be worth while, then, to let timber grow to the fize that is necelfary for the building thofe fhips in the time of peace, when Government would not want that timber? -I have always ftated, that the price of timber muft materially affe $A$ the minds of country gentlemen as to growing it ; they look at their own intereft of courfe, very clofely; and if there is no market for their timber, and the price of it goes down, of courfe they will not pay the fame attention to the prefervacion of it ; and upon nine tenths of the eftates where I go now to view timber, the infructions are to take only what has done growing; was there no demand, and country gentlemen were not likely to be paid in fome refpect for the ftanding of their timber, of courfe the fame attention would not be paid to it.
    At what period is the bark the beft upon the oak trees p-Taking weight and every thing into confideration; bark muft be, as to real quality, as good or better when a, tree is about from 20 feet to 30 feet, than at any other time.
    That is fome confiderable time before it has done growing ?-Long before it has done growing.

    Then would it be a temptation, in the cafe I put to you before, for a country gentleman to cut bis trees for bark and inferior ufes, inftead of letting it ftand for - (72.)
    timber? - Was a country gentleman really to be awate of the time when it would pay him beft to deftroy his timber, upon that principle it would be much earlier, the bark of timber of five foot in a piece paying much more than bark of timber of 40 feet in a piece, in proportion to the quantity of timber.

    If I underftand you rightly, there muft be fome other motive befides the indemnity in money, to induce a gentleman to let his timber grow to a large fize?The pleafure of feeing it grow, and the fhelter it affords, and the beauty to his place.

    Has the effeat, generally of all motives hitherto, been to produce a large fupply of timber?-1 think we are indebted to the pride they have in feeing their timber grow now and then for a fupply of timber; very great quantities of the timber I : fpeak of, as large timbet in the country, is ornamental timber, which it is very difficult to perfuade gentlemen to cut down.

    But the temptation of a large price has induced them to bring that to market? In many inftances it has.

    Is it probable that that temptation would continue if Government were the only. purchafets, and there were no competition in the merchants yards?-If there were no competition in the merchants yards, Government would not long give their prefent prices, I am afraid.
    I think I onderftood you to fay, that Mr. Larkins has contracted with Government for the laft 25 years?-Ever fince I have been with him, and perhaps for: five years before, he has had contrács with Governmerit.

    What was the form of the contract that he entered into laft ; I mean, before one perhaps which is a renewed contract?-In 18 ro there was a contract, I think, made for five years.

    Did that contract expire, or was another contract founded uponit?-An additional quantity was fupplied upon the fame contract.

    Then, is that contract to run out in 1815 , or has it been renewed for any longer ferm?-There has been an additional quantity of timber contracted for in the beginning of 1814; there was one contract in 1810 for about 46,000 loads of timber; there has been one in 1813 for 40,000 loads of timber; and one in 1814 for 40,000 loads of timber.

    Each of thofe to be fupplied within what time? -The time for the expiration of the whole is in 1816, I think.

    Was your laft contract effected at advanced or reduced prices?-The contract of 1813 was at an advance from. that of $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$; there was an additional quantity. in 1812 , upon which we got 5 per cent. advance, and the fame advance upon the. contract of 1813 and 1814.

    Always advancing 5 per cent. ?-No; the contract of $\mathbf{x} 8$ 1o was upon certain terms. In 1812, at the time that timber was fo much in requeft, 5 per cent, was. given in addition to thofe prices of 1810 , which are the prices of 1813 and 1814 .

    Do you mean that Government, in confideration of the hardnels of your contract, advanced your prices, or what?-In confequence of the high prices that were giving by the merchants builders, they advanced their prices 5 per cent. upon the quäntities we were offering anew.

    Then they did not relieve you as to your old contract at all?-No.
    And the price you affixed in 1812 has been maintained in 1813 and 1814 ? - Yes; in 1813 and $18{ }^{1} 4$.
    Have you made any tendè to contract for any additional quantity? -The laft tender was in 1814:
    I believe the quantity wanted is advertifed for, and you tender the contract; is not that fo ? -No , it was not fo in this inflance.

    Then it was matter of private arrangement between you?-In 18:10 the Board advertifed, and there were no tenders; and we afterwards tendered.

    And from that time you have continued to fupply Government without any advertifements for a tender? - There have been no advertifements for tender fince.
    Is it in the power of Government to abridge the contrad; to fay they do not want the timber, and therefore not to take it? - No ; it is binding to both parties.

    You have made no contract for any future time beyond the contralt you are now engaged in, r underftand?-None.
    Did you offer to fupply, at the option of Government, any given quantity withiry a certain time? -There has been no other offer made but according to the terms of the contract which has been entered into.
    $\because$ Was thatr offet precife as to quanity' and duration at the time you madeir, or was
    it open to a fetilement? -The offer was for 40,000 logds as accepted.
    And within whiat time ?-We have, I think, till Lady day 1816 to complete the whole.

    Was' that the term fixed by the contract of 1810 , or by the fubfequent contraas ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - By the laft coniruct it was not precifely upan the fame terms, becaufe upon the laft contract the Board infifted upon' not taking but a certain proportion of fruall timber; contending that their quantity of finall timber increafed, and they wanted a greater portion of targe inftead of fmall tinaber.

    Do you know of any offer having been maxde to fupply Government with timber for feven years ?-I do not know of any fuch offer; by thole I am comected with in bufinefs, certainly no fuch offer has been made-

    Have you any knowledge of the Navy Board having imported timber of vanous defrriptions in aid of oak timber of Britifh growth ?-Merely from feeing the timber in the yard, or thearing that there are fuch contracts made.

    From what countries'does that come, do you know?-They have contrals for timber from South America to fome extent I believe, but I am merely fipeaking to what I hear.

    What defription of timber is that?-A fpecies of mahogany, I think; it is low mahogany; it is very ftrong.

    Committee.]-And good for fhip-building ?-And good for hip-building; it comes from Rio Janeiro.

    Mr. Adolphus.]-Here are other places named to me, Dalmatia and Albania ? -I have formerly feen timber at Woolwich-yard from Dalmatia, prize timber, but it was originally intended to be brought inta this country; it was feized by the enemy, and captured by us, and brought into this country.

    Can you form any opinion as to the extent of thofe importations, either in quantity or coft ? $\sim \mathrm{No}$, I cannot; the prices will be pretty confiderable, but the timber I dare fay is very durable; I am fpeaking of the timber from Rio Janeiro.

    Can thofe importations be fo continued as to have any effect upon the price of oak in this country ?-They muft tend eventually to reduce the price of oak, 1 fhould fuppofe, but they are very neceflary at the fame time for the wants of the yard.

    Have you any knowledge of the number of timber-merchants in various parts of the country who have failed lately, and do you know from what caufes?-I do not confider that great numbers of timber-merchants have failed in the country; I am not aware of any particular failures among the timber-merchants, not among any partis cular ones of late; in London there was a houfe failed of confiderable confequence fome time ago.

    Do you know of any number of hip-builders in the country having failed, and from what caufes?-There certainly have been a very great number of fhip-builders failed.
    Can you alcribe that to any caufe within your knowledge? $\rightarrow$ From the very high price they were obliged to give for timber, no doubt, in proportion to what they got from the Navy Board for their work.

    Committee.]-Then you think the price of timber has been at leaft as high as the prices of thip.building would bear in this country?-I am afraid that is the cafe,

    Mr. Adalphus.]-As far as your obfervation goes, has the advance in the price of timber been in proportion or out of proportion to other articles?-Timber, fince it came into the bufinefs, firt fpeaking of government prices, has advanced from $31.5 \AA$ I think a load; and the prefent price that we receeive for the fame defcription of timber from Government, is about 8 l. 5 s. that certainly is a very confiderable advance.

    Committee.]-Do you think the quantity of timber now in the country is fufficient to fupply both, the Navy and the Eaft India Company, if they fhould continue to build here?-It would depend, of courfe, upon how far Government now want to carry on the fame works in the yards, that they have done for the laft twenty years; we never have confidered that we could buy timber for Government, when there was full work in the River yards.

    Then hitherto the timber has not been equal to the fupply of both?-1n no inflance fince I have done bufinefs; where the River-builders have been fully

    Mr: employed, either in building 74-gun fhips for Governmeht, or Indiamen, have the dock-yards had their fupply.

    From what parts of the country have you derived your largent fupply ? We have derived a great part of our fupply for Woolwich and Deptford yards from the interior of the country, coming down by the Grand Junction Canal; that for Portfmouth has been principally from one fall of timber purchafed from the Duke of Buccleugh, from his eftate at Beaulieu.

    That has been a very large fall ?-Very large.
    I fuppofe there are not many fuch falls in the country? -I do not know of any others of any thing luke that magnirude.

    Have you had any other particularly large fall befides that?-We have had another from the Duke of Grafton, which came quite from the interior of Suffolk.

    Do you fuppofe thofe noblemen have much oak now left upon their eftates?Neither of them have now any confiderable quantity.

    Nor you do not know of any other noblemen or gentlemen who have got a quantity equal to this ?-I know of feveral eftates where there are confiderable quantities of timber that either would not or could not fall to fuch an extent as that; I know of none that could fall to fuch an extent.

    Did any confiderable partiof thofe falls confilt of ornamental timber?-Not a great deal of thofe.
    But of thofe which remain, is any confiderable part of it ornamental ?-Of courfe a very confiderable part of the timber remaining in the country is ornamental ; the Marquis of Buckingham has a confiderable quantity of timber on his eftate, which I was at laft week, but he will not'fell any part of it; it is ornamental.

    Is that of full growth?-Thoufands of loads are ftanding rotting.
    And he will not fell it ? - It is of no ufe.
    Taking the general obfervations you have made in the counties you are molt aca quainted with, is not the greateft proportion of the large oak which would be fit for fhip-building, and which is now ftanding, ornamental timber?-A very great proportion of it certainly.

    Is there not a great part of the country where oak timber will not grow to a large fize ?-Yes, there are, and places where none grows; there are many foils which will bear timber till about fifteen or twenty foot, and then it goes. off. .

    What fized hips would that timber be applicable to ?-I hould think it was applicable to veffels of from two to three hundred tons.

    Not further ?-Not further.
    And there is a greater proportion of that timber than of large timher ?-I do not confider the proportion of that flunted timber in the country is great; there is a confiderable quantity of trees of twenty feet fill growing.

    How long do you fuppofe it will take for thofe trees of twenty feet, in the beft foils, to come to perfection fo as to fuit feventy-four gun fhips? When a tree is twenty feet it grows very rapidly. I confider that the great time that is loft is between its being a foot and ten feet; that from ten to twenty feet it increafes fart; but that when once it arrives at the fize of twenty feet it increafes rapidly. I hould fuppofe that a tree of twenty feet, in twenty years more, upon the average of foils, increafes at leaft to forty feet.

    It doubles in twenty years.-Yes. .
    What do you mean by feet in that cafe?-Feet in round contents, as it ftands.

    Do you fpeak fromi experiments, or merely fron your idea of it?-Mėrely from what I have feen in different parts of the country, trees pointed out to me of different fizes.

    It is what you have been informed?-What I have been informed, perfons 能ting that trees of fuch a fize were of fuch an age.

    You never had an opportunity yourfelf of making any actual oblervation?-From my time of life I could not.'

    It is neceffary to thin thefe woods, in order to encourage the trees to grow to that fize?-Yes it is, but there are different opinions on that; fome people have contended that felling the whole wood at once is beft, but I do not agree with that.

    A tree of forty feet, muft occupy a much large: fpace than one of twenty feet? -Not always a much larger fpace; the circumference of the body of the tree idoes not require a great โpace, it is the branches; and the branches accommodate
    themfelves to the fituation in which they afe; and the tops of a tree in the field, are not fo fmall as in a wood.

    What proportion da you confider the value of the bark bears to the tree?-It varies in different parts of the kingdom, according to the value of bark; but taking the average of timber through the kingdom, I confider that the value of bark upon the tree, is about fourteen pence a foot; that is, about a fourth to the value of the timber.

    Speaking of timber of what age? -Of the fall grown timber.
    Is not timber frequently felled for the fake of the bark, before it is fit for flip-building?-Sometimes people fell it in that flate, but it is not very common.

    Are you not acquainted with fome parts of the country in which oak is grown for the fole purpofe of being cut in a few years for the fake of the bark? -We confider that as the underwood.

    Did not you fome years back fupply confiderable quantities of foreign oak to the King's yards?-Mr. Larkin had a contract for confiderable quantities of foreign oak.

    What, was the quality of that oak? - I believe it was as good in quality as that country produced, or the beft.

    But in comparion with Englifh oak?-It certainly was not equal to Englifh oak.

    Has not other timber been fubftituted for oak in the King's yards?-Yes.
    What timber? -Firtimber, and various foreign timbers.
    How long do you fuppofe fir-timber will laft?-I cannot anfwer that queftion.
    Do you know the difference of price between that and oak?-Government have given very high prices for that defcription of foreign timber, particularly for Dantzic plank, Dantzic oak plank; for the fir-timber they have been importing, they have been giving very high prices.

    Will a thip, built of that timber coft them nearly as much as it will of oak? I have not any idea of that, but they do not ufe that timber wholly for building of thips, it is in repairs moftly.

    And they ufe it when they cannot get good oak?-Yes, they have fubftitutedit very much for beams, in confequence of not being able to get oak-timber of a length and fize fit for beam-timber.

    Have they not made the beams occcafionally of two or three pieces?-They have altered the form of making their timbers, making them in more pieces as well as the beams.

    They bave reduced the feantlings? -They are now building one fhip of reduced fcantlings.

    That is by way of experiment? - I believe it is.
    In fpeaking of large timber, are not the floor timbers very large alfa?-They are according to the fize of the fip.

    They are the largeft timbers?-Not the largef, certainly.
    If in the King's yards they fould revert to the old plan of building and repairing. with oak only, would there be a fufficient fupply to keep up the Navy during the time of war?-They were not able before to keep it up under that plan, they were. under the neceffity of reforting to other timber.

    You mean in the prefent war?-Yes.
    In how many years da you fuppofe a tree will grow, in the beft foil, fo as to be fit for a fhip of from two to three hundred tons?-That would take timber of twenty foot in a tres; $\ddagger$ confider, that a tree is at leaft 40 years, or more likely 50 ; before it gets to 20 feet; it is 3 very long time in getting to that fize.

    What would it be worth at 20 feet, at the prefent price? -It depends wholly on the fituation in which it is, in Surry it would be worth from four pounds to four pounds ten thillings a tree.

    Has the price of timber fallen confiderably, in fituations that are convenient to the building places?-We are giving nearly the fame prices that we have given for the lan three or four or five years to all perfons who offer us timber, and in no cafe afking a deduction of more than five per cent. on account of diftance, for our Gcvernment contrads.

    What length fhould this principal timber in a feventy-four be ?-It would depend upon the length they are to be, whether they are for floors or beams; for beams they may be all lengths, from twenty-four to fix-and-thirty feet or longer, if they can be got.
    (72.)
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    How much fhould they fquare to, for a beam for'a feventy-four?-The largeit' beams are 16 inches or 16 and a half.

    Have not the canals very much increafed the field from which timber has been brought?-Cerrainly, very much, there are very large quantities of timber that we bave brought to market upon our new contract, which without thofe canals could not have been brought for the fervice of the yards.

    If then the tacility of getting timber has been very much increafed, and the price has not fallen, is not it a proof that the demand has rather run before the lupply? -The demand for timber has exceeded the fupply ; we are now purchafers of timber the fame as ever; and I have heard a great deal of timber being offered for fale, and not fold; we have not in any one inftance refufed treating with fellers whenever it was offered to us.

    But you have got as much as you wanted ?-We thall get it.
    Have you reafon to believe what you have heard is true?-I have feen the news. paper ftatements, that there were large quantities of laft year's timber on hand; we have gone through the kingdom purchafing, and $I$ am not acquainted with quantities lying unpurchafed ; I fay, that in juftice to ourfelves, as contractors with Government, that there is no large timber on hand in any part of the country.

    Though it appears that the price of timber, within the laft ten years, has in* creafed, it appears in the laft two years, it has been rather larger than in the preceding time; will you explain that circumftance?-In the year 1812 the demand for timber was fo very great, and the apprehenfions of the gentlemen employed in fhip-building in the Thames, that we fhould not be able to get our quantity, fo great, that they ran up the price upon us, certainly very high; and now that there is not fo great a dernand in the River, we are expecting to purchafe our timber, lefs. by five per cent. this year than we did laft; and I am fenfible that we could not get our quantities at a greater reduction.

    Is there any difference in your mode of fupplying the merchants and fupplying Government?-Very little where we fell them the fame article.

    Is not the timber which is fupplied to Government, formed in a certain degree before it is fent into the yard?-We fend it in, in two different ways, either hewn in the $\log$, as the merchants receive it generally, or if it comes from a very great dif. tance, we find it actually neceffary to reduce it, by fiding it, but we have fupplied the principal merchants yards with fided timber in the fame flate, at the time they wanted it.

    You have fpoken of importation, what occafioned thofe importations?-The very great want of timber in the King's yards, and having no Englifh timber in any quantity to go on with.

    And if there was a fufficiency in the country, was it poffible for them to obtain it ? - The price was not fufficient to bring it from inland counties, and it was not at that time to be obtained.

    Do you think from a general view that you can take from the flate of the timber in this country, it is defirable for maintaining a Navy, and for the general demands for timber, that we fhould look to every refource both at home and abroad?-Fromi what I have feen for thefe laft three or four and twenty years in which I have been in the habit of going into the yards, I certainly do think that evey thing fhould be done to procure timber that it is poffible to do.

    You have fpoken of a want of timber in the King's yards for fhip-building; do you know that there was at any time a deficiency of timber for fhip-building in the King's yards when there was plenty in the merchants yards?-Yes, certainly I do, becaufe one has occafioned the other in a great meafure. I have known when there has been a very great want of timber in the King's yards, and certainly a great plenty in the merchants yards.

    Then the merchants have been fupplied with plenty of timber to the exclufion of the King's yards ? - Yes, becaufe they give a better price.

    Then if the King's yards had been prepared to give as good or a better price than the merchants yards, that deficiency which you obferved would not have taken place? - But I am afraid it then would have been found in the merchants yards.

    Are you partner with or agent for Meffrs. Boucher and Company?-No, they fupply the Plymouth yards and the weftern yards, and we fupply the eaftern.

    Have you any connection with Boucher and Company ?-I have no connection with them whatever.
    Have you not been employed by them heretofore? -Never.

    Did you make any offer to fupply the builders in the River, in 1812 , with as much timber as they might want ?-Certainly not; I am not aware of having made fuch an offer at that time; we had engagements with the Board that we could not. In your refearches did you go through the counties of Kent and Suffex?Certainly.

    You did not find any large quantities there?-There has not been any large quantities of large timber, as we have found in other counties, offered for fale there; when I f peak of large quantities, 1 fpeak of ten or twenty thoufand pounds worth.
    Is not there a large quantity of oak ufed for locks and dock-gates on the canals? -Yes; and it akes fome of the beft timber; and it is a draw-back from the quantity the canals would otherwife bring into the market; a great deal which would be brought by the canals is applied to thofe purpofes.

    Lecks and dock-gates are not made of iron, are they ?-I believe not.
    Mr. Adolpbus.]-I believe there are large quantities of timber flanding in Suffex and Kent?-In the lower parts of Suffex there are confiderable quantities, but I do not know that there is fo much in Kent.
    THE following Accounts, and Papers, were read :
    (24.)-Letter from the Navy Board to Meflrs. Brent - -
    (25.)-Ships in the Service of Goverament which have been under Repair in the Merchants
    Yards on the River Thames -
    (25.)-Frigates, Sloops, and fmall Veffels built for the Naval Service in the Merchants
    Yards - - -

    ## ACCOUNTS, \&xc.

    $$
    -(24 .)-
    $$

    Copy of a LETTER from the Nayy Board, to Meffrs. Brint; dated 23d Jan. 180 g . Meflrs, Brent,

    Navy Office, $3_{3} \mathrm{~d}$ January 880 g .
    We defire you will ufe all poffible expedition in completing the Ships named

    Edinburgh. Dubin. Berbogw. in' the margin, building by you for Government, without letting the two latter fland to feafon, giving a preference to thofe which are moft forward, and can be foonef launched, if all three cannot be taken in hand at the fame time.

    We are,
    Your affectionate Friends,
    Signed. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { F. I. Hartwell. } \\ \text { W. Rule. } \\ \text { H. Legge. }\end{array}\right.$
    (Attefted.)
    Rotherhithe,
    R. A. Nelfon.

    $$
    -(25 .)-
    $$

    Navy Office, $\quad\{$ An ACCOUNT of the Ships in the Service of Government, which have 29th April 1814. $\}$ been under Repair in the Merchants Yards on the River Thames, from the 1 ft January $\mathbf{1 8 1 3}$ to the 1 ft March 1814.

    |  | GUNS or Class. | SHIPS NAMES. |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Sloop - - - Cutter - . | - Raleigh. - Cheerful. |  |
    |  | F. 1. Hartwell. | R. Seppings. | H. Legge. |

    $$
    -(26 .)
    $$

    Navy Office, $\}$ An ACCOUNT of all Frigates, Sloops, and fmaller Veffels built for the 2gth April 1814. $\}$ Naval Service in the Merchants Yards, fince the year 1793; diftinguilhing each year.
    
    
    
    

    ## Accounts.] RELATING TO EAST INDIA-BUILT SHIPPING. 18 i

    

    F.I.Hartwell. R. Seppingso H.Legge.

    ## VI.

    # Select Committee on Eaft-India built Shipping, 

    ## Mercurii, $4^{\circ}$ die Maii 1814.

    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

    ## Mr. Jobn Kerfbaw called in; and examined by Mr. Harrison.

    Believe you are a timber merchant? -Yes.How long have you carried on the bufinefs of a timber merchant?-I bave been about five-and-twenty years in the trade.

    In what particular counties have you the moft knowledge of the fupply of timber as a timber merchant ?-Surrey, Hampihire, and Berkthire-

    Do you know any thing of Wales?-Some little in Glamorganghire and Monmouth hifire.

    Have you alfo had occafion to fee any part of Kent?-Tes.
    Oxfordhire? -Oxfordfhire.
    Da you know any thing of Gloucefterfhire ?-Not much of Gloucefterfhire.
    Occafionally, of courfe, you have vifited other counties; but thofe are the counties in which you confider your connection as a timber merchant to lie?-Yes.

    Is there any quantity of timber in Glamorganhire ?-There was at Brittain Ferry, Lord Vernon's; a quantity, which was fold on the 25 th of April laft; it was put up by auction, I do not know whether it was fold.

    Had you any idea of being a purchafer of that yourfelf?-I had.
    Of what defcription of timber was it? - Very large timber.
    Timber fir for the conftruction of Indiamen and' the large clafs of veness:-xes; I believe it was.

    Can you fecify any quantity of timber in Kent, of large timber $\boldsymbol{i}-$ There is a confiderable quantity at Swanfcomb, near Northfleet.

    What quantity is there in that particular fituation ?-There are about 300 acres of wood land, very thriving timber, and fome full grown amongt it.

    Do you know of any timber of the fame' defeription in Hamphire?-Yes, there is a great quantity at Dogmersfield Park, that was marked with an intention of being taken down this feafon, but from the report of peace they did not take it down.

    That is not felled in confequence of peace, and its being fuppofed there would be a lefs demand for it?-Exactly; at Tilney Hall, near the fame fpot, there; is a quantity now felling, of fine timber, fit for all the purpofes of hip-building.

    When you fay fine timber, do you mean timber, part of which is fit for large veffels?-The greatelf part of it is.

    - When you fpeak of fine timber, and fit for large veffels, what defcription of timber do you mean as to fize? -Timber that would mete from fifty to eighty feet met'ngs.

    Now is there fine timber, of the fame defription, upon other eftates in the neighbourhood you have been alluding to ?-A great deal of fine timber I think at Winchfield Park, I think the name of it is, in the County of Hants.
    I believe you do not know quite fo much of Kent as of other counties?-I do not know much of the Wealds of Kent.

    You have named one or two places, ${ }^{1}$ calling them parks, and fpoke of there being fine timber there; I do not want to afk you as to ornamental timber, my queftions apply to the timber that a proprietor would in the ordinary courfe of the management of his eftare, fell for Tale, 'taking care of the other to grow?-Yes.

    You fay you are not quite fo much acquainted with Kent as with fome of the other colnties; can you fpecify any other places where there is large timber, befides the one you have mentioned? -Not to fpeak to it with accuracy.
     Pinner.
    Is there fine timber there?-There is a very fine lot of timber felling there this year.

    Can you name any other places where there is fine timber now felling?-There is timber felling in the eftates belonging to Ofterly.
    All this is of the fize you have been alluding to?-The greateft part of it of that fize.
    In the courfe of your dealing as a timber merchant, do you ga about the country to look out for timber, or is it offered to you by perfons in the country ?-It is offered to me generally.
    Have you hitherto always had a fufficient fupply for your cuftomers, through the means of private connection, and in that way, without looking out for general fales ?-Almoft always; I have very rarely had any occafion to look out for fales.
    If you had been applied to, fo as to have regulated your demand by the fall of this year, for a quanity of oak timber, what oak timber could you have furnihed to any fhip-builders who wanted it, without any difficulty; taking your ordinary connedion only, what quantity would you have undertaken to have fupplied this year for the next ?-From four to five choufand loads.
    Then I am to underftand that fort of quantity you could, from your mere connetion as a fingle individial dealer, have found without difficulty ?-Yes.
    Committee.]-At what price would you furnifh that quantity for next year, if you had been applied to in time?-Taking it at a certain meting of 60 feet, I frould fay 81. 10 s.
    Deliverable in London?-Yes.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-From your general knowledge of the ftate of timber in the counties with which you are acquainted, have you any apprehenfion of the fupply of the defcription of timber we are allading to falling off? - No.
    Then am I to underfand, that in fpeaking of four or five thoufand load, you are not merely fpeaking of a quantity you could furnifh for one year, but there is the means of going on with any fupply that may be wanted ?-1 have every realon to believe there is from the increaling fock; the timber is thriving very much in the country.
    Is there more care taken' of it now than there was formerly ? - A great deal.
    Does that care extend to the encouraging the growth of it, and the care of it, till it comes into a ftate for large fhips, as well as to the plantation, in all the intermediate ftages?-Yes, from my obfervation, it is every where.

    Does the care alfo extend to that which is the natural growth of the country, in hedge rows, as well as to that which is planted and inclofed?-Yes.

    - Have you been in the habit of fupplying the Ordnance with timber?-I have.

    In what way da you fupply them ; is it by tendering to take contracts, or in what thape do you fupply them? - By tendering to take contratts, of late.

    You fay of late, in what way did you fupply them before? - Fornmerly by private agreement.

    How long have you been in the habit of fupplying timber to the Ordnance in thofe ways, firft by private agreement, and fince by contract ?-All together about ten years.

    When did you firt begin to fupply by tendering for contract?-I think in 1806 .
    Have you fupplied them fince then by private contract in each year, or occafionally ?-Moft years. I have fupplied part of the quantity they wanted.
    Your tender is an inclofed tender? - An inclofed tender.
    Have there been always in thofe years other perfons tendering as well as yourfelf?

    ## -Always.

    How many perfons have generally been tendering as well as yourfelf?-On the lat contrac there were, I belieye, twelve or fourteen perfons tendered.

    What is the laweft number of competitors you recollect in any one year fince you have attended? $\rightarrow$ Sometimes I have not attended myfelf, and from that circum. ftance I am not able to anfwer that; any perfon may attend on behalf of a contractor.

    Mr.JobnKer/baw. . What quantities have you ordinarily fupplied to the Ordnance?-The Guncarriage Department only is fomewhere about a thoufand load, of all large timber; nothing under fixty feet has been receired, two-thirds are always feventy feet and upwards, one-third fixty and upwards.

    What metings would that produce ?-Somewhere between 80 and 90 feet metings.

    And that is for the Carriage Department ?-Yes; the Engineers Department I really do not know the confumption of.

    I apprehend that that is the fort of timber that would be bought for the largeft defcription of men of war?-Yes; I have feen very fine timber go there, fit for that purpofe.

    Timber that would be fit for the largelt clafs of men of war?-Yes.
    Mr. Grant.]-What means have you of knowing their whole confumption in the Carriage Department?-It is regularly advertifed every year what they want.

    Mr. Harrijon.]-You fay that applies to their Carriage Department?-Yes; the Engineers Department are large confumers, but what quantity $I$ cannot fay.

    Have you ever fupplied any for the Engineers Department too ?-Some.
    Of what defcription was it?-They take various fizes, fome frnall and fome Jarge, as their wants may be.

    It is not all of it large in that Department? -Not all of it, a proportion of it.
    Have you, in addition to the timber with which you have fupplied them,' fup. plied them with manufactured timber? -Yes, I undertook the manufacture of the gun carriages, and have fupplied them with fome thoufands.

    Have you fupplied them alfo with mortar beds?-Mortar bed fcantlings.
    Of what defcription have thofe mortar bed fcantlings been? -From 10 to 18 inches.

    What fort of tree would it require to cut a die fquare of 18 inches ?-Timber of about 90 foot metings.

    And you have fupplied them with fcantling, fpecified by contract to be for that purpofe, of that fize?-Yes.

    Have you ever found any difficulty in completing any of the contracts you have undertaken for the Ordnance for this large timber? -Never.

    And you apprehend if the Ordnance were to continue to want fuch timber, you Should not find any difficulty in fupplying them in future? -None. $p$

    Then I am to underftand, that the refult of your experience and judgment as a timber merchant is, that there is no deficiency of fupply of timber, even of the largeft fize? - None.
    I have underfood you not to be in the habit of buying at auction fales of timber?-Very feldom.

    You depend upon your regular connection, and knowing where you can find it if you want it ? - Yes.

    Do you know of inftances where the timber has been actually fold by auction ? Yes; I have always found timber put up, in moft cafes, put up fairly for fale.

    Have you known inftances in which it has been fold ?-Yes; the timber now felling at 'Tilney Hall Park, was all fold by auction this year.

    And that you have before fated to be a very confiderable quantity of large fized timber ? - Yes.'

    And that was bonâ fide fold?-It is fold.
    We have underfood, that the bark is better when the timber has not arrived at its full growth ? - Certainly it is.

    At what age would you confider that the proprietor of the timber, if there was no demand for large timber for thip-building, could make the mont of his timber, by taking it for bark and the fmalleft fized hipping ?-From forty to fifty years growth.

    Committee.]-That would be af the age at which it would be molt for his advantage to cut it down ? - Yes, for the value ot the bark.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-If large fhips were not built in this country, but transferred to any other country, what effect would that produce upon the market for timber ?Probably a very great depreffion; fo that perfons growing timber would not let it grow to that lize that it would be ufeful for thip-building, they would cut it at a younger age for the bark.

    Would timber of about 50 years growth be faleable for a fmaller clafs of veffels? -Y'es.

    Then the period at which it would be moft valuable to the land-owner, would be when it was. at the beft age for bark; and at the period when it would be fit for the fmall-fized veffels, which would be left to be built?-From forty to fifty years of age.
    In your judgment then, would the removal of building of large thips from this country to any other, be productive of any bad effect?-I think it would.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

    The timber to which your evidence has applied has generally been full-grown uimber, has it?-Yes.
    In the courfe of your obfervation on the fate of timber in the counties you have mentioned, your attention, I prefume, has generally been called to the timber actually fit for the ules of thip-building?-Yes.

    Have you obferved with much attention, the ftate of the growing of the young timber?-Yes, I have.
    When you ftate, that in your judgment, there will be no fcarcity of timber, to what period do you mean that remark to extend; do you mean to fay, for the next 40 or 52 years? -I think the fucceffion of young timber will make up for the deftrution of the old.

    Do you think there is plenty of timber in the country, to keep up the prefent fupply for 40 or 50 years to come?-I do.
    Do you know that there has been a confiderable increafe in the price of timber of late years?-Yes.
    To what do you alcribe that increafe?-To the long land-carriage and dear times.
    Do you mean to fay, that the price of timber growing has not increafed of late years? -Yes ; certainly, it has increafed.
    The price you, the timber-merchant, pay to the landholders? - Yes.
    Then the increafe of the price of timber, as you fell it, is not to be refolved wholly to the increared expenfe of carriage?- Not wholly fo.
    Can you flate what has been the increafe in the price of timber, to the owners, of late years ; \#ake ten or twenty years?-More than double; treble nearly, wihin my memory.

    You mean to fay, that growing timber is of three times the price that it was 25 years ago, to the owners?-Thereabouts.
    To what do you afcribe this increafe in the price of growing timber?-I prefume, from various taxes, that the landholder is neceffitated to pay, and the price of provifions, and fo forth.
    Do you mean to fay, that all the expenfes for provifions or of taxes, which the landholder has to pay now, are three times as great as they were 25 years ago?I Thould think from my judgment, very near.

    Have the prices of all articles increafed in that proportion ?-I think they have.
    You know of no direct tax on growing timber?-No.
    You fpeak of the expenfe of carriage; is not the expenfe of carrage, in fome inftances, diminifhed by the ufe of canals? -In fome degree; where the land carriage is got rid of, certainly ; canals are a dear carriage.

    We undertand there have been very great fupplies of timber from canal countries? -Yes, there have.

    Then, if in fpite of that, the expenfe of carriage has upon the whole increafed, I fhould prefume, that timber is now brought from a greater diftance than it ufed to be?-Yes, certainly.

    Committce.]-What is the reafon of that ; mult it not be becaufe it is rarer in the countries from which it wed to be brought?-It has certainly become rarer, nearer the metropolis.
    Then thofe parts of the country near the metropolis, are thinner of timber than they ufed to be?-Yes.
    Mr. Grant.]-You ftate, that in your judgment, there will be no deficiency in times to come?-I do.
    You do not mean to flate, that in point of fact the quantity of timber in the country has not greatly diminifhed within the laft twenty years ?-There certainly has been a diminution of timber within the laft twenty years.
    Then when you flate that there will be no deficiency in future, you take into your account, that the quantity of timber is likely to go on dimininhing as it has
    (72.) 3 A diminifhed?

    Mr. JobnKerfbaw. diminifhed ?-No, I do not think it is ; there will not be near the confumption there has been;

    Then when you flate, that there is not likely to be any deficiency of timber in future, your meaning is, that there is not likely to be a deficiency in proportion to the confumption of timber in future? -No; nothing near it.

    On the fuppofition then, that there fhould be the fame confumption of timber in future years, you are of opinion, that there would be a deficiency in the fupply ? No, not immediately; or I do not think there would be at any time.

    Committee.]-Suppofing the confumption to remain what it has been?-Yes.
    Mr. Grant.]-I underftood you before to ftate, that in pronouncing that it was not likely there would be any deficiency in future years, you took this into your account, that there was likely to be lefs demand in future years; do you now mean to fay, that even if the demand remains in future as it has been of late years, there will be no deficiency in all time to come?-I think not.

    Then you will explain why you are of opinion that the' diminution in the quantity of growing timber, which has been gradual of late years, fhould not continue in years to come?-I conceive there is already in the country, in various counties, a fupply of from five to feven years ready cut, taking in this feafon, if no fhips were built.

    Do you know where that quantity of timber ready cut is? - In various parts of the country I have feen it; I have myfelf four or five hundred load of timber, and other perfons have fome quantity.

    Committec.] - What time does it take fully to feafon timber after it is cut down? They generally convert it in one year, and ufe it the next.

    Then five or feven years would be a moft extravagant time to let that timber lie after it was cut?-It would.
    How do you apprehend this timber has been cut down, on actual purchafes, or on fpeculation?-In fome inftances the owner has cut it down on fpeculation, to fell.

    Do you know any inftance in which any one merchant bas got 400 load of timber which has been cut four years?-No.

    > Mr. Harrifon objected to the line of Examination.
    > The Witnefs was directed to withdraw.
    > The Committee Room was cleared.
    > The Counfel and Witnefs were again called in, and the latter part of the Evidence being read over, the Examination proceeded as follows:

    Comanittee.]-Do you fill adbere to the opinion you have expreffed before, that there is a fupply of timber for five or feven years to come, now cut down? - I meant to fay, that exclufive of the navy, and the profpect there is in the Thames at prefent, there is. a fupply for feven years, including that cut and cutting this feafon.

    Mr. Grant.]-Do you meain to fay, that the quantity now cut will be fufficient for five or feven years, on the fuppofition that there is no demand for timber for flaip-building ?-Yes.

    Am I to underftand by your anfwer, that on the fuppofition that no thips are built in the Thames for the next five or fix years, the timber now cut down will laft for five or fix years?-Yes, that is what I mean, now cut and cutting.

    Then I wilh you to explain how this can be reconciled with your former ftatement, which was, that although the confumption of timber in this country were to continue in times future as great as ir has been in times paft, ftill you thought there would be a fufficient fupply to meet that demand, becaufe there was ready cut timber on hand for five or fix years?-That part of my evidence, I believe, I have corrected, by faying exclufive of hip-building.
    Then I wih to know, whether, when you fay exclufive of fhip-building, you mean to fay fhip-building on the River, and for the navy? or whether you mean alfo thip-building any. where in the kingdom ?-I mean to exclude the whole of the navy, and the fhip-building in the River.

    And you do not mean to exclude the fhip-building at the Outports?-NO, there are none but fmall fhips, I conceive, built there.

    You mean to fay now, that there is timber enough cut to laft for five or fis years, whatever quantity of fhip-building may go on at the Outports, fo that none of that timber be ufed in the River, or for the navy?-Any thing extraordinary, that I max not be aware of, I cannot anfwer for.

    Are you aware to what the annual tonnage built at the Outports amounts? Mr.JobnKerfaav. I am not. 1

    Then how can you ftate any definite opinion as to what quantity of timber may be requifite for fhip-building at the Outports?-I have always confidered it very fmall.

    Have you founded that opinion upon any perfonal knowledge or obfervation, or is it only a loofe conjecture?-Perhaps it may be a loofe conjecture, if any thing.

    I thould wifh again to put the queftion to you; fuppofing the future confumption of timber for fhip-building in this country to be as great as it has been of late years, are you or are you not of opinion that there will be a deficiency in the fupply of timber?-I am of opinion there will be none.

    You have already ftated, that the quantity of timber in the country has decreafed of late years?-It has decreafed, I believe, of late years.

    And I underftand you to have ftated, that it has decreafed gradually ?-I believe it has.

    What are your reafons for believing it will not go on decreafing, if the fame quantity be required?-Becaufe there is fo much flanding in various parts, that might have been cut before had it been required, and the young timber coming forward.

    In point of fact, the timber in the neighbourhood of the metropolis has been greatly confumed $P$-It has.

    And the timber that has been very lately ufed, has been brought from remoter parts of the country? -Some part of it.

    Have you not been getting further and further from the metropolis in procuring timber?-Yes.

    Then if that is to continue, what is your reafon for believing that the timber in the country will not materially decline?-I think there is fo much growing and coming forward, that it will not decline.

    Will you ftate precifely to what parts of the country you allude, when you flate there is a great deal of timber growing and coming forward ?-'There is a great deal in Kent in the firft place, a great deal in Berkfhire and Hamplhire.

    You have flated very precifely where there is timber of a large fize; I wifh you to fate more precifely where there is this growing timber, not merely the counties, bat the places?-I bave named one place; Dogmersfield Park is full of growing timber, and the whole eftate belonging to it; I do not know much of Kent, but there is a tracc of land in Kent of near three hundred acres, with a great deal of fine timber on it.

    Do you know what the annual confumption of timber for fhip-building has been of late years?-No.
    Is timber brought from any other counties than thofe you have mentioned? Yes.

    I believe many others?-Yes, from moft counties.
    Do you know what has been the average quantity that has been fupplied by all the counties in England of late years?-No.

    Then if you neither know what quantity of timber is annually demanded for fhip-building in this country, or what quantity has annually been fupplied by all the timber counties of England, how can you take upon yourfelf to ftate definitely, that in future years the fupply will actually meet the demand?-From obferving fo much timber growing wherever I go.

    Have you made obfervation upon all the timber counties in England?I have not.

    Then from having obferved timber growing in fome places which you have vifited, you fate it as your judgment, that there will be always a fufficient fupply to meet the demands of fhip-building ?-Yes.

    You have no other ground in fact for your judgment than a partial obfervation? No.

    I underitood you to fay, that you could furnifh, if it were required, from four to 5,000 loads of timber this year, for the next year, at 81. ros. per load, if it were required?-Yes.
    Do you allude to timber for large fhips? ? Yes.
    Do you mean to fay, that the price of timber for large fhips has lately been 84. nos. per load?-Yes, thereabout.
    $M r . J_{o b n K e r / \beta a w w}$. Have you not received more yourfelf within a twelvemonth ?-Yes; I have in a fmall way, in a retail way.

    What has been the price of timber of late ?-That is about the price taken generally.

    Have you not yourfelf fold timber at a higher price than that? -I have.
    Will you ftate at what you fold it ? -I have fold timber at 9 l. a load, and gl. ros., and rol. a load.
    When ?-In the courfe of this laft year.
    Then what would make you take that fmaller price for it?-One is retail; and the other would be a wholefale market; one is picked timber, the other is taken generally.
    Does it make a great difference, whether timber is retailed, or fold by wholefale? - Moft affuredly fo.

    You have been afked about park timber; do not you know in fome places ornamental timber has been cut down of late ?-It has; occafionally.

    Do not you afcribe that circumftance to the great demand which there has been for it ? -No.

    To what circumftance then do you afcribe it?-I conceive from the perfon wanting to turn it into money, more than any thing elfe.

    And I prefume alfo, from his knowing that he fhould get a good deal of money for it? - Exactly fo.

    You ftate yourfelf generally to have timber offered to you, and not to have enquired for it?-Yes.

    That being the cafe, how has it happened you have had fo many opportunities of perfonally obferving the eftates on which timber is growing in this country? By going to fee the timber which has been offered to me.
    I underfood you to fay, that fome timber which had been marked for being felled of late, is not felled, from the profpect of peace?-Yes.

    In what way do you fuppofe that the profpect of peace has prevented the felling of timber?-The grower might conceive he would not get fo much money for it at prefent.
    Is a fall in the price of timber generally to be apprehended from a peace?-Yes.
    What would occafion that circumftance?-I really cannot fay, perhaps from not aving fo large a demand.
    Would a fmall demand be occafioned by fewer fhips being built, or in what way ? - By fhips not being built.
    You ftate you have been in the habit of fupplying the Ordnance with timber? Yes, I have.

    Can you fate with what quantity you have fupplied them? -I am not prepared to fate the quantity.
    At what rate of price have you fupplied them?-About rol. a load; it has been all large prime timber, always; nothing under fixty feet metings.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

    You fay about rol. a load?-Yes.
    Is that the precife fum, or fomewhere thereabouts?-Sometimes a little more, and fometimes a little lers.

    I cpprehend, if you fell a quantity of any defcription, if it is fixty or feventy feet, it bears a proportional high price ?-Certainly.

    What I mean is, if timber at fixty feet metings is at any given price in the market, all above that fize ftands proportionally higher in price?-It does.

    I think you faid about 86. 1os. was the price now? -Yes.
    Do you get 8l. Ios. as the price of fixty feet metings? - Yes,
    Is that lower than it was a year or two ago? -Something lower.
    Then has the market price of timber been falling, even before the profped of ' peace na: confidered as certain?-Some little.

    You cunfidered it rather as going down, even before peace might otherwife affeat it? ? Yes.

    You have been afked, as to the timber near the metropolis; I apprehend when you are callel upon to fupply timber, you of courfe look to that which is withinthe neareft carriage ? -Yes.

    And when that is exhaufted, you go a little wider? - Yes.

    And in the mean-time, the timber that is not fit to cut in the vicinity of the Mr.JobnKerfiazu. metropolis, keeps growing into a flate to come forvard for ufe again?-Yes.

    You have been obliged to extend your circle, you have told us; the timber near the metropolis has been confumed, and therefore you have gone wider; why have you gone wider, and to greater diftances?-Perfons only thin their timber, and let the remainder fland fome years, fo as to bring it in regulariy.

    When you ftate that perfons thin their timber, do you mean, cut that which has arrived at its growth, leaving the other to grow? -Yes.

    Whereabouts is Swanfcombe, that you gave us the name of, how far from London is it?-About twenty miles, within a mile and a half of the Thames fide.

    If timber is felled this year, about what period do you carry it off the ground, ordinarily fpeaking? - In the courfe of one year.

    It mult be carried off in the courfe of one year?-Yes.
    It is barked, I apprehend, while it is on the ground? -Yes.
    And then carried away, to wherever it may be wanted?-Yes.
    Then it is converted, and afterwards brought into ufe?-Yes.
    About what period therefore is it, unlefs in cafes of very fudden demand, and where they mult get hold of it and ufe it as quick as they can, from the time they cut it, till it comes into ufe; fuppofing timber cut this year, how long would it be before it would be ufed? - In the courfe of the next year.

    You have ftated your belief in the quantity being fufficient to fupply the demand, to have arifen from obferving the timber growing? -Yes.

    Have you, as a timber merchant, any means of knowing, by the prices and competition, and your communication with other dealers, what is the general ftate of the market as to demand, whether the demand is very rapid and quick, or not? -There is no demand at prefent.

    My queftion is, whether as a timber merchant, the general fate of the market muft not be fo known to you, as to give you means of judging, from that circumfance, of the fupply and demand, as contrafted with each other?-Yes.

    I apprehend there muft be that fort of communication always in the trade, one with another, as to give you a knowledge of that circumftance?-In fome degree.

    And at prefent, you fay, there is no demand?-No; there is not a fhip building at this time, I believe, in the River, out of the King's yards.

    And as far as your knowledge goes, you do not know that there is any demand for large timber?-I do not.

    If there was you would have no difficulty in fupplying any quantity ? - No.
    In Kent and Suffex is there a general growth of timber in the hedge-rows, and throughout the country, without alluding to woods and parks?-I believe there is.

    Does your opinion, as to the fate of the fupply, comprize that quantity, as well as what is in large woods?-Yes.

    Is that defrription of timber, as well as the timber in woods, more taken care of now than it was formerly? - Yes.

    And your opinion, I undertand generally to be, that from the care that is now taken of timber of all defcriptions, that the timber in a younger ftate of growth will come forward, fo as to keep up the fupply for any demand that may be wanted? Yes, that is my opinion.

    ## [Examined by the Committse.]

    Is not the price of timber governed entirely by the proportion between the demand and fupply? - I do not think it is altogether; certainly it makes fome material difference whether there is a large demand or not.

    Has not it rifen when there is a fmall fupply and a large demand, and fallen when there has been a large fupply and a fmall demand? - Yes.
    What other circumftances enter into the price of it?-I believe the great expences the growers are at, in the various demands for it they will get as much as they can for it.
    But does not as much as they can depend upon the demand ?-Yes.
    Do you know what proportion the confumption of the navy bears to the general proportion of the country for other purpofes?-I do not.
    Have you any fort of calculation or conjecture of that?-No.
    Do you know what the general confumption is?-No.
    You have no eftimate of that general confumption ?-No, I have not.

    Mr. UohnKeyfoniv. Then upon what grounds car you take upon yourfelf to ftate, there is a fafficient quantity cut and cutting for five or feven years ?-There are various quanuties of timber felling.

    If you have no conception of what is the general confumption of the cauntry, howe ycan you flate there is cut and curting fufficient for five years fupply of that timher? -I only know the timber lies on hand a greag deal when there is no fliptruilding, and there is a great quantity cutting of various forts.

    Do you recollest a period when there was no ghip-building before the prefont?No.

    Then how can you fpeak of what happened at periods, the occurrence of which you do not recollect i-I only give it as an opinion; I am niot at all prepared for this examination; I knew nóthing of it till laft night at feven o'clock, or I hould be prepared with more information.

    Is not the greateit proportion of the large timber you have obferved flanding in the countries which you have vifited, ornamental timber? - No.

    Is it a ufual practice for perfons only to thin woods, and not to cut them entirely When they make a fall of wood?-lt is generally fo, they merely thin it,

    What do, you mean by thinning it?-Taking out the timber that has got toits full growth.

    And lesving all the rett?-Yes.
    And that is the ufual practice of felling woods?-1t has been the ufual pratice of late; there have been fome woods deltroyed.

    Abopt what is the age, of what you call the young wood you bave generally ob. feryed ?-From tiventy to forty years growth.

    Is ngt the greateft proportion of the plantations that are made much under that? - A great paft of them, certainly.

    At what period are trees fit for being applied to the ufes of the pavy and the ordnance? -If it is good foil, from fifty to fixty years.

    Then, in the courfe of your experience, you have found that trees grow, to a fize to be fufficient for the purpofes of the navy: and the ordnance, that is the largelt and prime timber, in fifty or fixty years ; that is the refult of your experience? -That is my idea of it.

    How much timber is conrained in a tree of the largeft fize, that is fit for the largeft haval purpoles and for the ordnance? - It depends, wholly upon the growth; one tree of Gifty fect maybe as good as another of a hundred for the fame purpofe; fome grow crooked, fome grow ftraight.

    Generally fpeaking, what fized trees muft they be, that are to fupply timber Huficient for the purpofes of the navy and the ordnance? - I have already anfwered, that iwo thitds muit be not lefs than 70 feet, and the reft not lefs than 60 feet.

    Do you apprehend, that trees will grow to this fize in 50 or 60 , years; is that the refult of your experience? -I think they would on good foil.

    You bave flated, that you received ten pounds, and fometimes more, a load, for Imall parcels of timber fold in retail ?-Yes.
    Do you call fupplying the ordnance felling by retail? - No; but that is particular tinber.

    What is, the increale of price above 60 .feet metings ; how do you calculate it ? It is generally calculated fixpence per foot per load.
    Have you any contract with the ordnance now?-No.
    You have not fupplied the ordnance lately? - No, not lat year, nor this year.
    You fupplied them with oak in 1811 ?-Yes.
    At what price ?-I believe it was ten guineas; at that time, there was no trees under 70 feet:

    That was in $18 \times 1$ ?-I believe that was the finn, but I am not pofitive; not being prepared for this examination, I have not the documents?.

    Did you tender at lower prices this year -Yes, I did.
    Dia you alfo fupply them, with' ${ }^{2}$ potes ${ }_{2}$ or was that in the general contract? They were in the general contrat.

    At what rate did you fupply the fpokes in 18 s ? ?-The fpokes are converted out of the fmall fized oak timber, Ithink it was ten-pence a piece.
    "tras that the yedr before lap? - Yes
    Did you tender them this year?-I did.
    At a lower price? $-\mathrm{No}_{2}$ at a higher price for fookes; the tpokes are different from any other.

    What may be the average extent of your-dealings in timber: what number of Mr JchnKerflarw.
    loads do you fell annually ?- From five to ten thoufand loads of various forits.
    Do you fuppofe other timber merchants have now on hand the fame proportion of timber that you have ? - I really cannot fpeak to all ; but numbers of them, 1 know, have quantities on hand,

    In nearly the fame proportion to their annual dealings ?-I think fo.
    And what you have on hand is 400 loads? - Yes; I deal very largely in elm, which is a principal part of my bufinefs; that 400 loads is exclufive of elm.

    Whar number of loads of oak do you fell in a year on an ayerage?-Perhaps 2,000 loads.
    Then you have on hand about one-fifth of your annual dealings?-Yes, acecording to that quantity.
    Then how can you fuppofe it will laft you five years? -I do not fuppofe lo; I hope not; I do not fuppule it will laft me five months.

    Are the reff of the trade much mare Incurnbered with flock i-l really cannot tell; there is a great deal lying about in different places.

    Do not the locks of canals take up a confiderable quantity of oak timberi? Yes.
    Of a large fize? -Not of very large fize.
    Sixty feet metings? - No, about forty feet metings.
    Dock gajes will require large timber ?-Dock gates will.
    What fized timber will they require? - I hould fuppofe about fixfy feel meetings they would require one with another.
    Do not you find that the owners of ornamental trees fet a greater yalue upon them than they do upen thofe in woods?-Yes.

    And you find them generally more valuable ?-Yes, they are'more valuable than trees that grow in woods.

    The ormamental timber is molt generally ufeful to you for the ordnance? Yes.

    Do the Committee underfand you to have faid diftincly, that you'think a pree from 50 to 60 years growth will yield from 60 to 70 or 80 fquare feet of timber? -Yes, if the foil is good.

    What do you apprehend a tree of 40 years growth will ordinarily yield? About 40 feet.
    A tree of 20 years growth?-From ten to fifteen; it depends on circumiflances.
    At what time do you apprehend it to be moft for the adrantage of the grower, taking into confideration the benefit of receiving his money and laying it out immediately, to cut his trees?-I fhould fay about 40 or 50 years.

    Then it is not for the advantage of any owners of trees to let them fiand till fixty or feventy years growth?-If there were no profpect of a confumption of them for large fip-building.

    But if there were that profpea, you think it would be for his advantage?-I think it would.

    What would be the difference in round meafure, of the price of a tree, contain. ing from forty to fifty feet of timber, and the price of a tree containing from fixty to feventy feer of timber?-I cannot fay without looking at them.

    Suppofing them to be equally good ?-I cannot fay; it would depend upon cirs cumitances.

    If you faw the two trees, could not you tell what they were worth ?-Y'es; if I faw the two trees.

    Suppofing then that the two trees were of the fame quality, what proportion of price would you give for the one, and what for the other?-A tree of forty feet would be worth $\mathbf{2 0 1 .}$; a tree of fixty feet would be worth 15 l.

    Then in twenty years, it feems the increafe upon 10 $l$. would be 5 h.?-If it grew no more than a foot a year.

    You fay would you give 101 . for one tree, and 15 l. for the other ? - Yes.
    And it would take twenty years for a tree to grow from forty to fixty feet? - Yes.
    Then in that twenty years, the only increafe i can get, is $5 \%$. for lying out of my 10l.?-Yes; you put the queftion in this way; if there is one tree of forty feet, and another of fixty, perbaps that one of fixity might be no older than the one of Forty; that is a very common thing.

    Then you have commonly feen trees grow in the fame field of the fame age; one yielding fixty feet, and the other forty; have you ?-Yes.

    Mr JobnKcrfaww. Have you ever feen a tree of fixty feet that was only forty years old ? I ihould rather fuppofe I have; I cannot exactly afcertain that.

    Any number of them ? - Yes, I think I have.
    Then you do not think it an uncommon thing for a tree to grow to that fize in forty years?-No ; fome foil produces trees much fafter than others.

    But fuppofing a perfon to have experience of the rate at which a foil would grow timber, it would feem, according to your account, it would not be worth his while to let it grow from forty to fixty feet, provided it fhould be twenty years in fo doing?-I believe I have not flated that it would take twenty years to increafe from forty feet to fixty.

    What do you apprehend would be its increafe in twenty years, after it was at the age of forty ? - It would increafe a foot and a half a year.

    That will give feventy feet in twenty years?-Yes.
    What would you give for a tree of feventy feet, fuppofing a tree of forty years to be of the fame quality, fold at rol. the price of that at feventy would be, what? -It would be worth from 18l. to 201 .

    Then at the utmoft, in the twenty years the tree would have only doubled its value; is that what you mean to fay? -Yes.

    Then, now taking into your confideration the advantage of receiving money, and laying it out, is it worth any man's while to let his tree grow from forty years twenty years longer ?-Ifa man wants money, it certainly is not.

    Do you not know that money, in twenty years, will infinitely more than double itfelf?-Certainly.

    Then at the prefent prices, is it worth a man's while to let a tree ftand ?-Certainly not.

    You have faid, that in a good foil a tree will attain the fize of fixty feet or more in fixty years? - Yes.

    The greater part of the oak timber growing in the countries with which you are acquainted, grows upon that good foil?-Yes.

    You mentioned a wood in Kent, of three hundred acres; whereabouts is that?At Swanfcombe.

    What is the age of that wood?-It has been regularly thinned, and a fucceffion followed up.

    And grows upon a very goodsoil?-A very good foil; as good as any in the kingdom.

    And Dogmersfield, is that fituated in the fame way ?-Yes.
    On equally good foil? - Yes.
    Is there any large timber there?-Yes.
    And fome coming in, the fame as the other?-Yes.
    Can you name any other tracts of land?-There are feveral about that neighbouthood, in Hampihire ; but really I do not know the name of them, I am fo unprepared.

    Do you know half a dozen others, do you think, of three huindred acres each ?:Yes, feveral in Eflex twenty and thirty acres together, round the fame fpot.

    Coming to three hundred acres?-Yes.
    Then you are acquainted with four or five thoufand acres planted in fucceffion? Not to that extent.

    Do you think you are acquainted with two thoufand acres?-Yes, Ithink I am.

    Planted in fuch a way, as to come in infucceffive years?-Yes.
    How many trees of fixty feet metings have you feen grow upon an acre? -About 120 I think; I could tell you from fome I took laft year, if I was permitted to come again.

    What circle do you think a tree of that fize makes?-A circle perhaps of three. feet diameter at the bottom.

    But including the fpread of branches and all?-I cannot fay; in woods it does not fpread as it does in a field.

    Can you judge of the number of yards one tree fands from another? -About five yards, I hoould fuppofe; but I cannot correctly anfwer the queftion:
    In anfwer to a queftion put to you, you have faid that the fupply of timber will depend upon thedemand for it ?-Yes, I think it will, in fome degree.

    But you faid before, the great price was owing in a confiderable degree to the prelent heavy taxes?-Yes.

    Thofe who have to provide the fupply of timber, bring it forwards, becaufe the Mr.Jebstieribure price rewards them for their expenfe and trouble in providing that fupply ? - Yes.

    Then the demand maft occafion fuch a price as vill termpt thofe who have to provide the fupply, to provide it?-Yes; and the price alfo will induce the grower rocut it down.

    Do you think that the advantage to the owner of trees, in fuffering his trees to :Itand till they come to large fhip-building fizes, depends upon the prices which he will obtain for them when cut?-Yes, I think it will.

    The building of Eaft India hips is fufpended for the prefent in the River ?-Yes.
    But the building for the Navy continaes, varying according to circumntances? In the King's yards, I believe, oaly.

    Then do you think the market to the owner of the trees is as good now, that it wants the build for the Ealt Indies, as it was when it had to fupply both the builders for the Eaft India trade and the King's yards alfo?-No.

    As to the value of timber, does not the value of timber per foot depend upon its fize as well as its fhape?-Yes.

    Then will a larger tree bear a higher price per foot than a fmaller tree, both having the fame advantages, the value arifing from fhape and faundnefs? -Yes.

    The larger tree will bear a higher price per foot than the fmaller tree ?-Yes.
    Does the price increafe at a greater rate, when the timber runs to the larger fizes? -No.

    But at the fame rate?-At the fame rate.
    What were the prices of oak timber delivered in the River twenty years ago?To the beft of my recollection, 41 . 105 . or $5 l$. a load for 60 feet metings.

    What are the prefent prices?-About 8i. sos.
    When a tree has come to that fize that it contains so feet of Chip-building timber in it, fuppofing it to ftand upon a good timber foil, to .what fize is it likely to come in the next twenty years, if it is fuffered to ftand ?-I have anfweted that queftion before, that it might double its fize occafionally.

    Then it would contain 8o feet?-Yes.
    What would have been, at the price of 4l. Ios. which you;have faid was the price of timber twenty years ago, the value of a tree, meafuring 40 feet, of good hipbuilding timber $?$-About $4 l$

    Then, as by this time it would be doubled in-fize, and at the prefent prices it would be worth 81. 1os. a load, what would be the value of that treep-it' would be about $12 l$.

    Then it would be now, at the end of twenty years, worth three times as much as it was then ?-Yes.

    And if the price thould go on doubling within the next twenty years, it would be worth three times as much as it is now ?- Yes.

    Has the price fallen, fo as to lead you to believe, that more wood has been in the market than was fufficient for the demand ?-Yes.

    Have you a contract with the Ordnance ?-I contracted in part.
    When did you ceafe to contract with them?-I ceafed fending them in oak fince 1812 .

    When you cealed tocontract with them, had you any oak upon hand ?-Yes.
    Much ?-I really cannot fay what quantity juft now.
    You ftate you have 400 load on hand now? -I have.
    Have you fold that oak ?-No.
    Was any offer made to you to.purchafe that.oak which you have upon hand, at a given price ? -No.

    None?-No.
    You are confident no offer was made to take that oak, which you have on your hand, at a given price, fomewhat lower than you had given for it ?-No.

    You are confident of that?-Yes.
    Was any offer made to take off your hands any large oak you could procure within thele few months ?-No.

    - No fuch offer has been made to you ?-No.

    You are confident of that?-Yes.
    Was any thing faid to you on the fubject ?-No.
    Was no queltion put to you, whether you could obtain fuch oak?-No.
    Were thofe 400 loads of oak felled all in one place? $-N o$; in feveral places.
    Did youknow the trees when ftanding? I felled them.
    $\left(\begin{array}{l}72 .) \\ 3 \mathrm{C}\end{array}\right.$
    no
    ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Jobn Kerfawiv. . Do you know their age ?-No, I cannot ftate that; fome of it is fmall timber. What proportion of it is large timber?-Pretty nearly half.
    Are you acquainted with the growth of that?-No.
    Where was it principally grown?-In Oxfordhire.
    And you do not know the age within ten years?-No.
    You cannot tell whether they had been planted fixty, feventy, or a hundred years?-No.

    You cannot form any guefs?-No.
    You are quite fure nobody has made any propofition to you as to timber, and that you have given no anfwer upon the fubject?-No.

    You are quite fure you have not faid to any body you could not get 200 loads for them, or part with any quantity to them?-No.

    Did not you tender to the Ordnance Board laft year ?-Yes.

    ## The following Accounts, and Papers, were read.

    | (28.)-Lofs of the Ship, Earl T albot - - . . ibid. |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | (29.)-Lofs of the Ships, True Briton and Skeltor Caftle |  |  |  |  |  |
    | (30.)-Lofs of the Ship, Prince of Wales |  |  |  |  |  |
    | (31.)-Lofs of the Ship, Ganges |  |  |  |  |  |
    | (32.)-Lofs of the Ship, Octean |  |  |  |  |  |
    | ( 33.$)$-Ships laid down on the Bottom of other. Ships, \&c.: . . . . . . p. 198. |  |  |  |  |  |

    ## ACCOUNTS, \&c.

    $$
    -(27 .)-
    $$

    An Account of all the MASTS, YARDS and SPARS, exported to INDIA by the
    Eaft India Company, in each Year fince 1794.
    

    - (28.)

    REPORT of the Committee of Shipping, the gth June 1802; refpecting the Lofs of the Ship Earl Talbot.

    At a Committee of Shipping, 9th June 1802;
    THE Committee having met for fpecial purpofe of taking into confderation the reference of Court of the 12th ultimo, on a letter from William Moffatt, Efquire, fole owner of the Ship-Earl Talbot, requefting permifion to build a thip in her room: And as it appears by a Letter from Fort St. George in the Public Department, dated the 3oth November 1801 and received the 23 d ultimo, that by 2 letter received from the fupracargoes at Canton, dated the 15th June laft, fating, that as arrivals had taken place from all quarters fince November 1801, without bringing any intelligence of the Earl Talbot, it appears to the fupra-cargoes, that not a fhadow of hope exifted of that unfortunate fhip or crew having efcaped total deftruction; the Committee have, with the affifance of the Company's Solicitor, referred to the ACt of the 39th of His prefent Majefty, cap. 89, fec. 5, which prefcribes the manner in which permifion Ihould be given for the owners of fhips to build others in their room; and the Cormmittee being fully fatisfied that the thip was fored in a fufficient manner at her ouffet for the voyage, and from the experience and ability of
    the commander and officers, it is reafonably to be inferred that no blame attaches to them, the Committee can fee no reafon to object to the building of another fhip in her room, purfuant to the ACt; and fubmit to the Court the recommepdation thereof to the ©General Court, if it hould hereafter' appear that the commander or chief mate have 'furvived the lofs of the late fhip, that the new thip be commanded, in the firft inftance, by the commander, or eventually the chief officer ; provided they arrive in England in fufficient time, and fhall be exonerated from all blame refpecting the lofs of the late fhip.

    > John Roberts.
    > Jacom Bosanguet.
    > EnWabd Parry.
    > William Thornton.

    Joseph Cotton.
    William Bensley.

    > SwEny Toone.

    Read in Coutt $\mathrm{d}^{\circ}$ Die.

    $$
    -(29 .)-
    $$

    :RETURN to an Order of the Select Committee of the Honourable Houfe of Commons on Eaft India Shipping, dated the 26th April 1814, for Copy of the Minutes of Enquiry by the Directors of the Eaft India Company or their Officers, as to the lofs of the True-Briton and Skelton Caftle.
    NO Inquiry was made refpecting the dofs of the Mips True Briton and Skelton Cafte, the whole of the crews having perimhed.

    In thofe cafes of:chips loft with all the crew, where the Act of the 39th and 43d of His prefent Majefty enabled the Court of Directors to give the owners leave to build fhips in their room, a-report', ftating the impoffibility of inquiring fatisfactorily into the lofs of fuch . Mips, was made, conformably to the above Acts.

    $$
    \left.\begin{array}{l}
    \text { Eaft India Houfe, } \\
    \text { 30th April } 18 \mathrm{E} .4 .
    \end{array}\right\}
    $$

    ## J. MORICE.

    $$
    -(30 .) \div
    $$

    REPORT of the Committee of Shipping, on the 6th Auguit 1805 , refpecting the Lofs of the Ship Prince of Wales.
    THE Committee having met for the fpecial purpofe of taking into confideration the Teference of Court of the 26th June laft, on the letter fromPeter E. Meftaer; Efquire, ftating that the melancholy event of the lofs of the thip Prince of Wales, Captain John Price, is but too well confirmed to admit of a doubt of her exiftence, and'requefting the Court will take into confideration the circumfances, attending itis and further ftating, that when he 'Shall have the Court's permifion, he fhall be ready to replace that chip in the fervice; the Committee'have, with the affintance of the Company's Solicitor, referred to the Act of the 39th of His prefent Majefty, cap. 80, and alfo to the Act 43 d of His prefent Majefty, cap, 63:; and as, ne information has been received of the Prince of Wales, fince that fhip .parted company with a homeward-bound fleet on the 27th May 1804, in a heavy gale of wind, in lat. as appears by letters from Captain Thomas Graham, of the Windham, and Captain Samuel Landon, of the City of London, dated St. Helena, the gth Iuly follow-ing:-The Committee report, that they have reaton to believe that all the perfons who were -on board the Prince of Wales, at the time of her lois, have perifhed; and that, as the occa--fion and circumfances relating to her lofs are wholly unknown, there is an impofibility of enquiring fatisfactorily into the lofs of the faid hip: The Committee further report, That they are fully fatisfied that the thip was fored in a fufficient manner as her outfit for, her voyage, and that, confequently, no blame can attach to the owners; and that, from the experience and ability of the commander and officers, it is reafonably to be inferred that no blame attaches to them, and the Committee therefore recommend, that if thefe opinions ahould be approved of by the Court of Directors, in the manner required by the Acts before mentioned, they be fubmitted ta the Gexeral Court of Proprietors, conformably to the faid A气ts.

    The Committee likewife report, That they fee no reafon to object to the building a thip. in the room of the Frince of Wales; and that, if the preceding part af this Report flould be approved by the Court of Directors, and alfo. by the General Court, and it hould hereafter.appear, that the commander or chief officer bave furvived the lofs of the faid thip, the unew inip be commanded by the late commander, in the. firt intance, of erentually the chief -officer, provided they arrive. in England-in fufficient ,time, and ghall be exonerated from all sbame refpecting theilofs of the late fhip.
    

    Joan Hudpleston.
    Wilhiam Thormtong,
    Richand C. Plonedens.

    $$
    -\left(3 r_{0}\right)-
    $$

    REPORT of the Committee of Shipping on the 3oth September 1807, refpecting the Lofs of the Ship Ganges.
    YOUR Committee having met agreeably to the Aft of the 3gth of His prefent Majefiy, cap: 89, for the fpecial purpofe of inveftigating the circumftances attending the lofs of the thip Ganges, off the Cape of Good Hope, on the 2gth May laft, in latitude 38. 22. S. and longitude 19. 50. Eaft, the following Papers were read; viz.
    letter from Captain Harrington, dated the 12th inftant, advifing his arrival in England, and readinefs to attend Your Committee when required, refpecting the lofs of the Ganges :

    Letter from Captain Harrington, dated the itt, and referred by the Court the gth inftant, detailing the circumftances attending the lofs of the hip:

    Letter from Captain Harrington, dated 4 th June 1806 , and referred by the Court the 2gth October laft, enclofing, Copy of a confultation of commanders upon the ftate of the Thip:

    Extracts of the proceedings of the Bombay Government, on the following days; viz. 144h July 1806,-13th Auguft,-19th Ditto,-26th Ditto:-received over land the 17th February laft:

    Letter from Captain Harrington, dated 24th September 1806, and referred by the Court the $15^{\text {th }}$ April laft, tranfmiting Copies of the correfpondence with the Bombay Government, relative to the Ganges being refitted in that port:
    Copy of the Report of the Survey of the thip at Bombay, on the 23d September 1806 , received per Streatham, 16th April laft:
    Sixth paragraph of the Bombay commercial letter, dated 17th December laft :
    Bombay commercial letter dated 10 July 1806 , and received over land the 2d January laft:

    Second paragraph of the Bombay commercial letter, dated 25th Auguft 1806, and received per Experiment the 28th May laft :

    Letter from Captain Harrington, addreffed to the Governor and Council at St. Helena, enclofing an extract from the log-book of the Ganges relative to her lofs, dated the 16th June laft, and received per Earl St. Vincent the 6th inftant.

    And Your Committea having feverally called before them Captain Harrington, the com ${ }^{-}$ mander, Mr. John Rofe, chief officer, Mr. John Robert Blackwell, fecond officer, Mr. Thomas Hooper, third officer, and Mr. John Jones James, fourth officer, alfo Mr. Pifton the carpenter, and examined them very particularly refpeling the lofs of the Ganges, and likewife in regard to the anchors, cables and other-ftores on boatd that thip; and Mr. Robert Maccure, the Company's Surveyor of Shipping, having allo attended Your Committee, in regard to the repairs of the thip on her feveral voyages, bat particularly the laft;-Your Committee are unanimoully of opinion, that the commander, officers and hhip's company, be fully acquatted of all imputation of neglect or mifconduct in refpect to the lofs of the late fhip Ganges.
    Yoor Committee are further unanimoully of opinion, that the Ganges was fully and fufficiently found in anchors, cables and other fores, and that the owners Mould therefore be fully aequitted of all imputation of neglect ar mifconduct in sefpect to the lofs of the faid thip.
    $\begin{array}{lll}\text { (Signed) } & \text { George Milllett. Joseph Cotron. } \\ & \text { Jameb Patisun. } \\ & \text { Joqn Jackson. }\end{array}$ Thomis Reid.
    Read in Court Do Die
    Approved the $7^{\text {th }}$ Ottober following.

    ## -(32.)-

    REPORI of the Committee of Shipping, on the 22d November 18is, refpecting the Lors of the Ship Ocean.
    YOUR, Committee have taken into confideration the reference of Court of gth ultimo, on the letter from Sir William Frafer, Bart. Itating, that as the arrival of the laft China fleet brought no intelligence of the Ship Ocean, fince the parted from the Modefte Frigate, in a violent gale of wind, in September 1810, the melancholy fate of that dhip can be no longer doubted; 'and therefore requefting, on the part of the owners, that an inveftigation may take place into the caufe of the lofs of the above flip, to enable the owners to folicit permifion to build another thip in her room; and your Committee report, that as no information has been received of the Ocean, fince that fhip parted company from His Majefty's Frigate Modefte, in a gale of wind, of Pulo Sapata, the gth of September 1810, as appears by the letter from the fupra-cargoes at Canton, dated the 24 th OQuber $1810_{0}$ and received 20th May laft, and alfo by a Letter from Mr. Pattle, dated the $4^{\text {th }}$ November 1810, and received per Georgiana packet, 24th Auguft 1811; there is every reafou to beliere that the-

    Ocean is loft, and that all the perfons who were on board have perifhed; and that as the occafion and circumftances relating to her lofs are totally unknown, there is no pofibility of enquiring fatisfactorily into the lofs of the faid fhip.

    Your Committee further report, that they are fully fatisfied that the mip was fored in a fufficient manner at her outfit for her voyage, and that confequently no blame can attach th the owners; and that, from the experience and ability of the commander and officers, it is reafonably to be inferred that no blame attaches to them $;$ and that the Committee therefore recommend, that if thefe opinions fhould be approved of by, the Court of Directors, in the manner required by the Acts of the 39 th and $43^{d}$ of His prefent Majefty, cap. 89. and 63, they be fubmitted to the General Court of Proprietors, conformably to the faid Acts.
    (Signed) John A. Bannerman. hobert Wielitams. Richard Tifining. Samuel Davis.
    Read in Court $\mathrm{D}^{\circ}$ Die.
    

    An ACCOUNT of the Names of the SHIPS laid down on the Bottoms of the 'following Ships, and when and where laid down and launched.

    | Names of Ships lot. | Names of Ships built on their Bottoms. | When, and where laid down. | W'hen launched. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | True Briton | None. |  | - |
    | Earl Talbot | Winchelfea | 1t April 1802 ; River Thames | 15th Ottober 1813. |
    | Ganges | Charles Grant | About June 1808; Bombay | About January 1810. |
    | Calcutta | Prince Regent - | 15th December 1810; River Thames. | 29th November 181 I . |
    | Jane Duchels of Gordon | Irincef' Charlotte of Wales | - Do. - - Do. | 3oth Japuary $18 \mathrm{I2}$. |
    | Bengal $\quad \because$ | Bengal | - Do. - - Do. | 18th Deiember 1818. |
    | Lady Jane Dundas | Marchionefs of Ely - | - Do. - - Do. | 26th February 1812. |
    | Experiment - | None. |  | - |
    | Lord Nelfon | Marguis of Wellington' | 3oth April 18 Ir - - Do. | 22d OCtober 1812. |
    | Skelton Cafte | Noine.' ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |
    | Ocean | Lady Melville | 30th May ${ }^{\text {1 }} 12 \mathrm{z}$ - - Do. | 25th Septemberr813. |
    | Prince of Wales - | Aftel | About November 1807 - Do. | 9th December 1809. |
    | Eaft India Houfe 30th April 1814. |  | J. MO | RICE. |

    ## VII.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    $$
    \text { Jovis, } 5^{\circ} \text { die Maij, } 1814
    $$

    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    > [The Petition of certain Ship Owners, Ship-builders, and other Perfons interefted in the Shipping of the Port of Sunderland, was read:
    > No Agent appeared.]

    Mr. Tbomas Alexander called in; and examined by Mr. Adolphus.

    ARE you in the timber trade ?-I am.
    You are the fon of a gentleman who has been here before?-Yes; I am not concerned in partnerfhip with my fatier, but only tranfact bufinefs for him.

    How many years have you known the timber trade?-Between five and fix years.

    In that time how have you been employed ?-Generally going round the different eftates where my father has been, to help him to furvey the timber which he has purchafed, not employing any agents on his part, but merely myfelf.
    In what counties have you feen timber in the courfe of this employment of yours? -Principally in the counties of Kent and Suffex.

    Have you had opportunities of knowing what quantity of timber is annually cut in thofe counties, within the years of courfe that you have attended to them, and whether there is any confiderable quantities now on hand?-I have had many opportunities of feeing timber felled in the counties of Kent and Suffex, and I have no hefitation in flating it to be my opinion, that there is now left Randing a fufficient quantity of timber in thofe ccunties, to anfiwer annually in the proportion that has been cut for the laft five years; I fee n n profpect of deficiency for future demand, if it is preferved in the fame way in future that it is at prefent.

    Do you know whether your father has had any confiderable quantity of timber offered to him in any other county than Kent and Suffex ?-Not this year; laft year my father had a large offer made in the county of Lincoln; I heard the offer made myfelf by the fleward of Lord Gwydir.

    Of oak?-Of oak of a large defcription.
    What was to be the amount of the purchafe?-I believe they faid they could cut 100,000 , worth at Grimfthorpe.

    In the courfe of your travelling upon this bufinefs, in the counties of Suffex and Kent, have you obferved confiderable quantities of timber on the eflates of certain noblemen and gentlemen in thofe counties?-I have; I can name them.

    Begin wifh the county of Suffex, and name the perfons on whofe eftates you have feen confiderable quantities?-The Duke of Norfolk, on his eftates in various parts of the county of Suifex; the Duke of Dorfet, Lord Selfey, Lord Sheffield, Mr. Wood, Mr. James Wood, and Mr. John Wood, Mr. William Borrer, Mr. John Hamlin Borrer, Mr. Beckett, Sir Henry Goring, Mr. Holman, Sir Godfrey Webfter, the Honourable Cecil Jenkinfon, the Reverend Henry Chatfield, Mr. Newnham, Mr. Eversfield, and Mr. Powell: thofe I can fpeak to as having feen.

    When you fpeak of a confiderable quantity of timber on an eftate, can you tell mee what you mean by the fmallert quantity of timber on any of thofe eftates?There may be one or two under $6,000 \mathrm{l}$. from a general view.
    $M_{r}$. What is the amount of the greateft eftate you have feen, with timber upon it, in Thomas Alexander. value ?-I hould have no hefication in giving a gentleman a hundred or a hundred and ten thoufand pounds for it.

    Upon whofe eftate is that ? M Mr. Wood's ; Sir Henry Goring I would give the fame money to.

    Who elfe have very large quantities of timber upon their eftates?-There is a great deal of timber on the Duke of Dorfet's eftates, but not to that extent; I fhould fuppofe, generally fpeaking, the others are from ten to forty thoufand pounds; certainly there is more under 25,000 . ; there are other eflates in the county where I have partially feen timber, and I know from other perfons that there is a great deal of timber on thofe effates; I will name them if you pleafe.

    Will you name the noblemen and gentiemen in the county of Kent, who have confiderable quantities of oak timber?-The Duke of Dorfet in the county of Kent, Major Woodgate, Mr. Ponton, Mr. Symmonds, Mr. Lambert, Mr. Auftin, Meffrs. Goodwins, Lord Gwydir, Lord Stanhope, Lord Amhert, Lord Camden, Mr. Polhill, Mr. Sidney, Mr. Peters, and Mr. Stratford.

    Have thofe eftates generally oak upon them to the fame amount as thofe in the county of Suflex ?-No, certainly not.
    To what amount is the largeft of thofe eftates that you have viewed?-I hould fuppofe between $20,000 \%$ and $30,000 \%$.
    What is the amount of the fmalleft, according to your judgment?-There is one fmall one, but it is remarkably fine timber, I fuppofe worth three or four thoufand pounds.
    From your obfervation of timber in thofe two counties, and your knowledge of it in general, fhould you have any hefitation to undertake to fupply the quantity of timber you have feen fupplied heretofore?-Certainly not; I hhould be very happy to take fuch a contract, not that I meán to fay I could make the gentlemen fell their timber; but there is that quantity of timber in the country, if they would cut it; and very little of it, generally fpeaking, ornamental.
    Is this oak timber to which you have now been directing your evidence, timber fit for the purpofes of fhip-building?'-Yes, it is.

    Is a fair proportion of it fit for the largelt purpofes of fhip-building? -Yes.
    Is it, upon the whole, fuch timber as could fairly be brought to market to anfwer all the purpofes of the London yards?-Moft undoubtedly it is.

    Have you obferved, whether there is due care taken in thofe counties to preferve the fucceffion of timber? - The fucceffion there is in the county of Suffex, is aftonifhing ; every hedge-row almoft is filled with young timber coming forwards; I am not acquainted with the whole of the county of Suffex.

    But in thofe parts where you are acquainted, that is the cafe ?-Yes, there are a great many eftates where I know there are quantities of timber which I have not feen ${ }_{p}$, and therefore do not fpeak of.

    - Committee.]-How old are you?-If I live a fortnight longer I thall be twenty; I have been five years in the timber trade, and Lhave paid attention to nothing elfe.

    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Do you know how many fquare feet there are in an acre of land ?-43,560.

    How many trees of 40 feet will grow upon an acre? -108 , allowing 400 fquare feet to every tree.

    Upon a good foil, how much will each acre, with yo8 trees of 40 feet upon it, improve annually? -Nearly 16I. an acre if they were all cut at that fize; nearly 16\%. per acre if they were all cut at that age; without allowing any thing for the growth of the underwood, every acre of land will pay nearly 161. an acre for 65 years.

    If you had an acre of land planted with 108 trees of 40 feet, do you fuppofe that upon the lame acre there will be other young trees growing alfo?-Molt undoubtedly, if they are properly preferved.

    Committee.]-And will that acre produce 161 . a year ?-In my opinion it will.
    Mr. Adolphus.]-Then if you cut each tree as it comes to 40 feet, you fuppofe in your mind, that the others will be growing up towards the fame fize, and that they will be left? -Yes; but I think if they were properly thinned, and 48 felected out, and 60 left ftanding, they would pay more annually than if they were cut
    at the fize of 40 feet, and make room for the others to come forward, and get larger.

    You are then of opinion, that by prudent and careful management of an acre of trees fo planted, $16 l$. per annum would be the produce?-Yes, if the whole of the timber were cut at 40 feet, it would pay, moft undoubtedly, near 16 l . an acre per annum; but if they were properly felected, taking out the trees that were in the leaft growing ftate, fay 48 trees, leaving 60 trees ftanding, till they came to the age of 85 years; allowing them to contain at that period 88 feet, it would increafe fo as to pay four or five and twenty pounds an acre; upon the whole, faying that you cut 48 trees at 65 years old, that will pay $7 l$ an acre, and the remaining 60 trees would pay between 17\% and $18 \%$., which, if put together, make between four and five and twenty pounds.
    If 100 acres of wood land are taken and managed in the manner you have defcribed, will they produce the fame refult in proportion ?-Moft undoubtedly, in a good foil.

    Befides the ultimate fall of the trees, are there any other advantages of under: wood or fmall cuttings in the mean time?-Certainly, in good fituations, underwood, and including the timber that would be coming forward, four or five pounds an acre; but if you do not include the young timber, perhaps from 20s. to 30 s.
    Do you mean, that from the time the trees were firft planted, or taking your ftand when they have attained the fize of forty feet?-Calculating, that from the age of fourteen years, or rather lefs.
    Do you ftate it to be per annum 20s. or 305 ., or in the year it is felled?-Per annum.

    ## [Crofsexamined by Mr. Grant.]

    I perceive you have got a lift of the eftates in the counties of Suffex and Kent on which you fay fo much timber grows?-I have.
    How long has that lift been made out?-Since laft Monday I think, or Tuefday, I think it was on Monday.
    Was it made out entirely by yourfelf, or with any affiftance ?-By myfelf.
    How lately have you feen thofe eftates which are mentioned in that lift?-A great part of them within the laft fix months; others, generally fpeaking, within the laft one or two years, and the remainder, with very few exceptions, within fix months.
    Did you obferve them with a view to form a judgement what quantity of timber they were likely to afford in years to come?-I obferved, by making obfervations, how much the growth of young timber was encouraged; and likewife, that there was a large quantity of large naval timber flanding on the effates at the time.
    Had you it in your mind to form a judgment what fupply they were likely to afford in future years? -I had it in my mind fo far, by faying, there is fo much timber on the eftate, and I hould like to give fo much for it. I know that timber has not been cut.
    But you have flated in the frongeft manner, that in 'all future time, as far as you can perceive, they will yield as ample a fupply of timber on the average, as they have for the laft five years?-I repeat it again, as being my opinion.
    Having fo ftated, I want to know, how far your obfervation of the timber on thofe eftates was directed to the circumftance of the future fupply?-According as I have anfwered the Gentleman before.
    Having fo ftated, 1 wanted to know, how far your obfervation of the timber on thofe eftates was directed to the circumftance of the future fupply?-I do not mean to fay, that I ever took a particular account of every tree or every hundred trees; merely a general obfervation.
    How long have you been acquainted with thofe eftates?-Some two, three, four, and five years, fome more.
    You have been in bufinefs only five years? - I have been on the eftates before I was in bufinefs, but I did not obferve them at that time; fome I have known more than five years, fome ten.
    I want to know how long you have been in the habit of obferving what fupply thofe eftates yielded annually of timber? -For the laft five years.
    You fay you have known fome of thofe eftates only two years; with refpect to thofe, how can you compare their probable future fupply with their fupply for the laft five' years? -The eftates I have only, known the laft two years, I know from my father's book, and from the greater part of the eftates he has had timber; and (72.)
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    others,

    Mr. others, one, two, or three, that I have known only two years; I know there has been Tbomas Alexander. no timber cut from them for the laft twenty years of any confequence; I know this from my father's books.

    How can you know from your father's books, that no timber has been cut by any perfon whatroever from thofe eftates?-Becaufe my father has had a connedtion with thofe gentlemen, and when they have had timber to fell, they have made it a particular point to offer that timber to him.

    How has all this come to your knowledge?-From my father's books, and from my father.

    Does it appear upon your father's books, what offers are made to him of all kinds, and whether the offer be accepted or not?-It does.

    Does it appear upon your father's books, what offers have been made to other people by thofe owners ? - Certainly not, becaufe there has been none.
    Does it exprefsly appear upon your father's books, that no offers have ever been made by thofe owners to other perfons, and to your father?-No; but I know from the laft five years, that I have fpoken of before, that there has been no offer from my own knowledge; and I likewife know, that no gentleman ever offered my father timber, except in one or two inftances, in which he has not made a point of going over their eftates to look at the timber marked out for fale; and if they have named the price of it, to offer them fuch as he thought it was worth; and from having feen and having had the books in my poffeffion for thefe five years, and from having been with my father at the time the purchafes were made.

    Do you mean to fay that your father has been the only purchafer of timber off thofe eftates?-For the laft five years; if there has been any cut on thofe eftates where my father has purchafed it, I have known of it from having feen it.

    I wih you to fay how many of thofe eftates you have known five years, and how many only two, and how many any intermediate period?-I do not know that I can recollect that; Mr. Beckett's, Mr. Newnham's, Mr. Powell's.

    Can you flate how many of them have a large or a fmall proportion?-I have named three; Lord Amherf's.

    All the others you have known, you have known not more than five years? I have not been in the habit of going over them to obferve the timber more than five years; I have known the eftates longer, many of them.

    You have no means whatioever of comparing the quantity of timber now grown on thofe eftates, with the quantity of timber which was grown upon them twenty years ago, except what you derive from information of other perfans?-I cannot fay that I have; 1 have feen my father's books for ten or fifteen years, of where the timber was taken from the eftates at that time; and I have been on thofe eftates fince, knowing and making obfervation of what timber was cut on the eftates, not fpeaking of them all, and am certain, though the price was not what it is now, there is an equal proportion of good timber left.

    Have you been in the habit of ftudying your father's books, with refpect to the ftate of the timber on thofe eftates; for many years before you were perfonally acquainted with thofe eftates?-No.

    Have you been in the habit of particularly obferving the reprefentations given in your father's books of the ftate of timber upon thofe eftates 20 years ago?-I have obferved them within thefe five years, but I have not fpoken of the flate of the timber in the counties of Kent and Suffex for more than five years.

    Am I to underftand by your former anfwer, that you have fufficiently ftudied your father's books for 20 years paft, to ftate, that there is as much timber now growing upon thofe eftates of which you have fpoken, as by thofe books there appears to have been 20 years ago?-I do not mean to fay, I have ftudied my father's books, fo that I can reprefent to you, in any one inftance, what timber there was 20 years ago; or what timber there is now, in comparifon to that; but that $I$ have made general obfervations on it, and I believe, from what knowledge I have of them, that there is timber to anfwer, as I have faid before, annually, in proportion to what has been fent out of the country from the parts I have fpoken of, what there has been for the laft five years.

    In making perfonal obfervations on thofe eftates, have you always had in mind any entries you may have feen in your father's books, with refpect to the condition of thofe eftates 20 years aga? - I have not always; but I have made obfervations of timber, that has been cut with my father, and perfons who have been with us looking round the eftates, and what timber there was twenty years ago, ftating, when it
    had been cut, and I made my obfervations at the time, that there was at that period, more timber ftanding on that eftate than there was formerly, in my opinion; I do Tbomas Alexander. not mean to fay, it was fo, but as far as I have a knowledge of it, I believe it to be fo.

    Do you mean to fay, that in concluding timber to be in a greater quantity than it had been formerly, you judged merely from its appearance, or from its appearance as compared with what you recollected of the entries in your father's books?-I judged from its appearance at the time, and from the quantity that was flated to be cut at the former time.

    Stated by whom?-By my father's books.
    I want to know, whether in making perfonal obfervations on all the vaft number of eftates you have named, you have had fuch a diftinct recollection of any entries refpecting thofe eftates, or any of them, in your father's books, as to form a definite comparifon between what you faw and what you recollected?-In viewing the timber on the eftates I have named, I have ftated, at that time, the number of trees; and the price that my father gave for them when they were cut, and have made obfervations, that there was a great deal more timber then flanding on the eftate, in comparifon with what had been felled.

    In obferving the eftates you have mentioned, will you fay, whether you have had any more than a very loofe recollection of any entries in your father's books, relating to thofe eftates 20 years before?-I have not ftated a particular period of 20 years or 10 or 15 , when the timber was cut formerly; but I have named at the time, how many years it was ago; and I have had a diftinat recollection of the number of trees, and of the price that my father gave for them at the time that I have made thofe obfervations; and I have likewife, from a general view, and a con fidence in my own opinion, and in the opinion of my father, believed what I have flated before to be the fact, that there was more timber at that time, in proportion, than there was formerly, when the timber was cut upon that eftate.

    When you fay, that you have a diftinct recollection of the number of trees, and of the price your father gave for them, do you mean to fay, you have a diftind recollection of thofe facks, within your own knowledge, or merely the entries in your father's books relating to thofe circumftances?-I do not mean to fpeak of having been prefent at the time it was done; I mean to fpeak of it in my father's books, and having feen the ftamped agreements for the quantity figned by the parties who fold.

    Can you at all ftate, in a rough way, in how many inftances this has happened, that in going over eftates, you have recollected entries in your father's books refpecting the timber on the eftates for feveral years before? - I fhould fuppofe so or 20, or fomething of that kind; it may be more than 20; I do not fpeak pofitively to it.

    On how many of the eftates have you made that fort of obfervation?-Perhaps it might be twice on the fame eftate; I cannot fay how many eftates.

    Can you fay it then with refpect to the majority of the eftates of which you have fpoken?-I have faid before, in ro or 20 inftances; but I cannot fay whether it was twice on one eftate ; it might be all on different eftates, or it might be twice on one eftate; I cannot fpeak to that.

    You cannot fay, that you have made that fort of obfervation on as many as half the number of the eftates of which you have fpoken?-Certainly not.

    Are you able to ftate, whether the quantity of timber has, within your recollection, at all diminihed during the laft five years, as you adranced nearer to the metropolis; whether it recedes from the metropolis rather than otherwife?-I am fpeaking generally of the counties of Kent and Suffex; certainly, I hould not think there is fo much large timber in this neighbourhood as there has been, becaufe it was eafier to get to market; and the high price timber has been at, has tempted gentlemen to cut is, where they could get a high price for it when cut.

    Hasit tempted them to cut large timber only, or has it tempted them to cut down growing timber?-In many inftances it depends upon how they have wanted money; I have known fome gentlemen cut growing timber, very yourg timber, where it was a fin to cut it; and I have known fome gentlemen not cut; on five fixths of the eftates, I believe, the growing timber is moft encouraged; perfons do not cut that timber which is growing, but prefer cutting that which has done growing.

    When you fpeak of large timber, of what fize do you fpeak?-Of imber from five-and-twenty feet, to a hundred feet.

    Mr. Adelgbus.]-Do you mean that round or fquare ? - Round certainly.

    Mr. Mr. Grant.]-Taking timber of 40 and timber of 60 feet, which of thofe two Thomas Alexander. dimenfions has been'moft cut in confequence of the increafe of price?-I fhould fuppofe there is a great deal of timber of 60 feet, which people were not fatisfied with the price that eight or ten years ago was given, which has induced them to cut a great proportion of that timber.

    You mean to fay they have cut it by the inducement of the late increafe of price? - Yes, a great part of it.

    Are not the prices for timber of 40 feet, as tempting to the grower, in proportion, as the prices for timber of 60 feet?-It may be in particular inflances, but not generally fpeaking; I fhould think not timber of the fame growth; certainly not.

    You include the time that the grower has to wait?-Yes; becaufe I confider the timber that will grow from 40 feet to 60 , is giving a great interef.

    In what time upon a good foil would an oak tree grow from 40 to 60 feet? I can fhew by thefe memorandums which I have made, in confequence of my own obfervations upon trees, which I have meafured and obferved the growth of from year to year.

    They are from your own meafurements, and not from what you have heard?My own meafurements; I have not meafured a great many trees, but allowing for what I have meafured, I confider other trees to grow in the fame proportion in good foil.

    You fay your own meafurements have been very few?-Certainly.
    How can you draw a general conclufion from very few inftances?-It is mof likely where two trees are ftanding together, and on the fame foil, equally growing trees, that one will grow as much as the other, though I háve not meafured both; but it is certainly a fair comparifon to allow one tree will grow in proportion to the other.

    Do you mean to fay that the growth of trees is fo uniform, that a perfon obferving or meafuring very few, can fpeak confidently to all others of the fame kind of timber?-I do not mean to ftate that one tree of the fame fize will grow as much as another tree of the fame fize, though they may be clofe together; but taking it upon a general view of the timber that I have meafured, in a comparatively growing ftate, with that which I compared it to, I confider that the calculations I have made, are as nearly correct as poffible.

    My queftion was fimply this, whether the growth of timber was fo uniform, that a perfon obferving a very few trees can fpeak confidently with refpect to all others; can you draw a general average from a very few inftances?-I have no hefitation in faying, that to the beft of my judgment the calculations I have made are correct.

    If you have only obferved in a few inftances, how do you know that your calculations are right in all others?-I do not ftate that I have meafured a great many trees; but I ftate, that I have taken with my cye a correct calculation upon the growth of a great many.
    How do you know that it is correct?-Becaufe I have gueffed the trees before I have meafured them in many hundred inftances, I may fay thoufands of inftances, and compared that account with the real meafurements of them, and have found it correct within a very trifling difference, not any thing to be fpoken of.
    When you fay you have meafured trees only in a very few inftances, am I to underftand you have meafured them in fome thoufand inftances?-I have not meafured them in many thoufand inftan'ces; but 1 mean to fay, I have gueffed trees in thoufands of inftances, and have meafured them after I have gueffed them, and found that guefs as nearly correct as it is poffible for it to be.

    What do you intend by faying you have only meafured them in a very: few inftances? I have gueffed a tree at perhaps this year 20 feet, not having meafured it ; I have compared that tree another year with the actual meafurement of it, when I have meafured it, and found it has increafed in proportion to what , I, have ftated.
    I now underftand you to fay, that you have in thoufands of inftances meafured trees with a view of afcertaining their growth; I before underftood you to fay, you have made fuch meafurement only in a very few inftances; I wifh you to reconcile thofe two anfwers?-I have not ftated, or meant to ftate, that I have meafured trees in thoufands of inftances at all; what I mean to fay is, fuppofing this pencil was a tree, I have been at the diftance where you are from the tree, and I have guefled that tree at fo many feet, but not being exactly fatiofied with gueffing it,

    I have meafured it afterwards, and have compared the quantity I ftated by grefling it and the quantity I have actually meafured it.

    Committee.]-And you have done that in thourands of inftances?-Yes, I hav done it in thoufands of inftinces, but not mealuring with a view to the growth of timber.

    Mr. Grant.]- You fo much confide in the correannefs of your eye, that you are fatisfied thefe memorandums are accurate, though they are chiefly formed from ocular judgment and not from actual meafurement?-I can fhew you a ftatement here of so trees, where I did take an account of them by my cye, and after that went and meafured them, and found that the 10 trees, generally f peaking, came very neir to the amount that I had fet them at before by my eye.
    Thefe memorandums then are chiefly formed from your ocular judgment, on which you conceive you have full reafon to rely?-Generally fpeaking.

    Can you thew by the memorandums, of which you have before fpoken, in what time an oak tree, in a good foil, will grow from 40 to 60 fect?-1 can thew, front the memorandums I have here, in what time a tree will grow from 39 feet one inch and four parts, to 59 feet feven inches one part four feconds and fix thirds; that I calculate to be in ten years.

    Do you mean to fay, that is the ufual growth?-I mean to fay, that is a fair calculation of a growing tree.

    That is the fair average growth ?-I mean to fay it is, on a good foil.
    From what fources have you derived your knowledge with refpeat to the fize of the tree at the beginning of this period of 10 years?-Allowing a tree to be 35 years growing to 10 feet, 50 years growing to 20 feet, 65 years growing to 40 feet, and 75 years to nearly 60 feet, and 85 years growing to 88 feet. I do not mean ta ftate, that in any one inftance it is fo, but merely that this is a fair average calculation.

    You flate, that your memorandums are founded partly on actual meafurement, and partly on judgment of the eye; your experience, I prefume, has only continued for five years; on what ground do you ftate the rate of the growth of trees for fo much longer periods? - Becaufe it is a very fair calculation upon a growing tree; not that I mean to fay I have meafured any tree, becaufe it is imporfible I could have meafured a tree in fuch an inftance; but I mean to fay this is upon a growing tree, as correct an account as I am able to give you.
    Do you fpeak of a particular inftance when you mention a tree of thirty-nine feet one inch and four parts, increafing as you have flated ?-No, I do not, it is impoffible for me to tell you that I know a tree to be 35 years old, and to have 10 feet; but upon a fair calculation of that tree, it is admitted to be 35 years old, fome people fay 30 , but from a general view of what I have feen, and having heard of timber growing, and having been fo many years fince the laft timber was cut, the trees have been thirty and thirty-five years, fome iefs, growing to ten feet; but I thould conclude from my general obfervation, it was thirty-five years.
    Is it univerfally known, among perfons converfant with the growth of timber, that a tree of ten feet is from thirty to thirty-five years old ? -1 fhould fuppofe it was.
    You muft know? I cannot tell you what other people think; I cannot tell you that. I have heard a great many people fay fo.
    Is that held by the trade as a fair calculation? - By a great many people in the trade I have heard that named, and by fellers of timber.
    Do you know of any other opinion being held on the fubject by perfons concerned in the trade ?-From the general obfervation I have drawn, they calculate, 1 think, from 30 to 35 years.

    Will you fate what is your calculation of the growth of a tree of 39 feet, and fo forth ?-I calculate a tree 22 feet longy, one foot four inches quarter girth, to contain 39 feet one inch and four parts; allowing that tree to be a growing tree, upon a fair calculation, it is my opinion, that it will increafe in radius a quarter of an inch annually, which is half an inch in diameter, allowing half an inch in diameter to be the annual growth; the circumference is in proportion as 22 is to 7 in the increafe of the diameter, which will be four parts of an inch and a half; then as 7 is to 22 , fo is the diameter to the circumference; it will gain rather more than four parts and fix feconds in quarter-girth.
    Give the refults of your memorandums without the details?-I have not catculated for every year during the twenty years. I calculated for the firf yeare, the

    Mr. $2 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime} ; 3^{4}$, and 4 th years; the 8 th, the $\ddagger$ oth, the 16 th, and the 2oth years; calculatint Thomas:Akexander. upon equal proportion for 20 years; though perthaps, at the beginning of that time it yillincreafe more in quarter girth than it does at the latter part; putting the two things together, and averaging them, I calculated the increafe from the firft year from 39 feet one inch and four parts, to 40 feet 1 I inches feven parts and one fecond; and in the fecond year, that it will meafure 42 feet 10 inches four parts and four feconds; that in the third year it will increafe to 44 feet nine inches and ro feconds; that in the fourth year it will increafe to 46 feet nine inches five parts and fix fee conds. I am not taking the years progreflively afterwards; but if you compare the quantity it grew the firlt year and the quantity it grew the fecond, with the quantity it grew the third, you will find it encreafed rather more in the third year than it did in the fecond, in proportion, and more in the fourth than it did in the third; and fo on to the zoth year.

    I perceive you calculate that from 20 feet to 40 feet, a tree grows one foot four inches a year?-Yes.

    From 40 to 60 it grows two feet a year, and from 60 to 88 nearly three ?-It grows from 40 feet to 88 feet in twenty years.
    From 40 to 60 feet, you take it three feet a year?-It is rather more than two feet a year, not much.

    And from 60 to 88 feet it is nearly three? - Yes, that is nearly the calculation.
    If any perfons profeffing to be converfant with the growth of timber, fate average rates of growth, very different from thofe that you have mentioned, you are of opinion, that the notions of fuch perfons are extremely incorrect on the fubject?-If I did not think that to be the cafe, I fhould not have fated what I have.

    - You flated, that a fair proportion of the timber which was now ready to be felled, would be for large fhips; what do you underftand by a fair proportion? I cannot fay exactly, but perhaps two-thirds of the timber I have fpoken of, is generally calculated for large fhips, and the other part of it for plank and frame timbet
    :


    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    : You have been interrogated with refpect to your age, as the means of information; did you, as foon as you wete of competent age, enter into your father's bufinefs, under his direction?-The day after I left fchool.

    Had you before that been in the habit of feeing, with your father, what the course of his bufinefs was, as a mere childifh oblerver?-A great many times with him about; I ufed to go with him in the holidays round different eftates where he had timber.

    When you go into a wood, have you means of knowing, by a view of it, whether much timber has been cut out of it within twenty years?-Not always, becaufe the flubs may have been grubbed up; but fometimes.

    Is it generally fo, that where timber has been cut within twenty years, or 2 fhorter time, traces are Ieft behind ?-Generally fpeaking.

    If it is taken away, and ceafes to be wood land, and is converted from wood land, then, of courfe, no traces are left ?-Certainly not.

    But if it is continued as wood land, it generally happens that fome of thofe traces are left?-Some of them ; Yome perhaps are covered over; fome of them perhaps are taken up, and other, trees put in their places.

    You have fpoken of having feen woods in the counties of Suffex and Kent, where no timber has been cut within twenty years; could you in thofe woods difcover any traces at all of thofe woods having been cut within twenty years?No.

    Was that the reafon why you faid, none had been cut within twenty years?That was a confirmation of what I have been told.

    - Was that the reafon, I mean as affecting your own obfervation perfonally, when you faid, there had been none cut within twenty years?-I have not faid fo, merely from that obfervation, but from a general belief on looking at the timber which was ftanding, which was of a fize, fo as for it to be thought, it was not likely there had been any timber cut from there, and that connected with not feeing any ftubs left.

    So that your own memory from a child to the prefent time, and the information you have received, and yout obfervation on the fpot in particular, led you to believe an'y timber had been cut on that particular foot within twenty years?-Certainly.

    When a nobleman or gentleman, from whom you have formerly purchafed timber, fends to you to purchafe more, do you generally look back to the tranfaction of former years in your books andryour vouehers?-Not generally; many times, fometimes fatisfy ourfelves whether there is timber worth going to fee, or what timber was felled before.

    Then when you have ftated, that by your father's books, and by ftamped agreements in your father's poffeffion, and afterwards by your own.obfervation of woods, you have believed, that the flate of the timber, at the time you obferved them, was as good as it had been before, has your memory been particularly called to thofe books and vouchers, by the occafion of looking at them for bufinefs purpofes?Though in fome inftances of timber I have feen, when I was younger, I have not feen the flamped agreements and books, I have in fome inftances feen them both; to the beft of my memory, I cannot recollect any timber cut away from the eftates I have fpoken of.

    But at the times when you formed thofe opinions, has your attention been ufually called to the books and papers?-In many inflances.

    Does the practice in your trade of buying fanding timber, give you a great facility in meafuring it with your eye?-Moft undoubtedly.

    Do you find in the courfe of your experience, that two perfons converfant in the bufinefs will agree in their meafurement, and find it right?-Two people converfant, moft certainly, come very near in the meafurement by the eye.

    You have mentioned the meafurement of fome few trees in the courfe of your life, were they meafured indifferently, and without felection, or did you choofe them for any particular purpofe ?-They were meafured indifferently.

    For the purpofe of improving yourfelf in your profeffion?-Tor the purpofe of improving myfelf, I have meafured trees as they were ftanding, and after thofe trees had been cut down thave re-meafured them, to fatisfy myfelf as to the account I had taken of them when they were ftanding; and I have found that account fufficiently correct to ground my opinion upon the fatement I had taken before, as being fuch aone as I could depend upon, and that I thould have no befitation in doing it again.

    ## [Examined by the Committec.]

    In the ftatement you have given of the growth of trees, have you taken it from actual meafurement of your own?-No.

    Then you never have meafured the trees to fee what they actually did grow in a year?-Not compared with this account ; I have meafiured trees, and re-meafured the mthe year afterwards, and found, upon that calculation, the ftatement I have .given here might be founded.

    What aged trees were thofe you meafured?-I have meafured trees from I $_{3}$ to 20 feet, and from 20 feet to 60 , and very large trees; which large trees I found increafed much more in proportion than any of the other fmaller trees.
    Were thofe trees you meafured ftanding by themfelves or in a wood?-Standing by themfelves in hedge rows; I have meafured fome trees on my father's land.
    But ail ftanding by themfelves?-I think there were others near them, but they were not in a wood.
    Do you think they would grow fafter in a wood than fanding by themfelves? Much fafter in many inftances.
    Would the girth increafe in the fame proportion in a wood that it would in a hedge? - You generally fee larger trees in hedge rows than you do in a wood, therefore I think the girth would increafe moft in a hedge row, but not in length; therefore comparing the one with the other, I think the difference in the growth would not be a vaft deal.
    As you meafured thofe trees growing, they of courfe had the bark on?-Of courfe.
    Does not the bark grow thicker as the tree grows older ?-Moft undoubtedly.
    So that fome of the growth would be in the bark? - Certainly.
    In the way you meafured you did not deduct the bark? We always deduct the bark in thefe experiments.
    Do you mern to fate thofe growths as excluding or including the bark? Excluding it.
    Then how do you afcertain the thicknefs of the bark, fo as to be certain of your meafurements?-From a general knowledge of it.

    Mr. To what extent may yourfather's annual dealings be in oak $\boldsymbol{P}$-I have known him Thomas Alexander. buy near 30,0001 . worth in the year.

    How many hundred load would that be ?-From two thoufand to two or three and twenty hundred; he may have bought.more, but it is not fince I have known the bufinefs.
    You think thofe eftates from which the has purchafed would continue to fupply that quantity ? -I think the eftates I have named would continue to fupply that quantity; not the eftates from which he has purchafed, but the eftates I have named.

    What is your idea of the quantity of timber ufed for ghip-building.in the whole kingdom? -It is out of my power to fay, I am not a fhip-builder.

    What is the price this year of round timber per load ? - It depends entirely upon fituation, where it lies or ftands.

    Taking Kent and Suffex through, for initance, can you give the Committee any average? -There are places.in Kent where the carriage would not coft more than 12 or 14 fhillings a load to bring it to this market; and there are places where it .would coft 50 , and from that to 70 fhillings a load.

    In giving the Committee the fum that 48 trees would fetch of 40 feet each, what price do you there affume that timber bears per load?-IIl. 17s. 6d. I think it is.

    Is that an average price that timber will bear now throughout Kent and Suffex?For timber of 40 feet metings, I hould not hefitate to give it.
    What is the higheft price that you know timber has borne in Kent and Şuffex, this year, in round meafure, in any fituation? - I have known between fourteen and fifteen pounds a load offered for fome of the timber I have ftated to be ftanding, and the people it belonged to have refufed it, and the timber is now flanding.

    What are the inftances in which you know that?-One particular inftance.
    Only one?-Only one alluding to that fum.
    Was the fituation particularly tavourable, in that inftance, for the tranfport of the stimber?-No, I hould fuppofe, on a moderate calculation, 50 dhillings per load would carry it to London.

    A load of round will yield about a load and a half of fquare? -That depends on the growth of the tree.

    Generally fpeaking, is not that the known rule in the trade?-I fhould think feventy-three feet, generally fpeaking:

    Then to afford to give $14 l$. per load round meafure, adding 50 thillings carriage, which makes it $16 \%$. 10s. what ought timber to be in fquare meafure in London? -The timber I have fpoken of would not be converted, to anfwer to the price I have ftated was.offered for it, into fquare timber.

    What ought timber to be per fquare meafure a load in London to anfwer that price ?-It ought to be $8 \%$ ros. per load of 60 feet metings.

    What is the average price of that timber of 40 feet metings ?-11. 17s. 6 d .
    You put a cafe where that had borne $15 \%$ per load? - I underftood you to ank, what was the higheft price I knew to be paid for timber; I did not underfand timber of that defcription.
    It is meant to fpeak of trees yielding 40 feet each; what is the higheft price you have known for timber of that fort ? $-12 l$. 10s. per load,
    What is the loweft price ?-From ten guineas to eleven pounds.
    Then you fate eleven pounds feventeen fhillings and fixpence to be the average price? -Certainly.

    What would be the average coft of tranfporting that timber to London?-Forty fhillings, I fuppofe.

    What ought the price of fquare timber to be in London, to pay you for giving that price for round meafure in the country for this kind of timber ?-81. 5s.
    You give that as an exact calculation? - I hould be fatisfied to fell it for that.
    Has timber of that fort borne that price this year? There has been no timber fold this year, that k kow of, in fquare meafure.

    Did it laft year bear that price ? - It did, a little more, or about that price.
    You muft deduct how much from the 60 feet metings, to give the price of the 40 feet metings?-I am calculating forty feet round with a view to nearly 60 feet -Iquare; 60 feet metings ought to be worth nearly 8\%. ros. per load, then taking off five fhillings for the regular form in the River of fixpence per foot per load, will suake it 101. 5 s.

    Then in all the inflances you have given us, you have been feeaking not of fquare meafure, but of round meafure? - According to feet of round meafure; I have Thomas Alcxander. calculated the difference between the one and the other, from 40 to 88 a fhilling per foot round meafure, that a tree with 88 feet is worth one fhilling per foot more in a round fate than a tree with 40 feet of round timber in it.

    It will yield but fixpence per foot more upon the fquare? -No,
    Then how does it yield double that in the round?-A tree with 40 feet in it will hew to 60 feet fquare meafure, a tree with 88 feet of round timber will hew in the fame proportion to 130 feet. If 60 feet metings are worth 81.10 s. per load, what will the increafe be upon a hundred and thirty feet metings? the difference is 70 feet in a tree in the metings, and at fixpence per foot it is thirty-five fhillings, which is about a calculation that thirty-five feet of round timber will make a load of fquare timber, which makes me allow one fhilling per foot upon round meafure.

    In your fixty trees that you have fuppofed to be cut at the end of cighty-five years, what have you fuppofed the whole produce of thofe trees to be?-Sixty times eighty-eight feet.

    What price do you fuppofe that timber to be at round meafure? - I have fuppofed the large trees to be at 14l. 75. 6 d . per load round meafure.

    What in that cafe would be the felling price to the timber merchant when reduced to fquare meafure?- $\neq 10$. 5 . per load fquare meafure, adding the expences to it.
    Have you known of any large lot of timber being taken by any timber merchant, either in this year or the laft, at rol. 5 f . per load?-I have not known any large quantity; but we have fent timber to Blackwall yard, and have received that rate for it.

    Was that a fmall quantity or a large one ?-A fmall quantity.
    Was it large timber ?-It was large timber.
    Do you mean to fay that the market price has been anything like that?-I calculate it a very fair price.

    You have known of numerous fales within the laft three or four years, of large timber in large quantities?-Yes.

    Can you ftate any inftance in which that price has been given ?-I can flate feveral inftances where more than the price of 141.75 s. 6 d . has been given.

    It is meant to afk whether you have known fales in London to a timber merchant at that price ?-I have known of timber of a lefs fize than that, being fold for more than 10 ol.

    Has that been picked timber, or in a fmall quantity ?-It is the whole timber on the eftate, fent to perfons in the River to fell again.

    You are not afked of the market prices of round meafure, by which the timber merchant buys of the grower, but of the prices of fquare meafure, which the timber merchant fells to the confumer in London; have you known any fuch prices as that given for timber fold in wholefale; it is not meant picked timber, but timber taken generally ?-Stating the trees to be of the fame fize as I have mentioned before, they would fetch 1ol. 5s. per load; I have known that kind of timber to have been fold for 101.15 s.

    In large quantities? - In large quantities.
    Not picked timber? - Not picked timber.
    In how many inftances?-More than one, two, or three.
    State them? -My father has fold it to Blackwall yard.
    What was it ?-I have known timber of that fize to be fold at $10 / .15 \%$ per load, to moft of the lhip-builders in the River.

    Will you fate the defcription of timber, and in what quantities, and to whom ? I cannot fay to whom, but fold by my father.

    Will you fate in what quantites? I I cannot fpeak to the quantity in my father's books of that particular fize.

    Have you no recollection of the quantity ? - Not to fpeak accurately, I have not.
    This fale is within thefe two years?-In the year 1811.
    Do you recolleat the metings of the parcel? -I have known 130 feet metings to fetch that price, fuppofing them all to mete that.

    Then fome muft be above that?-Some muft ; Ifted the price to be 101.15 s . per load.

    And you mean to ftate, a large quantity was actually fold by your father at that price; to whom?-I have not faid a large quantity; I mean to ftate, that the
    (72.)
    $M^{\prime}+$. quantity of timber my father had of that fize that years was fent to Blackwall yard, Thomist Alexander. at that price at that time.

    Was it 100 load ?-I fhould think not of that fize.
    Was it 50 load?-I fhould think mofl likely; I do not mean to fpeak accurately, but I fhould think fifty load; there is a very fmall proportion of that timber wanted:
    Is that what you would call a large parcel, fpeaking in the terms of the trade? It is a good parcel of that kind of timber; when I fpeak of a large quantity of timber, 1 mean four, five, or fix hundred loads.
    How many trees would fifty loads of this timber amount to?-Not quite twenty trees; it might be more, I do not ftate that as the accurate amount of the quantity. ${ }^{\text {i }}$

    Then you mean to fay, that in your judgment a tree in 65 years would yield 60 feet of timber fquare meafure, in 75 years 90 feet of timber fquare meafure? About 88 feet, rather under 90.
    In 85 years, about 130 feet of fquare meafure? - Yes.
    Sixty feet netings is fit for the purpofe of building large fhips ${ }_{r}$ is not it? Certainly.
    The largeft fhips? - Certainly not.
    How large; fit for large Indiamen ?-Fit to build fhips of 1,000 or 1,200 tons.
    It comies under what is called in the trade, large timber? -No , it is good fized timber.

    Where does large timber begin ?-It depends on what you want it for.
    What in the trade is denominated large timber ?-Gerierally 60,70 , and 80 feet, you majy call large trees; and upwards.

    In the courfe of your practice in purchafing timber, did you ever find an acre of timber which you knew to have produced $2,125 \%$. upon the wood being cut?-Certainly not.

    You never did?-I never did.
    Did you ever know of 48 trees of 40 feet metings, which were fold off oneacre at any time for 455 l.? -Yes; I have known timber of a larger fize than that fold .off one acre; in the farie number of trees.

    Did you ever know 60 trees of 88 feet round mealure, cut from one acre?-1: have never knowh it.

    What is the utrioft number of that fize you have ever known from one acre? $I$ àm not able to fay, becüufe $I$ never made the calculation.

    Can you fay you have ever kriown half that number of trees of that fize, cut from one acre? -I think I have known more than half that number cut upon very little. miòre than ah àcré bf latid.

    Where ?-In the neighbourhood of Battle.
    Whore ptoperty? - A fufficient number of feet, I think, to come up to that number of trees:

    Háve you ever knòwn 30 trees yielding upon an average 130 feet fquare meafure, cut from an acre of ground? - I have known upwards of 40 trees, of 60 feet metings rouind meafure, which would be go fquare, cut upon an acrea

    That is tho anfwer to the queftion afked you; you were anked as to trees of 88 feet round meafure, which is 130 fquare; have you ever known 30 trees of that fort cut from an acre?-No, I have not.

    Have you ever known 20 of that fort from an acre? -I have never known them cut from one acre; but I have known more than that number that would not have occupied an acre cut. Ithink I can fay, that there ate 40 trees in one wood, which would not occupy an acre if they were ftanding nearer.

    Do you know any infance of 30 trees of that fize, now flanding together upon anty thing like an acfe of land? - Not upon one acre; but I know 30 trees, fanding on one eftate, that would not occupy that quantity of land; and if they had been trained up one after the other, they would not occupy any thing like that in woods.

    Do you form your opinion merely on the fpace the trees would take up, without any reference to the power of fuch a fpot of ground, to nourih fo many large trees together?-I calculate 20 feet each way for exery tree.

    Then you do merely make ap your mind upon the fpace which you fuppofe each -tree to occupy, without any reference to the power of the ground to fupply nourih. ment to fưch ${ }^{2}$ numbèr of tees in one $\mathrm{I} p o t$ ? I I have no hefitation in thinking the ground would afford fufficient nourifhment.

    But you have trever feem an inflance there is has dare fo? - I have not.
    Therefore your opinion mult be a mere general conjecture? Certamly; I Atated that before.

    Weneris, $6^{\circ}$ die, Maij, 18 s 4.
    SIR ROBERT PEEL BARONET, in the Chair.

    ## Mr. Abrabam Driver called in; and examined by Mr. Harrison.

    HOW long have you been a valuer of timber and furveyor of eftates?-All Abrabam Driver. my life-time.
    You are in partnerhip, I believe, with your brother? - Yes, I am.
    And were brought up to the bufinefs of furveying eftates and valuing timber? I was.

    Of courfe you have had extenfive opportunities of knowing where timber is to be found, and of what defcription?-Yes.
    What counties are you particularly acquainted with?-Kent, Surrey, HertfordGhire, and almolt every county; Hamphire and Suffex, and many other counties; Yorkhire, and other counties.
    The counties you have enumerated, your are particularly acquainted with?I am.

    Are you well acquainted with other parts of the Narth befides. Yorkflire?Nottinghamfhire.

    Do you know Staffordhire?-Some parts of Staffordfhire and Lincolnfhire, I have been in a good deal.

    You are obliged now, I believe, in every valuation of land, to value the timber fpecifically ? -Always.

    And you do that yourfelf? - I do not do all myfelf, I ufed to do moft part of it myfelf, but of late my partner has done it.

    But of courfe if you are furveying an eftate, it gives you as good means of knowing what the timber is, as if you actually valued it ?-I mark the timber myfelf, but I do not go through the operation of cutting it.

    You mark that which is for fale?-Yes I do; but after having fold it, I do not meafure it myfelf generally.

    Has the pracice been adopted within twenty years paft, of marking the timber that has acquired its proper growth, fo as to be fit for large fhips, and purpofes of that fort, inftead of entirely cutting away? -It is not the practice to cut away entirely, perfons thin and take that timber only which is fit to cut.

    The practice therefore, inftead of cutting down woods entirely, has been to take what was fit for market?-Yes; and occafionally by thinning the others when they required it.

    The thinning the others, I fuppof $e_{2}$ is far the improvement of the remainder ?Yes, it is.

    Has the practice you have now been fating, very much inproved the woods of late years, or not? -Very much indeed; I think more attention has been paid to the woods in the laft ten or twenty years, than previouly, on account of the high price of timber and bark.

    Has this practice of thinning tended alfo to make the growth more rapid of the remainder?-Yes, certainly.
    From the knowledge you have acquired, and the experience you poffers, can you ftate to the Committee, whether there is or is not, any fcarcity of timber of a defcription fit for building large fhips, and purpofes of that fort ? -I do not think there is any fcarcity at all.
    Do you think that the quantity now fit to cut, and the quantity that is in a progreffive ftate of improvement, from the care taken of it within thefe laft few years, will afford a fufficient fupply for the future? - Yes, I think it will,

    Then am I to undertand you, that you have no apprehenfion of any fcarcity taking place in it?-Of late years there bas been fo much care taken of the groyth of timber, that it certainly is improved very much; and from the quantity which is now planting by Government, I think there is no chance of a fcarcity.

    Is there any want at prefent of timber of the largeft fize; I fyeak of timber that would cut to 60 feet metings, and above? -There is not fo much large timber ufed for the Navy as formerly; they ufe fmaller timber now than formerly; fo that I think there is no ifcarcity of timber fit for the Navy.

    Is there any quantity of timber of a proper fize for cutting, in the counties you have enumerated, of Kent, Surrey, Hampihire, and fo on ?-Yes, a great deal.

    Is there timber of the fame defcription in Lincolnthire and Yorkfhire, and other counties ?-Not fo much; in Lincolnfhire there is not fo much, but there is in Yorkfhire ; Lincolnfhire is not a timber county.

    You have alluded to the planting for the ufe of the Navy; and I have akked you whether you apprehend any fcarcity in future; I wifh to draw your attention to the interval between the period when timber now planting will come to perfection, and the prefent period; and to afk whether there is timber in a progreffive ftate of growth, up from one to forty years, to come forward and fill up that interval?-Yes, I think there is.

    Have you ever formed any eftimate or judgment at all upon the fubject of the period at which timber arrives at a proper ftate for cutting, if it is kept properly thinned, and in good foil, and under favourable circumitances?-It will begin from 50 years to 100 ; fome timber at 50 years old is fit to cut, but not a great proportion.

    Committee.]-Do you mean timber of not lefs than 60 feet metings? -No; I do not mean to fay that, becaufe a fixty feet meting is a very large tree.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-I am not talking of a 60 feet tree, becaufe 40 feet trees come into 60 feet metings; but I am fpeaking of timber of 60 feet metings, taking 50 , 60,70 , and 80 feet, and fo on, to meafure 60 feet meting fquare meafure ?-Sixtyfeet metings is a very large meting, and you muft have fome much larger trees to make it than fixty feet.

    What defcription of tree will be produced in about fifty years?-Sometimes about 30 or 40 feet, which would be fit to cut down, and be applied to the purpofes of the Navy.

    Has the care which has been taken of timber, lately, by thinning it, and the attention paid to it, promoted the growth of it? I I think there has been more care taken of the young timber, and old trees have been taken down to make room for the remainder.

    Have you ever paid any attention to the growth of timber, fo as to ftate whether it grows more rapidly after 30 years, than it does at any previous period, except in the more early years?-It grows very quick at 30 years ; but when it gets 40 or 50 years, I do not think it grows fo quick.

    Should you have any difficulty in faying, you could mark out, fuppofing you looked to your papers and books, fo as to afcertain particular parts, where you know that timber is, to point out the places where a great number of large fhips would be to be had for a number of years to come?-I could mark out the places if I was allowed.

    I am not fpeaking now of ornamental timber in gentlemen's parks, but timber growing on land that will be probably cut when it is worth cutting?-I am not fpeaking of ornamental timber.

    I am fpeaking now of fale timber? - Yes.
    For how many years ?-I have no doubt, in my own mind, there is timber enough to fupply the Navy.

    Will there be timber enough, in the courfe of forty or fifty years, come up to fapply what would be cut down in that interval ?-I think fo indeed.

    Am I to underftand you to fay, there is a fufficient quantity fit to cut, and pro. greffively coming into a fate to cut, fo as to infure no fcarcity whatever taking place at any time? -I fhould think fo.

    Committee.]-You mean large timber of courfe ?-Large timber, but a great deal of imall timber is fit to come down, and fit for the Navy.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Do you know the Foreft of Dean?-Yes.
    In what fatee is the Foreft of Dean, as to timber?-There is a great deal of very fine timber there; there is a deal of plank timber there.

    That is one of the Royal forefts? Yes.
    Of what defcription of timber is the Foreft of Dean, with reference to fize ?-A very good fize; large:

    What do you mean by large? $-60,70,80,90$, and 100 feet.
    Committiee.]-There is timber now?-Yes.

    Mr. Harrijon.]-That is timber fit for all defcriptions of purpofes of the navy; timber that would come to high metings? --Yes.

    Have you had any fuch opportunities of examining the timber in the Foreft of Dean within this laft few years, as to feeak with confidence ?-I have not examined it particularly; we had a valuation of the old timber in the Foreft of Dean a few years ago; I have not feen it for the laft three or four years.

    Can you fate the refult of that valuation?-No, I cannot, it was very large.
    Committee.]-Can you bring it on a future day ?-Yes, I can bring it.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-How long ago was that valuation made?-I think about fix or feven years ago.

    Was it made for the Commiffioners of Woods?-It was made for them.
    Within feven years, or fome period of that fort. You have not feen the Foreft :I have been over it, but not to examine it particularly.

    Did you, yourfelf, take an active part in that valuation ?-No, I did not, my brother and my other partners did.

    By whom was that valuation delivered in ?-By ourfelves.
    I underftand you to fay, that valuation will thew the defcription of timber, as well as the aggregate quantity ? - Yes, it will.

    Have you ever had the means of afcertaining what quantity of timber is produced uponan acre of land, properly thinned and taken care of?-I have made fome calculations upon that.

    Thofe calculations of courfe you have made from your general knowledge and obfervation upon the fubject of timber?-Yes.

    What is the refult of that calculation that you have made?-I think, from my beft recollection, it was about half a load to an acre annually.

    Your eftimate is, that upon an average an acre improves annually at the rate of half a load ?-About half a load, or 30 feet; but it depends a good deal on the goodnefs of the foil; I take it on a good foil.
    Is that an average growth on what you would call a good foil, or would not a fuperior foil produces more? - Upon what we call fair timber foil.

    Committee.]-Do you mean half a load round meafure ?-Round meafure.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-You always meafure round for your purpofes? -Yes.
    Committce.]-All your anfwers relate to round meafure ?-Yes.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-From your general experience, and the obfervations you have made as to the improvement lately, and the care of trees, what in your judgment would be the effect of taking away the market for large trees, for fhips of confiderable fize? -I think it would reduce the price of timber very much, and increafe the price of bark; it would increafe the price of bark, becaufe there would be fuch a quantity taken away; if the timber is not cut, of courfe there is no bark.

    Would the trees be cut earlier if bark was to increafe in price, and when there was no market for them, after they had grown beyond forty or fifty years, than they are now ?-I think they would.

    Then, in your judgment, that would decreafe the fupply of timber of the largeft fize, inftead of increafing it?-Yes, I think it would; there would be very little demand for it, certainly.

    If the market for the large timber was taken away, would there not be a temptation to cut it down at an earlier ftage? - Yes, I think there would; there certainly would, becaufe perfons would not grow timber to a large fize, as they do now.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    You have had a great deal of experience in furveying woods and forefts? Yes, I have.
    Can you fate, from your own obfervation, whether the quantity of timber of from 40 to 50 years growth now ftanding, is equal to the quantity of timber of that age, which has been cut down within the laft ten years; will timber of that age come into ufe during the next ten years, in the fame proportion as the fame defcription of timber has been cut in the laft ten years?-I think in the laft ten years there has been an extraordinary fall of umber, and $I$ am not fure that it will.

    Do you think the high price of timber for the Jaft ten years has indüced proprietors of timber to cut down their timber earlier than they otherwife would have done ?-I cannot judge, but I think in fome inftances it has.

    The price of bark has been very high for the laft ten years ?- - Yes, it has.
    And the price of timber alfo high ?-And the price of timber alfo.
    Do not you think thofe two circumftances concurring, will induce a proprietor from the temptation of price, to cut down timber before it was fit for large pur-pofes?-I think in fome cafes it might; but thofe gentlemen who have large eftates of timber, would not do it in general.

    You fay, that in your opinion, moft gentlemen would not ; then do you think, that it would be worth while for a proprietor of timber, not to fell his timber at perhaps 30 or 40 years, but wait to fell it at fome future period? -I think no man of fenfe would cut down a tree that is growing and improving; when it has done growing, he ought to cut it down ; but no man would cut it down when growing unlefs he wanted money.

    Do you think, that confidering the price which has lately obtained, it would be advantageous for a proprietor of timber to let that timber ftand; for inftance, from 30 or 40 to 60 or 80 years? -If it was a good growing tree it would.

    Take the average of a good ordinary growing tree?-A good growing tree I hould certainly let ftand.

    Then you mean to fay, that a proprietor would be indemnified by the higher price' that the tree would fetch afterwards to let it fiand, the difference of from 40 to $70^{\prime}$ years?-It would depend fo much upon the tree iffelf; if a man wants money, he will cut it down; if he does not want money, he will then let it ftand.

    Suppofe a man not to want money ?-I think the large timber does not pay fo well as fmall.

    Then how can you account for a gentleman chufing to keep his timber for twenty or thirty years longer, when he might fell it at an earlier period?-Becaufe a gentleman likes to fee good timber on his eftate, and look at it; I think it does not pay fo well as fmall timber.

    You have excluded from your confideration ornamental timber?-I have.
    From your view of the counties with which you are acquainted, can you fay, that there is as much large timber now ftanding in thofe counties, as was tanding ten years ago of the fame defcription of timber?-I think not of the very large trees.

    Do you fay you think not of the very large trees?-I think it is pretty much the fame; the very large timber has been cut down, and the timber that is now growing to large timber is much better than it was 20 years ago, in confequence of the large old timber being taken away.

    You mean that the effect of the great fall has been advantageous in this way, that it has cleared the foreft, and made room for the others to grow? -It certainly has improved it in quality.

    Is not that a proof that a great fall has taken place? -There is no doubt of a great fall having taken place.

    There has been a great improvement in the care and management of timber?Very much indeed.

    Correfponding with agricultural improvements in general, perhaps?-Yes.
    In your opinion, is the timber which has been faved and planted in confequence of this increafed care, a fpecies of timber likely to come into ufe for chip-building of a large fize, within the next forty years?-No, not a great proportion of it ; a fmall quantity of it may be.

    So that the benefit of this care will not be reaped under half a century perhaps? Not from the trees that are planted; the planting began ten or fifteen years ago.

    You have fated, that in the navy, a kind of timber fmaller than was formerly ufed, has been generally ufed of late years?-I do not mean to fay generally; there has been a great deal ufed lately; the very large timber is not required now, which ufed to be for the navy.

    You ftate, that fmaller timber has been ufed in the navy than was ufed formerly? - Yes, I do.

    Do you afcribe that to the difficulty of getting large timber, or to the choice of the. navy builders for that timber?-I cannot anfwer that; I cannot exactly fpeak to their motives for that; it may be from the younger timber being rather better.

    You know there has been a great confumption of the fmall kind of timber in the navy ? - Yes, I do ; I have fold a great deal of it.

    Seeing there has been this confumption of friall timber, muft not the effect of that confumption tend to have reduced, in an unufual proportion, the fmaller fpecies of timber that would be coming large, perhaps, 30 or 40 years hence?-It certainly muft, but then the other timber is coming up in its place.
    But in propottion as timber of 30 or 40 years, or a lower defcription, has been confumed, what can there be to replace that timber that would have been large in 30 or 40 years? -The next fize; there is a conftant crop of timber from the woods, and if you take one away another follows; and if the one flands the other cannot come up ; the woods are now thinned as much as they, can be; there is a conflant fucceffion of timber.
    Has not this extraordinary confumption tended rather to deftroy the gradation, by confuming too much ?-No, I do not think fo; by taking down thefe large trees there is a much greater crop coming forward than by letting them fland.
    Do you mean to fay, that thinning in the manner you have fated, would bring a tree of 30 , or fomewhat under, to be on a level with a tree of 50 ?-I Ido not fay the tree of 30 will overtake the one of 50 ; there is a much better fupply of timber in woods than there was formerly; for we are more careful in thinning the woods than fomrerly, and in taking care of the others that are left to grow.
    Although 50 or 60 years hence that care may produce a fuperior quantity of timber, what is there to replace the very great confumption that has taken place within the laft ten years, in your opinion?-I cannot fay there will be the fame quantity of timber ten years hence that there was ten years ago ; but the conflant fupply of timber will be much better than it was ten years ago.
    Then you mean to fay, that the fucceffion of timber for a diftant future will be better?-Yes, I do.

    You ftated, you thought there was no fcarcity; do you mean to fay (fcarcity being a relative word) that, taking all the circumftances into confideration, there was a fufficient fupply of large timber for the demand for large timber, during the laft ten years? - Certainly, I have niever heard it was wanted; I have always tound I have got it.
    Was not that got at a very increafed expence ?-Oh, certainly; but the fame as all other things, the price increafed on all articles; bark has increafed in the fame proportion, and land the fame; a man cannot afford to grow his timber at the fame price he did ten years ago.

    For what reafon do you fay that a proprietor of rimber could not grow his timber at the fame price now that he did formerly ?--Becaufe the land is worth fo much more an acre, expenfes of hedging and fencing, and every thing, all labour.

    Do you mean to fay that the mere growing of timber is attended with expenfe to the proprietor?-Of courfe it is, on account of the value of land; the land is of more value, and the labour is more expenfive.

    Can you ftate what was the money price to the proprietor 20 years ago?-Not half the prefent price ; I cannot exacly recollect for 20 years; I hould think not much more than a third, not near half, I think; 25 years ago I fold timber for $5 \%$ a load, and now it is between $14 \%$ and $15 \%$.

    Do you mean to fay that this increafe in price to the proprietor has been in the fame proportion with other produce of the land ? - I think nearly ; I do not know that it is the fame, but nearly.

    Do you think it is greater or fmaller ?-I think it is greater; but land has doubled within the laft 20 years, and I am fpeaking of the laft five and twenty.

    Do you confider that the growing of timber involves in it the fame quantity of labour and other expences, in proportion, as the growing of wheat does from year to year; can a fudden advance in the price of wages affect growing timber fo much as it does the price of wheat?-It does not affect it in the fame way when the timber is growing; but when it is felling there is a great deal more labour in felling the trees, in ftripping off the bark, and making up faggots, which increafes the value.

    I want you to diftinguif the expenfes that belong to the timber in the hands of the proprietor, at the moment it is ready to fell, and before it is fold by him; do you think the expenfes to the proprietor, of rendering his timber fit to be fold, have increafed with the expenfes of ordinary agricultural produce?-Yes, I think they have; all the labour upon it has increafed in the fame proporion; there is no great deal of labour on a tree while it is ftanding; there is fome; if you take a fingle tree ftanding on a park there is no expenfe attending it, but if you have woods there is an increafed expenfe in preferving thofe woods, in the fame proportion as other eftates.

    Mr. What do you think is the general annual expence of preferving an acre of oak Abrabam Driver. timber ? - -I do not know, I am fure; there is no great expence attending it in a large wood together, it would be a great expence in an acre; but take a thoufand acres together, there is no great expence per acre; it is merely the fencing, and of a man to look after the woods; you muft have a man conftantly in the woods to obferve them.

    Do you think you could make a rough guefs of what the expence would be of 300 acres?-I fhould think four or five thillings an acre; becaufe you muft have a man, and his labour would come to that nearly; but a man at the fame time would look after more, and the fencing is more in proportion for 300 acres than for 500 .

    You have ftated, that you thought, if the demand for large timber were confiderably decreafed, the proprietor would be induced to cut his timber for the fake of the high price of bark? -I think he would not grow his timber fo much, he would rather decreafe it ; he would rather difcourage the growth of timber.

    At what age is it moft beneficial to cut it for the fake of bark, independent of other confiderations?-Some years people give more for young bark than old bark, and bther years they will not; but we do not make any difference.

    You flated, that you yourfelf had not furveyed the Foreft of Dean for fome time paft ?-No.

    And thofe furveys given in to the Commiffioners of Woods and Forefts were chiefly made by your brother and others?-Yes.

    Then you do not fpeak of the ftate of the Foreft of Dean fix or feven years ago, from your own perfonal knowledge?-Not in that refpect, becaufe I did not furvey it; but I have been over it, and feen it, though not to take a particular furvey of $i$ i.

    When you ftate, there is a great deal of timber in that foreft do you mean timber now actually fit for ufe, or timber that is likely to come forward for ufe ?-There is a great deal fit for ufe, and a great deal not.

    You ftated there was a great deal fit for plank timber? -Straight growing timber.
    Planks'may be made from fmall timber? - Yes, they may.
    Thirty or forty feet metings will do for plank? -Yes.
    I underftand you to fay, that a great proportion of the timber in that foreft was of a fpecies fit for plank? -I think a great proportion of it is fit for plank, being a deep foil, it grows ftraight.

    Is there a large proportion of the timber in the Foreft of Dean that would be 80 feet metings, or any confiderable proportion?-I think there was fome quantity , at the time they cut it; but you will fee better by the account in the office.

    You ftated that timber would improve in round meafure, about half a load a year?-Upon the average; I made a.calculation fome time ago upon fome timber; and I rather concluded, that it feemed to yield about a half a load an acre.

    Committee.]-It is only from one inftance you give that?-I have often made calculations, and I think that is about the nearef.

    Mr. Spankie.]-That is, it improves, in money, the price to the value of that?Yes.

    Seven or eight pounds?-The value of the half load.
    What do you reckon the load at ; fourteen or fifteen pounds?-Perhaps from ten to twelve pounds, upon average timber.

    Then the advantage to the proprietor, of letting his timber ftand, is the value of this half load per acre?-Yes; but that is after all expences; it will produce that after all expences; an acre of land will produce about half a load a year.

    Do you mean, that the advantage to the proprietor is not a clear half load?No; certainly not.

    Then the proprietor's advantage, in letting his timber ftand, is the value of half a load, deducting out of the price of that half load all expences? - Yes; what I mean is, that upon a hundred acres you fhould be able to cut down about 50 load a year.

    Committee.] -What would the expence of that amount to?-The produce of an. acre of land annually, I conceive will be half a load.

    - Do not you mean, that if there was a hundred acres of good land; that the proprietor might cut for every year half a load an acre?-Yes, but he cañinot begin with that.
    [Re-examined


    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

    When you fpoke of the expenfe to be deducted, you meant that which the $\boldsymbol{I T r}$. proprietor fhould receive for the annual value of his land, as well as the adual Abrabam Driver expenfes that were incurred in the fencing it, and taking care of it?-Yes, and the intereft of his money.

    The intereft of the money he has laid out originally in planting? - Yes.
    And may not the proprietor of the land planted with wood, begin to derive a benefit from it by thinning for different purpofes; in fome counties for poles, in others for fagrots, in others letting it flay longer for pofts and rails; taking therefore different periods of cutting, from feren to five-and-twenty years, before he begins to derive any benefit from his woodland by an average fall of timber?-Yes, he certainly may.

    What advantages may the owner of the land, planting timber upen it, derive, in the way of cutting and thinning, and otherwife, before it arrives at a fate at which he could begin average falls of timber upon it?-That will depend on how it is planted; if a man plants nothing but oak upon it, there will be very little produce from it; but if he plants it with afh, fallow, or chefnut, he may then make falls in ten years; in fome countries they begin in feven years, in fome parts of Kent; but it is generallten years.

    Can you give any gencral opinion to the Committee, as to the value of cuttings of that fort, as compared with what fhould be the annual rent of the land?-No, not without a good deal of calculation; I think it would entirely depend upon the mode in which it is planted.

    Does that depend not merely on the mode in which it is planted, by which mode you mean the defcription of timber that is planted ? - If you plant nothing but onk, there will be no profit for a confiderable number of years; but if you plant oak with other wood, then the profit will begin.

    Will that profit depend in fome meafure upon the nature of the timber mixed with oak in the planting, and the country in which it is planted?-Yes.
    You cannot, without calculation, give me an idea of what would be the value of that ?-No, there is fo much difference in the mode of planting.
    Speaking generally, and without going into any accirate talculation, can you lay whether that defcription of cutting upon woods that have been planted, of a níixed defription, with a view to intermediate cutting, does produce or not a confiderable profit to the proprietor?-It does, certainly.
    Can you fay, whetherit comes fomething near the value of the tent of the land ? I think at the firf part of the planting it will not.
    Then will it increafe in value?-It cannot poffibly produce a great deal, if it is all planted with oak timber entirely, as the half load will be a fufficient compenfation for the value of the land; that would be a very great profit certainly.
    The queftion afked was, what profit would be derived from the land, in the period between the land being firft planted and its arrival at a fate to afford the half load?I think very little, of it is planted with oak entirely; if it is planted with underwood, then you will not get half a load an acre.

    Committce.]-Do you mean to fay it will not produce fo many oak trees?-No, not if you have a crop; but you cannot have top and bottom tob.

    Mr. Harrijom.]-Suppofe the trees are ultimately to be left at intervals of from 15 to 20 feet, or fome diftances of that fort; is there not a period of 20 or 30 years when firf planted, within which you may get the advantage of cutting the mixed wood that has been planted with it as underwood, without interfering with the ultimate ftate of the oak? -You may get fomething, but not a great deal; if it is a crop of timber, you cannot get nuch underwood, certainly.
    If you look to the underwood, you will not have fo much timber?-No.
    I have been afling you now about planted woods; are thete in the counties you are acquainted with, Kent, Suffex, Effex, and others, conifiderable ratural growths of woods?-Yes; very much; they are chiefly natural woods in thofe counties.
    Then in the inftances of their being natural woods, the calculation of intermediate traiting for money, of courfe does not arife? No.

    You fay chiefly natural woods; do they form a refy large proportion of that which you look to for fupply ?-A very large proportion, becaufe the young planted woods are not fit to cut at all. .

    You have ftated in your crofs-examination, that the Navy have been in the habit of taking, within the laft ten or fifteen years, fmaller tumber than the timber they formerly required for fhip-buldng, and that you have yourfelf fold timber for the Navy purpofes to the Navy ? - Yes; I have not fold to the Navy.

    At the time that fuch demand has been made of you for timber, which you knew was to fupply the navy, (though you did not ammedrately fell it to the Navy Boaid,) and that you fupphed umber of a fmaller defcription than was formerly ufed by the Navy, could you then have furnifhed larger timber if it had been required and wanted ?-There was large tumber at the fame tume in the fame place.

    What I mean is this; did you fupply the quantity that you gave to the perfon who bought of you of fmaller tumber, becaufe you could not give him large, or becaufe it was the defcription of tumber he requred and wanted to buy?-It was becaufe the timber wanted to be cut.

    When you fay wanted to be cut, what do you mean? - It was not improving.
    Was it tumber, that by the indication it gives fiom the mode in which the head grows, you faw would not mprove? - Yes; if I fell a load of timber, I mark the trees in the wood that ought to come down; thofe that are not improving.

    I want to know, whether at the tume that the perfons who fupplied the Navy Board, bought of you tumber that was of a fmaller defcription than formerly was ufed by the Navy, there was any fuch fcarcity of larger tumber as to make it neceffary that they fhould ufe that ${ }^{\text {P }}$-No, I think not.

    Are you able, from your experience and judgment in looking at timber, to afcertain whether a tree that has not flood the penod at which trees ordinarily arrive at their proper growth, will not improve?-Certanly.

    Then thofe trees, if you are marking a wood, you cut down, though they have not arrived at therr full growth ? - Yes, of courfe; and then I fell the whole lot to one man.

    You ftate, that in the Foreft of Dean there is a good deal of plank timber, and you mentioned alfo, that it is a deep foil?-Some parts are a deep foil, and other parts are foney; but it is a kind of rock in which the oak grows very well, and runs down very deep.

    Does that defcription of foll afford a more rapid, and regular, and ftraight growth of the timber?-Yes, I think it does.

    Does it, in confequence of that, become fit for plank at an earlier age thian it would in other countries, and different foils?-Yes, I think it does; in fome countries timber will never be fit for plank.

    Then, where the growth is of that defeription, does it hold out any temptation to cut it at an earlier period than you would cut it in places where its value would entrely depend on its fize for the tumbers of a hip, I mean when it has become fit for good fized planks, inftead of lettung it wait till it is become large fized timber?-I would not cut it myfelf.

    You have been afked about falls within the laft ten or fifteen years; in the falls that have taken place within the laft twenty years, has there been any proportion of large timber, that had, from bad management. been permitted by the proprietors to ftand much beyond the tume when it was in a fate to cut?-I think there has fome.

    You have ftated, that the quantity cut within the laft ton years has been very confiderable? -I think it has.

    Am I to underftand, that notwithftanding the actual reduction in quantity, by the cutung within the laft ten years being confiderable, that there has been no deftruction of the fources of fupply, that there is ftill a fufficient quantity to fupply the demand?-It is my opinion that there 15 , certanly.

    ## [Examuned by the Commuttee.]

    How long have you been acquanted with the woodlands of the counties to which you have been alluding ? - There are many counties I can recollect as long as I can recollect any thing.

    How long is that?-Forty or fifty years.
    Do you recollect being confulted in the year 1792 upon the ftate of the forefts in the counties to which you have alluded ?-My brother was; my brother made a report upon it, and we were confulted on it together.

    And that report was made from your joint obfervation?-Yes.

    Did you find at that time in the counties to which you allude, the large timber in general decreafed ?-I think the large timber has been decreafing for thefe twenty years.

    Did you find it at that time decreafed ?-I think we did.
    And fince that period it has been decreafing?-I think it has.
    Did you find the timber growing in woods decreafed at that time? -I think at that time timber was not fo much attended to as it has been fince; I think at that time it was decreafing.

    Have you found it decreafed in the hedge-rows?-Certainly.
    And you think, fince that time, both in the woods and hedge-rows, it has been decreafing, the very large timber?-I think the very large timber has been decreafing for many years paft, both in woods and hedges.

    And that as applicable to all the counties of which you have fpoken?-Yes, I think fo, almoft every county ; I do not know whether it has in Yorkhire, perhaps in Yorkfhire it has not; I did not know much of Yorkfhire at that time.

    You have ftated that they have been in the habit of ufing fmaller timber in the Navy than they did formerly?-Yes.

    When did that practice commence ?-I am fure I do not know; but for thefe fome years paft I have oblerved in the falls, they have taken much fmaller timber than they did before; I do not exactly know when.
    Do you think it has arifen fince the year 1792 ?-Yes; I think it has.
    Was not there in 1792 a general impreffion among all people concerned in woods, that there was a fcarcity of large timber?-I do not know whether it was in-1792; there was fuch an opinion fome years ago.

    Was not it in confequence of that fuppofed fcarcity that this pratice of ufing frall timber obtained in the Navy?-I do not know, I am. fure; I am not acquainted with flip-building.

    Is not the effect of the ufing this fmall timber, which you fate to be ufed now in the Navy, to take away the next fized timber that ought to fupply the large timber in fucceffion?-No, I do not think it is; becaufe the fecond fized timber is now growing as much as the large; where I have been concerned, I never mark a tree unle's I confider it is not improving, and then, whether it is a fmall or large one, I mark it.

    Then you do not think the effect of this has been to diminifh that which ought to fucceed to the large timber? -No , I do not; not where I have been concerned.

    You have faid that fifty or one hundred years are fufficient for trees to grow from thirty to forty feet metings?-I have not faid fo, but I think they are.

    Then what do you fay?-I think they will from fifty to one hundred years be from thirty to forty feet metings, certainly.

    Do you mean that the trees from fifty to one hundred years growth are of thirty and forty feet metings ?-I do not mean to fay that all are, but there are fome that would be thirty or forty feet in that time.

    The Committee wifh to know what time it requires to bring them to fixty, feventy, eighty, a hundred, and a hundred and twenty, or fo, which is the large timber for the Navy?-I think a tree of one hundred years old may be a large tree, but I do not mean to fay every tree will.
    What is the avcrage growth; at what period do you conceive they arrive at that growth, one tree with another ?-I do not know how to anfwer that queftion, becaufe fome trees will never be one hundred feet. I think a tree of feventy or eighty feet metings will come to that fize in one hundred years; but you will not have many trees that will do that in that time.

    Then the general average of trees will not come to that fize in that time?-I hardly know how to make an average.

    What period do you think it requires for trees to grow, fo as to give you fixty feet metings? - I can hardly tell; becaufe I have very often counted the age of trees when I have cut them down, and found a very large tree, perhaps of feventy or eighty feet meings, that was not above one hundred years, and from that to one hundred and fifty, and fome not above eighty years old. I hardly know how. to give the average of it; I have found them from fixty to 100 feet metings. .

    What

    Mr. What number of years, generally fpeaking, would you fay it required to bring trees Abraham Driver, to fixty feat metings? -Perhaps.from eighty to one handred; but I find it fo different, I can hardly give the average.

    You know, in fixty feet metings, there is wood of much lefs fize included, as well as wood of greater fize?-Yes,

    Do you mean the wood of the leaft fize that enters into thofe metings?-No, certainly not; Ido not mean to fay, that all the trees fhall average, either at fixty or eighty.

    You do not mean to fay, all the trees will meafure fixty feet ?-No.
    Then you think fixty feer metings require from eighty to one hundred years? Yes; I think, generally fpeaking, it will, and fome a great deal more, I am fure.

    Do you apply that to a favourable foil? -In a favourable foil.
    When you fpeak of favourable foil, it is a foil that is fit for other cultivation, it is prefumed ?-Not exaclly; when I fpeak of favourable loil, I mean foil fit for the growth of oak,

    What fort of foil do you mean by favourable foil for the growth of oak? - Rather a clayey foil.

    Do not you mean the fame fpecies of foil which would produce wheat, if applied to that purpofe?-Yes, it would in general; I think not always.

    And, generally 'fpeaking, what annual value fhould you attach per acre to fuch foil, if it could be applied to cultivation of wheat i-I think from ten fhillings to twenty fhillings, or perhaps five-and rwenty; the beft wheat foil is not the beft wood foil.

    You have been very converfant with woodlands, and generally with the growth of timber, obferving for forty years both the growth of wood, and wood which has been planted?-1 have.

    You have ftated, you think the produce of an acre is about half a load annually $;$ have you been in the habir of eftimating the value and the produce of acres, as acres, in the beft woods you have feen? - Yes, I have often valued whole woods together.

    Will you ftate to us the greateft value of any acre of wood that has come under your obfervation, taking the wood at any fize?-That I cannot recollect, 1 am fure.

    Do you think it has ever amounted to $2,000 \%$. the actual value of any acre you ever faw, as it ftood?-No, I thould think not; but very large trees upon an acre will turn up very high ; but I never met with an acre of land at that price.

    You never met with an acre of land in your practice, that you could have valned at that amount $?$-I think not.
    Have you ever met with a hundred acres that you could have valued at 200,000l.? No, never,

    Did you ever meet with any woods which had ftood 85 years, the value of which at that time would for thote 85 years have averaged $25 h_{4}$ an acre, per annum?Never.

    Or any thing like it ?-No.
    Have you any idex that fuch a thing is poffible?-No.
    You have ftated, that you think there would be a conftant fucceffion of large timber for the fupply of the Navy; could that be kept up by the natural woods, or muft it be kept up by planting?-I fould rather think by planting; I think it would not without planting.

    You have ftated, that there has been a great diminution of the large timber, during the laft 20 years, or for a confiderable time; has more been cut in the laft 20 years, than you conceive is necellary for the general confumption of the country annually? -In the time of peace I think we fhall not want fo much.

    Then you calculate upon a period of peace, when you fuppofe that the fucceffion can be kept up?-No; I think the quantity cut down within the laft 20 years, was perhaps more than from 30 or 40 years before; I fhould think fo.

    The effect of a diminution of timber which you have ftared, is that the fuccel' fion has not filled up that which has been cut; is not it? $I$ do not know that exactly; I think in the laft 20 years there have been an immenfe number of very large rees cut down.

    If the fucceffion had filled up that immenfe quamtity which had been cut down; how could there be that diminution? - The very large timber that has been cut down, there certainly has notbeen a fucceffion of the fame kind of trees; there were a great many of thofer trees cut down within the taft twenty years that had ftood a great many years beyond their time.

    Where have been the principal plantations thac fare been made?-I think there are none fo large as: the Crown: has made:.

    Do you know of any other very great oak plantations that have been made?I have not been concerned in many others.

    Is not it the general practice of planting, now chiefly to plant trees of a much quicker growth?-It is general for private people in planting fmall quanticies, to plant underwood as well as oak.

    At what time do you expeat this timber which has been planted, to come into ufe for naval purpofes?-I Ihould think not much before 50 years.

    Large timber ?-It will not be large timber in 50 years; in 50 years fome might be cut down that would fupply the navy with fmall plank; but not large timber in lefs than 60,70 , or 100 years.

    Notwithrtanding the diminution of the large timber which you have ftated, do you fill think that there is a fufficient quantity of that large timber to be found, which will meet all the demands till that timber fo planted has come to its growth ?I think the timber is fo much encouraged, the younger timber, that it will.

    You flate the younger timber to be fo much encouraged; how does the encouragement of the younger timber produce that effect?-I mean timber of 20,30 , or 40 years old, and the conftant fucceffion of tillers, which are young oaks 20 feet high, and about three or four inches quarter girth, they are commonly called faplings; when the underwoods are cut, they are perhaps 15 or 20 years old, and they are left, by which there is a conflant fucceffion ot oaks to follow.

    In woods which are cut periodically, they leave a certain quantity every time they cut?-Every time, that is what I. mean by preferving thofe woods in the laft twenty years.

    What are about the intervals at which they cut?-They cut, perhaps, from 7 or 8 to 20 years, very few under 15 or 20.
    Do not they ufually, upon the next cutting, cut thofe trees which had been left in the preceding year?-No, they do not; in fome parts of the country it is cuftomary to cut them ; but it is almoft exploded now; I never cut them myfelf; I believe others do not.

    Was not it thought neceflary for the improvement of the underwood?-No, if is for the profit of the tenant; I believe it is never taken by the landlord, and therefore the landlords have now got rid of it intirely; it was a very bad practice, certainly; it was the deftruction of timber.

    The price of wood has been increafing for a confiderable time paft ?-Yes, it has.

    Since what period has the increafe been moft rapid ?-I hould think within the laft ten years; but without referring to my books I can hardly tell when it began; but it has been increafing for many years, perhaps ten years.

    Do you know what the increale price has been from 1810; for infance, in round meafure.?-I hould think, perhaps, from 81. to 14 l .; it is hardly fo high now as 14l., it has been fold at that within a year or two pant.

    Between the year 1801 and the year 1805 , do you know what the increafe was in fquare meafure?-No, mine is all round meafure.

    Was there any material rife in the year 1805 in the price of timber? -There was one year in which it was very high indeed; I think about that time it was very high indeed; but I think it was rather later than that.

    What was that owing to?-It was owing to all caufes; the timber from abroad :was fo very dear, bark was dear, and a very great demand for it.

    Then does not the price of timber depend very materially upon the demand?Yes, I think it does.

    Timber is not cut, as.a matter of common traffic; but it arifes from a variety of circumftances, diftrefs of families, and other accidents, and not generally brought to market as a matter of traffic?-A great deal of it is; a great deal of it is brought to market from other circumftances, probably; men who have wood eflates, cut them down regularly every year, and take all the timber cut at that fall that is not improving.

    As far as your obfervation has gone, can you ftate any proportion between that that has been brought to market as a regular matter of trattic, or that which has been brought to market from accidental circumftances of canals and otherwife?-I cannot anfwer that queftion.
    You have flated the caufe of the prices; in fome refpects it fhould appear from thofe caufes, that the price is a price fixed by the feller ; does not it depend upon the
    (72.)

    Mr. demand?-Where the offal of the timber is dear the owner of it gets a higher
    Then, from your reafoning, the timber would not be a bit cheaper if the great ihips were not built in this.country ?-Yes, I think it would, it'adds an additional price to the timber where the offal fells high.

    - Taking the falls you have known, are you able to fay what is the value of the large timber in proportion to the value of the whole, generally fpeaking ?-I think, in fome cafes; the large timber is the far greatelt proportion; in others it is not:

    Can you give us any average ? - No, I cannot, becaufe in an entailed eftate, where they have not been able to fell, there is a very large proportion of old timber in the wood, the eftates belonging to gentlemen; I think the largeft proportion is the middle timber.

    Then as compared with the middle timber the iarge timber is a fmall object to gentlemen poffeffing thofe eftates? -The middle fize timber is 30,40 , or 50 feet; I do not call that large timber, where gentlemen have wooded eftates that they cut regularly; they feldom let their wood remain fo long.
    Finding it more to their advantage to cut it when it is fmall?-Not finall, but fmaller.

    Smaller than what you call large timber?-Yes; fill it has done growing.
    In the courfe of your bufinefs as a land furveyor and valuer of timber, have you found that canals have been made within the laft ten years in diftant parts of the country?-Yes, they certainly have.
    Do you know that before the making of thofe canals there was oak timber in thofe parts fit for fhipbuilding purpofes, which could not be brought to the timber yards, becaufe of the great addition which mult be made to their prices from 7 long land carriage?-Yes, that cartainly has been the cafe there is no queftion.
    Has it fallen within your obfervation, fince the making of thofe canals, that fuch timber, which before would not bear the cotts of a long land carriage, has fince been brought by the cheaper conveyance which thofe canals afforded to the thip-building yards?-Yes, certainly.

    That you know of your own knowledge, and in the courfe of your bufinefs?Yes, a very confiderable quantity of timber has been brought by the canals; which could not have come without.

    As you fpeak from your knowledge and practice in the way of your profeffion in thofe countries, have you obferved whether fince that uimber found its way to the timber yards, the tock of large timber, fit for thip-building purpofes, in thole countries has been much diminifhed ?-It cannot have fhown itfelf, it has been fo fhort a time.

    But you fay, timberfit for thip-building ures has been brought by thofe canals to market, which was out of reach before; now fay, whether they have given accefs to the market to much of the large timber or not?-No they have not.

    Not to much ? - Not that I know of, I da not find it.
    Then when you fay timber has been brought to market by them, which was out of reach before, you mean to fay, only a fmall quantity ?-I think not a great proportion of the whole confumption of timber.

    Then what proportion do you think it bears to the fock of large timber in thofe countries, which are now rendered acceffible? - That I cannot anfwer.
    But you are underfood to fay, it bears fo fmall a proportion to the flock in: thofe countries, that you have not found the ftock fince that timber found its way here, much diminifhed ?-It certainly is diminifhed, of courfe it muft be, and what is left will not become large for fome time; I cannot tell the proportion.

    You'have faid, that timber, when come to a certain fize; and ftanding in a foil thar fuits it and in a favourable fituation, will improve in growth at the rate of half .a load àn acre per annum? -Yes.

    What would be the value of that half toad per acre at the prefent prices? About 61.

    Round meafure you mean to fay?-Yes.
    Would that 6 l . go all into the pocket of the owner of the tiniber athd land, or not? -No, certainly not ; there is all the expenfes to be deducted from it.'

    What do you mean by the expenfes which are to be deducted to leave clear profit to the owner of the land and timber?-I cannot anfiver that queftion without calculation.

    It is not meant exacly how much at prefent, when that queftion is put, but of what nature the expenfes were which were to be fo deducted?-The rent of the Abrabam Driver. land and the intereft of money.

    Are there any other expenfes to be deducted, fuch as thofe of felling and clearing and carrying away to a certain diftance?-No; I conceive, that trees to be cut down by the buyer, there will be no expenfe to cut them afterwards.

    Then when you fay, the value of large timber is now from 144 . to $15 l$. per load, you mean that the purchafer of it purchafes at that price the power to clear whatever number of loads there may be from the owner of the timber and land, and that he is to do it all at his own expenfe? -Yes, I do.

    Then the whole of the price of the timber will go to the owner of the land and timber, leaving to him to fettle with himfelf about the expenfes to be deducted on account of original cofts of planting and rent, and charges of fencing and care?Yes, certainly.

    Suppofing a wood to have been natural, the original coft of planting would be nothing?-No, certainly.

    The whole expenfes to be deducted from the grofs value of the timber when felled, would be the charges of fencing and taking care, and looking after the wood, and the rent of the land, by the acre, by the year, and compound intereft upon thofe monies?-Yes, certainly.

    Then the land would turn out to the owner of it the value of half a load of large oak timber upon each acre by the year?-Not in natural woods, I think.

    Can you fay how much lefs you think it would be in natural woods?-One cannot get them at proper diftances.
    Then in planted woods you think it would produce that? - Yes.
    And the value of half a load of timber would be about 6 l.?-Yes, that is the price of it now; but that is far beyond what it has been, the price was not above half the money 20 years ago.
    You are a land furveyor as well as a valuer of timber? - Yes.
    And you would know, what would be the value per acre per annum of that land, to be converted into pafture or tillage, upon which fuch timber would grow, as would give thofe advances of half a load per acre per annum, worth about 6 . at the prefent prices?-Perhaps from 208. to 305 ; ; if it is good pafture land, it would be worth more.
    How much more if good pafture land ??-It muft be worth from 40 to 50 . ; but that will not happen often; there is not a great deal of good paffure land.
    Do you mean to fay, that there is not a great deal of the woodland to which your attention has been called, that would be likely, upan converfion, to turn out good pafture land?-Not a great proportion.

    Then fpeaking generally, taking in the fraller probability of its turning out to be good pafture, and the greater probability of its only turning out to be land fit for tillage, would that rent of 25 s . or fomething between 25 . per annum, and 405 . to 50s. be as good an application of it for the benefit of its owner, as if it was continued in wood, producing this 61 .or about $6 \%$. which you have fpoken of per acre per annum ?-There is a great deal more expenfe attending all cultivated land than wood land ; more taxes.

    Taxes are higher?-Yes.
    Of what taxes do you fpeak?-Poor rates; if it is a wood of timber, you pay no poor rates.

    Nor tythe?-No, nor tythe; the natural woods that are felled pay poor rates, and woods moflly pay poor rates, becaufe they have mofly underwood in them, which is chargeable to the parifh;' but they pay very little to the parifh.
    You are alked as being converfant in the management of land, whether you do not know, and find it in your practice, that the poor rate is a rate laid and levied upon the occupier, and not upon the landlord ?-Yes.

    Therefore the poor rate was confidered, when you defcribed that fort of land on which timber would make an improvement at a certain age of half a load per acre to be worth 255 ., if good for tillage only, or if for paiture, perhaps from 40s. to 50 s.?-It pays poor rates when it is turned into tillage; if it is kept entirely for the growth of timber, I do not think it is to pay the poor rate.
    It is meant, that if the poor rate was paid by the occupier, he would ftill give 25s. per acre for it for tillage, and 40s. or 50 . if it fhould happen to be very good for pafture?-Certainly.
    Do you manage the eftates for gentlemen?-Yes, I do.

    Mr. Is it common for an occupier of lands to be allowed out of the rent, that which Abrabam Drivef. he has paid to the poors' rate, or not to bring it to account in paying his rent to his landlord?-He always pays the poor rate himfelf.

    And it is not allowed out of his rent?-It may be in fome inflances, but I never knew it.

    Then would you advife a perlon who employed you as his agent and advifer in the ordering of his eftate, to convert land which would produce wood fo beneficially as the Committee has lately been fpeaking of, into tillage or pafture, at thofe values for rent which you have fpoken of?-No, 1 Ihould not.

    Why would you not advife your employer to make that converfion?-Becaufe I think the timber would pay beft.

    Do you think it would pay much the beft, or only a little the beft?-In fome cafes it would pay better in tillage; in other cafes it twould pay well for timber, and would not pay at all for tillage.

    Then you do think, that in moft cafes, fuppofing the values for rent fuch as you have fpoken of, and the produce in timber fuch as you have fpoken of, that if he did make that converfion, he would be a great lofer?-In fome cafes be would.

    At the prefent prices of timber, and at the prefent prices of land?-I think he would.

    Thofe gentlemen owners generally cut their timber under the large fize ? - I do not exactly fay that, but they cut the overgrown trees, they do not let them fand.

    Trees that have come to their full growth?-Trees of any age, they keep a more conftant fucceflion of felling.

    But of the trees io cut, but a fmall proportion will be what you call large timber? - But a finall proportion.

    You have fpoken of converting woodland into arable; fuppofing a man has 200 acres, worth 305 . an acre, fuch as would grow oak, would you advife the owner of it to plant it?-No, I think I hould not.

    You think it would not be fo profitable?-Certainly, it would be fo long before he would be paid; land of 30s. an acre is not fit for planting, it is too good.

    Suppofe you take it 20s. an acre?-That is too good.
    There would be a great expence in fencing and planting it?-Yes, there would.
    And in maintaining thofe fences, and looking after the trees?-Yes.
    Have you made a calculation upon thofe grounds?-No, I have not.
    Have you ever made a calculation to thow the diference of the rent of land, for agricultural purpofes, with what it would be in timber, taking the coft of the planting, the fencing, and all expenfes incidental to it, with the proceeds of thin-nings?-I have made caiculations of the expence attending woods for years to come; but the land that is good for cultivation, thould not be planted, on account of the intereft of money accumulating fo high; the poor lands fhould be planted.

    You Ipeak of compound intereft? - Yes.
    You have not compared that with what land would yield annually? $-N o$, $I$ have not.

    But the refult is, you think, it would anfwer beft to the agriculturift?-It would.

    What do you fuppofe is the quantity of oak timber uled for all purpofes annually? -I cannot give an anfiwer to that queftion exactly, but my idea is about 200,000 load; but I cannot tellyou it is fo.
    How much of that, do you fuppofe, is applied to thip-building? - 1 fuppofe the whole of it is applied to fhip-building; there is no way of forming an idea of the whole confumption.

    What is the quantity you fuppofe to be ufed for chip-building? I fuppofe about 200,000 loads altogether; about one-third of it for the navy.
    How much do you fuppofe is applied to locks on canals??-I have not made a ' calculation at all.
    Nor for machinery or building?-No.
    In faying that there is a fufficient quantity of timber to fupply the country, your ideas have been as to fhip-building, without any allufion to thofe other things that have been mentioned ?-Yes.

    ## The following Accounts were read:

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { - (34)-Shipwrights at prefent employed in H, M. Dock Yards - - - p. } 225 \\
    & \text { (35.) -Ships built in Private Yands for H. M. Service, fince } 179 \mathrm{I} \text {, brokea up, loft, or de- } \\
    & \text { fruyed, \&c. - - - } \quad \text { - } 226 \\
    & \text { (36.)-Ships built in His Majefty's Yards, fince 1991, broken up, lof, or deftroged, \&c. p. } 223 \\
    & \text { (37.)-Ships built in Private Yards, fince 1791, and parchafed for, or taken into H. M. Serrice } \\
    & \text { p. } 230 . \\
    & \text { (38.)-Ships built in the Eaft Indies, fince 1791, on account of H. M. Nary - - p. 233. }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    ## ACCOUNTS.

    -(No. 34.) -
    Navy-Ofice, 29th April 1814-
    Ax ACCOUNT of the Number of Shipwrights at prefent employed in His MajeAy's Dock Yards ; diftinguinhing thofe who bave ferved their Apprenticelhip in the Private Yards, from thofe in the King's Yards.

    | Tards. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Shipwrichts } \\ \text { at prefent employed. } \end{gathered}$ | Number of thore who bave ferved their Apprentice hhp in the Private Yards. | Number of thofe who have ferved their Apprentucehhip in the Kung's Yards, |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Deptford | 423 | 256 | 167 |
    | Woolwich | 442 | 223 | 219 |
    | Chatham | 581 | 310 | 78 |
    | Sheernefs | 196 | 65 | 131 |
    | Portfmonth | 1,078 | 640 | $43^{8}$ |
    | Plymouth | 1,000 | 647 | 353 |
    | Miliord | 69 | 56 | 13 |
    | Total | 3,789 | 2,197 | 1,592 |

    F. J. Hartwell.
    R. Seppings.
    H. Legge.

    $$
    -(35 .)-
    $$

    Navy Office, 30 th April 1814 .
    An ACCOUNT of the times at which the feveral Ships brilt in the Private Yards for the ufe of His Majefty, fince the year 1791, were broken up, loft, or otherwife defroyed, or difcharged, from His Majefty's Service ; and an Account of the feveral Sums paid on account of the Repairs of the faid Ships, during the time they were in His Majelty's Service.

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline Rate. \& Guns. \& SHIPS NAMES. \& When buit, \& When broken up, 1on, or otherwife deftrogod. \& SUMS paid for Repairs. \\
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \(\mathscr{2}\) \\
    \hline \(-{ }^{3}\) \& .\(^{74}\) \& Ajax
    Hero \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    1798 \\
    1803 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \&  \& \\
    \hline 5 \& 38 \& Apollo \& 1794 \& Loft in - 1799 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Artois \& 1794 \& Loft in - 1797 \& \\
    \hline \& - \& Diamond \& 1794 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
    Broken up in \& 1812 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& - \& Jafon
    Ethalion \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    1794 \\
    1797 \\
    \hline 185
    \end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
    Loft in \& \(-\quad 1798\) \\
    Loft in \& 1799
    \end{tabular} \& Have not been repaired. \\
    \hline \& - - \& Pomone \& 1805 \& Loft in - 1811 \& \\
    \hline \& \(3^{6}\) \& Amazon \& 1795 \& Loft in - 1797 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Doris \& 1795 \& Loft in - 1805 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Sirius \& 1797 \& Loft in - 1810 \& 10,702 \\
    \hline \& - - \& Apollo \& 1799 \& Loft in - 1804 \& \\
    \hline \& - \& Jafon' \& 1800 \& Loft in
    Lof in \(\quad \begin{array}{r}1801 \\ 1803\end{array}\) \& \\
    \hline \& - - \& Refiftance Blanehe \& 1801
    1800 \& \begin{tabular}{lr} 
    Loft in \\
    Captured in \& 1803 \\
    - \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& - - \& Blanehe \& 1800
    1803 \&  \& Have not been \\
    \hline \& \& Saldanha \& 1809 \& Loft in - 1815 \& repaired. \\
    \hline \& 32 \& Alcmene \& 1794 \& Loft in - 1809 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Galatea \& 1794 \& Broken up in \(\quad 1809\) \& \\
    \hline \& \(\stackrel{-}{*}\) \& Lively \& 1794 \& Loft in - 1798 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Tartar \& 1801 \& Loft in - 1815 \& 9,47 \\
    \hline \& \& Proferpine \& \(180 \%\) \& Captured in - 1809 \& \\
    \hline 6 \& \({ }^{22}\) \& Laurel \& 1800
    1806 \& Loft in - 1813 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Banterer \& 1806
    1807 \&  \& ave not been \\
    \hline \& \& Albecore \& \& Sold in - 1802 \& \\
    \hline \& - - \& Cormorant \& 1794 \& Loft in - 1796 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Favorite \& 1794 \& Captured in 1806 \& 6,94 \\
    \hline \& \& Lark \& 1794 \& Loft in - 1809 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Lynk \& 1794 \& Sold in - 1813 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Alert \& 1793 \& Captured in 1794 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Ranter
    Merlin \& 1794
    1796 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    Captured in 1805 \\
    Broken up in 1803
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& - \& Merin \& 1796
    1796 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    Broker up in 1803 \\
    Broken up in 1809
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& - \& Eychia \& 1796
    1797 \& \begin{tabular}{|c} 
    Sold in \\
    \hline broke \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& \& Victor \& 1798 \& Sold in - 1808 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Ofprey \& 1797 \& Broket up in. 1813 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Wolf \& 1804 \& Loft in - 1806 \& \\
    \hline \& - \& \(\underset{\text { Martin }}{\text { Fly }}\) \& 1804 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
    Loft in \& - 1805 \\
    Foundered in \& 1806
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& - \& Martin \& 1805
    1807 \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
    Foundered in \& 1806 \\
    Broken up in \& 1814 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& \& Tweed \& 1807 \& Loft in - 1813 \& \\
    \hline \& - \& Arrow \& 1796 \& Sunk, after an engage ment in - 1805 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Dar \& \& Broken up in 1809 \& \\
    \hline \& - - \& Valorous \& 1804 \& AppropriatedforArmy \& Have not been \\
    \hline \& \& \& \& Deferters, in 1814 \& repaired. \\
    \hline \& - \& Dauntlefs \& 1804 \& Captured in 1807 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Defpatch \& 1795 \& Transferred to the Ruf. fian Admiral in 1796 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Raven \& 1796 \& Lof in - 1798 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Hound \& 1796 \& Foundered in . 1800 \& \\
    \hline \&  \& Diligence \& 1795 \& \[
    \text { Loft in } 1800
    \] \& \\
    \hline \& \& Seagall Curlew \& 1795
    4795 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
    Foundered in \\
    Loft in \\
    \hline 1804 \\
    \hline 1796
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& \& Pelican \& 1795 \& Sold in - 1806 \& \\
    \hline \& \& Kite \& 1795 \& Sold in - 1805 \& \\
    \hline \& - : \& Sylph \& 1795 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
    Broken up in \\
    \hline Sold in \\
    \hline 1811 \\
    \hline 1802 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \& \\
    \hline \& \& Star \& 1795

    1995 \& | Sold in |
    | ---: | ---: |
    | Sold in |
    | Sor | \& <br>

    \hline \& \& Cameleon \& 1795 \& Broken up in 1811 \& <br>

    \hline \& \& Racoon \& 1795 \& | Broken up in |
    | :--- | \& <br>

    \hline \& - - \& Kangaroo Bufy \& 1795
    1797 \& Sold in
    Loft in \& <br>

    \hline \& - - \& Ferret \& 1806 \& Loft in - 1813 \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 47770^{\prime} \\
    & \text { Ettimated fum }
    \end{aligned}
    $$ <br>

    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    
    
    F. J. Hartwell.
    R. Seppings.
    H. Legge.

    $$
    - \text { No. } 3^{6 .-}
    $$

    Navy Office, $3^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{May} \mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{I}_{4}$.
    An ACCOUNT of the times at which the feveral Ships, built in His Majefty's Yards fince the year 1791, were broken up, lof, or otherwife deftroyed, or difcharged from His Majeft's Service ; with the feveral Sums paid for the Repairs of the faid Ships during the time they were in His Majefty's Service.
    

    AN ACCOUNT of the feveral SHIPS built in PRIVATE YARDS, and purchafed for or taken into His Majefty's fervice fince 1791; Thowing when they were broken up, loft, or otherwife deftroyed, or difcharged from His Majefty's fervice;-and an Account of the feveral Sums paid for their Repairs, during the, time they were in His Majefty's fervice.
    

    Accounts.] RELATING TO EAST INDIA-BUILT SHIPPING.
    

    | GUNS or CLASS. | Ships Names. | $\begin{gathered} \text { When } \\ \text { purchafed. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { When braken up, } \\ & \text { loff, } \\ & \text { or ocherwfe deftroyed. } \end{aligned}$ | SUMS paid for Repairs. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Gun Boat | Fury |  |  | Not repaired |
    | - - - | Ferret | 1794 1794 | Sold Sold S |  |
    | - - | Foreller | 1794 | Sold - - |  |
    | - - - | Four Brothers | 1794 | Sold - 1801 |  |
    | - - - | George | 1794 | Sold - 1798 |  |
    | - - | Grace | 1794 | Sold - 1798 |  |
    | - $-\quad$. | Hornet Hope | 1794 1794 | Broken up - ${ }_{\text {- }} 795$ |  |
    | - - | Hawke - | 1794 | $\overline{\text { Loft - }} 179$ |  |
    | - - - | James and William | 1794 | Sold - ${ }^{17999}$ |  |
    | - - - | Lion | 1794 | Sold - 1795 |  |
    | - - - | Louifa | 1794 | Sold - ${ }^{1799}$ |  |
    | $\square-$ | Mayflower | 1794 | Fitted as a Mud Boat |  |
    | - - - | Mary | ${ }^{1} 794$ | Sold - 1798 |  |
    | - - | New Betfey | 1794 | Sold - ${ }^{1798}$ |  |
    | - - | Leopard | 1794 1794 | Made a Pitch Boat - |  |
    | - - - | Repuli | 1794 | Broken up - - |  |
    | - - - | Robert | 1794 | Sold - 1799 |  |
    | - - | Scorpion | 1794 | Sold - 1804 |  |
    | - - - | Scourge | 1794 | Broken up - 1803 |  |
    | - - - | Shark | 1794 \{ | Run away with by the Crew - 1796 |  |
    | - - - | Serpent - | $1794\{$ | Put out of Commiflion |  |
    | - - - | Seven Sifters |  | Sold - |  |
    | - - - | Tiger - | 1794 | - - - |  |
    | - - - | Terror - | 1794 | Sold - 1804 |  |
    | - - | Viper | 1794 | Broken up - 1801 |  |
    |  | Union | 1794 | Sold - 1800 |  |
    | - - | Willon | 1794 \{ | Given up to the Tranfport Board |  |
    | - - - | William |  | Sold -- 1801 |  |
    | - - | Wolf | 1794 | Broken up - 1803 |  |
    | - - - | Wasp | 1794 | Sold - . 1801 |  |
    | Gun Brig | Staunch | 1797 | Sold - 1803 |  |
    | - - - | Meteor | 1797 | Soldy - 1802 |  |
    | - - | Maftiff | 1797 | Wffecked - 1799 |  |
    | - - - | Minx | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - = - | Manly | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - - - | Pouncer | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - - - | Pincher | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - - | Wrangler | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - - - | Rattle | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - - - | Ready | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - - | Safeguard | 1797 | Sold - 1802 |  |
    | - - - | Sentinel - - | 1804 | Loft - 1812 |  |
    | - - | Volunteer - - | 1804 | Sold - 1812 |  |
    | - - | Thrafher Watchful $-\quad-$ | 1804 1804 |  |  |
    | Storehip - - | Emprefs Mary |  | Made a Breakwater 1804 |  |
    | - - - | Abundance | $\times 799$ |  |  |
    | - - - | Porpaife - | 1804 | - - - |  |
    | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Difpatch Cutter } \\ - \\ - & - \\ -\end{array}$ | Dove | 1805 | - - |  |
    |  | Pigeon - - | 1805 | Loft - - |  |
    |  | Carrier | 1805 | Loft - 1809 |  |
    | Schooner - - | Sandwich | 1805 | Eroken up - 1809 |  |
    | - | Viper - | 1807 | Loft - 1809 |  |
    | - - | Sir Andrew Mitchell | 1806 | - . - 0 |  |
    | - | Pickle - | 1808 |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Cutter - - | Dart Nimble | 1810 1812 | - |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |
    | F.J. Hartwell. |  | R. Sepping | H. Legge. |  |

    $$
    -(38 .)-
    $$

    Nary OEfe, 3d May 1814
    Ax ACCOUNT of all Skips baith in the Eaft Indies, on account of His Majeft's Navy, fince the year 1791, with the Cols of them; and an account of the times at which the fid S3ips were broken ap, lo1, or otberwife detroyed, or difcharged from His Majelty's Service; and an Account of the feveral Sums paid on accoment of Repairs of the faid Ships daring the time they were ia His Majelty's Service.
    

    $$
    \text { F. J. Hartacell. } \quad \text { R. Seppings. } \quad \text { H. Lage. }
    $$

    ## VIII.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    Luna, $9^{\circ}$ die Maii, 1814.<br>SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    Mr. Cbrifoper Ricbardfon, junior, called in; and examined by Mr, Adolpaus. Y Yre a timber merchant at Limehoufe ?-Yes, I am. Your father is alfo a timber merchant, and you are in partnerfhip with him? -Yes.
    How long have you been acquainted with the bufinefs, and carried it on?-Upwards of five-and-twenty years, jointly with my father.

    Yoi have been actively concerned, during all that time, in carrying on bufinefs with your father?-Yes, the whole tin'e.
    Have you dealt largely in Britifh oak-timber and plank, and in foreign timber alfo?-In both, to a large extent.

    Have your dealings given you an opportunity of knowing the flate of the timber in feveral counties? - Yes.

    I mean in this examination to confine your attention to oak-timber fit for large hips; to what counties in particular has your attention been called?-More immediately to the home counties; Effex, Kent, Surrey, and Suffex.

    Has the nature of your bufinefs been fuch as to induce you to examine the ftate of thofe counties particularly as to timber?-Not particularly to examine them, merely in paffing through them; my attention has been directed to the growth of timber.

    Have you in the courfe of thofe five-and-twenty years, drawn confiderable quantities of timber from thofe counties? -We have.

    Are you of opinion, from your recent obfervation, that there is any fcarcity of timber in thofe counties? -Not the leaft.

    Have you turned your attention to the fubject of to-day's inquiry, fo as to inform the Committee of what has been the prices of timber for the laft twenty-five years, of oak-timber of 60 -feet metings?-I have taken extracts from the books of our fales.

    From fales that have been within your own knowledge in that time? Within my own knowledge.

    Mr. Grant.]-Have you taken them at the market-prices?-Yes, at which we were felling them to the fhipwrights.

    Thofe were the general ordinary prices?-Yes.
    Committce.]-You always fell by fquare meafure? -Yes.
    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Is that the paper which you have made out, [handing it to the witnefs]?-Yes, it is.

    Mr. Grant.]-Is that your own hand-writing ?-Yes.
    Mr. Adolphus.]-Thefe are the prices of the feveral fpecies of timber and plank, for the feveral times mentioned in that paper? -Yes:
    [It was delivered in and read as follows.].
    

    Chit 1 junion

    What is the prefent price of oak-timber of 60 -feet metings?-I Ihould confider the prefent price as 7 l: 10 s.

    You fay you fhould confider that the prefent'price; do you fell any at this time? I have timber in confiderable quantity 1 hould be very glad to fell at that rate.

    A confiderable quantity at $\sigma$ o-feet metings? -At 6 o-feet metings.
    To what do you attribute the prefent decreafe in price?-The little demand; the total ftagnation of bufinefs in the fhip-yards.

    Did you ever in the courfe of thofe twenty-five years you fpeak of find any difficulty in procuring oak-timber for the building of large fhips?-Never.

    Have you been in the habit of contributing to the fupply of the principal yards? We have been in the habit of fupplying moft of the yards in the River at different periods; we are likewife very largely concerned in the fupply of timber for the Public Works, and never have any difficulty in procuring what we want.

    What Public Works do you mean?-For the Cuftoms, bridges, and other works of that nature, where the largeft fcantlings have been required.

    Suppofing the demand to continue fuch as it has been, for any length of time to come, have you any apprehenfion of feeling a fcarcity of timber?-Not the leaft.

    Are you fully of opinion that a fupply can be obtained for all purpofes of Public and Eaft India and Merchant fervice?-I have not the leaft doubt of it.

    Have you any knowledge of what fort of oak-timber is furnifhed for the Ordnance?-A very large quantity is delivered in to fupply the Ordnance.

    Do you fupply the Ordnance with timber? - We have not fupplied the Ordnance for a great number-of years; not on contract.

    Do you fupply it to thofe who do fupply the Ordnance on contract?-In the courfe of our tranfactions we have.

    Do you know then by your perfonal obfervation what fort of timber it is that is ufed in the Ordnance department? - Certainly there is fome of the fineft timber it is poffible to ufe in any department of the State fent to the Ordnance; timber that I have been grieved to lee ufed in fuch works as they have been.

    Was it timber fit for the building a man of war of the largett fize? -Yes.
    Was fuch timber, in your judgment, neceffary for fuch work as was carrying on in the Ordnance department? -I think far from it.

    Timber of inferior fize and value would have done as well ?-I have feen the fineft timber for fhip-building purpofes converted to make'axle-trees for gun-carriages, and purpofes of that defcription. I have feen timber fit for keel-pieces converted to make the figes of the gun-carriages.

    From the paper you have delivered in, it appears there has been a confiderable advance in the price of foreign oak and plank ?-Yes, there has.

    What effect hàs that advance had 'upon the confumption and price of Englifh oak ?-It has certainly increafed the price of Englifh timber, and increafed the demand in our tranfactions; we have à great deal to do with carpenters, and when things have been very high of foreign goods, they certainly have been converting our Englifh timber for purpofes that foreign timber might have been taken.

    Do you know the prefent fate of fhip-building in the Thames?-I-do not think there is any thing going forward at all in the yards I have feen; the yards are totally out of employ, and all the poor people thrown on the parifhes for fupport; almolt it is fo in our parifh.

    Committee.]-Since-when ?-Since the laft fix or eight months.
    Mr. Adolphus:]-If India-built fhips are in future permitted to carry on the trade of India and China, what effect will that have upon the price of oak-timber in England ?-I fhould confider it would reduce the price of oak-timber.

    Will the price of timber under thofe circumftances, in your judgment, be fufficient to induce landlords and others to permit the growth of it to the largett fize? -No; I fhould think not.

    Thie encouragement you think will not afford fufficient motive to them to procure a fupply of the largeft timber? - No; I fhould think not:

    Have you any knowledge, from your own obfervation, of the prefent fate of the Royal Forefts?-I have feen fome little of the Hainault foreft, it is a very extenfive foreft, and I fpeak of it from having contracts with Goverpment for the conveyance of their timber from it to the yard at Deptford; ;it is certainly very-capable of growing as fine timber as any the country can produce.

    If the demand from the Navy fhould in future, from the improved flate and great care taken of the Royal Forells, be totally fupplied from them, what market will be left for the large timber if hips for the Indian trade ceafe to be built in London ? There will not be a demand for timber of that defcription in the flip-yards.
    Can you advife any other way in which timber of that fize will be advantageoufly ufed ?-I Ihould fuppofe it will be cut up by carpenters for country purpofes.

    But will timber of a fmaller fize anfwer thofe purpofes?-Certainly.
    Therefore the timber of a larger fize will be cut to wafte?-Certainly.
    Have you at any time lately had any timber of the largeft defcription, fit for firfrate fhips of wat, which you have been unable to difpofe of ?-Yes; we have re. peatedly had fuch timber:

    Within what time? -I think about two years fince $I$ offered a quantity of the largeft timber to Mr. Morris, agent to Mr. Larkin the contractor.

    That is, above the fize fit for twelve-hundred-ton fhips?-About the fize.
    Did he accept it ?-No, he did not ; he did not purchafe it.
    Did he give any reafon for it ?-He offered me a price below what I confidered a fair market price.

    Do you recollect what the price was he offcred to you ?-I think, as far as my recollection goes, it was timber of one hundred and forty feet metings.

    Which you could not make a bargain for with Mr. Larkin?-No; the price he offered me was 29. a load, which was only the price of fixty feet metings.

    What became of that timber ?-We have converted it for fmall purpofes ; I believe principally, to make caps for the warehoufes of the Weft India Docks.

    To a difadvantage I fuppofe? -Yes, to a difadvantage.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

    How long has the price of oak-timber in the market declined ?-I think about fix or eight months.

    Then the tranfaction to which you allude, of your having offered timber for fale which you could not difpofe of, took place before there was any general decreafe in the market price?-Ycs.
    There have been always, at times, great fluetuations in the market price of timber ? -No, it has generally been on the increafe from the year 1795. I think in the year 179 I I old fome timber to $a$ contractor for Government at the rate of $\mathcal{E}_{3}$. Ios. for fixty feet metings.

    Thefe prices however, in your account are average prices? -They are what I confider the market-prices.

    You do not mean that in particular parts of any given year the price might not be lefs than the price mentioned in your aceount for that year? ?-They may have varied a little, but $I$ do not think of any confequence.

    Comnittec.]-Is it taken from your actual fales, or is it merely a matter of eftimate? -From our aftual fales.

    Mr. Grant.]-For inflance, in the year 18 ro , when I fee the price was £8. 10s. might there not be fome individual tranfaction in which no more than £ 7 . 10s. was offered ?-There might be from needy people wanting to get money, perhaps.

    What was the price at the time when $\mathscr{E} g$. was offered to you for timber of one hundred and forty feet metings, what was the market price for timber of that fize? -I fhould confider about $\mathscr{E}^{1} \mathrm{O}$. Ios. ; timber of that fize.

    I underftand you to flate, that you have never examined particularly the fate of timber in the counties you have mentioned, with a view to form a precife judgment of what would be their future fupply ? - I never examined it particularly ; mine has Been merely remarks in paffing through the counties in the way of bufineis.

    Then I underftand you to lay, that in that fort of corfory obfervation you have not remarked any fcarcity ? - No, I have not.

    Do you mean to ftate, that the whole quantity of timber in thofe counties has not diminifhed during the laft twenty years?-From my obfervation I think the encouragement that has been given to timber has induced people to take more care of it than formerly.

    I wifh to know whether you mean to fate precifely, that there has been no decreafe in the quantity of timber in the home counties ?-There certainly Las been a decreafe of large timber.
    Do you not believe that the decreafe in the large timber has been gradual?I think from large falls having taken place that has occafioned the decreafe in part. (72.) junior.

    Mr. Do you not believe that, taking the whole of the laft twenty years, there has Cbrift. Richardfon been a gradual decreafe in the quantity of timber in the home counties?-Of large jünior. timber.

    Can you ftate whether the demand for timber during that time has increafed or not?-The demand has increafed.

    Then do you afcribe the great rife which has taken place in the price of timber to be owing to the increafe of demand, concurrently with the decreafe of the fupply? -I think there is another caufe, which is the high price of foreign timber.
    What, in your judgment, has occafioned the high price of foreign timber ?-The difficulty of drawing fupplies from foreign ports, and the additional duties.
    From what foreign quarters, if from any, has large timber been ufually fupplied to this country ?-I muft beg leave to flate to the Committee that the difficulty of procuring fir-timber for the fupply of the market here has occafioned carpenters to ufe Englifh oak. It is not fir that I am particularly alluding to, but it is timber generally.
    I underftand you to fay, that the high price of forcign timber of all kinds has produced a greater confumption of Britifh oak-timber, and that the effeat of that greater confumption has been to raife the price of oak-timber?-It has fo.

    Has not the increafe in the price of foreign timber been owing to the greater confumption of timber altogether in this country ? - It has in part; but there are fome ports that we have not derived any fupply of foreign wood from; the port of Dantzic, and many others.

    You intend to imply, that during paft years fureign timber has fupplied a good part of the confumption of this côuntry ?-It has, in building.

    Are not the Committee to conclude that the ufe of foreign timber, in whatever way, during paft years, has fpared the confumption of our native oak-timber? It has.

    Thęn, when you ftate that there will be a fufficient fupply, in your judgment, in all future years, of timber, provided the demand continues the fame as it has been in times paft ; do you mean to take into your account, that part of that demand is. to be fupplied by foreign timber?-No, I do not.

    If the demand has been hitherto fupplied in part by foreign timber, and in part by native timber, how can you take upon yourfelf to fay the fame quantity of demand will be in future years fupplied by native timber at all?-I think it requires a little explanation. I beg leave to flate to the Committee, that at the time when foreign timber, fuch as Memel and Dantzic fir, were at a very ligh rate, which are principally ufed by carpenters, they then had recourfe to Englifh timber, Englifh oak principally; it is likewife the fame in all our large difilleries; if they cannot get Dantzic deals for the making their vats, and coolers, they then have the materials cut out of Englifh oak.

    I underfland you to fay, in time paft the confumption has been fupplied partly by native and partly by foreign timber?-The general fupply of the country to the carpenters, is from foreign timber.
    . Suppofing in future there fhould be a failure in the fupply of foreign timber to the carpenters, do you apprehend the oak-timber of this country will be fufficient to fupply the whole confumption?-Not certainly, if there is no fupply of foreign timber.

    Then when you fate there will be a fufficient fupply in future years, whether for the purpofes of Mip-building, machinery, carpenters, or in whatever other way, you mean to affume that there avill be a certain regular fupply of foreign timber?-Yes, I do.

    Has the increafe of the price of oak-timber, which you attribute to the fcarcity of foreign timber, been increafing of late years, or has it merely taken place at fome particular times?-It has been progreflive.

    There has been lefs foreign timber imported of late years?-There has been lefs foreign timber imported of late years.

    * So far therefore as foreign timber has fupplied the market, there has been an extraordinary draw upon our native oak?-There has.

    Upon the whole, should you conceive that the price of timber to the grower has increaled within the 1 aft 20 years, beyond the average increafe in the price of .other commodities of home produce, or not?-I hould think not.

    What is the price of timber fold on the ground, by the grower now, as compared with the price twenty years ago ?-I hould think it is not above two-thirds higher . 2 h n it was.then.

    Do you mean to fay, that where it was one before, it is three now ?-No, I mean to fay, where it was fix before, it is ten now.
    Were you in the habit of buying flanding timber at that time?-Yes.
    And are fo ftill?-And am fo fill.
    And do you mean pofitively to fay, that the increafe in the price to the grower has not been more than two-thirds during the whole of that time ?-I do not think it has.

    You fpeak pofitively to that, do you?-No, only as far as my recollection carries me, to what the price was then.

    Then if any perfon fhould fate to the Committee, that the price of timber to the grower is three times as great now as it was 20 years ago, that perfon muft labour under a very great mifapprehenfion?-My own opinion is as 1 have ftated it.
    Can you flate whether, as prices are now, it is more profitable to the grower to cut down a tree at 40 feet growth, or to let it fland till it becomes 60 ?-I flould think it more advifeable to let it fland till it comes to 6 c , it will increafe more in growth in proportion.
    In what time will a tree of 40 feet grow to 60 ?-II am not prepared with any calculation to flate that, but I fhould fuppofe in about 20 years.
    What would be the prices at the two periods of the fame tree, fuppofing a good foil, and other circuimftances favourable?-Timber of 60 feet metings will be at a lugher value than that of forty.
    There are two trees flanding together, one of forty and the other of fixty feet; what would be their prices, fuppofing them equally good trees?-At ten pounds a load, one would be worth about 81 . a tree, and the other would be about $12 l$.
    Do you mean round meafure or fquare meafure?-Round meafure.
    Then according to your calculation, the value of the tree increafes only 50 per cent. in 20 years? -I think it will increafe more; there are other things to be taken into confideration, the top of the tree.
    Any thing elfe?-No; a tree of 60 feet dimenfions will have more top to it than a tree of 40 feet.

    What would be the increafe of the value of the top?-The increafe in the value might be from 10 to 20 fhillings.
    By what fort of meafure do you buy the top?-It is fold by the faggot wood.
    Committee.]-Do you mean that the tree of the larger growth, would have a top worth 20 ghillings more than the other?-There would be an increafe from 10 to 20 fhillingz.
    Do you mean that the larger tree would be worth 20 fhillings, and the other 10 fhillings ? - Yes.

    Mr. Grant.]-Can you explain how it fhould be profitable to the grower to let his tree remain on the ground, if that is to be the whole profit he is to make? I have no documents to go from, but as far as my opinion goes, on good foil, timber will increafe in growth very near 10 per cent. per annum.

    Would the price of the tree increafe 10 per cent. every year?-No; the growth of the tree would increafe that in fome foils; it would be fo in an eftate we had; the growth of the timber in fix years increafed at the rate of to per cent. and the trees were from 10 feet to 70 .

    What fhould you fuppofe the annual value of thofe trees?--Calculating at the rate of 5 per cent. in the growth, and taking the eventual rifk of the rife in the. markets.
    You mean to fay, the value of the trees will be 5 per cent. per annum ? - No, the increafe in the growth of them will be 5 per cent. per annum.
    When you fpeak of the eventual rifk of the rife in the market, you take into your account that the timber is likely to rife in the mean time?-Yes.
    Then when you fare that it is profitable to a grower to let his tree increafe from 40 feet to 60 , do you mean to affume that the price of timber is rifing during the whole interval?-That the price of timber is rifing during the whole of the interval.
    Then you do not mean to affert, that it is profirable to the grower to leave his tree growing, on any other fuppofition, than when the price of timber in the market is increafing? -I fate the increafe in the growth as about 5 per cent. per annum on the meafurement of it; and that at the rate at which timber has been fold, there has been an annual advance on it in price.

    Committee.]-That is the way in which the inflance of 10 per cent. which you ftate, is to be undertood?-Yes.

    Mri. Mr. Grant.]-Your have ©ated that the quartity of largéftimber in the home Cbrift. Rithardjim counties has progreflively decreafed within the laft twenty years? - Yes.
    juniar. Should the demand continure as great as it is now, will it not go on in fome meafure decreafing ?-The large timber will, certainly.

    Therefore, as far as large timber is concerned, the confumption of this country is not likely to be fo well fupplied in future years as in years paft?-We are only fpeaking of the home counties.

    Will you anfwer that queftion with refpeat to the home counties?-I think there will never be a want of fupply of timber, becaufa there is, now a great deal of young timber, that at a future period will be fit for the fupply.

    When will that young timber become large? -In twenty or five-and-twenty years.
    And during the interval before it comes into ufe, there will continue to be a decline of large timber in thofe conties ?-Of large timber, cettainly.

    If I underftood your anfwer in your examination in chief, you are of opinion that if 100,000 acres of Royal forefts are planted with timber for the fupply of the Navy, a very bad effect will be produced upon the growth of.timber on private eftates?Yes, 1 certainly think fo.

    You are aware there is fome fuch plan in agitation ?-I have been informed, there was.

    Then if that plan is adopted, on the affumed principle that the fupply of oaktimber from private eftates in future will not be fufficient for the confumption of the country, that is a principle in which you do not concur? -I am not aware that Govertment is going to plant forefts from the dread of not geting the fupply from the country; that is not what I flated.

    Then in your judgment there is no ground for fuch a dread as that, twhether entertained by Government or not?-I think not.

    ## [Re-exanined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    In the examination that you have made of timber in counties through which you have rode, have you looked at it with the eye of a man who has an intereft and motive for doing fo?-Certainly.

    If your examination then was not fo particularly addreffed to the fubject as if you had been going to become a purchafer, was it fo directed as to convey certain information to your mind upon the fubject ?-Certainly.

    When you fpeak of the decreafe of large timber in the home counties, is it within your knowledge that large quantities of the largett timber have been drawn from other counties in the fame period?-Not in the fame proportion.

    Is there timber enough, within your obfervation, advancing to the larger growth, to fupply the deficiencies within a fhort or reafonable time? -I think fo.

    Suppofing a peace to continue for any moderate term of years, I apprehend the demand for the largeft timber will be yery much diminifhed in that time? Certainly.

    The wear and tear and renovation of the Roydl Navy occafion a great proportion of that demand, undoubtedly? - Very confiderable.

    And that being fpared for a time, timber in progrefs will have time to arrive to a farge fize'?-Certainly.

    The foreign timber and woods to which you have alluded, I underftand you to fay, are principally ufed for other purpofes than hip-building, by carpenters and houfe-builders, and fo on ?-Certainly.
    Is it within your knowledge that great efforts are making to procure a fufficient fupply of that fir and the other timber in this country?-Yes, as far as my obfervation has gone, there has been very great attention paid to the cultivation of timber.
    I mean the fir and woods from foreign countries?-Yes.
    Do you believe that the attention paid in that reipect will be fufficient to procure a Hupply independently of a deficiency from other countries?-No, I think not.

    Will the fupply of fir and timber of that kind be fufficient" to make good aty deficiency that may arife; I mean, fuppofing the countries continite to fend as much as they can, and there fhould be a deficiency, will the home-growin fir timber make amends for it?-Yes.

    Have you any notion of what quantity of timber has been imported?-No, I believe that can only be obtained through His Majety's Cuiftoms; though I believe the quáátity is very fmall nows.

    You fpeak of the price of the timber to the grower having advanced two-thirds; is that as you contract for the tree on the ground ?-Yes.

    Leaving all the incidental charges of felling, carriage, \&c. to the perfon who pur-chafes?-Yes.

    I think you ftated, you have no certain means of informing yourfelf at this moment what a tree of 40 feet and a tree of 60 feet will be relatively worth ?-No, I have not.

    You have not fuch certain information as you would wifh the Committee to rely upon ?-No.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    How long have you perfonally been in the bufinefs?-About eight-and-twenty years; I came into my father's bufinefs when I was about fifteen.

    You have flated, that from 1795 to the prefent time, the prices have increafed from 4l. to 81. 10s. or $9 l$ ? ?-Yes.

    You have alfo ftated, that the increafe of oak has not been more than as fix to ten?-The latter ftatement was with refpect to the growth of timber; I believe the ftatement on paper, is the ftatement of prices at which we have fold goods to the yards; the other was a queftion put to me, aking what I fuppofed generally was the advance in the price of timber to the grower.

    That increafe to the grower has been as from fix to ten, whereas the increafe to the confumer has been as from four to nine?-I am fpeaking now as a middle man, between the grower and the confumer, and I confider merely in the rough way in which I have made the anfwer, that the increafe to the grower was in the proportion as from fix to ten; but I think that increafe on the fale mult be warranted, when you look to the increafed expence which attaches to the conveyance of the timber.

    Dees not the conveyance of timber enter into your confideration, when you purchafe of the grower?-Not always, it does generally; at the time we purchafe timber of the grower, we are not acquainted with the charges.

    Is there any cafe in which it enters into your mind that the timber is to ftand where it does when you buy it?-No, certainly not.

    Do not you make a difference when you purchafe timber in different places, of equal value?-Yes, we do.

    Then does not that enter into a computation upon the conveyance? - Yes, though not perhaps a correct ftatement.

    Then will you explain why the proportions differ fo much ?-The grower of the timber has nothing to look to but the advance in the price; he is not fubject to the additional charges on the conveyance of it to the market, and that muft be the caufe of the increafed rate.

    What is the proportion in which the expence of conveyance has rifen?-It has at leaft rifen one-half.

    Fifty per cent?-Yes.
    And what, do you calculate, is the expence of conveyance upon each load, from a given place?-It mult vary according to the firuation of the timber. The increafe of carriage has certainly been fifty per cent.

    Then your increafe of conveyance is only as fix to nine?-No, certainly.
    Then that is not equal to the price of timber in round meafure?-No, but there are a great many other objects: the expence of felling the timber.

    That is about one fhilling a tree ?-More than that confiderably.
    What is the proportional increafe of that ?-There is fuch an innumerable number of odd charges to enter into particularly, that I cannot fate them; there is an increale in the price of felling timber, in the hatching of the bark, in every thing; and generally fpeaking, I hould fuppofe at the rate of fifty per cent.

    Then you ftate the whole of the increafed expences to be only as fix to nine? - As fix to nine.

    You have ftated, that you have not examined thofe countries through which you have paffed, with a view to future fupply? -I have not examined them.

    In what way did you examine them? -Merely in ablerving the quantities of timber growing as I paffed along.

    Then it is mere conjecture, as to the ftate of the timber ?-Mere conjefure.
    Do you know any thing of what is the amount of the confumption of large timber in this country? $-N o$, I am not prepared to flate that.

    $$
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    NMr. Then knowing trothing abouft the fupply, and nothing about the confurnption, will you flate how you know there is no probability of there being a fearcity in the junior. fupply? -Néver having expenienced any deficiency, and from the view which I have had in paffing through the countries I have mentioned, and from the offers we have regularly had made to is frofn merchants in the country.

    During the whole of yout experience, there has been a rite of prices, bas there not ?-There has.

    Mo yon know to what purpofes oak in this country is applied, befides that of thip-building?-It is ufed by the back-makers, it is ufed by the mill-wrighte

    Is not it ufed by perfots who build conmercial fhipping?-Certainly.
    And in lighters and boat-building on the canals ?-Yes.
    And in locks, and thofe things on canals?-Yes.
    In houfes?-Very little in Houfes.
    Do you think thofe purpofes have increafed tvithin late years? - $t$ think they have.

    At what age is the oak when it is applicable to thole purpofes?-About forty years growth.

    Then does not that oak generally pive the beft price in proportion to its value, whice there are the greateft number of competiors? ?-Yes.

    Then has not the oak at that early period a much greater number of compecitors than when it is confined only to the Navy and the India purpoles?-Yes.

    Then do not you know that oak at that age, in proportion to its real value, bears a better price than it does when it is fuffered to fland to become larger?-It bears a better price in proportion than when it is larger.

    Then is it not better worth while for gentlemen who have oak, to cut it at that fize than to wait till it become large enough for the purpofes of the Navy and large Jhipping ?-Yes, I think fo.

    You have flated; that if the India trade was carried on in India hips, it would reduce the price of oak very confiderably, by reducing the demand ?-Yes.

    Does not the ufe of caft-iron produce the fame effect ?-Yes.
    And will not the progreffive increafe of the ufe of iron in the building of fhips produce exactly the fame effect upon the interefts of the growers of large timber, as the building fhips elfewhere than in England will?-No, I hould think not; becaufe in thip.building ( I am not a hip-builder myfelf), as far as I can judge, they mult have oak timber, or other timber; they cannot do with iron only.

    Will not the progreflive ufe of iron in the different parts of fhips reduce the neceffity for large tiuber; and if the demand was fo reduced, would not it have the fame effect as carrying po the India trade, or any other trade, in thips not built in this country? - Yes, in fome fmall degree.

    You thave flated that you have feen the finett timber fent to the Ordance? - I have feen fome very fine timber.

    You have ftated alfo, that it is very unneceffarily ufed ?-Yes.
    Do you know what purpofes the large timber is applied to ?-I have feen it converted for fmall purpofes, and where frmaller timber would have anfwered.

    Do you know that there are things conftrutted by the Ordnance that require the largeft and the beft timber ?-Yes, certainly.

    Do you know that the timber which you faw fo converted to thofe purpofes was not the refufe of that which was converted to thole great purpofes?-I know that was not the cafe.

    Do you know that timber has been ufed in the way you defcribe to a confiderable extent?-No: I do not know that'to a confiderable extent, not having fupplied timber to the Ordnance myfelf lately:

    Do you mean to fpeak of its being the general practice of the Ordnance to cut up the beft timber for thofe fmall purpofes to which other fmall timber would be applicable? I think the Ordnance go into the market as competitors with the Navy; and that they purchafe timber that would do for Mipping purpofes.

    Do you mean to flate, that it is the practice of the Ordnance to cut up timber that would be fit for the beft parpofes of fhip-building for axle-trees, and for thofe other trifing things you have alluded to ?-I fate that I have feen timber converted for inferior puirpofes; large timber, when fmaller might have done; I do not fay gerierally that dhey take the whole of the large timber to convert in that way; but I have feen it.

    A'te you fure that that large timber which you faw fo converted was not a part
    cut off larger timber, which had been converted, or was neceffarily to be converted, to thofe purpofes which required the larger timber?-No; they were whole trees that I faw converted.

    Mr.
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    In how many inftances have you feen it?-I thould fuppofe generally, whenever I have been in the neighbourhood, I have feen the timber of that fort converted. I think there is a great deal of timber converted in the Ordnance department that would be more ufeful in the Navy.

    What has led you to this conftant communication with the Navy and Ordnance? From having friends in the neighbourhood, whom I very often vifited, and from timber I have feen delivered into the yard.

    You have fpoken of the import of foreign timber; if the India fhips were built in India, would not this enable the conntry to fupply themfelves without depending upon any importation ?-I hould think not. If I underfand the queftion, it is put generally for the fupply of the timber for all departments in the country.

    It is meant oak only ?-I thould think there is a fufficient quantity of oak in the country for all purpofes.

    What do you conceive to be the reafon of importing oak from foreign countries?Great part of that which has come from foreign countries has been flipped by foreign merchants here for fale.

    For what reaion?-Becaufe they have found a ready fale for it to be ufed in repairs, and many other ways.

    Do you know what the prefent price per folid foot is of foreign fir? -The prefent price is four fhillings per foot.

    The large fcantling?-The large fcantling.
    What was it laft year about the fame time ?-It is fated on the paper I have delivered in; I think it is nearly the fame, with very little alteration.

    About four fhillings laft year?-Yes.
    Has not it been higher within the laft three years?-Confiderably.
    What was it two years ago?-It was about five fhillings a foot.
    Was the largeft Dantzic fir-timber no higher than five chillings a foot three years ago ?- There was not any in the market that I know of.

    How long has foreign fir-timber been as high as from four to five fhillings per folid foot?-I hink it was in the year $\mathbf{1} 808$ that it came up to about four fhillings a foot; and I have known it as high as fix.

    It continued to rife to fix?-It rofe to fix; and I believe it has been as high as feven fhillings.

    And in the laft year it fell to about four hillings?-Yes.
    At thofe periods what was the price per folid foot of Britifh oak-timber, fuch as is ufed by carpenters?-In flating the price of timber, Iftate it by the cubic foot, foreign oak or foreign fir; but in the price of oak-timber according to metings, it is not a fquare foot.

    What have been the prices, fince the year 1808 , of foreign oak-timber die fquare per fulid foot?-In the year 1808 there was a great rife in foreign oak, at the latter end of that year.

    Relate to the Committee the prices of foreign oak-timber die fquare per folid foot, from the year 1808 till now? - Quebec oak.timber in the year 1808 was at five fhillings per foot; in year 1809 it was fix frillings a foot; in the year 1810 it was fix fhillings and fixpence; in the year $\mathbf{1 8 1 1}$ it was fix hillings; in the year 1812 it was five fhillings and fixpence. Dantzic oak in the year 1808 there was not any here, and therefore I cannot flate the price of it; in the year 1810 it was eight fhillings per foot; in the year 1811 it was ten Chillings; in the year 1812 it was twelve fhillings; thofe are the only foreign oaks I have the prices of.

    Thofe are kinds of oak that are ufed by the carpenters in this country? -No; thofe are ufed in fhip-building.

    Are thofe kinds of oak ufed at all, alfo by carpenters?-Not at all.
    In the conftruction of houres ?-No.
    Or the fmaller work of houfes?-No.
    In wainfcotting?-No, not at ail.
    You are underftood to have been fpeaking in your account of prices of die fquare foreign oak?-Yes, die fquare.

    And that you fay is not ufed by carpenters? - That is not ufed by carpenters.
    What is the kind of oak ufed in the internal work of houfes in this country?The Dutch and Riga oak.

    ## $M r$. Richardfon junior.

    Is that ever ufed alfo for thip-building ?-No, never.
    Did you ever know thofe kinds of foreign oak which are ufed in fhip-building to be ufed in the diftilleries for the making of vats?-I do not know of their being uled.

    Have you heard that they are?-1 have been told that the Dantzic oak has been.
    Do you think that the high price of foreign fir for the laft fix years has caufed oak good for fhip-building to be applied to purpofes to which that foreign fir at a lower price ufed to be applied ?-Yes.

    To what purpofes?-Beams and girders, and for many things for which fir-timber would have been ufed.

    Do you think the change from the ufe of fir-timber to oak-timber has been . large ? -No.
    Do you think that to fupply that oak-timber ufed inftead of fir, which itfelf was good for fhip-building, there muft of neceffity have been a demand for fo much Britifh oak-timber for the ufes of hip-building, as would replace it?-Yes.
    Is there a confiderable difference in the price of Riga and Dantzic oak?-I am not a dealer in the Riga oak, and I cannot fay.
    Do you know generally, whether it is any thing confiderable?-I think the Riga oak is not fo high as the Dantzic.
    You have ftated, that there will not be a fufficient fupply of Britifh fir to fupply the place of foreign fir?-Yes.

    Can Britifh fir be applied to the fame purpofes as foreign fir can ?-No.
    Then how can it fupply the place of it?-I have only flated that. it will in part.
    It cannot be applied to the purpofes of fhip-building? - No.
    To the purpofes of houfe-building ? - Yes, it can.
    Not fo well ?-Not fo well.
    It is more liable to decay? -Yes.
    It gets worm-eaten ?-Yes.
    Mr. William Prefon was called in; and examined by Mr. Harrison.
    I believe you are a timber-merchant?-Yes.
    And your father was a very confiderable timber-merchant too? ?-Yes, for fome years.

    How long have you been engaged in the bufinefs?-About feventeen years.
    During the latter part of your father's life very principally concerned in fact in the management of the bufinefs?-Yes, during the latt five years.

    With what particular counties are you acquainted ?-Moft of thofe round London, fuch as Effex, Berkfhire, Hertfordfhire, Suffex.

    Do you know any thing of Kent?-No, I do not; fome part of Norfolk and Hampihire.

    In what ftate are the counties you have enumerated, as to a capability of affording a fupply of the large oak-timber?-I think they are in a fate regularly to fupply the proportion, as they have done, or nearly fo.

    And do you mean the large timber fit for the purpofes of building thips of confiderable fize? --Yes.

    My queftion, when I fpeak of large timber, applies to timber of fixty feet metings, and fomewhere thereabout ?-Timber for the largeft thips I prefume.
    Have you been in the habit of obtaining fupplies from thofe counties yourfelf?Yes.

    Have you, within any certain number of years paft, found any difficulty in procuring the fupplies you wanted of large timber?-No, not if we had liked the markets, and the profpect of bufinefs has been good; we have found no difficulty in procuring it when our exertions were required.
    That is, when the contract offered to you, or the demand made for timber, was of a defcription to tempt you to look for it, you were always able to find it?-Yes, that is my meaning.
    Do you know of any quantity of large timber now ftanding in Suffex?-Yes, I do; one fall that I have refured to treat for this feafon, in confequence of the flate of the timber-markets; a confiderable fall.

    On account of the fate of the timber-markets?-Entirely.
    Do you mean by that, that you confider the timber market a falling market? Very much.

    Of what defcription of timber is that to which. you have now alluded ?-Principally large:

    Is it in any confiderable quantity?-Twenty thoufand pounds worth ${ }_{2}$ I thould
     speaking.

    To what caufe do you affign the decline of price? The ftate of the bufinef in the River entirely.
    , Do you confider the bufinefs in the River as the pnly fhip-building bufinefs that comes in competition with the public for large timber ?-Principally that.

    Have you furnifhed any fupplies to the Ordnance? -Sometimes.
    : Of what defcription was the timber you furnifhed to the Ordnance? -Some of it very large, it was for mill-wrights work at Faverfham and Waltham Abbey.

    That was of a defcription of work which required it ? - Yes.
    Have you ever contracted with the Ordnance for any part of their general fupply, independent of the circumftance you have alluded to ?-Occafronally, but not very confiderable; the fupply to thofe works was confiderable that year I alluded to $\mathrm{o}_{2}$ for that defcription of bufinefs.

    You have fated to me that there is a confaderable fupply in the counties to whichyou have alluded, of large timber? - Yes,

    - Is the fupply, with reference to that which is in a progreffive flate of improvement, and would arrive in fucceffion at a ftate of maturity, a fupply that would continue? - Nearly fo, I confider,

    What I mean is, whether the timber in different ftates of growth is of a defcription. that will go on keeping up a fupply for the demand $i=\mathrm{Xes}_{2}$ that is my opinion.

    If the building of large ghips in the river Thames was wholly difcontinued, would it, in your judgment, affect the timber-market for oak-timber? - It has already ; pnd, I fhould fuppofe will very materially, that is my opinion of it; and is my reafon for: refufing the $15.0 \mathrm{~L} 20,000 \%$ worth of simber, and other lots, entirely. We have peen obliged to fell large timber at fuch a very reduced price, it has made me wave buying almoft entirely in the prefent ftate of things,

    You have ftated that you have lately fold large tímber yourfelf ?-Yes, I have.
    To whom did you fell that?-Ta the contractor that has the Engineer's department in the River, his name is Friend.
    For what purpofe was that ufed ?-For part of the mill-wrights work at Waltham Abbey.

    Of what defcríption, when you fay large timber, was it as to fize? --Seventy feet metings; fome of which I had had two years on hand; and had not been able to felf it without it was at. fuch a reduced price; I did not choofe to part with ir.

    During that period did you make any efforts to get rid of it? - Mr. Morris wrote to me about it, but his price was fuch that I declined to treat with him; he informed me that he could procure a fufficient quantity of timber; any quantity of umber for ihe money he was offering me.

    Your timber therefore he did not buy? -He did not.

    - How long did you keep it after that?-Four or five months, Ibelieve.

    And then you got rid of it ? -VVery recently to Mr, Friend.
    Did you fell it then under circumftances of difadvantage? -No; better than Mr: Morris offered me.
    ;'You got a little better price than Mr. Morris had offered you for it?-Yes.
    ? You have ftated the counties with which you are particularly acquainted; have you attempted to treat for any timber of Lord Hardwicke's lately ?-No, I declined it altogether, in confequence of this change, it was fuch an inland gituation; thought fine timber, it would not anfwer the purpofe now.

    Where was this timber fituate?-About feven miles beyond linton in Cambridgefhire.

    Of what defcription was this timber ?-Oak.
    I mean as to fize? -Large, 70 or 80 feet, fome of it 100 feet metings.

    - That you call, I apprehend, the fineft defcription of timber for thip-building?Yes, that is valuable timber.
    Was it inconfiderable quantity ?-Not a large quantity, becaufe I ufed to felect the timber out of the fall.
    . And.only take that which would anfwer to move that diftance? -Only that which would anfwer to move that great difance.

    Then in your judgment that lay too wide as to the circle to make it an object to you to become apurchafer of it?-Now it does.

    Mr.
    William Prefign.

    For the London market?-Yes.
    Is there any other market that you are aware of, in your knowledge, for any quantity of timber of that fize, but the London yards and the dock-yards?-Not that I know of; not of any moment whatever.

    The occafional wants for mills and other works of that defcription, you do not, confider as affording any regular market ?-No, none; thofe are quite uncertain' and accidental.

    Then if the River remains in the fate in which it is at prefent, there will be no market at all for that timber, unlefs it is fold to the Navy at a very depreciated sprice?-I have declined buying it on that account.

    Then am I to undeftand the refult of your judgment and evidence to be; that the falling.off of the fhip-building in the River will very materially affect the demand for timber of a large defcription?-That is my opinion.

    Within your experience, has the timber been much more encouraged, and has it been better taken care of than it was formerly?-Confiderable; on almof every eftate I go on, Ifind it to be the cafe.

    Is it the practice now upon thofe eftates to felect the timber that has grown to a large fize, or which has from accidental caules done growing, and not to cut that which: is in an improvable ftate?-Some do, and fome cut generally.

    But my queftion is, whether the habit of relecting that which has grown to a certain ftate of maturity, or any that has indicated that it will not improve, is not in practice more than it was?-A great deal more, and preferving the young timber.

    Is there, according to your obfervation, a fufficient fupply of timber in differenti ftages of growth, from the earlieft period up to 30,40 , and 50 years, to conie in and continue the fupply when that which is of a larger fize has been carried away by the. market ?-My opinion is, there is. a fufficiency.

    Then do you conceive that there is any farcity now of timber' of a good fize, for. Ahp-building?-I do not.

    Do you apprehend any fcarcity of it in future?-No.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    The price of timber has been gradually on the wife for the laft 15 to. 20 years? For 15. years 1 can fpeak to.

    The demand for fhip-building in the River you fay, has been chieffy fupplied from thofe counties you havementioned ?-As far as I have been concerned in the bufinefs, it has.

    The fhip-building in the River for commercial purpoles, and for the purpoles of Governiment, has; during the laft fifteen years, been very great?-It has, 1 fuppofe.

    Then do you think the very great confumption of hip-building timber from the home counties, has taken an unufually large proportion of the timber fit for thip. building from thofe counties?-1 think it has had a great fupply from thofe counties.

    Do you think that has tended to diminifh the quantity of timber fit for building ia thofe counties ?-Not upon a general rcale, I think.

    Do you think that the quantity; which will come into ule during the next twenty or five-and-twenty years; will be adequate to fupply the place of that which has been' taken for the laft fifteen years? - Not in thofé counties perhaps, not fo foon as five-and-twenty years.

    Then you think that there is not fo much thip-building timber of the larger fize now ftanding.in thofe counties, as was flanding in thofe counties fifteen years ago of the "fame age, for inftance 60 feet metings? -No, I fhould not think there is, at this immediate time.

    In forming an opinion that the fupply will be adequate to the demand in future, do-you take the fcale of confumption to be what it has been for the laft fifteen years, oras it is at prefent in the River? - The laft fifteen years.

    Having fated your opinion, that there has been more cut down in thofe counties, you muft fuppofe the fupply for the next fifteen years muft be derived in. part from the more diftant counties? - In part, certainly,

    Are you requainted weith the flate of the fupply of timber that is likely to be brought into ufe from thofe more diftant counties?-Only from information:

    Then:you:are of opinion, that unlefs. fome fuch fupply were drawni from the more difant counties, there would be tome, deficiency, upon the fame fcale of cons
    fumption as formerly?-There would be no doubt of that fupply, if the price will allow it.

    Are you acquainted at all with the extent of the ufe of oak timber for the locks of canals, and fuch purpofes ?-No, only from a general idea; feeing the improvemeients in the ufe of canals in the different parts of the country.

    The introduction of canals, and the diffyfion of them, has contributed to bring to the Londen market a quantity of timber which formerly, and without thofe canals, could not have been brought ?-I thould think not yet, from all parts of the country.

    Do you think that London, and the fhip-building in the River and the dock yards, has derived a confiderable fupply from diftant parts of the country by the We of canals?-I do not conceive any wery confiderable fupply; it is mere matter of opinion.

    What is your opinion?-That it is not very confiderable; not fo confiderable as to affect the market.

    In your opinion has any confiderable quantity been brought by the Grand Junction Canal ?-I have had very little to do with it, fo that I am not able to fpeak to it; bate $m y$ idea is, there is a confiderable quantity to come from thofe parts.

    But you do not fpeak from your own knowledge? - No, I do not, euther as to what it has been or what it is likely to be.

    When you flate that the price has very much declined of late, what is the prefent price, according to your experience?-I do not know that there is any ftated price at this moment ; the market is fo indifferent that it is what we can get.

    You have talked of fome of your dealings with Mr. Morris; have you had any dealings with him within the daft fix months?- Only the circumfance I have flated.
    Was that within the laf fix months?- Yes.
    In your opinion, has the price of timber, in the lait three or four months, fallen more than five per cent.?-A great deal; $I$ have found it fo.

    Will you ftate what the actual price is?-I do not know any regular price there is : mine was a tranfaction of a private nature ; if you conceive it neceffiry to flate it, I will do fo.

    Should you be furprifed if I were to tell you that Mr. Morris would buy timber at the fame price as laft year, only deducting five per cent. ?-I had no intercourfe with Mr. Morris laft year, 1812, and I know nothing of his prices then.

    Should you be furprifed if a timber-merchant of Mr. Morris's experience, fhould ray the only reduction is five per cent.?-I hould expect, if the builders are not allowed to build thofe large fhips, that Mr. Morris would offer us a very diferent price to what he now offers, a very material difference.

    In your opinion, has the price at prefent fallen much more than five per cent.? -Yes.

    Then pray tell wis what was the price laft year in pounds faillings, and pence? The general price, perhaps, for metings, might be £8. 100 ; but 1 am not bound, nor any other merchant, to fell by metings unlefs I pleafe; therefore I do not fay I fell at $£ 8$. sos. neither more nor lefs, but it is much more depreciated than 5 per cent.

    Committee.]-What did you fell at laft year?-I fold the greareft part of that timber at $\mathfrak{E}^{2}$. 1 Js. that Mr. Morris and I did not agree upon.

    What did Mr. Morris offer you, the higheft price?-He offered meI think $£$ 8. 15 s. or fomething of that kind, but there was more timber put toit ait $\ddagger 9$. 155 .

    Was this picked timber?-No, not particularly picked, it was large timber of courfe.

    It was ordinary timber in its quality, was it? -No, it was good timber.
    Extraordinary timber in its quality? -It was real good timber.
    Was it above that quality of timber which is ufually brought to market? - It was above the general average; the fize was great. .

    It is meant as to quality? -The quality was good.
    You have a certain rule for enbancing the price, when the fize exceeds a.certain dimenfion?-No, not in the plan on which I fold this defcription of timber; it was a lot without inferior timber in it.

    Not entering into the nature of ordinary fales?-No.
    The price you afked for it, was fat beyond the ordinary price, was net it?-I have litated before, that I' do not know a market-price; there has been no market-price for fóne time paft.
    The price was far beyond what timber of that fize and dimentions fetched in that . Year in the market?-Noc

    Mr: Did you confider it to be that fort of timber which ought not to be fubjected to Wriniain Preforn the ordinary market-rule, which limits the enhancement of price in proportion to the increafe of fize ?-I confidered it fuperior to the general rule.

    Therefore you would not fell according to that rule ?-No.
    Mr . Morris offered you a price, which, according to the ordinary enhancement under that rule, would have been a fair price ? - I had not taken that lot under fuch calculations; I did not chufe to go into the common way of felling..

    Becaufe you confidered it a peculiarly fine lot?-I confidered it a good lot; I have had much better lots; I did not confder it a very fuperior lot of timber.

    Why did you refufe the ordinary price? - Becaufe I thought it ought to fetch a berter price.

    Mr. Spankie.]-When did you fell this lot of timber to Mr. Friend?-About a month fince.
    You kept that lot of timber two years? -Part of it.
    Do not you think yourfelf extremely lucky to have got a better price a monthago than you could two years ago?-I have had a great lofs in holdirg it.
    Having ftated it was a very falling market, did not you think yourfelf extremely lucky to get a higher price a month ago than you could have got two years ago ?-I think the article was worth the money I fold it for now.

    But is that likely to be obtained in a falling market?-It is a falling price to what' the price was two years ago.

    What was the price you refufed for it two years ago?-I could have had cleven. pounds.
    Y You have ftated that you have refufed feveral bargains lately ?-Yes, I have.
    Do you confider that the ftoppage of the demand for the Navy, and the fudderi, falling-off in that fort of demand, may have had an extraordinary effect upon the. price, without reference to the price in fome future period?-I fhould judge it has Had fome effect.

    Do you think that decreafe of price cauled by thefe particular circumftances, likely to be the average price in fome months or years hence ?-I fhould apprehend it would be confiderably lefs, without the builders are allowed to build the Indiamen. of a large fcale.

    You have ftated, unlefs the builders are allowed; do you conceive the Bill now before Parliament is to prohibit them from building Indiamen?--Of the large fcale,: I undertand.

    To prohibit them? -To prohibit them; or it will prohibit them.
    Do you think if the price of timber was to fall confiderably, it would be any encouragement to building in the River? - I fhould judge it would.

    The converfe of that is, do you think, the very high price of timber has tended. to difcourage fhip-building in this country? -I am not able to judge of that; I am not aware that it has.
    "In your opinion has it a tendency to do fo i -I Ihould imagine, if the trade of the country required it, it would not.

    You have ftated, you are not acquainted with the ftate of the timber in the morer diftant counties; have you much petfonal knowledge of the ftate of thofe counties you have mentioned? - Yes; many of them I have.

    You have been over them for the purpofe of furveying the flate of timber there? -Of parchafing in them at that time.

    Can you tell us what is the period at which it is moft advantageous to the grower, to fell his timber?-No; I have never taken that particularly into confideration. It has depended upon whether I liked the timber when I came there. I have only, made a general obfervation on the gentleman's eftate when I have been on it,

    What clafs of confumers have you generally lupplied?-The private yards.

    - What clafs of timber have you fupplied them with ?-All defcriptions of timber.

    Has the confumption of timber of about forty years growth been very confiderable of late years?-I fhould think it has, up from thirty to feventy years .growth.

    Do you think there has been any sonfiderable fale of timber from thirty to fifty, years growth? - I fhould fuppofe it has been on an average fifty years growth.

    Do you think that clafs of timber of from thirty to fify years growth has entered wery much iuto confumption for the laft fifteen years?-1 think not; it is not of an sige fufficient.

    Do you think the high prices have had any influence in inducing growers to bring the timber to market?-Véry materially, and taking'care of their woods too.

    Do you think it adrantageous for a groner of timbr, an a mère pecunizry Ipeculation, to keep his timber after fixty years frouci ? - If there is a profpect of a market for it

    Suppofing the market to concinue as it was hat yer:-T! en I Mon'l think the inducement lefs; that was a very bad year.

    Do jou think that 1813 was a very bad year? -Th; the confumption was mach lefs in the merchants yards than in former years.

    Suppofing the market price $\mathcal{E S}$. Ios. do you think a fropristor of tirser w whl be indemnined by the increafe of quantity for keeping it twenty years lurn-? I thisk that price would not be a fufficient compeciotion fer tis keeping it if it was very fine timber, and that he ousht to have a betar prize.

    Suppofing a proprictor could get for his timber $\not 23$. 10 s. for fixty feet recines. do you think it would be worth his while to keep his timber twenty yeass tili it became feventy, eighty, or an hundred fect ?-If it was thrithry 500 in , timber it anght.

    Taking the average improvement the timber will mal: what time ? Yics, End I apprehend the price will advance alfo.

    But fuppofing the price did not increafe? -Then it would not; I think, re.ans, they would not be induced to let it ftand.

    From your general knowled $2 x$, could you fix any pe:icd of growth at which it would be moft adrantageous for a proprictor to fellt -Tha: ma.t depcad upon the flate of his timber, and the foil upon which it grows.

    Taking the fair average growth of timber? - Iifty or fixty years on good foil.
    That is the molt advantageous period, you think? - Yes, fixty years.
    Conmittce.]-What would te the general metings of timber at fixty years?There ate fome eftats where it woild grow twice the fize of others, that I kive myfelf.

    Mr.Sparkic.]-You hare fpoken of the better majagement of the efta:es?Yes.

    You do not know any thing of the quantity of planting? -No.
    Do you know whether the eficat of that better manayement of timber of which Fou tave fpoken, is likely to give a fupply of large umber within a few ytars, or at a more ditant period ? - Within twenty or thirty years.

    - Then, fpeaking always of the home counties, to which your kncwledge extends, minere do you think the fupply of large timber would come from for the next five-and-twenty years?-On difierent eftates in thofe counties.
    Would that be fuffcient for a fupply equal to the inft fifeen years?-I hare ftated that fupply would be nearly what it has been.
    But you think it would fall off in fome part?-I do.

    > [Riexamined by Mír. Harrifcn.]
    
    Did you mean that it was bad as to quantity, as well as reduced price?-Not as to quanity, but the want of market for the arricle.

    That is, there was lefs fold?-There was timber in the market, but lefs demand in every way Ifound, exceps the Government market.

    > [E:xamined by tbe Comaittce.]

    Is it not very ufual, for the purpofe of geting timber or any other article cheaper, to reprefent there is great abundance of it in the market?-I thould not fay fo uclefs it was fo, to any genteman; and thofe who know me would not accule me of it, I think.
    Is not that vary mach the cuftom?-Not with me.
    Did you confider that anfwer which Mr. Morris gave to you a FatisfaCory proof that there was pienty of timber in the market?-He feemed very indifferent about busing it.

    In fpeaking of the knowledge you have of the counties in which you have been, You did not ftate yourfelf to have furreyed any of the efates?-Nothing more than when I have been on the eftates.

    In riding paft to other woods, you have taken notice of the woods you faw on your way? -Yes.

    And you have not ralued them?-No; only what I bave immediately purchafed.
    : Whien you fpeak of the flate of the counties, it is only from the loole imprefion you have from feeing it in that way? - Not very locle; there are many of the counties I am fo often in, that I freas from a real hnowlodge of them.
    (72.)
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    KKr. You ftated that from what you knew of thofe counties, thèy couid -fupply re gularly the fame quantity as hitherto or nearly fo; what do you mean by nearly fo? -There may be fome few thoufand loads fhort in thole counties, but the diftant counties would more than fupply, and from thofe parts which have not yet been entered.

    You do not mean they would entirely, fupply the demand ?-Not for fome years; not for the courfe of four or five and twenty years.

    From the time you have had an acquaintance with thofe counties, has the large oak gradually decreafed ?-Rather fo, I think.

    The next growth has not replaced the large oak?-Not to keep the fupply fo fully as it has been in thofe counties, as far as my opinion goes.

    Have you reafon to think the fecond growth will replace the large oak lefs than it has hitherto?-No ; I do not think that.

    Do you recollect the peace of 1802 ?-Yes; Ido.
    You were in bufinefs at that time?-Yes.
    Is it not incidental to the conclufion of peace, that there fhould be a fall in the price of oak, or that the market fhould be fome time before it found its level ?-It is very fair to expect it.

    But from that you do not confider it is to continue to fall ?-I confider from that circumftance that it will be ftill lower than the prefent price.

    If the commercial fhipping was very much increafed, what effect would that have?-I do not know how far peace might have the effect of reducing the price lower ; under thofe circumftances it might, or not; I am not able to judge of what effect a general peace would have.

    Do you recollect that the price fell in the year 1802 ?-I recollect it fell, but to what I'do not carry in my mind.

    Do you recollect that the fhip-building in that year exceeded any other year? No.

    Upon what does the price of timber depend ?-Upon the confumption, and the quantity cut down.

    Does not it depend on the proportion the fupply bears to the demand?-Of courfe.

    Has not a great deal of timber been brought to market by means of canals?I underftand it has extended the field; but I am not much acquainted with the fubject at a diftance.

    If then the field has been wery much extended, and the fupply has been in a progreffive ftate of increafe, is not it a proof to you that the fupply has never exceeded the demand?-There has always been timber for any demand I have known.

    But has not there always been a demand for any timber?-Until lately.
    Is not a progreflive increafe of price a proof that the demand has rather exceeded the fupply ? -I am not able to judge whether it proceeded from that or not.

    How do you account for it on any other principle?-I account for it from the expence of cutting the timber, and the carting to market would add an additional expence to it, and many other circumftances attached to it ; the carting of it has been a very material expence for the laft four or five years.

    Why is that increafe for the laft four or five years ?-From the high price of corm; it has been enormous.

    Would that tend to increafe the price of timber by round meafure from the grower?-Not on the fpot.
    Would not it have a contrary effect?-Yes,
    Has not the price of timber, round meafure, increafed in fpite of that?-Yes.
    What was the price of fixty-feet metings in 18 ra ?-I am not able to fay exactly; there was a general price then, but I do not apprehend that I fold any of our timber in that way.

    You have no knowledge to enable you to anfwer the queftion exactly to a few fhillings; anfwer it as near as you can?-81. 10s. or $96 ; 1 \mathrm{am}$ not aware of the real price.

    Not within ros.?-Not without my books.
    Thick ftuff, anfwer in the fame way?-I cannot without I have my books.
    Was the price of 1812 higher or lower than in the preceding year ?-I got more in 181 I .
    How much more per load?-18ir was the year that was the higheft, if 1 am, correct ; but I cannot afcertain that without feeing my books.

    You think in $181 \times$ it was higher than ever it was?-Either in 1814 or 1812 we bought it higher, and fold it fo.
    You fate you have no apprehenfion of a future fcarcity ? - I have none.
    Do you know what the confumption is of the large timber?-Not the general confumption.
    Then how do you know there will be no deficiency?-As far as my own judgment goes I have no fear of it, nor have I heard any.
    Does the price at which you find timber to be had in the market give you a notion of the adequacy of the fupply to the demand? Yes.
    Do you judge of the confumption by the ready fupply which it finds at a certain price?-By the work that has been done in the River in the year.

    Have you any other means of making a general computation of the confumption than by the prices at which you find any quantity of large timber to be readily had in the market?-I confider if the price has rifen in the year, the confumption has been great.
    You mean that it has been great in proportion to the fupply, do you not?'Rather more than might be expected, according to the fupply.
    That you confider when the price is rifing ? - Yes.
    And you confider the contrary when the price is falling?-Yes, I do.
    What number of loads of timber do you buy and fell in a year? -About $x, 000$ or upwards.
    This offer that was made to you to the amount of 15,0001 . or 20,0001 . how many loads was it?-I was in treaty two years ago for it, and then I offered the gentleman 13 l . 10 s. for it ; I fuppofe about 1,500 loads.
    Are you acquainted with any very confiderable fall of timber off any one eftate within the laft five years?-Not any very confiderable quantity that I have had to do with.
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    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    $\longrightarrow$<br>Martis, $10^{\circ}$ Die Maii, 1814.<br>The Right Hon. THOMAS WALLace, in the Chair.

    ## Mr. Edward Ellis called in ; and examined by Mr. Harrison.

    HOW long have you carried on the bufinels of a timber merchant?-About nineteen or twenty years.

    Mr.
    Edward Ellis.

    Your bufinefs is that of a timber merchant, not timber valuet?-A timber dealer.

    With what counties are you particularly acquainted ?-Hertfordihire, Kent, Bedfordfhire, a fmall part of Effex and Lincolnfhire.

    Do you know any thing of Shrophire?-No; a neighbour of mine is now cutting a very large quantity of timber there.

    You do not know Shropíhire from your own perfonal knowledge ?-No.
    Thofe are the counties in which you have been in the habit of principally purchafing? -Yes.

    Can you name any particular parts of thofe counties where there are confideable quantities of timber.?-Yes; in Hertfordfhire, on the eftate of Mr. William Baker, there is a confiderable quantity of large timber, and a great deal of growing timber.

    My queftions apply to oak timber, and to the defcription of oak timber that you would fell to thip-builders for large purpofes; do you mean that Mr. Baker has a confiderable quantity of timber fit to cut, and timber to go on in fucceffion to fupply the cutting?-Yes; there is Mr.William Plumer, the Member, he has a very large quantity of timber.

    Is the timber you are now fpeaking of, umber in woods, or timber fcattered over the eftates? -In woods and fields.

    Not-ornamental timber near their houles, in the-parks, but timber that they could cut without hurting their places ?-Certainly.

    Then am I to underftand you, that thefe gentlemen have timber fo fituated as to be fit for the ufe of the timber merchant, not the ornamental timber in the parks, but generally over their eftates?-Over their eftates they could cut a great quantity ; I thould think Mr. Plumer has got, if I were to fay forty thoufand pounds worth, I thould not be miftaken.

    Is it the practice now to felect the timber in cutting it, not to fell it altogether, but to cut that which is fit to cut, leaving the reft in a ftate of progrefs?-That is the general practice, except where a gentleman wants to raife money.

    Is it now, more than it was, the ufual practice to felect in that way ?-Yes; it is.

    Is the timber which you have been defcribing, timber of that defcription ?-I have been defcribing Mr. Plumer's timber to be of that value, fuppofing it was all valued.
    Of timber fit to cut now, leaving that which is in a growing ftate to improve, what quantity, in a rough way, would you ftate Mr. Plumer to have ?-I fhould think he might cut twenty thoufand pounds worth.
    (Committee.]-Have you'looked at his eftate with care, with a vietv to this?-I live very near it.
    (72.) the eftate: I have never meafured a tree.

    Mr. Harrijon.]-But have you looked to fee what was fit to cut?-Yes, and often wifhed to cut it down.

    As a timber merchant, I take it, you feldom pals through a wood without cafting an eye upon it?-That is our trade, and we generally have our views about us. would not grudge riding two miles to look at a wood, whether I purchafed iu or not.

    You cannot flate particularly the aggregate quantity any man has, without you mark it and meafure it ?-No ; it' is impoffible.

    Though you cannot ftate that accurvately, I apprehend your experience as a timber merchant, if yeu have feen a wood, and rode through ir, and viewed it repeatedly, will enable you to form a judgment what it is worth ? - Yes, and I think I fpeak within bounds. ,

    I underftand you to fay, that you thought Mr. Plumer might cut twenty thoufand pounds worth $p$-I fould think he might.

    Do you mean twenty thoufand pounds worth, taking out the timber that would fupply the timber merchant, and leaving the fmall ?-Yes, I think he might cut that quantity.

    Is timber now a falling market?-Yes, it is undoubtedly.
    Without tying you down to any particular fum, what would you ftate to be the prices per load, with a yiew to that valuation?-I fhould think, round meafure, lop and top, it would be worth twelve pounds or twelve guineas a load; I have never made any calculation.

    Committee.]-It is about fifteen or fixteen hundred loads ?-TYes, I think it is; this is taking the timber all over his eftate.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-At Mr. Baker's, what amount of timber would you fay there was there? -The fame fum, probably.

    Speaking of the fame defeription of timber?-Yes.
    Can you name any other place ?-Lord Salifbury haş a great quantity of timber, in his park particularly.

    I do nọt wifh you to give the parks? -He has cut fome in his parks.
    Of what defcription?-Very large timber.
    Timber, I fuppofe, that was fpoiling ?-Timber that had done growing years ago, that would never have been any better. I have purchafed a great deal out of his park myfelf within thefe very few years ; the laft, I think, was three years ago.

    Of what fize was the timber, on the average, whieh you had from that park? ? fhould fuppofe what I purehafed was from forty to fifty feet.

    Committee.]-Round meafure?-Round meafure ; it would mete at forty feet. Lord Salifbury has a vaft deal of woodland, where there is a very fine fucceffion of timber. I fuppofe he cannot have lefs than fifteen hundred acres of woodiand.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Is that oak entirely, or mixed wood ?-1 think it is oak almoft the whole of it, which he cuts part of every year, in regular fucceffion.

    He is thinning with a view to take out what is fit to cut, and leave the reft to improve? - He thins every year in the woods.

    Committee.]-Do you know what quantity he cut laft year?-Yes; I can tell what he cut laft year, and what he cut this, becaufe it was fold by public auction not a fortnight ago; laft year he cut about two thoufand pounds worth, and the fame this.

    Of good fized timber? -No, it was not large timber.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-Lord Salifbury was thinning this oak wood ?-He cuts every year,

    And in that thinning, daes he thin it out with i view to its future improvement? -He does not clear it away, he thins it.

    Then when you fay he thins it out, am I to underftand, that in fo doing the neceffarily takes trees that otherwife he would not cut for fhip-building purpoles?--Yes, fome of it.

    Therefare there is a fmall defrription of timber as well as large ? - This timber, that he cuts, is principally plank timber, of courfe of rather a fraall fize.

    ## Commiltee. 7-Of what age, is it ? ${ }^{-1}$ cannot pretend to fay.

    Mr. Harrijon.7-It is plank, fit for fhip-building ?-Yes, fome of it perhaps is not fit for that, but the great bulk of it is.
    The greater part of that is fit for planking? -Yes.
    That is rather of a fraighter defcription, and cut younger than the other timber for a hip?-Yes, it is.

    Do you mean to fay, that the plank required for a fhip of 74 guns is the fame as for a small veffel :-No.
    Comnittee.]-Do you know whether it requires the fame plank for 274 as for a fmall veffel? -There is a regular fcale for plank.
    Is not there more thick ftuff in a large veffel ?-Yes, all above four inches is called thick Ituff.

    Then it does require more thick ftuff in proportion for a large fhip than for a fuxall one?-No, not more in proportion.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-Is there, in cutting plank, a regular fcale of fength and breadth, and different thicknefles ?-Yes, there is; at fo many feet long it fhould be fo many inches broad at the top end.
    I believe you cut plank yourfelf?-I do.
    Will you be good enough to flate, what is the fcale of breadth and length ?-it fhould be ten inches, and no lefs; but fometimes we run finer.
    The proper breadth fhould be 10 inches, to what length ?-Eighteen feet is the fhorteft length for 10 -inch breadth; but we are not bound to cut it to that; that is the fcale, but we are not bound to cut it at that; fometimes we cut it rather finer than that.

    Committee.]-Give the fcale of each defcription of plank ?-It is the fame for all defcription of plank, whatever the thicknefs may be; it fhould be 10 inches; they will take it as low as 9 inches broad.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-It is not the fame breadth at both ends?-No.
    We undertand you to fay, it is fometimes cut as low as nine inches broad; but if the timber, out of which you are cutting allows it, you cut it ten?-Yes, and fometimes broader than ten; eleven inches fometimes.

    That you have fated to be upon a length of 18 feet ?-Yes, that is the fhorteft length ; we may cut it as much longer as we pleare; we are not tied for length.

    Is that the fhorteft length at which you ordinarily cut the thinneft plank; threeinch plank ?-No, fometimes longer than that ; juit as our timber may turn out.

    May you cut timber of three inches as low as eighteen feet ?-Yes.
    What is the ordinary length into which you cut four-inch plank? -As much longer than that as we chule.

    But is there not a length for four-inch plank, below which you do not go ordinarily ?-There is none lefs than eighteen teet, I apprehend, for four-inch plank.

    Then for thick fuff what is the length ? - No plank fould be cut lefs than from nine to ten inches broad at the top end, and eighteen feet long.

    Committee.]-Does the thicknefs make any difference in the rule as to length ? -No.

    Mr. Harrifon:]-Do the woods that are planted pretty thick originally, and which require a good deal of fubfequent thinning, produce a fraighter and more regular growth of timber that cuts more readily into plank ?-I cannot fpeak to that ; the timber in fome woods plants itfelf, the acorns fall and frike root, and become trees in time.

    Is Lord Salifbury's woodland of that defcription; is it a natural growth?-It is a natural growth of wood.

    What other timber are you acquainted with ? - Mr. Hill's; he has a large quantity of timber, fome in woods, and a large quantity in his park; fome of which has been cut down, but not for the laft ten or twelve years. paft; I believe he has a large quantity of woodland, and he cuts timber every year, and I in general purchafe it of him; laft year was the frit yearI entered into an engagement with him.

    Has he fome quantity of large timber, and timber that will come into fucceffive cutting ? -He has 2 good deal of large timber about his eftate, in different directions.
    timber ?-They are fine growing timber.
    Will you name any other perfon?-Mr.Gaufen, who lives at Bell Bar near Hatfield; he has a large quantity of timber of a good fize, and fit for cutting; fome of which he cut laft year ; this year he has declined on account of the price looking downwards, I imagine.

    Laft year he cut, and this year he is not cutting, you fuppofe on account of the price going down?-Yes; becaufe I know fome gentlemen in our neighbourhood have not cut on that very head, becaufe the price is depreciated.

    Is Mr. Gaufen's timber of a large fize, and timber coming into fucceffive growth ?-A great deal of it is about his grounds, field timber;; and a good deal in woods.

    In that part of Hertfordfhire, in the direction you have been fpeaking of, about Bell Bar and Berkhampftead, and that way, is there a great deal of timber?Yes.

    > In fields, and every where? - Yes, there is:a great deal all over the country.

    It is what you call hedge timber, that which is in fields.?-Yes.
    And there are alfo fmall woods, intermixed with the fields?-Yes.
    And little angles and things of that fort of wood?-Yes, there are; fcattered about.

    Can you enumerate any other ?-Yes, there is a Mr. Meetkirk; he has fome large timber ftanding about his grounds, which might be taken down, if he chofe it, about his fields and parks; and Mr. Meetkirk could cut two thoufand pounds worth of timber now.

    Annually?-No, not annually.
    But he could cut that quantity now?-Yes.
    He has'not woods affording fucceflive growth?-Not many.
    Any other names?-There is Lord Cowper's, which is a very woody. eftate, with fine growing timber.

    Is his eftate wooded in the way you have been defcribing ; that is, in hedge-rows, and fmall woods intermixed?-Hedge rows and large woods.

    Has he any park timber, or is his all hedge-row and wood timber?-He has fome little park timber, but not much.

    Is his large timber, or growing timber?-He has Tome large timber, and a great deal of fine growing timber in the woods and fields.

    When you fay, a great deal of fine timber growing, of what age is that timber, with a viewv to its coming into a flate of, cutting in fucceffion ?-He cuts every year, and can cut for ever, if he.cuts as he now does, by thinning of it.

    Committee.]-Do you know the :amount of his cutting in a year ?-I buy his timber.
    What is the amount of it?-Some years he infes a great deal for his own repairs.
    Upon an average, what is it?-Laft year I might pay him fourteen or fifteen hundred ponnds; but then he ufes a great deal of timber.

    What do you fuppofe is the whole of his fall in a year'3-I nould think $x: 2,000$ a tworth a year.

    How many acres of woodland do you fuppỗe there may be on this eftate of Lord Cowper's?-There is one wood of one hundred acres.
    How many in the whole?-I fhoild think he may have two hundred acres or more of woodland, I fhould think he has; I am Ipeaking as near as.I can judge.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Befides his hedge-row timber? ?-Yes. 1
    Committee.]-And you fuppofe he fells $£ 2,000$.worth a year from that?-I do not know that it would average that for the laft feven years.

    What would it average? - I cannot poffibly tell that, without I had my books to refer to; I am not prepared to anfwer'a queftion of that fort, I did not fuppofe I should be afked it ; I could tell you what I paid him.
    
    Mr. Harrifon.]-You have flated now the names of a number of gentlemen; and alfo that there is a great deal of general wood over that part of the country?-Yes;-and many frmall lots of wood that it would be.ufelefs to enumerate; the county of Hertford is very Hourifhing for timber, it is a good foil for it:
    Is the timber found in that part of the country the natural growth of the countrys and not planted particularly ?-There is fomeplanted, certainly.

    But is is the natural growth of the country in moll cafes?-1 do not think they plans fo much there as in other counties.
    $3 f r$. Edvard Elis.

    What is the next county you have named ?-Lincolalaire.
    Will you fate the names of perfons in Lincolnhire who have wood eftates?There is a gentleman of the name of Turner, in that county, who has a wood of, I bslieve, 120 acres; out of that 120 acres, I believe, I have purchafed about 8,000). worth of oak within thefe three years.

    Of good fized oak timber?-No; we felected the fmalleft, and fome of that which was dead-headed, and had done growing.

    What you bought was the thinning ; that which had done growing?-Yes; and the remains of that timber in that wood, if Mr. Turner was difpofed to fell, I would gire tim $j^{0,00 d}$. for.

    Camnittee.]-To take it all ?-To take it all ; I offered him 30,0001. for it, and he was aftoniked; be did nor think, I fuppofe, that he had one-third of the value in that wood; it is the fineft fucceffion of timber that I ever faw in that counrry.

    As yet he has done nothing more than thin it?-No; ard what I had was the fmalleft of the timber.

    With a view to the improvement of the remainder?-With a view to the improvement of the remainder. I have rode through the Duke of Ancafter's eflate at Grimithorpe, and feen a great deal of large timber growing.

    That is ormamental?-No; he cut a great deal of that two years ago.
    That is timber he is in the habit of cutting?-No, I believe not, within two years; there is a great deal remains.

    Can you name any body elfe?-The Marquis of Exeter, near Stamford; he has 2 large quanity of timber in his park.

    Has he any that is brought to market?-No; there is a great deal of uimber at the Duke of Rutland's, at Belvoir Calle.

    Is that in his park only, or in woods and fields?-Park and grounds, fields and woods.

    Now go to Kent?-There are the Church woods, in Kent, near to Canterbury; I am told there are 10,000 acres of woodland altogether.

    Have jou yourfelf feen them?-Yes; I have myfelf fome timber in thofe moods now.

    They go by the name of the Church woods?-Yes; partly belonging to the Church, and party to different gentlemen.

    Sir Edward Deering, part of it belongs to, I believe?-I believe he has fome property adjoining; there are 10,000 acres of woodland altogether, belonging to different gentlemen; and there is a great deal of fine growing timber in thofe woods; I have three or four hundred trees in thofe woods, now cur this year.

    Is part of that timber of a large fize in thofe woods?-Some trees are from forty to fifty feet round meafure; it is not all of that defcription, there are fome trees twenty feet and thirty feet; but I believe the largelt I have bought is fortyfive feet. I do not think there is any thing bigger than that; there are larger trees in the wood, but not among thofe I have purchaled.
    It is chip-building imber?-Yes, fome is fit for the frame of the flip; other is fit to faw into plank.

    Committee.]-What will a round meafure, forty-five feet, turn out in fquare meafure? - About fixty-feren feet metings.
    Mr. Harrijon.]-Trees of forty-five feet round mearure, you would ftate to mete at fixty-feren feet?-Yes, trees at forty-five feet will mete fixty-feven feet, of courfe.
    I think you Itared, there was a great deal of timber in thole woods of thirty feet, twenty feet, and different fizes below it ?-Yes, different fizes.
    If is wood of all ages?-Yes, and it is cut a certain number of acres every year; it is thinned.
    In is thinned according to the modern pradice; the timber fit to cut is taken out, and the reft left to improve?-Yes, the fame as I have obferved in other parts of the country.
    Have you purchafed in an adjoining wood to the Church wood?-Yes, but the gendernan's name I do not know ; it was of his aqent I purchafed.
    What is the name of the agent ? -His name is Waithman; helives at Ham, Leear Sandwich.

    > (72.)

    Are the woods you have been defcribing natural woods?-Naturat, woods, within three or four miles of the fea-fide, parts of thofe reach ten miles into the country; of courfe 10,000 acres would extend à great way.

    Cian you name any other woods you are acquainted with:in Kent ? - No; not that I have purchafed any thing from.

    What is the next' county you mentioned ? -There is Bedford. I have purchafed a great deal at different times of Sir George Ofbotne, and he has a great deal of timber now growing on his eftate.

    Of what defcription is Sir George Ofborne's timber.f is it timber in woods and in fields? - A great deal of wood timber, and a jereat deal of field. timber. :

    Of natural growth; or planted?-Natural growth.
    Is it timber cut in fucceffion as it arrives at maturity, or of: what defcription is it? -I have not purchafed any of Sir Geprge for, the lafl four or five years myfelf; but I underftand he cuts fome timber regularly every year, which he fells to the country people, country carpenters and wheelwrights; $;$ is is confumed in the country:

    Of what defcription is the timber you bought of him, when you did purchafe? Principally large timber, from thirty feet to one hundred.

    Round meafure? - Round meafure; he has a great deal of woodland ; what number of acres I cannot pretend to fay ; and he has a greak deal of fine timber in the woods.

    Will you mention any other in Bedfordihire, with which you are acquainted ?The Duke of. Bedford has alfo a large quannity of timber, but to what amount I cannot fay; I never purchafed any of him.

    In the park and about the lands?-Yes; in the park and about the country.
    Is any of that timber of a large fize, and coming on in fucceffion'? The woods I never was in; there is no fucceffion in the park:

    Any other?-There is at Lord Bute's a good deal of fine timber, but to what amount I cannot fay,

    Is that in woods and fields?-In woods and in fields.'
    Ary other perfon?-No; there is a gentleman's eftate in Hertfordfhire which I onitted, Mr. Mellifh; I omitted to pame him among the reft.

    Of what defcription is his wood in Hertfordfhire? - Very fine wood a great deal of it, perhaps there are 30,000 . worth of it.

    What is the next county ? - I have been in the habit of riding through part of the eftate of Lord Hardwicke in Cambridgefhire; I never purchafed any timber there, but there appears to be a great deal of timber on his eftate, and he might cut a great deal.
    'Of what defription is his wood, in woods and hedge-rows ? - In wopods and in fields.

    You have not bought of Lord Hardwicke, but you know he has a large quantity? -A very large quantity; we call it a yery large woodland eitate, and very fine timber upon it.

    You mean timber of a large fize, and timber grawing ? - Yes; timber fit for felling now, and a fucceffion; there is a great deal.

    So that he might sur large cimber now, and go on cutting large timber regularly ? -He might.

    Any other part in Cambridgethire are you acquainted with ?-No, I do not recollect any other; I have purchafed of Sir Thomas Wilfon in Effex, and he has fome woodland with very good timber on'it, but I have not; purchafed of him for thefe fix 'or feven years.

    From the knowledge your have of the counties you bave enumerated, is there, in your opinion, any fcarcity of large timber? -No.

    Do you apprebend any future fcarcity; is the quantity coming up, in a flate of growth fufficient to keep up a regular fupply for cutting?-It would depend upon what a gentleman likes to cut on his eftate; there is enough for what the demand is likely to be.

    Is there timber of different ages to regularly come into cutting in fucceffion, if it is properly cut?-Yes; that is my opinioni.

    If it is cut fairly, taking only that which is fit for ufe, there would be a fuccelfion? ${ }^{\text {? }}$ Yes.

    Have you ever found any difficulty yourfelf in procuring any quantity of large timber you might want to fupply your cuftoners? - In my own connections I have always had a tolerably good fupply.

    From your own connegtions? - From my oxnd connections.
    Then $\operatorname{am}$ I to underfand you, that you have always been able to fupply yous cultomers, without any particular looking out for timber, or going beyond your own conuections?-Yes; I am not in the habits of going far; any further than I have told you; I go intd any county, if a gentleman makes mee an offer of timber.

    I think you have ftated that timber now was a falling market?-Yes, it appear's to be fo.

    To what caufe do you attribute the fall ?-There is no employment to the fhip, wrights; no fhips whatever building upon the \{locks.

    You have not the ufual demand from the gentlemen in the River, who ufed to buy confiderable quantities of, large timber ? ${ }^{\text {PNo }}$ : nobody wants. any; there is nothing doing.

    There is nothing doing, and there are more fellers than buyers, and of courfe the market will fall?-Yes; I thould have told you, thene is a large quantity of timbes felling in the county of Kent, near Tenterden, fold in Chancery about three weeks ago; between two amd three thouland trees, of very good fizes.

    Committee.]-What price did that fell at!-I was not at the fale ${ }_{2}$ and of courfe am not able to fpeak to the prices.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Do you know of any other market for timber of a large fize, that is generally ufed in building Indiamen and men of war, but the lhip-builders in the River, and the naval dock-yards? $\rightarrow$ No.

    Will any cafual quantity that may be wanted far mills; or other works of that fort, produce any regular demand, that you confider a market? -No,

    Then your regular markets you have flated to be the naval dock-yards, and the building in the River large flips?-Yes.

    If the building of thofe large fhips ihould be removed, what effect would that produce upon the market for timber? - I conceive that would tend to make timbers very cheap; I cannot pretend to fay what price it would be at; but very cheapi there would be no ufe for it.

    There would be no competition in the market?-No competition.
    But you cannot ftate what effed it would produce upon the price?-No.

    ## [Crofsexamined by Mr. Spankie.]

    You have fpoken of various counties with which you are acquainted, how long have known the county of Hertfordhire?-I have been in the county of Hertford ever fince I was three years old.

    But fince you began to view imber to dealers?--Nineteen or twenty years, I have been in bufinefs myfelf.

    When you firlt knew Hertfordhhire, was not there a great deal of timber in it as well as now ? - Certainly.

    Then taking the compaiifon as'nearly as you can make it, do you think therè is now more or lefs than there was nineteen years ago ?-I fhould think there is not fo much now as there was nineteen years ago, by any means.

    How long have you known Lincolninire ?-Only fince the year 18 ro .
    So that you cannor make fuch a comparifon with refpect to Lingcolnfhire?-I have only known it from that time to this.

    Then you cannot fpeak from any comparifon, whether the quantity of large timber is increafed or decreafed within thefe 19 years there?-No; I know no more of it than the fjot I have fpoken to.

    Your acquaintance with Kent, as a dealer, is but recent, I believe?-Only withing this laft year.

    Then you cannot fpeak, from perfonal knowledge, of the demand which bas been fupplied from Kent within the laft 19 or 20 years?-No.

    You have only fpoken of this Church land? - Only the Church land.
    How many miles have you come to prove there is fome wood in Kent?-I live at Heriford; it is only twenty miles; and in the Church woods I think there is a fuc. ceffion for ever, if they cut it regularly.
    Have you any notion of the general confumption in the River during the laft fifteen or twenty years ? - No; what I had to fell I found a market for and was content, and returned home and bought more, if I could. I never made any enguiries what the confumption was.

    Up to the year 1813 , you found the market rather a rifing market than a falling one?-No; 1813 I do not call a rifing market.

    Up to the end of 1812 it was a rifing market ${ }^{2}$-Yes.
    Committee.]-In fhort, your falling market only begins with the laft year?-
    Within the laft eighteen months timber has been decreafing.
    .Mr. Spankle.]-You ftated your knowledge of Bedfordfhire; how long have you known Bedfordhire ?-I have known Bedfordfhre a great many years.

    How many years?-Twenty years.
    And no doubt there is a great deal of timber in Bedfordhire? - A great deal.
    I think you ftated a great deal of the timber of Sir George Ofborne was of that kind
    that is confumed in the neighbourhood?-Yes, but he cuts but little. Twenty years ago, in my father's time, he cut more; and fince that time there has been but little cot; of courfe his eftate mult be in an improved ftate.

    Have you known any confiderable falls in Bedfordihire within the lait nineteen or twenty years?-No, nothing very confiderable; very little, I believe.

    From making a general comparifon upon the fame principle as with refpect to Hertfordfhire, can you fay whether there is more or lefs large timber now in Bedfordhire than when you knew it firft ? $-I$ think there is as much; and I thank I fhould be warranted in faying there is more; for it has been increafing in fize, and there has been very hitle cut.

    Is your knowledge of Bedfordfhire very extenfive?-No; only Sir George Ofborne's, and that immediate part of the country.

    Have you known much of Cambridgefhre ?-No, only in riding through Lord Hardwicke's eftate. I never purchafed any timber there; I only know there is a great deal of timber ftanding on his Lordfhip's eftate.

    Can you fpeak from any perfonal knowledge of Cambridgefhire, whether there is
    more timber of a large defcription there than there was twenty years ago ${ }^{\text {? }}$-No, I
    fhould think not more; but being at a diftance from London, there has not been much cut out of Cambridgefhire.

    Can you tell us whether the fupply of London has been drawn much from Cambridgefhire?-Very little, I hould think.

    Has the demand for the River and the dock yards been much fupplied from Bedfordfhire? - No.

    Has the demand for the River and the dock yards been much fupplied from Lincolnfhure ?-No.

    Such timber as is fupplied from Bedfordhhre and Lincolnhire goes to their own Outports?-A great deal of it goes to thofe Outports.

    So that there has been a demand for timber from the Outports in thofe counties? -Yes.

    You cannot at all make an eftimate of what that demand was?-No, I cannot.
    Cambndgefhire, I think you have only known for two or three years?-I have only rode through during that time.

    So that as to the ftate of the timber there you cannot fpeak prior to that? -No.

    You fay you are not at all acquainted with the quantity of demand in the River and dock yards ? -No, I am not.

    That demand was chiefly fupplied from what are called the home counties?I believe fo.

    Your tour to Lincolnfhire perhaps arofe from finding it not quite fo eafy to get timber in the adjoining countues as in the diftant ones?-No, not on that account; 1 go into all the countres.
    Do not you prefer to buy timber near where you are to confume it ?-No; I buy it any where, where I can buy it for what it is worth.

    Committee.]-You give lefs for it at a diftance ?-Yes.
    Mr. Spankze.]-You have been anked, whether you think, if the building of large thips in the River were wholly difcontmued, there would be any demand for timber fit for fhip-building ? - No, I think there would not.

    Then fuppofe, inftead of building two or three or four large Indiamen in a year, they were to build a dozen 500 ton hhips, would not that create a demand for thipbuilding tumber in the River?-There would be a demand, but not a very extravagant one.

    Would not fo many fhips of a fmaller burthen caufe pretty nearly the fame quantity of demiand, with the fame quantity of tonnage in a larger defrription of fhips?-Ships of 500 ton will take very little timber.

    With refpect to the general confumption of the country, of courfe, you do not know, any thing ? - No, it is impoffible.

    On what fcale do you calculate the wants of the country? -It is a fort of thing I have not made any calculation upon, and am not able to anfwer the queftion.

    You have fome data or other? - $\mathrm{No}, 1$ have not. '.
    Then your opinion of there being no fcarcity; amountt to nothing ?-1 am convinced there is no fearcity.
    You fay there is no danger of a future fcarcity? -No.
    When you fay there is no danger of a future fcarcity, what fort of timber do you fuppofe to exif in that futurity? -I fhould fuppofe, in the firf place, as we are now likely to have a peace, the navy will not want much recruiting; I think our navy is in a very fine ftate, and we fhall have very little occafion for any feventy-four gun fhips,

    With refpect to the merchant fhipping?-With refpect to the merchant fervice, there will not be fo many thips wanted in the time of peace.
    In your opinion, our trade will fall off in confequence of peace?-I fhould think there will not be fo many fhips wanted.
    And it is in confequence of your fuppofing that we thall have occafion for fewer merchant fhips, that you flate the confumption of large timber will be fully fupplied in future? -That is my opinion.

    If you thought there was to be a great increafed demand for merchant hipping, would that have the effect rather to keep up the price, and to caufe a greater confumption of hip-building timber ?-Timber would keep at a fair price; timber will never be at the price, I hope, again, that it has been; I think it has been too high, like other things.

    To what do you alcribe the too high price of timber?-Gentlemen have been giving fixteen, or feventeen, or eighteen pounds a load for timber; that was too much, I think.

    What, in your opinion, has made it bear fo high a price?-In the firf place, there are a great many more timber dealers than there ever ufed to be; of courfe, every one wifhes to purchafe what he can, and perhaps at fales one man may oppofe another, and bid wantonly.
    But do you think it would be the interef of that numerous body of timber dealers to outbid each other, unlefs they find fome confumers of timber ready to give them a price for is?-When fome people go to fales of timber, fometimes I thould fuppofe they go out of oppofition to one another.

    Do you think that would have an extenfive operation on the price of timber? Yes, I think it would.

    In your opinion, has that been the moft efficient caufe of the high price ?-Yes, I think it has.

    You think that has had a higher influence on the price of timber, than the great quantity of hip-building in the River, and in the dock yards?-Yes, I think it has.

    We have been talking of round meafure; you faid \& 18. a load; what do you conceive to be the proportion between fquare meafure and round meafure?-One-third more ; fifty feet round meafure will make feventy-five feet fquare.

    So that twelve round is eighteen fquare?-Yes.
    In your experience, as a timber merchant, what proportion then of the timber which you have fold for thip-building, has been of the fulleft growth; can you fate that?-I cannot flate that.

    Can you tell us, whether there has been a confiderable proportion of the timber, with which you have fupplied the merchants, under or over fixty feet metings?-It is impofible to anfwer that queftion; one cannot charge one's memory with it; I might tell you laft year, what the metings of the timber was; but for years paft, without referring to books, it is impoffible.

    Do you think that the very high price of timber has induced proprietors of timber to let it go to market fooner than they otherwife would have done?-In fome inftances, gentlemen have been induced to cur, in confequence of high prices.

    Do you know any inflances, in which they have been induced to cut growing timber, at from thirty to forty feet metings ? - In falls of timber there is timber of all fizes.
    (72.)

    Mr. Do you know any particular inftances where the large proportion of timber cut Edward Ellis. has been of that defcription?-No; in general gentlemen felect what has done growing; they generally wifh to do that, and practife it.

    A judicious proprietor of timber would cut it gradually, and thin it ? - Yes,
    Can you ftate, whether you have known much timber fold before it has come tol perfection, not timber that has done growing, but timber which if allowed to remain twenty or thirty years would greatly improve as timber?-No, I do not know that I have; in general gentlemen felect that which they confider moft fit for cutting, that which has got to the beft.

    Committee.]-You mean by that, that which has done growing ?-When we fee a tree with a dead bough about it, we confider it as getting worfe.

    Mr. Spankie.]-Not fpeaking of ornamental timber, do you think that a gentleman who has wood for the purpole of profit, as part of his eftate, would let them grow longer than was for his intereft to fell them?-It is a queftion I do not know how to anfwer.

    Do you think his intereft would not induce him to fell ?-I think the high price has induced gentlemen to cut without waiting for a full growth, in fome inflances.

    Can you tell us, from your knowledge and experience, at what age a tree would produce moft profit to an owner?-No, I never made any calculation.

    In your obfervation, has the hedge-row timber increaled or diminifhed in the counties with which you are acquainted?-It is increafing; it is a cuftom in the part of the country I live in, in Hertfordfhire, when hedges are frefh laid, to give the workmen a certain fomething to preferve all the young trees which they may fee in the hedge, inftead of cutting them down with the axe, of courfe there is a great number of trees in the hedge-rows getring up; in fome places they give as much as a fhilling a piece to the workmen.

    I want to know, whether from your obfervation, there is a greater quantity or a lefs quantity of trees fir for the purpofes of thip-building, now flanding in the hedge-rows than was formerly? -Not a greater proportion, Eecaufe fome have been cut.

    I mean to akk, whether timber, in a flate for fhip-building, is in more plenty in the hedge-rows than it was 20 years ago? - Not more plenty.

    Is it lefs plenty ? - No, I think there is a very good fupply of it.
    That is a general anfwer; but I want a particular anfwer to this queftion; whether in your opinion, there is more or lefs timber now ftanding in the hedge-rows than formerly? -I think there is not more; and I think there is about as much.

    ## [Re-exanined by Mr. Harrijon.]

    You have been akted, whether the quantity of the very large timber has not diminifhed of late, within the laft 10 or 15 years, and I underftood you to fay it had rather dimininhed; that is, there is rather a lefs quantity of a very large fize in the home counties?-I think there is rather lefs.

    Have not you obferved in your dealings with great flip-builders, that they have not, of late years, required in any given quantity of timber, fo large a proportion as they did formerly of a very large fize? -He will take a larger proportion of rather an interior fize than he did formerly.

    If at any period any one of your cuftomers had required you to get a larger quantity of very large fized timber, could you not always have procured any quantity' he wanted ?-I could have procured the quantity in my own connections.

    Then you have never had any difficulty in getting timber of any fize your cuftomers wanted ?-No; and I could further fay, that my bufinefs has increafed rather than diminifhed.

    Was there, about three years, ago, about 1811 and 3812 , a confiderable fudden demand for timber ?-There was.

    Would that, therefore, influence the price as well as the circumftance you mentioned, of there being more buyers and more competition?-Yes.
    [Examined by the Committee.]
    On the eftates you have been fpeaking of, do the trees of a very large fize bear any confiderable proportion to the whole quantity of timber?-On the eftates I have alluded to, there is principally large timber.

    Have you meant to fay, that Mr. Plumer and Mr. Baker, and thofe gentlemen' you have named, could cut down $\notin 20,000$. worth of large timber without touching any other ?'-Yes.

    By large timber what are the fmalleft metings you have in your mind?-We call large timber 40 feet and upwards, fquare meafure.

    It is meant to fpeak of trees not lefs than 60 feet fquare meafure; can you give theCommittee any general average of the proportions that are found of thofe trees in a wood of any given extent?-No; I cannot.

    Can you fay the amount to one tree in a hundred, or five trees in a hundred, or any thing of that fort ?-No; it varies fo much.

    Can you give us any proportion in the lots you have ftated ?-No, I cannot.
    You have flated, that there were a confiderable number more dealers in the timber trade than when you firft knew it?-A great many more.

    You think that very plain to any man who knows the trade?-I believe that is very well known.

    There is a good deal of capital in the trade, a good deal of wealth and many fubftantial traders ?-I believe there are.

    More than when firt you knew it ?-No, I do not know that there are more.
    As many? - Yes; as many.
    And a great increafe in the whole number of the trade?-A great increafe in the number.
    Of courfe the whole quantity of timber bought by the trade in the year, muft be increafed from the time you firft knew it, confiderably ?-I do not know that that is the cafe.

    If there be the fame number of fubitantial traders, and an increafe in the number of traders, is it not probable there has been a great confumption?-On account of the increafe of timber, I thould be perhaps inclined to buy more, if it was not for the high price.

    The felling price has been as high as the buying price?-No.
    What is the reafon of the difference?-Becaufe, Ithink, there are a great many people who have fold timber, and never faw the money for it.

    How long has that fate of trade lafted ?-Ten or twelve years.
    And yet the trade has been increafing in number?-Yes.
    And the price of wood increafing too?-Yes, from the caufe I have flated, I think.
    It fhould be your opinion then, that within the laft ten or twelve years the encouragement to the grower has not been a great quantity of hip-building, but this competition among the dealers in the market?-They have been outbidding each other.

    And fo far forth have occafioned a further encouragement to the grower?-There has been a great deal of timber cut on account of the high prices, as I before flated, there is no doubt.

    It is not fo much to the flate of flip-building as to the flate of that competition, that you attribute the high price to the grower ?-There has been a great demand; but, I think, the high price is owing to fo many people being concerned in the trade.

    And that muft in time fall off, or elfe the trade will be ruined by it?-No doubt of it.

    When firf you knew the trade, was there any fupply at all from counties fo. difant as Lincolnfhire and Cambridgefhire?-I do not know; I have not been in the habit of going into thofe counties till within the laft four or five years.

    That wood of Mr. Turner's meafuring 120 acres, if you were underftood rightly, produced $8,000 \%$ in three years, and what remained you would have given $30,000 \%$. for?-Yes.
    Had there been any cutting before that three years?-A very fmall quantity ; I do not know what it was; but very trifing, I believe.

    You have flated, there was a fmall quantity cut before thofe three years, though a very fmall quantity ? - Yes.

    Can you fay how many years before? - No, I do not know that I ever afked the queftion; but the people in the neighbourhood faid there had not been any cutting for many years.

    That was as fine a wood as you ever faw? -It is one of the finelt woods I ever faw, or was in.

    You never faw a wood more likely to produce more, in proportion to the number - of acres, to the owner than that ?-No, certainly not; $I$ think it is one of the fineft woods I ever faw; there is a grear fucceflion of timber in it; I offered the
    gentleman

    Mr. gentleman 30,000\%. for it ; and I think now, as times go, I might not be inclined to give it.
    Thirty-eight thoufand pounds for 120 acres will be 3 6l. 13 s. 4 d . per acre; will not it?-Yes.

    You have ftated, that Lord Salifbury has 1,500 acres of wood, from which he cuts about two thouland pounds worth of timber a year?-Yes.

    That is at the rate of 1 ll .6 s .8 d . an acre; is not it ?-Yes.
    Daes Lord Salifbury cut underwond befides from that wood?-Yes.
    Can you tell the annual value of thofe cuttings?-No, I cannot.
    Have you any idea what was the age of Mr. Turner's trees in the wood you have fpoken of?-No, I have not; I cannot form any idea.

    What is the fize of thofe trees that Sir George Ofborne now cuts, and fells to the country carpenters?-I have not been in the habit of feeing themi for the laft four or five years.

    From what you remember of them, what mult be their prefent fize?-From 22 to 50 feet, round meafure.
    'Thefe country carpenters do ufe a fort of timber that would mete 75 feet, fquare meafure? -Yes, and they want it, in fome inftances.

    When you faid, that the millwrights, and trades of that defcription, afforded no market for large timber, you meant that they afforded no market to the trade for fmall timber? - It is very feldom we are afked for millwrights timber.

    But what they may buy of the country gentlemen, by a fingle tree, or two or three trees, you cannot fpeak to?-No; but there is very little fold in that way; I believe there is not much millwrights work going on.

    It is meant to include carpenters work, and things of that kind ?-If there is not much work of that defcription going forward, they have not much occafion to apply for it.
    Has the price of the fquare meafure borne a fair proportion to the price of the round?-We go to market fometimes, and give more money than we receive.

    But upon an average, has it borne a fair proportion?-In fome inftances it has.
    Generally fpeaking has it; has it in your own inflance; has the price you have received borne a fair proportion to the price you have paid?-In fome inftances I have had bad lots, and in others good ones.

    Have you, generally feaking, fold at a fair price?-I have fold at as much as my neighbours.

    Generally fpeaking, has the price at which you have fold borne a fair proportion to the price at which you have bought?-I have no realon to find fault with the trade ; it has been better than it ever will be again.

    That fpirit of oppofition amongft thofe who bid for the timber from the growers; cannot affect thofe who buy by fquare meafures?-No; a fhip-builder looks at my timber, and he gives me as much as he thinks it is worth; and no more.
    Then, if the price at which you have fold is confiderably increafed, that fpirit of oppofition is not the only caufe to which it can be attributed ?-I do not know that timber has been extravagantly dear.

    Has it increafed or not in price; have you fold dearer than you did twenty years ago? - No doubt of it.

    Then can that be attributed, under the circumftances you have ftated, purely to the oppofition amonglt the dealers, in their purchales of the growers? I do not underfand the queftion; on account of the timber being bought dear, it is fold dear.

    Then it is fuppofed the price ar which you fell is determined by you, and not by the demand of the Chip-builders?-We afk fuch a price as we think we fhall obtain; fometimes we can obtain it, fometimes we cannot, and it depends upon the quality of the timber; fome timber is worth more than other; we have no regular price; if we have a fuperior lot of timber, we have a fuperior price.

    Is there no average price? -There is an average price for fixty feet metings, but I get as much as I can for my timber.

    What determines the price you can get; is it the demand?-The finer the timber, the more it is worth.

    Have you ever felt any difficulty in getting the prices you afked?-Yes.
    Often ?-Often I have afked more than I was obliged to take.
    But you got up more and more every year, to the end. of the year 1812 ?-I be-. lieve in $\mathbf{1 8 1 2}$ timber was as dear as it has been.

    Is that to be attributed to the oppofition that takes place among the dealers when they are buying?-No doubt ; the more we give for timber, the more we endeavour to make of it ; the dearer we buy, the dearer we endeavour to fell.

    In your fuppoition of there not being any fcarcity, you take into your calculation, the circumftance of our having concluded a peace, and that there would be lefs wanted in confequence of that?-Yes; and if there was no peace I think there would not be a fcarcity; I think there is timber to fupply the wants of the navy, if there was a twenty years war.

    If the confumption continued the fame as it has been?-I think if the fame confumption was to continue for twenty years, there would be timber enough found to fatisfy it.

    You have judged of the flate of the timber, from the counries in which you have been, and not entirely of the counties, but from particular eftates with which you are acquainted ?-Different effates. I am in the habit, when on thofe eftates, to make enquiry, What timber have you in this county? In many inftances, a very large quantity I have been told.

    Then you confider yourfelf to have a competent knowledge of the timber growing in thofe counties from thofe enquiries?-Yes, from thofe enquiries.

    Do you know anything of the general confumption of the navy?-No, I do not.
    Do you know anything of the general confumption of the large oak?-No, I cannot pretend to fay anything of that.

    And yet you are quite fure there is great abundance for the fupply ?-That is my opinion, and I will maintain it, from what I have feen and heard.

    You have ftated, that a great deal of timber has been brought to market, that would not otherwife have come to market, in confequence of the high price?-I think fo.

    Has not that led to the cutting of timber that would naturally come into fuccerfion to fupply the place of the large timber?-I do not know any one inftance in which gentlemen have gone out of the regular track of felling the woodland; when it did not come into fucceffion, they have taken fo many acres every year; I do not know that they have gone out of the regular way to get it out ; the prices have not induced them to cat timber in ftanding woods.

    Nor to cut fmaller timber than they would otherwife have done?-No; fometimes a gentleman who does not want to cut his timber, cuts underwood in a regular number of years.

    Then you do not think that the fucceffion has been interrupted?-No, I do not think it has.
    You fpoke of the manner of cutting the woodland, and that they cut fo many acres per year, and in doing that they leave a certain number of trees?-Yes, they thin the timber.

    And they go through the wood ?-Yes.
    And then they go over it a fecond time, after a certain number of years ?-Yes.
    Do you know what the interval of thefe years is ?-Never lefs than twelve, nor more than about fifteen.
    Is it not a practice to cut thofe trees a fecond time, which they have left in the preceding?-Yes.

    Then in thofe woods which are fo cut, there never can be any thing but rather fmall timber? -It is aftonilhing to fee how timber will increafe in fize, in the courfe of fifteen years; you may go into a wood, and cut, perhaps, the largeft timber; that makes room for the other to grow, and at the end of fifteen years, you go in, and find timber there large enough to be cut down.

    About what age is that? - I cannot tell ; fometimes it grows fafter than others.
    Of what fize is that, which is cut in that way?-Perhaps from twenty to forty feet, or fifty feet.

    Round meafure ?-Round meafure.
    Have you any idea of the whole annual fall in the county of Hertford; this year, for inftance?- This year the fall is fhort in Hertfordhire, on account of the depreffion of the price.

    What does this fhort fall amount to ?-I cannot fay the quantity, but I fhould think not half the quantity there was laft year.

    Can you give any general idea of the whole quantity? -I mould think there is not more, take the county, than a thoufand loads cut this year.

    What is the gèneral cuitting ; do you knowe what was the cuttinglat year? No; I only know from what I purchafed myfelf. .

    The reft is mere conjecture ?-Yes.
    You have flated that the' märket was falling, becaufe there was no Thips on th ftocks, and riothing to do?-Yes.

    Do you know the fact, that on an' eftate in-Shrophire: $\mathbb{D}$ great number of peopl had applied to purchafé? '-Yes.

    How do you account for that, if there is no market ?-If there are twenty people or ten or five people, they expect to buy it at the times. price, which will be ad ver. low one this year no doubt, becaufe the fellers muft takee a' great deal lefs than hai been given.
    .How do you account for fo many people applying for the purrchafe of wood, bu upon the profpect of an expected demand?-People like to be doing fomething: perhaps there might be half a dozen' people apply; what number I cannot fay.

    It will be a pretty large purchafe' on' the whole?-Yes.
    About what fum ?-About five or fix thoufand pounds. I da not know what the price may be; the proprietor of this timber, which is cutting, has a very large quantity to be cut annually, and if I were to buy this lot this years, and buyy in a liftle too dear, I might have an opportunity in the next, if the trade fhould take a different turn, of buying it cheaper next year.

    Then the trade are not without hope of a turn? - Not quite, certainly.
    You fpoke of Lord Cowper cutting timber to the amount of about r,5001. a year ; is that timber, generally fpeakirig; growing timber, timber that would otherwife; if not cut down, come to a large fize, or has it attamed its largeft fize? -lt is in his Lordhip's woods; which he thins $;$ he has a large fucceffion of timber, which he thins every year.

    Generally fpeaking, aare thofe trees, trees which, if left to ftand, would become large?--Some might be, and others not.

    But the greater part?-The gieater part ; thofe that are not quite fo thriving as 6thers.

    And does that anfwet apply to moft of the eftates with which you ate acquainted, on which there are annual falls?-They generally cat the timber which is in not quite fo flourifhing a ftate as the reft.

    Do you think that a fourth partt of the falls of the timber, with which you are acquainted, confifts of trees that have attained their larger fize? ?-Yes,

    More than a fourth?-No, I hould think not more than a fourth.
    You have faid, that Lord Cowper's cutting, amounting to $\mathcal{E} 1,500$. a year, was fiom 200 acres?'-Yes; he does not cut 200 acres of woodland every year. :

    He culs about $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{I}}, \dot{j} 00$ : worth of timber a year ? Y Yes.
    Is that cut from 200 acres? -Perbaps from 30 to 40 acres.
    His whole woods are, to what extent ? - Perhaps 300 acres.
    That joo acres yields kirí ${ }^{2}$ f,5000. a year?-No; he cuts from his fields.
    He cuts from his whole eftate to that aniount? Yes.
    Can you flate what he cuts from the woods?-No.
    You fpeak of Sirí George Ofbórne's annual cut of timber? - Yes.
    You have faid, that in his annual cut he cuts fome large timber ?- Yes, he perhap̂s inay cut fome trees which he finds in a decaying ftate when he is in his annual cut; but to what exteñt I cannot fpeak, becaufe I have not been there for four or five years ; he cuts fomé 40 or 50 feet round meafure, I hould fuppofe.

    You have faid, yout have not purchafed of him of late years? -No; he fells his timber to the country carpenters.

    How do you know that he does fell it to the country tarpenters? -I am in the habit of gaing into the neighbourhood fometimes, and I hear thofe things.
    You hear fo when you go into the neighbourhood?-I have fome friends there, and I enquire when I go inlo the neighbourhood what he is doing with his timber, añd they tell me fo.

    Aña that is the iway you" know it ? - Yes.
    Trees of 40 or 50 feet round meafure are fit for large fhips 8 - Yes.
    Having heard that ke difpoles of the timber which he cuts annually, to the country carpenters, and that there are trees of that fize, do you think that trees of that fize are ufed up for country purpofes ?-Yes, they are uled up for country purpofes, for I never hear of their being fold for the London market of late.

    D'des the courfe of your bufinefs bring to your knowledge, that other fuch trees
    in other places, are often difpofed of to the country carpenters ?--Spanetimes trees of that defcription I fuppofe are; there is no doubt of it.
    If from the great confumption of large oak timber for building large thips, the flock, of it ghould become much. diminithed in the country, and the price in confequence much augmented, do, you think that the country carpenters would ceafe to ufe fuch trees, and that they would be brought to the ufes of fhip-building ?-Yes, I Thould fuppofe they would; but there are not many, trees of this defcription that are cut up; here or there a tree, which Sir George, or any, other gepntleman, might find partits growth.

    You have faid, that as far as your practice in purchafing timber from the owners gives you an opportunity to know the ftate of the flock of timber in the country, that it is your opinion the ftock is not likely to fail ?-I think it is not likely to fail.
    Is that opinion drawn from your having found that timber was, generally to be had in any quantiry in which it might be demanded, at a price not extravagant, or from your obfervation of the flate of timber in its growth in thofe parts of the country which you have vifited in the courfe of your practice?-From the obfervations I have made in the different parts of the country 1 have been in.
    And not from the facility with which you could obtain any quantities of timber which might be ordered of you at a price which was not extravagant, compared wich the prices of other things at the time? - No.
    You have faid, that at one time timber did get to an extravagant price, feventeen or eighteen pounds per load?-Yes; at fome fales I believe it fetched as much as that.

    And you fay that that extravagant price was produced from exceffive competition in the timber buyers ?-Yes; oftentimes that is the cafe.
    When the confumption of timber fuddenly increafes the demand upon the dealers, the confequence is a fudden rife of price to the confumer ?-Yes; oftentimes that is the cafe.
    But does the dealer immediately increafe the price he pays to the grower?-Yes; 1 hould be enabled to give a gentleman more per load for his timber, if 1 fold it for a better price.

    In your bufinefs in dealing in tinber, immediately the price of timber to the confumer rifes, does the dealer in timber increafe the price which he gives to the owner?-Yes, he does.

    You have faid that there are now many more dealers in timber than there were? -There are.
    To what do you attribute that ?-The timber trade, I fuppofe, is like all other trades, overdone; every branch of bufinefs there are people embarking in every day.

    What do you think tempts perfons to overdo a trade?-We are told that this country is more populous, and every man withes to get a living in the beft manner he can.

    Do you attribute it at all to a general opinion having arifen, that the bufinefs of dealing in timber has been a good bufinefs for the laft few years?-1 fuppofe people who wifh to embark in it, think it is a better bufinefs than it has been, and they are willing to take a fhare of it.

    Then the opinion that the bufinefs has for a few years been a good bufiners, has been the caure of fuch an increafed competition in it, as to raife the price of timber to an extravagant price?-I think it is likely to have been the cafe.

    Then a fudden extravagant price for a fhort time, is not occafioned, only by a fudden increafe of demand for confumption ?-I do not underftand the queftion.

    James Walker, Efq. called in, and examined by the Committee.
    SUBSEQUENTLY to the laft time of your giving your evidence here, have JamesWalker E/q. you received a further letter from India?-1 have.
    Is there any thing in that letter which affects your former evidence ?-There is.
    Do you wifl to communicate it to the Committee?-I conceived that it was neceflary to do fo.
    Have you any objection either to read it, or deliver it in?
    [It was delivered in and read.]

    James Walker $E f q$.
    " To James Walker, Efq.

    ## " My dear Sir, <br> Calcutta, 14 th December 18 I 3 .

    " It is with pleafure we have to acquaint you, that the General Kid broke ground yefterday, and is now on her way to Saugor, where we expect the will arrive in three or four days; and that fhe will complete her lading by the 15 or 20 proximo, fo as to take hier final departure in company with the fecond fleet. Adverting to our former advices refpecting infurances, wherein we contemplated the fixty thouland pounds would be covered by you on the block, we beg now to forward the duplicate policies of additional Infurances made in this country, which will fully cover and' bear harmlefs the owners, in the event of Iofs, which God forbid.

    No: 405. Ganges office, on block and outfit - - - ${ }^{2}$ R' r,00,000
    No. 406. Do. - - - on ebony, red wood, and pepper
    valued - . . . . . 36,000
    No. 122. Canton office, on freight - - - . $1,00,000$,
    $\sum_{29,500}^{2} \quad$ - $-S^{a} R^{1} 2,3^{6,000}$
    For the premium on thefe policies, we have drawn on you the following bills, which we requeft you will duly honour and carry to the debir of the concern; viz.
    December 13.-No. 73, at fix months fight, favouring $\boldsymbol{E}$ s. d. Palmer \& Co. - - - 87610 December 14.-No. 74, . . Do. - R. Campbell - 1,190 - -
    $\mathcal{E}_{2,06610-}$
    "In making the additional infurance on the block, we have computed on a rough calculation, that the fhip may poffibly coft the owners fix lacs of rupces, including outfit, imprefs; provifions, bill, \&C.; and as the was on the point of commencing her voyage, we thought it advifeable to cover fully, in the event of her meeting with any accident in the River. The policy on the freight is but for half the, amount, and fhould you deem it neceffary, you can cover the remainder in England, as we have acted only on the fafe fide, without looking to any advantage by capture or lofs.
    " We remain, dear Sir ${ }_{5}$ :
    " Yours truly,
    (Signed) . Kids $\xi^{\circ}$ Co."
    Mr. Harrifon.]-Is that the only letter you have on the fubject ?-The only detter.

    Or upon the fubject of thip-building in India ?-Or upon the fubject of hipbuilding in India.
    [The following Paper was read:]

    ## -(40.) -

    Copies of the MINUTES of Enquiry by the Direciors of the Eaft India Company, and their Officers, as to the lofs of the Ships Calcutta, Jane Duchefs of Gordon, Bengal, Lady Jane Dundas, Experiment, and Lord Nelfon.

    ## AT a joint Committee of Warehoufes and Shipping, the 2d February 1810.

    YOUR Committee, under the deep impreflion of the importance of a very full and particular enquiry into the caufes which have or may have occafioned the fevere and unprecedented loffes which the Company have fuftained in their thipping, in the courfe of the laft twelve months; have endeavoured by the fulleft inveftigation, and by application to every fource of information, to obtain a perfect knowledge of facts and opinions relative to this fubjec.
    With this defign your Committee proceeded, in the firt place to call hefore them feveral of the Commanders of thofe fhips which falled in the two fleets which experienced fuch violent gales of wind on their paffage to England, and examined each of thofe Commanders very minutely as to the fituation of the fleets in which they refpectively failed, and of their own hhips during the gales; alfo as to the manning and loading of the fiips in general, and as to the opinion of the Commanders, whether the mifing hips are loft, and if loft, as to what may have been the caufe of that misfortune. Your Committee alfo directed the other Commanders who were not in attendance, to tranfmit Statements in writing as to the points above mentioned; and from this collective evidence thus obtained, it appears:

    Firf.-That the fleet confifing of the fips named in the margin, failed from Madras on the 25 th October 1803, under convoy of His Majefty's ©hip Albiou. That on the 20th November, in latitude $10^{\circ}$ fouth, and longitude $91^{\circ} \mathrm{eaft}$, a violent gale commenced, which lafted till the 23 d.

    That feveral of the hips were laid on their beam ends, with their lee gunwales in the water, which rendered the fcuppers ufelefs, and filled the gun decks with water. That the fea made fair breaches over them; that they took in water at all the feams in the fide; and that it was with great difficulty the pumps were kept going.

    Phæenix.
    Ceylon.
    Lord Nellon.
    Prefton.
    Tegris.
    Experiment.
    Dlana.
    Glory.
    Ann.

    That the Ceylon fuffered feverely by the hurricane (which is defcribed by Mr. Harris, the acting commander of that hip, as having been moft tremendous) and that in endeavouring to veer the fhip, as the only means of faving her, the mazen maft went over the fide, and fhe righted. That the Diana was in a fituation fill more perilous, and would moft probably have foundered, had the crew not fucceeded in cutting away the fore maft, which was effected by very great exertion; that the mizen maft and main top maft were carried away early in the gale; and that had it not been for this circumftance, by which fhe was enabled to veer, the would have been run on board of by two thips during the night.

    That the other niips were likewife in much diftrefs; and Captain Bamiden of the Phoenix flated, that the weather he experienced was tremendous, fuch as if he had not feen, he could never have believed poffible, although he had before been in a tiffoon; but that he thought the gale was very different at the diftance of a few miles.
    That the commanders did not confider any of the Chips too deeply laden, but that the Lord Nelfon and Experiment were much by the head.
    That the commanders uniformly concur, that the thips in general, hut more particularly the miffing fhips, with refpect to the quality of their crews, were very badly manned; and two of them mention, that had it not been for the affiftance derived from the exertions of the paffengers, invalids, and French prifoners they had on bnard, their own fhips would have been loft: and Captain Ramfdenftated, that if his fhip had been as badly manned as the Lord Nelfon, the mult have foundered.
    That a number of men were preffed from each of the hips in India, confifting of the petty officers and the beft feamen.
    That the Lord Nelfon, when laft feen by the Phoenix at $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on the 21 if November, was under clofe reefed main-topfails, and form ftayfails.

    That the Experiment was feen at the fame time, with her forefail and reefed main-topfail fet, fore and mizen top gallant mafts on deck, and her fpritfail yards and jib-boom in; and that the was feen plunging very deep.
    That the Glory was feen at the fame time aftern of the Lord Nelfon; that the was leaky in the wrooden ends; that her head was loofe, and that the had double-reefed topfails and courfes fet.
    That the commanders concur in opinion grounded on the ftate of their own mips during the gale, that the mifing thips muft have foundered from the violence of the burricane.
    (72.)

    William Pitt.
    Calcutta.
    Lady Jane Dundas.
    Hugh Inglis.
    Jane Duchefs of Gordon.
    Earl of St. Vinceat.
    Bengal.
    and
    Sovereign.
    Sir William Benfley.
    Huddart.
    Harriet.
    Euphrates.
    Northumberland.
    Lord Eldon.
    Indus.

    Secondly.-That the fieet confining of the fhips named in the margin, failed from Point de Galle on the 15 th February 1809, under convoy of His Majefty's Ships, Culloden (Admiral Sir Edward Pellew) and Terpfichore.

    That on the fourteenth March 1809, a fevere gale of wind commenced in latitu de $22 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ fouth, longitude $61^{\circ}$ eaft, the wind having blown in fqualls for three days before and gradually encreafed.
    That the violence of the gale was fuch as to caufe great apprehenfion in all the commanders for the fafety of their fhips, as large quanrities cf water were fhipped, and the fea made breaches over all of them.
    That a fudden hiift of wind on the 15 th in the morning, from the S.S.E. to the E.S. E. was moft alarming, as the fea was running tremendouly high, and the fhips could not be freed with all the pumps.
    That on the 16 th, after a nort lull, a fecond thift of wind from the N.W. was much more dreadful, as the Bips lay in the trough of the fea; that thofe Bips fartheft to the weitward experienced the feverity of the fecond gale; and that fome of thofe that were deeply laden were obliged to throw fome of their guns and part of their cargoes overboard to avold foundering.
    That two of the Thips (the Sir William Benfley and Earl of Saint Vincent) did not lay to; but fcudded, and therefore experienced with greater violence the N.W. gale.
    That the four miffing thips when laft feen, were under clofe reefed maintopfail and forefail, and had not hove to ; and Captain Samfon of the Earl of Sdint Vincent ftated, that had he been fcudding under maintopfail when the uind mifted, in his opinion, his fhip muft have lon het mafts; and Captain Campbell of the Sovereign alfo ftated, that had his hip been fcudding under her forefail and maintopfail in the fhift of wind, the would have gone down ftem fore* moff, or would have loft her mafts,

    That the commanders in general confidered the hurricane months off the French inands, to be thofe from December to April, but particularly February and March; but that a difference of opinion is entertained by the commanders, as to the courfe which the fleet fteered, fome thinking that the fleet was taken too near the iflands of France and Bourbon; while others ftated, that they had been nearly the fame track before, without meeting any gales; and Captain Honper of the Sir William Benfley confidered the fhips would not have efcaped the gale in the prefent inftance, if the fhips had been more to the eaftward.

    That the commanders differ in opinion refpecting the loading of the fhips, fome confidering a part of them ta have been too deep; while others are of opinion that they were not deeper than fhips have ufually been on leaving India. Two of the miffing fhips, the Jane Duchefs of Gordon and Lady Jane Dundas, are ftated to have been in fair failing trim.

    That the commanders in general confider that fome of the thips were upan the whole well manned, and others tolerably fo; that although in feveral thips there was a deficiency of Englifh feamen, yet the Thips companies were completed with Lafcats and Danifh prifoners of war, who were procured in Bengal.

    That the Calcutta and Bengal; two of the mifing fhips, were the beft manned.
    That a great number of men were preffed from the thips on their arrixal in India, confiting generally of the beft feamen and petty officers; and that the fhips were much diftreffed by the conduct of His Majefty's officers in India.

    That in fome inftances, where a number of men were taken out, and a proteetion given $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}}$ the Admiral from further imprefs, fuch protection was afterwards refpeced, but in others, difregarded by the captains of His Majefty's fhips.

    That only three of the commanders out of eleven, entertain any hopes of the fafety of the four miffing thips of this lleet; the remainder concut in confidering, that by fcudding they encountered the violence of the fhift of wind in the fecond gale, and that they have either foundered or run on board of each other.

    That Captain Lynch of the Hatriet, and Captain Herbert of the Euphrates, two of the three commanders above-mentioned, confider it poffible the mifling mips may have been difmafted, and bore up for Str. Auguftine's Bay ; and Captain Samfon, of the Eart of St. Vincent, conceives that if they vere difinafted in the firft gale, and had taken advantage of the fecond gale, they may hăve gone to New Holland.

    That the Calcutta was laft feen by the Huddart, on the 14th March, on the Admiral's weather bearn, with a clofe-reefed main-toplail and forefail.

    That the Bengal was laft feen through the haze, by the Huddart, on the 14 th March; under clofe reefed maintoplail and forefail.

    That the Lady Jane Dundas and Jane Duchefs of Gordon were laft feen by the Hugh Inglis, umder clofe-reefed main and foretopfails, at 3 p. m. on the 14th March, then blowing a florm.

    That the Admital in the Culloden, rcudded during the firt gale, by which the got more to the weftward at the commencement of the fecond gale.

    That the Culloden fuffered very feverely in the fhift of wind, by thipping large quantities of water on her decks.

    That the Nereide frigate, ftrongly manned, which was upon 2 cruize off the French Inlands, very feverely experienced the fame gale. She was laid over with her lee quarter'deck guns under watet, without having ary fail fet and the Captain was obliged to cut away all het mafts to fave the fhip: And two of Has Majelty's floops in company with the Nereide, have not fince been heard of.

    Your Committee, with this evidence before them, requefted the profeffional members of your Committee to favour them with their opinion on the fubject; and your Committee beg leave to lay before the Court, copies of the Reports which your Committee have received from two of thofe gentlemen, Mr. Millett. and Mr. Williams; and alfo copy of a Letter to the Chairman, from a late profeffional member of the Court, now out by rotation (Mr. Cotton.)
    Mr. Cotton, in his oblervations, attributes the ferious accidents which have lately happened, to the following caufes:

    1f. The preiling fo many men out of the fhips, thereby weakening their crews; and rendering them, when a prefs of fall is carried to keep up with the convoy, unable to prepare for bad weather, when a gale of wind comes on.

    2d. The going from one part of India to another to join convoy.
    3d. The navigating in imptoper feafons; and not falling far enough to the eaftward to clear the hurricanes which are prevalent near the Mauritius.

    Mr. Millett, after a detail of the fituation of the fecond fleet, collected from the fhips journals, ftates, that the courfe fteered, in his opinion, led the fleet too near the iflands of Mauritius and Bourbon; and points out the neceffity of keeping without the vortex of thefe gales and hurricanes, which prevail in their vicinity at certain feafons of the year.

    Mr. Millett further confiders the fhips to have been as well manned as circumftances would admit, and better than homeward-bound Indiamen generally are; and attributes the lofs of the thips to Itrefs of weather.

    Mr. Williams, after a review of the evidence given by the commanders of the fecond fleet, and confidering the fate of the fhips in regard to manning, loading, and the courfe fleered by the Commodore, is of opinion, that the fhips have not been loft from any one of thofe caufes; but believes they have unavoidably fuffered from ftrefs of weather. A member of your Committee (Mr. Majoribanks) having flated in his place, that in his opinion, one of the leading caufes of the lofs of the Company's thips was, the weak fate of their crews, your Committee have had reference to a paper on the fubject of manning, fome time fince delivered in by that gentleman, and which was under the confideration of the Committee of Shipping ; in which paper, a plan is propofed for the efficient manning of
     clafles; to allow each clafs a different rate of pay; and to have a certain number, about three men to every 105 tons, protected from the imprefs. This paper, your Committee confider well deferving the attention of the Court; and your Committee have therefore annexed a copy of it for the Court's information; to which is fubjoined, a Paper given in by another profeflional member of your Committee, containing fome obfervations made with a view to promote the efficiency of the faid plan. Upon the fame fubject, a plan formed twelve years ago in India, by Mr. Bebb, a member of the direction, has this day been laid before the Court. It goes to two objects, very much connected : the improvement of the fupply of men to the King's Chips in India, and the better provicion and protection of the crews of the Company's @ips; and the fubtance of it may therefore be included in the reprefentation to be made to the Admiralty.

    Your Committee having withed to alcertain how far the courfe fteered by the fleet, of which the four mifing fhips formed a part, differed from or agreed with the track followed by fleets in former years in paffing the French iflands, directed the Mafter Attendant and his Deputy, to examine the fhips journals in this houfe for a feries of years, which thofe officers having done, and traced the courfe of each year homeward on a chart, which is hereunto annexed, together with a table Ghewing the neareft approach of flets in different years to the Inlands; it thence appears, that the track fteered in the voyage in queftion, was not an unufual one, but a middle courfe compared with that of former fleets.
    To fhew alfo bow few accidents have heretefore occurred, and how unprecedented the pres fent loffes may be confidered, your Committee have annexed a lint of the number of dips lot and captured in each feafon, fince the year 1776.

    Upon a review of the facts, information and opinions, which have come before your Come mittee in this enquiry, your Committee are of opinion in refpee to the mifing fhips of the firt fleet, that no hope can be entertained of the fafety of the thips Lord Nellon, Glory, and Experment; all the commanders who faled in thas fleet agreeing as to this point, and the long time that has elapfed ferving to confirm that conclufion.

    That in refpect to the four other mifling thips (of the fecond fleet) the Caleutta, Bengal, Lady Jane Dundas, and Jane Duchefs of Gordon, as three only of the commanders out of eleven who failed in the fleet with them, confider that there is a poffibility of their having got into Madagafcar or New Holland, and the other eight commanders entertain no hope concerning thern; your Committee are, from this preponderance of opinion as well as tred other circumftances above enumerated, led to apprehend that very little idea can be en* tertained of their fafety, particularly as it appears, that the four milling thips when laft feen were following the Admiral, under as much fail as the nature of the gale would admit ; and it may of courle be fuppofed, that they experienced, with the fame violence as the Ads miral's thip, the Culloden, the effet of the fudden fhift of wind ; and when the fuperiot advantage of a line of battle thip in the ftrength of her crew is confidered, it may be concluded that as the Culloden narrowly efcaped, the gale proved fatal to the miffing mips.

    It further appears evident, upon the mof mature conlideration and comparifon of the evidence and opinions before recited, that the lofs of the fhips mult principally be attributed to the feverity of the hurricanes; but there are fome points neverthelefs, which though they may not themfelves have been fufficient to occafion fuch dreadful confequences, yet when combined together, may poffibly have contributed to bring on that calamity which there can be little doubt has befallen the miffing fhips, and to guard againft which in future every polfible mealure of precaution thould fpeedily be adopted.

    Thofe points may be claffed under the following heads:
    1 ft. The indifferent manning of the Company's flips on their returning voyages, mainly from the circumflance to be next mentioned.

    2d. The impreffing of men from the Company's fhips in India, exceffive in point of numbers, and felecting the beft men.

    3 d. The loading of the chips. It has been faid, that fome of thofe in queftion were too deeply laden. This idea appears to have arifen from the quanticy of faltpetre, which two of the four miffing thips of the fecond fleet had on board, nearly 7,000 bags; but fuch an allotment of faltpetre to chips of 800 tons, has at different periods been before made, and in the prefent cafe each of the fhips which had 7,000 bags landed 50 tons of kintledge; and the other two mifling hips had only 5,000 bags of faltpetre, which is a quantity by no means unufual.
    $4^{\text {th }}$. The times of difpatch from India. In the cafe of the two fleets now in queftion, it has been fuppofed that the difpatch was unfeafonable; but the fact is otherwife: the firft fleet failed from Madras the 25 th October 1808, the fecond from Ceylon, the 5 th February 1809. Thefe periods were calculated to give them a fair weather paffage to Europe, and only incurred any degree of rifk, with refpect to the firft, whilft it remained on the codft.

    5 th. The courfe feered has been deemed by fome refpectable opinions to have been too near the French illands; although that opinion does not appear to be confirmed by the feries of Journals which have been conlulted.

    In regard to the two firf points refpecting manning and prefling, it appears from the evidence given by the commanders, and every other information which has been obtained, that the fhips of the firft fleet were all very badly manned; and that although the hips of the fecond fleet were in a better fituation in that refpect, yet it arofe from the cafual circumftance of the commanders being able to procure at Bengal a number of Danifh prifoners of war to complete their complement. All the commanders concur in reprefenting in very ftrong terms, the hardfhips and diftrefs they have experienced from the fyttem of prefling from their thips in India.

    Your Committee having thus invefigated the circumflances attending the fate of the two fleers, homeward bouad in the year 1808 and 180 g , they will next advert to other loffes which the Company have fuftained in their Chipping, in the courfe of the laft year.

    Some of thefe have indeed already been inveftigated by the Court, and it will be fufficient to take only a fummary notice of them. The Walpole was loft homeward bound, off Margate the 1.8th December 1808, being driven on fhore from Arefs of weather. The Britannia and Admiral Gardner loft on the Goodwin Sands, outward bound, 25th January 1809, from ftrefs of weather, and the bad quality of which their crews were compofed.
    The 'Travers, loft in the Bay of Bengal, jth November 1808 , by ftiking ou a rock.
    The Afia, loft going up Bengal, River the ift June 1809, in charge of the pilot.
    The Streatham and Europe homeward bound, richly laden, captured by the French frigate Caroline, 3 if May 1809, the thips being fo weakly manned, in confequence of a large proportion of men, and thofe confifting of moft of their petty officers, being taken out in India, that the commanders were unable to make that refiftance which otherwife might have been expected.
    In taking a general review of the extraordinary loffes which have occurred in the courfe of a year palt, your Committee, though fully aware of the long exiftence of unvarying regulations for the contruction of the Company's hips, have thought it sight to enquire of the marine officers of the houfe, whether in point of fact and practice any and what alterations had taken place of 1ate years, in the building of mips; and the accompanying Report from the Mafter Attendant and Surveyor of Shipping Ctates that no material alleration has been admitted fince the year 1787, after which period were built all the fhips lately loft, fome of which were nearly new.
    The great importance of feveral circumftances which appear in the preceding review; particularly thofe which concern the manning of the Company's thips, which involves the fafety of thofe fhips and their cargoes, has induced your Committee to take into confideration all the communications which have been made to the Court, in relation to this fubject generally, and efpecially the effential article of manning; on which point your Committee proceed to notice the letter from the Honourable W. Welletley Pole, Secrctary to the Admiralty, referred by the Court to the Committee of Slipping on the 25th September laft, enclofing extract of a letter from Rear Admiral Drury, dated the 8ih May laft, propofing that the Company's mips fhould furnifh the Navy under a heavy penalty, with the following numbers of unobjeEtionable men, upon their arrival in India, and that the hips fhould afterwards be protected from further imprefs; viz.
    

    And Mr. Pole, Atating that the Lords Commiffionets of the Admiralty have no objection to what the Rear Admiral propofes, and will give him the neceflary directions accordingly, provided it meets with the approbation of the Court.
    Your Committee have alfo taken into confideration the Proteft of Captain Tremenheere of the thip Afia, againft the act of His Majefty's officers in imprefling a confrderable part of that Chip's company at Madras, and leaving her in fo diftreffed a fituation, that Captain Tremenheere flates it was with the greateft difficulty the anchor could be weighed in fmooth water and fettled weather, and which Proteft is dated at Fort St. George the 4th May laft, and was received by the brig Virginia the 23d September following.

    From this declaration it appears;
    1ft. That previous to the Alia failing from Madras for Ceylon, a propofition was made by Admiral Drury, that 15 men fhould be furnifhed by the regular Chips, and from 7 to 10 by the extra hips.

    2d. That in confequence of two men having been impreffed by H. M. S. Revenge, Admiral Drury confented that only 13 men fhould be taken from the Afia, and not delivered till her return from Ceylon.

    3d. That upon the return of the Afia to Madras the 30 th March, 15 men were preffed by H. M.S. Pfyche, and a certificate given by the King's officer, who expreffed himfelf fatisfied with the men.
    $4^{\text {th. That }}$ on the 18 th April following Captain Manfell, of the Procris brig, preffed 10 more men, with a full knowledge of the above circumitances.
    5th. That on the 22d April, a boat from the Dafher was fent on board the Afia for volunteers, and took one man, a quartermafter.

    6th. That the buat from the Dafher returned foon after, and took five men more.
    7th. That the boat of the Dafher returned a third time, and took one more man, a boatfwain's mate.

    8th. That Captain Tremenheere reported the above proceedings the following morning, to Rear Admiral Drury.
    9th. That the Dafher failed two or three days afterwards, without returning any of the men, although Captain Tremenheere had ftated to the Admiral, that they were the only perfons of the crew upon whom he could place any dependence.

    And here your Committee cannot avoid noticing that Rear Admiral Drury's letter propofing the above regulations, is dated the 8th May laft, only four days after the above Protelt of Captain Tremenheere; which records fo great a breach of the regulations propofed by the Admiral himfelf, that your Committee can have no confidence in the efficacy of a plan, the principle of which was fo little regarded at the moment it was propoled.

    Your Committee have likewife had before them, the letter from Mr. Richardfon Borradaile, read in Court the 17th inftant, enclofing copy of a letter fent in by Captain Dale of the Streatham, and Several other Commanders, to the Board of Trade at Calcutta, dated 9th March 1809, reprefenting that ever fince the arrival of their thips in Bengal river, they had been fubject to the almoft daily inquifition of H. M. fhips, and which, by a fyitem of prefling of unexampled latitude and vexation, had reduced the complement of their crews to a number utterly incompetent to the neceflary fecurity of their fhips, whether in regard to their navigation or defence. That this fyftem of preffing was not confined to ordinary feaimen, but extended to boatfwains, gunners, carpenters and fervants, contrary to all ufage. This letter further ftates, that the commanders applied to Sir Edward Pellew for protections for the remainder of the crews, after a large proportion of their men had been taken out, but he declined complying with fuch requeft; and the letter concludes, with pointing out the infults and injuries the fworn officers experienced from the Nary.

    Your Committee have allo had reference to the 23th and 36th paragraphs of the letter in the Public Department at Bengal, dated the 18th April 1809 , and likewife the 27th paragraph of the letter in the Commercial Department at Bengal, dated the 17th April 1809, calling the Court's attention to the proceedings which have taken place for the impreffment of feamen from the Company's hips; pointing out the neceffity of fome known rules and regulations being eftablifhed for the government of that fervice, without which the Company's fhips will be liable to be rendered incapable of defence, or of being navigated with fafety.

    Your Committee have likewife confidered the Protefts of Captains Kymer, Hawes and Heming of the fhups Lord Caftlereagh, Monarch, and Earl Spencer, againft the Captains of H. M. Thips Modefte, Dather, Victor and Rattlefnake, for unwarrantably preffing feamen from their refpective fhips, and which Protefts are feverally dated Calcutta, the $\delta$ th and ioth March laft; from thefe ftatements, it appears, 3I men were impreffed from the Lord Caftlereagh at different times, including the boatfwain and feveral other petty officers; 19 men out of the Monarch from a crew of 57 perfons; and 23 men from the Earl Spencer, out of 87 perfons, and among them feveral of the petty officers.

    Your Committee have further taken a review of the feveral proceedings which have taken place on the fubject of preffing feamen from the Company's thips, from the earlieft period of the war; and adverted to the very flrong reprefentations which have from time to time

    > (72.)
    been made to the Lords of the Admiralty, pointing out the riks to which the Company's hips thave been expofed from this practice; in particular, in Auguft 1803, upon the capture of the Cu'land's Grove; in 1805, in confequence of a reprefentation from Bombay, of men being taken from the Company's marine; and in May 1806, when from the indiferiminate and excefixe manner of preffing in India, a sery ferious alarm was excited for the rafety of the Company's Daips. To thefe communications from the Court, the replies from the Admiralty expreffed a frong inclination to afford the relief defired; and in the letter from the fecretary to the Admiralty, dated 9th May 1806, the Court were informed that orders had been fent out to the Commander in Chief in India, not to imprefs feamen from the Eaft India fhips, excepting in cafes of mof urgent neceffity. Notwithttanding thefe affurances from the Admiralty; no alteration appears to have taken place in the former fyftem of prefling; but on the contrary, H. M. qfficers in India have continued to diflrefs the Company's Bips to a ftill greater extent, as Your Committee have already ftated in the cafe of the Afia, Streatham, and other hips; and it muft be particularly noticed, that the Retreat, which failed in December 1806, on a fecret fervice to China, with a fpecial protection from the Lords of the Admiralty, had her men prefled at feveral periods by H. M. officers, before the reached her deftination.

    To prevent the neceflity of prefling in India, from the ordinary crews, in the feafons 1798, 1800 and 1803, the outward-bound thips were furnifhed with an additional number of men, purpofely for the King's fervice; but the object, in a great meafure, failed, by H. M. officers not confining themfelves to the tupulated number of men. Under the conviction with which the Committee are impreffed, of the inadequacy of thofe inftructions, which have in repeated inftances, and with the beft intentions, been fent out by, the Lords Com. miffioners of the Admiralty, and with the ftrong evidence before.them of the total difregard paid by the captains of the hips to the exprefs ftipulations of the flag officers, commanding in the Indian feas, as exemplified in the cafe of the Afia; your Committee can have na hefitation in offering, it as their dec:ded opinion, that to apply, an adequate remedr to the grievous long-exifting evils complained of, fome other plan muft be adopted; and while fuch practices are continued, by H. M. officers, as are fated in this report, your Committee can have, no confidence in the Cafety of the Company's Thips or commerce, and there will. remain but one alternative, either tô abandon this commerce during, war, or to carry it onwith a certainty of continued lols and, vexation; and your Committtee therefore recommend, that an earnẹt reprefentation lhould be made to the Lords Commiffioners of the Admiralty, requefting their Lordfhips to give the fubjeft their moft' ferious confideration : and your Committee cannot doubt, but when their Liordflips advert to the accumulated difafters of the laft-12 months, in which the Company have loit 14 of their fhips, including thofe captured, they will concur with the Court in the abfolute neceffity of fome meafure being immediately adopted, which may tend to prevent the recurrence of thofe ferious evils, which, in their confequences, not only, affeq the welfare: of the Company, but the commerce and revenue of the country,

    On the $3^{d}$ leading point before mentioned, the loading of the fhips, your Committee muft obferve, that although as already noticed, two of the hips had 7,000 bags of faltpetre each, yet various other thips had the fame quantity; and in the prefent cafe the kintledge of each fhip was reduced 50 tons, and the lading even fo much on them arofe from the preffing inftances of the Government at home for an increafed importation of faltpetre. The draft of water of thofe fhips was approved by the mafter attendants in India; and the commanders of fome of the hips, fo far from confidering them endangered by, fuch 2 quantity of faltpetre, offered to take in an additional quantity of dead weight, and to land a further part of their kintledge for that purpofe: Your Committee, however, confider it would be prudent to guard in future againft even the poffibility of prejudice arifing from this caule; and your Committee therefore recommend; that the governments in India fhould be directed to give pofitive orders to the proper officers, to pay the greateft attention to the loading of the fhips, and on no account to permit them to leave India at an improper draft of .water, due reference being made to the conftruction of the Ihips, and their capability to 'carry the cargoes allotted, to them.
    > (Signed) CHARLES GRANT, WILLIAM ASTELL, JOHN BEBB; JOHN B, TAYLOR, CAMPBELL MARJORIBANKS, WILLIAM WIGRAM;

    JAMES PATTISON, GEORGE A. ROBINSON, JOHN A. BANNERMAN: GEORGE MILLET, ROBERT.WILLIAMS.

    Laid before the Court, ad February 1810 .
    Approved in Court, 6th February 1810.

    Sir, Eaft Inda Houfe, 3 ff January 1810.
    FOR the information of the Honourable the joint Committee of Warehoufes and Shipping, we beg leave to ftate, that the lhipping of the Honourable the Eaft India Company is infpected the fame as at any former period, and regular furveys are made during the building of the fhps. Since the year 1763 to the prefent tume, the only alteration made in the fcantling was in 1787, when an increafe was made, (and is fince continued) of about threefourths of an inch in finding and moulding the top timbers, for the purpofe of carrying additional guns for the better defence of the fhip. That during the repairs, the fame attentoon is paid by the furveyors as at any former period, and the fhips are manned and fored in the fame manner as formerly, with the exception of the guns, which have been increafed for the better defence of the fhips, and wegh about 4 cwt . each, more than thofe formerly in ufe

    In the year 1799, the galleys were placed on the upper deck for the advantage of room for the conveyance of troops, and to give greater fecurity from fire. Since that period no material alterations have taken place in the conitruction of the fhips.

    We are, \&c.
    (Sugned) J. BOULLDERSON.
    J. HILLMAN.

    Jobn Morice, Efq

    LIST of SHIPS loft and fuppofed to be loft, within the laft Twelve Months; with the Times and Places at which they were refpectively built.

    Regular Ships:

    | Regular Ships: |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Lord Nelfon | 1799 | - - | Meffrs. Barnards. |
    | Lady Jane Dundas | 1800 | - - | Cleverly \& Co. Gravefend. |
    | Jane Duchefs of Gordon | 1804 | - - | Mr Dudman. |
    | Calcutta | 1798 | - - | Meffrs. Wells \& Co. |
    | Bengal | 1799 | - - | Do. |
    | Afia | 1798 | - - | at Liverpool. |
    | Walpoie | 1798 | - - | Meffrs. Perry \& Co. |
    | Britannia | 1806 | - - | Meffrs. Wells \& Co. |
    | Admıral Gardner | 1797 | - - | Do |
    | Extra Ships: |  |  |  |
    | Glory - | - 1802 |  | at Lymington. |
    | Experiment | 1802 | - - | Meffrs. Wells \& Co. |
    | Travers | 1800 | - - | Do. |

    # Copy of a LETTER from Jofeph Cotton Efq. to Charles Grant Efq.-dated $13^{\text {th }}$ December 1809. 

    ## My dear Sir,

    AS you have unexpećtedly called upon me for an opinion, as to the caufes of the lofs of the Company's thips, and are defirous of fome early communication thereon, it becomes a duty I owe to the Company and to the Court of Directors, to furnifh the fentiments of my mind thereon, and with that candour the occafion demands, for the interefts of the Company have fo feverely fuffered, that there never was a more urgent or neceffary requifition; and at the fame time, none that may involve the perfon furmifhing any opimon, in more controverfy or perfonal difpute; and though in the line of my duty, and from the importance of the taik, I would not refrain replying to your requeft in as full and ample way as you have a right to expect, yet it is a painful tafk to fit in judgment on the conduct of individuals, whofe fenfe of meafures may be very different from the individual who addreffes you: It is therefore with deference to the fentuments of others, I fubmit what I have to obferve; and fhould you be fortufied by fuch concurrence of opimon, the conclufion may be more accurately eftablifhed, and I muft take the liberiy to referve to myfelf any illuftration or further opinion, fhould they be thought neceffary. The lofs of fo many valuable fhips and cargoes, applies to the beft interefts of the State as well as to the Company, whether you confider the property embarked in them, the immenfe coft in replacing them, the lofs of lives and revenue, or the ftrength and encouragement it affords to the enemy; and the furprife has been great, that where and when the ftake is fo ferious, more protection is not afforded them, or rather lefs oppreffion and diftrefs impofed. Should the neceffity of the Public Service require the rifking fo much, it is paramount to all other confiderations, but this is feldom or ever the cafe, and the perfons exercifing therr judgment upon the occafion of ,reffing the men, are not aware of the extent of the poffible injury to the Revenue atelf, independent of any other confideration; and this authority is exercifed without control, before fhips are in a place of fafety, or in the performance of long voyages. Numberlefs inftances are upon the records, where the very exiftence of the fhips in a perilous navigation has been at fake, the refult of fuch practices are various, but one is unqueftionably
    obvious, viz. that if on their royages home, a prefs of fail is kept up to keep company with the convoy, and a gale of wind comes on, they have not the ftrength to take it in, or prepare the thip otherwife for the bad weather; the inflances of this kind are alfo upon your records; though much to the honour of many of the Captains of Hıs Majefly's chips, they have been greatly attentive to the ftate and well-being of your fhips.

    Two points are here referred to, that are general fources of complaint, and they are obvioully of importance; but thefe are not wholly the caufes of lofs; that which arifes from capture is more efpecially to be referred to the enterprize and fuperior management of the enemy's cruizers, three or four of which range thofe feas undifcovered, notwithftanding the great fuperiority of our naval force in that part of the world, and who having judgment enough according to their knowledge of the monfonns, and the tracks of the outward and homeward thips, in confequence felect therr ftations to cruize. Far be it from me to impute either negligence or mattention to His Majefty's officers, refpecting them as I do, but the general language is certanly a ftrong obfervanon on their ill fuccefs; and whether it arifes from their local infurmation being lefs than that of the enemy, I cannot prefume to fay, but the facts fpeak for themfelves, and one fuperior Board mult feel the imputation.

    The want of energy, \&cc. in the commanders of your thips, whenever they have met with an enemy, has very rarely been queftoned; the inftances have been very favourable to their reputation; they have exerted the means in their power, as the records alfo prove, excepted in few cafes.

    Until therefore the enemy is overcome, the defencelefs chips, whether in Europe or India, will unqueftionably be their prey, as has been the cafe for fome time paft.

    A further confideration, occafionirg lofs and very often diftrefs, arifes from thips going from one part of Ind'a to another to join convoy. This is a point of great inconvenience, and I may fay, inconfideratenefs; and though hips are required to rendezvous at Portfmouth to join convoy, the difance is comparatively nothing, and the application of this principle to India, i, in my judgment, molt ruinous. The men of war thould go to each port whence thips are apponted to lanl, and they may then thape their courfe as the monfoons and other carcumflances admit: and how the Government in India have yielded to fuch requifitions from the Commander in Chief, making him, as it were, the fule judge and dictator of the faling of all hips from all the ports of India, when his knowledge of the Indian navigation muft be very limuted from his experience there, has been to me, and others, a fubject of very great aftonifhment. At particular feafons of the year, for thips to go from Bengal to Ceylon, is carrying them fo tar to the weftward, that when they fall from thence, they do not navigate far enough to the eaftward to clear the hurricanes, which are prevalent near the Mauritus and the Archipelago to the norihward of it; and though to confine the convoys to an individual thip falling from a fingle port, may keep more thips in India, yet as feparate convoys from each port, and as hips were ready, they might proceed to the fouthward of the French iflands, and then return, for they are not wanted further ; fo India would be abundantly fupplied with men of war; and the conftant fuc--ceffion of thips of war paffing the accuftomed tracks at the feveral monfoons, would have greater chance to fall in with the enemies cruifers, that will be, as before noticed, on the look-out there.

    The expenfe that has refulted to the Company from demorages, to flups thus directed from port to port, and for convoys, has been beyond all calculation, independent of the exoneration of the owners from damage to cargoes, from lhips being difpatched at unfeafonable times; but the owners and private merchants are not lefs involved from the detention of the hipping.

    To fuch an extent did this practice go, of collecting fhips at Point de Galle, that the whole China fleet have been directed to rendezvous there; but the commanders, much to their credit, refifted, and protefted againft the meafure, which induced the captain of the convoy to relieve them to purfue their voyage homewards. Under fuch arrangernents, what but misfortune can enfue?

    The events of laft year, near home, hazarded fome of the fineft thips in your employ, by being under convoy, when I maintain, if left to themfelves, failing in fufficient fleets, together with the general protection experienced from the navy, they would come and go with far more fafety than under convoy; for thrs particular muft be obvious, the judgment of your commanders, who I am confident in believing to be the firft navigators in the world, efpecially from their knowledge of the Indian feas, beft acquainted therewith, muft give way to that of the mafters of Kıng's fhips, or to the captains, whofe experience is fcarcely a tythe on fubjects of navigation in thofe parts.

    Thefe are points it is my duty, being thus called upon, not to withhold under the folemnity of your requifition, and I truft they will not be conflued to operate as a cenfure upon any perfon or perions whatfoever.
    One of the molt effential points towards the fafety of your fhipping, relates to the regularity of their difpatch, conformable to the charter-party, to which the attention of the governments in India is not rufficiently alive; and though what has been before noticed, may greatly correct thefe occafions of detention, the provifion of cargoes fhould befuch, as to prevent this great evil.
    The loffes in Bengal river will be confiderably leffened, I fhould hope, by the improved fyftem of pilotage the Court have lately adopted; and was a more efficient Marine Board eftablifhed, they would prevent the landing of the kintledge from the 800 ton ©hips, knowing that their conftruction makes it very effential for their fafety; and the cargo, thip
    and cirew are rifted, to compafs the tranfit of a few more tons of laltpetre, which for them thould népér exceed 5,000 bags.

    It may be txpected I Thould alfo ftate fomething as to the hull and equipment of your thips; thefe are infpected fo clofely by your furveyors and mafter-attendant, and the charter-party is fo exprefs, that no thips can be better equipped or fent to fea.
    The late arrivals in war, have occafioned fo much hatte to repair and fit the hull for immediate fervice, that they may be defective in fome minor points, but never to affect the fhip's fafety; and the cleareft proof has been the extenfion of their voyages from fix to eight. Some anchors have lately failed, but when it is confidered how they ride in fafety at Sauger, the wonder is that anchors and cables hold them.
    It cannot, in this point, befitate in communicating to you, the prevalence of the dry rot in the timbers; how far the thips may have failed in confequence, is matter of opinion : But the thips are-examined on the conclufion of the third yoyage, and repaired for three more : in that latter interval, it is impolfible to afcertain the prevalence of this deftructive venom, which does not appear in the fhips built long fince; and therefore, the recommendation I fubmitted long fince, to the Court, of giving a flight repair at the end of the fecond voyage, and a fubftantial one at the conclufion of the fourth, would go not only to correat its progrefs, but to detect it in the latter voyages. I addreffed a little Effay on the caufes of the dry rot in our thips, to one of the builders, fome months ago, which may affitt in correaling it.
    Having thus, as far as the time you allowed me would permit, ftated the various caufes, to which, in the whole or in part, the loffes of the Company's fhips may be attributed; it would neverthelefs be prefumption to affume, that any one or more have been the particular occafion (without fome moft efficient evidence) of thofer in queftion, which the Court, I.fuppore, are not yet furnihhed with; and to determin= thereon, on any incorrect principle or evidence, would be to involve the Company in controverfy or difcredit.
    As foon therefore as any enquiry can be inftituted into the feveral loffes, the Reports will form a proper foundation for general conclufions; for in fome cafes, it appears, evident, the want of Europeans caunot be the fale caufe of the calamity ; for fips of the fame burthen are frequently navigated by' only' Lalcars', who, except in capturés; are competent to that fervice, as: experience has fully veriged: when in port, the lofs' of Europeans may be replaced by them before thips proceed; and thöight the lofs of Eufopeans is a great grieqance, to infer that it has been the caufe of any fhip's lofs, without eftablifhing that fact, cannot be prudent., I therefore fuggeft, that no pre-conceived opinions,' but a fyltematic inveftigation of each fhip's particular cafe, fhould be firt fet on foot.

    Navigating at improper feafons, or within the limits of thofe hurricanes, whero our fhips ${ }^{\text {² }}$ have fuffered, appears to me to be one of the obvious caufes of .the recent calamity; but I should be forry to decide" thereon in that way, without due enquiry a And how far one or more of the precéding fuggeftions may apply; will be beft' known by fuch examination.
    A body" of evidence will thén bé furnithed, to found either seprefentatiog upon to Government ; or to fend orders to your goveriors in India upon. The fhips have hitherto paffed to and from India with great fuccefs; and there appears no alteration in their fructure or equipy. ment, or manning, which' in many inftances, has been accomplifhed by a greater part of the crew being Lafcirs; on the homeward paffage: But feveral have been loft outward-bound; to which reafoning upon the nature of their crews, will fcarcely apply, uplefs in a general way to all defcriptions of nietchant veffels; and though the lofs of Europeans is much to be -dejpretated, the cafe is not worle this year than in yeats paft.

    Could any nelgotiation with the Admiralty bé eftablifhed to ameliorate the prefent fyitem, it would be moft defirable; and'the plan' Luggefted by Admiral Drury, if rightly and honourably adhered to, appears to me to be the moft eligible: If the Navy in India would take every European out of the country Thips, who are deferters either from the King's or Company's fertice, exceptingsthe officery, it would leffen the demand onithe Coinpany's mipt."

    I have: underftood the Ocean had a ptotection, which the 'individual commanders of the navy in India tefpected. A fimilar orie might fecure the remaining part of the crews of the Company's Mips, after rupplying their Alipulated number.

    I begrexcufe'for many omifions and erriors; and am, \&ec.
    JOSEPH COTTON.

    Copy of a LETTTER 'from George Millett, Efq. to the Joint Committee of Warehoufes and Shipping, dated the ift January 18 I a.

    ## Gentlemen;

     conpofing 'a part' of 'your' body thould' inveftic e- leading caifes of 'the nembers,
     theit which befer the teet under the convoy of His Majefty's fhit Culloder, and to report arivetialons accordingly': I have proceeded to examine the journals of all thote hips that. arived with the Culloden,' and" now fubmit' to you the refult of my enquiries and "the beft opinion'my fudgefinent has enablea me to form tpon'a fubject df" a fery interefting nature to the Company's amd to all thble' Indifiduals' who, having coníections and Iriends on board
     hopelefs fate.
    (72.)

    4 A
    It

    Earl St. Vincent. Sir Hugh Inglis. William Pitt. Sir W. Benfley. Sovereign. Huddart.
    Northumberlanid. Euphrates. Lord Eldon. Harriet. Indus.

    ## Miffing Ships:

    Calcutta:
    Jane Duchefs of Gordon. Lady Jane Dundas. Bengal.

    It appears that the fleet, as per margin, under convoy of the Culloden, failed from 'Point de Galle on the 15 th February laft, and croffed the Line in about the longitude of $81^{\circ}$ Eaft, the N. E. monfoon then veering, as might be expected, into the N.W. monfoon, the courfe then fteered was, very properly, to the eaftward of South, fo that in the latitude of about $9 \frac{\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}}{}$ South, the fleet was in the longitude of $84^{\circ}$ Laft, when the courfe was changed to the fouthward, the fleet fill keeping hold of the N.W. monfoon until the $4^{\text {th }}$ March; on that day at noon, they were in the latitude of $15^{\circ}$ South, and in the fame longitude as laft mentioned (a very excellent pofition for the fucceeding part of the paffage). The winds then became variable to the Northward and Eaftward, and the courfe fhaped was W.S. W. In about the latitude of $18^{\circ}$ the fleet appears to have gotten hold of the S.E: trade, rand the fleet fteered more wefterly (per compafy). Theife courfes, in my humble opinion, led the fleet too near Roderigues, Mauritius, and Bourbon; for thofe iflands are well known to be in a track liable to gales and forms from November to May; during this period of the year, the fea between the French iIands and the Eaft coaft of Madagafcar, is fubject to fomething like a North-wefterly monfoon, whilt the N.E. or foul weather monfoon is blowing on the Weft fide of that inland, through the Mofambique channel; and when the N.W. winds come in contact with the S.E. trade, whichithen blows ftrong and often violent to the Eaftward of the French iflands, hard gales and formidable hurricanes are often produced by their mutual thock; of which the following account, independently of what the French and Englih navigators have written upon the fubject, will afford fome ftriking inftances: and I hope the Committee will patiently attend to the proofs which I intend to lay before them; for the better elucidation of which I fhall draw a comparative ftatement between the William Pitt and the Sir William Benlley, the firf thip having hove to in S. E. gale, and the latter being compelled, as the captain ftates, to run before it.

    ## Abltract of the William Pitt's Journal, <br> 14 March, per log.

    Lat. at noon, 22. 38. long. 6I. 34 Eaft, the S.E. trade during the firft and middle parts blowing ftrong, increafing latterly to a perfect gale, with heavy fqualls, hard rain, \&cc. the whole appearance of the weather, and the ,unufual fall of the mercury, indicating a ftorm.

    15th March.
    Lat. 23.48. long. 58.40. During the firft and middle parts of the day, hard gales from the S.E. with violent fqualls and rain; at $6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. hove to under form ftayfails until $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. ; when the gale 'moderating, made fail.

    ## 16th at Noon.

    Lat. 25. 18. S. long. 56. 28. winds and weather moderate.

    17th at Noon, lat. 25.16. long. 54. 12.
    Firft and middle parts of the $\log$, frefh eafterly and north-eafterly winds with pleafant weather; the latter part the wind increafed, the weather threatened, the fea became confufed, and the thip plunged déep into a heavy fwell from the wellward; at $11 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. the wind veered to the N.N.W. but it was moderate, and this was all the felt of the fecond gale.

    Abftract of the Sir William Benfley's Journal, 14th March.
    Firft and middle parts, ftrong winds with fqualls and rains; latter, hard gales, fqualls more violent, \&c.

    15th March.
    Firft and middle parts a heary gale, with violent hard fqualls, the fea breaking over us, and fhipping a great deal of water on the main deck; attempted to heave to, but making extremety bad weather of it, was ultimately obliged to fcud with the gale.

    ## 16th March.

    Frefh gales, cloudy weather, the latter fair with a confufed heavy fwell; Thipping much water, and labouring a good deal.

    97th March.
    Firft part fref breezes from the E.N. E. and N.E. about midnight the wind hifred fuddenly to the N.N.W. and brought on a heavy gale, the fhip fraining in every part and thipping much water; wathed away one of the boats, and threw overboard twelve guns to relieve the Thip; kept lying to until if a. m. the following day, when the gale was fufficiently abated to make fail.

    Whoever will attentively examine the foregoing abftract will find, that on the 15 th of March, when near the changenof the moon, both hips encountered a hard gale of wind from the fouth-eaft; that the one hove to for fixteen hours, whilf the other ran before the ftorm; that on the 16th Match the William Pitr had moderate breezes and pleafant weather, while the Sir William Benfley experienced frefh gales with cloudy weather, and a confufed heavy fea; that on the following day the former had frefh north-eafterly breezes, with fine weather until in a.m. when the wind veered moderately round to the north north-weft, accompanied with a heavy north-weft fwell, whillt the latter fhip being about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ degrees more to the weltward, was Itruggling with ia hurricane and tempeftuous. fea, until the morning of
    the 18 th when the gale moderated; bence it fallows that it will prove to be fo in other inflances, that the thip neareft the meridian of the illands, was the greatelt fufferer in the gales. This is an additional inftance, if fuch were wanting, to confirm the opinion which I have long entertained, that the danger of meeting thofe gales is diminifhed in proportion to the diftance which hips may pafs to the eaftward of the French inlands. This proves the neceffity of keeping without the vortex of thofegales and hurricanes, which prevail in their vicinity at certain deafons of the year.

    It feems to be generally underfood, that the four miffing fhips, like the Benfley, fcudded with the fouth-ealt gale, and railipg much fafter, and being far more able to carry fail, would in courfe confiderably out-run the Benlley; thould this really have been the cafe, they might have met the fecond hurricane fooner, and had it ftill more fevere than the Benfley, which is underftood to have been the cafe with the Culloden and Nereid, which were fill further to the weftward. If therefore, after the interval of the moderate north-eaft wind, and fine weather experienced on the $16 t h$, and the natural folicitude (fo near an euemy's port) which the captains might have felt to keep near the couvoys, they thould have been betrayed into the fetting of a great deal of canvas, and were fuddenly taken a-back in the night with their fquare fail fet, the worlt confequences might be dreaded; for in that cafe they would either lofe their mafts, or getting frefh ftern-way the weak and moft vulnerable part of the fhip would be preffed againft the heavy fouth-eaft fea. In the firft inftance, by the want of fturdy active feamen, and good petty officers, to clear away the wreck, the thip's hull may have been bulged by the fallen matts; or, in the fecond cafe, which is by far the moft probable of the two, they would fill abaft, and their fate would be decided in the fame way as that of thofe fhips which perifhed in a fudden chift of wind, returning from the Weft Indies, after Rodney's - wictory over De Graffe.

    If unhappily, therefore, we are deftined to hear no more of our valuable miffing fhips, I muft attribute their lofs to ftrefs of weather during the two hurricanes, the laft in particular, which the fleet had the misfortune to experience in the manner already detailed. After 2 Itrict examination of the Journals, I am proud to fay, that every precaution and every exertion that experience and kiill could fuggeft, appear to me to have been exercifed by the commanders and officers, for the prefervation of their refpective Ghips; they appear likewife to have been as well manned as the nature of circumftances would admit, and better I think than homeward-bound Indiamen generally are during war. The Calcutta, for inftance, was confeffedly the beft manned fhip in the fleet, the was thoroughly repaired for her laft voyage $;$ and from a long acquaintance with the talents of Captain Maxwell, I hope I may be permitted to fay, without offence, that I do not think the fervice had to boalt of a better officer. His thip and the Bengal were perhaps too deep, but the Jane Duchefs of Gordon, and Lady Jane Dundas, were in no more than a fair-failing trim. It is not to be difguifed, however, that thefe were of a very defective clafs of fhips, over-built .aloft and too deep below for their breadth, defects acknowledged by every builder, and felt by every experienced commander; the extreme breadth of their floors too, is carried fo far aft, that they have rudders given them like a weft-country barge, and when the fea frikes the rudder in a gale of wind, the helm is not only held with dificulty, but the feamen are frequently thrown round the wheel. Thefe are ferious evils, for which no favings can compenfate, becaufe they endanger the ultimate fafety of the fhip and cargo. It is earnefty to be wished, therefore, that they may be corrected in every thip that fhall in future be built for the fervice of the Eaft India Company.

    I now beg to draw the attention of the Committee to a comparative ftatement of the extra Ships Sovereign and Huddart; the former baving three decks, the latter two, with a deep wailt and poop.

    ## Extract from the Journals of the Sovereign, of three decks; and the Huddart, of two decks; 14th March.

    " Firft and middle parts a frefh increafing - "trade; the fea rifing faft, preparations " making accordingly; towards noon the "weather threatening, the gale increafing, " and rea rifing rapidly, getting down top" gallant yards, mafts," \&ec.

    15 March.
    "Firft and middle parts, hard gales with "heavy rain; latter, wind veering to the " north-eaft, and moderate with fine weather.
    "This hip hove to at $4 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and made
    " fail again at day-light, without fuffering
    as at all from the gales."
    "Firlt and middle parts, a Arong trade " wind with fqualls and a rifing fea; latter, " increafing to a heavy gale, \&cc. \&c. at " $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. the forefail and maintopfail flew "s to pieces; at 11 , hove to under form "fails."

    15th Log.
    " Lying to until 3 p. m. when we fhipped " a heavy fea, which upfet feveral of the " guns and laid the fhip over to ftarboard; "threw overboard the flarboard guns, and " got the fhip before the wind, all pumps " going; paffed feveral hips lying to, mak"ing good weather. At 1 p.m. the fhip ss was brought by the lee, and hipping ${ }^{2}$
    st heavy fea on the ftarboard quarter and ftern, was immediately laid over on the 4 larboard beam ends; threw over what lee guns could be gotten at, and made "an unfuccefsful attempt to wear, the chip lying over in a perilous manner, "the water frequently up to the comings of the hatches; but at day-light the ". gale abated, and we wore, flaip."

    The forregoing comparign has been made, for the purpore of flawing the danger of deeg waisteq phips: the gavereegy sxeachered the gale with eafe, but had it been of much longer continuyance, the fate of the Huddart would in my opinion have been inevitable. The fruation of the Sir William Bendey has already been hhewn; the Indus alfo fuffered, thresy fome of her guns overboard, and cut away fome of her anchors ; the Harriet laboured and fipped fo much water, that with all hef pumps going, fhe had two and a half feet water in the well, the greateft part of the night; whilf the three-decked thips, Northumberland, Euphrates and Sovereigu, were making exceedingly good weather of it. The conclufion is clear, that the deep-安aifed hips, when laden, are but ill calculated to encounter gales of wind.
    I now proceed to the journals of the Earl St. Vincent, which Mip, whiln feudding with the fouth-eaft gale, on the 15 th, broke her tiller rope, and broached to; the afterwards wpre and feered a foutherly courfe; on the 17 th the had frefh eafterly winds in the firf part, and in the middle and latter part frong gales from north-ealt to north north-weft; the wind fhifted to north at $2 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and to the north north-weft at $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. It is worthy of remark, that this thip lofing time by her accident, and fteering a nore foutherly courfe than the Benfley, and confequently not quite fo far to the weflward, did not meet the fecond gale unilit 6 hours after that fhup, and then with diminifhed violence.

    The Hugh Ingliss, in a hard fquall on the 15th, loft her maintopfaik clemn out of the bolt rope, and fopm afterwards lof fight of the Admiral, who, with two of the miliug fhips, ran under his ftern. At \& p. m. a heavy fea frikiag the fhip on the flarboard quarter, the broached round to, agaipft the helm, but the foon wore, and was afterwards fleceed a foutherly' courfe with eafy, fail to prevent a fimilari accident, aydefolt little of the fecond gale, Beyond' a heavy fwell.
    Thus, an accidental, gradation of diftances (though friall) in the difference of longitude, among the thips of the fleet, is an evidence, to thew the truth afferted, that the danger of hurricapes off the French iflands, is in proportion to the diftances flips may be to the eaftwayd of the meridians in which, they.lie.: and it is flurther worthy remark, that the hurriçanes are found to be far more furious, at-Bourbon than Mauritius, although the former is only 20 or 30 leagues to the weltward of the othen.

    By recent accounts. from the Cape, I underftand that nothing bad been heard of the Harrier, which was with the Nereids frigate, fmce that fhip was difmafted; it was from the Harrier, I think that we received the laft intelligenoc of the- Blenhoim and Java, and as' far as my recollection reaches, they were faid: to have been feen by that floop making. fignals to each other, in a hard gale. of wind, off the French illandsı If, flips fo weil manned, and, fo well managed, as, our men, of war- are. known to be, can with-dificulty:be prefefved from the form, (and the Culloden and Nereid with all their frength and ikill were in impinent danger, of foundering); what but difafters can poffibly be expected from -heavy*laden merchaptmen, ftripped as.they too,generally are of almoft every uefful hand for-His Majelty's. fhips, in India? It is really a. melanctoly, reflection, that' flets of fuch immerrewalue to the Company, and.the, nation, fhould be deprived of their Atrength, and leff almoft in, a defemcelers ;tate againft the elements. and" the enemy. No exextion 'fiould be wanting:' to ohtain the protection of the Admiralty againt a grievance fó vexatious; fô haraffing; and fo. ferious, A profefional member, of the Committee Has the mexit of giving' in 2 pparr for ameliopating this part of the fervice; and whilt I acknowledge my thanks for his confideration of this important fubject, I truft he will at the fame time pardon-me-for-obferyu
     meet with my fupparts, yet, there are-others. which do not :appear- to me to be-grounded 'on ${ }^{\text {r }}$ experience, and are quite impracticable: I thould therefore be happy to fée the-found parts " of that plan grafted on the fimple and eafy propofition of Admiral Drury, which was tranfmutted by the Lords, of the Admiralty to the Court of Direarors in the month of September latt; and I am prepared to. fay, from good information, that that Board is heartily difpofed to carry the plan immediately, into effeet: I truft, therefore, the Court will lofe not time in embracing fo favourable an opportunity. I know it is the opinion: of fome of, its members, that the Lords of the Admiralty do not pofiefs the power of enforcing their orders s with the naval officers in India; to thofe gentlemen, I beg leave to, replys, that I have.feen. protections, which His. Majefly's officers have refpected, and wquld not prefs from; at any " rate, the attempt in my opinion fhould impediately be madé, for without jit, the xefponfibility. mult fall upon ourfelves.

    Having already recorded my opinions in the moft forcible way I was abley apon the neceffary timess and fealons, of dirpatch 1 I fhall forbear to troublefthe Committee bryond. faying, that it appears.to me guite mpplfible, that the Company's thipping and commexce can profper: wifhout due attention to thofe important pointes, both at home and abroadu.
    "Having now concluded my remarks on the journals of the mips which swere urder convoy* of the Culloden I believe it will bee expected of me to fay fomething wf, the, gales: in , which ${ }^{4}$ the Lord Nellon, Glory, and Experiment, are fuppofed to have foundered. D. find, fromthe journals of the Phoenix, that. the filet failed from Madras on the 26 th Oetober (which wh s wity feafon), before, the, mophfoons, had Alifted on the Coaft, carrying with them variable winds, but chiefly from, the, weft ward, down to ithe Lime, which was croffed in $85^{\circ} 42^{\circ}$ Eefts the wefterly winds continued until the zoth Novembers whenj in : the latitude of 9.․ $23^{\prime}$ 'South , and longitude. $89^{\circ} .32^{\prime}$ Eaft, the gales commenced whichu ended cin 'the melan-
     hard, with a heavy fea, and the chip lurching'decpis, lof the maintopfailimstaking it ins
    and at midnight, the main deck receiving more,water at the ports and fcuttles than the Scuppers could vent. At 4 p.m. on the following day, the gale moderated, and foon after died away into light winds, fiping all round the compars. At 7 it became again fqually, and a ohip paffed us with the lofs of her mizen-mall and main topmalt; foon after fet the form ftaytails. At half paft ten the Squalls betame extremely fercre; at eleven the fhip lying orera good deal, and bipping heavy feas; at in.m. pumping and baling; at 2 , five feet water In the hold, and gaining on the pumps; at 3, feven and half feet water in the hold; at 4, the fore-topmalt blew away, and the beft bower anchor was wathed off the gunwale; at 5 a m . began to gain on the thip, and at noon the water was reduced to five feet; at half paft noon, came on a very heavy guft, the fea making a fair breach fore and aft, and the water increaling again on the pumps, crt away the main and mizen topmalts, and the fpare fream anchors; at 3 p. m. a fea completely cleared the quarter deck, and wafhed a man orerboard; at 6 p .m. the gale was more moderate, and dying gradually away, it became nearly calm at noon; and on the following day, in latitude $13^{\circ}$ fonth, fprang up the regular fouth-eaft trade.
    Thefe tremendous gales may have been produced by the conflia between the north-well winds and fouth-eaft trade, and partake of the fhifting of the monfoon; or they may, indeed, more properly be faid to have been a vifitation of Providence, beyond the reach of human wifdom to forefee, and of a nature too potent to withftand : to this alone I attribute the lofs of thofe thips which fuffered in thefe gales. It is very remarkable, that the Ann, which was only one degroe fouth, and another to the ealt of the Phoenix, fuffered little in the firf gale, and felt nothing of the fecond. I have not had time to examine the remaining journals of this fleet; and, indeed, I am fully aware that the foregoing detail is already extended to fuch a length as muft become tedious to the Committee; but the fubjed is of fuch a nature, as to have rendered it almoft impoffible for me to give foundnefs to truth, or rolidity to opinion, without it.
    I rejoice that an invertigation on a fcale fo extended as the prefent has been inflituted by the Committee: the fubject is interefting to humanity, and important to the Company and to the Country. I have had no opportunity of confulting the examinations or opinions of the Captains; if, therefore, I thould have the misfortune to differ from my profeffional brethren, either in or out of doors, it will be entirely unpremeditated on my part; and perhaps the individual information and feparate opinions of fo many experienced feamen, is a meafure beft calculated to form a mals of evidence apon which to ground the Report of the Committee to the Court of DireCors.

    Thus patiently purfuing this fubject, and ufing our beft endeavours to make paft misfortunes fubfervient to prefent good, will be found to be the beft means by which we can reafonably sxpect to be more profperous in future.

    I have the honour to be, \&c.
    (Sigued) GEORGE MILLETT.

    ## N. B. I beg leave to annex to the Report the following Extracts.

    Firf, from the French.-'s In the neighbourhood of the Ilands of Mauritius and Bourbon, * ftorms are liable to happen from November to May, which are fometimes very fevere; but "t the dreadful hurricanes which have been frequently experienced in thofe feas, generally " happen in December or March, and fometimes in February or April."

    At Mauritius, a florm or hurricane is expected annually in December, and generally in March. They blow with more fury at Bourbon than at Mauritius; and as the diftance eaftward from thefe illands is increafed, their violence is proportionably abated.

    The hurricanes are generally preceded by calms, or faint baffing winds, and fultry weather, with a fudden fall of the mercuty in the barometer. They blow with irrefiftible fury, and thift fuddenly round to different quarters. Abbé Rochon ftates the velocity of the wind to exceed fometimes 150 feet in a fecond, or 88 miles per hour. He was at Mauritius during the hurricane in March 1771; but one which happened in February in the fame year was more fevere. In this hurricane, the Mars of 64 guns had her main topmant broke fhort off, clofe to the cap, although fruck at the time, fo great was the force of the wind.

    Thefe hurricanes generally happen within a day or two of the full or change of the moon, particularly if the perigee or apogee coincides with thofe phafes. They continue to blow fometimes furiounly for eighteen or twenty hours; and fome gales of wind have been known to prevail from two to three days. At the commencement of a hurricane, the clouds become overcharged with vapour, and their afpect, duky black intermixed with red; torrents of rain frequently iflue from them during the ftrength of the form; the fea is greatly agitated at fuch times, and the waves running in contrary directions, impinge againtt each other with great fury: Ships which pafs near thefe inands, between the months of November and May, more particulariy in December and March, ought to be prepared for a ftorm, for they give very little warning.
    The hurricanes have been known to extend fometimes to the tropic, or tather beyond it, to $23 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ or $24^{\circ}$ fouth latitude. As they blow with greatef violence in the neighbourhood of the ifland, feveral French veffels have perihed at various times, when pafling between Mauritius and Bourbon; and others that were driven to fea from the latter illand.
    Second. Estract from the Oriental Navigator:- The winds at Diego Rais blow "conflantly between the eaf and fouth-ealt; Sometimes rery flrong gales, with fhowers of "rain; but more frequently freb gales, with fair, cloudy, or hazy weather. Sometimes the
    "s weather is moderate, clear, and fair; and the 'fea remarkably fmooth for feveral da' "r together: but it is calm very feldom."
    "The illand is fubject to hurricanes, and in the ftormy months, which are Januar ${ }^{\text {H }}$ asc February, and March, they are fometimes very violent. It has been oblerved : "Mauritius, that when the velocity of the wind in the moft impetuous hurricane exceen
    :or 140 feet in a fecond of time, nothing is able to refift its fury. The fudden and extrao; "dinary variation of the barometer in thofe latitudes between the tropics, is the only fur) " indication they have to forefee a hurricane, and prepare againft it a few hours before * "s begins."

    Third. Extract from Horburgh's Eaft India Directory:-" It muft alfo be obfervech "s that there is a kind of northerly monfoon in the vicinity of Mauritius and Bourbon, frond;
    "s November to April; during which period the winds are very variable, often from northil " eaft to north-weft; particularly from the latter quarter. From November to May, a galy
    "s of wind may happen in thele feas. At Bourbon, there is generally one or two each fealond
    " 6 and in fome years a hurricane $;$ although the latter have been knowni to happen in Decembed
    "s at Mauritius; alfo in January and February ; they are more liable to be encountered is
    "c March or April, when they blow very fevere."

    Copy of a LETTER from Robert Williams, Efq. dated 5 January 1810; addreffedj to the joint Committee of Warehoufes and Slipping.

    ## Gentlemen,

    In compliance with your withes, that I fhould form fome profeffional opinion concerning thofe fhips in the Company's rervice, which have far exceeded the expected time of their: arrival; and I lament to fay, that from the laple of time which has already taken place, to.1 'gether with tempeftuous weather, proved in evidence, that they had to encounter, there is 'but little hope, even to the moft fanguine and interefted mind, to look for any further intelligence thar may change the prefent appearance of thofe difafters, which I am grieved to think, have already befallen them; their fafety being in my mind, barely within the limit of poffibility.

    In reviewing this hiftory of melancholy, and too probable events, I have endeavoured -fudioufly to avoid any elaborate difcuffion on the general principle or caufes on which conjecture has already bad fo confiderable an influence in irritating the public mind, as well as wounding the feelings of the friends and relations of thofe whom misfortune may have 'fubjected to fuch accumulation of calamity and. diftrefs; I therefore confine my obfervations to the evidence which has appeared under the inveltigation fo properly inftituted, and fo loudly called forth for the fake and fatisfaction of all concerned; and I beg leave to fay, that my opinion mult be formed from the collective evidence of profeffional and experienced officers, who were themfelves involved in the fame rifk, and whofe fhips were fubject to the fame forms.
    In order thén to examine as accurately as poffible, and to report only from fuch evidence, which I may fay has been given in the ftrongeft terms of nautical and experienced knowledge, I have duly arranged in my own mind the caules which were moft likely, under all circumftances, to produce the feared effects; and have drawn my conclufion from infor'mation, which, with great anxiety and care, I have difinetly examined.

    - The firft of thefe conjectural caufes, which I proceed to inveftigate, is, the want of men, fo confequential in the general fyftem of fecurity; without whom, it is evident, nothing but misfortunes of the moft dreadful nature can be attendant on fhips, when contending againft the impetuofity of the winds and waves. Inftances, 1 am forry to fay, are to be found upon your records, where imprefling from Company's fhips for His Majefty's fervice, has been carried to an unwarrantable and dangerous degree; yet, I thall view thofo general and important facts, as a fubject of future enquiry, and merely fate, for the information of the Committee, if from the evidence it appears to form in thefe particular difafters, any part of their confequences.

    Regular Ships.
    William Pitt.
    Calcutta.
    Lady Jane Dundas.
    Hugh Inglis.
    Jane Ds. of Gordon.
    Earl St. Vincent.
    Bengal.
    Extra Ships'
    Sovereign.
    Sir W. Benlley.
    Huddart.
    Harriet.
    Euphrates.
    Northumberland.
    Lord Eldon.
    Indus.

    The fleet, confifting of the flips named in the margin, under the command of Sir Edward Pellew, were overtaken by the firlt gale, in which began their misforfortunes, about the latitude of $22^{\circ} 30^{\prime \prime}$. and longitude 61. eaft; being within the limits and influence of thofe tremendous ftorms and tempefts fometimes met with near the Mauritius and the adjacent iflands, generally between the months of November and May, according to the reports of the moft experienced writers and napigators; and it is a fact tolerably well eftablifhed, that when thefe gales are fucceeded by one from nearly the oppofite quarter, they are attended with fuch peculiar fury that they become irrefiftible.

    It appears in the evidence, that the florm of the $14^{\text {th }}$ March 1809 came on in the ufual way, yet giving time for all to be prepared againft the worlt ; and I have to oblerve, with a confiderable degree of pleafure, that at this period the exertions of the whole fleet feem to have been marked with that caution and ability which is ever fo confpicuous in the character of Britifh navigators; and it is a fact alfo, to which I beg to draw the attention of the Committee, that the fhips were very early prepared to meet every event that could be looked for, and that no material accident by loís of fails or malts appsars at all to warrants on the firft view of the fuppored cafe, a want of men.

    In following this material conclufion; on further evidence I muft obferve, that till the moment of feparation of the thips from each other, no one fignal had been difplayed from any thip that could denote a want of men, ner any fort of appearance of difteff, that evinced any difficulty arifing from this fuppofed want ; on the contrary, two of the miffing thips, viz. the Calcutta and the Bengal, were confidered to rank among the beft manned fhips of the fleet.

    When the form had increafed to excelfre violence, and the weather-became fo thick that the Admiral could be no longer feen, it was then the neceffary duty of each Commander to be his own pilot, and to follow the dictates of his beft judgment for the fafety of his veffel; at this time we find a difference of nautical opinion, fome Commanders having brought their thips to, while others ran before the gale; but the difference of this conduct I do not apprehend had altogether a reference to the immediate fafety of the veffels, in the ftorm againft which they had to contend, but alfo as to the opinion of what might be the general condutt of the fleet and its Commanding Officer, under the preffure of all the exiting circumftances.

    It alfo appears from the evidence of feveral of the Commanders, that though many of the thips had a confiderable number of men impreffed from them by His Majeft's Officers in the courfe of the voyage, yet the complement and quality were in a great meafure fupplied by Danifh prifoners, or good Lafcars, and therefore the thips generally were confidered by the Commanders themfelves to be in fome inftances well manned, and in others tolerably To; and it is acknowledged by one Commander in particular, that his fhip was confiderably better manned than on his former voyages (his crew confinting of 42 Europeans, and 27 natives of India); and it alfo appears from the evidence of another Commander, that he had . 65 Europeans, and 44 good Lafcars: but among all thefe it is remarkably fated in the latter evidence, that they had only one relief for the helm in fuch tempeftuous weather, and though there appears in this folitary inftance to be an extraordinary want of good helmfmen, yet this - Thip (which had been brought by the lee in fcudding before the gale, and certainly was one of the weakeft in her crew, by the particular circumftances already defcribed, arrived in fafety, without any particular or ferious accident, while thofe which were confidered among the 'ftrongeft are yet miffing ! ! !

    In this bufinefs it is proper to draw the attention of the Committee to the fituation of the Chips Sovereign and Indus, which I ftate, from the evidence of their Commanders, to be among the worlt manned fhips of the fleet, and certainly under very peculiar difficulty and danger from the fhipping of water, and from other caufes, which obliged them to keep their 'pumps conftantly going, and to throw overboard a confiderable quantity of cargo; neverthelefs their crews were equal to all this labour, in addition to their other neceffary duty. There fhips have alfo arrived in fafety.

    The Bip Sir William Benlley, which encountered the difficulties of the fecond ftorm, as alfo thofe of the firf, threw overboard 12 of her guns, and the is alfo arrived.

    Confidering then the fubftance of the evidence which is before you, I am clearly and decidedly of opinion, that the want of men, generally fpeaking, formed no part of the difafters of this fleet. At the fame time $I$ have no hefitation in faying (from all the information I can polfibly collect on the prefent mode of imprefling men from the Company's fhips), that unlefs fome fubflantial meafure can be devifed and adjufted between the Admiralty and the Court of Directors, we may regard with a confiderable degree of apprehenfion the fafety of our Indian fleets; which will be expofed to very hazardous refults, more fatally comprehenfive than even'thofe now before you.
    I now proceed to inveftigate, from the fame evidence, the next fuppofed caufe, namely, that of improper lading. On examining the evidence of this fecond fuppofed caufe, it appears, that the hips of this fleet were confidered to be in general deeper laden than ufual, but certainly not fo heavily laden in any degree as to afcribe their lofs to fuch a circumftance; and although many of them had a larger proportion of faltpetre as cargo than what is ufual, yet they landed fifty tons of their iron kintledge; and it may be proper to draw the attention of the Committee to this obfervation, that the hips having been fome time at fea before they were overtaken by thofe dreadful gales, they had certainly become lighter from the neceffary expenditure of water and provifions.-The Calcutta appears by the evidence to have drawn about 23 feet 6 inches, on leaving India, and is reported by the fame evidence to have been laden confiderably by the 'head; and as the Company's fhips in general are in the fame fort of trim, and the Calcutta was particularly by the head; we may infer therefore, that if this fhip could have been brought on an even keel, the would not have exceeded in any material or dangerous extent, her exad or proper draft of water.
    The evidence alfo ftates that thip to have failed well; a circumftance which could not have been the cafe if laden too deeply; the was perfectly tight and well managed, but painted fo as to look deeper than any other fhips, which may have given caufe for any opition formed only from her appearance.
    The Bengal is reported alfo from evidence to have drawn about 23 feet, which is fomething lighter, and is reprefented in other refpects, nearly in the fame ftate of lading.

    - The Lady Jane Dundas not very deep, her lading much the fame as the olher two.

    But the fhip Jane Duchefs of Gordon appears to have been laden in the cuftomary manner, with 5,000 bags of faltpetre inftead of 7,000 , and with her ufual quantity of kintledge on board; ©he is reparted by the evidence to have drawn 23 feet forward on her leaving India, a draft of water correfponding with the two former flips, whofe lading had been varied from the ufual cullom, (namely, the Bengal and Lady Jane Dundas) but lighter
    hy fome inches than the Calcutta, notwithftanding which, the Jane Duchefs of Gordon is confidered by one Commander to be very deep, and by another only in a fair failing trim; yet under fuch difference of opinion, it clearly appears, that the ladiag of thefe ohips had not been fuch as ought to warrant any belief that they had foundered fram this immediate caufe. And as it appears that in the infances of the fhips Sovereign, Indus, and Sir Waliam Benfley, that their Commanders thought proper to throw overboard fome of their guns ar part of their cargo, for the purpofe of ealing their ghips, why :may we not conclude, that the Commapders of the mifing hipss (who are known to have been men of equal talents and experiepced officers) would have reforted, if neceffary, to the fane means (which were withim the reach of all), particularly as they prefented the only remedy that could polibly ooccur to the moft uninformed perfons, to fave their hips from finking: from fuch a caufe I am therefore quite of opinion, that the mifing thips have not been lof through their depth of lading. But the ordered courfe of the ghips appear (in the opinion of the Commanders) to have led them too near the French igands, under an idea that if they had been 10 degrees further to the eaftward in their latitude, they might have efcaped the fury of the gales. The commander of the Thip Sir W. Bendey, is the only one who thinks that by fo doing, they wpuld not have efcaped the ftorms in this inftance. I have myfelf been fexeral times off thefe iflands during the formy months, and never met with them; and that gales of a fimilar ftrength (without fearching for any philofophical caufes) are in this pcean not confined to the fituailion in which they were met with by this fleet, may be proved by many inftances, but in no one more ftrongly than by the misfortune of that \#eet, of which we lament that there are three fhips mifling.

    Having collected from the evidence, that the misfortunes of the miffing ships have not originated from any of the preceding caufes, I can have bat little hefitation in believing they have fatally fuffered from flefs of weather. I beg to draw at this time the pttention of the Committee to thefe particular and important facts; that the four mifing Dhips, Calcutta, Bengal, Jane Duchefs of Gordon, and Lady Jane Dupdas, when laft feen in company with the fleet, were under the fame fails, differing in that particular from every other thip in the feet; namely, clofe-reefed main top-fails and fore-fails; it is fated to have teen then blowing a ftorm of wind, and if thefe fhips fo peculiarly sircumpanced, had broached to in fuch a florm, and fuch a fea, under thofe particulgr fails, or had been taken in the violence of the N. W. guft, which in fome fituations brought on the fecond gale, my opinion is not oply fupported by thofe of feveral commanders, but I will rifk in in the judgment of all profeffonal men, if the moft ferious and alarming confequences might not be apprehended; but if by any means they have efcaped any particular difafters from fucb a caufe, it may be fair to fuppofe they have, like others, run into the fecond gale, which, though differing as to its courfe and violence, feems in fome particular fituations to have blown with redoubled fury, and in which the Nereide frigate loft her mafts, and was nearly foundering. And though there is fome difference in the opinion of the commanders, ies fpecting the fate of thefe fhips, two believing that they may have been difmafted and put into Madagafcar, or the coalt of Africa, while another thinks it poplible, from fome misfortune, they may have gone to New Holland; yet, in my idea, the chance of either is formed upon data fo feeble and improbable, that I cannot concur in this opinion with any degree of fanguine of favourable expectation.

    If it thould be conjectured why the Company's fhips have been fubjected to fuch misfore sunes beyond any other, as in the prefent infance, the realon to me appears, that they nayigate thofe feas in a far greater degree than any other, the Americans excepted; and as thefe American lhips generally fail fingly, or two together, and as a form of any fort, when it does happen, can only deftroy the number of objects within its influence, and when amounting by comparifon to fuch an inconfiderable number, the chance and extent of accidents becomes confiderably diminifhed, and their loffes, if any, will from fuch a circumftance, form no very conficicuous account in the catalogue of events; while the Company's fhips, failing in large and extenfive fleets, are expofed, from delays of various forts, to thofe dangers which, in the courfe of the laft twelve months, have fallen in a moft unexampled degree on two of their tleets, with fuch extenfive and dreadful confequences.

    I have the honour to be, Gentlemen,
    Your obedient humble feryant,
    Eaft Iedia Houfe, $\}$
    5th January 1810.$\}$
    (Signed) R. WIILIAMS.

    Copy of a PAPER, intitled, "ObEErvations on the manning of the Company's Regular Ships, with a propofed Plan for the improvement of the fame;" fubmitted to the joint Committee of Warehoufes and Shipping, by Campbell Marjoribanks, Efq.
    THE prefent eftablifhment of the crews of the Company's regular thips, is 100 for Mips of Soo tons, and 130 for chips of 1,200 and 1,400 tons; bur the number for the laft defcription of ghips has heen found infufficient; and, according to the increafe in the $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0}$ ton fhips, beyond the goo ton Bips, the 1,400 ton fhips ought to have carried 150 men ; and it is certain, hal in many cafes the owners have fent on board that number, though the meafure has never treceiyed the fanaion of the Court.

    When it is confidered that thips, when firil leaving England, have feldom lefs than 20, and fometimes more than 30 men on their fick lifts with venereal complaints and nlcerated legs, and that leffer crews (in the cafe of meeting with bad weather, which is frequent at the period of the India chips failing) mult fubjeat them to tifks and dangers, which fuch valuable property ought not to be liable to, and that, in time of war, the thups are itripped by His Majeft's officers in India, of many of their moft ufeful hands, fome alteration in the mode of manning the Company's Mips, feems indifpenfably necefiary. It is certainly to be regretted, that the Company's fhips, which in every other refpect, and particulariy in regard to outfit, are the beft lhips that go to fa, fhould be the moft wretchedly and worfe manned of any merchantmen.

    The cafe of ficknels above alluded to, it is fuppofed may eafily be remedied, by a medical furvey being held on board, previous to the men being impretted; but inexperience has fully thewn, that this defcription of men are the beft, and frequently the only thorough-bred feamen in the crews of the Company's Bips: thefe are men who have been ftrugiling againit thofe difeafer, in fmall veffels where furgeons are not carried, and it is very probable their principal inducernent to take any Indian voyage, is to procure medical afirtance; for otherwife few real feamen would be found in the iervice, under the prefent unequal encouragement held out to them as an inducement to engage in it.

    The cuftom of preffing the men out of the thips in India in time of war, is an evil of great magnitude, and which has frequently engaged the attention of the Court, but as nothing can be done on this fubject without the concurrence of Government, it will not be neceflary to offer any obfervations upon it, beyond the propricty of the Company's fhips being fully and completely manned upon leaving England, fo as to meet every catualty which may arife in the courfe of the voyage.

    It is chiefly on thefe grounds, that it is propofed to divide the crews into claffes; each clafs to receive a different pay: this would be an inducement, it is prefamed, for the beft of reamen to enter cheerfully.

    The diftribution of Claffes, it is propofed, thould be under the following heads; viz.
    ift Clafs.-To include captain, officers, midhipmen, principal petty offeers, their fervants, \&c. Total in number - - - 33 .

    2d Clafs.-Tradefmen, which it is of the firf confequence to the owners fhould be the beft that can be engaged; and as circumflances vary very much with refpect to the facility of procuring men of this defription, it is not propofed to make any alteration in the prefent cuftom adopted by the owners of allowing indulgence money, as it is called, to the families of thofe perfons, or to reftrain the owners from making fuch agreements with them, as circumftances may require - $\quad$ - $\quad$ - $\quad$ -
    

    Formerly the very beft men filled thefe ftations, but of late gears, this moft ufeful defcription of perfons have almoft difappeared. This feceffion from the fervice may bs raced gradually fince the anniailation of the contraband trade; as the indulgence formerly allowed them of bringing a few articles from India or China, as a reward for their general good behaviour, attached them to the fervice.

    4th Clafs.-Forecaftle Men
    Thefe thould be prime feamen at advanced wages. Juftice and honefy demand this -arrangement, as all the perfect feaman's duty in an Indiaman is done by thefe people through the whole voyage, and who, from having no more wages than the idle fellow who impofes upon the Gip as a feaman, (to which he has no claim) gets difgufted with the fervice, and out of humour with themfelves and officers.

    $$
    5 \text { th Clafs.-Seamen }- \text { - }
    $$

    This clafs may be continued at the feamen's ufual pay, and thould confint of the common sun of men that may be procurable at the time the lhips are fitting out.

    > 6th Clafs.-Ordinary Seamen

    This clafs it is conceived would be eagerly filled by young men jut out of their time in the coal trade, or healthy landfmen, at 30 . per manth.

    Upon the foregoing plan, the following is the fcale of Wages propofed for each Clafs; viz. 1 if \& 2 d . Claffes at various rates, as at prefent.
    
    N.B.-To complete the above arrangement, the 3 d and 4th claffes fould be protected by Ad of Parliament, as the 5 th and 6uth would fupply his majefty's ©hips with numbers and quality to anfwer their purpofes; and another crew made froun the native feamen in India, which, added to the $3^{d}$ and 4 th claftes, would not leare the Indiamen in the diftrefled fituation they have formerly experienced.
    (72.)

    The following comparative Statement is added, to thew the difference in the expence betrween the prefent plan, and that now propofed for each defcription of Thips.

    Difference of the Expenfe per month between the prefent Wages, and thofe propoled by the above plan; fuppofing the Heace Wages for Seamen $35^{\circ}$. per month.

    | Prefent Wages per Month. | Propofed Do. | No. ' $\quad$Tons. <br> 800. | No. $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Tons. } \\ \mathbf{1}, 200 .\end{array}$ | Na. $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Tons. } \\ 1,400 .\end{gathered}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | nft and 2 d Clafs | - - | (41.) no increafe. | (41.) no increafe. | (410) no increafe, |
    | ad Class - 40\%. - | 50s. | (10.) $\mathscr{E}_{5}$ | (14.) E\% 7 | (14.) £ 7 \% |
    | -4th Clafs - 35 \% - | 42 s. | (14.) E4. $18 \%$. |  | (20.) $\mathscr{E}_{7} 7$ |
    | $55^{\text {th Clafs }}$ - 35 so | 35 s. | (20.) no increafe. | (30.) no increafe. | (40.) no increafe. |
    | 6th Clars - 35 s. | 30.5 | $\begin{aligned} & (85 .) \not E_{9} 18.5 . \\ & (20 .) \not £_{5} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (103 .) £ 13.6 s . \\ & (32 .) £ 8 . \end{aligned}$ | (115.) 2140 <br> (40.) \&80. |
    |  |  | (105.) E4. 188. | (135.) £5. 68. | (155.) £ $£_{4}$ |

    Suppoling the vayage of each hip to be 16 months, the total expenfe will be For thips of 800 Tons - $\mathfrak{E}_{78} 8$ - - or per ton 2 s .
    

    To the joint Committee of Warehoufes and Shipping. Gentlemen,
    I have very attentively confidered the plan that has been fubmitted by an honourable Director for the better manning of the Company's flips, and I cannot help thinking, that a confiderable degree of thanks are due for the attention and affiduity which feem to have marked the exertions of that honourable Director, on a fabject which demands fo ferioully Tome fubitantial aid, in endeavoaring to fecure the flips and property of the Company againf capture, or fach other melancholy events as have been lately brought before you: With this impreffion on my mind, I am therefore of opinion, that the plan of the honoursble Director' fhould be laid before the Admiralty; and I hope it will have the defired effect which the honourable DireCtor anticipates and wifhes, though without an Act of Parliament, $I$ am inclined to doubt it ; for if the power which is now poffeffed, be not fufficient to protect the shree claffes of men into which your hips are now divided, we may naturally believe it will not be able to protect them when divided into fix clafles, according to the propofed plan; for it is evident, that without an A\&t, it muft, like any other plan, be equally fubject to the eventual regulation of the Admiralty, and to the control of the commanders of His Majefty's navy.

    In laying this plan before the Lords of the Admiralty, for their approbation and fupport; and in order to make it more fatisfatory to any profeffional gentleman of the committee, who may be inclined to oppofe it, I fubmit to the Committee, and more particularly to the honourable Director himifelf, if it would not be better to extract only the fubitance of the plan iffelf for the approbation of the Admiralty, leaving out the argument on which the honourable Director has enforced the necefity of it, for the information of the honourable Court, as well as the Committee; urging it to the Admiralty only on the fubftantial plea of real necelfity,
    It will alfo naturally occur to the Committee, that the propofed plan cannot be effeced at any rate under a confiderable length of time; and many circumftances of lofs or capture may, in the interval, apply to the fleet of the prefent feafon, about to be difpatched In order then, to meet this important point, I fhould propofe that a full and particular extract of the reprefentations made by the different governments in India, on the fubjea of. feamen being prefled from the Company's flipping, with the diffreffes arifing in confequence, including the delays and loffes, as well as a full and particular narrative given by the different Commanders, of their incompetency to manage their ghips from fuch caufes, be tranfmitted
    tranfmitted to the Lords of the Admiralty; amiongft which will alfo appear of courfe, the proceedings of Rear Admiral Drury, and Commanders of the Navy acting in oppofition to the fort of plan now propofed by the Admiral himfelf; and if, under all thefe circumitances, their Lordhips can be induced to believe they can, with all their high confituted authority, fanction any regulation that will be efficient and effectual to meet the difficulties at the prefent time, that it be immediately adopted. To which, I would alfo fubmit, a calculation of increafed expenfe, made out by the proper officer of this houfe; in the adoption of the plan; and 1 muft alfo beg the confideration of the Committee, whether the managing owners of the refpective fhips thould not be confulted on the occafion as to the expenfe, unlefs it is to be defrayed folely by the Company.

    If, therefore, the plan offered by the Rear Admiral be in the interim accepted, it will not only afford the Committee the means of proving from experience, if under all the circumftances the Lords Commifioners really have an effelual power for its unequivocal protection without an AQ of Parliament; and if that be the cafe, it will alfo allow, in the event of not being able to obtain the $A C 7$, the plan of the honourable Directors to be matured, acted upon, and fupported by the fame effectual authority of the Lords Commiffioners themfelves. With thefe conliderations,

    I am, Gentlemen,<br>Your obedient humble fervant, (Signed) ROBERT WILLIAMS.

    EXTRACT\$ from Joquals, correfonding with Tracts laid down on a Chart, to thew the Winds and Weany paffed the Meridian of the Mauritiva; it
    
    the Ships named have experienced in paffing the Matritsts; and likewife the computed difance each Ship their Latitudes and Longitudes at thofe times.

    | Ditance. | CONVOY, or not. | remarks of wind and weather. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Miles. } \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | No Conroy - - | In lat. 20. 88. long. 71.6. variable winds and unfettled weather; latterly, wind eafteriy. In lat. 24. 26. long. 58. 12. a fref breeze throughout, from the S E.; mollly clear weather. |
    | 245 | Convoy H. M.S. Heroine - | In lat. 20. 32. long. 66. 52. pleafant trade and fair weather. In lat. 24.35. long. 57.5 I . fift part moderate; middle and latter, frefh breezes at SE. Cloudy weather. |
    | 506 | No Conroy - - | In lat. 2 r. 13. long. 84. 1 I. fine breezer, and fair weather; the wind at NE. In lat. 25.26. lorg. 56. 56. light winds; variably latterly, increaing to a fine breeze; cloudy weather; wind N. by E. |
    | 346 | No Conroy | In lat. 20. 29. long. 68. 16. a light trade throughout, and fair weather. In lat. 26. 16. long. 53. 14. frefh gales to the SE. and fqually weather. |
    | 212 | Convoy H. M. S. Brave | In lat. 21. 12. long. 69. 40. pleafant trade, and fair weather. In lat. 24, 2. long. 56. 48. frefh trades, and cloudy weather. |
    | 430 | No Convoy - | In lat. 20. 34. long 68.49. the firft and middle parts, heavy gales tothe SR: with heavy fqualls, labouring much; at noon moderate and fair. In lat. 27.40. long. 57.7. firft and middle parts, moderate breezes to the NE. and fair weather ; latterly fqually, with heavy rain. |
    | 340 | No Convoy | In las 21. 1. long. 75.38. freih trade throughout, and fair weather. In lat. 26. 10. long. 57. 12. firt and middle parts, light, rariable; and latter, moderate breeze and fair weather. |
    | 240 | Convoy H.M.S.Trident, Ade miral Rainier | In lat. 20. 24. long. 68. 24. moderate breezes, and fair weather to the eaftward. In lat. 24. 20. long. 57. 10. Arong trade to the SE. mofly cloudy weather. |
    | 239 | Convoy H.M.S. Lancalter - | In lat. 21. 15. long. 65 . 16. the firt and middle parts, frefh trade; latterly, moderate and fair weather. In lat. 24. 29. long. 56.25. frefh trade, and cloudy weather. |
    | 348 | Convoy H.M. S. Belliqueux - | In lat. 20. 8. long. 73. 40. flrong trade, and fair weather. In lat. 26. 18. long. 57. 18. moderate winds; variable, at ESE. to ENE. with hazy weather, and rain latterly. |
    | 165 | Conroy H.M.S. Monmouth - | In lat. 20. 38. long. 65. 30. pleafant breezes to the NE. In lat 23. 15. long. 57. 40. firf part, moderate breezes, and cloudy ; wind eafterly ; middle, fqualls; latter, frefh breezes and fair. |
    | 300 | Conroy H.M.S. Culloden | In lat. 20. 18. long. 73. 21. frefh trade, SE. throughout, with pleafant weather. In lat. 25,30 . long. 56.31 . moderate breeze at NE. cloudy weather, with heavy fea. |
    | 374 | Do - Do. | In lat. 20. 55. long. 75. 8. frefh trades throughout, with fqualls, and rain at times. In lat. 26. 44. long. 56. 50. firit part, a frelh breeze at NE. middle and latter, a heary gale to the NW. |

    29th December 18 ng .
    Number of SHIPS which have proceeded to India, in each Seafon, fince the Year 1796 ;-with the Number of Ships which have been Loff, Burnt, or Captured, in each Sealon.

    |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

    Number of SHIPS Burnt, Loft, or Captured, in the following Seafons.

    |  | Number of <br> Ships which <br> went out. | Burat <br> or <br> Lof. | Captured. | TOTAL. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Six Years War - from Seafon $\left\{77^{6}\right.$ to Seafon $\}$ a78 inclufive - Old Syftem | $44^{3}$ |  | 8 | 21 |
    | ©Six Years Wat - from Seafon 1792 to Seafon 1797 inclufive - Old Syltem) | 241 | 7 | 4 | 11 |
    | $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Six Years War - from Seafon } 1801 \text { to Seafon } \\ 180 \text { inclufive } \% \text { Old andNew } \\ \text { Syitem }\end{array}\right\}$ | 358 | 8 | 7 | 15 |

    ## X.

    Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping.

    Jovir, 120 $^{\circ}$ die Maii, ${ }^{1814 .}$<br>str Robert peel, baronet, in The Chair.

    ## Mr. Benjamin Cafledine called in, and examined by Mr. Harbison.

    YOU are a timber-merchant? -Yes.
    How long have you been a timber-merchant?-About four and thirty years.
    Have you been in the habit of purchafing large oak-timber for hhip-building?-

    Mr. Benjamin Cafledine. Yes.

    In what counties have you principally purchaled?-In Surrey and part of Suffex, and part of Hampihire.

    Any in Berkfhire?-Yes, and Berkthire.
    From the length of time you have been engaged in this bufinefs are you well acquainted with the counties you have enumerated, particularly the three firt ?-Yes, particularly where I travel.

    Take the firft county, and enumerate any places where there are confiderable -quantities of large oak-timber?-You mean that that is now fit to fell.

    I am now fpeaking of what is fir to fell?-The firt is Mr. Barrett's, at Brimley in Surrey; the next is Mr. Leach, of Whatley in Surrey; and John Stillwell, Efq. in the fame parifh.

    Where elfe?-Mr. Wood's at Shotwick, his eftates are in Dunsford, a great many of them ; and in Godalming he has got fome of them.

    What are the other places? -William and Henry Giles, at Godalming; Mr. Pointz, of Medhurft ; Sir Thomas Miller, at Froyle in Hants.
    Do you know Billinghurft, in Suffex ?-Yes; but very little about Billinghurf.
    Is there much timber at Petworth?-I do not know much of Petworth, to fpeak of the particular eftates; there is Sir Robert Mackworth, that is in Hampfhire; 'Squire Benyon's, that is in Hamplhire; and Sir Charles Pole, in Hamphire; there is the Purriers eftates; which all join together, very large eftates.
    Are the names you have enumerated perfons of whom you have been in the habit of buying occafionally ?-Not all of them.
    Any proportion of them?-Moft of them ; there are fome of them have not cut any timber for fome time.

    If I were to give an order for timber of a large fize fit for fhip-building, what quantity would you undertake to fupply me with, out of this fall and the next, out of your own connection ? - I think 1 can fupply five or fix thoufand loads; I buy timber of other perfons befides thofe; thiry or forty others perhaps.

    If I wanted five or fix thoufand loads of timber you could get it, fit for building large-fized veffels?-Yes, without any difficulty.

    Are you in the habit of buying occafionally of carpenters and perfons of that defription?-Yes.

    What defcription of timber do you buy of carpenters?-As I have rode along I have looked into the yards, and bave feen fometimes twenty loads, and fometimes three or four, and fometintes more; and they will fell out of the beft of the lots again.

    They will buy any quantity they may meet with, and then they pick out what they want, and fell the beft to you?-Yes; compals-imber, and fuch as I think fit for thip-building.
    The prime part of the lot, and fuch as he does not want, he will let you have? Yes

    HEAK

    Mr. What do you mean by compafs-timber?-Compaffing knees, and fuch as ill Benj. Cafledine. fit for the timbers of a fhip, crooked timber fit for the lower futtocks and lower pa of the fhip.

    Crooked pieces? - Yes; and fome prime ftraight pieces, when I fee them that think are valuable.

    When you fay you could get mefive or fix thoufand loads ifI wanted them, cout you get them at a lower price than it ftood at laft year ? - Yes, I think I could.

    Have you ever found any difficulty in the management of your bufinefs, in gettin: any quantity of large timber that was required by your cuftomers? -No , not whe I had an order to get it.

    From your experience as a timber-merchant for four-and-thirty years, is there an prefent fcarcity of good-fized timber ?-No; I do not think there is, not if the con fumption is not fo much as it was.

    Has the confumption within the laft eight or ten years been very confiderable? Yes, more fo than ever I knew it before.

    During what year was there a particular demand ?-Within thefe laft twelve years, or thereabouts.

    You mean within that twelve years the confumption has confiderably increafed?A great deal.

    During that increafed demand, have you been always able to fupply your cuf. tomers without difficulty? - Yes.

    Am I to underfland you, that if the demand was to continue as it has beeu' for the laft twelve years, that you would not be able to continue to fupply it in the home counties to that extent?-No, not if the demand was as great as it has been within that time.

    Should you be obliged to go into the counties a little more diftant, under thofe circumftances ? - Yes, certainly.

    Is there, in the counties you have been enumerating, a fucceffion of timber of different ages coming up to lupply the cutting of the large? -Yes, there is a vaft quantity indeed in Surry; altogether they have cut a great deal of the large away, and have been faving it within a few years paft; and fome gentlemen I know have planted the whole of the farms where they have been poor.

    Where they had poor farms they planted timber?-Yes, planted the whole.
    Is more care, taken of it now than was formerly..--A great deal.
    Then you do not apprehend any fearcity in future?-No, not if the demand is not fo great.
    If the demand is as great as it has been for the laft twelve years, the home counties, would not fupply that demand?-No, they would not.

    Is there coming forward in the home counties a very large quantity in fucceffion to cut?-A valt deal more than there was formerly, I think; becaufe there is avalt deal of timber from fifteen to twenty and five-and-twenty feet in the piece, round timber ; it is yery thick indeed.
    From fifteen to five-and-twenty feet round meafure in a piece ?--Yes, very great quantities.
    Is there a very large quantity of all fizes, from five-and-twenty feet down to the loweft defription, coming on in fucceffion?-Yes, from a foot up to five-and-twenty.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

    When you fay there is a quantity of large timber in the home counties, to what fized timber do you refer principally ?-From forty to fixty and eighty feet.

    How foon will the timber which you talk of, as being from fifteen to twenty and twenty-five feet, round meafure, become large timber for the purpofes of fhip-building?-That depends a great deal upon the foil of the country; it grows much. fafter in fome parts than others; I have known an inftance of a tree being only 67 years, that hewed two load and fix feet, that is 106 feet; I bought it myfelf.

    You mean to defcribe that as a very rare inftance?-Yes, I know a copfe near. that place that feveral people in the parifh have been ploughing and fowing with corn; the timber was cut down four or five years ago, and moft of it fine plank timber for fhip-building.

    Generally fpeaking, have you obferved enough of the timber which you defcribe as from fifteen to twenty-five feet, to lay how foon the greater quantity of it will be fit
    for thip-building as large simber? - Upon a general average, I fhould think it would take eighty years, taking it generally.

    Mr.
    Benj. Cafledine.

    You mean it would take abour eighty years from this time? - Yes; I mean taking the bad and good timber together, becaufe fome will come fooner, of courfe I mean from the firlt beginning of its growth.
    That is, that it will be about fixty years from this time before the greater quantity of it comes into ufe as large timber?-Some of it will come into ufe in fixty years as plank, and fo forth.
    And fome will not be ready fo foon? - No, it will not.
    Therefore, I underftand you to lay, that if the demand for large timber continues in the intermediate time as great as it has been, there will not be a fufficient fupply of timber in thofe counties you have enumerated to meet that demand? - Not if it continues as great as it has been for the laft twelve years.

    You cannot fpeak accurately to how many loads a year, upon the average, have bcen fupplied by thofe counties within the laft twelve years? - No, I have bought two thoufand loads in a year.
    When you flate, that if the demand continues, the fupply will not be able to meet it in thofe counties, you judge from your obfervation of the quantity that has been cut of late years, compared with the quantity yet left fanding? - Yes.
    You fate that you have found no difficulty in providing fuch quantities of timber as have been ordered? - No.
    You have been obliged to pay finariv for it fometimes? - Not more than the market-price.
    But the market-prices, in confequence of the great demand, have gone on increafing? - Yes, certainly.
    Can you flate, in a rough way, hovr much the price of timber, to the grower, has increafed within the laft twelve years? - I think what was eight then would coft twelve now.
    I believe it had increafed at that time confiderably from what it had been about eight or ten years before that? - Oh , yes; ten or fifteen years before that it was not above 4l. a load.
    As I collect from your two laft anfwers, the price of timber to the grower has within the laft twenty-five years trebled itfelf? - Yes.
    Have you known infances in which a woodland has been converted into tillage? -No, I have not.

    You never knew an inflance of that? - Not that I recolled; without it has been a pleafure-ground grubbed up.
    I hould like to hear from you, whether, fuppofing the price of timber to continue fationary, it is more profitable to a grower of timber to cut down his tree when it is forty feet, or to let it fand till it is fixty? - It certainly would be to the profit of the gentleman to cut one down and leave the other to grow.
    I mean to alk, fuppofing you had a tree of forty feet, and you knew that the price of timber would remain the fame for the next twenty years, would not it be more to your profit to cut that tree down now than to let it fland? - No; if it was in a growing flate I fhould not think it would, becaufe it would grow fo much fafter.
    In what time, upon an average good foil, would a tree grow from forty feet to fixty? - I think it would grow a foot and a half a year; fome more and fome lefs; in fome places it would grow two feet.

    Do you mean to flate that as the ufual growth of timber? - Where it grows well.
    Do you fpeak of a very good foil, or an ordinary good foil? - I fpeak on an averàge ; where it is bad foil perhaps it would not grow above a foot.

    And your average is a foot and a half? - Yes.
    Would it take about fourteen or fifteen years for a tree to grow from forty feet to fixty, on an average good foil? -I think it would grow a foot and a half annually.

    What would be the price of a tree forty feet round meafure, at the prefent price of timber? - The tree would be worth four-fifths of $12 l$.

    Now take a tree of fixty feet; - That would be a load and one fifth, that is ten feet over.

    And the value of that tree would be what? - About 14 l .8 s .
    Does that include the value of the tops? --Yes; when I am fpeaking of round timber flanding, I include the whole.
    ( $\quad$ 2.)
    4 E
    Then

    Mrt Then I underftand from your calculation, that the tree increales in value about Beig. Gafledine. fifty per cent. in growing from forty to fixty feet? - Yes, I hould think is would increafe; certainly, I mean, according to the growth of it.

    Then would it be profitable to the grower to let his tree grow thirteen or fourteen years longer, when it increafes in value only fifty per cent. ; in that time a fum of money will doable itfelf? I I do not know how the money might pay; if the price flozuld remain as it is at prefent it would be profitable ta let it fland.

    Might it not be profitable to a grower to cut down his tree at forty-feet, and plant another which would come to fome value in thirteen or fourteen years? - Not if it was a good growing tree; I do not think it would, unlefs it was to let others up, becaufe it would grow fafter after it gets to forty years than a young tree would.

    What would be the value of a tree of thirteen or fourteen years old ? - I fuppefe it might be, perhaps, three foot in fome good foils.

    What would be the worth of it on an average? - We cut them down to get them for fookes.

    What would it be worth? - It might be worth four or five fhillings; it is fuff that does not pay much money; then after that time it begins to increafe in fize, and they cut them down to thin the copfes.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    In thirteen years it will grow pretty thick? - Yes, it will grow fafter from that to twenty years, and then it will grow fafter ftill.

    Then you would gain thirteen years towards having your tree forty feet again? - Yes.

    You faid that you thought that there would be a fcarcity, if the confumption continued as it has been during the laft twelve years?-Yes, if there was as great a demand for thip-building.

    You fpeak as to the counties with which you are acquainted? - Yes.
    You would be obliged to take a larger range ? - Yes.
    Do you know any thing of thofe other counties to which you would then refort? - Very little; I have been through Berkfhire and other parts, but not to inquire.

    Then you do not know how that wider range would anfwer your purpofe? No.

    You have faid, that if you had an order for 6,000 loads you could fupply it? -Yes.

    What was the Jargeft order you have ever had? -Two thoufand loads in a year,

    What is the largeft order? -I have never had an order for the quantiry; I was to buy what I could, and Meffrs. Brents were to take what I could buy, and they have had 1,500 loads in a year. About five years ago; when there was fo much building, I was obliged to buy frall timber with the large.

    In order to fupply that order?- Yes, to fupply that order, becaufe I bought of carpenters and timber-merchants, and different people.

    In your purchafes of timber, what proportion of it has been large, fuch as would come to fixty-feet metings, and what under forty feet? - That might be according to the timber-merchant I bought it of; perhaps fometimes it was three parts large and one part Imall; fometimes half as large; and the other is fmall, and fpokes; I am obliged to take the whole off the timber-merchants hands.

    Off the growers hands? - No, not then.
    You do not purchafe from the growers? - Yes, we do.
    Then how do you buy of them? - As they fet it out; fometimes it is all large, and fometimes not half.

    You have always bought on fpeculation, and not on order? -No, not on order ; I have ferved Mr. Brent's people with a large quantity of timber, and when they have had fhips to build, and wanted timber, I have gone out and bought timber for them.

    But you mever boüght on fpeculation as far as fix thouland loads?-Nò $\boldsymbol{i}$ I fhould never purchafe on feculation as far as fix thoufand loads.

    ## Mr. Major Bull Called in; and Examined by Mr. Harrison.

    Mr. Are you land-feward to the Earl of Carnarvon? - Yes.

    Has your fituation lied you to know. the flate of the timber in the part of the country in which his lordfhip has eftates, and other confiderable proprietors? - Yes. In what part of the country does his lordhhip's effates principally lie? - In Hampfhire and Berkhire ; that is the neighbourhood. I am to fpeak of.

    In Wilthire he has timber? - There is moflly elm on his eftates in Wilthire.
    The timber upon which I wih to get your information is oak-timber, and of a fize fit for fhip-building purpofes; can you fpecify to the Committee, upon Lord Carnarvon's eftate, any quantity of oak-timber of that defcription? - Yes.

    And whereabout that lies? - In the parilh of Highclere and Burghclere, there are from ten to twelve thourand load.
    Of timber of a fize fit to cut now? - Juft fo.
    Is that timber growing in woods? - A great deal of it in woods, and a great deal in hedge.rows.

    That is what you would call a timbered eftate? - Juft fo.
    Will you name any other eftate in Hampfhire on which there is any confiderable quantity? - There is the parifl of Sedmonton, Kingfcleare, and Echenfwell, belonging to Sir Robert Kingfaill and others.

    What quantity would you fay there is in that neighbourhood? - From feven to eight thoufand loads.
    Of timber of the fame defcription ? - Of the fame defcription.
    Committee.]-Do you fpeak from actual obfervation? - I fpeak from the knowledge I have obtained in riding through the country; to the beft of my opinion there muft be that quantity.
    Mr. Harrifon.] - You have not actually made a meafurement of it to ftate exadly the quantity? - No.
    But in your judgment and experience, from having the care of Lord Carnavon's eftates, are you able to flate, with fome fort of general certainty, that there is that quantity ? -1 am .

    Have you been in the habit of felling in thofe parifhes? - No.
    Have you been in the habit of felling, or have you had timber to fell elfewhere? - No, I have not.

    Committee.] - Have you examined the eftates with a view to the quantity of timber particularly? - In riding generally I have examined and feen the timber.
    You have the care of thofe eftates, the Committee underttand? - Yes, I have.
    Have you ever looked at the eftates with a view to afcertain the quantity of timber there might be on them, if it was wifhed to cut it? - Yes, I have repeatedly done that on the eftates of Lord Carnarvon, but not on thofe laft eftates I have mentioned.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Have you, as Lord Carnarvon's fteward, been in the habits, fince you have held that fituation, of looking at his woods with a view to afcertain what quantity of timber of that defcription he has to cut, when he wilhes to cut it? -1 have.

    Have you gone through his woods with fome accuracy for that purpofe? - Yes. Do you underftand the meafurement of timber? - Yes; I fell his lordfhip's timber, and have done for thefe ten years.

    Then you know, by looking at timber, from the general judgment you have of the thing, what its value is? -I do.

    With that knowledge, having fold his lordhip's timber, and looking through his woods to afcertain what quantity of timber he has to fell, you flate that in your judgment he has that quantity? -I do.
    Do you think that in ftating that, you are under the mark? - Yes, I do, confiderably.
    You have flated that there are in certain parilhes the woods of Sir Robert Kingfmill, and others? - Yes.
    In what way do you know thofe woods? - By repeatedly riding through them.
    Are they in the neighbourhood of where your bufinefs leads you? - Juft fo, they adjoin the parilh.
    In giving the quantity you have, of feven or eight thoufand loads, do you think you are feeaking within compafs? - I am fure I am.

    Will you enumerate any other eftates in that neighbourhood with which you are acquainted? - There is the parifh of Wafing and Aldermafton, that belongs chiefly

    Mr. to Mr. Mount, and Mr. Congreve and others; and I fuppofe there are from four to
    five thoufand loads there.
    In the fame neighbourhood, in adjoining parifhes? - Yes.
    Will you enumerate other parifhes? - Inglefield, Beenham and Bradfield, belong- . ing to Mr. Benyon and others.

    What quantity would you ftate there? --From four to five thoufand loads.
    Will you go on enumerating other eftates with which you are acquainted in the fame way? - Bucklebury and Donnington, from fixteen to eighteen thoufand loads.

    Whofe properties are thofe? - Chiefly Mr. Hartley's, Crookham, and Brimpton.
    Who do thofe principally belong to ? - Lord Seliey, and others.
    Are thofe alfo in the fame neighbourhood? - Yes.
    What quaritity would you put, in the fame way, on thofe? - From one to two thoufand loads.

    Will you enumerate fome others ? - Landleford, Woodfpeen, and Ham.
    Who do thufe principally belong to? - Mr. Montague and others.
    What quantity would you flate there? - From two to three thoufand loads.
    Have Sir Charles Pole and Sir Robert Mackreath any properties in this neighbourhood ?. - In Ewhurft and the adjacent parifhes.

    Are thofe on the borders of Hamphire too? - Yes, they adjoin the parifhes I have before mentioned.

    What quantity would you flate there was in thole parithes? - From five to fix thoufand loads.

    Do you know any of Lord Craven's eftates? - Yes, I do.
    Whereabouts are part of thote in that neizhbourhood ? - At Hampitead.
    Has he any property at Woodhay? - Nó, Woodhay chielly belongs to others; he has fome there.

    But in Hampftead and Woodhay, belonging to Lord Craven and others, what quantity of timber would you ftate there is? - From three to four thoufand loads.

    Are you, in the fame general way, acquainted with Marlborough foreft? - I am acquainted with a good deal of the foreft.

    - To whom does that belong ? - Chiefly to Lord Aylefbury.

    Is that a wooded foreft ? - Very much fo.
    Is there very fine timber upon that foreft? - Very fine.
    Of a large defcription? - Yes, very large.
    Are you able to fay, in the fame general way, from the acquaintance you havewith that foreft, what quantity might be cut out of that foreft, of the timber we have been fpeaking of? - I have had a great deal of converfation with a perfon better acquainted with the foreft than nyyfelf, and he calculated there was thirty or fort ${ }^{+}$ thoufand loads.

    How near do you live to Marlborough foreft? - About twelve miles.
    Are you in the habit frequently of feeing it, and riding through it? - Yes.
    So as to form a general judgment, at leaft, of the nature and defcription of the timber in it? - Yes.

    Of what extent is the foreft? - I cannot fay to a mile or two; but it is very extenifive.

    - Give the Committee fome general ided of its extent? - I thould think it muft be three or four miles fquare.

    Is it well covered with timber? - Very well covered with timber:
    Is there upon that a large quantity, or not, of timber of the fize that you have been fpeaking of ? - Yes.

    Is it thickly covered with timber of that defcription ? - Yes, it is.
    Is it a natural growth of timber, or planted? - Natural growth.
    Are you able, from your knowledge of that foreft, to give any idea of the quantity; or do you know that only by information; and by converfing with thofe who have more accurate knowledge of it ? - I had rather not fpeak as to that.

    You do not think you are in a condition to fate what quantity there is in that forelt ? - No.

    Is the greater part of the wood of which you have been fpeaking; natural growth of oak, or is it planted ? - Natural growth.

    Is there, in addition to the large timber which you have been defcribing on thole, eftates, a fucceffion of timber, that would, if you were to cut the large timber out and thin it, come progreffively into a ftate fit for cutting? - Yes.

    Then I am to undertand that it is a wooded country, containing timber of all ages? - Yes.

    From that which is arrived at a fate of maturity down to timber of a young defcription?-Yes.

    Within what reach of carriage is any confiderable proportion of this timber? The whole of the timber is within feven miles of the canals, the Kennett and the Kennett and Avon.

    Are the woods you have been defcribing, in a flate in which you would fay, as a land.fleward, the timber ought to be felled out of them with a view to their improvement, as well as to make ufe of the timber?-Yes.

    Committee.]-Are you fpeaking of Lord Carnarvon's wood now ? - No, I am fpeaking generally.

    Mr. Harrifon.] - Have any confiderable quantities of wood been fold in that part of the country lately or not? - No, not very confiderable.

    Has Lord Carnarvon fuld any large quantities lately, or only fmall quantities in the neighbourhood? - Not very large quantities.

    Has Lord Carnarvon made any general felling of timber of late years? - Two years ago he cut about three thoufand pounds worth for two years running.

    Is he cutting any this year? - I cut about forty fticks, that is all; exadly forty.
    Had you any reafon for not cutting more; was it the price going down? - No, it was not convenient, becaufe my underwood was ftanding.
    It was nor convenient, with reference to the general management of the wood? No.

    Then could you not cut the large timber without injury to the underwood under-neath?-No.

    And that underwood was not forward enough to be cut? - It was not.
    [Cro/s-examined by Mr. Spankie.]
    Has Lord Carnarvon made any confiderable fall, befides that you have mentioned, within the laft two or three years ? - Yes, we have cut annually for repairs.

    But not for fale? - Perhaps we have fold 1001 . worth.
    Per annum? - Yes; having more than wę wanted.
    Have you known any large falls of timber in thofe counties, within your own obfervation, within the laft twelve years? - Yes, I have feen timber down in the country, as I have gone through it, but I do not know to what extent.

    But wih the fane means of obfervation as you had of woods growing, have you feen a confiderable quancity of woods felled or felling ? - Not very confiderable.

    Do you think, with thofe means of obfervation that you have had, there is now the fame quantity of large timber ftanding as was eight, ten, or twelve years ago? - I caunot fay.

    Can you form any judgment on the fubject? - No.
    I take for granted, that as having the fuperintendance of that part of Lord Carnarvon's property, you look to what trees are felled, as well as what are growing, as a means of enabling you to judge of the value? - Yes, if I do fee them; but I do not know that I am informed of all that are cut in the neighbourhood.

    Can you fay generally, whether the country has been cleared of large timber within the laft twelve years, or has the quantity of large timber increafed within the laft twelve years, on a general view? - I fhould think it has increaled.

    There has been a large demand, and an increafing price for timber during the laft twelve years? - Yes, the price has increafed.

    I need hardly afk, if that is an inducement to fell? -No.
    You think it not an inducement to fell? - You faid, you need hardly afk it; I Should think it was an inducement to fell.

    You have fpoken generally of timber you have feen, of what age may that timber be, generally fpeaking? -It is very large timber, and very fine.
    Fit for the largeft purpofes of fhip-bulding? -I thould think it was.
    And does Lord Carnarvon's woods confitt of that fpecies chielly ? - Yes.
    Conmittee.]-What do you mean by large? - I mean from one load to three loads of timber.

    In a tree ? - Yes: but 1 do not mean that my calculation is upon trees from one load to three.

    Mr. Spankie.] - How low do you go down in your calculation? - Twenty-fire feet.
    (72.)
    4 F
    Committee.]

    Mr. Committee.]-In giving your calculations, you g̀o down as low as twenty-fivls feet? - Yes.

    Mr. Spankie.] - Can you fay what proportion of the whole growing timber, conj fifts of timber from twenty-five to fifty feet?-I cannot exactly fay, but I thoulitr not think above a fifth.

    So that, in thofe cafes, the timber above ffty feet forms four-fifths of the whole - Yes.

    Committee.]-Of the whole quantity of timber? - Yes.
    Mr. Spankie.] -Diftinct from the money value ? - I have nat put any value oyi them:
    You fpeak of the number of trees? - No, 1 fpeak of the number of loads. 1
    Then you fay, that the great timber in all thofe places of which you have been fpeaking conftitutes four-fifths of the whole? - 1 fhould think fo.

    Are thofe four-fifths timber that continues to grow, or that has done growing ? There is a great deal of it done growing.

    You have ftated, that you only made thefe eftimates from a general view; that you have not examined the woods to eftimate them exacly? - Yes.

    Are you acquainted with the fate of timber generally in Hamplhire, befides thofe? parilhes you have mentioned ? - No, I am not.

    Do you know, of your own perfonal knowledge, of fome very large falls in the: Montague eftate? - No.

    Or of any other great falls? - No.
    Then your range of riding has been principally confined to your own neighbour-'. hood? - Yes.

    And in thofe parts the quantity cut has not been confiderable? -No, it has not.
    I think you ftated, however, that it was about 10,000 loads a-year? - Yes.
    Do you think, fpeaking of thefe woods within your own immediate obfervation, that 10,000 loads a-year could be cut, and a fucceffion kept up? - Yes, I fhould think fo.

    Committee.] You mean in perpetuity ? - In perpetuity.
    Mr. Spankie.] Can you, as a perfon acquainted with the interefts of your employer, tell us at what growth. or what quantity of timber, it is mof beneficial for a landlord to fell his tree; for inftance, if a tree is of forty feet growth, is it beneficial for a gentleman to let his tree, at the prefent prices, fland till it becomes a tree of fixty feet? - I cannot exactly fay that.

    Did you ever turn your attention to that, as connected with the intereft of your employer? - No , I never did.

    Then, in determining to cut down timber, do you only confider whether it has done, growing, or whether it would be profitable to cut it down, whether it has done growing or not?-I have generally cut, when it has been done growing.

    And you have never confidered any other fubject? - No.
    Do you happen to know whether any confiderable proportion of what has been felled in thele counties pas come to the London market?-No, I do not.

    What is the market, according to your own perfonal knowledge and obfervation, which chiefly takes off the timber of your counties? - I do not know.

    Do you know any thing of what becomes of thofe 10,000 loads a-year of which you fpoke; whether it goes to the Thames or to Portfmouth ? - No, I do not.

    Committee.]-Who has Lord Carnarvon generally fold to ? - Mr. Smith,
    A timber-merchant? - Yes.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Harrijon.]

    You have faid, that of the quantities you have given us in loads, a very large proportion is timber above a certain fize? - Yes.

    Did I underftand you to fay, that a confiderable proportion of that was timber that was done growing, and might have been cut a good many years ago, at different periods? - Yes.

    Is that the cafe pretty generally throughout the diftrict you have been de-. fcribing? - Yes.

    And it is from that caule, I fuppofe, that a large proportion of the quantity you, have given us is timber of a very large fize? - Yes.

    Is that alfo the cafe in Marlborough foreft? -Yes, and at Mr. Hartley's at Bucklebury.

    Mr . Major Bull.

    I believe in that foreft there is a great deal fo old it is gone by ? - A great deal of it .

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    You have ftated that a great deal of this timber is fit to cut, and has been fit to cut for a confiderable number of years-how long will timber ftand fit to cut without growing worfe? - I cannot fay.

    Believing thofe to have ftood a number of years in a flate fit to cut, if there was a peace for twenty years, do you think at the end of that time it would be fit for thip-building? - Yes; I do not think it would injure much in twenty years.

    From the fate in which you know it to be in at this moment? -Juft fo.
    How many years do you think it would be before it was injured ? - I am not able to judge.

    - If it has ftood a number of years, from what do you judge it will not get worle in twenty years longer? - I cannot fay.

    Have you ever been in the habit of valuing timber at all? - Yes, I have.
    From your general riew of the flate of Mariborough foreft, fhould you, at a rough calculation, value the timber in it at fix hundred thoufand pounds? - Yes, I hould.
    It is all the property of Lord Aylefbury ? - I cannot exactly fay ; but I fuppofe the inajor part of it is.
    Does your eftimate include ornamental timber? - No, I have left that out.
    Entirely ? - Yes.
    If the Committee underfand you rightly, you mean to ftate, that a very large' proportion of the timber of this part of the country has been fuffered to ftand for years fince it has come to full growth by the owner? - Yes.

    A very large proportion? - Yes.
    Notwithftanding the late market-prices? - Yes.
    Not being ornamental timber? - Juft fo.
    You have faid that ten thoufand loads a year are cut down; is not that a great proportion of the timber? - I have formed that opinion from inquiry more than from my own knowledge.

    In how many years do you fuppofe the quantity you have enumerated would be cut down, at the rate of ten thoufand loads a year? -Perhaps in ten years.

    And yet you think there has not been much cut thefe laft twelve years? - Not clofe to my neighbourhood, there has not; but I cannot fpeak as to ten thoufand loads of oak being cut. I have made a miftake in my anfwer as to the quantity cut; my memorandum ftates three thoufand loads to have been cut inftead of ten thoufand.

    If thofe woods confift of large trees there can hardly be a fucceffion in them? Yes, there is a very large fucceffion.

    What fize are thofe trees which you confider now to be in fucceffion? - From fix or feven feet to twenty-five.

    In how many years do you fuppofe thofe trees would arrive at fifty feet?-I thould think five-and-twenty years.

    Have you any experience in the growth of timber? - I have made a little obfervation.

    Have you ever tried any trees to obferve liow they did grow? - Yes, but I have not my book in my pocker, and therefore I cannot recollect it; I was not aware of this, or I could furnifh it.

    You bave not an idea of the general refults of thofe meafurements?- No.
    You could not fay, upon an average, how long a tree was growing from forty to fixty feet? - No.

    You, of coourfe, as a land-fteward, take into calculation the profits of improving land in agriculture or planting? - No, I have not; Lord Carnarvon generally manages thofe things himfelf.

    If you twere to take that into calculation, you would calculate the expenfe of the planting, and the time it would take for the trees to come to perfection? Yes, certainly.

    And againft that you would fet the rent of the land? - Yes.
    And you would allow compound intereft, I fuppofe, in the rent ? - Yes, I would allow intereft for money.

    And of courfe compound intereft ? - Yes.

    ## Mr. Jacob Read Called in; and Examined by Mr. Adolphus.

    Mr. Jaiob Read.

    You are a timber-merchant at Limehoule? -Yes.
    How long have you carried on that bufinefs? - About thirty years.
    In what counties have you particularly dealt within that time?- Within the laft feven years feveral of the different counties in Wales, Herefordhhire, Worcefterhire, and Gloucefterfhire,

    Name the counties in Wales?-Glamorgan, Brecknock, Monmouth, and Radnor.

    Have you allo felled in Warwickhire? - And Warwickhire.
    What defcription of timber have you generally dealt for in thofe counties? Timber in a general way, but principally large.

    Oak-timber? - Oak timber I am fpeaking of.
    Have you principally dealt for that fort of timber which is adapted to the building of large fhips?- Exactly.

    Have you ever vifited thofe counties in the courfe of your dealings? - Frequently.
    Have you made oblervation upon the ftate of timber there? - I have.
    Is there in thofe counties a confiderable quantity of large timber fit for the purpofes you generally deal for? - I think in the counties I have named, taken collectively, you may calculate each county will produce fufficient for a feventy-foura gun hip, that is, one county with another.

    In the eight counties you have named, you could collect eight feventy-four-gun fhips? - That is my opinion.

    Would that exhauft the timber, or would there be other timber in fucceffion coming forward? - Timber in fucceffion of courfe.

    Suppofe you took away the whole of that quantity of timber at one fall, how foon do you imagine you could take large timber again? - Fifty years.

    Do you mean, that by taking away the quantity you have defcribed, you would make the counties fo naked it would be fifty years before you could take large timber again? - For feventy-fours.

    You fpeak of the larget kind of timber when you fpeak of that which is fit for the building of feventy-fours? - There are fome timbers that are larger than thofe ufed for feventy-fours; I confine myfelf to thole ufed in building feventy-fours.

    You fpeak of taking away large timber to build feventy-four-gun fhips? - Yes.
    If you took away that, what would be the age of timber ycu left to come in in fucceffion? - Thirty or forty years.

    You mean then, that to ger this quantity of timber, you would take away all the timber above thirty or forty years old? - Yes: I think that would be the cafe.
    Is there any timber flanding in thofe counties between the ages when it is fit for feventy-four-gun fhips, which is taken to be about ninety years and forty years? I expect there is.

    But in order to get the quantity of timber you require for eight feventy-four-gun thips, thould you take away all that timber, and leave none but what is forty years or under? - No, not all the timber, or how could we leave any between forty and that which is fit for feventy fours.

    Then if you collected as much timber out of each county as is fit for one 74, fhould you fill leave fanding timber coming forward in fucceflion fo as to be fit for building other Thips? - Yes, large thips, Eat India hips, hhips of 1,200 tons.

    Would that timber be coming forward in another fucceffion, fo as to form a fupply fur the market? - Certainly, to contribute.

    To contribute in a fair proportion to the extent of the woods? - As is generally the cafe, I fhould think, taken upon a fair fcale

    Of what growth do you reckon the timber to be which is fit for the building of hips of 1,200 tons? - From 90 to 1 co years; the foil makes a material difference in the growh of timber; the tithber fit for feventy-fours mult be, upon an average, 100 years; from 90 to 100 .

    In your dealings with thofe counties, do you find fufficient facilities in bringing. your timber to market? - As much as can be expected, being at fuch a diftance, calling this the market ; there are canals leading to the Severn, and into the Wye.. $\because=$
    Have you, in the courfe of the 30 years you have been a timber-merchant, ever known any difficulty in finding fupplies of large timber fit for fhip building ?-Never, : quite the reverfe.
    Have you ever knowin, by yourfelf or by any other perfon, a contract declined from.
    a fear of being unable to fupply the timber? - I never knew fuch an inflance, but quite the reverfe; I have had abundance offered to me.

    Have you abundance offered to you at this time? - In the year 1813.
    Of large fizes? - Of fizes fir for large fhips, particularly fhips of 1,200 tons and upwards, but owing to the flate of the River it could not be fold. I have two extracts of letters here which I could refer to.

    Are the prefent prices of timber as high as they have been for fome late years? - No.

    What is the caufe of the decline in the price? - No confumption.
    Have you any reafon ta believe there is any fcarcity of large timber at home?-I have not; I do not really believe it; I fay that from extracts of letters I have received within the latt twelve months.

    Do you fay that from your own obfervation alfo?-Yes, from my own obfervation, and from the letters of my correfpondents.

    You have fpoken of offrs in bufinefs; have thofe offers proceeded from the counties on which you have made particular obfervation? - They have.

    Do the obfervations that you have made enable you to fay whether or not it was probable they could be fulfilled if you had accepted them? - I thought it probable.

    Then you did not refufe the offers becaufe you thought they could not be fulfilled? - No.

    Only becaufe it did not fuit you in your bufinefs to clofe with thofe offers?There is no confumption.
    Do you know the ftate of the Royal Forefts at all? - I have fome knowledge of the foreft of Dean, and of the New Foreft in Hamphire, and I perceive they are taking a great deal of care there.

    Great care is taking to protect and encourage the growth of young timber? Yes, that I believe, becaufe I have been there and feen it.

    Are private proprietors adopting the fame courfe alfo? - Not to the fame extent; where they can, I fuppofe they do; but I cannot feak fo pointedly to that.
    Have you any means of knowing what quantity of large oak-timber is annually Brought out of Wales? - No, I have not.

    ## [Crofs.examined by Mr.Grant.]

    I undertand you to fay, that if you were to take upon an average a feventyfour from each of the counties you have mentioned, you could not get a fecond crop of feventy-fours from them for fifty years? - No, I could not.

    When you iay, therefore, that there will be a fucceffion of large timber in thofe counties; you take into your account that that fucceffion will come up in half a century ? - In half a century, leaving fome of it at forty years to grow up to ninety years.-
    Then when you fay that upon an average, you would calculate on finding timber for a feventy-four from each of thofe counties; you mean that only on the fuppofition that you cut down all the trees above forty years growth? - No; not all above that, becaufe between the forty and eighty or ninety years, you mult leave fome flanding; there would be timber of fifty years and fixty years not applicable to feventy-fours that would be left.
    If you leave timber coming on, fay of fify or fixty years growth, do you take inio your account that it would be fir to cut for large fhips between this and fifty years hence? -Yes.
    Then, fuppofing a fucceffion of large timber to be required annually from thofe counties; can you flate what they will be likely to yield in future years? - No, I cannot anfwer that pointedly.
    Can you form no rough guefs how many feventy-fours, or what part of a feventyfcur, you could get annually for twenty years to come.
    No; 1 fay that in fifty years after the firlt fall it would produce eight fhips more; but I cannot fay what it would produce annually; it would be reduced.

    Can you fay whether there is as much timber of one hundred years growth in thofe counties now as there was fix, eight, or ten years ago?-I Mould think not.
    Do you conceive that the great prices at which timber has been within that period, has had the effect of occafioning a great deal of timber to be felled in thofe counties? - The higher the price, I fhould think, it would fo operate.

    Mr. Do you know what number of loads of timber go to a feventy-four? - About Jacob Read. feventeen hundred loads, I think.

    Committee.]-Round meafure? - No, at this market, fquare meafure.
    Mr. Grant.]-Are you f peaking of large timber, or plank ?-I am Speaking of timber.

    It does not include the plank? - It may include a little of what we call fpirketting and clamp.

    You do not include the wales unfed on a feventy-four? - No, I was only afked as to the timber.

    I underfland you to be of opinion then, that in consequence of the great demand for large timber, the quantity of large timber in the counties you have mentioned, has diminifhed of late years? - As it has in every other place; feventy-fours have been built in great numbers.

    Then fuppofing the demand to continue for fix years to come, at the fame rate that it has been for fix years pat, you conceive that the decline in the quantity of large timber would go on ? - I think if they were to continue building feventy-fours for the next fix years as they have for the lat fix years, the large timber would decline.

    You have fated yourfelf to have dealt not merely in timber for hipping, but for other purposes? - Principally for hipping.
    [Reexamined by Mr. Adolphus.]
    You have diftinguifhed between the timber and the plank in a 74; when you Speak of taking timber from thole counties for eight 74s, do you mean that which is technically called timber, without alluding to plank ? - Timber only.
    Leaving other trees standing, which would be fit for the timber of 1,200 -ton hips, and for the plank of all hips? - Yes.

    You fay, that if as many feventy-fours were built in the next fix years as have been built in the last fix years, the quantity of timber would decreafe? - I think fo.
    Would that decreafe, in your mind, produce any alarm of a fcarcity? - Not at all.
    Have you any conception of the number of feventy-fours that have been built in a year for the lat fix years? - No.

    It has not fallen within your obleivation ? - No, it has not.
    [Examined by the Committee.]
    If thole counties contribute annually, as the trees come to perfection, will there then be fufficient for eight feventy-fours at the expiration of fifty years? - I cannot answer that question: I have not ufed the word annually; take away eight feventy-fours now, in fifty years there will be timber for eight more feventy-fours.

    If none is cut in the mean time? -I do think that the timber which is applicable to them thould be left to come to perfection.

    What may be the extent of your dealings in timber; what quantity may you buy and fell annually? - It fometimes has been from fifteen to twenty thoufand pounds a year.

    You cannot give the medium of the price per load? -No, I cannot with that fatisfaction to myself that I would with to do.

    You think, if you had had an order for ten times the quantity, you could have got it? - I think I could, from an extract of a letter which I hold in my hand.

    Do you think you could have continued it for any number of years facceffively? I do not know how long a time; 1 fuppofe three or four years; becaufe it was pointed out to me that that was the cafe; indeed, I was told, that let the demand be what it would, they would fupply me.

    Is that from a country dealer, or an owner? - A country dealer.
    A man you know to be a man of capital? - Certainly.
    XI.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    Feneris, ${ }^{13}$ die Main, 1814
    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    ## Mír. Jobn Barnard, was Called in; and Examined by Mr. Adolphus.

    YOU are a timber-merchant, I believe? - I am.
    How long bave you been a timber-merchant? - Thirty years.
    Have you in that time dealt largely in oak-timber, fuch as is fit for the building of large veffels? - About half that period, very largely.
    From what counties have you principally derived your fupplies? - From Berkshire, Hamphire, Bucking aamilhire, Hertfordhire, and principally, within a few years pafl, Effes.

    Do you know of any coafiderable quantity of large oak-timber fanding in BuckinghamAnire? - Tes.

    Upon whofe eflare in particular? -Mr. Drake's, at Amerfham, and the adjoining parihes.
    From your own obfervation, what quantity of umber are yon enabled to flate is on Mr. Drake's eflate, of a large defeription ? - I hould furpofe there are two thoufand loads.

    Do you mean that there is that quantity at leaft? - Of timber fit for large hips.
    Any other eftate in Buckinghamhire? - The Bultrode eftate.
    Is there much timber there? -I hoould think there is, I have feen a great deal.
    Are you able to fix upon any quantity you could fpesk to as being there? - No, 1 thould rather decline that.
    But there is a good deal? - Yes.
    Of large tinber? - Of large timber.
    In Effer, whore eftates do you know that have large timber on them? - Mr. Stroud's, in Tarling Woodham, and fome other parißes.

    What quantity of large timber are you able to fpeak to as being on thofe eftates? -I fould shink at leaft two thoufand loads.
    Of large timber? - Large timber.
    Name any ether? - Lord Waldegrave, at Knareftock.
    How much tiaber can you rpeak to as being there? - One thoufind loads. Mr. Wright's, at Kelvedon Hall, an adjoining eltate, the quantiry I cannot fpeak to, but fuppofe there is quite as much, or more than in the other.
    As much or more than what you mentioned laft? - Yes, rery large fine timber; Lord Perre's eftate in Thorndon, and adjacent parifhes, very fine and large timber.

    Is this which you have mentioned growing in woods, or is it ornamenral timber? Woods, chichy woods.
    And not ornamental timber? - There is a great deal of Lord Petre's timber in woods, and not ornamental timber.

    What has been your rate of purchafe of timber for the laft twenty jears; how many loads a year do you think you have purchafed? - I think I may lay a thoufand upon the average.

    Have you ever found any dificulty in obsaining any quantiry of large oak-timber in the courfe of that time? - None.

    Have you any apprehenfion of any dificulty from any circumfance that has vome within your knowledge? - Certainly not.
    $M r$. Can you tell, from your obfervation, whether befides the large timber, of which
    have been fpeaking, there is a large quantity of average-fized timber, likely to gil up, fo as to come into ufe as large timber? - Mof affuredly there is a fucceffion

    Is that your general obfervation as to the counties to which your knowledge "h tends ?-I am fpeaking as to a general-obfervation.

    What quantity of timber could you; from the prefent fall, procure immediata from your own connections fit for the building of Indiamen. - Two thoufand loat I think.

    ## [Crofsexamined by Mr.Grant.]

    There has been a great demand for timber during the time you have been coll cerned in this trade? - There has.

    A great deal of large timber has been felled during that time? - Yes.
    Do you conceive there is as much timber of the largeft fize flanding nows, : il there was when you commenced your concern, in the timber trade ? - Certainly int in thofe diftricts of which I have fpoken.
    In thofe diftricts you do not think there is fo much ftanding of the larger fize es timber as there was fome years ago? - No.

    The price has rifen a good deal, in confequence of the great demand for it; hat it not ? - I prefume it has.

    Have you turned your attention enough to the price of timber, as connected with the growth of it, to anfwer me this queftion; whether, in proportion, it is morct profitable to cut down a tree of forty-feet, or to let it fand till it hecomes feventy or eighty feet, fuppofing no difference in the general price of timber ?' - I havel riot.

    ## [Examined.by tbe'Committeé.]

    You have ftated, there is a confiderable quantity of timber for thip-building; now if that was all cut down this year, would there be any fucceffion of timber for the next year of the lame fize ? - Certainly not.

    In how many years do you fuppofe there would be? - Twenty years.
    Then to have a regular fucceffion, youircould önly cut down one-twentieth part of this timber annually ? - That depends, in my opinion, on difference of foils.

    Of this quantity you have flated to be tiow flanding, you could only avail yourfelf of a regular fupply, by cutting down one-twentieth part of it annually ? - 1 fup. pofe fo.

    ## Mr: Robert Harvey, Called in ; and Examined by Mr. Harrison.

    Mr. I believe you have the management of Lord Bagot's woods in Staffordfhire and Robert Harvey. in Wales? - Yes.

    Have you, in your experience, derived from that.circumftance a general knowledge, of the itate of oak-timber in Staffordhire ? Pretty much.
    Is there in Staffordfire a confiderable quantity of oak-timber - Yes.
    Of what defription is it, as to fize 3 - Of almoft every defcription.
    Is there a confiderable quantity of large oak-timber in a flate fit for cutting for the purpofes of building large veffels, men of:war, and indiamen? -I ann not acquainted. with the building of thips at all; but there is a very great quantity of large old, timber.

    What do you call large timberr, with reference to meafurement'; fpeaking of round. meafure? - We have them from forty-feet, and lower than that; but below that we call it coppice timber, but from forty to three hundred feet.
    Forty-feet round meafure, we have been told, is fixty-feet fquare ? - Yes:
    Upon Lord Bagot's woods, what quantity would your have now to cut of timber you call large timber - I can fcarcely tell ; it is almof beyond calculation in our fall of this year of timber that averages above.fifty feet; and between fifty and three hundred feet we have fold abput two thoufand two hundred loads.

    You haye fold this year two thoufand two hundred loads of timber fifty feet round meafure and upwards? - Yes, 1 have takenit from the perfon we efiploy to fell ourtimber; I took the average of the coppice timber, and the average of the whole.

    That is the fall of the prefent year? - Yes.
    How many trees of a large fize? - They average from the fmalleft up to the largeft ; 1 think more than two loads a tree; in felling the coppice, if there are fome underfize we take them down; if they were left they would die when the larger ones are taken away, therefore we take them down, and that makes the average lefs, we
    go by round meafure ; the man who meafures them, takes the length and the girth, then doubles the whole, and doubles it again, and that is caft up, and gives the fize; my reafon for mentioning the fmall trees is; that the timber would come to a greater average, but there are fome fmall trees which nouft neceffarily come down, and therefore lying with thofe larger trees, they go with them.
    Have you any memorandum of the number of trees? - Yes.
    What was the number of trees, was it one thoufand fix hundred and thirty-nine? - It was.

    Did they make two thoufand two hundred and twenty loads round meafire ? - Yes, they did.

    Was that the quantity you Fold from Lord Bagot's woods this year? - Yes.
    Were thofe trees I fpeak of the large trees now, and not the fmall ones, cut down under the circumftances you have named; trees that had done growing? - Every tree; every defcription of tree that was felled; there was not a growing tree in the whole lot.

    How long could you go on cutting from Lord Bagot's woods, the fame quantity of timber of that defcription, or of any defcription above forty or fifty feet? - I can hardly fay, it is almoft beyond calculation; I have no doubt but we could find five, fix, or feven more fuch falls, without going into the timber that is growing; it is hardly poffible to fay.

    You mean, therefore, that the quantity is very large ? - Certainly.
    Have you any quantity of timber in Lord Bagot's woods in Staffordihire, after you have cut down this which you have been defcribing as having done growing, that would come into cutting in fucceffion, after you have cut down this timber that has done growing? - Yes, almoft a perpetual fucceffion, I think; but not quite to that extent of large timber, becaufe amongt thofe trees, there were fome more than three hundred fẹt.

    It would be a valt number of years before you had trees of that immenfe fize? I fhould hope fo.

    You do not mean to let them grow to that large fize again ? - I think it would not be prudent.

    Taking trees of forty feet round meafure, what fucceffion of wood would you have of that defcription? - There is a clafs of trees between the large trees that have done growing and the trees of forty feet metings ; this fall I am fpeaking of, is formed of three kinds; there are fome of very large that have ceafed to grow for many years from age, and fome of an inferior fize that have cealed to grow from the difference of the foil and the fituation; there are many trees in the fame coppice, fome of which are growing very faft, and others which have not grown for fome years paft.

    Do you now cut in fucceffion fucceffive quantities of timber that is not in an imp. proving flate, that has done growing, whatever its fize may be? - Not regularly, we do not fell great falls every year, fome years none, fome years from two to three hundred loads a-year, fome years to a greater extent; it is, as Lord Bagot is laying out money for other purpofes, that he fells timber.

    Have the timber-merchants conneCted with the great fhip-builders come to Staffordhire? - We have had fome connection with fome gentlemen who fupplied the Navy fome years; but we have lately fold to timber-merchants in the neighboura hood; this year to timber-merchants at Manchefter; but I believe they fupply the Navy again: I only know it by information, and feeing the timber conveyed away by the navigation.

    The woods you have been now defcribing are in Staffordhire? -Yes.
    And you have told us there are three defcriptions of timber you have beet alluding to, before you come to timber of forty feet round meafure, after having cut out the timber that has done growing; what fucceffion would Lord Bagot's woods afford you of timber not lower than forty feet round meafure? - I can fcarcely fay ; but a very great deal; but they grow after they are forty feet, they grow after fifty feet to leventy and eighty.
    $\therefore$ After having cut out the timber that has done growing, what fucceffion would Lord Bagot's woods afford you of timber not lower than forty feet round meafure? Trees of fifty feet would grow in forty years fo much, that it is impolible for me to calculate what that growth would be.
    Committee.] - You do not mean to ray, that in fifty years an oak will grow to forty feet in Iize? - No; I do not.

    Mr. Mr. Harrifon.] - What quantity of timber, after the trees that have done growing Robert Harsey. have been felled, of forty feet and upwards, might Lord Bagot cut down in fucceffion from his woods? - It is impoflible for me to thate with any degree of accuracy; hè would not fell any of a defcription other than that which he has been felling, and I cannot fay what quantity he might fell, if he was fo inclined; there would be fome trees there that are now growing, that before the end of feyen years might ceafe to grow.

    But at the end of feven years, what quantity could you cut of forty feet and upwards, and go on with a fucceffive cutting till the younger tiomber came to that fize? -I cannot fay with any accuracy.

    Would the quantity be confiderable? - Very great.
    Would it be two or three thoufand loads a-year? - No; not two or three tifoufand loads a-year; the trees that would be the fucceffors are not in bulk equal to thofe now growing, therefore the number of trees would be more, and the quantity lefs, becaufe it is hardly poffible to expect to fee, during the courfe of one's life, trees get to the fize of thofe we have been felling ; a man hould live two or three centuries to anfwer thefe queftions.
    But the quantity would be confiderable that might be cut in that way ? - Yes:
    Has Lord Bagot woods in Wales? - Yes.
    Whereabouts are his woods in Wales fituated? - In Denbighfire; in and near the vale of Clwyd.

    Are thofe woods confiderable? - Yes, very extenfive.
    Do they contain alfo large timber? - Not a great deal of large timber now, we have felled moft of the large timber there, it has been taken down this fpring; there is a great deal left, but it is fuch as ftands in fituations where it would not be taken down.

    But is there a fucceffion of timber there that will be coming up for cutting? Yes, fome extremely tall and ftraight.

    Is it a country better adapted to oak-timber than Staffordhire; has it a rapid growth ? - In fome parts of the vales it grows fafter than any timber I ever faw in my life; in fome of the rocky parts not fo faft ; in Staffordhire the growth of timber is very flow.

    Of what extent are Lord Bagot's woods in Staffordthire, woods that you, as his manager, would keep cutting annually with a view to fale? - His park is detached from his houfe about three or four miles, where this large timber grows, which conifift of about $8 \rho o$ acres, but thete can be no fucceflion unlefs fenced off from the deer.

    Committee.] - You do not mean to give the Committee to underftand that thiṣ is all wood land ? - No, but a great part. of it is covered with the largeft and moft valuable timber.

    Mr. Harrifon.] - To what extent are the woods where the timber is fenced off in Staffordfhire? - Oh, therè is a great deal together, and fome detached woods; I hould think there cannot be leif than a thouland acres, befides the park; if I had Been prepared I could have told from the furvey.:

    In the fame general way can you give the Committee an idea of the extent of his Iordfhip's woods in Wales? - I Mould think in Wales about 250 acres.

    Do you know the general fate of the timber in the parts of Staffordhire with which you are acquainted? - Yes, a good deal; I have had no occafion to take any, particular account of it, it is only from obfervation, living in the country all my life, and having occafion to go into moft parts of it as a land valuer, of courfe Ifee the timber.
    Is there, in Staffordfinire, a confiderable quantity of pak-timber ? - A great deal.
    Is it timber of natural growth or planted? - The oldeft timber appears to be a natural growth.

    Has any confiderable quiantity beeri planted of late years? - A great deals.
    Of oak-timber? - Oak intermixed with other trees, but the intention is to raife oak woods.

    When you fay there is a confiderable quantity, is there in this quantity any proportion of it fir to cut, and that would come in fucceffion into cutting? - A great deal.

    Are yoia able, from the fort of gencral jodgment you could form on a fubject of this defription, to give the Committee any idea of the fort or quantiry that could be cut? -No.

    Can you give the Committee the names of any of the Eftates on which there are large quantities ? - Yes, Mr; Gifard; of Chillington, is a gréat timberowner; Lord of timber, fit for any large purpofe; Mr. Beach has very fine timber, and a great quantity, Lord Stourton.
    The timber I am enquiring after, is timber, that a good manager of an eftate would bring into fucceffive cutting? - We know often very fine ornamental timber octafionally cut down. Sir John Wrottelley has fome very fine timber.
    And timber of a large fize? - Yes; and fcarcely a gentleman in the county that has not.

    - Lord Anfon? - Yes, and bis are quite detached from his manfion-houfe.

    What you would call cutuing timber ? - Yes, if it was thought proper.
    Mris Sneyd ? - Yes, he has very fine timber.
    Mr. Mainwaring ? - Yes.
    Mr. Meynel? -Yes.
    Mr. Levett ? - Yes.
    You have enumerated the names of a confiderable number of noblemen and gentlemen?-Yes; and there is a confiderable quantity of timber in the foreft of Needwell belonging to the Crown, it is part of the Duchy of Lancafter.
    You have enumerated now the names of a great number of noblemen and gentlemen? - Yes, and I may have omitted many; 1 only fpeak of thofe whofe eftates I frequently fee, and whom I know to have a great deal of timber growing.
    Are all thofe perfons poffefled of a confiderable quantity of timber? - Yes.
    Have all thofe perfons as much timber as Lord Bagot? - Certainly not.
    When you fay a confiderable quantity, what do you mean by it ; take any name by accident, Mr. Goff, or any that you pleafe ? -I I hould think Mr. Goff has a hundred thoufand pounds worth of timber on his eftates; fpeaking from a cafual view of it, 1 hould think Mr. Giffard has as much ; I am confining myfelf entirely to oak.
    Have many of the other gentlemen whofe nanes you have enumerated, fomething of the fame quantities? - Mr. Beach bas a great quantity nearly at maturity, but not quite arrived at it; he has as fine timber and large timber as any of the names I have mentioned.
    Are the woods that belong to thofe noblemen and gentlemen, woods that would afford fucceffive cuttings as well as a confiderable quantity of timber fit for large purpofes ? - Some of them are; Mr. Beach .would afford a fucceffion of large tim.ber for many years to come, after the very old timber that is now fit to cut: Mr. Beach's would come into a continued fucceffion of cutting ; fo would Mr. Giffard's. Mr. Goff's is older timber, a great deal of it; a vaft deal of it is in hedgerows of very great fize, and of courfe very valuable.

    Lord Stourton? - He has fome fine timber fit to cut, and alfo woods in fucceffion; but if what is now ready was cut, they would not afford an immediate fucceffionj

    What length of time would you give? - It is impoffible for any body to fay without they could live twa centuries; they grov fo differently in different places.
    Is the timber in Staffordhire better taken care of than it was formerly? - 0 yes, a great:deal.
    For how many years palt has there been that improved care of timber? - Thirty 'years and miore; I dare fay fome much earlier, I only fpeak of that within my own knowledge fince I have been concerned for Lord Bagot four-and-thirty years; at that time he began to be very careful of his woodland timber.
    Do you know any thing of Warwickßhire and Worcefterhire ? - Not to fpeak to thein, at all, only to their being woodland counties; but I cannot fpeak to the quantities.
    4. You can only fpeak to it generally as being a woody county? - Yes, there is a great deal of fine timber in both counties; but I have only feen it in riding through the county y nor can If peak precifely as to the quantity of there Staffordnire gentlemen, but from a general obfervation; that they have a great quantity of very fine umber.
    Do you remember a fall that was extraordinary as to the fize of the trees of Lord Bagot's? - Yes; a fall in Peacack's, wood,
    Do you remember the quantity that was upon that coppice? - No, not at all; I cannot fecollect it. What is flated in that book before-you, was a written reply fent to me from the meafurer's book when I made the anfwers to thofe queftions.

    Mr. Can you give the Committee any calculation as to the average growth of itimbor
    in a year? - No; there is Tuch a difference between two trees in the fame coppice; which have been planted at the fame time; one tree will be twice the fize of another that grows clofe to it.

    And the fame difference I collect from a former anfwer of yours, in different places?-Yes.

    Even between the eftates in Wales and Staffordhire? - Yes; 'there is as much difference between different parts of the Welch eftate, as there is between Wales and Staffordhire; in fome of the high fituations in Denbighhire it grows very flowly; in the vallies more rapidly than I could conceive; and in fome of the vallies in Wales, trees of fourteen or fifteen years growth, may be tranfplanted and grow rapidly away and never fail.

    In Wales you have tranfplanted trees of fourteen or fifteen years growth; and after that they have grown very rapidly? -They have grown without intermiffion; and in fome fheltered places in Staffordhire, larger trees tranfplanted will grow yery rapidly.

    Is the variety of the growth in different counties, different fiils, and in different parts, even of the fame wood, fuch as to make it impoffible for you to give any average of the growth, and how much per cent. trees will improve in the year iI cannot do it.

    Have you obferved, in your management of thofe woods, whether it grows more rapidly under proper care of thinning, and other circumftances, after the firft thirty years or before; does it grow more rapidly in the latter flage of its growth, than in the earlier ftage of its growth ? - The larger the tree, the more it will grow in every year; if in a growing ftate it is a confequence.

    Its progrefs, therefore, mult be greater as the tree gets larger ? - Yes, if it be in a growing ftate, the circle it makes every year muift be a larger one, and the branches will of courfe be more numerous and more extenfive.
    In fawing à tree through you can count the number of years of its growth by counting the number of circles? - Yes, by counting the number of circles, if it is that timber that grows ftraight and is full of heart; where there is much fap, it is not eafy to count the circles.

    Can you diftinguifh it more readily alfa clofe down by the roots ? - Yes; of-courfe the circles muft be more percéptible, becaule they are larger.

    Then you infer that the trees, as they grow older, improve more in proportion? Yes, to a certain extent,

    Up to the period of their ceafing to thrive ? - Yes; it is impoflible for any man to fay when it will ceafe to thrive; the tree may not increafe in girth, and yet there may be no appearance of decay, I conceive; it frikes me that timber is at a ftand for a confiderable time.

    And oak would fand a confderable number of years without getting worfe; if it did not improve in fize, ftill it would not probably injure? - It is lucky that timber will keep, if timber was like a crop of corn, that mult be cut when it is ripes there would be very little left; but timber may, as far as relates to the value per foot of that timber, be the fame perhaps twelve or fourteen years after it has done growing, notwithftanding that there mult be a diminution in the value of the tree from other caufes.

    Will you ftate thofe caufes? - The bark gets lefs in quantity as it dies at top, and when a tree begins to decay, the bark is lel's valuable to the extent that decay takes place; the fame weight is not worth fo much in a tree that is decaying as it is in a tree that is growing; the top of the tree is very little worfe; for it generally happens that a tree, when it ceales to grow, becomes dead at the top, but not rotten; the decay generally begins nearelt the root.

    Have you ever had the curiofity to afcertain the number of trees, and the fize of thofe trees, growing upon an acre? - In my anfwer to the queftions that were fent to me Yome years ago, I fet the woodman to count the number of ftools that were remaining, to enable him to make that reply ; I cannot fpeak from my recollection.

    You have no recollection of that? - No; I fent the woodmian to do it, and if found he was correct, by the number of trees in the wood.

    Have you ever, fince that, had the curiofity to examine the number of trees that would grow on an acre? - In fome places trees will grow till they become fo large as. to touch each other; in other places; where the foil is poorer, they mult have more room to grow.

    - If it is a deep foil, fo as to derive nourihment from the tap-root, a greater quan= tity, and of a larger fize, would of courfe grow, on an acre ? - Yes, that is my opinion; and that bas beeh an inducement why I preferred planting acorps to making plantations of nurfery plants; though we do it in all ways, by planting, and by fow: ing acorns at different feafons of the year.
    Am I to undertand, from your laft anfwer, that of late years confiderable attention has been paid to planting wood, as well as taking care of that which is in a natural flate of growing? - Very great.

    Have you endeavoured to form any judgment as to what quantity of large timber Staffordhire would afford annually ; how many thoufand loads i-I cannot pretend to flate that.

    Would it be very confiderable? - Very great.
    And that for annual cuttings? - For annual cutings, if the gentlemen were in clined to contrive to cut it; or if the timber belonged all to one perfon, he would cut it without making a glut in one year, and a fearcity in another,

    But my queftion is founded on the fuppofition, that the home counties would not afford a fupply for the demand, if it continued fo great as it has been for the laft twelve years; and that the ohip-builders were obtiged to go into a wider circle for their timber; and that a competition arofe in Staffordihire among the timber merchants, who fupply the fhip-builders, to have a certain quantity? - They might fupply almoft any quantity, if they were to divide it in reafonable proportions.
    I am fuppofing, that the demand to which I have been alluding, led the timber merchants to look to Staffordfhire for a fupply of five, fix, feven; eight; or nine thoufand loads a-year, or any given quantity, in confequence of not being able to find it clofe at home; would they be able if they went into Staffordfhire, and that led the proprietors of woods to cut their timber, to obtain fuch a fupply as would; in your judgment, meet the demand; and could the timber growers go on fupplying any given quantity of that fort? - Yes, they would $;$ the timber merchants might fee the timber there; I do not know whether they could prevail upon the owners to fell it from time to time.

    Will you mention any quantity they could fupply? -It is prefling onê to fay 7 thing one cannot calculate; it is to fuch an extent beyond any thing I can fay.
    I am fpeaking of the timber eftates you are aware of, in the country, could you fay you have no doubt that any certain quantity might be cut annually; could you Lay, you have no doubt that 8000 loads might be cut annually in Staffordfhire? Xes, a great deal more.

    - Would you fay, you have no doubt that 10,000 loads could be cut atinually? -I fhould think a great deal more I fpeak of timber above forty feet round meafures


    ## [Črofs-examined by Mr. Spanikie.]

    What has been the price of timber by round meafure, in your county, during the laft five or ten years, taking the timber we have now been feaking of ?-From 35 . $6 d$. to $6 s$. $6 d$. and 7 s . per foot.
    Can you fate it by loads? - Yes, very eafily:
    What is the average price per load of that fized timber? - About $1 \mathrm{I} l$, $\mathrm{j}^{2}$. fort the prefent year, for the load of fifty feet.
    But, in fome inftances it has been higher? - Yes, as high as \%'s. per foot, which would be 17l. 1cs, per load ${ }^{\text {s for a tree of thity feet long, fquaring forty inches; }}$ 1 think that has been the price;
    You have known Lord Bagot's woods tharty-four years? - Yes.
    What was the price, aboup twenty years ago? T.4l ioss a loxd, that was the price rather more than twenty years ago.

    You have ftated, that a yery large quantity of that which Lord Bagot hast, is timber that has done grawing 3 - Yes.
    When timber is allowed to feand till it has done growing, foes it prejudice the Juccefion of timber coming up into ufe? - In coppices it does:
    That is to fay; to thin it and take it in fucceffion, tends rather to improve it ? Certainly.
    :So hat if you allow, a wood of timber, which has done growing for five or fix or ten years to ftand after it has done growing, it injures that which is growing? Yes, inf fome meafure; but it, prejudices it more while it is growing thian after it has done growing, there is not fo great an extenfion of branches to drop upon that Which is growing.

    Mr. So that in point of fact, the large quantity of great timber that is to be found Robert Harvep. Staffordfhire, has rather tended to prevent the fucceffion taking place fo foon as otherwife would, if it had been cut? - - In fome initances it has, but there are othe woods of thorter growth as to the number of years, that have no large wood $i$ them, therefore they go regularly on and keep growing progreffively; and if fron: any accident an inferior or decaying tree has got the better of the others, that is take out and gives the others more room to grow.

    In your opinion, it is more advantageous to cut down the whole wood and let il grow up again? - Yes, generally fpeaking.

    There is fome difference of opinion on that ? - Yes, but you mult take into con:fideration, that the largeft and moft valuable of thofe trees on Lord Bagot's eftatej are growing in a deer park, where there could be no fucceffion', that in the other part, they are growing in a copfe, that fome years ago had about half the deadtopped ones taken out; fince that time the others have become dead-topped, we fell all that are left in the coppice, and then thut it up to grow for the future.

    You have ftated, that Lord Bagot has not felled any wood for fome years paft; not any great deal? - Yes.

    And you have explained, that a very large quantity of his wood, which is done growing, is in the deer park? - Yes, the largeft trees.
    Have you any general knowledge of what has been the quantity of timber fallen in the county of Stafford for the laft twenty years; do you think there is a greater quantity of large timber ftanding there now, than there was fifteen or twenty years ago? - Certainly lefs of the very largeft fort of timber.

    Of courfe the rife in price from 4 l. 10s. which you mentioned, up to 111. ; or in fome cafes ftill higher, muft have induced fome gentlemen to have brought their timber to market? -I do not think it has had much weight; I do not perceive that timber has increafed in a greater proportion than any thing elfe the land produces.
    You think it has not increafed in price? - Not more in propartion than other things.

    But when an article has done growing, like timber, is not the temptation of an ordinary price fufficient to induce a gentleman to part with that which ceafes to produce profit, and upon which he is annually lofing a great deal of money in the way of intereft ? - It has not had that effect generally in Staffordfhire.

    Independently of mere park timber, have you taken into calculation that part of the timber which, though not in park; may be confidered ornamental to an eftate? Not a great deal of it; my Lord Stafford's is near his manfion-houfe, and forms a very grand object there.

    Do you confine your notion of ornamental timber to timber flanding ftrictly in a park? - Not entirely; there may be ornamental timber in a meadow, within fight of a gentleman's manfion-houfe.

    That was my reafon for afking, whether you include fuch as that, in your flatement of the quantity of timber? - I do not confider ornamental timber to fo great an exteñ as you do perhaps, bécaufe there mult come a time when that timber ceafes to be ornamental.
    But at the fame time it ceafes to be ornamental; does not it fometimes ceafe to be ufeful3-It gets to be of little value when it ceafes to be ornamental.
    You have ftated that the county of Stafford particularly, might afford an arinual fupply of ten thouland loads? - Yes ; I think fo, and a great deal more.

    You have made that. fatement, I underfand, upon the fuppofition that gentle:men might be tempted to cut regularly? -Taking the temptation into confideration; it depends on whether they are willing or defirous of doing fo.

    Then fuppofe the gentlemen were not defirous to cut down, would not a confider able proportion of that ten thoufand loads and upwards ceafe to be ufeful, by not being cut in time ? - In length of time it would; but it requires a very long time indeed when the top of a tree is dead before it ceafes to be valuable.

    Can you tell us at' what time after a tree has ceafed to grow, it ceafes to be valuable for hip-building purpofes? - 1 cannot; there is a great deal of difference in a tree beginning to decay, whether the decay is red, or whether it be white; if a tree, when it is fallen, is red and tender at the roots, that rednefs generally goes all the way up it, and the timber, though not decayed; is tender and of very little value; that is what is called a red rafh; but if it be a white ralh, the injury goes no further than that extends; for a foot above where that ceafes to be rotten, the timber is often of full'as good quality as any other.

    Committee;]-Have you obferved upon, what kinds of foil the rediralh is a more common
    common thing than the white rath? -I cannot anfocr that queftion; it is probable it may be from a different kind of oak; it may be from a different kind of foil, or a different kind of oak.

    The queflion is afked, becaure it was thought that when the unfoumdnefs went up the tree from the bottom, it was occalioned by the tree growing in ground too wet at the bottom to fuit it, commonly called fpoury ground in fome counties, or a fpringy bottom? - I have feen two very large trees ftanding very near each other, one haring a red rah, and the other a white one; that having the red rahh became fo tender, that except in the branches, which were very large, it was of very little value; but thas which had the white rah, when cut off above the place where the rah extended to, was of the beft quality.

    Was the foil in which they flood, as fur as you could difoover, noarly the fame? -I think, as near as pomity could be; if any thing, the wortt tree was on the wort foil.

    Was it wet foil? - It was equally wet; that tree with the white rah was hollow as high as the cimber.valuer could reach with his hanj, when he got in at the bottoin where it was hollow; and the tree was fild for 115 .

    Are there any external indications of the particular fpecies of rafh 2 tree may have?-There are ext mal marks of decay, but I do nat think any man can tell whether it will be the red or white rah till is is fallin.

    Mr. Spankie- Can you tell ue, whether the white rath ce red rah is molt prevaleat? - I think the red rah.

    And to this malady, trees that are done groning are fajeat? - I do not know when it begins; it may tegin before the trie are done groning, it may grow wih their growth.

    In S:affordhire do you fupply much timber for wachinery and locks of casals, and fo on? - Locks of canals, mills, and fint mills.
    Steam engines, and machinery of every kied? - Not much for machinery; there are a great many coal pits, but they only ure fort poit wood to fuppurt the roof of the coal pit.

    Can you fay whether much of the tinber, or twhat proportion of the timber felled in your county has been confumed in its own machinery and manufacture, and thofe of the adjoming counties? - I cannot fpeak to that.
    You itated that you knew a confderable quantity of it went elfewhere? -I know 2 greas deal does go elfewhere.

    You hare an internal navigation by which your timber might be conveyed to London? - Yes; we have two canals within fix or feren miles of our woods, when the timber falling is more remote from one it is nearer to the other; and from the Grand Staffordhire Canal it may be conveyed to any of the ports of the country, it communicates with Liverpool and Hull, and by the Birmingham Canal to Briftol.

    And you know thas to thofe places a quantity of timber may have been fept from your county? - It may be; raft quantities lie down at the canal fide; where it goes tol do not know.

    Sa that at all events the county of Stafford might for fome years paft have afforded hip-building timber to Brifol, Liverpool, Hull, and other Out-ports? Tes, it may bare done; from what I have heard, Liverpool and Hull have been the markets, I think, that have taken the timber.

    You have flated that the woods in Stafordhire, as well as other place", have been rather better managed within the laft 20 or 30 years, than they ured to be formerly? -Cerainly.

    Can you fita as a fact, whether the demand for tumber in Stafordfaire has increafed or diminihed, within your owin oblervation? $\rightarrow$ I have had no applications for timber; when it is known that we have a fale on hand, I have had applications; we can fell our belt timber for more moner to our country dealers, than the buyers for the Nary will give. The gentlemen from Cheptow, who I underfand have a contrad with Govermment, came to view this umber I bave fpoken of as fold this year, and they thought that the prices we got in the country were more thas they would give, and they were unwilling to go into the expence of a particular valuation; Mr. Morris I thiak is was, wifhed to know the raluation of the timber, Igare him the raluation of 40 trees, and he declined looking any further at it, fayiogs, be could be fupplied upon better terms nearer home.

    Thefe were 40 of your large trees? -No, 40 rery good ones, but not the very largelt; when I fay I can fell our large timber to the country dealers, it is thofe exceedingly

    Mr. eèceèdingly large trees, they quarter them and cut them into the finelt boards that Robert Harvey.-can be conceived, the oak-timber ufed for floors, oak-tables, and coffin-boards efpecially, and I believe fome has been ufed for veneering that cuts out of the very large trees, fome of which are valued as high as fix fhillings a foot, fome bave been fold as high as ten fhillings.
    Is the fall you have now fold, a fall made in the year 1813 , or in the prefent year? - It is falling now.
    Is the price of the prefent fall greater or lefs in any. degree than any former price?
    -There is fearcely any variation from the falls we have had for a year or two paft, if any thing a little higher, but not higher than the value of the timber allows it to be.

    You have always fold very fine timber? - Geinerally. Some of the large trees in the park prove unfound, and a lower value of courfe is put on them; a man may generally tell, from their appearance, which are fufpicious trees.

    Can you ftate, of your own knowledge, whether the purchafers for the Navy have purchafed much timber in your county? - I cannot.
    You mentioned Mr. Morris, do you know whether it was the Mr. Morris who is the partner with Mr. Larkin the contractor with Government? - I think not; he is a partner in the Chepflow houfe, if. I recollect right, Morris, Bowcher, \& Watkin ; I am not certain.

    With refpect to Wales, you do not fpeak generally as to the quantity of timber in WaIes? -I-do not chufe to fpeak of any quantity there.

    And with refpect to other gentlemen's names, whofe eftates you have enumerated, you only feeak from general information? -I only fpeak from a general obfervation, except with refpeat to Lord Harrowby's, and I never made any fale of timber for him.

    You fpeak from no actual admeafurement or furvey? - No; but I have frequently had opportunities of feeing the other gentlemen's eftates of whom I fpeak, having had inclofures in the neighbourhood, and valuing thofe eftates at times.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    But although you have not meafured or furveyed thofe gentlemen's properties fo as to ftate by exact meafurement what they are, are you fure the fatement you have made with refpeat to them is within compafs? - I think fo, but I do not value timber, I generally can tell when I have feen the trees how much a fall will come to, but as I cannot tell all the purpofes to which they may convert every part of the tree, I do not think it is fair to put a value on them.

    You have faid in your crofs-examination that there are lefs now of the very largelt trees, than there were twenty years ago; what fize do you mean to include under that defcription? - Trees from a hundred feet to two hundred and fifty, and fome larger; going back to thirty years, I may fay that there are lefs than there were.

    Then thofe are the trees of which you mean to fay there are lefs than there were twenty or thirty years ago? - Yes; lefs in point of number; the increafe of oaks planted within thirty years, I take to be a. hundred for one, which has been cut down within my own knowledge; where three acres have been thrown from woodland into a ftate of cultivation, three hundred have been put into a fate of plantation.

    When you fpeak of cutting down and clearing the land altogether, does that apply entirely to timber that has done growing? - Yes, it depends on its age and appearance; if there is a plant of fourteen years of age or from that to one-and-twenty, if it cannot be injured by. the winds we let it remain; if it be a fingle one fometimes it is full as well to cut it down, but if there be many of them together they protect each other. The underwood in the woods not in the deer park, we fell once in one-and-twenty years, the underwood that is not oak is fold fome parts for chord wood, and other parts are fent into the potteries for crate wood; in thofe falls which occur once in one-and-twenty years' we leave every oak fapling till the time that the bark will peel, when that arrives we take fuch away as are leaft promifing to grow into timber, and leave the frraighter and more healthy plants from time to time to grow into larger timber.

    When you cut wood which has ceafed to grow, of courfe you cut it all down? Yes, in the eopifes; not in the park. fucceffion? - It depends upon circumftances; in a wood where all the trees are large together or have ceafed to grow, we cut them all down; amongी them we frequently find fome plants that have grown up from acorns, having fallen from thofe trees; and as I before obferved, when they fland near each other, they are left to ftand and protect each other, otherwife we cut them down. Other, very fine timber has been raifed from the ftools of large trees which have been fallen before $;$ in the wood upon which that report that has been referred to, was made, there were trees fold which came to London for the purpofes of repairing Greenwich Hofpital; trees of a hundred feet which evidently grow from old ftools.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    Do you know to what parpofes the large wood that is in general cut in Staffordhire has been applied? - No; the country timber-merchants buy it, and they fell it to thofe again that they can get the beft price from ; the country price I believe generally, for private ufe, is fomething higher than they have got from the Navy; but felling a great quantity to the Navy at once, brings a quick return.

    - There is a great confumption, in that country, in mill-work and locks of canals, and that defcription of work? - Pretty confiderable, but much lefs now than it ufed to be, owing to fo much of the machinery of large mills, and thofe works, being made of iron.

    Has the price been gradually increafing? - It has kept increafing, but not exactly .gradually; it got up pretty fuddenly, from half-a-crown to three fhillings and fixpence, and it has been about fationary fince; I hould not think that, generally fpeaking, the timber throughout the county is worth quite fo much this year as it was laft year.

    But as compared to twenty years ago ? - It has increafed very much within twenty years.

    If the confumption of it has been much diminilhed, by the ufe of caft-iron, how do you account for the increafe in the price? - The depreciation of money, in fome relpects.
    If the confumption has been diminifhed by the ufe of caft-iron to a great extent; now do you account for the price being increafed? - I cannot account for it.
    From the fituation of the eftates and the properties, the only thing you feemed to reckon upon as wood likely to be cut, was that which had come to its full growth? - No, very far from that ; there is a very great fucceffion of young wood.

    But that was the only wood likely to come to market at prefent? - It is chiefly grown timber: 1 forgot to name a nobleman, Lord. Ferrars, who has very great timber property in the county; I think, generally fpeaking, there is a greater quan,tity of full-grown timber, and a greater profpect of a regular fucceffion in Stafford.fhire than any place 1 know.

    From the fituation of the eflates and the properties, the only thing you feemed to reckon upon, as wood likely to be cut, was that which had come to its full growth? - No, I do not fay that; I think none of the gentlemen that I have alluded to would cut any timber that was not ripe.

    Then the only fucceffion you reckon upon to fucceed thofe trees that are cut; are the trees which alfo come into a flate of decay? - Some will decay and fome approach nearer to decay, but generally fpeaking throughout the county, I look at it with pleafure to fee there is no want of timber from its infancy to its dotage almof.
    You have flated, that a hundred trees have been planted for one that has been cut down? - I am fure of it.
    How many trees do you plant upon an acre? - 4,840, of which one-third are oak, the reft are birch, and a few ah ; the ahh are bad nurfes, they deftroy every oak they overgrow; the birch is very good, fome alder in wet fituations, but birch chiefy, it makes our beft underwood; in one-and.twenty years after the planting, or in a fhorter period than that, perhaps we find it neceffary to thin that plantation; where we find them growing into each other, we take fome away, others are cut down, and any oaks that are found that have not grown well, but are alive, we cut off as near the ground as poffible; in one-and-twenty years after the-umderwood has fufficiently. grown to be forward to make crate-wood and chord-wood, we Then cut the underwood; a few oaks that were planted are growing luxuriantly, and their branches grow into each other; we cut them of as well as the white wood; then, in every fucceffive fall of that underwood, the weaker of the oak trees are
    taken put, leaving no more than there is room for them to grow without the branches bruifing each other; taking care always to leave the bett oak-timber.

    What is the value of land which you confider the beft planting land? - The land I am fpeaking of in Stafford hire where I have any concern in woods, is felected as the very wort land that would not pay well in cultivation.

    What would it lett for ? - The firft that was salued by me about 30 years ago, was put at $7^{5 \text { s. an acre. }}$

    What would the fame fort of land be now? - I fuppofe 143. now; it is a kind of land very incapable of improving, confidering the expence of fencing the lands intermixed with and adjoining the woods, which fences were very crooked, and of courfe very long. It appeared that it would be more beneficial, notwithftanding the lofs of rent for fome years, to throw it into plantation, as after the firft fourteen years, it would pay one pound an acre for underwood, leaving a perpetual growth of oak for future times.

    What number of trees do you apprehend would remain after 60 years upon the acre? - I think not lefs than 80 , I think 90 , and they would grow to a great fize; thicker than that in fome fituations.

    About what fize would they be in fixty years, one with another? $\rightarrow$ They are very fmall with us in fixty years.

    How many feet do you think? - Not twenty feet.
    At what age do you apprehend the trees grow to eighty feet? - I cannot tell; we have one wood, which has been planted within my own knowledge, of which I could fpeak of the age, and one that is about 120 years fince it was planted, and I think the largeft tree in it would not meafure more than 60 feet.

    What is the fize of trees that are about eighty, or from eighty to ninety years old? I know of no wood but this I am fpeaking of as to age.

    Here is an account in the report, of one wood which contained, on the average, eighty-foar trees to an acre, meafuring, one with another, one hundred and eight cubic feet? - Yes, that is my fatement.

    Do you know at all the age of thofe trees? - It is impoffible to flate that, but 2 great many of them grow on old ftools or from joint flools where another large tree had been fallen.
    Have you ever made any calculation of the general profits of planting ? - No; no more than that of inclofing poor land, which $i$ defcribed before.

    Affuming the beft land for planting, what do you think it would pay per acre in a flourihing ftate at forty; fixty or eighty years, what do you think would be the prodúce of an acre? - The land, we plant, we could not get ten bufhels of corn upon an acre by any cultivation whatever.

    What is the highelt value of land per acre you think it would be advantageous to plant? - I would not plant land worth more than fourteen fhillings an acre ; there are mahy realons for that, becaufe you might find land in many fituations that you could tot cultivate, that would be very valuable for plantings perhaps more fo thian pther land of a better quality in other fituations.
    Then you do not think it would be advantageous to plant land that would pay you more in cultivation than fourteen thillings an acre? - I would not, I could not afford it.: Some gentlemen who prefer woods, which are nurferies for their game, will facrifice Iomething.
    Have you any idea of land, under any circumftances of planting, producing what Thould average at the end of eighty-five years twenty-five pounds per annam per acre?- It is impoffible for any man to tell that who has not feen timber grow eighty-five years; the greateft benefit. I have ever received from planting has been from fir; oak I cannot fpeak to ; the wood of which I have fpoken was planted, and having been inclofed for a fhort time, the fences were taken away and the deer and cattle let in, which did it a great deal of injury; that is the only wood I can fpeak to the age of, which was planted one hundred and twenty years ago.
    Does timber fuffer from cattle? - Very much, if they get to it when it is young; rubbing does it a great deal of damage ; any tree that a beaft can rub againft fuffers, large trees they cannot rub againft, they cannot get their fides to bear; the bafe of the trees puts their feet off, fo that they cannot get their carcales to it.

    You fpoke of the woods in Wales, and a fale you had there; who purchafed that wood ? The fame perfon this year that purchafed our fall in Staffordhire; two brothers of the name of Bancroft in Manchefter.

    Was it purchafed for naval purpofes? -Not for the King's Navy, for they fold almont
    salmof all laft year to a perfon in Scotland, at a great fhip-building place, I forget the name of it.

    Is it acceffible to water or canals? - It is about thirteen miles from Rhydlau, a Tmall fea-port, and it is but a fhort diftance to Liverpool from that place.
    Thofe eighty-four trees that are mentioned in the Report, is the greateft produce you ever recollect on an acre ? - I am not certain of that; I am not certain whether there is not as great a produce now growing ?

    Upon any number of acres?- Yes; I am not certain there is not the fatme produce throughout the whole wood; Ithink I can find many acres now within Lord Bagot's woods, that have more timber in value and meafure than there was growing on that acre.
    But you do not at all know the age of them ? - It is impolible for me to tell the age; if I may judge from the appearance of thofe of onte hundred and twenty years, many of the trees there mult be one thoufand years old, I think. I have a valuation of a tree in my pocket which comes to about one hundred and nineteen pounds, comparing that with the largeft of thofe whofe age we know to be one hundred and twenty, it cannot be lefs than fix hundred years old; at leaft it is more than fix times as large: but, according to my former flatement, that a tree as it grows older would increafe more, it may not be quite fo old.

    You have made an eftimate of the profit of growing woods, and compared it with agriculture? - No; I have not any further than in the way I have already mentioned.

    In a calculation of that kind you would confider the expenfe of planting the oak? Yes.

    The expenfe of fencing it? - Yes; that expenfe is but little, becaufe it is furrounded with wood near us.

    On the other fide you would take the profit of the corn that would grow on it ? Yes; we could plant an acre for five pounds, one tree in a yard.

    Then in making up the account until it becomes productive, you would add every year the rent it would let for? - Yes.
    And the compound interelt ? - The interelt of the money at any rate.
    And the compound interef you would not add ? - In making a nice calculation 2 man would take in compound intereft ; but I think if a man gets common intereft on his money, that wall be quite füficient on land.
    Suffex is the beft county in the kingdom for timber, is not it? - I amnot acquainted with other timber counties than thofe I have named.
    Of the midland countries, do you know that Warwick is the principal for timber? - Yes; it is the moft confiderable; $I$ know there is a vaft deal of hedge-row timber, and fome woods in Warwick and Worcefter, but what quantity I cannot fpeak to.

    ## Mr. John Wickenss, Called in ; and Examined by Mr. Adolphus.

    ## You had the management of Lord Craven's eftates ? - Yes.

    For how long a time? - I believe about four or five years, till I got my fon ap-

    $$
    \stackrel{\text { Mir }}{\text { Jobn Wickens. }}
    $$ pointed in my ftead.

    -How long ago was that? - About five years ago.
    Have you continued to be acquainted with thofe eftates fince? - I have been aco quainted with His Lordhhip's Berkhhire eftates a good many years.
    In what other counties do His Lordhip's eftates fie befides Berkkhire? - In Wari wickthire he has a very large property, and a large property in Shropthire.
    Do you know any other eftate or eftates in Warwickfhire befides Lord Craven"s which contains any quantity of wood.land ? - I believe the largeft timbered eftate is the great Lea eftate belonging to Mr. Lea.
    What is the character of the timber on that eftate; is it large or otherwife? - It is very large timber ; there is a great deal very large.
    Tou have feen it yourfelf? - I am very well acquanted with that; I have had an opportunity of feeing a great deal of it.
    What quantity of large timber is there on this Lea eftate? - I carnot fpeak to the quantity: you will give me leave to obferve, that I was caught about half- an hour ago in the the ftreet, and brought here without an opportunity to form any caliculation on this fubject.

    What may be the extent of the woodland part of the eftate? - 1 thould fuppore in Warwickhire perhaps eleven or twelve hundred acres; I cannot fpeak precifely:

    Mr. JobnWickens. Does it extend further than that county, or do you fpeak of the whole eftate when you fay eleven or twelve hundred acres? - They have fome timbered eftates in Staffordhire, where there is a good deal. of timber.
    Do thofe adjoin the eftates in Warwick $\neq 1 i r e ?$ - No ; they are at fome diftance from thofe in Warwick/hire.

    Upon a view of it, what quantity of timber fhould you fay there is on the Warwickithire eftate of Mr. Lea ? - I have only furveyed the eftates, without paying any attention to the timber more than from a curfory view of it.

    Upon your obfervation, can you conjecture what quantity of timber there is on it? - I fhould think there is three hundred thoufand pounds worth of timber upon it.

    In faying that, are you now ronvinced you are within the mark, or do you think that you exceed the value? -I hould not imagine I exceed it by any means.

    Have you any knowledge of the other eftates belonging to Mr. Lea? - Yes, I have, as far as the landed property goes.

    But of the timber? - No, I have not.
    How many acres of wood-land does Lord Craven poffers on his eftates in Warwickfhire and Shrophire? - I cannot fay; his woods are extenfive, but I really cannot fay.

    Whereabouts? -I fhould think feven or eight hundred acres ; but I really cannot take upon me to vouch for that.

    Do you think that is within the mark? - Yes, I fhould think he has full that.
    Are they well covered with timber? - They are extremely well covered with a fucceflion of timber, but not much old timber.

    What do you confider as the oldeft of the timber in this fucceffion; what fize may it be? - The trees are in general from ten feet to perhaps forty; in fome few inftances, more than that of courfe; but I fpeak generally.

    Is there a fair fucceffion from ten feet up to forty? - There is a fair fucceffion from ten feet upwards, and below that the woods have been very well attended to, and there is a very fine fucceffion coming on.

    Is this all planted in woods, or is there any in hedge-rows? - He bas timber in hedge-rows; but $I$ am fpeaking of the wood-land now.

    Is there timber in hedge-rows there? - Yes.
    Is that large timber in the hedge-rows ? - Yes, fome of it; fome of it very good timber; but on Lord Craven's eftate not a great quantity of it.

    Have you any knowledge of the wood-land in other counties, befides the two of three you have juft mentioned ?- Not particularly ; my avocation has required me to travel about a great deal; I fee timber in moft counties, but I cannot lpeak to it.

    In what counties? - I ana prétty well acquainted with Wilthire, and fome parts of Hampfhire and Dorfethire; in Dorfethire there is very little timber.

    Have, you any acquaintance with Somerfethire? - Yes, I have.
    You fay there is but little timber in Dorfethire? - I do not confider it a great timber county ; there is a good deal of open part in Dorfethire.
    In the other three counties, Wilthire, Hampfhire, and Somerfethire, do you obferve a confiderable quantity of timber? - In fome parts of Wilthire there is a great deal.

    Can you name the particular parts? - Lord Aylenbury and Lord Bolingbroke have a good deal of wood; but I cannot fpeak as to the extent.
    Thofe are effates you have feen and know ? - Yes, I have feen them, but I would beg leave to fuggeft that I have only juft travelled through and feen them.

    From your obfervation in the various counties where you have made any obfervation, do you apprehend any fcarcity of timber? - I apprehend, as far as I have obferved, that the very large timber declines, that there is lefs of that; but I believe the number of trees are increafing very faft, the old timber has got more fcarce.

    Do you fay that of trees likely to become large trees in the courle of their proceeding? - Yes; for this reafon, that the people take more care of their woods, and plant more than they ufed.
    People do take more care than they did fome years ago? - The price of timber warrants it : I think they do.
    Do you know of any quantity of timber planted lately? - Government has planted a good deal in the forelts. I.was concerned in planting five thoufand acres in the New Foreft, and about eleven thoufand acres have been planted in the Foreft of

    Dean, of which I know but litule; I think they have planted wihin a few years about AIr.Jucnifickens. fixteen thoufand acres.

    Do you know of any confiderable planting by other perfons befides Govern. ment?-Certainly; but I cannot fpeak to it.
    Have you feen it?-I have feen it, certainly; but I cannot point it out to you.
    In the counties where you have been molt, you have feen confiderable quantities of land planted with timber? - Yes; fome planted in commons, and people keep on planting where there is room for it.

    ## XII.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    $$
    \text { Luna, } 16^{\circ} \text { die Maii, } 1814
    $$

    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    ## Mr. Jobn Morice Called in; and Examined by Mr. Harrison.

    ## Mr. Jobn Morice. WHAT fituation do you fill in the India Houfe ?-Clerk to the Committec of fhipping.

    How long have you held that fituation?-Upwards of five years; but I had previoully been many years in the fame department, nearly thirty years.

    Did the India Company build or purchafe any fhip of the largeft clafs, for the purpofe of their trade, in 1806 ?-To the beft of my recollection one fhip.

    What was the name of the hip? -The Britannia.
    Where was that Thip built?-In the river Thames, at Mr. Wells's yard.
    What was the coft and outfit of that veffel to fea the firft voyage?-I really am not able to fpeak to that fact; I think it was fomewhere about 70,000 .; to the beft of my recollection, that is including all expences.

    Do you know what her outfit was her fecond royage ?-I really cannot fpeak from recollection.

    Do you know the average of what is allowed for what is called war-building per ton ?-Six pounds.

    Committee.]-What is war-building?-It is the difference between the price of building in peace and the price of building in war.

    Mr. Harrijon.]-Does that arife from the Company requiring a different outfit and a different arrangement for war and peace?-I apprehend not ; I apprehend it arifes from the increafe of wages, and the expence of timber and other materials of which the fhip is built.

    Then it is an allowance to cover the fuppofed increafe in price of wages and materials in time of war?-Yes.

    Is there any thing called war allowance befides?-A great variety of things that are called war contingencies, increafe of outfit, increafe of infurance, and increafe of wages.

    What wages do you allude to in the allowance for war-building; is it the fuppofed increafe in the wages of chipwrights?-It is.
    What wages do you allude to, when you fpeak of increafe in the outfit?-The wages of the feamen.

    Do the India Company contract upon a fuppofed peace freight, that is, a peace allowance; do they make their contrad in peace, and make an allowance in the time of war?-That has been the general practice.

    And to that peace price do they add an allowance for building and an allowance for contingencies in the time of war?-Yes.
    Are thofe allowances both of them calculated upon the tonnage of the veffel?They are calculated upon the chartered tonnage of the veffel.

    Is the chartered tonnage and meafured tonnage the fame?-Not always; par: ticularly in the large fhips.
    The chartered tonnage then, I fuppofe, is what they can actually bring home? -No; the chattered tonnage is what they are chartered to the Company for; but they bring confiderably more.

    Take a thip of $\mathrm{x}, 200$ tons, and give us the difference? - A twelve hundred ton Mr. John Morice. hip will meafure generally 1,275 tons; fhe is chartered to the Eaft India Company at 1,200 , and the allowance is made on that tonnage.
    And what will fuch a veffel actually bring home?-They generally bring 250 tons furplus beyond the 1,200 tons.
    The allowances, I think, you have ftated are only on the charter tonnage ? They are.

    What freight is given upon the furplus tonnage?-Half the peace or permanent freight.

    What is the amount of freight that is paid upon a veffel going from Bombay to China, to bring home tea to London, by the Company ?-It has varied from twenty pounds to fixteen guineas.
    Is that in war or peace?-In war.
    Is a veffel built at Bombay, which goes to China to bring home tea, allowed to take any freight the can get from Bombay to China ?-Yes, they have been.

    Committee.]-That is the general nature of the bargain ?-Yes, it is; the Company have the option of loading fuch a velfel; but if the Company does not load, the owners may freight her, if they can.
    From Bombay to China? -Yes, or from wherever the fhip is taken up.
    The Company's freighting is from Canton to London, is it not ?-Yes.
    Mr. Harrifon ]-I fee in No. 12 , in page sy of the evidence before the Committee, you have ftated, that the freight to be paid the owners for the voyage to England, or the privilege granted of loading, is to cover extra expenfe of building in time of war; do you in this allude to the voyage from China only, or from Bombay to China, and from thence to England?-1 allude to both.
    You confider that as applying to both the voyages?-Yes; becaufe it is optional in the Company to load them or not, either from Bombay to China, or from Bombay or China to London; they are not bound to do it in the original contrack, it is left open; the Company may, if they think proper afterwards, make a bargain to load the flip.

    When you fay it is left open, do you mean to fay it is to be matter of bargain between the owner of the veffel and the Eafl India Company, what freight fhall be paid per ton on the voyage from Bombay to China ?-Yes; the owners have always been anxious for the Company to load their fhips.
    Where does the chartered contract for the fix voyages begin?-In this country.
    Is there a contract, not a chartered contrad, but an engagement between the Company and the owners, as to the freight from China to England of tea?-Not in the firft inflance; the conditions under which the fhip is built leave it to the Company, upon giving proper notice to the owners, to load the fhip from India, if they think proper, fetting the rate of freight with the owners.
    Do you confider the Eaft India Company as entinled to order fuch a veffel from Bombay to China, to take a catgo of tea home?-I do.
    In what way, if they order the owners to fend the thip to China to bring home a cargo of tea, do they fettle the amount of freight to be paid to the owners on that voyage?-1 fhould obferve, that the owmers have not the privilege of going to China; that if the Company do not load the fhip, the muft come bome direct, either from Bombay or Bengal.

    But my queftion is, whether the Eaft India Company have the privilege of fending the fhip to China?-I think they have.

    Is there any certain flipulated rate or allowance of freight per ton for that voyage from China to England, or in what way is the amount of that freight afcertained between the owners and the Eaft India Company?-There is no flipulated freight, but it is fettled by an agreement with the owners in this country.
    Then if the Eaft India Company do not offer what the owners confider a fufficient freight, have the owners an option of refufal?-They bave; but then they muft come home dirett to this country, in order to begin their chartered contratt.

    Committee.]-And the Company in that homeward vopage are not bound to let them bring any other freight?-No; she fhips that come home direct, loaded by the owners, are confined, as to the nature of the Cargoes they are to bring, to the beft of my recolletion.

    Mr. Jobn Morice. Mr. Harrifon.]-What is the nature of the cargo that is allowed to be brought home?-Cotton and fome other articles.

    Committce.]-Are they all gruff goods ?-Yes.
    Therefore the owners ftand in this fituation; either they muft take the Company's offer, whatever it may be, or they are confined to come home direat with a cargo of gruff goods?-Yes.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Have you known any inftance of a veffel built in Bombay freighted by the Company from Bombay to China, and from China home?-No; I do not recollect any inftance of it.

    What has been the freight that has been paid for India-built veffels that have brought home a cargo for the Eaft India Company from China? -It has varied, I think, from nineteen pounds to fixteen guineas; that is from Canton.

    Have the Eaft India Company ever freighted veffels from Bengal or Bombay direct to England of that defcription, without letting them go to Canton firft?One fhip they have.

    What veffel was that ?-The Caftle Huntley.
    What freight did they pay per ton for that veffel ?-To the beft of my recollection 1 gl.

    Was that a veffel chartered to begin her voyages from England?-She was built at Bengal, to go out from this country on fix voyages.

    Committce.]-Had the full freight from Canton?-From Bengal the had full freight; fhe was fully laden by the Company.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-When did the come home?-She came home in 1813 .
    Was the a $\mathbf{1}, 200$-ton veffel?-She was.
    The freight on that amounts to between two and three-and-twenty thoufand pounds?-Yes.

    Then am I to underftand, from one of your former anfwers, that the freight that this veffel earned home, was in lieu of the allowance that would have been given to the fame veffel, if the had been built in and failed from England immediately ? Undoubtedly.

    Committee.] The profit is not to the extent of twenty-two or twenty-three thoufand pounds?-No, nothing like it.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Has a veffel, built in India, but beginning her contract here; what you call the war coningent allowances?-She has.

    But not the war-building allowance?-No.
    Do the Company pay any thing more in the way of contingencies than the rate per ton upon the voyage home?- No , they do not.

    And that has, in no inftance that you know of, exceeded about rgl.?-No, I do not recollect any inftance; the average freight of the veffel, including her furplus tonnage, has, in no inftance exceeded $1 \mathrm{~g} /$.

    Have you any means of giving the Committee an eftimate of what the expences of that voyage are ?-No, I have not.

    I oblerve, in pages 88 and 89 of the evidence, eftimates of the outfit, which include, I take it, the repairs of, the different voyages, from one to fix inclufive, upon veffels built in England and veffels built in India?-They are the outfits upon which the the Eaft India Company calculate; when they make a contract the contractors are to same a freight upon the expence of that outfit.

    From what data are the expences of the outfit of the veffels built in India given in page 89 taken?-Thofe outfits were not prepared by me in my official fituation, but I rather think it arofe from this circumftance: Ships built in the Eaft Indies, exprefsly for the Eaft India Company's fervice, never having been employed during the period of fix voyages, it was not poffible for the Company's profeffional officers to form any idea of what might be the repairs of thofe fhips on each refpective voyage; the outfits were therefore taken the fame as for an Englih-built hip, in the expectation, I believe, that if the propofals were more favourable for the Indian fhips, the freights at which they would be offered would be in a reduced proportion.

    Can you explain to the Committec, upon what grounds a larger frëght is engaged for by the India Company for the three veffels in page-89, built in India, than is contracted for for the three veffels, General Harris, Bridgewater, and Atlas, built in : England?-It is impoffible for me to acçount for that circumftance, as I am not in
    poffefion of the data upon which the contractors made their calculations, they made Mr. Jobn Miorim the offer to the Company.

    Committee.]-Looking to the allowances for war-building, that are given to the Englih-built hhips, and not to the India-built Chips, do you think the freight is higher of the India-built hhips? - No, certainly not.

    Mr. Adolphus.]-That allowance for war-building is given to the Englifh-built hip in war time? -It is.

    That applies only to the firft voyage the thip can make, as an advantage of courfe? - Certainly.

    The freight, as here fet down, does not depend upon the firft, or any other voyage, but it is given to the hip indifferently? - Yes.

    You oblerve in this paper which has been read, "the freight to be paid the owners for the voyage to England, if loaded on the Company's account, or the privilege to be granted the owners of loading the Chips on their own account, is to cover the extra expenfe of building in time of war? - That is not my obfervation; that is a copy from the propofals exhibited to the Company when the contratts were made; but my anfwer went to the Eaft India company, that the freights on India Thips were cheaper to them, deducting the war-building, than for the Chips built in England; paying the war-building allowance on the firft voyage, calculating the infurance and intereft, makes it an allowance of about two guineas per ton each voyage; the calculation has been made.

    Conmittee.]-Taking in the war-building allowance to the Englifh Chips; which has the higheft allowance of the two ? - The Englifh fhip.

    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Have you made that calculation yourfelf?-I have not made it myfelf, but it has been made.
    Have you ever verified that calculation yourfelf: have you gone over it to know that it is correct? - Yes, I have; 1 have reafon to believe it is correct.
    That calculation of intereft and infurance is made upon the prefumption that the Thip lives her full fix or eight voyages? - Certainly ; otherwife it would be a greater expenfe, fuppofing the hip to be loft.

    But that allowance is made in confequence of an expenfe actually incurred by the builders, as you fuppofe? - I thould fuppofe fo, and not adequate to the expenfe.

    The voyage home, which the Indian builder gets, is free from all expenfe of the kind, which you include in the war-building ? - Certainly.

    Is there not therefore a confiderable advantage gained by the Indian builder, in that refpect, over the Englioh builder? - No doubt there is; there is an advantage both ways: it is advantageous to the builder, and it is advantageous to the Eaft India company.

    Have you, or has any body elfe, to your knowledge, ever calculated the amount of that advantage ? - No, I believe not; but the advantage to the Company is very eafily afcertained; they get the advantage in the war-building, and they gst a fill greater advantage if they think proper to load the fhip home; they get a cargo brought from Canton at a very low rate of freight.
    Committee.]-That home freight then is never very profitable to the owner?No, I hould confider not; but it is very profitable to the Eaft India company.
    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Are thofe conjoint advantages, if you were to count them in the way of infurance and interef, alfo very much above the allowance here for warbuilding? -I am not able to anfwer that, not knowing the expenfe the owners may incur in building the fhips in India.
    You fay that the fhips. built in India prcent advantages to the Eaft India company ? -Certainly

    Do you know to what amount that is upon a $i, 200$ ton mhip, upon her firft coming home? - I hould think that the adyantage in freight from China is at leaft from fixteen to twenty thoufand pounds.
    Gained by the Company upon that voyage? - -Yes.
    That is the amount of the freight, not of the profit?-No; I confider it is the ainount of the advantage to the Company, becaule they get the cargo home at fixteen guineas, inftead of paying five-and-thirty.

    Mrivekn Aericis. Thatisto, fay, that when they get a cargo home by one of thefe fhips, inftead of one of the fhips that fails from England, perhaps without any cargo that is advantageous to them? - A fhip from, England takes a very fmall cargo; it is very triffing:
    $\therefore$ Therefore the faving confifis in not being put to the expenfe of as outward voyage, in which there is no profit? - It does,

    Will there be any difference in that faving in time of peace, from what there was in the time of war? - The faving will' not be fo much in the time of peace as in the time of war, becaufe it has a reference to the freight ; the freight being higher in time of war, the faving is greater.

    In the account in page 201. of thips laid down on the bottoms of other fhips, you have ormitted the following: Lowther Cafte on the bottom of The Abergavenny, Marquis of Camden on the bottom of The Earl Camden, and Afia on the bottom of another fhip called The Afia? - Yes; they have been omitted by accident, but If know there are fuch hips.
    Thofe fhips were built in the years 1811 and 1812 ?-I believe fo.
    Oo you know how many of the fhips huilt in Great Britain, in the years 181 r , 1812, and $18 \mathrm{I}_{3}$, were built on the bottoms of certain fhips loft or taken, from 1805 to 1810 inclufive? - Eleven.
    Including the three that have been mentioned? - Yes.
    Was any application made to the Eaft India company by the owners of The Ceylon, fubfequently to her capture, for leave to build a 1,200 -ton llip on her bottom? -There was.

    What anfwer was given to that requeft of the owners? - No anfwer was given to that; for previous to the Court of Directors taking that requelt into confideration, the owners made a fecond application, for leave to fubflitute a thip of 950 tons, built at Bombay, inftead of building a fhip in England.
    Did the Company accept that offer ? - That offer they accepted.
    Did not that offer of the owners, proceed from a propofal made to them by the Company to build a thip of 950 tons, either in Great Britain, or in India ? - No, it did not; it originated entirely with the owners.
    Do you know, whether it was ever the practice of the Eaft India company to take up fhips that had been built on fpeculation in the River Thames, their furveyors infpecting them during the building? A number of hips have been taken up under thofe circumstances.

    Is that practice perfevered in, or difcontinued now? - It was difcontinued in the year $1808^{\prime}$; the Company found great inconvenience from fhips being built on fpeculation; after the Act of Parliament, paffed in the year 1798, the object of that Act, they prefumed, being to encourage open competition, it was hardly pofible for the Court of Directors to take up a flip that had been built on feculation, conformably ta the firit of the Act of Parliament.

    What do you underftand by the mode of open competition, to which the Company thought it their duty to recur? - The Act of Parliament, I believe, required, that when the Eaft India company were in want of 'hips, they Chould exhibit propofals for the receipt of tenders, which thips it is implied are to be built for the Coms pany's fervice, and the loweft tender always to be accepted.

    Committee.] - Was it underftood at the India Houre that the comperition was to be in offers for building? - Yess.

    - MraAdolphus.] - Do the Company order for themielves the fhips that they take $u_{4}$ which are built in India, or are they built firf and purchafed afterwards?-They are built according to a contract made in this country.

    Is that always done? - There have been inftances where fhips, built both in this cquntry and in. India, have been taken up ready built.
    Since the year 1808, have any fhips built in India been taken up fo? - I do nots recollect any fuch, except, the Ceylon, may be fo confidered; that hip was ready builts.

    Here is a thip named Charles Grant; was that contratted for, or built on fpeculation ? - That was a fhip built by the Eaft India company themfelves, intended: for their own fervice; a and afterwards fold to the owners of a thip that had been loft, to be employed inthe fervice in the room of the former thip, inftead of building one in this country.

    How many hips have the Eaft India copmpany built in India, on their own Mfr. Jabs Alcrisa account, and for their own fervice? - Only one other, that I recolleat the Thomas Grenville.
    Do you know what that thip coft on her failing from India ? $I$ am not able to anfwer that quettion now; the account will be furnilhed; I think it was under 50,000.
    What tonnage was the! - Eigbt hundred and eighty-fix tons.
    What freight did the make in her homeward voyage? - The gijp belonging to the Company, there was no freight paid for her; 隹e carried a cargo from Bombay to China, and from China to England.

    And what freight would that make ? - Na calculation hass been made on that; being the Company's ship they paid no freight.

    What would they have paid for the freight of the fame cargo, if he had not been their own thip, per ton? - From China they would have paid fixteen guineas per ton; from Bombay to China I cannot tell what they would have paid.

    Is the freight direct from China, lefs than the freight direa from Bengal ta Eng. land ? $\rightarrow$ I think it is much about the fame a

    What was the amount of the repair and outfit of that hip, the Thomas Grenville, on her voyage from the Thames ? - About 18,0ool. including all expenfes.

    What do you mean by all expenfes? - The bounty on manning to the fea, wages advanced, and every thing; the coft that money to lea.
    How much of that was repair or equipment; you cannot tell perhaps? - Not exactly.

    About how much ? - Her repairs I think, coft.about 1,5001 , the firf voyage.
    Do you know what was the amount of her repairs and outfit on the fecond voyage from London? - I do not recollect.

    You have no poffibility of fating ? - Not from recollection.
    Do you remember the expenfes on the third voyage ? $-\mathrm{No}_{\text {, }}$ I do not.
    Although you do not recollect the particulars of the expenfes, are you enabled to fay, whether or not.this thip has cleared herfelf in the voyage on which the is? 1 think the will bave cleared herfelf, when the returns from her prefent voyage.

    What voyage is this? - This is her third yoyage.
    Committec.]-In faying that, do you include infurance ? - Including infurance. The Company never infure ? - No, but the calculation has been made.
    Mr. Adolphus.] - Could a Thames-built thip have cleared herfelf in the fame number of voyages? - I think certainly not,

    Committec.] - Why do you apprehend the could not ? - Becaufe the repairs are much more heary.

    ## [Crofs examined by Mr. Grant.]

    I underftood you to fay, that the allowance of fix pounds for war-building, was partly to be afcribed to the increafed expenfe of timber in the time of war? - Yes, 1 apprehend fo.

    What do you conceive makes timber more expenfive in time of war? - 5 know no other reafon than the increafed demand for it.

    An increafed demand for it for the purpofes of thipbuilding! - Yes.
    Do your not conceive, that fo far as the demand for timber has been fupplied by the importation of timber, that importation being more difficalt in time of war, may have raifed the price of timber? - I thould fuppofe it mult have an influence on the price of timber.

    Can you at all fate what the amount of that allowance per ton is, which you call an allowance for war contingencies? - It varies on different vogages; I Chould think from twenty to twenty-five pounds per ton.

    In what way is that sum calculated and paid; is there any agreement at the commencement of each voyage, or in what other manner? - The fettlement of the war allowances are fo complicated, it is not poffible for me to explain them verbally; there is a different fettement for almoft every fhip.

    Can you fate it generally? - An eftimate is prepared, of the coft of a fipp in the time of war; the difference between that eftimate and the peace outfit, or permanent outfit of a flip; which ever it may happen to be, is allowed to the owners, and paid, with eighteen months intereft, at the expiration of eighteen months $\bar{j}$ intereft is allowed upon it for eighteen months, and then it is paid, at all events.

    MriJobn:Morice. Is that eftimate prepared at the comméncement of each voyage ? - Yes, and thid allowances depend upon the voyage which the thip is going out upon.

    Is the amount of that allowance the fame on each voyage, or does it vary? - I varies for the fecond, third, fifth; and fixth voyages; it is about twenty pounds per ton, including 'all war allowances'; and twenty-five pounds on the firf and fourth.

    Does it include the increafe of feamen's wages ?-It includes' Teamen's wage.
    You have fated, that it is extremely advantageous, both to the Company and to: the Chipowners, to build in India rather than in England ; what are your reafons fori that opinion ? - I confider, that the owner has an advantage in the freight of the hipt to England, and that the Company have a manifert advantage in faving the expenfe of war-building allowed to Englifh fhips, and the option of loading the thips home, it: they think proper, at a low freight.

    Do you mean to fay, that that is a peculiar advantage in the time of war, or do you fpeak of all times? - I confider the advantage will be the fame in time of peace, though not in fo great a proportion.

    You have fpoken of Indian-built veffels being freighted from China home; and I believe you ftated, the freight to be from nineteen pounds to fixteen guineas in time of war; do you underftand the payment of that freight to the owners, or the privilege of loading on their own account, to be intended to cover the extra expence of building in the time of war? - Yes.

    Is the expenfe of ithipbuilding greater in time of war than in time of peace, in India? - I cannot anfwer that queflion, becaufe I do not know the expenfe of building the fhips in time of peace in India; none having been built in time of peace there-

    The fhips that have been built in India have been fupplied with more or lefs of their outfit, I believe, from this country? - No doubt a great part of their ftores muft have been fent from this country ; but that would have no reference to the building ; certainly her outfit would be more expenfive.
    You mean to fay, that the outfit of a veffel building in India will be more* expenfive in time of war than in time of peace? - Yes, becaufe fo many of the articles go from this country ; but whether it would affect the expenfe of building I cannot fay.
    $\dot{A}$ part of the articles fent out from this country enter into the building of this veffel; fhould you not fo confider it, for example, iron and topper? - Some articles for the hull, iron and copper.

    So far as thofe articles are concerned, the building would be more expenfive in time of war than in time of peace in India ? - Yes, certainly.

    You have fpoken of the Caftle Huntley; had the come home in time of peace, would her freight have been the fame? - It would, becaufe that freight was agreed upon at the time that the owners had leave to build the fhip.

    Would there have been no difference in the terms of that freight, if that agreement bad taken place in a time of peace? - They are bound by their contract, if there is any faving, to make an allowance upon honour.

    Therefore the freight actually paid, would probably have been lefs in time of peace? - Certainly; if the freight had been to be fettled later, it would have been lefs; but having been fixed, it could not be reduced.

    In your account in page 201, there occur three inftances in which thips have been loft, and no new hhips have been built upon their bottoms ? - Yes.

    Do you remember a period in which it was the practice always to build thips on the bottoms of fuch as were loft in the Company's fervice? - Certainly; previous to the year 1796, the owner has had what was called a perpetuity of bottom; and upon a thip being loft or worn out, another fhip was built in her room.

    Was a new fhip at that time built, whether immediately required or not? - Not always; very poffibly the owners might lay down the keel of the fhip, and they would be allowed to do fo, and the fhip was ready at the time the Company wanted her.
    Was not it at that time the practice always to keep up a particular number of fhips, whether they were required or not, by building one on the bottom of another, that was loft or worn out ?-Certanly.
    $\because$ Therefore on that fyftem, the Company might have more fhips than they wanted; but they never could have fewer than they wanted? - It wass fo great an advantage to the owners to build a thip, that they always made the neceffary application.

    - Was it not the neceflary effed of the previous fyttem, that there were more flips in the Company's fervice, than their fervice actually required? - Probably there might ; but I am not able exactly to anfwer that queftion.
    - Does it not frequently occur, that a fhip may be loft or worn out, and the Company find no occafion to build another fhip on her bottom? - Very poffibly, if the number in their fervice is greater than they require.
    I will trouble you to anfwer this as a queftion of fact; has it not frequently occurred, that a thip has been loft or worn out, and the Company bave found no occafion to build another thip on her bottom? -In the fyftem which the Company have now eftablifhed for their fhipping, I find a difficulty in anfwering that queftion; a thip that is loft previous to the completion of her fifth voyage, entitles the owners to build another chip in the room of the loft fhip; if loft after the completion of her fifth voyage, the owners have no claim, and it does not always happen that immediately upon the lofs of a thip, the Company contract for another.

    Committee.]-Suppofe a fhip to be loft after completing three voyages, and the owner is defirous to rebuild it, have the Company the option to refufe it? -Certainly.
    Are the owners at liberty to build other fhips by law? - The Company cannot allow it, after the fifth voyage.
    There is no right in the owner to build another fhip on the bottom of any fhip lof before the fifth voyage? - Certainly not; but it is a fort of claim that has always been admitted.
    Mr. Grant.]-I underftand you now to fay, that before the fifth voyage the owner may claim to have his fhip rebuilt, but the Company may refift that claim, and that after the fifth voyage the owner has no claim whatever? - No; and the Company cannot give them liberty to do it.
    That is fettled by the ACt of 1798 ? - It is.
    Do you recollect any period when the Company difpofed of any of their fhips to Government? - Yes; I do.
    Will you fate when? -I think it was in the year 1796.
    Can you flate what was the number of fhips, or their tonnage ? - I think there were fix or feven fhips, the tonnage about 1,200 tons each.
    Have you known any inftances in which India-built hhips have come home, in the firft inftance, with a cargo of gruff goods? - Yes, I have.

    Committce.]-On their own account, or on the Company's account?-On both.

    Do you mean that fome fhips bave come home with Company's cargoes, and others with cargoes of their own, of gruff goods? - Both one and the other.

    Mr. Grant.]-I fpeak of thips built for the Company in India, and coming home here in order to commence a feries of chartered voyages; have you known fuch a fhip come with a cargo of gruff goods? - No, I have not; there are now two hips of 1,200 tons coming from Bengal, loaded by the owners; they are confined to gruff goods by the contract. .

    Have you known of thips which the Company had taken up in India, that have come home with a cargo of gruff goods? - I cannot fay.

    Do you remember any number of inftances, in which the Company took up fhips built on fpeculation in this country ? - I recollea feveral inftances in which they have done it. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

    Do you remember at about what time thofe inftances occurred? - The Company have allowed the owners of two or three thips that were loft, to fubftitute a lhip that had been built upon fpeculation inftead of building one.

    Has that happened fince the year 1798 ? - Within the laft five or fix years.
    Do you recollect its having happened, in any inflances, before the year 1796?I cannot recollect any inflance ; I have no doubt it has ocçurred.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    - In fpeaking of hips built in India, you have flated, that much of their outfit is fent from this country; is that from your own knowledge, or from hearfay? Certainly not from my own knowledge.
    :Therefore when you fay, that muft make the outfit more expenfive, it is merely. a feculation on fomething you have heard, and no knowledge of yours? - Excepting 2. (72.)

    Mr. John Morice. this, that the fhips muft be furnifhed with a certain number of articles for thei outfit, fent from this country.

    Why muft they $\boldsymbol{i}$-I apprehend, the neceffity of copper alone, and the iron worl of the Thip.

    You fpeak this, I fuppofe, merely from report?-Beyond that; becaufe the owners of the teak fhips, that have been recently built, fent ont a great quantity o articles, and applied to the Company for freight.

    What were they? - Copper, iron, canvas and cordage.
    How long ago was this? -About two years.
    You know that an application was made to the Company for fome of thofe ar. ticles to be fent out?-Yes.

    In 1796, you have mentioned, that the Company fold fome of their Rhips tof Government, about fix or feven you fay ; thofe were fhips, I believe, on the ftocks? - Some of them were, fome not.

    Moft of them, I believe, were? - I think there were three or four of them.
    Had the Company, in 1796, more fhips than they knew what to do with? - I amy not aware that they had.

    Was Government, in 1796, in diftrefs for hlips of 1,200 tons burthen, or thereabouts? -I underftood that was the reafon of the application being made to the Company.

    Do you know, that in 1796, the Company had upon the focks 17 fhips? Yes.

    Do you know, that in the three enfuing years the Eaft India company built twenty fhips? - Yes.

    Could it be, that they parted with thofe fhips to Government, because they had more than they knew what to do with ? - No, I apprehend not.

    But you have ftated before, that you knew Government to be in diftrefs for fhips of that fize at that time? - I underfood that to be the reafon.

    Committee.]-A part of the building which appears in thofe years to have been on the Company's account, was in reality on the Government account ? - It was to replace thofe fhips they fold to Government.

    Mr. Adolphus.] - With refpect to the building upon old bottoms, did I underftand you rightly in faying, that there never was a right in the owner to build upon the old bottom, until the Company fhould want the fhip? - I believe that is the cafe.

    Your anfwer was this; if the fhip was loft, an owner would lay down a keel upon' the old bottom ; that waited till the Company wanted the hip, and then the owner completed it ? - Certainly.

    Having a preference on the Company's employ, but no claim for the Company to take the fhip, whether they wanted it or not? - Certainly.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    Were the Company's fhips Britannia and Sir Edward Hughes, built at Bombay, regiftered ? - Certainly.
    They were regiftered before the year 1794 ? - Long before that.
    Did not the Company, about the year 1801 or 1802, try the experiment of competition between Britifh and India-built fhips for extra fervice, by offering to engage the latter on the lame terms as the former ? - They did.

    Had they any tender of India-built fhips in confequence of fuch offer? - No, they had not.

    Had not the Governments in India, for fome years prior to that, permitted Indiabuilt hips to come to England with cargoes, and allowed them to return with cargoes on the owner's account? - Yes.

    Was not that difcontinued by order of the Court of Directors? - Yes.
    Did not the Court at that time, or afterwards, reftrain the Governments in India from giving permiffion for thofe hhips to come home, except on the exprefs condition of their being fold in this country?-I am not aware that it was entirely prohibited, becaufe fome fhips came to this country for the purpofe of being fold, and others not.

    Did not the ownexs of thole Ghips that were directed to be fold in England, confider their not returning as a great difcouragement ? - 1 cannot anfwer that queftion.

    D:1 nit the oxners or their agents, an their arriral, generally apply for pernif. Ifr. Jike Mforice. feen for thefe hips to return to lndia?-Generally.

    Wis not the indulgence frequenily refufed by the Court? - I an nut amare of that.
    Do you recolleat the owners of the hip Matilda applying repeatedly for permirGen for that kip to go tack? - I canot from recolleation fate that.

    You recolleat the Marilda being taken up aftermards ty the Comjany? - Not by that name.

    Bat you hare knomin infances of bips being refufed in the furl infance, though afternards permited? - Tes, I hare.

    On a reneral of application by the agents or oxners? - On a fecond appicaion to the Court of Directors

    Harenct the Cownt of Directors of late vears prohibised marine flores, cordage, canras, anchors, \&c. bing fent oct by private merchants in the extra teanage frorided by the Company, and aifo in Eaft Irdiz thips permitted to return? - In fome inlances, I think they bare, but it bas not been the pradice to refufe.

    They would not 2110 it without exprels permifion? - No.
    That indu!gence uras never refufed to the capiains and commanders of the Coupany's own hips? - No.
     fending cet marine ficres, whenever they could ? - Very probably tiey might, b: I caniet fpect to ita:.
    Do rci the Company fend cut conflerable quaniitis of marine fors for fale? They co.

    Do you know what adrance the Company's governments charge on thofe fore: When fold to the oumers of private hips in India? -No, I do nat; i: raries at the diferes: Prateacies.

    I beliere in the invaces you make out of thole things, you add tea per csei. io the prime ccit? - That uss the prafice, it is now difcontinued.

    With refpect to the hips that hare lately come home from India, is itere ne: a fpecial exceptica to their carrying out marine fores from this ccu:try in their licences; we rice hips for infance? - I beliere that is one of the articles

    They zre not allowed to carry cat marine flores from this country? - No.
    Abcet the yf= $1-95$ cr 1796 the Company took up thips of much larger birthen than they lad done butore, from 1,400 to 1,500 tors meafurement? - In 1794

    There were chartered for caly $1,2=0$ tons? - They were.
    dad the furples cargo tiey only paid half freight for? - They did.
    And they breaght confiderable furplus cargo? - A furplus of four or 500 tons, 1 beliere.

    There were corfeqsently the mot afrantageous thips to the Company that they bad ? - Moft undoubredly.

    The Conmintee in that fin cbferve, that they difcontinned building thofe rery foon afierwards, and reduced them to about 1,200 or 1, ¿co tons $?$ - It was confidered by the profeficonal gendemen in the Court of Diredors, and their own profeficmal cineer, that the tips of 1,400 tons were not fufficenty ftrong for their fize, and that the $1,=00-100$ fips were of a betier defoription.

    Thrre 1,4 es or 1,5 ecton thips required very great repairs, boih at ione and abroaj? - No doubt they muft, in proportion to their tonnage.

    Froa itair weaknels? - On their firt beig built, they required to be Arengthcend ty lower deck beanes.

    The Talbct and Ganges were of this defcription ? - The Ganges was the largeft; A.e was upwaris of 1,500 toms.

    Its $\mathrm{Ta}_{2}$ Ene focudered in the Chinefe feas, on her fecond royage? - Yes.
    The G:-ges fuadired cfir the Cape of Gocd H:pe in 18c7, cn the fourth roraje? - Yes

    Scme of thefe Lrae thips were cbliged to return from Chima to Bumbar, in conder to be repaired? - The Ganges $\mathrm{h}=1$ retimad to Bombary, and was coming home at the time fe foumered

    Do you krow this from comen report, or from oficial documents in your efce?-I keor it from oficial decments, and from the invefigation which took fice rerpeaing the lofs of the hop.

    Did you erer lear of a Compans' = regular ghip foundering, previous to The Tabot? - The Ganges was the firt that was pafively known to bare foundered; The Tatbot was loit, but it neret was known whether the fourdered or cot.

    Since the lofs of the Talbot, the Committee oblerve in your lift, the following Thips, fuppofed to have been foundered; The Prince of Wales, The Lord Nellon, The Bengal, The Calcutta, The Jane Duchefs of Gordon, The Lady Jane Dundas; thofe were not 1,500 -ton thips? - About 820 tons.

    Were any of thofe thips built on fpeculation? - I do not think any of them were.

    Have not the Company of late years difcontinued this clafs of fhips, and adopted another meafuring, about 950 tons, in their ftead? - They have.

    Do you know the reafon for making the change? - The 820 -ton thips were not confidered altogether as fafe fhips.

    On what account? - They were too high, too much weight aloft; they were very dangerous at fea.

    On the fubject of beams was there any objection? - I do not know that.
    Thofe 950 -ton fhips were built on a different plan from the 820? -They'were.
    Do you recollect how long it is fince the Company adopted the fyftem of engaging. regular thips, that have completed fix voyages, for two additional voyages i-I think it was in the year 1806 .

    When their thips are taken up for two additional vogages, are not the owners required to give them a thorough repair? - They are, and the fhips to be doubled.

    You do not know the average expenfe of fuch repairs? - No, I do not; I have underftood it to be very large; but it is merely from report.

    Have the owners of any of thofe fhips, fince that time, declined repairing them for fuch additional voyages? - They have not; but the Company have declined engaging them for two additional voyages.

    What reafon was alledged? - Their being confidered the moft expenfive hips the Company could employ.

    Have they ever, on furvey, been :deemed infufficient? -I believe certainly by fome perfons, but I do not think that is the entire reafon.

    Has not the meafure of increafing the number of voyages from fix to eight, had the effect of reducing the number of Chips required for carrying on the Company's trade? - It had the effect of reducing the number of hips that would be required to be built for the trade; becaufe it was not till the year 1796, that thofe fhips were pofitively engaged to go fix voyages; they uled before to go four ; before that time they were only engaged from voyage to voyage, and did not extend beyond four voyages in the whole, in general.

    The effect of reducing the building of thofe fhips, muft have operated upon the four or five laft years in a great miealure? - Yes.

    Thofe thips that were firf taken up for the two additional voyages, are now upon the laft voyage, moft of them? - They are upon the eighth voyage, moft of them.

    In looking over that lift; No. 7. the Committee obferve there are thirteen Ships, meafuring 18,280 tons, have completed their fixth voyage; and twelve more, meafuring 12,085, afe now on their fixth voyage; in cafe the Company had adhered to their former fyftem of taking the fhips for fix voyages only, would they not have required 18,000 tons of thipping to be built in the laft four years, and 12,000 tons more now in progrefs, to replace thofe worn out on their laft voyage? - Certainly, if the thips had not been engaged on further employment.

    Then to this engagement for'two additional voyages, may be in a great meafure attributed there being no fhips built or required by the Company ? - It certainly muft have had a tendency to reduce the number very materially.

    There being ten of thofe thirteen hhips now on their laft voyage, do not you think it neceffary to rebuild thips in the River to replace them? - It muft reft with the Court of Directors, it will depend on what they think neceffary to replace the deficiency.
    Do you recollect how long it is fince the Company took up fhips built in India for their regular fervice? - About two years ago.

    It has ufually been the pradice for the regular trade of the Company to be carried on in Britih-built thips? -Up to the year 1804:

    Do you know the reafons-which induced the Directors to depart from that practice ? - I always underftood the reafon to be, that the price of building in this, country had increãfed very materiallys and it was thought by introducing Indianbuilt fhips it would be reduced.

    Has that bèen'thé confequence with" relpect to freight?-I think the price was lowered in two or three years as much as 5l. a ton.

    Do you mean the price of building, or the price of freight?-The price of Mr. Jobn Morice. building.

    The regular thips are obliged to undergo certain repairs while they are in the fervice; thefe repairs are at the expence of the owners, of courfe ?-Entirely.

    And there is a material difference between the repairs of India flips and Britilhbuilt thips? -No doubt of it.

    What is the difference of freight paid by the Company on India-built fhips and Britih-built thips, in their contract?-The freight is nearly the fame at prefent.
    You have ftated, that when a thip was loft, which had performed lefs than five voyages, the owners had a fort of right to rebuild upon the bottom; that it is an admitted claim, though not an abfolute right. When the owners have a power of building on the bottom of a fhip worn out, is it optional with them to build either in the Thames or in India ?-No; it is optional with the Company.

    Then it depends upon the permifion of the Company, whether they fhall build in the Thames or in India?-Entirely.

    Then there is no obligation, in confequence of a fhip being loft, that the building of that hip thould be on the Thames?-No, none.

    ## 

    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.The Petition of the Mafters, Ship Builders, and Owners of Ships in the Port of Whitehaven, and Ports adjacent, in the County of Cumberland, was read.-No Agent appeared.

    ## Mr. Tbomas Noakes, was Called in; and Examined by Mr. Harrison.

    I BELIEVE you are draftfman and purveyor of the yard of Mefirs. Wigram and Green, at Blackwall?-I am.

    Mr.
    Tbomas Noakes.

    That is the yard that was formerly Mr. Wells's?-Yes.
    How many years have you been in that fituation ?-I have been in the employment of Meffrs. Wells, and Meffrs. Wigram and Green, for three-and-thirty years.

    Do you alfo carry on any bufinefs on your own account ?-The bufinefs of a hipjoiner as well.

    What is the duty of a purveyor?-Purchafing the timber and marking it in the country.

    Do you go into ,the country yourfelf, to look at it, when you make a purchafe?Yes; there is very little timber but what I fee in the country, before it comes into the yard.

    Of whom have you principally purchafed ?-We have purchafed a great quantity of Mr. Larkins, Mr. Alexander, Mr. Ellis, Mr. Stennin, Mieffrs. White \& Rogers, and many others; I do not know that I can enumerate all of them.

    Is it the Mr. Larkins who is connected with Mr. Morris?-Yes.
    Can you ftate the number of hips that have been built in the yard of Wells and Wigram, within the laft thirty years, for Government and the merchant fervice?We have built fifty-five fhips for Government, and forty for the Eaft India company's fervice, and eight for the Weft India fervice; the total amount of their tonnage is 90,922 tons.
    That is in the laft thirty years?-It is the number in the laft twenty years, fince 1794.

    Does that number include fir frigates?-Yes.
    Were any other veffels built of fir except thofe frigates?-No.
    Is there any oak in the conftruction of fir frigates?-Some oak; about 150 loads in each frigate.

    What was the laft Indiaman built in the gard of Meffrs. Wigram and Green?-In 1812, fhe was launched in 1813 .
    Had they in that year any King's work to do ?-Yes; we built two frigates in 1813.

    Had they any King's work to do in 1812 ; - No; in 1813 we built ten frigates.
    (72.) $40{ }^{0}$

    What fize were thofe frigates?-From 1,000 to' 1,500 tons.
    Juft give the aggregate tonnage of the whole ?-Thofe frigates amount to 12,4 tons.

    Within what time were thofe frigates built and launched ?-Within fourte: months.

    Were they built of fir only?-Fir and elm; they were what we call fir frigates
    Then am I to undertand you, there has been no veffel built in the yards Meffrs. Wigram and Green, for the merchants fervice, fince the one built in 181 and launched in 1813 ?-No.

    Is there any veffel now building there?-No, none.
    If you had not had the building of thofe frigates in 18 I 3 , what mult have becont
    of the men?-They muft have gone without any employment.
    How many apprentices are attached to the yard of Meffrs. Wigram and Green?. They have about five-and-forty at prefent.

    Is that a larger number than you have been in the habit of employing, or lefs ?-ii A lefs number; when we were fully employed we had fixty.

    Were Meffrs. Wigram and Green regularly bred themeives to the bufinefs ?-1: Yes.

    Do they live on the fpot, and carry it on themfelve?-Yes, both of them.
    Have you been in the habit, in that yard, of employing any but fhipwrights whit have ferved their regular apprenticefhips?-Not to work as fhipwrights.

    What other defcription of perfons do you employ?-We employ the houfe-ca3 penters to do the houfe-carpenters work; we have the different trades, but we nevi employ any other perfon but a fhipwright to do the work of a fhipwright.
    Does it require the full length of period that an apprentice ferves to enable him th work with effect?-Certainly.
    You have ftated the number of thips that have been built in this yard, of differer defcriptions; have you, in the bufinefs you have carried on in building thofe veffelt ever found any difficulty in procuring timber for that yard ${ }_{2}$ as their purveyor ?-1 Never.

    Have Meffrs, Wigram and Green ever declined any contract, from an apprif henfion that they thould find any difficulty? -Never.

    Can you give me the aggregate quantity of timber and plank expended in thit yard for the laft twenty years?-Yes; 82,413 loads of oak timber; 23,448 loac. of oak plank.

    Is that Englifh oak or foreign?-All Englifh.
    Committte.]-Is this for the whole ufe of the yard, building and repairs?-w Yes.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Can you tell how much of that quantity was bought of Meffrs. Larkins and Morris?-I have got the account of that for the laft te. years ; 17, 145 loads, of oak timber, 'and 2,598 loads of plank; the total value of that is $170,03.2 l$.

    Is there a very material difference in the proportion of each year ?-Yes; a veri great difference; here is a lift.
    [It was delivered in, and tead, as'follows.]
    An Account of Oak Timber and Plank received by Meffrs. Wells \& Co. from John Larkins \& Co, from the year 1804 to 1813.
    

    I want to afcertain the quantity of timber, of different metings, ufed in a thip of 1,200 tons?-Here is "An account of the quantity of Englifh oak timber ufed in the frame, and of the oak plank of a thip of 1,200 tons, and one of 1,000 tons. For a fhip of $1,2 c o$ tons, oak timber and plank from thirty to fifty feet, 400 loads; from fifty to fixty feet, 300 loads; fixty feet and upwards, 600 loads; total $t, 8 \circ 0$ loads. For a fhip of 1,000 tons, oak timber and plank from thirty to fifty feet, 300 loads; from fifty to fixty feet, 700 loads ; fixty feet and upwards, 500 loads; total 1,500 loads."
    Do thofe meafurements include plank as well as timber? - Yes.
    Has the fort of timber ufed in the conftruction of the frame of a veffel been fmaller latterly than it was thought neceflary to ufe formerly ?-Yes; the fcantlings of the feventy-fours particularly.

    Do you prefer ufing timber that is nearly of the fize you want for the purpofe. and that will cut fufficiently fquare for the ufe, to the fame fized piece of timber cut more die fquare out of a large piece?-Yes, certainly; it is more durable if you can get it to the fize you want it, becaufe it is younger; and a young piece of timber is more durable than an old piece.
    Committee.]-Then young timber is beft?-Yes, if you can get it large enough. Would you give more for young timber than for old, if you were buying it ? -No.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-I believe you call the cutting a tree into the fhape neceffary for the part of the fhip into which it is to be put, converfion of it?-Yes.
    And I am to undertand you, that what you would call the beft converfion, is the ufing timber neareft to the fize applicable for the purpofe?-Certainly; beft for the fhip, and beft for the builder.

    Then, if a fmaller timber has been ufed than formerly, that has not arifen from the fcarcity of timber, but from what you would call an improvement in the converfion of the timber?-Certainly; we get it eafier, becaufe the timbers are not fo long and fo round as they were formerly.

    Can you flate how many pieces of the very largeft fize a ${ }_{\text {hip }}$ of $\mathbf{I}, 200$ tons would require? - Not more than two or three very large trees,
    To what purpofes, in the confruction of a hip, are thofe large trees applied?The rudders principally, and keels or pieces; there is nothing very large in an Eaft India thip but thofe.
    Is the cutwater a large piece of timber?-No.
    The ftem poft, is that large ?-Yes, you may reckon that as one of the large trees: the flern poft, the rudder, and the two keels or pieces.
    The wing tranfom, is that a large piece ?-Yes; it is about the fize of the keels or pieces.
    Then it does not require more than a fmall number of the very large trees of all; to conftruct a veffel of $\mathrm{I}, \mathbf{2 0 0}$ tons?-Certainly not.
    Is that alfo the cafe in the conftruction of a feventy-four? Yes, exactly.
    The parts that I have before ftated, are they parts that in a feventy-four alfo require the largell fize timber? - Yes.
    Can you fate the amount of repairs that have been done in your yard upon fhips built in India ?-" The Surat Caftle, of $\mathbf{r , 1} 139$ tons, going on her firt voyage, coft $4,417 \mathrm{l} .45$; on her fecond, 3,472l. 55. ; on her third, 2,3961. 19s. 3 d .; on her fourth, 1,751/. 14r. 8d.: The Scalebay Cafte, of 1,237 tons, on her firt voyage, 3,002l. 11s. 3 d.; on her fecond, 1,777 l. 13 s. 9 d. ; on her third, $8,7681.25 .4$ d.; on her fourth, 1,237 . 19s. Id.: The Bombay, of 1,279 tons, on her firft voyage, 2,686l. 7s. 7d. ; on her fecond, 1,5081. 16s. 4d.; on her third, 1,018l. 3f. 3 d.: The Thomas Grenville, of 923 tons, on her firft voyage, 1,554 . 18 s .7 d. ; on her fecond, $1,42 \mathrm{Il} .3 \mathrm{~s} .11 \mathrm{~d}$. ; on her third, $1,415 \mathrm{l}$. 7 s .8 d. : The Charles Grant, of 1,252 tons, on her firt vopage, 1,5761 . 8s. 11d.; on her fecond, $\mathrm{i}, 411 \mathrm{l}$. 18 s . $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ : The Moffat, of 750 tons, on her firf voyage, 1,860l. 2s. 5 d. ; on her fecond; 845 l. 7s. 4 dr"
    What is the nature of the repairs done the firft voyage; are they what you wouldcall repairs, or are they additional faftenings and things of that fort, arifing from their not being fo careful in the confruction in India as they are here?-The two firf hips, when they began their firft voyage here, were old fhips, and not new hips.

    Thofe therefore you would confider as common repairs?-No; they require more Thomas Noakes. faftening than the new Bips would, becaufe we fuppofe the new fhips come home better faftened than they were.

    In the Bombay, Thomas Grenville, Charles Grant, and Moffat, you have put down different fums againft them for the voyage; what defription was the repairs of them?-Caulking, and a number of different trifling things on the firft voyage. The new hips do not want any very great addition to them.

    Will you be good enough to fate the amount of repairs of a Britih-built thip of the fame fize, under fimilar circumftances, in the India fervice ?-"The Royal George, of 1,257 tons, on her firft voyage, 1,4971. 45. 5d.; on her fecond, 5,945l. 175. 1d.; on her third, 14,852l.; on her fourth voyage, $1,530 l$.: The Marquis of Ely, of 1,257 tons, on her firft voyage, $1,014 \%$; on her fecond voyage, 3,075l.; on her third voyage, 20,327.; on her fourth, 2,092l.: The Effex, of 1,257 tons, on her firft voyage, 1,629l. ; on her fecond voyage, 2,457 h ; on her third voyage, 6,6601 ; on her fourth voyage, 3,0301 : The Wexford, of 1,257 tons, on her firft voyage, 890l.; on her fecond voyage, $2,721 \mathrm{l}$; on her third voyage, $16,151 l$. ; on her fourth, 2,0841 ."
    What lhips are thofe on which you have given this ftatement? -We have felected, in this flatement, the fhips which come near to the fame fize as the Indiabuilt fhips, about 1,200 ton fhips.

    And in both inftances they have occurred at late periods?-Certainly.
    Committee.]-You mean to affure the Committee, there is nothing particular about thofe fhips, but that you have taken them out by accident?-Yes.
    And this is about the average repairs of the two defcriptions of hips?-Yes, I think fo.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-You think the inftances you have given to the Committee would afford a fair average of repairs of thefe defcriptions of veffels? - Yes.
    Are you acquainted with the conftruction and mode of fattening of the Dublin and the Rodney?-Yes.
    They were feventy-fours, I believe?-Yes.
    When were they built? I cannot fpeak exactly to the year.
    Will you fate to the Committee what was the conftruction and mode of faftening of thofe two veffels? -They were faftened on the plan of Mr. Peake, the Surveyor of the Navy; there are three different modes of faftening fhips as fubfitutes for knees; one is on Mr. Sepping's plan ; one Mr. Peake's, and one Mr. Roberts.

    - And thofe were faftened on Mr. Peake's plan ? - Yes.

    Was that done under the direction of the infpector of the Navy Board ?-It was done by the order of the Navy Board, agreeably to the contract.
    Were the Dublin and the Rodney both got forward with great expedition, or the Rodney only ?-I cannot fpeak to that, becaufe they were not built in the yard
    I am in.
    I heard the to your knowledge afterwards, that thofe fhips failed in any refpect ? Whard that they were fent home from fea, in confequence of being weak.
    fecuring the hhip; it did nef faltenings, or of what?-It was the fault of the plan of What fort of ghipping have you built as sour building of the fhip.
    India fhipping.
    In peace as well as in war?-In peace as well as in war.
    What fock of timber have you ar this time? -We have 1,683 loads of oak timber. And how much plank ?-1,311 loads.
    At what metings is the timber ?- The timber will mete at 64 feet.
    Meffrs. Larkins and Company about 5,523 lately ? - Worth. Yes; we fold laft year to Of what fize and quality ? Abut 5,523 . worth.
    metings; timber and plank together, amounted to, that fut fixty and fixty-four feet Look at that paper [bandingether, amounted to that fum.
    returns of the number of men in each witnefs]; is that made out by you?-The. was made out from thofe returns. From the feveral yards?-Yes.

    Do you know the return to be correct, as to Meffrs. Wigram and Green? - I know the returns to be cqrrect of the whole, becaufe I have examined them particularly.

    Committee,]-Did you examine them from the books that were in the yard? Yes; with our books at Blackwall.

    - Mr. Adolpbus:] - With refpect to the return from Meffrs. Wigram, do you know that thofe returns are true? - Yes; I faw the perfon making them out, and affifted in making them out.

    What information have you as to the other yards? - I have feen the originals.
    You received certain papers from the fuperintending people at each of thofe yards? -Yes.
    Did you reduce them into the fhape in which they are now? - I did.
    Then all you know of it is, that you have faithfully tranfcribed what you found in that paper, putting them into the form that you thought would be defirable for this Committee? - Yes.

    How did you get the information from the feveral yards from which you drew thefe figures? - I wrote a letter to the proprietors of each yard, requiring a return of the number of men employed by them, on the laft pay days in the months of March, June; September, and December, in each year, and I received the papers in anfwer, from which I have made up this return.

    ## [It was delivered in.]

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    You have faid, in the courfe of your evidence, that it required feven years apprencefhip to perfect a fhipwright in his bufinefs? - Yes; I think it does.
    Tellofhe Committee, is not it the practice of the yards in London, to charge for le work of an apprentice who has completed his third year, the full price of a beft prkman? - Certainly not.
    At what time is an apprentice fo charged? - Not till he is out of his time.
    Never? - Not to my knowledge.
    ; You are quite fure of that; you know the method of charging, and you flate that pm your own knowledge? - Yes.
    During the time you have been in Meffrs. Wigram's yard, have you not known Ifrequent inftances of the workmen combining together to raife their wages? es; there was a ftanding out about eleven or twelve years ago by the workmen.
    They did, in fact, compel the mafters to raife their wages at that time, did they tt ? - Their wages were raifed at that time.
    -The mafters were obliged to give way, were they not? - Of courfe ; if the wages ere raifed it was in confequence of their ftanding out; but it was in confequence of e high price of provifions that they food out.
    The fact was fo, that they demanded higher wages, and the mafters refifted? - Yes.
    How long was the flanding out? - A month or two ; it is fo long ago, I cannot te pofitively.
    During which time no bufinefs could be done in the River? - No, there was very de.
    It was fufpended by this combination? - Yes.
    In what year was that? - 1802 or 1803 .
    And did not the mafters give way? - I believe it was compromifed between the ifters and the men.
    What was the rife effected by that compromife 3-The eftablifhed wages before, pat they call the nominal wages, were 3 s. $6 d$. a day, and from that time it has en 5 s.
    What is your rate for beft men? - We pay from five, to fix and feven thillings. Is that the day of twelve hours?- Yes..
    To what do you attribute, generally fpeaking, the fmall quantity of repairs rered by India-built fhips, in comparifon with thofe required by the River-built ps.? - Becaufe the wood is more durable.
    Is not your cuftom now.in yards to pay more by job work? - Principally by job f.
    (72.)
    4 P
    That

    Mr. That job work is principally taken by gangs ? - Sometimes by gangs, and fomeThomas Noakes. times by the fingle man.

    Is not it principally done by gangs? - Yes, principally.
    The head man acts for the gang, and makes the bargain for them ? - Yes.
    What is the nature of the government of thofe gangs, do you know at all; do they carry the acceptance or refufal of work by majority, or how do they manage? - I do not know how they manage.

    What number in general does a full gang confift of?-A dozen, or lefs; fometimes four, fix, eight, or ten, according to the nature of the job they are employed upon.
    You know that there are general clubs of the fhipwrights? - Yes.
    Meeting-houfes where they meet for the purpole of confidering the interefts of the workmen? - They meet at different houles, but for what purpofe I do not know, I never attended them.
    Have you never feen their advertifements or proceedings? - There was a book publifhed by them, I believe, fome years ago, but I do not recollect the purport of it now.
    Suppofe you differ with one gang about the price of work, do you always find it very eafy to get another? - Sometimes we do, and fometimes not.
    Have not difficulties frequently occurred in the fervice of Meffrs. Wigram from higher rates of wages being demanded by the men, than the mafter thought fair? -Sometimes there has been a difference between the officers and the men about the price of jobs.
    Have not thofe difficulties frequently occurred? - Not frequently.
    Mr. Adolpbus.] - You have fpoken of a frike of the men, when they held out about a month or two; was not that at a time when men of war were repairing in the River? - Yes; I think it was.
    Was it within your knowledge, that the mafters were compelled, by the Government furveyors, to yield to the difference propofed by the men, in order to go on with the work Government had in hand ? - I do not recollect. that circumftance.
    Committec.] - When was the laft of the frigates launched by Meffrs. Wigram ? Laft February.
    When was a feventy-four launched in that yard? - In 1812 .
    Do you know how many feventy-faurs you have built within the laft ten years? Ten.

    And how many Indiamen? - Sixteen, I think.
    Have you had, in the prefent year, the doing of any repairs for King's fhips? Not any.
    In the laft year? - Not any.
    In the year before? - No.
    How lately have you had any repairs of King's chips? - I think it mult be eight or nine years back.
    In the account you have delivered in, it appears that the largef number of ihipwrights employed in Meffrs, Wigram's yard was in the year 1813 , which you account for by building ten frigates, and launching one Indiaman ? - Yes.
    The Committee obferve, that in the year 1805 and 1806 , you employed nearly the fame number of fhipwrights; to what was that owing? - That was at the time we repaired the Mips for Government.
    You had no particular building of Indiamen in thofe years? - No, we had not. Did you build any Indiamen in 1810? - One.
    What was the name of that Indiaman? - I have not her name down.
    Did you build the Rofe? - Yes; and it is likely it was the Rofe.
    And was the increafe in the number of fhipwrights that took place in that year owing to the building of that Indiaman ? - It may be owing to the repairs of Indiamen at that time.
    Had you any Government work in the year 1810? - We had three feventy-fours building at that time.
    You faid, that you ufed 82,000 loads of oak timber in twenty years? - Yes.

    Have you the means of forming any eftimate of the appropriation of that timber, as between fhips built for the Eaft India Company and other works?-No; not at prefent.

    Can you form, from your general knowledge, any guefs ? - No, I cannot hazard an opinion.

    Can you fay that half was ufed for the India company? - I cannot afcertain that.
    Of the 90,922 tons, how much was for the Eaft India company, and how much for Government? - Forty-one thouland nine handred and ninety-four for the Company ; 45,644 tons for Government; the Weft India hips 3,334 -
    You have faid, that you were not in the habit of employing others than regular thipwrights to do the work of fhipwrights? - Certainly not.
    Not even in the courfe of an extraordinary' prefs? -I never knew an inftance in my life.
    From what fource do you apprehend the additional number of Mipwrights you employed in 1813, as compared with 1812, was fupplied ? - There being no work at the other yards, or very little; there was no building at the other yards at that time.

    What do you apprehend has become of them now ? - The greateft part of them are walking about unemplojed.

    Do not you know that Meffrs. Wigram have had by far more of India building than any other yard in the river? - It is a much larger yard.
    Do not you know that they have had five times the building of any other yard? I do not exacly know that.

    Have you any doubt of it? - Not five times, I fhould think, certainly.
    What other yard has come near to it ? - Meffrs. Barnard's come next.
    Have Meffrs. Barnard had near as much as Meffrs. Wigram \& Green ? - I do not know that they have, but I cannot fpeak with certainty.

    Any other perfon any confiderable quantity? - Mr. Brent:
    Has he had near fo much as Wigram \& Green ? - Nearly; he may not have had fo much.
    Meffrs. Wigram have had by far the moft confiderable part? - Yes; they have double the quantity of means.
    How many llips have you for building? - Seven.
    And how many docks? - Two doable and twa fingle.
    How many has Mr. Barnard? - Mr. Barnard has two double docks, and one fingle dock.
    And how many lips ? - Four, I think.
    And Meffrs. Brent? - Two double docks, I believe, and one fingle one.
    And how many lips? - Seven lips.
    Are all thofe llips you have fpoken of capable of bailding large fhips ? - Yes.
    Have you a lift with you of the India fhips actually built by Meffrs. Wigram-\& Green, within the laft twenty years ? - Yes, I have.
    What is the total tonnage? -The total tonnage of Indiamen 41,944 tons.
    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Are Meffrs. Brent's flips as large as yours? - Yes.
    One with another? - No, not the whole of them; he has fome llips that are only fit to build Welt Indiamen.
    But all yours are fit to build Eaft Indiamen? - All but one:
    [Tbe follorving paper was delivered in and read.]

    The State of the private Shipbuilder's Yards in the River Thames,

    | BUILDERS. | Where fituate. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Slips } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { building } \\ \text { Sbips. } \end{array}$ | Docks for repairing Ships. |  | Ships <br> now building. | Shipa <br> now repairing. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | Double taking two. | Single. |  |  |
    | Meffrs Pitcher \& Sons | Northfleet | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | - |
    | Meffrs. Pitcher \& Sons | Blackwall - - | 1 |  | - | - | - |
    |  | Do. - | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
    | Pitchard \& Sons | Do. | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
    |  | \{Deptford and $\}$ | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |
    | Barnard \& Roberts | $\{$ Rotherbithe $\}$ |  |  | 1 |  | - |
    | Dudman \& Co. - | Deptford - - | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | - - | 1 |
    | S. D. Brents \& Sons | Mill Wall - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
    | Blacket * | Limehoule - | 2 | 2 | - |  | 1 |
    | Hill \& Sons ${ }^{\text {Co}}$ | Do. - - | 3 | 2 |  | - | $\cdots$ |
    | Tibbatt \& Co. - | Do. - - | 1 | 1 | - - |  | 2 |
    | Dowfon \& Co. | Do. - - | - - | 1 |  |  | I |
    | Young \& Co. | Rotherhithe - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
    | Thompfon - | Do. - - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |
    | Meftaer - | Do. - - | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |
    | Longbottom | Ratcliff Crois | - | 1 |  |  | - 2 |
    | Fletcher \& Son | Shadwell - - | - | 1 | - | - - | - 1 |
    | Lake \& Co. | Wapping - - | - | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
    | Beation \& Co. | Rotherhithe - |  | 1 | - |  |  |
    | Mitchel \& Co. | Mill Wall - | - | - - | 1 | - | - |
    | Rattenbury | Rotherhithe - | - | - | 1 |  | - 1 |
    | Weftlake - | Do. |  |  | 1 |  |  |
    |  | Total - | 41 | 50 | 12 |  | 16 |


    ## [The following Accounts, and Papers, were read:]

    (41.) -Timber cut, in the laft 7 gears, in H. M. Foreft of Dean ..... 346
    (42.) -Timber flanding in H. M. Foreft of Dean ..... ibid.
    (43.) -Survey of Oak Timber Atanding in the Foreft of Dean, 1808 ..... ibid.
    (44.)-Teak Timber, or other Afiatic Wood, parchafed by the Navy Board, 1800-1814 ..... 347
    (45.) - Britifh North American Pine or Fir Timber, contraAed for by the Navy Board ..... ibid.
    (46.) -Ships (not Indiamen) launched in the River Thames, for the Merchants Service, ? fince $1770, \& c$. ..... 348
    (47.)-Correfpondence between the Admiralty and the Navy Board, in $\mathbf{1 8 0 5}$, on the
    fubjett of building 74-Gun Ships ..... 350

    ## ACCOUNTS, \&c.

    $$
    -(4 t .)-
    $$

    RETURN to an Order of a Select Committee of the Honourable the Houfe of Commons on Eait India Shipping, dated 6th May 1814 , "That there be laid " before that Committee an Account of all Timber which may have been cut in " the Forelt of Dean, during the laft feven Years; difinguifhing the Amount of "Fall in each Year; and the Sizes of the Timber, and the Ufes to which 'each "different Defcription was applicable."

    |  | OAK. | BEECHı |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Loads Fe. | Loads Ft. |
    | In 1807 - 608 oak, and 13 beech trees - - | 1,02̀6 33 | 4818 |
    | - 1808 - 889 oak, and 19 beech trees . - | 1,559 13 | 604 |
    | - 1809 - 2,714 oak, and 366 beech trees - - | 4,430 12 | 53114 |
    | -1810-1,175 oak, and 280 beech trees - - | 1,829 34 | 24645 |
    | - 1811 - 523 cak, and 327 beech trees - | 84439 | 30021 |
    | - 1812-1,055 oak trees - - - - - | 1,481 31 | - |
    | -1813-1,251 oak trees - - . . . | 1,864 It | - |
    |  | 13,036 23 | 1,187 2 |

    Office of Woods, 16th May 1814 .
    A. MILNE,

    Secretary to the faid Commiffioners for the Department of Woods and Forefts.

    $$
    -(42 .)-
    $$

    RETURN to an Order of a Select Committee of the Houfe of Commons on Eaft India Shipping, dated 6th May 1814, for an Account of all Timber ftanding in His Majefty's Foreft of Dean.

    In purfuance of an Order of a Select Committee of the Honourable the Houfe of Commons on Eaft India Shipping, dated 6th May 1814, "That there be laid before "that Committee a Copy of an Account and Valuation delivered in by Meffrs. Driver " in the Years 1806, 1807, or 1808, of all Timber then ftanding in His Majefty's "Foreft of Dean, preferving all Diftinctions which may ftand in that Account of "the Sizes of the Timber, and the Ufes for which its different Sizes and Shapes " are fit."

    THE original Survey, delivered by Mefrs. Driver to the Sutveyor General of His Majeft's Woods, Forefts, \&cc. is fent herewith; and it is requefted that the fame may be preferved, and returned to the Office of the Commifioners of His Majefty's Woods, Forefts, and Land Revenues.

    ## A. MILNE,

    Office of Woods, 16th May 1814.

    Secretary to the faid Commiffioners for the
    Department of Woods and Forefts.

    $$
    -(43 .)-
    $$

    A SURVEY of all the Oak Timber fituate and fanding in the Forelt of Dean, in the County of Gloucenter, belonging to His Majefty; by A. W. \& E. Driver, 1808.
    

    There are in the whole about 9,500 tillers, exclufive of thofe mentioned in the Survey.
    $\qquad$ A. W, \& E. DRIVER.

    Sept. 16. 1808.
    -

    An ACCOUNT of the Quantities of Teax Timber, or other Afiatick Wood, purchafed by the Navy Board, $1800-14$.

    $$
    \text { Navy Office, irth May, } 18 \text { r4. }
    $$

    IN purfuance of a Precept, dated the 20th April, from the Select Committee of the Houfe of Commons on Eaft India Shipping, to lay before them an Account of the Quantity of Teak or other Afiatick Wood, diftinguifhing the fame purchafed by the Navy Board, in each year fince 1800, and the price per load or ton paid for the fame, with the Reports of the Board's Officers in the feveral Yards thereon; the following Information is afforded.
    Reference has been had to the accounts of the naval officers in the Eaft Indies, and there appears no other charge therein for teak purchafed, than for a quantity fent to England, in January 1812, by the Dromedary ftore-fhip.
    The coft of this timber was 30,567 rupees, being at the exchange of 2 s .6 d . the rupee, 3,8201. 17s. $6 d$.

    The quantity, as reported by the officers of Woolwich Yard, is as follows :
    

    Which makes the coft, taking the rough and the fided together, near $\notin$ ri. a load.
    It has not been confidered neceffary to call upon the officers for any report upon teak wood, its quality being fo well known for fitnefs for naval purpofes and for durability.
    The principal fupplies of Afiatic wood have been by the Eaft India Company; for the building of fipips of war in India; and the account of the expences of the fame has not yet been delivered.
    E. BOUVERIE.

    ROBERT MIDDLETON.
    E. STEWART.
    

    Navy Office, $13^{\text {th }}$ May, 1814 .
    An ACCOUNT of the Quantity of Britifh North American Pins or Fir Timber, contracted for by the Navy Board, fince 1795, diftinguighing each year.
    
    N. B.-Several other contrac̣ts have been made by the Navy Board for the fupply, of North American Pine or Fir Timber ; but it has not been ftipulated in fuch contracts whether the 'Timber fhall be of the growth of the Britifh Colonies in North America, or of any of the: neighbouring American States; for which reafon they are not inferted in this account.
    F. J. HARTWELL. R.SEPPINGS. H. LEGGE.

    $$
    -(46 .)-
    $$

    A RETURN of all SHIPS (not Eaft Indiamen) launched in the River Thames for the Merchanta Service, in each Year, from 1770 to the lateft period to which the fame can be made up; with the Name and Tonnage of each Ship, and alfo. of any that may be now building, or under orders to be built, in the faid River.
    
    

    Ssirps (not Eaft Indiamen) launched in the River Thames-continued. ${ }^{*}$
    

    Ships (not Eaft Indiamen) launched in the River Thames - continued.
    

    Siniss (not Eaft Indiamen) launched in the River Thames-continued.
    
    Suyps (not Ealt Indiamen) launched in the River Thames-continued.
    

    Suips (not Eaft Indiamen) launched in the River Thames -continued.
    

    Shups (Not Eaft Indiamen) launched in the River Thames-continued.
    
    N. B. The Regitry AEt took place in the year 1786, and is the earlieft period from which this Account can be made up.

    The Regitter General of Shipping has no accurate means of dittinguilbing the particular veffels built to be employed in 'the trade to India; he has, however, omitted to infert, in the foregoing -Account, all thole, which from their tonnage and the bell information he has been able to collect, it is prefumed, were intended for that fervice. No Account can be given from this Office of any velfels that may be now building, or are under orders to be built.

    Cuftom Houfe, London.
    Office of the Regifter General of Shipping, 30th April, 1814.
    T.E.WILLOUGHBY, Reg! Gen!.

    $$
    -(47)-=
    $$

    Copies of the CORRESPONDENCE between the Admiralty and the Nary Board on the fubje $C$ of building $74-\mathrm{gun}$ hhips, in 1805.
    (Secret.)

    $$
    \text { Gentlemen, Admiralty Office, } 18 \text { th December, } 1804 .
    $$

    WE do hereby defire and direct you to take fuch meafures as you fhall judge proper for contraciing, upon the beft and cheapelt terms in your power, for building in the merchants yards ten 74-gun hips for His Majefty, after the model of the Hero and Repulfe; reporting to us, as foon as conveniently may be, the yards at which the faid chips are to be built, and tranfmitting eftimates, in due form, of the expenfe.

    We are your affectionate Friends,
    J. GAMBIER.

    JN. COLPOYS.
    PHILIP PATTON.
    (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon.

    ## Navy Board.

    Sir, Navy Office, 12 th January, 1805.
    WE defire you will pleafe to acquaint the Right honourable the Lords Commiffioners of the Admiralty, that in obedience to their Lordmips orders of the I 8th ultimo, to take fuch meafures as we thould judge proper for contracting, upon the beft and cheapeft terms in our power, for building in the merchants yards ten 74-gun hips for His Majefty;
    We judged it moft expedient to adopt the mode we purfued when the 74-gun thips were contracted for in February 1800, by calling upon the River Builders (as fcarcely any others can be depended upon for building line-of-battle fhips) to attend the Board for the purpofe of confidering of a fair and reafonable price, under all exifting circumftances; when, after a long converfation, and hearing from them a ftatement of their grievances, and the great loffes they endured by the laft 74 -gun thips they built on the contract made in 1800, they retired from the Board, and in a fhort time delivered in the following calculation, as the loweft price at which they conceived a 74 -gun thip could be now built :
    

    As this ftatement went far beyond our expectations, and fo far exceeded the propofals made by the fame perfons in January 1803, which on an average' was under 261. per ton, we did not hefitate to immediately difmifg the Builders, informing them, that no kbips would be built unlefs thofe prices were confiderably reduced.
    With this information the Comptroller waited upon Lord Melville, acquainting his Lordship, it was the opinion of the Navy Board that nothing but urgent neceflity could warrant fuch a price to be given; when his LordMip thought proper to fend for Mr. Perry, a perfon of great experience in thipbuilding, without having any concerns in bufinefs, and who is a man of excellent character, to requeft he would examine the fhipbuilders' eftimate, which he accordingly undertook to do; and having examined a very minute and detailed eftimate of all the expenfes likely to be incurred in building fo great a number of hips at the prefent time, expreffed his opinion, that their eftimate, though apparently very large, is not extrivagant or unrearonable.
    This detailed eftimate has now been laid before the Navy Board, and amounts to an increafed price, beyond their rough eftimate, of 2 I. 1 Is. 3 d. per ton, making the whole price 361 . ids. 3 d . per ton; and at that rate they have made an offer to take among them nine /hips.

    The Surveyors of the Navy have very minutely examined each article of the eftimate, both as to quantity and price of all the materials and workmanfip, and having compared them with the prefent navy contrad prices, have reduced it (after allowing the full amount for fuch articles of expenfe as are not known in the King's yards) to 33 l. I4s. IId. per ton.

    It is further neceffary for their Lordhips fuller information on this important fubject, to acquaint them, that a Mr. Brindley, of Frinfbury, contracted with the Navy Board, in February 1803, to build 2 74-gun thip at 21I. yer ton; and that Meffrs. Adams \& Co. of Bucklerfhard, contraded in January 1804, to build a 74-gun thip at 24l. 10s. per ton; but there is folittle dependence upon their being finifhed according to their contracts, that the keel of the latter thip is not yet laid down, nor the former fufficiently advanced to give any expectation of their being finighed in any reafonable time.

    Under thefe circumftances, we requeft the Lords Commifioners of the Admiralty will pleafe to give us their advice and directions; firf, whether it may be proper to offer ta the Builders the great increafe of price to 34 l. per ton, according to their firft rough calculation; and, fecondly, in the event of their refufal to build the ten Chips on thofe terms, whether, from exifting circumftanees, thete appears to be fo urgent a neceflity for the meafure as to authorize our agreeing to the extraordinary high demand of $36 \%$. per ton.

    | We are, Sir, your very humble Servants, |  |
    | :---: | :--- |
    | A.S. Hammond. | Wm Palmer. |
    | H. Duncan. | H. Harmood. |
    | J. Henslow. | S. Gambier. |
    | WmRule. | F. J. Hartwell. |

    (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon.

    William Marfden, Efq.
    Admiralty Office, 22d January, 1805.
    Having laid before my Lords Commiffioners of the Admiralty your letter to me of the 12th inftant, relative to building ten 74 -gun Khips for His Majefty in the merchants yards, which by their order of the 18th ultimo you were directed to contract for upon the beft and cheapeft terms in your power; I have their Lordhips commands to acquaint you, that the circumftances of the public fervice render it effentially neceffary that ten fail of line of battle thips of that rate thould be laid down and completed with as little delay 28 poffible, and to fignify their direction to you to contract immediately for building and completing that number of line of battle chips accor dingly, upon the beft and cheapeft terms in your power.
    (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon,

    I am, Gentlemen,<br>Your moft obedient humble fervant,<br>WM. MARSDEN.

    ## Navy Board.

    Sir,
    Navy Office, 3 If January, 1805.1
    We requeft you will inform the Right honourable the Lords Commifioners of the Admiralty, that in conformity to their LordMhips order, dated the 22d inftant, we have contracted with the following Merchant Builders in the River, for eight \{eventy-four gun Thips; diftributed in the manner, and to be completed in the time refpectively expreffed againft their names:
    

    It is, however, with much concern we have to inform their Lordhips, we have not been able to "ptevail with the Builders to abate more than the fractional fum of eleven Chillings per ton in the price they demanded for building thefe flips; they refifted every propofition made to them that fell hort of the price we have at length agreed upon, which we are forry "to fay is 361 . per ton, exceeding the eftimate which had been made by' the Surveyors of the Navy in the fum of $2 l .55$. per ton, after the maft liberal confideration of the fatements of particulars which had been delivered in by the Merchant Builders, and which ftatement we fequired of them, in order that we might afcertain the grounds upon which they demanded fo -great an increafe of rol. per ton on the price at which fome of them had tendered to build feventy-four gun hips in January 1803, but which tender their Lordhips at that time did not - deem it advifable that we fhould accept.

    Notwithiftanding the excefs of price demanded by the Builders beyond the eftimates of the Surveyors of the Nary, at firlt view may feem unreafonable, we cannot but acknowledge that it appears lefs fo , when all the circumftances they have ftated in virdication of their demands are fairly confidered.

    They reprefented, that they had nothing now to look to but the liberal execution of their contract, having no expectation of any recompenfe, if in cafe of their fixing too low 2 "price, they fhould fuftain a heavy lofs in the completion of the fhips, which they could not forefee at the time of entering into the contrach, and which might refult from an alteration of circumftances during the time the hips are in hand; a confideration which 'operated with this Board, on the occafion of the lofs which the builders fuftained in building the fix feventy-four gun thips contracted for in January 1800, when in confequence of the fame having been proved to the Board, we had pledged ourfelves to indemnify them to a
    certain extent, but which the Lords Commiftioners of the Admiralty did not deem it proper we fhould make good to them in any degree.
    They reprefented that for fome time paft the overfeers employed in fuperintending the work, appear to be actuated by a different dippofition to that which ufed to govern them, namely, a fair, reafonable, workmanlike principle, that had for its object the good of His Majelty's fervice, without opprefling the builder, inftead of which they feemed now to be aQuated too generally by a fpirit of frianef's, which gives rife to many difficulties and impediments in the exccution of their contrad.

    They reprefented, that in juftice to themfelves and families, it -muft be exprefsly fipulated, that the certificate which has been invariably given by His Majefty's officers, of the ohip being completed agreeably to contrae, thould by final on the part of Governments in order that they may not be fubject to vexatious profecutions years afterwards, as in the cate of the Ajax.

    They alfo reprefented, that the great provifion we had made for furnining the dock yards with a large flock of timber (in confequence of the exhaulted ftate in which they had been of that article) mult operate moft powerfully to increafe their difficulties in procuring their fupplies, and confequently raife the price very confiderably. At this part of the conference, one of the builders begged we would intorm them if a report, which had reached them, was founded in truth, viz. that we had agents in the country employed with Government money, to the amount of $£ 40,000$. in purchafing timber of the next fall; if fo, it would be impolfible for them, without ruin to themfelves, to undertake the contract which was now in agitation. We could have no hefitation, in anfwer to this.quellion, to fay, we had no agents employed in purchating timber in the country; but as we wihed to know the authority on which fuch a report was founded, we defired them to tell us from whom they obtained this information; in reply, Mr. Dudman, one of the Builders, fated, that he had received the information from Sir Thomas Troubridge, in order to put him on his guard in his tranfactions with the Navy Board. In confequence of it appearing to us, from what the Builders faid upon this fubjeat, that they might fuppofe (or at leaft make a plea of it hereafter, unlefs informed of the real ftate of the cafe) that the affitance we afforded to two of our principal contractors, to enable them to extend their purchafes and deliveries to replenifh our reduced ftores, might operate to their (the Builders) prejudice, in their provifion of timber fir bulding thefe gips, we deemed it proper, confidentially to inform them of the real nature of the tranfation alluded to, viz. that we had no agent in the country employed in the purchale of timber on commiffion, but, that we had found it neceflary to advance, by way of lonn, at $£ 5$. per cent. intereft, and on ample fecurity, a fum of money, fhort, however, of what the Builders ftated, to two of our principal contraitors, to enable them to extend their purchafes (beyond what their own capitals would reach) to a large quantity of timber which we had been informed would otherwife fall into the hands of the country dealers, and be applied to country purpofes, which timber, or any other that they might purchafe by the means of our loan, was to be delivered in unier the terms of their exifting contrads; but that the effect of this tranfaction was nearly, if not quite terminated, and at all events would, we conceived, extend in a very trifling degree to the enfuing fall; of which they appeared to be fansfied.

    Upon this fubjed, it may now be only neceffary for us to add, that the refolution of the Board to make the advance to the two contratiors (to which there was only one diffenting voice) was made on the 20th July 1804 ; and the knowledge of the tranfaction the Board believed to be confined to the parties, to the Board, and two of their principal cleiks, and to their Lordfhips, communicated by the Comptroller of the Navy to the Firlt Lord of the Admiralty, who approved the meafure.

    Their Lordhips will obferve, that we have not, as yet, been able to agree for more than eight of the ten hips ordered to be contracted for; and; as an argument that the price demanded by the Builders though large is not unreafonable, we beg leave to call their Lordlhips attention to the-circumftances under which Mr. Barnand and Mr. Brent have confented to contrad with the Board; they are known to poffefs premifes fufficiently ample, and adequate means and abulity to enable them to execute our orders to a large amount, yet they would, on no account, undertake more than one lip each, upon the terms of the intended contrads.

    We are, Sir,
    Your very humble Servants,

    | A. S. Hamnond. | H. Harmood. |
    | :--- | :--- |
    | H. Duncan. | S. Ganbier. |
    | J. Henslow. | Fi. Hartwell. |
    | W. Rule. | H. Legeg. |

    W. Rele.
    fij. Martwell.
    W. Palmer,
    P. S. Since writing the abore, we have agreed with Mr. Adams, of Bucklerlhard, to build a feventy-four gun thip in threc years and a half; which will be the fubject of a future letter.

    $$
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    William Marfden, Efq-

    ## XIII.

    ## Select Committee on Eat India-built Shipping.

    ## Mercurii, $18^{\circ}$ die Mail I814.

    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

    ## Mr. Henry Dawes called in, and examined by Mr. Adolphus.

    Mr. Henry Dawes.

    YOU are employed in the yard of Meffrs. Brent at Rotherhithe?-I am. How long have you been employed there?-Four-and-twenty years as an officer, and seven years an apprentice.

    What is the extent of their yard as to capacity of building? -They have feven lips for building flips; two double and one fingle dock.
    For repairing ?-For repairing.
    Is the principal employ in Mr. Brent's yard, building for the trade in general, or for the Eat India Company and the Royal Navy ?-The East India Company and the Royal Navy.
    In the course of the time you have been employed in this yard, did you ever find a difficulty in procuring oak-timber for the works you were carrying on ?-No.
    Were you ever delayed for the want of oak-timber of any fort or fize? -Never; that is, we have always completed our contracts in the time we have taken them for.
    To whatever extent thole contracts may have been ? - Tuft fo,
    In the course of the lat twenty years how many flips have you built ?-Seventy fix. For the Elf India Company Seventeen flips, 16,379 tons.
    Meafured tonnage? --Yes; Builder's meafurement ; other merchant Chips twentySeven $-7,820$ tons; King's flips thirty-two- 21,831 tons, making a total of feventyfix hips, $46_{2} 930$ tops. Here is a lift of the hips and their names.
    [It was delivered in and read, as follows:]
    SHIPS built by Meffrs, Brent e, from raft to 1814, inclusive.
    
    

    - Mro During the laft ten years what number of fhips have you built?-We have built Henry Dawes. feven King's fhips, and repaired fix King's fhips; we have built three India-hips, and four merchant lhips; and have repaired four hundred and fixteen.
    [The following paper was delivered in, and read.]
    King's and Merchant Ships built and repaired by Mefirs. Brent, from 1804 to 1813 inclufive.
    

    Have.you any account of the number of hips you repaired in the preceding ten years?-I have not that account with me; I can produce it to-morrow.

    Was the number in the preceding ten years in proportion to that of the laft ten years?-I think it was nearly about the fame.
    Are the men employed in your yard as fhipwrights regularly-bred fhipwrights? I have never employed any but what have ferved a feven years apprenticeflip.
    How many apprentices have you now in your yard of your own ?-Forty fhipwrights apprentices.
    Have the men other apprentices, befides thofe which belong to you as mafter of the yard?-Yes, they have, many of them.

    When you build for Government, it is always under the infpection of an officer employed by Government, I underftand?-Always; there is a refident overfeer, and the deputy Surveyor of the Navy comes twice a week regularly, during the building of the fhip, and the principal Surveyor occafionally.
    Is the quality of the timber ufed in your yard as good.as can be obtained ?-It is.
    And the work as well done there as in the King's yards, or any where elfe?-Quite as well.
    Do Meffrs. Brent refide in their own premifes?-They do.
    See to and fuperintend their own bufinefs?-Mr. Brent has made every draught for all the merchant hips that we have built fince I have been with him, for thirty years; I can fpeak to that; no individual has ever made a draught of a merchant fhip but himfelf.
    Therefore in general he fuperintends the bufinefs as becomes a man carrying on a bufines? -He does.
    Has his ftock of timber always been well kept up?-We have generally had a large fock of timber and plank.
    Have you purchafed of late equal to your former purchafes, or not ?-No, not for the laft two years.
    What is your reafon for declining purchafes in the laft two years?-No contracts.
    Have you any account of the quantity of timber you have purchafed and ufed for your own purpofes in any number of years?- Yes; the timber received into our yard and ufed there from the year. 1794 to 1813 , both inclufive.
    [ It was delivered in, and read, as follows:]

    An ACCOUNT of Englifh Oak-Timber, Thick Stuff and Plank, received into the Yards of Meffrs. Brent, from 1794 to 1813 , inclufive.

    | Years | Oak Timber in Loadr. | Thick Stuff and Plank - do. | тотAL. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1794 | 2,665 | 713 | 3,378 |
    | 1795 | 4,069 | 1,424 | 5,493 |
    | 1796 - | 6,007 | 1,751 | 7,758 |
    | 1797 -. | 2,412 | 497 | 2,909 |
    | 1798 - | 2,812 | 246 | 3,058 |
    | 1799 - - | 3,649 | 718 | 4,367 |
    | 1800 : - | 2,432 | 757 | 3.189 |
    | 1802 | 5,125 2,965 | 565 | 3,680 |
    | 1803 - - | ${ }_{3} 61$ | 58 | 419 |
    | 1804 | 940 | 231 | 1,161 |
    | 1805 - - | 3,273 | 554 | 3,827 |
    | 1806 . | 668 | 206 | 874 |
    | 1807 - - | 1,885 | 187 | 2,072 |
    | 1808 - : | 4,278 | 387 | 4,665 |
    | 1869 - - | 3,272 | 728 | 4,000 |
    | 1810 | 3,209 | 503 | 3,712 |
    | 1811 | 2,532 | 716 | 3,248 |
    | 1812 - - | 1,662 | $53^{\circ}$ | 2,292 |
    | 1813 - - | 38 | 59 | 97 |

    Committce.]-Does that give the ftock on hand at the firft period?-No, it does not; I can furnifh it if it is neceffary.

    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Is the fock you have on hand now contained in that paper ?It is.

    You have fpoken of your fhipwrights being all' regular-bred men; can they eafily refort to any other bufinefs if difmiffed from the bufinefs of flipwrights? I fhould think not.

    Are confiderable numbers of fhipwrights out of employ at this time?-A great many indeed; a great many are applying to me as a parifh officer for parochial relief.

    As well thofe who have been employed in other yards as in your own?-Yes.
    How long paft have they been in the habit of applying to you ?-During the time I was overfeer; twelve months.

    - You ceafed to be overfeer in Eafter-week? -Yes; but I have been acting fince then for one of the overfeers chofen.
    Both before and fince that time, they have been applying to you for relief?-They have.

    Have they alfo applied to you for employ, or recommendation to employ ?-Yes.
    Has any confiderable number of them found means of being employed in other trades, to your knowledge? -No; I have feen fome few carrying deals.
    As porters? -As porters.
    But have you known any of them employed as houfe-carpenters or joiners, or in any other way?
    The Dublin I think was built in your yard?-She was.
    She was a feventy-four? - A feventy-four.
    Was there any thing particular in the mannet of fecuring the beams of that fhip? -They were ordered after the contract was taken to be fecured in a way, the plan of which I have with me.

    Whofe plan is that called ?-Mr. Peake's.
    Is that draught of the plan which you have with you, one that was made by yourfelf, (72.)

    Mr. or fent from the Navy Office? - Sem from the Navy Office, with Mr. Peake's Henry Dawes.

    Edinburgh, Dublin. Benbow.

    They were built according to that plan which was fent from the Nevy Board? -1 They were.
    " Meffrs. Brent,
    [The following letters were delivered in and read :]
    4. Herewith you will receive a fketch for fecuring the beams of His Ma-
    " jefty's hips Edipburgh and Dublin, to which we defire you will conform
    " in building thofe fhips, and acknowledge the receipt of the drawing.
    " We are your affectionate friends,
    " R. Barlow,
    " Whin Rul.
    " Rotherhithe.
    "H. Legge."
    "Meffrs. Brent, " Navy Office, 5th October 1808. "The Lords Commiffianers of the Admiralty having directed that the "frames of the feventy-four-gun hips building in the merchants yards, for
    "His Majelty's fervice, 丹hall ftand to feafon twelve months; we fignify
    "the fame for your infarmation and guidance in refpect to the fhips build-
    " ing by youe "We are your affectionate friends,
    "R. Barlow,
    " Wrin Rule,
    " Rotherhithe.
    "H. Legge."
    (Copy).
    "Navy Office, 23 d January 1809.
    "Meffrs. Brent, " We defire you will ufe all poffible expedition in completing the hips " named in the margin, building by you for Government, without letting
    "the two latter fland to feafon, giving a preference to thole which are " moft forwaird, and can he fooneft launched, if all three cannot be taken " in hand àt the fame time,
    "We are your affectionate friends,
    (Signed) ""F. J. Hartwell,
    " W ${ }^{r n}$ Rule,
    " H. Legge.
    1s. .*. Rotherhithe.
    (A'telted), "R. A: Nelfon:"
    Navy Office, - th May: 180 of
    : $4 \xi_{r}$ Meffrs Brente
    " Notwithifanding our Letter of the 23d of January laft, we defire that: s. ypu willy caufe,the frames of: His, Majefty's fhips Benbow and Dablin' to 'f. Hand to feafon; but the; works of the Edinburgh are torbe proceeded'ont " with all difpatch.
    "Weare your affectionate friends;
    "Hens Peake,
    " H. Legge,
    "T. Hamilton!".
    "Rotherthither,
    ${ }^{6}$. Meffrs. Brent;
    Navy Office, . 20 th Nóvember" 1809:
    "The Thip Dublin of 74 guns, which you are building for His Majefty, " having been in a ftate for feafoning frince the 1 th of 'March' laft, we defire " you will continue her in the fame ftate until the end of the year '18.jo:
    "We are your affectionate fríends;
    " $W^{m}$ Rule,
    "H. Legge,
    "T. Hamilton."'
    " Meffrs. Brent,
    ".The, Lords; Commifioners of, thavy Office, 3 d September 18 ro.
    "their order of the of in the :Admiralty having directed us, by
    "Dublin to be proceeded with, to caufe the works of His Majefty's thip
    " of this fhip accordingly.
    ".We are your affectionate friends,
    "F. J. Hartwelly"
    *Wm Rule;
    "T- B.Thompfon'".
    Were

    Were the" builit at all iunder the infpection of the gentleman whofe name is to the plan P-Mr. Peake Inrpected the work, and was perfectly fatisfied with it; he exprefled histreff to me that the work did us a great credit ; that it was done better than they could get the men to do it in the King's yard. He faid to the refident overfeer and deputy furveyor, that it did them credit allo; the ufual way of driving the bolts is with a maul ; in this inflatince we had a machine to drive them, which took four or fix men, that drove them much tighter and much better, and was very much approved by the furveyors. I'can further ftate, that when the fhip was under furvey at Woolwich, I mentioned it to the builder there, and he approved of it, and faid he fhould adopt the fame plan.

    Was this the furveyor who was employed to furvey the fhip?-Yes, he was furveying the fhip, and the whote of the furveying officers were prefent.

    At the time that thefe converfations took place, in what capacity were you atten⿻i一itg thofe gentlemen whom you converfed with?-Having had a part of the fupeiintendence of the fhip while building, I was there officially on the furvey.

    Were they alfo there officially on the furvey? - Yes.
    Wds it during that furvéy, and as part of the furvey, that thofe opinions were expreffed?-It was.
    It was once under the infpectionn of Mr. Peake and Mr. Roberts, and other furveyors?-Yé; and anothér timé when we delivered her up to Government finally.

    And upon both thofe occafions, thofe ftrong expreffions of approbation were irfed?-They were.

    How much timber and plank per ton, goes to the building of a 74 ? -About a load and a half.

    Upon an average of all hips, from the fmalleft to the largeft, what is the average quantity of timber and plank per ton employed in building them ?-The queftion is very general, becaufe I prefume you take in veffels of a very fmall clafs; about a loart and a quarter.

    What is the reafon of that difference between the Building of a feventy-four, and the general average of building ?-A different mode of conitruction; the fluips built in the out-ports are built much lighter in their frames, fhallower, and the planks much thinner in proportion to what the merchant hips in the river Thames are.
    Are the othèr veffels alfo lighter or heavier than men of war ?-Lighter; much lighter:

    In the ntiddle fized and fmall fized veffels, is any other timber employed than Britifh oak in any part of them? - Elm is frequently employed.
    Do you mean that elm is employed in parts of thofe fhips, for which in thips of war and Eaft Indiamen oak alone is ufed ?-No; I cannot fay that is the cafe.
    Upon the average of the whole quantity of Chipping built in England, how much per ton is a fair allowance for repairs?-I cannot poffibly' fpeak to that queftion fo igenerally: as it is put. I can fpeak to my opinion as to our own confumption, and pretty accurately I believe.

    In-proportion to the fum in timber that you expend in building, how much do you expend irr repairs ? - Abount a quarter of a load to a ton, in proportion to the amount of the tonnage that we build.

    Do youmean that a quaiter of a load per ton per annum on your whole building, .or a quarter of a load per ton upon a fhip's whole duration, will keep her in repair? Upon the fhip's whole duration; that is upon the amount of tonnage that we build, accordin't to that ftatemeht I have made of the number of fhips we have built, there. has been a certain quantity of timber ufed in building them, to the extent of a load .and a hatf.per toh, "arrad'aboot' a 'quarter' of a load on that tonnage has done all the repairs of alt that othet number of hips that I have flated we have repaired during that period.

    Is'yourmeanitg'this's that' while you were building tonnage to a given amount, your ${ }^{2}$ commbit courfe of repairing in the yard, for' fhips of various defcriptions, amounts to a quarter of a toad: of timber for all the tons you are building? - Juft fo.

    Therefore, if your building in the year were 2,000 tons, your repairing in the fame
    

    Is youry yard fó firtiated as to have more than its proportion of repairs with relation to its fize and capacités ôver other'yards'?-No, I think not.
    Is there any thing in the poftioin ofyour yard upon the river, in its peculiar accommodations, or any other refpec, that makes it draw to iffelf more than its thare of repairing?-No. to make a return to the enquiries of this Committee? -I did. the fubject?-I did ; they were extratted by myfelf.

    ## [Crofsexamined by Mr. Grant.]

    You do not mean to fay, that in Mr. Brent's yard you only repair fhips that you have built?-We repair more than we have built.
    I find that in the fame time in which you have built eleven Indiamen and merchant veffels, you repaired as many as four hundred and fifty-one?-Yes; and eight King $s$ fhips befides.
    Can you flate any reafon why you have repaired fo great a quantity of merchant fhips, in proportion to the number built?-It will occur, that there are the fame thips in different years; many of the fhips to make that fum total, are included in every year's account ; for inftance, our Weft Indiamen make a voyage, and fomietimes two, annually, and then they come into dock; but they are only taken once in a year; if we repair the fame fhip twice in a year, in that account, which I have given in, it is only enumerated once.
    The fame thip is very frequently repaired over and over again, and that enters into the number of fhips repaired?-As many times as, the is repaired during the period.
    The whole quantity of work done in your yard, in the way of repairs, muft be much greater than that which is done in the way of building, muft it not?-No, I think we have had more than our proportion of building.
    Do you mean to fay, more than your proportion?-More than in proportion; I think that the proportion of building, has been more than the repairs.
    You mean to fay, that upon the whole, you have had more men generally employed in the way of building than in repairing, is that what you mean ?-I am not exactly prepared to anfwer that off-hand, without fome further confideration.

    Can you fay generally? I I hould think our men have been more employed in repairing during the time than in building; I cannot go into any accurate flatement.

    You repaired many thips that you have not built? ? Yes.
    I underftood you to ftate the timber you have employed in building thips, has been to the timber you have employed in repairing, as about a load and a half to a quarter of a load?-Yes, a quarter of a load in repairs to a load and a half in building.

    Can you ftate at all, how much a fhip is likely to take of timber in repairing, during her whhole life, per ton?-No.

    How often upon the average is a thip repaired during her life; a good thip built in your yard?-They are generally docked every voyage they make, except Weft Indiamen, who run perhaps two or three voyages without coming into dock;' where their voyages are fhort, that happens on their firft voyages.

    On an average do you conceive that they are repaired once a year or oftener?I think my anfwer to the former queftion comprifes that, becaufe it depends upon the number of voyages they make.

    On an average, do you conceive that they are repaired once a year or oftener? -Lefs.
    Seldomer than once a year?-Yes, feldomer than once a year.
    Then in ten years, eleven fhips would not be repaired fo often as 110 times?Certainly not
    Therefore if I underfand right, where you have built eleven. Mhips, you have repaired forty-four?-I cannot anfwer that queftion directly, it requires a calculation to do it.
    I do not perfectly underftand how you can have ufed fo little timber in repairing, in proportion to what you have ufed in building?-Many of the fhips that are ftated there as repaired, did not take a bit of timber in the repairs of them.
    Can you ftate any reafon why po Indiamen came to be built in your yard, between the years 1803 and 1811 ? -In 1803 I think we built two fhips.
    In the years 1804, 1805, 1806, 1807, 1808, 1809, and 1810 , there appear to have been none built in your yard?-I believe there were one or two fhips that we built during that time that were taken inta the fervice, I am not certain whether
    the Sarah Chriftiana was before or fubfequent to that, I rather think it was previous to 1804

    Were they built by you for fome other purpole? -They were built for general purpofes, and taken afterwards into the Eaft India Company's fervice.

    Committee.]-Were they built on fpeculation?-No, they wete not built on fpeculation.

    Mr. Grant.]-Has that happened in other inftances, that flips built by you for other purpofes, have afterwards been taken into the Eaft India Company's fervice? -No.
    Thofe are the only inftances in which it has happened?-Thofe are the only inftances.

    In or about what year were thofe flips taken into the Company's fervice? I fhould think the Sarah Chrifiana was taken into the fervice about the year 1804, but I have no certain information; the Tyne was at a more fublequent period, about 1809 or 1810 .
    Do you confider your building for the Eaft India Company as having'fallen off of late? - Yes.

    Within what period?-From 1804.
    You are aware, I prefume, that in the year $\mathbf{1 8 1 2}$ you-built for them a greater quantity of tonnage, than in any former year mentioned in your account?-No, I thould think not.

    In 1812 the tonnage built for the Company is 2,559 tons?-Two $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0}$ ton hips 1 think.

    - And in the year 1796, the next greateft quantity of tons appears by your account to be, 2,039 ?-It appears fo on the face of the paper delivered in, but we had in the year $1795-6$ two 1,460 ton fhips, and a hip of 600 tons; that paper has reference only to the time of launching.

    That was a rare inftance, I prefume, in which you had two 1,400 ton lhips ? $-N O ;$ I think not, of 1,400 ton thips; it is the only inftance of our having two at one time.

    Was there any other year in which you built fo much tonnage for the Company as in the year 1812, except the year 1796?-Yes, Ithink in the year 1802-3, which may be flated to be 1802, we built a thip of 1,276 tons, one of 821 tons, one of 550 tons, and one of 544 tons; they were all building at the fame time, but launched in the two periods.
    I believe the year 1796-7 and 1802-3, were years in which an extraordinary quantity of tonnage was built for the Company? - I cannot fpeak generally, only to our own yard.

    Has not your building for the Company in 1812 , been above your average annual building for the Company for years paft?-One of thofe fhips, though completed, I think was launched in 1813 .

    Has not the building for the Company in $\mathbf{1 8 1 2}$, been above your average annual building for the Company for years paft? - Yes, taking the whole number of years, including thofe years in which there has been no building, on an average we have not built two fhips of 1,200 tons annually.

    How long have the fhipwrights you fpoke of in your examination in chief, been out of employ? -From the beginning of the year 1813 .

    To what circumftance do you alcribe the diminithed demand for thip-building in your yard?-To the employment of fhips in the Company's fervice built in India.

    How many fhips of that kind do you conceive the Company to have employed, within the laft ten years?-I have no certain means of information, only from obferring whenever fleets came home that there is an account conftantly of countrya built hips along with them, and feeing the accounts that are publifhed of lhips building in India.
    Is it your notion that a confiderable number of India-built thips have been employed by the Company within that time ?-I Ihould fuppofe there was.

    As many as fifteen or twenty?-I thould think double the quantity; I think we have had feveral of the fhips under repair in our yard.
    I believe you are giving your evidence as fairly as poffible, but you have, I conceive, no means of information upon that fubjea beyond loofe guefs or very general oblervation? - The Ihips built in India that have come under my own obfervation

    Am I'to infer that a confiderable number of India-built thips that have come to this country, have been repaired in your yard? -Several have.
    Can you ftate whether any of the flipwrights who have been thrown out of employment in the merchants yards, have been received into the King's yards? I do not know it of my own knowledge.
    Are you able to fay whether flipwrights employed in any particular private yard on job-work, at the fame time work in any other yard $P-1$ know of no inftance of it ; I fhould not permit it in our employ.
    What means would you have of preventing it ?-We have the fame controul over the men in this as in any other inftance.
    You fate yourfelf to have experienced no difficulty in procuring fupplies of timber upon any occafion when it was wanted?-Never; we have always found timber fufficient to complete the contracts in the time we have had them for, and never been behind it.

    You have eftablifhed connections who have always fupplied you lately on demand? -We have.

    But have not now?-For the laft two feafons we have declined purchafing.
    Has any beeh offered to you in the two laft feafons? - 'There has been a conHiderable quantity offered to us to my knowledge; but as the timber does not come regularly through my hands, not receiving the offers of timber, I cannot fpeak to it in the correct way in which I fhould wifh to give all my anfwers.

    Can you ftate how long elm has been employed in an increafed quantity, in the fabric of thips? -The firft inflance that I knew of its being ufed in any confiderable quantity, was in the gun-boats built for Government.

    At what time?-In 1798.
    Has elm been employed in the conftruction of hips of the line?-Not more than it always has been.

    Has there been an increafed ufe of other kinds of wood than oak in the cont ftruction of hhips of the line of late years?-Not to fhips of the line that are built in merchants yards.

    Has oak timber, of late years, been faved in the conftruction of fhips of the line built in the merchants yards?-I think it has confiderably.

    Will you reconcile that with your former anfwer?-Becaufe timber of a different defcription has been ufed in the conftruction of fhips than was formerly; the chift of timber is fhorter.
    . Oak timber? $\rightarrow$ Oak timber; I am fpeaking to the hift of timber; and plank is fhorter confiderably.

    That is, where an entire piece ufed formerly to be ufed, you have it now in parts? Yes; and it is got much eafier in quantity, in confequence of that.

    How long has that practice prevailed of piecing together timber, where it was fortherly ufed entire? ?-About feven years.

    It was, I believe, in order to fave the quantity of timber?-It has had that effect.

    Was it not in confequence of the high price of large timber, that it was firf fintroduced ? m I cannot tell the motive that influenced the Navy Board to adopt that regulation.
    . In what parts of the fhip particularly has this change in the manner of ufing timber taken place? ${ }^{2}$ In the frame and in the whole of the plank of the fhip.
    Has it made any difference in the quantity of work employed on the building of a hip $? \rightarrow$ No.

    Does it make no difference in the quantity of work, whether you put together two pieces of timber,' or ufe one which is entire ?-The expence to the builder will be no more in the workmanflip in one inflance than the other.

    Will you juft explain why ? -The timbers are fo much fhorter, the fawyer has fo mưch lefs for cuttirg them; he cuts the 'fame 'quantity of timber for the fame money.

    Has as much crooked timber been employed lately in fhip-building as formerly? -No; from the reafons I have ftated, there has not.

    - Mas it not been more difficult of late to procure crooked timber than formerly?

    It has not been ro difficult to procure timber for feventy-fours latterly as it was qotmierty.

    Within what time ?-Within the period $I$ have ftated; feven years.

    You do not mean to fay, that there hias not becn a greater difficulty in procuring large timber; but that by making fmall pieces do where large were formerly ufed, there has been an economy in the ufe of timber?-The timber now is ufed, but the fhape of it is different.

    It is not crooked timber you mean?-Yes.

    - Therefore I infer there has been an increafed difficulty in getting crooked timber; but you fay it has not been fo neceltary as it formerly was?-It has not been fo neceffary.
    Has it been cuftomary, in Mr. Brent's yards, to keep a great quantity of large timber on hand?-It has.

    Upon an average how many fhips of the line could be built of the timber he has had at any one time on hand, and not directly engaged ?-One fhip of the line in timber, and two in plank.
    Have you calculated that exactly, or do you fpeak from conjecture?-I fpeak from pretty correct information, having regularly, for the laft twenty years, taken our ftock annually myfelf.

    Do you mean to fpeak of the fock they have had on hand, independently of a reference to any particular contract into which they may have entered p-I fpeak of our forward ftock.
    The fock not engaged by any particular contract?-Stock not engaged; becaufe we have always bought, till latterly, profpectively.

    At any period of the twenty years of which you have fpoken, fuppofing you had received an unexpected order to build a feventy four gun thip, could you have done it with eafe from the fock of timber on hand? -In the ufual times we have had for: building them, we could.

    What do you mean by the ufual times?-The times we ufually contract to build thofe fhips in.
    If you have aftually a fock of timber on hand fufficient for the building of a feventy-four, I cannot conceive how the time of building can enter into your anfwer? - My firf anfwer will be found correa, though not applying exacly to this cafe; becaufe that went to the quantity, but not to the quality, whether it was applicable all of. it for a feventy-four; fill my anfwer is correct, becaufe I anfwer you to quantity, without a reference to its being applicable; it would not be all applicable to a hip of that defcription.

    You mean to fay, that when you talk of a quannity of timber equal to the building of a feventy-four, you do not mean to flate it as all large timber fit for building a fhip of that fize, but that it is equivalent in quantity to what would build a feventyfour, if you had it in the yard to build ?-Certainly.

    Then you do not mean to ftate that of large timber you have always had on hand a fock fufficient for the building of a feventy-four--No, not always, certainly,

    Have you any means of ftating what Qock of large timber you have had at any period of the twenty years?-Our purchafes were made profpectively, in contemplation of the work we might have to do.

    I underltand that you cannot fate what quantity of large timber you had on hand at an average through the twenty years??-I can furnifh the Committee with the number of trees which we have had during the twenty years, becaufe I have the contents of the whole we have had during the period.

    In providing timber profpedively, it has not been your cuftom to provide a great quantity of large timber?-Yes, I think it has, becaufe the greater proportion of our building has been large.

    Not to keep fuch a fock of large timber as would be neceffary for a feventy. four?-Not a feventy-four exactly, but a feventy-four and a frigate, and veffels of that defcription; taking one under the other, I believe it will be generally found that where we have built a large fhip, we have built a fmall one.

    Then 2 part of your provifion on hand, bas always been for the building of frualler fhips? -We are obliged to take a quantity of timber generally, in the way we purchafe, of a fize under what will do for feventy-fours; and it, is that which we appty, from that.circumftance, to the building of finaller dhips.

    It has beea your cuftom to provide an afforted thock of timber of rarious fizes? TVe have generally baught large tinober; but. we find among it. a quantity that we. are obliged to apply to the building of fmall thips:
    Have you found timber merchants ready to fupply you with large timber alone, and unmixed with fome of the fraller timber?-I do not believe, for the lat ten jears, we have made an engagement to take a tree under forty feet. .

    You do not call that large timber for building thips of the line ?-There is a proCan you eafily do.
    it was intended to build ? No No, not eafily.
    Where would be the difficulty of enlarging a hip ?-In a fmall hip, in the firft inftance, I fhould fuppole the ground ways were only proportioned for the building of fmall fhips, and of courfe would be inadequate to fupport the weight of a large one.

    What time would it take to alter a flip, fo as to build a fhip of a larger fize ?-It would be very expenfive; I know Mr. Brent has been put to a great expenfe in doing a thing of the kind.

    It would not be difficult, I apprehend, to build a fmaller fhip, on a flip than it was capable of receiving?-Certainly not.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Adolphus.]

    You have fpoken of repairing fhips in your yard, which amounts to an average of about 40 in a year ?-Yes.
    The fame fhip going and returning frequently within ten years? - Juft fo.
    Would all the repairs you have had to do, retain the number of men you have had, if the building of large fhips was taken away from you ?-Certainly not.

    Would any probable increafe of it that you can forefee, produce that effect ?No.

    You fpeak of having repaired fome of the India-built fhips, can you fay how many you have repaired of them ? - About feven or eight.

    Seven or eight feveral hips, not the fame fhips returning ?-Seven or eight diftinct fhips in all.

    Within what time? -About ten years.
    Did they want repairs any thing like what Indiamen built in London would have wanted? - Certainly not.
    Do they then prefent any confiderable amends by the repairs, for the want of building them?-By no means.

    You have been afked as to fhips built by you for general trade, which have been taken into the Company's fervice; they were extra fhips, I believe ?-Yes.

    You mentioned the Sarah Chriftiana and the Tyne, as two to which that hap. pened within your knowledge? -Yes.

    Do you remember two fhips named the Ann and the Sovereign ?-I think I have included thofe in my lift of Indiamen, and therefore did not name them.

    With refpect to the ordering for fhips to be built, do you receive them from the Eaft India Company direft, or from the owners of the fhips ?-From the owners of the fhips.
    The owners make their propofals to the Eaf India Company, and then the owners come to you, and give the neceffary orders? -Juft fo.
    Is it therefore, that your having more or lefs India fhipping to build in one year than another, depends upon your connection with the owners ?-Moft affuredly.

    The owners who have had hhips to build for the year 1803 to 181 I may not have been in the connection of Mr. Brent, but have had their bufinefs done elfe-where?-I believe that is the cafe.
    In 1812 you appear to have launched feveral hips for the ufe of the Eaft India Company ? -Juft fo, two large fhips.
    How long before might they, have been put upon the flips?-About fourteen months.

    You were afked about the India-built fhips, and you fay you do not know the number that may have been in this country; have you feen a confiderable number? --Yes, I have.
    Have you feen the bafin of the Eaft India Company's docks lately ? -No.
    How many is the moft you faw there at any one time, do you recollect ?-I am not much in the habit of going there.

    Was it within your oblervation, as the overfeer of the poor, that the number of the fhipwrights on your hands for relief was much diminifhed within the laft fifteen months ?-By no means; an increafed number of applications were made to me latt evening, very painful indeed to my feelings, men that $I$ refpect highly.
    Are they men you have known maintaining their families in an honourable way, and who were above applying for relief to any body? -Yes.

    If thofe have received any relief from employment in the King's yard, it is without your knowledge, and very lately ?-Juft fo.

    Mr. Henry Dawes.

    ## [Exanined by the Committcc.]

    Thefe fhips the Ann and Sovereign are included in your lift?-Yes.
    They are not in the three fhips built within thefe ten years? -No , they are nit.
    They were extra fhips ?-Extra fhips going their regular number of voyages, and in the fervice now.

    The fhips ufed formerly only to go four voyages ?-That was before my time.
    Afterwards fix voyages?-Yes.
    And now they go eight voyages ?-Some of them do.
    Is not that a reafon for there being fewer built ?-A very fmall proportion i fhould think go eight voyages.

    Suppofing 30,000 tons to ga eight voyages, what effect would that have?-I can only fpeak to the number of hips we have in our regular employ fitting at our yard.

    If there are 88,000 ton of thofe fhips going the eight voyages, would not you think that muft have affected your building for the laft four years?-In a fimall proporion.

    That 18,000 ton of hipping mult have been building now, or built, if they had only gone fix voyages?-If the Company employed the fame quantity of hipping, they muft have had them fomewhere or other.

    And you moft likely would have had a proportion of them?-It depends on the circumftances of connection entirely.
    They muft have been built in England, or fomewhere?'Latterly they have been building in India ; we have had two fhips in the laft jear, of 1,200 tons, come through our hands that were built in India, and others might have been built there too.
    But thofe 1,800 tons of fhipping would have given you fome employment, if they had been built here ?-Certainly they would.
    Do you confider the building of fhips, or the repairing of fhips, as moft pro-fitable?-I cannot arfwer the queftion.
    You do not keep the accounts?-No.
    You have flated that fhips come to be repaired once a year on an average, or feldomer ; the Eaft India fhips do not come in once a year? -No.
    In what time do they generally come in ?-According to the length of time in which they perform their voyage; I think about two years.
    You have flated that the decay of trade had arifen from the not building the flips for the India trade upon thefe accounts; it appears there are certain years in which you built no fhips for the Eaft India Company? -There are.
    1809 is one of thofe years?-Itis.
    And the number of men employed during the year 1809, exceeds the number you have employed in any jear fince 1803? -It may, but thofe accounts are not made but from facts.
    The Committee wifh you to explain that?-We had in 8809 threc feventy-fours building; that of courfe will account for a greater number of workmen at that period.
    From what time has the trade declined ?-From the beginning of the year 1813. .
    And you had none of the King's fhips built during that year?-Only in the way $I$ have ftated; we had one till February on the flip; the was kept in confequence of the feafon of the year; fhe could not be, launched on account of the ice in the river.

    Was not it as much owing to the want of building for the King's fervice as to the want of building for the India fervice, that your trade has declined ?-It was conjointly.

    - You confidered your trade as profperous in the preceding years to 1813 ? - Yes, we had generally a pretty even quantity of bufinefs previcus to that time.

    In the years 1812 and 8815 ?-In i811 and 1812 , we had Indiamen and feventyfours building.
    $\because$ In 18io, 180g, and fo on, did you confider it as profperous then ? - In 1809 and 1810 we had feventy-fours.
    (72.)
    $4^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \cdot$ Betwieen

    Between the years 1803 and 1811 , you built no India Chips?-From 7804 to 181 r ; in 1803 we did build, but in 1804 we built none; in 1810 we were building,
    but the
    Then from 1804 to 1810 you did not build ? -We did not.
    Then if the profperity of the trade depends upon the building of India fhips, how came you to ftate thofe as years of profperity when you built none? - I am not aware that I have flated thofe years to be years of profperity.

    Do you mean to flare that in the years 1807, 1808, 1809, and 1810, your yard was not in full employment ?-Not in building.

    Was it not in full employment?-No, it was not.
    Then if it was not in full employment, the Committee wifh you to explain how it came in thofe years the greatef number of men appear to have been employed ?-We built a feventy-four during that period, and our repairs, I prefume, muft have been greater in thofe years, in confequence of the number of workmen; thofe are the years in which the two thips were doubled to go the two additional voyages.

    Then the employment of your yard, and the number of men employed, did not depend upon the building of Eaft Indiamen, in thofe fix years? -We had not fo much work in confequence of not building them in that period; we had the capability of doing it.

    But flill yoiu had more work than either in the preceding or fubfequent years ?We had more work in repairs.

    You had more upon the whole?-No; we had more in repairs.
    You had more upon the whole ?-No, not more on the whole.
    Then how came you to employ more men ?-Becaufe we had more work in repairs.
    Do repairs require more men than building, to do the fame proportion of work? -It frequently does; I can ftate inftances wherein we have repaired Indiamen for a particular purpofe, which muft be done by a particular time, or elfe they would lofe their voyage; of courfe then we are obliged to employ more men during that period; we have had one gang of hands working in the day-time, and another gang working in the night.

    The number of men you employ is in proportion to the work you have to do? - Jult fo.

    Then when you employ the greateft number of men, is not it becaufe you have the greateil quantity of work to do?-No; when we have fhips to repair in a, yery fhort period we are obliged to employ a great many more men to do that work than would do the work in the regular courfe of bufinefs; therefore on that account we have a great many more.

    Do you mean to ftate, that that preffure has exifted for fix years together ? - Not conftantly during the whole of that time.

    You employed one hundred and ninety hhipwrights in March 1804, and in June following three hundred and eighteen were employed, making a confiderable dif. ference; where was that increafed number of hipwrights in the latter month found ?-When we have work come in, they generally attend to feek for employment, and if I know I have work that it is probable I can employ them on, I fate in, or that it is likely I fhall have an increare in a week's time, and Chall want as many of them as 1 can get.

    There are always a fufficient quantity unemployed, to meet any great preffure that may arife ? -We have always found men to do any work we have had to do.

    Then it is not to be inferred from a number of fhipwrighs being out of employ at a particular moment, that there is a general decline in the trade ?-I think it is evident, from a curfory view of the yards, that there is no employ in them.

    Is it to be inferred from a number of thipwrights being out of employ at a partictlar moment, that there is a general decline in the trade?-I think the queftion is fo vague I cannot anfwer it; it is not definite in any point of view that I can fee.

    You have ftated you have always found that when you have had a preflure of work, you could find a number of hipwrights to undertake it?-Yes; I ftate fo again.
    Then you are afked, whether the circumftance of a number of fhipwrights beingunemployed is a proof that the trade has generally declined?-If the Committee will flate a number, I can anfwer the queftion, otherwife I cannot.
    You have fpoken to the building of the Dublin?-Yes; there is one fact I fhould fike to flate refpecting that thip; that the was built under a moft fevere and minute infpelion; that we were told we were paid in good and perfect money, and we

    Thould put in good and perfed materials; and never was a fhip built ander fo fevere an infpection as that fhip was.

    Did any accident happen to the Dublin in launching? - None at all.
    Do you know of any particular accident that did happen to her?-1 know nothing of her afier we delivered her up to Government at Woolwich Yard.

    You know nothing of her return to port, and the flate in which fhe returned ?-I know when furveying the Benbow at a fubfequent period, and they were putting additional fecurity to the beams, it was fated it uras in confequence of the failure of the plan of fecuring the Dublin's beams to the fide.

    Do you know any thing of the flate in which the returned ?-I know no more than that.
    Do you know of any other fhips that were buitron the fame plan, befide the Dublin and the Benbow at the fame time on the fame plan?-No.

    You have ftated you always had a fufficient quantity of timber in your yard, for building a 74 before hand; was the Dublin built of timber which had been lying in your yard before hand ?-Your queftion is anfwered by a previeus explanation that 1 made.

    Then the Committee are to underfand there was not fufficient to build the Dublin, on hand, when you commenced her?-We took two hhips, the Dublin and the Edinburgh, to build at the fame period.

    And you had not fock enough to build them?-No, not in the yard; we took fublequently another 74, the Benbow; we tock three fhips at the fame time.

    Where was the timber when you took thofe fhips with which they were built, or was it out in any body's hands, or was it actually growing in any of the forefts? -I cannot fay.
    Do you know that any part of it was feafoned ?-The hip was feafoned.
    Do you know whether any part of the timber was feafoned? - You muft define what is meant by feafoning before I can anfwer the queftion; there is fo much difference of opinion cn that fubject that I cannot anfwer without a qualification to my anfwer; the Dublin's frame flood to Seafon a longer period than ever we had a thip ftand to feafon before.

    Was any of the wood feafoned before you commenced the operation of building thofe fhips?-I have flated we had a fock equal in quantity to build a 74 , though not all applicable; whatever proportion of that flock went into that hip muit have been what you term feafoned tumber.
    How long had that been upon hand?-I cannot fay.
    And yet you know that it mult have been feafoned?-I fhould like to give an anfwer correctly to the Comminttee; if you mean feafoned timber in the log, I do not know any thing of the kind. I do not know of any period; nor have I heard of any period that would fix a data when you fhould call it feafoned timber.

    Do you know that the wood which was employed in building thofe thips was cut down before the contract for building them was commenced ?- $A$ praportion of it was.

    What proportion ?-Going to the two thips, I fuppofe one half, or we could not have got them done to ftand to feafon according to the terms of the contract, in the proper time. I beg it to be undertood, if I have anfwered any queftion improperly, it is becaufe I have not diftinaly underftood it; my intention was to give a direat anfiver to every queftion put to me.
    Was there any timber ufed in thofe flips without the approbation of the Surveyor? - None at all.

    You have been in the habit of attending furveys of thips ?-Conftantly, fince I have been in the employment of Meffrs. Brents.
    The Surveyor has the power of rejecting timber that is improper?-He has, and has exercifed it on thofe fhips in a moft arbitrary manner.
    Does chat power go to rejeeting timber, except for being defective?- Yes, ir does.
    Did you ever know any rejected becaúfe it was not fufficiently feafoned ?-No.
    Can you tell by the infpection of a piece of timber, whether it has laid for a confiderable time to feafon or not? - I hould confider that I was capable of judging whether a tree had been a confiderable time cut down or not.

    And fee whether it is in a ftate of feafon?-The Navy Board, to feafon the fhips, appoint a time for them to ftand, affer the timbers are all cut; of coarfe they know that there muft be fome timber ufed that is only recenily felled.

    Between June and September 1811 the difference in the number of flipwrights

    ## Minutes of evidence on petitions

    employed being greatet in the latter period by more than 200, where do you fuppole' thofe thipwrights came from?-From the different yards about the River.
    But the Committee obferve allo, that there was an increale in nearly all the other yards, and a decreafe in none of them that would amount altogether to 50 , how do you account for that circumftance? - I cannot fpeak to any but our own yard; as to the general ftate of the work, as far as our return goes, I will give every explanation in my power.

    It appears by this paper you employed 210 (hipwrights more in September than in June 18:1; you were afked, where do you fuppole they came from, and you fay from the other yards; it appears on the fame paper, by the flatements from the other yards, that cannot be the fact, becaule they did not difcharge that number of fhipwrights, but rather increafed the number; how do you explain that fact?There are men employed in repairing thips in a confiderable number; that do not come into that general flatement, that are at work independently of the principal builders on the River on their own account; there are a number employed on the Surrey Canal, and on ways.

    What do you mean by ways? -The fhores of the River.
    And thofe perfons are regularly apprenticed hipwrights?-Otherwife we fhould not employ them

    Have you had numerous applications lately for work ?-The men fee by coming to our yard whether we have work or not.

    You have mentioned applications you have had for relief?-I have.
    Were thofe principally from perions who had worked in your yard?--Principally from perfons who have been employcd in our yard.

    From perfons employed conitantly in your yard, or occafionally on thofe ways? -Conftantly in our yard.

    What criterion thould you take in order to form an opinion of your own, as to the quantity of work at any time going on in your yard ?-The quantity of work we have done, from our foreman's bcoks, and the different books in the yard.

    How would you bring all that together ; in money do you mean?-No, I do not have the means of feeing that; but I have the quantity of work and materials ufed, and number of men employed.

    Is there any better criterion than the number of men employed ?-Yes, it very frequently being the cafe that we do work in a great hurry, and we employ more men than is neceflary in confequence of it.

    In thofe cafes, do not thip-builders receive additional remuneration for doing the work in a hurry?-1 do not fuppofe they do'; I do not fupprofe they make any extra charge for doing work in a hurry ; the work becomes more expenfive, becaufe, we employ more men to do that which a longer time would enable us to do with a lefs number of men.

    You know nothing of the other yards, befides Meffrs. Brents?-No, I have been employed in no other yard.

    Has any increafe taken place in that eftablifhment, fince you have been connected with it, in the fize of the eftablifhnent, or the number of flips? -Yes, we have increafed the number for building large fhips, and decreafed the number for building fmall ones. Meffrs. Brents converted two fmall flips into one: large one, which has never been ufed fince.

    Do you recollect the date of that?-No, I do nor.
    Was that done in contemplation of the increafed work of Government, or not ?No, I hould think not.

    Jovis, $19^{\circ}$ die Maii, 1814.
    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

    Mr. Cornelius Trufitt, called in; and examined by Mr. Adolphus.
    
    Has he an eftablifiment on the Thames for building fhips? - He has.
    Ta what extent?-We have three lips for building new fhips, one double dock,' and one fingle dock for repairing fhips.

    How loing have you been in that gentleman's employ ?-Twenty-four years.
    How much of that time have you been clerk?-I have been twenty-one years Cornelius Truftto clerk.

    And the other three a working fhipwright about the premifes? -Yes, a working Thipwright in my apprenticelhip.
    Did you furnih the documents from which a lift of men in Mr. Mieftaer's yard was to be made out for this Conumittee?-Yes, I did.

    Did you furnih them from fources within your own knowledge?-Yes, the whole of it.

    And therefore you know it to be correct?-I know it to be correct ; in fact, being there the whole time, I was thought the perfon molt proper to furnila the documents.
    In the laft eleven years, how many hips have you built at your premifes for the Eaft India Company's fervice?-Three fhips.

    Of what fizes?-Two of 820 tons each, and one of 600 tons, that is 2,240 tons altogether. The 600-ton thip was called an extra hip, but taken up for fix voyages.

    The firft two were regular hhips?-No, the firf, 820, is regular, the next is in 1804 a 600 -ton extra fhip.
    But taken up for fix voyages?-Yes, and the other 820 -ton fhip was regular.
    How many fhips have you built in the fame time for the general merchant fervice? -I include the year 1803, which makes eleven years; there were nine fhips in the eleven years.

    What is the aggregate tonnage of the whole?-4,306 tons, including the Indiamen.

    Was the extra thip built upon orders, or was fhe built on fpeculation? - She was built on fpeculation, and taken up by the India Company before the was launched, for fix voyages.

    Committee.]-Neither of the others were built on fpeculation?-No.
    Mr Adolphus. - What was the firft of the fix other Mhips that you built?-The Thames of 376 tons; the next was the Prince George of 415 tons; the third was a fmall veffel of. 99 tons; the next is two thips of 208 tons each; the other is in the year 1812, 415 tons.

    From the year 1790 to the year 1803 , that is in the preceding twelve years, how many fhips did you build for the Eaft India Company's fervice? - In the fpace of time you mention, from 1790 to 1802, there was one fmall hip for Government, a Hoop of war.

    Of what tonnage? -363 tons.
    How many thips for the Eaft India Company's fervice?-One regular fhip.
    Of what tonnage?-610 tons, and two extra, of 406 and 542 tons, or thereabouts.
    Any other fhip for the Eaft India Company's fervice befides the three you have mentioned ?-No other.

    Were thofe extra fhips built on contract, or on fpeculation?-One was built on fpeculation, and the other was built with a view to be an extra Mip, from the original.

    Committee.]-Was it contracted for by the Company ?-No.
    Then it was a fpeculation of the Owners? - Yes.
    Mr. Adolpbur.]-You fay that one was built on fpeculation, and the other was mtended for an extra hip from the beginning, what is the diftinction; did any perfon employ you to build? -No, it was a feculation of Mr. Meftaer's.

    What was the other?-The other was a fpeculation of his own, with almoft a certainty the would be taken up by the Company; and before the was finifhed The was taken up by the Company, and was then put under the infpection of the Company's officers.

    How many fhips in the fame time have you built for the general merchant fervice ?-The number of fhips altogether is 23 .
    Do you mean befides the Indiamen you have mentioned ?-I mean befides the Indiamen.

    There were 23 hips, befides the four you have mentioned?-Yes.
    Do yo include the year 1790 ?-Yes, the year 1790 is included.
    What was the aggregate tonnage of thofe thips? - Taking away the noop of war and the Indiamen, the tonnage is 7,007 tons.

    Mr. In what manner has Mr. Meftaer procured his timber for building and reparing; Corsclius Trufitu bas he engaged it in the country or bought it in the market? - He has bought it from the timber dealers who come round and make offers of the timber.

    The growers do you mean?-Not the growers; a kind of middle men, the uimber merchants.

    Have you ever found any difficulty during the time you have known the yards, in obtaining any timber you wanted?-We have never found any difficulty, we have always had as much offered as we wanted.

    ## [Crofsë̈xanixed by Mr. Grant.]

    Is your flip rapable of building a fhip of the line?-No.
    Could you alter it, fo as to make it build a fhip of the line eafily?-The ground has been meafured; and in my own opinion, it is more than we could cleverly manage to build a fhip of the line.

    From want of length?-From want of length from the freet to the water fide.

    Has the number of men in your yard varied very confiderably at different times? -Yes, there is a variation; there is a variation from one month to another, except when we are building new hhips.
    Suppofing jou have a great demand at any one time for a number of additional Ohipwrights; do you eafily procure them?-We have never found any want.

    Suppofing there is a general demand for lhip-building in all the private yards; do you know whether there is any difficulty in procuring thipwrights? Would you go fo far as to fay, if every yard was as full of work as it could be?-Yes. My anfwer will be a mere matter of opinion; I thould fuppofe there would be a difficulty in procuring men.

    At times when there has in fact been a great demand, without fuppofing that very frong cafe you have put, has it come to your knowledge that there has been a difficulty? -We have never found any difficulty in the yard I belong ta

    Then fuppofing an idle period; what becomes of thofe men that are called into work in times of demand, how do they employ themfelves?-They bear it with patience, I believe, at leaft at the prefent time they do.
    But in times paft, in general, how have they employed themfelves when work was lack? -They work for as many days as they can, and walk about the remainder looking for more; a man is not tied to a yard, he will work one week at pne yard and one at another ; perbaps he may be fometimes a month upon a job.
    They will go to the private yards, and in the fame way to the King's yards to look for work ${ }^{3}$ - Yes; numbers have entered into the King's yards.

    Are there any employments about the river except fhip-building, to which they have betaken themfelves in time of flack work ? - None that I know of.

    At what periods have you built the three Indiamen of which you have fpoken in the laft eleven years?-The firf was in the year 1803.

    Was that one of the 820 -ton filips ${ }^{7}$-Yes, it was; another in 1804, and the Hant Indiaman was in the year 1809.

    Of the Merchantmen you have mentioned, did you build the greater part in the former half of the eleven years, or in the laft half?-In the laft half, the greater quantity were built; in 1803 there were 370 tons; in 1806, 415 tons; in 1810, 99 tons; two dhips in the year 1815, 67 x tons; together in 1812,415 tons; that is the laft.

    Committee, ]-You beilt nothing in 8813 ?-Nothing in 1813 .
    Mr. Grant.]-What is the largef fized timber ufed in a fhip of 800 tons? About noo feet.
    What do you mean by 100 feet?-Two loads.
    Is there much of that large timber in a veffel of that fize?-The chief part of it does not run quite fo large.
    Have you, ever employed forcign timber in your yard?-To cut into planks; the ribs of a Thip are always Englifh oak.
    $D_{0}$ you ufeany foreign timber in the frame of a veffel?-None whatever.
    Do you ufe any other defcriptions of Britif Wood befides oak, in the confruction of veffels lately, more than you did formerly ?-No.
    Has not there been an increafing ufe of elm for example, or other kinds of wood befides oak?-No; we generally put a fmall quantity of elm-plank in a ihip's bottum, that part next the keel.

    Was that always the practice?-Yes, always.
    Committee.]-The keel itfelf is elm?-Always elm; and we were always in the Thabit of ufing Dantric plank in that part which comes under water when the is light, ever fince I remember the trade.

    When you fay you have found no difficulty in procuring timber, you certainly do not mean to fay the prices have not been very high of late, or within ten years? Within the period of twenty years the prices have got up amazingly, feventy or eighty per cent.; the rife has not been fo rapid in the laft ten jears.

    Can you ftate what the increafe of price per load has been in twenty years?Going back to the year 1790, timber I could have bought then for 5l. 2 load, I could not have under 81. ros. or 9 ghow.

    Committee.]-Do you mean to fay it was 5 l. a load in 1790 P-Yes, as nearly as 1 can recollect.

    Did you ever pay that for a load of timber?-Yes.
    Timber that was not picked ?-It might have been picked timber for that price.
    You do not mean to fay the ordinary price of timber was fo high as that?-Nós becaufe I am mentioning the fuperior price in both inftances.

    Mr. Grant.]-In an account that is before the Committee, I find, that oak which in the year 1795 coft $4 \%$. 105. per load, coft $9 \%$. in the year 1811 ; has any thing of that kind fallen within your experience? That is a hundred per cent. certainly I cannot fpeak to fhillings.

    I do not find on looking at your return of the number of perfons employed in your yard, that that number has varied exactly as you have had Indiamen to build or not ; do you apprehend that the number of men you employ depends on building Indiamen ?-The building of Indiamen employs many men; probably at the time of that return we might have have no old work, and therefore the whole of the men might have been on new hips; I cannot difriminate that, but that was the number employed.

    You fometimes have full work when there is no Indiamen building, I appreherid? -If we have two new hips building, and tiwo hips in both docks, that would be very fair work, but it would not be very full; we have three llips and fwo docks holding three flyips, and full work would be when the whole could be occupied; two new hips truilding, and two lhips in dock, that I would call fair, I thould nof find fault with that.

    I perceive 127 men have been employed in your yard when there was no Indiamen building, that is in the year 1808; and that only 64 men were employed in 1804, when there was an Indiaman of 620 tons building?-It arofe from having two or three heavy repairs in the early part of that year 1808 ; we almoft rebuilh one fhip for the Ealt India company's fervice, fhe was nearly new, I believe, from the water-edge up; there was a large repair on a Weft Indiaman alfo in the early part of that year.

    Do you reckon you have had as much to do upon the whole on repairs as int building?-A great deal more; the building has been nothing for this laft fevt years.

    But you do not find that upon the whole, the number of workmen employed in your yard bas fallem off within the laft few years?-Yes, I think that upon the average the amount of the old work done, has been diminihing for the laft three years, and the number of men muft decreafe in the fame ratio.

    Within how many years fhould you fay that the work has fallen off in your yard? -I will fay within the laft three years.

    Then how bappens it that the average number of workmen employed in your yard in the laft three years, very neariy equals thofe employed in the preceding three years, and much exceeds thofe employed in the three years before that ? It very often happens that we have to employ fifty or fixty, feventy or eighty men, for a formight or three weeks, and then the hurry is over; when old thips come into dock to be fitted, for inftance, the tranfports which are only allowed a certain? time for fitting, we are obliged to employ an extra number of men on account of the fhortnefs of the time ; that will increafe the apparent-number.

    Muft not the quantity of the work done in the whole year be the fame? - No; I may have a hundred men at work for one fortnight, and rothing to do the next fortnight; a fhip comes in, and after a fortnight goes out, and the next fortnight other lhips may come, but it is all a chapter of accidents in the repairing of fhips; when
    when new thips are building a certain number of men have conflant employ in Garmelius Trufitt them.

    Taking four periods in every year as you have done in your account, may you not rely in general that the average number of workmen in any given year is in proportion to the quantity of work done in that time?-That will depend upon circumftances; alfo the grofs amount of our work done depends on the amount of materials ufed; the amount of money depends on that; there are many fhips that require confiderable manual labour, where the expenditure of materials is not fogreat, it is Ironwork; for inftance, putting a whole fet of iron riders, iron breafthooks, iron knees, and work of that fort there, there is no confumption of oak-timber, the labour goes on, and there is no expenditure of material.
    Then if I underftand you rightly, in looking at the number of men you have employed in fuccefive years for thofe ten years, the variation of that number is no guide to determine the quantity of the bufinefs done?-No, it is not exactly ; becaule in that return it does not go to fay that the number of men have been employed the whole month; it may have been for a fortnight or a week; that is the number of men paid on thofe particular days; if it was all conftant employ, that would thew the exact proportion ; but not being conftant employ it does not

    Then if any perfon wifhes for fome teit to determine the comparative quantity of work in your yard during the laft ten years, he mult not rely upon this account as furnifhing fuch a teft?-No, that account will not furnilh !uch a teft.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

    Does the building of veffels give confant employment to your men?-That gives conftant employment.

    If you have not the building of large fhips, you have not the means of keeping up a conftant eftablifhment of men?-Certainly not.

    Does the number of men employed at any cafual period, in any yard where th ee is not conftant employment arifing from the building of veffels, arife out of the fize of the veffels fent in to repair, and the expedition with which it is to be carried on 3 It will depend on the fize and expedition; a large fhip will take more men to repair. it in a certain time than a fmall thip will.

    Were you in the habit, if a large veffel was brought in, and it was neceffary the thould be out by a certain time, to look out for good fhipwrights to put on her ?As many as we could ftick on.

    Cover her like a fwarm of bees?-Exactly.
    Of courfe the number of men employed in thofe yards, as fet down on the paper, would not give you any means of judging of the work in the yard $?$-No; becaufe it depends on the thing's being done in a hurry.

    Does the repair of large veffels give more employment for the time it is going on than the building?-More men in proportion.

    Ordinarily fpeaking, you would put on more men on the repair of a 1,200 ton thip brought in to repair, than on the building of one?-Certainly, becaufe in the early part of building a new fhip, you cannot employ more than a certain number, but as the goes.on the number increafes.

    Is it the practice of the yards where there is a regular employment of building veffels, upon a preffure arifing from repairs being called for, to take off all the people, and put them on the repairing fhips?-I have frequently known thofe circumftances happen.

    If you have a fhip building in a yard, and you have a large fhip come in wanting large repairs, you would take all your hands from the thip that was building, and put them on the repairs?-Unlefs we were tied for time in the building; if we had time to fpare, we fhould take them off and put them on the repairs. In the repairing of old fhips, we are tied as to the tide, which only anfwers once a fortnight.

    Has the tranfport fervice given a certain degree of employment for the laft two years?-Two-thirds of our employment for the laft two years, taking it at the firft view; a great number of thofe tranfports have been prize fhips.

    Is the repair of tranfports, work you are obliged to do quick; are you limited as to time?-When a fhip is taken into the tranfport fervice, fhe is put into the dock to be made fit for fervice, and the fooner the is got ready, the fooner fhe commences receiving pay from the Tranfport Board; that is a fufficient reafon to"

    It will of courfe induce you to get as many men together as you can to do it?-We are urged to do it by their owners for their interelt,

    And it is of courfe your interelt then to do it ?-Certainly.

    ## [Examined by the Committce.]

    You have fated you never felt any fcarcity of timber ?-Yes.
    You rever found any ? - Never.
    What is the quantity you ufually employ in a year?-That is a wide field; I carr Ttate nearly the quantity a new hhip will take.

    What has your ftock on hand ever been?-My employer always fudied as near as he could, not to have an exceffive ftock, which he has been enabled to manage by buying fmall lots when he had jobsto require it.
    He only purchafed wood as he wanted it, and in fmall quantities?-In fmall quantities; if he has been to build a new fhip, he has bought according to the quantity it will take.

    And you mean to prove there has not been a fcarcity of timber, becaule you have always found a fmall quantity to buy, when you wanted it ?-Yes.

    You fated that you had nothing to do in 1813 ? - No, Iftated there were no hew flips built in 1813 .
    What did you mean by faying there was nothing done in 1813 ?-I muft correft that expreflion, "nothing done;" we built no new fhips in 18 r 3 .
    But it appears by the account delivered in, that the number of men employed in your yard during 1813 was very little lefs than the preceding ifear, how were they employed?-In repairing tranfports, and fitting thips for the tranfport fervice chiefly.
    Has there been any great decline in your bufinels?-Our bufinels has declined gradually for the laft two or three years in the amount of work done.

    What is that owing to ?-I really cannot fay.
    Is it owing to your not having as many repairs as you ufed to have?-The repairs have not been fo heary; the fhips may be as many in rumber, but they do not amount fo heavy in repairs.
    Have your repairs been chiefly in tranfports and prizes, and common merchant fhips ? - Wholly fo in the year 1813 , we have repaired no Indiamen in the year 1813-
    Then it has not fallen off from the want of repairing Indiamen; that has not been one of the. caufes of this falling off?-If I fay it has fallen off upon the whole, the waint of the Indiaman will help the falling off.
    . If there were as many people employed in ${ }^{1813} 3$ as in the preceding year, it cannot be owing to the want of repairs of Indiamen that the bufinefs has declined? -It would appear from that, that the work has been the fame, whether we had the Indiamen or not.
    . Have you had any of the teak hips ever to repair?-Yes, I remember two or three

    Were the repairs heavy or light?-There was one teak fhip, a fmall fhip, the repairs of which were rather heavy; fhe had received fome damage, and we were obliged to remove all the iron faftening out of her, the iron faftening was all decayed. from the effects of the copper.
    Has there been any decline in the courfe of the laft two or three years, in your repairs of tranfports, in the quantity or the weight of repairs?-No, I think we fitted more in the year ${ }^{181} 13$ than ever we did before, twice as many as ever we had in any one year I think.
    Then to what do you attribute the decline in the bufinefs?-The prize thips have rofe up in place of fhips that would have been to be built in England ; the tonnage tequired is in a great meafure made up, there are many American prizes and Danifh prizes which have gone into the merchant fervice.
    You have been in the habit of building merchant hips? -Chiefly.
    From 1790 to 1802 you built twenty-three? -Yes.
    And in the latter eleven years you built but fix, exclufive of Indiamen? -Yes; that is the ftate of the cafe. .

    How do you account for that diminution ? - There have been a great number of flips built in the north of England and the Outports, which are now in the Weft India trade in particular.
    A great number of the Welt Indiafhips ufed to be built in the Thames?-The chief of them.

    Mr. Do you know what has led to perfons preferring the North of England to the Cornelius Truftt. Thames?-They come cheaper, I think.

    You have repaired a great many prize fhips lately? ?mes.
    They will not laft long, will they? -No.
    They will wear out in two or three years? -Not two or three years, in feven years perhaps.
    The American fhips do not laft long? -Not the Americain thips of the prefent day ; they are very common hips.

    How loug have you been in that fituation? -Nineteen years.
    Were you bred in Mr. Pitcher's yard?-I was.
    You feryed your apprenticeelhip there? - Yes.
    Do the Meffrs. Pitcher carry on their own bufinefs? - Tes
    Have they always been regularly bred to the bulunels? - They have
    What is the extent and capacity of Mr. Pitcher's yard, as to the capability of building and repairing veffels, with reference to the number of flips, and foron?There is one double dry dock capable of taking two feventr-four gun fhips; one fingle dry dock; four flips for building large hips, and one for building fmaller yeffels; there is a wet lock alfo of three acres and a balf; we have feven-and-forty houfes for workmen; the average rental $385 /$,

    Upon what defription of veffels did Meffrs. Pitcher rely, for the general employiment of their yard $P=$ The building of Eaft India fhips generally.

    Has that, for a confiderable number of years back, been a confiderable part of their employ, with the exception of the men of war they have built?-Wholly.
    Does the capability of keeping up the eftabbifhment of workmen, and giving employ to a regular average number of workmen in a yard, depend upon having large fhips to build, as well as occafional repairs?-It does.

    Do you confider the building as more effential to the regular employment than the tepairs ; is is more regular in its operation ?-I confider it to be more conftant than the repairs.
    It gives, more regular emplayment to the eftablifhment of men P-Yes.
    Are you in the habit, in that yard, if a veffel fuddenly comea in wanting repairs expeditionfly, of taking off the men from the building, and applying then to repairs, unlefs. you are prefted for the fhip-building ?-It happens very frequently to be the cafe.

    In repairing large veffels, do you employ a greater number of mert on a 1,200 ton veffel, than you are ordinarily in the habit of employing in the regulat building of a veffel of the fame fize?-That depends upon the time we have to repais her in.
    If fhe is required to be repaised with expedition? - Then want have more workmen.
    My queftion was, whether upon the 1,200 ton fhip that wass required to be repaired expeditioully, you were in the habit of purting or a gueater number of workment than you ordinarily employed in buiding of veffel of the fame fize?-In a fingle veffel we do.
    Has the employment, in Mr. Pitcher's yard, with the exception of men of wary declinedi of late years? -. Ties, in the laft tem years it has fadilen off confiderably.
    Gradually, in the tant ten years, it has fallem off?- Fes
    As:purveyor and converter, you have thy lonking after the fuyply, of the timber? -Yes.
    Do you go into the country; to look after the timber:T-Yesw
    So as to fee it in a growing flate ?-Not in the:growing fater aften.
    You mark it after it is felled ?-I mark it aften it is felled and ready for market. Hever you ever had any difficulty in procuring timber för Mr. Pitcher's: yard? Never.
    Looking merely to your ordinary: courfe of connection, to which you have beent inc the habit of applying for timber, for what number of hips could you now. fupply. timber for $\mathrm{r}, 200$ ton Indiamen?-I fhould, findino difficulty indinding fufficient for ${ }^{\text {twyo fips }}$ a year, if not three, with the connection I have.

    Without interfering with the comeetion of cther people ？－Without any fuch inter－ ifr． ference at all．

    Can you give me the fuumber of fhips that have been built within the laft trventy years，for the Eaft India Company，for Goveriment，and other fmaller flips？－Here is a lift．
    ［It was delivered in，and read as follows］
    A LIST of SHiP＇S buit in Mefrs．Pitcher＇s Yard，Northlleet，from 1793 to isi3 both inclufive．

    | Daten． | Shipu of War． |  | Eaf Yodia Shiph |  | Weat Indiz，and Generai Trade． |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | No． | Tone． | No． | Tons． | No． | Tons． |
    | 1793 － | 152 | .4221,509 | － | $\cdots$ | 二 | 二 |
    | $1794{ }^{-}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
    | 4795 － |  | 33337 | $\cdots$ | $\underset{4}{\sim}$ | $\because$ | － |
    | 1797 － | ． 2 | 1，104 | $\cdots$ | 43347 | $\bar{\square}$ | － |
    | 1798. |  |  | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 1，$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}$ a | $\because$ | － |
    | $\sim$－ |  | － | － |  | $=$ | － |
    | － | $\square$ |  | － |  | 2 | 599 |
    | 1799＊ |  | $\cdots$－ | －$=$ | － $\mathbf{F}_{2} 57$ |  |  |
    | －－ | 2 | 2，615 | － |  | 二 | － |
    | 1800 － |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $=$ |  |
    | － | ${ }^{2}$ | $\div$ | 2 | 2，514 |  | － |
    | 1801. |  |  | － | 2，－7\％ | $\cdots$ | 皆 |
    | －： | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |  | 1 Hoy |  | $=$ |  |
    | 1803． |  | － | 1 | 818 | 爰 | $\cdots$ |
    | 1804 － | 4 | 708 |  | 二 |  | 二 |
    | 1805－ |  | 3，412 | － |  | $\because$ |  |
    | 1806. |  | － |  |  | $\left\{\left\{\begin{array}{c} \xi \text { frall } \\ \text { craft } \end{array}\right\}\right.$ | i80 |
    | 1807 － |  | 3；482 | － |  | － | $\cdots$ |
    | － | 1 | 235 |  | 二 |  |  |
    | 3808 － | 1 | $\mathrm{r}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{i}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $=$ |
    | 1809－ |  | － |  |  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Do}$ \％ | 180 |
    | 18io－ | － |  | 1 | r，4188 708 | － | － |
    | ${ }_{1811}$ | － |  | $\underline{\square}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{35}$ |
    | 1812－ |  | ［ $\begin{array}{r}382 \\ 2, \mathrm{x} 24\end{array}$ |  | － | － |  |
    | Tota | 32 | 21，485 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{\text { ri\&ingoy } \\ \text { Hoy }} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,022 \\ 120 \end{array}$ | $2 \& 8 \mathrm{fmall}$ craft． | ${ }_{1}^{15536}$ |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  | $16,142$ |  |  |
    | No．$\ddagger$ Ḧons：－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 022 \\ & 120 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  | 39，163 |  |  |
    |  |  |  | 57 |  |  |  |

    Have

    ## MINUTES O EVIDENCE ON PETTIIONS

    Mr. Have you any fhips building in the yard now? We have not.
    Have you any repairing in the yard?-Nothing at all doing.
    Have you ever known Mr. Pitcher's yard in the fate in which it is now, as to employ?-I do not remember it ever has been in fuch a tate.

    What is the eftablifhmentof, apprentices in that yard, independent of apprentices that may belong to the workmen? -Twelve.

    Is that a greater or a fraller number than Mr. Pitcher has been in the habit of having of late years ?-I do not think we have had more at any time together; about twelve has been the average number.
    Are you in the habit of employing only perfons who have been regularly bred as hipwrights?-Never any others for Mhipwrights work.
    What quantity of timber have Meffrs. Pitcher now on hand?-544 loads of imber, and 317 loads of plank.
    That is your prefent ftock? That is our prefent flock.
    Has Mr. Pitcher's yard ever been called on for fudden exertions by the Navy 3oard, in the repair and fitting out of men-of-war ?-Yes; I confider they have requently.
    Were they applied to in 1795 and 1796 ?-Yes, in 1795.
    What was the employ of Mr. Pitcher's yard by the Navy Board in thofe years?n 1795, they purchafed a thip for the fervice of Government, that we were building or the Eaft India Company's fervice at the time, and other India thips that were uilt and had been before in the Company's fervice.
    And were they required to be fitted out as men-of-war?-Yes.
    They were, I believe, purchafed by Government for men-of-war, were they not?
    -Yes, they were.
    Did you fit out thofe veffels?-Yes, we fitted out two, and furnifhed one as a manf -war, inftead of an Eaft India fhip.
    Was that required to be done with confiderable expedition?-It was; we had unips the fame year to furnifh, inftead of thofe already built for the Eaft. India Company's fervice.

    Was very great exertion ufed on that occafion to perform your engagement to the Navy Board? - Very great.

    Were you alfo applied to in 1804 and 1805 , to repair line-of-battle chips? - Yes.
    What veffel did you repair in thofe years?-The Africa of fixty-four guns, and the Thetis frigate.

    If the building large veffels were to be difcontinued, from any circumftances in the Thames, would the merchant yards be able to afford any effectual affiftance to Government of that defcription?-Not with expedition.

    Are Indiamen occafionally required to be fitted out with extraordinary expedition? -In fome circumftances very much fo; if they are taken up but a Ihort time before they go to fea, they require great expedition indeed.

    Are you obliged, on occafions of that fort, to look out for more hands than on the ordinary average you are in the habit of employing ?-No, we take them generally from the new work.

    But fuppofing the veffels fent into your yard, and the time in which they were to be fitted out, would not allow the men you employ to fit them out, , would you employ additional men?-We muft, if we could get them.

    You would have no difficulty in getting them now, I believe? - I believe not.
    Ten years ago, when the yards were in full employment, was it then very eafy to get any additional number of men?-No, not very eafy.

    You were obliged then to depend upon your own eftablifhment, and to manage that in the beft way you could?-Yes.

    How often do you pay your people in the yard?-Once a month.
    Are the pay-days in the different yards the fame?-No, I believe not.
    There is no regular pay day common among all the yards?-Not that I know of.
    When there is no building going on in the river, and nothing but the cafual employment arifing from accidental repairs, would the number of men that would appear to have been paid in each yard at the monthly pay day, give any means of judging of what the general nature and extent of the work going on in the river Thames was? -No, I hould think not.

    Could that be obtained any other way than by fhewing the general employment of the yard, as to the veffels which have been built and the veffels repaired, and the extent of thofe repairs?-It could not.

    Would the ftate of employment of a yard be thewn by merely thewing how many had been paid at the end of a month?-No, it would not.

    - Explain why it would not?-We do not keep an account of what they do, only of the time.

    Committce.]-Do you mean to flate, that the account of the number of men employed in your yard at a given time, would not lead to a proof of the quantity of work that was doing in the yard at that time?-As to the repair and building, it would only give the names of the thips, but not as to the quantity of work that was done to each at that time.
    M'Tr: Hairijono.]-You pay your men, youray, once a month? We do.
    The account of the number of men you have paid at the end of the month, does it furnifh you with any criterion as to the quantity of the work done?-No, it does not.

    Are they all paid at the end of the month, whether they have done three days work, or a fortnight's work, or three weeks work, or a month's work?-Yes, but not the fame as for working the whole time.

    Then to enable you to dravy any inference from the account of pay to the men, muft you have the number of days for which each man was paid, if he was paid by the day, the quantity of work for which he was paid if he worked by job, and the different rates at which the different defcriptions of men were paid, in order to Shew the quantity of work P -You cannot thew it without.
    The mere number of men gives no criterion at all of the quantity of work done? -Not the number of men.

    ## - [Crof-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    You fay that Mr. Pitcher's yard has been much employed by Government in building and repairing thips of war ?-It has.
    You have known it twenty years?-Six-and-twenty years.
    Since you knew the yard firft, has the capacity, of Mr. Pitcher's. yard for building thips of war been increafed or diminithed, as to flips, docks, and other requifites ?The yard has been made wholly fince I have been there.
    Did it not exift at all ? -It did not exift at all, till I went there in 1788 .
    When it wasi firft made, was it of the fame extent and capacity as it now is? No, not until the year 1795 .
    In the year 1795 the increafe took place?-It was neceflary to make an increafe of the large flips to build the Eaft India Chips, inftead of thofe purchafed by Government.
    Is that the only large increafe that has taken place fince it was made?-There has been nothing elfe done, except adding a fmall fip in the year 1797.

    Then this alteration was made, with a particular view to the building or accommodating thofe Eaft India fhips for the purpofes of Government in the year 1795? - For the building the Eaft India fhips.

    You built Eaft India fhips before that?-Yes, before that.
    Then this alteration was made to enable Mr. Pitcher to build or repair fhips of war if necefflary, and firft ufed on this particular occafion?-No, the fips were made to build Eaft India Chips, in lieu of thofe bought by Government.

    Had you, when a yard was originally built, a dock for the accommodation of feventy-four gun phips?-No.

    Then I am to underftand, that the accommodation for feventy-four gun fhips, was made fublequent to the year $\mathbf{1 7 9 3}$, at the commencement of the laft war? Yes.

    You have ftated, that you have occafionally been enabled to make great exertions for the fervice of Government, in repairing thips of war ?-We have.

    Do you conceive, that the fame number of men employed by you could have done the fame quantity of work, if they had been employed in the Government yards, inftead of yours? -I do not know that I can anfwer the queftion.

    Would the fame number of men do more work or better work in your yard than in the Government yards?-I think more in merchants yards than King's yards. The fame number of men would do more work ? - Yes.
    Is it in confequence of the opinion, that the men would do more work in the merchants yards than the King's yards, that you conceive they have been of fo much affiftance to Government in building lhips of war?-I'confider the eftablijh-

    > (72.)
    ments kept up in the merchants yards; have been of great fervice to Government

    ## at various periods.

    Yes. y ou build a greater number of Eaft Indiamen during the firft half of that period than the laft half?-The firt ten years we built cleven, and the laft ten years we built three.

    So that you hiave not had fo much building for the Eaft India Company, during the laft tem years as you had befdre? -No.
    Do you happen to know of your own knowledge, whether the number of Eaf Indiamen built altogether during the laft ten years has been greater or fmaller than the number of Ealf tndiamen built during the preceding ten years?-I only know from Mr. Pitcher's yard.

    Do you happen to know that Meifrs. Wigram and Green have built a great pumber of Indiamen during the laft twenty yeats ? - I cannot fpeak to that.
    Then I am to underftand, that a very confiderable part of the employment of Mr . Pitcher's yard, during the laft twenty. years, has been in the fervice of Government 3 - For the laft ten years particularly.
    You have built 32 hhips for Goverament, altogether, I think you fay ?-Yes.
    How many leventy-fours?--Seven.
    I In fact your yard has been pretty fully employed during the whole of the laft ten years, till lately?--It has had a good deal.
    And chiefly on Government feryice? We Wave only built three flips exclufive of Government flips.
    Committee.]-Then you have huilt no other veffels but for Government and the Eaft India Company ?-Eleven friall veffels.
    Mr. Spankie.]-Have your 'yarts been much employed' for the repairing of Indiamen during the laft ten years?-But little; we have had but few during the latt ten years.
    That is probably done firther up the River?-It conlequence of the Eaft India docks being nade, the repairs'went up the Riyer; :
    And you afcribe the falling-off 'is to repairing of thofe veffels, in fome degree, to the new eftablifhment at Blackwall for Eaft India fhipping ?-I do
    You have ftated, that you never experienced 'an'y'diffculty in' obtaining' a fupply of large timber, has not the price been an increafing price for the laft twenty years? - Xes, upwards of twenty years.

    Has not it increafed very much?-Yes, butnot in proportion to the fir timber?
    The fupply of fir-timber was cut off in confequence of the fate of things in the Baltic in a great degree ?-1 fuppofe that was the reafon which wat the caule of oak timber getting up fo much:'

    Generally fpeaking; ao not you confider the higtriptice of an'artycte is a probf of its fcarcity ? -No, I'do not, allading ta oak:

    I am fpeaking as a general propofition ?-That woitd depent on the degree of demand.
    In confequence of the wants of Goternment for nawl purporises, athd the building for other purpofes, do not your codnfider that theite hás beem a yety great confunption and demand for timber during the taft twenty yeurs? T- Fes.
    Then in your opinion, has the demand for lyif-barlding timbertmineafed, compared with the preceding ten or twenty ycars?-I did not kidowitbefore the latit twenty years; I have only known the trade 26 jearts.

    Do you think the demands of Governiment during the laft twelve years has increafed the confumption of thip-building timber, compared with what it woukd be in ordinary times? -Yes, I think the latt twefve.jears will exceed the preceding twelve years.

    Do not you think that fuch an extraotcinary demaid has a tendency to raife the price?-Yes,

    Does not a great demand of itfelf caufe a ceriain degree of difficulty in pro turing an article, the fupply of which is limited ?-I could always get timber, provided 1 could get money to pay for it.

    But a greater quantity of money would be required?-A greater quantity of money wquld be required.

    ## [THE following Accounts, and Papers, were read:]

    (48. )-Letter from the Admiralty-office, 19 May 18 in $^{4}$; inclofing
    
    
    
    
    (485)-Enghn and Foreign Dak Timber, \&c. expended in His Majesty efereral Pock
    Yards, $1803-1812$
    $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (49. )-Letter from Plymouth Officers, 27 March } 18 \mathrm{I} 3 \text {, on the Building and Fattening } \\ \text { of The Dublin }\end{array}\right\}$ p. 386.
    
    (51.)-Leiter from the Officers of Plymouth'Yardatz May 1804, on the Building and
    Fattening of The Ardent $\quad$ - $\quad$ p. 388.
    
    
    
    (55) -Account of the Length of Service, before requiring, Repair g of His Majely's Ships
    Atdent, Rodney, and Dublin
    .:
    .
    

    ## ACCOUNTS, \&c.

    $$
    -(48 .)-
    $$

    Sir,
    Admifalty Office, 19 May ${ }^{1814}$.
    WITH reference to the precept of the Committee on Eaft India Shipping, dated the sth inftant, requiring the following Papers; viz.
    An Account of the Number of Shipi built in the King's Yards fince $\mathbf{r}$ So2, of the following Rates, namely 2 ft , 2 d , 3 d , and 4 th, with the names and tonnage of each. A Copy of any Letter from Meffrs. Bowfher and Co. offering to fupply a quartity of Oat Timber to the Nary Board in the year 1813-14, for the ufe of the Navy, and of the Correspondence between the Navy Board and them refpecting the came, and of Meffrs. Bowfher's fubfequent offer to fupply Oak 'Timber and Plank, and of the Contract (if any) entered into for the fame.
    A Copy of the laft Contrad with Mr. Larkin to fupply the Navy Board with Oak Timber, and an account of the quantity thereof already delivered by him.
    I am commanded by my Lords Commiffioners of the Admiralty, to tranfmit to you herewith the faid Papers, obferving that the Navy Board have reported that no Contrakt was entered into with Meffrs. Bowther, in confequence of their fubfequent offer mentioned above.

    I am, Sir,
    Your very humble Servant,
    JOHN BARROW.

    $$
    -(48-1 t)-
    $$

    An ACCOUNT of the Number of SHIPS built in the King Nary Ofice, inth May 18r4following Rates ; viz.-1It, 2d, 3d, and 4th, with the Name and Yards fince :802, of the
    

    $$
    -(48-2 .)-
    $$

    Copy of a LETTER from the Commiffioners of the Navy to Meffrs, Bowther and Co. dated 8th December 1812, defiring to know whether they mean to proceed with their 'Contract of the 2gth November 1810, to the 3 ift December 18 5 5.

    Meffrs. Bowther \& Co.
    Navy Office, 8th December 1812. THE claufe in page 3 of your Contract of the 29th November 1810, for fupplying His Majefl's yards with Timber, providing that either party may give twelve months notice of their intention of diffolving the Contract, the Kaid twelve months to end on the 3 Ift December 1813: We defire you will now declare whether you mean to proceed with the Contract to the 3 IIt December 1815 .

    We are gour affectionate Friends,<br>F. J. HARTWELL. W. RULE.<br>H. LEGGE.

    (Attefted)<br>R. A. Nelfor.

    Copy of a LETTER from Meffrs. Bowther \& Co . to the Commifioners of the Navy, dated $15^{\text {th }}$ December 1812, ftating the Terms on which they are willing to proceed with their Contract for the next two years.

    ## Honourable Sirs,

    IN anfwer to your Honourable Board's letter of the 8th inftant, I beg leave to ftate, that I have, with great difficulty, procured the quantity of timber I engaged to do; but the increafed expenfe attending the conveyance of timber from the inland counties, that I am under the nocefity of getting it from, and I muft again refort to for my fupply, renders an advance of money from your Honourable Board abfolutely neceffary, for me to continue fo large an undertaking: efpecially fo, as many of the dealers in timber in the counties of Cheßhire, Salop, Montgomery, Worcefter, Cloucefter, Hereford, Radnor, Brecon, Glamorgan, and Monmouthhire, who uled to fupply me, have difcontinued the trade; therefore mult now refort more than eder to buying timber on the flub, which will require a much greater capital than heretofore. I fhall be willing to go on with the contract for the next two years, upon the fame terms as propofed by Mr. Larking; but I mult be excufed from fupplying elm timber, there being little or none growing in the counties round land from whence I pick up the oak; and as plank and treenails are alfo very fcarce, I mult be exculed from finding the quantity now required by the contract; however, I am willing to engage to fupply all I polibly can procure, and in cafe of ariy deficiency, have no objection to fupply two loads of converted oak in lieu of one load of plank.

    With refpect,
    I am for felf, Hodges \& Watkins,
    Honourable Sirs,

    Turk's He ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}$ Coffee-Houfe, $\}$
    15 th December1812. $\}$ (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon.

    JOHN BOWSHER.

    Copy of $\dot{\alpha}$ LETTER from Meffrs. Bowther \& Co. to the Commiffioners of the Navy, fated 22d December 1812, Iating the quantity of Timber they are willing to deliver in each of the next two years.
    Honourable Siris,
    London, 22d December 1812.
    HAVING omitted in my letter of the 1 g th inftant, to fate the quantity of timber I am willing to deliver upon the terms offered, I beg leave to fay, $m y$ offer is for the quantity required py the general contract; fay, 15,000 loadd for each of the next two years.

    For felf \&c Co. I am,
    Honourable Sirs,
    (Attefted)
    R. A. N̦elfog.

    Tour molt obedient Servant,
    JOHN BOWSHER.

    Copy of a LETTER from the Commiffioners of the Navy to Mefirs. Bow her \& Co. dated 24th December 1812, communicating the Conditions on which their terms are accepted for continuing their Contract for the Years 1814 and 1815
    Meffrs. Bowiher \& Co.
    Navy Office, 24th December 1812.
    HAVING taken into our confideration the propofals contained in your letter of the 15 th inftant, for continuing the contract dated 29 th November 1810 , for the further quantities of 15,000 loads of timber, to be delivered in each of the years 1814 and 1815 , and the additional prices which you demand for the fame, we have come to the following refolutions, viz.

    To allow you for thefe 30,000 loads of timber the fame additional prices beyond thofe mentioned in the contract dated 29th November 1810, as we allowed you for the addjtional 20,000 loads of timber in Auguft laft, viz.
    

    Not to require any fecific quantity of elm timber to be delivered; and in cafe no elm is delivered, the quantity of oak to be increafed equal to the deficiency of elm; but the proportions of thickftuff, plank, and treenals, muft remain as is fipulated in the printed contract.

    We agree to pay, on the ift January 1814 , an advance of 11 . per load upon the 15,000 loads which are to be delivered in 1814; and on the in January 1815, an advance of 11. per load upon the 15,000 loads which are to be delivered in 1815; alfo, a further advance of 2l. 5 s. per load on the receipt of each purveyor's report on the quantity of timber therein fpecified; alfo, a further payment of 4\%. per load on rough oak timber, and 6l. 10s. on fided, on its arrival at the yards.

    Having agreed to make fuch confiderable alterations in your favour from the original contract, we think it is but juftice that you fhould make fome conceflions, which we confider may be for the benefit of the public; and we therefore propofe, that either party fhould be at liberty, on the 31 it December 1813 , to declare whether they will go on with the contract beyond December 1815: and we further require, that the claufe in the prefent contra\&t be obliterated, which fipulates, that in cafe any thips of $3^{6}$ guns or upwards fhall, at any time during the continuance of the contract, be contracted for to be built in private yards, the quantity of oak timber to be fupplied into His Majefty's Dock Yards, fhall be xeduced in proportion.

    We are,
    Your affectionate Friends,
    F. J. HARTWELL.
    (Attefted)
    W. RULE.
    R. A: Nelfon.
    H. LEGGE.

    Copy of a LETTER from Meffrs, Bowther \& Co. to the Commiffioners of the Navy, dated the 27th December 1813, fating that they could procure a further fupply of timber, provided the proportions of Thickftuff and Plank could be reduced.

    ## Honourable Sirs,

    AS I underftand Mr. Larking has procured Navy Ofice, 27th December 1813. ared the quantities of timber he had engaged to neceffary to fay, that when I offered 35,000 poies making a new engagement, I think it as the utm 35,000 loads laft January, I confidered that quantity as the utmoft that could be procured in the counties. I purchafe in, fay Monmouth, Gloucefter, Worcefter, Stafford, Salop, Montgomery, Chener, Radnor, Brecon, Hereford, and Glamorganfhire, and in the time limited for the delivery; I am therefore unable, as far as I can judge at prefent, to make any further offer, from the perfect impofibility of procuring the proportions of the thickftuff and plank, looking to the fall of next year, now to enable me to complete $m y$ proportions for my prefent engagements with your Honourable Board; but I have no doubt I could procure a further fupply of timber, provided the proportions of thickftuff and plank could be reduced or difpenfed with, if I receive from your Honourable Board the fame encouragement and pecuniary aid as heretofore.
    (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon.

    1 am ,
    Honourable Sirs,
    Your moft obedient Servant,
    JOHN BOWSHER.

    Copy of a LETTEER from Meffrs. Bowther \& Co. to the Commiffioners of the Navy, dated 28th December 8812, ftating the progrefs made in completing their Contract of November 1810, and making oblervations on the conditions communicated to them, for accepting their terms for continuing Contract for the years 1814 and 1815 .
    Honourable Sirs,
    London, 28th December 1812.
    IHAVE received your Honourable Board's letter of the 24th December, and from the Contents conceive that you are not aware of the progrefs I have made in completing my part of the contrad of November 1810, for the fupply of timber; allow me therefore to ftate, including 5,500 loads of timber which has been reported in Mr. Larking's name, but which is to be confidered (from an agreement between us) as a part of my quantity of 46,500 loacs, I have had marked by the purveyor all that I engaged for, and have actually delivered at the yards 28,450 loads rough contents, and have now hlipped and on its paffage to the yards 1,900 Joads, and have ready at Chepfow, waiting the return of the vellels, 1,250 loads ; this, with the delivery of 1,400 loads of thickftuff and plank, with almont the whole proportions of elm timber and treenails, will, I truft, induce your Honourable Board to admit that we have made great exertions towards completing our engagement, efpecially confidering the remote diftricts in which we buy our timber in the inland countes.
    I am very forry to obferve, that you cannot difpenfe with the proportions of thickfuff, plank, elm timber, and treenails, as I not only forefee a lofs from the fupply of them, but am apprehenfive that it may be impoffible to procure in the time the whole quantity on any terms; our utmoft endeavours thall, however, be ufed, and if there is any deficiency we muft fubmit to your decifion. We truft your Honourable Board will allow the claufes refpecting the notice of difcontinuing the contract by either party, and the building of Gips of war in the merchants yards, to fland as they now do; we feel perfectly fatisfied that it is impoflible to flate what the price of timber might be if feveral purchafes for His Majefty's yards, and perfons buying for builders of fhips of war, were to meet in the market; and here we beg leave to call to your recollection, that neither Mr. Larking nor ourfelves have made any claim (as we might have dove) for the quantities cf timber to be deducted on account of the fhips of war let out to private builders fince our contract, alchough they have caufed competition in the market, particularly for plank, and a great deal of beam timber fit for cutting out plank and thickituf.

    Your offer of an advance of one pound per load on the ift January 1814, would not render us any affiftance in procuring the timber remaining of the fall of the fring of 1812, which is fill unfold, nor that to be feiled next fpring, which is now offering for fale, as it, and the expenfes upon it, muft be all paid for long before the period you name. If your Honourable Board will allow the claufe refpecting the time of giving notice, and that for the deduction to be made for fhips of war built in merchants yards, to fland as they now do, I will engage upon the advance in price offered by you, to furnifh 5,000 loads of timber for the fupply of 1813 , more than fated in the general contract, and as much as I can procure above 15,000 loads in 1814, engaging to deliver one-third of the quantity in twelve months, one-third by Michaelmas 1814, and the remainder by Michaelmas 1815, taking for the delivery of the proportion only to the 3 Ift December 1815; but in this cafe, we mult rely upon an advance of $\mathscr{E}_{2} 25,000$ on the firft January next, 26 . 5s. per load upon the purveyor's report being delivered at the Navy Olfice, and 6l. 10s. per load on the arrival of veffels at the yard with converted timber.

    > For felf \& Co.
    > I am, Honourable Sirs,

    Your moft obedient Servant,
    JOHN BOWSHER.
    P. S. -My intentions are to fupply 5,000 loads of timber, in addition to the 15,000 mentioned in the contract for the year 1814, and as much as I can procure beyond the 15, coo loads mentioned in the contra@ for the year 1815 .
    (Attefted)
    R. 4. Nelfon.

    Copy of a LETTER from the Commifioners of the Navy to Meffrs. Bowfher $\&$ Co. dated the $4^{\text {th }}$ January 1814 , defiring to know, what reduction they would make in the price of the timber, if the proportions of thickftuf and plank were reduced.
    Meffrs. Bowfher \& Co.
    Navy Office, 4th January 1814.
    WITH reference to your letter of the 27th ultimo, ftating that you could procure a further fupply of oak timber, provided the proportions of thickftuff and plank could be reduced or difpenfed with; we acquaint you, that it is impoflible for us to difpenfe with the proportions of thick fuuff and plank; but if we were inclined to reduce the quantities of them, we defire you will let us know what reduction you would make in the price of the timber.

    We are your affectionate Friends,
    

    Copy of a LETTER from Meffrs. Bowfher and Co. to the Commilioners of the Navy, dated the 6th January 1814, acquainting, that they cannot confent to make any reduction in the price of timber, and offering to deliver timber on Contract of January 1813, with a proportion of thickftuff and plank.

    Navy Office, 6th January 1814.
    Honourable Sirs,
    ble to difpenfe with the proportions of thickftuff and plank on a further quantity of timber, at the fame time oberving, that were you inclined to reduce the quantitics, to inform your Honourable Board what reduction we would make in the price of the timber : In anfwer to which, we do not at prefent fee the leaft profpect of being able to bay timber on which we can afford to make any abatement in price; and thould it fo happen, that 2 ceffation of hoftilities take place in the fummer, it would not be in our power to make deliveries of timber even at the prefent prices, having, from the remote part of England and Wales fuch exceffive heavy land and water carriage to pay, fay on the average at leaft 30 per. cent on the value of it at the yards; in addition to which we have to apprehend 2 very confiderable reduction would, in cafe of peace, take place in the price of bark, on having importations from the Continent. In the diftant counties we are compelled to colledt our timber from, bark bears at prefent at leaft 25 per cent. of the value of timber on the fub, fo that 2 fall of even 10 per cent. on that article would be feriouly felt by us; under thefe circumftances we could not confent to any reduction in the price of timber, as we perceive confiderable difficulty in procuring it even on the prefent eerms ; but if your Honourable Board will agree to our delivering a quantity of timber on the terms of January 1813 contract, and take 20 loads of thickftuff and plank to every 1,000 loads of timber, we will procure all the timber we can, probably 20,000 loads. Timber on our fide of the kingdom in general grows in the fields and hedge rows, and is not calculated to cut into thickrtuff and plank, and all that can be procured from the fall of next year, and part of the fall of 15 , we fhall want to make good our prefent contracts, therefore it would be deceiving your Honourable Board, and doing ourfelves great injuftice, to promife to get you the proportions now required, which, after 30 years experience in dealing with you, I have always found the greateft diffculty in obtaining, efpecially fo as thofe articles that could be procured this fide of the land, will be all bought and wanted by Mr. Larking, to make good his engagements with your Honourable Board; however, we beg leave to affure you, that fhould we be able to cullect an additional quantity of thickftuff and plank, we pledge ourfelves to do it.

    (Attefted)<br>R. A. Nelfor.

    For felf $\&_{c} C$.
    I am, Honourable Sirs, your moft obedient Servant,

    Copy of a LETTER from the Commifioners of the Navy to Mefrs. Bowher \& Co. dated the 21ft January 1814, acquainting them that an agreement for a further quantity of Timber, cannot be entered into, unlefs they can deliver the ufual quantities of thickfuff, plank, elm and treenails.
    Meffrs. Bowfher and Co. $\quad$ Navy Office, 2xft January 18 r 4.
    WITH reference to your letter of the 6th inftant, we acquaint you, that we cannot confent to enter into an agreement with you for a further quantity of timber, unlefs you can deliver therewith the ufual quantities of thickftuff, plank, elm and treenails, viz. thickftuff $4 \frac{1}{2}$ loads, plank $3 \frac{1}{2}$ loads, actual contents, to every one hundred loads of the oak timber contracted for.

    We are, your affectionate Friends,
    (Signed) F.J. HARTWELL.
    H. PEAKE.
    (Attefted)
    R. A. Neljon.
    H. LEGGE.

    Copy of a LETTER from Meffrs. Bowher and Co. to the Commifioners of the Navy, dated 20th January 1814 , acquainting, that they are purchafing the whole of the Timber offered for fale, of which they will make an offer as foon as the quantities can be afcertained.

    ## Honourable Sirs, <br> WE have before us your letter of the $10 \dot{\theta}$. Chepfow, 29th January 1814.

    confent to enter into an agreement with us for a furt, acquainting us, that you cannot deliver therewith the un daliver therewith the ufual quantities of thickftuff, plank, elm timber and treenails, calculating the thickfuff at $4 \frac{1}{2}$ loads, and plank at $3 \frac{\pi}{\frac{t}{2}}$ loads, to every 100 loads of oak timber contracted for. In anfwer to which, at prefent we do not fee that is pofible we can comply therewith. $\Lambda_{8}$ to the proportions, however, we beg leave to inform your Honourable Board, that we and our agents are now employed in purchafing the whole of the timber offeredfor fale in the different counties we ufually buy in, that is applicable (and can be brought to deliver in the terms of the contraç of January 1813) for His Majefty's yards; and that as foon as the feafon begins for falling, and we can afcertain the quantities we maf have, we thall make your Honourable Board an offer of it, affuring you, that we will not difpofe of any part of our purchafes until then.

    Interim, We are, with refpect,
    Honourable Sirs,
    Your Honours moft humble Servants,
    (Attefted)
    BOWSHER, HODGES \& WATKINS.

    Copy of a LETTER from Meffrs. Bowher \& Co, to the Commifioners of the Navy, dated 27th April 1814 , offering to fupply 20,000 loads of Timber, with th ufual proportions of Thickftuff, Plank, \&c.
    Honourable Sirs, Navy Office, 27th April 1814.
    CONFORMABLY with our letter of the 29th January laft, in which we fated our defire to meet your Honourable Board's wifh, in procuring a further quantity of timber, and proportions with the fame, if the falls in the diftricts in which we purchafed, admitted of it ; we now beg to ftate our readinefs to fupply 20,000 loads of timber, including the ufual proportions of thicketuff, plank, \&cc. on the terms of our contract of January 1813.

    > With refpect, we remain,

    Honourable Sirs,
    Your molt obedient Servants,
    

    ## $-(48-3)-$. <br> Navy Office, ${ }^{13}{ }^{\text {th }}$ May 1814- <br> A Copy of the laft CONTRACT of Mr. Larking's, to fupply the Navy Board with Oak Timber.

    - CONTRACTED and agreed upon the 5 th Day of February, in the year of our Lord One thouland eight hundred and fourteen, by and between John Larking, of Eaft Malling, in the county of Kent, timber merchant, of the one part, and Sir Francis John Hartwell Baronet, Jofeph Tucker Efquire, and Robert Seppings Efquire, being three of the principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy (for and on behalf of His Majefty, His Ileirs and Succeffors) of the other part, as follows; (that is to fay) The faid John Larking doth for himfelf, his executors and adminittrators, hereby covenant contract and agree to and with the faid Commifioners, parties hereto, free of all charges to His Majefty, His Heirs and Succeffors, to fupply and deliver into ftore at His Majefty's dock yards at Deptford, Woolwich, Chatham, Sheerne ${ }^{5}$, Portfmouth, Plymouth and Mifford, or at fome or one of the faid yards, as thall be ordered and directed by the faid Commifioners, parties hereto, or by the Comnifioners of His Majelty's Navy for the time being, or any three or more of them, or by their or any three or more of their order or authority, fuch quamities of oak timber as thall in the whole amount to forty thoufand loads of timber, including in that quantity the following proportions of knees, thickftuff, oak plank, elm timber and treenails; viz.
    
    or in fuch proportions of thickftuff and plank at each yard as the nature of the fervice may require, not exceeding in the aggregate the proportions above mentioned.
    Elm timber Loads. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { To every } 100 \text { loads on the whole of the oak timber con. } \\ \text { tracted for. }\end{array}\right.$
    Treenaila $-\quad-$ Na $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { To every } 1,000 \text { loads on the whole of the oak timber con- } \\ \text { tracted for } ; \text { the faid treenails to be of the following } \\ \text { lengths ; viz. one-tenth of } 12 \text { inches and } 15 \text { inches long; } \\ \text { four-tenths of } 18 \text { inches, } 21 \text { inches and } 24 \text { inches long; } \\ \text { three-tenths of } 27 \text { inches and } 30 \text { inches long; and two- } \\ \text { tenths of } 33 \text { inches and } 36 \text { inches long. }\end{array}\right.$

    Provided neverthelefs, that in cafe no elm timber or only part of the required quantity fhall be delivered, the quancity of oak timber fhall be encreafed fo as to fapply the deficiency (72.)
     which fhall be fupplied in purfuance of this contract, fhatl be cilleulated in fought comienty; and that the quantity of thicklluff plaink and inédiaiss which mall be fupplied in purfuance heifeof, fhall be calculated in nett of fided contents: And farthiets thite not moire than one eighth part of the whole quantity of oak timber hereby tofintricted fory, fhall conifit of fidings of eleven inches and oné half of an incti, and eleten inches; and allo, that no piece of fided oak timber, of and under 21 feet in length, fhall be above two inches fide round in foutteen feet in length; and that the fided oak timber, of above 28 feet in length, fhall Bie fided as hérétofore: And the faid John Larking doth for himfelf, his exeetuory and. adminiffrators, alfo covenant and agree, that all the oak timber hereby contracted for hall be delivered into flore at the faid yards, or fome or one of them, by or before the twentyninth day of September, which will be in the year one thoufand eight hundred and fifteen; and that the proportions of knees, thickAuff, oak plank, elm timber and treenails, fhall bé delitered into fore at the faid yaids; or fome or one of thenf, by or before the expiration of three calendar momhs next afteè the delivert of the oak timber to which fuch proportions of knees, thickftuff, oak plank, chm fimbér and treenaits halif refpectively belong.
    In confideration whereof the faid Commiffioners, parties hereto, dè hereby for and on behalf of His Majefty, covennnt and agree, that the faid John Larking, his execufors and adminiftrators, hrall be paid for fuch oak timbetr, knees, thickftuff, oak plank, elm timber and treenals, at the feveral prices fet oppofite or againft the Caid artucles refpectively, inf two particulars marked refpectively $\mathrm{N}^{0_{1}}$, and $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{2}$. and contained in a certain contrad bearing date the twenty-ninth day of November in the year one thouland eight hundred and ten, and made bétween the faid Johir Larking of the one part, and Sir Francis John Hartwell Baronet, Sir William Ruile Knight, and Henry Peake Efquire, then three of the principal Officers ànid Comimifilionets of His Majefty's Navy (for and on behalf of His Majefty, His Heirs and Succeffors), of the other part, with the feveral additions hertinuafter mentioned to the faid feveral prices mentioned in the faid particulars containted in the faid herein-bcfore recited contract ; viz.
    

    Provided neverthelefs, that the faid feveral per-centage additions fhall not be made to any fum or fums of money which may be paid on account of long carriage, carriage éxpenfes, and freight refpectively of the faid timber and other articles before mentioned.
     John Latkitug, his execülort atil adminiftratorts, inhll be allowed amd paid, in forther addition to the féveral prices herem-before covernanted atrd agreed to be paid for the fxid oak timbert, knees, thíckffuff, oak plank; and elm cimbet refpectively, the feretal foms of momey méntionied in the fâdd heirein-before reciteß contract, for and on wecomt of long carrixgt, ceariage expenfes, aird frefght refpectively; and that the faid feveral Tarts of money for loug cartiagé, càrriagé expenfes, and freight refpectively, thall be allowed and paid in the fancs. or like manner as exprefled in the raid contract of the twenty-ninth dat of Notember, bane thoufand eight haridred and ten, and under and fubjeat to the feveral covenants, provifoes, and agteemehts therein refpectively conitained; and alfo, that whenever and fo ofter as ant of the faid oak timbet, kneës, thickffuff, oak plank, attd elmi timber tefpectively, fradl, by the Commiffioners now or for the time being of His Majeft's Navy, of any three or morte of them, of by their or any three of more of their order of authority, be required to be deli: vered at any one or mote of His Majefty's Dock Yards, other that the King's Dock Yard, which may be tieareft to the place where the faid oak timber, knees, thickiftuff, oak plank, and elm timber, may refpectwely be fhipped for the parpofe of being conveyted to the faid Dock Yärds, of any one or moré bf them, thatt then and he every fuch cafe the faid John: Larking, his executors or adminiftrators, fhall be allowed and paid the feveral rates per load herein-after mentioned for freight of the faid oak timber, and other aflicles before trentioned refpectively, in ftill further addition to the feveral prices herein-before covenianted and agreed to be paid tor the fad oak timber athid other articles refpectitely; that is to fay,
    In addition to the prices off fuch of the faid bak timber, kitees, thickfuff, oak plank, and elm timber, as thall be fhipped at any port of place in the river Medway, and fhall pafs His Majefty's Dock Yard at Chatham, and be delivered at Sheernefs

    In addition to the prices of fuch of the faid oak timber and other articles above thentioned, as 'hhatl pafs His Majefty's Dock Yards at Chatham and Shiétrite's, and 'be dellibered at His Majefty's Yard at Woolwich or Depiford, or fhall pars His Majefly's Yatd at Deptford sor Woolwich, and be delivered at Cuathaitn or Sheerints
    In diditition to the prices of fuch of the faid oak timber and other articles above mentioned, as fhall parf-Hts Majefty's Yard at Portfmouth, and be deliWefed at any one or more of His Majelty's Dock Yards eaftward of Portfmouth, or fhall-pars any one or more' of His'Majeffy's Dock Yards eattward of Firnt'
    

    $$
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    In addition to the prices of fuch of the faid oak timber and other articles above mentioned, as thall be hipped at wharfs eaft of Portfmouth, as far as Rye incluGive, in the county of Suffex, and thall be delivered at any one or more of His Majent's Dock Yards caftward of Portfinouth

    And the faid Commificners, parties hereto, do hereby, for and on behalf of $H$ is ${ }^{\circ}$ a $a$ farther covenant and agree, that upon fealing and delivery hereof, a bill of impref by the Treafurer for the time being of His Majefty's Navy, in nincty days from the date thereof, with iaterelt thereon at the rate of three-pence per cent. per diem, thall be made ous and delivered to the faid John Larking, for the fum of thirty thoufand pounds, in part payment for the oak timber hereby contracted for; and that, whenever and fo often as any -quantity of oak timber, to be fupplied and delivered either in a rough or fided fate, in purfuance of this contract, Shall have been furveged and hammered by his purveyor, and his report thereof thall be received at the Navy Office, an imprell bill, payoble as aforefand, Chall, if applied for, be made out and delivered to the faid John Larking, in further part payment for fuch oak timber; and that the amount of fuch impreft bill thall be calculated at the rate of two pounds five fhillings per load on the whole quantity of oak timber in rough contents, which fiall have been fo furveyed, hammered; and reported as aforefaid; and that on the arrival of every cargo of fuch oak timber at the Dock Yard where the fame is to be delivered, a certificate thereof, fpecifyng the quantity of timber fo arrived in each veffel, agreeably to the bill of lading thereof, Shall be given by the officers of the faid yard, on the producion of fuch certificate at the Navy Office, another impreft bill, payable as aforefald, ihall, if applied for, be made out and delivered to the faid John Larking, in ftill further paxt payment for fuch oak timber; and that the amount of fuch impreft bill fo to be made out and delivered as laft aforefaids thall be calculated at the rate of four pounds per load for the oak timber to be delivered in a rough ftate, and at the rate of fix pounds ten fhillings per load for the oak timber to be delivered in a fided flate, on the quantity of oak timber contained in every fuch cargo; and that, upon the completion of every fuch delivery of fuch timber fo furveyed, hammered, and reported as aforefaid, and on the fame being apptoved in quantity, qualitys, and every other refpect, by the Officers of the Dock Yard where the fame fiall be delivered, and by the Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy for the time being, an account thall b: ftated, in which the faid John Larking thall be debited for the feveral fums of money (if any) which fhall have been fo advanced to hion as aforefaid, in part payment for fuch timber; and credit Shall be given to the faid John Latking in fuch account for the value of fuch timber aforefaid, at the feveral rates and prices herein-before covenanted and agreed to be paid for the fame; and that, upon fuch aecouni being approved by the Commifioners of the Navy for the time being, a navy bill, payable in ninety days from the date thereof, with intereft thereon, at the rate of three-pence per cent. per diem, thall be made out and delivered to the faid John Larking, for the balance or amount which ghall then appear to be due for the oak timber aforefaid, which ghall have been fo fupplied and delivered as aforefaid.

    And the faid Corumiflioners, parties heretc, do hereby, for and on behalf of His Majefty, further covenant and agree, that certificates thall be given to the faid John Larking, by the officers of the faid yards refpectively, of the delivery and receipt of the knees, thickftuf, plank, elm timber, and treenails, which Gall be fupplied and delivered in purfuance of this contract; which certificates thall be todged in the Navy Office, and bills for the amount fhall immediately be made out to the fard John Larking, payable in ninety days from their refpective dates, with intereft thereon at the rate of three-pence per ceat. per diem.

    And the faid Commifhoners, parties hereto, do hereby, for and on behalf of His Majefty, His Heirs and Succefors, alfo covenant and agree, that they, or the Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy for the time being, or any three or more of them, for and on behalf of His Majefty, His Heirs and Succeffors, thall not, nor will, direaly or indireetly, prior to the firt day of January which will be in the year one thoufand eight hundred and fifreen, for the ufe of His Majefty, treat with, for, or buy or purchafe of, or employ any other perfon or perfons on their account, or for their ufe, or the ufe of His Majefty, His Heirs or Succeflors, to treat with, for, or buy or purchafe of any perfon or perfons whomfoever, any Englifh oak tumber, knees, thickftoff, oak plank and treenails; provided and fo'as the faid John Larking, his executors or adminittrators, do and chall well and regularly fupply and furnih the refpective quantities of each of the fand articles before fpecified, agreeatly to the true intent and meaning hereof, fave and except fuch contract or contracts, agreement or agrecments, as the faid Comnifinoners parties hereto; or the Commifioners of His Majefty's Navy, now or for the time being, or any three or more of them, thall think proper at any time to make and enter into with John Bowiher, James Hodges, and Richard Watkıns, of Chepftow, in the county of Monmouth, timber merchants and copartners, for the fupply of Englifh oak tumber and other articles aforefaid, or any of them; and which contrath or contradts, agreement or agreements, the fand Commifioners fhall have power and be at liberty to make and enter into accordingly, any thing herein-before contained to the coatrary notwithfanding: And it is hereby covenanted and agreed, by and between the faid paries hereto, that every covenant, article, claufe, agreement, matter and shing, mentioned and contained in the faid herem-before recited contract, bearing date the twenty-ninth day of November one thouland eight hundred and ten, hall, fo far as the fame thall not be altered by thefe prefents, be and remain in as full force, virtue, and effect, in refpect to this prefent contraft, as if the fame had been herein repeated and expreffed between the faid parties hereto.

    And it is hereby further covenanted and agreed upon, that if the faid John Larking, his executors or admuiaratcrs, thall, at the expiration of the relpective periods of the term of
    this contratt, have failed or made default in fupplying and delivering into ftore at the faid Dock Yards, or any one or more of them, all or any part of the faid oak timber, knees, thickfutf, oak plank, elm timber and treenails, within the periods in which the fame ought to have been fupplied and delivered, that then and in either of the faid cafes, the faid John Larking, his executors or adminittrators, thall and will well and truly pay or caufe to be paid on demand to the Treafurer for the time being of His Majelly's Navy, for the ufe of His Majefty, His Heirs and Succeffors, for or towards fatisfaction of the damage His faid Majelty, His Herrs and Succeffors, may have fuftained by any breach or non-performance of this contraE, or otherwife permit and fuffer the faid Commifioners, parties hereto, or the Commifioners of His Majeity's Navy for the time being, to deduct and retain out of the monies which thall then be due or afterwards become due to the faid John Larking, his executors or adminiftrators, for any timber or other articles fupplied in purfuance of this contract, fuch fum or fums of money as thall be at and after the rate or in the proportion of two pounds of lawful Britifh money for every load of the faid oak timber, kners, thickatuff, plank and elm timber refpectively, which fhall be and remain undelivered at the expi-
    ration of the refpective periods herein-before covenanted and agreed upon for the deliver thereof: And alfo fuch fum or fums of money as fhall be at and after the rate or ine delivery portion of two pounds of like lawful money for every thoufand of the faid treenails proporill be wo thall be and remain undelivered at the expiration of the faid refpective periods, or either of them.

    And the faid John Larking doth covenant and promife, that with every delivery of timber which he flall make at the faid yards, there thall be fent an invoice or bill of parcels of the fame.

    And the faid John Larking doth covenant and promife, that he will not give or caule to be given to any perfon whatfoever employed in His Majefty's fervice, in the Civil Department of the Navy, or to any perfon or perfons on their or any of their behalves, or for their or any of their ufe, any fee, gratuity, or reward, for any favour fhewn to him in relation to this contract on any pretence whatever ori account thereof; and that with the firt and laft delivery of timber at the faid yards, he will deliver to the ftorekeeper of each of the faid yards refpectively, a written declaration figned by himfelf, that he hath not promifed, given or caufed to be given, any money, bond, bill, note or other fecurity for money, or any thing whatever by way of fee, gratuity or reward, contrary to the covenant laft above mentioned.

    And the faid Johr Larking doth, for himfelf, his executors and adminifrators, alfo covenant, contract and agree, to and with the faid Commiffioners, parties to thefe prefents, and he doth bind himfelf, his heirs, executors and adminiftrators, unto the faid Commiffioners, in the penalty of five hundred pounds of good and lawful money, current in Great Britain, that he the faid John Larking thall not, and will not at any time or times during the continuance of this contract, transfer or affign the fame, or any part, Thare, or intereft of, in, or to this contract, or caufe or procure this contract, or any part, thare, or intereft of, in or to the fame, to be transferred or afligned to any perfon or perfons whatever, without the authority and approbation of the faid Commiffioners, parties hereto, or the Commiffioners of His Majeft's's Navy for the time being, or any three or more of them, and without caufing fuch authority and approbation, together with the affignment of the part, thare or intereft (which affignment it chall and may be lawful for them, with fuch authority and approbation as aforefaid to make and execute) to be endorfed hereon, and regularly figned in the prefence of a credible witnefs, by him the faid John Larking, and by the perlon or perfons to whom this contract or any part, thare or intereft of, in or to the fame, fhall or may have been fu transferred or affigned.

    And if the faid John Larking, his executors or adminiftrators, thall at any tinue make, do, execute, commit, or willingly or knowingly fuffer any ad, deed, matter or thing whatfoever, whereby, or by reafon or means whereof this contract, or any part thare or intereft of, in or to the fame, is, can, fhall or may be transferred or affigned, without the authority and approbation of the faid Commiffioners, and without an indorfement thereof being made hereon and affigned as aforefaid, then and in fuch cafe it fhall and may be Jawful to and for the Commilfioners of His Majefty's Nary, parties hereto, or the Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy for the time being, or any three or more of them, by writing under their hands, directed to the faid John Larking, to declare this contract to be diffolved, and become abfolutely null and void; and that on the delivery of fuch laft mentioned writing to the faid John Larking, or leaving the fame at his laft place or places of abode, this prefent contract, and every article, claufe, matter and thing herein contained, fhall from thenceforth be diffolved, and become abfolutely null and void, to all intents and purpofes whatfoever.

    And the faid John Larking doth, for himfelf, his executors and adminiftrators, further covenant, contract and agree, that whenever this contract, or any part, fhare or intereft of, in, or to the fame, fhall by the faid Commiffioners be allowed to be transferred or alfizned to any perfons whatever, he the faid John Larking, and any other perfon or perfons to whom any transfer or aflggment of any part, fhare or intereft of and in fuch contract may have been before made, hhall and will, prior to fuch transfer or aflignment being made. produce to the faid Commiffioners an affidavit made by himfelf or themfelves, as the cafe omay require, that he or they hath not or have not, at or before the time of making fuch affidavit, taken;' received or derived, and that he or they will not at any time thereafter take, receive or derive, either directly or indirectly, any profit, emolument, recompence or reward, for or on account or by reafon or means of fuch transfer or aflignment.

    And the faid John Larking doth for himfelf, his executors and adminiftrators, likewife further covenant, contract, and agree, to and with the faid Commiffioners, parties hereto, that if this contract, or any part, fhare, or intereft of, in or to the fame, fhall at, any. time be transferred or afligned to any perfon or perfons as aforefaid, that in fuch cafe he the faid John Larking fhall and will, notwithftanding fuch transfer or alignment, be refponfible to the faid Commifioners for the due and faithful execution hereof, by the perfou or perfons to whom fuch transfer or aflignment may be made; and in cafe fuch laft-mentioned perfon or perfons thall fail or neglect to comply with the terms of this-contract, or any part thereof, he the faid John Larking fhall and will be accounrable, and he doth bind humfelf, his heirs, executors and adminittrators, to the faid Commifioners, in the fame penalties as he and they would have been liable to if no fuch transfer or alfignment had taken place.

    And laftly, for the true performing and keeping all and fingular the faid covenants, articles and agreements, on the fand contractor's part to be done and performed, he the faid John Larking doth bind himfelf, his heirs, executors and adminiftrators, unto the faid principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majefty's Navy, parties hereto, in the penalty of forty-five thoufand pounds of lawful Britifh money.

    In witnefs whereof the faid partics to thefe prefents have hereunto fet their hands and feals, the day and year firf above written.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Johm Larking, (L.s.) } \\
    & \text { F.J. Hartweht, (L.s.) }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    Sealed and delivered (being) firf duly ftamped) in the prefence of
    C. W. Fofter.

    ## Copy.

    R. A. Nelfon.

    $$
    -(48-4 .)-
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    Navy Office, ${ }_{13}$ May 1814 .
    AN ACCOUNT, fhowing the Quantity of Oak Timber delivered into His Majefty's Yards by Mr. Larking, under his ConitaCt, dated 5th February 1814, prepared puriuant to a Precept from a Select Committee of the Houfe of Commons on Eaft India Shipping; dated 5th Inftant.

    $$
    \begin{array}{cc}
    \text { Sided Oak Timber } \ldots \text { - } \begin{array}{c}
    \text { Loads. } \\
    \text { F. J. HARTWELL. }
    \end{array} & \text { R. rough contents. } \\
    \text { R. SEPPINGS. } & \\
    \text { H. LEGGE. }
    \end{array}
    $$

    N.B. Although the above quantity only has been received at the yards, the purvejor has marked 2,749 loads, in addition to the above, in part of the conuract.

    > F. J. H. 'R.S.
    H. L.

    $$
    -(48-5 \cdot)-
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    Navy Office, 19 May 1814.
    Aw ACCOUNT Thowing the Quantities of Englifh and Foreign Oak Timber, Knees, Thickftuff, and Plank, expended in each of the under-mentioned Years, in His Majefty's Yards at Deptford, Woolwich, Chatham, Sheernefs, Portfmouth, and Plymouth.

    | DESCRIPTION.. |
    | :--- |

    F.J. HARTWELI.

    HEN. PEAKE.
    H. LEGGE.

    Copy of a LETTER from Plymouth Officers, on the building and faftening of the DUsLin; dated 27 th March 1813.
    Honourable Sirs, Plymouth Yard, 27th March 1813.
    YOU having been pleared to dired us, by your warrant of 27 th ult. and 2 d . infl. that the Dublin fhould be taken into dock, carefully furreged, and refitted for channel fervice, with all the difpatch that may be:
    We beg leave to acquaint you, That in obedience thereto, and that no time might be loft, we have taken a careful furvey of her defeAs, alongfide the North Middle Jetty (he having loft the (prings to get into dock) taking to our aliftance three experienced carpenters (named in the margin), and find as follows:
    In the Hold, fore ligat Room:-The lead on the flat and before the lanthorns, is much broken, and feveral of the nails on the lead of the flat and in the tin on the bult heads, are worked out.
    On the Orlop:-The lead in the paffage to the magazine is much broken, and worked up from the nails; there are nine keys to the heads of the riders under the beams worked out and gone, and one is out one inch.
    The thip appears very wet on the clamps and timbers, by the leakage from the fidework of the deck and fcuppers, occafioned, we conceive, by the chocks under the beams lifting up and diflurbing the deck and caulking above, which has occafioned fungus to appear on many of the clamps, timbers, \&c.

    Gux-deck:-We find ten beams fhort from being clufe to the timbers, from a quarter to five-eighths of an inch, and there are 18 other ends which are plated; there are 11 keys to the chocks under thefe beams, that have worked loofe from $\frac{1}{2}$ to. $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inch out; all the fcuppers. to this deck (the foremoll ones excepted), moft of the nails in the loops which faftened them to the firft ftrake of the flat, have been either broken or worked out. The oakum in the fide feam of the waterway and firiketting, is found to be dry but flack; the firlt feam on the flat, the oakum is very wet, and will require to be raved out; the butts or feams of the flat in general very flack, and partially wet, and the oakum in feveral places much out of the feams; the deck and fidework requires a general caulking.
    UPPER-DECK:-We find 35 keys to the chocks under the beams, to have worked loofe from three-eighths to $3 \frac{\pi}{2}$ inch out; and the nails in the laps of the fcuppers which fecured them to the firft frake on the flat, many are broken and others worked out. From the 8 th to the 19th beam, from forward on the ftarboard, and from the 1 th to the 17 th beam on the larboard fide, the inner edge of the waterways appear to have been forced up from the beam about $a$ quarter of an inch. The caulking of the fide feam on the flat is exceeding wet, and fome of the oakum worked up, as it is round the top-fail fheet and jeer bits; the whole requires to be raved out, and the remainder of the oak work and flat of the deck, requires a general cauilking; the clamps and fhort fuff between the ports under it are exceeding wet, and exhibit fymptoms of much water having gone thruugh.
    Quarter-deck, forecastle, and waist:-There are 10 keys to the chocks under the quarter-deck beams that have worked loofe from half to two inches out; one iron knee to the after beam on the larboard fide is broke in the throat; the lee plate at the head of the flarboard maintopfail fheet bitt is bruke. 'The oakum has worked up from the firft feam on the flat in fundry places, as it is alfo round the wheel flantion, and round the fore jeer bitts; aid the decks and fides want a general caulking, and fymptoms, of leaks having come down the fide from the forecaftle aft, more or lefs.
    Roundhouse:-There are two hapging knees on the larboard and one on the flarboard fide fprung; the oakum has worked up from the firf feam on the flat, and the deck wants a general caulking; and the lead to the fore part of the taffrail is much broken.
    WIrhout board :-The fecond chainbolt from forward, on the flarboard fide, is worked out near 6 ve inches; the foremon one on the larboard fide is broke off. The lead under the ballufters, on the rails, and to the water tablings, is much broken; the oakum is worked out of the feams of the upper and lower counters. The copper has been farted off the bottom, by her ftraining, about roo feet on both fides, between the fore and mizen channels, fome as far down as we can perceive; and the wales and fides require a general caulking.
    Having flated, for your Honours information, the defects which hive appeared to us, which exceed any that we have ever before experienced in a new fhip; and as fhe is faftened in a new and particular manner, we are at a lofs to make good thefe defects, without interfering with the prefent mode of faftening, and therefore mult requeft, that you will be pleafed to direct us what additional faftening we fhall introduce, as this hip will, in our order to premion, require a much greater increafe of weight to be added to her top-fides, in order to prevent the racking and leaking, than was heretofore ufed by us to prevent fimilar We cares, which you fignified your difapprobation of, by your warrant of $j$ th September 1810 . We have thought it our Uuty to fubmit this Report for your confideration as foon as poime
    direns,

    We remain, \&cc.
    J.Tucker. . .J.Anselel.
    J. Weekes.
    E. Chuicaill.

    ## -(50.)-

    Copy of a LETTER from the Mafter Shipwrights of Chatham, Portfrnouth and Plymouth Yards, on the building and faftening of the Rodney, dated roth April 1813.

    Conformasle to the directions contained in the Honourable Navy Board's letter to you of the ad inftant, directing that we attend you at this gard on the 9 th inftant, and proceed on a minute examination of His Majeft's Ship. Rodney, and take into our confideration the report of Henry Peake, Efq., and the report made by the Plymouth officers on the defects and figns of weaknefs thewn by the Dublin, as flated in their letter;

    We' beg to make the following flatement of the defects difcovered, after examining the Rodney, in this Harbour, two diferent times; and alfo our opinion of the additional faftenings which we humbly conceive will be neceffary to put this thip in a ftate for fervice at fea.

    ## Defects difcovered on our furvey.

    Round Hoose:-The lead on the fore part of the taffrail is broken; the foremaft-beam is fprung on the ftarboard fide; there are four hanging knees on the ftarboard, and five on the larboard fide, appear Atrained, rend and fprung. The bulkheads in the Captain's cabin appear much difturbed by the racking of the fhip.

    Quabter Deck:-Some partial leaks appear on the clamps, proceeding from the firft feam on the flat of the deck next the waterways; the feams are wide, and the oakum wet fore and aft; one key to the chock on the larboard fide, abreaft the mizen maft, is worked loofe, and the jee plates to the jeer bitts appear ftrained by her racking.

    Forecastle:-There appear fome partial leaks from the firf feam on the flat next the waterways, on the clamps, and ftring in the waift.

    Upper Deck:-There are fome fymploms of her working on the fallenings of this deck, on both fides, from the after to the fore hatchway, from one-eighth to three-eighths of an inch; it is more perceivable at the upper fide of the beam than below; the feams to the firt frake on the flat are wide, and the oakum has been wet, and there are marks of water having come through on the clamp and fuff beneath; the plates to the $13^{\text {th }}$, $15^{\text {th }}$ and 16 th beams, from forward on the flarboad, and 9 th, 1 th and 15 th on the larboard fide, we have caufed to be unbolted; on the larboard fide, find fome of the holes double bored and others oval; the 16 th beam on the flarboard fide from forward, is decayed, alfo the upper end of the chock beneath it; the upper bolt in this chock was nct through the fhip's fide; there are three wood-hanging knees fprung, and require fhifting; the lodging knee to the 24th beam from forward, on the ftarboard fide, there is a hole bored for a bolt, but only putty found therein; the carlings before the foremaft beam are thort of their fcores in the breaflhook, about three-quarters of an inch. The reft of the works on this deck appear firm and good; fome of the beams are from one-eighth to five-eighths of an inch flort at the ends.

    Gun Deck:-The firft and fecond ftrakes of the flat of this deck next the waterways, are taken up; there are figns of partial leaks in the clamps fore and aft, the waterways are very clofe down on the beams, fome of the bean ends appear fhort from one-quarter to five-eighths of an inch; we have caufed to be unbolted the plates to the chocks of No. 9 and half-beam in wake of the mainmaft farboard fide, the upper hole in the beam is 2 double one; and on the larboard fide, we have caufed to be unbolted the plates to beam No. 11, and a half-beam in the wake of the main-hatch, alfo beam No. 15, the upper hole and third down are gulled; in beam 15 the lower hole had two bolts in a double hole, one about four inches long, the other a pointed one.

    The beams appear in fome places from the thelf piéce and clamp, from one-quarter to three-cighths of an inch; the plank on the flat is much fhrunk, and the feams and butts are wide.

    The ftandard to the fore-maft-riding bits is Chort three-quarters of an inch, and the after one half an inch on the upper part.

    Orlop Deck :-Some of the lead in the magazine paffage is broken, and fome of the beam ends are fhort from one-quarter to three-quarters of an inch; no other defeds difcoyered on this deck.

    In Hold, and without board :-No defeas difcovered.

    ## Additional Security propofed.

    Round Houss:-As there are nine hanging knees fprung and broke, we would recommend adding fix or eight pair of iron knees to be placed under the beams.

    Quarter Deck:-To have three additional iron knees on each fide to the beams abreaft of the mainmaft, and as far off as the after ladderway, and to hift the firf flake on the flat to make good the feams.

    Sxid Beams:-To unbolt the iron knees, as alfo the hanging knees to the fore-par of the quarter-deck, and after part of the forecafte, to bring on a helf piece under the flia beams, 10 feet before the after-beam of the forecaftle, and to run 10 feet abaft the foremaft beam of the quarter-deck, to bolt the find beams thereto, and re-faften the iron knecs.

    UPPER DECX: As there are fymptoms of this thip racking at the fides, we would recommend an additional iron hanging knee to every beam and half beam on this deck, that is faftened with chocks and plates; and three beams from the main to the after hatch-
    way; to have an additional iron lodging knee, allo to thift the firft ftrake of the flat on bot fides, in order to make good the feams; to replace a defective beam and chock. T prevent the hip from dropping forward, we would recommend the fore-maft fhifts of th tat to be coaked to the beams and breanthook, and alfo bolted.
    Lower Deck:-In order to prevent the racking on this deck, and to keep down thy ends of the beams, that they may not be lifted by the rolling of the thip, we propofe at iron ftrap to be let over the ends of every beam, as near the end as poffible, this frap ic be made from a Hat bar of iron of one inch thick and five broad, which plate is to be formed into a boit of $1 \frac{3}{3}$ inch diameter at the ends, to embrace the beam, and drove through the fhelf piece; on the ends of this ftrap is to be a ferew, on which nuts are to be fixed, and hove taught on a plate; the flat of the deck requires to be taken up and relayed.
    Orlof DrcE:-To prevent the lifting of the beams, we would in the firt place recommend, that iron wedges be drove on the ends of the beams under the frake above them, and that a chock be put above every beam, and fcored three inches into the chock under the gun-deck beam (which comes over the beams) and fecured about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inch into the upper fide of the orlop beams, to make abutment aloft and below; and to be dovetailed, and drove in a fore and aft direction, bolted and naited to the fide to prevent sheir working out; and to bolt the thelf pieces to the beams all fore and aft; but we are of opinion, the lodging knees; as propofed by Mr. Peake, for the gun-deck beams, would be found neceffary, efpecially for this deck, when the fhip is expofed to heavy rolling.

    In Hold and Dutside:-Nothing in addition warited for ftrength or fecurity; the will require a general caulking, \&sč. \&cc.
    N. B. The beams of the feveral decks that are fhort to have iron plates driven behind them.

    We confider it our duty to obferve, that many of the defects which appear in the Rodney, would, in our opinion, have prefented themfelves in 2 chip that was built conformably to the ufual cuftom of thip-building, efpecially if fent on fervice to a hot climate, as foon as launched, the evils of which are very confpicuous in the Rodney, particularly in the fhrinkage of the flat of the lower gun deck, which in many places have feams of one inch and upwards.

    As there are many thips faflened fimilar to the Dublin, and as they have in no inftance prefented fuch figns of weaknefs as that fhip has, we are apprehenfive that an infufficiency of workmankip may be difcovered when the is opened in order to make good the defects.
    Herewith we return the drawings.

    $$
    \begin{array}{cl}
    \text { And are, Sir, } \\
    \text { Your moft obedient fervants, } \\
    \text { (Signed) } & \text { N. Diddams. } \\
    & \text { J. Tocker. } \\
    & \text { R. SEPPINGS. }
    \end{array}
    $$

    (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon.

    ## -(51.) -

    Copy of a LETTER from the Officers of Plymouth Yard, on the building and faftening of His Majefty's fhip Ardent, dated 12th May 1804.

    ## Honourable Sirs, Plymouth Yard, 12 th May $1804^{\circ}$

    IN obedience to your warrant of the 30 th, and letter of the 3 ift March laft, we beg leave to acquaint you, that we have been on board His Majefty's Mip Ardent, in Cawfand Bay, to examine into her defects and the caufes of them; and the following is the refult thereof:

    The fhip is in want of a general caulking (particulatly in wake of the riders); one of the butts of the wales on the larboard fide aft ftarted off; feveral planks of the buttocks on each fide, together with the lower and upper counters, required additional faftening, having worked much; one iron hanging knee on the larboard Tide of the gun-deck broke in the throat, appearing to be from its being badly welded; and two iron lodging ditto on the larboard fide of the upper deck broke in wake of the bolts; feveral of the fore and aft bolts of the gun upper and quarter decks, alfo the forecafte, worked wholly out, and others partly fo, in confequence of many of the bolts being fhort; the better to defcribe which we have caufed a plan to be taken, and Ihall tranfmit the fame to your Honours, by the coach that goes from hence to-morrow morning; the exact lengths of all the fhort bolts, as defcribed in the plan, could not be afcertained, but fome that worked out were only five inches, and others nine inches long.

    The defects of the orlop (if any) could not be afcertained, in confequence of the hold being fully fowed. The whole is fubmitted to your confideration; and remain, Honourable Sirs,
    (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon $^{2}$

    Josepa Tuceer.
    J. Ancell.

    Idw. Churcaile.
    Jas. Jagoe.

    $$
    . \infty(52 .)-
    $$

    Copy of a LETTER from Commifioner Grey, on the building and faftening of the Rodney, dated the 12th April 18:3.
    Gentlemen, Portfmouth Yard, 12th April 1813:
    I GAVE received your letter of the 2 d inftant, acquainting me that in confequence of the direations of the Lords Commiffioners of the Admiralty, fignified in Mr. Barrow's letter of the 2gth ultimo, you had ordered Mr. Tucker, mafter Chipwright of Plymouth, and Mr. Seppings, mafter Mipwright of Chatham Yard, to attend me on Wednefday the $7^{\text {th }}$ inftant, and defiring that, on the arrival of thofe gentlemen, I would in purfuance of their Jordhips. further direations, and in conjunction with Mr. Diddanus, the mafter fhipwright of this yard, proceed on a minute examination of His Majefly's thip Rodney; and in return I fend you enclofed, for the information of their Lordfhips, the report of Meffrs. Diddams, Tucker, and Seppings thereon, as defired, and to which, for the reafons alfigned by thofe gentlewen, I beg to fignify my approval.

    But as I alfo confider myfelf called upon to report on the mode of faftening beams to the fides of mips, as propofed by Mr. Peake, and although I feel great delicacy in offering an opinion on a profeffional queftion of fuch magniture, and on which no perfon can be fo competent to decide as thole who are brought up as fhipwrights, yet from the converfation I have had with the three builders, and the explanations given me on the fubject by them, added to my own obfervations, it appears very clear to me, that hips beams fo faftened will not anfwer without great additional fecurity at their ends, to keep them down on the clamps.

    > I am, \&c. \&ec.
    > GEO. GREY.
    P.S. The drawings referred to in your letter were returned by the coach laft evening. (Attefted)
    R. A. Nelfon.

    $$
    -(53 .)-
    $$

    ## A Copy of the Defects of His Majefty's. Ship Rodney.

    Purfuant to an Order from Sir Edward Pellew, bart. Vice Admiral of the Red, and Commander in Chief, \&cc. \&cc. \&c.

    Port Mahon, Minorca, 3oth January 1812.
    WE, whofe names are hereunto fubfcribed, have been on board His Majefty's thip Rodney, and there have taken a ftrict and careful furvey on the defects of the faid fhip, and do find as follows; namely,

    The lower deck has worked very much fore and aft, not only at the fides but midhips alfo; the oakum being forced up out of the feams and butts clearly proves, the thip to be very weak about that part, which may be chiefly owing to the mode of taftening. The fhelf piece under the ends of the beams are well bolted through the fhip's fide, but appears to be fecured with a dowel oniy.

    We are of opinion, that a bolt may he introduced down through each beam anid fhelf-piece, fecured with a forelock. We alfo recommend, that bolts ragged at the points (not nails) be put in all the planks of the lower deck. This no doubt will be of ufe, but can only be conGidered as partially fo.

    We are of opinion, that lodging knecs or iron flandards will be neceffary for the better fecuring the beams to the hip's fides.

    The thip is very much in want of caulking.
    We find in the magazine feveral leaks, fome of which proceed from the bitts working.
    The magazine is, in general, very damp.
    And we do further declare, that we have taken this furrey with fuch care and equity, that we are willing (if required) to make oath to the impartiality of our proceedings.

    Given under our honds, on board His Majeft's mip Rodney, in Port Mahon, Minorca, this $3^{\text {th }}$ January 1812.

    | (Signed) | F. Fife, Carpenter, H. M. S. Caledonia. W.'Trounsell, Carpenter, H. M. S. Hibernia. |
    | :---: | :---: |
    | (Attefted) | H. Crusu, - - - - Royal George. |
    | R. A. Nelfon. | Jos. J. Jay, Mafter Shipwright, Mahon Dock-jard. |

    $$
    -(54 \cdot)-
    $$

    Copy of a LETTER from Commiflioner Peake, on the building and faftenitg of the Dublin; dated the 20th March 1813.

    Navy Office, 2oth March 1813.
    Gentlemen,
    IN compliance with the diretions of the Lords Commiffioners of the Admiralty, fignified by Mr. Barrow's letrer of 13 th inftant, to report how many and what hips, by name, are faftened fimilar to the Dublin ; a plan of which is required to be tranfmitted to their LordShips, with o:e of the remedy propofed; flating, at the fame time, whole plan the latter is confidered to be.

    As plans for attaching the beams to the fides of the Fame, Invincible, Duncan, Rodney, Dublin, \&c. were conformable to propofals of mine, I confider it to be my duty to explain to the Board, for the information of their Lordfhips, the reafons which induced me to recommend a departure from the long eftablihhed modes of fecuring the beams of fhips of war to the fides with knees, ftandards, zud riders.

    In the early part of 1805 (I was then mafter thipwright of Deptford Dock Yard) the flore of knee timber was fo much reduced, as to tender it impoffible to proceed with the works of the Fame, then building, notwithfanding every polfible means had been taken by the Napy Board to procure knee timber from contractors and elfewhere for that thip; at the fame time, the works of the Ocean (building at Woolwich) were too much retarded for want of knees, (that yard having been called on to furnifh knees for building the Hibernia, at Plymouth,) the very great and preffing demands made at that period for knee-timber, and from the affurances of the timber merchants and others, that appropriate knee timber was not procurable; and there was reafon to fear, that in a very fhort period very few knees would be found fit for the navy; thefe circumiftances induced me to prepare a plan and a model, deferibing the mode I would recommend for attaching the beams of the feveral decks of the Fame to the fides, without the aid of knee timber; and recommended, in the event of that plan being adopted, to fend the knees then in Deptford Yard to Woolwich, for the Ocean; to which propofal the Board was pleafed to accede, and orders were given accordingly in March 1805.

    In June 1 So6 I was appointed Joint Surveyor of the Navy; and from being placed in the Committee for Stores, it foon became manifelt to the Committee, and alfo to the Board, that knee timber could not be obtained for the fervice of the dock yards, nor even to anfwer the demands made by the merchant hip-builders, moft of whom were under the neceflity of requefting permiffion to ufe any fubititute for knees which the Navy Board fhould judge to be proper for completing the hips of war contracted for.

    Under fuch circumftances, and as a Surveyor of the Navy, it became my bounden duty to ufe'my beft endeavours to form feme plan for the connecting the beams of fhips of war to their fides, without the aid of knee timber.

    In order to affift me in this purfuit, I examined the feveral varieties within my knowledgo propofed for that purpofe; and I had reafon to believe, that the mode at that time on trial on the Ocean at Woolwich, would be found not to anfwer all the purpofes required; becaufe, although it appeared calculated to prevent the feparation of the fides of the flip from the beams, it neverthelefs appeared to be very little adapted to prevent the tranfverfe or angular racking in the fides and decks, when thips were rolling in a heavy fea.

    With regard to the mode propofed at that time by Mr. Roberts (the Affiftant to the Surveyors) for fecuring the beams, in lieu of wood knees, \&c. \&c. it appeared to me as a very fuperior mode to that ufed on the Ocean, becaufe the connection of the beams to the fides, was, in my opinion, more fecure, with the additional advantage of refifting materially the angular racking of the fides and decks, when labouring in a fea; provided, however, the iron from which the knee plates were made thould be uniformly good in texture; and that the workmanthip in regard to the weldings made perfectly found.

    It was, however, the opinion of many intelligent perfons at that time, as well as my own, that fuch knee plates would, at any rate, be very difficult, if at all practicable, to be made found in their weldings, however careful the workmen thould be, particulaty when made by contrabiors; confequently there feemed (to me at leaft) but little profpect, or good reafon to hope, that knee plates fo formed could be made fo generally found on their fhutting up or weldings, as to infure their fufficiency for the fervices for which they were intended. This opinion appears to have been at that time well founded, from the breaking of many of the knee plates on the trial they underwent before their ufe in the fhip, not only on thofe made in the private yards, but alfo on thofe made in the King's yards.
    I would here beg to remark, that the Aboukir, at the time of being lannched. ftopped on the flip, and when lying on her bilge, broke five of the knee plates in the weldings.
    In order to remove what I judged, at that period, an infurmountable objection to the ufe of welded knee plates, I propofed to fecure the beams to the fides of the Invincible, as defcribed by the original accompanying drawing, No. 1 .
    The mode of working thick waterways and thelf-pieces are not of my contrivance, and were not recommended by me until I had given the fubject $m y$ beft and molt deliberate men, both belonging to and out of the fervice, fubmitted to profeflional and other intelligent to be good judges of the fubject.

    In addition thereto, two very intelligent and profeffional oflicers (Mr. Seppings and Mr. Barrallier) have fubfequently recommënded plans fimilar in principle to that of the Invincible (fee Nos.4,5, and 6,) the prineıpal difference being, that, in Mr. Sepping's plan, the chocks or fupports under the beams are not carried fo far in from their ends, as in my plan (fee Nos. 1 and 4.) by about teṇ inches; and in Mr. Barrallier's plan (No. 6.) the choct is about ten inches farther from the end of the beam than in my plan.
    From the accompanying Reports, their Lordnips will perceive, that wherever the matesials have been feafoneci, the plan has proved amply fufficient; and that. feveral of the thips ro faftened appear to have been even flronger than flipg built on the old plan, with knees, \&c. particularly in one very important part, viz. that of its having cloffly preferved the connetion of the beams of the deck to the fides of the fhip; whereas, in the old mode of faftening with knees, few, if any inftances, can be produced, in which a feparation of the fides from beams had not taken place in a greater or lefs degree; and in fome cafes fo much fo, as to leave the bearns from one inch to two inches at each end thort of the timbers of the frame, although, on the other hand, I am ready to admit, that the beams ends do appear to have moved, where from shrinkage, the waterways have receded from and ceafed to prefs upon the beams.

    The adrantage of the fhelf-pieces, which Mr. Seppings, in my opinion, confiders as the molt ufeful contrivance in hip-bulding (which we have borrowed from the French,) feems to be good; and the iron plates let into the chocks and beams, have not in any inftance, to my knowledge, failed.
    I would, notwithltanding, recommend introducing iron lodging knees to the end of the gun-deck beams, in order to fecure thrir ends from moving (drawing, No. 3.) when, from the Onrinkage of the wood, the waterways fhall have ceafed to prefs upon the ends of the beams.

    I bumbly propofe, for their Lordfhips confideration, whether it may not be likely to prove advantageous to the fervice, if fuch perfons as therr Lordhips may judge fit, were directed to confult together on the beft method of faftening the beam of His Majefty's fhips to their Gdes.
    H. PEAKE.
    (Attelted.)
    R. A. Nelfon.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Navy Office, 9th May } 1814 . \\
    & \text { An ACCOUNT' of the Iength of Service, before requiring Repair, of His Majefty's } \\
    & \text { Ships Ardent, Rodney and Dublin. }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    | Rate. | Guns. | Ships Names. | Length of Service before requiring Repar. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 3. | 64. | Ardent - - | From $7^{\text {th }}$ Auguit 1796 to rit April 1802. |
    | - | 74. | Rodney | - - 10th April 1810 to 17th OEtober 1812. |
    | - |  | Dublin | Has not been repaired, had additional iron knees in May $\mathbf{8 1}_{13}$. |

    F.J.HARTWELL, R SEPPINGS, H.LEGGE.

    ## -(56.)-

    [Referred to, in Mr, Noakes's Evidence, p. 333, Minutes XII.]
    An Account of all the SHIPWRIGHTS and Workmen of other Denominations. employed in the Building and Repairing YARDS on the River 1bames;-from 1803 to March 1814:-Viz.
    No. Tu-An Account of the Average Number of SHIPWRIGHTS only, employed in the Luilding and Repairing Yards on the River Tbames; from 1803 to March $1814^{\circ}$
    No. 2.-A fimilar Account, for the fame period, of WORKMEN of all Clasbes, employed in the fame Yards.
    No. 3.-The Accounts of the WORKMEN of all Classes in the several Building and Repairing Yards on the River Thames; from 1803 to March 1814. [I. to 19.]

    No. 1.-An Account of the Average Number of SHIPWRIGHTS employed in the under-mentioned Building and Repairing YARDS, on the River Thames, from 1803 to 1814, in the months of March, June, September, and December, in each.

    | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pages. } \\ & \text { No } \mathrm{x} . \end{aligned}$ | Building and Repairing YARDS. | $\stackrel{\dot{\circ}}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\circ}$ | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋் } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{-}}{\substack{\text { ¢ } \\ \sim}}$ | $$ | -800 | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | - | $\dot{\underset{\infty}{\infty}}$ | ¢ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 2. | Wigram \& Co. | 178 | 178 | 353 | 350 | 271 | 282 | 263 | 345 | 370 | 190 | * 400 | 18 |
    | 4. | Barnard \& Co. | 106 | 169 | 179 | 74 | 174 | 141 | 137 | 146 | 137 | 201 | 84 | 124 |
    | 5. | Brents | 134 | 250 | 261 | 222 | 147 | 220 | 325 | 254 | 273 | 278 | 57 | 46 |
    | 7. | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Pitchers, at } \\ \text { Northfleet } . \end{array}\right\}$ | 50 | 77 | 145 | 63 | 104 | 38. | 69 | 45 | 138 | - 80 | 59 | 6 |
    | 8. | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Pitchers, at } \\ \text { Blackwall } \end{array}\right\}$ |  |  |  |  | 77 | 101 | 93 | 135 | 75 | 91 | 76 | 19 |
    | 9. | Dudmans | . 47 | 146 | 140 | 65 | 69 | 126 | 108 | 179 | 104 | 88 | 3 | 2 |
    | \%. | Meftear | 62 | 19 | 51 | 22 | 24 | 59 | 60 | 53 | 62 | 45 | 32 | 9 |
    | 13. | Thompfon | 30 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 28 | 31 | 12 | 14 | 37 |
    | 15. | Young \& Co. - | 32 | 45 | 47 | 50 | 17 | 53 | 52 | 44 | 36 | $4^{8}$ | 43 | 12 |
    | 16. | Hills - - | 25 | 29 | 80 | 42 | 49 | 59 | 102 | 129 | 91 | 46 | 107 | 24 |
    | 18. | Curling \& Co. | 90 | 69 | 98 | 67 | 138 | 142 | 204 | 198 | 220 | 142 | 257 | 73 |
    | 20. | Dowfon \& Co. | 29 | 38 | 25 | 19 | 27 | 66 | 57 | 63 | 31 | 27 | 58 | 28 |
    | 22. | Tibbutt \& Co. | 14 | 35 | 27 | 30 | 24 | 58 | 36 | 58 | 22 | 42 | 48 | 47 |
    | 23. | Ayles \& Co. | 69 | 61 | 62 | 65 | 53 | 78 | 66 | 76 | 69 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
    | 25. | Longbottom \& | -o. 36 | 29 | 22 | 37 | 20 | 23 | 34 | 50 | 17 | 24 | 14 | 7 |
    | 28. | Beation \& Co. |  |  | - |  | - - | 28 | 24 | 70 |  | 37 | 30 | 32 |
    | 29. | Meux \& Co. | - - |  | - - | 22 | 18 | 24 | 21 | 23 | 43 31 | 19 | 20 | 32 |
    | 30. | Blackett \& Co. | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | 38 | 17 |
    | 3 I. | Fletchers | 100 | 86 | 78 | 103 | 72 | 87 | 86 | 90 | 87 | 53 | 79 | 116 |
    |  | TOTALS | 1,002 | 1,245 | 1,584 | 1,248 | 1,296 | 1,602 | 1,755 | 1,982 | 1,837 | 1,478 | 1,474 | 657 |

    - Wigrams \& Green built in this year (1813) Ten Frigates, and launched One Indiaman.
    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&ec. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    No. 2-An Account of the Average Number of WORKMEN of the feveral Clafles, employed in the under-mentioned Yards on the River Thames, from 1803 to 1814 , in the months of March, June, September, and December, in each Year; from the Returns hereto annexed [1-19.]

    | $\xrightarrow{\text { ges }}$ | Building and Repairing YARDS. | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\infty}$ | + | ¢ّ | -80 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{-}{\circ}}{\infty}$ | - | ¢ - $\sim$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 2. | Wigrams \& Co. | 325 | $49^{3}$ | 604 | 598 | 505 | 496 | 462 | 554 | 616 | 392 | * 758 | 77 |
    | $4 \cdot$ | Barnard \& Co. | 225 | 317 | 343 | 168 | 290 | 278 | 274 | 285 | 306 | 350 | 172 | 218 |
    | 5. | Brents | 248 | 401 | 418 | 364 | 289 | 403 | 528 | $45^{2}$ | 483 | 452 | 134 | 107 |
    | 7. | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Pitchers, at } \\ \text { Northgeet } \end{array}\right\}$ | 126 | 173 | 247 | 153 | 211 | 150 | 171 | 130 | $24^{8}$ | 178 | 157 | 27 |
    | 8. | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Pitchers, at } \\ \text { Blackwall } \end{array}\right\}$ |  |  |  | - | 122 | 169 | 150 | 193 | 12 I | 141 | 116 | 27 |
    | 9. | Dudmans - | 160 | 290 | 309 | 893 | 229 | 313 | 236 | 340 | $3^{16}$ | 174 | 21 | 7 |
    | 1. | Mellears | 145 | 64 | 112 | 68 | 67 | 127 | 135 | 116 | 127 | 103 | 92 | 46 |
    | 3. | Thompron - | 41 | 2 L | 40 | 39 | 33 | 40 | 39 | 6t | 52 | 29 | 33 | 52 |
    | 5. | Young \& Co. - | 87 | 104 | 97 | 106 | 40 | 119 | 112 | 100 | 90 | 114 | 99 | 47 |
    | 6. | Hills - | 66 | 101 | 140 | 98 | 92 | 126 | 179 | 221 | 174 | 134 | 211 | 92 |
    | \% 8. | Curling \& Co. | 170 | 154 | 196 | 132 | 224 | 218 | 309 | 304 | 339 | 232 | 370 | 138 |
    | 20. | Dowfon 8 Co. | 67 | 97 | 63 | 60 | 70 | 117 | 103 | 121 | 71 | 68 | 120 | 78 |
    | 22. | Tibbutt \& Co. | 35 | 60 | 55 | 59 | 51 | 95 | 64 | 94 | 47 | 74 | 83 | 60 |
    | 23. | Ayles \& Co. | 123 | 122 | 122 | 123 | 102 | 133 | 104 | 136 | 122 | 108 | 101 | 129 |
    | 25. | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Longbottom } \\ \& C a \end{array}\right\}$ | 55 | 48 | $3^{8}$ | 59 | $3^{8}$ | 39 | 51 | 70 | 36 | 40 | 27 | 20 |
    | 28. | Beation \& Co. |  |  | - | - - | - - | 58 | 52 | 59 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
    | 29. | Meux \& Co. |  | - - | - | 33 | 25 | 34 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 29 | 32 | 26 |
    | 30. | Blackett \& Co. | - - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - - | - - | $\cdots$ | 85 | 7 |
    | 31. | Fletcher: - | 113 | 108 | . 100 | 122 | 83 | 108 | 102 | 108 | 109 | 85 | 101 | 140 |
    |  | TOTALS - | 1,986 | 2,558 | 2,884 | 2,375 | 2,476 | 3,023 | 3,098 | 3,379 | 3,387 | 2,750 | 2,797 | 1,385 |

    - Meffrs. Wigrams \& Green built in this Year (1813) Ten Frigates, and launched One Indiaman.
    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&cc. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.
    $N^{\wedge} 3$-[3.]-A Statement of WORKMEN emplojed in Blackwall Yard, at the different
    Years as under-mentioned; bI Melfrs. Wigram and Green.
    
    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&e. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    Wh. 3.-[2.]-WORKMEN employed by Meilra, Francis Barnard, Son, \& Rohertas

    |  | Shipwrights | Caulkers | Sawyers. | Joiners. | Labourers. | Smithe | rotal. | Average. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 3803 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 66 | 3 | 42 | 15 | 36 | 20 | 178 |  |
    | June - | 124 | 26 | 35 | 47 | 46 | 21 | 249 |  |
    | September | 127 | 23 | 44 | 16 | 27 | 20 | 257 | 225. |
    | December | 109 | 20 | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | 17 | 27 | 13 | 226 |  |
    | 1804: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 132 | 35 | 52 | 15 | 44 | 18 | 282 |  |
    | June - | 182 186 | 35 | 64 | 44 | 53 | 18 | 295 |  |
    | September December | 186 178 | 27 20 | 56 46 | 19 14 | 53 52 | 19 | 360 | 317 |
    | December | 178 |  |  |  |  |  | $33{ }^{1}$ |  |
    | 1805: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 283 | 35 | 56 | 29 | 59 | 23 | 485 |  |
    | ${ }_{\text {Jure }}^{\text {Jeptember }}$ | 181 52 | 31 24 | 50 | 17 | 58 | 17 | 354 | 343. |
    | December | 200 | 34 3 | 34 42 | 17 | 45 | 23 | 374 360 |  |
    | 3806: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 24 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 17 | 90 |  |
    | June - | 94 | 23 | 20 | 9 | 32 | 18 | 196 |  |
    | September | 98 | 18 | 26 | 9 | 33 | 17 | 194 | 168. |
    | December | 89 | 13 | 38 | 10 | 29 | 15 | $\pm 94$ |  |
    | 1807: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 103 | 25 | 44 | 19 | 35 | 23 | 249 |  |
    | June - | 206 | 37 | 26 | 14 | 31 | 17 | $33^{1}$ |  |
    | September | 92 | 28 | 30 | 12 | 35 | 15 | 212 | 299. |
    |  | 298 | 47 | 44 | 22 | 41 | 17 | 369 |  |
    | 1808: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 152 | 21 | 44 | 10 | 35 | 13 |  |  |
    | ${ }^{\text {June }}$ - | 157 168 | 20 | 40 | 13 | 29 | 14 | 273 |  |
    | September | 168 .88 | 42 | 54 | 13 | 46 | 17 | 340 | 278. |
    | December | . 88 | 2.7 | $4^{\circ}$ | 10 | 41 | 13 | 217 |  |
    | 1809: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 134 | 21 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
    | ${ }_{\text {June }}{ }_{\text {September }}{ }^{-}$ | -99 | 23 | 32 50 50 | 9 | 40 |  | 217 |  |
    | September | 199 | 30 24 | 50 44 | 28 17 | 54 44 | 13 15 | 368 260 | 274. |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 2810: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 94 | 15 | 44 | 13 | 43 | 15 |  |  |
    | Jupe ${ }^{\text {Jeptember }}$ | 157 | 22 | $4{ }^{2}$ | 10 | 44 | 17 | 292 | 285. |
    | September December | 295 | 29 24 | $4{ }^{40}$ | 12 18 | 51 47 | 18 | 354 |  |
    | March - |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
    | June - | 196 | 24 | 42 32 | 12 | 40 | 13 | 297 |  |
    | September | 309 | 28 | 50 | 21 | 56 | 15 | 379 | 306. |
    | December | \$64 | 33 | 46 | 96 | 55 | 14 | $34{ }^{8}$ |  |
    | 1812: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 122 | 25 | 40 | 9 |  | 15 |  |  |
    | June - | 153 | 32 | 46 | 19 | 43 | 15 | 308 |  |
    | September | 860 37 | 39 | 44 | 32. | 51 | 17 | 543 | 350. |
    | December | 174 | 21 | $3^{3}$ | 24 | 42 | 8 | 288 |  |
    | 1813: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | 73 | 6 | 28 | 10 |  | 16 |  |  |
    |  |  | 24 | 28 | 10 | 28 | 15 |  |  |
    | September December | 97 84 | 23 29 | 34 14 | 13 | 27 28 | 11 | 185 133 | 172. |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March | 124 | 37 | 10 | 19 | 20 | 8 | 218 |  |

    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    No. 3.-[3.]-An Account of the Number of ARTIFICERS employed by Melfrs. Daniel and Samuel Brent and Sons, at their Yardo at Rotberbithe, in the Months of March, June, September, and December, in the years 1803, 1804, 1805, 1806, 1807, 1808, 1809, 1810, 1811, 1812, 1813, and in the Month of March 1814.

    |  | Shipwrights | Caulkers. | Sawyers. | Joiners. | Smiths, | Labourers. | TOTAL. | Average. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1803: March June September December |  |  | 34 | 14 | 18 | 36 | 307 | 248. |
    |  | 180 126 | 25 | 34 | 25 | 16 | 34 | 250 |  |
    |  | 86 | 22 | 20 | 12 | 20 | 34 | 194 |  |
    |  | 145 | 20 | 18 | 17 |  |  | 994 |  |
    | 1804: March June September December |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 304 |  |
    |  | 190 318 | 24 27 | 24 76 | 28 | 28 | 31 | 508 |  |
    |  | 318 223 | 27 33 | 30 | 16 | 26 | 42 | 370 |  |
    |  | 270 | 33 33 | 42 | 19 | 23 | 37 | 424 |  |
    |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  | 1,606 | 401. |
    | 1805: |  | 26 |  | 16 |  |  | 437 |  |
    | March - - | 277 289 | 36 | 52 22 | 23 | 26 | 42 | +35 |  |
    | September | 139 | 25 | 42 | 17 | 43 | 35 | 272 |  |
    | December | 339 | 59 | 34 | 24 | 28 | 47 | 531 | 418. |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,075 |  |
    | 1806: <br> March <br> June <br> September <br> December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 152 226 | 27 30 | 34 20 | 16 | 16 | 49 38 | 294 354 |  |
    |  | 223 | 35 | 36 | 16 | 21 | 29 | 300 |  |
    |  | 287 | 30 | 44 | 19 | 30 | 38 | $44^{8}$ | $364 \cdot$ |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,456 |  |
    | 1807: <br> March <br> June <br> September <br> December | 131 | 21 | 42 | 19 | 19 | 32 | 264 |  |
    |  | 157 | 43 | 32 | 19 | 20 | $3{ }^{6}$ | 307 |  |
    |  | 129 | 32 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 44 | 265 |  |
    |  | 171 | 29 | 40 | 16 | 15 | 49 | 320 | 289. |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,156 |  |
    | 1808: <br> March <br> June <br> September <br> December | 214 | 30 | 52 | 25 | 20 | 50 | 384 |  |
    |  | 249 | 26 | 48 | 21 | 24 | 53 | 421 |  |
    |  | 146 | 26 | 68 | 23 | 18 | 65 | 347 |  |
    |  | 274 | $3^{8}$ | 50 | 18 | 28 | 55 | 463 |  |
    | 1800: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,615 | * 403. |
    | March - | 259 | $3{ }^{1}$ | 82 | 24 | 11 | 84 | 491 |  |
    | June - | 272 | 21 | 60 | 22 | 14 | 50 | 439 |  |
    | September | 352 | 44 | 5460 | 22 | 19 |  | 547 |  |
    | December | 418 | 47 |  | 28 | 19 | 66 | 638 | 528. |
    | 1810: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,115 |  |
    | March - | 263 | 25 | 56 | 28 | 16 | 4459 |  |  |
    | June - | 259 | 27 | 62 |  | 17 |  | 447 |  |
    | September December | 267 | 29 | . 62 | 24 | 22 | 92 | 496 |  |
    | December | 230 | 34 | 44 | 24 | 20 | 81 | 433 |  |
    | 1811: <br> March <br> June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,808 | 452. |
    |  | 260 | 34 | 4238 | 24 | 17 | 69 |  |  |
    |  | 121 | 35 |  |  |  |  | 280 |  |
    |  |  |  | 94 | 40 | 18 | 64 | 649 |  |
    | 1812: <br> March <br> June September December | 292 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 1,934 | 483. |
    |  |  |  | 58 | 23 | 22 | 70 | 491 |  |
    |  | 249 276 29 | 29 32 | 3630 | 24 |  | 4454 | 404 |  |
    |  | 276 298 | 32 34 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | 22 |  | 452 |  |
    | 1813: <br> March <br> June <br> September <br> December | 8188942 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 23 \\ & 28 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 32 |  |  | 49 | 403 |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,810 | 452. |
    |  |  |  | 28 | $3{ }^{1}$ | 7 | 24 | 201 |  |
    |  |  |  | 4 20 | 19 | $\cdots 3$ | 10 | +58 |  |
    |  |  |  | 6 | 14 | 3 5 | 12 | 180 |  |
    | 1814: March |  | 21 | 8 | 16. |  |  | 539 | 134. |
    |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 12. | 107 |  |

    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    No. 3.-[4.]-An Account of the Number of WORKMEN employed by Meffrs. Thomas Pitecher and Sons, at their Ship Yard, Nortbfect.

    |  | Shipwrights. | Caulkers. | Sawyers. | Black- | Labourers. | Joinera. | TOTAL. | Average. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1803: <br> March 19. <br> June - 11. <br> Sept. - 30. <br> Dec. - 24 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 126$. |
    |  | 48 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 31 | 4 | 103 |  |
    |  | 40 | 3 | 26 | 3 | 32 | 8 | 112 |  |
    |  | 63 58 | 14 | 22 | 9 | 25 | 9 | 242 |  |
    |  | 58 | 4 |  | 9 | 32 | ${ }_{3}$ | 148 |  |
    | 1804: <br> March 17. <br> June - 9. <br> Sept. - 29- <br> Dec. - 22. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 173$. |
    |  | 65 62 | 11 | ${ }_{38}^{36}$ | ${ }_{11} 8$ | 24 19 | 11 | 155 160 |  |
    |  | 68 | 14 | 30 | 9 | 23 | 10 | 154 |  |
    |  | 114 | 15 | 20 | 8 | 52 | 20 | 229 |  |
    | 1805: <br> March. 16. <br> June - 8 <br> Sept. - 28. <br> Dec. $=23$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\}^{247}$ |
    |  | 229 | 3 | 36 | 8 | 36 | 15 | 327 |  |
    |  | 229 | 20 | 30 | 8 | 50 | 9 | 346 |  |
    |  | 50 | 12 | 30 | 7 | 35 | 8 | 142 |  |
    |  | 71 | 13 | 38 | 7 | 40 | 6 | 175 |  |
    | 1806: <br> March 15. <br> June - 7. <br> Sept. - 27. <br> Dec. - 20. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 153$. |
    |  | 53 | - | 20 | 7 | 39 | 7 | 128 |  |
    |  | 45 | 10 | 24 | 8 | 38 | 7 | 132 |  |
    |  | 68 86 | ${ }^{17} 8$ | 28 | 11 | 45 | 9 | 178 175 |  |
    |  | 86 | 8 | 26 | 13 | 36 | 6 | ${ }^{2} 75$ |  |
    | 1807 : <br> March 14. <br> June - 6. <br> Sept. - 26. <br> Dec. - 14. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 211$. |
    |  | 65 | 12 | 28 | 12 | 40 | 12 | 169 |  |
    |  | 175 | 13 | 30 | 14 | 36 | 12 | 280 |  |
    |  | 120 58 | 10 | 30 | 14 | 35 | 9 | 218 |  |
    |  | 58 | 34 | 43 | 14 | 38 | 11 | 177 |  |
    | 1808: <br> March 12. <br> June - $4 \cdot$ <br> Sept. - 24 . <br> Dec. - 24- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 150$. |
    |  | 50 | 16 | 36 | 13 | 40 | 11 | 166 |  |
    |  | 48 | 8 | 22 | 13 | 34 | 15 | 140 |  |
    |  | 22 | 1 | 28 | 11 | 32 | 5 | 99 |  |
    |  | 31 | 1 | 28 | 11 | 21 | 5 | 197 |  |
    | 1809: <br> March 11. <br> June - 3. <br> Sept. $=23$. <br> Dec. - 23. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \} 71. |
    |  | 92 | 15 | 26 | 11 | $3{ }^{31}$ | 7 | 182 |  |
    |  |  | 3 | 34 | 11 | 29 | 13 | 152 164 |  |
    |  | 71 | 14 | 40 | 11 | 35 | 16 | 187 |  |
    | 1810: <br> March 10. <br> June $=2$. <br> Sept. - 22. <br> Dec. ${ }^{-25}$ |  | 31 | 30 | 11 | 39 | 13 |  | $\} 130$. |
    |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 164 |  |
    |  | 55 | 9 | 32 | 11 | 35 | 8. | 150 |  |
    |  | 39 25 | 5 | 20 | 8 | 33 | 6 | 111 |  |
    |  |  |  |  | 6 | $3^{1}$ | 9 | 97 |  |
    | 1811: <br> March 9. <br> June - 29 . <br> Sept. - 22. <br> Dec. - 14 ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 248$. |
    |  | 243 | 31 | 26 | 7 |  | 13 | 363 |  |
    |  | 1109 | 4 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 12 | 36 | 12 | 203 226 |  |
    |  | 117 83 | 14 28 | 32 34 | 11 | 38 40 | 14 | 226 199 |  |
    | 1812: <br> March - 7. <br> June - 27. <br> Sept. - 19. <br> Dec. - 12. |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | $\} 178$. |
    |  | 84 | 17 | 32 | 12 | 30 | 14 | 189 |  |
    |  | 106 | 14 | 34 | 11 | 37 | 33 | 205 |  |
    |  | 92 | 22 | 32 | 11 | 27 | 23 | 207 |  |
    |  | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | - - | 28 | 7 | 30 | 8 | 113 |  |
    | 1813: <br> March - 5. <br> June - 26. <br> Sept. - 18. <br> Dec. - 11. |  | 70 | 48 | 11 | 31 | 8 |  | $\} 157$. |
    |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |  |
    |  | 158 10 | ${ }^{19} 6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 4 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 1257 | 2620 | 22 4 | 301 |  |
    |  | 10 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 57 |  |
    | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2814: } \\ \text { April - } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 27 | 27. |
    | (72.) |  | 5 H |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    Nn $3+\dot{+}[5 \cdot]$-NUMBER of Shipwrights, Sawrers, Caulkern,-Apprentices, Blackfmithe and Labourers, employed at Mellro. Thomas Pitcher \& Sons Ship Yard at Blacilwall, at the following Periods.
    
    (56.)-Shipwrights; \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    No. 3 - [6.]-Ar Account of the Number of ARTIFICERS emplojed by Meffrs. Dudman. and Son, at their Yard at Grove Street, Depfford, in the Months of March, June, September and December 4803 ; up to 1814 inclufive.

    |  | Shipurighas. | Culkers, | Samyers. | Joiners. | Smith. | Labourers | total. | Average: |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | $2803 \div$ March June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 160$ |
    |  | 82 30 30 | ${ }^{21}$ | 60 24 | 36 | 12 | 29 | 240 112 |  |
    |  | 53 | $3^{18}$ | 24 | 8 | 12 | $3^{37}$ | 159 |  |
    |  | 24 | 28 | 40 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 130 |  |
    | 1804 : March June December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\}^{290}$ |
    |  | 101 <br> 148 <br> 1 | 20 28 | . 58 | 16 | 13 | 27 | 235 |  |
    |  | 158 | 25 | 60 | 14 | 13 | 29 36 36 | 296 306 |  |
    |  | 184 | 34 | 48 | 1 | 13 | 37 | 324 |  |
    | $1805:$ June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 309$. |
    |  | 207 142 | 26 | 62 | 23 | 12 | 46 | 375 |  |
    |  | ${ }^{168}$ | 26 27 | 76 | 22 32 | 12 | ${ }^{50}$ | 275 386 |  |
    |  | 45 | 19 | 50 | 13 | 12 | 58 | 197 |  |
    | 1806: March June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\}_{:}^{193}$ |
    |  | 72 37 | ${ }_{8}^{9}$ | ${ }^{32}$. | 9 | 13 | 43 | ${ }^{188}$ |  |
    |  | 88 | 20 | 48 | 12 | 13 13 13 | 46 4 4 | 176 200 |  |
    |  | 83 | 87 | 30 | 13 | 13 13 | ${ }_{54}^{44}$ | 200 210 |  |
    | r807: Mane June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\} 229$. |
    |  | 85 | 11 | 76 | 7 | 12 | 55 | 246 |  |
    |  | 95 45 4 | 22 15 | 76 44 |  | 12 12 | ${ }_{6}^{55}$ | 271 191 291 |  |
    |  | ${ }_{53}$ | 19 | 52 | 1 9 9 | 12 | 61 6 | 198 208 |  |
    | 1809: March June September December | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 160 \\ & 312 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 22 \\ & 26 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 5664685838 | 1491710 | 131313 | 58787868 | $\begin{aligned} & 28989 \\ & 339 \\ & 304 \\ & 322 \end{aligned}$ | \} |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 1809! <br> March <br> June <br> September <br> December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $23^{8}$. |
    |  | 96 | 23 | 36 | 20 | 11 | 48 | 227 |  |
    |  | 54 186 185 | 15 17 | 36 58 | 4 14 | 18 | 37 | 147 319 |  |
    |  | 97 | 30 | 54 | ${ }_{3}$ | 18 | 46 | ${ }_{258}$ |  |
    | 1810: <br> March June September December | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 197 \\ & 203 \\ & 225 \end{aligned}$ | 28182624 | 56584648 | 202829 | 12 | 46 | 242 | 34 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 43 46 64 | 338 412 |  |
    | 181i: <br> March June September December | 105190166194 | 16182029 | 5658585828 | 15192226 | 10 | 43 | 244. | $\} 336$ |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | ${ }_{6}^{57}$ |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | ${ }_{5}$ | 338 33 |  |
    | 188う: <br> March June $=$ December | 1074614161 | ( $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \\ & \therefore 22\end{aligned}$ | 2228282812 | 177133 | 1 | 1925282828 | 182134244137 | ${ }^{174}$ |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 1813 \$ <br> March June September December Decembex | 2s\%0 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 6400 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 29 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\} 25$ |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 1814: | 2 |  | - | - |  |  | 7. |  |

    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    No, 3-[7.]-Number of WORKMEN employed by P. E. Meftaer, Shipbuilder, at the following Dates, viz.

    |  | shipwrights | Cuukers | Savyers. | Labourers | Blackfmiths. | Joinera | TOTAL. | A verage. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | $1803:$ <br> March - 26. June - 18. Septemberio. December 3 I . |  |  |  | 17 | 12 | 15 | 170 |  |
    |  | 85 50 | 27 51 | 24 24 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 154 |  |
    |  | 72 | 31 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 13 | $\times 56$ |  |
    |  | 42 | 23 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 100 |  |
    | 5804: <br> March - 24 <br> June - 16. <br> September. 8. <br> December 29. |  | 22 | 8 | 10 |  |  | 62 |  |
    |  | 12 | 22 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 74 |  |
    |  | 20 | 13 | 12 4 | + | 6 |  | 35 |  |
    |  | 37 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 4 |  |  |
    | 1805 : March - 23 . June - 15 . September .7. December 28. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 95 | 15 | 26 | 19 | 8 | 14 | 177 98 |  |
    |  | 32 44 | 18 | 14 8 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 90 | 137. |
    |  | 35 | 15 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 86 |  |
    | 1806: <br> March - 22. <br> June - 14 <br> September 6. <br> December 27. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 25 | 12 |  | 11 | 8 |  | 78 |  |
    |  | 14 24 | 10 | 6 8 | 11 8 | 8 | 7 | 55 | 67. |
    |  | 24 25 | 18 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 72 |  |
    | 4807: <br> March - 2 I. June ~ 13. September 5. December 24. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 18 | 26 | 8 | 8 | 6 | I | 57 | 1 |
    |  | 17 | $\underline{1}$ | 8 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 54 | 67. |
    |  | 12 | 16 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 55 |  |
    |  | 51 | 46 | 16 | 16 | 5 | - - | 104 |  |
    | 1808: <br> March - 19. <br> June - 11. September 3 Q. December 24. | ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 108 | 28 | 20 | 18 | 8 | 24 | 206 |  |
    |  | 52 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 114 |  |
    |  | 53 | 29 | 8 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 114 | 127. |
    |  | 24 | 20 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 74 |  |
    | 1809: March - 18. June - 10. September 30. December 23. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 29 | 25 | 8 | 34 | 5 | 6 | . 87 |  |
    |  | 641 | 27 | 12 | 16 |  | 9 | 137 |  |
    |  | 74 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 9 | 35 | 155 |  |
    |  | 73 | 25 | 18 | 20 | 8 | 16 | 160 |  |
    | 8810: <br> March - 17. June - 9 . September 29. December 22. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 67 | 22 | ' 24 | 20 | 8 | 11 | 152 |  |
    |  | 26 | 4 | 20 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 76 |  |
    |  | 56 | 13 | 12 | 34 | 6 | 8 | 109 |  |
    |  | 62 | 17 | 14 | 16 | 6 | 13 | 128 |  |
    | 18II: <br> March - 16. <br> June - 8. <br> September 28. <br> December 2.1 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 86 | 16 | "8 | 17 | 6 | 15 | 158 |  |
    |  | 60 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 6 | 13 | 124 |  |
    |  | 56 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 108 |  |
    |  | 46 | 23 | ${ }_{16}$ | 12 | 6 | 14 | 117 |  |
    | 1812: <br> March - 14. <br> June - $\boldsymbol{C}_{6}$ <br> September 26. <br> December 19. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 64 * | 18 | 12 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 44 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 87 8 |  |
    |  | 30 | 19 | 8 | 10 |  | 12 | 86 | 103. |
    |  | 44 | 35 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 13 | 324 |  |
    | 1813: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - 13. | 30 |  | * 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
    | June - 5. | 28 | 24 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 18 | 89 |  |
    | September 25. <br> December 18 <br> : | 34 36 | 31 35 | 10 | 9 |  | 12 | 101 |  |
    |  |  |  | 14 | 7 | 6 | 18 | 99 |  |
    | 1814: ${ }_{\text {March - }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 9 | 20 |  | 3 | 4 | , 6 | 46 |  |

    $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Rotherhithe, } \\ \text { April 28, 1814. }\end{array}\right\}$ : The foregoing is a correct Account for
    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-contioued.

    No. 3.-[8.]-WORKMEN employed by Mr. E. Thompfon.
    
    (16.)-Shipwrights, \&cc. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-wontinued.
    $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ 3.-[9.]-MEN paid by Jofhua Young \& Co.
    
    ( $\mathbf{F}^{6 .}$ )-Shipwrights, \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.
    No. 3.-[10.]-MEN employed by Meffrs. Almon Hill \& Sons, Ship Bailders, Limeboufe, at the undr-mentioned Dares.
    
    66.)-Shipwrights, \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued
    iNn $3-[11]-$, Account of the Number of WORKMEN employed by Curling, Cos, and Cu. at the Periods ftated in the Margin.

    | $\because \theta$ | iipuright | Caukers. | Sawyers. | Smuths. | Labourers. | Shipwright Apprentices | total. | A verage. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1803: March June .September December | $56^{\circ}$ | 43 | 8 |  | 11 | 26 | 134 |  |
    |  | ${ }^{150}$ | 32. | 120 | - - | 11 | 21 | 221 | 170. |
    |  | 1113 | 43 | [14 | - - | 6 | 26 | 202 |  |
    |  | [156 | 34 | 6 | - | 9 | 20 | 125 |  |
    | 1804: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | ${ }^{68}$ | 31 | 4 | - ${ }^{-}$ | 13 | ${ }^{1} 4$ | 130 |  |
    | June - | - 157 | 24 , | 10 | 6 | 14 | 17 | 128 <br> 136 <br> 18 | 154. |
    | September | 154 | 27 i | 12 | 10 | 12 | 21 | 136 223 |  |
    | Decembet | ; 99. | 25 ; | $3^{2}$ | 10 | 39 | 1.8 | 223 |  |
    | 1805: | 11. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March | 145 | 42 | I8 | 12 | 27 | 16 | 260 |  |
    | June - | -162 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 40; | 10 | : 7 | 19 | 10 | 148. | sço. |
    | September | , 46. | 34: | 6 | 8 | 15 | 18 | 126 |  |
    | December | $\cdots$ | [54. | 14 | : 8 | 12 | 22 | 252:. |  |
    | 4806: March June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | ${ }^{88}$ | 33 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 19* | 565 |  |
    |  | 34*" | 25: | 2. | 7: | 6 | 9 | 83 | 132. |
    |  | - 798 | $30^{\circ}$ | $6^{6}$ | 9: | 12 | - 9 | 145 | 1 |
    |  | ; 68. | 128. | 10 | \% 8. | 11 | , Id | 136 . |  |
    | 1807: March June September December | ' |  | 1 - |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 60 | 20 | 14 | 7 | ${ }^{1} 4$ | , 10 | 115 |  |
    |  | ${ }^{1} 1^{71^{-1}}$ | 133 + | 10 | 8: | 12. | 12 | 146 | 224 |
    |  | - $2333^{-}$ | :49 | 118 | $13:$ | 28 | -15 | 356 |  |
    |  | 187 , | 1.34: | 16. | 10 | 24. | 12. | 280 | . - . |
    | 1808: <br> March June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 189 | 25 | to | 8 | 59 | , II | 262 |  |
    |  | 109: | 27 | 12 | -88 | 14 | 11 | 181 | 218. |
    |  | 151. | 29 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 232 | 218. |
    |  | $119{ }^{\circ}$ | 35 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 197 |  |
    | 3809: <br> March <br> June September December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 204 | 40 | 44 | 9 | 25 | 13 | 305 |  |
    |  | 143 | 38 | 12 | 9. | 16 | 15 | 233 |  |
    |  | 170 | 42 | 12 | 7. | 24 | 15. | 270 |  |
    |  | 301 | 47 | 22 | ro | 29 | 20 | 429. |  |
    | 180:- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | - 357 | 43 | 14 | 9 | 29 | 26 | $47^{8}$ |  |
    | June - | 178 | $4{ }^{\text {I }}$ | 18 | 0 | 21 | 19 | 286 |  |
    | Septernber | 185 | 33 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 20 | 280 |  |
    |  | $\square^{\prime} 73$ | 40 | 6 | 9 | 21 | 23 | 172 |  |
    | 1385: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - | ${ }^{183}$ |  |  | 10 | 32 |  |  |  |
    | June - | [181 | 38 | 20 | 15 | 26 | 21 | 301 |  |
    | September | 3.2 | 40 | 28 | 11 | 28 | 21 | 440 | 339 |
    | December | 206 | 39 | 16 | 13 | 24 | 17 | 315 |  |
    | 1812: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | March - |  | 36 |  | 9 | 20 | 38 | 224 |  |
    | June September | 83 .210 | 26 28 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 19 | 162 | 232. |
    | December | .210 .146 | 28 36 | 18 | 10 | 26 | 17 | 309 |  |
    |  |  |  |  | 1 | 20 | 18 | 239 |  |
    | 1813: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | June - | 256 | 37 | ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 24 | 17 | 357 |  |
    | September <br> December | 122 | 40 | 14 | 7 | 19 | 15 | 217 | 37a. |
    |  | 345 | 47 | 20 | 12 | 37 | 17 | 439 |  |
    | 4814: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 73 | 26 | 8 | $7 \times$ | 8 | i6 | 130 |  |

    (56.)-Shipwrights, scc. employed in Building aṇd Repairing Yards-continued.

    No. 3.- [ 22.$]$-An A.ccount of the Number of MEN employed by Dowfons \& Co

    |  | Shipwighte. | Sawyers. | Labourers. | Caulkers. | Joiners. | Smiths. | TOTAL | Average. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1802: <br> Dec. - 24 <br> 1803 : <br> March 19. <br> June - 25. <br> Sept. $=27$. <br> Dec. - 24 . | 16 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 3 | - | 39 |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 21 | 6 | 15 | 13 | 1 | - - | 56 |  |
    |  | 34 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 3 | - - | 69 | $6 \%$ |
    |  | 32 2 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 69 |  |
    |  | 29 | 6 |  |  |  | 4 | 74 |  |
    | 5804: <br> March 31 | 35 | 8 | 7 | 17 | 17 |  | 89 |  |
    | June - 23. | 51 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 6 | 110 |  |
    | Sept. 30 | 30 | 10 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 4 | 78 | 95. |
    | Dec. ${ }^{\text {2 }} 22$. | 37. | 6 | 10 | 24 | 7 | 5 | 89 |  |
    | -805: <br> March 30. <br> June - 22. <br> Sept. - 28. <br> Dec. - 21. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 25 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 68 |  |
    |  | 25 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 53 |  |
    |  | 23 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 5 | 56 | 63 |
    |  | 30 | 4 | 8 | 19 |  |  | 75 |  |
    | 1806: <br> March 29, <br> June - 21 . <br> Sept. -27. <br> Dec. - 20. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 37 | 8 | 7 | 17 | 4 | 6 | 79 |  |
    |  | 19 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 6 | 57 | 60. |
    |  | 17 | 6 | 7 | 19 | 6 | ${ }_{6}{ }^{\prime}$ | 60 |  |
    |  | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 9 |  | 45 |  |
    | \$807: <br> March 28. <br> June - 20. <br> Sept. - 26. <br> Dec. - 19. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 25 | 2 | $\pm$ | 16 | 8 | 4 | 56 |  |
    |  | 20 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 55 |  |
    |  | 26. | 12 | 12 | 19 | 10 | 6 | 85 |  |
    |  | 39. | 4 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 7 | 86 |  |
    | 1808: <br> March 26. <br> June - 18 <br> Sept. - 24 . <br> Dec. - 31. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 54 | 8 | 4 | 23 | 20 | 8 | 117 |  |
    |  | 39 | 4. | 6 | 19 | 3 | 6 | 77 |  |
    |  | 61 | $10^{\circ}$ | 8 | 23 | 17 | 9 | 128 |  |
    |  | 78 | 10 | 9 | 27 | 45 | 3 | 146 |  |
    | $-809:$ <br> March 25. <br> June - 17 . <br> Sept. - 2 g . <br> Dec. - 30. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 44 | 6 | 5 | 28 | 6 | 7 | 89 |  |
    |  | 67 | 4 | 8 | 18 |  | 5 |  |  |
    |  | 63 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 106 | 103. |
    |  | 53 | 8 | 12 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 131 |  |
    | 1810: <br> March 24. <br> June - 30 . <br> Sept. - 22. <br> Dec. - 29. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 97 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 115 |  |
    |  | 47 | 6 | 12 | 22 | 7 | 8 | 132 | $s 25$. |
    |  | 43 | 10 | 11 | 17 21 | 11 | 5 | 97 130 |  |
    |  | 67 | 12 | 9 | 27 | 14 | 7 | 130 |  |
    | 1811: <br> March - 23. <br> June - 29. <br> Sept. - 21. <br> Dec. - 28. | 31 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 64. |  |
    |  | 39 | 8 | 6 | 24 | 8 | 12 | 97 |  |
    |  | 39 | 4. | 12 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 82 | 98. |
    |  | 15 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 4 | 42 |  |
    | 1812: <br> March 21. <br> June - 27. <br> Sept. - 19. <br> Dec. - 24 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 44 | 4 | 9 | 22 | 7 | 9 | 95 |  |
    |  | 8 | 4 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 37 | 2 | 6 | 28 25 | 2 9 | 9 5 | 84 67 |  |
    |  | 18 | 4 | 6 | 25 |  |  | 67 |  |
    | 1813: <br> March - 20. <br> June $=26$. <br> Sept. - 18 <br> Dec. - 24 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 70 | 8 8 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 10 | 138 156 |  |
    |  | 30 | 82 6 | 8 | 18 | 9 | 12 | 80 | 120 |
    |  | 42 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 16 | 12. | 807 |  |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1814: } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { March } \\ \text { Apr피 - } \end{array}- \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 28 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 13 | 12 | $78{ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |
    |  | 33 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 15 | 46 |  |
    | (72.) |  |  |  | 5 K |  |  |  |  |

    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&ce, employed in Building and Repairing Yards-coutinued.

    Nn 3 -[13.]-Account of the Number of Shipwrights, Caulkers, Sawyers and Labourers, employec by Mefrs: Tebbut, Hitchcock \& Bafton, at Limekiln Dock, at the under Daten:
    
    (56.)-Shipwrights, Bce. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    No. 3 - [14.]-Account of the Numbrr of ARTIFICERS, \&c. employed at New Crane . and Gun Docks, Sbadwell and Wapizing, by Meffrs. Ayles and Company, at the periods as under.
    
    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&c. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    Nor3-[15.]-A Statement of WORKMEN emplofed by Longbottom \& Co.

    |  | Shipurights. | Caulkerı | Sawyers. | Labourers. | TOTAL. | Average. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 803 2 March June September December | 55 20 30 29 | 15 13 23 13 | 4 4 4 4 | 2 2 2 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 39 \\ & 59 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\} 55$. |
    | 804: March June September December | 34 32 33 16 | 13 13 12 12 | 4 6 6 6 | 2 2 2 | 53 53 53 36 | $\} \quad 48$ |
    | 1805: March June September December | 15 <br> $\quad 32$ <br> 10 <br> 31 | 10 11 11 12 | 4 4 6 2 | 2 | 30 48 29 46 | \} 38. |
    | 806 March June September December | 16 29 54 54 | 11 17 19 21 | 4 4 4 4 | 1 1 1 | 32 50 75 81 | $\} 59$ |
    | 1807: March June Sepember December | 7 30 23 28 | 11 11 14 9 | 4 4 4 4 | 1 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 46 \\ & 42 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | \} 36. |
    | 1808: March - June Sepember December | 13 20 18 43 | 11 11 14 8 | 2 2 2 6 | 1 1 1 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 34 \\ & 35 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\int_{3}{ }^{8}$ |
    | 1802: March June September December | 37 25 60 16 | 10 11 11 14 | 4 2 6 2 | 2 1 2 1 | 53 39 79 33 | \{\} 5 s. |
    | 2810: March June September December | 26 37 100 36 | 9 10 10 9 | 4 6 10 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 41 55 128 55 | , 69 |
    | 2811: March June September December | $\begin{array}{r}27 \\ 22 \\ 9 \\ \\ \hline 5\end{array}$ | 10 10 18 9 | 4 2 4 2 | 2 8 4 3 | 37 42 35 29 | , 35 |
    | 1812: March June September December | 4 13 45 33 | 8 15 10 9 | 2 2 8 6 | 1 2 3 4 | 15 28 66 52 | , 40 |
    | 1813: March June September December | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ -\quad 6 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $-{ }_{-}^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 10 \\ & 19 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | , 26. |
    | 1814: March | 7. | 10 | $2$ | $x$ | 20 |  |

    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&ce. employed in Building and Repairing Yards-continued.

    No 3 -[16.]-WOR KMEN employed by Beation \& Co.
    
    (56.)-Shipwrights, \&ec. employed in Building and Repaiting Xards-contimued.

    No. 3.-[17.]-Number of MEN employed by Mews \& Co. at the Floating Dock.
    
    （56．）－Shipwrights，\＆ic．employed in Building and Repairing Yards－continued．

    No．3－［18．］－A Lift of Shipwrights，Caulkers，Sawyers，Labourers，and Elackfmiths，employed at Meffrs．Blackett and Sons Dock，Mill Wall，Poplar，agreeable to the following Dates．

    |  | Shipwrights | Caulkert． | Sawyers． | Labourers． | Black－ finuhe． | TOTAL | A verage． |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1812： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Commencement in Juily－ | 47 | 21 | 4 | 8 | － | 73 |  |
    | Lalt Pay in September－ | 48 | 13 | 10 | 7 | － | 78 | $\} 85$. |
    | $D^{\circ}-D^{\circ}-$ in December－ | 57 | 30 | 10 | 8 | －－ | 10－5 |  |
    | 1814： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Latt Pay in April－－ | 1 | －－ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 |  |

    Mill Wall，25th April 1814.

    No．3．－［19．］－MEN employed by Mcffrs．Henry Fletcher and Son，Shadwell Dock．

    |  | March． |  |  |  | June． |  |  |  | September． |  |  |  | December． |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | 官 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | 奂 | 它 | Ė E H |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. } \\ & \text { 淢 } \end{aligned}$ | 㖆 | 寅 |  | 宣 |  | 它 | 品 |
    | 1803 | 97 | 8 | 11 | 116 | 112 | 8 | 12 | 132 | 77 | 8 | 9 | 94 | 93 | 8 | 11 | 122 | 113. |
    | 3804 | 106 | 8 | 19 | 133 | 58 | 6 | 14 | 78 | 82 | 6 | 13 | 101 | 98 | 4 | 2.1 | 123 | 108. |
    | 1805 | 103 | 4 | 20 | 127 | 50 | 6 | 12 | 68 | 70 | 8 | 9 | 87 | 91 | 6 | 22 | 119 | 100. |
    | 1806 | 107 | 6 | 13 | 126 | 92 | 6 | 13 | 118 | 116 | 6 | 19 | 141 | 98 | 8 | 4 | 110 | 122. |
    | 1807 | 76 | 6 | 5 | 87 | 53 | 6 | 6 | 65 | 56 | 6 | 6 | 78 | 93 | 10 | 21 | 124 | 88. |
    | 1808 | 64 | 6 | 8 | 78 | 63 | 10 | 20 | 93 | 124 | 8 | 14 | 146 | 98 | 6 | 14 | 118 | 108. |
    | 2809 | 82 | 6 | 7 | 95 | 65 | 6 | 13 | 84 | 89 | 6 | 13 | 108 | 208 | 6 | 6 | 120 | 102 |
    | 2810 | 95 | 10 | 14 | 119 | 68 | 6 | 3 | 77 | 112 | 6 | 14 | 132 | 85 | 12 | 8 | 105 | 108 |
    | 2815 | 111 | 12 | II | 134 | 75 | 10 | 16 | 101 | 97 | 10 | 11 | 118 | 65 | 6 | 13 | 84 | 109. |
    | 1812 | 55 | 6 | 8 | 69 | 54 | 10 | 5 | 69 | go | 10 | 15 | 115 | 65 | 10 | 12 | 87 | 85. |
    | 1813： | 102 | 10 | 21 | 133 | 57 | 10 | 8 | 75 | 93 | 8 | 12 | 112 | 65 | 10 | 8 | 84 | ror． |
    | Iarch 1834 | 116 | 10 | 14 | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    The above is exclufive of Apprentices，Foremen and Labourers：－Meffrs．Fletcher and Son do not employ any Caulkers；their Sbipwrights do the whole of the work．

    ## XIV.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    Veneris, $20^{\circ}$ die Maij 1814.
    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    ## Mr. William Jobnfon was again called in, and further crofsexamined by Mr. Spankir.

    OU ftated yefterday, that your chief employment for the faft twenty years, and particularly for the laft ten years, was building and repairing for Government? -For the laft ten particularly.The émployment of Government being fulpended, or very much decreafed, in confequence of the profpect of peace, do not you think that circumftance will account for the prefent flate of your yards?-It will in part, as to the building particularly.

    And the repairing ?-And the repairing alfo; we have not done much repairing for fome time.

    Do you afcribe the prefent ftate of the yards to the withdrawing of Government employment, or to the introduction of teak thips into the Eaft India Company's fervice?-I confider that the prefent want of our conftant work has fallen off in confequence of the Eaft India-built thips being introduced; we thould have been building a hhip inftead of the Ceylon now, but the is to be fupplied by a hip that comes from India this year.

    Why fhould you have had the building of it? -We were promifed it by the owners.

    And that would have given you one thip more? -That would have given us one fhip, and kept the people in conftant work.

    Where is the Ceylon built?-I think at Bombay, but I cannot fay,
    What is the tonnage ?-Nine hundred and fifty tons; the is coming home this feafon.
    Who is the owner of that hip? - Smith and Timbrel.
    You have fpoken of the fhips introduced into the trade.of the country; do yout mean the trade of the country generally, or the Eaft India Company's trade?The Eaft India Company's trade; that particularly affects Mr. Pitcher ; that is his dependence.

    You have ftated, that during the laft ten years you have had pretty full employment, which arofe chiefly from Government; could you have carried on the.butinefs you have done for Government, and any confiderable additional quantity of Eaft India building, without increafing your premifes?-Yes, in the laft ten years we could confiderably.

    So that during the employment you have had from Government, you have fill had flips and docks unoccupied??-Sometimes, not always.

    During the time you were building thofe feven feventy-fours you fpoke of, and repairing for Governmient, have not you been what you confider in full employ during thofe periods? - We have had fufficient to keep all our men employed, but;we could have done more.

    From its fituation, Mr. Pitcher's yard is peculiarly adapted to repair thips of war; being fo far down the River, it is more convenient to get them to fea, and fo forth ?-Yes, Government have found it very expeditious during the war; it gets the fhips forward to fea.

    And therefore the war has tended in a particular degree to promote the bufinefs of his yard, on account of thofe advantages?-In the laft ten years Go-
    weriment have been of great fervice to Mr. Pitcher's yard, otherwife the eftablifhment could not have been kept up, on account of the India-built fhips being in$\xrightarrow[\text { William Jichnfon. }]{\text { Aír }}$ troduced.

    Do you know what proportion of the whole Eaft India tonnage, the teak-built thips conftiute? - I cannot fay; I can flate the number of fhips nearly in the Company's employ, but cannot fay the number taken up for the laft two or three years; the teak fhips are moflly extra hhips, I think there are ten or twelve or thereabouts, I orly go by looking over the Company's lif.

    Do you know, as a fact, whether the whole tonnage employed by the Eaft India Company during the laft ten years, has increafed or diminifhed?-I cannot anfwer that queftion.

    Suppofe this ftate of things, that the whole tonnage employed by the Eaft India Company had increafed to the extent of $x 5,000$ tons beyond what, it was ten years ago, or fifteen years ago, do not you think that befides thofe $15,000^{\prime}$ tons being fupplied from India, the fame quantity of tonnage remaining, there would be the fame quantity of building in the river that there was fifteen years ago?-I think not, becaule the fhips go two more voyages than they ufed to go, therefore there would not be, of courfe.
    What I mean is this, that there being an increafe of 10,000 tons of teak Chips, there would faill remain the fame quantity to be built in the River as there was ten years ago ?-There would fill remain the fame quantity, 30,000 tons, or whatever it was, to be built fomewhere.

    Excluding the teak hips, fuppofing the quantity of Englifh tonnage ufed by the Company were the fame now that it was ten years ago, would not that furninh to the River the fame quantity of building it did ten years ago?-No, for the fame reafons that I flated before, the fhips do not wear out fo foon.

    Suppofe there were 50,000 of Englifh tonnage ufed ten years ago, and 50,000 now, that would fupply the fame quantity of building?-No, becaufe they go two more voyages.

    Except for that, it would ?-I think it would.
    In confequence of the Ihips going more voyages than they did formerly, that has contributed to caufe lefs building?-In that proportion it has; the India thips are larger than they were ten years ago.

    If the Ihíps in the India Company's Tervice began eight voyages ten years ago, they would not yet have finifhed the contratced number of voyages; but as foon sas thofe eight voyages are finifhed, will not a new demand for building immediately arife ? - Not if the India-built'lhips are allowed to come into this country.

    Will not it require, as foon as thofe eight voyages are performed by the hhips now engaged for thore eight voyages, an immediate fupply, whether the thips are built in England or in India? -Of fhipping it will, but not of employ, unlefs built in this country.

    But in confequence of thofe fhips all beginning to go eight voyages at the fame time almoft, has not that fufpended the building for a time, and prolonged the period at which building would have been going on?-No, becaufe a greatt many -of thofe thips which have been worn out in that wray, have been fupplied by thips from India, or will be fupplied by fhips which are now coming home.

    During the time that thofe eight voyages have been in progrefs, the demand for building, whether in England or in India, has been fufpended ?-In a great meafure it has.in England, I do not know for India.

    Then as foom as thofe eight-voyage fhips have done their bufinefs, fuppofing the trade to be the fame in quantity of tonnage as it is now, the Company muft have a demand for new fhips, to be built either in England or in India?-Yes, I think they muft.

    Suppofe thofe fhips now employed by the Company, had been running only fix voyages, which began ten years ago, the room of thofe fhips mult have been fupplied four years fooner than the fhips that go eight voyages?-Yes.

    Muft not that circumftance have neceffarily tended to keep back and retard the time when the demand for new fhips would otherwife have taken place?-The demand for new thips has already taken place, and the hips have been fupplied from India.

    How many fhips have been fupplied from India ? - How many regular fhips there are now in the fervice, I cannot fay; there are four 1,200-ton fhips now building, and one of 950 tons, taken up by the Company for this and the next feafon.

    $$
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    $$

    NEr. Do you know whether thofe fhips, which you have fpoken of as being built in
    India for the Company, are built on the bottoms of any other fhips? - I cannot fay whether they are or not; they are building for the Eaft India.Company's fervice.
    In what degree have the wages of hipwrights in your yard increafed during the laft eight or ten years?-They have not increafed for the laft ten years; I think it awas in 1802 they were increafed, and I think not fince.

    There has been no increafe fince.?-Unlefs it was upon an emergency, when we swere compelled for difpatch of bufiners.

    On a general average, it has been nearly the fame?-Yes.
    What average wages are generally paid to an expert workman working by the day ?-They have given a good workman feven fhillings a day, and five for an ordinary workman.

    A good deal of work is performed by job-work, I believe? -All that we poffibly ccan, as we prefer it for expedition.

    How much may an expert diligent workman earn by job-work ?-That depends on the number of hours he works, and the time of the year.

    Taking a reafonable number of haurs during the fpring or fummer?-During the fummer months, working from five to feven or eight, they never find fault if they make from eight to nine fhillings a day.

    Did you ever know a larger fum than that made? - I have certainly, on particulare roccafions, but that is a very farr average.

    But what have you known made by a fkilful workman in a day?-I do not knowr that ever I obferved.

    Have you ever knoxvn any inftance of their earning half-anguinea.?-I fuppofe it may have been done, but I cannot fpeak to that.

    Do you believe it has been done? - I would fooner fay it has nat than it has, if .I were to fwear to it.

    It is not an extraordinary thing for aman to eamn eight or nine fhillings? - Speaking of the times now, that is what he may earn ; he might have earned that during the laft work which we had.
    Within the laft twelve months, or defs?-I do not know for the laft twelve .months; we have not had a great deal to do for-the laft twelve months ; it was when the great height of work was.

    You-have told us you are purveyor of timber to Mr. Pitcher? - Yes.
    What quantity of timber does Mr. Pitcher ufially keep on hand at one time? Our ftock is not half fo much now, as generally, when we expect a job, we keep a good fock ; but I-cannot fpeak to the quantity ; it is lefs now than it ever has been for years, ,particularly timber.

    When you were in the habit of building feventy-fours for Government, how long swas it ufual to keep your timber feafoning, before it was worked up into thips of that defription?-Our ftock of timber was always ready feafoned to go on with , workimmediately.

    How long, was it kept, in order to feafonit? - What we could cut down this yeart, -would be feafoned for the next.

    So that the timber cut down laft year, might be worked ap in a feventy-four this year?-Yes; fome part of the ftock would be a great deal older; but we fhould .confider it to .be fit for ufe after oneryear's fall.

    Since you have been at Mr. Ritcher's yard, the price of timber has very nearly trebled, I believe? -No; fince I went out purveying, it was $\mathscr{E}_{3 .} \mathbf{1 2 5}$. and it has been as high fince as $\mathscr{E} 9$. the fir timber at that time was 58 r. and at the time that .oak timber was nine pounds, fir wis fixteen guineas.

    And that arofe in a great meafure from the ftoppage of fupply in the Baltic? I I apprehend that was the cafe; I always confidered the price of the oak tree rofe in a great degree owing to the country carpenters being robliged to ufe oak inftead of fir for their-purpofes, which confumed a confiderable quantity of timber.
    Do not you know, that prior to the time at which the price of fir rofe fo very high; the price of oak was likewife very high?-No, I do not remember that.

    Can you flate what was the price of fir timber in the years 1806 and 1807 ?In the year 1806 the fir timber was $£ 5$. 15 . anid the next year $\sum_{9.105}$.

    Do you know the price of oak timber in thofe two years?-The oak timber was
    

    Do not you perceive, you have given us nearly the fame price for both articles ; you mentioned that the one was $\mathscr{E} g$. and the' other was. fixteen guineas at 'one time? $\ldots$ When the oak was only $\mathfrak{E}_{3}$. 125. 6 d . the fir was much lefs than the oak.

    We have feen the increafe of the price of oak timber; what were the wages of a good workman in your yard, in the year r793, or about that time? T-The wages then were, I think, only 35. 6 d . a day, and they rofe to 5 s . in 1802 ; 3 s. 6 d . was the wages then to fuch a workman as gets 5 . now, an ordinary workman ; we always flate the wages of an ordinary workman; if a man is deferving, we give him more.

    What would a man that, fince 1802, would have earned 7 s. have earned in 2794 ? L L cannot fpeak to that; I hould think about 5s. a day.
    The wages have increafed in the proportion of 5 to 7 , or thèreabouts?Thereabouts.
    Then it appears that the price of timber has increared in a much greater proportion than the price of wages?-Yes, it has.

    ## [Re-exanined by Mr. Adolphus.]

    When you fpeak of a man gaining five or feven fhillings a day, do you mean that he works in ordinary hours, and no more? When we give a man extra wages, we exped he will work more hours, if we want him.
    : Do yqu mean, that if you give a man five fhillings a day, he is only to work the ordinary hours; but if you give him feven, you will expect him to work more if you want him ?-I do not underitand the queftion.

    Where you pay five or feven fhillings a day, it is only for an ordinary day's work? -Yes.

    When a man gains eight or nine fhillings a day, can he do it without working extra hours?-No; he mult work extra hours to gain an advance of money.

    You fpoke of the wages having been adranced in 1302, was that on a general reprefentation of all the men, that it was neceflary ?-That was the cafe.
    That is what you call a ftrike for the rife of wages?-It was a ftrike for the raifing of wages, on account of the price of provifions.

    And the prices, if I undertand you, have remained ftationary ever fince ?-They have.

    When workmen are twanted for any great work that comes in in hafte, it is known among the workmen, and they come to be employed in any yard where it is? What we callour regular men we keep employed if poffibe, thofe we always give a preference to.
    .You adways.give the preference to your regular men; but when there is extra work, extra men can come in?-Yes, if we can get them.

    And it muft be done either in confequence of there being more employed, or by working extra hours ?-Yes.

    - There are therefore upon an average, out of the whole number of fhipwrights employed on the Thames, generally fome who were unemployed, and can come for this extia work? - Thare are moftly men out of employ that do not like to be ftationary.

    And they come on for the extra work?-They come in for frall jobs.
    Are there alfo men, when there is a run upon the Thames, taken from ways and other works to fupply the deficiency, if there fhould be any, in the fhipwrights yords ?-Xes, very frequently; I-fuppofe they make application when there is a great deal to do.

    Then it is by thofe united means of regular men and extra men, and thofe whom you draw in upon great occafions, from the canals and ways, \&c. that you have been enabled to complete the work sranted by Government, and by all other perfons?-No, I confider not; our principal dependance is upon our ftationary men, thofe we can depend upon, upon all occafions, night and day.

    And you accept the affifance of the others, when you are driven to require it?Upon extremities we have the affiftance of others.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    You have fated, that men will do more work irr the merchants' yards than in the King's yards, how do'you account for that?-The regular fyftem is obliged to be kept up in a naval dock-yard, which they cannot keep up in the merchants yards; but an officer, is appointed to carry on the work, and he allots the workmen jobs a great number together, fo that if they cannot get on with one they get on with others; one man of thofe workmen provides the materials ready for them to go on with.
    : Is it not more likely, ?in. 2 regular fyttem of work, to get on fafter than in an
    irregular

    Mr. irtegular one?-The procefs is fraller ; they are obliged in the King's yards to w
    for every officer to attend his own department ; the whole in the merchants yards conducted by one man, and he has a double advantage, becaufe his employer te him if he makes extra exertions he will be extra paid for it.

    Cannot they do the fame in the King's yards?-If they could have the fan. power they could ; the workmen are equally good, and fome of them are better ; bi they are obliged to keep up a much more regular fyttem in a naval dock-yard that is neceffary in the merchant yards.

    From what part of the country does Mr. Pitcher generally draw his timber ?-7 Yarious countries; the principal part we get from Kent and Suffex, but I do. ng :know the quantities; we get a great deal from Norfolk.

    It comes by fea?-It is hipped at Great Yarmouth.
    You have furveyed the timber that has been cut down in the country?-I havt -purveyed it.

    You go to look at it, and felect the good? -Yes.
    Of what quality is it; is it intermixed a good deal, or all of one quality? -No there is a great deal of difference in Norfotk; the fouthern part of Norfolk is whers I get my fupply from principally.

    You have rejected a confiderable part of it?-We do not purchafe in the other parts of the county.

    Why not?-I do not like the timber at all in the farther part of Norfolk; I purchafe on this fide of Notwich principally.

    You find the timber there confiderably mixed; you reject a great deal of it? No; I do not go into the part svhere it is bad.

    It is all good where you go ?-No, it is not.all good; that which is not good I do mot take.

    What proportion do you reject of the part which you fee cut down?-I have had two or three hundred trees in one lot; and have not refured a tree for being defective.
    .In other lots yau have not found it fo good ? - In other lots I may have found 2 few bad:; but I cannot flate the quantity.
    Is the timber in general old, or ydung ?-There are all forts of timber; there is a .great deal of old timber.

    - That is not fo good for your purpofe? - I never buy very large timber; if they 'will let me refufe it.
    - What is your reafon for that ?-It comes heavier to convert ; it is more expenfive to convert than fmaller timber.

    Is the quantity of timber now in your yard, fufficient for an Indiaman of $x, 200$ tons ? $-\mathrm{NO}_{\text {, }}$ it is not.
    How much of it do you fuppofe could be applied to an Indiaman of $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0}$ tons? -There is principal timber for three or four 1,200 ton fhips; fuch large -trees we always tavè by̆ us in cafe of any emergency; our flock now is large timber principally.
    If you had orders to build a 1,200 ton hip, how many loads of timber do you rthink you thould.require, exclufive of what you have on hand $P$-I fhould purchafe - eight or nine hundred loads.

    You faid fuft now, that you'were to have had to buith h fhip in the room of the +Ceylon; the Ceylon was taken?--She was.a

    That was a cafualty, and the owner had a right to build another? - Yes.
    But if fhe bad gone the eight voyages, the owner wautd not have bad a right te build upon her bottom? - I believe that is the cafe, hut I cannot anfwer the queltion.

    The Ceylon was taken upon her fourth voyage ?-Yes, I think fhe was
    Then if the had run out her eight woyages, there would not be occafion to build upon her bottom for eight years more?-I da not know whether thofe fhips are fitted for eight voyages; there have been no 800tton hips.fitted for eight voyages, to my knowledge.

    If he had only'gone fix woyages, the would not be to be built for four years $\boldsymbol{i}$ - No.

    So that a thip being loft, is a gain in chip-building; another thip comes to be Tbuilt fooner?- Yes.

    You mentioned, that you obferved by the tift.of hips, that the Company have; agot fome teak-fhips in their regular employ ?- Yes.
    :Are not wefy large trees fometines rejected becaufe theyswell the meting more
    than their fair proportion?-Yes; I flated that in anfwer to a former queftion; 'when I fated, that they were too large to coinvert to other purpofes.

    You have flated, that the rate of wages has raifed in the proportion of from five 'to feven?-From three hillings and fixpence to five hillings; that is rather leff.

    You do not confider the wages is too high in comparifon with every thing elfe, -do you?-No; I thould confider that erery thing remaining fationary, as they are now, that the wages cannot be lowered much.

    They are in a fair proportion to every thing clle? -In my opinion they are.
    And oak-timber has increafed rather more than double in the mean time ;-Yes.
    You have ftated, that the want of work was owing to the want of building flips for the Eaft India Company ? -We want that bufinefs to keep up the eftablifhment.

    Do you reckon nothing for prize hips coming into the fervice ?-I do not know -of a prize fhip being taken up by the Eaft India Company.

    The prize Thips affeat the building in the yards?-Our trade has entirely depended on the Eaft India Company; we have only builh five fhips in the laft fix-andtwenty jears, exclufive of thofe built for the Eaft India Company and Government.

    Then you have a yard eftablifhed exprefsly for building for Government and the Ealt India Company? - Mr. Pitcher's yard was eftablifhed folely for the purpofe of building Eaft India Thips.

    What number of fhips have you buitt for Government in the time jou have menciconed?-Two-and-thirty for Government within the laft twenty years; and we have biult fixteen within the laft fourteen years.

    Have you any contraat for building for the Eaft India Company at prefent ?-We have not.

    Have you any contrad for building for Government?-We have not.
    Then do not yous confider the decline of your trade as much from the want of the -orders of Government as from the wamt of the orders of the Eaft India Company? No; I Thould have bonfidered from the ordinary coarfle of trade, we flould have liad Eaft India hips to keep our regular men on conftant emploj.

    Do not you confider the prefent twant of employment as much arifes fromi the want -f orders from Government, as the want of orders from the Eafl India Company?I conifider a part of the failure arifes from Government not having iny work lately; had it not been for Government work lat year; we thould have beent then in the fame flate that we are now.

    Your originat eftablifhment was entirely for the Eaft Indiz Compani'y ?'-Entirefy.
    Had you in contemplation the building for Government then? - In the fame year 1795, Government found it expedient to build frigates, one of which Mr. Pitcher had. When the yand was eftabiliked had you it in your contemplation to build for Goverament?-I thould fuppofe not; I carinot anfwer that quetion.

    Then it appears that in the laft twenty years you have built in that yard thirity:wo: hhips beyond thofe which you had in contemplation to' build at the time the eftablifhment was made?--In the jear 1795- when we made the flips, Mr. Pitcher began to contract with Government for men of war; but the flips were made fort the purpofe of building the Eaft India fhips at that torne required; which were the Earl :of Abergavenny, the Royal Charlotte, arid the Hope inftead of the Ardent, which we finifhed for Government. They were finifhed in the year 1796; and in that year Mr. Pitcher engaged to build a frigate for Government:

    Were the flips made in contemplation of building for Government? No; for the purpofe of building thofe flips for the Company; they were all on the flips at thefame time.

    The feventy-fours were built on the fame flips that were originally made for the: India Company's fhips ?-Yes:
    Then in point of fact you have had an increafe' of building bejond that which you contemplated at the time of making the eitablifhment to the amount of thirtstwo hips of war ? We have built for Government thirty-two hips fince that time.
    Was it not neceffary to increafe your eftablifhment of men confiderably in confequence of all that increafed bufinefs? - Yes.
    To what extent were they increafed?-I cannot anfwer' the queftion; it is impoffible to fay.
    But they were confiderably increafed?-They were obliged to be increafed, agreeable to the demand for men, for the time we had to fmilh them in.

    Do you mean' to ftate, that in confequence of the inchreafed practice of Government of building in the merchant yards, which increafed your bufinefs muchi beyond

    Mr. your expectation, that you were not obliged to increafe very confiderably your per-
    manent eftablifhment? - We were; particularly at times we have been obliged to work night and day for Government, to get the building dilpatched; and the Go. vernment have given a bounty for the exertions we have made, more than once or twice.
    Was that fo much per ton?-Yes, in two cafes; they gave us in two veffels a pound a ton, and in one, in the fame year, ten fhillings.
    Did they tell you they would give it you, in order to make you work with that extraordinary expedition for them, or after you had worked with that extraordinary -expedition?-They promifed before, and paid afterwards.

    How long have you felt the decline of your bufinefs? - The decline of bufinefs has been in the regular trade, building fhips for the Eaft India Company, for about ten years; in the preceding ten we built eleven thips, and the laft three.

    Has that been the cafe with the River generally? -I do not know the cafe in any other yard; I can only fpeak to our own.

    How many fhips have you built for Government in the laft ten years?-Thirteen; and three for the Eaft India Company, and nine ballant lighters.

    How many thips did you build for the Eaft India Company in the preceding ten years?-Eleven.

    And how many for Government? - Nineteen, I think.
    When there has been a great preffure in your yard, thcre has been generally a confiderable preffure upon the other yards in the River? - Yes, I believe generally fo, when there has been a great deal to do.

    Have you ever had, when you have been called upon, any difficulty in finding men to anfwer your purpofes?-Yes; at thofe times I named we were particularly diftreffed for men ; we were obliged to work the men almoft night and day.

    But then you are obliged to get fo many more men ?-No, we could not get them.
    Where do you draw the men from, in cafes of great preffure?-I do not know where they come from ; we have known them come from the King's yards.
    You cannot of courfe draw them from any of the other merchant yards?-No; every one employs his own, and each man depends on his mafter.
    Are there'any number of hipwrights, and perfons of that defcription, who belong to no yard, but are to be had on thefe occafions?-There are but few good men about.
    Is there any number of men, beyond the ufual demand of the merchant yards, that may be had for extraordirary fervice?-I thould fuppofe there are a great number of men, not on regular employ, that go from yard to yard, and on the ways.
    But it appears, they are not fufficient to fupply the demand, when there is a great preffure :-No, not when there is a great preffure, if it comes all at the fametime.

    They are not fufficient to fupply you at that time ?-I have known in winter timeI have not been able to fupply the demands of the Eaft India Company, without great exertions being made.

    Then where do you look for the affifance of thofe men that you require in addition to your ordinary men?-We are obliged to depend wholly upon our own regular men; we depend upon them making great exertions upon emergencies.

    Have you not flated, on great ensergencies, you added to your ordinary number of men?-Yes, but we do not depend upon thofe men, becaufe we are not certain of getting them.

    You have been employed confiderably in repairs ?-We have done a great many repairs.

    At what period of the year do the repairs generally take place?-The Eaft India flaips generally come at the latter part of the year, and go on repairing from about September to January ; and at thofe times we generally take off the men from the new work.

    What is generally that period of the year, when there is the leaft work doing in the yards?-After the Eaft India fhips are gone.

    About what period is that?-About March and April, when there is no building, of courfe there is no bufinefs doing; fuppofing there are new bhips building, there is no difference in the time.

    But, if there are no new fhips building, there is nothing doing during thofe months. in the yards? - We do not expect fo much to do, as we do in the fitting of fhips in he latter part of the year for the Eaft India Company's trade.
    Have you repaired any prize fifips in your yard? -Not one, that I remember.
    .Mr. Samuel Jordan was called in; and examined by Mr. Adolphus.
    You have been in the employ of Meffrs. Dudman?-Yes.
    As what?-As clerk.
    And fuperintendent of his works?-No, not of his works; Iuperintendent in looking after the timber, receiving of goods, and fo forth.

    How long were you fo employed ?-Eleven years.
    What is -the extent of his yard ?-It contains three large building-lips, three small ones, a double dock, and a fingle docis.

    In the laft ten years how many hips for the public fervice were built at your yard ?-Twenty-five.

    What was the amount of their tonnage ?-10,926.
    How many Indiamen did you build in the fame time?-Six.
    How many merchant veffels?-_Six, and one lighter.
    What was the tonnage of thefe?-7,231.
    [The following paper was delivered in and read.]
    An Account of the Number of SHIPS built by Mefirs. Dudman and Son, in the following years; viz.

    | YEARS. | King's Ships. |  | Merchant Ships. |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | No | Tous. | No. | Tons. |
    | 3803 - - - | - | - | 2 | 1,133 |
    | 1804 - - | 9 | 1,681 | 1 | 819 |
    | 1805 - - | 1 | $3^{82}$ | 2 | 8,640 |
    | 1806 - - - | - | - | 1 | $3{ }^{\circ}$ |
    | 1807 - - | I | 1,729 | - | - |
    | 1808 - - | 6 | 1,410 | - | - |
    | 1809 - - | 6 | 2,242 | $\sim$ | - |
    | 1810 - - | - | - | 4 | 1,8II |
    | 1812 - - | 1 | 1,741 | 2 | 820 |
    | 1812 . | 1 | 1,741 | 1 | 978 |
    | Total = - | 25 | 10,926 | 13 | 7,231 |

    Will you fate which of the Rips in that lift were Indiamen, and what the tonnage of each was?-One Indiaman in 1803 of 818 tons; in $\mathbf{1 8 0 4}$ one Indiaman, 819 tons.

    Committte.]-Were thofe regular hhips, or extra fhips ?-Regular fhips. In 1805 two Indiamen, 1640 tons together; in 8810 an Indiaman of 693 tons ; I believe the is called an extra fhip; I am not certain of that.
    What is her zame?-The Coldftream. The next is 1812,978 tons; making six fhips in all.

    Mr. Adolpbus.]-Thofe dates are the times when they were launched, I fuppofe? -They are:
    What repairs have you done in the yards in thofe ten years ?-Four King's fhips; 123 for the Tranfport ferrice.

    What particular fervice were they?-They were fitted for the Tranfport fervice to carry the convitts out, and Al-bottom boats; and we alfo fitted 155 merchant mips

    MINUTES OE EVIDENCE ON PETTIIONS
    [The following Paper was delivered in and read :]
    An Account of the Number of SHIPS repaired by Meffrs. Dudman and Son, in the following years, viz.
    

    Can you flate how many of thofe flips were Indiamen ?-No, I have not felected that.
    Has there been an increafe of docks or nips in your yard fince their eftablifhment? - None to my knowledge, there has not been any within the taft eleven years.

    In the lat year, 1813 , did yop build any thips?
    Neither Governmept nor merchant hips?-Neither,
    Was any thing repaired, in your yard in that year? yard.

    But in the yard of which we bave been fpeaking?-Yes, there was one Indiaman repaired.

    By whom?-Mefrrs. Taylor and Company ${ }_{f}$
    Mir. Dudman ceafed to employ himfelf in that yard?-Yes, he fold his intereft in them ; there has alfo been four or five fmall fhips in the dock, but very little repairs done to them.
    You fay Meffrs. Dipdman have afligned their intereft in the yard; who has it now? - Meffrs. Borradaile, Ritchie, and Company ; Meffrs. Dudman's affgnment was made to Lady Evelyn, the freeholder, and Meffrs. Botradaile, Ritchie, and Company have taken, it for öne year only.

    What ufe has been made of thofe docks, from the time that Meffrs. Dudman reafed to ufe them, till Borradaile and Ritchie occupied them?-They occupied them as foop as Mr. Dudman ceafed to ufe them,

    Have they been ufed by Borradaile and Company themfelves, or. how?-They: let their docks to any hipwright who will occupy them.

    For the purpofe of occafional repairs?-Yes, exactly fo.
    You fay, that a few. hips come in occafionally. to the docks; how. many finpwrights may have been employed upon themp-At no one time more than eight or nine men.

    For. this twelvemonth paft ?-With the exception of the one Indiaman I have Alated.

    While you were employed under Mefrs, Dudman, and Company, did you ever find any difficulty in procuring timber to carry on your works?-Not any.

    In the ten years that they were, carrying on bufinefs, how much. timber did they purchafe?-26,647 loads of timber, and 4,77 loads of plank:

    Upon any particplar occafion, did you want very large timber? - In the year 7812 we were in want of a fet of upper.deck, quarter-deck, and forecafle beams, for a feventy-four, and a great quantity of plank of great breadth and lengths; i went to Hertford, and within three miles of the town of Hertford, I felected a fufficient quantity out of one wood to complete all thofe purpofes.

    How many trees did it take you?-About 130 trees.

    Was there other timber in that wood of great extent and fize ? - A confiderable quantity was left after I had felected them, fome ftanding, and fome felled that year.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Grant.]

    What fized veffel was that you built in the year $\mathbf{1 8 1 2}$ for the King's fervice ? - A feventy four gun fhip.

    Do you confider the hips for the King's fervice, or the fhips for the Eaft India Company's fervice, as having furnifhed the moft employment to your yard?-The India Company's fervice.

    Can we judge at all, from the number of men that appear in any particular year as having been employed in your yard, of the comparative quantiry of work done in that year in the yard?-Yes.

    Will you explain how it happens that in the year 1812, you had only 174 men employed, when, as far as I can recollect, you had a confiderable quantity of work to do, in the way both of building and repairing?-The fhips were then about finifhing, they were bringing to a clofe, confequently a great number of the men were drawn off.

    Then this account of the number of fhips built in any year, only refers to the time at which thofe fhips were launched ?-Yes.
    To what do you afcribe the falling off of work in your yard?-Mr. Dudman has declined bufinefs altogether.

    Since when?-Since 1812.
    Are you not employed in that yard now?-No; I am employed by Meffrs. Dudman and Son, but am not in the yard; I have free accefs to the yard, and can fee what work is done there.
    Did you make up the account of the number of artificers employed by Meffrs. Dudman and Son, in their yard?-Yes; in the account it is ftated under the head of Meffrs. Dudman and Son.

    When do you fay they cealed to occupy that yard ?-About Michaelmas 1813 .
    What means have you of knowing the number of men employed in that yard, fince that period ?-By occupying part of the office there, for the purpofe of fettling Mr. Dudman's accounts; and I have occafionally affifted the prefent gentlemen who occupy it.

    Do you oblerve enough about the yard to know any thing with refpect to the flate of the work done in it at this time ?-Yes.

    To what do you attribute the decline of work in the yard latterly ?-I hould conceive, the want of demand for fhips.

    Is that becaufe there is lefs building in the River altogether?-Mof affuredly ; there is not any building in the River.
    Not at this moment?-No.
    I wifh to know how you conceive that the Company's fervice has given the yard of Mr. Dudman more employ than the King's fervice, during the ten years of which we have been fpeaking, when it appears that the quantity of tonnage built for the King's fervice in that time has been 10,926, and the quantity of tonnage built for the Company's fervice, only 4,947? - leceaufe the Chips built for the King's fervice, when built, we never fee again; the Company fend them to repair again with us.
    Do you mean to imply, that the quantity of repairs on 4,947 tons, would be fo great as to make the whole work fupplied by the Company's fhipping, in point of building and repairing, equivalent to the building of 10,925 tons for Government?Yes, I eonceive it would, the merchant thips returning fo frequently, and the King's Ghips not returning to us at all.
    Do you mean to fay, that the repairs on 4,947 tons would be equivalent to the building of 5,979 tons ?-I do.
    You do not mean to fay, that hips employed in the Tranfport fervice have not been perpetually repaired in your yard ?-Ships employed by the Tranfport fervice have not been very often repaired by Meffrs. Dudman; the fitting of the thips for flat-bottomed boats is not repairing them.
    In the account which you have given in of the number of fhips repaired by Meffrs. Dudman and Son, I find 123 fhips for the Tranfport fervice mentioned?Yes.
    Do you mean now to fay, you do'not confider thofe thips as having been repaired?-They are merely fitted with pieces acrofs, to cairy the boats out.
    How many men would an ordinary fhip for the Tranfport fervice require for that (72.)

    Mr. kind of preparation?-Three men will fit a hip in a week, and the material ufed for Samuel Joerdan. that purpofe is fir.

    Mr. Dudman has never tranfports never require any repairs ?-No; I mean to fay,
    Have you any idea what proportion of the tranfports mentioned here as having been repaired, have been really repaired, beyond that light fitting-out to which you have fpoken?-About twenty, being two in each year.
    In the ten years i-Yes; Government generally fend out a convic-fhip once in fix months.
    Do you believe that your yard, upon the whole, has depended more upon the repairing than upon the building, or not ?-If Mr. Dudman had not builr, probably we fhould not have had the fhips to repair.
    That is not an anfwer to my queftion; I wilh to know whether the whole quantity of work done in your yard, within the ten years, in the way of repairing, has been more than the whole quantity of work done in the way of building, or not?-I fhould think it had; but I am not certain.
    If you are not certain, how can you take upon yourfelf to fay precifely, that the quantity of repairs upon 4,947 tons, would be equivalent to the building of 5,797 ? -I itated, becaufe the building was Government building; and the repairing of India thips is more than equivalent to the building of 4,000 and odd tons for the Government fervice.

    Can you fay certainly that the building and repairing for that quantity of tonnage for the Company's fervice, is more in quantity than all the building and repairing which you had in the ten years from the King's fervice, including the Tranfport fervice?-I cannot.

    On the affumption that, in the courfe of the ten years, you built 4,947 tons for the Company's fervice, would the charges for the repairs, which that quanuity of tonnage might require for the ten years, be equal to the charges of building 5,979 tons for the fervice of Government?-I cannot exactly Ipeak to that, becaufe it depends upon the repairs the fhip may want; it depends altogether upon what the' fhip requires.

    Has Mr. Dudman, in point of fact, generally had the repairing of thips which he has built for the Company?-Yes.

    Then taking the wear and tear of one hhip with another, can you form any idea of what the charges have been for repairing, within the ten years, the hips built during the fame period?-I cannot, as I have not taken out any account of the amount of the repairs in that period.
    Can you give nothing like a general account ?-No; it differs fo much in the repairs of every fhip, it would be impolfible for me to fpeak to it, without having looked to the books for the purpofe.
    Then if you cannot anfwer thefe queftions precifly, how can you undertake to fay confidently, that the quantity of repairs upon thofe fhips, within the ten years ${ }_{z}$ has been equivalent to the quantity of work in building 5,979 tons? -From the frequency of thofe Indiamen returning, and being under repairs which I have feen.
    Do you believe the charges for repairing thefe Indiamen can have been equivalent to the charges of building the quantity of tonnage before mentioned ?-Yes, I think they have.
    Do you draw that conclufion from what you have obferved of the comparative charge for repairing and building, or is it merely from a vague notion that the principal part of your work depends upon the fhipping for the fervice of the Company? -From having made the accounts out for the repairs.
    Have the charges for repairs in general been greater than thofe for building, or not, in your yard?-I think they have been larger.
    Can you flate how many hips were repaired in the yard of which you have been fpeaking, in the year 1813 ?-One Indiaman.
    What. was her tonnage? - Eight hundred and eighteen tons; there were five or fix fmall veffels befides.
    Have you any notion of their tonnage in general?-Between two and three hundred tons; they merely came in to have their bottoms cleaned and to be caulked.

    How many men are equivalent to the repair of an. Indiaman of eight hundred and eighteen tons?-I think there were about four or five-and-thirty men emploged on that thip.
    At what time of the year was the repaired ?-In the latter part of this year; fine came in in Auguft or September 1813.

    How many thipwrights would be requifte for the repair of fuch a veffel ?-She em. ployed about five-and-thirty.

    Do you mean regular fhipwrights ?-Yes, I know of no other but regular fhipwrights, by what we call fhipwrights.

    You mean fhipwrights, as diftinca from caulkers and others?-Yes, I do.
    Can you explain how, in the account of the number of men employed in that yard in the months of September and December 1813, not a fingle fhipwright ap. pears, and only two in March 1814 ?-The Indiamen being finifhed previous to September, there was no other work afterwards, with the exception of two or three merchantmen, as I have fated.

    If I underfood you right, the hhip entered in Auguft 1813, and was finihed in the early part of 1814 ? - I was in an errer in that ftatement; the was finiffed about September laft.

    If five-and-thirty thipwrights were employed upon that fhip at any period, will you explain how it happens that the greatelt number mentioned in the year 1813 , in the account, is ten, and the average for the year, three?-Becaufe that fhip has not been under repair at any period of that calculation being made, at neither of the periods at which the calculation was made, was the fhip under repair, though the was in the intermediate time.

    Does not this account fate the number paid or particular days?-Yes.
    Are the workmen often employed between the four pay-days mentioned here, and paid off immediately ?-Yes, we pay them once a month.

    Then if I underftand you right, at fome one period in the year 1813, there mult have been at leaft thirty-five fhipwrights employed in your yard together?Yes, there were, but for a very fhort time, only for one fhip.

    Then it may happen that the number of hipwrights given in this account, triay not give any thing like an accurate notion of the number that have been employed in the yard?-Where the work is fo trifing as it now is, it will not give an accurate account; but where it was fo brifk as it was formerly, the men were employed continually with us.
    Have you ever repaired India-built thips ?-Yes, in one inftance.
    When was that?-About the year IUII, I think.
    Did The undergo any quantity of repair?-No, the did not; the was called the' Fairlie.
    Suppofing that the building for Government, and for the Tranfport fervice, went on in your yard, and the fame quantity of repairing for the Compainy as there has been within the laft ten years: if I undertand you right, there would be no very great decline in the quantity of work done, or int the annount of charges incurred? If we were not to build for the Company, there would be very little iepair, I fhould conceive.
    But Iam fuppofing there fhould be the fame quantity of repaif? ?- Unlefs there is building for the Company, there could not be the fame quantity of repair, becaufe India-builr fhips require fo little repair.
    To what circumftance is it owing that they require fo little tepair?-I conceive from the durability of the timber.

    Was the workmanhip pretty good in the one you repaired ? -1 did not examine it.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    With refpect to the account that has been delivered in, was the niaking of it in that way fuggetted to you, or did you propofe yourfelf to felect thofe particular months; was it made fairly and indifferently from year to year, or had you any particular reafon for felecting any one month more than another?-Not the leaft; we took the quarters.

    Therefore it is juft as poflible you may have given the greateft number of men at one time, as the lefs number at another?-No doubt of it; I conceive it would occur the fame if any other months had been taken out.
    Would you think it a mare profitable thing to have to build ro,000 tons of fips which you were never to fee again, or 4,000 tons of hipping which fhould return to you for repairs as often as they wanted them? - The 4,000 tons cartainly.

    With refpect to the charges for repairing, you have been afked whether they would be equal in amount to thofe for building; is the expenfe of material for repairing equal to what it is in building?-No.

    Therefore the expenfe is not fo great?-Not for materials.

    ## MINUTES OF EVIDENCE ON PETITIONS

    Mr. For labour it is according to the employment? ?-For the labour it generally Samuel Jordan. The transports you have been freaking of, are they font to you from Government, or from the proprietors, from whence they are afterwards hired by Governmont? -The work is done for the Tranfport Board.
    You fay that your date of hips built applies to the time when they are launched; is that the time when the greatefl number of men are employed upon them, or when a number of the men are taken off? -Many of the men are taken off rometime previous to their being launched.
    Therefore the year in which the hip appears to be built is not, as I apprehend, the year when moot of the men have been employed upon her ? - No.
    Then with sefpect to the flips under repair, as made out in the lift you have put in, the repairs may have been very flight, or very important, and fill it will appear the fame number of mips ?-Exactly fo.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    Do you confider the repairing of flips a more profitable bufinels than the building of them ?-I do.
    Thee transport hips which were fitted up were generally prizes, were not they? - No, they were merchant flips engaged in the fervice, but we fitted them for the Government.
    They were Britih-built hips ?-I do not know that.
    You do not know what build they were ?-No.
    Do you think in general they were likely to lat long, or were they old Ships? Indeed I do not know, for I never went on board them.
    Those you converted into flat-bottom boats, cannot be again converted into merechant hips? -They were not converted into flat-bottom boats, they were fitted with fkids upon their decks to carry flat-bottom boats.
    Then they were of the fame defcription as the other transports that were fitted up for convicts?--Precifely fo.
    Are Meffrs. Borradaile and Company thip-builders?-No, they are not.
    For what purpofe have they taken the yard? -For the purpofe of repairing ships.
    Their own hips ?-Any hips, if they can get them.
    They are great fhip-owners, are they not? -The house of Borradaile is.
    They own a great many Eat India company's hips ?-They do; the manage.tent of the yard is under the fuperintendence of a matter fhipwright.

    They do not fuperintend it themfelves?-They do not.
    Their great object was to get a place to repair their own hips ?-I do not know that it was; Mr. Dudman was unfortunate in his bufinefs, and they took the presmines after him; I do not know with what idea exactly.

    Mr. ISaac Sparrow was again called in; and examined by Mr. Adokphus.

    You were defired to prefent lome lifts from your yard? -Yes.
    Are thole the lifts you have made up in consequence, numbered from one to five, [flowing them to the witness] ?-Yes, they are.
    [They were delivered in, and read, as follows:]
    (No. I.)
    Launched from Mrs. Frances Barnard, Son, and Roberts's Yard, from
    Sept. 25th, 1794, to Auguft 14th, 1813.

    | Nary. |  | Eat India Ships. |  | Other Services. |  | Total of Tonnage. |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | No. | Tons. | No. | Tons. | No. | Tons. | No. | Tons. |
    | 23 | 19,529 | 16 | 16,422 | 17 | 2,767 | 56 | 38,718 |

    (No. 2.)
    An Account of SHIPS built and repaired by Mrs. Frances Barnard,
    $M r$.
    IJä̀̄ Sparrow. Son, and Roberts.
    
    N. B. Four hundred and fifty-four of thefe are one-maft veffels.
    (No. 3.)
    An Account of Englifh Oak-Timber, and Thickftuff and Plank, received in Mrs. Frances Barnard, Son, and Roberts's Yard, from 1794 to 1813.
    
    (No. 4.)

    Timber and Plank received into Meffrs. Barnard and Co.'s Yard, from John
    Larkin and Co. from January 6, 1808, to October 1812.
    

    Sold J. Larkin and Co. 1813, about 2,600 Loads Timber and Plank.
    No. $5 \cdot$
    Docks and Slips in Meffrs. Barnard and Co.'s Yard.

    |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |
    |  | 或ips, thut up in |
    |  |  |
    |  |  |
    |  |  |
    |  |  |
    |  |  |
    |  |  |
    |  |  |

    Total of Slips and Docks.
    4 Slips, 3 Lower and I Upper Yard.
    3 Docks, 2 Double and 1 Single.
    (72.)
    ${ }_{5} \mathrm{P}$

    Mr. Committce.-You mentioned in your examination on a former day, a fhip yoú Ifaac Sparrow. built for Mr. Bonham?-We have built many hips for him ; there were the Marquis Wellington and the Afia.

    Do not you know that he applied to Meffrs. Wigram and Company to build the Afia?-Not to my recollection.

    You do not know whether he did or not ?-No, I do not.

    ## Mr. Thomas Noakes was again called in; and examined by Mr. Adolphus.

    Mramas Noakes. Is this the flate of bufinefs at the two yards of Wells and Company, and afterwards Wigram's ? - Yes, it is.
    What is become of the upper yard, the yard contained in the upper account?Mr. Barnard has a part for a hhip-building yard; another part is turned into a maft. yard ; and a third part is occupied by Mr. Solly for a foreign'timber-yard.
    [It was delivered in and read, as follows:]
    Ships built by Meffrs. Wells at Rotherhithe.

    | Dates. | Government Service. | Tons. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1794 | 2 Gun Veffels - | 200 |
    | 1795 - - | 1 Frigate - - - | 925 |
    | - | 2 Brigs, 313, 3 I3 - - | 626 |
    | 1796 - | I Sloop - - | 407 |
    | 1797 - - | 1 Frigate - - - | 1,127 |
    | 1 | 4 Gün-veffels, 158 tons each | 632 |
    | 1798 | 1 -74 Guns - - | 1,798 |
    | 1801 | 3 Gun-veffels, 177 tons each | 531 |
    | Total - | 15 Ships for Government - | 6;246 |
    | Dates. | Eaft India Service. | Tons. |
    | 1795 = - | 2 E. I. Ships, $1,416,1,416$ | 2,832 |
    | $1796-$ | 3 Ditto - - - 1,416 | 4,248 |
    | 1797 - - | 1 Ditto - - | 1,500 |
    | 1798 - - | 3 Bitto - - $=818$ | 2,454 |
    | 1800 - | 2 Ditto - - 571 | 1,142 |
    | - $=-$ | 1 Ditto - - . | 1,257 |
    | $1802-$ | 2 Ditto - - 544 | 1,088 |
    | 1803 - | 1 Ditto - - - | 1,257 |
    | Total | ${ }_{15}$ E. I. Ships - = | 15.778 |
    | Dates. | Weft India and General Trade. | Tons. |
    | 1799 - - | 1 Packet | 239 |
    | Total : | I Packet . . | 239 |

    RELATING TO EAST INDIA-BUILT SHIPPING.
    SHIPS built by Meffrs. Wells, Perry, and Co. and Wigram and Green, at

    Mr.
    Thomas Naakes.

    | Dates | Government Service. | Tons. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1794 | Frigate | 983 |
    | 1795 | -54 - - | 1,294 |
    | -i | 3 Brigs - - 365 | 1,095 |
    | 1796 | ${ }^{1-64}{ }^{-}{ }^{-}$ | 1,416 |
    | 1797 | 5 Gun-Veffels - : 167 | 835 |
    | 1793 8800 |  | 1,931 913 |
    | 1801 | 3 Gun.Veffels - - 184 | 552 |
    | 1802 | $1-74$ - - | 1,729 |
    | 1803 | 3 Brigs - - $3^{82}$ | 1,146 |
    | - 806 | 1-74 - - | 1,729 |
    | 1806 | $1-74$ - - - | 1,706 |
    | 1807 1808 |  | 3,412 |
    | 1808 1809 | 2 $2-744^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ 2 | 470 3,482 |
    | 1810 - | $1-74$ - - | 1,741 |
    | 1811. | $1-74$ - | 1,741 |
    | 1812 - | 1-74 | 1,741 |
    | 1813 - | 10 Frigates - - | 12,443 |
    | - - | 41 Ships for Government - | 40,364 |
    | Totals | 56 | 46,619 |
    | Dates. | Eatt India Serrice. | Tons. |
    | 1795 | 1 Eaft India Ship - - | 630 |
    | 1796 - | 3- - Do. - 1,416 | 4,248 |
    | 1797 - | 1 - - Do. - $0^{-}$ | 1,416 |
    | 1798 - | 2- - Do. - 818 | 1,636 |
    | 1799. 1800. | $\begin{array}{llll}1-\mathrm{D} \\ 1 & \text { - Do. } \\ \text { - }\end{array}$ | 818 818 |
    | 1801: | 2-- Do. - | 2,514 |
    | - . | 1-D.Do. - 818 | 8.58 |
    | 1802 - | 1 - - Do. - - | 544 |
    | - | 2- - Do - 1,257 | 2,514 |
    | - | 1- - Do. | 818 |
    | 1803 : | ${ }_{1-}^{-D_{0}}$ - | 1,257 |
    | 1806: | $1-2-D$. | 818 $\mathbf{1}, 257$ |
    | 1808 | 1- - Do. | 997 |
    | - | $1 .-$ Do. - - | 818 |
    | 1810. | 1 - Do. - - | 955 |
    | 1815 - | 1- - Do. - - | 1,257 |
    | - - | 2 - Do. - 950 | 1,900 |
    | 1812 1813 | 1- - Do. - Do | 950 $\times 1,257$ |
    | - - | 27 Eaft Indiamen - - | 28,240 |
    | Totals. | 42. - - | 44,018 |

    minutes of evidence on petitions

    Mr.
    Thomas Noakes.

    | Dates. | Weft India and General Trade. | Tosa. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | 1 Weft India Ship - - | 364 |
    | 1797 | 1--Do. - 425 | 340 |
    | 1799 |  | 1,281 |
    | 18 ¢0 | a Do. - - $\left\{\begin{array}{l}506 \\ 604\end{array}\right\}$ | 1,110 |
    |  | 7 General Trade - - | 3,095 |
    | als - | 3 - - - | 3,334 |

    Have you an account of Ships built and repaired by Meffrs. Wells and Wigrams, for Government and Merchants' fervice, in the laft ten years ?-Yes, I have.

    > [It was delivered in and read, as follows:]

    SHIPS built aṇd repaired by Meffrs. Wells and Wigrams, for Government and Merchants' Service.

    | Dates. | Number of <br> Ships built for <br> Government. | Number of Ships built for Merchantug Service. | Number of Ships repaired for Goverpment and Merchants ${ }^{5}$ Nervice. |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | Merchant. | Government. |
    | 1804 | - - | - - | 79 | 8 |
    | 1805 | - - | - | 48 | 3 |
    | 1806 | 1 | 1 | 38 | $\underline{\square}$ |
    | 1807 | 2 |  | 46 | - |
    | 1808 | 2 | - 2 | 44 | - |
    | 1809 | 2 |  | 77 | - |
    | 1810 | 1 | 3 | 79 | - |
    | 1811 | 1 | 3 | 68 | - |
    | 1812 | 1 | 1 | 45 | 1 |
    | 1813 | 10 | 1 | 51 | - |
    | Totals | 20 | 11 | 575 | 12 |

    Committee.]-Do you know of Mr. Bonlam applying to Meffrs. Wigram to build a fhip for him, and they could not agree?-No, Ido not.
    Not one that he afterwards built at Mr. Barnard's Yard ?-I know there was one built at Mr. Barnard's yard.
    You do not know that he before applied to have it built at your yard i-I cannot fpeak to that.
    XV.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    ```
    Luna, 23' die Maii, 1814.
    ```


    ## SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

    Mr. Henry Dazees again called in; and examined by Mr. Harrison.

    IT is ftated that fungus has begun to appeär in the Dublin; you have feen a flatement of that defcription ?-I have.
    As if that arofe from want of feafoning in the timber; now I wifh to alk how long the Dublin flood to feafon?-She ftood from February 1809 till Ottober 1810; during which period there was no work done to her.

    In what fate was the when fhe fo flood to feafon?-She was complete in frame, She had her wales wrought, and twelve flrakes under them.

    When was fhe contracted for? -In $180 \%$.
    Are you, from your fituation, able to afcertain where the timber of which fhe was built was bought, and at what time it was procured?-I am.

    When was the timber procured for the building of that fhip?-A part of it was from fock in hand, another part from timber purchafed by myfelf in 1807, the remainder purchafed by Mr. Sanuel Brent in the fame year; the whote of the materials were in the yard in 1808 ; the whole of the beams, fhelf-pieces, thick-pieces for water-ways, and chocks, converted and flacked with pieces between them of four inches thick, to give air to feafon; that was all completed by Febluary 1809; thofe materials were net ufed in the fhip till June 1811 , fo that they ftood that period to feafon.

    You have mentioned chocks, what were the chocks?-They were the pieces ufed as fubllitutes for knees.

    To connect the beams with the fides?-To connect the beams with the fides.
    Under thefe circumftances of the chocks having been converted, and flacked to feafon that length of time before they were ufed, could any working that has arifen in that particular conftruction of the fubltitutes for knees have arifen from the timber not bcing fufficiently dry ? - I hould think not.

    Upon what does that particular conftruction of chock inftead of knee depend, for its efficacy and effect ?-Materially upon the two keys.

    Upon keys, as defcribed in the Plan you have with you?-Yes; (The Witnefs' produced a model) when the keys are loofe, it permits the beam to work.

    Was the Dublin launched by direction without thofe keys in?-No; the keys were put in by order, but not driven up within four inches of the head.

    Was it neceflary to prevent the working of thofe chocks, that thofe keys thould be fuccellively driven in further by the carpenter of the ihip, as the wood might fhrink, fo as to keep the bearing clofe and connected ?-He mult be very deficient in duty if he did not do it.

    It appears upon one of thefe letters, that a great number of thofe keys are lof entirely, they are not to be found? - It think it is flated that in the Orlop there are nine completely gone out of the heads of the riders; there are only twelve riders in the thip that have them.
    Muft the lofs of thofe keys neceflarily occafion a great working in the veffel ?-It muft.

    > (72.)
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    Mr. You have read the Report of the Plymouth Officer, detailing a variety of appearHenry Dawes. ances of defects in the Dublin?-I have.

    Are the defects that appear in the Dublin now, the greater part of them of a defcription connected with workmanhip; or are they fuch as would arife from fraining, either from the veffel having been in high winds, or having got afhore, or any thing that would ftrain her ?-Not at all from the deficiency of workmanihip.

    Are they a caufe that would arife, from the ftraining the veffel from vinds, or getting on thore, or any thing of that fort?-They are.
    I am not alluding to the fungus appearing, but merely to the defects that appear, with reference to the opening and ftraining the timbers, and yo on?My anfwer applies to that.

    ## [Examined by the Committce.]

    The appearance of the fungus arofe from the length of time the wood had been feafoning ?-I fuppofe not; it could not, becaufe there was no fungus there avhen we gave up the hip at Woolwich.
    What do you apprehend it did arife from?-From dampnefs.
    From dampnefs where?-It is fated in the Report to have arifen from the circumfance of the water having come down in different places.
    You convert timber for men of war?-Yes.
    What are the largeft pieces of oak timber you ule in a feventy-four? -There are a few pieces that may approach to two hundred feet, but they are very few.
    How many do you think? - About five.
    What is the next large fize?-I am not prepared to anfwer fo complex a queftion as that, without going into a detail.
    How long did the plank of the Dublin ftand to feafon?-It was ftacked the fame as the other materials, in February 1809 , and began to be ufed in October 1810: By our contract we are obliged to have the whole of the thick ftuff and plank previous to our obtaining our payment for the thip flanding to fealon.

    Mr. Thomas Noakes again called in; and examined by the Committee.

    Mr. | The lift of hips built by the concern now belonging to Meffrs. Wigram and |
    | :---: |
    | Tbomas Noakes. Green, which you produced a few days fince, you defired to have back, on |
    | account of fome private memorandums of your own being written on it, under. | taking to produce a copy at a future time? - Yes.

    Is this paper which you now produce, fuch copy ?-It is, with fome additions.
    Explain what thofe additions are, and wherein this paper differs from the one you before produced?-There is one 800-ton hip, and one $\mathrm{t}, 200$-ton fhip contained in this paper, which were not in the other paper.
    Eaft India fhips ?-Yes.
    How came they to be omitted?-By miftake.
    That adds to the whole quantity of Eaft India fhipping built by the concern ?It does.
    Is that the only difference in the paper?-It is.
    Does that paper now contain a true account of the quantity of tonnage built for Government, for the Eaft India Company, and for the general trade? -It does.
    You have been in the employ of that concern for thirty years paft?-Three-andthirty years.
    That concern has not, during the whole of that time, belonged to the fame perfons? - No, it has not.

    Will you explain, from the period at which that paper commences, the hiftory of the concern; in whofe hands was the concern twenty years ago ?-In 1794, Wells and Co. carried on bufinefs at Rotherhithe, and Meffrs. Perry carried on bufinefs at Blackwall, each on their own account; in 1797, a junction was formed between thofe two houfes, and the bufinefs carried on in both thofe places till 1803 ; in 1803 the eftablifhment at Rotherhithe was fold to Meffrs. Barnard, and from that time forward the joint eftablifhment has been carried on at Blackwall alone. The papers which I have delivered in before, will explain on which of thofe eftablifments the fhips were built that are referred to in the account now delivered in.
    [The following Paper was delivered in, and read:]
    

    Mr. Adolpbus proceeded to fum-up the Cafe on behalf of the Ship Builders in the Port of London.

    Adjoumed till To-morrow one o'clock.

    # Martis, $24^{\circ}$ die Maii, $18 \times 4-$ <br> SIN ROBERT PEEL, BART. in the Chair. 

    MR. Adolpbus delivered in an Account of the men of all claffes employed in the Private Yards for building and repairing Ships in the river Thames, on Thurfday the 14th of April 1814, with the work carrying on in thofe yards on that day.

    Mr. Adolphur' concluded his Summing-up the Cafe on behalf of the Ship Builders In the Port of London.

    ## [The following Accounsts'were read:]

    ( 57 .) - Number of the Men, of all Claffes, employed in 23 Frivate Yurds on the River $\}$ Thames, for building and reparing ships, on Thauffay 14 April 18i4. .. $\}$ p. 433.
    $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { 458.) }+ \text { Nary Office Account of the Number of Ships of War, of all fizes, built in the } \\ \text { Private Yards at. The Out Ports, Ance the year 1792 - . . . . }\end{array}\right\}$ p. 434

    ## ACCOUNTS.

    $$
    -(57 .)-
    $$

    An ACCOUNT of the Ment, of all Claffes, employed in the Private Yards for Building and Repairing Ships in the River Thames, on Thurfday the 14th of April 1814; with the Work carrying on in thofe Yards on that Day.
    

    $$
    -\left(5^{8 .}\right)-
    $$

    $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nayy-Office, }\} \text { AN ACCOUNT of the Number of SHIPS OFWAR of all Sizes, built in the } \\ 14 \text { May } 18 x 4 \text {. }\end{array}\right\}$ built; with the Dates of the reveral Contracts, and the Prices per Ton paid for building the fame;-Ipecif whether thofe Ships were built within the times limited by the Contracts, by the original Contractors, or otherwife.
    

    Account of the Number of Ships of War Built in the Out Ports-continued.
    

    Account of the Number of Ships of War built in the Out Ports-continued.
    
    (icoritikered)

    Account of the Number of Ships of War built in the Out Ports-consinued.
    

    Account of the Number of Ships of War built in the Out Ports-tontinued.
    

    Account of the Number of Ships of War built in the Out Ports-centinued,
    

    Account of the Number of Ships of Waribuilt in the Out Porti-continued.
    

    The Ships againft whofe Name there is no oblervation in the laf Column, were not launched and delivered within the prefcribed time, although fome of them were'huilt within that time.
    F.J. HARTWELL,
    R. SEPPINGS,
    J. BOUVERIE

    ## XVI.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    ## Lune, $6^{\circ}$ die Junii 1814.

    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    ## Mr. Robert Sepping called in, and examined by Mr. Spankie.

    YOU are one of the Surveyors of the Navy, I believe?-I am. And have been in the public fervice for many years?-About thirty-three $\qquad$
    Robert Sepping. years ; from thirty-two to thirty-three years.

    You are now. a Commiffioner of the Navy?-I am a Commiffioner of the Navy.
    Has Government experienced any difficulty in procuring timber for the conAtrution of large fhips of war, of late years? -Yes, they have, confiderable difficulties.
    Has that difficulty occafioned any alteration in the mode of building large fhips of war ?-It has.
    For what parts of the fhip was the difficulty experienced?-In the procuring compals or crooked timber; alfo timber for large purpofes, fuch as the ftern-poft, the ftem kelfons, thick ftuff or thick plank, knees and other parts.
    Thick fuff is plank of four inches and a half thick and upwards?-Yes.
    And what is commonly called plank is three inches?-Four inches and under.
    Has that difficulty introduced any alteration in the mode of conftructing any parts of a hip?-Yes, it has; there have been various fubftitutes for knees and for compafs-timber; it has been formed by feveral pieces combined, inftead of one piece, to form the circle or fweep.

    Was this alteration adopted from choice, or in confequence of the difficulty experienced in finding crooked timber? - From neceffity.
    Large quantities of timber of courfe have been delivered in the King's-yards by the contractors, has the proportion of large timber been found confiderable in the quantities delivered ?-No; the proportion of large timber to fmall is very inconfiderable at prefent, and alfo of crooked timber.

    Committee.]-Is it much lefs than it ufed to be?-I conceive it is.
    Mr. Spankie.]-Have you known the building for the public fervice impeded by the want of crooked timber?-Very confiderably fo before the introduction of fcarpling, or making the compafs-timber.

    Does the alteration which has been adopted in confequence of that difficulty, lead to a greater confumption of timber, or does it tend to economife it?-It leads to a greater confumption.

    Of what fecies of timber does it lead to a confumption?-In fome degree the large timber, or that approaching to large timber; in certain parts of it, fmall may be applied.

    Upon what fpecies of trees, of fifty or fixty years growth, for inftance, will that confumption fall ?-Upon timber of fixty feet metings rough contents, I think, principally our rough timber is the circle formed into an octagon.

    Does this confumption fall upon trees that have reached their full growth, or what under that?-That I cannot afcertain, becaufe I conceive fome trees will never exceed a certain growth.

    You do not confider this the largeft fort of timber?-The timber that is made ufe of to fcarf, or form the circle, is of equal fize, as to dimenfions, to that which was applied before, when it could be procured.
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    Mr. Only that circle is made up of more parts?-Of more parts. of hedge-rows.
    You mentioned the deftruction of hedge-row timber, have you obferved that that has been confumed in a confiderable degree lately? - Yes, we conceive the great want of compars-timber has arifen from the great deftruation of hedge-row timber.
    Hedge-row timber, I believe, grows crooked ?-Generally fpeaking; more fo than in woods.
    In woods it grows ftraight?-Generally fpeaking.
    After timber has ftood its full period of growing, does. it continue to be fit in that fate for the purpofes of the Navy ? -Very large timber is more fubject to defects than timber of a fmaller fize; how long timber is in coming to perfection I cannot fay.

    Have you found the very large timber in fuch a ftate, as to be unfit for the purpofes of the Navy?-It is not received if it is thought improper for the ufes of the Navy, in the dock-yards; it is rejected.
    If timber is allowed to remain as ornamental timber, after it has lorig ceafed growing, is that timber fit for naval purpofes?-It is the general opinion that it is unfit.

    Are you acquainted with the timber produced in different counties of England, fo as to fpeak of its various qualities?-I am only acquainted with it from the delivery in the dock-yards; I am not in the habit of travelling from county to county.
    For inftance, the Yorkfhire timber?-That is generally fuppofed to be bad timber.
    Is Yorkfhire timber ufed in the dock-yards, if other timber can be procured ? -No, it has been forbid to be ufed in a late contract.

    In a late contract for building a feventy-four ?-Yes, near Hull, excepting, I believe, the timber grown in two places in Yorkfhire, which was permitted to be ufed, but not the reft.

    Nottinghamfhire was alfo objected to, I believe? -Yes.
    And prohibited to be ufed in the fame contrat, I believe ?-Yes.
    In confequence of the difficulty of procuritl Englifh oak, has any confiderable quantity of Foreign timber been ufed P-A confiderable quantity of Foteign oak and fir, fuch as pitch, pine, and other timber.

    What was the object of building the fir frigates, of which we have heard of late years?- It was to reduce the confumption of Britifh oak.

    Has fir been introduced as much as polfible into the repairs of fhips for the fame reafon ?- It has very principally of late years, and in fome particular fhips more than others.
    Has that arifen from the fame caufe?-From the want of mote durable materials.
    And the want of other timber?-And the fcarcity of the oak timber.
    There have been fóme contracts lately for building flips in the Out-ports, I believe?
    -When a contract is made, it is for perfons from all parts to tender.
    Some contracts have lately been formed in the Out-ports?-There have been no contracts fince I have been in the board, except for thips of a fmall clafs.

    In the year 1813?-Yes, there were for fmall fhips; brigs, and fore-hips; I believe there was a fifty-gun fhip building at the Out-ports in that year.
    Do you know whether tenders are invited from all parts generally? That is always the cafe.
    So that this tender might have been taken by the River builders, if they had thought fit?-Certainly; if their price was lower than others.

    Do you remember the period when a confiderable number of feventy'fours were contracted for by the River builders?-Yes.

    Was there any particular difficulty at that time experienced by Government in procuring timber for the King's yards? -The receipts in the King's yards are, in a certain degree, regulated by the contracts in the merchants yards; we have lefs timber comes to the King's yards when there are thips building in the metchants yards, than when there are not; I mean to confine that to large lhips principally.

    Do you mean to fay, that whilf the merchants yards are building the large clats
    : of hips, that Government experiences a difficulty in obtaining timber fit for building that clafs of hips?-Certainly.
    :Then in your opinion, in thofe cafes the competition of the private. yards is felt to be injurious by Government?-No doubt of it.

    - Can you tell us whether Goverriment has any large fupply of timber at the prefent moment, fit for the purpofes of feventy-fours, or orher building of that defcription ?-Government has a large fupply of timber, but a fmall proportion of that - fupply is applicable for large fhips:

    At the prefent moment i-At the prefent moment.
    In your opinion, has Government facility for building feventy-fours fcr its own purpofes, generally fpeaking, without the affiftance of the private yards?-I think, urider the prefent regulations, and thofe about to be made, that Government have.

    Committee.]-Do you mean to any extent that has been wanted heretofore? Yes.

    Or that is likely to be wanted again ?-I cannot tell what may be wanted hereafter, but I hould think equal to any thing that Government would want, or the country be able to man; I am fpeaking of thips of the line; I do not wifh to be undertood to fpeak of fmall craft and frigates, but thips of the line, which includes feventyfours and Ships above.

    Mr. Spankie.]-Do you give that opinion with reference to the extended fcale of naval warfare, which has been carried on within thefe laft few years?-Yes, I conceive if the late war had continued, Government would not have required any affifance of the merchants yards in hips of the line.

    Have, then, any regulations been adopted in the dock-yards, for the purpofe of pro. curing fupplies of workmen for Government, inidependent of fupplies from the private yards ?-Yes; by late regulations erery fourth man is allowed an apprentice, and thofe apprentices; after a certain fervitude, are under certain regulations, by which they have been brought forward fafter for work than heretofore.

    Will that tend to procure a greater fupply of workmen in the yards themfelves, than hitherto have been obtained?-It will, the apprentices being in a greater proportion to the number of workmen.

    Can you tell us what was the allowance of apprentices formerly ?-It was quite :uncertain formerly what proportion they bore to the workmen.

    But did it amount to fo large a proportion as that which you have mentioned, of one in four?-By no means.
    Can you tell from what parts of the country the Government dock-yards generally derive their fupply of Chipwrights, of courfe independently of what they produce themfelves?- From the different Out-ports northward, and from the different ports of the kingdom.

    Can you tell us whether any confiderable proportion of that fupply is obtained from the yards in the Thames? - No; to the beft of my knowledge, I hould think a very fmall fupply from the Thames.

    Can you tell us from what parts of the country the fupply of timber for Government has been procured, chiefly of late years?-I believe from every part of the kingdom; 1 cannot ftate the pats.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Have you any knowledge of this but what you derive from the per!ons with whom you contract ?-Yes, we have the purveyors reports.

    Mr. Spankie.]-You are acquainted with the mode of building, both in the Government yards and in the merchants yards?-I have been in the habit of condu@ting the building of hhips in the King's yards, and furveying fhips built in the merchants yards.

    > [Crofs-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

    You have ftated, in the early part of your examination, that you found confiderable difficulty in procuring large timber and compafs-timber? -Yes.
    I wilh to know in what way you go to work to procure timber for the Navy? -The timber is provided by contracts made by the Navy Board with different merchants.
    Are thefe contracts entered into in confequence of advertifements for open tenders?- I believe not in all iuftances, of late years.
    What is the latelt adyertifement that, within your knowledge, has been put in the papers for open conitracts for timber for the Nary? -There have been none' to
    $M r$.
    my knowledge fince I have been in the Board ; I have been a Commiffioner ever fince May twelvemonth.

    Before you became a Commiffioner, you were a furveyor?-I was mafter fhipwright of Chatham yard.
    Did that fituation enable you to know whether the timber was procured by open contract, previous to the period of your becoming a Commifioner?-I had nothing to do with it.
    Have you any means of fating within what number of years any advertifement has been put in by the Navy Board, for open tenders to fupply timber to the Navy?-No; I had nothing to do with it.
    Then as far as you know, the Navy have relied entirely on Mr. Larkins and Mr. Boucher, with whom they have had contracts for fome years back ?-I had nothing to do with it.
    I wifh to know upon what perfonal knowledge you fated to the Committee, there was greater difficulty in procuring this large timber?-My perfonal knowledge proceeds from experience; when I firt became an apprentice, (hips beams were in one, in many inftances, then neceffiry obliged us to have them in two, and then in three.
    Then you draw the inference from the practice having been introduced fince your time, of ufing a fmaller defcription of timber?-No; the timber in point of breadth or thicknefs, is the fame, but in point of length is lefs, and that is practifed by the merchants; and it is very much againft their intereft fo to do, for a man would not make in many pieces that which he could make in one.
    I meant to have afked fome queftions hereafter about the fize; but I wilh to have it underftood, whether you draw the inference of difficulty from the alteration in the ufe?-Certainly I do from the alteration in the ufe, and from the fubfitution of many pieces to make one.
    And you have no other perfonal knowledge which leads you to that conclufion, but what you have now flated to me; you have no knowledge by going through different counties and feeing the timber?-I have been through different counties, and I have feen the fate of the timber.

    You have flated that one of the alterations in the mode of building is, that from want of compafs or crooked timber, you are obliged to make a part of the frame, in which you formerly ufed compals or crooked timber, of more pieces than one, you fcarf it?-Yes.

    Did I underftand you to ftate, that for the purpofe of fcarfing, you ufed as large timber as you have formerly ufed, but not of the fame fhape? - Exactly fo.
    Is that fo to the full, that you ufe quite as large timber ,as you formerly ufed ?Not fo long, but of equal dimenfions, as to breadth and thicknefs.

    The fupply of compals and crooked timber, I underftand you to flate, has fallen off from a deficiency of the hedge-row timber? - Yes; it is generally underftood, that hedge-row timber is crooked, from being acted upon by particular winds.

    Then the pains that are now taking by the Commiffioners of Woods and Forefts, will not remedy that inconvenience? -The outkirts of all plantations will produce more compafs-timber than the internal part of them.

    Do you apprehend that this outkirt timber, as you call it, will create any future fupply of crooked timber, fo as to enable you at any period to refume the practice of getting compafs-timber in one piece?-I do not know that it will; compals-timber is very farce all over the kingdom.

    I afk you, whether the pains that are now taking by the Commiffioners of Woods and Forefts, will remedy the inconvenience that has arifen; you have now ftated to me, that the outfkirts will produce more crooked and compals-timber than in the infide ?-Certainly. -

    Will the outlikirts produce fuch a quantity of compals and crooked timber, as will enable you at any future period to refume the practice of getting compafs-timber in fingle pieces? -That is a queftion too far diftant for me to anfwer.

    That you cannot fate? -I cannot.
    Has any difadvantage arifen from ufing fcarf-timber initead of compafs-timber? It is certainly much more expenfive, and you are confuming a greater number of trees.
    You have told me, that the large timber is the timber that has principally fallen of ?-Certainly it is; that I fpeak from practical knowledge.
    But for the purpofe of fupplying the want of compals-imber, you are obliged to fcarf with large timber?-Yes; we fcarf with large timber, that is ftraighter.

    Do I draw a right inference from your evidence, in fuppofing that your ftatement
    leads to a conclufion, that more large timber has been ufed now by that than formerly ? - Certainly ; to form that timber, more is ufed, as there is a wafte in the fcarf.

    Then the quandity of large timber that has been ufed fince that practice was introduced, is greater than formerly?-The ufing of that timber is not confined to the forming of compass-timber, it is applied to the beam of the fhip, which was formerly in one; the bearn is fill fquare, as it was heretofore; and I beg to ftate, the beams were in one formerly; neceffity obliged us to have them in two, fill the thicknefs was the fame, then in three, and in fome inftances in four.

    In the building houfes, it is a thing afcertained in modern practice, that beams made of two pieces are flronger than the beams that ufed formerly to be made in one?-I do not think it; I am not of that opinion; but I conceive, that a piece of timber formed in that way, is frequently fuperior to a grain-cut piece, or a piece of which the circle is formed from its being grain-cut.

    But my queftion does not apply to grain timber, but to ftraight timber?-I am fpeaking of forming the compalstimber, that when it is in many parts, it is frequently ftronger than where it is formed out of grain-cut timber.
    Am I to underftand your laft anfwer to mean, that timber converted in fuch a way as to cut to the leaft wafte that is, where the fize of the tree is very nearly the fize you want for the beam, is not ftronger than timber cut out of the grain?-No; I am not confining my anfwer to the beam; certainly beam-timber is generally flronger in one ; circular timber formede is very frequently fronger, in many cafes, but certainly not béàn-timber.
    Then in your opinion beam-timber is Atrongeft, if it is die fquare, inftead of being made of more pieces than one ?-Certainly.
    Is that fo with mafts?-Certionly; I would have my maft in one, if I could.
    Then in your opinion, if you could get a fir fick of the fize of the lower maft, it would be ftronger than the fame fized maft made of different pieces put together?Certainly, if it was a perfect ftick.
    You have told my friend, that old ornamental timber you do not confider as good? -I did not confine my anfwer to old ornamental timber.
    Have you any criterion of judging of the value of timber, of the defrription about which you were afked by my friend, of whether it is fill in a found and perfect ftate?-Large timber is more liable to defects than fmall timber, over-grown timber, as we call it.

    But unlefs it is over-grown, you do not object to it becaufe it is large?-No, we do not object to any large timber unlefs it is defective.

    Have you, of late years, feen any quanity of that large defective timber?-Yes; I have feen fome three or four years back, a confiderable proportion of it, in Chatham yard.

    A confiderable proportion of that timber which had ftood beyond its growth ?It was large timber, and it had become defeative.

    As far as you could judge from its appearance, it had become defective from baving ftood too long?-Yes; becaufe it was defective chiefly in the heart, where timber firft decays.

    You have told me, that you do not know whether timber, for the ufe of the Navy, has been obtained within any number of late years by open contraat?-I cannot flate that; I was not in a fituation to enable me to anfiver that queftion.

    If it has not been fo obtained, of courfe you cannot judge what might have been procured, if the contracts had been open contracts?- I can only judge that large timber was not to be obtained by what the private builders were obliged to make ufe of; they were obliged to have recourfe to certain methods, as well as the King's yards.

    Then the inference you draw is founded on the fame principles as gave rife to your opinion before flated, the practice of the public yards ?-Yes; I thould fuppofe the large timber is very hard to be procured by the merchants yards as well as the public yards. When I firft came to the bufinefs, we ufed broad clamps for the large beams; afterwards neceffity obliged us to introduce two breadths and fhorter lengths; the plank that ufed to run to what we term a three port fhift, was obliged to run only to a two; the beam that was in one was then made in two, and the beam that was in two was made afterwards in three.
    Of the actual confumption of the private yards, of courle you have no information? - No, I have not.

    Do you confider the naval architecture improved of late years or not, as to the mode of putting a fhip together? $-\mathrm{No}, \mathrm{I}$ do not know that it is; I conceive that the

    Mr. old principle, where you had the materials in abundance, was equal to the prefent, or better than that which neceffity has obliged us to follow.
    Then you do not confider the prefent plan of faftening thips, is equal to the old ? -There are many different fubfitutes that have been introduced from neceffity.
    But my queftion is, whether the prefent mode of faftening, the moft improved mode of faftening, is as good as that which was adopted formerly ? - think, there aro fome of them equally good.
    Then from whatever caufe they were adopted, whether neceffity or any other caufe, you think them as good as the old mode? --Sotne of them are; I think fotme of the modes of attachment of the beams to the fides are fubltitutes for knee timber, I think are equal.
    I believe the fcarfing does not apply to the frame timber?-Yes, that is the circular timber that is fcarfed particularly; it particularly applies to the timber of the frames where the circular timber is ufed.

    What is meant by butting?-Butts are two pieces of timber that plainly come in contact with each other.
    Merely two pieces of timber coming end to end?-Yes.
    If they at all overlap with a view to make a crooked rib, you call it fcarfing ?-Yes, where two pieces are combined together, one overrunning the other,
    Were you not always obliged to ufe more than one piece of timber in making the crooked ribs of a feventy-four, as well in old times as now ?--No; I never faw any crooked timber formed by fcarfing till of late years.
    You mean to fay, that until of late years all the crooked ribs up ta, the part of the rib in which you could continue it in a ftraight form, was made in one piece ?-Na; the frame of a fhip is compofed of many pieces, the firt, the fecond, the third and fourth futtock are formed, fome of thofe timbers by pieces, paxticularly the fourth futtock ; that was in one piece, neceffity has obliged us in fome inflances (in ore of the yards in particular, that is to fay Chatham yard) to make of three pleces and of two pieces.

    Committee.]-What is the reafon of its being more in that yard than others ?There has been a greater proportion of building carried on in that yard, and I was partial to the plan, and introduced it more to get on with my works, that I might have the fame feafoning in the fame frame I made the timber as it came in.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Am I right in fuppofing, that in making the frame or rib timber of more pieces than one, you do not put the parts where they, join or the places where you make the fcarfs, fide by fide to each other, but that you interlace them?-The timbers are put fide by fide as heretofore, and the fcarf or made timber, is tranfverfe or athwarthip.

    How many fcarf timbers have you in a rib generally, now, upon the prefent cons ftrution ? -Only the moft difficult timbers are fo formed, the moft circular timbers are to formed.

    To what futtock does it principally apply ? - Principally the fourth from the bottom.

    Committee.]-There are four futtocks in all ?-Neceffity has obliged us occafionally to go to five, but generally there are four.

    There are four futtocks from the rib?-The futtocks are a component part of the rib.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Can you give, fome general idea, how, many fcarf timbers there may be in a feventy-four in the ordinary conftruction, where you have the fair fupply you have had of late years of timber?-Not exactly; probably there may be, in two or three hips, frames that are fo conltructed, at prefent about fify in a frame, or thereabout; I, allude principally to the fhips building now at Chatham.
    While the practice, continued of making the crooked and compafs pieces out of one tree, and before the introduction generally of fcarfing, were you, not often obliged to ufe overgrown timber, that in the prefent mode of building you putafide as not 50 good in point of Atrength and durability ? - 1 , believe, from the diffculty of procuring compafs timber, or circular timber, frequently timber has been allowed to $\mathrm{go}_{2}$ that, if better could have been got, would not in former
    times.

    I think you fald, with 2 view to equality of, feafon and expedition of wark , that
    you were an adrocate.for fcarfing, in its eáriy introduction ?-I was, becaufe I have known bips that were feven yeara in building, and probably one part of the frame has been provided in the firft year, a certain proportion two or three years afterwards, and fo on, from the difficulty of procuring certain pieces.
    How many years ago is the period to which you now allude ?-II remember one inftance particularly, on mg going to Chatham, in the Impregnable and the Warfite.

    How many years aga?-It das in 1803 .
    Of courfe what you have flated to us proves that the confumption of very large timber is in fome meafure faved by this fcarfing?-No $; 1$ do not think the confumption of large timber is faved by that mode, becaufe, as I obferved before, you require the fame breadth and thicknefs.

    With a view to get at the crooked and compals-timber, are you not obliged to get very large trees and cut a great deal to wafte, in converting a tree of that defcription to the purpofe for which you want to apply it ? - Certainly; if the timber grew ex: actly to the form you wanted to ufe it in, there would be no greater wafte in the converfion to that form than there would be in a fraight tree

    Am I to underftand, that in converting a piece of compafs or crooked timber to the purpofe for which you wanted $i t$, you were not obliged from the thickeft end to cut a great deal away to bring it to the proper fize for your rib ?-I believe, generally fpeaking, there is a greater wafte or confumption in procuring compafs-timber than there is in the ftraight, confiderably.

    If a compafs or crooked piece of timber was of a length fufficient for your purpofe, it would be of very different thickneffes at the two ends, and therefore at one end you will be obliged to cut a great deal to wafte, in order to bring it to your Qze?-Yes, there would be no great wafte, if the timber grew to exactly the form you required; but as that is not dften the cafe of courfe there is a greater wafte in procuring the compars, than the fraight timber.

    Then without going again to the queftion of fize, am I at liberty to conclude, that by fhortening the flifts and leffening the curvature, you have greater facility in conftruction, from being more readily able to get at pieces adapted to your purpole? -The fhift is not flortened, but the difficult timbers are fcarfed or made.

    Scarfed at the head?-No, in the middle.
    The contracts for building have generally been, I believe, Qpen contracts?- I know no inftance to the contrary.

    Do you know how many of the out-port builders who took the contracts at low prices, have failed in confequence?-No; I do not; many I know have; but I cannot flate to what extent.

    Is the fify-gun fhip which you allude to, as building at an Out-port called the Pelham ?-No, the is building by a man of the name of Pelham.

    Where is fhe building?-At Rochefter.
    Has the been built within her contrad time, or is the out of time?-Out of time confiderably.

    How long has fhe been on the @ocks?-I cannor fpeak pofitively, but I fhould think fomewhere about three years.

    In what ftate is the now?-They are now planking her, and geting, the beams in.

    You have ftated, that with the arrangements, at prefent made, and regulations about to be made, you think the public yards will be adequate to fupplying alf the 'hips of the line Government may want?-Yes, I think they will.

    Of courfe, I cannot wifh to afk any public fecrets as to what thofer regulationis may .be; but do you allude to the building of a newt dock-yard?-No, I do not.

    Do you think that in order to enable Government to build all their line of battle Thips, if the fame Navy, in point of fize and amount of veffels, was required that we have wanted, and had for fome years paft, the public yatds would be alfo equal to building all the large frigates and veffels of that defcription?-No, I do not think they would.

    Merely with a view to fhow the fize of the large frigates, what would you ftate to be the fort of average tonnage of forty-gun frigates, and eight-and-thirty-gun frigates? - Say 1,100 tons.

    Then to keep up the Navy to its prefent eftablihment, fuppofing it was wapted By a new war arifing, or any citcumftance of that fort, you muft telore to the dffifance of other yards for the building of large frigates and other veffels, to enable , Goyernment to build all their line of battle hips?-Yes.

    Mr. Now one word about the men; you have flated that the men that have been I want -When know from what fources of information you ftate that to the Committee?
    Which enables you to fee where he was bred ?-Exactly fo.
    Then your information applies only to the yard in which you yourfelf were engaged ?-And in other yards I was in before; I was in Plymouth yard and Sheernels yard.
    Have you any knowledge of the Woolwich and Deptford yards in that refpect ? I never belonged to thofe.
    Have you any knowledge of the Portfmouth yard?-I was there on duty eighteen months, but not to know any thing of that nature.

    What was your knowledge of Plymouth yard, with reference to this queltion ?I was in the Plymouth yard two or three-and-twenty years.

    What has been your length of knowledge of Chatham yard? -About ten years.
    Do you know any thing of the Navy Board having refufed offers of oak timber lately ? - No ; I am not aware of a circumftance of that kind.

    That has not occurred fince you have been a Commiffioner?-There have been offers made of timber, and I believe at this time there is an offer made of additional timber by one of the contractors.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    You have been afked a great deal with refpect to the different modes in which the ribs and frames are now made; can you flate, with reference to the former mode of building and the prefent mode, which of the two tends to the greateft confumption of ihip-building timber?-I hould conceive the prefent, certainly.
    Do you know in what refpect that greater confumption will affed the fucceffion of fhip-building timber ?-In my anfwer to that queftion, it will apply more to the planking of the fhip-beams, \&c. than the frame.

    You have flated, that you have obferved a fcarcity of fhip-building timber; do you draw that inference of fcarcity from what you have feen in the King's yards. and in the merchants yards?-From what I have feen in the King's yards and the merchants yards.

    As to the fupply of thip-building materials ?-Yés.
    In your opinion, has that fcarcity been vifible within the laft few years?-Particularly within the laft twenty years.

    From actual obfervation in the yards, you are enabled to fay that?-Yes; and from practical knowledge of the number of expedients which have been had recourfe to.

    So that without reference to the comparative merits of thofe expedients, in your opinion they confirm the fact of a fcarcity? -Yes.

    You have ftated, that in fome infances in the Out-ports, the time limited by contract has been exceeded by the builder?-Yes, I believe in many inftances.

    Do you know any thing of the fame kind in the River?-I am not aware of a circumftance of the kind in the River.

    Do you know whether the time was exceeded by permiffion of the public boards, or contrary to their permifion? -In fome inflances the public board has confented to the time being extended; and I believe, in the River, after the American war, that was the cafe.

    Can you fpeak of more recent periods?-No, certainly not in the River.
    You have flated, that in your opinion Government might be equal to the building of all the fhips of the line requifite, but not of the frigates; are you aware of the extent of the affiftance Government have derived from the building of teak hips in India ?-No.

    Have you feen any of the teak fhips?-I have feen one of the teak fhips.
    Do you confider thofe fhips a ufeful fupply?-Moft certainly.
    Both with refpect to materials and workmanfip?-Certainly.
    [Examined by the Committee.]
    Do you happen to know whether any tender has been made to fupply Government with all the oak it wants for feven years, at a lower price than it has hitherto paid? -No, I am not aware of that.

    Such an offer could not have been formally made without you, from your fituation, being acquainted with it ?-Certainly not.
    From an account that has been delivered in, it appears no thips of the line have been repaired in the merchants yards fince 1805 ? -No.

    Where have they been repaired ?-Such as have been repaired, have been repaired in the King's yards.
    Were they in the habit of repairing fhips of the line before?-No, I think not.
    Have you ever been in the habit, at any period, of repairing thips of the line in the merchants yards?-Yes; I believe in the year 1805, feveral thips were repaired in the merchants yards.

    Do you know any reafon why that has been difcontinued ?-I believe it has not been required.

    You think there is accommodation in the King's yards for the repair of all the King's fhips that may be neceflary ?-All the hips of the line.

    And you think that they will alfo be able to build all the fhips of the line that may be neceflary? -Yes, I conceive they will, if proper materials can be procured.

    Then as far as hips of the line are concerned, you deem the maintenance of the merchants yards not to be a particular object to Government?-That is my opinion.

    You have been' in the habit of repairing the fmaller fhips in the merchants yards, have not you?-Yes, from time to time, for many years paft.
    Have you done that from preference or from necellity ?-From neceffity.
    Do you find that the repair of them in the merchants yards, is more expenfive than in the King's yards?-I confider that it is more expenfive.

    A great deal of foreign timber has been imported into the country, with a view to Mip-building?-A great deal in the dock yard.

    And it has been increafing in point of quantity ?-Yes, I conceive fo.
    Does that arife from foreign timber being neceffary for the conftruction of thips, or fram any want of fupply from the home market?-Want of fupply from the home market.

    Have not the Government paid very high prices for the foreign timber imported? -Timber imported from South Amcrica has come very high.

    Are you aware from what other countries they have got fupply ?-The Adriatic, and the Baltic and Canada.

    Have they brought any mahogany?-None has been yet received.
    Is there any contrat for mahogany?-There is an agreement for mahogany.
    And all thefe efforts to obtain oak from foreign countries, have arifen from a belief that there is a want of oak in this country ?-Mot undoubtedly, and particularly oak of a large growth.

    You fpoke to fome regulations in the dock yards, which were likely to bring forward the apprentice fafter than they could have been brought forward fubject to the preceding regulations; what time was it before they were confidered as complete fhipwright before?-A great deal depended upon the ftrength of the youth and his abilities.

    Are you aware whether feven years were neceffary in all cafes to form a perfon as a hipwright?-No, the greater proportion of the apprentices in our yards perform men's work in their fourth year.

    Government receive the chief fupply of their fhipwrights from the Outports northward?-A very great proportion of late years.

    And comparatively very little from the Thames?-Very few frotn the Thames, as far as it has fallen under my obfervation.

    Then the only affiftance the merchant yards afford to Government is in building hips for which contracts are made?-Principally, 1 fhould conceive.
    Subfequent to the contract with Mr. Larkins, has not the timber been generally much better fupplied than it was formerly?-I think it has, under the great contracts.
    The price of timber has been increafing rapidly ?-Increafing rapidly.
    Can you attribute that to any other caufe than the demand being greater than the fupply ?-I hould fuppofe that is the caufe it arifes from.

    You have fpaken of confiderable alterations made in the fyftem of building; do not you confider thofe alterations to have been all made to meet the deficiency of the material?-Moft undoubtedly.
    In your fituation at the Navy Board, and in your previous fituation of a (72.) ${ }_{5} \mathbf{X}$ Survegor,

    $$
    \stackrel{M r .}{\text { Robert Sepping. }}
    $$

    ## MINUTES OF EVIDENCE ON PETITIONS

    Mir.
    Surveyor, you muft have had an opportunity of feeing a great many thips building, is your eftimat yards, whether you thinks built in the King's yards and thofe built m the merchants there is between them?-The thips built in the King's yards, generally fpeaking

    Does that fuperiority arife from the workmandip, or from the materials?-From the workmanthip.
    Is that the refult of your general obfervation?-It is the refult of my general ob. fervation.
    Do you know what the laft contract price was for building in the merchants yards? -To the befl of my recollection, it was $33^{l}$. 10s. I think that was the laft. I was not at the Board at the time.
    For flips of the line? -Yes.
    What do you eftimate the amount of building in the King's yards?-Trom the beft eltimate I could ever form, for a thip kept as correct as pofible in the King's -yards, it would be about 281 . per ton for a feventy-four.
    Have you ever formed any eftimate of the comparative duration and fervice between thofe built in the merchants yards and thofe built in the King's yards? -No,
    Do you think there is any differeice ?-Yes; I fhould fuppofe it would be in favour of thofe built in the King's yards.
    Do you know any thips of war or frigates that have been built in the Out-ports? Yes, many ; I have furveyed many.
    Do you conider them as good as thofe built in the private yards in the Thames? Yes, in fome inflances equally good in all refpects.
    And you are not aware that there is any reafon why they fhould not build as well in the Out-ports as in the Thames?-I know of no reafon.
    Do you know what prices you have paid per ton for fhips built in the Out-ports?-No; I cannot call the different prices given for different clafies to my recollection.
    Was it lefs than in the Thames?-I cannot fay; I believe, in fome inftances, it was.

    You have faid, it is your opinion, from general obfervation, that flips of war built in the King's yards are preferable to fhips built in the merchants yards, and shat on account of the fuperior workmanhhip in the King's yards? -Yes.

    When orders are given for the confruction of a hip of war in the merchants yards, is not an officer appointed on the part of the King, to fuperintend that con-frruction?-Yes.

    There is alfo a fecond officer to controul that firft ?-No; there is an officer appointed firft, called an overfeer, from the dock-yard.

    Defrribe the precautions taken on the part of the King for the conftruction of a thip in the merchants yards ? - When a fhip is contracted for by the Government, an overfeer or infpector is appointed; he has the general fuperintendence of the building of that hip, and occafionally the hip is vifited by the affiftant furveyor, or by one of the affiftants in the dock-yards, before certain payments are made.
    It is the duty of that afliftant furveyor who makes occafional vifits, to controul the procedure of the firft furveyor ?-Certainly; if he fees any thing that is improper, he has a power to condemn it, or defire to have it removed, or report it to the Board.
    Has not this fint overfeer, who is appointed to fee that the fhip is properly conftructed, power to direct her conftruction, in any particular point, different from the contract entered into between the merchant-builder and the King's commifioners, and alfo, if he fees any piece of timber about to be employed in her conftruction, which he thinks defective in quality, or of improper thape, to condemn that piece of timber, and forbid it to be ufed?-No; he has no authority to, alter her mode of conftruction, but he has authority to object to any improper materials.

    You fay, he has no authority to alter her mode of conftruction?-When I make ufe of the term confruction, I mean not as to the form, but as to the connecting the thip together.

    He has no authority to dired the particular way in which the fhall be put to-gether?-Certainly not.

    But if after the contract has been entered into to build the thip in a particular way, and the has been laid down and her conftruction proceeded upon in that way, if he

    Ifould, from any reprefentations that may be made, receive directions from the Board to order fome alteration in the plan of her conftruction, is not the merchant builder who has entered into the contratt, obliged to fubmit to that alteration?-The merchant builder is not obliged to fubmit to any alteration contrary to his original contract.

    Of that you are fure?-That has been the ufage, to the beft of my knowledge.
    And you fpeak pradically?-I feeak to what the ufage has been.
    Do you conceive yourfelf to know fo much as to be able to fpeak with certainty to it ?-The overfeer is not at liberty to direat effential alterations, trivial alterations he may order.
    If thofe which you call trivial alterations fhould, notwithftanding their being trivial, coft the merchant builder more than it would to have purfued exactly the original plan as coutracted for, would he fill be obliged to fubmit?-No; I have feen bills fent in by contractors to the Navy Board, when deviations have been made from the original contrac.

    Were thofe bills paid?-I cannot anfwer that.
    Did you know, or did you think, that the Board was obliged to pay thofe bills; or was it hoped they would be paid as matters of grace?-I fhould fuppofe that if the contractors made out a clear care, the bills would be paid.

    Of nceeffity ?-Of neceffity.
    You have faid generally, that hips of war built in the King's yards are preferable to thofe built in the merchants yards; was your opinion drawn from any oblervation of the longer duration of fhips built in the King's yards, than of thofe built in the merchants yards?-Yes, and their lefs complaints or defects.
    You have oblerved defects in hhips built in the merchants yards, after fome period of fervice? - Yes, of courfe after fervice, or they would not complain.
    Do you think that the materials employed in the merchants yards are inferior to thole employed in the King's yards?-No, I do not, in point of texture.
    Is not a thip, after the has been built in the merchants yards, overlooked before her launching by a fpecial officer fent for that purpofe? - Not particularly before her launching; but after her launching the is furveyed by the officers of the yard to which the is directed to proceed.
    Do they make ary report upon the manner in which fhe is put together? - Not as to the principle on which the is put together, that is directed by the Navy Board, but as to the manner in which that principle has been executed.

    Then if they fhould report that it has been ill executed, what would follow?-I have known that certain materials have been removed, or as it is commonly called fhifted, and others placed in their ftead.

    At whofe expenfe?-By the merchant builders.
    Was he paid extra for that ?-He paid the Government the expenfe the Government has been at, to make that good that he left defective.
    Then do the Board order thofe deficiencies to be fupplied by fome other perfon than he who undertook and performed the original contract?-Government perform that work themfelves.
    Then the expenfe which they have: been at in making perfect that which he left defective, has been deducted from the price which he was to have by the contract for building the Chips?-Certainly, thofe vifible defects, for that is all the officer can look at; a hhip may be very badly executed, and yet fair to the eye.

    Then as far as good work can be infured by proper controul, if a flip. .hould be ill put together in the merchants yards, it would not be the fault of the merchantbuilder, but of thofe employed to fuperintend the building?-The fault of both.
    Da you eyer find a lhip that has been built in the King's yards upon fervice come plain?-All fhips complain more or lefs.
    Do youtever find a hip built in the King's yards, complain fo much as to make you think fhe has not been fo well conftructed as they ufually are there?-No, I camnotbring to $m y$ recollection an inftance at prefent.

    Dos you think that all chips huilt in the King's yards, wear equally well, fuppofing them to meet with the fame good fortune of weather?-That depends upon the materials they are built with.

    Suppofing the: materials equally good?-No; I confider that fome of the King's yards penform.their worts better than others.
    Didy yourever known a fhip which was built in the King's jards, turn out fo ill that you would think the had been ill confruated? -I have known a. late inflance of a

    Mr. fhip, built in a King's yard, turning out very defective in materials, but not in
    workmanfhip.
    Then you never knew an inftance of a fhip fhewing by her wear afterwards that fhe had been ill put together, which had been built in the King's yards?-I cannot call to my recollection.
    But you think there is a difference in the King's yards, that fome put their fhips together with greater care than others ?-I think there is.
    You have faid that for want of natural compafs-timber of late years, the King's yards have been driven to the practice of fabricating artificial compafs-timber?-I have.
    Do you think that any token of an infufficient fupply of timber in general?-Of circular or compafs-timber particularly.
    Then you meant only to fay, that natural compafs-timber had become more fcarce than it was, and infufficient for the ufe of the King's yards?-Yes, certainly.
    And when you faid fo, you did not mean to fpeak of the fufficiency or infufficiency in general of timber to the fupply of the King's yards, or of the general demand of the country ? -I fpoke of that under another head.
    When natural compals-timber was to be had, and was ufed, was. it always exaclly the fhape it was wanted?-No, certainly not.

    Were not you often obliged, when a piece of compafs-timber fhewed that it would turn out of its compafs that which you wanted, to cut off a great deal of found wood which would have been ufful for other purpofes, in order to adapt that piece of compafs-timber to ufe?-There is a greater wafte in the converfion of compafstimber than there is in fraight.
    Is there more timber watted in converting a natural piece of compafs-timber to its ufe, than there is in fabricating out of ftraight timber a piece of compafs to fupply the fame ufe?-I fhould think there is a greater wafte in forming the compafs-timber of many pieces, than there would be in converting a piece that grew crooked.

    Then you think that in moft cafes it will take a lefs number of feet of timber to fabricate compafs-timber artificially, than to convert the natural compafs-timber to the fame ufe to which it is to be applied ?-It will take more timber to convert it artificially.

    You have faid that fir has been ufed of late years, both in the confruction of frigates and in the repair of fome fhips, in order to fave oak ?-Yes.

    Of what years do you fpeak, when you fay of late years?-Principally within thefe laft two years, in the conftruction of frigates; and in the repair of line-of-battle $\mathbb{C h i p s}$, wirhin the laft feven or eight years.
    Do you know what the prices of fir have been for the laft five years, fuch fir as was ufed as a fubititute for oak? -I believe fir has been up at $\mathfrak{\not x i 2}$. a load, and pitch pine $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{I}_{5}$.
    Ho you know what has been the price of the beft Memel and Dantzic, for the laft five years?-No ; Memel we do not ufe in the King's yards.
    What do you ufe in the King's yards?-Pitch pine and American fir.
    Only American fir?-We have ufed Dantzic fir and Riga.
    Do you know that Dantzic timber, about three years ago, bore the price of $\mathscr{E}_{15}$. a load in the River Thames?-We had no contracts at that time for Dantzic fir; we had fir from America at the time the Baltic was fhut.
    At what price was oak timber then per load ?-The price of oak timber varies according to the dimenfions of the tree.
    What was the price of 60 feet metings? abouts; I cannot fay exactly.

    Do you know what was the motive of Government, in ordering frigates to be built of fir, and other fhips of war to be repaired with fir at that time ?-To hufband up or fave the Englifh oak.

    Whence have you your knowledge that that was their motive? -I had no particular official knowledge of it; from general obfervation.

    Whence have you your knowledge, that that was their motive? I have no official knowledge, but I know it was for the want of oak that was applicable to the purpofes that we have ufed it in the King's yards; and I conceive the fame reafon to have prevailed in the merchants yards.

    But you fay, that oak of 60 feet metings, was to be had at that time at about 8 or $\mathfrak{E} 9$. a load; and you fay that American fir was from 10 to $\mathscr{E}$ I2. a load; how thould arife in the notions of the Navy Board a fcarcity of oak-timber particularly? -I never faid it was to be had ; the price of an article is one thing, and to have a certain quantity is another, at that price.

    Then do you think at that price there could not be procured a fufficient quantity of oak-timber to anfwer the purpofes of the Navy ?-I fhould fuppofe not.

    Do you fuppofe not, becaufe they ordered fir frigates to be built, and other fhips of war to be repaired with fir? - Yes; I fhould not fuppofe they would have flown to fir, and thereby ufe an inferior article, if a fufficient quantity of a fuperior could have been procured at the fame price, or any thing near it.

    But it appears, that the beft kinds of fir bore a higher price than fhip-building oak of 60 feet metings? -A piece of fir timber, probably at $\mathcal{E}_{1} 6$. a load, is cheaper than oak at $£ 9$. becaufe the fir is meafured as a fquare, and the other, as before, is defcribed.

    It would go fo much further as to make up the difference of price? - Certainly.
    You may get more wood for your money, in fir than in oak?-Yes.
    If £8. or £. a load for fhip-building oak is about equal to £ı. a load for fuch fir tinber as was ufed for the building King's fhips, what would be the reafon for preferring the fir to the oak, one not cofting more than the other ?-I conceive that the oak was not to be procured.

    On account of longer durability, at equal prices, the oak would be the preferable material ?-Certainly, very confiderably.

    You have faid before, that your reafon for thinking that oak was not to be procured, was, the board having ordered fome frigates to be built of fir, and other fhips of war to be repaired with fir ?-Yes; that and my general obfervation of the want of materials for years paft in the dock yards.

    Do you know at what price per ton the fir frigates were built?-I believe the fir timber was delivered by Gcvernment to the merchants at a certain price per load.

    Do you know what that price was?-No, it was before 1 came to the Board; I believe it was $\mathscr{E}_{12}$. but I am not pofitive.

    Do you know how the contract was made; whether it was made at fo much per ton for building the fir fip, and then the price of the fir ufed, at $\mathfrak{E}_{12}$. Fer load, to be deducted from the fum arifing out of $\mathscr{E}_{26}$. per ton upon the contract; or whether Government contracted to fupply the material, and then to pay fo much for conflructing the veffel?-I am not pofitive as to the price of the fr ; Government delivered the material at a certain price, and the merchant builders built the flip for a certain fum per ton; the merchant builders received fo much timber at a certain price, and whatever they ufed up, they paid for out of the contract.

    You do not know the exact price at which the contract was made per ton?-No, I cannot ftate it ; they varied in different parts; they were not all alike.

    You have faid that Government has the means of building fhips of the line, without reforting to the merchants yards in future?-I think they have.

    Do you fay, that fuppofing the war to have gone on, and the wear and tear of the Navy to have been equal to what it has been for the laft feven or eight years? I have anfwered that queftion before.

    You ftated before, that they had, with the accommodation they now pofiefs, and with other means which they had it in contemplation to conftruct?-Yes.

    Then you did not mean to fay that they have at prefent ?-I mean to fay, that they have at prefent.
    Have they lately then enlarged their accommodation for building fhips of the line? -In one yard we have two additional lips added for hips of the line, and in others it is in contemplation to add more.

    Then do you think that thofe fips which they have it in contemplation to add in other yards, will be fuperabundant, or only neceffary to the probable exigencies of the Navy for building and repairs?-I confider that Government will then have the means of providing a fufficient quantity of lhips of the line that may be required for the country.

    Then if they have, in fome degree, already increaled their accommodation for building fhips of the line, and project to make further increafe; and when that further increafe fhall have been made, they will then only have fufficient to meet the exigencies of the Naty, how can they be faid to have fufficient now?-I conccive even the prefent accommodation is fufficient, with the improved mode of working the people.

    Then if they fhould confruck more, which you fay they have it in contemplation to do, they will have more than they want?-No, they will not; but by having additional lijps, they will be able to conftruct fhips to greater advantage, as they will be longer building, and confequently receive greater feafoning.

    Then thofe @lips which are only projected and not yet executed, were not neceflary (72.)

    MF. to put the Board in a ftate to meet the exigencies of the Navy at prefent ?-I believe
    You have given it as your opinion, that a fimple maft is preferable to a compound one, provided the tree be unexceptionable?-Yes.

    Is that opinion of yours founded upon an obfervation of the duration of fimple mafts, compared with the duration of compound ones?-I mean both for ftrength and durability, becaufe'where mafts are compofed of many parts, the furfaces that come in contact are always fubject to decay.

    That you fay, upon having obferved that they did decay ?-Numbers.
    Then your opinion of the fimple maft being preferable to the compound one, is formed upon an obfervation of the wear of both ?-Upon the wear and upon the friction of the parts that are brought together.
    Is the fuperintendence that takes place in the merchants yards at all equal to that fort of fuperintendence that takes place in the King's yards ?-Certainly not.
    Is there any alteration in the planking of a fhip from what it was formerly?Internally the whole is omitted in fome particular fhips.
    But is there any alteration in the length of the planks ufed?-Within late years they are confiderably fhorter than they were heretofore.

    What is the reafon of that?-From want of long timber.
    Do you conceive the fhips equally ftrong with a hort plank as with a long plank ? -Certainly not ; the greater number of pieces; the weaker is the fabric.

    ## [The following Accounts and Pipers were read:]

    (59.) A. 1.-Money paid by the Eaft India Company, for building and Outfit of Slip in (60.) A.2.-Accounts of Expences of the Eaf India Company's nip Thomas Grenville, from her firt coft and outfit in India, to her 3d voyage from London
    (61.) A. 3.-Ships built for the fervice of the Eaft India Company ; 1793-1814
    (62.) A. 4.-Artificers permitted by the Eaft India Company to go to India, for the purpole of building Ships, \&c.
    p. $455{ }^{\circ}$
    ibid.
    P. 458.
    p. 460.
    (63.) A. 5.-India built Ships admitted to Britifh Regiftry fince 3 : ${ }^{\text {March }}: 814$
    (64.) A. 6.-Orders of the Eaft India Companys relating to Teak or Indian Wood Ships,
    (65.) B.-Award in the cafe of H. M. Ship Ajax, built by Meffrs. Brent
    (66.) C. x.-Overfeer's certificate for The Dublin.
    (67.) C. 2.-Mafter Shipwright of Woolwichr Yard and Affiftants, their Survey of The
    (68.) C. 3.- Do. Do. - upon the Faftenings of Tbe Rodney - - -
    (69.) C. 4.-Overfeers Certificate for The Rodney
    ibid.
    p. 46 r .
    p. 462.
    (70.) C. 5.-Mafter Shipwright of Woolwich Yard and Amiftants, their Survey of The
    (7x.) C. 6.-Money expended on the Hulls of The Rodney and The Dublin, after being ${ }^{-}$)
    launched $\quad$ - $\quad$, bing $\}$
    (72.) D. 1. 2.3.-Papers relating to defects in H. M. Ship Courageux
    p. 465.
    p. 466.
    ibid.
    ibid:
    p. 467.
    ibie.
    (73.) E. 1.2.- Do. - Do. in H. Mi Ship Blake - - ibid:
    (74.) F.-Extract of Leiter from the Chatham Officers, relating to H. $\dot{M}$. Ship The
    (75.) G.-Certificate of Survey of the Mafter Shipwright' of "Woolwich Yard and his

    Affiftants for H. M. Ship Ardent ; built by Mr. Thomas Pitcher -
    (76.) H.—Ships and Veffels in H. M. fervice, launched from the Private Yards in the $\}$
    (77.) I.-Rough Oak Thames; and alfo Ships, \&tc. now building
    p. 469.
    p. 471.
    ibid.
    p. 472.
    (78.) K.-Ships and Veffels built in' the by The Ordnance Board; 1806-1813
    p. 48 I .
    (79.) -Ships built, building, or ordered ports of Sunderland and Tyne; 1786-1813 -
    (80.)--Ships of the Line bult in the Merchants Yards in the fiver Majelty's Service $18 \mathrm{I}+$ inclufive $\quad-\quad$ Yata in the river Thames, from 1793 to $\}$
    (81.) -Shipwrights employed in the King's ${ }^{-1}$ Yards, in each Year, from ${ }^{\prime} 793$ to 1814 p. 486: ibid.
    (82.) -Shipwrights entered in the' King's Yards in the prefent year (1814.) ${ }^{1} 14$ - P. 488.
    (83)-Expences for repairing Shing of ibid.
    (84.)-Ships in the fervice of Government repaired in Merchants Yards in the river Thames; 1793-1814 $\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad\}$
    
    p. 489.
    ibid.
    Yards; 1803-1812 $\quad$ -
    (87.)-ENGRAVING of a Modsi;, referred to in the Evidence of Mr: Henry Dazes, $\}$

    ## ACGOUNTS AND PAPERS.

    $$
    -(59 .)-\text { A. . } .
    $$

    An ACCOUNT of the Monsy paid by The East India Compant for the Building and Outfit of the Ships which they have built in India for the Company's Service;-diftingurhing each Ship, and when and where the fame was built.
    1808. Thomas Grenville, built at Eombay - - Expenfe Rupees - 3, 59,488 .
    3810. Charles Grant, built at Bombay -

    ```
    Eaft India Houle,
    ```

    WM.WRIGHT
    Auditor of India Accounts.

    $$
    -(60 .)-A .2
    $$

    Aa ACCOUNT of the Firf Colt and Outfit in India, of the Ship Thomas Grenville, of 886 Tons barthen;-diftinguifhing the Expenfe of the Hull, Stores, Advance of Wages, Provifions, \&c. with the Amount of Freight made on the Voyage from Bombay to China, and thence to London; calculating at the Average Rate of Freight paid to other Ships, on the Cargo fhe brought home.
    

    An ACCOUNT of the Expenfes of Repairs, Outfit, \&c. of the Ship. Thomas Grenviner, of 886 'Tons burthen, on her Firf Voyage from Londen, together with the Freight home; calculating at the average Rate of Freight paid to other Ships, on the Cargo the brought home; and an Account of the Expenfes of all kinds for the whole Voyage.
    

    An ACCOUNT of the Expences of Repairs, Outfit, \&c. of the Thomas Grenvilee, of 886 Tons burthen, on her Second Voyage from London, together with the Freight home; calculating at the average rate of Freight paid to other Slips, on the Cargo the brought home; and an Account of the Expences of all kinds for the whcle Voyage.

    |  | $\begin{array}{rrrr}8 & s . & d \\ -1,878 & 8 & -8 \\ 754 & 14 & 8 \\ 625 & 15 & 3 \\ 3,245 & -1 & -181 \\ 1,181 & 2 & 2 \\ 201 & 7 & 3 \\ 175 & 11 & -1 \\ 756 & 11 & 1 \\ 819 & 19 & 7 \\ 542 & 15 & 7 \\ 719 & 19 & 6 \\ 707 & 6 & 4 \\ 5,771 & 17 & 9 \\ 492 & 9 & 1 \\ 1,991 & 14 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}\boldsymbol{6} & \text { \% } & d . \\ 12,743 & 17 & 7\end{array}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Homewards. |  | 32,608 7 - |
    | To Amount of Commander's difourfements on the Voyage <br> Do. Sundry dißburfements during the Voyage <br> Do. Expences incurred in England <br> Do. Wages paid after the Ship's clearing, including $\}$ <br> Do. Stores, \& c. received at Bengal <br> Do. Do. received at St. Helena <br> Do. Eaft India Dock dues <br> Do. Goods damaged and fhort delivered | $\begin{array}{rrr} 2,315 & 5 & 7 \\ 419 & 12 & 6 \\ 574 & 2 & 8 \\ 4,237 & 4 & 1 \\ 202 & 13 & 7 \\ 68 & 14 & 8 \\ 533 & 8 & 7 \\ 42 & 18 & 2 \end{array}$ |  |
    | To Balance | - - - | $\begin{array}{r} 8,393 \\ \hline 41,002 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 609 \\ 90 \end{array}$ |
    |  |  | 41,912 6 |
    | By Paffage and Diet of Military <br> Imprefs <br> Bounty and Manaing <br> Old Stores fold | $\begin{aligned} & 1,218 \text { 二二 } \begin{array}{l} 3,544 \\ 1,107 \\ 50 \\ 569 \\ 10 \end{array}= \end{aligned}$ | 6,439 - - |
    | Homewards. |  |  |
    | By Amount of War Contingencies | $\begin{array}{cc} 14,020 & 19 \\ 2,088 & 14 \end{array}$ |  |
    |  | 1,171 5 - |  |
    |  | 2,30318 |  |
    |  | 58113 |  |
    |  | 6,441 17.6 |  |
    |  |  |  |
    |  | 1,710- |  |
    |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,133 \\ 245 \end{array} 116$ |  |
    |  |  | 35,473 6 |
    |  | $\pm$ | 41,912 61 |

    An ACCOUNT of the Expences of Repairs, Outfit, \&c. of the Thomas Grenville, of 886 Tons burthen, on her Third Voyage from London.
    
    (61.)-A. 3 .

    An ACCOUNT of all Surps with their Tonnage, buile for The Service of The, Eaft India Company, in each Year, from ${ }^{1793}$ to 1814 ;-diftinguifhing the Places where the fame were built.

    REGULAR SHIPS.
    

    Regulaz Seips-continued.
    

    THE above is an Account of all the Ships that have been built exprefly for the Eaft India Company's Service, from 1793 to 1813 .

    $$
    (62 .)-\text { A. } 4 \cdot
    $$

    As A CCOUNT of Artificers who have been permitted by the Court of Directors of The Eaft India Company, fince the if January 1780 , to go to and refide within the Come pany's Territories in Afia, for the purpofe of being employed in the Building of Ships, and in the Manufacture of Ropes, Cordage, and other Naval Stores.

    | 1798. | January 3ift - William Gott | permitted to proceed to Bengal, to practife in his profeffion as a Shipbuilder. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1801. | March 3ift - Edward Porter, William Holgate, Simon Blustead, William Reynolds, Mark Everson, and Joseph Seymour | fent to Bengal, for the purpofe of teaching the Natives to prepare Hemp for Roping. |
    |  | November q $^{\text {th }}$ - Thomas Hawins | permitted to proceed to India, to practife as 2 Shipbuilder. |
    | 1805. | March 27th - Frederick Garling | permitted to proceed to Prince of Wales' 1Land, to be employed in the Dock-yard there. |
    | - | July 3ift - Two Perfons | permitted to proceed to Prince of Wales' INand, at the requett of the Navy Board, for the purpofe of working a Steam Engine. |
    | 1808. | Auguft soth - Alexandrz Burx | permitted to proceed to Bengal, to practife as a Shipwright and Boatbuilder. |
    | 88 r 2. | January 15th - Mr. Weilington | permitted to proceed to his Appointment as Mafter Shipwright, at the Naval Yard at Madras. |
    | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 24th - Andrew Richard, an } \\ \text { James Archinbele }^{\text {and }} \end{gathered}$ | rmitted to proceed to Bengal, for the purpofe of affifing Meffrs. Kro, Shipbuilders. |

    $$
    (63 .)-\text { A. } 5
    $$

    An ACCOUNT of India-built Ships admitted to Britifh Regiftry, fince the 3 If March 1814, to the lateft period the fame can be made up.

    | SHIPS NAMES. |  | Where bullt. |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE } \\ \text { REGISTR } \end{gathered}$ |  | TONS. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Countefs of Loudon | - | Chittagong |  | - | April 9, 1814 | - | 481375 |
    | Marquis of Wellington | - | Calcutta | - | - | May 6 |  | 6363 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$. |
    | Swallow | - | Do. | - | - | - 16 |  | 353 $\frac{6}{9}$ 9 ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |
    | Beengal Merchant | - | Do. | - | - | $-16-$ |  | 47785 ${ }^{\frac{8}{4} \text {. }}$ |
    | Radnor | - |  | - | - | - 16 | - | $478 \frac{8}{9} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{4}$. |
    | Henry Wellelley | - | Do. | - | - | - 20 - | - | 301598. |


    T. E. WILLOUGHBY,
    $$
    \left(\sigma_{4}\right)-A . \sigma_{.}
    $$

    Copies of feveral ORDERS on Inftructions of the Court of Directors of the United Company of Merchants of England trading to The Eaft Indies, which have, fince the $4^{\text {th }}$ May 1810, been fent or tranfmitted to their refpective Governments in India, for freighting Ships built with Teak or other Indian Wood, for Conveyance of the Private or any other Trade to England; under the exprefs condition or otherwife, that fuch Ships hould not return to India, but be fold in England.

    ## Extract Commercial Letter to Dombay, dated 4th May 1810 .

    Para. 15. And in cafe our governments of Bengal or Bombay thall judge fit to grant permifion for Country Ships being fent to England, the fy Aem laid down in the 8th para. of our Letter to Bengal of the 21 ft April 18c9, muft be continued; namely, that it be conditioned with the Owners, that they Chall fupply the Ships with the whole of the Cargoes, as well as of heavy goods as light, inftead of the former practice of looking to the Company to Yupply Sugar or Saltpetre for ballaft; the hips muft therefore be chartered to the Company, and then relet to the Owners; but no thip is to be chartered, unjefs the Owner, or the Owner and other Merchants jointly Alipulate to furnifh 2 Cargo previouly to the Mip being engaged. It may neverthelefs be permitted that our Bengal governmeqt may lade Saltpetre, Sugar, or other of the Compang's gruff goods, upon freight, fhould the terms be reafonable and it be convenient fo to do.
    16. We have, upon former occafions, infifted upon the duty of treating the native feamen with kindnefs and humanity, and have laid down the outlines of rules for equipping them with clothes, furnifhing them with proper bedding, with roomy lodging or births on board the lhips, with a fufficiency of wholefome food, with proper medicines, and with every thing neceffary to keep them in health. Thefe particulars we now again briefly notice, with a ftrict and abfolute injunction, that the births for the native feamen be more roomy and convenient than is oftentimes the cuftom in country fhips; and that it be a claufe in all the charter-partes, that a port clearance fhall not be granted, fhould the government general, or government of Bombay, be of opinion that there is not a fufficient fpace between decks for the accommodation of the feamen.
    17. It mult be a condition in engaging thefe country thips, that the Owners fhall be at liberty to rell them in England, and therefore no thips can be taken up which are not entitled to a Britifh regifter; and in cafe the Owners fhall not fee proper to fell the thips in England, the Company muft have the option of loading them with a return Cargo to India, at a rate of freight not exceeding what the Company charge to individuals for goods laden on extra hips in the fame feafon; and in cafe the fhips 'hall be returned to India, the Owners Ghall be required to offer them on freight to the Company, allowing a period of thirty days after the thip thall be cleared of her cargo, to receive the Company's anfwer: and chould the Company decline to fupply freight, that the Owners fhall be at liberty to load back fuch goods as the Court of Directors, upon application, may fee proper to allow.

    ## Extract Public Letter to Bombay, dated 6th September 1813.

    44. You will alfo obferve, that the 3oth Section of the Act continues, until the ift day of Augutt 1814, the provifions of the ACt 35th Geo. III. cap. 115\% relative to fhips built within our territories, or in the ports under the protection of the Britifh Alag in the Eaft Indies, and taken up or licenfed by us, which provifions were by the Atz 42 Geo . III. cap. 20 . limited to the duration of our exilling term; viz. to the 10th April next.
    45. The fame Section empowers His Majefty to authorize any of his fubjects to import and export in thips built as aforefaid, with the ufual exception as to tea, and as to the dominions of the Emperor of China.
    46. You will receive herewith a copy of the Order in Council, which on the 14 th inftant, His Royal Highnefs the Prince Regent was pleafed to iffue in purfuance of the powers vefted in him by the Act; and you will govern yourfelves accordingly, in permitting the entry and clearance of fuch fhips at ports in India; obferving the feveral regulations impored by the Ad.

    ## Extract Public Letter to Bombay, dated 31a December 1813.

    (Circular.)2. We have permitted the country built thip, Mangles, (late the Sir William Burroughs) to return to Bengal, and the. Hannah to Bombay, on account of their refpective owners, notwithftanding the engagements entered into by the Owners in $\frac{\text { Bengal }}{\text { Bombay }}$ that the fhips fhould be fold in England; and, as a fecial indulgence, have permitted them to export feveral articles, in addition to the goods ufually allowed to be fhipped under the licences grantel to country fhips bringing cargoes to Europe, with permifion to return to India; by which licence it is ftpulated "that in the event of the Company declining to load the fhips on " their return to ladia, the owners fall be at liberty to load them with any goods, the growth, (72.)

    6 A
    ' produce
    "produce or manufacture of The United Kingdom of GreatBritain and Ireland; Naval and " Military Stores excepted."
    3. We forward numbers in the Packet lifts of fuch articles of the before-mentioned defcription, as we have permitted to be laden on board the frips above-mentioned, and we direct, that if it fhould appear, upon the arrival of any of thofe thips at your Prefidency; that other articles have been received on board beyond thofe allowed to be thipped under the ufual ficence, or thofe mentioned in lifts tranfmitted herewith, that the fame be feized and difpofed of on the Company's account.
    4. Having permitted the Indian-built Thip Jara to proceed to Bombay with a cargo, on account of the owners, with the exprefs condition, that the cargo thall confift of goods, the growth, produce, or manufacture of Great Britain or Ireland, with the exceptiou of Naval or Military Stores, We dired, that if upon the arrival of the Java at your Prefidency, it frould appear that any other articles hould have been taken out in that thip, the fame be feized and fold for the benefit of the Company.
    5. We tranfmit a number in the packet, a lift of the articles which have been entered outwards at the Cuftom Houfe for the purpofe of being laden on the Java for the Cape of Good Hope, and hare further to remark, that notwithftanding the exception to Naval Stores, as noticed in the foregoing paragraph, we have permitted ifty tons of chain cables, with fwivels, \&rc. belonging thereto, to be exported on the Java to Bombay.

    $$
    -(65 .- \text { B. })-
    $$

    ## Copy of AWARD in the Cafe of His Maje:ty's Ship Ajax, built by Mefrs. Brent, under Contract with the Commiffioners of the Navy.

    TO an to whom thele prefents thall come, greeting, Whereas an action was lately come menced and profecated in the court of our Lord the King, before the King himfelf; wherein Sir Andrew Snape Hammond, baronet, Sir John Henilow, knight, (who had furvived George Marth, efquire, deceafed,) were the Plaintiffs; and Johu Brent, Samuel Brent, and Dauiel Brent, (who had furvived John Randall, deceafed, were the Defendants; to recover Damages againft the faid Defendants for the non-performance of a certain agreement in writing, made between the faid John Randall, John Brent, Samuel Brent, and Daniel Brent, in the life-time of the faid John Randall, deceafed, by the partnerihip file, firm, and defcription, of Meffieurs Randall, Brent and Sons, of Rotherhithe, in the Cuunty of Surreys fhipbuilders, of the one part, and the faid Sir Andrew Snape Hammond, Sir John Henflow, and George Marth, in the life-time of the faid George Marfh, deceafed (the faid Sir Andrew Snape Hammond, Sir John Henlow ${ }_{i}$ and George Marh deceafed, being, at the time of making the faid Agreement, three of the principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majefly's Navy) for and on behalf of His Majefty, of the other part, for the building, in manner in the faid Agreement mentioned, of a third rate chip of war for His Majety, to carry feventy-four carriage guns, by the faid Agreement contracted to be built by the faid John Randall and the faid Defendants, in their Yard at Rotherhithe, in a fubftantial and workman-like manmer, and with good, found, and proper materials of every kind, to be approved of by fuck officer or officers as fhould be from time to time appointed by the principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy for the time being to Iupera intend the fame; in which faid action the faid Plaintiffs, by their faid Declaration charged; that the faid John Randall and the raid Defendants, in the life-time of the faid John Randall, not regarding their faid Agreement did not build the faid thip in a cubftantial and workman-like manner, and with good, found, and proper materials of every kind, but that on the contrary thereof the faid John Randall, and the Defendants, built the faid fhip in a bad, infufficient, and unworkman-like manner, to wit, in the caulking thereof, and for want of fufficient tabling, and in the bending and bringing to the fhip's planks, knees, and other timbers thereof; and alfo ufed and applied, in and about the building of the faid thip, divers large quantities of iron, oakum, and other materials of very inferior qualities, and divers large quantities of timber which was greatly forced, grain cut and fappy, rent, fhaken and unfound, contrary to the faid Agreement: And whereas the faid Defendants having pleaded to the faid action the General IGue, the fame lately came on to be tried at a fitting of Nif Prius, held at Wefminfter Hall, in the Great Hall of Pleas there, in and for the county of Mid dlefex, before the Right Honourable Edward Lord Ellenborough, Chief Juflice of our Lord the King, afligned to hold Pleas before the King himfelf, whereupon it was then and there ordered by the Court, by and with the confent of the Plaintiffs and Defendants, their counfel and attornies (amongt other things) that the Jury thould find a verdict for the Plaintiffs, damages forty thoufand pounds, and cofts forty faillings, fubject to that oider, and the Award to be made purfuant thereto; and that all matters in difference between the parties thould be-referred to the award, order, arbitrament, final end and determination of George Sowley Holroyd, of Gray's Inn, Efquire, To as he fhould make and publifh his Award in writing; of and concerning the premifes in queftion, or or before the ad day of Eafter term then nest enfuing, and that the faid parties fhould perform, fulfil, and keep fuch Award, fa to be made by the faid Arbitrator as aforefaid; and it was allo ordered, by and with fuch confent as aforefaid, that the colls of the caufe thonld abide the event and determination of
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    the faid Award and that the conts of the reference fhould be in the difcretion of the faid Arbitrator, who fhould diredt and award by whom and to whom and in what manner the fame ©hould be paid; and it was (amonglt other'things) likewife ordered, by and with fach confent as aforefaid, that the Plaintiffs and Defendants refpectively ihourd be examined npon oath, to be fworn before the faid Lord Chief Juftice, or fome other Juftice of the fame Court of our Lord the King, before the King himfelf, if thought neceffary by the faid Arbitrator; And whereas the time limited by the faid order for making the faid Award has been by the confent of the faid parties duly enlarged until the latt day of this prefent Hilary Term: Now, therefore, $I_{\text {, }}$ the faid George Sowley Holroyd, having taken upon myfelf the burthen of the faid Award, and having heard the faid parties and their allegations, proofs and witneffes, and having attentively examined and confidered the fame, do nake my Award of and concerning the premiles teferred to me as aforefaid, as follows, that is to fay, I award, adjudge and determine, That the faid agreement was made as in the faid declaration mentioned, and that the faid John Randall, and the faid Defendants, built, in their Yard at Rotherhithe aforefaid, a certain hip or veffel called the Ajax, for his faid Majefly, as and for the Thip contracted for as aforefaid, under the infpection, fuperintendence, and direction of Edward Hardefty, now deceafed, who was appointed the overfeer thereof on the part of His Majefty, conflautly to attend to infpect and fuperintend the building thereof, and to give direations concerning the fame, and to caufe all defects and infufficiences to be amended or altexed, as the cafe might require, to his fatisfaction or good liking, according to the faid agreement, and under the furvey of Binmer, now alfo deceafed, an alfitant-furveyor of the Navy, who ufually attended to infpect and examine the fame twice a week or oftener, and under the fuperintendence of the Plantiff, Sir John Henfow, who likewife ufually attended for that purpofe about once a fortnight, and fometumes not fo often; and that the fame was, on the 3d day of March 1798, launched and delivered afoat to certain officers of His Majefty's Dock Yard at Deptford, who thereupon furveyed the fame; and that the fame was, on the 19th day of April then following, docked in His Majefty's Dock Yard at Woolwich. With reipeet to the caulking of the faid thip, it has been proved before me, that the caulking thereof, on the return of the faid hip to Portfmouth, in November 1798, from a cruife off UMant (wherein the had met with a heavy gale of wind, and a fea had ftruck her, and broke the knee of her head) was found to be llack and defective in particular places: and that the witneffes who examined and furveyed the fame on the part of His Majefty, in their judgment thought and believed the fame to have arifen in a great meafure from an original bad and defective ftate of the caulking; but it being proved before me, not only that in order to try the original ftate of the caulking, feveral pieces had been cut out of the Ghip's fide in the Defendant's Yard at Rotherhithe, whilf one was building, from fuch parts thereof as were directed by the faid averfeer, appointed on the behalf of His Majeity to attend to and overfee the building of the faid Mhip, and by the faid allutant furveyor, and bad been approved of by them; and fome of thofe pieces, being fuch as they thought fit, had been fent as fpecimens of the caulking to the Commiffiners of His Majeft's Navy, but alfo that the caulking of the faid thip, foon after the was launched, was tried by His Majefty's caukkers at Woolwich, in the dock there, in the ufual and proper manner, by horfing up and recaulking the fame, and that on fuch trial there not only was no complaint, but on fuch trial and recaulking the took lefa oakum than chips of her fize arfually had done s and was on their completing her, in the judgment and belief of thofe caulkers, tight all over, and caulked in a workman-like manner; that after fuch recaulking, and being coppered, the faid fhip had repeatedly grounded at Woolwich, on fome moaring chains there ; and that the copper, on her return from her faid cruife to Portfmouth, appeared to have been rubbed off her bottom, and her caulking under the fame lackened, whech sras not, as it appears to me, 10 be attributed to any other caufe than to fuch groundiag, and that the leak which appeared at Woolwich on her fo grounding, and which continued till her faid return to Portfmouth, was principally in that very part: Confidering too the evidence as to the ftate of that leak continually from the time of ber being at Woolwich, until her return as above to Portimouth, and alfo the evidence as to the labouring and fraining of the faid Bip duxing her faid cruife, and the probable effect thereaf upon the caulking; and attentively confidering all the evidence given, not only by the foreman and feveral of the caulkers, who originally caulked the Mip in the Defendants Yard at Rotherhithe, but allo chofe who tried and secaulked her at Woolwich, and thofe who recaulked her again at Portfimouth, and by the other witneffes on both fides, who examined the fame, it appears to nue, that it is not fufficiently eftablifhed in proof, that the faid flack and defective fate of the caulking of the faid thip at Portmouth was owing to any originally bad unworkmanlike or defective ftate of the caulking thereof, but it is to be attributed to other caufes.a And I award, adjudge and determine, that the faid Plaintiffs had not nor have any caufe of action againt the faid Defendants, for or in refpect of the caulking of the faid thip. With xefpect to the knee of the head of the faid thip, which was broken by the gale of wind as aforefaid, and as to the tabling thereof notwithotanding the appearance thereof upon the furvers taken upon the faid ghip's faid return to Portfmouth, and the judgment of the perfons making thofe furveys, arifing from what could then be difcovered of the fate theroof; it appears to me (from the evidence of the perfon who worked the knee of the head for the faid John Randall and the faid Defendants, and of a witnefs examined for the faid Plaintiffs, who was emploped on the behalf of bis faid Majefty to take the knee of the head to pieces, and upon attentively confidering the whole of the evidence, not only as to the fublequent flate and appearance of the fame, but alio as to the original ftate of the timber that compofed the knee of the head, and as to the directions of the faid overfeer of the Ihip, with refpect to the tabling of that knee, and as to the infpection and examination
    which the faid overfeer, and the faid affiftant furvepor, and the faid Sir John Henllow had had and made of the fame before, and immediately or very foon after the fame was framed and put together) that neither the timber nor the tabling or workmanGhip of the knee of the head of the frid hip, is proved, or is to be deemed to have been originally bad, unworkmanlike or defective: And I award and adjudge, that the fiid Plaigntiffs had not nor have any caufe of action againft the faid Defendants, for or in refpect of the faid knee of the

    Knees, Timbers, and Planka.

    ## Throating, \&c. of the Knees.

    Dry Rot,
    2nd Hair and Tar between the Scarphs. head, or the tabling or workmanthip thereof. With refpect to the rends, fhakinefs and rappiners of the knees and limbers and plonks of the faid thip, ir has been proved in beginning of the year 1802, the fame appeared er faid thip from the Mediterrancan in the inely eat up or confumed with thany of them to be rent, hakey and fapps, and deftruction in the thip, many of the knees alio have been alledged great progrefs greatly throated and grain-cut; but attentively confidering the evidence laid have been with refpect to the following particulars, viz. the actual and probable effect of the die, (in which the flip had been) upon her knees, timbers and planks, more efpecill climate that were expofed to the fun, the flock of timber and knees which the faid Jonn P thofe and the Defendants had in their faid yard at Rotherhiche, before and at and durandall time of building the faid thip, the felection of fuch as were applied to and ung the the buiding of that mip, many of the knees and fcantings being of larger ured in than required by the contract, their actual flate and condition when fo ufed and andions the means which the overfeer and the affiftant furveyor as a check upon him applied Plaintiff, Sir John Henllow, as a check upon both, had of feeing and difcovering the as were defective, their power of rejection, the actual infpection and examination of the fame both by Hardefty, Binmer, and Sir John Henlow, and the approbation of the latter, expreffed with refpect to the knees during the progrefs of the work; confidering too the evidence given by the niipwrights who furveyed the fhip in the years 8802 and 1803 , on the behalf of His Majelty, and the evidence given by other dxilful petfons with refpect to the certainty or uncertainty, whether the rends, fappinefs and hakinefs of the timbers and knees, arofe from fuch original defects as were difcoverable by the Defendants; confidering too, that if they were difcoverable by them, they were difcoverable alfo by Hardefty and Binmer, and in many inftances, if not in general, by Sir John Henlow, during the time of building the veffel; confidering too the evidence of Sir John Henlow himelf, who was examined on oath before me on the part of the Defendants; and particularly confidering and attending to all the other evidence given in the caufe, with refpect to thefe points, it does not appear to me that the timbers, knees or planks, have been proved to be or were fo originally defective in thefe refpects or any of them, as to be difcoverable to the builders, or to have been fuch as were improper to be ufed by them in the building of the faid hip: And I award and determine, that the faid Plaintiffs thad not nor have any caufe of action againt the faid Defendants, for or in refpect of the rends, fappinefs or fhakinefs of the faid knees, timbers or planks, or any of them. With refpect to the throating of the knees and their being grain-cut it has been proved before me, that the throating of the knees was done by and under the particular direction of Hardeftys and under the obfervation of both Binmer and Sir John Henlow, and from a mould or model fupplied to Binmer on the part of His Majefty, and by him delivered to the faid John Randall's and the Defendants workmen, as the form and guide for them to adopt and work by; and on confidering all the evidence on both files, both as to the throating of the knees and as to their being grain-cut, it appears to me, and I award and determine, that the faid Plaintiffs had not nor have any caufe of action agdintt the faid Defendants, for or in refpect of either of thofe points. With refpect to the dry rot, and the-not putting hair and tar between the fcarphs of the gun-dect beams ; it appears to me, on confidering attentively all the evidence laid before me on this point, to be proved that hair and tar was not laid between the fearphs of the gun-deck beams accors ng to the faid contract, but that the dry rot began in a part of the fhip where tar and hair are not ufed, nor are required by the faid contract to be ufed; and that the fpreading of the dry rot to thofe bearss, is not to be attributed to the default of laying hair and tar between thofe fcarphs, it being proved that there was a direct and eafy communication for the fpreading of the dry rot, from the place where it began to the gun-deck beams, and parts that were deftroyed or affected by it, and that the fame would not by fuch hair and tar being fo laid between thofe fcarphs have been prevented from fo fpreading; and that the damages occafioned by the dry rot, are not imputable to any defect in the timbers or planks difcoverable by the faid John Randali and the Defendants when they ufed the fame in building the faid fhip; nor is it, as it appears to me, to be afcribed to their default in not laying hair and tar as above-mentioned, according to their laid contract, it appearing to me upon the whole of the evidence, that the fame damage would have arifen if that default had not been made : And inafmuch alfo, as that default does not appear to me to be within the charges laid in the Plaintiffs declaration, I award and determine, that there was not nor is any caufe of action whereorr to maintain the aforefaid aftion againft the faid Defendants, on account of the dry rot or the damage thereby occafioned, or for the faid default as to the hair and tar. With refpect to the forcing, femining or fcoring of the planks of the faid thip; I do award and determine, that fome of the planks of the faid thip were forced, flemilhed and fcored by the workrnen of the faid John Randall and the Defendants, and that the faid action was and is maintainable for and in refpect of the fame by the faid Plaintiffs againtt the faid Defendants. With refpect to the flandard againft the ftern-poft; it appears to me on confidering all the evidence laid before me as to that flandard, that the fame was braken by the grounding of the faid chip at Woolwich, and that the damage thereby occafioned is not imputable to any
    original defed or bad workmanihip in the fame, and that the Plaintifis have no caure of action whatever as to the fame againg the faid Defeedants. With refpect to the iron-work by the faid contract, the binding for the dead eyes in the middle and fore channels, and thofe for the mizen channels and for the back-ftays and ring-plates in the main and fore channels, were to be made out of the beft fort of orgrounds iron wrought with the greateft care, that no flaw, burn, or defect of any kind fhould appear therein; and by another part of the faid contraty, all the iron-work was to be wrought of the beft tough Swedifh iron not burnt or hurt in working; upon confidering the whole of the evidence with refpet to the iron, it appears to me that the faid bindings of the dead eyes were not made out of the beft fort of orgrounds iron, wrought in manner above-mentioned, and that other of the iron-work of the faid flip was not wrought out of the beft tough Swedifh iron, but that the faid bindings of the dead eyes, and the faid iron-work, inftead of being wrought iron and of the defcription aforefaid, were made and done out of rolled iron, and that part thereof was of a different and inferior quality to the beft tough Swedifh iron, and to the beft fort of orgrounds iron: And I award and determine that the faid action was and is maintainable againft the faid Defendants for a breach of the faid contrad in that refpect; and I further award, order, and determine, that the faid Defondants pay to the faid Plaintiffs, for the ufe of His Majefty, the fum of $\mathscr{E}_{450 \text {. of Lawful }}$ money of Great Britain, for the damages fuftained by the faid breaches of the faid contract, and arifing (after making all due allowances) from the expences of replacing the faid flemifhed planks, and the faid defective iron-work; and that a verdict be entered up for the faid Plaintiffs for the fame fum of $\mathfrak{E}_{450}$. damages, and forty fhillings cofts, inftead of the faid verdict fo entered up as aforefaid; and that the faid parties bear and pay their own refpective cofts of this Reference; and that on payment by the faid Defendants to the faid Plaintiffs of the fame damages, and of the cofts that fhall be recovered by the judgment of the Court of our faid Lord the King, before the King himfelf, to be given upon the fame verdict, the faid refpective parties do give each to the other, upon requeft, a releafe of all their caufes of attion, claims and demands whatfoever, upon or arifing from all and every of the matters in difference referred to me as aforefaid. In witnefs whereof, I have hereunto fet my hand, this eleventh day of February, in the year of our Lord one thouland eight hundred and feven.

    Signed and publifhed by the faid Arbitrator,
    G.S. HOLROXD. as his Award, the day and year laft above
    written, in the prefence of
    Geo. Rbodes.
    (Attefted)
    R. A. Nolfon.
    (66.)-C. $\mathbf{1}$.

    ## Copy of the OVERSEER'S CERTIficate for The Dublin.

    > Navy Office, 14th May 18r4.

    This is to certify to the Honourable the principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majefty's Navy, that His Majefty's Ship Dublin, of 74 guns, built by Mefirs. Breni of Rotherhithe, was fafely launched on 13 February 1812, and that the is built agreeably to Contract.
    

    Nothing more chargeable to the Contractor.
    Rotherhithe, $\}$
    14th March 1812.$\}$
    Attefted, R. A. Nelfon.

    （67．）－C． 2.

    Copy of the CERTIFICATE of SURVEY of the Mafter Shipwright of Woolwich Yard． and his Affiftants，for His Majefty＇s Ship Dubcin．

    Navy Office， 23 May 1814.
    THESE are to certify the principal Officers and Commilioners of His Majefty＇s Navy，that we have furveyed His Majeft＇s Ship Dublin，built by Meffrs．Brents of Rotherhithe；and as far as it is practicable for us to form an opinion of the general fate of the Ship，the works appear to be executed in a workmanlike manner，except the fcarphs of three floor riders on the ftarboard fide，and two on the larboard ade，not being pro－ perly fayed．The materials which can be infpected，appear of a good quality $;$ and the Ship is，with the above－mentioned exceptions，completed agreeably to the terms of the Contract． Undermentioned，is an account of the gundeck－beams that have iron plates at the ends ： yiz．

    Starboard $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { No．} 7, \text { on the aft fide．} \\ \text { Side．}\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}8, \text { all through．} \\ -98, \text { on the aft fide．} \\ -32, \text { all the fore fide．} \\ -22,\end{array}\right.\right.$

    > Larboard

    Side．$\quad$ 14，all through．
    －16，on the fore fide．
    －18，all through．
    －20，on the fore fide．
    －21，on the aft Gide．
    －22，on the fore fide．
    The fores ufed in the building the Ship chargeable to the Contractors，were iffued fromy Deptford Yard．
    Dated at His Majefty＇s Dock Yard at Woolwich，
    24th March 1812.
    Attefted，R．A．Nelfon．
    EDW．SISON，
    W．STURE．
    G．BODDY．
    （68．）－C． 3 ．
    Copy of the CERTIFICATE of SURVEX of the Mafter Shipwright of Woolwich Yard，and his Affitants，upon the Fastenings of His Majefty＇s Ship ROpnEx－
    Honourable Sirs，
    Woolwich Fard，3d April 1812．
    HAVING received directions by your Letter of the 28 th ultimo，to report on the Com－ plaint made by Sir Edward Pellew to Mr．York，refpeAing the infecurity of the faftenings of the Rodney，which Ship was furveyed by the Officers of this Yard；
    We beg leave to acquaint you，the Rodney was carefully infpected by us in the ufual manner $;$ ，and reported，that as far as was practicable for us to form an opinion，the works appeared to be executed in a workmanlike manner，and agreeably to the terms of the， Contract；but it is not poffible for us to form any opinion of the internal parts of the work， which can be known only to thofe who inlpect the whole of the works in the progrefs of her building．

    We are，
    Honourable Sirs，
    Your moft obedient Seryants，
    EDWARD SISON，GODDY．
    W．STURE，
    Attefted，R．A．Nelfon．

    $$
    \text { (69.)-C. } 4 .
    $$

    Copy of the OVERSEER＇S CERTIFICATE for the RodNET．
    Navy Office， 14 May 1814.
    THIS is to certify，That the Rodney；built by Meffrs．Barnard，Son，\＆Roberts，was fafely taunched on the 8th December 1809；and the is built agreeable to the Contract．

    Principal Dimenfions．

    | －． | Princip <br> Per Contract． Feet．Inches | al Dimenfions． <br> As buit． <br> Feet．Iaches， |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Length of the keel for tonnage | 145．$\quad$ J． | －145． $5^{\frac{1}{\text { b }} \text { ．}}$ |
    | Breadth，extreme－ | 47． 6. | －47． $7 \frac{1}{3}$ ． |
    | Depthinhold ． | － 21.0 | － 21.0 ． |
    | Burthen intons ． | 1，741立年 | 1，754驁． |
    | Weight of copper received， | e to th | Cwt．95s．lbse ozm |
    |  | e to the | 533．0． 23.1 1． |
    |  | Abate ${ }^{\text {¢－－}}$ | 88．3．13．3－ |
    |  | Ufed chargeable | 444．1．9．14． |

    

    Attened, R. A. Nelor.
    W. KNOTT, Oreffer.
    (70.)-C. 5.
    Copy of the CERTIFICATE of SURVEY of the Mafter Shipaxight of Woolwich
    Yaxd, and his Afflants, for His Majefty's Ship Rodnery:
    Navy Office, 23 May 1814.
    THESE are to certify the principal Offcers and Commifioners of His Majefty's Nary,
    that we bave furveced His Majefty's Ship Rodney, built by Meffrs. Barnard \&c Co. at
    Deptford Green; and as far as it is practicable for us to form an opinion of the general flate
    of the dip, the works appear to be executed in a workmanlike manner, the materials which
    can be infpected appear to be of a good quality, and the nip is completed agreeably to the
    terms of the Contrac.

    | Dated at His Majefty's Yard at Woolwich, the if January 1810. | EDW. SISON. <br> W. STURE. | G. BODDY |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Attefted, R. A. Nelfon. |  |  |

    As ACCOUNT of the Money expended on the Halls of His Majefty's Ships Rodney and Doblang after being launched prior to failing.
    
    F. J. HARTWELLe: R.SEPPINGS. H. LEGGE.
    (72.)-D.-3 Papers.

    Copy of a LETTER, dated gth February 1804, from the Oficers of Plymouth Yard, refpeating the Defeas of His Majefly's Ship Ccuragevx.

    IN obedience to your directions of the 4 th inftant, we beg leave to acquaint you, that we have been on board His Majeft's 贝hip Courageux, in Hzmozze, to examine into her defeets, and find them to be of fuch a nature as to require her being taken into a dock to have them made good; which may be done, and the llip completed again for fea fervice in about five or fix weeks after taken in hand, at an expence in the Shipwight Department of about $\mathbb{E}_{3,000}$.
    The fhip appears to have worked very much on her different decks and counter, fome of her beams fprung, feveral of the knees broke and faftenings ftarted, oakum worked out of the feams ; and the whole of the fip appears to have ftranaed and worked fọ very much, as to render her quite debilitated. We think the roundhoure fhould be taken away, as alfo all her breadth and top riders, as they occafion a continual leak, to the great deftruction of her fypme, and to fubtitute Alandards and knees in lieu thereof; fome of her fattenings to be drove
    drove out for examination, and additional ones drove where necellary; the thoald, in our opinion, have an addition of 12 inch falfe keeh, and her hold differently ftowed.

    The whole of which is fubmitted for your confideration, and requeft your directions thereon.

    And are,
    Honourable Sirs,
    Your moft obedient humble Servants,
    (Signed)
    JOS. TUCKER,
    EDW. CHURCHILL, JA. JAGOE,
    T. ANCELL.

    Attefted,
    R.A. Nelfon.

    ## (2.)

    Copy of a LETTER dated 6th March 1804, from the Oficers of Plgmouth Yard, on the defective State of the Couragrux.

    Honourable Sirs,
    Plymouth Yard, 6th March 1804.
    WE think it our duty to acquaint you, that we have difcovered come of the timbers, plank and beams of the Courageux in a defective ftate, and faft verging to a dry rot, occafioned in our opinion from the fhip's being built with green materials, which, when clofed up, prevents a proper circulation of air, and thereby renders the ftench of the Ghip and effuvia of the crew fo deftructive; the major part of the lower and upper deck fpirketting is, from that caufe, unfit for further ufe; and three beam ends on the orlop, fiven on the gun deck, and two on the upper deck, require Bhifting, as alfo a part of the dat of the lower deck.

    The timbers in general are not materially affected at prefent, but have a defective cruft on them, occafioned by a white fungus formed thereon.

    We are, notwithftanding the above, proceeding on her repair, but when it is performed, we are of opinion, from the general appearance of the thip, that the will not laft for more than three or four years, before the will want a confiderahle repair. We beg to fignify, that where the openings have been made for the difcharge of the foul air, the timbers and plank are perfectly found, but between them it is in a ftate of decay.

    On this occafion we carnot help faying, that if openings were left all.fore and aft, in all His Majefty's Chips, it would tend greatly to prevent that rapid decay which has lately been difcovered; and which mult be more and more the cafe, from the prefent pratice of $\mu$ fing fuch a quantity of unfeafoned materials, both in building and repairing, efpecially the latter.

    |  | We are, Honourable Sirs, Your moft obedient humble Servants, |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | (Signed) | JO'TUCKER, | T. ANCELL, |
    | Attefted, R. A. Nelfon. | JAs JAGOE, | EDWD CHURCHILL. |

    (3.)

    Copy of the SURvey of His Majefty's Ship Courageux.


    #### Abstract

    Honourable Sirs, IN obedience to your Warrant of the 14th Navember 1808, we have taken the Carpenter with two others to ous affiftance, and in the beft manner we could, afloat, without entering into a formal and tedious procels, farveyed his Majefty's fhip Courageux, and from the beft judgment we can form thereof, and the information we have received from the Carpenter, are of opinion that the will require a very tmall repair, which may be performed in three montha after being taken is hand.


    An EAimate of the charge thereof, and of providing her with Fumiture and Stores to an cight months proportion, is ${ }^{2}$ follows; viz. .

    |  | - Materials. | Wcrkmanhip. | Toal. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | For the Hull | $\underset{\substack{E \\ 4,87^{\circ}}}{ }$ | $\underset{3,414}{\underset{3}{E}}$ | $\stackrel{\not \mathfrak{Z}^{2}}{\mathbf{8 , 2 8 +}}$ |
    | Re-coppering the Bottom, deducting $\}$ the old Copper | 1,260 | 48 | i,308 |
    | Copper faftening in part - - | 700 | - - | 790 |
    | Maits and Yards - | 1,523 | 185 | 1,708 |
    | Furniture in Stores | 9,048 | 420 | 9,468 |
    | Total - - | 17,401 | 4,067 | 21,468 |

    We remain,
    Honourable Sirs,
    Your molt obedient Servants,
    $\begin{array}{ll}\text { S. HEMMANS, } \\ \text { P. HELLYER, HUNT, } & \text { J. WEEKES, } \\ \end{array}$
    Robe Newman, Carpenter of the Courageux. Sant Batturs - - - D - - Canada. Josh Speck - - $\mathbf{D}^{\circ}$ - Queen.
    Attefled,
    R. A. Nelfon.
    (73.)-E.-(1.)

    Copy of a LETTER dated, 5 th May 1813, from Officers of Portfmouth Yard, refpecting the defects of His Majefty's Ship Blake.
    IIonourable Sirs,
    Portimouth Yard, 5 th May 8853 .
    IN obedience to your Warrant of the 3 dinftant, we have furyeyed the beams, clamps, fpirketting, chanael, main wales, tranfoms, footwaling, and as many of the timbers of the frame as are viGule of His Majeft's Bhip Blake, the refult of which is as follows;

    |  |  |  | Starboard. | Larboard |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Shifts of footwaling Tranfums - | - | - | 2 | 3 |  |
    | Orlop clamps | - | - | 4 | 2 |  |
    | Beams | - | - | 7 | 9 |  |
    | Spirkettings - | - | - | 5 | 3 |  |
    | Gun deck clamps | - | - | 7 | 7 |  |
    | Beams | - | - | 9 | - 10 |  |
    | Spirkettings .- | - | - | 9 | 8 | Rotten. |
    | Upper deck clamps | - | - | 4 | 3 | Rotten. |
    | Beams - | - | - | 12 | 13 |  |
    | Spirkettings - | - | - | 6 | 5 |  |
    | Shifts of channel wale | - | - | 32 | 32 |  |
    | Main wale - | $\sim$ | - | 6 | 10 |  |
    | Timbers of the frame | - | - | 38 | 56 |  |
    | Knight head | - | - | 1 | - |  |
    | Hawfe pieces - | - | - | 2 | 1 |  |

    And beg leave to acquaint you, that in proceeding to make good her defects, previous to your order for furvey, in caulking, round the fide work of the different decks and topfides, we found the plank defective in many places, which we tried to remove by cutting out pieces, but in moft inftances, failed, the plank being rotten on the infide, particularly the channel wales, the whole of which we have been obliged to take off: In wake of which particularly in swake of the chain bolts, the timbers are fo much decayed as to require blifting.

    In the hold we have dubbed over the ceiling and riders, and found a confiderable degree of defect, which we had likewife taken out, and intended making good, without difurbing the riders, three of them only appearing defective; the timbers of the frame at this part appears good, but much fungus is collected in the openings. We have been obliged to take up the fide work of the flat of the upper deck, to uabolt the hanging knees in wake of two pieces of defective clamp; moft of the flat of the upper deck was rotten on the underfide, and Some of the leam ends totally decayed.

    From the foregoing ftatement, and the general appearance of the upper deck beams; gun and upper deck fpirkettings, and timbers of the frame, in wake of the channel wales, we art humbly of opinion the mult be fripped down to the heels of the top-timbers at leaft, when, if the fourth futtocks thould prove good enough to prevent ftripping lower down, by making good the defects of the orlop and gun deck beams, and from there upwards, be may be made ferviceable at fea for about three years ; but in the event of its being neceffary to frip lower down to fhift more of the timbers, it will be neceffary to unbolt the riders, and take out the ceiling, as, from its general appearance, we think it will not remain ferviceable fors. more than three or four years at furtheft.
    Waiting your direCtions, we have taken the hands off, except the caulkers 'who are employed on the bottom, and beg leave to acquaint you, we have taken the precaution not to difturb any thing which will prevent her being undocked at a yery fhort notice.
    

    WE beg leave to acquaint you, we have taken the carpenter with two others to our affiftance, and in the beft manner we could, afloat, without entering into a formal and tedious procefs, furveyed His Majelly's thip Blake, and find, in hold, ten fhifts of ceiling and one tranfom rotten; orlop, fix thifts of clamps, fixteen beam ends, and eight thifts of firketting rotten; gundeck, fourteen fhifts of clamps, nineteen beam ends, and feventeen Gifts of fpirketting rotten; upper deck, feven fhifts of clamp, twenty-fire beam ends, and eleven Thifts of firketting rotten; without board, fixty-four fhifts of channel wale, fixteen thifts of main wale, ninety-four timbers of the frame, one knight head, and three hawfe pieces rotten; from the beft judgment we can form, and the general appearance of the thip, we are of opinion fhe will require between a middling and large repair, which may be performed in about feven months after taken in hand; an eftimate of the charge thereof, and providing her with mafts and yards, is as follows; viz.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { We are, } \\
    & \text { Honourable Sirs, } \\
    & \text { Your moft obedient Servants. } \\
    & \mathrm{N}^{3} \text { DIDDAMS, THO. RADCLIFFE. } \\
    & \text { JOHN HAYNES, } \\
    & \text { Rob. Hulbert, Carpenter of H. M. Ship Blake. } \\
    & J n^{\circ} \text { - Allen, - Do. - - Pitt. } \\
    & \text { W.Blight - - Do. - - Dreadnought. }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    $R_{r} A$. Nelfon.

    ## F.-(r.)

    Extract of a LETTTER from Chatham Oficers, dated 1 gth November 1810, on the fate of the Tbrrible.
    -
    WE confider that the Terrible is in too good a fate to be taken to pieces, for notwithftanding the fervice receives great benefit from old materials, the ufes they are generally appled to are very inferior to the original converfions.

    Attelted,
    R. A. Nelfon.
    G.-(I.)

    Copy of the CERTIFICATE of SURVEY of the Mafter Shipwright of Woolwich Yard and his Afiftants, for His Majefty's hip Ardent.

    Honourable Sirs,
    Woolwich Yard, 6 May 1796.
    PURSUANT to your Warrant of 21 May 1779, We hare taken a ftrict and careful furvey of His Majefty's thip Ardent, built by Mr. Thomas Pitcher at Northfleet, and find the works of the faid hhip to be performed in a good a workmanlike manner.

    ## We are,

    Honourable Sirs,
    Your moft obedient Servants,
    JOHN TOVERY.
    JOHN TREFRY.
    J. BARTHOWLOMY.

    Attefted,
    R. A. Nelfon.

    ## (76.)-H.

    Nary Office, $\}$ An ACCOUNT of all the SHIPS and VESSELS now in Hia Majeft' ${ }^{\prime}$ Service, which have been 27th May 1814. Slauriched from the Private Yards in the Kiver Thames and at The Ohtports, with the Names and Rate of the fame; when and where built; the Time at which they were Commifioned; wben in want of Repairs ; the nature'ol Repairs, fmall, middling, or large; and their actual fate for Service; Alfo, an Account of thofe building; or under orders ts be built, in thole Yards.
    
    (76.) II. Account of the Number of Ships and Velfels buitt in the River Thames, and at Thu Out Ports-manisned.
    
    (76.)-II.-Account of the Number of Ships and Veffels built in the River Thames, and at The Out Ports-continued.
    
    (76.) IL.-An Account of the Number of Ships and Veffels built iñothe River Thames, and at the Out Ports-continued.
    
    (76.) II.-Account of the Number of Ships and Veffels builit in the River Thames, and at The Out-Port3-rostinued.
    
    (76.) II.-Account of the Number of Ships and Veffela built in the River Thames, and at The Out Ports-continued
    
    (76.) III. -Account of the Number of Ships and Veffels boilt in the River Thames, and at Tpe Out-Porto-rontinued.
    
    (76.)-II.-Account of the Number of Ships and Veffels built in the River Thames, and at The Out Ports-contiuued.
    
    

    # - (77.-L. $)-$ <br> ROUGH TIMBER <br> PURCHASED BY THE ORDNANCE, <br> 1806-1813. 

    $$
    -(77 .)-\mathrm{I} .
    $$

    An ACCOUNT of the Quantity of ROUGH OAK TIMBER purchafed bs The Ordnance with the feveral Pricts paid in
    

    ## -(77.)-1.

    at each Station in Great Britary, between at January 1805 and 3 nit Decembitr $1 S_{13}$, each Year at the diferent Places.
    
    


    urchafed by the Ordnance at each Station in Great Britain, \&ce.-continued.
    
    R. MOORSOM.
    R. WARD.
    E. PHIPPS.
    $$
    -\left(7^{8 .}\right)-K .
    $$

    Ax ACCOUNT of the Number of SHIPS and VESSELS buit in the Porta of Sunderland, and of the Tyne, refpectively, from 1786 to 1813 inclufive; diftinguifhing each Year.
    

    $$
    -(79 .)-
    $$

    Navy Office, \} As ACCOUNT of the Number and Clars of SHIPS Built, Building, or zuft May 1814. $\} \quad$ Ordered to be built in $I_{\text {ndia, }}$, for His Majefty's Service.
    

    $$
    \text { - } 880 .)-
    $$

    Nary-Office, $\}$ AN ACCOUNT of all SHIPS OF THE LINE built in the Teveral
    $\mathbf{3 I}^{1}$ May 1814. ${ }^{-1}$ Merchants Yards in the River Thames, from 1793 to $\mathbf{1 8 1 4}$ inclufive: difinguilhing each Year, and each Yard.
    
    -(8r.)-
    Nary Office, $\}$ As ACCOUNT of the Number of SHIPWRIGHTS employed in the 31年 May 1814. King's Yards, in each Year, from 1793 to $1814-$
    

    The above Numbers comprife the Apprentices, as well as the Quartermen, Mealurers, \&c.
    HEN ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ PEAKE.
    R. SEPPINGS.
    H. IEGGE.

    $$
    -(82 .)
    $$

    Navy Office, $\}$ An ACCOUNT of the Number of SHIPWRIGHTS entered in the 3rft May $\left.^{\text {18i4. }}\right\}$ King's Yards in the prefent Year, diftinguihing each Mauth.

    |  | Number of Shipwrights entered. |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Between,the 27 th December 1813 and the 29th January 1814 <br> - - 3Ift January and the 26th February 1814 <br> - - 28th February and 26th March 1814 <br> - 28th March and 30th April 1814 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 53 \\ 69 \\ 64 \\ 91 \end{array}\right\}$ | Mem,-The Number of Shipwrights difcharged during this period, amounts to 201. |

    In the foregoing Numbers of Shipwrights entered, are included the Apprentices entered as Shipwrights, after having completed their Apprenticefhip.
    HENr PEAKE.
    R. SEPPINGS.
    H. LEGGE.

    ```
    -(83.)-
    ```

    Navy Office, ${ }^{\text {Am ACCOUNT of the Expenfes incurred for repairing SHIPS of WAR }}$ if June 1814. $\}$ in Pminatz Yarda, in each Year, from 1793 to the prefent time.
    
    -(84.) -
    Navy Office, $\}$ An ACCOUNT of the Number and Clars of all SHIPS in the Service ift June 1814. of Government, which have been repaired in the Mrbcuants Yards in the River Thames, from the Year 1793 to the prefent time; diAtinguihing each Year.
    Rate.
    HENT PEAKE.
    R. SEPPINGS.
    H. LEGGE.
    

    Nary Office, $\}$ An ACCOUNT of all Suips and Vessels of War now building in the 2 June 1814. 3 Private Yards at The Out Ports, with the Date of the refpective Contract: for building the fame.
    

    $$
    -(86 .)
    $$

    'Navy Office, $\}$ An A C C O U.N.T, thowing the Quantities of Fonmen Thickstufr, Plank 3 June $18 \mathrm{Br} \mathrm{f}_{1}^{\prime}$, and Fir Timber, expended in His Majeffy's feveral Dock Yards, in each of the Years from 1803 fo 1812 , both inclufive.

    | description | 1803. | 1804. | 1805. | 1806. | 1807. | 1808. | 2809. | 1810. | 1811. | 1812. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Loads. | Loads. | Loads. | Loads. | Loads. | Loadsd | Eoads. | Loads: | Loads. | Loada. |
    | Foreign Thickftuff in rough Contents - - | 785 | 50 | 147 | 402 | 545 | 730 | 570 | 372 | 727 | 792 |
    | - Plank - Do. | 4,062- | 5,802 | 7,242 | 7,677 | 5,687 | 7,762 | 6,390 | 2,607 | 3.512 | 3,652 |
    | Fir Timber in Net | 5,888 | 8,987- | 15,383 | 9,765 | 12,593 | 10,067 | 9,568 | 12,228 | 10,720 | 16,034 |

    F. J. HARTWELL.
    H. LEGGE.

    PERCY FRASER.

    ## XVII.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    Martis, $7^{\circ}$ die Junii 1814.<br>SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

    ## Mr. Benjämin Ramage, called in; and examined by Mr. Spankie.

    YOU are a purveyor of timber for the Nary $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Yes}$.

    In the courfe of your duty, have you had an opportunity of feeing the quantities of timber brought in for the Royal Navy?-Yes.

    At what yards? - At every yard in the Navy almoft; I am in the habit of feeing the timber thatt goes into the different yard's in the kingdom.

    It is a part of your duty to mark the timber that goes into the yards?-It is.
    Comimittee. $]$-Ar all the yards?-In the whole of the yards of the Navy; it is in the power of the Commiflioners of the Navy, when I mark timber, to fend it to any yards they think proper.

    Where do you infpect the timber?-In every part of the king dom nearly.
    By what is your notice brought to the timber?-By virtue of an order from the Board.
    The contractor gives you notice where a lot of timber is?-Yes.
    Before it is felled, or afterwards?-After it is felled.
    Before the purchare is made?-At times; but mofly after the purchafe is made.
    Mr. Spankie.]-Then I underftand, that before a quantity of timber is delivered for the Public Service, it is infpected in the firft inftance by you on the ground?Surely it is ; I go orer the whole of it, and felect fuch as I confider fit for naval purpofes.
    And rejeft that which you conceive to be unfit?-Certainly; what is unfound I reject:
    In the performance of this duty, have you been in many of the timber counties?In a great many; in molt of them.
    Begin with Hamplhire ?-I have been a great deal in Hamplhire; we: have had a great deal of timber out of Hants within thefe four years; more than from any other county in the kingdom.
    Within your:oblervation, has the quantity of large timber, fit for building fhips of the line, increafed or decreafed within the time you have been employed ?-Decreafed; there is no queftion about it.
    In the courfe of your public duty, or in the courfe of your obfervation, have you obferved any difficulty in obtaining timber of a large fize for building feventy-fours? For certain parts of the veffels, certainly, I bave.

    Can you mention any other counties befides Hamphire?-There is NorthamptonThire; we have had great quantities from there, which I believe to be one of the firlt timber counties in the kingdom.

    Commitrtees]-Dd you fpeak of the Royal forefts only in Northamptonflire?-I fpeak' of the Royat forefts 'and gentlemen's eftates.

    ## MINUTES OF:EVIDENCE ON PETITIONS*

    Mr. Mr. Spankie.]-In Northamptonlhire how is the quantity of timber; has it Benjamin Ramage. decreafed or increafed?-It has decreafed, no queftion of it; we have had fuch great quantities from it.

    What is the quality, generally fpeaking, of the timber produced in that county? Generally good in Northamptonfhire.
    Can you mention any other counties where you have been; have you been in Wales?-Some little ; Hereford and Monmouthhire.
    In the courfe of your -obfervation of the counties where you have been, has the quantity of timber fir for naval Putrpofes decrealed ?-I think it hás in moit counties, owing to the cutting down in late years being fo great.
    Can you mention what counties you have been in befides thofe you have named?-
    Wilts, Dorfet, Devon, Somerfer, Gloucetterfhire, Suffolk, Norfolk, Cambridge, Huntingdon, Nottingham, Lincolnfhire; and I believe moft counties in the kingdom I have been in within thefe laft twelve months

    And at different times you have been in thofe counties? - Yes.
    And in your opinion, in thofe counties, generally fpeaking, the quantity of timber for naval purpofes has decreafed?-I am firmly of opinion that it has, timber that is fit for naval purpofes; I am fpeaking of timber of eighty feet metings, that is, timber applicable for feventy-four gun fhips.

    Have you obferved whether the timber coming forward into fucceffion, is likely to fupply the place of that of which there has been fo great a confumption of late years?-I think there is every probability of it, in the courfe of time.

    Do you fpeak with refpect to the Royal forefts? - I have nothing to do with the' Royal forefts.

    Do you fpeak of the Royal forefts only, or generally of the counties? - Generally: of the counties I have mentioned.

    But you have infpected timber in the Royal forefs? ?-Never.
    Committee.]-Your infpection is of timber that is prefented to the Navy Board by private contractors?-Yes.

    Mr. Spankie.]-You have furveyed timber that has been fupplied by Mr. Morris and Mr. Larkin, as well as other contractors?-Yes; I have been four years with Meffrs. Morris and Larkin.

    Committee.]-Do you mean to fay, you have been in the fervice of Meffrs. Morris and Larkin during that time? -No; I am not in their fervice, I am employed by Government.

    Mr. Spankie]. -Have you ever known' any difficulty experienced by Government in obtaining timber fit for naval purpofes? -I have.

    Do you conceive that that arofe from the negligence of the contrafors infulfiling their contracts, or from the fcarcity of that defcription of timber?-From the fcarcity of that defcription of timber; that defcription of timber not being to be found in the kingdom.

    Do you know the fall: of timber on the Duke of Buccleugh's eftate, and Sir Charles Mordaunt's? - Yes; I know them both.

    And Mr. Holbeach's ?-Yes; I went over the whole of thofe.
    Can you tell us whether any confiderable proportion of the fupply for Govern. ment of late years has been derived from thofe falls?-There is no queftion but it has, thofe have been the principal falls; the fall of his Grace tie Duke of Buccleugh has furnifhed a principal fupply for naval purpofes, both at Beaulieu in -Hamplhire, and that in Northamptonfhire was very handfome timber.

    Do you know any other eftates on which fuch a fall; as that of the Duke:of Buccleugh could be obtained'?-I know of no eftate in the kingdom that could furnifh fuch a fupply.
    And with refpect to that which was obtained from Sir Charles Mordaunt's eftate; was that a large fall?-Not a large fall; there might be from, two to three thoufand loads, to the beft of my recollection.

    Speaking from your own obfervation, do you conceive that if Government required fuch large quantities of timber, they could eafily derive a fimiar quantity to what was derived from thofe quarters you have mentioned?-I think they could not.

    - There is a confiderable quantity of timber in the country of courfe; but do you know in the country within your obfervation any large quantities of timber which
    are for fale, or likely to be fold ? - I do not; there were fome laft year. I think
    Lord Cardigan, from his eftate in Nottinghamhire, offered fome for fale in London, Denjamin Ramagom but I never heard of a purchafer; I think he took it down afterwards on his own account, and dif pofed of it.
    Have you been on Lord Cardigan's eftate fince that fall took place?-I have.
    Is there any confiderable quantity of timber remaining ?-There is certainly fome very fine timber fill remaining on that efate of Rockingham foreft, belonging to different perfons ; in that Lord Cardigan has fome quantity left.

    Are you acquainted with Lord Clinton's eftates in Devonhire ?-Yes.
    Is there any great quantity of timber upon that eftate?-It is mofly all felled, 2 very little remains.
    Have you feen it lately ?-The laft time I faw it, it was in that flate I could not mark any of it for caval purpofes; I think I left a thoufand trees in one wood which I could not touch a piece of, being defective and foxy.
    Is there any confiderable quantity of fhip-building timber remaining on Lord Clinton's eftates?-Very little; I thould not think he could furnifh 500 loads from the whole of his eftates in Devonfhire.
    Do you know any thing of the timber in Grimfthorpe park? -Yes; we had a fall there three or four years ago.

    Can you tell us any thing refpeccing the quantity now remaining on that eftate, fit for naval purpofes; is it confiderable?-No, I do not think it is confiderable; there certainly is timber remaining there:

    In what county is Grimfthorpe ? - In Lincolnfhire; it belongs to Lord Gwydir.
    Can you form any eftimate of the number of loads now remaining there? Only merely from obfervation which I made four years ago; I think from four ta about fix hundred loads remaining.
    You were there, how long on that obfervation?-Thirteen or fourteen days, to the beft of my recollection, converting the timber of the fall of that year.

    And marking that which was fit for Public Service ? - I marked that which I confidered fit for our own fervice, and I am forry to fay a great deal of it turned out very defective; I rejected a great deal upon the ground, but fome I took opened very bad at the dock-yards. .

    Was that old timber?-It had overftood iffelf, it was going to decay before it weas attempted to be cut; a great deal of it within the park, and a great deal of ornamental timber does turn out defective; I believe in mort parts of the kingdom you svill find that to be the cafe.

    Of the quantity of timber ftanding in thofe different parts, is any confiderable proportion of it ornamental timber, that is, timber which has overflood its time?There is a great deal in Grimfthorpe park which is ornamental timber; there is fome in the different woods, which I confider to be only fit for plank; there is very little of it fit for making frames for fhips or timbers of any defription: in faa, I think it was the worft lot of timber I ever was over in my life.
    Have you been in other parts of the county of Lincoln; did you ever fee the timber belonging to Mr. Sley's eftate and the Duke of Rutland's?-Yes; there is fome very good timber there; there swas a very pretty fall of timber at the Duke of Rutland's, two years ago.

    That is ornamental timber?-No; that was timber felled from woods, not ornamental timber, and very good it was.

    You had a fall from the Duke's eftate, had you? - Yes we had, and a very good fall it was.

    Do you conceive that there is any large quantity of timber fit for naval purpofes remaining on the Duke of Ruuland's ettates, independently of ornamental timber? -I do not think there is any great quanity, from the obfervation I made when I went over the land.
    And you went over the land for the purpofe of looking at the timber?- Yes. Have you been in the County of Nottingham ?-Yes.
    What is your opinion generally of timber produced in that county? -We had feveral falls in that county, and it turned out a little bad knots; we had a fall at Thoiby, Lord Manvers' eftate, that turned out defective.

    Committec.]-That is all in Nottingham Foreft?-It may be included in the Foreft, but it did not appear to me to be foreft the timber was in hedge rows; it is very near to the Foreft.

    In the courfe of your obferva quantity of timber fit for naval $\mathbf{P}$ owing to the cutting down in late Can you mention what counties Wilts, Dorfet, Devon, Somerfer, Huntingdon, Nottingham, Lincol I have been in within thefe laft to And at different times you havi And in your opinion, in thofer for naval purpofes has decreafed ${ }^{\circ}$ is fit for naval purpofes; I am $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$ timber applicable for feventy-four

    Have you oblerved whether th to fupply the place of that of whic years?-I think there is every prot

    Do you fpeak with refpect to 4 - Royal forefts.

    Do you speak of the Royal forel of the counties I have mentioned.

    But you have infpected timber is
    Committee.]-Your infpection is by private contractors?:-Yes.
    $\dot{M}$. Spankie.]-You have furve and Mr. Larkin, as well as other c Meffrs. Morris and Larkin.

    Committee.]-Do you mean to fat and Larkin during that time? -No Government.

    Mr. Spankie].-Have you ever kr! in obtaining timber fit for naval pur

    Do you conceive that that arofe frg their contrads, or from the fcarci fcarcity of that defcription of timb found in the kingdom.
    Do you know the fall: of timb Sir Charles Mordaunt's? - Yes; I kd And Mr. Holbeach's ? - Yes; I
    Can you tell us whether any confic ment of late years has been derived $f$ it has, thofe have been the princir Buccleugh has furnilhed a principal ff -Hampinire, and that in Northamptor

    Do you know any other eftates on Buccleugh could be obtained'?-I kt furnifh fuch a fupply.

    And with refpect to that which was was that a large fall?-Not a large fal loads, to the beft of my recollection.

    Speaking from your own obferv required fuch large quantities of timbe to what was derived from thofe qu .could not.

    - There is a confiderable quantity of know in the country within your obfe


    ## $M r$.

    Benjamin Ramagro in that county generally, i is rather of an inferior $q x$ Do you know any thin for building thips ?-I am

    Committce.]-Has any thire? -Not within the any in Nottinghammire $f$

    Mr. Spankic.]-That
    Do you know a Mr. C

    - I cannot fay I know t]

    Have you infpected : lately?-I wrent to his ya my recollection, to look that wruld have done me

    You confidered it unf I confidered it my duty

    It is part of your duty
    You only mark the $t$ for naval purpofes.

    You do not fee it agai 2 vifit to the yard.

    Not officially? -No.
    In your opinion, from
    ing timber decreafed in
    I know there is a diffict

    What were you bef Quarterman in the yal and conyert it.

    That was your duty
    You were bred a hi as a converter.

    I fuppofe till you bed look for timber, did 5

    Then your knowled moft particularly, and a fall of timber, if Ife fay that I knew any thi fent out as a purveyor.

    As purveyor, you a timber, to look at that

    Of courle I fuppofe into other parts of the different counties whe at one lot ill I have fi on, and get the timbe on wharfs before I cas

    My queftion was th sout as fit for the ufe o or more lots, or on 0 culate on their flate where the timber is Government.

    You know that lar
    -Yes, I do; and wi
    And knowing that
    now than there was 1
    tion about that.
    But whether there
    you cannot tell?-I

    1 opportunity of feeing ore not feen? I I am fpeaking of places I have feen, I cannot prether it is of a good qualitrreafed in places 1 have not feen. rament having prohibited thber?-Yes ; they certainly have confumed a great quantity of e of any fuch prohibition. $n$ diftreffed for it very often too.
    eived from the contractor? ${ }^{\omega w n}$ any building or equipment of lhips either in the Governyears; I think it is three if time for want of timber? were building for Government, fland Ilyou mentioned ?-Yes, of
    ay have ever food hill in merchants yards for the want of

    - Richardion of Limehoufelayed in point of time of the execution of the contract?know his yard there. thin my knowledge that they were.
    or offered by him for the has been in the Government yard at Woolwich; how many Ew years ago, about two yeame purveyor? I was five-and-uxenty years, I think, in the timber, and I recollect that kh $I$ was at Chatham.
    $t$, or any other perfon. ard then?-I ferved my apprenticefhip in Chatham yard. vernment purpofes, and rejacle of thefe two iaft wars?-Yes.
    \{ a deficiency of fome timbers, do you mean by that the very the timber when it comes uit far the very largeft purpares of hip-building only ?-No, I the ground ?-I felect fucter, fuch as lower futrocks and fourth futtocks: compars timber?
    $t$ comes to the gard?-Only
    are the moft crooked pieces ?-Yes.
    erience you have had, has thithat is the only tinber in which you feel any deficiency?-sunties?-I think it has decrng, loors and beam pieces are fcarce commodieies, as well as rocuring timber for certain pu
    examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]
    became Purveyor of rimber f?-It depends on the nature of the veffel they are going Toolwich, and ufed to mealile line ?-I fuppoiée a lloor in a converted fate for a feventyfixty feet in a midhip floor.
    rock-yard?-Yes. , ?- Yes; and my principal ey feet melings. purveyor, you never travelle puld it would be formed ? - You might get it out of a tree of aoo o. from your obtervation, timber of fimilar dimenfions with the floor?-Beam pieces -Xes, I generi; if you cannot get them in three you may work then in of wood do take notice of it it be a particular length.
    te quantity of umber in the cor ly large timber and the compals timber, that you apprehend in confent the contra ${ }^{\text {re }}$ is a great deal of very latge timber ftanding now, ornamental in conrequ thich they have of cut down it is not worth while for the merchant to move it $\square$ the fraight way to that tin places.
    $y$ to look for more? - Yes; ftimber is in fome meafure fuperfeded by the ufe of iron and oute is, and 1 go from ope to : it?-Not the timber, certainly.
    that; I am obliged to keep the ompals-fimber, are they not?-No; they are made of ftraight ward as I can- a great deal of of no fubtitute for compafs-timber whatever, for lower from many eftates.
    on you are fent to fee timber :rnment, you go merely to fee nore eftates; and you do not bndition? - Surely not, that and felect fuch as I conceiv I in the navy for remedying the deficiency of compals-timber, , are many very great improvements made in it; where we ser, we are obliged to make it in fome two or three pieqces, ices may occur.
    f to the yard of Mr. Richardfon about two years ago; by ou go?-1 had a letter from the contractor, faying there was he wifhed me to go and look at it; I went, and I did not ntities of large timber have beet had large falls from fome eftatidr. Richardfon's invitation, but the contractor fent you to a as been cut and carried awhaty to go wherever I am diredted; it may be a little more that was cut and carried away cannot fpeak to the date.
    ord Gwydir's eftate, with the timber upon it ? - I think it was tore or lefs in a county generabber was taken down at Grimufthorpe Park. t mult decreale confiderably. is rather of an inferior quality.

    Do you know any thing of Government having prohibited the ufe of it in contracts. for building hips? - I am not aware of any fuch prohibition.
    Committee.]-Has any been received from the contractors, from Nottinghamthire? -Not within the laft three years; I think it is three years fince I marked any in Nottinghammire for thert.

    Mr. Spankie.]-That was the fall you mentioned ?-Yés, of Lord Manvers's.
    Do you know a Mr. Chriftopher Richardfon of Limehoufe, a timber merchant? -I cannot fay I know the man, I know his yard there.
    Have you infpected any timber offered by him for the ufes of Government, lately ?- I. trent to his yard fome few years ago, about two years ago to the beft of my recollection, to look at fome timber, and I recollect that I left it ; it was timber that would have done me nio credit, or any other perfon.
    You confidered it unfit for Government purpofes, and rejected it?-Yes; which I confidered it my duty to do.
    It is part of your duty to mark the timber when it comes to the yard?-No.
    You only mark the timber on the ground ?-I felect fuch as I conceive to be fit for naval purpofes.

    You do not fee it again when it comes to the yard?-Only occafionally, if I make a vifit to the yard.
    Not officially?-No.
    In your opinion, from the experience you have had, has the quantity of thip-building timber decreafed in thofe counties?-I think it has decreafed confiderably, and I know there is a difficulty in procuring timber for certain purpofes.

    ## [CTrofrexamined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    What were you before you became Purveyor of timber for the Navy ?-I was a Quarterman in the yard at Woolicich, and wed to meafure timber occafionally, and convert it.

    That was your duty in the dock-yard?-Yes.
    You were bred a fhipwright?-Yes; and my principal employ in the yard was as a converter.

    I fuppofe till you became a purveyor, you never travelled the country much to look for timber, did you? -No.

    Then your knowledge is derived from your oblervation, within thele four years moft particularly, and fix years off and on ?-Yes, I generially, as I go along to view a fall of timber, if I fee a bit of wood do take notife of it ; but I do not mean "to fay that I knew any thing of the quantity of timberin the country, prior to my being fent out as a purveyor.

    As purveyor, you are' fent in confequence of the contractors häing offered fome timber, to look at that particular timiber which they have offered?-Yes.

    Of courfe I fuppofe you go the ftraight way to that timber, and do not digrefs into other parts of the country to look for more?-Yes; Thave eight or ten lots in different counties where my route is, and I go from one to the other; I do not ftop at one lot till I have finifhed that; I am obliged to keep the hewers and the fawyers on, and get the timber as forward as I can; a great deal of the timber will get drawn on wharfs before I can fee it from many eftates.

    My queftion was this, when you are fent to fee timber that the contractor points "out as fit for the ufe of Government, you go merely to fee that, whether it is in one or more lots, or on one or more eftates; and you do not go to other eflates to fpeculate on their ftate and condition?-Surely not, that is not my bulinels; I go where the timber is felled, and felect fuch as I conceive to be fit for the ufe of Government.

    You know that large quantities of large timber häve been cut upoin certain eftates? -Yes, I do; and we have had large falls from fome eftates.
    And knowing that that has been cut and carried away, you know there is lefs now than there was before that was cut and carried away? -There can be no quertion about that.

    But whether there is more or lefs in a county generatly, or in any other place, you cannot tell?-I think it muft decreafe confiderably.

    In places you have not feen? I I am fpeaking of places I have feen, I cannot pre. fume to fay it has decreafed in places I have not feen.
    You know that in the courfe of this long war, Government has confumed a very large quantity of timber ? - Yes ; they certainly have confumed a great quantity of timber, and have been diftreffed for it very often too.

    Have you ever known any building or equipment of hips either in the Government yards, or in the private yards where they were building for Government, ftand ftill or fail in point of time for want of timber?-No; it has never come within ny knowledge, that they have ever food fill in merchants yards for the waut of timber.

    Were they ever delayed in paint of time of the execution of the contrati?It never has come within my knowledge that they were.

    Your employment has been in the Government yard at Woolwich; how many years before you became purveyor?-I was five-and-twenty years, I think, in the fervice, feven of which I was at Chatham.

    In a Government yard then?-I ferved my apprenticehip in Chatham yard.
    Then that is the whole of thefe two iaft wars?-Yes.
    When you fpeak of a deficiency of fome timbers, do you mean by that the very large pieces that are fit for the very largeft purpofes of ihip-building only ?-No; I $\checkmark$ peak of difficult timber, fuch as lower futrocks and fourth futtocks.

    They are made of compais timber?-Yes.
    Committee.]-They arethe moft crooked pieces ?-Yes.
    Mr. Adolphus.]-That is the only timber in which you feel any deficiency ?.No; generally fpeaking, floors and beam pieces are fcarce commodities, as well as futtocks.

    They are timbers of a very large defcription, are they not?-Some of the largelt.

    What fize are they?-It depends on the nature of the veffel they are going into.

    Suppote fhips of the line?-I fuppore a lloor in a converted fate for a feventyfour would be nearly fizty feet in a midhip floor.
    That is not what you call fixy feet metings, is it?-1I fhould not fuppofe you could get it out of fixty feet meings.

    Of what metings. would that be formed ?-You might get it out of a tree of, 100 feet of rough timber, and it would be very good converfion then to get it.

    Do the beams take timber of fimilar dimenfions with the floor ?-Beam pieces you may make horter; if you cannot get then in three you may work them in . Cour, but the floor muft be a particular length.

    Then it is of this very large timber and the compals timber, that you apprehend a deficiency?-1 apprehend the deficiency of the timber to be in timber of ahout roo feet metings; there is a great deal of very large timber ftanding now, ornamental timber, but when it is cut down it is not worth while for the merchant to move it -of the ground in many places.

    The ufe of compafs-timber is in fome meafure fuperfeded by the ufe of iron and other faftenings, is not it? -Not the timber, certainly.

    Knees are made of compals-fimber, are they not?-No; they are made of fraight timber now; I know of no fublitute for compals-timber whatever, for lower futtocks and fourth futtocks, and things of that kind.

    There are means ufed in the navy for remedying the deficiency of compals-timber, "are there not?-There are many very great improvements made in' it; where we cannot get compafs-imber, we are obliged to make it in fome two or three pieces, according as circumftances may occur.

    You fpoke of going to the yard of Mr. Ríchardfon about two years ago $\hat{\text {; }}$ by whofe inftruction did you go? - I had a letter from the contractor, faying there was fome timber there, and be wifhed me to go and look at it ; I went, and I did not approve of it

    You did not go by Mr. Richardfon's invitation, but the contractor fent you to "look at it ?- It is my duty to go wherever I am diretted; it may be a little more than two years ago; I cannot feeak to the date.

    When did you fee Lord $\mathbf{G}$ wgdir's eftate, with the timber upon it ?-I think it was three years fince the timber was taken down at Gripunthorpe Park.

    Mr. It was not fo lately as laft year, I take for granted ?-No $;$ I have never been in Benjamin Ramage, the park fince, nor was I ever there before.

    I think you fay there is a fmall quantity left there ?-From what I could judge by riding about the park and the woods, while I was there, and my employment about the eftate; I was there thirteen or fourteen days, and malt have taken fome little notice of the timber there; and I recollect an obfervation I made at the time, that if the timber flanding was no better than that which was down, it was good for very little.

    You have ftated, I think, that you have no doubt that there is timber coming forward, which, in the courfe of time, will anfwer as a fupply to all that has been taken away?-I have no doubt it will, but it will be fome years firft.

    How long?-I thould think fifty years at leaft, before it would come to maturity.

    Do you mean that in the places where timber has been cut, which you have oblerved, or in the country in general ?-In the country in general ; I think, gentlemen feem to be preferving oak more now than ever they did.

    I do not obferve your flating your having any knowledge of Kent and Suffex, and other counties, where there are large quantities of oak?-We have had very litule from Thofe two counties; I have been in the counties of Sulfex and Kent.

    How lately ?-I was laft year in Suftex, a great deal at Sir Godfrey Webfter's eftates at Alhburnham.

    Do you know whether there are large quantities there ?-I do not know; we had very little.

    But from your riding obfervation?-I obferved there was fome very good timber ftanding in the neighbourhood of Uckfield, but who it belongs to I do not know.

    When were you laft in Hampfhire?-I think it is about eight weeks fince I came from Bafingtoke Park.

    Have you ever had occafion to fee the eftate of Sir William Heatheote at Heirfey ?-I do not exactly know it by name.
    Between. Winchefter and Romfey?-No; that is out of my beat.
    There is a Mrs. Fleming at Stoneham ?-I know her eftate very well; we had fome timber from it laft year; and weyad fome timber from the Ille of Wight.
    Is there much timber thete?-I can hardly anfwer to the quantity that is left; what we had laft year swas apparently fmall; I left fome of that behind, in the IIe of Wight in particular.

    From whofe eftate had you timber in the Ifle of Wight ? -Mr . Fleming ; his eftates .extend nearly as far as Romfey altogether, but the tiniber in that part of the country generally zuns frall ; it is a foil that will not produce large timber.

    You never faw Sir William Heathcote's eftate?-Not to my knowledge.
    Do you know Sir William Oglander's eftate in the Ine of Wight?-No, I do not,
    Mr. Chute's ?-I recollect being in fome woods in the Ifte of Wight, from Cowes rup to Brading and that part; but who the eftates belonged to I do not know.

    Is there a good deal of timber fill in the Ille of Wight?-It is very fmall; I cose fider it to be very trifling.

    Have you been all over the IIe of Wight?-Not all over it.
    Do you know Mr. Chute's eftate near Bafingtoke? -No, I do not know it.
    Do you know Lady Mildmay's, at Dogmersfield? -No.
    Do you know Tilney Hall in Hampfhire ${ }^{\text {S }}$-No; I do not know it exadty.
    Sir Thomas Miller's, near Elton?-No.
    Lord Bolton's?-No.
    Sir Harry Featherfonehaurgh's, near Petersfield?-I do not know it ; I do not know any of the places you have mentioned.

    Do you know Lord Stawell's eftate at The Holt?-I do not know it.
    Do you know Lord Middleton's ellate?-No; I know nothing of it.
    You have nothing to do with the providing of timber for the navy? I felea fuch as is fit for the navy, after the contrattor has made his purchafe.
    'Phen you exercife a rejecting power? - Yes; I either take or rejet, and then it is fubject to the refufal of the officer, when it gets to the place of its deltination.

    But the examination of timber throughout the country, for the purpofe of fupply, is no part of your bufinefs in any way; it is mere matter of curiofity?-That is not my duty at all.

    You have feen the Duke of Buccleugh's eftate, I fuppofe ?-I have; I believe I have.feen almoft atl the eftates he is poffeffion of, both in England and Scotland; and we have pretty well thinned his effates of timber naw, I believe.

    Have you feen Lord Bagot's woods, in Staffordhire?-No; I go véry litile into Staffordhire.

    You anfwered my learned friend, that you did not think there was any eftate in the kingdom that could furnihh as much timber as the Dake of Buccleugh's; of courfe it cannot be from obfervation you fay that?-I formed my ideas from the quantity bf timber that was on that fmall eftate; there was no other eftate that cculd furnifh that quantity; it had not been cut for many years, and it food almoft as thick as corn in a field, and we were four years in cutting ir down.
    Still not having feen the whole kingdom, you cannot tell whether, in places, you have not feen, there may not be fuch another eftate?-I am only fpeaking of places I have been in.

    Comnittee.]-You mean to fay, you hever faw any thing like it? - Yes:

    ## [Re-cxamined by Mr. Sparkie.]

    You have been feeaking only of what you have feen in the courfe of your official duty ?-Certainly, only what I have feen in my official duty, within thefe four years.
    When it is your buinefs to go to an eftate where there is a large fall, you of courfe have opportunities of feeing not only what is cut, but what is left?-It is from that obfervation that I form my opinion of the quantity of timber in Grimfthorpe Park.
    In your opinion, upon the Grimfthorpe eftate is there 100,0001. worth of timber that can be cut?-I fhould think you would not find any perfon who would give 100,000 . for it ; not any perfon who knew the quality.

    Should you think there is $100,000 \mathrm{~L}$ worth to be cut ?-I really fhould not think there is half the quantity.

    Of the quantities cut down, from your general experience can you tell us. what proportion is fit to be received for the Public Service? -I thould think about fourtffhs; of timber 40 feet and upwards, as generally the: mn me by the contrators, full four-fifths are fit for a 1 inch fidings, and upwards; but taking the common falls of timber through the country, as fcea by me in the woods and fields ${ }_{2}$ no more than one-third are of 60 feet metings.

    You fay four-fifths of the fize is fit to be received !-Yes, $t$ think fo.
    Then, of the quality felled, what proportion may be fit to be received? -I hould think, out of the four-fifths that I fay may be fit for naval purpofes, I leave behind à tenth part of that quantity ; that is as near as I can flate.

    Comnittee.]-That is, out of 100 trees, you find 80 of them of a good fize? Yes.

    - And abour $70^{\circ}$ of a good quality ? - Yes, more than that.

    How many out of 100 trees?-I fhould think from 80 to 90 ; you mult take the fmaller timber out,
    You fay, that four-fifths out of the fall is good, in point of fize? -Yes:
    That would give you 80 that are fit in fize? -Yes.
    Out of that 80 , you would ftrike off again eight or ten that were not good, in point of quality? - Yes.

    Leaving then about 70 that' would be fit for the purpofe?-Yes, thereabouts ; I think there is one-fifth of the timber that is of that fmall delcription that is fit for no kind of fhip-building whatever.

    Mr. Adolphus.]-No kind of Government building?-It might be fit for ctaft, or fmall fmacks, or things of that kind, or carpenters buildigg in the country.
    Mr. Spankie.]-You fpoke of the timber in Hampfhire; fpeaking of that you have feen, you Itated it was generally fmall timber ?-That part belonging to the Duke of Buccleugh, is fmall timber; but I have had fome very good timber indeed out of Hamplfire:
    $\therefore$ In the Ine of Wight it is fmall ? - Yes, I found it very fmall in the Ifle of.Wight; it is.not a place for large timber at all.
    You have been examined as to the contrivances to fupply the place of compals-timber?-There are fome improvements; where twe cannot get compafs, we are obliged to make them in two or tbree pieces, where they were formerly made of one.
    In your opinion, does this alteration tend to a greater confumption of timber than,
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    Mr. formerly ?-I Ghould think it would rather tend to the greater confumptiop: of Beinjanin Ramage. timber.

    For what reafon?-It certainly mult take more timber to make it in three pieces, than it would to cut it out of one; that is my opinion of it, theugh you may cut it out of a finaller piece.

    In the courfe of your obfervation, confining yourfelf to what you have feen, has the quantity of hedge-row timber declined ?-Yes, I think it has.

    Hedge-row timber is generally crooked timber?-Yes; it is confidered valuable timber, we have had a great deal of hedge-row timber; and therefore 1 think it muft have decreafed.

    You flated that there was a greater care beftowed on the prefervation of timber now than formerly? - Yes, I think there is; I have noticed it in many parts.

    How foon is that care likely to be attended with bringing timber into ufe for naval purpofes?-I think fifty years; I only give that as my opinion, it may be more or lefs.

    Before that time, do you think there will be any want of fupply of the fpecies of timber, of which there has been fo large a confumption for large thips?-I think there is a deficiency of the timber of the defcription I have alluded to, for the futtocks.

    ## [Examined by the Committce.]

    You have ftated you have been in the habit of going through the country, with a view of looking at thofe parcels of wood which have been offered by the con-tractors?-Yes.
    Has it appeared that there has been, from the general afpect of the face of the country, a confiderable decreafe of large timber?-Yes; I do not think we fee fo much as formerly we ufed to do.
    Does any thing pals between you and the Commiffioners of the Navy; do they ftate to you what quantities they want, or do you only go and look at parcels of wood, in confequence of wood being offered?-I have repeatedly orders from them to félect fuch and fuch pieces of timber, which I cannot get very often; I have been as müch as two years, and could not get twelve pieces for certain parts of a fhip, and I do not think I have procured them to this day.
    Have you general directions from the Navy Board to look about for different kinds of timber? -Yes; for different pieces of timber that are wanted.
    Then, in all the offers you have had in the laft two years from different people, you have not been able to find more than ten or twelve pieces more than you wanted?-I found a few of them, but very few of them; there are fome particular defcriptions of timber in a hhip, I do not think it is poffible to get in any part where I have been, at leaft I found a difficulty in getting them.
    What is the particular defcription of timber, which you have found a difficulty in getting? -Floors and fourth futtocks.
    Now fpeaking of the timber generally of a large fize, which is ufed in fhipa, building, has the Navy Board eiver found any difficulty in getting what they wanted ?-Yes; they have found difficulties in obtaining what they, wanted, and they have been obliged to have recourfe to other means.

    What other means have they had recourfe to?-To make fuch timber as they, could not get in one in two, and ufe fir inftead of oak, and fo on in many parts.

    The queftion was, whether the offers that were made. to the Navy Board, were always fufficient to meet their wants?-Nearly, fo, but in fome inflances they, were not.

    But in point of quantity for general purpofes, have they had fufficient?-They have; it has anfwered their purpole; but I am hardly able to judge, $I$ am in the yards fo little:
    Have the offers been as frequent as they were before, and as large ?-I find them equally fo.

    Has not the contract price of timber very much increafed within the laft twenty: years? -Our contract prices have increafed, but I know nothing of the prices with gentlemen in the country; Government prices have: increafed within the laft four. years.

    To what do you attribute that increafe of price?-To the fcarcity, I fhould: think, of the larger timber, I do not know: what elfe it car be; I hould think if there were more of the article; they would never. Have advanced the:price.

    Do you mean to apply the diffrefs which you fpoke of, which the Government had frequently felt, merely, to that particular large timber?-To the timber of the middling clafs, fuch as I defrribe, timber of about 100 feet.

    Have you ever found any difficulty in getting plank ?-I have nothing to do with the plank part of the bufinefs, the contrator furnilhes fo many loads of plank to every thoufand loads of oak timber; a proportion of plank is fent in with the timber.

    Has much fmaller timber been taken for naval purpofes recently, than ufed to be ?-The fmalleft timber I dare mark, is tiritber of thirty feet in the rough ftate and I can mark it as low as twelve feet long, and eleven inches in the fided ftate.

    That is cut to a fquare?-Yes, not die fquare.
    What do you neean by marking it?-It is to approve of it, that it may be fent into the different yards.

    That is to fay, you fet The King's mark upon timber that you find ready cut? Yes.

    You do not mark trees ftanding?-Certainly not.
    You have fooken of the Ine of Wight; you do not confider the Ille of Wight a timber country at all ?-No; the timber is fmaller.

    Is not the Ine of Wight principally divided into places of chiefly ornamental timber?-No; there are many very nice little coples in the Ille of Wight, and there are fome very pretty fticks, but they are generally fmall.

    Do you know any thing of Mallborough Foreft ?-No, I know nothing of it, only by riding through it.

    Do you know any thing of the wood at Bulftrode ? - Yes.
    What quantity of wood is to be found there that is fit for naval purpofes?-The timber we had there laft year was very defective indeed.
    Is there any quantity of wood there now fit for naval purpofes?-No, I do not think there is; if I can form an idea from what we had laft year, it was fo very bad we could not apply it to any purpofes; it had ftood too long.

    You faid you had never known any building in the King's yards or in the private, yards, at a ftand for want of timber; has it fallen within your knowledge, that there has been any great ufe of foreign timber lately in the King's yards?-There was a ufe of foreign timber about five or fix years ago, there wete two or three fhips cut out wholly of foreign timber.
    That timber does not fall under your infpection before it is received ?-No.
    Then you do not know any thing of the relative quantities received of it in the laft year ?-Na.
    You have faid, you go to infpect wood that has been felled, to fee whether it is fit for the ufes of His Majefty's navy?-Yes, that is my bufinefs.
    In what way do you go ?-I go as foon as it is felled.
    How do you travel?-In various ways, by flage coaches, the beft mode of conveyance I can get.

    Beft on account of expedition?-I often travel by the mail all night.
    You do not look much after timber in the night-time in the mail?-No; I have nothing to do with the timber while it is ftanding, I only felect what is fit for the purpofe when it is felled.

    But you bave faid, that from your general obfervation there is a confiderable decreate of large timber fit for naval purpofes ?-I think there is, from the hedgerows and fo on.
    How far do you extend that oblervation and that opinion ?-To the various places I have been at..

    In how many counties have you been to infpect timber?-In moft counties in. the kingdom:

    And you extend your oblervation, that there has been a confiderable decreafe of timber fit for naval purpofes in moft counties in:the kingdom?-Upon my word I think fo.

    You have travelled into thofe counties, upon the errand of infpecting timber cut, down for the ufe of the Navy ? - Yes.

    Have you had any other means of general obfervation of the flate of timber as ta its quantity; than travelling in that way for that parpofe?-No, I have not; only from converfation with genterien about timber.

    - Then

    Mr. Then is the opinion you have expreffed upon the confiderable decreafe of timber, Benjamin Ramage. founded partly upon your travelling in the moft expeditious manner in the mail and ftage coaches, and other expeditious modes of travelling, to infpect rimber, and alfo from having heard from gentlemen with whom you have converfed, that the ftock of timber fit for naval purpofes has diminifhed of late years ?-My opinion is founded in not finding it when I get to certain places, and the oblervations I have made in going along; I do not form my opinion on any other obfervations than my own.
    In forming your oblervations as you fay, in going along, have you deviated from the direct road to the place of your deftination? - 1 am very feldom in the country without going over the fpace of three or four miles along hedges and fo on, there is where I form my opinion; it is not going along the road that you can form an opinion of the ftate of timber, but it is when on the eftates.
    Have you not faid, that you have found offers of timber as plentifully lately as they were heretofore? -I find jult as much work now as I have done fur the laft four years.

    - Do you know the prefent market prices of oak timber fit for large lhip-building ? -No; I only know our contract price.

    Then you know the contrat prizes?-Yes, I do.
    Are the contract prices fo extravagant, as to make you think from them that there is a great fcarcity of timber fit for the purpofes of large fhip-building ? - I fuppufe if there had not been fome difficulty in procuring timber of this defcription, they would not have given the price they. now do.

    But I afk you, whether you think that price fo extravagant, as to lead you to fuppofe from thence that there is a fcarcity of that defcription of timber?-No; the price did not make me think fo at all.

    If the price was to rife much higher fuddenly, would that indicate to you a fcarcity ? - I do not think I am competent to anfwer that queftion $;$ it might be an inducement to gentlemen who have timber, to fell it.

    Do you think a very extravagant high price fuddenly produced, is an indication of a fcarcity of the article ?-1 hould think it would be.

    Having faid fo, do you think that the prefent contract price of timber is fo exiravagant, as to indicate a fcarcity of that article? -1 do not think that the prefent contract price is an extravagant one; I think it is very moderate.

    Did a Mr. Noakes thew you fome large timber for floors, about two years ago, in Hertfordhire?-I have no recollection of that; I recolleck his telling me I fhould find fome floors, but I think it was, to the beft of my recollection, at a place called Snape, near Woodbridge.
    In confequence of that, did you go there ?-I was then on my route to Norwich, and I called at Snape.

    Did you find what you had been taught to expect ?-No; it had been fhipped and taken away bcfore I got there:
    Do you know any infance, within the laft few years, of a contractor for timber having been unable to produce the quantity of timber which he had contracted to produce, within the time fecified in the contract ?-No, I do not; they have a certain time allowed to them for their delivery, after I mark it.

    Have you the means of knowing whether a contraclor, who does contract to deliver a certain quantity in a certain time, does deliver it according to that contract or not?-Yes; I have the means of knowing the terms of his contract, and he is there bound to deliver it on or before a certain time.

    You are afked, whether he does perform the contract as to time?-I have not the means of knowing that; I know whether he delivers a certain quantity according to his agreement, becaufe I mark it.

    Then, when you have marked as much as will fulfil the contract, do you confider he has completed the contract, as far as he has to do with it?-Yes, I think he has, as far as I have to do with it; when I have marked the quantity he is bound to furnifh, I have nothing more to do with it; he is bound then to deliver it within a certain, time, and fuch part as I mark as moft wanted, he gives the preference to in hhipping.
    Then of late years when you, knowing the terms of a contract, have gone to the contractor to infpect his fock, and felect out of that fock as much as he has con-
    traCted to fupply, have you found any dificulty, when you came to fee that flock, to make that felection?--Yes.
    In many inftances ?-In fome few inflances I have rejeeted vaft quantidies.
    Have you been able to feled as much out of all his flock as would fulfil his contrad? -l have.
    Then you have never found yourfelf unable, when going upon fuch an errand, to feleat out of the flock of the contrator as much as would fulfil his contratt?-No, I never have.

    Do you know any cafe, in which a contractor who had contracted to fupply a large quantity of timber in two years to Government, made an end of his contract by fupplying it all in one year ?-I think Mr. Larkins did, to the beft of my recollection.
    Was that an extenfive contract?-lt was a contract for 40,000 loads of timber, I think.
    How long was it ago; was it two, three, or four years ago ?-I think, laft year I marked for him about 40,000 loads of timber.
    Do you know the time in which he had contrated to fupply that imber?-It was a running contrat, to run from one year to the other, and fo on.
    Then was that his whole year's fupply upon that running contract?-No; he was to fupply fo much in one year, fo much the year following, and fo much in each year, for a term of years.
    Do you know how much he was to fupply in the laft year, in which you felected out of his flock about 40,000 loads?-I think it was 36,000 loads, but I am not exactly certain; and there was an agreement befides for fome certain quantity beyond that.
    If called for?-If called for; I think it was 40,000 loads I felected laft year.
    Can you fay what the addition to that contract was to be in the power of Government to call for if they chofe? - No, I cannot.

    Was he to fupply that 36,000 loads in the courfe of laft year? -I think in the courfe of laft year or before Lady-day of this year; there is a certain time allowed for delivery at the commencement of the contract.

    Can you fay generally, whether he delivered it much before the day on which he was to deliver it, or not? -I do not think the time is yet expired.

    It is not all delivered ?-No.
    It has all been marked for the ufe of the Navy?-Yes.
    Is the delivery made after marking, at the pleafure of the contractor, or is he to wait till the convenience of Government prompts them to call for this or that proportion of the whole fupply which he has ro make?-He fends it in as foon as he poffibly can; he has notice to what yard it is to go, and he fends it in as quick as he cau.

    You know of no inftance of a merchant, after his timber has been felected by the perfons fent down, being told to let it lie with him till further orders?-No; I do not know of any; there might have been fuch orders; I know of none.

    Do you know whether the Navy Board has taken the laft order of Meffrs. Boucher, for 20,000 loads ?-I do not know it officially, but I think they have not taken it.

    ## 'Mcrcurii, $8^{\circ}$ die Junii 1814.

    ## SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

    Williann Dennijon Have you had fufficient experience of Indian-built veffels, to be able to fpeak pofitively with refpect to the quality of thofe veffels, as fit both for the country trade of India, and for the trade between this country and India ?-Yes.

    Can you flate whether thofe fhips are good or not for the purpofes mentioned in the laft queftion?-They are as good thips as can be for any trade; the fhip, that I brought home is calculated for every trade that is poffible.

    What is the ihip you fpeak of?-The Hannah.
    Of how many tons? - Four hundred and fifty-eight tons.
    Mr. Grant.]-Do you mean to fpeak of the excellence of the materials, or the conitruction, or both? -Of both.
    Are thofe fhips ftrong?-I fancy they are as ftrong as any hips can be built.
    Suppofing you to be navigating fuch a fhip between India and this country, and to be in a gale of wind, fhould you feel as eafy, with refpect to the prefervation of your crew and cargo, as you would in a hhip which was built in any other country? -More fo.
    Would it be an advantage to you, in managing your thip in a gale of wind, that you were not apprehenfive with refpect to her ftrength?-It certainly would.
    I mean to fay, could you in a ftrong fhip, inftead of lying to, proceed and take your chance? -That depends fo much upon circumftances that it is impofible to anfiver it.
    Of what manufacture is the rigging of the fhip in which you have come home? The whole of her ftanding rigging was Englif patent rope.
    Can you fay whether the fhips which you have commanded in the country trade, have had any proportion of their rigging fupplied from the manufacture of this country?-Almoft all the fhips that I have commanded in the country have been rigged with Englifh rope.
    Committee.]-Do you mean the flanding rigging, or both ?-I mean the ftanding rigging; the running rigging is generally of country rope.

    Mr. Grant.]-Do they ufe ftanding rigging of European manufacture, in preference to that of the manufacture of the country?- When it is reafonable in price.

    Then I am to underftand you to fay, that when they choofe to ufe ftanding rigging of Indian manufacture; it is only becaufe the price will not permit them to purchafe fanding rigging of European manufacture ?-I believe generally fo; fome people may prefer Indian rigging:

    Can you Itate at all, in the fitting out of a fhip, what would be the comparative expence of fitting her with rigging of Indian manufacture, and with rigging of European manufacture, fuppofing the European rigging to be at what you call a realonable price?-I fiould imagine the prices would be nearly the fame, if the cordage was at the fame rate it was before the war, or even in 1797 .
    Do you mean to fay then that there would be the difference in quality, in favour of the rigging of European manufacture?-As to the quality, it is not entirely decided; fome people prefer the one, and fome the other; I for my part prefer the European.

    Are you able to fay whether the country fhipping of Bombay do afford a market of any extent to the rigging manufactured in this country?-To a very confiderable extent.

    Can you fay whether the Englifh cordage imported into that country, pays any duty or not?-It pays a duty, I know; butI do not know what it is.

    Do you remember any period when the Englifh cordage has been particularly dear in that country?-In 1811, it was very dear; and very dear before I came home in 1812, and not to be got in any quantity, except from the Company's ftores where it was fixty rupees a hundred weight; I paid for European patent cordage 52 rupees per cwt.

    At that time was the ufe of cordage manufactured in India, greater than it had been before?-Greater than it had been for feveral years before; I paid fifty-two rupees a hundred for the cordage we had for the Hannah, and in the fame month it was raifed to fixty.

    Committee.]-Do you know of its being purchafed at the Company's ftores, at that rate in India?-Yes, about fixty.

    Indian cordage bearing what price at that time? -I cannot exactly fay.
    Was Indian cordage extraordinarily dear at that time?-It was dearer than:it had been, but not extraordinarily dear.

    Bearing no proportion to that price?-No; a little more than half that price.
    Mr. Grant.]-Am I to underftand that Englifh cordage is in great requeft there, even when the price of it is advanced?-Yes; there is a confiderable quantity ufed for particular purpofes.
    Are thofe purpofes where they require greater ftrength of cordage, or what elfe? - Yes; for greater Atrength, for the ropes of fails and other things.

    Can you fay whether there are any other articles, either in the building or fitting out of a hip, which are of European manufacture? -The fmaller fpars, topmafts, and topfail yards, and the fhip chandlery, lheathing copper, copper bolts, iron, iron nails, blocks of all defcriptions, anchors, channel knees, iron knees, iron breaft hooks, and ftandards.

    Can you, in any rough way, give us an account of what may be the average quantity of the articles of European manufacture which are ufed in the outfit of a veffel built at Bombay?-Not correatly.

    We have it in evidence that the teak veffels are remarkably durable?-They are very durable, I have been in one that was between fifty and fixty years old.

    Was the often repaired?-She had been feveral times repaired.
    Has fhe been employed always in the country trade, or has the been to Europe? She was always in the country trade.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

    You were afked, whether it was not advantageous to have a frong fip, with a view to your management of her in a gale of wind, and you were afked with reference to lying to or any other operation; now I afk you, whether that does not depend on whether the is a good fea-boat or not?-It does.

    Does it depend entirely on how the lies in the fea, and whether the is crank or not, and what fort of a fea-boat the is, inftead of the frength of the hull?Certainly it does.

    You have faid you gave fifty-two rupees per hundred, for the rigging of the Hannah, did you purchafe that yourfelf?-Yes; I made the purchafe myfelf, it was paid by the owners of the fhip.

    Now, have you ever afcertained by experiment, or known whether experiments have been made upon the comparative Atrength of Indian rope and Englifh rope? Yes; I have feen feveral tried.

    Of what defription was the Indian rope, with which thefe experiments were made ?-I have feen it made from the hemp of the aloe and the coire.

    Bur my enquiry is directed to rope made in India of the beft materials, which I believe you call the Salfette hemp?-Thofe were made from the beft materials of Salfette hemp.

    In what way was the rope made of the Salfette hemp, manufactured by patent machinery, or only in the common way?-In the common way.

    Was it tried againft patent rope or rope conftructed in the fame way?-Againft common rope of the fame fize.
    Which was prefetable?-The common Europeani rope; it was tried againft King's rope.

    To what extent were thofe experiments made? - It was only in fmall cordage.
    When you fpeak of fmall cordage, what defcription of cordage do you allude to ?-As large as three and a half inches in circumference.

    Is that rope of a fize that is ufed for bolt ropes and fails?-Yes; it is ufed for bolt ropes of fmall fails.

    In the Hannah and the veffels you have failed with, you alluded juft now to ropes for fails, did you mean that they were of Englifh cordage?-Yes; in general.

    Has' the manufacture of the rope and other articles for the furnithing of fhips, increafed in India within thefe few years?-Within thefe five or fix years it has increafed very much.

    And is in a ftate of progreffive increafe?-I do nor know; it is made more for The King's fhips, than any thing elfe; there are very few fhips in India ufe the hemp rope of India, except The King's flips.

    Committee:]-The Indian flips generally ufe the fun rope?-No, the coire rope; the fun is not much ufed in India for rope, they ufe it for canyas.

    William Demnis,n

    Mr. Harrifon.]-What defcription of rope of Indian manufacture have The King's fhips ufed in India?-Generally for running rigging, they have tried fome cables.

    1 think I underftood you to fay, you could not give me the price of Indian cordage of a fimilar defcription to Britilh, at the time you purchafed the cordage for the Hannah ? -I cannot exactly fay; about five-and-twenty or thirty rupees per hundred; it did not exceed thirty.

    At the time you were giving fifty-two for Britifh?-Yes.
    Are the teak-built fhips generally good failers?-They are as good failers as merchantmen generally are.
    Do you mean to ftate they are, in comparifon with Englifh veffels of the fame defcription, as good failers, and as good fea-boats, as the veffels built in England?Yes, I think fo; I think they are better veffels than merchantmen generally are.
    Are they crank veffels, or not?-Some of them are; they are generally cranker on the firft vnyage than they are afterwards; they are more buoyant than European veffeis generally are; and they have not fufficient ballaft-on the firlt voyage generally; when they have fome experience of them, they give them the necefiary ballaft, and then they are not fo crank, they are not fo buoyant the next voyage.
    Are you generally obliged to give them an additional quantity of ballaft on the fecond voyage ?-No; people who are accultomed to them generally make allow. ances for them.
    Do they carry more ballait in proportion, than Britifh-built veffels?-No, I do not think they do.
    Do they generally carry cargo in proportion to their meafured tonnage, fo well as Britifh thips?-They are built fharper, generally, than the fhips built in this country, and of courfe they cannot flow fo much cargo.

    They are not what you call fuch burthenfome veffels?-They are fharper and more fit for men of war; I fpeak of Bombay fhips.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Grant.]

    I hould like to know from you, is it no advantage in managing a fhip in a gale of wind, to be fecure of her itrength?-A ftrong fhip will carry more fail without preffing her, than a weaker one.

    Then, if I underftand you now, befide the advantage derived from the ftrength of the veffel in refpect of fafety, there is fome advantage with refpect to the expedition of proceeding on her voyage?-Certainly, in a weak and ftrong thip on the fame conflruction, there is a difference; the ftrong thip will carry fail better than the weak one, without ftraining in blowing weather.
    Then, upon the whole, the frength of the fhip muft be an advantage in promoting the expedition with which the can conclude her voyage?-It is a very great advantage; becaufe if a fhip is leaky, a part of her hands are obliged to be at the pumps; whereas, if the is a tight fhip, the people can attend to the fails.

    You have ftated, that the India-built thips are generally fharper in their conftruction ?-In general, at leaft in Bombay; I do not know for other parts of India.

    Does that promote their failing? - They fail fafter.
    Then, although they may lofe fomething in carrying a fmall cargo, they gain in the expedition with which the cargo is conveyed?-Yes.

    It might be very poffible for the builders at Bombay to build them lefs fharp ? They build them according to plan; the plans are fent out from this country, of fhips that have been built for the Company, and they build them according to the plan.

    The plan in fact is admirably executed by the Bompay builders?-They are as fine fhips I fancy as any that fail ; they are well built.
    Would it be poffible for them, with different plans, to build thips on any other conftruction?-Certainly.

    In fuch a manner that they might carry as much burthen as any fhips built in this country of the fame fize?-Certainly.

    Can you flate whether the teak is a lighter material than the oak?-It is rather heavier.
    Do you fpeak from any particular experiments?-No; I never faw the experiment tried, but it is the univerfal opinion in India; the difference is very little though it fwims buoyanter in the water.

    If the teak be a heavier wood than the oak, in what manner do you conceive William Bennion that a teak veffel is more buoyant in the water than an oak-built veffel?-There is an oilinefs in the teak, which prevents the water from foaking into the wood.

    Are you able to fay, from any accurate experiment or obfervation, that the teakbuilt veffel is more buoyant in the water than an oak-built veffel?-No; only from the obfervation of teal-built hips of the fame tonnage.

    Then, whatever may be the comparative weight of the materials in themfelves, are you able to fay, from obfervation, that the teak-built veffel draws lefs water than the oak?-I cannot fay, to my own knowledge, that I ever faw two fhips exactly on the fame conftruction; but generally it is fuppofed that a teak-built veffel is more buoyant.

    ## [Examined by tbe Committee.]

    They make no theet copper in India ?-No.
    Nor any good fheet iron?-None.
    In the country fhipping you have not generally many European failors on board?
    -Very feldom any.
    Are you able to fpeak to the effect of the climate of the country upon the health
    $\checkmark$ of low European failors employed there; do you think they would fland the racket
    of the country trade? -They would not ftand it very well.
    You ftated, that the ftanding rigging was chiefly made of European cordage; what proportion does the ftanding rigging bear to the whole rigging of the fhip, in point of expenfe ?-About three-fourths.
    About three-fourths of the whole?-Thereabouts.
    Do you know, whether in any of thofe fhips which are poffeffed and commanded by natives, the Englifh manufactures enter into the conftruction of them?-Yes, I have feen the Arab thips fitted with the European articles, fome of them.

    Are the Chips coppered, or have they Englifh anchors and cordage, and thofe things?-Yes, there are many of them coppered, and almoft all of them ufe Englifh anchors.

    And that copper is all fent from this country?-All from this country, or fome part of Europe.

    Do you know, whether the Indian veffels for the country trade ufe Englifh fails! -They fometimes do; when the canvas is cheap they do, but it is fo dear at rimes, and fo bad, that they prefer that of the country.
    If it was at a moderate price, and good in point of manufacture, they probably would prefer Britioh manufacture?-Yes, they would prefer it.
    Do you know what is the difference in price between the imported canvas and the Indian manufactured canvas?-About half; the Bengal canvas fells at Bombay .at from twenty-fix to twenty-eight rupees a bolt, and the Englifh from forty-feven to forty-eight and fifty.
    Do you happen to know what conveniences they have in India for building of fhips; what are the means they have by fips either at Bombay or Calcutta?There are five flips I think at Bombay, and five docks; there are generally three of them kept for repairing dacks.

    Do you know any thing of Calcutta ?-Very little; I have not been much there.

    Where are the five flips at Bombay ?-One in Collabah.
    Is that in ufe now? -There have'been one or two thips built there.
    How long ago ?-I do not recollect when the laft fhip was built there; an Arab 'was built there laft.

    The Cambrian was the laft fhip built there, was not it?-There was one fince that.

    A fmall thip has been built there fince?-Yes.
    What tonnage is the Cambrian?-About 750 tons, I think.
    Did any accident happen to her in launching?-Yes; the got aground, and was very much hurt.

    I believe the is a ftrong thip fill?-Yes, the is; the was placed in dock in confequence.

    What other Ilips are there? -There is one at Mufagong ; there are but three lips.
    And this one at Collabah has not been wfed thefe three years?-Not fince that Small hip was built; I do nor recollect.the time.

    There is fome copper imported to India from Baflora? - Yes, in cakes.
    Can they make that into fheet copper in India?-No.
    (72.) Englifh copper.

    You generally ufe Englifh canvas for the heavy fails, do not you ? - When it can be got.
    Pray what price do you recollect Englifh canvas being at formerly?-As low as eighteen rupees a bolt, for a fhort time; but I have known it at two or three-andtwenty, for a confiderable time, and it has been as high as fifty-two, the patent canvas of the company.
    If Bengal canvas and Europe canvas were of the fame price, which would you prefer ?-I would prefer the Europe, if it was of a good quality.
    Have not they fent it out of a good quality lately ?-Lately it has been fo bad, I would not ufe it, if I could get other.
    Do they make any copper bolts in India?-No, except it is the fmall rag bolts from the rods they fend out from this country ; they cut them into fmall rag bolts.
    You get all kinds of thip chandlery from this country? -Yes; compaffes, lanthorns, and things of that kind.
    You have faid, that the ftanding rigging is equal to three quarters of the whole of the rigging of the fhip?-I am not exachly certain as to that ; it may be lefs; but when I talk of the weight, I fpeak of Europe cordage, and the coire is fo much lighter, that I do not fuppofe it is more than one-fourth of the weight.
    Suppofing the whole rigging made of the fame materials, what proportion do you think the weight of the whole is to the flanding rigging?-I thould think the running rigging will be one-third of the weight of the other; but I cannot fpeak pofitively.

    One-third of the weight of the other, or one-third of the whole rigging ?-Onethird of the whole rigging.
    Do you fpeak from having overlooked the bills for the whole rigging of a fhip, or from common report?-I take it merely from my own idea of the quantity that is wanted.
    From a guefs that you make, having been converfant in navigating that kind of nlips ? - Yes.

    How many fets of running rigging will one fet of ftanding rígging wear out ? That it is impoffible to fay.
    The Committee apprehended you would be more competent to fpeak to that, as your bufinefs has been in navigating fhips? -The flanding rigging will fland for feven or eight-or nine years.
    How long will the running rigging laft ufually?-If fhe is employed at fea pretty conftantly, the running rigging will wear out in one year or fifteen months, or according to the ufe that is made of it.

    If the running rigging latts only one year or fifteen months, and the flanding rigging feven or eight years, it feems that one fet of ftanding rigging ought to wear out four or five of running rigging; from your practice in navigating thofe hips do you think they bear that proportion to each other in wear?-In fome parts of it, in other parts it will not; there are fome fuch braces will not.

    You are wifhed to fpeak generally of the whole of the running rigging, as you were examined generally before on the whole of the ftanding rigging ?-Some parts of the running rigging will laft for two years or more, probably.

    Upon the whole then, as far as you have been able to obferve, how many fets of running rigging would, in the ufual way of wear, be worn out by one fet of fanding? -Three or four, probably.

    Do you know any thing of an importation into the Britifh part of India of copper from the illand of Banca ?-None ; there is tin,

    But not copper?-No.
    There is no copper in Banca, is there ?-No.
    Henry Hall, Efq. called in; and examined by Mr. Spansiz.
    Henry Hall Efq.
    HAVE you commanded any thips built in India?-I did formerly.
    How many years ago?-About two-and-twenty years ago.
    Have you been in India ever fince you difcontinued commanding a hip ?-Yes.
    In what fituation ?-Deputy Miafter Attendant.
    Ever fince you left off commanding a dhip?-Yes.
    At what port ?-At Madras. ..

    Then you are well acquainted with the nature of the teak-built fhips? In common Henry Hall Efq: with other feafaring men.
    What is your opinion of that clafs of fhips ?-That they are the beft in Europe; they are the beft fhips that I ever had any thing to do with.

    You have failed in European and Britilh-built hips alfo, I fuppofe?-I went out to India in one.

    And from the comparion you have been able to make, that is your opinion?It is.

    Are there any fhips built at Madras ?-No, there are no thips built there.
    Have you ever had any particular opportunities of witnefling the durability of teak-built fhips, when put to ftrefs of weather ?-No ; I cannot fay that.

    Do you remember any fhips being driven on fhore any where ?-Yes, the Dover and Chichefter; the Dover was an India-built Thip, and the Chichefter I believe a French-built fhip.

    Do you remember any thing particular about the circumftance of the Dover being driven alhore?-Yes, I remember it very well.
    -State that circumftance?-She was driven afhore in a fevere gale of wind, in 1811.

    Moft of the other fhips were driven to pieces, I believe, by the gale ?-Yes, the Chichefter particularly.

    What happened to the Dover?-The Dover laid for feveral months in the furf at Madras, which is fuppofed to be the moft violent furf in the world, perfectly entire.

    She was at laft blown up I believe, or got to pieces by artificial violence?-Car. penters were employed at a great expenfe in getting her to pieces; and if I remember right, at laft they were obliged to blow her up with gunpowder.

    Are you acquainted with the particulars of the outfit of thofe hips in India, whether it is generally of the materials of the country, or from Europe? -Partly from both.

    With refpect to the flanding rigging, for inftance ?-Generally Europe.
    Is that preferred, if it can be procured at a reafonable rate?-Aye, to be fure; Europe would be preferred, if it was not fo expenfive.

    Can you ftate to us, what the comparative prices are of cordage made tinhe country, and cordage imported from Europe?-To the beft of my belief, about 400 per cent.

    Do you mean to lay, that the cordage imported from Europe into India is 400 per cent. dearer than that made in India? -Than the coire rigging made in India.

    Can you fate what is the comparative price of the imported article, independent of the profit laid on by the Company, or do you ftate it, combining the two?I fate the prices at the Company's flores.

    Committee.]-Do you know what the Company's rule is, in putting on a profit on the invoice price? -That varies.
    Can you tell us laft year, what were the comparative prices of Europe cordage, and Country cordage, of the fame dimenfions at Madras?-Coire is fold by the candy, and European cordage by the hundred weight.
    What is the candy, and what is the hundred weight?-The candy is 500 pounds weight, and the hundred weight is one hundred and twelve pounds.
    Can you tell the Committee what was the price laft year of coire by the candy ? Twenty pagodas.

    Can you tell us what was the price laft year of European by the hundred?I think eighteen pagodas.

    Mr. Spankie.]-Then weight for weight, that would make Europe cordage about four times as dear as the other ?-Yes; to the beft of my recollection.
    Can you tell us what proportion of European canvas is ufed in the outfit of country-built ©hips; does that depend upon its dearnefs alfo?-Yes, a good deal.
    Can you tell us the comparative prices of the fame quantity? - Yes, pretty nearly.

    What is the price of European canvas, compared with the canvas made in the country?-I fhould think Europe canvas, would fell for about fourteen pagodas, and the country canvas at about fix.

    Do you fpeak of the fame quantity ?-Yes; the bolt,

    Heniry Hall Efg. And the bolt of each contains the fame quanticy? - Yes; as near as poffible.
    Do you know to what flate of perfection the manufacture of canvas has beer brought in the country?-Very great.
    But fill it is confidered inferior to the European?-Europe cantas is always preferred if it can be got, particularly in the navy.
    Can you tell us whether they manufacture iron for the purpofes of mip-building in India?-No ; I never heard of iron being manufactured there.
    That is all imported from England?-Yes.
    And copper?-Yes.
    Do you know of any iron or copper being imported into India for the purpofes of fhip-building, except from Europe :-The Améticans bring out iron fometimes.

    But I mean fromiany parts of the country iffelf ?- No , I do not.
    Do you know whethet the Americans bring out canvas, or any other materials for fhip-building? -Very trifing; fpars fometimes, and tar.

    Have you had any opportunity of being able to make a tough eftimate of the comparative quantity of European and country articles, ufed in the outfit of a fhip in India? - No.

    Have you been at Bombay ?-Many years ago.
    Theri you camot tell us what the capdeity of fip-building at Bombay is now ?No.

    What means had they at Bombay of building Mips when you were there? I cannot tell; I was there very little.

    ## [Crofseexamined by Mr. Ifarrijfon.]

    Hedive the manufacture of cordage and falls improved of late years in India? $n$ At Bengal, the manufacture of cativas is very much improved.

    And are they going on improving now? -Whish I tame away they were.
    Improving and extending in quatnity ? - Yes; I think they are all imptoving.
    [Examined by the Committee.]
    Do you know what duty marine ftores are fubject to upon their importation into India? - The fame as all other ftores, fix per cent. at Madras.
    You have faid that European cordage is between four and five times dearer than Indian-made cordage ?-Yes.

    Do you think if that proportion of the prices of each thould continue, that the Indian-built thips would not in a fhort time be wholly furnifhed with India-made cordage ?-No; the Englifh would always be preferred, if it could be got cheap, certainly.

    You were afked, upon a fuppofition that the relative prices of the two fabrics fhould continue as they are now; then fuppofing them to continue fo, is it not your opinion that fhips built in India, Would foón 'come to be wiholly futnilhed with Indian cordage?-I fill fay they would prefer Etglifh-made cordage to Indian cordage.

    At any relative prices between the two?-I cannot fay that; that is in the brealt of the purchafer.
    Do you mean then to fay, that at the prefent prices, that is to fay, Europeanmade cordage being four or fivie times dearer than India cordage, it is likely that Thips now built in lndia would be fürnihed with Indian or with Europeath cordage? Yes, certainly; if they could afford it, I think the Englifh would always have the preférénce.
    Then you think that the difference of quality between the two fabrics, is greater than the difference of price ?-I mean clearly to tay the Britifh is better and dearer.
    On what àcount then, as the prices are fo very different, do you think that the European-made cordage is cheaper than the Indian-tnade cordage? -I did not fay it was cheaper, I have faid it was dearer all along.
    You have faid that European-made cordage is four, or five times dearer than India-made cordage?-Yes.
    Do you mean that it cofts four or five times as much money?-Yes.
    Would you rather at thofe prices have European-made cordage than Indianmade cordage?-Yes; if I was going upon an arduous voyage ; I think European cordage is much better; if I was 'going round the Cape of Good Hopes I would
    have Englifh; if I was going round the country, I would prefer India, as being Henty Itall Efr. cheaper, not as being better.
    Do you think that India-made cordage is to be depended upon to catry a valuable fhip from India to England, by the Cape of Good Hope? -I flill fay that Englih would be preferred for an arduous voyage, infinitely fo.
    Do you think that India-made cordage is to be depended upon to carry a valuable fhip laden with a valuable cargo from India to England, round by the Cape of Good Hope?-Yes; I think Indian cordage might bring a hip home, if the weather was fine, and every thing was to be depended upon.
    But you think it would be hazardous?-Aye; inafmuch as it is not fo good as Englifh, certainly.
    Do you think the difference of quality between that and Englifh-made cordage fuch, and its inferiority to Englih-made cordage fo great, that you would not advife a valuable fhip and cargo to be trufted under that, to pafs from India to England?-No; not if Englih could be procured.
    Have you known any India-built Chips come to England, laden with cargoes on the part of the Company from India, furnihed with India-made cordage?-In part, I have known them take fupplies of cordage and canvas both.

    What part ?-The ruming rigging.
    Have you known any accident happen to thips fo furnifhed, which was attributed by you or others to that part of her cordage being of Indian manufacture and material ?-No; I never had an opportunity of hearing; I have been in India for the greateft part of my life.

    Have you ever known, whilt you were fo in India, of an India-built thip being furnifhed, as to her running rigging, with cordage of India manufacture and material, go to England Jaden with a cargo on the part of the Company, and come back fafely, with that running rigging fill in ufe? - No; I never heard of it.

    Do you know that that never is the cafe? -No; I do not know any thing on the fubject at all.

    You have faid that the price of India canvas, compared with the price of the European canvas, is as fix to fourteen?-About that.
    You have faid, that in Bengal the fabric of canvas is much improved, and ftill amproving?-That is my information; I have heard fo.

    You do not fpeak that of your own knowledge?-No.
    But as to the prices, you fpeak from your own knowledge ?-Yes.
    Do you think it likely, if thare prices Chould continue, that India-built thips will foon come to be wholly furnifhed as to their fails, with India-made canvas?-I would not take upon myfelf to fay that.
    Do you think that Indian-made canvas, at the price of $\mathfrak{E} 6$. is equal to Europeanmade canvas, at the price of $\mathscr{E}$ i4, for any quantity that would be worth that fum of money ?-Yes, for that climate.
    Do you think the Indian canvas unfit for a thip whofe deftination is from India to England?-I would prefer Englifh, certainly.
    On what account?-On account of its being better.
    Do you think it is fronger at firft ?-Yes.
    Do you think it wears fronger ?-I have not had experience of that; I have heard to.

    Would you advife againft a thip being mounted with Indian-made canvas, as to her fuils, whofe deftination was to fail from India to England?-I would advife Eurnpe.

    Notwithflanding the difference of the price being as fix to fourteen ?-I would advife it on every principle, being better, and more durable, and fafe.
    Notwithflanding your knowledge of the difference of the price of the articles, the one being to the other as fix is to fourteen? - Yes; I Chould conceive it fafer.

    The price you have flated, of European cordage af Madras, includes a pretty large profit to the Company?-A profit of ifty per cent.

    A profit of fifty per cent. upon the invoice price?-Xes.
    The Company have the exclugive fale of European ftores at Madras?-No, not the exclufive fale; it is free to all perfous.

    You fpoke of the fhip Dover, do you remember that hip formerly ?-Yes. Where was the built ?-At Bombay.
    In what year?-She was launched in 1791, to the belt of my recollection.
    And fhe was loft, how foon ?-On the 2gth of Apri-18ıi.

    Henry Hall E/q. Then the was twenty years old at the time the was loft ?-Yes.
    And a very frong fip at that time ?-Yes.
    Do you recollect her name before the was fold to His Majefty?-Yes; The Carron.

    Mr. William Stovald called in, and examined by Mr. Grant.
    Mr. WHAT trade are you ?-A timber merchant.
    William stovald. Have you had any tranfations as a timber merchant, with the builders of hips? -Yes.
    Where?-At Whitby, Sunderland, Shields, Newcafle, Blythe, Berwick-uponTweed, Aberdeen, Leith, and other northern ports.

    Have you fupplied any large quantities of timber to the builders in the north of England?-Yes.

    Can you fate to what extent may be the amount of your bufinefs annually in a general way; how much do you buy or fell ?-I fhould think I fell eight or ten thouland loads in a year.
    Have you feen any confiderable falls of timber of late years?-Yes.
    In the falls that you have feen, can you ftate what the proportion has been of large timber beyond fixty feet metings?-I do not think it is nore than one-fourth; I do not think there has been that; I do not think it will reach a fourth, but not more.
    Are your anfwers applicable to your own dealing? -Yes.
    The timber you have dealt in, has not been large timber, above the proportion you have ftated ?-No.
    Have you feen any falls of timber in the home counties of late years? Yes.
    Can you fay at all generally what has been the proportion of very large timber out of thefe falls?-No, I do not know that I can.
    Has there been a good deal of middle-fized timber ?-Yes, and a good deal of large.

    Can you fate at all whether the proportion of middle-fized timber or large timber has been the greateft ?-I cannot fay.

    Are you acquainted with the timber eftates in the home counties?-I have been in the weftern parts of Suffex; I am not much acquainted with the eaftern part; and I have been in parts of Hamphire.

    In what parts have you been chiefly accuftomed to obtain your fupplies of timber? -Suffex and Hamphire I have had a great deal from.
    Have you been in Surrey? -Yes.
    Have you made any confiderable purchafes of timber this year ?-Xes.
    Very confiderable? -Yes.
    Have the prices at which you have purchafed this year fallen, compared with what you purchafed at laft year?-A little lower than laft year's prices.

    Has the demand for timber from the north fallen off?-A little matter, it is a little flacker.

    Within what time ?-Since we have had now a peace they are flack of buying; we receive very few orders.

    You menn to lay within the laft few months?-Yes.
    Within the laft two or three months?-Yes.
    Only fince there was a talk of peace? -Yes.
    Up to the time at which there was a talk of peace the demand had not fallen off?-No.

    How has the demand for timber in the north been of late years, compared with former years?-The fmaller timber has been rather more in demand than the large.

    What do you mean by fmaller timber?-The fmaller metings that will not do for navy uimber.

    Do you mean that there has been a demand for fuch timber as would ferve for the purpofes of building fmaller veffils than are required in the navy? -Ttere has been more demand for frialler timber of late years than there was formerly.

    By fmaller timber do you mean timber fit for the purpofes of building. fhips, but yet not for building fhips of war?-Yes.

    Then am I to underftand that for that fpecies of timber there has been an increafed demand in the north of late years, as compared with preceding years?-Yes, for fmall hips.

    Have you always found the demand very confiderable, from the northern ports, for timber of the defcription you have mentioned?-There is not fo much demand now as there was three years ago.
    You fay that fince the talk of Peace, the demand has fallen off?-Yes.
    But, leaving out that flacknefs occafioned by that circumftance, I underftand you to fate, that the demand of late years has been greater than of former years, for the inaller timber?-Yes, but not fo much for the large.

    Do you know any reafon why the demand has increafed for fmaller timber, as compared with the larger? -There have been more hips built for the coalting trade or coal trade.

    Can you ftate, whether there has been a confiderable confumption of large timber in the home counties of late years? -The Duke of Richmond has not fo much as he formerly had.

    Any other that you wifh to mention?-William Stephen Poyntz, Efq. thofe are in Suffex.

    Mention any other?-L.ord Egremont, Sir Cecil Bihopp, John Sergeant, Efq. and there may be a few others.

    Are thofe the eftates you are principally acquainted with in Suffex ?-Yes.
    Am I to underfland, that in thofe eftates there is lefs large timber ftanding than there was fome years ago?-Yes.
    Do you know any eftates of which you can fay the fame thing, in Hampfhire ?Yes.
    Mention any that occur to you?-Sir William Oglander's, Mr. Thomas Thiftethwaite, Colonel Fleming, and a few others I do not know particularly.
    Can you mention any names in Herefordfhire?-Yes; Sir George Cornwall, Lady Bolton; Lord Selfey had eftates there, but he has fold them ; I know thofe eftates; there is the Whitehoufe eftates, and there are a few others; Mr. Shifner's is an eftate where I have bought timber that is chiefly cut, he has fold the eftate.

    Speaking of timber of feventy or eighty feet metings, has it declined as compared with the quantity eight or ten years ago?-Yes; and there are more eftates there on which the quantity of timber has declined; I have an agent down there, fo that I do not know many of the eftates. I know there is a great deal of timber in that country, though not fo much as there was years ago.

    Do you attribute the decline of the quantity of timber, in the parts which you have mentioned, to the very large demand for timber which there has been of late years; has there been a great demand for timber of late years ?-Yes, more than there ufually has been.

    Do you mean to fay there has been an increafed demand of late years?-Yes.
    Have the dealings of timber merchants in general increafed in quantity?-I can hardly fay that, fome have and fone bave not.

    However, there has been a grearer demand for timber of late years, I underttood you to fay, than there was in years preceding?- Yes.

    Can you ftate at all whether the price of timber or thick fuff or plank, has increafed or declined in the northern ports?-It is lately declined.

    How lately is it declined?-It declined laft year, about twelve months ago, ten or fifteen fhillings a load.

    Has the profpect of Peace had any effect upon it ? - Yes; it is on the decline.
    Has the fale of the timber in the northern, ports been pretty brilk of late ?-The markets are flat now.

    I am not fpeaking of the flatnefs you ftate to be produced by the Peace; has there been previous to that, a tolerable brifk fale of late?-No; there bas been a decline for more than twelve months.

    To what do you afcribe that ?-It muft be owing to trade.
    Generally fpeaking, as the quantity of large timber has declined, which you have before ftated, has there been an increafed difficulty in procuring timber from the timber merchant?-No.

    Have you difcovered any parts of the country in which you have found timber, which did not ufe before to be brought to market?-No; I do not know.

    Am I to undertand that you do not know of any new parts of the country, in which timber has been difcovered for the ufe of the market?-It has not been in my comnections, it might be in fome other counties.

    It might have been in other counties? - Yes.
    You fpeak only of counties which you know ?-Yes.

    Mr. And in thofe counties the quantity of timber has declined; but no new fources William Stovald. of fupply have been difcovered?-Yes.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

    How many years ago is it fince you began to be engaged in the timber trade?About twenty years or thereabouts.
    What bufinels were you in before the timber trade ?-I was a farmer firt, and then I took firft to buying underwood coples, and then lbought timber-afterwards.

    And your bufinefs, I believe, has kept increafing ever fince you began ?-Yes; ever fince I began.
    I want a little to know what your courfe of trade is; you have given us a number of northern ports that you fupply; I believe the coal veffels carry back to the north, timber as a return cargo?-Yes.

    How many fhips did you load laft year in that way, to carry timber to the north ? -al cannot fay.

    As many as five-and-twenty ?-More than five-and-twenty; I am fending down five-and-twenty cargoes now.

    You have told us, you are acquainted with the weftern parts of Suffex, and certain parts of Hamphire? -Yes.

    You are acquainted with thofe, I fuppofe, from having principally bought your timber there?-Yes.

    Do you generally buy your timber as near as you can to the fea coalts?-It makes no difference to me; I buy it where I can get it worth my money.

    But, I fuppofe, with a view to hhipping it, you buy it as near the coaft as you can meet with it?-Yes; my connections are generally near home.

    Where do you live?-At Petworth.
    When you buy a fock of timber, you fend the fmaller part of it into the north, for building the fmaller vefiels?-Yes.

    To whom do you fell the large timber you purchafe? -To Mr. Larkin's houre.
    What quantity have you fold them within the laft year?-Above 1,500 loads.
    Did that 1,500 loads come out of your general purchare? -Yes.
    You put afide 1,500 loads for them, which was the large timber, and the fmaller fort you fent into the north ? - Yes; but I fold fome more large timber to Mr. Boucher, of Chepltow.

    What quantity did you fell to him ?-I fuppofe about a thoufand loads he had; the year before that I think Mr. Boucher had 1,500 loads.

    And the year before how many loads had Meffrs. Larkins \& Morris? -Not To much.

    The year before that how much had they? -About eight or nine hundred loads.
    Have you fupplied Mr. Boucher for more than one year ?-About two years.
    Have you been employed by Mr. Larkin, and Mr. Morris, and Mr. Boucher, to purchafe timber for them; or have you only fold them the large timber you did not want to fupply your northern cultomers?-What I bought, I bought wholly on my own account, and fold it to them afterwards.

    You buy a lot, and fend to your northern cuftomers what fuits their market, that is of a Imaller fize, and the larger part you fend to Meffrs. Larkins \& Morris, and Mr. Boucher ?-Yes.

    And have you bought a good deal in your own neighbourhood of Suffex and Hamphire?-Yes; and what I buy in Suffex, and Hamphire and Surrey, I fell to Mr. Larkin ; and what I buy in the welt country, I fell to Mr. Boucher.

    I think you told me, that fpeaking in round numbers, you calculated your quantity about eight or ten thoufand loads a year?-Yes.
    Has that been your quantity annually ?-No ; the year before I had not fo much; I think thefe laft two years I bought as much as I bought in the three preceding years.

    How many years have you been in the habit of fupplying Meffrs. Larkins \& Morris?-Three years I think; three or four years.

    Do you buy occafionally at auctions?-Yes.
    Is there a great competition at thofe auctions?-No; I think not fo much as there ufed to be.
    There ufed to be a good many bidders? - Mote than there are now.
    How long is it fince the biddings at auctions became a little llacker?-Thefe two years.

    I am not quite fure whether I underftand one of your anfwers with refpea to the northern ports, whether the bufinefs had been flack for twelve months or for

    Mr. the laft three years ?-It has been flack for more than a twelve-month, nearly two years.

    You have enumerated Sir William Oglander's eftates, are his woods in the Ithe of Wight?-Yes.
    Near Ryde, in the Ille of Wight?-Yes, near Brading.
    Has he cut down thofe woods, or only thinned them out?-He has cleared fome; I hould think he has cut a hundred thoufand pounds worth of timber within the laft feven years.
    But has not he a confiderable quantity of timber left ?-He has a confiderable quantity, but not a great deal of large naval timber.
    Has not he fill a large quantity of naval oak ftanding near Brading ?-Yes, he has a confiderable quantity of oak timber flanding.

    Of thirty, forty, and fifty years old?-Yes.
    Oak imber, that will in no very grear number of years come into curting again? -Yes; but the timber in the Ille of Wight grows very llow; he has in fome places thinned them, and in fome places he has cut them all down.

    But what I want to afk is this, whether, where a certain proportion of timber has arrived at its full growth in the fame wood, and other parts were in an improving ftate, has he done more than cut that which was fit to cut?-Yes.

    Has he cut in any places a whole wood, but where it was in a flate to want cutting?-All he cut I think wanted cutting, it did not grow to pay for flanding:
    And he has a confiderable quantity ftill remaining, of thirty, forty, and fifty years, coming in fucceffion to a flate of cutting?-Yes, he has confiderable large timber about his park now.

    Committee.]-That is ormamental timber?-Yes.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-He did not deftroy any of that ornamental timber ?-No.
    You have ftated a number of places, the Duke of Richmond's, Mr. Poyntz's, Lord Egmont's, Sir Cecil Bihopp's and.Mr. Serjeant's; you fay they had not got fo much as they had formerly ?-No.
    But have a quantity fill remaining of timber, which will come into cutting in fucceffion ?-Yes.

    Is that the fame with Mr. Thiflethwaite, Colonel Fleming, Sir George Cornwall, and Lady Bolton; have they alfo got timber in a flate that will be fit to cut by and by ?-Yes.

    Have the majority of the perfons whofe names you have mentioned, done more than cut the timber that had arrived at a proper ftate for cutting, and preferved the timber in an improving flate, with a view to go on cutting?-Some have done it to improve their ellates, and fome of them have cut growing timber.

    Do you know the eftates of Sir John Barrington ?-No.
    Sir Wm. Heathcote ?-Yes.
    Has he got timber?-Yes; I bought about 8,000 1 . worth of him this year.
    Do you know Sir Edward Hulle's property ?-No.
    Sir 'Thomas Baring's?-No.
    Lord Portfmouth's?-I believe he has cut his wood.
    Do you know his eftates?-No.
    Lord Stawell's ?-I think he has cut his rather bare.
    Do you know his woods or eftates ?-Yes; I know feveral of them. -
    Of your own perfonal knowledge? - Perfonally.
    Do you mean to fay, he has not a great deal of fine timber ftill remaining to cut ?

    - Yes; but he has cut it fafter than I think it grows.

    Has not he fill a great quantity of very fine timber ready to cut ?-Xes.
    Lady Mildmay's?-I do not know any thing of that eftate.
    Sir Thomas Miller's?-He has fome good timber.
    Mr. Chute's? -I believe he has.
    Sir Harry Peyton's?-I cannot fpeak to his.
    Mr. Way's? - He has thinned his at Stanftead Park.
    Has not the Duke of Richmond fill a good quantity to cut?-Yes.
    Lord Egremont has fill a good quantity to cut?-Yes; he has a good deal.
    Of old timber ?-Ornamental timber in parks.
    .Mr. Has he any timber of a good fize, Hot ornamental timber?-ibut fittle fit fot the Navy.

    But has he a confiderable quantity of improving timber?-Yes; a good deal.
    Do you know Lord Spencer's property near Midhurft - Yes; his eftatés are mproving, but he has not much large timber.

    Has he a confiderable quantity of timber that will be fit to cut in fucceflion in a few years?-Yes.
    Do you know Mr. Twyford's? - Yes.
    Lord Newburgh's; do you know his? -Yes.
    Sir Henry Featherftonehaugh's ?-Yes.
    Have thofe three I have mentioned laft, a confiderable quantity of timber? - Yes; according to the number of acres of land they have.

    Is any part of the timber they have cut, good fized timber? -Lord Newburgh and Mr. Twyford have not much large timber.

    Do you you know their eftates of your own knowledge? -Yes.
    You have been a conflderable dealer, we find, by the quantity you buy ; have you ever found any difficulty in purchafing the quantity of timber you have wanted?No.

    Are you one of the gentlemen that apprehend any fcarcity of timber, either fors the nary or for the merchant fervice? -I do not think there is.

    Do you think there will be a fcarcity ?-It depends on circumftances.
    You fay there is no prefent fcarcity of timber for eilher the merchant or naval fervice? -No.
    Do you think that the timber, in an improving and growing fate, with the care that has been taken of it of late years, is equal to the fupply of the demand ?Yes.

    Have you been obliged, with a view to the quantities you have purchafed, to go out of your general and ordinary connections?-No.

    Then I am to underftand, that within your own connection, as a timber dealer, and confined to the fyots you have defcribed, you have always been able to get a fuffcient fupply? --Yes.

    And could you not go on getting a fupply in the fame way ?-If the demand is as it is now, I could in the fame way.

    Then am I right in fuppofing, that without any new difcoveries, there is plenty of timber in the country?-Yes.

    Both for prefent ufe and for future ufe?-Yes; if there is no greater demand than at prefent.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Grant.]

    You have flated that there were more bidders formerly at auctions for the fale of timber, than there have been within thefe twa years paft?-Yes:

    Has the demand generally for timber been any flacker within thefe two years than before ?-Yes; owing to fo many fhips not being to be built.

    The price has fallen I believe a little? ?-Yes.
    Do you believe that the caufe of the fall in the price; has been that nlacknefs of demand of which you have fpoken? -That has been the caufe.

    I undertood you to fay, that the fall in the price within the laft two or three years, has taken place in confequence of fome fall in the demand?-There not being fo much demand.

    Then you afcribe it not to the increafe of the fupply, but to the fall in the demand?-Yes.

    You bave fpoken of the woods of Sir William Oglander, and you flate that thofe trees which he has taken our, were fuch as would not pay for the ftanding?Yes.

    But that there are fill left trees of thirty, forty, or fifty years growth ?-Yes.
    Have you made any calculation at any time, at what age, in the average, if is vorth while to cut down an oak tree ?-No, I cannot fay; this is a bufinefs that lever concerned me; I cannot fay.
    But when you fay he has cut down only fuch trees as would not pay for ftandiñg, ave you a notion generally of the age of the trees which have been cat down?No.
    Then on what do you ground that fatement, that he has cut down only fuch trees is would not pay for ftanding?-By a dcad top, and lofing the branches.

    I underttood yoú to fay, that the trees that Sir William Ogglander has cut down, are not all fit for navy timber?-No.
    Do you mean to fay, that a tree is often worth cuting down before it becomes large enough for navy timber?-A great many trees will not grow to be fit for navy timber; they will not get big enough.

    Is that the cafe more upon fome foils than upon others? - Yes.
    Then am. 1 to undertand, that it is poffible that there Chould be a very large fall bf timber which will not pay for flanding, and nill that fuch fall fhall not yield any great fupply to the navy? - Yes.

    You have fpoken of a number of other eftates, on which.you fay there is a great deal of timber ftanding ? $-Y$ es.

    I underftood you to lay, that the greater part of that was not large timber fit for naval building?-A great deal of it is not large; there'is a great deal fmall; I do not think there is fo much large as there was fome years ago on the eftates I have named.

    And we are to underfand, that upon the eftates you have named there has been a decline of navy timber:-Yes.

    Do you a fcribe that decline to the great demand for timber of late years for the purpofes of fhiphbuilding? -Yes.

    Has it declined as the demand has increafed pretty much?-Yes.
    Should the demand go on in the fame proportion, would it go on declining in the fame degree? - Yes, I think fo.

    Then though you think you could now command a confiderable quantity of timber, I undertand you to fay, that if the demand hould go on, in future years there mult be a decline of the quantity?-I confider there is tiriber enough for the fupply, if people were inclined to fell at the prefent price, and a lupply for the future, of middle-fized timber.

    The dermand has in fact diminifhed the quantity, you fay, of large timber?-I think there is not fo much navy timber as there was ten years ago, ftill I think there is enough for the navy and the coafting trade, at the prefent demand.

    Do you happen to know what is the annual confumption of timber for building for the navy ?-No, I cannor fay.

    Have you any idea? - No, I cannot fay.
    Have you the remoteft conception?-No, 1 cannot fay.
    Then I will not ank you whether there is likely to be a fupply for building for the navy, fince you ftate you do not know what the quantity wanted for the navy is ; but I only alk you whether the quanity of large uimber tor building for the navy has not declined?-Yes, it has.

    ## [Examined by the Committec.]

    When you make enquiries after lots of timber to be fold, do you feek principally after lots of a fize fit for the building of coinfing veffels? -When I buy lots, there are fome pieces for fmall vclfels and fome for large; there are all fizes.

    Which fize do you feek for moft?-That which I get moft profit by.
    Which is that ?-li makes no difference to me which I buy, if I can buy it worth thy money.

    How has it happened of late years; has your expectation of the profit to be made led you to feek after timber of a fize fit to build fmall coafting veffels, or after timbet of a fize fit to build large fhips? - Not in particular large or fmall.

    Then of late years you have fought after booh kinds, to purchafe them nearly in equal quantities?-No, juft as the offers come; I buy upon eftates by private contrate ; I have offers from gentlemen where I buy conftant, and fometimes they have larger tumber and fomeines fmaller.
    You were undertood to fay, that in feeking after lots of timber, or in accepting offers of lots of timber, you were governed as to the kind of timber which you fhould purchafe, great or fmall, by the expectation of the greater or fmaller profit to be made on the one kind or the other:-I never conficered there was any difference, but that it would depend upon the price at which you could buy either; I often buy fmall timber at a better profit than I do large, and fometimes large at a better profit than fmall.

    And the refult of your cealing for the laft few years has been, that you have purchafed nearly an equal quanity of each ?-No; more fmall, three fourths of it has been fmall

    Mr. And the refult of your dealing for the latt few years has been, that you have made William Stovald. nearly as much profit upon fmall as you have upon large ?- Yes.

    You flated, you thought the fupply would be equal to the demand in future years, as well as at prefent; do you mean the demand at the prefent moment, or the full demand in the time of war?-The demand at the prefent moment has a very good fupply.

    Then you mean the reduced demand at the prefent moment?-There is a lack demand at the prefent moment; there is timber enough of middle fize to go into market I think, and rather more.
    Do you know how much has been wanted during the laft five or fix years? -No, I cannot fay.
    You have flated, you think there will be enough for the navy and the coafting trade?-Yes.

    You do not mean to fay, that they are the only purpofes for which oak is employed ?-There is enough for all purpofes.
    Do you know of the general demand for all purpoles?-No.
    And yet you are quite fure there is enough for them?-No; I will not fay I am quite fure of it ; that is my opinion, to the beft of my knowledge.
    You have talked of trees paying for ftanding; what do you mean by trees paying for flanding?-They will not pay intereft of money.
    Do you know any trees that would ? -No ; I do not know that I do, I think fome may.
    Upon what calculation of interelt ?-I am unacquainted with this fubject; I never made any calculation, but it ftrikes me fome trees do pay.
    What is the reafon that oak is fupplied to Sunderland, and the northern ports, from the coaft of Suffex ; can they get none nearer?-There is but little in that country ; the counties produce but little.
    Did you fupply the oak for the building at Sunderland, during the year 1813? -Yes.

    Did you fupply a greater quantity in that year, than you have ufually fupplied ? -Yes.
    How much more?-I fuppofe three thoufand loads.
    Then probably you know, that during the year 1813 the building at Sunderland was almoft double?-No; there were more hips building in 18 n I think; there were fifty thips then building there.

    The quantity you fent in the year 1813 to Sunderland, was greater than you fent for any number of years before?-Yes, but the demand was not fo much; I have dealt largely, but the general demand was not fo large; I was obliged to find a market.

    ## [The following Papers were read:]

    (88.)-Letter from the Earl of St. Vincent to the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Eaft India Company; 3I March 1802 :-On limiting the Tonnage of their Shipping; and annually building a Ship of Teak wood in India.
    (89.) -Return to an Order for an Account of all the Machinery fent from Great Britain to India, fince ${ }^{17} 80$, for the manufacture of Ropes, Cordage and other Naval Stores.
    

    Copy of a LETTER from the Earl of St. Vincent, addreffed to the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Eaft India Company; dated Admiralty Office, the 3 If March 1802.

    ## Gentlemen,

    FROM the reports which have been made by the Navy Board, of the quantity of Timber now in תore in His Majefty's Dock Yards, and of the difficulty which is likely to be felt in obtaining the neceflary fupplies, it appears to be highly expedient, that care fhould be taken for preferving all the Timber of large dimenfions now in this country, for the fervice of the Navy, and confequently to limit the tonnage of any Ships which may in future be built for the fervice of the Eaft India Company;-I am therefore defirous of being furnihed with your opinion, before I take any further ftep in confequence of the Navy Board's reprefentation, of the tonnage to which your thips may be limited, without material prejudice to the Company's interefts.
    According to the. idea I have formed upon the fubject, I am dißpofed to think, that the burthen of the largeft fhips ought not to exceed 800 tons.

    I take this occafion of acquainting you, that it would, in' my opinion, be highly beneficial to His Majefl's fervice, if the Company would undertake to build a fhip of the line and a frigate annually, at Bombay, of the teak timber, which, from all the accounts hitherto received, appears to be extremely durable; and, if you fee no objection to the meafure, I thall be glad if you will favour me with your fentiments thereupon, ftating at the fame time, under what regulations and conditions, the plan could be carried into execution.

    $$
    \begin{array}{cc} 
    & \beth a \mathrm{am}, \& \mathrm{cc} . \\
    \text { (Signed) } & \text { ST. VINCENT. }
    \end{array}
    $$

    ## -(89.)-

    RETURN to the Order of the Select Committee of the Honourable Houre of Commons on Eaft India Shipping, requiring an Account of all the Machinery fent from Great Britain, by or under the orders or permifion of the Eaft India Company, fince the ift day of January 1780; for the manufacture of Ropes, Cordage, and other Naval Stores.

    THE Records of the Eaft India Company from the ift January 1780 have been fearched, buc it cannot be difcoyered that any Machinery for the manufacture of Ropes, Cordage, and other Naval Stores have been Phipped for India during that period.

    ## XVIII.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    Veneris, $10^{\circ}$ die Junij 1814. SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    Mr. Jobn Forbes Mitchell, called in; and examined by Mr. Grant.

    Mr .<br>John F. Mitchell.

    YOU are, I believe, a partner in a houfe of arency at Bombay ? - I am. In what houfe are you a partner in Bombay ? - Bruce, Fawcett and Company.

    How long have you been a partner in that houfe ?-Between five and fix years in that houle.

    Committee.]-How long have you been in trade in India ?-About ten years.
    Mr. Grant.]-In that fituation, you have doubtlefs confidered in what manner the produce of India can beft be brought to the ports of this country ?-I have.

    Can you ftate what, if any advantage there is, in having India-built veffels in carrying the trade of India to this country?-I confider generally, that the merchants of India will always be able to fend home the raw produce of India, or the produce generally of India, with the greateft convenience in their own hipping, and confequently at the cheapeft rate.

    What circumftances conflitute the convenience of fending home the produce of India in their own fhipping?-They are obliged to collect their cargoes from various parts, and having the veffel at command immediately is a great convenience; if they had to wait for a fhip to come out from England they might be difappointed of the veffel, and might lofe the market.
    Confidering the mutual demands of India and of this country, and the produce of the two countries, which of the countries thould you conceive to be the exporting country, if either; or do you conceive that the produce of the two countries is in mutually equal demand between them ?-rindia will certainly be the exporting country in the greater degree.
    Do you imagine that the produce of this country is likely to be in fuch demand in India, as the produce of India is likely to be in this country ?-Certainly not.
    On what do you found that opinion?-The produce of India is required for the whole of Europe; it is brought to this country, and fold again for the fupply of the whole of Europe; the whole produce of India in fact is in demand in this market, and it is not fo with the produce of this country generally in India.

    Do you judge at all from the flate of the population in India, whether from their manners, or their climate, or any other circumftances which give a colour to their wants h-Certainly, the fame number of people in Europe would take off a greater quantity of the produce of this country; the natives of India da not take off fo much of the manufactures of this country, as the natives of an European country would.

    Am I to undertand, that in your view of the fubject, the trade which is to fubfift between the two countries, nuuft be carried on in part by an export of money from this country, and by the importation in return of raw materials from India?-No; I doubt very much whether the trade of India will ever be carried on by the exportation of bullion from this country; I do not think the trade of India could afford to pay the expenfe of fending out bullion to India for the purchafe of cargoes; I think rather it will be limited more to the $\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{mitance}$ of the fortunes accumulated in India ta his country.

    We are to underfand you, that the manner in which the produce of India is to come to this country, is chiefly in the way of remittance of fortunes accumulated Jobn F. Mitcect. by fubjects of this country refiding in that part?-Yes, it may be occafionally otherwife from particular circumftances.
    Upon the whole, are you of opinion that the trade will confift chiefly in an export trade from India to this country ?-Yes.

    Did I underftand you to fay, that owing to that circumftance, it is a great advantage to the merchant in India, to have his Chip built in that country?-Yes.
    Do you know whether it would be an advantage, in point of expenfe, to employ an India-built veffel, or to wait for tonnage from this country? -The uncertainty that attends the waiting for tonnage that is fent from this country, may be defructive to the trade altogether; it is impoffible to fay how much it might injure it ; it might ruin it altogether; that was the cafe in a great degree with the old fyftem, on which the Company furnihed the country with extra hhips, againft which there were fo many complaints made.

    What was the nature of thofe complaints?-Difappointment of the period of arrival, and high freights.

    Can you flate whether the goods which have been imported from India lately, in the way of private trade, have been of fuch a quality as to bear an expenfive freight ?-Certainly; the freights that the Company have been in the habit of charging upon their fhips, no doubt prevented the fending home gruff goods, which otherwife would have been fent, and which might have been fent in the native hips of India.
    Have you any doubt of that fact?-No doubt whatever.
    Committee.]-What fort of goods ?-Raw cotton, pepper, and various other articles, rough piece goods, hemp, and other things.

    Mr. Grant.]-You have ftated, that the Company charge the high freights; do you conceive that the fame inconvenience would attend private fhipping fent in the firft infance from this country ?--Yes; I conceise that any obftruction to the free employment of India thipping in that trade, would have the effect of creating a kind of monopoly in favour of thofe Englifh flips that went to India, and that the merchants of India would be left entirely at the mercy of thofe veffels; in fatt, I fhould think they would be placed, in all probability, in a worfe fituation than they were under the old fyftem of the Company's extra thips.

    You are aware, I prefume, that the Company are not to fend out any more extra fhips ?-Yes.

    Do you believe then, that by the exclufion of India-built fhipping, the private merchant in India would be placed in a worfe fituation than he has been at any former period?-Moft certainly I do; I think, from the circumftance jaft men. tioned, that the freight might be raifed in an unnatural degree upon the Indian merchant, and that would of courfe enhance the price of the raw material to the manufacturers of this country.

    Might not that circumftance prevent the raw material being brought altogether? -Certainly, it would; if India was to find tonnage entirely from this country, fuppofing any extra demand for tonnage in England, India might be left without any tonnage at all; the might have no means of fending home her produce.

    You mean demand tor fhipping for the trade of Europe?-Yes; and fuppofing the India vefiels to be excluded.

    When you fay that a virtual monopoly might be created in favour of Engliiho built thipping, do you mean to imply, that the number of veffels which would find it worth while to go to India from this country, would be lisited?-I think it would.

    Committee.]-What do you mean by limited ?-I mean very few in number.
    Mr. Grant.]- Do you mean that circumfances in the nature of the trade, would prevent more frona going out?-Certainly; no naan could fend a fhip to India from this country, without he knew he could get a cargo, and it is almont impofible to know whether he can get a cargo or not.

    Are we to undertand, that in your opinion, the ourward voyage is of little value, and that the principal return mult be expected from the homeward voyage?-Yes; I know, in India we confider, that unlefs the fhip earns a freight on the voyage home, fufficient to pay all expenfes, it is not an adventure worth undertaking.

    Comzuittee.]

    Mr. Committce.]-By all expenfes, you mean out and home?-Yes.
    Mr. Grant.]-You have fpoken of raw cotton and pepper; are thofe articles which will bear the expenfe of a high freight?-No, I certainly think not.
    Committte.]-You fpeak of the trade from the Bombay fide of India? -Yes, I do.
    Not of the Bengal fide? - Not of the Bengal fide.
    Mr. Grant.]-Suppofing the India-built fhip, confidered for the whole of its natural life, not merely therefore with refpect to its firft building and outfit, but with refpect to repairs, to be a cheaper 'article than a Britifh-built fhip, flould you conceive that to be an advantage in the importation of raw materials from India?-I belief that the chief difference in value, between an Englih fhip and an Indian hip, is on the fcore of durability; and as a merchant, 1 of courfe would prefer fending my cargo in the fhip that would be likely to keep it fafeft, which would be the Indian fhip.
    Are we to underftand, that in your judgment the advantage of having a fhip of fiich durable ftructure is very valuable? -Undoubtedly, it prevents delay in repairs.
    Committce.]-And expenfe in repairs ?-Yes; it makes a great difference in repairs. I have no doubt that a merchant of England fending an Englifh thip to India, will find the expenfe of tepairs very heavy; and the difference will confitute the great fuperiority on the part of the India ©hip, which will all ultimately fall upan the manufacturers of this country.
    Suppofing an Indian-built hip, navigated by Englifh feamen, how would it be in point of cheapnefs, compared with an Englifh-built thip navigated by Britif feamen, fuppofing in both cafes, the thips to be employed in the trade of India ?I cannot fpeak with pofitive certainty on that point; but my impreffion rather leads me to think, that navigating by Englifh feamen would be cheaper than navigating by the natives of India; you would require much fewer of them; you are not obliged torkeep them during the time your fhips are in harbour, and the cloathing of Indian feamen is very expenfive coming to this country.
    Committee.-Do you fpeak of a hhip failing from England to India, and back again ?-Yes.

    Mr. Grant.]-Then, when you before ftated the advantages of ufing the Indianbuilt thip, did you take into your account that it might have to be navigated by Britifh feąmen?-Yes; I contemplated that it would be navigated partly by Britifh feamen, and partly by natives.
    It would have a complement of Britih feamen? -Yes.
    Would it, upon the whole, be a great advantage to ufe an Indian-built fhip, navigated by a complement of Britifh feamen, in importing the raw material to this country; would not the Indian-built thip afford to bring the raw material home at the cheapeft rate of freight?-I have no doubt that, generally fpeaking, the Indianbuilt fhip, navigated by Britifh feamen, would be found to convey the produce of India to this country, in the moft advantageous and cheap manner in which it can be conveyed.

    Suppofing India-built thipping to be excluded from the ports of this country, do you conceive that any, and what, effect would be produced upon the trade of continental Europe, or America, with India?-Suppofing our India fhipping to be excluded from the ports of this country, I certainly think they would be forced into foreign hands; the foreigners would purchafe them, and bring home the raw produce of India to Europe; I do not know that that would be the cafe with the Americans, for, I believe, they build very cheap, and fail very cheap, but 1 hould think that the northern States of Europe would find it their advantage to employ teak thips from their durability.

    Committee.]-Would not the Portuguefe find it their advantage, in the fame way? - Yes; the Spaniards, the French, the States of the Mediterranean, Leghorn, and the Levant.

    Mr. Grant.]-Do you conceive, that foreigners will find an advantage in employing India-built fhipping, for the purpofe of importing gruff goods into continental Europe?-Certainly, I do conceive foreigners would find it their advantage;
    it is a great recommendation to a foreignier, to have a hip that does not require repair.
    If India-built thipping fhould be excluded from this country, are you of opinior, that any great quantity of the raw produce of India will find its way to England ?No; I certainty think the quantity of the produce of India, that would be fent to England under fuch circumfances, would be very much lefs than it would be, if Indian fhips were permitted to bring it.

    Which, if either, would be the greater advantage to India, to have its raw produce exportable to this country, or to the continent of Europe? -There can be no doubt it would be the greateft injury to exclude the India fhips; it might force the trade into unnatural channels, and it might reduce the cultivation; fuppofing hereafter another war fhould occur with America, India might no longer be able to furnif you with cotton, if any obftructions are now impofed upon her.

    My queftion was, would there be any difference, in point of advantage, to India, whether her raw produce was to be brought to this country, or to the continent of Europe ?-Then I mifunderfood your queftion. I think it would be rather to the advantage of India, that the fhould be at perfect liberty to export to this country, and then fhe would not be compelled to refort to other channels, which are always attended with additional expenfe; I think a free flate of the trade would be moft beneficial to India and to England; in fpeaking of India, I of courfe mean, that it. would be moft beneficial to the government of India, as well as to the trade.

    Would the advantages of having the fhipping of India purchafed by foreigners, and the raw produce of India brought home in that fhipping to foreign ports, compenfate for the lofs of a free admiffion of India fhipping into the trade of this country?No, I do not think it would; but fill I think the injury would fall much greater upon the revenues of India than it would perhaps upon the private merchants.

    Committec.]-What do you take to be the greateft market in the world for the raw materials of India?-'The great market for the cotton of India, for fome time paft, probably may be faid to have been China; but the commerce of China has very much fallen off, from the effects of the Company's monopoly; and as that commerce has fallen off, the market from England has rifen in the eftimation of the mérchants of India:
    Is the Englifh market confidered by the Indian merchants as the great European market for gruff goods?-Certainly.

    Mr. Grant.]-Do you mean to imply, that the lofs of that market would be a great difadvantage to the merchants of India?-A very great difadvantage to the merchants of India, and a very great difadvantage to the revenues of India.

    ## Committee.]-And to the cultivators of India ?-Yes, to the country iffelf.

    Mr. Grant.]-Suppofing the free admiffion of Indian-built thipping into the trade with this country, is it your judgment, that foreigners would be able by any advantages whatever, to divert any great part of the import trade from India in raw material to the continent of Europe? -No; I do not think that foreigners would fucceed in diverting the trade; I rather think that the whole trade of India, if freedom is permitted, will centre in the native fhips of that country, and that this country will therefore be the grand depôt for the fupply of Europe.
    Then do you think that our fecurity againft the competition of foreigners in that trade, depends on the free admiffion of India-built thipping into the commercial intercourfe of this country ? - I certainly do.

    Can you flate, as a matter of fact, whether the late failure of which you fooke, in the Chinefe demand for cotton, has operated as an inducement to import cotton into this country, in any degree?-For fome years paft, the demand in China has been fo very much reduced, and the price fo low, that it has been a difficult matter to get rid of the cotton produced in the Guzerat; and if the merchants of India had been at liberty to fend home their own hipping, I have no doubt that they might have been able to have fupplied this market upon terms that would have underfold the Americans; I fhould think they might have fent home their cotton, and fold it here for ten-pence, eleven-pence, or a hiiling a pound, if they had been at liberty to fend home their own fhips.

    Committee.]-Do you mean, that cotton of the fame quality would have been imported here as cheap as the American cotton?-I have underfood, that the fuperior kind of Surat cotton, which is generally fent to this country, is very little
    inferior in quality to what is called the Bowed Georgius; and I floould think, that I have mentioned.
    Have you a knowledge of cotton? - I have of Surat cotton.
    Do you know that there is fuch an article as Sea inand cotton from America? --Yes.

    Do you think it is poffible to fend us cotton of equal quality from the Eaft Indies?-1 believe that the Sea Ifland cotton is remarkably fine; I believe it is very little inferior to the Bourbon; I have no doubt that Guzerat is capable of producing as fine cotron as any that is produced in the world, with proper encouragement.
    And to any extent?-And to as greaz an extent as this counnry can require; the entire fource of the prefent inferiority of the Surat cotton, is neglecting its cultivation, and in the manner in which it is reaped or gathered; I was nyfelf in the habit in Incia, of felecting from large quantities of what we purchafed, the very fineft pickings of the various bales, which were nothing more than the firf gleanings from the bud, and that cotton, when fent to this country, fold always, I think, fifty per cent. above the common Surat cotton fent to Europe; the name that that cotton goes by in India is Toomalee.
    It is a long flaple cotton?-1t is the fame cotton exactly as the other, only picked in the firt inftance, and before the feed is mixed with it ; the great error of the Indian cultivation is, that they will fuffer the pod to. get quite hard before they remove the cotton, and the pod then breaks and mixes itfelf fo completely with the cotton, that it is impofible ever afterwards to feparate it.'
    Mr. Grant. 1 Do you know the Bourbon cotton 3-Yes; I might perhaps fuggeft, that if an end was put to the fytem adopted by the Eaft India Company, of compelling the cotton growers in their diftricts to pay their revenue in kind, that would have a very favourable effect upon the cotton market generally in the Guzerat.

    Have you the leaft doubt, that the cultivation of cotton in Guzerat, could be indefnitely improved! by the changes you have mentioned, or any others?-1 have no doubt whatever, that it could le improved almoft to an indefinite amount.

    Do you conceive that the eftablifment of a fteady market in this country; to the impotts of cotton from India, would have any and what effect in improving the cultivation of the article?-I have no doubt whatever, that the opening of a fteady market in this country for cotton, would tend very much to improve its cultivation; the natives of India are not eafily driven from their old way of working, but profit will drive them if any thing will.

    Might they, in your judgment, learn better modes of culture, or of preparation of the atticle, than they have been bitherto accuftomed to follow ?-Yes; I think they might with very little difficulry.

    Da you allude not merely to the culture, but to the modes of cleaning, preparing, picking, and altogether fitting for fhipment?-Ta the method of gathering the cotton; chiefly picking it out of the bud; their method of packing is very good.

    Do you conceive that the modes now adopted by the natives in thofe refpects, have been the chief caufes of whatever inferiority may belong to the article as prefent?-Yes; I confider that the imperfect manner in which it is gathered ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and the neglect in the cultivation, have hitherto depreffed the article in a very great degree.

    Do you believe that the admifion of India-built fhipping to this country a would have the effect of creating a fleady demand for the article of India cotton for this country ? - That mult depend a great deal upon the terms upon which the Americans can fupply this country; that is a fweeping queftion; I have do doubt, that the free admiffion of India fhips into the trade of this country, will give India the beft chance of competing with America.
    I believe 1 may affume, that the countries of India are more expofed to famines than thofe of Europe? -I believe it is generally underfood fo, from the periodical rains.
    Rice crops are nore fubject to failure than other things?- Yes, from the rains.
    If there comes a dry feafon, or if rain comes too loon, there is a moft lamentable. deficiency in the rice crop? -Yes; I have known leveral inflances of it.
    Has it been ufual to relieve the preffure of fuch fearcity, by the importation of grain from other parts of the country, which have fuffered lefs, or not at all? -Yes, it has been fo; in the year 1806 or $180 \%$, 1 am not litre which, there was
    a very great fcarcity on the weftern-fide of India, particularly in the Mahratta country, in the Deccan, and in the neighbourhood of Bombay; and thefe wants were fupplied by grain brought from Calcutta; I am certain there were at leaft 150 fhips imported grain into Boubbay in that year; there has been a further famine wihhin thefe laft two years, fince I left India; and there was a famine at Madras in the year 1809 , I think, of a fimilar defcription, which was relieved by the fame :means.

    Are we to underftand, that it is very important for India to have flipping, with a view to fuch an emergency as you mention? -No doubt it is.
    Do you conceive, that in the event of the Indian-built Gripping being confined entirely to the coafting trade, there will be fufficient encouragement afforded to thip-building in that country, to provide always fuch a quantity of thipping as would be, in the view of humanity, defirable with refpect to the circumftances of uhich we have fpoken? - No; I certainly think that the coafting trade of India, and the trade from India to China, would not furnif employment to a fufficient number of flips, to afford fuch facilities in the event of the renewal of fuch occurrences again.
    Do you mean to imply that the greater the quantity of fhipping, the greater would be the furplus of it to anfwer fuch emergencies? -Certainly.
    Do thele famines happen fometimes with fuch fuddennefs as to render immediate fupply neceffary?-They may happen in the courfe of ten days; as your fruit in this country may be blighted by a froft, the crops in India may be bighted by the want of ran, or its coming on too fuddenly; it is impoffible to forefee a famine.

    Do you mean to imply therefore, that it is a great advantage to have fhipping im:mediately within call on thefe occafions?-Certainly.
    Do you know whether the flipping of India has been at all ufeful in a political point of view? -1 believe that is perfectly well known; the fhipping of India conveyed troops to the Red Sea in 1801, I believe 20,000 men; the hipping of India conveyed troops to take the Mauritus, and for the capture of Java, and they have conveyed troops from the various ftations in India, from one place to another; and there are particular feafons of the year in which fhipping would be allually neceffary in a political point of view, when it would be abfolutely impoffitle to march troops on account of the rains or other circumflances; for example, the traifport of troops from Bengal to Ceylon; fometimes ten days would convey them by fea, and three, months would be taken to march them by land.

    Committee.]-Have you ever been concerned in marching troops ? - I have not been concerned in marching troops; but I have been concerned ia hiring fhips for the ufe of Government in tranfyorting troops.
    Mr. Grant.]- You fpoke in a former part of your examination, of the lofs which the Indian revenues would fuftain, by the exclufion of the India-built thipping from the ports of this country; in what way would that lois atife?-Suppofing Indian thips to be at perfect liberty to bring home the produce of India to England, I conceive that they will bring that produce to a nuuch greater extent than it can be brought by any other means, and therefore I confider that the revenues of India will be affected; the more that is exported, the more the revenues are benefited.

    I underftood you before to flate, it would be a greater advantage to the Indian revenues, to have the produce of India exported to this country, than to the continent of Europe ?-Certainly, becaufe the exportation of the Indian produce in the fhips of the country would take place from the ports of the Eaft India Company, while the exportation in foreiga fhips would take place from foreign ports; and it may further be oblerved, that freedom to India thips would increafe the quantity of produce exported from India, and raife the revenues of the country in that proportion. In the cafe of cotton, if we can fupply this country with cotton, as we increale the fupply, the profit of the Eaft India Company will increafe from the revenue; and as the Indian flips afford the moft convenient and cheap means of fupply, the revenues of the Company will be mof benefited by that fyftem.

    Do you know whether the materials for fhip-building in India pay any duty to the Company's Government?-Yes; materials for hip-building do pay 2 duty ;-I will flate what the duties are.
    In what way does the building of fhips in India contribute directly to the revenues of the Company's government?-Timber and plank pay cuftoms of three-and-a. half per cent. at Bombay.

    Mr. Harrijon.]-To the India Company ?-To the local Government; that three-and-a-balf per cent. is calculated upon the coll of the article, with an advance

    Mr. added upon the coft of ten per cent. If you ufe your own timber in thip-building Jobn F. Mitcbell. at Bombay, you pay to the Company ten per cent. for being permitted to ufe it; and if they furnifh you with timber, they charge you twenty per cent. upon its coft; latterly however, I underftand, fince I left India, a regulation has been promulgated by the Government, by which the fhip-builder is compelled to ufe their timber, and to pay for it their price; the articles of copper, iron, heavy rope, and canvas, which are all imported into India from this country, pay cuftoms of three-and-ahalf per cent.; and all thofe articles confumed in building at Bombay, pay a town duty of four per cent. fo that the duties altogether may be faid to be about eight per cent.

    Committee.]-The town duty is alfo a Government revenue, is not it?-It is; for all European fores furnifhed ioy the Company, they charge you an advance of thirty per cent. upon their invoice, which invoice I believe has already had on it an advance of ten per cent.; upon European fpars, 100 per cent. is charged, and upon pitch and tar 150 per cent. ; there is alfo a fum paid to Government for the ufe of the building flip, ten rupees a ton.

    The flip is Company's property? -It is.
    Are there no private flips? - No, not at Bombay; the docks at Bombay are the Company's; that ten rupees a ton, applies to mimps built upon flips, fhips of eight or nine hundred tons; the larger fhips of 1,200 tons are built in the docks, and the expence for the dock is much greater; I cannot exactly fate it; but I fhould think from eight to ten thoufand rupees.

    Is that dock alfo the Company's property ? - Yes it is.
    Do you know that it is in the power of any private perfon to conftruct at Bombay, flips and a yard for building of thips there, which he might let out, or in which be might build any fhips which might be ordered by any perfon from him, to be built there?-I do not believe that any private perfon could conftruct any fuch lip, without having previoufly obtained permiffion of the local Government.

    Do you know that it is not in their power ?-Yes, I believe it is not in their power, without that permifion.

    That they are barred by the bye-laws of the Company ?-I do not know that there are any bye-laws of the Company ; they are barred by the general authority of the local Government.

    Do you know whether there is any regulation to that effect $P-1$ do not know it.
    Suppofing the liberty given to individuals by the Company, to build flips on the Ifland of Bombay, do you know whether it could be done ?-No, I do not, on the Ifland, but immediately in the neighbourhood; I do, upon a fmall Iland, near at hand.

    Mr. Grant.]-You have fpoken of the timber belonging to the Company's Government; do you know whence that timber is fupplied?-Chiefly, I believe, from Malabar.

    Have you ever been concerned in building fhips in India?-Yes.
    Were the articles expended in the outfit of thofe hhips, fupplied in any meafure from Europe? - I have already ftated, that almoft all the articles, except the timber ${ }_{2}$ come from Europe.

    I mean now to point you to thofe particular inftances which you have known yourfelf?-Yes; copper and iron, and the greater part of the heavy rigging, fhrouds, large fails, and blocks, all come from Europe.

    And fhip chandlery ? - Yes, every thing of that kind; in fact there is nothing produced in India but the timber and the light cordage, and light fails; I fuppofe that every fhip built in India, has at leaft a third of the whole coft of European articles; it is more in cafes where copper bolts and copper faftenings are ured, which has latterly become very much the cafe.

    With refpect to the articles that have been mentioned as being fupplied from Europe, Thould you prefer them of European manufacture, even where they were to be had of Indian manufacture? -The greater part of thofe articles are not to be had of country manufacture; copper is not to be had, iron is not to be had; paint is not to be had, tar is not to be had, light fpars are not to be had; and certainly I would never let one of our fhips go round the Cape of Good Hope, without European ftanding rigging, for I was very nearly drowned going round the Cape from this country in the firft inftance, in a hlip with coire rigging.

    I think you faid you were in the houle of Bruce, Fawcett \& Company, at Bombay ?-Yes.

    Is there any branch of that houfe in this country ?-Yes; there is.
    What is the firm of the houfe here? -There is no branch of the houfe here; there are gentlemen who are connected with the houfe in India in bufinefs here; but there is no immediate connection between the two houfes.

    Except that they mutually employ each other?-Yes; and fome of the members of the hodife in India are members of the houfe here.

    Is that Mr. Fawcett an honourable member of this Committee?-He is.
    I think you have faid, that you have fome concern in building fhips in India? -Yes.

    How many fhips belong to your houfe in India ?-Three thips we have now, which were built at Bombay; fome of our fhips have been built a long while; thofe thips laft a long while in India; but the laft we built, I think, was in the year i8 II.

    You lay, you have now three belonging to you that were built in Bombay? -Yes.

    Any others that were built in India?-Yes; we have one that was built at Cochin, and another that was built in Pegu.

    You are at prefent owners of five fhips built in India? - Yes.
    Any more?-No.
    Committee.]-Do you mean Cochin on the coait of Malabar ?-Yes; I do.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-Of what fize are the three veffels built at Bombay?-I faid we were owners of three fhips; but the laft veffel we built was recently fold in this country; we have only two Bombay veffels.

    What was her name? -The Hannah.
    When did the Hannah arrive? -I think in 1813.
    And you fold her upon her arrival?-Yes.
    Was the regiftered in this country?-Yes.
    Did the houfe here fell her, or did you fell her abroad?-Meffrs. Bruce, Baffett $\&$ Company, our agents, fold her.

    Was not the fold to go back to India? - Yes.
    Was not fhe fold with the fipulation of your obtaining permiffion for her to go back to India?-I believe fo; I was not in London at the time.

    She was fold with the fipulation that you would do the perfon the favour of getting permiffion for her to go out to India again?-I cannot fpeak pofitively to that, not being in London.

    Was there any thing to reftrain that veffel going into the general trade of this country, the moment the is regiftered?-That is a point on which I have very often wifhed to have a legal opinion, for I have never been able to underftand it.

    I conclude, that your ftipulating that the fhould have leave to return again, enhanced her value rather?-All I hould underftand from that fipulation, was this, that the agents were bound to obtain the permiffion of the India Company, for her to return to India.

    Was not that an advantage to her ?-Formerly hips were allowed to come from India to this country, upon condition, which the Company impofed upon them, that they fhould be fold here; of courfe it was confidered to be an advantage to them to be allowed to go back.

    Then of courfe it was confidered to be an advantage to them?-It was during the Company's monopoly:

    Then it was an advantage to allow The Hannah to go back; may not I draw that inference?-It might appear an advantage to them at that moment, and not be fo ten days afterwards.

    What I want to know is, whether as between the buyers and the fellers, it was not confidered at that moment as an advantage, that the fhips fhould have permiffion to go out to India?-I prefume it was; I believe the gentleman who purchafed the fhip, had a defire to fend the Ihip to India; therefore he would fend her when it was an object to him.

    What was the fize of that veffel ?-I think 466 or 467 tons.
    What are the names of the other two veffels of which your houfe are now proprietors, that were built at Bombay?-The Anna, I believe, about 900 tons.

    Mr. And what was the name of the other?-The Cumburian.
    Jibn"F. Mitchel. Of what tonnage?-About 700 tons.
    In what way are thofe veffels now employed; are they in the- fervice of the trade of India; one is are in the fervice of the owners, employed in the general Then they , one is now on her return to India from this country.
    monlopoly, all country fhips that came to Fy the Company ?-During the Company's it was a form that they were required to go through taken up by, the Company;
    They were taken up, in point of form?-Yes; they, were let to the Company in the firft inftance, and relet by the Company to the private owner, to come home.
    This was a mere form? - Yes.
    Then I am to underftand , that in paint of form they were Company's hips; but in fát they wêre fhips that haye always been in the employ of the owner? No ; 'they were in point of fact priyate fhips, but taken, up, by the Company.
    They were neyer taken up by the Company to carry on their monopoly trade? -They' were taken up by the Company to carry to England that trade which ought properly to have been carried by the extra thips.
    That is, the private trade ? - Yés.
    And in what trade did they go. back again to India? - They, went back pn account of the private owner, in the fame way as they came home.

    One of them you fay is on her return to India, nqw.? She failed qn the roth of May from Porifmouth.
    Where is' the other?-I believe fhe is in India, I am not fure; when I lant heard of her, . The was in China.
    What is the name of the fhip that was built, at Cochin? -The Caflereagh is her name ; her tonnage by regitter, I believee, is about 700 tons.
    In what employ is the Caftlereagh ?'-In the ame employ.
    Private trade?-The private trade of India and China, and England occafionally.

    - For the owner? - Yes.

    What is the name of the Pegu Thip?-The Myifore.
    Her tonnage?-About 7.00, I think.
    Is fhe a regiftered veffel?-She this not a Britifh regiftered veffel.
    Has the never obtained a regiter in England?-I believe the has never been in Englànd.
    "The Cochin fhip is regiftered, I believe?-Yes; Cochin was, at the time the was built, a Britifh fettlement.
    $r^{\circ}$ 'You have told "me the fhips your houfe now poffers, how many thips. have you had in the concern then within the laft three years, as part or whole owners? Thofe fhips I have mentioned, and another thip called The Verona, which was lof.

    You 'hèver Yënt' her fróm India,' I luppofe ? - Yes, fhe was in this country ; the was built at 'Bengal.'
    'Of' what toinage? - I believe about fix hundred tons; I am not yery certain.
    Was fhe employed in the fame way, in the priyate trade?-Originally, I believe afterwards fhe was in the Company's fervice; the made one voyage in the Conpany's trade, and fublequently returned to the private trade.

    And 'the was regiftered I' prefume ? -I prefume fo.
    Are you building any thips in India now ? No, we are not.
    None'of any fort, anywhere ?-No.
    Thofe are all the veffels you have had built in India; if I go five or fix years back; will that'make' any difference'?-No'; we had fome fhips longer ago than five years ;" We had the Carron, which was fold to the King.
    Was that a large veffel? -Yes, of 1,000 tons; the was fold to the King, and made 2 frigate of; fhe was wrecked at Madras.
    -' You have no veffels now ac̣ually building, have any orders been fent out to build tany?-No.
    "How long is it fince you returned from India?-Nearly two years.
    Then you know nothing of the veffels that are now building in India?-No, only by report.
    Whereabout in Pegu did you build your Pegu hip? -1 never was in Pegu, and I cannot tell.

    Do they build fhips cheaper there than at Bombay ?-Latterly there have been. very few built there; I cannot fay' whether they formerly built cheaper there or not, but they never have built to well.

    They have not built fo well?-No.
    You could not make any comparifon between the expenfe of building a thip at Rangoon and Bombay ?-No; during the time I was in India, I only remember one inftance of a Rangoon fhip being purchafed into the trade of Bombay.
    ' You cannot give me' any comparifon of price between thips built at Rangoon and at Bombay, notwithflanding the fort of monopoly the Company feem to exercife, as to the building?-No, I cannot; there are many objections to Rangoon, from its being liable to conftant inundation; and to a very unfettled government.

    How long agó was your fhip built, that you have got now at Rangoon; how long has your houfe beert poffefled of her?-I believe a great many years, but I cannot -exactlyfte.

    Is the a good fhip yet ?-She has latterly had a very thorough repair ; but the is not near fo good a fhip as a Bombay thip of the fame age would be.

    Poffibly you think it would be very beneficial to both India and England jf the improving of the cultivation of cotton in the Guzzerat, led to their being able to furnih all the cotton that is wanted in this country from the Guzzerat? ? I fuppofe the moft beneficial ftate of things to this country, is to get cotton wherever it can be got cheapeft.
    : Suppofe the imptoving of the cotton in the Guzzerat enables them to afford it cheaper than from any other place, would it then be beneficial to this country as well as to India to have our cotton from the Guzzerat?-That flate of things will be beneficial to India.

    But I wifh to know whether, in your judgment as a merchant, it would be beneficial to England too ?-I cannot fee the fupply of cotton from India would be more beneficial to this country, than the fupply from America, if there was no difference in the price.
    'Do you'think that it would be more beneficial to this country, if the Guzzerat could furnifh it cheaper ?-I do not undertand the queftion.
    'Suppofing Guzzerat can furnih it cheaper than America, and of equal quality; my queftion then is, whether it would be beneficial that this country fhould be fupplied from Guzzerat? Of courfe, there can'be no doubt of it; it is the intereft of this country to get it as cheap as poffible.

    Under all circumftances, whether the country from which the commodity is obtained takes the commodities of this country in return or not, does that make any difference in your opinion?-I confider, of courfe, that it is to the intereft of this country to be able to export its manufactures to a great extent; and I believe that the exportation of manufactures from this country to America, is much greater than the exportation of matiufactures from this country to India; but, I do not by any Hriedrrs believe that the exportation of manufactures from this country to India, may not be increafed.

    You have before ftated, that comparing England and India, India would be the exporting country; that the quantity of Britifh goods which would be confumed in India, would be fo fmall in the comparifon, that the export from India would principally confift of remittances of fortunes 'made in India ?-Yes; I do ftill adhere to that opinion.

    Have ydu any knowledge of the rate at which the Frerich can furnith flipping, from the ports in the Mediterranean, for the general trade ? - No, I have not -
    Have yout any knowledge of the rate at which the Ruffians and the northern trations could alro furniif hhipping for the general trade ?- I have no knowiledge further, thath having underfood that they do build thips in thole counitries very cheap ; but I alfo know fips built in thofe countries are very unfit for the trade with India.
    Iii what veffels did the Danifh and Swedifh, and Dutch Eaft India companies, carry on their trade before the war? -I am not pofitively informed.
    Then you are unable to make any comparifon between the coft and expence of building, and the freight in teak hips built in India, and the veffels that the French, the Dutch, the Dañes and Swèdes, and the Ruffians build in Europe? -Not being informed of courfe of the expenfe of thofe veffels, I can make no comparion; I can flate from my own information, that the Portuguefe, who I believe fail always as cheap as any other nation, employ teak lhips.

    Where built?-Built in India.
    At Bombay ?-No; at Demarun, and Dieu, and Goa.
    Thofe are their own fettlements?-Yes; and I believe they have purchated thips alfo built at Calcutta.

    Do you know whether the Portuguefe hips, to be admitted to the privileges which would be tantamount to the privilege of regiftry in England, mult be built in Portuguefe territories?-I believe it is the cafe, but I do not know it.

    But you do know in fact, that the Portuguefe do trade in teak-built fhips, built within their own territories, and that they have occafionally bought fhips built in Bengal?-Yes.

    Are you able to make any comparifon betwen the coft and expenfe of failing, with reference to the ultimate refult of freight between teak thips built in the Britifh territories in England, and American hips?-No; I can make no comparifon between teak fhips and American fhips; I believe the Americans are among the cheapeft defcription of fhips that fail, but that is merely from general information.

    You have told us that the India-built hips may be navigated, you think, with greater advantage by Britifh feamen?-I have ftated that I think India-built fhips 'employed in the trade with this country', may with' great advantage be navigated by Britifh feamen.

    One of the advantages you have fated to India-built thips is, that the merchants in that country will have their fhips always ready for their voyage to this country', with reference to the opportunities they have of collecting cargoes?-Yes.

    Of courfe, if that country becomes the exporting country, and the country from which the voyages always commence and terminate, the Britifh feamen that fupply thofe fhips mult take up their refidence in India? -I do not fee that that follows at all.

    In what way, unlefs you have Britifh feamen relident in India, will you fupply veffels with 'Britifh feamen, who commence and end their' voyage in India?-We cannot procure them in the firft inftance in India, we come home to this country for them.

    In the firf inftance you will not be able to get at the Britif feamen ?-No, I fancy not.

    You muft come home to this country to procure them?-Yes.
    And of courfe you muft have a good lot of Lalcars to navigate the veffel home? -Certainly.

    And thofe Lafcars you mult keep here, and take them out again with you?Yes.

    And you carry the Britifh feamen from this country upon your return voyage; when you have got them to India, what will you do with them, would you difcharge them, or keep them there on pay all the time?-It is a new ftate of things, and it is impoffible to fay what will be done'; but I fhould think moft likely it would be moft to the intereft of a mercantile houfe in India, to keep a certain number of Europeans conftantly in their fervice, to be employed in thofe and other fhips that come to England.

    Then what am I to underftand, by a former part 'of your evidence, where you told me part of the advantage was, the opportunity of difcharging failors at the termination of the voyage, inftead of being obliged to keep them upon pay?-A fhip coming from India, navigated with native feamen, is: under the neceflity of Keeping thofe feamen in this country, during the whole period of her detention here; that would not be the cafe in a hip navigated by Europeans; no mercantile houfe would ever keep Europeans, unlefs they had immediate employment for them; but I think the courfe of the trade would, be fuch, that a merchant refident in India would generally be able to keep a fufficient number of Europeans in his fervice, without allowing them at any one time to be idle.

    Committee.]-You mean, by fhifting them from one thip to another?-Yes; this is mere opinion of what may happen.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Of courfe you mean, the proportion of three-fourths, or the proportion which is eftimated to be equivalent to that?-Whatever proportion is required by law.

    - You have ftated, that the Company's government made it a condition, that fhips brought home in the private trade, thould be fold in this country?-Yes, I think that was a condition; the merchants in India, on application to the governments of India, were permitted to fend their fhips to this country, upon condition, I think, that they fhould be fold in this country.

    Then am I to underfand, that the felling of India-built fhips in this country has. not been occafioned by any fyftematical plan of bringing them to this country for fele, but merely by the condition of which you have been fpeaking?-Mof certainly; and the condicion thus impofed, was frequently matter of very great inconvenience.

    When you fated, that fhips of your own had come to this country nominally on account of the Company, but in fact, as private flips, how many voyages did thofe fhips make to this country, one or two ?-They were only permitted to ga home for that voyage.
    You have flated that thofe fhips brought home the trade which ought to have teen brought home by the Company's fhips?-They were employed in bringing home from India that trade which the Legilature intended flould be brought home in the extra fhips; they were only employed when thofe extra fhips were not to be found; the merchant of India would not have got permifion to fend home his own thip, if there had been an extra fhip in the way.

    - Would he have preferred fending his own hip, or the extra chips?-He would have preferred fending his own fhip moft certainly, becaufe he could have fent his own hip much cheaper; and even fuppofing he could not fend his hip much cheaper, he had an advantage in the employment of his own hhip, which the fending his goods in an extra fhip did not give him.
    Am It conclude then, that through the conveyance by thofe private fhips, trade might find its way to this country which could not have advantageoully been brought in the Company's fhipping, whether regular or extra?-Yee, certainly; I am diftinctly of opinion, that the exports from India would have been greater on many occafions, if the private merchants had been ait liberty to export in their own private veffels.

    Would then the ufe of private India-built fhipping have the effect of bringing to the ports of this country a trade which could not otherwife exift?-Yes; I am of opinion that it would.

    We have heard of your having a Pegr-built hhip; did your houfe build that fhip at Pegu, or did you buy it ready built?-I am not very certain; but I think the was purchafed after hhe was built.
    You have flated it would be ufeful for this country to procure saw produce cheapeft wherever it could be procured, whether in India or America? -So I conceive.

    - I believe you faid you delivered that fentiment, fpeaking as a merchant?-Of courfe; it is the intereft of a country to get a raw material cheap.
    You do not take into your account any political confiderations? $-N o$; I was looking merely to the intereft of the manufacturers.

    You did not take into your confideration, whether there was any other advantage to this country, in promoting the refources of India as a poffeflion of our own, in reference to the refources of a foreign country? - No ; I confined my mind entirely to the mercantile queftion.
    You have flated it to be your belief, that the American veffels are extremely icheap; do you know what they are in point of excellence and quality?-I have always underftood that they are cheap, but I do not believe they are ftrong veffels, by any means; they are certainly not fuch veffels as would anfwer at all to carry on the trade between India and this country; I do not think they would be infured upon any thing near the fame terms; they are built of a wood which the heat of the fun eafily deftroys; live oak, and larch and other woods.
    -Do you know that? -I peak from general information.
    My learned friend has afked you, whether you are able to form a diftinat comparifon between the coft of building in India and in various other parts of the world; I wihh to know whether you are fill of opinion that the building of hips 'in India will give the beft chance to the produce of India in the markets of this country?-I am clearly of that opinion; for even fuppofing that the Danes and Swedes, or the Dutch, tan build cheaper than the people of India; fill they have (72)
    to go out to look for cargoes, under a certain degree of uncertainty; and that very uheertainfy muft conftitute a great difference in charge, in favour of the Indan $\xrightarrow[Y]{\text { lips. }}$
    You have fpoken of the Portuguefe buying hips in Bengal, do you think that thie purchafe of hips by foreigners in India might be increafed, if the India thipping were excluded from the ports of this country ${ }^{3}-1$ have no doubr that the éxclufion of Itidia thips from the ports of this country, would force Indian fhipping into the hands of foreigners; and that thofe fhips, under foreign colours, would bring to the continent of Europe the produce of India, which, under a free fy ftem, they would bring to this country.

    You have been queftioned refpecting the employment of Lafcars and Britigh feas: men ; you are not aware of what arrangements, if any, are likely to be made with sefpect to the difpofal of Lafcars brought home in future? -No; I am only feeaking. of the regulations that have exifted.

    Have you, in fpite of the crofs-examinations which have been made, the fmallef döubt, that the exclafion of India-built fhipping from the ports of this country, twould be a ferious injury both to this country, and to India?-I have no doubt forhatever of it.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    Your houfe is a houfe of agency in India?-It is,
    You know that there is annually a very confiderable fund, confifting of the for: tunes of individuals faved in the fervice of Governments and otherwife, in India; which requires remittance to Europe? - I do,

    It is a great branch of your bufinels, as agents, to conduat thofe remittances?It is.
    Thofe remittances will naturally find their way to Europe, through the channels the moft favourable to the remitter? -Mon certainly.
    'Whatever trade can give, the beft terms will have the remittance of that fund!Moft certainly.

    Could you, as a merchant, give bills upon better terms, if you were allowed the freedon of exporting any goods that you thought fit in Irdia-built bottoms, shan if you were excluaded from fo doing, and obliged to ufe Britifh thipping? - I cand have no doubt whatever thatt I would be enabled to give better terms, by being allowed to make the exports in an India fhip to this country; if I was excluded from that, I thould be forced into new channels, which might be attended both with'inconfensience and expenfe,

    Are there always foreign traders ready to furnifi thofe channels, if any obitrustion soccurs in the direat channels to this country P-There is every facility for it. In the freighbourhood'of Bombay, chere is Gga, Demarum, Dieu, and other foreign ports.,

    Is it not notarious, that formerly in proportion to the obitructions thrown into the 'airect chanmels: between India and England, the foreigners gained the ufe and advantage of that fund?-There is no doubt of it whatever; and the American trade, which rofe to"a very great height in India, is chiefly to bearcribed to the obilructions thrown in the way of the Britifh merchant.

    Have you any doubt it would be a very material article in favour of the. Britifh tmerchant, to have India fhips, the better to compete with the American, and other foreign merchants P-I have no doubt whatever that it would; and I think the merchants of England will, by and by, be as anxious to get India fhips, as the owners of India Ships are now to employ them.

    Do not you confider the climate of India, generally fpeaking, to be very prejudicial to the health of Englih feamen employed there?-Yes; certainly.

    Upon the whole, if they were very generally employed there, do not you believe -that that trade would deftroy more Britifh feamen, than it would breed ?-I thould think it would be very deftructive indeed to employ Britifh Ieamen in the local trade of India; I mean the trade in India, and between India and China.

    And in the fame proportion any. long refidence in India munt be deftructive to the , health of Europeans in the fituation of the common failors?-I fould think peculiarly deftructive to the healch of feamen.

    Were you at Bambay in in 809 and 1810 ?- Yes, I was.
    Was there much cotton exported from Bombay in thofe years?-I cannotimmediately call to my recollection that fact.

    Are you acquainted with the interior of India at:all?-Jolt in the neighbourhood cof Bombay.

    Tou have fpoken of the fhips that were ordered to be fold here, wilh what riew did you confider that fipulation to have been made ?-I really capoot exadiy fay what was the object of the fipulation.
    . Did nof you confider it as a hardhip? - Moft certainly.
    Did not you confider it rather as tending to fupprefs than to encourage the export of Europe goods in India bottoms?-Yes, I did, certainly.
    y Da you know, whether the Company have increafed their number of nips lately in Bombay, or not? $-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ § believe not ; there are only two Ilips upon the Illand of Bombay, at which a kip of any fize can be built; the Company have increafed their docks, but not their nips.

    The larger defcription of fhips you have faid are bailt in the docks?-Yes, thips pf 1,200 tons.

    Have thofe docks for building and repairing the large defcription of fhips, been increafed lately ? - Yes, two additional docks have been added to the dock yards at Bombay, within the laft few years, in which the fevenry-fours have been built.

    Does your knowledge enable you to fay how many fhips more might be built in thefe dock yards, by the addition of thofe two docks, than before they were added? -Yes, I confider, that in the whole they cannot build more than two flips at Bombay, of the fize of 1,200 tons at a time, and that they cannot do it in lefs than fifteen or cighteen months.

    How many could they build in thofe lips and docks at Bombay, before the addi: tional docks were made?-Only one.

    So that the alteration they have made in their accommodations enables them to build double the number of large fhips there which they could before they made thofe alterations?-It enables them to build tyo infead of one.

    You faid, it was not in the power of a private perfon to make docks or flips for the building and repair of fhipping, writhout permiffion of the Company at Bombay? -I believe fo.

    And that lhips could nor be built by private perfons in the docks and lips belonging to thie Company, without pernifion of the Company, and paying for that per-miflion?-Yes.
    Does your knowiedge enable you to fay, whether the Company have that power of permitting or refufing to privare perfons, the power to build and repair chips in their docks and flips, from a right of ownerhip, or from their authority as the 'government of the country P-I cannot exadly fay from what particular sight, but they certainly ufe the power of refufal.

    - Did you ever know them prevent a private perfon fromr conifruting accommodation to build or repair hips in Bombay? - I cannot recolleat any particular inflance rof a refufal, but I know that no undertaking was ever commenced with, zutil the :permiffion was obtained.

    Do you fpeak that of the building of thips, or of the accommodation to build Ghips, becaufe the quefion went to the latter?-I fpeak that of the building; the -conftruction of the means for building were never commenced svith until the building rof the flips had been refolved upon.

    Do you know in what way private perfons poffefs the property of the foil in Bombay? - I cannot give any pofitive anfwer to that queftion, for it involves a matter that has been very much difputed.

    What has been the point of difpute? -It is contended on the part of the Company, that they have an exclufive right to the foil, that they are the exclufive proprietors.; and that, in fome intances, has been objeded to.

    Do you mean objected to by private perfons, claiming a right of property in the foil?-Yes.

    And to convert it to any purpofes which they might.think it for their intereft or -plearure:?-Tes.

    Then you do not know whether-ornot private perfons poffers. property in the foil, in fuch manner, that they would have it in their power to.comfryut dock yards and thlips for the byilding and repair of thips or not ? 1 believe the Company have the exclufive property of all thofe parts of the inand of Bombay, where fuch places could be formed.

    Then there are no places in the.Inand of Bombay, where docks and llips for the houiding and repsir of large .hips could be conftructed, which are private property? -No, I beliere not.

    You have faid you know nothing about Calcutta ?-No.

    Mr. Then you do not know the proportion of hips built in Calcutta to thofe built Jobn F. Mittbell. in Bombay?-No; I only know generally, that they build many more at Bengal than they do at Bombay.

    You mean more fhips, not in general lo large a tonage? ${ }^{-N o}$ No; more in namber.

    The amount you do not know?-No.
    Do you know what is the largeft fize they ordinarily build in Cakutta? L-Latterly they have built Thips for the Eaft India Company's fervice of 1,200 tons; and on one occafion, I believe they built a fhip of 1;700 tons.

    Have you any mieans of judging of the ultimate deftination of the manufactured goods exported from Bombay to Europe; are they for the confumption of this country or other countries?-I believe the piece goods are fent home to this country, to be exported again to the Weft Indies, to the coaft of Africa, and to the continent of Europe; the finer goods go to the continent of Europe; the filks and fine piece goods; and the coarfe piece goods, I prefume, are for the Weft Indies and the coaft of Africa.

    Is a very great proportion of them for the confumption of this country? - I cannot fpeak to that.

    ## Luna, $13^{\circ}$ die Junij 1814.

    ## :SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Chair.

    Mr.
    Benjamin Hobbs.

    ## Mr. Benjamin Hobbs was called in, and examined by Mr. Spankie

    WHAT are you?-A timber merchant.
    Where do you refide ? - Refiding at Redbridge in Hants.
    How long have you been a timber merchant?-Twenty years, refiding at Botley and Redbridge.

    You have been in the habit of contracting with the Nary and Ordnance, and othen departments?-I have.

    In what counties have your dealings for timber been 3-Principally in Hamphire, fome in Suffex, fome in Dorfetfhire, and fome in Wilthire.

    Are you acquainted generally with the fate of the timber in thofe. countics? Yes, I am.

    And the fate in which it has been for twenty years preceding?-Yes.
    In your opinion is the quantity of large timber in thole counties, fit for building large thips of war, increafed or decreafed?-Principally decreafed; it has been decreafing ever fince I have known it, for the laft twenty years.

    Can you fay in what proportion that decreafe has been P-No, I cannot.
    Comparing what is to be found now with what was to be found when you knew thofe counties firft ?-I look upon it, large timber has decreafed confiderably -from twenty years ago to the prefent time; that it is more. difficult to get large timber now than it was then.

    You have been acquainted with the falls of timber for the laft twenty years? Yes.

    What proportion of the timber felled recently, has been of fixty feet metings ? I look upon it, not one third, where I have had to do with it.

    Then in your opinion there has been a general decreafe of large timber in thofe counties ?-Yes, and particularly in Hamplaire.

    Have your dealings been extenfive?-Yes.
    Is it a fair queftion to alk to what amount annually?-I have purchafed from fifteen to thirty thourand pounds worth a year.

    ## [CTro/s-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    Fiffeen to thirty thoufand pounds per annum, for the whole of twenty years? Not for the whole time; I have purchafed probably for half the time I have been in the trade that quantity.

    That is for the laft ten years? - Yes."
    What was the amount of your purchafes for the firft ten years? - E 10,000 .
    Then I fuppofe you purchafed as much timber in wood twenty years ago, as you did in the latter part of the twenty years?-No, not fo much.
    Then your trading was not to the amount of 10,000 l. a year, twenty years ago? - I cannot fay exactly the amount I was the purchafer of at that time.

    How much timber did you purchafe twenty years ago, in proportion to what you have purchafed lately?-I cannot exactly fay; I have purchafed twenty years ago from 1,500 loads to 2,000 loads of timber a year, and fince that I have purchafed probably 3 or 4,000 loads, according to the quantity of timber on the effates; fometimes the falls have been lefs on the eftates on which I have been in the habit of purchafing, and fometimes more, according as the copfes come in for the underwoods; the underwoods are felled at about cight or ten years growth.

    What I want to know from you is, has your purchafe, in point of quantity of timber, been increafing of late years?-Yes, it has; becaufe my bufinefs has been more than it was when I firft began; but I was obliged to go farther for it, and it was more difficult for me to get it.

    You fay, that not a third of the timber felled is of fixty feet metings? - Yes.
    Am I to underftand that it has not been fit to enter into fixty feet metings? No.

    That is, you mean it has not been forty feèt metings? - No; under forty feet; the general falls I have had have been under forty feet.

    When you fay that large timber has decreafed, what fize do you mean by large timber?-We generally call fixty feet metings large, forty feet, and upwards.

    You think that has decreafed in all the four counties you have mentioned? I have been more acquainted with Hamphire than with the other counties, and I know it has decreafed very much there.

    Do you mean to fay, it has decreafed fo that it fhall be felt in Suffex?-Suffex is a county I never had much to do with, except in the neighbourhood of Havant.
    In Dorfethire and Wilthire, what decreafe are you fenfible of there? The eftatess I have been in the habit of dealing in there, have decreafed equally with thofe in Hampfhire.
    How many eftates have you been in the habit of purchafing on ?-A confiderable number.
    A confiderable number in thofe three counties of Suffex, Wilthire, and Dorfet-fhire?-Yes ; but more in Hamphire.
    How long have you contracted with the Navy? -Fifteen years.
    Upon your own account, or in connection with anybody?-Upon my own account.
    Not in connection with anybody ? - No.

    - To what amount have you contrated with the Navy? - With the Navy and the Ordnance Board to the amount of 20,0001 . a year.
    Is that the average? - No; for the laft fifteen years it might average 10,000 or rather more for the Navy, and about 5,000 . for the Ordnance Board.
    You do not mean that you have been a contractor with the Navy Board up to the prefent time?-No.
    How long fince have your contracts with the Navy Board ceafed?-About four years.
    Did any of your contracts ever fland fill for want of timber?-I have not been able to complete a contract I have taken for one year, without fome new timber for the next, timber not falling fo large as the contract expreffed.

    Was that a contract with the Navy?-Yes.
    That you could not complete according to the terms of the contraEt?-Yes.
    When was that? - About fix years ago.
    How much might that contract be which you could not complete?-I wanted about 300 loads of large timber to complete it.

    You had fold none to anybody elfe at that time, I take for granted?-Not large timber.

    Have you taken notice whether there are means ufed to fupply the growth of tumber in future in the counties you know? - Yes; there is a great deal planted. And a great deal preferved?-And a good deal preferved.
    A great deal is not there more than is felled?-There are fome inclofures made in our country, but I look upon it there is as much grubbed up as inclofed.

    Mr. Do you think that the quantity preferved and planted, is" equal to that which Benjamin Hobbr. has been deftroyed, or lefs?-Yes; I think that the plantations are equal to what is grubbed up.
    Is there a profpect in future of an increafe or decreafe of oak timber in the places you know of ? 1 look upon it there will be an increafe after a number of jears; it will take a good many years before it will come to fixty feet metings.
    How many years?-From 80 to 100 years.
    Before either the preferved or planted will come into ufe?-Yes.
    Do you form that as a general opinion upon the whole of the counties, or only upon thofe eftates in which you know the cutting of timber?-Generally in the county of Hants, and upon the eftates where I have been in the habit of buying in other counties.
    Then am I to underftand you, that it will take fourfcore or a hundred years to enable the county of Hants to fupply timber as it did 20 years ago?-Upon the young ones.
    I afls you, whether, in your opinion, the whole county of Hants will require fourfcore or a hundred years to enable it to fupply timber as it did 20 years ago ?I think it will.
    Then that there are no woods in Hampfhire in a fate of progrefs that will contribute to a fupply in 15,20 , or 25 years? -There are certainly fome woods that will come in fooner.
    Are thofe woods of confiderable extent, or fmall, of which you fpoke laft? There are fome woods in the county of Hants very extenfive.
    And which, in your opinion, will fupply timber of large metings in a fhort time?-They might; there is fome large timber in all the woods, but generally fpeaking, it has declined in quantity from what it was twenty years ago, a great deal.
    In the other three counties I underftand you to fay, you confine your knowledge to thofe eftates where you have purchafed?-Yes.
    [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]
    You have been afted, whether you have had any difficulty in completing contracts which you have entered into with the Navy?-Yes.
    Has your dealing in timber been for the largeft kind of timber chiefly ?-Yes, it has.
    And in performing thofe contracts have you experienced any difficulty in finding the large timber? - I have.

    A difficulty as well as a rife in price ?-Yes.
    You mentioned, I think, that you had known quantities of land, formerly wood land, grubbed up ?-Yes.

    Has that taken place to a confiderable extent? -Yes.
    And thofe woods, fo grubbed up, can only be fupplied by thofe recently planted? -There are woods grubbed up and fown with corn.

    But other land has been planted with timber?-Yes.
    Which cannot come into ufe in lefs than 80 or 100 years ?-No.

    ## Mr. Jobn Mumford was called in; and examined.

    Mr. What are you?-I am employed in the purveying line.
    John Mumford. For The King's Yervice ? - Yes.
    How long have you been fo employed ?-Thirty years from the beginning.
    For any other public department?-For the Navy and the New Foreft now principally.
    In the courfe of your employment for the Navy, have you had occafion to vifit much of the timber-growing part of the country ? - Whillt I attended the merchants, in the early part of my time, I had an opportunity of feeing timber frequently as I paffed to perform the duty I was fent for.

    What do you mean by attending the merchants?- When merchants have timber to offer, a purveyor is generally fent to the eftate, to report upon the timber which they have offered to Government.

    In your obfervation then, have you feen any decreafe of timber fit for building large hips of war?-I think it has been on the decreafe progreflively ever fince I have been in it; the large timber, fit for building fhips of war.

    Committee.]-You mean by large timber, timber of fixty feet metings?-Timber of fifty and fixty feet metings, we call that large.

    Mr. Spankie.]-Can you ftate in what proportion that decreafe has taken place? -No.

    Have you experienced any difficulty, or known any difficulty, in obtaining large -timber for the purpofes of the Navy? -The Navy Board has been very mech dif. appointed in not geting timber for the ufe of the Navy, and the prices have confequently increafed; the demand has overgrown the fupply.
    Have you ever been called upon to infpect lots of timber offered to the Navy, which you were obliged to reject as unfit, or any proportion of it ?-I have had very little to do in that way; I looked at two lots of timber four years ago, to report upon it.

    Where?-One lot was near Ongar, in Effex.
    Was the other in Kent or Suffex ?-Near Tunbridge.
    Were thofe large offers?-There was a good deal of timber, but a great deal of it was fmall ; there was fome good timber amongit it.

    In the timber that you have feen, what proportion of it has been fmall ; have the falls generally yielded a large proportion of timber fit for naval purpofes?Not latterly.
    Has that proportion been fmaller latterly, than it was 15 or 20 years ago ?-A great deal.

    Can you flate in a general way what that proportion may be?-I cannot recollect.

    Only you have obferved that the quantity of large timber in the falls has de-creafed?-It is not lo large, certainly; but I have not had an opportunity for ten or twelve years (fince I have had nothing to do with the merchants) of feeing fo many lots of timber as I ufed formerly.

    Of late years you have been engaged in the New Foren ?-Yes, almoft generally, for the laft fourteen or fifteen years.
    Do you know of any offers made by timber merchants, fefiding in Hamphire, to the Navy Board, fome years ago, which were rejected by the Board ?-I do not know that.

    ## [Crc/s-cxamined by Mr. Adolphus.]

    Do I underfand you rightly to fay, that for the laft fourteen or fifteen years, your employment has been in the New Foreft, and you have had little or nothing to do with the merchant fervice?-Yes; 1 have been employed more than fffeen years in the New Foreft ; but I have had not fo much to do with the merchants for the laft fourteen or fifteen years, as I had before.
    Have you in the laft ten years, had any thing to do, except viewing thefe lots, the one at Ongar, and the other at Tunbridge ? - Not for the merchants.

    ## [Examined by the Conmitttee.]

    From the nature of your obfervation, could you judge at all whether there is a probability of a fufficient fupply in future ?-I am afraid there is.

    Do you happen to know what is the flate of the timber in the New Foreft?Yes, I know the ftate of it ; that is decreafing, the large timber.
    There is not fo much of the large timber fit for fhip-building, or near fo much as you recolledt whea you were firlt concerned in it?-There is a good deal of growing timber.

    What is the flate of that growing timber; in how many years is it probable that may be fit for ufe in Chip-building, fixty feer metings? -The timber of thirty feet; in about five-and-twenty or thirty years, will be fit tor the Navy; if you go lower, it will take longer.
    In how long would that timber which is in the New Foref, which you call fmall, be fit for the purpofes of hip-building? -Some of it in thirty years; fome of it will be longer.
    How old is the growing timber there?-A tree of thirty feet will become a large tree fooner than one of twenty.
    You fay, there is a good deal of youns growing timber in New Foreft; of what age is that? -Some in the old inclofure will be about forty years; in King William's inclofure it will be about that.

    Mr. And the remainder which has been planted by Government, how old is that? Jobn Mumford. Five years, three years, and fome of it two years.

    Then what proportion of that young growing timber will be fit for hip-building in twenty years, and what will require eighty or a hundred years?-The new inclofure will not come into ufe for a hundred years.
    And King William's inclofures?-About forty years.
    And what proportion does the King William's inclofure bear to the netw inclofures? -I cannot fay; the new inclofures which have been made within five years, are near five thoufand acres.
    And what are King William's inclofure?-I cannot fate that correctly.
    About what?-About a thoufand acres, I think; I do not know whether I am correct.

    Mr. Willian Stovald was called in at his own requeft, and examined.
    Mr. Committee.]-For what purpofe do you appear here?-I wifh to go again over William Stovald. my evidence, and make a few corrections which I have marked.

    When did you read over your evidence? On Friday.
    With whom?-I read it in the coffee-room; Mr. Lavie's clerk gave it to me.
    Did you propofe thefe alterations at that time to Mr. Lavie's clerk? - Yes.
    And he then took them down in pencil as they ftand now upon the face of the evidence? - Yes.
    Who was prefent at the time?-I do not know who was prefent.
    Thofe alterations you wifh to abide by ?-Yes: When I came to this box, you afked me about the quantity of large timber on the different eftates; I gave an account of different eftates where the large timber was very much reduced: then, in fome part of my examination, it was enquired, were there large quantities of timber on the eftates? and my anfwer was, yes. The queftion was not put to me, whether I meant large timber; if it had been, I hould have anfwered, fmall timber. In my examination, there is put down timber of eighty feet; we call fixty feet metings the ftandard for large timber.
    The alterations you wifh to make are generally of that defcription and tendency? -Yes.
    And the alterations are frated in pencil upon the face of the evidence?-Yes.
    Thofe alterations you have examined? - Yes.
    And thofe alterations you mean to abide by?-Yes.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-You were examined here on Wednefday laft ?-Yes.
    Did you fee Mr. Bowcher after that?-Yes; I walked out from here with him.
    Did you talk over your evidence with him?-No, not a word, except that he faid, "inftead of a thoufand or fifteen hundred loads, you might fay three or fout thoufand loads that we have had of you."
    He told you, inftead of your quoting him for 1,500 loads, you might have quoted him for three or four thoufand? - No, no fuch thing; he did not quote me, nor I him; but only that I might have faid, he had more than 1,500 loads.
    That was all?-Yes.
    You have not talked to him beyond that?-No, I have not feen him fince, to talk to him about this bufinefs.
    Did you talk to Mr. Morris about it ? - Nothing particular, any further than what I faid before, and what I confider the truth.
    You had fome difcuffion with Mr. Morris about your evidence?-He has not biaffed me in any refpect.
    Have you difcuffed the fubject of your evidence with Mr. Morris ?-No, I do not know that we have, except that he confidered my evidence very fair.
    Which anfwer do you mean to abide by ; that he confidered your evidence fair, or that he did not fay any thing about it?-Nothing particular.

    Which of the three anfwers do you abide by ; you tell me, he faid your evidence was very fair ; then you fay, there was nothing particular; and then, that he faid nothing about it ; now which of thefe was the faa?-Not to bias me; not to put any words in my mouth.
    Had you any difcuffion with Mr. Morris, upon the fubject of your evidence? $\rightarrow$ I fpoke to nim in the coffee-room, bat I do not know that he faid any thing particular; I will not deny that.
    You will not deny what ?-He faid fomething refpecting my evidence.

    What did he fay refpecting your evidence?-Nothing particular ; he did not tell me I had done wrong.

    Alt. Whiwam Sooralt.

    When did you talk with Mr. Horris on the fubject of your evidence?.-On Friday.

    Committee.]-That was the day you corrected your evidence?-Yes.
    Was he prefent when you corrected your evidence?-No.
    Did you fee him before you corrected your evidence? -No.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-Do you mean to fay, that before you corrected your evidence, you did not fpeak to Mr. Morris about it?-Not to make any alterations.

    Had you any converfation with Mr. Morris, about your evidence, before you corrected it ?-Of courfe he knew I gave my evidence, and he afked me whether I came to correct it; and I faid, Yes.

    Where was it he afked you this?- I believe in the coffee-room.
    Where Mr. Lavie's clerk was waiting with the evidence?-He was penciling it down at the time.

    What was Mr. Lavie's clerk pencilling down at the time ? - My corrections.
    Then while you were talking with Mr. Morris?-Mr. Morris came in and out.

    While Mr. Lavie's clerk was taking down in pencil your correations?-Yes.
    Was this at one of the tables in the coffee-room or up flairs?-At one of the tables.

    And when Mr. Morris and you had a converfation, this was going on ?-No, he never biaffed me; I came here to fpeak the truth, and nothing elfe; my evidence is good evidence and very fair ; I want the evidence read over to me, and that is all I afk for.

    Did you di\&tate the words that were pencilled down?-I gave infructions. What inftrutions did you give? -There are three or four alterations.
    What did you tell the gentleman who made the alterations, in order to enable him to make them ?-I told him to pencil in what is pencilled in.

    How was it done?-It was done by a pencil.
    Who propofed the alterations?-1 did it of my own knowledge, and it is to the beft of my knowledge.

    Is that any anfiver to my queftion of how the thing was done? who read over the evidence to you?-I looked over part of it myfelf, not the whole.

    Nobody read it to you, and you did not read the whole?-There might be a little part read over to me afterwards by Mr. Lavie's clerk.

    There might be a little part read to you by fomebody elfe?-Yes.
    Am 1 to underfand that you read it over yourfeff, or that it was read to you:No, I did not read the whole of it myfelf.

    And you have not read the whole of it yourfelf now?-No, I came here to-day to hear it read.

    You have not read it all over yet?-No, I have not.
    If you bave not heard the whole of it read, how comes it, that at the clofe of your examination there is an alteration?-I looked at the heads, and I now come to read it over. I undertood I was to come before you, and have the evidence read over.
    Have-you ever read yourfelf, or had the evidence read to you ?-I have not read it all right proper through.

    Nor have you heard it read?-Part of it; and there I ordered alterations to be made.
    How came you not to read the whole?-I had not time.
    Do you mean to fay you kkipped over any of the pages?- I read the heads of it, and I fee many of the queftions are not, correct. I want the evidence read over to me. I want the Committee to let me have it read over to me.
    Have you had the whole of it read over to you ?-Not the whole.
    Nor have you read the whole of it yourfelf?-No.
    How comes it that even quite towards the clofe of it, within the laft ten queftions, there are alterations? - Becaufe I faw at the latter end it wanted alterations; and where my anfwers in different places I faw wanted alterations, I had them made.
    Do you mean to defire the Committee to believe, that when the evidence was given to you, inftead of reading it through, you only looked at a ferw pages?-I did not read it ali through: I am no fcholar, and can hardly read that.

    Mr. Do you mean to fay you cannot read this writing? - No, I cannot well.
    Was it all read to you? - It was not; only the heads of it.
    What do you mean by the heads of it?-My anfwers, thote are the heads.
    Then the anfwers were read without the queftions?-Some of them were and do not come to the point.

    Then the gentleman who read it to you, felected out thore parts that came to the point?-No, he did not.

    Then how came it that particular parts were not read to you? -The whole of it was not read to me through, I believe.

    Committee.]-You fay you had fome converfation with Mr. Morris?-Yes, I had.

    You are aware you are bound, though not upon your oath, to lpeak the truth at your own peril P-Yes.

    Recollect the fituation in which you are, and ftate now, upon recollection, whether you mean to fay, that no converfation paffed on Friday between you and Mr. Morris, before you made your corrections?-There was not any about this bufinefs.
    None of any kind?-We deal together, and he,might talk of the price of bark, and fó on.
    You faw Mr. Morris in the coffee-houfe that day, before you made the alterations in your evidence ?-Yes.
    And you fell into converfation upon fome fubjects together?-Yes.
    Did you tell him the purpofe for which you were come to the coffee-houfe? I do not know that I did particularly.

    Did he afk you? - I do not recollect that he did.
    You have flated before, that he did afk you that; now the Committee put the queftion diftinctly to you, did he alk you the purpofe for which you were come to the coffee-houfe?-I believe he did.

    When you firft came to the coffee-houfe, was Mr. Lavie's clerk there?-Yes; at leaft Mr. Lavie was; I do not rocollect much; I never was there before.
    Did you find Mr. Morris there?-Mr. Morris was there.
    Before you came? -Yes.
    Did you proceed immediately to make your alterations with Mr. Lavie's clerk, or had you converfation with Mr. Morris firft - We proceeded immediately; I had no converfation with Mr. Morris on this point; I only went to correat my evidence, as I faid before,

    Has Mr. Morris at any time, fince you gave your evidence, had any converfation with you upon it P-No, I believe not.

    You are quite fure of that? - Yes,
    He never told you, that he differed with the opinion you had given with refpect to there being no fcarcity of timber ?-No; becaufe I confidered large timber.

    Then you explained to him that you meant large timber and not fmall? - I have faid before, not only to him but to other timber merchants, that there was a hhort fupply of large timber.

    Before you gave your evidence?-Yes, that has been my opinion for fome months paft.
    You have told him and other timber merchants, that there is a fhort fupply of large timber? ${ }^{\text {? }}$ Yes.
    Mr. Morris then muft have been furprifed when he heard you had given evidence to a contrary effect?-No, he was not furprifed.
    Did he aever exprefs bis furprife to you after you had given your evidence? No.

    He did not fay it was extraordinary you fhould have given fuch an evidence? No.

    You went away from this room after your examination with Mr. Bowcher? Yes.

    He heard your evidence? - Yes.
    Did not he think it extraordinary, you fhould fay there was no fcarcity of timber? -He never faid fuch words.
    Nor any thing like it?-No; it was my opinion that there was a Chort fupply of large navy timber.
    And Mr. Bowcher, as you walked away together never faid to you, that he differed in opinion with you?-No.

    Nor any thing of the kind ?-No, he never faid a word on the fubject.
    Did anybody exprefs his furprize to you at the evidence you have given? millathothaht. No.

    Nobody?-No.
    You have feen your friends, the timber merchants, fince?-Ycs.
    And they never faid a word to you on that fubject?-No.
    How were thefe alterations made; you fay you cannot read, and they were not read to you; how came you to hit upon the particular places?-It arifes from not putting proper queltions to me in my evidence.

    How came you to know there were not proper queftions put to you ?-By looking at them.

    The Committee underfood you to fay you could not read P-I can read them, but not off hand.
    Did you make any alterations yourfelf?-Yes, and it is my correction.
    Mr. Harrijon.]-On your former examination you were alked, "But leaving out the flacknefs occafioned by that circumftance, I underftand you to ftate, that the demand of late years has been greater than of former years for the fmall timber?" Your anfwer was, "But not to much for the large." Now what anfwer do you wifh to have fubfituted for that?-I would fay, "Yes, but not fo much for the larger north-country timber, of thirty feet metings, fit for building four hundred ton fhips."
    Was that anfwer fuggefted by you?-That is the truth.
    Did you give the words of that anfwer?-That was miy meaning in the antwet tet firft; if you had put the queftion properly, I twould have given you that anfwer.

    Did you dictate that anfwer yourfelf, or did fomebody write that anfwer for yout while you were talking as you have been here?-I dietted the words myfelf.

    Can you repeat them now? - No, I cannot.
    You were aiked, "Has not Lord Stawell fill a great quantity of large timber to cut?" Your anfwer was, "Yes," What anifwer do you will to fubfitate for that?-It is fmall timber in getteral lupon his eflates.

    You were afked, "Now Mr. Wey?" Your anfwer was, "He has thinmed his at Stanftead Park?" What do you wifh to add to that? Wirle" hads but little, and it is principally fimail.

    You were afked, "Has not the Duke of Richmond fliil a ghood quantity to cat ?" Your anfwer was, "Yes." Do you wifh to add anythinty to that pult is prificipally fmall; he has got fome navy timbet, but the principal part of what he has upon his eftate is fmall.

    You were afked, "You have been a conliderable dealer, we find, by the quasitity you buy; have you ever found any difficulty in purchafing the quantity of timbet you have wanted?" and you daid "No." Do you wifh to alter that anfwer PI fhould wifh my anfiver to fland, No, not of moderate-fized tiniber.

    The next queftion is, "Are you one of the gentlemen that apprehendy fearity of timber, either for the navy or for the merchants" fetvice ?", Your anfwer was, "I do not think there is." How do you wifh to correct that ?-I do not think there is a fupply of navy timber; there is a great quantity of fmali timber on hand; the fupply of navy timber is fhort.

    Is that the purport of the alteration you dictated to Mr. Lavie's clerk ?-Yes.
    You mean to fate, that that is the purport of the alteration you defired Mr. Lavie's clerk to put down in pencil ?-Yes.

    Then you did not tell Mr. Lavie's clerk to put down "there is no fcarcity according to the prefent demand?"-No, Idid not.

    Committec.]-Do you mean pofitively to fay, you did not ufe thofe woods, br that you do not recolled ufing them ?-1 told Mr. Lavie's clerk to put dowh the words.

    What inftructions did you give him to put what down there? - What is put down there.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Then I find it written here in pencil, "I do not think there is a fearcity according to the prefent demand;" that is what you told him?-Yes.

    Committec.]-Did Mr. Lavie's cletk fuggeft any one ford to you whatever ? -NO , I told him what to put down.

    Mr.
    SHitian Stovald.

    Mr. Harrifon.]-Then what you told him on Friday was, that there is no fcarcity according to the prefent demand?-I think there is no fcarcity of any kind of timber at this time, now there is peace.

    You were afked "You fay there is no prefent fcarcity of timber for either the merchant or naval fervice," and your anfwer was, "No?"-There is no fcarcity at the prefent demand either of fmall, middling, or large.

    What do you mean by the prefent demand?-The orders that I confider now given.
    Committee.]-Do you mean a peace demand $P$ - Yes the quantity demanded.
    Mr. Harrifon.]-What do you underfand by the quantity demanded?-The quantity demanded for the navy.

    What is the quantity demanded for the navy ?-I cannot fay.
    Another queftion was, "Have you ever been obliged, with a view to the quantities you have purchafed, to go out of your general and ordinary connections," your anfwer was, "No;" now what do you wifh to add to that?-That is right.

    Comnittee.]-You have nothing to add to that you are quite fure ? - Yes.
    You are quite fure you did not fuggeft any alteration to that anfwer?-No, I have not been obliged to do it ; but I have gone from home and purchafed timber in different counties.

    Do you wifh to make any alteration to that anfwer ?-No.
    Did you fuggeft any alteration to that anfwer to Mr. Lavie's clerk ?-I do not recollect ; I might; I cannot recollect; I have got no minutes.

    The next queftion is, "Then am I right in fuppofing, that without any new difcoveries there is plenty of timber in the country? Yes; both for prefent ufe and for future ufe? Yes."-Yes; at the prefent demand, I mean.

    Another queftion was," Then though you think you could now command a confiderable quantity of timber, I underftand you to fay, that if the demand fhould go on in future years, there muft be a decline of the quantity?" Your anfwer was, "I confider there is timber enough for the fupply, if people were inclined to fell at the prefent price, and a fupply for the future." What alteration do you propofe there? -At the prefent demand.

    Your anfwer was, "I confider there is timber entough for the fupply, if people were inclined to fell at the prefent price, and a fupply for the future;" and you wifh to add, " of middle-fized timber?"-Yes.

    The next queftion is, "The demand has, in fact, diminififed the quantity, you fay, of large timber?" Your anfwer was, "I think there is not fo much navy timber as there was ten years ago; ftill I tkink there is enough for the navy and the coafting trade;" what alteration do you propofe there? -At this prefent demand.

    And you tell me, you do not know what the demand is?-No, I cannot fay;
    You were alked, "You ftated you thought the fupply would be equal to the demand in future years, as well as at prefent;" do you mean the demand at the prefent moment, or the full demand in the time of war? The demand at the prefent moment has a very good fupply; then you mean the reduced demand at the prefent moment?-There is a flack demand at the prefent moment; there is timber enough to go into market, I think, and rather more; I mean of middle-fized timber, and fmall timber.

    ## Mr. Patrick Maitland, called in; and examined by Mr. Spankie.

    Patrick Maitland.
    You have refided in India fome years? -Two and twenty years.
    Were you in bufinefs there?-Yes, I was.
    In what part of India?-In Calcutta.
    You are acquainted with the nature of the trade carried on between Great Britain and India?-Yes, I am.

    Are you acquainted with the ufe of teak-built fhips in the trade of India? Yes.

    In your opinion, is it important to the trade with India, that thofe fhips fhould be admitted into the trade? I I think it is very important.

    Will you explain in what refpects you confider thofe fhips as important to the trade between the two countries?-I muft requeft fome indulgence in giving an anfwer to fo fweeping a queftion, as I came into this room without knowing I hould have to give my opinion to the Committee on this fubject. I confider the teak
    fhips as affording the means of giving the cheapeft rate of freight, which I confider ablolutely neceffary for carrying on any trade with advantage.

    Any trade with India? - Any trade in the world.
    Is that opinion formed with reference to the expenfe at which thofe fhips can be navigated; do you take into your confideration at all, the expenfe at which the fhips can be navigated?-Yes ; I do.

    Which of the two countries do you confider to be the exporting country? Chiefly from India to England.
    In what refpect do you confider it important to the merchant of India to have his tonnage on the fpot there, and of Indian-built fhips?-I confider it, of much greater importance to the nation in general, than to the merchant.

    In what manner do you confider the merchant benefited by having the command of tonnage there, or what difadvantage would it be to expect that tonnage from England?-It would give him great facility in making his arrangements in his fpèeulations for England.

    Do you conceive, that a merchant in India would be likely to export in the fame degree, if he were obliged to depend on tonnage from England ? - I certainly do not; not in the fame degree.
    What are the goods which have generally been imported into this country in the private trade?-Indigo is the moft valuable; of the gruff goods, cotton, fugar and pepper.

    Explain why you think the merchants of India would not be enabled to exportif they were to depend upon the tonnage from England?-I did not fay they would be unable to export, but they would not export to fo great an extent; the goods that are exported from Calcutta are not produced in that town, they are procured by advances which muft be laid out fometimes twelve months before, and no merchant would make thofe advances, without knowing that he had a chance of procuring freight to tranfport them to England, in addition to the precarious chance of fale in Calcutta.
    .Have you ever known any inconvenience experienced by the merchants in India, for the want of fuitable tonnage? - Yes, I have known very great inconvenience.

    In what refpects do you mean?-Having goods lying on hand upwards of fix or eight months, without a conveyance to London.
    From your knowledge of the commerce between the two countries, do you think an adequate fupply of tonnage would be likely to go from England to India?I think more might fometimes go than would be required; the thing is to have it when it is required.

    Do you think if more were fent than was required, and confequently difappoint. ments occurred to the freighters of fuch fhips, that they would be likely to fend other fhips ?-No, I do not think they would, of courfe

    Would not then thofe difappointments tend to decreafe the quantity of tonnage fent to India from England?-Certainly, and might have the effect of lcaving them without any.

    Have you had any experience of the trade carried on by the extra hips, taken up by the Company, for the purpofes of the private trade?-Yes.

    Was it found that thofe extra fhips anfwered the demands of the merchants in India ?-The mode in which they were fupplied occafioned great inconvenience in Calcutta.

    Had the merchants been enabled to fupply themfelves there with tonnage, could they have exported to England with advantage ?-Frequently they could.

    Have you any knowledge of the comparative expenfe of hipping on board country fhips, and in flips built in the river Thames going to Inda? -It is a thing that has varied fo much, particularly of late, that I cannot fay which is moft expenfive.
    Which of the two, generally feeaking, could be fupplied to the merchant, at the cheapeft rate, in your opinion?-The Indian thip.
    From the nature of the trade between the countries, which would form the largeft component part of a voyage out and home, the homeward voyage or the outward voyage? -The homeward voyage certainly; I think the outward freight is confidered only one-third of the other.
    Are you acquainted with the nature of the India-built fhips, fo as to fpeak to the repairs requifite for fuch hips?-In general the repairs in the river Thames of India-built Ihips have been confidered very expenfive.

    Mr. Do you conceive that navigating thofe fhips with Britifh feamen would make any confiderable difference in the expenfe of fending them to fea?-No, I fhould imagine not.

    And fuch a circumftance would not be likely to enhance the freights?-No, I think not.
    Suppofing the India-built thips excluded from the trade between the two countries, do you conceive that thofe goods which would be brought home in the Indiabuilt hips would be likely to find their way to England in an Englifhbuilt Chip?Part of them might ; but a great proportion of them would find their way to Europe in foreign fhips.
    A confiderable trade is carried on with Britifh India by the Americans?-Formerly there was.
    Some by the Swedes and Danes?-Yes.
    Do you conceive the trade fo carried on by thofe nations would be carried on by Britifh fubjects in India, if they had the facilities of their own built mips? Yes, I do.
    Can you tell whether any part of the capital from which that trade is produced, is founded on the remittance of fortunes from India to England?-Certainly, part of it is.

    Do you conceive it material, both for India and for Britifa fubjects there, that they fhould have facilities in bringing home thofe fortunes to England ?-I think it is of much greater importance to the nation than it is to individuals.

    Explain how you mean ?-I think it would be giving an unjult monopoly to the Englifh hipbuilders, to the injury of the Britifh feamen.

    In your opinion, would fewer Britifh fhips, navigated by Britifh feamen, be employed in the trade altogether ?-More, certainly.

    More, in cafe the teak fhips were introduced ?-Yes.
    And fewer in cafe they were not?-And fewer in cafe they were not; it would be in the hands of foreigners in cafe they were not.

    Can you tell us, whether the produce of India is fuch as is confumed in this country, or intended chiefly for the continental market?-Part for both.

    Can you tell us what proportion, fpeaking generally ?-I am not prepared to anfwer that queftion.

    A large proportion is intended for the continent?-A large proportion for the continent, certainly.

    If the teak hips were allowed to be introduced into the trade, do you think that the merchants of England would be enabled to carry on a competition fuccefsfully with the Americans, Swedes, Danes, and other nations who carry on that trade? Yes, they would:

    Do you think that competition could be maintained, if teak fhips were excluded from the trade between England and India?-It could not be fo well maintained.

    How long have you been acquainted with the trade between the two countries? -I have been eftablifhed in a houfe of bufinefs in India two-and-twenty years.
    I fuppofe then, you can remember almoft the firft introduction of what is called the direct private trade between India and England ?-I think it was about that time.

    Has it increafed in that time?-Certainly.
    Can you tell whether that has been a trade in which the Eaft India Company have largely embarked, or whether it has generally beeen carried on by individuals through the Company?-The Eait India Company cannot have any thing to do with' that, becaufe it ceafes to be private trade, if it is the Company's.

    Do you mean to flate to us, that the private trade carried on is different from the Company's trade, and independent of the Company ?-Certainly I do.
    Then do you conceive, that without the facility of India lhips that private trade could have exifted, or can be maintained?-It certainly can be maintained, in a frrall degree, but it would be very much curtailed.

    In your opinion, would the encouragement of the trade in the teak-built fhips ultimately tend to the confumption of Britifh commodities in India, by furnifhing them there at a cheap rate? - Yes, I think it would.

    Has the introduetion of Britifh commodities into India experienced any and what difcouragements, from the nature of the mode in which the trade has hitherto been carried on ?-If the freights had been lower, the exports certainly would have been
    greater to India than they have been, confequently the natives would have confumed more of the Englif manufactured goods.

    Then what effect will the employment of teak-built fhips have upon the confumption of Britifh commodities in India, in the way of encouragement?-By the reduction of the freight outwards.

    And fupplying the commodities confequently at a cheaper rate?-At a cheaper rate.

    Has the trade been carried on between the ports of Britih India and America, and the foreign parts of Europe, to any confiderable extent within your experience; have the Danes, Swedes and Americans entered largely into the trade with Britifh India ?- Formerly, but not of late; except the Portugueze no nation has carried on the trade largely.

    Do the Portugueze carry on any confiderable trade to our fettlements?-Yes, they do.

    In your opinion, are the advantages of the teak-built fhips fuch, that if they were excluded from the ports of England they woald be employed by thofe foreign nations you have mentioned ?-Moft certainly they would, and to my knowledge they are employed.

    Can you tell us, whether if the trade were regulated upon advantageous terms for India, India is capable of fupplying many articles, particularly raw materials, adapted to the demand of England or Europe?-Certainly a good many articles are produced that are fit for the demand of Europe.

    Is cotton fhipped much from Calcutta ?-A good deal from Calcuttá, I believe not fo much as from Bombay, but a great deal from Calcutta.

    Has the demand for filk been increafed from India, by the facility of the Indian trade?-Not fo much as the gruff goods; filk can afford to pay a higher rate of freight.

    Is there much facility in India for the production of filk?-Yes, there is; almoft any quantity, if the Company had not difcouraged individuals from ereaing filatures.

    Can you flate, whether filk could be fupplied from India as advantageoufly as from other parts, from which it is imported into England, if due encouragement were given to the introduction and improvement of it?-Some kinds of filk have never been made in India; I doubt whether it would anfwer generally, as you flate it.

    My queftion was, whether India was capable of fupplying any quantity of filk for the Englifh marker?-Yes; and I anfwered, that it could be fupplied in any quantity.

    Upon that I afked you, whether it was capable of being fo improved, either in its texture or mode of cultivation, as to be better adapted to enter into competition with the beft that is ufed in England from other countries?-It might be very much improved, certainly.
    In your opinion, would the introduction of teak lhips afford encouragement generally to the productions of India in carrying them to the Englifh market? -Undoubtediy it would; it would prevent their going to a foreign market.

    Would that afford any advantage to individuals having occafion to make remittances from India to England ?-I have never known any difficulty exift in making remittances from India.

    What $I$ afk is, would it be any advantage to an individual remitting from India, if an article of commerce, through which that remittance could be made, was introduced?-It can make very little difference to the purchafer of a bill, whethe: he buys it from a Dane, or from a native of any other country, provided the bill is a good one.
    In your opinion, would it be more advantageous to the country that that remittance fhould be made in the produce of the country than in any other mode? -It is of the greateft advantage to England that the remittance fhould be made dired here; to the remitter it is of little confequence, provided he is well fecured.

    I think you faid, in one of your former anfwers, it made a confiderable difference to India ?-Much more to England, I think.

    In your opinion, would it be practicable to exclude foreigners from trading with the ports of India, without great injury to India as a producing country? -That is an extenfive queftion of finance I am fcarcely, at prefent, prepared to go into.

    ## MINUTES OF EVIDENCE ON PETITIONS

    Mr. Suppofing the trade in thofe articles which might be brought to the Englin market, and which would be brought to a foreign market in certain cafes, were cut off altogether, would it be a difadvantage to India?-It would be a great advantage to England to have the whole trade brought here, and not to go to foreign countries; I do not confider the advantage to fubfift alone in duties.

    Suppofing duties or prohibitions were impofed in India upon foreigners reforting there, do you conceive that trade from which they would be excluded, would come to England, unlefs by the facility given by the teak-built fhips? -Part.of it might, but it would bé reduced.

    You have ftated, that you know inflances of foreigners employing teak fhips? I know inflances of the Portugueze employing them.

    Any other inftances? -Formerly there were many other inftances; there were many under Danifh and Swedifh colours.

    Then in cafe of a general peace, in your opinion would the trade between thofa countries and Britilh India be revived, in cafe the teak thips were excluded from. the trade between England and India?-Certainly it would.

    The following Account and Papers were read:
    (go.)-Account of the Prices per ton at which Ship Builders in the Private Yards in the Thames andat the Out Ports, have offered to build fhips of the Line, Frigates, \&c:
    (9r.)-Forms of Advertifements for building, in Private Yards, Ships of the Line, Frigates, \&c.
    -(90.)-
    Nary Office, $\}$ An ACCOUNT of the Prices per Ton at which the different SFIIP BUILDERS, in the Private Yards in toth June 18:4. $\}$ the Tbames and at the Out Ports, have from time to time offered to build Ships of the Line, Frigates, and ither Veffels of War, for the laft Five Years, whether of Oak or Fir ; with the Names and Defcriptions of fuch Perfons, and the Dates of their Offers refpectively.
    

    Mr. Suppofing the trade in thofe articles which might be brought to the Englifh marker, and which would be brought to a foreign market in certain cafes, were cut off altogether, would it be a difadvantage to India? -lt would be a great advantage to England to have the whole trade brought here, and not to go to foreign countries; I do not confider the advintage to fubfift alone in duties.

    Suppofing duties or prohibitions were impafed in India upon foreigners reforting there, do you conceive that trade from which they would be excluded, would come to England, unlefs by the facility given by the teak-built fhips? -Part.of it might, but it would bè reduced.

    You have ftated, that you know inflances of foreigners employing teak fhips ? I know inflances of the Portugueze employing them.

    Any other inftances?-Formerly there were many other inftances; there were many under Danifh and Swedifh colours.
    Then in cafe of a general peace, in your opinion would the trade between thofe countries and Britih India be revived, in cafe the teak fhips were excluded from the trade between England and India?-Certainly it would.

    The following Account and Papers were read:
    (90.)-Account of the Prices per ton at which Ship Builders in the Private Yards in the Thames and. at the Out Ports, have offered to build fhips of the Line, Frigates, \&c:
    (gr.)-Forms of Advertifements for building, in Private Yards, Ships of the Line, Frigates, \&c..
    

    Nary Office, $\}$ Av ACCOUNT of the Prices per Ton at which the different SfIIP BUILDERS, in the Private Yards in 10th June 18i4. $\}$ the Thames and at the Out Parts, have from time to cime pffered to build ships of the Line, Frigates, pand offher Veffels of War, for the laft Five Years, whether of Oak or Fir ; with the Names and Defcriptions of fuch Perfons, and the Dates of their Offers refpectively.
    
    (90.)-Account of the Prices per Ton for building Ships of the Line, Frigates, \&c. in Private Yards_-continued.
    
    (90.)-Account of the Pries per Ton for building Ships of the Line, Frigates, \& c . in Private Yards-continued.

    | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { of offer. } \end{gathered}$ | By whens made. | Defrription. | Where refident. | Defription of 8HIP or VkSSEL lendered for. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Whether } \\ \text { Oak of Fir. } \end{gathered}$ | Price per Ton alked by the B |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | $\begin{aligned} & 1812: \\ & .6 \text { Nov. } \end{aligned}$ | Mr. R. Newman <br> Meffrs, Buffell \& Son - <br> - - Pitcher \& Sons | Ship Builder | Dartmouth | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} \text { No. } \\ \text { I Brig Sloop of } & \text { Tons. } \\ 382 \end{array}\right\|$ | Oak | $\begin{array}{lll} 2 & 8 . & d . \\ i 7 & 15 & 0 . \end{array}$ |  |
    | - |  |  | Lyme Regis <br> Northfleet - | $\left\|\begin{array}{llll} 1 & - & - & \cdot \\ 1 & - & - & - \\ - \end{array}\right\|$ | - | 16. 10. 0. |  |
    | - |  |  |  |  | - | 15. 15. 0 |  |
    | - | Mr. B. Adams |  | Bucklerfhard <br> Ipfwich - | 1 - - . | - | 17. 10. 0. |  |
    | - | - J. Bayley - | $-$ |  |  |  | 16. 0.0. |  |
    | - | Mrs. Mary Rofs | - | Rochefter - | 1 - - - . | - | 16. o. o. |  |
    | - | Meffrs. Hobbs \& Hellyer | - | Redbridge |  | - | 16. 0.0. |  |
    | 2 Dec. | Mr. J. Barton | - | LimehoufeUpnor | 236 -gun Frigates of 930 | Fir | 22. 5. O. of Yellow Pine |  |
    | - | - J. King |  |  | $138 \text { - 1,062 }$ |  | 24. 10. o. Do. |  |
    | - | - E. Adams | - | Bucklerfhard | 1 - - - | - |  |  |
    | - | Mrs. Mary Rofs | - | Rochetter - |  | - | 24 0. o. Do. |  |
    | - | Mr.J. Pelham - | - | Frinfury | 136: Do. - 930 | - | 23. 10. 0. - Yellow Pine |  |
    | - | - W. Wallis | - | Black wall $\{$ | ${ }_{1} \overline{8}-D_{0} .{ }_{1,062}$ | 二 | 23. 0. o. Do. <br> 24. o. o. - Red Pine |  |
    | - | - J. Parfons | - | Warfah - | 1- - - . | - | $\begin{array}{llll} 24 \cdot & 0 . & 0 . & \text { Red Pine } \\ 24 & \text { 5. } & 0 . & \text { Do. } \end{array}$ |  |
    | - | - B. Adams | - | Bucklerfhard | 1-. - . | - | 24. 10. a Do. |  |
    | - | Mefirs. Barnard \& Roberts |  | Deptford - |  | - | 24. o. o. - Do. |  |
    | - | Mr. D. Lif - - | - | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Finhbourne } \\ \text { Ineof Wight }\end{array}\right\}$ | 1 |  | 24. 0. o. Dd. |  |
    | - | Meffrs. Wigram \& Green | - | Blackwall - <br> Northfleet - |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{llll} 23 . & 0 . & 0 . & \text { Do. } \\ 24 & 0 . & 0 . & \text { Do. } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |
    |  | - Pitcher \& Sons - |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
    | 6 - | Mr. B. Adams |  | Do. | I Sloop of - 454 | Oak | 18. 10. 0. |  |
    | - | - E. Adams | - |  | 1 - - - |  | 18. 5. 0 |  |
    | - | - R. Davy - | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Do. } \\ \text { Topham } \end{gathered}$ | : - - - |  | 18. 10. 0. |  |
    | - | Meffrs. Brindley |  | Frinfbury |  | - | 17. 15. 0. |  |
    | - | Mr. J. Bayley | - | Ipfwich |  |  | 19. 0.0. |  |
    | - | Mell.Garrett \& Weftbrook | - | Blackwall - |  | - | 19. 5. 0. |  |
    | - | Mr. W. Cortney | - | Chefter <br> Bideford - | - - - . - |  |  |  |
    | 1.. | - W. Taylor |  |  |  | - | $\text { 17. 10. } 0 .$ |  |
    | $2 \cdot$ | Meffrs. Chapman \& Ellis |  | Bideford - <br> Do.  | : - . | - | 17. 10. 0. |  |
    |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
    | a Jan. | - - Wigram \& Green |  | Blackwall - $\left\{\begin{array}{r}\left.24 \approx \text {-gun } \begin{array}{r}\text { Frigates of } \\ 1,238 \text { tons }\end{array}\right\}\end{array}\right\}$ |  | Fir | 26. O. O. of Red Pine |  |
    |  | o. | - | Do. | ¢ - . - - - | - | 26. 0. $\quad$ o. Do. |  |
    | Feb. | Mr. J. King , | - | Upror - | 1 Sloop of - 454 | Oak | 19 |  |
    |  | - B. Adams | - | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Bucklerfhard } \\ \text { Do. } \end{array}$ |  | - | 19. 0.0. |  |
    | - | - E. Adama |  |  | 1--..- - |  | 19. 0. ou |  |
    | - | Meffrs. Brindley | - | Friaßbury - | 2-- - - - | - | 19. o. 0. |  |
    |  | Mr. J. Bayley | $\div$ | Iprwich -- |  | - | 20.0. 0. |  |
    |  | - J. Warwick | - | Eling |  | - | 19. 10. o, |  |
    | - | - R. Newman | - | Dartmouth - |  |  | 17. 17. 0. |  |
    | - | - W. Bottomby, jun. | - | Lyon |  | - | 2r. o. o. |  |
    | - | - W. Gibfon |  | Hull | ェ - . - | - | 18. 10.0. |  |

    (90.)-Account of the Prices per Ton for bulding Ships of the Line, Frigates, \&ec. in Private Yardo-contiaued.

    | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { the ofter. } \end{gathered}$ | By whom made | Defcription | Where refident. | Defcription of SHIP or VESSEL tendered for. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Whether } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oak or Fir. } \end{gathered}$ | Prics per Ton uned by the Buildern |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | $\begin{aligned} & 1813: \\ & 4 \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | Mefirs, Kıdwell \& Cadman | Ship Builder | Itchenor, near Southampton | $\}^{\text {No. }}$ : Sloops of 454 tons | Oak | $\begin{array}{ccc} \& & s & d . \\ 18 . & 0 . & 0 . \end{array}$ |
    | - | Mr. W. Cortney - | - | Chefter - | $2-$ - | - | 19. 7. 6. |
    | - | - P.E.Meftaer - | - | Rotherhithe | $3-\cdots$ | - | 20. 0.0 , |
    | 23 | - W Taylor - | - | Bideford - | $x-244$ | $\cdots$ | 22. 5. 0 |
    | 24 | - I Bayley - - | - | Ipfwich - | x - - - | - | 21. 27. 6. |
    | - | * W Cortney - | - | Chefter - | 2 - - - | - | 21. 17. 6 |
    | - | - R Davy . - | - | Topfham - | 1 - - - | - | 22. 10. 0. |
    | $\sim$ | - W. Bottomly, jun. | - | Lynn - | 1 - - | - | 21. 17. 6. |
    | - | - J. Pelham - - | - | Frinbury - | 1 - - - | - | 23. 10. 0. |
    | - | Meffrs. Brindley | - | Do. | $2-\cdots$ | - | 23. 0.0. |
    | m | Mr. B. Adams - | - | Bucklerfhard | + - - | - | 21. 87.6. |
    | m | Mefrs. Hobbs \& Hellyer | - | Redbridge - | I - - | - | 22. 10. 0. |
    | $\cdots$ | - Larkin \& Spong | - | Lyon - | $\pm$ - * | $\cdots$ | 22. 10. O |
    | - | Kidwell \& Kadman | - 1 | Itchenor, near Southampton | $\} t-\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 22. 15. 0 . |
    | $\cdots$ | Mr.P.E Meftaer - | - | Rotherhithe | $2-\quad-$ | - | 22. 77.6. |
    | 2 Sept. | - W, Wallis | - | Blackwall - | $1-382$ tons | - | 15.50. |
    | - | - R.Davy * . | - | Topham - | $1-$ - | - | 15. 10. a |
    | - | - R. Newman | - | Dartmouth | 2 - - . | - | 15. 10. 0, |
    | - | - W. Taylor | - | Bideford - | I - - . | - | 15. 10. 0. |
    | $\cdots$ | - W. Wabbey | $\sim$ | Topham - | $\mathbf{x}$ - - - | $\sim$ | 15. 4. 0. |
    | $\cdots$ | Mrs. Mary Rofs | - | Rochefter - | 1 - - - | - | 15. 5. On |
    | - | Mr. B. Hobbs | - | Redbndge | 1 - | - | 15.10. 0. |
    | - | - J. Bayley | - | Ipfwich - | $\pm$ - | - | 15. O. O. |
    | - | - B. Adams | - | Bucklerthard | I - - | - | 15. 7. 6. |
    | - | - D Lnt - | - | Fifhbourne Ifle of Wight | $\}_{2}-$ | - | 15. O. O. |
    | - | Meffrs, Pitcher \& Sons | - | Northfleet - | $x-\ldots$ | - | 18. 0.0. |
    | - | Mr. W. Darkin | $\cdots$ | Southampton | $1-$ | $\cdots$ | 16. 10. 0. |
    | - | - J. King - | $\cdots$ | Upnor - | $\pm-$ - | - | 18. 10. 0. |
    | - | Meflrs. Larkin \& Spung | - | Lyno - | x - - | - | 14. 14. 6. |
    | - | - Barnard \& Roberts | $\cdots$ | Deptford - | 2-- - | - | 15- 0. 0. |

    N, B. No Ships of the Line were advertifed ta be built within the laft five years.
    HENRY PEAKE. J. TUCKER. R.SEPPINGS,

    Navy-Office, 7 FORMS of ADVERTISEMENTS for building, in the Private Yards rothjune 1814.$\}$ in the River Thames and the Out Ports, Ships of the Line, Frigates, and other Vessels of War; for the laft Five Years; whether Oak or Fir.

    ## (9x.)-A. <br> Contract for building Cutters.

    Napy-Office, 20th October I 80 g .
    THE principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurlday next at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perions as may be willing to Contract for building Cutters of about 200 Tons burthen each.

    A Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender wall be received after one o'clock, on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.

    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addrefled to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponfible Perions, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $\mathscr{E}_{500}$. for the due performance of the Contract for each Cutter.

    > (91.)-B.
    > ContraEt for building Four Frigates.

    $$
    \text { Navy-Ofice, } 15 \text { December 18ro. }
    $$

    THE principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majeft's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfday the 27 th inftant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Petfons as may be willing' to ContraC for building Four Frigates in Merchants' Dock Yards; to be finilhed in Eighteen Months.

    Draughts of the Frigates, with a Form of the Tender, may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock, on the Day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.

    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $£ 2,000$. for the due performance of the Contrad for each Frigate.

    ```
    (91.)-C.
    Contraif for building Two Fifty-Gun Ships.
    ```

    Navy-Office, 16th May 1811.
    THE principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfday the 3oth Inltant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to contract for building for His Majefty Two Ships of War, to carry Fifty Guns each.

    A Draught of the Ships, with a Form of the Tender, may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.

    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the .Sum of $£ 4,000$. for the due performance of the Contradt for each Ship.

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { (91.)-D. } \\
    \text { Contraç for builaing Four Brig Sloops. }
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    $$
    \text { Navy-Office, 4th September } 18 \mathrm{rr}
    $$

    THE principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfday the 1gth inflant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to contract for building Four Brig Sloops, of the burthen of about 382 Tons each.

    A Draught of the Veffels and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on. the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.

    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addrelied to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponfble Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of 6600 . for the due l'erformance of the Contrad for each Vefiel.

    # (91.)-E: <br> Contratts for building a Fifty Gun Shif, and Twelve Gun Brigs. <br> Navy-Office, 20th December 18in. 

    THE principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majelty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Wednefday the 8th of January next, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to contract for building a Fifty Gun Ship, and Twelve Gun Brigs of 179 Tons burthen each.

    A Draught of the Ship and Brigs, and a Form of the Tender, may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.
    Every Tender muff be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and Gigned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $\mathscr{E}_{4,000 \text { for the due performance of the Contract for the Fifty Gun Ship: and }}$ $\mathscr{E} 500$ for the due performance of the Contract for each of the Gun Brigs.

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { (g1.)-F. } \\
    \text { Contrait for building a Fifty Gun Sbip. }
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    THE principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majeft's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfday the 7 th of May nest, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building a Fifty Gun Ship.

    A Draught of the Ship and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, ualefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.

    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addrefed to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $\mathscr{E}_{4,0 c 0 \text {. for the due performance of the Contract. }}^{\text {. }}$

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { (g1.)-G. } \\
    \text { Contract for building Six Fir Frigates. } \\
    \text {-or }
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    THE principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfday the 21 ift inftant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building Six Fir Frigates, to carry 36 Guns each. The Fir Timber is to be fupplied from fuch of His Majefty's Dock Yards $2 s$ may be agreed upon, from whence it is to be taken at the rifk and expence of the Merchant Shipbuilder, and the value of the Fir Timber at a rate per load to be fixed by the contracting Parties, is to be abated from the payments to be made for building the Trigates. Twelve months will be allowed for building the Frigates.

    A Draught of the Frigates and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.

    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to, the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become baund 'with the Perion tendering, in she Sum of $£ 2,000$. for the due performance of the Contract for each Frigate.

    $$
    (9 \mathrm{~g} .)-\mathrm{H} .
    $$

    ## Contract for building a Frigate at Liverpoot.

    Naxy-Office, 20th May 1812.
    THE principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majeßty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfay the rith of June next, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contratt for building at Liverpool, a Frigate to carry 36 Guns. The Tenders muft contain a Price for building the Ship of Oak Timber, and alfo a Price for building her of Fir Timber.
    A Draught of the Ship and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender -will be rececived after one o'clock on the day of Treaty ; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party, or an Agent for him attends.
    Every Tender muift be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and figned shy Iwo rffponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering in the Sum of $\mathscr{E}_{2, c o o \text {. for the due performance of the Contract. }}^{\text {. }}$

    # (91.)-I. <br> Contrafif for building Four Boms Deffls. 

    Navy-Office, 3d June 1812.
    THE principal Oficers and Commilfioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Wednefday the 1 ghth inftant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building Four Bomb Veffels of the burthen of about 325 Tons each.

    A Draught of the Veffels and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.
    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addrefled to the Navy Board, and cigned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $\mathscr{E} 600$. for the due performance of the Contract for each Veffel.

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { (و土.)-K. } \\
    \text { ContraCi for building Twa Bomb Ieffels. }
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    Navy-Office, 18th June 1812.
    THE principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Wednefday the in of July next, at one p'clock, they will be ready to itreat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building Two Bomb Vefels of about 32.5 Tons burthen each.

    A Draught of the Veffels and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.
    Every Cender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and Iigned by Two refponible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon teadering, in the Sum of $\mathscr{E} 600$. for the due perfurmance of the Contract for each Veffel.

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    (9 \mathrm{r})-\mathrm{L} . \\
    \text { Contraal for building Two Borzb Vaffels. }
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    Navy-Office, 2d July 1812.
    THE principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Najeft's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on 'Wednefday ohe 15 th inftant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building Two Bomb Veffels of the burtien of about 325 Tons each.

    A Draught of the Veffels and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. Na Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or any Agent for him attends.
    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Nary Board, and figned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perion tendering, in the Sum of $\mathfrak{E} 600$. for the due performance of the Contradt for each Vellel.

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { (91.)-M. } \\
    \text { Contracts for building Six: Brig Sloops and Six Gun Brigs. }
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    Nary-Ofice, 14th November 1812.
    THE principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurday the 26th inftant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contratt for building Six Brig Sloofs of about 382 Tons burthen each, and alfo for building Six Gun Brigs of about 179 Tons barthen each.
    Draughts of the Veffels and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be receired'after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.
    Every Tender muft be accompanied by 2 Letter addreffed to the Nary Board, and ligned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sam of $£ 600$. for the due performance of the Contralt for each Brig Sloop, and $£_{500}$ for the due performance of the Contrad for each Gun Brig.

    # (91.) -N . <br> Cantracts for building Fir Frigates. 

    Navy-Office, 17 th November 1812.THE principal Officers and Commiffoners of His Majeity's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Wednefday the 2d of Decembet next, at one o'clock, they will be ready 10 treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building Eight Fir Frigates to carry $3^{8}$ Guns each, and Two Fir Frigates to carry $3^{6}$ Guns each. The Fir Timber is to be fupplied from fuch of His Majelty's Dock Yards as may be agreed upon, from whence it is to be taken, at the tijk and expenfe of the Merchant Shipbuilder: and the value of the Fir Timber, at a rate per load to be fixed by the contracting Parties, is to be abated from the payments to be made for building the Frigates. Twelve mouths will be allowed for building the Frigates.
    Draughts of the Frigates, and a Form of the Tender, may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party, or an Agent for him, attends.
    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $£ £_{2}, 000$. for the due performance of the Contract for each of the Frigates.

    # (9r.)-O. <br> Contract for building Six Sloops. 

    Navy-Office, 3d December 1812.
    THE principal Officers and Commifioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Nutice, That on Wednefday the 16th inftant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to contract for building Six Sloops of about 450 tons burthen each.

    A Draught of the Sloops, and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treary; nor any noticed, unlefa the Party, or an Agent for him, attends.

    Every Tender muf be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and Gigned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $£ 800$. for the due performance of the Contract, for each Sloop.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { (91.)-P. } \\
    & \text { Contracts for building Sloops. } \\
    & \text { Navy-Ofice, 23d January } 1813 .
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    THE principal Officers. and Commiffoners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfday the 4th of February next, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to. Contract for building Twenty Sloops of War. The Tenders mult fpecify Prices for building the Veffels in Nine, Ten, Eleven and Twelve Months.

    A Draught of the Veffels, and a Form of the Tender, may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party, or an Agent for him, attends.

    Every Tender mult be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and ligned by Two refponfible Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of $\mathfrak{E} 800$. for the dae performance of the Contract, for each Sloop.

    > (9r.)-Q.
    > Cantrat for building Ten Sloops.
    > Navy Offe, ${ }^{13 \text { th February }} 181_{3}$.

    THE principal Officers and Commiffioners of His, Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Wednefday the 24th inflant, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building Ten Sloops of War, of the burthen of about 444 tons each; to be faunched in Twelve Months.
    A Draught of the Sloops, and a Form of the Tender, may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party, or an Agent for him, attends.
    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponable Perfons, engaging to bécomé bound with the Perfon tendéring, in the Sum of $\mathfrak{E} 800$. for the due performance of the Contract, for each Sloop.

    # (91.)-R. <br> Contract for building Two Brigs. <br> Navy-Office, 16th Auguft, 1813. 

    THE principal Officers and Commiffioners of His Majefty's Navy do hereby give Notice, That on Thurfday the 2d September next, at one o'clock, they will be ready to treat with fuch Perfons as may be willing to Contract for building Two Brigs, of about 382 Tons burthen each. The Frames are to have an additional Shift of Timber.

    A Draught of the Brigs and a Form of the Tender may be feen at this Office. No Tender will be received after one o'clock, on the day of Treaty; nor any noticed, unlefs the Party or an Agent for him attends.

    Every Tender muft be accompanied by a Letter addreffed to the Navy Board, and figned by Two refponßble Perfons, engaging to become bound with the Perfon tendering, in the Sum of 600 . for the due performance of the Contract for each Brig.
    (Attefted)

    > R. A. NELSON.

    ## XIX.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India+built Shipping. 

    Martis, $14^{\circ}$ die Junit, 1814.
    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    ## Mr. Patrick Maitland, Called in; and further Examined by Mr. Spankie.

    Has that proved beneficial to the natives of that country? - Undoubtedly it has.
    Committee.] - Has not it happened, that in more than one inflance you have confidered the actual prefervation of fome parts of the population of India has depended upon the exports of grain from Bengal? - Yes, I have ; in the laft year, both at Ceylon and in Bombay.
    Do you conceive that that advantage could be obtained in the fame degree, without the encouragement of the native fhipping of India? - Certainly not in the fame degree; but there is a diftinction between the native fhipping and $\cdot$ the country-built Ihips, belonging to Europeans.

    You mean both? - Both.
    Do you conceive that if the teak-built fhips were excluded from the trade between England and India, that a fufficient encouragement would remain for the thipbuilding of India, applicable to fuch circumftances as you have mentioned? - The number of fhips would certainly be diminifhed if they were to be prohibited from coming to England, and confequently the fupply would be diminifhed.
    On thofe occafions muft not the relief be promptly afforded, in order to anfwer its intended purpofe; would it be poffible to wait till fhipping were procured from a diftant country, to obtain the advantages you mention ? - Sometimes the delay of a week or ten days might occafion a fhip lofing a favourable monfoon, and a voyage that might be performed in three weeks, might in that cafe take as many months.

    Might not fuch a delay tend to difappoint the fufferers of relief altogether, or in a very confiderable degree? - Yes ; undoubtedly it might.

    Are you acquainted with the effea of the climate of India upon European-built fhipping; can you tell us how European-built fhips ftand the climate of India ? They do not ftand it fo well as India-built đlips.

    ## [Cro/s-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    You have been two-and-twenty years in India, we underitand you to fay?Yes.
    Have you been in any way concerned in thipbuilding? - I never had any thare in it myfelf; I never was directly interefted in it myfelf, but I have adranced money to individuals for the purpofe of being employed in thipbuilding.

    Has that money been upon the terms of a loan, or with contingent advantage to be derived from the profit of the bufinefs? - Upon the terms of a loan; fometimes PatrickMaitland. the fhip has flood in my name, merely as a fecurity for the money; but I have not had any intereft, direat or indirect, in any fhipbuilding eftablifhments.
    You have fooken of the repairs of the India fhips in the Thames being very high ? - Yes.

    You know, therefore, I prefume, the rate of the repairs in India ? - I know fomething of them.

    Are the India-built lhips furnihed with their fails and cordage of India manufacture? - Partly India and partly European; the light fails are India; the coarfer are European.

    The blocks, gun-carriages and other things; are they Indian or European ? - The gun-carriages are made of Indian timber; the iron work chiefly Englifh.

    The iron and copper work in general; are they Englifh or Indian? - The ironwork is generally European; but iron is manufactured in India, of inferior quality.
    Is there fuch progrefs making in the arts and manufactures in India, as to make it reafonable to believe that all thofe commodities will be manufactured for mips in India? - Certainly not for many years.

    What do you mean by many years; do you mean a century, or twenty years? I mean from twenty to fifty years.

    Does that anfwer apply to all the commodities about which I have been enquiring? - Yes, I think fo; I include the copper, in my anfwer to the queftion.

    Committee.]-Is there any copper found in India? - We have been in the habit of getting a good deal from Buffora and Java, but it is a different kind of copper, and would not anfwer the purpofe; I believe the Java copper comes from Manilla.

    You know of no copper found on the continent of India? - What comes from Buffora, I fancy, is found in Perfia; there is none in Bengal; but I confider Buffora as in India.

    Is copper alfo exported from South America into India ? -I I do not remember to have feen any in Calcutta.

    Do you know of any fhips that have failed for the purpofe of exporting fuch copper to India? - No, I do not.

    You have faid, in anfwer to a queftion, that the navigation of Indian fhips by Britifh feamen, would make no great difference in the expenfe; are Britifh feamen unemployed to be obtained in India ? - Not in war time, but they might in peace.
    I think your experience goes to war time altogether almoft ?-Almoft altogether.
    If a thip is built in India, do you think it probable that even in time of peace fhe will find a crew of Englifh hands ready to bring her home? - As they have found a very confiderable part of their crews in war time, I fhould think they could find a whole crew in peace.

    And therefors it is your opinion, that if teak fhips were introduced, more Britifh feamen would be employed in the Indian trade? - Undoubtedly.

    Is it your opinion, that the employment of native feamen will diminifh in proporfion to the number of teak fhips built?-I cannot fee that it would have that effect.

    Becaufe you flate that more Britifh feamen would be employed?-Certainly; if there are a greater number of fhips, there may be a greater number of both men employed.

    You have fpoken of the probability of foreigners employing teak fhips, the Danes, Swedes, and Portuguefe; do you not know that the Danes and Swedes, and the northern ftates of Europe, build their own Ships much cheaper than they can get fhips in India? -I know it ; at the fame time they have purchafed hips in India, for their trade.

    Committee.]-Have you fold hips to foreigners? - Laft year I fold three flhips to the Portaguefe, for that fervice.
    Teak fhips? - Not all teak hips, India-built Mips.
    Mr. Adolphus.-I think you have ftated, that the Portuguefe are the only nation who trave lately carried on a tride with India, to an unexampled extent? - I do not fay to an unexampled extent ; they are the only nation that have had an opportunity of carrying on trade during the wart

    Mr. Did not their trade extend according to their opportunities to carry it on ? - It Patrick Maitland. was extending very rapidly.

    Would not that account for their fuddenly wanting teak fhips, which otherwife they would not want? - That would account for it; but they brought out old hips which were not fit to perform the voyage heme, which they fold, and purchafed teak hips, which were more durable.
    You know, perhaps, that they have excellent wood, and facilities for building, in the Brazils? - Yes, I do know that.
    And in Java? - They have very fine teak in Java.
    And they have confiderable facilities for fhipbuilding in Java? - I do not know what eftablifhments they have in Java for building thips, I rather think not.
    You have mentioned the Portuguefe, Danes, and Swedes, that have teak fhips, or at leaft that they have been navigated under their colours, I underftand you to fay? - I have known the Danes to have the actual property of the fhips; the Swedes I know more by report than by other means.
    How many inflances of this kind have you known, many or few? - Many.
    You fpoke of a famine in fome part of India laft year; how many inftances of that kind have you known in the laft two-and-twenty years? - They have been frequent ; I certainly cannot fate how many there have been within the laft two-and-twenty years; laft year there was a very fevere famine at Ceylon, where many thoufands of the inbabitants perifhed for want.
    So that thofe occafions may be calculated upon as of fuch frequent recurrence, as to make prudent men reckon upon them as part of their trade? - Yes.
    Then they bave been always hitherto provided for, by the means pofeffed by the country in its own trade? - Yes, they have.
    Without the affiftance of teak thips employed in the trade to England and back again? - No ; thofe fhips have been employed in that way alfo.
    Without the affiftance of thofe thips which came home to England, thofe calamities have been provided for? - No; thofe thips which have been fo employed have been alfo employed in that way.
    Ships which are for trade from India to England will of courfe be as often abfent as at home in India? - They ought to be more; the hip is a fhort time receiving her cargo, and a long time on her voyage.
    Therefore it is merely by the accident of her prefence that fhe can be affifing in the cafe of a famine? - Yes.

    And that may as well happen to an Englifh-built fhip as an Indian thip? - It may, but fhips that are fent out to India upon a fpecific voyage, cannot probably be applied to a different purpofe.
    Does that obfervation apply to the thips of the Eaft India company alone, or to thole of private traders? - It may be applied to both.

    Are there not fufficient veffels employed in the trade of India merely, exclufive of the European trade, to anfwer all purpofes that are reafonably to be expected there? - I think not; not fo well.
    Then there is an opening for hipbuilding for the Indian trade merely, exclufive of the European trade? - Undoubtedly, for the coafting trade of India.

    Am I to underfand, from the courfe of your examination, that the building of teak fhips in India will enable the fubjects of Britain to monopolize the whole export. trade of India? - Not the whole, but a great part of it.

    A greater part than can be fecured to them by any regulations of their own, exclufive of that employment? - I think fo; double duties did not prevent the. Americans from carrying on the trade.

    Has the trade of India in general, with Britih fubjects, been increafing during the twenty years you have been there? - Yes, certainly it has.

    Rapidly? - It has increafed very much; that is, from the whole of India being in our poffeflion.
    Suppofing the tonnage employed in the country trade of India to be fix-and-forty thoufand tons, is there opening for a great deal more, and to what amount? - The demand for tonnage in lodia is fometimes very confiderable, at other times it is very little; in the event of a famine there is not lhipping enough. Sometimes there is too much.

    But do you confider the tonnage already fupplied fufficient for the country trade, or not?-I confider it fufficient for the country trade at prefent.
    [Examined by the Committee.]

    Mr.
    Patrick Mraitand.

    Do you know enough of the wear and tear of lhips from experience, to fay, whether it is at all likely that an Englihh-built fhip would fand the climate in India, in cmployment there, as well as a country-built thip? - She certainly would not fland it fo well.

    She would need more frequent repairs? - Yes.
    And confequently be at more expence to the owner? - Yes; whilt abroad the would be a much heavier expenfe to the owners, and on her return, if the is in India any length of time, almoft ufelefs.

    Then, putting out of the cafe the difadrantage of expecting tonnage from Europe, and nct having it, if the merchant were to have Britih-built-fhips, he mult have them there at a greater expenfe, and could not freight them fo cheaply ? -Yes.
    Ycu tave been afked about the remittances of private fortunes, and the degree to which they furninh funds for the trade from India; you were a partner of one of the firf houfes of Calcuta, the houfe formerly Cockerel \& Trail's, and now Palmer \& Company ? - Yes.
    Can you flate, what was about the average amount of your remittances on account of your houfe only, for which you drew bills upon London? - I think from five to feven hundred thoufand pounds annually.

    Yours was by no means the only great houfe in Calcutta which had an account of that fort ? - I believe feveral others had large accounts.

    Very large, were they not? - They muft have been large accounts, certainly.
    Have you any means of forming an eftimate in your own mind, what might be the whole remittance account from Calcutta to London, to fpeak within compals? - I hould fuppofe about four times that amount, or $2,000,0001$. including ours.

    About four times that amount, which jou flate to be remitted by your houfe? - Yes.

    That would be between two and three millions per annum ? - Yes.
    Have you any doubt that that is the principal fund with which the trade from Bengal to England is carricd on ? - I do not know that it is the principal, I rather think it is not.

    That remittance will of courfe find its way through the channels which will give the moft favourable terms to the remitter? - Undoubtedly.

    Have you any doubt that the terms you could give would be favourable, in proportion as you were at liberty to take your own means of making confignments from India? - Certainly, I bave no doubt we could; if goods are fent directly to England they can be more hypothecated as a fecurity to the bills fent to this country.

    That is an advantage to the remitter? - Certainly.
    What is the ufual mode of felling them; by giving bills of lading indored, or how? - Bills of lading are fent to the confignment of the perfon who makes the remittance, till the bills are accepted in London.

    Is it a great advantage to a houfe configning againft bills drawn, to be able to fort its cargoes, and to fend them in its own hipping ? - Undoubtedly.

    In doing that, will not a houfe, in its own thip, always fend a proportion of what is called gruff goods, or generally ? - They mult fend fome portion, if they are allowed to make their arrangements properly; they might fend from themfelves the whole proportions required, otherwife they mult apply to other houles to make up the deficiency.

    In looking out for freight for a hip, it is eafy to get light goods and valuable, but not fo eafy to get gruff goods upon freight?-It depends eatirely upon the flate of the European market, from the lateft accounts we have at that period; fometimes people are anxious to get gruff goods, and fometimes light goods.
    It will often be worth the while of a houfe, dealing in remittances as yours did, to fend gruff goods to England, to a mere faving market, for the fake of remittance; will it not? - We never fent any on our account, and it would not be worth our while to do it, being contrary to our principles; but we might give encouragement. to others to thip fuch goods.
    You mean it rould not be worth your while to do it as merchants? - We were not merchants.

    But jou were in the habit of making advances to perfons who were content to do it as merchants, with your means to induce them to do it? - To induce them to do it.
    ( 73 )
    7 B
    Even

    Mr. Even when the market afforded little more than a faving price, it was done for Patrick Maitland. the fake of remittance? - By the owner of the fhip for the fake of a freight, and the houre for making a remittance.
    Without looking to any market profit on the article beyond that ? - Yes.
    Confequently is not this remittance trade a mean by which this country acquires, at the cheapeft poffible rate, thofe raw materials or gruff goods of which you have been fpeaking? - Yes, undoubtedly; it does not follow of courfe that there is a remittance of fortunes, becaufe it may be a remittance for a captain of an Indiaman, who buys a bill of me.
    It is a remittance of money made in India, is it not by Europeans? - Yes.
    Are there foreign channels always open to receive thofe funds, if there fhould be any obftruction in the Britifh channels? - Not of late years, fince the war.
    Now the peace is coming, would there be fuch channels?-Certainly; and it will throw the exchange very high, thofe channels being open.
    That is, you mean it will be favourable to the remitters in India, in confequence of the competition the foreign traders will have? - Yes.
    Do you therefore now peculiarly, on the approach of peace, require that every facility fhould be given to the Britilh remitter, in order to enable him to compete with the foreign remitter? - I think fo, the country has a right to expect it.

    Have you any doubt, that if the India fhips were excluded from the trade between this country and India, the effect of that would not be, to throw a great proportion of that remittance into foreign channels? - Certainly, it would throw a great part of it into foreign channels.

    Can you ftate what are the means actually poffeffed at Calcutta of Chipbuilding, what are the number of yards, or flips, or docks? - There are half-a-dozen regularly eftablifhed fhipbuilders, with flips and docks.
    They are completely private property, are not they ? - Completely private property.
    They could be multiplied, if neceffiry, could not they? - Yes, to any extent almof.

    You never heard of a thip being coppered with any copper that was brought from Buffora? - Never; it cannot be put into that fhape.

    Did you ever hear of a bolt being made of that copper? - No:
    Did you ever hear of a nail being made of that copper? - I do not recollect any inftance of it ; I believe it is principally employed in making utenfils for the natives.

    Do you know any thing of the Japan copper ? - I have feen a good deal of it.
    Is not the Japan copper merely brought from England in a particular fhape? No, I fancy not; fome of it may bave been carried out formerly by the Dutch, but not from England; I have feen a good deal from China.

    You have been afked, about provifion being made in the fhipping of India, with a view to famines; would any merchant wifely make a provifion of fhipping, with a view to fuch an uncertainty as that of famine? - Certainly, not folely for that purpofe; it affords the flipping occafional employment.
    The means of meeting that calamity, depends entirely upon the promptitude with which the fhipping can be fupplied? -I cannot fay entirely, but very much.

    And if there was not that encouragement given to the building of fhipping in India, and to the poffeffion of fhipping by natives and Europeans in India, when thofe exigencies occur, they could not be met effectually? - They could not be fo effectually fupplied, certainly.

    The India trade in teak fhips could be carried on as cheaply, according to the common expreffion, navigated according to law; that is, by having a proportion of Britifh failors on board, as if it was carried on by Lafcars? - I think it could with great advantage to this country in peace time, giving employment to the Britifh failors, which in war might be turned over to the navy.

    Is not there a great mortality among the Britifh failors, particularly at one feafon of the year, in the Bengal river? - Thofe that are kept in Diamond harbour, or Saugor, have been very unhealthy.

    Upon the whole, do not you think that the climate of India is detrimental to the health of Europeans, in the fituations of common failors? - Certainly.
    Upon the long run, do not you think that very extenfive employment of common failors in the Indian trade, would be likely to deftroy more failors than it would breed? - No, I do not think it would; befides regulations might be formed for employing natives while in harbour, to load and unload the fhips.

    Do you think it would be practicable to prevent the men from drinking to excers, and from walking in the fun, and other circumfances in lndia, which are known to be unfavourable to them? -It would be very difficult to prevent it any where.

    And more in merchant thips than in thips regulated like fhips of war, or the Company's Chips? - Yes, than in fhips of war.

    From the general knowledge you have of the means of thipbuilding, if there was a demand in the courfe of one year or two for 53 large Thips, do you think India has the means of fupplying them? - I think Bengal and Bombay together, might fupply them; 53 is a great quantity, certainly; too great a quantity; but with proper encouragement much might be done.

    Suppofing fuch demand at this moment, with the actual means they have, could they meet that demand ? - They could not meet fuch a demand with the actual means they poffers.

    How many do you think they could actually lay down, with their prefent means, fhips of war or 1,200 ton Ships? - I am not aware of the means of Bombay; in Bengal I think they might build twelve, if each fhipbuilder would build two, that is in the courfe of twelve months; the difficulty would be in procuring the timber in time.

    What are the goods you generally fpeak of as gruff goods from Bengal?-Cotton, fugar, pepper, rice, and faltpetre.

    The thips you have in India, you generally confider as to be provided for the country trade? - Some of them have been built for carrying on the trade to Europe.

    Previous to the laft year, and the renewal of the charter, had they liberty to do that? - Previous to the laft year they had; for the latt twelve years.

    Had they a right to do that? - They had occafionally indulgencies to fend fhips home, for the laft twelve years, and fome fhips have been built for that purpofe.

    Have they been conftantly employed in that trade only? - Not conftantly employed in that trade; they have been occafionally employed in the other trade.

    Or rather it may be faid, they were occafionally employed to come to Europe? Yes, that is more accurate.

    Is not rice a very heavy cargo ? - Yes, it is heavy.
    Is it not apt to injure Englih hips, if they go many voyages with it, more than teak hips? - No, I am not aware that it is; it is a heavy cargo, of courfe a weak thip will not carry it fo well as a ftrong one.

    ## Mr. Allan Gilmore, Called in; and Examined by Mr. Grant.

    In what houfe were you a partner in Calcutta? - In the houfe which was firf Fairlie, Reid \& Company, and afterwards Fairlie, Gilmore \& Company.

    Mr.
    Allan Gilmore.

    How long were you in that houfe? - Upwards of twenty years, from the year 1789.

    How much of that time were you a partner? - During the whole of that period I was a partner; I went to Calcutta in the beginning of 1784 .

    Are you in the houfe now? - No, I am not.
    You are out of the houfe now ? - I am.
    Committee.] - Have you any connection with the trade of India? - I am not engaged in any bufinels at prefent.

    Mr. Grant.]-Did that houfe freight any number of India-built fhips home, during the time of your connection with it?- Yes, they did, frequently.

    Did you ever fend any goods home by the Company's extra Rips? - Conftantly, every feafon.

    Can you compare the advantages of fending goods home by a Company's extra thip, and by an Indian-built fhip; or which of thofe methods is the moft favourable channel of conveyance? - By the country fhips the freight was always lower and more reafonable than on the Company's extra flips.

    Did any occafions ever happen, in which there was a defire of making a remittance, and an extra fhip not to be found ? - It has frequently happened, that the merchants and agents have had valt quantities of goods to fend to the London market, for which they could not find tonnage from the Company at the flipulated times.

    I need fcarcely ank, whether, on fuch occafions it was an advantage to be allowed to employ the tonnage of the country itfelf? - Undoubtedly, it was. Confidering the country fhipping as cheaper than the Company's extra fhipping, the carriage by a dearer mode of conveyance? - Frequently; at leaft the owners of a country fhip could put on board gruff goods to fill up a thip, which they could not pay the freight charged by the Company for.

    To what goods do you allude? - Such as cotton, fugar, pepper, hemp, and other coarfe goods of various defcriptions.

    Is cotton exported from Bengal?- Yes.
    Then many goods have been brought home by the country fhipping, which would never have come to this country at all, if they had not been allowed that means of conveyance? - I certainly think, that a large proportion of goods would not have come, if the country fhips had not been allowed to come to England.
    Am I to underfand, that if the country fhipping had not been allowed to come to England, a great proportion of the trade which came in thofe fhips to this country, would have had no exiftence whatever? - It would not have come certainly to England.

    Committee.]-And you fpeak to many articles which are raw materials? - Yes.
    Mr. Grant.]-Do you conceive that the India-built ahipping has been ufeful in fupplying this country with the raw materials of India?-Yes; and would have done it to a much greater degree, had they been generally allowed.

    Confidering the whole time during which thofe hips have been partially allowed to come to this country, do you conceive that this country would have been equally well fupplied with the raw materials of India if that fhipping had been excluded? -I think it would not.

    You have ftated, that the country fhipping is more advantageous in point of exportation from India to this country, than the extra hipping of the Company; I wih you to compare the advantage of country thipping wilh private Britih fhipping, fuppofing fuch to be allowed to go from this country to India, for the purpofes of the trade between the two countries? - There is no doubt it is more advantageous to a merchant to fhip his goods in the India hips than in the fhips provided by the Company, becaufe the freights are lower.

    You have fated, that the country fhipping is mere advantageous, in point of exportation from India to this country, than the extra hipping of the Company; I wih you to compare the advantage of country hipping with private Britiß hip; ping, fuppofing fuch to be allowed to go from this country to India, for the purpofes of the trade between the two countries? - I fhould conceive, that it would entirely depend upon the quantity of tonnage, and upon the demand for it in India; there is no doubt that the freight would be more lowered by a competition between the two clalles of fhips, than if the merchants were to depend entirely either on one or the other. :

    Suppofing the merchant in India to depend exclufively on thipping fent from this country, could he be always fecure of fuch tonnage as he might want for the export of goods from India to this country? - I Thould think he never could depend upon getting any at all.
    Suppofing India-built thipping to be totally excluded from the ports of this country, and fuppofing alfo the fyftem of the Company's extra fhips to be, as in fact it is, terminated, would the fituation of the merchant-exporter from India to England, be better or worfe than it has been of late years? - I hould conceive it would be infinitely worfe.
    Do you take into your account that fuch a merchant might be a proprietor of a Britifh-built fhip, when you make that anfwer? - It would be fo far worfe, if he was already an owner of an India-built Chip, and was obliged to purchafe a Britilhbuilt fhip to carry on the trade; he would be doubly worfe.

    Suppofing he had got rid of his India-built hip, and had the option of buying a Britih-built thip? -Suppofing a Britih-built hip to be equally fafe in every refpea, the merchant proprietor there wauld find no inconvenience in her at all.

    Do you imagine that the Britih-built fhips would be as cheap and as durable ? Certainly not fo durable; and I cannot fay exacly what difference there might be in the firt coft of a real good Englifh-built hhip, and of a real good India-built fhip.

    Take the hips for their whole life, include their repairs, include their liability to accidents, and the length of their life; and then upon the whole, which do you think would be the cheaper one of the two ? - There is no doubt an India-built thip would laft, in my opinion, three or four times as long as a Britih one.

    - Committee.] - Would fhe be liable during that time to want fo much repairs as a Britih-built hip? - Certainly not; an India-built hip would fcarcely require any material repairs in fifteen or twenty years, whereas an European fhip would be requiring conftant repairs, and probably be broken up by that time.
    Then fuppofing the coft of building to be at all equal, muft not the India-built thip be much the cheapeft? - Undoubtedly.

    Mr. Grant.] - And that circumftance you would confider as a great advantage to the merchant, would you not? - No doubt, to a merchant who had confant employ for his thip; it might be the advantage of another, if he only wanted it for one voyage, to buy the cheapeft thip he could meet with.
    Suppofing a merchant in Calcutta to have a thip, generally for the purpofe of employment in the trade between India and Europe; would that fhip be applicable to the coafting trade upon occafions? - Yes, it would.
    Is not every fhip that trades between India and this country, in part employed on a fort of coafting trade? - Certainly, they are; fhips that are employed in the trade of this country, are generally of the largeft clafs, and they go frequently to China, as well as come to England occafionally.
    Should you conceive a Britih-built thip to be as fit for the country trade as a country-built fhip? - I hould fuppofe that they would not; in the cafe of one touching the ground any where, it goes to pieces, while the other would receive little or no damage.
    Might not that be of peculiar confequence in the coafting trade? - They are, no doubt, more liable to accidents in that trade.
    And the India hip is not only more durable, but lefs liable to injury from accir dents? - Yes.
    Suppofing India-built hipping to be excluded from this country, do you conceive that it is likely at all to go into the hands of foreigners? - I hould think the owners have no other mode of employing the great number of fhips they have lately built, in expectation of their being required for the Company's fervice, or for the free trade between India and this country.
    What do you conceive to be the advantage of India-built fhips, with refpect to bringing what is called the remittance trade to Europe? - They are certainly fafer, in fome refpects; goods fhipped by them are much lefs liable to damage, than they are on Britifh-built hips.
    I feeak of the remittance of wealth by Europeans in that country ; do you conceive that the cheapnefs of the thip, and the having her at hand, is an advantage in carrying on that remittance trade? - I do not know, really, that that would make much difference to a perfon requiring remittance from India, as they very feldom take fecurity on the fhips or goods.
    If the fhip were cheaper, the merchant could afford to charge a lower freight? They ought to do it.

    Of confequence, the remitter would get the better exchange? - That depends upon circuniftances; when they take bills, they look at the credit of the drawer, and the perfon they are drawn upon; I conceive that the rate of exchange depends upons the demand for remittances at the time, I do nor think the difference of the fhip. would affect the remittance at all.
    Mr. Grant.]-Would not the increafed facility of remitting the goods, increafe the facility of drawing the bill? - There is no doubt it would, after the goods were fhipped, the fhipper could immediately draw for the expected proceeds of them.
    Do you conceive the remittance trade would, like other trades, Feek the cheapeft conveyance to Europe, upon the whole ? - Yes, I fhould conceive that they would take that which afforded the beft exchange and fecurity.
    Committee.] - Was your houfe more in the remittance line, or in what line of trade? - Generally, as much as any houfe in Calcutta, both in hipping goods, and drawing bills.
    Drawing bills on your own account? - For our own account, as well as for cona rtituents.
    Mr. Grant.] - Have you had an opportunity of feeing the trade of foreign Europe and America with India? - Yes; I know a confiderable trade has been carried on both by European foreigners, and by the Americans.

    ## Kinuttes OF Evidence ON PETITIONS

    Mr. $V^{562}$ Do you conceive that India-built thipping, if excluded from this country, might :Allan Gilmort. be employed in that trade? - Yes; the Danes and the Portuguefe particularly, hava always been in the habit of ufing India-built fhips for their trade, as well as fome of the other European powers.
    Do you conceive that they might be induced to purchafe them in greater quantities, if more were to be had, by their being excluded from this country? - I have no doubt that they would, and that the proprietors in India would be forced to give them every convenience and accommodation in the purchafe of thofe fhips.
    Might not the export trade of India in a great meafure go with them? Certainly.

    You have faid, that the proprietors of thofe thips, in that cafe, would be forced to accommodate foreigners with them on the moft advantageous terms; do you conceive then it would be a difadvantage to the proprietors to be forced into this line of employment?- Certainly.

    Wquld the purchafe of thofe lhips by foreigners be an adequate compenfation to the thip-owners, for the lols of accefs to the ports of this country ? - Perhaps if the thip-owner got a fufficient price, he would not have much caufe to complain; but fill the lofs to this country would be very great, by the goods and hips going to foreign parts, infead of coming to London.

    ## [Examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    Have you, or had you ever, any connection with any fhipbuilding concern in India? - No, I never had; it is my brother who has a concern in a flipbuilding eftablifhment.

    It is your brother that has a concern in a very eminent fhipbuilding eftablifoment there? - Yes.

    You knew India from $1>84$ to 1794 ?-From 1784 to 1809.
    I mean that period of peace before the India thips began to be employed between the two countries?.-Yes.

    Was there then an impoffibility of finding tonnage from that country to this? -I believe it was extremely difficult.

    Was the complaint then general upon that fubject ? - As far as I recolleet, i think it was as much for the fipment of goods as for the difficulty of obtaining res mittances.

    Was it to that period you alluded when you faid, that frequently no thips were to be found? - No; to a fublequent period to that, fince the Company were bound by their charter to furnidh a certain quantity of extra tonnage for the private trade, it was that period I alluded to.

    Then was or was not the difficulty of finding tonnage, owing to fome reluctance on the part of the Company to fupply it? -I do not know that that was the cafe.

    Could not they have had tonnage, if the Company had chofen to fend it? - No doubt they could.
    You have faid, that the freight of Indiabuilt hips is lower than that of I.ondonbuilt fhips? -It has been lower upon the fhips that have been allowed to come from India to London, than upon the extra ihips furnifhed by the Company.
    How much per ton lower? - I cannot particularly fate the exad diference.
    But, about what? - I fuppofe upon the country hips I have never known it to exceed 17 l. 10s. for dead weight, and 25 l. the higheft, For the other goods.

    And what was it in the other fhips?-In fome of the other fhips, where the indi-. viduals were allowed to fhip in the regular thips when they had no extra fhips there, it has been as high as 501 a ton; I fhould fuppofe the average freight of India-built fhips to be about zol. a ton.

    You have ftated, that you have known the freight on extraordinary occafions, in the India Company's Ihips, to be as high as 5 cl .; what is the average in the extra thips provided by the Eaft India company? - I am at a lofs to fay preciely.

    Whereabouts? - Perhaps between $25 \%$ and 301 .
    I underftand you to fay, that India hips are a great deal more durable, and re.quire a great deal lefs repair, than Britifh-built fhips? - I think they do.
    They are infinitely more fit for the country trade? - They are equally fit for anytrade.

    But they are more fit for the country trade? - If I recollect, I did not fay they: were more fit for the country trade than any other; I think they are fitter for every; trade.

    ## RELATING TOEAST INDIA-BUILT SHIPPING.

    Then to man, ading fenfibly, would purchare a Britin-built fhip, while he could get an India-built hip at 'all ? - I think if a man wifhed to purchafe a thip that he had conftant employment for, he would purchafe an India-built fhip; but if he wihed to purchafe a thip or freight a hhip merely for a fingle voyage, if he could probably get a Britifh fhip cheaper, he would of courfe take a Britifin one.

    I do not mean to apply my queftion to particular inftances, but as a general propos fition; would any man, meaning to carry on a general trade between England and India, or in India, purchafe a Britifh fhip when he could get an India fhip? - In fome inftances I think he would prefer purchafing a cheaper block, to laying our a large fum of money for the purchafe of an India-brilt thip.
    Do you know that in effect Englif ships are cheaper than lncia-built fhips? ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{No}$, I do not.

    Suppofing then the fame fum to be neceflary for the purchafe of orre as another; would any man buy an Englif thip who could get an Indian fhip, fot the general trade?-I fhould fuppofe he would purchafe an Indian thip, if they were of the fame fize, and cof the fame money.

    Have you any doubt about it? - None whatever.
    You have faid that the profperity of the trade depends, in a great degree, upons the competition between the Indian and Englifh-built fhips, and that any exclufion would be injurious? - I mean with refpect to the cheapnefs of conveyance.

    Did I not underftand you rightly to fay, that the exclufion of Englith-built fhips from that trade would be as injurious as the exclufion of the India-built fhips from the Britifh trade? - No; I do not think that it would; I think there will be a murch larger quantiny of goods imported from India if Ieft entirely to the Indian built hips, than there will be if left entirely to Englifh-built fhips, or if the India-built hips are' entirely excluded.

    Then perhaps you think it will be no injury to exclude the Britih-built hips fromthat trade? - I do not fay that; if the conveyance of raw materials to this country is an object, I think the convegance will be cheaper by admitting both to participate in it, neither excluding one nor the other.

    Is it poffible, in your underftanding, that any fuch competition fhall exit, if the two Chips are fold at equal prices at their firt building? - Yes; I think, fuppofing that the clafs of Britifh-built fhips belonged to merchnnts refiding in this country, and the India-built fhips belonged to merchants refiding in India, by their both being allowed to go to India will keep up a competition, which will keep the freights low.

    Can any man who employs Englifh-built fhipping, trade upon equal terms with him who employs nothing but India-built hipping? - Yes, I fuppofe be may.

    In the trade to India, of courfe? - Yes.
    How can that be; if an India-built fhip, which cofts only as much at the firft building, cofts a great deal lefs in repairs, and lafts four times as long; for fo you have ftated it? - In that cafe, the owner of the Britifh-built fhip could not make fo much profit by his trade as the other would, if they carried on exactly the fame trade in every refpect; if he had to pay more for repairs of his thip than the other; of courfe he could not gain fa much.

    Then, reafoning upon commercial principles, muft it not follow that every man will employ Indian-built hips in the Indian trade, if he confults his own intereft?一, I fuppole that he would, if he could get them.

    Have you any doubt of the ability of India to fupply fhips for all the trade of India ? - I think they are capable of building flips to carry on the whole trade of India.

    With the prefent eftablithments, fuppofing no adjitions to them? - Yes; I fhould fuppofe they might for the private trade, exclufive of the Company's trade.
    Do you mean that they cannot fupply both the Company and the private trade, if they make the exertions requifite? - I think it is probable they might fupply both.
    Have you any doubt that they can do it?-Yes, if they give the neceffary. time

    The prefent fhips of the Company will quit the fervice by degrees; have you. any doubt, that as they quit the fervice they may be fupplied by India? - I think they may be. of large fhips for the
    alfo? defcription.

    If the fhips for the trade between England and India were Indian-built, they, of courfe, would laft much longer than the prefent Englifh-built fhips do; having then more leifure in their dock yards, could they not in time fupply the reft of Europe as well as England ? - I am not prepared to fay how far they would be able to find the timber for building fuch a quantity of fhips; there is frequently a great variation in timber, for I have known it at times from twelve rupees a plank to forty rupees for the lame kind of plank.

    Are there not full means for importing timber in any quantity to the dock yards in India? - There are always a fufficient number of 隹ips to bring it, but it is not always that they can procure it at Rangoon, from whence teak plank for flips built at Calcutta is brought. Do you mean there is a fcarcity of timber? -I do not know that there is a fcarcity, but owing to the nature of the government it cannot always be got, the government is fo arbitrary.
    Therefore, by the operation of this arbitrary government, you might be deprived of a fupply altogether? - Yes, certainly, of the teak plank.
    Were you an owner at any time of any large Indian hips? - Yes, the houfe has had feveral India-built thips.
    How many? - I believe the houfe may have had as many as from eight to ten thips, that have been allowed to come from Bengal to the port of London at different times.

    How many was the moft you have had at once? - Not more than three in one feafon.

    Of what tonnage ? - I think the three together might be about 2,000 tons.
    Do you know the quantity of tonnage that belongs to the port of Calcutta ? - No, I do not.

    About how much? - I cannot even guefs.
    What capacity of building have they at Calcutta, do you know? - I think at the time I left Calcutta; about four years ago, they had five large yards, capable of building at one time five large fhips.

    How many fmall ones? - I fuppofe they might build one or two fmall ones at the fame time, if they had occafion to do fo.

    Are there great facilities for building, befides about the river at Calcutta? - The ground is very good for building upon.

    Therefore they may be carried to any extent? - They may be.
    You do not know the eftablifhment at Bombay, I prefume ? - No, I do not.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr.Grant.]

    I undertood you to fay, in the former part of your examination, that you confidered it an advantage to the Britifh merchant in India, rather to come to this councry, than to the ports of the Continent? - By law he cannot go to the ports of the Continent; I faid before, if the owners were not allowed to come to London, they would be forced to fell their hips to foreigners.

    You have been afked, whether it might not be poffible for India to build hips fufficient both for the ufe of this country and of foreigners; fuppofing fhips to be built both for this country and for the ufe of foreigners, fhould you, as a Britifh merchant, ufe your thip in exporting gruff goods to this country, or to the continent of Europe? - If the Britifh fubject, either refiding at London or Calcutta, was allowed to fend gruff goods to a port on the Continent, he probably would wifh to try the experiment.

    Do you fuppofe that an Indian thip owner would fell his thip to foreigners, if he could employ it in the trade with this country? - I fuppofe fometimes he would, and fometimes he might not, as he happened to find moft advantageous at the time.

    Do you conceive that the export of gruff goods from India is likely to centre in the ports of this country, if it has free accefs to them ? -I thould think fo.

    You have ftated, that there was a period at which the private Britifh merchant at Calcutta could not obtain tonnage on board the Company's thips at lefs than 501 a ton? -That happened in one particular feafon.

    Were there any goods freighted at that price?-There were.
    Mr. Allan Gilmore.
    Do you remember what fpecies of goods they were?-Indigo, and probably raw filk.

    Could gruff goods be thipped at fuch a rate? - No; I Thould think nobody would Thip gruff goods at fuch a rate of freight.

    It would amount to a prohibition in fact?-Quite.
    You bave ftated, that there might be fome difficulty, probably, in fupplying any quantity of timber for a fudden demand for thips in India?-lt could not be depended upon at Bengal.

    Suppofing a fudden demand, would it be eafy in that country to increafe the number of Chipbuilders to the requifite amount?-Yes; they might increafe the number of native workmen who are employed in building thips there, to any amount.

    Do you mean that perfons might be educated to that employment, or that there are ready-educated perfons for it to any extent?-No; I fhould not think that they were ready to be found immediately; it would require fome time to acquire fome knowledge of the trade.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    Do you remember the American trade being carried on to a great extent in India ?-It has been to a great extent during the latter period of the war.

    Do you remember its being carried on- at any period, when they were not poffefled of great advantages as a neutral power?-Their trade was much more limited at that time than it was afterwards.

    Was it not in a flate of progreflive increafe about the years 1791 and 1792 ?I cannot fay that I pofitively recollect that.

    What advantages have the Americans befides that of being a neutral power?I am not at all acquainted with the nature of their voyages, any farther than they generally bring in dollars to Calcutta to purchafe cargoes.

    Have not they advantages of carrying on the trade much cheaper?-I do not know really that they have; the wages of the feamen I underftand are very high.

    Did it ever happen to you to compare the amount of foreign trade at thofe periods at which India-built lhips were permitted to come to this country, and at thofe periods when they were nor?-No, I never did.

    Do you believe that the exclufion of India-built fhips from the trade between this country and India, would throw a great deal of trade into the hands of foreigners ?-1 have not the leaft doubr of it.'

    If private Britifh-built hips had been allowed to go to India without the intervention of the Company, could they have been obtained at lefs freight than has been charged by the Company for their thips?-I cannot fay.

    You are not able to fpeak to that?-I am not; it is very probable that they might have been obtained; but they would not have been fitted out in the fame manner, and probably not fo well fitted out for the trade, as the fhips engaged by the Company, which I believe are of a better defcription.
    Do you fpeak of that probability from any knowledge of your own ?-No, I do not.

    Do you not conceive it would be highly imprudent for any merchant to fend out fhips from this country, upon an uncertainty of the freight that they would receive in India ?-Certainly, I hould think fo.

    ## Mr. James M'Taggart, Called in ; and Examined by Mr. Spankie.

    ## YOU have been in India ?-I have.

    Were you in any houfe of bufinefs there, or connected with any houfe of bufi-
    Were you in any houle or buinnefs there, or connected with any
    nefs? -Yes, fome time I was, and for fome time I was doing bufinefs for myfelf.
    At Calcutta?-At Calcutta entirely.
    How long were you in India?-I was there 25 years.
    Are you acquainted with the nature of the trade between England and India ?Yes, I am partly; I never had much experience myfelf in the fhipping of goods there, but as a merchant there, I have general knowledge of the trade to every part of India, as well as to England.

    You are acquainted with what is called the Private Trade ?-Yes, I am,

    Has it increafed confiderably fince you were acquainted with it?-Oh, very greatly; indeed there was fcarcely any private trade when I firft went to India, at leaft comparatively fpeaking.

    Can you tell us how far the private trade has been affected by the ufing the country-built fhips in the voyages between England and India ?-I apprehend it has been greatly increafed by the employment of country fhips.

    Do you confider it advantageous for the fhippers of goods in India, to have fuch a mode of tranfport?-Certainly; I foould fuppofe they would be-preferred to any other mode whatever.

    Will you explain in what refpect it is advantageous?-I mean, by combining the operation of the fhip-owner and the merchant, fuppofing them to be one and the fame perfon.

    We underftand, that India is the exporting country?-Certainly it is; there is export from England, of courfe, but by no means fo great as that from India.
    Do you confider it advantageous to the merchant exporter of goods, to have his tonnage under his command, and in the country from which the export is to be made?-There is no queftion about it ; every merchant in the world would wifh it, as well as in India.

    Under the fyitem of a free trade, which will now exift, do you confider that the merchant exporter in India could conveniently rely for tonnage to be fent from England to India, for his exports homeward?-No, not at all.

    In what refpect would it be difadvantageous to him?-The uncertainty of the arrival of the fhips, the time at which they would arrive, and many other things, would operate againft relying upon fhips being fent out from England.

    Would that circumftance even affect the fhipping coft at which his cargo could be Jaid in and afforted? -Confiderably, if a delay took place; it arifes from that.

    So that, independent of the queftion of freight, in your opinion, whether could the India thippers 隹ip cheapeft on board a country thip, or on board a Thip coming from Europe, generally fpeaking?-On board a country fhip I fhould fuppofe they could get a cheaper freight, than on the fhips going out from Europe.

    Generally fpeaking, would it be cheaper to fhip on board a country fhip, or on board an Englifh fhip coming uncertainly to that country?-I think he would get his freight cheaper on a country thip, than on a thip coming from Europe.

    That arifes from the one navigating cheaper than the other?-Yes.
    Do you mean, that the country-built thip would be able to go to fea cheaper than the other, and confequently afford freight at a lower rate?-Yes; I mean, that under. the circumftance of a free trade, it is poffible that fuch a number of ohips might run out to India, and be difappointed in procuring cargoes, that they would take half freight, rather than no freight at all; that is probable.
    Do you conceive, that this effect of the thing's being overdone in the firf in: fance, would induce the Englifh thip owner on this fide of the water, to continue to fend his thips to India on thefe terms?-I thould fuppofe sot, beciafe it would be ruinous in its confequences to him.

    Then, would this excefs of tonnage in the firft inftance, lead to its ultimate reduction?- It is not eafy for one man to fpeak to what five hundred merchants would da; men are guided in their Ipeculation fchemes by their diferent tiews of fubjeds.

    In your opinion, would that lead prudent fhip owners to continue the trade ?If you were th afk me what I hould do; I hould fay I would not.
    In what refpect do you confider it defirable to thip goods on board teak- fhips? They are generally confidered to be fafer fhips; they deliver their cargoes in better condition than any other fhips do, which is a great object; if chere is a cheap freight, that is another inducement with a proprietor of goods to Tend them home by her.
    In your opinion, would an Englifh-built thip be fo well calculated for the climate of India as a.teak-built fhip?-Certainly not $\%$, they very foon decay in that country.

    Are they not, of confequence; more in want of yepairs, and thofe expenfive repairs?-Certainly they are; an European-built Ship. Is confidered the worft defcription of fhip for the trade in India of any fhip whatever.
    And even in trading backwards and forwards to Indias' it mult be affected by. its: long continuance in that country?- Yes, more or lefsy according to the length of, time they are in the country.

    Are you acquainted with the trade which foreigners have carried on to India, the Portuguefe and Americans?-1 have neyer Sad any dealings with them, but I know they do trade to a great extent ; the Dutch and Danes, in the courfe of my time in
    India, have traded with India to a great extent.
    Have you known thofe nations ever employ teak fhips in the trade with India? I believe they are very anxious to get them, and I know that they do poffefs many.
    Perhaps you know, that all thofe nations you mention have the means within themfelves, or their colonies have the means, of building fhips; for.inftance, the Portuguefe at Brazil, we hear ? - Yes, they build very good fhips in the Brazils.
    But the teak is preferred by them?-Yes, I fancy they prefer the teak.
    On account of its durability?-Yes; though the Brazil wood is very durable, the teak llips are more buoyant, I believe, and they prefer them on that account.
    In cafe the teak-built thips were excluded from the trade between India and England, do you confider that thofe fhips, or the trade which they carry on, would be likely to fall into the hands of any of thofe foreigners ?-The trade to Europe I dare fay would.
    Do you confider, that the trade in gruff goods from India to England could be carried on fo advantageoolly in any fpecies of thip as the country-built hhip in India? -I hould think not; I have been fa long abfent from Eingland, that I do not know how fhips are fitted out here; but I hould fuppofe, they would not be able to fend them out from this country fo cheap as the country fhips are.

    Gruff goods, in proportion to their value, require a great deal of tonnage? -Yes.

    And confequently cannot afford to pay a high rate of freight?-Yes.
    Upon the reftoration of peace, do you think it likely that thofe foreign nations which you have mentioned, would endeavour to gain the thare of the India trade. which they formerly poffeffed ?-I have no doubt they would; it was generally a pro. fitable trade, and they would be anxious to recoyer it as foon as poffible.

    Do you think, that if country-built hips were excluded from the trade between India and England, it would afford any advantage to fuch foreigners, in their endeavours to regain that trade?-I have na doubt it would; they would buy the flips in Calcutta to carry it on.

    Do you conceive, upon the fuppofition I have ftated, that they would regain any confiderable part of the trade ?-As they could find the means of carrying it on; they would nor find the means of carrying it on immediately.

    Can you tell us whether any confiderable part of the funds with which the trade from India to Europs generally is carried on, confifts of the remittance of fortunes made by individuals going from England to India ?-I do not think that it has been to a great extent.

    Are you acquainted generally with the amount of the remittance annually ?-No; I cannot ftate that.

    Committee.]-Do not you know that there are bills to a confiderable amount drawn in Calcutta for remittance? -There is no queftion of that.

    Mr. Spankie.]-What can be the fund out of which the merchant in India is to fupply the funds againft which he draws, except the confignment of goods ?-I fuppofe he has no other fund on which he can draw.
    Then in proportion to the quantity of goods configned to England, would not the facility of drawing upon England be in the fame proportion?-Yes, there is no queftion about that; by hipping thofe goods they are enabled to give 2 better exchange.
    Then when a man in India defires a bill, upon London for inftance, he configns his funds in India to the agent there, who gives him a bill?-Yes, he does.
    But thofe funds, either in the hands of the agent himfelf, or of his conftituents or correfpondents, furnifh the goods to be fent?-Surely.

    ## Committec.]-That is a very large branch of the trade from Bengal to England, is

    it not ?-It is certainly.Mr. Spankie.]-Then if any portion of the goods which now come to England fort thofe purpofes were to be fent to Copenhagen or Libon, would not that naturally, lead to a remittance to thofe countries, by drawing bills upon them?-Certainly; they would run into the hands of foreigners, inftead of centering in London.

    Mr.

    Committee.]-And foreigners would have the benefit of that remittance-fund inftead of the Englifh merchant?-Certainly ; in cafe the Britifh merchant in India could not make a good remittance upon England, a perfon having money to remit, would take fecurity upon the goods going to a foreign port; that is a circuitous one.
    Then do you conceive that giving the greateft facility to the conveyance of goods from India to England direa, would tend to keep a remittance in the direct channel to England?-Certainly, the more the trade is promoted between the two countries, it will be the more advantage to both; and that can only be done by permitting flips to go freely.
    In your opinion, has the trade which formerly fubfifted in the hands of foreign nations with India, been affited in any confiderable degree by funds belonging to Englifh remitters in that country?-Yes; I have no doubt that that was the cafe.
    You ftate that from your experience, as a man acquainted with the trade of the two countries?-Xes.
    Do you think, that unlefs due facility is given to invite the trade direct to England, it will again get into foreign channels, in fome degree?-Yes it will certainly.

    With due facilities given to the merchant-exporter in India, do you think that any confiderable fhare of the trade could be diverted into foreign channels; in your opinion, would it chiefly come to England?-Yes; I conceive it would, but a merchant proprietor of goods in India, if he finds a purchafer that will give him dollars for them, will fell them, and not fend them home here.

    Are you aware that a confiderable part of the goods which are imported from India are intended for the foreign markets?-Yes, I am perfectly aware of that; whether the foreign merchants would have the means of exporting, or would avail themfelves of the Britifh capital here; I cannot fpeak to; they may pay a little more by getting it through this country, becaufe they have not a capital to fend to, India; the moft advantageous means would be to import it dirett, and they will, as far as they can do fo.

    Committee.]-Have you any doubt, that a direct trade to the Continent from India, would be very much increaled by the exclufion of the India-built thipping from the' trade between this country and that?-I have no doubt it would be increafed, and in proportion diminifh the trade of the port of London.

    Mr. Spankie.]-And in proportion as the produce of India can be fupplied cheap. through England, would not that form an inducement for foreigners to fupply them. felves through this country, in proportion to that cheapnefs?-I think they will be able ta import cheaper themfelves than getting it through this country, even fuppofing it comes home in India-built thips.

    Committee.]-Do you mean that they can do that', fuppofing they were under the difadvantage or being forced to export funds to India for the purpofe? -It is verywell known, that the Americans carried on a confiderable trade with India; and they had no funds there, but took out dollars; they have imported large quantities of fpecie into India.

    Have you any fufpicion that any part of their funds were Briuif? ?-There was a fulpicion in Calcutta that they were.

    About the year 1801?-Yes.
    Have you any doubt they were Britifl funds?-No.
    Is not that a leading advantage that this country poffeffes now over all other countries, the having funds ready-formed in India?-Yes; I conceive no perfons could carry on the trade better than the Englifh merchant, unlefs they are driven by any meafures that put them under difadvantages.
    Do you think, taking all the adyantages that the Englifh poffers, and the additional advantage of being enabled to employ teak fhips in the intercourfe between the two countries, without any reftraint, that they would not be able to compete with foreigners in the Iupply of the Continent? -In a certain degree they would; a foreigner would carry on that trade direct, but not to the extent that could be done by the Englifh certainly; and I think, that by reduced freight they could be able to fupply that extrá quantity which the foreigners themfelves could not embrace for want of capital.

    Do not you think, that the Englih, being able to fupply, even with advantage of capital that they poffefs, depends a good deal upon their having the power of carry- Jumes ini $T_{a g z e r t .}$ ing on the trade in Indian thips? - I do not underftand that goods from India can be exported cheaper in India-built Thips than upon Englihh-bult hips; the goods come here, and then they may be fold to the foreigners at a price cheaper than they could import them to their own port.

    Does not that depend on this country being able to carry on a trade with India fhipping ? - I think it depends on their carrying on the trade cheap, and that the trade would be cheaper in India-built Chips than on the Englifh-built thips.

    Do not you think that that capital is likely to be thrown into foreign hands, unlefs we have the advantage of teak fhips? -I think it is.

    $$
    \text { Mercurii, } 15^{\circ} \text { cie Junii, } 1814 .
    $$ SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in The Chair.

    Mr. James M'Taggart, was again Called in; and Crols-examined by Mr. ADOLPHUS.
    1 underftood you to fay, that you are acquainted with trade, but that the fhipping of goods has not formed part of your employment in any confiderable degree? 'The chipping of goods to Europe has not.

    Then you are more acquainted with the country trade than with the trade from India to Europe ? - Not as individually engaged, but perfectly acquainted with the whole of the trade all over India, and to Europe.

    With refpect to the country trade, you have never found any difficulty in obtaining mhipping, have you? -No.

    In the whole five-and-twenty years of which you have been fpeaking? - Except at the time of the expedition to the Mauritius, whetr almoft every Mip was engaged in carrying troops, and then there was a difficulty in getting fhips to freight or charter.
    The country trade employs a confiderable quantity of mipping, does it not? Yes, a confiderable quantity.
    I think we have heard of 46,000 tons, or thereabouts? - I do not know what the exact quantity is.

    And I fuppofe the country trade may by activity and enterprize be confiderably extended ? - No, 1 rather think not.
    Had you ever, putting cafes of fpeculation apart, ferious lofs or inconvenience arife from want of hipping for Europe? - Yes.
    At what time ? - I cannot fpecify all the times; but fhippers of goods have been very much at a lofs in waiting for hhips that were expected out, the goods lying in the Company's warehoufes for months and months, of courfe locking up their capital.
    How long ago might thofe inflances have occurred ?-I believe it has occurred frequently from the year 1798, and at different times, juft depending upor the flate of things at home, fome of the extra fhips being engaged in naval warfare to go to the Cape and Buenos Ayres, and other places.

    The fluipping, putting thofe expeditions out of view, has been generally fifficient for the trade? - Yes

    Then it has only been upon this particular preffure of public emergency that the difficulty has arifen ? - The fupply has always been fufficient for the country trade, but there has been times as well as thofe alluded to, of warfare, when there has been 2 want of tonnage to Europe, and the government in India has then reforted to hiring country hips; I have had a thip my felf taken up in that way.

    Committee.]-It was that that occafioned the refolutions of 1798 , was not it ? Yes, it was juft after Lord Wellelley went out, and fo continued at differcnt zimes.

    Mr. Adolpbus.]- You flate the private trade to have been greatly increafed by the employ of native flips? - Yes, the private trade to Europe.
    (72.)

    7 E
    You

    Mr. You alfo flate, that the freight is cheaper in confequance of a native thip being James M"Taggart. firf employed ? - Yes.

    That is to fay, when a hip is built in an Indian port, and fails from there with a cargo, it is a very material advantage to thofe who are interefted in that thip $?$ Certainly it is.
    And there is, in your opinion, no countervailing advantage which can put an Englifh-built thip upon the fame footing? - The proprictor of goods would prefer fhipping his goods on board an India-buitt thip at the port where his goods are, and where the fhip is, rather than depend on a thip from Europe; in confequence of thofe fhips being taken up by the government in. India, freights to the proprietor of goods, quite diftinct from the fhip-owner, has been obtained much lower than they otherwife could have been got; the loweft rate, I believe, that has ever been charged in the Company's extra Chips, has been 22l. 1os. a ton, and the country thips have been taken up at 161. 181. and rgl. and upwards, according to the nature of the demand; the proprietor, in that cale, was generally benefited by 5l. or 61. a toa on the goods, quite diftinct from the fhipowner.

    That benefir, of courfe, can apply only to the firft voyage the Chip makes? - That freight is only for that voyage; it may be cheaper or it may be dearer the fecond voyage, unqueftionably.
    When a fhip newly built is going from India to England, there is a faving of the voyage outwards, which England, not being the exporting country, is a lofing voyage ? - Yes.

    Then after the flip bas once been to England, and is to return outward, the ftands exactly in the fame fituation as if the had then been firt built in London, with refpect to thofe advantages? - Yes; but there is a diftinction which Chould be made, and that is, between the fhips chartered by the Company, which are fure of a freight, and the country fhips; the fhip going out commencing her voyage in England in the Company's fervice, will have an advantage by an outward cargo and freight, which a country fhip ftands very little chance of getting here, when fhe returns back to India; an India fhip here is in a flate of uncertainty, and in India fhe comes home with a fure freight, and it is very uncertain what return freight he may get; it is the relative fituation of the two lhips.

    But if a hip built in India, and getting a voyage home with a freight, is afterwards taken up by the Ean India company, the is, of courfe, in a better fituation than a thip built here can be, by the proprietor having that advantage of a freight outward bound in the firft inftance? - Yes; by one outward frcight.

    By one homeward freight? - Certainly, if they are not taken up in the firft in. ftance in India.

    Committee.] - And if they get a tonnage in the fecond inflance? - If they are taken up by the Company, they get a freight of courfe.

    Mr. Adolpbus.] - The advantage then of the employ of Indian fhipping, arifes in the firf infance from its having freight from India, and in the next from the cheapnefs of repairs ${ }_{2}$ keeping the fhip capable-of giving freight at a lower price $\{\rightarrow$ That is the advantage which the Indian-built hips have over Europe-built thips.

    You do not know, I prefume, the price at which the Danes, Swedes and Portu'guefe build their hips? = No, I do not.

    Are you able to fay, from any oblervation that you have been able to make, whether they do not build them at fuch a rate that no advantage in teak-built fips can counterbalance? - I am not able to: ftate that, I can tell what foreign thips ufed to take freight at from India; and from that a comparifor may be drawn of their coft, built and outfit; that was 15 l. per ton; they would take a cargo at that rate.

    Committee.]-In the time of peace : - Yes.
    Mr. Adolphus. ]-Was that at a time when the teak-hips and others were takinj freights at 221. a ton? - The teak fhips were not taking freights at all, they could nof come to England.

    Have you known at what price the foreigners have taken freight, fince teak:built thips have been permitted to take freights? - No, I cannot fpeak to that; I do not know of any freights on foreign flips for - a good many years back; the
    : Was the trade of Foreigners eyet yery confiderable in proportion to "the Englifh trade in Indi. ? - A very fmall proportion indeed to the trade of England, including the trade of the India Company. $\therefore$.
    Did it bear any confiderable proportion to the private trade to England of late years? - Yes.
    You think it did ? - Certainly.
    Was it as much, or half as much? - No; I cannot fpeak decidedly upon it ; but there were miny fhips came into the River.
    If they continue to build their Bhips cheap, and to man them with their own people, I fuppofe they can regain a part pf their trade, under all circumftances? I have no doubt they will.
    Have you any doubt, that under all poffible circumftances, they will regain it equal in extent to what they had before? - I think in tine they will.
    Even fuppofing that no teak fhips were fold to them ? - Yes; it is probable that they would be able to buy fhips without depending upon teak hips; but they will get teak hips if they can.

    That is if they are wife enough to buy a high-priced hip, becaufe it will be a durable fhip? - Yes.
    With refpect to their trade depending upon remittances of Englih capital from India, do you apprehend, or is it within your knownedge, that any fuch portion of capital was remitted by Englih proprietors to foreign countries? - Yes; at one time it was véry confiderable.

    - At what time might that be? - I think it was up to the year 1800; but I do not think it was much after that, for then Lord Wellenley permitted country-built thips to go home with the cargoes to England; and theretore they had no occafion to thip the cargoes on foreigners, or to lend them the amount of the goods either on bills or upon refpondentia.

    I think you confine your knowledge to Calcutta, it doss not extend to Bombay and other parts of India? - With refpect to the country trade at Bombay, I know that perfectly; but I never was there.

    But your perfonal knowledge does not go to any circumftance arifing at Bombay? -I never was at Bombay, but I know what the courfe of trade is there.
    In the expeditions you have alluded to, the Mauritius, the Red Sea and others, Englinh-built fhips I fuppofe were employed ? - Such as were there; there were no. Englifh Thips there but the Company's fhips.
    They were employed? -They were emplayed certainly ; they are the beft thips for the tranfport of troops that can be; if the falvation of India had depended on the tranfport of troops, without the India-built lhips, India would not haye been fayed; the fame may be faid with refpect to the capture of the Mauritius. and Java.

    But in all thofe expeditions, the Englifh were alfo materially employed? - I believe there were not lefs than 70 fail of hips went to the Mauritius, and there might have been ten of them Campany's hips, diverted from the object of their; original voyage; which occafioned the merchants goods lying in the warehoufes, and perhaps there were fixty fail of country thips, belonging to all the ports in India.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie ]

    - You have been afked, whether the trade of forcigners at any time formed a con $H_{4}$ fiderable proportion of the whole trade from India to Europe; will you fate what proportion you conceive that may have formed prior to the introduction of teak, Hhips, and prior to the wars with Denmark and America? - No; I cannot flate that, I do not know the proportion; but when I gave the anfwer to that queflion before, I did not adyert to the American trade, only the European trade, becaufe the American trade was carried on to a great extent.

    Then taking all this, Europe and America combined, do you confider their trade with India fo confiderable as to go into a proportion at all with that of the Comis: pany? - I fancy it far exceeds the private trade, and bears a proportion to the Company's trade.

    The whole foreign trade formed a confiderable proportion of the Company's trade, and exceeded the private trade? - Yes, including America.
    The trade with America, l.believe, begun and arrived to 3 confiderable extent. within your obfervation? - Yes; there had been one thip only I believe in India before
    before my arrival there; thoy begun with frall means, and extended it to a great trade.

    It began to affume a confiderable appearance about the year 1795 or 1796 ? Yes, earlier than that perhaps, about the year. 1790.
    Have you any general notion of what might be the extent of the Amcrican tonnage that vifited Calcutta at the period prior to the war, when you faw them in greateft quantity? - I cannot fpeak to that.
    Was it confiderable? - Oh, it was confiderable, certainly; the Americans, in carrying on their trade, perhaps fometimes fent 50 or 60 fhips to China in a feafon; and at other times they went to Batavia; at other timés they run upon Bengal goods : they change their voyages.
    From the year 1793 was it obferved that the foreign trade between India and Europe rather decreafed than increafed?-I rather think, that for three or four years after that, the foreign trade increafed, for the 3,000 tons was not at all fufficierit to fupply the demand.
    But after the period when Lord Wellefley adopted the regulation you have mentioned, the private trade to England increaled very much, and the foreign trade decreafed? - No, I do not think it decreafed much after that, for they feemed to carry on their commercial enterprizes to a great extent, and had a command of capital up to 1802, I think.
    Lord Wellefley's regulation took place in 1798 ? - Yes.
    Since that period India tonnage has been taken up from time to time? - Yes.
    I think you ftated, that independent of periods of warfare, there was confiderable inconvenience experienced in India for the want of tonuage in India for the private trade to England? - Yes, that is Company's tonnage.

    Unlefs when your thips were permitted to be taken up, I underftood you to fay there ftill was an inconvenience? - Yes, there were periods when the country hips were not allowed to be taken up.

    During thofe periods when the country fhipping was not taken, can you tell us whether any inconvenience was experienced for want of convenient tonnage to England? - Yes, there was.
    You have been afked refpecting the advantage which the fhipowner in Indiaderives from commencing his voyage to England in the firft inftance with a freight ? - Yes.

    Independent of that advantage which the thipowner derives, I underftand you to. fay, that the fhipper of goods derives an advantage diftinct from the owner, in having the means ready on the fpot?- Yes, and at a cheaper rate than ever was furnihed before, or could be in the Company's extra lhips.

    Independent of the fhips being taken up at all by the Company, but on the fuppofition of a free trade, what advantage do you conceive the hipowner would allow. in a voyage backwards and forwards from India to England, over the Englifh fhipowner, in a voyage from England to India and back to Europe? - The advantage I conceive to be, that the India fhipowner would be able to carry freight home cheaper than the Englifh fhipowner.

    - Suppofing the one to ftart from India on a feeking. voyage to England, without any certainty of getting a return freight; and fuppofing the Englifh fhipowner to flart from England to India, without any certainty of getting a return freight; what particular advantage would one have over the other, except from the nature of the thip itfelf? - The India fhip would not fail with any fuch uncertainty; the would not fet out without having a freight home, and the muft take her chance of what the could get back.

    The Englifh fhipowner fets out with the freight he can get outward, and trufts to chance back? - No; I cannot conceive an Englifh hip would fail at all without having a home freight, becaufe that is what is to pay her expenfes, the outward freight is fo trivial.

    Then fuppofing the teak hips to be excluded from the trade with England altogether, do you think it likely that a merchant in India would provide goods upon. the chance of private fhips arriving from England? - I do not conceive that they would fufpend their commercial operations merely becaufe the teak ©hips were excluded.

    But do you conceive they would carry it to fuch an extent?-I do not knows whether they would or not; I confider it would be a confiderable impediment.

    And a lofs to the merchant ? - He would do as he did before ; he would purchafe goods $s_{r}$ and lay them up till the arrival of a hip from Europe.
    And in fuch cafes, do you conceive that a prudent merchant would extend his tranfations fo much as if he had the advantage of a thip ready in his own port? Perhaps not.

    > [Examined by the Committee.]

    Do you recolleat the flate of the trade in 798 ? - Yes, $I$ do.
    Do you recollect that a great additional quantity of gruff goods were exported to this country in the year 1798 ? - I do not recollect the quantity, but there was fome.

    Do you recollect, that during the period that private fhips were allowed to come here, under the regulation of 1798 , a very confiderable quañity of gruff goods were fent to this country? - Yes.

    What do you attribute that to ? - The cheap freight they gor in the country hips.

    What fort of goods do you feeak of? - I really do not recollect what the cargoes confifted of; I believe they were ballafted with fugar; and they mult have had a variety of other goods on board, which I cannot recollect.

    That was before any great exportation of cotton took place from Bengal? Yes.

    The exportation of cotton from Bengal has fince that taken place to $a$ confiderable extent? - To a very confiderable degree.

    Do you recollect what was the effect it produced upon the trade which was carried on under Danifh flags? - I believe it diminifhed the trade more with the Danes than the Americans; I think the American trade went on increafing' for three'or four years; they feemed to be poffeffed of large funds, and I think their trade increafed up to the year 1801-2.

    Do you' recollect, thaf previous to that regulation, confiderable quantities of goods were fhipped by Britifh merchants to foreign ports? - Certainly

    Do you recollect, that fubfequent to that, when they had the power of ufing teak ships, not one bale of goods was chipped for foreign ports? - No, I do not recolleat any.
    That put an end to the manked trade, did not it $P$ - Entircly; 1 believe.

    ## Mr. Henry Fermor, Called-in; and Examined by Mr. Spanmix.

    You are a timber furveyor? - I am a timber furveyor.
    Have you lately furveyed any eftates in Berkhire and Hampfhire? - Yes, in Highclere and Burghclere.
    Thofe eftates are in Berk/hire and Hamphire? - Yes, in Berkhire, or on the borders of Hamphire.

    Have you furveyed generally the eftates in the parilhes of Highclere and Burghclere ? - Yes.

    Have you furveyed all the eftates that are mentioned on that paper you have inyour hand? -Yes.
    [It was delivered in, and'read, as follows.]
    "The Survey of all the oak timber fit for naval purpofes, näw ftanding; on the following eftates, manors, and parifles.

    ## Highelere and Burghclere: Lord Carnarron's.

    

    Kingrclere: Finher \& others.
    
    (72.)
    

    Aldermafton: - Congreve, Efq.
    
    

    Bucklebury Eftate : Hartley, Efq.
    40 feet and upwards, $55 \ldots 405=44525$ 25 to 40 - . 30 . . . . . . $x_{0410 .} 846$ -

    Donnington:- Hartley, Efq.
    40 feet and upwards, 60 - . . . . . 45 - 54 25 to $40 \cdots \cdots 30 \cdots \cdots \cdot \frac{120}{1,980} \frac{72}{-1,417} \cdot$

    Crookham: Lord Selfea, and others.
    40 feet and upwards, 60 . . . . . . . 82 - 9820 25 to 40 - - 30 - . . . . . 223 - 13340

    Brampton: Lord Falmouth.
    
    Sandleford: ——Montague, Efq.
    40 feet and upwards, 65 . . . . . . . 340 .. 442 25 to 40 . . . 33 . . . . . . . 370 . 24410

    Woodfpreen: Watts, and others.
    
    Ewhurlt
    

    Eaft Woodhay.
    

    Hampitead Park: Lord Craven.
    

    Marlborough Foreft and Eftates there, belonging to the Earl of Aylesbury.
    
    (Signed)
    $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Eaftwood Hay, } \\ 14 \text { June } 1814 .\end{array}\right\}$.

    ## HENRY FERMOR,

    Surveyor of Timber."

    Have you furveyed the eftates mertioned in that paper now given in? - Yes.
    In your farvey did you include any timber that was ornamental timber? - Yes; the whole of it.
    How did you make the furvey? - In a regular way, as I always do, by taking the length and girth, and the number of trees.
    Committee.] - With a view of buying it ? - Juft the fame as if I was going to buy or fell it.
    Mr. Spankie.]- You have flated there the quantities; in addition to that, can you fay any thing as to the quality of the timber? - Part of it is defective, particularly in Marlborough Foreft; there it is very old timber; and fo is fome of the timber in Lord Craven's park.

    It has become unfit for naval purpofes? - It is unfit, part of it.
    Yet, notwithtanding part of it is fo unft, it is included in that furvey? - It is.
    In the furvey which you have given in, as applicable to Lord Aylefbiury's eftate, you include the whole of his eftates, as well as the Foref? - Yes, the whole in that part of the country.
    Can you fate, diftinguifhing Marlborough Foreft from the other parts of his eftates, how much it contains?-I make 1,240 trees in that foreft to be 100 feet metings, and 305 trees to be 35 feet metings, in that foreft, not going lower than 25 feet in atree; ;and that is the whole on the foreft.
    What is the number of loads? $\boldsymbol{2}$ 2,480 of the large timber, and 213: load and 29 feet of the fmall.

    Committee.] - When you ftate thefe quantities as exifting upon the eftates, you.
    take the quantity from the fize of the trees now flanding, without taking into account what proportion of thofe trees may be unfit for naval purpofes from difeafe, or from any other natural caufe? - Yes, exaetly fo, lat them be defeative or not. I took them down as they were.

    You have taken quantity without regard to quality ? - Yes.
    Mr. Spankie.]-Have you formed any eftimate of the quantity, upon the whole, which muft be rejected as unfit for naval purpofes? - I thould think one-fourth of the whole of the larger timber in the forelt ; the other part of the Earl of Aylefbury's eftate is founder.-

    With refpea to the timber on the other eftates, is there any confiderable part of it that has overftood its growth, and become unfit for naval purpoles? - No; the reft appears in general found.

    Do you confider that that quantity is fuch timber as you could count upon being brought to market? - It is not for fale part of it; it is ornamental timber in parks.

    Is not a confiderable part of that timber, fuch as the noblemen and gentlemen to whom it belongs would not think of felling? - Yes.
    Great part of it is ornamental, either for parks or about grounds, generally? Yes.
    It could not be cut down without fripping the neighbourhood of large timber? This is the whole of the large timber in that neighbourhood. .
    Confequently it could not be cut down without Atripping the country? - No, it could not.
    If that large timber fhould be cut down, how long would it be before there would be any to fupply the place of it ? - I fuppofe in forty or fify years; if there twas none cut till that fime, there would be a grear fock of large timber over parts of the eftates.
    Bit is the greater part of that timber.fuch as you would expeat to be brought to market, or look to for the immediate fupply of the country? - No, it is not; you cannot get at it; it is not for fale.

    Probably as little likely to be fold as the fanily manfion-houfe of fome of thefe families?- Part of it was bought on purpofe to ftand; it belongs to fome of the colleges of Oxford ( $F$ do not know the names of the colleges), and it was bought in by the occupant, not to be cut down,
    You were formerly, I believe, in the employment of Meffrs. Collins, confiderable timber merchants? - I was.

    - Where did they, refide? - At Breachley, in Kent.

    They were contractors with Government for timber formerly? - Yes, they were.
    When you were in their employment, had you an opportunity of furveying timber in various parts of the country?- Yes, it was my principal employment.

    What parts of the country were you principally engaged in? - Hampfhire, Berkfhire, Wilthire, Buckinghaminire, Oxfordfhire, and fome parts of Somerfethire.

    And the object of your excurfions on thofe:occafions was to find timber? - Yes; to buy timber for them.

    In your obfervation then', did your experience any difficulty in obtaining large timber fit for the purpofes of fhipbuilding? - Yes, very often.

    How long were you employed in that fituation? - Upwardsof twenty years.
    Did you find the difficulty increafe, during the latter part of your employment? Yes, very much, during the two laft two years I was employed.

    What were thole two years? - Three or four years fince.
    You mult have oblerved, that during the period you have mentioned, there has been a great confumption of naval timber? - Very great.

    Has the effect of that great confumption been to decreafe the quantity of timber flanding, applicable ta fuch purpofes? - Yes ; there is not a third of the large timber within ten miles of Newbury that there was ten years ago. .

    In:what degree cant you apply that obfervation to other parts of the country which you have feen ? - In parts lower down, where the canal is not open; thereis wgreater flock of timber.

    Has not the eflablifhment of canalss in different parts of the country, afforded opportunities; of fupply which didinot exitt wherr you firf began the employ? -

    Within the laft three years, the fupply has principally come down the Kennet and Avon, and Wilts and Berks canals, from Wilts and other counties.

    Mr.
    Henry Fermor.

    In thofe parts of the country which you have feen, and in which the large timber has decreafed, how foon will a fucceflion of fuch timber take place, fo as to afford a fupply for naval purpofes ; 1 mean timber of about fixty feet metings and upwards? - In forty or fifty years, I thould think, after taking away this large timber, which I give in my account.
    Mr. Harrifon.] - Then it will be forty or fifty years before there will be any large timber come into fuccefiion? - There will be a few trees before that, perhaps.

    Mr. Spankie.] - Are you acquainted with any parts of the country, in which there is to be found timber likely to fupply the demand which will be fhort from thofe other counties which have been fo ftripped as you mention?-There is not fufficient timber to be found, if there was the fame demand as there was three years ago.

    Upon the whole, in your opinion, is there the fame quantity of large timber now flanding as there was ten, fifteen, or twenty years ago? - Not a third of the quantity, and I include ornamental timber.

    Is that the refult of your general obfervation? - Yes; it is in the counties which I have named.

    Have you known any quantities of wood-land grubbed up? - Yes; it is a general practice fince corn has been fo dear.

    In your obfervation, what is the quality of land beft adapted for the production of oak? - Suiff land, deep foil, clayih land.
    Is that a fpecies of foil well adapted to the growing of wheat and grain? -Yes; it is adapted to wheat.

    So that the fame foil which is adapted to oak, is alfo adapted to agricultural purpofes? - It is always confidered fo.
    In what parts of the country, will you fpecify, have you known wood.land grubbed up, and converted to agriculture? - I confider there have been from four to five hundred acres within five miles of Newbury.

    Do jou know any wood-land grubbed up upon the property of Lord Carnarvon? - Yes.

    How much ? - I cannot tell the quantity of acres, but there have been two farms grubbed; a good deal laid open; the fields enlarged; the hedges have been grubbed, taking the timber and all away together.
    So that in thofe parts there would be no fucceffion? - Not there.
    This all happened within your own obfervation? - Yes, it is in the neighbourhood where I live.

    Mr. Major Bull is the fteward or manager of Lord Carnarvon? - Yes, he is the land fteward.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Harrijon.]

    You are a timber furveyor, I underftand? - Tes
    How long have you been a timber furveyor? - Upwards of twenty years.
    Formerly you were in the employ of Meffirs. Collins? - Yes.
    Who do you furvey for now? - For different gentlemen; I furveyed fome forMr. Sloper this year, and different gentlemen who employ me.

    You are not employed by any particular people? - No, not at all
    Do you furvey for Meffrs. Larkins \& Morris? - No.
    Never? - Never.
    Nor for Mr. Bowther? -No.
    When were you defired to go down and make this furvey?-Nearly 2 month ago.

    Can you recolleat the day? - I can tell by my book here; the 23d of May.
    Who employed you? - That gentleman.
    What gentleman?-Mr. Lavie.
    What infructions did you get?-I had a letter to go and furvey thofe different eftates, and to be fure and be careful to make as near the quantity as poffible.
    And the eflates were enumerated, I fuppofe, in the letter? - Not all at that time.
    Committee.]-Have you got the letter about you ? - No, I have not.
    Have you deftroyed the letter? - No, I do not know that I have, I have it at. home.

    ## Mr. Mr. Harrijon.] - The letter did not ftate all the eftates? - No.

    Then a correfpondence was carried on afterwards to tell you the different eftates you were to go to? - Yes.
    Where did you begin? - At Eaf Woodhay.
    To whom does that belong? - To diferent people; I furveyed all the timber in the parifh, let it belong to whom it would.
    Then you began at Eaft Woodhay? - Yes, I began at Eaft Woodhay.
    Is that an extenfive parih? - No, it is fmall, the wood-land part of it; it is a large parifh; I live in that parifh, and have land there.
    How did you go to work, beginning with the regular meafurement of each tree? -I went round and valued the trees, and put down what I confidered there was in them.

    By looking at them or by meafurement? - By looking at them in the way 1 always do; you cannot meafure them when ftanding.
    Is it ufual to go and look at trees in the fummer time, when the leaves are all out? - I have done it before; it is againf the buyer a good deal ; the tree looks larger.

    But I fuppofe it is rather againft the furveyor getting on with his work ? - It is againf him a little.
    It makes him rather longer about it, of courfe; if the leaves are all off, and you fee a great number of trees, you do it quicker than if you had to get to each tree feparately? - The leaves were not got out broad enough to hinder the fight; the oak leaves do not fpread to their full fize, till midfummer, the leaves do not hurt for furveying oak timber yet.

    Do you mean to fay, in furveying wood, there is not a confiderable impediment by the leaves in the way they are now? - I do not confider there is; in the oak very little.

    Then you mean to ftate, you began on the 23d of May at Eaft Woodhay? Yes.

    Is that a parifh where there is wood, or is it hedge-rows principally ? - Hedgerows.

    And you went and looked at cvery tree? - Yes, I went and faw every tree.
    Is your meafurement round meafure or fquare? -It is round meafure.
    What parih did you go to next? - Bucclebury.:
    Is that a large parifh ?- Yes, it is a large parifh.
    Is the timber in hedge-rows or wood? - It is in hedge-rows principally, and in forefts.
    Is part of that Marlborough foreft? -No.
    How many days were you furveying Eaft Woodhay? - One day.
    How long were you at Bucclebury parifh? - Two days.
    Did you furvey the whole parifh there? - Yes, the whole of the timber eftates.
    And you fay you took an account of the number of trees in that parifh? -I am fure I took the number of trees there were in the parih; I go through the parif regularly backwards and forwards, till I find them all, and then in cafe I may have mifled fome, I put perhaps fifty with the regular metings of thofe I have made out; I added 200 trees to Bucclebury eftates after I furvcyed them.

    Committee.] - Are they included in the lift you have given in? - Yes, for fear I might have miffed fome in the hedge-rows.

    Mr. Harrifon.] - Where did you go next ? - I went to Donnington next.
    What day did you get to Donnington? - The next day.
    How long were you at Donnington? - One day.
    Where did you go next? - I went to Earl Craven's.
    In what parifh? - Hamptead.
    Is that a large parifh? - The parih is not a fmall parih, but the timber ftands in a very little extent, it is principally in park.

    How long were you there? - Not a day quite.
    Where did you go next? - To Marlborough foreft.
    How large is Marlborough foreft ? - Two miles through, in fome parts of it.
    -What is the fize of Marlborough foreft, taking it in any way? -I did not meafure the foreft ; I went all round it, and backwards and forwards, fome parts of it are two miles through.

    How much through is it in other parts? - I fuppofe three.
    And how much in ether parts? - It may be the other way three.

    Then ycu ftate to me, that the part of Marlborough foref covered with trees; is two miles by three? - Yes; that part which is c6vered with bulhes, and fo on.

    You ftate that to be the fize? -It is about two miles through one way, and I confider it may be three miles the other; I do not know whether it is that; I hardly know what you mean to include.

    Let us hear what you include? - Some penple call it all foreft together, the park, and where the gentlemen's houfes ftand, and all; but I conlider that inclofed, theie are pales all round, I only mean the rough forefly pirt.

    Committec.]-The uninclofed part? -The uninclofed part, and that indeed is inclofed.

    Mr. Harrifon.] - After having given your defcription of the foreft, am I to undertand you, if you ftate it two miles by three, that is the fize of it? - I think it is; I never heard anybody fay the fize, nor did I confider I flould be afked thatqueftion; I rode all round it for two days to find the trees.

    Is there underwood in it? - There is a good deal of buthes and underwood, if you call it fo.

    And they are pretty thick of leaves, I fuppofe? - Not to hinder the fight of the large oaks.

    How long were you taking an account of Marlborough foreft ? - About two days.
    Were you on horfeback or on foot? - I was both ways; fometimes I walked, and fometimes I rode.

    Anybody with you? - Yes; fomebody to thew me the wood.
    Who was it? - The keeper went with me one day.
    And who went the other day? - A labouring man in the neighbourhood.
    What was his name? - I do not know.
    How did you pick him up? - By afking for fomebody who knew the eftates.
    Was the keeper with you the firf day? - No.
    How long was he with you? - He was with me one affernoon; but he is not the keeper who lives in Marlborough foreft.

    What was his name? - Smith, I think.
    And you cannot tell me the name of the man who was with you the firlt day? -
    No; it was a labouring man who worked on the eftate.
    Where does he live? - I do not know; I found him at a public-houle.
    What public-houfe? - The Harrow.
    Where? - Near Marlborourh foreft.
    In what parifh; I want to find it? - It is the Harrow, near Marlborough foreft.

    On the Bath road ? - Yes.
    And there you found him ? - Yes; and he went on the road, and gave me every information of where the bounds parted, and all that, and that was all I wanted; I knew part of the forelt myfelf.

    You had nobody with you but this man the firf day?-I had nobody with me the firtt day, but that man to fhew me where it belonged to Lord Aylefbury, and where it did not ; afterwards I did not want anybody.

    This man thewed you the bounds the firft day, and then the reil of the day you had nobody with you? - Nobody at all.

    You went by yourfelf? - Yes.
    Nobody with you all the morning of the fecond day ? - Yes; I had fomebody the fecond day.

    Who was he? - He was a imber hewer.
    What was his name? - Leadbeater.
    Where does he live? - At Mortimer.
    How long was he with you? - One day.
    What day was that? - The fecond day; that was of a Monday.
    The firft day the man pointed out the bounds, and the remainder of the day you were by yourfelf? - Yes.

    And the fecond day you had this man, Leadbeater, in the morning, and one of the keepers in the afternoon? - Yes, he lhewed me a large wood; the part of the eflate where there was no more timber at all, but what was fmall wood.

    In thefe two days you completed the furvey of Marlborough foreft ? - No, I was three days on the eftate, not altogether on the foreft.

    How long were you on Marlborough foreft ? - Two days. foreft ; there are 1,240 trees of one hundred feet metings, and 305 of thirt the feet metings; I took all trees that would meafure more than forty feet by themfelve and counted the trees, and confidered them to be one hundred feet metings in the re. gular way; 1 call 1,240 trees to be all above forty feet metings.

    And between that and thirty-five feet metings there were 305 trees? - Yes.
    And do you mean to fay, that in two days you went through Marlborough forelt, and made this furvey ? - Yes, and can do it again.

    Then in thofe two days you went through Marlborough foreft, and are able to give an account of the timber there? - Yes, it is very ealy to be done.

    Where did you go next? - I went to the other parts of the eltate, and came back and went again.

    To the other part of Lord Ayleßbury's eftate? - Yes.
    Whereabouts? - The part called Ealton is one part of the eftate.
    When did you go there? - I went there the next time I went ; I went to feveral more places that day.

    Enumerate the places you went to that day? - Eatton and Sogrove, or fome fuch kind of place, Colingbourne, Graftitone, Charberry and Beding.

    Are thofe Lord Aylefbury's eftate? - They informed me fo; I took them for his eftate, and in many of thofe places there were very feiv trees of this large fort.

    Thofe places took you two days; - I nearly finifhed them in two days, I got a little bit ou Sunday morning.

    Where did you go next? - Then I came back.
    Where did you go to then ? - I went to Aldermafton, to the eltates of Mr. Congreve and Mr. Mount.

    How long were you there? - I finifhed them that day.
    Where did you go to then? - Then I went to Ham, Sandleford and Woodfpeen. Are thofe extenfive places, or fmall ? - Small.
    And how long did it take you to go through thofe? - I did them in one day; Ham took me all the fore part of the day, and then I went home to dinner.

    Ham is near your own houfe ? - Yes.
    Do you know thefe eftates yourfelf, or were they thewn to you by anybody? I knew thofe eftates well, I had nobody to thew me them.

    Where next? - I went to Tadley.
    How long did that take you? - I did that and the parifh of Brimpton, in one day; they were adjoining parifhes.

    Where did you go to then ? - I went to Kingfclear.
    Lord Carnarvon's ? - No, it belongs to Mr. Fifher, and other gentlemen.
    Is that a large parifh ? - Yes, but very litule large timber.
    Is there a good deal of timber?. - There is a good deal of the fmall timber; but they have been cutting very hard there for the lait fix or feven years, and have taken all the large timber, almoft.

    And, all that remains, you furveyed there? - All the large timber, twenty-five feef, and upwards.

    At this time of year, how near are you obliged to go to the trees, to alcertain whether they are a particular fize, or not?-I expect I took a number that were not twenty-five feet, becaufe I could not go near enough.

    Therefore you have given us an over eftimate? - Yes; I confider it may be like that.

    Where did you go'next ? - To Crookham.
    That is Lord Selfey's, and others ? - Yes.
    How long did you take then? - About half a day.
    About what date was that? - I have got no date to it.
    Where did you go the remainder of the day ? -1 did no more; the next time I went, I went to Inglefield.

    Have you the date when you went to Inglefield? - No.
    How long did you take then ? - I was one day at Inglefield, and the next morning I had Bradfield and Beenham.

    Where did you go next? - The laft place I went to was Ewhurt and Sir Charles Poole's ; they join together.

    How long were you about that? - A day.

    Tou completed that in a day, I fuppofe? - Not quite; I flept near there, and finiihed it the next morning.

    Mr.
    Henry Fermor.

    Where'did you go next? - Sedmonton and Echenfwell.
    On the fame day? - The next day.
    How long did you take then? - One day.
    Where did you go next? - I went to the Earl of Carnarvon's; that I furveyed yefterday and the day before, and I finifhed laft night, and came up by the night coach.

    - Arld Lord Carnarvon's has only 2,684 trees, of about 85 feet metings ? - Yes.

    And you have gone through the whole of his eftate? - Yes, I live within a mile of the eftate.

    Was Mr. Bull on his eftate yefterday? - He was not; he was gone to Oxford; I was going to get him to hew me round.

    Did you fend notice to him of your coming? - No.

    - Did you give notice to any of the perions what you were coming for? - I explained to the tenants and different people what I was about, and to anybody who afked, and they faid I was welcome to go.

    But you did not fend to Mr. Bull, to fay you were coming to look at Lord Carnarvon's eftate? - No.

    Why not? - I did not fee any occafion for it.

    - Now I want to afk you, is part of this underwood in woods or in hedge rows? It is a kind of plantation, there is fir among the oaks; the fir is planted among the oak in His Lordbip's eftate; they were managed by the old Lord himfelf, and there is very litte oak planted, it comes up naturally from the acorns.

    Now I hould like to know, in a place where there is underwood as well as timber, how many trees you can furvey in a day ? - I was there from half-paft three in the morning till nine at night; I have furveyed and girthed 800 trees in a day before now.

    Were thofe trees ftanding clear, or trees in foreft ? - They ftood clear in a foreft ; thefe did not require fo nice a furvey as that, becaufe it was not going to be purchafed or fold.

    Do you mean to fay, that in riding through the woods in the way you have flated, at this time of the year, you could give an accurate account of the number of trees in the foreft ? - I can tell within 100 load of what is on Lord Carnarvon's eftate.

    That is not an anfwer to my queftion. Do you mean to fay, that in riding through the woods, in the way you have ftated, at this time of the year, you could give an accurate account of the number of trees in the foreft? - There were fome parts of the foreft that I could not ride through, but I furveyed the timber; I mean to fay, I can tell it in the way in which 1 furveyed it, within 100 load.
    How far do you live from Newbury ? - Three miles and a half.
    Do you know Mr. Smith ?.- Yes, well.
    He is a timber merchant? - He is a large builder, and has bought a good deal of timber for the laft three or four years.
    Does not he fell timber? - He does; I have bought timber of him a good many times ouf of his boat-building ftock.
    For whom did you buy of him? - For Collins's.
    Doeshe fell timber to timber-merchants and fhipbuilders? - He has fold timber to Mr. Barnard for the laft three or four years.

    Do you know where he had his timber? - A good deal from Lord Carnarvon's.
    Do you know whether Lord Carnarvon has felled any this year? - Yes, he has felled fome.
    Lord Carnarvon does not employ you to furvey his timber? - No, I never did furvey any for him.
    Mr. Bull does that himferf? - $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{o}}$ I do not know that he does; I believe the carpenteŕ; Mr. Huntley, does it ; Mr. Davis, the auctioneer, fells it by auction for him, generally.

    Where did Mr. Smith get his large lot from? - He has got feveral lots this year; he has got the principal lor from Mr. Huntley.

    Large fized timber ?-He has got fome large fized timber from Mr. Huntley lately: I fee you have given 1,060 trees of 40 feet metings and upwards ${ }_{2}$ that is calling it 75 feet metings? - Yes, I have,
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    Mr. And then you have given 1,624 trees, from 25 to 40 feet of timber; the 75 feet Henry Fermor. metings, I fuppofe, is timber that has done growing? - Yes, it is.

    That ought to have been cut fome time ago? - A part of it in the park.
    And timber from 25 to 40 you would call good fized thriving timber? - No, it is not all thriving.

    But a large proportion of it would grow more, if it was left to grow ? - The greater part of it would grow more.
    Has Lord Carnarvon got a confiderable quantity of timber of different ages belowe five-and-twenty feet metings, which would come into cutting in fuccelion ?- Yes; he has got a great deal of young timber.

    Can you form any eflimate of what quantity of timber he has under 25 feet ? No; I take no account of that.

    In riding through, and taking an account of this large timber, you cannot form any judgment of the remainder? - No; I can fay there is a large quantity of it.
    Is any part of his timber in woods, or is it principally in hedge-rows? - It is principally in the park, where it is planted with fir and beech; among the oak it is a kind of plantation; there are coples in the farms, but the large timber is principally felled: he has been cutting for thefe ten years, more or lefs every year.

    He has timber in the copfes on the farms? - Yes, it is principally fmall.
    And in the hedge-rows? - Yes.
    Is his eftate in that part of it what you would call a woody eftate? -It is a woody eftate, beech wood and fir; it is not a very good oak timber eftate.

    The wood is of all forts? - Yes; and there is a great quantity.
    The copfes are fcattered about his farms? - On different farms.
    You know his farms I fuppofe, perfectly well? -I do; I live within a mile of the eftate.

    Of courfe you went to thofe coples? - Yes; I went through them all, I had a guide.

    As well as to the hedge-rows? - Round the fields, and acrofs the fields, fo as I was fatisfied I had the quantity.

    And then you went into thofe plantations? - Yes; I went to that part where the oak timber ftands, it was no ufe going where there was no oak.

    I underftood the timber was mixed with thofe plantations? - In fome places; and in fome places there are no oak at all.

    How old are thofe plantations? - They were all planted by this gentleman's father; I do not know when they were planted.

    Is it 20 years ago, or 30 years ago, or 40 years ago? - I floould think lefs than 40 years; and there has been a continual planting.
    How long have you lived in that part of the country ? - Fifteen years.
    Since you were employed with the Meffrs. Collins? - Yes.
    Did you continue with Meffrs. Collins as long as they did bufinefs? - I never did any thing for any body elfe till they failed.

    You think thofe plantations have been made within 40 years? -There have been a great many planted in my knowledge.

    Thirty or forty years makes a tolerably good thick wood of fir and mixed timber?
    -They keep continually cutting it, in molt years.
    So ought all perfons who take care of their woods? - I think they fhould.
    Is that the fort of. wood that is eafy to go through, to get at what oak there is in it? - Very eafy, becaufe there is no underwood under fir.

    That is a wood that you confider eafy to get at the oak that is in it ? - Yes; and there is very little large oak mixed with it; and you may eafily fee an oak tree among fir.

    And all that you looked at? - Yes and I added to it, that I might be quite fure I had enough.

    You do not know what quantity Lord Carnarvon has been in the habit of falling every year, do you? - No, 1 do not.

    I believẹ all Highclere and Burgbclere belongs ta Lord Carnarvon 3-Not all the parifh.

    Has any body elfe wood in the parifh befides him ? $\rightarrow$ Yes.
    Is that included in this calculation? - Yes; there is a very fmall quantity of large timber on any woods; but what there is, is included in that paper.
    Now Kingfclere, Mr. Fither, and others, is that clofe by? That adjoins Echenfwell and Sidmonton.

    You have fated Mr. Fifher and others? -There is Mr. Fither, and Mr. Holding, and Mr. Wigmore and others; and there is nobody got any timber of any confe. quence, except Mr . Holding and Mr. Carter.

    ## Mr. Henry Fermor.

    Is that hedge-row timber, or in coples? - There is fome in coples, but it is prin. cipally hedge-row.
    Sir Robert Kingfmill, is his wood in copfes or in hedge-row ? - All the large timber he bas got, which is very little, is principally in park; there is fome in hedge-rows.

    Are there any woods aboat that part of the country befides Marlborough Foref; have any of thofe gentlemen woods? - Marlborough Foreft does not join that you are fpeaking of by miles.
    How many miles of country have you gone over in this furvey? - I cannot fpeak to that.
    How many miles do thofe parifihes extend? -I cannot tell.

    - Is this an enumeration of the whole of the parifies? - Yes.

    You do not know the fize of Highclere and Burghclere? -No.
    How far had you to go in Highclere and Burghclere, from the two extreme points you had to furvey in thofe two parifhes?-About three miles, or a little more; from three to four miles.
    Then, in a crols direction, how much ? - I do not think there was much difference; about the fame, as near as I can tell.
    Did you do it on horfeback ?--Some part on horfeback, and fome on foot; where - it was necefflary to alight from my horfe, I went on foot.

    - When you got to the coples, it was neceflary for you to get off your horfe? Yes, in fome places it was neceffary, in lome parts it was not.
    But you could ride through Lord Carnaryon's eftate without geting off your horre much ? - Yes.

    What is about the distance you had to ride in Kingflere ? - We call it fix miles. from our houfe to Kingfclere.

    How far had you to ride from point to point in Kingrclere? - Kinglclere is a parih I know well, therefore I did not go over all parts, becaufe I had valued timber this year, this fpring; I know very well where there were trees fizeable, and I went there.
    Do you know Sedmonton very well? - Yes.
    You knew where to go to there ? - I had a guide there.
    How far had you to ride from point to point in Sedmonton? - Part of Sedmonto parih is down land, where there are no hedges nor any thing elfe.
    [The following Account and Paper were read :]
    (92.)-Account of Ships, Regular and Extra, at prefent (15 June, 1814) in the fervice of The E. I. Company; fecifying the Tonnage, \&c.:
    (93.) -Correfpondence (in March and April 1802) between The E. I. Company and The Ädmiralty; relative to building large Ships for The Company's fervice-

    $$
    -(92 .)-
    $$

    An ACCOUNT of the SHIPS, both Regular and Extra, at prefent in the Service of The Eaft India Company; Specifying the Tonnage of each Ship, the place and date of her building, the Number of Voyages for which the was originally engaged, and whether the has fince been engaged for any, and what additional Voyages: Alfo, an Account of the Number of thofe Ships whofe engagements expire with their prefent Voyage; of the Number of thofe Ships which have one Voyage only to perform of .their exifting Contract, and of the Number of thofe Ships which have two or more of their contract Voyages to perform.

    REGULAR SHIPS.
    

    An Account of the Ships at prefent in the fervice of the Eaft India Company-continued.
    
    

    EXTRA SHIPS
    
    

    Copy of the CORRESPONDENCE between the Eaft India Company and the Firr Lord of the Admiralty, in the Months of March and April 1802, relative to the building of large Ships for the Company's Service, with the Refolutions of the Court of DireCtors thereupon.

    ## AT a Court of DireCtors, held on Tuelday the 30th March 1802:-

    The Chairman having communicated to the Court 2 converfation which had taken place between Lord St. Vincent the Firft Lord of the Admiralty, and himfelf, on the fubjeat of the prefent urgent want of large timber for the ufe of the Royal Navy; -

    Refolved, That the Chairman and Deputy Chairman be defired to take an eatly opportunity of waiting upon His Lordhip, and to exprefs to him the readinefs of this Court to concur with His Lordflip in any plan which His Majefty's Minifters, after due confideration, may deem likely to a fift the Public Service; and that they be defired to receive and to communicate to this Court the wifhes of His Majeft's Minifters upon this important rubjea.

    ## At a Court of Directors, held on Wednefday the 31f March 1802 :-

    On reading a Letter from the Earl of St. Vincent, at the Admiralty, dated this day, addreffed to the Chairman and Deputy, ftating, that from the Reports which have been made by the Navy Board, of the quantity of timber now in fore in His Majefty's Dock Yards, and of the difficulty which is likely to be felt in obtaining the neceflary fupplies, it appears to be highly expedient, that care fhould be taken for preferving all the timber of large dimenfions now in this country for the Fervice of the Navy, and confequently to limit the tonnage of any thips which may in future be buiit for the fervice of the Eaft India company; that he is therefore defirous of being furnilhed with their opinion before he takes any farther ftep in confequence of the Navy Board's reprefentation, of the tonnage to which their fhips may be limited, without material prejudice to the Company's interefts; that he is dirpofed to think, that the burthen of the largeft thips ought not to exceed 800 tons ; alfa ftating, that in His Lordfíp's opinion, it would be highly beneficial to His Majelty's fervice, if the Company would undertake to build a thip of the line and a frigate annually at Bombay, of the teak timber; and if the Chairs fee no objection to the meafure, requefting to be favoured with their fentiments thereon, flating, at the fame time, under what regulations and conditions the plan could be carried into effect.

    Ordered, That the faid Letter be referred to the Committee of Shipping, to examine and report.

    ## At 2 Committee of Shipping, held on Friday the 2d April 1802:-

    A Letter from the Earl of 5 St . Vincent, dated Admiralty, 3 Ift ultimo, and referred by the Court the fame day, being read, ftating, that he thinks the burthet of the largeft of the Company's hips ought not to exceed 800 tons; alfo, that it would be highiy beneficial to His Majefty's fervice, if the Company would build a line of battle fhip and a frigate annually at Bọmbay, of the teak wood; and,
    $D_{\text {raft }}$ of a Letter to the Earl of St. Vincent, being prepared in anfwer thereto; the fame was read and approved, being as follows; viz.
    "My Lord,
    "I, The Court of DireCtors, defirous to manifeft their early attention to the important fub" jea of Your Lorddip's letter, of the 3 Ift ultimo, have immediately taken the fame into "c their confideration, and feel no hefitation in declaring, that as Your Lordhip is fatisfied "that it is effential to the Public Service, they are ready to meet Your Lordhip's wifhes, "" and to refolveg that for the certain term of five years, they will not build any Ship beyond "ء from 800 to 820 tons. They truft this period will be fufficient to afcertain whether any " further reftriaion be neceffary; and that Your Lordhip would, under fuch a determi"s nation on the part of the Eaft India comipany, wait its effect before any other meafure be "s reforted to. It muft be known to Your Lordhip, that only the Eaft India company build " Ihips of above 600 tons; therefore the propofed reftriction of the Court may, it is "f fubmitted, anfwer every purpofe, without agitating the public mind by 2 queftion in " Parliament.
    "With refpect to the propofal for building at Bombay a bip of the line and a frigate "s annually, for the Public Service, we beg to affure Your Lordhip, that the Court of Direc-
    "" tors are now, and will always be, ready to confult with Government on the beft mode of
    "" carrying into effect a meafure which, in the opinion of Your Lordflip, is fo defirable an $"$ objec.
    © We have the honour to be, \&c.
    " CHARLES MILLS.
    "JOHN ROBERTS."

    At a Court of Directors, held on Friday, the 2d April 1802 : -
    Draft of a Letter from the Chairman and Deputy Chairman to the Earl of St. Vincent, ftating, that the Court of Directors, defirous to manifeft their early artention to the important fubject of His Lordhip's letter of the 3 Ift ultimo, have immediately taken the fame into their confideration, and feel no hefitation in declaring that as His LordMip is fatisfied that it is effential to the Public Service, they are ready to meet his wifhes, and to refolve, that, for the certain term of five years, they will not build any Thip beyond from 800 to 820 tons; that they truft this period will be fufficient to afcertain whether any farther refriction be neceffary; and that His Lordfhip would, under fuch a determination on the part of the Eaft India company, wait its effect, before any other meafure be reforted to: And that, with refpect to the propofat for building at Bombay a thip of the line and a frigate annually, for the Public Service, the Court are now, and will at all times be, ready to confult with Government on the beft mode of carrying into effect a meafure which, in the opinion of His Lordahip, is fo defirable an object ; was read and approved.

    Eaft-India Houre, $?$
    J. MORICE.

    15th June 1814. $\}$

    ## XX.

    # Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping. 

    Jovis, $16^{\circ}$ die Junii, 1814.<br>SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONĖT, in The Chair.

    Mr. Gabriel Gillett, Called in; and Examined by Mr. Spaneie.

    Mr. $\quad$ N what part of India did you refide ? - At Calcutta.
    Gabriel Gillet. $\quad$
    In what capacity were you there? - Ship-builder principally.
    How long did you refide there, and when did you return to England ? - I have been home upwards of eighteen years, and I refided there between nine and ten.

    You were acquainted with the fhip-building at Calcutta, of courfe, at the time you refided there? - Yes.

    You are acquainted with the nature of teak-wood? - I am.
    Do you know any wood equal to it for durability and fitnefs for fhip.building? Of the northern part, the Malabar teak I think fuperior to any other kind of timber; there is a great deal of difference in teak.

    How many different fhip-building eftablifhments were there at Calcutta, at the time you left?-I hould think there were not more than three or four.

    How many fhips might be annually built there at that time? - I can tell you nearly the, tonnage I built, during the ten years I was there, I built upwards of 6,000 tons.

    At the time you left it, how were the teak fhips generally fitted out; I believe that was before they were allowed to come to Europe generally ? - Yes.

    Was any part of their outfit, at that time, derived from Great Britain? - Yes, very much fo.

    State generally what articles for the outfit you ufed to receive from Europe? We uled to receive almoft all our iron nails of,different defcriptions, copper nails and copper bolts; we did not at that time ufe many copper bolts, but what we did, came from this country; and a great deal of cordage; almoft all our lower and ftanding rigging was from this country, and a great many fpars for the lighter mafts.

    Thofe articles, particularly iron and copper, are not to be found in India ? - No.
    Did you ever know that the peninfula of India produces copper? - No; I have feen iron of its produce.
    The manufactured iron is fill in a backward ftate? - I believe it is; I never faw any good iron manufactured there.

    You are not probably acquainted with the flate of the canvas manufactory in India? - We were principally fupplied, when I was there, from Eiurope.

    At that time was there any confiderable quantity of tonnage which belonged to Calcutta, of country-built fhips generally? - No, but employed in the country trade ; the numbers I cannot tell without reference; when I came away, there were very few fhips building, and very little trade carrying on; in a year or two afterwards, I know it increafed wonderfully.

    During the time you were at Calcutta, probably you would have an opportunity of making obfervations of the refort of fhipping of various nations? - Yes.

    Were there many Danes, Swedes, and Portuguefe reforting there? - Yes, a great many:

    Was their trade confiderable? - Yes, the Danes in particular.
    The American trade was then only in its infancy? -There were a great many American fmall fhips that ufed to be there at that time.

    Could you ftate what proportion generally, at that ime, the Foreign European trade and the American trade formed of the whole trade to Europe, compared with that of this coufitiry ? No; 1 really cannot form any comparifon.

    Could you eftimate the number of 贝hips or quantity of tonnage generally ? - No, I do not think I could; I believe about the year 1793 or 1794, there could not be lefs than feven or eight fhips under Danih colours, which, I believe, were Britifh property.
    Large fhips? - Six and feven hundred tons fome of them.
    Was it not a matter of notoriety, that a confiderable part of that which was carried on under Danifh and Swedifh colours, was in reality Britifh property ? - Yes, very well undertood.
    That was at a time when what is called the Private Trade had little or no facility ? -It was.
    You are acquainted with the trade from India to England, generally fpeaking, in fome degree? - Yes.
    In your opinion, if the teak-built thips were excluded from the trade between England and India, would thofe foreign nations have opportunities of refuming the trade which they formerly carried on under falfe colours? -I fhould think fo.
    Do you conceive that the trade between England and India has been increared fince the facilities given to the private trade? - I hould conceive it has increafed very materially.
    Mr. Adolphus.] - You have had nothing to do with the Indian trade fince you Ieft India ? - Yes, I have ftill.

    Mr. Spankie.] - Upon the fuppofition of an open trade, which now exits, between England and India, do you think the trade, in gruff goods particularly, could be fo conveniently carried on in Chips fent from England as in country-built fhips? -I hould think not.

    ## [Cro/s-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    At the time you left India, the eftablifhments for building fips had not been long made i - There was very little building done in India before I went there; Colonel Watfon had the firt and a very great eftablifhment there.

    At what time? - Juft before I went out ; that was in the year 1786 .
    In your time the thip-building eftablifhments increafed confiderably? - Very much fo.

    They were then merely for carrying on the country trade? - Yes.
    The eftablifments at Calcutta were inferior to thofe at Bombay in point of extent ? - Yes.

    But the building for the country trade was fufficient to keep the eftablifhments then exiting, going on? - They built very few fhips, before I went to India, at Calcutta ; they built them mofly towards the eaftward.

    But the building for the country trade was fufficient to keep up the eftablifhment? - Yes.

    You fay, you know of no copper or iron being found in the peninfula of India? No, I have heard of iron being found at Ganjam, and I believe at Pegu alfo; but I never faw any of it in a manufactured ftate.

    Having been awray eighteen years, and knowing the induftry and ingenuity of the people of India, you would not be furprifed if the manufactures of iron and copper do become fuch as to enable them to anfwer all purpofes in time, thould you? No, 1 fhould not.

    You would rather expect it fhould be fo than that it fhould not? - With regard to copper, I never heard of its being found in India at all; but I think with Britilh capital and Britifh induftry, the natives there are capable of doing any thing.

    Then if copper can be imported from South America, or any other part adjacent to India, they can manufacture it? - I hould think fo; a part of the manufature of copper, of the metal part, I have feen performed there very well, - the cafting.

    Committec.] - You do not mean theet copper ? - No.
    You mean for the purpofes of utenfils for the natives? - Yes; and braces for ships and guns they have caft there.

    Mr. Adolphus.] - And the fame ingenuity that could do this, could, if properly nimulated, do any thing, could it not? - I think fo.
    (72.)
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    Now

    Mr. Now with refpect to fail-cloth, they poffers abundantly the means of making that ? Gabriel Gilitett. -I undertand they do.

    It has fallen within your obfervation perhaps, that they have the material ?-Yes.
    And you have no doubt that they can proceed to the making of it with perfect correctnefs and facility ? - I know they can, and they do it.
    Hemp and cordage, I fuppofe there is nothing fo myfterious in that; but they can arrive in making it to great perfection? - They could make it while I was there; but it was not fo durable as the cordage that is manufactured in this country.

    But in eighteen years it is probable they have improved, and will go on improving till they att: in perfection? - Oh yes, I know that they do make it, and I have underftood that it is almont equal to our European cordage.

    Gun-carriages, blocks, and all thofe parts of the fhip that belong to a block-maker, they can do; every thirg as well as here? - Yes; I did every thing of that fortmy melf when I was in India.
    With refpect to the foreign veffels, you fay you cannot tell their proportion to the Britifh veffels? - No.
    In 1793 and 1794 a great number of Danes and Swedes, you fay; traded with Britifh capital? - I do not know that fo many Swedes did; there were a great number of Swedes, Danes and other neutrals; they were principally with Britifh capital under the Danifh flag.

    That was at a time when war had recently broken out? - Yes.
    When the French had rather a powerful fleet?-No; the French had no fieet that I know of in India at that time, for I think we only heard of the war about twelve months before I came home.

    But it was at a time when trading under neutral colours was a great advantage to the Britifh people? -They had no other opportunity of trading to Europe but in that way.

    Under thofe colours? - Under thofe colours.
    Committee.] - Why not ? - The Company would not permit it; or they would only permit it with the fipulated tonnage they allowed.

    Mr. Adolphus.]-If under fimilar circumfances, now the trade is thrown open, there fhould be a war again, it would be quite as political to trade under a neutral flag, would it not? -That would depend upon the facility given to trade in this country.

    But the trade of a private merchant would then be advantageoully carried on under a foreign flag, though with his own capital? - Yes, I hould think it would.

    Teak-built thips, we have all undertood and know perfectly well, are much fuperior in duration, and require much lefs repair than Britilh-built thips ? - Yes, there is no queftion about that.

    Therefore if the teak-built thips were admitted to regiftry, and ftood on precifely the fame footing as Britifh thips do in every refpect, is it your opinion that any man would buy an oak fhip who could get a teak one? - Cettainly not; I mean, of courfe, on equal terms.

    Suppofing the cofts to be the fame, or near about the fame ? - Yes.
    Conimittee.] - Would that be the cafe, fuppofe the prime colt of a teak hip to be rather more? - Yes, I fhould think fo; when I feak of a teak thip, I thind fome teak Mhips would be worth double the price of Britifh Mips, I mean a Malabar teak: built hip.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    You have been afked refpecting the manufacture of copper in India, do you fpeak of the manufacture of the ore into copper, or only the fub-manufacture of copper into various trifling articles? - Certainly, that is what I mean, the manufacturing it into different articles for the purpofe of hip-building.
    You never knew of any copper ore imported into India to be fmelted there? No, they ufually import what they call the tile copper ; there I believe they call it the Cadelly copper.
    Do you know of your own knowledge of any copper being imported from any parts of India into Britifh India? - No, I do not.
    Do you know any thing at all of copper being found in the Brazils, or Portuguefe South America?-I think I have heard it, but I do not know that it is fo.

    But in general, fo far as your obfervation extends, the copper ufed in India was exported from Great Britain? - Yes, and fome from Sweden.

    Fou have been anked whether the intereafed number of Danifh fhips you have fpoken of, did not take place in 1793, in confequence of the war; now I afk, whether prior to the war, the fame circumftance did not exift, of numbers of Danifh thips reforting to India? - Yes; I think there were a great number of Danifh and foreign thips principally belonging to themfelves.

    You were afked, in cafe of a war requiring the facility of a neutral thip, the fame thing might not take place; do not you think that if the Britifh merchant in India had not facility to induce him to trade direaly to London, he might be induced again to put hiscapital into a foreign channel ? - Yes, certainly I do.
    : In your time, did you know of any of thofe foreign nations poliefling, or defiring to poffefs, teak-built lhips? - I dare fay they would; if they wanted hips, they would prefer teak; they mult know the value of them, perfons who are in the habit of trading there.

    Committee.]-Have you ever fold teak thips to foreigners? - I have fold thips that have gone under the foreign flags.

    Mr, Adolpbus.] -Do you know whether the foreign nations you have alluded to, Denmark, Sweden, and thofe countries, build Chips confiderably cheaper than they can build them in England ? - I hould think they could.
     to come out and there be fold a great deal cheaper than the Englifh-built fhips could be; Danifh hhips and Swedif.

    Mr. Adolpbus. - - Have you known in many inflances, of their purchafing teak mips at all? - No; I do not know of any inftance of it.

    Are your apprehenfions very ferious, that the countries which have hhip-building eftablifhments of their own, and can build cheap, will be induced to give up thofe eftablifhments to buy teak hhips? - Individuals would not look to that.
    An individual here and there may buy? - Yes.
    But the general trade ftill be conducted in the thips of the country, whatever it may be? - I hould fuppofe fo, certainly.
    At Jaya they can build good fhips? - I do not know twat eftablifhments they have; they have very good materials, far Iuperior to any at Calcutta.
    The Brazils alfo afford good hip-building? -They are very durable fhips; I have feen Brazil fhips.

    So that without recourfe to. India, either in cheapnefs or: durability, the nations of the Continent, which you have alluded to, can find themfelves in Thips? - I hould think fo,
    In thips I mean that will require no recourfe to teak fhips? - Yes.
    The Americans, 1 believe, never fpend much money upon you? - The Americans genierally brought fmall cheap thips of their own; they brought hhips frequently to fell there.

    ## [Examined by the Committce.]

    You have been fome time in this country ? - I have.
    Have you had any fhipping tranfations fince you have been in this country? $I$ have.
    Have you now any fhip? - 1 have.
    Is the in the Company's employ? - She is.
    An India-built hip, or built in this country? - She was built in this country.
    Of what fize is that thip? - She is 955 tons.
    Has fhe undergone any repair in this river? - She has only made one voyage, and therefore the has had no repair.
    Hare you had any experience or knowledge of the expenfe of the repars in this river? - My own former thip was fo far very fortunate that her. repairs were very trifing.
    triding. Do you confider the repairs of flips in this river as very expenfive? -Enormoufly fo, I think, in the Indiamen.
    © Was your former hip a teak thip? - No ; the was built in the river.
    Have you had any bills of repairs or expenfes in this river? - Yes, I have occafionally; we had confignments when I was in bufinefs myfelf; and we had of courfe bills for repairs and ftores.
    Do. you think the expenfivenefs of repairs in this river, is one reafon why flips
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    are not repaired here? - I think it muft take a great many blips out of the river, certainly.
    Do you know upon what footing the private dock-yards, for the building and repairs of fhips in Calcutta, are? - They are private property.
    That you know ? - Certainly.
    You know the kind of tenure by which the proprietors of thofe yards hold them? -Yes, it is freehold ; the place I had in Calcutta was my own, and I fold it, when I came away, to my fucceffors.

    You held it in inheritance, and not as leafehold ? - Yes, I bought it.
    Are the yards where fhips are built and repaired, fituated in that diftrit which is allowed to be held by private perfons in freehold? - Yes, always, I believe; I da not know to the contrary.

    You have faid that the very high charge for repairs in the river here, is very much againft the fhipping that is built for the carrying on of the India trade? - Certainly, in the war time; becaufe the neceffary rife in the material and labour has increafed the price of building and repairs very much.
    Do you think that very high charge which is made for building and repairing them, is likely to drive them elfewhere? An India thip has no power to go ellewhere to be repaired.
    Did you never know an Indiaman go to be repaired at any of the out-ports ? -No, I never underfood that the Company would permit that; they are all repaired, under the infpection of their own furveyors, in the river.

    You are acquainted with the Bengal river? - Yes.
    You were acquainted with the caufe of infurance there, in your time ? - Yes.
    Was it in your time that the river-rifk in Calcutta, formed a feparate fubject of infurance? - Yes, I think it did.

    Amounting to a pretty confiderable premium? - That would depend entirely upon the fealon.

    To the amount of one and a half, or two per cent. ? - I really do not recollect, at this diftance of time; but I fhould think, in a fouth-weft monfoon, it would be one and a half per cent.

    A fhip even of 800 tons would run fome rifk in coming up' the Calcutta river ? Yes.

    Much more a hip of $\mathbf{x , 2 0 0}$ tons? - Yes.
    It would not therefore be very prudent in a man to bring his fhip of 1,200 tons up the Calcutta river, for the mere purpofe of having her repaired, unlefs he found fome great advantage in getting her repaired there, rather than elfewhere? Certainly.

    She muft incur all the river-rilk ? - Yes.
    And of courfe be fubject to that increaled expenfe, of one and half or two per cent. ? - Yes; when Imention that, I of courfe fubject the fhip to the feafons.

    Do you know any thing of the trade of the country ? - Yes, pretty generally.
    At the time you knew India, there were very few Indian fhips employed in the trade between this country and that? - No, there had not been any; they were not permitted to come to this country at all before I came home.

    Do you know whether at that time much of the produce of that country, with a view to our manufactures here, were fent home? - Yes.

    It is meant, what are called gruff goods? - I think there were hardly any gruff goods came home at that time; the high freight would preclude them from fending home gruff goods.

    Confiderable quantities have come home fince? - Yes.
    Do you think, if a free intercourfe of India fhips were permitted, a greater quantity would come home than could come in Englif fhipping ? - I fhould think fo.
    Could it ever anfwer, to fend out Englifh Ships from this country, with a view tobring home gruff goods? - Not without the freights are very much reduced indeed, and the price of flipping.

    The fhips you have built, and thofe generally built in India, were for the country trade? - Yes.

    And fince they have had permifiion to come home, they have occafionally come home? - Yes; the fhips that have been built fince I left, have generally come home.
    They fand the climate very well? - Yes, they do.
    How do Englifh-built hips ftand that climate? - Very badly, Ithink, in general.
    They would not anfwer very well to carry rice to Madras or Bombay, in cafe
    of a famine? - I think molt Englifh-built hhips, with the exception of the river-
    built thipping, would not laft in that country more than three or four years to be fafe.

    That would alfo apply to foreign European-built Chipping? - Yes, to Dutch particularly.

    That may afford fome reafon why foreigners who are much engaged in the India trade fhould prefer to ufe teak chips, may not it? - If they intended them to remain in the country, they no doubt would prefer teak-built hips.

    And fo if they intended them to carry on a trade between India and Europe occafionally, taking advantages of opportunities in the country trade? - Yes.

    Which is the moft prudent ule that eyer a thip-owner engaged in the India trade can make of his fhipping, is not it ? - Yes; he will not let his fhip lie idle, if he has an opportunity of trading, and the feafon will permit it.

    ## Mr. James.Thorn called in; and Examined by Mr. Spanetr.

    WHERE do you now refide? - At Camden Town.
    You were formerly in the employment of Meffrs. Collins, confiderable timber merchants?-I was.

    What was your bufinefs while you were in their employment? - To furvey timber for them.

    Have you alfo furveyed timber for Government? - I have.
    In the courfe of your bufinefs as a furveyor of timber, have you obferved whether the timber of large fize 60 feet metings, or thereabouts, has increafed or decreafed? - Very much decreafed indeed.

    Compared with what it was ten or fifteen years ago, in what proportion has that decreafed? - I do not fuppofe that there is one-third of the 60 feet metings there was fifteen years ago.

    Of what parts of the country do you fpeak, when you fay there is not one-third? -I fhould fuppofe in all parts; in all parts where I have been, I am fure there is not.

    What are the parts where you have been? - The counties of Kent, Suffex, and Hamphire.

    Any others? Mention thofe counties in which you have made furvers. - Effex.
    Any more? - Not where I have done a great deal of bufinefs.
    You have been occafionally in other counties?-I have.
    Have you been in the timber parts of thofe counties? -I have.
    Are you acquainted with the fate of the timber in thofe counties? - Pretty much.

    Have you ever experienced any difficulty in procuring large timber?-I have found a great difficulty in it for the laft feven years.

    And your object was to find it if you could? - Certainly.
    And went out for the exprefs purpofe? - I went out for my employers for the exprefs purpofe.

    In the courfe of your oblervation, did you meet with much hedge-row timber; and can you tell us what the flate of it in thofe counties now is?-There has been a great deal of it grubbed and cut down, both in the counties of Kent and Suffex.

    For what reafon is that timber decreafed, as far as you obferved; has it been from the demand of timber? - No, by the gentlemen farmers grubbing up and cutting down the hedges, and clearing away the timber.

    ## Committee.]-To make the enclofures larger? - To make the enclofures larger.

    Mr. Spankie.]-That hedge-row timber is confidered prejudicial to the wheat land which it adjoins? - It is.
    And has that led to the deftruction of hedge-rows in thofe councies? - Yes, and deftroyed a great deal of young timber, where otherwife it would have been growing up; that is fuppofed generally to be the cafe.
    Have you been in the cuftom of marking trees for being cut down in any of thofe counties? - Yes.
    'Have you ever been employed to mark any of that hedge-row timber recently, and in any quantity? - The hedge-row timber is generally cut down by the gentlemen, and fold after the bark is taken off. I have been in the habit of meafuring it after the timber has been fallen, and put a price on it for fale.

    ## (72.)
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    Can you mention any eftates particularly, in which that has been done? - Major Woodgate has cut a great deal.

    Where does he live? - At Tunbridge.
    Any other? - No, I do not recolled particularly, but many more in that neighbourhood.

    Have you been in Kent recently this year? - Yes', I have valued a great deal of timber in Kent this year, marked, and valued, and fold.
    Are you acquainted with the timber upon the eftate of Mr. Lambert ? - Yes, 1 am.

    Is there much fhip-building timber upon his eftates? - Very little indeed.
    Can you make any general eflimate of what there is? - I Chould fuppofe there are not more than 100 loads.

    Do you know any thing of Mr. Auftens ? - Yes, I do.
    Has he a great quantity of large timber? - Perhaps he may have from 3 to 400 load on his eftate.

    Has Mr. Woodgate a large quantity ? - He has not.
    What quantity may he have? - Perhaps 150 load, as near as I can ftate.
    You fpeak now from having feen thofe eftates not long fince ? - Certainly, I am very well acquainted with them.

    Having feen them often at different times? - Yes.
    Formerly, I believe, thofe gentlemen had large quantities on their eftates? They had.

    In the courfe of your obfervation, and with reference to their eftates as well as others, is there a fucceffion of timber now in progrefs, to replace that which you ftate has been diminihed within the laft ten or fifteen years? - There is a fucceffion that will take place, and make a fucceffion of navy timber in forty, and from that to fifty and fixty years hence; fome of it in forty, fome in fifty, and fome in fixty years.

    Do you think there is, in thofe counties with which you are beft acquainted, any fucceffion to come in for the confumption, till you arrive at that forty, or fifty, or fixty years? - I do not know where it is; timber is cut very clofe in every part I have feen.

    And you have looked at thofe various eftates, in different parts of the country, with the eye of a perfon wanting the timber for the ufe of your employer?Certainly.

    We have heard a great deal of the timber upon the eftates of Tilney Long, near Bafingftoke, Lady Mildmay's at Dogmersfield, Lord Bolton's at Bafing foke, and Sir Thomas Baring's at Eaft Stratton; will you-look at that (banding a paper to the rwitnefs) ; does that contain an account of the quantity of timber there? - Yes.

    Tell us how you furveyed it?-Some part of it 1 furveyed on foot, and fome part I rode over the eftate.
    Did you make the furvey with accuracy, fo that nothing thould efcape your oblervation? - Not quite fo clofe but what I might mifs a few trees.

    But is that very nearly what you conceive there is ? - Very nearly.
    Do you apprehend that thofe quantities fall fhort, or much fhort, of the real quantity on thofe eftates ? - As near the quantity as I can fet it.
    [It was delivered in, and read, as follows:]
    The Navy Timber now flanding and growing on the different under-mentioned eftates in Hants.
    965 Oak trees - quantity, 772 Loads, $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { on Rotherwick eftate of TilneyLong, } \\ \text { near Bafin }\end{array}\right.$ 1,650 Oak trees . - . - 1,320 Loads, $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { near Bafingftoke. } \\ \text { on Sir Henry Mildmay's eftate, Dog- } \\ \text { mersfield. }\end{array}\right.$ 1,450 Oak trees . - . . . 1,160 Loads, on Lord Bolton's eftate, Bafingftoke. 3,300 Oak trees . . 2,640 Loads, $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { on Sir Thomas Baring's eftate, Eafe } \\ \text { Stratton. }\end{array}\right.$
    $\overline{7,365} \quad \overline{5,892}$

    What trees, of what fize, do you include in thefe numbers? -Trees meting forty feet; I took doizn norhing-lefs; I took trees from five-and-twenty feet upwards. And thofe loads are in round meqafure? - Yes

    ## [C'rofs-examinedtby Mr. Adolpbus.]

    Tou fay you were formerly in the employment of Mellrs. Collins? - Yes.
    How long have you left that employ? - Fifteen years.
    Who have you been employed by on thefe furveys? - By many gentlemen.
    Who were you employed by laft to make thefe furveys which you have fpolen of ? -Mr. Lavie.

    Who were you employed by, when you looked with the eye of a perfon meaning to purchafe that which you furveyed?-I have fet a great deal of timber out for Lord Camden, and many other gentlemen; I finihed a furvey for Lord Camden about a month ago.
    Where was that ? - The Wildernefs and the Bayham's eftate.
    What quantity might you find on Lord Camden's eftate? - I have not had a general furvey of it, only been marking out for fale.
    Is there a great deal of timber on his eftate? -There may be 15 or 16,0001 . worth that will do for navy timber, in the Wildernefs ; and upon the Bayham's about 20,00cl. worth.
    Thefe are in Kent ? -They are ; there is a great deal of timber on Tilsey Long's eftate, there ufed to be, but part of it is beech, which makes a large fhow as you ride along the road, and fo in other woods.
    When did you laft furvey any timber, for any timber merchant who propofed to buy it, in either of the counties of Kent, Suffex or Hants?-I am not employed by the timber merchants to furvey for them nor any perfon, I am employed by the gentlemen who fet it out.
    Then you have not, for a confiderable time paft, furveyed any timber for any perfon who intended to purchafe it ?-I have not.

    When was the time, then, that you looked at all this wood, with the eye of a man who meant to furvey its value, for fome perfon who was either to purchafe or fell it? -I have not been employed on any of thefe eflates, to value for marking out, neither Tilney Long's eftate, nor Sir Thomas Mildmay's, nor Lord Bolton's ; I was Sent by Mr. Larie to go through thofe eftates.
    Then you have not beent employed profeffionally to furvey timber for fome time before you were employed by Mr. Lavie ? -I have marked this year $50,000 \%$. worth of timber for different gentlemen.
    In the different counties you have mentioned? -In the counties of Kent, Suffex and Surrey.
    You were very much put to it to find that quantity ? - I was; and half this quancity was not navy timber.
    And very much puzzled to find that quantity of timber in thofe counties? - Yes; if I was ordered I could not find it, nor half the quantity.
    From whom have thofe orders proceeded that you could not find timber for $i$ Different gentlemen.
    Name them.-Major Woodgate, Henry Woodgate, Stephen Woodgate, and Lord Camden.
    Who elfe ? - I do not recollect wha elfe in particular.
    I fuppofe they did not fend you to furvey any body's eftates but their own ? To be fure not.
    And that is all the furveying you have done of late years? - No.
    Within this year? - Yes.
    Then you found upon their eftates the $50,000 \%$ worth of timber you rpeak of ?

    - Not this year.

    When ?-In 18io, I was at Major Woodgate's and found a great quantity; then I was at Lord Camden's laft year, and there I found more than 40,0001 . worth of timber on the eftate; but there was not a quarter of it was navy timber; it was molk part beech and alh.

    Then does your knowledge of thefe counties arife principally from your furveying thefe eftates for thefe noblemen and gentlemen? - I have been on the eftates.
    Have you been on other eftates in Suffex and Kent ? - No, 1 have not.
    Thofe in Hamphire you went to furveg upon Mr. Lavie's retainer? - Yes.
    When did you go? - Laft Saturday.
    The latt Saturday that ever was $i$ - Yes.
    How many days might you employ in the furvey of thefe eftates 8 - Four days
    Did you begin on Saturday, then? - Yesw

    Mr. You got down to them on Friday, then? - No, I got down early on Saturday James Thorn. morning, and went to work.

    You went to work and furveyed thefe eftates on Saturday, Monday, Tuefday, zud Wednerday, I fuppofe ? - Saturday, Sunday, Monday, and Tuefday.

    Somebody fhowed you about the eftates, I dare fay ? - Yes.
    Who fhowed you about? - A labouring man on the eftate fhowed them to me at 'Squire Long's.

    When did you examine that, on Saturday? - On Saturday.
    Who was this labouring man, did you know him? - No, I did not.
    Do you know his name? - No, I do not ; he told me he knew the eftate. And you took his word and went and furveyed the eftate? - He went with me.
    You did not know the eftate, and you touk it as he Ihowed it to you ? - Yes, he fhowed me the bounds of it.

    You do not happen to know his name? - I do not.
    Did you pick him up at a public houfe, or how did you get him? - He was fent for by the landlord to a public houfe.

    And under his direction you viewed Mr. Tilney Long's eftate? -I did.
    I think you have told $\mu \mathrm{s}$, - you did not go fo particularly over it as to fee every tree on it? -No.

    You never fent to Mr. Tilney Long, or his fteward, to alk permiffion to view his eftate? - Mr. Tilney Long was from home, and I afked for the fteward, and he was out, fo that I was obliged to go how I could.

    Who did you afk whether Mr. Tilney Long was at home ? - The publican.
    He told you he was not at home, and he fent for a labouring man, and you and the labouring man fet out to view an eftate, the dimenfions of which you did not know? - Yes.

    Who was the publican, did you know him, what fign did he keep ? - The White Hart.

    Where is the White Hart? - At Hook.
    Near Bafingftoke, I fuppofe? - About five miles on this fide Bafingftoke.
    How long were you furveying this eftate of Mr. Tilney Long's? - Saturday.
    All Saturday ? - Yes.
    Of what extent may the eftate be? - I cannot tell that ; there is a great part of the eftate where there is no timber at all ; I only went to fee for navy timber.

    As your friend the labourer told you? - Yes, he told me he had known the eftate for thirty years.

    Did you furvey any of the adjoining eftates? - No, only juft in that part.
    Did you fee any timber trees growing on lands you did not furvey ? - Not a great deal.

    But fome? - Some; there was a fucceflion of young timber and very good.
    Within your view? - Yes.
    But which you did not take any account of ? - Which I did not take any account of; I took an account only of the navy timber.

    You know nothing but what this labouring man told you, whether it was Mr. Tilney Long's timber or not? - I know nothing of the eftate but what he told me.

    Do you know any thing but what the labouring man told you ? - Certainly not.
    Did you fee Mr. Lavie before you went into Hamphire, or any perfon from him?
    -I faw Mr. Lavie, and he gave me the order.
    What did he tell you, you were to go down to do? - To go down to thefe perfons eftates, to take a furvey of the timber, as near as I could get it ; and to afcertain the quantity of navy timber.

    Did he tell you why you were to do that? - No, he did not.
    Did he fay nothing to you about any body having faid any thing of that timber? No, he did not ; he gave me thefe directions, and I went.

    What fized timber were you to furvey? - Thirty feet and upwards, to make forty feet metings round meafure.

    Was that all that paffed on the occafion? - Yes, it was.
    When did you go to Sir Henry Mildmay's eftate? - On Sunday morning.
    How far is that from Bafingftoke? - About two miles and a half from Mr. Tilney Long's.

    Did the fame labouring man go with you there? - He did.
    And you furveyed in the fame manner on the Sunday as you did on the Saturday?
    -I did.
    With the fame guide to inftruct you? - Yes.

    When did you go to Lord Bolton's eftate? - On Monday.
    With the fame labourer? - No, I went to Lord Bolton's carpenter.
    Who is he?-I do not know his name.
    Where does he live? -He lives juft by the great houfe in the Park.
    How do you know that it was Lord Bolton's carpenter ? - 1 afked for the keeper, of a gentleman that rode throught the Park.

    Who was that gentleman? - He was a ftranger; I afked him whether he could tell me where Lord Bolton's park was.

    Who went with you upon Lord Bolton's effate? - I went by myfelf there ; I only took a furvey of the park on that eflate.

    You faw nothing there but the park ? - Nothing elfe.
    What other woods Lord Botton might have in that neighbourhood, you do not know, then? - I faw fome farms at a diftance off, which appeared to have a parcel of very good timber upon them, but I did not go on them at that time.

    Did you go on them at all? - Not at all.
    Then what more timber Lord Bolton may have, you do not know; you furveyed the park, but whether he has any more timber on his eftate, you do not know? No, I do not know; I did not go about the eftate.

    When did you go to Sir Thomas Baring's? - On Monday, in the evening.
    How far is Sir Thomas Baring's from Lord Bolton's? - It it about fourteen miles.
    Did you go on horfeback or on foot over all thefe eftates?-On horfeback, and fometimes on foor.

    Who fhowed you Sir Thomas Baring's eftate? - Evans, a carpenter.
    Where does he live? - On the eftate.
    He fhowed you the effate that evening? - No, the next day, in the morning.
    Of what extent is the eftate of Sir Thomas Baring ? - I do not know.
    About what?-I cannot fay; I never afked the queftion.
    How long were you there? -I finifhed it on Tuelday night; I did not go to every place where the timber was, only to the park, and one wood, where there was fome navy timber; it is, as near as I can calculate it, about 3,300 trees.

    What more there was you cannot tell ? - I cannot.
    Did it happen to fall from any of thefe perfons that Sir Thomas Baring's eftate covers two parihes? - No, it did not.
    But you looked at what was fhown you? - Yes.
    You went to all thefe four places and looked at what people thowed you? - Yes, 1 did.

    You have fpoken of Major Woodgate's eftate, which you furveyed in Kent ? Yes.
    What acquaintance have you with Mr. Lambert's eftate? - Only from going through the eftate,
    Do you mean to take a furvey of it ? - Not to take a furvey of it ; I have known the eftate thefe thirty years.
    But have taken no other than a common view of it, not having any intereft for any purchafer, nor any motive? - Not at all.
    You yourfelf do not deal in timber, but you only furvey it when the job is given to you ? - I furvey timber wherever I am employed.
    But you do not deal in timber? -I do not.
    Therefore if you had no job on his eftate, you looked at it merely for curiofity? I have worked on it many times as a carpenter.
    How lately ? - About twenty years 2 go .
    Upon what do you found the obfervation, that hedge-row timber is very much grubbed up; in what particular place is that? - In a great many counties; in Kent and Sulfex more than any others.
    I underftood you to fay that this hedge-row timber had been deftroyed where the hedges themfelves had been deftroyed, of which they formed a part? - To be fure.
    That is, when they thought it convenient to lay two fields into one, the hedge-row timber went away with the reft of the feparation?-It did.

    Do you know of that practice prevailing in any other inftances, except where the fields were laid together in that way?-No, except in wood land, where they have grubbed the woods.
    Do you not knorr, on the contrary, that every encouragement is given to the growth of hedge-row timber, at this time, in the country? - No, I do not think every encouragement is given by far.

    Mr. Do you think any encouragement is given ? - It is.
    What is that little that you do know, where is it? - As much as any where, upon Lord Camden's eftate, the timber is encouraged.

    That is in Kent? - Yes, it is.
    You know nothing of premiums being given to perfons to preferve the young trees in the hedge-rows?- No, I do not.
    You would think that very good policy though, fhould not you, in any proprietor of an eftate? - Yes, I fhould fuppofe it was, certainly; I have no doubt of it; it is a very good plan:

    I do not underftand you to fay, that you know the counties of Kent and Suffex, in general?-No, not in general.

    Only thofe particular eftates which you have feen? - Yes.
    Namely, the eftates of the Woodgate family and Lord Camden ? - Yes.
    Do you know much of Mr. Auften's eftate? - Not a great deal ; not fo much as I do of the others.

    Of the many other noblemen and gentlemen who have eftates in the counties of Kent and Suffex, you know nothing? - Not a great deal ; not enough to anfwer any thing about them.

    Do you know, for example, that the Duke of Dorfet has a confiderable quantity of timber in Kent? -- Yes, he has a confiderable quantity, but not a great deal of navy timber.

    You have not furveyed his eftate? - No, I have not; but I have feen his timber, in going through the eftate and paffing it.

    How long ago is it fince you faw it at all ? - 1 have been through the park three or four times this fummer.

    But he has an eftate beyond his park, has not he? - Yes.
    Have you been through his woods this fummer ! - No.
    Have you been through them lately? - No.
    How long ago? - Not thefe feven years.
    Do you know any thing of the great wood near Canterbury? - No, I do not.
    Then your knowledge of the county of Suffex is not fo great as your knowledge of the county of Kent, I I apprehend? - It is not.

    And when you fpeak of large-fized navy timber being diminikhed by two-thirds, do you mean to extend that obfervation further than as to thofe eftates where you have furveyed it, and cauled it to be cut? - Yes, I do; I think that is the cafe in many other eftates.

    - What means have you, if you have not furveyed or interefted yourfelf about thofe other eftates, of forming that opinion? - From riding through them, and paffing them.

    Of how many eftates do you mean to make that oblervation? - There is Sir Godfrey Webfter's at Battle; I know part of that eftate, but no further than by going through it.

    Whofe elfe?: - There is an eftate at Weftfield in Suffex.
    Whofe eftate is that? - I do not recollect who it belongs to; I am fure there is not a third of the timber there was ten years ago, nor any thing like it.

    You mean in thefe eftates? - Yes. :
    Do you know that which you have oblerved, of any other eftates befides thefe two? Mo No, not exactly':

    Is your knowledge no more extenfive with refpet to the fucceffion of timber, than it is with refpect to the cutting of timber? - I know that there is a very great fucceffion of young timber on every eftate in Kent and Suffex that I know of.

    But your knowledge, I think you fay, is confined to thofe eftates you have mentioned? - I do not fuppoife there are 'nany more eftates with timber upon them, fit for the navy.

    And you know of a fucceffion of timber on thofe eftates you are acquainted with?-Yes, I do; it is very confiderable; it is a good fucceffion.
    Is that in hedge-rows as well as copfes and woods? - Yes, in coples and woods, and in hedge-rows, where the hedges are not grabbed.

    What time do you take to furvey goo trees; how many hours did that coft you on Saturday? They did not tand a great difance apart. How long did it take you? - About eight or nine hours.
    That is about a hundred an hour, when they lie conveniently ? - Yes.

    Does that enable you to fee the fize, of them? - Yes, you can get a fight of them fufficient to tell you what they are.

    A fight of them in that way, enables you to tell what they are?- As to their being navy timber at all.

    As to their contents, and fo on? - Yes.
    Then you do form an accurate judgment of them, palfing them at the rate of a hundred in an hour, at a convenient diftance from each other? - I have done it feveral times before.

    I do not fuppofe you can tell within a thoufand pounds what they are worth in that way? - No, I fuppofe not.
    But as to their dimenfions, and what they include, that you can tell very well in that way? - Yes,

    > [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    Had you ever been in the neighbourhood of Mr. Tilney Long's houfe in Hamphire before? -No.
    And you alked for a perfon capable of thewing you the bounds of that eftate? $I$ did.

    In going over that eftate, did you fee any other timber near it, or what might have formed part of it, that you did not take into your account? - Oh yes, I did; but it appeared to me to be very fmall timber.

    And as far as this perfon told you the bounds of the Tilney eftate, you took all you found within it? - I went with this perfon, who knew the eftate, and where the larget of the timber was.

    Do you include in your account of thofe quantities, any timber that gentlemen confider ornamental timber? - No; there was none upon that eftate that I could fee.

    Not upon the Tilney eftate? - No; there was about forty trees in the park, which is included.
    And that would be confidered ornamental timber, I fuppofe? - Yes.
    On Lord Bolton's eftate, you fay you lonked chiefly in the park ? - Yes, I did.
    I fuppofe the trees you found there were chiefly ormamental? - A great number of them.
    But did you not exclude them from the return on that account? - No, I did not.
    Were you previoully acquainted with the neighbourhood of that place where Lord Bolton's houfe is? - No.
    You fay you did not make fuch an accurate furvey of the wood, difinet from the park? - No, I did not.
    Did you fee, from a general view round, much navy timber in the neighbourhood of that park ? - No, not befides where I was.

    But in any other place very adjacent? - No, I did not.
    Can you tell us what proportion of that (Lord Bolton's) eftate might be confidered ornamental timber? - I fhould think two hundred, or three hundred trees perhaps.
    Of that quantity ? - Yes.
    Is that at all a fort of timber which a timber merchant could expect to get for the fupply of his purpofes; is it a fort of timber, gentlemen would be likely to cut? -I think it is very likely they would; I do not know what they would do; we cannot force them to it.

    Is Lord Bolton, or any other gentleman, likely to cut down his ornamental timber? - I hould fuppofe not.

    Sir Thonas Baring's you fay you went to on the Monday evening, and fpent all the Tuefday in furveying his property? - Yes.
    And Evans the carpenter went with you? - Yes, he did.
    He was as likely to know where the timber ftood as any body? - He knew where all the good timber ftood on the eftate.

    Did you defire to fee all the good timber? - I wifhed to fee all I could of it in that time, which I did to the beft of the carpenter's knowledge.
    He knew very well where the timber flood? - He told me he knew where the beft timber all food, and there we went; where the young timber was, it was no ufe to go.

    There was fome perfon fhowed you Lord Bolton's eftate? - Tes.
    There is Sir Henry Mildmay's eftate at Dogmersfield, who Mowed you that ? The labouring man that went with me from the public houfe.

    Mr.

    Did you view all the navy timber on that eftate as you were thewn it? - Yes, all round.
    Did you include in that any proportion of ornamental timber? - Yes ; I fhould think 500 trees.

    You have been afked, whether you have furveyed any other eftates in the counties of Kent and Suffex than thofe of the Woodgates and Lord Camden's; have not you lived and been a good deal in the county of Kent? - Yes, I have.
    Have not you had occafion to go to various parts of that county and other counties, for the very purpofe of looking for timber? - Yes; I have been in many parts of the counties of Kent and Suffex.
    Then on thofe occafions, your attention was directed to the examination of timber? - Yes.
    Then befides the particular knowledge you have of thofe eftates, you have a general knowledge, from your acquaintance with the county, of what timber it contains? - Of many parts I have, but not to take fo particular notice of it.
    Then you mean to diftinguifh between the particular knowledge you have of fome eftates, and the general knowledge you have obtained in going through the counties on the bufinefs of timber? - Yes.
    When you were employed to value timber for the proprietor of it, that was in cales where the proprietor was defirous to fell naval timber, if he had it? - Not fo much as he could wifh.

    His objed was to find as much navy timber on his eftate as he could? - As much as he wanted to cut that year; and I have been troubled to find it.

    Major Woodgate you fay told you to mark as much naval timber as you could? Yes.

    And of courfe therefore you furveyed his eftate with attention to that direction, to find as much as you could? - Yes, I found as much as I could.

    You mentioned that the hedge-rows were deftroyed chiefly in thofe places where two fields might perhaps be laid into one, and where it was grubbed up? - Yes.

    You have known that frequently occur, have you? - Yes, I have.
    Do you know any places where wood-land has been grubbed up, and converted into wheat-land? - Yes.

    Has that taken place in a confiderable degree? - Not very.

    - Mr. Adolpbus.]-To what extent have you known wood-land converted into tillage in the counties you have known; do you think you have known fifty acres? - More than fifty or fixty acres.

    About fifty or fixty? - Yes, on Major Woodgate's eftate.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    You have faid that you were fent for by Mr. Lavie, and waited upon him and received his inffructions to go and overlook the eftates of four gentlemen in Hamphire? - Yes.

    Did you know before you went to Mr. Lavie's houfe, or wherever elle you met him, the bufinefs upon which he fent for you - No.

    You knew nothing of it till you came there? - I knew nothing of it till he gave me a fummons to appear at this houle; he gave me a fummons to appear here laft Wednefday, and that was before I heard of it.

    Did you receive thofe inftructions before you received the fummons? - No.
    Afterwards? - Yes.
    Did you attend here in obedience to that fummons?-I attended here on Thurfday.

    You came on Thurfday; having been fummoned on Wednefday? - Yes.
    When you came here did you meet Mr. Lavie? - Yes, I faw him in the houfe.
    What houfe? - I faw him in the Coffee-room, up above.
    What paffed between you and him then? - Nothing in particular, that day.
    Did you know him before you met him then? - I never knew him till then.
    What paffed between you and him then? - Nothing paffed till about eleven or twelve o'clock.

    What pafted then? - Nothing particular.
    Then you merely made acquaintance with him that day ? - Afterwards, and he afked me if my name was not Thorn, and I told him yes, it was, and he faid then, in anfwer to that, he was very glad to fee me.

    Did he defire you to wait upon him' at his houfe on any future day?-No, not Mr. James Thorn. to my recollection.
    Then how came you to go to his houfe ?-I have not been to his houfe.
    Then where did you receive the inftruetions from him?-In the coffee-room.
    On the Thurlday?-On the Friday I received them.
    Then you attended again on the Friday, having attended on the Thurlday? -Yes; on Thurlday I was not called, and on Friday I attended again; and on Friday night
    I received thofe inftructions
    At what time of night?-Four o'clock in the afternoon.
    What did Mr. Lavie fay to you when he firt inet you in the coffee-room on Friday
    at four o'clock? I do not recollect what paffed on Friday, not till the afternoon.
    Did you fee Mr. Lavie before four o'clock on Friday ?-Yes, I did.
    Where? -Up at the door paffing in and out of this room.
    Did you fpeak to him ?-I made my obedience to him.
    Did he fpeak to you?-I do not recollect; I received thofe inflructions from him about four o'clock, to go down to Hamphire.

    When he came to you between three and four o'clock on Friday, what did he fay to you?-He afked me if I would like to go down to Hamphire, and I faid, yes, if he would wifh me.

    That was the firft thing he faid to you ?-I do not recollect what paffed before that, on Friday.

    What did he firt fay to you between three and four o'clock on Friday ?-He afked me, if I would like to go down to Hamplhire, and I faid, yes.

    Was that the firft thing he faid to you, when he came to you between three and four o'clock on Friday?-Yes, up in the coffee-room.

    That was the firt he faid to you? -Yes.
    What anfwer did you make? I told him, I had no objection to go, if he wifhed me.

    What did he fay next to you?-He gave me an order to go.
    In what terms?-He gave me the names of the eftates, and where I fhould find them, on a piece of paper.

    When did he tell you to fet out?-On Friday night or Saturday morning; and I took the five o'clock coach on Saturday morning.
    . Did he fay any thing further to you, after you had accepted the employment? I do not recolled any thing elfe.

    So that that was the whole which paffed between you?-That was the whole.
    Did he tell ycu nothing as to whom you fhould apply to when you got to thofe places ? - No; he never made ufe of any perfon's name.

    You were fent to feek out the eftates and make a furvey of them, in the beft way you could, as to the quantity of timber growing on them?-Yes.

    Then you faw Mr. Lavie no more before your departure to thofe eftates?-No.
    Had you any converfation with any other perfon, between feeing him then and taking your deparsure ?-No; not on that bufinefs.

    Did you know any thing of that country? - I was by there a twelvemonth ago.
    Then it was not seceflary you hould make much inquiry before you fet out ?No.

    You made no inquiry as to whether it was by permifion of the owners or their agents, that your bufinefs was to be performed?-1 did not; I enquired for the owners or their agents, and they were not at home.

    Had you any knowledge of the object for which you nere to make this furvey of timber?-I had not.
    But you were degred to fee what trees there were upon thofe eflates, that were of forty feet metings? - Yes.
    Is it not very eafy at a very confiderable diffance, without knowing what a tree may contain accurately, to fee whether it contains more than forty feer?-I cannot fee it fome diftance at all.

    At the diftance of one hundred yards?-If the ground is clear and open, we can: fee whether it is fit for naval purpofes or not.

    When you came to thefe eftates, the parts you looked at were thofe which cond tained the large timber? -They were.
    . Were you led to look at thofe parts by being told that thofe were the parts only vibich contained large timber?-Yes.

    When you fee a wood at a confiderable diftance, you cannot tell whether it cond fifts of large timber or not? - Not without going clofe to it.
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    Then

    Ar. James Thorn. Then in merely riding through a country, and feeing woods at fome diftance to your right and to your left, you could not judge of the timber they contained ?-Not without being clofer to it.

    You could not tell whether it was naval timber or not ?--No, I could not if there was underwood.

    You were alked wherher you had examined the whole of Sir Thomas Baring's eftate, and you faid you had not ?-No.

    But you went to particular parts of it ?-I went to the park.
    Was that the part you were told contained naval timber?-Yes.
    Did you underftand when you made inquiries, that the other woods contained fmall timber ?-Yes, and there is a very good fucceffion of fmall timber on the other. parts.

    You have fpoken before of a fucceffion of timber, what do you mean by a fucceffion of timber ?-A great number of trees from four to fix and ten feet, and from that to twenty.

    How long will it be before they are fit for naval purpofes?-Some of thofe that are about twenty feet will not be above fifteen years, and thofe that are ten feet will be thirty, and the other fmall ones from forty to fixty years.

    When you give the eftimate of this number of loads of timber on thofe eftates, you fpeak to the quantity and fize, without any reference to their quality?-Their quality is fit for navy timber.

    You cannot tell their quantity till they are cut?-Many of them may be decayed, but I do not think there were many; they appeared to me to be very found in. general.
    Whatever is decayed is a deduction from the quantity?-Of courfe; but I think there was very little, it appeared to be very found timber.

    ## Mr. Ifaac Sparrow, Called in; and Examined by Mr. Grant.

    Mr. I believe you are agent to Meffrs. Barnard and Company ?-I am.
    Ifaac Sparrow.
    You were called on the other fide, and have been examined before in that capacity ? - Yes, I have.

    Can you flate what was the price of timber of 60 -feet metings about a month ago; fay, about the gth of May ?-I fhould think about 81. 5s. a load; from 81. to 81.5 .

    Do you mean to fay, that that was about a fair market price at that period ?-Yes. The price in London?-Delivered in London.
    I need fcarcely afk you then, whether timber, of 60 -feet metings was ordinarily to be bought at that time at the price of 7 l .10 s . per load?-If it was marketable timber, I think it could not.

    In the evidence, page $235 . \mathrm{Mr}$. Chriftopher Richardfon flated the price of timber of 60 -feet metings at that time to have been 7 l. 10s. $;$ and that he had timber in confiderable quantity, which he would be glad to fell at that rate; have you made any offer to Mr. Richardfon for the purchafe of timber fince the gth of May ?-No; I have had no converfation with Mr. Richardion whatever fince.

    Should you have purchafed marketable timber of 6o-feet metings, at that time, at the price of 7 l. 10s. per load? Yes, I hould.

    Should you confider that an extraordinary bargain ? -A very good bargain; I fuppofe I could have got ros, a load by it.

    Have you advifed any perfon to purchafe Mr. Richardfon's timber at that price? - No.

    Recollect yourfelf?-I have had converfation about it ; and I might have mentioned that I was inclinable myfelf to purchafe it ; I believe I had fome converfation with Mr. Morris, and told him I was inclined to do it, provided it would not interfere with his concern as a matter of fpeculation.

    Did you know of any timber of 60 -feet metings, at that time, at a price at a approaching to that?-No.

    Have you been in Berkfhire fince?-Yes, I have.
    Have you made any purchafes of timber there ?-I have.
    Committee.]-Since you were examined here?-Yes; I fàted in my laft examination that 1 had 800 loads of timber offered to me in the neighbourhood of New. bury, by Mr. Smith; fince that I have purchafed it; it was. 1100 loads inftead of ${ }^{*}$ 800.

    Of what metings was it ? -76 .feet; but it was purchafed in the way that we gene. tally purchafe 60 -feet metings.

    Will not that rate of price come to more than $7 l .10$. per load, at 60 -feet metings, in London?-A great deal more, certainly; we always purchafe to be delivered in London.

    I mean to afk whether paying the price that you fate for the timber which you purchafed, would not come to much more than paying the price of 7 l. ros. for timber of fixty-feet metings, even taking into account that you purchafe one in Berkfhire and the other in London ?-It is always purchafed as the fame thing; becaufe they deliver it in London at the price we agree for.

    Committee.]-You fay five per cent. Iower than the price of laft year?-Yes,
    What was the price of laft year?-Eight pounds ten かhillings.
    That makes it about 81. 25. for fixty-feet metings?-Yes, it does.
    Are you able to ftate, of your own knowledge, whether Mr. Richardfon had any quantity of timber fixty-feet metings or upwards on the gth of May?-No; I do not know any of his concerns.

    How came you not to apply to Mr. Richardfon, when you heard he had timber to difpofe of at $\overline{y l}$. 10 s .?-I wrote a letter to Mr. Morris from Newbury, fating I had an inclination to purchafe of Mr. Richardfon if it did not interfere with his concern; fince that I have never had any converfation with Mr. Morris, or any body elfe.

    Had you no anfwer to your letter? - No.
    You did not think it worth while to fay any more about it?-No; for I made pur* chafes ellewhere.

    Mr. Grant.]-Can you ftate generally whereabouts was the market price per load for 140 -feet metings about two years ago?-I fhould think it was from about rol. to 101. 5\%. per load for marketable timber; good timber in that year was 91. a load for fixty-feet metings.

    Committce.]-Then you add the fixpences?-It is not confidered when it gets to to large a fize, to advance fo much as fixpence a foot.

    Then your rule of fixpence a foot only goes to one hundred feet?-Yes; then it reduces.

    Is there any rule of reduction fixed ?- Yes, three-pence, otherwife timber of a large fize would be at a very great price.

    ## [Crcfs-examined by Mr. Adolphus.]

    Did you at that time buy any timber of 140 -feet metings?-No.
    Did you ever buy timber of 140 -feet metings at all?-Our metings do not exceed fixty or feventy feet.

    Then what you fay about 140 -feet metings is all guefs?-That is about the price, but it is hardly ever fold at thofe metings.

    A tree of that meafurement would be fold feparately, and make the bargain as you could without taking the metings?-Yes.
    Your expreflion is the tree is fold at?-Yes.
    Not fo much per foot, but the tree is fold at fo much?-No, the meafure is af. certained.

    How long bave you known Mr. Chriftopher Richardfon who was examined here? -Foe five-and-twenty or thirty years.

    Is he competent to form a judgment of the price of timber; has he had experience of the bufinefs. fufficient to enable him to form a judgment? ?-He has been a confiderable number of years in that bufinefs; I do not know that he has been fo long in the Englifh oak-rrade as the foreign timber; but. 1 undertand he has eftates of his own, and is a dealer in timber, that is as far as 1 know. .

    If he told you he had timber to fell at fixty-feet metings at 71.1 10r. Should you believe him ?-I never heard any thing but that he was a refpectable man; I always: found him the deareft man I ever had any thing to do with.

    Did you always find him a man of his word in all his dealings? - Tes, he is a man of credit, certainly; I have been at his yard repeatedly; but his price was fo high I did nöt purchafe.
    $M_{r} \quad$ Committee.]-And you did not think it worth while to go to his yard, to enquire er at was no call for timber, or very little.
    AMr. Adolphus.]-Did you find any difficulty in purchafing at five per cent. lower than you purchafed laft year?-Yes, I did.
    Why you purchafed eleven hundred loads at that price?-Yes, I did.
    Did you try to purchare any more at that price? -There was none in that country that was in a flate to be feen to agree for.
    Or elfe you would have purchafed more? -I do not know that I thould have purchafed more at that price, having fecured a quantity.
    You would have expected a further reduction?-Yes, I hould.
    Have you offered to purchafe any more at five per cent. lefis than you purchafed laft year fince laft May?-No.
    Where was it to be delivered $?$-In London; it was to come to Newbury wharf, and to be hipped there and come to London; and if we cannot take it into our yard, the freight is to be deducted.
    Committee.]-At the prices you have named, it is to be delivered to you free of expence in London?-Free of expence to Mr. Barnard's yard at Deptford.
    Mr. Adolpbus.]-But after all, it was five per cent. cheaper than you purchafed laft year?-Yes. Ihat was the price.
    Was not this lot that you bought in Berkfhire a remarkable fine lot of timber? As fine a lot of timber as I ever faw in my life; I never faw a finer.
    XVas it not deferving of a preferable price upon the whole, to what general timber of fixty-feet metings is entitled?-Certainly, there being no offal or fmall timher among it.

    Then if you purchafe fuch timber as that at five per cent. lef's than you purchafed it for laft year, fhould not you have expected a larger deduction upon ordinary timber of fixty-feet metings? - Yes, I think I hould.

    Now what per cent. Should you have expected to be deducted upon ordinary timber of fixty-feet metings?-I do nat expent I could purchafe timber under 81. a load at fixty-feet metings.

    Did you ever fee this timber Mr. Richardfon had to fell?-No, I never did; I never bad any converfation about it, nor heard what the quantity was.
    Had you deals at that time for any confiderable quantity of fixty-fect metings?No; we have not purchafed any timber fince the laft fall, till I purchafed thefe laft $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r} 00 \mathrm{load}$.

    Committee.]-This is the ufual time of year about which you do purchafe?Yes.

    - Mr. Adolfhus.'-Have you made any particular oblervation with refpect to the ftate of the market for timber of fixty-feet metings, about the gth of May?-No, only as to this load I bought.
    Committee.]-Had you not concluded your bargain for thefe 1,100 loads, at the time you faw Mr. Richardfon's evidence ?-No; I had been to look at the timber, but I had not purchafed it.

    And notwithftanding what you did fee upon that evidence, you concluded it afterwards?.-Yes, I concluded it afterwards; if Mr. Morris had thought proper to appwer my letter, it is very likely I might. have attended to Mr. Richardfon.
    Mr. Adolphus.]-Do you think the lot of 1,100 load you bought, dearer or cheaper than ordinary fixty-feet metings would be at 7l. ros. per load?-71. 10s. and 81. 2 s . is a great difference; this is a fuperior lot of timber, and is worth more than the ordinary defrription of fixty feet metings.
    Do yon think your lot would be dearer, or cheaperthan ordinary timber of fixty. feet metings, at 7 l. ros. a load?-1 hould confider it would be rather dearer.
    Do you think that timber which you bought a lot of, peculiarly fine timber?-It is as gine a load of cimber, take it altogether, as poffibly can be; it is found convertible timber for building large thips.
    Cormittee.]-Is it a lot for which you pould havegiven more than what you confrdèred' a fair market price i-I would advance from half-a-crown to five fhillings 2 load.
    : Beyond what you confider the market price ? - Yes.

    In faying that, do you confider you have given beyond the market price? - Yes, I confider the 81.25 , as beyond the market price.

    Mr. Adolpbus.] - Is it not common for lots of timber to vary in price; may there not be fome 5s. or 10s. a load cheaper than others? - Taking the lots altogether, I have very feldom found that.

    ## Examined by the Committee.

    Was this lot bought for Mr. Barnard ? - Yes, it was.
    In what profpect was this quantity of wood bought? - It was bought entirely upon fpeculation, to keep a connection which we have had for fome years, which generally fupplies us with a large quantity of large timber, and for that reafon we purchafed it, in fact as we did laft year.

    How much was this quantity lefs than you purchafed laft year? - About 200 loads, as near as I can flate it.

    And this you bought in the profpect of having no building going on ? - Yes, for the purpofe of having the materials by us; if any thing comes, it will be ready by us to ufe.

    > Veneris, $17^{\circ}$ die Junii 1814.
    > The Right Honourable THOMAS WALLACE, in The Chair.

    ## Mr. Henry Fermor, again Called in; and Crofs-examined by Mr. Adolphus.

    WHEN you left off the day before yefterday, Mr. Harrifon was inquiring about Sedmonton parif, as one of the places which had gone under your inveftigation? - Yes.

    You had a guide to Sedmonton, $I$ apprehend ? - Yes, $I$ had.
    What paper is that you have in your band $?$ - A copy of the furvey I took.
    Have you in your pocket the original paper on. which you took the notes? - Yes.
    Then refref your meroory from that, if you pleafe?-I will; but that paper is not caft up.

    The figures there, are the fame as on the original paper, but you have caft them up fince? - I took down every parih feparate, and thefe are put together.

    When you pur down every parih feparately, you put the number of trees in that parifh, and their fize, and afterwards for the accommodation of this caufe, puting tyo or three parihes together, you have added the amount of all the parilhes together, and not as they are in the book? - Yes.

    In Sedmonton parih you examined the eftate of Sir Robert Kingfmill, I fee? - Yes.

    Who was the guide that took you there? - A guide I found on the place, a man who was grubbinㅇ, a labouring man; he thewed me the eftate.

    Had you any previous acquaintance with him, did you know the man? - No; I did not know him; I paid him for his time, I gave him four hillings for his time.
    How long were you at Sedmonton? - I was a day there, and at Echenfiwell.
    Does the timber lie very wide there, or pretty clofe together? - Not very wide; it liss near together, the greateft part of the large timber-

    How many trecs were in Echenfwell and Sedmonton? - Nine hundred.
    How fant do you furvey trecs, fo as to take an eftimate of what they are? - I can do an hundred in an hour, and more than that.

    And you are quite fure, that in that time you know how to eflimate their quantities perfecaly well? - Yes, according to their metings; of courfe I have not the fingle meafurement of each tree.
    You came to a precifion of two hundred load of forty feet? - I go from twentyfive upwards, and confider what metings they mete at, and it makes fo many load and fo many feet.
    That is to fay then, you take the number of the trees when you are in the wood, clafs them there, and come home and make fome calculation upon it? - No, I confider what the metings are, and put it down.

    Mr. Henry Fermor.

    Mfr. Tou wrote that book you have before you upon the fpot? - Yes.
    And that at the rate of nine hundred trees a day? - And fometimes more than that, I fuppofe.

    Who guided you at Aldermafton? - Leadbeater.
    Did he conduat you to both Aldermafton and Wafing? - Yes, he did.
    Who was Leadbeater? - He is a timber hewer; he lives at Mortimer, and I gothim to meet me there.

    Without any permiffion from any perfon belonging to the eftate, I take for granted? - No, I did not afk any leave; he afked the farmers, and they gave him leave.

    He alked the tenants? - Yes.
    Is there any perfor who has the care of thofe eftates, as owner or fteward, and living upon them? - There are ftewards.
    But you never thought it neeeflary to apply to them, but took your friend the timber hewer, to go round and fee what you could fee?-I did not know it was neceffary to alk any leave; I did not know it; I took care not to do any damage.

    Committee.]-Did you do more damage than if you were taking a walk over the eftate? - Not at all. I explained to the farmers and perfons about the eftates, and they faid I was very welcome; the farmers are the principal people to afk leave of to go over their lands, and I aiked them, and they faid I was very welcome to go any where.

    Mr. Adolphus.] - And that applies to the gentlemen's parks, and other places you went into ? - I did not afk any leave to go into a park; there are generally roads through the park, and 1 went as I might at any other time.
    But to all thofe places you knew there were proprietors and ftewards? - Ycs, certainly.

    Why did you avoid afking them for leave to go over the eftates? - I did not wifh to avoid it; if I had feen them, I hould have anked them as well as any body elfe.
    Then what reafon had you for not doing it? - Becaufe I did not happen to fee them firft; the firft I faw I akked.
    Did you call at the houfes to fee them ? - Not at the gentlemen's houfes.
    Did you call on any of the fewards? - Yes, I called on Mr. Montagu's fteward, becaufe there was a little inclofed part I could not go into without leave.
    In what parifh was thar? - At Sandleford I got leave from him, and he went with me himfelf.
    Where was his eftate? - In the parifh of Newbury.
    At Mr. Congreve's eftate, whom had you to guide you? - Leadbeater.
    And at Wafing, the fame perfon at Mr. Mount's? - Yes, the fame perfon, they join together nėarly.

    How far did this take you from Mr. Leadbeater's place of abode? -It adjoins it. He was in the habit of managing the timber on all thefe eftates for many years: He was a timber hewer, and knew every tree.
    He is a labourer, is not he? - Yes, a hewer.
    You do not call that managing an eftate? - No.
    Who took you to Englefield? - Nobody at all.
    You knew that eftate perfealy well yourfelf? - Yes, very well.
    And at Beenham, who took you there? - I had a farmer, who went round with me there.

    Who was he? - He was Mr. Hartley's old fteward, I think, two or three years 2go.

    Where does he live? -In Bucklebury parih.
    Cannot you remember his name? - Jofnfon.
    Who took you to Bradfield ? - The fame man.
    Mr. Johnfon ? - Yes.
    And who to Bucklebury? -I did not want anybody, I went by myfelf.
    And Donnington the fame? - Yes.
    And who took ypui to Lord Selfey's at Crookham? - Nobody at all.
    You:did not apply for anybody? - No.
    Whio took you to Lord Falmouth's at Brinton? - Nobody took me there.
    Who took you to Wóodfpean, Mr.'Watts? - Nobody,
    Who took you to Ham ? - Ham is Mr:Watts's.
    Who took you to Wolverton? - A labourer, a grubber.
    Who was he?-I do not know his name:

    Who went with you to Ewhurf ? - A perfon who lives near there went with me, a man who lives at the New Inn.

    Mr.
    Henry Fermor.

    What is he? - A wheelwright.
    And keeps a public houfe? - Yes.
    You went to Hampltead and Eaft Woodhay yourfelf? - Yes ; and where I did not know the place myfelf, I aiked fomebody to thew me.

    In your furvey of thefe eftates, I think you fay, after you had gone over them, you added timber for fome you might not have feen? - Yes, I put down fome of the fame metings as the others.

    For thofe which you thought you might have miffed ? - Yes, as I was defired to make it as much as there really was, therefore I added, fearing I might not have got at fome of it.

    Who defired that ? - The letter which Mr. Lavie fent.
    In writing, or verbally ? - The laft account was verbally, while I was here.
    Who had defired you to do fo, at the time you made the furvey? - Mr. Lavie.
    Was that by letter, or perfonally ? - By letter.
    Have you that letter? - I have not.
    Committee.] - Have you it in London ? - No, I have not.
    Mr. Adolpbus.] - At what hour in the day did you generally begin this obfervation of yours? - Sometimes I began between three and four o'clock in the morning.

    How often? - Two or three times, in order to get on as faft as I could.
    Where in particular? - I began at Ewhurft before four.
    And where elfe? - I began at Lord Caernarvon's about four o'clock.
    Is Lord Caernarvon's nearer to you than any of the others, or further from you ? - Nearer.

    You knew Mr. Bull was not at home? - No, not till 1 went to the houfe.
    When did you go to his houfe? - About five o'clock that morning, and he had gone out at three.

    You furveyed from four till fire, and then you went to the houle? - Yes, I went on towards his houle.

    You knew Mr. Bull had the care of the Eftate? - Yes.
    You knew he had been to this Committee? - Yes, I undertood fo.
    You knew perhaps it was in confequence of his having been up and examined before this Committee, that you were required to go over the eftate? - No, I did not.

    How long have you known Mr. Bull ? - Ever fince he has been feward theré.
    How long is that; twenty years, or five years, or what ? - I fuppofe eight or ten years.

    How came it that you, in the courfe of this time; never communicated any thing to him of the furvey you were going to make of Lord Caernarvon's eftate? - I never Law him ; I mentioned it to his friend Mr. Smith.

    What did you mention ? - That I was to go to the eftate.
    When ? - On the Monday before I went on the Tuelday.
    At what time on Monday ? - About the middle of the day; I was furveying at. the time I told him.

    Then it was while you were furveying? - Yes, the day before I went into the park.

    Is Mr. Bull a man of credit and refpectability ? - Yes, certainly.
    He underftands his bufinels well? - Yes, he undertands the managing of land: very well.

    His general bufinefs extends to all the management of the eftate? - I do not know that; his Lordhip always attends to many parts of it himfelf; and the late Lord Caernarvon was a very particular gentleman, and attended to it particularly.

    And is the prefent Lord Caernarvon a very particular gentleman? - I do not know.

    If Mr. Bull had faid that upon the eftates of Highclere and Burghclere, there is of timber fit for thip-building from ten to twelve thoufand-loads, can that opinion of his be correct? - No; he appears ta me to have made a miftake.

    Has Mr. Bull from his fituation, as good means as any other perfon, of being informed of the correctnefs of what he ftates npon that fubject - Yes; if he had time.

    Committec.] - Do you fuppole that if Mr. Bull gave fuch an anfwer, he con pafa fibly have furveyed that parifh before he gare it? - No

    Mr. Adolphus. - Before you furveyed thefe eftates of Lord Caernarvon's, you have told me that you knew Mr. Bull had been here? -I underftood that he had; I faw the papers.

    Had you by any means any knowledge of the ftatement he had made here? Yes, I had feen the papers.

    Committee.] - Do you mean his printed evidence ? - Yes.
    Mr. Adolphus.]-Who gave you that to look at ? - I faw it in the coffee-room, when I was here.

    Committee.] - Did you take them up accidentally yourfelf? - Yes.
    Nobody fhewed them to you? - No; I faw them at Newberry; Mr. Smith fhewed me one.

    Mr. Adolphus. - When you faw that'ftatement of Mr. Bull's, did you apprize any body that you fhould contradict it? - No; I did not know that I was going to contradict it ; I did not know but I might make the fame quantity as he did.

    Had you any acquaintance with Mr. Lavic, before you faw him here in the coffeeroom? - No.

    How came you to be applied to, do you know ? - I do not know; that is belt known to himfelf how he came to know me; I am known as a timber furveyor in that country.

    Perhaps Mr. Morris knows you? - I dare fay he knows me.
    Do you know that he recommended you to the attention of Mr. Lavie upon this occafion? - No, I did not.

    You do not believe it, perhaps?-I do not know whether he did or not ; I con. fidered at the time that it muft have been Mr. Collins that I ufed to do bufinefs for, but I do not know that it was.

    Have you any reafon upon earth to know that it was Mr. Collins? - Only that they faid they would recommend me to any body.

    Have you any reafon to believe it was Mr. Morris? - No.
    But you knew that you were to furvey the eftate, as to fome matter applicable to what Mr. Bull had faid? - I was defired to furvey the different eftates I have ftated, but not that 1 knew any thing of Mr. Bull having furveyed them before; I underftood different people were.employed, to have different opinions all through the country.

    Had you no converfation with any one about Mr. Bull's evidence, before you furveyed my Lord Caernarvon's eftates? -I had not; not before I began furveying the eftates.

    Not before you began furveying Lord Caernarvon's eftate? - Before I began furveying Lord Caernarvon's I had, becaufe I, faw the papers.

    Why then did you not fend to Mr. Bull to tell him what you weere going to do? -I do not know; I did not know it was neceflary; I meant to call on him when in the park, but he was not at home.

    Committee.] -Did you go to his houfe? - No, I went within fifty yards of it; and one of his labourers, who came from the houfe, faid Mr. Bull, was gone to Oxford very early in the morning.

    Did you know before that he was gone ? - No, I did not till I enquired.
    Mr. Adolphus.]-How much of your meafuring had you done, before you enquired for Mr. Bull? - The greateft part ; in the open part of the park there is a road through the park.

    Committee.]-So that you went to tell him what you had done, inftead of afking. leave to do it? - I went to tell what I was doing, and to afk him to how me the other part, which I dare fay he would have done, if I had gone; I knew him very well.

    In Lord Caernarvon's eftate, was there a good fucceffion of timber? - In many. parts very good.

    - Of what age and fizes ? - Principally under fifteen feet.

    Was there fome above? i- Yes, there was fome' above.
    How foon will fifteen feet timber come into ufe as naval timber? - In a good roir, after a tree gets to. fifteen feet, it will grow two feet in a year.

    Then it will be forty-five feet in fifteen years? - Yes, it will.
    Then that timber, which you deicribe as being there, will come into forty-five feet timber in fifteen years? - Yes, where it is a good foil.

    You feeak of round meafure? - Yes, forty feet will make sisty, fquare meafure.

    Committee.] - Do you mean to ftate, that it will grow forty-five feet in fifteen years? - Not generally, on good foil it will.
    Speak generally to the woods you faw, whether the fucceffion you faw is likely to be forty-five feet in fifteen years? - No, not in fifteen years; it will take twenty or twenty-five years on the average.
    On the other eftates, is there alfo a good fuccelfion of timber? - Yes, a very good fucceffion of timber.

    In the fame degree as in my Lord Caernarvon's ? - In fome places; and in fome, more fo.

    And is the foil equally favourable? - I think it is; I have been over all of them before.
    Is that fucceffion of timber that is coming forward equal, or nearly equal in quantity to the large timber that has been cut away in number of trees? - Three times the number of trees, I fuppofe, and perhaps nore than that; there is a vaft quantity of fmall timber there, from one foot to ten; there is a great quanuty on all the eftates.
    For what reafon was it that you went to my Lord Caernarvon's eftate laft, as you fay it is nearer to you than the others? - Becaufe I never had any order to look it over till fuch time as I came to London.
    When had you orders to furvey the others? - On the twenty-third of May, or the twenty-fecond of May.

    When did you come to London? - I was fummoned up here to appear before this Committee laft week.

    Committee.] -After you had made a furvey of fome of the eftates? - Yes; I had a fummons to appear before this Committee firt, and I was fent back again to make a further furvey.

    Mr. Adolphus.] - You never had been inftructed to furvey Lord Caernarvon's eftate till laft week ? - No, I was not.
    How foon did you fend to London any account of the refult of your other furveys of eftates? - I do not know; I fent them up two or three different times.
    Did you fend any fo foon as the firft of June? - Yes, I think I did.
    Of much or litile? - I cannot remember.
    Did you fend fome within a week? - Yes, 1 fent fome.
    How often did you fend in all ? - I do not know.
    Did you fend within a week, all that you had furveyed in the week? - Yes, I think I did.
    Can you tell me what quantity or what number of trees there is upon thefe eftates, of fifteen feet and upwards, but under the fize you began to meafure at? -No, I cannot tell.any thing about it, I never furveyed it.

    But you think there is at leaft three or four times the number of trees of all kinds, that there was of that which has been taken away? - From one foor upwards.

    And a confiderable proportion of fifteen feet or thereabouts?-No, there is a fmall proportion of that ; the timber is generally from one foot to ten.

    And of fifteen feet is there a quantity fufficient to replace that which has been taken away? - I think there is.
    1 think the fum you give here, as upon all the eftates you furvejed, is 19,067 load 16 feet; is that fquare meafure or round ? - Round.
    How much does that make in fquare meafure? - Nearly 30,000, fquare meafure.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    You have been afked a great number of queftions as to the time you were employed in thefe furveys, were you a fufficient time employed to enable you to come to thefe refults? - Yes.

    And from your practice as a furveyor, you could, with fome degree of accuracy, infped the whole ground, with refped to trees, over which you went? - Yes.

    You have been alked as to the fucceffion of timber; does the obfervation as to the fucceffion of timber apply to the whole of the eftates over which you went? Not Lord Aylefbury's.

    There is very little there? - Yes.

    Mr. Henry Fermor.

    In the foreft of Marlborough, for inftance? - There is very little there, You have ftated, that from one foot to ten is the greatelt proporion of fucceeding timber? -Yes.

    Of that proportion, how many of them might be expected to arrive at fixty feet metings? - I do not know.

    Is it ufual to cut down that by way of thinning ? - It is very ufual to cut a part of it.

    So that either from the mode of thinning the wood, or other circumftances, a confiderable part of it is never allowed to reach the fize of fixty feet ? - It is likely part of that muft be cut, that the reft may grow.

    Then, generally fpeaking, with reference to the wooded eftates, how foon upon the average will a fucteffion come in, fit for cutting of fixty feet metings? - About forty or fifty years on an average, becaufe there will be fome finaller and fome larger; there would be fome larger metings, and there would be fome fmaller.

    Before you made thefe furveys, were you inftructed, or did you go with the intention of contradicting any perfon's evidence whatever ? - I went to furvey as if the timber was my own, to buy it or fell it.

    And without reference to any other perfon whatever? - Yes.

    ## [Examined by the Committce.]

    You furveyed thefe woods correctly ? - In the fame way as if the woods were my own.
    Is it not neceffary for a perfon to form a judgment of the contents of a wood, to go into the wood and look at it ? - He muft go into it, and crofs it in the way I did.
    And you do not think it can be done in any other way ?-I do not think it can regularly; I never left a wood nor a farm till I was convinced of the quantity.

    Where is the letter and inftructions from Mr. Lavie to you? -I do not know; I do not know but I have it at home.
    Do you recollect the contents of it ?-It was, defiring me to go round and look at two or three different effates and give the account ; and afterwards I was defired to look at more.

    Was it flated, it was in confequence of an evidence given in this room by Mr. Bull, that you were fo employed ? - No, it was not.

    Was it ftated to be in confequence of a Committee of the Houfe of Commons - fitting? -It was to report the quantity to Mr. Lavie.

    Was there any obfervation befides contained in that letter? - None at all.
    It was a mere inftruction to you to go carefully to thefe perfons' eflates and furvey the timber, and make a report to Mr . Lavie of the quantities? - It was.

    And contained nothing more ? - Only to be careful, and do it as near as I poffibly could, and not to under do it.

    Was that the only letter written to you upon the fubjeat ?-No, I had other letters.
    How many? - I do not know ; Inever had above two or three letters.
    Who were they from? - They were read to me by a Mr. Dixon.
    You can read ?- Yes, they came through him ; they were only mentioned in his letter.

    Were they addrefled to you thefe letters? - No, they were not.
    Who were they addreffed to ? - To Mr. Dixon, a gentleman I know perfealy welt in that neighbourhood.

    And read to you? -I read the firft myfelf, becaufe I wanted to know my employer, and who was to pay me.

    And what was the purport of thefe letters, one of which you read, and the other had read to you ? - To go and carefully furvey thefe lots of timber and fend the account.

    You faid you received a letter direted to yourfelf from Mr. Lavie? - No, it was thot to me.

    And thefe other three letters contained more names than the letter which contained the inftrutions contained ?-Nothing more,

    Who is Mr. Dixon? - A timber-merchant.
    Has he any comnection with Mr. Morris ?-Very likely, I do not know; he marks timber in his own name; he lives at Speenhill near Newbury.

    Do you know whether or not he has any connections in bufinels with Mr, Morris? -I think he fells the timber to Meffrs. Morris and Larkins.

    Tell us what fort of connection you think fubfifts between him and Mr. L̇arkins? -I do not know; fome people fay he does bufinefs for Mr. Morris, and fome fay he does not ; he marks timber in his own name.

    What makes you think he fells timber to them ? - Becaufe I have underfood fo from the barge people, and thofe who carry it to town.

    And you pofitively affert, you do not know there is any connection in bufinefs between Mr. Dixon and Mr. Morris? - No, I do not.

    Were copies of Mr. Bull's evidence fent down with this leter to Mr. Dixon 1 Not that I know of.

    You faw copies of that evidence in the country ? - Yes, I faw them at Mr. Snith's.
    How came you not to take account of any timber under twenty-five feet? I was direCted not; I was to take the whole above that.

    Did the firft letter direct you to furvey all the eftates? - No.
    Then the other letters were to diret you to go to further eftates than were firt mentioned? - Yes, to continue the furvey on other eftates.

    ## Mr. Germain Lavie ; Examined by Mr. Grant.

    TAKE this paper in your hand, (banding it to the ritnefs) - will you fate to the Committee what that paper is? - The paper marked $X$ is an account of all the Eaft India-built hips which have obtained Britif regiftry, as they appear in different parts of the Minutes of Evidence in the pages referred to, on the face of the paper.
    Has this account been drawn up from any other papers than thofe referred to upon the face of it ? - No.
    Was it drawn up by you? - Yes.
    I fee a note added to that account, will you be good enough to explain the nature of that note, and the fources from which you have derived the information? - From the India-houfe.

    Mr. Adolpbus.]-How comes it to be 47,449 tons in jour account, when it is 47,475 and a fraction in ours ? - By the fractions in the original account.

    Mr. Grant.] - Who made that account Y which you hold in your hand ? - This account was made out under my direction, from lifts given by me.

    Committec.]-From what lifts? -From the fame lifts from which I took the numbers in $\mathbf{X}$; in $\mathbf{X}$ it is only in nombers; in $Y$, I give the names of the flips as they .appear in the lift ; they here are claffed under different heads; what are employed in the Eaft India company's regular fervice; what are employed in the Eaft India company's extra fervice; what are employed in the private trade between this country and India; what are employed in the private trade between this country and India on their firt voyage home; the number employed in the Weft India trade ; the number employed in the Government fervice, and fold to Government; the number employed in carrying conviets to Botany Bay; pne thip fold to the Portuguefe; a number loft, burnt or taken, and a number not known of.

    Committee.]-Is the account there given of thofe different fhips, gathered from accounts before the Committee; or is it matter which the Committee are to be informed of by witnefles? - To be informed of by witnels or wimelfes.

    ## [Crojs-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    The flips contained in this abfract $Y$ as to their names and dates, are taken from the return in the evidence, page 86 ? - From that and the fublequent returns before mentioned.

    And no where elfe ? - No; the names and dates are taken from evidence already before the Committee; the Inglis, as well as the others, are taken from the examination already before the Committee.

    ## Mr. Mattbew Fags, Called in ; and Examined by Mr. Grant.

    YOU are clerk, I believe, to the troafe of Fairlie, Bonham, and Company ? Yes. you able to verify that account $X$ which you bold in your hand, and to what $\qquad$ ${ }_{\text {Mre }}^{\text {Mr. }}$ Fagg. extent? - With refpea to the fhips regitiered, 1 obtained it at the Cuftom-houfe; thofe in the Company's fervice, from their own printed lifts.

    From whence did you collect that? - From their printed lifts.
    Are you, from the bufinefs in which you are employed, able to flate what are the fhips in the Company's employ, either by hipping goods on board them, or in any other way? - Certainly I am.
    Are you enabled to fay that the thips, given in that paper as in the Company's employ, are correct ? - They are.

    Mr. Adolphus.] - Are thofe the regular and extra fhips both ? - Certainly.
    And you are enabled to fay they employ no other teak fhips but what are there?

    ## - Yes.

    Mr. Grant.] - What is the next head ? - The number of thips employed in the private trade between this country and India on their firft voyage home.

    Are you able to fay that that is correct? - Yes.
    Do you know it to be correct? - I do.
    What is the next? - The others are the number of thips that are employed in the private trade between this country and India, which have made more voyages than one; of courfe that information I obtained from the commanders of the country fhips, that they are at prefent employed in that trade.

    Committee.] - How many of thofe are there? - Fifteen.
    How did you obtain the information from the commanders? - I afked fome of the commanders where they were.

    You afked of the commanders of fome of the fhips, that are now here, what was become of the other fhips?-Yes; the next head is "employed in the Weft India trade," that 1 took from the printed book at Lloyd's coffee-houfe.

    Are you fure of the accuracy of your knowledge? - I am fure it is correat in that refpect ; the next head is employed in the tranfort fervice, that I got an account of from Mr. Harding of the Tranfport Board.

    Did he furnih you with a written account? - It was an anfwer to a letter Mr. Hartwell wrote him, who is a partner in our houfe.

    Did you tranfcribe that account from the letter which you faw? - Yes, with refpect to Yome of them, the others had very recently been fold to the Tranfport Board ; and I obtained information of thofe from the owners.
    Which dhips are they ? - The Severn and the Hindoftan.
    Mr. Grant.] - What is the next head ? - " Employed as ftore- hhips and fold to Government,' this was got from Mr. Davis of the Navy Board.

    Was that in writing? - Yes; the next head is "employed in carrying conviđts to Botany Bay," this I alfo got from the country commanders, and from the owners.

    Committee.]-How many are there in that clals? - Only two ; the next head is "loft, burnt or taken" which I got from the country captains, and from gentlemen that have returned from India ; the next head is "uncertain how employed."

    Mr. Grant.]-You have ftated the fources from which you have derived the materials of that account, are you fure that thofe materials were derived by you correctly ? - I have no doubt of it.

    Mr. Grant.]-Will you take that into your hand, [banding a paper to the Witnefs] what is that paper? - It is an abftract of outfits of Eaft India-built hips, configned to David Scott \& Company and Fairlie, Bonham, \& Company.
    Can you fate how that account was made up? -It was taken from the books of the houfe.

    Are you able to fay it was taken correctly from the books of the houfe ? - Yes.
    Was it done under your direction? - Yes.
    There are two different firms appear upon the ftatement of the account ? - It is all the fame concern.

    And you are able to fwear to the accuracy of that account? - I am.
    Committee.] - Is that ftatement taken from the books of the firm ? - Yes.

    > [Crofs-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    Have you been a Clerk in this Houfe during all the time thofe accounts purport to refer to ? - No, I have cnly been ten years laft December.

    Therefore as to feven years of the time you know nothing but what you find in the books; it did not come within your time at all? -No.
    Are thofe accounts made from entries of your own, or by other perfons? - Partly
    Have you been in the habit of making the entries during the ten years you have been in the houfe? - I have been in the habit of making a great many, but not all the entries.

    There are, I fuppofe, bills delivered from the perfons from which thofe general totals are taken ? - Certainly.

    Without looking at thofe bills; you cannot of courfe know what work has been done, or how thofe totals came ro be flated ? - By taking the bills and adding them together, of courfe you fhow the totals.

    I fuppofe thofe bills are not entered into your books, but merely the fubftance as it is here? - Oh yes, the accounts are entered in the books of the houfe.

    In every particular? - Yes.
    Your knowledge extends only to a very fmall part, only the laft twelve items? ? Yes.

    But thofe, in common with the reft, are taken from the books that are kept by your firm, as a record of their tranfactions in bufinefs? - Certainly, the books of the firm.

    > [Re-examined by Mr. Grant.]

    While you have been connected with the houfe, have you bad an opportunity of knowing, from your own knowledge, that any of thofe expenfes have been incurred? - Yes.

    Are you able to fay that of the greateft part of what has happened within your experience? - Yes; I have the payment of the accounts.

    Comimittee.]-Have you any reafon to doubt the accuracy of thofe accounts to which you referred to make out that account? - No.
    [The following Paper was delivered in and read.]
    ABSTRACTS of Outfits of Eaft India-built Ships configned to David Scott \& Co. and Fairlie Bonham \& Co.; from 1796 to 1813 , both inclufive.
    

    Mr.
    Matthew Fagg.
    

    Committee.]-Are thofe the expenfes paid on each, in the river here, on her voyage outward? - Yes, the outfit of the fhips.

    What, does that include all the ufual expenfes to fea ? - It includes all tradesmen's bills.
    Does it include wages and provifions to fea? - Not wages.
    But provifions to fea ?-Yes.
    All thofe are India-buitt fhips, are they? - Yes.
    And thofe are the monies feent in this country on them upon their voyage out? -Yes.
    $M r$.
    Robert Joblin.

    ## Robert Joblin, Called in; and Examined by Mr. Grant.

    Are you a clerk in the houfe of Paxton, Cockerell, Trail \& Company ? - I am.
    Will you fate what account that is which you have in your hand ? - It is an abftract ftating the repairs and outfits of Eaft India hips, configned to Paxton \& Company.

    Are you able to fay how that account was made up? - T made it out myfelf.
    From what documents? - From the tradefmen's accounts.
    From the atcounts belonging to the agents? - Yes.
    And which have been paid ? - Which have been paid.
    Are you confident that the account is made outcorrealy? - I am quite fo.
    [Crofs.examined by Mr. Adolphus.]
    Were you in the employ of Paxton \& Cockerell in 1j99?-1 was.
    Then all this was within your own obfervation? - Yes.
    You know that all thofe bills bave been fent in and paid? - Yes.
    You have gone over thofe tradefmen's bills, and made that as your abltrad of them?
    [It was delivered in, and read as follows.]

    Alatract STATEMENT of Repairs and Outit of Eaft India-built Ships, configned ta Meffrs. Paxton, Cockerell, Trail \& Co.
    

    Mr. George Hall, Called in; and Examined by Mr. Grant.
    Are you Clerk in the houfe of Meffrs. Bruce ? - Yes.
    Will you fate the title of that account you have in your hand? - This is an Account of Expences on Eaft India-built Chips, configned to Melfrs. Law, Bruce \& Co. and Meffrs. Bruce and Co.

    Are thofe the fame houfe? - Yes.
    Who made out that account? - I did.
    From what did you make out that account ? - From the tradefmen's bills of parcels.
    Did you make out the whole of it yourfelf?-I did.
    You are fure that it is correct ? - I believe it is correct.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Adolphus.]

    Meffrs. Bruce I believe are the proprietors of a confiderable thip building eftablifhment in India? - Bruce \& Company in London are not.
    Have they no conpection with that eftablifhment? - No.
    This is Mr. Crawford Bruce, is not it? - Yes.
    Has nor he a concern in the effablifhment in India? - He has, but that bas no concern with the eftablifhment here.
    This is an açount of repairs, where? -In London.
    Haye you any account in your poffeffion of the repairs of the fame flips in India? - We know nothing of their repairs in India in this country.

    You do not know even the fact that there are any ? - We do not know that there are any.

    Then all this amounts to is, that it is the expenfe of all thefe fhips in the years mentioned, in the Thames? - Yes.

    What may have been paid on any of thofe mips in the mean time in India, you do not know? - No.

    If they are a general concern, is not there fome manner of bringing it to account?
    -The eftablifhment in this country has nothing to do with the fhips.
    The eftablifhment in this country, merely as agents, pay the money, and that is all they know of the matter? - Yes.

    And are not thereany perfons here who could give thofe accounts? - I belieje not.

    Are there any perfons here who could give thofe accounts? - II believe not.
    [The following paper was delivered in and read.]

    ACCOUNT of EXPENCES on the under-mentioned SHIPS configned to Meffrs. Law, Bruce, \&e Company, and Meffrs. Bruce \& Company, from the year 1796 to the year 1814 .
    

    Committee.]-When a chip is fitted, and begins her voyage in this country, does not fhe come naturally to be fepaired in the Thames? - We have not confidered it ufual for them to return from India to this country, they have permifion so come here and return to India.

    Thofe are all hips in the private trade ? - Yes.
    Whofe yoyage is from India to England and back, not from England to India and back?-Yes.
    [The following Accounts were read:]
    (94.)-Account Specifying which of the Tenders contained in the Account dated the 10th Inftant (p.545, No. XVIII. of thefe Minutes) were accepted by the Come $\}$ p. 617 . iniffioners of the Navy
    (95.)-Account of the Number of VESSELS, with the amount of their Tonnage and the $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { number of Men and Boys nfually employed in Navigating the fame; \& \& } . \text {-for } \\ \text { Ten Years, 1804-1813. [ExtraEed, from the Finance Accounts, Clafs IV.] }\end{array}\right\}$
    ibid.
    
    $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (97.)-Private Trade between Europe, America and Bengal, 1795-1800. [No. VI. in } \\ \text { the Papers, ordered by The Houfe to be printed } 30 \text { April 1813.] }\end{array}\right]$ p. 620.

    ## ACCOUNTS.

    ## - $(94$.$) -$

    An Account [pecifying which of the TENDERS contaiued in the Account, dated the roth Lufant, [fee PP. 545-548, No. XVIII. of thefe Minutes,] were accepted by the Com miflioners of the Navy.
    
    R. SEPPINGS. H. LEGGE. PERCY FRASER.

    $$
    -(05 .)-
    $$

    An Account of the Number of VESSELS, with the Amount of their Tonnage, and the Number of Men and Boys ufually employed in Navigatioy the fame, which. belonged to the Reveral Ports in The Britifs Empire on the 3oth September in each Year from 1804 to 1813 , both inclufive; being Ten Years; - EExfraged from the Finance Accounts of Great Britain, Clafs IV. as ordered bs The Houfe to be printed, in thofe Years refpedively.]

    | Years ending $\}$ zo September. $\}$ | $1804:$ |  |  | $=-1805=$ |  |  | -. - 806 : . . - |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | England - | 14,604 | 1,784,085 | 115,345 | 14,790 | 1,799,2 10 | 117,668 | 14,877 | 1,786,692 | 118,089 |
    | Scotland - - | 2,597 | 207,810 | 14:917 | 2,581 | 210,295 | 15,160 | 2,788 | 211,431 | 15,415 |
    | Ireland - - | 1,061 | 58,c62 | 5,176 | 1,067 | 56,806 | 5,070 | 1,076 | 55,545 | 5,081 |
    | Plantations - | 2,904 | 191,509 | 14,492 | 3,024 | 190,953 | 15,467 | 2,867 | 183,800 | ${ }^{1} 3,244$ |
    | Guernfey | 110 | 10,058 | 769 | 111 | 9,759 | 1,326 | 108 | 10,193 | 1,351 |
    | Jerfey. - | 78 | 7,037 | 649 | 74 | 6,769 | 605 | 63 | 6,485 | 543 |
    | Ille of Man. | 420 | 10,009 | 2,426 | 404 | 9,650 | 2,336 | 398 | 9,568 | 2,29 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
    | $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Total regiftered in H. M. } \\ \text { Dominions. }\end{array}\right\}$ | 21,774 | 2,268,570 | 153,774 | 22,051 | 2,283,442 | 157,712 | 22,182 | 2,263,714 | 156,02 I |
    | (72.) | 7 R |  |  | (comfinged.) |  |  |  |  |  |

    Account of Veffels, \&c.-continued.

    | In the Years - - | Ships. | 1807: <br> Tons. | Men. | Ships. | $\underbrace{1808:}_{\text {Tons. }}$ | Men. | Ships | 1809: | $\xrightarrow[\text { Men. }]{-}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | England - | 15,087 | 1,797,182 | 119,63 1 | 15,327 | r,833,971 | 119,88ı | 15,687 | 1,875,224 | 122,815 |
    | Scotland * |  | 216,553 | 15,658 | 2,596 | 211 ,950 | 15,042 | 2.534 | 206,075 | 14.720 |
    | Ireland - | 1,098 | 56,901 | 5,217 | 1,104 | 58,959 | 5,324 | 1,119 | 60,979 | 5,560 |
    | Plantations - | 2,917 | 184,794 | 13.565 | 3,066 | 194,423 | 13,081 | 3,188 | 201,247 | 13,857 |
    | Guernfey | 106 | 9,927 | 993 | 110 | 10,850 | x,061 | 112 | 10,503 | 912 |
    | Jeriey - | 77 | 6,891 | 552 | 66 | 5,429 | 500 | 58 | 5,45 x | 576 |
    | Ifle of Man | 390 | 9,373 | 2,259 | 381 | 9,237 | 2,216 | 372 | 8,989 | 2,158 |
    | Totar regiftered in $\}$ <br> H. M. Dominions $\}$ | 22,290 | 2,281,621 | 157,875 | 22,646 | 2,324,819 | 157,105 | 23,070 | 2,368,468 | 160,598 |

    (continued.)

    | In the Years - - | - . 1810: - - |  |  | F-. 1811: - - |  |  | -.-1812: |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Ships. | Tous. | Men. | Ships. | Tons. | Men. | Ships. | Tons. | Men. |
    | England - - | 16,048 | 1,918,089 | 126,008 | 16,164 | 1,942,406 | 123.757 | 16,295 | 1,951,234 | 124,896 |
    | Scotland | 2,552 | 209,736 | 15,064 | 2,630 | 220,688 | 15,490 | 2,708 | 231,273 | 16,300 |
    | Ireland - | 1,126 | 58,650 | 5,416 | 1,133 | 59,155 | 5,484 | 1,111 | 57,104 | 5,320 |
    | Plantations - | 3,450 | 215,383 | 14,157 | 3,628 | 227,452 | 14,085 | 3,470 | 216,068 | 14,971 |
    | Guernfey * | 104 | 9,947 | 883 | 94 | 9,485 | 855 | 76 | 8,312 | 751 |
    | Jerfey * | 57 | 5,454 | 550 | 59 | 6,003 | 547 | 54 | 5,369 | 519 |
    | Inle of Man | 365 | 8,785 | 2,117 | 398 | 9,585 | 2,329 | 393' | 9,439 | 2,273 |
    | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Total regiftered in } \\ \text { H. M. Dominions } \end{array}\right\}$ | 23,703 | 2,426,044 | 164,195 | 24,106 | 2,474,774 | 162,547 | 24,107 | 2,478,799 | 165,030 |

    (continued.)
    

    $$
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    A LIST of the Number of SHIPS and Quantity of Tonxage, in the Company's Service, in each Year, from 1793 ; - diftinguihing the Regular, Extra, and Eaft India-built Ships.

    | Searon. | SHIPS NOT BUILT IN INDIA. |  | SHIPS BUILTT IN INDIA. |  | Tcal <br> Tonnage |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | regular. | EXTRA. | regular. | EXTRA. |  |
    |  | No. Tonnage | No Tomnuse. | No. Tonnage. | No. Tonnage. |  |
    | 1793 | 46. 40,783 | - - - | - - - | - - - | 46. . - 40,783 |
    | 4 | 34 - 29,534 | - - - | - - - | - - - | 34. . . 29,531 |
    | 5 | 40 - 43,220 | 6 - . 3,703 | - - - | - - - | 46. . . 46,923 |
    | 6 | 32 - 37,494 | 11 . . 4,685 | $2 \cdot 1,727$ | 1. - 526 | 46. . . 44,432 |
    | 7 | 19 - . 21,793 | 7. 5, 523 | - - - | - - - | 26. - 26,916 |
    | 8 | 30 • - 37,256 | 8 - 4,420 | 2. 1,727 | - - - | 40. - 43,403 |
    | 9 | 27-32,458 | 7 - 4, 4,301 | - - - | - - | 34- - 36,759 |
    | 1800 | 37 • - 36,392 | 11 - . 6,438 | 1. . 957 | - - - | 49. . - 43,787 |
    | 1 | 25 - 28,686 | 11. . 6,063 | 2 . 1,727 | 1. 560 | 39. - 37,036 |
    | 2 | 38 - 39,909 | 14 - 7,602 | - - - | - - - | 52. - 47,511 |
    | 3 | 35 - 37,794 | 17. - 9,521 | 2. P1,727 | - - - | - . 49,092 |
    | 4 | $36 \cdot$ • 37,361 | 12 - . 6,488 | 1. - 770 | 2 - 1,288 | 51. . - 45,907 |
    | 5 | $28 \cdot$ - 31,050 | 21. . 11,974 | - $\quad$ - | - - - | 49. - 43,024 |
    | 6 | 34 - 34,932 | 8 - 4,168 | 2 - 2,391 | 2 . 1,288 | 46. . 42,779 |
    | 7 | 26. . 26,296 | 20. . 11,545 | - - - | - - - | 46. . . 37,841 |
    | 8 | $35 \cdot$ • 36,608 | 5-. 2,650 | 1. . 1,242 | 2. 1,288 | 43. - 41,788 |
    | 9 | 21. . 23,503 | 21. . 11,625 | 3-3,277 | 2. . 1,135 | 47. - - 39,540 |
    | 10 | 31 - 32,918 | 13. . 7,030 | 2. 2,516 | 7- 4,962 | 53. - 47,426 |
    | 11 | 29 • 32,688 | 15. . 8,424 | 4-3,975 | 2. 1,135 | 50. - 46,222 |
    | 12 | 25 • - 27,951 | 15 . . 8,285 | - 2,572 | 5. - 3,447 | 47- - 42,255 |
    | 13 | 23 - . 26,264 | 7- 4,058 | 5 - 5,731 | 1. . 637 | 36. . - 36,690 |

    ## Memorandum :

    The Extra Sbips have been principally employed in the conveyance of goods belonging to individuals.

    $$
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    REPORT on the PRIVATE TRADE between Europr, America, and Bengal, from the if of June 1795: to the $3^{\text {ift }}$ of May 1803:- - [From Papers, ordered by The Houfe to be printed, 30 April 1813 ; No. VI.]

    ## LONDON:

    
    6. From the ift of June 1795, to the 3IIt of May 1798, the

    Carried over
    66,20,526
    $3,53,34,122$ quantity of Sugar fhipped to Hamburgh and Copenhagen (fuppoled to be principally on account of Britifh \{ubjects refiding in Calcutta was $1,40,729$ maunds, or $1,03,031 \mathrm{cwt}$. If this quantity
    (97.)-Report on the Private Trade, \&c.-continued.
    
    quantity had been hipped to London, and the fale price had been 45 s. per cwt. the duty of cuftoms at 37 per cent. on the fale price, would have been ESts 85,773 : and if the piece goods and other articles 贝ipped on frelght to Hamburgh, Copenhagen, and Libon, in thofe three years, had been allo feni to London, it may be eitimated that the Britifh revenue of Cuftoms, on the terms of the late Warehoufing $A C$, would have been benefited above $\pm \mathrm{St}^{\mathrm{B}} 500,000$. by the trade thus forced to foreign ports, by means of the high freight on gruff articles, and the heavy duties on piece goods in London.
    7. The large quantity of Sugar and Saltpetre hipped in 1795-6 was in conlequence of the lower rate of freight obtainable at that time; the exports in American thips to Hamburgh were
    Confiting of

    | Piece Goods | 13,57,336 |
    | :---: | :---: |
    | Indigo | 1,03,212 |
    | Sugar | 1,77,931 |
    | Cotton | 28,896 |
    | Pepper and Spices | 22,000 |
    | Borax - - | 16,800 |
    | Ginger | 9,300 |
    | Cowries | 6,200 |
    | Sundries | 15,667 |

    S. R: - $17,37,34^{2}$

    This was prior to the operation of the American Treaty in Calcutta, which took place the 3 oth September 1796.
    8. In $1796-\%$, the exports to Hamburgh in American hips laden before the promulgation of the treaty were S.R' $6,19,973$. 9. In 1797-8, the exports to Hamburgb, under Hamburgh and Venetian colours, were - - . . 2,15,968.
    To Copenhagen, Anglo-Danes,
    from Calcutta - 3,56,578
    Do, from Serampore - 33,43,422
    Seven Anglo-Danes failed to Penang and the eaftward, carry ing opium and piece goods for fale at Batavia, where they loaded for Copenhagen, viz.
    Loken,
    Odin,
    Rheinßurgh,
    Rofenburgh,
    Mundel.
    10. Two American hips alfo, the Kenfington and Iphigenia, falled from Serampore to Copenhagen ; they were ftopped at St. Helena, fent to England, releafed there, and difpofed of their cargoes.
    11. In 1798-9, when Britifh Merchants in Calcutta were permitted to load their own hips, or to make their own arrangementa for the Freight to London, there was not a fingle bag of fugar or bale of cloth hipped by them to any foreign port. Whereas if fach permiffion had not been granted, the thipments in Anglo-Danif veffels would have continued, as merchants in every part of the world, will run many riks, fooner than allow their hips to rot in harbour, if employment can be obtained for them; to this caule it may be attributed, that in 1797-8, feven Љips, fuppofed to be Anglo-Danes, failed to Penang and the eaffward, for Batavia and Copenbagen, as before ftated.
    12. The Freight received by the owners of the thips in 1798-9, was 216 Sts per ton, payable by unconditional bills at Gix months after fight by the correfpondents in London of the merchants in Calcutta. Thefe bills were immediately negotiable, thereby enabling fuch Chip-owners as poffefied only fmall capitals to carry on their bufinefs, which they could not have done if they had been obliged to wait the returns of the receipt of the freight, after the adjuftment of the accounts of the lhip at the India Houfe.
    13. To the low rate of Freight in $1798-9$ may be attributed the additional quantity of Gru\& Goods hipped to England, in comparifon with preceding Jears, and the total annihilation of the trade carried on in hups conjectured to be Anglo-Danen prior to that period.
    14. In this year the amount of the Gruff Goods ghipped for London exceeded one-fifth of the whole of the exports thither; whereas in the year preceding, $1797-8$, the proportion of Graff
    (97.)-Report on the Private Trade, \&c.-continzed.
    
    1995-6 - $\quad 7,70,136$

    1795-6 - - 8, ${ }^{2} 3,832$
    3796-7 $=$ - 5, 4 4,935
    1797-8 - - 85,303
    1998-9 - - 6, 15,000
    1799-1800 10,16,474,
    796-7 - - 17,73,511
    1797-8 - $3,56,572$
    1798-9 = - 1,11,087
    1799-1800 9,56,147
    Goods to the total exports, was Yefs than one- leventeenth which clearly fhews the advantage derived to the Britin trade by affording it the means of conveyance at a low rate of freight, and the realon why the amounf of tonnage occupied in 1798-9 was fo much larger in $1797-8$, though the total value of the exports in the former year fell fo much hort of that of the latter year.
    15. In 1790-1800 Britifh fubjects provided a larger quantity of Goods than ufual, in the expectation of the fame indulgence in fhipping them, as in the preceding year. Goods to a much larger amount would have been Ihipped to England, had the rates of freight been lower. In confequence of the high rates of freight, confiderable quantities of fugar and other goods intended for the London market, were refold in Calcutta.
    16. The Imports from Hamburgh and Copenhagen, in the three firft years, are principally the produce of Creat Britain, fhipped by merchants in London, partly on account of the low freight, and partly to avoid the forms of office at the India Houfe, to which the goods would have been fubject if chipped under the Britin flag. The import in 1799-1800, although put under the head of Copenhagen, is principally treafure landed from fhips at Serampore from Manilla, kelonging to the Armenian merchants in Calcutta trading to that port.
    17. The great increafe in the Portugal trade in $\mathbf{1 7 9 9}$. 1800, is owing to the fuppofed increafed demand for India goods in the Brazils, Spain, and Spanifh America.
    18. With refpect to the exports of thofe three foreign nations, exceeding in the three firft years the imports in the fum of S.R' $52,47,7{ }^{8} 4$, confifting principally of Piece Goods, fubject to a heavy duty in England. It may be reckoned that $3 / 4$ ths of the trade was carried on by Britifh fubjects, until permiffion was granted to individuals to load their own fhips, in $1798-9$. .
    '19. In 1795-6, the Carrying Trade to Hamburgh was in the hands of the Americans, and partly in $1796-7$, but ceafed towards the clofe of that jear, on the promulgation of the American treaty.
    2d. The exports on Danifh fhips from Calcutta and Serampore were,

    > in $1795-6$, only $=10,00,000$
    > $1796-7$,
    whereas, in 1797-8, when the Danes or Anglo-Danes got the carrying trade, the value of the exports on hips under tanifh colours to Copenhagen was $27,00,000$. In 1798-9, when Britif merchants were permitted to fend their own goods in their own fhipss in the manner they defired, then the trade which had formerly been carried on in fhips under American colours to Hamburgh, and fubfequently under Danifh colours to Copenhagen, ceafed in to tar as refpected the trade carried on by Britifh merchants refiding. in Calcutta to thofe ports.
    21. The reafon of the Exports to Lifbon in 1799-1800 falling fhort of the imports S.R' $13,11,956$, is, that the largeft Portuguefe fhip has been detained in this river, for want of the goods neceffary to complete her cargo.
    22. The exports to America exceeded the imports in three years, from ift June 1795 to 31 ft May i793, in the amount of S.R' $31,02,198$, for the payment of which it may be eflumated that bulls were drawn from America on perfons in Calcutta, for the purchafe of
    American flock - - $-\quad-\quad$ - $3,00,000$
    Bills drawn from London on houfes of agency in Cal-
    cutta - - - - $\quad$ - 8,00,000
    Bills received at Madras, for Merchandize or Bullion
    fold there - - - $\quad$ - 6,00,000
    Bills drawn by fupracargoes in payment of goods pur-
    chafed wholly or in part, or obtained in cafh, from
    merchants in Calcutta, by means of letters of credit
    from American merchants refiding in London - 11,00,000 Coods thipped on freigh
    S.R: $\frac{3,02,189}{31,02,189}$

    23: The increafe of this trade by the Americans in 17991800, may be attributed to the great profit they derive from it.
    (Signed) JOS. THO BROWN,
    Calcutta, 24 Sept. 1800. R.E.C.

    ## XXI.

    Select Committee on Eaft India-built Shipping.

    $$
    \text { Lunce, } 20^{\circ} \text { die Junij, } 1814
    $$

    SIR ROBERT PEEL, BARONET, in the Cbair.

    Mr. Matthero Fagg called in; and examined by Mr. Spantie.

    L
    OOK at the lif of hips loft and captured ; have you made that out from the beft information you could procure?-I have.
    Do you believe it to be correct?-I certainly do.
    Committee.]-Is the lofs and capture of thofe thips matter of notoriety among perfons converfant with India bufinefs ?-II is.

    > [Crofs-exanined by Mr. Harrijon.]

    Do you know of Fairlie, Bonham and Company, having fent any hip lately to South America, to import copper to India? -They have chartered a fhip to South Atrtetica, certainly.
    To carry copper to India? - I believe fhe will take copper from South Anerica to India.
    Where is fhe gone to ?-I belleve to Valparaifo.
    She is gone out exprefsly for that purpofe, or at lealt principally for that purpofe? - I I. cannot anfwer to that.

    To what houfe does the fhip belong ? - She belongs to George Faith.
    By whom is fhe chartered and fent out ? - By Fairlie, Bonham \& Company.
    And her voyage is to Valparaifo in South America, and from thence to India? Yes; to Calcutta, I believe.
    And copper is one of the things that is to be procured by her fupercargo, of whoever is abroad there $\boldsymbol{P} \rightarrow 1$ think it is likely that is the cafe.
    Do not you know that is the object of fending her out ?-I cannot fpeak pofitively to that.

    I fuppofe there is no fuch connection with South America and this country yet, as to have enabled you to have fecured a cargo before fhe went out?-I do not know that there is.
    Do you know whether any copper is fecured there as part of her cargo, or is there a fupercargo with her ? - There is no fupercargo with her to my knowledge.
    In what way is the to procure her lading at Valparaiso ?-I prefume the houfe to which the is configned is to procure a cargo for her.

    - To what houfe is the configned?-I believe the gentleman's name is Lezour.

    Has the carried out a cargo from this country? -She has.

    - Has fhe any other deftination than to Valparaifo, and afterwards to Bengal ?-She returns to this country.

    From Bengal the comes to this country? - She does.
    Have you any doubt, from your fituation in the houfe, that one of the objects is to carry copper from Valparaifo to Calcutta? It is not exaclly in my departments, thatt of goods; I am in the lhipping office.

    From your fituation in the houfe, and your knowledge of the general concerts of it, have you any doubt one of the principal objects of that teffel being fent out, is to

    Mr. carry copper from Valparaifo to Calcutta ?-I fhould think copper may be a part of her cargo as dead weight, certainly.
    Then do you mean to fay, you do not confidently believe one of the main objects of that voyage was to carry copper from Valparaifo to Calcutta?-I Thould think the object of the cargo from here was as much as that from Valparaifo.

    What cargo did the houfe expect to get at Valparaifo except copper?-I do not know. '

    Is not copper from Valparaifo to Calcutta the main thing the houfe look to, putting afide the voyage from here?-I cannot fpeak pofitively to that.
    Have you any doubt about the fact; have you heard fo in the houfe P-I have no doubt the fip went after copper, certainly.

    Do you know of any other yeffels that are gone to Valparaifo to take copper from thence to India?-I do not.

    Do you know whether the houfe ever took any copper before, or any other houre from Valparaifo to Calcutta? -No.

    Do you know The Lord Melville?-Yes.
    Where was fhe built?-She was built by Dudman.
    Who has Dudman's yard now ?-I do not know that it is carried on by any one.
    I believe Dudman's have lately fold the leafe of it? Whether it has been fold I do not know; I underftood it was for fale.

    You do not know whether Mr. Bonham has bought the leafe of the yard, or has been in contract for taking it ? -No, I think not ; certainly not.

    Not your houfe? -No, certainly niot.
    Has it ever been offered to them for fale?-That I cannot fpeak to.
    Do you know that it was put up for public fale? - No, I do not know thate

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    You fay that the fhip is gone out for copper; do you conceive that that is the principal part of the voyage, or is it only an incidental part, in cafe copper can be procured there?-I really cannot fay; I think copper was intended for the dead weight of the fhip.

    Was that the object of going to Valparairo ?-To find part of the catgo.
    She carried out a large cargo to Valparaifo?-Yes, a very large cargo.
    Of what?-Various articles of manufacture from this country.
    And hoping to difpofe of that cargo at Valparaifo, it was intended to carry on fomething elfe to India?-Yes.

    Of which, copper was to be part, if it could be got?-Yes.
    Committee.]-What were the manufactures taken from this country? Woollen cloth, principally.
    Mr. Spankie.]-Any others?-Iron Manchefter goods, and glafs ware.
    Committee.]-Many of thofe things of a defcription that would not be fent out to India direct, or be difpofed of in fuch a direct voyage?-They were fent to be fold at Valparaifo:

    They were things that could not be difpofed of in India ?-They were of a defcription of goods that would not have anfwered in India at all.

    Therefore they would not have been exported, but for this circuitous voyage? No, but for this voyage.

    Mr. Spankie.]-You do not know any thing about the production of copper in that country yourfelf?-I do not.
    Or what articles are furnihed by that country, adapted to the Indian market, befides?-I do not.
    Is it fheet copper ?-I believe not; it is in bricks or flabs; there is fome of it in this country now.
    Have you feen it here?-Yes, it is to be had here.
    Is it to be put in competition with the Britifh copper ?-No, it is not manufactured in the fame manner.
    Is it equally applicable to the purpofes of fhip-building ?-It is not at all intended for that purpofe.
    That fort of copper probably out of which the common utenfils are made in India?-I believe, it is intended for the ufe of the natives in India.

    This is one of the firf adventures that have taken place fince thefe voyages were permitted ? - It is the firft I have known of.

    Do you know whether, befides the copper, it is expected that fome part of the cargo may be in fpecie ; dollars from Valparaifo to India ?-I do not know that it is.

    ## [Examined by the Committee.]

    You fpeak of the fhip going, in a great meafure, for the purpofe of getting copper ; do you know any thing of the copper in that country? I do not.

    Do you know whether it is to be got in any quantity ? - No.
    Or the price? -The price is about half the price of Englifh manufactured copper In that country, I fuppofe.
    You fuppofe fo, you do not know it ?-I cannot feeak pofitively to that.
    What do you mean by not fpeaking pofitively; have you ever heard?-No.
    Then on what ground did you anfwer it was about half the price of Britifh çopper?-From the price it has been purchafed at here; it has been purchafed here at about 581. a ton, and Britifh copper would come to 901 . or a 1001 .

    Britifh copper was felling at 901 or a $100 \%$ a ton, when this was at 581. ? -Yes.

    Then it was inferior to Englifh ?-Certainly.
    What purpofes is it applied to ?-Making utenfils for the Natives, I underfand.
    Have you any doubt that lower price was from the inferiority of the material ?Certainly not.

    Did you ever know a thip covered with that fpecies of copper ?-No.
    Was it in the fame ftate of manufacture as Briiif copper, when it fold at that price? -That I cannot feeak to.
    [The following Papers, marked X \& Y, were delivered in, and read; being thofe referred to in the Evidence of Mr. Lavie and Mr. Fagg, on the 17 th.]

    ## ABSTRACT (X.)

    " According to the return of Eaft India-built Thips,which have obtained Britifh regifters fince 1793 , prefented to the Houfe of Commons on the rith of May 1813, per Houfe of Commons, printed Paper 245, and Abitract (B,) Minutes of Evidence page 14. The fame amounted to

    | 76 Ships - - - tonnage without fraCtions - 47,449 |  |
    | :---: | :---: |
    | 11 Ships'- added per Return 23d of April 1814,Minutes of Evidence, page 87 - tonnage 9,443 |  |
    | 6 Ships - added per Return 24th of May 1814, $\}$ tonma |  |
    | Minu | 2,726 |
    | I Ship.- The Inglis, not included in any of the? |  |
    | faid Returns, -but being one of the eight $\}$ tonnage 1,32 |  |
    |  | 1,32 |
    | 94 Ships | 60,939 |

    To be accounted for, and accounted for by Abfràt (Y.)
    In the above flatement are not included two other flips in Abftract (A.) viz. the Honourable Company's own fhips, the Sir Edward Hughes and Britannia, which were in the fervice before 1793, and have been fome time out of it; nor four out of the five other flips' referred to in that Abitract (A.), Juch four fhips not having arrived in England.

    ## ABSTRACT (Y.)

    Mr. Matthew Fagg.

    A LIST of all the SHIPS and VESSELS built in Asia, which have been admitted to Regiftry in Great Britain, from ift January 1794, according to Abftract (X.); with the Name and Tonnage of each Ship and Veffel, and diftinguihing the Countries and Places where built, when admitted to Britifh Regiftry, and how employed or difpofed of.

    | Ships Names. | Where Bailt. | Date of Regintr. | Tounages | Total Tonaage. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Employed in the Eaft India Company's Regular Service. |  |  |  |  |
    | Surat Caftle - | Bombay, | Sept. 28 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1796, | 1,139 |  |
    | Scaleby Caftle - | Ditto | Oct. 11 - 7799 | 1,237 |  |
    | Thomas Grenville - | Ditto | March 5 - $\ddagger 812$ | 923 |  |
    | Moffat : - | Calcutta | July 16 - | 750. |  |
    | Inglis - <br> Charles Grant - | Penang | $\overline{3 i}-$ - | 1,321 |  |
    | Charles Grant - | Bombay | Nov, 11. | 1, $5^{2} 2$ |  |
    | Cafte Huntley, | Calcuta. |  | 1,279 $\mathbf{x}, 353$ |  |
    | Employed in the Eant India Company's Extra, Service $\longrightarrow$, 9,254 |  |  |  |  |
    | Union -- | Calcuita | May $21 . \therefore 1802$ |  |  |
    | Sir William Pulteney | Ditto | Nov. $28 \therefore 1804$ | 609 |  |
    | Larkins - - | Ditto, | Augutit 5 , 181, | 676 |  |
    | ${ }^{\text {Dairlie }}$ Scott | - Ditto | Nov. 22. | 755 |  |
    | James Sibbald - | Ditto | -17-1813 | $773:$ 667 |  |

    Employed in the Private Trade between this Country and India.
    
    
    
    

    Mr. Germain Lavie, called in; and examined by Mr: Spankie.

    Mr.
    Germain Lavic. DID you make that Abftract (Z.) from the Accounts referred to ?-Yes. [The Account was delivered in, and read, as follows:]

    ## ABSTRACT (Z.)

    ABSTRACT from Two Statements delivered by the Navy Office, 19 th of May and
     of Englifh oak timber, knees, 'thickftuff and plank, expended in His Majefty's reveral Dock Yards, compared to 'the expenditure of Foreign oak timber, knees, thickftuff and plank, in the following years.

    | DESCRIPTION. | 1803. | 1804. | 1805. | 1806. | 1807. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Englifh oak timber andknees \} in rough contents - - $\}$ <br> Englifh thickftuff and plank - | Loads. <br> 21,427 <br> 7,823 | Loads. <br> 30,728 <br> i2,105 | Loads. <br> 25,556 <br> 6,266 | Loads. $37,8.13$ $9,048$ | Loadg. 23,903 10,330 |
    | Total | 29,250 | $4{ }^{4}, 83 \dot{3}$ | 31,822 | -46,861 | 34,233 |
    | Foreign oak timber and $\}$ knees <br> Foreign thickftuff and plank - | 5,937 | -2,709 | 1,295 | $\square$ | 5,365 |
    |  | 4,847 | -5,852 | 8,389 | 8,169 | 6,232 |
    |  | 10,784 | -8,561 | 9,684 | ${ }^{-12,371}$ | 11,597 |

    

    Did you make that Abfract (U.) from the Accounts referred to?-Yes, I did.
    [It was delivered in, and read, as follows:]

    ## ABSTRACT (U.)

    ABSTRACT of feveral Accounts of the Ships built in the River Thames, from 1794 to 1813 , both inclufive, for the fervice of the Eaft India Company, and for Government; diftinguifhing the Number and Tonnage of each; built by the five principal Builders by whom thofe Accounts have been rendered to the Committee, as per pages of Minutes here fpecified.
    

    It appears by Mr. Truefi's evidence, page 372, that three other hips were, in the laft in years, built by Mr. Meftaer, for the fervice of the Eaft India Company, making the whole number 98.

    Look at that AbItract (W.), did you make that out from the Accounts to which it refers?-I did.
    [It was delivered in, and read, as follows:]
    (72.) 7 U ABSTRACT

    ## abstract (W.)

    ABSTRACT from Two Accounts in the Minutes, pages 400 and 434 ; Thowing -the number of Workmen employed by Meffrs. Wigram \& Co.; and of the India--men built by them in each year; from 1804 to 1813 , inclufive.

    | Years. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Workmen } \\ \text { employed by } \\ \text { Meffrs. Wigram \& } \\ \text { Co. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Indiamen built. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1804. <br> 1805 <br> 1806. <br> 1807. <br> 1808. <br> 1809. <br> 1810. <br> 18 Ir . <br> 1812. <br> 1813. | 498. 604. 598. 505. 496. 462. 554. 616. 392. 738. | None. <br> None, <br> One. <br> None. <br> Twa. <br> None. <br> One. <br> Three. <br> One. <br> One. |

    ABSTRACT from Two Accounts in the Minutes, pages 400 and 357 ; fhowing the number of Workmen employed by Meffrs. Brent and Co. ; and of the Indiamen built by them in each year; from 1804 to 1813 , inclufive.

    | Years | Workmen employed by Meffrs. Brent \& Co | Indiamen built. |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1804. <br> 1805 <br> 1806. <br> 1807. <br> 1808. <br> 1809. <br> 18 IO. <br> 18ir. <br> 1812. <br> 1813. | $\begin{aligned} & 401 . \\ & 418 . \\ & 364 . \\ & 289 . \\ & 403 . \\ & 528 . \\ & 452 . \\ & 483 . \\ & 452 . \\ & 134 . \end{aligned}$ | None. <br> None. <br> None. <br> None. <br> None. <br> None. <br> None. <br> One. <br> Two. <br> None, |

    Committee.]-Is this Abftract made out from the accounts to which it refers, and zone other ?-It is.
    [The following Extract, dated 23d April 1787, was read from the Journals of the Houfe of Commons: Volume 42, page 656.]
    " A Petition of the united fhipwrights of the private yards of Great Britain, and particularly in and about this metropolis, was prefented to the Houfe, and read: Setting forth, that the Petitioners, who in the courfe of the late war were engaged in building the major part of His Majefty's Navy, unwilling to fhew any difcontent, had for fome time ftruggled with difficulties to fupport themfelves and families, owing to the ftop of that valuable branch of fhip-building, and are now reduced to fuch a low degree, that they are under the neceffity of imploring the Houre to take into confideration fuch meafures as they thall think meet; many hundred of the Petitioners in and about the metropolis, being at this time out of employment, and their families in great diftrefs: And that the Petitioners are willing to engage in any employ to fupport themfelves and families, which the Houfe fhall think convenient, as there feems at prefent to be almoft a total fop put to Chip-building: And that the Petitioners have already preferred a Petition to His Majefty, flating their diftrefs,
    which the Petitioners prefume cannot be complied with, without the in. terpofition of Parliament; and they hope that their great diftrefs, together with the importance of the fervice they have been engaged in, may be confidered an object worthy its attention; and therefore praying the Houfe to take the premifes into confideration, and to grant them fuch relief as may, upon the fulleft enquiry be thought fit, reafonable, and convenient.
    " Ordered, That the faid Petition do lie upon the table."

    ## Mr. George Morris called in; and examined by Mr. Spankie.

    YOU are in the employ of Meffrs, Larkin and Morris?-I am.
    Do you know Meffrs. Richardfon and Son, timber merchants at Limehoufe? I do.

    Do you know Mr. Chriftopher Richardfon, junior?-I do ; I know them both.
    In the month of May laft, towards the end of that month, did you go to their premifes for the purpofe of feeing any timber ?-I went to view the timber, to fee if they had any fit for the Government contract.

    Timber of fixty feet metings, and thereabouts?-Timber fit for the contract.
    Did you fee any timber of that defcription there, and what quantity?-None; I faw a few pieces fit for the contract fize, and Ifaw fome that were not fit.

    Who did you fee?-I faw the foreman of the yard.
    Did you know him to be the foreman of the yard?-I did not afk him ; but from his manner of coming to me, I fuppofed he was.

    He acted as fuch ? -Yes; I knew the yard.
    You had been there before? -Yes; I had been there often, and did not want any perfon to fhew it me.

    Did you tell him you wanted timber of that defcription?-Yes.
    Was he acting as Mr. Richardfon's fervant or foreman? - As a foreman.
    Can you tell us at what time in May it was?-I cannot now recollect; I fuppofe about a month ago, from my being out, or fix weeks ago.

    Was it after the receipt of a letter from Mr. Sparrow on the fubject ?-Yes, I undertood fo from my brother.

    Is that the letter? (banding it to the witnefs.) - I have not feen this letter before; I know the hand-writing very well to be that of the gentleman who has figned it.
    Now defcribe the fort of timber you found on Mr. Richardfon's premifes? That which was the largeft timber, was fome trees of about thirty feet metings; I afked if it was the largeft, and they faid it was.

    Did you explain to the perfon you faw, the object of your coming? -I told him, I underftood Mr. Richardfon had a large quantity of large timber to difpofe of, and his anfwer was, they had none.
    Did he fay whether he had recently difpofed of any ?-No, he did not.
    What might be the quantity you found there ?-Not thirty load of that which he Thewed me as large, certainly, and but little in the yard that I faw befides of any thing like the fize, except two trees that I faw two or three years ago, (I recognized them) when I went to view a former lot of timber of his; they were large defective trees.
    You had formerly looked at a lot of timber there, which was offered to Mr. Larkins and your brother?-Yes; but the quality was fuch, that it would not do for the Government contract; I had a purveyor with me.
    About what metings was that timber, which you had looked at two years before? -What remained are near 100 feet; what the others were, I cannot fay now; but large.
    You rejected it, becaure it was infit for Government purpofes? -IIt was unfound; the King's purveyor with me would not mark it.

    Who was that purveyor?-Benjamin Ramage.
    You are occafionally employed, I believe, to look at the timber that is bought for Government?-I am.
    Have you been in Suffex lately to look at fome felled on Sir Godfrey Webter's eftate P-I have; it is fmall.
    How many trees have been felled there? -Perhaps from two to three thoufand, of which I faw, I think, about fifteen hundred.

    What was the proportion of large trees fit for the Government contrat, in that quantity? -There might be a confiderable quantity, fit for planking.

    But I mean large timber of fixty feet metings? ?Not above forty load, out of is. the whole fifteen hundred that I faw.

    Was what you faw, part of the general fall 3-I underfood as good as any.
    Small and large, it was not any particular defcription of timber?-It was one quantity that lay near us.

    You faw about fifteen hundred trees? -There was about that number, I I uppofe.
    And of that there was about forty load of fixty feet metings?-Yes.

    ## [Crofs-examined by Mr. Harrifon.]

    In what fituation are you?-Agent or clerk in the houre; I have been generally in-doors of late years; in and out and attending to the books.

    To Meffrs, Larkin's and Morris?-Yes.
    You went to Mr. Richardfon's yard ?-Yes.
    And there you faw fomebody in the yard; whoit was yois cannot tell us?-I have no doubt from his manner he was a foreman.

    Then you have no other reafon to fuppofe fo but that?-I did not care about feeing him, becaufe I knew where the timber lay, and could have found it if it had been there.

    Do you know whether Mr. Richardfon keeps all the timber he has in his yard ?He may have it in the country.

    Then what Mr. Richardfon might have, that he had left in places till he wanted it, or had fale for it, you know nothing of ?-No.

    All you know of was what you faw in his premifes? - Yes.
    Is it not worth Meffrs. Larkin's and Morris's while to give a higher price for timber, for inftance, at any of the yards on the Thames, than for timber in a different fituation?-If they bought it in the country, they would calculate the freight to bring it to the yards.

    And in the River, whether it was ten miles up the River or below bridge, would make a difference?-Of courfe.

    Therefore it would be worth while to give eight or nine pounds, or any price of that fort, for timber in the yards upon the River, for which they would not give more than 71 . 10s. at a diftance higher up the River?-They calculate always the expenfe of bringing it to the River or the yards where they can make ufe of it.

    You went down to this timber of Sir Godfrey Webfter ?-I did.
    For what ?-I was upon eftates there meafuring the timber, and with a perfon marking; and I was defired to go over that timber, to have it marked for the King's yards.
    And among other timber that you went over was fome of Sir Godfrey Webiter's? - Yes.

    Whofe elfe?-I meafured Lord Afhburnham's.
    Had you a good lot altogether of different proprietors? -I only went to meafure that of Lord Afhburnham's.

    Was that a tolerably good quantity ?-Yes.
    What was the quantity ? -About 1,300 trees.
    Of what metings do you calculate ?-They may be about twenty feet; they may perhaps be rather under.

    Not more than twenty feet metings, round meafure ? -Not generally; and a fall taken down altogether is not generally of that meting.
    Round meafure you fpeak of?-Yes.
    That is plank timber, I fuppofe?-There is a great deal of it plank timber; it is bought at a kind of felection; I was only to take what fuited.
    AtSir Godfrey Webfter's you faw the lot you have defcribed?-About 1,500 trees,
    The reft of his timber you did not fee ?-Not the reft that was felled; it was further off.
    Of what you faw of Sir Godfrey Webfter's, you have given us the proportion of large trees?-I have.

    You did not fend to Mr. Richardion or his fon, to fay you were going that day to the yard ? -No.
    Merely looked in cafually to fee what they had got ?-I looked into the yard to fee the timber he had got.

    ## [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]

    Mr.
    Gerge Morris.

    When you went to Mr. Richardfon's yard, did you tell the foreman, or the perfon you fuppofed to be the foreman, that you came to look at fome timber ?-Yes; that I wanted to look at fome large timber which I underftood they had for fale.

    You have been afked as to the price of timber; is not the ufual mode of buying timber, wherever it is bought, to buy it at the delivery price in London?-Of courfe; that is the calculating price.

    So that if you had bought any timber of Mr. Richardfon, you would have given nearly the fame price that you would have given at Newberry ?-IfI had bought it at Newberry, I Thould have expected the freight to be deducted.

    To be delivered in the River?-Yes.
    So that the price does not vary wish the diftance, but it is governed by the quality ? -Certainly; ruled by the freight or expenfe of delivery to the yards.

    ## [Examined by the Committte.]

    What freight do Meffrs. Larkins and Morris pay for fending their timber from the River to the yards? -It depends on the fituation; from two and three pounds to five fhillings per load, according tà diftance.

    Is it an advantage to them to fide it, fo as to reduce the freight before they fend it?-Of courfe; there is then the lefs quantity.

    And when it is in a fituation that that cin be done, fo as to reduce the freight, of courfe it is an advantage to them?-It is an advantage, as having the lefs to carry.

    ## Mr. Jobn Staples called in, and examined by Mr. Spankis.

    YOU are a timber furveyor, I believe ?-Yes.
    Are you agent to any gentlemen of that county, who are proprietors of timber eftates?-A great many.
    How many ?-I Thould think thirty.
    Are you acquainted with the fate of the timber in that county generally ?-All the weftern part of the county.

    Tell us the names of the gentlemen who have eftates with timber on them there $\gamma$ -The Dyke family; there are four of them.

    Whereabouts are their eftates ? - In the neighbourhood of Farmingham, Orpinigton, the Crays, Shoreham, and in different parts roundCo lonel Lambert's, Mr. Polhill's. Lord Amherf I do fome bufinets for, but 1 am not agent for the whole of his property; I know his property.

    What quantity of timber fit for the Navy may there be on all the eftates with which you are acquainted?-1 Thould think they do not exceed 2;000 trees that would mete at 60 feet fquare meafure.

    How many acres of wood-land do you take into account, when you fay that would be the quantity to be received from it?-1 am agent for 6,000 acres of wood-land upon the different eftates.

    And out of thofe 6,000 acres, is that the quantity of timber of 60 feet metings? - Yes; it does not exceed 2,000 trees that would mete at 60 feet fquare meafure. You are acquainted with the timber in the country, generally, befides thofe 6,000 acres? -There is very little of the county of Kent but what I know. - ;
    What quantity of timber may there be in the county of Kent befides that? I have a knowledge of about 10,000 acres of wood-land in Kent befides

    Committee.]-Is that the Church-wood?-Shooters Hill cover is the neareft this way that I know of.

    Mr. Spankie.]-Upon thofe 10,000 acres what quantity may there bee?-I thould think it does not exceed 3,000 trees that would average 60 feet metings, fquare meafure.

    How much more wood-land is there in the whole county, befides thofe $i 6,000$ acres, in your opinion ?-I hould not think there were more than 5,000 acres bé-: fides: I cannot fpeak to a certainty.

    You have perfonal knowledge of thofe fix thoufand acres to which you are agent; in what manner have you acquired your knowledge of the ten thoufand acres; have (72.)

    M $k r$. Jabn St $f$ ghles.
    you been in the habit of looking at that for any purpofes? -No purpofes particuJarly, but by going round the wopds and through the woods at different times, .

    Did you turn your attention to it as a man accuftomed to look at fuch things?
    -Yes.
    And formed an eftimate in your own mind, comparing that timber with other timber you hadd feen ?-Yes.

    You are often on thofe eftates?-Yes.
    So thạt your opinion is not formed upon a fingle view ?-Certainly not.
    Are you acquainted with the wood near Canterbury, or rather the wood which belongs to the See of Canterbury ?-I have been in fome part of the Boughton woods.
    Committce. 9 -Thofe are called Church Woods, are they not?i-I believe they are'; I have not a perfect knowledge of thofe woods; I know fome parts of them.

    Mr. Spankie.]-It is a very large diftrict of wood, I believe?-Yes, it is.
    Of what fize and quality is the wood, as far as you have obferved it?-To the beft of my knowledge there are hot twa thoufand trees in the whole range of woods that would mete at fixty feer.

    It is an extenfive range, I believe?-I hould think it was fourteen or fifteen hundred acres, to the beft of my knowledge; I have not fo good a knowledge of that wood as I have of many others.
    Howy do you clafs that?-Not among the ten thoufand; it is exclufive of the fixteen thoufand.

    It is claffed among the five thoufand ?-Yes.
    You are acquainted with the timber in Dorfethire?-I have been over great part of the county of Dorfethire.

    How lately ?-Three years ago.
    You were acquainted with phe late Mr. Sturt ?-Yes,
    What is the general fate of the timber in that county ; have you feen it at different times?-Yes; I have feen it as many as four or five times.

    In your opinion has that county been exhaufted of timber? It has been very much exhaufted within the laft ten years.
    Speaking of Kent, has that been exhaufted within the laft ten years ?-Very much.
    Particularly in the larger timber ?-Yes.
    Can you ftate, from your obfervation in the counties with which you are ac. quainted, whether any confiderable quantity of oak-timber is employed in any other purpofes than thip-building? For carpenters, builders, and wheel-wrighto,
    What proportion of the timber cut, may be emplopyed in thofe ways within the laft ten years? - I hould think tyo-thirds:
    "Two thirds of what is cut, in your knowledge, is employed in internal confümption, diftinat from hhip-building ?-Yes.

    What are the principal objects diftingt from hippbuilding in which oaktimber, is confumed ? Building.
    Building houfes?-Houfes, park palings, fences; and buildings of different defriptions,
    ${ }_{1}$ Tinuth ufed in locks and canaly, ftemp-engines, and machinery ? At times.
    Committee. .7-Dàyou think the confumption, in thofe particulars, has much in-creafed?-I hould think, the confumption for the laft three years has not beeñfo muctias it was before.'
    Mr. Spankie.]-D'o you know Swánfcombe wood?-Yes.
    is: that the wood you was fpeaking of as Boughton wood?-Non; Swanfcombe wood is near Northfleet.
    You are arquainted with that? Yes.
    What quantity of wood is there there? -There are about three hundred acres. of wood-land; I hould think there are not two thandred trees that will exceed the. metings of fixty feat; there is a great fucceffion of the fmall timber.
    "With refped to the cợnty of Kent, withia your qbfervation, has the quantity of timber of that fize decreafed or increafed during the time you, have known it? Decreafed within the laft ten or fifteen years.
    In what proportion, feeaking generally, as to timber of fixty feet meings? - I fhopy think there is not one-third that there was fifteen years ago.
    $\therefore$ There is a good fucceflion, you fay? - A great fuccetion on all the etates I know.

    How foon will that come into ufe as timber of fixty feet metings ? - Ait leant thisty years; not lefs than thirty years.

    So that if that timber were allowed to fand the ordinary time, how lond would it be before Kent, and thore counties, with which you are aequainted, would be fo well flocked with large timber as they were fifteen years ago? - Forty years.

    Of the fine timber now ftanding in thofe counties; what proportion of it thay be confidered ornamental timber, flanding in parks, or as ornamentst to gentletten's feats?-I thould think one half of the timber that is fit for the Navy is othamental timber, and timber that gentlemen do not like to ciut.

    Is that fort of timber. likely to be obtained as a fupply for the Navy, or is it timber likely to be fold, generally f lpeaking ? -I think it is tot likely to be fold ; gentlemeh would not cutit.

    > [Cro/s-examined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    How long have you been employed as agent in this part of the country P-I Was in the habit of attending wish'my father for twenty yeats; fry father has beent deal five years; ever fince I wast ten' years old.

    Do you know. Mr. Larkins or Mr. Morris at all? - Yes, I know Mr. Latkins and Mr. Morris both very well.

    You have been in the habit of doing bulinefs with them, I Deliefe?-I haved fold timber to them many times.

    A great deal of your dealings has been with themis-I have fold them fome fears a great deal.

    And year by year you fell them fome?-I geterally fell them fome every yeari
    Do you not fell to them more thin to any body elle? - Yes, I dot
    More than to all the reft of the world pat ingether pethaps ? $\boldsymbol{\text { w }}$ I fell to twency or five-and-twenty carpenters ; but Meifrs. Morris and Larkins take all the tintber that is fit for thei Navy, or nearty foy there are Mr. Alexander anid oftrer deakets I fell to.
    Perbaps it is on accoment of Fome coinminhication with them thid you :are Aere to.day? - It was thirough Mr. Lavie I reteived my fummorls.
    ls it in confequence of fome communcation with either Mr. Larkins or dift Morris that you are hiere to day ?-It is not within mon kinowledged.

    Have you had any communication wich either of them that brings you here to-day ? - I heard from Mr. John Morris fome titine back; that there was an enquiry making about the timber in diferent counties that was fit for the Nary's and he faid that he thought thar if hould be called uponi-
    You have mo acquaintance with: Mz, Lavie' 1 prefimey.'bto whaz totald arife through'Mr: Larkinc or Mr:'Monis? - None in particular.

    I think you have fated that your knowledige of the coonty of Kem, as tot turnbely is principaly in the weft - Yes

    Your knowledge of other parts' in Eaft Kent is lefs perfecti? $\sim$ Yessi!'
    Boughrank is in Eaft Kent? - Yes, is is:
    Do you know; in 2 general way, whent the the caf or weft is comididered tho mof wooded part of Kerit ${ }^{2}$-The:weft; Ibeliere:
    
    Of that particularly you feem not to have any grear knowletge ? ? thorough knowledge.
    In the parts you know, r underftand yoi to lay, there ts a very good fucceeflioz of timber?-Yes, upon all the eftates.
    Has that fuccefion, and molt particularty of late years, been very affiduouly maintained - -Verye
    The landed proprietors of Kent, confidering trimber a valuable part of their property, have beftowed great attention on the prefetvation and ibtppeviencentoly $f$ ? Very great indeed.
    
    Is thert: any dimination, Ido -rot mean particularly of the laitge fiteot timbery but
     of fmadrunatict!
    Sor that the pronife in the county of Kenr it, thise thete will beini fotupedirite aso much as there has been at any time paft ?-Equally fo.

    Is not that timber in progreflive promife; is not there fome fifteen and twenty feet round meafure, while there is fome growing up from the acorn, and fo on to ten feet and upwards?-Certainly.
    That from fifteen to twenty feet grows fafter than that which is younger and in the rear of it, does not it?-Yes, on fome foils.
    Kent is in general a good oak county, I underfand?-I believe it is; it is reckoned fo.
    Therefore the progrefs of timber in Kent, upon an average, will be rather a favourable and good progrefs ?-Yes, certainly.

    That the large timber in Weft Kent hould have been cut a great deal during twenty years war, is quite inevitable, confidering how near it is to the Dock Yards, and the many other favourable circumftances which make it a refource?-Yes.

    And in thofe parts, there is a fucceffion coming forward to fupply a fimilar refource, after a certain number of years?-Certainly.

    Will not a portion of that refource be coming forward in ten or fifteen years?There will be a great deal in fifteen years, but a great deal more in thirty years.

    When you fpeak of two-thirds of the oak timber being applied to domeftic purpofes, do you mean the oak timber of fixty feet metings which is cut, or that which is cut periodically for thinning, or other purpofes?-I mean large and fmall timber too; two-thirds of the whole that has been cut within the laft ten or fifteen years.

    Do you mean that two-thirds of the large timber has been applied to domeftic purpofes?-I mean two-thirds of the timber that has been cut.

    Suppofing ninety to be the fum cut, divide that into thirds, perhaps two-thirds will be timber below fixty feet metings?-Yes.

    Of that, nearly the whole-will be applied to domeftic'purpofes? - Yes, certainly.
    Then of that which amounted to fixty feet metings, nearly the whole would be applied to naval purpofes ?-Certainly.

    Then when you fpeak of two-thirds of the timber cut being applied to domeftic purpofes, you mean only that two-thirds of the timber cut is unfit for naval pur-pofes?-Yes.

    Being cut to thin the woods and encourage the growth of that which hereafter will be fit for naval purpofes?-Certainly.

    If the growth of oak is encouraged in the way it now promifes to be, have you any doubt that the fucceffion will afford a fufficient fupply, as it has done heretofore ? - Certainly, after the time I mention.

    That is, from fifteen.to thirty or forty years, and fo on ?-Xes.
    [Re-examined by Mr. Spankie.]
    Suppofing the fame demand, or any thing like the fame demand for naval timber, were to take place during the next thirty years in this country, do you think the county of Kent, and the other counties with which you are acquainted, could fupply anything like the quantity of chip-building timber which they have fupplied within the laft fifteen or twenty years ?-Certainly not.

    You have flated that there is a great fucceffion coming forward; how foon do you conceive that that fuicceffion, in its prefent progrefs, could come into ufe for naval purpofes?-There would be fome come into ufe in fifteen or twenty years, but no great quantity ; there are always trees of fifteen or twenty feet that grow very faft, that would be fit in fifteen of twenty years more, but only a fmall quantity.

    The great proportion would come into ufe at a much more diftant period?Certainly, thirty or forty years.

    Committee.]-Do not they fometimes require large timber for the canal locks?Very often.

    Timber of more than fixty feet metings?-Sometimes.

    ## Mr. Thomas Clare called in; and examined by Mr. Spaneiz.

    Mr.
    Tbomas Clare.

    YOU are a timber furveyor, I believe ?-Yes.
    Where do you live?-Ar Billinghurt in Suffex.
    How long have you been in the timber trade? -Forty years nearly.
    Are you acquainted with the county of Suflex, generally ?-The weftern part of Suffex I am, and the eaftern part of Hamphine.

    In thofe counties, has the quantity of thip-building timber, of fixty feet metings or thereabouts, increafed or decrealed within your obfervation?-Decreafed very much.

    Of the quantity now adapted for fhip-building purpofes, what quantity do you confider ornamental timber ?-The principal part of the timber that is now flanding, except on a few eftates, is ornamental timber.

    Are you acquainted with the Duke of Richmond's eftate? - Yes.
    Has he any quantity of bip-building timber, independent of ornamental timber? - No, he has not.

    What quantity may the Duke of Richmond have, independent of ornamental timber? ? I hould think not 500 load fit for the Navy.

    Are you acquainted with Mr. Prefton, a timber dealer?-I know Mr. Prefon, the old gentleman.

    Do you know a timber eftate at Stroud that he was after ?-Yes, very well ; Mr. Commerell's, near Horfham.

    What is the quantity of timber on that eftate?-The quantity of timber on that eftate; I viewed it about four years ago, and I did not make four hundred load of Navy timber, except that he would not have cut, twenty feet metings.

    What might be the value of that timber?-Six thoufand pounds I bid for that which was marked for fale; there were fome ornamental trees, out of which he might cut about fifty more.

    What might be the value of the whole of that?-If he cut the timber very bare, he would cut about $7,000 \%$. worth, unlefs he cut down lower than what is ufed for the Navy.

    There is a good fucceffion of timber coming up in the county of Suffex, I believe?-Yes; it is very finall.

    How foon will it come into ufe ?-Not in my time.
    How foon will it be, in your opinion, before the county of Suffer will be as well flocked with timber as it was ten, fifteen, or twenty years ago ?-It will take forty or fifty years to come; and I do not fuppofe it will ever be fo well fupplied as it was, becaufe there is a great deal of it grubbed up.

    Explain why you think fo?- $\Lambda$ great deal of the land is grubbed up where they ufed to grow a great deal of timber, and therefore there can be no more there.

    So that befides the quantity which has been confumed during the laft fifteen or twenty years, there is not fo much wood land as formerly ? - No, there is not.

    Does the fame obfervation apply to the county of Hants-that part of it with which you are acquainted ?-Yes, it does.

    Have you found any difficulty, in the courfe of your bufinefs, in obtaining a fupply of the large timber of fixty feet me;ings? -Yes.

    ## [Crofs-cxamined by Mr. Adolpbus.]

    Timber is quite out of favour in Suffex ; they grub it up and take no care of planting it, or any thing about it ?-Not quite out of favour ; it is very much in favour, if they had it.

    So much, that they are fond of grubbing it up ?-After the timber is cut off, it is no ufe to let it fland there for a man's life-time.

    Is it within your knowledge that there is a great deal more planted than grubbed up? -No, that cannot be; quite the reverfe.

    Then it comes to what I faid firft, that it is fo little in favour, they are grubbing it up faft?-The high price of corn induces a great number of people to grab up their land.:

    Therefore to your knowledge, I fuppofe, fome thoufand acres have been grubbed up?-No doubt of it; I believe I bave grubbed up near twenty acres of my omn, which never was cultivated as a farm before.

    And planted more inftead? -No.
    Do you know Mr. Morris? - I know Mr. Morris.
    Your fon is in his employ?-Yes; I have known Mr. Morris a great many years; he was an agent as well as myrelf.

    Yqu talk of the Duke of Richmond's; have you ever been to view or xalue that?-I bought timber on the Duke of Richmond's eftate.

    You bought the timber that was determined to be felled?-It was felled.
    You have not viewed the eftate to take an account of what timber there really was?-No, I have not.

    You have not any particliar knowledge, only a general view of his effate? Yes; I know very well that the timber is nearly all cleared off.

    Mr. And none planted; no fucceffion?-There is fucceffion in the copfes, to be fure, becaufe young timber will come where the old is gone.

    But all very fmall?-Mofly very fmall, except the elm and beech.
    And the little that is large is ornamental timber, I dare fay?-The large timber is ornamental timber in the park; round the houfe principally.

    This eftate that old Mr. Prefton went to view, Commerell's eftate, cannot be worth 15 or $20,000 \mathrm{l}$ ?-No.
    You looked at it to purchafe it ?-No, Mr. Commerell employed me to value timber for him, and gave me two guineas a day.

    Perhaps Mr. Prefton knows when he is going to buy, whether the timber upon an eftate is worth 15 or 20,000 . or not? ? 1 fhould think fo; he has been at it long enough.

    And he is a man to be believed? -I fuppofe fo; but if he was to buy it when it was cut and meafured, he never can find that money on the eftate; the wood would not produce any thing like it.
    You confine your acquaintance to the weft of Suffex ?-Yes.
    Is Lord Newport's eftate on your fide the county? -Yes.
    Has he much timber ? - No, very little oak.
    Lord Selfey? -Lord Selfey has got fome.
    A good deal ?-He has been cutting it lately very bare.
    Lord Robert Spencer ?-Lord Robert Spencer's timber is very nearly all gone.
    Mr. Taylor's? -His timber is very much thinned.
    Very little oak?-Very little left.
    Mr. Twyford?-I do not know.
    Lord Egmont ? -Lord Egmont has got a good deal of timber, but it is finall.
    Mr. Thomas? - He has but very little.
    Do you know Mr. Townfend's eftate ?-No, I never was over it.
    The Charworth Eftate? -No, I do not know that.
    Then the refult of your obfervation upon Suffex is, that it never will be ftocked as it has been, with large timber?-If you give it a refpite for one hundred years, there will be nearly as much timber as ever there was.
    Is there not a fufficient fucceffion planted to re-produce all the fock that has been taken away? -No.

    There is a confiderable quantity in hedge rows?-Yes, but it is fmall.
    Perhaps that mode of growing is encouraged in Suffex P-It is fo.
    Do you know any thing of Sir Charles Burrell's eftate?-Yes.
    Is there any timber upon that? - Yes, there is timber, but it is fmall young timber, principally indeed, in a manner of feaking, there is no old timber upon it, nor upon Walter Burrell's either, nor is there at Southwater; I will not fay what he has at cther places.
    Do you know the Duke of Norfolk's eftate at Arundel ?-Some of it.
    Is there any timber there? -There is fome timber about the eftates.
    Has a great deal of timber been bought and fold cut in Suffex within late years? - Yes.

    When did it ceafe to be fold; has it become fcarce at this day?-It has been fcarce for fome years.

    But fill it has continued to be fold ?-Yes, they continued to fell it while they could get it ; but it gets lefs and lefs.
    Is not there a confiderable quantity of timber in Kilford parifh?-Yes.
    All fmall?-It is much the fame as the other parts of the county ; it is principally fmall.
    What do you mean by principally, in number or in quantity?-In quantity; the trees are wonderful in number, but they are fmall.

    Then your opinion of the county of Suffex is, that the large timber is almont entirely cut away? -It is fo; there are very few eftates but what are drained very much.

    When did you receive notice that your were to come here as a witnefs? On Saturday.

    You never turned your attention to the fubject of being examined here before Saturday ? I did not know what I was going to fay when I came here, till that gentleman afked me.

    ## [Examined by the Committec.]

    You think the cultivation of corn more profitable than trees ?-I have a little land of my own; I have a very large family, and I was defirous to make the beft I could of it; I have a farm, and there was a god deal of large timber upon it, and we cut it all off; the wide hedge-rows were grubbed up and turned into thllage.
    And you did this with a view to its being more profitable than growing timber upon it?-Yes.

    And you think it is an advantage to grub up woods when they are cut down?That depends on what foil it is; a foil that will produce corn, when wheat is five-and-thirty pcunds a load, fhould be fowed with corn; no timber will produce equal to that.

    ## Martis, $21^{\circ}$ die Junii 1814.

    Sir ROBERT PEEL, BART. in The Chair.
    Robert Scppings, Efquire, again called in; and examined by The Committree.
    DO you know of any change that has taken place, or is about to take place, in the manner of conftruting large thips of war ?-Yes; there is a hip of war conftructing on a different principle from the common one.
    You know of a hip now under conftruction, upon a plan different from that which has been heretofore ufed ?-Yes, there is now a hip of that defcription.

    Will you ftate to the Committee what the differences are; and if you know, with what view thofe differences have been ordered?-There is a thip at Woolwich conftructing with fmall timber, the Thunderer.

    What is her fize?-A feventy-four gun thip.
    What is the difference between her conftruction in frame, and that which has been heretofore ufed generally in thips of the fame fize? -The principle on which the is confructed, is to apply fmall or frigate's timbers, to make them applicable to a thip of war of that fize.

    What is your opinion upon the probable refult of that experiment?-I Ihould hope it would be fuccefsful, being the projector of it.

    Whet do you expect from it?-I have every reafon to exped it will be fuccelsful.

    Do you think that that difference in the frame of that hip now under conftruction, will enable Government in future to build feventy-fours with frame timber, hertofore confidered only of fufficient fcantling for the confruction of frigates?Yes, I hould think it would.

    Do you think that this thip now building in that manner, will be to the full as ftrong in her frame, for all purpofes, of war and failing, as if he had been confructed in the ufual manner? - Yes, I have no doubt that the will be equal to a thip built on the old principle.

    Do you expect or hope to make any difference in her wear, from this change in her conftruction?-Yes; from making ufe of young timber, I hould fuppofe fhe would be more durable.
    Is that becaufe you think jounger timber more found and honeft to its fcantling, than older and larger timber?-That is the generally received opinion among practical perfons in fhip building.
    What were the caufes by which you were driven to the invention of this alteration in the confruction of hips of war ? It arofe from an accumulation of fmall timber in the Dock Yards.

    And from the diffculty of finding in the lots of timber with which you were fupplied, as much large and crooked limber as you wanted for conftructing thips in the ufual manner? - It arofe from an accumulation of fmall timber in the yards.
    How do you think that accumulation of fmall timber arofe ?-That accumulation arofe probably in fome degree from the receipts of a large quantity of fmall timber, and from the difcontinuing building frigates, in fome degree.
    In building, (certainly in orders given to the merchants yards), it is much wifhed by the private builder, that he fhould be permitted to build a fmaller fized thip,
    under a larger, for the convenience of ufing up the fmall timber?-Yes, that has been a generally received opinion, and it has been indulged in fome inflances.
    Perhaps the like convenience will be found in the King's yards in building a number of friall hips, in proportion to the large fhips built there; and you, from your experience in building in the King's Yard, will be able to fay ?-It has been always ufual in the King's Yards to build and repair large and fmall thips at the fame time.

    What is the reafon for that practice, and what the advantage arifing from it, do you think ?-The reafon of that practice was originally, that is the majority of the work was performed in the King's Yards, they embraced both the large and fmall clafs of fhips.

    What advantage in the converfion of the materials, principally of the timber, do you think arifes from that practice?-The timber received in the dock-yards is of various dimenfions, and of courfe, to make ufe of fuch timber, different claffes of flips mult be built and repaired.

    To convert that timber in the molt advantageous manner ?-Certainly, to apply it in the moft advantageous manner.

    Then you were driven to the invention of this plan, by which you can conftruct large fhips with fcantlings, hereofore applicable only to fmall ones, from the accumulation of fecondary fized timber in the King's Yards ?-Yes.

    It was not then from any extraordinary difficulty of procuring large fized timber? -Yes, alfo a difficulty in procuring the compafs large timber.

    But not wholly from the difficulty in procuriug compafs large timber ?-Chicfly from a difficulty in procuring compafs large timber.

    Do you expect, that fince this invention, the fame difficulty from the fearcity of the large compafs timber is likely or not to arife again?-Not in fo great a degree.

    Suppofing the building for the Navy fhould be carried on at the fame rate generally? -That queftion I cannot anfwer, becaufe I am not acquainted with the quantity of timber of that defcription in the country; I only collect my knowledge from the receipts into the Dock yards.

    But it is your opinion, that the effect of this invention will be to diminifh the neceffity for large compafs timber in future, in the conftruction of large lhips of war ? - In a great degree.

    Do you think fir timber fully adequate to the conftruction of frigates?-It has been found fo.

    Do you exped them to laft as long confructed of fir, as if conftructed of oak? Certainly not.

    You think them as ftrong and ferviceable for navigation as an oak-built hip ? For a time.

    For the time which their material will permit them to laft?-Certainly.
    Your obfervation upon fir frigates, which have been in action and come home, may not enable you to fay whether or not you think them equally proper for purpofes of war; that is, equally ftrong to refift the wear and tear of action? In never faw any thip fail particularly from being built with fir ; there is a popular opinion that is againgt the ufe of fir in action, that it fplinters.
    Do you agree in that opinion yourfelf?-Not altogether.
    Have you feen any fir frigates that have been in action, and have returned home ?-T No, I do not recollect a circumftance of the kind; but I have feen where thot bave paffed through oak fhips that have had fir planking.

    And from its appearance, do you think that that fir planking was as proper in that fituation, as oak planking would have been, for thofe thips?-I have feen oak which appeared to have fplintered as much as ever I did fir.

    Would you think fir equally as ftrong to make refiftance to a cannon ball as oak? -Yes, I fhould fuppofe pretty nearly equal; thére is not much difference; it would depend upon what defrription of fir it is; there are different kinds of fir.

    When you fay it will depend on what defcription of fir it is, do you mean, it will depend on whether it is American or Baltic fir ?-No; there are different kinds of fir imported from America, which differ from each other more than the American fir does from the Balic.
    Do you think any American fir equal to Baltic fir ?-I think fome fuperior; the red pine, and the pitch pine.
    Do you happen to know in what particular parts of America thofe two kinds of fir grow ?-The red pine grows in Canada, and the pitch pine further to the fouthward.

    But within the King's dominions? - I believe not the pitch pine.
    The red pine will grow above the falls in Canada ?-Certainly.
    If this new plan for conftructing large lhips hould be found upon experiment to :anfwer expectation, do you think that large fhips for Eaft India fervice, may be built, in time to come, of timber of fmaller fcantling than heretofore, fo as to be equally ftrong and durable?-If the plan is found to anfwer in line-ofbattle fhips, there can be no doubt of its anfwering in an Indiaman.

    On the whole, do you take fir frigates to be equal to thofe built of oak ?-Certainly not, in point of durability.

    Then believing them to be inferior, do you know any caufe that could have led to building them, befides the want of oak-timber?-No doubt it was to hulband up or fave the oak, that the building with fir was introduced.

    Was there not a great fcarcity in the yards, of from thirteen to fixteen-inch fidings prior to February laft, when the Board agreed to try your plan of building with fmall fcantlings? -Not fo much in the fidings as the form, except in one of the yards, which had a very great proportion of timber only applicable to frigates.

    Was there a want of the large fize timber ? -There was a want of large timber for particular purpofes.

    By the new conftruction, the timbers are more in number, and of fmaller fize? Yes; the timbers are more in number, and of fmaller fize.

    Would it not be for the public intereft to build and to repair with Englifh oak, in preference to American pine-timber, or timber from the Baltic ?-Certainly.

    Will not the reduction of the fcantling confume more trees?-No doubt of it.
    And will not the effect of that be, to confume the timber fafter than it would otherwife be confumed?-I believe there is a great proportion of the trees that are ufed in frigates, or that clafs of fhips that would never come to a fize applicable to large fhips; fol have been informed by timber merchants; from my own obfervation I cannot tell.

    What is the fized timber of which the large Indiamen are made ?-I have never feen but one drawing of an Indiaman's frame, and in that they ufed timber of equal fize to a feventy-four's; Indiamen are now conftructed of timbers as large as the feventy-fours.

    You do not know the refult of this experiment? -It is going on now; it is about half provided.

    Was there not a large accumulation of pine timber in the King's yards, at the time Government contracted with the private builders for thofe fir frigates ?-Yes, there was.

    Did the accumulation of fir timber arife from the difficulty of procuring oak ? Certainly the ftores of fir rofe from the difficulty of procuring oak.
    Then a provifion of fir had been made to a greater extent than the fcarcity of oak called into ufe afterwards?-No, I do not fee that exactly; the contract was made for the fir frigates, and for the fir irfelf in time of war.

    You fay the contract for building fir frigates was made in war ?-Yes, certainly.
    Do you know whether the fore of fir timber was provided with a view to the conftruction of fir frigates ?-No; I fuppofe Government had a large ftore of fir, in confequence of the Balcic being fhut againf them, and with a probability that America might be fo alfo; they therefore thought it prudent to keep a flore by them.

    Then having for other reafons of providence collected a large fiore of fir timber, they chofe to make ufe of it in the conftruction of frigates?-Frigates were required for the fervice, and the fir was applied to hubband up the Englifh oak.

    And was there at that time 2 particular fcarcity of fuch fized oak-timber as is ufually converted to the building of frigates in the King's yards?-No; I do not know that there was a fcarcity of oak.
    Do you think there was a fcarcity apprehended of that particular kind of oaktimber in a fhort time to come?-lt has been long apprehended that a fcarciry of Englifh oak was faft approaching.
    The queftion is, whether there was apprehended as likely to happen in a fhort time, a fcarcity of that particular fized oak-timber which is ufually converted to the building of frigates?-Government had it in their porrer to deliver a fufficieut quantity of fir to conftruct frigates with, but they had not a fufficient quantity of oak applicable to the number of fhips built; they might have had enough for two or three, but not for the valt number built at that time.
    You faid there was no fcarcity of that kind of cak timber that is wanted for frigates?-Not to a certain extent.

    Robert Seppings, $E / q$.

    If a number of frigates, not more than ordinary, had been wanted, the fupply of oak-timber for them in the King's yards would have been fufficient?-No, I do not think there would have been a fufficient fupply of oak-timber applicable for frigates, without having recourfe to fir at that time.

    You were underfood before to fay, there was no fcarcity of that kind of timber in the King's yards at that time? -Not to a certain extent:

    Be pleafed to explain to what extent you mean? - Not to the extent that would be required in the King's yards; there was fufficient in ftore to fupply the dock yards, but not the merchants yards.

    Do you think that this need which arofe to Government for the conftruction of ten frigates, was rather an extraordinary need?-Confiderably more than ten were ordered; I fuppofe double that number.

    At that time, when this extraordinary number of frigates was ordered to be built in the merchants yards, were many frigates built in the King's yards ? $-N 0$, there were frigates building in the King's yards.

    As many as the yards could well undertake ?-Yes, as many as the yards could well undertake.

    Then do you think at the time thefe large orders were made for frigates in the merchants yards, the fudden need which arofe for building frigates, was an extraordinary need, and fuch as does not ordinarily arife?-I have not, in my recollection, an inftance of an equal number of fhips of that defcription being ordered at the fame time.

    Then the infufficiency of the ftock of oak-timber would feem to have made its appearance, at that time particularly, more from the extraordinary fudden demand for that kind of timber, than from its being infufficient to the ordinary demands of the Navy ? -I believe I have before obferved, that the building of fir frigates was to hufband up the oak, from an idea that there was a fcarcity of that article, and that by building fhips of fir, they could procure materials to any extent.

    You were not afked as to what the view of Government might be in ordering frigates to be conftructed of fir inftead of oak; but you were afked your opinion upon the inadequacy, at that particular time, of the ftock of frigate timber in the King's yards, to the need of it for the ufe which had fuddenly arifen ? $-I$ am led to underftand ( $I$ was not then at the Board) that Government required a certain number of frigates in a given time, and at that time they had the means of fupplying the fir, but they had not the means of fupplying the oak.

    - Do you think a frigate can be built in a fhorter time of fir than of oak, fuppofing both materials at hand for converfion?-Yes, quicker with fir than with oak, as we give a lefs price to artificers for working fir than oak:

    Are you able to fay how much quicker; whether in three-fourths or four-fifths of the time? I-I hould fuppofe the difference was one-tenth quicker, but it is merely matter of opinion.

    The frames of thofe frigates which were built in hafte, did not ftand to feafon?It is not ufual to feafon fir.

    Do you think it would be as fafe to finifh up a fhip conitructed with oak, without letting her ftand at: all to feafon, as it would be to finifh up a fhip conftructed of fir ?-Nó, I do not think it would be fo fafe to finifh an oak fhip immediately, as it would a fir fhip.
    -The oak-built fhip would be more likely to go wrong, from not having ftood to feafon, than the fir-built fhip?-I hould think it would add to the durability of an oak fhip to be feafoned, but that is not the received opinion as it refpects fir.

    Do you think that the Navy Board, in ordering thofe fir frigates which they wifhed to have conftructed with all poffible expedition, were aware that they might be more fafely finifhed off without ftanding to feafon, than if they had been ordered to be conftructed of oak ?-I do not believe that was confidered by them; I was not at the Board, therefore I cannot fay.

    You have not heard it was fo?-No.
    Then if a fhip of war is wanted for a particular purpofe, in the fhorteft poffible time to be conftructed new, fhe may be had fooner, by conftructing her of fir, than by conftructing her of oak?-Fir is much more eafy to be worked than oak; and fir can be procured to any extent, when oak cannot.

    Do you know any thing of the duration of any fir-built thips of war?-Yes.
    State the number of hips, and their names, if you have them in your memory? -I hive not the names of the fhips particularly, but I have known many fir frigates.

    How long have the generality of thofe you have known, lafted in full fervice? Rabert Seppings, I believe they have run from fix to feven and eis, ht years.
    Upon an average? - Upon an average, fay feven years
    What is called the fir-built frigates, are not conftructed wholly of fir, there is fome oak ufed in the frame?-I believe, about two hundred load of oak is ufed in a fir frigate's frame.

    And any in the planking? - Very little; the fore fhifts and fuch crooked parts are of oak, there are many other parts of oak befides thofe.
    Do you know who fupplied the oak timber for thofe fir frigates, which were built in the merchants yards; was it fent out from the King's yards, or fupplied by the private builders our of their own flock?-By the private builders out of their own ftock.

    But nearly the whole of the fir was fent out of the King's yards ? -The fir in toto was fent out of the King's yards.

    You fay there was a great accumulation of fir, and that the frigates were built out of this extraordinary accumulation ?-I do not know that the accumulation was an extraordinary one; there was a large fore of fir, on account of the Baltic being fhut, and the probability of an American war.
    And you confider that accumulation, as arifing from a wifh to hufband the oak, from a fenfe of the neceffity of it?-1 believe, the introduction of fir firft originated with a view to hufband the oak.
    Have not a certain number of men of war, feventy-fours, been either conftructed or Atrengthened after their conftruction upon a triangular principle of tying together, fuggefted by you?-There are three feventy-fours at fea at this time, which were built on the principle alluded to, there are alfo a firl-rate complete and other hips building.

    Will not that mode of conftruction alfo tend to the faving the large, by ufing a fmaller defcription of timber?-It has for its object the giving great additional ftrength, and allo decreafing the confumption of timber.
    It appears in the accounts, that The Renown has not been repaired; has the had no repairs?-She has been refitted from time to time, re-coppered, new decks, \&c. many trifing repairs from time to time, but no large repairs that entered into the fabric of the flip.
    The Dublin is alfo returned as having had no repairs?-The repairs of thips are brought under different heads, from very large to very fmall; and although a thip may have confiderable money laid out upon her when in commifiion, it is generally termed a refit, and not a repair.
    Then if the flip comes into dock and undergoes confiderable repair, if the remains in commiffion, the is not returned as repaired ?-That is, generally fpeaking, only called a refit.
    But it is often 2 repair ?-It very often exceeds in amount a fmall repair.
    Though what is called a refit may exceed in amount a fmall repair, are they not different in their nature? -No, I do not think they are; very frequently a refit or a fmall repair may be confidered the fame thing.
    Is not a refit more agreeable to what may be confidered the wear and tear of a veffel, than that which is ordinarily the fubject of repair ? - Yes, it may be confidered under the head of wear and tear ; but in the bufinefs of The Dublin, that was not the cafe.
    In The Dublin; it has been in evidence before this Committee, the has had confiderable repair?-I believe the expences incurred by The Dublin proceeded from giving additional frength, not making good that which was placed originally; additional fecurities were added.
    [A Paper, ordered by the Houfe of Commons, to be printed, 9th April 1813, ' intituled, "Statement of the Commerce of Britih India with London, America, and Foreign Europe, in each year, from 1802-3 to 1810-11, both inclufive," was read.]
    [Two Accounts, ordered, by the Houfe Commons, to be printed 12 May 1813 , intituled, "An Account of all Goods, the Produce of the Eaft Indies and China, imported into and exported from Great Britain in each year, from 1792 to 1811, both inclufive; fpecifying the Quantities of the principal Articles, and the aggregate Value thereof; and allo diftinguifhing the Produce of India from that of China, as far. as the fame can be afcertained;"-and, "An Account of the Value of all Goods exported from Great Britain to the Eaft Indies and China, in each year, from 1792 to 1811 , both inclufive; fpecifying the Value of the principal Articles; and alfo, diftinguifhing India from China, as far as the fame can be afcertained,"-were alfo read.
    [The following Papers and Accounts were read:]
    (98.)-Minute of the Eaft India Company, 8 April 1807, relatite to continuing Ships in ?p. 645 . their fervice, for two years beyond the number contracted for
    (99)-Infructions, dated 3 June 1814, fent by The Court of Diredtors of the Eaff India Company;"to their Governor in Council at Bombay, relative to the building a Ship ibid. for the Company's'Service
    (100.)-Account of the Prices at which different perfons have made Tenders for the fupply of Oak Timber, for the ufe of the Ordance . . . . . . \}f. 646.

    ## PAPERS and ACCOUNTS.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { COPY of a MINUTE of the Court of Directors of the Eaft India Company, } \\
    & \text { relative to continuing Ships in the Company's Service for two Voyages beyond the } \\
    & \text { Number of their contracted Voyages. }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    ## At a Court of Directors held on Wednefday the Sth April 1807 ;

    ## A REPORT from the Committee of Shipping, dated this day, being read:

    RESOLVED, That in order to lefien the confumption of Britifh Timber, and to give all the Owners of the Ships in the Company's Service the fame benefit as to thofe mentioned in the faid Report, which have been engaged for two additional Voyages, as well as to preferve the continuance of their refpective Commanders in the Company's employ, the following Regulations be adopted refpecting them in future; viz.
    That every thip in her rotation, as the may have completed her number of contracted voyages, provided her owners thall tender her, and the be found competent in the opinion of the Committee of Shipping, be continued in the fervice for two additional voyages, under fuch terms and conditions for her repairs, as the Court may think equitable, according to the circumitances of the times.
    That in future, the Commanders of fuch Ships thall have the option of continuing in the command, fubject to the Court's approbation as heretofore.
    That fuch Ships fhall not interfere with the Ships in rotation to be taken up the feafon after they hall have refpectively completed their voyages.

    Eaft India Houre, $\}$
    the 2ill June, 1814. $\}$

    ## Memorandum :

    The above Refolution was refcinded by a fubfequent Refolution of the Court of Direciors of the 12 th April 1810 .

    ## -(99.) - <br> Copy of INSTRUCTIONS, dated the 3d June 1814 , fent by the Court of DireEtors of the Eaft India Company to their Governor in Council at Bombay, relative to the building a Ship for the Company's Service.

    WE have deemed it expedient, that a Ship of about 1,300 tons burthen chall be built for our fervice at your prefidency, to be named "Buckinghamfhire;" and we direct that immediate meafures be taken for that purpofe.

    We forward to you numbers in the packet a Draft, conformably to which we direct that the Ship thall be built; alfo, the particulars of the fcantlings, and dimenfions of the mafts, yards, fails, and every other article neceffary for the building and equipment of the Ship.

    It is our pofitive orders, that the Ship be built of the beft teak wood, and completed with the greatelt care and attention; and that no deviation whatever be made from the dimenfions and other particulars herewith tranfmitted to you.

    We fhall forward to you, by the earlieft Bombay thip of next feafon, the ironwork neceflary for building the hip, which will be manufactured under the infpection of our officers here; alfo copper bolts, copper heathing, fails, anchors, cables, \&cc. the feveral particulars of which are likewife tranfmitted numbers in the packet.

    The Ship muft be foated out of your dock fufficiently early in the year 1816 , to enable your builders to fit her completely for fea by the month of June in that year.

    ```
    Eaft India Houfe,
    the 22d June 1814.```

